Clearing Desktop Report – Short Form



1. PROJECT DETAILS

Project Name:	Gravel Pit 2 For Albany Hwy 308-316, Cranbrook	
Region/Directorate:	Great Southern	
Expected Project Start Date:	October 2021	
Road/Bridge Name and No:	Albany Hwy	
Project Location (SLK):	308-316 SLK	
TRIM Document No:	Site Inspection report - D21#846938	
TRIM Link to Spatial Data:	Project shapefile - D21#733727	
EOS No:	2461	

2. PURPOSE OF CLEARING

The purpose of this application is to seek approval for the construction of a gravel pit to provide material for the Albany Highway 308-316 Gordon South proposal.

The material pit is predominantly cleared, although does contain two clumps of paddock trees (seven in total) that require removal (0.032 ha) to access the gravel and facilitate shaping of the rehabilitated paddock.

A total of 0.032 ha of native vegetation is proposed to be cleared in the 4.8 ha proposal area.

The environmental approvals for the Albany Highway 308-316 Gordon South proposal and associated material pits and laydown areas are being obtained under CPS 818.

3. ALTERNATIVES TO CLEARING

There is the option to retain the existing trees, however this would result in a greater amount of land being disturbed to access gravel, as a well as a landform that does not suit ongoing farming in the proposals area after rehabilitation is completed. The farmer has requested that the trees be removed to allow him to return the proposal area back to agriculture.

4. MEASURES TO AVOID, MINIMISE, MITIGATE AND MANAGE PROJECT CLEARING IMPACTS

The proposal area has been designed to avoid as many trees as possible.

5. APPROVED POLICES AND PLANNING INSTRUMENTS

The clearing of native vegetation in Western Australia is regulated under the *Environmental Protection Act* (EP Act) and the Environmental Protection (Clearing of Native Vegetation) Regulations 2004 (Clearing Regulations).

In addition to the matters considered in accordance with section 510 of the EP Act (see Section 1.3), Main Roads has also had regard to the following documents.

Environmental Protection Policies

• Environmental Protection (Peel Inlet - Harvey Estuary) Policy 1992 Environmental Protection (Western Swamp Tortoise Habitat) Policy 2011

Other Legislation of relevance for assessment of clearing and planning/other matters

- Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (WA) (BC Act)
- Conservation and Land Management Act 1984 (WA) (CALM Act)
- Country Areas Water Supply Act 1947 (WA) (CAWS Act)

- Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth) (EPBC Act)
- Planning and Development Act 2005 (WA) (P&D Act)
- Soil and Land Conservation Act 1945 (WA)
- Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914 (WA) (RIWI Act)
- Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972 (WA) (AHA)
- Town Planning and Development Act (WA)1928

Relevant other policies and guidance documents:

- Environmental Offsets Policy (Government of Western Australia, 2011)
- A guide to the assessment of applications to clear native vegetation (DEC, December 2014)
- Procedure: Native vegetation clearing permits (DWER, October 2019)
- Environmental Offsets Guidelines (Government of Western Australia, August 2014)
- Technical guidance Flora and Vegetation Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment (EPA, 2016)
- Technical guidance Terrestrial Vertebrate Fauna Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment (EPA, 2020)
- Approved conservation advice under section 266B of the EPBC Act for threatened flora/fauna/vegetation communities
- Approved Recovery Plans for threatened species
- EPBC Act Referral guidelines for the three threatened black cockatoo species
- Strategic advice EPA

Clearing Area (ha):	0.032 ha		o. Trees eared:	seven	
Species Name:	Trees –Eucalyptus wandoo, Eucalyptus occidentalis Shrubs – nil				
Easting and Northing:					
7. EXISTING ENVIRONMENT A	ND SITE INFORM	IATION			
Site Vegetation Description/Association:	The mapped Beard vegetation association is 967 – Medium woodland; wandoo & yate. This is consistent with the tree species that occur in the proposal area and similar to those which occur in adjacent areas.				
Site Vegetation Condition:	Completely Degraded as the proposal area features paddock trees.				
-					
	Pre-European Ve	getation Represer	tation and	extent remai	ning
-	Pre-European Ve Pre-European Vegetation Association	getation Represer Scale	Pre– Europea	Current n Extent	ning % Remaining
-	Pre-European Vegetation Association Veg Assoc No. 967		Pre-	Current	%
-	Pre-European Vegetation Association Veg Assoc No. 967 described as Medium	Scale	Pre– Europeai (ha)	Current Extent (ha)	% Remaining
Pre-European Extent Remaining (%):	Pre-European Vegetation Association Veg Assoc No. 967 described as	Scale Statewide IBRA Bioregion	Pre- Europear (ha) 216,684 174,907	Current Extent (ha) 36,536	% Remaining 16.86

