# LONG RANGE PLAN

**Missoula & Mineral** County, Montana

# Long Range Plan For: Missoula & Mineral County, Montana

# Section I. Introduction

## **Purpose**


The Long-Range Plan is a working document outlining the natural resource data, status and trends from both Missoula and Mineral County, Montana. This 5-year plan represents a commitment to local and regional partnerships and outlines strategic approaches to solving complex natural resource issues. The plan will be used to prioritize projects for NRCS financial incentive programs.

The goal of the Long-Range Plan is to review natural resource characteristics and issues found throughout both Missoula and Mineral Counties and surrounding areas. Updated on a semi-annual basis, this document will be used to highlight resource concerns of high priority and will provide guidance on future planning of Targeted Implementation Plans (TIP).

The Missoula and Mineral County Long Range Plan was developed by the NRCS Missoula Field office with help from multiple partners. In addition, existing resource plans and management plans from partners have been referenced in completing this document. A full listing of resources can be found in the Appendices Section.

## Partners in Natural Resources

- Mineral County Conservation District
- Missoula Conservation District
- Clark Fork Watershed Group
- Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS)
  - o Missoula Field Office
- Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes (CSKT)
- Montana State University Extension (MSU)
- U.S. Forest Service (USFS)
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)
- Montana Fish Wildlife & Parks (FWP)
- Flathead Indian Irrigation Project (FIIP)
- Five Valleys Land Trust & Montana Land Reliance
- Montana Dept. of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC)
- Trout Unlimited (TU)
- Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation (RMEF)
- Intermountain West Joint Venture (IMJV)
- The Blackfoot Challenge
- The Nature Conservancy (TNC)
- Missoula and Mineral County Weed Districts
- Soil and Water Conservation Districts of Montana (SWCDM)
- Montana Association of Conservation Districts (MACD)
- Missoula and Mineral County Government



# Section II. County Profile and Natural Resource Inventory

## **Missoula County**

Missoula County is located at the west-central edge of Montana sharing its borders with six counties in Montana along with two counties in the state of Idaho. Missoula County is characterized by having five large valleys with two major rivers winding through several mountain ranges, many of which help form the surrounding county boundaries. The Clark Fork River, which is a tributary of the Columbia River runs through the heart of Missoula County flowing from southeast at the Powell County line to the northwest towards Mineral County. The county has an immense amount of public land with the federal government managing over 52% of the total acres within the county. The vast majority of public land in Missoula County is included within the Lolo National Forest, Bitterroot National Forest, and Flathead National Forest. Missoula County has a semi-arid climate with cold and moderately snowy winters, hot and dry summers, and spring and autumn are characterized by having short seasons. Winter conditions are milder than the vast majority of other counties of Montana. The average annual precipitation in Missoula County can vary from 14 inches in lower elevations of the valley floors to over 40 inches at upper elevations of some of the mountain ranges.

Farming/ranching, mining, timber harvesting, along with tourism and recreation make up the core of the economic structure in rural portions of Missoula County. The leading industries for the city of Missoula are health care, and education with two major hospitals, the University of Montana and public schools being the largest employers. In 2019 the growth rate for Missoula County was 1.3%. The rapidly growing population base and the increase in development and loss of open space in conjunction with a diverse set of land-use activities creates a unique set of challenges for both county residents and land managers alike.

## **HUMANS**

#### Missoula County at a Glance

Missoula County has a total area of 2,614 square miles or 1,673,518 acres (1.78% of Montana), with 29 square miles being comprised of water. According to the most recent statistics available from the US Bureau of Census, the estimated population of Missoula County was 118,791 in 2018 making it the second most populous county in the state. The population density is 42.1 inhabitants per square mile. The racial makeup of the county was 91.8% white, 2.7% American Indian, 3.3% Hispanic or Latino, 1.9% Asian, 0.5% black or African American, 0.1% from other races, and 3.0% from two or more races. The largest city in the county is Missoula which also serves as the county seat with a population of approximately 74,428 in the 2018 census.

According to the Montana Natural Heritage Program, 62% of land in Missoula County is publicly owned, of which the majority (52%) is owned by the US Forest Service. Only 18% of lands in Missoula County are held in private ownership (304,177 acres). The Flathead Indian Reservation boundaries encompass 7% of Missoula County, primarily along the northern edge of the county. The Reservation is home to three tribes, the Bitterroot Salish, Upper Pend d'Orielle and the Kootenai and together they are known as the Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes (CSKT). Historically the three Tribes maintained territories stretching from central Montana through eastern Washington and into Canada. The Flathead Reservation was established in 1855 by the Hellgate Treaty. The Reservation covers approximately 1.3

million acres. The majority of the Reservation is located within Lake County; however, the reservation also extends into Sanders and Missoula Counties. The 1904 Flathead Allotment Act eventually led to the opening of the Reservation to non-Indian homesteaders in 1910. According to CSKT's 2018 Annual Report the Reservation has approximately 8,087 enrolled tribal members, 5,000 of which live on or near the reservation (CSKT 2018 Annual Report). The city of Pablo serves as the seat of government for the CSKT. **Table's 1-3** detail the Land Management Summary, Agricultural Statistics, and Land Use in Missoula County. **Appendix A & B** includes county boundaries, map ownership, and land use of Missoula County in close detail.

LAND MANAGEMENT SUMMARY FOR MISSOULA COUNTY					
		Total Acres	Land Percentage		
Public Lands		1,038,682	62%		
	U. S. Forest Service	852,982	52%		
Federal	U.S. Bureau of Land Management	19,795	1%		
	U. S. Department of Defense	6	< 1%		
	U.S. Government	10	< 1%		
	Montana State Trust Lands	96,251	6%		
	Montana University System	1,003	< 1%		
State	Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks	39,757	2%		
State	Montana Department of Transportation	139	<1%		
	State of Montana	20,633	1%		
Local	Local Government	8,106	<1%		
Reservation Boundaries (CSKT)		119,313	7%		
Private Conservation Lands		155,679	9%		
<b>Conservation Easements</b>		55,659	3%		
Private Lands or Unknown Ownership		304,177	18%		

**Table 1:** Missoula County Land Management Summary

#### Agriculture

The primary agricultural land use in Missoula County is woodland (74%), followed by pasture and rangeland (16%) and cropland (8%). The total number of farms has decreased over the past ten years by over 17% to 576 total farms reported in 2017. The acres in farmland jumped slightly between 2012 and 2017; this is likely the result of farmland consolidation over a 5-year period in Missoula County. Most of

the agriculture land is spread throughout several valleys that exist in the county. The remaining balance of acres in the county are mountainous and are covered with timber. Primary agricultural products include livestock (mainly cattle) and forage (grass and alfalfa). There is limited small grain production (spring and winter wheat, oats and barley) and a growing number of acres in diversified vegetable production (often on small 'truck farms' and high tunnels) as well as small orchard operations and turf farms. The elevation of the agricultural producing land ranges from 3,200 to 4,000 feet above sea level. The average growing season in Missoula County is approximately 115 days. Most of the agriculture takes place on the outskirts of the Missoula city boundary line, north towards the Jocko Valley, south along the Bitterroot River Corridor and west towards Huson, Montana. The Potomac Valley region of Missoula, County east towards the Powell County line is where the majority of the cattle producers reside but is more generally forested and of lower suitability for agriculture.

MISSOULA COUNTY, MONTANA	2017	2012	2007
Number of Farms	576	637	699
Land in Farms (acres)	260,117	247,141	281,893
Average Size of Farm (acres)	452	388	403

## Table 2: Agricultural Statistics, NASS

#### Table 3: Missoula County Land Uses

Missoula County Land Use	2017 (acres)	% of Land use
Pasture & Rangeland	41,619	16%
Woodland	192,487	74%
Cropland	20,809	8%
Other	2,601	1%

#### **Mineral County**

Mineral County is located in the extreme western edge of Montana sharing its northwestern border with Shoshone County, Idaho. The southwestern border of Mineral County is shared with Clearwater County, Idaho. The eastern boundary is shared by both Sanders County and Missoula County, Montana. This western border is also home to the Coeur d'Alene Mountains which are part of the Bitterroot Range. The Clark Fork River, which is a tributary of the Columbia River runs the length of Mineral County flowing from southeast to the northwest. The county has a wealth of public land with the federal government managing over 80% of the total acres within the county. The vast majority of public land in Mineral County is included within the Lolo National Forest. The Lolo National Forest and private land holdings in Mineral County are located in a rain shadow formed by the Idaho-Montana state line divide. The average annual precipitation on the Lolo National Forest acreage can vary from 30 inches in lower elevations to 50 inches at upper elevations. The mean average precipitation in the county is 16 inches.

The economy, culture, and custom of Mineral County has been shaped by the beneficial use of natural resources for decades. From the beginning, farming/ranching, mining, and timber harvesting helped

form the basic economic structure of the county. These activities are still prevalent today, along with an increased interest in recreational use of the land by county residents and out-of-state visitors. These diverse land-use activities in conjunction with a moderately growing population base pose a unique set of challenges for both county residents and land managers alike.

## <u>Humans</u>

#### Mineral County at a Glance

Mineral County has a total area of 1,222 square miles or 782,067 acres (0.83% of Montana), with 3.8 square miles being comprised of water. According to the most recent statistics available from the US Bureau of Census, the estimated population of Mineral County was 4,316 in 2018. The population density is 3.5 inhabitants per square mile. The racial makeup of the county was 94.1% white, 2.0% American Indian, 2.9% Hispanic or Latino, 0.7% Asian, 0.5% black or African American, 0.0% from other races, and 2.7% from two or more races. The largest city in the county is Superior which also serves as the county seat with a population of approximately 812 in the 2010 census.

According to the Montana Natural Heritage Program, 91% of land in Mineral County is publicly owned, of which the majority (82%) is owned by the U.S. Forest Service. Only 9% of lands in Mineral County are held in private ownership (304,177 acres). *Table's 4-6* detail the Land Management Summary, Agricultural Statistics, and Land Use in Mineral County. *Appendix C & D* includes county boundaries, map ownership, and land use of Mineral County in close detail.

, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	able 4. Mineral County Land Management Summary				
LAND MANAGEMENT SUMMARY FOR MINERAL COUNTY					
		Total Acres			
Public Lands		708,328	91%		
Federal	U. S. Forest Service	639,061	82%		
	Montana State Trust Lands	26,863	3%		
	Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks	41,382	5%		
State	Montana Department of				
	Transportation	286	<1%		
	State of Montana	345	<1%		
Local	Local Government	391	<1%		
Private Conservation					
Lands		4	<1%		
<b>Conservation Easements</b>		148	<1%		
Private Lands or Unknown					
Ownership		73,587	9%		

#### Table 4: Mineral County Land Management Summary

#### <u>Agriculture</u>

The primary agricultural land use in Mineral County is woodland (53%), followed by pasture and rangeland (13%) and cropland (30%). The total number of farms has decreased slightly over the past ten years by less than 6% to 93 total farms reported in 2017. The acres in farmland jumped significantly between 2012 and 2017; this is likely the result of farmland consolidation over a 5-year period in Mineral County. Most of the agriculture land in the county consists of narrow intermittent bands of acreage along the Clark Fork River valley. The remaining balance of the acres in the county are mountainous and are covered with timber. Primary agricultural products include livestock (mainly cattle) and forage (grass and alfalfa). There is limited small grain production (spring and winter wheat, oats and barley) and a small number of acres in diversified vegetable production (often on small 'truck farms' and high tunnels) as well as small orchard operations. The elevation of the agricultural producing land ranges from 2,700 to 3,000 feet above sea level. The average growing season in Mineral County is approximately 115 days. Most of the agriculture takes place in the narrow valleys along the Clark Fork River Corridor between Alberton, Montana and St. Regis, Montana. Cattle producers reside in these river valley bottoms as well, but their operations can extend to higher elevations of forested ground. These higher elevation areas are of lower suitability for agriculture but are generally well suited for timber growth and production.

MINERAL COUNTY, MONTANA	2017	2012	2007
Number of Farms	93	95	99
Land in Farms (acres)	18,408	17,049	22,654
Average Size of Farm (acres)	198	179	229

#### Table 5: Agricultural Statistics, NASS

#### Table 6: Mineral County Land Uses

Mineral County Land Use	2017 (acres)	% of Land use
Pasture & Rangeland	2,393	13%
Woodland	9,756	53%
Cropland	5,524	30%
Other	736	4%

## **Geology and Soils**

#### <u>Missoula County</u>

The field work for the Missoula County Soil survey (Soil Survey Area MT638) was completed in 1983 and published in 1985. Much of the information in this paper is taken from the Soil Survey Manuscript. The survey area contains portions of seven mountain ranges. The ranges include the Garnet Range in the eastern portion; the Mission and Swan Ranges to the north; the Sapphire Range to the southeast; the Bitterroot Range to the southwest and the Grave Creek and Ninemile Ranges to the northwest. The

county lies within three Major Land Resource Areas (MLRA) including 44A – Northern Rocky Mountain Valleys, 43A – Northern Rocky Mountains, and 43B – Central Rocky Mountains.

The Precambrian rocks are among the oldest exposed sedimentary rocks in the world. They are represented by the Ravalli, Piegan, and Missoula Groups, which are commonly referred to as the "Belt rocks". Most of the soils in the mountainous areas are underlain by sediment from the Belt rocks.

The major valleys in the survey area are filled with Tertiary lakebed sediment, Pleistocene silt from Glacial Lake Missoula, and recent alluvium. Pleistocene glacial deposits are in the Clearwater, Swan, and Blackfoot River drainageways. During the Pleistocene Epoch, a large body of water backed up behind an ice dam that was 2,000 feet high and was filled by the rising waters in the Clark Fork and Flathead Valleys. This body of water has been named Glacial Lake Missoula. The lake extended into Missoula County and reached a maximum elevation of about 4,200 feet above sea level, or about 1,000 feet above the present elevation of the city of Missoula. Deposits from the lakebed consist principally of silty material and fine sand.

There are relatively small acreages of Prime Farmland in Missoula County. The vast majority of which are found along the Clark Fork River between the town of Clinton and northwest of Frenchtown. Some of these soils are forested. Some soils are classified as 'prime if irrigated' meaning they do well under irrigation and should be prioritized for this purpose. Soils that are prime if irrigated lie mainly along river corridors, including a large area surrounding the city of Missoula and extending to Frenchtown. Farmlands of Statewide Importance occur mainly in the Blackfoot Valley on broad alluvial fans and terraces. Farmlands of Local Importance are widely scattered in areas where hay and forage are grown and occur mainly west of Missoula and some areas near Potomac. *See Appendix E for detail map of Missoula County Soils of Importance*.

#### **Mineral County**

The Lolo National Forest Land Systems Inventory was published by the US Forest Service Soil survey in 1989. Much of the information in this section was taken from that publication. Ongoing updates have been made to this inventory and the resulting soil survey is available on-line as Soil Survey Area MT603. Mineral county contains portions of two mountain ranges. The ranges include the Bitterroot Mountains in the southern portion and the Coeur d'Alaine Mountains to the north. The county lies within two Major Land Resource Areas (MLRA) including 44A – Northern Rocky Mountain Valleys and 43A – Northern Rocky Mountains.

The most predominant bedrock in the county are the partially metamorphosed, ancient sedimentary rocks of the Belt Basin Supergroup: known as the Belt metasedimentary rocks. Evidence of the depositional environment of these rocks can be observed today by the occurrence of mudcracks, ripple marks, crossbedding, and fossil algal mats. Most of the soils in the mountainous areas are underlain by sediment from the Belt rocks. About 40 million years ago, during the Tertiary period, there was massive filling of valleys with sediment.

The Tertiary Period ended about 3 million years ago with the beginning of the Pleistocene. Glacial Lake Missoula was created by a glacial ice dam on the Clark Fork River near Lake Pend Oreille. This large

glacial lake flooded the Clark Fork River drainage below about 4,200 feet. Lacustrine deposits are located on high benches along the Clark Fork River and in the mouths of some tributary drainages.


Many of the soil surfaces have been influenced by volcanic activity originating in the Cascade Range. Volcanic ash has been transported by wind as loess and redistributed on the mountains of western Montana. The thickest deposits resulted from the Mount Mazama eruption about 6,700 years ago.

There are small acreages of Prime Farmland in Mineral County and nearly all of which are found along terraces above the Clark Fork River between the town of Alberton and west of Superior. Some soils are classified as 'prime if irrigated' meaning they do well under irrigation and should be prioritized for this purpose. Soils that are prime if irrigated in Mineral County are very minor in extent and occur on fans and benches above the Clark Fork River. Farmlands of Statewide Importance are very minor in extent and occur mainly in glacial lake sediments on dissected terraces. Farmlands of Local Importance are widely scattered in areas where hay and forage are grown on flatter slopes above the Clark Fork River. Forested areas are included in these designated areas. *See Appendix F for detail map of Mineral County Soils of Importance.* 

#### <u>Water</u>

#### **Precipitation**

Due to the unique nature of both Missoula and Mineral Counties, there are three distinct regions: The semiarid valleys (Lower Bitterroot and Central Clark Fork), Mid-elevation mountainous areas (throughout both counties), and high elevation mountains (Swan Mountain Range and Bitterroot Mountain Range). A common problem throughout these areas is the lack of growing season precipitation; only 30% of the total precipitation falls during the growing season while the rest accumulates as winter precipitation. Because most of the precipitation comes during the winter, irrigation is a critically important agronomic purpose in both counties. The lack of growing season precipitation significantly reduces crop yield potentials on non-irrigated sites. The semiarid valleys receive annual precipitation of 14 to 16 inches, with some pockets of areas along the base of the Sapphire Front receiving less than 14 inches annually due to a rain shadow effect from the Bitterroot Range. The mid-elevation mountainous areas scattered throughout both counties receive 30 to 40 inches of annual precipitation. The high elevation mountains of the Swan and Bitterroot Mountain Ranges receive 40 to 50 inches of annual precipitation with a few of the higher peaks reaching in excess of 50 inches. (Missoula and Mineral County Soil Survey). *See Figure 2 for mean annual precipitation which details the precipitation patterns for Montana including Missoula and Mineral Counties.* 



#### Figure 2. Mean annual precipitation in Montana

#### Watershed and Streams

Missoula and Mineral Counties lie along the Central Clark Fork Basin which drains over 22,000 square miles of Montana and Idaho into the Clark Fork River before it reaches Lake Pend Oreille in Idaho.

The Clark Fork River, which is a tributary of the Columbia River runs through the heart of both Missoula and Mineral Counties flowing from southeast at the Granite County line to the northwest into Sanders County, Montana. The Clark Fork enters Missoula County near Beavertail Hill State Park which is just west of the Granite County, Montana boundary. From there the Clark Fork River travels through the remainder of Missoula County and northwest into Mineral County. At the town of St. Regis, the river moves northeast into Sanders County near the Donlan Flats area. After flowing through Sanders County, the Clark Fork River enters the state of Idaho, emptying into Lake Pend Oreille. Past Lake Pend Oreille the Clark Fork then joins with the Columbia River with eventually reaching the Pacific Ocean. During its path through Missoula and Mineral Counties numerous tributaries contribute to the Clark Fork River.

The Clark Fork drainage has been severely affected by historic mining activities. High levels of arsenic, cadmium, zinc, aluminum and copper have been detected in the Clark Fork River. Missoula County, Montana has 6,670 records of mining claims on public land managed by the Bureau of Land Management with 221 active claims. The United States Geological Survey lists 304 mines on record in

Missoula County. The most commonly listed primary commodities mined in Missoula County are gold, silver, copper, and lead. Mineral County, Montana has 3,496 records of mining claims on public land managed by the Bureau of Land Management with 193 active claims. The United States Geological Survey lists 175 mines on record in Mineral County. The most commonly listed primary commodities mined in Mineral County are gold, silver, copper, and lead (The Diggings, 2019).

According to Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), both Missoula and Mineral Counties reside in the Pend Oreille Sub-Major Basin (a basin of the Columbia River). Five Hydrologic Unit Codes (HUC) comprise Missoula County: <u>Bitterroot</u> which begins at the Ravalli County boundary and flows north to the confluence of the Clark Fork River, <u>Middle Clark Fork</u> which begins at the confluence of the Blackfoot River and Clark Fork River and flows northwest to the Mineral County Boundary, <u>Blackfoot</u> that begins at the Powell County Boundary and flows west to the confluence of the Blackfoot River and the Clark Fork River, and <u>Swan River</u> that begins at the confluence of Beaver Creek and flows northwest to the Lake County boundary. One Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) comprises Mineral County: <u>Middle Clark Fork</u> which begins at the Missoula County boundary and flows northwest to the Lake County boundary. One Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) comprises Mineral County: <u>Middle Clark Fork</u> which begins at the Missoula County boundary and flows northwest to the Sanders County boundary. *Appendix G lists the waters associated with the Central Clark Fork River drainage that are designated as impaired by DEQ in both Missoula and Mineral Counties. Appendix H provides a map of the location of each of the impaired streams within the Central Clark Fork River drainage.* 

Common impairments for waters within Missoula and Mineral Counties include sedimentation/siltation, turbidity, nitrogen/nitrate, phosphorus, flow alterations, heavy metals, temperature and alterations to streamside vegetation. Causes of impairments are commonly a result of historical mining, silviculture activities, livestock grazing and other agricultural practices.

Many partners are actively working to improve water quality and riparian function within both Missoula and Mineral Counties including The Clark Fork Coalition, FWP, Northwestern Energy, USFWS, Trout Unlimited, CSKT, Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), Missoula and Mineral County Conservation Districts, NRCS and the Soil and Water Conservation Districts of Montana, among others. The NRCS is an active participant in many of these discussions and projects when they occur on private lands.

#### **Flathead Reservation Water Resources**

- ✓ 963 miles of perennial streams
- ✓ 3,118 miles of intermittent and ephemeral streams
- ✓ 71,849 lake surface acres
- ✓ 103,133 Wetland Acres

Table 6. Water resources on the Flathead Indian Reservation.

72% of perennial streams and 87% of intermittent streams are impaired for one or more uses. On the Reservation the sources for impairment of water quality are generally related to land use practices

The Flathead Indian Reservation contains a vast and diverse array of water resources as shown in the table on the left. A report issued by the CSKT tribe titled "CSKT Water Quality Assessment Report" benchmarks the existing surface water quality conditions on tribal waters. According to the report, which generate nonpoint sources of pollution. **Tables 7 and 8** below, from the CSKT report, outline the causes and sources of impairment as well as their estimated extents.

Causes	Р	erennial	Intermittent		
	Stream Per miles tota		Stream miles	Percent of total stream	
		miles		miles	
Flow alteration	567	59 %	1,538	49%	
Habitat alteration	729	76%	2,692	86%	
Nutrients	393	41%	1,897	61%	
Siltation	661	69%	2,655	85%	
Suspended solids	623	65%	2,655	85%	
Turbidity	455	47%	2,261	73%	
Thermal modification	298	31%	1,223	39%	
Pathogens	224	23%	1,196	38%	
Ammonia	11	1%			

Table 7. Causes of impairment of Reservation streams and rivers

Table 8. Sources of impairment of Reservation streams and rivers

Causes	F	Perennial	Intermittent	
	Stream miles	Percent of total stream miles	Stream miles	Percent of total stream miles
Silviculture	175	18%	896	29%
Hydromodification	632	66%	1,718	55%
Habitat modification	667	69%	2,659	85%
Agriculture – grazing	660	69%	2,691	86%
Agriculture – crops	382	40%	1,255	40%
Other urban runoff	356	37%	1,523	49%
Ground water loading	11	1%		
Minor municipal sources	7	1%		
Resource extraction	3	0.3%		

#### **Irrigation**

There are approximately 15,458 acres of irrigated land in the river bottoms and floodplains of Missoula County. The principle water courses in the county are the Clark Fork River, Swan River, Clearwater River, Blackfoot River, Bitterroot River systems and their tributaries. The principle valleys where irrigation is found in Missoula county include the Missoula Valley, the lower end of the Bitterroot Valley, the Swan River Valley, the Potomac Valley, and the lower part of the Flathead Valley. There are several irrigation districts on file with the county, some of these include the Big Flat Irrigation District, Clinton Irrigation District, Frenchtown Irrigation District, Carlton Creek Irrigation Company to name a few. The vast majority of irrigated lands in Missoula County grow perennial forages including pasture, grass hay and alfalfa. Most of the irrigated soils are sandy loams along the river bottoms throughout the county. The lower portions of the Flathead Valley and west of Missoula near Frenchtown are comprised of sandy clay formations. The permeable sandy soils have a moderate to high infiltration rate and are in need of

additional management during the irrigation season to ensure that enough water is being properly applied throughout the growing season. Areas of the county with clay soil components have low infiltration rates and are particularly susceptible to runoff if irrigation water is over-applied or applied at rates that are greater than the intake rates of the soils. Soils that are prime if irrigated lie mainly along river corridors, including a large area along the Clark Fork River surrounding the city of Missoula and extending to Frenchtown (Missoula County Soil Survey).

There are approximately 633 acres of irrigated land in Mineral County most of which consists of small intermittent bands along the Clark Fork River valley. The valley bottoms throughout the county are considered well-watered due to the proximity of both the Clark Fork River and St. Regis River and their tributaries to existing agricultural land. The vast majority of land under irrigation in the county consists of small acreage parcels in the river bottoms where irrigation water is delivered from individual or small privately-owned ditches. There are no known irrigation districts or large irrigation companies servicing Mineral County. The vast majority of irrigated lands in Mineral County grow perennial forages including pasture, grass hay and alfalfa. Most of the irrigated soils are sandy loam with considerable areas containing gravel and boulders. These permeable soils have a moderate to high infiltration rate and are in need of additional management during the irrigation season to ensure that enough water is being properly applied throughout the growing season. Soils that are prime if irrigated in Mineral County are very minor in extent and occur on fans and benches above the Clark Fork River (Lolo National Forest Land Systems Inventory).

Water delivery for irrigated land on the Flathead Indian Reservation is operated by the Flathead Indian Irrigation Project (FIIP). The irrigation infrastructure was authorized by Congress in 1908 and constructed to provide irrigation water to approximately 150,000 acres of land on the Flathead Indian Reservation. The project started construction in 1908 and was completed 56 years later in the early 1960s (Bureau of Reclamation – The Flathead Project). During this time there was construction of an extensive network of over 1,200 miles irrigation canals and seventeen reservoirs. The FIIP serves over 127,000 acres in the Mission, Jocko and Little Bitterroot Valleys. In 2015 after more than a decade of negotiation the State of Montana Legislature ratified the CSKT Montana Compact outlining water usage for irrigation and instream flows on the CKST reservation and beyond. The Compact currently awaits approval by the United States Congress. The Compact protects historical irrigation uses while at the same time providing for Tribal in-stream flow targets. One of the key goals of the Compact is to improve irrigation efficiencies within the target area. Due to the age of FIIP, much of the infrastructure suffers from deterioration. In fact, according to the Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamations Dam Safety Program, in 1991, ten of the Flathead Reservation's dams were ranked within the top 150 nationwide for posing the greatest level of hazard (CSKT Comprehensive Plan Vol 1). Loss of water through seepage within canals from porous soils and deteriorated banks is another major concern.

Sources for irrigation water are most frequently surface-derived but a small number of irrigation systems use wells to pump from aquifers. Irrigation occurs primarily via impact sprinkler and flooding. Many irrigators have already converted from flood irrigation to sprinkler which includes hand lines, wheel lines, k-lines and pivots. However, a large number of fields are still irrigated by flooding. Most flood irrigation in both Missoula and Mineral Counties can generally be characterized as 'wild flood' meaning the flooding is often completed via a series of contour ditches over uneven topography. This uneven irrigation causes numerous dry areas within each field while also causing over-irrigation and

ponding in other areas. Flood irrigation on uneven topography often creates significant amounts of runoff. This runoff not only wastes water but also reduces water quality in streams and waterbodies as the runoff often carries elevated levels of nutrients, sediments and higher water temperatures directly into impaired waterways.

#### <u>Groundwater</u>

Currently, Missoula County has 14,973 wells on file with the Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology. The deepest well on record is 2907 feet and the shallowest well is 4 feet in depth. Most of the wells on record in the county are between 0 – 199 feet in depth. Only 6 total wells are reported at depths greater than 1000 feet. The vast majority of wells reported in Missoula County are for domestic water use. Other major water uses on file in Missoula County include wells for irrigation, monitoring, public water supply, and stockwater use. The top three geologic sources for wells in Missoula County are Alluvium (Pleistocene), Alluvium (Holocene), and Belt Supergroup.

Mineral County has 1,709 wells on file with the Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology. The deepest well on record is 2407 feet and the shallowest well is 4.3 feet in depth. Most of the wells on record in the county are between 0 – 199 feet in depth. Only 1 well is reported at depths greater than 1000 feet. The majority of wells reported in Mineral County are for domestic water use. Other major water uses on file in Mineral County include wells for irrigation, monitoring, public water supply, Geotech, or have unknown uses. The top three geologic sources for wells in Missoula County are Alluvium (Pleistocene), Alluvium (Holocene), and Belt Supergroup.

#### Wetlands/Riparian Areas

Wetlands are among the most important and beneficial ecosystems on the landscape. Montana's State Wildlife Action Plan identifies all streams, rivers, floodplain and riparian, and wetland community types across the state as "Community Types of Greatest Conservation Need". The plan defines this as meaning there is a clear obligation to use resources to implement conservation actions that provide direct benefit to these community types Area (Montana State Wildlife Action Plan, 2015). Wetlands provide critical biological, ecological, and economic benefits including flood attenuation, water filtration, carbon sequestration, drought resiliency, and wildlife habitat. Wetlands are home to 31% of all U.S. plant species, half of all North American bird species use wetlands as some point in their lifecycle, and nearly half of all threatened or endangered species in the U.S. are also associated with wetlands.

