

# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

## LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

TUESDAY, JUNE 20, 1995

SESSION OF 1995

179TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 55

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.d.t.

#### THE SPEAKER (MATTHEW J. RYAN) PRESIDING

#### PRAYER

REV. B. PENROSE HOOVER, senior pastor of Salem Lutheran Church, Lebanon, Pennsylvania, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray:

Holy God, at the opening of today's session we again turn to You for patience and guidance in the face of all the business before this House and the decisions that need to be made

Take into Your gracious care all the members of this legislative body, its presiding officers, and all other officials. Give to them a high sense of responsibility for the office to which they have been elected. Make them immune to the temptation of selfish interests. Fill them with wisdom and discernment, that the resolutions adopted and the laws enacted may meet Your standards and serve the good of all the people of Pennsylvania.

Bless this fair State and all its citizens, and help them to be supportive of their elected Representatives. Keep them from unfair criticism and fault-finding. Help them to render to the members of this General Assembly the honor and respect that is proper and to support them with prayers and with good will.

Bless our Nation, our government, and our people, that our country may be a blessing to the people of the world. Amen.

#### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

#### JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Monday, June 19, 1995, will be postponed until printed. The Chair hears no objection.

### SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE BILL  
CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 1032, PN 1602**, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

### SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED HOUSE BILL RETURNED  
FOR CONCURRENCE AND  
REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 1400, PN 2091**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

### LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Barley, who indicates there is no request for leaves of absence.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Itkin, who requests that the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. PRESTON, the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. THOMAS, and the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. ROEBUCK, be placed on leave of absence.

Without objection, leave will be granted to the gentlemen. The Chair hears no objection.

### MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take the master roll call. Members will begin to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—200

Adolph	Egolf	Lucy	Sather
Allen	Evans	Lynch	Saylor
Argall	Fairchild	Maitland	Schroder
Armstrong	Fajt	Major	Schuler
Baker	Fargo	Manderino	Scrimenti
Bard	Farmer	Markosek	Semmel
Barley	Feese	Marsico	Serafini
Battisto	Fichter	Masland	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayernik	Sheehan
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.

Belfanti	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gannon	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Geist	Melio	Staback
Blaum	George	Merry	Stairs
Boscola	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Steelman
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steil
Brown	Godshall	Mihalich	Stern
Browne	Gordner	Miller	Stetler
Bunt	Gruitza	Mundy	Stish
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Nailor	Strittmatter
Buxton	Habay	Nickol	Sturla
Caltagirone	Haluska	Nyce	Surra
Cappabianca	Hanna	O'Brien	Tangretti
Carn	Harhart	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Carone	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hershey	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Hess	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Horsey	Pettit	True
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Piccola	Vance
Colafella	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Van Horne
Colaizzo	James	Pitts	Veon
Conti	Jarolin	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Josephs	Ramos	Waiko
Corpora	Kaiser	Raymond	Washington
Corrigan	Keller	Readshaw	Waugh
Cowell	Kenney	Reber	Williams
Coy	King	Reinard	Wogan
Curry	Kirkland	Richardson	Wozniak
Daley	Krebs	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Kukovich	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	LaGrotta	Robinson	Yewcic
Dent	Laughlin	Rohrer	Youngblood
Dermody	Lawless	Rooney	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Lederer	Rubley	Zug
DiGirolamo	Leh	Rudy	
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Sainato	Ryan,
Druce	Levdansky	Santoni	Speaker
Durham	Lloyd		

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—3

Preston                      Roebuck                      Thomas

LEAVES CANCELED—1

Preston

**RYAN O'DONNELL PRESENTED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Montgomery, Ms. Bard, and asks her to take the lower rostrum.

Ms. BARD. Today I would like to present to you Mr. Ryan O'Donnell, who is a fifth grader at St. John of The Cross Elementary School in Abington Township, and it is my pleasure today to present Ryan with a citation for his entry into the "There Ought To Be a Law" contest.

Ryan proposed that we ought to have a community service award, and for that wonderful proposal he prevailed in the contest. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Would the O'Donnell family seated to the left of the rostrum please rise.

**GUESTS INTRODUCED**

The SPEAKER. Here today is a group of guest pages of the gentleman from Philadelphia, Representative Ramos: Amy Ost, Marc Ost, and Nina Swartz. The parents of Amy and Marc are here with them, Richard and Elizabeth Ost. Would the folks that I just announced please rise. I believe they are here to the left of the Speaker. Yes.

**BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER**

Bill numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor, and the same being correct, the title was publicly read as follows:

**HB 1032, PN 1602**

An Act providing for the preemption of ordinances and other regulations of cities of the first class for capital projects and improvements of State-related universities principally located within cities of the first class.

Whereupon, the Speaker, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

**HOUSE SCHEDULE**

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. Barley, come to the rostrum. The gentleman, Mr. DeWeese.

The House will be temporarily at ease.

(Conference held at Speaker's podium.)

The SPEAKER. The House will come to order.

It is the intention of the Chair to now run pages 1 through 5 of today's calendar and then break for lunch. Pages 1 through 5 are the nonpreferred appropriations. They require 136 votes to pass.

May I have the attention of the House. Members will please take their seats.

I will repeat: The nonpreferreds are about to run. They require 136 votes to pass. I am going to run the first five pages, and then we will break for lunch. There may be a need for a short caucus at that point, and then we will return and run the balance of the nonpreferreds plus a resolution which we have been asked to consider.

**GUESTS INTRODUCED**

The SPEAKER. Prior to doing that, I would like to introduce to the House — guests of the Democratic whip, Ivan Itkin — Cara and Kathleen Haas. Cara and Kathleen are the granddaughters of Ann Smith, who has been on Mr. Itkin's staff for over 20 years. Their mother, Debbie, who is also here today, served as a guest page in the late 1970's. The girls are students at Good Shepherd School in Camp Hill and the youngest honorary members of the Irish caucus. My, my. Cara, Kathleen, and Debbie, please stand. There they are.

I knew there was something about you, Mr. Itkin, that was just outstanding.

## CALENDAR

## BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1783, PN 2144**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Fox Chase Institute for Cancer Research, Philadelphia, for the operation and maintenance of the cancer research program.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.  
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Steelman.  
Ms. STEELMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Last summer, those of you who were here for the running of the nonpreferred appropriations may remember that some disagreements about the nonpreferreds — their purpose and their actuality — resulted in the formation of a select committee on nonpreferred appropriations to study the entire issue of nonpreferreds.

That committee met a number of times during the summer and fall and took testimony from many of the representatives of institutions that receive nonpreferred appropriations from the House and Senate, and we finally agreed on a series of recommendations to try and improve the oversight of the General Assembly over the nonpreferred appropriations.

One of those recommendations which unanimously passed in the select committee was that the Appropriations Committee in the House should include a subcommittee on nonpreferred appropriations, that that subcommittee would continue the investigations that we had started with the select committee. As far as I know, that subcommittee did not come into existence this session.

Part of the responsibility, as we outlined it, of that subcommittee would have been to develop accounting guidelines for examination of the expenditure of nonpreferred appropriations since Auditor General Hafer informed the committee that her ability to audit effectively the nonpreferred appropriations was limited by the fact that there were few, if any, guidelines for the utilization of this appropriations money. As far as I know, no guidelines have been developed, no legislation has been introduced, contrary to the recommendation of the committee.

It was also recommended by the committee that prequalification criteria be developed for institutions that wish to participate in the nonpreferred appropriations process. And again, we agreed generally that that should be a responsibility of the subcommittee on nonpreferred appropriations, but those prequalification criteria also seem not to have been utilized in the process of developing the nonpreferred appropriations list for this year.

And finally, the committee recommended that in view of the fact that the vast amount of total nonpreferred dollars are designated for undergraduate education at State-related and

State-aided institutions of higher education, the committee recommended that the House subcommittee continue to explore how these funds are used to support undergraduate education in Pennsylvania. Unfortunately, it appears that none of these very restricted and I think highly appropriate recommendations were taken into account in the development of the nonpreferred appropriations this year.

I myself, after serving on the committee, listening to hours of testimony, reading hundreds of pages of budgets and reports, came to the conclusion that there are several things wrong with the nonpreferred appropriations process, and the main thing that is wrong about it, as most of the people who are here in the hall today know, is that the nonpreferred appropriations process is, contrary to its name, an entirely preferential appropriations process.

If there are 11 cleft palate clinics in Pennsylvania, as we heard in the hearings, how can we logically justify special funding for 2 of them? If there are many schools with excellent undergraduate engineering programs, how can we justify special funding for two of them or three of them or four of them? If we have, as all of us do, local agencies that are concerned with health and welfare issues, struggling to put together grants and donations to fund themselves, how can we justify driving out significant amounts of money to a few of these institutions that provide human services on a completely noncompetitive basis?

So after looking at all the data, I came to the conclusion that the criteria that we ought to be adopting in considering the nonpreferred appropriations were whether the institution we propose to fund provides a unique service to Pennsylvania and whether that unique service is inherently so important to the Commonwealth that we need to go about funding it in this particular way. Frankly, I do not think that any of the health and welfare, the research-associated appropriations that are about to come before us qualify under that standard.

For example, we are about to consider the appropriation to Fox Chase. Fox Chase made a very fine presentation before the committee. They convinced us that they are doing interesting work. One of the things that they do with the money that they get from the Commonwealth is to support junior investigators until they can start bringing in Federal or private foundation grant money on their own. I think a lot of people would say, well, that is a wonderful thing to do. On the other hand, that is something that we do not appropriate money to do at our own State System schools. That is something that we do not explicitly appropriate money to do at our State-related universities, and I do not understand and I do not think it is possible logically to justify a system in which we say that we will drive out money to provide to a private nonprofit institution resources and capabilities that we deny the institutions that are actually owned and operated by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

In the same way, when we look at the appropriations for the operating expenses of museums across the Commonwealth, although I think it is justifiable to say that we should have a fund in the budget to provide operating expenses to museums, I believe that that funding should be available on a competitive basis to all of the museums in Pennsylvania, from the largest to the smallest, and that their ability to draw down money should be based more on the excellence of their presentation than it is on the excellence of their association with members of the legislature.

I am saying these things so that people will understand some of the conclusions both that the committee came to as a whole and that I came to in the course of the work that we did last year, and

so people will understand why, even though I believe that many of these appropriations go to excellent institutions, I believe that many of them are used for excellent purposes, nevertheless, I find that I am unable to vote in support of any of these appropriations until we move to a more rational process for allocating this money, and I hope that other members of the House who believe that our decisions should be driven by thought and by reason will join me in opposing this process. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Robinson, is recognized on the issue.

The gentleman, Mr. Robinson, is entitled to the courtesy of the House, so members will please take their seats.

Mr. Robinson.

Mr. ROBINSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, as a followup to Representative Steelman's concerns, I would like to, if I might, interrogate the author of HB 1783.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Pitts, will stand for interrogation. You may begin.

Mr. ROBINSON. Mr. Speaker, I was privileged to serve as chairman of the House select committee on nonpreferred appropriations last year. That committee was authorized by HR 349. It was my understanding that the charge to that committee was to review and investigate the nonpreferred process and to make some recommendations to this House through the Speaker that would be utilized in the 1995-1996 budget process.

Having said that, Mr. Speaker, I would like to know whether or not the report that was filed by the House select committee on nonpreferred appropriations on November 22, 1994, was utilized in preparation of the 1995-1996 budget?

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, not like as has happened in the last few years, the Appropriations Committee took that into consideration and decided that we would have the universities come into the regular hearings, and we gave the members complete opportunity to interrogate on every issue as those universities came before the Appropriations Committee this year.

Mr. ROBINSON. Mr. Speaker, as a member of that committee along with myself, I believe you were privy to a reading of the specific resolution with its mandate to our committee. That mandate included recommendations being made to the House and those recommendations being utilized in the 1995-1996 budget process.

Mr. Speaker, I ask again whether or not the recommendations of the House select committee on nonpreferred appropriations were used in preparation of the 1995-1996 budget.

Mr. PITTS. Yes, Mr. Speaker; we read the report.

Mr. ROBINSON. Mr. Speaker, there were 10 recommendations that were outlined in the report, and also, the committee chose to make sure that each individual member could have their vote recorded separately for future reference. Of the 10 recommendations that were in the report that was filed on November 22, 1994, could you tell me, Mr. Speaker, whether or not any of those specific recommendations are reflected in the budget process, and if so, where?

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, every member had an opportunity to review all of the nonpreferred requests and to make a decision. They were given full opportunity in the hearing process.

Mr. ROBINSON. Mr. Speaker, as Representative Steelman indicated, one of the recommendations was to create a subcommittee of the Appropriations Committee to specifically

address the issue of nonpreferred appropriations. Has that committee been established?

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, we do have a Subcommittee on Education in the Appropriations Committee.

Mr. ROBINSON. Mr. Speaker, then can I interpret your answer to be a "no"?

Mr. PITTS. I have tried to answer the gentleman's question.

Mr. ROBINSON. Mr. Speaker, without trying to be argumentative, the recommendation is very specific. I have a copy of the report before me if the speaker would like to review it, but the recommendation was very specific about creating within the Appropriations Committee a subcommittee to specifically address the issue of nonpreferred appropriations, and with all due respect, Mr. Speaker, I still would like a "yes" or "no" answer as to whether or not that subcommittee was created.

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, with all due respect, this legislature is not bound by a recommendation of a subcommittee from a prior session.

Mr. ROBINSON. Mr. Speaker, my interpretation of HR 349 is that it was duly passed and authorized by this House and that once that report was turned in to the Speaker, that those of us who served on the committee were bound by the resolution, as were those individuals involved in any process that the resolution addressed.

My understanding is that the 1995-1996 budget process was to be reflective of the recommendations from the House select committee on nonpreferred appropriations. Mr. Speaker, my understanding of what you are saying to me is that the Appropriations Committee ignored HR 349.

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, your interpretation of your responsibility is incorrect. I respectfully suggest that you are incorrect in your interpretation.

Mr. ROBINSON. Mr. Speaker, when you say that—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

The Chair suggests to the gentleman that the Appropriations Committee does not create subcommittees, the House creates subcommittees, and the debate with the chairman of the Appropriations Committee indicating that they should do such a thing really is fruitless, because it requires the affirmative vote of 102 members of the House to change the rules of the House to create such a permanent subcommittee. I think the thing to do would be to restrict the debate to the question which is before the House, that question being, should HB 1783, PN 2144, pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Robinson.

Mr. ROBINSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for that instruction.

Mr. Speaker, if I might have your indulgence, I would like to refer to that specific recommendation which relates to rule 43 of the House of Representatives, and if I am correct, Mr. Speaker, standing committees and subcommittees are appointed by the Speaker, and to that extent, I believe Mr. Pitts is correct, and I certainly will stand corrected in that regard, but it was my understanding that the committee had agreed unanimously that that subcommittee would be created. In light of that, Mr. Speaker, at the appropriate time, I would make a recommendation to the Speaker that we change rule 43 so that that subcommittee could be created.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield for a moment.

It is true that the Speaker would make the appointments, but the committee itself would be created by the House in its rules when it adopts rules.



Mr. ROBINSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, just a couple other questions, if I might, and I beg your indulgence, because it would seem to me that if HR 349 is to be taken seriously, my concerns also must be taken seriously, and if the Speaker will indulge me a moment, I would just like to read from HR 349 that portion which specifically speaks to the issue of what should be done with any report that is turned in.

Mr. Speaker, on line 17, the resolution reads as follows: "RESOLVED, That the committee make a report of its findings and recommendations to the House of Representatives on or before November 30, 1994, for use in preparation of the 1995-1996 Commonwealth budget."

Mr. Speaker, my concerns relate to those three or four lines, and that is why I am pressing this question. I am simply trying to find out at what point during the budget process were the recommendations of HR 349 considered and reflected in the budget. Mr. Speaker, could you respond to that?

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, we read the report. We passed out of the House Appropriations Committee unanimously the bills you have before you.

Mr. ROBINSON. Mr. Speaker, let me ask just one other question, if I might. I am not trying to beg the question, and certainly, I am familiar with the budget process.

Mr. Speaker, the budget process — correct me if I am wrong — includes both the Governor's Office, the Senate, and the House and the appropriate committees therein.

Mr. PITTS. Each of those branches of government is involved, yes, in the budget process.

Mr. ROBINSON. I thank you, Mr. Speaker. If I might make a closing comment. I thank the gentleman for his indulgence.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. ROBINSON. Mr. Speaker, while I can appreciate the response of the Appropriations chairman and certainly in some respects I agree with him, I think the spirit of HR 349 clearly speaks to the issue of the recommendations of the committee being utilized at least by the House.

Mr. Speaker, I do recognize that there are other branches of government involved in the budget process. Those other branches of government were privy to the report, and inasmuch as there are not any representatives from the Governor's Office or the Senate here, any further questioning on my part of Mr. Pitts or anyone else involved on our Appropriations staff or committee probably would not be appropriate.

But, Mr. Speaker, I just think that the spirit of HR 349 has not been followed by our House Appropriations Committee, and I encourage each and every member to read the House select committee's report on nonpreferred appropriations, certainly the recommendations, prior to voting on HB 1783 and all other nonpreferred appropriations.

In closing, let me indicate that a number of amendments will be offered throughout the day, some of which I will offer, which I believe speak to the spirit of HR 349 and specifically to the recommendations in the report. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question, the gentleman, Mr. Pesci, desires recognition on this question.

Mr. PESCI. Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate the maker of the bill, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Pitts, indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. PESCI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, in reference to Mr. Robinson's questioning on the House select committee on nonpreferred appropriations, I believe on page 4, according to the Auditor General, Barbara Hafer, while her office has the authority to conduct audits on these nonpreferreds, the only guidelines that these institutions have on how to spend their nonpreferred money is to spend it. Is that not true, sir?

Mr. PITTS. Say your last phrase again, please. I did not quite catch the last sentence.

Mr. PESCI. The only guideline these institutions have on how to spend their nonpreferred money — and I am speaking in particular on HB 1783 — is to spend it, and if I may, sir, to further out, there are no other further requirements or objectives.

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, these various agencies make a request to the appropriate department with all their supporting documentation, which then forwards that request to the Appropriations Committee, if the Governor agrees, and all of that documentation was made available to the Appropriations Committee.

Mr. PESCI. Mr. Speaker, is there any prequalification criteria that has to be met by any one of these nonpreferreds, especially the first one we are working on?

Mr. PITTS. That is established by the departments.

Mr. PESCI. By the department they are submitting their request to, sir?

Mr. PITTS. That is correct.

Mr. PESCI. Then there is a submission of a budget request by this first nonpreferred?

Mr. PITTS. Yes; that is correct, to the department.

Mr. PESCI. Is it to an agency, sir, or is it to the appropriations department?

Mr. PITTS. To the Department of Health.

Mr. PESCI. Then is there a budget transmittal sent to either the House or the Senate Appropriations from that agency or from that one receiving the nonpreferred?

Mr. PITTS. Yes; that is correct, when the Governor makes his budget proposal.

Mr. PESCI. And did this particular agency here appear in front of the Appropriations Committee?

Mr. PITTS. They were not invited.

Mr. PESCI. Is there any particular reason why they were not, sir?

Mr. PITTS. No member requested them.

Mr. PESCI. Would it be suggestive that in the future any agency other than a State-related agency that is requesting a nonpreferred do get invited to explain their circumstances, sir?

Mr. PITTS. If that is the will of the members.

Mr. PESCI. May I speak on the bill, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. PESCI. Mr. Speaker, in the last 5 months I have been really going over a lot of these nonpreferreds, and I think it is very interesting on some of the areas that are included in the nonpreferreds. I do have a record in the last several years of voting "no." Of course, when I became a freshman in May of 1989, I had 30 days to work on a budget, that I did vote "yes" on the nonpreferreds.

I duly suggest that we do take a hard look at what we are doing here today. Some of the recommendations you will see coming out of both sides of the aisle will be some of the recommendations under that House resolution that was passed by this House, and I do recommend that what we do is, again, take a real hard look on

how you are voting, what you are voting for, because I in my own heart now believe that some of the moneys that are going to some of the nonpreferreds could be used for other instances, and thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-171

Adolph	Durham	Lederer	Rudy
Allen	Egolf	Lescovitz	Sainato
Argall	Evans	Levdansky	Santoni
Armstrong	Fairchild	Lloyd	Sather
Baker	Fargo	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Farmer	Major	Schuler
Barley	Feese	Manderino	Scrimenti
Battisto	Fichter	Markosek	Semmel
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Marsico	Serafini
Belardi	Flick	Masland	Shaner
Bishop	Gamble	Mayernik	Sheehan
Boyes	Gannon	McCall	Smith, B.
Brown	Geist	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Browne	George	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Gigliotti	Melio	Staback
Butkovitz	Gladeck	Merry	Stairs
Buxton	Godshall	Michlovic	Steil
Caltagirone	Gordner	Micozzie	Stern
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mihalich	Stish
Carn	Gruppo	Miller	Strittmatter
Cawley	Habay	Mundy	Surra
Chadwick	Harhart	Nailor	Tangretti
Civera	Hasay	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hennessey	Nyce	Taylor, J.
Clymer	Herman	O'Brien	Tigue
Cohen, L. I.	Hershey	Oliver	Travaglio
Cohen, M.	Hess	Perzel	Trello
Colafella	Horsey	Petrone	True
Colaizzo	Hutchinson	Pettit	Tulli
Conti	Itkin	Phillips	Vance
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Piccola	Van Horne
Corrigan	James	Pistella	Vitali
Cowell	Jarolin	Pitts	Washington
Coy	Josephs	Ramos	Waugh
Curry	Kaiser	Raymond	Williams
Daley	Keller	Readshaw	Wogan
DeLuca	Kenney	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Dempsey	King	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Dent	Kirkland	Richardson	Zimmerman
Dermody	Kukovich	Rieger	Zug
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Roberts	
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Rooney	Ryan,
Donatucci	Lawless	Rubley	Speaker
Druce			

NAYS-25

Belfanti	Haluska	Pesci	Sturla
Birmelin	Hanna	Platts	Trich
Blaum	Krebs	Robinson	Veon
Boscola	Leh	Rohrer	Walko
Carone	Lucyk	Steelman	Wozniak
Corpora	Lynch	Stetler	Yewcic
Fajt			

NOT VOTING-4

Olasz	Petrarca	Saylor	Youngblood
-------	----------	--------	------------

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1784, PN 2145**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Wistar Institute-Research, Philadelphia.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-166

Adolph	Druce	Lloyd	Sainato
Allen	Durham	Maitland	Santoni
Argall	Egolf	Major	Sather
Armstrong	Evans	Manderino	Schroder
Baker	Fairchild	Markosek	Schuler
Bard	Fargo	Marsico	Scrimenti
Barley	Farmer	Masland	Semmel
Battisto	Feese	Mayernik	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McCall	Shaner
Belardi	Fleagle	McGeehan	Sheehan
Bishop	Flick	McGill	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gamble	Melio	Smith, S. H.
Brown	Gannon	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Browne	Geist	Michlovic	Staback
Bunt	George	Micozzie	Stairs
Butkovitz	Gladeck	Mihalich	Steil
Buxton	Godshall	Miller	Stern
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Nailor	Stish
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Nickol	Strittmatter
Carn	Habay	Nyce	Surra
Cawley	Harhart	O'Brien	Tangretti
Chadwick	Hasay	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hennessey	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Clark	Herman	Perzel	Tigue
Clymer	Hershey	Petrarca	Trello
Cohen, L. I.	Hess	Petrone	True
Cohen, M.	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Colafella	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Colaizzo	Itkin	Piccola	Van Horne
Conti	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Vitali
Cornell	James	Pitts	Washington
Corrigan	Josephs	Ramos	Williams

Cowell	Keller	Raymond	Wogan
Coy	Kenney	Readshaw	Wozniak
Curry	King	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Kirkland	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kukovich	Richardson	Youngblood
Dent	LaGrotta	Rieger	Zimmerman
Dermody	Laughlin	Roberts	Zug
DeWeese	Lederer	Rooney	
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Rublely	Ryan,
Donatucci	Levdansky	Rudy	Speaker

NAYS-34

Belfanti	Gordner	Lynch	Stetler
Birmelin	Haluska	Mundy	Sturla
Blaum	Hanna	Pesci	Travaglio
Boscola	Jarolin	Platts	Trich
Carone	Kaiser	Robinson	Veon
Corpora	Krebs	Rohrer	Walko
DeLuca	Lawless	Saylor	Waugh
Fajt	Leh	Steelman	Yewcic
Gigliotti	Lucyk		

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1785, PN 2183**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Central Penn Oncology Group.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-165

Adolph	Donatucci	Levdansky	Santoni
Allen	Druce	Lloyd	Sather
Argall	Durham	Maitland	Schroder
Armstrong	Egolf	Major	Schuler
Baker	Evans	Manderino	Scrimenti
Bard	Fairchild	Markosek	Semmel
Barley	Fargo	Marsico	Serafini
Battisto	Farmer	Masland	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Feese	Mayernik	Sheehan
Belardi	Fichter	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Fleagle	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Flick	McGill	Snyder, D. W.

Boyes	Gamble	Melio	Staback
Brown	Gannon	Merry	Stairs
Browne	Geist	Michlovic	Steil
Bunt	George	Micozzie	Stern
Butkovitz	Gladeck	Miller	Stish
Buxton	Godshall	Nailor	Strittmatter
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Nickol	Surra
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Nyce	Tangretti
Carn	Habay	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Harhart	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Hasay	Oliver	Trello
Civera	Hennessey	Perzel	True
Clark	Herman	Petrarca	Tulli
Clymer	Hershey	Petrone	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Hess	Pettit	Van Horne
Cohen, M.	Horsey	Phillips	Vitali
Colafella	Hutchinson	Piccola	Washington
Colaizzo	Itkin	Pistella	Waugh
Conti	James	Pitts	Williams
Cornell	Josephs	Ramos	Wogan
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Wozniak
Cowell	Kenney	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Coy	King	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Kirkland	Richardson	Youngblood
Daley	Kukovich	Rieger	Zimmerman
Dempsey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Zug
Dent	Laughlin	Rooney	
Dermody	Lawless	Rublely	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lederer	Rudy	Speaker
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Sainato	

NAYS-35

Birmelin	Haluska	Mihalich	Stetler
Blaum	Hanna	Mundy	Sturla
Boscola	Jadlowiec	Pesci	Tigue
Carone	Jarolin	Platts	Travaglio
Corpora	Kaiser	Readshaw	Trich
DeLuca	Krebs	Robinson	Veon
Fajt	Leh	Rohrer	Walko
Gigliotti	Lucyk	Saylor	Yewcic
Gordner	Lynch	Steelman	

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1786, PN 2147**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania for cardiovascular studies.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-171

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' for the bill. Includes names like Adolph, Allen, Argall, etc.

NAYS-29

Table listing names of members who voted 'NAYS' for the bill. Includes names like Birmelin, Blaum, Boscola, etc.

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Table listing names of members who were excused: Preston, Roebuck, Thomas.

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of HB 1787, PN 2148, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to St. Francis Hospital, Pittsburgh.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-173

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' for the second bill. Includes names like Adolph, Allen, Argall, etc.

Dempsey	Kirkland	Richardson	Zimmerman
Dent	Kukovich	Rieger	Zug
Dermody	LaGrotta	Roberts	
DeWeese	Laughlin	Robinson	Ryan,
DiGirolamo	Lawless	Rooney	Speaker
Donatucci	Lederer	Rubley	

NAYS-27

Birmelin	Hanna	Pesci	Sturla
Blaum	Jarolin	Platts	Tigue
Boscola	Krebs	Rohrer	Veon
Carone	Leh	Saylor	Waugh
Corpora	Lucyk	Steelman	Wozniak
Gordner	Lynch	Steil	Yewcic
Haluska	Mundy	Stetler	

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1788, PN 2149**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to St. Christopher's Hospital, Philadelphia.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-173

dolph	Druce	Lescovitz	Sainato
Allen	Durham	Levdansky	Santoni
Argall	Egolf	Lloyd	Sather
Armstrong	Evans	Lucyk	Schroder
Baker	Fairchild	Maitland	Schuler
Bard	Fajt	Major	Scrimenti
Barley	Fargo	Manderino	Semmel
Battisto	Farmer	Markosek	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Feese	Marsico	Shaner
Belardi	Fichter	Masland	Sheehan
Belfanti	Fleagle	Mayernik	Smith, B.
Bishop	Flick	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Boyes	Gamble	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Brown	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Browne	Geist	Melio	Stairs
Bunt	George	Merry	Steil

Butkovitz	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stern
Buxton	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stish
Caltagirone	Godshall	Miller	Strittmatter
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Nailor	Surra
Carn	Gruppo	Nickol	Tangretti
Cawley	Habay	Nyce	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Harhart	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Civera	Hasay	Olasz	Tigue
Clark	Hennessey	Oliver	Travaglio
Clymer	Herman	Perzel	Trello
Cohen, L. I.	Hershey	Petrarca	True
Cohen, M.	Hess	Petrone	Tulli
Colafella	Horsey	Pettit	Vance
Colaizzo	Hutchinson	Phillips	Van Horne
Conti	Itkin	Piccola	Vitali
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Walko
Corrigan	James	Pitts	Washington
Cowell	Josephs	Ramos	Williams
Coy	Kaiser	Raymond	Wogan
Curry	Keller	Readshaw	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Kenney	Reber	Wright, M. N.
DeLuca	King	Reinard	Youngblood
Dempsey	Kirkland	Richardson	Zimmerman
Dent	Kukovich	Rieger	Zug
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Ryan,
DiGirolamo	Lawless	Rubley	Speaker
Donatucci	Lederer	Rudy	

NAYS-27

Birmelin	Hanna	Pesci	Sturla
Blaum	Jarolin	Platts	Trich
Boscola	Krebs	Roberts	Veon
Carone	Leh	Robinson	Waugh
Corpora	Lynch	Saylor	Wozniak
Gordner	Mihalich	Steelman	Yewcic
Haluska	Mundy	Stetler	

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1789, PN 2150**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Lancaster Cleft Palate.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-171

Adolph	Druce	Levdansky	Santoni
Allen	Durham	Lloyd	Sather
Argall	Egolf	Maitland	Saylor
Armstrong	Evans	Major	Schroder
Baker	Fairchild	Manderino	Schuler
Bard	Fargo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Barley	Farmer	Marsico	Semmel
Battisto	Feese	Masland	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	Mayernik	Shaner
Belardi	Fleagle	McCall	Sheehan
Belfanti	Flick	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Bishop	Gamble	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Boyes	Gannon	Melio	Snyder, D. W.
Brown	Geist	Merry	Staback
Browne	George	Michlovic	Stairs
Bunt	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Steil
Butkovitz	Gladeck	Miller	Stern
Buxton	Godshall	Nailor	Stish
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Nickol	Strittmatter
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Nyce	Surla
Carn	Habay	O'Brien	Surra
Cawley	Harhart	Olasz	Tangretti
Chadwick	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hennessey	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Clark	Herman	Petrarca	Tigue
Clymer	Hershey	Petrone	Trello
Cohen, L. I.	Hess	Pettit	True
Cohen, M.	Horsey	Phillips	Tulli
Colafigli	Hutchinson	Piccola	Vance
Colaizzo	Itkin	Pistella	Van Horne
Conti	Jadlowiec	Pitts	Vitali
Cornell	James	Ramos	Washington
Corrigan	Josephs	Raymond	Waugh
Cowell	Keller	Reber	Williams
Coy	Kenney	Reinard	Wogan
Curry	King	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Kirkland	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
DeLuca	Kukovich	Robinson	Youngblood
Dempsey	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Dent	Laughlin	Rooney	Zug
Dermoddy	Lawless	Rubley	
DeWeese	Lederer	Rudy	Ryan,
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Donatucci			

NAYS-29

Birmelin	Hanna	Mihalich	Stetler
Blaum	Jarolin	Mundy	Travaglio
Boscola	Kaiser	Pesci	Trich
Carone	Krebs	Platts	Veon
Corpora	Leh	Readshaw	Walko
Fajt	Lucyk	Roberts	Wozniak
Gordner	Lynch	Steelman	Yewcic

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1791, PN 2152**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Burn Foundation, Philadelphia.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?  
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-176

Adolph	Durham	Maitland	Sather
Allen	Egolf	Major	Saylor
Argall	Evans	Manderino	Schroder
Armstrong	Fairchild	Markosek	Schuler
Baker	Fargo	Marsico	Scrimenti
Bard	Farmer	Masland	Semmel
Barley	Feese	Mayernik	Serafini
Battisto	Fichter	McCall	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	McGeehan	Sheehan
Belardi	Flick	McGill	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Gamble	Melio	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Gannon	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Geist	Michlovic	Staback
Brown	George	Micozzie	Stairs
Browne	Gigliotti	Miller	Steil
Bunt	Gladeck	Mundy	Stern
Butkovitz	Godshall	Nailor	Stish
Buxton	Gruitza	Nickol	Strittmatter
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Nyce	Surra
Cappabianca	Habay	O'Brien	Tangretti
Carn	Harhart	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Civera	Herman	Petrarca	Travaglio
Clark	Hershey	Petrone	Trello
Clymer	Hess	Pettit	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Horsey	Phillips	True
Cohen, M.	Hutchinson	Piccola	Tulli
Colafigli	Itkin	Pistella	Vance
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Pitts	Van Horne
Conti	James	Ramos	Vitali
Cornell	Jarolin	Raymond	Walko
Corrigan	Josephs	Readshaw	Washington
Cowell	Kaiser	Reber	Waugh
Coy	Keller	Reinard	Williams
Curry	Kenney	Richardson	Wogan
Daley	King	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Kirkland	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kukovich	Rohrer	Youngblood
Dent	LaGrotta	Rooney	Zimmerman
Dermoddy	Laughlin	Rubley	Zug
DeWeese	Lawless	Rudy	
DiGirolamo	Lederer	Sainato	Ryan,
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Santoni	Speaker
Druce	Lloyd		

NAYS-24

Birmelin	Gordner	Lucyk	Stelman
Blaum	Haluska	Lynch	Stetler
Boscola	Hanna	Mihalich	Sturla
Carone	Krebs	Pesci	Veon
Corpora	Leh	Platts	Wozniak
Fajt	Levdansky	Roberts	Yewcic

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1790, PN 2151**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pittsburgh Cleft Palate.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-177

Adolph	Durham	Lloyd	Sather
Allen	Egolf	Maitland	Saylor
Argall	Evans	Major	Schroder
Armstrong	Fairchild	Manderino	Schuler
Baker	Fargo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Bard	Farmer	Marsico	Semmel
Barley	Feese	Masland	Serafini
Battisto	Fichter	Mayernik	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	McCall	Sheehan
Belardi	Flick	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Gamble	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Gannon	Melio	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Staback
Brown	George	Michlovic	Stairs
Browne	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Steil
Bunt	Gladeck	Miller	Stern
Butkovitz	Godshall	Nailor	Stish
Buxton	Gruitza	Nickol	Strittmatter
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Nyce	Sturla
Cappabianca	Habay	O'Brien	Surra
Carn	Harhart	Olasz	Tangretti
Cawley	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Hennessey	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Civera	Herman	Petrarca	Tigue
Clark	Hershey	Petrone	Trello
Clymer	Hess	Petit	Trich

Cohen, L. I.	Horsey	Phillips	True
Cohen, M.	Hutchinson	Piccola	Tulli
Colafrilla	Itkin	Pistella	Vance
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Pitts	Van Home
Conti	James	Ramos	Vitali
Cornell	Jarolin	Raymond	Walko
Corrigan	Josephs	Readshaw	Washington
Cowell	Kaiser	Reber	Waugh
Coy	Keller	Reinard	Williams
Curry	Kenney	Richardson	Wogan
Daley	King	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kukovich	Robinson	Youngblood
Dent	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Dermody	Laughlin	Rooney	Zug
DeWeese	Lawless	Rubley	
DiGirolamo	Lederer	Rudy	Ryan,
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Druce	Levdansky	Santoni	

NAYS-23

Birmelin	Gordner	Lynch	Stetler
Blaum	Haluska	Mihalich	Travaglio
Boscola	Hanna	Mundy	Veon
Carone	Krebs	Pesci	Wozniak
Corpora	Leh	Platts	Yewcic
Fajt	Lucyk	Stelman	

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1792, PN 2153**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of Jefferson Medical College and Hospital of Philadelphia for a comprehensive program relating to Tay-Sachs disease.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-173

Adolph	Druce	Lederer	Sainato
Allen	Durham	Lescovitz	Santoni
Argall	Egolf	Levdansky	Sather
Armstrong	Evans	Lloyd	Schroder
Baker	Fairchild	Maitland	Schuler
Bard	Fajt	Major	Scrimenti
Barley	Fargo	Manderino	Semmel
Battisto	Farmer	Markosek	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Feese	Marsico	Shaner
Belardi	Fichter	Masland	Sheehan
Belfanti	Fleagle	Mayernik	Smith, B.
Bishop	Flick	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Boyes	Gamble	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Brown	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Browne	Geist	Melio	Stairs
Bunt	George	Merry	Steil
Butkovitz	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stern
Buxton	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stish
Caltagirone	Godshall	Miller	Strittmatter
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Nailor	Surra
Carn	Gruppo	Nickol	Tangretti
Cawley	Habay	Nyce	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Harhart	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Civera	Hasay	Olasz	Tigue
Clark	Hennessey	Oliver	Trello
Clymer	Herman	Perzel	True
Cohen, L. I.	Hershey	Petrarca	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Hess	Petrone	Vance
Colafella	Horsey	Pettit	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vitali
Conti	Itkin	Piccola	Walko
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Washington
Corrigan	James	Pitts	Waugh
Cowell	Jarolin	Ramos	Williams
Coy	Josephs	Raymond	Wogan
Curry	Kaiser	Readshaw	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Keller	Reber	Wright, M. N.
DeLuca	Kenney	Reinard	Youngblood
Dempsey	King	Richardson	Zimmerman
Dent	Kirkland	Rieger	Zug
Dermody	Kukovich	Robinson	
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Rooney	Ryan,
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Rubley	Speaker
Donatucci	Lawless	Rudy	

NAYS-27

Birmelin	Hanna	Pesci	Sturla
Blaum	Krebs	Platts	Travaglio
Boscola	Leh	Roberts	Trich
Carone	Lucyk	Rohrer	Veon
Corpora	Lynch	Saylor	Wozniak
Gordner	Mihalich	Stelman	Yewcic
Haluska	Mundy	Stetler	

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1793, PN 2154**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Rehabilitation Institute of Pittsburgh.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-176

Adolph	Durham	Levdansky	Sainato
Allen	Egolf	Lloyd	Santoni
Argall	Evans	Maitland	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Baker	Fajt	Manderino	Schuler
Bard	Fargo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Barley	Farmer	Marsico	Semmel
Battisto	Feese	Masland	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	Mayernik	Shaner
Belardi	Fleagle	McCall	Sheehan
Belfanti	Flick	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Bishop	Gamble	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Boyes	Gannon	Melio	Snyder, D. W.
Brown	Geist	Merry	Staback
Browne	George	Michlovic	Stairs
Bunt	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Steil
Butkovitz	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stern
Buxton	Godshall	Miller	Stish
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Nailor	Strittmatter
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Nickol	Surra
Carn	Habay	Nyce	Tangretti
Cawley	Harhart	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Hasay	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Civera	Hennessey	Oliver	Tigue
Clark	Herman	Perzel	Trello
Clymer	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Hess	Petrone	True
Cohen, M.	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Colafella	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Colaizzo	Itkin	Piccola	Van Horne
Conti	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cornell	James	Pitts	Vitali
Corrigan	Jarolin	Ramos	Walko
Cowell	Josephs	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kaiser	Readshaw	Williams
Curry	Keller	Reber	Wogan
Daley	Kenney	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	King	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kirkland	Rieger	Youngblood
Dent	Kukovich	Roberts	Zimmerman
Dermody	LaGrotta	Robinson	Zug
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	
DiGirolamo	Lawless	Rubley	Ryan,
Donatucci	Lederer	Rudy	Speaker
Druce	Lescovitz		



NAYS-24

Birmelin	Haluska	Mundy	Stetler
Blaum	Hanna	Pesci	Sturla
Boscola	Krebs	Platts	Travaglio
Carone	Leh	Rohrer	Waugh
Corpora	Lucyk	Saylor	Wozniak
Gordner	Lynch	Steelman	Yewcie

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1794, PN 2155**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania for the general maintenance and operation of the University of Pennsylvania Museum.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.  
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria County, Mr. Wozniak. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. WOZNIAK. To talk on the bill, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On final passage, the gentleman is recognized.

