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The Satureia complex has hitherto beef, treated in two ways: either as one large omnibus genus on fa 240 species divided into sections or else these sections raised to generic rank. The recent opinion adopis the former treatment for the New World representaiives and generally the latter treatment for the old World representatives. The present investigation has, therefore, concentrated on the generic limits within the complex to achieve a consistency of treatment on a world basis.

The introductory chapter establishes the place of Satureja S.1. in the Labiatae, outlines the scope of the study, presents a historical review of the complex, and discusses the theoretical concept of the genus and its application to the study of Satureja s.l. It is concluded that historically Satureja s.1. has usually been divided into several genera. The present morphological and anatomical studies also suggest the adoption of a narrow generic concept. A synopsis of the 17 accepted genera is presented: These genera are divided inte 4 groups: the Satureioid genera comprising Satureia L. 5.5., Eontscharovia Boriss. and Euhesperida Brullo \% Furnari; the Micromerioid genera comprising Microneria Eenth., Erenaniella A. Doroszonko and Killickia A. Doroszenko; the Calaminthoid genera comprising Calaminthe Millar, Clinopodium Log Acinos Miller and Cyclotrichium Manden. \& Scheng. genera comprising Gardoquia Ruiz \& Pav., Obtegomeria A. Doroszenko, Montereya A. Doroszenko, Pilohlephis Fafin., Hesperothymus A. Doroszenko, Xenopoma Willd. and Diodeilis Rafin.

A detailed account on leaf anatomy presented in the second chapter shows that anatomical structure provides consistent generic and sectional characters. The Satureioid genera have isolateral palisade parenchyaa, while all the other genera, with fow
exceptions, have dorsiventral palisade parenchyma. In Micromeriag, sections Micromeria, Cymularia and Pineolentia are distinguishable by abundent sclerenchymataus fibros in the mid-vascular bundle, and sections Micromeria and Pineolentia by sclerenchymatous leaf marginal veins. The epidermis, collenchyma, bundle sheath, stomata and glands are of lesser taxonomic importance. The ecological effocts on leaf morphology and anatomy are discussed. A Scanning Electron Microscope study of nutlets revealed few characters of value at the generic levelg but these characters were frequently of use at the specific level. Many of the species nutlets are mucilaginous, but no consistent pattern of myospermy was fand within genera. General discussions on gross floral morphology, pollination syndromes, gynodioecy and chromosome numbers la complete list of literature roports is included) conclude the chapter.

The final chaptor, the Taxonomic Account, includes keys to the genera and spocios, a full synonymy, and citation of types, illustrations and distributions. However, no species descriptions are included except for novelties (Micromeria cingrea, Erenanielle rungwensis, Acinos nanus, Gardoguia improssa and G. cutervopnsis), though data on the states of 70 characters are codifind and presented in an appendix. These data form the basis of a numerical analysis, the results of which broadly support the taxonomis arrangenent adopted here. Nowly croated genera are Brenaniella (a previcusly described but unnamed group of African species hitherto included within Satureia), Killickia (for 3 South African endemics), Obtegomeria (previously Satureia soct. Obtectae Epling \& Jative), Montereya (monotypic, Californian) and Hespergthymus (formerly Satureje sect. Hesperothymus Epling \& Jativa)。 Micromeria sect. Madagascarensis (3 Madagascaran species), 9 sections in Gardoquie, $b$ sections in Xenopona and 2 sections in

Diodeilis are newly described; these are based mainly on vegetative and inflorescence characters. A large number of new combinations are made.

## Acknowledgement

This work was funded by an SERC grant and it is gratefully acknowledged. I thank Frof. F. H. Davis, Edinburgh University, Mr. I. C. Hedge, Royal Botanic Garden, Edinburgh, and Dr. F. M. Smith, Edinburgh University, for their invaluable assistance, Melpful advice and constant encouragement throughout the duration of my studies. I thank Frof. U. Greuter and Dr: Hakki, Eerling Dr. Ro Harley, Kew, and Dr . S. Edwards, Manchester, for assistance during visits to their respective institutess the trustees of the Edinburgh Botanic Garden Trust for funding the visit to Berlin Botanic Garden herbarium and field studies in Spaing the Directors; curators and staff of $E, \mathrm{BM}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{FM}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{Hb}$. Huber-Morath, HUJ, $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ LA in UC, LD, M, MANCH, NY, $P$, UC, W, WU and $Z$ for the loan of specimens. I also thank my parents for their generous financial support, warm encouragement and interest at all times.

2.2 .2 .5 .1 .8
2.2.2.5.2
2.2.2.5.2.1
2.2.2.5.2.2
2.2.2.5.2.3
2.2.2.5.2.4
2.2.2.5.2.5
2.2.2.5.2.6
2.2.2.5.2.7
2.2.2.5.2.8
2.2.2.6
2.2 .2 .7
2.2.2.7.1
2.2.2.7.2
2.2.2.7.3
2.2.2.7.4
2.2.2.7.5
2.2.2.7.6
2.2.2.7.7
2.2.2.8
2.2.2.8.1
2.2.2.8.2
2.2.2.8.3
2.2.2.8.4
2.2 .2 .8 .5
2.2.2.8.6
2.2.2.8.7
2.2.2.9
2.2.2.9.1
2.2.2.9.2
2.2.2.9.3
2.2.2.9.4
2.2.2.9.5
2.2.2.9.6
2.2.2.9.7
2.2.2.9.8
2.2.2.10
2.2.2.10.1
2.2 .2 .10 .2
2.2.2.10.3
2.2.2.10.4
2.2 .2 .10 .5
2.2.2.10.6
2.2.2.10.7
2.2.2.10.8
2.2.2.11
2.2.2.11.1
2.2.2.11.2
2.2.2.11.3
2.2.2.11.4
2.2 .2 .11 .5
2.2.2.11.6
2.2.2.11.7
2.2.2.11.8
2.2.2.12
2.2.2.13
2.2.2.13.1
2.2.2.13.2
2.2.2.13.3
2.2.2.13.4
2.2.2.13.5
2.2.2.13.6
Glands ..... 46
Micromeria sect. Pseudomelissa ..... 46
Epidermis ..... 47
Palisade ..... 47
Spongy mesophyll ..... 48
Collenchyma ..... 48
Eundle sheath ..... 48
Vascular bundle ..... 48
Stomata ..... 48
Glands ..... 49
Killickia A. Dorossenko ..... 49
Brenaniella A. Doroszenko ..... 50
Epidarmis ..... 50
Palisade ..... 50
Spongy mesophyll ..... 51
Collenchyma ..... 51
Vascular bundle ..... 51
Stomata ..... 51
Glands ..... 51
Calamintha Miller ..... 52
Epidermis ..... 52
Palisade mesophyll ..... 52
Spongy mesophyll ..... 52
Collenchyma ..... 52
Vascuiar bundle ..... 53
Stomata ..... 53
G1 ands ..... 53
Acinos Miller ..... 53
Epidermis ..... 54
Palisade ..... 54
Spongy mesophyll ..... 55
Collenchyma ..... 55
Eundle sheath ..... 55
Vascular bundle ..... 55
Stomata ..... 56
Glands ..... 56
Cyclotrichium Manden. \& Scheng. ..... 56
Epidermis ..... 56
Palisade ..... 57
Spongy mesophyll ..... 57
Collenchyma ..... 57
Bundle sheath ..... 57
Vascular bundle ..... 58
Stomata ..... 58
Glands ..... 58
Gardoquia Ruiz \& Pav. ..... 59
Epidermic ..... 59
Palisade ..... 60
Spongy mesophyll ..... 60
Hid-vein region collenchyme ..... 60
Eundle sheath ..... 61
Vascular bundle ..... 6
Stomata ..... 62
Glands ..... 63
Obtegomoriz caprulescons A. Doraszenko ..... 64
Xenopoma Willd. ..... 64
Epidermis ..... 64
Palisade ..... 64
Spongy mesophyll ..... te
Collenchyma at the mid-vein ..... st
Vascular bundle ..... 66
Stomata ..... 67
2.2 .2 .13 .7
2.2.2.14
2.2.2.15
2.2.2.15.1
2.2 .2 .15 .2
2.2.2.15.3
2.2.2.15.4
2.2.2.15.5
2.2.2.15.6
2.2.2.15.7
2.2.2.16
2.2.2.16.1
2.2.2.16.2
2.2.2.16.3
2.2.2.16.4
2.2.2.16.5
2.2.2.16.6
2.2.2.16.7
2.2.3
2.2.3.1
2.2.3.2
2.2.3.3
2.2.4
2.2.5
2.2.5.1
2.2.5.2
2.2.5.3
2.2.5.4
2.2.5.5
2.2 .5 .6
2.2 .5 .7
2.2.6
2.2.6.1
2.2.6.2
2.2.6.3
2.3
2.3.1
2.3.2
2.3 .3
2. 3.3 .1
2.3.3.2
2.3.3.3
2.3.3. 4
2.3.3.5
2.3.3.6
2.3.3.7
2.3.3.9
2.3.3.9
2.3 .3 .10
2.3.3. 11
2.3.3.12
2.3.3.13
2.3.3.14
2. 3.3 .15
2.3.3.16
2.3.4
2.4
2.4.1
2. 4.2
2.4.3

Glands 67
Piloblephis riqida Rafin. 68
Hesperothymus A. Doroszenko 69
Epidermis $\quad 69$
Palisade 69
Spongy mesophyll 70
Collenchyma at the mid-vein $\quad 70$
Vascular bundle 70
Stomata 70
Glands 70
Diodeilis Rafin. 71
Epidermis 71
Palisade and spongy mesophyll 71
Collenchyma at mid-vascular bundle 72
Bundle sheath 72
Mid-vein bundle 72
Stomata 73
Glands 73
Summary of anatomical data obtained by G. Ertem 73
Table 2.12 legend 73
Table 2.12. Anatomical data 74
Figures (Ertem) 75
Figures (Doroszenko) B2
Taxonomic value of leaf anatomy 104
Epidermis 104
Mesophyll 104
Collenchyma 107
Bundle sheath 109
Vascular bundle \& sclerenchymatous tissue 100
Stomata 110
Glands 111
Ecological effects on leaf morphology anatomy 112
Leaf fall 113
Indumentum and epidermis 114
Mechanical tissue 118
Fruit morphology 121
Introduction 121
Method 121
Results 123
Satureja 5.5. 123
Gontscharovia 124
Micromeria sect. Micromeria 124
Micromeria sect. Cymularia 124
Micromeria sect. Pseudomelissa 125
Erenaniella 126
Killickia 126
Calamintha 126
Clincpodium 126
Acinos 126
Cyclotrichium 127
Gardoguia 127
Xenopoma 127
Diadeilis $\quad 128$
Hesperothymus $\quad 128$
Piloblephis 129
Discussion 129
Nutlet mucilage iJ3
Introduction 133
Method 133
Results 133
2.4.3.1 Acinos ..... 134
2.4.3.2 Calamintha ..... 13A
2.4.3.3Clinopodium135
2.4.3.42.4.3.5
2.4.3.6Diodeilis135
2.4.3.7Gardoguia
2.4.3.8
Hesperothymus ..... 136
Killickia ..... 136
2.4.3.92.4.3.102.4.3.112.4.3.122.4.3.132.4.3.14
Micromeria sect. Cymularia ..... 136
Micromerie sect. Mirromeria ..... 136
Micromeriz sect. Psoudomoliss르 ..... 137
Brenaniella ..... 137
Piloblephis ..... 137
Saturoia ..... 137
Xenopoma ..... 138
2. 4.4 Discussion ..... 138
2.5 Chromosomes ..... 141
2.5.1 Setureigid genera ..... 141
2.5 .2 Micromerigid genara ..... 142
2.5 .3 Calaminthoid genera ..... 143
2.5.4 American genera ..... 144
2.5.5 List of chromosome literature reports ..... 145
2.6 Gross floral morphology ..... 155
Corolla Iips ..... 155
Corolla tube ..... 155
2.6 .22.6.32.6 .42.6 .52.6.6
Corolla tube vasculatura ..... 156
Stamens ..... 156
Styla ..... 157
Corolla hairs ..... 157
2.7 Pollination syndromes ..... 157
Coralla tube length and colour ..... 158
Flower alighting places ..... 159
Guide marks ..... 159
Corolla texture ..... 160
Coralla resupination ..... 160
Zygomorphy ..... 161
2.8 Gynodi oecy ..... 162
2.8.1 Genetic basis ..... 162
2.8.2Morphological effects163
2.8.2.12.8.2.22.8.2.3
2.B.2. 42. 8.2 .5
2.9 .2 .6Satureia E.5.164
Euhesperida ..... 164
Micromeriz ..... 165
Prenaniella ..... 165
Killickie ..... 16tDi Ecussion166

3.1 Generic key ..... 158
3.2 Satureioid genera ..... 174
3.3 Satureja L. ..... 175
3.3.1 Key to species ..... $17 \%$
3.3 .2 S. montana ..... 182
3.3.3
3.3.4
3.3 .5
3.3.6
3.3 .7
3.3.8
3. 3.9
3.3 .10
3. 3.11
3.3 .12
3.3 .13
3. 3.14
3.3. 15
3.3 .16
3.3. 17
3.3 .18
3.3 .19
3.3. 20
3.3 .21
3.3. 22
3.3.2.3
3.3.24
3.3. 25
3. 3.26
3. 3.27
3.3.28
3.3.29
3. 3.30
3. 3.31
3.3.32
3.3.33
3.3 .34
3.3.34. 1
3. 3.34 .2
3. 3.34 .3
3. 3.34 .4
3.4
3.4.1
3.5
3.5. 1
3.5 .2
3.5 .3
3.6. 1
3.6 .2
3.6 .2 .1
3.6.2.1.1
3.6.2.1.2
3.6 .2 .1 .3
3.6.2.1.4
3.t.2.1.5
3.6.2.1.6
3.6.2.1.7
3.6.2.1.8
3.6.2.1.9
3.6.2.1.10
3.6.2.1.11
3.6 .2 .1 .12
3.6 Micromeria Benth. 215
S. cuneifolia 186
S. obovata 188
S. cilicica 188
S. miedemanniana i89
S. parmassice 189
S. atho르 190
S. pilosa $\quad 191$
S. icarica 191
S. amani 192
S. 5pinosa 192
S. mutica 192
S. baissieri 193
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S. bachtiarica } & 193\end{array}$
S. sahendica 194
S. metastasiantha 194
S. edmondii 195
S. isophylla 195
S. runelica 195
S. intermedia 196
S. macrantha 196
S. bzybica 177
S. aintabensis 197
S. azarbaijanica 198

Sa spicigera 198
S. coerulea 199
S. thymbra 179
S. salzmannii 200
S. hortensis 201

Addendum 202
Nomina dubia 203
Nomina rejecienda 204
The separation of S. cuneifolia Ten.
and So obovata Lag.
Maximum leaf length 206
Apex leaf shape 206
Caly\% punctate glands 207
Calyx tube and teoth length 207
Gontscharovia Boriss. 211
G. popovii 211

Euhesperida Brullo Furnari 213
Key to species 214
E. linearifolia 214
E. thymbrifolia 214

Key to sections 216
Sect. Micromeria 217
Micromeria in Macaronesia 217
Key to species 218
M. teneriffae 220
M. forbesii 221
M. helianthemifolia 222
M. rivas-martinezii 222

M。glomerata 223
M. lanata 223
M. benthamii 224
M. tenuis 224
M. lepida 225
M. varia 226
M. hyssopifolia 227
3.6 .2 .1 .13
3.6.2.1.14
3.6.2.1.15
3.6.2.1.16
3.6.2.1. 17
3.6.2.2
3.6.2.2.1
3.6.2.2.2
3.6.2.2.3
3.6.2.2.4
3.6.2.2.5
3.6.2.2.6
3.6.2.2.7
3.6.2.2.8
3.6.2.2.7
3.6.2.2.10
3.6.2.2.11
3.6.2.2. 12
3.6.2.2.13
3.6.2.2. 14
3.6.2.2.15
3.6.2.2.16
3.6.2.2.17
3.6.2.2.18
3.6.2.2.19
3.6.2.2.20
3.6.2.2.21
3.6.2.2.27
3.6.2.2.23
3.6.2.2.24
3.6 .2 .2 .25
3.6 .2 .2 .26
3.6.2.2.27
3.6.2.2.28
3.6.2.2.27
3.6.2.2.30
3.6.2.2.31
3.6.2.2.32
3.6.2.2.33
3.6.2.2.34
3.6.2.2.35
3.6 .2 .2 .36
3.6.2.2.37
3.6.2.2.38
3.6 .2 .2 .39
3.6 .2 .2 .40
3.6.2.2.41
3.6.2.2.42
3.6.2.2.43
3.6.3
3.6.3.1
3.6.3.2
3.6.3.3
3.6. $\frac{4}{4}$
3.6.4.1
3.6 .5
3.6.5. 5.1
3.6.5. 2
3.6 .5 .3
3.6.5.4
3.6.5.5
3.6. ${ }^{2} 6$
M. herpyllonorpha $\quad 228$
M. lachnophylla 228

M lasiophylla 229
Mo densiflora 230
Hybrids 231
Sect. Microneria, excluding Macaronesia 233
Key to species 233
M. filiformis 239

Mamicrophylle $\quad 240$
Mo acropolitana 240
M. hispida 241
M. marqinata $\quad 241$
M. croatica 242
M. nervosa 242
M. macrosiphon . 243
M. fontanesii 243
M. beilleri 244
M. debilis 245
M. hochreutineri 245
M. brivesii 246
M. conferta 246

Mo myrtifolia $\quad 247$
M. iuliana 247
M. cristata $\quad 248$
M. kerneri 250
M. cremmophila 250
M. parviflora 251

Me elliptica 252
Mopersica 252
M. cypria 253

Mo sinaica 253
M. hedgei 253
M. qraeca 253
M. fruticuloca 256

Ma inodora 257
The "biflora" complex 257
M. cinerea 260
M. longiflora 261
$M_{\mathrm{a}}$ serbaliana $\quad 261$
M. imbricata 261
M. contardoi 252
M. ellenbeckii 263
M. ovata 263
M. punctata 263

Me purtschelleri 263
M. quartiniana 233
M. remota 264
M. schimperi 264
M. biflora var. hispida 264

Sect. Pineolentia 265
Key to species 265
M. pineolens 265
M. leucantha 266

Sect. Cymularia 237
M. cymuligera 267

Sect. Pseudomelissa 268
Key to species 2c8
M. fruticose 271
M. congesta 274

Mo eilicica 275
M. thynifoliz 275
M. libanotica 276
3.6.5.7 7
3.6.5.8
3.6.5.9
3.6.5. 10
3.6.5. 11
3.6.5. 12
3.6.5.13
3.6.5. 14
3.6 .5 .15
3.6.5.16
3.6.5. 17
3.6.5.18
3.6.5. 19
3.6.5. 20
3.6.5. 21
3.6 .6
S.6.6. 1
3.6.6.2
3.6 .6 .3
3.6.6. 4
3.6 .7
3.6 .8
3.7
3.7 .1
3.7 .2
3.7 .3
3.7 .4
3.7 .5
3.7 .6
3.7 .7
3.7 .8
3.8
3.8 .1
3.8.2
3.8 .3
З.8. 4
3.7
3.9 .1
3.9 .2
3.9 .3
3.9 .4
3.7 .5
3.9 .6
3.9 .7
3.9 .8
3.9 .9
3.9 .10
3.9.11
3.9.12
3.9 .13
3.9.14
3.9 .15
3.9 .16
3.9 .17
3.9 .18
3.9 .19
3.9 .20
3.9 .21
3.9 .22
M. nummularifolia
M. tayqetea 277

Mo carica 277
M. mollis 277
M. dalmatica 278
M. dolichodenta 279
M. pulegium 279
M. frivaldszkyana 280
M. abyssinica 280
M. hydaspidis $\quad 281$
M. capitellata 281
M. euosma 282
M. barosma 282
M. Hardii 203
M. nepalensis 283

Sect. Madaqascarenses 284
Key to species 284
M. madagescarensis 285
M. flagellaris 285
M. sphaerophylla 285

Nomina dubia 286
Nomina excludenda 287
Brenanielle A. Doroszenko 299
Key to species 290
B. robusta 291
B. masukuensis 291
B. myriantha $\quad 291$
B. vernayana 292
B. cacondensis 292
B. जellmannii 272
B. runqwensis 293

Killickia A. Doroszenko 295
Key to species 296
K. pilos르 276
K. comparta 296

Ko qrandiflora $\quad 297$
Calamintha Miller 278
Koy to specios $\quad 279$
C. grandiflora 303

C: betulifalia 304
C. tauricola 305
C. pamphylica 305
C. piperelloides 506
C. sylvatica 306
C. ngpeta 309

C: rouyana 313
C. candidissima 314
C. cretica 314
C. incana 315
C. kilimandschari 315
C. uhligii Ji6
C. paradoxa 316
C. qracilis 316
C. micrantha $\quad 318$
C. confinis 318
C. ussuriensis $\quad 319$
C. debilis $\quad 320$
C. piperita $\quad 320$

Nomina dubia et rejecienda 321
3.10
3.10 .1
3.10 .2
3.10 .3
3.10 .4
3. 10.5
3.10 .6
3.10 .7
3.11
3.11.1
3.11 .2
3.11 .3
3.11 .4
3.11.5
3.11 .6
3.11 .7
3.11 .8
3.11 .9
3.11 .10
3. 11.11
3.11 .12
3.12
3.12.1
3.12.2
3.12.3
3.12. 4
3. 12. 5
3.12.6
3.12 .7
3.12.8
3.12.9
3.13
3.13.1
3. 13.2
3.13.2.1
3.13.2.2
3.13.2.3
3.13.2. 4
3.13.2.5
3.13.2.6
3.13.2.7
3.13.2.8
3.13 .2 .9
3.13.3
3.13. 3.1
3.13.3.2
3.13.3.3
3.13.3.4
3.13.3.5
3.13.3.6
3.13. 4
3.13.4.1
3.13 .5
3.13.5. 1
3.13.5.2
3.13.5.3
3. 13.5. 4
3.13.5.5

Clinopodium
324
Key to species 327
C. vulqare 328
C. atlanticum 333
C. Chinense . 3K3
C. unbrasun 335
C. macranthum 337

Momina excludenda et nomina dubia 338
Arinos Miller 342
Key to species 34.3
A. alpinus 344
A. suaveolens 349
$A_{0}$ arvensis $\quad 350$
A. Potundifolius 351
A. nanus 353
A. troodi 356
A. corsicus 357
A. Simensis $\quad 357$
A. pseudasimensis 358

Nomina excludenda 359
Nomina dubia 359
Cyclotrichium Manden. \& Scheng. 360
Key to species 361
$C_{\text {a niveum }}$ S62
C. hausslenechtii $\quad 362$
C. depauperatum 363
C. origanifolium 363
C. straussii 3b4
C. l purctrichum $\quad 364$
C. longiflorum 305
C. stamineum 365

Gerdoquia Ruiz \& Fav. 36s
Key to sections 371
Sect. Gardoquia 372
Key to =pecies 373
G. multiflora $\quad 374$
G. elliptica 374
G. macrostena $\quad 374$
G. ialiscana 376
G. iunctionis $\quad 377$
G. mexicana 377
G. Seleriana 378
G. maderonsis 378

Sect. Tonentosae 379
Key to speries 380
G. acutifolia 380
G. tomentose 38i
G. cercocarpoides 381
G. pulchella 382
G. flabellifolia JES

Sect. Anonales 383
G. sphenophylla 3gS

Sect. Saricifoliae 3E4
Key to species J85
G. sericea 385
B. argentea 386
G. Elivorum 386
G. sericifolia 387
3.13.5.6
5.13.5.7
3.13 .6
3.13.6. 1
3.13.6.2
3.13.6. 3
3.13.6.4
3.13 .7
3.13.7.1
3.13 .7 .2
3.13 .7 .3
3.13.7. 4
3.13.7.5
3.13.7.6
3.13.8
3.13.8. 1
3.13.8.2
3.13.8.3
3.13.8.4
3.13.8.5
3.13.8.6
3. 13.9
3.13 .9 .1
3.13. 10
3.13 .10 .1
3.13.11
3.14
3.14.1
3.15
3.15 .1
3.16
3.16 .1
3.17
3.17.1
3.17 .2
3.17 .3
3.18
3.18.1
3.18 .2
3.18.2.1
3.18.2.2
3.18 .2 .3
3.18.2.4
3.18.2.5
3.18.2.6
3.18. 3
3.18 .3 .1
3.18.3. 2
3.18. 3.3
3.18. 3.4
3.18.3.5
3. 18.3.6
3.18.3.7
3.18. 4
3.18.4. 1
3.18.4.2
3.19.4.3
G. improssa

387
E. revoluta 388

Sect. Plicatae 398
Key to species 389
G. microphyl1a 389
G. striata 390
G.plicatula 390

Sert. Texifoliae 390
Key to species 391
G. tanifolia 392
G. woberbaueri 392
G. cutervoensis 393
G. obovata 394
G. qilliesii 394

Sect. Pallidae 395
Key to species 396
G. vargasii 396
G. doningensis 397
G. pallida 377
G. mathesansi i 397
G. incana 398

Sect. Discolores 398
G. discolor 399

Sect. Micromerioides 399
G. micromerioides 397

Nomina excludenda 400
Obtegomeria A. Doroszenko 401
Q. caerulescens 402

Montereya A. Doroszenko 403
M. mimuloides $40 \frac{\pi}{4}$

Piloblephis Rafin. 405
P. rigida 400

Hesperathymus A. Doroszonko 407
Key to species 409
$H$. brownoi 409
$H_{2}$ douqlasii 410
Yenopona willd. 411
Key to sections 414
Sect. Xenopoma 415
Key to species 415
$X$ Viminea 415
$x$. ekmaniana a1t
X. bucheri 417
$X$, alpestris $\quad 417$
X. schusteri 419

Sect. Prostratae 419
Key to species 417
$X$. nubigena 420
$X_{0}$ tenella 421
名。 qrisea 421
Xo dartainii 421
$X_{0}$ brevicalyn 422
$X$. vana 422
Sect. Spicatao 423
Key to speries 424
X. fasciculata 424
x. mutabilis 424
3．18．5 Sect．Yenothymus ..... 425
3．18．5．1 Key to species ..... 425
3．18．5．2 关口 bolivianum ..... 426
3.18 .5 .3 X．odorum ..... 427
3．18．5．4Xo axillare427
3．18．5．5 X。gilliesii ..... 428
3．18． 6 Sect．Pauciflorae ..... 428
3．18．6．1 Key to speries ..... 427
$x_{0}$ ganderi ..... 429
$x_{0}$ chandleri ..... 429
3．19．6． 3430
3．18． 7Sect．Sphenostachys430
3．18．7．1X．eylindristachys
431
3．18．8 Nomina excludenda
431
3.19 Diodeilis Rafin．
3.19 .1 Key to specios ..... 433
3.19 .2 Sect．Diodeilis ..... 434
3．19．2．1 D．coccinea ..... 434
3.19 .2 .2 D．ashei ..... 4.35
3．19．2．3 D．dentata ..... 4.35
3.17 .3 Sect．Herbaceae ..... 436
3．19．3．1 D．arkansana ..... 436
3．19．3．2 D．Glabella ..... 437
3．19．3．5 D．georgiana ..... 4.38
3．19．3．4 D．procumbens ..... 439
3．19．3．5 D．amissa ..... 439
Appernaix
The characters used in the data tables ..... 440
Character data tables ..... 474
Numerical analysis ..... 499
Computer programs ..... 499
Results and discussion ..... 497
Satureioid genera ..... $47 \%$
Micromerioid genera ..... 500
Calaminthoid genera ..... 501
American genera ..... 502
Dendograms ..... 504
Computer program listings ..... 514
Bibliography ..... 530

### 1.1. Introduction

This chapter introduces Satureia 5.1. in the context of the Labiatae as a whole. Since the time of Linnaeus Species Plantarum (1753) there has been little dispute about the affinity of the broad group here called Satureia 5.1. However, within this group there has been much disagreement, primarily on generic limits. Therefore the group of interest here is clearly indicated and terms such as "Satureja 5.1.", "Satureja s.s." and "Satureioid genera" are defined below. A short outline of the scope of the thesis is also presented.

The differences of opinion on generic limits has is roots in the history of discovery in Satureia s.l. and the viewpoint of various authors. Clearly Linnaeus in Scandinavia would have seen the group differently from Kunth who assessed the Humboldt and Bonpland collections from South America. With this in mind a detailed historical discussion is presented.

The final section of this chepter deals with the concept of the genus, both in the theoretical context and in its application to the study of Satureja s.1.

### 1.2. The Place of Satureja S.1. in Labiatae

In Linnaeus Species Plantarum (1753) the Class Didynamia Gymnospermia, equivalent to much of the modern-day Labiatae, was not divided into suprageneric taxa. Species now included in Satureia s.1. ware distributed anongst Satureja L., Clinopodiun L., Thymus L. and Melissa L. The latter three were placed consecutively, with Oriqanum amongst them, while Satureja was placed some distance away, separated by many genera noes considered not to be closely related to Satureia s.1.

Bentham in his Labiatarum (1832-35) divided the family into eleven tribes (Ocimoideae, Menthoideae, Monardeae, Satureineae, Melissineag, Scutellarineae, Prostanthereae, Nepeteae, Stachydeae, Frasieae, Ajugoideae) with Satureja s.l. divided amongst Satureineae and Melissineae. These tribes were circumscribed as follows (genera which contain species which are now considered to belong to Satureja 5.1 . are preceded by an asterisk):

## Satureineae

Calyx 5-toothed, oqual or bilabiate to 3/2. Corolla tube exannulate, calyx or bracteoles imbricating, shorter than or scarcely longer, limb sub-bilabiate, upper lip flat, entire or emarginate to bifids lower lobes entire. Stamens straight, divergent or scarcely ascending, equal or upper shorter or abortive. Anthers not divided.


## Melissineae

Caly: 13-, rarely 10 -nerved, 5-toothed, bilabiate to $3 / 2$ or rarely equal. Caralla tube exannulate, little exserted, limb bilabiate, lips flat or the upper (in Glechon) galeate. Stamens ascending, upper shorter or abortive.

Hedeoma, * Micromeria, * Melissa, * Gardoquia, Glechon, Keithia, Thymbra, Dicerandra, Pogoqyne, Lepechinia.

Tho division of Satureia 5.1. amongst two tribes was abandoned in favour of a single tribe, Satureieae, in Benthams second revision of Labiatae in De Candolles Prodromus (1848). He dividad the tribe into four sub-tribes plus a group of anomalous genera, with the following arrangement (the asterisk again indicates

```
species of Satureia 5.1.):
```


## Sub-tribe Elsholtzieae

Stamens 4. Anther locules confluent, at the shedding of pollen ovate-reniform or orbicular.

Pogostemon, Dysophylla, Colebrookia, Tetradenia, Elsholtzia

Corolla sub-actinomorphic. Stamens spreading, never connivent. Anthers bilocular.

Perille, Preslia, Mentha, Lycopus

Sub-tribe Thymeae
Corolla bilabiate (lips sometimes obscure), inside of tube naked. Stamens distant or divaricate, never connivent. Anthers bilocular.


## Sub-tribe Melisseae

Corolla bilabiate, inside of tube naked. Stamens 4 or 2, ascending from the base and divergent at the apices; or connivent arctuate-ascending under the upper lip (rarely sub-parallel?). Anthers bilocular. Genera very characteristically very exquisitely distinct [exquisitis distinctal.

* Satureja, * Micromeria, \# Calamintha, \# Gardoquia, Thymbra, Melissa, Dicerandra, Peqoqyne, * (1 species with a query) Hedeoma, Keithia,


## Anomalous genera

Glechon, Hyssopus, Collinsonia, Sphacele, Cuminia, Dekinea, Lepechinia, Horminum

Subsequent revisions of the supra-generic taxa have remained basically the same. Most differences have concerned the rank of undisputed groups. Briquet (1896) recognised a much more broadly circumscribed tribe Stachydoideae divided into 12 sub-tribes. This tribe is more or less Benthams Satureieae, Monardeae, Nepateae and Stachydeae united into one. Briquets sub-tribe Satureieae was divided into 5 groups: A. Melissinae, B. Hyssopinae, C. Thyminae, D. Menthinae, and E. Perillinae. The Melissinae was composed of the following genera: Ziziphora, Hedeome, Hedeomoides, Melissa, Satureja, Saccocalyx, Kurzanra, Conradina, Pogoqyne, Ceranthera, Thymbra and Amaracus, in that order. Satureja 5.1. thus became one large omnibus genus.

### 1.3. Definition of tawa

The foregoing has given some idea of the position of Satureia 5.1. in the family. The close relationship of the American genus Hedeoma should be particularly noted. From hera Satureja S.1. can be more closely defined and the scope of the study outlined.

The shortened synopsis of the whole group given below will aid in understanding the terms used.

Old World genera
Satureioid genera

1. Satureja
2. Gontscharovia
3. Euhesperida

Micromerioid genera
4. Micromeria
5. Brenaniella
6. Killickia
7. Calamintha
B. Clinopodium
9. Acinos
10. Cyclotrichium

Satureja 5.1. refers to the entire group of genera listed above, be they thought of as distinct genera; as is my opinion, or thought of as being one genus. Thus Satureja 5.1. is equivalent to Satureja L. $_{\text {. }}$ sensu Briquet (1896). A description of the broad group is as follows:

Satureia 5.1.
Habit very diverse but mostly perennial shrubs. Leaves very diverse. Calyx tubular to obconical, tube straight to curved, hairy or naked in throat, 10-15-narved; 5-toothed, 3 upper and 2 lower; upper teeth usually shorter than lower, rarely united, straight or curving upward; lower teeth nearly always straight, rarely curving upward, ciliate or not. Corolla tube longer than lobes, annulate or not, rarely resupinate; upper lip nearly always emarginate, rarely
entires lower lip divided into threg lobes, sometimes the middle one bifid; stamens 4, didynamous, anterior pair longer, ineluded within corolla upper lip or shortly exserted, sometimos long-exserted beyond corollag filaments nearly always glabrous; thecae 2, without appendages, mostly divergent, sometimes parallel. Style gynobasic, stigma lobes 2 , equal or unequal.

Satureja 5.5. = Satureja L. sensu Bentham in the Prodromus (1848) excluding sect. Pycnothymus ( $=$ Piloblephis here). The Linnaean genus Satureia $L$. (type species S. montana L.) also included species of Micromeria. Satureja 5.5. is equivalent to sect. Tragoriganum Benth. sensu Briquet (1896) and half of sect. Sabbatia Briq. (1896), the names hortensis to bachtiarica inclusive. All species following bachtiarica belong to Micromeria.

Satureioid, Micromerioid and Calaminthoid genera are explained by reference to the synopsis above. "American genera" is used interchangably with "New World genera" and includes those numbered 11 to 17 in the synopsis. The American genera may be thought of as a single, distinct group of similar rank as the Satureigid, Micromerioid and Calaminthoid genera.

Satureja $L$. (without qualification) is the generic name as used by various authors where no indication of scope is given.

### 1.4. Outline of the scope of the study

The most important aim of this study was to provida an up-to-date, worldwide account of Satureja s.l. The number of species has increased by $80 \%$ since the last worldwide revision (Briquet, 1896), from 130 species to approximately 240 species. There is much more known of distribution, anatomy, pollen, chromosomes, gynodioecism, breeding systems, pollinators, etc., and much improved instrumentation to study these. A new revision was therefore very necessary.

At the specific level, and in arranging these into species groups, there has been broad agreement. However the rank that these species groups should be given has produced the most argument. Therefore, this revision is biased toward an investigation of the generic limits within Satureia s.1. The madern differences of opinion persist mainly because of a restricted viewpoint of Satureje 5.1.g since most recent investigations have been linked to the writing of Floras. However, these differences have their roots in the quite different approaches to the problem by two nineteenth century botanists, Eentham and Eriquet, who both took on the immense task of summarising the relationships in the entire family Labiatae. These differences of opinion still persist today, so that two different generic arrangements are being used simultaneously in different parts of the world. Clearly this situation is unsatisfactory. Thus one of the main parts of this study was to investigate this controversy.

With such a large group, very little experimental work was possible. Therefore the bulk of the study was concerned with a "traditional" morphological herbariun investigation. After preliminary observations of character variation and study of the literature, a list of important taxonomic characters was compiled. For each species every character on the list was investigated and
the information codified in a large table. This rather laborigus procedure ensured that every species was studied in a comparable manner and that a thorough knowledge was gained of all the species. Where difficulties had arisen in the past, e.g. for the Satureja montana complex and the Calamintha gracilis group, further, more deteiled morphological studies wore made.

Preliminary work by G. Ertem (unpublished data) showed that there were important differences in leaf anatomy in Satureja 5.1. Hand-sections prepared for a large number of species confirmed the taxonomic importance of leaf anatony at the generic level. There are also important ecological implications arising from these results.

The nutlets of 67 species ware studied with the Scanning Electron Microscope for surface micro-characters and 148 species tested for mucilage. Both investigations revealed characters of minor importance at the generic level.

No attempt was made to investigate chemical constituents since varieties of the same species vary greatly in composition Genova s Balinova, 1970), and also composition changes during the day and from season to season.

Chromosome investigations were also not attempted because of a lack of living material of those species which noeded investigating most, ioe. the American species. Lack of time was also a factor. However, a large number of counts were drawn from the literature.

Gynodicerism is very common in the Labiatas. Therefore, particular attention was given to the fertility of the flowers to find out the extent of gynodioecism in Satureja s.l. This investigation is particulary important in the discussion on ralating the 4-staminate genera of the Satureja group with the closely related 2-staminate genera of the Hedeona group. The study shows that the ralationship is closer than hithorto thought. A
discussion of the generic basis and evolution of gynodioecism reveals the importance of pollinators. Measurements made in many species show that there is a marked reduction in size in all of the floral parts in female flowers. Dissection of the corollas of all the species has indicated how length of the tube, width of the throat, the position and density of hairss size and position of stamens and colour is apparently adaptive to particular pollinators.

Because of the large number of species in Satureia s.l. the formal taxonomic account has had to be shortened into a synoptic form. A goneric key and keys to the species within genera are of the bracketed type, again for the sake of compactness. I heve attempted to make the synonymy as comprehensive as was practical, so that all relevant names of sub-specific rank and above have been included. A few important varietal names have also been included. The exclamation mark notation indicating personal examination of type material has been adopted. Species descriptions wera originally included in the thesis. However, the bulk of the thesis was becoming so great that it was felt the descriptions had to be omitted. The data tables and keys compensate somewhat for this onission. Descriptions are provided for new species only. A list of the specimenc seen for each species has also been omitted for reasons of excessive bulk.

The data tables formed the basis of a numerical analysis. Computer programs were written to input the datag calculate similarity values for all pairs of species using the general coefficient of Gower (1971), and clustering of specios by group pairs sorting. Generally, the results of the numerical analysis agree with those obtained using traditional, intuitive methods.
1.5.1. Introduction

Setureia 5.1. as it is understood today, is a very large group. Obvicusly the component species were not all discovered at once, so the known species at the time of a revision strongly influenced the generic treatment. Since the group is so large the historical details of discovery of new species, a species placement in the existing arrangements of the time, and modifications in the light of new discovery are very complex. In this historical review I hope to simplify the complexities so that the trends in generic arrangement are emphasised. Nevertheless, since there have been so many wide differences of opinion, which I feel cannot be glossed over, the reader may find this history rather difficult to follow. I would encourage constant reference to the shortened synopsis above and the synopses of Benthams Labiatarum (1834) and Prodromus (1848).
1.5.2. The Earliest Years (1753-1825)

It was inevitable in the early years of scientific taxonony that the species sent to Linnaeus or known by him personally would not allow an accurate assessment of the Plant Kingdom as a whole. Linnaeus Speries Plantarum (1753) included only 9 species belonging to Satureja $5.1 . g$ i.e. under $4 \%$ of the now known species. Nevertheless his generic arrangement is very interesting. Four genere are of interest: Satureia $L_{o g}$ Clinopodium $L$ g, Thymus $L$. and Melissa L.
(a) Satureia L. included 9 species: 3 belong to Satureia 5.5.9 2 to Micromeria Benth. sect. Micromeria, and the rest are now placed in Pycnanthemum, Thymus and Cunila. Note that these last three genera were placed in the tribe Satureineae along with Saturoia in Benthams Labiatarum (1834).
(b) Clinopodium $L$. comprised 3 species: the very common and widespread $C_{\text {a }}$ vulqare L. and species now placed in Pycnanthemum and Hyptis.
(c) The eight species of Thymus L. included two species now placed in Acinos Miller.
(d) Melissa $L$. included four Calamintha species and one of Micromeria sect. Pseudomelissa.

This taxonomic arrangement was more or less maintained in subsequent editions of Species Plantarum and Linnaeus Systema Vegetabilum into the nineteenth century, though anomalous species were removed and newly discovered species added.

Satureia L. was placed some distance from the other three genera. The 13th. edition of Systena Vegetabilum (1774) distinguished the two groups thus:

Caly: $\pm$ equal, 5-toothed
Satureja L.
Calyx $\pm$ bilabiate
Thymus Lo, Clinopodium Lo, Melissa Lo
This division is equivalent to the following:

1. Satureia La, Micromeria Benth. sect. Micromeria
2. Clinoodium L., Calamintha Miller, Acinos Miller

Micromeria Benth. sect. Pseudomelissa
The 4th. edition of Species Plantarum edited by milldenow (1800) included 23 species belonging to Satureja $5.1 . \bar{s}$ all but two were Mediterranean in origin. Ths preponderance of Mediterranean species had a clear effect: since the European species groups wore so easily discernibles these groups were described as genera, and whet is termed here the "narron generic concept" was established in the Old World.

Before proceeding with a discussion of developments in the nineteenth century, two important works of the eighteenth century
must be commented upon. These support a narrow generic concept in the Old World. The first is Millers abridged 4th. edition of The Gardeners Dictionary (1754). The tamonomic importance of this work was quite ignored until Druce (1914) drew attention to it. Miller Validly published 260 new generic nemes in the Dictionary, amongst them Acinos and Calamintha. The Linnaean system of binomials was not used consistently (not until the 8th. edition of 1768) but the extensive polynomial synonymy in Species Plantarum helped considerably to identify the Miller species. Miller included four genera of Satureja $5.1 .:$ Acinos, Calamintha, Satureia (sic) and Clinopodium. The first three genera are, as far as I can ascertain, circumscribed exactly as they are in Flora Europaea (1972), i.e. in a narrow, strict sense. Satureia sensu Miller is equivalent to Satureja 5.5. as defined above under "Definition of Terms", i.e. without the inclusion of Micromeria. Clinopodium sensu Miller is rather more confused, including Clinopodium sensu L.g an Acinos species, a Nepeta L.g a Fycnanthemum, and three other unidentified species. Millers arrangement is taxonomically superior to Linnaeus even though the Dictionary was never intended to be a comprehensive compilation of all plants. As far as I can ascertain none of Millers species belonging to Satureja 5.1. are American.

The second important work I would like to highlight is Moenchs Mothodas Plantas (1794). This is really a local Flora but is remarkable in that the taxonomic arrangement is exactly equivalent to that of Flora Europaea (1972). Moench used the Linnaean binomial system but deliberately did not use Linnaean names; he used Tournefortian ones wherever possible. This resulted in numerous illegitimate names as defined by the present Code of Botanical Nomenclature. His generic arrangement of Satureia s.l. consisted of Sazureia, Sabbatia Moench, Clinopodium, Acinos and Calamintha. Moench separated Satureja sensu L. (1753) into Satureia 5. 5. and

Sabbatia. Sabbatia Moench, equivalent to Micromeria Benth. sect. Micromeria, is illegal since it is a later homonym of Sabbatia Adans. (1763) in the Gentianaceae.

Discovery of new American Satureia s.1. was not entirely static during the latter half of the eighteenth century. Ruiz \& Favon (1794) validly published Gardoguia without descriptions but with a clear illustration of Gomultiflora. This species was quite distinct from anything known of Satureja s.1. in the Old World. Kunth in Eonpland \& Humboldts Nova Genera et Species Plantarum (1817) added 10 more species to this Central and South American genus: Xenopoma was proposed by Willdenow in 1811 to accommodate an anomalous species ( $\underline{X}$. viminea) which had been rather uncomfortably placed in Satureia since the 2nd. edition of Species Plantarum (1764).

In sumary, by the first quarter of the nineteenth century the generic arrangement was as follows:
Satureia sensu Miller or Moench or Satureia sensu L.
Sabbatia Moench

| Clinopodium sensu L. |
| :--- |
| Calamintha sensu Miller or Moench or sub Melissa sensu L. |
| Acinos Sensu Miller or Moench |
| Gardoquia Ruiz \& Pavon |
| Thymus sensu L. |
| Xenopoma Willd. |

The number of species of Labiatae increased rapidly through the period 1753-1825, but the arrangement of successive editions of Species Plantarum and Systema Vegetabilum remained basically the same. Little account was taken of the innovations of Miller, Moench, Ruiz \& Pavon, Persoon (1807), and others. So by the 16th. edition of the Systema (1825) edited by Sprengel the taxonomic treatment looks decidedly old-fashioned and very inadequate. The poor treatment of Thymus is a case in point.

### 1.5.3. Order from Disorder (1826-1836)

The Labiatae had become so disorganised that Lindley (1829) complained that the Labiatae had "become the disgrace of Botany". It was around 1826 that Bantham began proliminary studies toward a complete revision of the family. Benthams Labiatarum Genera et Species was published in 13 parts between 1832 and 1835. The tribal arrangement of the Labiatarum has already been outlined above. It would be beneficial now to take a closer look at the generic treatment since the Labiatarum really is the beginning of the modern phase of Labiate taxonomy.

In the following synopsis of the genera comprising Satureja s.l.g the comments in square brackets indicate the modern status of the sections and species. The number in round brackets is the number of species.

Tribe Satureineas
Satureia L.

Sect. 1. Satureia (6) [= Satureia s.5. S. Fupestris (=Micromeria thymifolia) also placed here was thought intermediate between Satureja and Melissa (Calamintha)]

Sect. 2. Traqoriqanum (1) [5. thymbra only]
Sect. 3. Pycnothymus (1) [=Pilablephis. Bentham had his doubts about the placement of this section in Satureja]

Tribe Melissineae
Micromeria Benth.

```
Sect. 1. Hesperothymus (6) [=Hesperothymus mihi, plus one species of Diodeilis (D. qlabella) and a species of Conradina]
```

Sect. 2 Piperella

```
Sub-sect. Gerontogeae (21) [= Micromeria sect.
Micromeria]
Sub-sect. Australasicag (3) [all belong to Mentha]
Sub-sect. Americanag (3) [= Xenopoma]
```

Sect. S. Foseudomelissa (2) [= Micromeria sect. Fseudomelissa]

## Melissa L.

Sect. 1. Calamintha (6) [5 species = Calamintha, $1=$ Micromeria sect. Pseudomelissal

Sect. 2. Calomelissa (1) [= Diodeilis]
Sect. 3. Acinos (6) [= Acinos Miller]
Sect. 4. Clinopodium (5) [i species = Clinopodiung 3 species $=$ Calamintha, $i$ specias $=$ Cyclotrichium $]$

Sect. 5. Meliphyllum (4) [= Melissa S.5.]
Sect. 6. Macromelissa (2) [1 species = Calaminthe, 1 species = Gardoquia]

Sect. 7. Heteromelysson (1) [= Calamintha]
Gardoquia Ruiz \& Pavon (22) [= Gardoquia]

In notes after his description of Micromeria Bentham says that this new genus is closely allied to Satureia and Melissa. After the description of Melissa he writes:
"The circumscription of this [Melissal and the nearly related genera of Hedeoma, Micromeriag Gardoquia, and Keithia is attended by much difficulty; and it might, perhaps, have been a clearer classification, if the whole had been considered as forming one extensive genus, as in the case of Salvia, Hyptis, Teucrium, etc."
and also
"I am fully aware that there are many species which may nearly as well placed in either of two or more sections or genera:"

Note how Benthams treatment compares with the shortened synopsis of the arrangement adopted in this thesis. Bentham has Satureioid, Micromeriaid (but includes the Amarican Xenopoma, Calaminthoid, and the American genera (represented by the large genus Gerdoquia). He also places Satureia Lo distant from the rest of the genera, maintaining the opinion of Linnaeus (1753).

### 1.5.4. Consolidation and Clarification (1836-1848)

Bentham with his Labiatarum now complete had a solid foundation upon which to base further revisions. Problems that had arisen were now much easier to deal with. New species could be more easily placed within the framework of the family.

Discovery of new species continued apace during the years between the Labiatarum and Benthams second account of Labiatae in De Candolles Prodromus (1848). Notabi? amongst the many publications during this pericy is Webb \& Berthelots monumental Phytologia Canariensis (1844) which added a large number of Micromeria spacies. Proninent also wore Eoissiers Diagnoses published in parts between 1842 and 1859.

Major changes were made in the treatment of Satureja s.1. in the Prodromus. The most important are the placement of the entire Satureja s.l. complex into the same tribe (Satureieae) and the removal of the Calaminthoid genera and Calomelissa from Melissa L . Other major changes are:
a) Satureja
i) S. rupestris is removed to Micromeria sect. Pseudomelissa.
ii) Although the sectional arrangement remains there is still doubt about S. riqida Eartr. which Bentham says may be related to Pogogyne. There is indeed a clear similarity in habit, but in leaf structure especially there is a marked difference.
b) Micromeria

The sectional arrangement has been rearganised.
i) Sect. Piperella - 39 of the 41 species belong to

Micromeria sect. Micromeria, and one to Micromeria sect. Pseudomelissa (M. capitellata). Eenthan placed M, staninea in a sub-group of its own based on the long-exserted, divergent stamens, noting the similarity to Calamintha sect. Clinopodium. This species and Ma oriqanifolia were later Pemoved to Calamintha sect. Cyclotrichium Boiss. by Boissier (1879). Sub-sect. Australasicae is renoved to Mentha.
ii) Sect. Kenopoma - This is equivalent to Micromeria sect. Piperella sub-sect. Americanae of the Labiatarum. Bentham adds $H$. bonariensis here, which he says may belong to Hedeona $[=\hat{H}$, multiflorum (Irving, 1980)].
iii) Sect. Hesperothymus - As in the Labiatarum but with Mo glabella removed to Calomelissa.
iv) Sact. Pseudomolissa - Remains as defined in the Labiatarum but now with seven species.
c) Calamintha

Bentham adopts the arrangement first proposed by Miller (1754) by combining the Calaminthoid genera into ons genus. He divides the genus into 5 sections: Calamintha, Calomelissa, Acinos, Clinopodium and Heteromelysson. These sections are circumscribed in a similar may as in the Labiatarum, though of the six species included in sect. Calomelissa, two species actually belong to Gardoquia and one to Conradina. Bentham also notes that the suffrutescent species of sect. Calomelissa are similar to sect. Gardoquia and the herbaceous species to Microneria.
d) Gardoquia

Other than in a change in the sequence of the species, the circumscription is the same as in the Labiatarum.

Four distinct genera are thus again adopted in the Prodromus, even closer to the generic groupings of Satureioid, Calaminthoid, Micromerioid, and American genera than the treatment in the Labiatarum.

### 1.5.5. Building on the Foundation (1848-1896)

In this part of the history of Satureja s.1. I shall briefly described the period between De Candolles and Engler \& Prantls encyclopaedic works, highlighting the major developments on the foundation that Bentham laid.

There was no work to challenge Benthams treatment until Kuntzes Revisio Genera Plantarum (1891). However, Kuntzes treatment did not really catch on. Briquets account in Engler \& Prantls Naturlichen Pflanzenfamilien (1896) was much more influential.

Before discussing these two major works some mention must be made of Boissiers Flora Grientalis (vol. 4, 1879). This very importent regional Flora had a clear effect on the treatment of Satureja 5.1. by Briquet (1896). Baissier followed Benthan by placing all the Old World Satureja s.l. into 3 genera: Satureia, Micromeria and Calamintha. Satureja sensu Boiss. is equivalent to Satureja 5.5. The genus was divided into 5 sections based primarily on calyx labiation and nervation. Micromeria was divided into 3 sections: sect. Cymuligera, for one small Turkish annual, sect. Eumicromeria (sect. Micromeria to be nomenclaturally correct), and sect. Pseudomelissa. Calamintha mas also divided into 3 sections: sect. Eucalamintha, i.e. sect. Calemintha, sect. Cyclotrichium (the name refers to the characteristic annulus of hairs in the corolla tube), and sect. Acinos. Calamintha sensu Boissier is thus equivalent to my own Celaminthoid genera shifted down in rank.

Aymans Sylloge Florae Europeae (1855), though published only a short time after the monumental Prodromus, shows a great deal of independent thought. Thymeae sensu Nyman (1855) is similar in concept to Satureieae Bentham (1848), containing Horminum, Melissa, Clinopodium, Satureja, Origanum, Thymus, Thymbre and Hyssopus. His cifcumscription of Melisca is similar to Benthans original concept in the Labiatarumg and Satureja sensu Nyman goes back to the old Linnaean idea of uniting Satureia E. 5. with Micromeria.

In Nymans Conspectus Florae Europeae (1881) all the tribes other than Thymeae remain virtually unchanged. Thymeae sonsu Nyman (1881) now accomodates Origanum, Thymus and Thymbra only, and a nov tribe, Melisseae, is created to encompass Hyssopus, Horminum, Melissa, Clinopodium, Calamintha, Micromeria and Saturoia. Calaminthe is divided into 2 sections, Eucalamintha and Acinos, and Micromeria is divided into 3 sections, Pseudomelissa, Eumicromerieae and Piperellae As we will see later, this arrangement had a clear effect on Briquets treatment (Briquet, 1896).

All the works cited above are European. This is mainly because little of note was going on the in the New World studies that concern Satureja. However, one cannot pass on without mentioning the labours of Asa Gray His Synoptical Flora of Morth America (1886) is a useful summary of his ideas. Satureie sensu A. Gray (1886) $=$ Satureia sensu Bentham (1848); Micromeria sensu A. Gray (1886) includes sect. Hesperathymus Benth. (1848) only; Calamintha is somewhat different in including only two sections: sect. Clinopodium to accomodate the introduced Co calamintha $[=\text { Clinopodium vulqare } L .]_{g}$ and sect. Calamintha which combines European introductions of Calamintha 5.5. and the American species belonging to genera I am here calling Diodeilis and fonteroye. Up to this point the impression may have been given that

Briquet was the first to unite the Satureja complex into one genus, i.e. Satureia s.1., and that there was no precedent for this arrangement. In fact Kuntze (1891) beat Briquet to it. Kuntze, however, argued in favour of Clinopodium $L$. rather than Satureia $L$. and listed all the species here included within Satureia 5.1 . under the generic name Clinopodium. The reader is referred to the taxonomic account of Clinopodium for further details on this nomenclatural difference of opinion. But let it be said here that if a broad view is taken Satureia would have been preferable to Clinopodium. Satureja L. was always more broadly circumscribed than Ciinopodium $L$. fron Linnaeus Species Plantarum (1753) until after Eenthams account in De Candolles Prodromus (1848).

Without question Briquets account of Satureia s.1. has been the most influential after Bentham. In order to justify his very broad view of Satureja 5.1., Briquet launches into an attack of Benthams treatment of the Melissinae. He writes:
"Bentham has seized on a criterion in the classification of the genera in the Melissinae like none other in the rest of the family. Had he considered his Monardeane, Ajugoideage and Dcimoideae with the same principles, groups like Salvia, Teucrium and Hyptis should have been split into numerous generag which would have been at least better delimited against one another than for example Micromeria, Satureja, Gardoquia and Calamintha. Having decided on which principle one would use in the differentiation of the genera in a family one must logically stick to it. Then it is of the greatest importance, Bentham also accepting these principles, that because there is a gradual transitional series, obligate relationship must be placed in one genus. It is easy to see that if one later separates that kind of systematically related group into different genera, one gives the reader the completely wrong idea of the existing inter-relationship of the different groups. Practicality is also not gained by this differentiation of the genera, since during determination a species can sometimes be placed between 2, 3 , or even 4 genera because of doubt and in each of these separated groups one must apply it in a very uncertain manner."

These remarks are totally unfair and somotimes completely
inaccurate. Bentham did not "seize on a criterion" at all. As
has already been mentioned Bentham recognised that it may be
better to consider Melissa, Hedeoma, Micromeria, Gardoquia and Keithia "as forming one extensive genus, as in the case in Salvia, Hyptis, Teucrium, etc.", and was fully aware of the limitations of his 1834 revision. Obviously after more than a decade of extra study Bentham decided that he was justified in keeping these genera separate in the Prodromus. One cannot compare the method used to divide up Satureia 5.1. with Salvia, Teucrium, Hyptis, etc. since they have evolved differently and have different patterns of variation. To say that Salvia may be split into several genera which would be better delimited than Micromeria, etc. is debatable. Salvia may at first appear to be divisible into distinct genera, but modern opinion generally concludes that there are too many intermediates to justify splitting the genus. As for "because there is a gradual transitional series, obligate relationship must be placed in one genus", this can be taken too far. As for practicality, Eriquets arrangement does not really improve matters: rather I would say that the relationships within the complex becone more obscure.

Let us now consider in more detail Briquets arrangement of Satureia 5.1. to see whether it is any better than that of Bentham. Satureia sensu Briquet is equivalent to the four genera of Benthams Prodromus, Satureja, Micromeria, Calamintha and Gardoquia, united into one large omnibus genus, divided into 14 sections. The circunscription of these sections show a curious mixture of good original thought and complete lack of eppreciation of the ideas of various authors. I shall take each of the 14 sections in turn, in the order Briquet had them, and discuss their similarity to previous accounts.
i) \& ii) Sect. Tragoriqanum Benth. and sect. Pycnothymus
Benth. are as defined in the Labiatarum.
iii) Sect. Sabbatia Briq. is equivalent to Setureje sensu $L$.
(1753), i.e. Satureia E.5. and Micromeria sect. Micromeria united. Thus Eriquet completely misses the significance of Sabbatia Moench (1794), which was to separate Satureja 5.5. from Micromeria sect. Micromeria.
iv) Sect. Cymularia Briq. = Micromeria sect. Cymularia Boiss.
v) Sect. Piperella Briq. is quite different from Micromeria sect. Piperella Benth. Benthams section is equivalent to Micromeria sect. Micromeria whereas Briquet has craated a section to accomodate just two species, S. piperella and 5 . croatice. Sect. Piperella Briq. is very flimsily distinguished from sect. Sabbatia and is not very consistent with the rest of his sectional treatment.
vi) Sect. Cyclotrichium $=$ Calamintha sect. Cyclotrichium Boiss. Briquet clearly spells out the resupinate nature of the corolla.
vii) Sect. Xenopoma $=$ Micromeria sect. Xenopoma Benth. It seems that Briquet was not able to examine all the species in this section since $S$. bonariensis is still included here even though Bentham had long before suggested this may belong to the diandrous genus Hedeoma.
viii) Sect. Hesperothymus $=$ Micromeria sect. Hesperothymus Benth. The misplaced species of Conradina in Benthams account is removed to its correct genus by Briquet.
ix) Sect. Gardoquia. Briquet follows Benthams account in the Labiatarum (1834) very closely.
x) Sect. Pseudomelissa combines the accounts of Micromeria sect. Pseudomelissa of Bentham (1848) and Eoissier (1979).
xi) There is a good piece of original thought in Briquets treatment of section Calamintha. He shows here a rather broad concept for $\underline{\text { So calamintha (mostly drawn fron his Labiees des }}$ Alpes Maritime, 1891) and a narrower concept for the rest of
the species.
xii) Sect. Calomelissa is divided into three sub-sections: Glabellae $[=$ Herbaceae Benth.], Coccineae $[=$ Fruticosae Benth. $]$ and Mimuloideae [ $=$ Montereya mihi].
xiii) Eriquet has a broad species concept in sect. Clinopodium.
xiv) Briquets broader species concept than Bentham in Acinos brings it into line with modern thinking.

### 1.5.6. Modern Times (1896 to the present)

The claim by Briquet that a clearer appreciation of the affinities between the various species groups of Satureia s.1. is obtained by his treatment is a very debatable point. Rather it seems to me that all the modern day confusion about Satureia s.1. stems directly from his work. In 20th. century Floras most American and Africen writers have followed Briquet, while most of the rest of the world have stuck with Benthan. There has been a more serious result of Briquets work: since Satureja sensu Eriquat is conveniently vague, some authors when in doubt about affinitios have dumped species into Satureja However, I have a great deal of sympathy with these authors since it a daunting task indeed to assess such a large group as Satureja 5.1.

The important 20 th. century works are too numorous to list, $s o$ I have selected a few which indicate the trends most clearlyo

The most prominent author by far on the American scene has been Carl Epling. Not only did he produce accounts of the entira South American Labiatae, he also wrote many generic revisions, including two of Satureja for South America (Epling, 1927; Epling \& Jativa, 1966) and ono synoptic account for North Amorica (1966). Epling followed Briquat in uniting all the American species into one genus, Satureja, divided into eleven sections: Gardoquia,

Kenopoma, Calomelisse, Hesperothymus, Pycnothymus, Discolores, Anomales, Sphenostachys, Obtectae, Occidentalis and Arenosae. The first five sections have been discussed earlier and are similar to Briquets account. The other six sections each accommodate just one anomalous species. A number of authors, mainly of North American State Floras, have tried to place their species of Satureja s.1. into Micromeria, Calamintha or Clinopodium, e.g. Jepson (1926), Shimners (1961) and Small (1903), following the account of Grays North American Flora. However, the restricted number of species covered by these works decreased their influence quite considerably. Nearly all State Floras in the last twenty years have followed Epling.

Though a European author writing in a European publication, Briquet (1896) had remarkably little impact on European authors after him. The great majority have retained the arrangement of Bentham (1848). Flora Europaea (1972) includes Satureia s.5., Acinos, Calamintha, Clinopodium and Micromeria, all understood in a narrow strict sense. A similar treatment has been adopted in Flora of Turkey (Davis, 1982), Flora Iranica (Rechinger, 1983), Flora U.R.S.S: (Shishking edeg 1954), the Botanical Survey of India (Mukerjee, 1940), and Flora Sinica (1977), the most influential in their respective areas. Thus recent flora writers in the whole of Eurasia have adopted a common opinion of Satureja s.1.

In Africa the situation is a little more complicated. Baker in Flora of Tropical Africa (1900) adopted Benthams narrow generic concept. Unfortunately there have been no African Floras with such a wide geographical coverage since. The Floras of French colonias in North Africe have been mostly directly influenced by Eriquet, e.g. Negre (1962), Quezel \& Santa (1963), whereas many other African Floras, though also adopting one genus, Satureja $5.1 .$, have done so because of a very restricted number of specias within their
aroe and the consequent difficulties of placing these in the context of the whole Satureia complex worldonide. Amongst this second group are accounts by Hutchinson \& Dalziel (1963), Binns (1968), Brenan (1954) and Killick (1961).
1.6. Defining the Generic Limits within Satureja 5.1 .

### 1.6.1. The Theoretical Concept of the Genus

Thoughts and objects are being constantly organized by us all into convenient "pigeonholes" so that they may be synthesized into a coherent thought pattern or heirarchy of association. The question that arises here is: is the genus just one of these conceptual "pigeonholes", convenient for organizing data, or is it, in the biological context, of greater significance than this?

There is basically a three-fold answer to why the genus as a category is used. Firstly, the genus describes and gives recognition to the pattern of variation in the group of concern. Secondly, it implies naturalness of relationship and, in biological systems consanguinity. Thirdly, and just as importantly, it is a practical system to assist identification and communication.

Expanding on the first of these points, it is plain that the way that the genus is defined depends on the variability of the characters and their correlation with one another in the group concerned. One genus may have extraordinary variability in habit and other vegetative features yet is relatively invariable in floral morphology, e.g. Gardoquia, whereas in another, e.g. Satureje 5.5.g vegetative and floral features are much less variable. Therefore one cannot devise a formula to distinguish the genus from species, tribe or family. This is self-gvident when one considers the processes which produce these categories. The question of whether the processes which produce species or sub-species are the same as those which produce genera, families, etc. was addressed by Stebbins (1971). He showed that various character differences were diagnostic at specific, generic, familial and ordinal levels. For example the perianth biseriate versus uniseriate is diagnostic at the specific level with Sagina
nodose versus 5 . decumbens, at the generic level with Aqrimonia versus Sanquisorba (Rosaceae), and at the familial level with Portulacaceae versus Chenopodiaceae. Many other examples are given. The implication is that the processes which produce species, genera, families, etc. are the same since the patterns of diversity are the same at all levels and that there are no peculiar characteristics of any of the categories. This cannot be tested experimentally for the higher categories, since the time required for the major groups to become recognizable takes too long. Howeverg the well known processes responsible for evolutionary diversity at the sub-specific level, i.e. gene mutation, genetic recombination, chromosomal rearrangenents, etc. and then selection of these, are, by implication, applicable to all levels because of the similarities in the patterns of diversity at all levels. So a genus or family arises when a particular combination of characters becomes so successful that it is retained in a series of related evolutionary lines that have become diversified in various directions.

Numerous definitions of the genus have been proposed and it would be useful to cite a faw of these. Legendre \& Vaillancourt (1969) asserted that the genus is "a monophyletic group of species that occupies a given adaptive zone". Legendre (1971) changed this definition to "a group of species with a genetic information content sufficiently similar that occupies a given adaptive zone". This is a significant change. Although the development of the genus must be understood in evolutionary terms the circumscription of a genus must be determined on phenetic similarity. The concept of phyletic closure, i.e. where all species descended from a common ancestor must be included in the genus, would be impossible in most cases to apply or be certain of. Legendre (1971) points out that a biological classification is not intended to be a perfect
representation of an evolutionary tree. In reality the genus, or any biological taxong is a compromise. It is impossible to adequately represent an evolutionary tree, which is a multi-dimentional system, by a two-dimentional taxonomic heirarchy. A similar compromise must also be made if a phenetic approach to classification is adopted. Cain (1956) defines the genus as "a natural group of species, monophyletic, and arbitrarily delimited". As was indicated above, since no formula can be devised l would agree that the genus is arbitrarily delimited. Porter (1959) also agrees here, stating that "no rule of thumb that will always apply can be set down". As for the genus being monophyletic one would agree that ideally this would be the case. But how can one guarantes the genus will be monophyletic? The short answer is: one cannot. However, a genus is more likely to be monophyletic if an extensive phenetic study of the component species has been carried out so that true homologies of characters may be discovered, uncovering parallelisms and convergencies. The final definition I would like to discuss is that of Mayr (1969), who has a phylogenetic concept of the genus. His definition is: "A category for a taxon including one species or a group of species, presumably of common phylogenetic origin, which is separated from similarly related units (genera) by a decided gap, the size of the gap being in inverse ratio to the size of the unit (genus)." I would agree with Legendres essessment of the definition (Legendre, 1972) that knomledge about the common phylogenetic origin is based on cladistic, unverifiable assumptions and that the determination of the size of the genus is entirely up to the worker. Love (196.3) also points out that the size of genera is no good indication of degree of artificiality. A large genus may be very heterogeneous but not necessarily so, and heterogeneity is also met with in small genera. However, I would not agree with Love that "whereas
hybridization is possible between species of a genus, hybridization between genera should be excluded". Though he does temper this by saying that frequent natural hybridization between genera implies the genera are not well defined and should be revised, and easy aftificial hybridization does not necessarily mean they are in the same genus, I would not agree that hybridization is in any way more "reliable" than morphological information. Knobloch (1972) refers to a list of 2993 intergeneric hybridsg and one interfamilial hybrid, with about half showing some fertility. Even considering that some are spurious, these figures are probably an undorestimate, since only a small fraction of plant species has been investigated. Therefore hybridization between genera (or lack of it) should not be over-emphasized.

Al though the genus is impossible to absolutely dofine (Sherff, 1940), this is not a strong arguement to abandon the use of the taxon. It is a simple device to put a limit on certain known variation. Similarlyg the species. The notion that the species is the "basic" taxonomic unit seems to me illogical. A "basic unit" implies that the species may be absolutely defined; but the species cannot be absolutely defined, just $a s$ the genus cannot be absolutely defined. The taxa of species, genus, family, etco are just increasingly inclusive groupss but since they are in some manner described and defined on the known variation are very useful in summarizing that variation and to indicate naturalness of rolationship. This leads on to the third point made above about the genus being a practical system for identification and communication. Linnaeus was the first to use the binomial system consistently. The two names were to be used as an alternative to a short diagnosis, the first part boing the generic name which was also the first word in the diagnosis, and the second part being a descriptive name usually chosen not from Linnaeus diagnosis, but
was either drawn from various earlier works, or describes some conspicuous feature, or its distribution. The practicality of this simple system is shown by its rapid adoption by taxonomists after Linnaeus first edition of Species Plantarum (1753). However, Sherff (1940) quotes L. H. Eailey who says "tan would have gained much in simplicity of literatureg in clarity and of popular usageg if we had a mononymy or other arrangement instoad of a taxonomic dionymy". I would agree with Sherff that this statement is probably true, but a mononymy gives no immodiate indication of relationship, so the advantages of the binomial system as outlined above far outweigh its disadvantages as implied by Bailey.

### 1.6.2. The Principles of Studying Genera

To engender any confidence in a gengric revision or study, the entire group throughout its range must be investigated. In many revisions too many unnecessary splits of genera have been made because of a festricted viewpoint of the variation of that ganus. As much data as possible should be compiled on morphology, anatomy, cytology, geography, etc. The interpretation and method of assessment of these data have caused most of the recent discussion in taxonomy. Taking a rather simple view there are basically two schools of thought: the pheneticists and the phylegeneticists. The phenetic school forms groups based on the overall resemblance of taxa, based on as many, equally weighted characters as possible. The groups thus formod do not imply any evolutionary pathway. The phylogenetic school tries to construct evolutionary pathways inferred from a taxons present characteristics, and those supposed to be of most recent common descent are used to form groups. Both purport to shov natural relationships. Duncan (1980), who is an avowed cladist, lists several weaknesses of the phylogenetic method. He states that it is not possible to produce a unique
cladogram since, as there is frequent use of contemporaneous organisms onlyg there are many uncertainties and difficulties in estimating the direction of evolution of characters. Phenetic classification makes no a priori assumptions of primitiveness of characters or of the direction of evolution of a group. In phenetic taxonomy primitive characters and possible evolutionary pathoays are proposed after a classification has been devised. Even then such a proposed pathuay is in most cases highly circumstantial. Phenetic tanonomy thus seems to me to be the more satisfactory approach.

Any study of a genus should take account of the generic concepts in the rest of the family and aim for a consistency of treatment as much as possible. This does not mean, however, that similar importance must be placed on certain characters in every genus. Each genus has diversified in a characteristic way, independent of another genus. However, since two closely related genera are probably of common phylogenetic origin they tend ta vary in certain characters in a similar manner. This is very clearly seen in Satureia s.1. and is the basis of most of the differences of opinion in interpretation of the group. The main problem is parallel and convergent evolution between the genera within the Satureia complex.

Some taxonomists believe that the genus should have at least one diagnostic character so that it may be clearly delimited. This is really a typological concept which has its roots in Aristotelian logic that looked for the "ossence" of things, an approach which Linnaeus adopted. Such a typological concept is not compatible with phenetic taxonomy which looks for overall similarity based on as many unweighted characters as possible. The former idea is a monothetic one, whereas phenetic classification is based on polythetic principles, where no single character is essential for a
species, for example, to be included in a genus, but that a group of species which has been grouped together on account of overall similarity circunscribes the genus. This is essentially a synthetic approach. Briquet comments in his revision of Satureja s.1. in Naturlichen Pflanzenfamilien (1896), "that because there is a gradual transitional series, obligate relationship must be placed in one genus." He then gives some examples of characters which, when taken on their own, indeed show a transitional series from one genus to another. For example, he says that Micromeria is supposed to be differentiated from Satureja 5.5 . by the former having a 13-nerved calyx and the latter only 10 , and then states that the difference is trivial since there is a complete series beween 10 -nerved and 13 -nerved depending upon where the intercostal veins unite. The fact that he picks on certain characters as being not good to separate genera suggests that his view was that a genus should have at least one character which is diagnostic of it, and if they do not then two supposed genera should be united. Eentham, on the other hand, by his many comments in the Labiatarun (18.34) on genera or sections appearing natural, must have been guided more by overall similarity than by diagnostic characters alone. It is interesting to note that Eriquet almost never gives much description of leaves and habit, with nearly all of the description of corolla and caly*. However, it is frequently the case that the most obvious characteristics of genera and sections recognised by various authors are in the leaves, eng. the conduplicate leaves of Satureja 5.5. and the thick-margined, entire leaves of Micromaria sect. Micromeriag but it must be noted here that these taxa have been formed not on these characters alone but by overall similarity. Briquets work has had a very unfortunate effect on later workers in the group, an effect which Briquet himself strove to avoid, i.e. to give "the reader the completely arong idea of the
existing relationship of the different groups". Flora writers especially have been very inconsistent in the treatment of the group. In America and Africa for the most part workers have followed Briquet, whereas elsewhere workers have followed Bentham. This may seem to be inevitable considering the usually restricted viewpoint of Flore writers. Therefore this new revision will hopefully point the way to a more consistent worldtide monographic treatment.
chaprer 2


### 2.1. Introduction

Of the very few anatomical studies on the Satureia comples. most have been about one or a fet species from a specified region (e.g. Caballero, Jimenez \& Perez de Paz, 1978) or incidentally as part of a wider survey (e.g. Heinrichter, 1884). These earlier studies do not concentrate on the taxonomic importance or significance of anatomy solely within Satureja s.l. The following account will attempt to gather together all the available information on leaf and nutlet anatomy for Satureja s.1.

### 2.2. Leaf Anatomy

There has been some reservation about the significance of leaf organization in view of the many reports of large structural differences in the same species of sun and shade leaves McDougall \& Penfound, 1928; Stalfelt, 1956) and mesic and xeric leaves (Starr, 1912). Various environmental factors contribute to leaf xeromorphy, including heat, cold, wind, light intensity, and soil nutrients (Sheilds, 1950). The keromorphic adaptions most commonly exhibited by leaves include reduced size, high volume to surface area, leaf loss in the dry season, revolution or folding, increased density of hairs, increased number of glandular hairs, stomata in cavities or grooves often lined with hairs, thickening of cuticle, thickening of epidermal cell walls, enlargement of epidermal cells, strong development of palisade mosophyll, increase in cell layors of palisade mesophyll, decrease in intracellular volume in spongy mesophyll, occurrence of a hypodermis, abundant dovelopment of sclerenchyma, collenchyma and xylem, thickening of vessel cell walls, reduced size and number of hydathodes, and an increase in the stomatal frequency (Russell, 1895; Maximov, 1929; Evenari,

1938; Oppenheimer, 1960; Pyykko, 1966; Parker, 1768; Rudall, 1980). The illustrations in the papers by Starr (1912), McDougall \& Fenfound (1928) and Stalfelt (1956) show, however, that although shade and sun leaves have these above-mentioned differences, the pattern of the tissues nearly always remains the same. Leaves with a dorsiventral arrangement of palisade mesophyll (e.g. fig. 2.7) in shade or mesic forms nearly always remains so in sun or xeromorphic forms. Rarely there is a transition to an isolateral pattern of palisade mesophyll (e.g. fig. 2.41) in sun and xeric forms, g.g. in Populus deltoides Marsh. and Prunus virginiana L. (Starr, 1912). Leaves with isolateral palisade in mesic forms probably remain so in xeromorphic forms.

Heinrichter (1884) noted that Satureja mutica Fisch. \& Mey. had an isolateral arrangement of palisade parenchyma. Etienne (1930), however, showed that the Micromeria species he investigated all had dorsiventral palisade mesophyll. Preliminary anatomical data on 36 species of Satureja 5.1. obtained by G. Ertea (unpublished; summarized in Table 2.12) also revealed clear differences in mesophyll composition and mid-vein bundle structure. It was these data and the very obvious differences in leaf morphology which prompted a more detailed investigation throughout the Satureja complex.

### 2.2.1. Materials and Methods

Horbarium material was used throughout this investigation. A very simple procedure was used to prepare leaf sections: i) boil leaves for 2-5 minutes in water with two drops of detergent:
ii) place leaves in $5 \% \mathrm{KOH}$ (aq.) solution for 5 minutes;
iii) wash leaves thoroughly in at least two separate beakers of cold water;
(aq.)] overnight or for at loast four hours;
v) section the leaves freehand, holding the leaf betogen pieces
of pith;
vi) using a fine brush, placo the sections into $10 \%$ sodium
hypochloride (aq.) solution in a watchglass until the section is
bleached and clear, then transfer to water to remove the bleach;
vii) transfer the sections to a watchglass containing $1 \%$ Safranin
(aq.) solution for $15-20$ seconds (the time depends on the
thickness of the section and concentration of the Safranin);
viii) transfer sections to clean water and wash off excess stain
ix) mount in glycerol for semi-permanent slides.

The time required to rehydrate the leaves very much depends on the hardness and thickness of the leaf. However, within the time limits given above, softer leaves did not seem to be damaged if boiled longer than is minimally roquired to rehydrate them. The $K O H$ treatmont further softens the tissues by loosening the bonds between cells. This second treatment may be omitted when dealing with the thin-leaved species but is beneficial when sclorophyllous leaves are to be sectioned. The FAA treatment hardens and fixes the leaf so that the cells are not squashed when sectioned.

Transverse leaf sections were prepared for representatives of nearly all genera of the Satureja complex. In the doscriptions, the shapes of cells are as viewed in transverse section.
2.2.2.1. Satureja L. E.5.
2.2.2.1.1. Epidermis

In all 12 species investigated the cells ara small and oblong. Wall thickening varies. In the laminar part of the epidermis there is only a slight thickening in 5. spicigera. The other species show a distinct thickening of the walls, and in S. wiodemanniana, So $_{\text {. }}$ hortensis and $S$ b bybica very markedly 50 , especially in the outermost well. The cuticle is rather thin in S. cuneifoliag S. $_{\text {. }}$ spicigera and 5 . hortensis. In the other species the cuticle is very thick, extremely so in S. coerulea where $^{\text {a }}$ it $i s$ as thick as the epidermis. The laminar epidermis and cuticle are very similar on both sides of the leaf.

Around the central vascular bundle the epidermal cells are usually very much smaller, rounder in section, and with very much more thickened walls; this is especially pronounced in S. coerulea. The cuticle around the vascular bundle is also thicker.

### 2.2.2.1.2. Palisade

The palisade consists of dorsiventrally elongated, thin-tolled cells. In Satureja $5.5 . \quad$ it $i s$ always isolateral in arrangoment, i.e. there is a layer of palisade adjacent to both the upper and lower epidermis. When there is more than one cell layer the cells tend to be arranged end to end betwoen layers. The upper palisade tends to be better developed than the lower palisade. The proportion of upper and lower palisade is usually similar, but the upper palisade is more compact with smaller air spaces. This difference in development is most marked in So spicigera and $\underline{\text { S. }}$ bzybica. However, in 5. thymbra and S. coerulea both palisade layers are poorly developed. See Table 2.1.

Species
Palisade mesophyll
Spongy mesophyll

|  | Cells in UP | $\%$ | Cells in LF | \% | Cells in 5M | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. hortencis | 1 | 35 | 1 | 35 | 2-3 | 30 |
| S. thymbra | 1(-2) | 30 | 1 | 20 | 4-5 | 50 |
| S. salzmannii | 2 | 50 | 2 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| S. spicigera | 1 | 40 | 1 | 20 | 3-4 | 40 |
| S. coerulea | 1-2 | 20 | 1-2 | 30 | c. 4 | 50 |
| S. macrantha | 1 | 40 | 1 | 30 | 2-3 | 30 |
| S. bzybica | 1 | 30 | 1 | 30 | 3-4 | 40 |
| S. aintabensis | 2 | 40 | 2 | 40 | 1-2 | 20 |
| S. spinosa | 2 | 50 | 2 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| S. wiedemanniana | 3 | 50 | 3 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| Somontana | 2-3 | 50 | 2-3 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| S. cuneifolia | 3 | 50 | 3 | 50 | 0 | 0 |

UP = upper palisade mesophyl1; LP = lower palisade mesophyl1; 5M = spongy mesophylis \% = percentage of the mesophyll of the leaf lamina thickness.

### 2.2.2.1.3. Sponqy mesophyl1

Spongy mesophyll consists of rounded to rather irregular, rarely rather oblong (Sa spiciqera) cells with thin walls, and large air spaces (usually) in comparison to the air spaces of the palisade. In Satureja 5.5., when present, the spongy mesophyll is always sandwiched between two layers of palisade mesophyll. In proportions and numbers of cells across the layer the spongy mesophyll varies greatly. See Table 2.i.

### 2.2.2.1.4. Mid-vein reqion collenchyma

The mid-vein vascular bundle is usually flanked above and below by collenchyma cells. Collenchyma is probably derived from parenchyma (Esau, 1965) but distinguished by possessing thickened, though unlignified, cell walls. In shape and disposition collenchyma varies considerably.

Only one species of those investigated, S. salzmannii, seens to be without collenchyma (fig. 2.41).

The three closely related species S. cunaifolia, S. spinosa and S. wiedemanniana all have very fet dorsiventrally elongated cells, typically 1 to 4 , above the vascular bundle (see fig. 2. 40) bridging the gap between the upper epidermis and the vascular bundle. Below the vascular bundle these three specios either have a small layer of collenchyma or again have very few, but rounded, collenchyma cells.

In S. spiciqera, above the vascular bundle there is a thin layer of $\pm$ rounded-celled collenchyma, sometimes becoming slightly lignified next to the vascular bundle, and below thore is a single layer of collenchyma adjacent to the epidermis only; thin-malled parenchyma cells separate the collenchyma from the vascular bundle. In all other species there is no separating band of parenchyma.

The remaining species have more or less developed bands of collenchyma above and below the vascular bundle. S. coerulea is remarkable for the very thickened cell walls of the collenchyma attached to the lower ppidermis. The collenchyma in S. bzybica and S. macrantha above and below all has a very pronounced wall thickening. Collenchyma in S. hortensis is highly developed below the vascular bundle, taking up $50 \%$ of the total leaf thickness at the mid-vain region (see fig. 2.39).

### 2.2.2.1.5. Eundle shoath

In all the species investigated the bundle sheath consists of smallg rather rounded cells. The walls are always unthickened, except for a slight thickening noted in S. cuneifalie.

### 2.2.2.1.6. Vascular bundle

The size of the vascular bundle varies graatly (see Table 2.2). In sectiong the bundle is usually oval, though $\pm$ rounded in S. Cuneifolia. Sclerenchyma is usually entirely absent in the
mid-vein vascular bundle (see Table 2.2). Sclerenchyma is also absent in the laterai vein vescular bundles in all these species except S. bzybica where the outermost two lateral veins have highly developed sclerenchyma, taking up $80-90 \%$ of these vascular bundles. In S. coerulea the sclerenchyma is slightly more developed with a couple of vessels only below the mid-vein phloem but highly developed in all the lateral veins, taking up about $75 \%$ of the bundle in section. In the remaining three species sclerenchyma is well developed in the mid-vein. In S. spinosa the sclerenchyma is in two bundles, one on either side of the xylem, encompassing $50 \%$ of the vascular tissue in total.In S. $\underline{\text { giedemanniana there }}$ is a thick cap of sclerenchyma above the xylem taking up 50-70\% of the vascular tissue. The strongest development of sclerenchyma is in S. salzmannii where $90 \%$ of the vasculature is this tissue, with the xylem and phloem (in a ratio of 3:1) above it (see fig. 2.41). In all the other species (except S. spinosa, where the ratio is 3a1) the ratio of xylem to phloem is approximately 1:1.

### 2.2.2.1.7. Stomate

In 11 species investigated, all had the diacytic stonatal type (see fig. 2.35 to 2.38), by far the most common type in Labiatae (Petcalfe \& Chalk, 1979). Apart from in S. coerulea where the stomata are slightiy raised up and level with the very thickened cuticle, the stomata are level with the epidermal walls. Table 2.2 gives an indication of the proportion of stomata near the middle part of the leaf on either sides calculatod from counts made fron leef sections.

### 2.2.2.1.8. Glands

Three types of gland have beon found in Satureia 5.5. Type 1 (fig. 2.b0), always sunken into a little pit, has a small stalk
cell supporting a large spherical, single-celled gland. The second, type 2 (see fig. 2.59), is very much larger and is usually visible to the naked eye. A large, thin-walled epidermal cell supports a-16 glandular cells which are surrounded by a large spherical envelope of glandular secretion. These glands may be yellow or red in colour. The first type was found on both sides of the leaf in all the species of Table 2.2. The second type was found in every species on both sides except in S. coerulea where this type is confined to the lower side of the leaf. A third type was found only on the upper side of the leaf in S. aintabensis (see fig. 2.62). The epidermal cell to which the gland is attached is very small. A short stalk supports a single-celled club-shaped gland only slightly larger than the stalk cell.

Table 2. 2
Stomata
Species M.V.S. M.V.B.\% Above\% Eelow\% Type

| S. hortensis | - | 40 | 50 | 50 | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. thymbra | - | 35 | 1 | / | D |
| S. salzmannii | + | 60-70 | 40 | 60 | D |
| S. spicigera | - | 40 | 67 | 33 | D |
| 5. coerulea | + | 40 | 40 | 60 | ? |
| S. macrantha | - | 50 | 60 | 40 | D |
| S. bzybica | - | 30 | 71 | 29 | D |
| S. aintabensis | - | 50 | 1 | / | D |
| S. spinosa | + | 35 | 40 | 60 | D |
| S. miedemanniana | + | 30-40 | 54 | 46 | ? |
| S. parnassica | ? | ? | ? | ? | D |
| S. montana | - | 30-40 | 49 | 51 | D |
| S. cuneifolia | - | 20 | 44 | 56 | D |

D = diacytic; $/=$ present but proportions unknown; ? = unknowns M.V.B.\% = proportion of mid-vascular bundle of leaf thickness at the mid-veing M. $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ sclerenchyma in mid-vascular bundle; $+=$ present; - = absent.

### 2.2.2.2. Gontscharovia Boriss.

The single species of the genus, G. popovii, $^{\text {is }}$ illustrated in figure 2.42.

The upper and lower epidermis is of small, oblong cells with
thick walls, especially the outers around the vascular bundle the cells become rounded and the walls even thicker. The cuticle is very thick. The palisade is isolateral with spongy mesophyll confined to around the vascular bundle. Near the vascular bundle the upper palisade is of one cell layer and well developed with few air spaces, taking up $30-40 \%$ of the leaf thickness. The lower palisade is much less well developed, being 20-25\% of the leaf thickness and with more air spaces. The spongy mesophyll is 3 - 5 cells thick, rather irregular in shape and mith quite large air spaces. Collenchyma above the vascular bundle consists of a layer 5-6 cells wide and $2-3$ cells deep. The cell walls are extremely thickened so that their lumen is quite small. Below the vascular the bundle the collenchyma is about a dozen cells wide and $5-6$ cells deep. Adjacent to the epidermis the cell walls are extremely thickened, becoming much less so toward the vascular bundle. The bundle sheath $i s$ of very small, thin-walled celle. In the mid-veing, sclerenchyma is absent or a few cellsmay be present above the xylem, but these may be lignified collenchyme cells. Sclerenchyma is extremely well developed in all the lateral veins, taking up to 80-90\% of the vein in cross-section. Stomata are of the diacytic type, with $59 \%$ on the upper side, more or less level with the epidermis. Glands of types 1 and 2 , exactly as found in Satureje S. 5. species, are on both sides of the leaves.

### 2.2.2.3. Saccocaly: saturgoides Coss. \& Dr.

The epidermis on both sides consists of rounded to oblong cells with very thick walls. The epidermis is little different above and below the vascular bundle. The cuticle is very thick. Palisade parenchyma is isolateral, without any spongy mesophyll. Above and below, the palisade consists of. 3 cell layers of small
oblong cells which are rather loose, with large air spaces. In the mid-vein region there is no collenchyma above or below the vascular bundle. The bundle sheath has colls with slightly thickened malls and are small and rather oblong in shape. Selerenchyma is strongly developed, taking up Bo\% of the vascular bundle. The xylam and phloem, in about equal amounts, is situated atop the sclerenchyma. Stomata are of the diacytic type with $29 \%$ on the upper side of the leaf. Glands similar to types 1 and 2, as found in Satureja 5. 5.9 were found on both sides of the leaf, the large punctate glands (type 2) always sunken in a pit.

### 2.2.2.4. Euhesperida Brullo \& Furnari

Sections of both species were prepared.

### 2.2.2.4.1. Epidermis

E. linearifolia has smallg squarish epidermal cells with extrame wall thickening. About $50 \%$ of the epidermal cells support glandular or eglandular hairs. In En thymbrifolia the cells are rather more oblong but again have very thick walls, especially the outer wall. The leaf is less densely gglandular and glandular hairy than E. linearifolia. In both species the cuticle is rather thin.

### 2.2.2.4.2. Palisade

The palisade $i s$ isolateral and well developed but spongy mesophyll is entirely absent in both species. Eoth upper and lower palisade is of 2-3 coll layors. The calls are rather broadly oblong in En linearifolia but much narrower and more compact in the other species.

### 2.2.2.4.3. Collenchyma

In both species above the mid-vascular bundle, there is a
single layer of only a few thick-walled cells. In E. thymbrifolia adjacent to the lower epidermis, is a single layer with very thick walls, and between that layer and the bundle sheath are large rounded cells with somewhat thickened walls. The upper palisede is not interrupted by collenchyma in $E_{\text {e }}$ thymbrifolia, but a layer of small, round, thick-walled cells link the vascular bundle with the lower epidermis.

### 2.2.2.4.4. Bundle sheath

In E. linearifolia the cells are small and thin-walled. The cells are even smaller, with slightly thickened walls in E. thymbrifoliea

### 2.2.2.4.5. Vascular bundle

In both species the bundle is flattened and ovel in section. In E. thymbrifalia the mid-vein bundle is situated in the lower third of the leaf and is only $15 \%$ of the leaf thickness, whereas in the other species the bundle takes up 35-40\%. Sclerenchyma is entirely absent in both species.

### 2.2.2.4.6. Stomata

The stomata are slightly raised above the level of the epidermis, with about $1 / 3$ situated on the upper side of the leaf.

### 2.2.2.4.7. Glands

Large punctate glands (type 2), like those in Satureje s. $5 .$, are on both sides of the leaf in both species. Type 1 (fig. 2.60) has been found on the upper side of the leaf in E. linearifolia only. A third type (designated type 7 in the figures; see fig. 2.67) was noted from both sides of the leaf in both species. An epidermal cell, square in cross section, with extremely thickened
walls, supports a small stalk cell which in turn supports a Epherical, club-shaped glandular cell. In E. limearifolia there is an extra ring of thickening around the top of the epidermal cell.
2.2.2.5. Micromeria Benth.

The results from Micromeria sect. Micromeria and soct. Pseudomelissa are presented separately since there are marked differences in their leaf anatomy.
2.2.2.5.1. Micromeria sect. Micromeria

Seven species were studied: M. cristata (see fig. 2.7), Mo parvifolia, Mokerneri, Mo ovata, M, punctata, Moschimperi and M. longiflore.

### 2.2.2.5.1.1. Epidermis

There is a marked difference between the upper and lower epidermis. The upper epidermal cells are quite large, oblong in shape, and with a very thickened outer wall. The lower epidermal cells are much smallerg being only $1 / 2$ as thick in M. cristata and Ma parvifolia, and $1 / 4$ as thick in the rest. The lower epidermis has a similar pattern of wall thickening but it is much less marked.

### 2.2.2.5.1.2. Palisade

The palisade is dorsiventral in arrangement with a single layer of cells, taking up about $50 \%$ of the leaf thicknoss in most species. In $M_{0}$ schimperi and M. longiflora the palisade is more developed with narrower oblong cells, taking up 60-65\% of the leaf thickness.

The spongy mesophyll tends to be composed of small, irregularly shaped cells. Air spaces between the cells may be large, as in M. parvifolia and M. ovata, or small, as in Mo cristata and M. longiflora.

### 2.2.2.5.1.4. Collenchyma

In M. cristata there is no collenchyma above the mid-vascular bundle. In the other species there is only a small amount, with the most thickened walls in those cells adjacent to the epidermis and becoming less thickened toward the vascular bundle. The cells are round in section, about the size of the upper epidermal celle. Most of the area below the mid-vascular bundle is composed of rather thin-walled collenchyma cells smaller than the collenchyma above the bundle. Again, however, M. cristata differs and has only a faw collenchyma cells below the vascular bundle adjacent to the epidermis.

### 2.2.2.5.1.5. Bundle sheath

The sheath is quite distinct. The cells are oval-oblong with very slightly thicker walls than the mesophyll.

### 2.2.2.5.1.6. Vascular bundle

The mid-vascular bundle is sither oval in section (M, cristata, $M_{\text {. Kerneri, }} M_{\text {. ovata }}$ and $M$. punctata) or almost round (the other three species). In all species the mid-vein bulges out very markedly and yet the vasculer bundle takes up only about 50\% of the leaf thickness at the mid-vein.

Sclerenchyma above the mid-vein xylem is entirely absent in most species. A fen fibres were noted above the xylem in $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}}$ parvifolia and $M$. kerneri only. In contrast, below the phloem,
sclerenchyma is very strongly developed in all the species, with $50-75 \%$ of the whole bundle composed of this tissue. The marginal veins in all of the species are composed entirely of sclerenchyma.

### 2.2.2.5.1.7. Stometa

Nearly all the species had no stomata on the upper side of the leaf but many on the lower side. M. cristata was exceptional with $22 \%$ of the total stomata on the upper side. In this species the stomata are raised above the level of the gpidermis while in all the other species the stomata are level with the epidermis. Stomata of the diacytic type wore noted in Mo cristata, Mo parvifolia, M. Kerneri and M. ovata. See also fig. 2.37 (Ertem: unpublished).

### 2.2.2.5.1.8. Glands

Table 2. 3 bolow lists the various types of gland found.

Table 2.3
M. cristata Mr parvifolia M. kerneri M. ovata M. punctata M. schimperi Ma longiflora

| Upper side | Lower side |
| :---: | :---: |
| 14 | $3,12,13,14$ |
| 14 | $2 b, 3,14$ |
| $2 b, 3,14$ | $2 b, 3$ |
| 3 | $2 b, 3,14$ |
| 14 | $2 b, 3,14$ |
| 14 | $2 b, 3,14$ |
| $2 b, 3$ | $2 b, 3$ |

In M. cristata, gland type 12 is rather smaller than those found in Cyclotrichium (see fig. 2.71). Type 14 (see fig. 2.72) is found at the midrib in M. kerneri and very rarely on the upper side of the leaf in M. schimperi.

### 2.2.2.5.2. Micromeria sect. Fseudonelissa

The species investigated were Mo frivaldskyana, Ma taygotee, M. hydaspidis and M. fruticosa.
M. frivaldskyana was the only species in which the upper and lower opidermal cells are similar. Here the cells are very small, rounded, and with thick walls. The cuticle is as thick as the epidermis. In the other three species there is a marked difference between the upper and lower epidermal cells. In M. taygetea and M. hydaspidis the upper laminar epidermal cells are large oval-oblong, with moderately thickened walls, while the lower epidermal cells are small, oblong, only $1 / 4-1 / 5$ the thickness of the upper cells in M. taygetea, and $1 / 3$ the thickness in M. hydaspidis. M. Aruticosa is quite different in that almost every epidermal cell supports a 1-3-celled hair. The cells supporting these hairs on the upper side are small, oblong-oval and thin-walled. The lower epidermis has cells only $1 / 2$ the size of the upper, but in thickness the lower epidermis is only slightly less than the upper. Tis cuticle is thin in all three species.

The epidermis above and bsiow the vascular bundle has rounded cells, usually sma? ler than the laminar epidermal cells. There is extreme thickening of the cell walls in Mo frivaldskyana and Ma hydaspidis, and much less thickening in the other two species.

### 2.2.2.5.2.2. Palisade

All four species have dorsiventral palisade mesophyll. It consists of 2 , rarely $3_{9}$ cell layers of small, narrow oblong, tight-packed cells in M. fruticesa that take up about 60\% of the leaf thickness, and only a single layer of cells in the other species which takes up $50 \%$ of the leaf thickness. In these latter species, M. hydaspidis has rather tight-packed palisade, but in the others the palisade is rather loose with quite big air spaces.

### 2.2.2.5.2.3. Sponay mesphyll

This tissue consists of 3-4 layers of very irregular cells。 All species have large air spaces between the cells with the exception of M. fruticosa which has rather small air spaces.

### 2.2.2.5.2.4. Collenchyma

Above the mid-vein bundle there is very little collenchyma, typically only a small wedge of small, round, very thick-walled cells. M. taygetea differs in having only one or two large oval collenchyma cells above the mid-vein bundle. Below the mid-vein bundle most of the tissue is collenchyma. The cells are round, larger and thinner walled, except adjacent to the epidermis, than the upper collenchyma.

### 2.2.2.5.2.5. Bundle sheath

The cells are always small, oval to rounded, and thin-walled.

### 2.2.2.5.2.6. Vascular bundle

The shape varies from round in M. taygetea, semi-circular to crescent-shaped in M. hydaspidis, and a flattened oval in the other two species. The bundle takes up about $1 / 3$ of the leaf thickness at the mid-vein. Sclerenchyma is entirely absent in all veins.

### 2.2.2.5.2.7. Stomata

Two species, M. hydaspidis and M. fruticosa, seemed to have no stomata on the upper side of the leaf. M. hydaspidis had many on the lower side, level with the epidermis, but M. fruticosa very fow slightly raised above the level of the epidermis. The other twa species had stomata on both sides of the leaf raised up above the level of the epidermis, $M_{\text {. }}$ friveldskyana with $18 \%$ on the upper side, and M. tayqetea with $13 \%$. The sub-stomatal spaces in Mo
fruticosa were very small. The stomata wore noted as being of the diacytic type in M. hydaspidis and M. frivaldskyana.

### 2.2.2.5.2.8. Glands

The following types of gland were found:

Table 2.4

|  | Upper side | Lower side |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M. frivaldskyana | int. 1 \& 9 | int. 189,20 |
| M. taygetea | 11 | int. $189,20,11$ |
| Me hydaspidis | int. 1 \& 9,2b | int. 1 \& $7,2 \mathrm{~b}$ |
| M. fruticosa | 2h, 14 | 2b, 14 |

In $M_{\text {s }}$ taygetea, type 11 (fig. 2.69) is very common but those intermediate between types 1 (fig. 2.60) and 9 (fig. 2.65) are very rare.

### 2.2.2.6. Killickia A. Doroszenko

The only species investigated, of the three in the genus, was K。pilosa.

The upper opidermis consists of large oblong cells with very thickened walls, especially the outer wall. The lower epidermis is only $1 / 4$ as thick as the upper, with oblong, thick-wallod cells, though not as thick as the upper epidermal cells. Above and below the mid-vascular bundle the epidermal cells are small and square with extreme wall thickening.

The palisade mesophyll is dorsiventral, with compact, broad, oblong cells, taking up $40-50 \%$ of the lamina thickness. The spongy mesophyll has approximately 4 cell layers of large irregular-rounded cells.

The mid-vein is strongly developed, bulging well below the
level of the lamina. The vascular bundle is about $25 \%$ of the leaf thickness at the mid-vein. Collenchyma above this bundle is of 3 layers, $c .6$ cells wide, with extreme thickening of the walls so that the cell lumen is almost closed. Most of the area below the vascular bundle is also taken up by collenchyma. Only next to the epidermis is the wall thickening as extreme as it is above the vascular bundle; the other cells are much larger and thinner walled. There is no sclerenchyma in any of the vascular bundles. A few slightly lignified collenchyma cells may be present above the mid-vascular bundle.

Stomata are diacytic and level with the epidermis; $91 \%$ are on the lower side of the lamina.

Punctate glands of type 2b (see fig. 2.58) are on both sides of the leaf. Glands similar to type 1 (see fig. 2.60), though not sunken, are on the upper side, and glands of type 9 (see fig. 2.65) on the lower side.

### 2.2.2.7. Brenaniella A. Deroszenko

Two species were investigated, B. robusta $^{\text {a }}$ and myriantha.

### 2.2.2.7.1. Epidermis

The uppar epidermis consists of large, oblong, thick-walled cells. The lower epidermis is only $1 / 3$ the thickness of the upper. Above and below the vascular bundle the cells are very small, lens-shaped, with very thick walls. The cuticle is quite thin.

### 2.2.2.7.2. Palisade

The palisade mesophyll is dorsiventral, taking up about 40\% of the leaf thickness. In E. robusta the cells are very narrow oblong while in $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{z}}$ myriantha the cells are much broader.

### 2.2.2.7.3. Spongy mesophyll

Both species have 4-5 layers of cells. The cells are small and irregularly shaped in B. robusta, but rather oblong in B. $_{\text {. }}$ myriantha.

### 2.2.2.7.4. Collenchyma

The whole mid-vein region above and below the vascular bundle contains collenchyma. The cells are small next to the epidermis, enlarged toward the vascular bundle. The cells are all rather smaller in B. myriantha than E. robusta.

### 2.2.2.7.5. Vascular bundle

The bundle is about $1 / 3$ of the leaf thickness. Xylem and phloem are in approximately equal amounts. There is no sclerenchyma at all in the bundles of B. robusta, $^{\text {a }}$ but a few fibres were noted above the xylem and below the phloem in B. myriantha.

### 2.2.2.7.6. Stomata

No stomata were found on the upper side of the lamina. The many stomata below are diacytic, slightly raised above the epidermis in B. robusta, and more or less level with the epidermis in B. myriantha.

### 2.2.2.7.7. Glands

Glands of a type intermediate between type 1 (see fig. 2.60) and type 9 (see fig. 2.65) were found on the upper side of the leaf in both species, and on the lower side in B. robusta only. In $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{E}}$ robusta these glands are only found above and below the vascular bundles. On the lower side of the leaf there are glands similar to type 1 sunken into pits, and punctate glands of type 2b (see fig. 2.58) in E. myriantha.

2.2.2.8. Calaminthe Miller<br>Two species were investigated: C. rouyana and C. uhligii.

### 2.2.2.8.1. Epidermis

The laminar opidermal cells on the upper side are small, oval-oblong, with very thick walls, especially the outer walls. The lower epidermis is only $1 / 3-1 / 2$ as thick as the upper epidermis in C. rouyana, and $3 / 4$ as thick in Counligii, but the cells are similar in shape and wall thickening. Above the mid-vascular bundle in $\mathrm{C}_{\text {. rouyana }}$ the cells are more rounded but not with much nore thickened walls, while below the mid-vein the cells are twice the size of the other epidermal cells on the lower side, rounded in shape and with much more thickened walls. In $\mathrm{C}_{\text {e }}$ uhliqii both above and below the mid-vein the cells are small, rounded, and with very thick walls. The cuticle is quite thin in both species.

### 2.2.2.8.2. Palisade mesophyll

The palisade is dorsiventral with a single layer of broad oblong cells taking up $50 \%$ of the leaf thickness in $C$. rouyana and 35-40\% in C. uhliqii.

### 2.2.2.8.3. Sponqy mesophy11

The spongy mesophyll in C. rouyana has irregularly shaped cells with large air spaces between them, but is more compact in C . uhliqii, with irregular oblong to squarish cells. The mesophyll is 3-4 cells deep.

### 2.2.2.8.4. Collenchyma

Above the mid-vascular bundle, collenchyma is almost absent in C. rouyana with $2-3$ rounded cells only, but in C. uhligii there is a broad band of small, round, thick-walled cells. Most of the area
below the mid-vascular bundle in both species is composed of quite large collanchyma colls with not very thickened walls. The cells tend to be smaller and thicker walled adjacent to the epidermis.

### 2.2.2.8.5. Vascular bundle

The mid-vein bundle is only $1 / 3$ the leaf thickness, round in section in $C$. rouyana and a broadly flattenod oval in the other species. There is no sclerenchyma at all in C. rouyana, though a few fibres were noted below the phloem of the mid-vein in $C_{0}$. uhliqii.

### 2.2.2.8.6. Stomata

In both species the stomata are diacytic. No stomata were found on the upper side of the leaf in $C_{\text {. }}$ uhligii, but $23 \%$ on the upper side in C. rouyana.

### 2.2.2.8.7. Glands

The many types of gland found are summarized in Table 2.5 below.

Table 2.5
C. rouyane
C. uhliqii
int. $1 \& 9,2 b, 3$ int. $189,2 b, 3,10$
3,2b,13

The glands intermediate between types 1 (fig. 2.60) and 9 (fig. 2.65) have an apical cell less spherical than type 1 but not as narrow as type 9. In C. uhliqii, the gland designated type 3 has a rather smallor apical cell than that drawn in figure 2.62.
2.2.2.9. Acinas Miller

Four species wera investigated: A. corsicus, A. nanus, $A_{0}$

### 2.2.2.7.1. Epidermis

A. corsicus is the only species in wich both upper and loner epidermis consist of small oblong cells of similar size uith equally thickened walls. In the other three species the upper epidermal cells are quite large, oblong to squarish in section, and with rather thickened walls, and the lower epidermis only $1 / 2$, 1/10-1/5, and $1 / 2$ the thickness of the upper epidermis in A. nanus, A. pseudosimensis and A. alpinus, respectively. The lower epidermal cell walls are less thickened than the upper in these throen species. The cuticle is thick in $A_{0}$ corsicus and $A$. nanus, but thin in the other two species.

### 2.2.2.9.2. Palisade

In A. corsicus the palisade is more or less dorsiventral arcund the mid-vein vascular bundle but becomes isolateral towards the margins. The upper palisade has two layers of broad oblong, thin-walled cells. The lower mesophyll has $3-5$ cell layors, father similar to the upper palisade in being arranged in regular rows, but is much looser around the mid-vein and only becomes compact toward the margins.

The other species are clearly dorsiventral in arrangement. The upper palisade is most strongly developed in A. nanus which has 2 coll layers of thin-walled, markedly elongated, oblong celle without obvious air spaces, taking up slightly more than half of the leaf thickness. The palisade is of one cell layer in the other species. In A. alpinus the palisade is rather poorly developed aith rather broad oblong cells with large air spaces between them.

The cells are small and irregular in all species except $A_{\text {A }}$ corsicus. The approximate number of cell layers is 5-6 in A. nanus, and 4 in the other two species. The air spaces are only large in A. alpinus.

### 2.2.2.9.4. Collenchyma

A. corsicus usually has no collenchyma above or below the mid-vein vascular bundle. Rarely an elongated cell similar to the palisade with slightly thickened walls is present above the mid-vein.

Collenchyma is strongly developed above and below the vascular bundle in the other three species. The cell walls are especially thickened in the cells adjacent to the lower epidermis, so much so in An pseudosimensis that the cell lumen diameter is less than the wall thickness.

### 2.2.2.9.5. Bundle sheath

The bundle sheath is hardly, or not, distinguishable from the smallg thin-walled parenchyma cells adjacent to the vascular bundle.

### 2.2.2.9.6. Vascular bundle

The bundle is a flattened oval in section, taking up $40-50 \%$ of the leaf thickness in A. alpinus and $25-30 \%$ in the others.

Sclerenchyma is entirely absent in all traces in A. alpinus $^{\text {a }}$ and A. pseudosimensis. In A. corsicus sclerenchyma is absent from the mid-vein and all lateral veins, but has a thick marginal vein apparently entirely composed of sclerenchyma fibres. A. nanus has a similar marginal vein and also has sclerenchyma in all the vescular traces, taking up $50 \%$ of the bundle tissue.

### 2.2.2.9.7. Stomata

In all four species, the stomata are of the diacytic type. The proportion of stomata on the upper side of the leaf varies considerably; none at all was seen in A. pseudosimensis, while $27 \%$ and 52\% was found in A. alpinus and A. corsicus, respectively.

### 2.2.2.9.8. Glands

Refering to figs. 2.58 to 2.65 , which illustrate the gland types, the following was found:

Table 2.5

Upper side Lower side
A. corsicus
A. nanus
A. alpinus
A. pseudosimensis

| 1,4 | $1,3,4$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1,4 | 1,2 |
| 1 | $1,5,6$ |
| 1,3 | $2 b, 3,4,9$ |

In $A_{0}$ nanus the gland designated type 2 is similar in appearance to that drawn in fig. 2.59 but is smaller, not much larger than type 1 , and not sunken into a pit.
2.2.2.10. Cyclotrichium Manden. \& Scheng.

Four species were investigateds Co leucotrichum, C. niveum, C. stamineum and $C$. haussknechtii.

### 2.2.2.10.1. Epidermis

The epidermis is rather variable. C. niveum is the only species which has all cells on both upper and lower sides of the lamina that are of similar shape and size. The cells arg very small, oblong, with thickened walls. C. leucotrichum and $C_{\text {. }}$ haussknechtii have large oval-oblong cells with thick walls on the upper side, and on the lower side the epidermal cells are only $1 / 3$ as thick and thinner walled. In C. stamineum the upper epidermal
celle aro smally oblong-oval with somewhat thickened walls. The lower epidermal cells are a little smaller, but similar otherwise. Above and below the mid-vascular bundle the cells are much smaller in all species, either squarish or round in section and much thicker walled.

### 2.2.2.10.2. Falisade

The palisade of $C$. niveum is isolateral while the rest are clearly dorsiventral, but in all the species the cells are small and oblong. Both upper and lower palisade in C. niveum consists of two (rarely 3) compact cell layers. The palisade in C. leucotrichum and $C$. haussknechtii is usually of two layers, while C. stamineum is usually of one layer.

### 2.2.2.10.3. Sponqy mesophyll

There is no spongy mesophyll in c. niveum. In the other species $40-50 \%$ of the leaf thickness is this tissue in $3-4$ cell layers. C. leucotrichum has irregular-oblong cells which tend to be arranged dorsiventrallyg but the air spaces are quite large. The other two species have irregularly shaped cells with large air spaces between.

### 2.2.2.10.4. Collenchyma

Above the mid-vascular bunde is a small todge of collenchyma, usually only a feas cells wide in $\mathbf{3}-4$ layers. The cells are small, round, with very thick walls, especially so in Co haussknechtii. Most of the area below the mid-vein bundle is composed of collenchyma. The cells are usually larger and thinner walled.

### 2.2.2.10.5. Bundle sheath

The cells are small, rounded to oblong-oval, and thin-walled.

### 2.2.2.10.6. Vascular bundle

In all species, the bundle is oval in section, taking up about $1 / 3$ of the leaf thickness. Sclerenchyma is entirely absent in Co leucotrichum and $C$. niveum. A fow fibres were noted below the phloem in the other two species. A fen collenchyma cells may be slightly lignified above the xylem in C. haussknechtii.

### 2.2.2.10.7. Stomata

Diacytic stomata were noted in $C$. leucotrichum and $C_{0}$ stamineum. A11 the species have fewer stomata on the uppor side of the leaf (see Table 2.7).

### 2.2.2.10.8. G1 ands

Table 2.7 details the different types of gland found.

Table 2.7
Upper side Lower side Stomata \%
on upper side

| Cr leucotrichum | 3, $3_{, ~ 7 a, ~}^{12}$ | $2,6,12$ | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. niveum | 2b,3,7a | $2 \mathrm{~b}, 3,7 \mathrm{a}$ | 41 |
| Costaminoum | 3,7a, 9 | 2b, 3, 7a, 9 | 34 |
| Co haussknechtii | 7a,12 | 2b, 7a, 12 | 27 |

In C. leucotrichum the punctate gland, type 2 (fig. 2.59), is small and of similar size to the punctate gland of type 20 (figa 2.58). Gland type 12 (fig. 2.71) on the lower side of the leaf is commonest around the mid-vein. In Caniveum, type 7 (fig. 2.76) is rather taller than average, while type $3(2.62)$ is smaller than usual.
2.2.2.11. Gardoquia Ruiz \& Pavon

22 species were studied and are listed in Table 2.8.

### 2.2.2.11.1. Epidermis

The upper epidermis usually consists of rather small oblong cells in transverse section. However, there are notable differences in some species: G. sericea and Gosericifolia have squarish-oblong cells; $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{o}}$ clivorum and G . tomentosa have large-oblong cells; $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{o}}$ multiflora has long, thing narrow-oblong cells; and $\underline{G}_{\text {. }}$ micromerioides has small and squarish cells.

The majority of species have a much thinner lower epidermis. In most cases the thickness ratio is about 2 or 3 to 1 . A more marked difference was noted in E. sericea (5:1) and G. pulchella (4:1) and a less marked difference in Gs incana, $\underline{G}$. mathewsii (fig. 2.42), G. multiflora and G. discolor (fig. 2.46) (all c. 4/3:1). There is no great difference in thickness in G. qilliesii (fig. 2.43), G. plicatula (fig. 2.44), G. mexicana, E. micromerioides and G. weberbaueri. The shape of the lower epidermal cells is usually similar to the upper epidermis, except for in G.moxicana and G. tomentosa where the cells are much squarer in section.

Most species have very thick-walled cells in the upper epidermis, especially the outermost wall, and this is most marked in G. plicatula, G. sericifolia, G. acutifolie, G. sericea, G. gilliesii and G. pulchella. However, the walls are rather thin in G. weberbaueri, G. taxifolia, G. incana, G. domingensis and G. striata. The lower spidermis cell walls are usually a little less thickened than the upper, except in G. taxifolia where the lower side has thicker walls. Unly in $\underline{\text { G. sericea }}$ and $\underline{G}_{\text {. pulchella }}$ are the lower epidermal cell walls a great deal thinner than the upper.

The epidermal cells above and below the mid-vein are usually rounded, and generally emaller and thicker walled than the laminar
epidermal cells. This difference in wall thickening is most marked on the lower side of the leaf.

The cuticle is usually thin and unremarkable. B. clivorun and G. striata, however, have a rather thick cuticle.

### 2.2.2.11.2. Palisade

G. micromerioides has isolateral palisade paronchyma, while all the rest have dorsiventral palisade. Some specimens of $\underline{G}$ pallida (see fig. 2.45), G. striata and G. incana have some dorsiventrally arranged, oblong cells adjacent to the lower epidermis aith large air spaces between these cells.

The palisade is usually of one cell layer, but G. weberbaueri tends to have a double layer. Most species have broad-oblong palisade calls, but are narrow-oblong in g. sericifolia, G. plicatula, G.cercocarpoides, G. qilliesii, G. micromerioides, G. mexicana, G. pallida, $^{\text {G. domingensis and G. tomentosa. }}$

### 2.2.2.11.3. Sponqy mesophyl1

The spongy mesophyll is quite distinct in all species, except in G. micromerioides which has none. The cells are usually $_{\text {w }}$ irregular in shape with quite distinct air spaces between them. However, in Go pulchellᄅ, G. woberbaueri, G. revoluta and G. discolor the spongy mesophyll is compact and arranged in rogular leyars and as mentioned above some species have a few palisade-like cells within the spongy mesophyll. The approximate number of cells across the spongy mosophyll is given in Table 2.8.

### 2.2.2.11.4. Mid-vein region collenchyma

The collenchyma above the mid-vein bundle is usually of only $a$ few small, rounded, thick-walled celleg in $1-3$ layers. However, in G. mathensii collenchyma is strongly developed above the mid-vein
(see fig. 2.42). Very often the collenchyma becomes slightly lignified and merges into a layer of an unusual type (for Satureja s.1.) of sclerenchymatous fibre which has a very large lumen (see Table 2.9). Eelow the mid-vein bundle the whole area is usually composed of collenchyma. The cells are rounded, mostly larger than the collenchyma above the vascular bundle, and thick-walled, especially adjacent to the epidermis. Excoptions are G. domingensis, which has only a single layer of tinyg very thick-walled cells adjacent to the epidermisg and $G_{0}$ micromerioides, which has a narrow wedge of cells without especially thickened walls except at the epidermis.

### 2.2.2.11.5. Bundle shoath

The sheath cells are small, usually merging into the collenchyma or spongy mesophylls and usually thin walled.

### 2.2.2.11.6. Vascular bundle

The mid-vein bundle is oval or round in section, sometimes rather crescent-shaped, and is about $35-40 \%$ of the leaf thickness at the mid-vein. The only notable departures from this were in $G_{\text {. }}$ domingensis, which has a very smallg round bundle, and G. striata which has a very large bundle that takes up $75 \%$ of the leaf thickness.

As has been previously mentioned, sclerenchyma in the mid-vein is of two types, a very small lumen type and a large lumen type, though in many species there is a transition between them. Host species have a large cap of seleronchyma above the xylem and a broad layer below the phloen. Rarely there are only very few fibres. In G. multiflora there are typically 0-2 very narrow fibres above and below the bundle. Dnly four species were noted to have no sclerenchyma at all (sea Table 2.9). G. discolor, though having no
sclerenchyma above the vascular bundle, has a well developed band of sclerenchyma below the phloem (see fig. 2.46).

The details of the mid-vascular bundle are given in Table 2.9.

