In Actinosphaerium the twelve radial lines of microtubules would presumably isolate the active sectors from one

> A. C. MACDONALD J. A. KITCHING

School of Biological Sciences. University of East Anglia, Norwich.

Received February 24, 1967.

- Kitching, J. A., in Primitive Motile Systems (edit. by Allen. R. D., and Kamiya, N.), 445 (Academic Press, New York).
 Kitching, J. A., and Craggs, S., Exp. Cell Res., 40, 658 (1965).
 Tilney, L. G., and Porter, K. R., Protoplasma, 60, 317 (1965).

- 4 Burton, P. R., Science, 154, 903 (1966).
- ⁵ Grimstone, A. V., and Klug, A. J., Cell Sci., 1, 351 (1966).
- Gall, J. G., J. Cell Biol., 27, 32A (1965).
 Grimstone, A. V., and Cleveland, L. R., J. Cell Biol., 24, 387 (1965).

Swarming of Hyperiid Amphipods

Following a period of moderately strong westerly wind, on June 26, 1966, the beaches of Robin Hood's Bay, Filey, and Sandsend, Yorkshire (extending for about 40 km of coastline), were turned white by a covering of vast numbers of hyperiid amphipods.

A sample of these was found to consist of fifty-six large specimens, all but two being sexually mature or nearly mature, and more than a hundred small juvenile specimens. The large specimens were identified as Parathemisto (Euthemisto) gaudichaudii (Guerin), both the compressa and bispinosa forms' being present in about equal proportions. The small specimens could be identified with certainty only as Parathemisto sp., but considering that all of them were curled, as within the maternal oostegites, it was obvious that they had just been released.

Specimens of Parathemisto had occurred previously in small numbers in April and May 1966. In early June, mature specimens (containing young) were common in the surface plankton off Sandsend. Following the invasion of the shore, large numbers of small specimens of Parathemisto were taken in July in plankton hauls off Sandsend and only rarely were specimens taken later in the year. Thus swarming appears to be associated with the reproductive phase.

On June 17, that is, before the invasion, the stomachs of cod (Gadus morrhua) of 33-60 cm length trawled in 14 m of water, 1.2 km off Sandsend, were all found to be distended with specimens of Parathemisto gaulichaudii (identified by J. E. Kane). The cod were in large numbers and it seems likely that the amphipods had been swarming.

Swarms of Parathemisto gaudichaudii have been reported on other occasions; for example, off the Gulf of Maine2,3, off the French Atlantic coast4 and in the Antarctic Ocean^{5,6}. Where examined^{4,5}, as in the present case, the composition of the swarms showed them to have been in a reproductive phase.

The coastline discussed faces north-cast and the residual tidal current is south-east. Westerly winds activated by the Coriolis force might have intensified the south-easterly current and brought the swarming amphipods closer to the beaches. A similar invasion occurred in May 1913, also following a period of westerly wind?. Other invasions in February 1892, April 1907 and April 1908 followed periods of more directly onshore winds, north or north-east. Thus it is quite possible that the prevailing wind in each case could have contributed to the invasion of the shore by the swarming amphipods.

A sample collected from the beach at Redcar, Yorkshire, in April 1907 by T. H. Nelson was referred to as Euthemisto compressa by Ritchie⁷, and noted as Euthemisto compressa (Goës) var. gracilipes Norman (Reg. No. 1907-79, Royal Scottish Museum). According to modern usage this species is Parathemisto (Euthemisto) gracilipes*. On re-examination, this sample was found to consist of

110 specimens, all but five being sexually mature or nearly mature, many of the females carrying eggs or young. As in the 1966 invasion, the composition therefore suggested a reproduction phase although the species in the two invasions were different.

It appears that the neritic species, Parathemisto gracilipes, is generally the most usual one in the southern North Sea®, although difficulty in nomenclature tends to confuse this 10,11. Thus the presence of P. gaudichaudii might be considered unusual and, considering its normal oceanic existence1,8, indicative of an influx of water from the Atlantic Ocean. Similarly, swarms of the pteropod, Limnacia lesueuri, and of the radiolarian, Phyllostaurus guadifolius, normally in the Atlantic, occurred off the north-east coast of England in the late summer and early autumn of 192112. These organisms considered to have been carried around the north of Scotland. More frequently, successive swarms are brought only as far as the north of Scotland12. In 1965, for example, the euphausiid Thysanoessa longicaudata, which is normally oceanic, was found swarming in Lerwick Harbour in the Shetland Islands, where one would expect T. inermis or T. rashi¹³. Here, as with the amphipods, the swarm was in a reproductive state and is believed to have been influenced by local wind conditions.

The Sei whale in the Antarctic is reported to feed almost exclusively on Parathemisto gaudichaudii5, and the tunny (Thunnus alalunga) is known to feed on immense surface swarms of this species (as Euthemisto compressa) which occur beyond the continental shelf off the French Atlantic coast⁴. The cod off Langenes, Iceland¹⁴, and off Bear Island¹⁵, has been reported to take *Parathemisto oblivia* (prob. = P. abyssorum) on some occasions as its predominant food. The feeding to gorging, as shown for the cod off the Yorkshire coast, however, is here reported for the first time.

This investigation was partially supported by a contract between the Scottish Marine Biological Association and the US Office of Naval Research.

J. S. GRAY

Wellcome Marine Laboratory, Robin Hood's Bay, Yorkshire.

R. A. MCHARDY

Oceanographic Laboratory, 78 Craighall Road. Edinburgh.

Received December 21, 1966.

- Kane, J. E., Disc. Rep., 34, 163 (1966).
 Bigelow, H. B., Bull. U.S. Bur. Fish., 40 (2), 1 (1924).
 Fish, C. J., and Johnson, M. W., J. Biol. Bd. Can., 3, 189 (1937).
- Le Danois, E., Mem. Off. Sci. Tech., Pech. Marit., 9, 16 (1921).
- ⁵ Nemoto, T., Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst., 14, 149 (1959). ⁶ Hardy, A. C., and Gunther, E. R., Disc. Rep., 11, 1 (1935).
- Ritchie, J., Nature, 91, 398 (1913).
 Bowman, T. E., Proc. U.S. Nat. Mus., 112 (3439); 343 (1960).
- ⁸ Künne, C., Ber. Deutsch. Wiss. Komm. Meeresfursch., 8 (3), 131 (1937).
- ¹⁰ Marshall, N. B., Hull Bull. Mar. Ecol., 2 (18), 173 (1948).
- 11 Southward, A. J., J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K., 42, 275 (1962).
- 12 Hardy, A. C., Cons. Perm. Int. Explor. Mer. Publ. Circ., No. 78, 1 (1923).
- Forsyth, D. C. T., and Jones, L. T., Nature, 212, 1467 (1966).
 Brown, W. W., and Cheng, C., Hull Bull. Mar. Ecol., 3 (18), 35 (1946).
- 15 Brotsky, V. A., Rep. First Session State Oceanogr. Inst. Moscow, 4, 20 (1931).

Apparent Photosynthesis and Respiration in Populations of Lolium perenne from Contrasting Climatic Regions

MEASUREMENTS of net assimilation rate by growth analysis techniques have shown significant differences between North European and Mediterranean populations of Dactylis glomerata in controlled environments at low and high temperatures1, and between a similar range of populations of D. glomerata, Festuca arundinacea and Lolium perenne in the winter, spring and autumn at Aberystwyth². Greater net assimilation rates were found