Mr. WOZNIAK. There is a song that goes, "You don't tug on Superman's cape, you don't spit into the wind, you don't mess around with Leroy Brown" - Jim Croce wrote it, yes - and you don't mess around with institutionalized WAM's (walking-around money).

For years I have been attempting to reduce the nonpreferreds, particularly in the area of museums. There are a lot of museums and programs throughout this State that are not funded by institutionalized legislative initiative grants. These things have been put in the nonpreferreds, and if anybody has ever attempted to get one for their museum - and I am going to particularly direct it at the third-class-city caucus - try to see if you can get a nonpreferred for your museum or whatever you have. It is just as valid and just as important as the larger museums of this society, of this Pennsylvania, but we are not allowed.

The money in these nonpreferreds for the museums is not a significant amount of money when you look at the overall budget of the museums in the various communities throughout Pennsylvania. There are millions of people that go through some

of these, and they do not get charged one dime. It is not a lot of money. For \$181,000 for this one and whatever it is for the others, I think it is about time that we say that either you give a little bit to everybody or we start taking away and start making it a little more fair.

I do not expect to win this battle. I have never won it in the years I have been up here, but I think it is about time we take a serious look at this. These are institutionalized funding programs.

All of these various museums have funding procedures that are like tentacles on an octopus already. They do not need this money. They can make it up differently. Let us save this money for the taxpayers of Pennsylvania, and let us be fair. Either you take care of everybody - like I was taught in school, if you bring candy, you make sure you give everybody a piece of candy, or do not bring any at all. It is not fair to the smaller communities. It is not fair to the third-class cities. It is not fair to the other museums and programs throughout Pennsylvania that are not good enough to be nonpreferreds.

So I would appreciate a negative vote. Thank you.  
The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-147

Adolph	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Rubley
Allen	Druce	Laughlin	Rudy
Argall	Durham	Lederer	Sainato
Armstrong	Egolf	Lescovitz	Sather
Baker	Evans	Levdansky	Schroder
Bard	Fairchild	Lloyd	Schuler
Barley	Fargo	Maitland	Scrimenti
Battisto	Farmer	Major	Semmel
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	Manderino	Serafini
Belardi	Fleagle	Markosek	Smith, B.
Bishop	Flick	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Boyes	Gamble	McCaill	Snyder, D. W.
Brown	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Bunt	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Butkovitz	George	Melio	Steil
Buxton	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stern
Caltagirone	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stish
Cappabianca	Godshall	Nailor	Strittmatter
Carn	Gruitza	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Gruppo	Nyce	Taylor, J.
Civera	Habay	O'Brien	Trello
Clymer	Harhart	Olasz	True
Cohen, L. I.	Hasay	Oliver	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Hennessey	Perzel	Vance
Colafella	Herman	Petrone	Vitali
Colaizzo	Hershey	Pettit	Walko
Conti	Hess	Phillips	Washington
Cornell	Horsey	Piccola	Williams
Corrigan	Hutchinson	Pistella	Wogan
Cowell	Itkin	Pitts	Wright, D. R.
Coy	James	Ramos	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Josephs	Raymond	Youngblood
Daley	Keller	Reber	Zimmerman
DeLuca	Kenney	Reinard	Zug
Dent	King	Richardson	
Dermody	Kirkland	Rieger	Ryan,
DeWeese	Kukovich	Robinson	Speaker
DiGirolamo			

NAYS-53

Belfanti	Hanna	Miller	Steelman
Birmelin	Jadlowiec	Mundy	Stetler
Blaum	Jarolin	Pesci	Sturla
Boscola	Kaiser	Petrarca	Surra
Browne	Krebs	Platts	Tangretti
Carone	Lawless	Readshaw	Tigue
Cawley	Leh	Roberts	Travaglio
Clark	Lucyk	Rohrer	Trich
Corpora	Lynch	Rooney	Van Horne
Dempsey	Masland	Santoni	Veon
Fajt	Mayernik	Saylor	Waugh
Feese	Merry	Shaner	Wozniak
Gordner	Mihalich	Sheehan	Yewcic
Haluska			

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased at this time to welcome to the hall of the House a group known as the Friendship Club from the St. John's Evangelical Lutheran Church in Sinking Spring, Pennsylvania, here as the guests of Representative Sheila Miller. They are here under the direction of the Reverend Harry S. Bohn, Jr., who is the pastor of that church. Would the guests please rise. They are in the balcony.

Here as the guests of Representative John Gordner are Carl and Shirley Gordner, parents of the Representative, and Mr. and Mrs. Donald Lowe. These folks are in the balcony. Would they please rise. Over here.

As the guest of Representative Tulli, we have Alison Waple, a guest page today, here as the guest of Representative Reinard and Representative Tulli. Where is the guest page seated? I believe in the front. No. Here we go, coming down the aisle. There she is. There we are. She is working. She is not waiting to be introduced. She is going to work. That is good.

Here as the guests of Representative Bill Adolph of Delaware County, Lori and Joseph Frio of Springfield, Pennsylvania, guest pages, here today with their mother, Sharon Frio. Would Lori and Joseph please rise. There we are, right in front of the Speaker.

And as guests of Representative Ruth Rudy, we have with us today Chad Apaliski and Marilyn Snyder, guests of Representative Rudy. They are, I believe, situated in the gallery. They are waving to me and waving to the rest of us. We are happy to have you here with us today. Things do not always go this fast.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1795, PN 2156**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to The Carnegie for the Carnegie Museum of Natural History and the Carnegie Science Center.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-156

Adolph	Durham	Lescovitz	Rudy
Allen	Egolf	Levdansky	Sainato
Argall	Evans	Lloyd	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maitland	Schroder
Baker	Fajt	Major	Schuler
Bard	Fargo	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barley	Farmer	Markosek	Semmel
Battisto	Fichter	Marsico	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bishop	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Boyes	Gannon	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Geist	Melio	Staback
Butkovitz	George	Michlovic	Stairs
Buxton	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Steil
Caltagirone	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stern
Cappabianca	Godshall	Nailor	Stish
Carn	Gruitza	Nickol	Strittmatter
Cawley	Gruppo	Nyce	Tangretti
Chadwick	Habay	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	Trello
Clymer	Hasay	Perzel	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Hennessey	Petrarca	True
Cohen, M.	Herman	Petrone	Tulli
Colafella	Hershey	Pettit	Vance
Colaizzo	Hess	Phillips	Van Horne
Conti	Horsey	Piccola	Vitali
Cornell	Hutchinson	Pistella	Walko
Corrigan	Itkin	Pitts	Washington
Cowell	James	Ramos	Williams
Coy	Josephs	Raymond	Wogan
Curry	Kaiser	Readshaw	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Keller	Reber	Wright, M. N.
DeLuca	Kenney	Reinard	Youngblood
Dent	King	Richardson	Zimmerman
Dermody	Kirkland	Rieger	Zug
DeWeese	Kukovich	Roberts	
DiGirolamo	LaGrotta	Robinson	Ryan,
Donatucci	Laughlin	Rubley	Speaker
Druce	Lederer		

NAYS-42

Belfanti	Gordner	Merry	Steelman
Birmelin	Haluska	Miller	Stetler
Blaum	Hanna	Mundy	Sturla
Boscola	Jadlowiec	Pesci	Surra
Brown	Jarolin	Platts	Tigue
Browne	Krebs	Rohrer	Travaglio
Carone	Lawless	Rooney	Veon

Clark	Leh	Santoni	Waugh
Corpora	Lucyk	Saylor	Wozniak
Dempsey	Lynch	Sheehan	Yewcic
Feese	Masland		

NOT VOTING-2

O'Brien Taylor, J.

EXCUSED-3

Preston Roebuck Thomas

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1796, PN 2157**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Franklin Institute Science Museum.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-154

Adolph	Druce	Lescovitz	Rudy
Allen	Durham	Levdansky	Sainato
Argall	Egolf	Lloyd	Sather
Armstrong	Evans	Maitland	Schroder
Baker	Fairchild	Major	Schuler
Bard	Fargo	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barley	Farmer	Markosek	Semmel
Battisto	Fichter	Marsico	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bishop	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Boyes	Gannon	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Geist	Melio	Staback
Butkovitz	George	Michlovic	Stairs
Buxton	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Steil
Caltagirone	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stern
Cappabianca	Godshall	Nailor	Stish
Carn	Gruitza	Nickol	Strittmatter
Cawley	Gruppo	Nyce	Tangretti
Chadwick	Habay	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Harhart	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Clymer	Hasay	Oliver	Trello
Cohen, L. I.	Hennessey	Perzel	Truc
Cohen, M.	Herman	Petrarca	Tulli
Colafella	Hershey	Petrone	Vance
Colaizzo	Hess	Pettit	Van Horne
Conti	Horsey	Phillips	Vitali

Cornell	Hutchinson	Piccola	Walko
Corrigan	Itkin	Pistella	Washington
Cowell	James	Pitts	Williams
Coy	Josephs	Ramos	Wogan
Curry	Keller	Raymond	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Kenney	Reber	Wright, M. N.
DeLuca	King	Reinard	Youngblood
Dent	Kirkland	Richardson	Zimmerman
Dermody	Kukovich	Rieger	Zug
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Roberts	
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Robinson	Ryan,
Donatucci	Lederer	Rubley	Speaker

NAYS-46

Belfanti	Gordner	Merry	Steelman
Birmelin	Haluska	Miller	Stetler
Blaum	Hanna	Mundy	Sturla
Boscola	Jadlowiec	Pesci	Surra
Brown	Jarolin	Platts	Tigue
Browne	Kaiser	Readshaw	Travaglio
Carone	Krebs	Rohrer	Trich
Clark	Lawless	Rooney	Veon
Corpora	Leh	Santoni	Waugh
Dempsey	Lucyk	Saylor	Wozniak
Fajt	Lynch	Sheehan	Yewcic
Feese	Masland		

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Preston Roebuck Thomas

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1797, PN 2158**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Academy of Natural Sciences.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-153

Adolph	Druce	Lescovitz	Sainato
Allen	Durham	Levdansky	Sather
Argall	Egolf	Lloyd	Schroder
Armstrong	Evans	Maitland	Schuler
Baker	Fairchild	Major	Scrimenti
Bard	Fargo	Manderino	Semmel
Barley	Farmer	Markosek	Serafini

Battisto	Fichter	Marsico	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayermik	Smith, B.
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Gamble	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Bunt	Geist	Melio	Stairs
Butkovitz	George	Michlovic	Steil
Buxton	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Caltagirone	Gladeck	Nailor	Stish
Cappabianca	Godshall	Nickol	Strittmatter
Carn	Gruitza	Nyce	Tangretti
Cawley	Gruppo	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Harhart	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Civera	Hasay	Oliver	Trello
Clymer	Hennessey	Perzel	True
Cohen, L. I.	Herman	Petrarca	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Hershey	Petrone	Vance
Colafella	Hess	Pettit	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Horsey	Phillips	Vitali
Conti	Hutchinson	Piccola	Walko
Cornell	Itkin	Pistella	Washington
Corrigan	James	Pitts	Williams
Cowell	Josephs	Ramos	Wogan
Coy	Kaiser	Raymond	Wright, D. R.
Curry	Keller	Readshaw	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Kenney	Reber	Youngblood
DeLuca	King	Reinard	Zimmerman
Dent	Kirkland	Richardson	Zug
Dermody	Kukovich	Rieger	
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Robinson	Ryan,
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Rubley	Speaker
Donatucci	Lederer	Rudy	

NAYS-47

Belfanti	Gordner	Merry	Steelman
Birmelin	Habay	Mihalich	Stetler
Blaum	Haluska	Miller	Sturla
Boscola	Hanna	Mundy	Surra
Brown	Jadlowiec	Pesci	Tigue
Browne	Jarolin	Platts	Travaglio
Carone	Krebs	Roberts	Trich
Clark	Lawless	Rohrer	Veon
Corpora	Leh	Rooney	Waugh
Dempsey	Lucyk	Santoni	Wozniak
Fajt	Lynch	Saylor	Yewcic
Feese	Masland	Sheehan	

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1798, PN 2159**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Museum of the Philadelphia Civic Center for maintenance and the purchase of apparatus, supplies and equipment.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-141

Adolph	Donatucci	Laughlin	Rubley
Allen	Druce	Lederer	Rudy
Argall	Egolf	Lescovitz	Sainato
Baker	Evans	Levdansky	Sather
Barley	Fairchild	Lloyd	Schroder
Battisto	Fargo	Maitland	Schuler
Bebko-Jones	Farmer	Major	Scrimenti
Belardi	Fichter	Manderino	Semmel
Bishop	Fleagle	Markosek	Serafini
Boyes	Flick	Marsico	Shaner
Bunt	Gamble	Mayernik	Smith, B.
Butkovitz	Gannon	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Buxton	Geist	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Caltagirone	George	Melio	Stairs
Cappabianca	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Steil
Carn	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stern
Cawley	Godshall	Nailor	Stish
Chadwick	Gruitza	Nyce	Strittmatter
Civera	Gruppo	O'Brien	Tangretti
Clymer	Harhart	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen, L. I.	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Cohen, M.	Hennessey	Perzel	Trello
Colafella	Herman	Petrarca	Tulli
Colaizzo	Hershey	Petrone	Vitali
Conti	Hess	Pettit	Walko
Cornell	Horsey	Phillips	Washington
Corrigan	Itkin	Piccola	Williams
Cowell	James	Pistella	Wogan
Coy	Josephs	Pitts	Wright, D. R.
Curry	Kaiser	Ramos	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Keller	Raymond	Youngblood
DeLuca	Kenney	Reber	Zug
Dent	King	Reinard	
Dermody	Kirkland	Richardson	Ryan,
DeWeese	Kukovich	Rieger	Speaker
DiGirolamo	LaGrotta	Robinson	

NAYS-57

Armstrong	Gordner	Merry	Steelman
Bard	Habay	Mihalich	Stetler
Belfanti	Haluska	Miller	Sturla
Birmelin	Hanna	Mundy	Surra
Blaum	Hutchinson	Nickol	Tigue
Boscola	Jadlowiec	Pesci	Trich
Brown	Jarolin	Platts	True
Browne	Krebs	Readshaw	Vance
Carone	Lawless	Roberts	Van Horne
Clark	Leh	Rohrer	Veon
Corpora	Lucyk	Rooney	Waugh
Dempsey	Lynch	Santoni	Wozniak
Durham	Masland	Saylor	Yewcic
Fajt	McGill	Sheehan	Zimmerman
Feese			

NOT VOTING-2

Staback Travaglio

EXCUSED-3

Preston Roebuck Thomas

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Mr. WOZNIAK. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The Chair failed to recognize the gentleman.

Mr. WOZNIAK. Has the vote been taken, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. I am sorry?

Mr. WOZNIAK. Has the vote already been taken or not?

The SPEAKER. It has been taken. That was my fault. I was on a roll. I would be happy to second a motion for reconsideration, because that was my fault in not looking up.

Mr. WOZNIAK. Okay.

What would be the appropriate motion to reconsider?

The SPEAKER. Go to the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, and he will have a form for reconsideration.

Mr. WOZNIAK. I will file that, Mr. Speaker, the reason being, because I want to bring up rule 64, Mr. Speaker.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1799, PN 2160**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Everhart Museum in Scranton.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

Mr. WOZNIAK. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. For what reason does the gentleman rise?

Mr. WOZNIAK. Just to invoke rule 64, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. What portion of that rule is the gentleman—

Mr. WOZNIAK. That you have to be in your seat. I will go as far as to say in the chamber, Mr. Speaker, if I can have that caveat, to make the vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is absolutely correct.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-152

Adolph	Donatucci	Levdansky	Rubley
Allen	Druce	Lloyd	Rudy
Argall	Evans	Maitland	Sainato
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Sather
Baker	Fargo	Manderino	Schroder
Bard	Farmer	Markosek	Schuler
Barley	Fichter	Marsico	Scrimenti
Battisto	Fleagle	Mayernik	Semmel
Bebko-Jones	Flick	McCall	Serafini
Belardi	Gamble	McGeehan	Shaner
Bishop	Gannon	McGill	Smith, B.
Blaum	Geist	Melio	Smith, S. H.
Boyes	George	Michlovic	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Staback
Butkovitz	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stairs
Buxton	Godshall	Mundy	Steil
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Nailor	Stern
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Nickol	Stish
Carn	Habay	Nyce	Strittmatter
Cawley	Harhart	O'Brien	Tangretti
Chadwick	Hasay	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hennessey	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Clymer	Herman	Perzel	Trello
Cohen, L. I.	Hershey	Petrarca	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Hess	Petrone	Vance
Colafiglia	Horsey	Pettit	Vitali
Colaizzo	Itkin	Phillips	Walko
Conti	James	Piccola	Washington
Corneli	Josephs	Pistella	Williams
Corrigan	Kaiser	Pitts	Wogan
Cowell	Keller	Ramos	Wright, D. R.
Coy	Kenney	Raymond	Wright, M. N.
Curry	King	Readshaw	Youngblood
Daley	Kirkland	Reber	Zimmerman
DeLuca	Kukovich	Reinard	Zug
Dent	LaGrotta	Richardson	
Dermody	Laughlin	Rieger	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lederer	Robinson	Speaker
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz		

NAYS-48

Belfanti	Feese	Masland	Stetler
Birmelin	Gordner	Merry	Sturla
Boscola	Haluska	Miller	Surra
Brown	Hanna	Pesci	Tigue
Browne	Hutchinson	Platts	Travaglio
Carone	Jadlowiec	Roberts	Trich
Clark	Jarolin	Rohrer	True
Corpora	Krebs	Rooney	Van Horne
Dempsey	Lawless	Santoni	Veon
Durham	Leh	Saylor	Waugh
Egolf	Lucyk	Sheehan	Wozniak
Fajt	Lynch	Stelman	Yewic

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Preston Roebuck Thomas

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**HB 1798 RECONSIDERED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Wozniak, who moves that the vote by which HB 1798, PN 2159, passed on the 20th day of June be reconsidered.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—191**

Adolph	Durham	Lucyk	Santoni
Allen	Egolf	Lynch	Sather
Argall	Evans	Maitland	Saylor
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Baker	Fargo	Manderino	Schuler
Bard	Farmer	Markosek	Scrimenti
Barley	Feese	Marsico	Semmel
Battisto	Fichter	Masland	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Sheehan
Birmelin	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Bishop	Gannon	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Blaum	Geist	Melio	Snyder, D. W.
Boscola	George	Merry	Staback
Boyes	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Brown	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steelman
Browne	Godshall	Mihalich	Steil
Bunt	Gordner	Miller	Stern
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Buxton	Gruppo	Nailor	Stish
Caltagirone	Habay	Nickol	Strittmatter
Cappabianca	Haluska	Nyce	Sturla
Carn	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra
Carone	Hasay	Oliver	Tangretti
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Taylor, J.
Civera	Hershey	Petrarca	Tigue
Clark	Hess	Petrone	Travaglio
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pettit	Trello
Cohen, L. I.	Itkin	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Jadlowiec	Piccola	Vance
Colafrilla	Jarolin	Pistella	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Josephs	Pitts	Veon
Conti	Kaiser	Platts	Walko
Cornell	Keller	Ramos	Washington
Corpora	Kenney	Raymond	Waugh
Corrigan	King	Readshaw	Williams
Cowell	Kirkland	Reber	Wogan
Coy	Krebs	Reinard	Wozniak
Curry	Kukovich	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Daley	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
DeLuca	Laughlin	Roberts	Yewcic
Dempsey	Lawless	Robinson	Youngblood
Dent	Lederer	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Dermody	Leh	Rooney	Zug
DeWeese	Lescovitz	Rudby	
DiGirolamo	Levdansky	Rudy	Ryan,
Donatucci	Lloyd	Sainato	Speaker
Druce			

**NAYS—5**

Belfanti	Hanna	Horsey	Trich
Fajt			

**NOT VOTING—4**

James	Olasz	True	Vitali
-------	-------	------	--------

**EXCUSED—3**

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

Mr. WOZNIAK. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman rise? There is nothing before the House right now.

Mr. WOZNIAK. Before we vote on final passage, I would just like to—I am not going to belabor the issue. I am just going to try it one time. If you could give me a moment or two that I reconnoiter around to see who is not there, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

For what purpose does the gentleman rise? There is nothing before the House at the moment.

If the gentleman has risen to speak on HB 1798, this is an inappropriate time. I will be glad to recognize him at the appropriate time.

Mr. WOZNIAK. The question I have, Mr. Speaker, is, I want to know if I will have time to make a survey of who is in their seat and who is not on final passage.

The SPEAKER. I will recognize the gentleman, and then you do what you have to do.

Mr. WOZNIAK. Okay.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. Mr. Wozniak, before I announce the vote.

Mr. WOZNIAK. You could take the vote. Mr. Speaker, you can close the board anytime you want, sir.

Mr. REINARD. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. There is nothing in order but the taking of the roll.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Reinard. Does the gentleman have a point of parliamentary inquiry or something of that nature?

Mr. REINARD. Yes. I wanted to exercise that, but what I was looking for under that ruling would be a further explanation of the legislation. I am not familiar with the museum itself. That is what I wanted to question.

The SPEAKER. It is too late for that.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-143

Adolph	DeWeese	Lederer	Sather
Allen	DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Schroder
Argall	Donatucci	Levdansky	Schuler
Armstrong	Druce	Lloyd	Scrimenti
Baker	Evans	Maitland	Semmel
Bard	Fairchild	Major	Shaner
Barley	Fargo	Manderino	Smith, B.
Battisto	Farmer	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	Marsico	Snyder, D. W.
Belardi	Fleagle	Mayernik	Staback
Bishop	Flick	McCall	Stairs
Blaum	Gamble	McGeehan	Steil
Boyes	Gannon	Melio	Stern
Bunt	George	Merry	Stish
Butkovitz	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Strittmatter
Buxton	Gladeck	Micozzie	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Godshall	Nyce	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Gruitza	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Carn	Gruppo	Oliver	Trello
Cawley	Hasay	Perzel	Trich
Chadwick	Hennessey	Petrarca	True
Civera	Herman	Petrone	Tulli
Clymer	Hershey	Pettit	Veon
Cohen, L. I.	Horsey	Phillips	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Hutchinson	Piccola	Walko
Colafella	Itkin	Pistella	Washington
Colaizzo	James	Pitts	Williams
Conti	Josephs	Ramos	Wogan
Cornell	Kaiser	Raymond	Wright, D. R.
Corrigan	Keller	Reber	Wright, M. N.
Cowell	Kenney	Reinard	Youngblood
Coy	King	Richardson	Zimmerman
Curry	Kirkland	Rieger	Zug
Daley	Kukovich	Robinson	
DeLuca	LaGrotta	Rubley	Ryan,
Dent	Laughlin	Sainato	Speaker
Dermody			

NAYS-56

Belfanti	Gordner	McGill	Saylor
Birmelin	Habay	Mihalich	Serafini
Boscola	Haluska	Miller	Sheehan
Brown	Hanna	Mundy	Steelman
Browne	Harhart	Nailor	Stetler
Carone	Hess	Nickol	Sturla
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pesci	Surra
Corpora	Jarolin	Platts	Tigue
Dempsey	Krebs	Readshaw	Travaglio
Durham	Lawless	Roberts	Vance
Egolf	Leh	Rohrer	Van Horne
Fajt	Lucyk	Rooney	Waugh
Feese	Lynch	Rudy	Wozniak
Geist	Masland	Santoni	Yewcic

NOT VOTING-1

Olasz

EXCUSED-3

Preston                      Roebuck                      Thomas

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1800, PN 2161**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Mercer Museum in Doylestown, Pennsylvania.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-150

Adolph	Donatucci	Laughlin	Rubley
Allen	Druce	Lederer	Sainato
Argall	Durham	Lescovitz	Sather
Armstrong	Evans	Levdansky	Schroder
Baker	Fairchild	Lloyd	Schuler
Bard	Fargo	Maitland	Scrimenti
Barley	Farmer	Major	Semmel
Battisto	Fichter	Markosek	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Marsico	Shaner
Belardi	Flick	Mayernik	Smith, B.
Bishop	Gamble	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Boyes	Gannon	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Geist	McGill	Staback
Butkovitz	George	Melio	Stairs
Buxton	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Steil
Caltagirone	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stern
Cappabianca	Godshall	Nailor	Stish
Carn	Gruitza	Nickol	Strittmatter
Cawley	Gruppo	Nyce	Tangretti
Chadwick	Habay	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Harhart	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Clymer	Hasay	Oliver	Trello
Cohen, L. I.	Hennessey	Perzel	True
Cohen, M.	Herman	Petrarca	Tulli
Colafella	Hershey	Petrone	Vance
Colaizzo	Hess	Pettit	Vitali
Conti	Horsey	Phillips	Walko
Cornell	Hutchinson	Piccola	Washington
Corrigan	Itkin	Pistella	Williams
Cowell	James	Pitts	Wogan
Coy	Josephs	Ramos	Wright, D. R.
Curry	Kaiser	Raymond	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Keller	Readshaw	Youngblood
DeLuca	Kenney	Reber	Zimmerman
Dent	King	Reinard	Zug
Dermody	Kirkland	Richardson	

DeWeese	Kukovich	Rieger	Ryan,
DiGirolamo	LaGrotta	Robinson	Speaker

NAYS-49

Belfanti	Gordner	Merry	Sheehan
Birmelin	Haluska	Mihalich	Steelman
Blaum	Hanna	Miller	Stetler
Boscola	Jadlowiec	Mundy	Sturla
Brown	Jarolin	Pesci	Surra
Browne	Krebs	Platts	Tigue
Carone	Lawless	Roberts	Trich
Clark	Leh	Rohrer	Van Horne
Corpora	Lucyk	Rooney	Veon
Dempsey	Lynch	Rudy	Waugh
Egolf	Manderino	Santoni	Wozniak
Fajt	Masland	Saylor	Yewcic
Feese			

NOT VOTING-1

Travaglio

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1801, PN 2162**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Afro-American Historical and Cultural Museum for operating expenses.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-156

Allen	Evans	Maitland	Rudy
Argall	Fairchild	Major	Sainato
Armstrong	Fargo	Manderino	Sather
Baker	Farmer	Markosek	Schroder
Bard	Fichter	Marsico	Schuler
Barley	Fleagle	Mayernik	Serimenti
Battisto	Flick	McCall	Semmel
Bebko-Jones	Gamble	McGeehan	Serafini
Belardi	Gannon	McGill	Shaner
Belfanti	Geist	Melio	Smith, B.
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Smith, S. H.
Boyes	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Giadeck	Mihalich	Staback

Butkovitz	Godshall	Mundy	Stairs
Buxton	Gruitza	Nailor	Steil
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Nickol	Stern
Cappabianca	Habay	Nyce	Stish
Carr	Harhart	O'Brien	Strittmatter
Cawley	Hasay	Olasz	Surra
Chadwick	Hennessey	Oliver	Tangretti
Civera	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hershey	Petrarca	Taylor, J.
Cohen, L. I.	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Cohen, M.	Horsey	Pettit	True
Colaella	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Colaizzo	Itkin	Piccola	Vance
Conti	James	Pistella	Van Horne
Cornell	Josephs	Pitts	Vitali
Corrigan	Kaiser	Ramos	Walko
Cowell	Keller	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kenney	Readshaw	Williams
Curry	King	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Kirkland	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
DeLuca	Kukovich	Richardson	Youngblood
Dent	LaGrotta	Rieger	Zimmerman
Dermody	Laughlin	Roberts	Zug
DeWeese	Lederer	Robinson	
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Rooney	Ryan,
Donatucci	Levdansky	Rubley	Speaker
Druce	Lloyd		

NAYS-43

Adolph	Egolf	Lucyk	Steelman
Birmelin	Fajt	Lynch	Stetler
Blaum	Feese	Masland	Sturla
Boscola	Gordner	Merry	Tigue
Brown	Haluska	Miller	Travaglio
Browne	Hanna	Pesci	Trich
Carone	Jadlowiec	Platts	Veon
Clark	Jarolin	Rohrer	Waugh
Corpora	Krebs	Santoni	Wozniak
Dempsey	Lawless	Saylor	Yewcic
Durham	Leh	Sheehan	

NOT VOTING-1

Wogan

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1802, PN 2163**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Museum of Scientific Discovery in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.



The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Wozniak.

Mr. WOZNIAK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate the maker of this bill?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Pitts, indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. WOZNIAK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I want everybody to know that nothing I am doing here on the floor is personal. It is just one of those issues that I feel very strongly about.

Mr. Speaker, what I would like to know is, since this is a new nonpreferred, I would like to know what the process is to even get on the list to be considered as a nonpreferred?

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, this nonpreferred appropriation is in the district of the local Representative, Mr. Buxton, here in Harrisburg. We received a large number, a large number of requests for this from members of both caucuses.

Mr. WOZNIAK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

So as you can see, I am not being partisan about it. I am just categorically opposed to it.

Well, I will tell you what, Mr. Speaker, I congratulate the gentleman for getting it on here, and I do not know what the final tally is going to be, but it seems to me that the Railroad Museum in Altoona is just as worthy, but is it a line item; is it a— No, it is not. I suppose I will not even use the Flood Museum in Johnstown. Is it a line item? No, it is not. I think we can go down and down the line in just about every community in this Commonwealth that has something that is just as worthy as anybody else's and just as important. Mr. Speaker, we are creating a new line item here. This is new money. This is not something that they expected from last year and just rolled it over.

So I am going to ask each and every one of you not to create a new item for this nonpreferred. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The gentleman, Mr. Pesci, is recognized on the question of final passage.

Mr. PESCI. Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak on final passage.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order.

Mr. PESCI. Again, you know, I congratulate how this was done and how this is being performed. But again, I urge you all— You know, we keep on talking— Allen Kukovich tried to do something with the CHIP (Children's Health Insurance Program). My intention was to try to kill a lot of this stuff today that sparsely goes across the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in order to get money for those types of programs.

Again, I urge my people on my side of the aisle and on the other side of the aisle, let us not add another one. Let us start taking these things out of the budget. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-151

Adolph	Druce	Lescovitz	Sather
Allen	Durham	Maitland	Saylor
Argall	Evans	Major	Schroder
Armstrong	Fairchild	Manderino	Schuler
Baker	Fargo	Markosek	Semmel
Barley	Farmer	Marsico	Shaner
Battisto	Fichter	Mayernik	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Flick	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Blaum	Gamble	McGill	Stairs
Boyes	Gannon	Melio	Steil
Bunt	Geist	Merry	Stern
Butkovitz	George	Michlovic	Stetler
Buxton	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stish
Caltagirone	Gladeck	Nailor	Strittmatter
Cappabianca	Godshall	Nickol	Sturla
Carn	Gruitza	Nyce	Tangretti
Cawley	Gruppo	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Harhart	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Civera	Hasay	Oliver	Trello
Clark	Hennessey	Perzel	Trich
Clymer	Herman	Petrarca	True
Cohen, L. I.	Hershey	Petrone	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Hess	Pettit	Vance
Colaella	Horsey	Phillips	Van Home
Colaizzo	Hutchinson	Piccola	Veon
Conti	Itkin	Pistella	Vitali
Cornell	James	Pitts	Walko
Corrigan	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Cowell	Kaiser	Raymond	Wogan
Coy	Keller	Readshaw	Wright, D. R.
Curry	Kenney	Reber	Wright, M. N.
Daley	King	Richardson	Youngblood
DeLuca	Kirkland	Rieger	Zimmerman
Dent	Kukovich	Roberts	Zug
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rooney	
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rubley	Ryan,
DiGirolamo	Lederer	Rudy	Speaker
Donatucci			

NAYS-48

Bard	Feese	Lucyk	Santoni
Belardi	Gordner	Lynch	Scrimenti
Belfanti	Habay	Masland	Serafini
Birmelin	Haluska	Mihalich	Sheehan
Boscola	Hanna	Miller	Staback
Brown	Jadlowiec	Mundy	Stelman
Browne	Jarolin	Pesci	Surra
Carone	Krebs	Platts	Tigue
Corpora	Lawless	Reinard	Travaglio
Dempsey	Leh	Robinson	Waugh
Egolf	Levdansky	Rohrer	Wozniak
Fajt	Lloyd	Sainato	Yewcic

NOT VOTING-1

Williams

EXCUSED-3

Preston                      Roebuck                      Thomas

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1803, PN 2164**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Arsenal Family and Children's Center.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-160

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Levdansky	Santoni
Allen	Donatucci	Lloyd	Sather
Argall	Durham	Maitland	Saylor
Armstrong	Evans	Major	Schroder
Baker	Fairchild	Manderino	Schuler
Bard	Fargo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Barley	Farmer	Marsico	Semmel
Battisto	Fichter	Mayernik	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	McCall	Shaner
Belardi	Flick	McGeehan	Sheehan
Belfanti	Gannon	McGill	Smith, B.
Bishop	Geist	Melio	Smith, S. H.
Boyes	George	Michlovic	Snyder, D. W.
Brown	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Staback
Browne	Gladeck	Miller	Stairs
Bunt	Godshall	Nailor	Steil
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Nickol	Stern
Buxton	Gruppo	Nyce	Stish
Caltagirone	Habay	O'Brien	Strittmatter
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Tangretti
Carn	Hasay	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Hennessey	Petrarca	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Herman	Petrone	Trello
Civera	Hershey	Pettit	True
Clark	Hess	Phillips	Tulli
Clymer	Horshey	Piccola	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pistella	Van Horne
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Pitts	Veon
Colafrèlla	Jadlowiec	Ramos	Vitali
Colaizzo	James	Raymond	Walko
Conti	Josephs	Readshaw	Washington
Cornell	Kaiser	Reber	Wogan
Corrigan	Keller	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Cowell	Kenney	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Coy	King	Rieger	Youngblood
Curry	Kirkland	Roberts	Zimmerman
Daley	Kukovich	Robinson	Zug
DeLuca	LaGrotta	Rooney	
Dent	Laughlin	Rublely	Ryan,
Dermody	Lederer	Sainato	Speaker
DeWeese	Lescovitz		

NAYS-36

Birmelin	Gamble	Lynch	Stetler
Blaum	Gordner	Masland	Sturla
Boscola	Haluska	Merry	Surra
Carone	Hanna	Mihalich	Tigue
Corpora	Jarolin	Mundy	Travaglio
Dempsey	Krebs	Pesci	Trich

Egolf	Lawless	Platts	Waugh
Fajt	Leh	Rohrer	Wozniak
Feese	Lucyk	Steelman	Yewcic

NOT VOTING-4

Druce	Olasz	Rudy	Williams
-------	-------	------	----------

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1804, PN 2165**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Beacon Lodge Camp.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-168

Adolph	Druce	Lloyd	Saylor
Allen	Durham	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Egolf	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Evans	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Fairchild	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Fargo	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Farmer	Masland	Shaner
Battisto	Fichter	Mayernik	Sheehan
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	McCall	Smith, B.
Belardi	Flick	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gamble	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Gannon	Melio	Staback
Boyes	Geist	Michlovic	Stairs
Brown	George	Micozzie	Steil
Browne	Gigliotti	Miller	Stern
Bunt	Gladeck	Nailor	Stish
Butkovitz	Godshall	Nickol	Strittmatter
Buxton	Gordner	Nyce	Surra
Caltagirone	Gruitza	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Habay	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Harhart	Petrarca	Tigue
Chadwick	Hasay	Petrone	Travaglio
Civera	Hennessey	Pettit	Trello
Clark	Herman	Phillips	True
Clymer	Hershey	Piccola	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Hess	Pistella	Vance
Cohen, M.	Horshey	Pitts	Van Horne
Colafrèlla	Hutchinson	Ramos	Veon

Colaizzo	Itkin	Raymond	Vitali
Conti	James	Readshaw	Walko
Cornell	Josephs	Reber	Washington
Corrigan	Kaiser	Reinard	Wogan
Cowell	Keller	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Coy	Kenney	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
Curry	King	Robinson	Yewcic
Daley	Kirkland	Rooney	Youngblood
DeLuca	Kukovich	Rubley	Zimmerman
Dent	LaGrotta	Rudy	Zug
Dermody	Laughlin	Sainato	
DeWeese	Lederer	Santoni	Ryan,
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Sather	Speaker
Donatucci	Levdansky		

NAYS-29

Birmelin	Haluska	Lucyk	Rohrer
Blaum	Hanna	Lynch	Steelman
Boscola	Jadlowiec	Mihalich	Stetler
Carone	Jarolin	Mundy	Sturla
Corpora	Krebs	Pesci	Trich
Dempsey	Lawless	Platts	Waugh
Fajt	Leh	Roberts	Wozniak
Feese			

NOT VOTING-3

Merry	Olasz	Williams
-------	-------	----------

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1805, PN 2166**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pennsylvania College of Podiatric Medicine, Philadelphia.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-169

Adolph	Donatucci	Laughlin	Sainato
Allen	Druce	Lawless	Santoni
Argall	Durham	Lederer	Sather
Armstrong	Egolf	Lescovitz	Schroder

Baker	Evans	Levdansky	Schuler
Bard	Fairchild	Lloyd	Scrimenti
Barley	Fajt	Maitland	Semmel
Battisto	Fargo	Major	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Farmer	Manderino	Shaner
Belardi	Feese	Markosek	Sheehan
Belfanti	Fichter	Marsico	Smith, B.
Bishop	Fleagle	Masland	Smith, S. H.
Boyes	Flick	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Brown	Gamble	McCall	Staback
Browne	Garmon	McGeehan	Stairs
Bunt	Geist	McGill	Steil
Butkovitz	George	Melio	Stern
Buxton	Gigliotti	Merry	Stish
Caltagirone	Gladeck	Michlovic	Strittmatter
Cappabianca	Godshall	Micozzie	Surra
Carn	Gordner	Miller	Tangretti
Cawley	Gruitza	Nyce	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Gruppo	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Civera	Habay	Oliver	Tigue
Clark	Harhart	Perzel	Travaglio
Clymer	Hasay	Petrone	Trello
Cohen, L. I.	Hennessey	Pettit	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Herman	Phillips	Van Horne
Colafella	Hershey	Piccola	Veon
Colaizzo	Hess	Pistella	Vitali
Conti	Horsey	Pitts	Walko
Cornell	Hutchinson	Ramos	Washington
Corrigan	Itkin	Raymond	Williams
Cowell	Jadlowiec	Readshaw	Wogan
Coy	James	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Curry	Josephs	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Kaiser	Richardson	Youngblood
DeLuca	Keller	Rieger	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Kenney	Roberts	Zug
Dent	King	Robinson	
Dermody	Kirkland	Rooney	Ryan,
DeWeese	Kukovich	Rubley	Speaker
DiGirolamo	LaGrotta	Rudy	

NAYS-29

Birmelin	Krebs	Nickol	Sturla
Blaum	Leh	Pesci	Trich
Boscola	Lucyk	Platts	True
Carone	Lynch	Rohrer	Vance
Corpora	Mihalich	Saylor	Waugh
Haluska	Mundy	Steelman	Wozniak
Hanna	Nailor	Stetler	Yewcic
Jarolin			

NOT VOTING-2

Olasz	Petrarca
-------	----------

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1806, PN 2167**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pennsylvania College of Optometry, Philadelphia.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-178

