



United States Department of the Interior



FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
South Florida Ecological Services Office
1339 20th Street
Vero Beach, Florida 32960

May 25, 2016

Kimberly D. Rose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

Service CPA Code: 04EF2000-2014-CPA-0203
Service Consultation Code: 04EF2000-2014-F-0280
FERC Docket Number: CP14-554-000, OEP/DG2E/Gas
Branch 3, Florida Southeast
Connection, LLC.

Date Received: November 01, 2013
Formal Consultation Initiation Date: March 17, 2016
Project: Florida Southeast Connection
Applicant: Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission
County: Osceola, Polk, Okeechobee, St.
Lucie, and Martin

Dear Ms. Rose:

This document transmits the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service's (Service) South Florida Ecological Service's Field Office Biological Opinion based on our review of construction and operation of Florida Southeast Connection, LLC's (FSC) Florida Southeast Connection pipeline project (Project) and its effects on the blue-tailed mole skink (*Plestiodon egregius lividus*) and sand skink (*Plestiodon reynoldsi*) (collectively referred in this document as skinks unless specified), as well as the Florida bonamia (*Bonamia grandiflora*), Lewton's polygala (*Polygala lewtonii*), papery whitlow-wort (*Paronychia chartacea* spp. *Chartacea*), sandlace (*Polygonella myriophylla*), scrub buckwheat (*Eriogonum longifolium* var. *gnaphalifolium*), and scrub mint (*Dicerandra frutescens*) in accordance with section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (Act) of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*). The Project is a 126.3-mile gas pipeline proposed to run through five Florida counties (Osceola, Polk, Okeechobee, St. Lucie and Martin) within the South Florida Ecological Service's Field Office jurisdiction. The Project is part of the larger Southeast Market Pipeline (SMP), which includes Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC's Hillabee Expansion (43.5 miles) and Sabal Trail Transmission LLC's Sabal Trail (516.2 miles) pipeline projects, both of which were considered in the North Florida Ecological Service's Biological Opinion (Service Log

No. 04EF1000-2014-F-0319). The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) requested consultation on two mammals, eleven birds, eight reptiles, one insect, and twenty four plants (Table 1). FERC’s request for formal consultation was received on September 9, 2015.

Table 1. Species included in FERC’s consultation request for the Project. (E = endangered, T = threatened, E, XN = endangered non-essential experimental population in the eastern U.S. and Louisiana, SAT = threatened due to similarity of appearance, Pet =petitioned, and C = candidate species)

Species
MAMMALS
Florida bonneted bat (E) <i>Eumops floridanus</i>
Florida panther (E) <i>Puma concolor coryl</i>
BIRDS
Audubon’s crested caracara (T) <i>Caracara cheriway</i>
Everglade snail kite (E) <i>Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus</i>
Florida grasshopper sparrow (E) <i>Ammodramus savannarum floridanus</i>
Florida scrub-jay (T) <i>Aphelocoma coerulescens</i>
Kirtland’s warbler (E) <i>Setophaga kirtlandii</i> ¹
Piping plover (T) <i>Charadrius melodus</i>
Red-cockaded woodpecker (E) <i>Picoides [=Dendrocopos] borealis</i>
Red knot (T) <i>Calidris canutus rufa</i> ¹
Whooping crane (E,XN) <i>Grus americana</i> ^{1,3}
Wood stork (T) <i>Mycteria americana</i>
REPTILES
American alligator (SAT) <i>Alligator mississippiensis</i> ²
American crocodile (T) <i>Crocodylus acutus</i> ¹
Blue-tailed mole skink (T) <i>Plestiodon egregious lividus</i>
Eastern diamondback rattlesnake (Pet) <i>Crotalus adamanteus</i> ²
Eastern indigo snake (T) <i>Drymarchon couperi</i>
Gopher tortoise (C) <i>Gopherus polyphemus</i> ²
Sand skink (T) <i>Plestiodon reynoldis</i>
Striped newt (C) <i>Notophthalmus perstriatus</i> ²

Species
INSECTS
Highlands tiger beetle (PE) <i>Cicindela highlandensis</i> ²
PLANTS
Avon Park harebells (E) <i>Crotalaria avonensis</i>
Britton's beargrass (E) <i>Nolina brittoniana</i>
Carter's mustard (E) <i>Warea carteri</i>
Clasping warea/Wide-leaf warea (E) <i>Warea amplexifolia</i>
Florida Bonamia (T) <i>Bonamia grandiflora</i>
Florida jointweed/wireweed (E) <i>Polygonella basiramia</i>
Florida ziziphus/Florida Jujube (E) <i>Ziziphus celata</i>
Four-petal pawpaw (E) <i>Asimina tetramera</i>
Fragrant prickly apple (E) <i>Harrisia fragrans/Cereus eriophorus var. fragrans</i> ¹
Highlands scrub hypericum (E) <i>Hypericum cumulicola</i>
Lakela's mint (E) <i>Dicerandra immaculate</i>
Lewton's polygala (E) <i>Polygala lewtonii</i>
Papery whitlow-wort (Paper nailwort) (T) <i>Paronychia chartacea</i>
Perforate reindeer lichen (E) <i>Chadonia perforata</i>
Pygmy fringe-tree (E) <i>Chionanthus pygmaeus</i>
Sandlace/Small's jointweed (E) <i>Polygonella myriophylla</i>
Scrub-blazing-star/Florida blazing-star (E) <i>Liartia ohlingerae</i>
Scrub buckwheat (T) <i>Eriogonum longifolium</i>
Scrub lupine (E) <i>Lupinus aridorum</i>
Scrub mint (E) <i>Dicerandra frutescens</i>
Scrub pigeon wing (T) <i>Clitoria fragrans</i>
Scrub plum (E) <i>Prunus geniculata</i>
Short-leaved rosemary (E) <i>Conradina brevifolia</i>
Tiny polygala (E) <i>Polygala smallii</i> ¹

¹ Species was not considered to be effected by this action will not be discussed further in this biological opinion

² The species is not currently protected under the Act; therefore, it is not included in this consultation.

³ Although this species is listed under the Act, the Project occurs within the range of the experimental population, and consultation is only warranted on Federal lands.

This Biological Opinion is based on information provided in the FERC's September 11, 2015, SMP Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS), FERC's October 1, 2015, request for formal consultation, FERC's December 01, 2015, Final EIS and biological assessment, and other communications, meetings, phone calls, emails, with FERC and FSC. The consultant for FERC is Merjent, Inc. Environmental Services (Merjent) and the environmental consultant for FSC is Environmental Consulting & Technology, Inc. (ECT). A complete record of this consultation is on file at the Service's South Florida Ecological Services Field Office.

FSC did not received permission from landowners to access approximately 4 percent of the Project area. This consultation does not include an analysis of potential effects to listed species on these unsurveyed/unaccessed lands. Prior to proceeding with any construction activities on those lands, FERC/FSC must conducted appropriate surveys to evaluate the presence of listed species. If the proposed Project may affect any listed species, re-initiation of consultation will be necessary prior to commencement of construction on these lands.

Consultation History

On August 19, 2014, FERC sent a letter to the Service requesting our agency to be a cooperating agency on the EIS for SMP, and on September 18, 2014, the Service provided a letter to FERC declining this request.

On December 15, 2015, FERC notified the Service through email that Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC and Sabal Trail Transmission, LLC recently filed their respective applications with FERC for the Hillabee Expansion Project and Sabal Trail Project, respectively. Together, with the previous FSC Project application, the FERC would begin conducting its formal review of the SMP.

On May 27, 2015, FSC emailed the Service to express that appropriate surveys had been conducted within all the affected areas of the Project. FSC indicated the survey reports would be provided to the Service in June of 2015.

On September 9, 2015, FERC requested consultation with the Service on the Project. FERC requested concurrence with their may affect, but not likely to adversely affect determinations and formal consultation for the species that the Project is likely to adversely affect.

FERC provided the Service with the DEIS and biological assessment for review and comments on September 11, 2015.

On September 11, 2015, the Service commented via email to FSC and FERC that the Service had not received an acknowledgement that their comments relating to ECT's Florida Southeast Connection Federally Listed Species Report were received or taken into consideration while developing the EIS.

On October 8, 2015, the Service notified FERC via email that we had not received all of the information necessary to initiate formal consultation on the Project, and that formal consultation would not begin until we received all of the information, or a statement explaining why that information cannot be made available.

On November 11, 2015, FERC and the Service conducted a telephone meeting to discuss DEIS comments.

On November 15, 2015, FSC emailed the Service with their responses to the Service's comments and questions that we submitted on the FERC docket.

On December 1, 2015, FERC provided the Service with the Final EIS and biological assessment for the Project.

On December 11, 2015, FSC provided the Service with their response to the Service's comments on the Project's DEIS.

On January 14, 2016, the Service met with FERC and FSC at the South Florida Ecological Service's Field Office. During the meeting a number of outstanding items were identified. It was agreed that outstanding information would be submitted by FSC to FERC and the Service.

On January 29, 2016, FSC emailed the Service with FSC's additional information relating to the topics discussed during our January 14, 2016, meeting.

On January 29, 2016, ECT provided the Service with shape-files requested at the January 14, 2016 meeting.

On February 2, 2016, FERC issued the Certificate Order.

On March 17, 2016, FERC emailed the Service requesting that the Service modify the effects determinations for the Project per advisement from the Service.

As of March 17, 2016, the Service had sufficient information to initiate formal consultation on the Project, which was communicated to FERC on May, 6, 2016.

Species not likely to be adversely affected by the proposed action

FERC determined that the proposed Project may affect, but is not likely to adversely 25 species protected under the Act (Table 2.) The text following Table 2 provides justification for the Service's concurrence with FERC's determination.

Table 2. Species for which FERC determined that the proposed Project may affect, but is not likely to adversely.

Species
MAMMALS
Florida bonneted bat
Florida panther
BIRDS
Audubon’s crested caracara
Everglade snail kite
Florida grasshopper sparrow
Florida scrub-jay
Red-cockaded woodpecker
Wood stork
REPTILES
Eastern indigo snake
PLANTS
Avon Park harebells
Britton’s beargrass
Carter’s mustard
Clasping warea/Wide-leaf warea
Florida jointweed/wireweed
Florida ziziphus/Florida jujube
Four-petal pawpaw
Highlands scrub hypericum
Lakela’s mint
Perforate reindeer lichen
Pygmy fringe-tree
Scrub-blazing-star/Florida blazing-star

Species
Scrub lupine
Scrub pigeon wing
Scrub plum
Short-leaved rosemary

Florida bonneted bat

The Project transverses the Service’s consultation and focal area for the Florida bonneted bat. In 2015 ECT surveyed the portion of the Project that overlaps the Florida bonneted bat focal area for potential roost trees by investigating roosting habitat for cavity trees following guidance from the Service. No visual evidence of Florida bonneted bats was observed in 2015. ECT conducted follow-up Florida bonneted bat roost surveys in 2016 where the potential for the Florida bonneted bat roosts existed (*i.e.*, cavity trees), which consisted of the Project right-of-way and one contractor yard. All cavities were inspected using an endoscope camera with LED lighting on long pole. The camera was flexible and allowed inspection around the entirety of the internal cavity space. No bats or any other animals were found in any of the cavities. ECT’s survey conclusion was that no Florida bonneted bats are present within the Project area. Based on these survey findings, the Service concurs with FERC’s determination the Project may affect, but not likely to adversely affect the Florida bonneted bat.

Florida panther

The Project does not transverse the Florida Panther Consultation Area or the Panther Focus Area (Primary Zone, Secondary Zone, Dispersal Zone or the Primary Dispersal / Expansion Area). However, there is a potential that a Florida panther could travel through the proposed Project area. The Project will not result in the loss of any Florida panther habitat, which has been identified for recovery of the species, and lands within the right-of-way will be allowed to revegetate to a similar condition to adjacent undisturbed lands. Therefore, the Service concurs with FERC’s determination that the Project may affect, but not likely to adversely affect.

Audubon’s crested caracara

The Project occurs within the Audubon’s crested caracara (caracara) consultation area. During the 2015 surveys season FSC identified two nests outside of but within 985 feet (ft) of the pipeline right-of-way. FSC committed to conduct follow-up surveys in January or February 2016 to determine if these nest sites are still active and identify any potential new nest sites.

To avoid and minimize potential adverse effects of the Project to caracara FSC has committed to the following measures:

- 1) Prior to construction in the caracara consultation area, FSC will conduct Service approved protocol breeding season caracara nest surveys within areas where their presence has previously been documented during the breeding season.
- 2) Clearing is anticipated to occur between June and October 2016, which is outside the height of the nesting season (*i.e.*, January - March). If areas all are not cleared prior to subsequent nesting seasons, FSC will conduct additional breeding season caracara nest surveys within areas where their presence was previously documented if all or a portion of that breeding season will coincide with construction activities.
- 3) Known nest trees will be avoided.
- 4) FSC will limit the removal of optimal nesting substrate (*i.e.*, cabbage palm trees in excess of 16 ft in height) within caracara habitat to the minimum extent necessary for the installation of the pipeline and future maintenance considerations.
- 5) If caracara nests are identified within the Project area, FSC will postpone construction activities in the primary nest protection zone (985 ft) around each nest until the young birds have fledged.
- 6) Any carrion found within active construction areas will be removed to minimize possible vehicle injury to caracaras.
- 7) FSC will conduct employee and contractor education on identifying caracaras. Employees and contractors will be instructed not to harm or harassing the caracara and to allow individuals to leave an area before construction activities can resume.
- 8) FSC will avoid the use of chemicals toxic to wildlife, including pesticides, fertilizers, or herbicides.

The Service concurs with FERC's determination that the Project may affect, but not likely to adversely affect the caracara based on FSC's commitment to implement the avoidance and minimization measures described above.

Florida grasshopper sparrow

FSC conducted surveys for Florida grasshopper sparrows (grasshopper sparrow) in 2015, following the Service's recommended Florida Grasshopper Sparrow Survey Protocol (Service 2004a). Survey stations were set in all potential grasshopper sparrow habitat

within the Project area. Three surveys, at least 2-week apart, were conducted with negative results, indicating that grasshopper sparrows were absent from the Project area. Within the potential grasshopper sparrow habitat the Project right-of-way is lined with power poles and fences. These provide opportunities for raptor perching and make the area within the proposed Project and immediately adjacent unsuitable habitat for grasshopper sparrows. No construction activities will occur more than 100 ft outside of the Project right-of-way within potential grasshopper sparrow habitat. Based on condition of the site and the negative survey results, the Service concurs with FERC's determination that the Project may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect the grasshopper sparrow.

Florida scrub-jay

FSC completed Florida scrub-jay (scrub-jay) surveys for the Project in September 2014, October 2014, and March 2015. Survey protocols followed the Service's Scrub-Jay Survey Guidelines (June 2004b) and were conducted within scrub-jay habitat that was within the Project area, including pipeline right-of-way, access roads, and contractor staging areas. No scrub-jays were documented during those survey efforts.

However, FSC subsequently reported that one adult pair of scrub-jays was observed in early March 2015, in the vicinity of Project mile post (MP) 48.8 during surveys for other wildlife species. This pair was not originally documented when surveys were conducted at this location in October 2014. Follow-up observations conducted biweekly in April and early May 2015 consistently recorded this pair in the same general location (MP 48.6 through 48.9); however, no nesting activity was observed, nor did the scrub-jays exhibit behavioral patterns consistent with territoriality. These scrub-jays were typically visible when observers arrived and did not respond to recorded vocalizations.

The scrub-jay pair was usually observed south of the right-way; however, one or the other would sometimes make flights into the right-of-way to roost or forage. The habitat within the right-of-way is of distinctly different character than the habitat where this pair of birds was usually observed. FSC provided photographs to document the marginal condition of the habitat within the right-of-way. No scrub oaks or other typical scrub vegetation are present in the right-of-way. As such, no scrub habitat will be impacted during clearing of this portion of the Project. Furthermore, post construction conditions will be similar to current existing habitat. As an additional minimization measure, FSC will resurvey this area in the spring 2016 breeding season to determine if the pair is still present and/or nesting. Furthermore, FERC's final EIS requires additional protection for scrub-jays, stating: "FSC should avoid construction within occupied Florida scrub-jay habitat between March 1 and June 30, unless additional surveys confirm that this habitat is unoccupied or FSC receives written confirmation from the Commission (FERC) that construction activities can occur within this timeframe."

Based on the fact that FSC will resurvey this area in the spring 2016 breeding season to determine if the pair is still present and/or nesting, FERC's restrictions on construction within scrub-jay habitat during breeding season, the temporary nature of the construction impacts allowing the birds to continue to use the Project right-of-way immediately following construction, and the marginal quality of the habitat for scrub-jays, the Service concurs with FERC that the Project may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect the scrub-jay.

Everglade snail kite

The Project intersects the Everglade snail kite (snail kite) consultation area between MP 52.3 and 53.7 within Lake Kissimmee marshland. FSC conducted snail kite surveys within potential snail kite habitat in February and March 2015, according to approved Service survey protocols. Snail kites were observed at the southern edge of Lake Kissimmee between MP 52.9 and 53.1. At this location, both a male and a female snail kite were observed on the same day, although at different times, and no interaction was observed between the birds. Behavioral observations of the female bird suggested a potential nest site at MP 52.9 within a cluster of willow trees. Because of difficulty reaching the potential nest site, its presence was not positively confirmed. This potential nest, while located in the Project area, is approximately 1,400 ft from any proposed construction activities.

FERC's EIS establishes avoidance and minimization measures for nesting snail kites. The minimization measures specify "the Service has established guidelines that recommend activities such as pipeline construction not occur within 1,640 ft of an active nest. Prior to construction and if construction activities would occur within the snail kite nesting season, FSC would complete snail kite nest surveys near Lake Kissimmee to determine if active nests occur within 1,640 ft of project work areas. If active nests are found, FSC would postpone construction until young have fledged the nest. FSC also proposes to cross Lake Kissimmee and its adjacent wetland habitat using the HDD (horizontal directional drilling) crossing method, which would avoid impacts on foraging and nesting habitat. To further minimize impacts on the snail kite, FSC would implement its construction and restoration plans and train construction personnel to identify snail kites and prevent kite harassment."

Based on the FERCs required measures in the final EIS stated above, the Service concurs with FERC's determination that the Project may affect, but not likely to adversely affect the snail kite.

Red-cockaded woodpecker

During Project preplanning meetings with the Service, FSC indicated that there was approximately 218 acres of habitat fitting minimal red-cockaded woodpecker (RCW) habitat requirements along the Project right-of-way, and that approximately 18 acres of that habitat was within the Service's consultation area for RCWs.

FSC conducted general reconnaissance of all areas deemed to be suitable habitat for RCWs in September and October 2014. During that effort, biologists evaluated habitat conditions and looked and listened for RCWs. This exercise included approximately 24 total man-hours of observation within potential RCW habitat. No RCW were documented during this effort.

Follow-up nesting cavity surveys were conducted in March 2015. These surveys were conducted specifically to document RCW presence and locate specific nest trees, if present. Approximately 48 total man-hours were spent observing during this survey effort. No RCWs or nest cavities were observed. The Project includes potential foraging habitat for RCWs. Protocol surveys to evaluate the area for foraging were not conducted; however, FSC spent 72 man-hours within the potentially suitable habitat and no RCWs were heard or observed.

Surveys did not document any RCWs using the Project's RCW habitat for foraging or nesting. Consequently, the Service concurs with FERC's determination that the Project may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect RCWs.

Wood stork

The Project will alter wetland habitat within seven core foraging areas (CFAs) for the wood stork (Table 3). CFAs are considered to be the 18.6 miles surrounding a known breeding colony. The Project will temporarily disturb the wetlands within the Project area in order to place the pipe and conduct other construction related activities. This disturbance will make a portion of the currently available foraging habitat unavailable to wood storks. FSC will restore the wetland areas following completion of construction.

FERC's final EIS identifies that wetland restoration can take up to 3 years. Therefore, there is potential for the Project to adversely affect wood storks by decreasing the availability of food until the wetland restoration is complete. The proportion of foraging habitat that will be affected by the Project is a small fraction (less than 1 percent in each CFA) of the foraging habitat available to the wood storks in each of the 6 CFAs (Table 3). Therefore, the reduction in the quantity of wood stork forage from the Project's disturbance to wetlands during the 3 years prior to restoration is not likely to affect the ability of the wood storks in those colonies to feed or breed successfully. Consequently, the Service concurs with FERC's determination that the Project may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect the wood stork.

Table 3. Proportion (percent) of wetland impacts within each wood stork core foraging area (CFA) that will be effected by the Project. The table includes the total number of Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) jurisdictional wetland acres and the number of wetland acres that will be affected by the Project.

Wood Stork CFAs	Total Corps Jurisdictional Wetland Acreage Within Wood Stork CFA	Wetland Acres Effected Within CFA	Percent of Wetlands Effected Within Each Wood Stork CFA
Cypress Lake, CFA Wetlands	158,159.6	70.63	.045 %
Gatorland CFA Wetlands*	149,471.8	51.45	.034 %
Lake Russell CFA Wetlands	256,205.2	72.4	.028 %
Saddlebag Lake CFA Wetlands	235,026.8	55.93	.024 %
Lake Rosalie CFA Wetlands	238,653.2	52.58	.022 %
North Fork CFA Wetlands	100,648.3	31.47	.031 %

*CFA for colony only incorporates a 15 mile buffer instead of 18.6 miles.

Eastern indigo snake

The Project occurs within the range of the Eastern indigo snake (indigo snake) and will disturb habitat potentially occupied by the indigo snake during construction. FSC will implement the *Standard Protection Measures for the Eastern Indigo Snake* (Service 2013). In addition, FSC has committed not to handle any living indigo snakes if they are observed during construction or any other Project related activities. Furthermore, FSC has committed to implement the following measures to avoid and minimize potential adverse effects to indigo snakes.

- 1) All gopher tortoise burrows, active or inactive, will be evacuated prior to Project activities in the vicinity of the burrow. If an indigo snake is encountered, the snake must be allowed to vacate the area prior to additional site manipulation

in the vicinity. A member of the gopher tortoise excavation team will be authorized by the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC).

- 2) All holes, cavities, and snake refugia other than gopher tortoise burrows will be inspected each morning before planned site manipulation of a particular area, and, if occupied by an indigo snake, no work will commence until the snake has vacated the vicinity of proposed work.
- 3) Trench ramps will be installed at regular intervals to provide wildlife exits and place gaps in the temporary trench spoil piles and pipe stringing to allow wildlife to migrate through the construction corridor.
- 4) FSC will not discharge hydrostatic test water within gopher tortoise burrow areas adjacent to the right-of-way or at federally listed plant locations.
- 5) During construction and initial site clearing an onsite observer will be used to determine whether existing habitat conditions suggest a reasonable probability of an indigo snake sighting. Periodically during the construction activities, the designated agent will visit the Project area to observe the condition of all posted educational materials and replace as necessary.
- 6) Environmental inspectors assigned to the FSC Project will halt clearing or construction activities if an indigo snake is found. The indigo snake will be allowed to leave the right-of-way on its own accord.
- 7) Slow speeds will be posted and enforced for all construction traffic.
- 8) All construction vehicles will adhere to the limits of designated access corridors. Short-cutting between access roads and the pipeline right-of-way will not be permitted.

The proposed Project will affect more than 25 acres of indigo snake habitat and more than 25 active and inactive gopher tortoise burrows. The majority of this disturbance will occur in a linear fashion along the pipeline right-of-way and along access roads; some additional disturbance will occur in staging areas and other associated infrastructure requirements. In Florida, at Archbold Biological Station, average home range size for indigo snake females was determined to be 46 acres and overlapping male home ranges to be 184 acres (Layne and Steiner 1996). The loss of habitat within the right-of way (stretched over the length of the Project) is not anticipated to remove enough habitat within any individual indigo snake's home range that it would result in the inability of the indigo snake to feed, breed, or shelter. In addition, in most cases this habitat loss will be temporary and the habitat will be restored to its former condition following construction. Although restoration will take time, indigo snakes are habitat generalists, and they will use everything from the pristine uplands and wetlands to highly disturbed residential areas (Bolt 2006). Therefore, indigo snakes are expected to use the Project

immediately following and potentially during construction for foraging and sheltering. Finally, through FSC's implementation of the *Standard Protection Measures for Indigo Snake*, any indigo snake will be allowed to move safely from the construction area.

Based on the above avoidance and minimization measures and the fact that the temporary loss of habitat is expected to be a small portion of any individual indigo snake's home range, the Service anticipates that the Project will not result in any mortality nor is it likely to impair the ability of any indigo snake to successfully feed, breed, or shelter. Consequently, the Service concurs with FERC's determination that the Project may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect the indigo snake.

Plants

The Project occurs within the known range of the 24 plants protected by the Act. Botanical surveys did not identify the 16 plant species listed below within Project area. Although, they were not detected, these plants do have the potential to occur within the action area. Based on the absence of the plants during surveys, FERC determined that the Project may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect these plant species; the Service concurs with this determination.

- 1) Avon Park harebells
- 2) Britton's beargrass
- 3) Carter's mustard
- 4) Clasping warea/Wide-leaf warea
- 5) Florida jointweed/wireweed
- 6) Florida ziziphus/Florida jujube
- 7) Four-petal pawpaw
- 8) Highlands scrub hypericum
- 9) Lakela's mint
- 10) Perforate reindeer lichen
- 11) Pygmy fringe-tree
- 12) Scrub-blazing-star/Florida blazing-star
- 13) Scrub lupine
- 14) Scrub pigeon wing
- 15) Scrub plum
- 16) Short-leaved rosemary

Summary

The Service concurs that federally listed species (Table 2) discussed above are not likely to be adversely affected by the proposed Project; therefore, they will not be discussed further in this Biological Opinion.

BIOLOGICAL OPINION

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION

The proposed FSC Project is located in Osceola, Polk, Okeechobee, St. Lucie and Martin County, Florida, and consists of pipeline facilities and aboveground facilities including one metering and regulating (M&R) station, mainline valves (MLVs), and pig launchers/receivers. The Project would be constructed in one phase to provide 400 million cubic ft per day (MMcfd) of natural gas to Florida Power and Light's (FPL) existing Martin Plant beginning in May 2017, increasing to 600 MMcfd in May, 2020.

FSC proposes to install about 126.3 miles of natural gas transmission pipeline, consisting of 36-inch diameter pipeline between MP 0.0 and 77.1, and 30-inch-diameter pipeline between MP 77.1 to 126.3. The Project would originate at the northern end at Sabal Trail's Reunion Compressor Station and connects with the Sabal Trail gas pipeline project and extends generally south and southeast across the five counties. The gas pipeline would be operated with a maximum allowable operating pressure (MAOP) of 1,440 pounds per square inch. Figure 1 provides a map with an overview of the Project.

The Project would include the construction of one M&R station and the installation of MLVs along the pipeline route. All of the aboveground facilities would be located within or generally adjacent to FSC's right-of-way or within other aboveground facility boundaries. Other minor, appurtenant facilities may also be installed.

FSC proposes to generally use a 100-ft-wide temporary right-of-way to construct the majority of the proposed route in upland non-agricultural areas and a 125-ft-wide construction right-of-way in agricultural areas. This right-of-way would be reduced as necessary through sensitive areas such as wetlands, waterbodies, residential lands, and some areas containing federally listed species. Constructing this Project would require the temporary use of about 1,378.5 acres of land.

The pipeline route would be collocated with existing roads and utilities for approximately 72.9 miles (58 percent) of the total pipeline length. The remaining 53.4 miles (42 percent) of the pipeline route would deviate from these rights-of-way or corridors.

Following construction, FSC would retain a 50-ft-wide permanent right-of-way to operate the pipeline. The permanent right-of-way would require about 738.7 acres of land. In addition to the construction right-of-way, additional temporary workspaces (ATWS) would be required. Most ATWSs would add 25 ft onto the construction right-of-way, effectively creating a 125- to 155-ft-wide work area at the ATWS location. In total, ATWSs would temporarily require about 168.1 acres of land.