According to CSKT, the reservation alone contains approximately 22,000 acres of the above-mentioned wetlands and another 75,000 acres of lake open water. Together with the thousands of miles of tribal streams and riparian areas, these areas support most of the Reservation's fish and wildlife. CSKT values the importance of these resources and has active wetland and riparian restoration programs. The Tribe's goal is to "halt wetland and riparian losses on the Reservation and ultimately work to restore quantity and quality of these important aquatic resources" (CSKT Wetland Conservation Program).

Missoula and Mineral Counties contain a diverse array of wetland types. A total of 82,763 acres of wetlands can be found within the two county borders. Exact acreage amounts by county of palustrine (lacking flowing water), lacustrine (lake associated), riverine (river associated), and riparian wetland types are found in the following table.

	Wetland Acres by Type				
	Palustrine	Lacustrine Riverine Riparian			
Missoula	29,571	8,468	6,974	16,810	
Mineral	6,708	326	3,594	10,312	

**Table 9**. Wetland acres by type in both Missoula and Mineral Counties. Montana Natural Heritage Program (Environmental Summary Report).

#### **Conservation Lands**

Conservation easements are a valuable conservation tool. Depending on the parameters contained in the deed language, land can be protected for decades or even in perpetuity for the purposes of protecting plant or animal habitat, landscape features (e.g. wetlands) or land management activities like farming and ranching. Easement acre values and percent of county totals vary quite a bit over the two focus counties. Missoula County contains the most with 55,659 acres (3%) under some form of conservation easement. Of those acres, 44,516 are associated with private landowners and the remainder are held by the State of Montana (9,444) and the federal government (1,699 acres). Of the federal acres, the US Department of Agriculture holds the easement on 83 acres. By comparison, Mineral County only contains a total of 148 acres of conservation easements – less than one percent of the total county acreage. All those acres are associated with private easement holders (land trusts, animal conservation organizations, etc.).

Besides easement acres, other privately-owned designated conservation lands in the two counties include 155,679 acres in Missoula County; the vast majority of which are owned by The Nature Conservancy and four acres in Mineral County owned by Five Valleys Land Trust. Tribal owned conservation lands include 14,861 acres of Mission Mountain Tribal Wilderness in Missoula County. *See Appendix B & D* for land use summary of both Missoula and Mineral County's in close detail.

## **Plants and Animals**

## Federally Listed Species

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) Ecological Services Division lists the following Threatened species as present within areas of Missoula and Mineral Counties: bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*), Canada lynx (*Lynx canadensis*), grizzly bear (*Ursus arctos horribilis*), yellow-billed cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*), water howellia (*Howellia aquatilis*), and red knot (*Calidris canutus rufa*). No federally Endangered species are known to reside within these counties. One Proposed (wolverine, *Gulo gulo luscus*) and one Candidate species (whitebark pine, *Pinus albicaulis*) are considered present. *See Appendix K* for USFWS document for Montana Counties.

#### **Grizzly Bear**

The USFWS, in cooperation with Montana FWP, the U.S. Forest Service (USFS), National Parks Service (NPS), Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Blackfeet Tribe and Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes currently manages grizzly bears in Montana as 'threatened' under authority of the Endangered Species Act. This cooperative management is under the Interagency Grizzly Bear Committee (IGBC) within which

**Table 10.** Estimated grizzly bear densities in NCDE areas. (Courtesy Grizzly Bear Management Plan for Western Montana)

Area	Size (mi <sup>2</sup> )	Density (mi <sup>2</sup> /bear)	Number of Bears
Red Meadow	215	10-15	14-22
Whitefish	831	18-25	33-46
Glacier National Park	1,583	6-8	198-264
St. Mary	211	10-20	11-21
Badger-Two Medicine	323	27-38	9-12
South Fork Flathead River	1,624	10-13	125-162
East Front	1,119	25-31	36-45
Swan Front	780	20-30	26-39
Mission Mountains	1,044	25-45	23-42
Scapegoat	1,903	56-112	17-34
Total	9,633	14-20	492-687
Total excluding GNP	8,050	19-27	294-423

environmental degradation than are other fish species. They require clean, cold, clear, complex and connected habitat (the five C's). Bull trout populations have declined due to habitat loss and degradation from a variety of human-caused factors. Collaborative efforts by many partners including USFWS, FWP, USFS, CSKT, DNRC and many others developed the Montana Bull Trout Restoration Plan. Silviculture, agriculture, and grazing has been identified as a source of impairment for water quality within the Central Clark Fork River, often resulting in increased turbidy and sediment all agencies and tribes are partners (FWP Grizzly Bear Management Plan for Western Montana). Missoula and Mineral Counties are 2 of 17 Montana counties that currently or could in the near future contain populations of grizzly bears. Limiting human-bear conflicts is a critical component of grizzly bear recovery plans.

#### Bull Trout

Bull trout are listed as a 'threatened' species in both Missoula and Mineral Counties. Bull trout require specific habitat needs and are more vulnerable to



*Figure 3. Current range of bull trout in Montana (Montana Field Guide)* 


loads. Many decades of past mining and smelting operations, particularly from portions of the Upper Clark Fork River drainage area has contributed significantly to downstream heavy metal accumulation within bull trout spawning and rearing habitat in the Central Clark Fork River System.

A plethora of high mountain lakes, irrigation reservoirs, lakes and streams support abundant fish populations. However, quality of habitat has been degraded by development activities. Water diversion structures block access to spawning grounds over much of the original ranges of bull trout and westslope cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus clarkii lewisi*). Many streams are dewatered each year due to irrigation uses. Numerous opportunities exist to improve water quality and overall aquatic habitat within Missoula and Mineral Counties.

#### Canada Lynx

Canada lynx are listed as a 'threatened' species in both Missoula and Mineral Counties. Canada lynx are limited to areas occupied by their main prey source, the snowshoe hare (*Lepus americanus*). Both the lynx and hare are typically found inhabiting moist, cool, boreal forests, typically above 4,000 feet in elevation. As hares make up approximately 90% of the lynx diet in winter, any degradation to snowshoe hare habitat that reduces hare populations has a direct commensurate negative impact on lynx populations as well. Critical habitat has been designated for Canada lynx in Missoula County **(Figure 4).** 

Historic lynx population declines in Missoula and Mineral Counties mimic those found in other occupied Montana counties and are largely a result of anthropogenic causes including timber harvest, infrastructure establishment, overharvest, and wildfire



*Figure 4:* Canada lynx critical habitat in Western Montana.

suppression. Currently, although exact populations levels are uncertain for the area, according to the USFWS's October 2017 Species Status Report for the Canada Lynx recent studies have indicated that both lynx reproduction and recruitment are occurring at healthy levels. To maintain these healthy numbers, conservation partners should seek to implement the Interagency Lynx Biology Team's conservation strategy including: managing vegetation for a mosaic of successional stages, reducing habitat fragmentation, minimizing winter related recreational disturbances, avoiding backcountry road construction, and where possible maintaining fire as a key ecological process and disturbance mechanism.

#### Red Knot

The USFWS has listed the red knot as threatened due to loss of breeding and nonbreeding habitat, disruption of natural predator cycles on breeding grounds, reduced prey availability throughout the nonbreeding range, and increasing frequency and severity of mismatches in the timing of the birds' annual migratory cycle relative to favorable food and weather conditions. Migratory stopovers in Montana have been rare at wetlands scattered across the state. This medium-sized, bulky sandpiper about 23-25 cm in total length can be found on rare occasion in wetland habitat areas in Missoula County (Montana Field Guide).

#### Yellow-Billed Cuckoo

The western distinct population segment of the yellow-billed cuckoo was listed as threatened west of the Continental Divide in Montana under the Endangered Species Act by the USFWS. As noted by USFWS, the primary factors threatening the western distinct population segment as loss and

degradation of habitat for the species from altered watercourse hydrology and natural stream processes, livestock overgrazing, encroachment from agriculture, and conversion of native habitat. The yellow-billed cuckoo is a slender bird with a long, distinctly patterned tail and white throat and breast. The back and head of the yellow-billed cuckoo are a plain grayish-brown. The upper mandible of the curved bill is mostly black, with some yellow, while the lower mandible is yellow in its entirety. The bird is generally 26 to 30 cm in length and weighs an average 55 to 65 grams. This bird is sighted on rare occasion in wetland habitat areas in Missoula County (Montana Field Guide).

#### Wolverine

The wolverine is listed as a 'proposed' species for both Missoula and Mineral Counties. Wolverines are limited to alpine tundra and primarily coniferous forests in western Montana. They are generally solitary and wide-ranging and are opportunistic omnivores. In the early 1990s wolverines were nearly extinct in Montana, however their numbers and range have been increasing ever since (Montana Field Guide). On October 18, 2016, the USFWS published a Federal Register action reopening the comment period on their February 4, 2013 proposed rule to list the wolverine as threatened in the contiguous U.S. A final listing determination is expected in 2020.

#### Water Howellia

The USFWS lists water howellia as a threatened plant species in Missoula County. This aquatic herb is predominantly a winter annual with germination taking place in the fall and seedlings over-wintering and resuming growth in the spring. Water howellia has both submerged and floating stems that are up to 100 cm tall. White flowering occurs on the surface of the water. Germination of seeds occurs only when ponds dry out and seeds are exposed to air. The population size in a given year is affected by the extent to which the pond dries out at the end of the previous year. Due in part to this dependence, population size varies widely from year to year. Exceedingly wet years will detrimentally affect population size the next year since seeds will not germinate. Conversely, very dry years may also adversely impact populations if enough water is not present to support a good population and subsequent production of seed. Water howellia is restricted in Montana to depressional wetlands in the Swan Valley, typically occupying small basins where the water level recedes partially or completely by the Fall. Montana contains the largest number of occupied ponds and wetlands though the total occupied area is small, and it is clustered in a small portion of the state, making it vulnerable to localized events and management actions (Montana Field Guide).

#### Whitebark Pine

Whitebark pine is listed as a candidate species in both Missoula and Mineral Counties. Whitebark pine is a common component of subalpine forests occurring in all major mountain ranges of western and central Montana. Populations have been severely impacted by mountain pine beetle outbreaks and by the introduction of the white pine blister rust pathogen. Major declines in whitebark pine have been noted across its range.

#### State Species of Concern

According to the Montana Natural Heritage Program Species of Concern Report last updated April 16, 2020, Missoula County contains 74 state listed animal Species of Concern. These species consist of 11 mammal species, 29 bird species, 2 reptile species, 2 amphibian species, 3 fish species, 10 insect species,

12 mollusk species, and 5 other invertebrate species. Habitats generally associated with these species are diverse including both terrestrial and aquatic types and comprise mountain streams, rivers, lakes, grasslands, riparian forests, conifer forests, wetlands, and sagebrush. More specialized species on the list can be found only in association with Missoula County's waterfalls, rocky side slopes, alpine, and forested mountain springs. *See Appendix L for State Animal Species of Concern for Missoula County*.

A total of 71 state listed plant Species of Concern also can be found within Missoula County. They are generally comprised of 9 fern species, 1 conifer, 31 dicot species, 15 monocot species, 9 bryophytes, and 6 lichens. Most of these species subsist in Missoula's general habitat types (grasslands, riparian, forests) but a few specialized species can only be found in more limited habitats including rock slopes, alpine, marshes, and fens. *See Appendix M for State Plant Species of Concern for Missoula County*.

Mineral County generally contains fewer state listed species. For animals, Mineral is known to accommodate a total of 46 species of state concern. They are comprised of 7 mammal species, 14 bird species, 2 reptile species, 3 amphibian species, 2 fish species, 7 insect species, and 11 mollusks. *See Appendix N* for State Animal Species of Concern for Mineral County

Plant species of state concern include one conifer, 16 dicot species, 5 monocot species, 1 bryophytes, and 2 lichens, for a total of 25 found within the county. *See Appendix O* for State Plant Species of Concern for Mineral County.

#### Important Bird Areas

Missoula and Mineral Counties are located in the North American Bird Conservation Initiative's (NABCI) Bird Conservation Region 10. Two designated NABCI Important Bird Area are located within Missoula County: Clark Fork River – Grass Valley IBA (24,927 acres total; 79% under private ownership) and approximately northern 1/6<sup>th</sup> portion of the Bitterroot River IBA (~ 4,600 acres).

## **Noxious and Invasive Species**

Both Missoula and Mineral Counties suffer from a litany of noxious and invasive species issues. Treatment and control of all invasive species in all areas is not feasible. However, identification and treatment of new and emerging threats should be prioritized. In addition, opportunities for integrated approaches to dealing with specific geographic areas and/or species should be sought. The Missoula and Mineral County Weed Districts maintain an updated noxious weed list and prioritization.

Noxious weeds in both Missoula and Mineral Counties are those designated noxious by the Montana Department of Agriculture. Priority weeds are listed in order, beginning with a 1A designation, where the weeds are not present or have limited presence in Montana. The management criteria for priority 1A weeds will require eradication and containment if possible, along with education. Priority 1B weeds have limited presence in Montana. Management of weeds under this designation will require eradication and containment if possible, along with education. Priority 2A weeds are common in isolated areas throughout Montana. Management will require eradication or containment where the weeds are less abundant. Prevention, education, and continued management are priorities for weeds with this designation in both counties. Priority 2B weeds are abundant in Montana and widespread in many counties. The management for weeds under this designation requires eradication or containment

where they are found to be less abundant. Prevention, education, and continued management are priorities for weeds with this designation in both counties. Priority 3 weeds are considered regulated plants that have potential to create negative impacts to the landscape. These plants may not be intentionally spread or sold other than as a containment in agricultural products. The state recommends research, education, and prevention to minimize the spread of these regulated plants in both counties. *See Appendix I for detail list of Montana Noxious Weed's for 2019.* 

#### Vegetative Weed Species

Both Missoula and Mineral Counties are home to a wide diversity of both annual and perennial weed species. To date Dyer's woad is the only priority 1A weed species present in Missoula County. Both Missoula and Mineral Counties have limited infestations of priority 1B weeds such as blueweed, rush skeletonweed, purple loosestrife and the knotweed complex. Missoula and Mineral Counties have populations of priority 2A weeds such as orange hawkweed, perennial pepperweed, yellowflag iris, and common buckthorn. Priority 2B weeds such as leafy spurge, spotted and diffuse knapweed, and dalmatian and yellow toadflax are widespread in both counties. There are biological control systems established for the Priority 2B weed species in both counties.

Mineral County has designated common mullein, mayweed, and scentless chamomile as Category 4 weeds. These have been determined by the Mineral County Weed Board to pose significant threat to the natural resources of the county. These weeds are capable of rapid spread rendering lands unfit for beneficial uses. The management criteria for Category 4 weed species in Mineral County includes awareness and education, monitoring and containment of known infestations of these weeds, and eradication where possible.

It is important to remain vigilant regarding new and invasive weeds. New weed species of concern include the annual grass ventenata (*Ventenata dubia*). Ventenata is known to take over native range, pastures, hay fields, and right of ways. It is also found in the neighboring State of Idaho where they have seen a 50% decrease in production of land that this species has invaded (MSU Extension – Ventenata, 2018). The weed management plan for both Missoula and Mineral Counties is continually updated with new species. The Missoula and Mineral County Weed Management Plan calls for integrated weed management strategies and methods including treatment, control, prevention, education, mapping, and chemical control (Missoula and Mineral County Weed Districts, 2020).

#### Aquatic Invasive Species

Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS) pose a threat to the biodiversity of lakes and streams in western Montana. AIS infestations in aquatic ecosystems can lead to a degradation of water quality and reduce species diversity by outcompeting native plants and decreasing desirable habitat. Missoula and Mineral Counties currently have no known infestations of AIS. Target aquatic invasive weed species in both Missoula and Mineral Counties are Eurasian watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*), curly-leaf pondweed (*Potamogeton crispus*), flowering rush (*Butomus umbellatus*) and hydrilla (*Hydrilla verticillate*). Of concern is the potential introduction of zebra and quagga mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha* and *Dreissena rostriformis*). Montana has recently had a positive detection for invasive mussels. Since this detection the state of Montana has set up check stations around the state, including multiple check stations in both Missoula and Mineral Counties to check for AIS including the quagga and zebra mussels.

## **Rangeland**

Range and pastureland are two minor components of the various land uses found within Missoula and Mineral Counties. Corridors of varying elevation along the Clearwater, Blackfoot, Bitterroot, Clark Fork drainages are areas where range and pasture are most prevalent in Missoula County. The vast majority of range and pastureland in Mineral County is found along the Clark Fork River corridor at varying elevations. Rangelands consist mostly of forested or open areas where elevations in both counties range from twenty-five hundred to six thousand feet. Cow/calf operations are the major type of livestock enterprise. Native rangeland is used primarily for grazing by domestic livestock; however, it also is used as wildlife habitat, recreational areas and has esthetic value. Rangeland soils are frequently gravelly, rocky and shallow. Some native range plants of particular importance in both Missoula and Mineral Counties include rough fescue (*Festuca campestris*), Idaho fescue (*Festuca idahoensis*), bluebunch wheatgrass (*Pseudoroegneria spicata*), arrowleaf balsamroot (*Balsamorhiza sagittata*), camas (*Camassia quamash*), Columbia needlegrass (*Achnatherum nelsonii*), needle-and-thread (*Hesperostipa comata*), prairie coneflower (*Ratibida columnaris*), prairie junegrass (*Koeleria macrantha*) and western wheatgrass (*Pascopyrum smithii*).

Range condition varies in both counties, but large areas of rangeland have been degraded. Much of this degradation took place decades ago with the arrival of large quantities of livestock. Continuous, seasonlong grazing and over stocking has led to significant reductions in rangeland quality over the past 120 years. As bunchgrasses declined, they were replaced with less desirable grasses, shrubs and weeds. Exotic species such as timothy, redtop, smooth brome, orchard grass, tall fescue, crested wheatgrass and many others were planted for hay and pasture and these plants displaced native rangeland vegetation in some areas, mostly in valley bottoms. Spotted knapweed, sulphur cinquefoil, whitetop, leafy spurge, goatweed, dalmatian toadflax, thistles and other noxious weeds are common within the rangelands of both counties. These plants often out-competed many native grasses. Annual grasses are also outcompeting native species and include, cheatgrass, Japanese brome and most recently ventenata. The short-lived perennial grass bulbous bluegrass is also a common invader. Poor grazing management, invasive species, and changes in fire regime are responsible for rangelands moving away from climax plant communities. According to the USDA publication Climax Vegetation of Montana, from 1976 Missoula and Mineral counties had 30% of rangelands in good to excellent condition and 70% in less than good. While there are still examples of excellent climax communities in both counties the percentage of excellent rangeland has dropped. Some rangelands within both counties are in an invaded state or have been lost to conifer encroachment and development, while other segments of native rangelands have decreased in productivity to overgrazing, invasive species, or any combination of these pressures.

Animal unit months or \*AUMs typical for both Missoula and Mineral Counties can vary in production depending on range type and condition from 1.09 to 0.1 on native range, and 2.5 to 0.5 AUMs per acre on pasture. To protect existing range resources and riparian health, livestock grazing management is of key importance. Rotating livestock and altering grazing season usage are important tools managers can use to manage their livestock. For areas that are already heavily invaded by annual grasses and weeds, the best course of action is often to time grazing events to coincide with peak palatability of the non-desirable species while working to limit usage when desirable species are most susceptible to grazing pressures. *See Appendix J for Plant Hardiness Zone Map of Montana*.

### **Forestland**

As described in Arno's "Forest Regions of Montana", both Missoula and Mineral Counties fall within the West-Central Montana Forest Region, characterized as dryer than either northwestern Montana or Idaho, with larch, ponderosa pine, and grand-fir locally dominant as well as warm, dry Douglas-fir forest habitat types. As elevations rise, forest types include lodgepole, on up to subalpine fir. Both County Community Wildfire Protection Plans (CWWP) include an in-depth discussion of the different major vegetation groups so it isn't repeated here.

Missoula County is 74% forested. Sixty-four percent of forest acres held in federal and state ownership, leaving about 135,063 acres in non-industrial private forest (NIPF). Approximately 29% of Missoula County falls within a wildland urban interface. Mineral County is 93% forested. Ninety-six percent of the forest acres are in federal and state ownership, leaving about 9,756 agricultural-woodland acres in private ownership along with 33,503 acres in NIPF.

The lowland ponderosa pine forest natural fire regime would be frequent, low intensity fires with fire free intervals of 5 to 25 years. In the Douglas-fir forests, the natural, uninhibited fire regime would be fire free intervals of about 45 years, with low to moderate intensity fires that maintained forests in a state where the tree species present, spacing between trees, and understory vegetation are well adapted to fire in healthy state. Lodgepole pine forests experience a longer duration fire interval from 100 to 500 years and are stand replacing. A century of fire suppression and manipulation of the natural disturbance mechanisms leave many forests, both public and private, in a state that fires quickly surpass the historic norm and become high severity, stand replacement fires. The wildfire threat and trend toward more catastrophic fire to the urban interface is common knowledge. The counties each have a CWPP that discusses this topic in detail, so it is not repeated here. Forest insect and disease issues are ever-present and in a constant state of flux. The current culprits in Missoula County include; bark beetles, spruce budworm, Douglas-fir tussock moth, mistletoe infestations, and root rot. The aforementioned manipulation of disturbance mechanisms has often increased the number of trees per acre far beyond the natural system sustainability and skewed the forest tree species composition toward those more susceptible to insects, disease, and wildfire. This situation complicates and limits forest management options.


Logging has played a major role in both Missoula and Mineral counties over the past 130 years. Extensive logging has occurred in most areas. Historically logging provided timber for mines and smelting, a source of railroad ties for the railroads and shelter and income to homesteaders. Harvesting remained at a high-level during WWII and the postwar economy and provided the main economic driver for both counties into the early 1990s.

Missoula County government has offered fire hazard reduction grants for the last several years to encourage landowners to improve defensible space around structures, usually only several acres in size. MSU Forest Extension holds a Forest Stewardship class in both counties most years. There remains extensive opportunities to work with private landowners on forest-related issues including precommercial thinning, forest health and fuels reduction.

## **Unique Features**

## **Glacial Lake Missoula Features** (Missoula and Mineral Counties)

Unique to Missoula and Mineral Counties are the presence of landscape features from Glacial Lake Missoula. These features were first described in detail by J. T. Pardee in 1910. Pardee was a USGS geologist who was raised in Phillipsburg, Montana. He recognized the vast extent and existence of the lake in a 1910 paper on the topic and would later describe many of its unique features in detail in his 1942 paper. The ultimate linkage of the work by Pardee on Glacial Lake Missoula features with the work of J. Harlen Bretz on the Channeled Scablands would eventually validate the concept of the catastrophic floods as a landscape shaping process. The mystery of the source of the water would finally be tied with the vast erosional features documented by Bretz.




A young J.T. Pardee examining lakebed sediments and the iconic shorelines on Mount Sentinel (Missoula County) prior to construction of the "M".

The concept of both catastrophic flooding and glacial ice dams were new to geology in early part of the 1900's and the common though was that landscapes evolved slowly over time and could not be shaped by massive forces of catastrophic flooding. A large part of the great controversy over the catastrophic flood features found in Eastern Washington and described by Bretz was linking the massive flood features of the channeled scablands to a mechanism and source of water which could create them. In this regard, the following two paragraphs stand out from the original paper published about Glacial Lake Missoula by Pardee in 1910.

"The evidence of icebergs, together with the apparent recency of the lake and the variable height of its surface, connect this lake with the glacial period, and readily lend themselves to the suggestion that its dam was of ice.


Bailey Willis has suggested that this was a Pleistocene lake dammed by a glacier. Many years ago, Professor Chamberlin conceived the idea of a glacial dam and furthermore tentatively suggested that its location was in the Pend d'Oreille region with outflow by way of Spokane." Pardee, 1910. We will briefly review some of the evidence of catastrophic floods documented by Pardee and others in more recent contributions to gain a better understanding of the relationships between the events of Glacial Lake Missoula and the existing landform features found in Missoula and Mineral Counties.

Lakebed sediments occur in patchy areas within Missoula County, primarily along the northern margin of the valley. There are significant areas of these silty lakebed sediment soils near the Missoula Airport and along Interstate 90 in the Rollercoaster Road area between west of the junction of highway 89 heading towards Frenchtown. These areas are very hilly in relief and include an area with a dendritic erosional pattern as seen in aerial photos. A common soil series on these areas within Missoula County is the Grassvalley soil series.



Pivot on lake sediment soils near the Missoula Airport and dendritic drainage pattern on lakebed sediments along I-90.

An interesting area of Lakebed sediments occurs within Mineral County in the area of Tarkio Flats along Interstate 90. Flood waters flowing down the canyon along the Clark Fork River deposited cut and fill type gravel deposits on the inside bends of the canyon as it interacted with the local topography of the canyon. Just east of Tarkio Flats is a massive gravel bar deposit that was deposited by drainage of Glacial Lake Missoula. Areas to the west in Tarkio Flats show erosion patterns across fine sand and silty lakebed sediment deposits. Soils in this area are comprised of the following soil series: Tarkio (clayey textured lakebed sediments), Tally (fine sandy textured glaciofluvial sediments) and Halfmoon (silty textured lakebed sediments). Knowledge of the presence of an extensive glacial lake and related flood events that occurred in western Montana provides important context in which to learn and understand regional landforms and gain a better understanding of the related distribution of unique deposits and the soils that have formed from them.



Tarkio Flats (Mineral County) area showing massive gravel bar deposit and erosion of fine sand and silty lakebed sediments.




Image showing the 4,250 ft highest water level of Glacial Lake Missoula.

#### **Great Fire of 1910** (Missoula and Mineral Counties)

The Great Fire of 1910 was a wildfire in the western United States that burned over three million acres (roughly the size of Connecticut) in North Idaho and Western Montana, with runs into Eastern Washington and Southeast British Columbia, during the summer of 1910. The fire blew up over the



weekend of August 20-21, after strong winds caused numerous smaller fires to merge into a firestorm of extraordinary size- killing 87 people, destroying several entire towns, and an estimated billion dollars' worth of timber in current value. It is believed to be the largest forest fire in U.S. history, and is considered to be a significant turning point in the development of wildfire prevention and suppression techniques. Local exhibits can be found at museums in Superior, MT and Wallace, ID- with other excellent interpretive sites located throughout the area as well.

## Milltown Dam and Restoration (Missoula County)


Milltown Dam was located near the confluence of the Clark Fork and Blackfoot Rivers, about 4 miles upstream of downtown Missoula, and was built in 1906-7 to provide hydroelectricity to the local sawmills which provided the timbers for the mine shafts in Butte.



Shortly after the dam was built, catastrophic flooding occurred during the spring of 1908, washing millions of tons of mining waste downstream from Butte, some of which settled behind the dam, remaining there for 100 years. The EPA listed the area along the Clark Fork on the Federal Superfund list in 1983 based on high levels of arsenic detected in area drinking water wells, and cleanup efforts were underway by 2006. Over the course of the cleanup, the dam and powerhouse were removed, and over 3 million cubic yards of contaminated sediments were also removed from the reservoir in preparation for restoration activities. The site now features a 500-acre state park that provides numerous recreation opportunities and habitat for wildlife. An overlook above the confluence of the Clark Fork and Blackfoot Rivers has an interpretive area which chronicles the Milltown cleanup and celebrates area history and heritage.

#### Historic Fort Missoula (Missoula County)

Fort Missoula was established as a permanent military post in 1877 and built in response to requests of local townspeople and settlers for protection in the event of conflict with local Indian tribes, and



throughout its history has served as a key part of Missoula's history. During the 1890s, black Soldiers from the 25<sup>th</sup> Infantry were stationed at the Fort and gained a nationwide following when a contingent of 23 men formed the Bicycle Corps and successfully traveled over 1,900 miles, from Missoula to St. Louis in 41 days. During WWII, the Fort served as a detention center, and held over 2,300 men- mostly Japanese and Italian, who had been identified as potential security risks. The Army left the Fort following WWII, but it's continued to remain active- with its buildings hosting USFS and other entities, along with

museums dedicated to Montana's rich history, and the people who have lived here.

#### <u>Girard Larch Grove</u> (Missoula County)

On the western shore of Seeley Lake, a magnificent 250 acre stand of old growth Western Larch can be found. Amongst this stand is the Seeley Lake Monarch, or Gus- the largest documented larch tree in the



world. Gus is approximately 1,000 years old and stands 163 feet tall- with a circumference of nearly 23 feet. The larch in the Girard Grove average 600 years of age and were preserved in 1953 through a cooperative partnership including USFS, the Anaconda Company, Intermountain Logging Conference, and other local stakeholders, and can be viewed along a scenic nature trail that loops through the stand. The Girard Grove is one of the finest remaining stands of Western Larch in the United States, and each year in October, Seeley Lake hosts its Tamarack Festival, celebrating the annual turning of the trees found in the memorial grove.

## Ninemile Ranger Station & Remount Depot (Missoula County)

Ninemile Ranger Station and Remount Depot- The Ninemile Remount Depot was established in 1930 near Huson after a severe wildfire season in 1929 exhausted the supply of trained mules and skilled packers, and the USFS was forced to use unbroken pack animals, leading to serious delays and injuries to both animals and humans. Completed by the Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC) in 1935, the Remount



Depot was once home for more than 1500 pack mules, who were integral to firefighting, trail building, and many other kinds of backcountry work, and was, at one time, the center for the U.S. Forest Service packing activities in the Northern Rockies. Though its mission as a remount depot ended in 1953, Ninemile Ranger Station continues to support ranger districts in Montana, North Dakota and northern Idaho by growing hay and wintering stock for summer use in wilderness areas, and is now home to the Northern Region Pack Train and Ninemile Wildlands Training Center, providing training in horsemanship, and traditional packing and backcountry skills. In 1980, the depot was listed on the National Register of

Historic Places for its historical buildings and role in Forest Service, CCC, and local history, and features exhibits and interpretive trails, in addition to nearby recreational sites.

