

Analysis of Michelia Magnoliaceae chloroplast genomes: Provide New Insights into Intergeneric and Intrageneric Relationships

Junxia Shu

Southwest Forestry University

Ruiling Wang Southwest Forestry University Lin Yang Southwest Forestry University

Xi Xia

Southwest Forestry University

Dawei Wang (wangdawei@swfu.edu.cn)

Southwest Forestry University

Research Article

Keywords: Magnoliaceae, Phylogeny, Chloroplast genome, Classification, Intergeneric relationships

Posted Date: January 6th, 2023

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2381194/v1

License: 💿 🛈 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

Abstract

Background: Among Magnoliaceae, the genus *Michelia* is thesecond largest and most evolved, which is essential for studying angiosperm origins, development, and evolution. Given the taxonomic confusion among *Magnolia*, *Manglietia*, and *Michelia*, this study provides recommendations for some of the taxonomically confused intergeneric and intrageneric relationships based on chloroplast genomesanalysis of six *Michelia* plants.

Results: The six chloroplast genomes were set up to range in size from 159,703 bp to 160,026 bp. It had abundant simple sequence repeat sites (136-142), and six highly variable regions (*rpl32-trnL, ndhC-trnV, petA-psbL, ccsA-ndhD, rps15-ycf2, trnN-ndhF*) were detected (pi>0.005). Compared with five other genomes, a shift of the *rpl2* gene from the IR region to the LSC region was found only in *Michelia doltsopa*. In this study, 26 species of cp genome were used, and two trees establish methods were used for phylogenetic analysis of Magnoliaceae. The results showed that the two phylogenetic trees had the same topological structure. The six genera *Michelia were* first grouped into a cluster, which was further separated into two sister clades. There was a clustering of *Magnolia sieboldii* and *Manglietia insignis* within the genus *Michelia*, while the genus *Yulania* tended to be more close relatedness to those species.

Conclusion: Combining the results of previous morphological, sporological, and molecular marker studies, we offer a new taxonomic view to the classification of Magnoliaceae: there should be a merger between *Manglietia insignis*, *Paramichelia baillonii*, and *Tsoongiodendron odor* in the genus *Michelia*; *Parakmeria yunnanensis* and *Pachylarnax sinica* should be combined into the genus *Pachylarnax*. This study provides six genomic resources of *Michelia*that will be beneficial for the evolutionary study and the phylogenetic construction of Magnoliaceae.

Background

The Magnoliaceae family is recognized as a representative species for exploring the origin and evolution of angiosperms [1, 2]. It is an important component of temperate forests and an important ornamental, medicinal and aromatic raw material species [3-5]. The Magnoliaceae family's genus *Michelia* is the second largest and most evolved [6, 7]. The species of this genus are beautifully shaped and pleasantly fragrant and are widely used in landscaping [1, 4]. In medicine and chemicals, among the volatile chemical constituents contained in the leaves and flowers of the genus *Michelia*, some phenolic substances have been shown to have inhibitory effects on certain pathogens, while other alcohols are used as fragrance agents in perfumes and cosmetics [8-11].

Chloroplasts are a plastid with energy conversion commonly found in plants and some algae [12]. The cp genome is one of the components of the cytoplasmic genome of higher plants [13]. Compared with the nuclear genome, it has the advantages of moderate sequence length, direct gene homology, and a moderate rate of evolution, so it can be used in studies revealing the origin of species, and evolution [14, 15]. With the progress of sequencing technologies, a large number of cp genomes have been sequenced and assembled using high-throughput sequencing, and the phylogeny ny, species identification of various species have been evaluated based on these cp genomes [16-19].

Taxonomists classify Magnoliaceae into 2-17 genera and 240-300 species by different classification methods, with approximately 80 species in the genus *Michelia* [3, 7, 20]. There has been much disagreement in the classification of the family, and these disagreements exist mainly in the intergeneric relationships of the genera *Magnolia*, *Manglietia*, and *Michelia* and the interspecific relationships within the genus [3, 20-23]. Given the taxonomic confusion within the Magnoliaceae, six cp genomes of the *Michelia* plant were sequenced, assembled, annotated, and 20 Magnoliaceae species registered in GenBank were added for phylogenetic analysis, which will provide taxonomic information for some controversial species.