FERC has identified 276 existing roads in their Final EIS that would need to be improved or modified. Additionally, FSC would permanently maintain 10 existing

roads for operations and build 7 new roads for temporary use during construction. After construction, FSC will remove access road improvements and restore improved roads to their preconstruction condition unless the landowner or land-managing agency requests that the improvements be left in place. At the time of the Final EIS publication FERC was not aware of any landowners or land-managing agencies that have requested FSC leave road improvements in place.

FSC would design, construct, operate, and maintain their pipeline and facilities in accordance with U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) regulations under 49 CFR 192 (Transportation of Natural and Other Gas by Pipeline: Minimum Federal Safety Standards) and other applicable federal and state regulations. DOT regulations specify pipeline material selection; minimum design requirements; protection from internal, external, and atmospheric corrosion; and qualification procedures for welders and operations personnel, in addition to other design standards. FSC would also comply with the siting and maintenance requirements under 18 CFR 380.15 (Siting and Maintenance Requirements) and other applicable federal and state regulations, including the requirements of the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. These safety regulations are intended to ensure adequate protection of the public, pipeline workers, contractors, and employees, and to prevent natural gas pipeline accidents and failures.

For additional details relating to the description of the proposed action, please refer to the FERC SMP Project Final EIS, Docket Nos. CP14-554-000, CP15-16-000, and CP15-17-000 FERC/EIS-0262F, December 2015.

Minimization Measures

FSC has committed to implement the following measures to avoid and minimize the potential adverse effects of the Project with a focus on skinks and listed plants.

- 1) All populations of skink and federally listed plant species within the right-of-way will be marked in the field and located on construction drawings and avoided where possible.
- 2) To offset temporary habitat impacts and potential injury and harm to skinks, FSC will purchase credits from a Service approved sand skink conservation bank prior to initiation of construction in known or presumed occupied sand skink habitat. Based on discussion with Service staff, FSC will purchase 5.61 acre-credits for the proposed temporary impacts on the 74.21 acres of occupied skink habitat. The Service used a Habitat Equivalency Analysis (HEA; NOAA 2006) to determine the appropriate amount of mitigation. The HEA assumes the disturbance and the on-site restoration will occur within the same year (12 months).
- 3) The top 6 inches of topsoil (“A” soil horizon) over the permanently impacted trench line at the 16 occupied (known or presumed) skink habitat sites will be removed and placed immediately adjacent to edge of the right-of-way. The remaining trench spoil will be stockpiled immediately adjacent to the segregated topsoil. Following pipeline

installation, the soils will be backfill, and the 6 inches of segregated topsoil will be returned as the final top soil layer.

- 4) All areas within known or presumed occupied skink habitat will be allowed to re-vegetate by natural recruitment. Planting or seeding with sod-forming grasses or exotics will not be allowed within skink habitats.
- 5) Within skink habitats, clearing of un-trenched habitats will be performed using vegetation mulching equipment to minimize soil disturbance and allow for re-sprouting of native vegetation.
- 6) Mulch and hydrostatic test water discharge will not be allowed in occupied or presumed to be occupied skink habitats.
- 7) Construction vehicles will adhere to the limits of designated access corridors. Short-cutting between access roads and the pipeline right-of-way will not be permitted.
- 8) Post-construction vegetation maintenance of the 50-ft permanent right-of-way will be limited to mowing once every three years, if required, between the months of August and February when skinks are less active. However, to facilitate periodic corrosion/leak surveys, a corridor not exceeding 10 ft in width centered on the pipeline may be cleared at a frequency to maintain that 10-ft corridor in an herbaceous state. In addition, trees within 15 ft of the pipeline with roots that could compromise the integrity of the pipeline coating may be selectively cut and removed from the permanent right-of-way.
- 9) Vegetation mowing or clearing will be prohibited during the bird nesting season (March 1 to August 31 in Florida).
- 10) Preconstruction topography in uplands, wetlands, and water bodies will be restored to the greatest extent practicable.
- 11) Where possible, pipeline facilities will be collocated within the FSC gas pipeline right-of-way to minimize vegetation clearing and habitat fragmentation.
- 12) Construction and operational right-of-way widths will be limited to the minimum necessary for the gas pipeline construction.
- 13) Trench ramps will be installed at regular intervals to provide wildlife exits and place gaps in the temporary trench spoil piles and pipe stringing to allow wildlife to migrate through the construction corridor.
- 14) An invasive species management plan will be implemented to minimize and control the spread of noxious and invasive species.
- 15) Equipment used in areas containing invasive plant species will be cleaned before moving to an un-infested area to prevent the spread of invasive plant species seeds, roots, or other viable plant materials.

- 16) The pipeline clearing and construction footprint within federally listed plant habitat will be reduced (*i.e.*, necked-in) to the minimum width required for installation of the gas pipeline. Where necking-in is implemented, signs indicating an “Environmental Sensitive Area” will be placed along the safety fence.
- 17) Where avoidance of federally listed plants through “necking-in” is determined not to be a feasible option, federally listed plants within that portion of right-of-way will be identified and temporarily relocated to an adjacent portion of the right-of-way that can be avoided. Plants may be relocated to a suitable location adjacent to the right-of-way with landowner’s permission. Once final restoration of the ground surface has been completed, these plants will be returned to as close as possible to their original location. Insofar as these small, herbaceous plants may not be ideal for transplanting, this alternative is secondary to necking in.
- 18) Within federally listed plant habitat, typical vegetative restoration measures such as sodding, seeding, and fertilizing will not be allowed. The affected listed plants are adapted to open, sandy, and relatively sterile soils.
- 19) Safety fencing will be placed along the edge of the construction right-of-way to separate the federally listed plant species habitat that occurs in the construction work area from the existing plant populations found within the adjacent land (outside of the right-of-way) prior to commencing construction activities to reduce disturbance to existing populations.
- 20) If no other avoidance or minimization option is deemed feasible, FSC will coordinate with the Service, Florida Department of Agriculture & Consumer Services, individual landowners, and other potentially interested parties (*e.g.*, Bok Tower Gardens Rare Plant Conservation Program) to investigate feasibility of relocating some listed plant species to other protected lands (offsite) or using available rare plant seed bank resources (if any) to re-vegetate the right-of way. If FSC proceeds with relocating some plants to protected lands off-site a 10(a)(1)(A) permit may be necessary and FERC and FSC will contact the Service to evaluate whether re-initiation is warranted.

Action Area

The action area is defined as all areas to be affected directly or indirectly by the action, not merely the immediate FSC Project gas pipeline right-of-way. In addition to the gas pipeline right-of-way the Service has identified the action area to include: all construction areas, staging areas, discharge and stockpile areas, and access road corridors.

STATUS OF THE SPECIES/CRITICAL HABITAT

Blue-tailed mole skink

Please see Enclosure A for the Status of the Species of the blue-tailed mole skink (December 2015).

Sand skink

Please see Enclosure B for the Status of the Species of the sand skink (January 2016).

Florida bonamia

Please see Enclosure C for the Status of the Species of the Florida bonamia (July 2010).

Lewton's polygala

Please see Enclosure D for the Status of the Species of the Lewton's polygala (October 2010).

Papery whitlow-wort

Please see Enclosure E for the Status of the Species for the papery whitlow-wort (October 2010).

Sandlace

Please see Enclosure F for the Status of the Species for the sandlace (October 2010).

Scrub buckwheat

Please see Enclosure G for the Status of the Species for the scrub buckwheat (July 2010).

Scrub mint

Please see Enclosure H for the Status of the Species for the scrub mint (May 2015).

Critical habitat has not been designated for any of the species listed above; therefore, critical habitat will not be affected by the proposed Project and will not be discussed further within this biological opinion.

ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE

Status of the species within the action area

Blue-tailed mole skink and sand skink

Skink soils typically support scrub, sandhill, or xeric hammock natural ecological communities, such as oak-dominated scrub, turkey oak (*Quercus laevis*) barrens, ligh pine, and xeric hammocks. Typical upland habitat for both blue-tailed mole skinks and sand skinks consists of sand pine (*Pinus clausa*)-rosemary (*Ceratiola ericoides*) scrub or longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris*)-turkey oak association. Sand skinks have also been documented in skink soils where natural vegetative cover has been altered for human uses such as pine plantations, active or inactive citrus groves, pastures, and residential developments, as well as neglected vegetative cover like old fields and overgrown scrub (Pike *et al.* 2008). Consequently, habitat condition or vegetative cover alone cannot be used to exclude areas that might be used by the skinks.

Both skinks typically occur in areas that contain a mosaic of open sandy patches interspersed with forbs, shrubs, and trees. Sand skink tracks are usually observed in open sandy areas, yet both skink species use a variety of micro-habitats within xeric vegetative communities. Sand skink tracks appear most abundant in the ecotone, or edges, between

areas with abundant leaf litter and vegetative cover and adjacent open sands. Blue-tailed mole skinks are typically found under leaf litter, logs, palmetto fronds, and other ground debris (Christman 1992).

FSC identified a total of 222 acres of potentially suitable habitat for the skinks within FSC’s action area. Blue-tailed mole skinks have not been located on-site; however, this species is usually found in habitats occupied by sand skinks in Polk, Highlands, and Osceola Counties, and a reliable survey technique to detect blue-tailed mole skinks is not currently available. Therefore, presence of sand skinks is used as an indicator that blue-tailed mole skinks are likely also present.

Skinks were not directly observed during general wildlife and habitat surveys in 2014 and 2015; however, the characteristic sinusoidal tracks of sand skinks were detected at 16 locations between MP 2.5 and 35.8 (Table 4). FSC conducted cover board surveys in 2015 at locations requested by the Service to evaluate skink presence and to identify additional areas that may be occupied by skinks. Cover board surveys were conducted in suitable skink habitats. No skinks or skink tracks were identified during cover board surveys. Based on the results of the cover board surveys, the observations of skink tracks, and the assumption of skink presence in some areas, the current configuration of FSC’s construction workspaces for the proposed Project will affect 74.2 acres of habitat considered to be occupied by skinks.

Table 4. Locations of skink tracks observed along the FSC Project right-of-way between September 2014 and May 2015. Table Source: FSC Project, Osceola, Polk, St Lucie, Okeechobee and Martin counties, Florida, Federally Listed Species Report, July 2015.

Track Locations (Known Presence)	Approximate Mileposts	Habitat	Number Tracks Observed	Acreage
1	2.4 to 2.5	Turkey oak sandhill	1	0.96
2	2.9 to 3.3	Xeric oak scrub	10	4.18
3	5.0 to 5.3	Xeric oak scrub	1	2.39
4	6.8 to 7.2	Xeric oak scrub	4	4.14
5	8.6 to 9.1	Xeric oak scrub	2	5.7
6	11.7 to 11.9	Turkey oak sandhill	3	2.11
7	14.1 to 14.8	Pasture (sparse grassland)	1	8.71
8	16.6 to 16.8	Active citrus	1	2.9
9	19.9 to 20.0	Active citrus	1	1.66
10	24.4 to 24.9	Active citrus	3	5.86
11	25.4 to 26.7	Pasture (sparse grassland)	5	15.27

12	27.2 to 27.3	Active citrus	2	1.52
13	28.2 to 28.8	Xeric oak scrub	3	1.13
14	31.3 to 31.4	Sparse grassland	3	1.41
15	35.5 to 35.7	Sand pine scrub	2	2.14
16	35.7 to 35.8	Sparse Grassland	1	0.99

Track locations 1-6, 11, and 13-15 (highlighted) are proposed for post construction monitoring by FSC.

Florida bonamia

Florida bonamia is endemic to the Florida peninsula and is typically found in sand pine scrub consisting of evergreen scrub oak and sand pine with openings between the trees and shrubs occupied by lichens and herbs. The species generally requires an open canopy in full sunlight in order to avoid competition from the surrounding shrubs, and prefers white, acidic sands. Either natural fires or prescribed burns are necessary to maintain habitat in natural scrub ecosystems. The Florida bonamia is also known to live in disturbed areas near roadways and clearings caused by logging operations. FSC completed surveys for the Florida bonamia between September 22 and October 15, 2014. Two Florida bonamia plants were documented at one location near the edge of the pipeline corridor near MP 9.0.

Lewton's polygala

Lewton's polygala is endemic to the Lake Wales and Mount Dora Ridges of Highlands, Polk, Osceola, Orange, Lake, and Marion Counties, Florida. It is found in oak scrub and high pine, but is more common in the transitional areas between these two community types. The species is found in sunny openings and often colonizes disturbed sites, such as roadsides and fire lanes. Either natural fires or prescribed burns are necessary to maintain habitat in natural scrub ecosystems. FSC completed surveys for Lewton's polygala in spring 2015. One plant was documented near MP 8.9.

Papery whitlow-wort

Papery whitlow-wort is endemic to the Lake Wales Ridge scrub of Highlands, Polk, Osceola, Orange, and Lake Counties, Florida. It is found in rosemary scrub or the rosemary phase of sand pine scrub communities where it colonizes disturbed, open, sandy sites. It prefers the well-drained, white sands of the St. Lucie or Archbold soil types (Service 1999). FSC completed surveys for the papery whitlow-wort between September 22 and October 15, 2014. Five groups of papery whitlow-wort were documented along the pipeline corridor between MPs 8.0 and 35.6. The groups ranged in abundance from 1 to 25 individuals documented. A total of 66 papery whitlow-wort plants were documented during surveys.

Sandlace

Sandlace is endemic to the Lake Wales Ridge of Highlands, Polk, Osceola, and Orange Counties, Florida. It is a low, spreading shrub that prefers moderately disturbed areas of bare white or yellow sand. FSC completed surveys for sandlace in spring 2015. Approximately 10 individuals of this species were documented at one location near MP 35.5.

Scrub buckwheat

Scrub buckwheat occurs in high pine and turkey oak barren habitats in Marion, Pasco, Hillsborough, Lake, Orange, Osceola, Highlands, and Polk Counties, Florida. FSC completed surveys for the scrub buckwheat between September 22 and October 15, 2014. A few isolated patches, with a total of approximately 50 individual scrub buckwheat plants, were documented by FSC within the pipeline corridor between MPs 8.8 and 9.0.

Scrub mint

Scrub mint inhabits the southern portion of the Lake Wales Ridge in Highlands County. Its preferred habitat is excessively drained, yellow sandy soils of the Astatula and Paola soil types, but has also been found on a moderately well-drained, yellow sand of the Orsino type. In these soil types, the scrub mint occurs adjacent to or within disturbed areas in sand pine scrub, oak scrub, and sandhill habitats with shallow litter layers that have an incomplete, or non-existent, tree and shrub canopy (Menges 1992). At present, scrub mint is known from 14 populations in Polk and Highlands County (FNAI 2015). FSC completed surveys for the scrub mint between September 22 and October 15, 2014. The scrub mint was documented at several locations within the pipeline corridor between MPs 8.4 and 9.0. Approximately 160 individual scrub mints were documented during surveys.

Factors affecting species environment within the action area

The habitats surrounding the action area are threatened by degradation resulting from active agriculture (cattle ranching, citrus, row crops, and sod), sand mining, fire exclusion, lack of management, and residential/transportation development. Suitable species habitat is interspersed within the residential and compacted pastureland. Xeric habitats require periodic fire to maintain optimal habitat values such as patches of bare sand and low shrub architecture. Over time, the need to protect agricultural, residential, and commercial development has resulted in the suppression of wildfires, degrading the quality of the interspersed species habitat. Xeric habitats lacking periodic fire or management become overgrown and less suitable to the species addressed herein.

Blue-tailed mole skink and sand skink

The modification and destruction of xeric upland communities in central Florida were a primary consideration in listing the sand skink as threatened. Xeric uplands remaining on private lands are especially vulnerable to destruction because of increasing residential and agricultural pressures.

Improper habitat management and invasion by nonnative and invasive species are additional threats to skinks. Active management is necessary to maintain suitable habitat for skinks. Management of scrub habitat is problematic because much of the remaining habitat occurs in small fragmented areas surrounded by residential areas where prescribed burning may not be feasible. Either natural fires or prescribed burns are necessary to maintain suitable skink habitat within the natural scrub ecosystems. Within the action area fire may be reduced or completely eliminated because of increased emphasis on fire control programs. In addition, residential areas are also often a source of nonnative plants that invade native habitat.

Habitat degradation on protected and private sites continues to be a threat because vegetation restoration and management programs are costly and depend upon availability of funding. Where prescribed fire is not feasible as a management technique because of smoke management and other concerns, mechanical treatment is sometimes used. However, heavy machinery disturbs the soil more than prescribed burning, and it removes often limited nutrients from the soil (Mushinsky *et al.* 2001). This changes the nutrient levels in the topsoil, affecting the vegetative composition of the site, whereas fire releases nutrients (Mushinsky *et al.* 2001). Also, if logs are removed from a site after mechanical treatment, prey abundance (termites) may be lower than it would be after a fire (Mushinsky *et al.* 2001).

Florida bonamia

Florida bonamia depends on the sunny cleared areas left by periodic fires or physical disturbance (Service 52 FR 42068). Reduced fire frequency has left many of the scrub sites overgrown and unsuitable for highly specialized scrub endemics that require open sunny patches. Roadsides and rights-of-way are often the only available openings, and therefore, are used by the species. Roadsides and rights-of-way can be filled with invasive exotics that compete with scrub endemics. In addition, road maintenance and right-of-way activities such as mowing, herbicide spraying, and soil disturbance can adversely affect native species.

Lewton's polygala

Habitat loss has played a large role in the current abundance and distribution of Lewton's polygala. The loss and fragmentation of habitat has resulted in scattered, mostly small, populations. In addition, Lewton's polygala may respond poorly to a reduction in fire frequencies. Roadsides and rights-of-way provide habitat openings used by the species. However, roadsides and rights-of-way can be filled with invasive exotics that compete with scrub endemics. In addition, road maintenance and right-of-way activities such as mowing, herbicide spraying, and soil disturbance can adversely affect native species.

Papery whitlow-wort

The density of papery whitlow-wort increases in relation to available open space (Hawkes and Menges 1996; Menges and Kohfeldt 1995), so the species is most abundant in disturbed, sandy areas such as road rights-of-way and recently cleared high pine (Abrahamson *et al.* 1984; Christman 1988; Service 1996). Fire frequency is an important means for maintaining open spaces in scrub habitat. Johnson and Abrahamson (1990) and Ostertag and Menges (1994) found that papery whitlow-wort appeared in rosemary balds after fires, even though it had been rare or absent prior to the burn. This strongly indicates that papery whitlow-wort maintains seed banks in the soil, waiting for suitable germination conditions. Reduction in fire frequencies therefore, is likely contributing to further decline of the species. Although roadsides and rights-of-way provide the benefit of open spaces for this species, they are often filled with invasive exotics that compete with scrub endemics. In addition, road maintenance and right-of-way activities such as mowing, herbicide spraying, and soil disturbance can adversely affect native species.

Sandlace

Sandlace occupies open, sandy areas within the scrub vegetation and appears to require fire or other disturbances that create or maintain these sandy gaps. Reduction in fire frequencies therefore, is likely contributing to a further decline of the species. Roadsides and rights-of-way provide sandy gaps and openings, and therefore, are used by the species. However, roadsides and rights-of-way can be filled with invasive exotics that compete with scrub endemics. In addition, road maintenance and right-of-way activities such as mowing, herbicide spraying, and soil disturbance can adversely affect native species.

Scrub buckwheat

One of the principal causes of decline of scrub buckwheat is the conversion of high pineland and scrub for commercial use. Abrupt changes in partial shade and/or soil moisture resulting from clearing activities may affect plant survival. Prescribed burning is the “most appropriate treatment for enhancing both seed production and seedling recruitment, and linking the two in time” (McConnell and Menges 2002). This species tolerates a wide variety of fire intervals, prescribed fire regimes do not have to be tailored to its specific needs; however a reduction in fire frequency has resulted in a decline of this species. Fire intensity and frequency are also limiting factors lessening scrub buckwheat chances for survival.

Scrub mint

The known range of the scrub mint is quite small. Loss of habitat as well as fire suppression in tracts of remaining habitat, are the principle threats to scrub mint. Although scrub mint occurs on roadsides and rights-of-way, these areas can be filled with invasive exotics that compete with scrub endemics. In addition, road maintenance and right-of-way activities such as mowing, herbicide spraying, and soil disturbance can adversely affect native species.

Climate change

Our analyses under the Act include consideration of observed or likely environmental effects related to ongoing and projected changes in climate. As defined by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), “climate” refers to average weather, typically measured in terms of the mean and variability of temperature, precipitation, or other relevant properties over time; thus “climate change” refers to a change in such a measure which persists for an extended period, typically decades or longer, due to natural conditions (*e.g.*, solar cycles) or human-caused changes in the composition of the atmosphere or in land use (IPCC 2013, p. 1450). Detailed explanations of global climate change and examples of various observed and projected changes and associated effects and risks at the global level are provided in reports issued by the IPCC (2014 and citations therein). Information for the United States at national and regional levels is summarized in the National Climate Assessment (Melillo *et al.* 2014 entire and citations therein; see Melillo *et al.* 2014, pp.28-45 for an overview). Because observed and projected changes in climate at regional and local levels vary from global average conditions, rather than using global scale projections, we use “downscaled” projections when they are available and have been developed through appropriate scientific procedures, because such projections provide higher resolution information that is more relevant to spatial scales used for analyses of a given species and the conditions influencing it. (See Melillo *et al.* 2014, Appendix 3, pp. 760-763 for a discussion of climate modeling, including downscaling). In our analysis, we use our expert judgment to weigh the best scientific and commercial data available in our consideration of relevant aspects of climate change and related effects.

Climate change may result in an increase in the intensity or frequency of tropical storms and hurricanes in Florida. The Atlantic Multi-decadal Oscillation also influences rain patterns in Florida. The increased rainfall associated with both of these factors could reduce our ability to effectively use prescribed burning to manage habitat in optimal conditions for skinks as well as federally listed scrub endemic plants.

It is difficult to estimate, with any degree of precision, if a species will be affected by climate change or exactly how they will be affected. The Service will use Strategic Habitat Conservation planning, an adaptive science-driven process that begins with explicit trust resource population objectives, as the framework for adjusting our management strategies in response to climate change.

For the skinks and federally listed plants increases in storm frequency and sea level rise will likely have natural/biological effects, such as reduction in available habitat (destruction during storms and inundation from sea level rise), and decreased reproduction success. In addition, sea level rise is likely to increase man-made effects, as the human population moves from the coast to central parts of the State. This human migration will increase the demand for development and could lead to increased loss of scrub habitat. In addition, the increased human population would likely increase the threats associated with human interactions such as fire suppression, and competition with non-native species.

EFFECTS OF THE ACTION

Factors to be considered

Duration

The majority of effects of a proposed Project on federally listed species habitats have been identified as a temporary. The Project's final EIS describes the action as "A short-term impact ..". FSC will prepare the right-of-way, trench for the pipeline, and then restore the right-of-way. Following construction, FSC will retain a 50-ft-wide permanent right-of-way to operate the pipeline; however, annual activities will be centered within 10 ft above the line. Although effects to the habitat will be mostly temporary, the adverse effects to some individuals will be a permanent.

Disturbance frequency

Although construction will be a single event, as specified in the FSC's construction and restoration plans, vegetation maintenance activities will be conducted for the ongoing operation of the pipeline. Vegetation maintenance may be conducted annually over the 10-ft-wide corridor centered over the pipeline, and vegetation clearing may occur every 3 years within the 50-ft-wide permanent right-of-way in non-riparian areas, depending on regrowth. FSC will maintain a 30-ft-wide pipeline right-of-way in forested wetland areas. These clearing activities will prevent the establishment of larger woody species within the maintained pipeline right-of-way. The frequent removal of shrub and forested vegetation from operation of the Project facilities could result in habitat fragmentation, loss of wildlife habitat, loss of natural noise barriers/buffers.

Analyses for effects of the action

Blue-tailed mole skink and sand skink

Direct effects

Skinks (adults, immature, and eggs) present within the construction workspaces or within areas that are maintained during operation of the pipeline could be injured or killed by construction activities, such as vegetation clearing and removal, debris piling (soil stock piling), trenching, entombing during soil movement, and operation of equipment traffic along the right-of-way and access roads. These activities can crush or injure individual skinks and skink eggs and destroy or degrade occupied habitat including foraging areas.

In addition, any clearing activities have the potential to adversely affect skinks by causing them to leave the area and possibly miss foraging and mating opportunities. Individual skinks fleeing the area may be more vulnerable to predation. During restoration of the Project site, soil will be replaced and regraded within the right-of way. If the unsuitable soil is mixed or used in this process it could render the habitat unsuitable for skinks or create a barrier to movement.

Indirect effects

Potential indirect effects of the Project on skinks include further habitat degradation due to increased fire suppression or infestation from invasive plant species, and habitat fragmentation.

The proposed Project could increase the level of fire suppression, within the scrub habitat because of the additional development. However, FSC will only retain a 50-ft right-of-way, and ownership and land management of the remaining acreage will remain with the current land owner. Therefore, land management practices are not likely to change from their current use, and although the habitat is already fire suppressed, the Project is not likely to contribute further to this condition.

The initial clearing, as well as ongoing mowing and vegetation maintenance within the 50-ft right-of-way could provide opportunities for invasive plants to increase in abundance and degrade skink habitat. FSC has committed to implement an invasive species management plan to minimize and control the spread of noxious and invasive species. Consequently, habitat degradation from invasive plant species is unlikely to occur.

We do not anticipate that the Project will increase fragmentation of skink habitat because the habitat loss is expected to be temporary and skinks are expected to return to the construction area.

Beneficial Effects

No beneficial effects from the Project are anticipated for the blue-tailed mole skink or sand skink.

Florida bonamia, Lewton's polygala, papery whitlow-wort, sandlace, scrub buckwheat, and scrub mint

Direct effects

Clearing and construction activities for the Project have the potential to injure and kill (crush) Florida bonamia, Lewton's polygala, papery whitlow-wort, sandlace, scrub buckwheat, and scrub mint that were documented in the Project area. In addition, trenching and the compaction of the soil have the potential to damage the seed bank for these species. FSC will restore the area within the construction right-of-way; therefore, the adverse effects to the habitat will be temporary. On-going mowing and maintenance within the right-of-way will likely injure individual plants that survive or re-establish and could possibly kill plants depending on the extent of damage during mowing.

Indirect effects

Potential indirect effects of the Project on Florida bonamia, Lewton's polygala, papery whitlow-wort, sandlace, scrub buckwheat, and scrub mint include further habitat degradation due to increased fire suppression or infestation from invasive plant species, and habitat fragmentation.

The proposed Project could increase the level of fire suppression, within the scrub habitat because of the additional development. However, FSC will only retain a 50-ft right-of-way, and ownership and land management of the remaining acreage will remain with the current land owner. Therefore, land management practices are not likely to change from their current use, and although the habitat is already fire suppressed, the Project is not likely to contribute further to this condition.

The initial clearing, as well as ongoing mowing and vegetation maintenance within the 50-ft right-of-way could provide opportunities for invasive plants to increase in abundance and out-compete listed plants. However, the listed scrub plants favor disturbance and are characterized by opportunistically taking advantage of open spaces created by disturbance. The probability of spreading invasive species will increase as a result of the Project's construction and maintenance equipment moving in and out of the proposed Project action area. FSC will minimize the likelihood of spreading invasive plant species during construction by cleaning the equipment used in areas containing invasive plant species before moving to an un-infested area. Finally, FSC has committed to implement an invasive species management plan to minimize and control the spread of noxious and invasive species. Consequently, habitat degradation from invasive plant species is unlikely to occur.

Habitat fragmentation is not anticipated to adversely affect the listed plants because FSC will restore the area within the construction right-of-way.

