

Legislative Journal

TUESDAY, JULY 14, 1970

Session of 1970

154th of the General Assembly

Vol. 1, No. 135

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 10 a.m., c.d.t.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (Robert K. Hamilton)
IN THE CHAIR

PRAYER

THE HONORABLE W. BRADY HETRICK, member of the House of Representatives from Mifflin County and guest chaplain, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray:

O God, our Father, may Thy spirit, which is eternal, guide and direct us today. We approach Thee with a deep sense of Thy goodness and pray for Thy help as we go to the work before us today.

We are grateful for Thy love and may we show our thankfulness by the way we live. What we are is Thy gift to us; what we become is our gift to Thee, for it is in Thy Name that we pray. Amen.

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, approval of the Journal for Monday, July 13, 1970, will be postponed until printed.

COMMUNICATION FROM GOVERNOR

The Secretary to the Governor being introduced, presented the following communication in writing from His Excellency, the Governor, which was read:

REQUEST TO ADDRESS JOINT SESSION

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Governor's Office, Harrisburg

July 13, 1970.

To the Honorable, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

If it meets with the approval of the General Assembly, I should like to address the Members in Joint Session on Tuesday, July 14, 1970, at 10:30 A.M.

RAYMOND P. SHAFER
GOVERNOR

SENATE MESSAGE

JOINT SESSION

The clerk of the Senate being introduced, presented the following extract from the Journal of the Senate, which was read:

In the Senate, July 13, 1970.

RESOLVED, (the House of Representatives concurring), That the Senate and House of Representatives meet in

Joint Session at 10:30 A.M., Daylight Saving Time, Tuesday, July 14, 1970 for the purpose of hearing an address by His Excellency, the Governor of the Commonwealth; and be it further

RESOLVED, That a committee of three on the part of the Senate be appointed to act with a similar committee on the part of the House of Representatives to escort His Excellency, the Governor of the Commonwealth, to the Hall of the House of Representatives.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

On the question,

Will the House concur in the resolution of the Senate?
It was concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

SENATE MESSAGE

COMMITTEE TO ESCORT GOVERNOR TO HALL OF HOUSE

The clerk of the Senate being introduced, presented the following extract from the Journal of the Senate, which was read:

In the Senate, July 14, 1970.

RESOLVED, (the House of Representatives concurring), That a committee of three on the part of the Senate, be appointed to act with a similar committee on the part of the House of Representatives to escort His Excellency, the Governor of this Commonwealth, to the Hall of the House of Representatives to address the members of the General Assembly in Joint Session pursuant to a resolution already adopted by the Senate and House of Representatives.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

On the question,

Will the House concur in the resolution of the Senate?
It was concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

COMMITTEE APPOINTED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair appoints as a committee on the part of the House to escort the Governor to the hall of the House, the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Luty; the lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Anderson; and the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Wilt.

The committee will now proceed to the performance of its duties.

RESOLUTION

COMMITTEE TO ESCORT SENATE

Mr. WARGO offered the following resolution which was read, considered and adopted:

RESOLVED, That the Speaker appoint a committee of

two to escort the members and officers of the Senate to the Hall of the House for the purpose of attending a joint session of the General Assembly.

COMMITTEE APPOINTED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair appoints as a committee to escort the Senate to the hall of the House, the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Comer, and the gentleman from Clinton, Mr. Bossert.

The committee will now proceed to the performance of its duties.

COMMUNICATION FROM GOVERNOR

The Secretary to the Governor being introduced, presented the following communication in writing from His Excellency, the Governor, which was read:

APPROVAL OF HOUSE BILLS Nos. 843, 1319, 1896 and 1916.

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Governor's Office, Harrisburg

July 8, 1970.

To the Honorable, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day approved and signed House bill No. 843, printer's No. 3127, entitled "An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30), entitled 'An act relating to the public school system, including certain provisions applicable as well to private and parochial schools; amending, revising, consolidating and changing the laws relating thereto,' providing an alternative way of equalizing tax levies in school districts crossing municipal lines."

RAYMOND P. SHAFER
GOVERNOR

July 8, 1970.

To the Honorable, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day approved and signed House bill No. 1319, printer's No. 1608, entitled "An Act establishing certain standards for the operation and use of model rockets and providing penalties."

RAYMOND P. SHAFER
GOVERNOR

July 8, 1970.

To the Honorable, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day approved and signed House bill No. 1896, printer's No. 2448, entitled "An Act amending the act of May 22, 1935 (P. L. 233), entitled 'An act creating and establishing a fund for the care, maintenance, and relief of aged, retired, and disabled employees of the Bureau of Police in cities of the second class; providing a pension fund for said employees; and providing for the payment of certain dues, fees, assessments, fines, and appropriations thereto; regulating membership therein; creating a board for the management thereof; providing the amount, mode, and manner of payment to beneficiaries thereof, and for the care and disposition of said fund; providing for the payment into this fund by cities of the second class of all monies heretofore payable into any other funds, organizations, corporations, or associations having the same or similar purposes, and of such additional monies as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this act,' further regulating payments to widows and children."

RAYMOND P. SHAFER
GOVERNOR

July 8, 1970.

To the Honorable, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day approved and signed House bill No. 1910, printer's No. 2476, entitled "An Act amending the act of June 1, 1959 (P. L. 350), entitled 'Public School Employees' Retirement Code of 1959,' providing representation on the retirement board for annuitants."

RAYMOND P. SHAFER
GOVERNOR

SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE BILLS CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate being introduced, returned bills from the House of Representatives numbered and entitled as follows:

HOUSE BILL No. 1126

An Act amending the "Public School Code of 1949," approved March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30), further providing for the requirements for permanent college certificates.

HOUSE BILL No. 1186

An Act amending the "Public School Code of 1949," approved March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30), increasing the amount of work of any nature which can be performed on property owned by any school district without advertising and without competitive bids and the work which may be performed by the maintenance personnel of such school districts.

HOUSE BILL No. 1340

An Act amending the "Public School Code of 1949," approved March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30), further providing for forfeitures for employing certain teachers.

HOUSE BILL No. 1889

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30), entitled "Public School Code of 1949," further providing for duty of making enumeration of school children.

HOUSE BILL No. 2315

An Act amending the act of March 19, 1970 (Act No. 53-A), entitled "An act making an appropriation to the Department of Health to carry out * * * the 'Pennsylvania Solid Waste Management Act'" by increasing the appropriation to the Department of Health.

HOUSE BILL No. 2316

An Act amending the act of May 28, 1858 (P. L. 622), entitled "An act regulating the Rate of Interest," further regulating the rate of interest.

With information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED SENATE BILL CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives to Senate bill numbered and entitled as follows:

SENATE BILL No. 223

An Act imposing a tax on the sale or possession of cigarettes and providing penalties.

SENATE MESSAGE**AMENDED HOUSE BILLS RETURNED FOR
CONCURRENCE**

The clerk of the Senate being introduced, returned bills from the House of Representatives numbered and entitled as follows:

HOUSE BILL No. 1476

An Act making an appropriation to the Department of Community Affairs to plan and administer a Statewide manpower employment assistance and training program.

HOUSE BILL No. 2394

An Act designating Highway Route No. 79 from the West Virginia line to Erie as "The Governor Raymond P. Shafer Highway."

With the information that the Senate has passed the same with amendments in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The bills will appear on the calendar.

**REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE TO WAIT UPON
SENATE**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the Sergeant at Arms of the House.

The SERGEANT AT ARMS. Mr. Speaker, the chairman of the Committee to Escort the Senate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Comer, chairman of the committee to wait upon the Senate.

Mr. COMER. Mr. Speaker, your committee appointed to wait upon the Senate to escort it to the hall of the House has performed that duty and reports that the Senate is now present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The committee is discharged with the thanks of the House.

**LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR REQUESTED TO
PRESIDE**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair requests the Lieutenant Governor, the Honorable Raymond J. Broderick, to preside over the proceedings of the joint session of the General Assembly.

The President Pro Tempore of the Senate, the Honorable Robert D. Fleming, is invited to be seated on the rostrum. The remaining members of the Senate will please be seated.

The Chair now presents the gavel to the Lieutenant Governor, Raymond J. Broderick.

**JOINT SESSION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY
LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR
RAYMOND J. BRODERICK PRESIDING****REPORT OF COMMITTEE TO ESCORT THE GOVERNOR**

The LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR. The Chair recognizes the chairman of the committee to escort the Governor, the Senator from Cumberland, Mr. Wade.

Mr. WADE. Mr. President, I have the honor to present His Excellency, the Governor of the Commonwealth, the Honorable Raymond Philip Shafer.

The LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR. Members of the Gen-

eral Assembly, I once again have the honor and the privilege of presenting to you His Excellency, the Governor of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the Honorable Raymond P. Shafer.

**SPECIAL MESSAGE OF GOVERNOR
RAYMOND P. SHAFER ON STATE
GOVERNMENT MODERNIZATION AND
REORGANIZATION BEFORE A JOINT
SESSION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY
TUESDAY, JULY 14, 1970**

Mr. Lieutenant Governor, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly and my fellow Pennsylvanians:

This is my last special message before you as Governor. I asked for this opportunity to address you in person because this, in many respects, is the most important message I have presented.

There is an alarm ringing in our system of federalism which cannot be ignored without fatal consequences to the democratic process. This alarm has been set off by the heavy weight of evidence that most state governments are too poorly organized to deal effectively with their growing responsibilities.

Too often, state constitutions and agencies are creatures developed for meeting the problems of an America that long ago passed into history.

Archaic tax structures, the patchwork of legislatures and administrations seeking the easiest political path, make it almost impossible to respond to the rising expectations and needs of citizens.

Pennsylvania's battle for adequate funding to meet its rising expectations through equitable tax reform is dramatic evidence of this failure.

Neglect by the States of their powers to improve and modernize local government has created an impasse to the development of a new system that can respond to community problems.

Fixed state boundaries, and insufficient efforts at interstate cooperation, have erected Berlin Walls in front of those who recognize the need for solving many of our social and economic problems on the regional level.

Failure of our States to make the changes necessary to correct these deficiencies is bringing about a crisis of confidence in state government among the people.

Some state officials are trying to turn their backs on the crisis. They cannot. They must not. To ignore this crisis is to invite the destruction of state government itself, and, with it, the dissolution of our Federal system.

Fortunately, there are many state officials, elected and appointed, who are not turning away from this challenge. We have some in Pennsylvania. The result has been that we are making some excellent advances toward strengthening the system.

The most important of our efforts to date has been the modernization of our constitution, and the subsequent reforms of the legislative, executive and judicial branches of our government here in Harrisburg. The effects of these reforms will be felt for many years to come.

But we haven't stopped there, nor do we intend to do so.

You created a new Department of Transportation because you are aware of the absolute necessity we have to develop a modern, coordinated transportation system.

The old Department of Internal Affairs was abolished

in a major effort to end duplication and unnecessary services.

By executive action, we have reorganized functions within the Departments of Education, Public Welfare, Insurance, Health, Labor and Industry and the State Police. The result has been more efficiency and better service.

No state has matched our recent efforts to modernize and reform our budgeting and management systems. I contend that once the dust settles from the fiscal wars, everyone will then recognize that there has been a budgetary revolution that will have a lasting effect on the way we spend taxpayers' money.

These efforts have been accompanied by the most ambitious cost reduction program in the Commonwealth's history. Cost savings reform has specifically saved us \$15 million annually since its inception.

All of these efforts have been part of my administration's goal to increase efficiency while holding down operating costs to the lowest possible level.

This goal was the major reason I asked prominent businessmen, public administrators, legislators, scholars, and others interested in good government management, to make a special study and recommend ways to improve state government's housekeeping operations.

For approximately two years the Governor's Commission for Modern State Government—the so-called "Little Hoover Committee"—studied our procurement, personnel, administrative and capital budgeting practices. Headed by former Judge Robert Woodside and former Ambassador John Rice, this bipartisan commission did an outstanding job, for which I am deeply grateful.

The result of their work is a 147-page report, outlining the problems and recommending better ways to do business with the taxpayers' money.

I submit this report to you now for your study. Many of the recommendations can be implemented by executive action—and we are already implementing several of the proposals suggested.

Some of the recommendations require legislative action. You have already enacted a new vacation leave time law for state employes similar to the one recommended. A bill to provide mandatory retirement at age 65 as suggested is pending before you and I urge its enactment as soon as possible.

The proposals of this Commission will help us hold down costs and improve services only if we commit ourselves to implement the recommendations. It is up to you and me, and the next administration, to make certain this report does not gather dust, as many others have in the past. So I urge you to read it, study it, but act on it.

But what the commission recommended is not alone a panacea for restructuring our government to meet the modern demands on it. To meet the full challenge, we must restructure whole departments, reform the legislative and judicial processes and modernize local government.

Our present major programs and laws dealing with environmental pollution, corrections and delivery of human services are too fragmented. This fragmentation weakens our executive efforts to enforce some of the finest laws covering these subjects in the Nation.

This is the major reason for recommending major reorganization today. Another is the fact that abolition of duplicative services will eliminate waste and help us hold down the rising costs faced by all citizens and their governments.

To accomplish these much-needed results, I recommend the establishment of new Departments of Corrections, En-

vironmental Resources, Human Resources and General Services.

These Departments would be formed by the merger or abolition of functions of the Departments of Mines and Mineral Industries, Forests and Waters, Health, Public Welfare, and the General State Authority. In addition, I suggest the abolition of the Department of State and the merger of its functions with state agencies now performing some of the services.

The need to create a single agency responsible for the incarceration, treatment and rehabilitation of criminals, both young and old, has become abundantly clear. The recent riot at Holmesburg in Philadelphia is a symptom of the failure of the present prison system.

Our environmental improvement and protection programs are suffering from a similar fragmentation of executive power as exists in our corrections system. The responsibility for enforcing laws against land, water and air pollution rests with too many state agencies. The result: weakened enforcement of some of the Nation's strongest environmental protection laws. This problem is well recognized by this General Assembly. You already are considering one proposal in this regard, and the suggestion that a new department be created to deal exclusively with environmental problems should meet with quick agreement.

Fragmentation, although of a lesser degree, exists in our programs to provide human services to those who are needy, aged, disabled, blind, mentally and physically ill. When these citizens come to us for help, they should receive assistance that meets all their needs. They should not be made to wander through a maze of different bureaus to find help for each problem.

I have advocated this new department since becoming Governor. Many of you agree it should be done. Now, let's do it.

While it is very difficult to estimate money savings in these three areas, the elimination of duplication and the more efficient delivery of services cannot help but benefit the Pennsylvania taxpayer.

The largest measurable savings will come if you create a new Department of General Services. Since the new constitution permits the Commonwealth to use general obligation bonds, the General State Authority, like the Highway and Bridge Authority, will no longer be necessary after its present projects and commitments are completed. The GSA merger and phase-out in Property and Supplies is a logical and economical move. The savings will be approximately \$12 million a year.

Is it practical for me to suggest that we can accomplish these proposals in the time we have left in this session? Well, I think it is.

And I suggest we go about it in a mutually agreeable way.

Here is my proposal. I recommend the formation of legislative-executive task forces to work out the differences we have over the three most controversial new departments—Corrections, Environmental Resources and Human Services. These task forces would be headed by three outstanding Pennsylvania citizens.

Commonwealth Court Judge Roy Wilkinson, who is deeply concerned about the improvement of corrections, has agreed to serve as chairman of the corrections task force. Frank Masland, Jr., an outstanding conservationist, will head the environmental resources group. Max Rosenb, former Secretary of Public Welfare and Chair-

man of the state human relations commission, will lead the Human Services Task Force.

Legislative and administration representatives on the task forces will be announced before you recess for the summer.

While you are in recess, the task forces will work toward a final draft of legislation that will be submitted for consideration upon your return. In this way, our differences, and we all know that some exist, can be resolved reasonably, and reorganization can become a reality.

My administration has drafts of proposed legislation which can be used as a starting point for our discussion.

While we consider these issues, I urge you not to lose sight of the critical need for action toward legislative and local government reform.

Although we are well underway with executive and judicial reorganization, the record in legislative reform has not kept pace.

I have stated before, and I repeat once again, for this reform to have public credibility as well as to give more efficient service, it is absolutely essential that the size of the General Assembly be reduced; that expenses be made accountable; and that the length of annual sessions be limited. The upgrading of legislative incomes then becomes more realistic.

Along with this, you must take seriously a charge made by the National Committee for Economic Development, and I quote: "A dramatic, Nation-wide instance of neglect in application of State powers is the modernization of local government."

Until the adoption of our new Local Government Article of the Constitution, significant government reorganization was virtually impossible. Now we have the means of significant change and we must use it effectively.

If you don't think we must act, just consider this. By latest count, there are slightly more than 2,600 local governmental units in the Commonwealth. More than 90 percent of the cities, boroughs and townships have less than 10,000 people, and approximately four out of every ten have populations of less than 1,000. Such a crazy quilt of local jurisdictions cannot, and should not, be expected to meet the challenges of modern society.

Our objective should be to provide for units of local government of sufficient size to give maximum service at a minimum cost, while ensuring that our citizens have a direct voice in these governments.

You are presently considering major bills to help us implement the constitutional changes and bring about the local reforms that are needed. They deal with boundary change, home-rule charters, optional forms of local government and intergovernmental cooperation. I urge their enactment immediately so we can get started on local reform that will take years to accomplish.

These, then, are my major recommendations to you. They are made in the hope that you will understand the future consequences to our Commonwealth if we do not reach agreement on them.

It is also my hope that the lateness of the hour in presenting them to you will not dim the prospect of their passage. As you can see, they are not for the benefit of the Shafer administration; they are for the benefit of Pennsylvanians yet to come, who may, or may not, live under a government with the consent of the governed—one that is preserved by a strong Federal system.

Whether they live under such a system depends on the willingness of all of us to respond to the need for change.

It would indeed be a great tribute to you if the reforms we seek today were producing results by the time the Nation's 200th birthday is celebrated in Philadelphia.

It would show the world that the people of the State, where our Federal system was born, believe in their way of life and have the courage and good sense to make changes to preserve it.

Thank you.

(For report of the "Little Hoover Committee" see appendix.)

LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR THANKS GOVERNOR

The LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR. Governor, on behalf of the members of the General Assembly, we thank you for your message. It was an excellent message.

The committee on the part of the Senate and the House will now escort His Excellency, the Governor, to his chambers.

Thank you.

Will the General Assembly please be seated?

We ask the members of the House and the visitors to remain in their seats for just a minute while the members of the Senate return to the business of the Senate.

The business for which the joint session has been assembled having been transacted, the session is now adjourned.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (Robert K. Hamilton) IN THE CHAIR

PRINTING PROCEEDINGS OF JOINT SESSION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Walsh, who moves that the proceedings of the joint session of the Senate and House of Representatives, held this 14th day of July, 1970, be printed in full in today's Legislative Journal.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

It was agreed to.

CALENDAR

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, we are ready to proceed on the calendar.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is ready, Mr. Irvis.

BILLS ON FIRST CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to the first consideration of House bill No. 1694, printer's No. 3367, entitled:

An Act relating to settlement or release of claims in personal injury and property damage actions.

Said bill was considered the first time and agreed to.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to the first consideration of Senate bill No. 546, printer's No. 1835, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 1, 1945 (P. L. 1242), entitled "State Highway Law," authorizing investigation into different uses of certain rights of way owned by public utilities and authorities and requiring notice before abandonment of rights of way and certain acquisition of property necessary for certain present or future highway purposes, designating certain functions as highway purposes and regulating procedure for such acquisition.

Said bill was considered the first time and agreed to.

PREFERRED APPROPRIATION BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of Senate bill No. 1447, printer's No. 1737, entitled:

An Act amending the act of July 31, 1969 (Appropriation Act No. 12-A), entitled "The General Appropriation Act of 1969," adding to the appropriations to the Philadelphia Traffic Court for salaries and to the Superior Court for expenses.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of House bill No. 2182, printer's No. 3362, entitled:

An Act amending the "Consumer Discount Company Act," approved April 8, 1937 (P. L. 262), defining certain terms; further providing for the granting of licenses, license fee and the organization of companies; changing authorized charges; providing for the place of conducting business; providing for bona fide or accidental error; providing for revolving loan accounts and providing penalties.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of House bill No. 2386, printer's No. 3332, entitled:

An Act amending the "Sproul Highway Law," approved May 31, 1911 (P. L. 468), permitting a contractor to deposit certificates of deposit with the Secretary of Highways as an alternative to the payment by the Secretary of Highways of interest on amounts withheld pending satisfactory completion of a contract.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of Senate bill No. 1303, printer's No. 1844, entitled:

An Act authorizing the incurring of debt, without the approval of the electors, for the purpose of financing public improvement projects to be acquired or constructed by the General State Authority stating the estimated useful life of such projects specifically itemized in a capital budget and making an appropriation.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

Mr. MULLEN moved that Senate bill No. 1303 be re-committed to the Committee on Appropriations.

The motion was agreed to.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of Senate bill No. 1304, printer's No. 1845, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of November 25, 1969 (Act No. 133), entitled "An act providing for the capital budget for the fiscal year 1969-1970," itemizing public improvement projects to be acquired or constructed by the General State Authority together with their estimated financial costs.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

Mr. MULLEN moved that Senate bill No. 1304 be re-committed to the Committee on Appropriations.

The motion was agreed to.

BILLS ON FINAL PASSAGE

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to the consideration on final passage of House bill No. 758, printer's No. 3299, entitled:

An Act concerning electrical contracting; providing for the regulation thereof and the licensing of persons engaged therein; fixing fees and prescribing unlawful acts and penalties.

On the question,

Shall the bill pass finally?

BILL RECOMMITTED

Mr. COMER moved that House bill No. 758 be re-committed to the Committee on Professional Licensure.

The motion was agreed to.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

Mr. PRENDERGAST. Mr. Speaker, I request leave of absence for Mr. FINEMAN for today's session.

Mr. BUTERA. Mr. Speaker, I have no requests for leaves of absence.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the leaves are granted.

MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is about to take up the business of today's master roll call. Members will indicate their presence by voting "aye."