## Savenac Historic Nursery (Mineral County)

Located near Haugan in the western part of the county, the Savenac Nursery was once one of the largest



USFS tree nurseries in the Western United States, operating from 1907 until 1969. The nursery produced over 12 million seedlings annually for use in reforestation of National Forests throughout the West- its operations have since been moved to the Coeur d'Alene Nursery in Idaho. Savenac Nursery was added to the National Register of Historic Places in 1999, and today ten buildings built during the 1930s by the Civilian Conservation Corps remain on the grounds, along with interpretive trails and a small arboretum.

#### **Route of the Hiawatha** (Mineral County)



The Route of the Hiawatha has been called the crown jewel of rail-to-trail biking adventures, built on 16 miles of Old Milwaukee Railroad grade. The trail begins near Taft, MT and passes through nine tunnels (including the 1.6 mile long St. Paul Tunnel) and over seven trestles on its way down to Pearson, ID. The Hiawatha is known for its scenic beauty and outstanding views from the trestles and overlooks, and also features a series of interpretive kiosks which provide excellent information on the 1910 Fire. It was named to

the hall of fame by the Rail-to-Trail Conservatory, one of only 15 trails across the United States to receive this designation.

## Section III. Conservation Activity Analysis Recent NRCS Activities

The NRCS office for both Missoula and Mineral Counties is located in the city of Missoula. The Pablo Field Office located in the CSKT Tribal Complex in Pablo, MT also serves the tribal land located in Missoula County. The Missoula Field Office works with both tribal and non-tribal producers.

NRCS work in both Missoula and Mineral Counties has focused on meeting the needs of the Local Working Group's priority. The priority in Missoula County has shifted between grazing and forestry over the past several years. During the last 10 years, 91 forestry improvement projects totaling 763.7 acres have been completed in Missoula County. There remains a strong need and desire in Missoula County to prioritize forestry and grazing-related projects. Additionally, 91 herbaceous weed control projects, totaling 988 acres have been implemented throughout the county. Grazing practices such as fence (57,818 feet installed), livestock pipeline (14,145 feet installed), and prescribed grazing (5,748.6 acres) have been commonly applied in Missoula County as well. Irrigation sprinkler systems have also been a common practice, where 23 have been installed over the same 10-year period. High tunnels have also been a commonly contracted practice with 10 installed over the past 10 years. Multiple other practices have been contracted to lesser extents.

 Table 11. NRCS EQIP implementation of commonly applied practices in Missoula County, Montana from 2009 to 2019.

Practice Name	Unit Type	Applied Amount	Number of Practices
Conservation Cover	AC	0.3	1
Cover Crop	AC	158.3	10
Critical Area Planting	AC	18.2	3
Diversion	FT	596	1
Fence	FT	57817.9	27
Forage and Biomass Planting	AC	193	7
Forest Stand Improvement	AC	763.7	91
Fuel Break	AC	1.8	1
Heavy Use Area Protection	AC	1	1
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	AC	988.4	91
Invasive Plant Species Control	AC	987.2	56
Irrigation Pipeline	FT	30648	20
Irrigation System, Microirrigation	AC	2.2	10
Irrigation Water Conveyance, Pipeline	FT	5172	4
Irrigation Water Management	AC	1776.6	45
Livestock Pipeline	FT	14145	10
Mulching	AC	4	2
Nutrient Management	AC	629.6	26
Pest Management Conservation System	AC	618.5	28
Prescribed Grazing	AC	5748.6	28
Pumping Plant	NO	162	18
Range Planting	AC	24.3	6
Seasonal High Tunnel System	SQFT	15376.1	10
Spring Development	NO	2	2
Sprinkler System	AC	931.6	23
Structure for Water Control	NO	26	26
Tree/Shrub Establishment	AC	127.1	23
Tree/Shrub Site Preparation	AC	0.6	2
Water Well	NO	2	2
Watering Facility	NO	15	12
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment	FT	1942	4
Woody Residue Treatment	AC	783.1	89

• NRCS in Missoula County has two permanent Wetland Reserve Program easements in the county totaling 105 acres. These easements are wetland-dependent wildlife habitat focused. Inventory and management of the easements is an on-going process.

For Mineral County the priority for the Local Working Group has been forestry work over the last several years. During the last 10 years, 23 forestry improvement projects totaling 308.7 acres have been completed in Mineral County. There remains a strong need and desire in Mineral County to prioritize forestry-related projects. Additionally, 25 herbaceous weed control projects, totaling 79.5 acres have been implemented throughout the county. High tunnels have also been a commonly contracted practice with 5 installed over the past 10 years. Multiple other practices have been contracted to lesser extents.

Practice Name	Unit Type	Applied Amount	Number of Practices
Conservation Crop Rotation	AC	3.4	2
Cover Crop	AC	11	3
Forage and Biomass Planting	AC	5.4	3
Forest Stand Improvement	AC	308.7	23
Fuel Break	AC	4.5	4
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	AC	79.5	25
Irrigation System, Microirrigation	AC	0.4	3
Irrigation Water Management	AC	0.3	1
Nutrient Management	AC	12.7	7
Pest Management Conservation System	AC	1.5	3
Seasonal High Tunnel System	SQFT	5472.1	5
Woody Residue Treatment	AC	313.2	27

*Table 12.* NRCS EQIP implementation of commonly applied practices in <u>Mineral County, Montana</u> from 2009 to 2019.

## Confederated Salish Kootenai Tribe – Natural Resource Management

The Confederated Salish Kootenai Tribe (CSKT) has developed a comprehensive resource plan to guide natural resource management and development on the Flathead Indian Reservation. The Tribe has identified goals for each natural resource and outlined a series of alternatives for management. While the plan focuses on lands and resources it also incorporates social service and human concerns. The plan also serves to define policies and processes that will guide future resource management on the Reservation.

CSKT has a long history of effective resource management. They have enacted a great many Ordinances that serve to guide the preservation, restoration and protection of their cherished resources. CSKT is very mindful of 'zones of influences' that affect Reservation resources. Zones of influence are policies and practices of off-reservation entities and individuals that affect the Reservation and its resources. For example, management of off-Reservation resources such as water quality and fish and wildlife habitat can have profound effects on the Reservation's own resources. The concept of an ecological zone of influence is important to the Tribe because a single major development activity off-Reservation can affect the Tribes' resource base forever. CSKT places great value on communication and coordination of environmental and economic policies between itself and other entities.

For the purposes of facilitating resource planning efforts, CSKT has divided the Reservation into 6 smaller planning units called Study Areas. Each Study Area was developed to organize and prioritize resource information at a manageable scale. Study Areas include: Flathead Lake-River, Polson-Elmo, Camas-Hot Springs, Mission Valley, Perma-Dixon and Jocko Valley. Refer to the CSKT Comprehensive Resource Plan, Volume 1, Chapter 6 for information specific to each Study Area. The incorporation of the major resource and planning issues outlined within each Study Area is critical to NRCS planning efforts.

## **Conservation Districts**

Montana's conservation districts are political subdivisions of state government, created by the legislature in 1939. A non-paid elected and appointed board of supervisors governs the activities of a conservation district. The 58 conservation districts in Montana are part of a national network of over 3,000 conservation districts similarly organized in all 50 states. Their main function is to conduct local activities to promote conservation of natural resources. The activities vary from district to district, but generally include education, on-the-ground conservation projects, and 310 Stream Permitting. Funding for conservation district operations comes from their authority to levy a tax on real property within their district. For conservation projects and educational activities, conservation districts rely heavily on grants from state and federal governments (History of Montana Conservation Districts).

Both Missoula County and Mineral County each have their own conservation districts that are affiliated with the Montana Association of Conservation Districts (MACD). The Missoula Conservation District (MCD) was established on May 8, 1946. The Mineral County Conservation District (MCCD) was established on November 3, 1946. The Missoula Conservation District convenes on the second Monday of each month at the USDA Service Center in Missoula. The Mineral County Conservation District convenes on the third Tuesday of each Month at the Choices Conference Center in Superior.

## Section IV. Natural Resource Issues to be Addressed

At the end of 2019 and through the beginning of 2020 the Missoula Field Office has met with partners and evaluated opportunities to expand partnerships for the betterment of conservation. Listed below are some of the most pressing resource issues identified by NRCS and our partners.

## Forestry

The opportunity exists within Missoula and Mineral Counties to improve forest lands. NRCS, DNRC Service Foresters, the Blackfoot Challenge, and MSU-Extension are the only known resident personnel providing technical forestry advice to private landowners in both counties. Forest site potential is not being realized in most areas due to management practices over the last 100 years. The most pressing forestry concerns in both counties are as follows:

- <u>Decline of Quaking Aspen trees</u>. Aspen trees can grow in a wide range of environmental conditions and are tolerant to a multitude of climate variations. Aspen communities are essential for creating biodiversity richness within coniferous dominated forests by providing an abundance of forages for a wide variety of wildlife species. Over the last few decades, aspen populations have been declining in western Montana due to frequent drought conditions, recruitment failure resulting from grazing wildlife and livestock animals, as well as conifer encroachment.
- <u>Decline of healthy riparian forests</u>. Riparian areas were the first to be logged and have roads constructed during the homestead era. Due to the lack of large rock and bedrock in most area streams, large diameter wood and tree roots are a key component to stream and flood plain stability. Historically this created high quality fishery habitat for native fish species by maintaining complex stream structures with deep, cool pools. These areas also provided quality habitat for terrestrial wildlife species (see large diameter forest bullet in references section).
- <u>Root disease</u>. A fungal disease, root disease is a native forest pathogen that lives in the soil. Douglas-fir and the true firs are the most susceptible, and as the amount of these species has greatly increased in stands over the last 100 years so has the effect of root disease in Sanders County forests. Fire historically played a major role in subduing firs species and promoting root disease resistant species. As fire has been removed, firs have begun to dominate stands thereby increase susceptibility of the stand to root diseases.
- <u>Mountain Pine Beetle</u>. The impact of mountain pine beetle outbreaks throughout western Montana over the last few decades has created a cause for concern among landowners, forest managers, and the forest products industry. The majority of the trees killed by these infestations have been lodgepole pine, but the beetle has also affected and killed ponderosa pine trees as well. Both of these tree species are vitally important to the forest products sector in Montana. The mountain pine beetle has had a significant negative impact not only to the wood supply in Montana, but also the aesthetics and habitat quality of the landscape.
- <u>Lack of large diameter, old forests</u>. These forests have greatly declined in the last 100+ years and often occurred in riparian areas that burned less frequently and have higher moisture. These forests provide a habitat component lacking in younger, smaller diameter forests. Cavity dependent species utilize these forests heavily, and other wildlife use them sporadically such as ungulates utilizing them during deep snow periods. Heart rots increase as trees get older. This allows primary cavity excavators to hollow out trees that creates habitat for secondary cavity users (pine marten, fisher, bats, owls, etc.).

- <u>Douglas-fir Insect and disease issues.</u> The inland variety of Douglas-fir is likely one of the more common tree species found across the landscape in western Montana. Douglas-fir have the ability to grow and proliferate in a wide variety of environments and can naturally regenerate with ease across the landscape. This tree species is also known to regenerate with such density and aggressiveness that it can stagnate and suppress pine or larch regeneration when these species are the preferred trees for a particular growing site. Over-time, forest tree communities can become dominated by Douglas-fir, thus creating a medium for a wide array of insects and disease issues that are known to affect this species when water, sunlight, and nutrients become a limiting factor in a competitive growing environment. Common insect and disease issues affecting Douglas-fir in both Missoula and Mineral Counties are Douglas-fir tussock moth, Douglas-fir beetle, Armillaria root disease (as mentioned above), Dwarf mistletoe, and western spruce budworm (Inland Douglas-fir Management Challenges).
- <u>Poor or lack of forest management</u>. Lack of forest management has resulted in overstocked forests, increasing the densities of shade-tolerant species. As forests become over-stocked root disease, fire and other challenges become more common. Additionally, poor management often causes the 'high-grading' of stands whereby the best trees and removed during harvest thereby removing their genetics from the stand and reducing the quality of genetics within future stands.
- <u>Fire-wise home and property practices.</u> Like most of the west, both Missoula and Mineral Counties have seen an increase in home construction and subdivision in recent years. Many landowners have not taken necessary precautions to protect their property and/or structures from wildfire. Working with landowners to manage vegetation near structures and add resiliency to their properties is an important resource issue.

#### **Irrigation**

As stated in the "Irrigation" section, the CSKT Montana Compact was ratified by the state of Montana in 2015 and currently awaits approval by United States Congress. The Compact outlines water usage for irrigation and instream flows on the CKST reservation and beyond while also protecting historical irrigation uses. One of the key goals of the Compact is to improve irrigation efficiencies within the target area.

Irrigation is fundamental to agriculture in Missoula County. Irrigation is not as vital to agriculture in Mineral County with only 633 total irrigated acres countywide. The principle valleys where irrigation is found in Missoula county include the Missoula Valley, the lower end of the Bitterroot Valley, the Swan River Valley, the Potomac Valley, and the lower part of the Flathead Valley. There are several irrigation districts on file with the county, some of these include the Big Flat Irrigation District, Clinton Irrigation District, Frenchtown Irrigation District, Carlton Creek Irrigation Company to name a few. The vast majority of irrigated lands in Missoula County grow perennial forages including pasture, grass hay and alfalfa. The Flathead Indian Irrigation Project (FIIP) operates the irrigation delivery system in the Jocko Valley. Vast infrastructure improvements have been made in this area over the years, but portions of this irrigation system are antiquated and are in need of repair and replacement. Irrigation improvements needed throughout Missoula County include updating water delivery conveyances to gravity pressure, converting from open ditch to pipeline to reduce system losses, improving water measurement abilities, and reducing waste and runoff within the system. Improving on-farm irrigation efficiencies by improving infrastructure is also needed. In addition, reducing off-season delivery demands within the irrigation system for stockwater could leave more water in streams for fish and wildlife if alternative stockwater options are provided to landowners. Working with partners to address multiple resource benefits is a key goal of the Missoula NRCS field office.

#### <u>Weeds</u>

Weeds are a constant natural resource issue in both Missoula and Mineral Counties. The type of weeds and density of each within the county is more information than will be discussed in this document, however, focusing on emerging threats should be a priority. Aggressively targeting new threats should be a cornerstone of any active weed management plan and should be a high priority for focusing resources whenever possible.

#### <u>Habitat</u>

Wildlife and wetland habitat are a priority for many natural resource partners in both Missoula and Mineral Counties. Native fisheries of bull trout and westslope cutthroat trout have been a primary focus for Northwest Energy mitigation, FWP, Trout Unlimited, The Clark Fork Coalition, and the U.S. Forest Service. Addressing issues such as forestry and rangeland health and improving riparian areas will result in overall improvement to wildlife habitat.

#### Pasture and Range Improvements

Many of the tame pastures (dry and irrigated) in both Missoula and Mineral Counties are in fair to poor condition. The conditions are a result of both grazing management and species selection and diversity. Targeting improvements to both grazing and/or species selection could provide significant resource benefits. The Ronan NRCS and Lake County Conservation District have completed numerous pasture-related field trials in Lake County including legume inter-seeding studies and a 40-acre dryland forage study in 2017. The results of these studies are promising and are currently being monitoring and analyzed and results could prove beneficial in planning future treatments for pastures within Missoula and Mineral Counties as well.

Rangeland conditions in both Missoula and Mineral Counties vary but significant amounts of rangeland are in fair to poor condition. Grazing management, noxious weeds and climactic issues all affect rangelands. Improving grazing rotations should be strongly encouraged and when necessary providing infrastructure to facilitate rotational grazing such as fences and stockwater systems should be analyzed.

#### Soil Health

Soil health remains an area of interest in both Missoula and Mineral Counties. Many producers are interested in improving their soil health and increasing the sustainability of their agricultural operations. Farmers have started utilizing cover crops, but adoption is still low. Encouraging more landowners to adopt soil building practices should be prioritized. Producers using cover crops have reduced input costs and created additional forage opportunities. Expanding the use and adoption of cover crops, and other soil health practices could prove beneficial within both counties.

#### **Conservation Easements**

Conservation easements will continue to be encouraged as a tool for landowners within both counties that seek to conserve certain attributes and values associated with their land. The Missoula NRCS Field Office will continue to work with partners and landowners to assist with the easement process.

#### **Riparian Zones:**

Riparian zones play a critical role in our watersheds. From flood control to habitat to aesthetics and recreation these areas are some of the most important within the landscape. Many of our partners have taken a leading role in preserving and restoring riparian zones. There exists significant interest and opportunity to focus efforts on protecting and restoring riparian habitat and doing so provides NRCS the opportunity to strengthen and expand partnerships.

#### Section V. Prioritization of Natural Resource Problems and Desired Outcomes

#### **Missoula County:**

The Missoula County Local Working Group (LWG) was scheduled to meet on March 26th, 2020 in Missoula to identify and prioritize resource concerns within Missoula County. In lieu of a face-to-face meeting, the group opted to provide input and feedback via email instead. Representatives of the group were derived from the farming and ranching community, Missoula County CD, FWP, MSU Extension, Missoula County Weed District, Missoula County Planning Office, MT-DNRC, Intermountain West Joint Venture, Missoula Valley Water Quality District, Montana Tree Farm, The Clark Fork Coalition, Community Food and Agriculture Coalition, and Five-Valleys Land Trust.

The LWG prioritized urban development with an emphasis on preserving farmland and surrounding wildlife and riparian habitat, water quality with an emphasis on riparian health/restoration of impaired streams, forest health; emphasizing the need to address hazardous fuels and insect/disease issues that exist within forested lands throughout the county, and noxious weed infestation on all land uses are the main resource concerns identified for Missoula County. Reforestation on old commercial timber ground recently acquired by private landowners, improved grazing management with an emphasis on protecting riparian areas, plant health with an emphasis on extending the growing season with the use of high tunnel systems, and wildlife habitat restoration on all land-uses were noted as secondary resource concerns by the Local Working Group.

There was also feedback regarding potential projects ideas that could address the identified priority resource concerns in Missoula County as well. The Local Working Group identified fuels mitigation/forest health improvements in the Seely Lake area/Swan area, Petty Creek, Lolo Creek, Ninemile/Six mile as the top priority areas in Missoula County. Secondary areas to address forest health issues include Butler Creek, Rattlesnake Creek, Miller Creek, and the Potomac Valley. In addition, the group identified Lolo Creek, Miller Creek, Ninemile Creek, and Rattlesnake Creek as potential project locations for addressing stream restoration and riparian health issues. The Bitterroot and Clark Fork River Corridors in and around the city of Missoula were identified as areas impacted by urban development along with loss of farmland along the western edge of the city. The Clearwater drainage and forested areas where fuels mitigation has been recently implemented were specifically identified by the LWG as areas that have been impacted by noxious weed encroachment.

#### Prioritized Resource Concerns (no order of priorities provided):

- **Forest Health**: Missoula County is 74% forested and supporting healthy forests and fire resiliency is a priority to the LWG. Extensive efforts and collaboration have been put forward by partners and the Missoula NRCS Field Office to develop a forest health TIP that would address forest health concerns in both the upper Ninemile drainage and the Potomac valley.
- Water Quality with a special emphasis on riparian health and restoration of impaired streams: There is strong support within the county and from the LWG to address water quality issues particularly along the mainstem of the Clark Fork River and its major tributaries. Largely this support relates to riparian health issues caused by impacts from building sites, grazing, farming, mining, and channelization to support infrastructure improvements. The goal would be to address non-point water pollution and restore stream/riparian habitat while complementing partner efforts by collaborating within high priority focus areas in the county. This TIP proposal is still in development.
- **Weed Control**: Like most counties in Montana, Missoula County is plagued by numerous noxious weeds. There is strong support for weed control-related projects, particularly as a supporting practice that would complement forest health and stream restoration work. In addition, there are several acres of range and pastureland throughout the county that are in dire need of weed management. This resource concern is being addressed in conjunction with the upper Ninemile and Potomac valley forest health TIP proposals.
- Urban Development with an emphasis on preserving farmland and surrounding wildlife and riparian areas:

The rapid population growth in Missoula County over the last several years has resulted in the loss of agricultural lands to development. Nearly 20% of the land suited for agriculture in Missoula County lie within two miles of the Missoula City limits. These areas are at risk for development and further fragmentation. The LWG has a strong interest in creating wildlife corridors, and open green space in at risk areas along with protecting and preserving riparian areas and farmland with the use of various easement funding mechanisms. More research, collaboration, and planning will need to be done on potential projects and partnerships.

#### **Mineral County:**

The Mineral County Local Working Group met on February 18th, 2020 in Superior to identify and prioritize resource concerns on private lands within Mineral County. Representatives were present from the farming and ranching community, Mineral County CD, MT-FWP, the County Commissioner's Office and MSU Extension. The group prioritized water quality with an emphasis on streambank remediation, plant health and vigor specifically relating to the effects of noxious weed invasion on all land uses, and forest health as the main resource concerns in Mineral County. Available livestock water on grazing lands, mining area remediation, floodplain re-designation, and wildlife displacement due to habitat loss and the local wolf population were noted as secondary resource concerns by the Local Working Group.

There was also discussion regarding potential projects ideas that could address the identified priority resource concerns in Mineral County. The Local Working Group identified bank stabilization and riparian health improvements (mine tailings removal) on Cedar Creek as a potential project. In addition, the group identified fuels mitigation along drainages near Tarkio and Montana State Land Exchange acreage as another potential project location. The lower end of Trout Creek was also identified as a potential project location for addressing streambank stabilization and riparian health issues. A potential Targeted

Implementation Plan (TIP) area in the vicinity of Tarkio Flats addressing noxious weed encroachment and prevention was discussed amongst the Local Working Group members as well.

#### Prioritized Resource Concerns (no order of priorities provided):

- Water Quality with a special emphasis on streambank remediation: There exists support within the county and within the LWG to address water quality issues particularly along the mainstem of the Clark Fork River and its major tributaries. Largely this support relates to riparian health issues caused by impacts from building sites, grazing, farming, and mining. There is a small demand for irrigation improvement from producers who reside along the Clark Fork River Valley Bottoms, however, many of the more basic on-farm flood to sprinkler improvements have already been addressed after years of work in the area by NRCS. There may still be opportunities for on-farm improvements. An area in need of more work is to improve the delivery system to producers and help improve efficiency of both water movement and management.
- **Forest Health**: Mineral County is primarily tree-covered. Supporting healthy forests and fire resiliency. Fire mitigation is a popular topic locally and many partners are actively seeking opportunities to collaborate on projects. The County has an active forestry program to treat fuels within 200' of homes. DNRC and Bitterroot RC&D are active in the county and often provide expertise and funding for forest management practices. The NRCS is looking for opportunities to collaborate with partners to develop forest-related projects.
- *Weed Control*: Like most counties in Montana, Mineral County is plagued by numerous noxious weeds. There is strong support for weed control-related projects. A fair amount of discussion at the LWG revolved around weed control. More research and planning needs to be done on potential projects and partnerships.

#### Section VI. Targeted Implementation Plans

#### **Upper Ninemile Forest Health Project:**

The Missoula NRCS Field Office along with partners including the Missoula County Local Working Group (MCLWG), Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC), the Missoula County Office of Emergency Management, Missoula Weed District, have identified the Ninemile drainage area as a priority for forest health. The prioritized area lies within Missoula County and extends from the confluence of Butler Creek within the Ninemile Creek drainage to an area just beyond Pats Creek to the north. This area has been identified as a high priority because it encompasses one of the better site-indexes for trees on private land in the county, and it has good potential with motivated landowners actively engaged in promoting the benefits of improved forest health throughout the drainage.

This TIP proposal encompasses over 16,000 acres, close to half of which is publicly owned and is primarily Forest Service. Private ownership is limited primarily to the valley floors with moderate elevation gains on forested lands totaling 8,359 acres. Landowners in this area of the county have been actively participating in conservation efforts with not only NRCS, but with Missoula CD, RMEF, USFS, TU, DNRC, FWP for many years. The Focus Area ties in to and overlaps with the Wildland Urban Interface (WUI). Conservation practices proposed through NRCS would also help address the goals and objectives

in the National Cohesive Wildland Fire Management Strategy, the Community Wildfire Protection Plan, Joint Chief's Landscape Restoration Partnership, and the NRCS Long Range Plan for Missoula County.

The goals of the project are to Improve forest health, resiliency, and increase the productivity of privately held forest lands in the upper Ninemile drainage. An ancillary goal is to improve wildlife habitat and collaborate with partner groups to increase landowner education and awareness of forest health and associated ecological components.

The specific objectives of the Upper Ninemile TIP are to:

- Increase the knowledge of forest landowners within Missoula County regarding forest health and how implementation of conservation practices can improve the health and resiliency of their forested land;
- Promote participation in the forest stewardship workshop hosted by MSU Extension on an annual basis;
- Improve wildlife habitat (cover/shelter/nesting/food).
- Improvement of forest health, resiliency to insects, disease and fire, and over-all productivity:
  - Develop management plans for each program participant with consideration for stand diversity, multiple age class retention, optimal stand densities, and overall health;
  - Through implementation of conservation practices, stem density would be decreased within over-stocked stand and insect and disease issues would be addressed;
  - Through implementation of appropriate supporting practices, resource concerns would be addressed resulting in a reduction in noxious weeds and soil erosion.

#### Potomac Valley Forest Health Project:

The Missoula NRCS Field Office along with partners including the Missoula County Local Working Group (MCLWG), Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC), the Blackfoot Challenge, the Missoula County Office of Emergency Management, Missoula Weed District, have identified the Potomac Valley area as a priority for forest health. The prioritized area surrounds the community of Potomac which lies within Missoula County. The western boundary of the TIP is adjacent to and compliments the boundary for Wildfire Adapted Missoula (WAM), which is a Joints Chiefs Special Initiative Project. Additional partners of WAM include the BLM, USFS, and TNC. The northern boundary of the project area border portions of MT HW200, while the east boundary and southern boundary are Garnet Range Road and Cramer Creek Road respectfully.

This TIP proposal encompasses just over 29,000 acres, close to half of which is publicly owned ground comprised of Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management, State of Montana, and University of Montana land. Private ownership is limited primarily to the valley floors with moderate elevation gains on forested lands totaling 15,692 acres. Landowners in this area of the county have been actively participating in conservation efforts with not only NRCS, but with Missoula CD, the Blackfoot Challenge, USFS, DNRC, and FWP for many years. The Focus Area ties in to and overlaps with the Wildland Urban Interface (WUI). Conservation practices proposed through NRCS would also help address the goals and objectives in the National Cohesive Wildland Fire Management Strategy, the Community Wildfire Protection Plan, Joint Chief's Landscape Restoration Partnership, and the NRCS Long Range Plan for Missoula County.

The goals of the project are to Improve forest health, resiliency, and increase the productivity of privately held forest lands in the Potomac Valley. An ancillary goal is to improve wildlife habitat and collaborate with partner groups to increase landowner education and awareness of forest health and associated ecological components.

The specific objectives of the Potomac Valley TIP are to:

- Increase the knowledge of forest landowners within Missoula County regarding forest health and how implementation of conservation practices can improve the health and resiliency of their forested land;
- Promote participation in the forest stewardship workshop hosted by MSU Extension on an annual basis;
- Improve wildlife habitat (cover/shelter/nesting/food).
- Improvement of forest health, resiliency to insects, disease and fire, and over-all productivity:
  - Develop management plans for each program participant with consideration for stand diversity, multiple age class retention, optimal stand densities, and overall health;
  - Through implementation of conservation practices, stem density would be decreased within over-stocked stand and insect and disease issues would be addressed;
  - Through implementation of appropriate supporting practices, resource concerns would be addressed resulting in a reduction in noxious weeds and soil erosion.

#### **References**

US Census Bureau, Missoula County Montana <u>https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/missoulacountymontana,missoulacitymontana,</u> <u>US/PST045219</u>

US Census Bureau, Mineral County Montana <u>https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/mineralcountymontana,missoulacountymontana</u> <u>a,missoulacitymontana,US/PST045219</u>

US Dept. of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. Soil Survey of Missoula County Area Montana, Part 1 and 2, 1995.

Lolo National Forest Area, Montana Soil Survey.

US Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service. General Technical Report INT-236 <u>Forest Habitat Types</u> of Northern Idaho: A Second Approximation. April 1991

US Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service. Silvics Guide: Volume1.

Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Missoula, Montana

Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mineral County, Montana

National Ag Statistics Service: <u>https://www.nass.usda.gov</u>

State of Montana Priority Weed List 2019: <u>https://agr.mt.gov/weeds</u>

Montana Department of Environmental Quality, http://deq.mt.gov/Water/Resources/report

The Diggings. 2020. https://thediggings.com/usa/montana/missoula-mt063

The Diggings. 2020. https://thediggings.com/usa/montana/mineral-mt061

Montana Climate Office. University of Montana. http://climate.umt.edu/atlas/precipitation/default.php

Flathead Indian Reservation Forest Management Plan, Final Environmental Impact Statement. Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes. 1999.

Montana Governor's Office of Indian Affairs, Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes. <u>http://tribalnations.mt.gov/cskt</u> Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes Compact. <u>http://dnrc.mt.gov/divisions/reserved-water-rights-compact-commission/confederated-salish-and-kootenai-tribes-compact</u>

Montana Natural Heritage Program. Montana Official State Website. January 2019. <u>http://mtnhp.org</u> Grizzly Bear Management Plan for Western Montana, Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement. Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks. June, 2006.

Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes. 1981. Flathead Indian Reservation Grizzly Bear Management Plan, Flathead Indian Reservation, Montana.

Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes. 2000. Flathead Indian Reservation Forest Management Plan, Flathead Indian Reservation, Montana.

Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes. 2015. Wetland Conservation Program. http://csktnrd.org/ep/wetlands-conservation-program

U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1993. Grizzly bear Recovery Plan. Missoula, Montana. 181pp.

Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes. 2000. Wetland/Riparian Habitat and Bull Trout Restoration Plan

Montana Bull Trout Restoration Team. Restoration Plan for Bull Trout in the Clark Fork River Basin and Kootenai River Basin Montana. June, 2000.

Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes Natural Resources Department. June, 2002. Water Quality Assessment Report.

Mineral County, <a href="http://co.mineral.mt.us/about-us/">http://co.mineral.mt.us/about-us/</a>

Montana Department of Environmental Quality, Planning, Prevention and Assistance Division, Water Quality Planning Bureau. 2016. Montana Final 2016 Water Quality Integrated Report – Appendix A. H SNOFLO. 2019. <u>https://snoflo.org/report/flow/montana/flathead-river-near-polson-mt/</u>

Montana State Wildlife Action Plan 2015. http://fwp.mt.gov/fishAndWildlife/conservationInAction/swap2015Plan.html

Montana Field Guide. http://fieldguide.mt.gov

Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks. Montana Action Plan for Implementation of Department of the Interior Secretarial Order 3362: "Improving Habitat Quality in Western Big-Game Winter Range and Migration Corridors." October 2019.

https://www.nfwf.org/rockymountains/Documents/Montana2020ActionPlan.pdf Helena, MT: Montana Department of Environmental Quality. USDA Forest Service, Forest Inventory and Analysis Program, Forest Inventory EVALIDator webapplication Version 1.8.0.00. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northern Research Station. <u>http://apps.fs.usda.gov/Evalidator/evalidator.jsp</u>

Montana Department of Environmental Quality – Central Clark Fork Basin Tributaries TMDL's and Water Quality Improvement Plan <u>http://deq.mt.gov/Portals/112/water/wqpb/CWAIC/TMDL/COL-TMDL-01a.pdf</u>

Water Resources Survey - Missoula County Montana. Published by State Engineers Office. Helena, MT, June 1960.

Central Clark Fork Tributaries TMDL Project. http://montanatmdlflathead.pbworks.com/w/page/67555983/Central%20Clark%20Fork%20Tributaries

Pardee, J.T., 1910, The Glacial Lake Missoula: Journal of Geology, v. 18, no. 4, p. 376-386.

Pardee, J.T., 1942, Unusual currents in Glacial Lake Missoula: Bulletin of Geological Society of America, v. 53, p. 1569-1600.

#### **Pictures/photos:**

Google Earth

Savenac- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Savenac\_Nursery\_Historic\_District

Fort Missoula- www.missoulian.com

1910 Fire- https://www.spokesman.com/galleries/2010/jul/22/historic-photos-1910-fire/


Hiawatha- https://www.uncharted101.com/route-of-the-hiawatha-bike-trail-montana-idaho-border/


Girard Grove- https://forestservicemuseum.org/exhibits/jim-girard/jim-girard-memorial-grove/

Milltown- https://clarkfork.org/events/milltown-state-park-party/

Remount Guard Station - https://westernhorseman.com/culture/the-ninemile-cavvy/

#### Section VII. Long Range Plan Appendices






#### **Appendix C: Missoula County Land Use Summary**



Aprogram of the Montana State Library's Natural Resource Information System operated by the University of Montana.

Land Management Summarized by: Missoula (County)

Latitude Longitude 46,61417 -113,33473 47,62197 -114,73562



#### Land Management Summary

	Ownership	Tribal	Easements	Other Boundaries (possible overlap)
E Public Lands	1,038,682 Acres (62%)			
E Control	872,793 Acres (52%)			
I is forest Service	852,982 Acres (51%)			
USFS Owned	852,982 Acres (51%)			1 000 156 4
USFS Ranger Districts Bitteroot National Forest				1,089,156 Acres
				12,252 Acres
Stevensville Ranger District				
Spotted Bear Ranger District				44,938 Acres
Flathead National Forest,				
Swan Lake Ranger District				193,400 Acres
Lolo National Forest, Missoula				
Ranger District				395,664 Acres
Lolo National Forest, Ninemile				
Ranger District				232,778 Acres
Lolo National Forest,				
Plains/Thompson Falls Ranger				1 Acres
District				
Lolo National Forest, Seeley				205 001 Acros
Lake Ranger District				205,901 Acres
Lolo National Forest, Superior				4,222 Acres
Lake Ranger District				4,222 ACTES

	Ownership	Tribal	Easements	Other Boundaries (possible overlap)
🗉 🖾 USFS National Forest				1,089,156 Acres
Boundaries Bitteroot National Forest				12,252 Acres
Flathead National Forest				238,338 Acres
Lolo National Forest				838,571 Acres
🗉 🧰 USFS Wilderness Areas				192,757 Acres
Bob Marshall Wilderness				44.938 Acres
Bob Marshall Wilderness				44.938 Acres
Complex				44.938 ACTES
Mission Mountains				62,714 Acres
Wilderness				
Rattlesnake Wilderness				34,253 Acres
Selway-Bitterroot				5,914 Acres
Wilderness  USFS Research Natural				
Areas				2,805 Acres
Carlton Ridge Research				
Natural Area				955 Acres
Council Grove Research				
Natural Area				149 Acres
Petty Creek Research				24.4.4
Natural Area				314 Acres
Plant Creek Research				211 Acros
Natural Area				311 Acres
Sheep Mountain Bog				126 Acres
Research Natural Area				120710100
Shoofly Meadows Research				950 Acres
Natural Area				
Areas				25,106 Areas
Rattlesnake National				
Recreation Area				25,106 Acres
🗉 🚞 USFS Special Interest Areas				369 Acres
Condon Creek Botanical				228 Acres
Area				ZZ8 ACTES
Elk Meadows Botanical Area				102 Acres
Marys Frog Pond Botanical				39 Acres
Area US Bureau of Land				
agement	19,795 Acres (1%)			
BLM Owned	19,795 Acres (1%)			
BLM Areas of Critical	15,755 Acres (176)			
Environmental Concern				576 Acres
Bear Creek Flats Area of				F7C A
Critical Environmental Concern				576 Acres
US Department of Defense	6 Acres (<1%)			
USDOD Owned	6 Acres (<1%)			
US Government	10 Acres (<1%)			
US Government Owned	10 Acres (<1%)			
State	157,783 Acres (9%)			
Montana State Trust Lands MT State Trust Owned	96,251 Acres (6%) 96,251 Acres (6%)			
E State Forests	90,251 Acres (0%)			25,692 Acres
Clearwater State Forest				25,692 Acres
Montana Fish, Wildlife and				
rks	39,757 Acres (2%)			
MT FWP Owned	39,757 Acres (2%)			
🗉 🚞 MT FWP State Parks				429 Acres
Beavertail Hill State Park				68 Acres
Council Grove State Park				182 Acres
Frenchtown Pond State Park				54 Acres
Placid Lake State Park Salmon Lake State Park				31 Acres
Travelers' Rest State Park				42 Acres 52 Acres
MT FWP Fishing Access				52 ACTES
Sites				1,687 Acres
Angevine Fishing Access Site				13 Acres
Beavertail Pond Fishing				
Access Site				54 Acres
□ Chief Looking Glass Fishing				13 Acres
Access Site				15 ACTES
Clearwater Crossing Fishing Access Site				15 Acres

Page 2 of 5

	Ownership	Tribal	Easements	Other Boundaries (possible overlap)
□ Corrick's River Bend Fishing Access Site				32 Acres
Deep Creek Bend Fishing				36 Acres
Access Site Erskine Fishing Access Site				431 Acres
Harpers Lake Bend Fishing Access Site				205 Acres
Johnsrud Park Fishing Access Site				18 Acres
☐ K. Ross Toole29 Fishing Access Site				29 Acres
Kelly Island Fishing Access Site				705 Acres
☐ Kona Bridge Fishing Access Site				3 Acres
Marco Flats Fishing Access Site				2 Acres
□ Ninemile Prairie Fishing Access Site				14 Acres
Petty Creek Fishing Access Site				27 Acres
Russell Gates Memorial Fishing Access Site				40 Acres
□ Schwartz Creek Fishing Access Site				14 Acres
☐ Sha-Ron Fishing Access Site ☐ Tamarack Creek Fishing				1 Acres
Access Site				6 Acres
□ Tura Fishing Access Site □ Weigh Station Fishing Access				13 Acres
Site				16 Acres
Image: Management Areas				44,470 Acres
Blackfoot-Clearwater Wildlife Management Area				44,351 Acres
Mount Jumbo Wildlife Management Area				119 Acres
Montana University System MUS Owned	1,003 Acres (<1%) 1,003 Acres (<1%)			
MUS Experimental Areas Lubrecht State Experimental				20,358 Acres
Forest				20,358 Acres
Montana Department of Transportation	139 Acres (<1%)			
MT DOT Owned 🗄 🖾 Kate of Montana	139 Acres (<1%) 20,633 Acres (1%)			
State of Montana Owned	20,633 Acres (1%)			
🗉 🖾 Local 🗉 🗎 🖿 🖿 🐨	8,106 Acres (<1%) 8,106 Acres (<1%)			
Local Government Owned	8,106 Acres (<1%)			
Reservation Boundaries Flathead Indian Reservation		119,313 Acres (7%) 104,452 Acres (<1%)		
Mission Mountains Tribal Wilderness		14,861 Acres (<1%)		
E Private Conservation Lands	155,679 Acres (9%)			
TNC Owned Five Valleys Land Trust	155,052 Acres (9%) 424 Acres (<1%)			
Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation The Vital Ground Foundation	163 Acres (<1%) 40 Acres (<1%)			
Conservation Easements	40 Acres (<1/6)		55,659 Acres (3%)	
Private Montana Land Reliance			44.516 Acres (3%) 12,093 Acres (1%)	
☐ The Nature Conservancy ☐ Rocky Mountain Elk			6,393 Acres (<1%)	
Foundation			4,973 Acres (<1%)	
Five Valleys Land Trust Flathead Land Trust			19,680 Acres (1%) 10 Acres (<1%)	
The Vital Ground Foundation			1,273 Acres (<1%)	
National Wildlife Federation Institute of the Rockies			20 Acres (<1%) 74 Acres (<1%)	

48

	Ownership	Tribal	Easements	Other Boundaries (possible overlap)
🗉 🛅 State and Local			9,444 Acres (1%)	
Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks			8,293 Acres (<1%)	
□ City Government			629 Acres (<1%)	
County Government			522 Acres (<1%)	
🗉 🚞 Federal			1,699 Acres (<1%)	
US Forest Service			872 Acres (<1%)	
US Fish and Wildlife Service			80 Acres (<1%)	
US Department of Agriculture			83 Acres (<1%)	
US Government			664 Acres (<1%)	

Private Lands or Unknown Ownership 304,177 Acres (18%)


#### **Appendix D: Mineral County Land Use Summary**





### Land Management


Summarized by: Mineral (County)




#### Land Management Summary

	Ownership	Tribal	Easements	Other Boundaries (possible overlap)
🗉 🚞 Public Lands	708,328 Acres (91%)			
🗉 🚞 Federal	639,061 Acres (82%)			
🎟 🚞 US Forest Service	639,061 Acres (82%)			
USFS Owned	639,061 Acres (82%)			
🗉 🛅 USFS Ranger Districts				738,071 Acres
Lolo National Forest, Missoula Ranger				2,788 Acres
District				
🗖 Lolo National Forest, Ninemile Ranger				236,969 Acres
District				
Lolo National Forest, Plains/Thompson				641 Acres
Falls Ranger District				
Lolo National Forest, Superior Lake				497,673 Acres
Ranger District				
🎟 🖾 USFS National Forest Boundaries				738,070 Acres
Lolo National Forest				738,070 Acres
🗉 🚞 State	68,876 Acres (9%)			
🖽 🛅 Montana State Trust Lands	26,863 Acres (3%)			
MT State Trust Owned	26,863 Acres (3%)			
🎟 🖾 Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks	41,382 Acres (5%)			
MT FWP Owned	41,382 Acres (5%)			
🗉 🖾 MT FWP Fishing Access Sites				591 Acres
Alberton Gorge Fishing Access Site				214 Acres
Big Eddy Fishing Access Site				20 Acres

	Ownership	Tribal	Easements	Other Boundaries (possible overlap)
Gig Pine Fishing Access Site     Gyr Bridge Fishing Access Site     Gyr Bridge Fishing Access Site     Gyr Creek Fishing Access Site     Gyrey Fishing Access Site     Gyrey Fishing Access Site     Middle Osprey Fishing Access Site     Middle Osprey Fishing Access Site     Satural Pier Fishing Access Site     St. John's Fishing Access Site     St. John's Fishing Access Site     St. Regis Fishing Access Site     Tarkio East Fishing Access Site     Upper Osprey Fishing Access Site     Upper Osprey Fishing Access Site     Tarkio Fishing Access Site     Upper Osprey Fishing Access Site     State of Montana     State of Montana     State of Montana     Local Government     Local Government	286 Acres (<1%) 286 Acres (<1%) 345 Acres (<1%) 345 Acres (<1%) 391 Acres (<1%) 391 Acres (<1%) 391 Acres (<1%) 4 Acres (<1%)			18 Acres 12 Acres 2 Acres 6 Acres 8 Acres 15 Acres 46 Acres 78 Acres 108 Acres 1 Acres 9 Acres 9 Acres 41 Acres
<ul> <li>Five Valleys Land Trust</li> </ul>	4 Acres (<1%) 4 Acres (<1%)			
			148 Acres (<1%) 148 Acres (<1%) 145 Acres (<1%) Acres (<1%)	
🗀 Private Lands or Unknown Ownership	73,587 Acres (9%)			






#### Appendix G: DEQ list of impaired streams along the Central Clark Fork River drainage in both Missoula and Mineral Counties

Waterbody & Location	TMDL	TMDL Pollutant	Impaired Use(s)
Description	Prepared	Category	A succession 1 if a
<b>Dry Creek</b> , headwaters to mouth (Clark Fork River)	Nitrogen (Total)	Nutrients	Aquatic Life, Primary Contact Recreation
Flat Creek, headwaters to mouth (Clark Fork River)	Sedimentation/ Siltation	Sediment	Aquatic Life, Primary Contact Recreation
Trout Creek, headwaters to mouth (Clark Fork River)	Turbidity	Sediment	Aquatic Life
	Nitrogen (Total)	Nutrients	Aquatic Life, Primary Contact Recreation
Nemote Creek, headwaters to mouth (Clark Fork River)	Phosphorus (Total)	Nutrients	Aquatic Life, Primary Contact Recreation
	Temperature, water	Temperature	Aquatic Life
West Fork Petty Creek, headwaters to mouth (Petty Creek)	Phosphorus (Total)	Nutrients	Aquatic Life, Primary Contact Recreation
Petty Creek, headwaters to	Sedimentation/ Siltation	Sediment	Aquatic Life
mouth (Clark Fork River)	Temperature, water	Temperature	Aquatic Life
Stony Creek, headwaters to mouth (Ninemile Creek)	Phosphorus (Total)	Nutrients	Aquatic Life, Primary Contact Recreation
	Nitrate/Nitrite (Nitrite + Nitrate as N)	Nutrients	Aquatic Life, Primary Contact Recreation
<b>Grant Creek</b> , headwaters to mouth (Clark Fork River)	Nitrogen (Total)	Nutrients	Aquatic Life, Primary Contact Recreation
	Sedimentation/ Siltation	Sediment	Aquatic Life
	Temperature, water	Temperature	Aquatic Life
Cramer Creek, headwaters to mouth (Clark Fork River)	Sedimentation/ Siltation	Sediment	Aquatic Life
Tenmile Creek, headwaters to	Phosphorus (Total)	Nutrients	Aquatic Life, Primary Contact Recreation
mouth (Bear Creek)	Sedimentation/ Siltation	Sediment	Aquatic Life
<b>Deep Creek</b> , headwaters to mouth (Bear Creek)	Nitrate/Nitrite (Nitrite + Nitrate as N)	Nutrients	Aquatic Life
mouth (Bear Creek)	Sedimentation/ Siltation	Sediment	Aquatic Life
Mulkey Creek, headwaters to mouth (Clark Fork River)	Sedimentation/ Siltation	Sediment	Aquatic Life, Primary Contact Recreation
Rattler Gulch, headwaters to	Phosphorus (Total)	Nutrients	Aquatic Life
mouth (Clark Fork River)	Sedimentation/ Siltation	Sediment	Aquatic Life

Appendix H: Map of impaired streams along the Central Clark Fork River drainage in both Missoula and Mineral Counties.



#### **Appendix I: Montana Noxious** Weed List for 2019

#### Montana Noxious Weed List

Effective June 21, 2019

PRIOROTY 1A These weeds are not present or have a very limited presence in Montana. Management criteria will require eradication if detected, education, and prevention:

- Yellow starthistle (*Centaurea solstitialis*) a)
- b) Dyer's woad (Isatis tinctoria)
- Common reed (Phragmites australis ssp. australis) c)
- Medusahead (Taeniatherum caput-medusae) d)

PRIORITY 1B These weeds have limited presence in Montana. Management criteria will require eradication or containment and education:

- a) Knotweed complex (Polygonum cuspidatum, P. sachalinense, P. × bohemicum, Fallopia japonica, F. sachalinensis, F. × bohemica, Reynoutria japonica, R. sachalinensis, and R.× bohemica)
- b) Purple loosestrife (Lythrum salicaria)
- Rush skeletonweed (Chondrilla juncea) c)
- d) Scotch broom (Cytisus scoparius)
- Blueweed (Echium vulgare) e)

PRIORITY 2A These weeds are common in isolated areas of Montana. Management criteria will require eradication or containment where less abundant. Management shall be prioritized by local weed districts:

- (a) Tansy ragwort (Senecio jacobaea, Jacobaea vulgaris)
- (b) Meadow hawkweed complex (Hieracium caespitosum, H. praealturm, H. floridundum, and Pilosella caespitosa)
- Orange hawkweed (Hieracium aurantiacum, Pilosella aurantiaca) (c)
- (d) Tall buttercup (Ranunculus acris)
- (e) Perennial pepperweed (Lepidium latifolium)
- Yellowflag iris (Iris pseudacorus) (f)
- (g) Eurasian watermilfoil (Myriophyllum spicatum, Myriophyllum spicatum x Myriophyllum sibiricum)
- (h) Flowering rush (Butomus umbellatus)
- Common buckthorn (Rhamnus cathartica L.) (i)
- (i) Ventenata (Ventenata dubia)

PRIORITY 2B These weeds are abundant in Montana and widespread in many counties. Management criteria will require eradication or containment where less abundant. Management shall be prioritized by local weed districts:


- (a) Canada thistle (Cirsium arvense)
- (b) Field bindweed (Convolvulus arvensis)(c) Leafy spurge (Euphorbia esula)
- (d) Whitetop (Cardaria draba, Lepidium draba)
- (e) Russian knapweed (Acroptilon repens, Rhaponticum repens)
- (f) Spotted knapweed (Centaurea stoebe, C.maculosa)
- (g) Diffuse knapweed (Centaurea diffusa)
- (h) Dalmatian toadflax (Linaria dalmatica)
- (i) St. Johnswort (Hypericum perforatum)
- Sulfur cinquefoil (Potentilla recta) (j)
- (k) Common tansy (Tanacetum vulgare)
- Oxeye daisy (Leucanthemum vulgare) (I)
- (m) Houndstongue (Cynoglossum officinale)
- (n) Yellow toadflax (*Lingrig vulgaris*)
- (o) Saltcedar (Tamarix spp.)
- (g) Curlyleaf pondweed (Potamogeton crispus)
- (q) Hoary alyssum (Berteroa incana)

#### PRIORITY 3 Regulated Plants: (NOT MONTANA LISTED NOXIOUS WEEDS)

These regulated plants have the potential to have significant negative impacts. The plant may not be intentionally spread or sold other than as a contaminant in agricultural products. The state recommends research, education and prevention to minimize the spread of the regulated plant.

- (a) Cheatgrass (Bromus tectorum)
- (b) Hydrilla (*Hydrilla verticillata*)
- (c) Russian olive (Elaeagnus angustifolia)
- (d) Brazilian waterweed (Egeria densa)
- (e) Parrot feather watermilfoil (Myriophyllum aquaticum or M. brasiliense)

Appendix J : Plant Hardiness Zone Map of Montana





## United States Department of the Interior

Fish and Wildlife Service Ecological Services Montana Field Office 585 Shepard Way, Suite 1 Helena, Montana 59601-6287 Phone: (406) 449-5225, Fax: (406) 449-5339



#### ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA COUNTIES\* Endangered Species Act

#### June 10, 2020

C = Candidate LT = Listed Threatened LE = Listed Endangered P = Proposed PCH = Proposed Critical Habitat CH = Designated Critical Habitat XN = Experimental non-essential population

\*Note: Generally, this list identifies the counties where one would reasonably expect the species to occur, not necessarily every county where the species is listed

County/Scientific Name	Common Name	Status
BEAVERHEAD		
Spiranthes diluvialis	Ute Ladies' Tresses	LT
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	Р
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С
BIG HORN		
Mustela nigripes	Black-footed Ferret	LE
BLAINE		
Scaphirhynchus albus	Pallid Sturgeon	LE
Mustela nigripes	Black-footed Ferret	LE
Charadrius melodus	Piping Plover	LT
BROADWATER		
Spiranthes diluvialis	Ute Ladies' Tresses	LT
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	Р
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С
CARBON		
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT, CH
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	Р
Zapada glacier	Western Glacier Stonefly	LT
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С

County/Scientific Name	Common Name	Status
CARTER		
Grus americana	Whooping Crane	LE
Myotis septentrionalis	Northern Long-eared Bat	LT
CASCADE		
Scaphirhynchus albus	Pallid Sturgeon	LE
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT
Calidris canutus rufa	Red Knot	LT
Charadrius melodus	Piping Plover	LT
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	Р
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С
CHOUTEAU		
Scaphirhynchus albus	Pallid Sturgeon	LE
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT
Charadrius melodus	Piping Plover	LT
Calidris canutus rufa	Red Knot	LT
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
CUSTER		
Scaphirhynchus albus	Pallid Sturgeon	LE
Sterna antillarum athalassos	Interior Least Tern	LE
Grus americana	Whooping Crane	LE
Myotis septentrionalis	Northern Long-eared Bat	LT
DANIELS		
Grus americana	Whooping Crane	LE
Charadrius melodus	Piping Plover	LT
DAWSON		
Scaphirhynchus albus	Pallid Sturgeon	LE
Sterna antillarum athalassos	Interior Least Tern	LE
Grus americana	Whooping Crane	LE
Charadrius melodus	Piping Plover	LT
Myotis septentrionalis	Northern Long-eared Bat	LT
DEER LODGE		
Salvelinus confluentus	Bull Trout	LT, CH
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT
Calidris canutus rufa	Red Knot	LT
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	Р
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С
FALLON		
Grus americana	Whooping Crane	LE
Myotis septentrionalis	Northern Long-eared Bat	LT
Charadrius melodus	Piping Plover	LT
FERGUS		
Scaphirhynchus albus	Pallid Sturgeon	LE
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С

County/Scientific Name	Common Name	Status
FLATHEAD		
Salvelinus confluentus	Bull Trout	LT, CH
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Silene spaldingii	Spalding's Campion	LT
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT, CH
Coccyzus americanus	Yellow-billed cuckoo (western pop.)	LT
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	Р
Lednia tumana	Meltwater Lednian Stonefly	LT
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С
GALLATIN		
Spiranthes diluvialis	Ute Ladies' Tresses	LT
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT, CH
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	Р
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С
GARFIELD		
Scaphirhynchus albus	Pallid Sturgeon	LE
Grus americana	Whooping Crane	LE
Charadrius melodus	Piping Plover	LT, CH
Sterna antillarum athalassos	Interior Least Tern	LE
GLACIER		
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT, CH
Salvelinus confluentus	Bull Trout	LT, CH
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	P
Lednia tumana	Meltwater Lednian Stonefly	LT
Zapada glacier	Western Glacier Stonefly	LT
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	C
GOLDEN VALLEY		
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT
Calidris canutus rufa	Red Knot	
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	C
GRANITE		
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT, CH
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Salvelinus confluentus	Bull Trout	LT, CH
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	P
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	C
HILL		
JEFFERSON		
Spiranthes diluvialis	Ute Ladies' Tresses	LT
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	P
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	C
JUDITH BASIN		
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	P
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	r C

County/Scientific Name	Common Name	Status
LAKE		
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Howellia aquatilis	Water Howellia	LT
Silene spaldingii	Spalding's Campion	LT
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT, CH
Salvelinus confluentus	Bull Trout	LT, CH
Coccyzus americanus	Yellow-billed cuckoo (western pop.)	LT
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	Р
Lednia tumana	Meltwater Lednian Stonefly	LT
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С
LEWIS AND CLARK		
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT, CH
Salvelinus confluentus	Bull Trout	LT, CH
Calidris canutus rufa	Red Knot	LT
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	Р
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С
LIBERTY		
Calidris canutus rufa	Red Knot	LT
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С
LINCOLN		
Acipenser transmontanus	White Sturgeon (Kootenai River Pop.)	LE
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	
Silene spaldingii	Spalding's Campion	
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT, CH
Salvelinus confluentus	Bull Trout	LT, CH
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	P
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	C
MADISON		
Spiranthes diluvialis	Ute Ladies' Tresses	LT
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	
Calidris canutus rufa	Red Knot	LT
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	P
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	C
McCONE		
Scaphirhynchus albus	Pallid Sturgeon	LE
Charadrius melodus	Piping Plover	LT, CH
Sterna antillarum athalassos	Interior Least Tern	LE
Grus americana	Whooping Crane	LE
Myotis septentrionalis	Northern Long-eared Bat	
MEAGHER		
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	P
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	C
MINERAL		
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Lynx canadensis		LT
Lynx canadensis Salvelinus confluentus	Canada Lynx Bull Trout	LT, CH
	Wolverine	P
Gulo gulo luscus Pinus albicaulis	Wolverine Whitebark Pine	P C
1 inus aidicaulis	willebalk Fille	

County/Scientific Name	Common Name	Status
MISSOULA		
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Howellia aquatilis	Water Howellia	LT
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT, CH
Salvelinus confluentus	Bull Trout	LT, CH
Coccyzus americanus	Yellow-billed cuckoo (western pop.)	LT
Calidris canutus rufa	Red Knot	LT
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	Р
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С
MUSSELSHELL		
PARK		
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT, CH
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	Р
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С
PETROLEUM		
Scaphirhynchus albus	Pallid Sturgeon	LE
Calidris canutus rufa	Red Knot	LT
PHILLIPS		
Scaphirhynchus albus	Pallid Sturgeon	LE
Charadrius melodus	Piping Plover	LT, CH
Mustela nigripes	Black-footed Ferret	LE, XN
Grus americana	Whooping Crane	LE
Sterna antillarum athalassos	Interior Least Tern	LE
Calidris canutus rufa	Red Knot	LT
PONDERA		
Charadrius melodus	Piping Plover	LT
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT, CH
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	Р
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С
POWDER RIVER		
Grus americana	Whooping Crane	LE
Scaphirhynchus albus	Pallid Sturgeon	LE
Myotis septentrionalis	Northern Long-eared Bat	LT
POWELL		
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT, CH
Salvelinus confluentus	Bull Trout	LT, CH
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	Р
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С
PRAIRIE		
Scaphirhynchus albus	Pallid Sturgeon	LE
Sterna antillarum athalassos	Interior Least Tern	LE
Grus americana	Whooping Crane	LE
Myotis septentrionalis	Northern Long-eared Bat	LT
Charadrius melodus	Piping Plover	LT

County/Scientific Name	Common Name	Status
RAVALLI		
Salvelinus confluentus	Bull Trout	LT, CH
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT
Coccyzus americanus	Yellow-billed cuckoo (western pop.)	LT
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	Р
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С
RICHLAND		
Scaphirhynchus albus	Pallid Sturgeon	LE
Charadrius melodus	Piping Plover	LT, CH
Sterna antillarum athalassos	Interior Least Tern	LE
Grus americana	Whooping Crane	LE
Myotis septentrionalis	Northern Long-eared Bat	LT
ROOSEVELT		
Scaphirhynchus albus	Pallid Sturgeon	LE
Charadrius melodus	Piping Plover	LT, CH
Sterna antillarum athalassos	Interior Least Tern	LE
Grus americana	Whooping Crane	LE
Calidris canutus rufa	Red Knot	LT
Myotis septentrionalis	Northern Long-eared Bat	LT
ROSEBUD		
Sterna antillarum athalassos	Interior Least Tern	LE
Scaphirhynchus albus	Pallid Sturgeon	LE
Grus americana	Whooping Crane	LE
SANDERS		
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT
Salvelinus confluentus	Bull Trout	LT, CH
Silene spaldingii	Spalding's Campion	LT
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	Р
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С
SHERIDAN		
Charadrius melodus	Piping Plover	LT, CH
Grus americana	Whooping Crane	LE
Sterna antillarum athalassos	Interior Least Tern	LE
Calidris canutus rufa	Red Knot	LT
SILVER BOW		
Salvelinus confluentus	Bull Trout	LT
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	Р
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С
STILLWATER		
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT, CH
Charadrius melodus	Piping Plover	LT
Calidris canutus rufa	Red Knot	LT
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	Р
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С

