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#### Abstract

Three new species of fishery research and fish market specimens of Japanese ophichthid eels are described and illustrated. Scolecenchelys fuscapenis sp. nov. is described on the basis of 21 specimens from 112-269 m depth from Tosa Bay and Niigata; it is unique in its vertebral number (157-162), dentition (jaw teeth bi- and triserial), dorsal-fin origin ( 1 head length before anus), and coloration (a prominent black spot on its posterior tail region). It is proposed that the melanistic tail pigment serves to strengthen the tail region of tail-burrowing eels. Ophichthus machidai sp. nov., subgenus Coecilophis, is described from 23 specimens from approximately $30-150 \mathrm{~m}$ depth from Tosa Bay, Uchinour Bay, and the Kii-suido channel. It is unique in its vertebral number (150-161), single (typically) labial barbel, 2 (typically) minute preopercular pores, and its small uniserial dentition. Ophichthus obtusus sp. nov., subgenus Coecilophis, is described from 21 specimens from approximately $30-150 \mathrm{~m}$ depth from Tosa Bay and the Kii-suido channel. It is unique in its blunt snout condition, its vertebral number (148-153), two labial barbels, 3 preopercular pores, and its small uniserial dentition. The vertebral numbers of Japanese Ophichthus are tabulated and a key to the species is provided.
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The snake eels of the tropical and subtropical eel family Ophichthidae are the most species rich of anguilliform fishes, comprising as many as 260 species worldwide (McCosker et al., 1989, and unpublished data). Ophichthids occupy a variety of marine habitats, ranging from midwater to reefs to sand and mud substrates, usually at depths less than 100 m , although some species of the genus Ophichthus are found as deep as 1300 m . The Japanese Archipelago possess a large and diverse ophichthid fauna (Asano, 1984; Hatooka, 2002), with at least 40 valid described species and several more are likely to be discovered. To this assemblage we add three new species collected from the Kii-suido channel, Niigata, Uchinoura Bay, and from Tosa Bay, off the south coast of Kochi Prefecture in Shikoku

Island, an area with an active trawl fishery, a history of ichthyological and academic researchers, and a rich ichthyofauna (Kamohara, 1964; Shinohara et al., 2001; Ide et al., 2003; Ide, 2004).

## Materials and Methods

Measurements are straight-line, made either with a 300 mm ruler with 0.5 mm gradations (for total length, standard length, trunk length, and tail length) and recorded to the nearest 0.5 mm , or with dial calipers (all other measurements) and recorded to the nearest 0.1 mm . Body length comprises head and trunk lengths. Head length is measured from the snout tip to the posterodorsal margin of the gill opening; trunk length is taken from the posterior end of the head to mid-anus;
maximum body depth does not include the median fins. Head-pore terminology follows that of McCosker et al. (1989: 257) such that the supraorbital pores are expressed as the ethmoidal pore + pores in its supraorbital canal, i.e., $1+3$, and the infraorbital pores are expressed as pores along the upper jaw + those in vertical part of the canal behind the eye (the "postorbital pores"), i.e., $4+2$, in that frequently the last pore included along the upper jaw is part of the postorbital series. Vertebral counts (which include the hypural) were taken from radiographs. The mean vertebral formula (MVF) is expressed as the average of predorsal, preanal, and total vertebrae (Böhlke, 1982). Institutional abbreviations follow the Standard Symbolic Codes for Institutional Research Collections in Herpetology and Ichthyology (Leviton et al. 1985). The senior author has examined all of the holotypes of the ophichthid eels mentioned in this study except those of Ophichthus habereri, which was destroyed, and $O$. ophis. Information concerning those specimens is available in Eschmeyer (2012).

Genus Scolecenchelys Ogilby, 1897
Diagnosis. Ophichthid eels, Subfamily Myrophinae, tribe Myrophini. Body elongate, laterally compressed posteriorly. Pectoral fin absent. Anterior nostril tubular; posterior nostril opens into mouth, covered by an exterior flap; 2 pores between nostrils. Jaw teeth conical, in 1-3 rows; intermaxillary teeth not in a broad patch.

Remarks. The genus Scolecenchelys was until recently considered to be a subgenus of Muraenichthys (Castle and McCosker, 1999). They separated the species of Scolecenchelys from those of Muraenichthys based on the number of labial pores (two vs. one) between the anterior and posterior nostrils, their dentition (conical vs. blunt), and the posterior nostril location (beneath upper lip vs. outside of upper lip). There are at least 18-20 species within Scolecenchelys and 7-8 species within Muraenichthys. It is very likely that additional species will be discovered.

Scolecenchelys fuscapenis sp. nov.
[New English name: Black Tailed Worm Eel]
[New Japanese name: Tsumaguro-mimizu-anago]
(Figs. 1-3, Table 1)
Scolecenchelys sp.: Ide, 2004: 12.

Holotype. NSMT-P 106570 (formerly BSKU 96046, photo), 337 mm TL, female, Tosa Bay, $33^{\circ} 14.70^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 133^{\circ} 38.42^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-33^{\circ} 13.01^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 133^{\circ} 36.55^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, 223-269m, R/V Kotaka-maru, otter trawl, St. T5-1, coll. by K. Nashida and N. Nakayama, 26 Aug. 2008.