Table 2.8

| Species | Palisade \% of leaf thickness | Spongy (no. cells across) | Glands |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | s Above | Eelow |
| G. multiflora | 40 | c. 4 | c. $14 \mathrm{2b}$ | 2b, 14, int. 1 \& 15 |
| G. mexicana | 40-45 | 5-6 | 1 | 1,2b |
| G. seleriana | 35 | c. 5 | c. 15 | 1,2b |
| G. acutifolia | 50 | c. 4 | - | 2 b |
| G. cercocarpoides | 40-50 | c. 5 | 2b, 15 | 2b, c.14, 15 |
| G. tomentosa | 50 | 3-4 | 5,14,17 | 2b, c. 12, 17 |
| G. pulchella | 35-40 | 3-5 | 14 | 2b, 3 |
| G. mathewsii | 50 | 3-4 | ? | 2b, 15, 16 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ incana | 50 | c. 4 | 14 | 2b,c. 14 |
| G. domingensis | 40 | c. 52 | 2a, c. 14, 15, c. 17 | 7 2b, c. 3, c. 14 |
| G. pallida | 50 | c. 4 | 1,2b | 1,2b |
| G. qilliesii | 50 | 5.5 | 2b, c. 9 | 2b, c. 9 |
| G. taxifolia | 40-60 | 3-4 | 15 | 2b, c. $14, \mathrm{c} .15$ |
| G. weberbaueri | 60 | C. 3 | 15 | 2b, 15 |
| G. Elivorum | 40 | 4-5 | 8 | 2b,9 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\text {。 }}$ sericea | 40-50 | 3-4 | 18 | 2b, 15, 18 |
| G. Sericifolia | 40 | 5-6 | 2b, c.4, c. 14 | 2b, c. 14, c. 17 |
| G. revoluta | 50-75 | c. 2 | 14 | 2b, 14, 16 |
| G. plicatula | 35 | c. 6 | 15,17 | 2b, c. 9,17 |
| G. striata | 35-40 | 4-5 | - | 1,2b |
| G. discolor | 40 | c. 4 | ? | 2 b |
| G。 micromerioides | 100 | 0 | 1,2b | 1,2b |

### 2.2.2.11.7. Stomata

Nearly all species have no stomata on the upper side of the leaf. Only G. qilliesii and $G_{\text {. micromerioides were noted to have }}$ approximately $14 \%$ and $55 \%$, respectively, of the total stomata near the middle of the leaf on the upper side. The stomata on the lower side are usually slightly raised above the level of the epidermal cells. A few species have stomata level with the epidermis. Diacytic stomata were noted in $\mathbf{G}_{\text {. micronarioides, }} \mathbf{G}_{\text {. mexicana, }}$ G. striata and G. multiflora. The sub-stomatal space in G. seleriana and G. acutifolia is exceptionally small, but in G. domingensis it is very large. The subsidiary cells of G. taxifolia are very small.

Table 2.9

Species

| G. multiflora | 35 | 0-C | V.few 5 | U.few 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G. mexicana | 35 | 0 | None | None |
| G. Selleriana | 30 | C | Fout | 5 |
| G. acutifolia | 35 | F0 | Few 5 | 5 |
| G. cercocarpoides | 35 | 0 | L | 5 |
| G. tomentosa | 30 | R | 5-L | 5 |
| G. pulchella | 35 | R | L | 5 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\text {a }}$ mathewsii | 35 | R | $L$ | +5 |
| $\underline{G}_{\text {. }}$ incana | 35 | 0 | 5-L | 5 |
| G. domingensis | 25 | R | L | 5-L |
| G. pallida | 35 | FO | None | None |
| G. qilliesii | 35 | R | $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{t}$ | 5 |
| E. taxifoliz | 35 | 0 | S-L | S |
| 6. weberbaueri | 40 | 0 | S-L | 5 |
| G. clivorum | 40 | +R | S-L | S |
| $\underline{G}$. | 35 | 0 | V.few S | 5 |
| G. Sericifolia | 40 | 0 | Few 1 | S |
| G. revoluta | 35-40 | 0 | None | None |
| G。plicatula | 40 | R | V.few 5 | 5 |
| G. striata | 75 | $\pm 0$ | 5-L | S-L |
| G. discolor | 40 | R | None | 5 |
| G. micromerioides | 35 | F0 | None | None |

V.B. \% = proportion of dorsiventral thickness of vascular bundle to whole leaf thickness at mid-veins V.B. shape $=$ vascular bundle shape in section; $\mathrm{C}=$ crescent-shaped; $\mathrm{FO}=\mathrm{flattened}$ oval; $0=$ oval; $0-\mathrm{C}=$ intermediate between oval and crescent-chapeds $\mathrm{R}=$ round; Sclerenchyma - 5 = small lumen fibres present; $L=1 a r g e$ lumen fibres present: $5-\mathrm{L}=$ transition between small and large fibres present.

### 2.2.2.11.8. Glands

Table 2.B summarizes the numerous different types found. In ge multiflora, the glands intermediate between types 1 (fig. 2.60) and 15 (fig. 2.73) are unusual in being sunken into a pit, and in G. $_{\text {a }}$ taxifolia, a gland similar to type 15 on the lower side of the leaf is also sunken, but into a shallow pit. On the upper side, near the mid-vein in G. plicatulag glands similar to type 15 but with very large gland cells were found. A very small form of type 14 (fig. 2.72) was found on the upper side of the leaf in G. tomentosa and on the lower side of G. sericifolia. Also in G. incana, glands of type 2 b (fig. 2.58) are exceptionally Emall. Glands were found to be very rare or absent on the upper side of the leaf in $\underline{G}_{\text {. }}$
acutifolia, G. pulchella, G. taxifolia, E. weberbaueri and Ga striata.

### 2.2.2.12. Obtegomeria caerulescens (Benth.) A. Doroszenko

The upper epidermis consists of rather oblong and thick-walled cells in section. Above the mid-vein the cells become more squarish and thicker walled. The lower epidermal cells are similar to the upper in shape but thin-walled on the lamina and thick-walled around the mid-vein. The cuticle is thick, especially on the upper side. The palisade parenchyma is dorsiventral, with one layer of cells. The spongy mesophyll is rather compact with obiong celis arranged in c. 4 regular layers. Collenchyma above and below the mid-vein consists of only a single layer of thick-walled colls adjacent to the epidermis. Between the collenchyma and $x y l e m$ and phloem are small parenchyma cells with unthickened walls. The mid-vascular bundle is oval, taking up $30-35 \%$ of the leaf thickness, and equally divided amongst xylem and phloem. There is no sclerenchyma. Stomata are diacytic and only found on the lower side of the leaf. 61 ands of types 1 and 3 were found on both sides, and type 2b (fig. 2.58) on the lower side of the leaf only.

See fig. 2. 47 for a T.S. drawing of the leaf.
2.2.2.13. Xenopoma Willd.

The 16 species listed in Table 2. 10 were examined.

### 2.2.2.13.1. Epidermis

The shape and size of the epidermal cells tend to be similar within the sections of Xenopoma. The species of sect. Xenopoma have a thick upper epidermis, consisting of large square to oblong cells, with very thick outer walls. The lower epidermis is only 1/4-1/2 as thick $a s$ the upper, with cells similarly shaped, but
with rather less thickened walls. In $X$ alpestris (see fig. 2. 48) the cells below the mid-vein are a little smaller and thicker walled than the laminar cells, otherwise the cells above and below the mid-vein are similar. $X_{\text {. }}$ schusteri (see fig. 2.49) has squarish to upright oblongg very thick walled colls, and $X_{\text {. }}$ viminea has irregularly rounded, very thick walled cells above the mid-vein. Beloos the mid-vein both have small, rounded, thick-walled cells.

The upper epidermis in sections Ceratominthe, Pauciflorae, Prostratae and Sphenostachys (see fig. 2.55) nearly always has small cells, oval to oblong in shape, with rather thickened walls. Exceptions are $X_{0}$ boliviana (sect. Ceratominthe) (sog fig. 2.50), which has very small squarish-oblong cells, and $X_{2}$ darminii and $X_{0}$ tenella (both sect. Prostratae) which have large oval or oval-oblong cells. In these four sections the lower epidermis is generally a little thinner, usually $1 / 2$ to almost as thick. However, in $X_{0}$ darwiniig $X_{\text {. }}$ tenella and $X$. nubiqena the lower epidermis is only about $1 / 4-1 / 3$ as thick. The epidermis above the mid-vein is no different from the upper laminar epidermis in $X_{\text {. }}$ chandleri, $X_{a}$ nubigena and $X_{\text {. }}$ darwinii, but tends to be of smaller, rounder, and thicker walled cells in the other species. Eelow the mid-vein the epidermal cells are nearly always smaller than the laminar cells, rounded and very thick walled.

In the remaining section, Spicatag, the two species are rather different in their opidermides. X. fasciculata has large squarish upper epidermal cells (see fig. 2.5.3), with very thick outer walls. The lower epidermis is only $1 / 4-1 / 3$ as thick, with smallg, oval-oblong cells. $x_{\text {a }}$ mutabilis has thick-walled, rounded to oval upper epidermal cells (see fig. 2.54). The lower epidermis has thinner walled cells of similar shape but is only half as thict as the upper epidermis. Both species have very small, rounded, very thick walled cells above and below the mid-vein.

The palisade mesophyll is always dorsiventral, consisting of a single, 1-cell layer, except for in $X$. darwinii which tends to have 2 layers. In nearly all species the palisade takes up about 45-50\% of the leaf thickness, but in $X$ brevicalyx the proportion is rather less at about 35-40\% (see fig. 2.51).

### 2.2.2.13.3. Sponqy mesophyll

The number of cells across the spongy mesophyll and its compactness varies considerably; (2-)3-4(-6) cells across is most common. Figures 2.48 to 2.55 give some impression of its variability.

### 2.2.2.13.4. Collenchyma at the mid-vein

Collenchyma is found both above and below the mid-vein bundle except in $X_{0}$ darwinii which has collenchyma only below Most species have a rather narrow wedge of small, rounded, thick-walled cells above the vascular bundle, and reduced even to a couple of cells only in $X_{\text {a }}$ alpestris, $X$. viminea, $X$ nubiqena and $X$. tenella. A very broad, distinct band of cells, about three cells thick, is found in $X_{0}$ mutabilis (see fig. 2.54).

The whole area below the mid-vein bundle is composed of collenchyma. These cells tend to be larger than the upper collenchyma, rounded and thick-walled (though sometimes only very thick-walled adjacent to the epidermis). $X_{\text {. darminii }}$ is again exceptional in having only a few, small, rounded cells, with very slightly thicker walle than those of the spongy mesophyll.

### 2.2.2.13.5. Vascular bundle

Nearly all the species have more or less rounded bundles. Only Y. fasciculata, $X_{\text {. }}$ vana, $X$. parvifolia and $X_{\text {. mutabilis }}$ have oval
bundles, strongly flattened in the last two speries. In proportion to the leaf thickness at the mid-veing the bundle ranges from 25-45\%. Sea Table 2. 10 for datails.

Sclerenchyma fibres are absent in all the species of sections Ceratominthe, Frostratae and Pauciflorae, save for a single fibre noted at the base of the phloem in $X$. nubigena, and three groups of 2 fibres, possibly phloem fibres, at the base of the phloem in a specimen of $X_{\text {. odora }}$ (see fig. 2.52). The two species of seat. Spicatae and the one spocies of sect. Sphenostachys have a few fibres above the xylem, but a broad, distinct layer of sclerenchyma right round the base of the phloem. Scleranchyma is rather variable in sect. Xenopoma. $X_{\text {. }}$ Schusteri has none above the xylem but a thick layer of narrow lumen fibres below the phloem. $X_{a}$ alpestris has a broad cap of fibres above and bolow the bundio, while $X_{0}$ viminea has a narrow vertical chain of fibres, $1-2$ cells wide. connecting the epidermis and xylem, and a broad, distinct layer of fibres below the phloem.

### 2.2.2.13.t. Stomata

There are no stomata on the upper side of tho loaf, except in Xo derwinii which had about $42 \%$ on the upper side. The stomata were slightly raised above the level of the epidermisg except again in X. darwinii where they were more or less level with the epidermis. Diacytic stomata were noted in $X_{0}$ nubigena, $X_{=}$tenella, $X_{0}$ darminii, $X_{0}$ axillaris and $X$. parvifolia.

### 2.2.2.13.7. Glands

The gland types found are summarized in Table 2.10 (see also figs. 2.58 to 2.77). Both species of sect. Spicatae have an unusually small form of punctate giand similar to type 2 b (fig. 2.58). Glands are rara on the upper side in $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$ odora, as are type

14 (fig. 2.72) on the upper side of the leaf in X . boliviana.

Table 2.10

| Species | Glands |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Upper | Lower |
| $X_{0}$ viminea | 30 | 2b, 14 | 2b, 14 |
| X. schusteri | 30 | 2 b | 2 b |
| X . alpestris | 30-35 | - | 2 b |
| Xo axillaris | 30-35 | 2b, c. 14 | 2b,c. 14 |
| $X$. boliviana | 30-35 | 2b, 14 | 2b, 14 |
| Xa odora | 40 | 1,5.15 | 1,2b |
| X. parvifoliz | 25 | 2 b | 2b, c. 9 |
| $X$ ¢ fasciculata | 40 | 15 | 2b, 14 |
| X \% mutabilis | 30-35 | ? | 2 b |
| $\chi_{0}$. brevicalyx | 25 | 2b, $\mathrm{C}=9$ | 2b, 9 |
| X. darwinii | 25 | 2b, 3, 14 | 2b, 3, 14 |
| X. tenella | 25 | 14 | 2b, 3, 14, 16 |
| $\chi_{\text {¢ }}$. vana | 25-30 | 2b, $3,5.14$ | 2b, t .3 |
| $x_{0}$. nubigena | 40 | 2b,3 | 2b, 3, 14 |
| $X$. chandleri | 35 | 2b, 3, 14, 16 | 2b, $3,14,16$ |
| $\underline{X}$. cylindristachys | 45 | - | $2 \mathrm{~b}, 14$ |

$\% V_{0} B_{a}=$ vascular bundle thickness as a percentage of whole leaf at the mid-vein.

### 2.2.2.14. Piloblephis rigida Refin.

The upper epidermis has large oblong-oval cells that are very thick walled, not just in the outer wall but equally in all the walls. The lower epidermal cells are smaller, oblong to equarish, only half as thick as the upper epidermis, but almost as equally thick walled. Above and below the mid-vein the epidermal cells are similarly sized or a little smaller than the laminar celle.

The palisade parenchyma is dorsiventral, with a single layer of small, oblong cells. The palisade is only about $30-35 \%$ of the total lamina thickness. Spongy mosophyll is approximately 2-4 cells across, very irregular in shape, and with very large air spaces between the cells.

The mid-vein is very broad, with an oval vascular bundle which is about a third as thick as the leaf. Collenchyma above and below the vascular bundle is confined to a single layer of cells adjacent to the epidermis, with cells of a size similar to the epidermal cells. Eetwoan the collenchyma and the vascular bundle are small,
unthickened parenchyma cells. Xylem and phloem is equally divided in the mid-vascular burdle. The phloem cells are unusually large and enormously thickened in the walls so that the lumen has all but disappeared. No sclerenchyma was noted anywhere in the leaf.

Stomata are on the lower side of the leaf only, more or less level with the epidermis.

No glands were seen on the upper side of the leaf. On the lower side, glands similar to type 3 (fig. 2.62) are quite common.

A transverse section of the leaf is illustrated in fig. 2.57.

### 2.2.2.15. Hospgrothymus A. Doroszento

The anatomy of both species of the genus ware studied.

### 2.2.2.15.1. Epidermis

The upper epidermis in $H_{\text {a }}$ brownei has very large oval cells. emphasized by the thinness of the leaf, which are only slightly thickened, especially in the outer wall (see fig. 2.56). The lower epidermis is only half as thick with much smaller oblong cells, slightly thickened in the walls. $H_{\text {. douglasii }}$ has large oblong cells with thick outer walls on the upper side. The lower epidermis is only $3 / 4$ as thick $a s$ the upper but similar otherwise. The epidermis above the mid-vein in $H$. brounei has cells very similar to the laminar colls, if a little smaller, but in H. douglasii the cells are small, round, and very thick-walled. In both species, beloy the mid-vein the cells are very small, rounded, and very thick walled.

### 2.2.2.15.2. Palisade

Both species have dorsiventral palisade with one layer of very broad oblong cells, taking up about $35 \%$ of the leaf thickness in $H$. douglasii and $40 \%$ in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}}$ bromnei.
H. brownei has rather large, rounded, fairly compact cells in the spongy mesophyll. The tissue is only $2-3$ cells across. In $H_{0}$ douqlasii the mesophyll is about 3-4 cells across, with irregular cells and large air spaces between them.

### 2.2.2.15.4. Collenchyma at the mid-vein

In both species there are rather few rounded and little-thickened collenchyma cells connecting the upper epidermis and vascular bundle. The whole area below the mid-vein is composed of smalls rounded cells, with slightly thickened walls. The cells adjacent to the lower epidermis in H . douglasii are, however, more markedly thickened.

### 2.2.2.15.5. Vascular bundle

Both species have a small, round bundle, one third as thick as the leaf at the mid-vein. No sclerenchyma fibres are present in any part of the leaf.

### 2.2.2.15.6. Stomata

Stomata were only found on the lower side of the leaf, and are of the diacytic type. In $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ brownei they tend to be more or less level with the epidermis, while in $H_{\text {o }}$ douqlasii they are slightly raised.

### 2.2.2.15.7. Glands

Types 1 and $2 b$ were noted on both sides of the leaf in $\underline{H}_{0}$ brownei. In $H$ douqlasii, on the upper side, glands similar to type 9 were found, and on the lower side, glands of types 2 b and 14.

```
2.2.2.16. Diodeilis Rafin.
    The anatomy of the first six species listed in Table 2.11, of
8 in the genus, was studied.
```


### 2.2.2.16.1. Epidermis

The upper epidermal cells are generally small oval-oblong with thick walls. Dnly D. qlabella has rather large oval-oblong cells, with just a little thickened walls.

The lower epidermis usually has rather smaller cells, similarly shaped or a little more oblong than the upper epidermis, though in $\mathrm{D}_{\text {a }}$ dentata the cells on both sides are similar in size.

Above the mid-vein the cells are generally smaller, rounder or squarer, with thicker walls. However, in D. coccinea and D. ashei all the upper epidermal cells are similar. Belaw the mid-vein the epidermal cells are small, rounded, and thick walled in all species.

### 2.2.2.16.2. Palisade and spongy mesophyll

All species basically have dorsiventral palisade, but in the woody section Diodeilis there is a much weaker distinction between palisade mesophyll and spongy mesophyll than in sect. Herbaceae.

In sect. Diodeilis palisade takes up about $50 \%$ of the leaf thickness. D. coccinea and $\underline{\text { D. ashei }}$ both have spongy mesophyll with dorsiventrally arranged cells. D. dentata, however, has more clearly distinguishable palisade with very irregular spongy mesophyll cells that have large air spaces between them.

The spongy mesophyll in sect. Herbaceae is quite distinct, taking up about half of the leaf in D. arkansana, $60 \%$ in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{a}}$ georqiana, and 70-75\% in Da qlabella. Palisade in D. glabella is particularly woak, with a single layer of very small oblong cells.

### 2.2.2.16.3. Collenchyma at mid-vascular bundle

Sect. Diodeilis has no collenchyma above the mid-vascular bundle, and very few, rounded, thick-walled cells below the bundle. Sect. Herbaceae, on the other hand, has a fow, but distinct, collenchyma cells capping the bundle. Eelow the bundle the collenchyma varies from a small wedge of round cells in $\underline{D}_{\text {. }}$ georqiana, to about half the vein area conposed of large, round cells with little thickened walls in D. arkansana, and most of the vein area below the bundle composed of large, round, thin-walled cells in D. qlabolla.

### 2.2.2.16.4. Bundle sheath

The bundle sheath is quite distinct in sect. Diodeilis, with small, oval to rounded cells with not or slightly thickened walls. In sect. Herbaceae, though less prominent, the sheath is still easily distinguishable from the mesophyll, having small to quite large, rounded, thin-walled cells.

### 2.2.2.16.5. Mid-vein bundle

The bundle in sect. Diodeilis is round, about 35-40\% of the leaf thickness at the mid-vein, and situated in the lower half of the vein. In sect. Herbaceae, D. qlabella and D. artansana have small, oval bundles, and $\underline{D}_{\text {. georgiana }}$ has a large, semi-circular or crescent-shaped bundle, that take up $25-30 \%, 30 \%$ and $40 \%$ of the leaf thickness at the mid-vein, respectively.

Sclerenchyma is absent in Do dentata, $_{\text {D. artansana, }}^{\text {D. }}$ qlabella and D. procumbens, and only a fow fibres were noted below the phloem in D. coccinea and D. ashei. However, D. qeorqiana has a distinct band of sclerenchyma below the phloem, and only a few maakly lignified cells above the xylem.

The proportion of the total stomata on the loser side of the leaf is summarized in Table 2.11. Diacytic stomata were noted in D. $_{\text {. }}$ coccinea, $\underline{\mathrm{D}_{\text {. }} \text { georqiana, }}$ D. arkansana and $\underline{\text { D. qlabella. Additionally, }}$ a leaf epidermal peel also revealed diacytic stomata in $\underline{\text { D. }}$ procumbens.

Table 2.il

|  | Stomata <br> \% lower side | Above | Glands |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | Below

### 2.2.2.16.7. G1 ands

The various types of gland found ara summarized in Table 2.11.
 form of type 12, on both sides of the leaf.

### 2.2.3. Summary of Anatomical Data obtained by G. Ertem

The information summerized in Table 2.12 and the illustrations reproduced in figs. 2.1 to 2.38 were extracted from preliminary notes of G. Ertem. My own investigations have largely complemented and expanded on Ertems work.

### 2.2.3.1.

Table 2.12 Leqend. Falisade: Iso = isolateral; Dorsi = dorsiventral. Mid-vein bundle: Tissues encountered in a T.S. through mid-vein from the top to bottom: $C=$ collenchymag $5=$ sclerenchyma; $x=$ xylem; $p=$ phloem. Marginal vein: $-=a b s e n t ; ~ /=$ present.

Table 2.12. Summary of Anatomical Data obtained by Go Ertema
Species

Satureja L.
S. montana $L$.
S. cuneifolia Ten.
S. spinosa L.
S. macrantha Ca A. Mey。
S. hortensis $L$.
S. thymbra $\mathrm{L}_{\text {. }}$
S. spicigera (C. Koch) Boiss.
S. parnassica Heldr. \& Sart.

Palisade


Micromeria Benth. sect. Micromeria
Mo juliana ( $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ ) Benth. ex Reichenb.
Ma cristata (Hampe) Griseb.
Mo myrtifolia Boiss. \& Hohen.
M. cremnophila Bois5. \& Hohen.

| Iso | CXPC | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Iso | CXPC | - |
| Iso | CXPC | - |
| Iso | CXPC | - |
| Iso | CXPC | - |
| Iso | CXPC | - |
| Iso | CXPC | - |
| Iso | CXPC | - |

Mo marginata (Sma) Chater
M. nervosa (Desf.) Benth.

Mo graeca (L.) Benth.
Ms densiflora Benth.
M. battandieri (Briq。)
M. macrosiphon Coss.
M. lanata (Chr. Sm. ex Link) Benth.
M. benthami Nebb \& Berth.

| Dorsi | CSXPSC | $\checkmark$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dorsi | CSXPSC | $\checkmark$ |
| Dorsi | CSXPSC | $\checkmark$ |
| Dorsi | CSXPSC | $\checkmark$ |
| Dorsi | CSXPSC | $\checkmark$ |
| Dorsi | CSXPSC | $\checkmark$ |
| Dorsi | CSXPSC | $\checkmark$ |
| Dorsi | CSXPSC | $\checkmark$ |
| Dorsi | CSXPSC | $\checkmark$ |
| Dorsi | CSXPSC | $\checkmark$ |
| Dorsi | CSXPSC | $\checkmark$ |
| Dorsi | CSXPSC | $\checkmark$ |

Micromeria sect. Pineolentia $P$. Perez
A. pinealens Svent.

Dorsi CSKPSC
Micromeria sect. Cymularia Boiss.
M. cymuligera Boiss. \& Hausskn.

Dorsi CSXPSC
Micromeria sect. Pseudomelissa Benth.
Mo thymifolia (Scop.
Mo dalmatica Benth.
Mo dolichodonta P.H. Davis
M. Libanotica Boiss.

Mofruticosa ( $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) Druce
Mo mollis Benth.
M. pulegium (Rocho) Benth.

Mo carica Pa $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}$ Davis

| Dorsi | CXPC | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dorsi | CXPC | - |
| Dorsi | CXPC | - |
| Dorsi | CXPC | - |
| Dorsi | CXPC | - |
| Dorsi | CXPC | - |
| Dorsi | CXPC | - |
| Dorsi | CXPC | - |

Acinos Miller
A. rotundifolius Pers.

Dorsi CXPC
Cyclotrichium Manden. \& Scheng.
C. origanifolium (Labill.) Mo \& $S$.

Iso
CXPC
Clinopodium $L$.
C. vulgare $L$.

Ca umbrosum (f. Bieb.) C. Koch

| Dorsi | CXPC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dorsi | CXPC |

## Calamintha Miller

Ca grandiflora (L.) Moench
Dorsi CXPC -
C. sylvatica Bromfa Dorsi CXPC -

Fig. 2. 1.

Fig. 2.2.

Fig. 2.3.

Fig. 2.4.

Fig. 2.5.


Fig. 2.1 Satureja montana. Fig. 2.2 S. cuneifolia. Fig. 2.3 S. parnassica. Fig. 2.4 S. macrantha. Fig. 2.5 S. spicigera. Fig. 2.6 S. thymbra.

Fig. 2.7.


Fig. 2.8.

Fig. 2.9.

Fig. 2.10.

Fig. 2.11.


Fig. 2.7 Micromeria juliana. Fig. 2.8 M. myrtifolia. Fig. 2.9 M. graeca. Fig. 2.10 M. nervosa. Fig. 2.11 M. amana.

Fig. 2.12.


Fig. 2.13.


Fig. 2.14.


Fig. 2. 12 Micromeria marginata. Fig. 2. 13 M. cristata. Fig. 2. 14 Mo battandieri. Fig. 2.15 Ho macrosiphon. Figo 2.16 A. densiflora. Fig. 2.17 M. lanata.


Fig. 2.18 Micromeria benthamii. Fig. 2.19 A. pineolens. Fig. 2. 20 M. cymuligera. Fig. 2.21 …fruticosa. Fig. 2.22 . Mo pulegium. $^{\text {. }}$


Fig. 2. 25.

Fig. 2.26.

Fig. 2.27.

Fig. 2. 28.

Fig. 2. 23 Micromeria thymifolia. Fig. 2.24 A. dalmatica. Fig. 2.25 M. mollis. Fig. 2.26 M. dolichodonta. Fig. 2.27 M. libanotica. Fig. 2.28 Mo carica.


Fig. 2. 29 Calamintha grandiflora. Fig. 2.30 Cosylvatica. Fig. 2. 31 Clinapodium vulgare. Fig. 2. 32 Co umbrosum.


Fig. 2.37.
Fig. 2.33 Acinos rotundifolius.
Fig. 2.34 Cyclotrichium origanifolium.
Stomata. Fig. 2. 35 Co origanifolium. Fig. 2. 36 Satureja parnassica.
Fig. 2.37 Micromeria marginata. Fig. 2. 38 Calamintha grandiflora.

Fig. 2.39 Satureja hortensis.

'Fig. 2.40 Satureja spinosa.


Fig. 2. 41 Satureja salzmannii.


Fig. 2.42 Gontscharovia popovii.
collenchyma
T.S. $\times 50$.

palisade mesophyll (second layer of cells)
phloen
(first layer of cells)


Fig. 2.42a Gardoquia mathewsii

T.S. Portion of lower epidermis $\times 200$

Fig. 2.42b Gardoquia mathewsii

Fig. 2.43a Gardoquia gilliesii


Fig: 2.43b Gardoquia gilliesii

Fig. 2.44a Gardoquia plicatula


Fig. 2.44b Gardoquia plicatula. T.S. $\times 200$

Fig. 2.45a Gardoquia pallida

T.S. $\times 200$

T.S. Portion of lower epidermis $\times 270$

Fig. 2.45b Gardoquia pallida


Fig. 2. 46 Gardoquia discolor

Fig. 2.47a Obtegomeria caerulescens


Fig. 2.2.47b Obtegomeria caerulescens

T.S. $\times 100$

Fig. 2.48a Xenopoma alpestris

T.S. $\times 50$


Fig. 2.48b Xenopoma alpestris. T.S. x 200

Fig. 2.49a Xenopoma schusteri


Fig. 2. 49 Xenopoma schusteri T.S. x 200

T.S. $\times 100$


Fig. 2.50b Xenopoma boliviana T.S. $\times 200$

Fig: 2.51a Xenopoma brevicalyx

T.S. $\times 50$


Fig. 2.51b Xenopoma brevicalyx ToS. x 200

Fig. 2.52a Xenopoma odora

T.S. $\times 50$


Fig: 2.52b Xenopoma odora T.S. $\times 200$

Fig. 2.53a Xenopoma fasciculata


Fig: 2.53b Xenopoma fasciculata, portion of lamina, T.S. x 400


Fig. 2. 54 Xenopoma mutabilis, $T_{s} S_{.} \times 100$.


Fig. 2.55 Xenopoma cylindristachys, T.S. $\times 100$

Fig. 2.56a Hesperothymus brownei


Fig. 2.56b Hesperothymus brownei, T.S. portion of leaf lamina, x 250


Fig. 2.56c Hesperothymus brownei, T.S. x 250

Fig. 2.57 Piloblephis rigida


## T.S. portion of lower epidermis below the mid-vein, x 300

## Leaf glands.



Fig. 2.58. Type 2b.


Fig. 2.59a. Type 2, from above.


Fig. 2.59b. Type 2, side viem。


Fig. 2.60. Type 1.


Fig. 2.61. Type 4.


Fig. 2.62. Type 3.


Fig. 2.63. Type 6.

Fig. 2.64. Type 5.


Fig. 2.65. Type 9.


Fig. 2.66. Type 8. Fig. 2.67. Type 7.


Fig. 2.68. Type 10.


Fig. 2.69. Type 11.


Fig. 2.71. Type 12.


Fig. 2.73. Type 15.


Fig. 2.78. Type 18。


Fig. 2.75. Type 17.


Fig. 2.77. Type 16.

Fig. 2.76. Type 7a.
b) Mesophyll

The Satureioid genera (Satureja 5.5.g Euhesperida, and Gontscharovia) and Saccocalyx all have isolateral palisade parenchyma. All the other genera have dorsiventral palisade, though there are isolated exceptions. Acinos corsicus has more or less isolateral palisade towards the leaf margins, and Cyclotrichium niveum and Gardoquia micromerioides have entirely isolateral palisade.

Cyclotrichium may have a complete transitional series from dorsiventral palisade, to isolateral with clear development of
spongy mesophyll, to complete absence of spongy mesophyll. C. haussknechtii and C. stamineum have dorsiventral palisade with clear distinction between palisade and spongy mesophyll. C. leucotrichum has a clear layer of upper palisade mesophyll, but the spongy mesophyll is less distinct than the other two species, having dorsiventrally arranged irregular-oblong cells with large air spaces between them. C. origanifolium has isolateral palisade with spongy mesophyll sandwiched in the middle (Ertem, unpublished; see fig. 2.34). Finally, $C_{\text {. }}$ niveum has isolateral palisade with no spongy mesophyll. It is difficult to draw a line between dorsiventral and isolateral in this genus: However, it may be that C. niveumg which has linear leaves quite unlike any other Cyclotrichium species, is the only one with constantly isolateral palisade. The others may be basically dorsiventral. It may be that the mesophyll in $C_{\text {o origanifolium seen by Ertem is similar, if not }}$ identical, to $C_{\text {g }}$ leucotrichum, which would illustrate my point of the difficulty of drawing a line between isolateral and dorsiventral.

All the Satureioid genera and Saccocalyx have narrowly elliptic, oblanceolate, or linear leaves, with conduplicate ptyxis, or more rarely linear, channelled leaves. The non-Satureioid genera tend to have flat, ovate to lanceolate leaves. In some genera, especially Micromeria and Piloblephis, the leaves may be narrowly elliptic or linear but then they have revolute ptyxis. Aside from the few above-mentioned exceptions, palisade structure correlates well with gross morphology, and supports the distinction of the Satureioid genera.

As regards the relative proportions of palisade and spongy mesophyll and the compactness and numbers of cells across the spongy mesophyll, one must keep in mind the many reports on the
strong influence of climate, especially exposure to sun and air humidity, on the structure of the mesophyll (Starr, 1912; ficDougall \& Penfound, 1928; Nius, 1931; Schroder, 1938; Stalfelt, 1956). From the data gathered in my own study I cannot confirm or refute these authors' conclusions, but there is no reason to doubt that their results also have general application to Satureja 5.1. Generally, leaves of more xeric conditions have smaller intercellular volume in the spongy mesophyll and a greater proportion of palisade. The pattern of the mesophyll tissues usually remains the same no matter the climatic conditions a leaf may develop in. Nevertheless, Russell (1895) reports that in Calamintha clinopodium (= Clinopodium vulgare L.) plants gathered from around Paris had heterogeneous mesophyll and those from Provence had mesophyll entirely of palisade. However, one wonders how accurate this observation was since the present study has not discovered any species with heterogeneous mesophyl1, and Erten (unpublished; see Table 2.12) reports $C_{\text {. }}$ vulgare with dorsiventral palisade.

The species with isolateral palisade may or may not have spongy mesophyll sandwiched between the palisade layers. In Satureja 5.5. my own results differ somewhat from those of Ertem (unpublished). Whereas Ertem found spongy mesophyll present in all the species she studied, I found that all species of the montana complex s.
 S. salzmannii had no spongy mesophyll (see Table 2.1). It seems probable, therefore, that the development of spongy mesophyll in Satureja 5:5: is strongly influenced by ecological factors.

Heinrichter (1884) concluded that isolaterality osas probably inherited and derived from dorsiventrality. The constancy of isolateral palisade in the Satureioid group and dorsiventral palisade in the rest of the Satureja s.l. complex indicates that
palisade structure is genetically determined rather than solely influenced by climate. Throughout the Mediterranean region at least, the distributions of Satureioid and non-Satureioid genera largely coincide, and occupy similarly arid habitats. A short review by Pyykkö (1966) of papers dealing with isolaterality shows that this form of palisade is associated with arid climates. The Satureioid genera nicely support this observation. A few species in Satureja 5.l. support the hypothesis that isalateral palisade is derived from dorsiventral palisade. All the Acinos species studied have dorsiventral palisade except $A_{\text {a }}$ corsicus. It seems reasonable to conclude that in this case isolaterality is indeed derived from dorsiventrality, not merely since $A_{0}$ corsicus is "odd-man-out" but because this species is specialised in other leaf characters alsos such as the strong marginal vein. Additional support comes from Gardoquia and Diodeilis. Some specimens of G. pallida, G. striata, and G. incana have a fet dorsiventrally arranged cells adjacent to the lower epidermis, whilst usually these species conform to the pattern throughout the genus (except Gomicromerioides) of clearly dorsiventral palisade. In Diodeilis the distinction between palisade and spongy mesophyll is much weaker in the woody section Diodeilis than the herbaceous section Herbaceae Dorsiventrally arranged cells in the spongy mesophyll were noted in $\underline{D a}_{\text {a coccinea }}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ashei.

### 2.2.5.3. c) Collenchyma

The amount and disposition of collenchyma varies considerably in Satureja 5.1. Most commonly this tissue is confined to above and belok vascular bundles, and is particularly well developed around the mid-vein bundle. This perivascular collenchyma is nearly always less well developed above the bundles than below. Collenchyma is rarely entirely absent. Using the classification of Duchaigne
(1955), in every case the collenchyma is of the "annular" type, which has uniformly thickened walls.

At the generic level the disposition of collenchyma is of little taxonomic value, but is useful in distinguishing the two sections of Diodeilis. Sect. Diodeilis has no collenchyma above the mid-vein while sect. Herbaceae has a distinct cap of collenchyma cells.

At the specific level collenchyma is frequently of value. There are too many cases to list in full here, but a few examples might be mentioned. In Satureja 5.5. only one species; $\underline{\text { S. salzmannii, }}$ has no collenchyma. A similar situation is found in Acinos corsicus. The large size of the collenchyma cells above the mid-vein distinguishes Micromeria taygetea from the other Micromeria sect. Pseudomelissa species investigated. Extreme wall thickening of人ollenchyma cell walls adjacent to the lower epidermis is characteristic of Acinos pseudosimensis and also Killickia pilosan Lignification and the merging of collenchyma with a peculiarly large-lumen form of sclerenchyma is characteristic of a number of Gardoquia species (see Table 2.8). Lemesle (1928) also noted complete sclerification of the stem collenchyma in $\mathbf{G}_{\text {。 gilliesii }}$ and G. incana.

## d) Bundle sheath

The bundle sheath is usually rather unremarkable and does not provide any noteworthy features of taxonomic value.
2.2.5.5. e) Vascular bundle and sclerenchymarous tissue

The shape of the mid-vein bundle is of little taxonomic importance. Usually it varies from round to oval in section, or more rarely crescent-shaped. The proportion of $x y l e m$ and phloem also seems of little use, but the presence or absence of
sclerenchyma and its disposition is of great value at sectional and specific levels. Sclerenchyma is always associated with vascular bundles of as the sole constituent of marginal veins; I have never seen isolated sclerenchymatous fibres in the mesophyll.

The most striking feature of use at the sectional level is the presence or absence of marginal veins. These veins, composed entirely of sclerenchymatous fibress are present in all species of Micromeria sect. Micromeria and Micromeria sect. Pineolentiag and Acinos corsicus and $A_{\text {s }}$ nanus. They are large enough to be seen with the naked eye in undissected leaves.

Sclerenchyma provides a consistent diagnostic character to distinguish the two main groups of species in Micromeria. This treatment recognises 5 sections in Micromeria, but four of them, sect. Micromeria, sect. Pinealentia, sect. Cymularia, and sect. Madagascarenses, based upon morphological data, seen more closely related; the remaining sect. Pseudamelissa is set distinctly apart from these. On anatomical data this division is well supported. Sect. Micromeria and its associated sections have strong development of sclerenchyma below the phloem and fewer fibres, rarely none, above the sylem. There is a complete absence of sclerenchyma in all vascular traces in sect. Pseudomelissa. Taking both my own study and that of Ertem together, a very wide range of Micromeria species was studied and yet this correlation has held.

In Xenopoma, species of sects. Ceratominthe, Prostratae, and Pauciflorae have no sclerenchyma or almost none, but sects. Spicatae, Sphenostachys, and Xenopoma have a broad band of fibres at least below the mid-vein phloem.

The amount of sclerenchyma varies greatly in Satureja 5.5.s Acinos, and Gardoquia, so there are many cases at the species level in these genera vhere this tissue provides valuable diagnostic
characters.
The value of marginal veins is undoubted; the veins are a constant feature in Micromeria sect. Micromeria and sect. Pineolentia and the two Acinos species mentioned above. However, there is an extensive literature concerned with the effects of climate on the development of mechanical tissue. The general conclusion is that mechanical tissue increases with increasingly xeric conditions. With this in mind, I have tried to concentrate on large, striking differences rather than possibly inconsequential details. I think it has been shown that sclerenchyma can be relied upon to provide a useful diagnostic character.
f) Stomata

Diacytic stomata were the only type found, which is by far the most common in the Labiatae (Metcalfe \& Chalk, 1979).

Of the 86 species for which data is available, 70 species had more than $60 \%$ of the total stomata on the lower side of the leaf, 14 species with more or less equal numbers of stomata on either side (i.e. between $40 \%$ and $60 \%$ of total stomata on one side), and only 2 species had more stomata on the upper side. So it appears that the proportion of stomata on either side of the leaf has no great taxonomic value.

In Satureja s.l. as a whole about half the species have stomata level with the epidermis and half with slightly raised stomata. Sunken stomata were never seen. Particular genera within Satureja 5.1. tend to have either raised or level stomata but never absolutely one or the other type. For example, Satureja 5.5. tends to have stomata level with the epidermis, whereas Gardoquia and Xenopoma mostly have raised stomata.

There is a wide variety of gland types, the majority of which are not confined to any particular genus. Although this study has enumerated 20 different types of gland (see Anatomical Results; figs. 2. 58 to 2.77) it is very difficult to support the contention that gland types provide useful indicators of taxonomic affinity. For example, can it really be said that the so called gland type 9 found in Cyclotrichium can be equated with what appears to be a similar gland in Gardoquia? On a morphological basis these genera are poles apart. Nothing is known about the ontogeny of these glands, and even if this was knowng similar glands in different genera may not indicate any close affinity. So where might glands be of value? The results indicate that glands are of value in two ways: firstly there are glands characteristic of the entire Satureja 5.1. complex; secondly there are very rare types of gland restricted to particular genera or species.

The most characteristic glands of the entire complex are the punctateg multicellularg sessile glands similar to types 2 and $2 a$ 。 These are also characteristic of a number of other genera outside the Satureja 5.l. complex (Ettiene; 1930; Metcalfe \& Chalk, 1950; Caballero, Jimenez; \& Perez de Paz; 1978).

To illustrate the usefulness of the rare gland, three examples might be mentioned: the first illustrates a gland restricted to a related group of genera, the second to a particular genus, and the third to a single species. Gland type 6 is restricted to the Calaminthoid genera Calamintha, Acinos, and Cyclotrichiumo Gland type 7 is found in both species of Euhesperida only and not in any of the closely related Satureioid genera. Gland type 11 is confined to the single species Micromeria taygetea.

[^0]marshes.
One thing is clear: there is no set anatomical pattern of adaptation to environmental stress. In one species it may be the epidermis that is more developed, in another it may be strong development of sclerenchyma. It is apparent that there is a system of compensation occuring; for example, epidermis development may compensate for sclerenchyma development. Nevertheless, as has been shown in the previous section, definite tissue patterns still emerge characteristic of genera, sections, species groups or individual species. Presumably this is because of the plant's underlying genetic constitution.

Without regard to the effectiveness of various xeromorphic adaptations, whether these adaptations actually reduce water 1055 or render the leaf more resistant to water losis, I would like to outline those features typical of Satureja 5.1. and how the different species cope with or react to similar environmental stresses. The presumption $i 5$, as has already been mentioned, that the xeromorphic characters seen are, in fact, in response primarily to water stress; this presumption gives a reasonable basis for discussion.
2.2.6.1. Leaf fall

There is very little direct evidence that leaf fall is a significant factor as a drought-avoiding mechanism in Satureja s.1. Some herbarium specimens have a large proportion of their leaves missing and though this may be due to poor drying or rough handling it is likely that a good proportion were collected with their leaves missing. It appears that the lower leaves tend to be shed first. Oppenheimer (1960) showed that this is a very widespread phenomenon, citing Phlomis viscosa, Teucrium polium, Thymus
capitatus, and Salvia triloba as Labiate examples. Mooney et al. (1977) cite three further examples from Salvia.

Montenegro et al. (1979) showed that there are two types of leaf in Gardoquia gilliesiig there are large dolichoblast leaves in the winter and small brachyblast leaves during the dry summer: Both types coexist during early summer (September to December) and then the dolichoblast leaves are shed as drought becomes severe. The brachyblast leaves gradually dehydrate to about $15 \%$ ofater by weight during the summer, and remarkably quickly recover after the first rains in April. Brachyblasts begin to grow about six weeks later and by October have grown into dolichoblastss with new axillary brachyblasts developing. The summer and winter forms of G. gilliesii appear so different that they have been described as separate species (G. gilliesii and G. Chilensis).

### 2.2.6.2. Indumentum and Epidermis

The indumentum is very variable both in size of hairs and their density. The hairs are always simple, except in Cyclotrichium niveum (see fig.App.le), Calamintha candidissima, and species of Xenopoma sect. Xenopoma with dendroid hairs (see fig. 2.48). Simple hairs may be single-celled and no more than tiny triangular outgrowths of the epidermis (e.g. Gardoquia pallidag fig. 2.45) or up to half as long as the leaf thickness (e.g. Ga plicatulag fig. 2.44). Multi-cellular, simple hairs may be fairly short and robust (e.g. Satureja spinosag fig. 2.40) or long and thin (e.g. Obtegomeria caerulescens, fig. 2.47). Usually the hairs are straight and more or less erect, though sometimes they are recurved or curly (e.g. G. discolorg fig. 2.86).

Numerous widespread species are known to have much more pubescent forms in xeric habitats (Johnson, 1975), and it is clear
that an increased density of hairs bestows an ecological advantage on these forms. However, Levin (1973) warns about not being too dogmatic on this observation. Eberhardt (1903) showed experimentally for a large range of species that a denser indumentum was developed in drier conditions. It is reasonable to suggest that some advantage is also achieved by the hairs in Satureja 5.l. species, in probably three main ways: by maintaining a boundary layer of still air transpiration may be decreased (Johnson, 1975), by providing shade fron strong sunlight for the tissues beneath leaf temperature might be reduced, and by providing a possible absorbing surface for dew which is subsequently taken up by the leaf (Barthlott \& Capesius, 1974).

Practically all Satureja 5.1. species have leaf hairs of some sort. The pubescence is usually sparse enough for the leaf surface to be visible. Usually the indumentum is much denser on the lower side, which would tend to diminish the importance of hairs as a sun-shading adaptation. However, since the majority of stomata are also found on the lower side of the leaf, the hairs may play a more important role in control of transpiration. A striking example is seen in the species of Gardoquia sect. Tomentosae and G. discolor where the lower leaf surface is much more densely hairy than the upper side. These species do not have stomata on the upper side of the leaf: Very dense indumentum on both sides is characteristic of some species, including Satureja aintabensis, Micromeria fruticosa, Calamintha candidissima, Cyclotrichium niveum, and Piloblephis rigida.

The correlation between hair density and dryness of habitat is by no means clear in Satureja 5.1. As has been said, most species are found in dry places such as stony mountain slopes, rock crevicess and cliffs. Densely pubescent species and sub-glabrous
species are equally likely to be found in these habitats. The conclusion one must come to is that pubescence is only one of a whole battery of possible xeromorphic adaptations. A lack of hairs is of itself only as important as its contribution to transpiration or temperature control in the whole leaf system.

The epidermis and its vestiture should be considered together since functionally one often compensates for the lack of development of the other: Dr. Baas in a personal communication to Metcalfe (1983) noted that "it is unusual to find all of the putative xeromorphic characters occuring together in any one species. Indeed he suggests that there may be an 'anatomical compensation' that keeps them apart. As examples it may be noted that a dense covering of hairs is usually associated with a thin cuticle and raised rather than sunken stomata."

The adaptational trends in the epidermis related to xeric conditions include reduction in cell size (Eberhardt, 1903; Maximov, 1929), increased thickening of walls, especially the outer walls, cuticle thickening (Kamp, 1930), and presence of mineral crystals. Confirming what Baas has said, no species in Satureja 5.l. has all these features, but one usually compensates for the other: For example, Satureja coerulea has small oblong cells with thickened walls and an extremely thick cuticle, but no hairs, while S. aintabensis has small cells with only slightly thickened walls, a thin cuticle, and an extremely dense indumentum. This compensation of thin cuticle with dense indumentum can also be seen in both species of Euhesperida. The majority of Satureja 5.1. species do not have such a dense indumentum but have thick-walled epidermal cells. A thickening of the walls may or may not reduce transpiration but will certainly increase mechanical strength of the leaf. More will be said about this under the heading
"Mechanical tissue".
Martin \& Juniper (1970) caution that there is no simple relationship between rate of water $105 s$ and cuticle thickness, pointing out that structure and chemical composition are more important. They quote a result of Gäumann and Jaag (1935) which showed that though the cuticle is of similar thickness in the hart's tongue fern (Phyllitis scolopendrium) and the conifer Abies sibirica the former species lost 57 times more water per unit area of leaf. To me this comparison is rather meaningless because of the large anatomical differences between the two species. Howeverg the result again draws attention to the fact that xerophytism is a complex interaction of many component tissues each contributing a part of the total transpiration resistance or desiccation tolerance.

Pyykki (1966), in a study of Patagonian xeromorphic plants, found that generally speaking those species with the thickest cuticle were fron the driest habitats, citing a large number of papers confirming this observation. Metcalfe (1983) provides a short review of papers relating to cuticular thickness: The situation in the Satureja complex is less clear-cut since few species have a markedly thickened cuticle, though most species live in equally xeric conditions. However, there is a clear tendency for the Satureigid genera to have a thick cuticle notoithstanding the cases of Satureja aintabensis and Euhesperida species mentioned above.

Practically every species I have seen shows a marked reduction in size and thickening of walls in cells around the mid-vascular bundle. Possibly this is an adaptation in response to the proximity of the main water conducting tissue to the leaf surface, or merely provides additional mechanical strength. However, Gardoquia striata


#### Abstract

has larger cells above the vascular bundle. No particular attention was paid to the presence of absence of mineral crystals, though Metcalfe (1983) in a review on their taxonomic significance shows that they have some value. Small crystals are fairly frequent throughout the Satureja complex but the only case to catch my eye was the extremely dense packing of crystals in the upper epidermis of Cyclotrichium haussknechtii. A reduction of mater loss might be obtained by a decrease in the water potential of these cells.


### 2.2.6.3. Mechanical tissue

The tissues which contribute to mechanical strength in leaves are primarily collenchyma, sclerenchyma, and xylem. A thick-walled epidermis and a thick cuticle may provide additional strength. There is an extensive literature dealing with the ecological importance of mechanical tissue, and the reader is referfed to Pyykkö (1966) and Metcalfe \& Chalk (1983) where the subject is ably reviewed.

The main function of such tissue is in ailting and bending resistance. Maximov (1929) showed that frequently xeromorphs are not very efficient at preventing water loss. by transpiration but were well suited to tolerate desiccation. A strong development of mechanical tissue would prevent the collapse of the mesophyll by wilting. Furthermore, since most xeromorphs are of open habitats, including nearly all of Satureja 5.1.s and are subject to buffetting by the wind, leaf rigidity is advantageous. Few Satureja s.l. species have large leaves, longer than, say, 3 centimetres, so supporting the weight of the leaf itself is probably a minor role for the mechanical tissue. The major stresses are probably external, such as the wind.

The previous section showed that sclerenchyma can be relied upon to provide a useful taxonomic character, particularly in Micromeria. Even though a wide range of Micromeria species was examined the underlying genetic control of sclerenchyma was clear, but without proper experiments it is impossible to determine the proportion of mechanical tissue produced by genetic make-up and induced by external stresses. Howevers keeping the afore said in mind, patterns of development of mechanical tissue can be seen in certain genera which are apparently related to environmental factors, which may or may not be genetically fixed by natural selection.

In Satureja 5.5:, sclerenchyma is most developed in species of the driest habitats, such as $\underline{S}_{\text {o }}$ coerulea, $\underline{S}_{\text {o wiedemanniana, }} \underline{S}_{0}$ spinosa, and $\mathrm{S}_{\text {. }}$ salzmannii. However, in general, there is an inverse correlation of collenchyma with sclerenchyma, though $\underline{S}_{\text {. }}$ coerulea is exceptional in having both well developed collenchyma and sclerenchyma. This pattern of stronger sclerenchyma in drier habitats is not found in the closely related Euhesperida. The two species, one from the Judaean desert and the other from Northern Libya, have no sclerenchyma and little collenchyma, the major mechanical development being in the epidermis.

The collenchyma is rather invariable in Calamintha and Clinopodium, and sclerenchyma is absent or nearly absent in these genera. Acinos is a little more interesting. $A_{\text {. }}$ nanus, a dwarf, montane species from Crete and the East Aegaean island of Samos, has strongly developed sclerenchyma in all vascular traces plus a marginal vein entirely composed of sclerenchymatous tissue, whereas the closely related species $A_{\text {. rotundifolius, widely }}$ distributed around the Mediterranean at altitudes of 50 m to $2500 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{is}$, according to a drawing by Ertem (see fig. 2.33), entirely lacking
in sclerenchyma in all veins. The specimen studied by Ertem (Davis 21810) has no indication of the altitude at which it was collected. However, it may well be that the strong development of mechanical tissue in $A_{0}$ nanus is related to the very dry, mountain-top localities the species inhabits.

In the New World genera Gardoquia and Xenopoma it is difficult to see any relationship between mechanical tissue and environmental conditions. Table 2.9 shows that the majority of Gardoquia species have well developed sclerenchyma. The four species without sclerenchyma have related species from the same or nearby localities with well developed sclerenchyma. A clear lack of mechanical tissue is seen in the species of Hesperothymus. $H_{\text {. }}$ brownei is commonly found in swamps, ditches and woods, and $H_{\text {n douglasii }}$ is a woodland plant. These damp conditions, an unusual habitat for Satureia 5.l. species, are clearly related to the absence of sclerenchyma and poor development of collenchyma.

### 2.3.1. Introduction

The fruits of Labiatae are very variable, and within Satureja 5.1. this is also the case. Most reports to date, however, have merely presented short descriptions and often rather simple nutlet drawings (e.g. Fabre \& Nicolig 1965; Nojciechouska, 1966; Schermann, 1966). I have not been able to find any reports on the taxonomic significance of nutlet pericarp sculpturing, though Wojciechowska (1966) has presented data on pericarp anatomy. Little is also known on the structure of hairs and glands present in many species of the Satureja complex. It was to fill this gap that a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) study was carried out.
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2.3.2. Method.

Dry, mature nutlets were stuck on to SEM stubs and coated with gold in a vacuum chamber in the standard manner. No special preparation of the nutlets was necessary before coating.

The following is a list of the species prepared with the source specimen in brackets:

Satureja thymbra (Collector?), S. montana (Cavara 1132); S. spinosa (Greuter 7753), S. macrantha (Foroughi 13978), Sa bzybica (Grossheim 5.n.), S. bachtiarica (Bornmuller 4264), S. spicigera (Davis 24899 \& Polunin), S. coerulea (Stribrny 8/1905), S. hortensis (Ricasoli 5. $\mathrm{n}_{0}$ )

Gontscharovia popovii (Schmid 2419)

Calamintha grandiflora ( 0 . Polunin 8265), Co nepeta ssp. glandulosa (Uslu 4304), $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}$ pamphylica (Davis 14420), C. kilimandschari (Volkens 1194), C. debilis (Regel 989)

Clinopodium vulgare (Davis 1761), Co atlanticum (Davis 55524)

Acinos rotundifolius (Jackson 5102), A. nanus (Heldreich Sona), As suaveolens (Stribrny 5/1893), Ao. pseudosimensis (Harris 2249)

Cyclotrichium origanifolium (Darrah 348)
Micromeria sect. Micromeria
M. graeca (Thomas 43), Ma nervosa (Stebbing 88), Mo juliana (Davis 47879), Mo marginata (Reverchon 130), Mo inodora (Faure 1/12/1935), Mo. lanata (Burchard 34), Mo cinerea (Nasher IH32)

Micromeria sect. Cymularia
M. cymuligera (Haussknecht sana)

Micromeria sect. Pseudomelissa
Mo thymifolia (Maly 4481), Mo molli's (Davis 23883 \& Polunin), $M_{0}$ puleqium (F1. Aust. Hung. 2953), M $_{0}$ nepalensis (Gardner 1199), Mo barosma (Forrest 17077), Ma euosma (Forrest 10574), Mo hydaspidis (Stewart 23536), M. $M_{0}$ Capitellata (Koelz 10753), Mo abyssinica (Wood Y1143)

## Killickia compacta (Hilliard \& Burtt 7876)

Brenaniella myriantha (Richards 6728), Bo cacondensis (Gossmeiller 2861)

Gardoquia multiflora (Elliot 195), Ge macrostema ( $_{\text {a }} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ Balls 4055), $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ seleriana (Heyde \& Lux 4/1892), Ga tomentosa ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{K}$. Balls 7147), $\underline{G}_{\mathrm{a}}$ cercocarpoides (Ma. $\mathrm{T}_{\text {。 }}$ Dawe
 (Killip \& Smith 24443)

Xenopoma viminea (Fuertes 1527), $X_{0}$ alpestris (Ekman 13557), $X_{0}$ schusteri (Ekman 13702), $x_{0}$ parvifolia (Reales 1952), $X_{\text {a o odora }}$ (Ugent \& Cardenas 12/4/1963), $X_{\text {a }}$ nubigena (Hartweg 1336), $X_{0}$ axillaris (Bang 1125), $X_{\text {a }}$ brevicalyx (Sandemann 2524), $X_{c}$ chandleri (E.K. Balls 9125), $\underline{X}_{0}$ cylindristachys (Hutchison \& Wright 3869), $x_{\text {. mutabilis }}$ (Lehmann 5829)

Diodeilis coccinea (Torrey 1534), D. georgiana (Curtiss S.n.), D. procumbens (Pringle 8895), Do amissa (Gentry 7146)

Piloblephis rigida (Curtiss 5847)
Hesperothymus brownei (Triana 5.n.), $H_{\text {a douglasii (Franklin }}$ Expedition S.n.)

The terminology adopted here to describe various parts of the fruit wall, illustrated in fig. 2.78, are those of Wojciechowska (1966).


Fig. 2.78. Transverse section of the pericarp.

To obviate the need to add further identifying numbers to the SEM photographs, the plates will be referred to by the numbers already on the prints. After the scale bar and "30KV" (the filament voltage), the next two numbers are the stub number and the slide number: The plates are identified by these two numbers; e.g. stub number 12, slide number $14=P 1.12 .14=$ Killickia compacta.

### 2.3.3.1. Satureja 5.5.

The shape is very variable, ranging from very broadly ovoid (S. spinosa, P1. 10.14 ) to oblong (S. coerulea, PI. 11.2).

All the species except 5 . hortensis have a minutely reticulate surface pattern. What one is seeing are the walls of dead epicarp cells. This is quite clearly seen in S. thymbra (P1. 11.1 \& 11.19). S. hortensis has much larger epicarp cells, rather oblong in outline (see Pl. 11.8). Transverse sections of
the pericarp of $\underline{S_{\text {a }}}$ hortensis and $\underline{S_{\text {a }}}$ montana, illustrated by Wojciechowska (1966; Plates XXIX \& XXX), clearly show these differences in cell size.

All species; other than S. hortensis, have minute stalked glands at least at the apex. These glands can be seen with a binocular microscope at $\times 20$.

Of the 9 species investigated, 4 have punctate glands. The glands are of two types: large, almost hemispherical glands (see Pl. 11.8) as found in $\underline{S a}_{\text {a }}$ hortensis, and rather smaller, more spherical glands as in S. bzybica (Plo 12.17), S. spicigera ( Pl .11 .5 ), and S . montana ( Pl .10 .10 ). If one knows what to look for, the large glands of So hortensis can just be made out at $\times 20$ with a binocular microscope.
2.3.3.2. Gontscharovia

The single species, Gopovii, has oblong nutlets, with a subobtuse apex. There are no trichomes or glands. The surface is extremely minutely reticulate (see Pl. 20.33).
2.3.3.3. Micromeria sect: Micromeria

The shape varies from ovoid (M. lanata, Pl. 14.8; Mo inodora, Pl. 14.5), oblong (M, marginata, Pl. 14.6), to elliptic ( $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}}$ cinerea, Pl. 20.31).

All the species have a characteristic reticulate surface pattern with linear, parallel depressions. Plates 13.1 and 14.4 show these very clearly.

There were no glands or trichomes in the species I investigated.
2.3.3.4 Micromeria sect. Cymularia

The single species of the section, M. cymuligera, is sub-orbicular in shape. The epicarp cells are large, areolate
when viewed from the surface (see Pl. 18.15), and overlying much smaller cells of the mesocarp. Either age or preparation of the specimen destroys most of the epicarp so the surface appears to have a minutely reticulate pattern (Pla 18.14). There are no trichomes or punctate glands.

### 2.3.3.5. Micromeria sect. Pseudomelissa

The shape is very variables ranging from ovoid fe.g. Mo euosma, Pl. 18.12), oblong (e.g. M. nepalensis, Pl. 17.9), to oblong-elliptic (e.g. 的 puleqium, Pl. 17.8)。

The majority of species have a minutely reticulate pericarp
 18.17) on the other hand have large epicarp cells, the latter clearly overlying smaller cells of the mesocarp (see Pl. 18.16) : In Ma thymifolia the surface is almost completely covered in broadly triangular papillae (P1. 17.5). These papillae, at least they seem to be more like papillae than hairs (see Pla 17.6), overlie small cells of the mesocarp (see top right of Pl. 17.6).

Three of the species investigated have trichomes. $M_{0}$ pulegium has large, flat, triangular hairs at the nutlet apex and on the adaxial median edge (Pl. 17.8), and Mo mollis has tiny, 2-celled hairs tehey appear glandular under a light microscope) primarily at the nutlet apex (Pl. 17.3). The papillae of $\mathrm{Ma}_{\mathrm{a}}$ thymifolia have already been mentioned above.

Two species, M. nepalensis (Pl. 17.9) and P9. euosma (Pl. 18. 12), have large sessile glands at the nutlet apex. These apparently multicellular glands are different in form to those in Satureja 5.5. Plates 18.13 and 17.10 show that the glands are much larger, not at all sunken, and quite distinct from the epicarp.

## Killickia

The nutlets of $K_{0}$ compacta are very large, ovoid in shape (Pl. 12.14). The surface is minutely reticulate, with minute trichomes arising from the centre of many epicarp cells <P1. 12.15). Thick, prominent longitudinal veins are also noteworthy. There are no glands.
2.3.3.8 Calamintha

All the species are ovaid, sometimes very broadly so (Ca pamphylica, Pl. 15.14).

Large areolate epicarp cells are found in $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}$ debilis $\mathrm{PPl}_{\mathrm{P}}$ 15.10) and C. nepeta (P1. 15. 12 and 15.13). The other three species investigated have a minutely reticulate surface.

There are no hairs or glands.

### 2.3.3.9 Clinopodium

Both species are sub-orbicular in shape (Pl. 16.1): The surface is minutely reticulate $(P 1=16.16)$, and there are no trichomes or punctate glands.
2.3.3.10 Acinos

The nutlets in all the species are narrowly ovoid in shape. The base narpows sharply in $A_{0}$ suaveolens (Plo 19.24) and $A_{\text {a }}$ rotundifolius (Pl. 19.26).

The walls of collapsed epicarp cells are very prominent in A. rotundifolius ( Pl .19 .20 ) and $A_{0}$ nanus ( Pl .19 .26 ) . Close-up
views of $A_{\text {. pseudosimensis ( }}$ Pl. 19.23) and $A_{0}$ suaveolens (Pl. 19.25) also reveal large epicarp cells.

There are no hairs or glands.
2.3.3.11. Cyclotrichium
C. origanifolium has narrowly ovoid nutlets with a rounded apex (P1. 20.29). The surface is minutely reticulate (Pl. 20.30) and there are no trichomes or punctate glands.
2.3.3.12 Gardoquia

The shape varies greatly, from ovoid, with a rounded apex (e.g. Be multiflora, Pl, 2.11), to oblong with an obtuse apex (G. impressa, $\mathrm{Pl}=2.7$ ), an acute apex (G. cercocarpoides, Pl. 1.1), or a long, apiculate apex (G. sphenophylla, Pl. 7.8).

There are three distinct forms of surface pattern: minutely reticulate (G. macrostema, Pl. 3.17), colliculate (G. multiflora, Pl .2 .11 , and areolare-reticulate (all the other species investigated).

None of the species studied had trichomes of punctate glands.

### 2.3.3.13 Xenopoma

Of all the genera in the Satureja complex, Xenopoma must have the most variable nutlets. Eleven species were studied to cover most of this variation. The shape varies from broadly ovoid (e.g. X. nubigena, Pl. 4.23) to narrowly oblong (e.g. $\underline{X}_{0}$ alpestris, Pl. 9.1), the apex rounded (e.g. Xe brevicalyx, Pl. 4.20) to apiculate (e.g. $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{o}}$ schusteri, Pl .5 .31 ).

The nutlet surface features are also very variable. $\mathrm{X}_{\text {. }}$ schusteri (P1. 5.32), $X_{0}$ alpestris (P1. 9.3), and $X_{0}$ brevicalyx (Pl. 4.20) have more or less parallel furrows running longitudinally. $X_{\text {a }}$ odora is pustulate over the whole nutlet
surface except for at the very apex (Pl. 7.4). All the other species have a reticulate surface pattern. The reticulations are due to large epicarp cells overlying smaller mesocarp cells in $X_{0}$ chandleri (P1. 9.18), $X_{\text {a }}$ cylindristachys $\left(P 1.8_{i}\right.$ 12), and X。 axillaris (Pl: 7.7).
X. alpestris (Pl。9.1), $X_{a}$ viminea $(P 1=5.33)_{g}$ and $X_{0}$ brevicalyx (Pl. 4.20) have distinctive triangular hairs. In all three species the hairs are covered in tiny waxy pustules \{Pl. 4. 22 and P1. 5.35).

None of the species have punctate glands.
Another feature of note are the thing membranous wings at the base of the nutlets unique to $X_{0}$ schusteri (Pl. 5.31).
2.3.3.14. Diodeilis

The shape varies from suborbicular (Da georgiana, Pla 6.3) to ovoid (e.g. D. amissa, Pl. 9.4).

The surface features of the annual $D_{0}$ amissa is at variance with the rest of the genus. This species has large epicarp cells relative to its very small size (c. 0.8 mm compared to 1.4-1.8 mm for the others). The other species have a much finer reticulate pattern (Pl. 6.3, 4.26, and 9.0).

None of the four species investigated have trichomes or punctate glands.

### 2.3.3.15 Hesperothymus

The nutlets of the two species of the genus are vastly different from one another. $H_{\mathrm{a}}$ brownei has subarbicular nutlets (Pl. 8.15) whilst $\underline{H_{a} \text { douglasii }}$ has ovoid nutlets (Pl. 8.16).

The nutlet surface of $\underline{H_{\text {. }} \text { brownei }}$ is deeply ruminate, with a dense incrustation of crystaline-like wax deposits (Pl. 8.14), but $H_{a}$ douglasii has a smooth surface or with small
reticulations (Pl. 8.17).
An extreme close-up of the nutlet surface of $H_{\text {u }}$ brownei reveals tiny spherical gland-like structures (P1. 8.14). These glands are absent in douglasii. Neither species has trichomes.

## Piloblephis

The single species, $P_{\text {a }}$ rigida, has ovoid nutlets with a rounded apex (Pl. 3.19). Small reticulations cover the whole nutlet surface. There are no trichomes or glands.

### 2.3.4. Discussion

Realising now the great variability of the nutlets in Satureja 5.1. and the small sample studied, due caution has to taken in extrapolating from the results obtained. Sixty seven species were


The shape of the nutlets has been found to be very variable, even within generag and, as a consequence, is of little value in distinguishing genera. At the sectional level; the almost spherical nutlets of the monotypic Micromeria sect. Cymularia might be mentioned. As far as I am aware, no other Micromeria has suborbicular nutlets. At the specific levels on the other hand, nutlet shape is often of value, especially in such genera as Gardoquia, Hesperothymus, and Xenopoma.

Only four types of nutlet surface pattern were found. By far the most common was the reticulate pattern. The size of the lacunae varied greatly, from very small (e.g. Micromeria euosma, Pla 18.13) to very large (e.g. Acinos rotundifolius; Pl. 19.26), with all intergradations between. The other three surface types are quite rare: the sulcate type in three species of Xenopoma, the ruminate type of Hesperothymus brownei, and the colliculate type of

Gardoquia multiflora. As in the case of nutlet shape, surface patterning was of little taxonomic value at generic level but often useful at specific level: There is, however, one clear exception. The nutlets of Micromeria sect. Micromeria have a reticulate surface, but the lacunae are more or less linear (Pl. 13.1) and not rounded as in other species.

Useful generic characters have been found in trichomes and glands. All Satureja 5.5: species, except S. hortensis, have minute stalked glands. These glands, easily seen with a $\times 20$ binocular microscope, are not found in any other genus.

The large triangular hairs found in Xenopoma are restricted to some species of this genus. Six of the twenty species in Xenopoma have such hairs.

The distribution of hairs in Micromeria is very interesting but the sample I chose from Micromeria really gives a false impression as to their importance. The table below indicates the number of species which do or do not have hairs and/or glands.

> Glabrous Non-gland. Glandular Sessile Nutlets hairs hairs glands unknown

| Sect: Micromeria | 32 | 2 |  | 12 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Sect: Pineolentia | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Sect. Madagascarenses |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Sect: Cymularia | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Sect. Pseudomelissa | 5 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 1 |

The table shows clearly that sect. Micromeria and the more nearly related sections Pineolentia and Cymularia tend to have glabrous nutlets, while sect. Pseudomelissa, quite distinct in vegetative features from these other sections, tends to have hairy nutlets or nutlets with sessile glands.

Twenty five of the 68 American species of the Satureja complex were investigated in this SEM study and only one, Hesperothymus
brownei, was shown to have very minute sessile glands. Twenty more species studied by light microscopy did not reveal any more species with glands. This is not to say that glands might not be there since those of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ brownei were completely missed by light microscopy owing to their very small size.

Only two Dld World genera have punctate glands: Satureja 5.5. and Micromeria sect. Pseudomelissa. The glands are rather different in morphology in each genus. It is difficult to describe the differences, but reference to Plates 11.8, 10.10 (Satureja) and Pl. 18. 12 (Micromeria) shows them to be quite distinct. There are three Micromeria species with sessile glands; Mo eunsma and Mo nepalensis; included in this SEM study, and also Mo wardiig a close relative of M. nepalensis. Mo barosma, a species closely related to Mo euosma (Kudo, 1929), does not appear to have any glands. It seems clear that the taxonomic value of punctate glands lies at the specific rather than the generic level.

> The. / was found to be of little interest since in all species examined there are no very marked differences of structure. attachment scar The . $/$ is always quite small and is of two intergrading forms: the reniform type (e.g. Gardoquia multiflora, P1. 2.11; Satureja spinosa, $P 1.10 .14 ;$ Calamintha pamphylica, $P l .15 .14$, and where there are two small attachment zones of similar shape, usually ovate to orbicularg either side of the median line fe.g. Satureja hortensis; Pl. 11.6; Acinos suaveolenss Pl: 19.24).

Perusing the numerous nutlet drawings by Schermann (1966) reveals a great variation in ${ }^{\text {attachment scar }}$ structure thoughout the Labiatae. It seems that the uniformity in attachment scar structure in Satureja s.l. may be useful in distinguishing the complex as a whole from other Labiatae but does not assist in assessing generic limits within the group.

In conclusion, it seems that nutlet morphology is of limited taxonomic value in determining generic limits within Satureja s.l. It may be that pericarp anatomy is more usefulg but the few transverse sections presented by Hojciechowska (1966) do not encourage optimism in this line of enquiry either. Wojciechowska's diagram of the relationships in the Labiatae based upon nutlet structure does not match up with arrangements based upon vegetative and floral features, though this may be due to the nutlet characters she chose. For example, she relates Calamintha alpina [=Acinos alpinus] to Satureja 5.5. but Calamintha acinos [=Acinos arvensis] to Nepeta. A generic key presented by Schermann (1966) based upon nutlet morphology and anatomy keys Satureja 5.1. out twice. of the genera which key out in the same couplets (Glechoma, Hedeoma, Hyssopus, Driganum, Mentha, Koellia, Perilla, and Lavandula) most are not closely related to Satureia 5.1.g i.e. based upon whole plant morphology.
2.4.1. Introduction

Myxospermy, the production of mucilage by seeds or fruits, is a very widespread phenomenon in flowering plants (Grubert, 1974). In the Labiatae secretion of mucilage on wetting of the nutlets is quite frequent. The nutlet pericarp consists of cells which exude mucilage, often very abundantly. Grubert (1974) compiled about a dozen reports from the myxospermic literature for Satureja s.l., confirming some of these reports but not greatly increasing the number of species investigated. Therefore, with a view to determining the possible taxonomic significance of myxospermy in the Satureja complex, 148 species were tested for mucilage.
2.4.2. Method

For the most part the number of nutlets available for each species was very restricted, but from these few the most mature nutlets were chosen. The nutlets were soaked in warm distilled water for some minutes and observed under a $\times 20$ binocular microscope.
2.43. Results

The results, summarised below, use the following abbreviations: SM = semi-mature; $I M=$ immature. If no indication of maturity is given the nutlet may be assumed to be fully mature. The source specimen is indicated in square brackets.

In the majority of cases it was found that after two or three minutes wetting the mucilage cells had swollen to their maximum extent.

### 2.4.3.1. Acinos

A. arvensis [Polunin 8255] - thick layer of clear mucilage.
A. nanus [Heldreich 5.n.] - thin layer of $\pm$ papillose, clear mucilage.
A. pseudosimensis [Harris 2249] - thin layer of papillose mucilage, with a few fine threads near apex.
A. rotundifolius [Jackson 5102] - thick layer of clear mucilage: mucilage cells long, triangular, without spirals.
A. simensis [A. S. Thomas 1186] - no mucilage.
A. suaveolens [Stribrny 5/1893] - thick layer of triangular papillae, with spiral fibres
A. troodii [Davis 1856] - rather thin layer of small, triangular papillate mucilage cells with spiral fibres.

### 2.4.3.2. Calamintha

No mucilage was found in the following species: Ce barosma [McLaren 193], C: betulifolia [Huber-Morath 9609], Co candidissima
 Co debilis [Regel 989], $C_{\text {。 }}$ grandiflora [Polunin 8265], $C_{\text {e }}$ incana [Davis 2002], Cokilimandschari [Volkens 1194], Co rouyana [Porta \& Rigo 6/8/1885], Ce tauricola [Davis 16225], C. uhligii [Liebenberg 1660A].

Ca longicaulis [Shrestha \& Bista 11579] - thin layer of yellowish mucilage; cell walls obvious.
C. nepeta 5sp. glandulosa [Uslu 4304] - thick layer of clear mucilage.
C. pamphylica [Davis 14420]-apex and abaxial side covered in small hemispheres of very clear mucilage.
C. Fobusta [Collector?] - very thin layer of clear mucilage.
C. sylvatica [Sennen 1018] - no mucilage? (perhaps minutest
amount).
2.4.3.3. Clinopodium

Ca atlanticum [Davis 55524] - thin layer of clear mucilage.
All the following species revealed no mucilage: Co chinense twilson 8101, Co gracilis var. multicaule [Taquet 5850], Co micranthum [Mizushima 817], C. umbrosum [Stewart 2647], C. vulgare [Davis 17611.
2.4.3.4. Diodeilis
D. amissa [Gentry 7146] - thick layer of clear mucilage.

De coccinea [Torrey 1534] - small papillose mucilage cells.
The following species had no mucilage: $\underline{D}_{\text {. dentata }}^{\text {[Bozeman }}$

2.4.3.5. Gardoquia
 1640], G. elliptica (SM) [Pennell 14401], G. gilliesii (SM) [Elliot 162], G。 macrostema [Balls 4055], Ge sericea (IM) [Mathews 1521], G. seleriana [Heyde \& Lux 4/1892], G. taxifolia [Knight 558]. Thick layer of clear mucilage: $\underline{G}_{\text {a }}$ impressa [Balls 7079], $\underline{G_{0}}$ rugosa [Ferreyra 13299], Ge tomentosa [Balls 7147], Go vargasii [Vargas 9472].

Thin layer of clear mucilage: $\underline{G}_{\text {o }}$ acutifolia [Mathews 1521], $\underline{G}_{\text {e }}$ multiflora [Elliot 195].

Minute amount of mucilage: $\underline{G}_{0}$ pallida (IM) [Bang 1227], $\underline{G}_{\text {o }}$ pulchella [Stork \& Horton 9956], G. plicatula (SM) [Macbride \& Featherstone 2238].
G. Sphenophylla [Killip \& Smith 24443] - few easily visible mucilaginous strands.
H. brownei [Triana 2037]-small amount of dense mucilage.
H. douglasii [Franklin Exp. 5.n.] - no mucilage.
2.4.3.7. Killickia

Ko compacta [Hilliard \& Burtt 7876] and Ka reptans [Hilliard 8094] have no nutlet mucilage.
2.4.3.8. Micromeria sect. Cymularia
M. cymuligera [Haussknecht $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{on}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ] - thin layer of clear mucilage。
2.4.3.9 Micromeria sect. Micromeria

Thick layer of clear mucilage: Ma battandieri [Davis 58316], Mo biflora [Reading Univ./BM Exp. 424], Mo briquetiig 阶 conferta [Davis 503.34], Ma cremnophila [Davis 23], M, cristata [Velenovsky 8/1887], Ma cypria [Davis 2995], Mo elliptica [Davis 47562], Ma inodora [Faure 1/12/1935], Mo kerneri [Murbeck 29/7/1891], Mo lanata [Burchard 346], Ma lepida [Bramwell 2011], Mo macrosiphon [Bramwel1g Richards \& Murray 275], Mo microphylla [Dörfler 48], Mo nervosa [Reverchon 130], M, parviflora [Dörfler 154], Ma persica [Alava 10739], M. sinaica [Davis 8600], Ma tenuis ssp. linkii [Burchard 237].

Thin layer of clear mucilage: M. filiformis (IM) [Bianor 1422], Mo fruticulosa [Ross 179], Ma graeca [Ross 178], Mo herpyllomorpha [Bourgeau 126], Mg hochreutineri (IM) [Kralik 52], Ma hysoppifolia [Burchard 27], Mo juliana [Davis 47879], Mo lachnophylla [Bourgeau 255], Me marginata [Reverchon 130], Ma myrtifolia [Akman 159], Ma teneriffae (IM) [Noé 1478], M. weilleri [Maire 4/1937].

Minutest amount of mucilage: $\mathrm{Mo}_{\mathrm{g}}$ congesta [Haussknecht $\mathrm{san}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{y}}$ Mg contardoi [Pichli-Sermolli 2684], Ma croatica (SM) [Herb. Norm.

3460], M. serbaliana (IM) [Kinross 9/1945].
2.43.10. Micromeria sect: Pseudomelissa

Thin layer of clear mucilage: Mo carica [Davis 143221, Mo dolichodonta [Davis 16356], M. hydaspidis [Stewart 23536], M. libanotica [Davis 9943], M. nepalensis [Gardner 1199].
 [Stribrny 7/1907], Mr euosma [Forrest 10574], P4: frivaldskyana [Stiefelhagen 22/7/1909], M. fruticosa [Reverchon 686], Mo pulegium [FI: Exs: Aust. -Hunga 2953], Ma taygetea [Davis 2/10/1938], M. thymifolia [Crichiutti 5. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{E}}$ ], M, wardii [Elliot 14234].

Ma mollis [Davis 23883 \& Polunin] - a rather thick layer of brownish, dense mucilage. Ma capitellata [Koelz 10753] -a thick layer of clear mucilage.
2.4.3.11

Brenaniella
All the following species produce a thin layer of clear mucilage: $\mathrm{Bi}_{\mathrm{g}}$ cacondensis [Gossweiller 2861], Bo masukuensis [Geilinger 2413], B. myriantha var: myriantha [Richards 6728], B. wellmannii (IM) [Kassner 2895].

Piloblephis
F. rigida [Curtis 5847] produces no mucilage.

Satureja
No mucilage: S. cilicica [Darrah 335], S. coerulea [Stribrny 8/1905], S. cuneifolia [Sennen Pl. Esp. 825], So icarica [Runemark \& Snogerup 12460]; 5 . intermedia [Lamond 5149]; S. macrantha [Foroughi 13978], S. montana [Cavara 1132], So mutica [Sintenis 947], Sa parnassica [Davis 312], So spicigera $^{\text {[Davis } 24899 \text { \& }}$

Polunin], S. spinosa [Greuter 7753], S. thymbra [Baytop, Baytop \& Cubukcu 9789], S. wiedemanniana [Manissadjian 540].

A very thin layer or a minute amount of mucilage: $\underline{\text { s. }}$ bachtiarica [Bornmuller 4264], S. bzybica [Grossheim 5.n.], S. hortensis [V. Ricasoli 5.n.], S. obovata [Sennen Pl. Esp. 950].
2.4.3.14. Xenopoma

No mucilage: $X_{n}$ alpestris [Ekman 1355]g $X_{\text {a axillaris }}$ [Bang 1125], $X_{0}$ boliviana (5M) [Lippmann 25/6/1970], $X_{0}$ brevicalyx [Sandeman 2524], $X_{\text {a }}$ nubiqena [Hartbeg 1336], $X_{a}$ schusteri (SM) [Ekman 13702], $X_{0}$ vana (IM) [Weberbauer 7259], $X_{0}$ viminea [Fuertes 1527].

A thick layer of mucilage: $X_{\text {a chandleri }}$ [Balls 9125], $X_{0}$ cylindristachys [Hutchison \& Wright 3869], $X_{\text {a ganderi }}$ [Moran 13293], $X_{0}$ odora [Ugent \& Cardenas 12/4/1963].

A minute amount of mucilage: $X_{0}$ ekmaniana [Holdridge 1248], $X_{0}$ mutabilis [Lehmann 5829], $X_{\text {a }}$ parvifolia [Reales 1952].
2.4.4. Discussion

The results show that production or non-production of mucilage by nutlets tends to be characteristic of a genus, more so in old World than New World genera. Amongst the Calaminthoid generas Calamintha and Clinopodium tend to have no mucilage (Schenk, 1877), whereas all Acinos species, apart from the African $A_{\text {a }}$ simensis, have mucilaginous nutlets (see also Swarbrick, 1971: Hegi, 1927). A thick layer of clear mucilage is characteristic of Micromeria sect. Micromeria, while sections Cymularia and Pseudamelissa tend to exude only a thin layer or no mucilage at all. The Plicromerioid genera Killickia and Érenaniella produce no mucilage and a small amount
respectively: Satureja 5.5. in nearly all species does not have myxospermic nutlets: those which exude mucilage do so only in the smallest amounts. The two largest American genera have a mixture of both mucilaginous and non-mucilaginous species, the distribution of which does not appear to correspond with described sections or species groups. In Diodeilis all species, other than the annual $\underline{D}_{0}$ amissa, have no mucilage.

There is circumstantial evidence that immature nutlets produce less mucilage than fully mature ones: In nearly all Micromeria sect. Micromeria species tested a thick layer of clear mucilage was produced, but four species produced the minutest amount only. The specimens available for two of these four only provided immature nutlets. Similarly in Gardoquiag the immature nutlets either produced no mucilage or a minute amount only while the tendency in mature nutlets is to produce a definite layer in this genus.

Mucilaginous cells are sometimes restricted to certain parts of the nutlet. In Calamintha nepeta 5sp. glandulosa the mucilage cells seem to be found only on the abaxial side and at the apex. Micromeria congesta produced only a tiny amount near the nutlet apex. This phenomenon of localised mucilage cells has also been seen in certain species of Prunella $L_{\text {. }}$ (Grubert, 1974).

Cellulosic spirals in the mucilage are rare in Satureja s.l. They have been seen only in Acinos suaveolens and $A_{\text {a }}$ troodii. Schenk (1877) has also reported spirals in A. alpinus. The fine threads seen in a number of other species are mucilage cell walls.

Zohary (1937) found in Palestine that myxospermy tends to be found in winter annualsy mostly from the Saharo-Sindian region of the flora and other arid parts. In Satureja 5.1.g however, the amount of mucilage is not clearly related to aridity of habitat. Species of both Satureja 5.5: and Micromeria sect. Micromeria
generally inhabit similarly arid habitats, yet the former usually produces no mucilage but the latter abundantly so.

Various ideas have been proposed on the ecological importance of myxospermy. Mucilage may either cement the fruit to the ground or aid in attachment to the outside of animals (epizoochory). In support of the first suggestion: a plant which reaches maturity and produces viable nutlets would probably be living in a favourable habitat, so the nutlets it sheds would likely grow well in the same spot. This might be important in arid environments where favourable growing areas may be small. In desert areas it is less likely that myxaspermy is involved in epizoochoric dispersal since rain is infrequent, and when it does rain sand grains would probably adhere to the nutlet. Such a coating of sand would prevent animal dispersal. Galinat (1950) has shown also that for Micromeria juliana and Satureja hortensis the mucilage has very poor adhesion to flat surfaces. Fuller \& Hay (1983) have presented convincing evidence that Salvia columbariae is protected from desert granivores by the mucilage gluing sand grains around the nutlets.

No cytological work was possible in the present study. However, a large number of chromosome counts have been compiled from the literature. These investigations are so biased toward the old world genera that conclusions on trends in the whole Satureja complex would be very poorly founded. Nevertheless, within the Satureioid, Micromerioid, and Calaminthoid groups there is enough information to speculate on basic chromosome numbers.

Many of the chromosome reports present no more than a count and cite the voucher specimen. Presumably this is partly because the chromosomes in most species are very smallg $1.0-2.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ at metaphase being quite typicalg and practically indistinguishable from one another. Dne is therefore restricted to comparing numbers of chromosomes rather than morphology in most cases.

### 2.5.1. Satureioid genera

All the counts available are of Satureja 5.5. The genus is divisible into two groups: the annual $\mathbf{S o}_{\text {。 hortensis with } 2 n=48 \text {, and }}$ the rest of the genus, all perennials, with $2 n=30$.

It appears that in the cultivated varieties of So hortensis $^{\text {o }}$ there is some instability of chromosome number, with Vaarama (1949) reporting $2 n=45$ and Reese (1953) reporting $2 n=46$, 47, and 48 . Vaarama (1949) noted apparently normal seed production, so hybridisation seems a less likely reason for the lower count. Reese (1953) suggests that the variable number may be due to $B$ chromosomes. It is probable that So hortensis is a polyploid with $^{\text {a }}$ basic number $x=12$ or 6. Mechelke. (1954) presents an illustration of 48 chromosomes at metaphaseg 40 chromosomes are all very similar but eight others are distinguished by their small size. Tetraploidy
or octoplaidy are, therefore, both possible. Gill (1981) suggests the species is tetraploid.

The rest of the genus, with nearly all reports of $2 n=30$, seemed simpler to interpret until Borsos (1970) put a spanner in the works by reporting S. kitaibelii (S. montana 5sp. kitaibelii) with $\mathbf{2 n = 1 2}$. Lovka, Susnik, Ldve \& Löve (1971) and Markova (1983) reported S. montana 5sp. kitaibelii with $2 n=30_{8}$ as 50 do $5 i x$ other reports of S. montana. (See also Delayg 1947 [n. $\mathrm{Va}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ] who reports $2 \mathrm{n}=12$ for $\mathrm{Sa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ montana:) There are three ways to deal with Borsos* count of $2 \mathrm{n}=12$ : the count is an error, or the specimen was identified incorrectly as S. kitaibelii, or Borsos is correct in all respects. Unless Borsos; technique was really atrocious (very unlikely) I cannot imagine a count as low as 12 being in error, and misidentification of a common Balkan species is also unlikely, especially with the backing of a herbarium of 500,000 specimens (BUC) and the facilities of the Botanic Garden of Bucurest. So I tend to believe the count is accurate.

A scheme integrating $2 \mathrm{n}=48,2 \mathrm{n}=30$, and $2 \mathrm{n}=12$ must be very speculative without detailed investigation. Nevertheless there can be no harm suggesting one. Polyploidy seems to be the linking factor: With two basic numbers $x=5$ and $x=6$, $S_{\text {. hortensis could be }}$ an octoploid with $x=6$, Borsos; count of S. kitaibelii a diploid with $x=6$ or a diploid with aneuplaidy with $x=5$, and the rest hexaploids with $x=5$. Genera with multiple basic numbers are not uncommon as we shall see in the Micromerioid and Calaminthoid genera.

### 2.5.2. Micromerioid genera

Chromosome numbers are available for a wide range of Micromeria species, and there is a single count for Brenaniella.

Morton (1962) suggests that Satureja robusta (Brenaniplla robusta) with $2 n=42$ is a hexaploid of basic number $x=7$. From a drawing presented by Morton the chromosomes are all very similar, rod-shaped, $1.5-2.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long at metaphase.

The great majority of Micromeria sect. Micromeria species have $\mathbf{2 n}=30$, with doubling to $2 n=60$ reported by Dahlgren et al. (1971) in M. filiformis. The count of $2 n=20$ obtained for M. graeca by Bjorkqvist (1969) is difficult to believe, especially after Cenci (1968) published $2 n=60$ for the same species. If Bjorkqvist is correct then the basic number for Micromeria sect. Micromeria is more likely to be $x=5$ than $x=15$. The count of $2 n=26$ for M. inodora (Cardona, 1973) is most easily explained by aneuploidy derived from $2 n=30$, and the count by Cardona \& Contandriopoulos (1983) of $2 n=48$ could be an autopolyploid of $2 n=26$ with the $105 s$ of 4 chromosomes.

In Micromeria sect. Pseudomelissa, the Mediterranean species Ms dalmatica and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}$ thymifolia have $2 \mathrm{n}=20_{5}$ and the Himalayan Mo capitellata has $2 \mathrm{n}=50$. A basic number of $\mathrm{x}=5$ would link these two groupss in fact, this same number could link sect. Micromeria with sect. Pseudomelissa. A unified system for Micromeria based on $x=5$ can be achieved by assuming frequent polyploidy, the majority being hexaploid, some tetraploid, and a few decaploid and even dodecaploid. Polyploidy at such high levels would be much more tolerant of aneuploidy such as proposed in M. inodora. $_{\text {in }}$
2.5.3. Calaminthoid genera

The Calaminthoid genera are an excellent example of an aneuploid series. In outline the genera have the following numbers:

Acinos
$2 n=18$ (rarely $22,36,18+3 B$ )
Clinopodium $2 n=20$ (rarely $10,36,38,40$ )

Calamintha $\quad 2 n=20,22,24$ (also $18,40,42,48,72)$
Unfortunately there are no counts available for Cyclotrichium.
Acinos is almost uniformly $2 n=18$, suggesting a basic number of $\mathrm{x}=9$. Only the East African $\mathrm{A}_{\text {. }}$ pseudosimensis has $\mathbf{2 n = 2 2}$. Polyploidy seems to be uncommon, contrasting with Calamintha (see above), and noted only in a Samos population of A. rotundifolius (Bothmer, 1970). The report by Loon \& Setten (1982) of B chromosomes is the only one for the entire Satureja complex.

Although nearly every count in Clinopodium is $2 n=20$ and the basic number is apparently $x=10$, the count obtained by Chuksanova \& Kaplanbekova (1971) of $2 n=10$ for $C_{\text {. integerrimum ( } C \text {. vulgare }}$ ssp. vulgare) suggests that the basic number is likely to be $x=5$ for the whole genus. Higher polyploids are known in $C_{0}$ vulgare and C. umbrosum, accompanied also in the latter species by aneuploidy.

Calamintha is a cytologically complicated genus. There seems to be multiple basic numbers of $x=9,10,11$, and 12. Polyploidy and polyploidy accompanied by aneuploidy also adds to the wide range of numbers reported. The great variability of form in $C$. nepeta seems to be at least partially explained by its propensity for polyploidy and aneuploidy.
2.5.4. American genera

There is very little information on the American species: two counts published [Hesperothymus douglasii $(2 n=20)$ and Diodeilis arkansana ( $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ )] and three more in press [one Xenopama $(2 n=46)$ and two Gardoquia species $(2 n=46$ and $2 n=48)$ ]. It would be unwise to speculate on basic chromosome numbers from such scanty knowledge.

The following table lists all chromosome reports for Satureja 5.1. that I have been able to personally examine. There are about a dozen other reports cited in various reference works (e.g. the many volumes of Regnum Vegetabile devoted to chromosome numbers, and Fedorov, 1969) which have not been checked and are not 1 isted below. None of these excluded reports make any difference to the conclusions reached on basic chromosome numbers. The abbreviations of herbaria are according to Holmgren, Keuken \& Schofield, Index Herbariorum, ed. 7 (1981), Utrecht.
2.5.5. Species name Place collected Herbarium \& Voucher Reference Chr. Ho.

Acinos

| A. alpinus (L.) Hoench | Tiral, Austria | KIEL, Reese 5.0. | Reese (1953) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. alpinus (L.) Hoench | Povazsky Inovec, Czechoslovakia | SLO, Hajovsky 5.n. | Hajovsky (1974) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| A. alpinus (L.) Hoench | Pancarevo, Bulgaria | VIL, Harkova \#H-L221 | Harkova (1974) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| A. alpinus (L.) Hoench | Sierra de Albarracid, Prov. Teruel, Spain | LD, LIVU, N. 0426 | Love \% Kjellquist (1974) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| A. alpinus (L.) Hoench | Cortina, Italy | COLO, Love \& Love A 605 | Löve \& Löve (1982) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| A. alpinus (L.) Hoench ssp. alpinus | Alpi Apuani, Italy | Pl, Garbari et al., <br> H.B.P. 406/1980 | Giordani, Hiceli, \& Honti (1980) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| A. alpinus (L.) Hoench 5sp. dinaricus Silic | Prenj, Yugoslavia | SARA, Silic s.n. | Papest ${ }^{\text {tilic }}$ (1981) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| A. alpinus (L.) Hoench 55p. adjoranifolius (Hiller) Ball | Prijepolje; Yugoslavia | SARA, Silic s.n. | Papes \& Silic (1981) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| A. alpinus (L.) Hoench 55 p . meridionalis llyo.l Ball | Vallee du Galbe, Pyrenees, France | HEU | Favarger \& Kupfer (1968) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| A. alpinus (L.) Hoench 55p. geridionalis (lyy.) Ball | Sierra Hevada, Spain | SEV 3776日, Ubera | Uhera (1979) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| A. alpinus (L.) Hoench 55p. aeridionalis (Hya.) Ball | Katafyton, Greece | C, Strid 13156 | Strid \& Franzen (1983) | $2 n=18$ |
| A. arvensis (Lad.) Dandy | Holln, Gersany | Scheerer 5.n. | Scheerer (1940) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| A. arvensis (Lao.) Oandy | Lund, Sueden | L0 | Löve t Löre (1944) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| A. arvensis (Lan.) Dandy | Bergen, Holland |  <br> Kliphuis 2167 | Gadella : Kliphuis (1966) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |


| Species nane | Place collected | Herbariug \& Voucher | Reference | Chr. Ho. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. arvensis (Lag.) Dandy | Vinicky, Clechoslovakia | SLD, Hajovsky s.n. | Hajousky et al. (1970) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| A. arvensis (Lag.) Dandy | Elbasan-Hysgete, Albania | LD, Strid 1102 | Strid (1971) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| A. arvensis (Lag.) Dandy | Goarer, England | DHH, Horton 5.n. | Horton (1973) | 2n=18, diploid |
| A. arvensis (Lag.) Dandy | Durhan, England | DHH, Morton 4682 | Horton (1973) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$, diploid |
| A. arvensis (Lab.) Dandy | Serrania de cuenca, Prov. Cuenca, Spain | LD, LIVU, N .0466 | Love \& Kjellquist (1974) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| A. arvensis (Lata.) Dandy | Bessapara hills, Bulgaria | VIL, Harkova 朋-L70 | Harkova (1974) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| A. arvensis (Lam.) Dandy (forga villosa) | Paraoun, Bulgaria | VIL, Harkova 朋-L215 | Harkova (1974) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| As arvensis (Lag.) Dandy | Konitsa-Pentalophon, Greece | U 20339, Loon \& Snelders | Loon \& Snelders (1979) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| A. aryensis (Lad.) Dandy | Sheki, Azerbaidzhan, U.S.S.R. | L, Hieffering 18662 | Kliphuis : Hieffering (1979) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| A. arvensis (Lda.) Dandy | Horning hills, Ontario, Canada | HLU, 6ill Can, 48 | 6ill 119811 | $n=9$, diploid |
| A. arvensis llam.) Dandy | Hit. Busludza, Bulgaria | U, Loon \& Setten 25100 | Loon \& Setten (1982) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18,18+38$ |
| A. hungaricus (Siak.) Silic | See A. alpinus ssp. ajjor | aranifolius | Papes \& Silic 119811 |  |
| A. orontius (K. Haly) Silic | Konjic, Yugoslavia | SARA, Silic s.n. | Papgs ${ }^{\text {\% Silic }}$ (1981) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| A. pseudosinensis (Arenan) Doraszenko | Cameroon ht., Cameroon | 6C, Morton K1007 | Horton (1962) | $2 \mathrm{n}=22$, diploid |
| A. rotundifolius Pers. | Sanos, Greece | LD, Runearrk \& Snogerup 19589 | Bothaer (1970) | 2n=36, tetraploid |
| A. rotundifolius Pers, | Sagos, Greece | LD, Runearik : Snogerup 16944 | Bothaer (1970) | 2n=36, tetraploid |
| A. suaveolens (S. \& S.) Don f. | ht. Pangaion, Greace $C$ | c, Strid 844 | Strid (1980) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| A. suaveolens (S. \& S.) Don $f$. | Ht. Olyapus, Greece | C, Strid 11028 | Strid \& Franzen (1983) | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| Calasintha |  |  |  |  |
| C. acinos (L.) Clairv. | See Acinos arvensis (Lag.) | .) Dandy | Scheerer (1940) <br> Hajovsky et al. (1970) Harkova (1974) |  |
| C. alpina (L.) Lan. | See Acinos alpinus (L.) Ho | Hoench | Reese (1953] Harkova (1974) Hajovsky (1974) |  |
| C. ascendens Jord. | See Calanintha sylvatica | sep. ascendens | Strid 119711 Horton (1973) Löve \& Läve (1981) |  |


| Species name | Place collected | Herbariut \＆Voucher | Reference | Chr．Ho． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C．clinopodiua Benth． | See Clinapodiua vulgare | e 1. | Scheerer（1939） <br> Hehra \＆ 6 ill（1968） <br> Hajovsky（1970） <br> 6ill（1971） <br> Vij \＆Kashyap（1976） |  |
| C．exigua（5．\％S．）Hal． | See Acinos rotundifolius | $u_{5}$ Pers． | Botheer（1970） |  |
| C．glandulosa（Req．）Benth． | See Calagintha nepeta 5 | 55p．glandulosa | Papes \＆Silic（1981） |  |
| C．grandiflora（L．）Hoench | Valais，Suitzerland | HEU，\＃ieffering s．n． | Hieffering（1969） | $n=11$ |
| C．grandiflara（L．）Hoench | Hassif Central，France | Cult．Grignon | Favarger（1969） | $2 \mathrm{n}=22$ |
| C．grandiflora（L．）Hoench | Pirin Ht．，Bulgaria | VIL，Harkova 閶－L50 | Harkova（1974） | $2 \mathrm{n}=22$ |
| C．nepeta（L．）Savi | Halaga，Spain | LD，Kraft 44 | Bjorkqvist，et al．（1969） | $2 \mathrm{n}=24$ |
| C．nepeta（L．）Savi | Kruj，Albania | LD，Strid 0745 | Strid（1971） | $2 \mathrm{n}=40$ |
| C．nepeta（L．）Savi | Eynohaa，England | H．Horton，Horton 4787 | Horton（1973） | $2 \mathrm{n}=48$ ，tetraploid |
| C．nepeta（L．）Savi | Corfe Castle，England | Hb．Horton，Horton 4850 | Horton（1973） | $2 \mathrm{n}=72$ ，hexaploid |
| C．nepeta（L．）Savi | Uludere，Bulgaria | VIL，Harkova 朋－L212 | Harkova（1974） | $2 \mathrm{n}=18$ |
| E．nepeta（L．）Sayi ssp． glandulosa（Req．）P．ti．Ball | Petreto，Corsica | No details | Contandriopoulos（1964） | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| C．nepeta（L．）Savi s5p． glandulosa（Req．）P．H．Ball | Pruhonice， Czechosloyakia | Pruhonice，Holub 1963 | Holub，Hesicek \＆ Javurkova（1970） | $2 \mathrm{n}=24$ |
| C．nepeta（L．）Sayi ssp． glandulosa（Req．）P．H．Ball | Pirin ht．，Bulgaria | VIL，Harkova $\mathrm{Hh}-\mathrm{L} 31$ | Harkova（1974） | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| C．nepeta（L．）Savi s5p． glandulosa（Req．）P．U．Ball | Petko Slaveikovo， Bulgaria | VIL，Harkova hl－L52 | Harkova（1974） | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| C．nepeta $\operatorname{ll} .1$ Sayi 55p． glandulosa（Req．I P．H．Ball | livogosce，Yugoslavia | SARA，Silic 5，n． | Papes 4 Silic（1981） | $2 \mathrm{n}=42$ |
| C．officinalis Hoench | See Calasintha nepeta 55 | 5p．glandulosa | Holub，Hesicek $\frac{1}{2}$ Javorka Harkova（1974） |  |
| C．sylvatica Broof． | Ht．Starvaska，Hungary | Ho details | Baksay（1958） | 2n＝24，diploid |
| C．sylvatica Broof． | Isle of tight，England | 朋，Horton 5087 | Horton（1973） | $2 \mathrm{n}=24$ ，diploid |
| C．sylvatica Broof． 5 sp． ascendens（Jord．）P．H．Ball | Hallarca，Balearic 15. | LD，Kraft <br> JK－K 11；12； 149 | Strid（1971） | $2 \mathrm{n}=48$ |
| C．5ylvatica Broat． 5 sp． ascendens（Jord．）P．H．Ball | Tytherington，England | Hb．Horton，Hortan 4817 | Horton（1973） | $2 \mathrm{n}=48$ ，tetraploid |
| C．sylvatica Broof．sep． ascendens（Jord．）P．H．Ball | Brixhae，England | Hb．Horton，Horton 4869 | Horton（1973） | 2n＝48，tetraploid |


| Speries nage | Place collected | Herbariua \& Voucher | Reference | Chr. Wo. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. sylvatica Broaf. s5p. ascendens (Jord.) P.H.Ball | Barnstaple, England | DHf, Horton 5008 | Horton (1973) | 2n=48, tetraploid |
| C. sylvatica Broof 55p. ascendens (Jord.I P.H.Ball | Tascano, Italy | C0LO, Lbve \& Löve M720 | Love \& LDve (1982) | $2 \mathrm{n}=48$ |
| C. ubbrosa (A. Bieb.) Fisch | \& See Clinopodiua uabrosu |  | Hehra 8 6ill (19681 <br> 6ill (1971) <br> Saggoo \& Bir (1981) <br> Krishnappa \& Indirasea (1982) |  |
| Clinopodiue |  |  |  |  |
| C. integerriaua Bori55, | See Clinopadiua vulgare | 55p. vulgare | Chuksanova \& Kaplanbekova (1971) |  |
| C. Laxiflorua (Hayata) Shidizu | Yushanchienhan, Taiuan | TAI, H 5 3 3996 | Hsu (1988) | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| C. uabrosun (月. Bieb.). C. Koch | Mainital, India | Put, 6ill 7380 | Hehra \& Gill (1988) 6ill (1971) | $n=10$ |
| C. uabrosum (h. Bieb.) <br> C. Koch | Hus50orie, India | Plta, Saggoo 23983 | Saggoo \& Bir (1981) | $n=18$ |
| C. uabrosua (h. Bieb.) C. Koch | Pauri-6arhual, India | Putit, Saggoo 24200 | Saggoo \& Bir (1981) | $n=19$ |
| C. uabrosur (h. Bieb.) <br> C. Kach | Karnataka, India | CCB, Indiranas \& Krishnappa 330 | Krishnappa \& Indiratama (1982) 2n=38 |  |
| C. vulgare L . | Hol tenort-Laboe, Geraany | KIEL | Scheerer (1939) | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| C. vulgare L. | Lund, Smeden | LJ | Love \% Love (1944) | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| C. vulgare L. | Kral, Czechoslovakia | SLO, Hajovsky 5.n. | Hajovsky (1970) | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| C. vulgare L. | Gularg, India | Puta, Gill 7477 | Hehra \& Gill (1968) Gill (1971) | $n=10$ |
| C. vulgare L. | Backovo, Asenovgrad, Bulgaria | 50h, Ivanova 閑-L30 | Harkova \& Ivanova (1971) 2n=20 (also Harkova \& Ivanoya, 1972) |  |
| C. vulgare L. | Cirencester, England | Hb. Horton. Hortan 4715 | Horton (1973) | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$, diploid |
| C. vulgare L, | Durhan, England | Hb. Horton, Horton 5.n. | Horton (1973) | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$, diploid |
| C. vulgare L. | Hussoorie, India | PITN 3508 | Vij \& Kashyap (1976) | $n=20$, tetraploid |
| C. vulgare L. | S. do Gerez, Portugal | U 21424 | Loon 4 de Jong (1978) | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| C. vulgare L. | S. da Estrella; Portugal | U 21425 | Loan \& de dong (1978) | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| C. vulgare L. | Sheki, Azerbaidahan, U.S.S.R. | L, Hieffering 18603 | Kliphuis \& Hieffering (1979) | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| C. vulgare L. | Horning Hills, Ontario, Canadd | \#LU, Gill Can. 166 | 6ill (1981) | $n=10$, diploid |


| Species nage | Place collected | Herbariut ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Voucher | Reference | Chr．Ho． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C．vulgare L． | Ht，Stoletov，Bulgaria | U，Loon \＆Setten 25082 | Loon \＆Setten（1982） | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| C．vulgare L． | Gazibaba，Yugoslavia | Cult．Skopje | Sopova \＆Sekovski（1983） | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| C．vulgare L． | ht．Ol yapus，Greece | C，Strid et al． 12510 | Strid \＆Franzen（1983） | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| C．vulgare L．55p． arundanua（Bois5．）Nya． | Euboea，Greece | LI，Runeeark 11709 | Botheer（1967） | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| C．vulgare L．55p． arundanua（Boiss．）Hy日． | Progradec，Albania | LD，Strid 0905 | Strid（1971） | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| C．vulgare L． $55 p$. arundanua（Bois5．）Hya． | Elhasan－Hysgete， Albania | LD，Strid 1101 | Strid \＄1971） | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| C．vulgare L．5sp． arundanua（Bois5．）Nya． | Athos，Greece | U 20484 | Loon 4 Lbve（1980） | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| C．vulgare L．55p． arundanue（Bois5．）Hyg． | Kupres，Yugoslavia | SARA，Silic 5．n． | Papes \＆Silic（1981） | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| C．vulgare L．55p． arundanue（Bois5．）Ny． | Toscana，Italy | COLO，Lōve \＆Love h707 | Love \＆Live（1982） | $2 n=20$ |
| C．vulgare L．55p． orientale Botheer | See Clinopodiua vulgare | 55p．arundanue | Botheer（1967） <br> Strid（1971） |  |
| C．vulgare L，55p．vulgare | Kara－Kalinskii， Turkeniskai S．S．R． | ASH，Mikitina 458 | Chuksanova \＆ Kaplanbekova（1971） | $2 \mathrm{n}=10$ |

Diodeilis
D．arkansana（Mutt．）
Doroszenko
Tobergory，Ont．，Canada HLU，Horton，月月 3696

Gardoquia

| G．incana Ruiz \＆Pay． | Taraa San Ragon，Peru | K，Palaer 73 | Harley \＆Heymood （in press） | $2 \mathrm{n}=46$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6．tomentosa Kunth | Chicborazo，Ecuador | K，Hart 1637 | Harley \＆Heyuood （in press） | $2 \mathrm{n}=48$ |

Hesperothyaus

| H．douglasii（Benth．） | Rackhood，Oregon，USA | HLU，Horton | 6ill 119811 | $n=10$, diploid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doroszenko | Mackam，Oregan，usa | HA 3381 | （1）（19\％） | I，diploid |

Hicroeeria

| H．benthanii Hebb \＆Breth． | Ht．Costantino， Gran Canaria | D，cult．66－1159 | Borgen 119701 | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H．biflora（Buch．－Han．） Benth． | Kilieanjaro，Tanzania | $E A, K, S, U P S,$ <br> Hedberg 1223 | Hedberg（1957） | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| A．biflora（Buch．－Han．） Benth． | Nainital，India | PuH，Gill 7489 | Hehra \＆6ill（1968） （al50 6ill， 1971 ） | $n=15$ |


| Species name | Place collected | Herbariua \＆Voucher | Reference | Chr，No． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H．biflora（Buch．－Haga） Benth． | Pacharhi，India | Put | Bir \＆Saggoo（1981） | $n=15$ ，diploid |
| H．capitellata Benth． | Pacharhi，India | Puli，Saggoo 20866 | Saggoo \＆Bir（1981） Bir \＆Saggo（1981） | $n=25$ |
| H．creenophila Boiss． \＆Heldr． | Kruj，Albania | LD，Strid 0743 Strid（1971 | 971） | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| H．creanophila Boiss． 4 Heldr． | E of Prenjas，Albania | LD，Strid 0803 | Strid（1971） | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| H．cristata（Happel Griseb． | Inepole，Buigaria | VIL，Harkova m－L88 | Harkova（1974） | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| H．daloatica Eenth． | Loveen，Krstac， Yugoslavia | SARA，Silic 5．n． | Papes \＆Silic（1981） | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| H．ericifolia（Roth．）Borna． | See h．varia Benth． |  | Loon（1974） |  |
| A．filiforais（Ait．）Benth． | Isla Dragonera， Balearic Is． | LD，Hill 302 | Dahlgren，pt al．（1971） | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| A．（iliforais（Ait．）Benth． | Ereaita de Betleg Balearic Is． | LD，Maf 924 | Dahlgren，et al．（1971） | $2 \mathrm{n}=30,60$ |
| 月．filiforais（Ait．）Benth． | Ereaita de Betlea Balearic Is． | LD，HFIF 1004 | Dahlgren，et al．（1971） | 2n＝30 |
| H．filiforais（Ait．）Benth． | Mallorca，Balearic Is， | BC，BC 617271 | Cardona \＆Contandriopoulos （1980） | n＝15 |
| H．graeca Benth． | Vejer de la Fronterd， Spain | LD，Bjorkquist Sp． 24 | Bjorkquist et al． 11969 | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| H．graeca Benth．55p． tenuifolia（Ten．）Hyo． | No data | No data | Cenci（1968） | $2 \mathrm{n}=60$ |
| H．heliantheaifolia Hebt \＆Berth． | Bco．Berriol，Gran Canaria | 0，cult．67－887 | Borgen（1969） | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ ，diploid |
| H．inodora（llesf．）Benth． | Ivite，Spain | BC，Cardona 5．n． | Cardona（1973） | $2 \mathrm{n}=26$ |
| H．inadora（Desf．）Benth． | Eivissa，Balearic Is． | 㫙 4 HARS，Cardona ： Contandriopoulos 81－343 | Cardona \＆Contandriopoulos （1983） | $2 \mathrm{n}=48$ |
| H．juliana（L．）Benth， | Haxos，Greece | LD，Runegark \＆ Snogerup 10625 | Strid 119651 | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| H．juliana（L．）Benth， | Agorgos；Greece | LD，Runeoark ： Snogerup 12238 | Strid（1965） | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| H：juliana（L．）Benth． | Arnis5a，Greece | U 20308，Loon Snelders $5 . n$ ． | Loon \＆Snelders（1979） | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| H．juliana（L．）Benth． | Ulcinj，Yugoslavia | SARA，Silic 5．f． | Papes \％Silic $^{\text {（1981）}}$ | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| H．juliana（L．）Benth． | Leptokarya，Greece | C，Strid 674－76－02 | Strid 119811 | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| H．juliana（L．）Benth． | Pontestazzenese，Italy | COLO，Lōve \＆Löve N736 | Löve \＆Löve（1982） | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| 月． 日yrtifolia Boiss． \＆Hohen． | Haxos，Greece | （D）Runeaark ： Snogerup 10611 | Strid（1965） | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |


| Species nage | Place collected | Herbarius \& Voucher | Reference | Chr. No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H. ayrtifolia Bois5. \& Hohen. | Naxos, Greace | LD, Runeaark \& Snogerup 9984 | Strid (1965) | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| H. ayrtifolia Bois5. \& Hohen. | Ikaria, Greece | LD, Runeark \& Snogerup 1424 | Strid (1965) | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| H. ayrtifolia Boiss. $\&$ Hohen. | Ikaria, Greece | LD, Runeaark : Snogerup 12520 | Strid (1965) | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| H. ayrtifolia Bis is. $\&$ Hohen. | Sitia, Crete | LD, Runepark : Snogerup 17548 | Strid (1985) | 2n=30 |
| H. nervosa (Desf.) Benth. | Folegandro5; Greece | LD, Runeoark 4 Snogerup 14655 | Strid (1965) | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| A. nervosa (Desf.) Benth. | Kalasos, Anafi, Greece | LD, Runeark: \& Snogerup 14879 | Strid 11965$\}$ | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| H. nervosa (Desf.) Benth. | Haxos, fireece | LD, Runeaark Snogerup 4683 | Strid (1965) | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| F. nervosa (Dest.) Benth. | Sifnos, Greece | LD, Runeaark \& Snogerup 8797 | Strid 119651 | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| H. nervosa (Desf.) Benth. | Antiailos; Greace | LD, Runeaark: Snogerup 8769 | Strid (1965) | 2n=30 |
| A. nervosa (Desf.) Benth. | Acorgos, Greece | LD, Runeoark : Snogerup 20273 | Strid (1965) | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| H. punctata R. Br, ex Benth. | Cageroon ht., Czaeroon | 6C; Horton K522 | Horton (1962) | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$, diploid |
| H. teneriffae (Poir.) Benth. | Bco. San Antonio, Teneriffe, Canary 15. | 0, cult. 68-927 | Borgen (1970) | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| H: terebinthinacea $甘 . \& 8$. | See Hicrogeria teneriffae | (Poir.) Benth. | Borgen (1970) |  |
| H. thyoifolia (Scop.) Fritsch | Orjen, Yugoslavia | SARA, Şilic 5.n. | Papes 4 Silic (1981) | $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ |
| H. tragothyous H. \& B. | See Hicromeria varia Bent |  | Borgen (1970) |  |
| H. Varia Eenth. | Bco. San Andres, Teneriffe, Canary Is. | 0, cult. 67-1140 | Borgen (1970) | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| H. varia Benth. | Fanara ht., Lanzarote, Canary 15. | U, Loon 14064 | Loon (1974) | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| Brenaniella |  |  |  |  |
| B. robusta (Hook. f.) Doroszenko | Caneroon ht., Cameroon | 6C, Horton K525 | Horton (1962) | 2n=42, hexaploid |
| Satureja |  |  |  |  |
| S. acinos (L.) Scheele | See Acinos arvensis (Lag, | ) Dandy | Löve \& Löve (1944) Gadella \& Kliphuis (1966) 6ill (1981) |  |
| 5. alpina L. var. granatensis Briq. | See Acinos alpinus ssp, geridionalis |  | Favarger \& Kupfer (1968) |  |


| Species nage | Flace collected | Herbarius \& Voucher | Reference | Chr. Ho |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. biflora (Buch.-Haba) Briq. | . See hicroseria biflora | (Buch,-Hag.) Benth. | Hedberg (1957) |  |
| S. calagintha 55p. nepeta var. glandulosa | See Calasintha nepeta | 5sp. glandulosa | Contandriopoulos (1964) |  |
| S. coerulea Janka | Sazlagjol, Bulgaria | 507, 1538 | Harkova (1983) | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| S. douglasii (Benth.) Briq. | See Hesperothyaus dougl | lasii (Benth.) Doroszenko | 6ill (1981) |  |
| S. glabella (hicha.) Briq. var, angustifolia (Torr.) Sve | See Diodeilis arkansana enson | (Nutt.) Doroszenko | 6ill (1981) |  |
| S. hortensis L. | Seed fron seedsaen Bjorklund, Helsinki | HHC, cult. | Vaarama (1949) | $2 \mathrm{n}=45$ |
| 5. hortensis L, | Cult. Bot. Gard. Kiel, Geraany |  | Reese (1953) | $2 \mathrm{n}=46-48$ |
| S. hortensis L. | Kiel, cult. | Hh. Hechelke, Geroany | Hechel ke (1954) | $2 \mathrm{n}=48$ |
| 5. hortensis L. | Dobra Voda, Czechoslovakia | SLO, Ferakova 5.n. | Hajorsky (1974) | $2 \mathrm{n}=48$ |
| S. hartensis L. | Park Seed Co., North Carolina, USA | HLU, Gill Can, 334 | Gill (1981) | $n=24$, tetraploid |
| S. horvatii Silic | Orjen, Yugosiavia | SARR, Silic 5.n. | Papes \& Silic (1981) | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| S. kitaibelii Hier ${ }^{\text {b }}$. | See Saturaja montana ss | sp. kitaibelii | Borsos (1970) |  |
| S. arcedonita Fora, | See S. bontana L. 5sp. | contana | Strid (1981) |  |
| S. gontana L. | Seed froo Bot. Gard. Basle, Suitzerland | HHC, cult. | Vaaraga (1949) | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| S. gontana L. 55p. illyrica Myean | Gabrk, Yugosiavia | SARA, Silic s.n. | Papes \& Silic (1981) | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| S. iontana L. ssp. illyrica Hyean | Bilece Lake, Yugoslavia | SARA, Šilic 5.n. | Papes \& Stilic (1981) | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| 5. sontana L. 5sp. kitaibelii (Uierab.) P. H. Fall | Southern Rounania | Buc, cult. | Bor 505 (1970) | $2 \mathrm{n}=12$ |
| S. bontana L. s.sp. kitaibelii (Hierıb.) P.H.Ball | Or jen, Yugoslavia | LJU 76896 | Lovka, Susnik, Love \& Lōve (1971) | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| S. sontana L. 5 sp. kitaibelii (Hierzb.l P.H.Ball | Predbalkan, Bulgaria | 50h, 1607 | Harkova (1983) | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| S. gontena L. 5sp. dontana | Hit. Olyopus, Greece | C, 5trid 675-01-03 | Strid 119811 | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| S. gontana L. ssp. oontana | Biokovo, Yugoslavia | SARA, Silic s.n. | Papes \% Silic 119811 | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| S. aontana L. 55p. variegata (Host) P.H. Ball | Kras, Gabrk, Yugoslavia | SARA, Šilic s.n. | Papes Silic (1981) | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| 5. obovata Lag. | Sierra del Pozo, Spain | LIV, LD, N.0111 | Love 4 Kjellquist (1974) | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |


| Species name | Place collected | Herbarium Voucher | Reference | Chr. Ho. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. pilosa Vel. var. pilosa | Taza; Bulgaria | 50h, LI321 | Harkova (1983) | $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ |
| S. pilosa Yel. yar. skorpilii (Vel.) Hayek | Hoacilgrad, Bulgaria | 50h, L1322 | Harkova (1983) | 2n=30 |
| S. pseudosiaensis Brenan | Sre Acinos preudasioe | (Brenan) Doroszenko | Horton (1982) |  |

S. punctata (R.Br. ex See Hicrogeria puncata R. Br. ex Benth. Horton (1962) Benth. 1 Briq.
S. robusta (Hook. f.) Brenan See B̈renanitlarobusta (Hook, f.) Doroszenko

Horton (1962)
5. silvatica (Broaf.) Maly See Calagintha sylvatica Broaf. [sic]
S. subspicata Bartl. ex Vis. See Satureja eontana L. 5sp. illyrica Nyman s5p. liburnica Silic
S. subspicata Bartl. ex Vis. See Satureja enontana L. 5sp. illyrica Myean 55p. subspicata
S. thyobra L.