Results

Characteristics of the Cp Genome

The six cp genomes are typical of a cyclic tetrameric structure containing three regions (LSC, SSC, and IR) and the full lengths ranged from 159,703bp to 160,026 bp (Fig. 1) The value of the total GC content of these cp genomes varied from 39.2%-39.3%, and the total SSR loci were 136-142 (Table 1, Table S1). The cp genome of six *Michelia* shares a similar genomic structure, in which add up to 130 genes was annotated, with 110 unique genes (76 protein-coding genes, 30 transfer RNA genes, and 4 ribosomal RNA genes). Ten of the protein-coding genes and seven of the tRNA genes contain introns; five of the tRNA genes and six of the protein-coding genes have two copies, the trnM-CAU gene has three copies, and all of the rRNA genes have two copies.

 Table 1. The cp genomic characteristics of the genus Michelia.

Codon Usage and Amino Acid Abundance

Codon usage bias in these six species was analyzed using relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU). The highest values of RSCU for these codons were AGA (1.83-1.85 with arginine), followed by GCU (1.82-1.84 with alanine); abundant amino acids 10.12% -10.22% (2173-2655) encode leucine, 1.13% -1.16% (244-303) encode cysteine the most and least (Table S2). In the 33 preferred codons (RSCU > 1), 16 ended with U, 13 ended with A, 3 ended with G, and 1 ended with C (Fig. 2).

IR Expansion and Contraction

The length of the IR region ranges from 25,037 bp-26,575 bp, and there is no obvious expansion or contraction except for *M. doltsopa* (Fig. 3). Significant variation was observed in M. *doltsopa* the *rpl2* gene was completely transferred to the LSC, while it was located in the IR region in the other five species. In addition, the *ndhF* gene of *M. doltsopa* showed significant contractile in the SSC region compared to the other five species. The *trnH*, *ndhF*, *ycf1*, *rpl2*, and *rps19* are situated at the junction of the LSC/IR and SSC/IR boundaries. The SSC-IRA boundary is embedded in *ycf1* with a length of 5,560bp to 5,576bp.

Repeat Sequences and SSRs Identification

Six *Michelia* cp genomes were found to have 136 to 142 SSRs, the type of penta (AAAAT/ATTTT) has the lowest number with only 1 or none, and the type of mono (A/T, C/G) has the most number with 113-118. Most SSRs (83.10%-84.56%) were mononucleotide A/T repeats and dinucleotide AT/AT (Fig. 4A and 4B; Table S3 and S4). Furthermore, we identified 29 to 33 repeat sequences in two categories, and the repeat sequences ranged from 22 to 28 (Fig. 4C and 4D, and Table S5 and S6).

Sequence Divergence Analysis

The result of Sequence polymorphic levels analysis showed that the six sequences were relatively conserved, the genetic order was the same, and the non-coding region variation was more abundant (Fig.5). The Pi values of these six genomes ranged from 0 to 0.01022, the maximum Pi value in the non-coding area was 0.01022 and 0.003 in the coding area. Among the hotspot regions, *petA-psbL* has a Pi value that overtops 0.01. Other hotspot regions include *ndhC-trnV*, *trnN-ndhF*, *rpl32-trnL*, *ccsA-ndhD*, and *rps15-ycf2* (Pi > 0.005) (Fig.6, Table S7).

Phylogenetic Analysis

In the BI tree, the support rate of 22 out of 24 nodes is 100% (Fig. 7), while in the ML tree, the support rate of 20 out of 24 nodes is more than 90% (Figure S1). This indicates high reliability for both ML and BI trees. In the clade of genus *Michelia* (clade A), *M.macclurei, M.cavaleriei,* and *M.hypolampra* are clustered in branch A1, *M.fulva, M.lacei, M.dolsopa* are clustered in branch A2. *Magnolia siedoldii, Manglietia insignis, Paramichelia baillonii,* and *Tsoongiodendron odorum* are also clustered in branch A, *Yulania* and *Michelia* are closely related.

