KTH ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY



Second Quantization





SI2380, Advanced Quantum Mechanics Edwin Langmann

D. Cohen: Lecture notes in quantum mechanics http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0605180v3

K. Heyde, The nuclear shell model, Springer-Verlag 2004



First quantization

Second quantization

Classical particles are assigned wave amplitudes

Wave fields are "quantized" to describe the problem in terms of "quanta" or particles.



Simple Harmonic Oscillator



$$H = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p^2}{m} - \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 x^2$$

A more general solution is

$$x(t) = c_1 e^{i\omega t} + c_2 e^{-i\omega t}$$

To quantize it, we say

 $[x,p]\,{=}\,i\hbar$

A very very important trick is to write this as

$$a = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} \left(x + \frac{ip}{m\omega} \right), \quad a^{\dagger} = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} \left(x - \frac{ip}{m\omega} \right)$$
$$[a, a^{\dagger}] = 1$$

with

Then

$$H = \hbar \omega \bigg(a^{\dagger} a + \frac{1}{2} \bigg)$$

Thus energy eigenstates are eigenstates of the number operator

 $N = a^{\dagger}a$



In Second Quantization one introduces the creation operator such that a state can be written as

$$|p_i
angle=c^{\dagger}_{p_i}|0
angle$$

this state has to be normalized, that is,

$$\langle p_i | p_i
angle = \langle 0 | c_{p_i} c_{p_i}^\dagger | 0
angle = \langle 0 | c_{p_i} | p_i
angle = 1$$

from where it follows that

$$|0
angle=c_{p_i}|p_i
angle$$

and the operator c_{p_i} can be interpreted as the annihilation operator of a particle

Vacuum

$$\langle 0 | 0 \rangle = 1$$

$$a_k |0\rangle = 0$$
:
 $\langle 0 | a_k^{\dagger} = 0$
There is no particle to annihilation in "vacuum"



$$\begin{split} N \left| n \right\rangle &= n \left| n \right\rangle \\ a^{\dagger} \left| n \right\rangle &= \sqrt{n+1} \left| n+1 \right\rangle \\ a \left| n \right\rangle &= \sqrt{n} \left| n-1 \right\rangle \end{split}$$

Reminder, for boson

$$[a,a^{\dagger}]=1$$



$$\{A,B\} = AB + BA$$

is called the anticommutator of A and B, and these operators anticommute if

 $\{A,B\} = 0$

Summing these two equations one gets,

$$\langle 0|c_kc_j^\dagger+c_j^\dagger c_k|0
angle=\delta_{jk}$$

which is valid independently of whether $|j\rangle$ and $|k\rangle$ are particle or hole states. It is a general equation and, therefore, the creation-annihilation operators satisfy

$$\{c_j, c_k^\dagger\} = \delta_{jk}.$$

Reminder, for boson

$$[a,a^{\dagger}]=1$$



$$\begin{cases} a_i^{\dagger}, a_j^{\dagger} \end{cases} = a_i^{\dagger} a_j^{\dagger} + a_j^{\dagger} a_i^{\dagger} = 0 \begin{cases} a_i, a_j \end{cases} = a_i a_j + a_j a_i = 0 \begin{cases} a_i, a_j^{\dagger} \end{cases} = a_i a_j^{\dagger} + a_j^{\dagger} a_i = \delta_{ij} \end{cases}$$



Hole state

Below the Fermi level (FL) all states are occupied and one can not place a particle there. In other words, the A-particle state $|0\rangle$, with all levels hi occupied, is the ground state of the inert (frozen) double magic core.

This implies that the hole state h_i in the (A-1)-nucleon system is

$$|h_i
angle=c_{h_i}|0
angle$$



Figure 5: Excitations h_i in the (A-1)-particle nucleus. It looks like at the level h_1 there is a hole in the completely filled states of the core. Therefore the states below the Fermi level are called "hole excitations". A hole at the more deeply bound level h_2 induces a higher excitation than the one at h_1 .

Occupation number

 $n_j = \langle 0 | c_j^\dagger c_j | 0
angle$

is $n_j = 1$ is j is a hole state and $n_j = 0$ if j is a particle state. In the same fashion it is $\langle 0|c_j c_j^{\dagger}|0\rangle = 1$ (0) if j is a particle (hole) state, that is $\langle 0|c_j c_j^{\dagger}|0\rangle = 1 - n_j$. Therefore n_j is called occupation number of the state j.