The roll was taken and was as follows:

Alexander	Gelfand	Malady	Ryan
Allen, F. M.	George	Manbeck	Rybak
Allen, W. W.	Gillette	Manderino	Saloom
Anderson, J. H.	Gleeson	Martino	Savitt
Anderson, S. A.	Good	McAneny	Scanlon
Appleton	Goodman	McClatchy	Schmitt
Bachman	Greenfield	McCurdy	Seltzer
Bair	Gring	McMonagle	Semanoff
Barber	Gross	Mebus	Shelhamer
Bellomini	Halverson	Meholchick	Shelton
Beloff	Hamilton, J. H.	Meiton	Sherman
Beren	Hamilton, R. K.	Miffin	Shuman
Berkes	Harrier	Miller, M. E.	Shupnik
Berson	Haudenshield	Miller, P. W.	Silverman
Bittle	Hayes	Moore	Slack

Blair	Headlee	Moscip	Smith
Bonetto	Hepford	Mullen	Snare
Bossert	Hotrick	Murphy	Stauffer
Brunner	Hill	Murtha	Steckel
Burkardt	Holman	Musto	Steele
Butera	Homer	Needham	Stemmler
Caputo	Hopkins	Nicholson	Stone
Comer	Horner	Nitrauer	Sullivan
Coppolino	Hovis	Nolan	Taylor
Crawford	Hutchinson	Novak	Tayoun
Dager	Irvis	O'Brien, B.	Thomas
Davis, D.	Johnson, G.	O'Brien, F.	Tiberi
Davis, R.	Johnson, J.	O'Connell	Valicenti
DeJoseph	Johnson, T.	O'Donnell	Vann
DeMedio	Kahle	O'Pake	Walsh
Dininni	Katz	Pancoast	Wansacz
Donaldson	Kaufman	Parker	Wargo
Dorsey	Kelly	Perry	Weidner
Dwyer	Kennedy	Pezak	Westerberg
Eckensberger	Kernaghan	Pievsky	Wilson
Englehart	Kester	Piper	Will, R. E.
Eshback	Kistler	Pittenger	Will, R. W.
Fee	Kolter	Polaski	Will, W. W.
Fenrich	Kowalyszyn	Prendergast	Wise
Fischer	Kury	Quiles	Wojdak
Foor	LaMarca	Renninger	Worley
Fox	Laudadio	Renwick	Worrlow
Frank	Laudadio	Reynolds	Wright
Fryer	Lee	Rieger	Yahner
Fulmer	Lehr	Ritter	Yohn
Gallen	Lutty	Ruane	Zearfoss
Geesey	Lynch, Francis	Ruggiero	Zimmerman
Gelsler	Lynch, Frank	Rush	Zord
Gekas			

The SPEAKER pro tempore. One hundred ninety-three members having indicated their presence, a master roll is established.

BILL ON FINAL PASSAGE

Agreeable to order,
The House proceeded to the consideration on final passage of **House bill No. 1735, printer's No. 3368, entitled:**

An Act amending the "State Employees' Retirement Code of 1959," approved June 1, 1959 (P. L. 392), extending dates for certain benefits.

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—174

Alexander	Fulmer	Lutty	Ruggiero
Allen, F. M.	Geesey	Lynch, Francis	Rush
Allen, W. W.	Gelsler	Lynch, Frank	Ryan
Anderson, J. H.	Gekas	Malsady	Rybak
Anderson, S. A.	George	Manbeck	Saloom
Appleton	Gillette	Manderino	Savitt
Bachman	Gleeson	Martino	Scanlon
Bair	Goodman	McAneny	Schmitt
Barber	Greenfield	McClatchy	Seltzer
Bellomini	Gring	McCurdy	Semanoff
Beloff	Halverson	McMonsiegle	Sherman
Beren	Hamilton, J. H.	Mebus	Shuman
Berkes	Hamilton, R. K.	Meholchick	Shupnik
Berson	Harrier	Melton	Slack
Bittle	Haudensfield	Miffin	Smith
Blair	Hayes	Miller, M. E.	Snare
Bonetto	Headlee	Miller, P. W.	Stauffer
Bossert	Hepford	Moore	Steckel
Brunner	Hetrick	Moscip	Steele
Burkardt	Hill	Murphy	Stemmler
Butera	Holman	Murtha	Taylor
Caputo	Homer	Musto	Tayoun
Comer	Hopkins	Needham	Thomas
Coppolino	Horner	Nicholson	Tiberi
Crawford	Hovis	Nitrauer	Valicenti
Crowley	Irvis	Nolan	Vann
Dager	Johnson, G.	O'Brien, B.	Walsh
Davis, D.	Johnson, J.	O'Brien, F.	Wansacz
Davis, R.	Johnson, T.	O'Connell	Wargo
DeJoseph	Kahle	O'Donnell	Weidner
DeMedio	Katz	O'Pake	Westerberg
Dininni	Kelly	Pancoast	Wilson
Donaldson	Kennedy	Parker	Will, R. E.

Dorsey	Kernaghan	Perry	Wilt, R. W.
Dwyer	Kester	Pievsky	Wilt, W. W.
Eckensberger	Kistler	Piper	Wise
Eshback	Kolter	Pittenger	Wojdak
Fee	Kowalyszyn	Polaski	Worrlow
Fenrich	Kury	Renninger	Wright
Fischer	LaMarca	Renwick	Yahner
Foor	Laudadio	Reynolds	Zearfoss
Fox	Lawson	Rieger	Zimmerman
Frank	Lee	Ruane	Zord
Fryer	Lehr		

NAYS—8

Gallen	Hutchinson	Ritter	Worley
Good	Pozak	Shelhamer	Yohn

NOT VOTING—21

Bennett	Celfand	Prendergast	Sullivan
Bixler	Cross	Quiles	Torak
Bush	Kaufman	Shelton	
Claypoole	McGraw	Silverman	Fineman,
Englehart	Mullen	Spencer	Speaker
Gallagher	Novak	Stone	

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

RECONSIDERATION OF VOTE ON HOUSE BILL No. 758

Mr. COMER moved that the vote by which House bill No. 758, printer's No. 3299, was recommitted to the Committee on Professional Licensure be reconsidered.

Mr. SLACK seconded the motion.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
It was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

Mr. COMER moved that House bill No. 758 be recommitted to the Committee on State Government.
The motion was agreed to.

PREFERRED APPROPRIATION BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,
The House proceeded to third consideration of **Senate bill No. 1417, printer's No. 1697, entitled:**

An Act making an appropriation to the Treasury Department out of the Motor License Fund for the purpose of paying the Commonwealth's Loan and Transfer Agent.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—183

Alexander	Gekas	Lynch, Francis	Ruggiero
Allen, F. M.	Gelfand	Lynch, Frank	Rush
Allen, W. W.	George	Malady	Ryan
Anderson, J. H.	Gillette	Manbeck	Rybak
Anderson, S. A.	Gleeson	Manderino	Savitt
Appleton	Good	Martino	Seanton
Bachman	Goodman	McAneny	Schmitt
Bair	Greenfield	McClatchy	Seltzer
Barber	Gross	McCurdy	Semanoff
Bellomini	Halverson	McMonagle	Shelhamer
Beloff	Hamilton, J. H.	Mebus	Sherman
Beren	Hamilton, R. K.	Meholchick	Shuman
Berkes	Harrier	Melton	Shupnik
Berson	Haudenschild	Mifflin	Slack
Bittle	Hayes	Miller, M. E.	Smith
Blair	Headlee	Miller, P. W.	Snare
Bonetto	Hepford	Moore	Stauffer
Bossert	Hetrick	Moscrip	Steckel
Brunner	Hill	Mullen	Steele
Burkardt	Holman	Murphy	Stemmler
Butera	Homer	Murtha	Stone
Caputo	Hopkins	Musto	Taylor
Comer	Horner	Needham	Tayoun
Coppolino	Hovis	Nicholson	Thomas
Crawford	Hutchinson	Nitrauer	Tiberi
Dager	Irvic	Nolan	Valicenti
Davis, D.	Johnson, G.	O'Brien, B.	Vann
Davis, R.	Johnson, J.	O'Brien, F.	Walsh
DeJoseph	Johnson, T.	O'Connell	Wansacz
DeMedio	Kahle	O'Donnell	Wargo
Dininni	Katz	O'Pake	Weidner
Donaldson	Kaufman	Pancoast	Westerberg
Dorsey	Kelly	Parker	Wilson
Dwyer	Kennedy	Perry	Wilt, R. E.
Eckensberger	Kernaghan	Pezak	Wilt, R. W.
Engelhart	Kester	Pievsky	Wilt, W. W.
Eshback	Kistler	Piper	Wise
Fee	Kolter	Pittenger	Wojdak
Fenrich	Kowalshyn	Polaski	Worley
Foor	Kury	Prendergast	Worrlow
Fox	LaMarca	Renninger	Wright
Frank	Laudadio	Renwick	Yahner
Fryer	Lawson	Reynolds	Yohn
Fulmer	Lee	Rieger	Zearfoss
Geesey	Lehr	Ritter	Zimmerman
Geisler	Lutty	Ruane	

NAYS—5

Fischer	Gring	Saloom	Zord
Gallen			

NOT VOTING—15

Bennett	Gallagher	Shelton	Torak
Bixler	McGraw	Silverman	
Bush	Novak	Spencer	Fineman,
Claypoole	Quiles	Sullivan	Speaker
Crowley			

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of Senate bill No. 1434, printer's No. 1719, entitled:

An Act amending the act of September 15, 1969 (Appropriation Act No. 13-A), entitled "An act making an appropriation to the Treasury Department out of the Motor License Fund for the purpose of paying the interest and principal requirements on general obligation bonds issued for highway purposes," increasing the amount of the appropriation.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—181

Alexander	Geisler	Lynch, Frank	Ruggiero
Allen, F. M.	Gekas	Melady	Rush
Allen, W. W.	Golfend	Manbeck	Ryan
Anderson, J. H.	George	Manderino	Rybak
Anderson, S. A.	Gillette	Martino	Savitt
Appleton	Gleeson	McAneny	Seanton
Bachman	Goodman	McClatchy	Schmitt
Bair	Halverson	McCurdy	Seltzer
Barber	Hamilton, J. H.	McMonagle	Semanoff
Bellomini	Hamilton, R. K.	Mebus	Shelhamer
Beloff	Harrier	Meholchick	Shelton
Beren	Haudenschild	Melton	Sherman
Berkes	Hayes	Mifflin	Shuman
Berson	Headlee	Miller, M. E.	Shupnik
Bittle	Hepford	Miller, P. W.	Slack
Blair	Hetrick	Moore	Smith
Bonetto	Hill	Moscrip	Snare
Bossert	Holman	Mullen	Stauffer
Brunner	Homer	Murphy	Steckel
Burkardt	Hopkins	Murtha	Steele
Butera	Horner	Musto	Stemmler
Caputo	Hovis	Needham	Stone
Comer	Hutchinson	Nicholson	Taylor
Coppolino	Irvic	Nitrauer	Tayoun
Crawford	Johnson, G.	Nolan	Thomas
Crowley	Johnson, J.	O'Brien, B.	Tiberi
Dager	Johnson, T.	O'Brien, F.	Valicenti
Davis, D.	Kahle	O'Connell	Vann
Davis, R.	Katz	O'Donnell	Walsh
DeJoseph	Kaufman	O'Pake	Wansacz
DeMedio	Kelly	Pancoast	Wargo
Dininni	Kennedy	Parker	Weidner
Donaldson	Kernaghan	Perry	Westerberg
Dorsey	Kester	Pezak	Wilson
Dwyer	Kistler	Pievsky	Wilt, R. E.
Eckensberger	Kolter	Piper	Wilt, R. W.
Engelhart	Kowalshyn	Pittenger	Wilt, W. W.
Eshback	Kury	Polaski	Wise
Fee	LaMarca	Prendergast	Wojdak
Fenrich	Laudadio	Renninger	Worley
Foor	Lawson	Renwick	Worrlow
Fox	Lee	Reynolds	Wright
Frank	Lehr	Rieger	Yahner
Fryer	Lutty	Ritter	Yohn
Fulmer	Lynch, Francis	Ruane	Zearfoss
Geesey			Zimmerman

NAYS—8

Fischer	Good	Gring	Worley
Gallen	Greenfield	Saloom	Zord

NOT VOTING—14

Bennett	Gallagher	Quiles	Torak
Bixler	Cross	Silverman	
Bush	McGraw	Spencer	Finemen,
Claypoole	Novak	Sullivan	Speaker

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of Senate bill No. 1461, printer's No. 1833, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the State Treasurer from restricted revenue in the Motor License Fund for the use of the aviation operations program of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask a question on this bill of the chairman of the Appropriations Committee. I am not certain whether or not it was amended yesterday.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. I believe the gentleman's bill was amended yesterday.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, I am informed by the Appropriations Committee chairman, Mr. Mullen, that House bill No. 1461, printer's No. 1833, was amended yesterday and is now ready for a vote.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. That is correct.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—178

- Alexander, Gelfand, Malady, Ruggiero, Allen, F. M., George, Manbeck, Rush, Allen, W. W., Gillette, Mandertino, Ryan, Anderson, J. H., Gleeson, Martino, Rybak, Anderson, S. A., Good, McAneny, Savitt, Appleton, Goodman, McClatchy, Scanlon, Bachman, Greenfield, McCurdy, Schmitt, Bair, Gross, McMonagle, Seltzer, Barber, Halverson, Mebus, Semanoff, Bellomini, Hamilton, J. H., Meholchick, Shelhamer, Beloff, Hamilton, R. K., Melton, Shelton, Beren, Harrier, Mifflin, Sherman, Berkes, Haudenschild, Miller, M. E., Shuman, Berson, Hayes, Miller, P. W., Shupnik, Bittle, Headlee, Moore, Slack, Blair, Hepford, Moscrip, Smith, Bonetto, Hetrick, Mullen, Snare, Bossert, Hill, Murphy, Stauffer, Brunner, Holman, Murtha, Steckel, Burkardt, Homer, Musto, Steele, Butera, Hopkins, Needham, Stemmier, Caputo, Horner, Nitrauer, Stone, Comer, Hutchinson, Nolan, Taylor, Coppelino, Irvis, Novak, Tayoun, Crawford, Johnson, G., O'Brien, B., Thomas, Crowley, Johnson, J., O'Brien, F., Tiberi, Dager, Johnson, T., O'Connell, Valicenti, Davis, D., Kahle, O'Donnell, Vann, DeJoseph, Katz, O'Pake, Walsh, DeMedio, Kaufman, Pancost, Wansacz, Dininni, Kelly, Parker, Wargo, Dorsey, Kernaghan, Pezak, Westerberg, Dwyer, Kester, Pievsky, Wilson, Eckensberger, Kistler, Pievsky, Wilt, R. E., Englehart, Kolter, Piper, Wilt, R. W., Eshback, Kowalshyn, Pittenger, Wilt, W. W., Fee, Kury, Polaski, Wise, Fenrich, LaMarea, Prendergast, Foor, Laudadio, Renninger, Worrlow, Fox, Lawson, Renwick, Wright, Frank, Lee, Reynolds, Yahner, Fryer, Lehr, Rieger, Yohn, Fulmer, Lully, Ritter, Zearfoss, Geisler, Lynch, Francis, Ruane, Gekas, Lynch, Frank, Zimmerman

NAYS—11

- Davis, R., Gecsey, Kennedy, Fischer, Gring, Saloom, Zord, Gallen, Hovis, Weldner

NOT VOTING—14

- Bennett, Donaldson, Qulles, Torak, Bixler, Gallagher, Silverman, Fineman, Bush, McGraw, Spencer, Speaker, Claypoole, Nicholson, Sullivan

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

NONPREFERRED APPROPRIATION BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 2454, printer's No. 3290, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Downingtown Industrial and Agricultural School, Downingtown, Pennsylvania.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—151

- Allen, F. M., Gillette, Mandertino, Rybak, Allen, W. W., Gleeson, Martino, Savitt, Anderson, J. H., Goodman, McAneny, Scanlon, Anderson, S. A., Greenfield, McClatchy, Seltzer, Bachman, Gross, McCurdy, Semanoff, Barber, Hamilton, R. K., Mebus, Shelhamer, Bellomini, Haudenschild, Meholchick, Sherman, Boren, Headlee, Melton, Shupnik, Berkes, Hepford, Mifflin, Slack, Berson, Hetrick, Miller, M. E., Smith, Bittle, Holman, Miller, P. W., Snare, Blair, Homer, Moore, Stauffer, Bonetto, Hopkins, Mullen, Steele, Brunner, Hopkins, Murphy, Stemmier, Butera, Horner, Murtha, Stone, Caputo, Hovis, Musto, Taylor, Comer, Hutchinson, Needham, Thomas, Coppelino, Irvis, Nitrauer, Valicenti, Crawford, Johnson, J., Nojan, Crowley, Johnson, T., Novak, Dager, Kaufman, O'Brien, B., Walsh, Davis, R., Kelly, O'Brien, F., Wansacz, DeMedio, Kennedy, O'Connell, Wargo, Dininni, Kernaghan, Pezak, Westerberg, Dorsey, Kester, Kistler, Wilson, Englehart, Kolter, Pievsky, Wilt, R. E., Eshback, Kowalshyn, Piper, Wilt, R. W., Fee, LaMarca, Pittenger, Wilt, W. W., Fenrich, Laudadio, Prendergast, Foor, Lawson, Renninger, Worrlow, Fox, Lee, Renwick, Wright, Fulmer, Lehr, Rieger, Yahner, Gecsey, Lully, Ritter, Zearfoss, Geisler, Lynch, Francis, Ruane, Gekas, Lynch, Frank, Zimmerman, Gelfand, Malady, Rush, Manbeck, Ryan

NAYS—36

- Alexander, Fischer, Hill, Ritter, Appleton, Frank, Johnson, G., Ruane, Bair, Fryer, Kahle, Saloom, Bossert, Gallen, Katz, Schmitt, Burkardt, Good, Kury, Shuman, Davis, D., Gring, Moscrip, Steele, DeJoseph, Halverson, O'Pake, Tiberi, Dwyer, Hamilton, J. H., Piper, Worley, Eckensberger, Harrier, Rottwick, Zord

NOT VOTING—16

Beloff	Gallagher	Shelton	Torak
Bennett	McGraw	Silverman	
Bixler	McMonagle	Spencer	Fineman, Speaker
Bush	Nicholson	Sullivan	
Claypoole	Quiles		

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 213, printer's No. 247, entitled:**

An Act amending the "State Employees' Retirement Code of 1959," approved June 1, 1959 (P. L. 392), extending credit for military service.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—150

Alexander	Gillette	Manbeck	Rush
Allen, F. M.	Gleeson	Mandolino	Ryan
Allen, W. W.	Goodman	Martino	Saleom
Anderson, S. A.	Greenfield	McAneny	Savitt
Bachman	Gring	McClatchy	Schmitt
Barber	Gross	McCurdy	Seltzer
Bellommi	Halverson	McMonagle	Semanoff
Beloff	Hamilton, J. H.	Mebus	Shelton
Berkes	Hamilton, R. K.	Meholchick	Sherman
Berson	Harrier	Mellon	Shupnik
Blittle	Haudenshield	Miffin	Slack
Bossert	Hayes	Miller, M. E.	Smith
Brunner	Headlee	Miller, P. W.	Snare
Burkardt	Hill	Moore	Stauffer
Butera	Holman	Moscip	Steckel
Caputo	Homar	Mullen	Steele
Comer	Hopkins	Murphy	Stemmler
Coppolino	Horner	Musto	Stone
Crawford	Irvie	Needham	Taylor
Crowley	Johnson, G.	Nicholson	Teyoun
Dager	Johnson, J.	Nittrauer	Thomas
Davis, D.	Johnson, T.	Nolan	Tiberi
Davis, R.	Kahle	Novak	Vallenti
DeJoseph	Katz	O'Brien, B.	Vann
DeMedio	Kaufman	O'Brien, F.	Walsh
Donaldson	Kelly	O'Connell	Wansacz
Dorsey	Kennedy	O'Donnell	Wargo
Dwyer	Kernaghan	Perry	Weldner
Eckensberger	Kester	Pezak	Westerberg
Englehart	Kistler	Plevsky	Wilson
Eshback	Kury	Polanski	Wilt, R. E.
Fee	LaMarca	Prendergast	Wilt, R. W.
Fenrich	Laudadio	Rerwinger	Wilt, W. W.
Fischer	Lawson	Renwick	Wojdak
Fox	Lutty	Reynolds	Worrlow
Fulmer	Lynch, Francis	Rieger	Wright
Geisler	Lynch, Frank	Ruane	Zearfoss
Gelfand	Malady		

NAYS—40

Anderson, J. H.	Gallen	Kowalshyn	Rybak
Appleton	Geesey	Lehr	Scanlon
Bair	Gekas	Murtha	Shelhamer
Beren	George	O'Pake	Shuman
Blair	Good	Pancoast	Wise
Bonetto	Hepford	Parker	Worley
Dininni	Hetrick	Piper	Yahner

Foor	Hovis	Pittenger	Yohn
Frank	Hutchinson	Ritter	Zimmerman
Fryer	Kolter	Ruggiero	Zord

NOT VOTING—13

Bennett	Gallagher	Silverman	Torak
Bixler	Lee	Spencer	
Bush	McGraw	Sullivan	Fineman, Speaker
Claypoole	Quiles		

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

TEMPLE UNIVERSITY STUDENTS WELCOMED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair at this time would like to welcome to the hall of the House a group of students from the political science department of Temple University in Philadelphia. They are the guests of that distinguished graduate of Temple and Temple Law School, Herbert Fineman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. HEPFORD.

Mr. HEPFORD. We would request the Chair to advise the gentlemen who are guests in the House and any others to remove their hats.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair so takes notice of the gentleman's remarks.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 759, printer's No. 879, entitled:**

An Act amending "The Administrative Code of 1929," approved April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177), creating the State Board of Examiners of Electrical Contractors as a departmental administrative board in the Department of State.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

Mr. COMER moved that House bill No. 759 be recommitted to the Committee on State Government.