Paratypes. 20 specimens ( 19 specimens, Tosa Bay; 1specimen, Sea of Japan). Tosa Bay, Japan (R/V Kotaka-maru, otter trawl): AMS I. 45671001 (formerly BSKU 99523), $302 \mathrm{~mm}, 33^{\circ} 14.92^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $133^{\circ} 38.02^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-33^{\circ} 13.59^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 133^{\circ} 36.00^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 213-202 \mathrm{~m}$, coll. by K. Nashida and H. Sakaji, 6 July 2006; BSKU 64911, $365 \mathrm{~mm}, 33^{\circ} 12.6^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $133^{\circ} 35.7^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-33^{\circ} 11.1^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, \quad 133^{\circ} 33.7^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, \quad 252-258 \mathrm{~m}$, St. 6-2, coll. by K. Nashida and H. Sakaji, 16 June 2003; BSKU 76475, $236 \mathrm{~mm}, 33^{\circ} 14.81^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $133^{\circ} 38.06^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-33^{\circ} 13.23^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 133^{\circ} 35.67^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 214-212 \mathrm{~m}$, St. T5-1, by K. Nashida and H. Sakaji, 17 Nov. 2005; BSKU 77928, 2 ( $255-338 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), $33^{\circ} 15.07{ }^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{N}$, $133^{\circ} 38.36^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-33^{\circ} 16.30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 133^{\circ} 40.21^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 207-$ 201 m, St. T5-1, by K. Nashida and H. Sakaji, 17 Apr. 2006; BSKU 84462, 334 mm , BSKU 84463, 296 mm , ca. $250 \mathrm{~m}, 9$ Mar. 1998 (the precise data are unknown); BSKU $85268,306 \mathrm{~mm}$, BSKU $85269,325 \mathrm{~mm}, 33^{\circ} 13.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 133^{\circ} 36.9^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-33^{\circ} 13.0^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $133^{\circ} 36.2^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 232-246 \mathrm{~m}, 8$ June 1998; BSKU 98015, 260 mm , BSKU 98016, $302+\mathrm{mm}$ (tail damaged), $\quad 33^{\circ} 14.67^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, \quad 133^{\circ} 37.83^{\circ} \mathrm{E}-33^{\circ} 15.72^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, $133^{\circ} 39.31^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 205-207 \mathrm{~m}$, St. T5-1, coll. by K. Nashida and N. Nakayama, 24 Feb. 2009; BSKU $99516,285 \mathrm{~mm}, 33^{\circ} 14.82^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 133^{\circ} 38.32^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-$ $33^{\circ} 13.53^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 133^{\circ} 35.30^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 224-182 \mathrm{~m}$, St. T5-1, coll. by K. Nashida and S. Yamamoto, 14 July 2009; BSKU 99524, 304 mm , collected with AMS I. 45671-001; BSKU 99747, 318 mm , BSKU 99748, 283 mm , BSKU 99749, 330 mm , collected with BSKU 99516; BSKU 102889, $367 \mathrm{~mm}, 33^{\circ} 14.88^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 133^{\circ} 38.12^{\prime} \mathrm{E}-33^{\circ} 13.26^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $133^{\circ} 35.79^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 212-215 \mathrm{~m}$, St. T5-1, coll. by K.


Fig. 1. Holotype of Scolecenchelys fuscapenis sp. nov. in fresh condition, NSMT-P 106570, 337 mm TL. Photographed by N. Nakayama.


Fig. 2. Head of holotype of Scolecenchelys fuscapenis sp. nov., NSMT-P 106570, 337 mm TL. Illustration by Juliana Olsson.

Nashida, 8 Mar. 2010; CAS 231945 (formerly BSKU 102890), 317 mm , collected with BSKU 102889; NSMT-P 106571 (formerly BSKU 99746), 357 mm , collected with BSKU 99747; USNM 404480 (formerly BSKU 77928, 1 of 3), 302 mm TL, collected with BSKU 77928. Sea of Japan: USNM 151440, 208 mm , Niigata, Sea of Japan ( $38^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 138^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), 112 m , Albatross St. 4817, 18 July 1906.

Diagnosis. An elongate species of Scolecenchelys with the following characteristics: tail $65-67 \%$ of TL; dorsal fin arising 1 HL before anus; 3 preopercular pores; teeth numerous, slender, small and conical, maxillary teeth triserial, mandibular teeth biserial, vomerine teeth uniserial posteriorly; total vertebrae 157-161; and MVF 34/50/162 ( $\mathrm{n}=9$ ).

Counts and measurements (in mm) of the holo-


Fig. 3. Semi-diagrammatic illustration of dentition of holotype of Scolecenchelys fuscapenis sp. nov., NSMT-P 106570, 337 mm TL. Illustration by Claire Schneider.
type. Total length 341 ; standard length 337; head 27.4; trunk 89.6; tail 220; predorsal distance 87 ; body depth at gill openings 8.7 ; body width at

Table 1. Counts and proportions (in thousandths) of the holotype (NSMT-P 106570) and 9 paratypes of Scolecenchelys fuscapenis sp. nov. (AMS I.45671-001, BSKU 64911, BSKU 98015, BSKU 99516, BSKU 99524, BSKU 99748, BSKU 102889, CAS 231945, NSMT-P 106571 and USNM 404480). TL = Total length. $\mathrm{HL}=$ head length.

|  | Mean | Range |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| TL (mm) | - | $260-367$ |
| HL/TL | 83 | $79-89$ |
| Head and trunk/TL | 341 | $330-351$ |
| Tail/TL | 659 | $649-670$ |
| Depth at gill opening/TL | 22 | $19-26$ |
| Dorsal-fin origin/TL | 250 | $231-270$ |
| Upper jaw/HL | 311 | $290-347$ |
| Snout/HL | 218 | $206-244$ |
| Eye/HL | 105 | $79-116$ |
| Interorbital/HL | 103 | $77-128$ |
| Gill opening/HL | 52 | $41-65$ |
| Predorsal vertebrae | 23.3 | $32-35$ |
| Preanal vertebrae | 47.6 | $46-50$ |
| Total vertebrae | 160 | $157-162$ |

gill openings 7.4; body depth at anus 7.9; body width at anus 7.3 ; snout 6.7 ; tip of snout to tip of lower jaw 1.5; tip of snout to rictus of jaw 9.5; eye diameter 2.6 ; interorbital distance 3.1; gillopening length 1.5 . Vertebral formula 33/48/160. Left lateral line pores minute, the majority uncountable, 8 in branchial region, 48 preanal.

Description. (Based on the holotype and 9 paratypes, see Table 1.) Body elongate (Fig. 1), depth at gill openings 41-65 in TL, tapering and laterally compressed posteriorly. Head and trunk short, 2.8-3.0 and head 11.2-12.6 in TL. Snout moderately acute; a short median ventral groove extends from a line across center of anterior nostrils forward to anterior edge of anterior nostrils. Lower jaw included, its tip reaching anterior edge of anterior nostrils. Anterior nostrils tubular, their length about one half eye diameter. Posterior nostril entirely inside upper lip, before anterior margin of eye, opening inward, appearing externally as a flap. Eye diameter about equal to interorbital distance. Interorbital region flat. Rictus of jaw beneath posterior margin of eye. Median fins low. Dorsal fin arises 1 head length before level of anus origin. Paired fins absent. Median fins confluent with caudal.