- |              |            |            |               |
|--------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Adolph       | Durham     | Levdansky  | Rudy          |
| Allen        | Egolf      | Lloyd      | Sainato       |
| Argall       | Evans      | Lucyk      | Santoni       |
| Armstrong    | Fairchild  | Lynch      | Sather        |
| Baker        | Fajt       | Maitland   | Schroder      |
| Bard         | Fargo      | Major      | Schuler       |
| Barley       | Farmer     | Manderino  | Scrimenti     |
| Battisto     | Feese      | Markosek   | Semmel        |
| Bebko-Jones  | Fichter    | Marsico    | Serafini      |
| Belardi      | Fleagle    | Masland    | Shaner        |
| Belfanti     | Flick      | Mayernik   | Sheehan       |
| Bishop       | Gamble     | McCall     | Smith, B.     |
| Boyes        | Gannon     | McGeehan   | Smith, S. H.  |
| Brown        | Geist      | McGill     | Snyder, D. W. |
| Browne       | George     | Melio      | Staback       |
| Bunt         | Gigliotti  | Merry      | Stairs        |
| Butkovitz    | Gladeck    | Michlovic  | Steil         |
| Buxton       | Godshall   | Micozzie   | Stern         |
| Caltagirone  | Gordner    | Mihalich   | Stetler       |
| Cappabianca  | Gruitza    | Miller     | Stish         |
| Carn         | Gruppo     | Mundy      | Strittmatter  |
| Cawley       | Habay      | Nailor     | Sturla        |
| Chadwick     | Harhart    | Nickol     | Surra         |
| Civera       | Hasay      | Nyce       | Tangretti     |
| Clark        | Hennessey  | O'Brien    | Taylor, E. Z. |
| Clymer       | Herman     | Olasz      | Taylor, J.    |
| Cohen, L. I. | Hershey    | Oliver     | Tigue         |
| Cohen, M.    | Hess       | Perzel     | Trelo         |
| Colafella    | Horsey     | Petrarca   | True          |
| Colaizzo     | Hutchinson | Petrone    | Tulli         |
| Conti        | Itkin      | Pettit     | Vance         |
| Cornell      | Jadiowiec  | Phillips   | Van Horne     |
| Corrigan     | James      | Piccola    | Veon          |
| Cowell       | Josephs    | Pistella   | Vitali        |
| Coy          | Kaiser     | Pitts      | Walko         |
| Curry        | Keller     | Ramos      | Washington    |
| Daley        | Kenney     | Raymond    | Waugh         |
| DeLuca       | King       | Readshaw   | Wogan         |
| Dempsey      | Kirkland   | Reber      | Wright, D. R. |
| Dent         | Kukovich   | Reinard    | Wright, M. N. |
| Dermody      | LaGrotta   | Richardson | Youngblood    |
| DeWeese      | Laughlin   | Rieger     | Zimmerman     |
| DiGirolamo   | Lawless    | Roberts    |               |
| Donatucci    | Lederer    | Rooney     | Ryan,         |
| Druce        | Lescovitz  | Rubley     | Speaker       |

NAYS-21

- |          |         |          |           |
|----------|---------|----------|-----------|
| Birmelin | Hanna   | Platts   | Travaglio |
| Blaum    | Jarolin | Robinson | Trich     |
| Boscola  | Krebs   | Rohrer   | Wozniak   |
| Carone   | Leh     | Saylor   | Yewcic    |
| Corpora  | Pesci   | Steelman | Zug       |
| Haluska  |         |          |           |

NOT VOTING-1

- Williams

EXCUSED-3

- |         |         |        |
|---------|---------|--------|
| Preston | Roebuck | Thomas |
|---------|---------|--------|

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1807, PN 2168**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Williamson Free School of Mechanical Trades in Delaware County.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-161

- |             |            |           |               |
|-------------|------------|-----------|---------------|
| Adolph      | DeWeese    | Lescovitz | Santoni       |
| Allen       | DiGirolamo | Levdansky | Sather        |
| Argall      | Donatucci  | Lloyd     | Saylor        |
| Armstrong   | Druce      | Maitland  | Schroder      |
| Baker       | Durham     | Major     | Schuler       |
| Bard        | Evans      | Manderino | Scrimenti     |
| Barley      | Fairchild  | Markosek  | Semmel        |
| Battisto    | Fargo      | Marsico   | Serafini      |
| Bebko-Jones | Farmer     | Mayernik  | Shaner        |
| Belardi     | Feese      | McCall    | Sheehan       |
| Belfanti    | Fichter    | McGeehan  | Smith, B.     |
| Bishop      | Fleagle    | McGill    | Smith, S. H.  |
| Boyes       | Flick      | Melio     | Snyder, D. W. |
| Brown       | Gamble     | Merry     | Staback       |
| Browne      | Gannon     | Michlovic | Stairs        |
| Bunt        | Geist      | Micozzie  | Steil         |
| Butkovitz   | George     | Miller    | Stern         |
| Buxton      | Gigliotti  | Nailor    | Stish         |
| Caltagirone | Gladeck    | Nickol    | Strittmatter  |
| Cappabianca | Godshall   | Nyce      | Tangretti     |
| Carn        | Gruitza    | O'Brien   | Taylor, E. Z. |
| Cawley      | Gruppo     | Olasz     | Taylor, J.    |
| Chadwick    | Habay      | Oliver    | Trelo         |

Civera	Harhart	Perzel	True
Clark	Hasay	Petrarca	Tulli
Clymer	Hennessey	Petrone	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Herman	Pettit	Veon
Cohen, M.	Hershey	Phillips	Vitali
Colafiglia	Hess	Piccola	Walko
Colaizzo	Horsey	Pistella	Washington
Conti	Itkin	Pitts	Waugh
Cornell	James	Ramos	Wogan
Corrigan	Josephs	Raymond	Wright, D. R.
Cowell	Kaiser	Reber	Wright, M. N.
Coy	Keller	Reinard	Youngblood
Curry	Kenney	Richardson	Zimmerman
Daley	King	Rieger	Zug
DeLuca	Kirkland	Rooney	
Dempsey	LaGrotta	Rubley	Ryan,
Dent	Laughlin	Rudy	Speaker
Dermody	Lederer	Sainato	

NAYS-37

Birmelin	Hutchinson	Masland	Steelman
Blaum	Jadlowiec	Mihalich	Stetler
Boscola	Jarolin	Mundy	Sturla
Carone	Krebs	Pesci	Surra
Corpora	Kukovich	Platts	Tigue
Egolf	Lawless	Readshaw	Travaglio
Fajt	Leh	Roberts	Van Horne
Gordner	Lucyk	Robinson	Wozniak
Haluska	Lynch	Rohrer	Yewcic
Hanna			

NOT VOTING-2

Trich	Williams
-------	----------

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1808, PN 2169**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Johnson Technical Institute of Scranton.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-167

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Sainato
Allen	Donatucci	Levdansky	Santoni
Argall	Druce	Lloyd	Sather
Armstrong	Durham	Maitland	Saylor
Baker	Evans	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fairchild	Manderino	Schuler
Barley	Fargo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Battisto	Farmer	Marsico	Semmel
Bebko-Jones	Feese	Mayermik	Serafini
Belardi	Fichter	McCall	Shaner
Beifanti	Fleagle	McGeehan	Sheehan
Bishop	Flick	McGill	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Melio	Smith, S. H.
Boyes	Gannon	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Brown	Geist	Michlovic	Staback
Browne	George	Micozzie	Stairs
Bunt	Gigliotti	Mihalich	Steil
Butkovitz	Gladeck	Miller	Stern
Buxton	Godshall	Mundy	Stish
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Nailor	Strittmatter
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Nickol	Tangretti
Cam	Habay	Nyce	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Harhart	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Hasay	Olasz	Trello
Civera	Hennessey	Oliver	True
Clark	Herman	Perzel	Tulli
Clymer	Hershey	Petrarca	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Hess	Petrone	Veon
Cohen, M.	Horsey	Pettit	Vitali
Colafiglia	Hutchinson	Phillips	Walko
Colaizzo	Itkin	Piccola	Washington
Conti	James	Pistella	Waugh
Cornell	Josephs	Pitts	Williams
Corrigan	Kaiser	Ramos	Wogan
Cowell	Keller	Raymond	Wright, D. R.
Coy	Kenney	Reber	Wright, M. N.
Curry	King	Reinard	Youngblood
Daley	Kirkland	Richardson	Zimmerman
DeLuca	Kukovich	Rieger	Zug
Dempsey	LaGrotta	Rooney	
Dent	Laughlin	Rubley	Ryan,
Dermody	Lederer	Rudy	Speaker
DeWeese			

NAYS-33

Birmelin	Jadlowiec	Pesci	Sturla
Boscola	Jarolin	Platts	Surra
Carone	Krebs	Readshaw	Tigue
Corpora	Lawless	Roberts	Travaglio
Egolf	Leh	Robinson	Trich
Fajt	Lucyk	Rohrer	Van Horne
Gordner	Lynch	Steelman	Wozniak
Haluska	Masland	Stetler	Yewcic
Hanna			

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**RULES COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, Mr. Perzel.

Mr. PERZEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

We would like to have an immediate meeting of the Rules Committee at the desk.

The SPEAKER. There will be an immediate meeting of the Rules Committee at the desk of the majority leader.

**ANNOUNCEMENT BY MRS. TAYLOR**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Chester County, Mrs. Taylor.

Mrs. TAYLOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Just a short announcement. At the call of the recess I am asking the women in this chamber to meet in the rotunda to reflect upon the resolution that will be offered this afternoon recognizing the 100th year of women in State legislatures. That is immediately following the break for recess. It will be about 5 minutes. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

**INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS  
COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. It is in my mind that there was another committee meeting to be called.

The gentleman, Mr. Flick, is recognized for the purpose of calling an Intergovernmental Affairs Committee meeting on the declaration of a recess in the rear of the hall of the House.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,  
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND  
RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES**

**HB 664, PN 2232 (Amended)** By Rep. CLYMER

An Act amending the act of July 10, 1981 (P.L.234, No.76), known as the Donated Food Limited Liability Act, providing for game donated as food.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

**HB 970, PN 2233 (Amended)** By Rep. CLYMER

An Act amending the act of June 3, 1937 (P.L.1333, No.320), known as the Pennsylvania Election Code, further defining "independent expenditure" and adding definitions; providing for organization of political committees, for contributions, for independent expenditures and for reports, documents, data base and public access.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

**HB 1319, PN 2234 (Amended)** By Rep. CLYMER

An Act amending the act of June 29, 1953 (P.L.304, No.66), known as the Vital Statistics Law of 1953, further providing for disclosures of records to governmental agencies.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

**HB 1380, PN 2235 (Amended)**

By Rep. CLYMER

An Act amending the act of June 3, 1937 (P.L.1333, No.320), known as the Pennsylvania Election Code, further providing for the compensation of election officers; and further providing for peace officers at polling places.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

**PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY**

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. James, seeks recognition. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. JAMES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise for a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. JAMES. I imagine that is the correct procedure.

I just wanted to ask if HR 180 could remain open for signatures. Is that a proper request?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield for a moment until we find out its status.

The problem with it, sir, is it has already been printed. You could add your name as a sponsor. However, it will not appear on the printed copy unless there is an amendment and it is reprinted.

**VOTE CORRECTION**

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Druce, from Bucks County seeks recognition.

Mr. DRUCE. Mr. Speaker, my vote was not recorded on HB 1803, and I would like to be recorded as having voted in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

**PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY**

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Michlovic, seeks recognition. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Mr. Speaker, a point of order.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of order.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. I would like to know the proper motion to make to call up a bill later in the calendar and have it considered before the next bill, HB 1809.

The SPEAKER. If the gentleman, Mr. Michlovic, is referring to a bill that is listed for a vote, then he would, by a simple majority, get to the point he is trying to get to by moving for a special order of business. If, however, it is not listed for a vote, then the problem becomes more difficult and we will think about it and I will discuss it and give you another answer. It is my understanding, though, that this is listed for a vote. Is that right?

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Yes, that is correct.

**MOTION FOR  
SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS**

Mr. MICHLOVIC. With that in mind, I would move that the House consider— I would move for a special order of business to consider HB 1815 prior to HB 1809, or consider HB 1815 next in the order of business.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Michlovic, I recognized the gentleman in connection with a parliamentary inquiry, which you termed a point of order, not for the purpose of making a motion. Now I will recognize you to make a motion, though. Go ahead.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to make a motion that we have a special order of business and consider HB 1815 next in the line of bills to be considered.

The SPEAKER. I believe, Mr. Michlovic, the next order of business was going to be lunch. Are you putting this ahead of lunch?

Mr. MICHLOVIC. No, Mr. Speaker; I had no such intention.

The SPEAKER. The members should pay attention to what is going on. The gentleman, Mr. Michlovic, has asked for a special order of business to consider HB 1815, which is at the bottom of page 5. We at the moment are about to start — after lunch — at the top of page 5, and the gentleman has asked for a special order of business.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes at this time the majority leader, Mr. Perzel, so you understand the question that is before you.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, he is asking to move them out of order. Mr. Speaker, when we came on the floor today, we started with 1783 and we have gone right through four full pages right in the actual order that they were in, Mr. Speaker. We fully intend to stay in the order that we are in, so I would just request we come back from lunch, start with 1809, and run right down the page until we are done with all the nonpreferreds. We just feel that is an orderly way to do it and it is a fair way to do it. It is not against any particular member, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Mr. Speaker, if you recall, there was a bill that was moved out of order. It was moved prior to the bill that preceded it. That precedent has already been set this morning, and for technical reasons I am asking that 1815 be moved prior to 1809—

The SPEAKER. Mr. Michlovic, I have to interrupt on that.

I confess that I ran one bill, one number out in front of the other number, which I immediately corrected after confessing that I got a 2 and a 3 backwards, and I immediately corrected that. You are not going to suggest, are you, that that was done for some ulterior purpose? I believe one appropriation was for \$100,000 and the other for \$110,000.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Not at all, Mr. Speaker. I was just suggesting that that—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman has a motion before the House. The question before the House is the motion of the gentleman for a special order of business.

Those in favor of the gentleman's motion to move 1815 to the top of the order of business will vote "aye"; those against moving it to a special order of business will vote "no."

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-81

Battisto	Dermody	Lucyk	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	DeWeese	Manderino	Staback
Belardi	Evans	Markosek	Steelman
Belfanti	Fajt	Mayernik	Stetler
Blaum	Gamble	McCall	Sturla
Boscola	George	Melio	Surra
Butkovitz	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Tangretti
Buxton	Gruitza	Mihalich	Tigue
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mundy	Travaglio
Cappabianca	Hanna	Olasz	Trello
Carn	Itkin	Pesci	Trich
Cawley	James	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colafella	Jarolin	Petrone	Veon
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Pistella	Vitali
Corpora	Kirkland	Ramos	Walko
Corrigan	Kukovich	Readshaw	Washington
Cowell	LaGrotta	Richardson	Williams
Coy	Laughlin	Roberts	Wozniak
Curry	Lescovitz	Robinson	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Levdansky	Sainato	Yewcic
DeLuca			

NAYS-119

Adolph	Farmer	Lynch	Sather
Allen	Feese	Maitland	Saylor
Argall	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Armstrong	Fleagle	Marsico	Schuler
Baker	Flick	Masland	Scrimanti
Bard	Gannon	McGeehan	Semmel
Barley	Geist	McGill	Serafini
Birmelin	Gladeck	Merry	Sheehan
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gordner	Miller	Smith, S. H.
Brown	Gruppo	Nailor	Snyder, D. W.
Browne	Habay	Nickol	Stairs
Bunt	Harhart	Nyce	Steil
Carone	Hasay	O'Brien	Stern
Chadwick	Hennessey	Oliver	Stish
Civera	Herman	Perzel	Strittmatter
Clark	Hershey	Pettit	Taylor, F. Z.
Clymer	Hess	Phillips	Taylor, J.
Cohen, L. I.	Horsey	Piccola	True
Cohen, M.	Hutchinson	Pitts	Tulli
Conti	Jadlowiec	Platts	Vance
Cornell	Josephs	Raymond	Waugh
Dempsey	Keller	Reber	Wogan
Dent	Kenney	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
DiGirolamo	King	Rieger	Youngblood
Donatucci	Krebs	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Druce	Lawless	Rooney	Zug
Durham	Lederer	Rubleby	
Egolf	Leh	Rudy	Ryan,
Fairchild	Lloyd	Santoni	Speaker
Fargo			

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

The SPEAKER. And the question recurs, will we go to lunch? The Chair recognizes the majority leader, Mr. Perzel.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I would move that we recess for an hour for lunch and come back to the floor at 2 o'clock, about an hour and 10 minutes.

The SPEAKER. I have been instructed that everybody may go to lunch, but I have to stay here and do a little housekeeping.

### GAME AND FISHERIES COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Smith, is recognized for the purpose of calling a meeting of the Game and Fish Committee. Mr. Smith.

Mr. B. SMITH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I call an immediate meeting of the House Game and Fisheries Committee at the rear of the House of Representatives to consider the fishing-license-increase bill. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. There is an immediate meeting of Game and Fish at the rear of the House.

### HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

**No. 1824** By Representatives FICHTER, HENNESSEY, CORNELL, CONTI, REBER, PISTELLA, BARD, MELIO and GANNON

An Act amending the act of May 30, 1984 (P.L.345, No.69), known as the First Class City Business Tax Reform Act, further defining "business" to exclude certain services and sales.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 20, 1995.

**No. 1825** By Representatives STURLA, HERMAN, BLAUM, FAJT, BEBKO-JONES, CAPPABIANCA, BUXTON, KUKOVICH, ROEBUCK, LEVDANSKY, SANTONI, ROONEY, STABACK, LAUGHLIN, MANDERINO, MELIO, SURRA, ITKIN, HENNESSEY, E. Z. TAYLOR, READSHAW, VAN HORNE, MUNDY, CARN, JOSEPHS, BROWNE, CURRY, TRELLO, SAYLOR, MICHLOVIC, VEON, WOZNIAC, YOUNGBLOOD, BISHOP, RICHARDSON, WALKO, ARMSTRONG and PETRARCA

An Act establishing the Day-care Facilities Loan and Loan Guarantee Fund; providing for terms and conditions of loans and for a penalty; and making an appropriation.

Referred to Committee on AGING AND YOUTH, June 20, 1995.

**No. 1827** By Representatives DeLUCA, GIGLIOTTI, DERMODY, TRELLO, WALKO, MICHLOVIC, READSHAW, LUCYK, VAN HORNE and LEVDANSKY

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, further providing for Sunday sales permits for privately owned public golf courses.

Referred to Committee on LIQUOR CONTROL, June 20, 1995.

**No. 1828** By Representatives STETLER, ROONEY, DeWEESE, MELIO, CORRIGAN and STURLA

An Act amending the act of May 1, 1933 (P.L.216, No.76), known as The Dental Law, requiring completion of approved continuing education programs for renewal of dental licenses.

Referred to Committee on PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE, June 20, 1995.

**No. 1829** By Representatives STETLER, DeWEESE, ROONEY, BELFANTI, MELIO, CORRIGAN and DeLUCA

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for aggravated assault.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 20, 1995.

**No. 1830** By Representatives STETLER, ROONEY, DeWEESE, STURLA, BELFANTI, MUNDY, SAYLOR, NICKOL, BELARDI, BATTISTO, MELIO, PLATTS, L. I. COHEN, CORRIGAN, STEELMAN, B. SMITH, EGOLF, DeLUCA, PISTELLA and YOUNGBLOOD

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing criminal penalties for any person who harbors or gives assistance to truant children or entices truants to commit criminal acts.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 20, 1995.

**No. 1831** By Representatives STETLER, STEELMAN, ROONEY and MILLER

An Act amending the act of May 31, 1933 (P.L.1108, No.272), entitled, as amended, "An act providing for the appointment, promotion, reduction, removal and reinstatement of paid officers, firemen and employes of fire departments and of fire alarm operators and fire box inspectors in the bureaus of electricity in cities of the third class; defining the powers and duties of civil service commissions for such purposes; and fixing penalties," further providing for applicants for any position in the fire department.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, June 20, 1995.

**No. 1832** By Representatives STETLER, NICKOL, PLATTS, ROONEY and STEELMAN

An Act amending the act of August 9, 1955 (P.L.323, No.130), known as The County Code, providing for the abolition of jury commissioners.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, June 20, 1995.

**No. 1833** By Representatives STETLER, BATTISTO, DeWEESE, KUKOVICH, SATHER, ROONEY, BELARDI, CORRIGAN, STERN and YOUNGBLOOD



An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, authorizing the use of radar by local police officers who meet certain qualifications.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 20, 1995.

### HOUSE RESOLUTIONS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

**No. 179** By Representative DeWEESE

A Resolution commending President Clinton for granting a visa to the President of Taiwan; and commending the President of Taiwan on his democratic reforms.

Referred to Committee on RULES, June 20, 1995.

**No. 181** By Representatives LAWLESS, CONTI, REINARD and KING

A Resolution directing a select committee of the House of Representatives to review issues related to the Commonwealth's institutions of higher education.

Referred to Committee on RULES, June 20, 1995.

### SENATE BILLS FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bills for concurrence:

**SB 476, PN 1004**

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 20, 1995.

**SB 775, PN 1192**

Referred to Committee on GAME AND FISHERIES, June 20, 1995.

### VOTE CORRECTIONS

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from York, Mr. Saylor, is recognized.

Mr. SAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, on HB 1783 I was recorded as not voting. I would like to be recorded as an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Ms. Manderino.

Ms. MANDERINO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

For a correction of the record.

On HB 1800 I incorrectly pressed my "no" button. I would like the record to reflect that it was my intention to vote "yes" on HB 1800.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the lady will be spread upon the record.

### GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. Belatedly, we recognize guests of Representative George Kenney — Harry Schwartz and Myron Simon. We are sorry that we are so late in recognizing them — there we are — but we are very happy to have you here.

### VOTE CORRECTION

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Ms. Youngblood.

Ms. YOUNGBLOOD. Mr. Speaker, I would like to be recognized for HB 1783. My button malfunctioned, and I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the lady will be spread upon the record.

### BILL ON CONCURRENCE REPORTED FROM RULES COMMITTEE

**HB 1400, PN 2091**

By Rep. PERZEL

An Act creating the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources consisting of certain functions of the Department of Environmental Resources and the Department of Community Affairs; renaming the Department of Environmental Resources as the Department of Environmental Protection; defining the role of the Environmental Quality Board in the Department of Environmental Protection; making changes to responsibilities of the State Conservation Commission and the Department of Agriculture; transferring certain powers and duties to the Department of Health; and repealing inconsistent acts.

RULES.

### RESOLUTION REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

**HR 181, PN 2237**

By Rep. PERZEL

A Resolution directing a select committee of the House of Representatives to review issues related to the Commonwealth's institutions of higher education.

RULES.

### RECESS

The SPEAKER. Does the majority or minority leader have any further business?

Hearing none, this House stands in recess to the call of the Chair.

### AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

**SENATE MESSAGE**

HOUSE BILL  
CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 71, PN 44**, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

**RESOLUTION REPORTED  
FROM COMMITTEE**

**HR 170, PN 2049** By Rep. FLICK

A Resolution memorializing Congress to study certain matters relating to the European Common Market.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,  
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND  
RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES**

**HB 705, PN 777** By Rep. MERRY

An Act amending the act of May 1, 1933 (P.L.103, No.69), known as The Second Class Township Code, further providing for contracts regarding separate specifications for branches of work.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

**HB 706, PN 778** By Rep. MERRY

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, further providing for awarding of a contract or contracts, specifications and lowest responsible bids for construction or lease or purchase of buildings.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

**HB 1339, PN 2238 (Amended)** By Rep. MERRY

An Act amending the act of February 1, 1966 (1965 P.L.1656, No.581), known as The Borough Code, further providing for separate bids for plumbing, heating, ventilating and electrical work.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

**HB 1340, PN 1528** By Rep. MERRY

An Act amending the act of June 24, 1931 (P.L.1206, No.331), known as The First Class Township Code, further providing for separate bid specifications for different branches of work.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

**BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,  
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND Tabled**

**SB 674, PN 1291 (Amended)** By Rep. MERRY

An Act amending the act of February 1, 1966 (1965 P. L. 1656, No. 581), entitled "The Borough Code," providing for budget and tax ordinances.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

**COMMITTEE MEETING CANCELED**

The SPEAKER. Are there any further reports of committees? Are there any corrections of the record in regular session? Any announcements in regular session?

The gentleman, Mr. Merry.

Mr. MERRY. Mr. Speaker, I wish there were more here; I held off making this announcement. But the schedule calls for a Local Government Committee meeting tomorrow. Now, I realize that we had one today and that is all over. We had recessed until tomorrow to consider HB 391, but HB 391 was pulled by the sponsor, so we have no announced business for a committee meeting tomorrow.

So the announcement is that we have canceled the Local Government Committee meeting for Wednesday.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

**VOTE CORRECTIONS**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland County, Mr. Nailor.

Mr. NAILOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, yesterday I was recorded in the affirmative on HR 137. I would like to be recorded in the negative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Any further corrections of the record in regular session? Announcements? Reports of committee? Announcements of committees?

Mrs. MILLER. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady, Mrs. Miller.

Mrs. MILLER. For a correction of the record.

The SPEAKER. The lady is in order.

Mrs. MILLER. On HR 137 I was inadvertently recorded in the affirmative. I would like to be recorded in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the lady will be spread upon the record.

The gentleman, Mr. Merry. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. MERRY. I would like to correct the record, if I could do it at this time.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may go ahead.

Mr. MERRY. On HB 1804 I was inadvertently missed on the vote computation, and I would like to indicate that I would have voted "yes" had my vote been recorded properly.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

CALENDAR CONTINUED

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1809, PN 2170**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the University of the Arts, Philadelphia.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **MICHLOVIC** offered the following amendment No. **A4005**:

Amend Title, page 1, lines 1 and 2, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Amending the act of June 16, 1994 (P.L. , No.6A), entitled "An act to provide from the General Fund for the expenses of the Executive, Legislative and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth, the public debt and for the public schools for the fiscal year July 1, 1994, to June 30, 1995, for certain institutions and organizations, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1994; to provide appropriations from the State Lottery Fund, the Pennsylvania Economic Revitalization Fund, the Energy Conservation and Assistance Fund, the Hazardous Material Response Fund, The State Stores Fund, the Milk Marketing Fund, the Home Investment Trust Fund, the Emergency Medical Services Operating Fund, the Ben Franklin/IRC Partnership Fund and the Tuition Payment Fund to the Executive Department; to provide appropriations from the Judicial Computer System Augmentation Account to the Judicial Department; to provide appropriations from the Motor License Fund for the fiscal year July 1, 1994, to June 30, 1995, for the proper operation of the several departments of the Commonwealth and the Pennsylvania State Police authorized to spend Motor License Fund moneys; to provide for the appropriation of Federal funds to the Executive and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth and for the establishment of restricted receipt accounts for the fiscal year July 1, 1994, to June 30, 1995, and for the payment of bills remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1994; and to provide for the additional appropriation of State and Federal funds to the Executive and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth for the fiscal year July 1, 1993, to June 30, 1994, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1993," providing for institutional assistance grants.

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 5 through 17; page 2, lines 1 through 18, by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting

Section 1. Section 228 of the act of June 16, 1994 (P.L. , No.6A), known as the General Appropriation Act of 1994, is amended to read:

Section 228. Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency.—The following amounts are appropriated to the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency:

	Federal	State
***		
For institutional assistance grants to be allotted by the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency.		
	{State appropriation . . . . .	35,077,000]
	<u>State appropriation. . . . .</u>	<u>40,077,000</u>

\*\*\*

Section 2. Notwithstanding the provisions of section 1806, relating to lapsing of unused funds, the amounts appropriated for institutional grants by this act shall not lapse until June 30, 1996.

Section 3. This act shall take effect immediately.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman.

Mr. **MICHLOVIC**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I am going to offer a series of amendments — the first one to this bill, another one to HB 1810, and a third to HB 1815 — which deal with the University of the Arts in Philadelphia, Drexel, and the University of Pennsylvania respectively. Essentially this is an attempt to change the distribution of funding away from a select few schools to set up a system of distribution of funds across the board to universities and colleges all over the State.

The amendment I am offering on the first bill essentially deletes the entire funding of about \$1 million for the University of the Arts in Philadelphia and, in its place, establishes a \$5-million institutional grant program under which these three universities may apply. Right now they cannot apply under the IAG (institutional assistance grant) program administered by PHEAA (Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency) because they are nonpreferred appropriations. They receive State appropriations directly by virtue of the nonpreferred channel.

What this amendment does is sets up \$5 million of the total of \$21 million that I am going to attempt to take from those three universities and redistribute it, not only in this IAG fund but in a fund that will fund graduate students from across the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to any university or to any college that has Pennsylvania graduate students. This amendment, again, attempts to level the playing field.

In years past, I have stood at this microphone when we have dealt with these nonpreferreds and railed and argued against simply passing special appropriations for three schools, three private schools — Penn, Drexel, the University of the Arts — and all of them in Philadelphia, when there are 97 other schools across the Commonwealth that do not get such appropriations. Sure, they can go into the IAG fund and get some appropriations, but it is not anywhere near the kinds of numbers that we are talking about for these three universities.

And if you take a look at the history, we have been doing this for years simply because we did it the year before and we do not want to change that process. I think it is about time we start asking ourselves, if Penn, why not Carnegie Mellon? If Drexel, why not Washington and Jefferson? Why not the school in your district? And we have argued that for years, but we have never had an amendment, we have never had an idea of how to redistribute that money to apply to the problem the day that we arrive here for the vote.

So what I am attempting to do today is provide you an alternative, provide you an alternative starting in this amendment, continuing in the next two bills that I referred to, for injecting a sense of fairness in this whole system so that the college and university in your district gets the same treatment as the one in Philadelphia by the name of the University of Pennsylvania or by the name of Drexel or the University of the Arts. That is what this attempts to do here, what I am attempting to do here.

And one other note. You have a series of fiscal notes that arrived on your desk that show you what I am trying to do in those three bills. I am taking, essentially, the money, \$15 million from Penn, applying it in front; I am taking \$5 million from Drexel and \$1 million from the University of the Arts and, with that \$21 million, trying to redistribute it, allowing Penn, Drexel, and the University of the Arts to go into the IAG fund like the rest of our schools do and, in that way, inject a sense of fairness to the whole system.

I would urge the members of the House to support my amendment on HB 1809. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. Michlovic, come to the rostrum.

(Conference held at Speaker's podium.)

**GERMANENESS QUESTIONED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Perzel.

Mr. PERZEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, what the previous gentleman is doing is basically taking a nonpreferred and turning it into an appropriations bill. Therefore, I would like to make a motion that it is not germane to this bill.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The question now before the House deals with whether or not the amendment offered by the gentleman is or is not germane.

On the question,

Will the House sustain the germaneness of the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Michlovic.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker—

The SPEAKER. This, incidentally, is a debatable motion.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Mr. Speaker, I would argue that the amendment is germane. After all, even though we are changing the guts of the bill from a nonpreferred appropriation to establishing another fund within the PHEAA and IAG program, it is about education. We are simply attempting in this amendment to redistribute moneys in another way.

We are still in higher ed; we are not in any other element of the general budget, and I would argue that the amendment is germane to the bill.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question, those who believe the amendment to be germane will vote in the affirmative; those who believe it is not germane will vote in the negative.

On the question recurring,

Will the House sustain the germaneness of the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS-63**

Battisto	Curry	Lescovitz	Robinson
Bebko-Jones	Daley	Levdansky	Sainato
Belardi	DeLuca	Lucyk	Shaner
Belfanti	Dent	Manderino	Staback
Blaum	Fajt	Markosek	Steelman
Boscola	Gamble	Mayernik	Stetler
Buxton	Gigliotti	Melio	Sturla
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Michlovic	Tigue
Cappabianca	Haluska	Mihalich	Travaglio
Carn	Hanna	Mundy	Trello
Carone	Kaiser	Olasz	Trich
Cawley	Krebs	Pesci	Van Horne
Colafella	Kukovich	Petrone	Vitali
Corpora	LaGrotta	Pistella	Walko
Corrigan	Laughlin	Readshaw	Wozniak
Cowell	Lawless	Roberts	

**NAYS-137**

Adolph	Farmer	Major	Schuler
Allen	Feeze	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	McCall	Serafini
Baker	Flick	McGeehan	Sheehan
Bard	Gannon	McGill	Smith, B.
Barley	Geist	Merry	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	George	Micozzie	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Gladeck	Miller	Stairs
Boyes	Godshall	Nailor	Steil
Brown	Gordner	Nickol	Stern
Browne	Gruppo	Nyce	Stish
Bunt	Habay	O'Brien	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Harhart	Oliver	Surra
Chadwick	Hasay	Perzel	Tangretti
Civera	Hennessey	Petrarca	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Herman	Pettit	Taylor, J.
Clymer	Hershey	Phillips	True
Cohen, L. I.	Hess	Piccola	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Horsey	Pitts	Vance
Colaizzo	Hutchinson	Platts	Veon
Conti	Itkin	Ramos	Washington
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Raymond	Waugh
Coy	James	Reber	Williams
Dempsey	Jarolin	Reinard	Wogan
Dermody	Josephs	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Keller	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
DiGirolamo	Kenney	Rohrer	Yewic
Donatucci	King	Rooney	Youngblood
Druce	Kirkland	Rubley	Zimmerman
Durham	Lederer	Rudy	Zug
Egolf	Leh	Santoni	
Evans	Lloyd	Sather	Ryan,
Fairchild	Lynch	Saylor	Speaker
Fargo	Maitland	Schroder	

**NOT VOTING-0**

**EXCUSED-3**

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was declared not germane.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS--166

Adolph	DeWeese	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	DiGirolamo	Lederer	Sainato
Argall	Donatucci	Lescovitz	Santoni
Armstrong	Druce	Levdansky	Sather
Baker	Durham	Lloyd	Schroder
Bard	Evans	Maitland	Schuler
Barley	Fairchild	Major	Scrimenti
Battisto	Fargo	Manderino	Semmel
Bebko-Jones	Farmer	Markosek	Serafini
Belardi	Feese	Marsico	Shaner
Belfanti	Fichter	Masland	Sheehan
Bishop	Fleagle	Mayernik	Smith, B.
Blaum	Flick	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Boscola	Gannon	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Geist	McGill	Staback
Brown	George	Melio	Stairs
Browne	Gigliotti	Merry	Steil
Bunt	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stern
Butkovitz	Godshall	Miller	Stish
Buxton	Gruitza	Nailor	Strittmatter
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Nickol	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Habay	Nyce	Taylor, J.
Carn	Harhart	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Hasay	Olasz	Trello
Chadwick	Hennessey	Oliver	True
Civera	Herman	Perzel	Tulli
Clark	Hershey	Petrarca	Vance
Clymer	Hess	Pettit	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Horsely	Phillips	Veon
Cohen, M.	Hutchinson	Piccola	Vitali
Colafella	Itkin	Pistella	Walko
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Pitts	Washington
Conti	James	Ramos	Waugh
Cornell	Jarolin	Raymond	Williams
Corrigan	Josephs	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Cowell	Kaiser	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Coy	Keller	Richardson	Youngblood
Daley	Kenney	Rieger	Zimmerman
DeLuca	King	Roberts	Zug
Dempsey	Kirkland	Robinson	
Deut	Kukovich	Rooney	Ryan,
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rublely	Speaker

NAYS--33

Birmelin	Krebs	Pesci	Sturla
Carone	Lawless	Petrone	Surra
Corpora	Leh	Platts	Taylor, E. Z.
Egolf	Lucyk	Readshaw	Travaglio
Fajt	Lynch	Rohrer	Trich
Gamble	Michlovic	Saylor	Wogan
Gordner	Mihalich	Steelman	Wozniak
Haluska	Mundy	Stetler	Yewcic
Hanna			

NOT VOTING--1

Curry

EXCUSED--3

Preston

Roebuck

Thomas

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1810, PN 2171**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of Drexel University, Philadelphia.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **MICHLOVIC** offered the following amendment No. **A4006**:

Amend Title, page 1, lines 1 and 2, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Authorizing the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency to make assistance grants on behalf of Pennsylvania graduate students attending eligible institutions of higher education in this Commonwealth; and making an appropriation.

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 5 through 17; page 2, lines 1 through 30, by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting  
Section 1. Short title.

This act shall be known and may be cited as the Graduate Student Assistance Grant Act.

Section 2. Definitions.

The following words and phrases when used in this act shall have the meanings given to them in this section unless the context clearly indicates otherwise:

"Agency." The Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency.

"Graduate student assistance grant." Grants established by the agency by dividing the total funds available for graduate student assistance grants by the number of eligible graduate students certified to the agency by the participating institutions.

"Graduate Student Assistance Grant Fund" or "fund." The aid received pursuant to this act on behalf of graduate students by each eligible institution.

"Educational cost." Excludes expenses for sectarian and denominational instruction, the construction or maintenance of sectarian and denominational facilities or for any other sectarian and denominational purpose or activity.

"Eligible institution." An institution of higher education located in and chartered by the Commonwealth which is operated not for profit, which offers graduate degree courses, which is determined by the agency not to be a theological seminary or school of theology or a sectarian and denominational institution and which is approved by the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistant Agency for graduate student assistance grants pursuant to the provisions of this act. The term shall include State-owned and State-related and non-State-aided institutions.

"First-professional student." An individual enrolled in a professional school or program which requires at least two academic years of college work for entrance and a total of at least six years for a degree.

"Non-State-aided institution." An institution of higher education which does not receive a direct Commonwealth appropriation.

"Pennsylvania graduate student." An individual who is a resident of this Commonwealth and who holds a bachelor's degree or equivalent and is working toward a master's or doctor's degree, but shall exclude an individual who is enrolled as a first-professional student or holds a first-professional degree.

"State-owned institutions." The 14 Pennsylvania State universities.

"State-related institutions." The Pennsylvania State University, the University of Pittsburgh, Temple University, Lincoln University and their branch campuses, and any institution which is hereafter designated "State-related" by the Commonwealth.

Section 3. Certification of recipients.

At such time and in such manner as directed by the agency in order for it to administer this act, each eligible institution shall certify to the agency the number of Pennsylvania graduate students attending the eligible institution.

Section 4. Graduate student assistance grants.

For the academic year beginning on or about September 1, 1995, the agency shall allot, on behalf of each Pennsylvania graduate student attending the eligible institution as certified pursuant to section 3, an assistance grant as defined in section 2, such allotment to be made to each eligible institution from the funds appropriated to the agency pursuant to section 10.

Section 5. Prohibition against discrimination.

No eligible institution receiving assistance grants shall discriminate against any applicant for admission because such applicant will not qualify as a Pennsylvania graduate student.

Section 6. Graduate Student Assistance Grant Fund.

The Graduate Student Assistance Grant Fund shall be maintained in a separate account and shall not be commingled with other funds of the eligible institution. The moneys in the fund may be used only for, or in connection with, expenses incurred by the eligible institution for educational cost. Each institution shall cause an audit of such separate account to be made annually, which audit shall indicate the manner in which the moneys in the fund have been expended. A copy of the audit shall be forwarded to the agency.

Section 7. Forfeiture.

Any eligible institution which refuses to submit such certification or audit as required by this act or submits misrepresentations or false statements knowingly and with intent to fraudulently obtain moneys from the agency shall be denied status as an eligible institution under the provisions of this act.

Section 8. Audits and reports.

The activities of the agency under this act shall be subject to the audit of the Department of Auditor General, but it shall not be required to pay a fee for any such audit.

Section 9. Rules and regulations.

The Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency shall promulgate rules and regulations to administer and enforce this act.

Section 10. Severability.

The provisions of this act are severable. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications of this act which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application.

Section 11. Appropriation.

The sum of \$16,906,000 is hereby appropriated to the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency for the purposes and administration of this act for the fiscal year July 1, 1995, to June 30, 1996.

Section 12. Effective date.

This act shall take effect immediately.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of agreeing to the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Michlovic.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Mr. Speaker, similar to the last bill, my amendment to HB 1810 deletes the appropriation for Drexel University and establishes a \$16.9-million fund in the PHEAA agency for the purpose of establishing a graduate-student fund which would be applied to all colleges and universities in Pennsylvania for Pennsylvania residents who are students at those universities.

We have often heard from universities and colleges that they are reimbursed for the undergraduate costs, but there is no reimbursement, no consideration in State funding for the graduate programs, and it is often the graduate programs in those universities that are most conducive to assisting businesses, economic development of one type or another, high-tech kinds of advancement and studies. It is something that we in Pennsylvania brag about about many of our colleges and universities, and for the Commonwealth not to consider that in their appropriation I think is wrong, and that is why I am attempting to set up this \$16.9-million fund which, again, comes from an appropriation to a privileged university so that all of the colleges and universities may apply.