County/Scientific Name	Common Name	Status
SWEET GRASS		
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT, CH
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	Р
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С
TETON		
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT, CH
Calidris canutus rufa	Red Knot	LT
Charadrius melodus	Piping Plover	LT
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	Р
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С
TOOLE		
Calidris canutus rufa	Red Knot	LT
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С
TREASURE		
No listings at this time		
VALLEY		
Scaphirhynchus albus	Pallid Sturgeon	LE
Sterna antillarum athalassos	Interior Least Tern	LE
Grus americana	Whooping Crane	LE
Charadrius melodus	Piping Plover	LT, CH
Calidris canutus rufa	Red Knot	LT
Myotis septentrionalis	Northern Long-eared Bat	LT
WHEATLAND		
Lynx canadensis	Canada Lynx	LT
Ursus arctos horribilis	Grizzly Bear	LT
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine	Р
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark Pine	С
WIBAUX		
Scaphirhynchus albus	Pallid Sturgeon	LE
Sterna antillarum athalassos	Interior Least Tern	LE
Grus americana	Whooping Crane	LE
Myotis septentrionalis	Northern Long-eared Bat	LT
Charadrius melodus	Piping Plover	LT
YELLOWSTONE		
Grus americana	Whooping Crane	LE
Calidris canutus rufa	Red Knot	LT

# Species of Concern 74 Species Filtered by the following criteria County = Missoula (based on mapped <u>Species Occurrences</u>)

	MAMMALS	(MAMI	MALIA	A) 11 S	PECIES COUNT	Y = MISSOUL	<b>A</b> (based o	n mapped <u>Specie</u> :	<u>s Occurrences</u>	
SCIENTIFIC NAME COMMON NAME TAXA SORT	FAMILY (SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY (COMMON)	GLOBAL RANK		USFWS	USFS	BLM	FWP SWAP	% OF GLOBAL BREEDING RANGE IN MT	% OF MT THAT IS BREEDING RANGE	HABITAT
Corynorhinus	Vespertilionidae	G4	S3	035103	Sensitive - Known	SENSITIVE	SGCN3	5%	87%	Caves in forested
<u>townsendii</u> Townsend's Big- eared Bat	Bats	64	33		on Forests (BD, BRT, CG, HLC, KOOT, LOLO)	SENSITIVE	300113	576	0176	habitats
		Species (	Occurre	nces verif	ied in these Counti	es: Beaverhead	d, Big Horn,	Blaine, Broadwate	r, Carbon, Carter.	Cascade, Chouteau
		Meagher, Sanders, State Rai	Mineral, Silver Bo nk Reaso	Missoula, ow, Stillwa on: Specie	allatin, Garfield, Gra Musselshell, Park, I ter, Treasure, Valley is is widespread, but of occupied mines th	Phillips, Powder , Yellowstone , uncommon and	<sup>r</sup> River, Pow d appears to	ell, Prairie, Ravalli, o occur at low dens	Richland, Roose	velt, Rosebud,
Gulo qulo	Mustelidae	G4	S3	P	Proposed on	SENSITIVE	SGCN3	0%	37%	Boreal Forest and
Wolverine	Weasels	64	55	F	Forests (BD, BRT, CG, HLC, KOOT, LOLO)	SENSITIVE	JUCINO	078	5776	Alpine Habitats
		Glacier, C	Granite, J	efferson,	<b>ied in these Count</b> Judith Basin, Lake, L ver Bow, Stillwater, S	ewis and Clark,	Lincoln, Ma	dison, Meagher, N		
Lasiurus cinereus	Vespertilionidae	G3G4	S3			SESNSITVE	SGCN3	2%	100%	Riparian and forest
		Custer, D Judith Ba Phillips, P Sweet Gr	aniels, D sin, Lake Pondera, ass, Teto	awson, De , Lewis ar Powder R on, Toole,	eer Lodge, Fallon, Fe nd Clark, Liberty, Lin iver, Powell, Prairie, Treasure, Valley, Wi	ergus, Flathead coln, Madison, I Ravalli, Richlar neatland, Wibau	, Gallatin, G Mccone, Me nd, Rooseve ux, Yellowsto	arfield, Glacier, Go agher, Mineral, Mis It, Rosebud, Sando one	olden Valley, Gran ssoula, Musselshe ers, Sheridan, Silv	ell, Park, Petroleum, ver Bow, Stillwater,
<u>Lvnx canadensis</u> Canada Lynx	<u>Felidae</u> Cats	G5	S3	LT; CH	Threatened on Forests (BD, BRT) Threatened, Critical Habitat on Forests (CG, HLC, KOOT, LOLO)	THREATENED	SGCN3	1%	40%	Subalpine conifer forest
		Species (	Occurre	nces verif	ied in these Counti	es: Carbon, Fla	athead, Galla	atin, Glacier, Grani	te, Lake, Lewis ar	nd Clark, Lincoln,
					well, Stillwater, Swe			, ,		, ,
Myotis lucifugus	Vespertilionidae	G3	S3				SGCN3	3%	100%	Generalist
Little Brown Myotis	Bats	Custer, D Judith Ba Pondera, Grass, Te State Rar Syndrome	aniels, D sin, Lake Powder eton, Too k Reaso e, a funga	awson, De , Lewis ar River, Pov le, Treasu n: Species	eer Lodge, Fallon, Fe	ergus, Flathead Idison, Mccone, Richland, Roos d, Wibaux, Yell despread, but ur collapse of popu	, Gallatin, G Meagher, N evelt, Roset owstone nder significa lations of th	arfield, Glacier, Go lineral, Missoula, I bud, Sanders, She ant threat of catast is species in the e	olden Valley, Gran Musselshell, Park, ridan, Silver Bow, rophic declines du astern US.	Petroleum, Phillips, Stillwater, Sweet ue to White-Nose
Myotis thysanodes	Vespertilionidae	G4	S3			SENSITIVE	SGCN3	0%	64%	Riparian and dry
Fringed Myotis	Bats	Deer Lode	ge, Fergu	us, Flathea	ied in these Counti ad, Gallatin, Granite, well, Prairie, Ravalli,	Jefferson, Judi	th Basin, La	ke, Lewis and Clar	k, Lincoln, Madiso	mixed conifer forest , Cascade, Custer, on, Meagher, Mineral
		within ran	ge. Spec	ies occas	gh this species is dis ionally uses caves to n the extent of impac	over-winter so	threats to p			to be uncommon me are a concern, bu
<u>Pekania pennanti</u> Fisher	<u>Mustelidae</u> Weasels	G5	S3		Sensitive - Known on Forests (BD, BRT, HLC, KOOT, LOLO)	SENSITIVE	SGCN3	1%	31%	Mixed conifer forests
					ied in these Counti Pondera, Powell, Ray			ge, Flathead, Glaci	er, Granite, Lake,	Lewis and Clark,

<u>Sorex hoyi</u> Pygmy Shrew	<u>Soricidae</u> Shrews	G5	S3				SGCN3	1%	15%	Open conifer forest, grasslands, and shrublands, often near water
					fied in these Court Teton, Valley	ties: Beaverhead	d, Flathead,	Granite, Hill, Lake	Lewis and Clark	k, Lincoln, Missoula,
					vations of this spec ore vulnerable than				sess threats. Spe	ecies may only breed
Sorex preblei	Soricidae	G4	S3				SGCN3	28%	79%	Sagebrush grassland
Preble's Shrew	Shrews	Golden V Sweet Gra State Rai	alley, Gra ass, Teto <b>1k Reas</b> o	anite, Jud on, Valley, on: Obser	Wheatland	d Clark, Lincoln, N	Madison, Mi	ssoula, Phillips, Po n limited data to as	well, Ravalli, She	ergus, Gallatin, eridan, Silver Bow, ecies may only breed
<u>Synaptomys borealis</u> Northern Bog Lemming	<u>Cricetidae</u> New World Mice / Rats / Voles	G5	S2		Sensitive - Known on Forests (BD, BRT, HLC, KOOT, LOLO)		SGCN2, SGIN	1%	14%	Conifer forest wetland
		State Ran species-s	nk Reaso pecific h	on: Althou abitat requ		his species exist area occupied is	across much relatively sr	n of western Monta nall. Species faces	na, most appear significant threa	Missoula, Ravalli isolated due to the ts to persistence from
<u>Ursus arctos</u> Grizzly Bear	<u>Ursidae</u> Bears	G4	S2S3		Threatened on Forests (BD, CG, HLC, KOOT, LOLO)	THREATENED	SGCN2-3	1%	22%	Conifer forest
		Glacier, G	Granite, J	efferson,	fied in these Coun Lake, Lewis and Cl Iter, Sweet Grass,	ark, Liberty, Linco				

	BIRDS	(AVES	) 29 S	PECIES co	DUNTY = MISS	<b>OULA</b> (based	on mapped	d <u>Species Occurre</u>	<u>nces</u> )	
SCIENTIFIC NAME COMMON NAME TAXA SORT	FAMILY (SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY (COMMON)	GLOBAL RANK		USFWS	USFS	BLM	FWP SWAP	% OF GLOBAL BREEDING RANGE IN MT	% OF MT THAT IS BREEDING RANGE	HABITAT
Accipiter gentilis	Accipitridae	G5	S3	MBTA		_	SGCN3	2%		Mixed conifer forests
Northern Goshawk	Hawks / Kites / Eagle	Fergus, F	lathead, ⁄lissoula,	Gallatin, Glac	ier, Granite, Jeffe	erson, Judith Ba	sin, Lake, L	Broadwater, Carbo ewis and Clark, Lit Sanders, Silver Bo	perty, Lincoln, Ma	dison, Meagher,
<u>Aquila chrysaetos</u> Golden Eagle	<u>Accipitridae</u> Hawks / Kites / Eagle	G5	S3	BGEPA; MBTA; BCC17		SENSITIVE	SGCN3	3%	100%	Grasslands
		Custer, D Basin, La Pondera,	awson, [ ke, Lewis Powder	Deer Lodge, F s and Clark, L River, Powell,	allon, Fergus, Fla berty, Lincoln, M	athead, Gallatin ladison, McCon Richland, Roose	, Garfield, G e, Meagher, evelt, Roset	Blaine, Broadwate Ilacier, Golden Vall Missoula, Mussels oud, Sanders, Sher	ey, Granite, Hill, S shell, Park, Petrol	eum, Phillips,
Ardea herodias	<u>Ardeidae</u>	G5	S3	MBTA			SGCN3	3%	100%	Riparian forest
Great Blue Heron	Herons / Night- Herons	Custer, D Basin, La Phillips, F Sweet Gr <b>State Ra</b> i	awson, [ ke, Lewis Pondera, ass, Teto n <b>k Reas</b> o	Deer Lodge, F s and Clark, L Powder River on, Treasure, '	allon, Fergus, Fla berty, Lincoln, M , Powell, Prairie, Valley, Wheatlan eding population	athead, Gallatin ladison, McCon Ravalli, Richlan d, Wibaux, Yello	, Garfield, G e, Meagher, id, Rooseve owstone	lacier, Golden Vall Mineral, Missoula It, Rosebud, Sande	ey, Granite, Hill, , Musselshell, Par ers, Sheridan, Silv	
<u>Botaurus</u> <u>Ientiginosus</u> American Bittern	<u>Ardeidae</u> Bitterns / Egrets / Herons / Night-	G5	S3B	MBTA; BCC11; BCC17		SENSITIVE	SGCN3	4%	100%	Wetlands
	Herons	Valley, La State Rai	ake, Miss n <b>k Reas</b> e	oula, Phillips, <b>on</b> : The Amer	Powell, Ravalli, I can Bittern is de	Roosevelt, Sand pendent on larg	ders, Sherid e wetland c	an, Teton, Valley, Yomplexes, which h	Yellowstone ave declined acro	ad, Glacier, Golden oss the species range. stence of the species

Catharus fuscescens	Turdidae	G5	S3B	MBTA		SENSITIVE	SGCN3	6%	100%	Riparian forest		
Veery	Thrushes				d in these Counti							
		Custer,	Deer Loo	dge, Fergus, I	lathead, Gallatin, G	Glacier, Granite,	Hill, Jeffers	son, Lake, Lewis a	nd Clark, Liberty,	Lincoln, Madison,		
					lissoula, Musselshe					Ravalli, Richland,		
0.111	0				, Silver Bow, Stillwa	ater, Sweet Gras						
<u>Certhia americana</u> Brown Creeper	<u>Certhiidae</u> Creepers	G5	S3	MBTA			SGCN3		53%	Moist conifer forests		
Biowii Cieepei	Cieepeis				ed in these Counti- acier, Golden Valle							
		Meaghe Wheatla	r, Minera nd	al, Missoula, F	Park, Powder River	, Powell, Ravalli,	Rosebud,	Sanders, Silver Bo	w, Stillwater, Sw	eet Grass, Teton,		
<u>Chlidonias niger</u> Black Tern	<u>Laridae</u> Gulls / Terns	G4G5	S3	MBTA; BCC11		SENSITIVE	SGCN3	7%	100%	Wetlands		
		Species Occurrences verified in these Counties: Beaverhead, Broadwater, Carbon, Carter, Cascade, Chouteau, Fergus, Flathead, Gallatin, Glacier, Golden Valley, Granite, Jefferson, Judith Basin, Lake, Lewis and Clark, Lincoln, Madison, Meagher, Mineral, Missoula, Musselshell, Park, Pondera, Powder River, Powell, Ravalli, Sanders, Silver Bow, Stillwater, Sweet Grass, Teton, Wheatland State Rank Reason: Populations in Montana and across North America have experienced rangewide declines, although the cause of these declines are unclear (Bonter and Harvey 2008).										
Coccyzus	Cuculidae	G5	S3B	PS: LT:	Threatened on	THREATENED	SGCN3.	1%	50%	Prairie riparian forest		
<u>americanus</u> Yellow-billed Cuckoo	Cuckoos	00	000	MBTA; BCC10	Forests (BRT, LOLO)		SGIN	170	0070			
				ences verifie				er, Chouteau, Cust	er, Gallatin, Lake	, Madison, Missoula,		
Cygnus buccinator	Anatidae	G4	S3	MBTA	Sensitive - Known		SGCN3	2%	9%	Lakes, ponds,		
Trumpeter Swan	Swans / Geese / Ducks	04	00	MBIN	on Forests (BD, CG)	GENGINIE	000110	270	0,0	reservoirs		
	DUCKS	Species	Occurr	onooo vorific		an: Booverbood	Elathood	Laka Lawia and C	lark Madiaan M	issoula, Park, Powell		
Cypseloides niger	Apodidae	G4	S1B	MBTA:	Species of	es. Deaverneau,	SGCN1.	5%	19%	Waterfalls		
Black Swift	Swifts	04	015	BCC10	Conservation Concern on Forests (FLAT)		SGIN	0,0	1070	Waterrails		
Dolichonyx	Icteridae	State Ra	ank Rea	son: Species	ed in these Counti is limited in distribution tion in all or part of	ution and require				e on the landscape Moist grasslands		
<u>oryzivorus</u> Bobolink	Blackbirds	Custer, I Clark, Li Richland <b>State R</b> a	Daniels, iberty, M d, Roose <b>ank Rea</b>	Dawson, Fall adison, Mcco velt, Rosebuc son: Species	on, Fergus, Flathea ne, Meagher, Misso I, Sanders, Sherida	ad, Gallatin, Garfi oula, Musselshell an, Stillwater, Swi cent large popula	ield, Glacie I, Park, Pet eet Grass,	r, Granite, Hill, Jef roleum, Phillips, P Teton, Valley, Wh	ferson, Judith Ba owder River, Pov eatland, Wibaux,	, Cascade, Chouteau Isin, Lake, Lewis and vell, Prairie, Ravalli, Yellowstone declines and increases		
Dryocopus pileatus	Picidae	G5	S3	MBTA			SGCN3	1%	27%	Moist conifer forests		
Pileated	Woodpeckers				ed in these Counti							
Woodpecker	<b>E</b> ( ) ( )									Sanders, Silver Bow		
<u>Falco peregrinus</u> Peregrine Falcon	<u>Falconidae</u> Falcons	G4	S3	BCC10; BCC11; BCC17	Sensitive - Known on Forests (BD, BRT, CG, HLC, KOOT, LOLO)		SGCN3	2%	100%	Cliffs / canyons		
										de, Chouteau, Deer		
										ral, Missoula, Park,		
Gavia immer	Gaviidae	Pondera G5	a, Powell S3B	, Prairie, Rav MBTA	alli, Sanders, Silver Sensitive - Known	Bow, Stillwater,	Sweet Gra	ss, Leton, Loole, 1%	reasure, Yellows	stone Mountain lakes w/		
Common Loon	Loons	65	000	WDTA	on Forests (KOOT, LOLO)		560N3	176	1470	emergent veg		
		Species Teton	Occurr	ences verifie		es: Flathead, Gla	acier, Lake	Lewis and Clark,	Lincoln, Missoula	a, Powell, Sanders,		
<i>Haemorhous cassinii</i> Cassin's Finch	<u>Fringillidae</u> Finches	G5	S3	MBTA; BCC10			SGCN3	11%	62%	Drier conifer forest		
		Lodge, F Meaghe Stillwate	Fergus, F r, Minera r, Sweet	Flathead, Gall al, Missoula, M t Grass, Tetor	atin, Glacier, Golde	en Valley, Granite Petroleum, Phillip wstone	e, Jeffersor is, Powder	n, Judith Basin, Lak River, Powell, Rav	ke, Lewis and Cla	outeau, Custer, Deer ark, Lincoln, Madison, anders, Silver Bow,		

LoonHimantopus	<b>Recurvirostridae</b>	G5	S3B	MBTA			SGCN3	1%	8%	Wetlands			
mexicanus	Avocets	Species	occurr	ences verifie	ed in these Counti	es: Cascade, Ch	outeau, Ga	allatin, Glacier, Gol	den Valley, Lake	e, Lewis and Clark,			
Black-necked Stilt		Missoul	a, Phillip	s, Ravalli, Stil	Iwater, Teton, Yello	owstone			-				
Histrionicus	<u>Anatidae</u>	G4	S2B	MBTA	Sensitive - Known		SGCN2	4%	40%	Mountain streams			
histrionicus	Swans / Geese /				on Forests (BD,								
Harlequin Duck	Ducks				CG, HLC, KOOT, LOLO)								
			Species Occurrences verified in these Counties: Carbon, Flathead, Glacier, Granite, Lewis and Clark, Lincoln, Mineral, Missoula,										
		Park, Po											
					lequin Duck has ar	extremely limite							
Ixoreus naevius	Turdidae	G5	S3B	MBTA			SGCN3	1%	37%	Moist conifer forests			
Varied Thrush	Thrushes									Valley, Granite, Judith			
					Lincoln, Madison,								
									a and across the	Northern Rockies and			
				rvest, insect c	utbreak, and fire re	esult in a loss of s		0					
Lagopus leucura	<u>Phasianidae</u>	G5	S3				SGCN3,	2%	6%	Alpine			
White-tailed	Upland Game Birds						SGIN						
Ptarmigan					ed in these Counti	es: Flathead, Gla		,					
Leucosticte atrata	<u>Fringillidae</u>	G4	S2	MBTA;			SGCN2,	38%	20%	Alpine			
Black Rosy-Finch	Finches	-		BCC10		L	SGIN						
		Species Occurrences verified in these Counties: Beaverhead, Broadwater, Carbon, Cascade, Deer Lodge, Granite, Jefferson, Judith Basin, Madison, Meagher, Missoula, Park, Powell, Ravalli, Silver Bow, Stillwater											
	D: 11				ier, Missoula, Park				700/				
Melanerpes lewis	<u>Picidae</u>	G4	S2B	MBTA;		SENSITIVE	SGCN3,	8%	78%	Alpine			
Lewis's Woodpecker	vvoodpeckers			BCC10; BCC17			SGIN						
					ed in these Counti								
					soula, Musselshell,	Powder River, P							
Nucifraga	<u>Corvidae</u>	G5	S3	MBTA	Species of		SGCN3	9%	84%	Conifer forest			
columbiana	Jays / Crows /				Conservation								
Clark's Nutcracker	Magpies				Concern on								
		Forests (FLAT)     Forests (FLAT)     Species Occurrences verified in these Counties: Beaverhead, Big Horn, Broadwater, Carbon, Carter, Cascade, Chouteau,											
					Flathead, Gallatin,								
					agher, Mineral, Mis				Pondera, Powde	r River, Powell,			
	1	Ravalli,	Sanders	, Silver Bow,	Stillwater, Sweet G	rass, reton, roo	ie, wheatla	and, Yellowstone					

<u>Numenius</u> <u>americanus</u> Long-billed Curlew	<u>Scolopacidae</u> Sandpipers	G5	S3B	MBTA; BCC10; BCC11; BCC17		SENSITIVE	SGCN3	19%	100%	Grasslands
		Custer, Judith B Powder	Daniels, asin, Lal River, P	Dawson, Dee ke, Lewis and owell, Prairie,	er Lodge, Fallon, Fe	ergus, Flathead, dison, McCone, M Roosevelt, Rose	Gallatin, G Neagher, N	arfield, Glacier, Go Iissoula, Musselsh	lden Valley, Grar ell, Park, Petrole	um, Phillips, Pondera,
<u>Picoides arcticus</u> Black-backed Woodpecker	<u>Picidae</u> Woodpeckers	G5	S3		Sensitive - Known on Forests (BD, BRT, CG, HLC, KOOT, LOLO)		SGCN3	2%	49%	Conifer forest burns
					ed in these Counti- ell, Ravalli, Rosebu		Flathead,	Gallatin, Lewis and	Clark, Lincoln, M	ladison, Mineral,
Psiloscops flammeolus Flammulated Owl	<u>Strigidae</u> Owls	G4	S3B	MBTA;	Sensitive - Known on Forests (BD, BRT, HLC, KOOT, LOLO) Sensitive - Suspected on Forests (CG) Species of Conservation Concern on Forests (FLAT)		SGCN3	2%	36%	Dry conifer forest
					ed in these Counti- ral, Missoula, Powe			er, Flathead, Gallat	tin, Granite, Jeffe	rson, Lake, Lewis and
<u>Spizella breweri</u> Brewer's Sparrow	Passerellidae New World Sparrows	G5	S3B	MBTA; BCC10; BCC17		SENSITIVE	SGCN3	12%	100%	Sagebrush
		Dawson Clark, Li Prairie, I Valley, \ <b>State R</b> a	, Deer L iberty, Li Ravalli, f Wheatlar <b>ank Rea</b>	odge, Fallon, ncoln, Madisc Richland, Roo nd, Wibaux, Y <b>son</b> : Species	Fergus, Flathead, ( on, Mccone, Meagh sevelt, Rosebud, S	Gallatin, Garfield er, Missoula, Mu anders, Sheridan loss of sagebrus	, Glacier, C Isselshell, I n, Silver Bo sh habitats	Golden Valley, Grar Park, Petroleum, P ow, Stillwater, Swe it is dependent on	hite, Hill, Jefferso hillips, Pondera, et Grass, Teton,	

Strix nebulosa	Strigidae	G5	S3	MBTA		SENSITIVE	SGCN3,	2%	46%	Conifer forest near			
Great Gray Owl	Owls						SGIN			open meadows			
		Species	Occurr	ences verifie	d in these Counti	es: Beaverhead,	Carbon, D	eer Lodge, Flathea	ad, Gallatin, Grar	nite, Jefferson, Judith			
		Basin, L	asin, Lake, Lewis and Clark, Lincoln, Meagher, Missoula, Park, Powell, Ravalli, Silver Bow, Sweet Grass, Teton, Wheatland										
Troglodytes pacificus	Troglodytidae	G5	S3	MBTA			SGCN3	1%	39%	Moist conifer forests			
Pacific Wren	Wrens	Species Occurrences verified in these Counties: Beaverhead, Broadwater, Cascade, Fergus, Flathead, Gallatin, Glacier, Granite,											
		Jefferson, Judith Basin, Lake, Lewis and Clark, Lincoln, Madison, Meagher, Mineral, Missoula, Park, Powell, Ravalli, Sanders,											
		Stillwate	r, Sweet	Grass, Teton			-						

	REPTILES	(REPT	ILIA) 2	2 SPEC	IES COUNTY = N	<b>IISSOULA</b> (ba	ised on map	oped <u>Species Occ</u>	<u>urrences</u> )		
SCIENTIFIC NAME COMMON NAME TAXA SORT	FAMILY (SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY (COMMON)	GLOBAL RANK		USFWS	USFS	BLM	FWP SWAP	% OF GLOBAL BREEDING RANGE IN MT	% OF MT THAT IS BREEDING RANGE	HABITAT	
<u>Elgaria coerulea</u> Northern Alligator	Anguidae Alligator Lizards	G5	S3				SGCN3, SGIN	8%	12%	Talus slopes / rock outcrops	
Lizard	-	Species (	Occurre	nces verif	ied in these Counti	es: Flathead, G	ranite, Lake	, Lincoln, Mineral,	Missoula, Ravalli,	Sanders	
<u>Plestiodon</u> <u>skiltonianus</u> Western Skink	<u>Scincidae</u> Skinks	G5	S3				SGCN3, SGIN	2%	10%	Open conifer forest and adjacent grasslands	
	Species Occurrences verified in these Counties: Flathead, Granite, Lincoln, Mineral, Missoula, Ravalli, Sanders										

	AMPHIBIAN	IS (AM	PHIBI	A) 2 SF	PECIES COUNTY	= MISSOULA	(based on	mapped <u>Species</u>	<u>Occurrences</u> )				
SCIENTIFIC NAME COMMON NAME TAXA SORT	FAMILY (SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY (COMMON)	GLOBAL RANK		USFWS	USFS	BLM	FWP SWAP	% OF GLOBAL BREEDING RANGE IN MT	% OF MT THAT IS BREEDING RANGE	HABITAT			
<u>Anaxyrus boreas</u> Western Toad	<u>Bufonidae</u> True Toads	G4	S2		Sensitive - Known on Forests (BD, BRT, CG, HLC, KOOT, LOLO)	SENSITIVE	SGCN2	6%	38%	Wetlands, floodplain pools			
		Jefferson Sanders, State Rai with Chyt across the	species Occurrences verified in these Counties: Beaverhead, Chouteau, Deer Lodge, Flathead, Gallatin, Glacier, Granite, efferson, Judith Basin, Lake, Lewis and Clark, Lincoln, Madison, Meagher, Mineral, Missoula, Park, Pondera, Powell, Ravalli, sanders, Silver Bow, Teton State Rank Reason: Over the last few decades this species has undergone serious declines in abundance due primarily to infection vith Chytrid fungus. While declines in breeding site occupancy appear to have stabilized in the last decade, changes to abundance icross the species range within Montana remain unknown. Significant threats to the persistence of this species remain from continued impacts of disease and mortality of adults and young during breeding and local micration.										
<u>Plethodon</u> <u>idahoensis</u> Coeur d'Alene Salamander	<u>Plethodontidae</u> Lungless Salamanders	G4	S2		Sensitive - Known on Forests (BRT, KOOT, LOLO) fied in these Counti		SGCN2, SGIN	31%	<u>Plethodon</u> <u>idahoensis</u> Coeur d'Alene Salamander	<u>Plethodontidae</u> Lungless Salamanders			

	FISH (ACTI	NOPYE	RYGII	) 3 SPE	CIES COUNTY =	<b>MISSOULA</b> (b	ased on n	napped <u>Species O</u>	<u>ccurrences</u> )				
	FAMILY (SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY (COMMON)	GLOBAL RANK		USFWS	USFS	BLM	FWP SWAP	% OF GLOBAL BREEDING RANGE IN MT	% OF MT THAT IS BREEDING RANGE	HABITAT			
<u>Oncorhynchus clarkii</u> <u>lewisi</u> Westslope Cutthroat Trout	Trout	G5T4	\$2		Sensitive - Known on Forests (BD, BRT, CG, HLC, KOOT, LOLO)	SENSITIVE	SGCN2		34%	Mountain streams, rivers, lakes			
		Gallatin, 0 Pondera, State Rai	pecies Occurrences verified in these Counties: Beaverhead, Broadwater, Cascade, Chouteau, Deer Lodge, Fergus, Flathead, allatin, Glacier, Granite, Jefferson, Judith Basin, Lake, Lewis and Clark, Lincoln, Madison, Meagher, Mineral, Missoula, Park, ondera, Powell, Ravalli, Sanders, Silver Bow, Teton, Wheatland tate Rank Reason: The Westslope Cutthroat trout is currently ranked "S2" in Montana because it is at risk due to very limited d/or potentially declining population numbers, range and/or habitat, making it vulnerable to extirpation in the state.										
Prosopium coulteri Pygmy Whitefish	<u>Salmonidae</u> Trout	G5	S3				SGCN3, SGIN	1%	1%	Deep mountain lakes and tributaries			
		State Rai	nk Reaso	on: The Py	ied in these Counti gmy Whitefish is cu d/or declining numbe	rrently listed as a	n "S3" spe	cies of concern in		e they are potentially ne areas.			
<u>Salvelinus</u> <u>confluentus</u> Bull Trout	<u>Salmonidae</u> Trout	G5	\$2		Threatened, Critical Habitat on Forests (BD, BRT, HLC, KOOT, LOLO)	THREATENED	SGCN2	5%	18%	Mountain streams, rivers, lakes			
		Species ( Missoula,				es: Deer Lodge,	Flathead, 0	Glacier, Granite, La	ake, Lewis and Cl	ark, Lincoln, Mineral,			