Head pores minute (Fig. 2). Single temporal and interorbital pores. Supraorbital pores $1+3$, infraorbital pores $4+2$; two pores between anterior and posterior nostrils. Six pores along mandible, 3 overlying preopercle. Lateral-line pores difficult to discern posteriorly; 9 pores before right gill opening; approximately 130 pores along right side, approximately 60 before the anus. Last lateral-line pore occurs about a head length before tail tip.

Teeth (Fig. 3) numerous, slender, small and conical. Triserial on maxillary and biersial on mandible. A patch of 7 intermaxillary teeth, followed by 17-22 (17 in holotype) irregular teeth on vomer, 30-33 (30) irregular pairs of maxillary teeth flanked posteriorly by a third row of $40-45$ (44) teeth, and approximately 35-40 (40) irregular pairs of mandibular teeth, largest at symphysis.

Body coloration of a freshly captured specimen is shown in Fig. 1. In ethanol, it is yellow-ish-brown, becoming darker on upper half due to small, closely spaced punctations, becoming uniform yellow-brown in posterior half of tail region. Median fins transparent except near caudal tip. Tail tip prominently blackened in posteriormost region for a length approximately equal to jaw length, extending onto median and caudal fins. Anterior nostril, upper lip, and inside of mouth pale. Dorsal surface of peritoneum freckled with fine dark punctations. Eye dark blue.

Size. The largest known specimen is 367 mm TL, a ripe male.

Etymology. From the Latin fuscus (dark) and penis (tail), in reference to its coloration.

Distribution. Known only from Tosa Bay and Niigita, Japan, collected from muddy and sandy bottoms between $112-269 \mathrm{~m}$ depth.

Remarks. The holotype of the new species is a gravid female with densely packed ova ( $\sim 0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter).

The depth of capture of the new species is extraordinary within Scolecenchelys. Except for Scolecenchelys castlei McCosker, 2006, a New Zealand and Australian species from 425-820 m depth, Scolecenchelys puhioilo (McCosker, 1979),
a Hawaiian species known only from the holotype which was trapped in 275 m , and Scolecenchelys profundorum (McCosker and Parin, 1995), trawled at 310 m on the Nazca Ridge, most species of Scolecenchelys live in tidepools down to a few meters depth.

The new species appears to be most closely related to species of Scolecenchelys whose dorsal fin originates in advance of the anus, including: S. breviceps (Günther, 1876), S. castlei, S. cookei (Fowler, 1928), S. godeffroyi (Regan, 1909), S. macroptera (Bleeker, 1857), S. puhioilo, and S. xorae (Smith, 1962). Possessing 157-162 vertebrae, the new species has significantly more vertebrae than $S$. cookei (131-136), S. godeffroyi ( $\sim 143$ ), S. macroptera (127-135), and S. xorae (139-144), and fewer than S. breviceps (161167) and S. castlei (180-186). The only known specimen of S. puhioilo has 160 vertebrae. The tail length of $S$. fuscapenis is longer than that of any of the above-mentioned congeners ( $65-67 \%$ vs. $55-63 \%$ of TL). All of those congeners have conical biserial maxillary dentition except S. puhioilo which has uniserial dentition.

All known species of Scolecenchelys are similar in having a nearly uniform tan coloration (although slightly darker dorsally) when in preservative. The two deepwater species, S. fuscapenis and $S$. puhioilo, differ in having black pigmentation along the posteriormost region of the tail. That of S. puhioilo is limited to the posterior portion of the anal fin approximately one head length before the tail tip. The black portion of the tail tip of S. fuscapenis is shorter in length but extends across the median and caudal fins. (The tail region and fins of their deepwater congeners S. castlei and S. profundorum are not blackened.) Several ophichthids, particularly some but not all deepwater species of Ophichthus (see McCosker, 2010), as well as the deepwater congrid Congriscus megastomus, also possess a darkened portion of the anal fin and/or tail tip. No one has hypothesized a function for such a darkly pigmented fin. We discount the possibility that it would serve a signal function in such burrowing eels. We suspect, rather, that it might benefit those eels that
dig into the substrate with their tails. It has been shown that eumelanin is a potent antioxidant and could serve to protect an organism that is in close contact with substrates that harbor toxic metals and/or peroxide ions (McGraw, 2005); the limited amount of blackened tissue on this eel's tail makes such a function less likely. It is well known that melanistic keratin in bird feathers and beaks is more effective than non-melanistic keratin in resisting abrasive wear (Bonser, 1995; Bonser and Witter, 1993). We propose that the melanistic pigment serves a similar purpose by structurally strengthening the tail of these eels which bury into the substrate tail-first. We are unaware of differences in the substrate composition of preferred habitats of different species of deepwater Scolecenchelys or Ophichthus but suspect that it may relate to the benefit of a hardened tail fin.

## Genus Ophichthus Ahl, 1789

Diagnosis. Ophichthid eels, Subfamily Ophichthinae, tribe Ophichthini. Body moderately to very elongate, cylindrical, and laterally compressed posteriorly. Head and trunk shorter than tail. Dorsal fin arises above or behind gill openings. Pectoral fin developed, ranging from paddle-shaped to elongate. Snout and jaws moderately elongate. Lips without numerous barbels or fringes. Anterior nostrils tubular; posterior nostrils open into mouth or along lower edge of lip. Eye moderately to well-developed. Teeth conical and numerous, never caniniform, from uniserial to multiserial on jaws and vomer. Coloration variable, often marked, but generally uniform and darker dorsally.

Remarks. The genus Ophichthus (sensu lato) is the most speciose of ophichthids, with approximately 65-70 (several undescribed) tropical and subtropical species worldwide. The generic synonyms of Ophichthus are listed in McCosker et
al. (1989: 379). Several subgenera are recognizable within Ophichthus, though a worldwide revision has yet to be accomplished. The two new species of Ophichthus that we describe here belong to the subgenus Coecilophis. The subgenus Coecilophis Kaup 1856 [type species Ophisurus apicalis Anonymous (Bennett, 1830)] was recognized as a subgenus of Ophichthus by McCosker (1977). Nearly all deepwater IndoPacific species of Ophichthus belong to Coecilophis (McCosker, 2010). Only one eastern Pacific species ( $O$. arneutes, from the Galcmagos Islands) and only one western Atlantic species ( $O$. brevirostris, from North Carolina) is within the subgenus Coecilophis.