Beneficial Effects

There is some possibility that the six federally listed plants (Florida bonamia, Lewton's polygala, papery whitlow-wort, sandlace, scrub buckwheat, and scrub mint) may benefit from the disturbance of construction because it will increase the open spaces along the right-of-way; providing opportunities for the listed plants, which favor open spaces, to establish. In addition, ongoing vegetation maintenance and mowing could continue to provide opportunities for open spaces interspersed within the scrub habitat and provide disturbance in the fire suppressed habitat. The continued disturbance and availability of open spaces could support continued recruitment of Florida bonamia, Lewton's polygala, papery whitlow-wort, sandlace, scrub buckwheat, and scrub mint.

Species' response to the proposed action

Blue-tailed mole skink and sand skink

In order to minimize the effects of the Project on skinks, FSC has committed to a number of measures including but not limited to: avoiding areas where skinks are known to occur where possible, conducting clearing using vegetation mulching equipment to minimize soil disturbance, and allowing for re-sprouting of native vegetation. In addition, to minimize soil compaction and potential crushing, construction vehicles will adhere to the limits of designated access corridors. To minimize the likelihood that the trench will create a barrier to skink movement, FSC will collect the top 6 inches of soil over the trench line and place it immediately adjacent to the edge of the right-of-way. Following pipeline installation, the soils will be backfilled, and the 6 inches of segregated topsoil will be returned as the final top soil layer. To minimize the ongoing disturbance to skinks and their habitat during maintenance, post-construction vegetation maintenance of the 50-ft permanent right-of-way will be limited to mowing once every three years, if required, between the months of August and February when skinks are less active.

The construction of the Project will result in the temporary degradation/destruction of 74.2 acres of habitat considered to be occupied by skinks. Skinks (adults, immature, and eggs) present during construction within the 74.2 acres will likely be injured or killed from construction activities including land clearing, debris piling, crushing from vehicles, and/or entombing during earth moving, contouring and trenching. Some portion of skinks may respond to the construction activities by attempting to flee the Project site to avoid the disturbance. However, because skinks are not highly agile, they are not likely to be able to successfully flee the Project site before they are affected by construction activities. Regardless, a small fraction may escape and move to the adjacent habitat. Individuals that escape mortality within the construction area could be adversely affected by missing foraging and/or mating opportunities or could be killed because they are more vulnerable to predation. Consequently, any and all skinks that inhabit the 74.2 acres of occupied skink habitat are expected to be taken in the form of harassment, injury, and/or mortality.

Impacts to the habitat are considered permanent only when all vegetation is permanently destroyed. Because FSC will restore the habitat, replacing the top 6 inches of soil in the trench, and allow the vegetation to naturally restore, the effects to the habitat are considered temporary; and skinks are expected to reoccupy the habitat. Based on FERC's restoration monitoring efforts along previous pipeline rights-of way, restoring the temporary construction areas to forest habitats could take 30 years or longer. The impacts on shrub-dwelling species would be comparable to impacts on forest dwelling species due to lengthy regeneration timeframes of these habitats (FERC 2016). The habitat's vegetation does not need to be completely restored in order for skinks to begin using the swimmable soils in the right-of-way. We anticipate that prey food and skinks will return much more quickly than the 30 years or more that it could take for the habitat to regenerate to pre-construction conditions. We anticipate that skinks could begin moving back into the right-of-way within the first year, and based on the lifecycle of the skink

(3-4 years), we anticipate that skinks will reestablish a breeding population within two lifecycles or within approximately 8 years.

The ongoing maintenance mowing (estimated to be every 3 years within the 50 ft right-of-way and annually in the 10-ft right of way) has the potential to adversely affect skinks by crushing them and disturbing habitat. Because skinks are a fossorial species, we anticipate that skinks will avoid mortality by taking refuge within the soils. The infrequent mowing operations are not expected to result in compaction of the soils, which would render them unsuitable for skinks. Finally, there is some potential, although low, that the regular mowing could benefit skinks by providing open spaces, a surrogate disturbance for fire.

Turner *et al.* (2006) reported that blue-tailed mole skinks are known to occur in 23 locations, 22 of which are on the Lake Wales Ridge. The subspecies has not been documented elsewhere off of the Lake Wales Ridge and is believed to be restricted to this ridge alone (Moler 2007; Mushinsky 2007). Unfortunately, determining population stability and viability for blue-tailed mole skink is unattainable with current information. Because of the ongoing habitat loss and degradation on the Lake Wales Ridge, it is likely that overall populations are declining (Moler 2007). However, it appears that skinks are still distributed throughout their historic range. Although the range wide population of blue-tailed mole skinks is unknown, the loss of the individual blue-tailed mole skinks (adult, immature, eggs) from the Project during construction and land clearing within the 74.2 acres of occupied skink habitat is expected to be a fraction of the total population within the 23 known locations. Because the Project will only temporarily degrade/remove skink habitat, the Project is not expected to reduce the range of the species; and blue-tailed mole skinks are expected to reoccupy the right-of-way and establish a breeding population within two lifecycles (approximately 8 years) following construction.

The sand skink occurs on the sandy ridges of interior central Florida with principal populations occur on the Lake Wales Ridge and Winter Haven Ridges in Highlands, Lake, and Polk Counties (Christman 1992; Mushinsky and McCoy 1991). Although we do not have estimates of acreage for all of the ridges, we do know the largest of these, the Lake Wales Ridge, encompasses approximately 517,303 acres (Weekley *et al.* 2008). According to the Florida Natural Areas Inventory (FNAI) database, updated as of September 2006, there were 132 locality records for the sand skink, including 115 localities on the Lake Wales Ridge, 7 on the Mount Dora Ridge, and 4 on the Winter Haven Ridge (Griffin 2007). FNAI also reports four localities for this species west of the Mount Dora Ridge in Lake County and two localities between the Lake Wales Ridge and the Lake Hendry Ridge. Similar to blue-tailed mole skinks, although the range wide population of sand skinks is unknown, based on the acreage of occupied skink habitat across the range and the number of locality records, the loss of the individual sand skinks (adult, immature, eggs) during construction and land clearing within the 74.2 acres of occupied skink habitat is expected to be a small fraction of the total population. Again, because the Project will only temporarily degrade/remove skink habitat, the Project is not expected to reduce the range of the species; and sand skinks are expected to reoccupy the right-of-way and establish a breeding population within two lifecycles (approximately 8 years) following construction.

Conservation of skinks

To offset the temporary loss of skink habitat for both blue-tailed mole skink and sand skink, FSC will purchase 5.61 acre-credits from a Service approved sand skink conservation bank prior to initiation of construction in skink habitat. This acreage was established using a HEA, which assumed disturbance and the on-site restoration will occur within the same year (12 months), and that the skinks would reoccupy the habitat within 8 years.

Florida bonamia, Lewton's polygala, papery whitlow-wort, sandlace, scrub buckwheat, and scrub mint

FSC will implement several avoidance and minimization measures (Minimization Measures 14 – 20) to reduce adverse effects to listed plants and reduce the number of individual plants that will be destroyed or killed during construction of the pipeline, these include: reducing the pipeline clearing and construction footprint within federally listed plant habitat to the minimum width required for installation of the gas pipeline, and where avoidance of federally listed plants within that portion of right-of-way cannot be accomplished individual plants will be identified and temporarily relocated to an adjacent portion of the right-of-way.

In addition, the equipment used in areas containing invasive plant species will be cleaned before moving to an un-infested area to prevent the spread of invasive plant species and an invasive species management plan will minimize and control the spread of noxious and invasive weeds so that they will not adversely affect and out compete native species, including the federally listed plants.

FERC's final EIS echoed these minimization measures for the listed plant species, and requires FSC to: 1) conduct "temporary" removal of plants and soil profile plugs (which include the A and B horizons) with the intent to replace to original location post construction; and 2) implement transplanting and seed banking (after all other options are considered).

Regardless, of the minimization measures, including the temporary transplanting, individuals of the listed plants are likely to die because they are not located prior to construction and are crushed and/or they do not survive transplanting. In addition, a portion of the seed bank will likely be lost from ground disturbance. Mowing activities during vegetation maintenance will injure some plants and could possibly kill individuals depending on the extent of damage during mowing. When considering adverse effects, the Service errs on the side of the species; therefore, we estimate that any and all Florida bonamia, Lewton's polygala, papery whitlow-wort, sandlace, scrub buckwheat, and scrub mint within the construction area will likely be injured or killed during clearing and/or transplanting activities. Based on the survey results we anticipate this will include: 1) two Florida bonamia plants near MP 9.0; 2) one Lewton's polygala plant near MP 8.9; 3) five groups of papery whitlow-wort documented along the pipeline corridor between MPs 8.0 and 35.6 with an estimated total of 66 plants; 4) approximately 10 individual sandlace

near MP 35.5; 5) the patches of scrub buckwheat with approximately 50 individual plants between MPs 8.8 and 9.0; and 6) the scrub mint between MPs 8.4 and 9.0, estimated to include approximately 160 individual plants. We anticipate that mowing will injure any plants that do survive; however, because these plants are adapted to fire, we do not anticipate that the limb loss during mowing will kill any of the plants.

Florida bonamia occurs in 10 counties in peninsular Florida and is abundant on roadsides in Ocala National Forest. The loss of two plants as a result of the Project will not reduce the viability or the range of the species.

Lewton's polygala occurs in six counties in central Florida. There are 49 known occurrences (populations) of the species, of which 32 (65 percent) occur on private or public conservation lands. The loss of one plant as a result of the Project will not reduce the viability or the range of the species.

Papery whitlow-wort occurs in 5 counties in Florida and is present within essentially all the scrub conservation lands on Lake Wales Ridge. The loss of the 66 plants is a small fraction of the total population and will not reduce the viability or the range of the species.

Sandlace occurs in five counties and has benefited from the extensive State and private land acquisition programs on the Lake Wales Ridge since it was listed. The loss of the 10 plants is a small fraction of the total population and will not reduce the viability or the range of the species.

Scrub buckwheat occurs in seven counties in Florida and its long-term prospects are considered favorable due to habitat acquisition after it was listed, as well as efforts by conservation land managers to restore natural fire regimes. The loss of 55 individual plants from the action area is a small fraction of the total population and its distribution and will not reduce the viability or the range of the species.

Scrub mint, has fewer than 10 (5 to 8) viable populations. The scrub mint population in the action area is within the Horse Creek population, which numbered over 1,000 plants in 1998. Although FSC has the potential to kill 16 percent (160 individuals) of the known population, plants are expected to remain in the seedbank and re-establish following the construction. It is unlikely that the Project will destroy all of the plants and the seedbank and the species is expected to recolonize. Consequently, the Project is not expected to reduce the viability or the range of the species.

CUMULATIVE EFFECTS

Cumulative effects include the effects of future state, tribal, local or private actions that are reasonably certain to occur in the action area. Future federal actions that are unrelated to the proposed action are not considered in this section because they require separate consultation pursuant to section 7 of the Act. Speculative non-federal actions are also not considered in this analysis.

Table 5 lists the actions that FERC identified that are reasonably certain to occur in proximity to the Project action area. These projects were identified through FERC’s review of publically available information and consultations with State and local agencies and development authorities. Nearly all of the reasonably certain to occur actions can be classified as residential/commercial developments, road expansion/modification projects, or mining expansions. Not all of these actions occur within the Project action area; however, the Service’s information on these actions is limited; thus, we rely on the information provided by FERC.

Table 5. Future actions that are reasonably certain to occur and were considered by FERC for cumulative impacts (FERC 2016; Table 3.14-1)

FSC Project	Project	Location	Description	Status
Residential and Other Developments	Oak Hills Estates (Providence)	Abuts to the west at MP 2.9	Regional impact multi-purpose development	Approved 5th Restated and Amended development order 2014; see section 3.9.3.4
	Progress Energy Florida Loughman Substation	Crosses MP 1.4	Non-residential site plan	Approved site plan
	New Destiny Church Shopping Center	Crosses MPs 1.4 to 1.5 Crosses MP 1.7	Non-residential site plan Non-residential site plan	Approved site plan Unknown – Identified through landowner consultation, no existing records or permits on file with Polk County have been located to date
	Tropical Lakes	Crosses MPs 2.1 to 2.3	Subdivision	Approved site plan
	Aviana Two A	Crosses MPs 2.3 to 2.5	Subdivision	Approved site plan
	Providence N4	Crosses MPs 2.3 to 2.5	Subdivision	Approved site plan
	N. Davenport MHE #2 Verizon	Crosses MPs 2.7 to 2.9	Non-residential site plan	Approved site plan
	Campbell Crane Company 6713	Crosses MPs 3.0 to 3.1	Non-residential site plan	Approved site plan
	Lake Marion Development Crosses	MPs 13.5 to 13.8 and MPs 14.0 to 15.5	Development Project	Re-zoning approved 2009; no other related developments or approvals
	Watersong AKA Country Creek	Crosses MPs 5.4 to 5.7	Subdivision	Approved site plan
	Sand Hill Fire Rescue Station	Crosses MPs 16.4 to 16.4	Non-residential site plan	Approved site plan
	Estes Groves	Crosses MPs 19.9 to 21.1	Low density residential and multiuse village center	Master concept plan
	Multi Use Village Center Future Land Use	1,000 ft west of MP 20.5	Moderate density single family residential and multiuse village center	Intent to complete build out of the multiuse village center and be compatible with the Estes Groves development
	Mountain Lake Corporation	Crosses MPs 25.0 to 25.5	Non-residential site plan	Approved site plan
	Mountain Lake Corporation	Crosses MPs 25.7 to 25.7	Non-residential site plan	Approved site plan

	Mountain Lake Corporation	Crosses MP 25.8	Non-residential site plan	Approved site plan
	The Pentecostals of Lake Wales 0804	Crosses MP 30.6	Non-residential site plan	Approved site plan
	Florida Rock-Diamond Sand Mine	2,000 ft north of MP 30.9	Subdivision	Approved site plan
	Monier Lifetile Training Center	Crosses MP 31.4	Non-residential site plan	Approved site plan
	Lake Wales Facility Rinker 0803	Crosses MPs 31.4 to 31.7	Non-residential site plan	Approved site plan
	Monier Lifetile 0803	Crosses MPs 31.4 to 31.6	Non-residential site plan	Approved site plan
	Citrosuco North America, Inc	Crosses MPs 31.9 to 32.7	Non-residential site plan	Approved site plan
	Carson Mini-Warehouses 0812	Crosses MPs 33.3 to 33.4	Subdivision	Approved site plan
	Lake Aurora Christian Assembly	Crosses MPs 34.2 to 34.4	Non-residential site plan	Approved site plan
	Calvary Baptist Church 0914	Crosses MPs 38.9 to 39.1	Non-residential site plan	Approved site plan
	Oakwood Subdivision	Crosses MPs 28.0 to 29.0	Residential subdivision	Preliminary plan approved; no recent activity
	RIDA/Championsgate Center	3.5 miles west of MP 0.0	Residential and multiuse village center	Approved; 5 th Amended Site Plan 2009
	Industrial Site	65 ft east of MP 72.8	Proposed industrial site	Proposed
	The Reserve	10.4 miles east of MP 115.7	Non-residential site plan	Approved site plan 2013
Roadway Projects	Central Polk Parkway	1,500 ft west of MP 20.0	Florida DOT project proposed as a six-lane, new alignment highway in Polk County that will serve as additional north/south routes	Project development and environmental study phase; not scheduled for construction
	State Route 60 Widening	Crosses/collocates approximate MPs 31.0 to 74.0	Florida DOT road widening project	Project development and environmental study phase; not scheduled for construction
Mining Operations	St. Helena Sand Mine 9713	Crosses MPs 23.6 to 23.8	Non-residential site plan	Approved site plan
	CEMEX Construction Materials Florida, LLC 0810/0811	Crosses MPs 31.6 to 31.9	Non-residential site plan	Approved site plan

Residential and Other Development

Due to the speculative nature of the housing and development markets and funding mechanisms for other projects, it is difficult to determine the amount of land that would ultimately be affected by these developments. In most cases, the development is anticipated to occur outside of the Project action area. Some of these development projects may impact wetlands, which could require consultation with the Army Corps of Engineers (Corps). Based on the information available, a subset of the developments is proposed in scrub habitat where skinks and listed plants may occur. We anticipate that any additional loss of occupied scrub habitat from the development projects will be minimal.

Roadway Projects

Generally, these projects would either traverse the Project right-of-way or would be located adjacent to or parallel the Project. Similar to a pipeline project, a roadway project requires clearing and working in a narrow corridor, typically 25 to 200 ft wide. A roadway project also requires a permanent conversion of land for operation and maintenance. However, unlike a pipeline project, a paved roadway is operated and maintained in a permanently disturbed and unnatural state. Establishing a roadway would result in the permanent loss of vegetation and associated wildlife habitat; displacement of wildlife; loss of soil and land use; and alteration of surface and groundwater flow and aesthetic characteristics. Roadway projects could also temporarily and/or permanently increase dust and impact local noise and air quality. Many of the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) projects undergo section 7 consultation with the Service through Federal Highways or the Corps. The Service is already coordinating with FDOT on the Central Polk Parkway Project. It is likely that both of the FDOT projects will undergo section 7 consultations and therefore, are not considered further within the cumulative effects analysis of this Biological Opinion.

Mining Operations

Depending on the mine operator (and the underlying resources present), future clearing and excavation is likely to occur incrementally, affecting up to 100 acres of land or more. Because surface mining operations by definition require surface clearing and excavation, these activities are excluded from utility rights-of-way, and thus the operation of these facilities would not directly overlap with FSC Project action area. Therefore, they are not considered further in the cumulative effects analysis of this Biological Opinion.

CONCLUSION

After reviewing the current status of blue-tailed mole skink, sand skink, Florida bonamia, Lewton's polygala, papery whitlow-wort, sandlace, scrub buckwheat, and scrub mint, the environmental baseline for the action area, the effects of the proposed Project, and the cumulative effects, it is the Service's Biological Opinion that the FSC Project, as proposed, is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of the blue-tailed mole skink, sand skink, Florida bonamia, Lewton's polygala, papery whitlow-wort, sandlace, scrub buckwheat, or scrub mint.

We have reached this conclusion for the blue-tailed mole skink and sand skink because 1) the 74.2 acres of occupied skink habitat will only be temporarily "lost" or degraded and is expected to regenerate and be occupied by skinks; 2) the number of skinks that will be killed is expected to be a small portion of the total population of each of these species; and 3) because the Project effects to habitat are temporary, they will not result in an overall decrease in the range of either species of skink. To offset the adverse effects of the temporary habitat degradation, FSC will purchase 5.61 acres of skink habitat in a Service approved sand skink conservation bank.

We have reached our non-jeopardy conclusion for the listed plants because 1) for Florida bonamia, Lewton's polygala, papery whitlow-wort, sandlace, and scrub buckwheat the distribution and abundance of each of the plant species is far greater than the number of individuals that will be lost, and the Project will not decrease the viability or range of the species; 2) for scrub mint even though the percent of individuals that will be lost is greater than for the other plant species, the Project will not decrease the viability or the range of the species; and 3) the disturbance caused by the construction and ongoing mowing activities will create open spaces that are expected to benefit the listed plants. Furthermore, if no other avoidance or minimization option is deemed feasible for the listed plants, FSC will coordinate with the Service, Florida Department of Agriculture & Consumer Services, individual landowners, and other potentially interested parties (e.g., Bok Tower Gardens Rare Plant Conservation Program) to investigate feasibility of relocating some listed plant species to other protected lands (offsite) or using available rare plant seed bank resources (if any) to re-vegetate the right-of way.

No critical habitat has been designated for these species; therefore, none will be affected.

INCIDENTAL TAKE STATEMENT

Section 9 of the Act and Federal regulation under section 4(d) of the Act prohibits the take of endangered and threatened species, respectively, without special exemption. Take is defined as to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct. Harm is further defined by Service to include significant habitat modification or degradation that results in death or injury to listed species by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, feeding, or sheltering. Harass is defined by Service as intentional or negligent actions that create the likelihood of injury to listed species to such an extent as to significantly disrupt normal behavior patterns which include, but are not limited to, breeding, feeding or sheltering. Incidental take is defined as take that is incidental to, and not the purpose of, the carrying out of an otherwise lawful activity. Under the terms of section 7(b)(4) and section 7(o)(2), taking that is incidental to and not intended as part of the agency action is not considered to be prohibited taking under the Act provided that such taking is in compliance with the terms and conditions of this Incidental Take Statement.

The measures described below are non-discretionary, and must be undertaken by FERC so that they become binding conditions of any grant or permit issued to the FERC, as appropriate, for the exemption in section 7(o)(2) to apply. The FERC has a continuing duty to regulate the activity covered by this incidental take statement. If the FERC (1) fails to assume and implement the terms and conditions or (2) fails to require the FSC, to adhere to the terms and conditions of the incidental take statement through enforceable terms that are added to the permit or grant document, the protective coverage of section 7(o)(2) may lapse. In order to monitor the impact of incidental

take, FERC/FSC, must report the progress of the proposed Project and its impact on the species to the Service's South Florida Ecological Services Vero Beach Field Office as specified in the incidental take statement. [50 CFR § 402.14(i)(3)]

Sections 7(b)(4) and 7(o)(2) of the Act generally do not apply to listed plant species. However, limited protection of listed plants from take is provided to the extent that the Act prohibits the removal and reduction to possession of Federally listed endangered plants or the malicious damage of such plants on areas under Federal jurisdiction, or the destruction of endangered plants on non-Federal areas in violation of State law or regulation or in the course of any violation of a State criminal trespass law.

AMOUNT OR EXTENT OF TAKE ANTICIPATED

Blue-tailed mole skink and sand skink

Construction of the Project is expected to result in the incidental take of skinks that occupy the 74.2 acres of occupied skink habitat. Take will occur in the form of injury and/or mortality during construction due to land clearing and construction activities (*i.e.*, soil movement, trenching, land contouring, operation of vehicles, etc.) associated with the Project. In addition, skinks that escape construction activities are expected to be taken either in the form of harassment (due to missing foraging and/or mating opportunities) or in the form of mortality (due to predation). The amount of incidental take of blue-tailed mole skinks and sand skinks will be difficult to quantify because the density of skinks within the 74.2 acres of habitat is unknown. Skink density varies considerably within and between apparently suitable habitat patches and density dependent mechanisms are currently unknown and may be due to territorial requirements, micro-habitats, and other unknown environmental influences. Therefore, we estimate that any and all adult, immature, or eggs of blue-tailed mole skinks and sand skinks that occur within the 74.2 acres of habitat will be taken in the form of harassment, injury, and/or mortality.

Furthermore, the Service anticipates incidental take of blue-tailed mole skinks and sand skinks will be difficult to detect because individuals have a small body size, spend the majority of their time underground, and the likelihood of finding a dead or impaired specimen is unlikely. Because numbers of skinks are difficult to quantify and take will be difficult to detect, take of skinks is measured by the amount of occupied habitat lost through implementation of the proposed Project, and is 74.2 acres. Authorized take will be considered exceeded if more than 74.2 acres of occupied skink habitat is lost. If, during the course of this action, this level of take is exceeded reinitiation of consultation under the Act is required.

Florida bonamia, Lewton's polygala, papery whitlow-wort, sandlace, scrub buckwheat, and scrub mint

As indicated above, Sections 7(b)(4) and 7(o)(2) of the Act generally do not apply to Federally listed plant species. Consequently, the Florida bonamia, Lewton's polygala,

papery whitlow- wort, sandlace, scrub buckwheat, and scrub mint will not be discussed further in this incidental take statement.

EFFECT OF THE TAKE

In the accompanying biological opinion, the Service determined that this level of expected take is not likely to result in jeopardy to the blue-tailed mole skink or sand skink. Critical habitat has not been designated for the species and will not be affected.

REASONABLE AND PRUDENT MEASURES

When providing an incidental take statement, the Service is required to give reasonable and prudent measures it considers necessary or appropriate to minimize the take along with terms and conditions that must be complied with, to implement the reasonable and prudent measures. Furthermore, the Service must also specify procedures to be used to handle or dispose of any individuals taken. The Service believes the following reasonable and prudent measures are necessary and appropriate to reduce take and to minimize the direct and indirect effects of the proposed Project on the blue-tailed mole skink and sand skink:

- 1) Ensure that the level of incidental take anticipated in this biological opinion is commensurate with the analysis contained herein.
- 2) Minimize effects to blue-tailed mole skink and sand skink and their habitat.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

In order to be exempt from the prohibitions of section 9 of the Act, the FERC/FSC must comply with the following terms and conditions, which carry out the reasonable and prudent measures, described above and outline required reporting/monitoring requirements. These terms and conditions are non-discretionary.

- 1) The following term and condition implements reasonable and prudent measure 1:
 - a. Before proceeding with any construction activities on the approximately 4 percent of the Project lands that FSC was unable to access and survey (because land owner permission was not received) prior to consultation, FERC/FSC must conduct appropriate surveys to evaluate whether the Project may affect listed species. If the proposed Project may affect any listed species, re-initiation of consultation will be necessary prior to commencement of construction on these lands.
 - b. FSC must develop a skink monitoring plan that documents the recolonization of skinks into the construction right-of way, demonstrating the temporary nature of the Project's effects to occupied skink habitat.

- c. The monitoring plan must include a minimum of ten monitoring locations from the sixteen locations where FSC documented skinks (Table 4).
- d. Monitoring will occur at a minimum during the first 3 years following restoration of the skink habitat within the right-of-way, and during two subsequent years (to be decided) if skinks have not been documented to return during the first 3 years. Monitoring at any of the identified locations can be discontinued once skinks have been documented at that particular location.
- e. The monitoring plan implemented must be approved by the Service.
- f. The monitoring plan must be established and approved within 6 months of the initiation of construction of the Project.

2) The following term and condition implements reasonable and prudent measure 2:

- a. FSC will submit to the Service's South Florida Ecological Services Field Office a letter that documents the purchase of the 5.61 acre-credits of skink habitat at a Service approved skink conservation bank, prior to starting any clearing or construction activities within the 74.2 acres of occupied skink habitat.

MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Pursuant to 50 Code of Federal Regulations 402.14(i)(3), the FERC/FSC must provide adequate monitoring and reporting to determine if the amount or extent of take is approached or exceeded. FERC/FSC must provide an annual report notifying the Service as to progress of Project construction and amount of habitat effected within areas with occupied skink habitat. FERC/FSC is required to monitor and verify that the number of acres of occupied skink habitat impacted by the FSC Project does not exceed 74.2 acres. FERC/FSC must also provide a report to the Service detailing the monitoring for skinks and their status as identified in the final skink monitoring plan. FSC must submit their reports to the Service's South Florida Ecological Services Field Office.

DISPOSITION OF DEAD OR INJURED SPECIMENS

Upon locating a dead, injured, or sick individual of an endangered or threatened species, initial notification must be made to the Fish and Wildlife Service Law Enforcement Office at: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service ;1339 20th Street, Vero Beach, Florida; 772-562-3909. Additional notification must be made to the South Florida Ecological Services Field Office. Care should be taken in handling sick or injured individuals and in the preservation of specimens in the best possible state for later analysis of cause of death or injury. In conjunction with the care of sick or injured specimens, or preservation of biological materials from a dead animal, the finder has the responsibility to carry out instructions provided by Law Enforcement to ensure that evidence intrinsic to the specimen is not unnecessarily disturbed.

COORDINATION OF INCIDENTAL TAKE STATEMENTS WITH OTHER LAWS, REGULATIONS, AND POLICIES

Migratory birds

The Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) implements various treaties and conventions between the U.S., Canada, Japan, Mexico, and the former Soviet Union for the protection of migratory birds. Under the provisions of the MBTA, it is unlawful “by any means or manner to pursue, hunt, take, capture or kill any migratory bird except as permitted by regulations issued by the Service. The term “take” is not defined in the MBTA, but the Service has defined it by regulation to mean to pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture or collect any migratory bird, or any part, nest or egg or any migratory bird covered by the conventions or to attempt those activities.

