



The reef fish assemblage of the Laje de Santos Marine State Park, Southwestern Atlantic: annotated checklist with comments on abundance, distribution, trophic structure, symbiotic associations, and conservation

OSMAR J. LUIZ JR.^{1,2,7}, ALFREDO CARVALHO-FILHO^{2,3}, CARLOS E. L. FERREIRA⁴,
SERGIO R. FLOETER⁵, JOÃO LUIZ GASPARINI⁶ & IVAN SAZIMA^{1,8}

¹Depto. de Zoologia e Museu de História Natural, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brasil.
E-mail: osmarluzjr@terra.com.br; isazima@unicamp.com

²Instituto Laje Viva, www.lajeviva.org.br: Santos, SP, Brasil

³Fish Bizz Ltda. Rua Moncorvo Filho 51, São Paulo, SP, Brasil. E-mail: alfie@telnet.com.br

⁴Depto. de Biologia Marinha, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Niterói, RJ, Brasil. E-mail: cadu@vm.uff.br

⁵Lab. de Biogeografia e Macroecologia Marinha, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, SC, Brasil.
E-mail: floeter@ccb.ufsc.br

⁶Depto. de Ecologia e Recursos Naturais, Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Av. Fernando Ferrari 514, Vitória, ES, Brasil.
E-mail: gaspa.vix@terra.com.br

⁷Corresponding author

⁸Retired and associated as voluntary researcher

Abstract

A check-list containing 196 species of reef fishes recorded at the Laje de Santos Marine State Park is presented. Most of them occur along the tropical western Atlantic or occur on both sides of the Atlantic Ocean. A minor part ranges to the temperate rocky reefs of Patagonia or are endemics to southeastern Brazil. *Moringua edwardsi*, *Antennarius multiocellatus*, *Scorpaena dispar*, *Aulostomus strigosus*, *Lutjanus buccanella*, *Mulloidichthys martinicus* and *Halichoeres penrosei* have here their ranges extended southwards to the São Paulo coast. Basic data on species abundance at the study site, distribution of species between habitat types, trophic structure, feeding symbiotic associations, and conservation are commented upon.

Key words: Western South Atlantic, Brazil, rocky reefs, reef fishes, species list and distribution, zoogeography, conservation, trophic structure, feeding symbioses

Resumo

Uma lista com 196 espécies de peixes recifais registradas é apresentada para o Parque Estadual Marinho da Laje de Santos. A maioria das espécies tem ocorrência comum a todo o Atlântico Ocidental tropical ou ocorre nos dois lados do Oceano Atlântico. Uma parte menor das espécies tem distribuição em comum com os recifes rochosos temperados da Patagônia ou é endêmica ao Sudeste do Brasil. *Moringua edwardsi*, *Antennarius multiocellatus*, *Scorpaena dispar*, *Aulostomus strigosus*, *Lutjanus buccanella*, *Mulloidichthys martinicus* and *Halichoeres penrosei* tem aqui seu limite meridional de distribuição estendido ao Estado de São Paulo. Informações básicas sobre abundância das espécies no local de estudo e sua distribuição entre os diferentes tipos de habitats, estrutura trófica, associações alimentares simbióticas e conservação são aqui comentadas.

Introduction

The Laje de Santos State Marine Park (*Parque Estadual Marinho da Laje de Santos - PEMLS*) is located on the southeastern coast of Brazil ($24^{\circ}15'S$; $46^{\circ}10'W$), 36 km off the city of Santos, São Paulo State (Fig. 1). It consists of an uninhabited islet and several sparse rocky reefs with extensive intervening sand bottoms. The maximum depth is about 45 m. The subtidal substrate is composed of granitic boulders of varying sizes and shapes that delineate a steep profile (Fig. 2). The rocky substrate is mainly covered with patches of brown and red algae, the zoanthid *Palythoa caribeorum*, hydrozoans, ascidians, octocorals and sparse colonies of the scleractinian corals *Madracis decactis* and *Mussismilia hispida*. The local setting is a transitional tropical-subtropical environment that fits the definition of a high latitude 'marginal' reef site (Perry & Larcombe 2003) where hard corals may occur only as isolated colonies on the exposed bedrock.




FIGURE 1. The Laje de Santos Marine State Park location in western South Atlantic. Photo: C.L.B. Francini.

The area is of particular interest as this is the only Marine Protected Area totally closed to fishing in the most populated and developed region of Brazil, allowing researchers to evaluate fishing impacts on local rocky reefs (Floeter *et al.* 2006). Since 1993 a 5,000 ha area around the Laje de Santos Island was declared a protected area. After some years as a "paper park" with low or even inexistent effective enforcement, the study site is experiencing a great increase in the effort to eradicate fishing in the last four years. Since 2003, a new official government management policy and the rise of an active NGO dedicated to protect the Park brought new boats, equipment and personnel (volunteer and staff) extensively engaged in the inspection of the Park boundaries. Recreational activities allowed in the Park include scuba diving and boating. Anchoring is not allowed anymore and mooring buoys have been used by dive boats.

Despite a few recent publications on reef fish taxonomy (Moura 1995), behavior (Sazima *et al.* 2000) and a species list of benthic algae (Amado-Filho *et al.* 2006), the Laje de Santos remains largely unknown biologically and a comprehensive list of reef fish species occurring in the Marine Park is still lacking. The knowl-

edge of the species composition of a community is instrumental to provide a baseline for future management and a starting point to produce scientific information needed for the process of designing and evaluating Marine Protected Areas, such as biomass, dispersal patterns, recruitment dynamics, trophic interactions and habitat preferences of the resident organisms (Agardy 2000, Craig *et al.* 2004).

The present study provides the first comprehensive checklist of the reef fish species that occur at the Laje de Santos Marine State Park. In addition, patterns of species distribution, abundance, zoogeography, trophic types, representative symbiotic associations, and conservation issues are commented upon.

Material and methods

The species list results from fishes observed, photographed, and collected by the authors, as well as museums vouchers and reliable literature records. Bony fishes are listed in the phylogenetic order of families following Nelson (2006); elasmobranchs are listed following Compagno (1999). Species are organized in alphabetical order within families. Recent changes in the classification of the Serranidae as proposed by Craig and Hastings (2007) and Smith and Craig (2007) are adopted. Among these are the resurrection of the family Epinephelidae as distinct from Serranidae; the placement of the genus *Paranthias* within *Cephalopholis*; the change from genus *Epinephelus* to *Mycteroperca* for *M. marginata* (formerly *E. marginatus*) and the genus change of deep-bodied groupers of the *Epinephelus niveatus* complex to the resurrected genus *Hyporthodus*. In the list, we included the following information for each species:

Abundance. an indicator of the relative abundance in the last five years, period when the first author started quantitative visual censuses of fishes using SCUBA in the study area and in more than thirty years of observations on fishes, by SCUBA and fishing, done by the second author; this indicator is based on a diver's likelihood of recording a species in its normal habitat and depth range on any given dive (modified from Humann & DeLoach 2002, Feitoza *et al.* 2003), where: AB = abundant (several sightings of many individuals – at least 50 – are expected on nearly every dive), VC = very common (several sightings are expected on nearly every dive, but not necessarily of many individuals), CO = common (sights are frequent, but not necessarily expected on every dive), OC = occasional (sightings are not unusual, but are not expected on a regular basis), UN = unusual (sights occurs less than occasionally), and RA = rare (sights are exceptional).

Habitat and distribution. The particular place where a species has usually been found; we arbitrarily stipulated different habitats types based on physiographic factors like substrate type and depth (fig. 2), where: Sh = Shallow reef (rocky substrate from 0 to 12 m depth), RS = Reef slope (rocky substrate from 13 to 20 m depth), SB = Sand bottom (sandy substrate adjacent to the rocky reef slope), WC = Water column (pelagic environment from 0 to 10 m depth, adjacent to the rocky reef but distant at least ~3 m from the bottom), and DR = Deep reef (rocky substrate in the range of 30–45 m depth).

Geographic range of the species. namely: Br = Brazilian province (*sensu* Briggs 1974), CE = Central Atlantic (Islands of St. Helena and Ascension), CT = Circumtropical, EA = Eastern Atlantic, Pat = Patagonian (occur primarily in the temperate rocky reefs south to Argentina), SCa = Southern Caribbean (Coast of Venezuela, Trinidad and Tobago and other islands of the low lesser Antilles), SE = Southeastern Brazil (endemic from the region that encompass 20°S to 27°S in the Western Atlantic), TA = Trans-Atlantic (occur at both sides of the Atlantic Ocean), and WA = Western Atlantic (occur in Northern and Southern West Atlantic).

Trophic category. assessed from direct behavioral observations and from the literature (Randall 1967, 1996, Carvalho-Filho 1999, Ferreira *et al.* 2004), where: CAR = Carnivores (eat a variety of mobile organisms, including invertebrates and fishes), MIF = Mobile invertebrate feeders (feed primarily on small benthic mobile invertebrates like mollusks, crustaceans, worms, etc. associated to the hard- or nearby soft-substrate), OMN = Omnivores (feed on variety of organisms, including animal and vegetal), PIS = Piscivores (feed only or mostly on live fishes), PLK = Planktivores (feed primarily on macro- and micro-zooplankton), ROVH =

Roving herbivores (non-territorial, large herbivores which includes in their diet a rich mass of detritus, turf algae and macroalgae), SIF = Sessile invertebrate feeders (feeds on a array of sessile benthic invertebrates like cnidarians, bryozoans, ascidians and sponges that are most associated to hard substrata), and TERH = Territorial herbivores (with a diet composed mainly by turf algae farmed within a vigorously defended territory).