In general it is

$$\langle 0|c_j^{\dagger}c_k|0
angle = n_j\delta_{jk},$$

and

$$\langle 0|c_kc_j^{\dagger}|0
angle = (1-n_j)\delta_{jk}.$$

$$H = \hbar \omega \left(a^{\dagger} a + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

Thus energy eigenstates are eigenstates of the number operator

$$N = a^{\dagger}a$$

which is Hermetian. Also, all the stuff we saw last time is true

$$\begin{split} N|n\rangle &= n|n\rangle\\ a^{\dagger}|n\rangle &= \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle\\ a|n\rangle &= \sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle \end{split}$$



First quantization:
Slater determinantSecond quantization $\Psi_{jk}(q_1,q_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{vmatrix} \psi_j(q_1) & \psi_k(q_2) \\ \psi_j(q_2) & \psi_k(q_2) \end{vmatrix}$ $i jk \rangle = a_j^{\dagger} a_k^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle$





$$|a \otimes b\rangle_B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|a_1 \otimes b_2\rangle + |a_2 \otimes b_1\rangle)$$
 bosons; symmetric
 $|a \otimes b\rangle_F = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|a_1 \otimes b_2\rangle - |a_2 \otimes b_1\rangle)$ fermions; anti – symmetric

Convenient to describe processes in which particles are created and annihilated;
 Convenient to describe interactions.





In second quantization the antisymmetrized two-particle state is $|ij\rangle_a = c_i^{\dagger} c_j^{\dagger} |0\rangle$, since it implies

$$c_i^{\dagger}c_j^{\dagger} = -c_j^{\dagger}c_i^{\dagger} \Longrightarrow c_i^{\dagger}c_i^{\dagger} = 0$$

as required by the Pauli principle. In the same fashion

$$c_i c_j = -c_j c_i$$

Therefore

$$\{c_i,c_j\}=\{c_i^\dagger,c_j^\dagger\}=0$$

Since the state $|0\rangle$ corresponds to a nucleus with A=N+Z nucleons, the state $c_i^{\dagger}c_j^{\dagger}|0\rangle$ corresponds to A+2 nucleons. Therefore

$$\langle 0|c_i^{\dagger}c_j^{\dagger}|0\rangle = 0, \quad \langle 0|c_ic_j|0\rangle = 0$$

for all i and j.



Normal product

Operators in second quantization Creation/Annihilation operations

April 27, 2016





Fermion Creation and Annihilation Operators

$$\begin{split} c_i \big| n_1 n_2 ... n_i ... \big\rangle &= (-1)^{\sum_i} n_i \big| n_1 n_2 ... n_{i-1} ... \big\rangle \\ c_i^+ \big| n_1 n_2 ... n_i ... \big\rangle &= (-1)^{\sum_i} (1 - n_i) \big| n_1 n_2 ... n_{i+1} ... \big\rangle \\ \sum_i n_1 + n_2 + ... + n_{i-1} \quad i.e. \text{ number of particles to left of ith} \\ \\ \text{Boson Creation and Annihilation Operators} \end{split}$$

$$a_{i} | n_{1} n_{2} ... n_{i} ... \rangle = n_{i}^{1/2} | n_{1} n_{2} ... n_{i} ... \rangle$$

$$a_{i}^{+} | n_{1} n_{2} ... n_{i} ... \rangle = (n_{i} + 1)^{1/2} | n_{1} n_{2} ... n_{i} ... \rangle$$

Fermion examples

$$c_{3}|1111100\rangle = (-1)^{l+1}1|1101100\rangle = |1101100\rangle$$
$$c_{4}^{+}|1110110\rangle = (-1)^{l+1+1}1|111110\rangle = -|111110\rangle$$

Occupation number

One notices that the number

$$n_j = \langle 0 | c_j^\dagger c_j | 0
angle$$

is $n_j = 1$ is j is a hole state and $n_j = 0$ if j is a particle state. In the same fashion it is $\langle 0|c_jc_j^{\dagger}|0\rangle = 1$ (0) if j is a particle (hole) state, that is $\langle 0|c_jc_j^{\dagger}|0\rangle = 1 - n_j$. Therefore n_j is called occupation number of the state j.

In general it is

$$\langle 0|c_j^\dagger c_k|0
angle=n_j\delta_{jk},$$

and

$$\langle 0|c_kc_j^\dagger|0
angle = (1-n_j)\delta_{jk}.$$



Normal ordering for fermions is defined by rearranging all creation operators to the left of annihilation operators, but keeping track of the anti-commutations relations at each operator exchange.