Anafi, Greace
LD, Runeeark \& Snogerup 14983
S. vulgaris (L.) Fritsch See Clinopodium vulgare $L$.

Löve \& Louve (1944) 6ill 11981 )

Yenopopad

| X. fasciculata (Benth.) Ecuador <br> Doroszenko$\quad$ K, Hart 1707a | Harley Heynood <br> (in press) | $2 n=46$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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### 2.6.1. Corolla 1ips.

Throughout the Satureja 5.1. complex the corolla is bilabiate with the upper lip emarginate or retuse, rarely entire, and the lower lip divided into three lobes, sometimes with the middle lobe divided into two lobules. The upper lip is most frequently slightly hooded or projecting straight out from the corolla tube. The lower lip is usually bent downwardsp only rarely is it more or less straight. Of the 204 species for which accurate measurements of both upper and lower lips are available, 139 species have the lower lip longer than the upper, 42 species have more or less equal upper and lower lips, and only 23 species have the upper longer than the lower. It is interesting to note in which genera this latter condition occurs: six of the seven species of Bremaniella have the upper lip longer, only B. rungwensis has lips more or less equal in length; Gardoquia has its species more or less evenly divided amongst the three conditionsi and Calamintha and Micromeria sect. Pseudomelissa also have a few species with the upper lip longer.

### 2.6.2. Corolla tube.

The corolla tube is always straight or curving slightly in one complete arc, $i . e$. never geniculates widening gradually from the base. Very rarely the tube suddenly widens somewhere along its length, e.g. Gardoquia macrostema (see fig. $1 A$ in McVaugh \& Schmid, 1967). Rarely the tube hardly widens until near the throat, e.g. Satureja macrantha. The tube is never saccate or gibbous. Apart from Calamintha micrantha which has cleistogamous corallas, all the species are chasmogamous with a wide open throat.

The corolla tube is resupinate in all species of Cyclotrichium
and also Micromeria cymuligera. This is easily seen by flattening a corolla and holding it up to the light. The veins cross over one another in a spiral fashion. Amongst the Labiatae, this type of resupination is shared with $\frac{\text { Ajuga oriettalis }}{\hat{h}} L_{\text {. }}$ Teucrium spinosum L.s Teucrium resupinatum Desf.g and species of Lophanthus Adans. and Hymenocrater Fisch. \& Mey.

### 2.6.3. Corolla tube vasculature.

In fertile flowers there are always 9 vascular traces more or less equally spaced around the tube. Four of these traces enter the stamens, one for each stamen, and the other five are antipetalous. Even when the corolla is partially or completely sterile the 9 traces are present. I have only once seen vascular traces missing due to stamen sterility in a specimen of Micromeria cymuligera.
2.6.4. Stamens.

Fertile flowers have four stamens of which the anterior are always the longer though only marginally so in Cyclotrichium. If a flower is partially sterile it is always the posterior stamens which are reduced to staminodes. When the stamens are included in the corolla tube or are about equal to the upper lip in length they are held on the upper side of the tube or under the upper lip. The stamens are long exserted in all Cyclotrichium species and Satureja spicigera. The stamens are nearly always directly inserted into the corolla tube tissue. Rarely the base of the filament is adnate to the corolla tissue before complete insertion, e.g. in Micromeria nepalensis. The anthers have two thecae which are mostly divergent, with an angle never exceeding $180^{\circ}$ between the two. The thecae are always parallel in Cyclotrichium and in a few species in other genera as well, e.g. Micromeria abyssinica. The anthers dehisce
introrsely, i.e. the outer, anterior pair first (Knuth, 1899).
2.6.5. Style.

The length of the style relative to the position of the anthers is dependent on the age of the flower after anthesis. The style is usually shorter than the stamens initially with the stigmatic surfaces not receptive to pollen. Some time after anther dehiscence has begun the style grows out to usually exceed the anthers, sometimes very markedly so. The lower of the two stylar branches is frequently longer and/or broader.
2.6.6. Corolla hairs.

Every species has hairs on the inside of the tube which tend to point inwards especially those around the corolla throat. Cyclotrichium is unique in possessing a thick annulus of hairs toward the upper half of the tube. The majority of Gardoquia species and a few others are very densely hairy on the inside lower half of the corolla tube. This is associated with the protection of the ovary and nectaries and the conservation of nectar in bird and long-tongued insect pollinated flawers. The annulus of Cyclotrichium may also serve this function. The outside of the tube is usually sparsely hairy. The indumentum is always of simple hairs except in Cyclotrichium niveum which has dendritic hairs.

Pallination syndromes.


#### Abstract

A pollination "syndrome" is so called because it is often noticed that certain combinations of floral features are commonly found associated with a specific pollinator: For example, red corollas and long corolla tubes tend to be found in bird pollinated flowers, and this can be called a bird pollination syndrome. The


Satureja complex is an excellent group to study such syndromes since there is great variation in floral structure and a wide range of known pollinators. The following is an account of how the different parts of the flower promote or otherwise modify pollination.
2.7.1. Corolla tube length and colour.

The corolla tube is an effective means of nectar concealment. It is reasonable to conclude that the length of the corolla tube modifies the kind of visitor to the flower since only those organisms with long enough tongues can fully benefit from a visit. However, a number of powerful insects, notably bees, can cut holes at the base of the corolla tube and consume the nectar "illegally". Since different organisms have different sensitivities to colour the colour of the corolla is also important.

The relationship between corolla tube length and colour is most clearly seen in the Americas, and here Gardoquia is an interesting case. Nearly all the species have very long, red corolla tubes. However, a few species have purple or white corollas, and these are all shorter tubed species. So there seems to be a correlation between tube colour and length. This correlation is further born out by Diodeilis. Seven of the eight species have purple corollas with tubes varying between 5.0 mm and 14.0 mm in length, and the only species with bright crimson flowers, $\underline{D}_{\text {. coccinea, }}$ has a corolla tube $28.0-50.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ long.

There are very few confirmed, published records of the pollinators of American Satureja 5.1. 50 species with long, red corolla tubes are usually only assumed to be bird pollinated. Grant \& Grant (1968) list Montereya (Satureja) mimuloides as a plant with typical bird pollination features but they do not explicitly
mention personal observations or cite literature records of birds visiting this plant. Moldenke (1977) notes that Gardoquia gilliesii, one of the short-tubed, purple-flowered species (see Moldenke's fig.1) is visited by solitary and semisocial bees and, in later opening flowers, by beeflies.

The old world species are all relatively short tubed; practically all are under 12 mm in length. The predominant colours are white and purple. Published pollinator records show that there is a wide variety of insect visitors but are mainly longer-tongued bees, wasps and lepidoptera, rarely flies.
2.7.2. Flower alighting places.

Most insect visitors to flowers have to alight to partake of the nectar or the pollen. In the Labiatae it is mostly the lower corolla lip which fulfills the function of an alighting place. The length of the lower lip varies markedly in Satureja 5.l., with the great majority of species having the lower lip longer than the upper: Obviously, as the flower gets smaller the advantage of a longer lower lip diminishes simply because it becomes more difficult for the insect to find the flower, but there may be a compensating aggregation of the flowers.
2.7.3 Guide marks.

Sprengel, in his classic work on pollination entitled Das entdeckte Geheimnis der Natur im Bau und in der Befruchtung der Blumen (1793), fully recognised the importance of guide marks, devoting a whole chapter to these marks; indeed he may have coined the term "nectar guide" ("Saftmaal" - literally "nectar mark"). His labiate examples of guide marks include Glechoma which has "white stripes which point the way to the nectar", and Ajuga pyrimidalis


#### Abstract

also has white lines. Two species of Satureja 5.1. are included: Satureja hortensis L. has "the lower lip adorned with dark red spots ... which are the nectar guides", and Thymus acinos $L$. (Acinos arvensis) also "has a nectar guide" (Acinos arvensis has similar dark purple or white blotches on the lawer corolla lip.)


2.7.4. Corolla texture.

It is difficult to judge accurately from dried specimens the texture of the flowers. However, as far as can be ascertained from rehydration and dissection of flowers, the corolla in nearly all 01d World species and in all the smaller flowered species of the Americas is thin and rather delicate. Most of the longer-tubed Gardoquia species seem somewhat tougher, especially toward the base of the tube where often a thick indumentum assists in strengthening the tube. Whilst dissecting Diodeilis ashei, which is probably a bee pollinated plant, the toughness of the corolla tube was very noticable.

## Corolla resupination.

The resupination of the corolla in Cyclotrichium and Micromeria cymuligera has the effect of revolving the usual insect landing site, the lower corolla lip, to the normal position of the upper lip. One could suggest that this is a device to prevent insects landing on the corolla and to restrict pollination to hovering insects such as hawkmoths. However, the upper and lower corolla lips are very similar in length in most species. The hypothesis makes even less sense with Micromeria cymuligera; this species has very small-flowered, compact inflorescences which do not seem suited at all to pollination by hovering insects. It is possible that there has been a change of pollinator of these plants,

```
rendering resupination of the corolla redundant as a specialised pollination device.
```

2.7.6 Zygomarphy

[^1]
### 2.8.1. Genetic basis.

A gynodioecious species is one in which there are both hermaphrodite and male-sterile (female) plants in a population. This type of breeding system is particularly common in the Labiatae (Ross, 1978).

Male-sterile flowers occur frequently on hermaphrodite plants, even in gynodioecious species (Correns, 1904), but these are sporadic and clearly not under general genetic contral. In other words, gynodioecious labiates are not, as far as I know, also consistently gynomonecious. In any case, male-sterile flowers of entirely male-sterile plants or as individuals on generally hermaphrodite plants have a similar morphological structure.

There are three main genetic bases for gynodioecy: recessive, dominant and cytoplasmic genetic control (Lewis, 1941; Lewis \& Crowe, 1956; Lloyd, 1974). Male sterility is most usually a rare Fecessive mutant in higher plants (Lewis \& Crowe ${ }_{9}$ 1956) but this is not the case with gynodioecism. Monogenic recessive male sterility is unknown in gynodioecious species (Ross, 1978). In the Labiatae monogenic dominant gynodioecism has been reported in Salvia pratensis (Ross, 1978). Correns (1904), who was the first to suggest a genetic basis for gynodioecy, showed experimentally that cytoplasmic control was involved in Satureja hortensis L. He grew B97 plants and found 180 "gynomonoecious" (meaning hermaphrodite with sporadic female flowers) and hermaphrodite plants, and 717 female (male-sterile) plants; and a race sent to Correns by Haage \& Schmidt had only 1 hermaphrodite plant out of 150. A similar preponderance of female plants was noted by Darwin (1877) in the same species. Correns therefore concluded that gynodioecy is
governed by the female cytoplasm. He later showed (Correns, 1928) that both hermaphrodite and females bred true. Ross (1978) lists various other combinations of genetic control, including digenic control with a cytoplasmic effect in Origanum vulgare (see also Lewis \& Crowe, 1956).

Lewis \& Crowe (1956) showed that for both recessive and dominant genetic control, females would have to be twice as fertile as hermaphrodites to survive in the population, whereas if cytoplasmic control was involved then the females needed only a slight reproductive advantage to survive. In the. latter case, equilibrium of females to hermaphrodites would be achieved when maximum utilisation of pollen is reached. Various authors have suggested mathematical models of how gynodioecy might arise, including Valdeyron, Dommée \& Valdeyron (1973), Lloyd (1974 a, b), Charlesworth \& Charlesworth (1978), Ross (1978) and Gregorius, Ross \& Gillet (1982). The important factors they highlight in the development of gynodioecy included high levels of selfing, strong inbreeding depression, increased ovule production by females, high pollination rates, viability differences and overdominance, i.e. when heterozygotes have greater fitness than homozygotes.

Ross (1978) proposes two types of gynodioecy: stable and unstable. Stable gynodioecy, as found in many Labiatae, will not evolve to dioecy, whereas unstable gynodioecy might. This second possibility is discussed by Lloyd (1974 a), Charlesworth \& Charlesworth (1978), Ross (1978; 1982) and Bawa (1980).
2.8.2. Morphological effects.

Since the information available is so extensive, the following account draws together selected literature reports of gynodioecy and anther sterility or dimorphisms and some of my own observations
based upon boiled up corollas from herbarium specimens.

### 2.8.2.1. Satureja 5.5.

A male-sterile specimen, identified provisionally as aff. S. macrantha (Lamond \& Terme 4385), had pale mauve corollas only 5-7 mm long instead of the more usual dark mauve, $10-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ long corollas. The stamens were entirely absent, with only 5 vascular traces in the corolla tube rather than the usual 9. The flowers are also sessile in the axils of the floral leaves; usually the flowers are clearly pedunculate.

Semi-sterility, apparently of a sporadic nature, was seen in So $^{\text {a }}$ mutica. One of the thecae of the posterior stamen in Sintenis 947 had aborted entirely while in the other posterior stamen one theca was 0.6 mm long and the other only 0.3 mm long. The anterior anthers had 0.5 mm long thecae. A similar reduction in size of the posterior anther thecae was noted in S. intermedia (Wendelbo \& Assadi 18508; posterior thecae $0.4 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ anterior $0.6-0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ : The semi-sterile stamens in another specimen of 5. intermedia (Wendelbo \& Assadi 18507) were white, while fertile stamens were purple.

The type specimen of $\mathbf{S a}^{\text {a bzbica }}$ has apparently fertile stamens but the thecae on each stamen are clearly dimorphic. One theca is about 0.7 mm long, and the other about 1.0 mm long.
2.8.2.2. Euhesperida

The flowers on the isotype of E. thymbrifolia are mostly female. Measurements of typical flowers are as follows: fertile corolla 9.4 mm long (sterile 3.8 mm ) caly (fertile flower) 2.8 mm long (sterile flower 2.0 mm) fertile stamens, 4.0 mm (posterior), 4.5 mm (anterior); sterile stamens, 0.3 mm (posterior and anterior).

### 2.8.2.3. Micromeria

Sporadic male-sterile flowers have been noted on mainly hermaphrodite plants in $M_{\text {a }}$ marqinata (female corolla $10 \mathrm{~mm}_{9}$ hermaphrodite $12-16 \mathrm{~mm}$ long), Mz taygetea (female 4.5 mm, hermaphrodite $c .6 \mathrm{~mm}$, $\mathrm{Ma}_{\mathrm{c}}$ debilis (female 2.4 mm hermaphrodite 3.7 mm ) and densiflora. Entirely female plants were noted in Mo congesta (female corollas $2.5-3.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, hermaphrodite 6 mm ) and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{a}}$ hyssopifolia (female flower calyx $5.0-5.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ hermaphrodite flower calyx 4.0-4.5 mm) (for further details see Perez de Paz, 1978). In M. debilis, the stigma is longer and the stigmatic lobes much broader in female flowers than hermaphrodite flowers. Stamen dimorphism, mainly differences in dimensions, were noted in M. elliptica and M. tenuis.

Female flowers of M. conqesta have slightly longer posterior stamens than the anterior: Since this is a key character of the Nepetoid generas it may explain why Post (1888) originally placed a synonym of this species [M, shepardii (Post) Post] under Nepeta. McNeil 796 has entirely female flowers, all 2.5-3.0 mm long (fertile flowers c. 6 mm).

The posterior stamen anthers of the type spocimen of M. cymuliqera are much smaller than the anterior, and in the only other known specimen (Davis 45643) the posterior stamens, including the vascular traces, are entirely absent.

### 2.8.2.4. Brenaniella

Brenan (1951) reported that $B_{0}$ masukuensis; $B$. wellmannii and 1. myriantha were gynodioecious, while only hermaphrodite flowers were known for $B_{\text {. vernayana }}$ and $B_{\text {a }}$ cacondensis. However, I have seen sporadic female flowers on a mainly female plant of B. vernayana (Wild 6193). Measurements for the sterile flofer (fertile
flower measurements in parenthesis) were: calyx $5.5 \mathrm{~mm}(6.5 \mathrm{~mm})$ : coralla $7.8 \mathrm{~mm}(9.5 \mathrm{~mm})$ posterior stamen filaments 0.6 mm ( 3.0 $\mathrm{mm})$ : anterior stamen filaments $0.7 \mathrm{~mm}(4.0 \mathrm{~mm})$ and style 7.0 mm $(9.0 \mathrm{~mm})$.

### 2.8.25. Killickia

In a note to L. E. Codd (Pretoria, S. Africa), Mr. I. C. Hedge (Edinburgh) reports that Hilliard \& Burtt 7876 (K. compacta) and 8033 (K $\underline{\text { K pilosa) are male sterile. The former specimen had flowers }}$ with $5(-6)$ stamens instead of the usual 4 , and although thecae were formed none produced pollen.

### 2.8.2.6. Discussion

Since partial or full sterility is common in Satureja s.1. it seems only a small step for a tetrandrous species to become genetically fixed in the diandrous state. In fact this has happened a number of times in Satureia s.1.g with Acinos simensis from Africa, the three closely related species Calamintha graciliss Ca confinis and C. ussuriensis from the Far East, and the Mexican Gardoquia jaliscana. Diandry here must be of recent origin since there are closely related tetrandrous species (A. pseudosimensis: C. debilis and $G$. macrostema).

The diandrous American Hedeoma complex has many similarities to the generally tetrandrous Gardoquia and Acinos: Many Hedeoma 5.5. have a gibbous calyx very similar to Acinos, and Hesperozyqis marifolia has an uncanny similarity in habit to gardoquiag also Irving (1972) related Poliomintha of the Hedeoma complex to Gardoquia. Irving (1980) noted that in Hedeama the posterior staminodes are very variable in length, without or rarely with
thecae ( $H_{\text {o pulegioides }}$ ). Therefore, a recent tetrandrous ancestry for Hedeoma seems probable.

Links between the Old and New World Satureja s.1. are, in my opinion, not at all close. For example, the similarities of the American Montereya and Diodeilis to the Mediterranean Calamintha and Micromeria are really superficial. However, the Hedeoma group seems to provide for this "missing link".

## Crappror 3

Tヨxロrnormic Accoumt

1. Leaves conduplicate, at least in all small leaves, rarely only channelled in larger leaves

+ Leaves flat or revolute

2. Leaves linear, elliptic or oblanceolate to spathulate (01d World) Satureja 5.5.

+ Leaves broadly ovate (South America) Gardoquia

3. Leaf with a more or less thickened marginal veing entire
4. Calyx tube sigmoid, slightly or strongly gibbous at base

Acinos

* Caly tube straightg not gibbous at base

Micromeria
5. Calyx broadly obconicals actinomorphic! peduncles very $\operatorname{long}_{g}(1.5-) 5-22 \mathrm{~mm}$ prostrate herbs (South Africa)

Killickia

+ Calyx tubular, zygomorphic or sometimes almost actinomorphicg but tube not broadly obconicaly peduncles absent or short, up to 5 mm (occasionally longer): erect shrubs or herbss rarely prostrate 6

6. Calyx sigmoid, gibbous at base and constricted near middle

+ Calyr straight or curved, not gibbous at base or constricted near middle7

7. Corolla resupinate ..... 8

+ Corolla not resupinate ..... 9

8. Perennial; corolla annulate withing stamens long-exserted, anthers parallel

+ Annual; corolla not annulate; stamens just exserted from tube, anthers divergent

9. Plant entirely white-lanate, hairs all simpleg
leaves 1 inear-oblong, not revolute; (calyx, though only $1.6-1.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, clearly curved, 15 -veined) Euhesperida

+ Plant not white lanate (except Calamintha candidissima, but hairs clearly dendroid); leaves various, if linear then margins revolute

10. Leaves elliptic to linear-oblong, entireg flat, glabrous, with numerous sunken punctate glands Gontscharovia

+ Leaves usually ovate and toothed, if linear then margins revolute, without numerous sunken glands, usually hairy

11. Inflorescence dense, spike-like, not interrupted or only shortly so at basey verticillasters sessile, multi-floweredg calyx 1 ips straight, upper and lower $\pm$ equal in length; corolla white or purple

+ Inflorescence interrupted, not spike-likei verticillasters shortly pedunculate to sub-sessile, solitary to multi-flowered; upper calyx lip curving upward or both lips straight, equal or not; corolla red, yellow, white or purple16

12. Leaves $7-47 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, flat ..... 13

+ Leaves 2-9 mm long, margins revolute ..... 15

13. Calyx tube curved; veins on lower side of leafvery prominent, white (South America)

+ Calyr tube straight; veins on lower side of leaf not white and prominent (African)

14. All calyx teeth similarg narrowly triangular or subulate; corolla white

Upper calyx teeth broadly triangular, the lower narrowly triangular; corolla purple (C. paradoxa) Calamintha
15. Inflorescence an ovoid, woolly head $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$ long;
leaves Iinear-lanceolate, 5-9 mm
Piloblephis

+ Inflorescence a narrow spike, not woolly, usually
longer than 3 cms leaves acicular-1 anceolate, 2-4 mm, or orbicular-ovate, 3-6 mm

Xenopoma
16. Bracteoles longer than pedicel and peduncle,
reaching at least to middle of calyx; calyx
clearly curved
Clinopodium

+ Bracteoles, if present, shorter or as long as
pedicel and peduncle, reaching only up to base of
calyx; calyx straight or only slightly curved

17. Plant entirely white-lanate with dendroid hairs
(North Africa) (C. candidissima)
Calamintha

+ Plant not white-lanate, with simple or robust dendritic hairsg if any

18. Leaf hairs robust dendritic; calyx
tube 1.5-4.2 mm
Xenopoma

+ Leaf hairs, if any, simpleg calyx tube $1.0-13.5 \mathrm{~mm} \quad 19$

19. Leaves 1 inear-oblong, so tightly revolute as to completely hide the lower side

+ Leaves not 1 inear-oblong, flat or revolute but still with part of lower side visible

20. Calyx 16-18 mm; corollas red, $34-50 \mathrm{~mm}$ : leaves camptodromous

Montereya

+ Calyx up to 14.5 mm corollas red, yellows purple or white, mostly less than 34 mm leaves camptodromous or craspedramous

21. Prostrate sprawling or repent herbs, with longpetiolate ( $3-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) leaves: flowers always solitary in axils of leaves, with long peduncles (3-5 mm) and pedicels (5-10 mm)

Hesperothymus

+ Erect or ascending shrubs or herbs, rarely prostrate, if so with shorter petioled leaves and flowers usually not solitary, with shorter peduncles and pedicels

22. Upper calyx lip curving upward and erect, truncate or upper teath very short, up to $1 / 6$ of the lower in length

Diodeilis

+ Upper calyx lobe straight, $\pm$ parallel with lower or if curving upward never erect, upper teeth $1 / 5$ or more
the length of lower teeth 23

23. Leaves often with revolute margins; calyx tube curved, rarely straight: corolla $15-42 \mathrm{~mm}$, red or yellow, rarely blue-purples the lobes short, $1 / 16-1 / 4$ the tube in length, upper and lower $\pm$ equal, tube strongly hairy in lower half (Central and South America)

Gardoquia

* Leaves nearly always flat, rarely slightly revolute at marging calyx tube straight, rarely curved; corolla purple or white, rarely red, mostly less than 15 mm , but if longer then lobes usually long, often more than $1 / 4$ the tube, the lower $c: 2 \times$ the upper in length; tube not or a little hairy in the lower half (Widespread)24

24. Leaves narrowly ovate or elliptic to 1 inear-oblong, at least $3 \times$ as long as broad, cuneate at base, entire or with very small acute teeth; lateral veins not visible or very narrow and faint

* Leaves ovate to orbicular, usually less than $3 x$ as long as broad, cuneate at base or not, entire, crenulate to dentate (small teeth being always rather rounded; not sharp); lateral veins usually quite strongly visible

25. Calyx tube $3.5-4.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; lower teeth as long as tube; leaves 3-6 cm Gardoquia sect. Anomales

+ Calyx tube $1.0-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$; lower teeth much shorter than tube; leaves $1-3(-4.5) \mathrm{cm} 26$

26. Leaves narrowly oval, usually rounded at apex, often somewhat revoluteg calyx teeth all equal: corolla cream-yellows 9.0-11.3 mm
(M. nepalensis) Micromeria sect. Pseudomelissa

+ Leaves usually ovate, acute or obtuse at apex, rarely rounded $_{9}$ not revolute; upper calyx teeth shorter than lower; corolla white or purple, $2.0-9.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ 27

27. Calyx pedicels $3-10 \mathrm{mmg}$ calyx tube $2.0-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ throat with a dense fringe of hairsig teeth all very narrowly triangular Diodeilis

* Calyx pedicels 0-1.5 mmi calyx tube $1.0-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}_{9}$ throat glabrous or with a sparse fringe of hairs: teeth all broadly triangular to lanceolate-subulate, rarely narrowly triangular Xenopoma sect. Ceratominthe

28. Annual, 7-20 cm tallg leaves $8-20 \mathrm{~mm}$, pedicels 2-10 mm ..... 29

+ Perennial, $3-200 \mathrm{~cm}$ tall; leaves various ..... 30

29. Leaves ovate to orbicular, lofer side sparsely glandular-hispid; calyx tube c. 2 mm (D. amissa) Diodeilis

+ Leaves narrowly ovate to obovate, lower side glabrous or rarely eglandular hairy; calyx tube 2.7-3.6 mm (C. debilis)

30. Leaves elliptic-oblanceolate, 2-6 $\times 0.7-2.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ (M. barosma) Micromeria sect. Pseudomelissa

+ Leaves ovate-lanceolate to orbicular, usually larger than $6 \times 2.8 \mathrm{~mm}$

31. Upper calyx teeth 1/5-2/3 (rarely 3/4) the length of the lower teeth, sometimes curving upwards somewhat; tube broadly cylindrical; calyx (3-)4.5-14.5 mm

+ Upper calyx teeth $2 / 3$ to as long as lower teeth, always $\pm$ straight and parallel with the lower teeth; tube narrowly cylindricalg calyx 1.9-4.5(-8.2) mm

32. Prostrate or sometimes erect shrubs, sometimes prostrate herbs; leaves $2-14 \mathrm{~mm}$, numerous on stems, broadly ovate to orbicular; flowers $1-3(-6)$ in a leaf axil; calyx teeth short, never more than 1.3 mm (Central \& South America) Xenopoma

* Erect shrubs or herbs, rarely prostrate shrubs; leaves (5-) 10-35 mm, rather few on stems, ovateelliptic to lanceolate, rarely orbicular; flowers (1-4-)5-40 in axil of a leaf; calyx teeth often longer than 1.3 mm (0ld World) Micromeria sect. Pseudomelissa

The Satureioid genera include Satureja $L_{\text {. }}$ 5.5.g Gontscharovia Roped and Euhesperida Brillo \& Furnari. The single species of Saccocalyx Coss. is similar in facies to Satureja 5.5.g but is usually not considered to belong to Satureja 5.1. on account of its inflating calices and channelled leaves. These diferences do not seem sufficient to exclude Saccocalyx from the Satureja complex, since both Gontscharovia and Euhesperida have channelled leaves and also have differences in calyx structure; though not so marked. Nevertheless, Saccocalyx has been omitted from this taxonomic account until its affinities are more clearly determined. To provide additional information for these studies Saccocalyx has been included in the investigation on leaf anatomy (see Chapter 2). It was shown that Saccocalyx has a very similar leaf anatomy to the Satureioid genera.

The three genera in this group may be distinguished by their campanulate, 10 -13-nerved bilabiate calyx (sometimes the calyx is distinctly bilabiate, egg. S. mutica, S. boissieri, $_{\text {S. macrantha, }}^{\text {g }}$ S. macrosiphonia), and conduplicate or channelled, usually narrowly oblanceolate, elliptic or linear leaves with isolateral palisade parenchyma. The marked differences in leaf structure between the suprageneric groups of Satureja sal. are discussed fully in Chapter 2.

The Satureioid genera are closest in affinity to the Micromerioid genera (Micromeriag Killickia, Brenaniella), with the clearest similarities in floral structure. Species now in Satureja 5.5. and Micromeria Benth. Sect. Micromeria were included in one genus by Linnaeus (1753) in his treatment of Saturejan However, other than Briquet (1896) who combined Satureja 5.5. and Micromeria
sect. Micromeria in Satureja sect. Sabbatia Briq., no major author since the beginning of the nineteenth century has so closely linked Satureja and Micromeria: But even Briquet arranged the species within sect. Sabbatia Briq. so that the species belonging to Satureja 5.5. are all listed before those of Micromeria sect. Micromeria. The two genera are easily distinguished by the characters described above.

## 8

3.3. Satureja $L_{a g} S p . P 1=567$ (1753).

Syn: Sa sect. Tragoriganum Benth. g Lab. Gen. Sp. 354 (1834): S. $_{\text {g }}$ sect. Annuae Boiss., Fl. Or. 4an: (1879); S. sect. Subbilabiatae Boiss., Fl. Or, 4: ... (1879); So sect. Sabbatia Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, $3 a: 298$ (1896), p.p.

Low shrubs, suffruticose herbs, or annuals, with a thick tap root branching just below, at or just above ground levelg stems erect or ascending, rarely prostrate, simple or branched, glandular-punctate. Leaves narrowly oblanceolate, spathulate, obovate, elliptic; or linear, rarely lanceolate, usually cuneate below, entire or rarely minutely toothed, subsessile, conduplicate at least when young; veins camptodromous; lateral veins usually scarcely visible, margins rarely with ciliag hairs, if any, simple, eglandular; both sides densely sunken punctate glandular; palisade mesophyll isolateral. Verticillasters with flowers subsessile or in lax cymes, solitary or with up to $c: 20$ flowers; floral leaves sometimes much reduced; bracteoles small, elliptic; oblanceolate, or leaf-like. Calyx bilabiate, with 3 upper teeth clearly shorter than the lower two, rarely $\pm$ actinomorphic with equal teeth; all teeth most often of similar shape, usually narrowly triangular,
ciliate or not: tube slightly or clearly ampliate, never giboous at base, $10-13$-veined, sutural veins sometimes obscure; throat usually with a sparse fringe of hairs, sometimes glabrousg glabrous inside belos the throat. Corolla 5-15 mm long, 2-1ipped, usually white, sometimes purple or mauve; tube straight, not annulate inside; glabrous inside in lower half of tube, very rarely with a few sparse hairsg lips (1/5-)1/3-1/2 x tube length. Stamens 4, inserted half-way up tube; filaments convergent, included or shortly, rarely longly, exserted from corollag anthers divergent. Style shortly exserted from corollag stigma lobes mostly equal, sometimes unequals always narrowly subulate.

Type species: S. montana $L$.
Gen. distrib: Mediterranean regiong SE Asiag Near East, S. hortensis is widely cultivated.

Nomenclaturally incorrect variants in spelling of Satureja $L$. include: Satureia Miller, Gard. Dict. 4th. abridged ed. (1754) and Saturegia Leers, F1: Herborn. 135 (1775).

The original Linnaean genus (Linnaeus, 1753) included not only Satureja 5:5. but also species now placed in Micromeria sect. Micromeria, Pycnanthemum, Thymus and Cunila, Species belonging to these last three genera were gradually removed in the following editions of Species Plantarum and Systema Vegetabilung and finally Bentham (1834) separated Satureja 5.5. from Micromeria. It has already been discussed how Satureja 5.5. may be clearly distinguished from Micromeria (see "Satureioid genera").

Satureja was not divided into subgeneric groups until Bentham's Labiatarum (1834). He described three sections: Sect. Tragoriganum for the single species 5 . thymbra; Sect. Pyonothymus for the American S. rigida [Piloblephis riqidalg and Sect. Satureia [sic]
for the remainder of the genus. Boissier (1879) further divided the genus into 5 sections as follows: Sect. Annuae for the annual S. hortensis: the mainly Mediterranean Sect. Eusatureiae for S. $^{\text {. }}$ montana, $S_{n}$ parnassica; $S_{n}$ spinosa; $S_{0}$ cuneifolia and $S_{0}$ intermediag which are suffrutescent with regular calices the first 4 species belong to the montana complex described by Ball (1970)]g Sect. Subbilabiatae for S. boissierig $^{\text {S. subdentata, }}$ S. mutica, S. $_{\text {. }}$ spicigera, S. macrantha, S. longiflora and S. atropatana which are suffrutescent with sub-bilabiate calices and are from a region bounded by E Turkey, Iraq, Iran and S USSR; Sect. Zataroideae for S. bachtiaricag a suffruticose Iranian species with 5 very prominent caly\% sutural veins (actually 13 -veined); and Sect. Tragoriganum for S. thymbrag a suffruticose species with a regular calyx, but particularly distinguished by the numerous, relatively large bracteoles surrounding the calices. Gatureja sensu Briquet (1896) has an arrangement of species rather similar to that of Boissier (1879). Briquet recognised Sect. Tragoriganum for S. $^{\text {. }}$ thymbra, and the rest of the species were included in Sect. Sabbatia (species hortensis to bachtiarica inclusive). An informal group (A) is described for S. hortensis within Sect. Sabbatia, and the remainder (group B) first lists the montana complexg then the Iranian/Iraqi/Turkish/USSR group, then the very distinct $\underline{S a}_{\text {a }}$ inodora from Morocco and $S$ Spaing and finally So bachtiarica. $^{\text {S }}$

The present arrangement is quite similar to that of both Boissier and Briquet. Howeverg I do not believe the recognition of sections is justified. Boissier's sections were based mainly on calyx structure, particularly the extent of bilabiation. Other than S. thymbra; all Satureja species are more or less bilabiate, and it is impossible to draw a satisfactory line between what is "regular" and what is "bilabiate" in Boissier's sense. Reference to the Data

Tables for Satureja in the Appendix confirms this. Nevertheless, based on habit, vegetative and floral characters Boissier*s groups are recognisable though without definite boundaries. I have therefore arranged the species into an order similar to that of Boissier and Briquet. There is something to be said for distinguishing $S_{\text {. }}$ thymbra from the rest of the genus because of its unusual verticillaster arrangement. However, to do so would bring in a certain inconsistency within the genus. Recognition of Sect. Tragoriganum would imply that the rest of the genus forms a close-knit, relatively invariable group; this, of course, is not true. The informal groupings recgnised here are as follows:
A) species 1-11 which includes the montana complex and related species:
and B) species $12-25$ which includes the group centred around Irang Iraq and Turkeyg
 hortensis are all distinct and could be put on their own in separate groups. However, S. coerulea and S. hortensis have affinities with group (A).

1. Annual: with one widely branched stem
2. hortensis

* Perennial herbs or shrubs

2. Bracteoles numerous; ovate acuminate; long ciliate on marging verticillasters subglobose 27. thymbra

+ Bracteoles inconspicuous, linear-lancealate, not ciliate; verticillasters never globose 3

3. Stamens long-exserted; inflorescence secund 25. spicigera

+ Stamens included or only shortly exserted; inflorescence usually not secund

4. Calyx teeth obtuse: dwarf shrubs with linearspathulate glabrous leaves (except for ciliate margin)
5. salzmannii

* Calyx teeth usually acute or acuminateg dwarf shrubs or erect herbs: leaves various, usually hairy 5

5. Leaves linear-oblong to lanceolateg glabrous except for ciliate marging calyx teeth glabrous, as long as tubeg flowers violet-blue $\quad$ 26. coerulea

+ Not as above 6

6. Leaves narrowly to broadly elliptic or linear;
flowers violet or mauve 7

+ Leaves narrowly to broadly oblancealate or spathulateg flowers most often white (sometimes mauve)10

7. Corolla up to $2 \times$ calyx, tube widely flaredg calyx teeth sub-equal or calyx sub-bilabiate 8

+ Corolla 2-5 $\times$ calyx, tube very narrow: calyx distinctly bilabiate 9

8. Leaves broadly ellipticg slightly toothed
9. bzybica

+ Leaves narrowly linear-elliptic, entire

23. aintabensis

## Satureja

9. Hairs on outside of calyx long; calyx teethall very narrowly triangular21. macrantha

+ Hairs on outside of calyx minute: upper calyxteeth mostly lanceolate-subulate, lower teethnarrowly triangular to deltoid17. edmondii

10. Leaves spathulate, very obscurely toothed;flowers purple20. intermedia

* Leaves narrowly oblanceolate to broadly obovate, entire; flowers white (occasionally very faint purple) ..... 11

11. Dwarf shrub with spiny branches 11. spinosa

+ Dwarf shrubs or herbs with espinose branches ..... 12

12. Dwarf shrubs, the leafy part 5-15 cmg verticillasters approximate ..... 13

+ Erect or accending suffruticose herbs, usually 20-60 cmi verticillasters $\pm$ distant ..... 20

13. Stem hairs mostly more than 1.2 mm stems $\pm$ erect ..... 14

+ Stems with few or no hairs more than 0.2 mm g stems $\pm$ procumbent ..... 17

14. Calyx 4.2-5.0 mm (corolla 8-12 mm) ..... 8. pilosa

+ Calyx 3.0-4.0 mm ..... 15

15. Suffruticose herb with simple stems; corolla 6-10 mmi
lateral vein of leaf clearly visible beneath 5. wiedemanniana

+ Small much-branched shrubs; corolla 6-7 mmglateral veins of leaf inconspicuous16

16. Calyx teeth half as long as tube: leavessparsely glandular
17. athoa

+ Calyx teeth at least $3 / 4 \times$ tube; leavesdensely glandular6. parnassica


## Satureja

17. Calyx $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$, upper teeth half as long aslower; lower teeth setaceous19.rumelica18
18. All leaves $\pm$ equal in length; lateral veins clearly visible, minutely hairy above and belows calyx minutely and densely hispidulous
18. isophylla

+ Leaves becoming smaller toward top of plantg lateral veins invisible, long-haired above and belowg calyx sparsely pubescent19

19. Floral leaves $11 / 2-4 \times$ calycesg corolla $8-9 \mathrm{~mm}$ ..... 10. amani

* Floral leaves as long as calyces; corolla 5-7 mm ..... 9. icarica

20. Floral leaves $10-20 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ exceeding verticillasters:verticillasters usually crowded (very variable) 1 : montana

+ Floral leaves $3-10(-11) \mathrm{mm}_{9}$ shorter than or aboutequalling verticillasters; verticillasters usuallydistant21

21. Verticillasters sessile or sub-sessile
(peduncles less than 1.5 mm ) ..... 22

+ Verticillasters (at least lower ones) distinctlypedunculate (longer than 1.5 mm )25

22. Leaves obovate, spathulate, obtuse, 4-10 mm(Spain)
23. obovata
23

+ Leaves oblanceolate, acute, 4-20 mm

23. Calyx sparsely punctate-glandularg glandsusually yellows teeth sub-equal2. cuneifolia+ Calyx densely punctate-glandular, glands red;upper teeth half as long as lower teeth24

## Satureja


3.3.2. 1. S. montana L.g Sp. Pl. 568 (1753).

Syn: Thymus montanus (Lo) Dum,-Cours:g Bot: Culto ed.2, 3:32 (1811), non Crantz (1769); Satureja hyssopifolia Berta, Anna Stor. Nat. 3:406 (1829): Micromeria montana (L.) Reichenb.g Flo Germ. Exc. 311 (1831); Satureja ciliata Ave-Lall. in Ind. Sem. Hort. Petrop. 11 Suppl.g 66 (1846) $;$ Saturiastrum montanum ( $L_{i}$ ) Fourr: in Anne Soc: Linn. Lyon n.5. 17:133 (1869); Saturiastrum petraeum (Jord. \& Fourra) Fourrag loc. cit. (1869): Clinopodium montanum (L.) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891); Satureja dolzii Sennen in Bol. Soc. Iber. Cienc. Nat. 1933, 32:64 (1934), nomen.

## Satureja

Ball (1972) concisely describes the S. montana group. He tabulates the characters which distinguish the 5 subspecies of 5 . montana; which are the same subspecies recognised in the present revision. A number of local variants, especially from the Balkans, have been seen which do not fit well into Ball's scheme, but lack of material frustrates proper assessment of these forms. Ball also realised that other variants could have been described at subspecific rank, but he declined to describe them because the species' variation was not fully known to him. The subspecies recognised are rather broadly circumscribed, and intermediate specimens are common. Nevertheless, this scheme is a useful and practical compromise between attempting to deal with every local form and not giving recognition to any variants.

1. Stem puberulent on all four sides of stem 2

* Stem glabrous, or hairy on 2 sides only, alternating up stem 3

2. Calyx (3.5-)4-7 mmi verticillasters dense, peduncles and pedicels less than 5 mm (a) $5 s p$. montana

* Calyx 2-4(-4.5) mmg verticillasters lax, at least lower pedicels and peduncles more than 5 mm
(b) 5sp. variegata

3. Maximum leaf width 5-10 mm
(d) 55p. kitaibelii

+ Maximum leaf width $1.5-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$

4. Calyx 3.5-4.0 mmg verticillasters $\pm$ distantg coralla 6-8 mm (e) 5sp: taurica

* Calyx 4-9 mmi verticillasters crowded; corolla (6-)8-15 mm
(c) 5sp: illyrica


## Satureja

(a) 5sp: montana

Syn: Satureja brevis Jord. \& Fourrag Brev. P1. Nov. 1:44 (1866); Sa flexuosa Jord. \& Fourrag loc. cit. 45 (1866); So petraea Jord. \& Fourrag loc. cit. 45 (1866): S. provincialis Jord. \& Fourrag loc. cit. 45 (1866) : S. rigidula Jord. \& Fourrag loc. cit. 46 (1866); Sa pyrenaica Jord. \& Fourr:g Breva Pl. Nov. 2:89 (1868): So \% Karstiana Justin in Mitt. Mus. Krain 17:182 (1904); Sa ovalifolia Huter, Porta, \& Rigo in Dest. Bot. Zeitschro 57:402 (1907): So pollinonis Huterg Portag \& Rigo, loc. cit. (1907).

Ic: Hegi, Fl. Mittel-Eur. 5, 4:2285, f.3191 (1927).
Fl. 7-10. Stony places, calcareous rocks, stony turfg dry rock crevices in gravelly clay, 55-2500 mo

Type: Described from Hetruria, Narbona (Hbo Linn. 723/5, microfiche!).

Gen. distrib.a Austriag 5 France, $N$ Spaing $N$ Greece, mostly $W$ and $N$ Italy, $N$ Yugoslavia。

Specimens from Greece often have features characteristic of other species in the montana complex. Archibald 441 has the stature and sparsely hispid leaf surfaces of S. pilosa but has the short stem indumentum, long calyx teeth, and short (2-4 mm) peduncles typical of S. montana $^{\text {ssp. montana. }}$
(b) 55p. variegata (Host) P.W. Ball in Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 65:352 (1972).

Syn: Satureja variegata Host, Fl. Austr. 2:134 (1832): Micromeria Variegata (Host) Reichenb:g F1. Germ. Exc. 859 (1832).

Ic: Reichenb. g Ic. Fl. Germ. 18: t. 1273 (1857).
F1. 7-11. Rocky places, calcareous rocks, road side, s.1. -1525 m.

## Satureja

> Type: Described from Croatia; specimen unknown.
> Gen. distrib.: N Italy, Yugoslavia。
> Feoli \& Poldini (1979) have made a detailed study of $\underline{S}_{\text {g }}$ montana in Italy and Yugoslavia and established the distinctness of ssp. variegata from ssp. montana.
(c) $55 p$. illyrica (Host) Nymang Consp. 591 (1881).

Syn: Satureja illyrica Host, Fl. Austr: 2:133 (1831): So subspicata Bartl. ex Vis.g Stirp. Dalm. 11 (1826): S. pygmaea Sieb. ex Vis.g loc. cit. (1826) (K!); Micromeria pygmaea (Sieb. ex Vis:) Reichenb.g F1. Germ. Exc. 311 (1831); Sa montana Lo var. subspicata (Bartla ex Vis.) Vis., F1. Dalm. 2:194 (1847); Somacedonica Form. in Verh. Naturf. Ver. Brünn 37:186 (1899).

Ic: Reichenb: ${ }^{\text {g }}$ Ic. F1. Germa 18: t. 1273 (1857).
Fl. 7-10. Rocky places, calcareous rocks, 200-1525 m.
Syntypes: Dalmatia, Petter 329; Sieber 1812; Fiume, Reichenbach F1. Germ. Exs. 741: Trieste, Schultz Herb. Norm. Plo Gall. et Germ. 540.

Gen. distrib. ${ }^{\text {N }}$ Italy, Austria, Yugoslavia, Bulgaria.
(d) 5sp. kitaibelii (wierza) Pow. Ball in Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 65: 352 (1972).

Syn: Satureja kitaibelii Wierz. ex Heuffel in Verh. Zool. Bot. Ges. Wien 8:177 (1858); $S_{\text {。 montana }} L_{\text {g }}$ var: blavii Ascherson, Reisen in Bosnien (1877); S. montana L. Var: kitaibelii (Wierzo) Briq.q Lab. Alp. Mar: 400 (1895); Sa subspicata Vis. var. blavii (Ascherson) Maly in Herb. Norm. no. 4930.

Ic: Savulescu, Fl. Rep. Pop. Ramine B: t.48, f. 2 (1961).

## Satureja


#### Abstract

F1. 8-11. Rocky places, calcareous rocks, pasture, $50-365 \mathrm{~m}$. Type: In rupestribus montanis ad Szaszka et in toto Danubii tractu. Aug.-Sept., Wierzbicki (holo. $W_{9}$ iso. K!).

Gen. distrib.: Yugoslavia, Hungary, Roumania. Briquet (1896) and Ball \& Getliffe (1972) contradict each other on the description of 55p. kitaibelii (var. kitaibelii in Briquet). Ball \& Getliffe describe it as having stems puberulent on 2 sides only or glabrous, the leaves mostly $4-7 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide, whereas Briquet in the key says "stems covered in a short fine pubescence, the adult leaves $1-3 \times 0.2-0.3 \mathrm{~cm}$ ". Heuffel (1858) in the original description of S. kitaibelii says "lower leaves obovate-cuneiform, $^{\text {a }}$ broadly lanceolate"; which would agree with Ball \& Getliffe. Briquet's description is based on Wierzbickig in Reichenbach F1. Germ. Exs. no. 2545 (unfortunately not at $E$ or B). This specimen is probably not, therefore, ssp. kitaibelii.


(e) 5sp. taurica (Velen.) $P_{0}$ W. Ball in Bot. J. Linn. Soca 65:352 (1972).

Syn: Satureja taurica Velen. in Sitz. -Ber: Bohm. Ges: Wiss. 1903, 28:9 (1904).

F1. 9. Rocky places, chalk slopes, c. 300 ma
Type: [Crimea]: in calcareis ad Simferopoly Kaspar tholo. in Prague?).

Gen: distrib.: Crimea.
This subspecies is on the periphery of the species' range.
3.3.3. 2. S. cuneifolia Tenag Fl. Nap. 1, Prodra 33 (1811): Syn: Micromeria spicata Vis. ex Reichenb.g Fl. Germ. Exc. 311
(1831); Satureja hirta Host, F1. Austr. 2:134 (1831); S. virgata Vis. ex Benth., Lab. Gen. Sp. 353 (1834) (K!); S. spicata Vis., Fl. Dalm. 2:195 (1847), nomen ex herb. Pl. Sicc., non Garsault (1764), non Crantz (1766): S. hyssopifolia Duffour in Bull. Soc. Bot. Fr. 7: 428 (1860) (K!), non Bert. (1829), non Briq. (1898); $\underline{S}_{\text {a }}$ intricata Lange in Vid. Meddel. Dansk Naturh. Foren. Kjpbenhavn 18B1:96 (1882); S. pisidica Wettst. in Sitz.-Ber. Akad. Wiss. Wien
 Zeitschr. 40:40 (1890); nomen: Clinopodium cuneifolium (Ten.) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891); S. valentina Tourn., in herb. Tourn. no. 1354, nom. nud.

Ic: Teno, Fl. Nap., Atlas 4: t. 155 (1811-38); Fiori \& Paol.g Ic. Fl. Ital. 375 (1902).

Fl. 7-9. Dry calcareous rocks, limestone banks, schistose slopes, rocky slopes, cliffs, 170-2100 m.

Type: Described from the vicinity of Naples (holo. NAP).
Gen. distrib: Italy, Greece, Lebanon, Spain, Turkey, Yugoslavia, Albania.

The length of the leaf is distinctly greater in the eastern part of the range than in Europe. However, the length of the calyx is clearly greater in Europe than in oriental parts. Details of these differences and a discussion on the separation of this species from S. obovata Lag. is given after the taxonomic account of Satureja on page 206.
S. intricata Lange, considered as possibly a high altitude ecotype of S. obovata by Ball \& Getliffe (1972), is more likely a form of S. cuneifolia. Three specimens identified by their collectors as S. intricata (Huter 1000; Boissier viii 1837, 2
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specimens) have the oblanceolate leaf shape, acute leaf apex, and the calyx with very few, small, yellow, punctate glands that are
 intricata has ciliate leaf margins like $\underline{S_{\text {. }} \text { montana, }}$ however none of the specimens cited above have such cilia.
3.3.4.
3. S. obovata Lag., Gen. Sp. Nov. 18 (1816).

Syn: Satureja cuneifolia Ten. var. obovata (Lag.) Boiss., Voy. Bot. Esp. 2:495 (1839-45); S. hieronymi Sennen in Bol. Soc. Iber. Cienc. Nat. 1933, 32:63 (1934) (E!).

Ic: Willcomm, Ill. Fl. Hispan. Balear. 2: t. 128, f. A (1889) Pau in Mem. Mus. Cienc. Nat. Barcelona 1(1): 62 (1922).

Fl: 7-10. Sandy, rocky slopes above sea, steep rocky places in mountains, 5.1.-?

Type: Venit ad saxorum calcareorum rimas in australiori Hispania. Orcelitani et Murcitani appellant. Valentinis. (holo. ?). Gen. distrib: SE Spain.

Closely related to $\underline{S_{\text {. }} \text { cuneifolia, }}$ but distinguished by its usually smaller, broadly obovate-spathulate leaves, relatively shorter calyx teeth, and distinctly glandular-punctate calyx tube. Further details are presented after the account of Satureja.
3.3.5. 4. S. cilicica Pa H. Davis in Notes R.B.G. Edinb. 38:51 (1980). Syn: Satureja cuneifolia Ten. var. tenuis Bois5ag Fl. Or. 4:564 (1879) (E!).

Fl. 7-8. Rocky and grassy slopes, scree, limestone slopes, 1470-2150 m.

Type: Turkey $C 5$ Nigde: valley $W$ of Maden running 5 towards peak of
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Bolkar Dag, 30 vii 1969, J. Darrah 335 (holo. E!). Gen. distrib.a 5 Turkey.

Similar to S. cuneifolia but with a more slender habit, laxer verticillasters, and smaller, distinctly bilabiate calices with broader upper teeth.
3.3.6. 5. S. wiedemanniana (Lallem.) Vel. in Sitz. Böhm. Ges. Wiss. 29:24 (1894).

Syn: Satureja cuneifolia Ten. var: wiedemanniana Lallem., Ind. Sem. Hort. Petrop. 11, Suppl. 64 (1845).

Fl. 7-8. Limestone crevices, calcareous rocks and stony places, 400-1750 m.

Syntypes: In Natolia monte pus Mahmudagh et Kiskischdagh (K!), prope Kaisareh, ann. 1835, Wiedemann.

Gen. distrib.: N \& SW Turkey.
Similar to $\underline{\text { S. cuneifolia but lower in stature, the whole plant }}$ densely white patent-pubescent, and the verticillasters much more compact with the floral leaves clearly exceeding the corollas.
3.3.7. 6. S. parnassica Heldr. \& Sart. ex Boiss., Fl. Or: 4:563 (1879). Syn: Satureja spinosa L. var. stygia Orphig Fl. Graec. Exs. no. 622; S. parnassica var. stygia (Orph.) Hal., Consp: Fl. Graec. 2:552 (1902) : S. parnassica Heldr. \& Sart. ex Boiss. ssp. sipylea P. H. Davis in Notes R.E.G. Edinb. $38: 52$ (1980) (E!).

Fl. 7-10. Crevices of calcareous rocks, 400-1950 m.
Syntypes: Greece: In monte Parnassi, rupibus, 7000 ped., vii 1848, Heldreich. (E!): in rupibus regionis mediae montis Parnassi (Karkaria), 4500 ped., 24 viii 1856, Heldreich 80 (Satureja spinosa
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var. parnassica Heldr. \& Sart., nomen in schaed., E! ).
Turkey: Partie superieure du Mont Sipyle, au-dessus de Magnesie, dans les feutes des rochers, 16 viii 1854, B. Balansa 309 (ssp. sipylea, holo. E!).

Gen. distrib: : Greece, w Turkey.
Ssp. sipylea is distinguished by its more erect divaricately branched habit, and narrower cuneate more pointed leaves. However, in view of the scanty material available for study, and the variability of the specimens, subspecific rank does not seem to be justified.

Davis 1004 is a rather anomalous specimen. It is nearest to $\underline{\underline{s}}$ parnassica but is rather sparsely hairy (tending toward S. $^{\text {. }}$ montana), only sparsely yellow punctate-glandular (aff. S. athoa), has corollas up to 10 mm (as in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$ pilosa), and rather prominent lateral veins on the leaves (similar to S. wiedemanniana). However, features characteristic of S. parnassica $^{\text {include: calyx }}$ C. $4 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ the lower teeth as long as the tube, lower leaves spathulate; apex obtuse. Its collection locality (near the monastry of Megaspelieon, Peloponesus) corresponds to that of S. parnassica.
3.3.8. 7. S. athoa K. Maly in Glasn. Muz. Bosni Herzeg. 22:690 (1910). Syn: Satureja approximata Friv. in Flora 14:438 (1836), non Biv. (1816): S. spinosa Heldre ex Nym., Consp. 591 (1881). Type: Described from Athos, Greece.

Distinguished from S. parnassica, $^{\text {to }}$ which it is very similar, by its sparsely glandular leaves and calyx teeth half as long as the calyx tube. I have not yet seen a specimen of this species but from its description it may only be a form of 5 . parnassica.
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3.3 .9.
8. S. pilosa Velen. in Sitz. -Ber. Bohm. Ges. Wiss. 40:6 (1899).

Syn: Satureja parnassica Heldr. \& Sart. ex Boiss. var. macrophylla Hal: in Verh. Zool:-Bot. Ges. Wien 49:191 (1899).

Fl. 8. Rocky places, 900-1800 m.
Type: [Bulgarial: in rupestribus ma Elensky Balkan et supra Karlovog Urumov (holo. in Prague?).

Gen: distrib.: Balkans, N Italy.
This species is closest to S. parnassica. $^{\text {. }}$ it is distinguished by its sparsely hispid pubiscence, greater height (to 23 cm ), obovate-oblanceolate leaves with acute to sub-obtuse apices twice as 1 ong (to 21 mm ), and larger calices and corollas.
9. S. icarica P. H. Davis in Notes R. $\mathrm{Ba}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Ga}_{\mathrm{a}}$ Edinb. $38: 51$ (1980). Syn: Satureja hellenica Heldr. ex Hal. in Verh. Zool.-Bot. Ges. Wien 49:192 (1899), nomen; Satureja montana $L$ g var: hellenica Heldr: ex Hal., Consp. F1. Graeca 2:551 (1902). Fl. 6-8. On hard schists in open heath, cliffs, 100-1040 m. Type: Greece: Ikaria, Mt: Atheras, $900-1040 \mathrm{~m}_{9}$ hard schists, in open heath, 12 vii 1958, Runemark \& Snogerup 12460 (holo. LD!). Gen: distrib: : Greece (Ikaria, Euboea).
S. icarica was thought to be only a variety of S. montana, to $^{\text {. }}$ which it is certainly closely related. It merits specific rank on account of its low cushion-forming habit, small oblanceolate, patently scabrid-pubescent leaves with mucronate apices, very short inflorescence with verticillasters of solitary, sessile flowers, with the floral leaves not exceeding the flowers, and smaller calices and corollas.
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3.3.11. 10. 5. amani Pa H. Davis in Notes R.B.G. Edinb. 38:50 (1980). Type: Turkey C6 Adana: Kusliji Dagh (Kislici Das), 1520-1980 m, viii 1908, Haradjian 2507 (holo. E!).

Gen. distrib: : 5 Turkey.
A local species belonging to the montana complex identified by its low habit, narrow, mucronate leaves, dense inflorescence of sessile, 2-flowered verticillasters, with floral leaves $1.5-4 \times$ the flowers, and small calices with the lower teeth as long as the tube.
3.312. 11. S. spinosa Lo, Cent. Pl. 2: 19 (1756).

Syn: Satureja spinosa L. var: glabra Barbey \& Major, Samos 54 (1891).

Ic: Sibth. \& Smo, Fl. Graeca 6: t. 541 (1827).
Fl. 5-10. Exposed limestone rocks, crevices, and screes, 650-2200 m.

Type: Described from Crete ( H b . Linn. ).
Gen. distriba: Crete, E Aegaean islands, W Turkey.
Easily identified by the spinose branches.
3.3.13. 12. S. mutica Fisch. \& Mey., Ind. Sem. Hort. Petrop. 2: 49 (1836). Syn: Satureja intermedia sensu Benth. p.p.s in DC: Prodr. 12:210 (1848).

Ic: Rech. $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{g}}$ Fl. Iranica 150: t .393 (1982).
Fl. 7-8. Rocky and stony places, limestone mountain slopes, c. 1830 m.

Type: [USSR]: in altioribus montibus Talusch, CoA. Meyer (holo. G-BDISS, iso. LE, photo!).

Gen. distrib.: N Iran, 5 USSR (Caucasus).
This is the first of fourteen species belonging to a group centred around Iran and Iraq, $^{\text {which }}$ includes most of the species of Sect. Subbilabiatae Boiss. (1879): Of these 14 species 5. mutica, S. boissieri, S. bachtiarica, $^{\text {S. sahendica, }}$ S. metastasiantha $^{\text {and }}$ S. edmondii (nos. 12-17) have a similarly tall, slender, virgate or erect-ascending habit. Most have widely spaced, at least below, few-flowered verticillasters.
13. S. boissieri Hausskn. ex Boiss.g Fl. Or. 4:565 (1879).

Ic: Rech. fog Fl. Iranica 150: t. 559 (1982).
F1. 7-9. Crevices of limestone cliffss rocky volcanic slopes, Quercus scrub; $1100-1480 \mathrm{~m}$.

Type: [Turkey]a in monte Akdagh Cataonia inter Malatya et Adiamang, 13 ix 1865, Haussknecht (holo. G!).

Gen. distrib. N Irang $E$ Turkey.
This species is very closely related to S. mutica, but is $^{\text {. }}$ distinguished by the scabridulous leaves, verticillasters with more flowers, and longer corollas.
3.3.15. 14. S. bachtiarica Bunge in Mem. Acad. Scienc. Petersb. ser: 7, 21:37 (1873)。

Ic: Rech. fos Fl. Iranica 150: t. 397 (1982).
Fl. (5-)7-11. Limestone cliffs, rocky places, $1700-2740 \mathrm{~m}$.
Type: [Iran] in Persiae australis districtus Bachtiarici montosis supra Abergung Haussknecht (lecto. G-BOISS; w).

Gen. distrib. : Iran.

The species is unusual in its dense verticillasters, distinct below, confluent above, and the calyx with 5 prominent veins. The calyx is actually 13 -veined (all Satureja 5.5. are 10-13-veined),
but the 5 intercostal veins are strongly developed. The prominence of these veins is similar to that of Euhesperida thymbrifolia (Hedge \& Feinbrun) A. Doroszenko (S. thymbrifolia). However, E. thymbrifolia has flat or channelled, linear-oblong, uninervate leaves, actinomorphic, slightly curved, 15-nerved (though only 5 strongly developed) calices with similar upper and lower teeth, while S. bachtiarica has oblanceolate, conduplicate leaves with visible lateral veins, and straight calices with much broader upper teeth, half as long as the lower teeth.
3.3.16. 15: S. sahendica Bornm. in Verh. Zool.-Bot. Ges. Wien 60:160 (1910).

Ic: Rech. f.: Fl. Iranica 150: t. 396 (1982).
Fl. 7-9. Stony places, [1850-2600 m].
Type: Persia: Isperechan, in declivibus borealibus montis Sahend, 30 vii 1884, Knapp (holo. WU).

Gen. distrib: : Iran.
Closest to S. bachtiarica but distinguished by the generally longer leaves, distant though similarly crowded verticillasters, longer calyx tube and teeth, and longer corollas.
3.3.17. 16. S. metastasiantha Rech. f.g Fl. Iranica 150:497 (1982).

Ic: Rech. f., Fl. Iranica 150: $t_{3} 390$ (1982).
F1: 8-10. Calcareous rocky slopes, crevices of cliffs, 1220-3200 m. Type: Iraqs distr. Erbil, montes Qandil ad confines Persiae, in saxosis calc. supra lacum Goam-e Kirmosorang co 3200 m, 1 viii 1957, K. H. Rechinger 11142 (holo. W, iso. E!).

Gen. distrib: I Iraq.
Similar to S. boissieri (no. 13) but distinguished by the dense, white, patent pubescence, the minutely puberulent calyx tube
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only half as long, glabrous in the throat, and much shorter calyx teeth.
3.3.18. 17. S. edmondii Briq. in Ann. Cons. Jard. Bot. Genéve 2:186 (1898).

Syn: Satureja longiflora Boiss. \& Hausskn. ex Boiss.; Fl. Or. 4:566 (1879), non Presl (1826); Satureja boissieri Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, Ja: 298 (1897).

Ic: Rech. fog Fl. Iranica 150: t. 395 (1982).
F1. 8-9. Rocky places, C : 1500 m.
Type: Iran: in rup. in Parrow supra Kermanschah, $1525 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{g}} 6$ ix 1867, Haussknecht (holo. G-BOISS, iso. K!, f).

Gen. distrib: Iran.

1B. S. isophylla Rech. f. in Pl. Syst. Evol. 133: 107 (1979).
Ic: Rech. Fog Fl. Iranica 150: t. 392 (1982).
FI. 9-10, $1000-2000 \mathrm{~m}$.

Type: N Persiag Prov. Mazanderang Firuzabad in ditione fl. Chalus, 1000-2000 $m_{g}$ 12-16 $\times 1956$, Fg Schmid 6676 (holo. G, iso. W). Gen. distribo: Iran.

Close to $\underline{S}^{\text {. intermedia (no. 20) but distinguished by the }}$ low-growing, multi-branched habit, numerous conferted narrowly oblanceolate leaves, all of similar size, and the few-flowered, condensed verticillasters.
3.320. 19. S. rumelica Velen., Fl. Bulg. 466 (1891).

Type: [Bulgaria]: in rupestribus calidis prope Philippopolin et Belova, ann. 1890, Stribrny. (holo ?).

Gen. distrib.: SC Bulgaria.
No specimens have been seen of this species. The markedly
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bilabiate calyx (e. descr.) with the lower setaceous calyo teeth as long as the calyx tube, with the upper teeth only half as long, is diagnostic for the species. Such bilabiate calices are similar to many of the Near East group of species. However, on vegetative characters and geographic distribution, S. rumelica may be better placed with the montana camplex.
3.3.21. 20: S. intermedia C.A. Mey: Verz. Pfl. Cauc. 91 (18.31).

Syn: Satureja subdentata Boiss:g Fl. Dr. 4:565 (1879); Clinopodium intermedium (C.A. Mey.) O. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891); S. gunibica Woronow, Acta Inst. Bot. Acad. Sc. URSS ser. 1, 1:222 (1933).

Ic: Schischkin (ed.), Fl. URSS 21: t. 22, f:1 (1954); Rech. fag Fl. Iranica 150: t. 391 (1982).

F1: 7. Rocky slopes, 1900-2400 m.
Type: Talysch, pra Swant, CoA. Meyer 775 (holo. LE photo!)。
Gen. distrib.: Iran, 5 USSR (Caucasus).
Easily distinguished by the spathulateg minutely serrulate leaves, condensed inflorescence, and verticillasters with solitary, subsessile flowers in the floral leaf axils.
21. S. macrantha C.A. Meyer in Ind. Sem. Hort. Petrop. 11, Suppl. 67 (1845).

Syn: Satureja macrosiphonia Bornm. in Fedde, Repert. Sp. Nov. Beih. 6:114 (1908)9 Satureja macrantha C.A. Meyer vara macrosiphonia (Bornm.) Blakelock in Kew Bull. 1949:548 (1950).

Ic: Rech. $\mathrm{fag}_{\mathrm{g}}$ F1. Iranica 150: t. 394 (1982).
Fl. 7-10. Limestone cliff ledges and crevices, clay stony soil, $680-2600 \mathrm{~m}$.

Syntypes: [Iran]: in provincia Karabagh prope Schuscha, Hohenacker:
et prope loca Ghierus, Akartschai et Pachlutschinari, Szovits (holo. LE, photo: !, iso. G).

Gen. distrib:g Iraq, Iran, USSR (Armenia).
Closest to $\underline{S}$. aintabensis and S. azerbaijanica which share the slender stemmed habit and narrowly linear-elliptic leaves. All of the other species of the Near East group, except S. bzybica, tend $^{\text {. }}$ to have oblanceolate or spathulate leaves. S. macrantha is easily distinguished by the long, narrowly tubular corollas; 2-5 $x$ as long as the markedly bilabiate calyx, and short upper and lower lips. The inflorescence is lax, with long pedicels and peduncles. The floral leaves are distinctly shorter than the flowers.
22. S. bzybica Woron. in Trud. Bot. Inst. AN SSSR 1:222 (1933).

Fl. 10. Calcareous rocks.
Type: [USSR]: Abchazia. Fauces f1. Zhove-kvara prope Gagry, in
 Gen. distrib.: USSR, southern Caspian Sea area.

Related to S. azerbaijanica but distinguished by the broadly elliptic, serrulate leaves, the inflorescence rather less dense with more flowers in each verticillaster, the calyx teeth clearly longer than the calyx tube, and longer corollas.
23. S. aintabensis P. $H_{\text {. }}$ Davis in Notes R.B.G. Edinb. 38:50 (1980).

Fl. 6. Dry calcareous places, c .600 m .
Type: Turkey C6 Gaziantep: in apricis calcareis circa Aintab, 610 m, 25 vi 1865, Haussknecht (holo. W!), as Micromeria velutina. Gen. distrib:: 5 Turkey (C6).

This distinct, low-growing, shortly and densely patentpubescent species is only known from two specimens, the Type and
another rather scrappy specimen (Kotschy 409). It probably comes closest to S. macrantha.
24. S. azerbaijanica A. Doroszenkog nom. nov.

Syn: Satureja confinis Boriss. in Not. Syst. (Leningrad) 15:325 (1953), non Kudo in Plem. Fac. Sci. Ag. Taihoku Imp. Univ. 2(2):100 (1929).

F1. 5. In rocky places, c. 1500 m.
Type: [USSR]: Caucasus, Talysch, distr. Lenkoran prope opp. Dyman, 20 y 1898, flog B. Levandovsky (holo. LE, photo. i).

Gen. distrib.: USSR (Caucasus).
This species has been confused with $S_{\text {. montana }}$ 5sp. taurica from the Crimea which also has glabrous stems and leaves of similar size. However; S. azerbaijanica has much more elliptic leaves, deeply punctate glandular on both sides, longer pedunculate verticillasters, the calyx teeth only half as long as the calyx tube (not as long as the tube) and the corolla tube much narrower and less ampliate. In these respects $\underline{S a}_{\text {a }}$ azerbaijanica is much closer to S. macrantha than to S. montana.
25. S. Spicigera (C. Koch) Boiss.g Fl. Or. 4:566 (1879).

Syn: Micromeria spicigera C. Koch in Linnaea 17:295 (1843), non (C. Koch) Walp. (1852); Micromeria alternipilosa C. Koch in Linnaea 19:25 (1846) 9 Satureja intermedia C. A. Meyer var: laxior Benth. in DC.; Prodra 12:210 (1848) : Satureja alternipilosa (C. Koch) C. Koch in Linnaea 21:668 (1849); Satureja diffusa Benth. ex Boiss.g Fl. Or. 4:566 (1879), nomen ${ }^{\text {G }}$ Clinoodium alternipilosum ( C . Koch) 0. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891); Clinopodium spicigerum (C. Koch) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:516 (1891). Ic: Schischkin (ed.), Fl. URSS 21: $t .22, f_{0} 3$ (1954).

F1. 7-9(-11): Dry rock ledges, banks, screes, 25-1500 m.
Type: [USSR?]: in Radscha, Ruprecht (holo. G-BOISS).
Gen. distrib.: Turkey, USSR (Caucasus).

The species is unusual in its spike-like, secund inflorescence, and corolla with long-exserted stamens. It is related to the Near East group of species (nos: 12-25).
26. S. coerulea Janka ex Velen.g Fl. Bulg. 465 (1891). Syn: Satureja coerulea Janka ex Kanitz, Pl. Roumania 2(2):94 (1880), nomen; S. skorpilii Velen., Sitz. Bohm. Ges. Wis5. 1899:6 (1899)?

Fl. 7-10. Dry calcareous rocks, stony places, c. 60 m.
Syntypes: [Bulgaria]: Razgrad, Velenovsky; Trnova, Velenovsky; m. Balkan, Jankag m. Trojan-Balkan, Skorpilg Sliven, Aitos, Camdere, Kotels Skorpilg mo Rhodope ad Bela Cerkva, Lukas. Gen. distrib.: Bulgaria, W Turkey.

Shrublets with linear-lanceolate leaves, glabrous except for the ciliate margin, 2-4-flowered sub-sessile verticillasters; small actinomorphic calices, and small violet-blue corollas. It probably comes closest to the montana complex.
3.3.28. 27. S. thymbra Lig Sp. Pl. 567 (1753)。

Syn: Thymus tragoriganum $L_{a g}$ Mant. 1:84 (1767); S. collina Salisb. g Prod. Stirp. Hort. Chapel Allerton Vig. 77 (1796), nomeng Thymus hirsutissimus Poirag Encycla Meth. 7:650a (1806); Thymbra hirsuta Pers.g Syn. Pl. 2:114 (1807): Thymbra hirsutissima Vent. ex Pers., Syn. Pl. 2: 114 (1807): So tragoriganum (Lo) Tausch, Syll. Pl. Ratisb. 2:248 (1828): Micromeria thymbra (L.) Kostel.g Allg. Med. -Pharm. Fl. $3: 763$ (1834): Clinopodium thymbra (L.) 0. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:516 (1891); S. biroi Jav. in Magyar Bot. Lap. 1922,

21:25 (1923): S. thymbra $L_{\text {e }}$ var. hirsutissima Rech. f., Denkschr. Akad. Wiss. Math.-Nat. Wien 105: 121 (1943).

Ic: Sibth. \& Sm., Fl. Graeca 6: t. 541 (1827); Reichenb., Ic. Fl. Germ. 18: t. 270 (1857) ${ }^{2}$ Feinbrun, Fl. Palaestina 3: t. 243 (1977). Fl. 3-9. Calcareous rocks; scree, cliffs, rocky places by the sea, stony waste ground, macchie, phrygana, 5.1.-760 m.

Type: Described from Crete ( Hb . Linn. 723/2, microfiche!). Gen. distrib: Greece, Crete, Turkey, Israel, Lebanon, Syria, Libya, Algeria.

A common plant of the east Mediterranean. The species has been placed in its own section (Tragoriganum Benth.) on account of the dense, globose verticillasters, with numerous conspicuous oblonglanceolate bracteoles hiding most of the actinomorphic calices. Apart from the bracteoles there is nothing particularly unusual about these features, so I do not believe recognising a section for this species is necessary.
28. S. salzmannii (0. Kuntze) A。 Doroszenko, comb. nov. Syn: Satureja inodora Salzmann ex Benth.s Lab. Gen: Sp. 354 (1834), non Host (1831): S. glomerata Schousb. ex Ball, J. Linn. Soc. 16:613 (1878), nomen; Clinopodium salzmannii O. Kuntze; Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891): Satureja salzmannii P. W. Ball in Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 65:356 (1972), nom. nov. superfl.

Ic: Rouy, Illustr. Pl. Eur. Rar. 9: t .219 (1898), as $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{g}}$ inodora Salzm。

Fl. 6-8. Stony slopes, C. 200 m.
Type: Morocco: Tanger, ann. 1825, Salzmann (holo. K!, iso. E!). Gen. distrib: Morocco, S Spain.

Some comment is required on the correct citation for this

new name for $S_{\text {a }}$ inodora Salzmann ex Benth. (1834), it appears that he was not aware of Clinopodium salzmannii 0 . Kuntze. Kuntze took a very broad view of the Satureioid group of genera similar in concept to Briquet's (1896) but considered Clinopodium to have nomenclatural priority: He therefore made numerous new combinations, transferring species of Satureja 5:5:g Micromeria, etc. into Clinopodium. In doing this 5. inodora Salzmann ex Benth. (1834) required a new name because of the clash with Micromeria inodora (Desf.) Benth. (1834) [based on Thymus inodorus Desf. (1798)]. S. inodora Host (1831) had been made a synonym of Micromeria parviflora (Vis.) Reichenb: in 1832 [basionym $\underline{S a}_{\text {a }}$ parviflora Vis. (1829)] which became Clinopodium parviflorum (Vis.) 0. Kuntze in Kuntze (1891). Both Cosalzmannii O. Kuntze and So salzmannii $P$. H. Ball are based on the same species and the same type, although the name change was for different reasons. If Kuntze had chosen an epithet other than "salzmannii"; a new combination using Kuntze's epithet would have to be made in Satureja since Ball's name is superfluous: Although both Ball and Kuntze chose the name "salzmannii", Ball does not refer to Kuntze as the source of the name. Therefore, Ball's use of the epithet as a nomen novum is illegal. This however does not invalidate the nomen novum of Kuntze. Therefore it was necessary to make a new combination here.
3.3.30. 29. S. hortensis $L_{a g}$ Sp. Pl= 568 (1753).

Syn: S. officinarum Crantzg Inst: Rei Herb. 1:526 (1766); S. brachiata Stokes, Bot. Mat. Med. $3: 300$ (1812); S. pachyphylla $C$. Koch in Linnaea 17:295 (1843): S. laxiflora Co Koch in Linnaea 21:668 (1848): S. hortensis L. var. includens Schur, Enum. Pl. Transs. 531 (1866); S. hortensis L. var. exserens Schur, loc. cit. (1866): S. filicaulis Schott ex Boiss:g Fl. Or: 4:562 (1879),
nomen: $S_{0}$ hortensis $L$ : var. grandiflora Boiss.g Fl. Or: 4:562
(1879): Clinopodium hortense (L:) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pla 2a515 (1891): C. pachyphyllum (C. Koch) 0. Kuntze, loc. cit. 515 (1891); S. litainowi i Schmalh. ex Lipsky, Fl. Kavk. 108 (1899); Thymus cunila $E_{\text {. }} H_{0}$ L. Krause in Sturm, Fl. Deutschland, ed. 2, 11:172 (1903); Calamintha hortensis (L.) Hort. ex F. T. Hubbard in $L_{0} H_{0}$ Bailey, Standard Cycl. Hort. 3082 (1917), nomen; Sa hortensis $L$. var. speciosa Nab. Iter Turc.-Pers. 3:44 (1926): S. hortensis L. var: laxiflora (C. Koch) Grossh.g Fl. Kavk. $3: 327$ (1932): So hortensis L: var. depauperata Thieb.g Fl. Libano-Syrienne 3:49 (1953): S. altaica Boriss.g Not. Syst. Herb. Inst. Bot. Acad. Sci. URSS 15:326 (1953); 5. densiflora Zeinal.g Izv. Akad. Nauk Azerbaid. SSR (Ser. Biol:) 1969:15 (1969), nom. nud.

Ic: Reichenb:, IC. Fl. Germ. 18: t. 1272 (1857); Fiori \& Paolag Ic. Fl. Ital. 375 (1902).

Type: Described from $S$ France and Italy (Hb. Linn: 723/9, microfiche!)

Gen. distrib.: France, Italy, Spain, Switzerland, Turkey, Albania; Yugoslavia, Greece, USSR; widely introduced.

The only annual species in the genus. It is very variable which accounts for the many synonyms cited above. The species is cultivated, particularly in the USSR, for its essential oils and as a culinary herb.

### 3.3.31. Addendum

The following names were omitted from the synonymy of the above Satureja 5.5. species. The number following the $=$ is the species number in the text.

S. fasciculata Tausch, Syll. Pl. Ratisb. 2:248 (1828) $=2$ ?
S. horvatii Silic, Glasnik Zemal. Muz. Bosne Herceg. 13: 108 (1975) $=8$ ?
S. innota Pau, Sennen Pl. d'Espagne no. 627 (1908), in schaed. $=2$
S. montana L. ssp. cuneifolia (Ten.) Bolos \& Vigo, Coll. Bot. 14:94 $(1983)=2$
S. montana L. 5sp. obovata (Lag.) Bolos \& Vigo, Coll. Bot. 14:94 $(1983)=3$
S. montana L. ssp. qisidica (Hettst.) Šilic, Glasnik Zemal. Muz. Bosne Herceg. 13: 108 (1974) $=2$
S. montana L. var. prostrata Boiss., Voy. Bot. Esp. 2:495 (18.39-45) $=3$
S. mucronifolia Stokes; Bot. Mat. Med. 3:300 (1812) = 1
S. nevadensis Huter, Porta \& Rigo, in schaed. (1879)! = 2
S. subspicata Bartl. ex Vis. 5sp. liburnica Šilic; Glasnik Zemal. Muz: Bosne Herceg. 13:110 (1974) = 1 (c)
S. tenuis Forma, Verh. Naturf. Ver. Brunn 37:185 (1899) $=1$ (c)?
S. trifida Moench., Meth. Pl. 386 (1794) $=1$

## Nomina dubia

S. $\times$ amoena Sundermag Allg. Bot. Zeitschr. 12:92 (1906).
S. amplifoliata Pau, Cavanillesia 3:77 (1930), nomen.
S. arganietorum Emberger, Bull. Soc. Sc. Nat. Maroc 15: 182 (1935).
S. atropatana Bunge, Mem. Acad. Imp. Sc. Petersb. ser. 7, 21:36 (1873).
S. bellardi Colla, Herb. Pedem. 4:421 (1835).
S. bianoris Sennen, Bul. Soc. Iber. Cienc. Nat. 1933, 32:54 (1934), nomen.
S. borissovae Zeinal.g Izv. Akad. Nauk Azerb. SSR (Ser. Biol.) 1969:14 (1969), nom. nud.
S. campanella Ehrenb.g Naturg. Reise Nord-Afrika 13 (1828).
S. filiformis Ces Pas. \& Gibellig Comp. Fl. Ital. 2:302 (1886).
S. hispida Ehrt., Beitr. Naturk. 7:147 (1792).
S. monantha Font Quer, Mem. Acad. Cienc. Barcelona ser. $3_{g} 25$ (14):15 (1936).
5. nemorosa Tardent, Ess. Hist. Nat. Bessarab. 54 (1841), nomen.
S. olla Ehrenb., Naturg. Reise 13 (1828).
S. scabra (Presl) Presl, Fl. Sic. 36 (1826), nomen; basionym Thymus scaber Presl.
S. secondaireana Sennen, Bol. Soc. Iber. Cienc. Nat. 1933, 32:54 (1934), nomen.
3.3.33. Nomina rejecienda
5. anericana Poir. in Lam., Encycl. 6:571 (1804) = Hyptis americana (Poira) Briqa fide Epling in Rev. Mus. La Plata 7 (1949).
5. argaea (Bois5. \& Bal.) Fisch. \& Mey., Ann. Sc. Nat. ser. 4, 1:3.3 (1854) = Thymus serpyllum L.
S. bonariensis (Fisch. \& Mey.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, $3 \mathrm{a}: 300(1896)=$ Hedeoma multiflorum Benth. fide Irving (1980).
S. camphorata Bornm.g Mitt. Thur. Bot. Ver. $30: 80$ t. 1, . 2 (1913) = Driganum dayi Post.
S. capitata Lョg Sp. Pl. $568(1753)=$ Thymbra capitata (L.) Cavo
S. condea Juss. ex Poirag Encycl. 6:571 (1804), nomen $=$ Hyptis americana (Poir:) Briq. fide Epling, Reva Plusa La Plata 7 (1949).
5. globulifera Desf:g Tabl. Ecole Botag ed. 1, 58 (1804) may be a

Hyptis sp: or a Pycnanthemum 5p.
S. japonica Matsum. \& Kudo, Bot. Mag.s Tokyo 26:299 (1912) = Mentha japonica (Miq.) Makino
S. mastichina Log Sp. Pl. 567 (1753) = Thymus mastichina (L.) L.
S. origanoides La ; Sp. Pl. 568 (1753) $=$ Cunila origanoides (L.) Britton (see Taxon 29:332-334 (1980).
S. palmeri (A. Gray) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4,3a:303 (1896) is probably a Hedeoma species.
S. sintenisii Bornm. in schaed. Published later as Thymbra sintenisii Bornm. \& Aznavour.
S. spicata (L.) Garsault, Fig. Pl. Anim. Med. t. 518 (1764) = Thymbra spicata L。
S. Spinosa sensu Gaudry, Recherches 195 (1855) non L. $=$ Thymus capitatus (L.) Hoffm. \& Link.
S. stricta Banks \& Solander in Russ., Nat. Hist. Aleppo ed. 2, 2: 255 (1794) (see Eig \& Zohary in J. Bot. 75: 185-192 (1937).
S. subdentata Fisch. \& Mey, ex Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, $3 a: 298$ (1896), typ. err. $=\mathbf{S a}_{\text {a }}$ mutica Fisch. \& Mey. For retraction see Ann. Conserv. Jard. Bot. Genéve 2:186 (1898).
S. virginiana L.s Sp. Pl. 567 (1753) = Pycnanthemum sp.
3.3.34. The separation of 5 . cuneifolia Ten. and 5 . obovata Lag.


#### Abstract

S. cuneifolia and 5. obovata are closely related species. Boissier (1839-45) thought $\underline{S}_{\text {a }}$ obovata to be only a variety of $\underline{S}_{\text {a }}$ cuneifolia. However; Ball \& Getliffe (1972) showed that the Spanish endemic S. obovata is clearly separable from the more widely ranging $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{o}}$ cuneifolia by its leaves only up to 10 mm (not up to 19 mm ) s spathulate to obovate (not oblanceolate)g apex obtuse (not acute), the calyx distinctly glandular-punctate (not sparsely punctate-glandular), and the corolla only 6-7 mm (not 5-10 mm). On the other hand, Ball \& Getliffe refer to specimens from Anatolia similar to S. obovata, and intermediates between the two species from Spain, Italy and the Balkans. To investigate this problem, 17 specimens of S. obovata (by Ball \& Getliffe’s criteria), and 41 specimens from Europe and 26 specimens from the Orient of $\underline{S}^{0}$ Cuneifolia were measured for leaf length, leaf apex shape, number and colour of punctate glands on the calyx, and the length of the calyx tube and teeth.


3.3.34.1. Maximum leaf length

The length of the largest leaves on the specimens were measured to test Ball \& Getliffe"s first point of distinction between the two species. The results are presented in Table 6.1. The differences in leaf length between $\underline{S}_{\text {. obovata }}$ and 5 . cuneifolia, and between the two populations of S. $_{\text {. cuneifolia }}$ are statistically highly significant.
3.3.34.2. Leaf apex shape

The leaf shape fell into one of three possible categories: all leaves acute, some leaves acute and some obtuse, and all leaves
obtuse at the apex. The results, presented in Table 6.2, show a marked difference in leaf apex shape between the two species but not between the two populations of $\underline{S .}_{\text {. cuneifolia. }}$
3.3.34.3. Calyx punctate glands

The difficulty of making a quantitative assessment of the numbers of glands on the calyx has led to subjective comparisons between species, such as "few" compared with "distinctly punctateglandular" (Ball \& Getliffeg 1972): My own assessment of calyx glands is also admittedly subjective. Nevertheless, a general trend may be discerned from the results.

The number of glands was estimated and divided into four groups: 1) none, or rarely very few: 2) at least a few glands always present; 3) a moderate number of glands; and 4) glands very numerous. These categories are denoted by $-t_{g} t_{g}+t_{g}$ and +++ , respectively, in Table 6.3 which summarizes the observations. The results show that $\underline{S}$. obovata has a much denser covering of punctate glands on the calyx than S. cuneifoliag, which confirms Ball \& $^{\text {g }}$ Getliffe's observation. Table 6.4 shows that the glands tend to be red in $\underline{S o b}_{\text {. obovata }}$ and yellow in S. cuneifolia. Since there is no $^{\text {c }}$ correlation between specimen collection date and gland colour, and it is unlikely that the specimens of one species were dried in a different manner from the other, this difference in gland colour is probably not an artifact of age or drying. However, it is interesting to note, as Table 6.5 shows, that as the number of glands decline, the proportion of yellow glands increase. The data of both species are combined in Table 6.5.
3.3.34.4. Calyx tube and teeth length

Peasurements were made of the length of the calyx, and the
ratio of the lower teeth to the whole calyx length was calculated. Table 6.6 shows that there is a distinct difference in calyx length between 5 . obovata and the European population of $\underline{S o}_{\text {a cuneifolia. }}$ Statistically this is significant at the $0.1 \%$ level. However there is no statistically significant difference between the Anatolian population of S. cuneifolia and $S$ obovata. Interestingly there is $^{\text {S. }}$ a marked, and obviously significant, difference in calyx length between the European and Anatolian populations of S. cuneifolia. A more important measurement, as far as this species is concerned, rather than the calyx length, is the ratio between the calyx teeth to the length of the whole calyx.

Table 6.7 clearly shows a marked difference in the calyx teethacalyx length ratio between the two species, but not between the two populations of $\underline{S}_{\text {. cuneifolia. The tight clustering of the }}$ ratios about the mean implies a reasonably good linear correlation between the length of the teeth and the length of the caly\%. This is in fact the case. The relationship between calyx length ( $X$ ) and the teeth (Y) in S. obovata is $Y=0.42 X-0.31$ (correlation coefficient $r=0.77$ ), and for the combined data of both populations of S. cuneifolia is $Y=0.55 X-0.31 \quad(r=0.90)$. A similar linear correlation between calyx and teeth was found in S. montana $L$. by Feali \& Poldini (1979).

Table 6.1

| Max. leaf length |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathrm{mm})$ | S. obovata <br> (Spain) | S. cuneifolia <br> (Europe) | S. cuneifolia <br> (Anatolia) |
| $4-5$ |  |  |  |
| $6-7$ | 6 | 3 | 0 |
| $8-9$ | 1 | 12 | 0 |
| $10-11$ | 2 | 10 | 4 |
| $12-13$ | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| $14-15$ | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| $16-17$ | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| $18-19$ | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Mean |  |  | 2.53 |
| Standard deviation | 1.65 |  | 3.10 |

Table 6.2
Leaf apex shape
Acute
Acute-Dbtuse
Obtuse

$$
\frac{\text { S. obovata }}{\text { (Spain) }}
$$

0
4
13
S. cuneifolia $\frac{\text { So cuneifolia }^{\text {(Europe) }}}{\text { (Anatolia) }}$

34
21
4
0

## Table 6.3

No. of calyx glands
-+
+
++
+++
S. obovata
(Spain)
0
2
7
7
S. cuneifolia

4
26
9
2
$\frac{\text { S. Cuneifolia }}{\text { (Anatolia) }}$

5 13
5
0

Table 6. 4

| Calyx punctate | S. obovata | S. cuneifolia | S. cuneifolia |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gland colour |  | (Surope) | (Anatolia) |
| Yellow | 2 | 30 | 15 |
| Yellow, few reddish | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| Yellow with red | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Red, few yellowish | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Red | 9 | 0 | 0 |

Table 6.5

| Number of <br> glands | Yellow | $Y$, few $R$ | $Y$ and $R$ | $R$, few $Y$ | Red |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ++ | 8 | 0 |  |  |  |
| + | 21 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ++ | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| +++ | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |

Table 6.6

| Length of caly <br> (mm) | S. obovata <br> (Spain) | $\frac{\text { Sa cuneifolia }}{\text { (Europe) }}$ | $\frac{\text { S. cuneifolia }}{\text { (Anatolia) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1.1-1.5$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| $1.6-2.0$ | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| $2.1-2.5$ | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| $2.6-3.0$ | 6 | 9 | 9 |
| $3.1-3.5$ | 1 | 10 | 9 |
| $3.6-4.0$ | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| $4.1-4.5$ | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| $4.6-5.0$ | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| $5.1-5.5$ | 0 | 3 | 0 |

Table 6.7

Calyx teeth length Total calyx length
5. obovata (Spain)
$0.11-0.2$
$0.21-0.3$
$0.31-0.4$
$0.41-0.5$
$0.51-0.6$

Mean
Standard deviation
S. cuneifolia $\frac{\text { S. cuneifolia }}{\text { (Europe) }}$

| 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 2 |
| 7 | 5 |
| 26 | 15 |
| 5 | 2 |

$$
0.452
$$

0.446
0.0727
0.0691

Gontscharovia Boriss., Not. Syst. (Leningrad) 15:321 (1953).
Low shrubs, stems with slender, shortly hirsute branches. Leaves elliptic to linear-oblong, base cuneate, apex acute, entire, subsessile, flat: veins camptodromousy primary vein distinct, lateral veins weakg lower side densely punctate-glandular, upper side with few punctate glands, both sides glabrousp palisade mesophyll isolateral. Inflorescence a narrowg lax, terminal spike. Verticillasters 1-6-flowered, with short pedicels and peduncles. Bracteoles smallg linear, subulate. Calyx sub-actinomorphic, all teeth similar, triangular; tube ampliate, not gibbous, 15-veined, throat glabrous or with a sparse fringe of hairs. Corolla 5-6 mm, 2-1ipped; tube straight, not annulate inside; lips $1 / 3-1 / 2 \mathrm{x}$ tube length. Stamens 49 inserted near top of tube; filaments straight, anterior pair shortly exserted from tubeg posterior pair included; anthers divergent. Style shortly exserted from corolla tube; stigma lobes equalg narrowly subulate. Monotypic.

One of the Satureioid genera. It is distinguished from Satureja 5.5 by the flat, not conduplicate, leaves, 15 -veined calyx, and straight, not convergent, stamen filaments. Euhesperida differs by the linear, channelled, densely hairy leaves, dense spike-like inflorescences and 15 -veined (5 sutural veins especially prominent), curved calyx tube.
34.1. G. popovii (B. Fedtsch. \& Gontsch.) Boriss., Not. Syst. (Leningrad) 15:322 (1953).

Syn: Satureja popovii B. Fedtsch. \& Gontsch., Acta Hort. Petrop. 41:117 (1929); Micromeria gontscharovii Vved.g Not. Syst. Herb. Inst. Bot. Acad. Sci. Uzbekistan 16:17 (1961): Micromeria popovii (B. Fedtsch. \& Gontsch.) Vved.g Fl. Uzbekistan 5:404 (1961): Micromeria afghanica Freitag, Notes.R.B.G. Edinb. 31:353 (1972).

Ic: Borissova, Not. Syst. (Leningrad) 15:323 (1953).
Fl. 12. Stony slopes, calcareous rocks, $500-2500 \mathrm{~m}$.
Type: Several syntypes from W Tadzhikistan, Regel (LE).
Gen. distrib: USSR (Pamir-Alai), E Afghanistan, Pakistan (Chitral, Kashmir).

Freitag (1972) distinguished Micromeria afghanica from Satureja popovii by differences in leaf shape, slightly shorter calyx teeth, and the lack of hairs in the calyx throat. The few specimens collected with affinities to Gontscharovia reveal a great diversity in leaf shape, from broadly elliptic to linear-oblong to lanceolate. With such scanty material available, however, it seems prudent not to make any judgement whether more than one taxon is invalved here or not. However, placement of $M_{\text {a }}$ afghanica in Micromeria cannot be supported; the structure of the leaves, calyx and corolla are markedly different. Having seen the type of Mo afghanica [Freitag 7405, holo. E! iso. GOET] the affinity is with the Satureioid genera. Comparison with the description of Gontscharovia and the illustration cited above suggests that M. afghanica is synonymous with Ga popovii.
3.5. Euhesperida Brullo \& Furnari, Webbia 34:433 (1979).

Dwarf; much-branched shrubs, with a thick woody root stock, stems 10-40 cm tall, erect-ascending, densely white hairy. Leaves linear-oblong, entireg apex obtuse, sessile; flat or channelled, uninervate, lateral veins entirely absent, margins not ciliate, lamina densely white, simpleg eglandular hairyg punctate glandularg palisade mesophyll isolateral. Verticillasters approximate, with few, sessile "flowers, subtended by floral leaves shorter than or equalling flowers, and bracteoles in pairs. Calyx actinomorphic, upper and lower teeth of similar shape, not ciliate; tube slightly ampliate, curved, never gibbous at base, 15 -veined, sutural veins very obscureg throat glabrous or hairyg glabrous inside below throat. Corolla small, 9-10 $\mathrm{mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ 2-1ipped, white or pinkish, tube straight, not annulate inside, lower half of inside of tube glabrous; lips 2/5-1/2 $\times$ tube length, upper lip emarginate. Stamens 4, $\pm$ straight, parallel, excluded from corolla tube, anthers divergent. Style reaching apex of upper corolla ip; stigma lobes unequalg both narrow subulate.

Type species: E linearifolia.
A Saharo-Sindian? genus with two species.
The genus is closely related to Satureja 5.5. but distinguished by its linear-oblongg flat or slightly channelled, uninervate leaves (not conduplicate, oblanceolate or elliptic to linear, multinervate leaves), slightly curved, actinomorphic, 15 -nerved calyx, the tube only $1.6-1.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ and teeth $1.0-1.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ long inot straight, sub-bilabiateg 10-13-nerved and of much larger dimensions), parallel stamen filaments (not convergent), and unequal stigma lobes.
3.5.1. Calyx throat with a dense fringe of hairs;
leaves $5.0-8.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ long; bracteoles
linear [Cyrenaica] 1. linearifolia
Calyx throat glabrous; leaves 7.5-13.0 mm
long; bracteoles elliptic to
oblanceolate [Israel] 2. thymbrifolia
3.52 . 1. E. linearifolia Brullo \& Furnari, Webbia 34: 434 (1979)!

Ic: Brullo \& Furnari, Webbia 34:435 (1979).
Fl: 4-5. Rocky slopes, calcareous rocks.
Type: [Libya] Uadi el-Chresci, 7 v 1974, Brullo \& Furnari tholo. CAT, iso. G!).
3.53. 2. E. thymbrifolia (Hedge \& Feinbrun) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov. Syn: Satureja thymbrifolia Hedge \& Feinbrun, Israel J. Bot. (1968)17:213 (1969)!

Ic: Feinbrun, Fl. Palaestina 3: 244 (1977).
Fl. 10. Limestone rocks.
Type: Israel, Judean Desert, Khirbet el Mird (Horkaniye), 3 km NE of the Mar Saba Monastery, 240 m a.s.l.g hard limestone, $N$ exposure, $27 \times 1967$; Danin (holo. HUJ, iso. E!).

Syn: Sabbatia Moench, Meth. 386 (1794), non Purshog Piperella Presl, Fl. Sic. 37 (1826); Micronema Schott, Qest. Bot. Wochenbl. 7:95 (1857); Tendana Reichenb. fa, Dest. Bot. Wochenbl. 7:160 (1857).

Perennial, rarely annual, herbs or shrublets; stems erect or ascending, rarely prostrate; rarely stoloniferous. Leaves flat or revolute, entire or shortly toothed, veins camptodromous; hairs, if any, simple, often densely punctate-glandular, more densely so on the lower side; palisade mesophyll dorsiventral. Calyx tube straight or slightly curved, parallel-sided cylindrical or slightly ampliate, not gibbous, 13-15-veined, actinomorphic or slightly bilabiate, 3 upper and 2 lower teeth similar in shape, ciliate or not, glabrous or hairy in throat. Corolla small, $3-14(-20) \mathrm{mm}$, white, purple or lilac, rarely red or yellows tube narrow, widening slowly from base, not annulate, glabrous or hairy in throat; upper lip emarginate, sometimes retuse, rarely entire, lower lip 3-lobed, lower central lobe entire or divided into 2 lobules. Stamens 4 , straight or connivent, held under the upper corolla lip; anthers divergent, rarely parallel.

About 70 species of the Mediterranean region, $N$, E \& $S$ Africa, Macronesia, SE Asia, India, and east to China.

Micromeria is by far the largest genus in Satureja s.l. The genus, as treated here, has 5 sections which are divided into two groups: sect. Micromeria, the closely related sect. Pineolentia, and the somewhat more distantly related sections Cymularia and Madagascarenses in one group, and sect. Pseudomelissa in the other group. Outside of the Micromerioid genera (Micromeria, Killickia and Nanbrea), Calamintha is probably the nearest ally to sect. Pseudomelissa, and Satureja 5.5 : the nearest to Micromeria sect. Micromeria (Linnaeus, 1753; Briquet, 1897). Micromeria is clearly
distinguished from Satureja s.s. by its leaves ovate to elliptic, flat or revolute, palisade dorsiventral, calyx narrowly tubular or slightly ampliate, 13-15-veined (not leaves oblanceolate to elliptic, conduplicate, palisade isolateral, calyx tube clearly ampliate, $10-13$-veined as in Satureja), and from Calamintha by its parallel-sided cylindrical or only slightly ampliate, actinomorphic calyx with similar upper and lower teeth.
3.6.1.

1. Annual; bracteoles ovate-acuminate, long-ciliate; corolla resupinate (c) Sect. Cymularia

+ Perennialg bracteoles oblong to linear, not long ciliate, corolla not resupinate 2

2. Flower solitary in leaf axils on very long pedicels and peduncles; bracteoles similar in shape to the leaves; style branches markedly different in length, one very narrow filiform only 1/4-1/3 as long as the other broad lanceolate branch
(e) Sect: Madagascarenses
$+$ Flowers numerous in leaf axils, rarely solitary, sessile or on very short pedicels and peduncles; bracteoles clearly different in shape to leaves; style branches equal or one up to $2 \times$ the other in length, both branches either narrow subulate or broad lanceolate
3. Leaves without a marginal vein, $\pm$ flat, entire to weakly crenate-dentate
(d) Sect: Pseudomelissa

+ Leaves with a prominent marginal vein, often revolute, entire

4. Leaves (14-)16-30 mm long, at least some 20 mm ; tall erect shrubs [Canary Isles] (b) Sect. Pineolentia

+ Leaves 2-16 mm long; usually low ascending or erect shrublets
(a) Sect. Micromeria

Syn: Micromeria Benth. sect. Piperella Benth.g Lab. Gen. Sp. 373 (1834): Satureja L. sect. Sabbatia Briq. in Engler \& Prantlg Nat.
 Briq.g loc. cit. 299 (1897).

Perennial, suffruticose herbs or shrubs. Leaves 2-16 x 0.3-8.0 $\mathrm{mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ ovate, ellipticg lanceolate, linear or oblong, flat or tightly revolute, entire, fith a thick, conspicuous marginal vein; petiole $0-1.5(-2.0) \mathrm{mm}$. Flowers $1-10(-20)$ in floral leaf axils, rarely al ways solitary. Bracteoles naprowly linear-filiform, lanceolate or ellipticg $0.6-3.0(-6,0) m_{g}$ not distinctly ciliate. Calys mostly hairy in throat, teeth ciliate or not. Corolla not resupinate. Stamens included, or anterior pair (rarely both pairs) exserted from tube but not beyond upper corolla lip; all anthers similar in size. Style branches equalg very rarely slightly unequalg either both narrowly subulate or both broadly lanceolate, very rarely both very short with blunt apices. Nutlets ovoid or obloid, nearly always glabrous, rarely minutely eglandular hairy at apex.
3.G.2.1. Micromeria in Macronesia.

Perez (1978) has produced a very detailed monograph of Micromeria in Macronesia, so the following discussion is no more than a summary of the relationships and distribution of the species.

All seventeen species of Micromeria in Macronesia are endemic to the region. Members of two sections are present: sect. Micromeria with 15 species and sect. Pineolentia with 2 species. The latter section, endemic to Nof Gran Canariag is dealt with separately from this discussion.

Section Micromeria may be divided into four species-groups. The smallest of these groups, with only Moteneriffae and Mo forbesii, is the most similar to the Mediterranean species, especially to $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}}$ microphylla, $M_{\text {e }}$ filiformis, and the Grand Atlas endemics Me debilis, $M_{\text {a }}$ fontanesii, and $M_{0}$ hochreutineri. The second group comprises $M_{0}$ helianthemifolia, $M_{0}$ rivas-martinezii, and $M_{0}$ glomerata which have large oblong to lanceolate leaves, minutely glandular-papillose below. The third group, endemic to Gran Canaria, is easily recognised by its white lanate leaves and includes $M_{\text {c }}$ lanata, $M_{0}$ benthamii, and $M_{0}$ tenuis. The remainder of the species belong to the varia agg. As its name suggests this is a highly variable group of species but is recognised by its small, often imbricate and revolute, pubescent leaves, and small flowers in compact pedunculate cymes. The aggregate ranges over all the islands in Macronesia except the Cape Verde Islands. There is some similarity in habit to $\mathrm{Mo}_{0}$ fruticulosa from $S$ Italy, but probably the closest relative on the African continent is the Maroccan M. macrosiphon.

[^2]3. Cymes 1-3-flowered, pedicels and peduncles together only 1.0-1.5 mm long; upper teeth at least $3 / 4 \times$ as long as lower; leaves held close to stem, often curved backwards longitudinally 7. benthamii

+ Cymes 3-11-flowered, pedicels and peduncles together 3.8-8.8 mm long; upper teeth about $1 / 2 \times$ as long as lower; leaves mostly at an angle of $45^{\circ}$ or more from stem, straight
B. tenuis

4. Calyx tube $4.3-6.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ corolla tube $8.5-12.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ leaves broadly lanceolate, oblongg or oval-orbicular, mostly $10-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, flat or revolute just at margins, densely minutely patent-pilose and very densely minutely glandular-punctate below 5

+ Calyx tube $1.3-3.6 \mathrm{mmg}$ corolla tube $2.8-5.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ leaves linear, linear-lanceolate, or ellipticg rarely ovate, mostly $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, tightly revolute, or if + flat then sparsely hairy or glabrous, sparsely glandularpunctate belous

5. Lower calyx teeth $3.0-3.7 \mathrm{~mm}_{9}$ upper only $1 / 3 \times$ as long; calyx tube 5.3-6.6 mm [Gran Canaria] 3. helianthemifolia

* Lower calyx teeth 2.0-2.6 mmg upper c. $3 / 4 \times$ as long calyx tube 4.3-5.5 mm [Teneriffe]

6. Leaves lanceolate-oblong; verticillasters rather laxg calyx 6-7 mm 4. rivas-martinezii

+ Leaves oblong to oval-orbicularg vericillasters condensed into a head at stem apex; calyx $7-9 \mathrm{~mm} \quad$ 5. glomerata

7. Leaves ovate-lanceolate or ovate; flat 8

+ Leaves linear to narrowly elliptic, nearly always tightly revolute

8. Leaves glabrous [Teneriffe]
9. teneriffae
10. forbesii

+ Leaves sparsely pilose [Cape Verde Is.]

9. Calyx tube 2.9-3.6 mmp leaves with minute glandular and larger eglandular hairs 9a lepida

+ Calyx tube $1.4-2.6 \mathrm{mmg}$ leaves eglandular hairy 10

10. Peduncles absent; calyx tube with a sparse fringe of hairs at throat [Gomera] 15. densiflora

+ Peduncles $0.5-6.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ calyx tube glabrous at throat 11

11. Corolla 1 1/2-2 $x$ as long as calyx [Palma] 12. herpyllomorpha

+ Corolla 1-1 1/2 x as long as calyx 12

12. Calyx tube $\pm 13$-nerved, bilabiate, upper teeth 1/2-2/3 $\times$ the lower in length

* Calyx tube $\pm$ 15-nerved $\pm$ actinomorphic, upper teeth more than $2 / 3 \times$ the lower in length 14

13. Plant greyish hairy in aspect; leaves greyish-green; corolla white (rarely pinkish-purple); calyx greyishtomentose, rarely becoming green
14. hyssopifolia

+ Plant glabrescent or sparsely pilose, green;
leaves frequently reddish-tinted; corolla purple or pink; calyx green, at times red-tinted

10. Varia
11. Plant glabrescent or with very few sparse hairs; corolla white (at times very pale pink), limb 2.5-3(-3.5) mm diams, lip lobes flat 13. lachnophylla

+ Plant densely pilose; corolla whitish or lilac with purple stripes, limb 3.5-4.0 mm diam., lip lobes revolute 14. lasiophylla
3.6.2.1.2. 1. Micromeria teneriffae (Poir.) Benth., Lab. Gen. Sp. 378 (1834). Syn: Thymus teneriffae Poir. in Lamarck, Encycl. Meth. Bot. 7:650
(1785), non Hortul. ex Benth. (1834); Te terebinthinaceus Brouss., Cat. Hort. Monsp. 59 (1805); Micromeria terebinthinacea (Brouss.) Webb \& Berthag Phyt. Canar. 3:80, t. 164 (1844); Clinopodium terebinthinareum (Brouss.) D. Kuntze, in Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:514 (1891): Satureja teneriffae (Poir.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3a: 299 (1897).

Ref: Perez, Po Revision del Micromeria Bentham (LamiaceaeStachyoideae) en la Region Macaronesica, in Inst. Est. Canar. Univ. La Laguna, sect.4; 16 [henceforth Perez, Revis. Micromeria] 50 (1978).

Ic: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 66-70, fig. 9-13 (1978).
Fl. 1-5, 10-11, rarely 7-8. Coastal rock fissures, 20-500(-600) m. Type: Teneriffa, collector unknown, in Herb. Lamarck (lecto. P, phota!).

Gen. distrib.: S side of E Teneriffe.
A variable species, especially in habit and leaves, apparently related to the dryness of the habitat. It is fairly common in its area of distribution.
3.6.21.3. 2. Micromeria forbesii Benth., Lab. Gen. Sp. 376 (1834).

Syn: Clinopodium forbesii (Benth.) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891); Satureja forbesii (Benth.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, За』 299 (1897).

Ref: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 73 (1978).
Ic: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 79, fig. 17 (1978).
Fl. probably all year. Mountain slopes amongst rocks, $800-1800 \mathrm{~m}$.
Type: Ins. Sancti Nicolai, ann. 1822, Forbes (holo. K!).
Gen. distrib.: Cape Verde Is.
Though about 1000 miles distant from Teneriffe on the Cape Verde Islands, this species is very similar to Mo teneriffae. Mo
forbesii is distinguished by its hirsute leaves and relatively shorter calyx teeth. Perez (1978) has distinguished three varieties based mainly on leaf shape and habit which are, as in Ma teneriffae, very variable in the species.
3.6.2.1.4. 3. Micromeria helianthemifolia Webb \& Berthas Phyt. Canar. 3:79, t. 162 (1844).

Syn: Clinopodium helianthemifolium (Nebb \& Berth.) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891): Satureja helianthemifolia (Webb \& Berth.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantlg Nata Pflanzenfam. 4, 3a: 299 (1897): Satureja despreauxii Briq. in Ann. Conserv. Bot. Jard. Genéve 2: 186 (1898), 5yn. nov.

Ref: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 81 (1978).

In: Perezg Revis. Micromeria 92-93, fig. 21-22 (1978).
Fl. 3-7. Dry rocks and rock fissures, $500-1500 \mathrm{~m}$.
Type: In fissuris rupium ad pylas vallis Tiraxanae, Degollada de Manzanilla dictag in rupibus altissimis insulae Canariae, Uebb (lecto. $\mathrm{FI}_{g}$ photo!)。

Gen. distrib: 5 Central Gran Canaria.

This is a rare plant of the southern upper slopes of the central volcano. It is easily distinguished by its large, narrowly lanceolate leaves, and narowly tubular calyx and corolla. Var. mary-annae Perez is distinguished by its more slender stems, narrower leaves, and laxer inflorescence.
3.6.2.1.5. 4. Micromeria rivas-martinezii Wildpret in Vieraea 3(1973):72 (1974).

Ref: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 96 (1978).
Ic: Perezg Revi5. Micromeria 102, 103 , fig. 26, 27 (1978).
Fl. 4-6. Rock fissures.

Typer In fissuris rupium loco vulgo dicto，Roque de Juan Bay， insula Nivariag Tenerife dicta， 7 iv 1973，w．Wildpret，TFC 1708 （holo．TFC；iso．MAF，herb．Rivas－Martinez）．

Gen．distrib．：E Teneriffe．

This species，only known from the type locality，is most similar to 阳 glomerata。

3．6．2．1．6．5．Micromeria qlomerata Pa L．Perez in Vieraea 3（1973）：78（1974）．
Ref：Perez，Revis．Micromeria 105 （1978）．
Ic：Perez，Revis．Micromeria 110，fig． 29 （1978）．
F1．5－6．Rock fissures，300－400 m．
Type：In fissuris rupis regione septentrionali Anagae，circa pago Tagananag $400 \mathrm{~m}_{9} 27 \vee$ 1972，$_{\mathrm{g}}$ ．Perez de Paz，TFC 1710 （holo．TFC， photo！g iso．K！，MA）．

Gen．distrib： E Teneriffe。

Only known from the immediate vicinity of the type locality．

3．6．2．1．7．6．Micromeria lanata（Chr：Smo ex Link）Benthag Laba Gen．Spog Suppl． 731 （1835）．

Syn：Satureja lanata Chr：Sm．ex Link in Buch，Beschr．Canar．Ins． 143 （1828）：Clinopodium lanatum（Chr．Sm．ex Link）D．Kuntze，Rev． Gen．Pl．2：515（1891）；S．micrantha Webbs nom．in schaed．（FI）． Ref．Perez，Revis．Micromeria 112 （1978）．

Ic：Perez，Revis．Micromeria 119，fig． 32 （1978）．
Fl．4－7．Common amongst rocks and on mountain slopes，700－1900 m．
Type：In convalle Tejeda jugisque montium vicinis Magna Canaria rupibus aridis，Webb（neotype FI，phota！）．

Gen．distrib．：Central Gran Canaria．
A very common plant everywhere on the upper slopes of Central Gran Canaria．It is most similar to M．benthamii but may be
distinguished by its lower stature, verticillasters with long pedunculate cymes with more flowers, and smaller size of all its floral parts.
3.6.21.9. 7. Micromeria benthamii Webb \& Bertha, Phyt. Canar. 3: 77 (1844). Syn: Micromeria lanata sensu Bentho, Lab. Gen. Sp. 374 (1834): Mo tenuis Bentho, Lab. Gen. Sp.g Suppl: 731 (1835), non (Link) Webb \& Berth. (1845); Satureja mollis Webb ex Steud.g Nom. Bot. ed. 2 $_{\text {g }}$ 2:515 (1841), nomen; Clinopodium benthamii (Webb \& Berth.) 0. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891); S. benthamii (Webb \& Berth.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantly Nat. Pflanzenfama 4, 3 a: 299 (1897).

Ref: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 121 (1978).
Ic: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 133. fig. 37 (1978).
F1. 4-7(-8). Common amongst shrubs and pines on rocky soil, above 500 m.

Type: In rupibus altissimis Montis Saucillo dicto insulae Canariae, Webb (lecto. FI, photo!).

Gen. distrib: Central Gran Canaria.
A common plant which occupies the same area as the last species, Mo lanata. A hybrid betoseen these two species has been described by Ferez (1978).
3.6.2.1.9. 8. Micromeria tenuis (Link) Webb \& Berth., Phyt. Canar. 3:75, t. 158 A (1844).

Syn: Satureja tenuis Link in Buch, Phys. Beschr. Canar. Ins. 143 (1825): Micromeria polioides Webb \& Berth., Phyt. Canar. 3:76, t. 158 B (1844): M. linkii Webb \& Berth.g loc. cit. 79 , 161 (1844); Ma buchii Webb in Jebb \& Berthog loc. cit. t. 161 (1844); M. bourgaeana Webb ex Bolle in Bonplandia 8:283 (1860); Clinopodium bourgaeanum (Webb) D. Kuntze; Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891); Co tenue
(Link) 0. Kuntze, loc. cit 516 (1891); Satureja bourqaeana (Webb) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4,3a:299 (1897)g S. polioides (Webb \& Berth.) Briq.g loc. cit. (1897): Sobuchii (Webb) Briq. in Ann. Conserv. Jard. Bot. Genéve 2:186 (1898).

Ref: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 134 (1978).
Ic: Perez, Revis: Micromeria 149, 150, fig. 41, 42.
Fl. 3-8. Rocky ravines, rock fissures, $200-800 \mathrm{~m}$.
Type: Gran Canaria, 296, Despreaux (neotype FI, photo!). Gen. distrib: $N$ and $W$ Gran Canaria.

This is a variable species and two subspecies have been described by Perez (1978), 5sp. tenuis and 5sp. linkii (Webb \& Berth.) Perez, to distinguish plants of different habit, leaf shape, and pubescence.
3.6.2.1.10 9: Micromeria lepida Webb \& Berthag Phyt. Canar: 3:74, t. 157 A (1844).

Syn: Micromeria densiflora Bolle in Bonplandia 8:283 (1860), non Benth. (1834); Clinapodium lepidum (webb \& Berth.) 0. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891); Satureja lepida (Webb \& Berth.) Briqu in Engler \& Prantl; Nat. Pflanzenfama. 4g3ar299 (1897); Me pitardii Bornm. in Fedde, Rep. Spec. Nov. 6: 1 (1908); S. pitardii Bornma, loc. cit. (1908).

Ref: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 151 (1978).
Ic: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 169-172, fig. 48-51.
Fl. 1-7(-8). Rocks, fissures, amongst shrubs and in forest clearingss above 300 m (rarely below this altitude).

Type: In rupibus praeruptis montium Gomerae, Despreaux 316 (lecto. FI, photo!).

Gen. distrib: Gomera.

A very variable species which Perez (1978) divided into two
subspecies, $5 s p$. lepida and ssp. bolleana Perez, based on habit and density of the inflorescence. It is a local plant, distributed mainly in central parts of Gomera.
3.6.2..1. 10. Micromeria varia Benth., Lab. Gen. Sp. 374 (1834). Syn: ? Thymus ericaefolius Roth, Cat. Bot. 2:50 (1800); Micromeria thymoides Solander ex Lowe in Trans. Camb. Phil. Soc. 6:19 (1831): Satureja thymoides Solander ex Lowe, loc. cit. (1831), nomeng non (DeNot) Nym. (1854), non (Kunth) Briq. (1897): Ma tragothymus Webb \& Berth. : Phyt. Canar: 3:73, t. 155 (1844); Clinopodium ericaefolium (Roth) 0. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. P1. 2:514 (1891); S. varia Webb \& Berth. ex Briq. in Engler \& Prantly Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, Ja: 299 (1897): M. ericaefolia (Roth) Bornm. in Fedde, Rep. Sp. Nov. 19:198 (1924).

Ref: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 173 (1978).
Ic: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 205-206, 251, fig. 62-63, 77B.
Fl. all year. Mixed woodland, scrub, dry rocky slopes, 50-1800 m.
Type: Ins. Teneriffa (lecto. K!).
Gen. distrib: A Teneriffe, Gomerag Gran Canaria, Lanzarote, Fuerteventura, El Hierro, Madeira.

This is the most widely distributed and most variable species in Macronesia. Seven subspecies have been described by Perez (1978): most are confined to certain islandss but ssp. varia is found on Teneriffe and Gomera, and ssp. rupestris is confined to areas above 500 m on Lanzarote and Fuerteventura. Ssp. thymoides is the only representative of Micromeria on the islands of Madeira. The other subspecies are ssp. gomerensis Perez [Gomeral, ssp. canariensis Perez [Gran Canaria], 5sp. meridialis Perez [Gran Canaria] and ssp. hierrensis Perez [El Hierro]. The following species (nos. 11-15) are all closely related to and probably all
derived from $M_{\text {a }}$ varia. Perez (1978) presents a diagram of the possible relationṣips within the aggregate.

Perez (1978) has proposed to eonserve the name M. varia Benth. (1834) against M. ericaefolia Cbasionym Thymus ericaefolius Roth. (1800)]. I have seen a microfiche of the Willdenow specimen upon which the latter name is based and the specimen definitely belongs to the varia aggog but without direct examination 1 cannot be more
 varia.
3.6.2.i.1 11. Micromeria hyssopifolia Jebb \& Berth.g Phyt. Canar: 3:72, $t .154$, b1 (1844).

Syn: Satureja hyssopifolia (Whebb \& Berth.) Briq. in Ann. Conserv. Jard. Bot. Genéve 2: 186 (1898), non Bert. (1829), non Duffa (1860); Microneria kuegleri Bornm. in Fedde, Repert. Sp. Nov. 19:197 (1924).

Ref: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 208 (1978).
Ic: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 235, fig. 73 (1978).
F1. all year. Dry, rocky, open situations, sometimes at forest margins, or in degraded forest scrubg 10-2000 m.

Type: In rupestribus siccis Ins. Canar. vulgatissima, Webb llecto. FIg photo!).

Gen. distriba: Teneriffe, El Hierro.
This species is most easily distinguished from Maria by its dense indumentum. Perez (1978) described three varieties: var: hyssopifolia on both Teneriffe and El Hierro, and vars. glabrescens and kuegleri from Teneriffe. They are differentiated on pubescence and calyr features but the species as a whole is very variable also in habit, leaf size and inflorescence density. The species is very common on both Teneriffe and El Hierro.
3.6.2.13. 12. Micromeria herpyllomorpha Webb \& Berth., Phyt. Canar: 3:72, $t .155$ A (1844).