FIGURE 2. Habitat types found at the Laje de Santos Marine State Park.

Record type. how the species was recorded, where: COL = Collected, MUS = Museum voucher, LIT = Literature, PHO = Photograph, and SIG = Sighting. For specimens deposited in museum collections, the institution and voucher number are provided in the appendix.

We define reef fishes here as those species that are primarily associated with hard substrata, and which depend on the reef or it's immediate vicinity for shelter and food during any phase of its post-settlement life. We also consider as reef fishes the epipelagic species that regularly visit reefs in search of food, cleaning services, and reproduction. For the purpose of describing the occurrence patterns of fish species in the different habitats, a cluster analysis based on a species presence/absence matrix was performed. The Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index was used, and habitats were clustered according to the UPGMA method (Pielou 1984). The abundance patterns of reef fish in the different habitats, considering variables such as exposure degree, substrate complexity and depth will be presented elsewhere.

Results and discussion

Species composition

A total of 196 reef fish species in 124 genera in 66 families were recorded at the Laje de Santos (Table I). The most species rich families were Carangidae (16), Epinephelidae (12), Labridae (10), Pomacentridae (8) and Scaridae (8). *Caranx*, *Gymnothorax*, *Halichoeres*, *Mycteroperca* and *Sparisoma*, with 5 species each were the most species rich genera, followed by *Chromis*, *Haemulon*, and *Seriola* with 4 species. Twelve species were abundant (6%) (fig. 3), 26 (13%) were very common, 45 (23%) were common, 61 (32%) were occasional, 14 (7%) were unusual and 38 (19%) were rare.

TABLE I. List of families and species, habitat, abundance, geographic range, trophic category and record status of the reef fishes recorded at the Laje de Santos Marine State Park. Families of elasmobranchs are arranged following Compagno (1999) and bony fishes are arranged according to Nelson (2006); genera and species are arranged in alphabetical order. **Habitat:** DR = Deep Reef; RS = Reef Slope; SB = Sand Bottom; SH = Shallow Reef; WC = Water Column. **Occurrence:** AB = Abundant; CO = Common; OC = Occasional; RA = Rare; UN = Unusual; VC = Very Common. **Geographic Range:** Br = Brazilian Province; CE = Central Atlantic; CT = Circumtropical. EA = Eastern Atlantic; SCa = Southern Caribbean; SE = Southeastern Brazil; TA = Trans-Atlantic; WA = Western Atlantic. **Trophic Category:** CAR = Carnivore; MIF = Mobile Invertebrate Feeder; OMN = Omnivore; PIS = Piscivore; PLK = Planktivore; ROVH = Roaming Herbivore; SIF = Sessile Invertebrate Feeder; TERH = Territorial Herbivore. **Record Type:** COL = Collected; LIT = *in litteris*; MUS = Museum Voucher; PHO = Photograph; SIG = Sighting.

Family and species	Habitat	Occurrence	Geog. Range	Trophic Category	Record Type
ODONTASPIDIDAE					
<i>Carcharias taurus</i> Rafinesque, 1810	DR	UN	CT	PIS	SIG
ALOPIIDAE					
<i>Alopias vulpinus</i> (Bonaterre, 1788)	WC	RA	CT	PIS	SIG
CARCHARHINIDAE					
<i>Carcharhinus brevipinna</i> (Muller & Henle, 1839)	WC	RA	CT	PIS	COL, PHO
<i>Carcharhinus falciformis</i> (Bibron, 1839)	WC	RA	CT	PIS	COL, PHO
<i>Carcharhinus limbatus</i> (Muller & Henle, 1839)	WC	RA	CT	PIS	COL, PHO
<i>Carcharhinus longimanus</i> (Poey, 1861)	WC	RA	CT	PIS	PHO
RHINOBATIDAE					
<i>Zapteryx brevirostris</i> (Müller & Henle, 1841)	SB	RA	WA	MIF	SIG
GYMNURIDAE					
<i>Gymnura altavela</i> (L., 1758)	RS, SB	RA	TA	MIF	PHO
DASYATIDAE					
<i>Dasyatis centroura</i> (Mitchill, 1815)	RS, SB	OC	TA	MIF	PHO
<i>Dasyatis hypostigma</i> Santos & Carvalho, 2004	RS, SB	RA	SE	MIF	PHO
MYLIOBATIDAE					
<i>Aetobatus narinari</i> (Euphrasen, 1790)	WC	CO	CT	MIF	PHO
MOBULIDAE					
<i>Manta birostris</i> (Walbaum, 1792)	WC	OC	CT	PLK	PHO
<i>Mobula hypostoma</i> (Bancroft, 1831)	WC	RA	CT	PLK	MUS, SIG
<i>Mobula japonica</i> (Muller & Henle, 1841)	WC	RA	CT	PLK	SIG
<i>Mobula tarapacana</i> (Philippi, 1892)	WC	RA	CT	PLK	LIT*
* Gadig & Sampaio, 2002					
MORINGUIDAE					
<i>Moringua edwardsi</i> (Jordan & Bollman, 1889)	SH, RS	UN	WA	MIF	PHO
MURAENIDAE					
<i>Gymnothorax funebris</i> Ranzani, 1839	SH, RS	OC	WA	CAR	MUS, PHO
<i>Gymnothorax miliaris</i> (Kaup, 1856)	SH, RS	CO	TA	CAR	COL, PHO
<i>Gymnothorax moringa</i> (Cuvier, 1829)	SH, RS	CO	WA+CE	CAR	COL, PHO
<i>Gymnothorax ocellatus</i> Agassiz, 1831	SB	RA	WA	CAR	PHO
<i>Gymnothorax vicinus</i> (Castelnaul, 1855)	SH, RS	CO	TA	CAR	COL, PHO
<i>Muraena retifera</i> Goode & Bean, 1882	RS, DR	OC	WA	CAR	COL, PHO

to be continued.

TABLE 1. (continued)

Family and species	Habitat	Occurrence	Geog. Range	Trophic Category	Record Type
OPHICHTHIDAE					
<i>Ahlia egmontis</i> (Jordan, 1884)	SH, RS	UN	WA	MIF	COL, PHO
<i>Myrichthys ocellatus</i> (LeSueur, 1825)	SH	CO	WA	MIF	PHO
<i>Myrichthys breviceps</i> (Richardson, 1848)	SH, RS	RA	WA	MIF	PHO
<i>Ophichthus ophis</i> (L., 1758)	SB	RA	TA	PIS	MUS
CLUPEIDAE					
<i>Harengula clupeola</i> (Cuvier, 1829)	WC	OC	WA	PLK	COL
<i>Sardinella janeiro</i> (Eingenmann, 1894)	WC	OC	WA	PLK	MUS
SYNODONTIDAE					
<i>Synodus foetens</i> (L., 1776)	SH, RS, SB	UN	WA	PIS	MUS
<i>Synodus intermedius</i> (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)	SH, RS, SB	VC	WA	PIS	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Synodus synodus</i> (L., 1758)	SH, RS, SB	CO	TA	PIS	COL, PHO
BATRACHOIDIDAE					
<i>Porichthys porosissimus</i> (Cuvier, 1829)	SB	RA	SE+Pa	CAR	MUS
ANTENNARIIDAE					
<i>Antennarius multiocellatus</i> (Valenciennes, 1837)	SH	UN	WA	CAR	PHO
OGCOEPHALIDAE					
<i>Ogcocephalus vespertilio</i> (L., 1758)	RS, SB	UN	WA	CAR	PHO
BELONIDAE					
<i>Tylosurus acus</i> (Lacepede, 1803)	WC	OC	CT	PIS	MUS, PHO
HEMIRAMPHIDAE					
<i>Hemiramphus balao</i> (Lesueur, 1821)	WC	OC	TA	OMN	SIG
<i>Hemiramphus brasiliensis</i> (L., 1758)	WC	OC	TA	OMN	SIG
HOLOCENTRIDAE					
<i>Holocentrus adscensionis</i> (Osbeck, 1765)	SH, RS	VC	TA	MIF	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Myripristis jacobus</i> Cuvier, 1829	SH	CO	TA	PLK	MUS, COL, PHO
SYNGNATHIDAE					
<i>Hippocampus reidi</i> Ginsburg, 1933	RS	RA	WA	PLK	PHO
<i>Micrognathus crinitus</i> (Jenyns, 1842)	RS	OC	WA	PLK	PHO
AULOSTOMIDAE					
<i>Aulostomus strigosus</i> Wheeler, 1955	SH	RA	TA	CAR	PHO
FISTULARIIDAE					
<i>Fistularia tabacaria</i> L., 1758	RS, SB	OC	TA	PIS	SIG
DACTYLOPTERIDAE					
<i>Dactylopterus volitans</i> L., 1758	RS, SB	CO	TA	MIF	MUS, COL, PHO
SCORPAENIDAE					
<i>Scorpaena dispar</i> Longley & Hildebrand, 1940	SH, RS, DR	OC	WA	CAR	PHO
<i>Scorpaena isthmensis</i> Meek & Hildebrand, 1928	?	RA	WA	CAR	MUS
<i>Scorpaena plumieri</i> Bloch, 1789	SH, RS, DR	OC	WA+CA	CAR	COL, PHO
<i>Scorpaenodes tredecimspinosus</i> (Metzelaar, 1919)	SH, RS	CO	WA	CAR	COL, PHO

to be continued.