And I would remind you that the University of Pennsylvania, wherein I would propose we get the funds, which also has graduate students from Pennsylvania, those graduate students would be considered eligible under this amendment. So they would be treated the same as all the other schools in the Commonwealth, and I urge adoption of the amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

### GERMANENESS QUESTIONED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Perzel.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, although I believe it is a noble effort on the part of the gentleman, I still believe that we are playing with a nonpreferred and making major changes to it, and I do not believe it is germane to this bill. So therefore, I would like to bring that issue up.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The question before the House raised by the gentleman, Mr. Perzel, is, is the amendment offered by the gentleman, Mr. Michlovic, germane to the issue?

On the question,

Will the House sustain the germaneness of the amendment?

### PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. MICHLOVIC. A parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman restate that?

Mr. MICHLOVIC. I have a parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Mr. Speaker, if the vote on germaneness fails as it did on the last bill, will I have an opportunity to question whether I can divide the amendment? Is the issue of amendment 4006 still on the calendar after germaneness fails?

The SPEAKER. No, it is not. You would not have that opportunity.

On the question of germaneness, those who believe the amendment to be germane will vote "aye"; those who believe the amendment is not germane will vote "no."

On the question recurring,  
Will the House sustain the germaneness of the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—61

Battisto	DeLuca	Lescovitz	Roberts
Belardi	Dent	Levdansky	Robinson
Belfanti	Fajt	Manderino	Sainato
Blaum	Gamble	Markosek	Staback
Boscola	Gigliotti	Masland	Steelman
Buxton	Haluska	Mayernik	Stetler
Caltagirone	Hanna	Melio	Sturla
Cappabianca	Jarolin	Michlovic	Tangretti
Carn	Kaiser	Mihalich	Travaglio
Carone	Kirkland	Mundy	Trello
Cawley	Krebs	Olasz	Trich
Colaifella	Kukovich	Pesci	Van Horne
Corpora	LaGrotta	Petrone	Vitali
Corrigan	Laughlin	Pistella	Walko
Cowell	Lawless	Readshaw	Wozniak
Daley			

NAYS—137

Adolph	Fairchild	Maitland	Schuler
Allen	Fargo	Major	Scrimenti
Argall	Farmer	Marsico	Semmel
Armstrong	Feese	McCall	Serafini
Baker	Fichter	McGeehan	Sheehan
Bard	Fleagle	McGill	Smith, B.
Barley	Flick	Merry	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	Micozzie	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Geist	Miller	Stairs
Bishop	George	Nailor	Steil
Boyes	Gladeck	Nickol	Stern
Brown	Godshall	Nyce	Stish
Browne	Gordner	O'Brien	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Oliver	Surra
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Habay	Petrarca	Taylor, J.
Civera	Harhart	Pettit	Tigue
Clark	Hasay	Phillips	True
Clymer	Hennessey	Piccola	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Herman	Pitts	Vance
Cohen, M.	Hershey	Platts	Veon
Colaizzo	Hess	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Horshey	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Hutchinson	Reber	Williams
Coy	Itkin	Reinard	Wogan
Curry	Jadlowiec	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Dempsey	James	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
Dermody	Josephs	Rohrer	Yeweic
DeWeese	Keller	Rooney	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Kenney	Rubley	Zimmerman
Donatucci	King	Rudy	Zug
Druce	Lederer	Santoni	

Durham	Leh	Sather	Ryan,
Egolf	Lloyd	Saylor	Speaker
Evans	Lynch	Schroder	

NOT VOTING—2

Lucyk	Shaner
-------	--------

EXCUSED—3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was declared not germane.

The SPEAKER. The majority of the members having voted that the amendment is nongermane, the amendment cannot be offered for that reason.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—167

Adolph	Donatucci	Lescovitz	Santoni
Allen	Druce	Levdansky	Sather
Argall	Durham	Lloyd	Schroder
Armstrong	Egolf	Maitland	Schuler
Baker	Evans	Major	Scrimenti
Bard	Fairchild	Manderino	Semmel
Barley	Fargo	Markosek	Serafini
Battisto	Farmer	Marsico	Sheehan
Bebko-Jones	Feese	Masland	Smith, B.
Belardi	Fichter	Mayernik	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Fleagle	McCall	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Flick	McGeehan	Staback
Boscola	Gannon	McGill	Stairs
Boyes	Geist	Melio	Steil
Brown	George	Merry	Stern
Browne	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stetler
Bunt	Gladeck	Miller	Stish
Butkovitz	Godshall	Nailor	Strittmatter
Buxton	Gruitza	Nickol	Sturla
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Nyce	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Habay	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hasay	Oliver	Tigue
Chadwick	Hennessey	Perzel	Trello
Civera	Herman	Petrarca	True
Clark	Hershey	Pettit	Tulli
Clymer	Hess	Phillips	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Horshey	Piccola	Van Horne
Cohen, M.	Hutchinson	Pistella	Veon
Colaifella	Itkin	Pitts	Vitali
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Ramos	Walko
Conti	James	Raymond	Washington
Cornell	Jarolin	Reber	Williams
Corpora	Josephs	Reinard	Wogan
Corrigan	Keller	Richardson	Wright, D. R.

Cowell	Kenney	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
Coy	King	Roberts	Youngblood
Curry	Kirkland	Robinson	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Kukovich	Rooney	Zug
Dent	LaGrotta	Rubley	
Dermody	Laughlin	Rudy	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lederer	Sainato	Speaker
DiGirolamo			

NAYS-33

Birmelin	Hanna	Mihalich	Shaner
Blaum	Kaiser	Mundy	Steelman
Carone	Krebs	Pesci	Surra
Daley	Lawless	Petrone	Travaglio
DeLuca	Leh	Platts	Trich
Fajt	Lucyk	Readshaw	Waugh
Gamble	Lynch	Rohrer	Wozniak
Gordner	Michlovic	Saylor	Yewcic
Haluska			

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1811, PN 2172**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine, Philadelphia.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.  
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie County, Mr. Cappabianca, on the question of final passage of the bill.

Mr. CAPPABIANCA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
May I have the opportunity to interrogate the maker of this bill, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Pitts.  
The gentleman, Mr. Cappabianca.

Mr. CAPPABIANCA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Let me take the opportunity at this point to thank the chairman of the Appropriations Committee on the Republican side and the executive director, Michael Rosenstein, and, Mr. Speaker, yourself for the commitment you made to the Lake Erie College of Osteopathic Medicine in this particular fiscal year for the appropriation of \$300,000.

With that preface, Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask the gentleman, what is the status or the present position of the appropriation bill HB 1169 presently?

Mr. PITTS. The bill has been signed by the House; it has been passed by the Senate. We are awaiting that the Senate would sign the bill and send it to the Governor.

Mr. CAPPABIANCA. In other words then, Mr. Speaker, HB 1169 is not on the Governor's desk?

Mr. PITTS. It is not.

Mr. CAPPABIANCA. Can the gentleman assure me and the delegation from Erie County that that particular line-item appropriation of \$300,000 will not be blue-lined by the Governor?

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, I cannot speak for the Governor on this or anything else. I have not heard of any intent to blue-line this.

Mr. CAPPABIANCA. I thank the gentleman for his candor.

Mr. Speaker, recently we added – and you can correct me if I am wrong—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

Mr. CAPPABIANCA. Yes, sir.

The SPEAKER. I am advised by the Parliamentarian that the bill has been transmitted to the Governor.

Mr. CAPPABIANCA. Very good.

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, I just learned that right now from the staff.

Mr. CAPPABIANCA. Thank you. I appreciate that.

It still did not answer the question about, will the Governor blue-line or not.

The SPEAKER. My answer is the same as the gentleman, Mr. Pitts'.

Mr. CAPPABIANCA. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, I noticed – and I may be wrong, and you can correct me – that we had one new nonpreferred appropriation, and I believe that was HB 1802 for the Museum of Scientific Discovery for Harrisburg. It is my understanding that, of course, they went through the process of applying for a nonpreferred, and as we just did, we affirmed it.

Is it not true that the Lake Erie College of Osteopathic Medicine also went through the same process and applied for a nonpreferred?

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, the Governor never transmitted that information to the legislature.

Mr. CAPPABIANCA. Is it our understanding then that a nonpreferred must first be transmitted by the administrative office, not by the legislative branch?

Mr. PITTS. Not necessarily.

Mr. CAPPABIANCA. So then if I can understand you then, the fact that the Governor did not transmit to you is the reason why the process failed at that point for a nonpreferred for this particular institution.

Mr. PITTS. We thought you might not have the two-thirds votes on the floor.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the lady, Ms. Manderino, rise?

Ms. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, point of parliamentary inquiry.



The SPEAKER. Will the lady please state it.  
 Ms. MANDERINO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
 Are the gentleman's points in order? We are talking about a particular bill, HB 1811, which does not have to do with the Erie Osteopathic nor the status of HB 1169 on the Governor's desk.

The SPEAKER. The lady is entirely correct, and— Well, I am not going to say any more about it other than—

Mr. CAPPABIANCA. I intend, Mr. Speaker, to vote for HB 1811.

The SPEAKER. Well, then let us just get on with it.

Mr. CAPPABIANCA. I am only addressing a question of fairness, a commitment that was made last session, and the gentleman that you are, a man of his word and I have great respect for, and also the Appropriations chairman, that I thought perhaps there was a breakdown in communication. That is all.

The SPEAKER. The question before the House is, shall the bill pass finally, the bill being HB 1811.

Mr. CAPPABIANCA. I will make a comment on that then.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order to comment on HB 1811.

Mr. CAPPABIANCA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would advise my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to support HB 1811. I believe that the Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine deserves the \$5,222,000. I would remind the House that there is still one institution of medicine in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania that does not receive a nonpreferred, and it is the Lake Erie College of Osteopathic Medicine in Erie, Erie County — the home county, I might mention, of my dear friend, the Governor, Tom Ridge. I would hope that in this fiscal year, the 1995-96 fiscal year, that we would be able to remedy that fact, that we would put this particular institution—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

Mr. CAPPABIANCA. I am asking for support of the bill.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman has been warned, and I am asking him as politely as possible to stay on HB 1811, despite the fact that you are such a close friend of the Governor's.

Mr. CAPPABIANCA. I love him. We are within a mile of each other in Erie, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. But I think you are miles apart in some other areas.

Mr. CAPPABIANCA. Not quite, not quite.

Mr. Speaker, I made my point. It is sufficient to say that I support HB 1811. I would ask the House also to support HB 1811. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Horsey, from the City of Brotherly Love.

Mr. HORSEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would just remind the House, Mr. Speaker, that this particular medical school recruits from every single county in this State except for one — and it is not Philadelphia — every single county in the State except for one, and they use 80 percent of their funds on student tuition.

I would request an affirmative vote on HB 1811.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
 Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—172

Adolph	Donatucci	Lescovitz	Sainato
Allen	Druce	Levdanský	Santoni
Argall	Durham	Lloyd	Sather
Armstrong	Egolf	Maitland	Schroder
Baker	Evans	Major	Schuler
Bard	Fairchild	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barley	Fajt	Markosek	Semmel
Battisto	Fargo	Marsico	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Farmer	Masland	Shaner
Belardi	Feese	Mayermik	Sheehan
Belfanti	Fichter	McCall	Smith, B.
Bishop	Fleagle	McGechan	Smith, S. H.
Boscola	Flick	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Gamble	Melio	Staback
Brown	Gannon	Merry	Stairs
Browne	Geist	Michlovic	Steil
Bunt	George	Micozzie	Stern
Butkovitz	Gigliotti	Miller	Stetler
Buxton	Gladeck	Mundy	Stish
Caltagirone	Godshall	Nailor	Strittmatter
Cappabianca	Gordner	Nickol	Sturla
Carn	Gruitza	Nyce	Tangretti
Cawley	Gruppo	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Habay	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	Tigue
Clark	Hasay	Perzel	Trello
Clymer	Hennessey	Petrone	True
Cohen, L. I.	Herman	Pettit	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Hershey	Phillips	Vance
Colafella	Hess	Piccola	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Horsey	Pistella	Veon
Conti	Hutchinson	Pitts	Vitali
Cornell	Itkin	Ramos	Walko
Corpora	Jadlowiec	Readshaw	Williams
Corrigan	James	Reber	Wogan
Cowell	Josephs	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Coy	Kaiser	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Keller	Rieger	Youngblood
Daley	King	Roberts	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Kirkland	Robinson	Zug
Dent	Kukovich	Rooney	
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rubley	Ryan,
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rudy	Speaker
DiGirolamo	Lederer		

NAYS—23

Birmelin	Jarolin	Pesci	Travaglio
Blaum	Krebs	Platts	Trich
Carone	Leh	Rohrer	Waugh
DeLuca	Lucyk	Saylor	Wozniak
Haluska	Lynch	Steelman	Yewcic
Hanna	Mihalich	Surra	

NOT VOTING—5

Kenney	Petrarca	Raymond	Washington
Lawless			

EXCUSED—3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1812, PN 2173**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-175

Adolph	Druce	Lawless	Rudy
Allen	Durham	Lederer	Sainato
Argall	Egolf	Lescovitz	Santoni
Armstrong	Evans	Levdansky	Sather
Baker	Fairchild	Lloyd	Schroder
Bard	Fajt	Maitland	Schuler
Barley	Fargo	Major	Scrimenti
Battisto	Farmer	Manderino	Semmel
Bebko-Jones	Feese	Markosek	Serafini
Belardi	Fichter	Marsico	Shaner
Belfanti	Fleagle	Masland	Sheehan
Bishop	Flick	Mayernik	Smith, B.
Boscola	Gamble	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Boyes	Gannon	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Brown	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Browne	George	Melio	Steil
Bunt	Gigliotti	Merry	Stern
Butkovitz	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stish
Buxton	Godshall	Micozzie	Strittmatter
Caltagirone	Gordner	Miller	Sturla
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Nailor	Tangretti
Carn	Gruppo	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Habay	Nyce	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Harhart	O'Brien	Tigue
Civera	Hasay	Olasz	Trello
Clark	Hennessey	Oliver	True
Clymer	Herman	Perzel	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Hershey	Petrarca	Vance
Cohen, M.	Hess	Petrone	Van Horne
Colafilella	Horsey	Pettit	Veon
Colaizzo	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vitali
Conti	Itkin	Piccola	Walko
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Washington
Corpora	James	Pitts	Waugh
Corrigan	Jarolin	Ramos	Williams
Cowell	Josephs	Raymond	Wogan
Coy	Kaiser	Readshaw	Wright, D. R.
Curry	Keller	Reber	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Kenney	Reinard	Youngblood
Dempsey	King	Richardson	Zimmerman
Dent	Kirkland	Rieger	Zug
Dermody	Kukovich	Robinson	
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Rooney	Ryan,
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Rubley	Speaker
Donatucci			

NAYS-24

Birmelin	Krebs	Pesci	Stetler
Blaum	Leh	Platts	Surra
Carone	Lucyk	Roberts	Travaglio
DeLuca	Lynch	Rohrer	Trich
Haluska	Mihalich	Saylor	Wozniak
Hanna	Mundy	Steelman	Yewcic

NOT VOTING-1

Staback

EXCUSED-3

Preston                      Roebuck                      Thomas

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1813, PN 2174**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Hahnemann University, Philadelphia.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-174

Adolph	Donatucci	Lawless	Sainato
Allen	Druce	Lederer	Santoni
Argall	Durham	Lescovitz	Sather
Armstrong	Egolf	Levdansky	Schroder
Baker	Evans	Lloyd	Schuler
Bard	Fairchild	Maitland	Scrimenti
Barley	Fajt	Major	Semmel
Battisto	Fargo	Manderino	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Farmer	Markosek	Shaner
Belardi	Feese	Marsico	Sheehan
Belfanti	Fichter	Masland	Smith, B.
Bishop	Fleagle	Mayernik	Smith, S. H.
Boscola	Flick	McCall	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Gamble	McGeehan	Staback
Brown	Gannon	McGill	Stairs
Browne	Geist	Melio	Steil
Bunt	George	Merry	Stern
Butkovitz	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stish
Buxton	Gladeck	Micozzie	Strittmatter
Caltagirone	Godshall	Miller	Sturla
Cappabianca	Gordner	Nailor	Tangretti
Carn	Gruitza	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Gruppo	Nyce	Taylor, J.

Chadwick	Habay	O'Brien	Tigue
Civera	Harhart	Olasz	Trello
Clark	Hasay	Oliver	True
Clymer	Hennessey	Perzel	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Herman	Petrarca	Vance
Cohen, M.	Hershey	Petrone	Van Horne
Colaifella	Hess	Pettit	Veon
Colaizzo	Horsey	Phillips	Vitali
Conti	Hutchinson	Piccola	Walko
Cornell	Itkin	Pistella	Walko
Corpora	Jadlowiec	Pitts	Washington
Corrigan	James	Ramos	Waugh
Cowell	Jarolin	Raymond	Williams
Coy	Josephs	Readshaw	Wogan
Curry	Kaiser	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Keller	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kenney	Rieger	Youngblood
Dent	King	Robinson	Zimmerman
Dermody	Kukovich	Rooney	Zug
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Rubley	Ryan,
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Rudy	Speaker

NAYS-25

Birmelin	Krebs	Pesci	Stetler
Blaum	Leh	Platts	Surra
Carone	Lucyk	Roberts	Travaglio
DeLuca	Lynch	Rohrer	Trich
Haluska	Mihalich	Saylor	Wozniak
Hanna	Mundy	Steelman	Yewcic
Kirkland			

NOT VOTING-1

Richardson

EXCUSED-3

Preston                      Roebuck                      Thomas

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1814, PN 2175**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Trustees of the Berean Training and Industrial School at Philadelphia.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-166

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lederer	Rudy
Allen	Donatucci	Lescovitz	Sainato
Argall	Druce	Levdansky	Santoni
Armstrong	Durham	Lloyd	Sather
Baker	Evans	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fairchild	Major	Schuler
Barley	Fargo	Manderino	Scrimenti
Battisto	Farmer	Markosek	Semmel
Bebko-Jones	Feesc	Marsico	Serafini
Belardi	Fichter	Mayernik	Shaner
Belfanti	Fleagle	McCall	Sheehan
Bishop	Flick	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Boscola	Gamble	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Boyes	Gannon	Melio	Snyder, D. W.
Brown	Geist	Merry	Staback
Browne	George	Michlovic	Stairs
Bunt	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Steil
Butkovitz	Gladeck	Miller	Stern
Buxton	Godshall	Nailor	Stish
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Nickol	Strittmatter
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Nyce	Tangretti
Carn	Habay	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Harhart	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Hasay	Oliver	Trello
Civera	Hennessey	Perzel	True
Clark	Herman	Petrarca	Tulli
Clymer	Hershey	Petrone	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Hess	Pettit	Van Horne
Cohen, M.	Horsey	Phillips	Veon
Colaifella	Hutchinson	Piccola	Vitali
Colaizzo	Itkin	Pistella	Walko
Conti	Jadlowiec	Pitts	Walko
Cornell	James	Ramos	Washington
Corrigan	Josephs	Raymond	Williams
Cowell	Kaiser	Readshaw	Wogan
Coy	Keller	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Curry	Kenney	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Daley	King	Richardson	Youngblood
Dempsey	Kirkland	Rieger	Zimmerman
Dent	Kukovich	Robinson	Zug
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rooney	Ryan,
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rubley	Speaker

NAYS-34

Birmelin	Hanna	Mundy	Sturla
Blaum	Jarolin	Pesci	Surra
Carone	Krebs	Platts	Tigue
Corpora	Lawless	Roberts	Travaglio
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Trich
Egolf	Lucyk	Saylor	Waugh
Fajt	Lynch	Steelman	Wozniak
Gordner	Masland	Stetler	Yewcic
Haluska	Mihalich		

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Preston                      Roebuck                      Thomas

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**RULES SUSPENDED**

The SPEAKER. Prior to the taking of HB 1815, the Chair agreed to recognize the gentleman, Mr. Lawless.

Mr. LAWLESS. Mr. Speaker, at this time I would like to make a motion for the House to suspend the rules so that I may offer a resolution.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. This is debatable only by the floor leaders. The gentleman, Mr. Perzel, waives his right to debate in favor of the gentleman, Mr. Lawless, who may now proceed in connection with his motion to suspend.

It is my understanding, Mr. Lawless, that this is in connection with HR 181, PN 2237, which is part of House calendar supplemental C.

Mr. LAWLESS. That is correct, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Which should be on the desks of the members. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. LAWLESS. Mr. Speaker, as many of the House members are aware, over the last few months I have questioned different institutions of higher education here in the Commonwealth and the way in which we fund them. I have agreed with a number of members, if in fact this resolution passes and a select committee is set up with three Republicans and two Democrat members, to further investigate the funds that are being spent by our higher institutions, the funds that are appropriated by this Commonwealth. It is my hope that if in fact we pass this resolution, at that point I will withdraw my amendments on the remainder of the bills, and we will move forward with the committee.

The SPEAKER. On the question before the House, which deals with the suspension of rules, the Chair recognizes the Democratic floor leader, the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese. This is not debatable except by the floor leaders. The gentleman, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, may I ask for the House to be at ease for just about 30 seconds?

The SPEAKER. The House will be at ease.

Mr. DeWEESE. Thank you, sir.

Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield for a moment.

Mr. DeWEESE. Yes, sir.

**GUESTS INTRODUCED**

The SPEAKER. Mr. DeWeese, before recognizing you again, I want to preempt your time for a moment to introduce to the members of the House Pennsylvania's Miss T.E.E.N. of 1994, Amanda Fredmonski. She, Kathy Boyle, Ginny Fredmonski, and Maria Augelli are here today as the guests of Frank Serafini, and we are very pleased to have you here. If the young lady would just walk over here and wave to all of us, it will brighten all of our days. Far more pleasant sight than what I am doing now.

**SUSPENSION OF RULES CONTINUED**

The SPEAKER. Mr. DeWeese, you are recognized.

Mr. DeWEESE. Respectfully, Mr. Speaker, I would like to argue against the gentleman, Mr. Lawless' motion to suspend the rules.

My first point would be, what is there in the ether that would make us pay more attention to the work of the gentleman and his committee than our chamber has paid to the work of Mr. Robinson and his efforts? If there is something drastically different now about the attitude of the chamber vis-a-vis the attitude of the chamber when Mr. Robinson and his team explored a very similar subject matter, then I could see a suspension of the rules to help Mr. Lawless advance his objective. But I see no difference. Mr. Robinson's effort was given short shrift by many in this chamber, and I would assume that Mr. Lawless' effort would also.

The second point. Unlike many of my brothers and sisters in the GOP, I am really against Big Brother coming into the Philadelphia city government and telling them what they can do with their police department, and I am really against the gentleman from Montgomery and his committee going into our universities and specifically allowing us to tell them about teaching loads, about research, about tuition discounts for faculty. If one university has a perspective on teaching discounts for their faculty's children and another university has a slightly different perspective, I thought that was what you were all about; I thought that was what the party of the gentleman who is sponsoring the resolution stood for — allowing people to make up their own minds and institutions at a smaller level to become engaged. I think it is arrogant of us, ostensibly big government in the big State Capitol, to tell these schools how to behave and how not to behave, and if we are going to do that, if we are going to do that, it is not for this gentleman, it is for the chairman of the committee; it is for the chairman of the committee, Mr. Stairs; the chairman of the Education Committee and the men and women who serve on that committee. The gentleman is trying to debilitate if not eviscerate the Education Committee, and I stand here as an advocate for Mr. Stairs, Mr. Cowell, and the men and women who serve on that committee.

I think this is fundamentally a waste of time. It is an absurd intercession into the internal operations of our State university system, and I would oppose the gentleman's motion for suspension of the rules.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the gentleman, Mr. Lawless.

Mr. LAWLESS. Mr. DeWeese, I would not expect anything else from you.

Mr. DeWEESE. What does that mean, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. LAWLESS. I believe I have the floor.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, was not recognized.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, point of parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. LAWLESS. I believe I have the floor, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Lawless, has the floor. You will have your chance.

Mr. LAWLESS. Mr. DeWeese says arrogance? I believe that we are responsible to the taxpayers of Pennsylvania. We are responsible to know exactly where our funds that we appropriate go to these universities.

Do we need to know the detail? No. But do we need to know where such as Penn State University spends \$27 million in travel a year just for professors and their staff? No JoPa's football team; just professors and staff. Do we need to know that there are

approximately 2,500 students who attend Penn State University for approximately \$7,603,000 of taxpayer dollars, free, that we give them, while our constituents cannot get in these schools; the classes are full. Twenty-five hundred; that is a university in itself.

I urge you to support the motion.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Mihalich, there is nothing before the House but the motion to suspend, which is debatable at this time only by the gentleman, Mr. Lawless, who has the permission of Mr. Perzel.

Mr. MIHALICH. A point of parliamentary procedure could have been made properly at that time when I stood because the debate at that time was ranging far afield. It was not on the suspension of rules, and I would like to bring that to the attention of the Speaker. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, I have no idea what the gentleman from Montgomery was referring to when he made his initial verbal ejaculation.

Mr. LAWLESS. Do you need me to explain that?

Mr. DeWEESE. I do know that the gentleman seems intent upon micromanagement. I do know that as the gentleman laments the lack of control over, ostensible lack of control over taxpayer dollars at Penn State, he did not lament, he did not lament the lack of control that the city government in Philadelphia was experiencing when the long arm of the State decided what we should and should not do with police pension money that the State had no business interceding in. So the gentleman is quixotic in his perspective, but I will say it now. That should not surprise anyone here. Quixote is probably in his pantheon of heroes.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Mihalich, do you desire recognition?

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, I would call for a motion to defeat the gentleman, Mr. Lawless' effort to suspend the rules. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-107

Adolph	Farmer	Lynch	Schroder
Allen	Feese	Maitland	Schuler
Argall	Fichter	Major	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Marsico	Serafini
Baker	Flick	Masland	Sheehan
Bard	Gannon	Mayernik	Smith, B.
Barley	Geist	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gigliotti	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Brown	Gladeck	Merry	Steil
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stern
Bunt	Gruppo	Miller	Stish
Butkowitz	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Chadwick	Haluska	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Harhart	Nyce	Taylor, J.
Clark	Hasay	O'Brien	Tigue
Clymer	Hennessey	Perzel	True
Conti	Hershey	Pettit	Tuffi
Cornell	Hess	Phillips	Vance
DeLuca	Hutchinson	Piccola	Waugh
Dempsey	Jadlowiec	Pitts	Wogan
Dent	Jarolin	Raymond	Wozniak
DiGirolamo	Keller	Reber	Wright, M. N.
Donatucci	Kenney	Reinard	Zimmerman

Druce	King	Rohrer	Zug
Durham	Lawless	Rubley	
Egolf	Lederer	Sather	Ryan,
Fairchild	Leh	Saylor	Speaker
Fargo			

NAYS-92

Battisto	Dermody	Lucyk	Sainato
Bebko-Jones	DeWeese	Manderino	Santoni
Belfanti	Evans	Markosek	Scrimenti
Bishop	Fajt	McCail	Shaner
Blaum	Gamble	Melio	Staback
Boscola	George	Michlovic	Stairs
Boyes	Gordner	Mihalich	Steelman
Buxton	Gruitza	Mundy	Steller
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Sturla
Cappabianca	Herman	Oliver	Surra
Carn	Horsey	Pesci	Tangretti
Carone	Itkin	Petrarca	Travaglio
Cawley	James	Petrone	Trello
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Pistella	Trich
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Platts	Van Horne
Colafrella	Kirkland	Ramos	Veon
Colaizzo	Krebs	Readshaw	Vitali
Corpora	Kukovich	Richardson	Walko
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Rieger	Washington
Cowell	Laughlin	Roberts	Williams
Coy	Lescovitz	Robinson	Wright, D. R.
Curry	Levdansky	Rooney	Yewcic
Daley	Lloyd	Rudy	Youngblood

NOT VOTING-1

Belardi

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

A majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR C

RESOLUTION

Mr. LAWLESS called up **HR 181, PN 2237**, entitled:

A Resolution directing a select committee of the House of Representatives to review issues related to the Commonwealth's institutions of higher education.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the gentleman, Mr. Lawless, is recognized.

This, of course, is open to debate.

Mr. LAWLESS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Earlier today the members should have received on their desks a printout of the expenses of a number of the nonpreferred universities that we provide appropriations to. It is my position—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

It is the understanding of the Chair that there are amendments to be offered. Is that correct?

Mr. DRUCE. We have amendments on our desks that would seem to be in order before final passage of the resolution.

The SPEAKER. Whoever is offering amendments, kindly ask for recognition.

The gentleman, Mr. Stairs, is recognized.

Mr. STAIRS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I offer an amendment to this resolution.

The SPEAKER. Would the gentleman identify the number of his amendment.

Mr. STAIRS. A4148.

The SPEAKER. The question before the House is the amendment offered by the gentleman, Mr. Stairs, to HR 181, PN 2237. The clerk will read the amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

Mr. STAIRS offered the following amendment No. A4148:

Amend Title, page 1, line 1, by striking out "a select committee" and inserting

the Education Committee

Amend First Resolve Clause, page 1, lines 17 and 18; page 2, lines 1 and 2, by striking out all of said lines on said pages

Amend Second Resolve Clause, page 2, line 3, by striking out "select committee" and inserting

Education Committee

Amend Third Resolve Clause, page 2, line 17, by striking out "select committee" and inserting

Education Committee

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Stairs.

Mr. STAIRS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The amendment that I am offering should have been circulated and on your desks.

It is a very straightforward amendment and very easy to explain. It simply strikes out the words "select committee" and inserts "the Education Committee." I am doing this because in the precedent in the past, we have always had a committee in that particular area, in that particular expertise, study a resolution or study a topic that is going to be looked at very closely instead of having a select committee.

So I offer to the House the Education Committee to undertake a study of a very complex issue that Mr. Lawless certainly has addressed as well as many other people have addressed. I assure you that the Education Committee may not have been a part of some previous activity – for example, what happened last week; we did not have a chance to look at that legislation – but we will give it a very close and a comprehensive look. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman, Mr. Stairs.

On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I, too, would rise to support the Stairs amendment.

The resolution as it is currently written would create a new select committee, and many members of this House on both sides in recent years have argued against the unnecessary creation—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

### PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman, Mr. Zug, rise?

Mr. ZUG. Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. ZUG. Do we need to suspend the rules?

The SPEAKER. I am sorry? The microphone is not working.

Mr. ZUG. Do we need to suspend the rules to consider the amendment?

The SPEAKER. No. The practice that we have followed is, once we have suspended the rules to allow for the immediate consideration of the underlying bill or, in this case, resolution, we have effectively suspended the rule for the offering of amendments to it. So my ruling would be that it is not necessary to suspend the rules for the amendments; that they in effect were suspended with the first suspension.

The gentleman, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, members on both sides of the aisle in recent years have argued that when we have some special assignment to be pursued, we need not create a special committee when there is another existing committee that would naturally have jurisdiction in that area. Special committees, select committees, whatever we care to call them, cost additional money and they also undermine the work of the standing committees.

In this particular instance, there are some clear directions given to the committee that would be established or assigned this particular task. It is pretty hard to duck these particular issues. There are specific subjects that are identified, and the committee is charged with the task of reporting back by a date certain on these particular subjects. Rather than have a new committee with only five members on it, it makes a lot more sense, in my opinion, to support the Stairs amendment, where a standing committee that works on these issues on a regular basis with 24 members, much greater participation by members of this House, will have this particular assignment.

I would urge that we approve the Stairs amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Corrigan.

Mr. CORRIGAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I also rise to support the Stairs amendment and echo the comments by the gentlemen, Mr. Cowell and Mr. Stairs. The Education Committee is certainly more reflective of the body than a committee of five, and I feel that that is the way to go with this matter. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the lady from Montgomery, Mrs. Cohen.

Mrs. COHEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to support the Stairs amendment.

What concerns me is the intention of the resolution itself as a special committee. To echo Representative DeWeese's statement, I believe this is micromanaging. My concern is that the first thing that a totalitarian government does is to interfere with the liberty of academics. I believe that the attempt is an unwarranted State

intrusion into a private university and even into State-related universities.

I think that the best way to illustrate how out-of-classroom research works is an article that was in the New York Times on June 15. It is titled "They Teach and Do." There were 10,000 students as well as faculty involved in the discovery of the top quark. I am sure you all know what the top quark is, and I think that this is exceedingly significant to show how students working "For a decade, night and weekends," the article says, "via plane flights, computer links and tele-conferencing..." discovered the top quark. "No tuition was used for" this "research. 'Eighty percent of the equipment used by undergraduate science students was paid for by research grants.'" The article goes on to say, "No hard evidence exists to support the accusations that research harms teaching." The fact is that 10,000 students worked days and nights, weekends. Their workweek, along with their professors, averaged more than 60 hours. "In the decade leading up to the top-quark discovery, hundreds of undergraduates worked on the project part time or during summers...." nights, weekends. "These are" all "apprenticeships, and nobody," they all said, "has invented a better method of education." They go on to say, "The best way to learn science is to spend time with scientists doing science."

Mr. LAWLESS. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. LAWLESS. Mr. Speaker, I would ask the lady to speak on the issue at hand.

The SPEAKER. The Chair apologizes to the gentleman. I was not paying close attention, but I am sure the lady will speak on the question of the Stairs amendment.

Mrs. COHEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I have finished except to say that I support the Stairs amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Carone.

Ms. CARONE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to support the Stairs amendment.

If we are going to create select committees for every issue that each of us arrives at that believes that needs to have a study, we are going to have many select committees created and we are going to have to judge which member has more information to determine if we should have our own select committee. There is a Subcommittee on Higher Education in the Education Committee which might be directed to address this issue.

I would like to say to you that for 2 years I served on a select committee on land use. We could introduce bills as individual members but we could not pass bills on to the House floor. Select committees do not have that power here. So if this select committee did not have the Education Committee instead as the source of investigation and if we found we needed legislation to be pursued, it would still have to go before one of our standing committees. Then the research and the work would have to go over again.

I argue that for efficiency, for using the process that is in our rules, that we vote to support the Stairs amendment and put the Education Committee to work. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The gentleman, Mr. Geist.

Mr. GEIST. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of the Stairs amendment.

It is a level-playing-field amendment, it is a good amendment, and I think it does the job. I would urge a "yes" vote on it. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Coy.

Mr. COY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I, too, rise to support the Stairs amendment.

While I have serious reservations and questions about the need for the entire subject of the resolution, I think if these areas are going to be looked into, areas like teaching loads, honoraria, tuition, and fee discounts, I think they are better looked at by folks who spend the majority of their time on education matters, and I have found most of the members of the Education Committee do just that. Having served five terms on the Education Committee, I know that its chair on both sides of the aisle and many of the members feel strongly and take the time to fully examine issues like this rather than simply lining up to throw stones.

I would also remind folks who are committee chairs on both sides of the aisle that this is the exact premise that many committee chairs have often expressed to me and to others when they are concerned about the responsibilities of the committee being taken away and given to select committees.

I do think, Mr. Speaker, that committee chairs and members of committees develop a certain expertise in the specific areas of substantive discussion that they encounter and that they work on. This is a precise example of why the Education Committee, whose members have at least some degree of knowledge about this subject, should be discussing it and not let folks who obviously have a preconceived agenda, have already had their minds made up about these subjects, have already reached conclusions about these subjects, have already distributed those conclusions in wide array to the members of this House, why those folks should not be in charge of an investigation but why, if anybody is going to investigate, it ought to be folks who have a genuine concern about education, a genuine concern about public and private higher education in the Commonwealth, and more than anything, people whose concern about education is not driven by personal gain.

So, Mr. Speaker, I would urge the acceptance of this amendment. If these are areas that need to be worked on and looked at, then I invite the membership to have the brightest and best among us and the people who look at education on a daily and regular basis develop the responses, the answers, at the hearings on the substance of the issue. They seem to be appropriate in my mind.

I would urge the members of the House to support this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

## GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair at this time is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House a group of visitors from Connellsville, here today as the guests of the gentleman, Mr. Shaner, from Fayette. The guests, I understand, are in the balcony. Would they please rise or wave or acknowledge that— There they are.

## CONSIDERATION OF HR 181 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mercer, Dr. King.

Mr. KING. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As I have stood here and waited my turn to speak on this particular issue, I have heard time after time people get up and talk to the issue as though the sponsor of the initial resolution had a closed mind to this issue. However, the gentleman who spoke prior to my speaking at this time proved the point of a closed mind. If you look at those gentlemen who have chaired the Education Committee, we think in terms of the opposite side. The chair of that committee forced OBE (outcome-based education) on all of our school districts in the last session. Was that an open mind? No.

And just this last week we had an issue in front of this House that created a little bit of debate, and was that committee open to that issue? No. So what we have here is nothing more than a pigeonholing attempt for this committee to take Representative Lawless out of this formula of oversight, a gentleman — a gentleman — who has put in front of all of our desks documentation, documentation, hard fact.

Refute it, if you will, but he asked you to make up your mind as to whether or not the policies that were ongoing in our universities, who come to us on an annual basis in the red, in the red, over hundreds of millions of dollars, and turn around and spend it on the issues that we are talking about.

Now, you can go ahead and you can put this into the Education Committee, and I guarantee you nothing will happen to it.

I ask you for a “no” vote on this particular amendment and ask you to support the Lawless resolution.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Sturla.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the Stairs amendment.

Several months ago there was HR 37 which was before this House, and it started out as a resolution that would have established a select committee to investigate a certain portion of the educational process, and that resolution was changed to become a committee within the Education Committee. I believe that the gentleman who heads that committee will attest to the fact that the Education Committee, regardless of who voted for it or against it, that the Education Committee has conducted those meetings — as a matter of fact, some of those meetings have lasted upwards of 8 hours or so — and that there has been a full investigation and that members have been able to come and, if they wanted to, ask questions even if they were not on that committee.

My point being, if Mr. Lawless would like to sit in on the Education Committee meetings, I feel sure that the chairman of the committee, Mr. Stairs, would allow him to sit in on those meetings and participate.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

This place is usually a mutual admiration society. Let us stop admiring one another and let us get down to business and get to this.

Mr. STURLA. The point that I am trying to make here, Mr. Speaker, is that what we have found out on the committee dealing with HR 37 is that there are a lot of ancillary issues that are related to that that apply to other things that the Education Committee is looking at, and I would assume that the same would be true if the Education Committee were allowed to do the investigation that Representative Lawless would like us to do. So it is appropriate for it to be in the Education Committee so that all of the Education Committee does not have to show up in addition to those five members in order to find out what else it is that we might find out of this.

So I rise in support of the Stairs amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Crawford, Mr. Merry.

Mr. MERRY. Mr. Speaker, I rise to speak against the Stairs amendment.

Now, I admire what he proposes to do. It is only logical to think that the Education Committee is the exact spot to look at these issues. But, Mr. Speaker, that Education Committee has had years and years and years to address these issues, and they have done nothing.

I suggest that Representative Lawless’ resolution is good the way it is, and I support his resolution, and I urge you to reject the Stairs amendment, because that committee is nothing but full of special interests, and you know what kind of an answer you are going to get out of it — the same answer you have had—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

That is an inappropriate comment. I know it was not meant the way it came across. The gentleman, be careful.

You may continue.

Mr. MERRY. If my remark was taken wrongly, I apologize for it. I did not intend for it to be derogatory, but you do have to know that when you do have specialists in these committees, they have a tendency to look after their own interests, and I just think that the Lawless resolution should be let go by itself. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Perzel.

Mr. PERZEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, a couple points were brought up. One of the points brought up was the cost, and we on the Republican side of the aisle have enough money left in our coffers that if there is any extra cost, we will use our existing staff, but we would be glad to pick up the cost if there is any extra. That is point number one, Mr. Speaker.

Point number two, Representative Stairs and Representative Cowell have done a fine job with the Education Committee. The committee has done an awful lot of hard work, but they have an awful lot of hard work ahead of them, because just Friday we got assurances that they were going to work on schools of choice and the Governor’s KIDS (Keystone Initiative for a Difference in our Schools) Initiative all summer long.

So with that, I think we ought to take a third party. We ought to have some independents take a look at this, a group like Representative Lawless is suggesting. I do not think he is wrong when he talks about hours, work, sabbaticals, travel, tuition breaks for faculty employees. I think they are things we honestly ought to take a look at, and I think this is the perfect vehicle to do it with, Mr. Speaker. So therefore, I would be against the Stairs amendment. Thank you.

### MOTION TO TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Daley.

Mr. DALEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of the Stairs amendment.

I find it absolutely appalling that one of the speakers said that the Education Committee would approach this issue in a closeminded way. I served on the Education Committee for 12 years, and I can say on both sides of the aisle, both Republicans and Democrat members have never been closeminded on any education issues, number one.