8. ASSESSMENT OF PROJECT AGAINST CLEARING PRINCIPLES			
Is Vegetation to be cleared at variance with:	Justification or Evidence:		
Principle (a) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.	The proposal proposes to clear up to 0.032 ha of wandoo & yate woodland (totalling seven trees) that is in a Completely degraded condition due to surrounding agricultural pursuits. The proposed clearing is not located within an Environmentally Sensitive Area (ESA). The closest mapped ESA is more than 10km from the proposal area, however there would be an ESA associated with the <i>Acacia prismifolia</i> (X) located approximately 1 km west of the proposal area. The proposal will not impact on the <i>A. prismifolia</i> . The closest DBCA managed conservation area is Twongkup Nature Reserve, located approximately 10 km south of the proposal area (Figure 4). The nearest wetland listed in the South Coast Significant Wetlands dataset (Martagallup Swamp (Figure 4), a Conservation Category Wetland) is located approximately 1.6 km north of the proposal area. Based on this distance, no impacts on this or any other wetland are expected to result from proposal activities. The desktop assessment indicates two EPBC Act listed TECs / DBCA Listed PECs occur within the 10 km desktop study area (Figure 5) : 'Eucalypt Woodlands of the Western Australian Wheatbelt' (Wheatbelt Woodlands) (Critically Endangered / P3) and 'Proteaceae Dominated Kwongkan Shrublands of the Southeast Coastal Floristic Province of Western Australia' (Kwongkan Shrubland) (Endangered / P3). Ecologia (2020) mapped Wheatbelt Woodlands along Albany Highway within the Gordon South development envelope.		
	The site inspection did not observe any State listed TECs occurring in the proposal area, as the proposal involves the removal of seven paddock trees in a completely degraded condition. The trees would not meet the criteria for condition or patch size to be considered a TEC.		
	 Desktop searches identified 32 State conservation significant species (Threatened/Priority) within the 10km study area (Figure 3): Acacia primsifolia (X) (previously presumed extinct) Diuris drummondii (T) Gastrolobium lehmannii (T) Acacia microneura (P1) Banksia lepidorhiza (P1) Rhodanthe fuscescens (P1) Thomasia dielsii (P1) Conospermum spectabile (P2) Conostylis seorsiflora subsp. Nyabing (A. Coates s.n. 2/10/1988) (P2) Melaleuca ordinifolia (P2) Stylidium diuroides subsp. nanum (P2) Acacia ataxiphylla subsp. ataxiphylla (P3) Chorizema carinatum(P3) Stylidium lepidum (P3) 		

• Stylidium rhipidium (P3)	•	Stylidium	rhipidium	(P3)
----------------------------	---	-----------	-----------	------

- Stylidium pseudohirsutum (P3)
- Stylidium roseonanum (P3)
- Thysanotus gageoides (P3)
- Verticordia brevifolia subsp. brevifolia (P3)
- Verticordia coronate (P3)
- Verticordia huegelii var. tridens (P3)
- Banksia acuminata (P4)
- Banksia porrecta (P4)
- Caladenia integra (P4)
- Calothamnus microcarpus (P4)
- Calytrix pulchella (P4)
- Eucalyptus marginata x pachyloma (P4)
- Orthrosanthus muelleri (P4)
- Thysanotus parviflorus(P4)
- Xanthorrhoea brevistyla (P4)

No significant flora are expected to occur in the proposal area as it been cleared ed of native vegetation apart from the seven trees. The closest record (*A. prismifolia*) is 1 km west of the proposal area. Main Roads is aware of the location of the mapped *A. prismifolia*, which will not be impacted by this proposal. No significant flora are expected to be impacted by this proposal directly or indirectly.