It is useful to comment upon McCosker's (2010) observations of the behavior of an eastern Pacific species of the subgenus Coecilophis such that it allows an inference of the behavior of our new species which were collected by deepwater trawl. He wrote (pp. 2-3) "I first encountered, observed, and collected $O$. arneutes while diving aboard the manned submersible Johnson SeaLink at 485 m off the Galápagos Islands (McCosker and Rosenblatt, 1998). It was observed on three occasions at $434-557 \mathrm{~m}$. Individuals were observed feeding somewhat like heterocongrine garden eels, their heads and trunks extending from the sand slopes within which they were buried, picking at passing plankton in the current. They withdrew when frightened by the submersible, leaving only their snout tips exposed. Species of the subgenus Coecilophis are generally pale and weakly pigmented, and some have darkened snouts, chins, and anterior nostrils, whereas others have anterior nostril tubes that are much paler than the surrounding snout. Such coloration may be correlated with camouflage when they are withdrawn into the substrate, and with signaling behavior between those individuals which have contrasting coloration of their snouts and anterior nostril tubes."

## Key to the Japanese Species of Ophichthus

1a. Body coloration markedly spotted or with distinct dark saddles; dorsal-fin origin (DFO) above
gill openings, in advance of pectoral-fin tips; pectoral fins rounded, not elongate . . . . . . . . . . . 2
1b. Body coloration uniform, darker dorsally, without distinct spotting or distinct dark saddles; DFO above or behind pectoral fins; pectoral fins rounded or elongate. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4
2a. Body overlain with 18-27 prominent dark saddles, a conspicuous wide saddle above the gill opening, and numerous golden to brown (in life) marblings on snout and face; vertebrae 156-164 Ophichthus bonaparti (Kaup, 1856)
2b. Body overlain with numerous dark or ocellated spots, those spots not appearing as saddles. . . . 3
3a. Head and body overlain with numerous ocellated spots, those on body in 3 regular alternating rows, the spots separated by pale interspaces; vertebrae 141-148

Ophichthus polyophthalmus Bleeker, 1864
3b. Head and body overlain with numerous dark spots, those on body in 2 irregular rows, the spots about equal in size to their interspaces; vertebrae 151-155

Ophichthus erabo (Jordan and Snyder, 1901)
4a. DFO behind gill opening by more than 3 pectoral-fin lengths; vertebrae 160-163 . . Ophichthus megalops Asano, 1987
4b. DFO in advance of, above, or slightly behind (less than one pectoral-fin length) gill opening . . . 5
5a. Body moderately elongate, its depth 45-48 times in total length; vomerine teeth and jaw teeth biserial; 2 preopercular pores (POP); vertebrae 169-173 . . . Ophichthus stenopterus Cope, 1871
5b. Body less elongate, its depth less than 40 times in total length; teeth may be uniserial or biserial; 2 or 3 POP (those pores may be minute and difficult to observe)
6a. Dorsal surface of trunk and tail overlain with brown irregular blotches, ventral surface distinctly pale; dorsal surface of head and gill basket brownish-black; DFO above mid-pectoral fin; pectoral fins elongate, not pointed; teeth uniserial; vertebrae 151-153

Ophichthus lithinus (Jordan and Richardson, 1908) ${ }^{1}$
6b. Dorsal surface of head and trunk tan to brown, without irregular blotches; head lacks a dark nuchal band; DFO location variable, above or slightly behind pectoral fins; pectoral-fin shape variable; teeth uniserial or biserial
7a. DFO slightly behind end of pectoral fins; pectoral fins spatulate; 3 POP; jaw teeth uniserial; vertebrae 134-139 $\qquad$ Ophichthus urolophus (Temminck and Schlegel, 1846) ${ }^{2}$
7b. DFO in advance of or slightly behind end of pectoral fins; pectoral fins rounded or elongate; 2 or 3 POP; jaw teeth uniserial or biserial 8
8a. Body coloration brownish-black, paler ventrally; median fins black; rear margin of orbit above or slightly behind rictus; 2 cauliflower-shaped labial barbels; vertebrae 148-159

Ophichthus obtusus sp. nov.
8b. Body coloration yellow to brown dorsally, paler ventrally; median fins pale or with a dark margin; rear margin of orbit in advance of rictus; 1 or 2 smooth-surfaced labial barbels . . . . . . . . . 9
9a. Dorsal fin with a dark margin; pectoral fins brownish-black; teeth uniserial; vertebrae 171-182
Ophichthus altipennis (Kaup, 1856) ${ }^{3}$
9b. Dorsal fin margin not notably darkened; pectoral fins pale; teeth uniserial or biserial . . . . . . . 10
10a. Pectoral fin spatulate, shorter than jaw; 3 POP; teeth uniserial; vertebrae 126-132
Ophichthus asakusae Jordan and Snyder, 1901
10b. Pectoral fin elongate, equal to or longer than jaw; 2 POP; vomerine teeth biserial centrally, max-

[^0]illary teeth uniserial; vertebrae 150-161
Ophichthus machidai sp. nov.

## Ophichthus machidai sp. nov.

[New English name: Machida's snake eel]
[New Japanese name: Machida-umihebi] (Figs. 4-6, Tables 2, 3)

Ophichthus sp. 1: Ide (2004: 38).

Holotype. NSMT-P 106572 (formerly BSKU 68428), 580 mm TL, female, west of Tosa Bay, Saga fish market $\left(33^{\circ} 04.48^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 133^{\circ} 06.80^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right)$, Kuroshio-cho, Kochi Prefecture, Japan, bottom trawl, coll. by Y. Matsuoka and K. Inoue, 4 Dec. 2003.