TABLE 1. (continued)

Family and species	Habitat	Occurrence	Geog. Range	Trophic Category	Record Type
SERRANIDAE					
<i>Acanthistius brasilianus</i> (Cuvier, 1828)	RS, DR	CO	SE+Pat	CAR	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Acanthistius patachonicus</i> (Jenyns, 1840)	DR	RA	SE+Pat	CAR	COL, PHO
<i>Diplectrum formosum</i> (L., 1766)	SB	UN	WA	CAR	PHO
<i>Dules auriga</i> Cuvier, 1829	DR	CO	SE+Pat	CAR	COL, PHO
<i>Pronotogrammus martinicensis</i> (Guichenot, 1868)	DR	UN	WA	PLK	SIG
<i>Serranus baldwini</i> (Evermann & Marsh, 1899)	SH, RS	CO	WA	CAR	MUS, PHO
EPINEPHELIDAE					
<i>Cephalopholis fulva</i> (L., 1758)	?	RA	WA	CAR	MUS
<i>Cephalopholis furcifer</i> (Valenciennes, 1828)	WC	CO	TA	PLK	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Epinephelus adscensionis</i> (Osbeck, 1765)	SH, RS	RA	TA	CAR	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Epinephelus itajara</i> Lichtenstein, 1822	SH, RS	OC	TA	CAR	PHO
<i>Epinephelus morio</i> (Valenciennes, 1828)	RS	UN	WA	CAR	COL, PHO
<i>Hyporthodus flavolimbatus</i> (Poey, 1865)	DR	OC	WA	CAR	COL, PHO
<i>Hyporthodus niveatus</i> (Valenciennes, 1828)	SH, RS, DR	CO	WA	CAR	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Mycteroperca acutirostris</i> (Valenciennes, 1828)	SH, RS	VC	WA	PIS	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Mycteroperca bonaci</i> (Poey, 1860)	SH, RS	RA	WA	PIS	COL, PHO
<i>Mycteroperca interstitialis</i> (Poey, 1860)	SH, RS	CO	WA	PIS	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Mycteroperca marginata</i> (Lowe, 1834)	SH, SB, RS, DR	VC	SE+Pat+ EA	CAR	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i> (L., 1758)	SH, RS, DR	RA	WA	PIS	COL, PHO
PRIACANTHIDAE					
<i>Cookeolus japonicus</i> (Cuvier, 1829)	DR	OC	CT	PLK	COL, PHO
<i>Heteropriacanthus cruentatus</i> (Lacepède, 1801)	SH, RS	OC	CT	PLK	COL, PHO
<i>Priacanthus arenatus</i> Cuvier, 1829	SH, RS, DR	OC	TA	MIF	COL, PHO
APOGONIDAE					
<i>Apogon americanus</i> Castelnau, 1855	SH, RS	CO	Br	PLK	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Apogon pseudomaculatus</i> Longley, 1932	SH, RS	CO	TA	PLK	MUS, COL, PHO
MALACANTHIDAE					
<i>Caulolatilus chrysops</i> (Valenciennes, 1833)	SB	RA	WA	CAR	MUS
<i>Malacanthus plumieri</i> (Bloch, 1786)	SB	CO	WA+CE	CAR	MUS, PHO
POMATOMIDAE					
<i>Pomatomus saltatrix</i> (L., 1766)	WC	OC	CT	CAR	COL, PHO
ECHENEIDAE					
<i>Echeneis naucrates</i> (L., 1758)	WC	OC	CT	CAR	COL, PHO
<i>Remora albescens</i> (Temminck & Schlegel, 1845)	WC	OC	CT	CAR	PHO
<i>Remora remora</i> (L., 1758)	WC	OC	CT	MIF	PHO
RACHYCENTRIDAE					
<i>Rachycentron canadum</i> (L., 1766)	SB	OC	CT	CAR	COL, PHO

to be continued.

TABLE 1. (continued)

Family and species	Habitat	Occurrence	Geog. Range	Trophic Category	Record Type
CORYPHAENIDAE					
<i>Coryphaena hippurus</i> L., 1758	WC	RA	CT	CAR	COL
CARANGIDAE					
<i>Alectis ciliaris</i> (Bloch, 1787)	WC	CO	CT	CAR	COL, PHO
<i>Caranx bartholomaei</i> (Cuvier, 1833)	WC	OC	WA	PIS	COL, PHO
<i>Caranx crysos</i> (Mitchill, 1815)	WC	OC	TA	CAR	COL, PHO
<i>Caranx hippos</i> (L., 1766)	WC	RA	TA	CAR	COL, PHO
<i>Caranx latus</i> Agassiz, 1831	WC	OC	TA	CAR	MUS, PHO
<i>Caranx ruber</i> (Bloch, 1793)	WC	OC	WA	CAR	PHO
<i>Decapterus macarellus</i> (Cuvier, 1833)	WC	OC	CT	PLK	COL, PHO
<i>Decapterus punctatus</i> (Cuvier, 1829)	WC	UN	TA	PLK	COL, PHO
<i>Pseudocaranx dentex</i> (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)	WC, SH, RS, SB, DR	VC	CT	MIF, PLK	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Seriola dumerilli</i> (Risso, 1810)	WC	CO	CT	CAR	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Seriola fasciata</i> (Bloch, 1793)	WC	UN	TA	CAR	COL, PHO
<i>Seriola lalandi</i> Valenciennes, 1833	WC	OC	CT	CAR	COL, PHO
<i>Seriola rivoliana</i> (Valenciennes, 1833)	WC	OC	CT	PIS	COL, PHO
<i>Trachinotus falcatus</i> (L., 1758)	WC	OC	WA	CAR	PHO
<i>Trachinotus goodei</i> Jordan & Evermann, 1896	WC	VC	WA	CAR	PHO
<i>Uraspis secunda</i> (Poey, 1860)	WC	OC	CT	CAR	COL, PHO
LUTJANIDAE					
<i>Lutjanus analis</i> (Cuvier, 1828)	SH, RS	VC	WA	CAR	PHO
<i>Lutjanus buccanella</i> (Cuvier, 1828)	DR	RA	WA	CAR	COL, PHO
<i>Lutjanus cyanopterus</i> (Cuvier, 1828)	SH, RS, DR	OC	WA	CAR	PHO
<i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i> (Bloch, 1791)	WC	RA	WA	CAR	COL, PHO
<i>Pristipomoides aquilonaris</i> Goode & Bean, 1896	DR	OC	WA	PIS	COL, PHO
<i>Rhomboplites aurorubens</i> (Cuvier, 1829)	WC	CO	WA	CAR, PLK	MUS, COL, PHO
LOBOTIDAE					
<i>Lobotes surinamensis</i> (Bloch, 1790)	WC	OC	CT	CAR	COL, PHO
HAEMULIDAE					
<i>Anisotremus surinamensis</i> (Bloch, 1791)	SH, RS	VC	WA	MIF	COL, PHO
<i>Anisotremus virginicus</i> (L., 1758)	SH, RS, WC, DR	AB	WA	MIF	COL, PHO
<i>Haemulon aurolineatum</i> Cuvier, 1830	SH, RS, WC, DR	AB	WA	MIF	COL, PHO
<i>Haemulon parra</i> (Desmarest, 1823)	RS	OC	WA	MIF	COL, PHO
<i>Haemulon plumieri</i> (Lacepède, 1801)	RS	CO	WA	MIF	COL, PHO
<i>Haemulon steindachneri</i> (Jordan & Gilbert, 1882)	RS	CO	WA	MIF	COL, PHO
SPARIDAE					
<i>Calamus bajonado</i> (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)	RS	RA	WA	MIF	COL, PHO
<i>Calamus mu</i> Randall & Caldwell, 1966	RS, SB	RA	SE	MIF	MUS

to be continued.

TABLE 1. (continued)