Number two is, the comment was made that the prime sponsor of this resolution was out of the loop, so to speak, and if he is not a member of the Education Committee, I think he has provided the input and he should be out of the loop. Give us the input on that committee and let the committee do its job.

And number three, if the majority leader wants to put money in this resolution, then what we simply ought to do is table this resolution until an amendment can be drafted that shows where this money should come from.

So I move that we table this resolution and the amendment, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Daley, has moved to table the resolution with amendment.

That is the question before the House, the motion by the gentleman, Mr. Daley.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-60

Bebko-Jones	Evans	Markosek	Rooney
Belardi	Fajt	Meljo	Rudy
Belfanti	Gamble	Michlovic	Scrimenti
Blaum	Gordner	Mihalich	Shaner
Cohen, M.	Gruitza	Mundy	Staback
Colafrella	Hanna	Oliver	Steelman
Colaizzo	Horsley	Pesci	Surra
Corpora	Itkin	Petrarca	Tangretti
Corrigan	James	Pistella	Travaglio
Cowell	Josephs	Platts	Trich
Coy	Kukovich	Ramos	Veon
Curry	Laughlin	Readshaw	Walko
Daley	Lescovitz	Richardson	Washington
Dermody	Lloyd	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Manderino	Robinson	Youngblood

NAYS-138

Adolph	Fairchild	Lvdansky	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Lucyk	Schuler
Argall	Farmer	Lynch	Semmel
Armstrong	Fcese	Maitland	Serafini
Baker	Fichter	Major	Sheehan
Bard	Fleagle	Marsico	Smith, B.
Barley	Flick	Masland	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Gannon	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Geist	McCall	Stairs
Boscola	George	McGeehan	Steil
Boyes	Gigliotti	McGill	Stern
Brown	Gladeck	Merry	Stetler
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stish
Bunt	Gruppo	Miller	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Habay	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Haluska	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Harhart	Nyce	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Hasay	O'Brien	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Olasz	Trello
Cawley	Herman	Perzel	True
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrone	Tulli
Civera	Hess	Pettit	Vance
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Van Home
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Piccola	Vitali
Cohen, L. I.	Jarolin	Pitts	Waugh
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Williams
Cornell	Keller	Reber	Wogan

DeLuca	Kenney	Reinard	Wozniak
Dempsey	King	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dent	Kirkland	Rohrer	Yewcic
DiGirolamo	Krebs	Rubley	Zimmerman
Donatucci	LaGrotta	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Lawless	Santoni	
Durham	Lederer	Sather	Ryan,
Egolf	Leh	Saylor	Speaker

NOT VOTING-2

Bishop	Carn
--------	------

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment offered by the gentleman, Mr. Stairs, the Chair is not aware, with one exception, of anybody else who wishes to debate it. Is that accurate or inaccurate?

Mr. ARMSTRONG. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Armstrong.

Mr. ARMSTRONG. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would rise also in opposition to the Stairs amendment. I think our majority leader put it well.

I would also like to state that from time to time as rank-and-file members sometimes we feel that we are left out of the process sometimes. I think Representative Lawless has done a wonderful job in pulling some of these numbers together, and I believe that he should have the opportunity to head this committee and to provide further insight as to what we need to do and how to handle this whole process.

So I stand in opposition to the amendment and in support of the resolution. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Gannon.

Mr. GANNON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to oppose the Stairs amendment.

Mr. Speaker, this is a very important project and could involve some very sensitive and serious issues. The Education Committee would be the wrong place to put this project. They now have, as of June 1, about 98 other pieces of legislation in that committee dealing with education. Some of those deal with the very same institutions that may be examined as part of this inquiry.

I believe that this inquiry should be done by an independent body, independent of any other committee in the House, so that they can do a thorough and efficient job and get those results back to us, to the legislature, as set forth in the original resolution. I think it is very important that this examination, this inquiry, stay focused and not be distracted by other issues.

Therefore, I urge a "no" vote on the Stairs amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Herman, is recognized from Centre County.

Mr. HERMAN. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the Stairs amendment should be adopted.

For sure this matter is not about personalities or people or which person should get on the committee and do the investigation or who does not. Rather, it is about the whole process of lawmaking and the legislative process.

We already have an Education Committee which is statutorily responsible for investigating all matters of legislation, including bills and resolutions, affecting education, and there is absolutely no doubt that this is an education matter that should be referred to and pursued by the Education Committee on its own. And why is that? Because those persons on the Education Committee who have dealt with these issues this session and for many sessions over the past number of years have come to realize that we have multiple fragmented, yet very versatile, and yet varied missions of all the higher education institutions across the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and members of the Education Committee who have dealt with similar issues and other issues like this have come to understand the varied missions of those universities. Whether they be State-related, State-owned, or State-aided, still each of them serves a singular purpose that serves the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and its taxpayers.

And because of that expertise and because of all that has been said previously, the Stairs amendment should be adopted. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the gentleman, Mr. Pistella.

Mr. PISTELLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I recall back in 1986 as a member of the legislature, I participated in a discussion and debate over a resolution that was offered at that time to establish a select committee on long-term care. At that time, Mr. Speaker, you were serving as the majority leader or — I apologize — the minority leader at the time.

The SPEAKER. No need to apologize. I felt bad enough.

Mr. PISTELLA. And if my memory serves me correctly, that resolution was being offered by Mr. Irvis. I clearly recall you taking the microphone and I very clearly recall you standing before the General Assembly and suggesting very strongly that you thought that it was important that certain jobs and responsibilities could be undertaken by the standing committees of the House of Representatives. You made it a point that it was an obligation we had to save our taxpayers' dollars, that we should not spend the money frivolously, that we possess the intellectual ability, that we had a very strong staff structure, that our staff was very hardworking and capable, and that we should in fact do that job in-house under the aegis of the then existing Aging and Youth Committee.

Using that very same argument, Mr. Speaker, I would encourage the members today to adopt the Stairs amendment, because we have a very capable, hardworking staff. I think it may be a frivolous expenditure of the taxpayers' dollars, and I think it would be a wise choice for us to use the resources we have here to investigate this matter.

With the same spirit that Mr. Ryan used to speak before the General Assembly in the past, I would ask for the adoption of the Stairs amendment today. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Gladeck.

Mr. GLADECK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the Stairs amendment.

I think that Representative Lawless has put an awful lot of time and effort into the questions that he has brought up regarding the appropriations to the various universities across the Commonwealth, and I think that he deserves to be a part of any investigation of the pros and cons of the issue that he has broached. Because of the content of this amendment, he would be precluded from doing that, and I do not think that that is fair.

So on that basis I think he has brought up some good points, and I think they ought to be investigated. What the outcome would be, I do not believe anybody knows, but I think he should be a part of that investigation, and on that basis I would urge my colleagues to oppose the Stairs amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Quickly, Mr. Speaker, I would announce that the perspectives of the gentleman, Mr. Herman, are congruent with my own points of view. They were buttressed once again by the gentleman from Bloomfield, Mr. Pistella.

Over and over and over again during the two decades I have been privileged to be here on the blue carpet under the golden chandeliers, the distinguished Speaker from Delaware County has gone to the podium, because quite frankly, the day I walked in this chamber the gentleman from Delaware County was a preeminent player in the process, the Republican whip at the time. Again and again and again, no matter who was in charge of this process, the gentleman from Delaware County, our distinguished Speaker, as Mr. Pistella pointed out, argued forcefully, cogently, rationally for our committee system.

As I take my seat, Mr. Speaker, I am arguing for our institution. I think that institutionally speaking the House of Representatives needs to focus on our chairpersons, on our staff, both Republican and Democrat, and the membership of those committees.

To helter-skelter continue to develop select committees I think is a waste — is a waste — of our resources. I think that for a party who talks about fiscal conservatism, who talks about keeping Big Brother out of their day-to-day endeavors, it would be terrible for us to vote to disallow if not emasculate the Education Committee from doing its job.

If we do not sustain the effort of Mr. Stairs, we may as well change the name of the Education Committee. We may as well call it the Retirement Committee or possibly the Early Retirement Committee or maybe the Permanently Retired Committee. If we are going to keep mammoth, mammoth issues that deal with our educational structure in our Commonwealth apart from that committee, why have the committee, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. For the sake of historical accuracy, Mr. DeWeese, the carpet you are standing on under the golden chandeliers is not blue; it is red. You did say blue.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Richardson.

I thought I would get a better laugh than that, too.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, I wanted to probably sit down and not say anything, but I felt that I was compelled to say just a few words on this issue.

When I was a young, zealous legislator coming to this House of Representatives, you had served in many capacities on the minority and the majority side, and I remember I had a number of bills that I introduced that called for a select committee to investigate various things from time to time.

I was told repeatedly by you that it would be in the best interests of this House not to support those particular

select committees because we already had standing committees in this House of Representatives.

It is just ironic that today all of a sudden now the change has come. The tide has changed because there is another interest in the concern that makes it personal. So because there is the personalizing of this issue, now it becomes a little different.

I did not sit on the committee that I was trying to get a select committee for because I wanted to make sure that someone heard my voice, and I brought information just as the gentleman has brought forth here today about some of the concerns — then it was Byberry — and the fact that there were a number of issues that were taking place then at that time that we felt needed to be investigated, but I was told that it had to be done by the Health and Welfare Committee. I am just amazed that this House would change its mind today unprecedentedly, setting a new precedent, so that now we do not need a committee structure.

We went through this the first day of session when we were not even allowed to hold public hearings with the committee that was dealing with HB 2, but now all of a sudden we play the game as it relates only to those who are in charge and they use it as an opportunity only to get over and then confuse the masses of our people by making you think or appear as though we are doing something altogether righteous when in fact this is not.

I support the gentleman's amendment. I do not support this move to all of a sudden now change in the middle of midstream trying to call for an investigation of this university when in fact the Education Committee, whose responsibility it is, should be allowed to do whatever is necessary in order to bring back a full report to this committee.

And, Mr. Speaker, I just do not want this day to go by without making you and others remember that you chastised us over and over and over again about calling for select committees — that was not the proper order of the day, that was not the proper mood of this House of Representatives to follow, and that we should use the committee structure because of their capable able-bodiedness to be able to handle such legislation.

Mr. Speaker, it is a basic contradiction. In fact, it goes counterrevolutionary to anything that this House of Representatives has in fact done if we in fact accept the move by Representative Lawless today not to accept the Stairs amendment so that there will be a clear understanding that our responsibility is to make sure that people do stand up and are heard but it should be done through the committee process.

### PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Pettit.

Mr. PETTIT. Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. PETTIT. Did not the General Assembly in the last session pass the Higher Education Fiscal Information Disclosure Act?

The SPEAKER. That is not a proper subject of parliamentary inquiry, and in addition to that, I do not know.

I mean, I just do not remember. I would ask Mr. Stairs or Mr. Cowell perhaps. They might remember, one or the other of them. I just do not happen to remember that.

Mr. PETTIT. May I address that question then—

The SPEAKER. I have addressed it generally if somebody wants to— I do not want to take up the time on the floor by

questioning 200 members as to whether that particular act ever passed. If somebody knows the answer and would come back and see you, I think that would be better.

Mr. PETTIT. If I may make one more comment?

The SPEAKER. I am told that it did not pass as a freestanding act. Some of the language passed in some of the nonpreferred bills.

Mr. PETTIT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Serafini.

Mr. SERAFINI. Yes, Mr. Speaker, briefly.

This select committee that was recommended by Representative Lawless would have recommendations coming from the majority leader choosing three and the minority leader choosing two. There is no reason that I could believe possible to eliminate members of the Education Committee from that select committee and still allow Representative Lawless to take part.

He has done an extremely great amount of work in this area and has the desire and expertise to investigate this situation in a manner that many people may not understand. He has researched the topic and taken it upon himself to bring to the public what he believes to be concerns in the area of higher education.

I think it would be a disservice to this House and to Mr. Lawless not to allow him the opportunity to participate in this committee system. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Stairs, for the second time.

Mr. STAIRS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I certainly after the last remarks would like to say this, but I had planned to say it anyway, that we would welcome participation by Representative Lawless. We understand he has put a lot of time into this and has raised a lot of poignant questions, so certainly there will be no attempt by the Education Committee to ignore him or to cast aside his hard work. So we would certainly encourage him to participate in these hearings as we look at the higher education, the public higher education in Pennsylvania.

I realize that we are looking at a resolution today, to suspend the rules to look at a resolution, which we have already done, but you know, I had asked Mr. Lawless why did he not do this in the Appropriations Committee which he sits on.

But I would like to really say that our committee, the Education Committee, as well as other committees in the House and in the Senate have specialized and have devoted a lot of time and energy into studying and preparing legislation and member information on particular subjects in their field, and I would certainly feel that our committee is very qualified with not only fine members but an excellent staff, and I am sure we could give it a very thorough and fair look-see and I am sure that we would be able to report back to the membership of the House and to give them the answers that are being asked not only by Representative Lawless but other members, too.

So I look forward to this opportunity and appreciate your support.

The SPEAKER. The lady from Indiana, Ms. Steelman.

She waives off. Thank you, Ms. Steelman.

On the question before the House, the gentleman, Mr. Lawless.

Mr. LAWLESS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, there have only been three education bills before the House, the entire House, and 25 percent of this session is over. Those bills are HB's 8, 38, and 20 — none of which have been put into law.

Mr. Speaker, for months I have been providing members of this House with data, and our Education Committee has not responded publicly nor to me individually. The Education Committee task force which would address this issue if the Stairs amendment is approved would be akin to Paul Clymer okaying casino in his district.

Mr. Speaker, as the 28th President of the United States, Woodrow Wilson, said, "I would rather lose in a cause that will someday win than win in a cause that will someday lose." Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Jarolin.

Mr. JAROLIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, could you please inform me a little bit more about the way you are saying "what a deal here." I am looking at something that says "Travel cost, \$26,366,169." Were the sabbaticals included in that figure?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Lawless, consents to interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Lawless, will respond.

Mr. JAROLIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I am sorry.

Mr. LAWLESS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I will be more than happy to answer all your questions on each one of these amendments as they come before the House, but what is before the House right now is a House resolution which deals with the entire package.

If you would like to have specifics on these issues, vote for the Stairs amendment. I will have to withdraw my resolution, and we will have plenty of time to deal with all the amendments.

Mr. JAROLIN. Well, the fact of the matter is, Mr. Speaker, the way I am looking at this right now, it is a little on the confusing side for the simple reason that if everybody is looking at it the way I am, it looks like it is being doubled in figures, and I believe this is relevant to what we are discussing on the resolution.

Mr. LAWLESS. Mr. Speaker, once again, I will be more than happy to explain this data in detail once it comes before the House. Right now the Stairs amendment is before the House, and these numbers are not germane to that discussion at this time.

Mr. JAROLIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-106

Baker	Dermody	Maitland	Rudy
Battisto	DeWeese	Major	Sainato
Bebko-Jones	Donatucci	Manderino	Santoni
Belardi	Evans	Markosek	Sather
Belfanti	Fajt	Masland	Schuler
Bishop	Feesse	McCall	Scrimenti
Blaum	Flick	Melio	Shaner
Boscola	Gamble	Michlovic	Staback
Boyes	Geist	Mihalich	Stairs
Buxton	George	Miller	Steelman
Caltagirone	Gordner	Mundy	Stern
Carn	Gruitza	Nailor	Stetler
Carone	Haluska	Olasz	Stish
Chadwick	Hanna	Oliver	Sturla
Cohen, L. I.	Herman	Pesci	Surra
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Petrarca	Tangretti
Colafrilla	James	Petrone	Travaglio
Colaizzo	Josephs	Pistella	Trich
Corpora	Kaiser	Platts	Tulli

Corrigan	Kirkland	Ramos	Vance
Cowell	Krebs	Readshaw	Van Horne
Coy	Kukovich	Richardson	Veon
Curry	LaGrotta	Rieger	Vitali
Daley	Lescovitz	Robinson	Walko
DeLuca	Levdansky	Rooney	Washington
Dempsey	Lloyd	Ruble	Wright, D. R.
Dent	Lucyk		

NAYS-94

Adolph	Farmer	Lch	Serafini
Allen	Fichter	Lynch	Sheehan
Argall	Fleagle	Marsico	Smith, B.
Armstrong	Gannon	Mayernik	Smith, S. H.
Bard	Gigliotti	McGechan	Snyder, D. W.
Barley	Gladeck	McGill	Steil
Birmelin	Godshall	Merry	Strittmatter
Brown	Gruppo	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Browne	Habay	Nickol	Taylor, J.
Bunt	Harhart	Nyce	Tigue
Butkovitz	Hasay	O'Brien	Trello
Cappabianca	Hennessey	Perzel	True
Cawley	Hershey	Pettit	Waugh
Civera	Hess	Phillips	Williams
Clark	Horse	Piccola	Wogan
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pitts	Wozniak
Conti	Jadlowiec	Raymond	Wright, M. N.
Cornell	Jarolin	Reber	Yewcic
DiGirolamo	Keller	Reinard	Youngblood
Druce	Kenney	Roberts	Zimmerman
Durham	King	Rohrer	Zug
Egolf	Laughlin	Saylor	
Fairchild	Lawless	Schroder	Ryan,
Fargo	Lederer	Semmel	Speaker

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the resolution as amended?

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. LAWLESS. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Lawless.

Mr. LAWLESS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to withdraw this resolution.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Lawless, moves that HR 181, together with amendments, be recommitted to the Committee on Rules.

Mr. Lawless, I have assumed that— You cannot just withdraw it. I mean, it is in print now, and I am assuming that a recommitment to Rules is the appropriate motion, Rules Committee being the home of most resolutions. On that basis, I have made the motion that the bill be recommitted, together with amendments, to the Committee on Rules. Is that agreeable to the gentleman?

Mr. LAWLESS. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. On that question, does the gentleman, Mr. Gannon, desire recognition?

Mr. GANNON. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I believe this resolution should go back to Rules. There is one important element missing in this resolution if we are going to really do a true investigation of the situation, and that is the power of subpoena. The Education Committee under this resolution as it now stands has no power to subpoena persons or records, and if we are going to do a serious investigation, they should have the power to subpoena.

I believe it should go back to Rules. We should get a resolution out with power of subpoena and then bring it back, and let us do it that way. I think that would be appropriate to investigate something like this.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the Democratic floor leader.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, on the motion to recommit, the chamber just decided a few moments ago to suspend the rules and to take up this matter. Now, I think it is absurd to send it right back to Rules. I cannot fathom that the Republican leadership wants to thwart the voting board on Friday night and thwart the voting board on Tuesday afternoon.

The SPEAKER. The question before the House is the motion to recommit.

Mr. DeWEESE. On the motion to recommit, Mr. Speaker, I believe that it is inappropriate to recommit it to Rules. I think that the Education Committee, since the committee has not had the opportunity to study other educational matters, has the opportunity right now to take up this matter.

With respect to the gentleman from Montgomery, who has been so involved, so centrally involved in this process, hopefully the Education Committee would allow him to be an active part of their deliberations. I think that would be appropriate. He is a worthy—

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman suggesting an amendment to the motion that is before the House?

Mr. DeWEESE. The gentleman from Greene County, Mr. Speaker, is only politely realizing some latitude that the gentleman from Delaware had realized in another incarnation. However, I will take my seat with the recommendation—

The SPEAKER. No; I do not want you to take your seat, Mr. DeWeese. I am just—

Mr. DeWEESE. I am inclined to take my seat.

The SPEAKER. I am simply trying to understand what you have to say. Once again I am struggling to understand your remarks.

Mr. DeWEESE. On the motion to recommit to Rules, I would ask our members and those who are sensitive and edified to the commotion to vote against. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, come to the rostrum.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman, Mr. Lawless, consent to interrogation, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Lawless, will consent to interrogation. You may begin.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I have to admit to some confusion now. Ten minutes ago I thought this was a matter of great urgency demanding the attention of the legislature. What has changed?

Mr. LAWLESS. Is that the interrogation?

Mr. COWELL. My question — yes — is what has changed, Mr. Speaker, that has moved you from a position of arguing that this is a subject of great urgency and imperative to one that we would now send it back to a time uncertain to the Rules Committee?

Mr. LAWLESS. Mr. Speaker, as former majority chairman and minority chairman now of the Education Committee, as I said in my earlier statements, the Education Committee and the leadership, such as yourself and Mr. Stairs, have had months, months, months to respond to the information which I have sent in detail to your office.

May I interrogate you and ask you, did you not receive that information?

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, I am looking to the Speaker; if we can reverse this, I will be glad to respond.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Cowell, it is the first time I have seen you speechless, and I—

Mr. COWELL. No, Mr. Speaker, I was being respectful of the Speaker again.

Mr. Speaker, if you will recognize me to respond rather than ask questions, I will not be speechless, I assure you. Mr. Speaker, am I recognized to respond to the gentleman's question?

Mr. LAWLESS. I withdraw my question. The point was made.

Mr. COWELL. Then I will continue to interrogate with the permission of the Speaker.

The SPEAKER. You of course have my permission.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I regret that the gentleman did not want to have his question answered.

Mr. Speaker, again, the question remains, what has changed? Is it simply that you do not want the Education Committee to do this task rather than a committee that you had been promised to chair? Is that what this is about?

Mr. LAWLESS. No, Mr. Speaker. This, quite frankly, is about work that I have been working on for months. Quite honestly, I have not seen you come to my aid. I did not know you had such an interest.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, may I assume that since the gentleman argued that we needed to have an open-minded approach to these issues that were raised in this resolution, that regardless of what happens with this resolution, whether the Education Committee or a select committee or somebody else is or is not assigned the task of reviewing these issues, since we must have an open mind, can I assume that the gentleman has no opinion about answers to the issues raised in the resolution at this moment?

Mr. LAWLESS. I am sorry, Mr. Speaker. Could you repeat that question?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will yield.

The question before the House is on the motion to recommit. Please limit the debate to the motion to recommit.

Mr. COWELL. And I am trying to do that, Mr. Speaker. I am wondering if the gentleman has now moved from a position 10 minutes ago where it was urgent that with an open mind a committee investigate these issues. I am wondering if he has now reached a point where it is no longer urgent that these issues be reviewed with an open mind and instead we should anticipate some

specific answers in the form of other amendments that the gentleman might offer.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Cowell, 10 minutes ago there was a Stairs amendment before the board. Right now there is a motion to recommit. I do not care what happened 10 minutes ago, nor should you. It is a motion to recommit.

Now, we had this falling-out the other night. We are not going to have it every time you take the microphone. It is a motion to recommit, and I beg you to please hold your debate to that question. Please.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, I am simply trying to ascertain the gentleman's intentions. Prospectively, I do not really care a whole lot about 10 minutes ago either.

### PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. COY. Mr. Speaker, a point of parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Coy.

Mr. COY. The motion to recommit is to which committee?

The SPEAKER. To Rules.

Mr. COY. Is the motion amendable?

The SPEAKER. It is indeed.

Mr. COY. Is that amendment in order?

The SPEAKER. I am sorry; say that again.

Mr. COY. Is the amendment in order at this time?

The SPEAKER. You are not permitted to interrupt a member who has the floor for the purpose of amending the motion. Aside from that, when recognized, it would be a timely motion.

Mr. COY. I would entertain the Speaker's attention—

The SPEAKER. I mean, if the gentleman, Mr. Cowell, would yield to you, it would be an appropriate time to make such a motion.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, I was about to conclude my interrogation, so I would gladly yield to the gentleman, Mr. Coy.

### MOTION TO AMEND

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Coy.

Mr. COY. Mr. Speaker, if the proper motion is to amend the motion to recommit, I would so do by amending it to recommit to the Committee on Education.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The question before the House is on the amendment. Now, this is an amendment to the motion to recommit to the Committee on Rules. If this amendment carries, then the question that will recur will be a motion to recommit to the Committee on Education.

Now, before the House now is the question of amending the word "Rules" with the word "Education" Committee and what have you.

On that question, those in favor of substituting — I think that is the easiest way to put it — those in favor of substituting the Education Committee for the Rules Committee will vote "aye"—

Mr. PISTELLA. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. —we are not going to run it yet — opposed, "no."

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion to amend?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Snyder.

Mr. SNYDER. Mr. Speaker, we are not considering a motion to commit to a committee any action; we are considering a motion to recommit a resolution. The purpose of the Rules Committee is to consider resolutions. To send it back to the Education Committee does not give them any power to perform any acts or to carry out any request by this body.

Therefore, essentially this amendment as proposed is inappropriate for this type of motion, and we ask that our members oppose the amendment and allow the consideration of the motion to recommit the resolution to the Rules Committee, or if that recommitment should fail, then the floor would have the opportunity then to direct the Education Committee to take action as it was proposed.

So we ask for a negative vote on the amendment.

Mr. COY. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. Who seeks recognition? The gentleman, Mr. Coy.

Mr. COY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I respectfully disagree with the gentleman, Mr. Snyder. I think the Education Committee is the perfect committee to look at the substance of this issue. I think that is what the last vote was all about when that previous amendment was accepted, when Mr. Stairs' amendment was accepted. I have served on both committees, Mr. Speaker, and it is obvious to me that the Education Committee has far more expertise in this area. Its members are far more concerned about the substance of these types of issues and have far more time to dedicate to the substance of the issue.

That is the reason for the motion. The motion is serious, it is well-founded, and I think it deserves the attention and vote of the House, and I would encourage members to vote in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the gentleman, Mr. Sturla.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I will be brief.

I rise in support of the Coy amendment to the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

Mr. STURLA. There were several times today when the gentleman, Mr. Lawless, has said that the chair of the Education Committee and other members of the Education Committee have failed to act on some of the things that he has brought to light. If we put this resolution in the Rules Committee, once again we have taken away the Education Committee's opportunity to act. If we place it in the Education Committee, then the heat will surely be on the Education Committee, and Representative Lawless, if it is not moved back out of the Education Committee and the process brought forward, he will then justifiably be able to stand before this House and say that the Education Committee has not done its job. Until we get a chance to do this though, I would ask for support of Representative Coy's amendment to the motion. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Warren, Mr. Lynch.

Mr. LYNCH. Mr. Speaker, I was not going to say anything, but I cannot pass up the opportunity from my colleague, Representative Coy, on the other side when he indicated that issues such as this are best left to the hand of the Education Committee. I am going to tell you, I have looked at those numbers pretty good, and I do not think we need educators with a friendly eye looking toward them; I think we need a bunch of accountants to audit them. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question before the House is, in short and brief, the substitution— This is an amendment before the House, an amendment to a motion. This is to amend the motion of the gentleman to reflect the committee to which this resolution would be recommitted, if successful, from the Committee on Rules to the Committee on Education.

The easiest way to state it is, those who would prefer the Education Committee will vote “aye”; opposed, “no.”

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the motion to amend?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-91

Battisto	Dermody	Lucy	Sather
Bebko-Jones	DeWeese	Manderino	Schuler
Belardi	Evans	Markosek	Scrimenti
Belfanti	Fajt	McCall	Shaner
Bishop	Gamble	McGill	Staback
Blaum	Geist	Melio	Stairs
Boscola	George	Michlovic	Steelman
Buxton	Gigliotti	Mihalich	Stetler
Caltagirone	Gordner	Mundy	Stish
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Olasz	Sturla
Carone	Haluska	Oliver	Surra
Cawley	Hanna	Petrarca	Tangretti
Cohen, L. I.	Itkin	Pistella	Tigue
Cohen, M.	James	Ramos	Travaglio
Colaafella	Josephs	Readshaw	Trello
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Richardson	Trich
Corpora	Kirkland	Rieger	Van Home
Corrigan	Kukovich	Roberts	Veon
Cowell	LaGrotta	Robinson	Vitali
Coy	Laughlin	Rooney	Walko
Curry	Lescovitz	Rudy	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Levdansky	Sainato	Youngblood
DeLuca	Lloyd	Santoni	

NAYS-108

Adolph	Farmer	Maitland	Schroder
Allen	Feese	Major	Semmel
Argall	Fichter	Marsico	Serafini
Armstrong	Fleagle	Masland	Sheehan
Baker	Flick	Mayemik	Smith, B.
Bard	Gannon	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barley	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Boyes	Gruppo	Miller	Stern
Brown	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Browne	Harhart	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Bunt	Hasay	Nyce	Taylor, J.
Butkovitz	Hennessey	O'Brien	Truc
Chadwick	Herman	Perzel	Tulli
Civera	Hershey	Pesci	Vance
Clark	Hess	Petrone	Washington
Clymer	Horsey	Pettit	Waugh
Conti	Hutchinson	Phillips	Williams
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Piccola	Wogan
Dempsey	Jarolin	Pitts	Wozniak
Dent	Keller	Platts	Wright, M. N.
DiGirolamo	Kenney	Raymond	Yewcic
Donatucci	King	Reber	Zimmerman
Druce	Krebs	Reinard	Zug
Durham	Lawless	Rohrer	
Egolf	Lederer	Rublely	Ryan,
Fairchild	Leh	Saylor	Speaker
Fargo	Lynch		

NOT VOTING-1

Carn

EXCUSED-3

Preston

Roebuck

Thomas

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion to amend was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. The original motion recurs, which is a motion to recommit the resolution to the Rules Committee.

On that question of recommitment to the Rules Committee, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Blaum, from Luzerne County.

Mr. BLAUM. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the motion to recommit.

The gentleman, Mr. Lawless, has distributed a great deal of information, and I think he would admit that on more than one occasion I told him that I thought he was raising important questions and doing important work. Many of us who voted for the Stairs amendment did so because we do not believe that we need a whole host of special committees and task forces to supplant and do the work of the committee system. The committee system is a very important one to this House of Representatives, and many of us who voted for the Stairs amendment did not vote to in any way hamper the intentions of the gentleman, Mr. Lawless.

As I look over a list of the members who sit on the House Education Committee, both Republicans and Democrats, I would remind the gentleman, Mr. Lawless, that this list of names has more members from the majority party on it, obviously, than it does from the minority, and I think that Representative Lawless, again, has raised important issues and has done some important work. The House has now determined that that is best left to the House Education Committee to look further into it, and I would ask that we vote on the gentleman's resolution as amended and approve it so that the Education Committee can begin its inquiry and not to recommit it to the Rules Committee, where the issue may very well perish. The gentleman did not succeed in getting a majority of the votes for his subcommittee, but I do not think he should let it die with that but, rather, work with Chairman Stairs and Chairman Cowell in allowing the Education Committee to inquire into this important work that I think a lot of us agree with.

So I would ask that we vote “no” on recommitment and vote for the resolution as amended.

MOTION TO AMEND

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Chester County, Mrs. Taylor.

Mrs. TAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, I do not want to belabor this issue that finally has come before this House, but I rise to amend the motion before the House to send this resolution to the Appropriations Committee, and I so move.

We finally have come to the point where we are facing up to some of these issues, and I have been here when these issues were

raised 19 years ago, and I could be very specific, but I probably would be called out of order, since two professors served in this House for 19 years under very different circumstances.

However, Mr. Speaker, I do make that motion. I move that we put this resolution in the Appropriations Committee.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question, the question is, do we substitute "Rules" with "Appropriations"? In other words, the motion before the House is the recommittal motion of the resolution with the Stairs amendment in it. The Stairs amendment is in the resolution, and now there is a recommittal motion. The recommittal motion presently before us is to the Rules Committee. The lady, Mrs. Taylor, has asked, instead of the Rules Committee, that it go to the Appropriations Committee.

On that question, those in favor of it going to the Appropriations Committee will vote "aye"; those opposed, "no."

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion to amend?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-35

Armstrong	Hennessey	Roberts	Strittmatter
Battisto	Hershey	Rohrer	Taylor, E. Z.
Birmelin	Horsey	Ruble	Tigue
Bishop	Leh	Schuler	Trich
Cawley	Lloyd	Scrimenti	True
DeLuca	Masland	Semmel	Vance
Gordner	Miller	Serafini	Yewic
Gruitza	Nailor	Stern	Zimmerman
Hasay	Phillips	Stish	

NAYS-163

Adolph	Druce	Lawless	Robinson
Allen	Durham	Lederer	Rooney
Argall	Egolf	Lescovitz	Rudy
Baker	Evans	Levdansky	Sainato
Bard	Fairchild	Lucyk	Santoni
Barley	Fajt	Lynch	Sather
Bebko-Jones	Fargo	Maitland	Saylor
Belardi	Farmer	Major	Schroder
Belfanti	Feese	Manderino	Shaner
Blaum	Fichter	Markosek	Sheehan
Boscola	Fleagle	Marsico	Smith, B.
Boyes	Flick	Mayernik	Smith, S. H.
Brown	Gamble	McCall	Snyder, D. W.
Browne	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Bunt	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Butkovitz	George	Melio	Steelman
Buxton	Gigliotti	Merry	Steil
Caltagirone	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stetler
Cappabianca	Godshall	Micozzie	Sturla
Carone	Gruppo	Mihalich	Surra
Chadwick	Habay	Mundy	Tangretti
Civera	Haluska	Nickol	Taylor, J.
Clark	Hanna	Nyce	Travaglio
Clymer	Harhart	O'Brien	Trello
Cohen, L. I.	Herman	Olasz	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Hess	Oliver	Van Horne
Colafield	Hutchinson	Perzel	Veon
Colaizzo	Itkin	Pesci	Viali
Conti	Jadlowiec	Petrarca	Walko
Cornell	James	Petrone	Washington
Corpora	Jarolin	Pettit	Waugh
Corrigan	Josephs	Piccola	Williams
Cowell	Kaiser	Pistella	Wogan

Coy	Keller	Pitts	Wozniak
Curry	Kenney	Platts	Wright, D. R.
Daley	King	Ramos	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kirkland	Raymond	Youngblood
Dent	Krebs	Readshaw	Zug
Dermody	Kukovich	Reber	
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Reinard	Ryan,
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Rieger	Speaker
Donatucci			

NOT VOTING-2

Carn	Richardson
------	------------

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion to amend was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. The question recurs, shall the resolution with the Stairs amendment be recommitted to the Committee on Rules? Shall the resolution with the Stairs amendment be recommitted to the Committee on Rules?

The question before the House is the motion made by the gentleman, Mr. Lawless, to recommit the resolution together with the Stairs amendment to the Committee on Rules.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks County, Mr. Druce.

Mr. DRUCE. Mr. Speaker, I just had one of the members suggest to me that maybe we ought to refer this to Tourism since this has turned into somewhat of a circus.

Let us just get it over with, Mr. Speaker. I voted with the gentleman, Mr. Lawless, on his original motion. I believed he was right in what he said. I put my vote up on the board. We were a little light, as they say, so if we send this to Rules, the way I look at it is, we have just spent, I do not know, the better part of an hour doing absolutely nothing, and at some point, as much as I agree with the gentleman, Mr. Lawless, that I would like to avoid the Education Committee at times, these recommendations are going to have to make it through that body anyway, and I would suggest we just move on with the resolution that he has drafted, get the thing to Education, get it back out in February, and let us act on the recommendations and at least have accomplished something today. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Druce, the question is recommittal to Rules, not Education.

On the question of recommittal, the gentleman, Mr. Stairs.  
Mr. STAIRS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Certainly, I support the negative vote on recommittal to Rules. I agree with the previous speaker. We have talked about this a great deal of time. Let us get to work. Let us vote "no" on recommittal.

The SPEAKER. On the question, Mr. Cowell.  
Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the question. The gentleman is recognized on the question.



Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, if we defeat the motion to send this to the Rules Committee, we will have before us a resolution directing the Education Committee to conduct this inquiry. That is what we ought to do.

We interrupted our business with the suspension of the rules a half an hour ago because there was something urgent about this. We were told it was urgent that we consider this resolution that was going to direct somebody to make an inquiry about the items spelled out here. If the individuals making that argument then were genuine, if they were sincere, the issue is no less urgent now than it was a half an hour ago.

Let us have the Education Committee pursue this subject. There is a specific assignment here. To do that though, to pass this resolution with that direction to the Education Committee, we must first defeat this motion. I would urge that we defeat the motion to recommit to Rules.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-90

Adolph	Donatucci	King	Saylor
Allen	Durham	Lawless	Schroder
Argall	Egolf	Lederer	Semmel
Armstrong	Fairchild	Leh	Serafini
Barley	Fargo	Lynch	Sheehan
Birmelin	Farmer	Maitland	Smith, B.
Boyes	Feese	Major	Snyder, D. W.
Brown	Fichter	Marsico	Stern
Browne	Fleagle	Mayernik	Stish
Bunt	Flick	McGeehan	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Gannon	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Gladeck	Nickol	Taylor, J.
Carn	Godshall	Nyce	True
Cawley	Gruppo	O'Brien	Waugh
Chadwick	Hahay	Perzel	Wogan
Civera	Harhart	Pettit	Wozniak
Clark	Hasay	Phillips	Wright, M. N.
Clymer	Hennessey	Piccola	Yewcic
Conti	Hess	Pitts	Zimmerman
Cornell	Hutchinson	Raymond	Zug
Dempsey	Jadlowiec	Reber	
Dent	Keller	Reinard	Ryan,
DiGirolamo	Kenney	Rohrer	Speaker

NAYS-110

Baker	Gamble	McCall	Sather
Bard	Geist	McGill	Schuler
Battisto	George	Melio	Scrimenti
Bebko-Jones	Gigliotti	Merry	Shaner
Belardi	Gordner	Michlovic	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gruitza	Mihalich	Staback
Bishop	Haluska	Miller	Stairs
Blaum	Hanna	Mundy	Steelman
Boscola	Herman	Nailor	Steil
Buxton	Hershey	Olasz	Stetler
Caltagirone	Horsley	Oliver	Sturla
Carone	Itkin	Pesci	Surra
Cohen, L. I.	James	Petrarca	Tangretti
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Petrone	Tigue
Colafranca	Josephs	Pistella	Travaglio
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Platts	Trello
Corpora	Kirkland	Ramos	Trich
Corrigan	Krebs	Readshaw	Tulli
Cowell	Kukovich	Richardson	Vance

Coy	LaGrotta	Rieger	Van Home
Curry	Laughlin	Roberts	Veon
Daley	Lescovitz	Robinson	Vitali
DeLuca	Levdansky	Rooney	Walko
Dermody	Lloyd	Rubley	Washington
DeWeese	Lucyk	Rudy	Williams
Druce	Manderino	Sainato	Wright, D. R.
Evans	Markosek	Santoni	Youngblood
Fajt	Masland		

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House adopt the resolution as amended?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Sturla has an amendment for this resolution. Are there any other amendments?

I am advised by the majority leader, it is the intention of the majority to finish the nonpreferreds tonight. Keep that in mind.

AMENDMENT A4148 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair has in its possession a motion to reconsider the vote on amendment A4148 to HR 181, PN 2237, which passed this House on the 20th day of June; for the reconsideration of the vote on amendment 4148 to HR 181, PN 2237. It is my understanding that that amendment - and I would hope that the gentleman, Mr. Stairs, would doublecheck me when I say this - it is my understanding that this amendment is the amendment that was offered by the gentleman, Mr. Stairs, which was inserted in HR 181. Is that accurate, Mr. Stairs? Yes; that is the Stairs amendment.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-194

Adolph	Egolf	Levdansky	Sather
Allen	Evans	Lloyd	Saylor
Argall	Fairchild	Lucyk	Schroder
Armstrong	Fajt	Lynch	Schuler
Baker	Fargo	Maitland	Scrimenti
Bard	Farmer	Major	Semmel
Barley	Feese	Manderino	Serafini
Battisto	Fichter	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Marsico	Sheehan
Belardi	Flick	Masland	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Gamble	Mayernik	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gannon	McCall	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Geist	McGeehan	Staback
Blaum	George	McGill	Stairs
Boscola	Gigliotti	Melio	Steelman
Boyes	Gladeck	Merry	Steil
Brown	Godshall	Michlovic	Stern

Browne	Gordner	Micozzie	Stetler
Bunt	Gruitza	Miller	Stish
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Mundy	Strittmatter
Buxton	Habay	Nailor	Sturla
Caltagirone	Haluska	Nickol	Surra
Cappabianca	Hanna	Nyce	Taylor, E. Z.
Carone	Harhart	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hasay	Oliver	Tigue
Chadwick	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Civera	Herman	Pesci	Trelo
Clark	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Clymer	Hess	Petrone	True
Cohen, L. I.	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Colafella	Itkin	Piccola	Van Home
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Conti	James	Pitts	Vitali
Cornell	Jarolin	Platts	Walko
Corpora	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Corrigan	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cowell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams
Curry	Kenney	Reber	Wogan
Daley	King	Reinard	Wozniak
DeLuca	Kirkland	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Dempsey	Krebs	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
Dent	Kukovich	Roberts	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Robinson	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rohrer	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Lawless	Rooney	Zug
Donatucci	Lederer	Ruble	
Druce	Leh	Sainato	Ryan,
Durham	Lescovitz	Santoni	Speaker

NAYS-4

Coy	Mihalich	Rudy	Tangretti
-----	----------	------	-----------

NOT VOTING-2

Carn	Olasz
------	-------

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The clerk read the following amendment No. **A4148**:

Amend Title, page 1, line 1, by striking out "a select committee" and inserting

the Education Committee

Amend First Resolve Clause, page 1, lines 17 and 18; page 2, lines 1 and 2, by striking out all of said lines on said pages

Amend Second Resolve Clause, page 2, line 3, by striking out "select committee" and inserting

Education Committee

Amend Third Resolve Clause, page 2, line 17, by striking out "select committee" and inserting

Education Committee

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. Micozzie, desire recognition?