Discussion

Characteristics of the cp genome

The six cp genomes of the genus *Michelia* ranged in size from 159,703 to 160,026 bp, with an average GC content of 39.26% and codon usage mostly ending in A/U, similar to the other cp genomes of Magnoliaceae, indicating that higher plants have a stable genome structure [5, 24-26]. In this study, the transfer of the gene *rpl2* to the LSC region resulted in a shorter IR region and a longer LSC region in *M. doltsopa*, and this gene transfer is also present in a variety of angiosperms [25, 27-29]. This suggested that the conservation of cp genome sequences in higher plants coexists with IR boundary diversity, which in turn ensures genome diversity [14, 30-32].

SSR markers have a high mutation rate, are easy to genotype, and are commonly used as molecular markers for many plants [33, 34]. Among the six species, the percentage of A/T was the highest of all repeat types, which is similar to higher plants [35, 36]. It suggested that this is because it is easier to transform A to T than G to C [37]. In total, six hotspots with high variability were identified in the regions of SSC and LSC regions, which are often used to study taxonomic or evolutionary drift in plant populations [25, 38, 39]. The high-variance regions identified in this study can be used as references for molecular markers, species identification, and population genetics studies of Magnoliaceae [24, 40].

Phylogenetic relationship

There is considerable controversy about the classification of Magnoliaceae, in which there is an obvious intergeneric crossover between the genera *Michelia, Manglietia,* and *Magnolia,* leading to confusion in intergeneric classification [20, 21, 23, 41]. In the traditional morphological classification, *Manglietia insignis* partitions from the genus *Michelia* by the degree of curvature of the dorsal wall of the epidermis of the leaf and the number of ovules in the carpels [20, 42, 43]. However, in studies at the molecular level, it was found to be more closely related to *Michelia laevifolia* and *Tsoongiodendron odorum* [31, 44, 45]. Genotypes are more stable than phenotypes, therefore in the case of divergent phenotypic and molecular-based taxonomic results, the molecular level is more accurate [16, 44, 46, 47]. In the present study, the relatedness was close that *M. insignis* to the genus *Michelia*, so this study concluded that *M. insignis* can be considered for inclusion in the genus *Michelia*.

Previous studies distinguished the two genera based on the dioeciousness of the male and bisexual flowers (*Parakmeria yunnanensis*) and the distinctive character of the several whorls of carpels arranged on the receptacle (*Pachylarnax sinica*) [3, 20, 41, 48]. However, it was argued that unisexual male flowers are the result of highly immature staminodes, so flower sex is not a sufficient basis for dividing the genus, and it was, therefore, suggested that the genus *Parakmeria* should be included in the genus *Magnolia* [7, 47, 49]. In the present study, *P. yunnanensis* and *P. sinica* are more closely related. Combined with the morphological characteristics of both genera in which the seed's inner epidermal sympodial zone is tubular [50], the young leaves are erect in the bud [51], and the results of comparative cp genome analysis [25, 31]. Therefore, our study agreed that the genus *Parakmeria* should be merged into the genus *Pachylarnax* [52].

In 1984, taxonomists classified the two genera based on the carpel of mature fruit connate (*Paramichelia baillonii*) and carpel of mature fruit free (*Tsoongiodendron odorum*) [20, 41]. Since 1993, it was suggested that fruit carpels connate might be the result of the parallel evolution of carpels from free to connate, so this characteristic feature could not be used as a basis for dividing the genera [7, 21]. Meanwhile, these two genera are similar to the genus *Michelia* in the pollen surface characteristics and size characteristics [53], young leaf coiling characteristics [51], and the height, width, and density of wood rays of wood anatomy [54]. Based on the results of the study of chemical composition, including some alkaloids, terpenoids indicated that they could be included in the genus *Michelia* [8, 9, 55]. The results of the plastid genome sequence indicate that it may form a group and a clade with other taxa of the genus *Michelia* [56-58]. In a word, we suggest that *P. baillonii* and *T. odorum* should be merged into the genus *Michelia*.