Paratypes. 22 specimens. 15 from west of Tosa Bay, off Kuroshio-cho, Kochi Prefecture, Japan. From Kamikawaguchi fish market $\left(33^{\circ} 02.27^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 133^{\circ} 03.55^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right):$ AMS I. $45672-001$ (formerly BSKU 65174), $500 \mathrm{~mm}, 18 \mathrm{Mar}$ 2002; BSKU 58930, 406 mm , coll. by T. Yamakawa and M. Nakae, 10 Aug. 2001; BSKU 60738, 569 mm , coll. by Y. Machida et al., 30 Oct. 2002; BSKU 83553, $474 \mathrm{~mm}, 31$ July 1997; CAS 231946 (formerly BSKU 58158), 549 mm , a gravid female with densely packed ova $(\sim 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter), collected with BSKU 58930. From Irino fish market ( $33^{\circ} 00.73^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 133^{\circ} 00.60^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ): BSKU 83171, $587 \mathrm{~mm}, 27$ May 1997. From Saga fish market: BSKU $58465,585 \mathrm{~mm}$, coll. by Y. Nogawa et al., 20 Nov. 2002; BSKU 62674, 474 mm , coll. by H. Endo et al., 3 Oct. 2002; BSKU 65076, $449 \mathrm{~mm}, 22$ June 2002; BSKU 66275, 521 mm , USNM 404481 (previously BSKU 66276), 529 mm , coll. by M. Nakae et al., 31 Aug. 2003; BSKU 72119, 497 mm , coll. by Y. Machida et al., 14 May 2004; NSMT-P 106573 (formerly BSKU 64237), 576 mm , coll. by Y. Machida et al., 21 Apr. 2003; USNM 404481 (previously BSKU 66276), 529 mm , collected
with BSKU 65076. From Tosa Bay: BSKU 87093, 570 mm , data unknown. 5 specimens from the Kii-suido channel $\left(34^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 134^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right)$ : FAK 19243, $655 \mathrm{~mm}, 3$ Aug. 1983, $70-80 \mathrm{~m}$; FAK 19630, $582 \mathrm{~mm}, 6$ Aug. 1986; FAK 19631, $515 \mathrm{~mm}, 6$ Aug. 1986; FAK 19633, $468 \mathrm{~mm}, 6$ Aug. 1986; FAK 19638, 672 mm, 28 May 1983. 2 specimens from off Tsushiro $\left(31^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{N}\right.$, $31^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), Uchinour Bay, Kouyama, Kimotsuki, Kagoshima Prefecture, Japan, set net at 40 m , coll. by M. Yamada, 20 Feb. 2007, KAUM 03944 ( 519 mm ) and KAUM 06869 ( 513 mm ).

Diagnosis. A moderately elongate species of Ophichthus with the following characters: tail $53-61 \%$ TL and head $8.5-10 \%$ TL; dorsal-fin origin above or slightly behind pectoral fin; pectoral fins elongate, longer than jaw; posterior nostril opening within mouth; single labial barbel; head pores minute, difficult to observe, SO 1 +4 , IO $4+2$, POM $2+5$; teeth small and numerous, biserial centrally and uniserial anteriorly and posteriorly on vomer, uniserial on maxillary and mandible; coloration pale ventrally, brown dorsally, fins pale; mean vertebral formula $13 / 56 / 154$, total vertebrae $150-161(\mathrm{n}=10)$.

Counts and measurements (in mm) of the holotype. Total length 580; head 51.6; trunk ; tail 341; predorsal distance 77.1; pectoral-fin length 19.3; pectoral-fin base 5.7; body depth at gill openings 18.5; body width at gill openings 16.7; body depth at anus 18.5 ; body width at anus 17.6 ; snout 9.4; tip of snout to tip of lower jaw 2.8; tip of snout to rictus 14.2 ; eye diameter 5.9 ; interorbital distance 7.7 ; gill-opening height 7.6 ; isthmus width $\sim 11$. Vertebral formula 16/58/158. Left lateral line pores minute, the majority uncountable, 9 in branchial region, 57 preanal.

Description. Body (Fig. 4) moderately elongate, laterally compressed in posterior tail region,

[^1]

Fig. 4. Holotype of Ophichthus machidai sp. nov., NSMT-P 106572, 580 mm TL. Illustration by Juliana Olsson.


Fig. 5. Head of holotype of Ophichthus machidai sp. nov., NSMT-P $106572,580 \mathrm{~mm}$ TL. Illustration by Juliana Olsson.
depth at gill openings 27-40 in TL. Branchial basket slightly wider and deeper than body. Head and trunk short, 2.1-2.6 in TL; head 10-12 in TL, 2.8-3.9 in trunk. Snout conical, acute when viewed from above. Snout bisected on underside by a groove from posterior margin of anterior nostril to anterior margin of posterior nostril. Lower jaw included, upper and lower lips almost meeting when mouth is closed. Underside of snout with numerous minute fleshy bristles in ethmoidal region. Mouth moderately elongate, rictus beneath rear margin of eye. Eye 2.3-2.4 in upper jaw and 7.3-11.3 in head, its center well behind middle of upper jaw. Tube of anterior nostril short, anterolaterally inclined, hardly capable of being deflected forward. A small barbel beneath 2 nd infraorbital pore, about $1 / 3$ the distance between anterior and posterior nostrils. A second small pore usually present beneath the
center of the orbit. Posterior nostril opens into mouth, not visible along margin of lip. Dorsal-fin origin above or slightly behind pectoral fin; dorsal fin low in anterior trunk region, elevated in posterior trunk region. Anal fin elevated. Pectoral fins elongate, rounded posteroventrally, longer than jaw in length. Pectoral fin (Fig. 5) arises above gill opening and extends to mid-opening.

Head pores (Fig. 5) minute, inconspicuous. Single median interorbital and temporal pores. Supraorbital pores $1+4$, infraorbital pores $4+2$, mandibular pores 5 , preopercular pores 2 , rarely 3. Lateral-line pores present but too small to enumerate, 9 before gill opening.

Teeth (Fig. 6) conical, small, very close set and erect. Intermaxillary with an irregularly biserial patch of 3-5 teeth, followed by a gap, followed by a uniserial row of 6-7 (6 in holotype) teeth, then 5-6 (6) pairs of vomerine teeth which
become a uniserial row of $\sim 5-6$ teeth. Maxillary teeth linear and uniserial, 29-30 (30) teeth on each side, becoming smaller posteriorly. Mandibular teeth strictly uniserial, 36-40 (37) teeth on each side, becoming smaller posteriorly.

Color in ethanol pale ventrally, brown above lateral midline; cheeks, throat and fins pale; median fin bases darkened; a fine brown speckling overlays pale region of body and tail; lower lip, anterior chin region and anterior nostrils darkened; palate overlain with fine brown speckling; peritoneum pale.

Size. The largest known specimen is 672 mm TL (FAK 19631), a gravid female captured in the Kii-suido channel.

Etymology. Named in honor of Yoshihiko Machida, who guided Sachiko Ide through her thesis at BSKU.