<u>Fauna</u>

Eighteen vertebrate fauna species of conservation significance were returned from Threatened and Priority fauna database searches including twelve mammals and six birds in the 10 km study area (Figure 3), namely:

- Boodie (Bettongia lesueur graii) (EX)
- Crescent nailtail wallaby (Onychogalea lunata) (EX)
- Western ringtail possum (Pseudocheirus occidentalis) (CR)
- Woylie (Bettongia penicillata ogilbyi) (CR)
- Baudin's cockatoo (*Calyptorhynchus baudinii*) (EN)
- Carnaby's Cockatoo (Calyptorhynchus latirostris) (EN)
- Numbat (*Myrmecobius fasciatus*) (EN)
- Chuditch (*Dasyurus geoffroii*) (VU)
- Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo (Calyptorhynchus banksia naso) (VU)
- Malleefowl (*Leipoa ocellata*) (VU)
 - Western barred bandicoot (*Perameles bougainville*) (VU)
- Red-tailed phascogale (*Phascogale calura*) (CD)
- South-western brush-tailed phascogale (*Phascogale tapoatafa*) (CD)
- Peregrine falcon (Falco peregrinus) (OS)
- Hooded plover (*Thinornis rubricollis*) (P4)
- Quenda (Isoodon fusciventer) (P4)
- Tammar wallaby (Notamacropus eugenii derbianus) (P4)
- Western mouse (Pseudomys occidentalis) (P4)

The closest record (Carnaby's Cockatoo) was sighted approximately 3.4 km north west of the proposal area. Due to the isolated nature of the paddock trees, it is likely that only birds may use the trees for foraging and potentially roosting and breeding (if suitable hollows are available).

Edith Cowan University & Department of Environment and Conservation (2008) report that Carnaby's have been predominantly observed foraging on the seeds of 52 native species, including

	<i>Eucalyptus marginata</i> (Jarrah) and Marri and the flowers of Marri and <i>Eucalyptus wandoo</i> (Wandoo). Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo commonly occur in Jarrah, Karri and Marri forests and also in a range of other forest and woodland types, including Blackbutt, Wandoo, Tuart, Albany Blackbutt, Yate and Flooded Gum (DotEE, 2012). Baudin's Cockatoo usually occurs in heavily forested areas dominated by Marri, Jarrah and Karri. The two clumps of paddock trees may provide potential low level (seasonal) foraging habitat for Carnaby's Cockatoo and Forest Red- tailed Black Cockatoo, however the removal of six Wandoo trees is highly unlikely to detrimentally impact on the foraging resource in
	the area. A Black Cockatoo habitat assessment recorded three Suitable DBH trees within the proposal area, two with hollows that were considered unsuitable for breeding due to their size. No evidence of Black Cockatoo use was observed on or around the trees.
	Accordingly, the removal of the trees is unlikely to significantly impact any significant fauna. Given the limited nature of clearing, there is likely to be negligible impact on biodiversity values of the area. Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this
Principle (b) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.	 principle. Eighteen vertebrate fauna species of significance were returned from Threatened and Priority fauna database searches including twelve mammals and six birds in the 10 km study area (Figure 3), namely: Boodie (Bettongia lesueur graii) (EX) Crescent nailtail wallaby (<i>Onychogalea lunata</i>) (EX) Western ringtail possum (<i>Pseudocheirus occidentalis</i>) (CR) Woylie (<i>Bettongia penicillata ogilbyi</i>) (CR) Baudin's cockatoo (<i>Calyptorhynchus baudinii</i>) (EN) Carnaby's Cockatoo (<i>Calyptorhynchus baudinii</i>) (EN) Carnaby's Cockatoo (<i>Calyptorhynchus latirostris</i>) (EN) Numbat (<i>Myrmecobius fasciatus</i>) (EN) Chuditch (<i>Dasyurus geoffroii</i>) (VU) Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo (<i>Calyptorhynchus banksia naso</i>) (VU) Malleefowl (<i>Leipoa ocellata</i>) (VU) Western barred bandicoot (<i>Perameles bougainville</i>) (VU) Red-tailed phascogale (<i>Phascogale calura</i>) (CD) South-western brush-tailed phascogale (<i>Phascogale tapoatafa</i>) (CD) Peregrine falcon (Falco peregrinus) (OS) Hooded plover (<i>Thinornis rubricollis</i>) (P4) Quenda (<i>Isoodon fusciventer</i>) (P4) Tammar wallaby (<i>Notamacropus eugenii derbianus</i>) (P4) Western mouse (Pseudomys occidentalis) (P4) Western mouse (Seudomys occidentalis) (P4)