Conclusions

In this study, the cp genomes of six new and 20 reported species of Magnoliaceae were used to explore the phylogenetic relationships of Magnoliaceae. The results of this study combined with previous studies provide a new viewpoint on the genus relationship among Magnoliaceae. There should be a merger between *Manglietia insignis, Paramichelia baillonii,* and *Tsoongiodendron odorum* in the genus *Michelia; Parakmeria yunnanensis* and *Pachylarnax sinica* could include the genus *Pachylarnax*. The result will provide insight into the taxonomy and evolution of the Magnoliaceae in the future.

Materials and Methods

Plant Material

We collected six species of Michelia

(*Michelia cavaleriei, Michelia doltsopa, Michelia fulva, Michelia hypolampra, Michelia lacei, Michelia macclurei*) from the Kunming Institute of Botany of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (102°44', 25°8'). Plant samples were identified by Associate Professor Jianhua Qi (Southwest Forestry University) and deposited in the herbarium of Southwest Forestry University (collection numbers: WangDW-2019-034 to WangDW-2019-039).

DNA Extraction, Sequencing, Assembly, and Annotation

DNA extraction and sequencing were performed concerning the previous study [5] and the cp genome of *Michelia alba* (NCBI accession MF990568.1) was used as a reference for these clean data [59]. Geneious 8.1.3 was used to assemble six cp genomes, and DOGMA was used to annotate the genomes [60].

Phylogenetic Analysis

Two methods (ML and BI) were used to construct phylogenetic trees concerning Shen [5]. The ML and BI phylogenetic trees were framed using Mega 6.0 and MrBayes v3.2.6, respectively. 20 other species from 10 genera of Magnoliaceae were added, with the *Liriodendron chinense* and *Liriodendron tulipifera* as an outgroup (Table S8).

Other Analysis

With reference to previous studies, we analyzed the cp genome of six *Michelia* species [5, 52], including genome structure, sequence divergence, genome comparison, SSRs, and codon usage analysis. The value of RSCU and codon usage were determined with the software of Condon W1.4.2 [61]. Six sequenced chloroplasts were aligned and visualized using mVISTA in Shuffle-LAGAN mode, with *Michelia alba* annotations as reference [62]. In order to analyze the nucleotide diversity and find simple sequence repeat markers, the DnaSP 5.10 and the MISAv program were used respectively [63, 64].

Abbreviations

CP: Chloroplast; IR: Inverted repeat; LSC: Large single-copy; SSC: Small single-copy; SSRs: Simple sequence repeats; GC: Guanine-cytosine; BI: Bayesian inference; ML: Maximum Likelihood.

Declarations

Acknowledgments

We thank Associate Professor Qi Jianhua of Southwest Forestry University for the identification of the experimental materials and Mr.Yang Lin for making suggestions on revising the article.

Funding

This research was supported by the Fund of Ten-Thousand Talents Program of Yunnan

Province, grant number YNWR-QNBJ-2020-230.

Availability of data and materials

All annotated chloroplast genomes have been deposited in GenBank (https:// www. ncbi. nlm. nih. gov/ genba nk/). Other data generated or analyzed in our study are included in the supplementary files.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

We complied with relevant institutional, national, and international regulations. The leaf material in this study was collected with permission from the staff of the Kunming Botanical Garden and identified by Associate Professor Qi Jianhua.

Competing interests

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

D.W. conceived and designed the study. J.S. wrote the manuscript. J.S., X.X., and L.Y. performed the analyses. R.W. collected the data. All authors reviewed and revised the manuscript. The author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Key Laboratory for Forest Resources Conservation and Utilization in the Southwest Mountains of China Ministry of Education, Southwest Forestry University, Kunming 650224, China.

² Key Laboratory for Forest Genetics and Tree Improvement and Propagation in Universities of Yunnan Province, Southwest Forestry University, Kunming 650224, China.

