

On Residual Transcendental Extensions of a Valuation on K to $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

Figen Öke

Trakya University
Department of Mathematics
22030 Edirne, Turkey
figenoke@gmail.com

Abstract

Let v be a valuation of a field K with value group G_v , residue field k_v and w be an extension of v to $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. w is called residual transcendental extension of v if k_w/k_v is a transcendental extension. A residual transcendental extension of v to $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is defined in [5] and in this paper it is proved that if w is a residual transcendental extension of v to $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ it must be defined as in [5] when $ef = n$ where e is the ramification index and f is the residue degree of the extension w/v .

Mathematics Subject Classification: 12J10, 12F20, 12J20

Keywords: extensions of valuations, residual transcendental extensions, valued fields

1 Introduction

Let K be a field, v be a valuation on K with value group G_v and residue field k_v . Defining all extensions of v to $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is an old and important problem. Residual transcendental extensions of v to $K(x)$ are described by N. Popescu, V. Alexandru and A. Zaharescu in [1,2]. All extensions of v to $K(x)$ are classified by them in [3]. Certain residual transcendental and residual algebraic extensions of v to $K(x, y)$ are defined by F. Öke and H. İşcan in [4]. A residual transcendental extension of v to $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is defined by F. Öke in [5]. In this paper the opposite of the problem studied in [5] is considered: When w is defined as in [5] if w is an r.t. extension of v to $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with $\text{transdeg} k_w/k_v = n$? The question is answered in this paper and it is proved that w is defined as the common extension of w_i to $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ where w_i is

a residual transcendental extension of v to $K(x_i)$ defined by a minimal pair $(a_i, \delta_i) \in \overline{K} \times G_{\overline{v}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ if $[G_w : G_v][k : k_v] = n$ where k is an algebraic closure of k_v in k_w .

2 Preliminaries and Some Notations

Throughout this paper, v is a valuation of a field K with value group G_v , valuation ring O_v and residue field k_v , \overline{K} is an algebraic closure of K , \overline{v} is the unique extension of v to \overline{K} . The value group of \overline{v} is the divisible closure of G_v and the residue field of \overline{v} is the algebraic closure of k_v . $K(x)$ and $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are rational function fields over K with one variable and n variables respectively. For any α in O_v , α^* denotes the natural image of α in k_v . If $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \overline{K}$ then the restriction of \overline{v} to $K(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ will be denoted by $v_{a_1 \dots a_n}$.

Let w be an extension of v to $K(x)$. w is called residual transcendental (r.t.) extension of v if k_w/k_v is a transcendental extension.

w which is defined for each $F = \sum_i a_i x^i \in K[x]$ as

$$w(F) = \inf_i (v(a_i)) \quad (1)$$

is called Gauss extension of v to $K(x)$ and $k_w = k_v(x^*)$ is the simple transcendental extension of k_v where x^* is the residue of x and $G_w = G_v$.

Let $a \in \overline{K}$ and $\delta \in G_{\overline{v}}$. \overline{w} which is defined for each $F = \sum_i a_i (x - a)^i \in K[x]$ as

$$\overline{w}(F) = \inf_i (\overline{v}(a_i) + i\delta) \quad (2)$$

satisfies all valuation conditions on $\overline{K}[x]$ and it is uniquely extended to $\overline{K}(x)$. w is called a valuation defined by a pair $(a, \delta) \in \overline{K} \times G_{\overline{v}}$ or $(a, \delta) \in \overline{K} \times G_{\overline{v}}$ is called a pair of definition of \overline{w} . If $[K(a) : K] \leq [K(b) : K]$ for every $b \in K$ such that $\overline{v}(b - a) \geq \delta$ then (a, δ) is called minimal pair with respect to K .

If w is an extension of v to $K(x)$ then there exists an extension \overline{w} of w to $\overline{K}(x)$ such that \overline{w} is also an extension of \overline{v} .

If w is an r.t. extension of v to $K(x)$ then there exists a minimal pair $(a, \delta) \in \overline{K} \times G_{\overline{v}}$ respect to K where a is separable over K . Let $f = Irr(a, K)$ be a minimal polynomial of a respect to K and $\gamma = w(f)$. If $F \in K[x]$ let $F = F_1 + F_2 f + \dots + F_n f^n$, $\deg F_i < \deg f$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ be the f -expansion of F .

Define

$$w(F) = \inf_i (v_a(F_i(a)) + i\gamma) \quad (3)$$

Then one has:

Theorem 2.1: (see [1]). w defined in (1) satisfies all valuation conditions on $K[x]$ and can be uniquely extended to $K(x)$. Moreover w is an r.t. extension of v to $K(x)$ and the followings hold:

1. $G_w = G_{v_a} + Z\gamma$
2. Let e be the smallest non-zero positive integer such that $e\gamma \in G_{v_a}$. Then there exists $h \in K[x]$ such that $\deg h < \deg f$, $v_a(h(a)) = e\gamma$ and $r = f^e/h$ is an element of $K(x)$ of the smallest order such that $w(r) = 0$ and such that $r^* \in k_w$ is transcendental over k_v . k_{v_a} can be identified canonically with the algebraic closure of k_v in k_w and $k_w = k_{v_a}(r^*)$.
3. If w is an r.t. extension of v to $K(x)$, there exists a minimal pair $(a, \delta) \in \overline{K} \times G_{\bar{v}}$ such that a is separable over K . Two pairs (a, δ) and (a_1, δ_1) define the same valuation w if and only if $\delta = \delta_1$ and $\bar{v}(a - a_1) \geq \delta$.