	INVERTEBRA	TES - I	NSECI	rs 10 s	PECIES COUNT	Y = MISSOUL	<b>A</b> (based o	n mapped <u>Specie</u>	s Occurrences)	
SCIENTIFIC NAME COMMON NAME TAXA SORT	FAMILY (SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY (COMMON)	GLOBAL RANK		USFWS	USFS	BLM	FWP SWAP	% OF GLOBAL BREEDING RANGE IN MT	% OF MT THAT IS BREEDING RANGE	HABITAT
BUTTERFLIES										
Euphydryas gillettii	Nymphalidae	G3	S2						42%	Wet meadows
Gillette's	Brush-footed				ied in these Counti	es: Beaverhead	l, Cascade,	Deer Lodge, Ferg	us, Flathead, Glad	cier, Madison,
Checkerspot	Butterflies	Mineral, N	/lissoula,	Pondera,	Powell					
CADDISFLIES	B 1 11	0.1	0.0		r	<b>1</b>		500/	= = = =	<b>F ( )</b>
<u>Goereilla baumanni</u> Northern Rocky	<u>Rossianidae</u> Rossianid	G1	S2					50%	5%	Forested mountain springs
Mountains Refugium Caddisfly	Caddisflies	Species Occurrences verified in these Counties: Lake, Missoula State Rank Reason: This NRMR Caddisfly is currently ranked a "S2" Species of Concern in MT and at risk because of very limited and/or potentially declining population numbers, range and/or habitat, making it vulnerable to extirpation in the state. Limited sites with small populations and specialized habitats. This species is a rare, endemic caddisfly only found in specific streams in the Pacific Influenced areas of Montana and Idaho (referred to as the Northern Rocky Mountain Refugium).								
<i>Rhyacophila newelli</i> A Rhyacophilan	<u>Rossianidae</u> Primitive Caddisflies	G2	S2					50%	1%	Alpine / Mountain streams
Caddisfly		State Ran and/or po with smal	nk Reaso tentially of populati	on: This R declining p	ied in these Counti hyacophilan Caddisf oopulation numbers, lso difficult to identify	ly is currently ra range and/or ha	abitat, makin	g it vulnerable to e	extirpation in the s	
<u>Rossiana montana</u> Northern Rocky Mountains Refugium	<u>Rossianidae</u> Rossianid	G2G3	S2		ied in these Counti			50%	1%	Forested mountain springs
Caddisfly		and/or po with smal	tentially o I populati	declining p ions and s	RMR Caddisfly is cu opulation numbers, i pecialized habitats. T and Idaho (referred	range and/or ha This species is a	abitat, makin a rare, ende	g it vulnerable to e mic caddisfly only	extirpation in the s found in specific s	
DRAGONFLIES										
Aeshna subarctica	Aeshnidae	G5	S1S2					5%	21%	Forested Wetlands
Subarctic Darner	Darner Dragonflies	Powell, Sa State Rai declining	anders 1 <b>k Reaso</b> populatio	<b>on</b> : This d	ragonfly is currently li s, range and/or habit	isted as an "S1	S2" Species	of Concern in MT extirpation in the si	due to extremely tate.	limited and/or rapidly
Somatochlora walshii		G5	S1S2					5%	9%	Forested Wetlands
Brush-tipped Emerald	Emerald Dragonflies	State Rai	nk Reaso	on: This d		isted as an "S1	S2" Species	of Concern in MT	due to extremely	limited and/or rapidly
MAYFLIES		decinning	populatic	nnumber	s, range and/or habi	lat, making it nig	gniy vunera		Time state.	
<u>Caurinella</u> idahoensis	<u>Ephemerellidae</u> Ephemerellid	G3	S2					50%	5%	Small forested mountain streams
Lolo Mayfly	Mayflies	State Ran limited an	nk Reaso d/or pote	on: This Le entially dec	ied in these Counti olo mayfly is currently lining population nur ic influenced areas o	y ranked "S2" ir mbers, range ar	n Montana, b nd/or habitat	ecause it is at risk . This species is a	rare, endemic ma	he state due to very ayfly only found in
STONEFLIES						1				
<u>Isocapnia crinita</u> Hooked Snowfly	<u>Capniidae</u> Small Winter	G5	S2					20%		Mountain Streams to Rivers
	Stoneflies	State Ran limited an	nk Reaso d/or pote	on: The He entially dec	ied in these Counti- boked Snowfly is cur clining population nur to newly reported co	rently ranked "S	32" in Monta nd/or habitat	na because it was , making it vulnera	thought to be at r ble to extirpation	

	<u>Nemouridae</u> Spring Stoneflies	G2	S2					33%	5%	Small forested mountain streams
Mountains Refugium		Species (	Occurre	nces verif	fied in these Counti	es: Flathead, G	lacier, Mine	ral, Missoula, Sano	lers	mountain streams
Stonefly			State Rank Reason: The NRMR stonefly is currently ranked "S2" in Montana because it is thought to be at risk due to very limited							
		and/or potentially declining population numbers, range and/or habitat, making it vulnerable to extirpation in the state. This species is globally restricted to Montana and Idaho.								
	<u>Nemouridae</u> Spring Stoneflies	G3	S2					33%	17%	Alpine / Mountain streams
		Species Occurrences verified in these Counties: Flathead, Lake, Missoula State Rank Reason: The Cordilleran stonefly is currently ranked "S2" in Montana because it is thought to be at risk due to very								
		limited and/or potentially declining population numbers, range and/or habitat, making it vulnerable to extirpation in the state. Occurrences in the Northern Rocky Mountain region (Montana and Idaho) appear to be disjunct glacial refugium populations								
		(Gustafso		e Northern	r Rocky Mountain reg	jion (ivioniana a	ind idano) a	ppear to be disjund	a glacial refugium	populations

	INVERTEBRAT	ES - M	OLLUS	5KS 12	SPECIES coun	TY = MISSO	<b>ULA</b> (basea	l on mapped <u>Spec</u>	<u>cies Occurrences</u> )		
SCIENTIFIC NAME COMMON NAME TAXA SORT	FAMILY (SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY (COMMON)	GLOBAL RANK		USFWS	USFS	BLM	FWP SWAP	% OF GLOBAL BREEDING RANGE IN MT	% OF MT THAT IS BREEDING RANGE	HABITAT	
	<u>Polygyridae</u> Oregonians / Forest	G3	S1							Mesic/moist conifer forests	
Kingston Oregonian	Snails	Species	Occurre	nces verif	ied in these Counti	es: Missoula					
<u>Hemphillia camelus</u> Pale Jumping-slug	<u>Arionidae</u> Arionid Slugs	G4	S1S2						2%	Mesic/moist conifer forests	
		Species	Species Occurrences verified in these Countles: Lincoln, Mineral, Missoula, Sanders								
<u>Hemphillia danielsi</u> Marbled Jumping-	<u>Arionidae</u> Arionid Slugs	G3	S1S2					80%	2%	Mesic/moist conifer forests	
slug	-	Species	Occurre	nces verif	ied in these Counti	es: Mineral, Mi	ssoula, Rava	alli			
<u>Magnipelta</u> mycophaga	<u>Arionidae</u> Arionid Slugs	G3	S2S3					33%	7%	Mesic/moist conifer forests	
Magnum Mantleslug	-	Species	Occurre	nces verif	ied in these Counti	es: Flathead, C	Franite, Linco	oln, Mineral, Misso	ula, Ravalli, Sand	ers	
		Species Occurrences verified in these Counties: Flathead, Granite, Lincoln, Mineral, Missoula, Ravalli, Sanders Species Occurrences verified in these Counties: Beaverhead, Broadwater, Cascade, Deer Lodge, Gallatin, Granite, Jefferson, Lake, Lewis and Clark, Lincoln, Madison, Meagher, Missoula, Powell, Ravalli, Sanders, Silver Bow State Rank Reason: The Western Pearlshell is currently ranked a "S2" Species of Concern in MT and is at risk because of very limited and/or potentially declining population numbers, range and/or habitat, making it vulnerable to extirpation in the state. This species is widespread in geographic area, but is declining in terms of area occupied and the number of sites with viable individuals populations showing repeated reproduction (at least several age classes) are now the exception rather than the rule. Montana currently has only 14 "excellent" viable populations out of ~200 known locations (Stagliano 2010). Short term trends show populations declining by -20% over the last decade (Stagliano 2015).									

Margaritifera falcata	Margaritiferidae	G5	S2	5	Sensitive - Known	SENSITIVE	SGCN2	10%	26%	Mountain streams,			
Western Pearlshell	Margaritiferid			c	on Forests (BD,					rivers			
	Mussels			E	BRT, CG, HLC,								
				٢	(OOT, LOLO)								
		Species (	Occurre	nces verifie	ed in these Counti	es: Beaverhead	d, Broadwate	er, Cascade, Deer	Lodge, Gallatin,	Granite, Jefferson,			
		Lake, Lewis and Clark, Lincoln, Madison, Meagher, Missoula, Powell, Ravalli, Sanders, Silver Bow											
		State Rank Reason: The Western Pearlshell is currently ranked a "S2" Species of Concern in MT and is at risk because of very limited and/or potentially declining population numbers, range and/or habitat, making it vulnerable to extirpation in the state. This											
ł			species is widespread in geographic area, but is declining in terms of area occupied and the number of sites with viable individuals; populations showing repeated reproduction (at least several age classes) are now the exception rather than the rule. Montana										
		currently has only 14 "excellent" viable populations out of ~200 known locations (Stagliano 2010). Short term trends show populations declining by ~20% over the last decade (Stagliano 2015).											
				ing by ~20%	% over the last deca	ide (Stagliano 2	015).						
Oreohelix alpina	<u>Oreohelicidae</u>	G2	S1					100%	1%	Limestone talus,			
Alpine Mountainsnail	Mountain Snails									alpine			
				nces verifie	ed in these Counti	es: Lake, Lewis	and Clark,		1	1			
Oreohelix amariradix		G1G2	S1S2					100%	1%	Talus, dry conifer			
	Mountain Snails	-								forests			
Mountainsnail				nces verifie	ed in these Counti	es: Missoula							
	<u>Oreohelicidae</u>	G1	S1					100%	1%	Limestone, dry			
Keeled Mountainsnai	Mountain Snails									conifer forests			
		Species Occurrences verified in these Counties: Granite, Missoula, Powell											
	<u>Oreohelicidae</u>	G2	S1S3							Limestone, dry			
Lyrate Mountainsnail	Mountain Snails									conifer forests			
		Species Occurrences verified in these Counties: Granite, Mineral, Missoula											
	Arionidae	G3	S2S3					50%	12%	Mesic/moist conifer			
Smoky Taildropper	Arionid Slugs									forests			
		Species (	Occurre	nces verifie	ed in these Counti	es: Flathead, L	ake, Lincoln	, Mineral, Missoula	a, Ravalli, Sande	rs			
Udosarx lyrata	Arionidae	G3	S1					50%	2%	Mesic/moist conifer			
Lyre Mantleslug	Arionid Slugs									forests			
		Species (	Occurre	nces verifie	ed in these Counti	es: Mineral, Mis	ssoula, Rava	alli					
Zacoleus idahoensis Sheathed Slug	<u>Arionidae</u> Arionid Slugs	G3G4	S2S3					50%	11%	Mesic/moist conifer forests			
ů,	Ŭ	Species (	Occurre	nces verifie	ed in these Counti	es: Flathead, La	ake. Lincoln	Mineral, Missoula	. Ravalli, Sande	s			

	INVERTEBR	ATES -	OTHE	R 5 SP	ECIES COUNTY	= MISSOULA	(based on	mapped <u>Species (</u>	Occurrences)	
COMMON NAME TAXA SORT	FAMILY (SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY (COMMON)	GLOBAL RANK		USFWS	USFS	BLM	FWP SWAP	% OF GLOBAL BREEDING RANGE IN MT	% OF MT THAT IS BREEDING RANGE	HABITAT
CRUSTACEANS		-	-							
<u>Stygobromus tritus</u> A Subterranean	<u>Crangonyctidae</u> Gammarid	G1G2	S1S2					100%	1%	Subterranean Aquatic Ecosystems
Amphipod		State Ran declining	nk Reaso populatio	on: This S	ied in these Counti- ubterranean Amphip s, range and/or habit generally endemic	od is currently I at, making it hig	isted as "S1 ghly vulnera			
FRESHWATER SPO	NGES									
Ephydatia	<u>Spongillidae</u>	G1G3						100%	1%	Lakes
<u>cooperensis</u> A Freshwater Sponge		State Ran limited an	nk Reaso d/or pote	on: This Fi entially dec		currently ranke nbers, range ar	d a "S1S3"			risk because of very in the state. Known
MILLIPEDES										
<u>Adrityla cucullata</u> A Millipede	Adritylidae Adritylid Millipedes	G1G3	S1S3							Dry mixed conifer forest clearings
		Species (	Occurre	nces verif	ied in these Counti	es: Missoula				
Austrotyla montani	Conotylidae	G1G3	S1S3							Mixed conifer forests
A Millipede	Conotylid Millipedes	Species (	Occurre	nces verif	ied in these Counti	es: Missoula				
Corypus cochlearis	Conotylidae	G1G3	S1S3							Mixed conifer forests
A Millipede	Conotylid Millipedes	Species (	Occurre	nces verif	ied in these Counti	<b>es</b> : Missoula, S	anders			

## Appendix M: State Plant Species of Concern for Missoula County

## Species of Concern 71 Species Filtered by the following criteria

County = Missoula (based on mapped <u>Species Occurrences</u>)

FERNS AND FERN ALLIES (PTERIDOPHYTA) 9 SPECIES COUNTY = MISSOULA (based on mapped Species Occurrences)

SCIENTIFIC NAME COMMON NAME TAXA SORT	OTHER NAMES	FAMILY (SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY (COMMON)	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	USFWS	USFS	BLM	MNS THREAT CATEGORY	HABITAT		
Botrychium sp.		Ophioglossaceae	G1G3	S1S3							
(SOC)		Adder's-Tongue /	Species Oc	currences ve	rified in the	se Counties: Dee	r Lodge, Flathea	d, Glacier, Granit	e, Jefferson, Lake, Lewis		
Moonworts (SOC)		Moonworts	and Clark, Li	incoln, Misso	ula, Park, Po	ndera, Powell, Ra	valli, Sanders, Sv	veet Grass, Tetor			
									MTNHP tracks and		
									nd B. virginianum which		
									ks for this record are		
									anks. For information		
		<b>D</b> . 11			chium specie	es, please see the	individual species	s' accounts.			
Cryptogramma		Pteridaceae	G5	S3							
cascadensis Cascade Rockbrake		Maidenhair Fern				se Counties: Lind			antena af utist 0		
Cascade Rockbrake		Family							ontana, of which 2		
									is, and the remaining 4 cted and could be more		
									cies of Concern list.		
Drvopteris cristata		Drvopteridaceae	G5	S3		Sensitive - Known		3	Wetland/Riparian		
Crested Shieldfern		Wood Fern Family	00	00		on Forests (BRT,		Ŭ	Wolland/Riparian		
		,				KOOT, LOLO)					
						Species of					
						Conservation					
						Concern on					
						Forests (FLAT)					
			Species Occurrences verified in these Counties: Flathead, Glacier, Lake, Lincoln, Missoula, Ravalli State Rank Reason: Rare to uncommon in Montana where it is known from scattered occurrences across the								
			western portion of the state. Most documented occurrences are on National Forest lands, though State Trust La								
			and private lands also host significant populations.								

Equisetum palustre		Equisetaceae	G5	S3					
Marsh Horsetail		Horsetails	Missoula, R	avalli, Sander	S	stre is known from			Lincoln, Madison, counties of western
Isoetes echinospora	Isoetes tenella	Isoetaceae	G5	S3					feshwater lakes
Spiny-spore Quillwort		Quillworts	State Rank occurrence,	Reason: Isoe the species h	etes echinos as been obs		8 occurrences s 57, and 1998 indi	cattered in weste cating persistence	avalli, Sanders arn Montana. At one e. However, current
Isoetes howellii		Isoetaceae	G4G5	S3					feshwater lakes
Howell's Quillwort		Quillworts	State Rank information greatly need	Reason: /soe threats appea led.	etes howellii		ut 5 locations in I	Northwestern Mor	ntana. Based on limited on sizes, and threats is
Isoetes occidentalis	Isoetes lacustris		G4G5	S1					feshwater lakes
Western Quillwort	var. paupercula	Quillworts	State Rank	Reason: Isoe	etes occiden	ese Counties: Flat talis is known from ulation sizes, and	two locations in		na. Survey work to
<u>Lycopodium</u> <u>inundatum</u> Northern Bog Clubmoss	Lycopodiella inundata	<u>Lycopodiaceae</u> Club-moss (Lycopod) Family	G5	S2		Sensitive - Suspected on Forests (KOOT) Species of Conservation Concern on Forests (FLAT)		3	Fens
			State Rank state. Trend	Reason: Rare	e in Montana /ailable. On	ese Counties: Flat a where it is known e population may b are susceptible to	from only a few e negatively impa	acted or extirpate	e western portion of the d in the future by
<u>Ophioglossum</u> <u>pusillum</u> Adder's Tongue	<u>Ophioglossum</u> <u>vulgatum</u> [misapplied]	<u>Ophioglossaceae</u> Adder's-Tongue / Moonworts	G5	S3		Sensitive - Known on Forests (KOOT)		3	Fens, Wet meadows
			State Rank northwest co	Reason: Rare	e in Montana ate. Its viabi		n from a couple d	ozen fens and we	et meadows in the k from any human-

	GYMNO	SPERM (CONIF	ERS) 1 SP	PECIES co	OUNTY = I	MISSOULA (base	d on mapped <u>Sp</u>	ecies Occurrence	<u>25</u> )
SCIENTIFIC NAME COMMON NAME TAXA SORT	OTHER NAMES	FAMILY (SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY (COMMON)	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	USFWS	USFS	BLM	MNS THREAT CATEGORY	HABITAT
<u>Pinus albicaulis</u> Whitebark Pine		<u>Pinaceae</u> Fir / Hemlock / Larch / Pine / Spruce	G3G4	S3	С	Candidate on Forests (BD, BRT, CG, HLC, KOOT, LOLO)	SENSITIVE		Subalpine forest, timberline
			Fergus, Flath Madison, Me Grass, Tetor State Rank I treeline and Populations by past mou which have b negative imp	nead, Gallatir eagher, Miner n, Toole, Whe Reason: Whi krummholtz h of whitebark p ntain pine be peen major de pacts associat	n, Glacier, G al, Missoula atland tebark pine abitats. It o pine in Mon etle outbrea eclines in w red with end	anite, Jefferson, J a, Park, Pondera, P is a common comp ccurs in almost all tana and across mo ks and by the intro- hitebark pine popul	udith Basin, Lake owell, Ravalli, Sa oonent of subalpir major mountain ra ost of western No duced pathogen, ations across larg reased competitio	e, Lewis and Clark inders, Silver Boy he forests and a canges of western rth America have white pine blister ge areas of its rar	v, Stillwater, Sweet dominant species of and central Montana. been severely impacted rust. The results of

FLOWERIN	G PLANTS ·	DICOTS (MAG	GNOLIOP	SIDA) 30	) SPECIE	S COUNTY = M	I <b>ISSOULA</b> (base	ed on mapped <u>S</u>	pecies Occurrences)		
SCIENTIFIC NAME COMMON NAME TAXA SORT	OTHER NAMES	FAMILY (SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY (COMMON)	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	USFWS	USFS	BLM	MNS THREAT CATEGORY	HABITAT		
Astragalus		Fabaceae	G5	S2S3				3	Grasslands (Clay soils)		
<u>racemosus</u> Raceme Milkvetch			Species Occurrences verified in these Counties: Carter, Fallon, Missoula State Rank Reason: Raceme milkvetch occurs near the margin of its range in Montana, where several, mostly small populations have been found in Carter and Fallon counties. Its response to grazing is unknown, however it accumulates selenium and may be toxic to livestock. Accurate population and trend data are lacking.								
<u>Bidens beckii</u> Beck Water-marigold	<u>Megalodonta</u> <u>beckii</u>	<u>Asteraceae</u> Aster/Sunflowers	G5	S2		Sensitive - Known on Forests (KOOT, LOLO		4	Aquatic		
			State Rank populations a abundant in	Reason: Kno and one histo the state than	wn from ten rical occurre what curre	nce from Salmon L	western valleys ake dating to 19 hreats and impa	of the state, inclu 37. However, the cts to populations	Missoula uding 6 moderate to large e species may be more s in Montana include		

		1										
<u>Brasenia schreberi</u>		Cabombaceae	G5	S1S2		Sensitive - Known		4	Aquatic			
Watershield		Watershields				on Forests						
						(KOOT, LOLO)						
			Species Oc	currences ve	rified in the	se Counties: Flat	head, Lake, Linco	oln, Missoula, Po	well			
			State Rank	Reason: Res	tricted in Mc	ntana to shallow w	aters in the valle	ys of the northwe	est corner of the state			
			where it is k	nown from eig	ht occurren	ces, including six re	elatively high qua	lity populations. I	Potential threats to the			
			species incl	species include boating activity, aquatic weeds, and several populations are subject to runoff from adjacent								
			agricultural fields, though it is uncertain if this has negatively impacted any populations.									
Camissonia andina	<b>Oenothera</b>	Onagraceae	G4	S2				3	Sandy sites			
Obscure Evening-	andina,	Evening-primrose	Species Oc	currences ve	rified in the	se Counties: Carl	bon, Missoula					
primrose	Holmgrenia	Family	State Rank	Reason: This	species is a	at the edge of its ra	nge in Montana,	where it has bee	n documented from just			
-	andina		State Rank Reason: This species is at the edge of its range in Montana, where it has been documented from just a few locations. All known extant locations are from Carbon County. These populations collectively cover less than									
			20 acres, bu	ut they can vai	y greatly in a	size from year to y	ear. It tolerates q	razing well, and r	noderate grazing may be			
			important in	maintaining a	suitable see	edbed of exposed s	soil. Invasive wee	eds may pose the	greatest risk.			
Cardamine rupicola		Brassicaceae	G3	S3				3	Alpine			
Cliff Toothwort		Mustards	Species Oc	currences ve	rified in the	se Counties: Flat	head, Lake, Lewi	s and Clark, Miss	oula, Powell			
			State Rank	Reason: Stat	e endemic k	nown from 3 popul	ation clusters. Th	nese are in the M	ission Mtns, Swan			
									or more years and many			
									in rock and scree fields			
									in designated wilderness			
			areas which	offer further p	rotection. A	dditional occurrenc	es likely exist ac	ross the known ra	ange of the species.			
Castilleja cervina		Orobanchaceae	G4	SH					Wetland/Riparian			
Deer Indian		Broomrape Family	Species Oc	currences ve	rified in the	se Counties: Flat	head. Madison. N	lissoula. Powell				
Paintbrush		,	State Rank Reason: Known from 3 widely separated collections in western Montana, including a 1901 collection ir									
									tion near Columbia Falls.			
					,.							

Castilleja cervina		Orobanchaceae	G4	SH					Wetland/Riparian				
Deer Indian		Broomrape Family	Species Oc	currences ve	erified in the	ese Counties: Flat	head, Madison, N	lissoula, Powell					
Paintbrush			State Rank	Reason: Kno	wn from 3 w	idely separated co	llections in weste	rn Montana, inclu	iding a 1901 collection in				
				ounty near "Su	unset Hill", a			nd an 1894 collec	tion near Columbia Falls.				
Castilleja covilleana		<b>Orobanchaceae</b>	G3G4	S3		Sensitive - Known		2	Subalpine slopes				
Coville Indian		Broomrape Family				on Forests (BRT)							
Paintbrush						Sensitive -							
						Suspected on							
			0		alf a d la dh	Forests (BD	and Labor Mina	sula Davalli					
						ese Counties: Flat			e Bitterroot River on the				
									nown status. A few				
						f spotted knapweed							
						sive species. Timbe							
				populations.									
Centunculus	Anagallis	Myrsinaceae	G5	S2					Wetland/Riparian				
minimus	minima	Myrsine Family	Species Occurrences verified in these Counties: Cascade, Lake, Missoula, Phillips, Powell, Ravalli, Sheridan,										
Chaffweed			Valley										
									uncommon in Montana.				
						mpacts from huma	n-caused disturb	ance due to its pr	reference for vernally				
				ts in valley loo	ctions.								
Collomia debilis var.		Polemoniaceae	G5T2	S1S2					Rock/Talus (Valleys to				
<u>camporum</u>		Phlox Family	_						Montane)				
Alpine Collomia						ese Counties: Gra							
						n a few sites in wes							
						nted locations is no			d invasion are possible.				
Drosera anglica		Droseraceae	G5	S3		Sensitive - Known		2	Fens				
English Sundew		Sundew Family	65			on Forests (BD,		2	1 6115				
English Gundew		oundew ranniy				BRT, CG, HLC,							
						KOOT, LOLO)							
			Species Oc	currences ve	erified in the	ese Counties: Bea	verhead. Flathea	d. Granite. Lake.	Lewis and Clark.				
						vell, Ravalli, Sande		, ,					
			State Rank Reason: Known from over two dozen populations in the state, most of these are moderate to large-										
									of these in designated				
									occurrences from many				
									and the species may be				
			negatively impacted by fire as observations at one location appear to indicate. Plants are also sensitive to and										
			negatively impacted by trampling of peat mats on which the species grow.										

Erigeron linearis	1	Asteraceae	G5	S2		1		2	Sagebrush/Grasslands
Linear-leaf Fleabane		Aster/Sunflowers		_				_	(Foothills to Montane)
			Park, Raval State Rank localized oc easement.	li, Sanders, Si <b>Reason</b> : <i>Erig</i> currences. Alr However, deve	ver Bow e <i>ron lineari</i> nost all pop lopment on	s is a peripheral spe ulations are on fede adjacent lands ma	ecies known from erally-managed la ly fragment some	a few small and ands or lands und areas of suitable	
<u>Gentianopsis</u> <u>simplex</u> Hiker's Gentian	<u>Gentiana</u> <u>simplex,</u> <u>Gentianella</u> <u>simplex</u>	<u>Gentianaceae</u> Gentians	G5	\$2		Sensitive - Known on Forests (BD, CG) Sensitive - Suspected on Forests (KOOT, LOLO		3	Fens, wet meadows, seeps
			Stillwater, S State Rank population l	weet Grass Reason: Rare evels and tren	e in Montan ds are unkr	tes are likely to be	n from several wid tial threats to kno documented if su	dely scattered loc wn populations a	cations. Current uppear to be minimal or
<u>Grindelia howellii</u> Howell's Gumweed	<u>Grindelia</u> paysonorum	<u>Asteraceae</u> Aster/Sunflowers	G3	S2S3		Sensitive - Known on Forests (LOLO) Sensitive - Suspected on Forests (HLC, KOOT) Species of Conservation Concern on Forests (FLAT)	SENSITIVE	1	Vernally moist sites (Open, Low-elevation)
			State Rank populations conjunction to year and numbers as	Reason: In M are small and with the short- as a result ma well as the nu eds are a thre ies. Applicatio	ontana, Gra many occur lived nature ny occurren mber of ex at to many	r on roadsides or o e of the species me nces may be ephen ant populations at a poccurrences, as the	nown from over 10 ther similarly distr ans occurrences neral. These attrit any given time dir e habitat occupied	00 mapped occur urbed habitat. Th may drift from pla butes make deter fficult to assess. I by <i>G. howellii</i> is	rrences. However, most is habitat preference in ace to place or from year mination of population also favorable for many es may also have a
<u>Heterocodon</u> <u>rariflorum</u> Western Pearl-flower		<u>Campanulaceae</u> Bellflower Family	G5	S2		Sensitive - Known on Forests (BRT, KOOT, LOLO)		2	Vernally moist habitats
			Sanders State Rank populations population s likely infest	<b>Reason</b> : Ove , a few small p sizes. Most po	r a dozen k opulations oulations ar and ORV ti	nown occurrences, and several occurre e on National Fores	including a half-c ences that need for st lands. Invasive	lozen moderate t urther survey wor weeds infest sev	
<u>Howellia aquatilis</u> Water Howellia		Campanulaceae Bellflower Family	G3 Species Oc State Rank occupying s largest num small portion	S3 currences ve Reason: Wat mall basins w ber of occupie n of the state,	LT rified in th er howellia here the wa d ponds an making it vo	ter level recedes pa d wetlands though Ilnerable to localize	tana to depressio artially or complet the total occupied ad events and ma	ely by the Fall. N area is small an nagement action	Aquatic he Swan Valley, typically fontana contains the id it is clustered in a s. Reed canary grass potential to form dense

Impatiens aurella		Balsaminaceae	G4	S3					riparian
Pale-yellow Jewel-		Impatiens	Species Oc	currences ve	rified in the	se Counties: Cas	cade. Flathead.	Gallatin, Jeffersor	n, Lake, Lewis and Clark,
weed				soula, Sander			,	,	,, ,
			State Rank	Reason: Impa	atiens aurell	a is known from at	out 20 locations	documented from	n 1886 to 2016. It is
			considered (	uncommon in	Lake and FI	athead Counties, v	where the majorit	y of observations	have been found, and
			rare in other	counties of w	estern Mont	ana. It grows in we	et, often organic s	soil in both disturb	ed and undisturbed
						nt. However, it may			
					own locatio	ns and more surve	eys are needed to	better document	locations, population
			sizes, and th						
<u>Ligusticum</u>		<u>Apiaceae</u>	G4G5	S3					
verticillatum		Parsley/Carrot				ese Counties: Gra			
Idaho Lovage		Family							and British Columbia. It
									spruce-fir habitats,
									ay be mis-identified.
						sizes, and threats			
Mertensia bella		Boraginaceae	G4	S2S3		Sensitive - Known		2	Vernally moist soil
Oregon Bluebell		Borage Family				on Forests			(Montane)
						(LOLO)			
						se Counties: Mis			
									st. Some disturbance
									nown if this has had any
1.0 L L L L		5/				ing of the population		determine trends.	
Mimulus ampliatus	Mimulus patulus,	Phrymaceae	G3	S3		Sensitive - Known			Vernally moist soil
Stalk-leaved	<u>Mimulus</u>	Lopseed Family				on Forests (KOOT)			(Valleys to subalpine)
Monkeyflower	washingtonensis		0					a sta Misseria I	Darda Davasti Oasadara
							nead, Glacler, Lli	ncoin, iviissoula, F	Park, Ravalli, Sanders
No secolo e e a la tha secoli	N harris da e e e	N.L		Reason: See	rank details			0	A
Nymphaea leibergii	Nymphaea	Nymphaeaceae	G5	S1	alfin at the star		l h a a d. L a h a . Mia a	3	Aquatic
Pygmy Water-lily	<u>tetragona ssp.</u> leibergii	Water-lily Family				ese Counties: Flat			al collection from
	ieibergii					xtant occurrences			
						. Populations are s	susceptible to imp	acts from develo	pment, recreation,
	1		siliation and	aquatic weed	ა.				