Mr. MICOZZIE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May I interrogate Representative Stairs?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Micozzie, I would ordinarily recognize the gentleman, Mr. Stairs, in connection with the offering of his amendment.

Mr. MICOZZIE. I yield.

The SPEAKER. Subsequent to that, I will recognize you for the purpose of interrogation.

The gentleman, Mr. Stairs. The gentleman, Mr. Stairs, do you wish to make remarks?

Mr. STAIRS. Yes; I will make remarks, yes.

I will be interrogated. Do you want to ask questions? I will rise for him to ask me questions, yes.

Mr. MICOZZIE. Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Micozzie, for the purpose of interrogation.

Mr. MICOZZIE. My question is, Mr. Speaker, exactly what will the Education Committee do if your amendment passes and the resolution passes? Tell me exactly how you are going to handle the investigation.

Mr. STAIRS. Well, Mr. Speaker, assuming this passes, I guess we will have to have some strategy and, of course, to look at this very closely. Certainly, I would like to take into consideration the Appropriations Committee and other members of the House, and particularly, Representative Lawless. Representative Lawless has spent a lot of time and effort in looking at posing some very pertinent questions, and so it is my intent, as I spoke a while ago when I spoke in favor of my amendment, that I wanted to include Representative Lawless, so certainly, he would play a very important role. Once we sit down and talk with members and different committee people who have an interest in this and gather input, then we would begin the process, and certainly, we would have committee hearings across the Commonwealth, and the questions that would be generated by not only the Education Committee members but taking into account other members would be certainly posed, and we would get to the bottom of many accusations that are being made to see if they are founded or not founded, and I assure you, we would certainly do a very comprehensive study of this and look at this quite seriously.

If you have any particular suggestions or any ideas you would like us to pursue, we are open to hear that.

Mr. MICOZZIE. Will there be public hearings throughout the State?

Mr. STAIRS. Yes. I can assure you there will be public hearings not only in Harrisburg but throughout the State. Yes, we will have public hearings.

Mr. MICOZZIE. Essentially, what you are saying is that when you look at the resolution, the words "select committee," where it says, "RESOLVED, That the select committee shall..." and whatever, you will replace the words "select committee" by the "Education Committee" and do all the things that are said in this resolution?

Mr. STAIRS. The amendment that I offered when I offered it an hour or two ago, I simply said it was a very simple amendment I was making. I was just substituting the words "select committee" for "Education," so in no way does this change the makeup of the resolution.

Mr. MICOZZIE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just wanted to make clarification exactly what the Education Committee is going to do. Having been here for 18 years, I know what committees can do and what they cannot do and what they try to bury. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

**MOTION TO TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. Mr. Speaker, obviously, this has been a very difficult subject that has created a tremendous amount of debate. I get the sense that people are confused; they really do not know. We are going to be here tomorrow and the next day. We are wasting valuable time. If we allow this issue to be further considered by the House today, we will probably go until 6 o'clock.

I am going to make a motion to table now, and obviously, that motion we can always take from the table on a succeeding legislative day, so I am going to make that motion now that we place HR 181 and the amendment on the table.

The SPEAKER. The question before the House is the motion of the gentleman, Mr. Itkin, to table HR 181.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Lawless.

Mr. LAWLESS. Mr. Speaker, I would ask the members of the House to oppose that motion.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS-81**

Battisto	Geist	Lucyk	Sainato
Belardi	George	Manderino	Santoni
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Markosek	Scrimenti
Boscola	Gordner	McCall	Shaner
Buxton	Gruitza	Melio	Staback
Cappabianca	Haluska	Michlovic	Stairs
Carn	Hanna	Mihalich	Steelman
Cohen, L. I.	Hasay	Mundy	Stetler
Colaifella	Herman	Olasz	Sturla
Colaizzo	Horsey	Oliver	Surra
Corpora	Itkin	Petrarca	Tangretti
Cowell	James	Pistella	Tigue
Coy	Josephs	Ramos	Travaglio
Curry	Kaiser	Readshaw	Trello
Daley	Kirkland	Richardson	Van Horne
DeLuca	Kukovich	Rieger	Vitali
Dermody	LaGrotta	Roberts	Walko
DeWeese	Lescovitz	Robinson	Washington
Donatucci	Levdansky	Rooney	Wozniak
Evans	Lloyd	Rudy	Wright, D. R.
Fajt			

**NAYS-115**

Adolph	Fairchild	Maitland	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Major	Schuler
Argall	Farmer	Marsico	Semmel
Armstrong	Feese	Masland	Serafini
Baker	Fichter	Mayernik	Sheehan
Bard	Fleagle	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Barley	Flick	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gamble	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Birmeiin	Gannon	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gladeck	Miller	Stern
Boyes	Godshall	Nailor	Stish
Brown	Gruppo	Nickol	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Nyce	Taylor, E. Z.
Bunt	Harhart	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Perzel	Trich
Carone	Hershey	Pesci	True
Cawley	Hess	Petronc	Tulli
Chadwick	Hutchinson	Pettit	Vance
Civera	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Waugh
Clark	Jarolin	Piccola	Williams
Clymer	Keller	Pitts	Wogan
Conti	Kenney	Platts	Wright, M. N.
Cornell	King	Raymond	Yewcic
Corrigan	Krebs	Reber	Youngblood
Dempsey	Laughlin	Reinard	Zimmerman
Dent	Lawless	Rohrer	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lederer	Rubley	
Druce	Leh	Sather	Ryan,
Durham	Lynch	Saylor	Speaker
Egolf			

**NOT VOTING-4**

Bishop	Butkovitz	Cohen, M.	Veon
--------	-----------	-----------	------

**EXCUSED-3**

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS-99**

Baker	Evans	McCall	Shaner
Battisto	Fajt	Melio	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Michlovic	Stairs
Belardi	George	Mihalich	Steelman
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Mundy	Steil
Bishop	Gordner	Nailor	Stern
Blaum	Gruitza	Olasz	Stetler
Boscola	Haluska	Oliver	Stish
Boyes	Hanna	Pesci	Sturla
Buxton	Herman	Petrarca	Surra
Carn	Itkin	Petrone	Tangretti
Carone	James	Pistella	Travaglio
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Platts	Trello
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Ramos	Trich
Colaifella	Kirkland	Readshaw	Tulli
Colaizzo	Krebs	Richardson	Vance
Corpora	Kukovich	Rieger	Van Horne

Corrigan	LaGrotta	Robinson	Veon
Cowell	Lescovitz	Rooney	Vitali
Coy	Levdansky	Rubley	Walko
Curry	Lloyd	Rudy	Washington
Daley	Lucyk	Sainato	Wozniak
DeLuca	Manderino	Santoni	Wright, D. R.
Dermody	Markosek	Sather	Youngblood
DeWeese	Masland	Scrimenti	

## NAYS—98

Adolph	Egolf	Laughlin	Rohrer
Allen	Fairchild	Lawless	Saylor
Argall	Fargo	Lederer	Schroder
Armstrong	Farmer	Leh	Schuler
Bard	Feese	Lynch	Semmel
Barley	Fichter	Major	Serafini
Birmelin	Fleagle	Marsico	Sheehan
Brown	Gamble	Mayernik	Smith, B.
Browne	Gannon	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Bunt	Gladeck	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Butkovitz	Godshall	Merry	Strittmatter
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Habay	Miller	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Harhart	Nickol	Tigue
Civera	Hasay	Nyce	True
Clark	Hennessey	O'Brien	Waugh
Clymer	Hershey	Perzel	Williams
Conti	Hess	Pettit	Wogan
Cornell	Horsey	Phillips	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Hutchinson	Piccola	Yewic
Dent	Jadlowiec	Pitts	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Jarolin	Raymond	Zug
Donatucci	Keller	Reber	
Druce	Kenney	Reinard	Ryan,
Durham	King	Roberts	Speaker

## NOT VOTING—3

Caltagirone	Flick	Maitland
-------------	-------	----------

## EXCUSED—3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

## PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. COY. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Coy.

Mr. COY. A point of parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman state his point of parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. COY. What is the question before the House?

The SPEAKER. There is none at the moment.

Mr. COY. Is it not appropriate that we vote the resolution?

The SPEAKER. When the question is put, it would be appropriate. However, there is a reconsideration motion.

Mr. COY. On the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment here.

Mr. COY. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Surprise of all surprises.

## PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. RICHARDSON. Point of order, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Richardson, raises a point of order. Will the gentleman state it.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I have a question, and that is, when the vote was taken the last time on the resolution, what do the rules indicate on consideration and then reconsideration for the same issue twice?

The SPEAKER. I will read the rule to the gentleman. It is a lengthy rule found in rule 26. The substance of it is that a motion twice defeated cannot be reconsidered, but the motion they are talking about is not the amendment itself but rather the motion to reconsider twice defeated. Do you understand what I am saying?

Mr. RICHARDSON. Yes, I understand very well.

I would just like to know then, what is the issue on an amendment then that has been considered twice and passed?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

The House will come to order. I am having a great deal of difficulty hearing the gentleman, and I feel certain he is having as much difficulty hearing me.

Mr. RICHARDSON. I am.

The SPEAKER. Please, Mr. Richardson, repeat yourself. I apologize.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would just like to know whether or not an amendment that was at least considered twice and passed, what is the rule of the House for reconsideration in that event? I understand the rule that says twice defeated.

The SPEAKER. "Where a timely made motion to reconsider is lost, it shall not be in order to again entertain a motion to reconsider any such vote, even though such second motion to reconsider is timely made."

Mr. RICHARDSON. So what does that mean?

The SPEAKER. That means in a moment, in a moment, I am going to read a reconsideration motion that has been filed.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Would that mean then—

The SPEAKER. Should that reconsideration motion fail, not the vote on the amendment, not a vote on the Stairs amendment, but a vote on the reconsideration motion, should that vote on that reconsideration motion fail, then it would be out of order to consider another reconsideration motion.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Does that require a suspension of the rules, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. Of course we can suspend the rules, but if you have the votes to suspend the rules, you would not have lost the reconsideration motion.

## AMENDMENT A4148 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that the vote by which amendment 4148 passed to HR 181, PN 2237, on the 20th day of June be reconsidered.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-130

Adolph	Feese	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Flick	Marsico	Semmel
Baker	Gamble	Masland	Serafini
Bard	Gannon	Mayernik	Sheehan
Barley	Geist	McCall	Smith, B.
Battisto	Gigliotti	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gladeck	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Godshall	Merry	Steil
Brown	Gruppo	Michlovic	Stern
Browne	Habay	Micozzie	Stish
Bunt	Haluska	Miller	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Harhart	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Hasay	Nyce	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Hennessey	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Hershey	Olasz	Travaglio
Chadwick	Hess	Perzel	Trello
Civera	Horsey	Pesci	Trich
Clark	Hutchinson	Petrone	True
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Pettit	Tulli
Conti	Jarolin	Phillips	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Piccola	Williams
Dempsey	Kenney	Pitts	Wogan
Dent	King	Platts	Wozniak
DiGirolamo	Krebs	Raymond	Wright, M. N.
Donatucci	LaGrotta	Reber	Yewcic
Druce	Laughlin	Reinard	Youngblood
Durham	Lawless	Rieger	Zimmerman
Egolf	Lederer	Roberts	Zug
Fairchild	Leh	Rohrer	
Fargo	Lescovitz	Sainato	Ryan,
Farmer	Lloyd	Sather	Speaker

NAYS-70

Bebko-Jones	Daley	Lucy	Santoni
Belardi	DeLuca	Manderino	Schuler
Belfanti	Dermody	Markosek	Shaner
Bishop	DeWeese	Melio	Staback
Blaum	Evans	Mihalich	Stairs
Boscola	Fajt	Mundy	Steelman
Caltagirone	George	Nailor	Stetler
Carn	Gordner	Oliver	Sturla
Carone	Gruitza	Petrarca	Surra
Cohen, L. I.	Hanna	Pistella	Tangretti
Cohen, M.	Herman	Ramos	Vance
Colafella	Itkin	Readshaw	Van Horne
Colaizzo	James	Richardson	Veon
Corpora	Josephs	Robinson	Vitali
Corrigan	Kaiser	Rooney	Walko
Cowell	Kirkland	Rublely	Washington
Coy	Kukovich	Rudy	Wright, D. R.
Curry	Levdansky		

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The clerk read the following amendment No. A4148:

Amend Title, page 1, line 1, by striking out "a select committee" and inserting

the Education Committee

Amend First Resolve Clause, page 1, lines 17 and 18; page 2, lines 1 and 2, by striking out all of said lines on said pages

Amend Second Resolve Clause, page 2, line 3, by striking out "select committee" and inserting

Education Committee

Amend Third Resolve Clause, page 2, line 17, by striking out "select committee" and inserting

Education Committee

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne County, Mr. Blaum.

Mr. BLAUM. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the Stairs amendment.

Once again, I ask the House to consider carefully the creation of special select committees. This has nothing to do with the issue before us, but I think it has a lot to do with our internal workings.

If I or a constituent of mine have a problem dealing with insurance, I have every right to ask the chairman of the Insurance Committee to do something about it, and if that does not get a response, I have the ability to bring a resolution to the floor of the House asking, or even directing, asking the House to direct the Insurance Committee to look into that matter.

But I do not think that any member should ask that a special select committee be formed for that own special, unique purpose. We have those committees with the areas of expertise to do the job, and I think that the integrity of the committee process and system is important. I think it is also important for the credibility of the final product.

We just saw 70 "no" votes from both sides of the aisle on a reconsideration motion. You already begin to feel some of that erosion of the necessary credibility of the issue before us.

I say we adopt the Stairs amendment and allow Chairman Stairs and the Education Committee to look into, as I said, the very important questions that Representative Lawless has raised, and I ask the members for an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

POINT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Vitali.

Mr. VITALI. Point of order, Mr. Speaker.

I am having a little trouble with rule 26.

Now, where it says, "Where a timely made motion to—"

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman raising a point of order or telling me about his problems with the rule?

Do you have a point of order to raise?

Mr. VITALI. Yes, I do, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order.

Mr. VITALI. The point is this: As I read rule 26 – and I wanted to direct you to a certain portion of it – you can only reconsider once, and I direct you to the following words: "Where a timely made motion to reconsider is lost, it shall not be in order to again entertain a motion to reconsider any such vote, even though such

second motion to reconsider is timely made." Now, as I read that, you can only file one motion to reconsider, and we have just done it twice.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Vitali, I explained this to Mr. Richardson, who understood it, and you and I went to the same law school, the same college, and the same high school.

Now, the vote on reconsideration is not a vote on the amendment. The vote on reconsideration has never been lost. This is the vote that the gentleman, Mr. Blaum, was just describing that had only 70 "no" votes on it. That was the reconsideration vote. That was not lost, Mr. Vitali.

Mr. VITALI. I see.

The SPEAKER. So there has not been a loss of a reconsideration vote. Had that vote been lost, then I would agree with the remarks of the gentleman, but it has not been lost.

Mr. VITALI. I understand, sir. I bow to your superior experience. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. You bow to the superior experience of my Parliamentarian, not mine.

**PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY**

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Trello.

Mr. TRELLO. Mr. Speaker, would it be appropriate at this time to make a motion on HR 181 to be referred to a committee, a certain committee?

The reason why I say that, Mr. Speaker—

Could I have some order, please?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

The gentleman, Mr. Trello, has asked a question of parliamentary inquiry which I have not yet answered.

Mr. TRELLO. The reason why I bring this up—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

Mr. TRELLO. Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my motion at this time.

The SPEAKER. I was just learning something new in parliamentary—

Mr. TRELLO. Oh, then we will continue then. Go ahead.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

I do not have an answer yet.

Mr. TRELLO. Well, the reason why I was thinking about making this motion, because I think everybody in this chamber will agree that the Finance Committee is probably the most fair and the best committee in this chamber.

Now, we have dealt with very complex issues dealing with tax reform and a number of other issues, and this would be, really, a piece of cake, but at this time, but at this time I am going to rescind my motion to see what further action is taken on this bill, and maybe I might want to appear at this microphone at a later date. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS-97**

Baker	Evans	Markosek	Sather
Battisto	Fajt	Masland	Scrimenti
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Mayernik	Shaner
Belardi	George	McCall	Staback
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Melio	Stairs
Blaum	Gordner	Michlovic	Steelman
Boscola	Gruitza	Mihalich	Steil
Boyes	Haluska	Mundy	Stetler
Buxton	Hanna	Nailor	Stish
Caltagirone	Herman	Olasz	Sturla
Carn	Horsey	Pesci	Surra
Carone	Itkin	Petrarca	Tangretti
Cohen, L. I.	James	Petrone	Travaglio
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Pistella	Trello
Colafrella	Kaiser	Platts	Trich
Colaizzo	Kirkland	Ramos	Tulli
Corpora	Krebs	Readshaw	Vance
Corrigan	Kukovich	Richardson	Van Horne
Cowell	LaGrotta	Robinson	Veon
Coy	Lescovitz	Rooney	Vitali
Curry	Levdansky	Rubley	Walko
Daley	Lloyd	Rudy	Washington
DeLuca	Maitland	Sainato	Wozniak
Dermody	Manderino	Santoni	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese			

**NAYS-101**

Adolph	Fairchild	Leh	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Lucyk	Schuler
Argall	Farmer	Lynch	Semmel
Armstrong	Feese	Major	Serafini
Bard	Fichter	Marsico	Sheehan
Barley	Fleagle	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Gamble	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Brown	Gannon	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Browne	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stern
Bunt	Godshall	Miller	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Habay	Nyce	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Harhart	O'Brien	Tigue
Chadwick	Hasay	Oliver	True
Civera	Hennessey	Perzel	Waugh
Clark	Hershey	Pettit	Williams
Clymer	Hess	Phillips	Wogan
Conti	Hutchinson	Piccola	Wright, M. N.
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Pitts	Yewcic
Dempsey	Jarolin	Raymond	Youngblood
Dent	Keller	Reber	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Kenney	Reinard	Zug
Donatucci	King	Rieger	
Druce	Laughlin	Roberts	Ryan,
Durham	Lawless	Rohrer	Speaker
Egolf	Lederer	Saylor	

**NOT VOTING-2**

Bishop	Flick
--------	-------

**EXCUSED-3**

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House adopt the resolution ?

Mr. STURLA offered the following amendment No. A4174:

Amend Second Resolve Clause, page 2, line 11, by striking out  
“and administrative” and inserting  
, administrative and all other

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment ?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the  
Sturla amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from  
Lancaster, Mr. Sturla.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
I will be brief on this.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman please yield.

The gentleman, Mr. Sturla, waited patiently for the opportunity  
to offer this amendment. He is entitled to be heard.

Conferences on the floor, please break up.

The gentleman, Mr. Sturla.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The way the resolution is worded, on page 2, line 11,  
item No. (3) says “Honoraria for faculty and administrative  
employees” is one of those things that the public hearings that are  
to be conducted will examine, and I am just offering an amendment  
that would expand that slightly that says, “Honoraria for faculty,  
administrative and all other employees.”

I think it is important that rather than just focusing on faculty  
and administrative personnel, that there are other employees of  
some of these institutions that deserve the same type of scrutiny.  
After all, it is the same dollars that are going to pay their salaries.

I would appreciate an affirmative vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the  
gentleman, Mr. Lawless.

Mr. LAWLESS. Mr. Speaker, I agree with Mr. Sturla and hope  
that the members support his amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment ?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-196

Adolph	Evans	Lucyk	Saylor
Allen	Fairchild	Lynch	Schroder
Argall	Fajt	Maitland	Schuler
Armstrong	Fargo	Major	Scrimenti
Baker	Farmer	Manderino	Semmel
Bard	Feese	Markosek	Serafini
Barley	Fichter	Marsico	Shaner
Battisto	Fleagle	Masland	Sheehan
Bebko-Jones	Flick	Mayernik	Smith, B.
Belardi	Gamble	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gannon	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Birnclim	Geist	McGill	Staback
Blaum	George	Melio	Stairs
Boscola	Gigliotti	Merry	Steelman
Boyes	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steil
Brown	Godshall	Micozzie	Stern
Browne	Gordner	Mihalich	Stettler
Bunt	Gruitza	Miller	Stish
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Mundy	Strittmatter

Buxton	Habay	Nailor	Sturla
Caltagirone	Haluska	Nickol	Surra
Cappabianca	Hanna	O'Brien	Tangretti
Carn	Harhart	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Carone	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hershey	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Hess	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Horsey	Phillips	True
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Piccola	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Pistella	Vance
Colafella	Jadlowiec	Pitts	Van Horne
Colaizzo	James	Platts	Veon
Conti	Jarolin	Ramos	Vitali
Cornell	Josephs	Raymond	Walko
Corporr	Kaiser	Readshaw	Washington
Cowell	Keller	Reber	Waugh
Coy	Kenney	Reinard	Williams
Curry	King	Richardson	Wogan
Daley	Kirkland	Rieger	Wozniak
DeLuca	Krebs	Roberts	Wright, D. R.
Dempsey	Kukovich	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Dent	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Yewcic
Dermody	Laughlin	Rooney	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lawless	Rubley	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Lederer	Rudy	Zug
Donatucci	Leh	Sainato	
Druce	Lescovitz	Santoni	Ryan,
Durham	Levdansky	Sather	Speaker
Egolf	Lloyd		

NAYS-3

Corrigan	Nyce	Pettit
----------	------	--------

NOT VOTING-1

Bishop
--------

EXCUSED-3

Preston	Roebuck	Thomas
---------	---------	--------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was  
determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution as amended ?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Indiana,  
Ms. Steelman.

Ms. STEELMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to call to the attention of the members of the House  
the fact that the language in this resolution is drafted  
broadly enough to permit investigation of all institutions of  
higher education in the Commonwealth.

I would also like to call to your attention a situation at a private  
institution that receives money through the Pennsylvania Higher  
Education Assistance Agency which is currently advertising for an  
academic counselor for athletes. Major responsibilities include the  
organization and supervision of academic support programs and  
progress for student athletes, daily academic counseling and  
advising, communications with faculty, monitoring eligibility, and  
a coaching assignment in area of expertise.

If this is the kind of thing that our taxpayers' money is being used for, I think that we definitely need an investigation into the operation of these institutions of higher education, and I look forward to seeing the select committee attend to these issues as well as to those that Representative Lawless has already raised. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to speak against the passage of HR 181 for three reasons.

First of all, as has already been pointed out by Representative Steelman, this language is not well drafted at all. What it does now is direct this witch-hunting committee to investigate all higher education in the State, and I do not know why we ought to be investigating Villanova or Drexel or Lehigh or Carnegie Mellon or Duquesne or Carlow College, let alone the community colleges in the State System and the four large resource universities that the gentleman, the maker of this resolution, has really been after. Why would we direct the committee to investigate all of these private institutions? What business is it of ours what the sabbatical policies or the tuition discount policies are at any of these private institutions let alone the public institutions?

And I do not accept the suggestion that we will only do what the chair of the committee chooses to do. What we are to be guided by, what this committee is to be guided by, are the words on this paper, and it says, investigate all of these institutions, public and private alike. We ought not to do that.

Secondly, to the degree that the prime sponsor of this resolution is interested in these issues relative to certain public institutions, what more information is there to be gathered? We have been given all kinds of documentation that tells us exactly how many sabbaticals, at what cost, exist at each of these institutions. We have already been told what the travel costs are at each of these institutions. We have already been told what the class hours per week are at each of these public institutions. What more is there to be gathered? Why do we not make some decisions?

What we are seemingly avoiding with the creation of this committee are some amendments that were waved before us that caused some people to be fearful. We ought to deal with those amendments.

If the gentleman or any ladies and gentlemen of this House think that the State ought to dictate what sabbatical policies are or the State ought to dictate what the compensation policies are or what the health benefits are or what the class size is or what the number of hours teaching will be or what research will or will not be permitted, let us deal with it.

The universities in question have already spent untold dollars responding to all of these questions, all of these requests for information. There is not much more to be gathered. If we do not like it, let us not go through the sham of a committee that is going to gather more information about what we think we already know all about. Let us deal with the amendments that were waved before us that have been circulated as amendments to some of the nonpreferred bills today. But this is a sham. There is no additional information relevant to these issues that would be useful. We seem to have the indictment before us, circulated for the last several weeks.

If we really are offended by this, let us do something now, let us do something now, today, in the context of the 1995-96 appropriations. Let us not put it off for another year under the guise

of studying it. All we are likely to get over the next 6 months are a lot of newspaper stories but no real policy.

And finally, Mr. Speaker, the third and final reason why I think we should oppose this: We ought not to be butting into these issues. I mean, if we really want to, let us deal with some amendments in the context of these appropriations today, but we traditionally, and I think wisely, have not tried to tell our public or our private institutions in this State how to run themselves. We have not tried to tell them what their class offerings ought to be.

I would remind you that one of the things the gentleman has asked, the maker of this resolution has asked for from institutions is information about the courses they offered or do offer with the suggestion, the hint, that maybe we are going to start to dictate what courses are offered by these schools. We ought not to be trying to micromanage these institutions. If we do not like what they are doing, let us tell our representative trustees on these boards of these institutions to reflect our views at the board of trustee meetings or let us speak for ourselves through the dollars we appropriate or through the appropriation bill itself for each of these institutions that we will have an opportunity to amend this afternoon. But I would suggest it is inappropriate to do that. I would suggest this entire subject is not appropriate for our intrusive involvement.

Mr. Speaker, I would urge that we defeat the resolution before us.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks County, Mr. Corrigan.

Mr. CORRIGAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the members in this body who are about my age or a little bit older might remember in the early fifties the Army McCarthy hearings. This situation, as I sit here and listen to what we are talking about — investigative powers, sending a committee around this State — reminds me of the Army McCarthy hearings in the early fifties.

I think if we adopt HR 181, we are going to create that same type of situation, and it just seems to me — I was probably in ninth grade and going home from school watching every day and just infatuated with that situation — but I think what we are doing here right now is very, very similar to what was happening then, and that was wrong then; it is wrong now.

The Sturla amendment makes this resolution, which is a bad resolution, worse. I would encourage the members of the House to think very carefully about their vote on this issue and to vote "no." Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mercer, Dr. King.

Mr. KING. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

You know, I just heard here, not the previous speaker but the speaker just before that mention the word "sham." This is ludicrous. This gentleman of all gentlemen could use the words "sham" and "deceit" when he stood up here within the last hour and pleaded for this issue to come to a committee in which he has a major role. Now, there is the sham. Talk about a witch-hunt.

Now, what we are talking about here is simply a case of good government policy. It is called accountability, accountability. These universities come to us every year, year in and year out, and ask us to take our constituents' tax dollars and give it to them, just give it to them, no accountability. In fact, they do not want us to see their books. They have come down here and told us that. I think the esteemed gentleman even had legislation introduced to try



to get them to open the books, but they do not want to open their books.

So what are we talking about here? We are talking about those people who have such a vested interest in protecting these institutions that beyond accountability I can see no further reason for them to oppose this resolution. So I ask you for your support of the resolution.

All we are asking to do is show the light of accountability on millions and millions of your constituents' hard-earned tax dollars. If that is not good public policy, I fail to see a reason why any of us should be in this Assembly. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I guess if you had the votes, you could do whatever you want to do, but I can tell you this: If this resolution passes with the language which is in it today, this is not the last you are going to hear about this from your constituents, especially if you are close to or have in your district a private institution of higher education which does not get a nonpreferred appropriations bill.

This resolution says that this select committee is to investigate higher education in this State. Clearly, that at least embraces those institutions which get institutional assistance grants. Maybe it embraces all of those institutions whose students get money through PHEAA. There is a problem here of proportionality.

It may be appropriate to impose certain burdens on State-owned and State-related institutions, but do we really want to tell Allegheny College, Franklin and Marshall College, Villanova, Scranton University, Gannon University, do we really want to tell all those schools in this State that if they have any connection whatsoever to the State coffers, that we are going to open them up to questions about their teaching loads, the tuition and fee discounts they give, whatever honoraria they may or may not have for their employees, what their compensation policies are, what their sabbaticals are, and where they travel? Most of those institutions are going to say, thank you but no thank you; take back your money, and that may solve some of our problems financially, but it is not even clear that by refusing to take the money, that they can escape the net which this resolution would cast.

Vote as you will. If it passes, it passes, but I can tell you, you have not heard the end of this, and this is going to make Pennsylvania's educational institutions sit up and take notice. This is going to be a subject which is talked about around this country for something which I think is a mistake in the way it is drafted and ought not be passed. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Pistella.

Mr. PISTELLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I was wondering if the gentleman would be kind enough to stand for an interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will. You may proceed, Mr. Pistella.

Mr. PISTELLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, you circulated today for the consumption of the members, with the consent of the Speaker, a memo dated June 20 asking us to review the attached informational fact sheet. On that fact sheet, sir, you have cited under the title of a number of different institutions four headings: freebies, sabbaticals, travel costs, and class hours per week. I have a question in regard to travel costs.

The University of Pittsburgh, for example. You cite as travel costs a figure of \$19,692,813. Is that correct, Mr. Speaker? Could you please tell me— Is the microphone not working? I am sorry. Try it again, sir, please.

Mr. LAWLESS. You are correct, sir.

Mr. PISTELLA. Okay. Thank you.

My next question is, who provided you with that figure?

Mr. LAWLESS. The university.

Mr. PISTELLA. Okay. Did the university break that figure down for you?

Mr. LAWLESS. No, they did not.

Mr. PISTELLA. Are you aware of the fact, sir, that travel costs for university faculty that are doing research at research institutions are built into the grant proposals that they submit to the National Institutes of Health or the Centers for Disease Control or other foundations or other nonprofit organizations that would be requesting that research be done on a given area?

Mr. LAWLESS. They are the questions that have yet to be answered.

Mr. PISTELLA. Well, I do not understand, sir. The question I am asking you is this: Are you aware of the fact that travel costs for faculty members doing research is something that is borne by the party requesting the research being done?

Mr. LAWLESS. Again, these are the questions that need to be answered by the committee.

Mr. PISTELLA. No; no. The question I am asking you, Mr. Speaker, and I am trying to ask you, are you aware of the fact that that practice occurs? Yes or no.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Pistella—

Mr. PISTELLA. —does not know the answer to that question, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Then the gentleman, Mr. Pistella, should wait until he gets an answer rather than answering it himself.

Mr. PISTELLA. I apologize, Mr. Speaker; correct.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Lawless, go ahead and answer the question.

Mr. LAWLESS. No; I am not aware of that at this point, because the universities have not provided this detailed information yet, and that is precisely what I am after.

Mr. PISTELLA. Mr. Speaker, have they explained to you the practice of sabbaticals and who is entitled to sabbaticals?

Mr. LAWLESS. No; they have not at this point.

Mr. PISTELLA. Okay.

Mr. LAWLESS. What they have given me, if you want to use the University of Pittsburgh as an example, they have told me that 99 professors are on sabbatical at a cost of \$3,326,880 of taxpayer dollars at this point.

Mr. PISTELLA. I understand that, Mr. Speaker. I am following the material that you gave, with all due respect.

Mr. Speaker, if I may, I have concluded my interrogation. I would like to make a statement, if I could.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order.

Mr. PISTELLA. Mr. Speaker, with all due respect to the prime sponsor of this, I understand and I followed the material that he circulated among the membership for the last few months. I do not think that anyone doubts his sincerity, and I certainly do not think that anyone in this chamber doubts his integrity.

I have asked those questions merely to point out, as I stood before this legislature a few hours ago and asked them to support the amendment that was offered by Mr. Stairs, I was trying very hard to make a point. The point that I was trying to make was that

for all the enthusiasm that the prime sponsor possesses, for all the integrity that he has and all the willingness that he has to undertake this Herculean endeavor, I think that it is really an issue that is best addressed by a committee such as the Education Committee.

My only thought is that what we are undertaking echoes the sentiment that was expressed by a Representative from Bucks County a little bit earlier, and that is that we have somebody that is undertaking a mission. I think the mission is to root out waste, fraud, and corruption, the three things that I am sure everybody in this chamber campaigned against when they ran for elected office. There is no doubt in my mind that waste, fraud, and corruption exists in government and may also exist in the academic arena, but I think it is appropriate to find the people that have the surgical skills intellectually to go into those situations, to root out what needs to be done, to draw it to our attention, and to work toward the appropriate conclusion. What I see here is an attempt to perform brain surgery with a meat ax.

Now, I realize, of course, I am standing here and you probably do have the votes for this. I realize it is analogous to the man that said, never teach a pig to sing; you only do two things — you waste your time and you annoy the pig. I realize I may have annoyed some of you today, but I am standing here asking you to vote against HR 181. I think it is inappropriate under the circumstances. I think in fact this is a job that is better left in the capable hands of Representative Stairs and the Education Committee or Representative Pitts and the Appropriations Committee. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Columbia County, Mr. Gordner.

Mr. GORDNER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Representative Lloyd made the point that I wanted to make, and he made it a lot better than I can make, but I just want to reiterate what is in this resolution that you are going to vote either in favor of or against.

The way this resolution is drafted, it indicates that it would include all higher education institutions that receive taxpayer funds. As you know, through the PHEAA program there are institutional assistance grants. Those are grants that private institutions like Dickinson College, Bucknell, Lehigh, Lafayette, all private colleges and institutions receive. As a result of the way this is drafted, all of those private colleges and institutions would be included in the purview of this select committee, and I just want to make sure that that is a part of the legislative history and that when you vote in favor of this, if that is what you are going to do, that you know that you are including all of those private colleges and institutions as a part of the purview of this select committee.

For those reasons I am voting against this resolution. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Armstrong, Mr. Pesci.

Mr. PESCI. Mr. Speaker, may I — Mr. Speaker, your resolution, the way it has been drafted and with the Sturla amendment, expands further than the resolution that was passed by this House several years ago?

Mr. LAWLESS. I am not familiar with that resolution, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. PESCI. Pardon?

Mr. LAWLESS. I am not familiar with the resolution. Which resolution passed the House years ago?

Mr. PESCI. I think it was 349 that Mr. Robinson was chairing, that House select committee. It was an investigative House select committee.

Mr. LAWLESS. What year was that?

Mr. PESCI. I believe it was 1992.

Okay. And in turn, that is the one I was talking earlier about, about the recommendations by the Auditor General and also the House select committee when we were talking on the floor.

If in fact that select committee has not followed through for 1995-96 those recommendations by your own Auditor General and your own committee, what makes you really think whatever this select committee may do will do insofar as follow the recommendations of that committee?

Mr. LAWLESS. Mr. Speaker, let me just say that Mr. Robinson's name has been brought up a few times today, and it will be up to the minority leader if this resolution is passed, and I would more than welcome the opportunity to work with Mr. Robinson on this legislation.

Mr. PESCI. If this resolution fails, do you still intend to offer those amendments that you have circulated to us to possibly take care—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

The question before the House deals with HR 181, not what may or may not happen if the resolution fails. I do not think that is proper debate at this point.

Mr. PESCI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I have ended my interrogation. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Pettit, from Allegheny County is recognized.

Mr. PETTIT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Representative Lawless has performed a valuable service for us. He has reminded the General Assembly of our responsibility to insure that the beneficiaries of our appropriations do in fact exercise financial prudence and provide financial accountability, and that is a valuable reminder.

I would like to remind the House that 2 years ago, four of these institutions were affected by a law called the Higher Education Fiscal Information Disclosure Act. This act called for the universities, the four State-related universities, to provide to the House a vast array of data, including literally everything that is being asked for in the amendment. As a matter of fact, one university has already submitted the information and the others are in the process. It is not even due until this coming December. My concern is although I support your general efforts, I am worried that we are repeating what we have already by statute required for the four State-related universities to do. They are providing that information now.

This resolution calls for hearings and all sorts of activities occasioned primarily to gather information which is presently being collected and provided to us by the four largest universities. Why do we not simply change the law to seek the same information from the others?

For this reason I would suggest that the resolution be withdrawn. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northampton, Mr. Nyce.

Mr. NYCE. Mr. Speaker, would the maker of the resolution stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may begin.

Mr. NYCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, there have been questions raised about the intent of the resolution with regard to what institutions would be subjected to this kind of hearing process. Would you please respond either in the positive or negative for me with regard to my understanding of your intent, and I thought it was to really investigate those institutions which receive a direct appropriation and not those institutions that benefit indirectly through PHEAA, grants through students, et cetera. Would you please clarify that for me?

Mr. LAWLESS. Mr. Speaker, thank you very much. You have helped clarify the intent of this legislation. The summary that you just provided to the House is exactly what the intent of this legislation is to do — the direct appropriations.

Mr. NYCE. So then with regard to questions that have been raised concerning private institutions, in effect that is inconsequential and would be beyond the scope of the resolution?

Mr. LAWLESS. That is correct, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. NYCE. Thank you very much. I have finished my interrogation.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Gamble.

Mr. GAMBLE. Mr. Speaker, I would just like the House members to look at it this way for one moment.

Representative Lawless has gone through a lot of work providing us with information showing that professors make \$70,000, \$75,000 a year for 10, 11 hours' teaching a week. Now, had we passed all that information on to our constituents that Mr. Lawless has dug up and then a few weeks later we polled them and we asked them, would you support a resolution to set up a select committee in view of what you know so far to investigate the colleges that get State dollars, whether it is through PHEAA or any other measure, I think we all know what the answer would be.

Then, of course, if the next question on there, for those of us on this side of the aisle, would be, well, what if Mr. Lawless is a Republican; would you still support that, and that is what the heck this is all about. I am going to support it because it needs to be done.

And speakers here earlier have said, let us put it in the Education Committee, and then they got up later and gave you a wonderful reason why you should not have done that and put it where it should be. If you do not believe me, poll your people and give them the information. See which side they would be on. Support this.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lebanon, Mr. Zug.

Mr. ZUG. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to interrogate the sponsor of the resolution.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may proceed.

Mr. ZUG. An earlier speaker had indicated that the committee that is going to be set up is going to specifically look and solely look at the State-related and State-owned universities and not the privates. Is that correct?

Mr. LAWLESS. Privates, if they receive money in a direct appropriation, such as the University of Pennsylvania, Drexel—

Mr. ZUG. Okay. But we will not be looking at any of the schools, the colleges, that receive PHEAA money?

Mr. LAWLESS. No. It is the intent of the legislation to deal with the direct preferred universities.

Mr. ZUG. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May I comment on the legislation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Zug, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. ZUG. I am finished with my interrogation. May I comment on the resolution?

The SPEAKER. You may indeed.

Mr. ZUG. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I had fully intended on voting for this resolution and thought it was a good thing until I found out that we are not looking at any of the schools in Pennsylvania that receive over \$300 million in PHEAA grants. I think it is a travesty that we put on one side of our State the schools that receive grants, the State schools, the schools that we have committed, Mr. Speaker, to fund and operate — the Kutztowns, which I am a graduate of, the Millersvilles, the Mansfields, the rest of the State schools.

I do not feel it is fair that we look at those schools, and if you look at the State System, you know, the class hours per week are 11.7. You look at the privates; the only private here, Penn, is 4.5. Why should we subject the faculty of the State System to a different scenario, a different degree of intensity, than we do the privates, and yet the privates, through PHEAA, get over \$300 million of our tax dollars.