Family and species	Habitat	Occurrence	Geog. Range	Trophic Category	Record Type
<i>Calamus pennatula</i> Guichenot, 1868	SB	CO	WA	MIF	COL, PHO
<i>Diplodus argenteus</i> (Valenciennes, 1830)	WC, SH, RS	AB	WA	OMN	COL, PHO
<i>Pagrus pagrus</i> (L., 1758)	SB, DR	VC	TA	MIF	MUS, COL, PHO
SCIAENIDAE					
<i>Odontoscion dentex</i> (Cuvier, 1830)	SH, RS	CO	WA	CAR	PHO
<i>Pareques acuminatus</i> (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)	SH, RS	CO	WA	CAR	MUS, PHO
MULLIDAE					
<i>Mulloidichthys martinicus</i> (Cuvier, 1829)	RS, SB	RA	TA	MIF	PHO
<i>Pseudupeneus maculatus</i> (Bloch, 1793)	RS, SB	VC	WA	MIF	MUS, COL, PHO
PEMPHERIDAE					
<i>Pempheris schomburgki</i> Müller & Troschel, 1848	SH	VC	WA	PLK	MUS, PHO
CHAETODONTIDAE					
<i>Chaetodon sedentarius</i> Poey, 1860	SH, RS	UN	WA	MIF	MUS, PHO
<i>Chaetodon striatus</i> L., 1758	SH, RS	VC	WA	SIF	MUS, PHO
<i>Prognathodes brasiliensis</i> Burgess, 2001	DR	OC	Br	MIF	PHO
<i>Prognathodes guyanensis</i> (Durand, 1960)	DR	RA	WA	MIF	PHO
POMACANTHIDAE					
<i>Centropyge aurantonotus</i> Burgess, 1974	SH, RS	OC	TA	TERH	SIG
<i>Holacanthus ciliaris</i> (L., 1758)	SH, RS	OC	WA	SIF	PHO
<i>Holacanthus tricolor</i> (Bloch, 1795)	SH	OC	WA	SIF	PHO
<i>Pomacanthus paru</i> (Bloch, 1787)	SH, RS, WC	VC	WA	OMN	PHO
KYPHOSIDAE					
<i>Kyphosus incisor</i> (Cuvier, 1831)	SH, RS, WC	AB	TA	ROVH	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Kyphosus sectator</i> (L., 1766)	SH, RS, WC	AB	TA	ROVH	COL, PHO
POMACENTRIDAE					
<i>Abudefduf saxatilis</i> (L., 1758)	SH, WC	AB	CT	OMN	COL, PHO
<i>Chromis</i> cf. <i>enchrysurus</i> Jordan & Gilbert, 1882	RS, DR	OC	WA	MIF	PHO
<i>Chromis flavicauda</i> (Günther, 1880)	RS	CO	Br	PLK	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Chromis jubauna</i> Moura, 1995	RS, DR	AB	Br+SCa	PLK	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Chromis multilineata</i> (Guichenot, 1853)	WC, SH	AB	TA	PLK	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Stegastes fuscus</i> (Cuvier, 1830)	SH, RS	AB	Br	TERH	COL, PHO
<i>Stegastes pictus</i> (Castelnau, 1855)	RS	CO	Br+SCa	TERH	COL, PHO
<i>Stegastes</i> cf. <i>variabilis</i> (Castelnau, 1855)	SH, RS	CO	WA	TERH	COL, PHO
LABRIDAE					
<i>Bodianus pulchellus</i> (Poey, 1860)	SH, RS, DR	VC	TA	MIF	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Bodianus rufus</i> (L., 1758)	SH, RS	VC	WA	MIF	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Clepticus brasiliensis</i> Heiser, Moura & Robertson, 2000	WC	OC	Br	PLK	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Doratonotus megalepis</i> Günther, 1862	RS	RA	TA	MIF	PHO
<i>Halichoeres</i> sp. n.	SB, DR	CO	SE	MIF	COL, PHO

to be continued.

TABLE 1. (continued)

Family and species	Habitat	Occurrence	Geog. Range	Trophic Category	Record Type
<i>Halichoeres brasiliensis</i> (Bloch, 1791)	SH, RS, SB	CO	Br	MIF	COL, PHO
<i>Halichoeres dimidiatus</i> (Agassiz, 1831)	RS	VC	Br	MIF	COL, PHO
<i>Halichoeres penrosei</i> (Starks, 1913)	SH, RS	OC	Br	MIF	SIG
<i>Halichoeres poeyi</i> (Steindachner, 1867)	SH, RS	AB	WA	MIF	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Thalassoma noronhanum</i> (Boulenger, 1890)	WC, SH	OC	Br	PLK	MUS, PHO
SCARIDAE					
<i>Cryptotomus roseus</i> Cope, 1871	RS	CO	WA	ROVH	PHO
<i>Scarus trispinosus</i> Valenciennes, 1840	SH	RA	Br	ROVH	PHO
<i>Scarus zelindae</i> Moura, Figueiredo & Sazima, 2001	SH, RS	CO	Br	ROVH	PHO
<i>Sparisoma amplum</i> (Ranzani, 1842)	SH, RS	CO	Br	ROVH	PHO
<i>Sparisoma axillare</i> (Steindachner, 1878)	SH, RS	VC	Br	ROVH	COL, PHO
<i>Sparisoma frondosum</i> (Agassiz 1831)	SH, RS	VC	Br+SCa	ROVH	PHO
<i>Sparisoma radians</i> (Valenciennes, 1840)	RS	CO	WA	ROVH	MUS, SIG
<i>Sparisoma tuiupiranga</i> Gasparini, Joyeux & Floeter, 2003	RS	VC	SE	ROVH	PHO
PINGUIPEDIDAE					
<i>Pinguipes brasilianus</i> Cuvier, 1829	DR	OC	SE+Pat	CAR	PHO
TRIPTERYGIIDAE					
<i>Enneanectes altivelis</i> Rosenblatt, 1960	SH, RS	CO	WA	MIF	COL
LABRISOMIDAE					
<i>Labrisomus nuchipinnis</i> (Quoy & Gaimard, 1824)	SH	VC	TA	MIF	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Labrisomus kalisherai</i> (Jordan, 1904)	SH	OC	WA	MIF	PHO
<i>Malacoctenus delalandii</i> (Valenciennes, 1836)	SH	CO	WA	MIF	PHO
<i>Starksia brasiliensis</i> (Gilbert, 1900)	SH	CO	Br	MIF	PHO
CHAENOPSIDAE					
<i>Emblemariopsis signifera</i> (Ginsburg, 1942)	SH, RS	VC	WA	MIF	MUS, COL, PHO
BLENNIIDAE					
<i>Hypleurochilus fissicornis</i> (Quoy & Gaimard, 1824)	SH	OC	Br	MIF	PHO
<i>Hypsoblennius invemar</i> Smith-Vaniz & Acero, 1980	SH	CO	WA	MIF	PHO
<i>Ophioblennius trinitatis</i> Miranda-Ribeiro, 1919	SH	RA	Br	TERH	MUS, SIG
<i>Parablennius marmoratus</i> (Poey, 1876)	SH	VC	WA	MIF	PHO
<i>Parablennius pilicornis</i> (Cuvier, 1829)	SH, RS, DR	AB	TA	MIF	MUS, COL, PHO
<i>Scartella cristata</i> (L., 1758)	SH	OC	CT	TERH	MUS, COL, PHO
CALLYONIMIDAE					
<i>Callionymus bairdi</i> Jordan, 1887	SH, RS	CO	WA	MIF	COL
GOBIIDAE					
<i>Coryphopterus glaucofraenum</i> Gill, 1863	RS, SB	VC	WA	OMN	COL, PHO
<i>Ctenogobius saepepallens</i> (Gilbert & Randall, 1968)	RS, SB	OC	WA	OMN	SIG
<i>Elacatinus figaro</i> Sazima, Moura & Rosa, 1997	SH, RS	VC	Br	MIF	COL, PHO

to be continued.

TABLE 1. (continued)

Family and species	Habitat	Occurrence	Geog. Range	Trophic Category	Record Type
<i>Gnatholepis thompsoni</i> Jordan, 1902	RS, SB	OC	TA	OMN	SIG
MICRODESMIDAE					
<i>Ptereleotris randalli</i> Gasparini, Rocha & Floeter, 2001	SB	CO	Br+SCa	MIF	PHO
EPHIPPIDAE					
<i>Chaetodipterus faber</i> (Broussonet, 1782)	WC	CO	WA	SIF	COL, PHO
ACANTHURIDAE					
<i>Acanthurus bahianus</i> Castelnau, 1855	SH	CO	WA	ROVH	COL, PHO
<i>Acanthurus coeruleus</i> Bloch & Schneider, 1801	SH	RA	WA	ROVH	PHO
<i>Acanthurus chirurgus</i> (Bloch, 1787)	SH, RS	AB	TA	ROVH	COL, PHO
<i>Acanthurus monroviae</i> Steindachner, 1876	SH, RS	OC	SE+EA	ROVH	PHO
SPHYRAENIDAE					
<i>Sphyaena barracuda</i> (Edwards, 1771)	WC	RA	CT	PIS	PHO
<i>Sphyaena tome</i> Fowler, 1903	WC	OC	SE	PIS	PHO
SCOMBRIDAE					
<i>Euthynnus alleteratus</i> (Rafinesque, 1810)	WC	OC	TA	CAR	PHO
BOTHIDAE					
<i>Bothus maculiferus</i> (Poey, 1860)	SB	OC	WA	CAR	PHO
<i>Bothus ocellatus</i> (Agassiz, 1831)	SB	CO	WA	CAR	PHO
BALISTIDAE					
<i>Balistes vetula</i> L., 1758	SH, RS	OC	TA	MIF	PHO
<i>Melichthys niger</i> (Bloch, 1786)	WC	RA	CT	OMN	SIG
MONACANTHIDAE					
<i>Aluterus monoceros</i> (L., 1758)	SH, RS, WC	UN	CT	CAR	PHO
<i>Aluterus scriptus</i> (Osbeck, 1765)	SH, RS	OC	CT	SIF	SIG
<i>Cantherhines macrocerus</i> (Hollard, 1853)	SH, RS	OC	WA	SIF	PHO
<i>Cantherhines pullus</i> (Ranzani, 1842)	SH	UN	TA	OMN	MUS, SIG
<i>Stephanolepis hispidus</i> (L., 1766)	SH, RS	UN	TA	MIF	SIG
OSTRACIIDAE					
<i>Acanthostracion polygonius</i> Poey, 1876	RS	CO	WA	SIF	PHO
TETRAODONTIDAE					
<i>Canthigaster figueiredoi</i> Moura & Castro, 2002	SH, RS	VC	Br+SCa	MIF	MUS, PHO
<i>Sphoeroides spengleri</i> (Bloch, 1785)	SH, RS	VC	WA	MIF	MUS, COL, PHO
DIODONTIDAE					
<i>Chilomycterus spinosus</i> (L., 1758)	SH, RS	OC	WA	MIF	MUS, SIG
<i>Diodon hystrix</i> L., 1758	RS	OC	CT	MIF	SIG
MOLIDAE					
<i>Mola mola</i> (L., 1758)	WC	RA	CT	CAR	PHO



FIGURE 3. Some abundant fish species at the Laje de Santos Marine State Park. The tomtate grunt *Haemulon aurolineatum* (a); adult and juvenile sergeant major *Abudefduf saxatilis* (b); the brown chromis *Chromis multilineata* (c); juvenile dusky damselfish *Stegastes fuscus* (d); intermediate individual of the jubauna reef fish *Chromis jubauna* (e); the silver porgy *Diplodus argenteus* (f); juvenile porkfish *Anisotremus virginicus* (g); the ringneck blenny *Parablennius pili-cornis* (h). Photos: O.J. Luiz Jr, except (e) by L.F. Cassino.