The Service carries out its mission to protect migratory birds by fostering relationships with entities that have taken effective steps to avoid take, by encouraging others to implement measures to avoid take, and through investigations and enforcement when appropriate. Agencies are encouraged to work closely with the Service to identify available protective measures when developing project plans to safeguard wildlife and to implement those measures where applicable. Ultimately, those parties involved with the planning, design, construction, operation, maintenance, and decommissioning of projects are responsible for conducting relevant evaluations of the area and for determining which, if any, bird species may be affected.

SMP developed a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan. It includes Species of Conservation Concern; Habitats in the SMP Project Area; Project Effects on Habitats and Migratory Birds; Potentially Effectuated Birds of Conservation Concern; Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Strategies; and Wetland Effects. FSC will implement this Migratory Bird Conservation Plan to minimize impacts on migratory birds from the Project.

CONSERVATION RECOMMENDATIONS

Section 7(a)(1) of the Act directs Federal agencies to use their authorities to further the purposes of the Act by carrying out conservation programs for the benefit of endangered and threatened species. Conservation recommendations are discretionary agency activities to minimize or avoid adverse effects of a proposed action on listed species or critical habitat, to help implement recovery plans, or to develop information. In order for the Service to be kept informed about additional actions minimizing or avoiding adverse effects or benefiting listed species or their habitats, the Service requests to be kept informed about any additional conservation measures that FERC or FSC implements into the Project. The Service recommends the following conservation measures:

- 1) To the greatest extent possible FSC should preserve and replace any topsoil that is moved within scrub habitat where listed plants (*i.e.*, Florida bonamia, Lewton’s polygala, papery whitlow- wort, sandlace, scrub buckwheat, and scrub mint)

occur. This will provide a greater opportunity for the listed plants to survive and reestablish from the seedbank.

- 2) FSC should limit relocation of plants to the minimum number of times possible and no more than two times. If possible, listed plants should only be relocated one time. Following relocation, plants should be cared for and provided water to improve the probability that the individual will survive.
- 3) FSC should conduct monitoring of the plants that are relocated and provide the Service's South Florida Ecological Service Office annual reports documenting the success and/or failure of the relocation efforts.
- 4) FSC should conduct post-construction vegetation monitoring within the scrub habitat right-of-way for a minimum of two years. Monitoring reports should detail the success of right-of-way restoration, amount of re-vegetation, and level of invasive species colonization, as well as the management measures implemented to control any invasive species.

REINITIATION NOTICE

This concludes formal consultation on the FSC Project. As written in 50 CFR § 402.16, reinitiation of formal consultation is required where discretionary FERC involvement or control over the action has been retained (or is authorized by law) and if: (1) the amount or extent of incidental take is exceeded; (2) new information reveals effects of the agency action that may affect listed species or critical habitat in a manner or to an extent not considered in this opinion; (3) the agency action is subsequently modified in a manner that causes an effect to the listed species or critical habitat not considered in this opinion; or (4) a new species is listed or critical habitat designated that may be affected by the action. In instances where the amount or extent of incidental take is exceeded, the exemption issued pursuant to section 7(o)(2) will have lapsed and any further take would be a violation of section 4(d) or 9. Consequently, we recommend that any operations causing such take cease pending re-initiation.

Thank you for your cooperation and effort in protecting federally listed species and fish and wildlife resources. The Service appreciates the cooperation of the FERC/FSC during this consultation. For further coordination on the skink monitoring plan, and if you have any questions, please contact Ted Martin at 772 469-4232 of this office.

Sincerely yours,



Roxanna Hinzman
Field Supervisor
South Florida Ecological Services Office

Enclosures

cc: electronic only

Corps, Jacksonville, Florida (Deborah Wegmann, Mark Evans)

FERC, Washington D.C. (Danny Laffoon, John Peconom)

FSC, Juno Beach, Florida (Jena Mier)

FPL, Juno Beach, Florida (Matthew Raffenberg)

FWC, West Palm Beach, Florida (Marissa Krueger)

Service, Jacksonville, Florida (Annie Dziergowski, Todd Mecklenborg)

Service, Tallahassee, Florida (Cindy Fury)

LITERATURE CITED

- Abrahamson, W., A. Johnson, J. Layne, and P. Peroni. 1984. Vegetation of the Archbold Biological Station, Florida: An example of the Southern Lake Wales Ridge. *Florida Scientist*. 47(4):209-250.
- Bolt, M.R. 2006. The eastern indigo snake (*Drymarchon couperi*): What we know, what we think, and what we need. Powerpoint presentation for U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Vero Beach Field Office.
- Christman, S. P. 1988. Endemism and Florida's interior sand pine scrub. Final project report, project no. GFC-84-101. Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission; Tallahassee, Florida.
- Christman, S.P. 1992. Threatened: sand skink, *Neoseps reynoldsi* (Stejneger). Pages 135-140 in P.E. Moler, editor. Rare and endangered biota of Florida. University Press of Florida, Gainesville, Florida.
- Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) 2015. Southeast Market Pipelines Project Final Environmental Impacts Statement. Cooperating Agency, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Docket Nos. CP14-554-000, CP15-16-000, and CP15-17-000 FERC/EIS-0262F. December 2015. Washington, DC.
- Florida Natural Inventory (FNAI) 2015. Element Tracking Summary, 2015-03-31. Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida.
- Hawkes, C., and E. Menges. 1996. The relationship between open space and fire for species in a xeric Florida shrubland. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 123(2):81-92.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) 2013: Annex III: Glossary [Planton, S. (ed.)]. Pp. 1147-1465 In: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
https://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar5/wg1/WGIAR5_AnnexIII_FINAL.pdf
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) 2014: *Climate Change 2014 Synthesis Report*. [Pachauri, R.K. et al.] 133 pp. http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar5/syr/AR5_SYR_FINAL_SPM.pdf
- Griffin, J.A. 2007. Personal communication. Data Services Coordinator. Email to the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service dated June 12, 2007. Florida Natural Areas Inventory; Tallahassee, Florida.
- Johnson, A. F. and W. G. Abrahamson. 1990. A note on the fire responses of species in rosemary scrubs on the southern Lake Wales Ridge. *Florida Scientist* 53:138-143.

- McConnell, K. and E.S. Menges. 2002. The effects of fire and treatments that mimic fire on the Florida endemic scrub buckwheat (*Eriogonum longifolium* Nutt. var. *gnaphalifolium* Gand.). *Natural Areas Journal* 22:194-201.
- Melillo J. M., T.C. Richmond, and G. W. Yohe, Eds. 2014. *Climate Change Impacts in the United States: The Third National Climate Assessment*. U.S. Global Change Research Program. <http://nca2014.globalchange.gov/downloads>
- Menges, E. S. 1992. Habitat preferences and response to disturbance for *Dicerandra frutescens*, a Lake Wales Ridge (Florida) endemic plant. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 119(3): 308-313.
- Menges, E.S., and N. Kohfeldt. 1995. Life history strategies of Florida scrub plants in relation to fire. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 122(4):282-297.
- Moler, P. 2007. Peer review comments to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Vero Beach, FL. June 4.
- Mushinsky, H. 2007. Peer review comments to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Vero Beach, FL. June 1.
- Mushinsky, H.R. and E.D. McCoy. 1991. Vertebrate species compositions of selected scrub islands on the Lake Wales Ridge of central Florida. Final report no. GFC-87-149. Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission, Nongame Wildlife Program; Tallahassee, Florida.
- Mushinsky, H.R., E.D. McCoy, K. Gianopulos, K. Penney, and C. Meyer. 2001. Biology of the threatened sand skink on restored scrub habitat and its responses to land management practices. Final report to the Disney Wildlife Conservation Fund. University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). 2006. Habitat Equivalency Analysis: An Overview. Damage Assessment and Restoration Program. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Department of Commerce. Washington, D.C. March 21, 1995 (Revised October 4, 2000 and May 23, 2006).
- Ostertag, R., and E.S. Menges. 1994. Patterns of reproductive effort with time since last fire in Florida scrub plants. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 5:303-310.
- Pike, D.A, K.S. Peterman, and J.H. Exum. 2008a. Habitat structure influences the presence of sand skinks (*Plestiodon reynoldsi*) in altered habitats. *Wildlife Research* 35: 120-127.
- Pike, D.A, K.S. Peterman, R.S. Mejeur, M.D. Green, K.D. Nelson and J.H. Exum. 2008b. Sampling techniques and methods for determining the spatial distribution of sand skinks (*Plestiodon reynoldsi*).
- Turner W.R., D.S. Wilcove, and H.M. Swain. 2006. State of the Scrub: Conservation progress,

management responsibilities, and land acquisition priorities for imperiled species of Florida's Lake Wales Ridge [Internet]. Archbold Biological Station; Lake Placid, Florida [cited October 29, 2008]. Available from: www.archbold-station.org/ABS/publicationsPDF/Turner_etal-2006-StateotScrub.pdf

- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). 1987. Final rule: determination of threatened status for *Bonamia grandiflora* (Florida bonamia). Federal Register 52: 42068-42071.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). 1996. Recovery plan for nineteen Florida scrub and high pineland plants (revised). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; Atlanta, Georgia.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). 1999. South Florida multi-species recovery plan. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Atlanta, GA. 2178 pp.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). 2004a. South Florida South Florida Ecological Services Office DRAFT June 15, 2004 Florida Grasshopper Sparrow Survey Protocol. <http://verobeach.fws.gov/Programs/Recovery/vbms5.html>
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). 2004b. South Florida Ecological Services Office June 28, 2004, Florida Scrub-jay Survey Protocol. <http://verobeach.fws.gov/Programs/Recovery/vbms5.html>
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). 2013. South Florida Ecological Services Office August 12, 2013, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service STANDARD PROTECTION MEASURES FOR THE EASTERN INDIGO SNAKE. <http://verobeach.fws.gov/Programs/Recovery/vbms5.html>
- Weekley, C.W., E.S. Menges, and R.L. Pickert. 2008. An ecological map of Florida's Lake Wales Ridge: A new boundary delineation and an assessment of post-Columbian habitat loss. Florida Scientist 71(1): 45-64.
- Wunderlin, R.P., D. Richardson, and B. Hansen. 1980. Status report on *Polygonella myriophylla*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; Jacksonville, Florida.

STATUS OF THE SPECIES – Blue-tailed mole skink (*Eumeces egregius lividus*)

Legal Status – Federal: *threatened*, 1987; State: *threatened*

The blue-tailed mole skink was listed as threatened under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act) (87 Stat. 884; 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) on December 7, 1987 (52 FR 42658- 52 FR 42662), and is listed as threatened by the State of Florida. The historic and anticipated future modification and destruction of xeric upland communities in central Florida were primary considerations in listing. Almost 90 percent of the xeric upland communities on the Lake Wales Ridge (LWR) have already been lost because of habitat destruction and degradation due to residential development and conversion to agriculture, primarily citrus groves (Turner *et al.* 2006). Remaining xeric habitat on private lands is especially vulnerable because projections of future human population growth suggest additional demands for residential development within the range of the blue-tailed mole skink. Critical habitat has not been designated for the blue-tailed mole skink.

Species Description

Appearance/Morphology

The blue-tailed mole skink (*Eumeces egregius lividus*) is a small, fossorial lizard that occupies xeric upland habitats of the southern LWR in central Florida (Mount 1965; Christman 1992). It reaches a maximum length of about 5 inches (in) (12.7 centimeters [cm]), and the tail makes up about half the body length (Christman 1978; 1992). The body is shiny, and brownish to pink in color, with lighter paired dorsolateral stripes diverging posteriorly (Christman 1978; 1992). Males develop a colorful orange pattern on the sides of the body during breeding season (Christman 1992). Juveniles usually have a blue tail (Christman 1978; 1992). Regenerated tails and the tails of older individuals are typically pinkish. The legs are somewhat reduced in size and used only for surface locomotion and not for “swimming” through the sand (Christman 1978; 1992).

Taxonomy

Mount (1965) described the blue-tailed mole skink largely on the basis of a bright blue tail in juveniles and restricted this subspecies to the southern LWR in Polk and Highlands Counties. Christman (1978) also limited the range of blue-tailed mole skink to these two counties, but later added Osceola County to the range, based on the collection of a single blue-tailed mole skink juvenile just north of the Polk County line on the LWR (Christman 1992). Analysis of mitochondrial DNA (Branch *et al.* 2003) supports Mount’s (1965) hypotheses that blue-tailed mole skink from the lower LWR represents the ancestral stock, which radiated from there. Genetic analysis also indicates substantial population variability with limited dispersal in mole skinks among sandy habitats (Branch *et al.* 2003). Based on conventional estimates of molecular evolutionary clocks, these authors suggest a separation of approximately 4 million years between mole skinks occurring on the two oldest ridges (LWR and Mount Dora Ridge), which overlaps the proposed Pliocene origin of scrub habitats (Webb 1990).

Five subspecies of mole skinks have been described, all of which occupy xeric upland habitats of Florida, Alabama, and Georgia (Mount 1965), but only the blue-tailed mole skink (*Eumeces egregius lividus*) is federally listed as threatened (52 FR 42658). The taxonomic classification of the mole skink has been reevaluated, and there is evidence to suggest that it should be revised (Griffith *et al.* 2000; Brandley *et al.* 2005; Smith 2005). Brandley *et al.* (2005) and Smith (2005) formally proposed that the name *Plestiodon* be used to describe the Genus of the North American skinks. However, until such time as it can be officially designated through the Federal Register process, the Service continues to use the scientific name as published in the final listing rule (52 FR 42658). A detailed description of the recent taxonomic review can be found in Service (2007a).

Life History

Blue-tailed mole skinks are typically found in a variety of xeric upland communities, including rosemary and oak-dominated scrub, turkey oak barrens, high pine, and xeric hammocks (Christman 1992). They are primarily found within the top 2 in (5 cm) of the soil surface (Mount 1963). Roaches, crickets, and spiders make up the bulk of the diet (Mount 1963; Smith 1982; McCoy *et al.* 2010). Smith (1982) suggested that their diet is more generalized than that of the fossorial sand skink (*Neoseps reynoldsi*), which probably reflects their tendency to feed at the surface. However, McCoy *et al.* (2010) suggest that the dietary diversity of mole skinks is very similar to sand skinks or perhaps even more specialized. Also, like sand skinks, mole skinks show an activity peak in spring (Mount 1963; Smith 1982).

The reproductive biology of the blue-tailed mole skink is poorly known. Reproduction is presumably very much like that of the peninsula mole skink (*Eumeces egregius onocrepis*) where courtship and mating occur in the fall and winter (Mount 1963; Christman 1978). In the peninsula mole skink, individuals probably become reproductively active at 1 to 2 years of age (Mount 1963; Christman 1978). Two to nine eggs are laid in a shallow nest cavity less than 12 in (30.5 cm) below the surface (Mount 1963; Christman 1978). The eggs incubate for 31 to 51 days, during which time the female tends the nest (Mount 1963; Christman 1978). Females have a large clutch size (maximum nine) of relatively small eggs (Mount 1963).

Habitat

A variety of xeric upland communities provide habitat for the blue-tailed mole skink, including rosemary and oak-dominated scrub, turkey oak barrens, high pine, and xeric hammocks (Christman 1992). Areas with few plant roots, open canopies, scattered shrub vegetation, and patches of bare, loose sand provide optimal habitats (Christman 1988; 1992). Within these habitat types, blue-tailed mole skinks are typically found under leaves, logs, palmetto fronds, and other ground debris (Christman 1992). Shaded areas presumably provide suitable microhabitat conditions for thermoregulation, egg incubation, and foraging (Mount 1963).

Specific physical structures of habitat that sustain sand skink populations, and likely blue-tailed mole skink populations as well, include a well-defined leaf litter layer on the ground surface and

shade from either a tree canopy or a shrub layer, but not both (McCoy 2011). Leaf litter likely provides important skink foraging opportunities. Shade provided by a tree canopy or a shrub layer likely helps skinks regulate body temperature to prevent overheating. However, having both a tree canopy and a shrub layer appears to be detrimental to skinks (McCoy 2011).

Turner *et al.* (2006) reported that development and agriculture have resulted in the loss of approximately 85% of the scrub and sandhill habitats on the LWR, and what remains contains high concentrations of imperiled species. Over the last 20 years, more than 87 square kilometers (km²) (48.9%) of the remaining 187 km² of these habitat types on the Lake Wales Ridge have been acquired and protected (Turner *et al.* 2006). Therefore, only 6.3% of pre-settlement scrub and sandhill habitats are currently protected (Turner *et al.* 2006).

In addition to the need for these remaining scrub and sandhill habitats to be protected, these habitats along with those on sites that have already been acquired for conservation depend upon active management, most often prescribed fire, to persist long-term (Turner *et al.* 2006). Much of the remaining habitat occurs in small, isolated fragments surrounded by residential areas or citrus groves, making them difficult to protect and manage. Many of these fragments are overgrown and in need of restoration. It is unknown whether or not small, fragmented properties are able to maintain viable populations.

Either natural fire started by lightning or prescribed fire is necessary to maintain habitat in natural scrub ecosystems. However, if fire occurs too frequently, leaf litter might not build up sufficiently to support skink populations. At Archbold Biological Station (ABS), fossorial sand skinks appear to be most abundant after 10 years of leaf litter development. The ideal fire frequency to maintain optimal leaf litter development for skinks likely varies by site and other environmental conditions (Mushinsky 2011). Although this information is specific to sand skinks, the same may be true for blue-tailed mole skinks.

Distribution

The blue-tailed mole skink historically occurred on the LWR in Highlands, Polk, and Osceola Counties (Service 1999). Despite intensive sampling efforts in scrub habitat with similar herpetofauna, neither the sand skink nor blue-tailed mole skink have been recorded at Avon Park Air Force Range on the Bombing Range Ridge (Branch and Hokit 2000). It appears that skinks are still distributed throughout their historic range, although we believe their numbers have likely declined substantially because of habitat loss and degradation.

Turner *et al.* (2006) reported that blue-tailed mole skinks are known to occur in 23 locations, 22 of which are on the LWR. The authors did not indicate where the single site occurs from which blue-tailed mole skink is reported off of the LWR, but we believe that this record may be in error. The subspecies has not been documented elsewhere off of the LWR and is believed to be restricted to this ridge alone (Moler 2007; Mushinsky 2007).

Blue-tailed mole skinks often seem absent or rare on the same LWR study sites where sand skinks are common, and when present, are patchily distributed (Christman 1988, 1992;

Mushinsky and McCoy 1995). Mount (1963) noted peninsula mole skinks also are patchily distributed and mostly occurred on xeric sites greater than 100 acres (ac) (40 hectares [ha]) in size. The distribution of the blue-tailed mole skink appears to be closely linked to the distribution of surface litter and, in turn, suitable microhabitat sites. Campbell and Christman (1982) characterized blue-tailed mole skinks as colonizers of a patchy, early successional, or disturbed habitat, which may occur as a result of natural or anthropogenic factors. Susceptibility of mature sand pine to windthrow may be an important factor in maintaining bare, sandy microhabitats required by blue-tailed mole skinks and other scrub endemics (Myers 1990).

Population Dynamics

The population dynamics of the blue-tailed mole skink are not well known because the skinks' diminutive size and secretive habits make their study difficult. The best current method available to detect blue-tailed mole skinks involves the raking of sand and organic litter and intensive searching, or the use of pit-fall traps and drift fences. Because these methods are laborious and time-consuming, they are not well suited for use over large areas. Unfortunately, cover board surveys used to detect sand skinks are not useful for specifically detecting the presence of blue-tailed mole skinks. As such, assessing the abundance and population trends of the blue-tailed mole skink over large areas is problematic.

Early maturity and a large clutch size of relatively small eggs (Mount 1963) suggest the population dynamics of mole skinks are different from sand skinks. Blue-tailed mole skinks appear to be far less common than sand skinks. A survey of seven protected sites conducted in 2004-2005 by Christman (2005) reported a density of 1.3 individuals per acre (0.53 per ha), compared to 56 sand skinks per acre (22.7 per ha), or a ratio of 1 blue-tailed mole skink for every 43 sand skinks collected. Previous studies indicated lower blue-tailed mole skink to sand skink ratios of 1:1.89 based on 54 total skinks captured in six trap arrays (Christman 1988), 1:4.3 based on 332 total skinks in 58 trap arrays (Mushinsky and McCoy 1991) and 1:2.7 based on 49 total skinks in 31,640 pitfall trap-days (Meshaka and Lane 2002). Christman (1992) suggested only 1 blue-tailed mole skink is encountered for every 20 sand skinks.

Peninsula mole skinks tend to be clumped in distribution with variable densities that may approach 25 adults per acre (10.12 per ha) (Mount 1963); however, it appears that blue-tailed mole skinks are much rarer (Christman 1992). Telford (2007) suggests that this disparity in relative abundance of the two species may be explained by seasonal variation in activity and movements and year-round surveys should be conducted over an adequate number of years to minimize the effect of variation in rainfall in order to obtain better estimates.

Unfortunately, determining population stability and viability is unattainable with current information. Because of the ongoing habitat loss and degradation on the LWR, it is likely that overall populations are declining (Moler 2007).

Critical habitat

Critical habitat is not designated for this species.

Threats

Present or Threatened Destruction, Modification or Curtailment of its Habitat or Range

It is likely that ongoing residential and agricultural development of xeric upland habitat in central Florida has destroyed or degraded extensive tracts of habitat containing the blue-tailed mole skink. Continued habitat loss, fragmentation, and changes in land use threaten the existence of the subspecies. Unlike sand skinks, their tracks cannot be easily detected in the sand, and most of the extant scrub, including protected sites, on the LWR has not been adequately surveyed for blue-tailed mole skinks. Populations on private sites are threatened with destruction or habitat modification due to improper or lack of management.

The LWR encompasses approximately 517,303 ac (209, 345 ha) (Weekley *et al.* 2008). Roughly 69,683 ac of this area is protected in refuges, parks, State forests, wildlife and environmental areas, and on private lands, and, therefore, protected from general destruction (Turner *et al.* 2006). However, Turner *et al.* (2006) indicated that blue-tailed mole skinks seem to be underrepresented in the reserve network of protected public lands, but the authors could not determine if their absence reflects actual exclusion or a lack of survey effort. If the former is true, then additional lands must be protected and managed in perpetuity to ensure the survival of this subspecies (Turner *et al.* 2006).

Another concern is whether relatively small, isolated properties are able to maintain viable populations. There is evidence of an edge effect on sand skink distribution on isolated scrub fragments bordered by non-scrub habitat (Gianopulos 2001, Mushinsky *et al.* 2001). Gianopulos (2001) found that on scrub fragments bordered by non-scrub habitat, sand skinks were found more frequently within the middle of the sites than along the edges bordered by non-scrub habitat, and this difference was detected as far as 50 m (164 ft) into the sites. This could be a concern for blue-tailed mole skinks, as well.

Between 2005 and 2060 Florida's population is projected to double from approximately 18 to 36 million people (Zwick and Carr 2006). Assuming a similar pattern of development at current gross urban densities for each county, this translates into the need to convert an additional 7 million ac of undeveloped land into urban land uses (Zwick and Carr 2006). Over most of the range of the sand and blue-tailed mole skinks in the central region of Florida from Marion County southward to northern Polk and Osceola Counties, human population growth and the conversion of previously undeveloped lands to urban use is expected to be explosive (Zwick and Carr 2006). It is predicted that Osceola County is among the counties that will experience the greatest transformation from rural to urban land over the next 50 years (Zwick and Carr 2006). This is expected to be the result of population spillover from the build-out in Orange County (Zwick and Carr 2006).

The protection and recovery of blue-tailed mole skinks will require that habitat loss be limited to disturbed areas, and that suitable unoccupied habitat be restored. Current efforts to expand the system of protected xeric upland habitats on the LWR, in concert with implementation of

aggressive land management practices, represent the most likely opportunity for securing the future of this species.

Inadequacy of Existing Regulatory Mechanisms

In addition to protections associated with the Act and existing regulations on refuges and other protected lands where skinks occur, the blue-tailed mole skink is listed by the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission as federally-designated threatened (Chapter 39-27, Florida Administrative Code). This legislation prohibits take, except under permit, but does not provide any direct habitat protection. Wildlife habitat is protected on Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission wildlife management areas and wildlife environmental areas according to Florida Administrative Code 68A-15.004. Therefore, the Act provides additional protection for these species and their habitat through section 7 (interagency cooperation), as well as through the prohibitions of section 9(a)(1) and the provisions of section 4(d) and recovery planning. Although section 7 and 9(a)(1) provide some regulatory protection, these provisions do not adequately protect against habitat loss. In addition, existing regulations are not specific enough to guard against loss of genetic integrity of the species. Research has shown that it is important to preserve certain areas of the historic range to maintain genetic diversity.

Other Natural or Manmade Factors Affecting its Continued Existence

Improper habitat management and invasion by nonnative and invasive species threaten the existence of blue-tailed mole skinks. Active management is necessary to maintain suitable habitat for skinks. Management of scrub habitat is problematic because much of the remaining habitat occurs in small fragmented areas surrounded by residential areas where prescribed burning may not be feasible. These residential areas are also often a source of nonnative plants that invade native habitat. Many of the fragments are overgrown and in need of restoration.

Habitat degradation on protected and private sites continues to be a threat because vegetation restoration and management programs are costly and depend upon availability of funding. Where prescribed fire is not feasible as a management technique because of smoke management and other concerns, mechanical treatment is sometimes used. However, heavy machinery disturbs the soil more than prescribed burning, and it removes often limited nutrients from the soil (Mushinsky *et al.* 2001). This changes the nutrient levels in the topsoil, affecting the vegetative composition of the site, whereas fire releases nutrients (Mushinsky *et al.* 2001). Also, if logs are removed from a site after mechanical treatment, prey abundance (termites) may be lower than it would be after a fire (Mushinsky *et al.* 2001).

Another threat to skinks is the loss of genetic diversity. Branch *et al.*'s (1999; 2003) work on sand skinks identified genetic distinctions among populations from the Mt. Dora Ridge, the northern LWR, the central LWR, and the southern LWR. Because each site where more than five individuals were sampled contained unique haplotypes, populations on isolated ridges should be protected to avoid the loss of genetic diversity. This likely applies to blue-tailed mole skinks, as well.

Climate Change and Sea Level Rise

According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Report (IPCC) (2007), warming of the earth's climate is "unequivocal," as is now evident from observations of increases in average global air and ocean temperatures, widespread melting of snow and ice, and rising sea level. The 2007 IPCC report describes changes in natural ecosystems with potential wide-spread effects on many organisms, including marine mammals and migratory birds. The potential for rapid climate change poses a significant challenge for fish and wildlife conservation. Species' abundance and distribution are dynamic, relative to a variety of factors, including climate. As climate changes, the abundance and distribution of fish and wildlife will also change. Highly specialized or endemic species are likely to be most susceptible to the stresses of changing climate. Based on these findings and other similar studies, the Department of the Interior requires agencies under its direction to consider potential climate change effects as part of their long-range planning activities (Service 2007b).

Climate change at the global level drives changes in weather at the regional level, although weather is also strongly affected by season and local effects (*e.g.*, elevation, topography, latitude, proximity to the ocean, etcetera). Temperatures are predicted to rise from 2° C to 5° C for North America by the end of this century (IPCC 2007). Other processes to be affected by this projected warming include rainfall (amount, seasonal timing and distribution), storms (frequency and intensity), and sea level rise. However, the exact magnitude, direction, and distribution of these changes at the regional level are not well understood or easy to predict. Seasonal change and local geography make prediction of the effects of climate change at any location variable. Current models offer a wide range of predicted changes.

