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## Executive Summary



Environmental Monitoring and Technical Services Laboratory
2392 Kincaid Road, San Diego, California

## Executive Summary

The City of San Diego's ocean monitoring program for the Point Loma Wastewater Treatment Plant (PLWTP) is mandated by Order No. R9-2002-0025, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit No. CA0107409 issued by the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). The above Order and associated Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP No. R9-2002-0025) were modified with the adoption of Addendum No. 1, which became effective on August 1, 2003 (see Chapter 1). These documents specify the terms and conditions that allow treated effluent to be discharged into the Pacific Ocean via the Point Loma Ocean Outfall (PLOO) and define the requirements for monitoring the receiving waters surrounding the PLOO, including the sampling plan, compliance criteria, laboratory analyses, statistical analyses, and reporting guidelines.

The City's ocean monitoring program for the PLWTP is designed to assess the impact of wastewater discharged through the PLOO on the marine environment off San Diego. The main objectives of the program are to provide data that satisfy the requirements of the NPDES permit, demonstrate compliance with the 2001 California Ocean Plan, track movement and dispersion of the wastewater field, and identify any biological or chemical changes that may be associated with the discharge of wastewater. These data are used to document the effects of the discharge on water quality, sediments, and the marine biota.

The study area off Point Loma is centered around the discharge site, which is located approximately 7.2 km offshore of the treatment plant at depths of around $94-98 \mathrm{~m}$. The receiving waters monitoring program encompasses an area from La Jolla to Imperial Beach, and extends from the shoreline to the outer coastal shelf at depths up to about 116 m . The progam may be divided into several major components, which comprise separate chapters in this report. These include analyses of oceanographic conditions, microbiology, sediment characteristics, benthic
macrofauna, demersal fish and invertebrate communities, and the concentrations of contaminants in fish tissues. Data regarding various physical and chemical oceanographic parameters are evaluated to characterize water mass transport potential in the region. Water quality monitoring along the shoreline and in offshore waters includes the measurement of bacteriological indicators to assess natural (e.g., river and streams) and anthropogenic (e.g., stormwater and wastewater) impacts on recreational waters. Benthic monitoring includes sampling and analyses of softbottom macrofuanal communities and their associated sediments, while demersal fish and megabenthic invertebrate communities are the focus of trawling activities. The monitoring of fish populations is supplemented by analyses the accumulation of contaminants in fish tissues to determine whether or not contaminants are present in the tissues of "local" fish species. In addition to the above activities, the City also supports other projects that are relevant to assessing ocean quality in the region. Results from the coastal remote sensing study of the San Diego/Tijuana region that is jointly funded by the City, the RWQCB, and the International Boundary and Water Commission have been incorporated into the interpretations of data from the oceanographic and microbiological surveys (Chapters 2 and 3). In addition, the City funds a longterm and ongoing study of the Point Loma kelp forest that is being conducted by scientists at the Scripps Institution of Oceanography. Data from this study were summarized in 2002 (see City of San Diego 2003). A general overview and a brief summary for each of the receiving waters monitoring components are included below.

After 10 years of wastewater discharge, the data indicate that the PLOO has had only a limited effect on the local marine environment off San Diego. For example, water samples collected in the Point Loma kelp bed in 2003 were $100 \%$ compliant with California Ocean Plan bacterial water-contact standards, as they have been ever since the outfall was extended in 1993. In addition, there has been no evidence that the waste field from the outfall has affected any of the shoreline areas over the same period. Instead, the few incidences of high bacterial counts that exceeded compliance standards at the shoreline stations in 2003 were
typically associated with increased rainfall. In contrast, elevated bacterial concentrations that may be attributable to wastewater discharge in 2003 were generally restricted to sites adjacent to the outfall and at subsurface depths of 60 m or below. Furthermore, there has been no evidence of change in any of the physical or chemical water quality parameters (e.g., dissolved oxygen, pH ) that can be attributed to wastewater discharge via the PLOO.

Analyses of benthic conditions off Point Loma in 2003 and previous years indicate that some types of changes that may be expected near a large ocean outfall have occurred, although these have been restricted to a relatively small, localized region near the discharge site. For example, analysis of sediment quality data continue to show slight increases over time in sediment concentrations of sulfides and BOD, and the accumulation of coarse sediment particles in the vicinity of the outfall pipe. However, other potential indicators
of impact such as concentrations of various sediment contaminants (e.g., trace metals and pesticides) showed no patterns that may be related to the discharge of wastewater. Values for descriptors of benthic community structure (i.e., species diversity, infaunal abundance, populations of the brittle star Amphiodia urtica, ITI and BRI values) have shown some differences between near-ZID and reference stations overtime, but remain characteristic of natural environmental conditions. Furthermore, analyses of demersal fish and invertebrate communities also reveal no spatial or temporal patterns that can be attributed to the PLOO. The paucity of evidence from the analysis of fish pathology (e.g., fin rot, tumors, and lesions) or the accumulation of contaminants in fish tissues also indicate that the San Diego fish community remains healthy and is not adversely affected by anthropogenic sources. Consequently, there is presently no evidence of significant long-term impacts on sediment quality or biotic communities in the coastal region off San Diego.


## Chapter 1: General Introduction

## INTRODUCTION

Treated effluent from the City of San DiegoE.W. Blom Point Loma Wastewater Treatment Plant (PLWTP) is discharged to the Pacific Ocean through the Point Loma Ocean Outfall (PLOO) according to requirements set forth in Order No. R9-2002-0025, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit No. CA0107409. The above Order and associated Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP No. R9-2002-0025) were adopted by the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) on April 10, 2002. During 2003, the monitoring and reporting requirements for the Point Loma region were further modified with the adoption of Addendum No. 1 to Order/MRP No. R9-2002-0025, NPDES Permit No. CA0107409. The provisions established in Addendum No. 1 became effective August 1,2003, thus superceding and entirely replacing all prior receiving waters monitoring requirements for the PLWTP. Addendum No. 1 is available online from the RWQCB (http:// www.swrcb.ca.gov/ rwqcb9/orders/order_files/ r9-2002-0025.pdf).

The primary purpose of Addendum No. 1 was to modify the Point Loma monitoring and reporting program to incorporate recommendations of the Model Monitoring Program for Large Ocean Discharges in Southern California (Schiff et al. 2001). This addendum was developed through a collaborative process between the City of San Diego, the RWQCB, and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), with additional input provided by several other governmental and non-governmental organizations. Overall, Addendum No. 1 modified the sampling plan for the Point Loma Ocean Monitoring Program to address a specific set of questions derived from the model monitoring program regarding ocean compliance, human health, and enviornmental assessment (Box 1.1). This modification included division of the monitoring program into three
components: core monitoring, special strategic studies, and regional monitoring. The "core" monitoring program was derived from the pre-existing sampling regime and includes routine weekly, quarterly, and semi-annual sampling of various environmental parameters (Table 1.1). The major changes to the sampling program are summarized in AppendixA of this report. The amended permit includes plans to perform adaptive or special strategic process studies each year as determined by the City in coordination with the Executive Officer of the RWQCB and the USEPA. For example, the special studies approved for Year 1 of the permit include a comprehensive scientific review of the ocean monitoring program, the design of a broad sediment mapping study for the region, and continued participation in a remote sensing project of the entire San Diego coast. The new permit also mandates participation in regional sampling efforts of the entire Southern California Bight (SCB), such as the original SCB 1994 Pilot Project and subsequent 1998 and 2003 SCB Regional Monitoring Programs (i.e., Bight' 98 and Bight'03, respectively).

The MRP for Point Loma defines the requirements for monitoring the receiving water environment around the PLOO, including the sampling plan, compliance criteria, laboratory analyses, statistical analyses and reporting guidelines. All sampling conducted from January through July 2003 was compliant with Order No. R9-2002-0025 adopted on April 10, 2002, while sampling from August through December 2003 was compliant with changes set forth in Addendum No. 1. For ease of presentation, the data reported herein emphasize the MRP that became effective on August 1,2003 . This presentation reflects the main objectives of the ocean monitoring program, which are to provide data that satisfy the requirements of the NPDES permit, demonstrate compliance with the 2001 California Ocean Plan, detect movement and dispersion of the wastewater field, and identify any biological or chemical changes that may be associated with wastewater discharge.

## Box 1.1

Managerial questions from the Model Monitoring Program (italics) and the resulting monitoring questions used to develop the modified receiving waters monitoring program for the Point Loma Ocean Outfall (PLOO) adopted in Addendum No. 1 to Order/MRP No. R9-2002-0025, NPDES Permit No. CA0107409 on August 1, 2003.

## MICROBIOLOGICAL MONITORING

Does sewage effluent reach water contact zones?
Are densities of bacteria in water contact zones below levels that will ensure public safety?

## PLOO Shoreline Microbiology Monitoring: Ocean Compliance \& Public Health Issues

Does the ocean water along the shoreline near the outfall meet California Ocean Plan (COP) bacteriological water-contact standards?
Are bacterial densities along the shoreline below levels that ensure public safety?

## PLOO Kelp Bed Water Quality Monitoring: Ocean Compliance Issues

Does the ocean water in the Point Loma kelp bed meet COP bacteriological water-contact standards?

## WATER QUALITY MONITORING

Are water column physical and chemical parameters within ranges that ensure protection of the ecosystem? What is the fate of the discharge plume?

## PLOO Offshore Water Quality Monitoring: Ecosystem Protection

Are water column physical and chemical parameters within ranges that ensure protection of the ecosystem?
Are COP limits for pH , dissolved oxygen, and natural light being met?
PLOO Offshore Water Quality Monitoring: Fate of the Wastewater Plume
What is the fate of the wastewater plume?

## SEDIMENT MONITORING

Are sediments in the vicinity of the discharge impaired? If so, what is the spatial extent of the impairment? Are sediment conditions changing over time?

PLOO Benthic Monitoring: Local Trends Program
Is the benthos (sediments \& animals) in the vicinity of the discharge site impaired?
Are benthic conditions off Point Loma changing over time?
Are observed changes associated with outfall effects, other anthropogenic impacts, or natural factors?
PLOO Benthic Monitoring: Local Mapping Program
Are sediments in the vicinity of the discharge site impaired?
What is the spatial extent (and nature) of that impairment?
PLOO Benthic Monitoring: Regional Program
What is the extent and magnitude of ecological change in the Southern California Bight (SCB)?
How do conditions compare among selected geographic regions of the SCB?
What is the relationship between biological responses and contaminant exposure?

## FISH AND EPIBENTHIC INVERTEBRATE MONITORING

Is the health of fish populations and communities impaired?
Are fish populations and communities changing over time?
Is fish tissue contamination changing over time?
PLOO Demersal Fish \& Invertebrate Monitoring: Regional Program
Is the health of demersal fish and invertebrate communities in the SCB changing over time?
PLOO Bioaccumulation Monitoring: Local Trends Program
Is fish tissue contamination near the PLOO changing over time?
Is fish tissue contamination near the LA-5 disposal site changing over time, and how does data from that known impact area compare to data from near the PLOO?

## PLOO Bioaccumulation Monitoring: Local Seafood Safety

Are seafood tissue contaminants changing over time in fish collected near the PLOO by sportishers?


[^0]
## BACKGROUND

The City of San Diego began operation of the wastewater treatment plant and original ocean outfall off Point Loma in 1963, at which time treated effluent was discharged approximately 3.9 km offshore at a depth of about $60 \mathrm{~m}(200 \mathrm{ft})$. From 1963 to 1985, the PLWTP operated as a primary treatment facility, removing approximately $60 \%$ of the total suspended solids (TSS) by gravity separation. Since then, considerable improvements have been made to the treatment process. For example, the City began upgrading the process to advanced primary treatment (APT) in mid-1985, with full APT status being achieved by July of 1986. This improvement involved the addition of chemical coagulation to the treatment process, and resulted in an increased TSS removal of about $75 \%$. Since 1986, treatment has been further enhanced with the addition of several more sedimentation basins, expanded aerated grit removal, and refinements in chemical treatment. These enhancements have resulted in consistently lower mass emissions from the plant, with TSS removals of greater than $80 \%$. In addition, the PLOO was extended 3.3 km further offshore in the early 1990s in order to prevent intrusion of the wastewater plume into nearshore waters and thus comply with standards set forth in the California Ocean Plan for water contact sports areas. Construction of the outfall extension was completed in November 1993 at which time discharge was terminated at the original $60-\mathrm{m}$ site. The outfall presently extends approximately 7.2 km offshore to a depth of $94 \mathrm{~m}(310 \mathrm{ft})$, where the pipeline splits into a Y-shaped multiport diffuser system. The two diffuser legs extend an additional 762 m to the north and south, each terminating at a depth of about $98 \mathrm{~m}(320 \mathrm{ft})$ near the edge of the continental shelf.

The average daily flow of effluent through the PLOO in 2003 was 170 million gallons per day (mgd) or 643 million liters per day ( mLd ), ranging from a minimum of 149 mgd ( 564 mLd ) to a maximum of 223 mgd $(844 \mathrm{mLd})$. This is similar to the average flow of 169 mgd during 2002. TSS removal averaged about $85 \%$ during 2003, with the total mass emissions of 9,847 $\mathrm{mt} / \mathrm{yr}$ (see City of San Diego 2004b).

## RECEIVING WATERS MONITORING

Prior to 1994, the City conducted an extensive ocean monitoring program off Point Loma centered around the original $60-\mathrm{m}$ discharge site. This program was subsequently modified and expanded with the construction and operation of the deeper outfall. Data from the last year of regular monitoring near the original inshore site are presented in City of San Diego (1995b), while the results of a 3-year recovery study for that area are summarized in City of San Diego (1998). From 1991 through 1993, the City also conducted a voluntary "predischarge" study in the vicinity of the new site in order to collect baseline data prior to the discharge of effluent in these deeper waters (City of San Diego 1995a, 1995b). Results of NPDES-mandated monitoring for the extended PLOO from 1994 through 2002 are available in previous annual receiving waters monitoring reports (e.g., City of San Diego 2003b). Additionally, the City has participated in a number of regional and other monitoring efforts throughout the Southern California Bight that have provided useful background information for the entire region (e.g., SCBPP 1998, City of San Diego 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003a, Bight'98 Steering Committee 2003).

The sampling area off Point Loma presently extends from La Jolla southward to Imperial Beach, and from the shoreline seaward to a depth of about 116 m ( 380 ft ). Fixed sites are arranged in a grid surrounding the outfall, and are monitored in accordance with a prescribed sampling schedule. The monitoring program may be divided into the following major components, each comprising a separate chapter in this report: (1) Oceanographic Conditions; (2) Microbiology; (3) Sediment Characteristics; (4) Macrobenthic Communities; (5) Demersal Fishes and Megabenthic Invertebrates; (6) Bioaccumulation of Contaminants in Fish Tissues. Detailed information concerning station locations, sampling equipment, analytical techniques and quality assurance procedures are included in annual Quality Assurance Manuals for the City's Ocean Monitoring Program (e.g., City of San Diego 2004a). The raw data, detailed methodologies, completed reports, and other pertinent information submitted to the USEPA and the RWQCB throughout the year will
be available online at the City's Metropolitan Waste Water Department website (http://www.sandiego.gov/ mwwd).

This report summarizes the results from the receiving waters monitoring conducted off Point Loma from January through December 2003. In addition, the data were compared to the results from previous years in order to examine long-term patterns of change in the region. In addition, results from the continuing coastal remote sensing study of the San Diego/Tijuana Region that is jointly funded by the City, San Diego RWQCB, and the International Boundary and Water Commission have been incorporated into the water quality sections of this report (Chapters 2 and 3). A glossary of technical terms has also been added.
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## Oceanographic Conditions

## Chapter 2. Oceanographic Conditions

## INTRODUCTION

Measurements of physical and chemical parameters such as water temperature, salinity, density, and dissolved oxygen, are important components of ocean monitoring programs since many of these properties can affect the mixing potential of the water column. Analysis of the spatial and temporal variability of these parameters may also elucidate patterns of water mass movement. Consequently, such measurements and analyses help determine: (1) deviations from expected patterns that may indicate the influence of any wastewater plume, and (2) the extent to which water mass movement or mixing reflects the dispersion/dilution potential for discharged materials. With a deep offshore discharge site, the fate of treated municipal wastewater is strongly determined by horizontal mixing through diffusion, currents and internal waves as well as vertical mixing through diffusion, upwelling, or storm events. Oceanographic properties of the water column influence the degree of stratification, and measurements of physical parameters can therefore characterize the vertical transport potential surrounding the Point Loma Ocean Outfall (PLOO). On the other hand, bacterial concentrations may provide the best indication of horizontal transport of discharged waters in the absence of current information in deep waters (see Chapter 3).