Geographical Distributions and Zoogeography

The relative proportion of geographic distribution types is shown on Fig.4. Forty two percent of the species (86) occur in the entire Western Atlantic, 19% (40) are trans-Atlantic, 18% (37) are circumtropical, and 12% (22) are endemic to the Brazilian coast (Fig. 5), 3% (6) are distributed southwards to temperate Patagonia, 2% (3) are found in the Western Atlantic and the islands of the mid-Atlantic (Ascension and Sta. Helena) and 1% (2) are found in the eastern Atlantic and southeastern coast of Brazil but neither reach northern sites of Brazil and the Northwestern Atlantic. Five species (3%) present a curious distribution pattern: they occur along the Brazilian coast but are limited to the Southern Caribbean in the Northwest Atlantic (coast of Venezuela, Trinidad & Tobago, Barbados and Curacao). It has been hypothesized that these five species have a Brazilian origin and only recently crossed to the north of the Amazon Barrier (Joyeux *et al.* 2001, Rocha 2003).

Perhaps the most surprising and unexpected finding at the Laje de Santos Marine State Park was the occurrence of *Acanthurus monroviae* individuals (fig. 7a), a surgeonfish thought to be restricted to the Eastern Atlantic. This record was described in detail by Luiz Jr. *et al.* (2004).




FIGURE 4. Relative proportions of geographic distribution types of the species observed at the Laje de Santos Marine State Park. Br = Brazilian Province; CE = Central Atlantic; CT = Circumtropical. EA = Eastern Atlantic; SCA = Southern Caribbean; SE = Southeastern Brazil; TA = Trans-Atlantic; WA = Western Atlantic.

Despite the position of the study site at subtropical latitudes, the local reef fish fauna more closely resembles that of the tropical Western Atlantic and Northeastern Brazilian coast than that of the southern temperate reefs (Floeter *et al.* 2008). Due to the prevailing Brazil Current, which flows southwards from low latitudes, superficial warm waters continuously reach the Laje de Santos Marine Park, providing larval supply and a suitable thermal range for tropical reef species. Colder waters are also present at the study site due to a seasonal upwelling that brings cold (14-18°C) deep waters from the shelf slope (Campos *et al.* 1995). Cold waters are usually restricted to the deepest parts of the reefs, providing a suitable environment for temperate species (fig. 6). Thus, the species that range south to Patagonia and the subtropical endemics were observed mostly on, or are even restricted to the deep reefs at our study site.

The mixture of different conditions in ecological transitional areas is regarded as a major factor for a high diversity in SE Brazilian reef systems (Floeter *et al.* 2001). Why are there many more tropical than subtropical/temperate species is a subject of future research. One possible cause is that the deep reefs were poorly sampled and an increase in the sampling effort could result in additional new records of cold water species for the region. Few studies with the use of SCUBA were done by biologists at depths greater than 30 m, but on

the other hand commercial and recreational fisheries were present for many decades in the surrounding areas of the study site, providing a fairly good assessment of fish species from all depths over the study area. Thus, we could expect to find some unrecorded species in the deep reefs that could only be found by SCUBA and not by conventional fishing gear, however, this is not expected to significantly change the zoogeographical affinities presented here.

An alternative explanation to poor sampling may be that the larval input from the southern temperate reefs is limited. Waters from Patagonia are known to reach the southeastern coast of Brazil through the Malvinas current, which flows northward from the coast of Argentina and can carry larvae from temperate reefs to the study site (Pereira 1989, Campos *et al.* 1996). However, dispersal via Malvinas Current may be restricted to species with some tolerance to low salinity waters. The high freshwater outflow from La Plata River and Patos Lake may extend for a great distance offshore (Piola *et al.* 2000, Pimenta *et al.* 2005) and can act as a barrier to larval dispersal in the same way as the Amazon River does between the Caribbean and Brazil (Floeter & Gasparini 2000, Rocha *et al.* 2003).

Range Extensions

We consider here only species previously unrecorded for the coast of São Paulo State. We use this unnatural, political division because most books and species accounts of fishes in Brazil usually determine the limits of species' ranges this way. Additionally, we feel that species whose recorded range limits lie only a few kilometers north or south of our study site do not represent an actual range increase. Thus, the Laje de Santos Marine Park position, approximately in the middle of São Paulo's coast, distant 171 km from Rio de Janeiro State to the north and 215 km from Paraná State to the south, provides a convenient 'buffer' distance for range extensions. Species whose ranges are extended in this paper are listed below.

Moringua edwardsi: Previously recorded for Trindade Island (Gasparini & Floeter 2001) and on the continental coast south to the State of Bahia (Menezes *et al.* 2003). Our record is based on a photograph made in July 2006 by Armando de Luca Jr. (fig. 7b).

Antennarius multiocellatus: Previous southernmost record is at Arraial do Cabo, Rio de Janeiro State (Carvalho-Filho 1999, Ferreira *et al.* 2001). Our record is based on a photograph made in November 2004 by Robson Leite (fig. 7c).

Scorpaena dispar: Southernmost occurrence previously recorded for the State of Rio de Janeiro (Menezes *et al.* 2003). Our record is based on a photograph made in July 2001 by Osmar J. Luiz Jr. (fig. 7d).

Aulostomus strigosus: Previous southernmost record is the state of Rio de Janeiro (Carvalho-Filho 1999). Our record is based on a photograph made in January 2004 by Renata Linger (fig. 7e).

Lutjanus buccanella: Previous southernmost record is Ilhéus, State of Bahia (Carvalho-Filho 1999, Menezes *et al.* 2003). Our record is based on a specimen 42 cm SL collected in October 1987 by Alfredo Carvalho-Filho (fig. 7f).

Mulloidichthys martinicus: Previous southernmost record is the state of Rio de Janeiro (Carvalho-Filho 1999, Menezes *et al.* 2003). Our record is based on a photograph made in June 2002 by Osmar J. Luiz Jr. (fig. 7g).

Halichoeres penrosei: Previous southernmost record is the state of Rio de Janeiro (Carvalho-Filho 1999, Menezes *et al.* 2003). Our record of this species is based on sightings of several individuals in January 2006 and 2007 by Alfredo Carvalho-Filho.

These previously unrecorded species were stray individuals that were seen once or twice at the study site and do not seem to represent resident populations. This reflects the high potential for dispersal among reef fishes and their ability to reach distant areas when associated with spatially and temporally unusual currents. When they do reach new areas, site specific ecological factors and occurrence of competitors or predators could prevent the establishment of new populations, and in our case cold waters seem to prevent the establishment of the above mentioned species.



FIGURE 5. Selected Brazilian endemic reef fish species that occur at the Laje de Santos Marine State Park. The barber goby *Elacatinus figaro* (a); the Brazilian yellowcheek wrasse *Halichoeres dimidiatus*, initial phase (b); the Brazilian wrasse *Halichoeres brasiliensis*, intermediate phase (c); the Noronha wrasse *Thalassoma noronhanum*, terminal male (d); the tuiupiranga parrotfish *Sparisoma tuiupiranga*, initial phase (e); Zelinda's parrotfish *Scarus zelindae*, initial phase (f); the reef parrotfish *Sparisoma amplum*, initial phase (g); the gray parrotfish *Sparisoma axillare*, terminal male (h). Photos: O.J. Luiz Jr, except (d) by I. Cavas.




FIGURE 6. Selected fish species that dwell in the deep reef (30-45 m) community at the Laje de Santos State Marine Park. The deep-reef wrasse *Halichoeres* sp.n. (a); the reeffish *Chromis* cf. *enchrysurus* (b); the red porgy *Pagrus pagrus* (c); the Brazilian sandperch *Pinguipes brasilianus* (d); the sea basses *Acanthistius brasilianus* (e), *A. patachonicus* (f) and *Dules auriga* (g); the snowy grouper *Hyporthodus niveatus* (h). The former species (a) is probably a Brazilian endemic, closely related to the Northwestern Atlantic species *H. bathyphilus*. The distinctive status from its sister species is supported by molecular mtDNA analysis (L.A. Rocha pers. comm.). The last six species (c-h) ranges southward to temperate Patagonian rocky reefs. Photos: A. Carvalho-Filho (e-g); O.J. Luiz Jr. (a-d, h).




FIGURE 7. Unusual records of reef fish species for the State of São Paulo. The African surgeonfish *Acanthurus monroviae* (a), which record was dealt in details by Luiz Jr. *et al.* (2004) and the previously unrecorded species: the eel *Moringua edwardsi* (b); the frogfish *Antennarius multiocellatus* (c); the scorpionfish *Scorpaena dispar* (d); the trumpetfish *Aulostomus strigosus* (e); the blackfin snapper *Lutjanus buccanella* (f); the yellow goatfish *Mulloidichthys martinicus* (g). Except for (a), all records are southward extensions for these species' ranges in the West Atlantic. Photos: A. Carvalho-Filho (f); R. Leite (c); R. Linger (e); A. de Luca Jr. (a, b); O.J. Luiz Jr. (d, g).