Normal product (order)

Since $\langle 0|c_i c_j^{\dagger}|0\rangle = (1 - n_i)\delta_{ij}$, one can write

$$c_i c_j^\dagger = (1-n_i)\delta_{ij} + :c_i c_j^\dagger :$$

where : $c_i c_j^{\dagger}$: is defined by the relation,

$$\langle 0|:c_ic_j^{\dagger}:|0
angle=0$$

The operator : AB : is called normal product between A and B. In the same fashion

$$c_i^{\dagger}c_j = n_i\delta_{ij} + :c_i^{\dagger}c_j:$$

From these equations one gets (using $\{c_i, c_j^{\dagger}\} = \delta_{ij}$)

$$c_j c_i^\dagger = \delta_{ij} - c_i^\dagger c_j = (1 - n_i)\delta_{ij} - :c_i^\dagger c_j :$$

but

$$c_j c_i^{\dagger} = (1 - n_i)\delta_{ij} + :c_j c_i^{\dagger}:$$

 $:c_j c_i^{\dagger} := -:c_i^{\dagger} c_j:$



$$\overline{c_i c_j^\dagger} = (1-n_i)\delta_{ij}; \quad \overline{c_i^\dagger c_j} = n_i \delta_{ij}$$

The operation AB is called contraction of the operators A and B. The contraction is a number.

The contraction of arbitrary creation or annihilation operators A and B designated by

A B is defined as the difference between the ordinary and the normal product of the operators A and B :

$$\overrightarrow{AB} = \overrightarrow{AB} - :\overrightarrow{AB}:$$



Already in normal form

$$a_i^{\dagger} a_j^{\dagger} =: a_i^{\dagger} a_j^{\dagger} : \implies a_i^{\dagger} a_j^{\dagger} = 0$$
$$a_i a_j =: a_i a_j : \implies a_i a_j = 0$$

We defined

$$c_i^\dagger c_j = n_i \delta_{ij} + :c_i^\dagger c_j:$$
 $\overline{c_i c_j^\dagger} = (1-n_i) \delta_{ij}; \quad \overline{c_i^\dagger c_j} = n_i \delta_{ij}$

Thus

$$c_i^\dagger c_j = \overleftarrow{c_i^\dagger c_j} + : c_i^\dagger c_j :$$



The next degree of complication is when two contractions are possible, for instance

$$\begin{aligned} c_i^{\dagger} c_j c_k c_l^{\dagger} &=: c_i^{\dagger} c_j c_k c_l^{\dagger}: \\ &+ \overleftarrow{c_i^{\dagger} c_j}: c_k c_l^{\dagger}: - \overleftarrow{c_i^{\dagger} c_k}: c_j c_l^{\dagger}: - \overleftarrow{c_j c_l^{\dagger}}: c_i^{\dagger} c_k: + \overleftarrow{c_k c_l^{\dagger}}: c_i^{\dagger} c_j: \\ &+ \overleftarrow{c_i^{\dagger} c_j} \overleftarrow{c_k c_l^{\dagger}} - \overleftarrow{c_i^{\dagger} c_k} \overleftarrow{c_j c_l^{\dagger}} \end{aligned}$$

one needs one permutation to get the term $c_i^{\dagger}c_k : c_jc_l^{\dagger}$ and therefore a minus sign is added. The same is done to get the signs of all other terms. The mean value of this operator is,

$$\langle 0|c_i^{\dagger}c_jc_kc_l^{\dagger}|0
angle = \overline{c_i^{\dagger}c_jc_kc_l^{\dagger}} - \overline{c_i^{\dagger}c_kc_jc_l^{\dagger}} = n_i\delta_{ij}(1-n_k)\delta_{kl} - n_i\delta_{ik}(1-n_j)\delta_{jl}$$

ds theorem

One can write any product of creation and annihilation operators in normal form by using the Wick's Theorem. It says that the product of operators,

$$A_1A_2A_3\cdots A_{n-1}A_n$$

where A_i is c_i^{\dagger} or c_i , can be written as

$$A_{1}A_{2}A_{3}\cdots A_{n-1}A_{n} = :A_{1}A_{2}A_{3}\cdots A_{n-1}A_{n}:$$

$$+\overline{A_{1}A_{2}}:A_{3}\cdots A_{n-1}A_{n}:$$

$$-\overline{A_{1}A_{3}}:A_{2}\cdots A_{n-1}A_{n}:$$

$$+\cdots \text{ (all single-contractions)}$$

$$+\overline{A_{1}A_{2}}\overline{A_{3}A_{4}}:A_{5}\cdots A_{n-1}A_{n}:$$

$$-\overline{A_{1}A_{3}}\overline{A_{2}A_{4}}:A_{5}\cdots A_{n-1}A_{n}:$$

$$+\cdots \text{ (all double-contractions)}$$

$$+\cdots \text{ (upto } n/2\text{-contractions)}$$

The plus or minus sign in each term is determined by the number of permutations one must do in order to arrive to the final form of the term. An odd (even) number of permutation gives a minus (plus) sign.