Now we shall give some notations on r.t. extension of v to $K(x, y)$ which is defined in [4].

Let w_1 be an r.t. extension of v to $K(x)$ defined by a minimal pair $(a, \delta) \in \overline{K} \times G_{\bar{v}}$ and w_2 be an r.t. extensions of v to $K(y)$ defined by a minimal pair $(b, \mu) \in (\overline{K} \times G_{\bar{v}})$. Denote by $f = Irr(a, K)$ and $g = Irr(b, K)$. For each polynomial $F(x, y) \in K[x, y]$ can be uniquely written as $F(x, y) = \sum_{i,j} F_{ij} f^i g^j \in K[x, y]$ where $F_{ij} \in K[x, y]$,

$\deg F_{ij}(x, b) < \deg f$, $\deg F_{ij}(a, y) < \deg g$ then w defined as;

$$w(F(x, y)) = \min_{i,j} (\bar{v}(F_{ij}(a, b)) + i\gamma + j\rho) \tag{4}$$

is a valuation on $K(x, y)$. [4] Then w is the common extension of w_1 and w_2 to $K(x, y)$. The value group of w is $G_w = G_{v_{ab}} + Z\gamma + Z\rho$ where v_{ab} is the restriction of \bar{v} to $K(a, b)$. Taking e and e' are the smallest positive integers such that $e\gamma \in G_{v_{ab}}$ and $e'\rho \in G_{v_{ab}}$ respectively,

There exists $h \in K[x]$, $\deg h < \deg f$, $\bar{v}(h(a)) = e\gamma$ and there exists $p \in K[y]$, $\deg p < \deg g$, $\bar{v}(p(b)) = e'\rho$, $r = f^e/h$, $s = g^{e'}/p$. It is shown that the residue field of w is $k_w = k_{v_{ab}}(r^*, s^*)$. [4]

These are generalized for n valuations in [5].

Let w_i be an r.t. extension of v to $K(x_i)$ defined by a minimal pair $(a_i, \delta_i) \in \overline{K} \times G_{\bar{v}}$ and $f_i = Irr(a_i, K)$, $\gamma_i = w_i(f_i)$ where $i = 1, \dots, n$. Each polynomial $F \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ can be written uniquely as:

$F = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} F_{i_1 \dots i_n} f_1^{i_1} \dots f_n^{i_n}$, where $F_{i_1 \dots i_n} \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$,
 $\deg_{x_i} F_{i_1 \dots i_n} < \deg f_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.
 Define;

$$w(F) = \inf_{i_1, \dots, i_n} (v_{a_1 \dots a_n}(F_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}(a_1, \dots, a_n)) + i_1 \gamma_1 + \dots + i_n \gamma_n) \quad (5)$$

w satisfies all valuation conditions on $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and it can be uniquely extended to $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Here w is the common extension of w_1, \dots, w_n to $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and one has:

Proposition 2.2:(See [5]) w defined in (3) satisfies all valuation conditions on $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and it can be uniquely extended to $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Moreover w is an r.t. extension of v to $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and

1. $G_w = G_{v_{a_1 \dots a_n}} + \mathbb{Z}\gamma_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\gamma_n$.
2. Let e_i be the smallest number such that $e_i \gamma_i \in G_{v_{a_i}}$ where v_{a_i} is the restriction of \bar{v} to $K(a_i)$. Then there exists $h_i \in K[x_i]$ such that $\deg h_i < \deg f_i$ and $v_{a_i}(h(a_i)) = e_i \gamma_i$ and $r_i = f_i^{e_i}/h_i \in O_{w_i}$ such that r_i^* *trans*/ k_v for $i = 1, \dots, n$.
3. $k_{v_{a_1 \dots a_n}}$ can be identified canonically with the algebraic closure of k_v in k_w and $k_w = k_{v_{a_1 \dots a_n}}(r_1^*, \dots, r_n^*)$.

are satisfied.

3 Residual Transcendental Extensions of v to $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

Let w be an r.t. extension of v to $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that *trans* $\deg k_w/k_v = n$, $e(w/v) = [G_w : G_v]$, $f(w/v) = [k : k_v]$ where k is the algebraic closure of k_v in k_w . Denote by $\deg(w/v)$ the smallest positive integer d for which there exist elements $s_1, \dots, s_n \in O_w$ such that $[K(x_1, \dots, x_n) : K(s_1, \dots, s_n)] = d(w/v)$ and s_1^*, \dots, s_n^* are algebraically independent over k_v .