Trophic Structure

More than a half of the species at the study site are carnivores and mobile invertebrate feeders, 28% of the species belonging in each of these categories, followed by planktivores (13%), piscivores (11%), roving herbivores (8%), omnivores (5%), sessile invertebrate feeders (4%), and territorial herbivores (3%). Mobile invertebrate feeders are expected to be the most species-rich trophic category on reef fish assemblages along the Brazilian coast due mostly to their diverse morphology and variety of prey items (Ferreira *et al.* 2004). Carnivores are mostly epinephelids, carangids and muraenids, three diverse families at the study site. The relatively high proportion of planktivores and piscivores may be attributed to the mid-shelf location of the study site. Distance from the coast is regarded as a good predictor for the occurrence and abundance of planktivorous reef fishes in southwestern Atlantic rocky reef system (Floeter *et al.* 2007).

Most piscivores belong to epipelagic families (carangids, scombrids, pomatomids, sphyraenids) that usually are found far offshore, but this category also includes many species that dwell on sandy bottom, an extensive habitat at the study site. These patterns, however, were not consistent between the different zones of the study site. Habitat specific trophic structure was reflected in the similarity of clusters of species recorded for each habitat (fig. 8). The shallow reef and reef slope zones were almost identical and matched best for the whole assemblage at the study site. However, as expected, in the water column zone, planktivore and carnivore categories are better represented. The deep reef and the sand bottom habitats were similar in trophic structure patterns; both have no herbivores and no sessile invertebrate feeders, probably a result of the reduced algal coverage due to low light levels in the deep reef, and the lack of suitable substrate for attachment of algae.

Symbiotic feeding associations

As most reef assemblages in tropical or temperate regions (e.g., Fricke 1975, Strand 1988, Côté 2000, Grutter 2005, Sazima *et al.* 2007), the Laje de Santos has its share of symbiotic feeding relationships (see Losey 1978 for an instrumental definition and examples of symbiotic behavior). One such feeding association is cleaning symbiosis, in which a fish species (the cleaner) remove parasites, necrotic tissue, and mucus from a variety of fishes (the clients) that seek the cleaner's services (reviews in Côté 2000, Grutter 2005).

An obligate cleaner recorded at our study site is the barber goby *Elacatinus figaro*, which maintains well defined cleaning stations on prominent points of the reef and services a diverse and species-rich fish assemblage (see Sazima *et al.* 2000). The goby is a bottom-dweller but moves towards mid-water clients that hovers near the cleaning station (fig. 9a). Since cleaning symbiosis between fishes is a strictly diurnal activity, nocturnal species leave their shelters to seek cleaning (fig. 9b). Other cleaners recorded at the Laje de Santos are facultative and clean mostly as juveniles (Côté 2000), such as the porkfish *Anisotremus virginicus* and the spotfin hogfish *Bodianus pulchellus* (fig. 9c); this latter, however, occasionally cleans as a small adult as well (fig. 9d). Although occasionally recorded at the Laje de Santos, the versatile Noronha wrasse *Thalassoma noronhanum* was never recorded as a cleaner there, a role it apparently plays in Brazil's oceanic islands only (e.g., Gasparini & Floeter 2001, Sazima *et al.* 2005). Two common fishes at our study site, the silver porgy *Diplodus argenteus* and the sergeant major *Abudefduf saxatilis*, also remain to be recorded as cleaners there, although they occasionally clean at other coastal sites in southern and southeastern Brazil (Sazima 1986, Krajewski 2007). Apparently, the rarity or even absence of cleaning by these two latter species is related to the presence of more colorful and efficient cleaners such as the goby *E. figaro* and the wrasse *B. pulchellus*. The "weak" cleaning role of *D. argenteus* in southern Brazil seems related to its unattractiveness as compared to more specialized and colorful cleaners there (Krajewski 2007), and a similar idea may be applied to the cleaner guild of the Laje de Santos.

Another conspicuous symbiotic association in reef assemblages is following behavior (revision in Sazima *et al.* 2007). In this association type, a benthic species (the nuclear) attracts other, opportunistic and mostly carnivorous species (the followers) during its feeding activity. Small animals exposed by the substrate-dis-

turbing activity of the nuclear fish and not taken by it may be preyed upon by the follower fish. Substrate feeders that dig into sandy bottoms are very prone to attract followers, as the sediment clouds are a clue to the latter (see Sazima *et al.* 2006). The best known and studied example are the goatfishes, family Mullidae, which are regarded as nuclear fishes *par excellence* (Sazima *et al.* 2006). Less studied in the following symbiosis is the role of jacks, family Carangidae, which may act both as nuclear and followers (Sazima 1988, Sazima *et al.* 2007). At our study site, the white trevally *Pseudocaranx dentex* (fig. 9e) acts as a nuclear species and attracts mostly wrasses, which are known for their follower role (Sazima *et al.* 2007). Morays and other eels are another fish group that is readily followed during foraging activity (Diamant & Shpigel 1985, Gerhardinger *et al.* 2006), and attracts mostly groupers (fig. 9f). Less important are several fishes that forage on sandy bottoms but need not raise sediment to attract followers. One such example is the flying gurnard *Dactylopterus volitans* (fig. 9g) whose moving close to the bottom disturb small fishes and other animals that are preyed upon by the followers (Sazima & Grossman 2005).

The hitch-hiking remoras (Echeneididae) exemplify still another type of feeding association. Although widely known as hitch-hikers on larger fishes and other marine vertebrates, and feeding on scraps left by their hosts or cleaning them of parasites (review in O'Toole 2002), there is a less known feeding activity of remoras on their hosts. While attached near the mouth of a filter-feeding, large fish such as the Atlantic manta (fig. 9h) or a whale shark, the remoras engage in filter-feeding (Clarke & Nelson 1997), a role recorded for other species in the family (e.g., Sazima *et al.* 2006).

Conservation Remarks

With 196 recorded species, the reef fish assemblage of the Laje de Santos Marine State Park has a relatively high richness when compared to other localities along the Brazilian coast. Despite its higher latitude position (*i.e.*, subtropical), our study site has an equal or even a larger number of species than similarly-sized tropical reefs of Northeastern Brazil (Rosa & Moura 1997, Feitoza 2001, Ferreira & Cava 2001, Rocha & Rosa 2001, Souza *et al.* 2007). This is probably due to the co-occurrence of tropical and subtropical/temperate species on Brazil's southeastern coast. Additionally, there are more species at the Laje de Santos than at other similarly-sized coastal reef sites in southeastern and south Brazil (Ferreira *et al.* 2001, Hostim-Silva *et al.* 2006, Rangel *et al.* 2007), which could be explained by the off-shore, mid-shelf location of the Laje de Santos reefs and the consequent occurrence of a relatively large number of epipelagic species.

Unlike coral reefs, rocky reefs are highly restricted to the shores of continents and islands (Ebeling & Hixon 1991) with few patchily distributed rocky bottoms at the mid-shelf. Thus, the Laje de Santos Marine State Park is to be regarded as an important biodiversity hot-spot for Brazilian reef fishes. Some species that are targeted and highly prized by spear-fishermen occasionally attain large sizes at the Laje de Santos (fig. 10). However, it would be premature to say that the occurrence of these endangered species is directly related with the protection conferred to the Laje de Santos without further investigation.

Acknowledgements

We thank Ana Paula Balboni and Guilherme Kodja, representing all the staff of the NGO 'Instituto Laje Viva' for their much valuable support and help in the field. Otto Gadig for the identification of *D. hypostigma*. Carlo Leopoldo B. Francini, Armando de Luca Jr., Maurício Andrade, João Paulo Krajewski, Lara Cheidde, Luis F. Cassino, Robson Leite, Renata Linger and Luciano Eneas for several photographs. Julio Vellardi (*Instituto Florestal- SP*) for the support to scientific research at the Laje de Santos State Marine Park (COTEC 42.635/2006). JLG Thanks Fausto Pires dos Campos and Guilherme Moura for support and help in the field work. Financial support was provided by CNPq (MSc. grant 135112/2007-9 to OJLJr, and productivity grants to CELF and IS). This paper is dedicated to Clóvis B. de Carvalho for his inspiring enthusiasm and effort in the conservation of 'the big magic rock'.




FIGURE 8. Cluster analysis of habitat types at the Laje de Santos Marine State Park based on the similarity of species composition. The relative distribution of trophic categories in each habitat is shown in the graphs. CAR = Carnivore; MIF = Mobile Invertebrate Feeder; OMN = Omnivore; PIS = Piscivore; PLK = Planktivore; ROVH = Roving Herbivore; SIF = Sessile Invertebrate Feeder; TERH = Territorial Herbivore.



FIGURE 9. Selected examples of symbiotic associations between reef fishes recorded at the Laje de Santos Marine State Park. The barber goby *Elacatinus figaro* cleans the head of the jubauna reeffish *Chromis jubauna* hovering close to the goby's cleaning station (a); the same cleaner species inspects the back of the nocturnal squirrelfish *Holocentrus adscensionis* that approached its cleaning station (b); juvenile spotfin hogfish *Bodianus pulchellus* cleans the mouth of the spotted moray *Gymnothorax moringa* (c); adult of the same hogfish species cleans the head of the jubauna reeffish (d); the wrasse *Halichoeres* sp. n. follows a group of the white trevally *Pseudocaranx dentex*, which stir sediment clouds while feeding on the sandy bottom (e); the dusky grouper *Mycteroperca marginata* closely follows the goldspotted snake eel *Myrichthys ocellatus* that nudges its head in rocky crevices (f); the spotfin hogfish follows the flying gurnard *Dactylopterus volitans* moving close to the bottom (g); two diskfish *Remora remora* attached near the mouth of the Atlantic manta *Manta birostris* (h). Photos: M. Andrade (h); A. Carvalho Filho (e-f); J. P. Krajewski (a); O.J. Luiz Jr. (c-d, g); A. de Luca Jr. (b).