Climatic changes in south Florida could amplify current land management challenges involving habitat fragmentation, urbanization, invasive species, disease, parasites, and water management (Pearlstine 2008). Global warming will be a particular challenge for endangered, threatened, and other "at risk" species. It is difficult to estimate, with any degree of precision, which species will be affected by climate change or exactly how they will be affected. The Service will use Strategic Habitat Conservation planning, an adaptive science-driven process that begins with explicit trust resource population objectives, as the framework for adjusting our management strategies in response to climate change (Service 2006).

For the blue-tailed mole skink, sea level rise is likely to increase man-made effects, as the human population moves from the coast to central parts of the State. This human migration will increase the demand for development and could lead to increased loss of upland xeric habitat. In addition, the increased human population would likely increase the threats associated with human interactions, such as fire suppression, habitat degradation, and nonnative species described above.

Ongoing Conservation Efforts

Over the last 20 years, a concerted effort by public and private institutions to protect the remaining undeveloped areas of the LWR has resulted in the acquisition of 21,498 ac (8,700 ha) of scrub and sandhill habitat (Turner *et al.* 2006). A variety of state and federal agencies and private organizations are responsible for management of these areas. The Service has also acquired portions of several tracts totaling 1,800 ac (728.4 ha) as a component of the LWR National Wildlife Refuge (Service 1993). Private organizations, such as The Nature Conservancy and ABS, have acquired and currently manage xeric uplands within the LWR. All of these efforts have greatly contributed to the protection of imperiled species including skinks on the LWR (Turner *et al.* 2006).

The Service has also certified six conservation banks totaling nearly 1,500 ac for sand and blue-tailed mole skinks, two in Highlands County and four in Polk County. Conservation banking provides an avenue for collaboration of private/public partnerships to maintain and preserve habitat, providing for the conservation of endangered species. These banks conserve and manage land in perpetuity through a Conservation Easement to offset impacts occurring elsewhere to the same resource values on non-bank lands. The certification of these banks should help reduce the piece-meal approach to skink conservation that can result from separate evaluation of individual projects by establishing larger reserves and improving connectivity of habitat.

Recovery of the skink may also require rehabilitation of suitable but unoccupied habitat or restoration of potentially suitable habitat. Translocation efforts may also be needed. Although blue-tailed mole skinks have not been translocated, we may be able to infer likelihood of success based upon success of similar species. Comparisons of persistence, recruitment, and survival were used to determine translocation success of skinks on two restored scrub sites for 6 years following relocation (Mushinsky *et al.* 2001; Penney 2001; Penney *et al.* 2001). One site established a self-sustaining population, while the other did not. It was determined that site location, habitat suitability, and initial propagule size were the factors affecting success; researchers concluded the chances of long-term survival may improve when habitat is restored and skinks are introduced to sites close to intact scrub, rather than to isolated sites (Mushinsky *et al.* 2001; Penney 2001). In another study, Osman (2010) found that survival of sand skinks was significantly greater on translocation sites with low soil moisture and no shade-providing object, and evidence of reproduction was observed more readily on sites with lower soil compaction and light intensities over the two-year study. He concluded that sand skinks can do well in multiple microhabitat conditions and microhabitat heterogeneity in and around these sites is important. Emerick (2015) monitored and analyzed long-term translocation success of sand skinks over a total of 7 years. He confirmed survival success of the offspring of founding individuals born on the site and determined those individuals were also successfully reproducing.

References Cited

- Branch, L.C., and D.G. Hokit. 2000. A comparison of scrub herpetofauna on two central Florida sand ridges. *Florida Scientist* 63 (2): 108- 117.
- Branch, L.C., A.M. Clark, P.E. Moler, and B.W. Bowen. 2003. Fragmented landscapes, habitat specificity, and conservation genetics of three lizards in Florida scrub. *Conservation Genetics* 4: 199-212.
- Brandley, M.C., A. Schmitz, and T.W. Reeder. 2005. Partitioned Bayesian analyses, partition choice, and the phylogenetic relationships of Scincid lizards. *Systematic Biology* 54(3):373-390.
- Campbell, H.W. and S.P. Christman. 1982. The herpetological components of Florida sandhill and sand pine scrub associations. Pp. 163-171 In: N.J. Scott, ed. *Herpetological communities: A symposium of the Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles and the Herpetologist's League, August, 1977*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Wildlife Research Report No. 13.
- Christman, S.P. 1978. Threatened: bluetailed mole skink, *Eumeces egregius lividus* (Mount). Pages 38-40 in R.W. McDiarmid, ed. *Rare and endangered biota of Florida. Volume 3: amphibians and reptiles*. University Press of Florida; Gainesville, Florida.
- Christman, S.P. 1988. Endemism and Florida's interior sand pine scrub. Final project report no. GFC-84-010, Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission; Tallahassee, Florida.
- Christman, S.P. 1992. Threatened: bluetailed mole skink, *Eumeces egregius lividus* (Mount). Pages 117-122 in P.E. Moler, ed. *Rare and endangered biota of Florida*. University Press of Florida; Gainesville, Florida.
- Christman, S.P. 2005. Densities of *Neoseps reynoldsi* on the Lake Wales Ridge. Final Report, Part 1. Cooperative Agreement No. 401813J035 between U.S. Dept. of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service and Steven P. Christman, Ph.D. Emerick, A.R. 2015. Status of a translocated Florida sand skink population after six years: Establishing and evaluating criteria for success. M.S. Thesis. University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida.
- Emerick, A.R. 2015. Status of a translocated Florida sand skink population after six years: Establishing and evaluating criteria for success. M.S. Thesis. University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida.
- Gianopulos, K.D. 2001. Response of the threatened sand skink (*Neoseps reynoldsi*) and other herpetofaunal species to burning and clearcutting in the Florida sand pine scrub habitat. M.S. Thesis, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida.

- Griffin, J.A. 2007. Personal communication. Data Services Coordinator. Email to the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service dated June 12, 2007. Florida Natural Areas Inventory; Tallahassee, Florida.
- Griffith, H., A. Ngo, and R.W. Murphy. 2000. A cladistic evaluation of the cosmopolitan genus *Eumeces* Wiegmann (Reptilia, Squaniata, Scincidae). *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 7(1): 1-16.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Fourth Assessment Report. 2007. *Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report. Summary for Policy Makers. Draft.*
- McCoy, E.D. 2011. Personal communication. Professor and Associate Chair in the Department of Biology, University of South Florida. Sand skink scrub-jay scrub management field trip to Lake Marion. May 16.
- McCoy, E.D., N. Ihasz, E.J. Britt, and H.R. Mushinsky. 2010. Is the Florida sand skink (*Plestiodon reynoldsi*) a dietary specialist? *Herpetologica* 66(4): 432-442.
- Meshaka Jr., W.E., and J.N. Layne. 2002. Herpetofauna of a long unburned sandhill in south-central Florida. *Florida Scientist* 65(1): 35-50.
- Moler, P. 2007. Peer review comments to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Vero Beach, FL. June 4.
- Mount, R.H. 1963. The natural history of the red-tailed skink, *Eumeces egregius* (Baird). *American Midland Naturalist* 70: 356-385.
- Mount, R.H. 1965. Variation and systemics of the scincoid lizard, *Eumeces egregius*(Baird) *Bulletin of the Florida State Museum. University of Florida; Gainesville, Florida.* Vol. 9(5): 183-213.
- Mushinsky, H. 2007. Peer review comments to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Vero Beach, FL. June 1.
- Mushinsky, H.R. 2011. Personal communication. Professor and Graduate Director in the Department of Biology, University of South Florida. Sand skink scrub-jay scrub management field trip to Lake Marion. May 16.
- Mushinsky, H.R. and E.D. McCoy. 1991. Vertebrate species compositions of selected scrub islands on the Lake Wales Ridge of central Florida. Final report no. GFC-87-149. Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission, Nongame Wildlife Program; Tallahassee, Florida.

- Mushinsky, H.R. and E.D. McCoy. 1995. Vertebrate species compositions of selected scrub islands on the Lake Wales Ridge of Central Florida. Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission, Nongame Wildlife Program; Tallahassee, Florida.
- Mushinsky, H.R., E.D. McCoy, K. Gianopulos, K. Penney, and C. Meyer. 2001. Biology of the threatened sand skink on restored scrub habitat and its responses to land management practices. Final report to the Disney Wildlife Conservation Fund. University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida.
- Myers, R.L. 1990. Scrub and high pine. Pages 150-193 in R.L. Myers and J.J. Ewel, eds. Ecosystems of Florida. University Presses of Florida; Gainesville, Florida.
- Osman, N.P. 2010. Experimental translocation of the Florida sand skink (*Plestiodon*[=*Neoseps*] *reynoldsi*): Success of a restricted species across diverse microhabitats. M.S. Thesis. University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida.
- Pearlstine, L.G. 2008. Ecological consequences of climate change for the Florida Everglades: An initial summary. Technical memorandum, South Florida Natural Resources Center, Everglades National Park; Homestead, Florida.
- Penney, K.M. 2001. Factors affecting translocation success and estimates of dispersal and movement patterns of the sand skink *Neoseps reynoldsi* on restored scrub. M.S. Thesis. University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida.
- Penney, K.M., H.R. Mushinsky, and E.D. McCoy. 2001. Translocation success of the threatened sand skink. Proceedings from the Florida Scrub Symposium, Orlando, Florida.
- Smith, C.R. 1982. Food resource partitioning of fossorial Florida reptiles. Pages 173-178 in N.J. Scott, ed. Herpetological communities: A symposium of the Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles and the Herpetologist's League, August, 1977. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Wildlife Research Report No. 13.
- Smith, H.M. 2005. *Plestiodon*: A replacement name for most members of the genus *Eumeces* in North America. *Journal of Kansas Herpetology* 14: 15- 16.
- Turner W.R., D.S. Wilcove, and H.M. Swain. 2006. State of the Scrub: Conservation progress, management responsibilities, and land acquisition priorities for imperiled species of Florida's Lake Wales Ridge [Internet]. Archbold Biological Station; Lake Placid, Florida [cited October 29, 2008]. Available from: www.archbold-station.org/ABS/publicationsPDF/Turner_etal-2006-StateofScrub.pdf
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1993. Recovery plan for the sand skink and the blue-tailed mole skink. Atlanta, Georgia.

- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2006. Strategic Habitat Conservation. Final Report of the National Ecological Assessment Team to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and U.S. Geologic Survey.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2007a. Bluetail mole skink (*Eumeces egregius lividus*) and sand skink (*Neoseps reynoldsi*). 5-year review: summary and evaluation. South Florida Ecological Services Office, Vero Beach, Florida.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2007b. Draft communications plan on the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Role in Climate Change.
- Webb, S.D. 1990. Historical biogeography. Pages 70-100 in Meyers, R.L. and J.J. Ewel, eds. Ecosystems of Florida. University of Central Florida Press, Orlando, Florida.
- Weekley, C.W., E.S. Menges, and R.L. Pickert. 2008. An ecological map of Florida's Lake Wales Ridge: A new boundary delineation and an assessment of post-Columbian habitat loss. Florida Scientist 71(1): 45-64.
- Zwick, P.D., and M.H. Carr. 2006. Florida 2060. A population distribution scenario for the State of Florida. A research project prepared for 1000 Friends of Florida. Prepared by the Geoplan Center at the University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida.

STATUS OF THE SPECIES – Sand skink (*Neoseps reynoldsi*)

Legal Status

The sand skink was listed as threatened under the Endangered Species Act in 1987 (52 FR 42658), and is listed as federally-designated threatened by the state. Critical habitat has not been designated for the sand skink.

Species Description

Appearance/Morphology

The sand skink is a small, fossorial lizard that reaches a maximum length of about 5 inches (in) (12.7 centimeters [cm]). The tail makes up about half the total body length. The body is shiny and usually gray to grayish-white in color, although the body color may occasionally be light tan. Hatchlings have a wide black band located along each side from the tip of the tail to the snout. This band is reduced in adults and may only occur from the eye to snout on some individuals (Telford 1959). Sand skinks contain a variety of morphological adaptations for a fossorial lifestyle. The legs are vestigial and practically nonfunctional, the eyes are greatly reduced, the external ear openings are reduced or absent (Greer 2002), the snout is wedge-shaped, and the lower jaw is countersunk.

Taxonomy

The taxonomic classification of the sand skink has been reevaluated since it was listed as *Neoseps reynoldsi* in 1987 (52 FR 42658), and the commonly accepted scientific name for the sand skink is now *Plestiodon reynoldsi* (Brandley *et al.* 2005; Smith 2005). A detailed description of the recent taxonomic review can be found in Service (2007). We continue to use the scientific name as published in the final listing rule (52 FR 42658).

The sand skink is believed to have evolved on the central Lake Wales Ridge (LWR) and radiated from there (Branch *et al.* 2003). Analysis of mitochondrial DNA indicates populations of the sand skink are highly structured with most of the genetic variation partitioned among four lineages: three subpopulations on the LWR characterized by high haplotype diversity and a single, unique haplotype detected only on the Mount Dora Ridge (MDR) (Branch *et al.* 2003). Under the conventional molecular clock, the 4.5 percent divergence in sand skinks from these two ridges would represent about a 2-million year separation. The absence of haplotype diversity on the MDR would suggest this population was founded by only a few individuals or severely reduced by genetic drift of a small population (Branch *et al.* 2003).

Life History

The sand skink is usually found below the soil surface burrowing through loose sand in search of food, shelter, and mates. Sand skinks feed on a variety of hard and soft-bodied arthropods that occur below the ground surface. The diet consists largely of beetle larvae and termites (*Prorhinotermes* spp.). Spiders, larval ant lions, lepidopteran larvae, roaches, and adult beetles are also eaten (Myers and Telford 1965; Smith 1982).

Sand skinks are most active during the morning and evening in spring and at mid-day in winter, the times when body temperatures can easily be maintained at a preferred level between 82 and 88 degrees Fahrenheit in open sand (Andrews 1994). During the hottest parts of the day, sand skinks move under shrubs to maintain their preferred body temperatures in order to remain active near the surface. With respect to season, Telford (1959) reported skinks most active from early March through early May, whereas Sutton (1996) found skinks most active from mid-February to late April. Based on monthly sampling of pitfall traps, Ashton and Telford (2006) found captures peaked in March at Archbold Biological Station (ABS), but in May at the Ocala National Forest (ONF). All of these authors suggested the spring activity peak was associated with mating. At ABS, Ashton and Telford (2006) noted a secondary peak in August that corresponded with the emergence of hatchling sand skinks.

Telford (1959) assumed sand skinks become sexually mature during the first year following hatching, at a size of 1.78 in (4.52 cm) snout-vent length. He suspected most of the breeders in his study were in their second year and measured between 1.78 and 2.24 in (4.52 and 5.69 cm) snout-vent length. However, Ashton (2005) determined sand skinks become sexually mature between 19 and 23 months of age and have a single mating period each year from February through May. Sand skinks first reproduce at 2 years of age and females produce a single clutch in a season, although some individuals reproduce biennially or less frequently (Ashton 2005). Sand skinks lay between two and four eggs, typically under logs or debris, in May or early June (Ashton 2005; Mushinsky in Service 2007), approximately 55 days after mating (Telford 1959). The eggs hatch from June through July. Sand skinks can live at least to 10 years of age (Meneken *et al.* 2005). Gianopulos (2001) found the sex ratio of sand skinks did not differ significantly from 1:1, which is consistent with the findings of Sutton (1996).

Most sand skinks move less than 130 feet (ft) (39.6 meters [m]) between captures, but some have been found to move over 460 ft (140.2 m) in 2 weeks (Mushinsky *et al.* 2001). Limited dispersal ability has been suggested to explain the relatively high degree of genetic structure within and among sand skink populations (Branch *et al.* 2003; Reid *et al.* 2004). Analysis of blood and fecal samples obtained from 20 sand skinks in ONF demonstrated that no blood parasites were present and only normal protistan and helminth symbiotes were observed, with no evidence of effect on survival of individuals or the population (Telford 1998). Similarly, a species of nematode (*Parapharyngodon ocalaensis*) was collected from the intestinal tracts of 22 sand

skinks (Burse and Telford 2002). It is not known to be a threat to the species. In a subsequent paper, Telford and Bursey (2003) found 3 species of endoparasites in 45 sand skinks from ONF.

Habitat

The sand skink is widespread in native xeric uplands with excessively well-drained soils (Service 2012), principally on the ridges listed above at elevations greater than 80 ft (24.4 m) above mean sea level. Commonly occupied native habitats include Florida scrub variously described as sand pine scrub, xeric oak scrub, rosemary scrub and scrubby flatwoods, as well as high pine communities that include sandhill, longleaf pine/turkey oak, turkey oak barrens and xeric hammock (see habitat descriptions in Myers 1990 and Service 1999). Coverboard transects extended from scrub or high pine (sandhill) through scrubby flatwoods to pine flatwoods revealed that sand skinks left more tracks in scrub than the other three habitats and did not penetrate further than 130 ft (39.6 m) into scrubby flatwoods or 65 ft (19.8 m) into pine flatwoods (Sutton *et al.* 1999). Sand skinks also use disturbed habitats such as citrus groves, pine plantations, and old fields, especially when adjacent to existing scrub (Pike *et al.* 2007; 2008).

Various authors have attempted to characterize optimal sand skink habitat (Telford 1959; 1962; Christman 1978; 1992; Campbell and Christman 1982). Literature descriptions of scrub characteristics have not proven very useful to predict sand skink abundance, but expert opinion was more successful (McCoy *et al.* 1999). McCoy *et al.* (1999) used trap-out enclosures to measure sand skink densities at seven scrub sites and attempted to rank each area individually based on eight visual characteristics to identify good habitat: (1) root-free, (2) grass-free, (3) patchy bare areas, (4) bare areas with lichens, (5) bare areas with litter, (6) scattered scrubs, (7) open canopy, and (8) sunny exposure. None of the individual literature descriptions of optimal habitat (or any combination thereof) accurately predicted the rank order of actual sand skink abundance at these sites, which ranged in density from 52 to 270 individuals per acre (ac) (Sutton 1996). However, knowledgeable researchers, especially as a group, appear to be able to visually sort out the environmental variables important to sand skinks, but had difficulty translating their perceptions into a set of rules that others could use to identify optimal sand skink habitat (McCoy *et al.* 1999).

Multiple studies (Collazos 1998; Hill 1999; Mushinsky and McCoy 1999; Gianopoulos 2001; Mushinsky *et al.* 2001) have determined the relationship between sand skink density and a suite of environmental variables. These studies have found sand skink relative density was positively correlated with low canopy cover, percent bare ground, amount of loose sand and large sand particle size, but negatively correlated with understory vegetation height, litter cover, small sand particle size, soil moisture, soil temperature, and soil composition. In an unburned sandhill site at ABS, Meshaka and Lane (2002) captured significantly more sand skinks in pitfall traps set in openings without shrubs than at sites with moderate to heavy shrub density. Telford (1959) suggested scattered debris and litter provided moisture that was important to support an abundant

food supply and nesting sites for sand skinks. Cooper (1953) noted the species was most commonly collected under rotting logs, and Christman (1992) suggested they nest in these locations. Christman (2005) found skinks continue to occupy scrub with a closed canopy and thick humus layer, although at lower densities. Recent surveys have also shown sand skinks may occupy both actively managed lands, such as citrus groves and pine plantations, and old-field communities (Pike *et al.* 2007), particularly if these sites are adjacent to patches of native habitat that can serve as a source population for recolonization.

Experimental studies have been conducted to investigate the effects of management techniques, such as mechanical treatment and prescribed burning, on sand skink abundance. Several studies found a decrease in relative abundance of skinks immediately following both mechanical and burning treatments (Mushinsky and McCoy 1999; Gianopulos 2001; Gianopulos *et al.* 2001; Mushinsky *et al.* 2001; Sutton *et al.* 1999). Gianopulos (2001) and Gianopulos *et al.* (2001) reported a significant increase in skink captures in mechanical treatment plots over the 5-year period following the treatment. However, a clear increase in skink numbers following a burn was not observed (Navratil 1999; Gianopulos *et al.* 2001; Mushinsky *et al.* 2001). Christman (2005) conducted trap surveys at sites with a known burn history on the LWR in Polk and Highlands Counties and did not observe a strong correlation between skink density and number of years since the site was burned. Mushinsky *et al.* (2001) noted significantly larger skinks were captured in burned plots, indicating more insect prey may have been available from decaying logs or older skinks inhabited these sites.

Habitat size may be a factor in maintaining viable skink populations. Pike *et al.* (2006) monitored sand skinks and quantified vegetation change in six areas from 5 to 69 ac (2 to 27.9 hectare [ha]) that were restored to a more natural state using fire and canopy thinning, and set aside for conservation in residential areas. Pike *et al.* (2006) documented a severe decline in occupancy and relative density of sand skinks, and hypothesized indirect impacts from surrounding development, such as changes in soil hydrology, may have caused the decline. Hydrologic changes in the soil may have occurred as a result of construction of retention ponds or run-off from neighborhoods that caused a rise in the groundwater level (Pike *et al.* 2006). The population decline of skinks noted may also have been caused by prescribed burning used to restore these sites (Mushinsky in Service 2007).

Distribution

The sand skink occurs on the sandy ridges of interior central Florida from Marion County south to Highlands County. The extant range of the sand skink includes Highlands, Lake, Marion, Orange, Osceola, Polk, and Putnam Counties (Christman 1988; Telford 1998). Principal populations occur on the LWR and Winter Haven Ridges (WHR) in Highlands, Lake, and Polk Counties (Christman 1992; Mushinsky and McCoy 1991). The sand skink is uncommon on the MDR, including sites within the ONF (Christman 1970; 1992). Despite intensive sampling

efforts in scrub habitat with similar herpetofauna, the sand skink has not been recorded at Avon Park Air Force Range on the Bombing Range Ridge (Branch and Hokit 2000). Although we do not have estimates of acreage for all of the ridges, we do know the largest of these, the LWR, encompasses approximately 517,303 ac (209,300 ha) (Weekley *et al.* 2008). According to the Florida Natural Areas Inventory (FNAI) database, updated as of September 2006, there were 132 locality records for the sand skink, including 115 localities on the LWR, 7 on the MDR, and 4 on the WHR (Griffin 2007). FNAI also reports four localities for this species west of the MDR in Lake County and two localities between the LWR and the Lake Hendry Ridge.

Population Dynamics

Abundance (historical and current), population estimates, stability/viability

The current status of the sand skink throughout its geographic range is unclear because recent comprehensive, range wide surveys have not been conducted. At the time of Federal listing in 1987, FNAI had recorded 31 known sites for the sand skink. By September 2006, 132 localities were known by FNAI (Griffin 2007). This increase is largely the result of more intensive sampling of scrub habitats in recent years and does not imply this species is more widespread than originally supposed. Nonetheless, except for a few locations where intensive research has been conducted, limited information about the presence or abundance of sand skinks exists. Reptile surveys in a variety of scrub habitats in the ONF did not detect sand skinks (Greenberg *et al.* 1994). Telford (1998) cited the ephemeral nature of early successional scrub habitats due to dynamic changes as an important confounding factor in the evaluation of the sand skink's present status in the ONF. At least two persistent populations are known from the ONF (Telford 1998), where sand skinks have been collected for genetic analysis (Branch *et al.* 2003) and population studies (Ashton and Telford 2006). Additional studies have provided presence/absence information that has been used to determine the extant range of the species (Mushinsky and McCoy 1991; Stout and Corey 1995). However, few long-term monitoring efforts have been undertaken to evaluate the population size, or population trends, of sand skinks at these sites, on remaining scrub habitat on private lands, or rangewide.

The population dynamics of sand skinks within their extant ranges are not well known because the skinks' small size and secretive habits make their study difficult. Sand skinks are known to exhibit life-history traits that are also found in a number of other fossorial lizard species, such as: delayed maturity, a small clutch size of relatively large eggs, low frequency of reproduction, and a long lifespan (Ashton 2005). Such character traits may have resulted from, and be indicative of, high intraspecific competition or predation.

Threats

Present or Threatened Destruction, Modification or Curtailment of its Habitat or Range

The modification and destruction of xeric upland communities in central Florida were a primary consideration in listing the sand skink as threatened. By some estimates, as much as 90 percent of the scrub ecosystem has already been lost to residential development and conversion to agriculture, primarily citrus groves (Kautz 1993; Turner *et al.* 2006a). Xeric uplands remaining on private lands are especially vulnerable to destruction because of increasing residential and agricultural pressures.

Approximately 85 percent of xeric upland communities historically used by sand skinks on the LWR are estimated to have been lost due to development (Turner *et al.* 2006b). It is likely continued residential and agricultural development of xeric upland habitat in central Florida has destroyed or degraded habitat containing sand skinks. Protection of the sand skink from further habitat loss and degradation provides the most important means of ensuring its continued existence. Of the 73 locations examined by Turner *et al.* (2006a) on which sand skinks were reported, 39 are protected and, as of 2004, 27 were managed. Current efforts to expand the system of protected xeric upland communities on the LWR, coupled with implementation of effective land management practices, represent the most likely opportunity for assuring the sand skink's survival.

The 5-year review found no justification for change in the threatened status (Service 2007).

Ongoing Conservation Efforts

Over the last 20 years, a concerted effort by public and private institutions to protect the remaining undeveloped areas of the LWR has resulted in the acquisition of 21,498 ac (8,700 ha) of scrub and sandhill habitat (Turner *et al.* 2006). A variety of state and federal agencies and private organizations are responsible for management of these areas. The Service has also acquired portions of several tracts totaling 1,800 ac (728.4 ha) as a component of the LWR National Wildlife Refuge (Service 1993). Private organizations, such as The Nature Conservancy and ABS, have acquired and currently manage xeric uplands within the LWR. All of these efforts have greatly contributed to the protection of imperiled species including skinks on the LWR (Turner *et al.* 2006).

The Service has also certified six conservation banks totaling nearly 1,500 ac for sand and blue-tailed mole skinks, two in Highlands County and four in Polk County. Conservation banking provides an avenue for collaboration of private/public partnerships to maintain and preserve habitat, providing for the conservation of endangered species. These banks conserve and manage land in perpetuity through a Conservation Easement to offset impacts occurring elsewhere to the same resource values on non-bank lands. The certification of these banks should help reduce the piece-meal approach to skink conservation that can result from separate

evaluation of individual projects by establishing larger reserves and improving connectivity of habitat.

Recovery of the skink may also require rehabilitation of suitable but unoccupied habitat or restoration of potentially suitable habitat. Translocation efforts may also be needed.

Comparisons of persistence, recruitment, and survival were used to determine translocation success of sand skinks on two restored scrub sites for 6 years following relocation (Mushinsky *et al.* 2001; Penney 2001; Penney *et al.* 2001). One site established a self-sustaining population, while the other did not. It was determined that site location, habitat suitability, and initial propagule size were the factors affecting success; researchers concluded the chances of long-term survival may improve when habitat is restored and skinks are introduced to sites close to intact scrub, rather than to isolated sites (Mushinsky *et al.* 2001; Penney 2001). In another study, Osman (2010) found that survival of sand skinks was significantly greater on translocation sites with low soil moisture and no shade-providing object, and evidence of reproduction was observed more readily on sites with lower soil compaction and light intensities over the two-year study. He concluded that sand skinks can do well in multiple microhabitat conditions and microhabitat heterogeneity in and around these sites is important. Emerick (2015) monitored and analyzed long-term translocation success of sand skinks over a total of 7 years. He confirmed survival success of the offspring of founding individuals born on the site and determined those individuals were also successfully reproducing.