Theorem 3.1: Under the above notations if $e(w/v)f(w/v) = d(w/v)$ then w is the common extension of w_1, \dots, w_n to $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ where w_i is an r.t. extension of v to $K(x_i)$ defined by a minimal pair $(a_i, \delta_i) \in \bar{K} \times G_{\bar{v}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof: The case $n = 2$:

If $e(w/v)f(w/v) = d(w/v)$ then k_w is isomorphic to the rational function field with two variables $k_w = k(r^*, s^*)$ where k is an algebraic closure of k_v in k_w . [6] w is an extension of u_1 to $K(x, y)$ where u_1 is an extension of v to $K(x)$. Since $\text{trans deg } k_{u_1}/k_v \leq 1$ and $\text{trans deg } k_w/k_{u_1} \leq 1$ and $k_w = k(r^*, s^*)$ then u_1 is an r.t. extension of v to $K(x)$ and w is an r.t. extension of u_1 to $K(x, y)$. Then u_1 is defined by a minimal pair $(a, \delta) \in \overline{K} \times G_{\bar{v}}$, $k_{u_1} = k_{v_a}(r^*)$ and w is an r.t. extension of u_1 to $K(x, y)$ defined by a minimal pair (b, μ) where b is an element of an algebraic closure of $K(x)$ and μ is an element of $G_{\bar{u}_1}$. $k_w = k'_{u_1}(s^*)$ where k'_{u_1} is an algebraic extension of k_{u_1} that is k'_{u_1} is an algebraic function field of one variable over k_v . But $k'_{u_1} = k'_v(r^*)$ where k'_v is an algebraic extension of k_v because of $k_w = k(r^*, s^*)$. Then (b, μ) should be chosen as an element of $\overline{K} \times G_{\bar{v}}$. Hence w is the common extension of w_1 and w_2 where w_1 is an r.t. extension of v to $K(x)$ defined by minimal pair $(a, \delta) \in \overline{K} \times G_{\bar{v}}$ and w_2 is an r.t. extension of v to $K(y)$ defined by minimal pair $(b, \mu) \in \overline{K} \times G_{\bar{v}}$.

The case general n :

If $e(w/v)f(w/v) = d(w/v)$ then k_w is isomorphic to the field of rational functions in n variables $k_w = k(s_1^*, \dots, s_n^*)$ where k is the algebraic closure of k_v in k_w . [6] Let u_i be an extension of u_{i-1} to $K(x_1, \dots, x_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Thus $u_n = w$ and $u_0 = v$. Since $k_w = k(s_1^*, \dots, s_n^*)$ and $\text{trans deg } k_{u_i}/k_{u_{i-1}} \leq 1$ then u_i is an r.t. extension of u_{i-1} for $i = 1, \dots, n$. u_i is defined by a minimal pair (b, μ_i) where b_i is an element of algebraic closure of $K(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})$ and μ_i is element of $G_{\bar{u}_{i-1}}$. Hence $k_{u_i} = k'_{u_{i-1}}(s_i^*)$ where $k'_{u_{i-1}}$ is an algebraic extension of $k_{u_{i-1}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. But since $k_w = k(s_1^*, \dots, s_n^*)$ then $k_{u_i} = k'_{u_{i-1}}(s_i^*) \subseteq k(s_1^*, \dots, s_n^*)$. This gives $k'_{u_{i-1}}(s_i^*) = k'_v(s_1^*, \dots, s_i^*)$ where k'_v is an algebraic extension of k_v for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Whereby $(a_i, \delta_i) \in \overline{K} \times G_{\bar{v}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. If we denote an r.t. extension of v to $K(x_i)$ defined by $(a_i, \delta_i) \in \overline{K} \times G_{\bar{v}}$ by w_i it is seen that u_i is the common extension of w_i to $K(x_1, \dots, x_i)$. Therefore w can be written as the common extension of w_1, \dots, w_n to $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ where w_i is an r.t. extension of v to $K(x_i)$ defined by a minimal pair $(a_i, \delta_i) \in \overline{K} \times G_{\bar{v}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

References

- [1] V. Alexandru, N. Popescu and A. Zaharescu, A theorem of characterization of residual transcendental extensions of a valuation *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **24-8** (1988) 579-592.

- [2] V. Alexandru, N. Popescu and A. Zaharescu, Minimal pairs of definition of a residual transcendental extension of a valuation, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **30-2** (1990) 207-225.
- [3] V. Alexandru, N. Popescu and A. Zaharescu, All valuations on $K(x)$, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **30-2** (1990) 281-296.
- [4] F. Öke, H. İscan, An introduction to extension of valuations on K to $K(x,y)$, *J. of the Indian Mathematical Society.* **69** (2002), 33-44.
- [5] F. Öke, On residual transcendental extensions of v to $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, *International Journal of Algebra* **Vol 3, 10, 2009**, pp 497 - 502
- [6] N. Popescu, V. Constantin, On the extension on a field $K(x)$.-I, *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Universita di Padova.* **87** (1992) 151-168.

Received: September, 2009