The City of San Diego regularly monitors oceanographic conditions off Point Loma in order to assess the influence of a variety of sources. For example, although water quality in the region is naturally variable, it is also subject to several natural and anthropogenic sources of contamination. These include inputs from San Diego Bay, Mission Bay, and the San Diego River, as well as discharged wastewater through the PLOO. This chapter contributes to the on-going investigation of possible impacts of the PLOO on the local marine environment by analyzing the oceanographic conditions that occurred during 2003, which in turn may help explain patterns of bacteriological occurrence off Point Loma (see Chapter 3).

## MATERIALS and METHODS

Oceanographic measurements were collected by lowering a SeaBird conductivity, temperature and depth (CTD) instrument through the water column at fixed offshore sampling sites regularly throughout the year (Figure 2.1). Forty-nine offshore stations (designated "A", "B", "C", and "E" in Figure 2.1a) were sampled monthly from January through July, usually over a three-day period each month. Due to a change in permit requirements (see Chapter 1, Appendix A), these stations were discontinued in July 2003, and quarterly sampling of 36 stations (designated " $F$ " in Figure 2.1b) began in October.

These offshore stations were located in a grid pattern surrounding the outfall along the $9,18,47,60,80$, 88, 98 , and 116 -m depth contours. Eight stations along the 9 and $18-\mathrm{m}$ contours are located within the Point Loma kelp bed and subject to the water contact standards of the COP. These kelp stations (i.e., A1, A6, A7, and C4 through C8) were sampled for temperature and transmissivity an additional four times each month, for a total of five sampling events per month. Three other sites were also sampled voluntarily by the City in an offshore area near the original outfall diffusers (i.e., stations A11, A13, A17).

Water column profiles of temperature, salinity, density, pH , transmissivity (water clarity), chlorophyll $a$, and dissolved oxygen values were constructed for each station by averaging the values recorded over 1-m depth intervals during processing. Further details regarding the CTD data processing are provided in the City's Quality Assurance Manual (City of San Diego 2004a). Visual observations of water color and clarity, surf height, human or animal activity, and weather conditions were also recorded at all stations at the time of sample collection.


Figure 2.1
Locations of water quality monitoring stations where CTD casts are taken for the Point Loma Ocean Outfall Monitoring Program from January-July (A) and October (B) (see text).

## RESULTS and DISCUSSION

## Expected Seasonal Patterns of Physical and

## Chemical Parameters

The weather in southern California can be classified into two basic "seasons," wet (winter) and dry (spring through fall), and certain patterns in oceanographic conditions off Point Loma track these "seasons." For instance, temperatures are typically similar at surface and midwater depths during the winter months, but then diverge beginning in spring with differences becoming greatest in the mid- to late summer. In
contrast, waters deeper than 88 m exhibit an opposite pattern, generally being warmest during winter and coldest throughout the spring and summer.

The typical winter conditions present during January and February each year are characterized by cold water temperatures and a high degree of homogeneity for all physical and chemical parameters. Although the upper and mid-level waters are typically well-mixed at these times, stormwater runoff due to heavy rainfall may periodically influence density profiles by causing a freshwater lens within nearshore surface waters. With minimal stratification of the water column, the chance
that the wastewater plume could surface is highest during these winter months.

Usually in March or April a decrease in the frequency of winter storms brings about the transition of seasons. During the spring and early summer months, surface waters begin to warm and cause the return of a seasonal thermocline and pycnocline to coastal and offshore waters. Once the water column becomes stratified, minimal mixing conditions tend to remain throughout the dry summer and fall months. In October or November, cooler weather, reduced solar input, and increased storm activity lead to the return of a wellmixed, homogeneous water column that is characteristic of winter months. Analyses of oceanographic data collected off Point Loma over the past 26 years support this pattern.

## Observed Seasonal Patterns of Physical and

 Chemical ParametersIn general, oceanographic conditions during 2003 followed normal seasonal patterns within the expected range of variability (Table 2.1). As the highlighted cells in Table 2.1 illustrate, the highest values for surface water density, salinity and temperature occurred in an almost successional pattern throughout the year. The highest densities occurred first, followed by the highest salinity values, and then finally by the highest temperature values in summer and fall. A similar pattern was noted in the surface waters of the South Bay region off the coast of San Diego (City of San Diego 2004b). The highest pH , dissolved oxygen and chlorophyll values, as well as the lowest transmissivity levels were likely influenced by increases in primary productivity that occurred at or near the time of sampling.

Thermal stratification generally followed the typical annual pattern (Figure 2.2) Since temperature is the main contributor to water column stratification in southern California (Dailey et. al. 1993), it is significant that bottom waters ( $\geq 88 \mathrm{~m}$ ) were at least $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ colder than surface waters ( $\leq 2 \mathrm{~m}$ ) throughout the year. During January and February, for example, temperatures in the deeper waters ranged between 9.5 and $10.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while surface temperatures ranged between 14.1 and

## Table 2.1

Quarterly average values of temperature (PC), salinity (ppt), density ( $\delta / \theta$ ), dissolved oxygen ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ), pH , chlorophyll a ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ), and transmissivity (\%), for top ( $\leq 2 \mathrm{~m}$ ), mid-depth ( $10-20 \mathrm{~m}$ ), and bottom ( $\geq 88 \mathrm{~m}$ ) waters at all PLOO stations during 2003. Surface water parameters with the greatest impact on stratification or water clarity are highlighted.

|  |  | Jan | Apr | Jul | Oct |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Temp | Top | 15.4 | 14.5 | 18.0 | 18.4 |
|  | Mid | 15.0 | 11.2 | 12.9 | 15.4 |
|  | Bot | 11.5 | 9.8 | 10.1 | 11.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Top | 33.38 | 33.48 | 33.53 | 33.32 |
|  | Mid | 33.38 | 33.67 | 33.55 | 33.27 |
|  | Bot | 33.69 | 34.12 | 33.90 | 33.48 |
| Dens | Top | 24.63 | 24.90 | 24.14 | 23.89 |
|  | Mid | 24.72 | 25.71 | 25.27 | 24.54 |
|  | Bot | 25.66 | 26.29 | 26.08 | 25.56 |
| DO |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Top | 7.2 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 8.7 |
|  | Mid | 7.1 | 5.8 | 6.6 | 8.1 |
|  | Bot | 4.4 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 5.1 |
| pH | Top | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 8.3 |
|  | Mid | 8.0 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 8.1 |
|  | Bot | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.8 |
| XMS | Top | 85.9 | 76.7 | 79.2 | 78.8 |
|  | Mid | 87.7 | 88.3 | 82.9 | 87.7 |
|  | Bot | 88.7 | 90.1 | 84.0 | 89.5 |
| Chl a | Top | 1.2 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 10.2 |
|  | Bot | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.4 |

$16.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This thermal separation created a barrier to vertical exchange between deep and shallower water layers, which was evident even during the minimally stratified winter months.

Mid-level waters, on the other hand, had temperatures similar to surface waters during January and February. This lack of wintertime stratification is apparent in the single-station profiles and all-station volumetric interpolations for the temperature, density and dissolved oxygen data collected during January (Figure 2.3). As these plots show, the upper water column was well mixed during the winter months as indicated by relatively homogeneous physical and


## Figure 2.2

Average temperatures $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for surface ( $<2 \mathrm{~m}$ ), mid-depth (10-20 m), and bottom (>88 m) waters during January, April, July, and October 2003.
chemical parameters. Mid-level water temperatures during this period ranged from 12.7 to $16 .{ }^{\circ}$. , with the average difference between mid- and surface waters being less than $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Development of stratification between mid-level (i.e., the thermocline) and surface waters began in spring as mid-depth waters cooled to near bottom water temperatures and persisted throughout the summer. During May, June, July, and October, mid-water temperatures ranged from 10.2 and $19.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the difference between average surface and mid-water temperatures was at least $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and sometimes as great as $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 2.2). The July profiles and volumetric plots illustrate the shallowness of the mixed layer that had developed by mid-summer (Figure 2.4). The thermocline was within the top 16 m of the water column during July, even at stations farthest from shore. However, some of the patchiness apparent in the July surface water values (in temperature, density and

Table 2.2
Average temperature differences between mid-depth (1020 m ) and surface waters ( $\leq 2 \mathrm{~m}$ ) surrounding the PLOO during 2003.

|  | Difference in ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Top vs. <br> Mid | Top vs. <br> Bottom | Mid vs. <br> Bottom |
|  |  |  |  |
| January | 0.45 | 3.93 | 3.47 |
| April | 3.37 | 4.68 | 1.31 |
| July | 5.08 | 7.87 | 2.79 |
| October | 3.01 | 7.21 | 4.20 |

dissolved oxygen levels) may indicate contributions from deeper, upwelled waters (Figure 2.4).

The average temperature difference between surface and bottom waters during the summer months was at least $7.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. During this period, bottom temperatures ranged between 9.5 and $11.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while surface temperatures ranged between 13.4 and $20.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The highest surface temperature for the year was $20.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which was recorded on July 1 at station B12. As expected, the greatest disparity in temperature values between surface and deep waters occurred during the summer and early fall, following the normal cooling of deep waters and warming of surface waters that occurred in spring (Figure 2.2). The data from October show fairly uniform temperatures and density values in a deepening surface mixed layer (Figure 2.5). However, the data for dissolved oxygen show variability that was likely influenced by the red tide that enveloped the region in the late summer and early fall.

The red tide was caused by high abundance of the dinoflagellate Lingulodinium polyedrum. The extent of the bloom that engulfed waters off San Diego from August through October can be seen in a series of MODIS satellite images captured during that period (Figure 2.6). The plankton bloom was associated with the return of strong coastal upwelling that occurred region-wide. These conditions followed periods of downwelling that were prevalent during the winter of 2002 and early spring 2003 (Venrick et. al. 2003). Dinoflagellate blooms occurred all along the west coast


## Figure 2.3

Interpolated volumetric (3D) plots of temperature, density $(\delta / \theta)$, and dissolved oxygen at stations surrounding the PLOO on January 14, 15, and 16, 2003. Accompanying profiles illustrate these same parameters for offshore station E14 on January 15, 2003.


## Figure 2.4

Interpolated volumetric (3D) plots of temperature, density $(\delta / \theta)$, and dissolved oxygen at stations surrounding the PLOO on July 1, 2, 3, and 8, 2003. Accompanying profiles illustrate these same parameters for offshore station E14 on July 3, 2003.


## Figure 2.5

Interpolated volumetric (3D) plots of temperature, density $(\delta / \theta)$, and dissolved oxygen at stations surrounding the PLOO on October 8, 9, and 10, 2003. Accompanying profiles illustrate these same parameters for offshore station F30 on October 9, 2003.


Figure 2.6
MODIS natural color satellite images from August 26 and 27, and September 5 and 13, 2003, showing the extent of the late summer dinoflagellate bloom. The color of the plankton bloom acts as a marker and illustrates water movement in the area. A north-south strip of lower plankton abundance may indicate a decoupling of water mass movement between the Point Loma nearshore region versus the deeper waters that surround the outfall discharge.


## Figure 2.7

Monthly average rainfall at Lindbergh Field (San Diego, CA) for 2003 compared to normal monthly average rainfall for the historical period 1914 through 2003.
of the U.S. during 2003, although the southern California manifestation was particularly vast and intense. Several circumstances may have enhanced the local response including: heavy input of terrigenous material during late winter and early spring rains, localized upwelling as early as April, low winds and very calm conditions from summer through fall, and a shift from predominantly south/southwesterly to west/ northwesterly winds during August and September.

As evident in the satellite images, the high plankton abundance in surface waters acted somewhat as a marker of water movement and clearly illustrated the typical north-south trend for currents in the region. An interesting feature visible in each image appears as dark line running roughly north-south about halfway along the Point Loma outfall pipe. This dark area indicates a lower abundance of plankton, which may be due to a shearing-induced nearshore-to-offshore decoupling of water mass movement just west of the Point Loma kelp beds. This pattern of water movement has been noted several times in reports of the ongoing remote sensing study in the area (e.g., Ocean Imaging 2003a,
b, c). If this represents a consistent separation of flow patterns for nearshore and offshore waters, it seems unlikely that the wastewater field from the outfall could be transported shoreward.

Rainfall was lower than average during most months of the year, although abnormally heavy rains occurred in February and April (Figure 2.7) (NOAA/NWS 2004). Despite these periodic, heavy rains, there were no patterns in compromised water clarity that were clearly due to runoff. Transmissivity was fairly high at all stations most of the time, with $95 \%$ of the measurements indicating greater than $80 \%$ transmissivity. Even when chlorophyll levels were high in nearshore waters during October (see Figure 2.8), transmissivity was below $80 \%$ less than $4 \%$ of the time.

## SUMMARY and CONCLUSIONS

In general, oceanographic conditions during 2003 followed normal seasonal patterns within the expected range of annual variability. For the most part, rainfall


Figure 2.8
Interpolated volumetric (3D) plots of chlorophyll and transmissivity at stations surrounding the PLOO during October 8-10, 2003. Accompanying profiles illustrate these same parameters for station F30, on October 9, 2003.
fell within the range of long-term variability for each month, although the very heavy February rains were anomalous. The influx of freshwater during February, March and April was a likely contributor to densitydependent stratification in the early spring. This pycnocline provided some depth stratification in the upper water column prior to the development of strong thermal stratification during mid-summer, which then persisted through October.

Reduced transmissivity values were consistently found just offshore of the Point Loma beaches or near the mouths of San Diego Bay and Mission Bay. This suggests that most instances of compromised water clarity are due to sediment resuspension or embayment flushing events. In general, low transmissivity values were not well correlated with chlorophyll concentrations. October sampling did capture localized
pockets of reduced water clarity measurements caused by a red tide that enveloped the region throughout the late summer and early fall.

Analysis of the physical water column properties off Point Loma provided no evidence that wastewater discharged via the PLOO in 2003 reached either inshore sites or surface waters. Even during the winter months when water column stratification was weakest, there was no indication that the wastewater plume reaching depths shallower than $40-60 \mathrm{~m}$. These physical conditions will be important in the analysis of spatial patterns of bacterial concentrations to be discussed in the following chapter.
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## Chapter 3. Microbiology

## INTRODUCTION

The City of San Diego performs shoreline and water column bacterial monitoring in the region surrounding the Point Loma Ocean Outfall (PLOO). The presence, absence, and abundance of bacteria, together with oceanographic data (see Chapter 2), can provide information about the movement and dispersion of wastewater discharged through the outfall. Analyses of these data may also identify point or non-point sources other than the outfall that contribute to bacterial contamination in the region. The PLOO monitoring program is designed to assess general water quality and demonstrate level of compliance with the California Ocean Plan (COP) as required by the NPDES discharge permit. This chapter summarizes and interprets concentrations of indicator bacteria collected during 2003.


## MATERIALS and METHODS

## Field Sampling

Water samples for bacterial analysis were collected at fixed shore and offshore sampling sites throughout the year (Figure 3.1). Sampling was conducted at shore stations D1 through D12 to monitor bacteria levels along public beaches. However, due to a change in the City's NPDES permit, stations D1, D2, D3, and D6 were discontinued after the July 31, 2003 while stations D10, D11, and D12 were added (see Chapter 1, Appendix A). Twenty-seven offshore stations (designated with "A", "B", "C", and "E" in Figure 3.1a) were sampled monthly from January through July. Quarterly sampling of 36 stations (designated with " $F$ " in Figure 3.1b) began in October. Each monthly or


## Figure 3.1

Locations of water quality monitoring stations where bacterial samples are taken for the Point Loma Ocean Outfall Monitoring Program from January-July (A) and October (B) (see text).
quarterly survey usually took place over a three-day period. All offshore stations were located in a grid pattern surrounding the outfall, along the $9,18,47$, $60,80,88,98$, and $116-\mathrm{m}$ depth contours. The number of samples taken at each station was depthdependent and ranged from a minimum of three fixed depths sampled at the 9 and $18-\mathrm{m}$ stations to a maximum of six fixed depths sampled at the $116-\mathrm{m}$ stations. Eight stations along the 9 and $18-\mathrm{m}$ contours are located within the Point Loma kelp bed and subject to the water contact standards of the COP. These kelp stations (i.e., A1, A6, A7, and C4-C8) were sampled for bacterial analysis a total of five times per month.