Edith Cowan University & Department of Environment and Conservation (2008) report that Carnaby's have been predominantly observed foraging on the seeds of 52 native species, including <i>Eucalyptus marginata</i> (Jarrah) and Marri and the flowers of Marri and <i>Eucalyptus wandoo</i> (Wandoo). Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo commonly occur in Jarrah, Karri and Marri forests and also in a range of other forest and woodland types, including Blackbutt, Wandoo, Tuart, Albany Blackbutt, Yate and Flooded Gum (DotEE, 2012). Baudin's Cockatoo usually occurs in heavily forested areas dominated by Marri, Jarrah and Karri.
The two clumps of paddock trees may provide potential low level (seasonal) foraging habitat for Carnaby's Cockatoo and Forest Red- tailed Black Cockatoo, however the removal of six Wandoo trees is highly unlikely to detrimentally impact on the foraging resource in the area.
A Black Cockatoo habitat assessment recorded three Suitable DBH trees within the proposal area, two with hollows that were considered unsuitable for breeding due to their size. No evidence of Black Cockatoo use was observed on or around the trees.
 The PMST (D21#842066) indicated that the following fauna have the potential to occur within a 10 km radius of the proposal area. Australian Bittern (<i>Botaurus poiciloptilus</i>) - Endangered Curlew Sandpiper (<i>Calidris ferruginea</i>) - Critically Endangered Forest Red-tailed Black-Cockatoo (<i>Calyptorhynchus banksii naso</i>) - Vulnerable Baudin's Cockatoo (<i>Calyptorhynchus baudinii</i>) - Endangered Carnaby's Cockatoo (<i>Calyptorhynchus latirostris</i>) - Endangered Grey Falcon (<i>Falco hypoleucos</i>) - Vulnerable Malleefowl (<i>Leipoa ocellata</i>) - Vulnerable Eastern Curlew (<i>Numenius madagascariensis</i>) - Critically Endangered Chuditch (<i>Dasyurus geoffroii</i>) - Vulnerable Dibbler (<i>Parantechinus apicalis</i>) - Endangered Of these, no evidence of these species was observed in the proposal area.
 The PMST identified that the following eight listed Migratory species or their habitat may occur in the proposal area: Fork-tailed Swift (<i>Apus pacificus</i>) Grey Wagtail (<i>Motacilla cinerea</i>) Common Sandpiper (<i>Actitis hypoleucos</i>) Sharp-tailed Sandpiper (<i>Calidris acuminata</i>) Curlew Sandpiper (<i>Calidris ferruginea</i>) Pectoral Sandpiper (<i>Calidris melanotos</i>) Eastern Curlew (<i>Numenius madagascariensis</i>) Osprey (<i>Pandion haliaetus</i>) The Fork-tailed Swift, Grey Wagtail and Osprey have wide distributions and occupy a variety of different habitat types. These species may visit the proposal area on an opportunistic basis but are not likely to be reliant on vegetation within the proposal area for habitat.