The great property of this theorem is that it allows one to get in a straightforward fashion the mean value of the product of operators, which is what one usually needs. This number is just the term without normal products, i. e. the last two terms in the equation above.



Every operator in the Fock's space can be written as a product of creation and annihilation operators. In general, every operation takes the form:



m creation and n annihilation operators in normal order

One-body operator in second quantization

One-body operators depend upon one radial coordinate **r** only. In second quantization a one-body operator \hat{M} can be written as,

$$\hat{M} = \sum_{pq} \langle p | \hat{M} | q
angle c_p^\dagger c_q$$

where p and q run over all single-particle states (particle- as well as hole-states).

To proof that this is correct we will evaluate the matrix element of \hat{M} between two single-particle states, i. e. (A+1)-states of the form $|i\rangle = c_i^{\dagger}|0\rangle$ for which $n_i = 0$. The final result of this calculation sould be that we get the matrix element itself again.

We then evaluate

$$\begin{split} \langle i|\hat{M}|j\rangle &= \langle 0|c_{i}\hat{M}c_{j}^{\dagger}|0\rangle = \sum_{pq} \langle p|\hat{M}|q\rangle \langle 0|c_{i}c_{p}^{\dagger}c_{q}c_{j}^{\dagger}|0\rangle \\ &= \sum_{pq} \langle p|\hat{M}|q\rangle \langle 0|c_{i}c_{p}^{\dagger}c_{q}c_{j}^{\dagger} + c_{i}c_{j}^{\dagger}c_{p}^{\dagger}c_{q}|0\rangle \\ &= \sum_{pq} \langle p|\hat{M}|q\rangle \Big[(1-n_{i})\delta_{ip}(1-n_{j})\delta_{qj} + (1-n_{i})\delta_{ij}n_{p}\delta_{pq} \Big] \\ &= (1-n_{i})(1-n_{j})\langle i|\hat{M}|j\rangle + (1-n_{i})\delta_{ij}\sum_{p} n_{p}\langle p|\hat{M}|p\rangle \end{split}$$
(1)

and we see that with $n_i = n_j = 0$ we get the matrix element we needed, i. e. $\langle i|\hat{M}|j\rangle$, but that there is also another contribution which appears only when i = j. This corresponds to the sum of the mean values of \hat{M} over all hole states. It is the interaction of the particles in the A-nucleon core among themselves, leaving the particle in the (A+1)-nucleus untouched. This term is called "core polarization".



To avoid polarization effects one defines

$$\hat{M} = \sum_{pq} \langle p | \hat{M} | q
angle : c_p^\dagger c_q :$$

that is, one assumes that \hat{M} itself includes polarization. One sees that this avoids the core polarization term, since one cannot contract the indeces p and q (i. e. the term δ_{pq} in Eq. (1)). Two-body operator

To avoid effects related to the interaction of the particles in the core, as it was the core polarization effect in the one-particle case above, one defines the two-body operator in second quantization in normal form, i. e. as,

$$\hat{M} = \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \langle \alpha\beta | \hat{M} | \gamma\delta \rangle : c^{\dagger}_{\alpha} c^{\dagger}_{\beta} c_{\delta} c_{\gamma} :$$
⁽²⁾

and evaluate the matrix element of this operator between antisymmetrized twoparticle states, i. e. states in the (A+2)-nucleus. Our aim is to show that this procedure will indeed provide the antisymmetrized matrix element. In this context The antisymmetrized two-particle states are,

$$|ij\rangle_a = c_i^{\dagger} c_j^{\dagger} |0\rangle \Longrightarrow {}_a \langle ij| = \langle 0|(c_i^{\dagger} c_j^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = \langle 0|c_j c_i$$

$$(7.41)$$

and the matrix element is,

$${}_{a}\langle ij|\hat{M}|kl\rangle_{a} = \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \langle \alpha\beta|\hat{M}|\gamma\delta\rangle\langle 0|c_{j}c_{i}:c_{\alpha}^{\dagger}c_{\beta}^{\dagger}c_{\delta}c_{\gamma}:c_{k}^{\dagger}c_{l}^{\dagger}|0\rangle$$
(7.42)