References Cited

- Andrews R.M. 1994. Activity and thermal biology of the sand-swimming skink *Neoseps reynoldsi*: Diel and seasonal patterns. *Copeia* 1: 91-99.
- Ashton, K.G. 2005. Life history of a fossorial lizard, *Neoseps reynoldsi*. *Journal of Herpetology* 39(3): 389-395.
- Ashton, K.G. and S.R. Telford, Jr. 2006. Monthly and daily activity of a fossorial lizard, *Neoseps reynoldsi*. *Southeastern Naturalist* 5(1): 175-183.
- Branch, L.C., and D.G. Hokit. 2000. A comparison of scrub herpetofauna on two central Florida sand ridges. *Florida Scientist* 63(2):108-117.
- Branch, L.C., A.M. Clark, P.E. Moler, and B.W. Bowen. 2003. Fragmented landscapes, habitat specificity, and conservation genetics of three lizards in Florida scrub. *Conservation Genetics* 4: 199-212.
- Brandley, M.C., A. Schmitz, and T.W. Reeder. 2005. Partitioned Bayesian analysis, partition choice and phylogenetic relationships of scincid lizards. *Systemic Biology* 54(3):373-390.

- Burse, C.R., and S.R. Telford, Jr. 2002. *Parapharyngodon ocalaensis* n. sp. (Nematoda: Pharyngodonidae) from the sand skink, *Neoseps reynoldsi* (Scincidae), of Florida. The Journal of Parasitology 88(5):929-931.
- Campbell, H.W. and S.P. Christman. 1982. The herpetological components of Florida sandhill and sand pine scrub associations. Pp. 163-171 In: N.J. Scott, ed. Herpetological communities: A symposium of the Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles and the Herpetologist's League, August, 1977. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Wildlife Research Report No. 13.
- Christman, S.P. 1970. The possible evolutionary history of two Florida skinks. Quarterly Journal of the Florida Academy of Science 33(4): 291-293.
- Christman, S.P. 1978. Threatened: sand skink, *Neoseps reynoldsi* (Stejneger). Pages 40-41 in R.W. McDiarmid, ed. Rare and endangered biota of Florida. Volume 3: amphibians and reptiles. University Press of Florida; Gainesville, Florida.
- Christman, S.P. 1988. Endemism and Florida's interior sand pine scrub. Final project report no. GFC-84-010, Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission; Tallahassee, Florida.
- Christman, S.P. 1992. Threatened: sand skink, *Neoseps reynoldsi* (Stejneger). Pages 135-140 in P.E. Moler, ed. Rare and endangered biota of Florida. University Press of Florida; Gainesville, Florida.
- Christman, S.P. 2005. Densities of *Neoseps reynoldsi* on the Lake Wales Ridge. Final Report, Part 1. Cooperative Agreement No. 401813J035 between U.S. Dept. of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service and Steven P. Christman, Ph.D.
- Collazos, A. 1998. Microhabitat selection in *Neoseps reynoldsi*: The Florida sand swimming skink. Master's Thesis. University of South Florida, Tampa.
- Cooper, B.W. 1953. Notes on the life history of the lizard, *Neoseps reynoldsi* Stejneger. Quarterly Journal of the Florida Academy of Sciences. 16(4):235-238.
- Emerick, A.R. 2015. Status of a translocated Florida sand skink population after six years: Establishing and evaluating criteria for success. M.S. Thesis. University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida.
- Gianopulos, K.D. 2001. Response of the threatened sand skink (*Neoseps reynoldsi*) and other herpetofaunal species to burning and clearcutting in the Florida sand pine scrub habitat. M.S. Thesis, University of South Florida; Tampa, Florida.

- Gianopulos, K.D., H.R. Mushinsky, and E.D. McCoy. 2001. Response of the threatened sand skink (*Neoseps reynoldsi*) to controlled burning and clear-cutting in Florida sand pine scrub habitat. Proceedings from the Florida Scrub Symposium; Orlando, Florida.
- Greenberg, C.H., D.G. Neary, and L.D. Harris. 1994. Effect of high-intensity wildfire and silvicultural treatments on reptile communities in sand-pine scrub. *Conservation Biology* 8:1047-1057.
- Greer, A.E. 2002. The loss of the external ear opening in scincid lizards. *Journal of Herpetology* 36(4):544-555.
- Griffin, J.A. 2007. Personal communication. Data Services Coordinator. Email to the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service dated June 12, 2007. Florida Natural Areas Inventory; Tallahassee, Florida.
- Hill, K. 1999. Responses of released populations of the sand skink, *Neoseps reynoldsi*, to scrub habitat translocation in Central Florida. Master's Thesis. University of South Florida, Tampa.
- Kautz, R.S. 1993. Trends in Florida wildlife habitat 1936-1987. *Florida Scientist* 56(1): 7-24.
- McCoy, E.D., P.E. Sutton, and H.R. Mushinsky. 1999. The role of guesswork in conserving the threatened sand skink. *Conservation Biology* 13(1):190-194.
- Meneken, B.M., A.C.S. Knipps, J.N. Layne, and K.G. Ashton. 2005. *Neoseps reynoldsi*. Longevity. *Herpetological Review* 36: 180-181.
- Meshaka Jr., W.E., and J.N. Layne. 2002. Herpetofauna of a long unburned sandhill in south-central Florida. *Florida Scientist* 65(1): 35-50.
- Mushinsky, H.R. and E.D. McCoy. 1991. Vertebrate species compositions of selected scrub islands on the Lake Wales Ridge of central Florida. Final report no. GFC-87-149. Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission, Nongame Wildlife Program; Tallahassee, Florida.
- Mushinsky, H.R. and E.D. McCoy. 1999. Studies of the sand skink (*Neoseps reynoldsi*) in Central Florida. Final Report, prepared for: Walt Disney Imagineering, Kissimmee, Florida.
- Mushinsky, H.R., E.D. McCoy, K. Gianopulos, K. Penney, and C. Meyer. 2001. Biology of the threatened sand skink of restored scrub habitat and their responses to land management practices. Final report to the Disney Wildlife Conservation Fund.

- Myers, R.L. 1990. Scrub and high pine. Pages 150-193 in R.L. Myers and J.J. Ewel, eds. Ecosystems of Florida. University Presses of Florida; Gainesville, Florida.
- Myers, C.W. and S.R. Telford, Jr. 1965. Food of Neoseps, the Florida sand skink. Quarterly Journal of the Florida Academy of Science. 28: 190-194.
- Navratil, G. 1999. A study of selected land management practices on the sand pine scrub habitat of Florida: A measure of the effects of land management on the sand skink, *Neoseps reynoldsi*. M.S. Thesis, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida.
- Osman, N.P. 2010. Experimental translocation of the Florida sand skink (*Plestiodon*[=*Neoseps*] *reynoldsi*): Success of a restricted species across diverse microhabitats. M.S. Thesis. University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida.
- Penney, K.M. 2001. Factors affecting translocation success and estimates of dispersal and movement patterns of the sand skink *Neoseps reynoldsi* on restored scrub. M.S. Thesis. University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida.
- Penney, K.M., H.R. Mushinsky, and E.D. McCoy. 2001. Translocation success of the threatened sand skink [Internet]. Pages 26-28 in D. Zatta, editor. Proceedings of the Florida Scrub Symposium 2001; Orlando Florida [cited October 29, 2008]. Available from: <http://www.fws.gov/northflorida/Scrub-Jays/Docs/Proceedings-07-2001.pdf>
- Pike, D.A., R.S. Mejeur, W.D. Lites, and J.H. Exum. 2006. Do neighborhood conservation areas work? A drastic reduction in lizard occupancy coinciding with improved habitat quality and surrounding development. Abstract in Joint Meeting of the 22nd Annual Meeting of the American Elasmobranch Society, 86th Annual Meeting of the American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists, 64th Annual Meeting of the Herpetologists' League, and the 49th Annual Meeting of the Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles; 12–17 July 2006; New Orleans, Louisiana.
- Pike, D.A., K.S. Peterman, and J.H. Exum. 2007. Use of altered habitats by the endemic *Plestiodon reynoldsi* Stejneger (sand skink). Southeastern Naturalist 6:715–726.
- Pike, D.A., K.S. Peterman, and J.H. Exum. 2008. Habitat structure influences the presence of sand skinks (*Plestiodon reynoldsi*) in altered habitats. Wildlife Research 35:120–127.
- Reid, D.T., K.G. Ashton, and K.R. Zamudio. 2004. Characterization of microsatellite markers in the threatened sand skink (*Neoseps reynoldsi*). Molecular Ecology Notes 4: 691-693.

- Smith, C.R. 1982. Food resource partitioning of fossorial Florida reptiles. Pages 173-178 in N.J. Scott, ed. Herpetological communities: A symposium of the Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles and the Herpetologist's League, August, 1977. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Wildlife Research Report No. 13.
- Smith, H.M. 2005. Plestiodon: A replacement name for most members of the genus Eumeces in North America. *Journal of Kansas Herpetology* 14: 15-16.
- Stout, I.J. and D.T. Corey. 1995. Effects of patch-corridor configurations on nongame birds, mammals, and herptiles in longleaf pine-turkey oak sandhill communities. Nongame Project Report No. RFP-86-003, Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission; Tallahassee, Florida.
- Sutton, P.E. 1996. A mark and recapture study of the Florida Sand skink *Neoseps reynoldsi* and a comparison of sand skink sampling methods. Master's thesis, University of South Florida; Tampa, Florida.
- Sutton, P.E., H.R. Mushinsky, and E.D. McCoy. 1999. Comparing the use of pitfall drift fences and cover boards for sampling the threatened sand skink (*Neoseps reynoldsi*). *Herpetological Review* 30(3): 149-151.
- Telford, S.R., Jr. 1959. A study of the sand skink, *Neoseps reynoldsi*. *Copeia* 1959 (2):100-119.
- Telford, S.R. 1962. New locality records for the sand skink, *Neoseps reynoldsi* Stejneger. *Copeia* 1959: 110-119.
- Telford, S.R., Jr. 1998. Monitoring of the sand skink (*Neoseps reynoldsi*) in Ocala National Forest. Final report submitted to U.S. Forest Service, Ocala National Forest, Silver Springs, Florida.
- Telford, S.R., Jr., and C.R. Bursey. 2003. Comparative parasitology of squamate reptiles endemic to scrub and sandhills communities of north-central Florida, U.S.A. *Comparative Parasitology* 70:172–181.
- Turner W.R., D.S. Wilcove, and H.M. Swain. 2006a. State of the Scrub: Conservation progress, management responsibilities, and land acquisition priorities for imperiled species of Florida's Lake Wales Ridge [Internet]. Archbold Biological Station; Lake Placid, Florida [cited October 29, 2008]. Available from: www.archbold-station.org/ABS/publicationsPDF/Turner_etal-2006-StateotScrub.pdf
- Turner, W. R., D. S. Wilcove, and H. M. Swain. 2006b. Assessing the effectiveness of reserve acquisition programs in protecting rare and threatened species. *Conservation Biology* 20(6):1657-1669.

- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1993. Recovery plan for the sand skink and the blue-tailed mole skink. Atlanta, Georgia.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1999. South Florida multi-species recovery plan. Atlanta, Georgia.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2007. Blue-tailed mole skink (*Eumeces egregius lividus*) and sand skink (*Neoseps reynoldsi*) [Internet]. 5-year review: summary and evaluation. South Florida Ecological Services Office, Vero Beach, Florida [cited October 29, 2008]. Available from: http://ecos.fws.gov/docs/five_year_review/doc1071.pdf
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2012. Draft Species Conservation Guidelines, South Florida – Sand Skink and Blue-tailed Mole Skink [Internet]. U.S. Fish and Wildlife, South Florida Ecological Services Office; Vero Beach, Florida [cited October 29, 2008]. Available from: http://www.fws.gov/verobeach/ReptilesPDFs/20120206_Skink%_Final.pdf
- Weekley, C.W., E.S. Menges, and R.L. Pickert. 2008. An ecological map of Florida's Lake Wales Ridge: A new boundary delineation and an assessment of post-Columbian habitat loss. Florida Scientist 71(1): 45-64.

STATUS OF THE SPECIES – Florida bonamia (*Bonamia grandiflora*)

The following discussion is summarized from the Multi Species Recovery Plan (MSRP; Service 1999), as well as from research publications and monitoring reports. A complete Florida bonamia (*Bonamia grandiflora*) life history discussion may be found in the MSRP. No critical habitat has been designated for Florida bonamia.

Description

Florida bonamia is a perennial vine with long prostrate stems a meter or more (at least 3 feet) in length. It has a long, relatively slender tap root. The leathery sessile or subsessile leaves are up to 4 centimeters (cm; 1.6 inch) in length and ovate in shape. The flowers are solitary and sessile in the leaf axils. The funnel-shaped corolla is 7 to 10 cm (3 to 4 inches) long and 7 to 8 cm (2.7 to 3.2 inches) across. It has a deep blue or bluish-purple color with a white throat. The flowers open in the morning and are wilted by early afternoon (Romano 1999). The fruits are capsules, normally containing four seeds. The seeds are smoothish, pale brown or greenish-brown, 5 to 8 millimeters (mm) long, and oblong (Romano 1999). The outer face is convex and the inner two faces are flat, forming an angle (Wunderlin et al. 1980). Florida bonamia is the only morning glory vine found in scrub areas with a large blue flower (Wunderlin *et al.* 1980), but could be confused with hairy dawnflower (*Stylisma villosa*).

Life History and Population Dynamics

Florida bonamia grows for three or more years (50 FR 42068; Wunderlin *et al.* 1980), flowering from spring to summer (Wunderlin 1998). It has a mixed mating system; it is highly self-compatible, it can self-pollinate, and it can produce seeds without fertilization (Romano 1999). Pollinators are essential, however, to ensure substantial seed production by self-, as well as cross-, fertilization. Florida bonamia shows some inbreeding depression in selfed fruits and seeds but it does not appear to be enough to hinder the present populations (Romano 1999). The seeds of Florida bonamia become dormant, but may not require dormancy to germinate, particularly if the seeds are planted immediately. Hartnett and Richardson (1989) observed that populations of this species have large seed banks of dormant seeds, mostly within 1 cm (0.4 inch) of the surface, distributed rather homogeneously, with no relation to the distribution of mature plants. The seedlings germinate throughout the summer until September. This germination pattern is somewhat unusual among scrub plants, many of which germinate during the fall or winter. Germination occurs on sites with sparse vegetation that have not burned recently (Romano 1999).

Seedling survival was investigated by Romano (1999), but results from this unpublished dissertation have not yet been obtained. Hartnett and Richardson (1989) excavated several plants. They found that clumps of prostrate stems seen at the surface are connected to a large central and somewhat woody rootstock. They had no difficulty distinguishing such clump-forming, well-established older individuals from young single-stem plants that had grown from seed. According to Hartnett and Richardson (1989), fire stimulates seed production and germination as well as regrowth from clonal stems. Stem production is greatest during the first

season after a fire, while seed production peaks the second year. The lag is probably due to the increased energy needed for regrowth following fire. Seed production is postponed to conserve energy. New seed production replaces the seed banks that are often destroyed by fire.

Distribution and Status

The known populations of Florida bonamia occur within, on the edge of, or near scrub habitat on the white sands associated with the ancient Pleistocene dune systems of the central ridge system (Ward 1979). Scrub vegetation, particularly on the Ocala National Forest (ONF), consists of myrtle oak and sand live oak with sand pine (*Pinus clausa*), with openings between the trees and shrubs occupied by lichens and herbs. Scrub is renewed by infrequent fires or mechanical disturbances, including logging on the ONF. Florida bonamia grows in a variety of growth stages of sand pine, but flowers profusely only in the open, sunny conditions of regeneration stands, and sparsely if at all in older stands.

Florida bonamia also occupies disturbed areas near roadways and clearings caused by logging operations (50 FR 42068). This species is not found on altered soils such as the clay applied to logging roads on the ONF (Miller 1989). As the scrub community reaches maturity, encroachment and shading from overstory pines and oaks cause this and other smaller species to decline (Wunderlin *et al.* 1980a).

Florida bonamia has been collected in Hardee, Highlands, Hillsborough, Lake, Manatee, Marion, Orange, Polk, Sarasota, and Volusia Counties in peninsular Florida. Many of these records are historic: Manatee (1878, 1916), Sarasota (1878), and Volusia (1900) (Wunderlin *et al.* 1980). The plant has been collected in Hardee County in 1995 and in Orange County in 1989 and 1995 (University of Florida herbarium collections catalog, accessed June 28, 2005). Florida bonamia is relatively abundant and widespread on the ONF, especially along road edges, in Marion and Lake Counties. South of the ONF, Florida bonamia was once collected near Mt. Dora or Tavares, but has probably been extirpated.

Florida bonamia depends on the sunny cleared areas left by periodic fires or physical disturbance (52 FR 42068). Historically, lightning fires swept through the scrub and surrounding communities, burning large tracts of land. Today, habitat fragmentation and fire suppression have interrupted the natural burn regime. Reduced fire frequency has left many of the scrub sites overgrown and unsuitable for highly specialized scrub endemics that require open sunny patches. Florida bonamia, like other herbs of the scrub, can be found growing along roadsides that are often the only available openings. However, these areas cannot be considered a safe refuge for rare species. Roadsides are often filled with invasive exotics that compete with scrub endemics. In addition, road maintenance activities such as mowing, herbicide spraying, and soil disturbance can adversely affect native species.

References cited

- Hartnett, D.C. and D.R. Richardson. 1989. Population biology of *Bonamia grandiflora* (Convolvulaceae): effects of fire on plant and seed bank dynamics. *American Journal of Botany* 76:361-369.
- Miller, L. 1989. *Bonamia grandiflora*: road survey, Lake George and Seminole Ranger Districts, Ocala National Forest, July and August 1989. Manuscript Report, Lake George Ranger District, Ocala National Forest; Silver Springs, Florida.
- Romano, G.B. 1999. Reproductive biology and population molecular genetics of the scrub morning glory *Bonamia grandiflora*. Dissertation Abstracts International 60-09: (Section: B) 4352 (cited in Center for Plant Conservation 2003).
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). 1999. South Florida multi-species recovery plan. Fish and Wildlife Service; Atlanta, Georgia.
- Wunderlin, R.P., D. Richardson, and B. Hansen. 1980. Status report on *Bonamia grandiflora*. Report to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; Jacksonville, Florida.
- Wunderlin, R.P. 1998. Guide to the vascular plants of Florida. *Lupinus*, pages 363, 364. University Presses of Florida; Gainesville, Florida. 806 pages.
- Ward, D.B. 1979. *Bonamia grandiflora*. in Rare and endangered biota of Florida, vol. 5: Plants. University Presses of Florida; Gainesville. 175 pages.

STATUS OF THE SPECIES – Lewton’s polygala (*Polygala lewtonii*)

Lewton’s polygala (*Polygala lewtonii*) was federally listed as an endangered species on April 27, 1993 (58 FR 25746, Service 1993). Critical habitat has not been designated. The species is listed as endangered by the State of Florida. In addition to the assessment below, a 5-year review was completed in 2010 resulting in no change to the species designation as endangered (Service 2010). No critical habitat has been designated for this species. The 5-year review builds upon the detailed information in the Multi Species Recovery Plan (MSRP; Service 1999) and is located at

<http://www.fws.gov/southeast/5yearReviews/5yearreviews/20100806%20Lewton's%20polygala%20Five-Year%20Status%20Review.pdf>

Species/Critical Habitat Description

Lewton’s polygala, a member of the milkwort family (Polygalaceae), is an herb reaching a height of 20 centimeters (cm) [8 inches (in)]. It produces one to several annual stems, which are spreading, upward curving or erect, and are often branched. The leaves are small, sessile, and tend to overlap along the stem. Three types of flowers are produced – aboveground open-pollinated (chasmogamous) flowers, aboveground self-pollinated flowers that do not open (aboveground cleistogamous), and belowground closed self-pollinated flowers that do not open (belowground cleistogamous) (Weekley 1996). Chasmogamous flowers are in erect, loosely five flowered racemes about 1.5 cm to 3.3 cm (0.6 to 1.2 in) long. Each flower is about 0.5 cm (0.2 in) long and bright pink to purplish-red. Two of the five sepals are enlarged and wing-like, between which the largest of the three petals forms a keel that ends in a tuft of finger-like projections. This species is closely related to the widespread *P. polygama*, which forms larger clumps and has a longer root, narrower leaves, and differently shaped wing sepals (Wunderlin *et al.* 1981).

Lewton’s polygala occurs almost exclusively on yellow sands in sandhill (high pine) and oak-hickory scrub (Menges and Weekley 2003), and transition zones between these two communities. In the Ocala National Forest, Lewton’s polygala but also in scrub in areas that probably were former sandhill sites prior to logging and fire suppression (Weekley 2010).

Life History

Lewton’s polygala is a relatively short-lived (5 to 10 years) perennial (TNC 2008, Weekley and Menges, submitted). Lewton’s polygala is amphicarpic, producing flowers and fruits above and below ground (Menges and Weekley 2002). It produces three kinds of flowers: aboveground open-pollinated Chasmogamous (CH) flowers, belowground self-pollinated cleistogamous (CL) flowers, and aboveground self-pollinated CL flowers (Menges and Weekley 2003). CH flowers are usually produced in the spring; CL flowers are usually produced in the summer or fall. However, observations suggest that flowering periods for both CH and CL

flowers are variable, and that sexual reproduction is not confined to a specific season (Menges *et al.* 2008).

While self-fertilization occurs in Lewton's polygala, it appears to be a less-reliable mechanism for seed production than insect pollination. Insect pollination increases the fruit set of CH flowers (Weekley and Brothers 2006). Prominent pollinators include bee-flies (Bombyliidae), flower flies (Syrphidae) and leaf-cutter bees (Megachilidae) (Menges *et al.* 2006).

Lewton's polygala seeds have a fleshy appendage called an elaiosome which is a protein- and lipid-rich body common among ant-dispersed seeds. The elaiosome attracts ants, which presumably benefit the plant by distributing the seeds to appropriate microsites. At least eight species of ants collect seeds of Lewton's polygala, the most frequent being *Pheidole morrissii* (Menges and Weekley 2002, 2003).

Lewton's polygala is one of only a few dozen amphicarpic angiosperms known worldwide, among them several species of *Polygala* (James 1957). Amphicarpy is viewed as an adaptation for reproduction in uncertain habitats, for example, producing seeds underground where they have better chances of surviving fire (Cheplick and Quinn 1982) and are protected from herbivory (Menges and Weekley 2003).

Population Dynamics

Fire is the predominant natural disturbance in Florida and a primary driver in the demography of all Florida scrub and sandhill plants that have been studied (Menges 2007). Plants of Lewton's polygala are consumed by fire and post-fire resprouting is extremely rare (Weekley and Menges 2003). The beneficial effects of fire on Lewton's polygala include removal of litter, competing vegetation, and ground lichens (Menges and Weekley 2004). Usually, Lewton's polygala responds to fire with abundant seedling recruitment (Menges and Weekley 2003), which often results in population increases of at least one order of magnitude (Menges and Weekley 2005). For example, Menges and Weekley (2003) documented an 800 percent increase following the 2001 prescribed fire at the Carter Creek unit of the Lake Wales Ridge National Wildlife Refuge (LWRNWR).

Demographic monitoring indicates that: (1) seedling recruitment is markedly higher in burned than unburned areas for the first six months post fire, (2) survival was higher for plants that recruited in burned plots, (3) plants in burned areas reach reproductive age more quickly, (4) burned microsites have greater plant density than unburned ones, and (5) any increase in density-dependent mortality is outweighed by the first three benefits. Menges *et al.* (2006) recommend that fire frequencies for Lewton's polygala be at least every 4 years, due to the rapid decline in population size as time-since-fire increases.

The response of Lewton's polygala may vary from one fire to another depending on post-fire precipitation patterns, with lower seedling recruitment when fire occurs during drier seasons (Menges *et al.* 2009). Higher rates of recruitment are observed in El Niño winters, when rainfall is greater and temperatures are lower than average (Weekley and Menges, submitted). Major

seedling recruitment events are linked to winter rainfall (Menges and Weekley 2003) and about 75 percent of all seedling recruitment occurs between October and March (Menges *et al.* 2007).

Evidence suggests that a persistent seed bank is important to post-fire recovery of Lewton's polygala populations (Weekley and Menges, submitted). Seeds can remain intact within the soil and retain viability for at least 2 years (Menges and Weekley 2004). They are capable of surviving short-term heat pulses lethal to living cells, which underground seeds might be subjected to during fire (Menges and Weekley 2004). The chemical compounds in smoke may also cue or improve seed germination (Lindon and Menges 2008). Populations occurring at sites with a long period of fire suppression may retain the potential for dramatic increase. For example, Menges and Weekley (2002) reported a dramatic increase in seedling recruitment following a fire on a sandhill site that had not burned in 60 years. Data from long-unburned populations suggest that even small (fewer than 50 plants) populations can persist without fire through occasional small-scale seedling recruitment events (Menges *et al.* 2007).

Status and Distribution

Lewton's polygala occurs in sandhill (high pine) vegetation and Florida scrub of the Lake Wales and Mount Dora ridges in Highlands, Polk, Osceola, Orange, Lake, and Marion Counties of central Florida.

The 5-Year Status Review for Lewton's polygala identified 49 extant occurrences and six that are presumed extirpated (Service 2010). Of the 49 extant occurrences, 32 (65 percent) are protected on publicly owned land (23 occurrences) or private conservation land (9 occurrences). Protected occurrences span 13 different managed areas. Seventeen of 49 extant occurrences (35 percent) are located on private property (excluding those on private conservation lands) where they have no protection from development and are threatened by lack of fire and other management. The status of 14 of the 17 unprotected occurrences on private property is uncertain. See the Lewton's polygala 5-year Status Review (Service 2010) for descriptions of known occurrences on private land.

Lewton's polygala occurs within the following managed areas : Ocala National Forest (U.S. Forest Service), Scrub Point Preserve (Lake County Water Authority), Warea Tract of the Seminole State Forest [Florida Department of Forestry (FDOF)], Allen D. Broussard Memorial Catfish Creek Preserve (Florida Department of Environmental Protection), Horse Creek Scrub (South Florida Water Management District), Pine Ridge Preserve (Bok Tower Gardens), Tiger Creek Preserve (The Nature Conservancy), Crooked Lake Sandhill (Polk County), Lake Wales Ridge State Forest - Arbuckle, Walk-In-Water, and Hesperides tracts (FDOF), Carter Creek unit of LWRWEA (Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission), and the Carter Creek unit of LWRNWR (Service).

The distribution of Lewton's polygala has decreased over the past 100 years as the central Florida has been transformed by commercial and residential development. Large-scale destruction of upland habitat on the Lake Wales Ridge began in the 1880s. Citrus growers favored yellow sands and many sites potentially supporting Lewton's polygala were converted to citrus production in the early decades of the 20th century. Weekley *et al.* (2008) estimated that 78 percent of the xeric upland habitat on the Lake Wales Ridge was destroyed by 1990, and

greater than 85 percent by 2006, mainly due to agriculture, ranching, and commercial and residential development.

Habitat loss has played a large role in the current abundance and distribution of Lewton's polygala. The loss and fragmentation of habitat has resulted in scattered, mostly small, populations. All known occurrences are protected in the northernmost portion of the species range in Marion County, but a gap in protection exists in Lake, Orange, and Osceola Counties (approximately one-fourth of the range of Lewton's polygala), where only two of 14 occurrences are protected.