Principle (c) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of nativ		
likely to occur within the proposal area and proposal activities are unlikely to impact these species. Accordingly, the removal of the trees is unlikely to significantly impact any significant fauna. Given the limited nature of clearing, here is likely to be negligible impact on significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia. Given the minor clearing and the availability of better habitats in the immediate surroundings, the impacts to indigenous fauna habitat resulting from proposed removal of 0.032 ha vegatation in a Completely degraded condition is unlikely to be significant. Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this principle.Principle (c) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of rare flora.Destrop searches identified three State listed Threatened species within the 10km study area (Figure 3): <i>Accia primsfibila (3) (previously presumed extinct)</i> <i>Duris drummondii (1)</i> Gastrobubinn khramanii (1) Main Roads is aware of the location of the mapped A prismi/plia, which will not be impacted by this proposal.Principle (d) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole coring in the proposal area, as the proposal involves the removal for is necessary for the maintenance of, a threatened ecological community.The desktop assessment found no state listed TECs as occuring in the proposal area, as the proposal involves the removal of sever paddock trees in a completely degraded condition, more that has been extensively cleared.Principle (e) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment association spice.Net extensing principle. <tr< td=""><td></td><td>Sandpiper, Curlew Sandpiper, Pectoral Sandpiper, Eastern Curlew or</td></tr<>		Sandpiper, Curlew Sandpiper, Pectoral Sandpiper, Eastern Curlew or
Impact any significant fauna. Given the limited nature of clearing, there is likely to be negligible impact on significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia. Given the minor clearing and the availability of better habitats in the immediate surroundings. the impacts to indigenous fauna habitat resulting from proposed removal of 0.032 ha vegetation in a Completely degraded condition is unlikely to be significant. Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this principle.Principle (c) – Native vegetation should rare flora.Desktop searches identified three State listed Threatened species within the 10km study area (Figure 3): • Accia primiplicia (7) • Diuris drummondii (7) • Gastrolobium lehmannii (7)Principle (d) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a threatened ecological community.No Threatened taxa were observed during the site inspection on 2 August 2021. No understorey species were present. Based on the above, the proposal clearing is not at variance to this clearing principle.Principle (d) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a threatened ecological community.The desktop assessment found no state listed TECs as occurring in the proposal area, as the proposal iron at variance to this clearing principle.Principle (f) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it significant as a remant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it significant as a remant of native vegetation should not be cleared if it significant as a representative of Vegetation Association 967. He during weight and area is Vegetation. Based on the above, the proposal area is Vegetation 		likely to occur within the proposal area and proposal activities are
Immediate surroundings, the impacts to indigenous fauna habitat resulting from proposed removal of 0.032 ha vegetation in a Completely degraded condition is unlikely to be significant. Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this principle.Principle (c) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of rare flora.Desktop searches identified three State listed Threatened species within the 10km study area (Figure 3): - Accia prinsipila (X) (previously presumed extinct) - Diuris drummondii (T) - Gastrolobium lehmannii (T) Main Roads is aware of the location of the mapped A. prismifolia, which will not be impacted by this proposal. No Threatened taxa were observed during the site inspection on 2 August 2021. No understorey species were present. Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this clearing principle.Principle (d) – Native vegetation should or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a threatened ecological community.The desktop assessment found no state listed TECs within the 10 km desktop suday area (Figure 5). The site inspection did not observe any State listed TECs as occurring in the proposal area, as the proposal involves the removal of seven paddock trees in a completely degraded condition. Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this clearing principle.Principle (f) – Native vegetation nan area that has been extensively cleared.The pre-European vegetation within the proposal area is Vegetation Association 967. The current extent remaining is below 20% at al Association with, an environment association with, an environment association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.Principle (f) – Native vege		impact any significant fauna. Given the limited nature of clearing, there is likely to be negligible impact on significant habitat for fauna
 within the 10km study area (Figure 3): Acacia primsifolia (X) (previously presumed extinct) Acacia primsifolia (X) (previously presumed extinct) Gastrolobium lehmannii (T) Gastrolobium lehmannii (T) Main Roads is aware of the location of the mapped A prismifolia, which will not be impacted by this proposal. No Threatened taxa were observed during the site inspection on 2 August 2021. No understorey species were present. Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this clearing principle. Principle (d) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a threatened ecological community. Principle (e) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared. Principle (f) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in associated with a watercourse or wetland. Principle (f) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in associated with a watercourse or wetland. Principle (f) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in associated with a watercourse or wetland. 		immediate surroundings, the impacts to indigenous fauna habitat resulting from proposed removal of 0.032 ha vegetation in a Completely degraded condition is unlikely to be significant. Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this
which will not be impacted by this proposal.No Threatened taxa were observed during the site inspection on 2 August 2021. No understorey species were present. Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this clearing principle.Principle (d) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a threatened ecological community.The desktop assessment found no state listed TECs within the 10 km desktop study area (Figure 5). The site inspection did not observe any State listed TECs as 	not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of,	 within the 10km study area (Figure 3): Acacia primsifolia (X) (previously presumed extinct) Diuris drummondii (T)