Seawater samples were collected in sterile 250 mL bottles from the shoreline at each shore station. Visual observations of water color and clarity, surf height, human or animal activity, and weather conditions were recorded at the time of collection. The seawater samples were then transported on ice to the City's Marine Microbiology Laboratory and analyzed to determine concentrations of total coliforms, fecal coliforms, and enterococcus bacteria.

Seawater samples from the offshore samples were analyzed for the same three bacterial parameters. The water samples were collected using either a series of Van Dorn bottles or a rosette sampler fitted with Niskin bottles. Aliquots for each analysis were drawn into appropriate sample containers. The samples were refrigerated onboard ship and then transported to the City's Marine Microbiology Laboratory for bacterial analysis. Visual observations of weather and water conditions were also recorded at the time of sampling.

## Laboratory Analyses and Data Treatment

All bacterial analyses were performed within eight hours of sample collection in conformance with the membrane filtration techniques outlined in the City's Quality Assurance Manual (City of San Diego 2004). The Marine Microbiology Laboratory follows guidelines issued by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Water Quality Office, Water Hygiene Division and the California State Department of Health Services, Water Laboratory Approval Group with respect to sampling and
analytical procedures (Bordner et al. 1978, Greenberg et al. 1992).

Colony counting, calculation of results, data verification, and reporting all follow guidelines established by the USEPA (see Bordner et al. 1978). Data are recorded in colony forming units (CFU). According to these guidelines, plates with bacterial counts above or below permissible counting limits were designated with greater than $(>)$, less than $(<)$, or estimated (e) qualifiers. These qualifiers were ignored and the counts were treated as discrete values during the calculation of compliance with COP standards and subsequent statistical analyses. Bacteriological benchmarks for receiving waters discussed in this report are $>1,000 \mathrm{CFU} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ for total coliform values, $>400 \mathrm{CFU} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ for fecal coliforms, and $>104 \mathrm{CFU} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ for enterococcus bacteria. These benchmarks are used as reference points to distinguish elevated concentrations of bacteria, and should not be construed as compliance limits or as indicators of health risk.

Monthly mean densities of total, fecal, and enterococcus bacteria were calculated for each station, depth (offshore stations), and transect (offshore stations). In order to detect spatio-temporal patterns in bacteriological contamination, these data were evaluated relative to monthly rainfall and climatological data collected at Lindbergh Field (San Diego, CA) and remote sensing data collected by Ocean Imaging Corporation. Shore and kelp bed station compliance with COP bacteriological standards were summarized according to the number of days that each station was out of compliance with the 30-day total coliform, 10,000 total coliform, 60-day fecal coliform, and geometric mean standards (see Box 3.1). Bacteriological data for the offshore stations are not subject to COP standards; however, these data were used to examine spatio-temporal patterns in the dispersion of the waste field. In attempting to distinguish the waste field, contaminated waters were considered to have total coliform concentrations $>1,000 \mathrm{CFU} /$ mL and a fecal:total ( $\mathrm{F}: \mathrm{T}$ ) ratio $\geq 0.1$ (see CS-DHS 2000). Offshore station water quality samples that met these criteria were used as indicators of the waste field and considered indicative of contaminated waters.

## Box 3.1

Bacteriological compliance standards for water contact areas, 2001 California Ocean Plan (CSWRCB 2001). CFU = colony forming units.
(1) 30-day total coliform standard - no more than $20 \%$ of the samples at a given station in any 30 -day period may exceed a concentration of $1,000 \mathrm{CFU} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$.
(2) 10,000 total coliform standard - no single sample, when verified by a repeat sample collected within 48 hrs , may exceed a concentration of $10,000 \mathrm{CFU} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$.
(3) 60-day fecal coliform standard - no more than $10 \%$ of the samples at a given station in any 60 -day period may exceed a concentration of $400 \mathrm{CFU} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$.
(4) geometric mean - the geometric mean of the fecal coliform concentration at any given station in any 30 -day period may not exceed $200 \mathrm{CFU} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$, based on no fewer than five samples.

Quality assurance tests were performed routinely on water samples to insure that sampling variability did not exceed acceptable limits. Duplicate and split field samples were routinely collected and processed by laboratory personnel to measure sample and analyst variability, respectively. Results of these procedures were reported in the Quality Assurance Manual (City of San Diego 2004).

## RESULTS and DISCUSSION <br> Compliance with California Ocean Plan Standards - Shore and Kelp Bed Stations

California Ocean Plan (COP) bacterial standards for shore and kelp stations are displayed in Box 3.1. The only incidences of non-compliance with these standards occurred at stations along the shoreline. All of the shore stations were $100 \%$ compliant with the geometric mean standard. In contrast, stations D1 and D2 exceeded the 10,000 coliform standard once each on February 14, and several stations exceeded the 30 -day total and 60 -day fecal standards on a sporadic basis (Table 3.1). All water samples collected at the kelp bed stations were compliant with the four COP standards

Shore stations D3-D12 were compliant with the 30day total coliform standard over $80 \%$ of the time (Table
3.1). In contrast, stations D1 and D2, located within an area influenced by discharge from the Tijuana River, were compliant with these standards $65 \%$ and $71 \%$ of the time, respectively. Similarly, stations from Point Loma northward (D4-D12) were compliant with the 60-day fecal coliform standard over $85 \%$ of the time, while the stations located along Imperial Beach (D1D3) were compliant less frequently (i.e., $57-72 \%$ compliance). Generally, the incidences of noncompliance followed the periods of heaviest rainfall (see Table 3.2). Exceedences of the 60-day fecal coliform standard at stations D1 and D2 from February through April were caused by three incidences of elevated fecal coliforms at each station: once in February and twice in March. Station D3 had only one instance of elevated fecal coliforms, which occurred in February.

## Spatial and Temporal Trends - Shore Stations

Bacterial concentrations along the shoreline in 2003 were highest during the periods of heavy rainfall (Table 3.2). Average concentrations of the three indicator bacteria were much higher in February and March than during the rest of the year. For example, 14 of the 18 samples with total coliforms $>1,000 \mathrm{CFU} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ were collected in February and March, seven of which

## Table 3.1

Summary of compliance with California Ocean Plan water contact standards for PLOO shore stations during 2003. The values reflect the number of days that each station exceeded the 30 -day total and 60 -day fecal coliform standards. Shore stations are listed left to right from south to north. Sampling at stations D1, D2, D3 and D6 ceased in July 2003, coincident with the start of sampling at stations D10, D11, and D12 (see text).

| 30-Day Total Coliform Standard |  |  | Shore Stations |  |  |  |  | D7 | D8 | D9 | D10 | D11 | D12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | sampling days | D1 | D2 | D3 | D4 | D5 | D6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| February | 28 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0 |  |  |  |
| March | 31 | 31 | 31 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 31 | 0 |  |  |  |
| April | 30 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 16 |  |  |  |
| May | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |  |  |  |
| June | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| July | 31 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| August | 31 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| September | 30 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| October | 31 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| November | 30 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| December | 31 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Compliance | (\%) | 65 | 71 | 86 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 92 | 82 | 92 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

60-Day Fecal Coliform Standard

|  | \# of possible <br> sampling days | D1 | D2 | D3 | D4 | D5 | D6 | D7 | D8 | D9 | D10 | D11 | D12 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Month | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| January | 28 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| February | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| March | 30 | 30 | 30 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| April | 31 | 14 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| May | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| June | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| July | 31 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| August | 31 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| September | 30 | 31 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 9 | 17 | 0 |  |  |  |
| October | 30 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| November | 31 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| December | 31 | 58 | 57 | 72 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 90 | 88 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
| Compliance (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

also had elevated fecal coliform densities (i.e., $>400$ CFU/100 mL). The other four relatively high values for total coliforms occurred in April (station D9), July (station D1), November (station D8), and December (station D5); however, none of these were associated with elevated fecal coliform densities.

The stations to the south had higher mean densities of indicator bacteria relative to those stations located along the Point Loma Peninsula (Table 3.2). For example, the three southernmost stations (D1, D2, and D3) accounted for all seven of the samples with elevated total and fecal coliforms mentioned above. While stations located along the Point Loma Peninsula occasionally had elevated total coliforms

Table 3.2
Mean total coliform, fecal coliform and enterococcus densities (CFU per 100 mL ) at PLOO shore stations by station, month, and year (2003). Stations are listed left to right in order from south to north. Rainfall (in inches) was measured at Lindbergh Field, San Diego, CA.Sampling at stations D1, D2, D3 and D6 ceased in July 2003, coincident with the start of sampling at stations D10, D11, and D12 (see text).

| Month (rainfall) | Station n | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { n } & \text { D1 } \\ & 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D2 } \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D3 } \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D4 } \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D5 } \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D6 } \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D7 } \\ & 42 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | D8 43 | D9 43 | $\begin{gathered} \text { D10 } \\ 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { D11 } \\ 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { D12 } \\ 23 \end{gathered}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January$(0.02)$ | Total | 7 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 100 | 50 | - | - | - | 20 |
|  | Fecal | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | - | - | - | 3 |
|  | Entero | 13 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | 4 |
| February (4.88) | Total | 16000 | 15000 | 8017 | 72 | 300 | 300 | 1600 | 1525 | 1000 | - | - | - | 6489 |
|  | Fecal | 6100 | 2725 | 501 | 38 | 24 | 4 | 50 | 43 | 24 | - | - | - | 1452 |
|  | Entero | 7350 | 4400 | 1004 | 2 | 72 | 16 | 120 | 157 | 64 | - | - | - | 2007 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & \text { (1.36) } \end{aligned}$ | Total | 8040 | 3741 | 34 | 51 | 19 | 7 | 24 | 1388 | 51 | - | - | - | 2032 |
|  | Fecal | 1435 | 2495 | 9 | 15 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 29 | 15 | - | - | - | 623 |
|  | Entero | 1868 | 1861 | 15 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 30 | 9 | - | - | - | 590 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ \text { (1.41) } \end{gathered}$ | Total | 26 | 9 | 26 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 26 | 634 | - | - | - | 117 |
|  | Fecal | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 22 | - | - | - | 7 |
|  | Entero | 16 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 2 | - | - | - | 5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & \mathbf{( 0 . 3 0 )} \end{aligned}$ | Total | 269 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 23 | 20 | 2 | - | - | - | 38 |
|  | Fecal | 12 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 | _ | - | - | 4 |
|  | Entero | 3 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | 3 |
| June(trace) | Total | 21 | 15 | 39 | 104 | 26 | 14 | 26 | 39 | 14 | - | - | - | 33 |
|  | Fecal | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 2 | - | - | - | 4 |
|  | Entero | 3 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 127 | 3 | 452 | 5 | 5 | - | - | - | 68 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & \text { (trace) } \end{aligned}$ | Total | 688 | 30 | 125 | 39 | 50 | 27 | 31 | 39 | 14 | - | - | - | 116 |
|  | Fecal | 33 | , | 6 | 4 | 22 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 2 | - | - | - | 9 |
|  | Entero | 16 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 2 | - | - | - | 5 |
| August (0.0) | Total | - | - | - | 27 | 14 | - | 38 | 88 | 17 | 38 | 95 | 64 | 47 |
|  | Fecal | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | - | 13 | 27 | 5 | 10 | 43 | 2 | 13 |
|  | Entero | - | - | - | 9 | 3 | - | 5 | 17 | 3 | 10 |  | 2 | 7 |
| September (trace) | Total | - | - | 24 | 14 | 118 | 60 | 5 | 43 | 46 | 23 | 41 |  |  |
|  | Fecal | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | - | 23 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 17 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Entero | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | - | 6 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 4 |
| October (trace) | Total | - | - | - | 56 | 17 | - | 177 | 245 | 21 | 58 | 35 | 12 | 77 |
|  | Fecal | - | - | - | 53 | 7 | - | 143 | 193 | 6 | 21 | 11 | 5 | 54 |
|  | Entero | - | - | - | 30 | 3 | - | 13 | 34 | 45 | 45 | 108 | 4 | 35 |
| November (0.60) | Total | - | - | - | 13 | 20 | - | 26 | 935 | 9 | 42 | 6 | 14 | 113 |
|  | Fecal | - | - | - | 2 | 9 | - | 22 | 135 | 8 | 21 | 2 | 22 | 28 |
|  | Entero | - | - | - | 10 | 3 | - | 3 | 112 | 72 | 18 |  |  | 28 |
| December (0.61) | Total | - | - | - | 7 | 224 | - | 29 | 253 | 28 | 76 | 24 | 20 | 83 |
|  | Fecal | - | - | - |  | 69 | - | 9 | 49 | 6 | 37 | 17 | 6 | 25 |
|  | Entero | - | - | - | 7 | 82 | - | 5 | 72 | 7 | 82 | 15 | 11 | 35 |
| Annual mean | Total | 3450 | 2224 | 843 | 32 | 55 | 29 | 86 | 373 | 85 | 53 | 38 | 25 |  |
|  | Fecal | 890 | 816 | 54 | 9 | 16 | 3 | 24 | 50 | 7 | 21 | 17 | 8 |  |
|  | Entero | 1099 | 825 | 106 | 7 | 28 | 3 | 50 | 40 | 17 | 35 | 26 | 6 |  |



(nearhore - offshore)

Figure 3.2
Mean and maximum bacteria densities (CFU/100 mL) at PLOO offshore monthly and quarterly sampling stations by sample depth $(A)$ and by transect depth (B).
(i.e., 6 instances), none were associated with elevated fecal coliforms. In addition, fecal coliform concentrations along the Peninsula exceeded 400 $\mathrm{CFU} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ only two occasions during the year (i.e., stations D7 and D8 in October), although neither instance was associated with elevated total coliforms.

## Spatial and Temporal Trends - Kelp and Offshore Stations

There was little evidence that discharged wastewater reached surface waters in 2003 (Figure 3.2a). Total coliform concentrations in surface and subsurface waters ( $1-18 \mathrm{~m}$ ) ranged from non-detectable levels to $750 \mathrm{CFU} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ throughout the year. Moreover, all surface and subsurface fecal coliform densities were $<90 \mathrm{CFU} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$. In contrast, average total coliform concentrations ranged between 2,315 and 5,018 CFU/ 100 mL at depths between 60 and 98 m . Ninetyfive percent of the samples with F:T coliform ratios $>0.1$ came from this depth range. The other 5\% came from samples collected at depths of 40 and 116 m . This pattern suggests that the stratified water column restricted the plume to mid- and deep-water depths throughout the year (see Chapter 2).

Similarly, there was little evidence that discharged wastewater impacted nearshore waters in 2003 (Figure 3.2b). Bacterial levels at the shallowest stations (i.e., $9-60 \mathrm{~m}$ depth transects) were much lower than those further from shore (i.e., $80-116 \mathrm{~m}$ depth transects). In addition, overall bacterial concentrations at the kelp bed stations were much lower than at the offshore stations (Table 3.3). Total and fecal coliform denisties in the 9 and 18-m transect samples were all below benchmark values of 1,000 and $400 \mathrm{CFU} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$, respectively. Approximately $90 \%$ of the samples with F :T coliform ratios >0.1 were from the four deepest station transects sampled during the year (i.e., 80-116 m).

Bacteriological data from the monthly and quarterly sampling at the offshore stations suggested that the waste field was occasionally detected well north and south of the PLOO, but was limited primarily to stations within a relatively small area around the

## Table 3.3

Mean bacteria densities (CFU per 100 mL ) for January, April, July, and October quarterly sampling at PLOO kelp stations and offshore stations

| Month | Bacteria | Kelp | Offshore |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| January | Total | 47 | 1226 |
|  | Fecal | 3 | 320 |
|  | Entero | 2 | 13 |
| April | Total | 22 | 1241 |
|  | Fecal | 5 | 375 |
|  | Entero | 3 | 45 |
| July | Total | 16 | 1465 |
|  | Fecal | 2 | 674 |
|  | Entero | 2 | 45 |
|  | Total | 9 | 1626 |
|  | Fecal | 3 | 477 |
|  | Entero | 2 | 34 |

discharge site. For example, samples with elevated bacterial concentrations were collected during every survey within approximately 2 km of the PLOO. This included samples from stations E8, E10, E12, E14, E16, E18, F19 in January through July, and F29, F30, and F31 in October. Over $62 \%$ of the samples with $\mathrm{F}:$ T ratios $>0.1$ were collected at these sites. In contrast, only $13 \%$ of the samples with similar ratios were detected at the northern sites (i.e., B5, B9, B12, F2125 , and F31-36), and these were limited primarily to the March, April, and October surveys. A similar percentage of samples was found south of the outfall (i.e., stations E2, E5, F15, F16, F17, F26, F27, and F28) in almost every survey. Collectively, these data suggest that the waste field was limited primarily to a limited area within the vicinity of the discharge site at depths greater than 60 m , but was occasionally carried a fair distance to the north and south.