So unless we are going to expand the scope — and we have that on record today — to look at the privates that receive money through PHEAA, I am not going to support this, and I had really intended on doing that. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question, the Chair recognizes the lady from Montgomery, Dr. Sheehan.

Ms. SHEEHAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to support the resolution offered by Representative Lawless.

I have been following the papers for the last number of weeks and months, and as I once said to Representative Lawless, I think that we have a new Kit Carson of academia. As you all know, if the legend is correct, Kit Carson rides into town, kicks up the dust a bit, makes people pay attention. It is also, of course, associated with a certain amount of lawlessness that is left in the wake.

Nonetheless, I think that the questions that Representative Lawless wants to raise are fair questions—

The SPEAKER. The lady will yield.

Conferences behind the rail, please break up. Sergeant at Arms, move the conferences on. Conferences on the side aisles, please be held to a minimum. Conferences on the side aisles, please be held to a minimum.

The lady may proceed.

Ms. SHEEHAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I very much disagree with my colleagues who fear this inquiry. As a member of a university, I think that there is absolutely nothing to fear here, that if we are doing our jobs — and I think so many of the universities across the State are in so many ways doing their jobs well — in a fair, open, and let me stress, Mr. Speaker, a reasonable inquiry into this issue, that we have everything to gain by it and nothing at all to fear.

Therefore, I urge my colleagues to support this resolution so that we may in Pennsylvania encourage accountability, encourage this kind of inquiry, and move on in the way that we all know is appropriate in the field of education. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Indiana, Ms. Steelman.

Ms. STEELMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Will the maker of the resolution stand for a brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Lawless, indicates he will.

Ms. STEELMAN. Mr. Speaker, I would like to follow up on your responses to the previous interrogation. Did I understand you to say that you would not see your committee's purview as including any but the State-related, State-owned, and nonpreferred institutions, State-aided institutions?

Mr. LAWLESS. Mr. Speaker, what I stated was the intent of my legislation, and I discussed the intent of it. However, I am willing to be open. If it is your intent and the intent of Representative Zug's wish to come to this committee if in fact we get this resolution passed, it is something that we have to consider.

Ms. STEELMAN. Would you be kind enough to repeat your intent insofar as it differs from the actual charge to the select committee, which is that the committee be hereby directed to conduct public hearings to examine the following issues related to higher education. How is it that you intend to qualify the term "higher education"?

Mr. LAWLESS. Mr. Speaker, once again, we have reviewed this subject matter over and over, and I do not know whether I can answer this question; it is not maybe the answer you want to hear. It is the intent of this legislation to address the appropriations which are given as a nonpreferred.

Ms. STEELMAN. Okay. Now—

Mr. LAWLESS. And the preferreds; I am sorry.

Ms. STEELMAN. All right. But then when you talk about the preferreds, you mean those institutions that are funded through General Fund appropriations?

Mr. LAWLESS. Could you repeat the question?

Ms. STEELMAN. When you say "preferreds," is that a term by which you mean those institutions funded through General Fund appropriations?

Mr. LAWLESS. What the intent is is the State System of Higher Education, the University of Pennsylvania, Temple, Penn, Penn State, the University of Pittsburgh, and Lincoln.

Ms. STEELMAN. But you are not even including some of the other nonpreferreds on that list.

Mr. LAWLESS. The major nonpreferreds that you addressed today— Drexel is also on that list; I am sorry. Do I know them verbatim off the top of my head? No. I mean, if you want to give me a quiz, you can give me a piece of paper; I will write them down for you.

Ms. STEELMAN. No, Mr. Speaker, it was not my intention to quiz you on your ability to memorize the names of the nonpreferred institutions, but what I am inquiring at this point is, if the way we spend taxpayers' money in Pennsylvania on the nonpreferred institutions is important, is it not important that we actually consider higher education as your resolution says the committee would be constituted to do?

Mr. LAWLESS. That is a decision you will have to make, Mr. Speaker.

Ms. STEELMAN. Are you asking me to amend your resolution in such a way as to make it clear that higher education includes all those institutions of higher education in the Commonwealth?

Mr. LAWLESS. No; I believe the intent of the language there is fine.

Ms. STEELMAN. The sense of the language as opposed to some more limited construction is that every institution of

higher education in Pennsylvania should be placed under scrutiny by this committee, and if you did not intend to examine higher education in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, I question why you drafted the resolution to spread your net so broadly. Could you explain why you wrote the resolution in a way that you now say you do not intend to carry out the charge to the committee?

Mr. LAWLESS. The intent is only as it relates to the State dollars.

Ms. STEELMAN. But State funding flows to many institutions in the Commonwealth other than the State System of Higher Education and those institutions specifically delineated in the nonpreferred appropriations bills. For example, \$35 million in the General Fund budget will flow out directly to private institutions explicitly defined as other than those institutions you have just described as the target of your investigations. Is it not appropriate, if we are going to look at what Drexel does with its \$5 million, to study what other institutions do with their \$35 million?

Mr. LAWLESS. Mr. Speaker, I believe that the legislation as drafted is adequate, proper, and it will help the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as we move forward in investigating higher education in Pennsylvania. If it does not say exactly what you want, I apologize for that.

Ms. STEELMAN. I am not sure at this point whether it says exactly what I want or not. What concerns me is that what you seem to intend to do with it is something other than what you are asking us to vote on, and I am trying to get a clarification whether you actually intend to investigate higher education practices in the Commonwealth or only a limited subset of practices.

Mr. LAWLESS. My intent of this legislation, this resolution, is to address the number of dollars, the millions and millions, the hundreds of millions of dollars which you have listed here on this sheet and to determine with a group of people whether or not the taxpayers of Pennsylvania are getting their money's worth out of the higher education that we are addressing here today. That is the intent of this legislation.

Now, we can go on and on and we can belabor this point until tomorrow morning if you would like. The bottom line is, I have said my intent. If you do not like that, you know, I apologize for that, but the bottom line is, this indicates my intent.

Ms. STEELMAN. So it is not your intent, for example, to investigate whether it is appropriate for State taxpayers' money to be used to finance the hiring of full-time academic counselors in order to permit student athletes to maintain their eligibility at Division 1 colleges that receive money through the institutional assistance grants?

Mr. LAWLESS. That perhaps could be an issue that comes up in the study.

Ms. STEELMAN. Do you believe then that it is appropriate to ask how taxpayers' money is used at all of the institutions at which that money is spent?

Mr. LAWLESS. I always believe it is my job and the job of any legislator to make sure that the money that is spent and given by this body is accountable to the taxpayers of Pennsylvania.

Ms. STEELMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

That concludes my interrogation, and I would like to make a brief comment on the resolution.

The SPEAKER. The lady is in order and may proceed.

I remind the members that it is now 5:30. We are still intending to get through the nonpreferreds, so please limit the debates.

Ms. STEELMAN. I think it is important to determine the use that is made of taxpayers' money in Pennsylvania, but I think it would be highly inappropriate if this committee fails to look at that use of taxpayers' money across the board. For example, this House has already voted to drive out taxpayers' money to a school that refuses to admit female students. That might be an area that the committee would wish to investigate.

I think that there are many other abuses of the use of taxpayers' money that could be looked into by this committee, and I certainly hope if the resolution passes that the committee will not restrict itself only to a very few issues in the area but that they will actually examine the entire range of the issues. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Horsey.

Mr. HORSEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May I interrogate the maker of the resolution, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Lawless, will stand for interrogation.

Mr. HORSEY. Mr. Speaker, in your process of investigating these institutions, will you limit yourself to the four corners of the State or will you do a State-by-State comparison in that what we do in Pennsylvania is at the industry standard in other States? Is that important to you?

Mr. LAWLESS. That is a good point. However, I would not mind traveling to some of the places I have seen those professors travel to.

Mr. HORSEY. No, Mr. Speaker, you did not quite answer the question.

In accumulating the data on these institutions, will you make a determination that there is some abuse or something being done wrong in Pennsylvania institutions alone or will you check to see what the industry standard is — what they are doing in California, what they are doing in Texas, what they are doing in Michigan, what they are doing in Boston — because the uniqueness of—

Mr. LAWLESS. We do not fund them.

Mr. HORSEY. Of course we do not fund them, Mr. Speaker; of course we do not fund them, but the uniqueness of a University of Penn is that while we do give them a great deal of State funds, Mr. Speaker, they are still an Ivy League school, and they represent to me, Mr. Speaker, a special situation.

Mr. LAWLESS. Mr. Speaker—

Mr. HORSEY. So I do not think we can just do an investigation, Mr. Speaker, in the context of just examining books and coming up with, this is what is wrong. What is the industry standard in the Ivy League, for example? We are not concerned with that.

Mr. LAWLESS. No, Mr. Speaker. First of all let me say, what we are initially concerned with is the institutions which we fund, A; B is, what you are asking for is beyond the scope of this legislation.

Mr. HORSEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Can I make a brief comment, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. HORSEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am not going to be long, but I am going to tell you or remind this House that the first computer was created at the University of Pennsylvania. The first electronic computer was created in the fifties and sixties right at the University of Pennsylvania, and it is one of the first universities in America. It has a good history. The Ebola flu, it is an incurable flu in Africa. The Centers for

Disease Control requested three scientists from the University of Pennsylvania, not Harvard, not Stanford Medical School, but from the University of Pennsylvania. Temple University has more minorities in it than all of the State schools put together.

So each one of the institutions has something unique to offer, not just to this country but to the State of Pennsylvania, and when we do this investigation as to what they are doing or not doing with funds, we need to remember and consider the uniqueness of those institutions in and of themselves and what they bring to the table as institutions. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the lady from Montgomery, Mrs. Cohen.

Mrs. COHEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to speak in opposition to HR 181.

I did not wear red and blue purposely today, but after listening to this debate, I am proud to be wearing the red and the blue, having been at Penn for 7 years and holding two degrees.

I am all for accountability, and we did just that last year when we had the special committee. We listened to these arguments. We delved deeply into the functioning and the expenditures of all of these schools that received public funding, but I find that this resolution and what is intended by the resolution to be an unwarranted State interference — micromanagement of our institutions of higher learning of which we all should be proud here in Pennsylvania.

To do what we are doing, I think, is unfair, uncalled for. We have already looked into the accountability of these institutions. We did it last year in the special committee, which was chaired by Representative Robinson, and I find that this debate and dealing with this matter now, again, is an insult to our world-renowned institutions which make Pennsylvania proud. We should be proud of what these institutions produce. Accountability, we have already looked into that.

I would urge my fellow members to reject this and vote "no." Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Preston.

Mr. PRESTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am going to rise to support HR 181, and I do that very simply from the word of dealing with accountability.

I have had concerns when you have compensation packages of over \$1 million in dealing with faculty, when you have faculty getting two or three different checks from several different institutions within the institution itself, why is it that we give State money to facilities that receive money from elsewhere, and also raising strong concerns in dealing with how the funds are actually spent. Again, the word is dealing with accountability. We within our system actually give more money out to schools that are related or private in this Commonwealth than we do to our own public higher education system. I know people have said things about what has happened in the past, but this is here and today, and there are a lot of members who are within this body who have not had a chance to vote and relate to this specific issue.

Education within our higher sources is very important, but when you sit down and you hear of packages of individuals making over \$400,000 a year and they are not presidents of their institution, we need to look at some of these things and ask, why are we doing this? And not only that. When we find out individuals, if they are supposed to be full time, are making \$300,000 a year for a State-related university and hospital system

and then the State winds up also hiring them, too, I have serious problems about that, and maybe we need to start looking at the different forms of accountability.

Also, why are certain employees given the rights for their children to be able to attend and receive better packages as far as scholarships or tuition deferments as compared to other employees within the same institution?

The other thing we need to look at is that there are literally two or possibly three State-related or private institutions who will be giving moneys for fees for services to the local municipalities. Are we going to allot State money to be able to go to one institution and then they give \$1 million or \$2 million to a municipality they happen to reside in? I have serious questions in dealing with accountability and where our moneys are going, and that is one of the reasons why I am going to vote for HR 181.

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED**

The SPEAKER. Mr. Preston, I have some interesting news for you. You are not here; you are on leave. And it has just been brought to my attention that apparently your vote was not counted on the last roll call.

So with that, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Itkin, who asks that we return to leaves of absence and we remove the gentleman, Mr. Preston, from the list of those who have been granted a leave of absence.

Mr. PRESTON. Spoken like a true gentleman, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. And at some point a little bit later, I suggest the gentleman maybe review with the clerks what roll calls he may have missed and try and get that matter straightened out on the record.

Mr. PRESTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. You are all right now.

**CONSIDERATION OF HR 181 CONTINUED**

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The majority leader, Mr. Perzel, seeks recognition.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, the day has dragged on a whole lot longer than we wanted it to, but some of the same people, Mr. Speaker, who have been standing up saying they were against setting up the select committee and did not believe in select committees were for setting up select committees in the past. The names that ring out right away are Cowell, Steelman, and Mihalich, and they were special select committees set up under House resolutions of last session, HR 315 and HR 349; session 1991-92, HR's 20, 27, 144, 181; and in the prior session to that, HR's 229, 289, 319, 323. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House adopt the resolution as amended?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS-113**

Adolph	Fargo	Maitland	Schroder
Allen	Farmer	Major	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Marsico	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	Masland	Serafini
Baker	Fleagle	Mayernik	Sheehan
Bard	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Barley	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gannon	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Boscola	Gigliotti	Merry	Steil
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stern
Brown	Godshall	Nailor	Stish
Browne	Gruppo	Nickol	Strittmatter
Bunt	Habay	Nyce	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Olasz	Tigue
Chadwick	Hasay	Oliver	Trello
Civera	Hennessey	Perzel	True
Clark	Hershey	Pettit	Waugh
Clymer	Hess	Phillips	Williams
Conti	Hutchinson	Piccola	Wogan
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Pitts	Wozniak
DeLuca	Keller	Preston	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kenney	Raymond	Yewcic
DiGirolamo	King	Reber	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lawless	Reinard	Zug
Druce	Lederer	Rohrer	
Durham	Leh	Rublely	Ryan,
Egolf	Levdansky	Sather	Speaker
Fairchild	Lynch	Saylor	

**NAYS-85**

Battisto	DeWeese	Manderino	Santoni
Bebko-Jones	Evans	Markosek	Scrimanti
Belardi	Fajt	Melio	Shaner
Belfanti	Geist	Michlovic	Staback
Bishop	George	Mihalich	Stairs
Blaum	Gordner	Miller	Steelman
Buxton	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Caltagirone	Hanna	Pesci	Sturla
Carone	Herman	Petrarca	Surra
Cawley	Horsey	Petrone	Tangretti
Cohen, L. J.	Itkin	Pistella	Travaglio
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Platts	Trich
Colafella	Kaiser	Ramos	Tulli
Colaizzo	Kirkland	Readshaw	Vance
Corpora	Krebs	Richardson	Van Home
Corrigan	Kukovich	Rieger	Veon
Cowell	LaGrotta	Roberts	Vitali
Coy	Laughlin	Robinson	Walko
Curry	Lescovitz	Rooney	Washington
Daley	Lloyd	Rudy	Wright, D. R.
Dent	Lucyk	Sainato	Youngblood
Dermody			

**NOT VOTING-3**

Carn	James	Jarolin
------	-------	---------

**EXCUSED-2**

Roebuck	Thomas
---------	--------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution as amended was adopted.

CALENDAR CONTINUED

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1815, PN 2176**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **MICHLOVIC** offered the following amendment No. **A4004**:

- Amend Sec. 1, page 1, lines 9 and 10, by striking out all of said lines
- Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 11, by striking out “(2)” and inserting (1)
- Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 12, by striking out “(3)” and inserting (2)
- Amend Sec. 1, page 1, lines 14 through 16, by striking out all of said lines and inserting
  - (3) For instruction in Veterinary Medicine programs only . . . . . 7,456,000
  - (4) For the New Bolton Animal Center . . . . . 4,154,000
- Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 17, by striking out “(6)” and inserting (5)
- Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 2, by striking out “(7)” and inserting (6)

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On the adoption of amendment A4004, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Michlovic.

Mr. **MICHLOVIC**. Mr. Speaker, before I explain my amendment, I would like to make a very brief inquiry of the chairman of the Appropriations Committee. Would he stand for interrogation?

The **SPEAKER**. Is this in connection with your amendment, sir?

Mr. **MICHLOVIC**. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. In the interest of saving time, rather than arguing about it, the gentleman, Mr. Pitts, indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. **MICHLOVIC**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, in the appropriation for the University of Pennsylvania, there is a shift of some \$6 million from last year’s budget, which was \$15,489,000 for the instruction, a shift of that \$6 million, with \$3 million going to the veterinary instruction and the other \$3 million going to the New Bolton Center. Mr. Speaker, my question is, do you know of any activity or anything going on in either the veterinary instruction school or the New Bolton Center which justifies that shift of \$3 million into either of them?

Mr. **PITTS**. Yes, Mr. Speaker. We want to end the interuniversity transfer so that we can put our money directly into the veterinary school and the agriculture mission of the Commonwealth.

Mr. **MICHLOVIC**. Mr. Speaker, could you explain that transfer again? You were transferring money at the end of the year. What are you rectifying there?

Mr. **PITTS**. Mr. Speaker, the university bills the veterinary school and the New Bolton Center for services, and we want to end that and subsidize directly to the veterinary school.

Mr. **MICHLOVIC**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I will now speak on my amendment.

The **SPEAKER**. The gentleman is recognized on his amendment.

Mr. **MICHLOVIC**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, my amendment to HB 1815, which is the appropriation for the University of Pennsylvania, removes some \$15 million, which was last year’s line item for general instruction, out of the legislation. It removes the \$3 million I just discussed with the chairman of the Appropriations Committee from the veterinary instruction and \$3 million from the New Bolton Center, because, essentially, I believe they were trying to hide that money in a more defensible institution – namely, the vet center and the New Bolton clinic – because they knew that other members and I were looking at that line item of \$15 million for removal to the IAG fund.

Now that I do not have the IAG fund in the earlier legislation, the amendments that were voted nongermane earlier, I still think that it is essentially unfair that we have the University of Pennsylvania getting an inordinate amount of money per student for a Pennsylvania student. Last year there were 1,971 undergraduate students that were Pennsylvania residents, which is only 18 percent of their entire undergraduate student body, and we are funding these— If we were funding this school in that amount in the same fashion as we were funding the IAG, for each Pennsylvania resident student, we would be giving \$6,682. Other private colleges and universities across Pennsylvania are getting only \$940. The institution or the college or university in your district – and there are some 97 across the State; you are bound to have one, if not in your district, very close, where many of your students go – they receive only \$940. The students at Penn receive \$6,682 per Pennsylvania resident student. That is not even the students that would be eligible under the IAG. There are certain eligibility requirements we apply to the IAG student, the Pennsylvania resident, in terms of income. I am just taking all of the Pennsylvania residents, regardless of their income eligibility, and that would be \$6,682. If we would narrow that even further, it would be a higher number. So they are getting an inordinate amount of money per Pennsylvania resident student in this school, and it is not fair. It is not fair to the rest of the schools. It is not fair to your constituents in those schools.

What I am suggesting is that we take that \$15 1/2 million out of their line item and we begin seriously considering putting it into the IAG fund so the rest of the students, including Penn, those students who are eligible, so they could all get a little more money for their colleges and private universities and private colleges around the State and we can get it off the number of \$930 or \$940, which it was last year.

For that reason, Mr. Speaker, I urge adoption of the amendment.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. **LLOYD**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I oppose the amendment.

Mr. Speaker, regardless of what kind of transfers might be going on within the university system, the fact remains that if the university gets \$9 million less for general government than this bill would have given it, the vet school is going to get less money. Whether that is \$6 million less or whether it is some other number, it is going to get less money. I have been over the years somewhat critical of the proposition that we ought to give general government funding to the University of Pennsylvania and not give that funding to other private institutions in this Commonwealth, but I am a strong supporter of the School of Veterinary Medicine.

I have had the opportunity to visit New Bolton three times, most recently a few weeks ago, and probably most of you who do not come from that area or who do not sit on the Agriculture Committee have not ever been there, but I can guarantee you that it is not a gold-plated institution, notwithstanding the millions of dollars which we have poured in there to try to help improve the diagnostic and animal research capability of that institution.

It is my hope that if we adopt this bill as it was approved by the Appropriations Committee, we will in fact be able to benefit Pennsylvania students significantly. At the present time, a Pennsylvania resident is able to go to a school of veterinary medicine outside of Pennsylvania for a substantially lower cost than he has to pay to go to the Penn vet school. For example, at Cornell it is around a little over \$11,000 for a Pennsylvania resident, whereas at the University of Pennsylvania, it is over \$19,000. What we are attempting to do with this appropriation is to enable the School of Veterinary Medicine to lower that tuition rate so that Pennsylvania students can go to school in Pennsylvania.

So, Mr. Speaker, not only to benefit those students but also to assure that we have a strong diagnostic and animal research and animal health component at the Penn vet school, I urge us to defeat this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question, the gentleman, Mr. Horsey.

Mr. HORSEY. Mr. Speaker, this represents micromanagement again, not to be redundant. We really do not need it.

The University of Penn makes a tremendous contribution to Philadelphia. They are the number one employer in the Philadelphia area.

I would urge a "no" vote against this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Michlovic, for the second time on his amendment.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd, had a good point, but I remind you of my point that I made earlier. Only 18 percent of the undergrads at the University of Pennsylvania are Pennsylvania residents. I do not know the exact number of Pennsylvania residents that are in the vet school, but if we apply that percentage to the number of students in the vet school, we basically have a vet school in Pennsylvania that we are funding at a rather nice number that four-fifths of the students, better than four-fifths of the students are from out of State, and I remind you of that. Plus I will remind you that we are leaving in that bill — I am not gutting this entire bill of its appropriation — \$4.2 million for medical instruction, \$994,000 for the dental school, \$7.4 million for the veterinary instruction, \$4.1 million for the New Bolton Center, \$1.9 million for food and animal clinic services, and \$1.2 million for the Center for Animal Health.

So we are not taking all of the money; we are not gutting it out. We are attempting in this amendment to send a very strong message that we want some fairness injected into the system and we want students from across the State to get a more equitable share of the resources of this Commonwealth. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—22

Blaum	Haluska	Michlovic	Robinson
Cowell	Jarolin	Pesci	Stetler
Daley	Kaiser	Petrone	Trich
DeLuca	Levdansky	Pistella	Van Horne
Fajt	Markosek	Readshaw	Wozniak
Gamble	Mayernik		

## NAYS—177

Adolph	Durham	Lloyd	Schroder
Allen	Egolf	Lucyk	Schuler
Argall	Evans	Lynch	Scrimanti
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maitland	Semmel
Baker	Fargo	Major	Serafini
Bard	Farmer	Manderino	Shaner
Barley	Feese	Marsico	Sheehan
Battisto	Fichter	Masland	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Flick	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Birmelin	Geist	Melio	Stairs
Bishop	George	Merry	Steelman
Boscola	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Steil
Boyes	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stern
Brown	Godshall	Miller	Stish
Browne	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Nailor	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Nickol	Surra
Buxton	Habay	Nyce	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hanna	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Oliver	Tigue
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Chadwick	Herman	Petrarca	Trello
Civera	Hershey	Pettit	True
Clark	Hess	Phillips	Tulli
Clymer	Horsey	Piccola	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pitts	Veon
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Platts	Vitali
Colafrella	Jadlowiec	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	James	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Josephs	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Reber	Williams
Corpora	Kennedy	Reinard	Wogan
Corrigan	King	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Coy	Kirkland	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Krebs	Rohrer	Yewcic
Dempsey	Kukovich	Rooney	Youngblood
Dent	LaGrotta	Rubley	Zimmerman
Dermody	Laughlin	Rudy	Zug
DeWeese	Lawless	Sainato	
DiGirolamo	Lederer	Santoni	Ryan,
Donatucci	Leh	Sather	Speaker
Druce	Lescovitz	Saylor	



NOT VOTING-2

Carn Roberts

EXCUSED-2

Roebuck Thomas

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. KAISER offered the following amendment No. A4099:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 9, by inserting after "instruction" to Pennsylvania residents

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Kaiser.

Mr. KAISER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

If HB 1815 becomes law, we will be giving the University of Pennsylvania \$35 1/2 million this year. That money is broken down into seven different items, and one item is instructions, and that particular item is \$9.4 million.

Now, I was fortunate to be part of that select committee on nonpreferreds, and we had the University of Pennsylvania in on October 17, 1994, and they informed me that in 1993, 17 1/2 percent of the students that attend Penn are Commonwealth residents. In 1994 it was 18.4 percent. So basically, what you have is four out of every five students that attend the University of Pennsylvania are not Commonwealth students, and what this money does is it underwrites everyone's costs.

My amendment is very simple. It would take the \$9.489 million and divide it among the Pennsylvania students.

I would like one more comment. There is no difference in tuition costs for in-State or out-of-State students. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I happen to concur with the gentleman. I think he is right. I think the money should be used for people from Pennsylvania, and I would urge an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Kaiser, you cannot do any better than that.

Mr. KAISER. We discussed this amendment in Democratic caucus, and I, with several other members, decided that the wording was not proper, and I submitted another amendment, A4131, which takes the fuzziness out of it, and I would like to ask for a suspension in the rules.

The SPEAKER. We do not have that amendment, nor is it on the board, speaking of fuzziness.

Mr. KAISER. Okay.

It was submitted at least 3 or 4 hours ago; 3 o'clock, to be exact.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

The gentleman is going to have to ask for a suspension of the rules. It is my understanding that this differs from the other amendment. The Chair does not have it within its power to make such a substitution.

Mr. KAISER. Okay.

The SPEAKER. Now, this is something that I suggest you talk to the majority leader and the minority floor leader about.

Mr. KAISER. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Kaiser.

Mr. KAISER. We will stick with the first amendment.

The SPEAKER. On the question of adoption of the fuzzy Kaiser amendment, those in favor will vote "aye"; opposed, "no."

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-197

Adolph	Fairchild	Maitland	Saylor
Allen	Fajt	Major	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Manderino	Schuler
Armstrong	Farmer	Markosek	Scrimenti
Baker	Feese	Marsico	Semmel
Bard	Fichter	Masland	Serafini
Barley	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Flick	McCall	Sheehan
Bebko-Jones	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Belardi	Gannon	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Geist	Melio	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	George	Merry	Staback
Bishop	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Blaum	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steelman
Boscola	Godshall	Mihalich	Steil
Boyes	Gordner	Miller	Stern
Brown	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Browne	Gruppo	Nailor	Stish
Bunt	Habay	Nickol	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nycc	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	O'Brien	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhart	Olasz	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tiguc
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Hutchinson	Pettit	Trich
Clymer	Itkin	Phillips	Truc
Cohen, M.	Jadlowiec	Piccola	Tulli
Colafella	James	Pistella	Vance
Colaizzo	Jarolin	Pitts	Van Horne
Conti	Josephs	Platts	Veon
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Vitali
Corpora	Keller	Ramos	Walko
Cowell	Kenney	Ramond	Washington
Coy	King	Readshaw	Waugh
Curry	Kirkland	Reber	Williams
Daley	Krebs	Reinard	Wogan
DeLuca	Kukovich	Richardson	Wozniak
Dempsey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Dent	Laughlin	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dermody	Lawless	Robinson	Yewcic
DeWeese	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Leh	Rooney	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rublely	Zug
Druce	Levdansky	Rudy	
Durham	Lloyd	Sainato	Ryan,
Egolf	Lucyk	Santoni	Speaker
Evans	Lynch	Sather	

NAYS-3

Cohen, L. I.            Corrigan            Horsey

NOT VOTING-1

Carn

EXCUSED-2

Roebuck            Thomas

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?  
Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.  
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?  
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-196

Adolph	Egolf	Lynch	Sather
Allen	Evans	Maitland	Saylor
Argall	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Armstrong	Fajt	Manderino	Schuler
Baker	Fargo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Bard	Farmer	Marsico	Semmel
Barley	Feese	Masland	Serafini
Battisto	Fichter	Mayernik	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	McCall	Sheehan
Belardi	Flick	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Gamble	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gannon	Melio	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Geist	Merry	Staback
Blaum	George	Michlovic	Stairs
Boscola	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Steil
Boyes	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stern
Brown	Godshall	Miller	Stetler
Browne	Gordner	Mundy	Stish
Bunt	Gruitza	Nailor	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Nickol	Sturla
Buxton	Habay	Nyce	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhart	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hasay	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Carone	Hennessey	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Herman	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Pettit	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	True
Cohen, L. I.	Itkin	Piccola	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Vance
Colafrella	James	Pitts	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jarolin	Platts	Veon
Conti	Josephs	Preston	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Walko
Corpora	Keller	Raymond	Washington
Corrigan	Kenny	Readshaw	Waugh
Cowell	King	Reber	Williams
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Wogan
Curry	Krebs	Richardson	Wozniak

Daley	Kukovich	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic
Dent	Lawless	Rohrer	Youngblood
Dermody	Lederer	Rooney	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Leh	Rubley	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Rudy	
Donatucci	Levdansky	Sainato	Ryan, Speaker
Druce	Lloyd	Santoni	
Durham	Lucyk		

NAYS-4

Haluska            Hanna            Pesci            Steelman

NOT VOTING-1

Carn

EXCUSED-2

Roebuck            Thomas

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1816, PN 2177**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of November 30, 1965 (P.L.843, No.355), entitled "An act providing for the establishment and operation of Temple University as an instrumentality of the Commonwealth to serve as a State-related university in the higher education system of the Commonwealth; providing for change of name; providing for the composition of the board of trustees; \* \* \* providing for the auditing of accounts of expenditures from said appropriations; authorizing the issuance of bonds exempt from taxation within the Commonwealth; requiring the President to make an annual report of the operations of Temple University," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations; and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated and for certain fiscal information disclosure.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **COWELL** offered the following amendment No. **A3992**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 23, by inserting after "Section 1."  
(a)

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 7 and 8

(b) The appropriations provided in subsection (a) shall be contingent upon the university complying with all the provisions of the act of June 21, 1957 (P.L.390, No.212), referred to as the Right-to-Know Law, as they are applied to agencies covered by that law.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, we have had several hours of discussion about the need for accountability, the need to make sure that institutions which receive State funding are accountable for the way they operate and more responsive to the needs of Pennsylvania citizens. This amendment, very simply, will require Temple University, which with this legislation would receive \$144 million next year, to abide by the Commonwealth's Right-to-Know Law. The Right-to-Know Law currently applies to all public agencies, agencies of State government, our school districts, our municipalities, our State-owned universities, as well as our community colleges. The exception to the application of the Right-to-Know Law is for our four State-related universities: Temple, Penn State, Pitt, and Lincoln. This is the first in a series of four amendments that I will be offering to make their appropriations, and in this case, the Temple appropriation, conditioned upon the university abiding by the State's Right-to-Know Law. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Corrigan, from Bucks.

Mr. CORRIGAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the Cowell amendment.

Last year the General Assembly adopted the bipartisan accountability reporting requirements. Those reports are due in December of this year, Mr. Speaker. I feel that it is premature to adopt right-to-know language when we have not had an opportunity to evaluate the reports that we required from the universities last year.

For that reason, Mr. Speaker, I urge defeat of the Cowell amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question of the adoption of the Cowell amendment, the gentleman, Mr. Stairs.

Mr. STAIRS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I certainly am going to oppose this amendment.

To refresh the members about what happened last year and also to tell the new members, during the appropriation process last year, bipartisan language was amended into the nonpreferred appropriations, which are the State-related institutions — Penn State, Pitt, Lincoln, and Temple, and of course we are addressing Temple right now — to provide a public available annual report to be submitted prior to December of 1995. This amendment requires the State-related universities to disclose, and it is a very comprehensive disclosure.

As we were talking a while back in a previous resolution looking into higher education funding, there is work already in progress that would be very comprehensive in going through the books of our different schools, and it already includes academic and administrative budgets; number of employees and by academic rank; number of administration staff, clerical, technical, and service employees; median and mean salaries of administrators, faculty, staff, clerical, and technical service employees; nonsalary compensation; statements of institution's retirement policies; policy statements on reduction of tuition for employees' family members; service contracts; a list of goods and contracts in excess of \$1,000; a list by unit of expenses for travel. As you can see, the list goes on and on and is very, very comprehensive.

Now, this report is due in December, and I think it is incumbent upon the General Assembly to at least allow for this data collection

which is in process to be compiled and presented to us, and also we can look at it in terms of accountability.

Last year Representative Cowell offered this amendment. This unintended, negative impact of this right-to-know amendment would greatly curtail this report, and I would hope that we would vote today to let the process continue, that we would not change our course that we established last year at this time when we were doing the nonpreferreds. So I would urge the membership to again defeat this amendment and allow the accountability report that is now being compiled to take place, and we shall hear from it in the very, very near future.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I oppose this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes, for the second time on the issue, the gentleman, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, I find it necessary to disagree with my friend, Representative Stairs, on this issue.

We did the right thing last year when we approved the Jess Stairs amendment for each of the nonpreferreds, and we do require the institutions to submit certain kinds of reports to us, but that is not adequate. We just spent 4 hours with member after member standing here saying we need more accountability; we need to hold these institutions to other standards; we need more information from these institutions. We just authorized a whole investigation of these institutions around these matters. So surely, a majority in this House thinks that what we already require is not enough.

But secondly and most importantly, the Stairs amendment that is currently a part of the law speaks year after year, one year at a time in the appropriations process, to what we expect institutions to give us as an institution, what kind of report we want them to give us.

What my amendment does though is to speak to a different principle, and it is the principle that any one of our constituents, any taxpayer in this State, any citizen in this State, ought to have a right to walk in and get certain kinds of information with due notice and paying copying costs, if that is required, from one of our school districts, which is already the law; from one of our municipalities, which is already the law; from this legislature, which is already the law; from a State System university, which is already the law; from a community college, which is already a law, but also from Pitt, Penn State, Temple, and Lincoln, and that is where the whole curly is in the law.

Those of you who have suggested this afternoon that the universities are hiding something, they are opposed to this amendment. They do not want to give citizens, your constituents, our taxpayers, this basic right.

We ought to support this amendment. We ought to support the taxpayers of this Commonwealth by approving this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Dent, is recognized on the question of the Cowell amendment.

Mr. DENT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in opposition to the Cowell amendment. Last year at this time I spoke in opposition to this particular amendment.

We must be very clear that we should not impose this kind of an amendment upon institutions engaged in seminal research. We will destroy, I believe, their ability to conduct research in the future if we open up faculty salaries to public scrutiny so that faculty can fight among themselves and see who is being paid more than the other. But the fact is, some people deserve to be paid more than

others. Some are engaged more in research than others and can justify the higher salary. But you could, I believe, destroy an institution's ability to do research like Pitt, Temple, and Penn State.

It was also noted earlier by the previous speakers that we did engage upon a process last year that was adopted by this House that requires greater accountability measures by all the State-related institutions. I think we should let that process continue and await the report that is due in December.

Again, I urge opposition to this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Greene, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, a little bit ago I came to the microphone and I indicated that I thought the gentleman from Montgomery County, Mr. Lawless, and his proposal were moving in the direction of micromanagement, and I opposed that move. As Mr. Cowell indicated, he hated to go against his friend, Mr. Stairs. I hate to go against my friend, Mr. Cowell, but I think that if micromanagement in the Lawless effort is to be opposed by me, then micromanagement in the Cowell effort is to be opposed by me.

The gentleman who just spoke, Mr. Dent, the gentleman from the Lehigh Valley, said that seminal research could possibly be compromised. I think if our stupendous university complex in our State is to be maintained among the best of the 50 States, these wonderful universities do not need to be hamstrung by this type of legislative intercession.

I would leave the microphone asking for a vote against the Cowell amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-57

Bebko-Jones	George	Manderino	Scrimenti
Belardi	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Steelman
Bishop	Habay	Melio	Stetler
Blaum	Haluska	Michlovic	Sturla
Boscola	Horsey	Mihalich	Surra
Buxton	Itkin	Mundy	Tigue
Cappabianca	James	Olasz	Trello
Carone	Josephs	Pesci	Veon
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Pistella	Vitali
Cowell	Kirkland	Preston	Washington
DeLuca	Kukovich	Readshaw	Williams
Evans	Lawless	Richardson	Wozniak
Fairchild	I. evdanskyy	Robinson	Wright, D. R.
Fajt	Lloyd	Rooney	Wright, M. N.
Gamble			

NAYS-143

Adolph	Druce	Lynch	Sather
Allen	Durham	Maitland	Saylor
Argall	Egolf	Major	Schroder
Armstrong	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Baker	Farmer	Marsico	Semmel
Bard	Feese	Masland	Serafini
Barley	Fichter	McCall	Shaner
Battisto	Fleagle	McGeehan	Sheehan
Belfanti	Flick	McGill	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Gannon	Merry	Smith, S. H.
Boyes	Gcist	Micozzic	Snyder, D. W.

Brown	Gladeck	Miller	Staback
Browne	Godshall	Nailor	Stairs
Bunt	Gordner	Nickol	Steil
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Nyce	Stern
Caltagirone	Gruppo	O'Brien	Stish
Cawley	Hanna	Oliver	Strittmatter
Chadwick	Harhart	Perzel	Tangretti
Civera	Hasay	Petrarca	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hennessey	Petrone	Taylor, J.
Clymer	Herman	Pettit	Travaglio
Cohen, L. I.	Hershey	Phillips	Trich
Colafella	Hess	Piccola	True
Colaizzo	Hutchinson	Pitts	Tulli
Conti	Jadlowiec	Platts	Vance
Cornell	Jarolin	Ramos	Van Home
Corpora	Keller	Raymond	Walko
Corrigan	Kenney	Reber	Waugh
Coy	King	Reinard	Wogan
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Yewcic
Daley	LaGrotta	Roberts	Youngblood
Dempsey	Laughlin	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Dent	Ledcrer	Rublely	Zug
Dermody	Leh	Rudy	
DeWeese	Lescovitz	Sainato	Ryan,
DiGiroilamo	Lucyk	Santoni	Speaker
Donatucci			

NOT VOTING-1

Carn

EXCUSED-2

Roebuck

Thomas

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. ROBINSON offered the following amendment No. A4095:

Amend Sec. 8, page 6, by inserting between lines 16 and 17 "Academic support." Includes expenses for such programs as audio-visual services, academic administration, libraries, museums and computing support. This term shall not include indirect overhead expenses.

"Educational expenses." Includes ordinary and necessary direct costs, reasonable in nature, expended for the purpose of providing instruction. Such expenses may include, but not be limited to, classroom materials, instructors' salaries including ordinary payroll-related expenses such as the employer's share of the Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA), and associated employee benefits that are made available to all classes of employees, reasonable and necessary travel costs, as well as other incidental expenses normally associated with instruction. For purposes of this definition, "educational expenses" shall not include all indirect and other overhead charges such as administrative and support-service cost allocations, as well as any other costs specifically prohibited by Commonwealth statute.

Amend Sec. 8, page 6, line 22, by inserting after "(b)(3)." The term shall include the following expenses: academic support, educational expenses, general expenses, out-of-pocket expenses and research expenses.

“General expenses.” Ordinary and necessary direct costs, reasonable in nature, expended for the purpose of maintaining the operations of the institution. Such expenses shall include, but not be limited to, costs associated with the day-to-day operations of the institution for fiscal operations and administrative support services. These services shall include, but not be limited to, accounting, purchasing, maintenance, treasury and admissions, as well as those associated with the President or Chancellor’s Office, Deans’ offices and other high level administrative personnel of the institution.

“Operations and maintenance expenses.” Expenses incurred as direct costs, reasonable in nature, including, but not limited to, those associated with repairs, utility costs, insurance and safety-related costs to the physical plant. Such expenses shall not include capital budget expenditures as well as other costs specifically prohibited by Commonwealth statute.

“Research expenses.” Ordinary and necessary direct costs, reasonable in nature, expended for the purpose of exploration, testing, data acquisition, and/or the creation of a new concept, idea, method or physical structure or substance. Such expenses may include, but not be limited to, direct costs associated with the operation of the research facilities, as well as the salaries and associate employe benefits that are available to all classes of employes, classroom space, laboratory space and materials, as well as equipment whose use is directly related to the research being conducted by the institution. Such expenses shall not include all indirect and overhead charges allocated to the research project or facility, as well as any other costs specifically prohibited by the Commonwealth.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Robinson.