The motion was agreed to.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 1976, printer's No. 2553, entitled:**

An Act amending "The Pennsylvania Workmen's Compensation Act," approved June 2, 1915 (P. L. 736), changing the time during which compensation may be claimed.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—190

Alexander	Geisler	Lynch, Francis	Ruggiero
Allen, F. M.	Gekas	Lynch, Frank	Rush

Allen, W. W.	Gelfand	Malady	Ryan
Anderson, J. H.	George	Manbeck	Rybak
Anderson, S. A.	Gillette	Manderino	Saloom
Appleton	Gleeson	Martino	Savitt
Bachman	Good	McAneny	Scanlon
Bair	Goodman	McClatchy	Scmitt
Barber	Greenfield	McCurdy	Seltzer
Bellomini	Gring	McMonagle	Shelhamer
Beloff	Gross	Mebus	Shelton
Beren	Halverson	Meholchick	Sherman
Berkes	Hamilton, J. H.	Melton	Shuman
Berson	Hamilton, R. K.	Mifflin	Shupnik
Bittie	Harrier	Miller, M. E.	Slack
Blair	Haudenshield	Miller, P. W.	Smith
Bonetto	Hayes	Moore	Snare
Bossert	Headlee	Moscip	Stauffer
Brunner	Hepford	Mullen	Steckel
Burkardt	Hetrick	Murphy	Steele
Butera	Hill	Murtha	Stemmler
Caputo	Holman	Musto	Stone
Comer	Homer	Needham	Taylor
Coppolino	Hopkins	Nicholson	Tayoun
Crawford	Horner	Nitrauer	Thomas
Crowley	Hovis	Nolan	Tiberi
Dager	Hutehinson	Novak	Valicenti
Davis, D.	Irvic	O'Brien, B.	Vann
Davis, R.	Johnson, G.	O'Brien, F.	Walsh
DeJoseph	Johnson, J.	O'Connell	Wansacz
DeMedio	Johnson, T.	O'Donnell	Wargo
Dininni	Kahle	O'Pake	Weidner
Donaldson	Katz	Pancoast	Westerberg
Dorsey	Kaufman	Parker	Wilson
Dwyer	Kelly	Perry	Wilt, R. E.
Eckensberger	Kennedy	Pezak	Wilt, R. W.
Engelhart	Kernaghan	Pievsky	Wilt, W. W.
Kshback	Kester	Piper	Wise
Fee	Kistler	Pittenger	Wojdak
Fenrich	Kolter	Polaski	Worley
Flacher	Kowalyshyn	Prendergast	Worllow
Foor	Kury	Renninger	Wright
Fox	LaMarca	Renwick	Yahner
Frank	Laudadio	Reynolds	Yohn
Fryer	Lawson	Rieger	Zearfoss
Fulmer	Lee	Ritter	Zimmerman
Gallen	Lehr	Ruane	Zord
Geesey	Lutty		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—13

Bennett	Gallagher	Silverman	Torak
Bixler	McGraw	Spencer	
Bush	Quiles	Sullivan	Fineman, Speaker
Claypoole	Semanoff		

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 2096, printer's No. 2642, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 18, 1937 (P. L. 654), entitled, as amended, "An act to provide for the safety and to protect the health and morals of persons while employed; * * *," increasing penalties.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—189

Alexander	Gekas	Lynch, Francis	Rush
Allen, F. M.	Gelfand	Lynch, Frank	Ryan

Allen, W. W.	George	Malady	Rybak
Anderson, J. H.	Gillette	Manbeck	Saloom
Anderson, S. A.	Gleeson	Manderino	Savitt
Appleton	Good	Martino	Scanlon
Bachman	Goodman	McAneny	Scmitt
Bair	Greenfield	McClatchy	Seltzer
Barber	Gring	McCurdy	Semanoff
Bellomini	Gross	McMonagle	Shelhamer
Beren	Halverson	Mebus	Shelton
Berkes	Hamilton, J. H.	Meholchick	Sherman
Berson	Hamilton, R. K.	Melton	Shuman
Bittie	Harrier	Mifflin	Shupnik
Blair	Haudenshield	Miller, M. E.	Slack
Bonetto	Hayes	Miller, P. W.	Smith
Bossert	Headlee	Moore	Snare
Brunner	Hepford	Moscip	Stauffer
Burkardt	Hetrick	Mullen	Steckel
Butera	Hill	Murphy	Steele
Caputo	Holman	Murtha	Stemmler
Comer	Homer	Musto	Stone
Coppolino	Hopkins	Needham	Taylor
Crawford	Horner	Nitrauer	Tayoun
Crowley	Hovis	Nolan	Thomas
Dager	Hutehinson	Novak	Tiberi
Davis, D.	Irvic	O'Brien, B.	Valicenti
Davis, R.	Johnson, G.	O'Brien, F.	Vann
DeJoseph	Johnson, J.	O'Connell	Walsh
DeMedio	Johnson, T.	O'Donnell	Wansacz
Dininni	Kahle	O'Pake	Wargo
Donaldson	Katz	Pancoast	Weidner
Dorsey	Kaufman	Parker	Westerberg
Dwyer	Kelly	Perry	Wilson
Eckensberger	Kennedy	Pezak	Wilt, R. E.
Engelhart	Kernaghan	Pievsky	Wilt, R. W.
Kshback	Kester	Piper	Wilt, W. W.
Fee	Kistler	Pittenger	Wise
Fenrich	Kolter	Polaski	Wojdak
Flacher	Kowalyshyn	Prendergast	Worley
Foor	Kury	Renninger	Worllow
Fox	LaMarca	Renwick	Wright
Frank	Laudadio	Reynolds	Yahner
Fryer	Lawson	Rieger	Yohn
Fulmer	Lee	Ritter	Zearfoss
Gallen	Lehr	Ruane	Zimmerman
Geesey	Lutty	Ruggiero	Zord

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—14

Beloff	Claypoole	Quiles	Torak
Bennett	Gallagher	Silverman	
Bixler	McGraw	Spencer	Fineman, Speaker
Bush	Nicholson	Sullivan	

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 2298, printer's No. 3369, entitled:

An Act amending "The Vehicle Code," approved April 29, 1959 (P. L. 58), further providing penalties for certain violations involving certificates of title, registration cards, operators' licenses and learners' permits.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—190

Alexander	Geisler	Lynch, Frank	Rush
Allen, F. M.	Gekas	Malady	Ryan

Allen, W. W.	Gelfand	Manbeck	Rybak
Anderson, J. H.	George	Mandorino	Saloom
Anderson, S. A.	Gillette	Martino	Savitt
Appleton	Gleeson	McAneny	Scanlon
Bachman	Good	McClatchy	Schmitt
Bair	Goodman	McCurdy	Seltzer
Barber	Greenfield	McMonagle	Semanoff
Bellomini	Gring	Mebus	Shelhamer
Beloff	Halverson	Meholchick	Shelton
Beren	Hamilton, J. H.	Melton	Sherman
Berkcs	Hamilton, R. K.	Miffin	Shuman
Berson	Harrier	Miller, M. E.	Shupnik
Bittle	Haudenshield	Miller, P. W.	Slack
Blair	Hayes	Moore	Smith
Bonetto	Headlee	Moscip	Snare
Bossert	Hepford	Mullen	Stauffer
Brunner	Hetrick	Murphy	Steckel
Burkardt	Hill	Murtha	Steele
Butera	Holman	Musto	Stemmier
Caputo	Homer	Needham	Stone
Comer	Hopkins	Nicholson	Taylor
Coppolino	Horner	Nitrauer	Tayoun
Crawford	Hovis	Nolan	Thomas
Crowley	Hutchinson	Novak	Tiberi
Dager	Irvis	O'Brien, B.	Valicenti
Davis, D.	Johnson, G.	O'Brien, F.	Vann
Davis, R.	Johnson, J.	O'Connell	Walsh
DeJoseph	Johnson, T.	O'Donnell	Wansacz
DeMedio	Kahle	O'Paake	Wargo
Dinnini	Katz	Pancoast	Weidner
Donaldson	Kaufman	Parker	Westerberg
Dorsey	Kelly	Perry	Wilson
Dwyer	Kennedy	Pezak	Wilt, R. E.
Eckensberger	Kernaghan	Pievsky	Wilt, R. W.
Englehart	Kester	Piper	Wilt, W. W.
Eshback	Kistler	Pittenger	Wise
Fee	Kolter	Polaski	Wojdak
Fenrich	Kowalyszyn	Prendergast	Worley
Fischer	Kury	Renninger	Worriow
Foor	LaMarca	Renwick	Wright
Fox	Laudadio	Reynolds	Yahner
Frank	Lawson	Rieger	Yohn
Fryer	Lee	Ritter	Zearfoss
Fulmer	Lehr	Ruane	Zimmerman
Gallen	Lutty	Ruggiero	Zord
Geesey	Lynch, Francis		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—13

Bennett	Gallagher	Silverman	Torak
Bixler	Gross	Spencer	
Bush	McGraw	Sullivan	Fineman,
Claypoole	Quiles		Speaker

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 2320, printer's No. 3114, entitled:**

An Act amending "The Game Law," approved June 3, 1937 (P. L. 1225), increasing the number of special dog training areas which may be established in any one county from eight to ten.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question,

Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—188

Alexander	Geisler	Lynch, Francis	Rush
Allen, F. M.	Gekas	Lynch, Frank	Ryan

Allen, W. W.	Gelfand	Malady	Rybak
Anderson, J. H.	George	Manbeck	Saloom
Anderson, S. A.	Gillette	Mandorino	Savitt
Appleton	Gleeson	Martino	Scanlon
Bachman	Good	McAneny	Schmitt
Bair	Goodman	McClatchy	Seltzer
Barber	Greenfield	McCurdy	Semanoff
Bellomini	Gring	McMonagle	Shelhamer
Beloff	Gross	Mebus	Shelton
Beren	Hamilton, J. H.	Meholchick	Sherman
Berkcs	Hamilton, R. K.	Melton	Shuman
Berson	Harrier	Miffin	Shupnik
Bittle	Haudenshield	Miller, M. E.	Slack
Blair	Hayes	Miller, P. W.	Smith
Bonetto	Headlee	Moore	Snare
Bossert	Hepford	Moscip	Stauffer
Brunner	Hetrick	Mullen	Steckel
Burkardt	Hill	Murphy	Steele
Butera	Holman	Murtha	Stemmier
Caputo	Homer	Musto	Stone
Comer	Hopkins	Needham	Taylor
Coppolino	Hornor	Nicholson	Tayoun
Crawford	Hovis	Nitrauer	Thomas
Crowley	Hutchinson	Nolan	Tiberi
Dager	Irvis	Novak	Valicenti
Davis, D.	Johnson, G.	O'Brien, B.	Vann
Davis, R.	Johnson, J.	O'Brien, F.	Walsh
DeJoseph	Johnson, T.	O'Connell	Wansacz
DeMedio	Kahle	O'Paake	Wargo
Dinnini	Katz	Pancoast	Weidner
Donaldson	Kaufman	Parker	Westerberg
Dorsey	Kelly	Perry	Wilson
Dwyer	Kennedy	Pezak	Wilt, R. E.
Eckensberger	Kernaghan	Pievsky	Wilt, R. W.
Englehart	Kester	Piper	Wilt, W. W.
Eshback	Kistler	Pittenger	Wise
Fee	Kolter	Polaski	Wojdak
Fenrich	Kowalyszyn	Prendergast	Worley
Fischer	Kury	Renninger	Worriow
Foor	LaMarca	Renwick	Wright
Fox	Laudadio	Reynolds	Yahner
Frank	Lawson	Rieger	Yohn
Fryer	Lee	Ritter	Zearfoss
Fulmer	Lehr	Ruane	Zimmerman
Gallen	Lutty	Ruggiero	Zord
Geesey			

NAYS—3

Bossert	Halverson	Pittenger
---------	-----------	-----------

NOT VOTING—12

Bennett	Gallagher	Silverman	Torak
Bixler	Gross	Spencer	
Bush	McGraw	Sullivan	Fineman,
Claypoole	Quiles		Speaker

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of **House bill No. 2396, printer's No. 3192, entitled:**

An Act amending the "Sproul Highway Law," approved May 31, 1911 (P. L. 468), further providing for payment of steel used in highways.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question,

Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—186

Alexander	Geesey	Malady	Rybak
Allen, F. M.	Geisler	Manbeck	Saloom

Allen, W. W.	Gekas	Manderino	Savitt
Anderson, J. H.	Gelfand	Martino	Scanlon
Anderson, S. A.	George	McAneny	Schmitt
Appleton	Gleeson	McClatchy	Seltzer
Bechman	Good	McCurdy	Semanoff
Bair	Goodman	McMonagle	Shelhamer
Barber	Greenfield	Mebus	Shelton
Bellomini	Gring	Meholchick	Sherman
Beloff	Halverson	Melton	Shuman
Beren	Hamilton, J. H.	Mifflin	Shupnik
Berkes	Hamilton, R. K.	Miller, M. E.	Slack
Berson	Harrier	Miller, P. W.	Smith
Bittle	Haudenshield	Moore	Snares
Blair	Hayes	Moscrip	Stauffer
Bonetto	Headlee	Murphy	Steckel
Bossert	Hepford	Musto	Steele
Brunner	Hetrick	Needham	Stemmler
Burkardt	Hill	Nicholson	Stone
Butera	Holman	Nitrauer	Sullivan
Caputo	Homer	Nolan	Taylor
Comer	Hovis	Novak	Tayoun
Coppolino	Hutchinson	O'Brien, B.	Thomas
Crawford	Irvis	O'Brien, F.	Tiberi
Crowley	Johnson, G.	O'Connell	Valicenti
Dager	Johnson, J.	O'Donnell	Vann
Davis, D.	Johnson, T.	O'Pake	Walsh
Davis, R.	Kahle	Pancoast	Wansacz
DeJoseph	Katz	Parker	Wargo
DeMedio	Kaufman	Perry	Weidner
Dininni	Kelly	Pezak	Westerberg
Donaldson	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wilson
Dorsey	Kernaghan	Piper	Witt, R. E.
Dwyer	Kester	Pittenger	Witt, R. W.
Eckensberger	Kistler	Polaski	Witt, W. W.
Englehart	Kolter	Prendergast	Wise
Eshback	Kowalshyn	Renninger	Wojdak
Fee	Kury	Renwick	Worley
Fenrich	LaMarca	Reynolds	WorriLOW
Fischer	Laudadio	Rieger	Wright
Foor	Lawson	Ritter	Yahner
Fox	Lee	Ruane	Yohn
Frank	Lehr	Ruggiero	Zearfoss
Fryer	Lutty	Rush	Zimmerman
Fulmer	Lynch, Francis	Ryan	Zord
Gallen	Lynch, Frank		

NAYS—2

Horner Murtha

NOT VOTING—15

Bennett	Gillette	Mullen	Torak
Bixler	Gross	Quiles	
Bush	Hopkins	Silverman	Fineman,
Claypoole	McGraw	Spencer	Speaker
Gallagher			

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 2408, printer's No. 3363, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 21, 1957 (P. L. 390), entitled "An act requiring certain records of the Commonwealth and its political subdivisions and of certain authorities and other agencies performing essential governmental functions to be open for examination and inspection by citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; * * *," changing the definition of "public record."

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question,

Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—191

Alexander	Geisler	Lynch, Frank	Ryan
Allen, F. M.	Gekas	Malady	Rybak
Allen, W. W.	Gelfand	Manbeck	Saloom
Anderson, J. H.	George	Manderino	Savitt
Anderson, S. A.	Gillette	Martino	Scanlon
Appleton	Gleeson	McAneny	Schmitt
Bechman	Good	McClatchy	Seltzer
Bair	Goodman	McCurdy	Semanoff
Barber	Greenfield	McMonagle	Shelhamer
Bellomini	Gring	Mebus	Shelton
Beloff	Gross	Meholchick	Sherman
Beren	Halverson	Melton	Shuman
Berkes	Hamilton, J. H.	Mifflin	Shupnik
Berson	Hamilton, R. K.	Miller, M. E.	Slack
Bittle	Harrier	Miller, P. W.	Smith
Blair	Haudenshield	Moore	Snares
Bonetto	Hayes	Moscrip	Stauffer
Bossert	Headlee	Mullen	Steckel
Brunner	Hepford	Murphy	Steele
Burkardt	Hetrick	Murtha	Stemmler
Butera	Hill	Musto	Stone
Caputo	Holman	Needham	Sullivan
Comer	Homer	Nicholson	Taylor
Coppolino	Hopkins	Nitrauer	Tayoun
Crawford	Horner	Nolan	Thomas
Crowley	Hovis	Novak	Tiberi
Dager	Hutchinson	O'Brien, B.	Valicenti
Davis, D.	Irvis	O'Brien, F.	Vann
Davis, R.	Johnson, G.	O'Connell	Walsh
DeJoseph	Johnson, J.	O'Donnell	Wansacz
DeMedio	Johnson, T.	O'Pake	Wargo
Dininni	Kahle	Pancoast	Weidner
Donaldson	Katz	Parker	Westerberg
Dorsey	Kaufman	Perry	Wilson
Dwyer	Kennedy	Pezak	Witt, R. E.
Eckensberger	Kernaghan	Pievsky	Witt, R. W.
Englehart	Kester	Piper	Witt, W. W.
Eshback	Kistler	Pittenger	Wise
Fee	Kolter	Polaski	Wojdak
Fenrich	Kowalshyn	Prendergast	Worley
Fischer	Kury	Renninger	WorriLOW
Foor	LaMarca	Renwick	Wright
Fox	Laudadio	Reynolds	Yahner
Frank	Lawson	Rieger	Yohn
Fryer	Lee	Ritter	Zearfoss
Fulmer	Lehr	Ruane	Zimmerman
Gallen	Lutty	Ruggiero	Zord
Geesey	Lynch, Francis	Rush	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—12

Bennett	Gallagher	Quiles	Torak
Bixler	Kelly	Silverman	
Bush	McGraw	Spencer	Fineman,
Claypoole			Speaker

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 2295, printer's No. 3083, entitled:

An Act amending "The Vehicle Code," approved April 29, 1959 (P. L. 58), changing the penalty for operating any motor vehicle or tractor after the operating privilege is suspended or revoked or after the privilege to apply for an operator's license or learner's permit is suspended.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question,

Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—185

Alexander	Gekas	Lynch, Francis	Rush
Allen, W. W.	Gelfand	Lynch, Frank	Ryan
Anderson, J. H.	George	Malady	Rybak
Anderson, S. A.	Gillette	Manbeck	Saioom
Appleton	Gleeson	Manderino	Savitt
Bachman	Good	Martino	Scanlon
Bair	Goodman	McAneny	Schmitt
Barber	Greenfield	McClatchy	Seltzer
Bellomini	Gring	McCurdy	Semanoff
Beloff	Gross	McMonagle	Shelton
Beren	Hamilton, J. H.	Meholchick	Shelton
Berkos	Hamilton, R. K.	Melton	Sherman
Berson	Harrier	Mifflin	Shuman
Bittle	Haudensfield	Miller, M. E.	Shupnik
Blair	Hayes	Miller, P. W.	Slack
Bonetto	Headlee	Moore	Smith
Bossert	Hopford	Mullen	Snare
Brunner	Hetrick	Murphy	Stauffer
Burkardt	Hill	Murtha	Steeckel
Butera	Holman	Musto	Steele
Caputo	Homer	Needham	Stemmler
Comer	Hopkins	Nicholson	Stone
Coppolino	Horner	Nitrauer	Sullivan
Crawford	Hovis	Noian	Taylor
Crowley	Hutchinson	Novak	Tayoun
Dager	Irvic	O'Brien, E.	Thomas
Davis, D.	Johnson, G.	O'Brien, F.	Tiberi
Davis, R.	Johnson, J.	O'Connell	Valicenti
DeJoseph	Johnson, T.	O'Donnell	Vann
DeMedio	Kahle	O'Pake	Walsh
Donaldson	Katz	Pancoast	Wansacz
Dorsey	Kaufman	Parker	Wargo
Dwyer	Kelly	Perry	Weidner
Eckensberger	Kennedy	Pezak	Westorberg
Engelhart	Kernaghan	Pievsky	Wilson
Eshback	Kester	Piper	Wilt, R. E.
Fee	Kistler	Pittenger	Wilt, R. W.
Fenrich	Kolter	Polaski	Wilt, W. W.
Fischer	Kowalshyn	Prendergast	Wise
Foor	Kury	Renninger	Wojdak
Fox	LaMarca	Renwick	Worrlow
Frank	Laudadio	Reynolds	Wright
Fryer	Lawson	Rieger	Yahner
Fulmer	Lee	Ritter	Zearfoss
Gallen	Lehr	Ruane	Zimmerman
Geesey	Lutty	Ruggiero	Zord
Geisler			

NAYS—6

Allen, F. M.	Mebus	Worley	Yohn
Halverson	Moscrip		

NOT VOTING—12

Bennett	Dininni	Quiles	Torak
Bixler	Gallagher	Silverman	
Bush	McGraw	Spencer	Finoman.
Claypoole			Speskw

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

ERIE COUNTY VISITORS WELCOMED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair at this time would like to welcome to the hall of the House a group of officers of Pennsylvania's newest industry, the Pennsylvania Shore Vineyards Winery, Erie County, under the direction of Mr. Blair McCord and Mr. George Seclford. They are the guests of the gentleman from Erie, Mr. Hopkins.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. Hopkins.

Mr. HOPKINS. Mr. Speaker, the gentlemen in the rear of the hall from the Penn-Shore Vineyards in Erie County have a bottle of wine for each member of the General Assembly.

However, I have advised them not to pass this out

because it might be construed as bribery inasmuch as there is a bill on the calendar that benefits their winery. So they will be unable to pass that out at the present time.

Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 2437, printer's No. 3259, entitled:

An Act amending "The First Class Township Code," approved June 24, 1931 (P. L. 1206), authorizing townships to regulate or prohibit open burning.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question,

Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—185

Alexander	Gelfand	Manderino	Rybak
Allen, F. M.	George	Martino	Saioom
Allen, W. W.	Gillette	McAneny	Savitt
Anderson, J. H.	Gleeson	McClatchy	Scanlon
Anderson, S. A.	Good	McCurdy	Schmitt
Appleton	Goodman	McMonagle	Seltzer
Bachman	Greenfield	Mebus	Semanoff
Barber	Gring	Meholchick	Shelton
Bellomini	Gross	Melton	Shelton
Beloff	Halverson	Mifflin	Sherman
Beren	Hamilton, J. H.	Miller, M. E.	Shuman
Berkos	Hamilton, R. K.	Miller, P. W.	Shupnik
Berson	Harrier	Moore	Slack
Bittle	Hayes	Moscrip	Smith
Blair	Headlee	Mullen	Snare
Bonetto	Hopford	Murphy	Stauffer
Brunner	Hetrick	Murtha	Steeckel
Burkardt	Hill	Musto	Steele
Butera	Holman	Needham	Stemmler
Caputo	Homer	Nicholson	Stone
Comer	Hopkins	Nitrauer	Sullivan
Coppolino	Horner	Noian	Taylor
Crawford	Hovis	Novak	Tayoun
Crowley	Hutchinson	O'Brien, E.	Thomas
Dager	Irvic	O'Brien, F.	Tiberi
Davis, D.	Johnson, G.	O'Connell	Valicenti
Davis, R.	Johnson, T.	O'Donnell	Vann
DeJoseph	Kahle	O'Pake	Walsh
DeMedio	Katz	Pancoast	Wansacz
Dininni	Kelly	Parker	Wargo
Donaldson	Kennedy	Perry	Weidner
Dorsey	Kernaghan	Pezak	Westorberg
Dwyer	Kester	Pievsky	Wilson
Eckensberger	Kistler	Piper	Wilt, R. E.
Engelhart	Kolter	Pittenger	Wilt, R. W.
Fee	Kowalshyn	Polaski	Wilt, W. W.
Fenrich	Kury	Prendergast	Wise
Fischer	LaMarca	Renninger	Wojdak
Foor	Laudadio	Renwick	Worley
Fox	Lawson	Reynolds	Worrlow
Frank	Lee	Rieger	Wright
Fryer	Lehr	Ritter	Yahner
Fulmer	Lutty	Ruane	Yohn
Gallen	Lynch, Francis	Ruggiero	Zearfoss
Geesey	Lynch, Frank	Rush	Zimmerman
Geisler	Malady	Ryan	Zord
Gekas			

NAYS—4

Bair	Bossert	Eshback	Manbeck
------	---------	---------	---------

NOT VOTING—14

Bennett	Gallagher	McGraw	Torak
Bixler	Haudenshield	Quiles	
Bush	Johnson, J.	Silverman	Fineman,
Claypoole	Kaufman	Spencer	Speaker

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 2459, printer's No. 3304, entitled:

An Act amending "The County Code," approved August 9, 1955 (P. L. 323), giving the board of commissioners the power of eminent domain for the acquisition of property for flood control and prevention purposes.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question,

Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—170

Alexander	Gelfand	Manderino	Rush
Allen, F. M.	George	Martino	Ryan
Allen, W. W.	Gillette	McAneny	Rybak
Anderson, S. A.	Glesson	McClatchy	Saloom
Bachman	Good	McCurdy	Scavitt
Barber	Goodman	McMonagle	Scanlon
Bellomina	Greenfield	Mebus	Schmitt
Beloff	Gross	Meholchick	Seltzer
Beren	Halverson	Melton	Semanoff
Berkes	Hamilton, R. K.	Mifflin	Shelton
Berson	Haudenshield	Miller, M. E.	Sherman
Blair	Hayes	Miller, P. W.	Shupnik
Bonetto	Headlee	Moore	Slack
Brunner	Hepford	Mullen	Snare
Butera	Hetrick	Murphy	Stautfer
Caputo	Holman	Murtha	Steckel
Comer	Homer	Musto	Steele
Coppolino	Hopkins	Needham	Stemmier
Crawford	Hovis	Nicholson	Stone
Crowley	Hutchinson	Nitrauer	Sullivan
Dager	Irvis	Nolan	Taylor
Davis, D.	Johnson, G.	Novak	Tayoun
Davis, R.	Johnson, J.	O'Brien, B.	Thomas
DeJoseph	Johnson, T.	O'Brien, F.	Tiberi
DeMedio	Kahle	O'Connell	Valicenti
Dininni	Kaufman	O'Donnell	Vann
Donaldson	Kelly	O'Pake	Walsh
Dorsey	Kennedy	Pancoast	Wansacz
Dwyer	Kernaghan	Parker	Wargo
Eckensberger	Kester	Perry	Weidner
Englehart	Kistler	Pezak	Westerberg
Eshback	Kolter	Plevsky	Wilson
Fee	Kowalshyn	Piper	Wilt, R. E.
Fenrich	LaMarca	Pittenger	Wilt, R. W.
Fischer	Laudadio	Polaski	Wilt, W. W.
Foor	Lawson	Prendergast	Wise
Fox	Lee	Renninger	Wojdak
Frank	Lehr	Renwick	Worrlow
Fryer	Lutty	Reynolds	Wright
Fulmer	Lynch, Francis	Rieger	Yahner
Gallen	Lynch, Frank	Ritter	Yohn
Gelsler	Malady	Ruggiero	Zearfoss
Gekas	Manbeck		

NAYS—21

Anderson, J. H.	Geesey	Horner	Shuman
Appleton	Gring	Kury	Smith
Bair	Hamilton, J. H.	Moscrip	Worley
Blittle	Harrier	Ruane	Zimmerman
Boasert	Hill	Shelhamer	Zord
Burkardt			

NOT VOTING—12

Bennett	Gallagher	Quiles	Torak
Bixler	Katz	Silverman	
Bush	McGraw	Spencer	Fineman,
Claypoole			Speaker

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of Senate bill No. 1428, printer's No. 1708, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 18, 1945 (P. L. 809), entitled "An act removing certain roads or sections of road from the State highway system; * * *," removing roads in the Village of Milroy, Armagh Township, Mifflin County.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question,

Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—192

Alexander	Gelsler	Lynch, Francis	Rush
Allen, F. M.	Gekas	Lynch, Frank	Ryan
Allen, W. W.	Golfand	Malady	Rybak
Anderson, J. H.	George	Manbeck	Saloom
Anderson, S. A.	Gillette	Manderino	Scavitt
Appleton	Glesson	Martino	Scanlon
Bachman	Good	McAneny	Schmitt
Bair	Goodman	McClatchy	Seltzer
Barber	Greenfield	McCurdy	Semanoff
Bellomina	Gring	McMonagle	Shelhamer
Beloff	Gross	Mebus	Shelton
Beren	Halverson	Meholchick	Sherman
Berkes	Hamilton, J. H.	Melton	Shuman
Berson	Hamilton, R. K.	Mifflin	Shupnik
Blair	Harrier	Miller, M. E.	Slack
Bonetto	Haudenshield	Miller, P. W.	Smith
Boasert	Hayes	Moore	Snare
Brunner	Headlee	Moscrip	Stautfer
Burkardt	Hepford	Mullen	Steckel
Butera	Hetrick	Murphy	Steele
Caputo	Hill	Murtha	Stemmier
Comer	Holman	Musto	Stone
Coppolino	Homer	Needham	Sullivan
Crawford	Hopkins	Nicholson	Taylor
Crowley	Horner	Nitrauer	Tayoun
Dager	Hovis	Nolan	Thomas
Davis, D.	Hutchinson	Novak	Tiberi
Davis, R.	Irvis	O'Brien, B.	Valicenti
DeJoseph	Johnson, G.	O'Brien, F.	Vann
DeMedio	Johnson, J.	O'Connell	Walsh
Dininni	Johnson, T.	O'Donnell	Wansacz
Donaldson	Kahle	O'Pake	Wargo
Dorsey	Katz	Pancoast	Weidner
Dwyer	Kaufman	Parker	Westerberg
Eckensberger	Kelly	Perry	Wilson
Englehart	Kennedy	Pezak	Wilt, R. E.
Eshback	Kernaghan	Plevsky	Wilt, R. W.
Fee	Kester	Piper	Wilt, W. W.
Fenrich	Kistler	Pittenger	Wise
Fischer	Kolter	Polaski	Wojdak
Foor	Kowalshyn	Prendergast	Worley
Fox	Kury	Renninger	Worrlow
Frank	LaMarca	Renwick	Wright
Fryer	Laudadio	Reynolds	Yahner
Fulmer	Lawson	Rieger	Yohn
Gallen	Lee	Ritter	Zearfoss
Gelsler	Lehr	Ruane	Zimmerman
Gekas	Lutty	Ruggiero	Zord

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—11

Bennett	Claypoole	Quiles	Torak
Bixler	Gallagher	Silverman	
Bush	McGraw	Spencer	Fineman,
			Speaker

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

STATE GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, would you recognize the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Comer, to make an announcement?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Comer. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. COMER. Mr. Speaker, I would like to call a meeting immediately at the rear of the House of the Committee on State Government.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. You have all heard the request for the calling of a committee meeting for the Committee on State Government.

The Chair hears no objection.

CONSUMER PROTECTION COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Gelfand.

Mr. GELFAND. Mr. Speaker, I would like to have a short meeting of the Committee on Consumer Protection in the room to the left of the Speaker's rostrum immediately.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair hears no objection. The gentleman may have his committee meeting of the Committee on Consumer Protection.

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter.

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, I would like to have a brief meeting of the Committee on Transportation as soon as the Consumer Protection Committee meeting finishes in the room to the left of the rostrum.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair hears no objection.

Mr. RITTER. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Committee on Transportation will have a meeting as soon as the Committee on Consumer Protection is finished.

REPORT OF THE PARAMEDICAL PROFESSIONS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Shupnik.

Mr. SHUPNIK. Mr. Speaker, on behalf of the Joint State Government Commission, I have the honor to transmit the report on Paramedical Professions.

Copies of this report will be found in the post office boxes of the members.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman for his report.

(For report, see appendix.)

MOTION UNDER RULE 1

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. BUTERA. Mr. Speaker, in accordance with Rule 1, I move that the House consent to permitting the Speaker pro tempore to act in behalf of the Speaker in the signing of Senate bill No. 1440.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The minority whip moves that the Speaker pro tempore be permitted to sign Senate bill No. 1440 for Speaker Fineman, who is not present in the hall of the House today.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

It was agreed to.

SENATE BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

Bill numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor and the same being correct, the title was publicly read as follows:

SENATE BILL No. 1440

An Act removing for a limited time the statutory limits imposed upon interest rates and interest costs to be paid on obligations issued by State and Local governments, authorities, agencies and instrumentalities.

Whereupon,

The SPEAKER pro tempore, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

HOUSE SCHEDULE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to announce the schedule for today so that those members who are leaving will know the schedule.

We are going to break for lunch very shortly. We are asking for a Democratic caucus at 12:30 promptly. That means we will get started about 1 o'clock, I presume, and we will be back on the floor of the House, hopefully, at 2 o'clock.

Now, Mr. Speaker, would you recognize the minority whip and then recognize reports of committee from the gentleman from Philadelphia?

REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. BUTERA. Mr. Speaker, the Republican side will caucus at 1 o'clock.

BILLS REPORTED AND CONSIDERED FIRST TIME

SENATE BILL No. 1363

By Mr. RITTER

An Act amending the act of June 22, 1931 (P. L. 594), entitled "Rural State Highway Law," deleting a route in Fayette County.

Reported from Committee on Transportation.

SENATE BILL No. 1433

By Mr. COMER

An Act amending the act of June 1, 1959 (P. L. 392), entitled "State Employes' Retirement Code of 1959," further providing for certain death benefits.

Reported from Committee on State Government.

BILL REPORTED AS AMENDED**HOUSE BILL, No. 2398**

By Mr. RITTER

An Act amending "The Vehicle Code," approved April 29, 1959 (P. L. 58), prescribing penalties for certain unlawful marking or harm to highways.

Reported from Committee on Transportation.

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter, in the hall of the House?

Your committee can now meet in the room to the left of the Speaker's rostrum. Will the members of the Transportation Committee now meet in the room to the left of the Speaker?

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the House now declares a recess until 2 p.m.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (Robert K. Hamilton) IN THE CHAIR**RECESS EXTENDED**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the recess will be extended until 3:30 p.m. The Chair hears no objection.

AFTER RECESS**THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (Robert K. Hamilton) IN THE CHAIR****RESOLUTION****RECALLING HOUSE BILL No. 2023
FROM THE GOVERNOR**

Mr. YAHNER offered the following resolution which was read, considered and adopted:

In the House of Representatives, July 14, 1970.

RESOLVED (the Senate concurring), That House Bill No. 2023, Printer's No. 2930, entitled "An act amending the act of June 3, 1937 (P. L. 1225), entitled 'An act concerning game and other wild birds and wild animals; and amending, revising, consolidating, and changing the law relating thereto,' increasing penalties for resisting inspection or arrest, refusal to accompany officer after arrest and for interfering with an officer in the performance of his duty," be recalled from the Governor for the purpose of amendment.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**MR. GEKAS' CONSTITUENTS AND RELATIVES
WELCOMED**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Gekas.

Mr. GEKAS. Mr. Speaker, may I request that the Chair acknowledge the presence in the House today of several constituents of mine—Mary Shelley Warner; my brother, Constantine; his wife, Dee and my three nieces, Leah Smirlis, Smyra Gekas and Valerie Gekas.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair welcomes the constituents and the relatives of the member from Dauphin, Mr. Gekas.

PERMISSION TO REMOVE SPONSORSHIP

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Wojdak. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. WOJDAK. Mr. Speaker, I would ask permission to have the rules of the House suspended so that I may remove my name as a sponsor on House bill No. 2411, printer's No. 3261.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
It was agreed to.

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **House bill No. 1361, printer's No. 3333**, entitled:

An Act amending "The Library Code," approved June 14, 1961 (P. L. 324), further providing for the distribution of State publications, imposing additional powers and duties on the Advisory Council on Library Development, requiring participation in a certain program as a prerequisite to State-aid, providing for a referendum in certain cases and changing mail requirements.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

Mr. IRVIS moved that House bill No. 1361 be recommitted to the Committee on Rules.

The motion was agreed to.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to second consideration of **House bill No. 2343, printer's No. 3138**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Liquor Code," approved April 12, 1951 (P. L. 90), increasing the maximum amount of wine which may be produced and sold by limited wineries.

And said bill having been considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, to be transcribed for third consideration.

BILL ON FINAL PASSAGE POSTPONED

Agreeable to order,

The bill having been called up from the postponed calendar by Mr. RENNINGER,

The House resumed consideration on final passage of **House bill No. 734, printer's No. 3068**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Motor Vehicle Salesmen's License Act," approved September 9, 1965 (P. L. 499), providing for the registration of dealers.

RECONSIDERATION OF VOTE ON HOUSE BILL No. 734

Mr. RENNINGER moved that the vote by which House bill No. 734, printer's No. 3068, was agreed to on third consideration be reconsidered.

Mr. SPENCER seconded the motion.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

It was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. RENNINGER requested and obtained unanimous consent to offer the following amendments, which were read:

Amend Title, page 1, lines 1 to 9, by striking out all of said lines and inserting:

Amending the act of December 17, 1968 (Act No. 387), entitled "An act prohibiting unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce, giving the Attorney General and District Attorneys certain powers and duties and providing penalties," extending the act to certain actions involving the sale of motor vehicles.

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 12 to 21; page 2, lines 1 to 30; page 3, lines 1 to 30; page 4, lines 1 to 30; page 5, lines 1 to 30; page 6, lines 1 to 30; page 7, lines 1 to 30; page 8, lines 1 to 30; page 9, lines 1 to 30; page 10, lines 1 to 30; page 11, lines 1 to 30; page 12, lines 1 to 30; page 13, lines 1 to 30; page 14, lines 1 to 30, by striking out all of said lines and inserting:

Section 1. Subsection (4) of section 2, act of December 17, 1968 (Act No. 387), known as the "Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law," is amended by adding ten new clauses to read:

Section 2. Definitions.—As used in this act.

(4) "Unfair methods of competition" and "unfair or deceptive acts or practices" mean any one or more of the following:

(xiv) Knowingly making any substantial misrepresentation of material facts in the sale of a motor vehicle;

(xv) Knowingly making any false promise of a character likely to influence, persuade or induce the sale of a motor vehicle;

(xvi) Having knowingly failed or refused to account for or to pay over moneys or other valuables belonging to others which have come into his possession arising out of the sale of motor vehicles;

(xvii) Having committed any act or engaged in conduct in connection with the sale of motor vehicles which clearly demonstrates incompetency;

(xviii) Having set up, promoted or aided in the promotion of a plan by which motor vehicles are sold to a person for a consideration and upon the further consideration that the purchaser agrees to secure one or more persons to participate in the plan by respectively making a similar purchase and in turn agreeing to secure one or more persons likewise to join in said plan, each purchaser being given the right to secure money, credits, goods or something of value, depending upon the number of persons joining in the plan;

(xix) Having engaged in the buying, selling, exchanging, trading or otherwise dealing in new or used motor vehicles on Sunday in violation of section 699.9 of the act of June 24, 1939 (P. L. 872), known as "The Penal Code;"

(xx) Being a motor vehicle dealer, failure to have an "Established Place of Business" as defined in "The Vehicle Code;"

(xxi) Having accepted an order of purchase or a contract from a buyer which offer of purchase or contract is subject to subsequent acceptance by the seller, if such arrangement results in the practice of bushing. For the purpose of this section, bushing is defined as the practice

of increasing the selling price of a car above that originally quoted the purchaser or decreasing the allowance for trade-in of a used car after the purchaser has signed a purchase order or contract which is subject to subsequent acceptance by the seller: Provided, however, That if a used car is being used as the down payment and it is not to be delivered to the dealer until the delivery of the new car, the used car shall be reappraised at that time and such reappraisal value shall determine the allowance made for such used car;

(xxii) Having wilfully failed to carry out the provisions of a warranty given by a dealer in connection with the sale of a motor vehicle;

(xxiii) Being a motor vehicle dealer, having employed a salesman who has not been licensed or who has not applied for a license or whose license has been suspended or revoked.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, I beg your pardon for not paying attention, but it has just been called to my attention by several of the members that on House bill No. 734, printer's No. 3068, an amendment was offered by the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Renninger, without a roll call. The request is that that amendment be offered but that there be a roll call on that amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair was not aware of that and will change its decision. The decision was made by the Chair believing that the amendments were noncontroversial and, since the amendments are controversial, the Chair now will go back to the amendments. Those in favor of the amendments will vote "aye"; and those who are opposed to the amendments will vote "no." Since there is a request for a roll call, the members will proceed to vote.

The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, there has been probably an undue amount of confusion today so I am not surprised that this is adding to it, but there are a number of members who do not recall the explanation which was given or missed the explanation given on the gentleman's amendment. Will the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Renninger, be so kind as to briefly explain to the House, before the roll call, what the amendment purports to do?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Renninger, on the amendments.

Mr. RENNINGER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

These amendments would amend House bill No. 734, which, you will recall, provided in the present printer's number for adding automobile dealers to the automobile salesman board. Discussion among the members and a vote on that bill led to the consideration that the purpose of the bill was really to assist the consumers, the automobile-buying public, so that the particular practices which were prohibited in House bill No. 734, as originally introduced in the House, would be added to the Consumer Act of 1968 as additional items, which were referred to as "unfair practices," and in that way we would assist the consumers with this legislation. There would not be any adding of dealers to the salesman board or any activity involved.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington, Mr. Murphy.

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to say that it would be my opinion that there should be, perhaps— Does the gentleman propose a six-member board? May I interrogate the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Renninger, please?

Mr. RENNINGER. Certainly.

Mr. MURPHY. Is that a six-member board you propose?

Mr. RENNINGER. There will be no board under House bill No. 734, as amended. House bill No. 734, in accordance with these amendments, will merely amend, specifically, the act of December 17, 1968, which is known as the Consumer Protection Act. It will have nothing to do with boards; it will just specify additional unfair trade practices under the Consumer Protection Act of 1968. These would be unfair methods of competition or unfair deceptive acts of practicing.

Mr. MURPHY. In other words, you are placing various restrictions on the operations of automobile dealers by this amendment.

Mr. RENNINGER. That is correct. House bill No. 734 has the same provisions in it and it was to be administered by a board known as the automobile dealers board, but people objected to a board approach to this problem, and this is why we are offering these amendments.

Mr. MURPHY. All right. I thank the gentleman, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. RENNINGER. Thank you.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The yeas and nays were required by Messrs. RENNINGER and MURPHY and were as follows:

YEAS—173

Alexander	Fuimer	Lynch, Francis	Rush
Allen, F. M.	Gallen	Lynch, Frank	Ryan
Allen, W. W.	Geesey	Maslady	Rybak
Anderson, J. H.	Geisler	Martino	Satoom
Anderson, S. A.	Gekas	McAneny	Savitt
Appleton	Gelfand	McClatchy	Scanlon
Bachman	George	McCurdy	Schmitt
Bair	Gillette	McMonagle	Seltzer
Barber	Gleeson	Mabus	Semanoff
Bellomini	Good	Meholchick	Shelhamer
Beloff	Goodman	Melton	Shelton
Beren	Greenfield	Miffin	Shupnik
Berkes	Gring	Miller, M. E.	Smith
Berson	Gross	Miller, P. W.	Snare
Bittle	Hamilton, J. H.	Moore	Stauffer
Blair	Hamilton, R. E.	Moscrip	Steckel
Bonetto	Haudenshield	Mullen	Steele
Bossert	Hayes	Murtha	Stemmler
Brunner	Hepford	Musto	Stone
Burkardt	Hill	Needham	Sullivan
Butera	Holman	Nicholson	Taylor
Caputo	Homer	Nitrauer	Tayoun
Comer	Hopkins	Nolan	Thomas
Coppolino	Hovis	Novak	Tiberi
Crowley	Irvic	O'Brien, B.	Valicenti
Dager	Johnson, G.	O'Brien, F.	Wansacz
Davis, D.	Johnson, T.	O'Connell	Wargo
Davis, R.	Kahle	O'Donnell	Weidner
DeJoseph	Katz	O'Pake	Westerberg
DeMedio	Kaufman	Pancoast	Wilson
Dininni	Kelly	Parker	Wilt, R. E.
Donaldson	Kennedy	Perry	Wilt, R. W.
Dorsey	Kernaghan	Plevsky	Wilt, W. W.
Dwyer	Kester	Piper	Wise
Eckensberger	Kistler	Pittenger	Wojdak
Engelhart	Kolter	Prendergast	Worley
Eshback	Kowalshyn	Ronninger	Worrlow
Fec	Kury	Ronwick	Wright
Fenrich	Laudadio	Reynolds	Yahner
Fischer	Lawson	Rieger	Yohn
Foor	Lee	Ritter	Zearfoss
Fox	Lehr	Ruane	Zimmerman
Frank	Lutty	Ruggiero	Zord
Fryer			

NAYS—18

Crawford	Hutchinson	Murphy	Shuman
Halverson	Johnson, J.	Pezak	Slack
Headlee	LaMarca	Polinski	Vann
Hetrick	Manbeck	Sherman	Walsh
Horner	Manderino		

NOT VOTING—12

Bennett	Gallagher	Quiles	Torak
Bixler	Harrier	Silverman	Fineman,
Bush	McGraw	Spencer	Speaker
Claypoole			

So the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

It was agreed to.