References Cited

- Cheplick, G.P. and J.A. Quinn. 1982. *Amphicarpum purshii* and the "Pessimistic Strategy" in Amphicarpic Annuals with Subterranean Fruit. *Oecologia* 52: 327-332.
- Lindon, H.L. & Menges, E.S. 2008. Effects of smoke on seed germination of twenty species of fire-prone habitats in Florida. *Castanea* 73: 106-110.
- Menges, E.S., and C.W. Weekley. 2002. Demographic Research on Four State-listed Lake Wales Ridge Endemic Plants. Interim report to Division of Plant Industry. Archbold Biological Station, Lake Placid, Florida.
- Menges, E.S., and C.W. Weekley. 2003. Demographic Research on Four State-listed Lake Wales Ridge Endemic Plants. Interim report to Division of Plant Industry. Archbold Biological Station, Lake Placid, Florida.
- Menges, E.S., and C.W. Weekley. 2004. Demographic Research on Four State-listed Lake Wales Ridge Endemic Plants. Interim report to Division of Plant Industry. Archbold Biological Station, Lake Placid, Florida.
- Menges, E.S., and C.W. Weekley. 2005. Demographic Research on Four State-listed Lake Wales Ridge Endemic Plants. Interim report to Division of Plant Industry. Archbold Biological Station, Lake Placid, Florida.
- Menges, E.S., C.W. Weekley, G.L. Clarke, and M.A. Rickey. 2006. Demographic Research on Four State-listed Lake Wales Ridge Endemic Plants. Interim report to Division of Plant Industry. Archbold Biological Station, Lake Placid, Florida.
- Menges, E.S., C.W. Weekley, and S.A. Smith. 2007. Demographic Research on Four State-listed Lake Wales Ridge Endemic Plants. Interim report to Division of Plant Industry. Archbold Biological Station, Lake Placid, Florida.
- Menges, E.S., C.W. Weekley, and S.A. Smith. 2008. Demographic Research on Four State-listed Lake Wales Ridge Endemic Plants. Interim report to Division of Plant Industry. Archbold Biological Station, Lake Placid, Florida.

- Menges, E.S., C.W. Weekley, S.A. Smith, and S.J. Haller. 2009. Demographic Research on Four State listed Lake Wales Ridge Endemic Plants. Interim report to Division of Plant Industry. Archbold Biological Station, Lake Placid, Florida.
- The Nature Conservancy. 2008. *Polygala lewtonii* Monitoring Report 2008. The Nature Conservancy, Lake Wales Ridge Program. Babson Park, Florida.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). 1993. Final Rule. Endangered or Threatened Status for Seven Central Florida Plants. Federal Register, Vol. 58, No. 79. Pgs. 25746-25755.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). 1999. South Florida multi-species recovery plan. Fish and Wildlife Service; Atlanta, Georgia.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). 2010. Lewton's polygala, 5-Year Review. Fish and Wildlife Service, Atlanta, Georgia.
- Weekley, C. 1996. Some Observations on the Reproductive Biology of *Polygala lewtonii*. Unpublished report to the Florida Division of Forestry, Plant Conservation Program. Tallahassee, Florida.
- Weekley, C. 2010. Personal communication, email to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Biologist, Dave Bender. Archbold Biological Station. Lake Placid, Florida. April 16, 2010.
- Weekley, C.W. and A. Brothers. 2006. Failure of reproductive assurance in the chasmogamous flowers of *Polygala lewtonii* (Polygalaceae), an endangered sandhill herb. American Journal of Botany 93:245-253.
- Weekley, C.W. and E.S. Menges. Submitted. Burning creates contrasting demographic patterns in an endangered perennial herb: a cradle-to-grave analysis of multiple cohorts. Journal of Ecology, submitted.
- Weekley C.W., E.S. Menges, and R.L. Pickert. 2008. An ecological map of Florida's Lake Wales Ridge: a new boundary delineation and an assessment of post-Columbian habitat loss. Florida Scientist 71: 45-64.
- Wunderlin, R. P., B. F. Hansen, and D. Richardson. 1981. Status Report on *Polygala lewtonii*. Unpublished report to U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 4. University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida.

STATUS OF THE SPECIES – Papery whitlow-wort (*Paronychia chartacea* ssp. *chartacea*)

The following discussion is summarized from the South Florida Multi-Species Recovery Plan (MSRP) (Service 1999), as well as from recent research publications and monitoring reports. A complete papery whitlow-wort life history discussion may be found in the MSRP. No critical habitat has been designated for the papery whitlow-wort.

Description

Papery whitlow-wort is a small mat-forming herb with many bright yellowish-green branches radiating flatly from a taproot (Kral 1983; Small 1933). The stems are two to nine inches long and wiry. The leaf blades are small and sessile, ovate to triangular-ovate in shape, and strongly revolute. The plant has numerous small cream-colored to greenish flowers (Small 1933; Service 1996) that produce a very thin-walled one-seeded dry fruit that remains intact, functioning as a “seed” (Kral 1983).

This species consists of two geographically isolated subspecies, with papery whitlow-wort (*Paronychia chartacea* ssp. *chartacea*) in the Florida peninsula (Anderson 1991) and the similar Crystal Lake nailwort (*P. chartacea* ssp. *minima*) in the Florida panhandle. This discussion is limited to the peninsula subspecies.

Life History

Flowering and fruiting occur in late summer or fall (Anderson 1991) and the seeds mature in September or October (Race 1996). This species is a short-lived perennial (Anderson 1991 and observations by staff at the Historic Bok Sanctuary).

Population dynamics

Papery whitlow-wort is most frequently seen in open, sunny gaps in rosemary balds within scrub vegetation (Abrahamson *et al.* 1984, Christman 1988, Menges and Kohfeldt 1995). At Archbold Biological Station, rosemary scrubs are found only on the higher ridges and knolls surrounded by scrubby flatwoods with dense oaks. The main soil types are St. Lucie and Archbold (Abrahamson *et al.* 1984), which are both well-drained white sands (U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service 1989). The fire cycle in rosemary scrub can range from 10 to as long as 100 years (Johnson 1982, Myers 1990). Rosemary scrub has abundant Florida rosemary (*Ceratiola ericoides*) and scrub oaks including Chapman oak (*Quercus chapmannii*), sand live oak (*Q. geminata*), Archbold oak (*Q. inopina*) and occasional sand pine (*Pinus clausa*). The open sandy areas of rosemary scrub contain small herbs and lichens (Abrahamson *et al.* 1984, Hawkes and Menges 1996). These gaps in the dense vegetation are more persistent in rosemary scrubs than in scrubby flatwoods (Hawkes and Menges 1996).

Papery whitlow-wort also occurs in high pineland (upland longleaf pine vegetation, also called “sandhill”) in the Walk in the Water tract of Lake Wales Ridge State Forest (Cox 2002), at The Nature Conservancy’s Crooked Lake Sandhill Preserve (B. Pace-Aldana, TNC, in litt. 2002), and at the Tiger Creek Preserve.

In studies of the responses of plants to fire in rosemary balds, Johnson and Abrahamson (1990) and Ostertag and Menges (1994) identified two groups of scrub plants—those that resprout after a fire and those that return from seed. They found that papery whitlow-wort appeared in rosemary balds after fires, even though it had been rare or absent prior to the burn. This strongly indicates that papery whitlow-wort maintains seed banks in the soil, waiting for suitable germination conditions. Within about 9 to 12 years after a fire, papery whitlow-wort was displaced by Florida rosemary and reindeer lichens (*Cladonia* and *Cladina*) (Johnson and Abrahamson 1990). Some gap plants such as snakeroot and Highlands scrub hypericum disappear relatively quickly after fires and require large populations consisting of tens of thousands of plants to persist (Quintana-Ascencio and Menges 2000), but papery whitlow-wort persists longer after fire and it has many large populations over a relatively large geographic range, compared to other Lake Wales Ridge endemic plants.

The density of papery whitlow-wort increases in relation to available open space (Hawkes and Menges 1996; Menges and Kohfeldt 1995), so the species is most abundant in disturbed, sandy areas such as road rights-of-way and recently cleared high pine (Abrahamson et al. 1984; Christman 1988; Service 1996). Papery whitlow-wort can become very abundant after a fire or on disturbed sites such as along fire lanes or trails (Service 1996; Johnson and Abrahamson 1990) and is least likely of the federally-listed scrub plants to suffer local extirpations as open areas become covered by shrubs.

Loose sand affects papery whitlow-wort. According to research by Petru and Menges (2004), “the demographic responses of the species to sand movements indicate that mobile sands create constantly shifting arrays of microsites that can influence post-dispersal seed germination, survival, and growth of Florida scrub herbs. Roadside habitats have more dynamic patterns of sand movement than natural gaps and may alter selection regimes important for demographic variation of endemic Florida scrub plants.” Papery whitlow-wort persists on road edges in the absence of fire in the vegetation. These roadside sandy areas constitute habitats that are significantly different from the bare areas within the vegetation, and may be less suitable for persistence of the species. This research bolsters the already-substantial evidence that prescribed fire is essential to maintain Florida scrub vegetation and its biota, including other federally listed plants and animals.

Management for papery whitlow-wort requires burning regimes that mimic the natural fire cycles of rosemary scrub. Relationships among fire, open space, and plant distributions within a xeric scrub are complex and need to be studied further (Hawkes and Menges 1996). Management practices for rosemary scrub should include fire at intervals suitable for a variety of plants and animals, rather than at intervals optimized for just a single species (Hawkes and Menges 1996; Quintana-Ascencio et al. 2003).

Status and distribution

Papery whitlow-wort occurs on the Lake Wales Ridge and at least one smaller nearby

ridge (Kral 1983), in Highlands, Polk, Osceola, Orange, and Lake Counties (Anderson 1991). It is present on the small ridge at the Lake McLeod tract of Lake Wales Ridge National Wildlife Refuge, but not on the Bombing Range Ridge on Avon Park Air Force Range. On the Lake Wales Ridge it is present in essentially all of the scrub conservation lands. Since the last comprehensive survey (Schultz *et al.* 1999), it has been found in high pineland at the Walk in Water tract of Lake Wales Ridge State Forest (Cox 2002). It is also present in high pineland on the Tiger Creek Preserve, owned by The Nature Conservancy.

The northern range limit of papery whitlow-wort is in Lake County, where it occurs on the north side of Lake Louisa at Crooked River Preserve, owned by the Lake County Water Authority. It was possibly present at a nearby site, Schofield Sandhill that had been proposed for acquisition under the Florida Forever program, but the acquisition proposal did not come to fruition. The only site on conservation lands in Orange County (also at the northern range limit) is the small Shadow Bay Park (formerly Lake Cane-Marsha Park) near where the Florida Turnpike crosses Interstate 4. The species was reported from localities in western Orange County, but the area has since become urbanized, and there are few if any opportunities for setting aside conservation lands in this area. The only papery whitlow-wort site in Osceola County for that has been proposed for State acquisition is at Lake Davenport, in the northwestern corner of the County. It has not been purchased (FNAI 2005).

Papery whitlow-wort is present on essentially all conservation lands with scrub on the Lake Wales Ridge in Polk and Highlands Counties. The southernmost sites on conservation lands are Gould Road (part of the Lake Wales Ridge Wildlife and Environmental Area operated by the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission) and Archbold, both in Highlands County south of Lake Placid (Schultz *et al.* 1999).

During 2003, the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission and Archbold Biological Station purchased adjoining portions of a ranch that bordered the Biological Station's preserve to the west. The recently-acquired land provides an important buffer for Archbold, and it protects additional habitat for this species, both occupied and restorable.

Although Florida Natural Areas Inventory (FNAI) data provide the best available overall view of the distribution of this species, intensive local inventories add important detail. The Lake Wales Ridge State Forest is represented in the FNAI database by nine element occurrences, yet the Arbuckle tract of the Forest has 188 records of this plant in its GIS database, based upon an inventory by K. DeLaney in 1988 (data provided by A. Cox, Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services). Of the 188 records, 23 represented more than 100 individuals.

Archbold Biological Station has not monitored this plant because it thrives in fire lanes that usually do not have exotic plant problems (E. Menges and M. Deyrup 1995, in

Service 1996). The propensity of this species to occupy fire lanes, roadsides, and other artificially disturbed areas is a primary conservation concern for the papery whitlow-wort, because it tends to be far more abundant in such disturbed areas than within the vegetation itself. This situation was researched by Petru and Menges (2004), and they confirmed that prescribed fire is essential to create and restore open, sandy habitat for this and other plants.

The papery whitlow-wort occurs in association with several other federally listed species: in scrub, Florida bonamia, Highlands scrub hypericum, wireweed, Florida perforate cladonia, snakeroot, and scrub blazing star. In high pineland at the Tiger Creek Preserve, pygmy fringe tree, pigeon wings, scrub buckwheat, Britton's beargrass, scrub plum, and Carter's mustard.

Papery whitlow-wort is the most abundant and widespread of the listed Lake Wales Ridge scrub and high pineland plants, and it has benefited greatly from acquisition of conservation lands in its range. Like several other scrub species, including Highlands scrub hypericum, is particularly abundant in human-disturbed areas such as road edges and fire lanes. Researchers based at Archbold Biological Station are interested in finding ways to lessen these plants' dependence on such artificial habitats through restoration of fire regimes.

References cited

- Abrahamson, W., A. Johnson, J. Layne, and P. Peroni. 1984. Vegetation of the Archbold Biological Station, Florida: An example of the Southern Lake Wales Ridge. *Florida Scientist*. 47(4):209-250.
- Anderson, L. 1991. *Paronychia chartacea* ssp. *minima* (Caryophyllaceae): a new subspecies of a rare Florida endemic. *Sida* 14(3): 435-441.
- Cox, A. 2002. Personal communication. Email from A. Cox, Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services to Dave Martin, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biologist, May 31, 2002.
- Christman, S. P. 1988. Endemism and Florida's interior sand pine scrub. Final project report, project no. GFC-84-101. Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission; Tallahassee, Florida.
- Florida Natural Areas Inventory. 2005. Florida conservation lands interactive map. <http://www.fnai.org/data.cfm#MAACREAGE>
- Hawkes, C., and E. Menges. 1996. The relationship between open space and fire for species in a xeric Florida shrubland. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 123(2):81-92.

- Hartman R. L, J W. Thieret, and R K. Rabeler. 2005. *Paronychia* in Flora of North America. Available online 4/7/2005.
http://www.efloras.org/florataxon.aspx?flora_id=1&taxon_id=124085
- Johnson, A. F. 1982. Some demographic characteristics of the Florida rosemary, *Ceratiola ericoides* Michx. Amer. Midl. Nat. 108: 170-174.
- Johnson, A. F. and W. G. Abrahamson. 1990. A note on the fire responses of species in rosemary scrubs on the southern Lake Wales Ridge. Florida Scientist 53:138-143.
- Kral, R. 1983. A report on some rare, threatened, or endangered forest-related vascular plants of the South. USDA Forest Service. Technical publication R8-TP 2.
- Menges, E.S., and N. Kohfeldt. 1995. Life history strategies of Florida scrub plants in relation to fire. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club 122(4):282-297.
- Myers, R. L. 1990. Scrub and high pine. Pp. 150-193 in R. L. Myers and J. J. Ewel (eds.). Ecosystems of Florida. University of Central Florida Press (University Presses of Florida, Gainesville).
- Ostertag, R., and E.S. Menges. 1994. Patterns of reproductive effort with time since last fire in Florida scrub plants. Journal of Vegetation Science 5:303-310.
- Petrů, M. and E. S. Menges. 2004. Shifting sands in Florida scrub gaps and roadsides: dynamic microsites for herbs. American Midland Naturalist 151: 101-113.
- Quintana-Ascencio, P.F. and E.S. Menges 2000. Competitive abilities of three narrowly endemic plant species in experimental neighborhoods along a fire gradient. American Journal of Botany 87, pp. 690–699.
- Quintana-Ascencio, P. F., E. S. Menges, and C. W. Weekley. 2003. A fire-explicit population viability analysis of *Hypericum cumulicola* in Florida rosemary scrub.
- Schultz, G. E., L. G. Chafin, and S. T. Krupenevich. 1999. Rare plant species and high quality natural communities of twenty-six CARL sites in the Lake Wales Ridge Ecosystem: Final report. Florida Natural Areas Inventory.
- Small, J.K. 1933. Manual of the southeastern flora. University of North Carolina Press; Chapel Hill, North Carolina.
- U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1989. Soil Survey of Highlands County, Florida. 178 pp., 55 maps.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). 1996. Recovery plan for nineteen central Florida scrub and high pineland plants. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; Atlanta, Georgia.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). 1999. South Florida multi-species recovery plan. Fish and Wildlife Service; Atlanta, Georgia.

Other references

Center for Plant Conservation. 2003. CPC National Collection plant profile for *Paronychia chartacea*.

http://ridgwaydb.mobot.org/cpcweb/CPC_ViewProfile.asp?CPCNum=3102

Florida Natural Areas Inventory. 2003. Field guide to the rare plants and animals of Florida. Online version. Treatment of *Paronychia chartacea*.

Menges, E.S., and Hawkes, C. 1997.

Peroni, P.A., and W.G. Abrahamson. 1985. A rapid method for determining losses of native vegetation. *Natural Areas Journal* 5:20-24.

Race, T. 1996. Personal communication. Letter Bok Tower Gardens to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. February 27, 1996.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). 1999. South Florida multi-species recovery plan. Atlanta, Georgia.

Weekley and Menges 2003. Species and vegetation responses to prescribed fire in a long-unburned, endemic-rich Lake Wales Ridge scrub. *Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society*: Vol. 130, No. 4, pp. 265–282.

Wunderlin, R. P. 1998. Guide to the vascular plants of Florida. University Press of Florida. 806 pages. *Lupinus*, pp. 363, 364.

Wunderlin, R. P. and B. Hansen. 2003. Atlas of Florida vascular plants. *Paronychia chartacea*. [http](http://)

STATUS OF THE SPECIES – Sandlace (*Polygonella myriophylla*)

The following discussion is summarized from the South Florida Multi-Species Recovery Plan (MSRP) (Service 1999), as well as from recent research publications and monitoring reports. A complete sandlace (*Polygonella myriophylla*) life history discussion may be found in the MSRP. No critical habitat has been designated for sandlace.

Description

Sandlace is a sprawling shrub with zigzag branches that tend to hug the ground, rooting at the nodes (Wunderlin *et al.* 1980) and forming low mats, sometimes reaching that looks somewhat like the ornamental creeping juniper (*Juniperus horizontalis*). Its many branches zigzag along the ground and root at the nodes, forming low mats. The lower parts of the creeping branches have bark that cracks and partly separates in long, flat, interlacing strips. The short lateral branches end in flowering racemes. Sandlace has the sheathing leaf stipules (ocreae and ocreolae) typical of the jointweed family. The leaves are needle-like and are from 0.3 to 10.0 millimeters (mm) (0.1 to 0.4 inches) long. The small, white or cream colored flowers have white petallike sepals up to 3.4 mm (0.1 inch) long (Kral 1983). It flowers and fruits all year.

Sandlace, a member of the jointweed family (Polygonaceae), is one of three species of *Polygonella* that occur in Florida scrub in Highlands and Polk Counties of south central Florida (Lewis and Crawford 1995). While the species have rather similar inflorescences and flowers, the shrubby habit of sandlace is extremely distinctive—nothing else will be mistaken for it, and for that reason the early status surveys of scrub (Christman 1988) provided very accurate coverage of its distribution.

Life history

Sandlace occupies open, sandy areas within the scrub vegetation, and it appears to require fire or other disturbances that create or maintain these sandy gaps. This species is killed by fire, and reoccupies burned sites from seed (Pedro Quintana-Ascencio, University of Central Florida, pers. comm. 2004). Its abundance can easily be overestimated, because it tends to colonize disturbed areas along easily accessible road cuts and rights-of-way. Weekley and Menges (2003) confirmed that sandlace does not resprout after fire, but recolonizes burned areas from seed arriving from unburned areas, and perhaps by spreading from unburned areas. Pollinators of sandlace are genus-specific bees and likely a few varieties of wasps. Little is known about seed production and germination for this species, but seedlings do not survive in the vicinity of the mature plants, which are allelopathic, meaning they produce chemicals that inhibit the growth and survival of other nearby plants (Weidenhamer *et al.* 1989). The major allelochemicals are gallic acid and hydroquinone (Weidenhamer and Romeo 2004). Most of the available information on the life history of this plant comes from a study of cutting and burning of scrub,

conducted by Archbold Biological Station ecologists (Quintana-Ascencio *et al.* 2004). This study did not focus on sandlace, but it provided valuable data on it and other species. The study has emphasized the value of disturbance (fire or mechanical) in this ecosystem. Although fire kills individual plants, sandlace benefits from fires or other disturbances that create sandy gaps that can be occupied by new plants that grow from seed. Like most other Lake Wales Ridge endemics, sandlace is threatened by fire suppression and habitat loss resulting from agricultural and residential development (Service 1999).

Menges (1999) presents useful information on scrub management, although very productive research, monitoring, and experience has been conducted since then. Menges and his colleagues at Archbold Biological Station have regularly cautioned that management of wireweed and other endemic plants on conservation lands should not employ as benchmark their presence or abundance in altered habitats. Instead, management decisions should be made to maintain and enhance the dynamic diversity of Florida's scrub vegetation, encouraging the endemic plants to re-occupy scrub vegetation that may have become overgrown and unsuitable in the absence of fire. These ecologists have suggested using staggered burning schedules, providing a variety of return frequencies that will accommodate the differing needs of various species of the scrub biota (Quintana-Ascencio *et al.* 2003).

Population Dynamics

Because sandlace is a sprawling clonal shrub, with plants taking root where their stems touch the ground (Wunderlin *et al.* 1980), individuals may spread significant distances by vegetative means. For this reason, it is difficult to identify genetically-distinct individuals (Quintana-Ascencio 2004). Despite being a narrow endemic, it has the highest within-population genetic diversity of any species in the genus *Polygonella*, which includes several very widespread species (Lewis and Crawford 1995).

Little is known of the population biology of this species. Based on work on other scrub species, such as *Polygonella basiramia* (wireweed) (Boyle *et al.* 2003), it is clear that the bare sand areas (gaps) occupied by sandlace fluctuate dramatically in size, expanding after a fire and contracting until the next fire. As a result, sandlace, like wireweed, probably has metapopulation dynamics, with local populations in gaps expanding after fire and potentially going extinct, either as a result of a long interval between fires or the fires themselves.

Status and Distribution

Sandlace's range is from Orange County south through Highlands County in scrub vegetation. It occurs near Interstate 4 in Orange County and at one site in northwestern Osceola County. In Polk County, sandlace is found on the LWR from the Davenport-Poinciana area. It is also found well west of the Lake Wales Ridge in a highly altered

area just southeast of Bartow. In Highlands County, sandlace is found on the Lake Wales Ridge as far south as the Archbold Biological Station.

Sandlace is present on the following scrub properties acquired, or under acquisition, for conservation purposes. Areas of tracts (in acres) were obtained from the Florida Natural Areas Inventory (FNAI) database 2001, updated through the FNAI website in November 2004.

1. The Allen David Broussard Catfish Creek Preserve State Park comprises 3,268 hectares (8,077 acres) operated by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. It has a management plan, active fire management with annual requests for prescribed burning, and rare plant monitoring.
2. Hickory Lake Scrub County Park is a 23 hectare (57 acre) tract owned by Polk County. It has a management plan, prescribed fire management, and rare plant monitoring.
3. Saddle Blanket Lakes Preserve comprises 268 hectares (663 acres) owned by The Nature Conservancy.
4. Sun Ray Scrub is a component of the Lake Wales Ridge Wildlife and Environmental Area. Acreage for this tract is not available through the FNAI, but the tract as a whole is comparable in size to Saddle Blanket Lakes.
5. Lake Wales Ridge State Forest, operated by the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Division of Forestry, consists of three tracts. Collectively, they cover 10,719 hectares (26,488 acres).
 - o Arbuckle,
 - o Walk-in-the-Water, and
 - o Babson/Hesperides.
6. The LWR National Wildlife Refuge, operated by the Service, consists of the Lake McLeod and Snell Creek units in Polk County and the Carter Creek and Flamingo Villas units in Highlands County. They comprise 744 hectares (1,839 acres). Sandlace is present at Lake McLeod and Flamingo Villas.
7. The Lake Wales Ridge Wildlife and Environmental Area, administered by the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, consists of 12 tracts, totaling over 6,543 hectares (16,167 acres). The tracts include Blue Lake, Silver Lake, Carter Creek, Henscratch, Highlands, Royce, Lake Apthorpe, Lake Placid, and McJunkin.
8. The Preserve, operated by Highlands County, comprises 559 hectares (1,380 acres), in part longleaf pine vegetation. Sandlace is probably present, but not confirmed.
9. Highlands Hammock State Park comprises 3,743 hectares (9,251 acres). It has been expanded to include scrub.
10. Jack Creek, comprising 520 hectares (1,285 acres), is owned by the Southwest Florida Water Management District. It adjoins the Henscratch Road/Jack Creek tract of the Lake Wales Ridge Wildlife and Environmental Area.

11. Lake June-in-Winter Scrub State Park, located on the lake, comprises 342 hectares (846 acres).
12. The private Archbold Biological Station comprises over 3,592 hectares (8,877 acres). Sandlace is present, but rare.

Sandlace has benefited from the extensive State and private land acquisition programs on the LWR since it was listed, and it appears to be benefiting from prescribed fire programs on these lands.

References cited

- Boyle, O.D., E.S. Menges, and D. M. Waller. 2003. Dances with fire: Tracking metapopulation dynamics of *Polygonella basiramia* in Florida scrub (USA). *Folia Geobotanica* 38:255-262.
- Christman, S. 1988. Endemism and Florida's interior sand pine scrub. Final project report, project no. GFC-84-101. Submitted to Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission; Tallahassee, Florida.
- Kral, R. 1983. A report on some rare, threatened, or endangered forest-related vascular plants of the South. Technical publication R8-TP 2, U.S. Forest Service, Atlanta, Georgia.
- Lewis, P.O. and D.J. Crawford. 1995. Pleistocene refugium endemics exhibit greater allozymic diversity than widespread congeners in the genus *Polygonella* (Polygonaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 82:141-149.
- Menges, E. S. 1999. Ecology and conservation of Florida scrub. Pages 7-22 in R. C. Anderson, J. S. Fralish, and J. M. Baskin, editors. *Savannas, barrens, and rock outcrop plant communities of North America*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.
- Quintana-Ascencio, P. 2004. Personal communication, University of Central Florida.
- Quintana-Ascencio, P. F., E. S. Menges, and C. W. Weekley. 2004. Spatially explicit disturbance-demographic models for federally-listed Florida scrub plant species. Final report to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Vero Beach Office. January 2004. 36 pages.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). 1999. South Florida multi-species recovery plan. Fish and Wildlife Service; Atlanta, Georgia.

- Weekley, C. W. and E. S. Menges. 2003. Species and vegetation responses to prescribed fire in a long-unburned, endemic-rich Lake Wales Ridge scrub. *Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society* 130 (4): 265-282.
- Weidenamer, J. D., D. C. Hartnett, and J. T. Romeo. 1989. Density-dependent phytotoxicity: distinguishing resource competition and allelopathic interference. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 26: 613-624.
- Weidenhamer J. D. and J. T. Romeo. 2004. Allelochemicals of *Polygonella myriophylla*: chemistry and soil degradation. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*. 30: 1067-82
- Wunderlin, R. P., D. Richardson, and B. Hansen. 1980a. Status report on *Polygonella myriophylla*. Report to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Jacksonville, Florida. 54 pp.

Other References

- Center for Plant Conservation. 2003. CPC national collection plant profile for *Polygonella myriophylla*.
http://ridgwaydb.mobot.org/cpcweb/CPC_ViewProfile.asp?CPCNum=3576
- Christman, S. and W.S. Judd. 1990. Notes on plants endemic to Florida scrub. *Florida Scientist* 53:52-73.
- Florida Natural Areas Inventory. 2002. Field guide to the rare plants and animals of Florida online. <http://www.fnai.org/fieldguide/>
- Horton, J.H. 1963. A taxonomic revision of *Polygonella* Polygonaceae. *Brittonia* 15:177-203.
- Richardson, D. 1985. Evidence for allelopathy in sand pine scrub. Oral presentation to: Florida Native Plant Society, May 3, 1985. Based on unpublished thesis, University of South Florida; Tampa, Florida.
- Small, J.K. 1924. Plant novelties from Florida. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 51:379-393.
- Southeast Environmental Research Program and Center for Plant Conservation. 1995. An action plan to conserve the native plants of Florida, Missouri Botanical Gardens; St. Louis, Missouri.

- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). 1996. Recovery plan for nineteen central Florida scrub and high pineland plants (revised). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; Atlanta, Georgia.
- Weekley, Carl. 1996. *Polygonella myriophylla* Monitoring Report #1. Report to Florida Statewide Endangered and Threatened Plant Conservation Program. Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Division of Forestry. Tallahassee.
- Wunderlin, R. 1982. Guide to the vascular plants of central Florida. University Presses of Florida; Gainesville, Florida.
- Wunderlin, R.P. 1984. Endangered and threatened plant status survey, *Lupinus aridorum* McFarlin ex Beckner. Unpublished report prepared under contract with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; Jacksonville, Florida.
- Wunderlin, R. P. and B. Hansen. 2003. Atlas of Florida vascular plants. *Polygonella myriophylla*. <http://www.plantatlas.usf.edu/main.asp?plantID=1252>
- Wunderlin, R. P. 1998. Guide to the vascular plants of Florida. University Press of Florida, Gainesville. 806 pp.

STATUS OF THE SPECIES – Scrub buckwheat (*Eriogonum longifolium*)

The following discussion is summarized from the Multi Species Recovery Plan (MSRP; Service 1999), as well as from recent research publications and monitoring reports. A complete scrub buckwheat life history discussion may be found in the MSRP. No critical habitat has been designated for scrub buckwheat.

Description

Scrub buckwheat belongs to the buckwheat family (*Polygonaceae*). It constitutes a variety of *Eriogonum longifolium*, a widespread species of the Great Plains that is represented east of the Mississippi by var. *harperi* in northern Alabama, Tennessee, and Kentucky (Kral 1983), and by var. *gnaphalifolium* in Florida (Reveal 1968).

Scrub buckwheat is a long-lived perennial herb with a substantial taproot that probably provides ample food reserves for resprouting (McConnell and Menges 2002), basal rosettes, and one to three or more leafless, upright above-ground flowering stems (scapes) up to 1 meter (m) (3 feet) tall, but upwards of 10 stems have been observed in vigorous specimens, especially post-fire. It has a basal rosette of leaves that are 15 to 20 centimeters (cm) (5.9 to 7.9 inches) long, narrow, and white-woolly on the underside. The stem leaves are smaller than the rosette leaves. The stem terminates in a corymb, with each branch of the corymb ending in a cup-shaped involucre that holds a cluster of 15 to 20 small flowers, with each flower hanging on its stalk down below the involucre. The involucre is silvery and silky-pubescent, while the flowers are green with pink anthers (Rickett 1967; Archbold Biological Station 2005).

This species is easiest to recognize when it is in flower or fruit. In Highlands County, Archbold Biological Station (2003) reports that plants produce flowering stalks mainly during summer (May through July), but scrub buckwheat can flower at other times of year following burns. Plants on the Ocala National Forest have been observed with immature flower stalks between April and mid-July and bloom from May to mid-October. Seedlings have been observed in a variety of substrates within a few feet of the parent plant (Clutts 1998).

Life History

Scrub buckwheat is a perennial herb distributed widely in sandhill (high pineland) and Florida scrub in north central and central Florida from Ocala National Forest through the Lake Wales Ridge (LWR). Its growing season is between April and mid-July and it flowers from May to mid-October. This species probably does not have a long-lived seed bank (Archbold Biological Station 2003).

Individual scrub buckwheat plants produce only one or a few flowers at any one time, but continues flowering for months. “Flowers have an easily accessible, generous drop of nectar.

Flowers are visited by a variety of insects, including solitary digger and twig-nesting wasps (*Parancistrocerus* spp. and *Stenodynerus* spp.), flies (*Geron* spp.), small solitary bees, and occasional social wasps. Visiting wasps learn the location of each plant and use trap-line strategies. The small number of flowers per plant induces them to visit several plants and probably promotes outcrossing. Individual flowers avoid self-pollination. The anthers open and shed their pollen first, then the pistils, which have kept their stigmas tucked into a tuft of hairs at the base of the flower, straighten up and offer their receptive surfaces to incoming insects. An extremely low number of seeds and fruits developed by experimentally bagged flowers (compared to open pollinated flowers) indicates the need of pollinator services to set seed” (Archbold Biological Station 2003).

Population Dynamics

Scrub buckwheat resprouts repeatedly after fire, which is the primary agent of disturbance in its sandhill and Florida scrub habitats (McConnell and Menges 2002). Fire benefits this plant by stimulating resprouting, which is followed by “quick and heavy flowering and seed production” (McConnell and Menges 2002). New seedlings appear promptly after seed drop. McConnell and Menges (2002) observed that seedling numbers peaked during July, 2 months after an experimental fire (and a month after another experimental treatment – litter removal). Scrub buckwheat is unlike most other scrub species in that seedlings will appear in summer, not just winter. This may allow the species to take advantage of summer rains, but seedlings are likely to desiccate during hot weather.

The seedlings that appear after a fire are unlikely to originate from a seed bank. McConnell and Menges (2002) observed that the seeds are very small, and those buried deeply enough to survive heat from a Florida scrub fire (about 2 cm) would be unlikely to reach the surface. Satterthwaite *et al.* (2002) placed fresh seeds at the soil surface and saw high germination rates.

This species occupies both sandhill and scrub vegetation, which have very different fire regimes. Sandhill vegetation, under historic natural conditions, burned roughly every 1 to 10 years, while scrub may burn at intervals of 5 to as much as 100 years (McConnell and Menges 2002; citing Menges 1999). Over the long term, a population viability analysis by Satterthwaite *et al.* (2002) shows that scrub buckwheat populations require fire at intervals of 5 to 20 years to remain viable.

Prescribed burning is the “most appropriate treatment for enhancing both seed production and seedling recruitment, and linking the two in time” (McConnell and Menges 2002). Because this species tolerates a wide variety of fire intervals, prescribed fire regimes do not have to be tailored to its specific needs. At the Carter Creek tract of the LWR National Wildlife Refuge (NWR), biologists from Archbold Biological Station have carried out experimental fires that show promise of restoring the vegetation by suppressing evergreen oaks, reducing the sizes of

turkey oaks, and improving conditions for reproduction by longleaf pines and wiregrass. This conclusion fits with monitoring and experimental work on scrub buckwheat and three other species, going back to Menges (1995), Menges and Yahr (1996, 1998), and Menges and Weekley (1999).

McConnell and Menges (2002) experimentally applied alternative treatments to promote a “demographic response” in scrub buckwheat. They applied top-clipping, litter canopy removal, shrub canopy removal, and ash addition in a replicated, factorial experiment. None of these treatments was as productive as fire. These and continuing work by Menges *et al.* (2005) suggest that for a long-unburned tract like the Carter Creek tract of LWR NWR, “pre-treatments to facilitate the application of fire management may be important to this and other species.” In the Carter Creek experiments, a saw-and-burn treatment “created a hotter, more complete fire and more open post-treatment canopies. This had generally favorable effects on scrub buckwheat. The saw & burn treatment enhanced seedling recruitment, plant dormancy, flowering (both percentages and amount per plant) and reduced herbivory.” The burn-only treatment was left with large unburned patches. These researchers are planning to analyze the effects of fire intensity on scrub buckwheat demography.

Status and Distribution

This was once a relatively widespread species. Its decline is due almost entirely to loss of sandhill habitat and to habitat degradation due to lack of prescribed fire. Its long-term prospects are favorable due to habitat acquisition after it was listed, as well as efforts by conservation land managers to restore natural fire regimes. It is now the most abundant of the “rare” species at the Tiger Creek Preserve and populations are stable, so it does not receive intensive monitoring (Pace-Aldana 2005). There is still some degree of threat from ongoing conversion of the remaining small fragments of sandhill (high pineland) and turkey oak scrub for agricultural, commercial, and residential purposes. Recreational motorized off-road vehicles have the potential to severely impact scrub buckwheat, but conservation lands on the LWR with scrub buckwheat generally do not have vehicle management problems. Several other endangered or threatened plants occur in turkey oak scrub with scrub buckwheat, notably pygmy fringe tree, pigeon wings, Carter’s mustard, and Lewton’s polygala (Christman 1988).

Scrub buckwheat occurs in the following counties:

- Putnam (Wunderlin and Hansen 2005) – no specific information is available, but the county has extensive sandhill vegetation, including some on conservation lands;
- Marion – relatively abundant in parts of the Ocala National Forest, with up to 71 localities reported (Service 1996);

- Pasco – sandhill area within the Green Swamp property of the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD) (Service 1996). The report by a SFWMD employee, has not been confirmed with a herbarium specimen;
- Hillsborough – reported by the 1996 recovery plan, apparently in error (not attributed to this county by Wunderlin and Hansen [2005]);
- Lake – probably still present in sandhill vegetation remnants near Clermont (Service 1996), formerly near Lake Eustis (Herbarium specimen G.V. Nash 704, May 1, 1894, Gray Herbarium, Harvard University). It is present on the 120-acre Flat Lake tract of Seminole State Forest in Lake County southeast of Clermont (Schultz *et al.* 1999; FNAI 2005), which was purchased by The Nature Conservancy in 1999 (Finkelstein 1999);
- Seminole (Wunderlin and Hansen 2005) – no further information is available on this urban county;
- Orange – southwest corner of county. Collected by S. Christman in 1987 (University of Florida herbarium catalog);
- Osceola – northwest corner of county. Collected in 1991 by Angus K. Gholsen in a “planted slash pine area with a native sandhill understory with *Prunus geniculata* (scrub plum) and *Nolina brittoniana* (Britton’s beargrass) (University of Florida herbarium specimen catalog);
- Polk – on conservation lands at the Arbuckle, Lake Walk-in-the-Water, and Babson-Hesperides tracts of LWR State Forest, Allen David Broussard Catfish Creek Preserve State Park, The Nature Conservancy Tiger Creek Preserve, the Carter Creek tract of LWR NWR, Pine Ridge nature preserve at the Historic Bok Sanctuary, Lake Davenport, and SFWMD Horse Creek Scrub; and
- Highlands – on conservation lands at the Lake Apthorpe tract of the LWR Wildlife and Environmental Area, Flamingo Villas tract of LWR NWR, and Archbold Biological Station, which represents its southern range limit. Also present in the Avon Park Lakes area (Schultz *et al.* 1999).

References cited

Archbold Biological Station. 2003. Species accounts for *Crotalaria avonensis*, *Dicerandra christmanii*, *Dicerandra frutescens*, *Eriogonum longifolium*, *Eryngium cuneifolium*, *Hypericum cumulicola*, *Liatris ohlingerae*, *Polygala lewtonii*, *Polygonella basiramia*, *Prunus geniculata*, and *Warea carteri*. Archbold Biological Station website, <http://www.archbold-station.org/abs/research/plantecol/plantecolhome.htm>.

Archbold Biological Station. 2005b. Plant demographic data: scrub buckwheat annual censuses at Lake Wales Ridge State Forest (1995-2001) and Tiger Creek Preserve (1988-2001). <http://www.archbold-station.org/abs/data/plantdata/warcadata.htm>.

- Christman, S.P. 1988. Endemism and Florida's interior sand pine scrub. Final project report for Project No. GFC-84-101. Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission; Tallahassee, Florida.
- Clutts, J. 1998. Comments on draft species account for *Eriogonum longifolium*. January 27.
- Finkelstein, A. 1999. Livingston to the rescue of rare warea amplexifolia. Orlando Business Journal, July 23. <http://www.bizjournals.com/orlando/stories/1999/07/26/newscolumn2.html>.
- Florida Natural Areas Inventory (FNAI). 2005. Florida conservation lands interactive map. FNAI; Tallahassee, Florida. <http://www.fnai.org/data.cfm#MAACREAGE>.
- Kral, R. 1983. A report on some rare, threatened, or endangered forest-related vascular plants of the South. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. Technical publication R8-TP 2.
- McConnell, K. and E.S. Menges. 2002. The effects of fire and treatments that mimic fire on the Florida endemic scrub buckwheat (*Eriogonum longifolium* Nutt. var. *gnaphalifolium* Gand.). Natural Areas Journal 22:194-201.
- Menges, E.S. 1995. Report on Experimental Research and Monitoring of Four Species of Endangered Plants on the Lake Wales Ridge, Florida. Report to Florida Statewide Endangered and Threatened Plant Conservation Program. Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Division of Forestry; Tallahassee, Florida.
- Menges, E.S. 1999. Ecology and conservation of Florida scrub. Pages 7-22 in R.C. Anderson, J.S. Fralish, and J.M. Baskin, eds. Savannas, barrens, and rock outcrop plant communities of North America. Cambridge University Press; Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Menges, E.S. and C.W. Weekley. 1999. Final Report on continued ecological monitoring and experimental research on four Florida scrub endemic plants. Report to Florida Statewide Endangered and Threatened Plant Conservation Program. Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Division of Forestry; Tallahassee, Florida.
- Menges, E.S. and R. Yahr. 1996. Continued ecological monitoring and research on four Florida scrub plants. Report to Florida Statewide Endangered and Threatened Plant Conservation Program. Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Division of Forestry. Tallahassee, Florida.

- Menges, E.S. and R.Yahr. 1998. Final report on continued ecological monitoring and experimental research on four Florida scrub endemic plants. Report to Florida Statewide Endangered and Threatened Plant Conservation Program. Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Division of Forestry; Tallahassee, Florida.
- Menges, E.S., C.W. Weekley, and M.A. Rickey. 2005. Sandhill restoration studies and experimental introduction of *Ziziphus celata* at Lake Wales Ridge National Wildlife Refuge (Carter Creek). Annual report No. 1 (January), project NG02-002, contract 03148.
- Pace-Aldana, B. 2005. Personal communication. Email from B. Pace-Aldana, The Nature Conservancy, to Dave Martin, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Biologist, March 16, 2005.
- Reveal, J.L. 1968. Notes on the Texas eriogonums. *Sida* 3:195-205.
- Rickett, H.W. 1967. Wild Flowers of the United States, Volume 2: The Southeastern States. McGraw-Hill, New York, New York.
- Satterthwaite, W., E.S. Menges, and P.F. Quintana-Ascencio. 2002. Population viability of scrub buckwheat (*Eriogonum longifolium* var. *gnaphalifolium*) in relation to fire. *Ecological Applications* 12:1672-1687.
- Schultz, G.E., L.G. Chafin, and S.T. Krupenevich. 1999. Rare plant species and high quality natural communities of twenty-six CARL sites in the Lake Wales Ridge Ecosystem: Final report. Florida Natural Areas Inventory.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). 1996. Recovery plan for nineteen central Florida scrub and high pineland Plants (revised). Fish and Wildlife Service; Atlanta, Georgia. http://ecos.fws.gov/recovery_plan/pdf_files/1996/960622.pdf.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). 1999. South Florida multi-species recovery plan. Fish and Wildlife Service; Atlanta, Georgia.
- Wunderlin, R.P. and B.F. Hansen. 2005. Atlas of Florida Vascular Plants (<http://www.plantatlas.usf.edu/>) [S.M. Landry and K.N. Campbell (application development), Florida Center for Community Design and Research.] Institute for Systematic Botany, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida.

STATUS OF THE SPECIES - Scrub mint (*Dicerandra frutescens*)

Scrub mint was federally listed as an endangered species on November 1, 1985 (56 FR 56882, Service 1985). Critical habitat has not been designated. The species is listed as endangered by the State of Florida. In addition to the assessment below, a 5-year review was completed in 2009 resulting in no change to the species designation as endangered (Service 2009). No critical habitat has been designated for this species. The 5-year review builds upon the detailed information in the Multi Species Recovery Plan (MSRP; Service 1999) and is located at <http://www.fws.gov/southeast/5yearReviews/5yearreviews/ScrubMint-20090807.pdf>

Species/Critical Habitat Description

Scrub mint, a member of the Lamiaceae (mint family), is a partially woody, short-lived (less than 10 years), low-growing perennial shrub growing to 50 centimeters (cm) [20 inches (in)] in height. It grows from a deep, stout, spreading taproot. Its branches are mostly spreading, and sometimes prostrate. Its leaves are narrowly oblong-elliptic, linear-elliptic, or linear-oblongate, 1.5 to 2.5 cm (0.6 to 1.0 in) long, 2 to 3 millimeters (mm) (0.08 to 0.1 in) wide, narrowly or broadly rounded at the apical end, with entire margins. The leaves produce a strong odor of menthol when crushed. The flowers are clustered just above paired leaves on short stalks (cymes), each containing 1 to 3 flowers. They are white or yellowish-white, 2.0 cm (0.8 in) long, with the upper lip marked with a trellis pattern of lines and dots of deep purple, while the lower lip has larger, concentric spots. The corolla is funnel shaped and abruptly bent to about 90 degrees. The upper lobe is a recurving, cleft standard, and the lower lobe is tripartite (three parted) with a recurving middle petal. The flowers have four paired stamens that are exerted slightly beyond the lower corolla lip. The filaments are white with purple anthers. The pistil is white and has a slender, fuzzy style. The fruit is a schizocarp of four ovoid, brown, smooth seeds (Kral 1983, Huck 1987).

Scrub mint is very similar in appearance to its closely related congener Garrett's mint (*D. christmanii*), but can be distinguished by anther color, odor, leaf length, and chemistry of the compounds found in leaves (Huck *et al.* 1989).

Scrub mint is endemic to the Lake Wales Ridge (LWR) and occurs only in Polk and Highlands County, Florida (Huck 2008). Habitat for scrub mint is yellow sand soil types in scrub vegetation (Menges 1992). Populations occur in both sand pine scrub and oak-hickory scrub. Most populations are found in areas with excessively well-drained Astatula and Paola yellow sands (Menges 1992). These soils support scrub and sandhill vegetation, but have largely been converted to citrus cultivation (Menges 1992).

Within the habitats where it occurs, scrub mint prefers open microsites (Menges *et al.* 1999; Menges 1992). The microhabitat supporting it was found to have less litter cover, less litter depth, and less shrub and tree cover than sites where it was absent. Scrub mint tended to occupy

areas with shallow leaf litter [less than 2 cm (0.8 in)] and with partial to no canopy cover. It also occurs in areas with regular small-scale soil disturbance such as foot trails and abandoned fire roads (Menges 1992).

Life History

Seedlings of scrub mint typically emerge in the winter. After 1 to 2 years of growth, plants will produce flowers July through November, peaking in September through October. Temporary flowering shoots are produced, bearing abundant flowers. These stems die during the winter dry season. Seed production occurs through the fall. The basal parts of the plants are perennial and maintain leaves year-round.

Scrub mint is not an obligate out-crosser; it is self-compatible (Evans *et al.* 2004 *contra* Huck 1987). Scrub mint is insect pollinated and requires insect visits for seed production (Evans *et al.* 2004). *Exprosopa fasciata* (Diptera: Bombyliidae), a bee-fly is the dominant pollinator, accounting for 95 percent of all visits (Deyrup and Menges 1997). Bee-flies are common and abundant generalist pollinators.

Scrub mint fruit and seed dispersal is limited to a few meters from the parent plant. No specialized mechanism for animal mediated dispersal has been identified (Menges *et al.* 2001).

Population Dynamics

Twenty years of demographic data have been collected for scrub mint at Archbold Biological Station. Annual mortality rates are high (greater than 20 percent) in the populations studied (Menges *et al.* 1999). Most mortality occurs during the dry, hot spring typical of central Florida, suggesting that drought or temperature may have effects on survival. Annual seedling recruitment varies widely from year to year. A 'good' year may have 50 times the number of seedlings as a 'bad' year (Menges *et al.* 1999). High mortality and episodic seedling recruitment cause large annual fluctuations in populations and are linked, in part, to especially dry spring months (Menges 2008).

Scrub mint populations are dependent on fire for long-term persistence (Menges *et al.* 2006). Several studies have investigated the fire ecology of the species (Menges 1992; Menges *et al.* 2006; Evans *et al.* 2008). There is an inverse relationship between time-since-fire and multiple demographic and reproductive factors including mortality of adult plants, growth and maturation rates, plant fecundity, number of pollinator visits, and seedling recruitment. A population viability analysis (PVA) indicated that population growth rates decline below the replacement level of 1.0 (on average) in populations that remain unburned more than five years (Menges *et al.* 2006). Populations begin to decline six years after a fire (Menges *et al.* 2006; Evans *et al.* 2008). Most demographic parameters peak at 3 to 5 years post-fire, after which populations experience a long slow decline (Menges and Weekley 1999). The decline occurs because yellow sand scrubs become extremely dense after 30 years, crowding out scrub mint (Menges 1992). Individual scrub mint plants are killed by fire and the population must regenerate from its seed bank (Menges *et al.* 2006). However, fire opens shrub canopies and consumes litter, creating favorable microsites for seedling germination. There is strong evidence that fire can promote

seedling recruitment in populations that were previously declining (Menges and Weekley 1999). Time-since-fire also has important effects on a population's ability to recover from fire via seeds present in the soil. Seed bank density was ten times lower at a site that had not been burned since 1926 than in two sites that had been burned more recently (Menges and Weekley 1999). Based on PVA modeling, Menges *et al.* (2006) recommended a fire return interval of 6 to 21 years in xeric oak scrub to maximize persistence of scrub mint populations.

Menges (1992) found that experimental mechanical defoliation of scrub mint plants resulted in 100 percent mortality. Herbivory does not have a strong effect on population dynamics and is probably not an important management consideration (Menges and Weekley 1999). Seed predators (Thyreocoridae: *Cynoidea ciliatus* ssp. *orientis*) observed in capsules of scrub mint could be responsible for the lack of endosperm in some seeds, but their numbers are typically not great (Evans *et al.* 2004).

Status and Distribution

The loss of scrub on the LWR habitat was the primary reason for listing scrub mint as endangered (Service 1999). Scrub mint occurs in Highlands County, Florida. It was historically distributed more or less contiguously along a high yellow-sand ridge that has only been fragmented within the last 40 to 60 years (Menges *et al.* 2001). Populations now occur discontinuously across the species range since suitable habitat has a patchy distribution and is now increasingly fragmented by development. Where found, however, scrub mint plants can occur in locally dense concentrations. Smaller populations observed at some sites may be partly a consequence of fire suppression and may not be typical of historical abundance patterns (Menges *et al.* 2001).

There are 14 known occurrences of scrub mint (FNAI 2008). Three are confirmed to be extirpated because the sites have been developed and no suitable habitat or plants remain (Bok Tower Garden 2010). Five of the 11 remaining occurrences are within two protected areas - Archbold Biological Station (private ownership; more than 500 plants) and Lake Wales Ridge Wildlife and Environmental Area (LWRWEA) Highland Park Estates tract (State-owned; only 8 to 10 plants in 2009) (Bok Tower Gardens 2010).

Six occurrences are located on unprotected private land. In 2010, three of the sites had no plants present in the areas surveyed, but suitable habitat remained and surveys were incomplete due to lack of access to private parcels. Three occurrences on private land were confirmed to be extant in 2010, with two being large populations (estimated at 4,093 and 1,234 plants), and a third, smaller population (53 plants) (Bok Tower Gardens 2010).

Threats

Habitat destruction from development continues to occur and development pressure remains high. Turner *et al.* (2006) estimated that 87 percent of upland habitat has been lost on the LWR by 2006. Increasing pressure from population growth is likely to result in further loss of LWR habitats. Zwick and Carr (2006) predicted central Florida will experience "explosive" growth over the next 50 years. They estimated 2.7 million acres of native habitat and 630,000 acres of

land currently under consideration for conservation purchase will be lost. Even if all lands targeted for conservation are acquired (an unlikely scenario), this would still only represent 7.5 percent of the xeric upland habitats that existed on the LWR prior to widespread human settlement (Turner *et al.* 2006).

Fire suppression started on a regional scale on the LWR about 70 years ago. In long-unburned sites, population growth rates are negative, suggesting continued population decline (Menges *et al.* 2006). However, reintroducing fire to long-unburned sites presents complications for species recovery. Areas with excessive fuel loads may burn hot and complete, requiring scrub mint to regenerate entirely from the seed bank. However, recent seed production may be low in overgrown sites. Fuel reduction treatment of shrubs around patches of scrub mint could allow for patchier burns and survival of some existing plants and improve post-fire regeneration (Evans *et al.* 2004).

References cited

- Bok Tower Gardens. 2010. Population Surveys and ex situ Conservation of *Dicerandra christmanii* and *D. frutescens*. Interim Status report to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for contract No. 401819G562. Rare Plant Conservation Program. Bok Tower Gardens. Lake Wales, Florida.
- Deyrup, M.A., and E.S. Menges. 1997. Pollination ecology of the rare scrub mint *Dicerandra frutescens* (Lamiaceae). *Florida Scientist* 60:143-157.
- Evans, M.E.K., K. Holsinger, and E.S. Menges. 2008. Modeling the effect of fire on *Dicerandra frutescens* spp. *frutescens* (Lamiaceae), an endangered plant endemic to Florida scrub. *Population Ecology* 50:53-62.
- Evans, M.E.K., E.S. Menges, and D.R. Gordon. 2004. Mating systems and limits to seed production in two *Dicerandra* mints endemic to Florida scrub. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 13:1819-1832.
- Florida Natural Areas Inventory (FNAI). 2008. Element population records for *Dicerandra frutescens*. Florida Natural Areas Inventory. Tallahassee, Florida.
- Huck, R. B. 1987. Systematics and evolution of *Dicerandra* (Labiatae). *Phanerogamarum Monographiae* 19: 1-343.
- Huck, R.B. 2008. *Dicerandra modesta* (Lamiaceae): Raise in rank for a disjunct perennial in a new coastal clade in Florida. *Journal of the Botanical Research Institute of Texas*. 2(2):1163-1164.
- Huck, R.B., W.S. Judd, W.M. Whitten, J.D. Skeeon, R.P. Wunderlin, and K.R. Delaney. 1989. A new *Dicerandra* (Labiatae) from the Lake Wales Ridge of Florida, with a cladistic analysis and discussion of endemism. *Systematic Botany*, Vol. 14, No. 2: 197-213.

- Kral, R. 1983. A report on some rare, threatened, or endangered forest-related vascular plants of the South. U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service Technical Bulletin. Athens, Georgia.
- Menges, E.S. 1992. Habitat preferences and response to disturbance for *Dicerandra frutescens*, a Lake Wales Ridge (Florida) endemic plant. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club. 119: 308-313.
- Menges, E.S. 2008. Personal communication. Email to Cindy Schulz. Archbold Biological Station. Lake Placid, Florida. June 2, 2008.
- Menges, E.S., and C.W. Weekley. 1999. Final report on continued ecological monitoring and experimental research on four endemic scrub plants. Report to the Division of Forestry, Florida Department of Agriculture. Archbold Biological Station. Lake Placid, Florida.
- Menges, E.S., R.W. Dolan, R. Yahr, and D.R. Gordon. 2001. Comparative genetics of seven plants endemic to Florida's Lake Wales Ridge. Castanea 66: 98-114.
- Menges E.S., P.J., McIntyre, M.S. Finer, E. Gross, and R.Yahr. 1999. Microhabitat of the narrow Florida scrub endemic *Dicerandra christmanii*, with comparisons to its congener *D. frutescens*. Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society 126: 24-31.
- Menges, E.S., P.F. Quintana-Ascencio, C.W. Weekley, and O.G. Gaoue. 2006. Population viability analysis and fire return intervals for an endemic Florida scrub mint. Biological Conservation 127: 115-127.
- Turner, W.R., D.S. Wilcove, and H.M. Swain. 2006. State of the scrub: conservation progress, management responsibilities, and land acquisition priorities for imperiled species of Florida's Lake Wales Ridge. Archbold Biological Station. Lake Placid, Florida.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). 1985. Final Rule. Determination of Endangered status for two Florida mints. Federal Register, Vol. 50, No. 212. Pgs. 45621-45624.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). 1999. South Florida multi-species recovery plan. Fish and Wildlife Service. Atlanta, Georgia.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). 2009. Scrub mint, 5-Year Review. Fish and Wildlife Service. Atlanta, Georgia.