Denotomon	r	Plantaginaceae	G3	S3				3	Baalay alanaa (Onan
Penstemon			GS					3	Rocky slopes (Open,
flavescens		Plantain Family							montane)
Yellow Beardtongue						ese Counties: Min			
						ntana to the Bitter			
									ed in areas of suitable
						n the abundance of			iled information
					nce, distribut	ion and any potent	ial threats is need	ded.	-
Penstemon humilis Low Beardtongue		Plantaginaceae Plantain Family	G5	S1S3					Sagebrush steppe (Montane)
-			Species Oc	currences ve	rified in the	se Counties: Bea	verhead. Gallatin	. Lewis and Clarl	k, Lincoln, Madison,
				issoula, Park,				,	,,,
						na from 1 collectio	n from Beaverhe	ad County	
Phlox kelseyi var.	Phlox	Polemoniaceae	G3	S3		Sensitive - Known		2	Slopes/ridges (Open,
missoulensis	missoulensis	Phlox Family				on Forests (BD,			foothills to subalpine)
Missoula Phlox		,				HLC)			
						Sensitive -			
						Suspected on			
						Forests (LOLO)			
			Species Oc	currences ve	rified in the	se Counties: Cas	cade. Granite. Je	fferson, Judith B	asin, Lewis and Clark,
				eagher, Misso			,,		,,
							known from over	2 dozen occurrei	nces in west-central
									ships, including private
									eral noxious weeds and
									ear to be at much less
						ve weeds, recreation			
Ranunculus		Ranunculaceae	G5	S1S2				1	Wetland/Riparian
orthorhynchus		Buttercup Family							(Montane)
Straightbeak		Buttoroup Fulling	Species Oc	currences ve	rified in the	ese Counties: Dee	r Lodge Elathea	d Glacier Granit	
Buttercup			Missoula, Sa			Se oounies. Dee	Lougo, Hatrioa		c, Ealte, Milleral,
Battoroup					a in Montan	whore it is know	from the wester	n nortion of the s	tate based upon several
									s. Additional data are
				ermine this sp					
Rotala ramosior	1	Lvthraceae	G5	S1S2	soloo olaluo			4	Wetland/Riparian
Toothcup					rified in the	ese Counties: Lak	Missoula Boyr		wellanu/Ripanan
roomcup		LOOSESUIIE Family							wetland sites in the
							iai triteats and im	pacts to the know	vn occurrences, as well
	1		as populatio	n trends, nee	u lo de evali	laled.			

Satureja douglasii	Clinopodium	Lamiaceae	G5	S3					Forest (Moist, montane)
Yerba Buena	<u>douglasii</u>	Mints	State Rank	Reason: Rar	e in Montana		n from several sit	es near the Idaho	o border. It is primarily a e increasing in some
Synthyris canbyi		Plantaginaceae	G2G3	S2S3				3	Alpine
Mission Mountain kittentails		Plantain Family	State Rank Mission and	Reason: Stat Swan Range	e endemic v s. As such,	habitat is not gener	s restricted to hig ally prone to hur	h elevation, oper nan disturbance a	avalli n, rocky slopes in the and most occurrences ange of the species.
Trifolium cyathiferum		Fabaceae	G4	S3					
Cup Clover		Pea Family Fabaceae	State Rank	Reason: Trife	olium cyathit	ese Counties: Miss Ferum occurs in two found to be absen	counties with lin		on population size. One
<u>microcephalum</u> Woolly Clover		Pea Family	00	00	erified in the	ese Counties: Miss	soula, Ravalli		
<u>Waldsteinia</u> <u>idahoensis</u> Idaho Barren		<u>Rosaceae</u> Rose Family	G3	S2S3		Sensitive - Known on Forests (LOLO)			Forests (Ponderosa Pine)
Strawberry			State Rank	Reason: Only rom timber ha	y one knowr		National Forest		is in an area susceptible stable or perhaps

FLOWERI	NG PLANTS	S - MONOCOTS	5 (LILIOPS	IDA) 15	SPECIES	COUNTY = MI	<b>SSOULA</b> (base	ed on mapped <u>Spec</u>	cies Occurrences
SCIENTIFIC NAME COMMON NAME TAXA SORT	OTHER NAMES	FAMILY (SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY (COMMON)	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	USFWS	USFS	BLM	MNS THREAT CATEGORY	HABITAT
Calamagrostis		Poaceae	G3	S3					Montane Forest
<u>tweedyi</u> Cascade reedgrass		Grasses	State Rank F	Reason: A s	pecies of limi	ese Counties: Min ited distribution an on of the state.			rare. Restricted in

Carex lacustris	1	Cyperaceae	G5	S1S2		Species of		2	Fens and marshes
Lake-bank Sedge			65	3132		Conservation		2	Fells and marshes
Lake-bank Sedge		Sedges							
						Concern on			
						Forests (FLAT			
						se Counties: Lak			
			State Rank	Reason: A ra	re species ir	n Montana, known	only from a few o	occurrences from	Lake County.
Carex multicostata		Cyperaceae	G5	S2S3					Grasslands (Montane)
Many-ribbed Sedge		Sedges	Species Oc	currences ve	rified in the	se Counties: Bea	verhead, Carbon	, Gallatin, Granite	e, Missoula, Park, Ravalli
		-							est and south-central
									potential for negative
				ne popoulation					P
Carex rostrata		Cvperaceae	G5	S2S3		Sensitive - Known		3	Fens
Glaucus Beaked		Sedges	00	0200		on Forests		0	1 6115
Sedge		Oeuges				(KOOT, LOLO)			
Seuge			Creation On			ese Counties: Flat	hand Colletin Li	neeln Mieseule	Ctillurator
									common Carex utriculata,
					y treated un	der the name Care	ex rostrata in mar	iy past Floras.	
Carex scoparia		Cyperaceae	G5	S1S2					Wetland/Riparian
Pointed Broom		Sedges							(Valleys)
Sedge						se Counties: Bea			
			State Rank	Reason: Rare	e in Montana	a, where it is currer	ntly known from a	only a few sites in	the Clark Fork and
			Bitterroot Ri	ver drainages.					
Cyperus acuminatus		Cyperaceae	G5	S1					Wetland/Riparian
Short-pointed		Sedges	Species Oc	currences ve	rified in the	se Counties: Mis	soula. Sanders		
Flatsedge		U U						nly 2 collections	in the western portion of
°,			the state.			.,	,	, =	
Cyperus bipartitus	Cyperus rivularis	Cyperaceae	G5	S1					Wetland/Riparian
Shining Flatsedge		Sedges	Species Oc	currences ve	rified in the	se Counties: Mis	soula. Ravalli	•	
		-				a, where it is currer		only the Bitterroot	Vallev.
L	1	1				.,	,	, and Billonool	

<u>Cvpripedium</u> f <u>asciculatum</u> Clustered Lady's- slipper	<u>Orchidaceae</u> Orchids	G4	S3	Sensitive - Known on Forests (KOOT, LOLO) Species of Conservation Concern on Forests (FLAT)	1	Forests (Montane)
		State Rank is document occurrences	Reason: Clus ed from 10 mo . Most popula	rified in these Counties: Lake, Mineral, Mi tered lady's-slipper is known for Montana fro oderate to large populations, 3 historical occ tions occur on National Forest lands. Potent ber harvesting.	om the northwest po urrences and many	additional small
Eriophorum gracile Slender Cottongrass	<u>Cyperaceae</u> Sedges	Ğ5	S3	Sensitive - Known on Forests (CG, KOOT) Species of Conservation Concern on Forests (FLAT)	2	Fens
		Powell State Rank historical or northwest M	Reason: Kno poorly docum	rified in these Counties: Flathead, Gallatir wn from a very few large populations, sever ented locations. Populations occur on a mix to moderate elevations. Populations are vul	al smaller population of federal, state and	s and a half dozen private ownerships in
Juncus covillei Coville's Rush	<u>Juncaceae</u> Rushes	State Rank	Reason: Rare	rified in these Counties: Flathead, Mineral e and peripheral in Montana. Currently know sites in the mountainous portion of the state	n from approximatel	

Muhlenbergia		Poaceae	G5	S3					
minutissima		Grasses	Species O	ccurrences v	erified in th	ese Counties: Be	averhead, Gallati	n, Madison, Miss	oula, Ravalli, Silver Bow
Annual Muhly			State Rank	Reason: Mu	hlenbergia	<i>minutissima</i> is knov	vn from 7 location	is observed from	1895 to 2015 in central
			and wester	n Montana. It	is also repo	rted to occur in nor	theast Montana, I	but specimens ha	ave not been located
			(Peterson i	n FNA 2003).	A 1941 occ	urrence near Belgra	ade has been sea	arched for in rece	nt decades, but not re-
									ulations may not be
				Surveys that b	oring forth c	urrent data on locat	tions, populations	sizes, habitat re	quirements, or threats is
			needed.	-					-
Potamogeton		Potamogetonaceae	G5	S3		Sensitive - Known		2	Aquatic
obtusifolius		Pondweeds				on Forests (HLC)			
Blunt-leaved						Sensitive -			
Pondweed						Suspected on			
						Forests (LOLO)			
						nese Counties: Fla			
									ral contain moderate to
						alley and foothill lo			
									alue. Some populations
					associated			creased sedime	nt and nutrient loads.
<u>Scheuchzeria</u>		Scheuchzeriaceae	G5	S3		Sensitive - Known		2	Wetland/Riparian
palustris		Pod-grasses				on Forests (BD,			
Pod Grass						KOOT, LOLO)			
						Sensitive -			
						Suspected on			
						Forests (BRT)			
						nese Counties: Fla			
									Divide. Several locations
									rveys to document the
						ons are on Nationa			
								are primarily vuln	erable to activities that
		-			the occupie	d fen and wetland I		-	
<u>Schoenoplectus</u>	<u>Scirpus</u>	Cyperaceae	G5	S3		Sensitive - Known		2	Wetland/Riparian
<u>subterminalis</u>	subterminalis	Sedges	1			on Forests (HLC,			
Water Bulrush				L	L	KOOT, LOLO)			<u> </u>
1		1				nese Counties: Fla			
1		1							h are moderate to large-
									e to changes in water
		1		creases in nut	rient and se	diment loads asso	ciated with develo	pment, agricultu	re or adjacent timber
			harvesting.						

Wolffia columbiana	Lemnaceae	G5	S2S3					Aquatic
Columbia Water-	Duckweeds	Species Oc	currences v	erified in th	ese Counties: Fla	thead, Lake, Miss	soula, Ravalli	
meal		State Rank	Reason: Rai	re. Known fr	om several water b	odies in the valle	eys of western Mo	ontana. Additional
		information	on the specie	s is needed	within Montana to	more precisely d	etermine the spe	cies' conservation status.

	BRYOPHY	TES (BRYOPH	YTA) 9 SF	PECIES co	OUNTY = I	MISSOULA (based	on mapped <u>S</u>	pecies Occurrence	<u>25</u> )
SCIENTIFIC NAME COMMON NAME TAXA SORT	OTHER NAMES	FAMILY (SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY (COMMON)	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	USFWS	USFS	BLM	MNS THREAT CATEGORY	HABITAT
Dichodontium	Olympic Fork	Dicranaceae	G3G5	S1					
olympicum Olympic Dichodontium Moss	Moss		Species Oco	currences v	erified in th	ese Counties: Misso	oula		
Scorpidium	Drepanocladus	Amblystegiaceae	G5	S1					
<u>revolvens</u> Limprichtia Moss	<u>revolvens.</u> Limprichtia revolvens		Species Oce Stillwater, Te		erified in th	ese Counties: Flathe	ead, Gallatin, C	Glacier, Lake, Miss	oula, Sanders,
<u>Scorpidium</u> <u>scorpioides</u> A Scorpidium Moss		<u>Amblystegiaceae</u>	G5	S2		Sensitive - Known on Forests (HLC, KOOT) Species of Conservation Concern on Forests (FLAT)			
			Species Oco Powell, Teto		erified in th	ese Counties: Flathe	ead, Glacier, L	ake, Lewis and Cla	ark, Lincoln, Missoula,
Sphagnum		Sphagnaceae	G5	S2					
<u>angustifolium</u> Narrowleaf Peatmoss		Peat Mosses	Species Oco	currences v	erified in th	ese Counties: Beave	erhead, Flathe	ad, Lincoln, Misso	ula, Sanders
Sphagnum centrale		Sphagnaceae	G5	S1					
A Peatmoss		Peat Mosses	Species Occ	currences v	erified in th	ese Counties: Flathe	ead, Missoula,	Ravalli, Sanders	

Sphagnum fuscum	Brown Peatmoss	Sphagnaceae	G5	S2					
Brown Hair		Peat Mosses	Species O	ccurrences v	erified in th	ese Counties: Fla	thead, Lake, Lind	oln, Missoula, R	avalli
Peatmoss			-						
Sphagnum	Magellan's	Sphagnaceae	G5	S1		Species of			
magellanicum	Peatmoss	Peat Mosses				Conservation			
Red Spoon						Concern on			
Peatmoss						Forests (FLAT)			
			Species O	ccurrences v	erified in th	ese Counties: Fla	thead, Lincoln, N	ladison, Missoula	a, Ravalli
Sphagnum		Sphagnaceae	G4G5	S1					
mendocinum		Peat Mosses	Species O	ccurrences v	erified in th	ese Counties: Fla	thead, Missoula		
Mendocino									
Peatmoss									
Sphagnum riparium	Streamside	Sphagnaceae	G5	S1					
Streamside	Sphagnum Moss	Peat Mosses	Species O	ccurrences v	verified in th	ese Counties: Lev	wis and Clark, Lir	icoln, Missoula	
Peatmoss			•						

	LICH	ENS (FUNGI)	9 SPECIES	δ соυντγ	= MISSOU	LA (based on mo	apped <u>Species C</u>	Occurrences	
SCIENTIFIC NAME COMMON NAME TAXA SORT	OTHER NAMES	FAMILY (SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY (COMMON)	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	USFWS	USFS	BLM	MNS THREAT CATEGORY	HABITAT
Arctoparmelia		Parmeliaceae	G4G5	S1					
<u>subcentrifuga</u> Subcentric Ring Lichen						ese Counties: Mis wn from a few site:		and central regions	of the state.
Lobaria hallii		Lobariaceae	G4?	S2					
Gray Lungwort			Species Occ	currences ve	erified in the	se Counties: Flat	thead, Lake, Lin	coln, Missoula, San	ders
_ichen			State Rank	Reason: Kno	wn from sev	eral locations in w	estern Montana		
Normandina		Verrucariaceae	G4G5	S1					
<u>bulchella</u> Elf-Ear Lichen						ese Counties: Mis untains, this licher		stribution. Known ir	n Montana from one
Parmeliella	Pannaria	Pannariaceae	G5	S1					
<u>triptophylla</u> Fingered Shingle	<u>triptophylla</u> Black-bordered		Species Oco State Rank			ese Counties: Gla in found.	cier, Lake, Miss	oula, Ravalli	
Lichen	Shingle Lichen								

Peltigera gowardii	Peltigera	Peltigeraceae	G3G4	S1					
	hydrothyria [name					ese Counties: Mis			
	misapplied in		State Rank	Reason: Kn	own from a f	ew sites in western	n Montana.		
	western North								
	America]								
Solorina bispora		Peltigeraceae	G5	S1S2					
Lesser Tundra Owl			Species Oc	currences v	erified in th	ese Counties: Bea	averhead, Carboi	n, Flathead, Glac	ier, Missoula
Lichen			State Rank	Reason: Kn	own from a f	ew locations in wes	stern Montana.		

**Appendix N: State Animal Species of Concern for Mineral County** 

## Species of Concern 46 Species Filtered by the following criteria County = Mineral (based on mapped <u>Species Occurrences</u>)

MAMMALS (	MAMMALIA) 7	SPECIE	S Cou	nty=Min	<b>eral</b> (based on mo	apped <u>Species C</u>	Occurrences	)		
Scientific Name Common Name TAXA Sort	Family (Scientific) Family (Common)	GLOBAL Rank	STATE Rank	USFWS	USFS	BLM	FWP SWAP	% of Global Breeding Range in MT	% of MT that is Breeding Range	Habitat
<u>Corynorhinus</u> townsendii Townsend's Big- eared Bat	<u>Vespertilionidae</u> Bats	G4	S3		Sensitive - Known on Forests (BD, BRT, CG, HLC, KOOT, LOLO)	SENSITIVE	SGCN3	5%	87%	Caves in forested habitats
		Custer, Fo Meagher, Sanders, State Rai	ergus, Fl Mineral, Silver Bo n <b>k Reas</b>	athead, Ga Missoula, ow, Stillwat on: Specie	ied in these Count allatin, Garfield, Gra Musselshell, Park, ter, Treasure, Valle is is widespread, bu of occupied mines t	anite, Jefferson, Phillips, Powder y, Yellowstone ut uncommon and	Judith Basir River, Pow	, Lake, Lewis and ell, Prairie, Ravalli, o occur at low dens	Clark, Lincoln, Ma Richland, Roose	velt, Rosebud,

Gulo qulo	Mustelidae	G4	S3	Р	Proposed on	SENSITIVE	SGCN3	0%	37%	Boreal Forest and
Wolverine	Weasels	_			Forests (BD, BRT,					Alpine Habitats
					CG, HLC, KOOT,					
					LOLO)					
		Species (	Occurre	nces veri	fied in these Counti	es: Beaverhead	d, Broadwate	er, Carbon, Cascad	le, Deer Lodge, I	lathead, Gallatin,
		Glacier, G	ranite, J	efferson,	Judith Basin, Lake, L	ewis and Clark,	Lincoln, Ma	idison, Meagher, M	lineral, Missoula,	Park, Pondera,
		Powell, Ra	avalli, Sa	anders, Si	ver Bow, Stillwater, S	Sweet Grass, Te	eton, Wheat	land		
Lasiurus cinereus	Vespertilionidae	G3G4	S3			SESNSITVE	SGCN3	2%	100%	Riparian and forest
Hoary Bat	Bats	Species 0	Occurre	nces veri	fied in these Counti	es: Beaverhead	d, Big Horn,	Blaine, Broadwate	r, Carbon, Carter	, Cascade, Chouteau,
		Custer, Da	aniels, D	awson, D	eer Lodge, Fallon, Fe	ergus, Flathead,	, Gallatin, G	arfield, Glacier, Go	Iden Valley, Grar	nite, Hill, Jefferson,
		Judith Bas	sin, Lake	, Lewis ar	nd Clark, Liberty, Lind	coln, Madison, M	McCone, Me	agher, Mineral, Mi	ssoula, Musselsh	ell, Park, Petroleum,
		Phillips, P	ondera,	Powder R	iver, Powell, Prairie,	Ravalli, Richlan	d, Rooseve	It, Rosebud, Sande	ers, Sheridan, Sil	ver Bow, Stillwater,
		Sweet Gra	ass, Teto	on, Toole,	Treasure, Valley, Wh	neatland, Wibau	ix, Yellowsto	one		
Myotis lucifugus	Vespertilionidae	G3	S3				SGCN3	3%	100%	Generalist
Little Brown Myotis	Bats	Species 0	Occurre	nces veri	fied in these Counti	es: Beaverhead	d, Big Horn,	Blaine, Broadwate	r, Carbon, Carter	, Cascade, Chouteau,
		Custer, Da	aniels, D	awson, D	eer Lodge, Fallon, Fe	ergus, Flathead,	, Gallatin, G	arfield, Glacier, Go	Iden Valley, Grar	nite, Hill, Jefferson,
		Judith Bas	sin, Lake	, Lewis ar	nd Clark, Lincoln, Ma	dison, McCone,	, Meagher, N	/lineral, Missoula, I	Musselshell, Parl	k, Petroleum, Phillips,
		Pondera,	Powder	River, Pov	vell, Prairie, Ravalli,	Richland, Roose	evelt, Roset	ud, Sanders, Sher	idan, Silver Bow,	Stillwater, Sweet
		Grass, Te	ton, Too	le, Treasu	re, Valley, Wheatlan	d, Wibaux, Yello	owstone			
		State Rar	k Reas	on: Specie	es is common and wi	despread, but u	inder signific	ant threat of catas	trophic declines of	due to White-Nose
		Syndrome	e, a funga	al disease	responsible for the c	collapse of popu	lations of th	is species in the ea	astern US.	

Mustic thusanadaa	Vespertilionidae	G4	S3				COOND	09/	C 40/	Discript and day
Myotis thysanodes		G4	- 53			SENSITIVE	SGCN3	0%	64%	Riparian and dry
Fringed Myotis	Bats									mixed conifer forest
		Species	Occurre	nces verif	fied in these Coun	ties: Beaverhead	d, Big Horn,	Blaine, Broadwate	r, Carbon, Carter	, Cascade, Custer,
		Deer Lod	ge, Fergi	us, Flathea	ad, Gallatin, Granite	e, Jefferson, Judit	th Basin, La	ke, Lewis and Clarl	k, Lincoln, Madis	on, Meagher, Mineral,
		Missoula,	Powder	River, Por	well, Prairie, Ravall	, Rosebud, Sand	lers, Silver E	Bow, Teton, Treasu	re	
		State Rai	nk Reas	on: Althou	igh this species is d	listributed across	much of Mo	ontana, recent surv	evs have found it	to be uncommon
										me are a concern, but
					n the extent of impa					
Delenie nennenti	Mustelidae	G5	S3		Sensitive - Known		SGCN3	1%	31%	Mixed conifer forests
Pekania pennanti		Go	53			SENSITIVE	SGCN3	1%	31%	wixed conier forests
Fisher	Weasels				on Forests (BD,					
					BRT, HLC,					
					KOOT, LOLO)					
		Species	Occurre	nces veri	fied in these Coun	ties: Beaverhead	d, Deer Lodo	e, Flathead, Glaci	er, Granite, Lake	Lewis and Clark,
		Lincoln, N	lineral, N	lissoula, F	Pondera, Powell, Ra	avalli, Sanders, T	eton			
Ursus arctos	Ursidae	G4	S2S3	PS: LT;	Threatened on	THREATENED	SGCN2-3	1%	22%	Conifer forest
Grizzly Bear	Bears			XN	Forests (BD, CG,					
-					HLC. KOOT.					
					LOLO)					
		Snecies	Occurre	nces veri	fied in these Coun	tios: Booverhear	1 Carbon C	ascada Chouteau	Deer Lodge Els	athead Gallatin
					Lake, Lewis and Cla		oin, iviadisor	i, iviinerai, iviissoula	i, Park, Pondera,	Poweii, Kavalli,
		Sanders,	Silver Bo	w, Stillwa	ter, Sweet Grass, T	eton, I oole				

Scientific Name Common Name	Family (Scientific)	GLOBAL	STATE				FWP	% of Global Breeding Range	% of MT that is Breeding	;
TAXA Sort	Family (Common)	Rank	Rank	USFWS	USFS	BLM	SWAP	in MT	Range	Habitat
Accipiter gentilis	Accipitridae	G5	S3	MBTA			SGCN3	2%	68%	Mixed conifer forests
Northern Goshawk	Hawks / Kites / Eagle	Fergus, F	lathead, ⁄lissoula	Gallatin, G	lacier, Granite, Jel	fferson, Judith Ba	asin, Lake, L	ewis and Clark, Lib Sanders, Silver Bo	erty, Lincoln, Ma	adison, Meagher,
Ardea herodias	Ardeidae	G5	S3	MBTA			SGCN3	3%	100%	Riparian forest
Great Blue Heron	Bitterns / Egrets / Herons / Night- Herons	Custer, D Basin, La Phillips, P Sweet Gr	awson, I ke, Lewi Pondera, ass, Teto n <b>k Reas</b>	Deer Lodge s and Clark Powder Riv on, Treasur on: Small b	, Fallon, Fergus, F , Liberty, Lincoln, ver, Powell, Prairie e, Valley, Wheatla reeding population	lathead, Gallatin Madison, McCon a, Ravalli, Richlan nd, Wibaux, Yelk	, Garfield, G e, Meagher, id, Rooseve owstone	lacier, Golden Vall Mineral, Missoula, It, Rosebud, Sande	ey, Granite, Hill, , Musselshell, Pa ers, Sheridan, Sil	

Catharus fuscescens	Turdidae	G5	S3B	MBTA		SENSITIVE	SGCN3	6%	100%	Riparian forest
Veery	Thrushes	Species	s Occurr	ences verifie	d in these Counti	es: Beaverhead,	Big Horn,	Blaine, Broadwate	r, Carbon, Casca	ade, Chouteau,
		Custer,	Deer Lo	dge, Fergus, F	lathead, Gallatin,	Glacier, Granite,	Hill, Jeffers	son, Lake, Lewis a	nd Clark, Liberty	, Lincoln, Madison,
		McCone	e, Meagh	er, Mineral, N	lissoula, Musselsh	ell, Park, Petrole	um, Phillips	s, Pondera, Powde	r River, Powell, I	Ravalli, Richland,
		Roosev	elt, Rose	bud, Sanders	, Silver Bow, Stillw	ater, Sweet Gras	s, Teton, V	Vheatland, Yellows	stone	
Certhia americana	Certhiidae	G5	S3	MBTA			SGCN3	4%	53%	Moist conifer forests
Brown Creeper	Creepers	Species	s Occurr	ences verifie	d in these Counti	es: Beaverhead,	Broadwate	er, Carbon, Carter,	Cascade, Chou	teau, Deer Lodge,
		Fergus,	Flathead	d, Gallatin, Gla	acier, Golden Valle	y, Granite, Jeffer	son, Judith	Basin, Lake, Lewi	is and Clark, Line	coln, Madison,
		Meaghe	r, Minera	al, Missoula, F	Park, Powder River	, Powell, Ravalli,	Rosebud,	Sanders, Silver Bo	w, Stillwater, Sw	veet Grass, Teton,
		Wheatla	and							
Coccothraustes	Fringillidae	G5	S3	MBTA			SGCN3	3%	100%	Conifer forest
vespertinus	Finches	Species	s Occurr	ences verifie	d in these Counti	es: Beaverhead,	Broadwate	er, Carbon, Carter,	Cascade, Chou	teau, Fergus,
Evening Grosbeak		Flathea	d, Gallati	in, Glacier, Go	olden Valley, Grani	e, Jefferson, Juc	lith Basin, I	Lake, Lewis and Cl	lark, Lincoln, Ma	dison, Meagher,
		Mineral,	Missoul	a, Musselshel	I, Park, Pondera, F	owder River, Po	well, Raval	li, Sanders, Silver	Bow, Stillwater,	Sweet Grass, Teton,
		Wheatla	and							
		State R	ank Rea	son: Populati	ons in Montana an	d across North A	merica hav	e experienced ran	gewide declines	, although the causes
		of these	declines	s are unclear (	Bonter and Harve	/ 2008).				
Dryocopus pileatus	Picidae	G5	S3	MBTA			SGCN3	1%	27%	Moist conifer forests
Pileated	Woodpeckers	Species	s Occurr	ences verifie	d in these Counti	es: Beaverhead,	Broadwate	er, Cascade, Deer	Lodge, Flathead	, Gallatin, Glacier,
Woodpecker		Granite,	Jefferso	on, Lake, Lewi	s and Clark, Linco	n, Madison, Mea	igher, Mine	ral, Missoula, Park	, Powell, Ravalli	, Sanders, Silver Bow

<i>Falco peregrinus</i> Peregrine Falcon	<i>Falconidae</i> Falcons	G4	S3	BCC10;	Sensitive - Known on Forests (BD,	SENSITIVE	SGCN3	2%	100%	Cliffs / canyons
					BRT, CG, HLC, KOOT, LOLO)					
		Lodge, F	Flathead	, Gallatin, Gla	ed in these Countie acier, Granite, Jeffer alli, Sanders, Silver	rson, Lake, Lewis	and Clark	k, Lincoln, Madison	, Meagher, Miner	
Haemorhous cassinii Cassin's Finch	<i>Fringillidae</i> Finches	G5	S3	MBTA; BCC10		Dow, Othwater,	SGCN3	11%	62%	Drier conifer forest
		Lodge, F Meaghe Stillwate	Fergus, I r, Minera r, Swee	Flathead, Gal al, Missoula, I t Grass, Tetor		n Valley, Granite Petroleum, Phillip wstone	s, Powder	n, Judith Basin, Lak River, Powell, Rav	ke, Lewis and Cla	outeau, Custer, Deer Irk, Lincoln, Madison, anders, Silver Bow,
<u>Histrionicus</u> <u>histrionicus</u> Harlequin Duck	<u>Anatidae</u> Swans / Geese / Ducks	G4	S2B	MBTA	Sensitive - Known on Forests (BD, CG, HLC, KOOT, LOLO)		SGCN2	4%	40%	Mountain streams
		Park, Po	ondera, F	Powell, Sande	ed in these Counti ers, Sweet Grass, T rlequin Duck has ar	eton				n, Mineral, Missoula,