Ordered, That the bill as amended be prepared for final passage.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,
The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 1751, printer's No. 3220, entitled:

An Act amending the "Beauty Culture Law," approved May 3, 1933 (P. L. 242), further defining "Beauty Culture."

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

Mr. MURPHY moved that House bill No. 1751 be re-committed to the Committee on Professional Licensure. The motion was agreed to.

Agreeable to order,
The House proceeded to third consideration of House bill No. 2411, printer's No. 3261, entitled:

An Act consolidating, revising and amending the divorce laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Rush.

Mr. RUSH. Mr. Speaker, I would like to make a motion to recommit House bill No. 2411 to the Judiciary Committee.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. RUSH moved that House bill No. 2411 be re-committed to the Committee on Judiciary.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Savitt.

Mr. SAVITT. Mr. Speaker, I would respectfully urge you all to vote against the motion for recommitment.

House bill No. 2411 climaxes many, many years of effort, going back all the way to 1961 when the Joint State Government Commission first reported after studying the need

for divorce reform in this Commonwealth. House bill No. 713 was introduced early in this session and sets forth most of the salient features which are now contained in House bill No. 2411. That bill was recommitted because of a multitude of technical defects, and the result, in House bill No. 2411, is the elimination of the surplusage and the elimination of many defects which were brought before the Judiciary Committee by many judges.

Mr. RUSH. Mr. Speaker, is the gentleman, Mr. Savitt, arguing on the bill or on the recommittal motion?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair feels as though the gentleman needs some latitude to give some background on this bill, but the Chair feels he should limit himself to the motion to recommit.

Mr. SAVITT. Mr. Speaker, I am. I am only bringing forth the history of this bill as to how it obtained here today.

In the last two years of this session, during the entire time of this session, every member has had the opportunity to consider this bill. There is clearly need for reform in this area, and I cannot see at this time any reason to delay the vote on the bill. There are certain amendments which could be subsequently considered, and everyone will be given the opportunity to express their views, but to delay it is without reason. I think we need reform in this Commonwealth. A bill is before us and we should exercise our obligations.

Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Melton, on the motion to recommit.

Mr. MELTON. Mr. Speaker, I rise to support the motion for recommittal of House bill No. 2411.

Divorce causes hardship for all concerned, and no matter how we liberalize the law, it will always be so. When two people are married, Mr. Speaker, they take a vow and make a promise before God, "to have and to hold from this day forward, for better, for worse, for richer, for poorer, in sickness and in health, to love and to cherish, till death do us part."

To break this vow and to admit before a court of law that you are not successful in marriage is difficult for some people to do, but in many cases, such an admission of—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman yield? Will the gentleman please confine his remarks to the motion to recommit?

Mr. MELTON. Mr. Speaker, these remarks are germane to the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. MELTON. But in many cases such an admission of failure is necessary for a man and a woman.

The persons who suffer most when a marriage fails are not necessarily those persons who created the union, namely, the husband and wife. The persons who suffer most are the children, who generally do not directly effect the final breakdown of the marriage.

I strongly believe this bill will serve only to make divorce easier for many couples who can rationalize the failure of their marriage as the other spouse's fault and then go their merry ways after a year of consensual separation, with their consciences free of any blame.

The children involved, Mr. Speaker, however, are not always emotionally mature enough to rationalize away the

fact that their parents can no longer live together and that they will never be together again as man and wife.

I not only oppose the bill—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman yield?

The gentleman is not confining himself to the recommittal motion. Will the gentleman please do so?

Mr. MELTON. Mr. Speaker, this is a relatively brief statement which I would like to make in reference to the motion to recommit or in support of the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman come to the desk for a moment?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Melton.

Mr. MELTON. Mr. Speaker, there are many reasons that I could set forth in urging the members to support the recommittal of House bill No. 2411. However, I would like to submit the following remarks for the record and urge every member to support recommittal.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. MELTON submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

Mr. Speaker, I rise to support the motion for recommittal of House bill No. 2411.

Divorce causes a hardship for all concerned and no matter how we liberalize the law, it will always be so. When two people are married, they take a vow and make a promise before God, "to have and to hold from this day forward, for better, for worse, for richer, for poorer, in sickness and in health, to love and to cherish, till death us do part." To break this vow and to admit before a court of law that you were not successful in marriage is difficult for some people to do, but in many cases, such an admission of failure is necessary for a man and a woman.

The persons who suffer most when a marriage fails are not necessarily those persons who created the union, namely, the husband and wife, the persons who suffer most are the children, who generally do not directly effect the final breakdown of the marriage.

I strongly believe this bill will serve only to make divorce easier for many couples who can rationalize the failure of their marriage as the other spouse's fault and then go their merry way after a year of consensual separation with their consciences free of any blame.

The children involved, Mr. Speaker, however, are not always emotionally mature enough to rationalize away the fact that their parents can no longer live together and that they will never be together again as man and wife.

I not only oppose the bill on the grounds that it will make divorce easier in Pennsylvania and still victimize the children who come from broken homes, but also because people will get married knowing there is a relatively painless way out of wedlock if things do not work out.

Most single people who do not believe in divorce because it is against their religious training or moral convictions make very certain when they marry that they are marrying someone with whom they can live with peaceably until death parts them.

I firmly believe, Mr. Speaker, that this bill will allow those couples who are uncertain they can make a marriage work, to go ahead and get married with the full knowledge that, if the marriage does not work out, all they have to do is to live apart for a year, then apply for an uncontested, painless divorce.

Because I believe the bill will encourage those persons who are uncertain of the chances their marriage will succeed to go ahead and marry anyway, and because the bill will make divorce easier, I strongly urge all the members of this House to vote to recommit House bill No. 2411.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Hefford, on the recommitment.

Mr. HEFFORD. Mr. Speaker, if the Speaker would refer to House bill No. 2411, printer's No. 3261—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. We are on the motion to recommit, not on the bill.

Mr. HEFFORD. I am on the motion, Mr. Speaker.

Referring to that bill on its face, you will note it was introduced in this House on June 17, 1970. It was amended in committee within one week, June 24, 1970. This is a new bill.

The Judiciary Committee had amendments to the divorce law under consideration for months, for years. This piece of legislation has not had time to be considered by the citizens of Pennsylvania and report their viewpoints to their Representatives in this House of Representatives.

For that reason, if not for a lot of other reasons, this bill should be recommitted to committee for consideration and study and reports from those agencies around this Commonwealth which are vitally concerned in the domestic relations of the citizens of this Commonwealth.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Savitt.

Mr. SAVITT. Mr. Speaker, in response to the gentleman when he said, "for that reason and many other reasons," I will only address myself to "that" reason. When he speaks of that reason and says that this is a new bill, he is not being fair to the members, because the features of House bill No. 2411, all the salient reform and significant features, are practically a replica of House bill No. 713. The only thing which it changes are those technical changes which were necessary so that this bill could be properly administered by the courts.

This bill is before this House. It has been considered. Let us not delude ourselves by saying that it has not. It is time now to face the issue. A vote for recommitment would deny us that right.

I urge you all to vote against the motion for recommitment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Lynch.

Mr. FRANK J. LYNCH. Mr. Speaker, speaking strictly on the motion for recommitment and being a member of this committee, I, for one, have no recollection of this bill ever being discussed during my presence nor do I have any recollection of receiving any notice of a meeting of that committee on June 29 when this was presumably reported out.

For that reason, I personally would like the opportunity to go over this bill in committee and would urge the members to vote for recommitment.

Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Messrs. RUSH and SAVITT and were as follows:

YEAS—153

Alexander	Gleeson	McAneny	Ryan
Allen, F. M.	Good	McClatchy	Rybak
Allen, W. W.	Goodman	McCurdy	Satoom
Anderson, J. H.	Greenfield	McMonagle	Scanlon
Appleton	Gring	Mebus	Schmitt
Bachman	Halverson	Meholchick	Seltzer
Scloff	Hamilton, J. H.	Melton	Semanoff
Bitile	Hamilton, R. K.	Mifflin	Shelhamer
Bonetto	Harrier	Miller, M. E.	Shelton
Bossert	Haudenshield	Miller, P. W.	Shuman
Brunner	Hayes	Moore	Shupnik
Burkardt	Hepford	Moscrip	Slack
Butera	Hetrick	Mullen	Smith
Caputo	Hill	Murtha	Snare
Comer	Holman	Musto	Stauffer
Coppolino	Homer	Needham	Steckel
Crawford	Hopkins	Nitrater	Stemmler
Crowley	Johnson, J.	Nolan	Stone
Davis, D.	Kahle	Novak	Sullivan
Davis, R.	Katz	O'Brien, B.	Taylor
DeJoseph	Kelly	O'Brien, F.	Thomas
DeMedio	Kennedy	O'Connell	Tiberi
Dininni	Kernaghan	O'Donnell	Valicenti
Dorsey	Kesler	O'Pake	Vann
Dwyer	Kistler	Pancoast	Walsh
Engelhart	Kolter	Parker	Wansacz
Fee	Kowalshyn	Perry	Wargo
Fenrich	LaMarca	Pezak	Westerberg
Fischer	Laudadio	Pievsky	Witt, R. E.
Foor	Lee	Polaski	Witt, R. W.
Fox	Lehr	Prendergast	Witt, W. W.
Frank	Lutty	Reininger	Wojdak
Fulmer	Lynch, Francis	Renwick	Worley
Gallen	Lynch, Frank	Reynolds	Worrlow
Geesey	Malady	Rieger	Wright
Geisler	Manbeck	Ruane	Yahner
Gekas	Mandacino	Ruggiero	Zearfos
George	Martino	Rush	Zimmerman
Gillette			

NAYS—37

Anderson, S. A.	Eckelsberger	Johnson, G.	Ritter
Bair	Kehback	Johnson, T.	Savitt
Barber	Fryer	Kaufman	Sherman
Bellomina	Gelfand	Kury	Steele
Beren	Headlee	Lawson	Weidner
Berkes	Horner	Murphy	Wilson
Berson	Hovis	Nicholson	Wise
Blair	Hutchinson	Piper	Yohn
Dager	Irvic	Pittenger	Zord
Donaldson			

NOT VOTING—13

Bennett	Gallagher	Silverman	Torak
Bixler	Gross	Spencer	
Bush	McGraw	Tayoun	Fineman,
Claypoole	Quiles		Speaker

So the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Tayoun. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. TAYOUN. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. TAYOUN. Mr. Speaker, on House bill No. 2411, I would like to be recorded as having voted to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of Senate bill No. 106, printer's No. 1379, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 31, 1965 (P. L. 1257), entitled "The Local Tax Enabling Act," changing the limitation on taxes levied on occupations.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Fulmer.

Mr. FULMER. Mr. Speaker, I would just like to call the members' attention to Senate bill No. 106, so that everyone knows what the bill is about and the House, in its good judgment, voted this bill down previously because, basically, it is an unfair tax. Actually, the tax is the same on the individual whether he earns \$3,000 a year or whether he earns \$100,000 a year. I am sure many of you remember why you voted "no" the last time. It was not equitable then; it is not fair now. At a time when the people are very conscious of being over-taxed, I certainly do not think that this body would want to impose an unfair tax on the man who really wants to work for a living.

Therefore, Mr. Speaker, I would ask the members of the House, on both sides of the aisle, to again vote in the negative on this measure.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. O'Brien.

Mr. F. W. O'BRIEN. Mr. Speaker, I voted for this piece of legislation back on December 30 and I thought then it was an important piece of legislation; I think it is an important piece of legislation today, so important that last week the mayor of the city of Pittsburgh went on television. He gathered mayors from the Commonwealth around him. I just received a telegram this morning from the mayors urging the passage of this bill, Senate bill No. 106.

I think that with anything of that importance, the mayors should have been here lobbying for this legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to make a statement.

I rise in support of this legislation, Senate bill No. 106. I voted for it before and I am going to vote for it again.

The bill was defeated on final passage on December 30, 1969 by a vote of 172-24. I voted in favor of the bill then and I will do so now.

I voted for the bill because its passage was urgently required by the city of Pittsburgh. I voted for the bill because I represent the interests of the city of Pittsburgh and have the future of the city in my heart. No doubt about it, cities, not only Pittsburgh, are in dire straits financially.

I read with interest that Mayor Flaherty, after meeting with other mayors, urged the passage of this bill. This comes as quite a surprise to me on this late date. Where was Pete on December 30? Where was Pete during the Christmas holiday when retiring Mayor Barr flew to Harrisburg and fought for this bill? Where was Pete when Commissioner Stacey flew to Harrisburg for the same reason? Where was Pete when his lovely wife sent a telegram to the legislature urging the passage of this bill? Where was Pete when the city councilmen increased the city budget to grant the mayor another pay raise on December 30, 1969? This last budget increase helped to defeat Senate bill No. 106.

Yes, Mr. Speaker, I voted for Senate bill No. 106, and then Mayor Flaherty and others used this vote to defeat me in the recent primary. After I voted "yes" on

this bill to help Mayor Flaherty, this vote was used against me. Why did Pete not support and defend my vote? Why did Pete openly support my opponent after giving his word to a group of legislators that he would support the candidates who voted for it? Why did Pete violate his word which had been tendered in good faith?

Mr. Speaker, I can be vindictive and vote against this bill, but I cannot permit Mayor Flaherty to influence my steadfast loyalty to the city of Pittsburgh.

Thank you very much.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question,

Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—34

Anderson, S. A.	Irvin	Melton	Plevsky
Barber	Johnson, J.	Miller, P. W.	Pittenger
Eerson	Kaufman	Mullen	Riegler
Caputo	Kelly	Murtha	Rush
Comer	LaMarche	O'Brien, F.	Savitt
Fenrich	Lawson	O'Donnell	Scanlon
Geisler	Lutty	Perry	Sherran
Gelfand	Martino	Pezak	Sullivan
Greenfield	McAnevy		

NAYS—155

Alexander	Gallen	Lynch, Frank	Semanoff
Allen, F. M.	Geesey	Malady	Shelhamer
Allen, W. W.	Gokas	Manbeck	Shelton
Anderson, J. H.	George	Manderino	Shuman
Appleton	Gillette	McClatchy	Shupnik
Bachman	Gleeson	McCurdy	Slack
Bair	Good	McMonagle	Smith
Bellomint	Goodman	Mebus	Snare
Beren	Gring	Meholchick	Stauffer
Berkes	Halverson	Mifflin	Steckel
Bittle	Hamilton, J. H.	Miller, M. E.	Steele
Blair	Hamilton, R. K.	Moore	Stemmler
Doretto	Harler	Moscrip	Stone
Bossert	Hayes	Murphy	Taylor
Brunner	Hendlee	Musto	Tayoun
Burkardt	Henford	Needham	Thomas
Butera	Hetrick	Nicholson	Tiberi
Coppolino	Hill	Nitraus	Valicenti
Crawford	Holman	Nolan	Vann
Crowley	Homer	Novak	Walsh
Dager	Hopkins	O'Brien, B.	Wansacz
Davis, D.	Horner	O'Connell	Wargo
Davis, R.	Hovis	O'Pake	Weidner
DeJoseph	Hutchinson	Pancoast	Westerberg
DeMedio	Johnson, G.	Parker	Wilson
Dimini	Johnson, T.	Piper	Wilt, R. E.
Donaldson	Kahle	Pojaski	Wilt, R. W.
Dorsey	Katz	Prendergast	Wilt, W. W.
Dwyer	Kennedy	Renninger	Wise
Eckensberger	Kernaghan	Renwick	Wojdak
Engelhart	Kester	Reynolds	Worley
Eshback	Kistler	Ritter	Worrlow
Fee	Kolter	Ruane	Wright
Fischer	Kowalshyn	Ruggiero	Yahner
Foor	Kuty	Ryan	Yohn
Fox	Laudadio	Rybak	Zearfoss
Frank	Lee	Saloom	Zimmerman
Fryer	Lehr	Schmitt	Zord
Fulmer	Lynch, Francis	Seitzer	

NOT VOTING—14

Beloff	Claypoole	McGraw	Torak
Bennett	Gallagher	Quiles	
Bixler	Gross	Silverman	Fineman,
Brush	Haudenshield	Spencer	Speaker

Less than the majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the bill falls.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

QUESTIONS OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Vann. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. VANN. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. VANN. Mr. Speaker, on Senate bill No. 106, printer's No. 1379, I inadvertently voted "nay." I would like the record to show that I would like to be recorded as voting "aye."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Tayoun. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. TAYOUN. I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. TAYOUN. Mr. Speaker, I wish the record to show that in order to help out the big city of Pittsburgh, I am voting "aye" on Senate bill No. 106.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of Senate bill No. 254, printer's No. 1817, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 27, 1965 (P. L. 1247), entitled "An act relating to the reimbursement or payments for providing and furnishing optometric services in contracts, certificates and policies by various insurance and other companies, and limiting the provisions in relation thereto," providing for reimbursement or payment by various insurance and other companies for certain services performed by chiropractors.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

MOTION TO REVERT TO PRIOR PRINTER'S NUMBER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Mebus.

Mr. MEBUS. I move, Mr. Speaker, that this bill be reverted to its previous printer's number, printer's No. 1736.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair was under the impression that the gentleman was going to make a motion to recommit.

Mr. MEBUS. I initially had intended to do that, Mr. Speaker, but when I got down to the floor, it was suggested by a number of us who are interested in this measure that rather than move for recommitment, I move for reverting it to the previous printer's number.

I so communicated that to the minority leader and to a few people on the other side of the aisle.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman come to the desk? Will the leaders come to the desk, too, please?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Mebus, on Senate bill No. 254, printer's No. 1870.

Mr. MEBUS. Mr. Speaker, as I moved a few moments ago, I suggest that Senate bill No. 254 be reverted to printer's No. 1736, which is the immediate prior printer's number, the one that covered the bill in the form that existed at the time when the House was in session last Wednesday prior to its amendments.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Lutty.

Mr. LUTTY. Mr. Speaker, I oppose the motion to return this bill to its prior printer's number and ask the members of the House to vote against the motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Appleton.

Mr. APPLETON. Mr. Speaker, I believe that the membership should have some explanation as to the net result of returning this bill to its previous printer's number.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman from Montgomery tell the House the effect of returning the bill to printer's No. 1736?

Mr. MEBUS. In the fewest possible words, Mr. Speaker, the reversion of the bill to printer's No. 1736 removes the group coverage but permits to remain in the bill the individual coverage of subscribers under the terms of Blue Shield relative to the coverage of chiropractor's services.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Appleton.

Mr. APPLETON. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman permit himself to be interrogated?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Would the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Mebus, permit himself to be interrogated?

Mr. MEBUS. I shall, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. APPLETON. Mr. Speaker, am I right then in thinking that the people who are subscribers in a group plan, if they want chiropractic service, will not be able to get it under the Blue Cross-Blue Shield plan?

Mr. MEBUS. That is correct.

Mr. APPLETON. You are saying then that the people must buy a separate contract in order to have this covered under Blue Shield?

Mr. MEBUS. That is essentially correct.

Mr. APPLETON. Why should this be so? Why should we have to purchase a separate contract? Why should all the people who now have group contracts not be eligible for these benefits?

Mr. MEBUS. This is the sum and subject of the whole bill itself and the discussion which has evolved for many months as to whether or not the chiropractors should be included under the terms of Blue Shield at all. In an effort to try to discover what would be the net effect of their inclusion under Blue Shield, it is my thinking and that of a number of other members of this House that if we pass this bill in the form in which it exists in printer's No. 1736, we will begin then to gain some experience as to whether or not we will actually have an experience record which will indicate that there are additional costs involved; whereupon, you can then have your groups vote with some certainty as to what is their action as to whether or not they wish to be included under the terms of Blue Shield and pay the possible additional costs that would result therefrom.

Mr. APPLETON. Mr. Speaker, I believe that a copy of a study was placed upon our desks a day or two ago or a letter with reference to the costs or possible additional costs. I believe it was made by the Joint State Government Commission. If I am correct, I believe it pointed out that in the States that have this coverage, there has been no additional burden and that many of the very large group plans, and I believe the Ford Motor Company was one that was mentioned in this letter, have this coverage in other States. I do not recall at the moment how many States, but I think it was 20-some. Do you care to comment on this, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. MEBUS. I most certainly do. The study in question that was made and the sum and substance of the report you are speaking of was based on the experience, I believe, of the State of Ohio which has a law similar to that which is proposed in printer's No. 1816. It was written in the latter part of 1969, I believe, in December of 1969, after something on the order of two or two and a half months of experience in the State of Ohio, which is not an adequate basis for judgment, I believe, in anyone's mind.

Mr. APPLETON. Mr. Speaker, I believe the case of the Ford Motor Company was over quite a number of years, was it not?