FIGURE 10. Targeted and endangered top-predators recorded at the Laje de Santos Marine State Park. The dusky groupers *Mycteroperca marginata* are very common in the area, but attain unusual large size and are largely unafraid of divers, contrarily to what happens at other unprotected sites (a); the goliath grouper *Epinephelus itajara* (b) and the cubera snapper *Lutjanus cyanopterus* (c). Several individuals of these two latter species have been seen at in the Laje de Santos in the last two years, after a period of more than ten years over which they remained unrecorded at the site. Photos: A. Carvalho-Filho (c); L. Cheidde (b); A. Valente (a).

References

- Agardy, T. (2000) Information needs for marine protected areas: scientific and societal. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 66(3), 875–888.
- Amado-Filho, G.M., Horta, P.A., Brasileiro, P.S., Barros-Barreto, M.B. & Fujii, M.T. (2006) Subtidal benthic marine algae of the marine state park of Laje de Santos (São Paulo, Brazil). *Brazilian Journal of Oceanography*, 54(4), 224–234.
- Campos, E.J.D., Miller, J.L., Müller, T.J. & Peterson, R.G. (1995) Physical oceanography of the Southwest Atlantic

- Ocean. *Oceanography*, 8(3), 87–91.
- Campos, E.J.D., Lorenzetti, J.A., Stevenson, M.R., Stech, J.L. & Souza, R.B. (1996) Penetration of waters from the Brazil-Malvinas confluence region along the South American Continental Shelf up to 23°S. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências*, 68(S1), 49–58.
- Carvalho-Filho, A. (1999) *Peixes: Costa Brasileira*. 3rd ed. Ed. Marca D'água, São Paulo, 320 pp.
- Clarke, E. & Nelson, D.R. (1997) Young whale sharks, *Rhincodon typus*, feeding on a copepod bloom near La Paz, Mexico. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 50, 63–73.
- Compagno, L.J.V. (1999) Checklist of living elasmobranches. In: Hamlett, W.C. (Ed.), *Sharks, skates and rays: the biology of elasmobranch fishes*. John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, pp. 471–498.
- Craig, M.T. & Hastings, P.A. (2007) A molecular phylogeny of the groupers of the subfamily Epinephelinae (Serranidae) with a revised classification of Epinephelini. *Ichthyological Research*, 54, 1–17.
- Craig, M.T., Fodrie, F.J. & Hastings, P.A. (2004) The nearshore fish assemblage of the Scripps Coastal Reserve, San Diego, California. *Coastal Management*, 32, 341–351.
- Diamant, A. & Shpigel, M. (1985) Interspecific feeding associations of groupers (Teleostei: Serranidae) with octopuses and moray eels in the Gulf of Eilat (Aqaba). *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 13, 153–159.
- Ebeling, A.W. & Hixon, M.A. (1991) Tropical and temperate reef fishes: comparison of community structures. In: Sale, P.F. (Ed.), *The Ecology of Fishes on Coral Reefs*. Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 509–563.
- Feitoza, B.M. (2001) *Composição e estrutura da comunidade de peixes recifais da Risca do Zumbi, Rio Grande do Norte*. Unpublished MSc. Thesis, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, 156 pp.
- Feitoza, B.M., Rocha, L.A., Luiz Jr, O.J., Floeter, S.R. & Gasparini, J.L. (2003) Reef fishes of St. Paul's Rocks: new records and notes in biology and zoogeography. *Aqua, Journal of Ichthyology and Aquatic Biology*, 7(2), 61–82.
- Ferreira, B.P. & Cava, F. (2001) Ictiofauna marinha da APA Costa dos Corais: Lista de espécies através de levantamento da pesca e observações subaquáticas. *Boletim Técnico Científico do CEPENE, Tamandaré*, 9(1), 167–180.
- Ferreira, C.E.L., Gonçalves, J.E.A. & Coutinho, R. (2001) Community structure of fishes and habitat complexity on a tropical rocky shore. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 61: 353–369.
- Ferreira, C.E.L., Floeter, S.R., Gasparini, J.L., Ferreira, B.P. & Joyeux, J.-C. (2004) Trophic structure patterns of Brazilian reef fishes: a latitudinal comparison. *Journal of Biogeography*, 31, 1093–1106.
- Floeter, S.R. & Gasparini, J.L. (2000) The south-western Atlantic reef fish fauna: composition and zoogeographic patterns. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 56, 1099–1114.
- Floeter, S.R., Guimarães, R.Z.P., Rocha, L.A., Ferreira, C.E.L., Rangel, C.A. & Gasparini, J.L. (2001) Geographic variation in reef-fish assemblages along the Brazilian coast. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 10, 423–431.
- Floeter, S.R., Halpern, B.S. & Ferreira, C.E.L. (2006) Effects of fishing and protection on Brazilian reefs. *Biological Conservation*, 128, 391–402.
- Floeter, S.R., Kröhlhing, W., Gasparini, J.L., Ferreira, C.E.L. & Zalmon, I. (2007) Reef fish community structure on coastal islands of the southeastern Brazil: the influence of exposure and cover. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 78, 147–160.
- Floeter, S.R., Rocha, L.A., Robertson, D.R., Joyeux, J.-C., Smith-Vaniz, W.F., Wirtz, P., Edwards, A.J., Barreiros, J.P., Ferreira, C.E.L., Gasparini, J.L., Brito, A., Falcón, J.M., Bowen, B.W. & Bernardi, G. (2008) Atlantic reef fish biogeography and evolution. *Journal of Biogeography*, 35, 22–47.
- Fricke, H. W. (1975) The role of behaviour in marine symbiotic animals. *Symposia of the Society of Experimental Biology*, 1975, 581–594.
- Gadig, O.B.F. & Sampaio, C.L.S. (2002) Occurrence of *Mobula japanica* in the Western Atlantic Ocean and *Mobula tarapacana* in Brazil, with comments on the diversity of devil rays (Chondrichthyes, Mobulidae) in Brazil. *Arquivos de Ciências do Mar*, 35, 33–37 (in Portuguese).
- Gasparini, J.L. & Floeter, S.R. (2001). The shore fishes of Trindade Island, Western South Atlantic. *Journal of Natural History*, 35, 1639–1656.
- Gerhardinger, L.C., Hostim-Silva, M., Samagaia, R. & Barreiros, J.P. (2006) A following association between juvenile *Epinephelus marginatus* (Serranidae) and *Myrichthys ocellatus* (Ophichthidae). *Cybium*, 30(1), 82–84.
- Grutter, A.S. (2005) Cleaning mutualism in the sea. In: Rohde, K. (ed.), *Marine parasitology*, CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, pp. 264–278.
- Hostim-Silva, M., Andrade, A.B., Machado, L.F., Gerhardinger, L.C., Daros, F.A., Barreiros, J.P. & Godoy, E.A.S. (2006) *Peixes de costão rochoso de Santa Catarina: I. Arvoredo*. Ed. Univali, Itajaí, 134 pp.
- Humann, P. & DeLoach, N. (2002) *Reef fish identification: Florida, Caribbean, Bahamas*. 3rd ed., New World Publications, Jacksonville, 481 pp.
- Joyeux, J.-C., Floeter, S.R., Ferreira, C.E.L. & Gasparini, J.L. (2001) Biogeography of tropical reef fishes: the South Atlantic puzzle. *Journal of Biogeography*, 28, 831–841.
- Krajewski, J.P. (2007) Cleaning by the occasional cleaner *Diplodus argenteus* (Perciformes: Sparidae) in south Brazil: why so few client species? *Journal of the Marine Biological Association U.K.*, 87, 1–4.