Syn: Micromeria serpyllomorpha Webb ex Benth. in DC., Prodr. 12:217 (1848); Mo perezii Bolle in Bonplandia 8:282 (1860); Satureja perezii (Bolle) Briq. in Engler \& Prant1, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, $3 \mathrm{a}: 299$ (1897); S. herpyllomorpha (Webb \& Berth.) Briq., loc. cit. (1897); Mo palmensis [Bolle] Lidin Skr. Norske Vida-Akad. Oslo, Mat. Nat. n.5. 23: 152 (1968); Mo albicoma Bourgeau, nom. in schaed. (FI).

Ref: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 237 (1978).
Ic: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 251, fig. 77A.
Fla mainly $3-8$, but individuals at any time. Rocks and cliffs in heath and pine forest, $5.1,-1500 \mathrm{~m}$.

Type: In rupestribus convallium insulae Palmae, Webb slect. FI, phota!).

Gen. distrib.: Palma.
A common plant closely related to Mo varia. Perez (1978) discusses the differences at length but this species is most easily distinguished from $\mathrm{Ma}_{\mathrm{o}}$ varia ssp. varia, a plant not found on Palma, by the large corollas, and less bilabiate, and usually 15 -nerved calyx.

The source of the specific epithet of $M_{\text {o }}$ palmensis Lid is $M_{\text {. }}$ julianoides Webb \& Berth. var. palmensis Bolle in Bonplandia 8: 283 (1860). Perez (1978) has this variety as a synonym of Ma 1asiophylla.
3.6.2.114 13. Micromeria lachnophylla Webb \& Berth., Phyt. Canar: 3:73, t. 156 A (1844).

Syn: Micromeria julianoides Webb \& Berth.s Phyt. Canar. 3: 78, t. 160 B (1844); $M_{\text {s }}$ teydensis Bolle in Bonplandia 8:282 (1860);

Clinopodium julianoides (Webb \& Berth.) D. Kuntze; Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891): Ca teydense (Bolle) 0. Kuntze, loc. cit. 516 (1891): Satureja lachnophylla (Webb \& Berth.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantis Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, $3 a: 299$ (1897): S. julianoides (Webb \& Berth.) Briq.g loc. cita (1897); S. teydensis (Bolle) Briq.g loc. cit. (1897).

Ref: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 253 (1978).
Ic: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 262, fig. 79 (1978).
Fl. 4-8, rarely other times. Sub-alpine leguminous scrub on pumice, 2000-2700 m.

Type: In rupestribus elatis aridissimis ultra pagum Chasnam, non longe a monte Pico del Almendro dicto, bebb (lecto. FIg photo!).

Gen. distrib: Central Teneriffe.
A common plant confined to the sub-alpine parts of the caldera, almost reaching the summit. It has a much more slender habit than Mo varia, with simple stens, rarely with branches.
3.6.2.1.15. 14: Micromeria lasiophylla Webb \& Berthos Phyt. Canara 3:74, ta 156 B (1844).

Ref: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 263 (1978).
Ic: Perez, Revis. Micrameria 262, fig. 79B (1978).
Fl. 6-8, rarely other times. Rock fissures on upper part of caldera, 2000-2400 mo

Type: No locality, Webb (lecto. FIg photo!). This specimen is labelled Micromeria oreothymbra.

Gen. distrib.: Central Teneriffe, summit of caldera of Palma.
A locally common plant found close to the summit on the southern side of the calderas on both Teneriffe and Palma. The Closest relatives are M. lachnophylla and M. hyssopifolia.
3.6.2.(16. 15. Micromeria densiflora Benth., Lab. Gen. Sp. 375 (1834).

Syn: Thymus floribundus Willd. ex Benth. in Linnaea 11:341 (1837), nomen; Clinopodium densiflorum (Benth.) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891); Satureja densiflora (Benth.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4,3a: 299 (1897).

Ref: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 156 (1978).
Ic: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 157, fig. 43 (1978), of a fragment only.

Robust shrub, stems $20-40 \mathrm{~cm}$, densely shortly recurvedpubescent. Leaves linear-lanceolate, tightly revolute, $3.0-6.0 \times$ 0.6-1.3 mm , both sides densely minutely hairy. Verticillasters with 1-4- $\uparrow$ lowered cymes, peduncles absent, pedicels $0.1-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Bracteoles linear, $0.8-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Calyx straight, parallel-sided tubular or slightly ampliate; tube $2.0-2.3 \mathrm{~mm}$, densely minutely patent-pilose, throat sparsely hairy; lips $0.8-1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ upper teeth narrowly to broadly triangular, $0.5-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$; lower teeth narrowly triangular to lanceolate-triangular, $0.8-1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. Corolla purplish (?): tube 3.0-4.2 mmi upper lip $0.8-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ g lower lip $1.5-1.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ Fl. 1. Steep west-exposed rocks, $C$. 800 m. Type: In Ins. Canariensibus, Broussonet (K). Gen. distrib: $:$ Gomera.

Perez (1978) was doubtful about the status of this species and only described the type specimen and discussed the problem of its identity under his account of Molepida. The type specimen is only a fragment, apparently a short lateral shoot, but the essential features of this species are discernable: densely aggregated inflorescence with few flowered cymes without peduncles, small, shortly patent-pilose calyx, hairy in the throat, and small revolute leaves. Only two species, other than this one, are found on Eomera, $M_{\text {a }}$ lepida and $M_{\text {. varia }}$ ssp. varia. The features outlined


#### Abstract

above clearly associates $\mathrm{Ma}_{\mathrm{a}}$ densiflora Benth. with the varia aggregate rather than M. lepida. I have seen a specimen (at E) collected by the Aarhus University Expedition to Gomera in 1971 (AAU 71-7706) which almost certainly belongs to Mo densiflora Benth. The specimen almost exactly matches Bentham's description of his species, and confirms its association with, but its distinctness from; M. varia.


## 3.6 .2 .1 .17 <br> The following hybrids have been described:

Micromeria x angosturae Perez, Revis. Micromeria $146(1978)=\underline{M}$ tenuis (Link) Jebb \& Berth. 55p. linkii (Webb \& Berth.) Perez $x$ Mo varia Benth. 55p. canariensis Perez.

Type: [Gran] Canaria, Baranco de Langosturag iii 1846, Bourgeau 914 (holo. FI, phota!).

Micromeria $x$ benthamineolens Svent.g Ind. Sem. Hort. Acclim. Plant. Arautapae 1969, 4:48 (1969) $=$ Mo benthamii Webb \& Bertha $x$ Me pinealens Svent.

IC: Perezg Revis. Micromeria 281, fig. 84 (1978).
Type: Gran Canariaa Tamadabag in pineto, valde rara, 31 vii $1966_{9}$ Sventenius (lecto. ORT 6503, photo!).

Micromeria $x$ confusa Kunkel \& Perezg Cuad. Bot. Canar. 26/27:21 (1976) $=$ M. benthamii Webb \& Berth. $x$ Ma lanata (Chr. Sm. ex Link) Benth.

Ic: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 94, fig. 23 B (1978). Type: Gran Canaria: in montibus Goyedrae circa loco dicto Tamadabag 1050 masomag 14 vi 1974, G. Kunkel 17349 (holo. $\mathrm{BM}_{\mathrm{g}}$ photo!g iso.
herb. Kunkel).

Micromeria $x$ intermedia Kunkel \& Perez, Cuad. Bot. Canar: 26/27:23 (1976) $=$ Ma benthamii Webb \& Berth. $x$ Mo helianthemifolia Webb \& Berth.

Ic: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 94, fig. 23 A (1978).
Type: Gran Canaria: Degollada de la Manzanilla ca. S. Bartolome de Tirajana, $1000 m_{0} 5 . m_{0 g} 1$ xi 1973, G。 Kunkel 15944 (holo. BM, photo!g iso. herb. Kunkel).

Micromeria $x$ nogalesii Kunkel \& Perezg Cuad. Bot. Canar. 26/27:25 (1976) $=$ M. lanata (Chr. Sm. ex Link) Benth. $x$ Mo varia Benth. ssp. canariensis Perez.

Ic: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 120, fig. 33 (1978).
Type: Gran Canaria: in montibus Goyedrae circa Tamadaba, 950
 Kunkel).

Micromeria $x$ perez-pazii Kunkel, Vieraea $8: 360(1980)=$ Mo benthami i Webb \& Berth. $x$ M. tenuis (Link) Webb \& Berth.

Micromeria x preauxii (Webb \& Berth.) Perez; Revis. Micromeria 127 (1978) $=M_{a}$ benthamii Webb \& Berth. $x$ Ma Varia Bentho ssp. canariensis Perez

Syna Micromeria preauxii Hebb \& Berthag Phyt. Canar: 3:75, t. 157 B (1844).

Type: [Gran Canaria]: inter rupes Goyavrae-Guayedra dicta, v 1839, Despreaux 30 (lecto. FIg photo!).

Micromeria $x$ tagananensis Perez, Revis. Micromeria $108(1978)=$ Mo
glomerata Perez $\times$ M. varia Benth. ssp. varia.
Ic: Perez, Revis: Micromeria 111, fig. 30 (1978).
Type: Tenerife: in rupis semiumbrosis in loco vulgo dicto, Roque de Enmedio, ca. Taganana, 25 v 1972, Ferez 19 (holo. herb. Perez, phota!).

Micromeria x wildpretii Perez, Revis. Micromeria 99 (1978) = Ma rivas-martinezii Wildpret $\times$ M. varia Benth. ssp. varia. Ic: Perez, Revis. Micromeria 104, fig. 28 (1978).

Type: Tenerife: in fissuris rupium loco vulgo dictog Roque de Juan Bay regione meridionalis Anagae, 12 v 1974; J. R. Acebes \& Perez 20 (holo. herb. Perez, photo!g iso. TFC 1748).

### 3.6.2.2. Micromeria sect. Micromeria; excluding Macronesian species.

3.6.2.2.1. 1. Ericoid dwarf shrub with acicular leaves,
$1.5-3.5 \times 0.3-0.5(-1.2) \mathrm{mm} \quad 28$. inodora

+ Shrubs to 50 cm, at least some leaves larger,
never acicular

2. Calyx tube $3.5-5.2 \mathrm{mmg}$ corolla $11.5-19.0 \mathrm{~mm} \quad 3$

+ Calyx tube 1.4-3.5 mm; corolla $3.0-11.5 \mathrm{~mm} \quad 7$

3. The upperg or at least the floral, leaves linear-
lanceolate or linear

+ Upper leaves, including the floral, broadly ovate 6

4. Leaf mid-vein prominent, others not visible; upper caly, teeth narrowly triangular, $0.7-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ flowers solitary in leaf axils 8. macrosiphon

+ Leaf mid-vein and lateral veins $\pm$ prominent; upper calyx teeth narrowly triangular or subulate, $1.3-2.0 \mathrm{mmg}$ cymes with usually more than one flower 5

5. Leaves elliptic, $10-16 \times 2.0-3.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, very densely recurved-pubescent, the petiole $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ lower calyx teeth not ciliate
6. lonqiflora

+ Leaves ovate-elliptic to linear-lanceolate, $6-14 \times 2-7 \mathrm{~mm}$, sparsely hairy, petiole $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; lower calyx teeth ciliate 26. graeca

6. Puberulent or sparsely pubescent; leaves up to 5 mm broad, obtuse; calyx teeth c . $1 / 2$ as long as tube 5. marginata

+ Densely patent-pubescent; leaves up to 8 mm broad, sub-acute; calyx teeth c. $2 / 3$ as long as tube 6. croatica

7: Leaves linear, strongly revolute, $\pm$ erect, markedly overlapping on nearly all shoots, the internodes half the leaf length or less 8

+ Leaves broadly ovate-elliptic to linear-lanceolate, strongly revolute or not, usually not erect, not overlapping or only slightly 50 , the internodes at least $3 / 4$ leaf length (sometimes less in young axillary shoots)

8. Flowering stems bearing short, hairy, imbricate-
leaved resting buds at base

+ Flowering stems without imbricate-leaved resting buds at base (but axillary fascicles of leaves may be present)

9. Stems with conspicuous axillary fascicles of leaves; calyx $3.8-5.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ corolla $4-6 \mathrm{~mm}$ longer than calyx
tube [S Italy, Sicily]
10. fruticulosa

+ Stems without axillary fascicles of leaves;
calyx 2.4-2.7 mmp corolla 2.5-4.0 mm longer
than calyx tube [Libya]

14. conferta
(Very depauperate forms of juliana may key out as far as here)
15. All middle stem leaves $2.0-4.0 \mathrm{~mm}$

+ All middle stem leaves $4.0-15.5 \mathrm{~mm}$

11. Calyx minutely retrorse-pubescent; lower calyx
teeth $1.5-2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \quad 1$. filiformis

+ Calyx hispid or shortly patent-pubescent; lower calyx teeth $0.7-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$

12. Stems brittle, decumbent, $2-5 \mathrm{~cm}$ tall; leaves cordate at base; flowers solitary 33. contardoi

+ Stems ascending, usually more than 5 cmg leaves rounded or cuneate at base; flowers $1-10$ per cyme13

13. Calyx long-hispid; upper calyx teeth $1 / 2-1 / 3 x$ the
lower [Central Mediterranean] ..... 14

+ Calys shortly patent-pubescent: upper calyx teeth 3/4-5/6 x the lower [Sinai, Jordan, W Arabia]

15. Habit loose, ascending; leafy stem diameter $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{mmg}$ stem hairs retrorse 24. sinaica

+ Habit cushion-forming; leafy stem diameter $0.2-0.3 \mathrm{mmg}$ stem hairs patent

16. Leaves dark grey-green in aspect, densely patent-villous, with hairs $0.4-0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ long;
lower calyx teeth $0.9-1.4 \mathrm{~mm}$
17. cinerea

+ Leaves light green in aspect, densely white hispid to scabrous, with hairs $0.2-0.3(-0.5) \mathrm{mm}$
lower calyx teeth $0.5-0.9 \mathrm{~mm}$

31. serbaliana
32. Flowers $\pm$ sessile, crowded in tight verticillasters,
often 10-20-flawered; pedicels up to 0.5 mm ..... 18

* Flowers in lax verticillasters, usually less than 10flowered (sometimes more); pedicels (0.3-)0.5-3.5 mm 20

18. Calyx throat glabrous
19. juliana

+ Calyx throat bearded 19

19. Stem hairs parent! verticillaster peduncles $2.0-6.5 \mathrm{~mm}$
calyx tube 1.5-1.7 mm, lower calyx teeth $0.6-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ :
corolla c. 3.5 mmg nutlets acute
20. weilleri

* Stem hairs recurved; verticillaster peduncles $0.5-2.6 \mathrm{~mm}$
calyx tube $1.8-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, lower teeth $1.0-1.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ corolla
4.0-5.0 mmg nutlets obtuse 15. myrtifolia

20. Verticillasters sessile, peduncles less than 0.3 mm 21

* Verticillasters clearly pedunculateg peduncles
$(0.4-) 0.7-6.0 \mathrm{~mm}$

21. Leaves revolute, apex obtuse, lateral veins not visible; bracteoles ovate-lanceolate; calyx tube c: $3.4 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{y}}$ upper teeth broadly triangular, lower teeth not ciliate 13. brivesii

+ Leaves flat, apex $\pm$ acute, lateral veins clearly visible; bracteoles narrowly linear: calyx tube 2.0-2.7 mm, upper teeth narrowly triangular, lower teeth ciliate

32. imbricata
33. Calyx densely villous-plumoses with patent hairs more than 0.5 mm 7. nervosa

+ Calyx densely or sparsely pubescent, hairs patent or recurved, less than 0.5 mm ..... 23

23. Corolla 3.0-5.5 mmg lower calym teeth not ciliate (except in kerneri) ..... 24

+ Corolla (5.0-)5.5-11.5(-15.0) mmi lower calyx teeth ciliate (except in elliptica) ..... 31

24. Upper part of stem with patent hairs ..... 25

* Upper part of sten with recurved hairs ..... 28

25. Lower calyx teeth $1.2-1.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ciliate 18. kerneri

+ Lower calyx teeth $0.6-1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ not ciliate ..... 26

26. Leaves ovate-elliptic to lanceolate, $2.3-7.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ broad [Nb Africa] 9. fontanesii

+ Leaves ovate-elliptic to oblong, $0.5-3.0 \mathrm{~mm}$broad [E Mediterranean]27

27. Stems densely patent-pubescent; leaves mostly overlapping: resting buds at base of stem 17. cristata

+ Stems puberulent: leaves mostly not overlapping:no resting buds at base of stem
19: cremnophila

28. Calyx shortly recurved-puberulent; lower part ofstem long-patent-pubescent, hairs mostly 0.5 mmor more
29. debilis

+ Calyx hispidulous or shortly patent-pubescent;lower part of stems shortly patent or recurved-pubescent, hairs up to 0.5 mm29

29. Upper leaf surface hairs minute; $1 / 20 \mathrm{~mm}$, very fewlonger: calyx throat densely bearded; upper calyxteeth broadly triangular, about $4 / 5 \times$ as long as
lower teeth: pedicels $0.3-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ 12. hochreutineri

+ Upper leaf surface shortly hispidulous or pubescent, many hairs 0.2 mm or more; calyx throat sparsely bearded; upper calyx teeth narrowly triangular, 1/2-3/4 x the lower in length; pedicels $0.7-2.9 \mathrm{~mm}$

30. Stems very numerous from a woody stock, stiffly erect; corolla 4:6-5.5 mm 22. persica

+ Stems few from a woody stock, arctuate-ascending; corolla 3.0-4.7 mm

19. cremnophila
20. The upper, or at least the floral, leaves linear- lanceolate or linear; stems recurved-pubescent ..... 32+ Upper leaves (including the floral ones) ovate ortriangular (rarely oblong-lanceolate); stemslong-patent or shortly recurved pubescent 35
21. Calyces not exceeding subtending leaves; verticillasters
(2-)6-18-flowered; lower leaves 6-14 x 2-7 mm 26. graeca

* Calyces distinctly exceeding subtending leaves;
verticillasters 2-6 (rarely to 16)-flowered; lower
leaves $3.5-10.0 \times 0.5-5.0 \mathrm{~mm}$33

33. Lowest floral leaf 3-4 mm, lanceolate ..... 24. sinaica

+ Lowest floral leaf (5.0-)6.5-11.0 mms elliptic or linear ..... 34
34: Corolla B.0-9.5 mmg floral leaves mostly elliptic(sometimes $\pm$ linear at top)

21. elliptica

+ Corolla 5.5-6.5 mmg floral leaves linear ..... 20. parviflora

35. Peduncles or pedicels as long as subtending
leaves (leaves c. 5 mm , oblong-lanceolate) 3. acropolitana

* Peduncles or pedicels not nearly as long as subtending leaves ..... 36

36. Stem hairs patent ..... 37
$+\quad$ Stem hairs recurved ..... 38
37. Stem hairs sparse, very long; $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$
lower calyx teeth $1.5-1.7 \mathrm{~mm}$
38. cypria

+ Stem hairs densely hispidulous or pubescent, less
than 0.5 mm long; lower calyx teeth 2.4-2.7 mm

6. croatica
7. Calyx tube 2.8-3.0 $\mathrm{mm}_{3}$ lower teeth 1.2-1.5 $\mathrm{mm}_{9}$
not ciliateg corolla 8.0-9.5 mm
8. elliptica

+ Calyx tube 1.7-2.2 mm, lower teeth $0.8-1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$,
ciliate; corolla 5.3-6.3 mm
39

39. Plant 10-30 cm tall; leaves up to 7 mm long
40. sinaica

+ Plant 40-50 cm tallg leaves up to 11 mm long
3.6.2.2.2. 1. M. filiformis (Ait.) Benth., Lab. Gen. Sp. 378 (183.3). Syn: Thymus filiformis Aitog Hort. Kew. ed.1, 2:313 (1789): Piperella filiformis (Ait.) Presl, Fl. Sic. 37 (1826); Cunila thymoides Gouan ex Benthag Lab. Gen. Sp. 378 (1834), nomen; Micromeria rodriquezii Freyn \& Janka in Dest. Bot. Zeitschr: 24:16 (1874): Micromeria nervosa (Desf.) Benth. 5sp. rodriquezii (Freyn \& Janka) Nyman, Consp. Fl. Eur: 520 (1881); Clinopodium filiforme (Aita) D. Kuntze, Rev: Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891); Satureja cordata Moris ex Bertol. 5sp. rodriguezii (Freyn \& Janka) Bolos \& Vigo, Collect. Bot. 14:94 (1983).

F1. 4-7. On walls, calcareous rocks, cliffs; $30-50 \mathrm{~m}$.
Type: ?
Gen. distrib.: Balearic Isa, Corsica.
Easily recognised by its slender, ascending stems to 25 cm , apparently glabrouss small leaves, $1-2-$ flowered cymes without peduncles and small corollas (to 5 mm ).

Sennen described the hybrid M. x knochei Sennen \& Bianor in Sennen, F1. Catal. 139 (1917), supposedly M. nervosa $\times$ rodriguezii,

|  | 2. Me microphylla (D'Urv.) Benth., Lab. Gena Sp. 377 (1834). |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Syna Thymus microphyllus D'Urva in Mem. Soc. Linno Para 1:327 |
|  | (1822): Satureja microphylla (D'Urvo) Guss.g Fl. Sic. Prodra 2a 120 |
|  | (1828), non Briq. (1897); Thymus teneriffae Hortul. ex Benth., Lab. |
|  | Gen. Sp. 377 (1834), nomen; Micromeria sphaciotica Boiss. \& Heldr. |
|  | ex Benth. in DCog Prodr. 12:220 (1848); Satureja cordata Moris ex |
|  | Bertol.g Fl. Ital. 10:519 (1854)! Micromeria cordata (Moris ex |
|  | Bertol.) Moris, Diag. Stirp. Sarda Nova 3 (1857)! Clinopodium |
|  | cordatum (Moris ex Bertol.) 0. Kuntze, Reva Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891)! |
|  | non Vella (1825): Clinopodium microphyllum (D'Urva) $\mathrm{O}_{\text {a }}$ Kuntze, loc. |
|  |  |
|  | Prantlg Nat. Pflanzenfam 4g 3 as 299 (1897) ${ }^{\text {g }}$ Satureja filiformis |
|  | (Aita) Nym. var. cordata Béga in Fiori \& Paol.g Flo Anal. Ital. |
|  | 3:58 (1903): Micromeria carpatha Rech. fo in Phyton 1:208 (1949). |
|  | Fl. 4-10. Arid calcareous rocks, rocks by the sea, 5.1. $\mathbf{- 2 0} \mathrm{mo}$ |
|  | Type: ? |

Gen. distrib.: 5 Italy, Balearic Is., Malta, Crete。
3.6.2.2.4. 3. Mo acropolitana Hal.g Consp. Fl. Graec., Suppl. 87 (1908). F1. 5. Rocks.

Type: In Acropoli Athenarum, Aug. 1906, Maire, in Maire \& Petitmenging Miss. Bot. Or. exsicc. no. 1073. Gen. distrib.: Greece.

Shrubs with slender virgate stems to 30 cm tall, recurved pubescent. Leaves and floral leaves oblong-lancealate, $c=5 x$ 1.0-2.0 mm, flat, apex obtuse, both sides pubescent. Verticillasters with 2-5-flowered cymes on pedicels as long as subtending leaf. Calyx and corolla like M. microphyila its closest relative。
3.6.2.2.5. 4. M. hispida Boiss. \& Heldr. ex Benthe in DC.g Prodr: 12:215 (1848).

Syn: Satureja hispida 《Boiss. \& Heldr: ex Benth.) Nym. s Syll. Fl. Eur. 1:102 (1955)! Clinopodium hispidum (Boiss. \& Heldr. ex Benth.)
0. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891)!

F1. 4-7. Fissures of calcareous rocks, mountain summits in grass and sparse mountain meadows, $300-2000 \mathrm{~m}$.

Type: [Crete] in rupestribus, Epaschiae Mirabello, iv 1846, Heldreich (K!, MANCH!).

Gen. distrib.: Crete.
Very similar to Ma microphylla.
3.6.2.2.6. 5. Ma marqinata (J.E.Smo) Chater in Bota Ja Linna Soc. 64: 381 (1971):

Syn: Thymus marginatus J. E. Smith ex Dickson; Colla Dried Pl. fasc: $3, t_{0} 71$ (1791); Thymus piperella All.g Fl. Pedem. 1:21, t. 37, f. 3 (1785), non L. (1753): Calamintha piperella Reichenb.g Fl. Germ. Excurs. 328 (1830), non sensu Schloss \& Vukat (1857); Micromeria piperella (All.) Benth.g Lab. Gen. Sp. 379 (1834); Satureja piperella (All.) Bert. Fl. Ital. 6:50 (1847); Micronema piperella (All.) Schott. in Oest. Bot. Wochenbl. 7:95 (1857); Tendana piperella (All.) Reichenb. fa in Dest. Bot. Wochenbl. 7: 160 (1857).

Fl. 7-9. Rock fissures, stony places, cliffs, open dolomitic rocks, 1000-1600 m.

Type: ?
Gen. distrib.: N Italy, SE France (Maritime Alps)。
Host closely related to $\mathrm{Ma}_{\mathrm{c}}$ croatica.
3.6.2.27. 6. M. croatica (Pers.) Schott. in Oest. Bot. Wochenbl. 7:93 (1857).

Syn: Thymus croaticus Persa, Syn. Pl. 2:130 (1806); Calamintha croatica (Pers.) Host, Fl. Austr. 2:132 (1831); Micromeria subcordata Vis. ex Benth.g Lab. Gen. Sp. 379 (1834); Thymus subcordatus (Vis.) Vis., F1. Dalm. 2:197, t. 19 (1847); Micromeria serpyllifolia Scheele in Linnaea $22: 593$ (1849), non (M. Bieb.) Boiss. (1859); Calamintha piperella sensu Schloss. \& Vukot.g Syll. F1. Croat. 80 (1857), non Reichenb. (1830); Satureja croatica (Persa) Briqu in Engler \& Prantlg Nat. Pflanzenfam 4,3a:299 (1897).

Fl. 7-8. Stony places, Quercus soods amongst rocks, calcareous rock fissures, $300-1900 \mathrm{~m}$ 。

Type: Dalmatiag ann. 1832, Visiani (lecto. K!).
Gen. distrib.: Yugoslavia.
Similar to M. marginata but whole plant densely long patentpubescent: leaves $5.0-10.0 \times(2.5-) 4.0-8.0 \mathrm{mmg}$ verticillasters with up to 9-4lowered cymes, peduncles $0.5-6.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, pedicels $1.5-3.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ? calyx tube $2.5-3.0 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ throat very densely hairy, upper lip straightg $1.8-2.2 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ lower lip slightly curving upward, 2.4-2.7 mm, teeth all very narrowly triangular to almost setaceous, upper $1.8-2.2 \mathrm{~mm}, 1 \mathrm{lower} 2.4-2.7 \mathrm{mmg}$ corolla tube $6.5-10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ upper 1 ip 1.5-2.0 $\mathrm{mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ lower lip 2.0-4.0 mm.
3.6.2.2.8. 7. Ma nervosa (Desfa) Bentha, Lab. Gen. Sp: 376 (183. $)_{\text {. }}$

Syn: Satureja nervosa Desfag Fl. Atl. 2:9g t. 121 f. 2 (1798): Satureja capitata Desfag loc. cit. (1798), non L. (1753); Satureja filiformis Ten., Prodr. Fl. Nap. (1811); Thymus filiformis Sieb. ex Benth.g Lab. Gen. Sp. 376 (1834), nomen; Satureja plumosa Hampe in Flora (Regensburg) 25(1):127 (1842); Micromeria plumosa (Hampe)

Buek, Cand. Ind. $3: 297$ (1858); Micromeria hirsuta Mazziara ex Nym. Consp. Fl. Eur: 590 (1881), nomeng Clinopodium nervosum (Desfa) 0. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891); Satureja graeca L. ssp. nervosa (Desf.) Bolos \& Vigo, Collect. Bot. 14:94 (1983). Fl. 2-7, rarely 12. Limestone slopes, in macchie, calcareous or serpentine rocks, pine woods, roadsides, 5.1.-800 m.

Type: [Morocco] in fissuris rupium Atlantis, Desfontaines (holo. P):

Gen. distrib.: Greece, Aegaean Isos Crete; Balearic Is:g Cyprus, SW Turkey, Syriag Israelg Jordang Egypt, Libyas Algeriag Tunisia.
8. 병 macrosiphon Coss. in Bull. Soc. Bot. Fr. 27: 72 (1880).

Syn: Satureja peltieri Maire in Bull. Soc. Hist. Nat. Afr. Nord 20:34 (1929): Satureja macrosiphon (Coss.) Maire in Jahandiez \& Maire, Cat. Pl. Maroc 3:647 (1934)!

Fl. 3-6. Rocky limestone slopes, dense macchieg cliffs and steep slopes, 20-1300 m.

Type: Morocco: Gorge D'Amsemmez, vers b. cap. Guir, Agadir, 16 v 1877, Ibrahim (holo. K!).

Gen. distrib.: Porocco.
A shrub to 1 m with many short spreading branches, narrowly linear-elliptic leaves, verticillasters of solitary flowers on short pedicels and peduncles, and distinctive narrowly parallelsided tubular calyces and very narrowly tubular corollas 11-15 mm long. There is some similarity in habit to M. varia of the Canary Is.g but it probably comes closest to the Moroccan/Algerian group of M. fontanesii, Ma debilis and Mo hochreutineris
3.6.2.2.1. 9. Mo fontanesii Pomel, Nouv. Mat. F1. Atlant. 123 (1874). Syn: Satureja filiformis Desfag Fl. Atlanta 2:8 (1798); Satureja
battandieri Briq. in Ann. Conserv. Bot. Jard. Genéve 2: 186 (1898). Fl. 4-5. Shady limestone rocks, crevices of limestone and volcanic rocks, $20-800 \mathrm{~m}$.

Type: Morocco, Desfontaines (holo. P); illustrated in Desf.g Fl. Atlant. 2, t. 121 (1798).

Gen. distrib: Morocco, Algeria.
Closely related to $M_{\text {. debilis }}$ and $M_{\text {a }}$ hochreutineri, but distinguished by the hispid pubescence in all parts of the plant, and longer, slightly divergent calyx teeth, ciliate on the margins. These apparently trivial differences hold even where the distributions of these species overlap in Morocco.

Some specimens collected near Orang Algeria [Munby 79; 10 viii 1930, A. Faure; 30 vi 1880 , E. Cosson] have a strong similarity to M. Cremnophila of the eastern Mediterranean, but also have a clear affinity to $M_{0}$ fontanesii. A hybrid between these species seems unlikely and an outlying population of $M_{\text {a }}$ cremnophila also seems improbable: Further study is required.

Micromeria bourlieri Maire \& Lievre in Bull. Soc. Hist. Nat. Afr. Nord 1921, 12: 173 (1921) [syn. Satureja x bourlieri (Maire \& Lievre) Maire in Bull. Soc. Bot. Hist. Nat. Afr. Nord 32:60 (1931)] is supposedly a hybrid between $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}}$ fontanesii and $\mathrm{M}_{\text {。 }}$ qraecaig it was found with the presumptive parents.
3.6.22.11 10. Ma weilleri (Maire) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Satureja weilleri Maire, Bull. Soc. Hist. Nat. Afra Nord 19:62 (1928).

Fl. 4-5. Quartz and silicious rock crevices, 600-630 m.
Type: Morocco: secus amnem Ksiksou prope Dulmes, ad rupes vulcanicas, $800 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{g}}$ f1. Apr. Maiog Jahandiez \& Weiller 5.n. tholo. AL).

Gen. distrib.: Morocco.
Closely related to M. fontanesii and possibly not specifically distinct. It has more revolute leaves, 8-36-flowered verticillasters on peduncles $2.0-6.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, pedicels only $0.2-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, calyx tube 1.5-1.7 mm, throat more strongly hairy, and teeth more narrowly triangular.
3.6.2.2.12 11. Mo debilis Ponel, Nouv. Mat. Fl. Atlant. 122 (1874). Syn: Satureja debilis (Pomel) Briq. in Ann. Conserv. Jard. Bot. Genéve 7-8:203 (1904), non (Bunge ex Ledeb:) Briq. (1897); Micromeria microphylla Cosson, in schaed? [see Conserv. Jard. Bot. Gard. Genéve 7-8:201 (1904)] non. Benth. (1834); Satureja briquetii Maire in Jahandiez \& Maire, Cat. Pl. Maroc 3:646 (1934). Fl. 4-6, rarely 9. Limestone rocks, 650-1500 m. Type: Morocco: escarpments et rochers des montagnes, Zouia pres Garrouban. (Collector?).

Gen. distrib: Morocco, Algeria.
Similar to $\mathrm{M}_{\text {. }}$ fontanesii but distinguished by short, tightly recurved pubescence on upper part of stem, long patent pilose at base of stemg calyx tube $1.6-1.9 \mathrm{~mm}$, densely recurved-puberulent, lips $0.5-0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ g teeth more broadly triangular, upper $0.3-0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, lower $0.5-0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ corolla tube $2.3-2.4 \mathrm{~mm}$.
3.6.2.2.13. 12. M. hochreutineri (Briqa) Maire in Bull. Soc. Hist. Nat. Afr. Nord 7:273 (1916).

Syn: Satureja hochreutineri Briq., Ann. Conserv. Jard. Bot. Genéve 7-8: 201 (1904); Micromeria microphylla sensu Ball, J. Linn. Soc. Bot. 16:613 (1878); ?Satureja guichardii Quetzel \& Zaffran, Bull. Soc. Hist. Nat. Afr. Nord 52:219 (1961): ?Micromeria guichardii (Quetzel \& Zaffran) Brullo \& Furnari, Webbia 34: 168 (1979).

Fl. 3-7. Rocks, dry limestone slopes, in gulleys amongst pines, 200-2100 m.

Type: Morocco: Oran, oasis de Tiout, chaine rocheuse au 5. de l'oasis, 1050 mg 31 V , Collector? no. 549; id. rochers arides au N . de l'oasis de Mograr Foukani, $950 \mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{vi}$, Collector? no. 644.

Gen. distrib.: Morocco, Algeria.
Similar to M. fontanesii but distinguished by very short tightly recurved pubescent on all parts of stem; leaves only minutely hairy on upper side; calyx tube $1.4-1.9 \mathrm{~mm}$, densely, very shortly hispidulous, throat densely hairy; calyx lips $0.5-0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$, upper teeth $0.4-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, lower teeth $0.5-0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$.
3.62.2.4. 13. Mo brivesii Batt. in Bull. Hist. Nat. Afr. Nord 13:69 (1922). Syn: Satureja brivesii (Batt.) Murbeck in Lunds Univ. Arssk. 2:30 (1923).

Fl. 5. Alluvial soil, 1000 m .
Type: ?
Gen. Distrib.: Morocco.
Near to $M_{\text {. fontanesii }}$ but with strongly revolute, softly hirsute-pubescent leaves, verticillasters usually of solitary flowers, and the corollas twice as long as the calyx.
3.6.2.2.15. 14. M. conferta (Coss.) De Stefani in Bol. Orto Bot. Giard. Palermo 11:148 (1912).

Syn: Micromeria juliana (L.) Benth. ex Reichenb. var. conferta Coss ex Dur. \& Bara, Fl. Lib. Prodr. 186 (....); Satureja conferta (Coss.) Beg. \& Vacc., Spec. Nov. Rar. Fl. Libia 2 (1912). Fl. 3-5, rarely 9. Limestone rocks, gulleys, in macchie, $100-800 \mathrm{~m}$. Type: ?

Gen. distrib: N Libya.

Related to M.juliana. It is distinguished by the densely recurved puberulent indumentum on all parts of the plant, verticillasters of only 1-2 sub-sessile flowers, and shorter calyx teeth ( $0.5-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).
3.6.2.2.16
15. Mo myrtifolia Boiss. \& Hohen in Boiss: Diagn. ser. 1 , $5: 19$ (1844).

Syn: Micromeria juliana (L.) Benth. ex Reichenb. var. myrtifolia (Boiss. \& Hohen) Bois5.s Fl. Or. 4:569 (1879); Micromeria lycia Stapf in Denkschr. Akad, Wiss. Wien, Math. Nat. Kl. 50(2):94 (1885).

Fl. mainly 4-8, but a few at any time. Rock crevices, shady banks, Pinus brutia woods, Quercus coccifera scrub on limestone, screes, boulder clay and serpentine, stony grassland, 5.1.-1900 m. Type: [Iraq] in rupestribus montis Gara Kurdistaniae, Kotschy (holo, G).

Gen. distrib.: Greece, Crete, Aegaean Is:, Turkey (mainly Turkey-in-Europe and S\&E Anatolia), Cyprus, Syria, $N$ Iraq, $W$ Iran, Israel, Lebanon.

Similar to Mo juliana, and frequently confused with it, but distinguished by the calyx always villous in the throat and the relatively shorter calyx teeth. A common species of the eastern Mediterranean.
362.217 16. Mo juliana (L.) Benth. ex Reichenb., Fl. Germ. Excurs. 311 (1831).

Syn: Satureja juliana L., Sp. Pl. 567 (1753); Satureja spicata Crantz, Inst. Rei Herb. 1:525 (1766), non Garsault (1764), non Vis. (1847); Sabbatia corymbosa Moench, Meth. 386 (1794); Satureja virgata Stokes, Bot. Mat. Med. 3:299 (1812); Satureja sophia Hort.
ex Steud., Nom. Bot. ed. 1, 733 (1821), nomen; Satureja hirsuta J. \& K. Presl, Delic. Prag. 79 (1822); Satureja parviflora Presl, Fl. Sic. 36 (1826); Micromeria reinholdii Heldr. ex Boiss.g Fl. Or. 4:570 (1879); Micromeria hirsuta (J. \& K. Presl) Nymag Consp. Fl. Eur. 590 (1881); Clinopodium julianum (L.) O. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891); Micromeria obtusiflora Gandoger, Fl. Crete 80 (1916); Micromeria minoa Coust. \& Eandog. in Bull. Soc. Bot. Fr. 1916, 58: 12 (1917); Micromeria juliana (Lo) Benth. ex Reichenb. var. minoa (Coust. \& Gandog.) Kech. f. in Oest. Bot. Zeitschr. 84:177 (1934).

Fl. 4-10. Calcareous rocks, rocky slopes in macchie, roadsides, limestone cliffs, schistose rocks, 5.1.-1700 mo

Type: Described from Italy: in Hetruria, in Tyrrheni maris asperis, Florentinae. ( Hb . Linn. 723/1?).

Gen. distrib.: Greece, Crete, Italy, Yugoslavia, Albania, Spain, Portugal, Bulgaria, W Turkey, Aegaean Is.
3.6.2.2.18. 17. Mo cristata (Hampe) Griseb., Spicil. Fl. Rumel. 2:122 (1844). Syn: Thymus cristatus Hampe in Flora (Regensburg) 20(1):233 (1837)! Thymus inodorus Friv. ex Griseb.g Spicil. F1. Rumel. 2:122 (1814), nomen, non Desf. (1798); Satureja cristata (Hampe) Nym.s Syll. Fl. Eur. 1:102 (1855)! Clinopodium cristatum (Hampe) 0. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. P1. 2:515 (1891)!

The species is easily identified by the imbricate-leaved resting buds at the base of the stems. A key to the subspecies is provided by Davis, Fl. Turkey vol. 7 (1982).

5sp. cristata
Type: [Bulgaria] in Rumelien, Frivaldsky (iso. K!).
Fl. 6-9. Dry calcareous rocks, gravelly clay, rock fissures,

200-1900 mo

Gen. distrib.: N C Balkans, N Turkey.

Spp. xyllorhiza (Bois5: \& Heldr:) P. Ha Davis in Notes R. Ba G. Edinb. 21:64 (1952).

Syn: Micromeria xyllorhiza Boiss. \& Heldr: in Boiss.a Diagno ser. 19 12:49 (1853)。

Fl. 7-B. Rock fissures, limestone cliffs, 1500-1900 m.
Type: [Turkey] in fissuris rupium Tauri Lycaonici, $1520 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{g}}$ in monte Anemass Heldreich (lecto. G).

Gen. distrib.: 54 Anatolia.

5sp. phrygia P. H. Davis, Notes R. B. G. Edinb. 38: 40 (1980).
Fl: 7-8. Limestone rocks, 1000-2000 m.
Type: Turkey 82 Kutahyas d. Gediz, Saphane Dag 1900-2000 mg sunny rocks, flamers white with mauve spotting on lipg 27 viii 1950 , Davis 18457 (holo. E!g iso. K).

Gen. distrib.: Western C \& SH Anatolia.

Intermediate between 55pa xylorrhiza and ssp. carminea.
ssp. orientalis P. H. Davis. Notes R. B. G. Edinh. 38:41 (1980).
Syn: Micromeria elliptica C. Koch var. pubescens Boiss. \& Kotschy Ex Boiss.g Fl. Or. 4:571 (1879)!

Fl. 8-9: Cliff ledges, amongst rocks, 1400-2100 m.
Type: Turkey BG Malatya: Gurun to Malatya, 40 kn from Malatyag $c_{a}$ $1400 \mathrm{mg}_{\mathrm{g}}$ cliff ledges, cracks, flowers pale lilacg 7 viii $1956_{9}$

McNeill 861 (holo. E!).
Gen. distrib.: E Anatolia.

5sp. carminea (P. H. Davis) P. H. Davis, Notes R. B. G. Edinb. 21:65 (1952).

Syn: Micromeria carminea P. H. Davis, Kew Bull. 1949:400 (1949).
Fl. 7. Rock crevices, 1800-1900 m.
Type: [Turkey] Denizlia d. Acipayan, Boz Da. above Geyran yayla, 1800-1900 $\mathrm{m}_{9}$ crevices of limestone rock soith Globularia dumulosa, flowers almost pure caraine, 16 vii 1947, Davis 13403 (holo. K, iso. E!).

Gen. distriba: St Anatolia。
3.6.2.2.19 18. Mo kerneri Murb. ${ }^{\text {g }}$ Lunds Univ. Arsskr. 27 (5):53 (1892). Syn: Satureja kerneri (Murbo) Fritsch, Exkursionsfl. ed. $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{g}} 449$ (1922).

Type: [Yugoslavia] Mostar, 29 vi 1889, S. Burbeck (holo. LD?). Gen. distrib.: Yugoslavia.

Similar to $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{o}}$ juliana but distinguished by the very dense, shortly patent-pubescent indumentum, 2-6-flowered cymes, the pedicels and peduncles longer than the calyx, with lower calyx teeth more than half the calyx tube length and clearly longer than the upper teeth, and very small corollas (3.5-5.5 mf).
19. A. Cremnophila Boiss. \& Heldrag Fl. Or. 4:570 (1879).

Syn: Clinopodium cremnophilum (Boiss. \& Heldr.) D. Kuntze, Revo Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891)! Satureja cremnophila (Boiss. \& Heldr.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfan 4,3a: 299 (1897)!

The subspecies are distinguished on indumentum and slight differences in leaf shape.

5sp. cremnophila
Fl. 6-8. Calcareous rocks, amongst Pinus and Abies, 250-1900 m.

Type：Greece：in $m_{\text {．}}$ Deta（nunc $m_{\text {．}}$ Katavothra）Phthiotides．In regione abietina，alt．4500－6000 ped．， 14 vii 1879，Heldreich（K！）．


5sp．amana（Rech．fo）Po H．Davis，Notes Ro Bo G．Edinb．38：40 （1980）．

Syn：Micromeria amana Rech．fog Svensk．Bot．Tidsskr． 43.42 （1949）！ Fl．6－9．Gorges，rocky calcareous slopes，700－2200 mo

Type：［Turkey］Mons Amanus，mont de Düldül， $1500-2000 \mathrm{~m}$ ，viii 1911 ， Haradjian 3887 （holo．$S_{9}$ is0．E！（U）。 Gen．distriba： 5 Anatolia．
ssp．anatolica
Fl．5－8．Limestone rocks，crevices of boulders，pinewood with scree and rock faces，750－1800 mo

Type：Turkey 89 Vans 5 km N of Çertak，in crevices of boulders， 25 vii 195 ，Po H．Davis \＆D．Polunin（ $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{o}}$ 23258）（holo．E！，iso．K）。 Gen．distrib：S \＆E Anatoliac．

Similar to ssp．amana but stems patent pubescent－hispidulous， upper side of leaves hispidulous to scabridulous．

20．（Moparviflora（Vis．）Reichenb．g Fl．Germ．Excurs． 859 （1832）． Syn：Sakureja parviflora Visa，Flora 12（1）：13（1829），non Presl （1826）；Satureja inodora Host，Fl．Austr．2：135（1831）：Clinopodium parvifolium［sic］O．Kuntze，Rev．Gen．Pl．2：5i5（1891）． Fl．5－7．Limestone rocks；250－1100 m．

Type：In montibus Pastrovich in extreno Dalmatiae confinio prope Albaniam，Aug．，Visiani．

Gen．distrib．： 5 Yugoslavia，Albania。
21. Mo elliprica C. Koch, Linnaea 21:669 (1849). Syn: Micromeria calvertii Boiss.g Fl. Or. 4:571 (1879), nomenя Clinopodium ellipticum (C. Koch) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891)! Satureja elliptica (C. Koch) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam 4, 3a:299 (1897)! non Briqig loca cita 300 (1897): Satureja kochii Popov ex Grossheim, Fl. Kavkaza 3a 330 (1932), nomeng Micromeria elegans Borissag Not. Syst. Herb. Inst. Bot. Acad. Sci. URSS 15:330 (1953).

Fl. 7-8. Crevices of dry igneous outcrops, open Abies moods amongst rocks, 1180-2000 m.

Type: [Turkey] in Hochgebirge des Gaues Pertakrek (Peterek) auf Urgesteing C. 1520-1830 $m_{s}$ Co Koch (holo. B! iso G). Gen. distrib.: NE Anatolia.
22. Ma persica Bais5:g Diagna ser.1, 12:48 (1953).

Syn: Satureja persica (Bois5a) Briqa in Engler \& Prantlg Nata Pflanzenfam A, 3a: 299 (1897)! $^{2}$

F1: $2-7$. Limestone hillsides; in fissures or on ledges of cliffs, 670-2650 m.

Syntypes: [Iran] Persia australis, Aucher-Eloy 5190; Prope ruinas u. Persepolisq promonumentum Nakschi Rustang iv 18A2, Io Kotschy $_{\text {g }}$ 882 (K!).

Gen. distriba: Irans Iraq.
Easily identified by the numeraus stiffly erect slender, simple or little branched stems arising from a stout rootstockg verticillasters of $1-3-810$ wered cymes, mith pedicels and peduncles usually longer than the $2 \mathbf{- 3}$ mm calyx. Closely related to fo elliptica and M. sinaica. nomen.

Fl. (1-)3-5(-7). Limestone or igneous rocks, in crevices, 300-1300 m. Type: ?

Gen. distrib: Cyprus.
3.6.2.2. 24. Ma sinaica Benth. g Lab. Gen. Sp. 380 (1834).

Syn: Clinopodium sinaicum (Bentho) D. Kuntze, Revo Gen. Plo 2:516 (1891): Satureia sinaica (Benth.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantlg Nat. Pflanzenfam 4, 3a: 299 (1897)。

Fl. 4-6, 8-10. Mountain slopes, in Juniper woods, sandstone rock crevices, $1000-1900 \mathrm{~m}$

Type: Silesle Arab. Rochers du Sinaig vi 1832, No Bové 61 (holo. K!) Gen. distrib: Sinaig Jordang Dman.

A very variable species, particularly in indumentum and leaf size. Closely related to Mo persica and Mo elliptica.
3.6 .2 .2 .26
25. Mo hedgei Rech. fog Fl. Iranica 150:276 (1983).

Fl. 2, 6. Steppe on stony slopes, 1000-1700 m.
Type: Irana Bashagerd, Gariche, $1000 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{g}} 20$ ii 1973 , Iranshahr \& Moussavi 15436 ( $E!, 4$ ), Iranshahr \& Moussavi 35809 ( $E!$ ). Gen. distrib. : 5 Iran.

Very similar to $\mathrm{Ha}_{\mathrm{g}}$ sinaicag but tallerg tith sessile cymes usually with more flowers, larger leaves, and smaller calyx.
26. Mograeca (L.) Benth. ex Reichenb.g Fl. Eerm. Excurs. 311 (1831).

Syn: Satureja graeca Log Sp. Pl. 568 (1753); Sarureja congesta

Hornemo，$_{\circ}$ Hort．Bot．Hafn．2：541（1815）g non（Boiss．\＆Hausskn。） Briq．（1897）：Satureja sessiliflora Preslg Flo Sic． 36 （1826） Satureja sicula Guss．g F1a Sic．2：89（18\＆）；Micromeria sicula （Guss．）Nymo，Consp．Fl．Eur． 590 （1881）：Clinopodium graecun（L．） D．Kuntze，Rev．Gen．Pl．2：515（1891）：Satureja deserti J．Thieb．， Bull．Soc．Bot．Fr．96：211（1950）．

A very variable species，particularly in Italy．A key to the subspecies is provided in Flora Europaea 3：169（1972）．

5sp．graeca
Syn：Thymus hirtus Banks \＆Solando in Russag Nato Hist．Aleppo ed．2，2：256（1796）；Thymus micranthus Brokig Flo Lusit．1：176 （1804）：Satureja micrantha（Brota）Moffo．\＆Linkag Fl．Port． $1(5): 142(1810)$.

F1．3－8．Sandstone，shaley，limestone and mica－schist slopes；rock crevices，roadsides，macchie，garrigue，20－1300 mo

Type：［Greece］in Archipelagum．
Gen．distrib。：Spaing Italy，Tunisiag Algeriag Corsicag of \＆$S$ Turkey，Lebanon，Syriag Balearic Isag Portugalg Sardiniag Greece， Yugoslavia，Crete。
ssp．inperica Chaterg Bot．J．Linn．Soc．68：381（1971）．
Syn：Micromeria thymoides Denot，Repert．Fl．Ligust． 54 （1844），non Webb \＆Berth．（184A）：Thymus nortarisii Zunagog Fl．Pedema 1：226 （1849）：Satureja thymoides（DeNot）Nymag Syll．Fl．Eur：1：102 （1854）：Clinopadiun thymaides（DeNot）$D_{0}$ Kuntze，Rev．Gen．Pl． 2：516（1891）；Satureja graeca $L$ ．55p．graeca var．thymoides （DeNot．）Briq．g Lab．Alp．Mar． 418 （1895）：Micromeria notarisii （Zumag．）Gandoger，Nov．Consp．Fl．Eur． 367 （1910）．

Fl．5－10．Calcareous rocks．lowlands．

Gen. distrib: N Italy.

5sp. garganica (Briq.) Guinea, Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 68:381 (1971).
Syn: Sacureja graeca L. 5sp. garganica Briq., Lab. Alp. Mar. 421 (1895) : Satureja garganica (Briq.) Briq., loc. cit. (1895), nomen. Fl. 4-6. Dry calcareous rocks, steep schistose slopes, 200-650 mo Gen. distrib: SE Italyo
ssp. Longiflora (C. Presl) Nymos Consp. Fl. Eur. 590 (1881).
Syn: Satureja longiflora C. Presl, Fl. Sic. 36 (1826), non Boiss. \& Hausskn. (1879): Satureja canescens Guss.g Pl. Rar. 228, to42 (1826): Micromeria canescens (Guss.) genth.g Lab. Gen. Sp. 376 (1834); Micromeria longiflora (C. Presl) Tod. ex Nyma, Consp. F1. Eur: 590 (1881), nomen.

F1. q-6. Rocky places, 1 ovlands.
Gen. distriba: C \& 5 Italy, Corfu.

5sp. tenuifolia (Ten.) Nymo, Consp. Fl. Euf. 590 (1881).
Syn: Satureja tenuifolia Tenog Prodr. Fl. Napo 33 (1826): Thymus virgatus Ten.g loc. cit. 35 (1826) ; Micromeria tenuifolia (Ten.)

Reichenb: Fl. Germ. Excurs. 311 (1831): Micromeria longifolia Scheele, Linnaea 22:593 (1889); Clinopodium tenuifolium (Ten.) 0 . Kuntze, Rev. Gen. P1: 2:216 (1991).

Fl. 4-8(-10). Limestone rocks and banks, walls, 20-275 m.
Lectotypes: in regno Neapolitano, ann. 1885, $\mathbf{R o}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}$ Alexander: in nostris montibus, $v$ 1830s Tenore ( $K$ !).

Gen. distrib.: S Italy, Sardinia。
ssp. consentina (Ten.) Buinea, Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 64:381 (1971).
Syn: Satureja consentina Ten., Fl. Neap. Prodr. App. 5:17 (1826):

Satureja angustifolia C. Presl, F1. Sic. 36 (1826); Micromeria consentina (Ten.) N. Terraccag Nuov. Giorn. Bot. $5: 227$ (1873); Satureja graeca L. 5sp. consentina (Tena) Arcangeli, Comp. Fl. Ital. 540 (1882); Clinopodium consentinum (Ten.) 0 . Kuntze, Rev. Gen. P1. 2:515 (1891).

Type: [Italy] Nicolosi pr. Catane, 19 ix 1834, A. Richard (lecto. K!)。

Gen. distriba: 5 Italy.
ssp. Laxiflora (Post) Moutog Fl. Liban Syrie 3 B 176 (1979).
Syn: Micromeria graeca (L.) Benth. ex Reichenb. ssp. graeca var. laxiflora Post, Fl. Syr. Palest. Sinai 621 (1896).

Types ?
Gen. distrib.: Lebanon, Syria.
27. Mo fruticulosa (Bertol.) A. Daroszenko, nomb. nov.

Syn: Thymus fruticulosus Bertolag Jour. Bat. Appl. 4: 76 (1814): Satureja fasciculata Rafinog Prec. Decouv. 39 (1814): Satureja approximata Bivas Stirp. Rar. Sic. 4:13 (1816), non Friv. (1836); Micromeria approximata (Biva) Reichento, Fl. Gerno Exsurs. 859 (1832): Thymus punctakus Tineo ex Bench. in DC.s Prodr. 12:217 (18\&8), nomen, non Vis. (18\&3); Clinopodium approximatum (Bivo) 0 . Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891): Satureja fruticulosa (Bertolo) Grande in Auov, Giorn. Bot. Ital. n.5. 32:91 (1925): Micromeria qraeca (Li) Benth. ex Reichenb. 5sp. fruticulosa (Bertol.) Guinea, Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 64:381 (1971).

Type: ?
Gen. distrib: 5 Italy.
Clearly distinguished from Mo grasca, to which it was subordinated in Flora Europaea (1972), by its robust shrubby habit
(not suffruticose as in Mo graeca), numerous small linear leaves and axillary fascicles of leaves, and pubescent-hirsute indumentum.
3.6 .2 .2 .29
28. Ma inodora (Desf.) Benthag Laba Gen. Spo 375 (1834). Syn: Thymus inodorus Desfog Fl. Atlanto 2a30 (1798); Thymus hispanicus Hort. ex Poirog Encycl. 7:646 (1806): Micromeria barceloi willk. Dest. Bot. Zeitschr. 25:111 (1875)! Clinapodium inodorum (Desfo) 0. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891): Satureja fontanesii Briq. in Engler \& Prantlg Nato Pflanzenfam 4,3å 299 (1897): Thymus mutisii Caballog Mem. Soc. Esp. Histo Nato 8:279 (1915): Satureja barceloi (Willka) Paug Bol. Soca Esp. Hista Nat. 21:202 (1921)! Satureja inodora (Desfo) Paug loc. cit. (1921), non Host (1831)s non Salzm. ex Benth. (1834).

F1. 1-4, 11-12, rarely other times. Limestone screeg dry calcareous rocks, sand hills, $5.10-200 \mathrm{~m}$

Type: ?
Gen. distrib.a Algeriag rare in Morocco, 5 Spain and Balearic Is. A dwarf, much-branched shrub easily recognised by the numerous very small acicular leaves.
3.6.2.2.30 The "biflora" complexa

This complex is named after Micromeria biflora (Buch.-Ham. ex D. Don) Benth. Its range is from the Himalayas eastmard to China, India (Aligiris), Pakistang Afghanistang $S$ and $W$ Arabiag and $E$ African mountains to 5 Africa. The complex is extremely variable in habit, inflorescence density (from 1 to many flowers in the floral leaf axils), leaf shapeg indumentumg and nutlet shape.


#### Abstract

Few authors have attempted a scheme encompassing the whole complex. The earliest arrangements (Bentham 1834, 1848) relied upon very scanty material and in consequence were very much easier to deal with. Bentham's first arrangement included four species: Mo ovatag $M_{0}$ punctatag Mo biflorag and Moforskahleig they were not placed consecutively. These four species were described from 1 specimen from Abyssiniag 1 specimen fram Abyssiniag 3 specimens from Indiag and 1 specimen from Arabiag respectively: based upon this material each species was quite distinct. Bentham's second revision (1848) included the same four species. The only major change was to place Ma punctata, Mo biflora and Mo forskahlei consecutively; $\underline{S o}_{\text {。 ovata was related to mo microphylla rather than }}$ to these "biflora" complex species.

Briquet's arrangement in Natürlichen Pflanzenfamilien was similar to that of Bentham (1848), including Satureja punctata for Abyssinian materialg S. biflora for plants from India, Abyssinia and the Cape Province, and S. imbricata for plants from Arabia. The $^{\text {a }}$. very broad view of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$ biflora was taken up by various flora writerss which was appropriate since their restricted view of Micromeria usually only included one of the "biflora" complex taxa. Hosever, there have been attempts in recent years to delimit the taxa within the "biflora" complex more satisfactorily, notably Walther \& Walther (1957) who dealt mainly with African material.

Walther \& Walther (1957) adopted a narrow view of the taxa Githin the complexg describing five species. that follows is a translation of their key.


1. Cymes 1-2-floweredig pedicels mostly much
longer than the bracts: calyx distinctly
2-1ipped, teeth long
Ma biflora

* Calyx mostly 3 or more flowered; pedicels shorter or about as long as the bracts; calyx campanulate or cylindrical, seldom weakly 2-1ipped; calyx teeth short

2. Cymes elongate or intermixed with foliage leaves; branches mostly erect; nutlets beaked M. purtschelleri

* Cymes neither conspicuously elongate nor internixed with foliage leaves; branches ascending; nutlets rounded to pointed 3

3. Middle and upper sten leaves lanceolate, at the base gradually narroning: stem hairs only shortly recurved M. schimperi

* Middle and upper stem leaves ovate, at the base cordate or rounded

4. Leaf margins strongly revolute or bent backः underside of lamina strongly bristly or villous M. ovata

* Leaf margins not or weakly revolutes underside of lamina sparsely hairyg punctate glands distinctly visible
M. punctata

Examining a large number of African specimens, I found this key rather difficult to use. There tere many instances when one could have gone to either branch of a couplet.

A number of taxa were not considered by Walther \& Walther (1957), some of which are listed in Cufodontis, Enum. Pl. Aeth. Sperm. 1:821-824 (1974) [M, neumannii, Sarureja ellenbeckii, S. contardoi, S. quartiniana and S. unquentarial. In addition there is Micromeria remota from Socotra in Vierhapper; Flora van Südarabien und Sokotra 117-118 (1907).

Having spent a great deal of time trying to put the complex into some reasonable order, I cannot clain to have succeeded.

However, it would seem that the complex is too variable to comprise just one species. As a compromise I have keyed out the most distinctive species within the complex (Mocinerea, Mo longiflora, Mo imbricata and Mo contardoi) $^{\text {M }}$ and list the remainder below with their synonymy.
3.6.2.2.31. 29. Mo cinerea $A_{0}$ Doroszenko, sp. nov.

Cushion-forming shrub, 3-10(-25) cmi leafy stems filiformig whole plant densely greyish long patent-pubescent: Leaves ovate, rounded at base, apex obtuse, $3.0-6.5 \times 1.2-3.3 \mathrm{~mm}$, flat or tightly revolute. Verticillasters crowded, each 2-10-flowered, peduncles $0.5-0.7 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ pedicels $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ Calyx cylindricalg tube $1.6-2.0$ mm, sparsely hairy in throat; upper lip $0.8-1.0$ maig lower lip $0.9-1.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ g teeth narrowly triangular-acuminate, upper $0.7-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, lawer 0.9-1.4 mm. Corolla rosy to pale lilac; tube $3.0-4.5 \mathrm{mmg}$ upper lip $1.0-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{s}}$ emarginate; 1 ower lip $1.5-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Fl. 3-4, Rock crevices, red granite, ravines, roadsides, $1600-2600$ $\mathrm{m}_{\text {。 }}$

Type: Saudi Arabia: Tanoumah, 12 km S of An Akmas, on the Taif-Abha road, pink granite, watered valley, 6500 ftog 17 iii 1980, J. $_{\text {, }}$ Collenette 2136 (holo. E!).

Specimens seen: Saudi Arabia: Asir Provo $122 \mathrm{~km} N$ of Abha, in crevices in rocky area, iv 1980, Ac K Nasher IH32; $S$ of Taif, 5-7000 ftag iv 1968, Ho Leach; Tanoumah basin, 5 of An Nimas, $\pm 200$
 $183 B 7$ \& J. S. Collenctte; 5 km S of Biljurshi, Grande Lavori road, near edge of scarp, 7000 ftig exposed crevices, Fl. pink, non-aromatic, 30 iv 1981, J. S. Collenette 2575.

Gen. distrib.: $\boldsymbol{d}$ Saudi Arabia.
Easily recognised by the lows cushion-forming habit, numerous
small，revolute，round－tipped leaves，with very dense，greyish indumentum．It comes closest to $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}}$ serbaliana．

36．2．2．32 30．Mo longiflora Hochsto in Schimp．，Pl．Abyss．Exsicc．no． 2192 （1850）．

Syn：Micromeria unquentaria Scheveinfog Beitr．Fl．Agthiop． 124 （1867）：Satureja unquentaria（Schweinfo）Cufodontis，Bull．Jard． Bot．Brux． 32 （Suppl．）：82（1962）．

Type：［Ethiopial in montibus prope Dschadscha， 5700 ped．g 30 ix $185 \beta_{,}$Schimper 2192 （holo．K！）．

Gen．distrib．：Ethiopia．
Identified by the long，narrow leaves and dense recurved pubescence．I have only seen the type．

3．6．2．2．33 31．Moserbaliana Danin \＆Hedge，Notes Ro Bo Go Edinb．32：261 （1973）．

Fl．8－9．Granite cliffs，rocky places，1700－1850m。
Type：Sinai，Gebel Serbalg cliffs of smooth red granite，Now exposure， 6 viii 1968，A。Danin（iso．E！）．

Gen．distrib．：Sinai，$H$ Saudi Arabia．
Not usually placed in the＂biflora＂complex，but there are similarities to $\boldsymbol{H}_{0}$ cinerea and $\mu_{0}$ imbricata．It is distinguished by its low，cushion－forming habit，very slender stems $10.2-0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter），densely hispid to scabrous leaves（2－4 x $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ）also with glandular hairs．

3．6．2234．32．M．imbricata（Forssko）Christensen，Dansk Bot．Arkiv A（3）：21 （1922）。

Syn：Thymus imbricatus Forsskag Fl．Aegypt．－Arab． 108 （1775）！
Thymus piperella Vahl，Symb．Bor． $2: 65$（1791），non $L_{\text {a }}(17 .)_{\text {，}}$ non

All. (1785): Thymus biflorus Buch.-Ham. ex D. Don, Prodr. Fl. Nepal. 112 (1825); Micromeria biflora (Buch.-Hamo ex D. Don) Benthog Lab. Gen. Sp. 378 (183A); Micromeria forskahlei Benth., loc. cit. 379 (1834): Micromeria microphylla (D'Urva) Benth. var. imbricata Balfa fag Trans. Roy. Soc. Edinib. 31:281 (1888)g Clinopodium imbricatum (Forssk。) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891)! non Vell. (1825); Clinopodiun biflorum (Buch.-Hana ex D. Don) D. Kuntze, loc. cit. (1891): Sarureja imbricata (Forssk.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam 4, 3 as 299 (1897): Satureja biflora (Buch. -Hamo ex D. Don) Briq.g loco cit. (1897) g Thymus cavaleriei Levla, Feddes Repert. Sp. Nov. 11:298 (1912)! Micromeria perrottetii Gandoger, Bull. Soc. Bot. France 65:67 (1918).

Type: Kurmae, Forskal (holo. C!).
Gen. distrib: China, Himilayas, $S$ Arabia, Ethiopiag possibly elsewhere.

The type specimen is apparently procumbent, with few slender branches, not cushion-forming, stems recurved puberulent, leaves broadly ovate, flat, cordate or rounded at base, $3.0-5.5 \times 2.0-3.3$ mis glabrous or extremely minutely hairy, cymes 1-3-flawered, calyx tube 2.5-3.0 mm, calyx teeth half as long as the tube. The type has no corollas, but on other siailar specimens is $5.0-10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ long.
3.6.2.235 33. Mo contardoi (Pichli-Sermolli) A. Doroszenko, comb, nov. Syn: Satureja contardoi Pichli-Sermolli, Miss. Stud. Lago Tana 7, Ricerche Bot., pt. 1,218 (1951).

Type: Ethiopias M. Bauhit in Semien, Pichli-Sermolli 2689.
Gen. distrib.a Ethiopia.
Identified by the numerous, slender stens and tiny leaves (1-2 mal)
3.6.2:2.36
M. ellenbeckii (Gürke) Chiovenda [Cufodontis (1974) cites this combinationg it is not in IKJ。

Syn: Satureja ellenbeckii Gürke, Bot. Jahrb. 36:129 (1905).
Gen. distrib: Ethiopia.
3.6.2.2.37

Mo ovata
Benthog Lab. Gen. Sp. 377 (1834).
Syn: Sarureja ovata R. Bre in Salt, Voy. Abyss. App. 6\& (1814), nom. nud.g S. ovata RoBr: ex Pichli-Sermollig Miss. Stud。 Lago Tana 7, Ricerche Botog pt.1:124 (1951).

Type: Hab. in Abyssiniag Ro Broane (holo. BM).
Gen. distrib.a Ethiopia.
H. punctata

Benthog Lab. Gen. Sp. 378 (1834).
Syn: Satureja punctata RoBr. in Salt, Voy. Abyss. App. 64 (1814), nom. nud.g Micromeria biflora sensu Bak. in Thiselton-Dyer, Fl. Trop. Afr. 5: 452 (1900).

Gen. distrib.: Ethiopia, Somalig Uganda.
A tallg erect plant, with broad ovate leaves, $7-10$ m long, cordate at the base.
3.6.2.2.39 M. purtschelleri Gürke ex Engler; Abh. Preuss. Acad. biss. [Englerg Hochgebirgsfl. Trop. Afra] 1891:365 (1892).

Gen. distrib.: Sudang Ugandag Kenyag Tanzania, Cameroong Congo, Zimbabwe (fide Walther \& Walther: 1957).

According to Walther \& tjalther (1957), a variable species.

Mo quartiniana Ao Richog Tent. Fl. Abys5. 2:190 (1850).
Syn: Satureja quartiniana (A. Rich) Cufod.g Bullo Jard. Bot. Brux. 32 (Suppl.):823 (1962).

Gen. distrib.: Ethiopia.
3.6.2.2.41 Maremota (Balf: $\mathrm{f}_{0}$ ) Vierhapper, Fl. Südarabien und Sokotra 117 (1907).

Syn: Micromeria microphylla (D'UrVo) Benth. Var. remota Balfa fog Trans. Roy. Soc. Edinb. 31:241 (1898); Satureja remota (Balf. fa) Vierhapper, Denkschr: Akad. Wiss. Wien 71:437 (1907)。 Geno distrib.: Socotra, Yemen.

Differing from $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ imbricata by its robust shrubby habit, narrower, more strongly ribbed calyx up to 5 ma lang, shorter calyx teeth (2/5 of tube length), and mostly solitary corollas 2-2.5 $x$ calyx in length.
(1. Schimperi Vatkeg Linnaea 37:326 (1872).

Syn: Satureja schimperi (Vatke) Cufodontis, Bullo Jard. Bat. Brux. 32 (Suppl.):824 (1962).

Gen: distrib.: Ethiopiag Sudan, Somali (fide Galther \& Walther, 1957).

Mo biflora (Buch.-Ham. ex Da Don) Benth. varo hispida Kitamura \& Murata, Faun. F1. Nepal Himal. 1:212 (1955).

Erect-ascending, densely white-hispid plants from Nepal [Polunin, Sykes \& Williams 2480, 4186: Staintong Sykes \& Williams 5688, 7470 ] with affinities to the "biflora" complex may be this variety of Kitamura \& Murata Specific rank may be appropriake.
(b) Sect. Pineolentia P. Perez, Inst. Est. Canar. Univ. La Laguna, sect. $4,16: 32$ (1978).

Perennial, robust shrubs. Leaves $14-30 \times 3.3-7.0$ ming narroonly ovate-lanceolateg petiole 1.5-2.0 m, revolute or tighty revolute, entires fith a narron but distinct marginal veina Inflorescence a dense, leafy spikeg flawers 1-10 per floral leafo Bracteales linear or narrowly lanceolates 3.5-8.0 mig not ciliate. Calyx throat $^{2}$ glabrous or sparsely hairy, teeth not ciliate. Corolla not resupinate. Posterior stamens included, anterior stamens + exserted from corolla tubeq all anthers of similar sizea Style branches equals both broadly lanceolate. Nutlets ovoid, glabrous. Type species: Mo pinealens Svent. Gen. distrib: Gran Canaria, Canary Is.

Close to sect. Micromeridg but much more robust shrubs, with largerg narrowly lancealate leaves, and the inflorescences in dense, leary spikes.
3.6.3.1. Calyx tube 4.0-5.0 mis upper teeth broadly
triangular, $0.5-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}_{9} 1$ ower teeth
lanceolate, $0.8-1.0$ mmi [pine woods] 1. pineolens
Calyx tube $c .7 .5 \mathrm{~mm}_{g}$ all teeth narrowly
triangular, upper c. 2.5 mmg lower
C. $A_{0} 0$ nng [rock fissures]
2. leucantha
3.6.32. 1. Mo pinealens Sventog Addit. Fl. Canar: 1:55 (1960).

Ic: Po Perezg Insta Est. Canar. Univ. La Laguna, secto4, 16:280, fig. 83 (1978)。

Fl. 8-9. In damp Pinus canariensis Goods, 850-1300 D.
Type: [Gran Canaria] Tamadaba, 21 iv 1958, Sventenius 5.n. [Perez (1978) designated ORT 650A, with the same collection details cited
by Sventenius (1960), as the lectotype.]
3.6.3.3. 2. M. leucantha Svent. ex Perez, Vieraea 5:82 (1975).

Ic: Po Perez, Inst. Est. Canar, Univo La Laguna, sect. A $_{g}$ 16:287, fig. 85 (1978).

Fl. 7-11. In crevices of cliffss, 200-800 m.
Type: In fissuris rupis regione St Canaria Magna, 200-800 $\boldsymbol{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ circa San Nicolas de Tolentino, 28 vii 1974, Po Perez Golo. TFC 1730, iso. $\mathrm{FI}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{MA} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{O}$ ).
(c) Sect. Cymularia Boiss.s Fl. Or: 4:569 (1879).

Syn: Satureia Lo sect. Cymularia (Boiss.) Briq. in Engler \& Pranel, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4,3as 299 (1897).

Annual herbs. Leaves 7-11 $\times 2-6 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ ovateg petiole $1.0-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}_{9}$ flat or slightly revolute at margins, margins entire, marginal vein absent. Flowers $4-10$ in leaf axils. Bracteoles ovate, long acuminate, $3-4$ mm, ciliate on margins. Calyx throat sparsely hairy, teeth long ciliate. Corolla resupinate. Stamens all just exserted fron tube but not beyond upper corolla lipg posterior stamen anthers only half the size of anterior stamen anthers, posterior stamens sometimes entirely absent. Style branches equalg narrowly subulate. Nutlets sub-globose, glabrous. Monotypic.
3.6.4.1. Mo cymuligera Boiss. \& Hausskn. in Boissog Fl. Or. 4:569 (1879). Syn: Clinopodium cymuligerum (Boiss. \& Hausskno) D. Kuntzeg Revo Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891): Satureja cymuligera (Boiss. \& Hausskn.) Briqu in Engler \& Prantls Nat. Pflanzenfam. A $_{8} 3 a=299$ (1897). F1. 6-8. Stony torrent beds and damp alpine slopes, 900-2150 m. Type: [Turkey C6 Maras] in glareosis torrentium ad radices mantis Berytdagh (Berit Da。) Cataoniae, $915 \mathrm{~m}_{9} 16$ viii 1865 , Haussknecht (holo. G!g iso. ${ }^{\prime}$ ).

Gen. distrib.: E Turkey.
This species is very isolated in the genus on account of the annual habitg globose verticillasters, floral leaves twice the flowers in length, slightyy ampliate calyx with the narrowly triangular-subulate teath almost as long as the tube, and particularly the sqall (2.5-3.0 mm), resupinate corolla. Howeverg in facies there is more similarity to sect. Micromeria than any other section.
(d) Sect. Pseudomelissa Benth.g Lab. Gen. Sp. 382 (1834).

Syn: Melissa Lo sect: Orthomeria Grisebag Spica Fl. Rum. 2: 124 (1844) : Satureja L. sect. Pseudamelissa (Bentha) Briqo in Engler \& Prantlg Nat. Pflanzenfan. 4,3a:301 (1897).

Perennial herbs or suffruticose herbs. Leaves 5-35 $\times 2-22$ m, broadly ovateg lanceolate or almost orbicularg flat or scarcely revolute at marging crenate to $t$ entire, marginal vein absent, petiole $0.5-7.0(-11.0)$ mm. Flowers $1-30(-40)$ in floral leaf axils, never always solitary. Bracteoles $\mathbb{1} i n e a r$ to narrowly elliptic or lanceolates $0.8-3.0(-5.5) \mathrm{mm}_{9}$ not conspicuously ciliate Calyx mostly hairy in throat, teeth nearly always without ciliad Corolla not resupinate. Stamens mostly exserted from tube with anterior pair longer than upper lipg rarely included; all anthers similar in size. Style branches mostly unequalg somerimes $t$ equal, nearly always narromly subulate, rarely both broadly lanceolate or very short with blunt apices. Nutlets ovoid or oblaid, minutely glandular or eglandular hairys or ofith minute sessile glands at apex, rarely glabrous.

[^3]3. Leaves entire to scarcely toothed, dense and subadpressed velvety-tomentose [Turkey] 11. dolichodonta

* Leaves serrulate to serrate, sparsely to more or less densely recurved-pubescent [Balkans, Roumania] A

4. Calyx 2.5-3.0 min lower teeth 1.2-1.3 mim stea hairs very minute, recurved-puberulent 10. dalmatica

* Calyx 3.0-4.0 mm, lower teeth 1.3-1.8 mas stem hairs longer, recurved-pubescent 12. pulegium

5. Leaves $2.0-6.0 \times 0.7-2.8 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{s}}$ very numerous on stems; corolla rose-red [China] 17. barosma

* Leaves much largeri corollas not rose-red [Hidespread]

6. Calyx 6.2-7.3 mm, very narrowly tubularg corolla tube narrow, abruptly widening at throat; anthers parallel [E Africa, $N$ Vemen] 14. abyssinica

* Calyx less than 6.2 am, $_{\text {g }}$ mostly $\&$ broadly tubular: corolla tube widening from lower part of tubes anthers divergent (very rarely parallel) 7

7. Leaves numerous on stems, all narrowly ellipeic. to linear-elliptic, apex rounded; upper and lower calyx teeth equal (1.2-1.7 ma) corolla creamy yellow (9.0-13.4 mm) 19. nepalensis

* Leaves usually few on stems, broadly ovate, sometimes elliptic, apex obtuse to rounded; lower calyx teeth slightly to clearly longer than upper ones; corolla white or purple

8. Calyx tube 3.7-5. 2 mm corolla 10.4-14.5 mm [China, Himilayas]
9. Calyx tube very narrowly tubular, lower teeth
$0.7-0.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ 18. wardii

* Calyx tube broadly tubular, lower teeth $1.4-3.0$ ตn10

10. Calyx sparsely pubescent, hairy in throat: lowerteath ciliate; stigma lobes short, obtuse [China] 16. euosma

+ Calyx with fes minute hairs onlyi glabrousin throats lower teeth not ciliateg stignalobes long, narrowly subulate [Kashair] 15. hydaspidis

11. Leaves glabrous on both sides: lower calyx teeth
0.4-0.5 min (calyx glabrous in throat) 4. thymifolia

* Leaves hairy, sometimes only minutely 50 , at leaston one side: lower calyx teeth longer than 0.5 mm12

12. Calyx glabrous in throar (plants erect) ..... 13

+ Calyx sparsely to densely hairy in throat(rarely glabrous but then plants decumbent)14

13. Calyx teath narrowly triangular; stemhairs recurveds leaves $10-20 \times 5-10$ mas
calyx pedicels $0.3-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ..... 3. cilicica* Calyx teeth triangular-deltoid; stem hairs$\pm$ patent: leaves 5-10 x 2.5-6.5 mms calyxpedicels $1.5-3.6 \mathrm{~mm} \quad$ 8. carica
14. Stems and leaves adpressed-velutinous (individualhairs not usually discernable)
15. fruticosa

* Stem and leaves patent ar recurved-pubescent (individual hairs usually easily discernable) ..... 15

15. Cymes globular, usually many-flowered, pedicels very short but peduncles often long, 1.0-13.0 mais verticillasters distant; corollas 3.0-8.0 mm [India] 20. capitellata

* Cymes not globular though sometimes congested, peduncles short or long; verticillasters usually not distantig corollas 4.0-10.0 mm CMediterranean region, Near East]

16. Calyx tube 3.4-3.6 mm, lower calyx teeth 1.6-1.7 mag leaves often broader than long (low ascending herb)
17. frivaldskyana

+ Calyx 1.5-3.0 mm, lower teeth 0.5-1.4 mmg
leaves longer than broad

17. Stems 20-35 cm, robust from a large moody stocki inflorescences strongly congested at stem apex,

6-60 10 wers per verticillaster
2. congesta

Stems mostly less than $20 \mathrm{~cm}_{\mathrm{s}}$ very thin, brittle fram a small woody stocki inflorescence lax, 2-16 flowers per vercicillaster18
18. Peduncles 4.0-13.0 mas pedicels 2.5-3.5 min [Turkey, Iraq] 9. mollis

* Peduncles $1.0-2.7 \mathrm{mms}$ pedicels $0.4-1.5$ ma

19. Calyx tube 2. $4-3.0 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{y}}$ lower teath 0.9-1.1 $\mathrm{mm}_{\mathrm{m}}$
upper teeth lanceolate-subulate
[Lebanon, Syria]
20. libanotica

+ Calyx tube 1.5-2.2 $2 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ lower teeth $0.5-0.7 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ upper teeth broadly triangular [S Greece]

7. taygetea
3.6.52. 1. Mo fruticosa (L.) Druce, Rep. Bot. Exch. Club Brit. Is. 3:421 (1914).

Syn: Melissa fruticosa Lis Sp. Pl. 593 (1753)! Thyaus creticus DC. in Lam. \& DCog Fl. Fr. 3:568 (1779), non Lo (17.a)g Melissa rupestris Salisb., Prod. Stirp. Chapel Allerton Vig. 86 (1796), nomeng Melissa cretica Desp. in Lamos Encycl. Meth. 4:79 (1797),


1. Upper verticillasters much-branched, forming an ovate-oblong head $\{2.5-) 3.5$ cm broad; calyx throat strongly and very conspicuously bearded [Turkey, 5 Amanus] ssp. davisii

* Verticillasters distant, forming an elongated, interrupted inflorescence $1.5-3.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ broadg calyx throat less distinctly bearded to almost naked 2

2. Calyx not visibly bearded at throat, obconicalcylindrical, C. 2.5 mm [USSR, Crimea, S $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}$ Yugoslavia, NE Turkey]
ssp. serpyllifolia

* Caly clearly hairy at throat, 1.5-2.5 mm

3. Calyx 1.5-2.0 mm, teath very broadly triangularg apex obruse [S Turkey] ssp. brachycalyx

+ Calyx 2.0-2.5 mm, teeth narrosly triangular, apex acute A

4．Stems procumbent，thing brittleg up to 30 cm tallg
inflorescence broadg lax［NE Turkey］5sp．giresunica
\＆Stems erect，thicker and more robust，up to 60 cm
tall；inflorescence narrow，spike－like，compact
［Spain，Italy］ssp．fruticosa

55p．fruticosa
F1．7－10．Dn dry rocks，rock crevices，garrique，co 1500 mo
Ic：Fiorig Ic．Fla Ital．f．318B（1902）．
Type：Described from Spain（Hb．Linn．745／9，microfiche！）。 Gen．distrib：Spaing Italyo

55pa giresunica $P_{0} H_{0}$ Davisg Notes RoBoG．Edinb． $38: 39$（1980）．
Types Turkey A7 Giresuns Tamdere to Yavuzkemal，nro Karinca， 1500 $m_{9}$ crevices of granite rocks，near road tunnelg 13 viii $1952, P_{0} H_{0}$ Davis 20750，Dodds \＆Cerik（holo．E！，iso．K）．

Only known from the type．

5sp．serpyllifolia（M．Bieb．）Pa H．Davis，Kea Bullo 1951：77 （1951）．

Syn：Nepet́a serpyllifolia Mo Biebog Fl．Taura－Cauc．2：40（1808）； Calamintha spicigera Co Koch，Linnaea 21：671（1848）！Micromeria spicigera（C．Koch）Galpog Ann．Bot．Systo 3：251（1852）！non C． Koch（1843）；Micromeria Serpyllifolia（Mo Bieb。）Boissag Diag．Ser． 2．4： 13 （1859），only those plants collected in Crimeag Melissa serpyllifolia（f．Bieb．）Nymag Syll．Flo Eura Suppl． 20 （1865）； Clinopodium serpyllifolium（M．Bieb。）D．Kuntze，Revo Gen．Plo 2：515（1891）；Satureja Serpyllifolia（M．Bieb．）Briqo in Engler \＆ Prantl，Nat．Pflanzenfamo 4，3as301（1897）：Micromeria insularis Candargy，Bull．Soc．Bot．Fr．44a149（1897）：？Satureja albanica
（Griseb．ex K．Maly）K．Maly，Glaznik Muz．Bosne Herceg．22：690 （1910）．

Fl．5－9．On limestone and granite rocks，cliff crevices，5－2100 0 。 Typer［USSR，Crimea］in Tauriae rupestribus，circa ruinas castelli Inkiermen（holo．LE）．

Gen．distrib：Albaniag Israelg Italy，Turkey，

55p．brachycalyx Pa H．Davis，Kew Bull．1951：77（1951）．
Fl．6－8．On cliffs，limestone slopes and stony places，90－2100 m．
Type：Turkey CS Icel，Portes Ciliciennes Gulek Bogazi，viii 1855， Balansa 538 （holo．$K$ iso．$E!g(W)$ ．

Gen．distriba：Italy（Sicily），Turkey．
ssp．davisii $A_{0}$ Doroszenkog nom．nav．
Syn：Micromeria barbata Boiss．\＆Kotschy in Bois5．，Diagn．ser．2， 4：14（1859），non Co A．Mey．in Fisch．\＆Meyog Ind．Sem．Hort． Petrop．8：87（1842）；Micromeria fruticosa（L．）Druce ssp．barbata （Bois5．品 Kotschy）Po H．Davis，Kew Bull．1951：77（1951）．

Fl．5－12．Rocky slopes，200－800 m．
Type：［Lebanon］in jugis inferioribus Danie Antilibanig 1680 mg Kotschy（holo．G）．

Gen．distrib：Israel，Lebanong Sypiag Turkey．

3．6．5．3．2．Mo congesta Boiss．\＆Hausskn。ex Boissog Fl．Or．4：575（1879）． Syn：Nepeta shepardi Post，Jo Linno Soc．（8ot．）24a439（1888）； Clinopodium congestum（Boiss．\＆Hausskn．ex Boiss．）0．Kuntze，Rev． Gen．Pl．2：515（1891）！Micromeria shepardi（Post）Post，Bull．Herb． Boiss．Ser．1，1：405（1893）；Satureja congesta（Boiss．\＆Hausskn．ex Boiss．）Briq．in Engler \＆Prantly Nat．Pflanzenfana 4，3a：301 （1897）！

Ic: Post, Fl. Syria, Palestine \& Sinai 622 (1896), as Mo shepardi。 Fl: 8-11. Calcarequs stony slopes, cliffs, 700-1830 m.

Type: [Turkey C7 Adiyaman] in rupestribus calcareis montis Akdagh inter Adiyanan et Malatia [6000 ped.g 12 ix 1865], Haussknecht (halo. G!g iso. BM! G!). Gen. distrib: SE Turkey, Iraq.

Very similar to M. fruticosa but sonewhat shorter in stature, densely white-tomentose (rather than adpressed-velutinous) on all parts, and with a denser, columnar inflorescence, the peduncles $0.1-0.3 \mathrm{~mm}($ not $2.0-6.0 \mathrm{~mm})$.
3.6.5.4. 3. Ma cilicica Hausskn. ex Po Ho Davisy Kew Bulla 1949:109 (1949). F1. 8. On rocks, 1200-1300 m.

Typer [Turkey C5 Icel] Cilicia, 1895, Siehe 315 (holo. K). Gen. distrib: 5 Turkey.

Close to Mo fruticosa and Mo thymifoliag but differing in its dense and ninutely adpressed-pubescent indumentum leaves clearly veined beneath, smaller calyx tube and narrower calyx teeth.
3.6.5. 4. Mo thymifolia (Scop.) Fritsch in A。Kerner, Sched. Fl. Exsicc. Austro-Hung. 8: 119 (1899).

Syna Satureja thymifolia Scopo, Fla Carno ed. 2, 1:428, t. 29 (1772) : Satureja rupestris Wulfo ex Jacqo Coll. 2: 130 (1789) : Melissa alba Waldst. \& Kitog Pl. Rar. Hung. 3:227 (1807): Nepeta pumila Spreng. Cent. Sp. Cogn. 29 (1813); Thymus albus (Waldst. \& Kita) Link, Enum. Hort. Berol. 2: 116 (1822); Nepeta croatica Sprengag Syst. 2:727 (1825); ?Mentha croatica Weld, Flora 8 (1):218 (1830), nomen; Calamintha alba (Haldsta \& Kit.) Reichenbog Flo Germ. Exc. 328 (1831); Calamintha thymifolia (Scopo) Reichenbig loco cit. (1831): Calamintha rupestris (tulfo ex Jacq.) Host, Fl. Austra 2:131
(1832): Thymus duinensis Moretti ex Reichenb.g Fl. Germ. Exc. 2(2):860 (1832), nomen: Melissa thymifolia (Scop.) Benthog Lab. Gen. Sp. 386 (1834); Cuspidocarpus rupestris (whlfo ex Jacq.) Spenn. in To Alees, Gen. Fl. Germ. Gamop. 2, no 18 (1843)g Aicromeria rupestris (tulfa ex Jacq.) Benth. in DCog Prodr. 12:225 (1848) Cuspidocarpus thymifolius (Scop.) D. Kuntzes Revo Gen. Plo 2:514 (1891).

F1. 6-9. Calcareous rocks, cliffs, waste places, road-sides, 210-700 ma

Type: Habitat in muriss Idriaea
Gen. distrib.: Greece, Italy, Yugoslavia.
3.6.5.6. 5. M. libanotica Bois5.g Diagnog ser. 1 (12):50 (1853).

Syna Clinopodium libanoticum (Boiss.) $D_{0}$ Kuntzeg Revo Geno Plo 2:515 (1891): Satureja 1ibanotica (Bais5.) Briqo in Engler \& Prants, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3as 301 (1897).

Ic: Boulag Fla Liban \& Syrie t. 337 (1930)。
Fl: 6-8. Calcareous rocks, rock crevices, 1830-2590 mo
Syntypes: hab. in Libano supra Cedros, Labillardier: hab. in Libano supra Cedros in fissuris rupium, Baissier (G)。

Gen. distriba: Lebanong Syria.
Related to Mo fruticosa and Mo thymifolia but distinguished by the distant, 2-16-f1owered verticillasters, narrowly tubular calyx, tube 2. $4-3.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, and lanceolate calyx teeth $0.6-1.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ long.
3.6.5.7. 6. Mo nummularifolia Bais5.g Diagn. ser. 1(12):49 (1853).

Syn: Clinopodiun nummularifoliun (Baiss.) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Plo 2:515 (1891)! Sarureja nummalarifolia (Bais5.) Brig. in Engler \& Prantly Nat́ Pflanzenfam. 4, $3 a s 301$ (1897)!

Ic: Boulag Fl. Liban \& Syrie to337 (1930).

Fl. 6-9. Fissures of calcareous rocks.
Type: Syria libanus supra Eden [Ehden], vi 1886, Boissier tholo. K!g iso. E!)。

Gen. distrib: Syria.
A very distinct species, recognised by the prostrate habit, broadly ovate to orbiculars, entire leaves 4.5-9.0 mm long, usually solitary flowers, densely long, soft hispid calyx, tube 2.0-2.3 $\mathrm{mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$, teeth all similarly narrowly triangular $3.0-3.7 \mathrm{~mm}$. it possibly comes closest to M. taygetea.
7. M, taygetea P. H. Davis, Kew Bull. 1989:110 (1949).

Fl. 10. Calcareous rocks, c. 1530 m .
Type: Greece, Peloponnesig in rupium calcar, fissuris montis Taygeti supra pagum $\operatorname{Trypig}$ c. $1530 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}} 2 \times 1938$, Po Ho Davis (holo. E!).

Gen. distriba: Greece.
Only known from the type.
3.6.59. 8. Ma carica Pa Ha Davis, Kew Bulla 1949: 109 (1949). Fl. 7. Limestone rocks, 1520-1670 mo

Types Turkey, Prov. Denizli, distra Acipayam, Boz dag above Geyram yayla. In limestone rocks, $1520-1670 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{g}} 16$ vii 1947, P. H. Davis 13422 (holo. K!, iso. E!).

Gen. distrib.: Turkey.
Closely related to Mo taygetea. Only known from the type.
9. A. mollis Benth. in DC. ${ }^{2}$ Prodr. 12: 225 (1888).

Syn: Clinopodium molle (Benth.) O. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891)! Satureja flacca Nab., Publa Fac. Scio Univ. Masaryk (Brno) 70:44 (1926): Micromeria flacca (Naba) 1. C. Hedge, Notes R.B.Go

Edinb. 25:51 (1965).
Ic: Rech. fag Fl. Iranica 150 , to 398 (1982), as Mo flacca.
Fl. 6-8. Limestone rocks and cliffs, 600-1830 m.
Type: Pl。 Mesopotog Kurdistan et Possul, anna 1841, Kotschy 552a (holo. K!).

Gen. distrib: Irag, Turkey.
A variable species easily recognised by the sub-orbicular leaves $5-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, verticillasters mith $2-6-f 1$ owered cymes on 4-13 min peduncles and 2.5-3.5 mm pedicelss and tubular-abconical calyx with subequal teeth half as long as the calyx tube. It is in many ways similar to Mo nummularifolia.
3.6.5.1. 10. Mo dalmatica Benth. in DCog Prodr. 12:225 (1888)!

Syn: Thymus origanifolius Visag Flora 13(1):132 (1830), non Ga Don (1825): Calamintha thymifolia Host, F1. Austr: 2:132 (1831), non (Scop.) Reichenb. (1831): Calamintha origanifolia (Vis.) Visag Fl. Dalm. 2: 199 (1847), non Host (1832), non (Labill.) Boiss. (1853): Satureja dalmatica (Bentho) Nymog Syll. Fl. Eur. 1:102 (1854)! Micromeria origanifolia Boissog Flo Or: 4:575 (1879), non (Labil1.) Benth. (1848): Clinopodium thymifolium (Host) D. Kuntze, Reva Gen. Pl. 2:516 (1891): Satureja thymifolia (Host) Briqo in Engler \& Prantlg Nat: Pflanzenfam. 4,3as302 (1897); Zygis origanifolia (Vis.) Deg.g Bullo Herba Boiss. 4:521 (1896): Micromeria origanifolia Boiss. 5sp. bulgarica Vel.g Dest. Bot. Zeitschr. 49:292 (1899); Satureja bulgarica (Vel:) Maly in Dorfler, Herb. Norm. no. 4931 (1908); Micromeria bulgarica (Vela) Mayek, Fedde Repert. Beih. $30(2): 323$ (1929); Micrameria dalmatica Benth. ssp. bulgarica (Vela) Guineag Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 64:381 (1971). Fl. 6-8. Calcareous rocks.

Syntypes: In saxosis prope Cataro in Dalm.g ann. 1837, Visiani
(K!); Montenegro ad Nepeg, Visiani (K!)。
Gen. distrib.a Bulgaria, Yugoslavia.
A very variable species in stature, leaf size, verticillaster density, and indumentum. It is most closely related to M. pulegium.
3.6.5.2 11. M. dolichodonta P. H. Davis. Kew Bulla 1951:75 (1951):

Fl. 8. Limestone rocks.
Type: Turkey CA Icely distr. Gulnar, Kizilyokus De: near Bozagas (near Gulnar), flat and sloping limestone rocks, $500 \quad \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{g}} \quad 20$ viii 1949, Po Ho Davis 16356 (halo. K!, iso. E!)。

Gen. distribo: S Turkey.
Only known from the type gathering. A distinct species recognised by the distant; lax, $10-30-8 l a \operatorname{lored}$ verticillasters, slightiy ampliate tubular calycesg calyx tube $1.4-1.6$ ming the narrowly triangular upper teeth ( $0.7-1.0 \mathrm{~mm})$ distinctly shorter than the lower teeth (1.4-1.8 mm). Mo dalmatica is probably the nearest relative.
3.6.5.13.
12. Ma pulegiun (Rochel) Benthog Lab. Gen. Sp. 382 (1834).

Syn: Melissa pulegium Rochel, Plo Banat. Rar. 6 (1828); Calamintha origanifolia Host, F1. Austr: 2:130 (1832): Melissa nova Portenschl. ex Steud.g Nom. Bot. ed.2, 122 (1841), nomen: Calamintha pulegium (Rochel) Reichenb. fog IC. Flo Germ. 18:45, F. 1278 (1858); Satureja pulegium (Rochel) Briq in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4,3as 301 (1897).

If: Savulescu, F1. Rep. Pop. Romine 8: $t_{0} 48$, fol $_{\text {(1961). }}^{\text {(19 }}$
Type: [Romania] hab. in Banatu ad rupes thermarum Herculis, Rochel:

Gen. distrib.: Romania, Hungary.


#### Abstract

Closely related to $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{o}}$ dalmatica but distinguished by leaves adpressed pubescent, verticillasters approximating and more dense, and longer calyx tube and calyx teeth.


3.6 .5 .14
13. M. frivaldszkyana (Deg.) Vel.g Dest. Bot. Zeitschr. 49:291 (1899)!

Syn: Zygis frivaldszkyana Deg.g Bull. Herb. Boiss. 4:523 (1896)! Satureja frivaldszkyana (Deg.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantly Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3as 301 (1897)! Micromeria balcanica Velag Fla Bulgo Suppl. 1:235 (1898): Satureja balcanica (Vela) Stoyanoff \& Steffanoff, Fl. Bulg. ed.2, 878 (1933).

Fl. 7-8. Cliffs, rocky places, c. 1800 m.
Type: [Bulgaria] Rumelica, ann. 1837, Frivaldszky (holo. K!).
Gen. distrib: Bulgaria.
Similar to Mo pulegiun but with shorter stems, flexuous ascending (not erect), leaves glabrouss fewer flowered, lax verticillasters, and longer, subglabrous calyx tube (3.4-3.6 mm).
14. Mo abyssinica Hochst. ex Benth. in DCog Prodro 12:224 (18\&8)!

Syn: Melissa abyssinica Hochstag Flora 24(1), Intell. 2:23 (1841), nomen [based on Schimper 32b!]; Calamintha abyssinica (Hochst. ex Bentha) A. Richag Tent. Fl. Abyss. 2:191 (1847): Clinopodium abyssinicum (Hochst. ex Bentho) D. Kuntzeg Revo Gen. Pla 2:515 (1891)! Satureja abyssinica (Hochst. ex Benth.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat, Pflanzenfam. 4, 3a:301 (1897)! Satureja abyssinica (Hochst. ex Benth.) Briq. var. condensata Hedberg, Symb. Bot. Upsal. 15(1):168 (1957).

Fl. all year. In thick bush, dry banks, steep grassy slopes, 900-2900 m.

Type: Ethiopia in regione septentrionali superioris partis et
inferioris montis Scholoda, $3 \times 1837$, Schimper 326 (holo. K!).
Gen. distrib: Ethiopia, Kenya, Somalig Sudang Tanzaniag Ugandag Yemen.

A distinct species identified by the slender ascending stens, ovate to lanceolate, distinctly petiolate, thin leaves, 2-14\&lowered, lax verticillasters; very narrowly tubular calyx, slightly narrower at throat than base, the corolla tube narrouly tubularg lips widely flaring, the stamen anthers parallel.
3.6.5.16 15. Ma hydaspidis Falco ex Bentho in DCog Prodr. 12: 224 (1848)! Syn: Clinopodium hydaspidis (Falca ex Benth.) D. Kuntze, Revo Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891)! Satureja hydaspidis (Falca ex Benth.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantlg Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3aa308 (1897):

F1. 8-9. Röcky banks, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathbf{2 8 4 0} \mathrm{mo}$
Typer Kashmirg from Patlee to Magha valley of the Gheleena, ix 1837, Falconer 1250 (holo. K!).

Gen. distrib.a India (Kashmir)g Pakistan.
3.6.5.17 16. Mo capitellata Benth. in DCog Prodra $12: 218$ (1848)!

Syn: Marrubium malcolmianum Dalza in Hookog J. Bot. Kew Misc. 4a 109 (1852) : Micromeria malcolmiana (Dalza) Benth. ex Hook. fog Fl. Brit. Ind. 4:650 (1885), nomeng Clinopodium capitellatum (Benth.) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. $2: 515$ (1891)! Sarureja capitellata (Bentho) Briqo in Engler \& Prantlg Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4,3a:299 (1897)!

F1. $1-5,12$. Damp open ground, river and strean banks; 760-2150 m. Type: Indiag Mont. Nilagirig incoltiss Kodangu, prope Kaderug April. M. malcolmiana Benth. mos. on label. Pl. Ind. or. no. 1402 (holo. K!).

Gen. distrib.: India.

Easily recognised by the distant verticillasters bith dense, almost spherical cymes on usually long peduncles, small calyces (2.1-2.6 mm) and small corollas c. 3.0 mm long.
17. 問 euosma (W. W. Smo Co Yo Wh, Act. Phytotax. Sin. $10: 229$ (1965)!

Syn: Calamintha euosma w. W. Snog Notes R.Ba. Edinb. 9a89 (1916)! Satureja euosma ( $W_{0}$ W, $\mathrm{Sm}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) Kudo, Mem. Fac. Sci. Ag. Taihoku Univ. $2(2): 100(1929)!$

Ic: F1: Reip. Pop: Sin. 66:221, t.51, fa6-10 (1977).
Fl. 7. Open calcarequs pasture, c. 3300 mo
Type: Chinas Yunnan: Mountains AE of the Yangtze bend, 11000 ftag open limy pastures. Lat. $2745 \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ vii $1913, \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{g}}$. Forrest 10574 tholo. E!)。

Gen. distrib.g China, Yumnan.
The following four species (nos. 17-20) are closely related to each other: M. euosma and its nearest relative M. barosma are very distinct species; and Kudo (1929) described a section under Satureja [sect. Barosma Kudog Memo Fac. Sci. Taihoku 2(2):99 (1929)] to accompdate them. The description of his section reads: Multi-stemmed, suffruticose, much-branched, stems slender or filiform, verticillasters feos to sometimes 1 -flowered; in lax spikes arranged dorsiventrally at the sten apex, bracteales narrow, calyx tubulary throat between the teeth densely hairy, corolla twice as long as the calyx. However, excepting the unusual habit; the characters mentioned are not uncommon in other species of sect. Pseudomelissa.
36.5.19 18. Mo barosma (w. W. Sm.) Hand Mazzog Symb. Sin. 7:932 (1936)!

Syn: Calamintha barosma w. W. Smog Notes R.B.G. Edinb. 9:88 (1916)!
 2（2）：99（1929）！

Ic：F1．Reip．Pop．Sin．66：221，t．51，fo1－5（1977）．
Fl．7－10．Open rocky slopes，calcareous cliff ledges，2130－3660 m． Type：Chinas Yunnans mountains in the NE of the Yangeze bend，lat． $2745 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{g}} 12000 \mathrm{ftag}$ vii $1913_{g}$ G．Forrest 10371 （halo：E！）． Gen．distrib．：Chinag Yunnan．

A distinct species identified by the numerous elliptic to oblanceolate，glabrous leaves $2.0-6.0 \times 0.7-2.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ approximating verticillasters of 2－6－flowered cymes，calyx tube narrowly tubular， slightly curved，tube $4.5-6.8 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ with narrowly triangular－subulate teeth，and red corollas $15-20 \mathrm{~mm}$ long．

3．6．5．20．19．Ma＊ardii Marquand \＆Shaws J．Linn．Soc．48：216（1929）！
Fl．7－11．Amongst bracken in moorland，river banks，dry rocks in pine forest，shade of boulders，2130－3050 mo

Type：Tibet：Tsangpo Gorge， $7000 \mathrm{ft}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{g} 28 \mathrm{xi} 1924, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}$ Kingdon blard 6324 （holo．K！，iso．E！）。

Gen．distrib．a Tibet．
This species shares with M．barosma the slightly curved， narrowly tubular calyx，but is distinguished from that species by the ovate，much larger leaves，broader and shorter calyx teeth，and purplish corolla．

3．6．521．20．Mo nepalensis Kitamura Murata，Acta Phytotaxa Geobotag Kyoto 16：3（1955）．

Fl．7－10．Dry rocky slopes，2440－3660 m．
 KYO）。

Gen．distrib．：Nepal。

Close to M. Barosma, but the similarly shaped leaves $10.0-21.0$ $x$ 2.5-8. 4 mm, verticillasters laxer, calyces smaller (tube 2.7-3.1 mm) and corolla smaller and yellow.
3.6.6. (e) Sect. Madagascarenses A. Doroszenko, sect. nov.

Perennial herbs. Leaves $1.5-11.5 \times 0.7-7.2 \quad \mathrm{~mm}_{9}$ broadly ovate-orbicular, narrowly ovate, or linear-oblong, petiole 0.2-0.6 $\mathrm{mm}_{9}$ flat or tightly revoluteg entire or with few small teeth, marginal veins absent. Flowers aloays solitary on very long pedicels and peduncles. Bracteoles leaf-likeg 1.0-3.8 mmg not ciliate. Calyx 13-15-nerved, throat glabrous, teeth not ciliate. Corolla not resupinate. Posterior stamens included, anterior stamens just excluded from tube but not beyond upper lip; all anthers similar in size. Style branches very unequal, one very narrow filiform only $1 / 4-1 / 3$ as long as the other broad lanceolate branch.

Gen. distrib.: Madagascar.
The three species of this section are the only Madagascaran members of Sarureja 5.l. In floral structure there is a clear similarity to other Micromeria, with the closest overall affinity apparently with the $E$ African representatives of sect. Pseudomelissa.
3.6.6.1. 1. Leaves 1inear-oblong, entire, glabrous, 4.0-11.5
$x$ 0.7-3. 2 mm: calyx teeth broadly triangular,
rather less than $1 / 3 \times$ tube length 1. madagascarensis

* Leaves narrowly ovate to almost orbicularg entire
or with 1-2 small teeth per side, hairy, $1.5-6.8 \times$
1.0-7.2 mmi calyx teeth narrowly triangularg
$1 / 2-3 / 5 \times$ tube 1 ength

2. Leaves narrowly ovate, upper entire, lower with 1-2 distinct teeth, minutely puberulent; calyx tube 2.2-2.8 mm, lower teeth $1.0-1.6$ mmg lower central corolla lobe entire $\quad$ 2. flagellaris

+ Leaves broadly ovate to orbicular, all entire, with long, weak hairsi calyx tube $3.0-3.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, lower teeth 1.8-2.3 mmig lower entire lobe divided into 2 lobules $\quad$ 3. sphaerophylla
3.6.6.2. 1. Mo madagascarensis Baker; Journo Bot. 20:244 (1882).

Fl. 11. Margin of marshy places; co 1600 mo
Typea Central Madagascarg Parker (holo. K!).
Gen. distribog Madagascar.
3.6.6.3. 2. Mo flagellaris Baker, J. Linn. Soc. 20:232 (1883).

Syn: Micromeria rutenbergiana Varke, Abh. Naturw. Ver. Bremen 9: 135 (1885): Satureja flagellaris (Baker) Briq in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3a:299 (1897).

Fl. 11-12, 1-7. Margins of marshy places, banks of torrents, humid depressions, $1400-2550 \mathrm{mo}$

Syntypes: Central Madagascarg Baron 2062 (K!)g Baron 2065 (K!)。 Gen. distrib.: Madagascar.

Closest to Mo sphaerophylla.
3.6.6.4. 3. M. Sphaerophylla Bakerg J. Linn. Soc. 20:232 (1883).

Syn: Satureja sphaerophylla (Baker) Briq.g Bull. Herb. Boiss. 2: 134 (1894).

Fl. 1, 12.
Type: Central Madagascar, Baron 2141 (holo. K!).
Gen. distrib.a Madagascar.

Nom．dubia

Ms calaminthoides Lojacag Fla Sica 2（2）：212（1907）．
Mo diffusa Lojac．g Fl．Sic．2（2）：216（1907）。
M．echioides Lacaita ex Lojacog Fl．Sic．2（2）：215（1907）．
Mo falcata Nabog Publa Fac．Sc．Masaryk，Brno No． 70 （1926）．
M．fenzlii Regelg Ind．Sen．Hort．Petrop． 93 （1866）．
This is described as＂Affinis Mo［icromeria］rupestri，caule incanof foliis longius petiolatis；inferioribus ovatis profundinque dentatis dignosticur：＂

M．filicaulis Schotto \＆Kotschy，Tchihato Asie Mino Bot．2：131 （Date？），nomen．

M．formosana Marquand，Hook．Ic．Pl．33，t． 3230 （1934）．
This species was described from a living specimen grown from seed sent to Kew from Formosa by Yashiroda（no．31）．I know the plant only from the illustration cited；it appears to be distinct．

M．grandis Lojac．，Fl．Sic．2（2）：213（1907）．
M．hybrida Zaganog Actes Inst．Bot．Univ．Athenes 1：250（1980）．
Mo lacaita Lojac，F1：Sic．2（2）：214（1907）。

M．$x$ meteorica Haussknas fittheila Thuringo Bot．Vero 11：48 （1897）．

Mo neumannii 0．Hoffmo ex Englerg Sitz．Preuss．Akad．biss． 1906：744（1906）．

A tropical African species．

Mo parvula Chiovag Racc．Bot．Miss．Consol．Kenya 103 （1935）．
M．purpurea（Kellogg）A Gray，Bot．Calif．1：595（18．．）．
Syn：Satureja purpurea（Kellogg）Briq．in Engler \＆Prantlg Nat． Pflanzenfam．4，3a：300（1897）．

M．tapeinantha Rech．fog Denkschr．Akad．Wiss．Wien Math．－Nat．Kl． 105（2，1）：123（1983）。

Mo affinis Hooka fag Haok．Lond．Journ．Bot．6：274（1847）＝Mentha affinis（Hook．fo）Druce

Mo australis（Ro Bro）Benth．g Lab。Gen．Spo 380 （1834）＝Mentha australis R． Br ：

Mo bonariensis（Teno）Fisch．\＆Meyog Ind．Sem．Hort．Petrop．10：56 （18\＆5）$=$ Hedeoma multiflorum Benth．fide Irving（1980）．

M．bracteolata（Roem．\＆Schult．）Benth．g Lab．Gen．Sp． 371 （1834）＝ possibly a Conradina sp．fide Epling \＆Stewart，Fedde Rep． Beih．115：46（1939）．

M．calostachya Recho fag Denkschr：Akad。 Hisso Gien Matho－Nata Klo 105（2，1）：122（1943）＝Thymbra calostachya（Rech．fo）Rech．f．

Mo cunninghamii Benth．g Lab．Gen．Sp． 730 （1835）＝Mentha cunninghami i（Benth．）Benth．

M．gracilis（R．Bro）Benthag Lab．Gen．Sp． 380 （1834）＝Mentha diemenica Spreng。

Mo japonica Miq．g ann．Muso Bot．Lugd．Bat．2：106（1865）＝Mentha japonica（Miq．）Makino．

M．perforata Miq．y Anno Mus．Bot．Lugd．Bat．2：106（1865）＝Mosla japonica（Benth．）Maxim。

Mo pulchella（Clos．）Weddag Chlor：And．2：151（1857）＝Kurzamra pulchella（Clos．） 0 ．Kuntze

M．repens Hook fag Hooka Lond．Journ．Bot．6：274（1847）＝Mentha diemenica Spreng．

M．satureioides（R．Bra）Benth．g Lab．Gen．Sp． 380 （1834）$=$ Mentha satureioides $R$ ．$B r$ ．

M．Sessilis Benth． Hook．Lond．Journ．Bot．6：274（1847）＝Mentha diemenica Spreng．

M．subulifolia Rech．fog Danske Vid．Selsk．Biol．Skrift． $8(1): 74$
(1955) = Hyssopus subulifolius (Rech. fo) Rech. f.
M. yezoensis Miyabe \& Tatewo, Trans. Sapporo Nat. Hist. Soc. 14:8 (1935) = Mentha japonica (fiq.) Makino.
3.7. Brenaniella A. Doroszenke, gen. nov.

Tally erect, perennial herbs or shrubs. Leaves ovate to lanceolate, flat, toothed, sometimes entire, lower side densely punctate-glandular, glands feu on upper side, veins usually prominent, camptodromous, palisade mesophyll dorsiventral. Inflorescence a dense leafless spike, uninterrupted or interrupted only at base. Verticillasters many-flowered, $\pm$ sessile. Bracteoles narrowly elliptic to broadly ovate. Calym tube straight, 13 -nerved, somewhat ampliate, throat glabrous, lips $\pm$ equal, all 5 teeth similar in shape, straight, upper teeth slightly to clearly shorter than lower teeth, teeth ciliate. Corolla small, 5-11 mm, white, tube not annulate inside, glabrous in lower half, lips 1/4-1/2 tube length, upper galeate, lower bent downards at 90 to tube, uppor longer than or equalling lower: stamens 4, shortly exserted from tube but not or scarcely beyond upper lips anther filaments $\pm$ parallel. Style branches slightly unequal, rarely $\pm$ equal.

Type species: $\underline{\underline{g} \text { 。 robusta, }}$ designated here.
Seven species on tropical African mountains.
Erenan (1954) described, but did not name, this group of tropical African species as a probable section of Micromerie, i.e. should Benthams classification be followed (Benthan, 1848). However, Brenan rightly points out there is only "a certain resemblance in foliage, habit, and to a lesser extent inflorescence" to Micromeria sect. Pseudomelissa, and that "the African plants remain a very distinct group". The species of Brenaniella are much taller and robust plants than Micromeria, with a dense spicate inflorescence of sessile verticillasters, small, somewhat ampliate calyces, small white corollas with galeate upper lip and lower lip sharply bent downwards. Together these characters give Brenaniella a quite unmistakable facies.

+ Leaf apex acute

2. Leaf margin clearly crenulate-serrulate, venation plainly reticulate; lower leaf surface pubescent; lower calyx teeth $1.3-1.7 \mathrm{~mm} \quad$ 1. robusta

+ Leaf margin entire to scarcely denticulate, venation inconspicuous: lower leaf surface $\pm$ glabrous; lower calyx teeth $2.1-2.8 \mathrm{~mm} \quad$ 4. verneyana

3. Leaves on lower part of main stem broadly ovate, up to $11 / 2 \times$ as long as broad, abruptly apiculate; leaves clearly pubescent on both sides 4

+ Leaves on lower part of main stem narrowly lanceolate, at least $2 \times a s$ long as broad, apically attenuate, narrowly acute; leaves glabrous or minutely puberulent on both sides

4. Most leaves distinctly shorter than 20 mm , densely pubescent beneath 2. masukuensis

+ Most leaves much longer than 25 mm , less pubescent beneath 3. myriantha

5. Leaves densely but minutely puberulent; stem hairs extremely minute and dense, impossible to separate individual hairsi calyw tube $3.6-4.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, teeth clearly less than half tube length

+ Leaves glabrous (rarely with a few long hairs) stems with short to long hairs, individual hairs clearly discernable; calyx tube $2.5-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, teeth more than half to as long as tube
+ Calyx clearly pubescent with numerous
long hairs
b. wellmannii

Syn. Nepeta robusta Hook. fag Journ. Linn. Soc. 7:212 (1864)! Satureja robusta (Hook. f.) Erenan, Mem. N.Y. Eot. Gard. 9:48 (1954)!

Fl. 1-6, 11-12. Montane graseland, margins of woods, wet hollows, stream beds, 1590-2900 m.

Type: Camoroon Mt., $2130-2440 \mathrm{~m}$, xii 1861, Mann (holo. k!).
Gen. distrib.: Cameroon, Nigeria.
Much the tallest species in the genus, reaching up to $300 \mathrm{~cm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ recognised by the broadly ovate leaves, with obtuse apex, closely spaceds small serrations, and reticulate venation.
3.7.3.
2. B. masukuensis (Baker) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Leucas masukuensis Baker, Kew Bull. 1898:162 (1898)! Nepeta usafuensis Eurke, Bot. Jahrb. 30:394 (1901)! Calamintha masukuensis (Baker) S. Moore, J. Linn. Soc. Bot. 40:179 (1911)! Satureja masukuensis (Baker) Eyles, Trans. Foy. Soc. 5. Africa 5:462 (1916)!

F1. 3-10. Montane grassland and bracken scrub, $1060-2370 \mathrm{~m}$.
Type: Malawi, Masuku plateau, $1980-2140 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{vii}$ 1896, Whyte tholo. K!).

Gen. distrib: : Malawi, Tanzania, Zambia, Zimbabue.
Closely related to B. myriantha
3.7.4. 3. Be myriantha (Baker) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Leucas myriantha Baker, Kow Bull. 1898:163 (1898)! Satureja
myriantha (Baker) Brenan, Mem. N.Y. Bot. Gard. 9:49 (1954):
Fl. 5-10. Montane grassland, grassland by riverside, savanna woodland, 1500-2250 m.

Syntypes: Nyika plateau, ig30-2140 m, vi 1896, Whyte 178; idem, Whyte $214 ;$ between Impala and commencement of [Nyasa-]Tanganyika
plateau, vii 1896, thyte E.n. (all at K!).
Gen. distrib: Malamig Tanzania, Zambia.
3.7.5. 4. B. verneyana (Brenan) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Satureia vernayana Brenan, Mem. N.Y. Bat. Gard. 9:46 (1954)!
Fl. 5-9. Montane grassland, woodland, rocky slopes, damp situations in shrubberies, 1370-2150 m.

Purves 13 (hola. K!).

Gen. distrib.: Malawi.

A distinct species easily fecognised by the broadly elliptic to ohovate, $\pm$ glabrous, entire leaves, with rounded apicesg $7-18 \mathrm{x}$ $3.0-11.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.
5. B. cacondensis (G. Taylor) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Calamintha cacondensis G. Taylor, Journ. Bot. 69, suppl. 2: 167 (1931): Satureia cacondensis (G. Taylor) Brenan, Men. N. Y Bot. Gerd. 9:49 (1954)!

F1. 2. Along watercourses.

Type: Angola, Benguella, country of the Ganguellas and Ambuellas, along tho watercourses of Caputi near Caconda, February, J. Gossweiller 2861 (hola. K!).

Gen. distrib: Angala.
3.7.7 6. B. tuellmannii (C. H. Wright) A. Doroszenko, comba nov.

Syn: Nepete wellmannii Co H. Wright, Kes Bull. 1909:380 (1909)! Napeta huillensis Gurke, Bot. Jahrb. 36:121 (1905). F1. 5-6. Mountain slopes and woodland, 1520-1900 ma

| Stems with spreading flexuous hairs | ver. gellmannii |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stems minutely recurved-puberulent | var. brachytricha |

Var: wellmannii
Syn: Satureja myriantha (Baker) Brenan var. bellmannii (C. H. Wright) Erenan, Mem. N.Y. Bot. Gard. 7:49 (1954)!

Type: Angola, Benguellag Eailundo distrog 1520 m , F. C. Hill llmann San. (holo. K!).

Een. distrib.: Angola, Zambia (rare).
var. brachytricha (Branan) A. Doroszenko, conb. nov.
Syn: Satureja myriantha (Baker) Brenan var. brachytricha Erenan, Mem. N.Y. Bot. Gerd. 9:49 (1954)!

Type: Angola, Eenguellas Bailundo distrag $1520 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~F}$. C : Wellmanm S.n. (hola. K!).

Gen. distrib.a Angola.
7. B. rungwensis A. Doroszenka, sp. nov.

Stems slender, erect, $100-150 \mathrm{~cm}$ much-brenched above, densely and extremely minutely-hairy. Leaves lanceolate, $14-23 \times 6-11 \mathrm{~mm}$ broadly cuneate at base, petiole $2.0-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ apex acute, margins serrulate, teeth closely spaced, lateral veins prominent below, minor veins usually clearly visible, both sides very densely minute-pubescent. Inflorescence $4-10 \times$ c. 1.0 cm. Calyo tube 3.6-4.0 mm, densely minute-hairy on veins, teeth lancealatesubulate, upper lip 2.7-3.8 mm, entire or retuse, lower lip 2.8-4.0 ming lobes oblong, entire. Nutlets unknown.