## SUMMARY and CONCLUSIONS

Bacteriological data from water quality surveys of offshore stations suggest that discharge from the Point Loma Ocean Outfall (PLOO) rarely, if ever, impacted surface or nearshore recreational waters. Evidence of contamination along the shoreline and within the kelp bed during 2003 was minimal. When present, it was
limited to shoreline stations, mostly during periods of heavy rainfall, and likely related to shore-based sources.

Water quality samples from the kelp bed stations were $100 \%$ compliant with all California Ocean Plan (COP) standards during 2003. In contrast, incidences of noncompliance occurred at stations along the shoreline primarily associated with rainfall events. The northernmost shore stations (D4-D12) were compliant with COP standards much more frequently than the southernmost stations (D1-D3) located along Imperial Beach. These southern sites are within an area influenced by discharge from the Tijuana River and San Diego Bay, where incidences of non-compliance generally followed periods of the heaviest rainfall. Values exceeding compliance levels along the shore appear to have been caused by contamination from non-outfall sources. Patterns of bacterial concentration and visible satellite imagery data indicate that landbased sources were likely the cause of shoreline and near shore contamination (see Ocean Imaging 2003a, 2003b). In the south, at stations along Imperial Beach, these sources may include San Diego Bay or the Tijuana River, as well as localized terrestrial runoff. To the north, at stations along the Point Loma Peninsula, sources of near shore contamination likely include discharge from north county lagoons, Mission Bay, and the San Diego River, localized terrestrial runoff, or patterns of coastal recreation usage.

Throughout 2003, moderate and high levels of bacteria ( $>1,000 \mathrm{CFU} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) introduced to offshore waters by the PLOO discharge were restricted to deep waters far from shore. Bacteriological data from offshore samples indicate that discharged materials were prevalent in deep waters immediately surrounding the outfall diffusers. The data also suggest that there may have been lateral transport but that such transport, for the most part, would have been parallel to shore and constrained to deeper waters. Contaminated waters indicative of the waste field were found primarily at stations in the immediate vicinity of the PLOO, but were also evident to the south and less frequently to the north of the outfall terminus. Transport of the waste field northward appeared to be limited to the spring (March and April) and fall (October) periods.

In addition to minimal transport shoreward, bacterial data from 2003 also indicate that wastewater plume did not reach surface waters, even at stations directly above the outfall diffusers. Although physical characteristics of the water column (see Chapter 2) suggest strong seasonal stratification, the lack of an increase in bacterial concentrations in surface waters during winter months indicates that seasonal stratification was not the primary factor limiting plume influences on surface waters. The depth of discharge ( $94-98 \mathrm{~m}$ ) may in fact be the strongest factor in restricting the wastewater plume to mid- and deepwater depths. Although research shows that vertical displacement of isothermal surfaces within the water column off Point Loma can be as dramatic as 40 m within a 6 hour time period (Hendricks 1994), data from the region do not indicate that such transport ever reached the surface in 2003.
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## Chapter 4. Sediment Characteristics

## INTRODUCTION

Sediment conditions can influence the distribution of benthic invertebrates by affecting the ability of various species to burrow, build tubes or feed (Gray 1981, Snelgrove and Butman 1994). In addition, many demersal fishes are associated with specific sediment types that reflect the habitats of their preferred prey (Cross and Allen 1993). Both natural and anthropogenic processes affect the distribution, stability and composition of sediments.

Natural factors affecting the distribution and stability of sediments on the continental shelf include bottom currents, exposure to large waves, proximity to river mouths, sandy beaches, submarine basins, canyons and hills, and the presence and abundance of calcareous organisms (Emery 1960). The chemical composition of sediments can be similarly affected by natural factors, such as the geological history of an area. Sediment erosion from bays, cliffs, shores, rivers and streams contribute metals and sedimentary detritus within the area (Emery 1960). Furthermore organic content of sediments is greatly affected by the amount of input from nearshore primary productivity as well as terrestrial plant debris from bays, estuaries and river runoff (Mann 1982, Parsons et al. 1990). Finally, concentrations of organic materials and trace metals within ocean sediments generally increase with increasing amounts of fine sediment particles (Emery 1960, Eganhouse and Vanketesan 1993).

Ocean outfalls are one of many anthropogenic factors that can directly influence the composition and distribution of ocean sediments. Metropolitan wastewater outfalls discharge large volumes of effluent and subsequently deposit a wide variety of organic and inorganic compounds such as pesticides and trace metals (Anderson et al. 1993). Additionally, the physical structure of the outfall pipe can alter the
hydrodynamic regime and subsequently substrate composition in the immediate area (see Shepard 1973).

This chapter presents summaries and analyses of sediment grain size and chemistry data collected during 2003 in the vicinity of the City of San Diego's Point Loma Ocean Outfall (PLOO). The major goals of this study are to assess any impact of wastewater discharged through the outfall on benthic sediments in the region. Included are analyses of the spatial and temporal patterns of the various sediment grain size and chemistry parameters in an effort to determine the presence of sedimentary and chemical footprints near the discharge site.

## MATERIALS and METHODS

## Field Sampling

Sediment samples were collected during January and April 2003 at 23 stations surrounding the PLOO (Figure 4.1). These stations span the terminus of the outfall and are located along the 88,98 , and $116-\mathrm{m}$ depth contours. The 17 " E " stations are located within 8 km of the outfall, while the six "B" stations are located greater than 11 km from the discharge site. In July, the sampling was limited to the 12 core stations along the $98-\mathrm{m}$ contour (B12, B9, E26, E25, E23, E20, E17, E14, E11, E8, E5, and E2) in accordance with changes to the PLOO NPDES permit (see Chapter 1, Appendix A).

Benthic sediment samples were collected using a modified $0.1-\mathrm{m}^{2}$ chain-rigged van Veen grab (see City of San Diego 2004a). Sub-samples were taken from the top two cm of the sediment surface and handled according to United States Environmental Protection Agency guidelines (USEPA 1987).


Figure 4.1
Sediment chemistry stations, Point Loma Ocean Outfall Monitoring Program.

## Laboratory Analyses

All sediment chemistry and grain size analyses were performed at the City of San Diego's Wastewater Chemistry Laboratory (see City of San Diego 2004b). Particle size analysis was performed using a Horiba LA-920 laser scattering particle analyzer, which measures particles ranging in size from -1 to 11 phi (i.e., $0.00049-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; sand, silt and clay fractions). Coarser sediments (e.g., very coarse sand, gravel, shell hash) were removed from samples prior to analysis by screening the samples through a 2.0 mm mesh sieve. These data were expressed as the percent "Coarse" of the total sample sieved (see Appendix B.2).

A disparity in trace metal detection levels occurred between the January and April surveys and the July survey as a result of a change in instrumentation. A more sensitive Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometry (ICP-AES) technique for analysis of metals was introduced mid-year of 2003. An IRIS axial ICP-AES system replaced the Atomscan radial ICP-AES. The superior abilities of the IRIS axial ICP-AES lowered the method detection limits (MDL) approximately an order of magnitude. Consequently, low concentrations of metals that would not have been detected in the January and April samples were detected during the July survey. These lower MDL values are presented in this report (see Table 4.3).

## Data Analyses

The data output from the Horiba particle size analyzer were categorized as follows: sand was defined as particles ranging in size from >-1 to 4.0 phi, silt as particles from $>4.0$ to 8.0 phi, and clay as particles $>8.0$ phi (see Table 4.1). These data were standardized and incorporated with a sieved coarse fraction containing particles $>2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter to obtain a distribution of coarse, sand, silt, and clay totaling $100 \%$. The coarse fraction was included with the phi -1 fraction in the calculation of various particle size parameters, which were calculated using a normal probability scale (see Folk
1968). These parameters included mean and median phi size, standard deviation of phi size (sorting coefficient), skewness, kurtosis and percent sediment type (i.e., coarse, sand, silt, clay).

Chemical parameters analyzed were total organic carbon (TOC), total nitrogen, total sulfides, trace metals, chlorinated pesticides, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and polychlorinated biphenyl compounds (PCBs). Prior to analysis, these data were generally limited to values above MDLs. In addition, some parameters were determined to be present in a sample with high confidence (i.e., peaks are confirmed by mass-spectrometry), but at levels below the MDL. These were included in the data as estimated values. Any null or "not detected" value was treated as a zero when performing statistical analysis or estimating overall means for the survey area.

Values for metals, TOC, TN and pesticides (i.e., DDE) were compared to median values for the Southern California Bight. These bightwide values were based on the cumulative distribution function (CDF) for each parameter (see Schiff and Gossett 1998) and are presented as the $50 \% \mathrm{CDF}$ in the tables included herein. Levels of sediment contamination were further evaluated by comparing the results of this study to the Effects Range Low (ERL) sediment quality guideline of Long et al. (1995). The ERL represents chemical concentrations below which adverse biological effects were rarely observed.

## RESULTS and DISCUSSION

## Particle Size Distribution

During 2003, ocean sediments off Point Loma were composed predominantly of very fine sand and coarse silt with a mean particle size of 4.1 phi ( 0.061 mm ) (Table 4.2, Figure 4.2). Fine sediments (i.e., silt and clay fractions combined) averaged about $39 \%$ of the sediments overall, while sands accounted for $60 \%$. Coarser materials such as shell hash and gravel comprised the remaining $1 \%$. The sorting

Table 4.1
A subset of the Wentworth scale representative of the sediments encountered in the PLOO region. Particle size is presented in phi, microns, and millimeters along with the conversion algorithms. The sorting coefficients (standard deviation in phi units) are based on categories described by Folk (1968).

|  | Wentworth Scale |  |  | Sorting Coefficient |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Phi Size | Microns | Millimeters | Description | Standard Deviation | Sorting |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -2 | 4000 | 4 | Pebble | Under 0.35 phi | very well sorted |
| -1 | 2000 | 2 | Granule | $0.35-0.49 \mathrm{phi}$ | well sorted |
| 0 | 1000 | 1 | Very coarse sand | $0.50-0.70 \mathrm{phi}$ | moderately well sorted |
| 1 | 500 | 0.5 | Coarse sand | $0.71-1.00 \mathrm{phi}$ | moderately sorted |
| 2 | 250 | 0.25 | Medium sand | $1.01-2.00 \mathrm{phi}$ | poorly sorted |
| 3 | 125 | 0.125 | Fine sand | $2.01-4.00 \mathrm{phi}$ | very poorly sorted |
| 4 | 62.5 | 0.0625 | Very fine sand | Over 4.00 phi | extremely poorly sorted |
| 5 | 31 | 0.031 | Coarse silt |  |  |

Conversions for Diameter in Phi to Millimeters: D $(\mathrm{mm})=2-$ phi
Conversions for Diameter in Millimeters to Phi: $D(\mathrm{phi})=-3.3219 \log 10 \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{mm})$
coefficients (standard deviation) were above 1.0 phi at every station, indicating that sediments within the survey area were poorly sorted (i.e., particles of varied sizes) (see Table 4.1). This result reflects the multiple origins of sediments (see Emery 1960), and suggests that these sites are subject to slow moving currents or reduced water motion (Gray 1981).

Most stations had sediments with mean particle sizes between 0.05 and 0.07 mm in diameter (Figure 4.2). As in previous years, sediments were most coarse ( $>0.07 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) at two of the northern reference stations (B12 and B13) and stations near the discharge site (E11 and E14), while the smallest average particle sizes (mean diameter $\leq 0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) were found along the shallow or 88 m contour at stations B8, B11 and E19. The coarse sediments at the northern sites may be related to their location along the outer shelf where strong currents and internal waves export fine sediments down the slope leaving shell hash and larger particles behind (see Shepard and Marshall 1978, Boczar-Karakiewicz et al. 1991). In contrast, coarser sediments at station E14 are probably due to its location near the center of the outfall "wye." Visual examination of the sediments at this site have occasionally revealed the presence of coarse, black sand that was used as stabilizing material around the outfall pipe (see Appendix B.2). This type
of black sand was also regularly present at stations E8, E9, E11 and E15 indicating the potential spread of this ballast material south and east of the outfall. Furthermore, sediments at E3 and E5 also contained varying amounts of coarse materials that are likely related to their proximity to the nearby LA-5 disposal site. Evidence that the main disposal mound has dispersed into areas outside the boundaries of LA-5 have been previously detected by the United States Geological Survey (Gardner et al. 1998; Figure 4.3).

## Organic Indicators

Generally, the distribution of organic indicators concentrations in 2003 was similar to patterns seen prior to discharge (see City of San Diego 1995). The highest concentrations of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), total nitrogen (TN), total organic carbon (TOC), and total volatile solids (TVS) were generally found north of the PLOO, particularly at stations B8, B11, B12, and B13 (Table 4.2). Most TN values were slightly above the median CDF level, and along with TOC, generally tended to increase with decreasing particle size. The highest sulfide concentrations were found at station E14 ( 14.9 ppm ), along with relatively high levels of BOD ( $376 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ).

## Table 4.2

Summary of particle size parameters and organic loading indicators at PLOO stations during 2003. Data are expressed as annual means. $\mathrm{N}=3$ for the core stations indicated in bold type; $\mathrm{N}=2$ for all others. $\mathrm{CDF}=$ cumulative distribution functions (see text); NA=not analyzed. MDL = method detection limit. Area Mean = area mean for 2003. Values that exceed the median CDF are indicated in bold type.

| Station Depth |  | Particle Size |  |  |  |  |  | Organic Indicators |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean phi | Mean mm | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SD } \\ & \text { phi } \end{aligned}$ | Coarse <br> \% | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sand } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Fines <br> \% | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{B O D} \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} \end{aligned}$ | Sulfides <br> ppm | TN WT\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOC } \\ & \text { WT\% } \end{aligned}$ | TVS WT\% |
| North Reference Stations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B11 | 88 | 4.6 | 0.041 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 41.5 | 56.4 | 379 | 1.7 | 0.100 | 0.928 | 4.61 |
| B8 | 88 | 4.5 | 0.042 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 44.3 | 55.4 | 317 | 2.5 | 0.084 | 0.784 | 3.05 |
| B12 | 98 | 3.5 | 0.091 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 67.8 | 30.3 | 403 | 3.3 | 0.113 | 0.950 | 3.58 |
| B9 | 98 | 4.2 | 0.055 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 58.9 | 41.1 | 295 | 2.0 | 0.062 | 0.545 | 3.08 |
| B13 | 116 | 3.5 | 0.090 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 65.7 | 32.5 | 423 | 2.6 | 0.117 | 1.955 | 3.89 |
| B10 | 116 | 4.0 | 0.062 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 67.3 | 32.6 | 364 | 5.3 | 0.055 | 0.502 | 2.89 |
| Stations North of the Outfall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E19 | 88 | 4.3 | 0.049 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 52.6 | 47.3 | 280 | 2.4 | 0.062 | 0.548 | 2.40 |
| E20 | 98 | 4.0 | 0.061 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 62.6 | 37.4 | 286 | 2.0 | 0.056 | 0.514 | 1.85 |
| E23 | 98 | 4.1 | 0.057 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 60.1 | 39.9 | 286 | 2.8 | 0.060 | 0.556 | 2.07 |
| E25 | 98 | 4.1 | 0.058 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 60.6 | 39.4 | 319 | 6.2 | 0.063 | 0.576 | 2.10 |
| E26 | 98 | 4.3 | 0.051 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 56.0 | 43.4 | 281 | 4.3 | 0.065 | 0.587 | 2.17 |
| E21 | 116 | 4.1 | 0.058 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 64.6 | 35.3 | 322 | 2.3 | 0.061 | 0.559 | 2.36 |
| Outfall Stations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E11 | 98 | 3.8 | 0.072 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 68.9 | 31.1 | 277 | 3.8 | 0.044 | 0.390 | 1.73 |
| E14 | 98 | 3.8 | 0.072 | 1.7 | 4.4 | 65.2 | 30.4 | 376 | 14.9 | 0.046 | 0.438 | 1.57 |
| E17 | 98 | 3.9 | 0.067 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 67.3 | 32.4 | 314 | 5.4 | 0.048 | 0.438 | 1.67 |
| E15 | 116 | 4.0 | 0.062 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 66.2 | 33.4 | 278 | 2.7 | 0.056 | 0.513 | 2.31 |
| Stations South of the Outfall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E1 | 88 | 4.1 | 0.058 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 55.4 | 41.8 | 254 | 1.4 | 0.055 | 0.543 | 2.38 |
| E7 | 88 | 4.3 | 0.051 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 55.4 | 44.4 | 258 | 2.1 | 0.060 | 0.589 | 2.42 |
| E2 | 98 | 4.2 | 0.055 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 53.7 | 44.0 | 248 | 5.0 | 0.047 | 0.483 | 2.48 |
| E5 | 98 | 3.9 | 0.066 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 65.6 | 34.5 | 219 | 1.6 | 0.048 | 0.459 | 1.88 |
| E8 | 98 | 3.8 | 0.070 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 68.4 | 31.5 | 247 | 3.7 | 0.044 | 0.411 | 1.79 |
| E3 | 116 | 3.9 | 0.065 | 2.3 | 4.7 | 53.4 | 41.8 | 197 | 0.8 | 0.032 | 0.335 | 2.25 |
| E9 | 116 | 4.3 | 0.051 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 55.6 | 42.6 | 233 | 1.8 | 0.061 | 0.586 | 2.56 |
| Area Mean |  | 4.1 | 0.061 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 59.9 | 39.1 | 298 | 3.5 | 0.063 | 0.617 | 2.48 |
| MDL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0.14 | 0.005 | 0.01 | 0.11 |
| 50\% CDF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NA | NA | 0.050 | 0.597 | NA |