Since the mean value of operators in normal form vanishes, the terms that survive contain only contractions. They are,

$$c_{j}c_{i}:c_{\alpha}^{\dagger}c_{\beta}^{\dagger}c_{\delta}c_{\gamma}:c_{k}^{\dagger}c_{l}^{\dagger} = \left[c_{i}c_{\alpha}^{\dagger}c_{j}c_{\beta}^{\dagger} - c_{i}c_{\beta}^{\dagger}c_{j}c_{\alpha}^{\dagger}\right]\left[c_{\gamma}c_{k}^{\dagger}c_{\delta}c_{l}^{\dagger} - c_{\gamma}c_{l}^{\dagger}c_{\delta}c_{k}^{\dagger}\right]$$
(7.43)

which give,

$${}_{a}\langle ij|\hat{M}|kl\rangle_{a} = \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \langle \alpha\beta|\hat{M}|\gamma\delta\rangle \Big[(1-n_{i})\delta_{i\alpha}(1-n_{j})\delta_{j\beta} - (1-n_{i})\delta_{i\beta}(1-n_{j})\delta_{j\alpha} \Big] \\ \times \Big[(1-n_{k})\delta_{k\gamma}(1-n_{l})\delta_{l\delta} - (1-n_{k})\delta_{k\delta}(1-n_{l})\delta_{l\gamma} \Big]$$

$$= (1-n_{i})(1-n_{j})(1-n_{k})(1-n_{l})\Big[\langle ij|\hat{M}|kl\rangle_{a} - \langle ji|\hat{M}|kl\rangle_{a} \Big]$$

$$- (1-n_{i})(1-n_{j})(1-n_{k})(1-n_{l})\Big[\langle ij|\hat{M}|lk\rangle_{a} - \langle ji|\hat{M}|lk\rangle_{a} \Big]$$

$$(7.44)$$



The matrix element antisymmetrized to the right only becomes,

$$\langle ji|\hat{M}|kl\rangle_{a} = \langle ji|\hat{M}\big[|kl\rangle - |lk\rangle\big] = \langle ij|\hat{M}\big[|lk\rangle - |kl\rangle\big] = -\langle ij|\hat{M}|kl\rangle_{a}$$

and Eq. (3) becomes,

$$_{a}\langle ij|\hat{M}|kl
angle _{a}=(1-n_{i})(1-n_{j})(1-n_{k})(1-n_{l})\,_{a}\langle ij|\hat{M}|kl
angle _{a}$$

The Hamiltonian becomes,

$$H = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \langle \alpha | T | \beta \rangle c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \langle \alpha\beta | V | \gamma\delta \rangle c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} c_{\delta} c_{\gamma}.$$



Random Phase approximation and Tamm-Dancoff approximation

One-body operators depend upon one radial coordinate **r** only. In second quantization a one-body operator \hat{M} can be written as,

$$\begin{split} \hat{M} &= \sum_{pq} \langle p | \hat{M} | q \rangle c_p^{\dagger} c_q = \sum_{pq} \langle p | \hat{M} | q \rangle [: c_p^{\dagger} c_q : + c_p^{\dagger} c_q] \\ | \hat{M} | j \rangle &= \langle 0 | c_i \hat{M} c_j^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle = \sum_{pq} \langle p | \hat{M} | q \rangle \langle 0 | c_i c_p^{\dagger} c_q c_j^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{pq} \langle p | \hat{M} | q \rangle \langle 0 | c_i c_p^{\dagger} c_q c_j^{\dagger} + c_i c_j^{\dagger} c_p^{\dagger} c_q^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{pq} \langle p | \hat{M} | q \rangle \Big[(1 - n_i) \delta_{ip} (1 - n_j) \delta_{qj} + (1 - n_i) \delta_{ij} n_p \delta_{pq} \Big] \\ &= (1 - n_i) (1 - n_j) \langle i | \hat{M} | j \rangle + (1 - n_i) \delta_{ij} \sum_{p} n_p \langle p | \hat{M} | p \rangle \end{split}$$

Two-body operator

 $\langle i$

$$\hat{M} = \sum_{lphaeta\gamma\delta} \langle lphaeta|\hat{M}|\gamma\delta
angle: c^{\dagger}_{lpha}c^{\dagger}_{eta}c_{\delta}c_{\gamma}:$$