Mr. ROBINSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, let me first indicate that I would appreciate it if all the members would read this amendment very carefully so that as I explain what my purpose is, they will not confuse it with any other attempts here today.

First of all, this amendment is a reflection of the work of the nonpreferred select committee that I chaired last year and I made reference to earlier and several members have alluded to during today’s debate.

This amendment is specifically designed to categorize the moneys that are provided to Temple University, State-related, and to provide to this legislature a better means of tracking those funds that are expended.

I am asking that we define some categories for the expenses that will give to the university some indication as to what our legislative intent is. There are no caps related to any of the payments or any of the amounts, and I think this also begins to answer some of the concerns that were expressed by my colleagues, Mr. Preston and Mr. Gamble, in terms of what we often read about in the newspapers and what we often are told by our constituents relative to their interpretation as to what is going on in our State-related universities. So this amendment is simply designed to create some categories and to better track the dollars that we are appropriating in this particular instance to Temple University.

One last comment, if I might. A comment was made earlier that there is a process in place that will produce some type of documentation at the end of December of 1995 relative to nonpreferred appropriations, 95 percent of which go to our State-related universities. The legislation authorizing that reporting procedure asked for a report after the money has been spent. But

what I am indicating is, if we put some categories in place and identify a tracking system, it is much easier for this legislature and anyone who is authorized to determine how Commonwealth money is spent based on us identifying beforehand, up front, exactly what we would have the university do.

This is not an attempt to micromanage. This amendment does not deal with management. This is an issue of determining exactly how we want to be able to track those dollars that this legislature appropriates.

Mr. Speaker, I would appreciate an affirmative vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester County, Mr. Pitts.

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, I am informed that this amendment will cost the university an extra \$100,000. They will have to set up another bookkeeping system.

I rise to ask the members to oppose the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The question before the House is the adoption of the amendment offered by the gentleman, Mr. Robinson, who is recognized at this time.

Mr. ROBINSON. Mr. Speaker, if I might, just in reference to Chairman Pitts’ comments. All members should have on their desks a copy of a fiscal note generated by the majority chair and his staff, which indicates that this amendment will not cost the Commonwealth one penny. We are talking about money that the Commonwealth is appropriating to a State-related university. It would not cost the Commonwealth any money.

For those who previously have expressed a concern, particularly about Mr. Lawless’ effort and voted in favor of what he wanted to do, I think you will find this amendment most helpful to you, and I think it is a very responsible thing to do. Again, it speaks to Mr. Gamble’s concern of how our constituents respond to what they read in the paper and how they respond to how we appropriate money.

I would ask you kindly to support this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Stairs.

Mr. STAIRS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I oppose this amendment.

To clarify a point on who does this cost, well, in this case it is going to cost Temple University \$100,000. So whether it is costing the Commonwealth or Temple, \$100,000 is \$100,000.

And certainly what we are doing here if we approve this amendment – and not being an accountant, I am not going to be an expert in this area – but to give you very precise reasoning on my part is that we are going to have a two-tier accounting system. We are going to have an accounting system that is not universally accepted by the people who work with books and who try to keep the records and make our fiscal matters make sense, and I am afraid what we are going to have is, we are looking at accountability, we are looking at colleges and universities to present to us the true facts, and the more you start shuffling the books, the more recordkeeping you have, the more bureaucracy and nightmares you have, the more cloudy it is going to be and the more difficult for us as legislators and other people in the public to find out the true meaning of what colleges are spending. So let us keep it simple. Let us just cut to the point, and let us make the colleges be accountable by not having three or four different books to keep.

I oppose this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The gentleman, Mr. Preston, for the first time.

Mr. PRESTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
 Will the gentleman, Mr. Pitts, stand for interrogation?  
 The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will. You may proceed.  
 Mr. PRESTON. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman, Mr. Robinson, has stated that this will not cost the State any money, and I think that your statement is that it will cost Temple \$100,000. Am I correct in that?  
 Mr. PITTS. That is correct. It will not cost the Commonwealth money; it will cost the institution money.  
 Mr. PRESTON. When we were having our Appropriations hearings, do I recollect correctly that the University of Temple was in the process of negotiating a fee of up to \$2 million in lieu of taxes for the city of Philadelphia?  
 Mr. PITTS. No, Mr. Speaker; I do not believe it was Temple.  
 Mr. PRESTON. Are you saying that the subject never came up and they have not said that they were negotiating with the city of Philadelphia to make a payment in lieu of taxes?  
 Mr. PITTS. I believe that was another institution you are talking about.  
 Mr. PRESTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
 May I continue my interrogation and interrogate the gentleman, Mr. Robinson?  
 The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Robinson, consents to interrogation. You may begin.  
 Mr. PRESTON. Thank you.  
 Mr. Speaker, could you tell me, within your report, was there or was there not already in place in the University of Temple an accounting system that possibly would be able to handle the needs of the request for your amendment?  
 Mr. ROBINSON. No, Mr. Speaker; that is not true.  
 Those systems that are in place at the State-related universities do not address the concerns of my particular amendment. My particular amendment relates to categorizing moneys prior to them being delivered literally to the university. The mechanisms that are in place at Temple, Pitt, Penn State, and the University of Pittsburgh relate to reporting procedures after they receive the moneys.  
 Mr. PRESTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
 May I speak on the amendment?  
 The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.  
 Mr. PRESTON. I think the gentleman's amendment is quite apropos. Anytime someone is about to give \$144,386,000 of State taxpayers' dollars should be willing to put up the accounting system to be able to show the people who are giving them, literally giving them the money, should be willing to show us how and where they spent it. If not, then we are not being accountable to our own constituents. I do not know how we can argue against this amendment because, like I said, \$144,386,000 we are giving them and we are not even going to ask them how they are going to expend it. Yes, they may give us a broad overview, but we are not even making them accountable for all of this money, millions of dollars every single year.  
 Mr. Speaker, I think we should be accountable to the constituents that we are elected to be able to represent. I think Mr. Robinson's amendment is quite apropos in this time. This is the time, as we heard across this country, to be able to be lean and mean, to make people more accountable, to make people be able to be responsible for the funds and the money that they get. Now all of a sudden I hear the majority chairman say, well, we do not need this. We do not want to know how Temple is going to spend

\$144,386,000. I do not imagine that many of us are going to fall into that catechism.  
 Let us support the Robinson amendment. I think it is about accountability. It is being responsible and accountable to the constituents that elect us and that send us here so that we can watch our tax dollars. Let us vote for the Robinson amendment.  
 The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.  
 On the question—  
 The gentleman, Mr. Robinson, has spoken twice on the subject.  
 Mr. ROBINSON. Final passage?  
 The SPEAKER. We are on the amendment. The gentleman has spoken twice on the amendment.  
 Mr. ROBINSON. What about on unanimous consent?  
 The SPEAKER. You must get elected with bigger majorities all the time.  
 On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Robinson. Mr. Robinson, you are recognized for 1 minute — 60, 59—  
 Mr. ROBINSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
 I would just like to ask the gentleman, Mr. Pitts, if he has any verification that the implementation of my amendment is going to cost Temple University \$100,000, and if he has that verification, could he share it with the members of the House prior to our vote?  
 Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, that is an estimate from the university.  
 The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.  
 On the question recurring,  
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—67

Bebko-Jones	Farmer	Manderino	Sainato
Belardi	Gamble	Markosek	Scrimanti
Bishop	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Shaner
Blaum	Gruitza	Michlovic	Steelman
Boscola	Haluska	Mundy	Sturla
Buxton	Horsey	Olasz	Tigue
Cappabianca	Itkin	Oliver	Travaglio
Carn	James	Pesci	Trello
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Petrarca	Trich
Corpora	Josephs	Petrone	Veon
Cowell	Kaiser	Pistella	Vitali
Curry	Kirkland	Preston	Walko
Daley	Kukovich	Readshaw	Washington
DeLuca	LaGrotta	Richardson	Williams
Dermody	Lawless	Roberts	Wozniak
DeWeese	Levdansky	Robinson	Wright, D. R.
Fajt	Lloyd	Rooney	

NAYS—134

Adolph	Durham	Lucyk	Saylor
Allen	Egolf	Lynch	Schroder
Argall	Evans	Maitland	Schuler
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Semmel
Baker	Fargo	Marsico	Serafini
Bard	Feese	Masland	Sheehan
Barley	Fichter	McCall	Smith, B.
Battisto	Fleagle	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Flick	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gannon	Melio	Staback
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Stairs

Brown	George	Micozzie	Steil
Browne	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stern
Bunt	Godshall	Miller	Stetler
Butkovitz	Gordner	Nailor	Stish
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Nickol	Strittmatter
Carone	Habay	Nyce	Surra
Cawley	Hanna	O'Brien	Tangretti
Chadwick	Harhart	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hasay	Pettit	Taylor, J.
Clark	Hennessey	Phillips	True
Clymer	Herman	Piccola	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Hershey	Pitts	Vance
Colafella	Hess	Platts	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Hutchinson	Ramos	Waugh
Conti	Jadlowiec	Raymond	Wogan
Cornell	Keller	Reber	Wright, M. N.
Corrigan	Kenney	Reinard	Yewcic
Coy	King	Rieger	Youngblood
Dempsey	Krebs	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Dent	Laughlin	Rubley	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lederer	Rudy	
Donatucci	Leh	Santoni	Ryan,
Druce	Lescovitz	Sather	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Roebuck Thomas

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?  
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—189

Adolph	Egolf	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Evans	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Fairchild	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Fajt	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Fargo	Markosck	Semmel
Bard	Farmer	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Feese	Masland	Shaner
Battisto	Fichter	Mayernik	Sheehan
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	McCall	Smith, B.
Belardi	Flick	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gamble	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gannon	Melio	Staback
Bishop	Geist	Merry	Stairs
Boscola	George	Michlovic	Steil
Boyes	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Brown	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Browne	Godshall	Miller	Stish
Bunt	Gruitza	Mundy	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Habay	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Hanna	Nyce	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.

Carone	Hasay	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Hennessey	Oliver	Tigue
Civera	Herman	Perzel	Travaglio
Clark	Hershey	Petrarca	Trello
Clymer	Hess	Petrone	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pettit	True
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Phillips	Tulli
Colafella	Jadlowiec	Piccola	Vance
Colaizzo	Jarolin	Pistella	Van Horne
Conti	Josephs	Pitts	Veon
Cornell	Kaiser	Platts	Vitali
Corpora	Keller	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Kenney	Ramos	Washington
Cowell	King	Raymond	Waugh
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Wogan
Curry	Krebs	Reber	Wozniak
Daley	Kukovich	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Laughlin	Roberts	Yewcic
Dent	Lawless	Rohrer	Youngblood
Dermody	Lederer	Rooney	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Leh	Rubley	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Rudy	
Donatucci	Levdansky	Sainato	Ryan,
Druce	Lloyd	Santoni	Speaker
Durham	Lucyk	Sather	

NAYS—11

Blaum	Haluska	Pesci	Steelman
Cawley	Horsey	Richardson	Williams
Gordner	James	Robinson	

NOT VOTING—1

Carn

EXCUSED—2

Roebuck Thomas

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1817, PN 2184**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of April 1, 1863 (P.L.213, No.227), entitled "An act to accept the grant of Public Lands, by the United States, to the several states, for the endowment of Agricultural Colleges," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; and providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations, for a method of accounting for the funds appropriated and for certain fiscal information disclosure.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Cowell, offers the following amendment, which the clerk will read.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. DeWEESE. With all due respect, I thought there was an understanding that the Chair would attempt to bring our endeavors to a close at approximately 6 o'clock.

The SPEAKER. The Chair tried, but people kept talking. The gentleman, Mr. Cowell, for instance, is about to offer amendments which are duplicative of amendments he just offered. Perhaps he is satisfied that the vote will be the same and will withdraw them and we can just run through these next four bills. I know Mr. Lawless is in—

**MOTION TO ADJOURN**

Mr. DeWEESE. I move that we adjourn, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. I would suggest that we not debate it.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Perzel.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I would like to end the session, too, Mr. Speaker, but we have three bills left. The same amendments are being offered to the next three that were already defeated. If they would just remove the amendments, roll the votes on the three bills, we will go.

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adjournment motion—

The gentleman has not given us a time and date. I assume that it is until tomorrow at 11 a.m.?

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—97**

Battisto	Fajt	Manderino	Sainato
Belardi	Gamble	Markosek	Santoni
Belfanti	George	Mayernik	Scrimenti
Blaum	Gigliotti	McCall	Shaner
Boscola	Gordner	McGeehan	Staback
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Melio	Steelman
Buxton	Haluska	Michlovic	Stetler
Caltagirone	Hanna	Mihalich	Sturla
Cappabianca	Horsey	Mundy	Surra
Carn	Itkin	Olasz	Tangretti
Cawley	James	Oliver	Tigue
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Pesci	Travaglio
Colafigliola	Josephs	Petrarca	Trello
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Petrone	Trich
Corpora	Keller	Pistella	Van Horne
Corrigan	Kirkland	Preston	Veon
Cowell	Kukovich	Ramos	Vitali
Coy	LaGrotta	Readshaw	Walko
Curry	Laughlin	Richardson	Washington
Daley	Lederer	Rieger	Williams
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Roberts	Wozniak
Dermoddy	Levdansky	Robinson	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Lloyd	Rooney	Yewcic
Donatucci	Lucyk	Rudy	Youngblood
Evans			

**NAYS—102**

Adolph	Fairchild	Lynch	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Maitland	Schuler
Argall	Farmer	Major	Semmel
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Serafini
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Sheehan
Bard	Fleagle	McGill	Smith, B.
Barley	Flick	Merry	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gannon	Micozzie	Snyder, D. W.

Boyes	Geist	Miller	Stairs
Brown	Gladeck	Nailor	Steil
Browne	Godshall	Nickol	Stern
Bunt	Gruppo	Nyce	Stish
Carone	Habay	O'Brien	Strittmatter
Chadwick	Harhart	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hasay	Pettit	Taylor, J.
Clark	Hennessey	Phillips	True
Clymer	Herman	Piccola	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Hershey	Pitts	Vance
Conti	Hess	Platts	Waugh
Cornell	Hutchinson	Raymond	Wogan
Dempsey	Jadlowiec	Reber	Wright, M. N.
Dent	Kenney	Reinard	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	King	Rohrer	Zug
Druce	Krebs	Rubley	
Durham	Lawless	Sather	Ryan,
Egolf	Leh	Saylor	Speaker

**NOT VOTING—2**

Bebko-Jones	Bishop
-------------	--------

**EXCUSED—2**

Roebuck	Thomas
---------	--------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

**CONSIDERATION OF HB 1817 CONTINUED**

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. COWELL offered the following amendment No. A3996:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 10, by inserting after "1."

(a)

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 15 and 16

(b) The appropriations provided in subsection (a) shall be contingent upon The Pennsylvania State University complying with all the provisions of the act of June 21, 1957 (P.L.390, No.212), referred to as the Right-to-Know Law, as they are applied to agencies covered by that law.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, thank you.

Mr. Speaker, this is similar to the amendment that I had offered in the context of the Temple appropriation. In this case Penn State will receive more than a quarter of a billion dollars through this appropriation. The citizens of State College have a right to visit their school district and look at financial records, and that school district receives less than \$5 million. This institution receiving \$173 million ought to abide by the same rule as the school district.

Mr. Speaker, I would urge that we approve this amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?



The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-49

Bebko-Jones	Gamble	Mayermik	Rooney
Bishop	Gruitza	Melio	Scrimenti
Boscola	Haluska	Michlovic	Steelman
Buxton	Horsey	Mihalich	Stetler
Cappabianca	Itkin	Mundy	Sturla
Carone	James	Pesci	Surra
Cawley	Kaiser	Pistella	Tigue
Cohen, M.	Kirkland	Preston	Veon
Cowell	Lawless	Ramos	Washington
Curry	Levdansky	Readshaw	Wozniak
DeLuca	Lloyd	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Evans	Manderino	Robinson	Youghblood
Fajt			

NAYS-150

Adolph	Durham	Lucyk	Saylor
Allen	Egolf	Lynch	Schroder
Argall	Fairchild	Maitland	Schuler
Armstrong	Fargo	Major	Semmel
Baker	Farmer	Markosek	Serafini
Bard	Feese	Marsico	Shaner
Barley	Fichter	Masland	Shechan
Battisto	Fleagle	McCall	Smith, B.
Belardi	Flick	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gannon	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Geist	Merry	Staback
Blaum	George	Micozzie	Stairs
Boyes	Gigliotti	Miller	Steil
Brown	Gladeck	Nailor	Stern
Browne	Godshall	Nickol	Stish
Bunt	Gordner	Nyce	Stritmatter
Butkovitz	Gruppo	O'Brien	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Habay	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Hanna	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Civera	Harhart	Perzel	Travaglio
Clark	Hasay	Petrarca	Trello
Clymer	Hennessey	Petrone	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Herman	Pettit	True
Colafrella	Hershey	Phillips	Tulli
Colaizzo	Hess	Piccola	Vance
Conti	Hutchinson	Pitts	Van Horne
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Platts	Vitali
Corpora	Jarolin	Raymond	Waiko
Corrigan	Keller	Reber	Waugh
Coy	Kenney	Reinard	Williams
Daley	King	Rieger	Wogan
Dempsey	Krebs	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dent	Kukovich	Rohrer	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rublely	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rudy	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lederer	Sainato	
Donatucci	Leh	Santoni	Ryan,
Druce	Lescovitz	Sather	Speaker

NOT VOTING-2

Carn	Josephs
------	---------

EXCUSED-2

Roebuck	Thomas
---------	--------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **ROBINSON** offered the following amendment No. **A4096**:

Amend Title, page 1, line 5, by striking out "and"  
Amend Title, page 1, line 6, by removing the comma after "appropriations" and inserting  
; establishing a Commonwealth Appropriation Account; and providing

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 19 and 20

Section 3. For the purpose of assuring the proper accountability on the part of The Pennsylvania State University for the expenditure of the amounts appropriated by the Commonwealth, The Pennsylvania State University shall establish a Commonwealth Appropriation Account into which only the amounts appropriated by the Commonwealth shall be credited when received. The Pennsylvania State University shall apply the moneys in the Commonwealth Appropriation Account only for such purposes as are permitted in the Appropriation Act appropriating the same and shall at all times maintain proper records showing the application of such moneys. Not later than 90 days after the close of the fiscal year to which the specific appropriation relates, The Pennsylvania State University shall file with the General Assembly and with the Auditor General, a statement setting forth the amounts and purposes of all expenditures made from both the Commonwealth Appropriation Account and other university accounts during the fiscal year. Such statement of expenditures shall be reviewed by the Auditor General, and the Auditor General shall have the right in respect to the Commonwealth Appropriation Account to audit and disallow expenditures made for purposes not permitted by the appropriation act and to cause such sums to be recovered and paid by The Pennsylvania State University to the State Treasurer. In respect to expenditures made by the university from accounts other than the Commonwealth Appropriation Account, the Auditor General shall have the right to review only and the Auditor General shall file annually with the General Assembly such information concerning such expenditures as the General Assembly or any of its committees may require.

Amend Sec. 3, page 2, line 20, by striking out "3" and inserting 4

Amend Sec. 4, page 2, line 26, by striking out "4" and inserting 5

Amend Sec. 5, page 3, line 5, by striking out "5" and inserting 6

Amend Sec. 6, page 3, line 11, by striking out "6" and inserting 7

Amend Sec. 7, page 4, line 5, by striking out "7" and inserting 8

Amend Sec. 8, page 6, line 16, by striking out "8" and inserting 9

Amend Sec. 8, page 6, line 16, by striking out "7" and inserting 8

Amend Sec. 9, page 6, line 25, by striking out "9" and inserting 10

Amend Sec. 9, page 7, by inserting between lines 3 and 4

"Academic support." The term includes expenses for such programs as audio-visual services, academic administration, libraries, museums and computing support. The term shall not include indirect overhead expenses.

"Educational expenses." The term includes ordinary and necessary direct costs, reasonable in nature, expended for the purpose of providing instruction. Such expenses may include, but not be limited to, classroom materials, instructors' salaries, including ordinary payroll-related expenses such as the employer's share of the Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA), and associated employee benefits that are made available to all classes of employees, reasonable and necessary travel

costs, as well as other incidental expenses normally associated with instruction. For purposes of this definition, "educational expenses" shall not include all indirect and other overhead charges such as administrative and support-service cost allocations, as well as any other costs specifically prohibited by Commonwealth statute.

Amend Sec. 9, page 7, line 9, by inserting after "(b)(3)."

The term includes the following expenses: academic support, educational expenses, general expenses, out-of-pocket expenses and research expenses.

"General expenses." The term means ordinary and necessary direct costs, reasonable in nature, expended for the purpose of maintaining the operations of the institution. Such expenses shall include, but not be limited to, costs associated with the day-to-day operations of the institution for fiscal operations and administrative support services. These services shall include, but not be limited to, accounting, purchasing, maintenance, treasury and admissions, as well as those associated with the President or Chancellor's Office, deans' offices and other high-level administrative personnel of the institution.

"Operations and maintenance expenses." The term means expenses incurred as direct costs, reasonable in nature, including, but not limited to, those associated with repairs, utility costs, insurance and safety-related costs to the physical plant. Such expenses shall not include capital budget expenditures as well as other costs specifically prohibited by Commonwealth statute.

"Research expenses." The term means ordinary and necessary direct costs, reasonable in nature, expended for the purpose of exploration, testing, data acquisition and/or the creation of a new concept, idea, method or physical structure or substance. Such expenses may include, but not be limited to, direct costs associated with the operation of the research facilities, as well as the salaries and associate employee benefits that are available to all classes of employees, classroom space, laboratory space and materials, as well as equipment whose use is directly related to the research being conducted by the institution. Such expenses shall not include all indirect and overhead charges allocated to the research project or facility, as well as any other costs specifically prohibited by the Commonwealth.

Amend Sec. 10, page 10, line 13, by striking out "10" and inserting  
11

Amend Sec. 11, page 10, line 19, by striking out "11" and inserting  
12

Amend Sec. 12, page 10, line 29, by striking out "12" and inserting  
13

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman.

Mr. ROBINSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, not to belabor the point, this particular amendment, while it is similar to the previous one that was offered, has one variation, and if the chamber would just give me a minute, I will give it to you real quickly.

This particular amendment, in addition to having the requirements of the previous amendment that I offered, would also require Penn State University to open up a Commonwealth appropriation account. Penn State is the only State-related university that does not have a Commonwealth appropriation account. Again, this would help the legislature and other appropriate people to better track funds for Penn State.

I urge an affirmative vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—48

Bebko-Jones	Evans	Lloyd	Rooney
Belardi	Fajt	Manderino	Scrimenti
Bishop	Gamble	Markosek	Steelman
Boscola	Gruitza	Mayernik	Sturla
Buxton	Haluska	Michlovic	Tigue
Caltagirone	Horsey	Mundy	Trello
Cappabianca	Itkin	Pesci	Trich
Cawley	James	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Cowell	Kirkland	Readshaw	Washington
DeLuca	Lawless	Richardson	Wozniak
DeWeese	Levdansky	Robinson	Wright, D. R.

NAYS—151

Adolph	Fairchild	Maitland	Saylor
Allen	Fargo	Major	Schroder
Argall	Farmer	Marsico	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Masland	Semmel
Baker	Fichter	McCall	Serafini
Bard	Fleagle	McGeehan	Shaner
Barley	Flick	McGill	Sheehan
Battisto	Gannon	Melio	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Geist	Merry	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	George	Micozzie	Snyder, D. W.
Blaum	Gigliotti	Mihalich	Staback
Boyes	Gladeck	Miller	Stairs
Brown	Godshall	Nailor	Steil
Browne	Gordner	Nickol	Stern
Bunt	Gruppo	Nyce	Stetler
Butkovitz	Habay	O'Brien	Stish
Carone	Hanna	Olasz	Strittmatter
Chadwick	Harhart	Oliver	Surra
Civera	Hasay	Perzel	Tangretti
Clark	Hennessey	Petrarca	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Herman	Petrone	Taylor, J.
Cohen, L. I.	Hershey	Petit	Travaglio
Colafella	Hess	Phillips	True
Colaizzo	Hutchinson	Piccola	Tulli
Conti	Jadlowiec	Pitts	Vance
Cornell	Josephs	Platts	Van Horne
Corpora	Keller	Ramos	Vitali
Corrigan	Kenney	Raymond	Waugh
Coy	King	Reber	Williams
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Wogan
Daley	Kukovich	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Yewcic
Dent	Laughlin	Rohrer	Youngblood
Dermody	Lederer	Rubley	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Leh	Rudy	Zug
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Sainato	
Druce	Lucyk	Santoni	Ryan,
Durham	Lynch	Sather	Speaker
Egolf			

NOT VOTING—2

Carn	Jarolin
------	---------

EXCUSED—2

Roebuck	Thomas
---------	--------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—198

Adolph	Durham	Lloyd	Santoni
Allen	Egolf	Lucyk	Sather
Argall	Evans	Lynch	Saylor
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maitland	Schroder
Baker	Fajt	Major	Schuler
Bard	Fargo	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barley	Farmer	Markosek	Semmel
Battisto	Feese	Marsico	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	Masland	Shaner
Belardi	Fleagle	Mayernik	Sheehan
Belfanti	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Gannon	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Blaum	Geist	Melio	Staback
Boscola	George	Merry	Stairs
Boyes	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Steil
Brown	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stern
Browne	Godshall	Mihalich	Stetler
Bunt	Gordner	Miller	Stish
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Mundy	Strittmatter
Buxton	Gruppo	Nailor	Sturla
Caltagirone	Habay	Nickol	Surra
Cappabianca	Hanna	Nyce	Tangretti
Carn	Harhart	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Carone	Hasay	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hennessey	Oliver	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Perzel	Travaglio
Civera	Hershey	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Hess	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Horsey	Pettit	True
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Piccola	Vance
Colafrella	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Van Horne
Colaizzo	James	Pitts	Veon
Conti	Jarolin	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Josephs	Preston	Walko
Corpora	Kaiser	Ramos	Washington
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Waugh
Cowell	Kenney	Readshaw	Williams
Coy	King	Reber	Wogan
Curry	Kirkland	Reinard	Wozniak
Daley	Krebs	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Kukovich	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Yewcic
Dent	Laughlin	Robinson	Youngblood
Dermody	Lawless	Rohrer	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Lederer	Rooney	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lch	Rublely	
Donatucci	Iescovitz	Rudy	Ryan,
Druce	Levdansky	Sainato	Speaker

NAYS—3

Haluska	Pesci	Steelman
---------	-------	----------

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Roebuck Thomas

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1818, PN 2179**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of July 28, 1966 (3rd Sp.Sess., P.L.87, No.3), entitled "An act providing for the establishment and operation of the University of Pittsburgh as an instrumentality of the Commonwealth to serve as a State-related university in the higher education system of the Commonwealth; providing for change of name; providing for the composition of the board of trustees; terms of trustees, and the power and duties of such trustees; authorizing appropriations in amounts to be fixed annually by the General Assembly; providing for the auditing of accounts of expenditures from said appropriations; providing for public support and capital improvements; authorizing the issuance of bonds exempt from taxation within the Commonwealth; requiring the chancellor to make an annual report of the operations of the University of Pittsburgh," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations; and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated and for certain fiscal information disclosure.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **COWELL** offered the following amendment No. **A3994**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 22, by inserting before "The"  
(a)

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 11 and 12

(b) The appropriations provided in subsection (a) shall be contingent upon the university complying with all the provisions of the act of June 21, 1957 (P.L.390, No.212), referred to as the Right-to-Know Law, as they are applied to agencies covered by that law.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cowell, on his amendment.

Mr. **COWELL**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment would require the University of Pittsburgh, as a condition of its receipt of an appropriation of \$144 million, to abide by the State's Right-to-Know Law.

I would encourage support for the amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-50

Bebko-Jones	Fairchild	Manderino	Rudy
Belardi	Fajt	Mayernik	Scrimenti
Bishop	Gamble	Melio	Serafini
Blaum	Gruitza	Michlovic	Steelman
Boscola	Haluska	Mihalich	Stetler
Buxton	Horsey	Mundy	Sturla
Cappabianca	Itkin	Nailor	Surra
Carone	James	Pistella	Tigue
Cawley	Kaiser	Preston	Veon
Cohen, M.	Kirkland	Richardson	Washington
Curry	Lawless	Robinson	Wozniak
DeLuca	Levdansky	Rooney	Wright, D. R.
Evans	Lloyd		

NAYS-148

Adolph	Fargo	Maitland	Schroder
Allen	Farmer	Major	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Markosek	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	Marsico	Shaner
Baker	Fleagle	Masland	Sheehan
Bard	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Barley	Gannon	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Geist	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	George	Merry	Staback
Birmelin	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stairs
Boyes	Gladeck	Miller	Steil
Brown	Godshall	Nickol	Stern
Browne	Gordner	Nyce	Stish
Bunt	Gruppo	O'Brien	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Habay	Olasz	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hanna	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Harhart	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Civera	Hasay	Pesci	Travaglio
Clark	Hennessey	Petrarca	Trello
Clymer	Herman	Petrone	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Hershey	Pettit	True
Colafiglia	Hess	Phillips	Tulli
Colaizzo	Hutchinson	Piccola	Vance
Conti	Jadlowiec	Pitts	Van Horne
Cornell	Jarolin	Platts	Vitali
Corpora	Josephs	Ramos	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Waugh
Coy	Kenney	Readshaw	Williams
Daley	King	Reber	Wogan
Dempsey	Krebs	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Dent	Kukovich	Rieger	Yewcic
Dermoddy	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rubley	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Lederer	Sainato	Zug
Donatucci	Leh	Santoni	
Druce	Lescovitz	Sather	Ryan,
Durham	Lucyk	Saylor	Speaker
Egolf	Lynch		

NOT VOTING-3

Carn	Cowell	Roberts
------	--------	---------

EXCUSED-2

Roebuck	Thomas
---------	--------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration ?

Mr. **ROBINSON** offered the following amendment No. **A4097**:

Amend Sec. 8, page 6, by inserting between lines 25 and 26  
"Academic support." Expenses for such programs as audio-visual services, academic administration, libraries, museums and computing support. This term shall not include indirect overhead expenses.

"Educational expenses." Includes ordinary and necessary direct costs, reasonable in nature, expended for the purpose of providing instruction. Such expenses may include, but not be limited to, classroom materials, instructors' salaries including ordinary payroll-related expenses such as the employer's share of the Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA), and associated employe benefits that are made available to all classes of employes, reasonable and necessary travel costs, as well as other incidental expenses normally associated with instruction. For purposes of this definition, "educational expenses" shall not include all indirect and other overhead charges such as administrative and support-service cost allocations, as well as any other costs specifically prohibited by Commonwealth statute.

Amend Sec. 8, page 7, line 1, by inserting after "(b)(3)."  
The term includes the following expenses: academic support, educational expenses, general expenses, out-of-pocket expenses and research expenses.

"General expenses." Ordinary and necessary direct costs, reasonable in nature, expended for the purpose of maintaining the operations of the institution. Such expenses shall include, but not be limited to, costs associated with the day-to-day operations of the institution for fiscal operations and administrative support services. These services shall include, but not be limited to, accounting, purchasing, maintenance, treasury and admissions, as well as those associated with the President or Chancellor's Office, Deans' offices and other high level administrative personnel of the institution.

"Operations and maintenance expenses." Expenses incurred as direct costs, reasonable in nature, including, but not limited to, those associated with repairs, utility costs, insurance and safety-related costs to the physical plant. Such expenses shall not include capital budget expenditures as well as other costs specifically prohibited by Commonwealth statute.

"Research expenses." Ordinary and necessary direct costs, reasonable in nature, expended for the purpose of exploration, testing, data acquisition, and/or the creation of a new concept, idea, method or physical structure or substance. Such expenses may include, but not be limited to, direct costs associated with the operation of the research facilities, as well as the salaries and associate employe benefits that are available to all classes of employes, classroom space, laboratory space and materials, as well as equipment whose use is directly related to the research being conducted by the institution. Such expenses shall not include all indirect and overhead charges allocated to the research project or facility, as well as any other costs specifically prohibited by the Commonwealth.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment ?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The **SPEAKER**. On the question of the adoption of the Robinson amendment, the gentleman, Mr. Robinson.  
The gentleman withdraws his amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration ?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-198

Adolph	Durham	Lloyd	Santoni
Allen	Egolf	Lucyk	Sather
Argall	Evans	Lynch	Saylor
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maitland	Schroder
Baker	Fajt	Major	Schuler
Bard	Fargo	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barley	Farmer	Markosek	Semmel
Battisto	Feese	Marsico	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	Masland	Shaner
Belardi	Fleagle	Mayernik	Sheehan
Belfanti	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Gannon	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Blaum	Geist	Melio	Staback
Boscola	George	Merry	Stairs
Boyes	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Steil
Brown	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stern
Browne	Godshall	Mihalich	Stetler
Bunt	Gordner	Miller	Stish
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Mundy	Strittmatter
Buxton	Gruppo	Nailor	Sturla
Caltagirone	Habay	Nickol	Surra
Cappabianca	Hanna	Nyce	Tangretti
Carn	Harhart	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Carone	Hasay	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hennessey	Oliver	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Perzel	Travaglio
Civera	Hershey	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Hess	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Horsey	Pettit	True
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Piccola	Vance
Colafella	Jadlowicz	Pistella	Van Horne
Colaizzo	James	Pitts	Veon
Conti	Jarolin	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Josephs	Preston	Walko
Corpora	Kaiser	Ramos	Washington
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Waugh
Cowell	Kenney	Readshaw	Williams
Coy	King	Reber	Wogan
Curry	Kirkland	Reinard	Wozniak
Daley	Krebs	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Kukovich	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Yewcic
Dent	Laughlin	Robinson	Youngblood
Dermody	Lawless	Rohrer	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Lederer	Rooney	Zug
DiGirolamo	Leh	Rublely	
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rudy	Ryan,
Druce	Levdansky	Sainato	Speaker

NAYS-3

Haluska	Pesci	Steelman
---------	-------	----------

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-2

Roebuck Thomas

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1819, PN 2180**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of July 7, 1972 (P.L.743, No.176), entitled "An act providing for the establishment and operation of Lincoln University as an instrumentality of the Commonwealth to serve as a State-related institution in the higher education system of the Commonwealth; providing for change of name; providing for the composition of the board of trustees; terms of trustees, and the power and duties of such trustees; providing for preference to Pennsylvania residents in tuition; authorizing appropriations in amounts to be fixed annually by the General Assembly; providing for the auditing of accounts of expenditures from said appropriations; \* \* \* requiring the President to make an annual report of the operations of Lincoln University," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations; and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated and for certain fiscal information disclosure.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Cowell, who offers the following amendment, which the clerk will read.

The Chair understands that the gentleman has withdrawn his amendments.

Does the gentleman, Mr. Robinson, have amendments? The gentleman withdraws his amendments.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-198

Adolph	Durham	Lloyd	Santoni
Allen	Egolf	Lucyk	Sather
Argall	Evans	Lynch	Saylor
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maitland	Schroder
Baker	Fajt	Major	Schuler
Bard	Fargo	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barley	Farmer	Markosek	Semmel
Battisto	Feese	Marsico	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	Masland	Shaner
Belardi	Fleagle	Mayernik	Sheehan

Belfanti	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Gannon	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Blaum	Geist	Melio	Staback
Boscola	George	Merry	Stairs
Boyes	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Steil
Brown	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stern
Browne	Godshall	Mihalich	Stetler
Bunt	Gordner	Miller	Stish
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Mundy	Strittmatter
Buxton	Gruppo	Nailor	Sturla
Caltagirone	Habay	Nickol	Surra
Cappabianca	Hanna	Nyce	Tangretti
Carn	Harhart	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Carone	Hasay	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hennessey	Oliver	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Perzel	Travaglio
Civera	Hershey	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Hess	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Horsey	Pettit	True
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Piccola	Vance
Colafella	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Van Horne
Colaizzo	James	Pitts	Veon
Conti	Jarolin	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Josephs	Preston	Walko
Corpora	Kaiser	Ramos	Washington
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Waugh
Cowell	Kenney	Readshaw	Williams
Coy	King	Reber	Wogan
Curry	Kirkland	Reinard	Wozniak
Daley	Krebs	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Kukovich	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Yewcic
Dent	Laughlin	Robinson	Youngblood
Dermody	Lawless	Rohrer	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Lederer	Rooney	Zug
DiGirolamo	Leh	Rublely	
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rudy	Ryan,
Druce	Levdansky	Sainato	Speaker

**NAYS-3**

Haluska	Pesci	Steelman
---------	-------	----------

**NOT VOTING-0**

**EXCUSED-2**

Roebuck	Thomas
---------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, Mr. Perzel.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I would move that we adjourn the House until tomorrow morning at 11 a.m.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield for a moment. I have several announcements.

There will be no further votes; there will be no further votes. I have some homework to do—

Mr. KING. Just one announcement, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. —and I will take announcements. Just yield for a moment.

**JUDICIARY COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. The chairman of the Judiciary Committee has asked me to advise that the committee will meet on Wednesday, June 21, 1995, at 10 a.m. in room 22 of the Capitol Annex, and the committee will consider SB 653, PN 1200, at that meeting at 10 o'clock.

**COMMITTEE MEETING CANCELED**

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Merry, canceled the Local Government Committee meeting that was scheduled for Wednesday.

**ANNOUNCEMENT BY MR. KING**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Dr. King. Mr. KING. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to announce to all the members of the rural caucus that tomorrow morning in the Capitol Annex, room 22, at 8 o'clock we will be having a very important seminar from the economic development people in rural Pennsylvania. We will have coffee and Danish at that meeting at 8 o'clock, room 22, Capitol Annex. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

**REPUBLICAN CAUCUS**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the Republican caucus chairman, Mr. Fargo.

Mr. FARGO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

There will be a Republican caucus tomorrow morning at 10:30; a Republican caucus at 10:30. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

**DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cohen.

Mr. COHEN. Mr. Speaker, there will be a Democratic caucus tomorrow at 10:30 also.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

**VOTE CORRECTIONS**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Ms. Washington.

Ms. WASHINGTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to correct the record.

My switch malfunctioned. I was recorded in the positive, and I would like to be recorded in the negative for HB 1816, final passage.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the lady will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from—

Ms. WASHINGTON. And also, Mr. Speaker, I have two others.

The SPEAKER. I am sorry.

Ms. WASHINGTON. On HB 1813, final passage, recorded in the positive. I would like to be recorded in the negative.

Affirmative; I am sorry. And HB 1811, I would like to be recorded in the positive. An affirmative; I am sorry. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the lady will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Jarolin.

Mr. JAROLIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am not recorded on two votes here.

On the one, my machine did not work, and on this one here, I was just not in my seat. It was the final passage of HR 181; I would like to be recorded in the positive. And on amendment A4096 to HB 1817, I was not recorded. I would like to vote "no" on that amendment.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I, too, would like to correct the record.

On HB 1818, amendment 3994, I was not recorded. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The gentleman from York, Mr. Waugh.

Mr. WAUGH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

To correct the record.

On HB 1809, apparently I was the victim of a machine malfunction. I was recorded in the "yes"; I would like a negative vote, please.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The gentleman, Mr. James.

Mr. JAMES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to correct the record.

On HR 181, I was recorded as not voting. I would like to be recorded as a negative vote.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

### **BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED**

**SB 775, PN 1192**

By Rep. GODSHALL

An Act amending Title 30 (Fish) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, revising and updating the fishing license and issuing agent fee structure; further providing for funding of free fishing licenses; and making editorial changes.

GAME AND FISHERIES.

### **BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER**

Bill numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor, and the same being correct, the title was publicly read as follows:

**HB 71, PN 44**

An Act designating a certain bridge in Susquehanna County as the Susquehanna County Veterans Memorial Bridge.

Whereupon, the Speaker, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

### **RECESS**

The SPEAKER. Are there any further corrections of the record? Are there any further reports of committee? Are there any further announcements?

Hearing none, the Chair recognizes the majority leader, Mr. Perzel, who asks that this House be placed in recess to the call of the Chair.

This House is now in recess to the call of the Chair.

### **AFTER RECESS**

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

### **BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER**

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

### **ADJOURNMENT**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Schroder.

Mr. SCHRODER. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Wednesday, June 21, 1995, at 11 a.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 10:59 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.