Distribution. Known only from the type series, from Kuroshio-cho, Kochi Prefecture, the Kii-suido channel separating Honshu and Shikoku islands, and from Uchinour Bay, Kagoshima Prefecture, Japan. Specimens were captured at approximately $30-150 \mathrm{~m}$ depth.

Remarks. The holotype of $O$. machidai is a female with eggs $\sim 0.3-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter. All of the FAK specimens captured in the Kii-suido channel during late May and early August were gravid females with well developed ova.

The range of total vertebrae of ten specimens of this species is expressed in Table 3. We have excluded two paratypes (BSKU 65174 and FAK 19631) which have $13 / 52 / 146$ and 12/48/145 vertebrae, significantly less than the range of the other paratypes ( $150-161$ ). It is not uncommon for individuals of a large sample of an eel species to have abnormally reduced vertebral numbers resulting from developmental flaws or damaged and healed tails.

The new species is unique within Ophichthus and would not be mistaken for any of its Japanese congeners. Minute fleshy bristles are welldeveloped on the ethmoidal region of the underside of the snout (Fig. 6). Such structures are present on the lips and ethmoidal region of other species of Ophichthus, however they are well


Fig. 6. Semi-diagrammatic illustration of dentition of holotype of Ophichthus machidai sp. nov., NSMTP 106572, 580 mm TL. Illustration by Claire Schneider.
developed in $O$. machidai. They probably serve a sensory function but have not been comprehensively analyzed within the genus. It shares several characters with some deepwater (living at or below 200 m ) Indo-Pacific species of Ophichthus

Table 2. Vertebral counts of valid Japanese species of Ophichthus. Sources: 1) this study; 2) McCosker and Randall, 2002; 3) Sumida and Machida, 2000; 4) McCosker, 2002; 5) Asano, 1987; 6) Asano, 1984; 7) Randall, 2007; 8) Böhlke, 1982; 9) McCosker, 2010.

| As described | Holotype | MVF | Range | Source |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| altipennis | $9 / 52 / 178$ | $9 / 56 / 176$ | $171-182$ | 1,2 |
| asakusae | $11 / 54 / 128$ | $11 / 54 / 130$ | $126-132$ | 1,3 |
| bonaparti | $9 / 89 / 164$ | $9 / 89 / 164$ | $163-164$ | 1,4 |
| erabo | $6 / 78 / 155$ | $8 / 27 / 153$ | $151-155$ | 1 |
| lithinus | $13 / 72 / 153$ | $12 / 70 / 152$ | $151-153$ | 1 |
| machidai | $16 / 58 / 158$ | $13 / 56 / 154$ | $150-161$ | 1 |
| megalops | $29 / 60 / 160$ | $30 / 61 / 162$ | $160-163$ | 1,5 |
| obtusus | $12 / 57 / 151$ | $11 / 55 / 151$ | $148-153$ | 1 |
| polyophthalmus | $8 / 74 / 145$ | $8 / 74 / 145$ | $141-149$ | $1,6,7$ |
| stenopterus | $17 / 63 / 169^{*}$ | $18 / 59 / 170$ | $169-173$ | 1,8 |
|  | $19 / 66 / 173^{*}$ |  |  |  |
| urolophus | $16 / 54-136$ | $16 / 54 / 136$ | $134-139$ | 1,9 |

* syntypes
(McCosker, 2010), such as its pale coloration, numerous conical and uniserial teeth, its moderately elongate body depth, the location of its dor-sal-fin origin, its pectoral-fin condition (elongate, but not attenuate), and having two preopercular pores. The preopercular pore numbers (either 2, 3 , or 4) of species of Ophichthus is a useful indicator of phylogeny (McCosker, 1977). The presence of a small labial barbel between the anterior and posterior nostrils is probably a significant character among species of Ophichthus; it has not yet been comprehensively assessed.

Ophichthus machidai is similar to Ophichthus microstictus McCosker, 2010, known from 362450 m depth off Fiji and Tonga, and possibly a specimen from 200 m off New Caledonia. It is similar to $O$. machidai in its vertebral condition (O. microstictus has MVF 13/56/152, total vertebrae 151-156 vs. O. machidai MVF 13/56/154, total vertebrae $150-161$ ) its preopercular pores ( $2-3$ vs. 2), and its head ( $10.8-11.3 \%$ vs. $8.5-$ $10 \%$ ) and tail proportions ( $57-59 \%$ vs. $53-61 \%$ ). It differs from $O$. machidai in lacking a small labial barbel between the anterior and posterior nostrils, in its pectoral-fin length (less than the jaw length vs. greater than the jaw length) and in its dentition (less numerous, slightly larger, and irregularly biserial on the maxillary). The new

Table 3. Counts and proportions (in thousandths) of the holotype (NSMT-P 106572) and 9 paratypes of Ophichthus machidai sp. nov. (BSKU 58158, BSKU 58465, BSKU 58930, BSKU 60738, BSKU 65076, BSKU 72119, BSKU 87093, CAS 231946 , KAUM O6869 and USNM 404481). TL $=$ total length. $\mathrm{HL}=$ head length.

|  | Mean | Range |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| TL (mm) | - | $406-579$ |
| HL/TL | 89 | $85-100$ |
| Head and trunk/TL | 414 | $388-468$ |
| Tail/TL | 586 | $532-612$ |
| Depth at gill opening/TL | 30 | $25-37$ |
| Dorsal-fin origin/TL | 124 | $110-131$ |
| Pectoral-fin length/HL | 363 | $320-404$ |
| Upper jaw/HL | 290 | $205-332$ |
| Snout/HL | 223 | $186-258$ |
| Eye/HL | 114 | $93-136$ |
| Interorbital/HL | 136 | $116-150$ |
| Gill opening/HL | 115 | $81-138$ |
| Isthmus/HL | 239 | $212-287$ |
| Predorsal vertebrae | 13.1 | $11-16$ |
| Preanal vertebrae | 56.1 | $52-59$ |
| Total vertebrae | 154.3 | $150-161$ |

species is also similar to the eastern Pacific species Ophichthus apachus McCosker and Rosenblatt, 1989 and Ophichthus longipenis McCosker and Rosenblatt, 1989, both of which have the single barbel, two preopercular pores, and dorsalfin origins and pectoral-fin shapes similar to that of $O$. machidai. They differ in having more vertebrae (176-186) and biserial upper jaw dentition, and are much more elongate (body depth $1.4-2.5 \%$ of TL). Other New World species with similar dorsal-fin origins, pectoral-fin conditions, and the presence of a minute labial barbel between the nostrils are: Ophichthus cylindroideus (Ranzani, 1839), Ophichthus mecopterus McCosker and Rosenblatt, 1989 (which has two additional labial barbels), Ophichthus ophis (Linnaeus, 1758), Ophichthus rugifer Jordan and Bollman, 1890, and Ophichthus triserialis (Kaup, 1856). All however typically have three, rather than two, preopercular pores and possess quite different body colorations.