1	<b>T <i>i i i</i></b>	0.5	000	MOTA			00010	10/	070/	
<u>Ixoreus naevius</u>	Turdidae	G5	S3B	MBTA			SGCN3	1%	37%	Moist conifer forests
Varied Thrush	Thrushes	Species	s Occurr	rences verifi	ed in these Counti	es: Broadwater,	Cascade, I	Flathead, Gallatin,	Glacier, Golden	Valley, Granite, Judith
		Basin, L	ake, Lev	vis and Clark	Lincoln, Madison,	Meagher, Minera	I, Missoula	a, Park, Pondera, F	owell, Ravalli, Sa	anders, Teton
		State R	ank Rea	son: The Va	ried Thrush has und	dergone recent p	opulation c	eclines in Montana	and across the	Northern Rockies and
					outbreak, and fire re					
Nucifraga	Corvidae	G5	S3	MBTA	Species of		SGCN3	9%	84%	Conifer forest
columbiana	Jays / Crows /				Conservation					
Clark's Nutcracker	Magpies				Concern on					
	01				Forests (FLAT)					
		Species	s Occurr	rences verifi	ed in these Counti	es: Beaverhead,	Big Horn,	Broadwater, Carbo	on, Carter, Casca	de, Chouteau,
		Custer.	Deer Lo	dae. Feraus.	Flathead, Gallatin, (	Glacier, Golden \	/allev. Gra	nite. Jefferson. Jud	tith Basin, Lake.	Lewis and Clark.
					agher, Mineral, Mis					
					Stillwater, Sweet G				,	-,,
Picoides arcticus	Picidae	G5	S3	MBTA	Sensitive - Known	SENSITIVE	SGCN3	2%	49%	Conifer forest burns
Black-backed	Woodpeckers				on Forests (BD,					
Woodpecker					BRT, CG, HLC,					
					KOOT, LOLO)					
		Species	s Occurr	rences verifi	ed in these Counti	es: Broadwater,	Flathead,	Gallatin, Lewis and	Clark, Lincoln, N	ladison, Mineral,
		Missoul	a, Powde	er River, Pow	ell, Ravalli, Rosebu	d, Sanders				

Psiloscops flammeolus Flammulated Owl	<u>Strigidae</u> Owls	G4	S3B		Sensitive - Known on Forests (BD, BRT, HLC, KOOT, LOLO) Sensitive - Suspected on Forests (CG) Species of Conservation Concern on Forests (FLAT)	SENSITIVE	SGCN3	2%	36%	Dry conifer forest
					ed in these Countie ral, Missoula, Powel			r, Flathead, Gallat	in, Granite, Jeffe	rson, Lake, Lewis and
Troglodytes pacificus		G5	S3	MBTA		,,,	SGCN3	1%	39%	Moist conifer forests
	New World Sparrows	Species Jefferso	occurr n, Judith	ences verifie	Lewis and Clark, Li		Broadwate	r, Cascade, Fergu	s, Flathead, Gall	atin, Glacier, Granite,

Reptiles (Rep	Reptiles (Reptilia) 2 Species County=Mineral (based on mapped <u>Species Occurrences</u> )														
Scientific Name Common Name TAXA Sort	Family (Scientific) Family (Common)	GLOBAL Rank		USFWS	USFS	BLM	FWP SWAP	% of Global Breeding Range in MT	% of MT that is Breeding Range	Habitat					
<u>Elgaria coerulea</u> Northern Alligator	<u>Anguidae</u> Alligator Lizards	G5	S3				SGCN3, SGIN	8%	12%	Talus slopes / rock outcrops					
Lizard	-	Species (	Occurre	nces verif	ied in these Coun	ties: Flathead, G	ranite, Lake	e, Lincoln, Mineral,	Missoula, Ravalli,	Sanders					
<u>Plestiodon</u> <u>skiltonianus</u> Western Skink	<u>Scincidae</u> Skinks	G5	S3				SGCN3, SGIN	2%	10%	Open conifer forest and adjacent grasslands					
		Species (	Occurre	nces verif	ied in these Coun	ties: Flathead, G	ranite, Linc	oln, Mineral, Misso	ula, Ravalli, Sand	ers					

Amphibians ( Scientific Name Common Name TAXA Sort	Amphibia) 3 S Family (Scientific) Family (Common)	GLOBAL Rank	í	=Minera USFWS	l (based on mappe USFS	ed <u>Species Occur</u> BLM	rrences) FWP SWAP	% of Global Breeding Range in MT	% of MT that is Breeding Range	Habitat
Anaxyrus boreas	Bufonidae	G4	S2		Sensitive - Known	SENSITIVE	SGCN2	6%	38%	Wetlands, floodplain
Western Toad	True Toads				on Forests (BD,					pools
					BRT, CG, HLC,					
					KOOT, LOLO)					
					ied in these Count					
		Jefferson	, Judith E	Basin, Lake	e, Lewis and Clark,	Lincoln, Madisor	n, Meagher,	Mineral, Missoula,	Park, Pondera, F	Powell, Ravalli,
		Sanders,								
		State Rai	nk Reas	on: Over t	he last few decades	this species has	s undergone	e serious declines i	n abundance due	primarily to infection
		with Chyt	rid fungu	s. While d	eclines in breeding	site occupancy a	ppear to ha	ve stabilized in the	last decade, cha	nges to abundance
		across the	e species	s range wit	thin Montana remai	n unknown. Sign	ificant threa	ts to the persistend	e of this species	remain from
		continued	l impacts	of diseas	e and mortality of a	dults and young	during bree	ding and local migr	ation.	

	0								moist conifer forest				
	Species	Occurr	ences verifie	d in these Countie	es: Mineral								
	State Ra	ink Reas	son: Found o	nly within a small a	rea in western M	ontana alo	ng the Idaho borde	er, little informatio	n exists to assess				
	threats. 7	The intrir	nsic vulnerabi	lity and specific hal	bitat requirement	s of this sp	ecies in combination	on with a small k	nown range make				
	declines												
lethodontidae	G4	S2		Sensitive - Known		SGCN2,	1%	5%	Spring / seep,				
ungless				on Forests (BRT,		SGIN			waterfall, fractured				
alamanders				KOOT, LOLO)					rock				
	Species												
ır	e <u>thodontidae</u> ngless lamanders	threats. declines thodontidae ngless amanders	threats. The intri declines or extirp thodontidae ngless amanders	threats. The intrinsic vulnerabi declines or extirpation within th thodontidae ggless amanders	threats. The intrinsic vulnerability and specific ha declines or extirpation within the state a concern. thodontidae on Forests (BRT, amanders KOOT, LOLO)	threats. The intrinsic vulnerability and specific habitat requirement declines or extirpation within the state a concern. thodontidae gless amanders G4 S2 Sensitive - Known on Forests (BRT, KOOT, LOLO)	threats. The intrinsic vulnerability and specific habitat requirements of this sp declines or extirpation within the state a concern. thodontidae gless G4 S2 Sensitive - Known SGCN2, on Forests (BRT, SGIN amanders	threats. The intrinsic vulnerability and specific habitat requirements of this species in combination declines or extirpation within the state a concern.           thodontidae         G4         S2         Sensitive - Known         SGCN2,         1%           gless         gress         KOOT, LOLO)         SGIN         SGIN	uthodontidae         G4         S2         Sensitive - Known on Forests (BRT,         SGCN2, SGIN         1%         5%				

Fish (Actinop	Fish (Actinopterygii) 2 Species County=Mineral (based on mapped <u>Species Occurrences</u> )													
Scientific Name Common Name TAXA Sort	Family (Scientific) Family (Common)	GLOBAL Rank	STATE Rank	USFWS	USFS	BLM	FWP SWAP	% of Global Breeding Range in MT	% of MT that is Breeding Range	Habitat				
<u>Oncorhynchus clarki</u> <u>lewisi</u> Westslope Cutthroat Trout	Trout	G5T4	S2		Sensitive - Known on Forests (BD, BRT, CG, HLC, KOOT, LOLO)	SENSITIVE	SGCN2		34%	Mountain streams, rivers, lakes				
		Gallatin, O Pondera, State Rai	Glacier, 0 Powell, I 1 <b>k Reas</b> e	Granite, Je Ravalli, Sa <b>on:</b> The W	ied in these Count fferson, Judith Basi inders, Silver Bow, estslope Cutthroat oppulation numbers	in, Lake, Lewis a Teton, Wheatlan trout is currently	nd Clark, Li d ranked "S2'	ncoln, Madison, Me ' in Montana becau	eagher, Mineral, N ise it is at risk due	to very limited				
<u>Salvelinus</u> <u>confluentus</u> Bull Trout	<u>Salmonidae</u> Trout	G5	S2	, -	Threatened, Critical Habitat on Forests (BD, BRT, HLC, KOOT, LOLO)	THREATENED	SGCN2	5%	18%	Mountain streams, rivers, lakes				
		Species ( Missoula,				ties: Deer Lodge	, Flathead,	Glacier, Granite, La	ake, Lewis and Cl	ark, Lincoln, Mineral,				

Invertebrates	– Insects 7 Sp	ecies d	County=	Mineral	(based on mapped	<u>Species Occur</u>	rences)			
Scientific Name Common Name TAXA Sort	Family (Scientific) Family (Common)	GLOBAL Rank		USFWS	USFS	BLM	FWP SWAP	% of Global Breeding Range in MT	% of MT that is Breeding Range	Habitat
BUTTERFLIES	<b>.</b>	1 00		1	1		•		1001	
<u>Euphydryas gillettii</u> Gillette's Checkerspot	<u>Nymphalidae</u> Brush-footed Butterflies			<b>nces verif</b> , Pondera,	ied in these Count Powell	ies: Beaverhead	d, Cascade,	Deer Lodge, Fergu	42% is, Flathead, Gla	Wet meadows cier, Madison,
CADDISFLIES		1		1		1				· · · · · · · · · ·
<u>Rhyacophila newelli</u> A Rhyacophilan	Rossianidae Primitive Caddisflies	G2	S2					50%	1%	Alpine / Mountain streams
Caddisfly		State Ra and/or po with smal	nk Reas	on: This R declining p	ied in these Count hyacophilan Caddis population numbers, lso difficult to identif	fly is currently ra range and/or ha	abitat, makir	ng it vulnerable to e	xtirpation in the s	
Rossiana montana Northern Rocky	<u>Rossianidae</u> Rossianid	G2G3	S2					50%	1%	Forested mountain springs
Mountains Refugium Caddisfly		State Ran and/or po with smal	nk Rease tentially	on: This N declining p ions and s	opulation numbers,	rrently ranked a range and/or ha This species is a	a "S2" Speci abitat, makir a rare, ende	es of Concern in M ng it vulnerable to e mic caddisfly only	xtirpation in the sound in specific	cause of very limited state. Limited sites streams in the Pacific
MAYFLIES										
Caurinella idahoensis	Ephemerellidae Ephemerellid	G3	S2					50%	5%	Small forested mountain streams
Lolo Mayfly	Mayflies	State Ra	nk Reas	on: This Le entially dec	ied in these Count blo mayfly is current lining population nu ic influenced areas of	ly ranked "S2" ir mbers, range ar	n Montana, I nd/or habita	because it is at risk t. This species is a	rare, endemic m	
STONEFLIES	T	1	1		r	-			-	<b>T</b>
Isocapnia integra Alberta Snowfly	<u>Capniidae</u> Small Winter	G4G5	S2					20%	5%	Mountain Streams to Rivers
	Stoneflies	Stillwater State Ra and/or po	, Sweet ( <b>nk Reas</b> itentially	Grass, Yell <b>on</b> : The Al declining p		ently ranked "S range and/or ha	2" in Montar abitat, makir	na because it was t ng it vulnerable to e	hought to be at r	isk due to very limited

Soliperla salish Clearwater Roachfly	<u>Peltoperlidae</u> Roachlike Stoneflies	G2	S2					50%	1%	Small forested mountain streams			
					d in these Counti								
										on exists to assess			
					lity and specific ha			ecies in combinati	ion with a small k	nown range make			
					he state a concern								
										use of very limited			
		and/or p	otentially	y declining po	pulation numbers,	range and/or hab	oitat, makin	g it vulnerable to e	extirpation in the s	tate.			
Soyedina potteri	Nemouridae	G2	S2					33%	5%	Small forested			
	Spring Stoneflies									mountain streams			
Mountains Refugium		Species	occurr	ences verifie	d in these Counti	es: Flathead, Gla	acier, Mine	ral, Missoula, Sano	ders				
Stonefly			ate Rank Reason: The NRMR stonefly is currently ranked "S2" in Montana because it is thought to be at risk due to very limited										
		and/or p	otentially	y declining po	pulation numbers,	range and/or hab	oitat, makin	g it vulnerable to e	extirpation in the s	tate. This species is			
		globally	restricte	d to Montana	and Idaho.								

Invertebrates	Invertebrates – Mollusks 11 Species County=Mineral (based on mapped <u>Species Occurrences</u> )												
Scientific Name Common Name TAXA Sort	Family (Scientific) Family (Common)	GLOBAL Rank	STATE Rank	USFWS	USFS	BLM	FWP SWAP	% of Global Breeding Range in MT	% of MT that is Breeding Range	Habitat			
<u>Colligyrus greggi</u> Rocky Mountain	<u>Hydrobiidae</u> Amnicolas /	G4	S1					20%	5%	Springs, cold mountain streams			
Duskysnail	Duskysnails	State Ran of Concer	n <b>k Reaso</b> n list as sampling	on: Due to S1, critical	ied in these Counti this restricted distrib ly imperiled and extr ferred habitats in the	oution and few k emely vulnerab	nown occur le to extirpa	tion in the state. Or	nly a few occurrer				
Hemphillia camelus Pale Jumping-slug	<u>Arionidae</u> Arionid Slugs	G4	S1S2						2%	Mesic/moist conifer forests			
	-	Species (	Occurre	nces verif	ied in these Counti	es: Lincoln, Mir	neral, Misso	ula, Sanders					
Hemphillia danielsi Marbled Jumping-	<u>Arionidae</u> Arionid Slugs	G3	S1S2					80%	2%	Mesic/moist conifer forests			
slug		Species (	Occurre	nces verif	ied in these Counti	es: Mineral, Mis	ssoula, Rava	alli					
Kootenaia burkei	<u>Arionidae</u>	G3	S1S2					50%	4%	Moist conifer forests			
Pygmy Slug	Arionid Slugs	Species (	Occurre	nces verif	ied in these Counti	es: Lincoln, Mir	neral, Sande	ers					

	<u>Arionidae</u> Arionid Slugs	G3	S2S3					33%	7%	Mesic/moist conifer forests
Magnum Mantleslug	-	Species	Occurren	nces verif	ied in these Counti	es: Flathead, G	ranite, Linco	In, Mineral, Misso	ula, Ravalli, Sano	lers
		Species	Occurre	nces verif	ied in these Counti	es: Granite, Mis	ssoula, Powe	ell		
Oreohelix haydeni	<u>Oreohelicidae</u>	G2	S1S3							Limestone, dry
Lyrate Mountainsnail	Mountain Snails									conifer forests
		Species	Occurren	nces verif	ied in these Counti	es: Granite, Mir	neral, Missou	ula		
Polygyrella	Megomphicidae	G3	S1S2					75%	1%	Moist conifer forests
<i>polygyrella</i> Humped Coin	Coins	Species	Occurre	nces verif	ied in these Counti	es: Flathead, L	ake, Lincoln.	, Mineral, Missoula	i, Ravalli, Sander	s
Pristiloma	Zonitidae	G3G4	S1S3				1			Mesic/moist conifer
	Gems / Glasses /	0304	3133							forests
Shiny Tightcoil	Glosses	Species	Occurre	nces verif	ied in these Counti	es: Deer Lodge	, Flathead, 0	Glacier, Granite, La	ake, Lincoln, Mine	eral
Prophysaon humile	Arionidae	G3	S2S3					50%	12%	Mesic/moist conifer
Smoky Taildropper	Arionid Slugs									forests
		Species	Occurren	nces verif	ied in these Counti	es: Flathead, L	ake, Lincoln	, Mineral, Missoula	i, Ravalli, Sander	S

Udosarx lyrata Lyre Mantleslug	<u>Arionidae</u> Arionid Slugs	G3	S1					50%	2%	Mesic/moist conifer forests			
		Species (	Occurre	nces verif	ied in these Counti	es: Mineral, Mis	ssoula, Rava	alli					
Zacoleus idahoensis	<u>Arionidae</u>	G3G4	S2S3					50%	11%	Mesic/moist conifer			
Sheathed Slug	Arionid Slugs									forests			
		Species Occurrences verified in these Counties: Flathead, Lake, Lincoln, Mineral, Missoula, Ravalli, Sanders											

## Species of Concern 25 Species Filtered by the following criteria County = Mineral (based on mapped <u>Species Occurrences</u>)

	GYMNOSF	PERM (CONIF	ERS) 1 SPI	E <b>CIES</b> co	OUNTY = N	IINERAL (based (	on mapped <u>Spe</u>	cies Occurrences	)
SCIENTIFIC NAME		(SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY	GLOBAL	STATE				MNS THREAT	
TAXA SORT	OTHER NAMES	(COMMON)	RANK	RANK	USFWS	USFS	BLM	CATEGORY	HABITAT
<u>Pinus</u> <u>albicaulis</u> Whitebark Pine		<u>Pinaceae</u> Fir / Hemlock / Larch / Pine / Spruce	G3?	S3	С	Candidate on Forests (BD, BRT, CG, HLC, KOOT, LOLO)	SENSITIVE		Subalpine forest, timberline
			Lodge, Fergus Liberty, Lincoll Silver Bow, St State Rank Re treeline and kr Populations of by past mount which have be negative impa	s, Flathead, n, Madison, illwater, Swe eason: Whi ummholtz h whitebark p ain pine bee en major de cts associat	Gallatin, Gla Meagher, M eet Grass, T tebark pine i abitats. It oc bine in Monta etle outbreak eclines in wh ed with encr	curs in almost all n ana and across mo s and by the introd itebark pine popula	rson, Judith Bas ark, Pondera, Po tland onent of subalpir najor mountain ra st of western No luced pathogen, titons across larg eased competitio	in, Lake, Lweis an owell, Ravalli, Sar ne forests and a c anges of western rth America have white pine blister ge areas of its ran	nd Clark, nders, lominant species of and central Montana. been severely impacted rust. The results of

FLOWERIN	NG PLANTS -	DICOTS (MA	GNOLIO	PSIDA) 1	6 SPECI	ES COUNTY = N	<b>/IINERAL</b> (based	d on mapped <u>Sp</u>	ecies Occurrences
SCIENTIFIC NAME COMMON NAME TAXA SORT	OTHER NAMES	FAMILY (SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY (COMMON)	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	USFWS	USFS	BLM	MNS THREAT CATEGORY	HABITAT
<u>Ageratina</u> <u>occidentalis</u> Western Joepye- weed	<u>Eupatorium</u> <u>occidentale</u> Western Boneset	<u>Asteraceae</u> Aster/Sunflowers	G4	S2	С	Sensitive - Known on Forests (BRT) Sensitive - Suspected on Forests (BD, KOOT, LOLO)			Rock/Talus
			State Rank the extreme climbing at a	Reason: This western part another site ar	peripheral of the state.	Minor impacts ass	a is known from a ociated with a roo	handful of small ck quarry at one l	to large populations in
Delphinium glaucum		Ranunculaceae	G5	S1?					
Pale Larkspur		Buttercup Family	State Rank glaucum (CF to accurately	Reason: Bas PNWH 2015) : y identify this :	ed on the d and in its M species. Sp	ontana County dist	umber of herbariu ribution (Lesica 2 in herbaria outsio	012), there seem	entified as Delphinium s to be an issue in how Il need to be examined
Erigeron grandiflorus		<u>Asteraceae</u>	G5	S1S3					Alpine
Large-flower Fleabane		Aster/Sunflowers				ese Counties: Car ections from Carbor			
<u>Erigeron</u> <u>linearis</u> Linear-leaf Fleabane		Asteraceae Aster/Sunflowers	G5	S2				2	Sagebrush/Grasslands (Foothills to Montane)
			Park, Ravall State Rank localized occ easement. H	i, Sanders, Si <b>Reason:</b> Erig currences. Alr lowever, deve	lver Bow <i>eron lineari</i> nost all pop elopment on	s is a peripheral sp ulations are on fede adjacent lands ma	ecies known from erally-managed la ly fragment some	n a few small and ands or lands und areas of suitable	

<u>Gentianopsis</u> <u>simplex</u> Hiker's Gentian	<u>Gentiana</u> <u>simplex,</u> <u>Gentianella</u> <u>simplex</u>	<u>Gentianaceae</u> Gentians		S2 ccurrences v Sweet Grass	erified in th	Sensitive - Known on Forests (BD, CG) Sensitive - Suspected on Forests (KOOT, LOLO) ese Counties: Be	averhead, Carbo	3 n, Madison, Mine	Fens, wet meadows, seeps ral, Missoula, Park,
			population	levels and trei	nds are unki	na, where it is know nown, though poten ites are likely to be	ntial threats to kn	own populations	appear to be minimal or
<u>Heterocodon</u> <u>rariflorum</u> Western Pearl- flower		<u>Campanulaceae</u> Bellflower Family	G5	S2		Sensitive - Known on Forests (BRT, KOOT, LOLO)		2	Vernally moist habitats
			Ravalli, San State Rank populations population are likely in may impact	nders <b>Reason:</b> Ov s, a few small sizes. Most po fest others. H t H. rariflorum	er a dozen k populations opulations a iking and Ol		, including a half ences that need est lands. Invasive	-dozen moderate further survey wo e weeds infest se	to large-sized ork to document veral populations and is and associated use
Impatiens aurella Pale-yellow Jewel- weed		<u>Balsaminaceae</u> Impatiens	Clark, Mine State Rank considered rare in othe wetlands, a	ral, Missoula, a <b>Reason:</b> <i>Imp</i> uncommon in r counties of y nd rarely app e. Revisits to k	Sanders batiens aure Lake and F western Mor ears abunda	Ila is known from a lathead Counties, ntana. It grows in w int. However, it ma	bout 20 locations where the majori et, often organic y require or persi	documented from ty of observations soil in both distur ist better with son	riparian ripari
<u>Kelloggia galioides</u> Kelloggia		<u>Rubiaceae</u> Bedstraws / Madder Family	G5 Species O State Rank	SH ccurrences v c Reason: Kno	own in Mont	ese Counties: Mir ana from one 1971 est of Alberton and	collection in the		

Lomatium		Apiaceae	G3	S3			SENSITIVE	3	Slopes and Scree (Dry)
attenuatum		Parsley/Carrot			erified in th	ese Counties: Bea		9	Clopes and Corec (Dry)
Taper-tip Desert-		Family							west Montana, with
parsley									on counties. Some
						acts from mining a			
Mimulus clivicola		Phrymaceae	G4	S2?		Sensitive -			
North Idaho		Lopseed Family		-		Known on			
Monkeyflower						Forests (LOLO)			
						Sensitive -			
						Suspected on			
						Forests (KOOT)			
			Species O	ccurrences v	erified in th	ese Counties: Mir	neral, Sanders		•
			State Rank	Reason: See	e rank detail	s.			
Noccaea parviflora	Thlaspi	Brassicaceae	G3	S3				3	Meadows (Moist,
Small-flowered	parviflorum	Mustards							Montane to alpine)
Pennycress			Species O	ccurrences v	erified in th	ese Counties: Bea	averhead, Carbo	n, Cascade, Mad	ison, Meagher, Mineral,
			Park, Silve	r Bow					
									everal southwestern
				is a small, sh	ort-lived plar	nt that likely require	es some disturba	nce to maintain it	s habitat.
Penstemon		<b>Plantaginaceae</b>	G3	S3				3	Rocky slopes (Open,
flavescens		Plantain Family							montane)
Yellow						ese Counties: Mir			
Beardtongue			State Rank	<b>Reason:</b> Re	stricted in M	ontana to the Bitte	rroot Range prim	arily in Ravalli Co	ounty but also
									red in areas of suitable
						n the abundance of			ailed information
					nce, distribu	tion and any poten	tial threats is nee	eded.	-
Ranunculus		Ranunculaceae	G5	S1S2				1	Wetland/Riparian
orthorhynchus		Buttercup Family							(Montane)
Straightbeak					erified in th	ese Counties: De	er Lodge, Flathea	ad, Glacier, Gran	ite, Lake, Mineral,
Buttercup			Missoula, S						
						a, where it is know			
							tion has been ma	de in the past tw	o decades. Additional
			data are ne	eded to deter	mine this sp	ecies' status.			

<u>Ribes triste</u> Swamp Red Currant		Grossulariacea Currants /	G5	S2?					Forest openings (Mesic, montane/subalpine)			
		Gooseberries	State Rank		e in Montar	ese Counties: Bea a, where it is know			ineral, Ravalli western portion of the			
<u>Satureja douglasii</u> Yerba Buena	<u>Clinopodium</u> douglasii	<u>Lamiaceae</u> Mints	G5	S3					Forest (Moist, montane)			
			State Rank	Species Occurrences verified in these Counties: Mineral, Missoula, Ravalli, Sanders State Rank Reason: Rare in Montana, where it is known from several sites near the Idaho border. It is primarily a coastal species, disjunct in western Montana. Population levels appear healthy and may be increasing in some areas.								
<u>Waldsteinia</u> <u>idahoensis</u> Idaho Barren Strawberry		<u>Rosaceae</u> Rose Family	G3	S2S3		Sensitive - Known on Forests (LOLO)			Forests (Ponderosa Pine)			
			State Rank	Reason: Onl rom timber ha	y one know		n National Fores		n is in an area susceptible e stable or perhaps			

FLOWER	ING PLANTS	– MONOCO	TS (LILIOI	PSIDA) 6	SPECIE:	COUNTY =	MINERAL (based	on mapped <u>Spec</u>	<u>ies Occurrences</u> )	
SCIENTIFIC NAME COMMON NAME TAXA SORT	OTHER NAMES	FAMILY (SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY (COMMON)	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	USFWS	USFS	BLM	MNS THREAT CATEGORY	HABITAT	
Calamagrostis		Poaceae	G3	S3					Montane Forest	
tweedvi		Grasses	Species Oc	currences ve	erified in th	ese Counties:	Vineral, Missoula, F	Ravalli, Sanders		
Cascade reedgrass			State Rank	Reason: A s	pecies of lin	ited distribution	and currently consi	dered to be globa	Ily rare. Restricted in	
-			Montana to t	he extreme v	vestern port	on of the state.				
Carex stenoptila		Cyperaceae	G3	S2S3					Grasslands (Montane)	
Small-winged Sedge		Sedges	Species Oc	currences v	erified in th	ese Counties:	Carbon, Gallatin, Ma	adison, Mineral, P	ark, Ravalli, Sheridan,	
		-	Stillwater, Sv	weet Grass.	Teton					
			State Rank	Reason: A d	loballv rare	species, which i	s known from sever	al widely scattere	d locations in Montana.	
			State Rank Reason: A globally rare species, which is known from several widely scattered locations in Montana. Very little data are available for the species in Montana, as the sites are known only from specimen collections with sparse information.							

Cypripedium f <u>asciculatum</u> Clustered Lady's- slipper		<u>Orchidaceae</u> Orchids	G4	S3		Sensitive - Known on Forests (KOOT, LOLO) Species of Conservation Concern on Forests (FLAT)		1	Forests (Montane)
			State Rank is document occurrences	Reason: Clus ted from 10 m	stered lady's oderate to la tions occur o	rge populations, 3 on National Forest	or Montana from historical occurre	the northwest po ences and many a	rtion of the state, where it additional small to the species have
<u>Juncus covillei</u> Coville's Rush		<u>Juncaceae</u> Rushes	State Rank	Reason: Rar	e and periph	ese Counties: Flat eral in Montana. C mountainous portio	urrently known fr		Wetland/Riparian Sweet Grass y a half-dozen widely
<u>Stipa lettermanii</u> Letterman's Needlegrass	<u>Achnatherum</u> <u>lettermanii</u>	<u>Poaceae</u> Grasses	Park, Powel State Rank	ll <b>Reason:</b> Doc	umented fro		s in the southern	portion of the sta	Talus and Grasslands (low-elevation) tin, Madison, Mineral, tte. However, population are lacking.

	BRYOPHYTES (BRYOPHYTA) 1 SPECIES COUNTY = MINERAL (based on mapped Species Occurrences)										
SCIENTIFIC NAME COMMON NAME TAXA SORT	OTHER NAMES	FAMILY (SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY (COMMON)	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	USFWS	USFS	BLM	MNS THREAT CATEGORY	HABITAT		
Homalothecium	Trachybryum	Brachytheciaceae	G4	S1							
megaptilum	megaptilum		Species Occ	urrences v	erified in the	se Counties: Flat	thead, Glacier,	Lake, Mineral, Sand	lers		
Giant Golden Moss				State Rank Reason: In Montana this lichen occurs in a few locations and is not always present where habitat appears to be suitable.							

	LICHI	ENS (FUNGI)	2 SPECIE	<mark>S</mark> COUNTY	= MINER	<b>AL</b> (based on map	oed <u>Species Oc</u>	<u>currences</u>	
SCIENTIFIC NAME COMMON NAME TAXA SORT	OTHER NAMES	FAMILY (SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY (COMMON)	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	USFWS	USFS	BLM	MNS THREAT CATEGORY	HABITAT
<u>Collema curtisporum</u> Pustulate Tarpaper Lichen		<u>Collemataceae</u>	G3	S1		Sensitive - Known on Forests (KOOT) Species of Conservation Concern on Forests (FLAT)			
				Reason: In M		ese Counties: Flath lichen occurs in a f			
Lobaria scrobiculata Textured Lungwort Lichen		<u>Lobariaceae</u>				ese Counties: Lake e location in westerr			