We found that to avoid core excitations the one-body operator should be defined in terms of normal products. That is to use : $c^{\dagger}_{\alpha}c_{\beta}$: instead of $c^{\dagger}_{\alpha}c_{\beta}$. It was due to this that we wrote the two-body operator in normal form also. But in doing so we bypassed what maybe an important physics. And indeed there is an important

$$H = \sum_{lphaeta} \langle lpha | T | eta
angle c^{\dagger}_{lpha} c_{eta} + rac{1}{4} \sum_{lphaeta\gamma\delta} \langle lpha eta | V | \gamma \delta
angle c^{\dagger}_{lpha} c^{\dagger}_{eta} c_{\delta} c_{\gamma}.$$

Converting to normal form one gets,

$$\begin{split} H = &\sum_{\alpha\beta} \langle \alpha | T | \beta \rangle (: c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta} :+ c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta}) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \langle \alpha\beta | V | \gamma\delta \rangle [: c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} c_{\delta} c_{\gamma} :\\ &+ : c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\gamma} : c_{\beta}^{\dagger} c_{\delta} - : c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\delta} : c_{\beta}^{\dagger} c_{\gamma} - : c_{\beta}^{\dagger} c_{\gamma} : c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\delta} + : c_{\beta}^{\dagger} c_{\delta} : c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\gamma} \\ &+ c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\gamma} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} c_{\delta} - c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\delta} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} c_{\gamma}] \end{split}$$

Hartree-Fock potential

After some algebra to be performed,

$$H = E_0 + H_{HF} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \langle \alpha\beta | V | \gamma\delta \rangle : c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} c_{\delta} c_{\gamma} :$$

where

$$E_0 = \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} \langle \alpha | T | \alpha \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \beta} \langle \alpha \beta | V | \alpha \beta \rangle_a \tag{9}$$

This is the kinetic energy of particles in the occupied states plus the interaction between particles placed in any pair of levels of the representation. It is the energy carried by the core, as can also be seen by noticing that $E_0 = \langle 0|H|0\rangle$.

The one-body Hamiltonian is

$$H_{HF} = \sum_{lphaeta} \left(\langle lpha | T | eta
angle + \sum_{\gamma} n_{\gamma} \langle lpha \gamma | V | eta \gamma
angle_a
ight) : c^{\dagger}_{lpha} c_{eta} :$$

In this Hamiltonian the levels α and β include all states of the representation. These are the levels that we will occupied by particles which eventually will be added to the The one-body Hamiltonian is

$$H_{HF} = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \left(\langle \alpha | T | \beta \rangle + \sum_{\gamma} n_{\gamma} \langle \alpha \gamma | V | \beta \gamma \rangle_a \right) : c^{\dagger}_{\alpha} c_{\beta} :$$

In this Hamiltonian the levels α and β include all states of the representation. These are the levels that we will occupied by particles which eventually will be added to the core. One thus sees that H_{HF} contains the core excitations through the interaction of particles in all occupied states (called $|\gamma\rangle$ in H_{HF}) with the rest of the particles (including those in the core). The Hamiltonian H_{HF} , which is called the Hartree-Fock Hamiltonian, thus corresponds to the core excitation which in the one-body case were assumed to be contained in the renormalized operators.



The diagonalization of H_{HF} provides the Hartree-Fock representation. This is not a very easy task because it is not a linear problem. To see this we write H_{HF} in Dirac notation, i.e.

$$H_{HF} = \sum_{\alpha\beta} |\alpha\rangle \left(\langle \alpha |T|\beta \rangle + \sum_{\gamma} n_{\gamma} \langle \alpha \gamma |V|\beta \gamma \rangle_{a} \right) \langle \beta$$

and the Hartree-Fock representation will be defined by the eigenvectors $\{|i\rangle\}$ given by,

$$H_{HF}|i
angle=arepsilon_i|i
angle$$

To solve this eigenvalue problem we multiply by $\langle \alpha |$ from the left to get,

$$\sum_{\beta} \left(\langle \alpha | T | \beta \rangle + \sum_{\gamma} n_{\gamma} \langle \alpha \gamma | V | \beta \gamma \rangle_{a} \right) \langle \beta | i \rangle = \varepsilon_{i} \langle \alpha | i \rangle$$

and the eigenvectors are obtained by imposing the normalization condition,

$$|i\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} \langle \alpha |i\rangle |\alpha\rangle, \quad \langle i|i\rangle = 1$$

Within the representation $\{|i\rangle\}$ it should be

 $\langle j|H_{HF}|i\rangle = \varepsilon_i\delta_{ij}$

Random Phase Approximation (RPA)



In this Section we will study the dynamics of the (A+2)- and (A-2)-nuclei, that is of two nucleons added or substracted from the core. For this we will write the Hamiltonian in the Hartre-Fock representation which we will label with greek as well as latin letters. It is,

$$H = \sum_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{\alpha} : c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha} : + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \langle \alpha\beta | V | \gamma\delta \rangle : c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} c_{\delta} c_{\gamma} :$$
(7)

where ε_{α} is the Hartre-Fock single-particle energy. The constant energy E_0 , Eq. (6), is not included because all eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian (7) will be referred to the core and, therefore, E_0 plays no role.