References

- 1. Xie H, Tang Y, Fu J, Chi X, Du W, Dimitrov D, Liu J, Xi Z, Wu J, Xu X: Diversity patterns and conservation gaps of Magnoliaceae species in China. Science of The Total Environment. 2022; 813.
- 2. Massoni U, Doyle J, Sauquet H: Fossil calibration of *Magnoliidae*, an ancient lineage of angiosperms. Palaeontologia Electronica. 2015; 18(1).
- 3. Azuma H, Garcia-Franco JG, Rico-Gray V: Molecular phylogeny of the Magnoliaceae: The biogeography of tropical and temperate disjunctions. American Journal of Botany. 2001; 88(12):2275-2285.
- 4. Zhang X: Advances in research of *Michelia* Linn. Guihaia. 2007; 05:712-719.
- 5. Shen Y, Chen K, Gu C, Zheng S, Ma L: Comparative and phylogenetic analyses of 26 Magnoliaceae species based on complete chloroplast genome sequences. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. 2018; 48(12).
- Zhang Z: A Preliminary Study on the Wood Anatomy of Manglietla Aromatica Dandy ["Paraman Glietia Aromatica" (Dandy) Hu and Cheng] and *Paramichelia Baillonii* (Pierre) Hu of Magnoliaceae from China. Acta EO\otanica Sinica. 1984; 26:479-483.

- 7. Chen B, Nooteboom H: Notes on Magnoliaceae III: The Magnoliaceae of China. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden. 1993; 80(4):999-1104.
- Liao T, Cao J, Yang Z, Cheng J, Lu J: Leaf and Flower Extracts of Six *Michelia* L.: Polyphenolic Composition, Antioxidant, Antibacterial Activities and in Vitro Inhibition of α-Amylase and α-Glucosidase. Chemistry & biodiversity. 2022; 19(3).
- 9. Ma H, SiMa Y, Zhan D, Yang J, Xu T: Chemical Constituents of the Volatile Oils from the Leaves of Three *Michelia* species. Journal of Northwest Forestry University. 2019; 34:212-216.
- 10. Cheng K, Helmi NM, Zalina ON, Abd RSNA, Lim Y, Leong H: Phytochemistry, Bioactivities and Traditional Uses of *Michelia × alba*. Molecules. 2022; 27(11):3450.
- 11. Dinesh K, Sunil K, Seema T, Deepak K, Ajay K, Om P: A review of chemical and biological profile of genus Michelia. Journal of Chinese integrative medicine. 2012; 10(12).
- 12. I MG: Chloroplast origin and integration. Plant physiology. 2001; 125(1):50-53.
- 13. Adam OS, Ewelina Ł, Tomasz K, Tomasz S: Chloroplasts: state of research and practical applications of plastome sequencing. Planta. 2016; 244(3):517-527.
- 14. Xiong A, Peng R, Zhuang J, Gao F, Zhu B, Fu X, Xue Y, Jin X: Gene duplication, transfer, and evolution in the chloroplast genome. Biotechnol Adv. 2009; 27(4):340-347.
- 15. Susann W, M SG, W DC, F MK, Dietmar Q: The evolution of the plastid chromosome in land plants: gene content, gene order, gene function. Plant molecular biology. 2011; 76(3-5):273-297.
- 16. Daniell H, Lin C, Yu M, Chang W: Chloroplast genomes: diversity, evolution, and applications in genetic engineering. Genome biology. 2016; 17(1).
- 17. Doreen T, Alina P, Alexander M, Markus F: Genomic profiling: The strengths and limitations of chloroplast genomebased plant variety authentication. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry. 2020; 68(49).
- Fabiana F, Rizzo ZA, Weismann GJ, Souza OR, G LL, Marie-Anne VS: Complete chloroplast genome sequences contribute to plant species delimitation: A case study of the Anemopaegma species complex. American journal of botany. 2017; 104(10).
- 19. Sayers EW, Cavanaugh M, Clark K, Pruitt KD, Schoch CL, Sherry Stephen T, Karsch-Mizrachi I: GenBank. Nucleic Acids Research. 2022; 50(D1): D161-D164.
- 20. Liu Y: A Preliminary Study on the Taxonomy of the Family Magnoliaceae. Acta Phytotaxonomica Sinica. 1984; 22:89-109.
- 21. Figlar RB, Nooteboom HP: Notes on Magnoliaceae IV. 2004; 49(1):47-100.
- 22. Wang Y, Li Y, Zhang S, Yv X: The utility of *mat*K gene in the phylogenetic analysis of the genus *Magnolia*. Acta Phytotaxon Sin. 2006; 44:135-147.
- 23. Nooteboom HP: Notes on Magnoliaceae with a revision of Pachylarnax and Elmerrillia and the Malesian species of *Manglietia* and *Michelia*. Blumea Biodiversity, Evolution and Biogeography of Plants. 1985; 31:65-121.
- 24. Salvador G, Aldaba NFA, Emily V, Pieter A, Isabel L, MarieStéphanie S: Comparison of Magnoliaceae Plastomes: Adding Neotropical Magnolia to the Discussion. Plants. 2022; 11(3).
- 25. Li Y, Sylvester SP, Li M, Zhang C, Li X, Duan Y, Wang X: The Complete Plastid Genome of Magnolia zenii and Genetic Comparison to Magnoliaceae species. Molecules. 2019; 24(2):261.
- 26. Bungard R: Photosynthetic evolution in parasitic plants: insight from the chloroplast genome [Review]. BioEssays: news and reviews in molecular, cellular, and developmental biology. 2004; 26(3):235-247.
- 27. Huang Y, Li J, Yang Z, An W, Xie C, Liu S, Zheng X: Comprehensive analysis of complete chloroplast genome and phylogenetic aspects of ten Ficus species. BMC Plant Biology. 2022; 22(1).