Ophichthus obtusus sp. nov.
[New English name: Pug-nosed snake eel]
[New Japanese name: Shishi-gashira-umihebi] (Figs. 7-9; Tables 2, 4)

Ophichthus sp. 2.: Ide (2004: 40).

Holotype. NSMT-P 106574 (previously BSKU 71725), 684 mm TL, a gravid female, west of Tosa Bay, Irino fish market, Kuroshio-cho, Kochi Prefecture, Japan, 4 Mar. 2004.

Paratypes. 20 specimens. 18 from west of Tosa Bay, off Kuroshio-cho, Saga fish market: AMS I.45673-001 (formerly BSKU 83168), 630 mm , female, 1 May 1997; BSKU 56056, 560 mm , 10 Aug. 2000; BSKU 56850, 614 mm ,
coll. by T. Yamakawa and M. Nakae, 26 Aug. 2001; BSKU 60521, 697 mm , female, coll. by K. Nishida, 16 Oct. 2002; BSKU 60737, 575 mm , coll. by Y. Machida et al., 30 Oct. 2002; BSKU 64546, 731 mm , female, BSKU 64547, 513 mm , coll. by Y. Machida et al., 29 Nov. 2002; BSKU $65078,566 \mathrm{~mm}$, female, coll. by Y. Takata et al., 22 June 2003; BSKU 68429, 573 mm , female, BSKU 68430, 633 mm , coll. by Y. Matsuoka and K. Inoue, 4 Dec. 2003; BSKU 72117, 531 mm , female, coll. by Y. Machida et al., 14 May 2004; BSKU 77288, 630 mm , coll. by Y. Machida et al., 11 Dec. 2004; BSKU 90890, 632 mm , coll. by Y. Machida et al., 15 Apr. 2007; BSKU 101341, 540 mm , male, BSKU 101342, 543 mm , female, coll. by Y. Machida et al., 15 Nov. 2009;


Fig. 7. Holotype of Ophichthus obtusus sp. nov., NSMT-P 106574, 684 mm TL. Illustration by Juliana Olsson.


Fig. 8. Head of holotype of Ophichthus obtusus sp. nov., NSMT-P 106574, 684 mm TL. Illustration by Juliana Olsson.

CAS 231947 (formerly BSKU 68432), 664 mm , NSMT-P 106575 (previously BSKU 68431), 690 mm , female, coll. by Y. Matsuoka and K. Inoue, 4 Dec. 2003. USNM 404482 (previously BSKU 64544), 570 mm , collected with BSKU 64545. 2 specimens from the Kii-suido channel $\left(34^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 134^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right):$ FAK $15097,553 \mathrm{~mm}$, female, 5 Nov. 1978; FAK 19242, 695 mm , female, 3 Aug. 1983, 70-80 m.

Diagnosis. A moderately elongate species of Ophichthus with the following characters: tail $1.7-1.8$ and head 10.9-11.9 in TL; body depth at gill openings 29-37 times in TL; dorsal-fin origin above pectoral-fin tips; pectoral fins short, slightly longer than jaw; posterior nostril within mouth; upper jaw short, rear margin of orbit above or slightly behind rictus; snout short, blunt; 2 labial cauliflower-shaped barbels; head pores minute, $\mathrm{SO} 1+4$, $\mathrm{IO} 4+2$, $\mathrm{POM} 3+5$; teeth small and numerous, uniserial on vomer and jaws; coloration yellow ventrally, brownishblack dorsally, median fins black; mean vertebral formula 11/55/151, total vertebrae 148-153 ( $\mathrm{n}=$ 10).

Counts and measurements (in mm ) of the holotype. Total length 684; head 61.5; trunk 232.5; tail 390 ; predorsal distance 79.1 ; pectoral-fin length 17.3; pectoral-fin base 6.6 ; body depth at gill openings $\sim 26$; body width at gill openings $\sim 21$; body depth at anus $\sim 30$; body width at anus $\sim 24$; snout 10.6 ; tip of snout to tip of lower jaw 4.0; tip of snout to rictus 14.2 ; eye diameter 5.8; interorbital distance 12.6; gill-opening height $\sim 7$; isthmus width $\sim 8.5$. Vertebral formula $12 / 57 / 151$. Left lateral line pores minute, the majority uncountable, 8 in branchial region, 56 preanal.

Description. Body (Fig. 7) moderately elongate, tail laterally compressed, depth at gill openings 29-37 in TL. Branchial basket wider and deeper than body. Head and trunk short, 2.2-2.4 in TL; head 10.9-11.9 in TL, 3.7-4.2 in trunk. Snout short and conical, acute when viewed from above. Snout bisected on underside from midanterior nostril to slightly in advance of anterior nostril base by a groove. Lower jaw included,
upper and lower lips meet when mouth is closed. Underside of snout with numerous minute fleshy bristles in ethmoidal region. Mouth short, rictus ahead of rear margin of eye. Eye 2.1-3.2 in upper jaw and $10-16$ in head, its center far behind middle of upper jaw. Tube of anterior nostril extends slightly below margin of lower lip. Small cauliflower-shaped barbels immediately behind base of anterior nostril and beneath 2nd infraorbital pore, followed by a second small cauliflower-shaped barbel beneath eye and 3rd infraorbital pore (Fig. 8). Posterior nostril opens into mouth, not visible along margin of lip, and covered by a flap. Dorsal-fin origin slightly in advance of pectoral-fin tips. Dorsal fin low, in a groove. Anal fin slightly more elevated, in a groove similar to that of dorsal. Pectoral fins short, not elongate, central rays longest, slightly longer than jaw. Pectoral-fin base arises just above and extends below middle of gill opening.

Head pores (Fig. 8) small, inconspicuous except for supraorbital series. Single median interorbital and temporal pores. Supraorbital pores $1+4$, infraorbital pores $4+2$, mandibular pores 5, preopercular pores 3 .