Fl. 8 . Among shrubs in semi-shade, c. 1930 m.
Type: Tanzaniag Fungwe distra, Lower Fishing Camp, Kimara River, $1830 \mathrm{~m}, 9$ viii 1949, locally common in secondary bush in semi-shade and on a yellow-brown volcanic soil, Pa J. Greentayy 8385 tholo. K!.

Gen. distrib.: Tanzania.

This new species is closely related to $\underline{B_{0}}$ cacondensis and $B_{-}$ mellmanni. These three species form a distinct group distinguished by their slender stems, narrowly ovate to lanceolate leaves, glabrous or very minute-hairy on both sides, and margins aith many closely spaced teeth. B. rungwensis is clearly separated from these two species by its very dense, minute patent pubescence, giving a greyish-green aspect, narrower, more lanceolate leaves, more cuneate at base, longer calyk tube, lanceolate-subulate teeth clearly less than half length of tube (not narrowly triangular teeth longer than half to as long as tube), upper corolla lip entire or scarcely notched (not clearly enarginate), and the lower corolla lip twice as long as the other species.

Killickia A. Doroszenko, gen. nov.
Prostrate perennial herbs; stoloniferous or not. Leaves broadly ovate to sub-orbicular, flat, serrate to serrulate, simple hairy, marginal veins absent, lateral veins distinct, camptodromous; clearly petiolate; palisade mesophyll dorsiventral. Inflorescence of solitary- to few-flowered verticillasters, with very long pedicels and peduncles. Bracteoles foliose or minute, linear. Calyx obconical, straight, 13-15-veined, actinomorphic or sub-bilabiate, all teeth similar in shape, half to as long as tube, not ciliate, throat glabrous. Corolla cobalt-violet, yellow or white, not resupinate, tube wide at throat, not annulate inside; upper lip emarginate or retuse, lower 1 ip 3-lobed, longer than upper: Stamens 4, convergent; filaments short, anterior pair longer, posterior included in tube, anterior exserted but not beyond upper lipg anthers divergent.

Type species: K. pilosa, designated here.
Three species, locally distributed in Natalg South Africa.
When Killick (1961) placed these S. African species under Satureja 5.l. it was to maintain uniformity of treatment with Thonner, Flowering Plants of Africa 479 (1915), Brenan in Piema N. Y. Bot. Gard. 9:317-318 (1954) and Hedberg, Afroalpine Vascular Plants 160-164, 317-318 (1954), who all followed Briquet (1897). Killick originally described one of his species under Micromeria (M. grandiflora) as had Bentham for Mo pilosa (1886). However, Killick was not really happy with these species; placement in either Satureja or Micromeria.

Killickia has similarities to Micromeria, particularly to sect. Pseudomelissa, in habit and leaf shape. However, it is distinguished from Microneria by the solitary- or few-flowered verticillasters, on very long peduncles (up to 22 mm ) and pedicels
(to 7 mm ), obconical, actinomorphic to sub-bilabiate calyx, with similar upper and lower teeth, and corollas wide at the throat.
3.8.1. 1. Bracteoles foliose, 7-11 mm long; calyx lips 4.0-5.0 $\mathrm{mm}_{9}$ teeth narrowly triangular 3. grandiflora

* Bracteoles linearg $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ calyx $1 \mathrm{ips} 0.9-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, teeth broadly triangular or lanceolate 2

2. Calyx tube $2.0-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, teeth $0.9-1.7 \mathrm{mmg}$ corolla tube $5.0-12.0 \mathrm{~mm}_{9}$ upper lip less than half as long as lower lip 1. pilosa

+ Calyx tube $1.3-2.0 \mathrm{mmg}_{\mathrm{g}}$ teeth $1.4-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ corolla
tube $3.0-5.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ upper 1 lip as long as lower 1 ip 2. compacta
3.8.2. 1. K. pilosa (Benth.) A. Doroszenko, comb: nov.

Syn: Micromeria pilosa Benth.g Hook. Icon. Pl. 15: t. 1522 (1886)! Satureja reptans Killick, Bothalia 7:436 (1961)!

Fl. 1-4, 12. In tall grass, grassy hillsides, damp earth cliffs, amongst rocks, $1300-2100 \mathrm{~m}$ 。

Type: [Natal] Faku's Territory, Sutherland (holo. K!).
Gen. distrib: Natal, S. Africa.
3.8.3. 2. K. compacta (Killick) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Satureja compacta Killick, Bothalia 7:437 (1961)!
Fl. 1, 11-12. Amongst grass tussocks, damp grass slopes on sandstone, steep banks, 2200-2350 m.

Type: Nataly locally frequent on side of bridle path in Festuca costata grassveld. Flowers deep cobalt violet. Below Amphletts, Cathkin Peak Area, 12 xii 1952, Killick 1866 (holo. PRE, iso. B! K!)。

Gen. distrib: Natal, S. Africa.
3.8 .4.
3. K. grandiflora (Killick) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Micromeria grandiflora Killick, Bothalia 6:439 (1954):
Satureja grandibracteata Killick, Bothalia 7:435 (1961)!
Fl. 1-2, 11-12. Grassveld, mountain slopes, $1800-2000 \mathrm{~m}$.
Type: Natal, Cathedral Peak Forest Influences Research Station,
locally frequent in Themeda triandra grassveld, 6400 ftag 19 ii
1952, Killick 1684 (holo. PRE $_{9}$ iso $K!$ ).
Gen. distrib.: Natal, S. Africa.

Calamintha Miller, Gard. Dictog Abr: ed. 4 (1754)。
Syn: Melissa Sect. Calamintha Benth., Lab. Gen. Sp. 384 (1834); Melissa Sect. Macromelissa Benthag loc. cita 385 (1834) popog Melissa Sect. Heteromelissa Benth.g loc. cit. 386 (1834) Calamintha Sect. Calamintha Benth. in DC.g Prodr. 12:226 (1848); Calamintha Sect. Heteromelysson (Benth.) Benthag loc. cit. 234 (1888); Satureja Sect. Calamintha Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam: 4,3a』301 (1897).

Perennial herbs, rarely annual. Leaves broadly ovate to orbicular or lancedate, crenate to serrate or dentate, rarely entire, flat, veins usually prominent, camptodromous or craspedromous: palisade mesophyll dorsiventralg distinctly petiolate. Inflorescence bracteate or ebracteate. Verticillasters few- to many-flowered, cymes dense or lax. Bracteoles linearlanceolate or -elliptic, not or just reaching base of calyx. Calyx broad, straight, + parallel-sided tubular, 11-13-veined, throat usually hairy, sometimes glabrousg distinctly bilabiateg upper teeth usually broader than lower and mostly only 1/4-1/2 as long as lower teeth, rarely to $5 / 6$ as long, lower teeth nearly always ciliate. Corolla white, violet to pink or red, tube not annulate inside, glabrous or hairy on inside lower half, lips $1 / 6-1 / 2 \times$ tube length, upper usually shorter, emarginate, lower 3-1obed, central lobe sometimes bifid. Stamens 4, convergent, inserted half way up tube, posterior pair 1/4-1/2 as long as anterior pairs inserted below or at same level as anterior, all fertile or anterior pair fertile and posterior pair infertile and staminodial, included to shortly exserted from tube but not beyond upper lip; thecae divergent, very rarely parallel. Style branches unequal, sometimes markedly 50, rarely equal, mostly the longer broad lanceolate and the shorter narrowly subulate, rarely both narrowly subulate.

Nutlets suborbicular to broadly ovoid, glabrous, apex rounded or obtuse.

20 species from Europe, the Mediterranean region, E Africa, Turkey and eastwards to India, China and Japan.

Calamintha is the largest of the four Calaminthoid genera. These genera are distinguished mainly on floral characteristics: Cyclotrichium by the resupinate corollas and longly exserted stamens; and Acinos by the sigmoid, usually glabrous calyces. However, the differences between Calamintha and Clinopodium are less marked. Some species, particularly the Chinese ones, have been shuffled between Calamintha and Clinopodium. The most recent treatment of Calamintha/Clinopodium in Fl. Reip. Pop. Sin. (1977) favoured Clinopodium, whereas earlier treatments favoured Calamintha (Bentham, 1888; Franchet \& Savatier, 1875) or Satureja 5.1. (Kudo, 1929). Notwithstanding this confusion, Calamintha and Clinopodium as treated here are clearly distinguishable on floral characteristics. The calyx of Calamintha is straight, usually broadly tubularg the lower teeth straight, and the upper calyx teeth slightly curving. In Clinopodium the calyx is curved, the lower teeth are also curved, and the upper teeth curve upward and become + erect. The bracteoles of Calamintha are always short and never exceed the base of the calyx, while in Clinopodium the bracteoles always exceed the calyx base, usually reaching the calyx teeth and forming a distinct involucre. The verticills of Clinopodium tend to be denser and more spherical.
3.9.1. 1. Whole plant densely white, dendroid hairy

> [N Africa] 9。 candidissima

+ Plant not densely white hairy; hairs, if any, simple

2. Annual [S Central Asia]
$+\quad$ Perennial
3. Inflorescence condensed into a short dense head, 2-3 cm long, 1.5-2.0 cm wide [Ethiopia] 14. paradoxa

+ Inflorescence not condensed into a short spike-like head, internodes clearly discernable between verticillasters $\quad 4$

4. Calyx 11-nervedg corolla 16-45 mmi leaf veins tending to run directly into teeth 5

+ Calyx 13-nervedg corolla 2.5-16 mm to 20 mm in sylvatica): leaf veins curving away from the margin, not running directly into teeth (except uhligii Which has craspedromous veins)

5. Stems 5-10 cmi leaves 4.5-9.0 $4.0-8.0 \mathrm{~mm}_{9}$ with 2-4 very obscure serrulations on each side, minutely puberulentg flowers solitary in leaf axils 5. piperelloides

+ Stems $10-60 \mathrm{~cm}$ : leaves $9-40(-85) \times 6-30(-49) \mathrm{mm}$ with $3-10$ distinct teeth per side, usually pilose, pubescent or villousg flowers usually not solitary in leaf axils

6. Lower calyy teeth 2.5-4.5 mmi median leaves with 7-12 teeth per side (if fewer then very large teeth); corolla 20-44 mm (as short as 17 mm in Na African material) 1. grandiflora

* Lower calyx teeth $1.3-2.5 \mathrm{mmg}$ median leaves with 5-8(-10) small teeth per side; corolla $16-26 \mathrm{~mm}$7

7. Median leaves $17-37 \mathrm{~mm}_{5}$ with $6-8$ short, distinct, acute to obtuse teeth on each side 2. betulifolia

+ Median leaves $9-25 \mathrm{~mm}_{9}$ with 3 -6 scarcely discernable or very shorts sharply pointed and outcurving teeth on each side

8. Leaves prominently veined beneath; leaf hairs both glandular and eglandular; calyx peduncles $1.0-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}_{9}$ pedicels $0.4-0.5 \mathrm{~mm} \quad$ 3. tauricola

* Leaves not very prominently veined beneath; leaf hairs all eglandular: calyx peduncles $1.6-2.7 \mathrm{~mm}$, pedicels $3.5-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$

4. pamphylica
5. Leaves entire or scarcely notched, densely, shorthaired, white tomentose (leaves 3.0-10.0(-14) mm) [E. Mediterranean region] 10

+ Leaves + toothed, pubescent, grey or greenish 11

10. Calyx with long, patent hairs, throat with a sparse fringe of hairs, upper teeth $0.5-0.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ corolla white 10. cretica

+ Calyx with short adpressed or crispate hairs, throat with a dense fringe of hairs, upper teeth $0.4-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ corolla mauve to pink 11. incana

11. Calyx tube 4.0-7.6 mmg lower teeth $2.0-4.0$ mms corolla tube 8.0-17.0 mm 12

* Calyx tube 1.7-3.8 mm , lower teeth $1.0-2.0(-2.5) \mathrm{mm}$ corolla tube $1.7-8.0(-10.5) \mathrm{mm}$

12. Leaves narrow elliptic to lanceolate, cuneate at base; upper and lower calyx teeth all similar, narrow triangular [Alepal]

+ Leaves broadly ovate, base rounded, truncate, or broad triangular; upper and laser calyx teeth dissimilar, upper teeth broadly triangular-deltoid

13. Leaves + sessile, petiole $0.5-2.0 \mathrm{mmg}$ distance between verticillasters 1 or less than 1 length of the subtending leaf; corolla tube widely flaring [E. African mountains]

* Leaves clearly stalked, petioles 4.0-16.0 mms verticillasters well separated, more than 1 length of the subtending leaf apart; corolla tube narrow
[Mediterranean region; 5. Europe] 6. sylvatica

14. Leaves 2.7-8.0 0 1.5-7.5 mmg flowers solitary in leaf axils 15
$*$ Leaves 8.0-45.0 $05.5-30.0 \mathrm{mmg}$ \& f owers usually not solitary in leaf axils16
15. Calyx shortly retrorse hairys without minute glandular hairs between them, lower teeth 1.0-1.3 mm [Balearic Islands] 8. rouyana

+ Calyx shortly patent hairy with minute glandular hairs between themg lower teeth $1.5-1.9 \mathrm{~mm}$
[High E. African mountains] 12. kilimandschari

16. Anterior and posterior thecae similar in sizeg
peduncles at least 1 mm and usually very much longerg
corolla tube 6.0-10.5 mm [Mediterranean region] 7. nepeta

* Anterior thecae much larger than posterior thecaeg
peduncles $0-0.5 \mathrm{mmg}$ coralla tube $1.7-5.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ [Far East] 17

17. Calyx glabrous or very rarely minutely puberulent On the nervesi inflorescence bracteate 17. confinis

+ Calyx shortly and sparsely hairy; inflorescence terminal, ebracteate

18. Leaves narrowly ovate, often cuneate at base, (12-)20-57 $\times(7-) 10-29 \mathrm{~mm}_{9}$ teeth deep, mostly acute 18. ussuriensis

* Leaves broadly ovateg mostly rounded at base, 8.0-30.0 x 6.0-19.0 mmg shallowly toothed, rather rounded, obtuse

19. Corolla cleistogamous, c. 2.5 mmg under-side of leaf minutely punctate-glandular
20. micrantha

* Corolla open, $3.5-5.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ under-side of leaf wi thout punctate glands

15. gracilis
C. grandiflora, C. betulifolia, C. tauricola, C. pamphylica and C. piperelloides (sp. nos. 1-5) are closely related. They share an 11-nerved calyx, large corollas, and craspedromous leaf venation. All other species have a 13 -nerved calyx, smaller corollas, and nearly always camptodromous leaf venation.
3.9.2. 1. C. grandiflora (L.) Moench, Meth. 408 (1794). Syn: Melissa grandiflora $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}$ g Sp. Pl. 592 (1753)! Calamintha montana Garsault, Figo Pl. Anim. Medo t. 189 (1764); Thymus grandiflora (L.) Scopa, Fl. Carn.ed. 2, 1:424 (1772)! Satureja grandiflora (L.) Scheele, Flora 26:577 (1843): Faucibarba grandiflora (Lo) Dulac, Fl. Hautes-Pyr: 402 (1867)! Clinopodium grandiflorum (L.) 0. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891)! non (Willda) Gueldenst. ex M. Bieb. (1819).

Ic: Fl. URSS 21: t. 23 f. 1 (1954).

Calyx tube 6.5-10.0 mmi lower teeth 3.5-4.5 mmg corolla 25-42 mm [S \& C Europe, Caucasus, Crimea, Anatolia, Iran] ssp. grandiflora

Calyx tube 5.0-6.5 mmg lower teeth $2.5-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ corolla 18-22 mm [Morocco, Algeria] 5sp. baborensis
ssp. grandiflora
Fl. 5-10. Damp banks, in forests and scrub, limestone slopes, 200-2500 m.

Type: Described from Hetruriae (Tuscany, N Italy) (Hb. Linna 745/3, microfiche!).

Gen. distrib:a 5 \& C Europe; Anatolia, Caucasus, Crimea, Nw Iran.

5sp. baborensis (Batt.) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.
Syn: Calamintha baborensis Battog Bull. Soc. Bot. Fr. 36:64 (1889); Calamintha grandiflora (L。) Moench vara parviflora Cossog Bulla Soc. Bot. Fro 9:176 (1862); Calamintha atlantica Cossog loc. cit. (1862), nomen; Satureja baborensis (Batro) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfamo 4,3as301 (1897); Satureja grandiflora (L.) Scheele ssp. baborensis (Batt.) Maire, Cat. Plo Maroc 3:647 (1934).

Fl. 6-7. Calcareous rocks in cedar forest, 1900-2000 m. Type: In silvaticis regionis montanae, hucusque tantum in Kabyliae orientalis montibus Tababor, et Babor, $1200-1500 \mathrm{mg}_{\mathrm{g}}$ an. $1861_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{H}$. Perraudiere (type of Calamintha grandiflora var. parviflora). Gen. distrib.: Moroccos Algeria.
C. baborensis is distinguished from $C$. grandiflora only in the size of the floral parts. Therefore, subspecific rank for $C$. baborensis under $C$. grandiflora seems appropriate.
2. C. betulifolia Boiss. \& Bal。 in Boiss., Diagno ser: 2(4):14 (1859)!

Syn: Clinopodium betulifolium (Boiss: \& Balo ex Boiss.) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891): Satureja betulifolia (Boiss. \& Balo ex Bois5.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantly Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3a:301 (1897): Calamintha betulifolia Boiss. \& Bal. ex Boiss. 5sp. cilicica Quezel \& Contandr.g Bull. Soc. Bot. Fr. 123: 428 (1976). Ic: Post, Fl. Syria, Palestine \& Sinai 623 (1896).

Type: [Turkey C5 Icel] in rupestribus vallis Guzel Dere supra Sedichig, in regione calida Ciliciae littoralis, 12 iv 1855, Balansa 496 (holo. G!, iso. E!, K). Gen. distrib.: S Anatolia.
3.9.4. 3. Co tauricola Po H. Davi $\mathbf{y}_{9}$ Kew Bull. 1951:68 (1951)!

Fl. 5-8. Calcareous rocks, 940-1700 mo
Type: [Turkey C4 Konya] d. Ermenek, Hamitseydi Bogazi between Sarivadi and Beskoyu, $1500-1700 \mathrm{~m}, 16$ viii 1949, P. H. Davis 16225 (holo. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{g}}$ iso: E!).

Gen. distrib: S Anatolia.
3.9.5. 4. C. pamphylica Boiss. \& Heldr. in Boiss., Diagn. ser. 1(12):52 (1853)!

Syn: Satureja pamphylica (Boiss. \& Heldro) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. A, 3a:301 (1897)!

Fl. 4-8. Limestone rocks, Cedrus libani, Pinus brutia or Cupressus sempervirens forest, $50-1500 \mathrm{~m}$.

Stems and petioles shortly villous, with hairs
0.5-1.0 mms median leaves triangular, 6-12 $x$

6-12 mm, shallowly crenate-denticulate,
base truncate to sub-cordate ssp. pamphylica
Stems and petioles pubescent, eglandular hairs
very minute; median leaves broadly ovate, up
to $24 \times 21 \mathrm{mmg}$ distinctly crenate-dentate,
base widely cuneate to truncate 5sp. davisii

5sp. pamphylica
Type: [Turkey CA Antalya] ad rupes calcareis montium Pamphyliae
orientalis regio superior montis Ghibelleis (Cebireis Dan) prope Alaya (Alanya), Heldreich (holo. G!, iso. G!, K, WU!). Gen. distriba: SW Anatolia.

5sp. davisii (Quezel \& Contandr.) Po H. Davis, Fl. Turko 7:326 (1982).

Syn: Calamintha davisii Quezel \& Contandr:g Bull. Soc. Bot. Fr. 123:427 (1976)。

Typea [Turkey C3 Antalya] rochers calcaires a louest de Kmer (Kemer), 200-300 $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{g}} 23$ vii $1973, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}$ Quezel \& $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{o}}$ Contandriapoulos 73-436 (Hb. Marseille St. Jerome).

Gen. distrib: SW Anatolia.
3.9.6. 5. C. piperelloides Stapf, Denksch. Akad. Wiss. Wien, Math. Nat. K1. 50: 123 (1885)!

F1. 5 .
Types [Turkey C2 Antalya] Lycia, ad Golbaschi; 4 v 1882, Luschan (holo. W! )

The species is only known from the type. It is related most closely to $C$. pamphylica and distinguished by its lower; more suffruticose habit, smaller leaves, flowers al ways solitary in leaf axils, and calyx teeth half as long as tube (not only about $1 / 4$ as long as tube).
3.9.7 6. C: sylvatica Bromf:g Phytologist 2a49 (1845).

Syn: Melissa sylvatica (Bromfa) Nymag Syll. Fl. Eur. 1:100 (1855): Satureja rhombifolia Maly in Dorfler, in syn. Herb. Norm. no. 4482 (1903).

Closely related to $C$ nepeta. Both $C$. sylyatica and $C$ nepeta are very variable, especially in habitg indumentum, and density of
the inflorescence. Hence the large number of synonyms for these species.

Leaves up to $70 \times 45 \mathrm{~mm}$, ovate, coarsely dentate or serrate, 6-10 teeth per side; peduncles up to 15 mm g lower calyx teeth 2.5-4.0 mm ; corolla 13-22 mm
ssp. sylvatica
Leaves up to $40 \times 35 \mathrm{~mm}$, rarely longer, ovateorbicular to ovate, scarcely notched or shallow crenate-dentate, 5-8 teeth per side; peduncles up to 5 mm s sometimes to 10 mm g lower calyx teeth 1.3-3.0(-3.5) mms corolla 9-16 $\mathrm{mm} \quad$ 55p. ascendens

5sp. sylvatica
Syn: Calamintha montana Lama, Fl. Fr. 2:396 (1778), non Garsault (1764): Calamintha menthaefolia Host, Fl. Austr. 2:129 (1832); Satureja calamintha (La) Scheele sspo sylvatica (Bromfa) Briq. var. sylvatica Briq., Lab. Alp. Mar. $3: 434$ (1895); Satureja menthaefolia (Host) Fritsch, Exkurs. Fl. Austr. 478 (1897); Satureja calamintha (La) Scheele 5sp. officinalis sensu Gams in Hegig Illa Fl. Mitteleur. 5: 2294 (1928); Calamintha montana Lam. ssp. officinalis (Moench) Dostaly Kvetena CSR 1240 (1950); Calamintha montana Lam. 5sp. menthaefolia (Host) Dostal, loc. cit. (1950).

Ic: Sowerby \& Sma, Engl. Bot. Suppl. 7, t. 1052 (1867).
Type: Described from Isle of fight, England.
Gen. distrib.: Europe as far $N$ as S England, eastward to Turkey.

5sp. ascendens (Jorda) Pa W. Ball, Bot. Jour. Linn. Soc. 65:346 (1972).

Syn: Calamintha ascendens Jord., Obs. Pl. Crit. 4:8 (1846); Melissa
intermedia Baumg., Enum. Stirp. Transs. 2:184 (1816); Thymus calaminthoides Reichenb.g Fle Germ. Exc. 1:329 (1831), nomen; Melissa rotundifolia Soland. ex Lowe, Trans. Camb. Phil. Soc. 6:14 (1838), non Benth. (1834); Calamintha baetica Boiss. \& Reut., Pugillus 92 (1852); Calamintha heterotricha Boiss. \& Reut., Pugillus 93 (1852); Calamintha nervosa Pomel, Nouv. Mat. Fl. Atl. 2:307 (1875); Calamintha intermedia (Baumg.) H. Braun, Verh. Zool.-Bot. Ges. Wien 39:220 (1889); Clinopodium pomelianum 0. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891) g Satureja calamintha (L.) Scheele 5sp. ascendens (Jord.) Briq., Lab. Alp. Mar. $3: 435$ (1895); Satureja pomelii Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, $3 \mathrm{a}: 302$ (1897); Satureja ascendens (Jord.) Maly, Dest. Bot. Zeit. 57: 159 (1907); Clinopodium ascendens (Jord.) Sampaio, Herb. Portug. 119 (1913); Calamintha sennenii Cadag Bul1. Geogra Bot. 24:228 (1914); Calamintha catalaunica Sennen \& Pau, Fl. Catal. 142 (1917);
 baetica (Bois5. \& Reut.) Pau, Mem. Soc. Esp. Hist. Nat. 12:374 (1924); Satureja calamintha (Lo) Scheele 5sp. menthifolia sensu Gams in Hegi, Ill. Fl. Mitteleur. 5: 2294 (1928); Calamintha hirta (Briq.) Hayek, Fedde, Repert. Beih. 30:326 (1929); Satureja heterotricha (Boiss. \& Reut.) Sennen \& Mauricio, Cat. Fl. Rif Or. 89 (1933): Calamintha ferreri Sennen, Bol Soc. Iber. Cien. Nat. 1933, 32: 27 (1934); Satureja ferreri Sennen, loci cit. (1934), nomen; Calamintha peniciliata Sennen, loc.cit. 31 (1934); Satureja peniciliata Sennen, loca cita 31 (1934), nomen; Calamintha bonanovae Sennen, loc. cit. 49 (1934); Satureja bonanovae Sennen, loc. cit. 49 (1934), nomen; Calamintha eriocaulis Sennen, loc. cit. 50 (1934); Satureja eriocaulis Sennen, loc. cit. 50 (1934), nomen; Calamintha brevisepala Sennen, loc. cit. 51 (1934); Satureja brevisepala Sennen, loc: cit. 51 (1934), nomen; Calamintha
longeracemosa Sennen, loc. cit. 53 (1934); Satureja longeracemosa Sennen, loc. cit. 53 (1934), nomeng Calamintha mairei Senneng loc. cit. 53 (1934): Satureja mairei Sennen, loce cit. 53 (1934), nomen.

Ic: Jordan, Dbs. Pl. Crit. 4: t.1 f.B (1846), as Calamintha ascendens.

Fl. 6-12. Dry calcareous rocks, scrub, banks, 5.1.-1800 m.
Type: Described from France [figure in Jordang Obs. Pl. Crita 4a $t .1$ f. $B(1846)]$.

Gen. distrib:a $W_{9} 5$ and SC Europeg eastward to Turkey and Irang $N$ Africa.

There is considerable morphological overlap between the two subspecies throughout their range. It is often difficult to assign specimens to one subspecies or the other. The range of ssp. sylvatica is entirely within the range of ssp. ascendens but tends to be mostly in the northern part of the species? distribution.
7. C. nepeta (L.) Savi, Fl. Pis. 2:63 (1798)!

Syn: ?Clinopodium carolinianum Millerg Gard. Dicto ed. 8 (1768); Melissa parviflora Salisbog Prodr. Stirp. Chapel Allerton Viga 86 (1796), nomen; Thymus nepeta (La) Smag Fl. Brit. 2:642 (1800)! Melissa obtusifolia Pers:g Syn. P1. 2:132 (1807); ?Thymus minor Trevag Ind. Sem. Hort. Vratislog App. 1:4 (1819)g Calamintha Canescens Presl, F1. Sic. 37 (1826): Calamintha rotundifolia Host, Fl. Austr: 2: 131 (1832) if Melissa aethos Hort. ex Benth. Lab. Gen. Sp. 387 (1834); Calamintha dilitata Schrad.g Ann. Sci. Nat. 6: 100 (1836): Satureja nepeta (Lo) Scheele, Flora $26: 577$ (1843): Calamintha canescens C. Koch, Linnaea $21: 672$ (1848), non Presl (1826), non Torr: \& A. Gray ex Benth: (1848): Clinopodium nepeta (L.) O. Kuntze; Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891): Satureja x narentana Ka

Maly, Herb. Norm. no. 4931 (1908).

Leaves 20-45 mm long, clearly 5-9-toothed on each side: verticillasters very lax, cymes (5-)10-20flowered; peduncles 8-18 mmg pedicels 5-10 mm ssp. nepeta Leaves 8.5-20(-25) mm longg sub-entire or with up to 5 shallow teethis verticillasters crowded, cymes 5-10(-15)-floweredg peduncles 0-5(-10) amg pedicels 0-5 mm ssp. glandulosa

5sp. nepeta
Syn: Melissa nepeta $L_{g} g$ Sp. Pl. 593 (1753)! Calamintha trichotoma Moench, Meth. 409 (1794): Thymus diffusus Hortul. ex Benthog Lab. Gen. Sp. 387 (1834), nomen in synag non Bluff \& Fingerh. (1826); Calamintha nepetoides Jordag Dbs. P1. Crit. 4:16 (1886); Calamintha mollis Jord. ex Lamotte, Prod. Fl. Plat. Centr. 2:599 (1881)g Calamintha thessala Haussknog Mitt. Thur. Bot. Verho 9:46 (1890)g Satureja nepetoides (Jord.) Fritsch, Exk. F1. Austr. 478 (1897); Satureja varbossiana Maly, Wiss. Mitt. Bosn. Herzeg. 8:444 (1901): Satureja calamintha ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) Scheele 5sp. nepetoides (Jord.) Br . -Bl .g Jahresb. Naturf. Ges. Graubundens 1923, 24:203 (1924); Satureja mollis (Jord. ex Lamotte) E. Perrier, Mem. Acad. Sci. Savoie, Sera 5, 5a 201 (1928): Calamintha largiflora Klokov, Fl. RSS Ucra 9:660 (1960).

Ic: Jordang Obs. Pl. Crita 4: t.2 f.B (1846), as Calamintha nepetoides:

F1. 7-10. Calcareous rocks, cliffs, scree, open areas, dry river bed bank, grassland, gullies, $300-1520 \mathrm{~m}$ 。 Type: Described from Italy ( $\mathrm{Hb} . \operatorname{Linn} .745 / 5$, microfiche!). Gen. distrib.: S \& SC Europe, eastwards to Turkey.
s5p．glandulosa（Req．）P．W：Ball，Bot．J．Linn．Soc．65：347 （1972）．

Syn：Thymus glandulosus Req．g Ann．Scia Nat．g ser． 1, 5：386（1828）； Melissa calamintha Log Sp．Pl。 593 （1753）；Calamintha vulgaris Garsault，Fig．Pla Anim．Med．t． 187 （1764）；Thymus calamintha（L．） Scop．g Flo Carno ed．2，1：425（1771）；Calamintha parviflora Lamog F1．Fr：2：396（1778）：Calamintha officinalis Moench，Meth． 409 （1794）；Melissa subnuda Waldst．\＆Kito，Desc．Ic．Pl．Rar．Hung． 3：291，t． 262 （1810）；Thymus moschatella Poll．g Brugnat．Giorn．Fis． 9：33（1816）：Thymus umbrosus Spreng．g Nov．Prov． 41 （1819）；Thymus subnudus（Waldst．\＆Kita）Spreng：g Syst．Veg．2：698（1825）：Thymus athonicus Bernh．ex Reichenb．g F1．Germ．Exc．1：329（1831）； Calamintha subnuda（Waldst．\＆Kita）Host，Fl．Austr．2： 130 （1832）； Calamintha obliqua Host，loc．cit．131（1832）；Melissa glandulosa （Req．）Benth．g Lab．Gen．Sp． 387 （1834）：Thymus clandestinus Salzm． ex Mutel，Fl．Fro 3： 18 （1836），nomeng Gatureja calamintha（L．） Scheele，Flora（Regensburg）26：577（1843）；Calamintha glandulosa （Req．）Bentho in DCog Prodra 12： 227 （184B）；Calamintha byzantina C． Koch，Linnaea 21：672（1848）：Micromeria dalmatica Fenzl，Cat．Sem． Vind．（1851）；Micromeria byzantina（Co Koch）Walp．g Anna Bata Syst． 3：251（1852）；Calamintha spruneri Bois5．g Diagn．ser：1（12）：53 （1853）：Calamintha fenzlii Visog Revis．Pl．Min．Cogn． 7 （1855）； Calamintha stricta Reichenb．g Ic．F1。Germ．18：44（1858），nomen： Satureja fenzlii（Vis．）Nym。g Sylı．Fl．Eurag Suppl．1：20（1865）； Faucibarba officinalis（Moench）Dulacg Fl．Hautes－Pyr． 402 （1867）： Faucibarba umbrosa Dulac，loc．cit．（1867）：Faucibarba parviflora （Lam．）Dulacg loc．cit． 403 （1867）；Calamintha gussonei Tod．ex Nymag Consp． 588 （1881），nomen；Calamintha calamintha（L．）$H_{0}$ Karst，Deutsche Fl．Pharm．Med．Bot． 1002 （1882），nomen illeg．g Satureja glandulosa（Req．）Car．in Parl．g Fl．Ital．6：125（1884）；

Clinopodium glandulosum (Req.) O. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891): Clinopodium calamintha (L.) D. Kuntze, loc. cit. 515 (1891); Clinopodium subnudum (Waldst. \& Kit.) D. Kuntze, loc. cit. 516 (1891): Satureja bosniaca Maly, Glasn. Zem. Muz. Bosn. Herzeg. 11:138 (1899); Satureja subnuda (Waldst, \& Kita) Dorfler, Herb. Norm. no. 4289 (1902); Calamintha enriquei Sennen \& Pau in Sennen, Fl. Catal. 141 (1917); Satureja calamintha (Lo) Scheele ssp. glandulosa (Req.) Gams in Hegi, I11. Fl Mitteleur. 5:2292 (1928)g Satureja calamintha (L.) Scheele ssp. subnuda (Waldst. \& Kit.) Gams in Hegi, loc. cit. 2293 (1928); Calamintha nepeta (L.) Savi var. spruneri (Boiss.) Hayek, Prodr. Fl. Balc. 2:326 (1929); Satureja villosa (Boiss.) Druce, Rep. Bot. Exch. C1. Brit. Isles 1928, 8:873 (1929), non (Persa) Dorfler (1898); Calamintha cantabrica Sennen \& Elias, Bol. Soc. Iber. Cien. Nat. 1933, 32:26 (1934); Satureja cantabrica Sennen \& Elias, loc. cit. (1934), nomen; Calamintha josephi Sennen, Bol. Soc. Iber. Ciec. Nat. 1933, 32:30 (1934); Satureja josephi Sennen, loc. cit. (1934), nomen; Calamintha caballeroi Sennen ex Sennen \& Pau, Bol. Soc. Iber. Cien. Nat. 1933, 32:55 (1934); Satureja caballeroi Sennen \& Pau, loca cit. (1934), nomen: Calamintha litardieri Sennen, loc. cit. 56 (1934); Satureja litardieri Senneng loc. cit. 56 (1934), nomeng Calamintha macra Klokov, F1. RSS Ucr. 9:660 (1960).

Ic: Jordan, Obs. Pl. Crit. 4: t. 2 foA (1846), as Calamintha nepeta.

Fl. (4-)6-11. Road banks, granitic or calcareous rocks, river valley alluvium, river, and stream banks, fields, sandy beach, s. $1 .-2000 \mathrm{~m}$.

Type: [Corsica] sur les bords de la riviere de Calarima, dans le Niolo en Corse, M. Requien.

Gen. distrib.: E England, S Europe, eastwards to Turkey, rare in Nw

Africa.

The following taxa correspond with intermediates between $C$ a nepeta 5sp. nepeta and 55p. glandulosa.

Thymus brauneanus Hoppe ex Reichenb.g Fl. Germ. Exc. 1:329 (1831): Calamintha einseliana F. Schultz, Arch. Fl. Fr. Allem. 178 (1850): Calamintha subisodonta Borbas, Dest. Bot. Zeit. 32:219 (1892) : Satureja einseliana ( $F_{\text {: Schultz) Hayek in Wettsteing Sched. Fl. }}^{\text {F }}$. Exsicc. Austro-Hung. $10: 46$ (1913): Calamintha fontii Sennen \& Pau, Fl. Catal. 143 (1917): Satureja brauneana (Hoppe ex Reichenb.) Java, Magy: Bot. Lap. 17:50 (1918); Satureja brauneana (Hoppe ex Reichenb.) Jav. ssp. transsilvanica (Java) Borza, Bul. Grad. Bot. Cluj 5:100 (1925); Calamintha nepeta ( $L_{\text {: }}$ ) Savi 5sp. subisodonta (Borbas) Hayek, Prod. F1. Balc. 926 (1931); Calamintha brauneana (Hoppe ex Reichenb:) D. Schwarzg Mitt. Thur. Bot. Ges. 1:113 (1949): Satureja subisodonta (Borbas) Soo, Boto Kozl. 49:157 (1961).

The following are probably synonyms of either $C$. sylvatica or $C$. nepeta.

Calamintha cacuminiglabra Sennen, Bol. Soc. Iber. Cien. Nat. 1933, 32:52 (1934); Satureja cacuminiglabra Senneng loc. cita (1934), nomens Calamintha dufourii Sennen, loc. cit. 59 (1934); Satureja dufourii Sennen, loc. cit. (1934), nomen.
3.9.9. 8. C. rouyana (Briq.) Rouy in Rouy \& Foucaud, F1. Fr: 11:3.36 (1909).

Syn: Satureja rouyana Briq: Lab. Alp. Mar. 442 (1895).
Ic: Knoche, Fl. Balearica 4: t. 13 (1923).
Fl. B. Fissures of calcareous rocks, 1200-1300 m.

Type: ?
Gen. distrib.: Balearic Islands, Corsica.
Closely related to $C$. nepeta but distinguished from it by its very low suffruticose habit, very slender stems, much smaller, more narrowly ovate leaves, flowers always solitary in leaf axils, smaller calyx and smaller corolla.
3.9 .10.
9. C. candidissima (Munby) Benth. in DC.g Prodr. 12:226 (1848). Syn: Melissa candidissima Munby, Fl. Alger. 61 (1847); Clinopodium candidissimum (Munby) 0. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891): Satureja candidissima (Munby) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, $3 a: 302$ (1897); TSatureja $x$ alboviridis Faure \& Maire, Bull. Soc. Hist. Nat. Afr. Nord 20: 195 (1929).

Type: ?
Gen. distriba: Algeria.
This species is easily identifiable on account of the dense, dendroid indumentum. It comes closest to $C$. nepeta and $C$. sylvatica。
3.9.11. 10. C. cretica (L.) Lam., Fl. Fr. 2:395 (1778)!

Syn: Melissa cretica $L_{a g}$ Sp. Pl. 593 (1753)! Nepeta incana Sieber ex Reichenb.g Fl. Germ. Exc. 328 (1831), nomen; Thymus hirtus Sieber ex Benth., Lab. Gen. Sp. 386 (1834), nomeng Clinopodium creticum (L.) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. P1a 2:515 (1891)! Satureja cretica (L.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4,3a:302 (1897)!

Fl. 6-10. Calcareous rocks, stony places, gorges, $150-650 \mathrm{~m}$. Type: Described from Monspelia ( Hb . Linn. 745/6, microfiche!). Gen. distrib.: Crete.
11. C. incana (Sm.) Boiss., Fl. Atticae Heldr. 1844 (1845).

Syn: Thymus incanus Sm. in Sibth. \& Smog Prod. Fl. Graec. 1:421 (1809): Melissa cretica sensu Banks \& Sol. in Russ.g Nat. Hist. Aleppog ed. 2, 2:256 (1794)g Melissa incana (Sma) Benth.g Lab. Gen. Sp. 386 (1834): Clinopodium incanum (Sm.) 0. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl: 2:515 (1891): Satureja incana (Sm.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4,3a:301 (1897), non (Ruiz \& Pava) Spreng: (1825): Satureja boissieri Briqog loc. cit. 302 (1897) [supposedly So incana $x$ S. calamintha sspo nepeta var. nepetal $=S_{\text {a }} \times$ atheniensis Briq. Ann. Conserv. Jard. Bot. Geneve 2:192 (1898); ?Satureja pallaryi Thiebaut, Bull. Soc. Bot. Fr. 1937, 84:699 (1938).

Ic: Sibth. \& Smı F1. Graec. 6: 62, t. 577 (1827).
Fl. 1, 5-11. Dry stony ground, roadside, fields, $30-910 \mathrm{mo}$ Type: ?

Gen. distrib.a Cyprus, Greece, Aegean Islands, Turkey, $W$ Syria, Israelg Lebanong Libya.
C. incana has been confused with $C$. cretica. Howeverg the dense, grey crispate-tomentose indumentum of $C$. incana contrasts quite clearly from the sparser; patent pubescence of $C$ c cretica.
3.9.13. 12. Co kilimandschari Gurke ex Engler, Abh. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. 1891, 2:366 (1892).

Syn: Satureja kilimandschari (Gurke) Hedberg; Symb. Bot. Upsal. 15: 162 (1957)。

Fl. 2-11. Dry rock slopes, rock crevices, bare ground, alpine grasslands, 3350-4420 ma

Type: Tanganyika Tanzania; Kilimanjaro, between Mue River and vegetation limit, $2800-4000$ m, Meyer 234 (holo. $B-10 s t$ ). Kilimanjaro, on dry ground near Peter's Hut, 3800 m , Hedberg 1245 (neotype $B R, E A, K_{g} L D, S_{9}$ UPS).

Gen. distrib: Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda.
There is a facies similarity of some of the more luxurient specimens to Killickia compacta. However, closer inspection reveals a wide divergence in inflorescence, calyx and corolla structure.
3.9 .14
13. C. uhligii (Gurke) Verdcourt, Kew Bull. 1956:447 (1957)!

Syn: Satureja uhligii Gurkeg Bot. Jahrb. 36:128 (1905)g Calamintha elgonensis Bullockg Kew Bull. 1932:502 (1932)!

Fl. 1-4, 6-7, 9, 12. Grassland, open banks, crater of volcano, in shade of Junipers, thickets, 2280-3960 mo

Type: Kenya, Kilimandscharo Gebiet uber Arusha, $2800 \mathrm{~m}_{9}$ Uhlig 517. Gen. distrib: : Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda。
3.9.15. 14. C. paradoxa Vatke, Linnaea 37:327 (1872)!

F1. 1-2, 5, 8-12. Moist grassy placess margins of evergreen forest, near stream banks, $1520-3360 \mathrm{~m}$.

Type: [Ethiopia] ex Tigre $v$. Begemder, ann. 1863-8, Schimper 1546 (holo. K!).

Gen. distrib: Ethiopia:

The ovate leaves with rounded apices; subcordate bases and numerous, regularly-spaced crenations, the condensed head-like inflorescence with sessile verticillasterss and the parallel thecae are together unusual in the genus. However, the shape of the calyx and corolla has close similarities to other African Calamintha species.
3.9 .46
15. Co gracilis Benth. in DC.g Prodr. 12: 232 (1848).

Syn: Melissa cretica sensu Thunb.g Fl Jap. 247 (1784), non L. (1753): Cunila moluccana Zipp. nomen in herb. Lugd. Bat. Calamintha moluccana Zip. ex Miq.g Fl. Ind. Bat. 2:968 (1859);

Clinopodium gracile (Benth.) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:514 (1891); Calamintha radicans Van.g Bull. Acad. Int. Geog. Bot. 14:182 (1904), p.p. [Badinier 1131!]; Satureja 'gracilis (Benth.) Nakai, Journ. Coll. Sc. Univ. Tokyo 31:149 (1911); Clinopodium longipes C. Y. Wu \& Hsuan ex H. Wa Li, Acta Phytotax. Sin. 12(2):217 (1974). Ic: F1. Reip. Pop. Sin. $66: 237$ t. 54 f. 1-6 (1977). Fl. 3-9. Ploughed field, grassy banks, path-sides, sub-tropical hill jungle, $100-1830 \mathrm{~m}$.

Syntypes: Java, Zolling 332, 1841 (G).
Gen. distrib.: SE China, Hong Kong, S Japan, Burma, Malaya, Java, Lesser Sunda Islands, Celebes, Moluccas.

Much confusion has arisen over the $C$. gracilis-confinisussuriensis complex. These species are particularly variable in habit, leaf shape and verticillaster density. Also numerous errors of identification have added to the difficulties. However, a detailed study of these species revealed that they are easily identified on leaf, inflorescence and calyx characters, as follows:

1. Inflorescence bracteate; calyx glabrous or very rarely minutely puberulent on nerves $\quad$ C. confinis

* Inflorescence terminaly ebracteate; calyx shortly and sparsely hairy

2. Leaves broadly ovate, mostly rounded at base, 11-30(-40) $\times 8.5-18(-25) \mathrm{mm}$ shallowly toothed, teeth $0.3-1.2 \mathrm{~mm}_{\text {, }}$ rather rounded, obtuse $\quad$ Co gracilis $^{\text {a }}$

+ Leaves narrowly ovate, often cuneate at base, (10-)20-57 $\times(6.5-) 10-29 \mathrm{~mm}$; deeply toothed, teeth $1.0-2.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, apex mostly acute C. ussuriensis

Kudo (1929) reduced Melissa cretica sensu Thunb, to a synonym
of S. confinis (Hance) Kudo, but from Thunberg's description, "Melissa racemis terminalibus, pedunculis solitariis brevissimis", it is more likely to refer to $C$ g gracilis.
3.9.1 16. C. micrantha (Regel) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov. Syn: Hedeoma micrantha Regel, Gartenfl. 1864:357 (1864); Calamintha gracilis Bentha vara densiflora Hance, Ann. Sci. Nat.g ser: 5, 5:236 (1866); Clinopodium micranthum (Regel) Hara, Journ. Jap. Bot. 16:156 (1940); Clinopodium omuranum Honda, Bot. Mag.g Tokyo 54:223 (1940); Satureja micrantha (Regel) Nakai, Bullo Nat. Sci: Mus. Tokyo n.5. 31:99 (1952).

Ic: Somoku Dzusetsu ed. 2, 11: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{o}} 21$ (1874). Roadsides, open places.

Type: A cultivated specimen raised from seed collected by $C$. Maximovicz near Yokohama, Japan (holo. LE).

Gen. distrib: Japan.
Very similar to $C$ gracilis but distinguished by its more cuneate leaf baseg lower leaf lamina densely punctate-glandular; and the cleistogamous flowers with a tube only c. 1.7 mm long. Further study may show that $C$ micrantha is merely a cleistogamous form of C. gracilis.
17. Co confinis Hance, Journ. Bot. 6: 331 (1868).

Syn: Clinopodium confine (Hance) D. Kuntze, Revo Gen. Pla 2:515 (1891): Calamintha radicans Vanag Bull. Acad. Int. Geog. Bot. 14:182 (1904), p.po [Kouy-Tcheou, Hoang Kog 1 vi 1898, Leguin S.n.! 1; Calamintha argyi Levl.g Fedde, Rep. Sp. Nov. 8:423 (1910)! Satureja confinis (Hance) Kudo, Mem. Fac. Sci. Agric. Taihoku Imp. Univa 2:100 (1929).

Ic: Fl. Reip. Pop. Sin. $66: 237$ t. 54 f.7-9 (1977).

Fl. 4-6. Margin of river, among rocks in shade, thickets, $c_{0} 40 \mathrm{~m}$. Type: [China] ad Sai-chii-shang Prov, Cantoniensis, iv 1866, T. Sampson 13045.

Gen. distrib: E \& SE China.
3.9.19. 18. C. ussuriensis Regel \& Maack ex Regel, Mem. Acad. Imp. Scio St. Petersb. ser: 7, 4(4):116 (1861)。

Syn: Calamintha umbrosa sensu Miq.g [Prol. Fl. Jap: 38$]$ Ann. Mus. Lugd. Bat. 2(4):38 (1865); Calamintha umbrosa (M. Bieb.) Fisch. \& C. A. Mey, vara sachalinensis Fra Schmog Fl: Sachal. 164 (1868); Calamintha umbrosa (Ma Bieb.) Fisch. \& Co A. Mey. varo japonica Fr. \& Sava, Enum. Pl. Jap. 1:368 (1875): Calamintha multicaulis Maxima, Mel: Biol. 9: 444 (1875): Clinopodium multicaule (Maxima) $\mathrm{Da}_{\mathrm{a}}$ Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891): Clinopodium ussuriensis (Regel \& Maack) 0. Kuntze, loc: cit. 2:516 (1891); Calamintha fauriei Levl. \& Van.; Fedde Repert. Beih. 8:259 (1910)! Calamintha taquetii Levl. \& Van.g loc. cit. 8:423 (1910), p.p. [Taquet 1254, 1255, 3093, non Taquet 12401: Satureja umbrosa Matsum \& Kudo, Bota Magag Tokyo 26:299 (1912); Satureja multicaulis (Maxima) Nakaig Rep. Veg. Quelpaert 78 (1914); Satureja multicaulis (Makimo) Nakai var. fauriei (Levl \& Van.) Nakaig Bot. Magag Tokyo 35:194 (1921)! Satureja ussuriensis (Regel \& Maack) Kudog Journ. Coll. Sci. Imp. Univ. Tokyo $43(8): 36$ (1921); Satureja sachalinensis (Fra Schm.) Kudo, loc. cit. 34 (1921): Clinopodium sachalinense (Fr. Schmo) Koidzumi, Bota Magag Tokyo 43:387 (1929); Clinopodium fauriei (Lev1. \& Van.) Hara, Journ. Jap. Bot. 11:106 (1935)! ?Clinopodium minimum Hara, loc. cit. 109 (1935); Satureja fauriei (Levlo \& Van.) Nakai, Bull. Nat. Sci. Musog Tokyo no. 31:99 (1952):

Ic: Regel \& Maack, loc. cit. t.9.f. 10-11 (1861); Hara, Journ. Jap = Bot. 11:103 f. 15 (1935), as Clinopodium multicaule.

F1. 7-10. In forest and grassy places, sandy banks.
Type: [USSR] an der Ussuri-Mundung and am obern Ussuri bei Tschomborko. Wachst auf sandigen Ufern and Inseln. Maack (holo. LE?).

Gen. distrib: NE Chinag USSR (Ussuri \& Sakhalin), Korea, Japan.
3.9.20. 19. Co debilis (Bunge) Benth. in DC., Prodr. 12:232 (1848).
 debilis (Bunge) Benth.g Lab. Gen. Sp. 391 (1834); Calamintha annua Schrenk, Bull. Acad. Sci. St. Petersb. 10:353 (1842)! Clinopodium annuum (Schrenk) 0. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891): Clinopadium debile (Bunge) 0 . Kuntze, loc. cita (1891): Satureja debilis (Bunge) Briq. in Engler \& Prantlg Nata Pflanzenfama 4g3as 302 (1897): Satureja annua (Schrenk) Briq.g loce cit. (1897)! Calamintha caucasica Somm. \& Levag Nuovo Giorn. Itala 1897:207 (1897).

Ic: Ledebour, Ic. Pl. Ross. 5: t. 438 (1834): Fl. Reip: Pop. Sin. 66:241, t. 55 (1977)。

F1. 8.

Type: ?
Gen. distrib: $S$ USSR, eastwards to China.
The affinities of $C$ debilis seen to be with the Mediterranean rather than Far East species, though in leaf shape it is similar to the Nepalese Co piperita。
3.9.21. 20. C. piperita (D. Don) A. Doroszenko, combe nov.

Syn: Thymus piperitus D. Dong Frodr. Fl. Nep. 112 (1825); Thymus origanifolius D. Dons loc. cit. 113 (1825), non Vis. (1830)? Clinopodium longicaule Benth. in Wallag Pl. As. Rar: 1:66 (1830); Melissa longicaulis (Benth:) Benth.g Lab. Gen. Sp. 395 (18.34);

Calamintha longicaulis (Benth.) Benth. in DC.g Prodr. 12:234 (1848): Satureja piperita (D. Don) Briq. in Engler \& Prantly Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, $3 a: 302$ (1897): Satureja longicaulis (Benth.) Benerjig Rec. Bot. Surva Ind. 19(2):77 (1966); Clinopodium piperitum (D. Don) Murata, Acta Phytotax. Geobot. 28:30 (1977) [the comb. nov. by Press in Hara, Chater \& Williams, Enum. Fl. Pl. Nepal 3:150 (1982) is superfluous].

Fl. 2-5. Beneath shrubs and trees, moist shady banks, 1430-2750 m. Type: ?

Gen. distrib.: Nepal.
This species is isolated in the genus. Howeverg the calyx and corolla are similar to $C$. grandiflora and $C$. betulifoliag though rather more narrowly tubular, and in leaf shape is similar to $C$. debilis.
3.9.2.2. Nom. dubia et rejec.
C. adriani Sennen \& Teodoro, Treb. Mus. Cienc. Nat. Barcelona 15; ser: Bot:g 1:30 (1931), nomen.
C. albiflora Vanag Bull. Acad. Geog. Bot. 14:181 (1904) [holo. at Le Mans] = Nepeta cataria L.

Co arvensis (L.) Garsault, Fig. Pla Anim. Med. t. 190 (1764) = Mentha arvensis L.

Co $x$ barolesii Sennen, Boly Soc. Iber. Cien. Nat. 1933, 32:61 (1934) $=$ possibly an Acinos sp.
C. bianoris Sennen, Bol: Soc. Iber: Cien. Nat: 1933, 32:54 (1934):
C. $x$ cadevallii Senneng Bol. Soc. Arag. 11:237 (1912) according to Sennen is Calamintha adscendens $\times$ clinopodium.
C. canescens Torr: \& A. Gray ex Benth. in DCa, Prodr. 12: 229 (1848)
= Conradina canescens (Torr: \& A. Gray ex Benth.) A. Gray.
C. Cavaleriei Levl. \& Van. g Fedde Rep. Sp. Nov. 8:424 (1910) = Melissa axillaris (Benth.) Bakh. $f=$
C. clipeata Vana, Bulla Acad. Geog. Bot. 14:184 (1904) = Mosla chinensis Maxim。
C. conillii Senneng Bol. Soc. Arag. 11:237 (1912).

Ca $x$ degenii Murrag Neue Ubersicht Farn ${ }^{*}$ Blutenpfl. Vorarlb. 255 (1923).
C. elata Lojaco, Fl. Sic. 2: 221 (1907).

Co epilosa A. J. Wilmott, Journ. Bot. 56:145 (1918).
C. esquirolii Lev1;, Fedde Fep: Sp. Nova 8:450 (1910) [type at Le Mans] = Coleus esquirolii (Levl.) Dunn.
C. foliosa Opiz, Natural. 3: 20 (1823).
C. hederacea (L.) Scopag F1. Carna ed. 2g 1:423 (1772) = Glechoma hederacea $L$.
C. herba-barona (Loisels) Heynh.g Nom. 1:144 (1840) = Thymus herba-barona Loisel.
C. ilicifolia A. Gray, Proc. Amer: Acad. 8:368 (1873) = Acanthomintha ilicifolia (A. Gray) A. Gray.
C. intermedia Velen., Rel. Mrkvickanae 26 (1922).
C. $x$ joffrei Sennen, Treb. Mus. Cienc. Nat. Barcelona 15, ser: Bot:g 1:31 (1931), nomen.
C. microphylla Rafin.g Herb. Rafin. 79 (1833), nomen.
C. minoae Lojac, Fl. Sic. 2:220 (1907).
C. neglecta Opiz, Natural. 3:20 (1823).
C. palmeri A. Grayg Proc. Aner. Acad. 11:100 (1876) has all the features of Hedeoma but has 4 fertile? stamens. It is rather similar in morphology to $H$. pulegioides (L.) Pers.
C. $x$ planasii Sennen, Diagn. Nouv. Pl. Espagne \& Maroc 40 (1936).
C. rotundifolius sensu Losc. \& Pard.g Ser. Inconf. 82 (1863) = Ziziphora acinoides L.
C. secondaireana Sennen, Bol. Soc. Iber. Cien. Nat. 1933, 32:54 (1934), nomen.
C. spicata Lojacı, Fl. Sic. 2:222 (1907).
C. stenostoma Stapf, Denkschr: Acad. Wiss. Wien 1:95 (1885).

Syn: Melissa L. sect. Clinopodium (L.) Benth., Lab. Gen. Sp. 391 (1834); Calamintha Miller sect. Clinopodium (L.) Benth. in DC.s, Prodr. 12: 232 (1848); Satureja Lo sect. Clinopodium (L.) Briq. in Engler \& Prant1, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3a: 302 (1897).

Erect-ascending perennial herbs or prostrate and suffruticose. Leaves ovate to lanceolate, crenulate to serrate-dentate, flat, long-petiolate, veins usually prominent, camptodromous, palisade mesophyll dorsiventral. Inflorescence of usually distant, condensed, bracteate verticillasters. Verticillasters few- to manyflowered, cymes very dense. Floral leaves exceeding verticillasters. Bracteoles linear, reaching at least to middle of calyx, sometimes forming an involucre. Calyx curved, narrow, + parallelsided tubular, 13 -veined, distinctly bilabiate, throat sparsely hairyi upper lip, sometimes also lower lip, curving upward; upper teeth usually broader and $1 / 2-3 / 4 \times$ as long as lower, teeth long-ciliate. Corolla pink to purple, red or white; tube not resupinate, not annulate inside, glabrous on inside lower half; lips 1/6-1/3 x tube length, upper emarginate, shorter or sometimes as long as lower, lower 3-1obed, central lobe entire or bifid. Stamens 4, convergent, all fertile, sometimes posterior only or all sterile, posterior pair included, anterior just exserted from tube, posterior filaments $1 / 3-1 / 2 \times$ as long as anterior filaments, inserted in upper half of tube; anthers divergent. style branches very unequals the longer broad lanceolate, the shorter narrow filiform. Nutlets sub-orbicular to orbicular, glabrous.

Type species: C. vulgare L.

Clinopodium is most closely related to Calamintha, and rather more distantly to Acinos and Cyclotrichium. These are the four
genera of the Calaminthoid group as I have called them. These genera differ mainly in floral characteristics. Clinopodium is clearly distinguished from Calamintha by its curved calyx tube, with the lower teeth often curving upward. The bracteoles are distinctly longer than the pedicels and peduncles, and the verticillasters as a whole are generally more globose and compact.

Kuntze (1891) and Briquet (1897) had very similar ideas? Clinopodium sensu Kuntze and Satureja sensu Briquet (i.e. Satureja S.l.) are more or less equivalent except that Kuntze thought that the name Clinopodium $L$. had priority over Satureja $L$. His argư ment, based on works published prior to Species Plantarum, ed. 1 (1753), is invalid by the present rules of nomenclature. Nevertheless one could still argue in favour of Cl inopodium rather than Satureja since both were published in Species Plantarum ed. 1. However, from the start Satureja was more broadly circumscribed than Clinopodium by including what is now Micromeria sect. Micromeria. Clinopodium and Satureja were kept apart in all the following editions of Species Plantarum KLinnaeus, 2nd. ed. 1763, 3rd. ed. 1764; Willdenow, 4th. ed. 1800) and by various Floras (e.g. Moench, 1794; Lamarck \& DeCandolle, 1805) until the first quarter of the nineteenth century. Bentham in Labiatarum Genera et Species (1834) maintained Satureja, removing Micromeria sect. Micromeria as a distinct genus, but sunk Clinopodium to sectional level in Melissa L. In Benthan's next revision of the Labiatae [in De Candolle's Prodromus (1888)] Satureja 5.5. remains more or less unchanged but Clinopodium is transferred to Calamintha Miller again as a section (though this is nomenclaturally insupportable since Clinopodium was published before Calamintha). As far as I can ascertain no work before or since Kuntze (1891) places Satureja 5.5: under Clinopodium. All either keep both as distinct genera or
keep Satureja at generic level and Clinopodium at sectional level. Therefore if I were to unite the two genera I would chose the name Satureja just as Briquet (1897) has done.

Janchen (1943) seems to propose the conservation of Calamintha Lam., Fl. France 2:293 (1778), with type C. arvensis Lamo, and the rejection of Clinopodium $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{Sp}$. Pl. 587 (1753). His paper is rather vague about what he actually means. As I understand it Janchen is not actually proposing that Clinopodium L. should be rejected and Calamintha Lam. used in preference. What he does say is "if one, as in this systematic opinion, does not transfer all of the numerous Calamintha species to Clinopodium, only because this is the older name, one must then preserve Calamintha". This proposition is quite correct. The only comment that can be made is that Janchen missed the prior valid publication of the genus Calamintha by Miller in his 4th. abridged edition of the Gardener's Dictionary (1754). Detfolf (1955) discusses in detail the nomenclatural status of Clinopodium and Calamintha in the light of Janchen's paper and recommends "that the proposal for the conservation of the name Calamintha Lam, and the rejection of the name Clinopodium $L$. [should] not receive favourable action". As Janchen had already noted that Cl inopodium L 。"is the older name", it is no surprise that the Special Committee for Pteridophyta and Phanerogamae (1954) voted in favour of Clinopodium by 9 votes to 0 (with 2 abstentions).

There have been marked differences of opinion on the treatment of the Far East Calaminthoid species. Numerous errors of identification have also caused confusion. If one refers to a paper such as Hara (1935) it is seen how often a species' name is used "sensu" such and such an author but placed as a synonym of another species. The recent treatment of this group in Fl. Reip. Pop. Sin.


#### Abstract

(1977) maintains a very narrow species concept but at least the treatment is taxonomically consistent. The synonyms also seem to be correctly assigned. However, if the order in which these species are presented is an indication of the author"s opinion of relationships then even this treatment is hopelessly confused. The most unusual feature is that 11 species are included under Clinopodium and one species under Calamintha (the annual Calamintha debilis). Most previous arrangements have attempted to encompass all of the Calaminthoid species in one genus, either under Satureja sensu Briquet (1897) (e.g. Kuda, 1929), Clinopodium (e.g. Hara, 1935), or Calamintha (e.g. Franchet \& Savatier, 1875): Nevertheless, in the Mediterranean region Calamintha and Clinopodium are quite distinct, and it is no less the case in the Far East.


3.10.1. 1: A procumbent plank, 8-20 cm tall: leaves
4.5-16 mm long: calyx tube 2.5-3. 4 mm , 1 ower teeth as long as tube . 2. atlanticum

+ An erect plant (15-)20-80 cm tallig leaves
15-65(-80) mm long; calyx tube (2.8-)3.5-7.5 mn, lower teeth clearly shorter than tube 2

2. Verticillasters lax, pedicels 2.5-6.0 mmi leaves very deeply toothed, teeth apex sharply acute, apical side 2-4.5 mm long 5. macranthum

* Verticillasters + dense, pedicels $0.8-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ (rarely to 4.0 mm): leaves shallowly toothed, teeth apex rather rounded or broadly acute, generally less than 2 mm on apical side 3

3. Leaves narrowly ovate-lanceolate, cuneate to rounded at base, regularly and clearly broadly acute-toothed [China, Korea, Japan] 3. chinense

+ Leaves broadly ovate, nearly always rounded at the base, margins scarcely notched to small roundedtoothed (very rarely with acute teeth)

4. Bracteoles $5.5-12 \mathrm{~mm}$, reaching at least to calyx teeth, forming an involucre; verticillasters compact [Mediterranean region, east to India]

* Bracteoles (1-)3-7 $\mathrm{mm}_{\text {, }}$ reaching to middle of calyx, not forming an involucreg verticillasters loose [Far East, west to NE Turkey]

4. umbrosum
3.10.2. 1. Co vulgare $L_{i g}$ Sp. $P 1.587$ (1753).

Syn: Clinopodium origanifacie Gilib.g Fl. Lithuan. 2:76 (1782)s Clinopodium aegypiacum Lameg Encycl. Meth. 2:50 (1786); Thymus sylvaticus Bernh., Syst. Verz. Erf. 77 (1800); Melissa glomerata Stokes, Bot. Mat. Med. 3:366 (1812); Clinopodium plumosum Sieb. in Bot. Zeit. 5: 242 (1822); M. clinopodium Bentho, Lab. Gen. Sp. 392 (1834)! Clinopodium atropurpureum Biroli ex Colla, Herb. Pedem. 4: 471 (1835); Clinopodium variegatum Hort. ex Steud.g Nom. Bot. ed. 2, 1:386 (1840); M. vulgaris (L.) Trevis.g Prosp. Fl. Eugan. 26 (1842)! Calamintha clinopodium (Benth.) Benth. in DCag Prodr. 12:233 (1848)! Calamintha aegypiaca Lam. ex Schur, Enum. Pl. Transs. 531 (1866), nomen; Faucibarba clinopodium (Benth.) Dulac, Fl. Hautes-Pyr. 403 (1867)! Calamintha vulgaris (L.) Ho Karst, Deutsche Fl. Pharm. Med. Bot. 1002 (1882)! Satureja clinopodium Car. in Parl., Fl. Ital. 6: 135 (1884)! So vulgaris (L.) Fritsch, Excurs. Fl. Austr. 477 (1897)! Ta clinopodium (Bentha) Ea $H_{0}$ L. Krause in Sturm, F1. Deutschl. ed.2, 11:176 (1903)! Clinopodium
clinopodium (Benth.) Degen in Magyar Bot. Lap. 4:131 (1905), nom. illeg:; Calamintha vulgaris (L.) Druce in Ann. Scot. Nat. Hist. 1906:224 (1906)! Clinopodium aegyptiacum La ex Jacksong Index Linn. Herb. $59(1912)$, nomen! Satureja vulgaris (L.) Fritsch vara neogaea Fernald, Rhodora 46:388 (1944).

Ic: Reichenbag F1. Germo 18: fo1274, I (1858).
Gen. distrib. Europe, Mediterranean region, E to Afghanistan and Nald India.

Bothmer (1967), in his detailed study of this species, concluded that three sub-species could be recognised: spp. vulgare, ssp. orientale, and ssp. villosum. Ball in Flora Europaea (1972) only recognised two sub-species, ssp. vulgare and ssp. arundanum. The present treatment recognises three: ssp. vulgare, ssp. arundanums and sspa villosum.

Ssp. orientale differs only in trivial indumentum and calyx teeth characters from 5sp. arundanum, so Ball and this revision treat it as a synonym of ssp. arundanum. A map presented by Bothmer shows that ssp. villosum is mainly from the NW African Mediterranean coast and Andalucia (Spain) but a few scattered specimens from 5 Central Spain were attributed to this sub-species. Ball possibly saw these Spanish specimens and decided they were densely hairy forms of 55p. arundanum. To be fairg Bothmer does indicate the intermediate nature of the the Central Spanish specimens. I have not seen any Spanish material that is clearly identifiable with ssp. villosum but specimens with narrowly lanceolate leaves, similar to this subspecies and named and labelled as such by Bothmer; have been seen from Andalucia (at E). Very dense, long-plumose inflorescence indumentum and large lanceolate leaves clearly distinguish ssp. villosum in NW Africa.

Dunn (1913) and Doan (1936) took a very broad view of the species and also included $C$. umbrosum and $C$. chinense. However $C$. unbrosum is distinguished by much laxer verticillasters with sparsely ciliate bracteoles only reaching to the middle of the calyx, often smaller calyx teethg and smaller, broader leaves. C. chinense has much more narrowly ovate and clearly broadly acute-toothed leaves, and much shorter calyx teeth. C. vulgare ranges from Europe, eastwards to the Caucasus, Irang $N$ India, Nepal, and Nlw African coastal mountains, while $C$. umbrosum extends to most of SE Asia westwards to NE Turkey. Co chinense is confined to E China, Korea, and Japan. Where there is an overlap in the ranges of $C_{a}$ vulgare and $C_{a}$ umbrosum there is generally no difficulty distinguishing them.

Gleason (1952) states that Satureja [Clinopodium] vulgaris is "certainly native" in northern parts of NE America but introduced in southern U.S.A. If this is so it would be the only species of Satureja 5.1. to have a distribution which extended to both Old and New World. However, by its distribution in America (SE Canada, NE USA $_{9}$ south to $N$ Carolina), I would not be surprised if in fact this species had been introduced by the early New England settlers. Study of pollen profiles may settle the issue.

1. Calyx $7-9.5 \mathrm{mmg}$ lower teeth $2.5-4.0 \mathrm{~mm}$

+ Calyx $9.5-12.5 \mathrm{mmg}$ lower teeth $4.0-6.0 \mathrm{~mm}$

2. Calyx extremely densely white-plunoseg
leaves 40-80 mm, narrowly lanceolate 5sp. villosum

+ Calyx sparsely pubescent; leaves usually
less than $45 \mathrm{~mm}_{9}$ broadly ovate $55 p_{\text {a }}$ arundanum

5sp. vulgare
Syn: Clinopodium aegyptiacum Millas Gard. Dict. ed.8, n.6 (1768); Calamintha $x$ pillichiana J. Wagner in Magyar Bot. Lap. 23/1924:76 (1925); Clinopodium integerrimum Bariss. in Not. Syst. Herb. Inst. Acad. Sci. URSS 15:32日 (1953); Clinopodium vulgare $L_{0}$ ssp. cimbricum Bocher in Vida, Symp. Biol. Hung. 12:29 (1972); $x$ Calapodium pillichianum (J. Wagner) Holub in Folia Geobot: Phytotax. 11:82 (1976).

Type: Described from Europe and Canada $\langle\mathrm{Hb}$. Linn. 742/1, microfiche!).

Gen. distrib: Europe, $N$ Turkey, Caucasus, 5 USSR, $N$ Iraq, $N$ Iran, Afghanistan, Kashmir, $N$ India (introduced to SE Canada, NE USA, south to $N$ Carolina).

I have not seen the type of the Danish $C$. vulgare $L_{\text {. }}$ ssp. cimbricum Bocher. However, one specimen from $N$ Denmark near the type locality (Holng et al 496) and two from Sweden (both Erikson ann. 1907) match very well the description and photograph presented by Bocher. These specimens are prostrate, short-stemmed, and with small ( $25-38 \times 13-19 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), shortly petiolate ( $3-7 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) leaves. The solitary, terminal verticillaster has only 5-6 flowers with small calyces (tube 4-5 mms upper teeth $1.5-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, lower teeth $3.0-3.5$ mm ) and corollas (tube c. 11 mm ) just as described by Bocher. Although specimens of spp. cimbricum keep their distinctive habit in cultivation (Bocher, 1972), this dearf alpine variant cannot otherwise be separated from $C$. vulgare ssp. vulgare. Similarly depauperate alpine specimens have been found in Turkey ©Davis, 1982).

The differences given in Flora URSS (1954) to distiguish C. integerrimum from $C$ vulgare (entire leaves, glandular hairs, stronger calyx curvature, smaller corollas, and different nutlet
shape) do not hold. Entire leaves are common in C. vulgare. Glandular hairs have been seen sporadically in specimens from India, Pakistan, Cyprus, and Turkey. Calyx curvature varies a great deal in $C$. vulgare and the curvature in $C$. integerrimum is nothing out of the ordinary, neither is the small corolla completely without equal in C. vulgare. As for nutlet shape I can see no difference at all judging by a drawing presented in Flora URSS.

From the description of Calamintha $x$ pillichiana this taxon appears to be a female sex-form of Clinopodium vulgare. The possibility of this being an inter-generic hybrid as suggested by Wagner (1925) [Calamintha nepeta (La) Savi ssp. glandulosa (Req.) Paf. Ball x Clinopodium vulgare L. 1 seems highly unlikely.

5sp. arundanum (Bois5.) Nym.g Consp. Fl. Eur. 587 (1881). Syn: Melissa arundana Boissog Voy. Bot. Esp. 2:498 (1841); Calamintha arundana (Boissa) Benth. in DC.s Prodr. 12:233 (1848); Clinopodium arundanum (Bois5.) Nym., Syll. Fl. Eur. 101 (1854-55): Clinopodium vulgare L. 5sp: orientale Bothmer in Bot. Not. 120:206 (1967).

Type: In regione montana, in ditione Serrania de Ronda inter montem Sierra de la Nieve et pagum Igualeja, fla Jul.g Haenseler. (holo. G?).

Gen. distrib: 5 Europe.
ssp. villosum (Noe) Bothmer in Bot. Not. 120: 205 (1967). Syn: Clinopodium villosum Noe in Bull. Soc. Bot. Fr. 2:580 (1855); Satureja vulgaris (L.) Fritsch sspa villosa (Noe) Maire, Cat. Pl. Maroc 3:649 (1934).

Syntypes: Algeria: in sylva cedrorum Teniet-el-Haad; in rupestribus umbrosis montis Djebel Mouzaia; prope Medeah; Boghar ad saepes fere

Scandens; Blidah, in dumetis secus torrentem Oued Knis prope Alger; Alger; in Kabyliae montosis Tizi-Ouzou; in vallibus Sebaou; in montibus Aurasiis Djebel Cheliah; in convallibus montis Hedough prope Bone: La Calle. All collected by E. Cosson (P). Gen. distrib.: Nb Africa, S Spaina
3.10 .3
2. C. atlanticum (Ball) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Calamintha atlantica Ball in Joura Bot. 13:175 (1875), non Coss. (1862), nomens Satureja atlantica (Ball) Maire in Mem. Soc. Sc. Nat. Marce: 7: 193 (1924).

Fl. 6-8. Calcareous cliffs, dry ledges, rocky hillsides, 1800-2800 mo Syntypes: Marocco: in regione media et subalpina Atlantis Majoris Ait Mesan, 1800-2800 mi Djebel Tezah; in convalle Ourika. All collected by J. Hooker \& J. Ball in 1871 (K?).

Gen. distrib: Morocco.
A distinct species restricted to the Grand Atlas of Morocco. It comes closest to $C$. vulgare in leaf shape and verticillaster arrangement, but differs in its procumbent ascending habit, slender, flexuous stems 8-20 cm long, generally smaller leaves, shorter bracteoles, smaller calyx with lower teeth as long as the tube, and smaller corollas.
3.10.4. 3. C. chinense (Benth.) D.Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. $2: 515$ (1891). Syn: Calamintha chinensis Benth. in DCog Prodr. 12:233 (1848)! Clinopodium vulgare sensu Thunb:g Fl. Jap. 247 (1784), non $L$. (1753); Calamintha clinopodium (Benth.) Benth. var. chinensis (Benth.) Miq. in Ann. Mus. Bot. Lugd. Bat. 2: 236 (1866)! Satureja chinensis (Benth.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, $3 \mathrm{a}: 302$ (1897)!

Ic: Sugawara, Fl. Saghalien 4: 1600 , t .733 (1940); Fl. Reip. Pop.

Sin. 66:227, t. 52 (1977).
A very variable species especially in stature, leaf size, depth of teeth and pubescence. It is closest to C. vulgare but differs in its usually narrower lanceolate, distinctly serrate leaves, shortly pubescent bracteoles (not plumose), and shorter calyx teeth. C. chinense is from China, Korea and Japan, whereas C. vulgare is from Europe eastward to Nb India.

| Calyx $5-7.5 \mathrm{~mm}$; corolla $7-13 \mathrm{~mm}$ | var. chinense |
| :--- | :--- |
| Calyx $8-10 \mathrm{mmg}$ corolla $17-21 \mathrm{~mm}$ | var. discolor |

var. chinense
Syn: Calamintha clinopodium (Benth.) Benth. var. urticifolia Hance in Ann. Sc. Natas ser. 5 , 5: 235 (1866); Calamintha coreana Levl. in Fedde, Rep. Sp. Nov. 9:246 (1911)! Calamintha urticifolia (Hance) Hand. Mazz. in Act. Hort. Gothoburg. 9:83 (1934); Clinopodium coreanum (Levl.) Hara in Journ. Jap. Bot. 12:40 (1936)! Satureja coreana (Lev1.) Nakai in Bull. Nat. Sci. Mus. Tokyo 31:99 (1952)! Clinopodium urticifolium (Hance) CoY. Hu \& Hsuan ex H.W.Li in Acta Phytotax. Sin. 12:219 (1974).

Fl. 6-10. Roadside, open grassy slopes, calcareous meadows, 2003400 m.

Syntypes: In China, Fortune A.90. Bonin Is.: Loo Choo, Beechey (iso. E!).

Gen. distrib: E China, Korea, Japan, Bonin Is.
The Beechey type specimen is depauperate and atypical of the species.
var. discolor (Diels) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.
Syn: Calamintha discolor Diels in Notes RBG Edinb. 5:232 (1912)!

Satureja chinensis (Benth.) Briq. var. discolor (Diels) Kudo in Mem. Fac. Sci. Ag. Taihoku 2(2):104 (1929)! Clinopodium discolor (Diels) C. Y. Wu \& Hsuan ex H. W. Li in Acta Phytotax. Sin. 12:221 (1974)!

Fl. 6-9. Grassy openings in and on margins of pine and mixed forests, 1600-3050 m.

Type: Yunnan: Side valleys on the eastern flank of the Tali Range, $25^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}_{3} 2750-3050 \mathrm{~m}$ G. Forrest 4527 (holo. E!).

Gen. distrib.: Yunnan, China.
This variety is much larger in all its floral parts, and the corolla tends to be of a redder hue, but otherwise it is indistinguishable from the type variety. However, their distributions are distinct in China. Subspecific rank for these taxa may, therefore, be justified.
3.10.5. 4. C. umbrosum (M. Bieb.) C. Koch in Linnaea 11:673 (1848). Syn: Melissa umbrosa M. Bieb.g Fl. Taur.-Cauc. 2:63 (1808); Scutellaria repens Buch.-Ham. ex D. Don, Prod. Fl. Nep. 110 (1825); Thymus repens D. Don, loc. cit. 113 (1825); Ziziphora javanica Bl.g Bijdr. 822 (1826); Clinopodium repens (D.Don) Walla ex Benth.g Pl. As. Rar $1: 66(1830)$, non Vell. (1825); Scutellaria cana Wall. ex Benth., loc. cit. 67 (1830): Scutellaria wallichiana A. Ham.s Esq. Monogr. Scutell. 28, t. 2 g fol (1832); Melissa repens (D.Don) Benth., Lab. Gen. Sp. 392 (1834); Calamintha umbrosa (M. Bieb.) Fisch. \& Mey., Ind. Sem. Hort. Petrop. 6:6 (1839); Satureja umbrosa (M. Bieb.) Scheele, Flora $26: 577$ (1843); Calamintha repens (D. Don) Benth. in DC., Prodr. 12:233 (1848); Calamintha biebersteineri C. Koch ex Ledeb., F1. Ross. 3: 355 (1849), nomen; Acinos multiflora C. Koch ex Boiss., F1. Or. 4:579 (1879), nomen; Clinopodium japonicum Makino, J. Jap. Bot. 3:30 (1926); Satureja chinensis (Benth.) Briq.
var. repens (D. Don) Kudo in Mem. Fac. Sci. Ag. Taihoku 2(2): 104 (1929): Satureja makinoi Kudo, loc. cit. 105 (1929); Clinopodium umbrosum (M. Bieb.) CaKoch var. Shibetchensis (Levl.) McKean, Notes R.B.G. Edinb. 40:161 (1982).

This plant is usually found in damp shady localities and by streamsides, often in forests, and, particularly in the eastern part of its ranges is weedy and frequently found in disturbed or cultivated situations, especially if the soil is damp. It is very variable in habit, leaf size, number of flowers in the verticillasterg and calyx and corolla size.

| Calyx 4-7(-8.5) mm; corolla $5-11 \mathrm{~mm}$ | var. umbrosum |
| :--- | :--- |
| Calyx (6.5-)7-10.5 mmi corolla $12-18 \mathrm{~mm}$ | var. souliei |

var: umbrosum

Syn: Calamintha polycephala Van. in Bull. Acad. Geogr: Bot. 14:183 (1904)! Stachys rubisepala Elmog Leafl. Philipa Bot. 1:338 (1908)! Calamintha tsacapanensis Levl. in Feddeg Reperta Spa Nova 8: 423 (1910)! Calamintha clinopodium (Benth.) Benth. var. polycephala (Van.) Dunng Notes R.B.G. Edinb. 8:159 (1915)! Satureja chinensis (Benth.) Briq. var. parviflora Kudo, Jour. Collo Sc. Impa Univ. Tokyo 43(8):38 (1921): Satureja polycephala (Vana) Steward in Journ. Arnold Arb. 35:86 (1954)! Clinopodium polycephalum (Van.) C.Y. Wu \& Hsuan ex H.W.Li in Acta Phytotax. Sin. 12:216 (1974)!

Ic: F1. Taiwan 4:457, $t_{0} 1068$ (1978).

Fl. 3-11: Rocky slopes in scrub, woods and stream sides, margin of swamps, meadows, bank of paddy fields, beds of seasonal mountain torrents, weed of cultivationg arid rocks, granitic, schistose, limestone or clay substrate, $100-3970 \mathrm{~m}$.

Type: USSR:Georgia: c. Ananur et Duschet, Sept: M. Bieberstein.

Gen. distrib.: China, 5 Koreas Taiwan, islands bounded by the Philipines, Papua, Java and Malaysia, Tibet, India, Sri Lanka, Afghanistan, $N$ Iran, E Turkey and adjacent USSR.
var. souliei (Levl.) McKean in Notes R.B.G. Edinb. 40:161 (1982).
Syn: Calamintha chinensis Benth. var. souliei Levlo in Fedde, Rep. Sp. Nov. 9:246 (1911)! Calamintha nepalensis Fisch. \& Mey.g Ind. Sem. Hort. Petrop. 11:53 (1846): Calamintha chinensis Benth. var. megalantha Diels, Notes R.BaG. Edinb. 5:233 (1912)! Calamintha clinopodium (Benth.) Benth. var. megalantha (Diels) Dunng Notes R.B.G. Edinb. 8:159 (1915)! Calamintha clinopodium (Benth.) Benth. varo nepalensis (Fisch. \& Meyo) Dunn, loc. cit. 160 (1915); Satureja chinensis (Benth.) Briq. var. megalantha (Diels) Kudo, Joura Coll. Sc. Impa Univa Tokyo $43(8): 39$ (1921)! Calamintha megal antha (Diels) Hand. Mazz in Acta Hort. Gothoburg. 1934, 9:84 (1934)! Clinopodium megalanthum (Diels) Coyotu \& Hsuan ex $H_{0} W_{a} L i$ in Acta Phytotax. Sin. 12:220 (1974)!

Ic: Fl. Reip. Pop. Sin. 66: 231, t. 53 (1977), as C. megalanthum. Fl. 2-9. Amongst grass in willow scrub, margins of streams, shady 1imestone screes, 1650-3350 m.

Type: Chinas Szechuan, Ta-Tsien-Lou, ann. 1893, Soulie 1063 (Calamintha chinensis var. soulieig holo. E!).

Gen. distrib. : China: Yunnang Szechwan.
The calyx and corolla size are the best features to distinguish these varieties. However, var. souliei also tends to be much more densely long-yellowish-pilose.
3.10.6. 5. Ca macranthum (Makino) Hara in Journ. Jap. Bot. 11:110 (1935). Syn: Clinopodium chinense (Benth.) 0.Kuntze var: macranthum Makino in Bot. Mag. (Tokyo) 20:3 (1906); Satureja macrantha (Makino) Kudo,

Jour．Coll．Sc．Tokyo 43（8）：37（1921）．
Fl．7－9．Grassy slope on mountain path，c． 2000 m．
Syntypes：Japan：Prov．Echigo：Mt．Shimidzu－toge， 19 vii 1886，R． Yatabe \＆S．Okubo（TI）：Mt．Shimidzu－toge，ix 1888，T．Makino（TI）g Mt．Godzu， 2 viii 1886，R．Yatabe \＆S．Okubo（TI）．Prov．Iwashiro： Mt．Iide， $8-10$ vii 190\＆，G．Nakahara（TI）．Provo Sado：Pit．Kimpoku， 26 vii 1886，R．Yatabe \＆S．Dkubo（TI）．Prov．Uzen：Nt．Gassan，vii 1903，G．Nakahara（TI）．

Gen．distrib．：Japan。

3．0．7．Nomina exclud．et nom．dubia．
C．albidum Vellog Fl．Flum．242，t． 6 a（1825）．
D．Kuntze（1891）and Epling（1937）both equate this with Hyptis paludosum Benth：；but Epling＇s later revision of Hyptis （Eplingg 1949）makes no mention of this name．

C．angustifolium Roxbog Hort．Beng． 44 （1814），nomen．

C．arvense Vellas Fl．Flum。 243g t．9a（1825）＝Hyptis 5pa fide Epling（1937）。

C．asiaticum（G．Don）Lourag F1．Cochinch．2：374（1790）． Merrill（1935），in his commentary of Loureiro＇s Flora Cochin－ chinensis，suggests that this may belong to Plectranthus．

C．bracteolatun（Nutt．）0．Kuntze；Rev．Gen．P1．2：515（1891）＝ Conradina sp．？

C．bonariense（Ten．）0．Kuntze，Rev．Gen．Pl．2：515（1891）＝ Hedeoma multiflorum Benth．fide Irving（1980）．

C．brasilicum Vell．g Fl．F1um． 241 t． 3 a （1825）$=$ Hyptis sp．fide Epling（1937）．D．Kuntze（1891）suggests Hyptis gaudichaudii Benth．

C．Campanella（Ehrenb．）0．Kuntze，Rev．Gen．Pl．2：515（1891）．
C．capitatum Sw．Prodr．Veg．Ind．Occ． 88 （1788）＝Hyptis capitata
(Sw.) Jacq.
C. Chamaedrys Vahl, Symb. $3: 77$ (1794) = Marsypianthes chamaedrys (Vahl) D. Kuntze, See Fla Panama in Ann. Missouri Bot. Garda 56:97 (1969).
C. cordatum Vella, Fl. Flum. 6:243; t. 8a (1825)。

Kuntze (1891) equated this with Mesosphaerum spicigerum (Lam.) O. Kuntze. Epling (1937) included Mesophaerum within Hyptis but does not indicate a species with which this taxon may be synonymous or associated with.
C. fruticosum Forsskag Fl. Aegypt.-Arabia 107 (1775) = 0tostegia fruticosa (Forssk.) Briq.
C. glabrescens Pomel, Nouv. Mat. Fl. Aclantique 122 (1874).
C. grandiflorum (Willd.) Gueldenst. ex M. Bieb.g Fl. Taura-Cauc. 3:399 (1819), nomen.

This name was placed in the synonymy of Betonica grandiflora Willd. by M. Bieberstein (1819) and quoted as "Clinopodium grandiflorun Guldenst. it. 1 p. 424 , 426, 429". I have not been able to see Reisen durch Russland und im Caucasischen Gebirge (1787), the work refered to by Bieberstein, but if $C$. grandiflorum is validly published heres the citation of Betonica grandiflora (published in 1800) must be changed.
C. humile Millog Gard. Dict. ed. 8 , 0.4 (1767)

This 20-25 cm tall, perennial N. American species is described as having long side branches, few $f 1$ owered verticillasters, and small, rugose leaves. It has been placed in Melissa [M. humilis (Milla) G.Don] but from the very brief description $I$ cannot tell if it belongs to this genus or not. As far as I am aware there are no North American Satureja 5.l. species with rugose leaves except for Xenopona schusteri but this plant is $300-500$ cm tall.
C. imbricatum Vell.s Fl. Flum. 6:242, ta 5a (1825). Epling (1937) has this as a doubtful name under Hyptis and does not suggest a species to which it may be related. Kuntze (1891) has this taxon as a synonym of Mesosphaerum pectinatum ( $L_{0}$ ) $D_{0}$ Kuntze.
C. incanum Log Sp. Pl. $588(1753)=$ Pycnanthemum incanum. Grant \& Epling (1943) provide a note on the typification of this plant.
C. kudoi (Hosokawa) Nemoto, Fl. Jap. Suppl. 632 (1936). Syn: Satureja kudoi Hosokawa, Trans. Nat. Hist. Soc Formosa 22:225 (1932).
C. laxiflorum (Hayata) Shimizug Journ. Faca Texta Scio Techn. Shinshu Univa no. 30, Biol. no. 11:96 (1962).

Syn: Calamintha laxiflora Hayata, Journ. Coll. Sc. Univa Tokyo 30:22B (1911): Satureja laxiflora (Hayata) Matsum. \& Kudo, Bot. Mag. (Tokyo) 26:299 (1912); Satureja yakusimensis Matsamune, Journo Soc. Trop. Agricag Taimang 2:35 (1930).

C: martinicense Jacqas Enuma Pl. Carib. 25 (1760) = Leucas martinicensis (Jacq.) R. Bra
C. olla (Ehrenb.) 0. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891).
 (1974).
C. palmeri (A. Gray) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pla 2:515 (1891). Possibly a Hedeoma 5p.
C. parvifoliun 0. Kuntzeg Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891) (sphalm.) = parviflora $=$ Micromeria parviflora (Viso) Reichenb. (c. $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}$ )。
C. purpurea (Kellogg) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891).

The basionym of this taxon is Hedeoma purpurea Kellogg. Irving (1980) in his revision of Hedeoma makes no mention of the name so it may or may not belong here.
C. repens Vell., Fl. Flum. 242, t.7a (1825) = Peltodon repens (Vell.) 0. Kuntze
C. rugosum Log Sp. Ple 588 (1753)。 = Hyptis alata (Raf.) Shinners fide Harley (1983), Kew Bull. 38:47-52.
C. verticillatum Vell.g F1. Flum. $6: 242, t_{0} 4 a(1825)$. Kuntze (1891) has this as a synonym of Mesosphaerum fasciculatum (Benth.) 0. Kuntze, but Epling (1937) thinks this may belong to Stachys.
C. walterianum O. Kuntze = Macbridea caroliniana (Walter) Blake.

Acinos Miller, Gard. Dicta, abraed. 4 (1754)。
Syn: Acynos Pers., Syn. 2:131 (1806); Melissa Lo sect. Acinos Eenthog Lab. Gen. Sp. 389 (1834); Calamintha Miller sect. Acinos Bentho in DCog Prod. 12: 230 (1888); Satureja sect. Acinos Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4s 3a: 302 (1897).

Annual or perennial herbs, or suffruticose, prostrate or ascending. Leaves ovate-orbicular to narrowly ovate, sometimes broadly spathulate, serrulate, crenulate or entire, flat, clearly petiolate, lateral $^{\text {veins }}$ usually prominent, sometimes only distally so, craspedromous, a thick marginal vein sometimes present: palisade mesophyll dorsiventrala Inflorescence of distant or approximate, few-flowered verticillasters; cymes sessile; pedicels dorsiventrally compressed or terete. Bracts shorter than or exceeding flowers. Bracteoles linear or linear-elliptic, nearly always shorter than pedicels. Calyx tube sigmoid, gibbous or not at base, usually constricted at middle, 13 -veined, throat densely, rarely sparsely, hairy, distinctly, bilabiate, upper and lower lip usually curving upwards; upper teeth broader and only 1/4-2/3 as long as laser teeth; lower teeth narrowly triangular-subulate, ciliate. Corolla purple, violet or mauve; tube not resupinate, not annulate inside, glabrous or hairy on inside lower half; lips 1/6-1/2 $\times$ tube length; upper emarginate, half as long as to a little shorter than lower lip, lower lip 3-lobed, central lobe entire or bifid. Stamens 4, convergent, all fertile or posterior reduced to staminodes, posterior usually included $i n_{9}$ anterior * exserted from tube, filaments of fertile posterior stamens 1/2-3/4 $x$ anterior filaments, inserted in upper half of tube; anthers divergent, sometimes widely so. Stylar branches unequal, the longer broad lanceolate, the shorter narrow-filiform, rarely both narrowfiliform. Nutlets obovoid, glabrous.

Type species: A. alpinus, designated here.
3.l.1. $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Pedicels round in section [High tropical } \\ & \\ & \\ & \text { African mountains] } \\ & + \\ & \text { Pedicel clearly dorsi-ventrally compressed } \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \text { [Mediterranean region] }\end{aligned}$
2. Leaves distinctly petiolate, petioles 2-5(-9) mms calyx tube $2-4.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, throat sparsely hairy; posterior stamens reduced to staminodes, $0.4-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ long $\quad$ 8. simensis

* Leaves shortly petiolate, petioles 0.5-1.5 ( -4.0 ) ming calyx tube $3.6-4.8 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ throat densely hairy: all A stamens fertile, posterior 1.5-4.0 mm long 9. pseudosimensis

3. Leaves with a thick marginal vein distinct from the lateral veins, entire 4

* Leaves without a marginal vein distinct from lateral veins, toothed or scarcely lobed 5

4. Minute annual herbs, $1-4 \mathrm{~cm}$ tally leaves $2-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long: calyx strongly gibbous; corolla c. $6 \mathrm{~mm} \quad$ 5. nanus

* Perennial procumbent shrublets, 2-10 cm tall;
leaves 3-10 mm long; calyx weakly gibbous; corolla 12.5-14.5 mm 7. corsicus

5. Calyx sigmoid but not gibbous at baseg leaves orbicular to ovate-lanceolate, entire or scarcely lobed, hairs dense and very minute; lateral veins very prominent 6. troadii

+ Calyx distinctly sigmoid and gibbous at base; leaves
distinctly toothed even if orbicular, hairs mostly long, sparse; lateral veins scarcely prominent

6. Leaf lamina not more than $1 / 2 \times$ as long as wide, abruptly acuminate to mucronate at apex 4. rotundifolia

* Leaf lamina usually at least $11 / 2 \times$ as long as broad; apex obtuse of acute

7. Leaves narrowly elliptic-lanceolate, 2-3 x as long as wide, narrowly cuneate at base; whole plant usually yellowish-green when dry, usually densely hairy; calyx tube 4.3-5.5 mm, markedly gibbous at base, teeth strongly converging, almost closing calyx mouth 2. suaveolens

* Leaves broadly elliptic, mostly under $2 \times$ as long as broad, cuneate or rounded at bases plant light green when dry, usually puberulous or sparsely hairy; calyx tube $3-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ not markedly gibbous, throat + open
B. Annual or short-lived perennialg corolla 7-10 (-13) $\mathrm{mm}_{\mathrm{s}}$ not exceeding the subtending bractg upper and lower calyx lips + equal 3. arvensis
+ Perennials with woody root stockg corolla $10-18 \mathrm{~mm}$ usually distinctly exceeding subtending bract;
lower calyx lips + equal

1. alpinus
3.11.2. 1. A. alpinus (L.) Moench, Meth. 407 (1794).

Syn: Thymus alpinus Lig Sp. Pl. 591 (1753); Thymus montanus Crantz, Stirp. Austr.ed. $2_{9}$ fasc. $4: 278$ (1769), non Dum. Cours. (1811); Calamintha alpina (L.) Lamog Fl. Fr. 2:394 (1779) Thymus
 villosissimus Tausch, Syll. Rǎisb. 2:288 (1828); Melissa alpina (L.) Benthog Lab. Gen. et Sp. 390 (1834); Calamintha alba Lam. ex Steud. $_{\text {g }}$ Nom. Bot: ed. 2 , 1:251 (1841); Satureja alpina (L.) Scheele
in Flora 26:577 (1843): A. acutifolius Schur, Enum. Pl. Transs. 530 (1866); Faucibarba alpina (L.) Dulacg Fl. Hautes-Pyr. 403 (1867): Melissa x jahniana Simonk. in Termeszo Fuzetek. 9:25 (1885) Calamintha $x$ mixta Ausserd. ex H. Braun \& Gennholz in Oest. Bot. Zeitschr. 40:158 (1890); Clinopodium alpinum (L.) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pla 2:515 (1891): M. montana Bubog Fl. Pyr. 1:412 (1897), non Noronha (1790): S. mixta (Ausserd. ex Ho Braun \& G. Sennholz) Dalla Torre \& Sarnth.g F1. Tirol 6(3):199 (1912); Calamintha pseudacinos Lac. in Bull. Drta Bot. Napoli 3:301 (1913): S. orontia K. Maly in Glasnik Mus. Bos. Herceg. 32:148 (1921): Calamintha suavis Sennen in Bol. Soc. Iber: Cienco Nat. 1933, 32:57 (1934): Sa suavis Senneng loca cita (1934), nomeng Calamintha alpina (Li) Lam. varo orontia (K.Maly) Hayek in Bot. Mag. 160 , sub. $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{g}} 9508$ (1938); A. x jahnianus (Simonk.) Sojakg Cas. Nar. Muza Prague 140:129 (1972): A。 pseudacinos (Lacaita) Fenaroli in Webbia 28(2):373 (1973): A. orontius (K. Maly) Silic, Glasn. Zem. Muzo Bosne Hercego 13:118 (1975); A. x mixtus (Ausserd. ex Ho Braun \& Sennholz) Bassler in Feddes Repert. 8:417 (1977); A. alpinus (L.) Moench var: pseudacinos (Lac.) Pign. in Giorn. Bot. Ital. 111:52 (1977).

A detailed discussion on the variation in A. alpinus is given by Ball (1972): His general conclusion was that although the species is highly variable, only 3 broad taxa can be easily distinguished, and that even though there are distinctive local variants, based on habit, leaf-size and indumentum densityg too many intermediates occur to make further sub-division meaningful. Examination of hundreds of specimens leads me to the same conclusion.

1. Upper calyx teeth $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ g calyx tube hairs crispate; corolla $10-14 \mathrm{~mm} \quad$ (c) ssp. meridionalis

+ Upper calyx teeth $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{mmg}$ calyx tube hairs usually straightg corolla $12-20 \mathrm{~mm} 2$

2. Leaves up to $15 \times 11 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{s}}$ veins not prominent beneath (a) ssp. alpinus

+ Leaves up to $20 \times 16 \mathrm{~mm}_{8}$ veins prominent below, especially near margins (b) ssp. majoranifolius
(a) ssp. alpinus

Syn: Melissa x bolnokensis Simk.g Enum. Fl. Transs. 445 (1886): M. baungartenii Simk. in Term. Fuz. 10:182 (1886); Calamintha baungartenii (Simk.) Nymog Consp. Fl. Eurag Suppl. 2(1):255 (1889) g Calamintha alpina ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) Lam. 5sp. baumgartenii (Simk.) Borza in Bul. Grad. Bot. Cluj 5: 101 (1925); Calamincha hybrida Kerner ex Hegi, 111. Fl. Mittel-Eur. 5:2301 (1928), ex nomen in schaed.g Calamintha bolnokensis (Simonk.) Doming Veroff: Geobot. Inst. Rubel 10:110 (1933): Calamintha $x$ alboi Sennen, Bol. Soc. Iber. Cien. Nat. 1933, 32: 32 (193Q̨): 5 . $x$ alboi Sennen, loc. cit. (1934), nomen: S. alpina (L.) Scheele 5sp. pyrenaea Br.-B1., Comm. S.I.G.M.A。 87:228 (1945); Acinos baumgartenii (Simk.) Klokov, Fl. RSS Ucr. 9:273 (1960)g A. alpinus ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) Moench 5sp. pyrenaeus ( $\mathrm{Br} \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{Bl} \mathrm{l}_{0}$ ) M. Lainz in Bol. Inst. Estud. Astur. Supl. Cienc. 22:29 (1976); So alpina (La) Scheele var. pyrenaea ( $\mathrm{Br} .-\mathrm{Bl}$.) Bolos \& Vigo, Collect. Bot. 14:95 (1983): Calamintha villosula Jordag in schaed. Ic: Hegi, Ill. F1. Mittel-Eur. 5(4):2300, f.3203, a-d (1927), as Satureja alpina.

F1. 4-9. Calcareous cliffs, rocky places, grassy banks, 700-2000 m. Type: Described from Smiss Alps, Austria, Montpellier, D. Rarhgeb (Hb. Linn. 744/16, microfiche!).

Gen. distrib.: Pyrenees, C Europe, eastwards to Greece.
(b) ssp. majoranifolius (Miller) Pa Wo Ball in Boto Jo Linna Soc. 65:344 (1972).

Syn: Melissa majoranifolia Millerg Gard. Dict. ed. $8_{\text {, }}$ no. 7 (1768)
 Salisb.g Prod. Stirp. Hort. Chap. Allerton Vig. 86 (1796), nomeng Acinos adscendens Moench, Meth. Suppl. 138 (1802): A. patavinus (Jacq.) Persag Syn. 2: 131 (1806): Calaminitha patavina (Jacq.) Host, Fl. Austr. 2:133 (1832): M. patavina (Jacq.) Benth, Lab. Gen. Sp. 389 (1834): T. rotundifolius Rochel ex Nym Consp. F1. Eur: 588 (1881); nomen: Mo $x$ hungarica Simk, in Termesz. Fuzet. 10:182 (1886): Calamintha hungarica Simkag loca cit. (1886): Calamintha commutata Willkag Ost. Bot. Zeit. 39:90 (1889); Clinopodium patavinum (Jacq.) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891): Satureja patavina (Jacq.) Degeng Dsto Bot. Zeitschr. 58:303 (1908)g So majoranifolia (Miller) K. Maly, Glasnik Mus. Bos. Herceg. 22:690 (1910): 5. hungarica (Sink.) Hayek in Wettstog Sched. Flo Exsicco Austro-thung. 10:47 (1913): S. elatior (Griseb.) Borza, Bul. Grad. Muz. Bot. Univo Cluj 1925, 5:99 (1926); Calamintha alpina (L.) Lam. 5sp. majoranifolia (Miller) Hayek, Prodra Fla Penins. Balcan. 2: 328 (1929): Calamintha alpina (La) Lam。 5sp: hungarica (Simko) Hayek, loc. cit. (1929); Calamintha alpina (L.) Lam。 ssp. elatior (Griseba) Rech. fog Denkschr. Akad. *is5. Wien 105:529 (1943); Calamintha alpina (L.) Lama ssp. nomismophylla Rechofog loco cito (1943): A. alpinus (L.) Moench 5sp. patavinus (Jacq.) Sojak in Cas. Nar: Muz. (Prague) 140(3-4):129 (1972); A. alpinus (L.) Moench ssp. hungaricus (Simk, Sojak, loc. cito (1972); A. alpinus (L.) Moench. 5sp. nomismophyllus (Rech. fa) Leblebicig Bitki 1:405 (1974): A. majoranifolius (Miller) Silic in Glasn. Muzo Bosne

Herceg. 13:119 (1975): A. majoranifolius (Mill.) Silic ssp. elatior (Griseb.) Holub, Folia Geobot. Phytotax. 12:428 (1977). F1. 4-9. Calcarequs rocky and stony places, 300-600 m. Type: Described from Italy. No specimen cited. Gen. distribo: N Balkans, (?)Spain.

A number of specimens on the fringes of the distribution of typical ssp. majoranifolius and one rather surprisingly from Spaing though closest in aspect to this subspecies, have some features Eypical of ssp. alpinus and ssp. meridionalis. The intermediates are often from higher altitudes than the typical form.
(c) 5sp. meridionalis (Nyman) Po Wa Ball in Bot. Jo Linn. Soc. 65:344 (1972).

Syn: Calamintha alpina (L.) Lam. ssp. meridionalis Nymang Consp. F1. Eur. 589 (1881): Calamintha granatensis Boiss. \& Reutag Pugillus 94 (1852); Melissa granatensis (Boiss. \& Reut.) Nym. Syll. Fl. Eur. 101 (1855); Calamintha aetnensis Strobl in Dest. Bot. Zeitschr. 24:29 (1874), nomen: Calamintha nebrodensis Strobl ex A. Kerner, Dest. Bot. Zeitschr. $24: 171$ (1874), nomen; Calamintha alpina (Lo) Lam. ssp. granatensis (Boiss. \& Reut.) Arcangelig Comp. Flo Ital. 543 (1882); Calamintha alpina (L.) Lam. sspa nebrodensis (Strobl ex A. Kerner). Arcangelig loc. cit. (1882); Calamintha aetnensis Lojac: Fl. Sic. 2:219 (1907): Calamintha gillesii Senneng Bol. Soc. Iber. Cienc. Nat. 1933,32:58 (1934): Satureja gillesii Senneng lac. cit. (1934), nomen; S. granatensis (Boiss. \& Reut.) Sennen, Diag. Nouv. Pl. Esp. \& Maroc 204 (1936); Calamintha alpina (L.) Lam. ssp. aetnensis (Strobl) Fech. fog Flo Aegaea 528 (1943): Acinos granatensis (Boiss. \& Reut.) Pereda Saez, Comp. Cat. Florist. Prov. Satander 5 [in Altamira 1-3:289] (1960): Sa acinos (L.) Scheele ssp. meridionalis (Nyma) Bolos \& Vigog Collect. Bot.

Fl. 4-9. Road margins, open oak and pine woods, calcareous, schistose or volcanic rocks, grassy slopes, 1000-2750 m. Syntypes: Spaing Bourgeau hisp. 390, 1418a. Sicily, Todaro exs. sic. 20B.

Gen. distrib.: S Europe.
A broad view has been taken of ssp. meridionalis. At first it was thought that a fourth subspecies could be recognised (ssp. aetnensis) confined to the volcanic slopes of Sicily, which has a very low, prostrate habit, small leaves not exceeding $7 \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}$, stem densely minute patent-puberulent, calyx only 5 mm long, and corolla 10 mm long. However, specimens very similar in facies have been seen from Spain which have the indumentum characters typical of 5sp: meridionalis, and small calyces and corollas have been found in individual specimens throughout the range of ssp. meridionalis. In any case, specimens from Sicily do not retain all of the characters of ssp. aetnensis consistently.
3.l.3. 2. A. suaveolens (Sibtho \& Smo) G.Don $f_{0}$ in Loudon, Hort. Brit. 239 (1830).

Syn: Thymus suaveolens Sibth. \& Snos Fl. Graec Prod. 1:420 (1809); To acinoides Tenag Prod. Fl. Nap. 35 (1826): Acinos heterophyllus (Poir.) G.Don in Loud.g loc. cit. (1830): A. acuninatus Frive in Flora 18:332 (1835); Calamintha suaveolens (Sibth. \& Sm.) Bois5.g Diagn. $1(12): 54$ (1853)g Melissa acinoides (Ten.) Nym.g Sylle Fl. Eur. 101 (1855): M. suavealens (Sibth。\& Smo) Nyma Syll. F1. Eur. Suppl. 20 (1865): Calamintha acinoides (Ten.) Nym.g Consp. F1. Eur. 589 (1879); Calamintha patavina Heldra ex Nym.g Consp. Fl. Eur. 588 (1881), nomeng Calamintha langei Nyng loc. cit. 589 (1881), nomeng Clinopodium suaveolens (Sibth. \& Sm.) D.Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2: 516
（1891）；Calamintha montenegrina Sagorski in Dest．Bot．Zeitschr． 53：20（1903）；Satureja montenegrina（Sagorski）Druce in Rep．Bot． Exch．Cl．Brit．Is．1916：644（1917）；A．montenegrinus（Sagorski） Silic，Glasn．Zem．Muz．Bosne Herceg．13： 122 （1975）． Fl．5－8．Dry calcareous rocks，roadsides，S．1：－1160 $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{g}}$ Type：In monte Parnasso．Sibth．\＆Sm．（1809）also refer to an illustration in Bocc．Mus．val． $1, t .45$ ，$A$ ．In the Smith herbarium the following species are listed under $T_{\text {．suaveolens：} 1 \text { ．} H \text { ．L．fil }}$ ［＝Linnaeus foli 2．Hort．C．A．L．Bellardi，Taurinae，［ann．］1787． Gen．distrib：Balkans，S Italy，W Turkey．

3．11．4．3．As arvensis（Lama）Dandy in Jour．Ecol． $33: 326$（1986）． Syn：Thymus acinos Log Sp．Pl． 591 （1753）；Calamintha arvensis Lamas Fl．Fr．2：394（1778）；Acinos clinopodiifacie Gilib．g Fl． Lithuan．2： 75 （1782）；$A_{0}$ thymoides Moench，Meth． 407 （1794）；$T_{0}$ concinnus Salisb．g．Prod．Stirp．Hort．Chap．Allerton Vig． 86
 villosus Persag Syn．2： 131 （1807）；A．vulgaris Persag loc．cit． （1807）；Calamintha acinos（L．）Clairvog Man．Herb． 197 （1811）： $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}$ gibbosus Stokes，Bot：Mar．Med． $3: 360$（1812）； $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}$ diffusus Bluff \＆ Fingerho，Comp．Fl．Germo，ed． $1,2: 41$（1826）；To canescens Dumos Fl．Belg． 88 （1827） g Melissa acinos（Lam．）Benth，Lab．Gen．Sp． 389 （1834）：Satureja acinos（Lo）Scheele in Flora $26: 577$（1843）； Calamintha hispidula Bois5．\＆Reutog Pug．Pl。Novo 93 （1852）；A。 aestivalis Kit．in Linnaea 32： 432 （1863）；$T_{0}$ arvensis（Lam．）Schur， Enum．Pl．Transs． 972 （1866）；Faucibarba acinos（L。）Dulac，Fl． Haute－Pyr． 403 （1867）：Calamintha thymoides（Moench）Schloss． $0^{\&}$ Vuk．，Fl．Croat． 560 （1869）；Clinopodium acinos（L．）D．Kuntze，Rev． Gen．Pl．2：513（1891）；A．acinos（L．）Huth in Helios 11：132（1893）， nom．illeg．；S．hispidula（Boiss．\＆Reut．）Briq．in Engler \＆

Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4,3á303 (1897); Ma arvensis (Lam.) Bub.g F1. Pyra 1:411 (1897): S. villasa (Pers.) Dorfler, Herb. Norma no. 3459 (1898): Calamintha thracica Velog Sitzo Bohmo Ges. Wiss. 1899:7 (1899): Calamintha villosa (Pers.) Terracc. in Bulla Orta Bot. Napoli 3:164 (1913): A. subcrispus Klokov, Fl. RSS Ucr. 9:662 (1960); A. eglandulosus Klokovg loc. cit. 661 (1960); A. arvensis (Lamo) Dandy sspa villosus (Gaud.) Sojak in Cas. Nar. Muzo (Prague) 140(3-4):129 (1972): A. arvensis (Lam.) Dandy ssp. eglandulosus (Klokov) Tzvelev in Nov. Sist. Vyssh. Rast. 10:365 (1973): A. patulus Jordag in schaed.

Ic: Hegig I11. Fl. Mittel-Eur. 5(4):2296, fo3201a, b (1927), as Satureja acinos; Recho fog Fl. Iranica 150: t. 413 (1982).

F1. 5-9. Limestone rocks, scrub, open pine woods, roadsides, sandy and gravelly banks, 300-2200 mo

Typea Described from Europe; on gravelg chalk, dry places 亿Hb. Linn. $^{\text {L }}$ 744 14, microfiche!

Gen. distrib: Europe, except far north and south, $N$ Turkey, Iran and neighbouring USSR.

Although this species is highly variable it does not appear to be divisible into meaningful infra-specific taxa.
3.1.5. 4. A. rotundifolius Pers.g Syn. Pl. 2: 131 (1806)

Syn: Acinos purpurascens Persag Syn. Pla 2:131 (1806): Thynus purpurascens (Pers.) Poir. in Lan.g Encycl. Meth. 7:654 (1806): T. canus Steuen ex Mo Biebog Flo Taur。 Cauc. 2:60 (1808): T. gravealens M. Bieb.g lora cito (1808); To exigurs Sibth. \& Smog Fl. Graec. Prod 1:421 (1809)g A. graveolens (M. Bieba) Linkg Enum. Pl. Hort. Berol. Alt. 2:117 (1822): A. canus (Steuen) Reichenb.s Fl. Germ. Exc. 1:327 (1830); Melissa graveolens (M. Bieb.) Benth.g Lab. Gen. Sp. 390 (1834); M. purpurascens (Pers.) Benth.g loc. cit. 391
(1834); M. rotundifolia (Pers.) Benth., loc. cit. (1834): A. erectus Friv. in Flora 18:333 (1835); Calamintha cana Auct. ex Heynh., Nom. 2: 104 (1840-46); A. exiguus (Sibth. \& Sm.) D. Don ex Steud., Nom.ed.2, 1:23 (1841): A. incanus Griseb.g Spicil. F1. Rum. Bithyn. 2: 123 (1844); Calamintha graveolens (M. Bieb.) Benth. in DC.g Prod. 12:231 (1848); Calamintha maritima Benth., loc. cit. (18\&B); Calamintha purpurascens (Perso) Benth.g loc. cit. (1848); Calanintha rotundifolia (Pers.) Benth.g loc. cit. 232 (1848); M. maritima (Benth.) Nymog Syll. Fi. Eur. 101 (1855); A. transsilvanica Schur, Enum. Pl。 Transs. 529 (1866); Calamintha exigua (Sibth. \& Sma) Nyma, Consp. Fl. Eur. 589 (1881); Satureja graveolens (M, Bieb.) Caruel in Parlag Fl. Itala 6: 143 (1884); Clinopodium canum (Steuen) O. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891); Clinopodiun graveolens (M. Bieb.) 0 . Kuntze, loc. cit. (1891); Clinopodium maritimum (Benthe) D. Kuntze, loce cit. (1891); Clinopodium rotundifolium (Pers.) D. Kuntze, loc. cit. (1891): S. rotundifolia (Persa) Briq., Lab. Alp. Mar. 453 (1895); S. maritima (Benth.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3a:303 (1897): S. exigua (Sibth. \& Sma) Holmboe, Veg. Cyprus 161 (1914) : S. graveolens (M. Bieb.) Pau in Bol. Soc. Arag. 15: 170 (1916): A. fominii Schost-Desiat. in Symp. Mem. Fomin. (Acad. Sc. Ukro) 39 (1938); S. crassinervis Lindl. fag Arsbok Soc. Sci. Fenn. 20B, 7:6 (1942): A. infectus Klokov in Fl. RSS Ucr. 9:663 (1960); A. schizodontus Klokov, loc. cit. (1960).

Ic: Rech. f., Fl. Iranica 150: t. 414 (1982), as A. graveolens.
F1. 3-7. Igneous, limestone or serpentine rocky slopes, Quercus scrub, roadside, fallow and grain fields, 50-2500 mo

Type: Described from Spain, Richard.
Gen. distrib.: SE Europe, 5 Italy, $S$ \& C Spain, Nbl Africa, Sid Asia. This species is very variable in stature, leaf shape and size,
calyx tube and teeth length, and indumentum. The only species it could be confused with is A. nanus, but as indicated in the table below it is quite easily distinguished on leaf, calyx and coralla characters: Dwarf montane and densely hairy littoral ecotypes have been described as distinct species. A. gravealens [illustrated as Thymus exiguus in Sibthorp \& Smith (1826)] is one such montane form and A. incanus is a littoral ecotype.
5. A. nanus P. H. Davis \& A. Doroszenko, 5p. novo

Syn: Acinos gravealens (Maieb.) Link varo integrifolia Rauling Desc. Phys. L'Ile Crete 828 (1858), nom. nud.g non Satureja (sect. Acinos) exigua (Sibth. \& Sm.) Holmboe var. integrifolia Holmboe, Veg. Cyprus 161 (1914).

Annual herb, stems $1-4(-6.5) c m$, erect; simple or with few widely spreading branches, densely retrorse-puberulent. Leaves broadly ovate or orbicular, $2.0-4.5 \times 1.8-4.3 m_{9}$ base broadly cuneate or truncate, apex obtuse, entire, with a prominent marginal vein and $3-4$ distinct lateral veins, pubescent on both sides. erticillasters 2-4, crowded, 2(-4)-flowered; pedicels 1.0-2. 2 mm, corolla exceeding bracts. Calyx strongly gibbous at base, mouth narrow tube $3.5-4.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ densely puberulent and with more robust hairs on nerves: upper and lower lips $1.5-2.3$ mmp upper teeth $0.8-1.2 \mathrm{mmg}$ lower $1.5-2.3 \mathrm{~mm}$. Corollatube $c_{0} 4.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ upper $1 \mathrm{ip} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{g}}$ 0.6 mmg lower lip c. $1.5 \mathrm{~mm} . \mathrm{ml}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{m}$ 3-5. Rocky calcareous slopes, $1150-1390 \mathrm{~m}$

Type: Crete, Sitias In lapidosis montis Tavoutsi [Kavoutsi], 1220 mg $\vee$ 1846, Heldreich (holo. E!).

Gen. distrib: Creteg Is. Samos.
Specimens seen: Crete: Mi. Kavoutsig $1340 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{g}}$ iv 1846, Heldreich \& $_{9}$ $K, ~ M A N C H$ ); In montis Kavoutsig Aprog Heldreich 1449 (K); No
locality, ann. 1821, Sieber (K). Samos: In declivibus montis ad Vathy, 1 iv 1934, K. $H$. Rechinger 3532 ( $K$ ); In lapidosis calco prope Vathy, 31 iii 1934, K. H. Rechinger 3519 (K).

This new species might appear at first sight to be one of the dwarf forms of $A$. rotundifolius Pers:g but there are distinct characters which clearly separate them. The table sets out the differences.

|  | A. nanus | A. rotundifolius |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stems | 1-4(-6.5) cm | (3-)7-30 cm |
| Leaves | 2-4.5 $51.8-4.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $5-18 \times 3-14.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
|  | entire, with a thick | serrulate, sometimes |
|  | marginal vein. | scarcely 50; marginal |
|  |  | vein absent. |
|  | Lateral veins not | Lateral veins prominent. |
|  | prominent. |  |
|  | Apex obtuse | Apex abruptly acuminate |
|  |  | or acute. |
| Verticillasters | $2(-4)-81$ owered. | 3-12-f1 awered. |
| Caly | Strongly gibbous. | Moderately gibbous. |
| Corolla | C. 6 mm . | 8-12(-14) mm. |

Desarf forms of $A$. rotundifolius have previously been named $A$. gravealens (M. Bieb.) Link or as the synonymous Thymus exiguus Sibth. \& Sm. Raulin (1858) first noted that our new taxon was distinct from typical $A_{0}$ graveolens; he gave no description of his "var. integrifolia", but cited the specimen (or specimens) "Fl. April-May, sub-alpine zone, $1400-1500 \mathrm{mg}$ sumit of Aphendi Kavoutsio Heldreich." It is very likely, therefore, that Raulin based his variety on the same collection cited above as the
holotype of A．nanus．The illustration of Thymus exiguus in Sibthorp \＆Smith＇s Flora Graeca 6：61，to 575 （1826）is superficially very similar to＂var．integrifolia Raulin＂and this is probably why Halacsy（1902）and Rechinger（1943）placed＂varo integrifolia Raulin＂under Calamintha exigua（Sibth．\＆Sma）． Hayek．

Holmboe（1914）validly published Satureja exigua（Sibth．\＆Sm。） Holmboe var．integrifolia Holmboe from Cyprus，describing it as ＂Humilior，foliis integerimus＂s citing the illustration of Sibthorp in Flora Graeca t．575，and specimens from the top of Chionistra and Trooditissa（Sintenis \＆Rigo 737 and Kotschy 762）．We have seen these specimens and they have been correctly assigned by Holmboe to S．exigua（i，e．A．rotundifolius），and are therefore not equivalent to A．nanus or＂var。 integrifolia Raulin＂。 Boissier in Flora Orientalis cited Kotschy 762 under C．graveolens（ $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}}$ Bieb．） Benth．but there is no mention of a Heldreich specimen from Aphendi Kavoutsi。

The thick marginal vein of $A$ ．nanus is unusual in Acinos and is only shared with $A$ ．corsicus（Pers．）Gerliffe．This appears to be a case of convergent evolution rather than an indication of close affinity．

A．nanus seems to be a local endemic restricted to Aphendi Kavoutsi and Samos，though it may have been overlooked on other Eastern Aegaean islands．The nem species seems to belong to the montane element．There are fees Aegaean islands with mountains over 1000 m （Crete，Aphendi Kavoutsi $1476 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{g}}$ Karpathos $1215 \mathrm{~m}_{9}$ Rhodos $1216 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{g}}$ Samos 1433 m （near Vathy 1150 m ），Ikaria 1037 m ，Chios 1297 m）and all of these are in the eastern island arcs which may explain the known distribution of the novelty．There are a number of species more or less restricted to these islands and adjacent

Anatolia. Useful lists are given by Rechinger (1949).
3.14.7. 6. A. troodii (Post) Leblebici in Bitki 1:405 (1974).