To obtain the two-particle energies we evaluate the commutator,

$$\begin{bmatrix} H, c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \left[:c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{i} :, c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijkl} \langle ij|V|kl \rangle \left[:c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{j}^{\dagger} c_{l} c_{k} :, c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} \right]$$
$$= (\varepsilon_{\alpha} + \varepsilon_{\beta}) c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} + (1 - n_{\alpha} - n_{\beta}) \sum_{i < j} \langle ij|V|\alpha\beta \rangle_{a} c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{j}^{\dagger}$$
$$+ \sum_{i < j} \sum_{l} \langle ij|V|\beta l \rangle_{a} :c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{j}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{l} : - \sum_{i < j} \sum_{l} \langle ij|V|\alpha l \rangle_{a} :c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{j}^{\dagger} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} c_{l} :$$

One sees in this equation that the two-particle creation operators are mixed with three-particle one-hole excitations, that is with core excitation components. In the Random Phase Approximation (RPA) one neglects the core excitations, that is terms of the form $\langle n_2 | : c_i^{\dagger} c_j^{\dagger} c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_l : |0\rangle$, because they are supposed to generate states which lie high in the spectrum, thus having little influence over the low-lying two-particle



one gets,

$$\begin{split} \langle n_2 | \left[H, c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} \right] | 0 \rangle = & (E_{n_2} - E_0) \langle n_2 | c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle \\ = & (\varepsilon_{\alpha} + \varepsilon_{\beta}) \langle n_2 | c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle + (1 - n_{\alpha} - n_{\beta}) \sum_{i < j} \langle ij | V | \alpha \beta \rangle_a \langle n_2 | c_i^{\dagger} c_j^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle \end{split}$$

which is the RPA equation. The term $1 - n_{\alpha} - n_{\beta}$ in the RPA equations shows that one can place two particles above the Fermi level, in which case it is $1 - n_{\alpha} - n_{\beta} =$ 1, or below it $(1 - n_{\alpha} - n_{\beta} = -1)$. These two forms of excitations are mixed to each other, given rise to the so-called RPA correlations. This also implies that within the RPA one evaluates simultaneously the (A+2)- and (A-2)-systems and, therefore, there is an influence of one system upon the other.



With $\omega_{n_2} = E_{n_2} - E_0$ the RPA equation can be written in matrix form as

$$\omega_{n_2} \begin{pmatrix} X_{n_2} \\ Y_{n_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -C & -D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_{n_2} \\ Y_{n_2} \end{pmatrix}$$
(10)

where $X_{n_2}(\alpha\beta) = \langle n_2 | c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle$ with α and β particle states and $Y_{n_2}(\alpha\beta) = \langle n_2 | c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle$ but with α and β hole states. In the same fashion the indices of A are all particle states and the indices of D are all hole states. Instead in the matrices B and Cthe indices are mixed. For instance $C(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta) = \langle \gamma\delta | V | \alpha\beta \rangle_a$, where α and β are hole states while γ and δ are particle states. Notice that the minus sign in front of the matrices C and D comes from the factor $1 - n_{\alpha} - n_{\beta}$ in Eq. (9). Due to this, the RPA matrix (10) is not Hermitian and, therefore, the energies ω_{n_2} can become complex quantities. The two-particle state can be written as,

$$|n_2
angle = \sum_{lpha \leq eta} X(lphaeta,n_2) c^\dagger_lpha c^\dagger_eta|0
angle$$

and multiplying by $\langle m_2 |$ one gets

$$\delta_{n_2m_2} = \sum_{lpha \leq eta} X(lphaeta,n_2) \langle m_2 | c^\dagger_lpha c^\dagger_eta | 0
angle$$

since the basis elements form and independent set one finds, comparing with Eq. (13),

$$X(lphaeta,n_2)=(1-n_lpha-n_eta)\langle n_2|c^\dagger_lpha c^\dagger_eta|0
angle^*$$

which is the RPA wave function amplitude.