## Trace Metals

Sixteen different trace metals were detected in the sediments off Point Loma in 2003 (Table 4.3). Two metals, silver and thallium, were not detected at any station. Ov erall sediment concentrations were generally low, and most metals occurred at levels less than the median values for the Southern California Bight (i.e., $50 \% \mathrm{CDF}$ ). Despite these generally low values
however, several stations had sediments concentrations of three or more metals higher than the median CDF. These included several northern stations (i.e., B8, B11, B12, B13) as well as a group of stations located near the southern disposal site LA-5 (i.e., E1, E2, E3, E7, E8, E9). The reason for the elevated metal concentrations at the four northern sites is unclear. In contrast, such values near LA-5 have been documented previously (see City of San Diego 2003a, b). For


Figure 4.2
Particle size distribution for sediment chemistry stations during 2003. $\mathrm{N}=3$ for the core stations (see Field Sampling); $\mathrm{N}=2$ for all others. Mean particle size is based on diameter in millimeters, and sorting coefficient (standard deviation) is in phi units.

## Table 4.3

Concentrations of trace metals (parts per million) detected at each station during 2003. $\mathrm{N}=3$ for the core stations indicated in bold type; $\mathrm{N}=2$ for all others. CDF = cumulative distribution function (see text). MDL = method detection limit. ERL TV = Effects Range Low Threshold Value. NA = not available. Area Mean = area mean for 2003. Values that exceed the median CDF are indicated in bold type. The names of each trace metal represented by the periodic table symbol is presented in Appendix B.1.

| Station Depth | Al | Sb | As | Ba | Be | Cd | Cr | Cu | Fe | Pb | Mn | Hg | Ni | Se | Sn | Zn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Reference Stations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B11 88 | 13150 | nd | 3.6 |  | nd | 1.39 | 24.8 | 8.0 | 18500 | 4.3 | 127.0 | 0.040 | 5.3 | 0.37 | nd | 38.4 |
| B8 88 | 15900 | 3.1 | 3.9 |  | nd | nd | 23.7 | 10.0 | 17000 | 3.4 | 143.5 | 0.043 | 4.7 | nd | nd | 36.6 |
| B12 98 | 8267 | 1.9 | 4.7 | 19.5 | 0.11 | 0.96 | 25.0 | 7.0 | 21400 | 3.7 | 76.2 | 0.022 | 4.1 | nd | 0.3 | 37.5 |
| B9 98 | 10340 | nd | 3.5 | 67.4 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 21.8 | 7.1 | 17367 | 3.4 | 107.3 | 0.032 | 5.2 | nd | 0.3 | 33.5 |
| B13 116 | 7700 | 2.8 | 15.1 |  | nd | nd | 34.8 | 4.0 | 26050 | 2.7 | 77.0 | 0.022 | 3.5 | 0.12 | nd | 36.6 |
| B10 116 | 8600 | nd | 3.3 |  | nd | nd | 20.1 | 4.4 | 14600 | nd | 80.5 | 0.021 | 3.4 | nd | nd | 29.0 |
| Stations North of the Outfall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E19 88 | 14100 | 3.5 | 3.4 |  | nd | nd | 20.5 | 7.5 | 14400 | nd | 131.0 | 0.036 | 4.9 | nd | nd | 32.3 |
| E20 98 | 9517 | nd | 2.9 | 35.1 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 16.4 | 8.3 | 11400 | 1.4 | 96.5 | 0.027 | 5.0 | nd | 0.2 | 25.3 |
| E23 98 | 10427 | nd | 3.2 | 36.4 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 17.1 | 9.2 | 12633 | 1.8 | 102.3 | 0.033 | 5.2 | nd | 0.3 | 27.5 |
| E25 98 | 10140 | nd | 3.2 | 34.5 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 17.0 | 8.8 | 12233 | 1.6 | 97.9 | 0.096 | 5.0 | nd | 0.3 | 32.4 |
| E26 98 | 10877 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 34.3 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 17.6 | 9.1 | 12767 | 3.6 | 105.7 | 0.034 | 5.8 | nd | 0.3 | 28.3 |
| E21 116 | 9545 | nd | 2.9 |  | nd | nd | 16.0 | 6.9 | 10850 | nd | 86.8 | 0.025 | 3.8 | nd | nd | 23.9 |
| Outfall Stations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E11 98 | 7770 | nd | 2.7 | 22.7 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 14.3 | 5.6 | 9797 | 1.0 | 78.4 | 0.048 | 4.4 | nd | 0.2 | 21.5 |
| E14 98 | 8163 | nd | 3.3 | 26.3 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 15.2 | 7.8 | 10957 | 0.6 | 90.1 | 0.022 | 4.9 | nd | 0.2 | 24.0 |
| E17 98 | 8803 | nd | 2.8 | 28.2 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 15.0 | 9.1 | 10663 | 8.0 | 91.5 | 0.021 | 4.4 | nd | 0.2 | 27.9 |
| E15 116 | 9585 | nd | 3.2 |  | nd | nd | 16.6 | 5.9 | 11000 | nd | 86.9 | 0.026 | 3.6 | 0.14 | nd | 24.4 |
| Stations South of the Outfall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E1 88 | 11100 | nd | 3.6 |  | 0.70 | nd | 14.7 | 9.7 | 13100 | nd | 99.6 | 0.065 | 8.5 | 0.12 | nd | 30.6 |
| E7 88 | 11700 | 2.6 | 2.6 |  | nd | nd | 18.6 | 4.9 | 12950 | nd | 108.5 | 0.052 | 4.3 | nd | nd | 30.0 |
| E2 98 | 12267 | nd | 3.1 | 67.6 | 0.08 | 0.23 | 18.5 | 14.8 | 16100 | 2.4 | 116.3 | 0.056 | 7.0 | 0.14 | 0.3 | 35.2 |
| E5 98 | 9120 | nd | 2.7 | 29.8 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 15.2 | 7.5 | 11100 | 1.0 | 88.2 | 0.037 | 4.4 | nd | 0.2 | 23.9 |
| E8 98 | 8277 | nd | 2.8 | 26.6 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 15.0 | 6.9 | 10343 | 1.1 | 82.9 | 0.024 | 4.5 | nd | 0.3 | 22.5 |
| E3 116 | 13250 | nd | 3.3 |  | nd | nd | 17.3 | 14.2 | 15000 | nd | 121.0 | 0.054 | 3.3 | nd | nd | 33.6 |
| E9 116 | 9175 | nd | 6.3 |  | nd | nd | 21.8 | 43.7 | 14700 | 4.1 | 90.2 | 0.019 | 3.6 | 0.30 | nd | 69.3 |
| MDL | 1.15 | 0.13 | 0.33 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.016 | 0.028 | 0.75 | 0.142 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.036 | 0.24 | 0.059 | 0.052 |
| 50\% CDF | 9400 | 0.19 | 4.8 | NA | 0.26 | 0.29 | 34.0 | 12.0 | 16800 | 10.2 | NA | 0.040 | 16.3 | 0.29 | NA | 56 |
| ERL TV | NA | 2.0 | 8.2 | NA | NA | 1.2 | 81.0 | 34.0 | NA | 46.7 | NA | 0.150 | 20.9 | NA | NA | 150 |



Figure 4.3
The LA-5 dredge disposal site shown as an acoustic backscatter image superimposed on a Landsat-7 satellite land image of San Diego (USGS 1998). Lighter areas represent harder (more dense) substrates.
example, the high copper values at stations E9, E2 and E3 are likely related to the deposition of copperladen sediments dredged from San Diego Bay (see City of San Diego 2003c). Almost all metal concentrations were below ERL levels. The exceptions included copper (station E9), arsenic (station B13), and cadmium (station B11).

Generally, there was no discernable pattern in trace metal contamination related to proximity to the PLOO. For example, metal concentrations were low at the outfall stations E11, E14, and E17. Overall, metal concentrations increased with greater proportions of fine sediments found at a station. Seven of the 10 stations with concentrations of three or more metals above the median CDF levels averaged over $40 \%$ fine sediments.

## Pesticides, PCBs, and PAHs

DDT was detected as its final metabolic degradation product (p,p-DDE) at five stations in April and was the only pesticide found in sediments off Point Loma during 2003. All detected values were at or below the MDL for $\operatorname{DDE}(3,800 \mathrm{ppt})$ and well below the median CDF

## Table 4.4

Concentrations for PCB (ppt, parts per trillion) compounds in PLOO sediments during 2003. MDL = method detection limit. CDF = cumulative distribution function (see text). Undetected values are indicated by "nd@N = 3 for station B9; N = 2 for E1 and E9.

| SITE | PCB | PCB | PCB | PCB | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 101 | 110 | 118 | 149 | PCB |
| B9 | 867 | nd | nd | nd | 867 |
| E1 | 290 | nd | nd | nd | 290 |
| E9 | 1350 | 1250 | 900 | 600 | 4100 |
| MDL | 2600 | 2900 | 2700 | 2500 |  |
| 50\% CDF |  |  |  |  | 2,600 |

value for total DDT ( $10,000 \mathrm{ppt}$ ). However, several stations (E8, E23, and E25) exceeded the median CDF level for DDE ( $1,200 \mathrm{ppt}$ ) which is below the MDL. The concentrations of DDE at these stations were 3,800, 1,500, and $1,250 \mathrm{ppt}$, respectively. Station E8 also exceeded the ERL value of $1,580 \mathrm{ppt}$. Concentrations of DDE below the median CDF were detected at stations B8 and E19 (540 and 1,100 ppt, respectively).

Polychlorinated biphenyl compounds (PCBs) were mostly undetected during 2003. Four congeners were found at levels below their MDLs among three stations (see Table 4.4). All four compounds were found at stations E9, while PCB 101 was the only congener present at stations E1 and B9.

Thirteen PAH compounds were detected in low concentrations during 2003 (Appendix B.3). All total PAH concentrations from the sampling area were well below the ERL of $4,022 \mathrm{ppb}$. The highest concentration of total PAHs were found primarily at the stations E2 (176 ppb) and E3 (168 ppb), near the LA-5 dredge materials disposal site. These two stations also had the greatest mix of PAH compounds, 11 and 8 different compounds, respectively. Some PAHs were also present at sites near the outfall at stations E11, E14, and E17, but at concentrations below 62 ppb . Concentrations of PAH contaminants in the area surrounding the LA- 5 dredge disposal site have been well-documented (e.g., Anderson et al. 1993, City of San Diego 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003ac); however, the detection PAHs near the outfall, even at these low levels, is rare.

## SUMMARY and CONCLUSIONS

During 2003 the overall sediments surrounding the PLOO consisted primarily of very fine sand and coarse silt. Three of the shallowest stations had the greatest proportions of fine sediments, while the greatest amount of coarse materials (e.g., coarse sand, gravel, shell hash) were found at the two deepest and northernmost reference stations and two stations near the outfall site. Several stations located between the outfall and LA-5 also contained variable amounts of ballast sand, coarse particles, and shell hash. Generally, these results reflect the multiple anthropogenic (e.g., outfall construction, dredge disposal) and natural influences (e.g., Pleistocene and recent detrital deposits) on the region's sediment composition.
Overall, the concentration and distribution of organic indicators in 2003 was very similar to previous surveys. The highest concentrations of BOD, total nitrogen, total carbon, and total volatile solids occurred at the northern reference sites, while the highest values for sulfides occurred near the PLOO (i.e., station E14). Stations located near the LA-5 disposal site generally had relatively low values of organic indicators.

Trace metals occurred in the highest concentrations at northern reference sites characterized by coarse sediments, and at some stations near the LA-5 disposal site. The highest copper concentrations were found at stations near LA-5, and may be associated with the disposal of dredged sediments from San Diego Bay (see City of San Diego 2003c). Such sediments often contain residues of copper-tainted antifouling paint, $70 \%$ of which may originate at Navy berths in the bay (Schiff and Cross 1992; Steinberger et al. 2003). There was an indication of increasing trace metal concentrations with decreasing particle size. This is expected since the accumulation of fine particles generally influences the content of organic materials and metals in sediments (Eganhouse and Venkatesan 1993). Most metals occurred in concentrations well below the median values for sediments in the Southern California Bight, and below ERL levels.

During 2003, only DDE (the final metabolic degradation product of DDT) was detected. This
compound was found at only five stations during the April survey. Concentrations of DDE at three stations were above median CDF levels and one was above the ERL sediment quality guideline, but all were generally near or below method detection limits. However, the widespread distribution of this compound within the survey area is indicative of the ubiquitous presence and the inherent stability of DDT derivatives.

Values for PAHs and PCBs were generally near or below detection limits at all sampling sites. When detected, however, both PAHs and PCBs were more commonly found at stations located near the LA-5 dredge materials disposal site (i.e., stations E1, E2, E3, E5, E9). Historically, concentrations of PAHs and PCBs have been higher at these southern stations than elsewhere off San Diego, and are most likely the result of misplaced deposits of dredged material that were originally destined for LA-5. Between 1991 and 1997, ten large dredging projects, including the large U.S.Navy Channel Deepening project conducted in 1997, disposed contaminated sediment from San Diego Bay at LA 5 (Steinberger et al. 2003). Previous studies of PAHs, PCBs, as well as metals and DDT in this area have been attributed to the deposits from LA-5 (see Anderson et al. 1993; City of San Diego 2003c; Steinberger et al. 2003). PAHs were also found in very low concentrations at three outfall stations (E11, E14, and E17). Such occurrences are rare near the outfall, and the source of the contamination is unclear, particularly since PAHs were undetected in effluents from large municipal wastewater treatment facilities in Southern California (Steinberger and Schiff 2003).
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## Chapter 5. Macrobenthic Communities

## INTRODUCTION

Benthic macrofauna living in marine soft sediments can be sensitive indicators of environmental disturbance (Pearson and Rosenberg 1978). Because benthic macrofauna have limited mobility, many are unable to avoid adverse conditions such as those brought about by natural stressors (e.g. El Niño/La Niña events) or human impacts (e.g. toxic contamination and organic enrichment from anthropogenic sources). Consequently, the assessment of benthic communities has been used to monitor the effects of municipal wastewater discharge on the ocean environment (see Zmarzly et al. 1994, Diener et al. 1995, Bergen et al. 2000).