Syn: Calamintha troodii Post in Men. Herb. Boiss. 3(18):97 (1900):
Satureja troodii (Post) Holmboeg Veg. Cyprus 161 (1914).
Ic: Holnboe, Veg. Cyprus $160(1914)$, as S. troodi.
F1: 7. Serpentine, igneous or limestone screes, $1520-2200 \mathrm{~m}$.

Calyx tube 5.0-5.5 mmp bract width 3.0-5.0, broadly ovate [Cyprus] ssp. troodii

Calyx tube 6.0-6.5 mmi bract width2.0-3.0 mm, narrowly elliptic [Turkey] 5sp. vardaranus
ssp. troodii
Type: [Cyprus:] habitat in monte troodig flo julio.g Post 914 (G). Gen. distrib.: Cyprus.

55p: vardaranus Leblebici in Bitki 1:405 (1974).
Typea[Turkey C2 Mugla] Sandras Da。 near Gokce ova, on serpentine, $1700 m_{9} 23$ vii 1947, Davis 13499 (holo. E!).

Gen. distrib.a Sw Anatolia.

The fet specimens available for study show that the two sub-species are clearly separable on calyx tube length and shape and width of bracts. Indumentun differences are a less certain guide: the calyx and stem of ssp. troodii are shortly velutinous and minutely glandular-papillose, while in 5sp. vardaranus the calyx is minutely glandular-papillose or sparsely puberulent.
3.11.8. 7. A. corsicus (Pers.) G. Don in Sweet, Hort. Brit.g ed. $\mathbf{3}_{\mathrm{g}} 768$ (1839).

Syn: Thymus corsicus Persog Syn. Pl. 2:131 (1806): Melissa microphylla Benth., Lab. Gen. Sp. 390 (1934); Calamintha corsica (Persa) Bentho in DCag Prodr. 12:231 (1848); Satureja corsica (Pers.) Caro in Parlag Fl. Ital. 6(1):137 (1884); Micromeria corsica (Pers.) Levl.g Dict. Invent. Fl. Fr. 22 (1916). Ic: Coste, Fl. Fr. $3: 95$ (1906), as Calamintha corsica. Fl. 8-9. Mountain rocks and screes.

Type: Described from Corsica. Richard (holo. P )
Gen. distrib.: Corsica.
A. corsicus is unusual in a number of respects. The low, woody habit, scarcely gibbous calyces, and entire leaves with a thick marginal vein make it quite unmistakable. It probably comes closest to A. troodii of Cyprus and St Anatolia which has a similar habit and calyx shape but not the marginal leaf vein. Davis (1949) has suggested that these two species may have been derived from the polymorphic $A_{0}$ alpinus which is possible, since all the perennial species are woody at the base to some degree, and gibbosity of the calyx varies from very strongly gibbous in $A$ suaveolens, with the throat almost closed, to much more weakly gibbous in $A_{\text {a }}$ alpinus, with the throat wide open. A. nanus, endemic to $E$ Crete and $E$ Aegaean islands, appears to have developed a thick marginal vein similar to $A_{0}$ corsicus quite independently.
3.11.9. B. A. simensis (Benth.) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Calamintha simensis Benth. in DCag Prodr. 12:230 (1848); Calamintha cryptantha Vatke in Linnaea 37:328 (1872); Clinopodium simense (Benth.) O. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:516 (1891); Clinopodium cryptanthum (Vatke) 0. Kuntze, loc. cit. 515 (1891): ?Nepeta
ehlersii Schweinfo ex Engler，Hochgebirgsfl．Trop．Afr． 368 （1892）， nomen：Satureja simensis（Benth．）Briq．in Engler \＆Prantlg Nat． Pflanzenfam。4，3a：303（1897）；Calamintha parvula S：Moore in Jour． Linn．Soc．Bot．38：276（1908）；Calamintha cryptantha Vatke var： mildbraedii Perk．in Wis5．Ergebn．Deutsch．Zentr．－Afr：Exp． 1907－1908，2：551（1913）．

Fl．All year．Grass and wasteland，margin of fens，Juniper，oleag and Arundinaria forest，1685－3975 m．

Type：［Ethiopias］ad rupes regionis mediae montis Bachit， 21 v 1838， Schimper 999 （hol口．K！）．

Gen．distrib：Ethiopiag，Kenya，Sudang Burundig Uganda，Tanzania．
A．simensis is closely related to and has been much confused with A．pseudosimensis．It is most easily distinguished by its clearly petiolate leaves，smaller calyx tubeg and the posterior stamens reduced to staminodes．In the Calaminthoid genera （Calamintha，Clinopodium，Cyclotrichiumg and Acinos）only the Far Eastern Calamintha gracilis，$C_{\text {a }}$ ussuriensis，$C$ confinis，and $C$ ． micrantha have similarly reduced posterior stamens．

3．11．10．9．A．pseudosimensis（Brenan）A．Doroszenko，comb．nov．

Syn：Satureja pseudosimensis Brenan in Mem．N．Y．Bat．Gard．9：50 （1954）．

F1．All year．Grassland，igneous rocks，open soodland，damp and shady placesg $1460-3350 \mathrm{mo}$

Type：Kenya：Kinangop， 6 Aberdares，growing in scrub，xii 1929， I．R．Dale 2157 （holo．K！）．

Gen．distrib．：Cameroons，Fernando Poog Tanzania，Ruanda－Urundi， Uganda，Kenya，Congo，Now Mozambique．

Similar to $A$ 。 simensis but differing in its stems 15－60（－120）
$\mathrm{cm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ leaves more broadly rounded or subcordate at base，petiole


#### Abstract

$0.5-1.5(-4.0) \mathrm{mm}$, longer calyx tube $(3.6-4.8 \mathrm{~mm})$ and upper calyx teeth ( $0.6-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) longer upper corolla $1 \mathrm{ip}(1.5-3.2 \mathrm{~mm})$, and posterior stamens fully fertile.

There is a strong facies similarity of this species to Calamintha uhligii, especially in habit and leaf shape. Yet $A$. pseudosimensis (and A. simensis) has a sigmoid, gibbous calyx with sessile pedicels typical of Acinos. Brenan (1954) believed that $A_{0}$ pseudosimensis hybridised with Satureja (Calamintha) uhligiia I have not seen any intermediates which might suggest hybridisationg but Brenan saw very many more specimens than I have. At the moment, the choice is between Acinos and Calaminthag with the evidence, in my opiniong weighted toward Acinos.


3.ll.ll. Nom. exclud.
A. herba-barona (Coisela) G. Don ex Loudag Horto Brit. 239 (1839) = Thymus herba-barona Loisel.
A. siso Siebold, Verh. Batav. Gen. 12:32 (1830) = PPerilla arguta Siebold
3.11.12. Nom. dubia.
A. diffusus Boenningshag Prod. Fl. Monast. 182 (1824).
A. grandiflorus G. Don ex Loud. Hort. Brit. 239 (1839).
3. Cy. Cyotrichium (Baiss.) Manden. \& Schengog Not. Syst: (Leningrad) 15:336 (1953).

Syn: Calamintha Sect. Cyclotrichium Boiss.; Fl. Or: 4:579 (1879); Satureja Sect. Cyclotrichium Briqo in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4,3a:299 (1897).

Perennial suffrutescent herbs. Leaves ovate to lanceolate, sometimes elliptic, entire or weakly crenulate, flatg veins usually prominent, camptodromous; palisade mesophyll dorsiventral or isolateral: shortly but distinctly petiolate Inflorescence bracteate. Verticillasters dense, few- to many-flowered, distant. Bracteoles narrowly lanceolate or linear, up to as long as calyx tube. Calyx usually cylindrical-tubulary sometimes ampliate, straight or curved, 13 -veined, throat usually glabrous, sometimes with a dense fringe of hairs, bilabiate, rarely almost regular, teeth all similar in shape or upper somewhat broader, upper half as long to almost as long as lowerg lower teeth usually not ciliate. Corolla mauve, violet or pink, tube resupinate, annulate inside 1/2-2/3 way up tube, glabrous below the annulus, lips 1/4-1/2 as long as tube, upper (abaxial) lip as long as or slightly shorter than lower (adaxial) lipg upper lip emarginate or retuse, lower lip 3-lobed, lobes subequal. Stamens 4, all long exserted, of similar length or upper slightly shorterg usually inserted at same levelg all fertileg thecae parallel. Style branches unequal, subulate. Nutlets oblong to ovoid, glabrous, apex rounded.

Type species: Co floridum (Boiss.) Manden. \& Scheng. $=C$. origanifolium (Labil1.) Manden. \& Scheng.

An Irano-Turanian genus comprised of 8 species.
Cyclotrichium; as treated by Mandenova \& Schengelia (195.3), was related to Lophanthus Adansog a genus close to Nepeta. However, Lophanthus is distinguished by the deeply dentate to crenulate
leaves, infundibuliform, 15 -nerved calyx tube, exannulate corolla with unequal lobes of the lower (adaxial) lip, and thecae divergent. It seems unlikely, therefore, that Cyclotrichium can be closely related to Lophanthus.

In facies, leaf shape and structure (except C. niveum), verticillaster arrangement and calyx, Cyclotrichium is rather similar to Calamintha, the genus to which Boissier (1879) subordinated it. However, in coralla structure these genera are quite distinct.
2. Calyx tube glabrous or apparently so even under a lens 3

* Calyx tube distinctly hairy, sometimes minutely \&

3. Calyx teeth as long as or slightly shorter than calyx tube; calyx tube $3.0-3.5 \mathrm{mmg}$ verticillasters with 3-9 1 lowers per floral leaf $\quad$ 2. haussknechtii

* Calyx teeth clearly shorter than calyx tube; calyx tube $5.0-6.0 \mathrm{mmg}$ verticillasters of $1(-3)$ flowers per floral leaf $\quad$ 3. depauperatum

4. Calyx distinctly bilabiate, the upper triangularacuminate teeth slightly divergent, half as long as lower teeth 4a origanifolium

* Calyx bilabiate but much less distinctly 50, teeth porrect, generally narrowly triangular to lanceolate-subulate 5

5. Stems, especially upper parts and verticillasters also; very densely patent-pilose; leaves very broadly ovate to sub-orbicular

+ Stems and verticillasters sparsely or not long-hairy; leaves ovate, mostly distinctly longer than broad

6. Calyx tube clearly ampliate, $3.0-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ calyx teeth
$1.0-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ g corolla $8.5-10.5 \mathrm{~mm} \quad$ 5. straussii

+ Calyx tube narrowly cylindrical, 4.7-7.5 mmg calyx
teeth 2.0-4.5 mmg corolla 12.0-18.5 mm 7. longiflorum

7. Stems with long spreading hairs at least below or at nodes; lower calyx teeth $1.5-2.6 \mathrm{~mm} \quad$ 6. leucotrictism

* Stems minukely glandular-pruinose, without long hairsi lower calyx teeth 3.0-3.4 mm 8. stamineum
3.22.

1. Co niveum (Bois5.) Manden. \& Scheng- Not. Syst. (Leningrad) 15:337 (1953).

Syn: Calamintha nivea Boiss., Ann. Sci. Nat. ser. 4, 2:253 (1854)g Clinopodiu: niveum (Boisso) 0. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891); Satureje nivea (Boiss.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat: Pflanzenfam. 4,3a: 299 (1897)。

Fl. 6-8. Rocky calcareous slopes, scree, $1400-1600 \mathrm{~m}$.
Type: [Turkey B6 Malatya] in Cappadocia centrali inter KetcheMesara et Guruno (Gurun), Tchihatcheff (G?).

Gen. distrib.: E Turkey.
A very distinct species on account of its dense, white, dendroid indumențum. The isolateral leaf anatomy may also be unique in the genus.
3.12.3. 2. C. haussknechtii (Bunge) Manden. \& Scheng.g Not. Syst. (Leningrad) 15: 337 (1953)!

Syn: Calamintha haussknechtii Bunge; Lab. Pers. 38 (1873); Clinopodium haussknechtii (Bunge) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515
(1891): Satureja haussknechtii (Bunge) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3a: 299 (1897).

F1. 6-7. Dry ledges of limestone cliffs, rock fissures, 900 - 1130 m . Type: [Iran] Teng, Tokab p. Behbehang in fissura rupag 3000 ped.a 6 vi 1868, C. Haussknecht (K!).

Gen. distrib: Iran.
3.12 .4
3. Co depauperatum (Bunge) Manden. \& Schengag Not. Syst. (Leningrad) 15:337 (1953)!

Syna Calamintha depauperata Bunge, Lab. Pers. 38 (1873)! Clinopodium depauperatum (Bunge) 0. Kuntze, Revo Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891)! Satureja depauperata (Bunge) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3a: 299 (1897)!

F1. 8. Rocks; c. 900 ma

Type: [Iran] in rup. Bors vall. 41. Chyrsang $^{2} 3000$ ped.g viii $1868_{9}$ C. Haussknecht (K!).

Gen. distriba: Iran.
3.12.5.
4. Co origanifolium (Labil1:) Manden. \& Scheng., Not: Syst. (Leningrad) 15:337 (1953)。

Syn: Clinopodium origanifolium Labillag Ic. Pl. Syr: Rara 4:14 t.9 (1812) 9 Melissa origanifolia (Labil1.) Benth., Lab. Gen. Sp. 392 (1834): Micromeria origanifolia (Labill.) Bentho in DCag Prodr. 12:214 (1848); Calamintha glomerulosa Boiss. \& Heldr. ex Benth. in DC.g Prodr: 12:234 (1848); Calamintha origanifolia (Labill.) Boiss.g Diagn. ser: 1(12):50 (1853); Calamintha florida Boiss.g loc: cit. 51 (1853): Clinopodium floridum (Baiss:) 0. Kuntze, Reva Gen. Pl. 515 (1891): Satureja origanifolia (Labill.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantlg Nat. Pflanzenfan. 4g3as 299 (1897): Satureja florida (Boiss.) Briq:g loc. cit. (1897): Satureja labillardieri

Briq., Ann. Conserv. Bot. Geneve 2:187 (1898); Calamintha origanifolia (Labill.) Boiss. 5sp: labillardieri (Briq.) Bornm.g Beih. Bot. Centralbl. 31(2):248 (1914); Calamintha labillardieri (Briq.) Bornm., loc. cit. 249 (1914), nomen; Calamintha florida Boissa vara villicaulis Bornmag Fedde Rep. 49:250 (1940): Cyclotrichium floridum (Baissa) Manden. \& Scheng.g Not. Syst. (Leningrad) 15:336 (1953).

Fl. 7-9. Stony places, limestone scree, mountain slopes, $1200-4000$ $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{o}}$

Type: [Lebanon] in Libano, Labillardiere (holo. FI). Gen. distrib.: S Turkey, Lebanon.
3.12.6. 5. C. straussii (Bornma) Rech. fog Fl: Iranica 150:516 (1982). Syn: Calamintha straussii Bornmog Beih. Bot. Centralbl. 22(2):119 (1907); Satureja straussii Bornmeg loca cit. (1907), nomen in herb.

Type: [Iran] Persia ditione oppidi, Nehawend $m_{0} m_{0}$ Gerru, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$ Strauss (K!).

Gen. distrib.: Iran. Only known from the type.
3.12 .7 6. C. leucotrichum (Stapf) Leblebici, Bitki 1: 405 (1974).

Syn: Calamintha leucotrichum Stapf ex Rech. fog Dest. Bot. Zeitschr. 99: 62 (1952).

Fl. 7. Cliffs and rock crevices, rocky slopes, $1000-1500 \mathrm{~m}$.
Type: [Turkey C8 Mardin] Mardin, Rischemil (Rismil), 23 vii 1888, Sintenis 1352 (halo. Ul $_{\text {g }}$ iso. E!, $\left.K!, ~ L D, ~ M A N C H!\right) 。 ~$

Gen. distrib.: E Turkey, Iraq.
Very closely related to C. stamineum.
3.12.8. 7. C. longiflorum Leblebici, Bitki 1:406 (1974)!

Fl. 7. Calcareous mountain slopes, amongst rocks, rock crevices, 1200-1800 m.

Type: Iraq. Distr: Mosul (Kurdistan) ad confines Turciae prov. Hakaris in ditione pagi Sharanish, in montibus calc. a Zakho septentrionem versus Jabal Khantur, in saxosis; $1200 \mathrm{~m}, 4-9$ vii 1957, K. H. Rechinger 10764 (holo. E!).

Gen. distrib: ${ }^{\text {Iraq. }}$
Closely related to C. stamineum.
3.12.9. 8. C. stamineum (Boiss. \& Hohen.) Manden. \& Scheng. , Not. Syst. (Leningrad) 15:337 (1953).

Syn: Micromeria staminea Boiss. \& Hohena; Diagnag ser. 1 (5):19 (1884): Calamintha staminea (Bois5. \& Hohen.) Boiss. \& Hohen., Diagn. 1(12):51 (1853); Clinopodium stamineum (Boiss. \& Hohen.) 0 . Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:516 (1891); Satureja staminea (Bois5. \& Hohen.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4,3a:299 (1897): Calamintha glabrescens Boiss. \& Kotschy ex Rech. f.g Oest. Bot. Zeitschr: 99:62 (1952); Cyclotrichium glabrescens (Baiss. \& Kotschy ex Rech. fa) Leblebicig Bitki 1: 406 (1974).

Fl. 6-8. Rock crevices, gravel terraces, rocky limestone slopes, 1200-1830 m.

Type: [N Iraq] in fissuris rupium montis Gara Kurdistaniae, Kotschy 311 (holo. $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{i} 5 \mathrm{~B}$. $\mathrm{BM}, \mathrm{K}$ !).

Gen. distrib: $: E$ Turkey, $N$ Iraq.

Gardoquia Ruiz \& Pavag Prod. 86; t. 17 (1794).
Syn: Rizoa Cav. in Anal. Cienc. Nat. 3:132 (1801); Satureja L. sect. Gardoquia (Ruiz \& Pav.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantlg Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4g 3 aa 300 (1897); Satureja L. sect. Calomelissa subsect. Coccineae Briq., loc. cit. 302 (1897), p.p.

Shrubs, prostrate to erect. Leaves ovate, lanceolate, elliptic, or obovate, rarely $\{1 a b e l l i f o r m$ or linear, clearly petiolate; flats revolute at margins, or rarely conduplicate; entire, minutely toothed or crenulate, rarely serrate; lateral veins camptodromous, marginal veins absent; palisade mesophyll dorsiventralg rarely isolateral. Verticillasters usually single or few-flowered, sometimes many-flowered, approximate, rarely spike-like, flowers sessile to long pedunculate and pedicellate. Floral leaves shorter than flowers. Bracteoles linear to ovate, lanceolate or oblanceolate in shape, rarely absent. Calyx bilabiate, narrowly tubular, rarely ampliate, nearly always curved, rarely straight, 13-veined, throat glabrous or hairy, teeth straight, rarely upper curving upward, nearly always less than $1 / 2$ tube length, usually not ciliate, upper teeth usually much shorter than lower. Corolla mostly red or red with yellow or orange-red, rarely purples blue or white; tube very long narrowly tubular, not resupinate, not annulate inside; densely hairy on inside lower half, rarely glabrous; lips 1/20-1/3 x tube length; upper lip emarginate, longer than or + equal to lower lip; lower lip $3-1$ obed, central lobe entires very rarely bifid. Stamens 4, all fertile or rarely posterior pair reduced to staminodes, straight or convergent, all just exserted from tube, posterior stamens $1 / 2$ to almost as long as anterior pair, inserted $1 / 2-3 / 4$ way up tube; anthers divergent, sometimes parallel. Style branches mostly unequal, narrowly subulate or broad lanceolate, rarely one broadly lanceolate and the
other narrowly subulate. Nutlets oblong or ovoid, glabrous or rarely minutely glandular or eglandular hairy.

Type species: G. multiflora Ruiz \& Pavon.
35 species in $N_{s} \mathrm{C}$ and 5 Anerica.

In common with all the American genera recognised here, Gardoquia has been little used at generic level since De Candolle's Prodromus (Bentham 1848 ) and even less so since Briquet's treatment in Naturlichen Pflanzenfamilien (1897). However; it is only the taxonomic rank, not the distinctness of Gardoquia as a group, which has been contended. Gardoquia was first published by Ruiz \& Pavon in 1794 without descriptions but an illustration presented, clearly G. multiflora, validates the genus. The same authors jointly published six species shortly afterwards (Ruiz \& Pavon, 1798): The next major work was by Kunth in Bonpland \& Humboldt's Nova Genera et Species Plantarum vol. 2 (1817) where ten new species were published. By the time of De Candolle's Prodromus (1848) 25 species were known. Authors who recognised Gardoquia at
 Brasiliensis vol. 8 (1858), Grisebach in Weddell's Chloris Andina vol. 2 (1860), Hemsley's Biologia Centrali Americana vol. 2 (1882), and Kuntze's Rev. Gen. Pl: (1891). After Briquet (1897), Gardoquia has nearly always been treated as a section of Satureja 5.l. Epling, monographer of most American Labiatae, was most influential in maintaining Briquet's arrangement as far as Satureja 5.1. was concerned. An exception is the recent Venezuelan Flora del Avila (Steyermark \& Huber, 1978) which recognises Gardoquia as an independent genus.

The floral features particularly give Gardoquia a quite distinct and unmistakable facies. The calyx is narrowly parallelsided, tubular, nearly always curved, the teeth short in comparison
to the tube which rarely curve upward. Nearly all the species are adapted to pollination by birds and have distinctive, large; narrowly tubular, red or red with yellow corollas. The stamens are usually long and curved, and held under the upper corolla lip. Characteristically the stamen connective is very broad.

In leaf morphology the genus is extremely diverse, enabling one to distinguish nine sections using vegetative characters. There is a distinctive leaf shapes ptyxis, venation, and pubescence in each group. The sections are usually restricted in geographical distribution.

The infrageneric arrangement adopted here is very different from that of Epling \& Jativa (1964, 1966) though rather closer to an earlier arrangement of Epling (1927). They divided Satureja sect. Gardoquia into 3 informal groups: Multiflorae, which included multiflora, elliptica, tomentosa; and rugosa (=pulchella), Ericoideae, which included the species with leaves generally less than 1 cm , and Ovalifoliaeg with leaves generally over 1 cm long. These groups are very arbitrary and do not always bring closely Felated species together. Leaf size is extremely variable in the genus: Howeverg I am certain that Epling \& Jativa (1964) were quite aware of the limitations of their groups. Sometimes species placed next to each other in their work correspond with sections adopted in the present revision; for example; the species of my sections Plicatae and Sericifoliae. The sections described here are based primarily on leaf shape, teeth; revolution, venation, and pubescence: These characters are much more constant throughout the sections and of greater significance than size alone.

Briquet, because of over-emphasis of the length of the corolla, says that there is a transitional series from Gardoquia to Xenopoma. However, he was very unfortunate in his choice of
examples to illustrate this transition. He says that Satureja breviflora (Benth.) Briq. and S. rugosa (Benth.) Briq.g both placed in sect. Gardoquia, show an intermediate position. However, 5. breviflora is probably a sterile sex form of Gardoquia incana, and having seen the type of Gardoquia rugosa this specimen also has small flowers due to sterility. Normally these species have very large corollas quite average in length for Gardoquia. 0f the 35 species in Gardoquia and 20 species in Xenopoma, a couple of species do exhibit some intermediate features. One of these is the single species of Kenopoma sect: Sphenostachys which has the vegetative characteristics of Gardoquia but the floral features of Xenopona. Gardoquia sect. Anomales is the other intermediate, but here the weight of similarity tends to Gardoquia even thought the corolla is white and the smallest in the genus. These two rather anomalous species were described after Briquet's death. The only other species Briquet treats as transitional is Satureja micromerioides (Hemsla) Briq. Even though this species has a small corolla in comparison to other Gardoquias, it has a greater similarity to Gardoquias as Hemsley (1882) recognised, than to Xenopoma. The calyx is long, narrowly tubular with narrowly triangular teeth; all + equal, which is not far removed from calices of some species of Gardoquia sect. Gardoquia. The corolla, though smaller than the average Gardoquia, has the arching; narrowly tubular appearance and large open throat typical of most Gardoquia species.

Gardoquia is more distantly related to the other American genera (of Satureja s.1.) than to Xenopoma, except for obtegomeria which may be a specialised offshoot of Gardoquia. The similarity of Diodeilis coccinea (Nutt.) Rafin. to Gardoquia seems entirely due to similarities resulting from adaption to pollination by birds.

Nearly all Gardoquia species and $D$. coccinea have a large, narrowly tubular, red corolla typical of this mode of pollination. Alexander (1941) argued for the inclusion of D. coccinea in Gardoquia based on the similarity of the structure of the anthers, corollag and structure and venation of the calyo. However, he does say that this species "is somewhat anomalous in the genus Gardoquia" on account of its unusual calys. In fact the calyx of $D$. coccinea is quite different from that in Gardoquia. It is short, broad, straight tubular, the upper lip; with obsolescent teeth, curving upward until erect. The calyx of $D$. coccinea is, however, very similar indeed to D. dentata (Chapm.) A. Doroszenko and D. ashei (Weatherby) A. Doroszenko. In leaf structure and habit also $D$. coccinea plainly belongs to Diodeilis.

Some species of Gardoquia show a marked similarity to Poliomintha. If it were not for the sterility of the posterior stamens and the unusually thick, stellate pubescence of $P_{0}$ marifolia (Schauer) A. Gray one would have great difficulty in separating it from Gardoquia, especially from $G$. domingensis Urb. \& Ekman) A. Doroszenko of Sect. Pallidae. The calyx of G. maderensis is also very similar to Poliomintha. Epling \& Stewart (1939) Say of Poliomintha: "[a] genus too near Gardoquia not to be distinguished if that really becomes diandrous". G. jaliscana is a species which is consistently diandrous, but the unusually shaped calyx clearly associates it with G. macrostema, a species which has 4 fertile stamens. The relationship seems therefore to be very close.

6. Leaves broadly ovate to lanceolate, serrate to almost entire, lateral veins very narrow, + sunken on upper surface (a) sect. Gardoquia

+ Leaves oblong-elliptic to broadly elliptic, or oblanceolate-spathulate, entire or scarcely toothed, lateral veins very narrow and faint or invisible on upper side 7

7. Upper calyx lip longer than lower lip 8

+ Upper calyx lip as long as or shorter than lower lip 9

8. Leaves oblanceolate or very broadly elliptic,
upper surface shiny; calyx hairy in throat (f) sect. Taxifoliae

+ Leaves oblong-elliptic, upper surface pallid; calyx glabrous in throat
(g) sect. Pallidae

9. Leaves oblanceolate to ellipticg calyx throat
glabrous: upper and lower calyx teeth distinctly different in lengthi pedicels
longer than peduncles (f) sect. Taxifoliae

+ Leaves oblong-elliptic; calyx throat with
a dense fringe of hairsg upper and lower
teeth + equal $;$ calyx peduncle $8-15 \times$
pedicel length (i) sect. Micromerioides
313.2. (a) Sect. Gardoquia

Erect shrubs. Leaves broadly ovate to lanceolate, glabrous or sparsely hairy, $10-55 \times 8-32 \mathrm{~mm}$ flat, rounded to somewhat cuneate at base, long petiolate, margins serrate to almost entire, lateral veins very narrow, sunken above, scarcely or not prominent below. Calyx tube slightly ampliate, sometimes narrowly tubular, curved or straight; lower teeth $1 / 8-4 / 5 \times$ tube length, upper teeth straight
or somewhat curving upwards. (Chile; Peru; Mexico, Guatemala)

4. Fertile stamens 4 g corolla tube glabrous withing calyx $7-13 \mathrm{~mm}$ long $\quad$ 3. macrostema

+ Fertile stamens 2; corolla tube densely retrorse-strigose withing calyx 4-5 mm 4. jaliscana

5. Lower calyx teeth apex broad, obtuse; (leaves small, $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) [Peru] $\quad$ S. junctionis

+ Lower calyx teeth sharply acute [Mexico, Guatemala] 6

6. Leaves 5-10 mm long, serrulate; with sharply pointed teeth 6. mexicana

+ Leaves $10-40 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, entire to sub-entire with small rounded teeth 7

7. Leaf, other than at margins, glabrous; lower calyx teeth 2.2-3.0 mm [Guatemala] 7. seleriana

+ Leaf minutely hirtellous; lower calyx teeth
1.1-2.0 mm [Mexicol

8. maderensis
3.132.2. 1. G. multiflora Ruiz \& Pav: Syst. Veg. 149 (1798).

Syn: Rizoa ovatifolia Cav. in Anal. Cienc. Nat: 3:133 (1801): Clinopodium multiflorum (Ruiz \& Pava) 0 . Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 3(2):259 (1898); Satureja multiflora (Ruiz \& Pav.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat: Pflanzenfam. 4g 3á 300 (1897).

Ic: Faxt. Mag. 6:223 (1839); Bot. Mag. 66: t. 3772 (1840).
Fl. 1-2, 12. Amongst shrubs, margin of forest, marshy places, 50-300 m.

Type: Chile: Concepcion, Pavon (iso. CONC, G, P).
Gen. distrib: Central coastal Chile.

A very common species in low-lying parts of central Chile between $35^{\circ}$ and $42^{\circ} 5$ (Marticorena \& Quezada, 1977). This area has a mediterranean-like climate where G. gilliesii (sp. no. 2B) is also endemic. There is an abrupt change in the flora at around $42^{\circ} 5$ related to the last glacial period. A further note is given under Xenopoma darwinii
3.13.2.3. 2: G. elliptica Ruiz \& Pav. s Syst. Veg. 149 (1798).

Syn: Stachys speciosa Hookog Bot. Misc. 2:235 (1831)! Satureja elliptica (Ruiz \& Pav.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl; Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4; 3as 300 (1897), non Briq; $10 c$ cit. 299 (1897).

Fl. 1; 6: Rock ledges by rivers; 2750-3350 m.
Type: Peru: Cheuchin and Sayan, Dombey (holo. P). Gen. distrib. : Peru.

Most closely related to $\underline{G}_{\text {e multiflora }}$ and distinguished easily on pubescence and the form of the bracteoles.
3.13.2.4. 3. Ge macrostema (Moc: \& Sessé ex Benth.) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov. Syn: Melissa macrostema Moc. \& Sessé ex Benth., Lab. Gen. Sp. 395 (1834)! Calamintha macrostema (Mac. \& Sessé ex Benth.) Benth. in
DC., Prodr: 12:234 (1848)! Clinopodium macrostemum (Moc: \& Sessé ex Benth.) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 515 (1891)! Satureja macrostema (Moc. \& Sessé ex Benth.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl; Nat. Pflanzenfan. 4g 3a:302 1897)! Calamintha fuchsiifolia Gandoger in Bull. Soc. Bot. Fr. 65: 65 (1918): Clinopodium laeviqatum Standley in Contrib. U.S. Nat. Herb. 23:1273 (1925); Satureja laevigata (Standley) Standley in Field Mus. Publ. Bot. 11:173 (1936); Satureja macrostema (Moc: \& Sessé ex Benth.) Briq. var. laeviqata (Standley) McVaugh \& Schmid in Brittonia 19:264 (1967).

Ic: McVaugh \& Schmid in Brittonia 19:264, f. 1 (1967).
Fl. All year: Dry wooded hills, steep banks, deep shade of Abies and oak wood, $1800-3500 \mathrm{~m}$.

Syntypes: [Mexico] hort. Mexican.g ann. 1830, Graham 110 (K!); [Mexico]: N[ueva] E[spana], ex herb. Sessé \& Mocino (lecto. OXF, ex herb. Lambert).

The lectotype was designated by McVaugh \& Schmid (1967).
Gen. distrib: 5 Central Mexico. A map is presented by McVaugh \& Schmid (1967).

G: macrostema has a number of unusual floral features. The straight, ampliate calyr with clearly upcurving upper lip is only shared with $G$. jaliscana, and the corolla inflating at the calys mouth is unique in the genus. However, in overall facies the species clearly belongs to Gardoquia. Leaf shape, verticillaster arrangement, and overall appearance of the flowers are similar to G. multiflora and G. elliptica. There is, however, some similarity in the calyx to Diodeilis sect. Diodeilis, especially in the upcurving upper lip.

A specimen labelled Arsene 8593, Morelia, Michoacan, Mexico, is very unusual and may represent a new species. In calyx structure and dimensions it is very similar to G. macrostema. There are two $^{\text {a }}$
immature corollas on the specimen and dissection of one of them showed that all four stamens would probably be fertile when mature. The very slender, recurved puberulent, sprawling stems are similar to G. jaliscana. The leaves are narrowly elliptic, $12-20 \times 4-6 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ entire and glabrous except for a few hairs along the margins.
3.13.2.5. 4. G. jaliscana (McVaugh \& Schmid) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Satureja jaliscana McVaugh \& Schmid in Brittonia 19:266 (1967).

Ic: McVaugh \& Schmidy loc. cit.g f. 2 (1967).
Fl. 4; 11. Humid deciduously forested ravines in narrow stream valleys, $1700-1900 \mathrm{~m}$.

Type: Mexico: Jalisco: headwaters of Rio Mascota; 20-25 km SE of Talpa de Allende and $12-13 \mathrm{~km} S$ of the sawmill called El Rincon, in flower 3-4 iv 1965; McVaugh 23465 (holo. MICH).

Gen. distrib: ${ }^{\text {G Mexicog Jalisco. }}$
The posterior stamens are reduced to small staminodes. Briquet (1897) would probably have placed this species in the 2-staminate genus Hedeoma, since he argued that it was not possible to make clear diagnoses of the genera if one mixed tetrandrous and diandrous species in one genus. Even though it is very likely that Hedeoma and its related 2-staminate genera are the closest relatives of the American Satureja s.l.g the strong similarity of G. jaliscana to $G$. macrostema, a species with completely fertile stamens, argues for its retention in Gardoquia. The illustrations in McVaugh \& Schmid (1967) show this quite clearly.

The posterior stamens are always shorter than the anterior in Gardoquia (see table below; G: jaliscana excluded): Complete sterility of all the stamens has been noted throughout Satureja 5.1. (see chapter 4 for complete details), but whenever there is
only partial sterility it is the posterior pair which are sterile.

Length of the posterior stamens as a percentage of the anterior.

| Percentage | Number of species |
| :--- | :--- |
| $50-60$ | 2 |
| $60-70$ | 7 |
| $70-80$ | 7 |
| $80-90$ | 10 |
| $90-100$ | 2 |
| Mean percentage $=75 \%$ |  |

3.13.2.6.
5. G. junctionis (Epling \& Jativa) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov. Syn: Satureja junctionis Epling \& Jativa in Brittonia 16:400 (1964):

Fl. 5. Margins of streams, 3100 m .
Type: Peru, La Libertad, Prove Pataz, Tingo (La Sabana Huayillas), 3100 mg 23 v 1961; Lopez \& Sagastegui 3533 tholo. LA in UC!).

Other than in size the leaves are veryosimilar to $G$. multiflora. The affinity of $G$. junctionis seems to be with $G$. multiflora/G. ellipticas but the low shrubby habit; and ampliate, curved calyx with obtuse teeth clearly distinguishes this species from the others in the section.
3.3.2.7 6: G. mexicana Benth., Pl. Hartw. 50 (1840).

Syn: G: helleri Peyr. in Linnaea 30:34 (1859); Satureja mexicana (Benth.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3a:300 (1897)! Calamintha oaxacana Fernald in Proc. Amer. Acad. Sc. 35:564 (1900): Clinopodium oaxacanum (Fernald) Standley, Contrib. U.S.

Nat. Herb. 23:1273 (1925); Satureja oaxacana (Fernald) Standley in Field Mus. Publ. Bot. 11:173 (1936).

Fl. 1, 7, 12. Steep rocky hillside, 1500-2745 m. Type: Mexicos between Fegla and the Barranco, ann. 1839, T. Hartweg 377 (holo. K!g iso. E!).

Gen. distrib. : Mexico.
The leaves are very variable in size in this species and larger ones are very similar to those of $G$. macrostema. The type specimen has much smallerg broadly ovate leaves but with the small, sharply pointed, outcurving teeth characteristic of the species.
3.13.2.8. 7. G. seleriana. (Loesener) Doroszenko, comb. nov. Syn: Satureja seleriana Loesener in Verh. Bot. Brandenb. 1909, 51:35 [213] (1910): Satureja seleriana Loes. var. quatemalensis Loes: 1 loc. cit. 36 [214] (1909): Satureja guatemalensis Standley ex Epling \& Jativa in Brittonia 20:309 (1968).

F1. 4, 9. 2600-3400 m.
Syntypes: Guatemala: dept. Chimaltenango, in cupresseto in Sierra Santa Elena apud Tecpam Guatemalag 3400 m , Seler 2352 (B?, lost?); dept. Quche apud Chiul, 2600 mg iv 1892, Heyde \& Lux 3125 (K!). Gen. distrib: Guatemala.

In leaf shape this is most similar to $G$. macrostema; probably its nearest relative. The calyx widens gradually from the base which is unusualg most Gardoquia species having parallel-sided calices. The corolla tube is very narrow, most similar to G. multiflora and G. maderensis.
313.2.9. 8. G. maderensis (Henrickson) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov. Syn: Satureja maderensis Henrickson in Brittonia 33:211 (1981). Ic: Henrickson in Brittonia 33:212 (1981).

Ic：Henrickson in Brittonia 33：212（1981）．
Fl．8－9．Steep calcareous slopes，frequently in shade，2050－2600 m． Type：Mexico：Coahuilas c． 35 （air） $\mathrm{km} \underset{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{m}}$ of Cuatro Cienegas in Canon de la Hacienda in Sierra de la Madera along trail SE of road＇s ends near 27 03＇$N$ Latog 102 24＇$W$ Long．g 2200－2600 mg 5 viii 1973，J．Henrickson with $T_{0}$ Hendt 11888 （holo．Ll，iso．ASU，MEX）． Gen．distrib．：Mexica．

The very narrow，straight，parallel－sided calyx with short teeth is very similar to Poliomintha。 Henrickson（1981）mentions that on one of the isotypes（at ASU）lrving，the monographer of Hedeama（Irving，1980）and Poliomintha（Irving，1972），comments that＂save for the four fertile stamens，this plant is remarkably like Hedeoma－Poliomintha＂．Judging from the excellent illustration in Henrickson（1981）I sould have to agree．

Irving＇s comments imply that Hedeoma and Poliomintha are artificial and should be united together and with Gardoguia．The overall similarity of Gardoquia to Poliomintha is certainly close。 However，Gardoquia and Hedeona are quite different in calyx and corolla structure，as well as in habit and geographical distribution．仿y inclination would be to keep these genera apartg at least for the time being．

3．13．3．（b）Sect．Tomenrosae A．Doroszenko，sect．nov．
Tall erect shrubs．Leaves broadly ovate，narrowly elliptic to obovate，lower surface densely white tomentoseg the upper side much less densely adpressed hairy，or glabrousg $8-25 \times 3-20 m_{9}$ with clearly revolute margins，crenulate or entire，lateral veins broadg prominent below，sunken above，with a complex reticulate pattern of minor veins between the laterals；petioles usually short but quite distinct．Calyx narrowly parallel－sided，curved tubulari lower
teeth (1/4-)1/3-2/3 $\times$ tube length. (Ecuador, Perug Colombia)
3.13.3.1. 1. Leaves 6.5-10.0 mmi calyx teeth parallelsided in lower partg terminating in a broad obtuse apex
13. flabellifolia

+ Leaves $10.0-35.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ calyx teeth narroty
triangularg apex acute

2
9. acutifolia

* Lear apex rounded to braadly abtuse 3

3. Lower calyx teeth 3.0-5.0 mas leaves broadly to narrowly ovate 12. pulchella

+ Lower calyx teeth 1.5-2.5 mmg leaves orbicular to broadly elliptic (rarely abovate) A

4. Calyx tube 4.5-5. $0 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ glabrous in throat: lateral veins on lomer side of leaf very narrows hardly raised above leaf surface 10. tomentosa

+ Calyx tube 5.6-6.5 mmg hairy in throatg lateral veins on lower side of leaf broadg prominently raised above lamina 11. cercocarpoides
3.13.3.2. 9. G. acutifolia Bentha in DCog Prodr. 12:236 (1848).

Syn: Satureja acutifolia (Bentho) Briq. in Engler \& Prantlg Nata Pflanzenfan. 4, 3aa 300 (1897)!

F1. 1, 12. Cliffs and dry shrubland, $c .1700$ a.
Type: Peru: Amazonas, Chachapoyas, ann. 1835, Mathews 1522 (holo. K!g i50. E!!.

Matheoss 1521 has very tightly revolute leaves, rather similar in aspect to some forms of G. sericea. Closer inspection shows that these are all very young leaves and that nearly all the older ones have fallen off. The few larger leaves remaining have the
distinctive elliptic shape and unusual venation.
3.13.3.3. 10. G. tomentosa Kunth in Humboldt \& Bonplands Nova Gen. Spa Pl. 2:314 (1817).

Syn: G. thymoides Kunth in Mumboldt \& Bonplands Novi Gen. Sp. Pl. 2:314 (1817): G. elegans Kunthg loc. cit. (1817): Ga grandiflora Kunth, lace cit. 315 (1817): Go incana tilld. ex Bentho in Linnaea 11:331 (1837) nomeng G. quitensis tilldog loce cita 332 (1837), nomen; Satureja tomentosa (Kunth) Briqo in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3as 300 (1897) ${ }^{\text {g Satureja thynoides (Kunth) Briq. }}$ loc. cit. (1897), non Brown ex Buch (1825), nomeng non Soland. ex Lotse (1831), nomen, nan (De Not) Nyman (185A); Satureja elegans (Kunth) Briqag loc. cit. (1897): Satureja kunthii Brigog loc. cit. (1897), vars. crenata Briq. parvifolia Briqag subintegrifolia Briq. in Ann. Conserv. Jard. Bot. Geneve 2: 189 (1898)!

F1. $3,6-8$. Stony plains, sun-baked slopes, dry banks, 2480-3050 m. Type: Ecuador: juxta Llactacunga, Hambato et Riobamba Ruevo, 2750 $m_{g}$ flo Junag Bonpland (holo. P!)。

Gen. distrib.a Ecuador:
Rather variable in pubescence and corolla size (tube 12-27 mm, lips 3-5 mm) which accounts for the many synonyms.
3.13.3.4. 11. G. cercocarpoides (Epl.) A. Doroszenko, conb. nov.

Syn: Sarureja cercocarpoides Epl. in Fedde, Rep. Spec. Novo Beiheft 85: 153 (1936): Satureja kunthii Briq. varo nervosa Briq. in Anno Conserv. Jard. Bot. Geneve 2:189.

Fl. 2-5. Open grass and scrub, 2130-2750 a.
Type: Colombia: Taquinag ad Rio Hachag $2750 \mathrm{~m}_{9}$ ii $184 Q_{g}$ Io Linden 1623 (hola. K!)

Gen. distrib: Colombia。

Epling \& Jativa (1964) have this species as a synonym of Satureja andrei Epl. However the latter taxon is entirely different and is synonymous with G. taxifolia. Examination of the types show that G. cercocarpoides has more broadly ellipticg adpressed or recurved densely tomentose leaves, fnot glabrous or sparsely minutely hairy), more rounded at the apex, and the veins sunken above not raised as in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{o}}$ andrei. The calyx is densely shortly recurved-pubescent with sharply pointed, lanceolate-subulate teeth, rather than the calyx sparsely minute-hairy, the teeth triangular not sharply subulate as in S. andrei.
3.13.3.5. 12. G. pulchella Kunth in Humboldt \& Bonpland, Nov. Gena Spa Pl. 2:315 (1817).

Syn: G. rugosa Benth., Lab. Gen. Sp. 399 (183A)! ?G. pilosa A. Gray in Proc. Am. Acad. Sci. 5i3A1 (1862)i Satureja rugosa (Benth.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nato Pflanzenfama $4,3 a s 300$ (1897)! Satureja pulchella (Kunth) Briqog loco cito (1897)! Satureja panicera Epl. in Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 68:553 (1941)!

Fl. $3,5-9$, 11. Dpen shrubbery, 1270-3600 mo
Type: Peru: Contumasay, Bonpland 3717 (iso. P!)
Gen. distrib: : Peru.
Epling (1941), examining type material only, noted the close similarity of S. panicera Epl. to Sa rugosa (Benth.) Briq. The main differences noted tiere in pubescence and corolla length. I have examined 9 specimens and the only noteworthy differences are in pubescence. The types of Ga pulchella and $\mathrm{Sa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ panicera, and Sagastegui 2517 and 3885 have a very dense, thite, extremely minute tomentose pubescence. The type of G. rugosa and Ferreyra 13299 have a much sparser, shortly villous pubescence. Even longer villous are the leaves of Ridoutt 476 \& 845, and Hutchinson \& dright 5241. The
type of G. pulchella and the Sagastegui specimens tend to have much narrower lanceolate and more shallowly crenate leaves than the rest, but the type of $G$. panicera has broadly ovate and deeply crenate leaves. The small corolla of the type of $G$. rugosa is due to sterility. All the other specimens have the typically large corollas of the genus.
13. G. Flabellifolia (Epl. \& Jativa) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Satureja flabellifolia Epla \& Jat。in Brittonia 16:399 (196\&)! Fl. 6. Montane shrubbery, 2100-2200 m.

Type: Peru: Dept. Piuras Prov. Huancabamba: Abra de Porculla, lado orientals monte bajo; elenente dominante Barnadesia 5p.g 2100-2200 $m_{9} 28$ vi 1959, Ferreyra 13740 (holo. LA in UC, iso. UC!).

This species, only known from the types is most closely related to $G_{0}$ pulchellag but has much smaller more broadly ovare leaves, truncate at the base. The broadly lanceolate calyx teeth are very unusual: only Go junctionis has similar teeth.
3.13.4. (c) Sect. Anomales (Epl. \& Jata) A. Doroszenkag combo nov. Syn: Satureja L. sect. Anomales Epl。\& Jata in Brittonia 16:407 (1964).

Ascending shrubs. Leaves narrowly lanceolate to ellipticg flatg veins sunken above, prominent below, with numerous minor veins between the laterals, reticulate, petioles 3-6 emo Calyx tube straightg lawer teeth $1-11 / 4 \times$ tube length. Monotypic. (Peru).
3.13.4.1.
14. G. Sphenophylla (Epl:) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Satureja sphenophylla Epla in Fedde, Rep. Spec. Nova Beiheft 85: 152 (1936)!

Fl. 6. Margin of forest, 2700-3200 m.

Type: Peru: Juning Carpapata road to Huacapistana, 2700-3200 $\Omega_{9} 7$ vi 1929, Killip \& Smith 24443 (holo. US!g iso. LA in UC!). Gen. distrib.: Peru.

Epling \& Jativa (1964) noted that this distintive species has the habit of a Gardoquia but a corolla similar to Xenopoma. They described a nev section for its placed betoeen Satureja sect. Gardoquia and sect. Xenopomag noting also the multi-flowered cymes.

Although the small, white corolla is unusual for Gardoquia, there are similarities in calyxg leaf shape and leaf texture to sect. Tomentosae. However, a monotypic section for S. sphenophylla seems appropriate. The inflorescence is spike-likeg and the narrowly triangular calyx teqth equal or shortly exceed the straight calyx tube. Such long teeth are only known in Sect. Discolores, and few species have a straight calyx tube 《G。 microphyllag $G_{0}$ selerianag $G_{0}$ jaliscanag $G_{0}$ maderensisg $G_{0}$ macrostema, G. micromeriaides).

Nearly all Gardoquias are adapted to bird pollinationg but $G$. sphenophylla, $G_{0}$ weberbaueri (sect. Taxifoliae) and $G_{0}$ discolor (sect. Discolores) (the last two with small bluish-violet flowers) are probably adapted to insect pollination.
(d) Sect. Sericifoliae A. Doroseenkog sect. nov.

Procumbent or ascending shrublets. Leaves linear- or narrooly elliptic or very small ovateg shortly petiolate, entire or scarcely toothed, margins strongly revoluteg densely white-sericeous or lanate below, sparsely sericeous or pilose, or minutely pubescent aboveg lateral veins very narrow, sunken above, prominent below, sonetines invisible, without minor veins between them. Calyx smally slightly ampliate or straight curved-tubularg teeth $1 / 4-2 / 5 \times$ tube length. (Peru).

3.13.52. 15. G. sericea Presl ex Benthog Lab. Gen. Sp. 402 (1834). Syn: Sarureja sericea (Presl ex Bentho) Briqu in Engler \& Prantly Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3a: 300 (1897)!

Fl. 5-7, 12. Dry settled moraines, bushy thickets on mountain slopes, 2100-4580 m.

Type: Peru: Cordillera Scruvia, Haenke (holo. PR, iso. K!)

Gen. distrib.: Peru.

This species is closely related to and has been confused with G. argentea. A number of specimens identified as Ga argentea are in fact this species [foytkowski 18 (FM): Soukup 4138 (FM); Bonpland (FM ex P)]. G. sericea has much narrower leaves and much more revalute marginsg the indunentum is longg fineg silvery and adpressed-pilose rather than very short thite (not shiny) recurved-puberulent.
3.13.5.3 16. G. argentea Kunth in Mumboldt \& Bonpland, Nova Gen. Spa Pl. 2:313 (1817).

Syn: Satureja argentea (Kunth) Briqo in Engler \& Prantlg Nat. Pflanzenfama 4, 3a:300 (1897)!

Fl. 8. River clift ledges, $c: 2130$ no
Type: Perus ficuipampa, arcund the base of Mt. Hualayoc, Bonpland (holo. B!).

Gen. distribo: Peru。
3.13.5.4. 17. G. clivorum (Epl.) A. Doroszenkog comb. nov.

Syn: Satureja clivorum Epl. in Brittonia 12:195 (1960)!
Fl. 5-6. Rocky hillsides, 2700-3230 ๓.

Type: Peru: Dept. La Libertad, Prov, Otuzco, Shilte (Hda. Llaguen), $3150 m_{y} 2$ vi 1951, A. Lopez 1555 (hola. LA in UC!)。

Gen. distrib.: Peru.

This is very close to Go argentea but may be distinguished by its larger leaves, and very much sparser pubescence. Only young leaves are densely hairyg but then these are nost similar to G. sericea, with very fine shiny hairs. These hairs do not appear to be shed but the leaves enlarge so much that a sparse pubescence results. The brown punctate glands on the lower side of the leaves
are also a distinctive feature of this species.
3.13.5.5. 18. G. sericifolia (Epl. \& Jat.) A. Doroszenko, comb, nov. Syn: Satureja sericifolia Epl. \& Jat. in Brittonia 16: 905 (1964). Fl. 6-7. Moraines, sandy cliffs, steep dry slopes, 2300-4290m. Type: Perus Dept. La Libertad, Prov, Bolivar, arriba de Longotea, $3200 m_{\mathrm{m}} 27$ v 1960, Lopez \& Sagastegui 3189 (LA in UC)。

Gen. distrib.: Peru.
3.13.5.6. 19. G. impressa A. Doroszenko, sp. nov.

Shrub, stems slender, up to 60 cm tall, densely minute-patentpuberulent. Leaves elliptic to lanceolate, subsessile, 7.0-14.0 $x$ 1.3-3.5 ma, base narrowly cuneate, apex acute, margins revolute, entire, veins narrom but strongly impressed on upper side, running parallel to margin, densely white minute-puberulent belows densely extremely minute-hairy above but shiny surface shosing through. Verticillasters approximate on distal part of stems or on short lateral shoots, 1-8-flowered; peduncles $0.4-0.6$ mis pedicels $0.5-3.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ 。 Bracteoles filiforms $0.8-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$. Calyx narrowly parallel-sided, curved-tubular: tube $3.5-3.8$ ming densely unite, ninute, simple-hairy, throat glabrouss upper lip $1.6-2.0 \mathrm{mmg}$ lower lip $1.3-1.7 \mathrm{~mm}$; teeth narrowly triangular, upper $0.5-1.0$ misg lower 1.3-1.7 min. Corolla dull yellow-scarlet, yellowtomard base; tube 15-19 mis lips $3.0-4.0 \mathrm{~mm}$. Stamens $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}$ all fertile; anthers divergent. Style branches equal, both narrowly subulate.

Type: Ecuador: Cuenca, Quebrada de Chushking $2590 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{g}}$ dry sandy cliffs among shrubs and Aloes, etcas also on steep dry sunny slopes amongst similar vegetation, 22 vi 1939, E.K. Balls 7079 (holo E!).

Only known from the type, $G$ impressa is related to $G_{0}$ sericifolia but clearly differs in the leaves, calyx and corolla.
G. sericifolia has consistently elliptic leaves, adpressedpubescent on both sides, the lateral veins only a little sunken and running toward the margins. The calyx tube of G. impressa is much shorter, more hairy on the veins and the teeth are much narrower: also the corolla is much shorter.

The type specimen of 6 . impressa had been determined as Satureja [Gardoquia] taxifolia (Kunth) Briq. However the latter species is a much more robust plant with winutely toothed, (2.5-) $4-9 \mathrm{~mm}$ broad leaves, not revolute at the margins and the veins slightly raised on the upper side. The leaves are often also shiny-glabrous above, giving $G$. taxifolia an entirely different aspect.
3.13.5.7. 20. G. revoluta Ruiz \& Pavo, Syst. Veg. 149 (1798). Syn: Satureja revoluta (Ruiz \& Pava) Briqi in Engler \& Prantl, Nak。 Pflanzenfan. 4, 3as 300 (1897)g $S$. insignis fansfeld in Notizbl. Bot. Gart. Berlin 9:288 (1925). Fl. A, 8-10. Grassland, rock crevices, 2750-3800 m. Type: Peru: pro Huassahuasi, Panac et Huariaca, Dombey (holo. P). Gen. distrib.: Peru.

The very smalls broadly ovate leaves are very unusual and quite different from the rest of the section. Points of similarity, however, are the strongly revolute margins and dense tomentose pubescence on the lower side. The calyx, on the other hand, is very similar to G. sericea.
3.13 .6
(e) Sect. Plicatae A. Doroszenko, sect. nov.

Erect or ascending shrubs. Leaves ovate-1anceolate, 1-6 x 1-4 $\mathrm{mm}_{\mathrm{s}}$ rounded or cordate at base, conduplicate, halves of lamina somewhat curved back, \& prominencly veined, entire or scarcely
toothed, glabrous or with minute hairs on both sides. Calyx tube narrowly parallel-sided or slightly ampliate tubulary lower teeth 1/7-1/3 $x$ tube length. (Peru, Ecuador, Colombia).
3.13.6.1. 1. Calyx glabrous outsideg leaves very numerous, mostly 1-2 mm long 21. microphylla

+ Calyx hairy outsideg leaves fem to many, mostly 2-6 man long

2. Erect plants, 60-200 in tallg leaves + glabrous,

+ entireg bracteoles absenti calyx throat with a dense fringe of hairs

22. striata

* Low, procumbent plants, 20-30 cm tallig leaves minutely hairy, minutely serrulateg bracteales
1.6-1.8 mm caly throat glabrous

23. plicatula
3.13.6.2. 21. G. aicrophylla Kunth in Humbolde \& gonplands Kovo Gen. Sp. Pl. 2:311 (1817)。

Syn: Ga jamesonix Benthog Labo Gen. Spa 404 (1834)! Satureja ericoides Willd. ex Bench. in Linnaea 11:328 (1837), nomeng Satureja jamesonii (Bentha) Briq. in Engler \& Prantlo Nato Pflanzenfano \&, 3as 300 (1897)! Satureja microphylla (Kunth) Briq.g loc. cit. (1897): Satureja connata Epl. in Ann. Missouri Bota Bard. 14:70 (1927)。

F1. 7-9, 12. Mountain slopes, 2200-3500 m.
Type: Ecuador: crescit in Andibus Pastoensibus locis frigidus prope Rio Blanco, inter pagos Guachucal et Tulcang $^{2} 3200 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{g}}$ f1. Decog Bonpland 2186 (hola. P).

Gen. distrib.a St Colonoia, Ecuador:
This species is easily recognised by irs very nunerous, tiny leaves.
3.13.6.3. 22. G. striata Ruiz \& Pav.g Syst. Veg. 148 (1798).

Syn: Satureja striata (Ruiz \& Pavo) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat。 Pflanzenfan. 4, 3a:300 (1897).

F1. 2-8. Mountain slopes, 2700-3660 m.
Type: Perus Pavon?
Gen. distrib.: Peru.
The species derives its name from the strongly developed leaf lateral veins which arch toward the leaf apex, and the strongly nerved; narrowly tubular calyx tube.
3.13.6.4. 23. G. plicatula (Epla) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Satureja plicatula Epla in Anna Missouri 8ot. Gard. 14:69 (1927)!

Type: Perus Llatag pendant fron river cliff ledges, 2130 m, 21 viii 1922, Macbride \& Featherstone 2238 (holo. Fi iso. K!). Only known from the type.
3.13.7. (f) Sect. Taxifoliae A. Doroszenko, sect. nov.

Erect or ascending shrubs: Leaves flatg oblanceolate-spathulate to elliptic, sometimes broadly ellipticg subsessileg $3-25 \times 1-14$ mo minutely toothed or entire, apex obtuse to rounded to acuteg glabrous or aith minute hairs on both sides, or glabrous above and minutely hairy bel 0 wig lateral veins very narrows hardly prominent or sometimes invisible. Calyx narrawly parallel-sided, sometimes slightly ampliate; curved tubular; lower teeth 1/9-4/5 $x$ rube length. (Peru, Ecuador, Chile).
3.3.7.1. 1. Leaves oval-elliptic, obtuse at apex, for the most part over 10 mm long, the largest leaves 6-14 mm wide

* Leaves oblanceolate and more or less rounded at apex, for the most part up to

10 mm long, $1-6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ooide
2. Stem densely white-puberulent: leaves mostly narrowly elliptic: corolla red

* Stem very sparsely minute-puberulent or glabrous;
leaves mostly oval to broadly elliptic: corolla
bluish-purple

25. weberbaueri
26. Stem densely shaggy-pubescent: leaves minutely serrulate, lateral veins very prominent; calyx tube 3.2-4.5 mis upper calyx lobe about $11 / 2 \times$ the lower lobe 26. cutervoensis

* Sten minutely puberulent: leaves entire Trarely scarcely toothed), lateral veins invisibleg calyx tube $4.5-6.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, upper calyx lobe about the same length as lower lobe

4. Corolla tube 9-14 mais leaves minutely puberulent (rarely with a few longer hairs): lower calyx teath 3.0-5.5 nmo calyx throat glabrous

* Corolla tube 14-22 mis leaves essentially glabrous (rarely a few minute hairs): lawer calyx teeth 1.3-1.7 mas calyx throat with a fringe of hairs

27. obovata
28. G. taxifolia Kunth in Mumbol dt \& Bonpland, Novo Gena Sp. Pl: 2:312 (1817).

Syn: G. glabrata Kunth in Kumboldt \& Bonpland, Novo Gen. Sp. Pl. 2:313 (1817): Thymus taxifolius Hilld. ex Benth. in Linnaea 11:382 (1837), nomen: Satureja taxifolia (Kunth) Briqo in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfana 4, 3a:300 (1897); Satureja glabrata (Kunth) Brigog loc: cit. (1897); Satureja andrei Epl. in Anno Missouri Bot. Gard. 14:67 (1927)! Satureja lineata Epl。in Fedde; Rep. Sp. Novo Beiheft 85:154 (1936).

F1. 3-10. Dry rocky places, 1500-3000 m.
Type: Ecuador: Paramo de Saraguro pro Onag Banpland 3310 (holo. B). Een. distrib. : Ecuadorg Colombiag Peru.
S. andrei was associated with $G$. cercocarpoides by Epling \& Jativa (1966) [see under that species (no. 11) for a further note], but is actually much closer to $G$. taxifolia. The label attached by Andre cites differences to distinguish the specimen from G. taxifolia and G. glabrata, thought to be the closest relatives, but these differences are trivial. Andre provisionally identified his specimen with $G$ o obovata, but $S$. andrei may be distinguished from this species by its much largerg elliptic leaves, obtuse at the apex, and by its clearly visibleg raised lateral veins.
3.13.7.3. 25. G. weberbaueri (Mansf.) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Satureja weberbaueri Mansf: in Aotizblo Boto Garto Berlin 9:285 (1925): Satureja loeseneriana Mansfog loco cit. 287 (1925):

Satureja lopezii Epl. in Brittonia 12:145 (1960).
F1. 5-9. Steep rocky slopes, 2600-3500 m.
Type: Peru: Santiago de Chucos Hacienda Angasaarca, 3000-3100 m, Weberbauer 7016 (hola. B).

Gen. distrib: : Peru.

The species is closely related to G. taxifolia but differs in its almost glabrous stems, much broader leaves, and bluish-purple corollas. I have only seen one authenticated specimen of $G$. Weberbaueri but additional differences seen to include a nore erect, less moody and leafy habit, and up to 8 flowers in each bract rather than algays 1-flowered. The unusually small, violet or purplish corolla possibly indicates a different mode of pollination for this species. Nearly all of the rest of Gardoquia have the typical floral features of bird pollination.
3.15.7.4. 26. G. cutervoensis A. Doroszenko, 5p. novo

Tall robust shrub, stems densely white shaggy-pubescent. Leaves obovate, rarely almost ellipticg $5.5-10 \times 2.5-4.8 \mathrm{~mm}_{9}$ base cuneateg apex obtuse to rounded, margins serrulateg both sides glabrous. Verticillasters approximating: flowers solitary in bract axils? peduncles absent: pedicels $0.5-0.7 \mathrm{mmo}$ Bracteales leaf-1ikeg $3-7 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Calyx narrowly parallel-sided curved-tubularg tube 3.2-4.5 ma $_{g}$ glabrous or sparsely hairy, throat densely hairyi upper lip 3.2-4.5 mmi lower lip 2.0-2.5 mig teeth narrany y triangularg upper 2.2-2. 7 mm , lower 2.0-2.5 mm. Corolla redi tube c. 16 mag upper 1 ip C. 5.0 mag lower lip c. 5.5 min. Style branches equalg one broad lancealate, the other narrow subulate. Fl. a-7. Stony slopes, 2320-2600 m.

Type: Peru: Dept. Cajamarca, Prov, Cutervo, Cutervo-Socotag en 1 adera escarpada de arbusto, 2320 m, 23 v 1965, Lopez \& Sagasiegui 5395 (hola. LA in UC!).

Specimens also seen: Perua Dept. Cajamarca, Prov, Cuterva, cerros de Curervog monte bajo, 2500-2600 mg 31 vii $19 \& 6$ R. Ferreyra 819 (LA in UC).

There is also a fragment of the new species collected by de

Jelski at Cutervo (LA in UC) in an envelope attached to an isotype sheet of Satureja guamaniensis Mansf.

This nets species was confused by Epling \& Jativa (1964) with G. obovata Ruiz \& Pav. (Satureja guamaniensis Mansfa). They cited both the de Jelski and Ferreyra collections under this name, possibly because the de Jelski specimen is only a fragment contained in an envelope mounted on an isotype of S. guamaniensis, and Ferreyra 819 is a depauperate plant that has lost most of its lawer leaves. However, comparison of the types of 6. cutervoensis, S. guamaniensis and G. obovata clearly shows that there are two distinct species; G. cutervoensis and $G$. obovatag tith $S_{\text {。 }}$ guamaniensis synonymaus with the latter. The novelty is readily distinguished by its shaggy haired stems, more broadly obovate, distally serrulate, distinctly veined, glabrous leaves, shorter calyx tube ( $3.2-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, not $4.6-6.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ as in $G$. obovata), the upper calyx lip as long as the tube (not only $1 / 3$ as long), and very much longer calyx teeth.
3.13.7.5. 27. G. obovata Ruiz \& Pavag Syst. Veg. 150 (1798).

Syn: Satureja obovata (Ruiz \& Pavo) Briq. in Engler \& Prantlg Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3as 300 (1897)!y non Lag. (1816); Satureja guamaniensis Mansfo in Notizbl. Bot. Gart. Berlin 9:286 (1925)! Satureja ruizii Macbride in Field Mus. Publ. Bot. 13:761 (1960). F1. 5, 10. Grass steppe amongst evergrean shrubs, roadsides, 2950-3200 m.

Type: Perus Pueblo Cheuchin, Dombey (holo. P!). Gen. distrib.: Peru.
3.13.7.6. 28. G. gilliesii Grah. in Edinh. Phil。Jour. $1831: 377$ (1831).

Syna G. chilensis Benth, in Hook. \& Arnig Bot. Beechey's Yoyo 58
（1841）；Satureja gilliesii（Erah。）Briq．in Engler \＆Prantlg Nat． Pflanzenfam．4，3as 300 （1897），non（Benth．）Briq．（1897）：Satureja chilensis Briqu in Ann．Conservo Jard．Bot．Geneve 2：191（1898）．

Ic：Bot．Reg．21：t．1812（1835）：Rafols in Thrower \＆Bradbury， Chile－California Mediterranean Scrub Atlas 140，fig．V－1k（1977）． F1．All year．Coastal mountain slopess 150－500：m．

Type：Chile：A specimen cultivated in Edinburgh by Eraham from nutlets sent by Gillies（hala．K！）。

Gen．distrib．a Central Chile。

This is one of the nost common and characteristic plants of the matorral in the mediterranean－like parts of central Chilea it is most similar to Go obovata，but easily distinguished by its proportionately narrower leaves，more attenuate at the base，and consistently minutely hairyg and by very much longer，narrowly triangularg sharply pointed calyx teeth．
（g）Sect．Pallidae A．Doroszenkog Sect．nov．
Tall erect or ascending shrubs．Leaves oblong－ellipitic to broadly ovate，6－25 $\times 3-16 \operatorname{am}_{9} f 1$ at or scarcely revolute at marging entire or rarely minutely toothed or scarcely notched，apex obtuse to rounded or very abruptly and very shortly apiculate，glabrous or minutely hairy on both sides，more densely on lower sideg lateral veins faint．Calyx very narrowly parallel－sided，rarely slightly ampliate，curved tubularg upper lobe longer than lowerg lower teeth 1／7－2／5 x tube length．（Peru，Bolivia，Haiti）．

The short pubescence and very numerous，tiny，punctate glands give the leaves a pallid appearance，hence the name of the section． The leaves are also flat and characteristically oval－shaped， sometimes broadly 50．

3.13.9.2. 29. G. vargasii (Epl. \& Math.) A. Daroszenko, comb. nov. Syn: Sarureja vargasii Epl。\& Matho in Britronia 8:304 (1957)! Fl. 6. Dry stony places, 2600-3200 mo

Type: Peru: Cuzco, Paucartambo: Challabamba, 2800 ®g $16-20$ vi 1950, Vargas 9472 (holo. $L A$ in UC!).

Gen. distrib: Peru.

The closest relative is G. pallida (sp. no. 31). G. vargasii is distinguished by larger, narromly elliptic, round-tipped leaves and very much shorter, deltoidg rather than marrowly triangular or lanceolate-subulate, calyx teeth.
30. G. domingensis (Urb. \& Ekman) A. Doroszenka, comb. nov.

Syn: Satureja domingensis Urb. \& Ekman in Arkiv Boto 20A, 15:92 (1926)!

Fl. 1-2. Limestone ledges amongst pines, $c_{0} 1800 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{o}}$
Type: Haitia Massif de la Selle; Marne de la Selle, ca 1800 m, 29 i 1925, E. Lo Ekman 3137 (holo. S?s iso. K!).

Gen. distrib.: Haiti.

In leaf and calyx shape G. domingensis is clearly similar to $G$. vargasii and G. pallidag yet is very isolated in distribution from the rest of sect. Pallidae. Comparison mith Mexican Gardoquia species does not reveal a closer relakive.
3.13.8.4. 31. G. pallida (Epla) A. Doroszenka, combo nov.

Syn: Eatureja pallida Epl.g Ann. Missouri Bot. Garda 14:65 (1927)! Type: Bolivia: Turedong Bolivian plateaus Sang 1127 Tholo. NY, iso. $E!g K!=$

Gen. distrib. Bolivian

This species, only known from the type collectiong is most closely related to $G_{\text {. }}$ vargasii and $G_{\text {a }}$ matheusii.
3.13.8.5. 32. G. mathewsii (Briq.) A. Doroszenkos comio. nov.

Syn: Satureja mathewsii Briqog Ann. Conserv. Jard. Bot. Geneve 2:189 (1898)!

F1. 10. Rocky places, 1900-3350 n.
Type: Perus Chachapoyas, Mathews (holo. G, iso. K!). Gen. distrib:a Peru.

It is unusual to find yellow corollas in Gardoquiag but many other species have yellow blotches on a red background or are more orange in colour.
33. G. incana Ruiz \& Pavı, Syst. Veg. 148 (1798).

Syn: Satureja incana (Ruiz \& Pavo) Sprenga, Syst. 2:719 (1825); G。 breviflora Benth., Lab. Gen. Sp. 401 (1834); Thymus crenatus tilld. ex Benth. in Linnaea 11:342 (1837)! Satureja breviflora (Benth.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantly Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3a:300 (1897): Satureja pavoniana Briq. in Ann Conserv. Jard. Bot. Geneve 2:189 (1898): Satureja mantaroensis Mansf. in Notizbl. Bot Gart. Berlin 9:287 (1925).

Fl. 2-4, 9, 12. Schistose stony soils, 1800-3600m.
Type: Peru: Tarma, Dombey (holo. P).
Gen. distrib.: Peru.
The dense, greyish, minute indumentum easily distinguishes this species. It is more variable in leaf shape than the rest of the species in the section. Mansfeld (1925) described Satureja mantaroensis, a plant with smallg narrowly elliptic leaves, and placed it near to $G$. incana and G. discolora Gourley 134 closely matches this description but apart from the unusual leaf shape I can't find other differences to justify separation fron $G$. incana. Woytkowski notes on his no. 38012 that this is a very common species in dept. Huanuco, Peru.
3.1.9. (h) Sect. Discolores (Epl. \& Jaka) A. Doroszenko, nomb. nov.

Syn: Satureja L. sect. Discolores Epl. \& Jat. in Brittonia 16:806 (1964).

Ascending shrubs. Leaves ellipticg flat or a little revolute at margins, entire or scarcely toothed, apex obtuse or rounded, upper side sparsely or densely minute pubescent, the lower side dense, white tomentose, lateral veins very thin, hardly prominent below. Calyx slightly ampliate, curved tubularg lower teeth as long as tube. (Venezuela, Colombia). Monotypic.
3.13.9.1. 34. G. discolor Kunth in Humboldt \& Bonplandg Nova Gen. Sp. Pl. 2:312 (1817).

Syn: Melissa marifolia billd. ex Steud. in Nomo Bota ed. 2, 2: 122 (1841), nomeng G. foliolosa Benth. in DC.s Prodr. 12:238 (1848)! Satureja discolor (Kunth) Briqo in Engler \& Prantlg Nat. Pflanzenfan. 4, 3an 300 (1897); Satureja foliolosa (Benth.) Briqus loc. cit. (1897)!

Ic: Steyermark \& Huber, Flora del Avila 506, f: 159 B. (1978).
Fl. 1-6. 12. Rocky slopes, 1675-3980 mo
Type: Venezuela: Silla de Caracas, Bonpland (holo. P).
Gen. distrib.: N Venezuelag $\mathfrak{N}$ Colombia.
Leaf size in the species is very variable. The type of $G$. foliolosa has very numerous overlapping leaves only $4-5$ mm long, whereas Linden 120 has leaves $10-20$ mang. At first sight these specimens appear to be of different species. Linden 120 has proportionately narroner elliptic leaves with a uniformly minute tonentose pubescence. Yet dissection of the flowers of these two specimens reveals practically identical calyx and corolla dimensions and structure. In indumentum G. discolor is rather Similar to G. incana。
3.13.(a. (i) Sect. Micronerioides A. Doroszenko, sect. nov.

Low ascending shrub. Leaves oblong-elliptic. glabrous, flat or slightly channelled, entire, apex acute, lateral veins invisibleg densely punctate-glandular on both sides. Calyx slightly ampliate, straight tubularg teeth $1 / 2-2 / 3 \times$ tube length. Monotypic. (Mexica)
3.13.10.1. 35. G。 micromerioides Henslag Biol. Cenir. Aner. 2:550 (1882). Syn: Satureja micromerioides (Hemsla) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3a:300 (1897): Hedeoma subequale Epl. in Bull.

Torrey Bot. Club 74:513 (1947).
Ic: Hemsley, Biol. Centr. Amer. S: t. 69A (1882).
Syntypes: Mexico: San Luis Potosig 6000-8000 ft.g ann. 1878, C. C. Perry \& E. Palmer 244 ( $K$ !) : San Luis Potosis Schaffner 70 ( $E!$ g K!)。 Gen. distrib.: Mexico (San Luis Potosi).

There would be little problea in arguing in favour of sectional rank for this species if one accepted it belonged to Gardoquia, but first appearances would make many doubt whether it belonged to Gardoquia at all. The low, slender habit and oblong-ellipticg channelled leaves, solitary flowers on long jpeduncles and relatively small corollas are the most remarkable features. This species is apparently the only Gardoquia to have isolateral leaf palisade parenchyma, also indicative of its isolation in the genus. Vegetative features aside, which in any case varies greatly in the genus, the floral structure is quite similar to the majority of Gardoquia. The calyx is narromly tubular caith narromly triangular, equal teeth. The combination of such features is unique but the shape of the tube and teeth are not in themselves unusual. The corolla, though smaller than the average Gardoquia, is narrowly rubular at first, gradually tidening to the throat, and similar to many other species.
3.13.1. Nom. exclud.
G. affinis Benth. in Linnaea 11:342 (1837)。

This is cited in Index Kewensis, but no such nane exists at the reference given.
G. betonicaides Lindl. in Bok. Reg. Misc. 24:86 (1838).

Apparently a synonym of Cedronella mexicana Benth. but I have not sean the type.
G. capitata Spreng. in Syst. Veg. ed. 16, 4(2):225 (1827).

Possibly an Agastache sp. or Cunila sp.
G. obovata Spreng. ex Benth. in DC:s Prodr. 12:239 (1848).

This is the solanacecus species Brunfelsia ramosissima (Pohl) Benth.
G. origanoides Reichenb. ex Spreng. in Syst. Veg. ed. 16, $\mathrm{A}(2): 225$ (1827) = Lippia micromera Schau
G. racemosa Spreng. ex J. A. Schmidt in Martog Fl. Bras. B: 170 (1858), nomen ex herb. Spreng. = Hedeoma multiflora Benth.
G. salviaefolia Colla, Herb. Peden. 4: 469 (1835).

Epling (1937) has this as a synonym of Lepechinia salviae (Lindl.) Epl.
G. spicata Spreng. in Syst. Veg. ed. 16, Q(2):225 (1827).

This is based on Rio Grande, Sellog which I have not seen. Sello's specimens were at $B_{\text {s }}$ and Sprengel's Labiatae are at Heidelberg.
3.14. Dbregomeria A. Doroszenko, gen. nov.

Syn: Satureja L. sect. Obtectae Epl. \& Jat. in Brittonia 16:407 (1964).

Low shrub, stems many, erect. Leaves linear-cblong, replicate, sessile, entire; a single lateral vein per side parallel to midveing palisade mesophyll dorsiventral. Verticillasters conferted. Flowers 1-2 in leaf axils, on short sessile pedicels. Floral leaves reaching to middle of calyx: bracteoles similar to leaves. Calyx curved, ampliate, 11 -veined, densely hairy in throat; bilabiate, teath straight, almost as long as tube, not ciliate, upper 1/3-1/2 * lower in length. Coralla bluish or pinkish, rarely whiteg tube short, straight, not resupinate, not annulate within, sparsely
hairy on outside lower half: lips $1 / 2$ to as long as tube; upper lip emarginate; lower lip $2 \times$ upper, central lobe entire. Stamens 4, all fertile, posterior $2 / 5 \times$ anterior in length, included or just exserted from tube; anthers tidely divergent. Style branches unequala Mutlets unknown. Monotypic.
D. caerulescens (Benth.) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Hedeoma caerulescens Benth. in DCog Prodro 12:245 (1848)! Calamintha caerulescens (Benth.) Uedd.g Chioris Andina 2:149, t. 63 (1860)! Clinopodium caerulescens (Bentho) Kuntze, Reva Gen. 2:515 (1891)! Satureja lindeniana Briq. in Ann. Conservo Jardo Bot. Geneve 2:191 (1898): Satureja caerulescens (Bentho) Eploin Fedde, Repert. Sp. Nov. Beih. 85:156 (1936)!

F1. 2-3. 6. C. 3300 mo
Type: Colombia: Magdalena, Sierra de Santa Martha, vi 1844, Purdie (holo. K!s iso. E!)。

Gen. distrib.: Calonbia (Magdalena).
Obtegomeria consists of a single species which had hitherto been either placed in Hedeoma (Benthams 1848) (though Bentham was doubeful about this and noted a similarity to Gardoquia), or within Satureja sect. Gardoquia (Epling, 1937), or as a distinct section of Sarureja (sect. Ootectae) (Epling \& Jativa, 1964). The concensus of opinion amongst these authors is, therefore, that the species falls within or near to Gardoquia. However, there are many differences in calyx, coralla and leaves which indicake a more isolated position for this species.

The curved, ampliate, 11-veined calyx tube, deltaid upper teeth, and narrowly triangular lower teeth as long as the calyx tube contrasts with Gardoquia ohich usually has a narrasly tubular 13-veined calyx, with teeth less than half the tube length. The
aberrant G. discolorg which also has calyx teeth as long as the calyx tube, does not have the broadly ampliate calyx of Obtegomeria.

The bluish or purplish corolla mith short straight tube and lips as long as the tube is quite unlike those of Gardoquia. Gardoquia typically has much larger; red or red orith yellow corollas with narrower, curving tubes, and lips never longer than $1 / 3$ of the tube in length.

Even with the extreme variability of the leaves in Gardoquia, the leaves are never linear-oblong and replicate as in Obtegoneria. These very numerous, small ( $4.0-6.0 \times 0.8-1.0$ min) leaves give 0 . caerulescens a quite unmistakable facies.

Montereya $A_{0}$ Doroszenko, gen. nov.
Syn: Calamintha sect. Calomelissa (Benth.) Bentho in DCag Prodro 12:229 (1848), p.pag Satureja sect Calonelissa subsect. Mimuloideae Briq. in Engler \& Prantlg Nat. Pflanzenfamo \& 3 as 302 (1897): Satureja sect. Occidentalis Epl. \& Jat. in Brittonia 18:244 (1966).

Tall, erect, perennial herbs. Leaves broady ovate, flat, long petiolateg dentate or serrate; lateral veins camptodronous, narrong not prominent, marginal veins absent. Verticillasters distant, many-flowered, very long pedunculate and pedicellate. Bracts shorter than or equalling flowers. Bracteoles similar to leaves in shape。 Calyx largeg straight, somewhat ampliare, 13-veined, sparsely hairy in throatg slightly bilabiate, reerh divergent, spinose, ciliate, $c, 1 / 3 \times$ tube length. Caralla large, redi rube broad, $^{\prime}$ straight-\&laring, not resupinate, nor annulate inside, hairy on inside lower half; lips large, $1 / 3-1 / 2 \times$ tube length, upper
emarginate, lower 3-1obed. Stamens 4, all fertile, inserted 1/3-1/2 way up tube, convergent, posterior $4 / 5 \times$ anterior in length, all just exserted from tube; anthers divergent. Stylar branches equal, both narrooly subulate. Nutlets not seen. Fonotypic.
3.15.1. Mo mimuloides (Benth.) A. Doroszenko, comba nov. Syn: Calamintha mimuloides Benthag Plo Hartueg. 331 (1849)! Clinopodium mimuloides (Benth.) 0 . Kuntze, Revo Gen. Plo 2:515 (1891)! Sarureja mimuloides (Benth.) Briq。 in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam。4, 3a: 302 (1897)!

F1. 6-7. Shaded and damp places; co 1220 m.
Type: Californias In shaded places near Carmel Rivery anna 1848, Hartweg 1917 (122) (holo. K!)

Gen. distrib: Coastal mountains between fonterey and San Gabriels Central California.

Most recent Floras and revisions place this species under Satureja (Jepsong 1943; Abrams, 1951: Epling \& Jativag 1966) following the lead of Briquet (1897). However, the species was first described under Calamintha (Benthamg 1899) since the largeg broadly tubular calyx tube with upcurving upper teeth and straight lower teeth are reminiscent of this genus. Oyster (1885) agreed with Bentham in the species" placement and Jepson (1925), in his earlier revision of the Californian flora, included the species under Clinopodiun (closely related to Calamintha).

Briquet (1897) placed the species in the wholly American section Calomelissa, which in the present revision is synomymous with Diodeilis. Therefore, S. mimuloides (Benth.) Briq. was not related to the entirely 0ld forld genera Satureja 5.5: (sect. Sabbatia Briqu), Calamintha (sect. Calamintha Briq.) or Clinopodium (sect. Clinopodiun $\operatorname{Briq})_{\text {a }}$

In the American genera there is nothing quite like this Montereyan plant. The large orange-red corollas on long peduncles and pedicels, unusually large, broadly tubular calyx those teeth are broadly triangular to lanceolate and ending in sharp setae, and the large, broadly ovate, dentate, long-petiolate leaves clearly separates it from both Calamintha and Diodeilis [sect. Calomelissa Benth.]. Epling \& Jativa (1966) described a new section for it under Satureja s.l. and concluded that the resemblance to Calamintha was entirely superficial noting that both groups occupy similar "Mediterranean" habitats. I agree that the similarity to Calamintha is superficial, but believe that generic rather than sectional rank is justified for the Californian plant.

The coastal mountains between Monterey and just north of Los Angeles have a large number of endemics. The area immediately around Monterey has 13 endeaic species (Raven \& Axelrod, 1978) while Howitt \& Howell (1973) report 20 species and 14 other subspecies and varieties endemic to Monterey County as a whole. The San Lucia Mountains just south of Monterey add another 6 endemic species, and the San Gabriel mountains north of Los Angeles have 30 endemic species (Raven \& Axelrad, 1978). This thole region is an active centre of evolution but also a refuge for a large number of relict species. Its great diversity of habitat, climate, and soil types are some of the reasons for this. Df the 50 genera endenic to the Californian Floristic Province 34 are monotypic. Many of then have no close relatives, indicative of their relictual nature. Montereya is now added to this list.
3.16. Piloblephis Rafin., New F1. No An. $3: 52$ (1836).

Syn: Satureja Li sect. Pycnothymus Benthag Lab. Gen. Sp. 354
(1834); Pycnothymus Smal1, F1. S.E. U. S. 1042 (1903).

Low erect of ascending shrub. Leaves linear-lanceolate, tightly revolute, sessile, entire; veins camprodromous, scarcely visible, marginal veins absent; palisade mesophyll dorsiventral. Inflorescence condensed into a dense ovoid head, the calyces completely obscured by numerous bracts similar to leaves. Calyx tube straight, slightly ampliate, 15 -veined, throat glabrous; teeth all similar, $1 / 2 \%$ tube length。 Corolla purple, samag tube straight, somewhat ampliateg not resupinate nor annulate insideg glabrous on inside lower half: lips c. $1 / 3 \times$ tube lengthg upper entire, lower 3-lobed. Stamens 4, all fertileg inserted near top of tube, exserted beyond upper lip, posterior $2 / 3 \times$ anterior in length; anthers parallel. Stylar branches unequalg both very short, broad lanceolate. Nutlets ovoid, glabrous. fionotypic.
3.16.1. Po rigida (Bartra ex Bentho) Rafinog Rea Flo N. Ana 3:52 (1836). Syna Satureja rigida Bartro ex Benthag Lah. Gen. Sp. 354 (1834): Piloblephis ericoides Rarinog New Flo No Amo 3:53 (1836): Clinopodium rigidum (Bartr: ex Benth.) D. Kuntze, Revo Gen. Plo 2:515 (1891): Pycnothymus rigidus (Barito ex Benth.) Smallg Fl: S. E. U. S. 1042 (1903).

Ic: Pellett, Aner: Honey Pl. 282 (1920); Long \& Lakelag Flora of Tropical Florida 751 (1971): both as Sarureja rigida. Fl. All year. Dry pine barrens, sandy field, sand hills. Type: In Florida orientalig W. Bartram (holo. BM). Gen. distrib. Floridag S. Gecrgia.

Piloblephis is very isolated from the rest of Satureja s.l. on account of the numerous linear-1anceolateg tightly revolute leaves, truncate at the base and obtuse at the apex, the inflorescence condensed into a dense ovoid head, the bracts conpletely obscuring
the very small, 15-nerved, actinomorphic calyces with teeth longer than the tube, small corollas with stamens inserted near the top of the tube, all exserted beyond the upper corolla lip, with parallel anthers, and very short, broadly lanceolate stylar branches.

The species was first described by Benthan (1834) as a monotypic section of Satureja, but tith a query as to its placement in that genus. The species was separated into its own genus, Piloblephis, shortly aftersard by Rafinesque (1836). Ever since it has been separated into either its own section of Satureja (Bentham, 1888; A. Gray, 1886; Briquet, 1896; Epling \& Jativa, 1966) or more rarely as a separate genus (Small, 1903).

Epling \& Jativa (1966) mention that Piloblephis has a superficial resemblance to Satureja thymbra L. (Satureja Lo sect. Tragoriganum Benth.). But even so, the structure of the inflorescence, calyx, and leaves are so different in these two species to make a close link quite impossible。

Florida was isolated fron continental America by a strait of sea from at least the oligocene to Pliocene when it was then reconnected. However, the sea level has fluctuated widely since then due to numerous glacial periods and shoreline features, dunes, and other marine deposits, are now present at various elevations. It is on such deposits that Piloblephis is principally found.
3.17. Hesperothy@us (Benth.) A. Doroszenko, gen. nov. Syn: Micromeria sect. Hesperothymus Benth.g Lab. Gen. Sp. 371 (1834); Satureja sect. Hesperothymus (Banth.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. $A_{g}$ 3as 300 (1897).

Prostrate sprasling or repent herbs. Leaves broadly ovate or orbicular, $\$ 1 a k$, long petiolateg serrate or crenate to crenulate;
lateral veins camptodromous, narrow, faint to almost invisibles, marginal veins absent; palisade mesophyll dorsiventral. Flowers solitary in leaf axils on very long peduncles and pedicels. Bracts shorter than or exceeding flowers. Bracteoles linear or absent. Calyx straight or slightly curved tubular, 13-veined, glabrous or hairy in throat; bilabiate, teeth straight, ciliate or not, 1/3-1/2 * tube length, upper much shorter than lower. Corolla. white or violet: tube short, straight, not resupinate nor annulate inside, glabrous or sparsely hairy on inside lower half; lips 1/4-3/5 $x$ tube lengthi upper lip emarginate: lower lip longer than upper, central lobe entire or bifid. Stamens 4 g all fertile, convergent, posterior $1 / 2-2 / 3 \times$ anterior in length, inserted $2 / 3$ way up tube, all just exserted from tubeg anthers divergent. Style branches unequal, sometimes very much 50 , both narrowly subulate. Rutlets sub-orbicular, glabrous or minutely eglandular hairy. Type species: H. brownei.

The two species included here were either placed under
 1885; A. Gray, 1886; Macoun, 1889; Smalı, 1903; Jepson, 1925; Moscoso, 1943) or under Satureja (Briquet, 1996; Epling, 1927; Jepson, 1943; Pittier, et alag 1947: Abrams, 1951; Leon \& Alaing 1957; Hitchcock, et al.s 1959; Epling \& Jativa 196A, 1966; Adams, 1972; Shetler \& Skog, 1978\% Scoggan, 1979). It is clear from these cited references that the more recent opinion tends to place these species in Satureja following the lead of Briquet (1896). However, Briquet recognised the distinctness of S. brownei and S. douglasii, placing them in their own section Hesperothyous, and noted the 2-flowered verticillasters, with lengthy peduncles, campanulate calyx, equally or nearly equally 5 -toothed, 13 -nerved calyx tube, and small corollas not greatly exserted from the calyx.

In facies Hesperothymus is similar to Micromeria. However, the entirely New World Hesperothymus is distinguished from Micromeria, an Old World genus, by its always solitary, long pedicellate and pedunculate flowerss, the calyx with broady lanceolate-subulate or broadly lanceolate teeth, brackeoles absent or small and filiform, the leaves petiolate, broadly ovate or orbicular, crenate to crenulate.

The problem still remains, however, as to the rank of Hesperothymus, whether sectional or generic rank is preferable. Since all the American sections of Satureja 5.1. in the treatments of Epling \& Jativa (1964, 1966) (except the monotypic sections Sphenostachys, Discolores and Anomales) have been raised to generic rank in the present revision, for the sake of consistency I have done the same with Hesperothymus. Admittedly it is weakly defined at the generic level. However, there is no other American genus into which Hesperothymus could be placed with confidence. The closest American relative is probably Diodeilis, but the differences in calyx structure and verticillaster arrangement are too great for Hesperothymus to be placed at sectional rank within Diodeilis.
3.17.1. Slender repent herb; leaves sub-arbicular;
bracteoles absents calyx throat hairy 1. bromei
More robust decumbent herb; leaves ovate:
bracteoles present; calyx throat glabrous
2. douglasii
3.f.2. 1. He bromenei (Star) A. Doroszenko, comban nov. Syn: Thymus bromnei Skisg Prodr. Veg. Ind. Occ. 89 (1788); T. xalapensis Kunth, Nov. Gen. 2:316 (1818)g Hicroweria stolonifera Benth.g Lab. Gen. Sp. 371 (1838)! Mo brownei (Sina) Benthog loc.
cit. 372 (1834): M. xalapensis (Kunth) Benthog loco cit. (1834): Apozia chamaedryoides Willd. ex Benth. in Linnaea 11:328 (1837), nomen: $T_{0}$ gracilis willd. ex Benthog loc. cit. 341 (1837), nomen: Mo brownei (Swa) Bentho varo pilosiuscula A. Gray, Syno Fl. No Amo 2(1):359 (1878): Clinopodiun bramei (Sma) O. Kuntze, Revo Een. Pl。 2:514 (1891); $C=$ stoloniferum (Benth.) 0 (Kuntze, loc. cit. 516 (1891): Co xalapense (Kunth) D. Kuntze, loc cit. (1891); Satureja brownei (Swa) Briq. in Engler \& Prantlg Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, Ja: 300 (1897): So stolonifera (8entho) Briqog loco cito (1897): S. xalapensis (Kunth) Brigog loc. cito (1897): Mo pilosiuscula (A. Gray) Sanall Fl. S.E. U.S. 1042 (1903): Hedeoma gracillima Jones in Contr: West. Bot. 15: 150 (1929): Mo bahamensis Shinners in Sida 1(2):96 (1962): Mo domingensis Shinners, loc. cita (1962); Stachys uniflora, nomen in schaed. (LA in UC)!

Ic: Snall, Mano S.E. Fl. $1168(1933)_{y}$ as Micromeria pilosuiscula。 Fl. All year. Meadows, Quercus scrub, river banks, ditches, wet places, 230-2700 mo

Type: Jamaica, Pa Browne 259 (holo. BM)
Gen. distrib.: SE USA, $S$ California, Mexico, Guaremalag Caribbean Islands, Colombia, Venezuela, Ecuador, N Argentina, Paraguay.

This is a very oidespread and variable speries. Most of the synonyms are based on variants of pubescence and habit. A summary of the variation is given by Epling \& Jativa (1966).
3.17 .3
2. H. douglasii (Benth.) A. Doraszenko conb. nov.

Syn: Thymus douglasii Benth. in Linnaea 6:80 (1831)! To chamissonis Benth. in Linnaea 6:80 (1831)! Micromeria douglasii (Benth.) Benthag Lab. Gen. Sp. 372 (1834)! Mo barbata Meyo in Fisch. \& Neyo, Ind. Sem. Hort. Petrop. 8:67 (1842), non Boiss. \& Kotschy (1859): Clinopodiun douglasii (Bentha) D. Kuntze, Revo Eeno P1. 2:515
(1891)! Ma chamissonis (Benth.) Greene, Man. Bot. San Franc. Bay 289 (1894)! Satureja douglasii (Benth.) Briqu in Engler \& Prant ${ }_{q}$ Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3as 300 (1897)!

Ic: Armstrong, Field Book West. Wild Fl. 437 (1915): Jepson, Manual Fl. Pl. Calif. 875 (1925), both as Micromeria chamissonisi Rickett, Gild Fl. U.S. $4: 563(1970)_{g}$ as Saruseja douglasii.

Fl. 5-B. Shady forests in damp situations, $100-250 \mathrm{~m}$.
Type: Canada: Shady pine moods near Fort Vancouver, ann. 1826, Douglas (holo. K!)

Gen. distrib: SH Canadag WSA.
3.18. Xenopoma $\mathrm{Ulilld}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{in}$ Ges. Naturfo Fra Berl. Mag. 5:399 (1811). Syn: Nostelis Rafin.g Sylva Tellura 76 (1838); Micromeria sect. Xenopoma (Nillda) Benth. in DCog Prodr. 12:222 (1848); Satureja Lo sect. Kenopoma ( Willd.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantlg Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3a: 300 (1897)。

Erect perennial herbs, sometimes shrubby, Leaves small, linear to orbicularg flat, sometimes revolute, entire or shallowly toothed, shortly petiolateg veins camptodronous, usually very narrow and faint, rarely more prominent, marginal veins absentg palisade mesophyll dorsiventral. Inflorescence lax or very dense, 1- to many-flawered, sessile or on long peduncles and/or pedicels. Bracts exceeding or shorter than flowers. Bracteoles linear or elliptic, smaller than or as long as pedicels and peduncles. Calyx straight, ampliate, tubular to urceolate, 13-veined, throat glabrous or hairy: actinomorphic or sub-bilabiate, teeth straight, nearly always not ciliate, $1 / 4-3 / 4 \times$ tube lengthg upper teeth (1/2-)3/4 $x$ to as long as loter teeth. Corolla white or shades of purpley rarely yellowi tube $1.3-8.0 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ very rarely longer (to 18 mm )
ampliate, not resupinate nor annulate inside, glabrous or sometimes hairy on inside lower half; lips (1/9-) 1/5-1/3x tube in length; upper lip mostly emarginate, sometimes retuse or entires lower lip 3-lobed, central lobe entire or bifid. Stamens $A_{g}$ all fertile, inserted near mouth of corolla, all included or just exserted from tubeg anthers parallel or divergent. Style branches slightly unequal, rarely equal, both narrowly subulate, rarely one broader than the other. Nutlets oblong or ovoid, glabrous or strongly hairy.

Type species: X . obovatum [=viminea].
20 species in western N \& 5 America, and the Caribbean.
Six sections are described here which very closely match the species-groups (section in the case of Sphenostachys) recognised by Epling \& Jativa (196\&, 1966a, 1966b) in Satureja, the generic name which has generally been applied here. (This is in complete contrast to Gardoquia there there is little agreement in subgeneric groups). It seemed sensible, therefore, to use Epling \& Jativa's informal species-groups' names for the new sections described here. Only sect. Prostratae looks a bit eccentric since $X_{0}$ brevicalyx can be up to 1 m tall and $X$. vana up to 2 m tall. The sections of Xenopoma are just as distinct as those in Gardoquia, and to be consistent in treatment of the American genera, sections are preferable to species groups.

Although one can quite easily recognise a number of sections, it is difficult to find features that are constant throughout the genus: yet Xenopoma has a distinctive facies which eakes it easily separable from the other genera of Satureja 5.1 . This, though, is what one might expect when one constructs groups based on overall similarity, where no single feature is deened necessary when forming groups. Xenopoma is usually herbaceous, with small,
shallowly toothed leaves, the calyx small, straight, ampliate, tubular to urceolate, actinomorphic, and the corollas white or purple, little exserted from the calyx.

In calyx structure some Xenopoma species (e.g. sects. Xenopoma and Sphenostachys) are similar to Micromeria. However, the majority of Kenopoma have more anpliate tubular calyces, tending to becone urceolate (e.ga sect. Xenothynus). Xenopona has a slender, herbaceous habit, with narrowly ovate elliptic or oblanceolate, nearly always toothed, flat or only slightly revolute leaves. Microneria sect. Micromeria tends to be shrubby, caith small ovate or elliptic, entire leaves often clearly revolute and with distinct marginal veins. Microneria sect. Pseudomelissa is mostly herbaceous with broadly ovate or orbicular leaves. The other three sections of Aicromeria that I recognise are specialised, local endemic groups of the Canaries, Madagascar, and E Turkey, Micromeria is an entirely 0ld Warld genus, concentrated around the Mediterranean, while Xenopoma is of western $N$ and $S$ America and the Caribbean Islands. Associations proposed between Kenopona and Micromeria, such as suggested by Briquet for his Sarureja sect. Piperella (Briquet, 1896) [now placed in Micromeria sect. Micromeria], are ill founded and ignore the marked differences between these two genera. The closest relative of Kenopoma is Gardoquia; the descriptions above of the calyx and corolla of Kenopoma are sufficient to distinguish them.

Babu (1969) proposed conserving the name Ficroweria Benth. (1829) against Xenopoma willd. (1811). This is only necessary if one wishes to unite the two genera. The present treatesent, of course, does not. There is an onission in Babu's proposal. He says that no author could be traced who had taken up the name Kenopoma while in fact Grisebach (1874) has done so.

3.18.2. (a) Sect. Xenopoma

Erect or ascending suffruticose herbs of shrubs. Leaves ovate, elliptic to oblanceolate, shortly petiolate or sessile, revolute at margin, entire, serrulate or crenate, all parts of leaf densely verrucose-dendritic or with robust dendritic or stellate hairs, veins sunken above, proninent below if not obscured by hairs, with numerous minor veins between the laterals. Calyx lower teeth 1/4-3/4 x tube length. Corolla white, rarely yellow anthers parallel. (Caribbean Islands).
3.18.2.1. 1. Corolla c. $20 \mathrm{~mm}_{8}$ yellowis leaves orbicular to broadly ovate, $5-10 \mathrm{~mm} \quad 3$. bucher i

* Corolla 3-8 man whiteg leaves broadly or narrowly obovate, elliptic or lancedate, or triangular-ovates $2.5-20$ ma

2. Flowers in multi-branched pedunculate cymules
(6-17 flowers per cynule): leaves crenate 5. schusteri

* Flowers mostly solitary (up to 4 per cyme):
leaves entire or scarcely toothed
3

3. Leaves broadly triangular ovate, distinctly
revolute
4. alpestris

4
4. Leaves 5-20 mm long: upper calyx teeth distinctly shorter than lower 1. viminea

* Leaves 2.5-5.0 ๓n long: all calyx teath
* the same length

2. ekmaniana
3. X. viminea (L.) A. Doroszenkog comb. nov.

Syn: Satureja viminea $L_{\text {g }}$ Syst. Veg. ed. 10,1096 (1759)! Xenopoma obovarum lilldo in Ges. Naturfa Fr. Berl. Mag. 5:399 (1811): Zygis
aromatica Desv. in Ham.g Prod. Pl. Ind. Dcca 46 (1825): Micromeria obovata (Willd.) Benthog Lab. Gen. Sp. 381 (1834): Nostelis viminea (L.) Rafinog Sylva Tellur. 76 (1838)! Nostelis arborea Rafineg loc. cit. (183B) ${ }^{\prime}$ Nostelis minor Rafingg loc cit. (183B)g Satureja sideritis Lunan ex Bentho in DCog Prodra $12: 212$ (1848), nomeng Clinopodium vimineum (L.) O. Kuntzeg Rev. Geno Pl. 2:516 (1891)! Micromeria viminea (L.) Druce in Rep. Bot.Exch. Clo Brito Isles 3:421 (1914)!

Ic: Colla, Hort. Ripul. t. 25 (1824), as $X_{0}$ obovatum. Fl. 1-4. 7-11. Amongst pines, limestone or serpentine, 305-1530 m. Type: Jamaica, Po Browne 258 (Mo Linn。 723/12, microfiche!) Gen. distrib.a Caribbean Islands.

The publication of Sarureja viminea in Syst. Veg. ed. 10 (ftay-June 1759) is no more than a short diagnosis aithout designation of a type specimen. Baum (1968) discusses the typification of a large number of species published in this tork including 5 . viminea. He notes that Linnaeus published a short dissertation later in the same year entitled Plantarum Jamaicensium Pugillus (Nov. 1759) (there is a copy at E) which contains a full description and cites a type specimen. All the Browne specimens cited in this latter work were purchased by Linnaeus in 1758.

In most Floras of the $W$ Indies this is called Satureja viminea.
3.18.2.3. 2. X. ekmaniana (Epl. \& Alain) A. Doroszenko, conb. nov. Syn: Satureja ekmaniana Eplo \& Alain in Brittonia 20:156 (196日)! Fl. B. Mountain slopess $1500-2350$ m.

Type: Haiti: Massif de la Selle, Marigot, rop of Morne Cebaiog 2350 m, 24 viii 1924, Ekman H1608 (holo. US!).

Gen. distrib.a Haitio

This is most closely related to $X$. viminea and may be distinguished by its smallerg more broadly obovate to orbicular leaves, completely actinomorphic calyces more densely hairy in the throat, and smaller corolla. Epling \& Alain (1968) say that the staminal filaments are subequala Dissection of a flower of the holotype revealed posterior stamens c. 1.2 mm long and anterior stamens c. 2.0 mm long.
3.18 .2 A.
3. $X$. bucheri (P. Wilson) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Microneria bucheri P. Wilson in Journ. No. Bot. Gard. 23:93 (1922)! Sarureja bucheri (P. Wilson) Urba in Feddeg Repert. Sp. Nov. 20:349 (1924)! Satureja cubensis Urb.g Sywho Antill. 9:249 (1924)! Sarureja suborbicularis Alain in Contrib. Ocas. Mus. Hist. Nat. Colo "de la Salle", Habana 15:13 (1956). F1. 4-7: Stony places, 1800-1850 m.

Type: Cubas Prova Orientes in Sierra Maestro ad latus septentr. Pico Turquino in saxis arduis, 1850 mg 24 vii 1922 Ekman 14586 (iso. LA in UC!).

Gen. distrib. : Cuba。
Epling \& Jativa (1966) placed too much emphasis on the size and colour of the coralla and treated this species under Satureja L. sect. Gardoquia (Ruiz \& Pav.) Briq. Apart from the corolla the similarity is clearly with $x_{0}$ vininea. The very unusual pubescence, shared by all of sect. Kenopona, and the geographical distribution also strongly argue in favour of Kenopoma rather than Gardoquia.
3.18.2.5. 4. X. alpestris (Urb.) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Micromeria alpestris Urb. in Fedde, Repert. Spo Novo 16:143 (1919): Satureja alpestris (Urb.) Epl。\& Jat. in Brittonia 18:248 (1966).

Fl. 9. Amongst pines, 2270-2500 mo
Type: Santo Domingo: prope Constanza in Valle nuevo, 2270 m, Eggers 2223.

Gen. distrib.: Santo Domingo.
In leaf shape $x$ a alpestris is quite different from the preceding 3 species which tend to have oblanceolate or elliptic leaves with rounded apices. Howeverg in floral structure and indumentum it clearly belongs to sect. Xenopoma:

Jimenez in Arkiv Botag Forli 42:87 (1966) also made the combination $5^{\text {a }}$ alpestris and he may have published this earlier than Epling \& Jativa.
5. $X_{0}$ schusteri (Urb.) A. Doroszenko comb. nov. Syn: Hyptis schusteri Urb. in Feddes Repert. Sp. Nova 16:145 (1919): Satureja schusteri (Urb.) Epl. \& Jat. in Brittonia 18:248 (1966).

F1. 8-10. Anangst pines, 1500-2350 m.
Type: Haiti: Morne de la Selle ad Terlonge in fruticeto denso, 1600 m, 81. Aug.g Buch 1356.

Gen. distrib.a Santa Damingo, Haiti.
This species is by far the tallest in Satureja solog growing to an incredible 500 cm . It was first described in Hyptis but the following table shows how it may be separared frow that genus.

$$
\text { Hyptis } \quad X_{0} \text { schusteri }
$$

Flowers In heads or in
congested verticillesters

Multi-branched, longpedunculare cyaules
Calyx throat Naked or pilose-annulate
about middle (sect. Apodotum)

Sparsely hairy at top about middle (sect. Apodotum)

| Calyx teeth | Subequal, rarely | Anterior slightly |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | posterior larger | langer |

Nutleis Rounded or truncaie at apex Apiculate at apex
3. (8.3. (b) Sect. Prostratae Ao Doroszenko, sect. nov. Syn. Satureja L. sect. Xencpoma (Prostratae) Epling \& Jativa in Brittonia 16:410 (1964).

Mostly prostrate or loco shrubs but sometimes erect to 2 m tall. Leaves petiolate, sometimes * sessile, broady ovate to orbicular, rounded to cuneate at the base, apex obtuse to rounded, entire, crenulate, or serrulate margins, minutely hairy to pubescent on both sides (rarely glabrous above), lateral veins not visible or very narrow and scarcely prominent, without vains between. Calyx tube actinomorphic or sub-bilabiake, teeth $1 / 4-1 / 2 \times$ tube length. Corolla white or purple, anthers divergent, sometimes parallel. (Venezuela, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, Argentina, Chile).

| 3.18.3.1. | 1. | Leaves (5.0-) B.0-15.0 mas cymes 1-6 flowered | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $+$ | Leaves 2.0-6.0(-8.0) mmit tlowers almays |  |
|  |  | solitary in leaf axils | 3 |
|  | 2. | Prostrate herb, 8-20 catally leaves fith long |  |
|  |  | hairsi lower calyx teeth 1.9-1.6 ma, narromly |  |
|  |  | triangular, ciliater corolla 6-7 mm | 8. grisea |
|  | + | Erect shrubg 100-200 cm tallg leaves with |  |
|  |  | minute hairs onlyg lower calyx teeth co $0.9 \mathrm{mag}^{\text {c }}$ |  |
|  |  | lanceolate-subulate, not ciliateg corolla 7-9.5 mm | 11. vana |

3. Erect shrubs, 50-100 cms calyx teeth narrowly linear, filiform, the lower 1.2-1.3 mm 10. brevicalyx

+ Prostrate herbs or shrubs, 10-30 cm tall; calyx teeth broadly triangular to lanceolate, the lower 0.6-1.1 an

4. Stem densely recurved-hairy: calyx 4.0-5.0 mi (Paragonia) 9. darainii

* Stea densely and very shortly patent-pubescent; calyx 2.0-3.5 mm (Rll South America) 5

5. A delicate herb, thin-leaved; leaves mostly $8-8$ mms orbicular, hairs on upper side extremely minute, hardly visible (x 10 lens)g calyx 2.0-2.6 man 7. tenella + A much-branched, + robust shrub, with leaves not especially thing leaves $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$, ovate to orbicular, obviously hairy under a $\times 10$ lens? calyx 2.8-3.5 mm 6. nubigena
3.18.3.2. 6. $X$. nubigena (Kunth) $A_{0}$ Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Thymus nubigenus Kunth in Humboldt \& Bonpland, Hov. Gen. Sp. Pl. 2:316 (1817); Micromeria nubigena (Kunth) Benthog Lab. Gen. Sp. 381 (1838): Apozia serpyllacea (dilld. ex Benth. in Linnaea $11: 328$ (1837), ex nomen in schaed.! Thymus humi fusus tilld. ex Benth. in Linnaea 11:3A2 (1837), nomeng Micromeria nubigena (Kunth) Benth. var. glabrescens Benth. in DCog Prodr. 12:222 (1898)! Clinopodium nubigenum (Kunth) O. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891); Satureja nubigena (Kunth) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. A, 3a: 300 (1897).

Fl. 1, 3-8, 11. Dry open slopes; overhanging rocks, 1060-4580 m.
Type: Colombia: Purace volcano, Bonpland 2023 ( $B$, P).
Gen. distrib.: Venezuela, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru.

A very common and widespread species.
3.18.3.3. 7. X. tenella (Eplo) A. Doroszenko comb. nov.

Syn: Satureja tenella Epl。in Fedde, Repert. Sp. Nov. Beih. 85: 161 (1936)!

Fl. 9-11. Plains and rocky slopes, 2890-3600 m.
Type: Ecuador: Plains of Quito, Jameson 100 (holo. K!).
Gen. distrib.: Colombia, Ecuador.
3.18.3.4.
8. X. grisea (Epl.) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Satureja grisea Epl. in Brittonia 7: 139 (1950):
F1. 4. Mountain slopes, 3400-3550 mo
Type: Colombias El Valle: Cordillera Centralg vert. occidag Rio Bugal agrande, Barragan, Paramo de Bavaya, Corrales, $3550-3400 m_{1} 11$ iv 1986, J. Cuatracasas 20590 (LA in UC!). Gen. distrib: Colombia。
3.18.3.5. 9. $X_{0}$ darwinii (Benth.) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov. Syna Micromeria darwinii Benth. in DCog Prodr. $12: 222$ (1848)! Clinopodium darsinii (Benth.) 0 . Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891)! Micromeria pusilla Phil. in An. Univ. Chile 90:556 (1895) [typ. err. pasilla]; Satureja darminii (Benth.) Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3as 300 (1897)! Hicromeria darwinii Benth. vars. typica Speg.g imbricatifolia Speg.g and pusilla (Phila) Speg. in An. Soc. Ci. Arg. 1902: 75 (1902); Satureja pusilla (Phila) Macla in Repto Princeton Univa Expa Patagonia 8:698 (1905).

Ic: w. B. Scott, Rep. Princeton Univ. Exped. Patag. 1896-99, 8 II Bot. t. 24 (1905).

Fl. 1-3, 11-12. Hot, dry, sunny rocks, steep granite schist ledges,
eroded sandstone, 60-700 mo
Type: Argentina: Santa Cruz, iii 1834, Co Darwin (holo. K!).
Gen. distrib.: 5 Argentinag 5 Chile.
Xo darminii is a lowland plant which contrasts with most of the rest of the genus. A map presented by Epling \& Jativa (1964) shows that the species is confined to Chile and Argentina south of 445 but not on the western coastal mountains between 445 and 47 . This is interesting since it has been noticed that there is an abrupt change in the flora at about 42 S (Simpson, 1973). Virtually all of the 1 and west of the Andes south of 445 tas covered in ice during the last glaciation. Judging by the distribution of Perezia (Compositae), Simpson (1973) suggested that there may have been glacial refugia east of the Andes south of 44 S. Markgraf (1980) also suggested that Tierra del Fuego, based upon a palynological study of the region, may have been a glacial refugium.
3.18.3.6. 10. $X_{0}$ brevicalyx (Epl.) Ao Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Satureja brevicalyx Epl. in Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 14:82 (1927).

F1. 1, 3, 5, 7, 12: Open limestone hills, 3050-8270 mo Type: Peru: Cuzco, iii 1925, Herrera 825 (holo. US). Gen. distrib: : Peru.

Although differing in habit from the other species of the section there is a strong similarity to $X$. nubigena (sp. no. 6). The leaves are of similar size and shape but differ in pubescence. The calyx is more campanulate than $X$. nubigena, with a shorter tube and longer, much narrower teeth.


#### Abstract

F1. 1-3. Roadside, E facing mountain slopes, $3100-3350 \mathrm{mo}$ Type: Peru: Prov. Moqueguá Carumas, $3100 m_{9} 21$ ii - 6 iii 1925. Rainy green formation, $A_{0}$ Weberbauer 7259 (holo. $F_{g}$ iso. K!) Gen. distriba: Peru.

This very tall plant seems misplaced in a section named Prostratae. Epling \& Jativa (196\&) associated the species with sect. Paucifloraes but I have reduced this section to include only two Californian plants. $X$. vana is rather similar in leaf shape, verticillaster arrangement and calyx to $X_{0}$ grisea (sp. no. 8). In pubescence it is similar to the preceding $X_{0}$ brevicalyx.


3.18.4. (c) Sect. Spicatae A. Doroszenko, sect. nov. Syn: Satureja Lo sect. Xenopoma (Spicatae) Epling \& Jariva in Brittonia 16:411 (1968).

Tall erect herbs with woody bases. Leaves linear or broadly ovate-orbicularg entire or crenulateg revoluteg minutely hairy above, long pubescent below, veins invisible in tightly revolute leavess in less revolute leaves narrow, rather prominent beloso Inflorescence a dense spike, interrupted at base only. Calyx teeth all very narrowly triangular, 1 ower $1 / 2-3 / 4 x$ tube length, throat glabrous but a fringe of hairs situated half way up the teeth. Corolla white or purple. (Ecuador).

In leaf shape the two species are very different but are similar in verticillaster arrangement and calyx structure. The band of hairs half way up the calyx teeth is unusual, and is found in no other Xenopoma species.
3.18.4.1. Leaves linear-lanceolate, very tightly
revolute, 2-4 mm long
12. fasciculata

Leaves ovate-orbicular, revolute at the
margins, 3-20 mm long
13. mutabilis
3.18.4.2. 12. X. fasciculata (Benth.) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov. Syn: Gardoquia fasciculata Benthag Plo Hartweg. 243 (1846)! Satureja fasciculata (Bentho) Briq. in Engler \& Prantlg Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3as 300 (1897)!, non Rafin. (1814), non Tausch (1828): Satureja rigidula Epl. in Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 14:72 (1927), non Jard. \& Fourv. (1866) : Satureja stachyodes Epl. in Fedde, Rep. Sp. Nof. Beih. 85: 156 (1936).

Fl. 4-8. Steep, dry, sunny slopes, 1700-3500 m.
Type: Ecuador: Pichincha: Rumibamba, and at the bridge of Guapulo, near Quito, Hartweg 1338 (holo. K!s iso. E!).

Gen. distrib.a Ecuador.
Jaramillo \& Coello (1982) who studied the vegetation throughout Ecuador reported that this species is a typical component of the flora between 3000 m and 3500 m in Chimborazo province.
3.18.4.3. 13. Xo mutabilis (Eplo) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Satureja mutabilis Epl. in Fedde, Rep. Sp. Nov. Beih. 85:157 (1936): Satureja breviflora sensu Eplo in Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 14:59 (1927), non Briq. (1897).

Fl. 4-9. Chaparral, river banks, 2300-3050 m.
Type: Ecuador: Pichinchas in andibus quitensis, Janeson (holo. S). Gen. distrib.: Ecuador.

Epling (1980) mentions teso leaf forms for this species: one with broadly ovate leaves, truncate at the base, the other with narrowly elliptic leaves. He says that otherwise they are very similar.
(d) Sect. Kenothymus Griseb. in Goett. Abh. 19:188 (1874). Syn: Oreosphacus Phile ex Leyb.g Excurs. Panpas 45 (1873); Ceratominthe Briq. in Bulla Herb. Baiss. 4:875 (1896): Satureja L. sect. Xenopoma (Ceratominthe) Epl. \& Jativa in Brittonia 16:413 (1964).

Tall erect suffruticose herbs ofith woody bases, or shrubby. Leaves narromly ovate to linear-oblong, cuneate at base, entire or minutely serrulate, glabrous or minutely hairy above onlyg or hirsute on both sides, lateral veins not visible or very narrow and faint, without veins between. Calyx only slightly zygomorphic. lower teeth 2/5-2/3 x tube length. Coralla purple or whiteg anthers parallel or divergent. (Peru, Bolivia, Argentina).

Grisebach (1874) described sect. Kenothymus to include $X_{0}$ odorum, $X_{\text {. eugenioides }(=} X_{\text {a }}$ gilliesii)g and $X_{a}$ verticillatum. The latter species belongs to the independent genus Minthostachys which is distinguished by its inflorescence of sub-scorpioid verticillasters, in the leaf axils, terminating the stem in a spike-like inflorescence, and the calyx narrowly tubular with narrow, porrect teerh.
3.18.5.1. 1. Corolla tube 5.0-7.0 mmg calyx 2.2-3.5 mm, tube slightly ampliate, teeth + erect $\quad$ 14. bolivianum

+ Corolla tube 1.3-2.0 mng calyx 1.4-2.3 nis. tube broadly ampliate, teeth somethat spreading 2

2. Flowers 4-21 per cyme; lateral veins narroun but plainly visible on larger leaves; leaves (8-) 15-45 $\times 4-7 \mathrm{~mm} \quad$ 15. odorum

+ Flowers $1-3$ per cymeg lateral veins invisible on all leaves: leaves $3-14 \times 1.5-4$ nn 3

3. Plant almost glabrous; leaf apex sharply acute $\quad$ 16. axillare

+ Plant shortly hirsute; leaf apex + obtuse
3.18.5.2. 14. X. bolivianum (Benth.) Griseb. in Goett. Abh. 25: 272 (1879). Syn: Plicromeria boliviana Benthog Lab. Gen. Spa 731 (1835): Gardoquia obovata Griseb. ex Wedde, Chlor: And. 2:150 (1860), nomen, et in schaedag Pl. Peruv. exsicc. Lechlag non Ruiz \& Pavo (1798): M. boliviana Benth. var. angustifolia Weddag loc. cit. (1860)g X. bolivianum var. tarijense Wedd. in Griseb.g loc. cit. (1879); Clinopodium bolivianum (Benth.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2:515 (1891): Satureja kuntzeana Briq. in Bull. Merb. Boiss. 4:874 (1896); Satureja boliviana (Bentho) Brigo in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4y 3as 300 (1897); Clinopodium kuntzeanum (Briq.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 3(2):259 (1898); Satureja simulans Epl. in Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 14:81 (1927).