$$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{p-h phonon operator} & Q^{+} = \sum_{m,i} X_{mi} a_{m}^{+} a_{i} - \sum_{m,i} Y_{mi} a_{i}^{+} a_{m} \\ \hline & & \\ \mbox{H,Q^{+} = $\hbar \omega Q^{+}$} & Q | RPA \rangle = 0 \\ \hline & & \\ \mbox{Fermi Energy} & & \\ \hline & & \\ \mbox{$Fermi Energy$} & \\ \hline & & \\ \mbox{ε_{i}} \\ \hline & \\ \mbox{$RPA equation$} \\ \hline & & \\ \mbox{$\left(\begin{array}{c} A & B \\ B^{*} & A^{*} \end{array} \right) } \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = \hbar \omega \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \\ \hline & \\ \mbox{$A_{minj} = (\varepsilon_{m} - \varepsilon_{i})\delta_{mn}\delta_{ij} + \widetilde{v}_{nijm}} \\ \hline & \\ \mbox{$B_{minj} = \widetilde{v}_{mnij}$} \end{array} \end{array}$$



-Damkoff Approximation (TDA)

The difference between TDA and RPA is that we use ➤The simple particle-hole vacuum |HF> in TDA ➤The correlated ground state in the RPA





mm-Dankoff Approximation (TDA)

We will concentrate in the shell model in this course, and here one has either two-particle or two-hole excitations, and the (A+2) and (A-2) systems are independent of each other. The shell model cases are actually particular cases of the RPA since one gets them by imposing the condition that only particles can occupied particle states and only holes can occupied hole states. This is called Tamm-Damkoff approximation (TDA).

This approximation implies that the matrices B and C vanish in Eq. (10). The particle- and hole-states decoupled and the RPA equation transforms in two TDA equations, one for particle states, i. e.

$$\omega_{n_2} X_{n_2} = A X_{n_2}$$

and the other one for hole states,

$$-\omega_{n_2}Y_{n_2} = DY_{n_2}$$

Since the matrices A and D are Hermitians the energies are real, as they should be.



$$\langle n_2 | \left[H, c^{\dagger}_{\alpha} c^{\dagger}_{\beta} \right] | 0 \rangle = (E_{n_2} - E_0) \langle n_2 | c^{\dagger}_{\alpha} c^{\dagger}_{\beta} | 0 \rangle$$

$$= (\varepsilon_{\alpha} + \varepsilon_{\beta}) \langle n_2 | c^{\dagger}_{\alpha} c^{\dagger}_{\beta} | 0 \rangle + \sum_{i < j} \langle ij | V | \alpha \beta \rangle_a \langle n_2 | c^{\dagger}_i c^{\dagger}_j | 0 \rangle$$

$$(14)$$

which is the TDA equation. It is also the shell model equation, which we will apply in the next Chapter.

For holes

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n_2 | \left[H, c_{\alpha} c_{\beta} \right] | 0 \rangle = & (E_{n_2} - E_0) \langle n_2 | c_{\alpha} c_{\beta} | 0 \rangle \\ = & - \left(\varepsilon_{\alpha} + \varepsilon_{\beta} \right) \langle n_2 | c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle + \sum_{i < j} \langle ij | V | \alpha \beta \rangle_a \langle n_2 | c_i c_j | 0 \rangle \end{aligned}$$



The TDA wave function can be written in the two-particle basis $\{c_{\alpha}^{\dagger}c_{\beta}^{\dagger}|0\rangle\}$, where it should be $\alpha < \beta$ because the states $\alpha\beta$ and $\beta\alpha$ are related by $\{c_{\alpha}^{\dagger}c_{\beta}^{\dagger}|0\rangle\} = \{c_{\beta}^{\dagger}c_{\alpha}^{\dagger}|0\rangle\}$. One thus gets,

$$|n_2\rangle = \sum_{\alpha < \beta} X(\alpha\beta; n_2) c^{\dagger}_{\alpha} c^{\dagger}_{\beta} |0\rangle$$
(16)

The TDA eigenvectors $\langle n_2 | c^{\dagger}_{\alpha} c^{\dagger}_{\beta} | 0 \rangle$ and the wave function amplitudes X are related by,

$$\langle m_2 | n_2
angle = \delta_{m_2 n_2} = \sum_{lpha < eta} X(lpha eta; n_2) \langle m_2 | c^\dagger_lpha c^\dagger_eta | 0
angle$$

since the basis states $c^{\dagger}_{\alpha}c^{\dagger}_{\beta}|0\rangle$ form an independent set of unit vectors, it should be $X(\alpha\beta;n_2) = \langle n_2 | c^{\dagger}_{\alpha}c^{\dagger}_{\beta} | 0 \rangle^*$