- 28. Li X, Gao H, Wang Y, Song J, Henry R, Wu H, Hu Z, Yao H, Luo H, Luo K et al: Complete chloroplast genome sequence of Magnolia grandiflora and comparative analysis with related species. Science China Life sciences. 2013; 56(2):189-198.
- 29. Sajjad A, Latif KA, Aaqil KM, Raheem S, Lubna, Mo KS, Ahmed A-H, Ahmed A-R, In-Jung L: Complete chloroplast genome sequence and comparative analysis of loblolly pine (Pinus taeda L.) with related species. PloS one. 2018; 13(3).
- 30. Liu Q, Li X, Li M, Xu W, Schwarzacher T, Heslop-Harrison JS: Comparative chloroplast genome analyses of Avena: insights into evolutionary dynamics and phylogeny. BMC plant biology. 2020; 20(1):406.
- 31. Sun L, Jiang Z, Wan X, Zou X, Yao X, Wang Y, Yin Z: The complete chloroplast genome of Magnolia polytepala: Comparative analyses offer implication for genetics and phylogeny of *Yulania*. Gene. 2020; 736(C).
- 32. Huang H, Shi C, Liu Y, Mao S, Gao L: Thirteen *Camellia* chloroplast genome sequences determined by high-throughput sequencing: genome structure and phylogenetic relationships. BMC evolutionary biology. 2014; 14(1).
- 33. Xue S, Shi T, Luo W, Ni X, Iqbal S, Ni Z, Huang X, Yao D, Shen Z, Gao Z: Comparative analysis of the complete chloroplast genome among Prunus mume, P. armeniaca, and P. salicina. Horticulture Research. 2019; 6(1):1-13.
- 34. Sun Y, Wen X, Huang H: Genetic diversity and differentiation of *Michelia maudiae* (Magnoliaceae) revealed by nuclear and chloroplast microsatellite markers. Genetica. 2011; 139:1439-1447.
- 35. Zhou H, ZhiQiang W, KunKun Z, ZengGiang Y, NinGnan Z, JunYu G, Tembrock LR, Xu D: Comparative Analyses of Five Complete Chloroplast Genomes from the Genus *Pterocarpus* (Fabacaeae). International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2020; 21(11):3758.
- Alzahrani DA, Albokhari EJ, Yaradua SS, Abba A: Comparative Analysis of Chloroplast Genomes of Four Medicinal Capparaceae Species: Genome Structures, Phylogenetic Relationships and Adaptive Evolution. Plants. 2021; 10(6):1229.
- 37. Xie D, Yu Y, Deng Y, Li J, Liu H, Zhou S, He X: Comparative Analysis of the Chloroplast Genomes of the Chinese Endemic Genus Urophysa and Their Contribution to Chloroplast Phylogeny and Adaptive Evolution. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2018; 19(7).
- 38. Huang R, Xie X, Chen A, Li F, Tian E, Chao Z: The chloroplast genomes of four Bupleurum (Apiaceae) species endemic to Southwestern China, a diversity center of the genus, as well as their evolutionary implications and phylogenetic inferences. BMC Genomics. 2021; 22:714.
- Shaw J, Lickey EB, Schilling EE, Small RL: Comparison of whole chloroplast genome sequences to choose noncoding regions for phylogenetic studies in angiosperms: the tortoise and the hare III. American journal of botany. 2007; 94(3):275-288.
- Zhao X, Zhu Z: Comparative Genomics and Phylogenetic Analyses of Christia vespertilionis and Urariopsis brevissima in the Tribe Desmodieae (Fabaceae: Papilionoideae) Based on Complete Chloroplast Genomes. Plants (Basel). 2020(29):1116.
- Dandy JE: The genera of Magnolieae. Bulletin Of Miscellaneous Information (Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew). 1927;
 (7):257-264.
- 42. Tucker SC: Foliar sclereids in the Magnoliaceae. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society. 1977; 75(4):325-356.
- 43. Lin X, Yv Z: Characters of Leaf Epidermis of Magnoliaceae and its Taxonomic Significance. Journal of Zhejiang A & F University. 2004; (01):33-39.
- 44. Zhu B, Qian F, Wang X, Liu Y: The phylogeny of Magnoliaceae based on chloroplast genome. Journal of Biology. 2021; 39:53-58.
- 45. Zheng W, Xu X: The complete chloroplast genome of endangered *Manglietia insignis*, a rare landscaping tree with red lotus-like flowers. Conservation Genetics Resources. 2018; 10(1):27-30.