August 2021. No understorey species were present. Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this clearing principle.Principle (d) – Native vegetation should or a part of, or is necessary for the 		
Principle (d) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a threatened ecological community.The desktop assessment found no state listed TECs within the 10 km desktop study area (Figure 5). The site inspection did not observe any State listed TECs as occurring in the proposal area, as the proposal involves the removal of seven paddock trees in a completely degraded condition. Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this clearing principle.Principle (e) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.The pre-European vegetation within the proposal area is Vegetation Association 967. The current extent remaining is below 20% at all scales (State, IBRA Bioregion, IBRA Sub-region and LGA). However, the seven paddock trees in a Completely degraded condition, more than 100m away from other native vegetation are no longer representative of Vegetation Association 967 - Medium woodland; wandoo & yate and accordingly, the proposed removal of these trees is not at variance to this clearing principle.Principle (f) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in associated with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.A search of the Main Roads GIS Database indicates one mapped watercourse (minor non-perennial) located 745m west of the proposal area (Figure 4). The location of the proposal area is near the top of an undulating hill, so does not have topographic features associated with riparian vegetation. The tree species to be removed are wandoo and yate and not considered to be riparian. No mapped wetland/riparian vegetation will be removed as part of the proposal. Based on the above,		August 2021. No understorey species were present. Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this
not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a threatened ecological community.desktop study area (Figure 5). 		
maintenance of, a threatened ecological community.occurring in the proposal area, as the proposal involves the removal of seven paddock trees in a completely degraded condition.Principle (e) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.The pre-European vegetation within the proposal area is Vegetation Association 967. The current extent remaining is below 20% at all scales (State, IBRA Bioregion, IBRA Sub-region and LGA). However, the seven paddock trees in a Completely degraded condition, mover, the seven paddock trees in a Completely degraded condition, mover, the seven paddock trees in a Completely degraded condition, mover, the seven paddock trees in a Completely degraded condition, mover, the seven paddock trees in a Completely degraded condition, mover, the seven paddock trees in a Completely degraded condition, mover, the seven paddock trees in a Completely degraded condition, mover, the seven paddock trees in a Completely degraded condition, mover, the seven paddock trees in a Completely degraded condition, mover, the seven paddock trees in a Completely degraded condition, mover, the seven paddock trees in a Completely degraded condition, mover, the seven paddock trees in a Completely degraded condition, mover, the seven paddock trees in a Completely degraded condition, mover than 100m away from other native vegetation are no longer representative of Vegetation Association 967 - Medium woodland; wandoo & yate and accordingly, the proposed removal of these trees is not at variance to this clearing principle.Principle (f) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in associated with a watercourse or wetland.A search of the Main Roads GIS Database indicates one mapped watercourse (minor non-perennial)		
Principle (e) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.The pre-European vegetation within the proposal area is Vegetation Association 967. The current extent remaining is below 20% at all scales (State, IBRA Bioregion, IBRA Sub-region and LGA). However, the seven paddock trees in a Completely degraded condition, more than 100m away from other native vegetation are no longer representative of Vegetation Association 967 - Medium woodland; wandoo & yate and accordingly, the proposed removal of these trees is not at variance to this clearing principle.Principle (f) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.A search of the Main Roads GIS Database indicates one mapped watercourse (minor non-perennial) located 745m west of the proposal area (Figure 4). The location of the proposal area is near the top of an undulating hill, so does not have topographic features associated with riparian vegetation. The tree species to be removed are wandoo and yate and not considered to be riparian. No mapped wetland/riparian vegetation will be removed as part of the proposal. Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this clearing principle.	maintenance of, a threatened ecological	occurring in the proposal area, as the proposal involves the removal
Association 967. The current extent remaining is below 20% at all scales (State, IBRA Bioregion, IBRA Sub-region and LGA). However, the seven paddock trees in a Completely degraded condition, more than 100m away from other native vegetation are no longer representative of Vegetation Association 967 - Medium woodland; wandoo & yate and accordingly, the proposed removal of these trees is not at variance to this clearing principle.Principle (f) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.A search of the Main Roads GIS Database indicates one mapped watercourse (minor non-perennial) located 745m west of the proposal area (Figure 4). The location of the proposal area is near the top of an undulating hill, so does not have topographic features associated with riparian vegetation. The tree species to be removed are wandoo and yate and not considered to be riparian. No mapped wetland/riparian vegetation will be removed as part of the proposal. Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this clearing principle.		
not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.	not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area	Association 967. The current extent remaining is below 20% at all scales (State, IBRA Bioregion, IBRA Sub-region and LGA). However, the seven paddock trees in a Completely degraded condition, more than 100m away from other native vegetation are no longer representative of Vegetation Association 967 - Medium woodland; wandoo & yate and accordingly, the proposed removal of these trees is not at variance to this clearing principle.
wetland.The tree species to be removed are wandoo and yate and not considered to be riparian.No mapped wetland/riparian vegetation will be removed as part of the proposal.Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this clearing principle.	not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or	watercourse (minor non-perennial) located 745m west of the proposal area (Figure 4). The location of the proposal area is near the top of an undulating hill, so does not have topographic features
the proposal. Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this clearing principle.	wetland.	The tree species to be removed are wandoo and yate and not
clearing principle.		the proposal.