Sediments on the southern California coastal shelf typically contain a diverse community of macrofaunal invertebrates (Fauchald and Jones 1979, Thompson et al. 1992, Bergen et al. 2001). These animals are essential members of the marine ecosystem, serving vital functions in wide ranging capacities. For example, many species of benthic invertebrates provide the prey base for fish and other organisms, while others decompose organic material as a crucial step in nutrient cycling. The structure of macrofaunal communities is influenced by many factors including sediment conditions (e.g., particle size and sediment chemistry), water conditions (e.g., temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, and current velocity) and biological factors (e.g., food availability, competition, and predation). Although human activities can affect these factors, natural processes largely control the structure of invertebrate communities in marine sediments. Therefore, in order to determine whether changes in community structure are related to human impacts or natural processes, it is necessary to have documentation of background or reference conditions for an area. Such information is available for the region surrounding the Point Loma Ocean Outfall (PLOO) and the San Diego region in general (e.g., City of San Diego 1995, 1999).

This chapter presents analyses and interpretation of the macrofaunal data collected during 2003 at fixed stations surrounding the PLOO discharge site off San Diego, California. Included are descriptions and comparisons of the different assemblages that inhabit soft bottom sediments in the area and analysis of benthic community structure.

## MATERIALS and METHODS

## Collection and Processing of Samples

Benthic samples were collected at 21 stations that span 8 km south and 11 km north of the outfall terminus (Figure 5.1). A total of 107 benthic grabs were taken during three surveys in 2003. All 21 stations were sampled during the January and April surveys, while changes to the NPDES permit (see Chapter 1, Appendix A) limited the July sampling to 12 core station along the $98-\mathrm{m}$ contour (B12, B9, E26, E25, E23, E20, E17, E14, E11, E8, E5, and E2). Detailed methods for locating the stations and conducting benthic grabs are described in the City of San Diego Quality Assurance Manual (City of San Diego 2004).

Samples for benthic community analysis were collected from two replicate $0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ van Veen grabs per station during each survey. The criteria established by the United States Environmental Protection Agency to ensure the consistency of grab samples were followed with regard to sample disturbance and depth of penetration (USEPA 1987). All samples were sieved aboard ship through a 1.0 mm mesh screen. Organisms retained on the screen were relaxed for 30 minutes in a magnesium sulfate solution and then fixed in buffered formalin (see City of San Diego 2004). After a minimum of 72 hours, each sample was rinsed with fresh water and transferred to $70 \%$ ethanol. All organisms were sorted from the debris into major


Figure 5.1
Macrobenthic station locations, Point Loma Ocean Outfall Monitoring Program.
taxonomic groups by a subcontractor then identified to species or the lowest taxon possible and enumerated by City of San Diego marine biologists. Macrofaunal biomass for January and April surveys was measured as the wet weight in grams for each of the following major groups: Annelida (mostly polychaetes), Arthropoda (mostly crustaceans), Mollusca, Ophiuroidea, non-ophiuroid Echinodermata, and all other phyla combined (e.g., Cnidaria, Platyhelminthes, Phoronida, Sipuncula, etc.). Values for ophiuroids (i.e., brittle stars) and all other echinoderms were combined to give a total echinoderm biomass. Per changes to the NPDES permit, biomass data for the July survey was not measured. One sample (Station B9, replicate 2) collected in January 2003, was excluded from analyses due to preservation problems that made it difficult or impossible to identify the animals. Additional information about this sample is available from the city's Marine Biology Laboratory.

## Statistical Analyses

Multivariate analyses were performed using PRIMER v5 (Plymouth Routines in Multivariate Ecological Research) software to examine spatio-temporal patterns in the overall similarity of benthic assemblages in the region (see Clarke 1993, Warwick 1993). These analyses included classification (cluster analysis) by hierarchical agglomerative clustering with groupaverage linking and ordination by non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS). Prior to analysis, macrofaunal abundance data were square-root transformed and the Bray-Curtis measure of similarity was used as the basis for comparison in both classification and ordination. Analyses were run on grabs as statistical replicates used to identify distinct cluster groups among 107 samples at 21 stations.

Annual means for the following community parameters were calculated for each station and each cluster group: species richness (number of species per grab); total number of species (i.e., cumulative of two replicate samples); abundance (number of individuals per grab); biomass (grams per grab, wet weight); Shannon diversity index (H' per grab); Pielou's evenness index (J' per grab); Swartz dominance index (minimum
number of species accounting for $75 \%$ of the abundance in each grab; see Swartz 1978); Infaunal Trophic Index (ITI per grab; see Word 1980) and Benthic Response Index (BRI per grab; see Smith et al 2001).

## RESULTS and DISCUSSION

## Community Parameters: Site Comparisons and Region-wide Summaries

## Number of Species

In total, 548 macrofaunal taxa were identified during the 2003 PLOO surveys. Mean values of species richness ranged from 73 to 113 species per $0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (Table 5.1). As in previous years, the number of species was highest at stations generally characterized by coarser sediments (e.g., B13 and E14).

Polychaetes were the most diverse taxa in the region, accounting for about $53 \%$ of all species collected during 2003. Crustaceans accounted for $24 \%$ of the species, molluscs $12 \%$, echinoderms $7 \%$, and all remaining taxa combined accounted for about $4 \%$ of the species.

## Macrofaunal Abundance

Mean macrofaunal abundance among sites averaged 228 to 753 animals per $0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ in 2003 (Table 5.1). The largest number of animals occurred at stations E26 (753 animals), E14 (608 animals), E25 (522 animals), and B8 (474 animals). The remaining sites ranged from 228 to 366 animals per $0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.

Polychaetes were the most numerous organisms collected, accounting for $60 \%$ of the mean abundance. Crustaceans accounted for $16 \%$ of mean abundance, echinoderms $13 \%$, molluscs $9 \%$, and all other phyla combined about $1 \%$. Station E14 nearest the outfall had the second highest relative abundance of polychaetes among all stations (75\%) and the lowest relative abundance of echinoderms ( $2 \%$ ). These values generally were similar to those reported for 2002 (see City of San Diego 2003). The two most abundant species collected in 2003 were the polychaete worm, Myriochele sp M
(7,475 individuals) and the ophiuroid, Amphiodia urtica (3,126 individuals).

## Species Diversity and Dominance

Species diversity (H') among sites during 2003 was similar to that observed prior to wastewater discharge (see City of San Diego 1995). Mean diversity values ranged from 2.4 to 4.2 during the year (Table 5.1). The highest diversity ( $\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \geq 4.0$ ) occurred at stations along the 116 m contour (i.e. B10, B13, E21, E9) and station E 2 , nearest the LA5 disposal dumpsite. Diversity was lowest at station E26.

Species dominance was expressed as the Swartz $75 \%$ dominance index, the minimum number of species comprising $75 \%$ of a community by abundance. Consequently, lower index values (i.e., fewer species) indicate higher dominance. Benthic assemblages in 2003 were characterized by relatively high numbers of evenly distributed species. Dominance averaged 28 species per station, similar to the 29 species per station present in 2002 (see City of San Diego 2003). Dominance was lowest at stations B8 and E26, both averaging nine species. Evenness (J') values have also remained stable over

## Table 5.1

Benthic macrofaunal community parameters for PLOO stations during 2003. Data are expressed as annual means for: species richness (no. species $/ 0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, SR); total no. species per site (Tot Spp); abundance $/ 0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (Abun); biomass, g/0.1 m²; diversity ( $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ); evenness ( $\mathrm{J}^{\prime}$ ); Swartz dominance, (no. species comprising $75 \%$ of a community by abundance, Dom); benthic response index (BRI); and infaunal trophic index (ITI). N values indicate number of grabs in 2003 as statistical replicates. N values for biomass data (sampled only January and April) are given in parentheses.

|  | N | SR | Tot Spp | Abun | Biomass | $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ | J' | Dom | BRI | ITI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 88-m |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B11 | 4 | 96 | 135 | 308 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 35 | 6 | 78 |
| B8 | 4 | 73 | 107 | 474 | 8.1 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 9 | -1 | 83 |
| E19 | 4 | 75 | 105 | 283 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 24 | 4 | 86 |
| E7 | 4 | 79 | 115 | 258 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 28 | 3 | 89 |
| 98-m Core |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B12 | 6 (4) | 102 | 142 | 366 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 1.0 | 34 | 6 | 77 |
| B9 | 5 (3) | 78 | 100 | 360 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 20 | 1 | 81 |
| E26 | 6 (4) | 90 | 123 | 753 | 5.3 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 9 | 4 | 74 |
| E25 | 6 (4) | 95 | 127 | 522 | 6.8 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 21 | 5 | 78 |
| E23 | 6 (4) | 78 | 106 | 249 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 1.0 | 28 | 4 | 85 |
| E20 | 6 (4) | 82 | 115 | 257 | 5.2 | 3.8 | 1.0 | 30 | 7 | 83 |
| E17 | 6 (4) | 87 | 125 | 287 | 6.6 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 32 | 9 | 79 |
| E14 | 6 (4) | 108 | 149 | 608 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 23 | 14 | 70 |
| E11 | 6 (4) | 83 | 115 | 269 | 6.0 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 31 | 6 | 83 |
| E8 | 6 (4) | 74 | 104 | 272 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 25 | 3 | 86 |
| E5 | 6 (4) | 73 | 101 | 307 | 8.1 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 22 | 1 | 83 |
| E2 | 6 (4) | 102 | 144 | 320 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 37 | 2 | 84 |
| 116-m |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B13 | 4 | 113 | 160 | 365 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 41 | 5 | 78 |
| B10 | 4 | 92 | 126 | 249 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 1.1 | 38 | 9 | 76 |
| E21 | 4 | 85 | 122 | 228 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 36 | 5 | 85 |
| E15 | 4 | 86 | 125 | 282 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 30 | 5 | 80 |
| E9 | 4 | 107 | 149 | 300 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 1.0 | 43 | 3 | 82 |
| All Stations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 88 | 123 | 348 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 28 | 5 | 81 |
| Min |  | 73 | 100 | 228 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 9 | -1 | 70 |
| Max |  | 113 | 160 | 753 | 8.1 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 43 | 14 | 89 |

time, with mean values ranging from 0.6 to 1.1 among all stations (Table 5.1).

## Environmental Disturbance Indices

Mean benthic response index (BRI) values ranged from -1 to 14 at the various stations in 2003. These values suggest that benthic communities in the region are relatively undisturbed, as BRI values below 25 (on a scale of 100) are indicative of reference conditions (see Smith et al. 2001). Mean annual ITI values ranged from 70 to 89 per station in 2003 (Table 5.1). These values
were similar to those reported in previous years (see City of San Diego 2003), with the lowest value again occurring at station E14 located nearest the discharge site. Nevertheless, mean values were $>60$ at all stations, indicating undisturbed sediments or "normal" environmental conditions (see Bascom et al. 1979).

## Dominant Species

The dominant animals that occurred off Point Loma during 2003 are listed in Table 5.2. Various polychaetes

## Table 5.2

Dominant macroinvertebrates at PLOO benthic stations sampled during 2003. Included are the 10 most abundant taxa overall and per occurrence, and the 10 most frequently collected taxa. Data are expressed as: mean abundance per sample (MAS), mean abundance per occurrence (MAO), and frequency of occurrence (FO).

| Species | Higher taxa | MAS | MAO | FO |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Most Abundant |  |  |  |  |
| Myriochele sp M | Polychaeta: Oweniidae | 69.2 | 84.9 | 81 |
| Amphiodia urtica | Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea | 29.8 | 29.8 | 100 |
| Proclea sp A | Polychaeta: Terebellidae | 18.4 | 18.8 | 98 |
| Myriochele gracilis | Polychaeta: Oweniidae | 14.8 | 14.8 | 100 |
| Chaetozone hartmanae | Polychaeta: Cirratulidae | 9.5 | 9.5 | 100 |
| Euphilomedes carcharodonta | Crustacea: Ostracoda | 7.9 | 9.1 | 87 |
| Amphiodia sp | Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea | 6.4 | 6.4 | 100 |
| Euphilomedes producta | Crustacea: Ostracoda | 5.9 | 6.7 | 89 |
| Paradiopatra parva | Polychaeta: Onuphidae | 4.9 | 4.9 | 100 |
| Sternaspis fossor | Polychaeta: Sternaspidae | 4.8 | 4.9 | 98 |
| Most Abundant per Occurence |  |  |  |  |
| Myriochele sp M | Polychaeta: Oweniidae |  |  |  |
| Amphiodia urtica | Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea | 29.2 | 84.9 | 81 |
| Caecum crebricinctum | Mollusca: Gastropoda | 29.8 | 100 |  |
| Proclea sp A | Polychaeta: Terebellidae | 18.6 | 27.8 | 13 |
| Myriochele gracilis | Polychaeta: Oweniidae | 18.8 | 98 |  |
| Chloeia pinnata | Polychaeta: Amphinomidae | 14.8 | 14.8 | 100 |
| Chaetozone hartmanae | Polychaeta: Cirratulidae | 4.1 | 14.0 | 30 |
| Euphilomedes carcharodonta | Crustacea: Ostracoda | 9.5 | 9.5 | 100 |
| Urothoe varvarini | Crustacea: Amphipoda | 7.9 | 9.1 | 87 |
| Euphilomedes producta | Crustacea: Ostracoda | 1.6 | 8.0 | 20 |
| Most Frequently Collected |  | 5.9 | 6.7 | 89 |
| Amphiodia urtica | Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea |  |  |  |
| Myriochele gracilis | Polychaeta: Oweniidae | 29.8 | 29.8 | 100 |
| Chaetozone hartmanae | Polychaeta: Cirratulidae | 14.8 | 14.8 | 100 |
| Amphiodia sp | Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea | 9.5 | 9.5 | 100 |
| Paradiopatra parva | Polychaeta: Onuphidae | 6.4 | 6.4 | 100 |
| Prionospio (Prionospio) dubia | Polychaeta: Spionidae | 4.9 | 4.9 | 100 |
| Clymenura gracilis | Polychaeta: Maldanidae | 3.3 | 3.3 | 100 |
| Diastylis crenellata | Crustacea: Cumacea | 3.1 | 3.1 | 100 |
| Proclea sp A | Polychaeta: Terebellidae | 2.7 | 2.7 | 100 |
| Sternaspis fossor | Polychaeta: Sternaspidae | 18.4 | 18.8 | 98 |
|  |  | 4.8 | 4.9 | 98 |

were dominant species throughout the region. The two most abundant polychaetes were the oweniid Myriochele $\operatorname{sp}$ M (about $69 / 0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) and the terebellid Proclea sp A ( $\sim 18 / 0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ). Seven other polychaetes were among the dominant species in terms of overall abundance, abundance per occurrence, or frequency of occurrence during the year. The ophiuroid Amphiodia urtica was the second most abundant species, averaging about 30 animals per $0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. In addition, since juveniles cannot be identified to species and usually are recorded at the generic or familial level (i.e., Amphiodia sp or Amphiuridae, respectively), this number underestimates actual populations of A. urtica. The only other species of Amphiodia that occurred in 2003 was A. digitata, which accounted for about $6 \%$ of ophiuroids in the genus Amphiodia that could be identified to species (i.e., A. urtica $=$ about $94 \%$ ). Other amphiurid brittle stars accounted for less than $5 \%$ of the total. If the values for these taxa are adjusted accordingly, then the estimated population size for $A$. urtica off Point Loma becomes about 39 animals per $0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Other dominant species included the ostracods Euphilomedes carcharodonta and E. producta. As in previous years, the gastropod Caecum crebricinctum occurred in relatively high densities at two of the northern sites (stations B12 and B13).

Many of these abundant species were dominant prior to discharge in 1993 and have remained dominant since the initiation of outfall operation (e.g., City of San Diego 1995, 1999, 2003). For example, A. urtica has been among the most abundant and most commonly occurring species along the outer shelf since sampling began. In contrast, densities of some numerically dominant polychaetes have been far more cyclical. For example, while Myriochele sp M and Proclea sp A were the most abundant polychaetes during 2003, their populations have varied considerably over time (see City of San Diego 2003). Such variation can have significant effects on other descriptive statistics (e.g., dominance, diversity, abundance) and environmental indices such as ITI and BRI which use the abundance of indicator species in their equations.

## Classification of Benthic Assemblages

Classification analyses discriminated differences between five main benthic assemblages (cluster
groups A-E, Figure 5.2). These assemblages differed in terms of their species composition, including the specific taxa present and their relative abundances. Sediment composition and benthic community structure parameters for each assemblage are given in Table 5.3. The dominant species for each assemblage are listed in Table 5.4.