- 46. Dong S, Chen L, Liu Y, Wang Y, Zhang S, Yang L: The draft mitochondrial genome of *Magnolia biondii* and mitochondrial phylogenomics of angiosperms. PloS one. 2020; 15(4).
- 47. SiMa Y, Lu S, Han M, Ma H, Xv T, Xv L, Hao J, Li D, Chen S: Advances in Magnoliaceae Systematic Research. Journal of West China Forestry Science. 2012; 41:116-127+113.
- 48. Liu Y, Xia N, Yang H: The Origin, Evolution and Phytogeoraphy Of Magnoliaceae. Journal of Tropical and Subtropical Botany. 1995; 3:1-12.
- 49. Lin Q, Duan L, Yuan Q, Li M, Xie Y: Taxonomic revision of the genus Parakmeria Hu Cheng (Magnoliaceae). Bulletin of Botanical Research. 2006; (05):527-531+538.
- 50. Xu F, Wu Q: Chalazal region morphology on the endotesta of Magnoliaceous seeds and its systematic significance. Journal of Systematics and Evolution. 2002(03):260-270.
- 51. SiMa Y, Wang J, Cao L, Wang B, Wang Y: Prefoliation Features of the Magnoliaceae and their Systematic Significance. Journal of Yunnan University(Natural Sciences Edition). 2001; (S1):71-78.
- 52. Yang L, Tian J, Xu L, Zhao X, Song Y, Wang D: Comparative Chloroplast Genomes of Six Magnoliaceae Species Provide New Insights into Intergeneric Relationships and Phylogeny. Biology. 2022; 11(9):1279.
- 53. Hao J, Sima Y, Xu T, Zhu Y: Pollen Morphology of 16 Species of the Genus Micheliain Magnoliaceae. Acta Botanica Boreali-Occidentalia Sinica. 2015; 35:2204-2210.
- 54. Wu S, Lin J, Li Z: Comparative Anatomical Studies on The Wood Rays of Sixty-six in Nine Genera of Magnoliaceae in China. Acta Botanica Sinica. 1993; 35(4):268-279.
- 55. Song X, Fu T, Wu L, Cai Y, Zhu H, Lu Y, Liu X, Zheng Q: A new sesquiterpene lactone from Tsoongiodendron odorum Chun. J Asian Nat Prod Res. 2001; 3(4):285-291.
- 56. Liu H, Xu Q, He P, Santiago LS, Yang K, Ye Q: Strong phylogenetic signals and phylogenetic niche conservatism in ecophysiological traits across divergent lineages of Magnoliaceae. Scientific reports. 2015; 5(1):12246.
- 57. Deng Y, Luo Y, He Y, Qin X, Li C, Xiao eD: Complete Chloroplast Genome of *Michelia shiluensis* and a Comparative Analysis with Four Magnoliaceae Species. Forests. 2020; 11(3).
- 58. Wang Y, Liu B, Nie Z, Chen H, Chen F, Figlar RB, Wen J: Major clades and a revised classification of *Magnolia* and Magnoliaceae based on whole plastid genome sequences via genome skimming. Journal of Systematics and Evolution. 2020; 58(5).
- 59. Gang W, Na H, Shuoxin Z, Yang L: Characterization of the complete chloroplast genomes of seven *Manglietia* and one *Michelia* species (Magnoliales: Magnoliaceae). Conservation Genetics Resources. 2018; 10(4):705-708.
- 60. Wyman SK, Kansen J, Boore JL: Automatic annotation of organellar genomes with DOGMA. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England). 2004; 20(17).
- 61. Yan M, Zhao X, Zhou J, Huo Y, Ding Y, Yuan Z: The Complete Chloroplast Genomes of Punica granatum and a Comparison with Other Species in Lythraceae. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2019; 20(12).
- 62. Nakamura T, Yamada KD, Tomii K, K. K: Parallelization of MAFFT for large-scale multiple sequence alignments. Bioinformatics. 2018; 34(14):2490-2492.
- 63. Librado P, Rozas J: DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England). 2009; 25(11).
- 64. Thiel T, Michalek W, Varshney RK, Graner A: Exploiting EST databases for the development and characterization of gene-derived SSR-markers in barley (Hordeum vulgare L.). TAG Theoretical and applied genetics Theoretische und angewandte Genetik. 2003; 106(3).