Teeth (Fig. 9) conical, not enlarged. Intermaxillary with 5 teeth in an irregularly biserial row, followed by a gap, then a patch of 7 teeth, followed by a row of 35-40 (40) uniserial teeth on vomer, becoming smaller posteriorly. Maxillary teeth uniserial, 18-21 (21) teeth in a row. Mandibular teeth small, about 5 pairs at symphysis followed by 22-25 (24) teeth in a uniserial row.

Color in ethanol pale yellow ventrally, brown-ish-black on mid-flank and dorsal surface. Snout tip, tip of mandible, anterior nostrils, and tail pale. A dark halo encircles anal opening. Pectoral fins brown. Median fins black. Inside of mouth dusky with fine brown punctations. Peritoneum pale.

Size. The largest known specimen is 697 mm TL, a female (BSKU 60521).

Etymology. From the Latin obtusus (blunt) in reference to its snout condition.

Distribution. Known only from the type series, from Kuroshio-cho, Kochi Prefecture, and the


Fig. 9. Semi-diagrammatic illustration of dentition of holotype of Ophichthus obtusus sp. nov., NSMT-P 106574, 684 mm TL. Illustration by Claire Schneider.

Kii-suido channel separating Honshu and Shikoku islands, Japan. Specimens were captured at approximately $30-150 \mathrm{~m}$ depth.

Remarks. The holotype is a gravid female with eggs $\sim 0.4-0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter. The FAK specimens captured in the Kii-suido channel, like those of $O$. machidai, were gravid females. The large paratype (FAK 19242), collected in August, has very well-developed ova.

The new species could not be mistaken for other Japanese congeners using the characters in our diagnostic key. The condition of its small
labial barbels, cauliflower-shaped rather than smooth, appears to differ from that of other bar-bel-bearing ophichthids.

This new species is similar in appearance and proportions to Ophichthus habereri (Franz, 1910), described from a 90 cm specimen from Yokohama. The specimen was destroyed during WWII and has received little notice since its description (Eschmeyer, 2012). Franz's illustration and description indicate a robust eel with an anterior dorsal-fin origin and uniserial teeth. Its eye position is slightly more anterior and the length of its jaw is considerably longer than that of $O$. obtusus, and we thereby consider it to be a different species.

Ophichthus obtusus is similar to the shallow water (shoreline to 22 m depth), widely distributed Indo-Pacific (Kenya to Madagascar, Thailand, Philippines and Korea) species O. apicalis (Bennett, 1830). They have the same labial barbel number (both have a second barbel beneath the orbit), similar head proportions and dentition, have a dark dorsal fin, and similar vertebral numbers (O. obtusus has a MVF $12 / 55 / 151$, total vertebrae $148-153$ vs. $O$. apicalis with a MVF 12/52/144, total vertebrae 143-145). Ophichthus obtusus differs in its preopercular pore condition (O. obtusus has three pores and O. apicalis has two) and in having a blunter snout. Ophichthus obtusus is also similar to $O$. altipennis (Kaup, 1856), a widely distributed Indo-Pacific species known from western India, Malaysia, Indonesia, Australia and Philippines to Japan, from 1-40 m depth (McCosker and Randall, 2002). It is similar in its dentition and head, tail, and body depth proportions, and both have a dark dorsal fin. However O. obtusus has fewer vertebrae (O. altipennis has a MVF 9/56/178, total vertebrae 172-182), a blunter snout, and a low rather than an elevated dorsal fin.

Ophichthus obtusus is also similar to the widely distributed eastern-Pacific species $O$. zophochir Jordan and Gilbert 1882, found between 1-110m between northern California and Peru (McCosker and Rosenblatt, 1998). They have a similar labial barbel condition (both have a second barbel

Table 4. Counts and proportions (in thousandths) of the holotype (NSMT-P 106574) and 9 paratypes of Ophichthus obtusus sp. nov. (AMS I. 45673-001, BSKU 60521, BSKU 64546, BSKU 65078, BSKU 68429, BSKU 68432, BSKU 101341, CAS 231947, and NSMT-P 106575). $\mathrm{TL}=$ total length. $\mathrm{HL}=$ head length.

|  | Mean | Range |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| TL (mm) | - | $540-697$ |
| HL/TL | 88 | $84-92$ |
| Head and trunk/TL | 429 | $417-449$ |
| Tail/TL | 571 | $551-583$ |
| Depth at gill opening/TL | 31 | $27-35$ |
| Dorsal-fin origin/TL | 112 | $106-120$ |
| Pectoral-fin length/HL | 310 | $272-330$ |
| Upper jaw/HL | 230 | $187-270$ |
| Snout/HL | 180 | $138-211$ |
| Eye/HL | 88 | $62-104$ |
| Interorbital/HL | 162 | $133-186$ |
| Gill opening/HL | 109 | $85-130$ |
| Isthmus/HL | 250 | $214-287$ |
| Predorsal vertebrae | 11.4 | $11-19$ |
| Preanal vertebrae | 55.3 | $52-57$ |
| Total vertebrae | 150.8 | $148-153$ |

beneath the orbit), similar head proportions and dentition, have a dark dorsal fin, and similar vertebral numbers ( $O$. obtusus has a MVF $12 / 55 / 151$, total vertebrae $148-153$ vs. $O$. zophochir with a MVF 14/46/153, total vertebrae 149-162). They differ in coloration ( $O$. zophochir is gray dorsally, paler ventrally, and typically has black median and pectoral fins), somewhat in pectoralfin length ( $O$. zophochir has a longer pectoral fin), and considerably in dentition (the jaw teeth of $O$. zophochir are biserial).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ McCosker et al. (2006: 272) recognized O. evermanni Jordan and Richardson, 1909 as a junior synonym of $O$. lithinus (Jordan and Richardson, 1908).
    ${ }^{2}$ Ophichthus urolophus (Temminck and Schlegel, 1846) includes Ophichthus tsuchidae Jordan and Snyder, 1901.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ We follow McCosker and Randall (2002) in recognizing O. melanochir as a junior synonym of O. altipennis. We follow Hatooka (2002: 1457) and recognize Pisodonophis zophistius Jordan and Snyder, 1901 and Ophichthys intermedius Regan, 1905 as synonyms of $O$. altipennis.