Mr. MEBUS. I do not recall having read that. I have read a lot of things, but that did not stick with me; the other did. Possibly you are right; possibly you are wrong. If anyone can dispute you, I wish they would stand up and do so.

Mr. APPLETON. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for his information and I would like to make a brief comment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. APPLETON. I believe that the people who are subscribers under group plans should have this service available to them. I do not think we should make it necessary for them to purchase separate individual coverage.

I would urge, of course, the members to vote against returning it to the prior printer's number.

Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Messrs. MEBUS and LUTTY and were as follows:

YEAS—61

Anderson, J. H.	George	Kury	Pittenger
Anderson, S. A.	Good	Lee	Prendergast
Bellomini	Greenfield	Lehr	Ryan
Berson	Gross	Lynch, Frank	Shupnik
Blittle	Hamilton, J. H.	Martino	Smith
Burkardt	Haudenshield	McClatchy	Steckel
Coppolino	Headlee	McCurdy	Stemmler
Crawford	Hepford	Meibus	Tayoun
Dager	Hill	Meholchick	Wargo
DeMedio	Holman	Melton	Westerberg
Dininni	Horner	Murtha	Wilt, W. W.
Fulmer	Hovis	Nicholson	Worley
Gallen	Kahle	Parker	Yohn
Geesey	Kaufman	Plevsky	Zearfoss
Gekas	Kistler	Ptper	Zimmerman
Gelfand			

NAYS—123

Alexander	Geisler	Mifflin	Schmitt
Allen, F. M.	Gillette	Miller, M. E.	Seltzer
Allen, W. W.	Gleeson	Miller, P. W.	Semanoff

Appleton	Goodman	Moore	Shelhamer
Bachman	Gring	Moscip	Shelton
Bair	Halverson	Musto	Sherman
Barber	Hamilton, R. K.	Needham	Shuman
Beren	Hayes	Nitrauer	Slack
Berkes	Heitrick	Nolan	Snare
Blair	Horner	Novak	Stauffer
Bonetto	Hopkins	O'Brien, B.	Steele
Bossert	Hutchinson	O'Brien, F.	Stone
Butera	Irvic	O'Connell	Sullivan
Caputo	Johnson, G.	O'Donnell	Taylor
Comer	Johnson, T.	O'Pake	Thomas
Davis, D.	Katz	Pancoast	Tiberi
Davis, R.	Kelly	Perry	Vallenti
DeJoseph	Kennedy	Pezak	Vann
Donaldson	Kernaghan	Polaski	Walsh
Dorsey	Kester	Renninger	Wansacz
Dwyer	Kolter	Renwick	Weldner
Eckensberger	Kowalyshyn	Reynolds	Wilson
Englehart	LaMarca	Rieger	Wilt, R. E.
Eshback	Laudadio	Ritter	Wilt, R. W.
Fee	Lawson	Ruane	Wise
Fenrich	Lutty	Ruggiero	Wojdak
Fischer	Lynch, Francis	Rush	Worrlow
Foor	Malady	Rybak	Wright
Fox	Manbeck	Saloom	Yahner
Frank	McAnany	Savitt	Zord
Fryer	McMonagle	Scanlon	

NOT VOTING—19

Beloff	Crowley	McGraw	Spencer
Bennett	Gallagher	Mullen	Torak
Bixler	Harler	Murphy	
Brunner	Johnson, J.	Quiles	Fineman,
Bush	Manderino	Silverman	Speaker
Claypoole			

So the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Mebus.

Mr. MEBUS. Mr. Speaker, I wish to speak on the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Mebus, on the bill.

Mr. MEBUS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to quote briefly, if I may, from the minutes of the hearings that were held on this bill before the Public Health and Welfare Committee back on the 11th of December of 1969. At that time testimony was presented to us indicating that in 1960 Blue Shield deemed it advisable to establish a statewide subscriber advisory council of 30 persons for the purpose of getting closer to the consumers by setting up a two-way communications system. The subscriber advisory council is essentially a consumer group comprised of persons representing labor, industry, various professions other than in the health field and the general public. There are no doctors or professional men of that type in this group. Since the council came into being, there have been no holds barred. Blue Shield has been openly discussed with the council, all of its activities, plans and problems. The council, in turn, has been extremely frank in its criticism and advice.

During the nine years the council has been in existence, it has at no time recommended that Blue Shield include chiropractic services in its coverage. When the matter now before this committee was discussed with the council, it specifically recommended that Blue Shield not cover such services. It was believed that there were many other things which deserved much higher priority.

Mr. Speaker, in light of this statement, which I think is very fair and very accurate and to the point, and, further, the statement from the AFL-CIO recommending

that this bill be opposed, I ask that the membership of this House vote against the bill in question.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Schmitt.

Mr. SCHMITT. Mr. Speaker, I rise to urge support of this bill. I would like to report that in the House Hospital Cost Investigating Committee, of which I was chairman during the period of 1966-67, we had a superabundance of testimony by individuals and groups that came before our committee and testified to the effect that they would like to have chiropractic coverage in their Blue Shield contracts because many people feel that such a treatment would preclude the necessity for hospital admission, which, in turn, would reduce hospital costs.

I would like to point out to the members of this House that the greatest war against this particular bill is leveled by the Pennsylvania Chapter of the American Medical Association. This makes it suspect to me because I am not convinced that the members of the American Medical Association are so much interested in the welfare of the consumer as they are in the welfare of their own pocket-books. I think that this bill gives people the freedom of choice and I think that in a free democratic society we should have the right of freedom of choice.

I urge everyone to support the bill.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—83

Allen, F. M.	Halverson	Mandolino	Ruane
Appleton	Hayes	McMonagle	Rybak
Bair	Headlee	Meholchick	Saloom
Berkes	Hetrick	Mifflin	Seanton
Bonetto	Homer	Miller, M. E.	Schmitt
Butera	Hopkins	Miller, P. W.	Seltzer
Comer	Hutchinson	Moscip	Semanoff
Coppolino	Irvis	Mullen	Shelhamer
Davis, D.	Johnson, G.	Murphy	Shelton
DeJoseph	Johnson, T.	Musto	Sherman
Donaldson	Katz	Nitrauer	Steele
Dorsey	Kelly	Nolan	Sullivan
Dwyer	Kennedy	Novak	Tiberi
Eshback	Kernaghan	O'Brien, F.	Valicenti
Fee	Kester	Pancoast	Weldner
Fischer	Laudadio	Perry	Wilson
Foor	Lehr	Pezak	Wilt, R. W.
Fox	Lutty	Polaski	Wise
Fryer	Lynch, Francis	Prendergast	Wright
Gillette	Malady	Renninger	Zord
Gleeson	Manbeck	Renwick	

NAYS—102

Alexander	Geisler	Lynch, Frank	Savitt
Allen, W. W.	Gekas	Martino	Shupnik
Anderson, J. H.	Geifand	McAneny	Slack
Anderson, S. A.	George	McClatchy	Snare
Bachman	Good	McCurdy	Stauffer
Bellomini	Goodman	Mebus	Steckel
Beran	Greenfield	Melton	Stemmler
Borson	Gring	Moore	Stone
Bittle	Gross	Murtha	Taylor
Blair	Hamilton, J. H.	Needham	Tayoun
Bossert	Hamilton, R. K.	Nicholson	Thomas
Brunner	Hansenshield	O'Brien, B.	Vann
Burkardt	Hepford	O'Connell	Walsh
Caputo	Hill	O'Donnell	Wansacz

Crawford	Holman	O'Pake	Wargo
Dager	Horner	Parker	Westerberg
Davis, R.	Hovis	Pievsky	Wilt, R. E.
DeMedio	Johnson, J.	Piper	Wilt, W. W.
Difinno	Kahle	Pitenger	Wojdak
Eckensberger	Kaufman	Reynolds	Worley
Englehart	Kistler	Rieger	WorriLOW
Fenrich	Kolter	Ritter	Yahner
Frank	Kowalyszyn	Ruggiero	Yohn
Fulmer	Kury	Rush	Zearfoss
Gallen	LaMarca	Ryan	Zimmerman
Geesey	Lee		

NOT VOTING—18

Barber	Claypool	McGraw	Spencer
Beloff	Crowley	Quiles	Torak
Bennett	Gallagher	Shuman	
Bider	Harrier	Silverman	Fineman.
Bush	Lawson	Smith	Speaker

Less than the majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the bill fails.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

SENATE MESSAGE

BILL FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate being introduced, presented for concurrence bill numbered and entitled as follows:

SENATE BILL No. 1089

An Act amending the act of February 21, 1961 (P. L. 33), entitled "An act imposing a State tax on gross premiums, premium deposits and assessments received from business transacted within this Commonwealth by certain insurance companies, associations and exchanges; . . ." providing a credit against the tax for capital stock and corporate net income taxes paid by domestic insurance companies.

Referred to Committee on Rules.

HOUSE RESOLUTIONS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

By Messrs. KOWALYSHYN, ESHBACK, HEPFORD and RUGGIERO (Concurrent) RESOLUTION No. 236

Requesting United States Census Bureau to grant an extension beyond July 1, 1970 to any locality in the Commonwealth where such extension may be necessary to insure a complete, accurate 1970 census.

Referred to Committee on Rules.

By Messrs. R. K. HAMILTON, R. O. DAVIS, SMITH, HOPKINS, BACHMAN, SHERMAN, RITTER, STONE, KOLTER, BOSSERT, HILL, LAWSON, GLEESON and FEE RESOLUTION No. 237

Speaker to appoint five-member House committee to investigate the Penn-Central Railroad in all its phases and the effect of its bankruptcy on the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on Rules.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order, The House proceeded to third consideration of Senate bill No. 1361, printer's No. 1630, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 2, 1945 (P. L. 382), entitled "Municipality Authorities Act of 1945," clarifying the right of a municipality Authority to acquire, hold, construct, improve, maintain and operate, own, lease, either as lessor or as lessee, hospital projects for public

hospitals or nonprofit hospital corporations serving the public.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS--187

- | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|
| Alexander | Gelsler | Lynch, Francis | Rush |
| Allen, F. M. | Gekas | Lynch, Frank | Ryan |
| Allen, W. W. | Gelfand | Malady | Rybak |
| Anderson, J. H. | George | Manbeck | Saloom |
| Anderson, S. A. | Gillette | Manderlino | Savitt |
| Appleton | Gleeson | Martino | Scanlon |
| Bachman | Good | McAneny | Schmitt |
| Bair | Goodman | McClatchy | Seltzer |
| Barber | Greenfield | McCurdy | Semanoff |
| Bejlojmini | Gring | McMonagle | Shelhamer |
| Beren | Gross | Mebus | Shelton |
| Berkes | Halverson | Meholchick | Sherman |
| Berson | Hamilton, J. H. | Melton | Shuman |
| Bittle | Hamilton, R. K. | Mifflin | Shupnik |
| Blair | Haudenshield | Miller, M. E. | Slack |
| Bonetto | Hayes | Miller, P. W. | Smith |
| Bossert | Headlee | Moore | Snare |
| Brunner | Hepford | Moscrip | Stauffer |
| Burkardt | Herrick | Murphy | Steckel |
| Butera | Hill | Murtha | Steele |
| Caputo | Holman | Musto | Stemmler |
| Comer | Homer | Needham | Stone |
| Coppolino | Hopkins | Nicholson | Stone |
| Crawford | Horner | Nitrauer | Taylor |
| Crowley | Hovis | Nolan | Tayoun |
| Dager | Hutchinson | Novak | Thomas |
| Davis, D. | Irvic | O'Brien, E. | Tiberi |
| Davis, R. | Johnson, G. | O'Brien, F. | Valicenti |
| DeJoseph | Johnson, J. | O'Connell | Vann |
| DeMedio | Johnson, T. | O'Donnell | Walsh |
| Dininni | Kahle | O'Pake | Wansacz |
| Donaldson | Katz | Panocast | Wargo |
| Dorsey | Kaufman | Parker | Weidner |
| Dwyer | Kelly | Perry | Westerberg |
| Eckensberger | Kennedy | Pezak | Wilson |
| Englehart | Kernaghan | Pievsky | Wilt, R. E. |
| Eshback | Kester | Piper | Wilt, R. W. |
| Fee | Kistler | Pittenger | Wilt, W. W. |
| Fenrich | Kolter | Polaski | Wise |
| Fischer | Kowalyszyn | Prendergast | Wojdak |
| Foor | Kury | Renninger | Worley |
| Fox | LaMarca | Renwick | Worrilow |
| Frank | Laudadio | Reynolds | Wright |
| Fryer | Lawson | Rieger | Yahner |
| Fulmer | Lee | Ritter | Yohn |
| Gallen | Lehr | Ruane | Zimmerman |
| Geesey | Lutty | Ruggiero | Zord |

NAYS—2

- | | |
|----------|----------|
| Sullivan | Zearfoss |
|----------|----------|

NOT VOTING—14

- | | | | |
|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Beloff | Claypoole | Mullen | Torak |
| Bennett | Gallagher | Quiles | |
| Bixler | Harrier | Silverman | Fineman |
| Bush | McGraw | Spencer | Speaker |

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

BILL REPORTED AND CONSIDERED FIRST TIME

SENATE BILL No. 1448

By Mr. RITTER

An Act amending the act of June 22, 1931 (P. L. 594),

entitled "Rural State Highway Law," changing a route in Bucks County.

Reported from Committee on Transportation.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Agreeable to order,
The House proceeded to third consideration of Senate bill No. 1401, printer's No. 1681, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 15, 1969 (P. L. 40), entitled "Vietnam Conflict Veterans' Compensation Bond Act," increasing the amount that may be borrowed.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—190

- | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|
| Alexander | Gelsler | Lynch, Frank | Ryan |
| Allen, F. M. | Gekas | Malady | Rybak |
| Allen, W. W. | Gelfand | Manbeck | Saloom |
| Anderson, J. H. | George | Manderlino | Savitt |
| Anderson, S. A. | Gillette | Martino | Scanlon |
| Appleton | Gleeson | McAneny | Schmitt |
| Bachman | Good | McClatchy | Seltzer |
| Bair | Goodman | McCurdy | Semanoff |
| Barber | Greenfield | McMonagle | Shelhamer |
| Bellomint | Gring | Mebus | Shelton |
| Beloff | Gross | Meholchick | Sherman |
| Beren | Halverson | Melton | Shuman |
| Berkes | Hamilton, J. H. | Mifflin | Shupnik |
| Berson | Hamilton, R. K. | Miller, M. E. | Slack |
| Bittle | Haudenshield | Miller, P. W. | Smith |
| Blair | Hayes | Moore | Snare |
| Bonetto | Headlee | Moscrip | Stauffer |
| Bossert | Headlee | Mullen | Steckel |
| Brunner | Hepford | Murphy | Steele |
| Burkardt | Herrick | Murtha | Stemmler |
| Butera | Hill | Musto | Stone |
| Caputo | Holman | Needham | Sullivan |
| Comer | Homer | Nicholson | Taylor |
| Coppolino | Hopkins | Nitrauer | Tayoun |
| Crawford | Horner | Nolan | Thomas |
| Crowley | Hovis | Novak | Tiberi |
| Dager | Hutchinson | O'Brien, B. | Valicenti |
| Davis, D. | Irvic | O'Brien, F. | Vann |
| Davis, R. | Johnson, G. | O'Connell | Walsh |
| DeJoseph | Johnson, J. | O'Donnell | Wansacz |
| DeMedio | Johnson, T. | O'Pake | Wargo |
| Dininni | Kahle | Panocast | Weidner |
| Donaldson | Katz | Parker | Westerberg |
| Dorsey | Kelly | Perry | Wilson |
| Dwyer | Kennedy | Pezak | Wilt, R. E. |
| Eckensberger | Kernaghan | Pievsky | Wilt, R. W. |
| Englehart | Koster | Piper | Wilt, W. W. |
| Eshback | Kistler | Pittenger | Wise |
| Fee | Kolter | Polaski | Wojdak |
| Fenrich | Kowalyszyn | Prendergast | Worley |
| Fischer | Kury | Renninger | Worrilow |
| Foor | LaMarca | Renwick | Wright |
| Fox | Laudadio | Reynolds | Yahner |
| Frank | Lawson | Rieger | Yohn |
| Fryer | Lee | Ritter | Zearfoss |
| Fulmer | Lehr | Ruane | Zimmerman |
| Gallen | Lutty | Ruggiero | Zord |
| Geesey | Lynch, Francis | | |

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—13

- | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Bennett | Gallagher | Rush | Torak |
| Bixler | Kaufman | Silverman | |
| Bush | McGraw | Spencer | Fineman |
| Claypoole | Quiles | | Speaker |

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of Senate bill No. 1478, printer's No. 1834, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 21, 1939 (P. L. 566), entitled "The Pennsylvania Occupational Disease Act," providing for the coordination of compensation payable under this act with awards of benefits under the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. SELTZER requested and obtained unanimous consent to offer the following amendments, which were read:

Amend Preamble, page 1, lines 18 to 25, page 2, lines 1 to 24 by striking out all of said lines

Amend Sec. 1, (Sec. 301), page 3, line 1 by inserting after "(k)": 1.

Amend Sec. 1, (Sec. 301), page 3, lines 10 to 17 by striking out "Upon" in line 10, all of lines 11 to 17

Amend Sec. 1, (Sec. 301), page 3, by inserting after line 30: 2. In the event that a person receiving compensation from general revenues of the Commonwealth shall have those benefits discontinued because such person is receiving benefits under the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, and said Federal benefits shall for any reason cease being paid, then, said person shall forthwith be reinstated as a beneficiary by the Commonwealth entitled to receive any remaining compensation due.

3. Persons receiving compensation from general revenues of the Commonwealth at the time of enactment of this amending act, and who, upon application for Federal benefits under the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, fail to qualify for Federal benefits, shall continue as beneficiaries under this act and shall be entitled to receive any remaining compensation due.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lebanon, Mr. Seltzer.

Mr. SELTZER. Mr. Speaker, I ask for the latitude of the Chair and the indulgence of the House to discuss for a few moments Senate bill No. 1478 and the amendments I am offering in conjunction with the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Musto.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair hears no objection. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. SELTZER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Before the roll call is taken on these amendments, I think we should all be aware of some very important points. Federal revenue sharing has been publicly espoused by nearly every member of this legislative body. The Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969 provides Pennsylvania with its first opportunity to reduce the state budget by as much as \$22 million under this principle of revenue sharing. Yet the bill before us criticizes the Federal Government's interpretation of the Coal Mine Health and Safety Act and contains a provision for possible retroactive Federal and state payments, a classic "double dip" which, at some future date, could eliminate every penny of the savings to the Pennsylvania taxpayers. If passed in its present form, this bill can only constitute public rejection, on our part, of Federal revenue sharing.

Let me give you what I think are some very interesting statistics: Of the first 13,000 miners to receive benefits under the Federal act, 10,000 were Pennsylvanians carried under our black-lung benefit program. And that did not just happen; it came about through the close cooperation between the Federal Government and our own state government. I cannot commend too highly the officials of the Social Security Administration, nor could I be more proud of our own Workmen's Compensation Bureau as they worked together on this very vital issue.

To give you a more vivid idea of this cooperation, which is condemned under the present wording of this Senate bill No. 1478, it will take us but three hours to transfer 10,000 miners to the Federal benefit program after the Governor signs the bill—one million dollars of savings in three hours of work—and I challenge this House to find a better cost-effectiveness ratio anywhere in state government.

Those concerned about benefit comparison should be aware that, with a double-dip provision, a Pennsylvania miner with three dependents would receive a 288-percent increase over our present benefits versus a 188-percent increase under the amendments we propose. I submit that there is nothing inhumanitarian about a 188-percent increase which will cost the Commonwealth nothing when, in fact, in the past, there has been bitter controversy over simple increases of 25 percent.

The bill as before us provides for a possible future double dip and, more important than that, it does not guarantee that a miner found ineligible for a Federal payment would continue to receive his present state benefit, which means that an estimated 6,500 Pennsylvanians afflicted with black-lung disease could lose their state payments once they are found unqualified for the Federal program. These amendments correct these points: They eliminate the possibility of double dip; any person on Federal rolls who transferred from the state rolls and whose benefits cease shall return to the state plan; any person currently on the state plan found ineligible for the Federal program will continue automatically in the state plan.

One of the real emoluments of being a legislator is being able to help people in a substantial way; a 188-percent increase is a substantial one, one that all of us can point to with pride.

Mr. Speaker, now is not the time to play partisan politics; now is not the time to gamble with the benefits of up to 6,500 disabled miners, but rather it is our responsibility, yours and mine, to protect these people, to help them. This is the way we can do it.

Mr. Speaker, Secretary Robb, the members of the Workmen's Compensation Bureau, the people in Washington and the members of this House and the Senate have worked diligently on this kind of program. This, Mr. Speaker, in my opinion, is important to us all to adopt today, and I ask the members of this House to support these amendments.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Englehart.

Mr. ENGLEHART. I wish to oppose the gentleman's proposed amendments to Senate bill No. 1478, and to understand this opposition, I would like to review, for just a moment, the wording of the Federal Coal Mine Safety Law.

Section 414 of the Federal law very clearly says that

the Federal Government shall reduce its payments by payments made in any State to its general work force with regard to workmen's compensation, unemployment compensation or disability insurance. Because of this clause in the Federal law, the Federal Government is now paying \$44 and the state government is paying \$100, making a total of \$144.

There is another provision in the Federal law, section 414(d), which says no benefits shall be paid to the residents of any State if such State reduces the benefits under its state laws applicable to its general work force with regard to workmen's compensation, unemployment compensation or disability insurance.

The Social Security Administration in Washington has ruled, first, that Pennsylvania payments under section 414(a) are disability insurance benefits and, therefore, they will not pay any more than \$44 and the State pays \$100.

The same Social Security Administration, when it goes to section 414(d), says, no, when we get to there, it is not disability insurance. You people in Pennsylvania may reduce your payment by \$100, and we will not penalize the residents of your State.