Tables

 Table 1
 Summary of the cp genomic characteristics of the genus Michelia.

Species	M. cavaleriei	M. doltsopa	M. fulva	M. hypolampra	M. lacei	M. macclurei
length (bp)	159,830	159,939	159,909	160,026	159,951	159,703
LSC length (bp)	87,893	91,074	87,980	88,080	88,022	87,730
SSC length (bp)	18,817	18,791	18,795	18,796	18,793	18,822
IR length (bp)	26,560	25,037	26,567	26,575	26,568	26,575
GC content (%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	39.2%	39.2%	39.3%
Gene number	130	130	130	130	130	130
SSR number	136	142	140	141	140	136
GenBank accession	MW480859	MW470943	MW491463	MW470944	MW470942	MW470941



Gene map of the cp genome of six genera Michelia



A number of codons in all protein-coding genes in the cp genomes of the six Michelia



Inverted Repeats



Comparison of the SSC, LSC, and IR border positions in the cp genomes of the six Micheliaspecies

Figure 4

The number and type of SSRs and repeat sequences in the cp genome of six Michelia species

A. Number of six SSR types; B. Number of SSR motifs in different repeat class types;

C. Number of repeat types; D. Number of dispersed repeat sequences.





Alignment of cp genomes sequences from the six Michelia



Phylogenetic relationships by Bayesian inference of 26 Magnoliaceae species

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- · FigureS1.PhylogeneticrelationshipsbyMaximumLikelihoodof26Magnoliaceaespecies..pdf
- TableS1.ListofgenesencodedbysixspeciesofMicheliachloroplastgenome..docx
- TableS2.CodonfrequenciesandrelativesynonymouscodonusageRSCUvaluesofthecpgenomesofsixMicheliaspecies..xlsx
- TableS3.SeveralsixSSRtypes..xlsx
- TableS4.SeveralSSRmotifsindifferentrepeatclasstypes..xlsx
- TableS5.Severalrepeattypes..xlsx
- TableS6.Severaldispersedrepeatsequences..xlsx
- TableS7.NucleotidediversityofthesixMicheliachloroplastgenomes.xlsx
- TableS8.Accessionnumbersofthechloroplastgenomesusedinthephylogeneticanalysis..xlsx