- Losey, G.S., Jr. (1978) The symbiotic behavior of fishes. In: Mostofsky, D.I. (ed.), *The behavior of fish and other aquatic animals*. Academic Press, New York, pp. 1–31.
- Luiz Jr., O.J., Floeter, S.R., Ferreira, C.E.L., Gasparini, J.L. & Wirtz, P. (2004) The occurrence of *Acanthurus monroviae* (Perciformes: Acanthuridae) in the southwestern Atlantic, with comments on other eastern Atlantic reef fishes occurring in Brazil. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 65, 1173–1179.
- Menezes, N.A., Buckup, P.A., Figueiredo, J.L. & Moura, R.L. (Eds.). (2003) Catálogo das espécies de peixes marinhos do Brasil. Museu de Zoologia, USP, São Paulo, 160 pp.
- Moura, R.L. (1995) A new species of *Chromis* (Perciformes: Pomacentridae) from the southeastern coast of Brazil, with comments on other species of the genus. *Revue Française d'Aquariologie*, 21, 91–96.
- Nelson, J.S. (2006) *Fishes of the world*. 4th ed., John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey, 601 pp.
- O'Toole, B. (2002) Phylogeny of the species of the superfamily Echeenoidea (Perciformes: Carangoidei: Echeenidae, Rachycentridae, and Coryphaenidae), with an interpretation of echeenid hitchhiking behaviour. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 80, 596–623.
- Pereira, C.S. (1989) Seasonal variability in the coastal circulation on the Brazilian continental shelf (29°S–35°S). *Continental Shelf Research*, 9(3), 285–299.
- Perry, C.T. & Larcombe, P. (2003) Marginal and non-reef-building coral environments. *Coral Reefs*, 22, 427–432.
- Pielou, E.C. (1984) *The interpretation of ecological data: a primer on classification and ordination*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 288 pp.
- Pimenta, F.M., Campos, E.J.D., Miller, J.L. & Piola, A.R. (2005) A numerical study of the Plata River plume along the southeastern South American continental shelf. *Brazilian Journal of Oceanography*, 53, 129–146.
- Piola, A.R., Campos, E.J.D., Möller Jr, O.O., Charo, M. & Martinez, C. (2000) The subtropical shelf front off eastern South America. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 105, 6565–6578.
- Randall, J.E. (1967) Food habits of reef fishes of the West Indies. *Studies in Tropical Oceanography*, 5, 665–847.
- Randall, J.E. (1996) *Caribbean Reef Fishes*. 3rd ed., TFH Publications, Hong Kong, 368 pp.
- Rangel, C.A., Chaves, L.C.T. & Monteiro-Neto, C. (2007) Baseline assessment of the reef fish assemblage from Cagaras Archipelago, Rio de Janeiro, Southeastern Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Oceanography*, 55(1), 7–17.
- Rocha, L.A. (2003) Patterns of distribution and processes of speciation in Brazilian reef fishes. *Journal of Biogeography*, 30, 1161–1171.
- Rocha, L.A. & Rosa, I.L. (2001) Baseline assessment of reef fish assemblages of Parcel Manuel Luiz State Park, Maranhão, North-east Brazil. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 58, 985–998.
- Rosa, R.S. & Moura, R.L. (1997) Visual assessment of reef fish community structure in the Atol das Rocas Biological Reserve, off Northeastern Brazil. *Proceedings of the 8th International Coral Reef Symposium*, 1, 983–986.
- Sazima, C., Bonaldo, R.M., Krajewski, J.P. & Sazima, I. (2005) The Noronha wrasse: a jack-of-all-trades follower. *Aqua, Journal of Ichthyology and Aquatic Biology*, 9, 97–108.
- Sazima, C. & Grossman, A. (2005) A non-digging zoobenthivorous fish attracts two opportunistic predatory fish associates. *Neotropical Ichthyology*, 3, 445–448.
- Sazima, C., Krajewski, J.P., Bonaldo, R.M. & Guimarães Jr., P.R. (2006) The goatfish *Pseudupeneus maculatus* and its follower fishes at an oceanic island in the tropical west Atlantic. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 69, 883–891.
- Sazima, C., Krajewski, J.P., Bonaldo, R.M. & Sazima, I. (2007) Nuclear-follower foraging associations of reef fishes and other animals at an oceanic island. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 80, 351–361.
- Sazima, I. 1986. Similarities in feeding behaviour between some marine and freshwater fishes in two tropical communities. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 29, 53–65.
- Sazima, I. (1998) Field evidence for suspension feeding in *Pseudocaranx dentex*, with comments on ram filtering in other jacks (Carangidae). *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 53, 225–229.
- Sazima, I., Sazima, C., Francini-Filho, R.B. & Moura, R.L. (2000) Daily cleaning activity and diversity of clients of the barber goby, *Elacatinus figaro*, on rocky reefs in southeastern Brazil. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 59, 69–77.
- Sazima, I., Sazima, C. & Silva-Jr, J.M. 2006. Fishes associated with spinner dolphins at Fernando de Noronha Archipelago, tropical west Atlantic: an update and overview. *Neotropical Ichthyology*, 4, 441–445.
- Smith, W.L. & Craig, M.T. (2007) Casting the Percomorph net widely: the importance of broad taxonomic sampling in the search for the placement of the serranid and percid fishes. *Copeia*, 2007(1), 35–55.
- Souza, A.T., Ilarri, M.I., Medeiros, P.R., Gempel, R.G., Rosa, R.S. & Sampaio, C.L.S. (2007). Fishes (Elasmobranchii and Actinopterygii) of Picãozinho reef, northeastern Brazil, with notes on their conservation status. *Zootaxa*, 1608, 11–19.
- Strand, S. (1988) Following behavior: interspecific foraging association among Gulf of California reef fishes. *Copeia*, 1988, 351–357.

Appendix

Museum vouchers of specimens from the Laje de Santos Marine State Park. Species arranged in alphabetical order. Institutions names and acronyms are the follow: California Academy of Sciences (CAS); Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP); National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution (USNM); Museu de História Natural, Universidade Estadual de Campinas (ZUEC).

Abudefduf saxatilis—MZUSP 50989; *Acanthistius brasilianus*—MZUSP 14890, 70738, 70743; *Acanthurus bahianus*—MZUSP 45659; *Acanthurus chirurgus*—MZUSP 45661; *Anisotrenus virginicus*—MZUSP 45662, 67870, 67873; *Apogon americanus*—MZUSP 45641; *Apogon pseudomaculatus*—MZUSP 45635, 45643; *Bodianus pulchellus*—MZUSP 44589, 66230, 66238, 66242; ZUEC 3394, 4255, 4427; *Bodianus rufus*—MZUSP 44596, 66240; *Calamus mu*—MZUSP 69958, 70039; *Calamus penna*—MZUSP 14891, 14892; *Cantherhines pullus*—MZUSP 44658, 44660, 72924; *Canthigaster figueiredoi*—MZUSP 44594 (paratype), 44595; USNM 357498 (paratype); *Caranx latus*—MZUSP 43487; *Caulolatilus chrysops*—MZUSP 14878, 44650; *Cephalopholis fulva*—MZUSP 47436; *Chaetodon sedentarius*—MZUSP 45654; *Chaetodon striatus*—MZUSP 45644, 45649; *Chilomycterus spinosus*—MZUSP 5246, 5247, 5248, 5249, 71370; *Chromis flavicauda*—MZUSP 44624, 44625, 44626; *Chromis jubauna*—MZUSP 44631 (holotype), 44632- 44635 (paratypes); ZUEC 4331, 4426; *Chromis multilineata*—MZUSP 44619, 44620; ZUEC 3916, 6310; *Clepticus brasiliensis*—CAS 99821-99822 (paratypes); MZUSP 44590, 44644, 44657, 47151 (paratype), 53271, 99821-99822 (paratypes); *Dactylopterus volitans*—MZUSP 46986; *Diplodus argenteus*—MZUSP 45651; *Dules auriga*—MZUSP 70845; *Elacatinus figaro*—ZUEC 3898, 3902, 3903, 3911, 3912, 3914, 3915; *Emblemariopsis signifera*—MZUSP 44608; *Epinephelus adscensionis*—MZUSP 47440; *Epinephelus morio*—MZUSP 71106; *Gymnothorax funebris*—MZUSP 14877; *Halichoeres* sp. n.—MZUSP 46825, 47152; *Halichoeres poeyi*—MZUSP 44584, 44585, 44586, 47435; *Halichoeres brasiliensis*—MZUSP 47437, 47438, 47441; *Holocentrus adscensionis*—MZUSP 43489, 47434, 45657, 47434; *Hyporthodus niveatus*—MZUSP 43490, 70937, 70949; *Kyphosus incisor*—43495, 44656; *Labrisomus nuchipinnis*—MZUSP 44614, 44615, 44616, 44617, 44618, 66796; ZUEC 6309; *Lobotes surinamensis*—MZUSP 72733; *Malacanthus plumieri*—MZUSP 14870; *Mobula hypostoma*—13402 (head); *Mycteroperca acutirostris*—MZUSP 43494; *Mycteroperca interstitialis*—MZUSP 43497; 47150, 70975, 70976; *Mycteroperca marginatus*—MZUSP 43491, 51248, 70913; *Myripristis jacobus*—MZUSP 43488, 44645; *Ophichthus ophis*—MZUSP 44649; *Ophioblennius trinitatis*—MZUSP 44607; *Pagrus pagrus*—MZUSP 45647, 70094; *Parablennius pilicornis*—MZUSP 44599, 44601, 63869; *Paranthias furcifer*—MZUSP 43496, 71045, 71046; *Pareques acuminatus*—MZUSP 43493, 44647; *Pempheris schomburgki*—MZUSP 43492, 45645; *Porichthys porosissimus*—MZUSP 44651; *Priacanthus arenatus*—MZUSP 69931; *Pseudocaranx dentex*—MZUSP 14871, 45655; *Pseudupeneus maculatus*—MZUSP 41992, 43486; *Remora albescens*—MZUSP 69754; *Rhomboplites aurorubens*—MZUSP 44582; *Sardinella janeiro*—MZUSP 11411; *Scartella cristata*—MZUSP 44602; *Scarus zelindae*—USNM 357500 (paratype); *Scorpaena isthmensis*—MZUSP 43481; *Serranus baldwini*—MZUSP 43478, 43479; *Seriola dumerili*—MZUSP 14880, 46989; *Seriola fasciata*—MZUSP 46990; *Sparisoma amplum*—MZUSP 46444; *Sparisoma axillare*—MZUSP 46817; *Sparisoma frondosum*—MZUSP 46802, 46818; *Sparisoma radians*—MZUSP 46440; *Sparisoma tuipiranga*—MZUSP 46441, 46442, 46443; *Sphoeroides spengleri*—MZUSP 44579; *Stegastes fuscus*—MZUSP 45775, 45780, 45782, 45784, 45787, 45789, 49065; ZUEC 6309; *Stegastes pictus*—MZUSP 45786; *Stegastes variabilis*—MZUSP 45776, 45779, 45781, 45783, 45791; *Synodus foetens*—MZUSP 43483; *Synodus intermedius*—MZUSP 43484; *Thalassoma noronhanum*—MZUSP 45633, 45639; ZUEC 3146; *Tylosurus acus*—MZUSP 14879; *Uraspis secunda*—MZUSP 65901.