Principle (g) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.	The 4.8 ha proposal area is predominantly cleared farmland. The removal of 0.032 ha of paddock trees will not result in an increase in land degradation. The proposal area is in an area with a low probability of occurrence of Acid Sulphate Soils (Figure 6). Following gravel extraction, the area will be rehabilitated back to pasture. Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this clearing principle.
Principle (h) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.	A search of ArcGIS shapefiles indicates that there is only one reserve/conservation area located within the 10km study area (Figure 4). The nearest conservation area is located south of the proposal area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated to any reserves or conservation areas. Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this clearing principle.
Principle (i) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.	The proposed clearing is not considered to disturb or interrupt any natural drainage or cause an alteration to surface water flows. No dewatering or drainage modifications are proposed. No water will be required for the proposal. As such the project is unlikely to interact or cause deterioration of the quality of groundwater in or adjacent to the proposal area. Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this clearing principle.
Principle (j) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.	The annual average rainfall of Cranbrook (approximately 5km south east of the proposal area) is 495mm per year (BOM, 2021), predominantly during the winter months. The topsoil of the proposal area contains significant amounts of sand, making it free draining. Based on the amount of rainfall and soil type in the proposal area, the removal of the vegetation is unlikely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding. Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this clearing principle.
Methodology Used and References:	Main Roads Site Inspection Report - D21#846938 Shapefile of proposal area - D21#733727 Rainfall data - http://www.bom.gov.au/jsp/ncc/cdio/weatherData/av?p nccObsCo de=139&p display type=dataFile&p startYear=&p c=&p stn num =010537 (accessed 27/08/2021) PMST Report - D21#842066 Australian Government. EPBC Act referral guidelines for three threatened black cockatoo species (2012). Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities. Centre for Ecosystem Management, Edith Cowan University and the Department of Environment and Conservation. (2008) Food Resources of Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo (Calyptorhynchus latirostris) in the Gnangara Sustainability Strategy study area. Department of Parks and Wildlife. (2011) Plants Used by Carnaby's Black Cockatoo. List of plants used by Carnaby's black cockatoo (dpaw.wa.gov.au)

Completed By:	
Name	
Signature	
Job Title	Senior Environment Officer
Date	27/08/2021

Once all sections are completed, send the form to CRSP for review and endorsement.

DECISION ON CLEARING ASSESSMENT			
Clearing Assessment	ENDORSED 🖂	REFUSED 🗌	
Comments			
Name			
Signature			
Job Title	Senior Environment Officer		
Date	02/09/2021		

REDACTED

Figure 1. Proposal Area

Figure 2. Locality Map

Figure 3. Study Area – Conservation Significant Flora and Fauna

Figure 4. Study Area - Water and Groundwater Areas, CAWS Catchment and DBCA Lands

Figure 5. Study Area – TEC/PEC and ESAs

Figure 6. Acid Sulphate Soil Risk Mapping (CSIRO)

Extremely Low Probability of Occurrence (green) Low Probability of Occurrence (yellow)