This is the reason for the language in the preamble of Senate bill No. 1478, which points out an inconsistency between the wording of the act and the interpretation of the social security administrator. I do not think anyone in this House wishes to criticize the Congress of the United States or the enactment of the Federal coal mine safety program. It is excellent. However, we are not interested in Pennsylvania residents being penalized and being declared ineligible and disqualified from Federal benefits in the event that the Federal court will some day make a ruling on this subject.

The sole purpose of the wording of Senate bill No. 1478 is to guarantee to the coal miners of this State that they will not be penalized, they will not become ineligible for these benefits, regardless of what the Federal court decides.

The gentleman who introduces the amendments says that we are trying to criticize the Federal revenue-sharing program, and I point out again, we are not criticizing it. We simply say that there is an inconsistency; we recognize it and we want to protect Pennsylvania coal miners.

He says we can save \$1 million in three minutes. If Senate bill No. 1478 is enacted just as it is without any amendments, we will save the same \$1 million in the same three-minute period of time.

The gentleman says that Senate bill No. 1478 does not guarantee the Pennsylvania coal miners that their benefits will continue in the event the Federal program is stopped. This is absolutely not so. On the second page of the bill, the language is very clear that we are only suspending the payment of Pennsylvania money as long as the man is entitled to Federal benefits. If for any reason he is not entitled to Federal benefits, the suspension is wiped away and he resumes his Pennsylvania benefits.

Mr. Speaker, I hope that the members will oppose and vote "no" on these amendments so that we can then proceed to enact Senate bill No. 1478 as written and send it to the Governor.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Musto.

Mr. MUSTO. Mr. Speaker, surprised I am to hear my colleague get up and try to make believe that an amend-

ment which carries my name is out to hurt the disabled mine workers in Pennsylvania and also to hurt the taxpayer.

I want to tell the members of this House that I had Mr. Seltzer introduce this amendment with my name on it with his, for I did not feel right this week, and I felt the members of this House would not be misled and betrayed by one of the phoniest titles of a bill which was ever introduced in the House of Representatives in my 22 years.

Now what does this amendment do? The gentleman who opposes the amendment was a member of this House committee at the time I made a visit with the fathers of the "Black Lung" Act of the Federal Government. He was a member and he did hear the discussion we had with a man who, while representing the United Mine Workers of America, was trying to hide what every member ought to know. They were trying to tell Congress and trying to tell the members of this House how to run their business, when they did not raise a finger in 1965 to support House bill No. 116, of which I was the author and the father, which would have given the mine workers a life pension with the taxpayers' money. They were opposed to that. They had a bill of their own, which was pie in the sky. Now they want to stick that pie in the sky down the noses of the members of this House and tell the Congress of the United States what they should do. The mine workers, who are dying at a rate of one every four hours, Mr. Speaker, are not looking for double dips. They are grateful for how the "Black Lung" Act reads. They are grateful to every member of the House who helped them to get the \$100.

Now I am asking the members of this House, are we going to be stool pigeons? Read the title of the bill. How can any member stomach the language which is in that bill? All this amendment is doing—and all I am trying to do along with Jack Seltzer—is protecting the claimant who is receiving \$100 per month from the taxpayer's payroll. All the amendment does is to provide that if the Federal Government rejects his claim, we in Pennsylvania, under the laws that we have passed, would continue paying the claimant \$100 a month.

I would like to know who is looking for the double dip. Who is it? Who speaks for the dying mine workers, I would like to know? Who is trying to say that the dying mine workers and their families want more than the "black lung" Federal law is willing to give them? No, they are not complaining. It is a dying organization that has outlived its usefulness, the United Mine Workers of America. If I must keep reading this bill, shame; that is their bill, I am asking this of every member in this House: Do not allow people who have never done anything for the mine workers to distort the facts. I ask you to vote for those amendments.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Englehart.

Mr. ENGLEHART. Mr. Speaker, I would like the record to be let alone as it is indicating that neither I nor any other member of this House, on either side of the aisle, would ever criticize the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Musto, who just spoke, for his undying loyalty to the coal miners of Pennsylvania, because he undoubtedly has it. The gentleman and I just happen to disagree with how we are going to protect them.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The yeas and nays were required by Messrs. SELTZER and ENGLEHART and were as follows:

YEAS—92

Alexander	Fox	Kistler	Ryan
Allen, W. W.	Fulmer	Kowalyszyn	Rybak
Anderson, J. H.	Gallen	Lee	Saloom
Appleton	Geesey	Lehr	Seltzer
Bair	Gekas	Lutty	Slack
Beloff	George	Lynch, Frank	Smith
Beren	Good	McClatchy	Stare
Bittle	Gring	McCurdy	Stauffer
Bossert	Halverson	Meibus	Steckel
Burkardt	Hamilton, J. H.	Meholchick	Tnomas
Butera	Haudenschild	Miffilin	Walsh
Coppolino	Hayes	Miller, M. E.	Weidner
Crawford	Hepford	Moore	Westerberg
Dager	Hill	Moserip	Wilson
Davis, R.	Holman	Musto	Wilt, R. E.
DeJoseph	Hopkins	Nicholson	Wilt, R. W.
Diminni	Horner	Nitrauer	Wilt, W. W.
Donaldson	Johnson, G.	Pancoast	Worriow
Dorsey	Kahle	Parker	Wright
Dwyer	Katz	Piper	Yohn
Eshback	Kennedy	Renninger	Zearfoss
Fischer	Kernaghan	Reynolds	Zimmerman
Foor	Kester	Ruggiero	Zord

NAYS—96

Allen, F. M.	Greenfield	McMonagle	Savitt
Anderson, S. A.	Goodman	Miller, P. W.	Scanlon
Bachman	Hamilton, R. K.	Mullen	Schmitt
Barber	Headlee	Murphy	Semanoff
Bellomini	Hetrick	Murtha	Shelhamer
Berkas	Homer	Needham	Shelton
Berson	Hovis	Nolan	Sherman
Blair	Hutchinson	Novak	Shuman
Bonetto	Irvis	O'Brien, B.	Shupnik
Brunner	Johnson, J.	O'Brien, F.	Steele
Caputo	Johnson, T.	O'Connell	Stemmler
Comer	Kaufman	O'Donnell	Stone
Davis, D.	Kelly	O'Pake	Sullivan
DeMedio	Kolter	Perry	Taylor
Eckensberger	Kury	Pezak	Tayoun
Englehart	LaMarca	Pievsky	Tiberi
Fee	Laudadio	Pittenger	Valicenti
Fenrich	Lawson	Polaski	Vann
Frank	Lynch, Francis	Prendergast	Wansacz
Fryer	Malady	Renwick	Wargo
Gelfand	Manbeck	Rieger	Wise
Gelsler	Mandertno	Ritter	Wojdak
Gillette	Martino	Ruane	Worley
Gleeson	McAneny	Rush	Yahner

NOT VOTING—15

Bennett	Gallagher	Melton	Torak
Bixler	Gross	Quiles	
Bush	Harrier	Silverman	Fineman,
Claypoole	McGraw	Spencer	Speaker
Crowley			

So the question was determined in the negative and the amendments were not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—191

Alexander	Geisler	Lynch, Frank	Ryan
Allen, F. M.	Gekas	Malady	Rybak
Allen, W. W.	Gelfand	Manbeck	Saloom
Anderson, J. H.	George	Mandertino	Savitt
Anderson, S. A.	Gillette	Martino	Scanlon
Appleton	Gleeson	McAneny	Schmitt

Bachman	Good	McClatchy	Seltzer
Bair	Goodman	McCurdy	Semanoff
Barber	Greenfield	McMonagle	Shelhamer
Bellomini	Gring	Meibus	Shelton
Beloff	Halverson	Meholchick	Sherman
Beron	Hamilton, J. H.	Melton	Shuman
Berkas	Hamilton, R. K.	Miffilin	Shupnik
Berson	Hill	Miller, M. E.	Slack
Bittle	Harrier	Miller, P. W.	Smith
Blair	Haudenschild	Moore	Snare
Bonetto	Hayes	Moscrip	Stauffer
Bossert	Headlee	Mullen	Steckel
Brunner	Hepford	Murphy	Stemmler
Burkardt	Hetrick	Murtha	Steele
Butera	Holman	Musto	Stone
Caputo	Homer	Needham	Sullivan
Comer	Hopkins	Nicholson	Taylor
Coppolino	Horner	Nitrauer	Tayoun
Crawford	Hovis	Nolan	Thomas
Crowley	Hutchinson	Novak	Tiberi
Dager	Irvis	O'Brien, B.	Valicenti
Davis, D.	Johnson, G.	O'Brien, F.	Vann
Davis, R.	Johnson, J.	O'Connell	Walsh
DeJoseph	Johnson, T.	O'Donnell	Wansacz
DeMedio	Kahle	O'Pake	Wargo
Diminni	Katz	Pancoast	Weidner
Donaldson	Kaufman	Parker	Westerberg
Dorsey	Kelly	Perry	Wilson
Dwyer	Kennedy	Pezak	Wilt, R. E.
Eckensberger	Kernaghan	Pievsky	Wilt, R. W.
Englehart	Kester	Piper	Wilt, W. W.
Eshback	Kistler	Pittenger	Wise
Fee	Kolter	Polaski	Wojdak
Fenrich	Kowalyszyn	Prendergast	Worley
Fischer	Kury	Renninger	Worriow
Foor	LaMarca	Renwick	Wright
Fox	Laudadio	Reynolds	Yahner
Frank	Lawson	Rieger	Yohn
Fryer	Lee	Ritter	Zearfoss
Fulmer	Lehr	Ruane	Zimmerman
Gallen	Lutty	Ruggiero	Zord
Geesey	Lynch, Francis	Rush	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—12

Bennett	Gallagher	Silverman	Torak
Bixler	Gross	Spencer	
Bush	McGraw		Fineman,
Claypoole	Quiles		Speaker

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with information that the House has passed the same with amendments in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL No. 1009

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, I call up for concurrence in Senate amendments, from page 9 of today's calendar, House bill No. 1009, printer's No. 3293.

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED HOUSE BILL RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate being introduced, returned bill from the House of Representatives numbered and entitled as follows:

HOUSE BILL No. 1009

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P. L. 90), entitled "Liquor Code," providing for the sale of gift certificates by Pennsylvania Liquor Stores.

With the information that the Senate has passed the same with amendments in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The clerk will read the amendment.

The clerk read the following amendment:

Amend bill, page 2, line 3, by striking out all of said line.

On the question,

Will the House concur in the amendment made by the Senate?

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, I request that the House do concur in the amendment made by the Senate to House bill No. 1009, printer's No. 3293.

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in the amendment made by the Senate?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—167

- | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|
| Alexander | Gallen | Lynch, Francis | Ruggiero |
| Allan, F. M. | Geisler | Lynch, Frank | Rush |
| Allen, W. W. | Gekas | Malady | Ryan |
| Anderson, J. H. | Gelfand | Manbeck | Rybak |
| Anderson, S. | Gillette | Mandorino | Savitt |
| Appleton | Gleeson | Martino | Scanlon |
| Bachman | Good | McAnehy | Schmitt |
| Bair | Goodman | McClatchy | Semanoff |
| Barber | Greenfield | McCurdy | Shelton |
| Bellommi | Gring | McMonagle | Sherman |
| Beloff | Halverson | Mebus | Shupnik |
| Beren | Hamilton, J. H. | Meholchick | Slack |
| Berkes | Hamilton, R. K. | Melton | Snare |
| Berson | Harrier | Mifflin | Stauffer |
| Blair | Haudenshield | Miller, M. E. | Steckel |
| Bonetto | Hayes | Mullen | Steele |
| Brunner | Headlee | Murphy | Stemmer |
| Burkhardt | Hetrick | Murtha | Stone |
| Butera | Hill | Musto | Sullivan |
| Caputo | Homer | Nedham | Taylor |
| Comer | Hopkins | Nicholson | Tayoun |
| Coppolino | Horner | Nolan | Tiberi |
| Crawford | Hoys | Novak | Valicenti |
| Crowley | Hutchinson | O'Brien, B. | Vann |
| Dager | Irlis | O'Brien, F. | Walsh |
| Davis, D. | Johnson, G. | O'Connell | Wanaszcz |
| Davis, E. | Johnson, J. | O'Donnell | Wargo |
| DeJoseph | Kahle | O'Pake | Weidner |
| Dininni | Katz | Pancoast | Westerberg |
| Donaldson | Kelly | Parker | Wilson |
| Dorsey | Kennedy | Perry | Witt, R. E. |
| Dwyer | Kernaghan | Pozak | Witt, R. W. |
| Eckensberger | Kester | Pievsky | Wise |
| Engelhart | Kistler | Piper | Wojdak |
| Eshback | Kolter | Pittenger | Worley |
| Fee | Kowalshyn | Polaski | Worriow |
| Fee | LaMarca | Prendergast | Wright |
| Fenrich | Laudadio | Reaminger | Yahner |
| Fischer | Lawson | Renwick | Yohn |
| Fox | Lee | Reynolds | Zearfoss |
| Frank | Lehr | Rieger | Zord |
| Fryer | Luffy | Ritter | |
| Fulmer | | | |

NAYS—23

- | | | | |
|---------|---------------|-----------|-------------|
| Bittle | Hepford | Moscrip | Shuman |
| Bossert | Holman | Nitzauer | Smith |
| DeMedio | Johnson, T. | Buane | Thomas |
| Foor | Kury | Saloom | Witt, W. W. |
| Geesey | Müller, P. W. | Seltzer | Zimmerman |
| George | Moore | Shelhamer | |

NOT VOTING—13

- | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Bennett | Gallagher | Quiles | Torak |
| Bbder | Gross | Silverman | |
| Rush | Kaufman | Spencer | Fineman, |
| Claypoole | McCraw | | Speaker |

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL No. 1113

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, I call up for concurrence in Senate amendments, from page 9 of today's calendar, House bill No. 1113, printer's No. 3317.

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED HOUSE BILL RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate being introduced, returned bill from the House of Representatives numbered and entitled as follows:

HOUSE BILL No. 1113

An Act amending the act of May 9, 1949 (P. L. 927), entitled, as amended, "An act fixing and regulating the fees, commissions, mileage and other costs chargeable by the sheriff in counties of the second, second A, third, fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh and eighth classes for their official acts and the services of their deputies, watchmen, appraisers and other agents; . . ." changing fees.

With the information that the Senate has passed the same with amendments in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The clerk will read the amendments.

The clerk read the following amendments:

Amend Section 1, page 6, line 29, by striking out after the bracketed "ten" the word "fifteen" and inserting in lieu thereof "twenty"

Amend Section 1, page 9, line 20, by deleting after "of" the words "each prisoner, ten" and inserting in lieu thereof "all prisoners, twelve"; line 21, by inserting after "mile" the words "per round trip"; line 24, by striking out after the bracketed "dollars" the words "fifteen dollars" and inserting in lieu thereof "twenty-dollars"

Amend Section 1, page 11, line 5, by striking out after the bracketed "ten dollars" the word "fifteen" and inserting in lieu thereof "twenty"

On the question,

Will the House concur in the amendments made by the Senate?

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, I request that the House do concur in the amendments made by the Senate to House bill No. 1113, printer's No. 3317.

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in the amendments made by the Senate?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

YEAS—188

- | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|
| Alexander | Geesey | Luffy | Ruggiero |
| Allan, F. M. | Geisler | Lynch, Francis | Rush |
| Allen, W. W. | Gekas | Lynch, Frank | Rybak |
| Anderson, J. H. | Gelfand | Malady | Saloom |
| Anderson, S. A. | George | Manbeck | Savitt |
| Appleton | Gillette | Mandorino | Scanlon |
| Bachman | Gleeson | Martino | Schmitt |
| Bair | Good | McAnehy | Seltzer |
| Barber | Goodman | McClatchy | Semanoff |
| Bellommi | Greenfield | McCurdy | Shelhamer |
| Beloff | Gring | McMonagle | Shelton |
| Beren | Halverson | Mebus | Sherman |
| Berkes | Hamilton, J. H. | Meholchick | Shuman |
| Berson | Hamilton, R. K. | Melton | Shupnik |
| Bittle | Harrier | Mifflin | Slack |
| Blair | Haudenshield | Miller, M. E. | Smith |
| Bonetto | Hayes | Miller, P. W. | Snare |

Bosserl	Headlee	Moore	Stauffer
Brunner	Hepford	Moscip	Steckel
Burkardt	Hetricks	Mullen	Steele
Butera	Hill	Murphy	Stemmler
Caputo	Holman	Murtha	Stone
Comer	Homer	Musto	Sullivan
Coppolino	Hopkins	Needham	Taylor
Crawford	Homer	Nicholson	Tayoun
Crowley	Hovis	Nitrauer	Thomas
Dager	Hutchinson	Nolan	Tiberi
Davis, D.	Irvis	Novak	Valicenti
Davis, R.	Johnson, G.	O'Brien, E.	Vann
DeJoseph	Johnson, J.	O'Brien, F.	Walsh
DeMedio	Johnson, T.	O'Connell	Wansacz
Dinanti	Kahle	O'Donnell	Wargo
Donaldson	Katz	O'Pake	Weldner
Dorsey	Kaufman	Pancosat	Westerberg
Dwyer	Kelly	Parker	Wilson
Eckensberger	Kennedy	Perry	Wilt, R. E.
Englehart	Kernaghan	Pezak	Wilt, R. W.
Eshback	Kester	Plevsky	Wilt, W. W.
Fee	Kistler	Ptper	Wojdak
Fenrich	Kolter	Polaski	Worley
Fischer	Kowalshyn	Prendergast	Worriow
Foor	Kury	Renninger	Wright
Fox	LaMarca	Renwick	Yahner
Frank	Laudadio	Reynolds	Yohn
Fryer	Lawson	Rieger	Zearfoss
Fulmer	Lee	Ritter	Zimmerman
Gallen	Lehr	Ruane	Zord

NAYS—2

Pittenger Wise

NOT VOTING—13

Bennett	Gallagher	Ryan	Torak
Bixler	Gross	Silverman	
Bush	McGraw	Spencer	Fineman.
Claypoole	Quiles		Speaker

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

RESOLUTIONS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. IRVIS, calls up **HOUSE RESOLUTION No. 233, printer's No. 3328, entitled:**

Urging the United States Geological Survey of the Department of the Interior to select the Pittsburgh metropolitan area as the location for a Federal demonstration project on environmental geology mapping and research.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?
It was adopted.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. PIEVSKY, calls up **HOUSE RESOLUTION No. 235, printer's No. 3360, entitled:**

Appointing a committee to investigate the extent and the amount of the nonpayment of taxes on the part of these vending companies.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?
It was adopted.

RESOLUTION

DISCHARGING COMMITTEE ON HOUSE BILL No. 60

Mr. IRVIS called up Discharge Resolution on House bill No. 60, which was read by the clerk:

In the House of Representatives, April 29, 1970.

RESOLVED, That House Bill No. 60, Printer's No. 120, entitled "An act providing certain real estate tax relief

through a system of tax refunds to be paid from certain moneys in the General Fund to certain persons who own their homesteads," having been referred to the Committee on Ways and Means on February 3, 1969, and the committee not having reported the same to the House for a period of over fifteen days, the committee is discharged from further consideration thereof.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the resolution?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, I would ask, on the discharge resolution, of course, that only those members actually physically present be permitted to vote. I think I need not explain to the members how seriously both sides take a discharge resolution and I would ask that we not have to request members on either side to have their vote stricken in case they are absent.

Mr. Speaker, would you please call up the discharge resolution of the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Bair?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. You have heard the request of the majority leader.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Bair.

Mr. BAIR. Mr. Speaker, just to set the record straight, I am not the principal sponsor of this resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Wilson, on the discharge resolution.

Mr. WILSON. On the resolution, Mr. Speaker, I suppose I am the principal sponsor of the discharge resolution, although Mr. Bair's name was put before mine.

I agree with the majority leader and would also request that only those in their seats and who are present vote.

Mr. Speaker, on this particular issue, I do not think there is anybody in this House who is particularly against the principle. I have waited one and one-half years, roughly. This bill was introduced on February 3, 1969. I particularly do not care whose name is on the measure or what measure comes out of the committee which would help our senior citizens. This happens to be one vehicle.

I attended committee hearings in Philadelphia on this particular subject. I suppose that was at least nine months ago. I had high hopes that some measure would come forth from our committee's system. I still have those hopes. I still honor the committee's system. I think it is important to this legislature, but time is growing short. We have very few months left in this session if we are going to do as we said we were going to do, provide tax relief for our elderly. That is the reason that I call up this discharge resolution and ask you to consider it, not as usurping the committee's system, but as promoting an idea which I feel we all believe in and we all feel should be instigated and started at this time.

Mr. Speaker, for this one time I would ask a positive vote, an affirmative vote, on discharging the committee from consideration of House bill No. 60.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, I ask a negative vote on the discharge resolution.

The gentleman is incorrect when he states for the record that the House has not acted. The House did, I believe

last week, act to provide some moneys for the relief of the elderly.

The gentleman is correct in stating that he is not the only one interested in this field. It would be incorrect for him or anyone else to imply on the record that this is a partisan effort. I am sure the gentleman did not imply that and would not imply it.

A vote in the negative on this does not mean, of course, that we, those who vote in the negative, are disinterested in tax relief for the elderly.

I ask for a vote in the negative, Mr. Speaker, to protect the system which we have protected so far, the committee system. I point out that the House has already acted in this field, perhaps not adequately, but it has acted, and I ask for a negative vote on the discharge resolution.

I am not going to read that record back, Mr. Minority Leader.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. DONALDSON. I am so delighted at that fact that I am not going to take part in the debate.

