# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

SECRETARY OF LABOR,

Complainant,

v.

Docket No. 98-1535 EZ

CRYSTAL BUILDERS,

Respondent.

Appearance: Patrick L. DePace, Esq.

Office of the Solicitor of Labor

Cleveland, Ohio

For Complainant

BEFORE: MICHAEL H. SCHOENFELD, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

This matter arises under the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, 29 U.S.C. § § 651 - 678 (1970) ("the Act").

On August 18, 1998 the Occupational Safety and Health Administration of the United States Department of Labor (OSHA) issued to Crystal Builders (Respondent) a citation alleging that on August 6, 1998, at its workplace on Granville Street in Gahana, Ohio, Respondent failed to comply with the construction safety regulation at 29 C.F.R. § 1926.501(b)(1) in that it did not ensure that its subcontractor, L. & R. Construction, provide fall protection to employees exposed to falls of greater that 20 feet. Respondent timely contested.

The Chief Administrative Law Judge designated the case for E-Z Trial pursuant to Commission Rule 203(a), 29 C.F.R. § 2200.203(a) and assigned the matter to the undersigned Administrative Law Judge on November 6, 1998. A hearing in the matter was scheduled for 12:00 noon, February 10, 1999 in Columbus, Ohio.

Respondent, without prior notice to the Commission, did not appear at the hearing either in person or through a representative. Complainant's motion for a default decision against Respondent was granted Rule 64(a), 20 C.F.R. § 2200.64(a)..

Accordingl'y, the citation and notification of proposed penalty issued to Respondent on August 18, 1998 are AFFIRMED.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

All findings of fact necessary for a determination of all relevant issues have been made above. Fed. R. Civ. P. 52(a). All proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law inconsistent with this decision are hereby denied.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. Respondent was, at all times pertinent hereto, an employer within the meaning of section 3(5) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, 29 U. S. C. § § 651 678 (1970).
- 2. The Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter.
- 3. Respondent was in violation of section 5(a)(2) of the Act in that it failed to comply with the standards as alleged in Citation 1, Item1.

## **ORDER**

- 1. Citation 1, Item 1 is AFFIRMED
- 2. A Civil Penalty of \$625.00 is assessed.

		Michael H. Schoenfeld	
		Judge, OSHRC	
Dated:		-	
	W 1' D C		

Washington, D.C.