Cluster group A represented all samples from station B10. The sediments at this station were mainly composed of sand and fine sediment. The ostracod Euphilomedes producta and the bivalves Tellina cadieni and Parvilucina tenuisculpta dominated this assemblage. The polychaete worm Myriochele sp M, a dominant species in all other cluster groups, was much less abundant here than elsewhere in the region.

Cluster group B included all samples from northern stations B12 and B13. Sediments at cluster group B were characterized as sandy silt with some coarse particles. As is typical of these sites, species richness was relatively high, approximately 106 species per $0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. The gastropod Caecum crebricinctum was among the dominant animals in this assemblage. Other numerical dominant species included Myriochele sp M and the amphipod Urothoe varvarini. This cluster group had the highest average abundance of the ophiuroid Amphiodia digitata at $\sim 10 / 0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (Table 5.4).

Cluster group C comprised all samples from station B11. This site is located along the $88-\mathrm{m}$ depth contour and is one of the furthest stations from the outfall. The sediments at this site had the highest percentage of fine particles among all cluster groups ( $57 \%$ fines). The most abundant organisms were Myriochele sp M, Amphiodia urtica and Monticellina siblina.

Cluster group D comprised all samples from station E14 located nearest to the PLOO discharge. Sediments associated with cluster group D had a higher percentage of coarse particles (4.4\%) and a lower percentage fine particles (30\%) than the other groups. This assemblage was heavily dominated by the oweniid polychaete Myriochele sp M, which


Figure 5.2
PLOO benthic stations sampled during 2003, color-coded to represent affiliation with benthic cluster groups.

Table 5.3
Depth, sediment composition, and macrobenthic community parameters for PLOO cluster groups during 2003. Sediment data are expressed as means per $0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ grab over all stations in each group. Coarse = particles $>1.0$ mm ; Fines = silt + clay fraction. Community structure data are expressed as annual means for: species richness (SR), no. species/ $0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$; total no. species per site; abundance/ $0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$; biomass, $\mathrm{g} / 0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$; diversity ( $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ); evenness $\left(J^{\prime}\right)$; Swartz dominance, no. species comprising $75 \%$ of a community by abundance; benthic response index (BRI); and infaunal trophic index (ITI).

|  | A <br> $(\mathrm{n}=4)$ | B <br> $(\mathrm{n}=10)$ | C <br> $(\mathrm{n}=4)$ | D <br> $(\mathrm{n}=6)$ | $\mathbf{E}$ <br> $(\mathrm{n}=83)$ | Mean | Range |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth (m) | 116 | $98-116$ | 88 | 98 | $88-116$ | 101 | $88-116$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sediment characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phi | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.0 | $3.5-4.6$ |
| Coarse (\%) | 0.1 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 1.7 | $0.1-4.4$ |
| Sand (\%) | 67.3 | 67.0 | 41.5 | 65.2 | 60.6 | 60.3 | $41.5-67.3$ |
| Fines (\%) | 32.6 | 31.3 | 56.5 | 30.4 | 39.1 | 38.0 | $30.4-56.5$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community parameters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR | 92 | 106 | 96 | 108 | 84 | 97 | $84-108$ |
| Total Spp. | 126 | 151 | 135 | 149 | 118 | 136 | $118-151$ |
| Abundance | 249 | 366 | 308 | 608 | 339 | 374 | $249-608$ |
| Biomass | 2.7 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 5.2 | 3.8 | $2.7-5.2$ |
| H' | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.8 | $3.4-4.1$ |
| J' | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | $0.8-1.1$ |
| Dominance | 38 | 37 | 35 | 23 | 26 | 32 | $23-38$ |
| BRI | 9 | 6 | 6 | 14 | 4 | 8 | $4-14$ |
| ITI | 76 | 78 | 78 | 70 | 82 | 77 | $70-82$ |

averaged over 205 individuals per $0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (Table 5.4). Three other polychaetes (Myriochele gracilis, Chaetozone hartmanae, Chloeia pinnata) and an ostracod (Euphilomedes carcharodonta) also were prominent. Though these species had mean abundances between $20-30$ individuals per $0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. The opportunistic polychaete Capitella capitata (spp. complex) was also present in this assemblage. When present in high numbers, this species is considered an indicator of organic enrichment (Reish 1971, Grassle and Grassle 1974, Pearson and Rosenberg 1978, Zmarzly et al. 1994). Capitella capitata was the sixth most numerous taxa in the group D assemblage, with a mean abundance of about 14 individuals per $0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. About $90 \%$ of all C. capitata ( 83 of 92 individuals) collected in 2003 were found within cluster group D. Although Amphiodia urtica was present, it occurred in the lowest densities ( 1 per $0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) compared to the other assemblages.

Cluster group E was the largest assemblage, comprising $78 \%$ samples during 2003. Silty sand
comprised the sediments of this cluster group. This group averaged 339 individuals and 84 species per $0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Dominant species included Myriochele sp M, Amphiodia urtica, and the terebellid polychaete Proclea sp A.

## SUMMARY and CONCLUSIONS

Benthic communities around the PLOO continue to be dominated by ophiuroid-polychaete based assemblages, with few major changes having occurred since monitoring began (see City of San Diego 1995, 2003). Polychaete worms continue to dominate the fauna in numbers of species and abundance, while ophiuroids compose the largest consistent biomass fraction. Although many of the 2003 assemblages were dominated by similar species, the relative abundance of these species varied between sites. The oweniid polychaete Myriochele sp M was dominant in all assemblages except cluster group A (the northern reference site B10). Amphiodia urtica was the second most abundant species and one of the

Table 5.4
Summary of the most abundant taxa composing cluster groups A-E from the PLOO benthic stations surveyed in 2003. Data are expressed as mean abundance per sample ( $\mathrm{no} . / 0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) and represent the ten most abundant taxa in each group. Animals absent from a cluster group are indicated by a dash. Values for the three most abundant taxa in each cluster group are bolded.

| Species/Taxa | Higher Taxa | Cluster Group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underset{(n=4)}{A}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B } \\ (n=10) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(n=4)}{C}$ | $\underset{(n=6)}{\text { D }}$ | $\underset{(n=83)}{E}$ |
| Adontorhina cyclia | Mollusca: Bivalvia | 7.0 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 2.4 |
| Amphiodia digitata | Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea | 8.0 | 9.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| Amphiodia sp | Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea | 3.8 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 7.4 |
| Amphiodia urtica | Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea | 3.5 | 1.2 | 18.8 | 1.0 | 37.5 |
| Caecum crebricinctum | Mollusca: Gastropoda | 0.3 | 38.7 | - | - | - |
| Capitella capitata (=spp complex) | Polychaeta: Capitellidae | - | 0.1 | - | 13.8 | 0.1 |
| Chaetozone hartmanae | Polychaeta: Cirratulidae | 9.5 | 5.2 | 8.8 | 24.7 | 9.1 |
| Chloeia pinnata | Polychaeta: Amphinomidae | 1.0 | 8.0 | - | 20.0 | 2.9 |
| Diastylis crenellata | Crustacea: Cumacea | 6.8 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 2.8 |
| Euphilomedes carcharodonta | Crustacea: Ostracoda | - | 7.8 | 3.0 | 24.8 | 7.4 |
| Euphilomedes producta | Crustacea: Ostracoda | 11.0 | 7.3 | - | 10.8 | 5.5 |
| Huxleyia munita | Mollusca: Bivalvia | 1.3 | 7.3 | - | 3.2 | 1.3 |
| Lysippe sp A | Polychaeta: Ampharetidae | 2.5 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 2.2 | 1.2 |
| Maldanidae | Polychaeta Maldanidae | 1.3 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 3.3 |
| Mediomastus sp | Polychaeta: Capitellidae | 0.8 | 2.8 | 5.0 | 9.8 | 2.1 |
| Monticellina siblina | Polychaeta: Cirratulidae | 4.3 | 1.7 | 9.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Myriochele gracilis | Polychaeta: Oweniidae | 9.5 | 8.5 | 3.8 | 29.5 | 15.3 |
| Myriochele sp M | Polychaeta: Oweniidae | 5.0 | 43.0 | 59.5 | 207.2 | 67.0 |
| Nuculana elenensis | Mollusca: Bivalvia | 0.8 | - | 0.5 | 12.2 | 1.5 |
| Paradiopatra parva | Polychaeta: Onuphidae | 7.0 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 4.7 | 4.8 |
| Parvilucina tenuisculpta | Mollusca: Bivalvia | 10.3 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 3.3 |
| Phoronis sp | Phoronida | - | 0.3 | 5.3 | - | 0.1 |
| Prionospio (Prionospio) jubata | Polychaeta: Spionidae | 3.5 | 7.4 | 5.5 | - | 2.7 |
| Proclea sp A | Polychaeta: Terebellidae | 1.3 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 9.5 | 22.7 |
| Rhepoxynius bicuspidatus | Crustacea: Amphipoda | 2.3 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 4.9 |
| Sternaspis fossor | Polychaeta: Sternaspidae | 9.8 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 2.8 | 5.2 |
| Tellina cadieni | Mollusca: Bivalvia | 10.8 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 2.0 |
| Urothoe varvarini | Crustacea: Amphipoda | 3.5 | 15.0 | - | - | 0.2 |

most widespread benthic invertebrates in the region, being dominant or co-dominant in assemblages that comprised $81 \%$ of the samples surveyed in 2003. Assemblages similar to those off Point Loma have been described for other areas in the Southern California Bight (SCB) by Barnard and Ziesenhenne (1961), Jones (1969), Fauchald and Jones (1979), Thompson et al. (1987, 1992, 1993), Zmarzly et al. (1994), Diener and Fuller (1995), and Bergen et al. (1998, 2000).

Although variable, benthic communities off Point Loma generally have remained similar between years in terms of the number of species, number of individuals, biomass, and dominance (City of San Diego 1995,
2003). In addition, values for these parameters in 2003 were similar to those described for other sites throughout the SCB (e.g., Thompson et al. 1992, Bergen et al. 1998, 2001). In spite of this overall stability, there has been an increase in the number of species and macrofaunal abundances since discharge began (see City of San Diego 1995, 2003). However, the increase in species has been most pronounced nearest the outfall, a pattern suggesting that significant environmental degradation is not occurring. In addition, the observed increases in abundance at most stations have been accompanied by decreases in dominance, patterns inconsistent with predicted pollution effects. Whatever the cause of such changes, benthic
communities around the PLOO are not numerically dominated by a few pollution tolerant species.

Changes near the outfall suggest some effects coincident with anthropogenic activities. Indicative of organic enrichment or disturbance was a decrease in the infaunal trophic index (ITI) at station E14 after discharge began (see City of San Diego 1995, 2003). In addition, benthic response index (BRI) values are higher at E14 than at other sites in the region. However, both ITI and BRI values at this and all other sites are still characteristic of undisturbed areas. The instability or patchiness of sediments near the PLOO and the corresponding shifts in assemblages suggest that changes in this area may be related to localized physical disturbance (e.g., shifting sediment types) associated with the structure of the outfall pipe as well as to organic enrichment associated with the discharge of effluent.

While it is difficult to detect specific effects of the Point Loma Ocean Outfall on the offshore benthos, it is possible to see some changes occurring near the discharge site (i.e., E14). Because of the minimal extent of these changes, it has not been possible to determine whether any effect is due to the physical structure of the outfall pipe or to organic enrichment in the area. Such impacts have spatial and temporal dimensions that vary depending on a range of biological and physical factors. In addition, abundances of soft bottom invertebrates exhibit substantial spatial and temporal variability that may mask the effects of any disturbance event (Morrisey et al. 1992a, 1992b, Otway 1995). The effects associated with the discharge of advanced primary treated (APT) and secondary treated sewage may also be negligible or difficult to detect in areas subjected to strong currents that facilitate the dispersion of the wastewater plume (see Diener and Fuller 1995). The high level of wastewater treatment (advanced primary treatment), combined with a minimum dilution factor of 204:1 and deeper location of the discharge (vs. 113:1 at the 220ft deep outfall prior to 1993), may decrease the chances that the PLOO will significantly impact the nearby benthos. The minimal impact reported for the original shallower discharge area off Point Loma supports this conclusion (e.g., Zmarzly et al. 1994). Although some changes in benthic
assemblages have appeared near the outfall, assemblages in the region are still similar to those observed prior to discharge and to natural indigenous communities characteristic of the southern California continental shelf.
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## Chapter 6. Demersal Fishes and Megabenthic Invertebrates

## INTRODUCTION

Demersal fishes and megabenthic invertebrates are conspicuous components of soft-bottom habitats of the mainland shelves and slopes off southern California. More than 100 species of fish inhabit the Southern California Bight (SCB) (Allen 1982, Allen et al. 1998), while the megabenthic invertebrate fauna consists of more than 200 species (Allen et al. 1998). For the Point Loma region off San Diego, the most common trawl-caught fishes include Pacific sanddab, longfin sanddab, Dover sole, hornyhead turbot, California tonguefish, plainfin midshipman, and yellowchin sculpin. The common trawl-caught invertebrates include relatively large species such as sea urchins and sea stars.

Communities of bottom dwelling fish and invertebrates have become an important focus of monitoring programs throughout the world. For example, these organisms have been sampled extensively on the SCB mainland shelf for more than 30 years, primarily by programs associated with municipal wastewater and power plant discharges (Cross and Allen 1993). Although much is known about the condition of these types of assemblages (e.g., Allen et al. 1998), additional studies are useful in documenting community structure and stability, and may provide insight into the effects associated with anthropogenic and natural influences.

The City of San Diego Ocean Monitoring Program was designed to monitor the effects of the Point Loma Ocean Outfall (PLOO) on the local marine biota. This chapter presents analyses and interpretation of demersal fish and megabenthic invertebrate data collected under this program during 2003. A longterm analysis of changes in these communities from 1992 through 2003 is also presented.

## MATERIALS and METHODS

## Field Sampling

A total of 25 trawls were performed during three surveys off Point Loma in 2003. The trawling area extends from about eight km north to nine km south of the outfall. Three inshore stations (SD1, SD3, SD6), located along the $60-\mathrm{m}$ depth contour, were sampled during January. Offshore stations (SD7-SD14), located along the $100-\mathrm{m}$ contour, were sampled during January, April and July (Figure 6.1). Due to changes in the NPDES permit, the three inshore stations and two offshore stations (SD9 and SD11) were not sampled in July (see Chapter 1, Appendix A). A single trawl was performed at each station using a $7.6-\mathrm{m}$ Marinovich otter trawl fitted with a $1.3-\mathrm{cm}$ cod-end mesh net. The net was towed for 10 minutes bottom time at a speed of about 2.5 knots along a predetermined heading. Detailed methods for locating the stations and conducting trawls are described in the City of San Diego Quality Assurance Manual (City of San Diego 2004).

Trawl catches were brought on board for sorting and inspection. All organisms were identified to species or to the lowest taxon possible. If an animal could not be identified in the field, it was returned to the laboratory for further identification. The total number of individuals and the total biomass (wet weight, kg ) were recorded for each species of fish. Additionally, each fish was inspected for the presence of external parasites or physical anomalies (e.g., tumors, fin erosion, discoloration) and measured to the nearest centimeter according to standard protocols (see City of San Diego 2004). The total number of individuals was also recorded for each species of invertebrate. Due to the small size of most organisms, invertebrate biomass was typically measured as a composite wet weight (kg) of all species combined; however, large or exceptionally abundant species were weighed separately. When the white sea urchin Lytechinus pictus was collected in


Figure 6.1
Otter trawl station locations, Point Loma Ocean Outfall Monitoring Program.