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The yeas and nays were required by Messrs. IRVIS and WILSON and were as follows:

YEAS—84

Alexander	Eshback	Katz	Ruane
Allen, F. M.	Fischer	Kennedy	Ryan
Allen, W. W.	Foor	Kester	Saloom
Anderson, J. E.	Fox	Kistler	Seltzer
Appleton	Fulmer	Lee	Semanoff
Bair	Gallen	Lehr	Smith
Beloff	Geesey	Lynch, Frank	Stauffer
Beren	Gekas	Manbeck	Thomas
Blittle	George	McClatchy	Weidner
Bossert	Good	McCurdy	Westerberg
Burkardt	Gring	Mebus	Wilson
Butera	Halverson	Miffin	Wilt, R. E.
Coppolino	Hamilton, J. H.	Moore	Wilt, R. W.
Crawford	Harrier	Moscrip	Worley
Dager	Hayes	Nicholson	Worrlow
Davis, R.	Hopford	Nitrauer	Wright
DeJoseph	Hill	O'Connell	Yohn
Dininni	Hopkins	Parker	Zearfoss
Donaldson	Horner	Piper	Zimmerman
Dorsey	Johnson, G.	Reininger	Zord
Dwyer	Kahle	Reynolds	

NAYS—103

Anderson, S. A.	Headlee	Melton	Savitt
Bachman	Hetrick	Miller, M. E.	Scanlon
Barber	Holman	Miller, P. W.	Schmitt
Bellomini	Homer	Mullen	Shelhamer
Berkes	Hovis	Murtha	Shelton
Berson	Hutchinson	Musto	Sherman
Blair	Irvig	Needham	Shuman
Bonetto	Johnson, J.	Noian	Shupnik
Brunner	Johnson, T.	Novak	Slack
Caputo	Kaufman	O'Brien, B.	Steckel
Comer	Kelly	O'Brien, F.	Steele
Davis, D.	Kernaghan	O'Donnell	Stemmler
DeMedio	Kolter	O'Pake	Stone
Eckensberger	Kowalshyn	Pancoast	Sullivan
Engelhart	Kury	Perry	Taylor
Fee	LaMarcus	Pozak	Tayoun
Fenrich	Laudadio	Plevsky	Tiberi
Frank	Lawson	Pittonger	Valicenti
Fryer	Lutty	Polaski	Vann
Geisler	Lynch, Francis	Prendergast	Walsh
Gelfand	Malady	Ronwick	Wansacz
Gillette	Manderino	Rieger	Wargo
Gleeson	Martino	Ritter	Wise
Goodman	McAneny	Ruggiero	Wojdak
Greenfield	McMonagle	Rush	Yahner
Hamilton, R. K.	Meholchick	Rybak	

NOT VOTING—16

Bennett	Gallagher	Quiles	Torak
Bixler	Gross	Silverman	
Bush	Haudensfield	Snare	Fineman,
Claypoole	McGraw	Spencer	Speaker
Crowley	Murphy		

So the question was determined in the negative and the resolution was not adopted.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY MAJORITY LEADER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, before any of the members leave the hall of the House, I would caution you that tomorrow may very well be a most important session. I cannot give you any other information than that, except to say that, contrary to the way it first appeared that it might merely be a token session, tomorrow may very well be a far more important session than a token session.

We are calling the House to order at 10 o'clock in the morning, and I would urge each and every one of you to be here, regardless of your position.

RECONSIDERATION OF VOTE ON
SENATE BILL No. 254

Mr. LUTTY moved that the vote by which Senate bill No. 254, printer's No. 1817, was defeated on final passage on July 14th be reconsidered.

Mr. SCHMITT seconded the motion.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
It was agreed to.

BILL POSTPONED

Mr. LUTTY moved that Senate bill No. 254, printer's No. 1817, be placed on the final passage postponed calendar.

The motion was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. BUTERA. Mr. Speaker, is this the first or second time that Senate bill No. 254 has been reconsidered?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. I think that this is the second time. It was defeated once. This is the chiropractor bill, right? It was defeated once today.

Mr. BUTERA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

BILLS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, all remaining bills on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

BILL REPORTED AND CONSIDERED FIRST
TIME

SENATE BILL No. 1089

By Mr. LUTTY

An Act amending the act of February 21, 1961 (P. L. 33), entitled "An act imposing a State tax on gross premiums, premium deposits and assessments received from business transacted within this Commonwealth by certain insurance companies, associations and exchanges; . . ." providing a credit against the tax for capital stock and corporate net income taxes paid by domestic insurance companies.

Reported from Committee on Rules.

RESOLUTION REPORTED AS COMMITTEDBy Mr. LUTTY **HOUSE RESOLUTION No. 236**

The United States Census Bureau grant an extension beyond July 1, 1970 to any locality in the Commonwealth where such extension may be necessary to enable local personnel to insure a complete, accurate 1970 census; and take any other steps necessary to insure a complete, accurate 1970 census.

Reported from Committee on Rules.

CONSUMER PROTECTION COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Gelfand.

Mr. GELFAND. Mr. Speaker, I am reminding the members of the Committee on Consumer Protection that we are having a meeting right after the end of this session in the House Appropriations Committee room to consider some legislation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Committee on Consumer Protection will have a meeting in room 245 immediately after the House is adjourned.

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Mullen.

Mr. MULLEN. Mr. Speaker, we would like to have a meeting of the Appropriations Committee immediately in room 245. We would like the lady from Chester, Mrs. Crawford, to come to the meeting, please.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair hears no objection.

Will the Committee on Appropriations meet immediately in room 245 to dispatch the business of the committee?

SENATE MESSAGE**CONCURRENCE IN HOUSE RESOLUTION RECALLING HOUSE BILL No. 2023 FOR AMENDMENT**

The clerk of the Senate being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the following resolution from the House of Representatives:

In the House of Representatives, July 14, 1970.

RESOLVED (the Senate concurring), That House Bill No. 2023, Printer's No. 2930, entitled "An act amending the act of June 3, 1937 (P. L. 1225), entitled 'An act concerning game and other wild birds and wild animals; and amending, revising, consolidating, and changing the law relating thereto,' increasing penalties for resisting inspection or arrest, refusal to accompany officer after arrest and for interfering with an officer in the performance of his duty," be recalled from the Governor for the purpose of amendment.

LEGISLATIVE CITATIONS

Mrs. GILLETTE reported the following citations from the Select Committee on Legislative Citations, which were read, considered and adopted:

By Mr. ZEARFOSS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 14, 1970

WHEREAS, Steven Gomulka won the 1970 Philadelphia

Soap Box Derby championship. This outstanding victory culminates two years of hard work and practice. He is to be admired and commended for his dedication in capturing this coveted championship.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its heartiest congratulations to Steven Gomulka, and expresses its hope that he will be victorious in the National Soap Box Derby finals in Akron, Ohio;

and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Steven Gomulka, 494 Paxon Hollow Road, Broomall, Pennsylvania.

By Mr. YAHNER

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 14, 1970

WHEREAS, Samuel Dubyak, retired coal miner, rail-roader and steel mill worker, is one of the Northern Cambria County area's most active senior citizens. He will observe his eighty-fifth birthday this August. Mr. Dubyak is the father of nine children. There are twelve grandchildren and two great-grandchildren. He likes gardening, working and being outdoors as much as possible. He also enjoys going on trips to visit with his children and waits anxiously for his grandchildren, neighbors and many friends to visit him.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its hearty congratulations to Mr. Samuel Dubyak on his eighty-fifth birthday and expresses its hope that he will long continue to enjoy the companionship of his family, friends and neighbors;

and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. Samuel Dubyak, Patton, R. D.

By Messrs. R. E. WILT, DONALDSON, APPLETON and Mrs. GILLETTE

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 14, 1970

WHEREAS, On August 29, 1970, the Benedictine Sisters of Pittsburgh will celebrate the Centennial of the founding of their community. At the request of the then bishop of Pittsburgh the sisters opened their first school in Pennsylvania on August 29, 1870. The Benedictine Sisters have expanded their activities and now serve the Pittsburgh, Greensburg and Altoona-Johnstown areas. The Sisterhood conducts thirteen elementary schools and three high schools.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, pauses in its deliberations to join with the many others who are offering their congratulations to the Benedictine Sisters of Pittsburgh upon their 100th year of service and devotion to the education of Pennsylvania's youth and to wish all the Sisters of the Pittsburgh Motherhouse continuing success in fulfilling their ideals of spreading culture and truth through service to education and religion;

and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Rev. Mother Pauline Stevens, Prioress of the Benedictine Sisters of Pittsburgh, Mount St. Mary Convent, 4530 Perrysville Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15229

By Mr. YAHNER

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 14, 1970

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Peter M. Cumberland of Patton, Pennsylvania observed their fiftieth wedding anniversary on June 30, 1970. A former miner and a retired steel worker, Mr. Cumberland is a member of the Patton V.F.W. Mrs. Cumberland is a talented seamstress and likes to crochet. They are the parents of one daughter; there are three grandchildren and seven great-grandchildren.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its hearty congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Peter M. Cumberland on the occasion of their fiftieth wedding anniversary and hopes that they will long continue to enjoy good health and the companionship of family and friends; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Peter M. Cumberland, 412 Palmer Avenue, Patton, Pennsylvania.

By Mr. YAHNER

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 14, 1970

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Matthew Conrad celebrated their sixtieth wedding anniversary on June 28, 1970. They are the parents of twelve children. There are sixty-eight grandchildren and twenty great-grandchildren.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its hearty congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Matthew Conrad on the celebration of their sixtieth wedding anniversary; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Matthew Conrad.

By Mr. YAHNER

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 14, 1970

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Paul McGough observed their twenty-fifth wedding anniversary on Sunday, June 28, 1970. They are the proud parents of seven children.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its hearty congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Paul McGough on the occasion of their twenty-fifth wedding anniversary and expresses its hope that they may long continue to enjoy the companionship of their family, friends and neighbors and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Paul McGough, Dysart, R. D., Pennsylvania.

By Mr. YAHNER

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 14, 1970

WHEREAS, Mrs. Adelaide Wetzel celebrated her ninety birthday anniversary on Saturday, June 27, 1970. Mrs. Wetzel is the mother of ten children, nine of them living, and the grandmother of fifty-four grandchildren and forty-four great-grandchildren. She has a very keen memory and takes an interest in everything, including current events. She does some light housekeeping and enjoys cooking. She is an avid television fan, reads a lot and enjoys crocheting.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its hearty congratulations to Mrs. Adelaide Wetzel on her ninety birthday and expresses its hope that she will long continue to enjoy life and her many friends and daily activities, and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mrs. Adelaide Wetzel, 726 St. Thomas Street, Gallitzin, Pennsylvania.

By Mr. YAHNER

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 14, 1970

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Raymond Niebauer celebrated their thirty-fifth wedding anniversary on Sunday, June 28, 1970. Mr. Niebauer is the District Magistrate. Their long and happy marriage has been an inspiration to all who know them.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Com-

monwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its hearty congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Raymond Niebauer on the occasion of their thirty-fifth wedding anniversary and expresses its hope that they may long continue to enjoy the companionship of their family, friends and neighbors; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Raymond Niebauer.

By Mr. McANENY

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 14, 1970

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Clair M. Price, Route 1, Mineral Point, Pennsylvania celebrated their fiftieth wedding anniversary June 30, 1970. The Prices have four children, sixteen grandchildren, and four great-grandchildren.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Mr. and Mrs. Clair M. Price, Route 1, Mineral Point, Pennsylvania, on their fiftieth wedding anniversary and wishes them many more years of happiness and good health; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Clair M. Price, Route 1, Mineral Point, Pennsylvania.

By Mr. McANENY

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 14, 1970

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. George A. Frick of Johnstown, Pennsylvania celebrated their fiftieth wedding anniversary July 6, 1970. The Fricks have six children, twenty-three grandchildren, and one great-grandchild.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Mr. and Mrs. George A. Frick, Johnstown, Pennsylvania, on their fiftieth wedding anniversary and wishes them many more years of happiness and good health; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. George A. Frick, 226 Pauline Street, Johnstown, Pennsylvania.

By Mr. YAHNER

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 14, 1970

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Albin H. Schenk of Chest Springs, Pennsylvania observed their fifty-fifth wedding anniversary on June 21, 1970. Mr. Schenk is a retired farmer; he and Mrs. Schenk are in good health and enjoy playing cards. They are the parents of eight children and lost one son who was killed in World War II. There are 51 grandchildren and 10 great grandchildren.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its hearty congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Albin H. Schenk on the occasion of their fifty-fifth wedding anniversary and expresses its hope that they will long continue to enjoy the companionship of their family, friends and neighbors; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Albin H. Schenk, Chest Springs, Pennsylvania 16624.

By Mr. MURTHA

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 14, 1970

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Harry Moskat, Johnstown, Pennsylvania celebrated their fiftieth wedding anniversary July 4, 1970. The Moskats have two children and six grandchildren.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Mr. and Mrs. Harry Moskat, Johnstown, Pennsylvania, on their fiftieth

wedding anniversary and wishes them many more years of happiness and good health; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Harry Moskat, 1333 Luzerne Street Ext., Johnstown, Pennsylvania.

By Mr. ECKENSBERGER

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 14, 1970

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Edwin Reichard have celebrated their sixtieth wedding anniversary. This occasion was a joyous one in their lives and in the lives of their three daughters, eighteen grandchildren and forty-eight great-grandchildren. Rarely has any couple exemplified to such a great degree the virtues and excellence of a happily married life as these two people, who enjoy the friendship, confidence and good wishes of all who know them.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its hearty congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Edwin Reichard on their sixtieth wedding anniversary and expresses its hope that they may enjoy many future years of companionship and happiness together, and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Edwin Reichard, 1007 Catasauqua Road, Fullerton, Pennsylvania.

By Mr. YAHNER

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 14, 1970

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Joseph Chobany Sr. of Portage, Pennsylvania, observed their sixtieth wedding anniversary on June 21, 1970. Mr. Chobany is a retired storekeeper and former miner. The couple are the parents of eleven children; there are thirty-five grandchildren and seven great-grandchildren.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its hearty congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Joseph Chobany Sr. on the occasion of their sixtieth wedding anniversary and expresses its hope that they will long continue to enjoy the companionship of their family, friends, and neighbors; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Joseph Chobany Sr., 915 Sonman Avenue, Portage, Pennsylvania.

By Mr. VALICENTI

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 14, 1970

WHEREAS, The Borough of East McKeesport, Pennsylvania has just completed the celebration of its Seventy-Fifth Diamond Jubilee Anniversary. A week long schedule of events was held from June 28, 1970 to July 4, 1970 to commemorate the occasion.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates the Borough of East McKeesport on the occasion of its Seventy-Fifth Diamond Jubilee Anniversary and wishes it continued growth and prosperity in the years ahead; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to the Borough of East McKeesport, Pennsylvania.

By Mr. STEELE

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 14, 1970

WHEREAS, Mrs. Vivien Catchpoole of North Apollo Borough, Armstrong County, has shown by her actions that there are still people who care about other people.

Although Mrs. Catchpoole is unable to swim, she waded into the Allegheny River, without hesitation, in response

to a request for help. By this courageous act she was able to save two young people from drowning.

For her bravery, Mrs. Catchpoole has been nominated to receive a Carnegie Hero Award and the Western Pennsylvania Firemen's Association Award.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, pays tribute to the courageous act of Mrs. Vivien Catchpoole and expresses the esteem of the entire Commonwealth for her example that there are still people who care about other people,

and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mrs. Vivien Catchpoole, Hickory Nut Road, North Apollo, Pennsylvania.

By Mr. McCLATCHY

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 14, 1970

WHEREAS, Michael Markowitz, Bala-Cynwyd, received the Emanuel M. Weinberger prize for proficiency in the field of hematology, awarded by the Temple University School of Medicine at commencement exercises May 22, 1970; and

WHEREAS, Michael Markowitz was one of fourteen award winners out of a graduating class of one hundred forty-six, and received a cash prize made available through the generosity of B. Bornstein and Son;

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, congratulates Michael Markowitz on his graduation from the Temple University School of Medicine and the interest he showed in his studies as reflected by his winning the proficiency award in hematology;

and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. Michael Markowitz, 111 Summit Lane, Bala-Cynwyd, Pennsylvania 19004.

By Messrs. ECKENSBERGER AND FRANK

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 14, 1970

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Chester L. Ruth of Allentown, Pennsylvania recently celebrated their sixty-fifth wedding anniversary. The occasion was marked by a fellowship hour sponsored by the congregation of the Calvary Baptist Church where they are members and also by a family dinner. They are the parents of a son, Lewis P. B. Ruth. Another son, George, is deceased.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its hearty congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Chester L. Ruth on the occasion of their sixty-fifth wedding anniversary and wishes them continued health and happiness in the future;

and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Chester L. Ruth, 1130 North 18th Street, Allentown, Pennsylvania.

By Mrs. GILLETTE

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 14, 1970

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Vaughn Helgert shall observe their fiftieth wedding anniversary on July 21, 1970. The couple has spent their entire married life in Natrona Heights. Mr. Helgert still works part-time at Van Sciver's Wallpaper and Paint Store. His wife enjoys baking and crocheting. They are the parents of six children. There are fourteen grandchildren and three great-grandchildren.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its hearty congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Vaughn Helgert on the occasion of their fiftieth wedding anniversary and expresses its hope that they will long continue to enjoy the companionship of their family and friends,

and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. and Mrs. Vaughn Helgert, 1013 Lilac Street, Natrona Heights, Pennsylvania.

By Mrs. GILLETTE

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 14, 1970

WHEREAS, Mr. Charles C. Lucas, President of the Alle-Kiski Chapter of NAACP, provided the leadership which resulted in the chapter receiving the prestigious Thalheimer Award. This award was given for outstanding achievement in 1968 and was sought after by one thousand NAACP chapters; and

WHEREAS, the Alle-Kiski Chapter has served as the stimulus for a number of programs which have led to better human rights and understanding between all men;

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, extends its sincere congratulations to Mr. Charles C. Lucas and to all the members of the Alle-Kiski Chapter of NAACP; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Mr. Charles C. Lucas, 844 Third Avenue, Brackenridge, Pennsylvania.

SENATE MESSAGE

BILL FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate being introduced, presented for concurrence bill numbered and entitled as follows:

SENATE BILL No. 1333

An Act establishing rights in public employes to organize and bargain collectively through selected representatives, defining public employes to include employes of non-profit organizations and institutions, providing compulsory mediation and fact-finding for collective bargaining impasses, providing arbitration for certain public employes for collective bargaining impasses, defining the scope of collective bargaining, establishing unfair employe and employer practices, prohibiting strikes for certain public employes, permitting strikes under limited conditions, providing penalties for violations, and establishing procedures for implementation.

Referred to Committee on Rules.

PERMISSION TO READ BILL FOR FIRST TIME

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, I wish to report from the Rules Committee, as committed, Senate bill No. 1333, printer's No. 1836, and ask that it be read for the first time.

On the question,

Will the House agree to reading the bill for the first time?

It was agreed to.

BILL REPORTED AND CONSIDERED FIRST TIME

SENATE BILL No. 1333

By Mr. IRVIS

An Act establishing rights in public employes to organize and bargain collectively through selected representatives, defining public employes to include employes of non-profit organizations and institutions, providing compulsory mediation and fact-finding for collective bargaining impasses, providing arbitration for certain public employes for collective bargaining impasses, defining the scope of collective bargaining, establishing unfair employe and employer practices, prohibiting strikes for certain public employes, permitting strikes under limited conditions, providing penalties for violations, and establishing procedures for implementation.

Reported from Committee on Rules.

SENATE MESSAGE

BILL FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate being introduced, presented for concurrence bill numbered and entitled as follows:

SENATE BILL No. 927

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P. L. 90), entitled "Liquor Code," by authorizing the sale of liquor and malt and brewed beverages in cities of the first class in restaurants in certain stadia.

Referred to Committee on Liquor Control.

BILLS REREPORTED AS COMMITTED

SENATE BILL No. 1303

By Mr. FULMER

An Act authorizing the incurring of debt, without the approval of the electors, for the purpose of financing public improvement projects to be acquired or constructed by the General State Authority stating the estimated useful life of such projects specifically itemized in a capital budget and making an appropriation.

Rereported from Committee on Appropriations.

SENATE BILL No. 1304

By Mr. FULMER

A Supplement to the act of November 25, 1969 (Act No. 133), entitled "An act providing for the capital budget for the fiscal year 1969-1970," itemizing public improvement projects to be acquired or constructed by the General State Authority together with their estimated financial costs.

Rereported from Committee on Appropriations.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE ON HOUSE BILL No. 1353

Mr. LAUDADIO presented the report of the Committee of Conference on House bill No. 1353.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The report will be laid over for printing under the rules.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair, without objection, now declares the House in recess. The Chair hears no objection.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (Robert K. Hamilton) IN THE CHAIR

MR. MULLEN REQUESTED TO PRESIDE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair requests the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Mullen, to preside.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (Martin P. Mullen) IN THE CHAIR

SENATE MESSAGE

BILLS FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate being introduced, presented for concurrence bills numbered and entitled as follows:

SENATE BILL No. 1442

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177), entitled "The Administrative Code of 1929," making the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board an independent administrative board and defining its powers, property and duties, transferring certain powers, duties and employes from the Department of Labor and Industry to the said board and appropriating to the board moneys appropriated or allocated to or for the use of the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board.

Referred to Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL No. 1443

An Act amending the act of June 1, 1937 (P. L. 1168), entitled "Pennsylvania Labor Relations Act," making the board an independent administrative board, increasing the membership of the board, changing quorum provisions, changing salaries of the board members, prescribing additional duties of the board, and providing for appointment of examiners and stenographers.

Referred to Committee on Rules.

BILLS REPORTED AND CONSIDERED FIRST TIME**SENATE BILL No. 1442**

By Mr. HAMILTON

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177),

entitled "The Administrative Code of 1929," making the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board an independent administrative board and defining its powers, property and duties, transferring certain powers, duties and employes from the Department of Labor and Industry to the said board and appropriating to the board moneys appropriated or allocated to or for the use of the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board.

Reported from Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL No. 1443

By Mr. HAMILTON

An Act amending the act of June 1, 1937 (P. L. 1168), entitled "Pennsylvania Labor Relations Act," making the board an independent administrative board, increasing the membership of the board, changing quorum provisions, changing salaries of the board members, prescribing additional duties of the board, and providing for appointment of examiners and stenographers.

Reported from Committee on Rules.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. HAMILTON moved that this House do now adjourn until Wednesday, July 15, 1970, at 10 a.m., e.d.t.

The motion was agreed to, and (at 8:20 p.m., c.d.t.) the House adjourned.