Fl. 1, 4-12. Rocky hillsides, moist roadside, $1000-4000 \mathrm{~m}$.
Type: Bolivia: no data, Pentland (holo. G).
Gen. distriba: Peru, Bolivia, Argentina.
X. boliviana differs from the three other species of the section in its longer more narroosly tubular calyx tube and relatively shorter, more erect teath. But in leaf shape it is very like $X_{0}$ odora and $x_{0}$ axillareg it also has the tiny, sharply pointed, outcurving teeth characteristic of these teno species. $X_{0}$ boliviana had been placed in species-group Pauciflorae by Epling \& Jativa (1964). However, this group seems better delimited if it includes only two Californian endemics. Sect. Pauciflorae has an infundibuliform calyx with narrowly lanceolate, subulate teeth quite different from $X_{\text {. boliviana. }}$

Very young leaves on short lateral shoots are oblanceolateelliptic. Identification of specimens whose lower leaves have


#### Abstract

fallen off may be more difficuit because of this. Buchtein 438 is one such and one's iirst impression is that there has been a misidentificaticn.


3.18.5.3. 15. $X$. odorum Griseb. in Goett. Abh. 236 (1874).

Syn: Micromeria odora (Griseba) Hieronym. in Bot. Acad. Nac. Cordoba 4:413 (1881): Ceratominthe achalensis Briq. in Bull. Herb. Bois5. 4:877 (1896): Ceratominthe kuntzeana Briqig loc. cit. (1896): Ceratominthe odora (Eriseb.) Hauman in Bull. Soc. Bot. Belg. 64:56 (1931): Satureja odora (Griseb.) Epl. in Fedde, Rep. Sp. Nov. Beih. 85: 158 (1936).

Ic: Briquet, Bull. Herb. Boiss: 4:976 (1896), floral parts only, as Ceratominthe achalensis.

Fl. 1-4, 10-12. Roadside banks, fallow fields, 2250-3000 mo Type: Argentinaa Tafi, Jan. 1872, Lorentz 373 (holo. GOET). Gen. distrib.: N* Argentina, Bolivia.

Briquet (1896) described a new genus to accomodate this species based entirely on floral features. If he had compared $X_{\text {. odora with }}$ X. axillare and X . gilliesii more closely he would have noticed a very close similarity in calyx and corolla. In leaf structure and nutlets it is also close to $X$ axillare and $X$. boliviana.

Ugent \& Cardenas 4985 is a very small-leaved form. All the leaves are on short, slender, lateral shoots, and larger leaves may have been shed. As Epling \& Jativa (1966 b) have suggested, it may be a xeric form.
3.8.5.4. 16. X. axillare (Rusby) A. Doroszenka, comb. nov.

Syn: Bystropogon axillare Rusby in Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 6:108 (1896)! Bystropogon uniflorus Rusby ex Briq. in Bull. Herb. Boiss. 4:802 (1896); Satureja axillaris (Rusby) Epl。in Ann. Missouri Bot.

Gard．14：82（1927）！

F1．5．C． 1600 m.
Typea Bolivia：bolivian plateaug anno 1891，Bang 1125 （iso．E！g FM！，K！，MANCH！，NY）。

Gen．distrib．：Bolivia．
Only known from the type collection．

318．5．5．17．$X_{0}$ gilliesii（Benth．）A．Doroszenko，combo novo Syn：Micromeria gilliesii Benthog Lab。 Geno Sp． 381 （1834）！ Oreosphacus parvifolia Phil．ex Leyb．Excurs．Pampas 45 （1873）！ Kencpoma eugenioides Griseb．in Goett．Abh．19：237（1874）： Micromeria eugenioides（Griseb．）Hieronymo in Bolo Acad．Naco Cordoba $4: 413$（1881）；Clinopodium gilliesii（Benth．）$D_{0}$ Kuntze， Rev．Gen．Pla 2：515（1891）！Bystropogon minutus Briq．in Bull． Herb．Bois5．4：803（1996）：Satureja gilliesii（Bentha）Briqu in Engler \＆Prantlg Nat．Pflanzenfam． 4 3a：300（1897）！S．oligantha Briga，loc．cit． 380 （1897）；S．eugenioides（Griseb．）Loes．ex R． Fries in Nov．Acta Soc．Upsal．ser． $4,1: 107$（1905）；5．parvifolia （Phil．ex Leyb．）Epla in Fedde，Rep．Sp．Novo Beih．85： 159 （1936）！

Ic：Marticorena \＆Quezada in Bol．Soc．Biol．Concepcion 51：145 （1977）$_{\text {s }}$ as Satureja parvifolia。

Fl．1－5，10－12：Grassy plains，2000－4575 mo
Type：Argentina：Mendoza：Andes of Mendozag Gillies（holo．K！g iso． E！）．

Gen．distrib：Argentina，Peru，Bolivia，N Chile（rare）．
A very common plant of the eastern slopes of the Ref Argentinian Andes．

3．18．6．（e）Secto Pauciflorae A．Doraszenko sect．nov．
Syn：Satureja Lo sect．Kenopona（Pauciflorae）Epla \＆Jativa in

Brittonia 16:411 (1964).
Erect semi-shrubs. Leaves broadly ovate, flat; long-petiolate, crenulate, ninutely or long densely hairy above and below, lateral veins very narrow, sometimes hardly visible. Calyx broadly tubular, infundibuliform, the teeth narronly lanceolate, subulateg $1 / 3-2 / 5 \times$ tube length. Corolla pink or white. (S California \& Mexico).

Epling \& Jativa (1966 a) thought that the two species included here "strongly resemble" $X_{0}$ vana and $X_{\text {. brevicalyx from Peru. }}$ Although there is some similarity in leaf shape to $X_{0}$ vana, there is a difference in calyx and corolla. Sect. Pauciflorae has distinctly infundibuliform calyces with lanceolate, subulate, outcurving teeth. The corollas are larger and more broadly tubular. In addition to these morphological differences there is a large disjunction in distribution.
3.18.6.1. Calyces naked in the throat; plant subglabrousi

$$
\text { calyx hirtellous } \quad \text { 18. ganderi }
$$

Calyx with a ring of hairs in the throatg plant
clearly pubescent on all parts 19. chandleri
3.18.6.2. 18. $X_{n}$ ganderi (Epl.) A. Doroszenko, comb. novo

Syn: Satureja ganderi Epla in Amer. Midl. Nata 24: 748 (1940)!
F1. 4-6. Chaparralg 150-425 m.
Type: Baja Californiá Pine Canon, 6 miles 5 of San Vicente, 25 iv 1980, C. Epling \& H. Lewis (iso. LA in UC!).

Gen. distrib.: Mexico, Baja California。
3.18.6.3. 19. $X_{0}$ chandleri (Brandeg.) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Calamintha chandleri Brandega in Zoe 5: 195 (1905): Satureja chandleri (Brandeg.) Druce in Rep. Bot. Exch. Club Brit. Isles

1916:644 (1917)。
If: Higgins, Flora of Baja California 434, f.400 (1980), as Satureja chandleri.

Fl. 4.

Type: ?

Gen. distrib: : San Diego \& Los Angeles Co.g California \& Pexico, Baja California.
3.18.7. (f) Sect. Sphenostachys (Epl. \& Jata) A. Doroszenko, comb nov. Syn: Satureja Lo sect. Sphenostachys Epl. \& Jativa in Brittonia 18:263 (1966).

Erect herbs. Leaves broadly ovate, flat, crenate, sparsely long hairy above, rather more densely long-hairy below Inflorescence a terminal spike. Verticillasters dense, multi-flowered. Calyx tube slightly curved, lower teeth $2 / 5 \times$ tube lengith. Corolla whiteg anthers divergent. Monotypic. (Peru).

There is a similarity in vegetative features to Gardoquiag especially G. multiflora of sect. Gardoquia. The inflorescence, hosever, is much more reminiscent of Xenopoma even though it has an unusual spike-like arrangement.
3.18.7.1. 20. $X_{0}$ cylindristachys (Eplo Jat.) A. Doroszenko, camb. nov. Syn: Satureja cylindristachys Epla \& Jata in Brittonia 18:263 (1966)!

F1. 1. Steep mountain slopes, $c .1700 \mathrm{~m}$.
Type: Peru: Amazonasa Bongara. Pomacochas road, co km 315 E of Olmos, between Pomacochas and Rio Utcubamba, 29 i 1964, Hutchison \&
 Gen. distrib.: Peru.
3.8.8. Nom. exclud.

Xenopoma verticillatum Griseb. in Goet. Abh. 19:237 (1874) = Minthostachys verticillata (Griseba) Epl.
3.19.

Diodeilis Rafinog Fl. Tellur: 3: 82 (1836).
Syn: Melissa sect. Calomelissa Benthog Lab. Gen. Sp. 388 (1838); Rafinesquia Rałinag Fl. Tellur. $3: 83$ (1837), non Rafinag Fla Tellur: 2:96 (1837), non Rafinag Sylva Tellur. 79 (1838), non Nutt. in Trans. Aff. Phil. Soc. 7:429 (1881)g Calamintha sect. Calomelissa (Benth.) Benth. in DCas Prodra 12:229 (1848), p.pog Satureja sect. Calomelissa (Bentha) Briq. subsect. Coccineae Briq. in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3a:302 (1897).

Annual or perennial herbs of low shrubs. Leaves orbicular to ovate, elliptic to linear, or obovate-spathulate, subsessile to long-petiolateg entire or serrulate-crenulateg veins camptodromous, invisible or scarcely visible, marginal veins absentg palisade mesophyll dorsiventral. Verticillasters distant or approximate, flowers solitary or few-flowered, on long, sessile or subsessile pedicels. Bracts usually shorter than flowers. Bracteoles like the leaves in shape or linear, shorter than pedicels. Calyx distinctly bilabiateg tube broad, straight, $\&$ parallel-sided g $_{\text {( }}$ 13(-15)-veined, throat densely, rarely sparsely, hairy; upper lip curving upward, entire or with small teeth; lower lip straight, teeth $1 / 3$ to as long as tube. Corolla shades of purple, sometimes red; tube straight, ampliate, not resupinate nor annulate inside, glabrous or hairy on inside lower half; lips 1/4-4/5 $x$ tube length, upper emarginate, sometimes entire, lower lip 3-lobed, central lobe entire or bifid. Stamens 4 , all fertile, inserted 1/2-3/4 way up tube, convergent or straight, posterior $1 / 2-3 / 4 \times$ length of
anterior, included or exserted from tubeg anthers parallel to widely divergent. Style branches unequalg rarely equalg both narrowly subulate. Nutlets ovoid to suborbicularg glabrous, rarely minutely eglandular hairy.

Type species: $D_{0}$ coccinea.
Diodeilis is a North American genus which in the past has been dealt with as a synonym of Calamintha or else the species placed in Satureja 5.l. (sect. Calomelissa). Howeverg in calyx and leaf structure Diodeilis and Calamintha are definitely separable. The calyx of Diodeilis is remarkable for its sharply upcurving, $\pm$ erect upper lobe which either has short teeth or may be entire. The calyces are long-pedicellate but the peduncle is short or absent. This is in contrast to Calamintha which tends to have a less broadly tubular calyx tube, the upper lobe only a little curved upwards and always toothed, the calyx clearly pedunculate. Calamintha never has obovate leaves as occur in sect. Diodeilis, tending to be ovate-lancealate with + definite reeth.

The distinctness of this North American group has, in fact, al says been recognised. Both Bentham (1834, 1848) and Briquet (1897) described sections within Melissa, Calamintha or Satureja (see generic synonymy above) to accompdate them. Sectional rank within Satureja was also supported by Epling \& Jativa (1966). However, generic rank seems justified, particularly because the unusual calyx features will not allos the group to be confortably Placed within any of the other American genera. An association with an Dld World genus is also ruled out by the unusual floral features and by geqgraphical distribukion. The nearest relative to Diodeilis is probably Gardoquia, with the closest similarities in the woody sect. Diodeilis.

The name Diodeilis has never been used since its original
publication. The name appears as an alternative for Rafinesquia Raf. in Fl. Tellur. (Rafinesque, 1836). There are no less than four genera named Rafinesquia, three of which Rafinesque modestly named after himself; all are now rejected, but the fourth, Rafinesquia Nuttog is conserved. Merrill (1949) maintains that all these Rafinesque alternative names are invalid. Hoseverg the Code of Botanical Nomenclature (1972), article 34, does not reject these names. Diodeilis is also accepted as validly published by Farr, Leussinkg \& Stafleu's Index Nominum Genericorum (Plantarum) (1979).
3.19.1. 1. Shrubs, with obovate-spathulate leaves, 4-15 $\times 1-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ entire or tridentate, minutely hairy on upper side (Sect. Diodeilis)

* Herbs, sometimes rather woody at base, leaves not obovate-spathulate, $7-45 \times 1-25 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ entire or serrulate to crenulates glabrous or long-haired on upper side (Sect. Herbaceae)
* Corollas 10.5-18 mn, purple

3. Leaves narrobly oblanceolate, entireg upper calyx lip entire 2. ashei

* Leaves spathulate, apex tridentate; upper calys lip with three teeth, $0.2-0.5$ ma long 3. dentata

4. Calyx teeth all very narrowly triangular
or deltoid, pungent

* Upper calyx teeth absent or very short, broadly triangular7

5. Annual herb sith orbicular-ovare leaves B. anissa

* Perennial herbs with linear to ellipric leaves

```
6. Stoloniferous, the stolons leafy; nodes beardless
    or nearly sog primary leaves linear to linear-
    oblanceolate, the larger 10-25 x 1-5 mm 4.arkansana
* Non-stoloniferous; nodes of stems bearded;
primary leaves elliptic or oblong-
lanceolate, the larger 25-50 x 5-17 mm 5. glabella
7. Plant 30-50 cm tall; leaves oblanceolare-
elliptic 9-45 x 5-25 mm% pedicels 1.5-4.5 nmp
calyx tube 4.0-4.5 mm 6. georgiana
* Plant 10-20 cm tallo leaves orbicular-ovate,
7-11 x 4-9 mag pedicels 4.0-4.5 ma: calyx
tube 3.5-4.0 mm 7. procumbens
```

3.19.2. Sect. Diodeilis

Shrubs, with obovate-spathulate leaves, 4-15 x 1-5 ming entire or tridentate, minutely hairy above, minutely hairy or glabrous below. (SE U.S. $A_{0}$ )

A close-knit section of three species centred on Florida and 5 Georgia. All are most often found on sandy soils, often amongst pines.
3.19 .2 .1

1. D. coccinea (Nutt.) Rafinas Flo Tellur. $3: 83$ (1837)。 Syn: Cunila coccinea Nutt. ex Hooker, Exotic Flora 2: t. 163 (1825)! Melissa coccinea (Nutt. ex Hooker) Spreng.g Syst. 4(2):224 (1827)! Gardoquia hookeri Benthag Lab. Gen. Sp. 401 (1834)! Rafinesquia coccinea (Nutt, ex Hooker) Raßinog Fl. Tellur. $3: 83$ (1837)! R. angustifolia Rafino, New Fl. Am. $3: 52$ (1838); Calamintha coccinea (Nutt. ex Hooker) Benth. in DC.g Prodra 12:229 (18\&8)! Satureja coccinea (Alutt. ex Hooker) Bertag in Misc. Bot. 8. 23 (1849)! Clinopodium coccineum (Nutt. ex Hooker) D. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl.

2:515 (1891): Clinopodium macrocalyx Small, Fl. S.E. U.S. 1043 (1903); Satureja macrocalyx (Small) Druce in Rep. Bot. Exch. Cl. Brit. Is. 4:644 (1917); Gardoquia coccinea (Nutt. ex Hooker) Alexander in Addisonia 21:45, t. 695 (1941).

Ic: Bot. Reg. 21 s t. 1747 (1835), as Gardoquia hookeri.
F1. 8-8. Sand ridges, open grassy pineland, $c .60 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{a}}$
Types E Florida, Nuttall (holo. K!).
Gen. distribo: SE U.S.A.
This species is remarkable for its large, narrowly tubular, crimson-red flowers which are very reminiscent of Gardoquia. However, in calyx structure it is very different. The broad, straight tube and sharply upcurving and very small-toothed upper lip clearly distinguishes $D$. coccinea from Gardoquia. In habit and leaves there is a very close similarity to $D_{0}$ ashei and $D_{\text {. }}$ dentata.
3.19.2.2. 2. D. ashei (Weatherby) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov. Syn: Satureja ashei Weatherby in Rhodora 26:80 (1924): Clinopodium ashei (Weatherby) Small in Bull. Torr. Bot. Club 51:385 (1924); Calamintha ashei (Weatherby) Shinners in Sida 1(2):73 (1962). Fl. 4-6: Fixed sand dunes. Type: Floridas sandy pine woods near Ocala, Marion Co.g iv 1923, W.W. Ashe (holo. GH). Gen. distrib.: Florida, Georgia.

Fl. 5-8. Sand ridges in pine plantations and scrub.
Type: ?
Gen. distrib. Florida。

Easily identified by its 3 -dentateg sometimes 5-dentateg leaf apices.
3.19.3. Sect. Herbaceae (Benth.) Ao Doroszenko, comb et stat. nov. Syn: Calamintha sect. Calomelissa subsect. Herbaceae Benth. in DCog Prodr: 12:230 (1848); Satureja sect. Calomelissa subsect. Glabellae Briq. in Engler \& Prantlg Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4, 3å 302 (1897).

Herbsg sometimes rather moody at baseg bith orbicular or elliptic to linear leaves, $7-45 \times 1-25 \mathrm{~mm}_{9}$ entire or serrulate to crenulate, glabrous on both sides, or long hairy above only or on both sides. [N0 Mexico, South \& East U. S.A. g $_{\text {g }}$ Canada (Dntario)].
3.19.3.1. 4. D. arkansana (Nutt.) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Hedeoma arkansana Nutto in Trans. Amer. Philo Soc. 5: 186 (18.a) ${ }^{\text {g Hedeoma glabra Nuttag Gen. Am. } 1: 16 \text { (1818)g non Pers. }}$ (1807): Cunila glabella sensu Torrog Flo No U.S. 23 (1823); Micromeria arkansana (Nutt.) Benthog Lab. Gen. Sp. 730 (1835) :9 Micromeria glabella (Michx.) Benth。 vara angustifolia Torrey, Fl. N.Y. 2:67 (1843): Calamintha nuttallii Benth. in DC.g Prodr. 12:230 (1848): Micromeria nuttallii Torr: \& A. Gray ex Benth. in DCog Prodr: 12:230 (1848), nomen; Calamintha glabella (Michx.) Benth. var: nuttallii (Benth.) A. Gray, Mana ed.2, 307 (1857): Cunila glabra (Nutt.) A. Grayg Syn. F1. N. Am. 2:360 (1878); Calamintha glabra (Mutt.) Trelease ex Branner \& Coville in Anno Repo Geol. Surva Arkans. 1898(1):211 (1891): Clinopodium glabrum (Nutto) 0. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Plo 2:515 (1891): Satureja arkansana (Nutto) Briq. in Engler \& Prantlg Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4,3as302 (1897):

Satureja glabra（Nutt．）Fern．in Rhodora 10：85（1908）：Clinopodium arkansanum（Nutt．）House in An．Midl．Nat．7：130（1921）：Satureja glabella（Michxa）Briq．vara angustifolia（Torra）Svenson in Rhodora 42：7（1940）：Calamintha glabella（Michx．）Benth．var． angustifalia（Torr：）Dekolf in Baileya 2：150（1958）：Calamintha arkansana（Nutt．）Shinners in Sida 1（2）：72（1962）．

Ic：Stevens，Ill．Fl．Pl．Mid．Atl．N．Engl．St．t． 132 （1930），as Clinopodium glabrumg Gleason，New Britton \＆Brown，Ill．Fl．N．E． U．S．\＆Canada 3：175（1952），as Satureja glabella var：angustifolia． Fl．5－9．Poist smamp clearings，damp places， $100-600 \mathrm{~m}$. Type：？

Gen．distrib：$E$ U．S．A．\＆SE Canada．

5．D．glabella（Michxa）A．Doroszenko，comb．nov．
Syn：Cunila glabella Michxog Fla Bora－An。 1：13（1803）；Hedeoma glabrum Persag Syn．Pla 2a 131 （1807）Z Ziziphora glahella（Michxa） Roem．\＆Schultag Systo Veg．1：209（1817）；Micromeria glabella （Michx．）Benthog Lab．Gen．Spo 371 （1834）：Calamintha glabella （Michx．）Benth．in DCog Prodr：12： 230 （1848）：Clinopodium glabellum （Michx．）D．Kuntze，Rev．Gen．Plo 2：515（1891）：Satureja glabella （Hichx：）Briq．in Engler \＆Prantlg Nat。 Pflanzenfam。 4，Ja：302 （1897）．

Ic：Britton \＆Brown，I11．F1．N．States \＆Canada 3：110（1898），as Clinopodium glabellum．

F1．6．Cedar barrensg river banks，300－400 m．
Type：［U．S．A．］in ripariis fluvii Tennassee，juxta Nashville， Micheaux（holo．P？）．

Similar to $D_{0}$ arkansana but non－stoloniferous，with nodes of stems bearded，leaves broadly elliptic or oblong－lancealate，25－50 x 5－17 $\mathrm{mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ apex obtuse to rounded，margins shallowly toothed to
entire，upper side sparsely hairyg petiole 2－5 mmg bracteoles 5－7 mmp calyx tube $3.0-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}_{9}$ upper and lower lips 2．0－2．5 mmg upper teeth 1．0－1．5 $\mathrm{mm}, 1$ ower teeth 2．0－2．5 mm．

3．19．3．3．6．D．georgiana（Harper）A．Doroszenko，comb．nov．
Syn：Clinopodiun georgianum Harper in Bull．Torr．Bot．Club 33：243 （1906）：Thymus carolinianus（non walter＂）Michx．g Fl．Bor：－Am．2：9 （1803）：T．quandiflorus sensu Sims．in Bot．Mag．25：t． 997 （1807）， non（L．）Scopoli（1772）；Calamintha grandiflara（Sims．）Pursch，Fl． Am．Sept．2：414（1813），non（Lo）Moench（1794）：Calamintha Caroliniana（Michxo）Nuttag Geno No Amo Plo 2a39（1818）；Melissa caroliniana（Michx．）Benthog Lab．Gen．Spa 388 （183A）；Satureja caroliniana（Michxo）Eriq．in Engler \＆Prantly Nat．Pflanzenfam．4， Зas 302 （1897）：Clinopodiun carolinianun（Michx．）A。A。Hellerg Cata N．Am。Pl。 7 （1898），non Miller（1768）：Calamintha georgiana （Harper）Shinners in Sida $1(2): 74$（1962）；S．georgiana（Harper） Ahles in Journ．E．Mitchell Sci．Soc．80：173（1964）． F1．8－11．Deciduous woodland in sandy soils dry pine woods， 60－140 m．

Type：［U．S．A．］Carolina，Micheaux（holo．P？s iso．G－DC， microfiche！）．

Gen．distrib：SE U．Sa．A．
Some comment is required on the correct citation of this species．Micheaux（1803）has Thymbra caroliniana Walter in synonymy but this is quite different and is synonymous with a Macbridea species．It is clear that Micheaux（1803）intended to make a new combination but his description of Thymus carolinianus is definitely not of Macbridea but what $I$ an here renaning Diodeilis georgiana．Therefore caroliniana cannot be used as the specific epithet here．Shinners（1962）discusses the nomenclature of this
species more fully and includes a more detailed "sensu" synonymy.
In sect. Herbaceae D. georgiana is the most similar in calyx structure to sect. Diodeilis. Leaf size is very variable. The isotype at G-DC is a small-leaved, rather poorly preserved specimen.
3.19.3.4.7. Da procumbens (Greenma) Ao Doroszenko, comb. nov.

Syn: Satureja procumbens Greenm. in Proc. Amer. Acad. Sci. $41: 245$ (1906)!

Fl. 5. Shady banks, c. 1680 m .
Type: Mexico: Hidalgo, below Trinidad Iron Works, $1680 \mathrm{~ms} 5 \vee 1904$, Pringle (holo. K!, iso. E!).

Gen. distrib.: Hidalgo, Mexico.
This low, creeping plant has a calyx very similar to D. georgiana.
3.19.3.5.
8. D. amissa (Epl. \& Jat.) A. Doroszenko, comb. nov. Syn: Satureja amissa Epl. \& Jat. in Brittonia 20:310 (1968). Type: Mexico: Sinaloas Varomena and vicinity, volcanic foothills, cut-over short-tree forest, $300-450 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{g}}$ Gentry 7146 (holo. UC!).

This species is the only annual in Satureja 5.1. in the Americas. In leaf shape and habit $D_{\text {a }}$ amissa is most like $D_{n}$ procumbens, but in calyx very like D. arkansana.


What follows here is a detailed description of the characters used in the codified data tables.

## Habit and Stem Characters.

Character 1. Longevity. 1. Annual/ 2. Perennial.

1. Annual - a plant that completes its whole life cycle within one year, from seed germination to seed productiong followed by death. Generally there is no difficulty in determining whether a plant is annual or not, but the following circumstances may cause problems: (a) in adverse conditions, plants normally perennial may only survive one year (e.g. desert plants): (b) rare perennials may only be known from one or a few collections of juvenile plantsi and (c) poor collecting of specimens (e.g. if only the top of a plant is collected) may not make it obvious if a plant is annual or perennial). None of these difficulties have caused a problem in Satureja S.l.
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2. Perennial - a plant which continues growth from year to year: See notes under 1. Annual above.


Character 2. Habit. 1. Herbaceous/ 2. Herb, but base rather moody/ 3. Semi-shrubby/ 4. Shrubby.

1. Herbaceous - a plant without persistent stems above ground, including plants with soft stems only, which have not become obviously lignified. All the annuals of Satureja 5.l. are herbaceous. The perennials encompass all four of the above character states. In the case of herbaceous perennials, the
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perennating buds are on rhizomes below or at ground levelg, falling into the categories of either cryptophytes or hemicryptophytes in the classification of life forms of Raunkiaer (1934):


2. Herb, but base rather woody - the stems in the unfavourable season dying back to almost ground levels with the perennating buds on these remnant shoots. This character state is only found in perennial5. These are chamaephytes in Raunkiaer's classification of life forms.

3: Semi-shrubby - falling half way between the last category and the nextg the perennating buds are rather higher above the ground and the new shoots are not woody. During the unfavourable season these shoots die back to near to where they arose. Most of this category are chamaephytes.
4. Shrubby - the perennating buds are mell above ground and the new shoots tend to become woody by the end of the year: These new shoots tend not to die in the unfavourable seasong with the perennating buds somethere along the length of these shoots. In many species the length of these new shoots surviving to the next year is not very great, so that a compact, bushy habit is attained. Most Satureja 5.1. species here are chamaephytes, but many are also nanophanerophytes (Raunkiaer, 1934).

Note that a species may have a range of shrubbiness or herbaceousness, and may not fall into only one habit category.

The height in cm is taken from the point where the stem joins the rootstock to the apex of the inflorescence.

Character 4. Stolonifery. 1. Stoloniferous/ 2. Not stoloniferous. Stolons are horizontally growing stems which root at their nodes. Rather few species in Sarureja 5.1. are stoloniferous.

Character 5. Stem hairs. 1. $\pm$ Patent/ 2. Recurved or adpressed/ 3. Absent or very minute/ 4. Crisped or of no preferred direction. 1. $\pm$ Patent - hairs $\pm$ perpendicular to the stem surface. The only difficulty which could arise is when the patent hairs are very long, and become flattened during picking and mounting, if one is examining a herbarium specimen. See fig. App. 1a.
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2. Recurved or adpressed - There is a difference between recurved and adpressed, but they are brought together here for simplicity and because intermediate forms sometimes exist. Recurved hairs alosays arch away from the sten before curving backward toward the stem. Adpressed hairs bend abruptly near their base and are pressed against the sten, without much gap between hair and stem. See fig. App. 1b.


3. Absent or very minute - Sometimes the hairs are so minute that the direction of curvature, if any, is not apparent: this category covers then. It was thought best to included these minute hairs with "hairs absent" since, with a hand lens only, the minute hairs may be missed. See fig. App. 1c.
4. Crisped or no preferred direction - the hairs are bent but


(e)

(f)

Figure App. 1. Hairs [scale bar $=1 \operatorname{mm}$ for (a) - (d)]. (a) 1ong. patent: (b) recurved; (c) microhairsg (d) crisped with no preferred directiong (e) dendroid hair of Cyclotrichium niveumg $\times 30$; (f) simple glandular hair.
not in any particular direction, giving a rather shaggy appearance. This condition is less common in Satureja s.l. than one might expect. See fig. App. 1d.

## Leaf Characters.

Hickey (1979) has devised a detailed scheme for the unambiguous classification of leaf architecture. It was unfortunate, however, that I only saw this work long after I chose the characters to describe the leaves of Satureja 5.l. Nevertheless, the descriptive terms used by me do not contradict Hickey.

Character 6. Leaf shape. 1: Orbicular/ 2. Dvate/ 3. Dbovate/ 4a Spathulate/ 5. Linear/ 6. Oblong/ 7. Lancealate/ 8. Oblanceolate/ 9. Elliptic.

The shapes understood by these terms are shown diagrammatically in fig. App. 2a-2i. The following notes should be read along with these figures.

1. Orbicular - the length of the leaf similar to its breadth, the apex $\pm$ rounded.
2. Dvate - the leaf longer than broad, with the broadest part below the middle of the leaf, $i_{c}$. measured from the junction of the lamina and petiole to the leaf apex along the midrib. The apex is usually obtuse. Lengthowidth ratio < 2:1.
3. Obovate - similar to ovate but the broadest part above the middle of the leafo
4. Spathulate - similar to obovate but below the broadest part abruptly narrowed, then gradually attenuate to the base and


(e)

(h)

(9)

(i)

Figure App. 2. Leaf shape ${ }^{\text {(a) Orbicular; (b) Ovate; (c) Obovate; }}$ (d) Spathulate; (e) Linear; (f) Oblong; (g) Lanceolate; (h) Oblanceolate; (i) Elliptic.

```
merging with the petiole.
```

> 5. Linear - the leaf much longer than broad with $\pm$ parallel sides. Linear includes the term "lorate" of Hickey (1979). Lengthawidth ratio $>6: 1$.
6. Oblong - similar to linear but broader. Lengthawidth ratio< 3:1.
7. Lanceolate - rather similar to ovate but narrowerg shaped like the head of a spearg the apex usually acute. Lengthisidth ratio >2:1.
B. Oblanceolate - similar to obovate, but much narrowerg the lamine, below its broadest part, gradually attenuate to the base. Lengthawidth ratio $>2: 1$
9. Elliptic - leaf longer than broad, the broadest part half way between the apex and junction of lamina and petiole.

Character 7. Leaf margin. 1. Entire/ 2. Serrulate/ 3. Serrate/ 4 . Dentate/ 5. Crenate/ 6. Crenulateo

See figures App. 3a-3f.

1. Entire - margin without noticeable projections or indentations.
[^4]
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very small teeth are present, and serrate with large teeth. Such lack of precision is of little taxonomic significance in Satureja 5.1. The two terms have been adopted since some indication of teeth size does help on occasion with identification. Precise definition of the two terms would be rather artificial in any case.


4. Dentate - this term has been used in a way slightly different from Hickey (1979). It has been used to describe very large teath which slightly point toward the leaf apexg rather than the teeth perpendicular to the trend of the margin. An example of the usage of the term adopted here is found in some leaf forms of Calamintha ussuriensis Regel \& Maack.
5. Crenate/ 6. Crenulate - similar to serrate/serrulate but the apices of the teeth rounded. Similar comments as to relative sizes apply to crenate/crenulate as to serrate/serrulate.

Character 8. Leaf ptyxis. 1. Flat/ 2. Conduplicate/ 3. Slightly revolute/ 4. Revolute to tightly revolute.

The folding of the leaf lamina here refers to leaves that have opened, rather than still in bud. See figures App. 4a-4d.

1. Flat - the margins of the lamina and mid-vein are all in the same plane, without folding or rolling of the lamina.
2. Conduplicate - the lamina folded upward along the mid-rib, the halves flat, fithout any rolling. Conduplicate leaves are frequently easier to see in small leaves rather than in fully opened leaves, especially if the mature leaves are large. However, there is little likelihood of confusing conduplicate

(a)

(b)

4
$<$
$<$
(d)


(f)

Figure App. 3a Leaf margin。 (a) Entireg (b) Serrulate; (c) Serrate; (d) Dentateg (e) Crenulateg (f) Crenate。


Figure App. 4. Leaf ptyxis: (a) Flatg (b) Conduplicateg (c) Slightly revolute; (d) Clearly revolute.


Figure App. 5: Venation. (a) Brochidodromous: (b) Eucamptodromous: (c) Craspedromous.
with flat leaves in Satureja 5.5. and Gardoquia, the two genera to which conduplicate leaves are confined.


#### Abstract

3. Slightly revolute - refers to leaves where the leaf margin only is slightly or clearly rolled under. In some cases the leaves of dried herbarium specimens have slightly inrolled margins, but this is due to uneven drying of the leaf; these have been excluded from this category.


4. Revolute to tightly revolute - leaves quite clearly rolled under at the margins, and in some cases replicate, i.e. the under-surface of the leaf is completely obscured.

Character 9. Leaf apex. 1. Acute/ 2. Obtuse/ 3. Rounded. 1. Acute - the apex forming an angle < $90^{\circ}$.
2. Obtuse - the apex forming an angle > $90^{\circ}$.
3. Rounded - the apex a continuous arc.

Character 10. Marginal vein. 1. Present/ 2. Absent.

1. Marginal vein present - the margins of the lamina with a distinct thickeningg sometimes of a lighter colour than the lamina. This vein begins at the base of the leaf and continues all the way round to the apex. The thickening is not the distal part of a lateral vein. Leaves with marginal veins are almays entire, whereas leaves which have thickened distal parts of lateral veins which are united to appear like a marginal vein are slightly or clearly lobed. Craspedramous.

Hickey (1979) gives a very detailed account of leaf venation. Leaf venation can be very complex. In Satureja 5.1. there are basically only two arrangements of leaf veins, Camptodromous and Craspedromous. The three above-mentioned categories are of the pinnate type, $i . e$. the mid-vein is the primary vein from which the secondary and higher order veins originate. Note that in some species the secondary veins are invisible. In these cases clearing of the leaf is necessary to determine the type of venation.
A. Craspedromous type - the secondary veins not terminating at the margin.

1. Brochidodromous/ 2. Eucamptodromous - The brochidodromous condition, where the secondaries are joined together to form a series of arches (see fig. App. 5a), though expected at the beginning of the study, has, in fact, never been found in Satureja 5.1. By far the greatest number of species are eucamptodromous, where the secondaries are upturned and gradually diminishing toward the margin, but are not joined together into loops (see fig. App. 5b).
B. Craspedromous - 3. Simple craspedromous - secondary veins terminating at the margin (see fig. App. Sc). This condition is confined to the Calaminthoid genera.

Character 12. Vein visibility. 1. Primary vein visible, secondaries invisible/ 2. Primary and secondary veins all \& visible.

1. Mid-vein only visible - There is usually no difficulty in observing the mid-vein except in the fem cases where the leaf
is densely hairy．Invisible secondary veins are characteristic of certain genera and sections of Satureja 5．1．and is not simply due to observation of under－developed leaves． Occasionaly a few leaves will have very faint secondary veins in species where they are normally invisible．

2．Primary and all secondary veins visible－There should be no difficulty again in seeing secondary veins．In some species，in Acinos for example，the proximal part of the secondary vein is much less prominent than the distal part．These species are included here．

Character 13．Petiole lengeth（mm）．
Measurement of the length of the petiole may not always be accurate in the case where the leaf base is decurrent and merges with the petiole．However，such inaccuracy is usually of little significance taxonomically．Measurement is made from the base of the lamina to the point where the petiole attaches to the stem．

Characters 14 and 15．Hairs of upper and lower side of leaf Fespectively．1．Absent／2．Simple micro－hairs／3．Long simple／4。 Long dendroid／5．Stellate／6．Pustulate dendritic。

All hairs have been observed at $\times 20$ magnification．Drawings are given in figures App．1a－1e。

2．Simple micro－hairs－Micro－hairs are taken to be，rather arbitrarily，less than 0.1 mn in length．These are not papillae；which have not been observed on the leaf surface of any Satureja 5．1．species．
3. Long simple - unbranched hairs longer than 0.1 mm .
4. Long dendraid - This type of hair is very rare in Satureja 5.1., but is distinguished by its many fine branches. See fig. App. 1e.
5. Stellate - The fine hairs branch from the base. This condition is very rare in Satureja S.l.
6. Pustulate dendritic - This type of hair is distinguished from long dendroid hairs by its very robust central colunn with short, thick branches. This type is only found in species of Xenopoma sect. Kenopoma.

Character 16. Leaf marqin ciliation. 1. Not ciliate/ 2. Ciliatea
2. Ciliate - Cilia are long ( $>0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) hairs, distinct from the laminar hairs, if any, and confined to the edge of the margins.

Character 17. Type of hairs. 1. All $\pm$ glandular/ 2. Some eglandularg some glandular/ 3. All eglandulara

Although there are very shortly stalked glands, these appear similar to punctate glands and are not likely to be confused with glandular hairs which are always clearly stalked. All glandular hairs are simple. Glandular leaf hairs are rather uncommon. The gland is always apical and usually quite small. See figure App. 1f。

1. All hairs $\&$ glandular - nearly all the hairs glandular with possibly very fem hairs apparently non-glandular:
2. Mixture of glandular and non-glandular hairs - If any glandular hairs at all are observed in an overwhelmingly eglandular hairy leaf, then these would fall into this category. At the other extreme, if more than approximately $1-2 \%$ are eglandular and of slightly different morphology, then these are also covered by this category.
3. All hairs eglandular - the hairs without glands at the apex, tapering gradually to the tip. By far the greatest number of species fall into this category.

Character 18. Punctate glands. 1. Absent/ 2. Very shortly stalked/ 3. Sessile/ 4. Sunken.

See figures 2.58 and 2.59 in Chapter 2 (Leaf Anatomy). 2. Very shortly stalked - This state could be ascertained definitely only by making leaf sections since the gland head is so much larger than the stalk. The stalk is at least one cell in height above the level of the epidermis. Very few species have this type of gland.
3. Sessile - glands without a stalk, attached to an enlarged epidermal cell, the epidermis not or a little sunken so that the gland does not rest in a little hollow or pit.
4. Sunken - glands without a stalk and sunken into a pit lower than the general level of the epidermis.

Character 19. Palisade mesophyl1. 1. Isolateral/ 2. Dorsiventral. Palisade cells are often dorsiventrally elongated in section and are mostly without airspaces between neighbouring cells. Spongy
mesophyll cells are often of apparently random shape in section with large airspaces between adjacent cells.

1. Palisade mesophyll isolateral - palisade parenchyma adjacent to both upper and lower epidermal layers. Spongy mesophyll is confined to between the two layers of palisade mesophyllg or is absent. The palisade mesophyll may be one or more cells thick.
2. Palisade mesophyll dorsiventral - palisade parenchyma adjacent to only the upper epidermal cells, with spongy mesophyll below the palisade.

Character 20. Leaf length (mm).
The length is taken from the junction of the lamina and petiole to the apex of the lamina along the leaf axis. (See also the coments for Character $13_{9}$ Petiole length). Mid stem leaves were measured in 10-20 plants, or as many as possible if fewer specimens were available.

Character 21. Maximum leaf width (mm).
The width was measured perpendicular to the axis at the widest point of the leaf lamina. The same leaves measured for length were measured for width.

Inflorescence Characters.

Character 22. Number of flowers per floral leafo
The only problem that may arise here is that often in a multi-flowered verticillaster not all of the calyces will mature enough to flower before the season ends. When examining
a herbarium specimen one cannot tell if a flower bud would have opened or not. In the multi-flowered verticillasters, however, the inaccuracy introduced by this is of little consequence. When the flowers in a verticillaster are few, the number are more or less constant within a species. e.g. most Gardoquia species have 1-3 flowers per floral leaf.

Character 23. Verticillaster density. 1. Very dense/ 2. Rather crourded/ 3. Lax.

1. Very dense - verticillasters globose to hemispherical, the pedicels and peduncles very short, usually not visible from aboveg the calyces tightly pressed against one another.
2. Rather croaded - less compact than above, with distinct spaces between the calyces; pedicels and peduncles longer.
3. Lax - pedicels and peduncles long, with clear spaces between the flawers. In the case of solitary flowers these are always counted as lax unless the verticillasters themselves are aggregated and thus are very crowded or dense.

Character 24. Distance separating verticillasters.
The distance between verticillasters is taken as multiples of the length of the immediate subtending floral leaf lamina. This provides for a better comparison between different species, and compensates for differences in floral leaf size due to reasons other than genetic ones (e.g. the effects of shading). The distances are arbitrarily categorised as follows.

1. $>2$ floral leaf lengths apart.
2. 1-2 floral leaf lengths apart.
3. c. 1 floral leaf length apart.
4. < 1 floral leaf length apart.

The measurements were made in the lowermost part of the inflorescence, $i=e$. that part which had fully expanded.

Character 25. Length of the peduncle (mm).
The cymes of the verticillasters in Satureja 5.1. are often compound. Therefore, the peduncle length was taken to be the lowermost stalk supporting the flowers, $i$.e. from the point at Which the inflorescence is attached to the leaf axil to the lowermost bracteole. See figure App. 6 d.

Character 26. Length of the pedicel (mm).
The pedicel is the ultimate stalk supporting the calyx. Measurement was made from the junction with the calyx down to the first bracteale.

Bracteole Characters.

Character 27. Bracteole shape. 1. Absent/ 2. Narrobs linear, filiform/ 3. Narrowly elliptic, lanceolate or oblancealate/ 4a Similar to the leaves.

Since the cymes are often compound there may be more than one pair of bracteoles per cyme. One could distinguish these as the primary, secondary, tertiary, etc. bracteoles: however, one gains little by so differentiating them. The bracteole shape varies little between each order. Rather than differentiating a large number of bracteole shapes, as for leaf shapes, it is more useful
to distinguish just four categories.

1. Absent - this is a very rare condition. One should check, when the bracteoles appear to be absent, if the pedicel has a scar on either side, which would indicate that the bracteoles have merely fallen offo
2. Leaf-like - here the bracteoles are almost indistinguishable from the true leaves. This situation is found in a few species, widely scattered and unrelated to one another.

Character 28. Bracteole length (mm).
The length is taken of all bracteoles which are on peduncles supporting fully opened flowers.

Calyx Characters.

Character 29. Calyx tube ampliation: 1. Parallel sided cylindrical/ 2. Slightly ampliate/ 3. Ampliate/ 4. Broadly ampliate/ 5a Dbconical.

These are better described by illustrations: See figures App. 7a-7e. Use these figures to judge which comes closest to the specimen at hand.

Character 30. Tube curvature: 1. Straight/2. Curved/ 3. Sigmoid.
All observations of curvarure should be made looking from the side of the calyx, $i=e$. when the three teeth of the upper lobe are uppermost:

1. Straight - no appreciable curvature of the tube. See figure App. 68.
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2. Curved - the curvature is in one continuous arc from the base of the calyx to the teeth. The curve does not change direction. The only difficultly which may arise is when a broadly ampliate calyx tube is curved as in Euhesperida thymbrifolia. See figure App. 6c.


3. Sigmoid - the tube is curved into an S-shape. The basal part of the tube curves downard first of all, then curves back upward, curving back downward when near the teeth. The teeth themselves may curve back up again. See figures App. Ga-6b.

Character 31. Calyx tube length (mm).
The tube is measured from the junction between the calyx and pedicel to the sinus between the upper and lower lips. When the calyx is curved a slight compensation should be made when measuring across the arc. The curvature in Satureja 5.1. is slight enough to make this a permissable estimate of tube length. In sigmoid calyces the measurement is taken as if it were a straight calyx. This is acceptable if one always uses this method. Non-comparability between, say, a straight calyx and a sigmoid one, as far as length is concerned, is of little taxonomic consequence since the organisation of the calyx is so different. See figure App. 6h.

Character 32. Calyx gibbosity. 1. Gibbous/ 2. Not gibbous. 1. Gibbous - the calyx base is swollen and saccate, bulging domward (in Satureja 5.1.). The character state mas only ascertained in flowering calyces and not in older fruiting calyces, which may bulge out due to the growth of ripening nutlets. See figure App. 6a.

## Figure App. 6: Calyx Characters.



(c)

(f)

(a) Acinos suaveolens, sigmoid, strongly gibbous at base: (b) $A_{0}$ troodii, sigmoid, hardly gibbous at base; (c) Clinopodium vulgare, curved, not gibbous; (d) Calyx and supporting structuresg (e) Killickia reptans, obconical, actinomorphic; (f) Micromeria elliptica, straight, tubularg (g) Diodeilis dentata, broadly straight tubular; upper lip erect; (h) Calyx tube opened out: left of sinus = upper lipg right of sinus = lower lip; AC = lower lip length; $A B=$ lower teeth length; $D F=$ upper lip length; $D E=$ upper teeth length; the calyx throat is below FC.

| 34. Length of lower calyx lip (mm). |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Characters 35 and 36. |  |
|  | 36. Length of lower calyx lip teeth (mm). |
| The terms "lip", "lobe" and "teeth" have been used rather |  |
| imprecisely in the past, leading to the misapplication of the |  |
| terms "actinomorphic" and "zygomorphic". The lip refers to that |  |
| portion of the calyx distal to the tubes often divided into an |  |
| upper and lower, as is always the case in Satureja s.1.g |  |
| separated by a distinct sinus (see figure App. 6h). The use of |  |
| the term "lobe" is incorrect when applied to the whole lip. |  |
| "Lobe" may be used when it refers to a rounded sub-division of |  |
| the lipg in distinction to pointed subdivisions when the term |  |
| "teath" are preferable. The teeth of the upper and lower lips |  |
| are $\#$ of the same length in all species of Satureja s.1. If the |  |
| upper and lower lips and teeth are all of the same length, then |  |
| the calyces are said to be actinomorphic. In such calyces there |  |
| are 5 planes of symmetry. If the upper and lower lips and/or |  |
| teeth are of different lengths then the calyx is said to be |  |
| zygomorphica A | orphic calyx has only one plane |
| symmetry. Using su | precise definition of actinomorphy, very |
| few species of Sa | S.1. have truly actinomorphic |

Characters 37 and 38.
37. Upoer calyx teeth shape.
38. Lower calyx teeth shape.

1. Narrowly triangular to setaceous/ 2. Lanceolate-subulate/ 3. Deltoid or broadly triangular/ 4. Lanceolateg but not subulate.
2. Narrowly triangular to setaceous - teeth much longer than broad, the sides straight or concave, or the teeth rigid filiform, the very base broad but quickly narrowed. See figure

App. Ba.
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2. Lanceolare-subulate - the tooth base lanceolate, ending in a long, sharp point. See figure App. 8b.


3. Deltoid or broadly triangular - teeth about as long as broad, the sides concave or straight, without a sharp pointed apex. See figure App. 8c.
4. Lanceolate, not subulate - teeth lanceolate but the apex obtuse or sub-obtuse, without a sharp seta at the apex. See figure App. 8d.

Character 39. Hairs on outside of calyx tube. 1. Absent/ 2. Only simple micro-hairs/ 3. At least some hairs long, simple/ 4. Long dendritic/ 5. Stellate.

See the descriptions of the hair types for characters 14 and 15.

Character 40. Calyx throat hairs. 1: Absent/ 2. With a dense fringe of hairs/ 3. With a sparse fringe of hairs.

These hairs are situated on the inside of the calyx tube but confined to just below the mouth of the tube.
2. fith a dense fringe of hairs - with at least two rows of hairs which are tightly packed together giving the impression of a continuous band at the calyx throat.
3. fith a sparse fringe of hairs - the hairs few or with distinct spaces between the hairs, not giving the impression of a tight continuous band at the calyx tube mouth.


Fig. App. 7. Calyx tube ampliation. (a) Parallel-sided cylindrical; (b) Slightly ampliate; (c) Ampliate; (d) Broadly ampliate; (e) Obconical.
$\wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge$

Figure App. 8. Calyx teeth shape. (a) Narrowly triangular to setaceous; (b) Lanceolate-subulate; (c) Deltoid and broadly triangular (d) Lanceolate; but not subulate.


Figure App. 9. Calyx tube veins.

Character 41. Lower teeth ciliation. 1. Teeth ciliate/ 2. Teeth not ciliate.

1. Teeth ciliate - the cilia are usually long hairs quite distinct from the other hairs of the calyx, on the margins of the lower teeths $\pm$ equally spaced out in a single rowo
2. Teeth not ciliate - hairs on the teeth, if any, not different from the other calyx hairs.

Character 42. Glands on calyx. 1. Absent/2. Sunken/ 3. Sessile or sub-sessile.

These glands are similar in structure to the punctate leaf glands.
2. Sunken - see under Character 18-4. Sunken.
3. Sessile or sub-sessile - see under Character 18 - Very shortly stalked - and 3. Sessile, which are here taken together under one category.

Characters 43 and 44.
For each of the five calyx teeth the basic number of veins is three so that the calys may be up to 15 -veined in Satureja 5.1. However, in the great majority of species there are fewer than 15 veins on the tube due to veins uniting at different heights up the tube。

Since the veins are always symmetrical about the plane through the centre of the uppermost tooth and the sinus between the two lowermost teeth, there are only eight distinct veins. The veins of the upper lip's central tooth are designated U1 and U2, the three veins of the two side teeth of the upper lipg

S1, S 2 and 53 , and the veins of the lower lip teeth $\mathrm{L1}, \mathrm{~L}$, and L3 (see figure App. 9).

Character 43. Veins U2 and 51.
Character 44. Veins 53 and L1.
> 1. United to the very top/ 2. United to near the top or middle/ $\mathbf{3}^{\text {. }}$ United near the bottom or just at the top or not at all.
> 1. United to the very top - diverging only at the sinus between the teeth.
2. United to near the top or middle - diverging someshere between half way up the tube and below the sinus of the two teeth.
3. United near the botrom or just at the top or not at all the veins diverging below the middle of the tube, or not united at all: Rarely the veins are ununited for the whole length of the tube but bridge across at the sinus to meet but then diverge into their appropriate teeth.

Character 45. Hairs inside the tube. 1. Absent/ 2. Present.
This character refers to hairsg if any, that are on the inside of the calyx tube below the throat, $i=e$ excluding any hairs of the annulus.
2. Hairs present - the hairs are very small and high magnification ( $>\times 20$ ) is necessary to see them.

## Corolla Characters.

The states of all the following corolla characters should be determined from fully fertile, fully opened flowers.

## Character 46. Length of the corolla tube (mm).

The length should be measured from the base of the tube to the sinus between the upper and lower lips taking account of any corolla curvature. Particular attention should be paid to the flower's fertility since infertile flowers are generally much smaller than fertile flowers.

Character 47. Length of upper corolla lip (mm).
The length is measured perpendicularly from a line drawn across the sinuses to the apex of one of the lobes if divided, or to the apex of the lip if entire. See also the note for Character 46.

Character 48. Length of lower corolla lip (mm):
The length is measured perpendicularly from a line across the sinuses to the apex of the central lobe or to the apex of one of the lobules of the central lobe if bifid. See also the note for Character 46.

Character 49. Upper lip lobes. 1. Emarginate/ 2. Retuse/ 3. Entire.

1. Emarginate - lip with a distinct notch in the middle. See figure App. 10a.
2. Retuse - lip with a shallow depression in the middle. See figure App: 10b.
3. Entire - lip apex a complete rounded arc. See figure App. $10 \mathrm{c}=$

Character 50. Lower central lobe. 1. Entire/ 2a $\pm$ Bifid with two 1obules.

1. Entire - the lower central lobe not itself lobed.
2. $\pm$ Bifid with two lobules - the middle lobe clearly emarginate (rare) or retuse (frequent).

Character 51. Corolla annulus. 1. Present/ 2. Absent.

1. Present - the annulus is a distinct ring of hairs on the inside of the corolla tube approximately half way between the base and the throat.
2. Absent - the hairs not in a distinct ringg though the inside of the corolla tube may be densely hairy.

Character 52. Corolla resupination. 1. Resupinate/ 2. Not resupinate.

1a Resupinate - the corolla tube is twisted about $180^{\circ}$ so that the real upper lip appears to be the lower lip. This is most readily determined by removing the corolla from the calyxg soaking in water, attaching it to a slide and holding it up to the light. The nine main veins of the tube will be seen to cross over one another in a spiral fashion (see figure $b$, Mandenova \& Schengelias 1953).
2. Not resupinate - the corolla tube not twisted.


#### Abstract

3. Hairy - many hairs present with clear spaces between the hairs.


4. Densely hairy - hairs very dense, entirely hiding the inside lower half of the corolla tube surface.

Observations of these hairs should be made in corolla dissections that are as dry as possible. When there are only a fem hairs, they stick to the side of the corolla if wet rendering them very difficult to see.

Character 54. Corolla colour. 1. Red, or red with yellow, or various shades of red/ 2. Various shades of purple or blue/ 3 . White, or mainly white/ 4a Yellowo

Ideally the corolla colour should be determined from living specimens. The colours tend to change on drying. The red coloured flowers tend to become much darker in colour. Those corollas with yellow mixed with red lose the yellow colour entirely. Purple flowers often fade so that a very light purple may appear white in dried corollas. For this reasong although white flowers do not lose their colourg one cannot be certain if an apparently white flower was white or light purple. In poorly dried specinens, white $f 1$ owers may become brown.

## Stamen Characters.

All stamen characters have been determined for fully fertile
stamens only.

Character 55. Stamen insertion. 1. Posterior inserted below anterior stamens/ 2. Anterior inserted below posterior stamens/ 3. All stamens inserted at the same level.

The point of insertion of the stamen is the point that the base of the filament joins with the corolla tube. In a few cases the lower part of the filament is adnate with the corolla tube for a short distance. The point of insertion is still taken to be the very base of the filament, and not the point where the filament separates from the corolla.

Characters 56 and 57. 56. Posterior stamen filament length (mm). 57. Anterior stamen filament length (mm).

The measurement is made from the point of insertion to the base of the anther connective.

Characters 58 and 59. Stamen exsertion.
The exsertion of the stamens is measured relative to the corolla tube and apex of the upper lip.

Character 58. Posterior stamens.
Character 59. Anterior stamens.

1. Included in the tube - the anthers of both stamens not projecting beyond the level of the sinus between the upper and lower corolla lips.
2. Exserted, but not beyond upper lip - the anthers of both stamens projecting out of the tube beyond the level of the
sinus between upper and lower lips, but not beyond the apex of the upper corolla lip.
3. Exserted beyond the upper lip - the anthers of both stamens projecting beyond the apex of the upper corolla lip.

Character 60. Anther divergence. 1: $\pm$ Parallel/ 2. Divergent/ 3. Widely divergent.

The angle between the inner margins of each anther lobe was measured only in anthers which were shedding pollen.

1. Parallel - the angle between the anthers $<15^{\circ}$ (i.e. one third of a $45^{\circ}$ angle).
2. Divergent - the angle between the anthers $>15^{\circ}$ but $<90^{\circ}$.
3. Widely divergent - the angle between the anthers $>90^{\circ}$.

Character 61. Anther fertility. 1a All fertile/ 2. Posterior sterile, anterior fertile/ 3 . Anterior sterile, posterior fertile/ 4. All sterile.

Anther fertility is not so easy to determine as one might at first think. Even if an anther is shedding polleng one still does not know if the pollen is fertile. However, although this may be so, "anthers fertile" here means any anther which is shedding pollen and "anthers sterile" means anthers not shedding, and will never shed, pollen. Sterile anthers are usually much reduced in size and supported on much reduced filaments, making them easy to spot. In the case where on one stamen one anther is fertile and the other sterile, the stamen
is counted as fertile.

Character 62. Stamen curvature. 1. Convergent/ 2. Straight or parallel/3. Divergent.

1. Convergent - either the upper or lower stamen pair filaments curving toward one another.
> 2. Straight or parallel - the filaments of either the upper or lower stamens straight, not curving away or toward one another. The stanens may point toward or away from each other but the Filaments are straight.
2. Divergent - the filaments of either the upper or lower stamens curving away from one another.

## Style Characters:

Character 63. Style length (mm).
The length is measured fron the point at which it is connected to the ovary to the tips of the stigma lobes, if equal, or to the longer lobe if unequal.

Character 64. Stigma lobes relative 1ength. 1. $\pm$ Equal/ 2. Unequal/ 3. Very unequal.

Only the styles of fully fertile flowers should be observed since male sterile flowers in gynodioecious species may differ in the stigna relative lengths.

1. \& Equal - the stigma lobes equal or very slightly unequal.
2. Unequal - one stigna (always the lower) up to two times the
length of the other.
3. Very unequal - lower stigma lobe at least twice as long as the upper.

Character 65. Stigma lobe shape. 1. Very narrow subulate/ 2. One very broad lanceolate, the other narrow subulate/ 3. Both broad lanceolate/ 4. Both very short and stubby.

See figures App. 11a-11d.

Nutlet Characters.

Character 66. Nutlet shape in outline. 1. Oblong/ 2. Ovate or obovate/ 3. Sub-orbicular to orbicular.

1. Oblong - sides of the nutlets parallel, and the length longer than the width (see Pl. 12.10).
2. Ovate or obovate - the nutlet broader at the base than toward the apex, or vice versa, and longer than broad (see Pl. 17.3).
3. Sub-orbicular to orbicular - the nutlets a sphere or a slightly flattened sphere (see Pl. 16.1).

Character 67. Nutlet hairs and glands. 1. Non-glandular hairy/ 2. Glabrous/ 3. Glandular hairy/ 4. With sessile glands.

Even with a good binocular microscope (x20) the nutlet hairs are difficult to see. A light source should be placed at such an angle so that light is reflected from the surface on which the nutlets are placed. In this way a back lighting
effect is produced. This technique makes the hairs more easy to see. The hairs may be confined to the apex or cover the entire surface except the hilum.

Character 68. Nutlet surface: 1。 Reticulate/ 2a Tuberculate/ 3. Smooth.

1. Reticulate - surface with a netted appearance at $\times 20$ magnification. The majority of the species which appear to have a smooth surface dog in fact, when studied with the SEMg have a reticulate surface. In rare cases this reticulation may be visible at low magnification
2. Tuberculate - the surface entirely covered with small, rounded protuberances. This is a rare condition in Satureja 5.1.
3. Smooth - without any apparent sculpturing at $\times 20$ magnification, though, as can be plainly seen from the SEM photographs, most species haveg in fact, a reticulate sculpturing of the surface.

Character 69. Nutlet apex. 1. Blunt or obtuse/ 2. Rounded/ 3. Apiculate to sub-apiculate.

```
1. Blunt or obtuse - see Plate 17.3.
```

2. Rounded - see Plate 18. 14.
3. Apiculate to sub-apiculate - see Plates 7.8 and 12.10.

## Chromosomes

Character 70. Chromosome number (2n).
All the chronosome numbers have been extracted from the literature. The counts taken from pollen have been doubled.


Figure Appa 10. Upper corolla lip. (a) Emarginateg (b) Retuse; (c) Entire.


Figure App. 11. Stigma lobe shape. (a) Very narrow subulate; (b) One very broad lanceolate, the other narrow subulate; (c) Both broad lanceolateg (d) Both very short and stubby.

|  |  | montana cur | cuneifolia | obovata c | cilicica kie | siedenann- p | parnassica | athoa | pilosa | icarica | amani |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | iana |  |  |  |  |  |
| Habit | 1. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Steas | 3. | 7-40(-70) | 10-50 | 15-45 | 15-30 | 6-15 | 4-11--15) |  | 7-23 | 5-10 | 8-15 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 5. | 2 | 2-4 |  | 2 | 1 | 1-4 |  | 2 | 2 | 2-4 |
| Leaves | 6. | $8(-3)$ | 8 | 3-4 | 6-8 | 3-8 | 3-4 |  | 3-8 | 8 | 8 |
|  | 7. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 8. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 9. | 1-2 | 1(-2) | 2-3 | 2(-1) | 1-2 | 2-3 |  | 1-2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 10. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 11. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 12. | 11-2) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2-1 | 1 |  | 1-2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 13. | $0-0.5$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0-1.5 | 0 |  |
|  | 14. | 1(-2) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 15. | 1(-2) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 16. | 2 | 2-1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 17. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 18. | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | 4 |  | 4 |
|  | 19. | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| ' | 20. | 8.0-41.0 | 5.0-19.0 | 2.0-10.0 | 0 10.0-23.0 | . 6.0-14.0 | 0 2.5-7.5 |  | 8.0-21.0 | 6.0-18.0 | 10.0-15.0 |
|  | 21. | 1.0-9.7 | 1.2-4.5 | 0.7-4.0 | 2.0-6.0 | 2.0-5.0 | 1.0-3.2 |  | 2.3-8.0 | 2.0-4.0 | 2.0-3.5 |
| Inflore5cence | 22. | 1-7 | 1-3 | 1-4 | 1-3 | 1-3 | 1 |  | 1-3 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 23. | 1-3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 1-2 | 2 |
|  | 24. | 4 | 2-4 | 1-2 | 2-4 | 4 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 25. | 0.5-9.0 | 0.4-0.8 | 0.2-1.5 | 0.5-4.0 | 0-0.2 | $0-0.6$ |  | 0.2-1.5 | $0-0.1$ | 1.0-1.1 |
|  | 26. | 0.5-1.5 | 0.2-0.5 | 0.6-1.5 | 0.5-2.0 | 0-0.2 | 0.7-0.6 |  | 0.2-1.7 | $0-0.1$ | 0.5-0.9 |
|  | 27. | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|  | 28. | 2.6-4.5 | 0.8-2.5 | 0.7-1.7 | 0.5-2.5 | 2.5-4.5 | 2.0-2.7 |  | 1.5-3.0 | 2.3-3.4 | 1.6-3.4 |
| Calyx | 29. | 3-4 | 3 |  | 3 | 2-3 | 3-4 |  | 3 |  | 3 |
|  | 30. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 31. | 1.0-5.0 | 0.8-3.0 | 1.5-2.4 | 1.4-1.8 | 1.4-2.0 | 1.7-2.0 |  | 2.5-2.8 | 1.5-2.4 | 1.8-2.0 |
|  | 32. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 33. | 1.0-4.0 | 0.8-3.0 | 0.7-1.7 | 1.0-1.5 | 1.5-2.0 | 1.7-2.0 |  | 1,8-2.0 | 1.3-1.4 | 1.8-2.0 |
|  | 34. | 1.0-4.5 | 0.8-3.0 | 0.7-1.7 | 1.0-1.5 | 1.5-2.0 | $1.7-2.0$ |  | 1.8-2.3 | 1.3-1.4 | 1.8-2.0 |
|  | 35. | 0.9-3.8 | $0.6-2.5$ | 0.3-1.3 | 0.7-1.2 | 1.0-1.8 | 1.2-1.5 |  | 1.3-1.5 | 0.9-1.0 | 1.3-1.5 |
|  | 36. | 1.0-4.5 | 0.8-3.0 | $0.7-1.7$ | 1.0-1.5 | 1.5-2.0 | 1.5-1.8 |  | 1.7-2.1 | 1.3-1.4 | 1.8-2.0 |
|  | 37. | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 1. | $2{ }^{1.3}$ | 1.8 |
|  | 38. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 39. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 40. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 41. | 1 | 1 | $+1$ | $+1$ | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 42. | 3 | 3 | 2-3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  | 2-3 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 43. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 44. | 2 | 2-3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Corolla | 46. | 2.0-11.0 | 3.0-7.5 | 3.5-4.5 | 4.6-6.0 | 4.0-7.0 | 4.0-4.8 |  | 5.0-6.8 | 3.6-5.0 | 4.9-5.1 |
|  | 47. | 2.0-3.0 | 2.0-2.6 | 1.5-2.2 | 1.5-2.8 | 2.0-2.5 | 1.3-1.5 |  | 2.4-2.6 | 1.6-2.2 | 2.5-2.8 |
|  | 48. | 2.5-4.0 | 2.3-2.6 | 1.5-2.0 | $1.5-2.5$ | $2.0-2.2$ | 1.8-2.0 |  | 2.5-3.0 | 1.6-2.2 | 2.5-2.8 |
|  | 49. | 2-3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 50. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. | (1-2) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 54. | 3 | 3 | (2-13 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Stabens | 55. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 56. | 1.5-3.4 | 2.4-2.5 | c. 1.9 | c. 3.0 | c. 2.3 | c. 2.2 |  | c.2.7 | c.3.0 | c.2.4 |
|  | 57. | 2.6-5.4 | 3.5-4.0 | c. 3.0 | c.4.0 | c. 4.0 | c. 2.7 |  | c.5.0 | c.4.3 | c.3.8 |
|  | 58. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 59. | - 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 60. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 61. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 62. |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Style | 63. | 4.0-14.0 | 5.5-10.0 | 5.0-6.0 | 6.0-7.5 | $6.5-9.5$ | $8.0-9.4$ |  | 7.5-9.0 | $5.1-6.5$ | 5.5-6.0 |
|  | 64. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | (1-2) | 1 | 1 |
|  | 65. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | , |
| Hutlets | 66. | 1-2 | 2 | 1-2 | 2 | 2-1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
|  | 67. | $3+4$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |
|  | 68. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |
|  | 69. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |
| 2n | 70. | 30, 12 |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


aurfantha bzybica aintabensis azorbaijanica spicigora coerulea thyebra salzannii hortensis Gontscharovia

| Habit | 1. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Steas | 3. | 15-40 | 15-25 | 10-15 | 20-35 | 10-45 | 10-20 | 20-100 | 5-30 | 5-35 | 20-30 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 5. | 1-2 | +2 | 1 | 3 | 2-4 | 2 | 2-4 | 2-4 |  | 1 |
| Leaves | 6. | 7-5 | (7-19 | 5 | 5-8 | 5-8 | 5-7 | 3 | 5-4 | 5-8 |  |
|  | 7. | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 8. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 9. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 10. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 11. | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 12. | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 13. | 0(-1.0) | 0 | 0(-0.5) | 0 | 0-0.5 | 0 | 0-1.0 | 0 | 0-1.0 | c. 0.5 |
|  | 14. | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | +! | 1 | 3 | I | 3 | 2 |
|  | 15. | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | +1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | $!$ |
|  | 16. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 17. | 3 | NA | 3 | M | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 18. | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 19. | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | , |
|  | 20. | 8.0-30.0 | 15.0-25.0 | 8.0-11.0 | 15.0-20.0 | 6-25(-37) | 7.0-21.5 | 5.0-20.0 | 5.0-8.5 | 8.0-30.0 | 8.0-12.0 |
|  | 21. | 1.0-7.5 | 4.6-9.5 | 0.8-1.8 | 2.0-3.0 | 1.0-2.5 | 0.7-2.0 | 1.0-9.0 | 0.7-1.3 | 1.0-4.5 | 2.0-4.0 |
| Inflor- | 22. | 1-3 | 3-6 | 1 | 3-4 | 1-3 | 1-2 | 3-17 | 1 | 1-10 | 1-6 |
| escence | 23. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 24. | 1-2 | 4 | 1-2 | 2-4 | 2-4 | 4 | 1-2 | 4 | 1-4 |  |
|  | 25. | 0.1-9.5 | 1.0-5.0 | 1.7-3.2 | 1.5-7.0 | 0.4-2.5 | 0.2-0.7 | 0.8-1.01-3 | 0-0.2 | 0.5-5.0 | 0.5-2.0 |
|  | 26. | 0.8-3.0 | 0.7-1.5 | 0.5-0.7 | 0.3-0.7 | 0.5-1.5 | 0.2-0.4 | 0.3-0.6 | 0-0.2 | 0.5-1.0 | 0.5-1.0 |
|  | 27. | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |
|  | 28. | 1.0-2.0 | 3.5-8.5 | 1.5-2.0 | 1.3-2.7 | 0.4-1.6 | 2.5-5.0 | 5.0-9.0 | 3.5-6.0 | 1.0-2.5 | 2.0-4.0 |
| Calyx | 29. |  |  |  | 3-4 | 3-4 |  | 3-4 | 3 |  | 3 |
|  | 30. | $1$ | 1 | $1$ |  |  | $1$ |  | $i$ |  | i |
|  | 31. | $1.7-3.5$ | $3.4-4.0$ | $1.5-1.7$ | 1.8-2.6 | $1.5-1.8$ | $2.0-3.3$ | 2.0-3.5 | $3.0-3.3$ | 1.0-1.6 | 2.5-3.0 |
|  | 32. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 33. | 0.7-2.4 | 4.5-5.0 | c. 1.4 | 1.0-1.4 | 1.3-1.5 | 1.1-2.2 | 2.0-3.8 | 1.6-1.8 | 1.8-2.9 | c. 1.0 |
|  | 34. | 1.0-2.7 | 5.0-5.5 | c. 1.5 | 1.0-1.4 | 1.3-1.7 | 1.3-2.3 | 2.0-3.8 | 1.6-1.8 | 1.8-2.9 | c. 1.0 |
|  | 35. | 0.5-1.6 | 4.0-4.2 | c.1.0 | 0.8-1.2 | 0.6-1.0 | 1.1-2.2 | 2.0-3.8 | 1.3-1.5 | 1.4-2.5 | c. 1.0 |
|  | 36. | 1.0-2.7 | 5.0-5.5 | c.1.5 | 1.0-1.4 | 1.3-1.7 | 1.3-2.3 | 2.0-3.8 | 1.6-1.9 | 1.8-2.9 | c. 1.0 |
|  | 37. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |
|  | 38. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 39. | 3 | 1(-3) | 3 | 2(-1) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 40. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1-3 |
|  | 41. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 42. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2-3 | 3 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 3 |
|  | 43. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 44. | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | $2-3$ |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Corolla | 46. | 8.5-13.5 | c. 10.0 | 3. 2-3.4 | 3.6-4.8 | 5.0-7.0 | 4.0-7.0 | 6.0-8.5 | 3.5-5.5 | 3.0-7.0 | c. 4.8 |
|  | 47. | 1.3-1.7 | 3.0-3.5 | c. 1.0 | 1.6-2.1 | 2.0-2.6 | 1.5-2.6 | 2.0-4.0 | 2.0-2.5 | 0.8-1.3 | c. 1.0 |
|  | 48. | 1.5-3.0 | 4.0-5.0 | c. 1.6 | c. 2.8 | 2.5-4.0 | 1.5-2.6 | 4.0-6.5 | 2.0-2.5 | 1.5-2.5 | 6.2.0 |
|  | 49. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2-3 | 2-1 | 1 | 1 | 2-3 | 1 |
|  | 50. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $+1$ |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| - | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 54. | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 3 | 21 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Stagens | 55. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |
|  | 56. | c.1.3 | c.3.5 | 0.5-0.6 | c. 2.0 | c. 4.0 | c.3.0 | 0.2 .4 | 1.2-1.3 | c.0.6 | c. 1.0 |
|  | 57. | c. 2.0 | 6.6.0 | c. 1.0 | c.3.0 | c.5.5 | c.4.5 | c.4.2 | 1.5-1.6 | c. 1.4 | c.1.5 |
|  | 58. | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 59. | 2 | 2-3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 60. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 61. | $1+4$ | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $!$ |
|  | 62. | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Style | 63. | 10.5-15.0 |  |  | 6.0-8.5 | 8.0 -10.0 | 6.0-9.0 |  | 4.5-5.0 | 4.5-8.5 | $5.0-6.0$ |
|  | 64. | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | i |
|  | 65. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Hutlets | 66. | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | 67. | 3 | $4+3$ |  | 3 | $3+4$ | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |  |
|  | 68. | 3 |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 69. | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 3 |
| 20 | 70. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |  | 45,46,48 |  |

filiforais aicrophylla acropol- hispida arginata croatica nervosa acrosiphon fontanesii meilleri

| itand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Habit | 1. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2. | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3-4 | 4 | 3 | 2-3 |
| Steas | 3. | 5-25 | 10-30 | 10-30 | 10-30 | 8-20 | 7-20 | 5-50 | 15-100 | 18-45 | 20-40 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 5. | (2) | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Leaves | 6. | 2 | 2 | 6-7 | 2-7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3-5 | 2-7 | 2 |
|  | 7. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | , | 1 |
|  | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3-4 | 1-3 | 3-4 |
|  | 9. | 2-3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2-3 | 1-3 | 1-2 | 1 | , | 2 |
|  | 10. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 11. | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | 12. | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 13. | 0.3-1.0 | 0.3-0.5 |  | 0.5-1.0 | 0.4-1.3 | 0.4-1.0 | 0.5-1.2 | 0.5-1.0 | 0.5-1.7 | 0.5-1.0 |
|  | 14. | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1-2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $(1-13$ | 3 |
|  | 15. | 1(-3) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1-3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 16. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | . | 1 |
|  | 17. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 18. | 3 |  |  | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 19. |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 20. | 2.0-4.5 | 3.0-6.0 | c. 5.0 | 2.5-4.0 | $3.0-12.0$ | 5.0-10.0 | 6,0-10.0 | 4.0-8.0 | 5.5-10.0 | 5.5-15.5 |
|  | 21. | 1.5-4.0 | 2.0-4.0 | 1.0-2.0 | 1.5-2.8 | 3.0-6.0 | 2.5-8.0 | 3.0-5.0 | 0.6-2.4 | 2.3-7.0 | 1.0-6.2 |
| Inflor- | 22. | 1-2 | 1-6 | 2-5 | 1-3 | 1-6 | 1-9 | 2-10 | 1 | 2-5 | 4-18 |
| escence | 23. | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1-2 | 3 | 2-3 |  |
|  | 24. | 1 | 1 |  | 2-4 | 2 | 2-4 | 2-4 | 3-4 | [-3 | 1 |
|  | 25. | 0 | 1.8-2.2 |  | 1.0-3.0 | 0.8-4.0 | 0.5-6.0 | 1.0-3.0 | 0.1-1.0 | 1.5-3.0 | 2.0-6.5 |
|  | 26. | 2.0-3.0 | 0.8-1.4 | 2.0-5.0 | 0.8-1.0 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-3.0. | 0.5-1.0 | 0.5-1.0 | 0.6-1.4 | 0.2-0.5 |
|  | 27. | 2 | 2 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 28. | 0.7-1.0 | 1.0-1.5 |  | 1.0-1.4 | 0.7-2.5 | 1.6-3.0 | 0.5-1.0 | 1.2-2.8 | 0.8-2.5 | 1.0-2.5 |
| Caly | 29. | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 30. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 31. | 2.0-2.4 | 2.0-2.4 | 1.8-2.0 | 2.0-2.4 | $3.5-4.5$ | 2.5-3.0 | 1.5-2.3 | 4.0-5.2 | 1.8-2.2 | 1.5-1.7 |
|  | 32. | +2 | $+2$ |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1. | 2 |
|  | 33. | 1.1-1.3 | 0.9-1.3 |  | 1.0-1.5 | 1.7-2.0 | 1.8-2.2 | 1.3-1.5 | 1.4-1.8 | 0.7-1.0 | 0.6-1.0 |
|  | 34. | 1.5-2.0 | 1.0-1.5 | 0.9-1.0 | 1.0-1.5 | 2.0-2.5 | 2.4-2.7 | 1.3-1.8 | 1.4-1.8 | 0.7-1.0 | 0.6-1.0 |
|  | 35. | 0.7-0.9 | 0.6-1.0 |  | 0.6-1.0 | 1.2-1.7 | 1.8-2.2 | 1.0-1.3 | 0.7-1.0 | 0.5-0.7 | 0.5-0.7 |
|  | 36. | 1.5-2.0 | 1.0-1.5 | 0.9-1.0 | 1.0-1.5 | 1.9-2.5 | 2.4-2.7 | $1 / 3-1.8$ | 1.4-1.8 | 0.7-1.0 | 0.6-1.0 |
|  | 37. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3-1 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 38. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 39. | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 40. | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | +2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 41. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | +2 | 1 |
|  | 42. | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 43. | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | , |
|  | 44. | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Corolla | 46. | 3.0-3.5 | 4.8-5.0 | 4.8-5.0 | 4.0-5.0 | 10.0-14.0 | 6.5-10.0 | 3.6-5.0 | 9.5-11.0 | 2.5-3.2 | c. 2.5 |
|  | 47. | 0.9-1.1 | c. 1.5 | c.1.5 | c.1.5 | 1.5-4.0 | 1.5-2.0 | 0.7-1.0 | 1.5-2.5 | 0.6-0.8 | 0.0 .5 |
|  | 48. | 1.4-1.6 | c. 3.0 | c.3.0 | c. 2.0 | 2.0-5.0 | 2.0-4.0 | 1.0-2.0 | 2.0-3.8 | 1.2-1.3 | c.1.3 |
|  | 49. | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 50. | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 54. | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Stagens | 55. | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 56. | $0.1-0.2$ | c. 1.0 |  | c. 0.2 | c.0.6 | 6.0.7 | 0.3-0.4 | c. 2.5 | c. 0.2 |  |
|  | 57. | 0.3-0.4 | c. 1.8 |  | 0.5-0.6 | c.0.8 | c.1.5 | c.1.2 | c.3.2 | c.0.5 |  |
|  | 58. | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
|  | 59. | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |
|  | 60. | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 61. |  | 1 |  | 1 | $1+4$ | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1+4 |
|  | 62. | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| Style | 63. |  |  |  | c. 3.0 | 10.0-14.0 |  |  | 10.5-12.0 | c.1.3 | c. 2.3 |
|  | 64. | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 85. | 1 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 13 | +3 | 1 |
| Autlets | 66. | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 67. | $1 ?$ | 1 | , |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 68. | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 69. | 1 | 3 | 2 |  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1(-3) | 1(-3) | 3 |
| 28 | 70. |  | 30,60 |  |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |

debilis hochreutineri brivesii conferta ayrtifolia juliana cristata kerneri creanophila parviflora

| Habit | 1. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3-4 | 3 | 3 |
| 5 Sters | 3. | 15-30 | 8-45 | 7-20 | 15-25 | 10-45 | 7-43 | 3-20 | 20-30 | 5-27 | 5-25 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 5. | 2(-1) | 2 |  | +2 | 2 | 1-2 | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | 2 |
| Leaves | 6. | 2-7 | 2-5-7 | 7-2 | 12-17 | 2-5 | 5-7 | 27 | 5-7-2 | 9-6-2 | 6-5+1-2 |
|  | 7. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | 8. | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4-3 | 4 |
|  | 9. | 1 | 1 | 2 | +1 | 1 | 1-2 | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | 2-3 |
|  | 10. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | - |  |
|  | 11. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 12. | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 13. | 0.1-2.0 | 0.5-1.5 | 0.2-0.5 | 0.1-0.3 | 0.5-1.5 | 0.3-1.0 | 0.2-0.5 | 0.1-0.6 | 0.2-1.5 | 0.5-1.2 |
|  | 14. | 2-3 | 2(-3) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2+3 | 3 | 1-3 | 1 |
|  | 15. | 3 | 2(-3) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 16. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 17. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 18. | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 19. |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | 20. | 2.7-9.0 | 3.0-10.0 | 4.5-7.0 | 3.5-7.0 | 5.0-11.0 | 3.0-8.0 | 5.0-12.0 | 3.0-9.0 | 4.0-12.0 | 2.5-10.0 |
|  | 21. | 1.0-5.3 | 1.0-3.4 | 1.3-1.5 | 1.2-2.5 | 1.5-5.5 | 0.7-3.3 | 0.5-3.0 | 1.5-5.0 | 0.5-3.0 | 1.0-5.0 |
| Inflor- | 22. | 1-5 | 1-5 | 1-2 | 2-3 | 1-15 | 2-10 | 1-5 | 2-6 | 1-5 |  |
| escence | 23. | 2 | 1-2 | 3 | 1 | 1-2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2-3 | 3 |
|  | 24. | 1-2 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 4 | 1-3 | 2-4 | 3-4 | 2-3 | 2 | 4 |
|  | 25. | 0.6-2.7 | 0.4-2.7 | 0-0.3 | 0.2-0.4 | 0.5-2.6 | 1.0-3.0 | 0.5-1.7 | 1.2-2.2 | 0.5-2.7 | 1.0-5.0 |
|  | 26. | 0.3-0.7 | 0.3-0.6 | 1.0-1.7 | 0.1-0.4 | 0-1.5 | $0-0.5$ | 0.1-1.7 | 1.5-3.0 | 0.7-1.4 | 1.7-3.5 |
|  | 27. | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2-3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |
|  | 28. | 0.6-1.7 | 0.6-1.6 | 1.0-1.2 | 1.5-2.0 | 1.5-3.7 | 2.3-3.5 | 2.0-3.0 | 2.0-2.5 | 1.0-1.4 | 0.7-2.3 |
| Calyn | 29. |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 30. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1(-2) | 1 |
|  | 31. | 1.6-1.9 | 1.4-1.9 | c.3.4 | 1.7-1.9 | 1.8-2.5 | 1.7-2.0 | 1.6-3.5 | 2.0-2.5 | 1.6-2.0 | 1.8-2.2 |
|  | 32. | $2$ | $2$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | $2$ |
|  | 33. | 0.5-0.6 | 0.5-0.7 | c. 1.4 | 0.7-0.8 | 1.0-1.3 | 0.8-0.9 | 0.6-1.8 | 1.0-1.4 | $0.6-0.8$ | 0.8-1.2 |
|  | 34. | 0.5-0.7 | $0.5-0.7$ | c.1.4 | 0.7-0.8 | 1.0-1.3 | 0.9-1.0 | 0.6-0.8 | 1.2-1.6 | 0.6-0.8 | 1.3-1.8 |
|  | 35. | 0.3-0.4 | 0.4-0.6 | c. 0.9 | 0.5-0.6 | 0.5-1.1 | 0.8-0.9 | 0.6-1.8 | 0.6-1.2 | 0.4-0.6 | 0.4-1.0 |
|  | 36. | 0.5-0.7 | 0.5-0.7 | c.1.4 | 0.7-0.8 | 1.0-1.3 | 0.9-1.0 | 1.0-1.8 | 1.2-1.6 | 0.6-0.8 | 1.3-1.8 |
|  | 37. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 38. | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 39. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |
|  | 40. | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 41. | 2 | +2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 42. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 43. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3-2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 44. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Corolla | 46. | 2.3-2.4 | 2.4-3.2 | c. 8.0 | 3.0-4.3 | 3.0-3.7 | 3.0-3.5 | 2.5-7.5 | 2.5-4.0 | 2.0-3.4 | 3.0-3.5 |
|  | 47. | c.0.6 | c.0.6 | c. 2.5 | 1.0-1.3 | 0.7-1.0 | 0.7-1.2 | 1.0-1.3 | 5.1.0 | 0.7-0.9 | 3.8-1.7 |
|  | 48. | 1.0-1.2 | c. 1.5 | c.2.7. | 1.5-2.0 | 1.1-1.3 | 0.7-1.5 | 1.5-2.0 | c.1.5 | 1.0-1.3 | 2.5-3.0 |
|  | 49. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 50. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $+1$ | $+1$ | 1 | 1 |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 54. | 2 | 2 | 3 ? | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Stagens | 55. |  | $i$ | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 56. | $0.1-0.2$ | $0.1-0.2$ | c. 2.2 | c. 0.2 | c.0.6 | c. 0.3 | 6.0.5 | c. 0.3 | c. 0.2 | c. 0.2 |
|  | 57. | 0.3-0.4 | 0.3-0.4 | c. 2.7 | 5.0 .7 | c.1.2 | c.1.1 | 5.1.6 | 6.1.0 | 0.4-0.5 | c.0.3 |
|  | 58. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 59. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 60. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 61. | $1+4$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 62. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Style | 63. | 1.4-1.6 | 1.6-1.8 | c. 10.5 | c.2.7 | 2.5-4.3 | 3.0-5.0 | 7.0-7.5 | 2.5-4.0 | 1.3-1.5 | 1,3-1.5 |
|  | 64. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 65. | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Hutlets | 66. |  | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2-1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 67. | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 68. | 3 |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
|  | 69. |  | 1-2 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| 2 n | 70. |  |  |  |  | 30 | 30 | 30 |  | 30 |  |

elliptica persica cypria sinaica hedgei graeca fruticulosa inodora cinerea longiflora

| Habit | 1. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Steas | 3. | 8-20 | 10-30 | 10-40 | 10-30 | 40-55 | 8-50 | 10-35 | 10-50 | $5-25$ | 30-40 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 5. | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2(-1) | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Leaves | 6. | 9(-2-7) | 9-7 | 2 | 2 | 2-9 | 2-5-7 | 2-5 | 5-7 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 7. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 8. | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3-4 | 1 | 3-4 | 4 | 4 | 3-4 | 3 |
|  | 9. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 10. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 11. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 12. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 13. | 0.3-0.6 | 0-0.4 | 0.5-1.5 | 0.4-1.0 |  | 0.5-1.0 | 0.2-0.8 | 0-0.2 | 0.3-0.8 | 1.0-2.0 |
|  | 14. | 3 | 3 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2-3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 15. | 3 | 3 | 2-3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2-3 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 16. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 17. | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | HA | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 18. | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 ? |
|  | 19. |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | 20. | 5.0-10.0 | 2.5-7.7 | 4.5-6.5 | 2.0-7.0 | 6.0-11.0 | 6.0-14.0 | 2.6-8.3 | 1.5-3.5 | 3.0-6.5 | 10.0-16.0 |
|  | 21. | 1.0-3.5 | 0.8-2.5 | 1.9-4.4 | 0.5-5.0 | 2.0-6.0 | 2.0-7.0 | 0.7-3.0 | 0.3-1.2 | 1.2-3.3 | 2.0-3.6 |
| Inflor- | 22. | 1-3 | 1-3 | 1-6 | 1-8 | c. 6 | 1-9 | 1-2 | 1 | 1-6 | 1-4 |
| escence | 23. | 3 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2 |  | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 24. | 2 | 1-3 | 2-4 | 1-2 |  | 2-4 | 4 | 4 | 2-3 | 4 |
|  | 25. | 2.0-3.6 | 1.0-2.3 | 0.7-2.0 | 1.0-1.6 |  | 1.0-5.0 | 0.5-2.0 | 0 | 0.5-0.7 | 0.5-1.2 |
|  | 26. | 1.4-2.0 | 0.7-2.9 | 0.5-1.7 | 0.4-1.0 | 0.5-1.0 | 0.5-1.5 | 0.5-2.0 | 2.0-3.3 | 0.5-1.0 | 1.0-1.6 |
|  | 27. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  | 2 |
|  | 28. | 1.2-1.6 | 0.7-2.4 | 0.6-1.5 | 0.8-1.5 | 0.5-1.0 | 1.5-2.5 | 1.0-5.0 | 1.8-2.7 | 0.5-1.0 | 0.6-2.3 |
| Calys | 29. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 30. | 1 | 11-2) | 1-2 | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | +1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 31. | 2.8-3.0 | 1.6-2.2 | 2.5-3.2 | 1.7-2.2 | 1.6-2.0 | 2.5-3.5 | 2.7-3.2 | 3.0-3.5 | 1.6-2.0 | 3.8-4.2 |
|  | 32. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 33. | 1.0-1.3 | 0.6-0.9 | 1.3-1.7 | 0.6-1.2 | 0.4-0.5 | 1.5-2.0 | 1.0-2.2 | 1.3-1.9 | 0.8-1.0 | 1.7-2.0 |
|  | 34. | 1.2-1.5 | 0.7-1.1 | 1.5-1.7 | 0.8-1.2 | 0.4-0.5 | 1.8-2.3 | 1.3-2.5 | 1.3-1.9 | 0.9-1.4 | 1.8-2.1 |
|  | 35. | 0.6-1.0 | 0.5-0.6 | 0.7-1.2 | 0.6-1.0 | 0.4-0.5 | 1.5-2.0 | 0.7-1.7 | 0.9-1.1 | 0.7-0.8 | 1.3-1.5 |
|  | 36. | 1.2-1.5 | 0.7-1.1 | 1.5-1.7 | 0.8-1.2 | 0.4-0.5 | 1.8-2.3 | 1.3-2.5 | 1.3-1.9 | 0.9-1.4 | 1.8-2.1 |
|  | 37. | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 38. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 39. | 2-3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 40. | 2 | 3 | 2-3 | 3 |  | +2 | +2 | 2 | 3 | +2 |
|  | 41. | +2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 42. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 43. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 44. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2-3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | , | 1 |
| Corolla | 46. | 6.0-7.0 | 3.3-4.0 | 4.8-5.7 | 3.8-4.3 |  | 5.5-8.5 | $6.0-8.0$ | 6.3-7.2 | 3.0-4.5 | 9.0-10.0 |
|  | 47. | c.1.5 | 1.2-1.3 | 1.0-1.5 | 0.5-0.9 |  | 1.0-1.5 | 1.7-2.0 | 2.5-3.0 | 1.0-1.5 | 1.6-2.0 |
|  | 48. | 2.0-2.5 | 1.3-1.5 | 2.0-3.4 | 1.5-2.0 |  | 1.5-3.5 | 2.5-3.4 | 2.8-4.0 | 1.5-2.5 | 3.0-3.5 |
|  | 49. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1.5-5 | 1 |
|  | 50. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1-2 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 54. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Stanens | 55. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 56. | c.1.2 | c.1.0 | c.1.6 | c.0.4 |  | c.0.5 | c. 0.8 | c. 2.5 | c. 0.4 | c.0.6 |
|  | 57. | c.1.7 | c.1.1 | c.2.5 | c.1.2 |  | c.1.5 | c. 2.5 | c. 3.0 | c. 1.5 | c. 2.4 |
|  | 58. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 59. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2-3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 60. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 61. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 62. |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | , |  |
| Style | 63. | 7.0-9.0 | 4.5-5.3 | 7.0-8.0 | 4.0-5.0 |  | 6.5-9.5 | 7.5-9.0 | 8.3-10.0 | c.4.5 | 11.0-12.0 |
|  | 64. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 65. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | $!$ |  |
| Hutlets | 66. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |
|  | 67. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 68. | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  |
|  | 69. | 3 | 3 | 1-2 | 1 |  | 11+3?) | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
| 2 n | 70. |  |  |  |  |  | 20,60 |  | 26,48 |  |  |

serbaliana iabricata contardoi pineolens leucantha cyeuligera eadagas- flagellaris sphaerophylla

| Habit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {car }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1. | $\stackrel{2}{3}$ | $\frac{2}{3-4}$ |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 5 Stens | 3. | 5-20 | 5-25 | 2-5 | 50-100 | 15-50 | 4-25 | 15-50 | 40-70 | 25-70 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | 5. | 1(-2) | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 2-3 | 1-4 | 1-4 |
| Leaves | 6. | 2 | 7-2 | 2 | 7 | 6-7 | 2 | 5-6 | 2 | 1-2 |
|  | 7. | 1 | 1 | , | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1+2 |  |
|  | 8. | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | $1+3$ | 11-31 | 3-4 | 1-3 |
|  | 9. | 2-3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2-3 | (1-12 | +3 |
|  | 10. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 11. | 2 | 2 | 2? | 2 | $?$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 12. |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | , | 11-21 |  |
|  | 13. | 0.1-0.6 | 0.4-0.6 | 0.4-0.7 | 1.5-2.0 |  | 1.0-3.5 | 0.2-0.6 | 0.21-0.5) | 0.3-0.6 |
|  | 14. | 2-3 | +1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  | 2 $2(-3)$ |  |
|  | 15. | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 2(-3) | 3 |
|  | 16. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 17. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | H | 3 | 3 |
|  | 18. |  | 3 | 4 | 3 |  | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 19. |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | 20. | 3.0-4.0 | 3.0-6.5 | 1.0-2.3 | 14.0-30.0 | 25.0-30.0 | 7.0-11.0 | 4.0-11.5 | 1.5-5.3 | 3.0-6.8 |
|  | 21. | 1.7-2.7 | 1.5-4.0 | 0.8-2.2 | 3.3-13.0 | 4.0-5.0 | 2.0-6.0 | 0.7-3.2 | 1.0-4.9 | 3.0-7.2 |
| Inflorescence | 22. | 1-10 | 1-3 | 1 | ${ }^{3}-10$ | ${ }_{1-3}$ | 4-10 |  |  |  |
|  | 23. | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 24. | 1-2 | 4 | 1-2 | 4 | 4 | 3-4 | 2-4 | 1-2 | 2-3 |
|  | 25. | 1.0-1.6 | 0-0.2 | 0.4-0.9 | c.0.5 |  | 1.8-2.5 | 1.0-2.0 | 1.2-3.5 | 0.9-2.0 |
|  | 26. | 0.4-1.4 | 1.0-1.6 | 0.7-1.2 | c.0.5 | c.0.5 | 0.3-0.6 | 8.0-10.0 | 1.0-5.5 | 1.5-2.4 |
|  | 27. |  | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
|  | 28. | 0.8-1.4 | 0.5-1.0 | 0.4-1.0 | 3.5-7.0 | 7.0-8.0 | 3.0-4.0 | 2.5-3.5 | $1.0-3.8$ | 1.6-3.0 |
| Calyx | 29. |  | 1-2 |  | 1 |  |  | 3 | 2-3 |  |
|  | 30. |  | 1-2 |  |  | , | 1 | 1 | 11-2! |  |
|  | 31. | 1.8-2.0 | 2.0-2.7 | 1.7-2.2 | 4.0-5.0 | c.7.5 | 1.7-1.9 | 2.7-3.0 | 2.2-2.8 | 3.0-3.6 |
|  | 32. |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 33. | 0.6-0.7 | 1.0-1.4 | 0.7-1.0 | 1.2-1.4 | c.4.0 | 1.4-1.5 | c. 0.8 | 1.0-1.5 | 1.8-2.0 |
|  | 34. | $0.7-0.8$ | 1.4-1.6 | 0.8-1.2 | 0.8-1.0 | c.4.0 | 1.5-1.6 | c.0.8 | 1.0-1.6 | $1.8-2.3$ |
|  | 35. | 0.5-0.6 | 0.8-1.0 | 0.5-0.6 | 0.5-0.6 | 6.2.5 | 1.2-1.3 | 0.4-0.5 | 1.0-1.3 | 1.8-2.0 |
|  | 36. | 0.7-0.8 | 1.4-1.6 | 0.8-1.2 | 0.8-1.0 | c.4.0 | 1.5-1.6 | ${ }^{\text {c. } 0.8}$ | 1.0-1.6 | 1.8-2.3 |
|  | 37. | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | $!$ | 1 | 3 | . |  |
|  | 39. | $\frac{1}{5}$ | 2-1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 39. | 3 | 3 | +2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 21-3) | 3 |
|  | 40. | 2-3 | 2 | , | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 41. | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 42. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 43. | 3 | ${ }_{3}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 44. | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | j | 3 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | , | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Corolld | 46. | 3.0-4.0 | 3.5-6.5 |  | 10.0-1.2.0 | c. 15.0 | 2.0-2.5 | 3.5-4.2 | 5.0-7.0 | 5.8-7.0 |
|  | 47. | 0.9-1.0 | 1.5-1.7 |  | 2.5-3.0 | c. 3.5 | c.0.5 | c.1.4 | 1.7-2.2 | c. 2.0 |
|  | 48. | 1.5-1.6 | 2.0-4.0 |  | 3.2-4.0 | c.5.0 | c.0.4 | c. 4.0 | 4.0-5.0 | c.4.0 |
|  | 49. | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $!$ |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 50. | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | , | , | 1 | 2 |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 52. | $?$ | 2 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 53. | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 54. | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 3 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
| Stanens | ${ }_{55}^{55}$ | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |
|  | 56. | c. 0.7 | c.1.0 |  | c.1.3 |  | c. 0.4 | c.1.0 | c.2.7 | c.1.6 |
|  | 57. | c.1.3 | c.2.0 |  | c.4.0 |  | c.0.7 | c.2.3 | c.3.6 | ${ }^{\text {c.3.0 }}$ |
|  | 58. | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 59. | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | +2 |
|  | 60. | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | 61. | 1 | $!$ |  | 1 |  | $1+2$ | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 62. |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Style | 63. | 4.5-5.5 | 3.5-6.0 |  | 10.0-11.0 |  | c. 1.7 | c.4.0 | 5.0-7.5 | 6.0-7.5 |
|  | 64. | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | $3{ }^{1}$ |
|  | 65. | 1 | 1 |  | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Hutlets | 66. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |
|  | 67. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | 68. | 3 |  | I |  |  | , |  |  |  |
|  | 69. 70. | 1 |  | , | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |


| Habit |  | teneriffae forbesii |  | helianthenifolia 2 | rivas- <br> oatinezii | glogerata lanata |  | benthasii tenuis |  | lepida | varia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1. | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2. | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | , |
| Steos | 3. | 10-35 | 10-20 | 10-35 | 20-30(-50) | 10-40 | 7-30 | 20-40 | 15-60 | 10-30 | 10-60 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 5. | 1 | 1 | $3+1$ |  | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2-4 | 1-4 | 1(-2-4) |
| Leaves | 6. | 2-7 | 2-7 | 2-7 | 6-7 | $9-6$ | 5 | 5-7 | 5 | 5-7 | 5-7-9 |
|  | 7. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 8. | 1 | 1-3 | (1-1]-4 | 1 | 1-3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4(-1) |
|  | 9. | 1(-2) |  | 1 | 1(-2) | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1(-2) |
|  | 10. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 11. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 12. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 |  |
|  | 13. | 0.3-0.9 |  | 0.5-0.8 |  | 0.7-1.8 | 0.1-0.6 | 0.3-0.6 | 0.4-0.6 | 0.3-0.7 | $0-0.2$ |
|  | 14. | 1 | 3 | 2+3 | \| $1-3 \mid$ | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2-3 | , |
|  | 15. | 1 | 3 | $2+3$ | (1-2) | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2-3 | 3 |
|  | 16. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 17. | Ha |  | 2 | (3) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 18. | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |
|  | 19. | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 20. | 4.5-8.0 | 6.0-12.0 | 10.0-15.0 | 3.0-15.0 | 8.0-14.0 | 4.5-9.0 | $6.0-9.0$ | 6.5-14.0 | 3.0-14.0 | 2.0-12.0 |
|  | 21. | 1.5-5.5 | 4,0-8,0 | 1.5-5.0 | 1.0-8.0 | 4.5-6.0 | 1.0-1.5 | 0.9-1.5 | 0.8-2.5 | 1.0-4.0 | 0.5-4.0 |
| Inflor- | 22. | 2-8 | 3-6 | 2-8 | 1-8 | 3-10 | 4-9 | 1-3 | 3-11 | 3-15 | 2-13 |
| escence | 23. | 2-3 |  | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2-3 | 2 |
|  | 24. | 2-4 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2-3 | 3-4 | 2-4 | 4 | 1-4 |
|  | 25. | 1.5-3.6 |  | 2.0-13.5 | 3.0-5.0 | 2.0-5.5 | 0.5-4.5 | c. 0.4 | 2.8-6.0 | 1.5-10.0 | 0.5-5.0 |
|  | 26. | 0.5-0.9 |  | 1.5-3.0 |  | 1.0-1.5 | 0.2-0.3 | 0.5-1.2 | 1.0-2.8 | 0-1.8 | 0-0.5 |
|  | 27. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - |
|  | 28. | 0.7-1.8 | 0.5-2.0 | 2.0-3.8 | 1.0-5.0 | $2.0-6.0$ | 0.9-1.4 | 2.0-3.0 | 0.5-2.5 | 1.0-4.5 | 1.0-2.0 |
| Calys | 29. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | ${ }_{1-2}$ | 1 | $1{ }^{1}$ | 1.10 .5 | $1-2$ |
|  | 30. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 31. | 1.7-2.0 | 1.3-2.0 | 5.3-6.6 | c.4.4 | 4.3-5.5 | 1.6-1.8 | 2.7-2.9 | 2.5-3.7 | 2.9-3.6 | 1.5-2.6 |
|  | 32. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 33. | 1.0-1.2 | 0.7-0.9 | 2.8-3.4 | 6. 2.4 | 1.8-2.3 | c. 0.7 | 1.9-2.0 | 1.3-2.5 | 0.9-1.2 | 0.7-1.4 |
|  | 34. | 1.0-1.2 | 0.7-0.9 | 3.0-3.7 | c. 2.4 | 2.0-2.6 | c.0.7 | 1.9-2.0 | 1.3-2.5 | 0.9-1.2 | 0.7-1.5 |
|  | 35. | 0.6-0.7 | 0.5-0.7 | 1.0-1.2 | c.1.7 | 1.7-2.0 | 0.4-0.5 | 1.5-1.6 | 0.6-1.1 | 0.6-0.8 | 0.5-1.0 |
|  | 36. | 1.0-1.2 | 0.7-0.9 | 3.0-3.7 | c. 2.4 | 2.0-2.6 | c.0.7 | 1.9-2.0 | 1.4-2.8 | 0.9-1.2 | 0.7-1.5 |
|  | 37. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $1{ }^{1}$ |
|  | 38. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 39. | 3 | 3 | 2-3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 40. | 3 |  | (3) | 1 | 1 | 1 | (3) | 1 | +1 | 1 |
|  | 41. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 42. | (3) |  | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | J | 3 |
|  | 43. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 44. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 45. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Corolla | 46. | 2.8-3.7 |  | 9.0-12.0 | c. 10.0 | 8.5-9.5 | 1.7-1.8 | $5.0-6.0$ | 4.1-7.2 | 3.5-5.0 | 2.7-4.2 |
|  | 47. | 6.0 .7 |  | 1.0-1.5 | c. 2.5 | c.3.0 | 0.0 .6 | 1.0-1.1 | 1.5-1.8 | 0.9-1.3 | 0.7-0.9 |
|  | 48. | c. 1.5 |  | 3.0-4.4 | c.3.0 | c. 5.5 | c.1.0 | 1.3-1.5 | 1.5-2.7 | 1.0-2.3 | 1.0-1.8 |
|  | 49. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 50. | 1 | +2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 54. | 2 | 3 | 2(-3) | 2 | 2 | 2-3 | 2 | 3(-2) | 2 | 2-3 |
| Stamens | 55. | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 56. | c.0.6 |  | c.0.5 | c.0.6 | 1.3-1.7 | c.0.5 | c. 0.5 | 0.6-1.0 | 0.5-0.6 | c.0.3 |
|  | 57. | c. 0.7 |  | c.1.6 | c. 2.0 | 2,3-4.1 | 0.8-0.9 | c.2.0 | 1.6-2.0 | 1.0-1.3 | c.0.7 |
|  | 58. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | (1-2) | 1 | 1 |
|  | 59. | 2 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 60. | 2 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 61. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1+4 |
|  | 62. | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Style | 63. | 3.3-4.2 |  | 9.0-12.0 |  | 10.0-11.0 | 1.7-1.8 | 4.5-5.5 | 4.8-8.5 | 3.5-4.5 | 3.0-5.0 |
|  | 64. | 1 |  | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 65. | 3 |  | 1 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Hutlets | 66. | 2 | 2 |  | 2(-1) | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 67. | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 68. | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 69. | 3 | 3 |  | 11 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3-2 | 1 |
| 2n | 70. | 30 |  | 30 |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |

hyssopifolia herpyllo lachnophylla lasiophylla densiflora

| hyssopitolia herpyllo lachnophylla lasiophylla densiflora |  |  |  |  |  |  | pineolens | Ieucantha |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Habit | 1. | 4-3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 2. | 4-3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| Stens | 3. | 10-40 (-80) | 15-70 | 15-40 | 10-25 | 20-40 | 50-100 | 15-50 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 5. | 1-4 | 1-2 | 2 | 1 | $+1$ | 1 |  |
| Leaves | 6. | 5-7 | 5-2 | 5-7 |  | 5-7 | 7 | 6-7 |
|  | 7. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 8. | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 9. | 1-2 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 10. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | , | 1 |
|  | 11. | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 12. | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 13. | 0.4-0.6 | 0.4-2.0 | 0-0.4 |  | 0.1-0.3 | 1.5-2.0 |  |
|  | 14. | 2-3 | 2 | 2-3 | 3 | 2-3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 15. | 3 | 3 | 2-3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |
|  | 16. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 17. | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 18. | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 19. |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 20. | 3.0-10.0 | 5.5-12.0 | 3.0-7.0 | 6.0-12.0 | 3.0-6.0 | 14.0-30.0 | 25.0-30.0 |
|  | 21. | 1.0-2.8 | 0.5-1.5 | 0.5-1.3 | 1.5-3.0 | 0.6-1.3 | 3.3-13.0 | 4.0-5.0 |
| Inflor- | 22. | 3-20 | 4-23 | 2-12 | 2-12 | 1-4 | 3-10 | 1-3 |
| escence | 23. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | , | 2 |
|  | 24. | 2-3 | 1-4 | 1-2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 25. | 0.5-4.0 | 1.0-6.5 | 0.6-6.0 | 0-0.5 | 0 | 6.0 .5 |  |
|  | 26. | 0.2-0.8 | 0.1-0.5 | 0-0.4 |  | 0.1-0.5 | c. 0.5 | c. 0.5 |
|  | 27. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 29. | 0.5-2.3 | 0.8-2.0 | 1.0-2.7 | 1.0-2.0 | 0.8-1.5 | 3.5-7.0 | 7.0-8.0 |
| Caly | 29. | 2 | 1-2 | 1 | 1-2 | 1-2 | 1 |  |
|  | 30. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 31. | 1.8-2.3 | 1.5-1.7 | 1.4-2.6 |  | 2.0-2.3 | 4.0-5.0 | c.7.5 |
|  | 32. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 33. | 0.8-1.4 | 1.0-1.3 | 0.6-1.3 |  | 0.8-1.2 | 1.2-1.4 | c.4.0 |
|  | 34. | 0.9-1.4 | 1.0-1.3 | 0.6-1.3 |  | 0.8-1.2 | 0.8-1.0 | 6.4.0 |
|  | 35. | 0.4-0.8 | 0.8-1.1 | 0.4-0.9 |  | 0.5-0.8 | 0.5-0.6 | c. 2.5 |
|  | 36. | 0.9-1.4 | 1.0-1.3 | 0.6-1.3 |  | 0.8-1.2 | 0.8-1.0 | 6.4.0 |
|  | 37. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3-1 | 3 | c. |
|  | 38. | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2-1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 39. | 3 | 3 | 2-3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 40. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 41. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 42. | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  |
|  | 43. | 2 | 2-3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 44. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Carolla | 46. | 3.0-4.2 | 4.0-5.0 | 2.7-4.0 | c.3.5 | 3.0-4.2 | 10.0-12.0 | c. 15.0 |
|  | 47. | 0.6-0.8 | 1.0-1.5 | 0.8-1.0 | c.1.2 | 0.8-1.0 | 2.5-3.0 | c. 3.5 |
|  | 48. | 1.0-1.7 | 1.5-2.0 | 1.3-1.7 | c.1.5 | 1.5-1.6 | 3.2-4.0 | c.5.0 |
|  | 49. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 50. | 2 | 2 | $+1$ | +2 | i | 1 | 1 |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 54. | 3-2 | 2 | 3 | 3-2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Stadens | 55. | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  |
|  | 56. | c. 0.2 | c. 0.5 | c. 0.4 |  | c. 0.2 | c.1.3 |  |
|  | 57. | c.0.5 | c. 0.9 | c. 1.0 |  | c.0.5 | c.4.0 |  |
|  | 58. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 59. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 60. | 2 | 2 | +2 | 1 | 1-2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 61. | 1+4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 62. | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Style | 63. | 3.5-4.7 | 4.5-6.0 | 3.0-4.3 |  | 3.0-3.5 | 10.0-11.0 |  |
|  | 64. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 65. | 3 | 1 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  |
| Hutlets | 66. | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | 67. | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |
|  | 68. | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 69. | 2(-1) | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 |
| 2 n | 70. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | fruticosa | congesta | cilicica th | thyoifolia | libanotica | nuacularifolia | taygetea | carica | aollis | daloatica |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Habit | 1. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2. | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Stees | 3. | 30-60 | 20-35 | 15-30 | 20-50 | 3-20 | 5-15 | 4-18 | 15-30 | 5-30 | 20-50 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 5. | 2 | 4(-1) | 2 | 2 | $+1$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1-2 | 2 |
| Leaves | 6. | 2-7 | 1-2 | 2 | 2 | 2-1 | 1-2 | 2 | 2 | 2-1 | 2 |
|  | 7. | 1-2 | 1-2 | 1-2 | 1-2 | 1-2 | 1 | 1-2 | 11 | 1-3 | 2-4 |
|  | 8. | 1 | 1 | 1+4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | 9. | 2 | 2-3 | 2 | 2 | 2-3 | 2 | 2-3 | 1-2 | 2 | 2-3 |
|  | 10. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 11. | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 12. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 13. | 1.0-5.0 | 1.5-3.0 | 1.0-4.0 | 1.0-3.0 | 1.0-2.0 | 0.2-0.4 | 1.5-3.0 | 0.5-2.0 | 2.0-7.0 | 1.0-5.5 |
|  | 14. | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 15. | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 16. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 17. | 3 | 3 | 3 | HA | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 18. | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 19. | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 20. | 5-35 | 7.0-17.0 | 10.0-20.0 | 5.0-20.0 | 4.0-15.0 | 4.5-9.0 | 7.5-10.0 | 5.0-10.0 | 5.0-15.0 | 10.0-20.0 |
|  | 21. | 2-17 | 6.0-12.0 | 5.0-10.0 | 3.0-12.0 | 2.8-11.0 | 3.2-6.8 | 2.5-6.0 | 2.5-6.5 | 5.0-15.0 | 3.0-15.0 |
| Inflor- | 22. | 5-25(-40) | 3-30 | 3-20 | 1-15(-20) | 1-8 | $1(-2)$ | 1-5 | 3-5 | ${ }_{1-3}$ | 5-30 |
| escence | 23. | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 24. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1-3 | 2-4 | 1-4 | 1 | 1-2 | 1-2 |
|  | 25. | 2.0-6.0 | $0.1-0.3$ | 1.2-3.6 | 1.0-3.5 | 1.7-4.7 | 0.2-0.4 | 1.0-2.5 | 3.0-5.0 | 4.0-13.0 | 2.0-4.0 |
|  | 26. | 0.3-1.0 | 0.2-0.5 | 0.3-0.6 | 0.2-1.0 | 0.5-1.5 | 0.2-0.4 | 0.4-0.8 | 1.5-3.6 | 2.5-3.5 | 0.5-1.2 |
|  | 27. | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | $3$ | 2 |  |
|  | 28. | 0.7-1.3 | 1.0-1.5 | 0.7-1.6 | 0.5-1.0 | 1.0-1.5 | c. 0.4 | 1.0-1.5 | 0.8-1.0 | 1.0-1.5 | $1.0-2.0$ |
| Calyx | 29. | 3-2 | 2-3 | 2 | 2-3 | 1-2 |  | 2-3 | 3 | 2-3 |  |
|  | 30. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 31. | 1.5-3.0 | 1.7-2.0 | 1.5-1.6 | 2.0-2.2 | 2.4-3.0 | 2.0-2.3 | 1.5-2.2 | 1.5-1.8 | 2.0-2.6 | 1.5-1.7 |
|  | 32. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 33. | c.0.7 | 0.5-0.6 | 0.5-0.7 | 0.4-0.5 | 0.9-1.1 | 3.0-3.7 | 0.5-0.7 | 0.5-0.8 | 0.7-1.2 | 1.0-1.3 |
|  | 34. | c. 0.7 | 0.6-0.7 | 0.5-0.7 | 0.4-0.5 | 0.9-1.1 | 3.0-3.7 | 0.5-0.7 | 0.5-0.8 | 0.7-1.4 | 1.2-1.3 |
|  | 35. | c. 0.5 | 0.4-0.5 | 0.4-0.6 | 0.3-0.4 | 0.6-0.9 | 3.0-3.7 | 0.4-0.6 | 0.4-0.7 | 0.4-1.2 | 0.8-1.1 |
|  | $33^{3}$ | c.0.7 | 0.6-0.7 | 0.5-0.7 | 0.4-0.5 | 0.9-1.1 | 3.0-3.7 | 0.5-0.7 | 0.5-0.8 | 0.7-1.4 | 1.2-1.3 |
|  | 37. | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | . |
|  | 38. | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 39. | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 3 |
|  | 40. | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | $3(-1)$ |
|  | 41. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 42. | 2-1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 43. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 44. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Corolla | 46. | 3.0-6.0 | 2.5-4.5 | 3.0-4.0 | 4.0-5.0 | 5.5-7.5 | 6.0-6.7 | 2.8-4.0 | c.4.4 | 3.0-6.6 | 2.0-4.0 |
|  | 47. | 2.5-3.0 | 0.8-1.2 | 0.9-1.2 | 1.7-2.3 | 0.8-1.4 | c.1.0 | 1.0-1.6 | c.1.0 | 1.0-1.2 | 2.0-2.2 |
|  | 48. | 2.5-3.0 | 1.2-1.4 | 1.0-1.3 | 2.0-2.5 | 1.5-2.5 | c. 1.2 | 0.9-1.1 | c. 2.0 | 1.7-2.8 | 1.5-2.0 |
|  | 49. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 50. | 1 | 1 | 1 | +2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 54. | 3 | 3 | 3 | $2+3$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | $2+3$ |
| Stanens | 55. | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 56. | c.3.0 | 1.0-1.5 | c. 1.0 | c. 3.5 | c.2.3 | c. 0.5 | 1.3-1.5 | c.1.4 | 1.6-2.0 | c.2.0 |
|  | 57. | c.4.5 | 2.0-2.5 | c.2.2 | c.5.0 | c. 2.9 | c.1.2 | c. 2.0 | c.2.4 | 2.6-3.0 | 3.0-3.3 |
|  | 59. | 2 | (1-12 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | $+2$ | (1-12 | 2 |
|  | 59. | 3 | (2-13 | 3 | 3 | +3 | 2 | 2-3 | +3 | (2-13 | 3 |
|  | 60. | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2-3 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 61. 62. | 1 | 1+4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $1+4$ | 1 | 1 |  |
| Style | 63. | c.8.0 | 3.6-4.8 | c. 4.0 | 6.0-9.0 | 7.5-8.0 | c.5.0 | 4.0-4.5 | c.5.0 | 5.5-6.5 |  |
|  | 64. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | $2$ |
|  | 65. | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Hutlets | 66. | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 1-2 | 1 | , |
|  | 67. | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 68. | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 69. | 1-3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 2 n | 70. |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |


|  |  | dolichodonta | pulegiug | frivaldskyana | abyssinica | hydaspidis | euosba | barosor | uardii nep | nepalensis | capitellata |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Habit | 1. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2. | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Steos | 3. | 30-50 | 20-90 | 10-30 | 30-60 | 20-45 | 18-40 | 15-35 | 20-70 | 20-45 | 28-50 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 5. | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2-4 | 2 | +2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | +2-4 |
| Leaves | 6. | 1-2 | 2 | 2 | 2-7 | 2 | 2 | 2-8 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 7. | 1-2 | 3-5 | 3-5 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 1(-2) | (1-12 | 1-2 | 2 |
|  | 8. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | $\|-3\|-4 \mid$ | 3 | 1 |
|  | 9. | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2 | 2-3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2-3 | (2-13 | 2 |
|  | 10. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 11. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 12. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 13. | 0.5-2.0 | 2,0-4.5 | 1.0-2.7 | 1.0-9.0 | 3.0-11.0 | 1.5-5.0 | 0.5-1.0 | 0.5-4.5 | 0.2-2.0 | 2.0-5.0 |
|  | 14. | 3 | 3 | 1-3 | 1-2 | 2(-3) | 2 | (1-2) | (1-2) | 11-2) | 3 |
|  | 15. | 3 | 3 | (1-3) | 2 | 2(-3) | 2 | 1(-2) | 1 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 16. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 17. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | (3) | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 18. | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 19. | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20. | 13-24 | 10.0-30.0 | 7.0-12.0 | 9.0-27.0 | 14,0-32.0 | 8.0-17.5 | 2.0-6.0 | 8.3-30.0 | 10.0-21.0 | 8.0-24.0 |
|  | 21. | 8.0-17.0 | 5.0-20.0 | 9.0-22.0 | 5.0-19.0 | 6.0-17.0 | 5.0-12.5 | 0.7-2.8 | 2.5-20.5 | 2.5-8.4 | 5.0-16.0 |
| Inflor- | 22. | 5-15 | 5-35 | 3-7 | 1-7 | 3-8 | 1-5 | 1-3 | 2-9 | 1-4 | 2-20 |
| escence | 23. | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 24. | 1 | 3-4 | 3-4 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 1-2 | 2-4 | 1-2 | 2-4 | 1-2 |
|  | 25. | 2.5-4.0 | 1.0-1.8 | 2.0-3.0 | 2.5-6.5 | 0.3-1.7 | 4.0-9.0 | 0-0.7 | 0-0.4 | $0-0.3$ | 1.0-13.0 |
|  | 26. | 0.5-1.5 | 0.5-0.8 | 0.7-1.0 | $0.1-0.5$ | 1.5-7.5 | 2.0-3.5 | 0.6-2.0 | 1,0-3.5 | 1.0-3.3 | $0-1.4$ |
|  | 27. | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2(-4) | 2 | 2-3 | 2 |
|  | 28. | 1.0-1.7 | 1.5-3.0 | 1.2-2.0 | 1.2-1.5 | 0.7-5.5 | 1.0-2.5 | 1.5-3.5 | 0.5-2.2 | 0.6-1.5 | 0.6-1.5 |
| Calym | 29. | 2 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
|  | 30. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 31. | 1.4-1.6 | 1.5-2.0 | 3.4-3.6 | 4.8-5.7 | 4.0-5.2 | 3.7-4.4 | 4.5-6.8 | 4.4-5.2 | 2.7-3.1 | 1.5-1.7 |
|  | 32. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | 33. | 1.0-1.4 | 1.3-1.8 | 1.0-1.1 | 1.4-1.6 | 1.7-2.7 | 1.0-1.5 | 1.9-2.7 | 0.7-0.9 | 1.2-1.7 | c.0.8 |
|  | 34. | 1.4-1.8 | 1.3-1.8 | 1.6-1.7 | 1.4-1.6 | 1.7-3.0 | 1.4-2.0 | 1.9-3.0 | 0.7-0.9 | 1.2-1.7 | c.0.9 |
|  | 35. | 0.7-1.0 | 1.0-1.3 | 0.7-1.0 | 1.4-1.6 | 1.5-2.2 | 0.6-1.4 | 1.7-2.5 | 0.4-0.6 | 1.2-1.7 | 0.6-0.7 |
|  | 36. | 1.4-1.8 | 1.3-1.8 | 1.6-1.7 | 1.4-1.6 | 1.7-3.0 | 1.4-2.0 | 1.9-3.0 | 0.7-0.9 | 1.2-1.7 | E. 0.9 |
|  | 37. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 38. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | i | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | $i$ |
|  | 39. | 3 | 3 | (1-)2 | 2-3 | 2 | 3 | 1-2 | 2 | 1-2 | +3 |
|  | 40. | 3 | 1 | $3(-1)$ | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 41. | 2 | 2 | 2 | +1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 42. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 43. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 44. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | J | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Corolla | 46. | 3.5-4.0 | 3.0-5.7 | 3.6-4.6 | 6.2-7.5 | 10.0-11.5 | 6.4-7.0 | 11.5-17.0 | 9.0-12.0 | 7.2-8.8 | c. 2.5 |
|  | 47. | 1.0-1.2 | 1.0-2.8 | c.1.4 | 2.0-2.7 | 1.7-2.0 | 1.5-2.0 | 2.8-3.2 | 1.5-2.0 | 2,5-4.6 | c. 0.6 |
|  | 48. | 1.7-2.0 | 1.0-2.5 | c.1.4 | 2.8-3.5 | 1.7-2.0 | 4.0-4.5 | 3.0-4.0 | 2.0-2.5 | 1.8-2.5 | C. 1.3 |
|  | 49. | $1-2$ | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 50. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 54. | 3 | $2+3$ | $2+3$ | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Stanens | 55. | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 56. | 1.7-2.2 | 2.5-3.0 | c. 0.7 | c. 0.2 | c. 3.5 | c. 0.4 | c. 4.0 | c. 2.2 | c.4.0 | C. 0.2 |
|  | 57. | 3.0-3.1 | 4.0-4.5 | c.1.4 | c. 0.7 | 6.4.5 | 0.8-1.0 | c.4.8 | 6.3.2 | c.5.6 | c.0.5 |
|  | 58. | 2 | 2-3 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 59. | 3 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2(-3) | 1 |
|  | 60. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 61. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 62. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | +2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | I |
| Style | 63. | 6.5-7.5 | c.7.0 |  | c. 4.0 | 12.0-15.0 | 4.2-4.5 | 13.5-22.0 | 12.0-15.0 | 10.5-14.0 | c.1.2 |
|  | 64. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 65. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Mutlets | 66. | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 67. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
|  | 68. |  |  | 3 | 3 | +3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 69. | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2n | 70. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |

robusta aasukuensis ayriantha verneyana cacondensis melloanni runguensis grandiflora pilosa coapacta

| Habit | 1. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2. | 3 | 1-3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Steas | 3. | 90-300 | 60-120 | 90-180 | 40-105 | 35-120 | 50-100 | 100-150 | 15-34 | 20-75 | 15-35 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |
|  | 5. | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2-4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Leaves | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9-3 | 2-7 | 2-7 | 7 | 1-2 | (1-12 | 1-2 |
|  | 7. | 3-2 | 2 | 2 | 1-2 | 2-3 | 2 | 2 | 3-2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 8. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 9. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2-3 |
|  | 10. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 11. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 12. | 2 | 2 | 2 | +2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 13. | 1.5-2.5 | 0.5-2.0 | 1.0-6.0 | 0.7-2.3 | 1.0-4.0 | 2.0-4.0 | 2.0-4.5 | 1.0-3.0 | 1.5-5.0 | 1.5-7.0 |
|  | 14. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | ( $(-3)$ | 2 | 3 | 3 | , |
|  | 15. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | \| $1-3 \mid$ | 2 | 3 | $2+3$ | 3 |
|  | 16. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | 17. | 3 | 3 | 3 | (3) | (3) | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 18. | 3-4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | -4 | 4 |
|  | 19. | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  | 20. | 9.0-43.0 | 7.0-23.0 | 16-40(-65) | 7.0-18.0 | 12-45 | 12-47 | 14.0-23.0 | 12.0-25.0 | 6.0-23.5 | 7.0-17.0 |
|  | 21. | 8.0-31.0 | 5.0-17.0 | 9-30(-41) | 3.0-11.5 | 7.5-20.0 | 6.0-99.5 | 6.0-11.0 | 10.0-22.0 | 5.5-21.5 | 6.0-17.0 |
| Inflor- | 22. | 4-15 | 4-10 | 5-15 | 1-10 | 3-12 | 3-9 | 2-6 | 1 | ${ }_{1-5}$ | $1(-6)$ |
| escence | 23. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 24. | 3-4 | 2 | 2 | 1-2 | 2 | 2-4 | 2-3 | 2-4 | 1-2 | 2 |
|  | 25. | 0-0.2 | 0 | 0-0.7 | 0 | 0.3-1.0 | 0.5-1.7 | 0.3-0.5 | 7.0-11.0 | 1.5-22.0 | 4.0-20.0 |
|  | 26. | 0.2-0.6 | 0-0.6 | 0.2-1.0 | 0-0.4 | 1.8-3.0 | 0-0.7 | 0.9-1.5 | 5.0-7.0 | 1.5-5.0 | 1.5-5.0 |
|  | 27. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | ] | I |
|  | 28. | 3.5-5.0 | 3.0-5.5 | 1.5-7.0 | 1.0-7.5 | 2.0-5.0 | 3.0-8.0 | 1.5-4.0 | 7.0-11.0 | 1.0-1.8 | 1.0-2.0 |
| Calys | 29. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
|  | 30. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 31. | 2.4-3.2 | 2.5-3.5 | 3.2-4.0 | 3.0-3.8 | 3.0-3.5 | 2.5-3.5 | 3.6-4.0 | 3.5-5.0 | 2.0-3.5 | 1.3-2.0 |
|  | 32. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 33. | 1.0-2.0 | 1.7-2.7 | 2.0-2.5 | 2.0-2.7 | 1.8-2.2 | 1.7-3.5 | 1.5-1.7 | 4.0-5.0 | 0.9-1.7 | 1.4-2.0 |
|  | 34. | 1.3-1.8 | 1.7-2.7 | 2.0-2.5 | 2.1-2.8 | 1.8-2.2 | 1.7-3.5 | 1.5-1.7 | 4.0-4.5 | 0.9-1.7 | 1.4-2.0 |
|  | 35. | 0.4-0.8 | 1.3-2.5 | 1.4-2.0 | 1.5-2.0 | 1.2-1.5 | 1.3-3.0 | 1.0-1.5 | 3.6-4.2 | 0.9-1.7 | 1.4-2.0 |
|  | 36. | 1.3-1.7 | 1.7-2.6 | 1.8-2.3 | 2.1-2.8 | 1.7-2.2 | 1.7-3.5 | 1.5-1.7 | 4.0-4.5 | 0.9-1.7 | 1.4-2.0 |
|  | 37. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 38. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 39. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 40. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 41. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | +1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 42. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 43. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2-3 |
|  | 44. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Corolla | 46. | 4.5-5.5 | 5.7-8.0 | 5.5-7.5 | 4.5-7.3 | 4.0-6.5 | 4.5-8.0 | 5.0-6.0 | 11.0-15.0 | 5.0-12.0 | 3.0-5.0 |
|  | 47. | 1.4-3.2 | 2.8-4.5 | 2.5-4.0 | 3.5-5.0 | 2.5-4.5 | 3.0-4.5 | 2.7-3.8 | 2.0-4.0 | 1.0-3.0 | 1.5-2.0 |
|  | 48. | 1.0-2.4 | 2.1-3.0 | 2.0-3.0 | 2.5-3.0 | 1.7-2.5 | 2.0-2.8 | 2.8-4.0 | 5.0-6.0 | 3.0-7.0 | 1.5-2.2 |
|  | 49. | 1 | 3-2 | 1-2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3-2 | 1 | 1-2 | 1 |
|  | 50. | 1 | 1 | 1 | +2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 54. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2-3 | 2 |
| Staoens | 55. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 56. | c. 2.5 | c.3.7 | c.3.5 | c.3.0 | 2.7-3.0 | c.5.5 | c.3.0 | c.4.0 | 0.9-1.5 | 1.4-2.0 |
|  | 57. | c. 3.5 | c.5.5 | c.5.5 | c.4.0 | 3.5-5.0 | c.7.0 | c.3.7 | c.5.0 | 6.3 .0 | 2.0-3.0 |
|  | 58. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 59. | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | +3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 60. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | +1 | $+1$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 61. | $1+4$ | 1 | 1 | $1+4$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1+2 | 1 |
|  | 62. | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Style | 63. | 5.5-8.0 | 8.5-12.5 | 8.0-13.5 | 7.0-10.0 | 5.5-10.0 | 6.0-10.0 | 7.0-8.5 | 10.0-15.0 | 6.0-13.0 | $3.0-5.0$ |
|  | 64. | $+1$ | 2 | 2 | +2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | ${ }_{2}^{0 \cdot 0}$ |
|  | 65. | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Hutlets | 66. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1-2 | 1-2 |
|  | 67. | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1+4 | 4 |  | , | 1 | 1 |
|  | 68. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | 69. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| 2n | 70. | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Habit |  | linearifolia | thyobrifolia | vulgare atlan | nticus | chinense utib | Ubrocua aac | sacranthue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2. | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Stegs | 3. | 11-25 | 10-40 | 20-80 | 8-20 | 25-70 | 15-60 | 50-60 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 5. | 1 | 4 | 1-2 | 1 | 2 | 2-1 | 2 |
| Leaves | 6. | 5-6 | 5-6 | 7-2 | 2 | 7 | 2-7 | 2-7 |
|  | 7. | 1 | 1 | 2-6 | 2-6 | 3 | 6-2(-3) | 3-4 |
|  | 8. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 9. | 2 | 2 | 1-2 | 2 | 2(-1) | 2-1 | 11-2) |
|  | 10. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 11. | H | HA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 12. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 13. | 0 | 0 | 1.5-14.0 | 1.3-4.0 | 6.0-21.0 | 1.5-9.0 | 4, 0-9,0 |
|  | 14. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 15. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 16. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 17. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 18. | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 19. | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |
|  | 20. | 5.0-8.0 | 7.5-13.0 | 10-65(-80) | 4.5-16.0 | 0 (25-130-67 | -67 10.0-45.0 | $036-58$ |
|  | 21. | 1.5-2.3 | 1.6-2.4 | 3.5-301-40 | 4.0-11.5 | . $112-116-31$ | -31 6.0-31.0 | 20-38 |
| Inflor- | 22. | 1-3 | 3-5 | 3-20 | 2-7 | 4-15 | 3-20 | 6-10 |
| escence | 23. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1-2 | 1-2 | 3 |
|  | 24. | 4 | 4 | 1-2 | 1-2 | 1-3 | 1-4 | 3-4 |
|  | 25, | $0-0.3$ | 0 | 0.5-0.8 | 0.3-0.8 | 8 0-0.5 (-2.0 | $2.00 .2-1.0$ | 1.5-2.0 |
|  | 26. | 0 | 0 | 0.8-1.5 | 1.0-2.8 | 0.8-2.01-4 | -4 1.0-2.9 | 2.5-6.0 |
|  | 27. | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | $?$ | 2 |
|  | 28. | 2.0-2.5 | 2.0-3.0 | 5.5-12.0 | 2.0-4,6 | (2,0-13.0- | 0-11.0-13.0- | 0-4.0-6.5 |
| Calyx | 29. | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 30. | +2 | +2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 31. | 1.6-1.9 | c. 1.8 | 4.5-6.5 | 2.5-3.4 | 4 3.5-6.3 | 2.8-7.5 | 5.3-5.6 |
|  | 32. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 33. | 1.1-1.3 | c.1.0 | 2.0-5.7 | 2.5-3.0 | - 1.4-3.2 | 1.3-3.7 | 3.0-4.2 |
|  | 34. | 1.1-1.3 | c. 1.0 | 2.0-6.0 | 2.6-3.5 | 1.5-3.6 | 1.8-3.6 | 3.5-4.0 |
|  | 35. | 1.1-1.3 | c.1.0 | 1.5-4.0 | 1.5-2.0 | 1.0-1.7 | 0.7-2.2 | 2.5-3.0 |
|  | 36. | 1.1-1.3 | c.1.0 | $2.0-6.0$ | 2.6-3.5 | 1.5-3.6 | 1.8-3.6 | 3.5-4.0 |
|  | 37. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1-2 |
|  | 38. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 39. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 40. | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 41. | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 42. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 43. | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 44. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Corolla | 46. | c. 6.4 | c. 6.0 | 9.0-15.5 | c.5.5 | $6.5-16.3$ | 5.5-15.5 | 12.5-16.5 |
|  | 47. | c. 2.4 | c.3.4 | 2.0-2.7 | c.1.5 | 1.0-4.0 | 1.3-3.0 | 2.0-2.7 |
|  | 48. | c.2.8 | c.3.4 | $2.0-4.5$ | c. 2.0 | 1.0-4.0 | 1.5-3.5 | 4.0-4.5 |
|  | 49. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 50. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 54. | 3-2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2-1 |  |
| Stanens | 55. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 54. | c. 2.0 | c. 4.0 | 0.7-2.7 | c. 1.0 | c.1.6 | 0.6-2.0 | c. 1.6 |
|  | 57. | c. 3.2 | c.4.5 | 1.5-4.5 | 1.7-2.0 | c. 2.5 | 1.6-4.4 | c.4.5 |
|  | 58. | 2 | 2 | 1-2 | 1 | +1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 59. | 2-3 | +2 | $?$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 60. | 2 | +2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 61. | 1 | $1+4$ | 1 | $1+2$ | $1+4$ | $1+2$ | 1 |
|  | 62. | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Style | 63. | c. 7.0 | [.9.5 | 10.0-20.0 | c. 6.0 | $8.5-21.5$ | 5.5-18.0 | 16.0-17.5 |
|  | 64. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 65. | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | ? |
| Mutlets | 66. |  | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 67. |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 68. |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 69. |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 2 n | 70. |  |  | 20 |  |  | 20, 36, 38 |  |

grandiflora betulifolia tauricola panphylica piperelloides sylvatica mepeta rouyana candidissia cretica

| Habit | 1. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2. | 1-2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |
| Steas | 3. | 15-60 | 15-40 | 10-40 | 10-25 | 5.0-12.0 | 15-80 | 20-80 | 2-10 | 25-60 | 10-40 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |
|  | 5. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1-4 | 1-2 | 4 | MA | , |
| Leaves | 6. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | 2-1 |
|  | 7. | 3-4 | 3-4 | 2-3 | 2 | 2 | 2-3-6 | 2-3-6 | 2 | 6-5 | 2 |
|  | 8. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11-3) | 1 | I | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 9. | 2 | 2 | 1-2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 10. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 11. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 12. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | +2 |
|  | 13. | 6.0-17.0 | 5.0-20.0 | 1.0-5.0 | 2.5-11.0 | 1.0-3.0 | 4.0-16.0 | 1.5-9.0 | 1.0-2.6 | 1.0-6.0 | 1.0-4.5 |
|  | 14. | 3 | 2-3 | 2(-3) | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | , |
|  | 15. | (1-13 | 2-3 | 2(-3) | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |  |
|  | 16. | +1 | $+1$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $+1$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 17. | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 18. | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 19. | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |
|  | 20. | 25-85 | 17-37 | 9.0-29.0 | 8.0-24.0 | 4.5-9.3 | 12-70 | 8.5-45.0 | 2.7-8.0 | 6.0-16.0 | 6.0-15.0 |
|  | 21. | 16-49 | 10-28 | 5.0-22.0 | 8.5-21.0 | 4.0-8.0 | 9-45 | 6.0-30.0 | 1.5-6.5 | $6.0-16.0$ | 5.5-14.5 |
| Inflor- | 22. | 1-6 | 1-5 | 1-4 | 1-6 | 1 | 3-9(-15) | 2-20 | 1 | 1-8 |  |
| escence | 23. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2-3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 24. | 2-4 | 2-3 | 2-4 | 2-4 | 4 | 1-2 | 1-2 | 2 |  | 1-4 |
|  | 25. | 0.5-9.5 | 1.7-10.0 | 1.0-1.5 | 1.6-2.7 | 1.7-2.0 | 0-15.0 | 0-18.0 | 0.7-1.2 | 1.0-13.0 | 0-4,01-7.0) |
|  | 26. | 1.2-7.0 | 1.0-3. 6 | 0.4-0.5 | 3.5-4.5 | 1.0-1.8 | (0-5.0) | 0-10.0 | 0.7-1.6 | 1.0-6.7 | 1.0-4.5 |
|  | 27. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3-4 | 2 |
|  | 28. | 3.0-6.5 | 2.4-10.0 | 0.6-6.0 | 2.3-4.3 | 1.7-2.3 | 1.5-2.7 | 0.8-3.0 | $0.6-0.7$ | 1.5-3,0 | 1.0-2.3 |
| Calyx | 29. | 1-2 | [1-2] | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 30. | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | 31. | 5.0-10.0 | 7.5-11.0 | 4.5-7.7 | 6.0-8.0 | 6.5-8.0 | 4.0-6.2 | 2.5-3.8 | 2.0-2.5 | 3.0-4.2 | 2.9-3.5 |
|  | 32. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 33. | 2.0-4.0 | 2.0-2.8 | 1.3-2.0 | 1.7-2.0 | 2.8-3.2 | 1.5-2.6 | 0.7-7.0 | 0.7-0.8 | 1.3-1.5 | 1.0-1.5 |
|  | 34. | 2.5-5.0 | 2.0-2.8 | 1.3-2.0 | 1.7-2.0 | 3.0- ${ }^{-5}$ | 2.0-4.0 | 1.0-2.6 | 1.0-1.3 | 1.8-2.5 | 1.5-2.1 |
|  | 35. | 0.8-2.5 | 1.0-1.5 | 0.7-1.7 | 0.8-1.5 | 2.0-2.2 | 0.9-1.9 | 0.5-1.0 | 0.4-0.6 | 0.6-0.7 | $0.5-0.9$ |
|  | 36. | 2.5-4.5 | 2.0-2.6 | 1.3-2.0 | 1.7-2.0 | 3.0-3.5 | 2.0-4.0 | 1.0-2.6 | 1.0-1.3 | 1.8-2.5 | 1.5-2.1 |
|  | 37. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2-3 | 2 | $3{ }^{1}$ | 2-3 |
|  | 38. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1-2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 39. | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 40. | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2-3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 41. | 1 | 1 | 1 | $+1$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | +1 | +2 | 1 |
|  | 42. | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 43. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 44. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2(-3) | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2-3 | 3 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Carolla | 46. | 12.5-33.5 | 13.0-22.5 | 12.0-16.0 | 11.0-20.5 | 16.5-17.0 | 9.0-17.0 | 6.0-10.5 | 3.0-4.6 | 6.0-14.2 | 5.0-7.0 |
|  | 47. | 3.0-6.0 | 1.5-2.0 | 2.0-2.5 | 2.0-3.0 | c.3.2 | 2.0-5.5 | 2.0-2.5 | 1.0-1.3 | 1.5-3.0 | 1.0-1.5 |
|  | 48. | 4.0-11.0 | 2.0-4.5 | $3.5-4.0$ | 3.5-6.0 | c.6.7 | 2.0-2.5 | 3.0-3.5 | 1.4-1.5 | 1.5-3.0 | 1.5-3.0 |
|  | 49. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 50. | 1 | 2 | 2 | +1 | 2 | +2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | (1-2) |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | , | 3 | 1 |
|  | 54. | 1-2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 3 |
| Stanems | 55. | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 56. | 1.5-2.5 | c.1.0 | c.0.6 | c.2.3 | 6.1 .3 | 1.6-2.5 | 1.4-1.9 | c.0.5 | c.2.1 | c.1.2 |
|  | 57. | 7.0-12.0 | c. 3.5 | c.2.0 | 6.3.8 | c.3.0 | 5.5-6.0 | 3.3-4.3 | c.1.3 | 5.3-6.5 | c.2,0 |
|  | 58. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $+1$ |
|  | 59. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2-3 | 2 |
|  | 60. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 61. | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | 1 | 1 | 1-4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 62. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
| Style | 63. | 20.0-36.0 | 15.0-22.0 | 13.5-17.5 | 12.0-20.5 | 20.5-21.0 | 11.0-20.0 | 9,0-15.0 | 3.5-5.0 | 8.0-20.0 | 5.0-9.0 |
|  | 64. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2-3 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 65. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| Hutlets | 66. | 2-3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 67. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 68. | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 69. | 1-2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 2 n | 70. | 22 |  |  |  |  | 24,48 | 24,42,48,7 |  |  |  |

incana kilipandschari uhligii paradoxa gracilis aicrantha confinis ussuriensis debilis piperita

| Habit | 1. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2. | 2 | 1-2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Steas | 3. | 10-32 | 8-27 | 15-150 | 12-50 | 10-40 | 30-40 | $8-30$ | 10-65 | 7-20 | 40-100 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 5. | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | +1 | 2-1 |
| Leaves | 6. | 2-1 | $+1$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2-7 |  | 7-9 |
|  | 7. | 1-6 | 2-6 | 6-2(-5) | 2-6 | 2 | 2-3 | 2-6 | 3-4 | 2 | 2-6 |
|  | 8. | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 9. | 2 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 3-2 | 2(-1) | 1 | 2(-1) | (1-2) | 2 | 2-1 |
|  | 10. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 11. | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 12. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | , | 2 |
|  | 13. | 0.5-2.5 | 0.5-3.5 | 0.5-2.0 | 1.0-5.5 | 2.5-8.0 | 4.0-12.0 | 3.0-9.0 | 5.0-25.0 | 5.0-15.0 | 4.0-11.0 |
|  | 14. | 3 | 3 | +1-3 | 1 | (1-3) | ( $1-3$ ) | 1(-3) | 1-3 | 1(-3) | (1-1) |
|  | 15. | 3 | 3 | +1-3 | (1-3) | 1(-3) | 1(-3) | ( $(-3)$ | 1-3 | (1-3) | $(1-13$ |
|  | 16. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | +2 |
|  | 17. | 3 | 3 | 1-3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 18. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1(-4) | 4 | (1-4) | 4 | 4 |  |
|  | 19. |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20. | 3.0-14.0 | 4.0-8.0 | 8.5-21.0 | 14.0-32.0 | 8.0-30.0 | 17,0-30,0 | 10.0-33.0 | 12-57 | 10.0-20.0 | 9.0-38.0 |
|  | 21. | $3.0-14.0$ | 3.3-7.5 | 6.3-19.0 | 11.0-27.0 | 5.5-18.5 | 9.0-19.0 | 7.5-19.0 | 7.0-29.0 | 4.0-10.0 | 3.5-19.5 |
| Inflor- | 22. | 1-4 | 1 | 1-7. | 2-7 | 3-10 | 3-12 | 2-9 | 1-9 | [-3(-5) | 1-4 |
| escence | 23. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1-2 | 2 | 1-2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 24. | 1-2 | 3-4 | 3-4 | 4 | 1-4 | 1-3 | 2-4 | 3-4 | 3-4 | 4 |
|  | 25. | 0-2.5 | 0.4-1.0 | 0.7-1.0 | 0 | $0-0.3$ | 0-0.5 | 0-0.5 | 0-0.3 | 0-0.5 | 0-2.0 |
|  | 26. | 1.5-6.5 | 0.8-1.8 | 1.5-3.5 | 1.7-2.4 | 0.5-5.0 | 1.3-3.0 | 1.0-4.0 | 0.8-5.0 | 1.0-3.5 | 1.3-2.1 |
|  | 27. | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 28. | 1.0-1.5 | 0.5-0.6 | 1.5-3.8 | 1.0-2.5 | 1.0-5.0 | 1.0-2.8 | 0.3-0.7 | 1.0-2.3 | 0.5-1.0 | 0.8-2.0 |
| Calyx | 29. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 30. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $+2$ |
|  | 31. | 2.2-3.2 | 2.4-2.9 | 4.5-6.0 | 3.3-4.5 | 1.7-2.7 | 2.5-3.0 | 2.7-3.2 | 2.5-3.7 | 2.7-3.6 | 5.3-7.6 |
|  | 32. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | +2 | 2 |
|  | 33. | 0.8-1.6 | 1.1-1.5 | 1.7-2.7 | 2.0-2.5 | 1.2-1.7 | 1.3-1.6 | 1.0-1.3 | 1.3-2.2 | 1.8-2,3 | 2.2-3.0 |
|  | 34. | 1.3-2.6 | 1.5-1.9 | 1.7-2.7 | 2.0-2.5 | 1.4-1.8 | 1.5-1.7 | 1.1-1.5 | 1.5-2.2 | 1.8-3.0 | 2.2-3.0 |
|  | 35. | 0.4-0.6 | 0.5-0.7 | 0.5-1.2 | 0.8-1.0 | 0.3-0.6 | 0.3-0.5 | 0.3-0.5 | 0.3-0.7 | 1,0-1.5 | 1.3-2.6 |
|  | 36. | 1.3-2.6 | 1.5-1.9 | 1.7-2.7 | 2.0-2.5 | 1.4-1.8 | 1.5-1.7 | 1.1-1.5 | 1.5-2.2 | 1.8-3.0 | 2.2-3.0 |
|  | 37. | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 38. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 39. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 40. | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 41. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 42. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1-3 |
|  | 43. | 2(-3) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 44. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Corolla | 46. | 5.0-8.3 | 4.7-5.3 | 8.0-12.0 | 5.5-7.6 | 2.8-4.0 | c.1.7 | 3.5-3.8 | 4.0-5.4 |  | $9.0-16.0$ |
|  | 47. | 1.5-3.5 | 1.0-1.3 | 2.5-4.5 | 2.0-2.6 | 0.4-0.7 | 5.1 .0 | 0.8-1.0 | 1.0-1.5 |  | 2.5-2.7 |
|  | 48. | 2.0-3.5 | 1.5-2.5 | 3.0-6.5 | 1.6-2.4 | 0.7-1.5 | c. 0.8 | 1.0-1.4 | 2.0-2.3 |  | 3.5-4.5 |
|  | 49. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 50. | $+1$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 54. | 2 | 2 | 2-4 | 2 | 2-3 | 3-2 | 2 | 3-2 | 3 | 2 |
| Stanens | 55. | 1 |  | 3 | 2 | 3 |  | 3 | 1 |  | 3 |
|  | 56. | 1.7-3.4 | c. 0.5 | 3.0-3.5 | 6.2.3 | c.0.4 |  | c. 0.5 | c. 1.0 |  | 6.2 .7 |
|  | 57. | 2.6-5.6 | 6.1 .7 | 5.8-6.0 | c.3.2 | 6.1.6 |  | c.1.4 | c.2.0 |  | c.4.5 |
|  | 58. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | (1-2) |
|  | 59. | 3 | +2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | +2 |  | 2-3 |
|  | 60. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | $1+2$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | $1+2$ |
|  | 61. | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | $1+2$ | 1 |
|  | 62. | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | i |
| Style | 63. | 7.0-11.0 | 5.0-5.5 | 10.0-16.0 | 7.5-13.0 | 2.8-4.0 |  | 4.0-4.3 | 5.0-6.5 |  | 11.5-21.5 |
|  | 64. | 2 | $J$ | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 |
|  | 65. | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Hutlets | 66. | 3 | 2 | 2 |  | 2-3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 67. | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 68. | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 69. | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

alpinus suaveolens aryensis rotundifolius nanus troodii corsicus sigensis pseudosioensis

| Habit | 1. | 2 | 2 | 1-2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2. | 2 | 2 | 1 | , | 1 | 3 |  | 1 | 1-2 |
| Steos | 3. | 5-45 | 10-45 | 10-40 | 3-30 | 1-4(-6.5) | 3-10 | 2-10 | 12-30 | 15-60(-120) |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | , | - |
|  | 5. | 2-4 | 2-4 | $+4$ | 2-4 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 1 |
| Leaves | 6. | 2-91-1) | 2 | 2 | 1-2 | 2-1 | 1-2(-7) | 1-2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 7. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 11-6) | 1 | 2-3 | 2-6 |
|  | 8. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 9. | 1-2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1-2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 10. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 11. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |
|  | 12. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 13. | 1.5-5.0 | 1.0-5.0 | 1.0-3.5 | 1.5-9.0 | 0.7-2.5 | 1.0-3.0 | 1.5-3.3 | 2.0-5.0 | 0.5-1.5 |
|  | 14. | (1-2-)3 | $3(-1)$ | (2-13 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3.5 |
|  | 15. | (1-2-13 | 3 $1-11$ | [2-13 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 16. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | , | 1 | 1 |
|  | 17. | 3 | 3 | 2-3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3(-2) |
|  | 18. | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 31 |
|  | 19. | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 20. | 3.5-20.0 | 9.0-19.0 | 8.0-15.0 | 5.0-18.0 | 2.0-4.5 | 2.3-7.0 | 3.0-10.0 | 6.0-25.0 | 5.0-25.0 |
|  | 21. | 2.5-16.0 | 2.0-8.0 | 3.2-7.5 | 3.0-14.5 | 1.8-4.3 | 1.5-5.5 | 2.6-5.0 | 4.0-20.0 | 3.0-22.0 |
| Inflor- | 22. | 1-4 | 1-4 | 1-5 | 1-6 | 1-2 | 1-2 | 1-2 | 1-11 |  |
| escence | 23. | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 24. | 2-4 | 2-4 | 2-4 | 2-4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1-4 | 2-4 |
|  | 25. | 0 | 0 | $0-0.3$ | 0 | 0 | 0-0.8 | 0 | - | 0-0.3 |
|  | 26. | 1.6-3.0 | 2.0-3.0 | 1.0-2.7 | 1.3-4.0 | 1.0-2.2 | 0.5-3.0 | 1.0-1.6 | 1.4-5.0 | 1.7-5.5 |
|  | 27. | 2 | 3. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2-3 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 28. | 0.7-1.2 | 0.5-2.0 | 0.5-1.0 | 0.6-1.5 | 0.7-1.5 | 0.5-3.6 | 0.7-1.0 | 1.0-2.2 | 1.0-4.0 |
| Calyz | 29. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30. | 3 | $3$ | $3$ | $3$ | $3$ | $3$ | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 31. | 3.5-4.5 | 4.3-5.5 | 3.0-4.3 | 3.5-6.0 | 3.5-4.0 | 5.5-7.0 | 4.3-4,6 | 2.0-4.2 | 3.6-4.8 |
|  | 32. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | +2 | $+2$ | 1 | 1 |
|  | 33. | 1.5-2.6 | 2.3-3.2 | 1.0-2.5 | 3.0-4.0 | 1.5-2.3 | 1.8-2.7 | 2.0-2.3 | 1.5-2.3 | 1.7-2.3 |
|  | 34. | 1.5-3.3 | 2.3-3.5 | 1.0-2.5 | 2.5-3.5 | 1.5-2.3 | 2.0-3.2 | 2.3-2.5 | 1.6-2.6 | 1.5-2.3 |
|  | 35. | 0.5-2.0 | 1.3-1.8 | 0.5-1.5 | 1.3-2.5 | 0.8-1.2 | 1.1-2.0 | 0.9-1.3 | 0.3-0.7 | 0.6-1.5 |
|  | 36. | 1.5-3.3 | 2.3-3.5 | 1.0-2.5 | 2.5-3.5 | 1.5-2.3 | 2.0-3.2 | 2.3-2.5 | 1.6-2.6 | 1.3-2.1 |
|  | 37. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2-1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1. | +2 |
|  | 38. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 39. | 3 | 3 | 3(-2) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 40. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | +2 |
|  | 41. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 42. | (1-3) | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3-1 | 3 |
|  | 43. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 44. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Corolla | 46. | 7.0-13.5 | 8.0-13.0 | 6.5-10.5 | 5.5-11.0 | 6.4.3 | 9.0-14.0 | 9.5-11.0 | 3.5-8.0 | 5.0-8.0 |
|  | 47. | 1.4-3.3 | 2.0-2.8 | 0.7-2.5 | 1.2-1.7 | c.0.6 | 1.6-2.0 | 2.5-3.2 | 0.6-1.7 | 1.5-3.2 |
|  | 48. | 3.2-6.0 | 2.0-3.5 | 1.5-3.0 | 1.5-3.0 | c.1.5 | 2.4-4.0 | 3.2-3.5 | 0.6-3.5 | 1.8-3.5 |
|  | 49. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1. |
|  | 50. | +2 | 2 | (1-2) | $+2$ | +1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | , |
|  | 54. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Stanens | 55. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 56. | c. 1,8 | c. 2.4 | 1.2-1.4 | 6.1.4 | c. 0.4 | c. 2.0 | c. 2.0 | 0.4-0.8 | 1.5-4.0 |
|  | 57. | c. 3.3 | c.4.0 | 2.5-2.8 | 6.2.5 | c.0.6 | c.4.2 | c.4.3 | c. 3.0 | 3.5-5.0 |
|  | 58. | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1. | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 59. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | +2 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 2 |
|  | 60. | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 61. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 62. | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Style | 63. | 7.0-13.5 | 10.0-16.0 | 7.5-13.0 | 7.0-12.0 | c.6.0 | 9.0-14.0 | 12.0-14.0 | 3.3-7.5 | $6.0-11.0$ |
|  | 64. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 65. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Hutlets | 65. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | 67. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | 69. | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |
|  | 69. | 1 | 1 | +2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
| 2 n | 70. | 18 | 18 | 18 | 36 |  |  |  |  | 22 |


| Habit |  | niveua | origani- <br> foliun | leucotrichura | stanineus | longiflorua | haussknecthtii | depruperatue | straussii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Steos | 2. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 3. | 20-50 | 7-40 | 15-34 | 14-55 | 13-35 | 20-30 | 20-30 | 25-30 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 5. | 4 | (1-3) | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| Leaves | 6. | 91-2) | 2 | 2-7 | 2(-7) | 2 | 7 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 7. | 1(-2) | (1-6) | \| $1-2 \mid$ | (1-6) | 1(-6) | 2 | 2 | 1(-6) |
|  | 8. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | , | 1 |
|  | 9. | 2-1 | 2 | 1 | 1-2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 10. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 11. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 12. | +2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 13. | 1.0-2.0 | 0.5-2.5 | 0.6-3.5 | 1.5-5.0 | 0.7-5.5 | 1.0-3.0 | 1.5-3.0 | 1.5-3.0 |
|  | 14. | 4 | 2-3 | $2+3$ | 2 | 3 | 2-3 | 3 | $2+3$ |
|  | 15. | 4 | 2-3 | $2+3$ | 2 | 3 | 2-3 |  | $2+3$ |
|  | 16. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 17. | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 18. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | +4 | 3 |  | 3 |
|  | 19. | 1 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |
|  | 20. | 6.0-16.0 | 6.0-18.0 | 14.0-25.0 | 14.0-30.0 | 9.0-22.0 | 8.0-17.5 | 8.0-12.0 | 10.0-15.0 |
|  | 21. | 5.0-10.5 | 4.0-13.0 | 7.5-15.5 | 8.0-19.0 | 5.0-20.0 | 4.0-9.0 | 7.5-10.0 | 8.0-14.0 |
| Intlor- | 22. | 3-8 | 1-14 | 1-9 | 1-7 | 3-12 | 3-9 | 11-3) | 4-10 |
| escence | 23. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |
|  | 24. | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | 1-2 | 1-2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 25. | 0.7-2.0 | 0.8-2.0 | 0.4-0.6 | 0.2-0.8 | 0-0.5 | 0-0.5 | 0.5-1.7 | 0-3.0 |
|  | 26. | 0.5-1.2 | 0.2-1.7 | 0.51-1.5) | 0.2-1.0 | 0-0.5 | 0-0.3 | 0.3-0.6 | 0-0.8 |
|  | 27. | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 28. | 1.6-3.0 | 2.5-7.0 | 2.5-5.0 | 3.0-5.5 | 3.0-7.5 | 3.0-4.5 | 2.0-3.5 | 2.5-3.0 |
| Calys | 29. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | $3-2$ |
|  | 30. | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 31. | 4.0-6.0 | 3.3-5.0 | 4.3-4.6 | 4.4-5.8 | 4.7-7.5 | $3.0-3.5$ | $5.0-6.0$ | 3.0-3.5 |
|  | 32. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 . | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 33. | 0.9-1.3 | 1.0-2.1 | 1.5-2.6 | 3.0-3.4 | 2.5-4.0 | 2.9-3.2 | 1.5-3.0 | c. 1.5 |
|  | 34. | 1.0-1.5 | 1.0-2.1 | 1.5-2.6 | 3.0-3.4 | 3.0-4.5 | 2.9-3.2 | 1.5-3.0 | c.1.5 |
|  | 35. | 0.4-0.9 | 0.5-1.0 | 1.3-2.0 | 2.0-2.5 | 2.0-3.5 | 1.9-2.4 | 1.5-2.8 | c. 1.0 |
|  | 36. | 1.0-1.5 | 1.0-2.1 | 1.5-2.6 | 3.0-3.4 | 3.0-4.5 | 2.9-3.2 | 1.5-3.0 | c.1.5 |
|  | 37. | 3-2 | 2 | 1-2 | 1-2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | +1 |
|  | 38. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 39. | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 40. | 2 | +2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 41. | $+1$ | 1 | 2 | +1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 42. | 3 | 3 | (1-3) | 3 | 3 | 1 ? | 3 | 1 ? |
|  | 43. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 44. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Carolla | 46. | 5.0-6.5 | 5.0-8.0 | 7.0-9.0 | 7.5-8.8 | 9.0-15.0 | 7.0-8.0 |  | 6.5-7.5 |
|  | 47. | 2.0-2.5 | 2.5-3.5 | 2.0-2.5 | 2.0-3.5 | $3.0-3.5$ | 2.0-2.6 |  | 2.0-2.5 |
|  | 48. | 2.0-2.8 | 3.0-4.0 | 2.0-2.5 | 2.5-4.0 | 3.0-3.5 | 2.5-3.7 |  | 2.0-3.0 |
|  | 49. | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 50. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 51. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 52. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | , |
|  | 53. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 54. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Stanens | 55. | 1 | 2-3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
|  | 56. | 3.5-5.0 | 5.0-7.5 | 5.0-6.0 | 6.0-8.0 | 5.0-9.0 | 6.8.5 |  | c. 5.0 |
|  | 57. | 4.0-5.5 | 5.0-8.0 | 5.0-6.0 | 6.0-8.0 | 6.0-10.0 | c.9.0 |  | c.5.0 |
|  | 58. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 59. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 60. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 61. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 62. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| Style | 63. | 8.5-10.0 | 10.0-15.0 | 10.0-15.0 | 12.0-18.0 | 16.0-20.0 | 10.0-11.5 |  | 6.13 .0 |
|  | 64. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 65. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Hutlets | 66. |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |
|  | 67. |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 68. |  | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |
|  | 69. |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| 20 | 70. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

oultiflora elliptica aacrostead jaliscana junctionis gexicana seleriana adderensis acutifolia togentosa

| Habit | 1. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2. | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | (1-2?) | 4 | 4 |
| Stegs | 3. | 100-150 | 100-150 | 60-180 | 200-300 |  |  | 100-200 | 10-30 | 50-150 | 50-150 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | 5. | 1 | 3 | $+1$ |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Leaves | 6. | 2-7 | 2-7 | 2-7 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 2-7 | 2 | 9 | 1-9 |
|  | 7. | 3-2 | 3-2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | (1-6) | 1 | 2 |
|  | 8. | (1-3) | (1-3) | 1-3 | 3 | 1 | 1-3 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 3-4 |
|  | 9. | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2-3 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 10. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 11. | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 12. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 13. | 2.0-8.0 | 1.0-6.0 | 2.5-7.0 | 1.0-2.0 | 1.0-2.0 | 1.0-5.0 | 2.5-4.5 | 3.0-9.0 | 1.0-4.0 | 1.0-2.0 |
|  | 14. | $+1$ | 3 | 1-3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | (2-13 | 3 | $2+3$ |
|  | 15. | 1 | 1 | 1-3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | (2-13 | 3 | 3+2 |
|  | 16. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | +2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 17. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | HA | (3) | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 18. | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 3 | 4 | +4 |  | 3 |
|  | 19. | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  |
|  | 20. | 20-50 | 15-50 | 10-55 | 12-26 | 5.0-10.0 | 3.0-19.0 | 15-25 | 12-20(-39) | 10-25 | 10.0-15.0 |
|  | 21. | 9-20 | 8-20 | 3-30 |  | $3.0-6.0$ | 2.5-12.0 | 6.3-12.0 | 6-141-30) | 3, 0-8.0 | 7.0-11.0 |
| Intlor- | 22. | 3-15 | 3-15 | 3-8 | 1 | $1-3$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1-3 |  |
| escence | 23. | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 24. |  | 2 | 2-4 |  | 2-4 | 4 | 3-4 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 25. | 5.0-10.0 | 3.0-10.0 | 2.0-4.0 | c.0.3 | 0.4-0.6 | 0.2-1.0 | 1.0-3.0 | $0-2.0$ | 0-0.5 | 0-1.5 |
|  | 26. | 2.0-4.0 | 2.0-4.0 | 4.0-8.0 | 5.0-10.0 | 1.0-2.5 | 1.0-4.0 | 3.0-7.0 | 3.0-6.5 | 1.0-2.0 | 1.0-4.0 |
|  | 27. | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 28. | c. 1.0 | 5.0-11.0 | 1.0-2.5 | c. 0.3 | 1.5-5.0 | c. 2.0 | 0.5-0.9 | 1.0-1.5 | 2.0-5.0 | 1.0-2.0 |
| Calys | 29. | 2 | (1-12 | 3(-4) | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 30. | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 |  |
|  | 31. | 5.0-7.0 | 5.0-8.0 | 4.0-4.5 | 2.5-3. 5 | 4.0-4.7 | 4.0-6.0 | 3.8-5.0 | 5.9-8.0 | 5.0-6.0 | 4.5-5.0 |
|  | 32. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 33. | 1.8-2.5 | 3.0-4.6 | 3.0-5.0 |  | 1.4-1.6 | 0.5-2.5 | 1.8-2.3 |  | 2.0-4.0 | c. 2.0 |
|  | 34. | 1.8-2.5 | 3.0-4.0 | 3.0-5.5 | c.0.5 | 1.2-1.6 | 0.5-3.0 | 2.2-3.0 | 1.1-2.0 | 2.0-4.0 | c. 2.0 |
|  | 35. | 1.0-1.2 | 1.0-2.5 | 1.0-1.3 |  | 0.4-0.6 | 0.3-1.2 | 1.3-2.1 |  | 1.0-2.0 | 0.7-0.8 |
|  | 36. | c. 2.0 | 2.0-2.5 | 2.0-4.0 | c.1.5 | 1.2-1.6 | 0.5-2.0 | 2.2-3.0 | 1.1-2.0 | 2.5-4.0 | 1.5-1.8 |
|  | 37. | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | $3{ }^{1.51 .8}$ |
|  | 38. | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 39. | 2 | 3 | $2+3$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 4 +3) |
|  | 40. | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 41. | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | +1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 42. | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 43. | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 44. | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Corolla | 46. | 17-22 | 25-34 | 18-21 | 19-24 | 13.5-19.5 | 17-25 | 28-33.5 |  | 22-25 | 12-27 |
|  | 47. | 4.0-5.0 | 5.0-8.5 | 5.0-6.0 | 8.0-9.0 | 1.5-7.0 | 3.0-4.0 | c. 2.0 |  | c.5.0 | 3, 0-5.0 |
|  | 48. | 4.0-5.0 | 3.0-4.5 | 4.0-6.0 |  | 3.0-7.5 | 5.0-6.0 | c. 2.0 |  | 6.5.0 | 3.0-5.0 |
|  | 49. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 50. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. | 4 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 54. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Stagens | 55. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 56. | c. 10.0 | 8.0-18.5 | c. 16.0 | 2.0-3.0 | c. 12.0 | 4.0-4.5 | c.14.0 | 4.0-4.5 | c.5.5 | c.6.0 |
|  | 57. | c. 11.0 | 11.0-20.0 | c. 21.0 | 17-20 | c. 15.0 | 5.0-5.5 | 6. 17.0 | 6.5-8.0 | c.9.5 | c. 10.0 |
|  | 58. | 3 | 2-3 | 3 | 1 | (2-13 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 59. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 60. | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1-2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 61. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 62. | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Style | 63. | 20-25 | c. 40 | 25-40 | c. 40 | 20-26 | 20-28 | 28-40 | 33-40 | 25-30 | 30-40 |
|  | 64. | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | 65. | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 3 | 1 |
| Nutlets | 66. | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | 67. | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | 68. | 2 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 1 |
|  | 69. | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
| 2 n | 70. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 |


| Habit |  | cercocar- <br> poides | pulchella | flabelli- <br> folia | spheno- <br> phylla | sericea | argentea | cliyorun | serici- <br> folia | iapressa | revoluta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1. | ${ }_{2}^{\text {poides }}$ | 2 | $2^{\text {folla }}$ | $2^{\text {phylua }}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
| Steas | 2. | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
|  | 3. | 30-100 | 60-150 |  | 90-120 | 60-150 | 20-30 | 25-30 | 50-100 | 30-60 | 20-50 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 5. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Leaves | 6. | 9(-3) | (1-12 | 2 | 9(-2) | 5-7 | 7 | 9 |  | 9-7 | 2 |
|  | 7. | 1(-2) | 3-5 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1(-2) |  |
|  | 8. | 3-4 | 1-3 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3-4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 9. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2-1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 10. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 11. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 12. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 1 |
|  | 13. | 1.0-3.0 | 2.0-10.0 | 2.0-4.5 | $3.0-6.0$ | 0-0.5 | 0-0.3 | 0.6-1.4 | 2.0-5.0 | 0.4-1.0 | 0.2-0.8 |
|  | 14. | 2-3 | 2-3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1-3 |
|  | 15. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 16. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 17. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 18. | 4 | 3 |  | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | , |
|  | 19. | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 20. | 8.0-22.0 | 11-35 | 6.5-10.0 | 30-54 | 4.0-12.0 | 3.0-6.0 | 5.0-10.0 | 7.0-20.0 | 7.0-14.0 | 1.2-4.0 |
|  | 21. | 4.5-12.0 | 8.5-32.5 | 6.0-11.0 | 11.5-19.0 | 1-2(-3.2) | 1.3-2.0 | 1.0-4.2 | 1.5-4.0 | 1.3-3.5 | 1.0-2.0 |
| Inflor- | 22. | 1-5 | 3-6 | 1 | 5-16 | 1-3 | 1 | 1 | 1-3 | 1-4 | 1 |
| escence | 23. | 3 | 3-2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 24. | 4 | 2-4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3-4 | 4 | 2 | 3-4 | 2 |
|  | 25. | 0.5-3.5 | 0.5-5.0 | 0-0.2 | 2.0-4.5 | 0 |  | 0.7-0.8 | 0-1.0 | 0.4-0.6 | HA |
|  | 26. | 1.0-5.0 | 0.5-7.0 | 1.6-2.3 | 0.5-1.2 | 1.0-2.0 |  | 0.7-1.2 | 1.0-5.0 | 0.5-3.0 | 2.5-4.0 |
|  | 27. | 2 | 3-2 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 3 | 3-4 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 28. | 0.5-1.5 | 1.5-5(-9) | 1.0-1.5 | 1.3-4.0 | 3.0-4.0 |  | 1.2-3.5 | 2.0-7.0 | 0.8-2.0 | Ha |
| Calyx | 29. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 30. | +2 | 2 | 2 | $+1$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | 31. | 5.6-6.5 | 5.0-7.5 | c.3.5 | 2.4-4.2 | 4.0-4.5 | c. 3.8 | 4.5-5.0 | 4.0-6.0 | 3.5-3.8 | 3.0-4.0 |
|  | 32. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 33. | 1.2-2.0 | 3.2-6.0 | 2.5-3.0 | 3.1-4.2 | 1.0-2.0 | c. 1.8 | 1.4-1.8 | 1.8-2.0 | 1.6-2.0 | c.1.2 |
|  | 34. | 1.6-2.5 | 3.0-5.0 | 2.3-2.6 | 3.1-4.2 | 1.0-2.0 | c.1.5 | 1.4-1.8 | 1.8-2.0 | 1.3-1.7 | 6.1.2 |
|  | 35. | 0.7-1.0 | 1.6-4.0 | 1.5-2.0 | 2.0-2.7 | 0.5-1.0 | c.1.0 | 0.5-1.0 | 0.7-2.0 | 0.5-1.0 | c. 0.7 |
|  | 36. | 1.6-2.5 | 3.0-5.0 | 2.3-2.5 | 2.8-3.8 | 1.0-1.5 | c.1.5 | 1.4-1.8 | 1,0-2.0 | 1.3-1.7 | c.1.0 |
|  | 37. | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 38. | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 39. | 3 | +2-3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 40. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 41. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 42. | 3 | 3-2 | 1 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 43. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1-2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 44. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Corolla | 46. | 16-24 | 13-24 | c. 16.5 | 8.0-10.5 | 13-18 | c. 13.0 | $9.0-14.0$ | 20-27 | 15-19 | 20-25 |
|  | 47. | 3.0-6.5 | 3.5-5.7 | 2.8-3.0 | 3.0-3.5 | 1.5-2.0 | c.3.0 | 2.2-4.5 | 3.0-5.0 | 3.0-4.0 | 2.5-3.0 |
|  | 48. | 2.5-5.0 | 4.7-7.2 | 4.0-4.5 | 4.0-4.5 | 1.0-1.8 | c.3.0 | 2.2-5.5 | 1.0-4.0 | 3.0-4.0 | 2.0-3.0 |
|  | 49. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 50. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. | 4 | 4-3 | 3 | 1 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 54. |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Staben5 | 55. | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 56. | c. 10.0 | 8.0-11.0 | c.5.0 | c.4.0 | c. 5.0 |  | c.5.5 | c.11.0 | c.5.5 | 4.0-10.0 |
|  | 57. | c. 11.7 | 9.5-13.5 | c.8.0 | c.5.5 | c.8.0 |  | c.6.8 | c.15.0 | 6.8.0 | 6.0-10.0 |
|  | 58. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 59. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 60. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 61. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 62. |  | 1-2 | +1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Style | 63. | 27-35 | 20-34 | 22-24 | 11.5-14.0 | 18-24 | 19-22 | 15-20 | 29-37 | 22-25 | 30-32 |
|  | 64. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |
|  | 65. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Hutlets | 66. | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | 67. | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 68. | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |
|  | 69. | 3 | 1 |  | 3 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |

jagesonii striata plicatula taxifolia meberbaueri cutervoensis obovata gilliesii vargasii dosingensis

| Habit | 1. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2. | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 Sters | 3. | 30-75 | 60-200 | 20-30 | 50-200 | 50-100 |  | 30-50 | $60-120$ | 80-120 | 6.100 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 5. | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Leaves | 6. | 2 | 2 | 2-1 | 9-8 | 9(-2) | 3(-9) | 4-8 | 3-4 | 9 | 9-2 |
|  | 7. | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | 1-2 | $(1-12$ | 2 | 1(-2) | 1 | 1 | (1-2) |
|  | 8. | $2+3$ | $2+3$ | 2 | 3-4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | , | 3 |
|  | 9. | 2 | 2(-1) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 3 | 3 | 2-3 |
|  | 10. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 11. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 12. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | , | +2 | 2 |
|  | 13. | $0-0.3$ | 0-0.3 | 0.2-0.5 | 1.0-2.0 | 0.2-1.0 | 0.2-0.5 | 0-0.6 | 0-0.5 | 1.5-3.0 | 1.0-2.5 |
|  | 14. | $+1$ | 1(-2) | 2 | 1-2 | 1-2 | 1 | 1(-2) | 2(-3) | 2 | 2 |
|  | 15. | 1 | (1-2) | 2 | 2-3 | 1-2 | 1 | 1(-2) | 2(-3) | 2 | 2 |
|  | 16. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | , | 1 |
|  | 17. | 3 | (3) | 3 | 3 | (3) |  | (3) | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 18. | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 19. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 20. | 1.0-3.0 | 3.5-6.0 | 1.7-5.0 | 9-18 | 6.0-25.0 | 5.5-10.0 | 4.0-10.0 | 5.0-17.0 | 10.0-13.0 | 6.0-10.0 |
|  | 21. | 1.0-1.5 | 2.2-3.8 | 1.5-4.0 | 2.5-9.0 | 2.5-14.0 | 2.5-4.8 | 1.3-4.7 | 1.0-5.0 | 4.0-6.6 | 3.5-6.5 |
| Inflor- | 22. | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | 1 | 1-8 | 1 | 1 | 1-3 | $1-3$ | 1 |
| escence | 23. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 24. | 3 | 4 | 1-2 | 4 | 3-4 | 4 | 2-4 | 4 | 4 | 3-4 |
|  | 25. | 0 | NA | 0.2-0.5 | 0.5-1.0 | 0.5-1.0 | 0 | 0.0 .5 | 0.2-0.8 | 0.6-1.0 | 0.5-1.2 |
|  | 26. | 1.0-2.0 | 1.0-2.0 | 1.5-3.0 | 1.0-2.0 | 1.0-3.0 | 0.5-0.7 | 1.0-3.0 | 0.5-1.3 | 1.3-2.0 | 1.3-3.2 |
|  | 27. | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3-4 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 28. | 1.0-1.2 | Ha | 1.6-1.8 | 1.0-2.5 | 0.5-2.0 | $3.0-7.0$ | 3.0-6.5 | $3.0-6.0$ | 0.5-1.8 | 0.5-1.2 |
| Calym | 29. | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | 2 |  |  |
|  | 30. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 31. | 3.5-5.0 | 3.0-7.0 | 4.0-4.4 | 4.0-6.0 | 4.5-6.0 | 3.2-4.5 | 4.6-6.6 | 4.5-6.5 | 7.0-8.0 | $5.5-6.7$ |
|  | 32. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 33. | c.1.5 | 1.3-1.4 | 1.2-1.5 | 1.5-4.0 | 1.0-2.4 | 3.2-4.5 | 1.7-2.0 | 3.0-5.5 | 1.7-1.8 | 1.5-2.0 |
|  | 34. | c.1.5 | 1.0-1.2 | 0.9-1.6 | 1.5-4.0 | 1.0-2.4 | 2.0-2.5 | 1.3-1.7 | 3.0-5.5 | 1.0-1.3 | 0.8-1.2 |
|  | 35. | c.0.5 | 0.3-0.8 | 0.6-0.7 | 1.0-2.5 | $0.5-1.0$ | 2, 2-2.7 | 1.0-1.4 | 2.0-4.0 | 0.5-0.6 | 0.6-1.0 |
|  | 36. | c. 1.2 | 1.0-1.2 | 0.9-1.6 | 0.7-2.0 | 1.0-2.4 | 2.0-2.5 | 1.3-1.7 | $3.0-5.5$ | 1.0-1.3 | 0.8-1.2 |
|  | 37. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3-1 |
|  | 38. | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | , | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2-3 |
|  | 34. | 1 | 2-3 | 3 | (2-13 | 2 | 1 $1-21$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 40. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 41. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 42. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
|  | \$3. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 44. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Corolla | 46. | 12-15 | 13-20 | 20-22 | 15-18 | 12-20 | c. 16 | 14-22 | 9.0-14.0 | 19-23 | 20-21 |
|  | 47. | 1.5-2.5 | 3.3-6.0 | 4.0-4.5 | 3.0-6.0 | 2.7-3.8 | c.5.0 | 4.0-6.5 | 2.0-4.0 | 2.0-4.5 | c.4.5 |
|  | 48. | 1.5-2.5 | 4.0-4.5 |  | 3.0-5.0 | 2.5-4.7 | c.5.5 | 3.0-6.0 | 3.0-5.0 | 2.0-3.0 | c. 4.5 |
|  | 49. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 50. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. | 3 | 4 |  | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 54. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Stamens | 55. | 1 |  |  | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 56. | c.5.0 | c. 8.5 |  | c.5.5 | c.5.3 | c.6.5 | 10.5-11.0 | 6. 3.0 | 6. 7.0 | 7.0-7.5 |
|  | 57. | 6.6.0 | c. 12.5 |  | c.8.5 | c. 7.5 | c.8.0 | c. 14.0 | 4.0-5.0 | c. 10.0 | 9.0-11.0 |
|  | 58. | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 59. | 2 | 3 |  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 60. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 61. | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 62. |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 |
| Style | 63. | 22-23 | 23-25 | 25-27 | 20-25 | 14-23 | c. 20.0 | 20-35 | 15-17 | 24-26 |  |
|  | 64. | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 65. |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Hutlets | 66. |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |
|  | 67. |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |
|  | 68. |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  | 69. |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |


| Habit |  | pallida | athensii | incana |  | gicrogerioides | Obtegoaeria | Montereya | Filoblephis | Hesperothyaus |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | brannei | douglasi |
|  | 1. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | ? |
|  | 2. | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Steas | 3. | 30-100 | 50-100 | 60-300 | 30-150 | 18-36 | 10-40 | 50-150 | 20-70 | 10-50 | 15-50 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 5. | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | $+1$ | 3-4 | 2-4 |
| Leaves | b. | 9 | 2-1 | 9 | 9-2 | 6-9 | 5-6 | 2 | 5-7 | 1-2 | 2-1 |
|  | 7. | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | 1-2 | 1 | 1 | 3-4 | 1 | 1-2-6 | 3-2 |
|  | 8. | 3 | 3 | 1-3 | 3 | $+1$ | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 9. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2-3 | 1-2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2-3 | 3 |
|  | 10. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 11. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 12. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 13. | 1.0-3.5 | 2.5-6.0 | 1.0-4.0 | 1.0-2.0 | 0-0.2 | 0.1-0.2 | 3.0-15.0 | $0-0.2$ | $3.0-10.0$ | 3.0-6.0 |
|  | 14. | (1-2) | 2 | 2 | 2 | (1-2) | 1-2 | 3 | $2+3$ | 1(-3) | 3 |
|  | 15. | 1(-2) | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1(-2) | 3 | 3 | 2 | [(-3) | 1 |
|  | 16. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 17. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 18. | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 19. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 20. | 6.0-10.5 | 10-20 | 8.0-25.0 | 4.0-20.0 | 6.5-16.0 | 4.0-6.0 | 30-70 | 5.0-9.0 | 6.0-22.0 | 10.0-30.0 |
|  | 21. | 3.3-6.4 | 6.3-16.6 | 5.0-14.0 | 2.5-7.0 | 1.3-4.5 | 0.8-1.0 | 13-40 | 0.7-1.8 | 2.5-17.0 | 7.0-24.0 |
| Inflor- | 22. | 1-3 | $1-3$ | 1-3 | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | 3-9 | 1-2 | 1 | 1 |
| escence | 23. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 24. | 2-4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3-4 | 4 | 1-2 | 4 | 1-2 | 2 |
|  | 25. | 1.0-1.7 | 0.3-1.0 | 0.2-0.5 | 0.2-0.3 | 4.0-7.0 | 0-0.2 | 5.0-21.0 | 0 | N月 | 3.0-5.0 |
|  | 26. | 1.0-1.7 | 1.6-2.3 | 2.0-3.0 | 1.5-2.0 | 0-0.5 | 2.0-3.0 | 15.0-30.0 | 0.3-0.5 | 3.0-12.0 | 5.0-10.0 |
|  | 27. | 2-3 | 2-3 | 3-4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 28. | 1.8-2.3 | 2.0-4.0 | 2.5-7.0 | 2.0-3.0 | 2.0-2.8 | 3,0-3.5 | 9.0-16.0 | $5.0-7.0$ | HA | 1.5-2.0 |
| Calys | 29. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3-4 | 2 | 3 | 1-2 |  |
|  | 30. | +2 | 2 | 2 | $2$ | $i$ | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | $2$ |
|  | 31. | 7.0-7.8 | 6.5-7.0 | 4.5-6.0 | 2.0-2.5 | 4.0-4.4 | 3.0-4.0 | 12.0-13.5 | 0.7-1.0 | 2.5-3.5 | 3.0-4.0 |
|  | 32. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 33. | 1.7-2.0 | 3.0-3.3 | 1.5-3.0 | 2.0-2.5 | 2.0-2.5 | 2.5-3.0 | 3.8-4.2 | c.1.2 | 1.3-1.5 | 1.3-1.5 |
|  | 34. | 1.6-1.8 | 2.4-2.7 | 1.0-2.5 | 2.0-2.5 | 2.0-3.0 | 3.0-3.5 | 3.6-4.0 | c. 1.5 | 1.3-1.5 | 1.3-1.5 |
|  | 35. | 0.9-1.4 | 1.6-1.8 | 0.8-1.5 | 0.8-1.2 | 2.0-2.5 | 1.0-1.5 | 3.5-4.0 | c.1.2 | $0.6-0.9$ | 0.8-1.0 |
|  | 36. | 1.6-1.8 | 2.4-2.7 | 1.0-2.5 | 2.0-2.5 | 2.0-3.0 | 2.8-3.3 | 3.8-4.2 | c.1.5 | 1.3-1.5 | 1.3-1.5 |
|  | 37. | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | $\ddot{2}$ |  |
|  | 38. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 39. | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 40. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 41. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 42. | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1(-3) | 1 |
|  | 43. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 44. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Corolla | 46. | 20-22 | 18-22.5 | 17-30 | 10.0-20.0 | 9.5-12.5 | 6.0-7.0 | 26-31 | 7.0-8.0 | 4.5-5.0 | 6.0-8.0 |
|  | 47. | c.4.0 | 3.0-5.0 | 2.5-6.0 | 1.5-3.0 | 3.0-4.5 | c. 3.0 | $8.0-14.0$ | c. 2.0 | 1.0-1.5 | c. 2.0 |
|  | 48. | c. 4.0 | 1.5-2.5 | 2.5-6.0 | 1.2-2.7 | 3.0-4.5 | c. 6.0 | 8.0-15.0 | c. 3.0 | 2.0-3.0 | c.2.5 |
|  | 49. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | +3 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 50. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. |  | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 54. | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2-3 |  | 2(-3) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Stagens | 55. |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 56. |  | c.5.0 | c. 7.5 | c. 1.4 | c. 2.3 | c. 0.8 | c. 14.0 | c.2.0 | 1.0-2.0 | c.2.0 |
|  | 57. |  | 6.7.0 | c.8.0 | 5.3 .0 | c.4.0 | c.2.0 | c. 18.0 | c.3.0 | 2.0-3.0 | c.3.0 |
|  | 58. |  | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 59. | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 60. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 61. | 1 | 1 | 1 | $1+4$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | , |
|  | 62. |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| Style | 63. | 28-31 | 24-33 | 25-35 | 12-22 | 12.5-17.0 | c.9.0 | 42-45 | $8.0-9.0$ | $6.0-7.0$ | c.9.0 |
|  | 64. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 65. | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Hutlets | 66. | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 67. | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 68. | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 69. | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 2 n | 70. |  |  | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |

viginea ekaaniana bucheri alpestris schusteri nubigena tenella grisea darainii brevicalyn

| Habit | 1. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2. | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Steas | 3. | 30-100 |  |  |  | 300-500 | 10-30 | 15-30 | 8-18 | 3-15 | 50-100 |
|  | 4. | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 5. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | +2 |
| Leaves | 6. | 2-3 | 3-1 | 1-2-9 | 7-2 | 2 | 2-1 | 1 | 2 | 2-1 |  |
|  | 7. | 1(-2) | 1 | 1(-2) | 1 | 5 | 1-6 | 2-6 | 6 | 1-6 | (1-2) |
|  | 8. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 9. | 2-3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2-3 | 3 | 2 | 2-3 | 2 |
|  | 10. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 11. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 12. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 12 |
|  | 13. | 0.3-1.5 | 0.6-1.2 | 1.0-2.5 | 0.5-1.0 | 0.5-2.0 | 0.5-1.0 | 1.0-3.5 | 2.0-6.0 | 2.0-2.5 | 0.6-1.0 |
|  | 14. | (3+)6 | (1-16 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 2-3 | 1-2 | 3 |  | 2 |
|  | 15. | $13+16$ | $6(+3)$ | 6 | 6 | $3(+5)$ | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 16. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 17. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 18. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
|  | 19. | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | 20. | 5.0-20.0 | 2.5-5.0 | 5.0-10.5 | 3.0-4.2 | 5.0-11.0 | 2,0-4.0 | 2.0-8.0 | 7.5-15.0 | 3.0-6.0 | 2.5-5.7 |
|  | 21. | 2.5-9.0 | 1.7-4.0 | 3.0-9.0 | 2.0-3.6 | 3.7-8.5 | 1,7-3.4 | 2.5-8.0 | 5.0-11.0 | 1.8-3.3 | 2.5-4.2 |
| Inflor- | 22. | 1-4 | 1-3 | 1 | 1 | 6-17 | 1 | 1 | 2-4 | 1 | 1 |
| escence | 23. | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 24. | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2-4 | 2-4 | 1-2 | 2-3 | 2-4 | 4 |
|  | 25. | 0-1.0 | c. 0.5 | 0.3-0.5 | 0-0.4 | 4.0-8.0 | 0 | 0.2-0.3 | 0.2-0.5 | 0.5-3.0 | 0-0.2 |
|  | 26. | $0.3-0.5$ | 0.5-0.7 | 1.8-2.5 | 0 | 0.2-1.2 | 0.8-1.0 | 0.4-1.5 | 1.0-2.0 | 0.4-0.5 | 0.6-1.5 |
|  | 27. | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 28. | 0.5-2.0 | 0.4-1.0 | 0.9-1.1 | 0.5-0.7 | 0.9-1.3 | 0.3-0.4 | 0.4-0.6 | 1.0-2.2 | 1.0-1.5 | 1.2-1.6 |
| Calyx | 29. | 2 | 2 | 3 | $?$ | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2-3 | 4 |
|  | 30. |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 31. | 1.5-2.0 | 1.5-2.5 | 3.0-4.7 | 2.3-2.4 | c.1.6 | 2.0-2.5 | 1.3-1.8 | 2.2-2.3 | 3.0-4.0 | 1.8-2.0 |
|  | 32. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 33. | 1.0-1.3 | 0.6-1.3 | 1.3-1.8 | c. 0.6 | c.0.4 | 0.8-1.3 | 0.5-0.7 | 1.5-1.6 | c.1.0 | 1,0-1.2 |
|  | 34. | 1.0-1.5 | 0.6-1.3 | 1.3-1.8 | c. 0.6 | c.0.5 | 0.8-1.3 | $0.6-0.8$ | 1.4-1.6 | c.1.0 | 1.2-1.3 |
|  | 35. | 0.5-1.0 | 0.6-1.3 | 0.3-0.5 | 0.4-0.5 | c.0.4 | 0.5-0.8 | $0.4-0.5$ | c.1.2 | c.1.0 | $0.8-1.0$ |
|  | 36. | 1.0-1.5 | 0.6-1.3 | 1.3-1.8 | c.0.6 | c. 0.5 | 0.8-1.1 | 0.6-0.8 | 1.4-1.6 | c.1.0 | 1.2-1.3 |
|  | 37. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1.21 .3 |
|  | 38. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 39. | 5 | 5 | +5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 40. | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 41. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 42. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2-3 |
|  | 43. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 44. | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Corolla | 46. | 5.0-6.0 | 4.5-5.2 | c. 18.0 | 4.0-5.0 | 2.3-2.5 | 3.0-5.0 | 2.8-3.5 | 4.3-4.7 | 4.0-5.0 | 4.5-4.7 |
|  | 47. | 1.0-2.0 | 1.2-1.5 | c. 2.0 | 0.7-0.8 | c. 0.6 | c.1.0 | 0.5-0.7 | c.1.3 | 0.8-1.0 | 0.8-1.3 |
|  | 48. | 1.0-1.5 | 1.0-1.2 | c.2.0 | 1.2-1.4 | 0.8-1.0 | c. 1.2 | 0.6-0.8 | 1.5-2.3 | 0.8-1.0 | 0.8-1.5 |
|  | 49. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 50. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | , | , | 1 |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 54. | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 tanems | 55. | 2 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 56. | c. 1.5 | c.1.2 |  | c.1.1 | 0.3-0.4 | 5.0 .4 | 6.0.4 | c.1.2 | 0.8-1.0 | c.0.6 |
|  | 57. | c.2.0 | c. 2.0 | c. 9.0 | c.1.4 | 0.5-0.6 | c. 0.8 | c.0.5 | 5. 2.0 | 1.0-1.5 | c.1.2 |
|  | 58. | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 59. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | +2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 60. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 61. | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1+A | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 62. | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |
| Style | 63. | 6.5-8.5 | 5.0-6.0 | c. 20.0 | 5.2-6.4 | c.1.7 | c. 3.0 | 3.0-3.2 | 5.4.5 | C. 4.5 | c.5.0 |
|  | 64. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 65. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | , | 1 |
| Nutlets | 66. | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 2-3 |
|  | 67. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 68. | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 69. | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  | 1-2 |
| 2 n | 70. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

vana fasciculata outbilis bolivianua odorus axillare gilliesii ganderi chandleri cylindri

| Habit | 1. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | ? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Steas | 3. | 100-200 | 100-130 | 50-100 | 50-100 | 100-200 | 50-100 | 25-200 | 30-100 | 50-100 | 30-50 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | 5. | $+1$ | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Leaves | 6. | 2 | 7-5 | 1-2 | 9(-2) | 9-7 | 5-7 | 6-7 | 2 | 1-2 | 2 |
|  | 7. | 2-6 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1-2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6-2 |
|  | 8. | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1-3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1-3 | 3 |
|  | 9. | 2-3 | 3 | 2 | 2(-3) | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2-3 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 10. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 11. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 12. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | +2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 13. | 0.6-1.5 | $0-1.0$ | 0.5-1.0 | 1.0-2.0 | 0.5-7.0 | 1.0-1.5 | 1.0-2.0 | 3.0-10.0 | 2.0-3.0 | 4.0-6.0 |
|  | 14. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2(-1) | 3 | 3 |
|  | 15. | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2(-1) | 3 | $3(+5)$ |
|  | 16. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 17. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 18. | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 19. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | 20. | 8.0-12.0 | 2.0-4.0 | 3.0-20.0 | 5.0-28.0 | 15.0-45.0 | 10.0-14.0 | 3.0-13.0 | 6.0-12.0 | 5.0-8.0 | 13.0-34.0 |
|  | 21. | 3.5-9.0 | 0.5-1.0 | 3.0-18.0 | 2.5-9.0 | 4.0-7.0 | 1.5-2.0 | 1.5-4.0 | 4.0-8.5 | $5.0-10.0$ | 8.7-27.0 |
| Inflor- | 22. | 3-6 | 6-15 | 6-21 | 1-3 | 4-21 | 1 | 1-3 | $1-3$ | 1-3 | 4-9 |
| escence | 23. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 24. | 2-4 | 2-4 | 1-4 | 4 | 2-4 | 3-4 | 4 | 1-2 | 3-4 | (2-3-14 |
|  | 25. | 0.2-0.4 | 0 | 0-0.1 | 0-0.5 | 0.2-0.5 | 0.2-0.5 | 0.5-1.0 | 0.9-3.0 | c.0.5 | 0-0.2 |
|  | 26. | 0.2-0.4 | 0.5-1.0 | 0-0.1 | 0-0.5 | 0.8-1.5 | 0.6-1.0 | 0.8-1.0 | 1.5-2.3 | c. 2.0 | $0-0.2$ |
|  | 27. | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 28. | 0.6-1.2 | 2.0-3.0 | 0.5-2.2 | 1.0-2.0 | 0.3-0.5 | 0.2-0.3 | 0.8-1.0 | 1.5-3.7 | 1.0-2.0 | 1.3-1.7 |
| Calys | 29. | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | $+1$ |
|  | 30. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 31. | 2.3-2.6 | 3.0-4.0 | 2.0-2.2 | 1.5-2.5 | 0.8-1.6 | 1.0-1.3 | 1.0-1.2 | 5.0-6.0 | 2.5-3.5 | 3.0-3.2 |
|  | 32. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 33. | c. 0.9 | c. 2.0 | c. 1.4 | 0.5-0.7 | 0.5-0.6 | c.0.3 | 0.5-0.7 | 1.6-2.3 | 1.0-1.3 | c. 1.5 |
|  | 34. | c.0.9 | c.2.0 | c.1.3 | 0.7-1.0 | 0.6-0.7 | c. 0.5 | 0.7-0.8 | 1.8-2.5 | 1.0-1.3 | c.1.2 |
|  | 35. | c.0.7 | c.1.5 | 1.2-1.3 | 0.5-0.7 | 0.5-0.6 | c.0.2 | 0.5-0.6 | 0.7-1.0 | c.0.6 | 0.5-0.7 |
|  | 36. | c. 0.9 | c.2.0 | c.1.5 | 0.7-1.0 | 0.6-0.7 | c.0.5 | 0.7-0.8 | 1.6-2.3 | c.0.7 | c.1.2 |
|  | 37. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1-2(-3) | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 38. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1-2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 39. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | +1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 40. | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 41. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 42. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2-3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 43. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 44. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Carolla | 46. | $5.0-7.0$ | 7.0-8.0 | 3.5-4.5 | 5.0-7.0 | 1.3-1.4 | 1.5-2.0 | 1.3-1.8 | $9.0-11.6$ | 6.0-9.0 | 4.0-4.2 |
|  | 47. | 0.9-1.0 | 1.5-3.0 | 1.0-1.5 | c.1.0 | 0.5-0.6 | 0.5-0.7 | 0.7-0.8 | $2.0-3.0$ | 1.5-2.5 | c.1.3 |
|  | 48. | 2.0-2.5 | 1.5-2.2 | 1.5-2.3 | 1.5-2.0 | 0.8-0.9 | 0.5-0.7 | $0.7-0.8$ | 2.0-3.5 | 1.5-3.0 | c.1.4 |
|  | 49. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 50. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 54. | 3(-2) | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  | 3 | 2-3 | 3 | J |
| Stanens | 55. | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | 56. | c.1.6 | 1.5-1.8 | c.0.6 | c. 1.5 | 0.1-0.2 | c.0.3 | c.0.1 | c. 2.8 | c. 2.5 | c. 0.8 |
|  | 57. | c. 2.3 | c. 2.5 | c.1.0 | c.3.0 | 0.2-0.3 | c. 0.4 | 6.0.1 | c.4.2 | c.3.5 | c. 1.4 |
|  | 58. | (1-12 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 59. | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 60. | 2 | 2 | 1 | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 2 |
|  | 61. |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1+4 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 62. |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | $+1$ |  |  |
| Style | 63. | 6.5-11.0 | c. 10.0 | c.3.8 | c.9.0 | 1.4-1.5 | 1.5-2.0 |  | 11.0-14.0 | c. 10.0 | 5.5-6.2 |
|  | 64. | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 65. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Mutlets | 66. | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 67. | 2 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 68. | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 69. | 3 |  | 1 | 3 | 1-3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| $2 \pi$ | 70. |  | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

coccinea ashei dentata arkansana glabella georgiana procuabens anissa

| Habit | 1. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2. | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1-2 |  |  |
| Steos | 3. | 30-100 | 15-25 | 201-30 | 7-40 | 25-40 | 30-50 | 10-20 | 12-20 |
|  | 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 5. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | ? | 1 | 1 |
| Leaves | 6. | 3 | 9 | 4 | 5(-9) | 9 | 7-9 | 1-2 | 1-2 |
|  | 7. | 1 | 1 | 2 | (1-2) | 1-2 | 1-2 | 6 | $6-2(-4)$ |
|  | 8. | 1-3 | 4 | 1-3 | 3 | 1 | 1-3 | 1 |  |
|  | 9. | 2-3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 10. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | $?$ |
|  | 11. | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 12. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 13. | 0-1.0 | 0-0.3 | 0.1-0.2 | 0-1.0 | 2.0-5.0 | 1.0-8.0 | 2.5-5.0 | 2.0-10.0 |
|  | 14. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1(-3) | 1-(3) | $2(+3)$ |
|  | 15. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1(-3) | $2(+3)$ |
|  | 16. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 17. | 3 | 1 | 1 | NA | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 18. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 19. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 20. | $8.0-15.0$ | 4.0-7.0 | 6.0-14.0 | 10.0-25.0 | 25-50 | 9.0-45.0 | 7.0-11.0 | 5.0-12.2 |
|  | 21. | 2.5-5.0 | 1.0-3.5 | 1.5-6.0 | 1.0-6.0 | 5.0-17.0 | 5.0-25.0 | 4.0-9.0 | 4.8-10.5 |
| Inflorescence | 22. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1-3 | 1-3 | 1-4 | 1 | 2-6 |
|  | 23. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 24. | 2-4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2-3 | 1 | - |
|  | 25. | 0.5-1.0 | 0.2-0.3 | 0-0.2 | 0.4-0.5 | 0-0.2 | 0-0.3 | 0 | 0-0.2 |
|  | 26. | 2.5-4.5 | 2.0-3.0 | 4.0-6.0 | 3.0-8.0 | 5.0-10.0 | 1.5-4.5 | 4.0-4.5 | 3.0-9.5 |
|  | 27. | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3-4 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 28. | 2.0-2.5 | 2.0-3.0 | 1.8-2.5 | 2.0-3.0 | 5.0-7.0 | 2.0-5.0 | 0.8-1.2 | 0.4-1.0 |
| Calyx | 29. | 2 | 1-2 | 1-3 | 1 | 1 | 1-2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 30. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 31. | 7.0-8.0 | 3.0-3.5 | 4.0-5.6 | 2.0-2.5 | 3.0-3.5 | 4.0-4.5 | 3.5-4.0 | 1.8-2.3 |
|  | 32. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 33. | 1.0-1.5 | 1.5-2.5 | 1.0-2.0 | 1.7-2.0 | 2.0-2.5 | 1.0-2.5 | 1.2-2.1 | 1.2-1.4 |
|  | 34. | 2.5-3.0 | 2.5-3.5 | 2.0-3.0 | 1.7-2.0 | 2.0-2.5 | 1.0-3.0 | 2.5-3.0 | 1.5-1.6 |
|  | 35. | 0.2-0.5 | 0 | 0.2-0.5 | 0.4-1.2 | 1.0-1.5 | $0-0.4$ | 0.4-0.5 | $0.6-0.9$ |
|  | 36. | 2.0-3.0 | 2.5-3.5 | 2.0-3.0 | 1.6-1.9 | 2.0-2.5 | 0.8-2.5 | 2.4-2.8 | 1.5-1.6 |
|  | 37. | 3 | H | 3 | 1 | , | 3 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 30. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ |
|  | 39. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $2+3$ | 3 |
|  | 40. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 41. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 42. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 43. | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 44. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 45. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Corolla | 46. | 28-50 | $6.0-7.0$ | 7.0-10.0 | 5.0-9.0 | c.9.0 | 8.0-14.0 | 7.5-8.5 | 5.5-6.5 |
|  | 47. | 7.0-10.0 | 4.5-5.0 | 4.0-4.5 | 0.5-4.5 | 6.4.0 | 2.0-4.0 | 2.0-2.5 | 1.5-2.0 |
|  | 48. | 7.0-10.0 | 4.5-6.5 | 6.5-8.0 | 1.6-5.0 | c. 4.0 | 2.0-3.5 | 3.5-4.5 | $3.0-3.6$ |
|  | 49. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 50. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 51. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 52. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | $?$ | 2 | 2 |
|  | 53. | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  | 4 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 54. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
| Stanens | 55. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 |  |
|  | 56. | c. 13.0 | c. 2.0 | c.4.2 | c. 2.0 |  | 4.0-5.0 | c. 2.0 | 1.0-1.5 |
|  | 57. | c. 17.0 | c.3.5 | c. 6.5 | 3.0-4.0 |  | 5.0-6.0 | c.5.0 | c.2.5 |
|  | 58. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 59. | 3 | 2 | +3 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 60. | 1-2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 61. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1+4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 62. | 1-2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
| Style | 63. | 40-46 | 9.0-11.0 | 11.0-13.0 |  |  | 11.0-15.0 | 10.0-11.0 | 5.5-7.0 |
|  | 64. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  | $?$ |
|  | 65. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Hutlets | 66. | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 3(-1) | 3 | 2 |
|  | 67. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 68. |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 69. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 2 n | 70. |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |

Intuitive mothods of taxonomy, in which visual assessment of characters and experience in the group of concern combine to produce a practical and biologically plausible heirarchy, fail in two major respects: repeatability and objectivity. No two taxonomists will assess the characters in exactly the sane way. Also, whether deliberate or not, some characters will be given more weight than others; even if a phenetic approach is intended this will occur. Such bias can be largely eliminated by numerical mothods, and provided that observations are repeatable within an acceptable error and the taxonomic procedures are clearly defined different scientists should reach the same result. Various numorical methods are available, but $I$ shell not discuss their relative merits here since this is dealt with at length by many text books on the subject, notably Sneath Sokal, Humerical Taxonomy (1973).

Since much data had been gathered on a large number of characters for nearly all species of Satureja s.1. a numerical analysis seemed worthuhile. The results are presented as dendograns which represent graphically the similarity between taxa. These dendograms are compared with the taxonomic arrangement presented in Chapter 3. As an additional exercise I wrote all of the computer programs used for data input and processing. These programs are briefly described and fully documented listings provided.

## Computer Programs

The programs ware witten in Digital Research ST BASIC on an Atari 520 ST with 512 K bytes of memory and one 500 K byte disk drive. The programs are as follows:
CHARDATA. BAS - accepts data typed in at the keyboard and
produces sequential disk files for each
species:
CONCAT.BAS $-\quad$ concatenates files with a sperified file
type name into one larger sequential

file;

SIMILAR. BAS - calculates similarity values for all pairs of species using the general coefficient of similarity described by Gower in Biometrics 27:857-74 (1971):

FAIRSORT, BAS - performs group pairs sorting on the similarity values calculated by SIMILAR: BAS.

Since the programs are extensively commented, no furthar explanation will be given here on their operation.

## Results and Discussion

## Satureioid qenera

The analysis (soe Graph 1) suggests that Euhesperida is clearly distinct from Satureia $5=5$ while Eontscharovia and Satureja $5: 5$ are more closely related. G. popovii is associated with species which are themselves distinct within Satureja 5.5 (S. thymbra, S. salzmannii, 5. bzybica, S. conrulea and S. isophylla). It could be argued, thergfore, that $G$. popovii is just another of these distinct species. However, Gontscharovia has a combination of
both floral and vegetative characters (see p. 21i) which favour generic rank for this taxon.

Boissiers (1879) division of Satureja s.s. into 5 sections (see p. 177 for details) is not supported by the numerical analysis. For example, the species comprising Sect. Subbilabiatae Boissa, S. boissieri, Sosubdentata $[=\underline{S}$. intermedia], S. mutica, S.
 atropatana (of unknown affinity), are widely separated on the dendogram. My own informal division of the genus into 3 groups (see p. 178) is in somewhat closer agrement with the analysis. However, at best, groups comprising only 2 to 6 species are suggested by the dendogram. The species of these small groups are, in the maing placed near each other in the taxonomic account in Chapter 3.

## Micromerioid genera

The species of the Micromerioid genera were too numerous to be dealt with by the computer programs as one block. Nevertheless, by dividing the species into more managable groups, the relationships between and within the 3 Micromerioid genere are still clearly shown in Graphs 2, 3 and 4 .

Graph a shows that Erenaniella and Killickia are clearly separable from Micromeria sect. Pseudomelissa, the section most similar in facies to these genera (Erenan, 1954). Within Micromeriag sections Madagascarenses and Cymularia seem distinct from sect. Micromeria (Eraph 3), whereas sect. Pineolentia, endemic to Gran Canaria, cannot be distinguished from the Canary Isles species of sect. Micromeria (Graph 3).

The similarity values between Micromeria sections Cymularia and Madegascarenses to sect. Micromeria (c. 70\% on Graph 3) are of the same order $a s$ the distance between sect. Pseudomelissa to

Brenaniella and Killickia (Graph 4), Therefore, it could be argued that sections Cymularia and Madagascarenses are worthy of generic rank. Eoth sections are morphologically very distinct in Micromeria so generic rank could be sustained.

Numerous small species groups in Micromeria are suggested by the numerical analysis, and mostly these correspond with similarities between species noted in Chapter 3.

## Calaminthoid qenera

Analysis of the entire Calaminthoid group (see Graph 5) suggests that Cyclotrichium is the most distinct genus, and while Calamintha, Clinopodium and Acinos nearly separate into distinct groups intermediates occur.
A. simensis and A. pseudosimensis are related by the analysis to C. uhliqii, Cokilimandschari and C. debilis. On p. 359 it is argued that the sigmoid, gibbous calym and sessile pedicels of $A$. simensis and A. pseudosimensis suggest these taxa are better placed in Acinos than in Calamintha Erenan (1954), however, noted their similarity to $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ uhliqii and believed that $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{o}}$ pseudosimensis hybridized with it. Therefore, my decision to place $A$ simensis and A. pseudosimensis into Acinos may be an error. Graph 7 shows, however, than in an analysis which excludes Calamintha these two speries associate with Acinos.

Clinopodium is much more closely related to Calamintha than is Acinos (Graph 7), with the distinct Cla atlanticum being associated with C. rouyana and C. cretica. There has been much discussion on the relationships between these two genera and much juggling of species between them (see pp. 324-327 for a detailed discussion). The analysis suggests that the relationship is indeed close, and it could be argued that Clinopodium is not sufficiently distinct to
warrant generic rank. However, Clinopodium possesses a unique combination of floral characters. Sectional rank may, therefore, be more appropriate for Clinopodiun, though traditionally vegetative characters are more usual in distinguishing sections.

Within Calaminthe, C. qrandiflora, C. betulifolia, C. tauricola, C. pamphylica and C. piperelloides, are closely related (see p. 303) and all shere an 11-nerved calyx, large corallas and craspedromous leaf venation. These species are also identified as a distinct group by the numerical analysis (see Graph 6) and may merit sectional rank. The much confused species $C_{0}$ confinis, C. $_{\text {. }}$ gracilis, C. ussuriensis and Co micrantha from the Far East, which are unusual in having the posterior stamens reduced to staminodes, also form a distinct group in the analysis (Graph 6).

## American qenera

The monotypic genera Montereya, Piloblephis and Obteqcameria are clearly distinct fron Gardoquia (Graph 9) and Xenopoma (Graph 9). However, the separation of the other American genera is not so clear cut. Graph 9 shows that Xenopoma, Hesperothymus and Diodeilis do not appear as fully separated groups.

It was stated earlier (p. 409) that Hesperothymus was a teakly defined genus which probably came closest to Diodeilis. This conclusion is supported by the numerical analysis (Graph 9), with H. brownei placed next to D. procumbens (sect. Herbaceae) and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}}$ douqlasii next to three nore nembers of sect. Herbaceae. Graph 10 shows that Diodeilis, when analysed in isolation, is divisible into distinct groups which correspond with its two sections, Diodeilis (D. ashei, D. dentata and D. coccinea) and Merbaceae. Sect. Diodeilis also appoars as a distinct group on Graph 9.

The sectional division of Gardoquie and Xenopoma adopted in this revision is not fully supported by the numerical analysis
(Graphs 8, 9 and 10). Nevertheless, the tendency is for the species of these sections to be placed near each other on the graphs. I suggest that part of the reason for this discrepancy is due to the characters chosen for the numerical analysis. The sections in Chapter 3 were mainly based on vegetative characters, while only 21 of the 69 characters chosen for the numerical analysis were vegetative: 47 were floral or inflorescence characters. The general similarity in floral characteristics may have obscured the differences in vegetative structure. An analysis of Gardoquia using vegetative characters only (graph not presented) suggested 3 major groups equivalent to the following: 1) sect. Gardoquia; 2) sections Tomentosae, Anomales and Sericifoliae uniteds and 3) sections Plicatae, Taxifoliae, Fallidae, Discolores and Micronerioides united. However, numerous anomalies occur, with Epecies being placed together which one wauld not consider likely when besed on the overall characteristics of the plants. The great variability in vegetative characters could be the reason for this.

In the formation of my oun sections of Gardoquia and Xenopone I have judged that certain characters are worthy of more weight then othersy particularly when they appear in combination with characters which are unlikely to be evolved independently in othor parts of the genus. This would seem to fly in the face of the phenetic principle of equal weighting of characters. However, I feel this approach is defensible since one first of all forms the groups based on overall similarityg i.e. there is no a priori weighting of any particular characterg and only then do certain characters land themselves to describing these groups. To tale a couple of examples, the distinctive indumentum and leaf venation of Gardoquia sect, Tomentoseg and the unusual verrurose-dendritic indumentum of Xenopoma sect. Xenopome aro just some of the characters which support the recognition of these sections.


Graph 1. Satureioid genera: Satureja, Gontscharovia, Euhesperida.


Graph 2. Micronerioid genera. Microneriz sect. Microreria excluding Canary Isles species.


Graph 3. Micromerioid genera. Microneria sect. Micromeria fron the Canary Isles, Mieroneria sect. Fineolentia, Microneria sect. Madaqascarenses, Micromeria sect. Cymuliqera.


Graph 4. Micromerioid genera. Bronaniolla, Killickizg Mincomerie sert. Pseudonelissa.


Eraph 5. Calaminthoid genera. Calamintha, Clinopodiun, Arinos, Cyclotrichium.


Graph 6. Calaminthoid genera. Calamintha.


Graph 7. Calaminthoid genera. Clinopodium, Acinos, Cyclotrichiumo


Graph 8．American genera．Gardoquia，Filoblephis，Obtegoneria， Montereya．


Graph 9. American genera. Xenopoma, Diodeilis, Hesperothymes Obtegomeria, Piloblephis, Montereva.
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```
CHARTYPE: OPEN "O", £1, "CHARTYFE.DAT"
FOR CHARACTER% = 1 TO NO.OF.CHAR%
PRINT "CHARACTER NO. "; CHARACTER%; " ";
INPUT "CHARACTER TYPE"; CHAR.TYPE$
WRITE f1, CHAR.TYPE$
NEXT CHARACTER%
CLOSE £1
REM
REM ************** CREATE INITIAL MINIMUM/MAXIMUM FILE
REM
OPEN "O", £4, "MINMAX.DAT"
MINMAX! = 99
FOR X = 1 TO 2
FOR CHARACTER% = 1 TO NO.OF.CHAR%
WRITE E4, MINMAX!
NEXT CHARACTER%
MINMAX! = 0
NEXT X
CLOSE £4
REM
FEM ****************** CREATE EMPTY SPECIES MAME FILE
REM
OPEN "O", £3, "SFECNAME.DAT"
CLOSE £3
REM
REM ********** EET CURRENT MINIMUM/MAXIMUM VALUES INTD ARRAY ***********
REM
ENTRY: OPEN "I", £4, "MINMAX.DAT"
```

FOR $X=1$ TO 2
OPEN "I", £1, "CHARTYPE.DAT"
FOR CHARACTER\% = 1 TO NO. OF.CHAR\%
INPUT £4, MINMAX! (CHARACTER\%, X)
INPUT £1, TYPE\$(CHARACTER\%)
NEXT CHARACTER\%
CLOSE £1
NEXT X
Close f4
REM
REM ********************** GET DATA INTO FILE
REM
FOR CHARACTER\% = 1 TO NO.OF.CHAR\%
CHAR.TYPE $\$=$ TYPE $\$$ (CHARACTER $\%$ )
IF CHAR.TYPE $=$ "Q" THEN GOSUB QUANTITATIVE
IF CHAR.TYPE $=$ " R " THEN GOSUB RANGE
IF CHAR.TYPE $\$=$ "B" THEN GOSUB EINARY
NEXT CHARACTER\%
CLOSE £1
REM
REM \#***************** SAVE SPECIES DATA TO DISK
REM
ON ERROR GOTO 790 : REM TRAP INVALID FILE NAMES
INPUT "ENTER NAME OF DATA FILE"; SPECDATAS
OPEN "ロ", £2, SPECDATA末
FOR CHARACTER\% = 1 TO ND. OF.CHAR\%
URITE £2, SPECDATA! (CHARACTER\%)
NEXT CHARACTER\%
CLose f2


List of CHARDATA.BAS

```
1130 OPEN "I", £3, "SPECNAME.DAT"
1140 OPEN "0", £5, "TEMP.DAT"
1150 WHILE NOT EDF (3)
1160 INPUT £3, SPECIE $
1170 WRITE £5, SPECIE$
1180 WEND
1190 CLOSE £3
1200 WRITE £5, SPECDATA$
1210 CLOSE £5
1220 OPEN "O", £3, "SPECNAME.DAT"
1230 OPEN "I", £5, "TEMP.DAT"
1240 UHILE NDT EOF (5)
1250 INPUT £5, SPECIE$
1260 WRITE f3, SPECIE$
1270 WEND
1280 CLOSE £3, £5
1290 REM
1300 REM ************** SAVE MINIMLMM/MAXIMUM VALUES TO DISK
1310 REM
1320 OFEN "O", £4, "MINMAX.DAT"
1330 FDR X = 1 TO 2
1340 FOR CHARACTER% = 1 TD NO.OF.CHAR%
1350 WRITE £4, MINMAX!(CHARACTER%,X)
1360 NEXT CHARACTER%
1370 NEXT X
1380 CLOSE £4
1390 INPUT "MORE DATA (Y/N)"; ANSWER$
1400 IF ANSWER$ = "Y" THEN GOTO ENTRY
1410 END
```

```
1420 REM
1430 REM ************* DATA INPUT -- QUANTITATIVE CHARACTER
1440 REM
1450 QUANTITATIVE: PRINT "QUANTITATIVE", CHARACTER%
1460 INPUT "LOWER LIMIT"gLOWER!
1470 INPUT "UPPER LIMIT";UPPER!
1480 INPUT "NUMBERS CORRECT (Y/N)";ANSWER&
1490 IF NOT ANSWER$ = "Y" THEN GOTD QUANTITATIVE
1500 SPECDATA! (CHARACTER%) = (UPPER! + LOWER!)/2
1505 IF LOWER! < 0 THEN RETURN : REM NEGATIVE NUMBER INDICATES MISSING DATA
1510 IF LOWER! < MINMAX!(CHARACTER%, 1) THEN MINMAX!(CHARACTER%, 1) = LOWER!
1520 IF UPPER! > MINMAX!(CHARACTER%, 2) THEN MINMAX!(CHARACTEF%,2) = UPPER!
1530 RETUFN
1540 REM
1550 FEM **************** DATA INPUT - - RANGE CHARACTER *****************
1560 REM
1562 REM DATA OF UP TO 8 CHARACTER STATES COMBINED INTD ONE DATA BYTE
1564 REM FOR EXAMPLE : 00010010 (binary) = 12 (hexadecimal) = 18 (decimal)
1566 REM MEANS CHARACTER STATES 5 (BIT 5 SET) AND 2 (BIT 2 SET) ARE PRESENT
1568 REM
1570 RANGE: FRINT "RANGE",CHARACTER%
1580 VALUE! = 0
1590 INPUT "FIRST VALUE";VALUE.1!
1600 INPUT "SECOND VALUE";VALUE.2!
1610 INPUT "THIRD VALUE";VALUE.3!
1620 INPUT "NUMBERS CORRECT (Y/N)";ANSWER*
1630 IF NDT ANSHER$ = "Y" THEN GOTD RANGE
1640 IF CHARACTER% = 6 THEN GOSUB LEAF.SHAPE
```

```
1650 VALUE! = VALUE! OR 2^(VALUE.1! - 1)
1660 VALUE! = VALUE! OR 2^(VALUE.2! - 1)
1670 VALUE! = VALUE! OR 2^(VALUE.3! - 1)
1680 SPECDATA! (CHARACTER%) = VALLE!
1690 RETURN
1695 REM TOO MANY LEAF SHAPES -- COMBINE CHARACTER STATES 3& 
1700 LEAF.SHAPE: IF VALUE. 1! > 3 THEN VALUE. 1! = VALUE. 1! - 1
1710 IF VALUE. 2! > 3 THEN VALUE. 2! = VALUE. 2! - 1
1720 IF VALUE.3! > 3 THEN VALUE.3! = VALUE.3! - 1
1730 RETURN
1740 REM
1750 REM **************** DATA INPUT --- BINARY CHARACTER
1760 REM
1770 BINARY: PRINT "BINARY",CHARACTER%
1780 INPUT "CHARACTER STATE";VALUE!
1790 INPUT "NLMBER CORRECT (Y/N)"; ANSWEF$
1800 IF NOT ANSWER# = "Y" THEN GOTO BINARY
1810 SPECDATA! (CHARACTER%) = VALUE!
1820 RETURN
```

```
REM
REM *********************** CONCATENATE FILE
REM
    ON ERRDR GOTO 50 : REM TRAF INVALID FILE NAMES
INPUT "NAME DF CONCATENATED FILE"; CONCAT.FILE.NAME*
INPUT "NUMBER OF FILES TD CONCATENATE"; NO.DF.FILES%
INFUT "ENTER GENUS NAME";GENUS$
INPUT "ENTER FILE TYPE ENDING (SEE TABLE IN CHARDATA.BAS)";FILE.TYPE$
OPEN "O", £1, CONCAT.FILE.NAME$
WRITE £1, ND.OF.FILES%
OFEN "I", £2, "SPECNAME.DAT"
WHILE NOT EDF(2)
INPUT £2, NAME&
IF RIGHT$(NAHE$,3) = FILE.TYPE$ THEN GOSUB GET.DATA
UEND
END
GET.DATA: PRINT NAME*
INPUT "SPECIES NAME"; SPECIES.NAHME$
INPUT "NAME OK (Y/N)"; ANSWER*
IF NOT ANSHER$ = "Y" THEN GOTO GET.DATA
WRITE £1, GENUS$
WRITE £1, SPECIES.NAME$
OPEN "I", £3, NAME$
FOR X = 1 T0 69
INPUT £З, SPEC.DAT!
WIRITE £1, SPEC.DAT!
NEXT X
CLOSE £3
RETURN
```

REM
REM ************************ DISK DIRECTORY
REM
REM CHARTYPE.DAT $=£ 1=$ CHARACTER TYPES
REM [FILE NAME] $=£ 2=$ DATA FILE
REM MINMAX.DAT $=£ 4=$ MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM VALUES
REM SIMCALC.DAT $=£ 6=$ SIMILARITY VALUES
REM
OPTION BASE 1
ND. DF.CHAR\% $=69$
LINE INPUT "ENTER NAME DF FILE -- ", NAME. DF.FILE\$
OPEN "I", £2, NAME.OF.FILE
INPUT £2,ND.OF.SPEC\%
REM
REM ************* PUT DATA FRR EACH SFECIES INTD ARRAY
REM
DIM SIMDAT! (ND.DF.SPEC\%, ND. OF.CHAR\%)
NO. DF. SPEC\% = 0
WHILE NOT EOF (2)
LPRINT NO.DF.SPEC\% + 1;" = ";
INPUT £2,NAME $\$$
LPRINT NAME\&;" ";
INFUT £2, NAME $\ddagger$
LPRINT NAME $\$$
gosub get.data
WEND
CLOSE £2
LPRINT
\%,2) - MINMAX! (CHARACTER\%, 1)

REM

REM
DIM MINMAX! (ND. OF.CHAR\%,2)
DIM TYPE $\$$ (NO. DF.CHAR\%)
OFEN "I", £4, "MINMAX.DAT"
FOR $X=1$ TO 2
OPEN "I", £1, "CHARTYPE.DAT"

INFUT £1, TYPE $\$(C H A R A C T E R \%) ~$
NEXT CHARACTER\%
CLOSE £1
NEXT $X$
CLOSE £4
REM

REM next character\% REM REM REM

REM ******* PUT CHARACTER TYFES AND MIN/MAX VALUES INTO ARRAYS

FOR CHARACTER\% = 1 TO ND. OF.CHAR\%
INPUT £4, MINMAX! (CHARACTER\%, X)

FEM *********** CALCULATE QUANTITATIVE CHARACTER RANGES

FOR CHARACTER\% = 1 TO NO. OF.CHAR\%
IF TYPE $\$($ CHARACTER\% $)=$ "Q" THEN MINMAX! (CHARACTER\%, 1$)=$ MINMAX! (CHARACTE

REM *********** CALCULATE SIMILARITY COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN INPUT "FIRST CHARACTER NUMEER";FIRST\% INFUT "LAST CHARACTER NUMBER "; LAST\%
OPEN "ロ", £6, "SIMCALC.DAT"
WRITE £6, NO.OF.SPEC\%
LPRINT "SFEC X","SFEC Y", "MATCHES", "COHPARISONS", "SIMILARITY"
FDR $X=1$ TO ND. OF.SPEC\% - 1
FOR $Y=X+1$ TO ND.OF.SPEC\%
LPRINT $X, Y$,
GOSUB CALC.SIMILARITY
LFRINT NO. OF.MATCHES, NO. OF.COMFARISONS, SIMILARITY.VALUE
NEXT Y
NEXT X
END
REM
REM ************* GET DATA FOR EACH SPECIES INTD ARRAY
REM
GET.DATA: ND.DF.SFEC\% = ND. OF. SPEC\% + 1
FOR CHARACTER\% = 1 TO NO. DF.CHAR\%
INPUT £2, SIMDAT! (ND.OF.SFEC\%, CHARACTER\%)
NEXT CHARACTER\%
RETURN $\mid$



CALC.SIMILARITY: ND.OF.COMPARISONS! $=0$
NO. OF.MATCHES! $=0$
FOR CHARACTER\% = FIRST\% TO LAST\%
IF TYPE (CHARACTER\%) $=$ "B" THEN EOSUB CALC.BINARY
IF TYFE (CHARACTER\%) = "R" THEN GOSLB CALC.FANGE
IF TYPE $(C H A R A C T E R \%)=$ "Q" THEN GOSUB CALC. QUANTITATIVE
NEXT CHARACTER\%

```
            SIMILARITY.VALUE! = ND.OF.MATCHES!/NO.DF.COHFARISONS!
                WRITE £G, SIMILARITY.VALLE!
            RETURN
                REM
            REM ************ COMPARE BINARY OR THD-STATE CHARACTERS
        REM
            CALC.BINARY: IF SIMDAT! (X,CHARACTER%) = 0 OR SIMDAT!(Y,CHARACTER%) = 0 TH
EN RETURN
    920 IF SIMDAT!(X,CHARACTER%)=SIMDAT!(Y,CHARACTER%) THEN NO.OF.MATCHES! = NO.O
F.MATCHES! + 1
930 NO.OF.COMPARISONS! = NO.OF.COMPARISONS! + 1
940 RETUFN
950 REM
960 REM **************** COMPARE QUANTITATIVE CHARACTERS
970
REM
980 CALC.QUANTITATIVE: IF SIMDAT!(X,CHAF:ACTER%) < O OR SIMDAT!(Y,CHARACTER%)
< O THEN RETUFN
    990 NO.OF.MATCHES! = NO.OF.MATCHES! - (ABS(SIMDAT!(X,CHARACTER%) - SIMDAT!(Y,
CHARACTER%) )/MINMAX!(CHAFACTER%,1)) + 1
    1000 NO.DF.COMPARISONS! = NO.OF.COMPARISONS! + 1
    1010 RETURN
    1020 REM
    1030 REM ******** COMPARE CHARACTERS WHICH HAVE A RANGE OF STATES *********
    1040 REM
    1050 CALC.RANGE: IF SIMDAT!(x,CHARACTER%) = 0 OR SIMDAT!(Y,CHARACTER%) = 0 THE
N RETURN
    1060 IF (SIMDAT!(X,CHARACTER%) AND SIMDAT! (Y,CHARACTER%)) > O THEN NO.OF. MATCH
ES! = ND.OF.MATCHES! + 1
    1070 NO.OF.COMPARISONS! = NO.OF.COMPARISONS! + 1
    1080 RETURN
```

```
REM
REM CLUSTER ANALYSIS BY GROUP PAIRS SORTING
REM
REM by A. M. Doroszenko
REM
REM
REM
REM
REM ************* FUT SIMILARITY COEFFICIENTS INTD ARRAY
REM
OPTION BASE 1
OFEN "I", f6, "SIMCALC.DAT"
INPUT f6,NO.DF.SPEC%
DIM SIM.VALUES!(2*NO.OF.SPEC%-1,NO.OF.SPEC%-1)
FOR X = 1 TD ND.OF.SPEC% - 1
FOR Y = X + 1 TO NO.OF.SPEC%
INPUT £6, SIM.VALUES!(X,Y-1)
NEXT Y
NEXT X
CLOSE £6
REM
REM *********************** SET UP LINK TABLE
REM
DIM LINK.TAELE%(2*NO.DF.SPEC%, 3)
LEFT% = 1 : REM POINTER TO LEFT BRANCH
RIGHT% = 2 : REM FOINTER TO RIGHT BRANCH
UP% = 3 : REM PQINTER TO HIGHER BRANCH ORDER
FOR X = 1 TO 2*ND.OF.SPEC%
FOR Y = 1 TO 3: LINK.TABLE% (X,Y) = O: NEXT Y
NEXT X
```

```
REM
REM
REM
BOTTOM% = 1
TOF% = ND.OF.SPEC%
LPRINT "CLUSTER NO.","LEFT","RIGHT","SIMILARITY VALUE"
WHILE TOP% <<0
GOSUB CLUSTER
IF MAX.SIM.VALUE! = 0 THEN END
LFRINT TOF%+1,SPECIES.NO.X%,SPECIES.NO. Y%,MAX.SIM.VALUE!
TOP% = TOP% + 1
LINK.TABLE%(SFECIES.NO.X%,UP%) = TDP%
LINK.TABLE%(SPECIES.ND.Y%,UP%)= TOP%
LINK.TABLE%(TDP%, LEFT%) = SPECIES.NO.X%
LINK.TABLE%(TOF%, RIGHT%) = SPECIES.NO.Y%
REM
REM #********************* CALCULATE AVERASES
REM
FOR X = BOTTOM% TD TOP% - 1
V3 = LINK.TABLE%(TOP%,LEFT%)
V4 = LINK.TABLE%(TOF%,RIGHT%)
IF LINK.TABLE% (X, UP%) = 0 THEN GOSUB CALC.AVERAGES
NEXT X
WEND
END
REM
REM ********************** INITIALISE VARIABLES
REM
CLUSTER: MAX.SIM.VALUE! = 0
```

```
SFECIES.ND.X% = 0
SPECIES.NO.Y% = 0
REM
REM #*********** SCAN TABLE FOR MAXIMLM SIMILARITY VALUE
REM
FOR X = EOTTDM% TD TOP% - 1
IF LINK.TABLE%(X,UP%) = 0 THEN EOSUS FIND.MAX
NEXT X
RETURN
REM
FEM ********************* FIND MAXIMUM VALUE
REM
FIND.MAX: FOR Y = X + 1 TO TOP%
IF LINK. TABLE%(Y,UP%)=0 THEN GOSUB CHECK
NEXT Y
FETURN
CHECK: IF (X<=ND.OF.SPEC% AND Y<=NO.DF.SPEC%) THEN GOSUB COMFARE.VALUES
IF (X)NO.OF.SPEC% DR Y>NO.OF.SPEC%) THEN GOSUB CHECK.VALUES
RETLRN
REM
REM **************** COMFARE TWO SIMILARITY VALUES
REM
COMFARE.VALUES: IF SIM.VALUES!(X,Y-1) <= MAX.SIM.VALUE! THEN RETURN
MAX.SIM.VALUE! = SIM.VALUES! (X,Y-1)
SPECIES.NO.X% = X
SPECIES.NO.Y% = Y
RETUFN
CHECK.VALUES: GOSUB TRANSFORM.X.Y
IF SIM.VALUES!(C1,C2-1) <= MAX.SIM.VALLE! THEN RETURN
```

890

V5=V1: V6=V2: V7=V3: VB=V4

REM
C2 = 2*NO.OF.SPEC\%-Y+1
1110 RETUFN
1120 TRANSFOFM.V1.V3: V5=2*NO.DF. SPEC\%-V3+V1
1130 V7=2*NO.OF.SPEC\%-VJ+1

1140 RETURN

1150 TRANSFORM.V2.V4: V6=2\#NO. OF.SFEC\%-V4+V2
$1160 \quad V B=2 * N O . O F .5 P E C \%-V 4+1$

1170 RETURN
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Pl. 1.1 Gardoquia cercocarpoides, abaxial apex tomentosa, adaxial; Pl. 2.6 G. impressa, abaxial; multiflora, adaxial; Pl. 2.13 G. impressa, abaxial 3.15 G. seleriana, adaxial; P1. 3.17 G. macrostema,

Pl. 1.2 G. Pl. 2.11 G. surface; Pl. adaxial: Pl. 3.19 Piloblephis rigida, adaxial; P1. 4.20 Xenopoma brevicalyx, abaxial; Pl. 4.22 X. brevicalyx, hairs; Pl. 4.23 X. nubigena, adaxial; P1. 4.25 Diodeilis cocrinea, adaxial; Pl. 4.26 D. coccinea, adaxial apex; Pl. 5.28 X . gilliesii, adaxial; P1. 5.30 X. gilliesii, abaxial surface; P1. 5.31 X. schusteri, adaxial; Pl. 5.32 X . schusteri, adaxial surface; P1. 5.33 X . viminea, adaxial; P1. 5. 35 X . viminea, hairs; P1. 6.2 X. mutabilis, abaxial.


P1. 6.3 Diodeilis georgiana, abaxial; Pl. 7.4 Xenopoma odora, adaxial; Pl. 7.6 X. axillaris, abaxial; Pl. 7.7 X. axillaris, abaxial surface; Pl. 7.8 Gardoquia sphenophylla, adaxial; P1. 7.9 G. sphenophylla, adaxial near apex; Pl. 8.12 X. cylindristachys, adaxial apex; Pl. 8. 14 Hesperothymus brownei, surface; Pl. 8.15 H. brownei, abaxial; Pl. 8.16 H . douglasii, adaxial; Pl. 9.0 D. procumbens, surface; P1. 9.1 X. alpestris, adaxial; Pl. 9.3 X. alpestris, surface; P1. 9.4 D. amissa, adaxial; Pl. 9.18 X. chandleri, adaxial; Pl. 9.20 D. procumbens, adaxial; Pl. 10.8 Satureja montana, adaxial; P1. 10.7 S. montana, abaxial apex; P1. 10.10 S. montana, glands; Pl. 10.11 S. bachtiarica, abaxial.


P1. 10. 14 Satureja spinosa, adaxial; Pl. 10.16 5. macrantha, adaxial; Pl. 11.1 S. thymbra, abaxial apex; Pl. 11.2 S. coerulea, adaxial; Pl. 11.4 S. spicigera, adaxial; Pl. 11.5 5. spicigera, glands; Pl. 11.6 S. hortensis, adaxial; Pl. 11.8 S. hortensis, glands; P1. 11.19 S. thymbra, adaxial; P1. 12.10 Nanbrea cacondensis, adaxial; Pl. 12.12 N . myriantha var. myriantha, adaxial; Pl. 12.14 Killickia compacta, adaxialy Pl. 12.15 K. compacta, adaxial surface; P1. 12.16 S. bzybica, adaxial; Pl. 12.17 S. bzybica, near apex; Pl. 13.0 Micromeria graeca ssp. graeca, adaxial; Pl. 13.1 M. graeca ssp. graeca, abaxial; Pl. 13.2 M. nervosa, adaxial; P1. 13.19 M . abyssinica, adaxial; P1. 13.21 Calamintha kilimandschari, adaxial.


Pl = 13.22 Calamintha kilimandschari, adaxial surface; Pl. 14.4 Micromeria juliana, adaxial; Pl. 14.5 M. inodora, adaxial; Pl. 14.6 M. marginata, abaxial; P1. 14.8 M. lanata, adaxial; P1. 15.9 C. grandiflora, adaxial; Pl. 15.10 C. debilis, adaxial; Pl. 15.12 C. nepeta ssp. glandulosa, adaxial; P1. 15.13 C. nepeta ssp. glandulosa, near apex; P1. 15.14 C. pamphylica, adaxial; Pl. 16.1 Clinopodium atlanticum, adaxial; P1. 16.16 Cl. vulgare, surface; Pl. 16.17 M. barosma, adaxial; Pl. 17.3 M. mollis, adaxial! Pl. 17.5 M. thymifolia, adaxial; Pl. 17.6 M. thymifolia, adaxial apex; P1. 17.8 M. pulegium, adaxial; P1. 17.9 M. nepalensis, adaxial; Pl. 17.10 M. nepalensis, adaxial apex; Pl= 18. 12 M. euosma, adaxial.


Pl. 18.13 Micromeria euosma, adaxial apex; P1. 18.14 M. cymuligera, adaxial; Pl. 18.15 M. cymuligera, surface; Pl. 18.16 M. hydaspidis, adaxial; Pl. 18.17 M. hydaspidis, adaxial apex; P1. 18. 18 M. capitellata, adaxial; P1. 18.19 M. capitellata, adaxial apex; Pl. 19.20 Acinos nanus, adaxial; P1. 19.21 A. nanus, apex; Pl. 19.22 A. pseudosimensis, abaxial; P1. 19.23 A. pseudosimensis, surface; Pl. 19.24 A. suaveolens, adaxial; P1. 19.25 A. suaveolens, surface; Pl. 19.26 A. rotundifolius, adaxial; P1. 19.27 A . rotundifolius, surface; Pl. 20.29 Cyclotrichium origanifolium, adaxial; Pl. 20.30 C. origanifolium, adaxial apex; Pl. 20.31 M. cinerea, adaxial; Pl. 20.33 Gontscharovia popovii, abaxial.


[^0]:    A large proportion of Satureja s.l. species could reasonably be classed as xerophytes, but strictly one cannot say that a plant is a xerophyte from anatomical data alone since although the classical anatomical features may be present the plant may not physiologically be xerophytic (Maximov, 1931; Stocker, 1957; Pyykka, 1966): In fact, the opposite can be true in that a plant may not show any obvious anatomical adaptations to dry conditions yet be physiologically well adapted (Lemée, 1946). Keromorphic structures may also be induced by influences other than water deficiency such as heat, cold, strong winds, excessive radiationg salt (particularly NaCl), and excesses or deficiencies of soil nutrients. Paradoxically, too much water may produce xeromorphic features, and suboptimal water supply may lead to increased mesomorphy (Lundkvist, 1956). Stocker (1960, p.91) provides a short revieb on this subject, emphasising anatomical paperss and Levitt (1980) emphasises the physiological aspects of environmental stress. Therefore, the term "xeromorph" may be more accurate than "xerophyte" in the following discussion (Seddon, 1974).

    The majority of Satureja 5.1. inhabit 3 broad climatic zones: Mediterranean or mediterranean-like ioe. parts of Californiag Chile, and S. Africag Irano-Turaniang and S. American high alpine. Admittedly this is rather simplisticg but the point is that most species have to endure longer or shorter periods of drought characteristic of these climaric zoness aggravated by high insolation and low temperatures in high alpine plants. Only a few species are probable hygrophytes, including the species of Hesperothymus, Diodeilis of Eastern North America, and those of Micromeria sect. Madagascarenses which grow on the margins of

[^1]:    Zygomorphic flowers force pollinators to approach the flower one way, especially when the pollinator is about the same size as the flower. All species of Satureja 5.1. have zygomorphic flowers. However, it tends to be true that insect pollinated flowers are more zygomorphic than bird pollinated flowers. The mainly birdpollinated genus Gardoquia clearly shows a tendency away from differentiation of upper and lower corolla lips.

    The study of van der Pijl (1972) showed the advantages of the bilabiate flower in Labiatae and other families. Coordination of various flower parts lead to improved precision of visits, more accurate deposition of pollen on pollinators, and better reception of pollen from the pollinator.

[^2]:    3.6.2.1. 1. Leaves, especially underside, densely white recurved-lanate, tightly revolute [Gran Canaria]

    + Leaves not white lanate (only very rarely so), flat or tightly revolute

    2. Calyx tube $1.6-1.8 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ 1ips c. $0.7 \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{g}}$ teeth broadly triangular; corolla tube 1.7-1.8 mm bo lanata

    + Calyx tube 2.5-3.7 mmg lips $1.3-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ teeth narrowly triangular; corolla tube $4.0-7.2 \mathrm{~mm}$

[^3]:    3.6.5.1. 1. Lower calyx teeth 4/5-1 $1 / 2 \times$ the calyx tube 2

    * Lower calyx teeth mostly under $1 / 2 x$ tube length, rarely to 3/5

    5
    2. All calyx teeth $3.0-3.7 \mathrm{~mm}_{9} 11 / 2 \times$ the calyx tube, narrowly triangular S. nummularifolia

    * Lower calyx teeth $1.2-1.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ longer than the upper teeth, $4 / 5$ to as long as the calyx tube, very narrowly subulate

[^4]:    2. Serrulate/ 3. Serrate - projections from leaf margin with pointed apices, with their axes inclined toward the apex. No precise definition of the difference between serrulate and serrate has been attempted in this work. Serrulate is used when