Table 6.1
Demersal fish species collected in 22 trawls in the PLOO region during 2003. Data for each species are expressed as: (1) percent abundance (PA); (2) frequency of occurrence (FO); (3) mean abundance per occurrence (MAO).

| Species | PA | FO | MAO | Species | PA | FO | MAO |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pacific sanddab | 54 | 100 | 176 | Hornyhead turbot | $<1$ | 27 | 1 |
| Yellowchin sculpin | 19 | 77 | 81 | California skate | $<1$ | 23 | 1 |
| Longspine combfish | 5 | 82 | 18 | Spotted cuskeel | $<1$ | 23 | 2 |
| Dover sole | 5 | 95 | 16 | Pacific argentine | $<1$ | 18 | 5 |
| Stripetail rockfish | 3 | 77 | 11 | Rockfish unidentified | $<1$ | 18 | 2 |
| Longfin sanddab | 2 | 50 | 13 | Flag rockfish | $<1$ | 14 | 1 |
| California scorpionfish | 2 | 59 | 11 | Flatfish unidentified | $<1$ | 14 | 6 |
| California tonguefish | 2 | 100 | 5 | Greenspotted rockfish | $<1$ | 14 | 1 |
| Pink seaperch | 1 | 82 | 6 | Gulf sanddab | $<1$ | 14 | 2 |
| Plainfin midshipman | 1 | 77 | 6 | Pygmy poacher | $<1$ | 14 | 2 |
| Shortspine combfish | 1 | 77 | 5 | Roughback sculpin | $<1$ | 14 | 3 |
| Blackbelly eelpout | 1 | 18 | 14 | Blackeye goby | $<1$ | 9 | 1 |
| Slender sole | 1 | 32 | 7 | Stripedfin ronguil | $<1$ | 9 | 1 |
| English sole | 1 | 41 | 5 | Blacktip poacher | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |
| Halfbanded rockfish | 1 | 55 | 4 | Cowcod | $<1$ | 5 | 2 |
| Spotfin sculpin | 1 | 23 | 9 | Greenblotched rockfish | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |
| Bay goby | $<1$ | 32 | 2 | King-of-the-salmon | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |
| Bigmouth sole | $<1$ | 32 | 1 | Lumptail searobin | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |
| California lizardfish | $<1$ | 32 | 4 | Pacific hake | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |
| Bluespotted poacher | $<1$ | 27 | 1 | Sculpin unidentified | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |
| Greenstriped rockfish | $<1$ | 27 | 2 | White croaker | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |

large numbers, its abundance was estimated by multiplying the total number of individuals per 1.0 kg subsample by the total urchin biomass.

## Data Analyses

Because the inshore stations were only sampled in January, data analysis for these stations was limited to the summary included in Appendix C.1. Populations of each fish and invertebrate species from the offshore stations were summarized in terms of percent abundance (number of individuals/total of all individuals caught x 100), frequency of occurrence (number of occurrences/total number of trawls x 100) and mean abundance per occurrence (number of individuals/number of occurrences). In addition, the following parameters were calculated for both the fish and invertebrate assemblages at each station: (1) species richness (number of species); (2) total abundance; (3) Shannon diversity index (H'); (4) total biomass (fish only).

Multivariate analyses were performed on the eight offshore stations using PRIMER (Plymouth Routines in Multivariate Ecological Research) software to examine spatio-temporal patterns in the overall similarity of benthic assemblages in the region (see Clarke 1993, Warwick 1993). These analyses included classification (cluster analysis) by hierarchical agglomerative clustering with group-average linking, and ordination by non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS). The fish abundance data were square-root transformed and the Bray-Curtis measure of similarity was used as the basis for both classification and ordination. Patterns in the distribution of the demersal assemblages were examined using MDS plots and analysis of similarities (ANOSIM) (see Field et al. 1982).

## RESULTS

## Fish Community

A total catch of 7,182 fishes, representing thirty-nine species, was collected in the area surrounding the PLOO

## Table 6.2

Summary of demersal fish community parameters sampled during 2003. Data are expressed as (1) total number of species; (2) mean number of species; (3) mean abundance; (4) mean diversity (H'); (5) mean biomass (BM) (kg, wet weight); $\mathrm{n}=3$ except for station SD9 and SD11, where $\mathrm{n}=2$.

|  | No. of Species |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Station | Total | Mean | Abund | H' | BM |
| SD7 | 24 | 14 | 236 | 1.17 | 3.3 |
| SD8 | 27 | 15 | 156 | 1.63 | 3.2 |
| SD9 | 16 | 11 | 220 | 1.72 | 10.0 |
| SD10 | 26 | 15 | 314 | 1.24 | 9.6 |
| SD11 | 19 | 15 | 364 | 1.75 | 10.4 |
| SD12 | 21 | 12 | 403 | 1.42 | 7.5 |
| SD13 | 25 | 16 | 508 | 1.19 | 9.4 |
| SD14 | 27 | 16 | 387 | 1.16 | 10.5 |

during 2003 (Table 6.1, Appendix C.2). The Pacific sanddab was the most abundant fish collected. This species comprised $54 \%$ of the total catch for the year and was present in all hauls. Other frequently occurring species included yellowchin sculpin, longspine combfish, Dover sole, stripetail rockfish, longfin sanddab, California scorpionfish, California tonguefish, pink seaperch, plainfin midshipman, shortspine combfish, and halfbanded rockfish.

Measurements of community structure varied among the stations in 2003 (Table 6.2, Appendix C.3). For example, mean abundance ranged from 156 to 508 fish per haul at the eight offshore stations. The largest hauls, which occurred at stations SD12-SD14, reflected substantial numbers of both yellowchin sculpin (January) and Pacific sanddab (January and July). Total fish biomass was also highly variable, and ranged from 2 to 18 kg per station (Appendix C.3). The higher values were largely due to hauls with high numbers of fish (e.g., Pacific sanddabs) or a few large fish (e.g., California scorpionfish). In contrast, species richness and diversity ( $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ) values differed among stations, both were relatively low. The mean number of species was 16 or less at all stations and average diversity $\left(\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$ values were all below 2.

Demersal fish communities have also varied over time off Point Loma, although the changes do not appear to be associated with the initiation of discharge (Figure 6.2). For example, mean species richness
has remained fairly consistent at between 10-20 species per station, while mean abundances have fluctuated substantially over the years (between 93-690 individuals). These fluctuations in abundance have been greatest at stations SD9-SD14, and generally reflect differences in the populations of the dominant species, especially the Pacific sanddab.

Ordination and classification of analyses of sites resulted in four major clusters (station groups 1-4) during 2003 (see Figure 6.3). The dominant species composing each group are listed in Table 6.3. These assemblages differed in terms of their species composition, primarily reflecting different numbers of the more common species. For example, station group 3 included all but one site sampled in January. The dominant fish from this assemblage included Pacific sanddabs ( 156 individuals per haul) and yellowchin sculpin (180 individuals per haul). In contrast, station group 2, which included all but two sites sampled in April and July, averaged 216 Pacific sanddabs and only 7 yellowchin sculpin per haul. Station groups 1 and 4 included samples from SD8 (January and April) and SD9 (April). These sites had lower overall abundances, but particularly lower abundances of Pacific sanddabs. No patterns were evident that suggest changes in the fish assemblages were associated with the PLOO.

## Physical Abnormalities and Parasitism

The presence of physical abnormalities and parasites were rare on fishes collected off Point Loma in 2003. For example, there was only one instance of a physical abnormality. A single California scorpionfish was collected with blackspots at SD11 in January. The rate of parasitism was $<2 \%$ overall. The highest rate of infestation (3\%) occurred in Pacific sanddabs. The copepod eye parasite Phrixocephalus cincinnatus was the most prevalent parasite. It occurred on Pacific sanddabs collected at all stations during all surveys. The ectoparasitic isopod, Elthusa vulgaris, also occurred in several trawls. However its host fish is unknown because this isopod becomes detached from its host during sorting. Although E. vulgaris occurs on a wide variety of fish species off of southern


## Figure 6.2

Annual mean number of fish species and abundance per station, 1992 through 2003; $\mathrm{n}=4$ except for 2003 when $\mathrm{n}=3$ for SD7,SD8, SD10, SD13, SD14 and $\mathrm{n}=2$ for SD9 and SD11.


Figure 6.3
Classification analyses of demersal fish collected from offshore stations sampled during 2003. Data are presented as a dendrogram of major station groups and a matrix showing distribution over time.

Table 6.3
Summary of the main station cluster groups for the 2003 survey. Data include number of hauls, mean number of species, mean number of individuals, as well as the distribution of abundant and frequently occurring fish species in each group. Most abundant species in bold.

|  | SG1 | SG2 | SG3 | SG4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of hauls | 1 | 12 | 7 | 2 |
| Mean no. of species per haul | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 |
| Mean no. of individuals per haul | 76 | 317 | 427 | 157 |


| Species | Mean Abundance |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pacific sanddab | 35 | 216 | 156 | 75 |
| Spotfin sculpin | 15 | - | - | 12 |
| Shortspine combfish | 6 | - | - | 10 |
| Dover sole | 5 | 24 | 4 | 5 |
| California tonguefish | 3 | - | 7 | 11 |
| Plainfin midshipman | 3 | 6 | - | 4 |
| California scorpionfish | 1 | 4 | 15 | - |
| Blackeye goby | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bluespotted poacher | 1 | - | - | - |
| Greenspotted rockfish | 1 | - | - | - |
| Yellowchin sculpin | - | 7 | 180 | 17 |
| Longspine combfish | - | 16 | 19 | - |
| Longfin sanddab | - | - | 18 | 4 |
| Stripetail rockfish | - | 10 | 6 | 12 |
| Pink seaperch | - | 7 | - | - |
| Blackbelly eelpout | - | 5 | - | - |
| Slender sole | - | 4 | - | - |
| California lizardfish | - | - | 4 | - |
| English sole | - | - | 6 | 2 |

California, it is especially common on sanddabs and California lizardfish, where it may reach infestation rates of $3 \%$ and $80 \%$, respectively (Brusca 1978, 1981). Other unidentified parasites were found on two California scorpionfish and a single gulf sanddab.

## Invertebrate Community

A total of 54,556 megabenthic invertebrates, representing 56 taxa, were collected during 2003 (Table 6.4). The white sea urchin Lytechinus pictus was the most abundant and most frequently captured species. It was present in $95 \%$ of the trawls and accounted for $97 \%$ of the total invertebrate catch. Other species that occurred in at least half of the hauls included the sea pen Acanthoptilum sp, the sea stars Astropecten verrilli and Luidia foliolata, the brittle star Ophiura luetkenii, the sea cucumber

Parastichopus californicus, and the squid Rossia pacifica.

Species richness and abundances were variable among the eight offshore stations during the year (Table 6.5). For example, the mean number of species per station ranged from 7 to 19 , while mean abundance per station averaged from 40 to 6,741 individuals. The largest hauls occurred at stations SD8 and SD10, primarily due to large numbers of the urchin $L$. pictus.

Invertebrate species richness and abundance also varied over time (Figure 6.4). Species richness has ranged from 5 and 20 species at most stations since 1992, although the patterns of change have been similar among stations. In contrast, changes in abundance differed among stations. For example, two stations (i.e., SD13 and SD14) had relatively small catches of invertebrates during each year, while the remaining

## Table 6.4

Megabenthic invertebrate species collected in 22 trawls in the PLOO region during 2003. Data for each species are expressed as: (1) percent abundance (PA); (2) frequency of occurrence (FO); (3) mean abundance per occurrence (MAO).

| Species | PA | FO | MAO | Species | PA | FO | MAO |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lytechinus pictus | 97 | 95 | 2530 | Loxorhynchus grandis | $<1$ | 9 | 2 |
| Acanthoptilum sp | 2 | 68 | 55 | Luidia asthenosoma | $<1$ | 14 | 1 |
| Astropecten verrilli | $<1$ | 82 | 5 | Neocrangon resima | $<1$ | 9 | 2 |
| Parastichopus californicus | $<1$ | 86 | 4 | Ophiothrix spiculata | $<1$ | 5 | 3 |
| Luidia foliolata | $<1$ | 55 | 4 | Pleurobranchaea californica | $<1$ | 9 | 2 |
| Crangon alaskensis | $<1$ | 32 | 6 | Calliostoma turbinum | $<1$ | 9 | 1 |
| Thesea sp B | $<1$ | 45 | 5 | Excorallana truncata | $<1$ | 9 | 1 |
| Rossia pacifica | $<1$ | 50 | 2 | Hemisquilla ensigera californiensis | $<1$ | 9 | 1 |
| Ophiura luetkenii | $<1$ | 50 | 2 | Heptacarpus tenuissimus | $<1$ | 9 | 1 |
| Loligo opalescens | $<1$ | 32 | 3 | Platydoris macfarlandi | $<1$ | 9 | 1 |
| Nymphon pixellae | $<1$ | 27 | 3 | Tritonia diomedea | $<1$ | 5 | 2 |
| Platymera gaudichaudii | $<1$ | 45 | 2 | Amphiodia urtica | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |
| Neocrangon zacae | $<1$ | 27 | 3 | Antiplanes catalinae | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |
| Philine auriformis | $<1$ | 27 | 3 | Astropecten ornatissimus | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |
| Megasurcula carpenteriana | $<1$ | 27 | 2 | Astropecten sp | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |
| Ophiopholis bakeri | $<1$ | 14 | 5 | Cancellaria cooperii | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |
| Octopus rubescens | $<1$ | 32 | 2 | Ceramaster patagonicus | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |
| Florometra serratissima | $<1$ | 14 | 3 | Cucumaria piperata | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |
| Amphichondrius granulatus | $<1$ | 18 | 2 | Eugorgia rubens | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |
| Armina californica | $<1$ | 18 | 2 | Mediaster aequalis | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |
| Elthusa vulgaris | $<1$ | 18 | 1 | Nassarius insculptus | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |
| Metridium senile * | $<1$ | 23 | 1 | Ophiacantha diplasia | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |
| Paguristes turgidus | $<1$ | 14 | 2 | PAGURIDAE | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |
| Sicyonia ingentis | $<1$ | 18 | 1 | Palicus cortezi | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |
| Spatangus californicus | $<1$ | 5 | 5 | Polinices draconis | $<1$ | 5 | 1 |
| Allocentrotus fragilis | $<1$ | 18 | 1 | PORIFERA | 5 | 1 |  |
| Fusinus barbarensis | $<1$ | 14 | 1 | Rathbunaster californicus | $<1$ | 5 | 5 |
| Loxorhynchus crispatus | $<1$ | 14 | 1 | Styela sp | 1 |  |  |

## *Species complex

stations demonstrated large peaks in abundance at various times. These fluctuations typically reflect changes in the dominant echinoderm populations, especially that of L. pictus. None of the observed variability in the invertebrate community could be attributed to the initiation of discharge from the Point Loma outfall.

## SUMMARY and CONCLUSIONS

As in previous years, the structure of the demersal fish and megabenthic invertebrate communities varied among stations, generally due to population fluctuations
of various dominant species. Pacific sanddabs, which were present in every haul, dominated the fish assemblages surrounding the Point Loma Ocean Outfall during 2003. Other fish, such as the yellowchin sculpin, longspine combfish, Dover sole, stripetail rockfish, longfin sanddab, California scorpionfish, California tonguefish, pink seaperch, plainfin midshipman, shortspine combfish, and halfbanded rockfish were also collected frequently, but in much lower numbers.

Invertebrate assemblages were also dominated by a few species. The white sea urchin Lytechinus pictus was the most wide-spread and most abundant

## Table 6.5

Megabenthic invertebrate community parameters sampled during 2003. Data are expressed as (1) total number of species; (2) mean number of species; (3) mean abundance (Abund); (4) mean diversity (H'); $\mathrm{n}=3$ except for station SD9 and SD11, where $\mathrm{n}=2$.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Station | Number of Species |  |  |  |
| Total | Mean | Abund | $\mathbf{H}^{\prime}$ |  |
| SD7 | 19 | 11 | 396 | 0.64 |
| SD8 | 36 | 19 | 6741 | 0.06 |
| SD9 | 19 | 12 | 40 | 2.10 |
| SD10 | 19 | 10 | 6061 | 0.08 |
| SD11 | 16 | 12 | 329 | 1.01 |
| SD12 | 22 | 12 | 3008 | 0.32 |
| SD13 | 18 | 10 | 1324 | 0.20 |
| SD14 | 15 | 7 | 410 | 0.30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

species, representing $97 \%$ of the total invertebrate catch. The sea pen Acanthoptilum sp, the sea stars Astropecten verrilli and Luidia foliolata, the sea cucumber Parastichopus californicus, the brittle star Ophiura luetkenii, and the squid Rossia pacifica also occurred frequently, but in much lower numbers.

These inherently variable communities are subject to influences of both anthropogenic and natural factors. Anthropogenic influences include inputs associated with ocean outfall discharges and storm drain runoff. Natural factors may include prey availability (Cross et al. 1985), bottom relief and sediment structure (Helvey and Smith 1985), and changes in water temperature associated with large scale oceanographic events such as El Niño/La Niña events (Karinen et al. 1985). The observed changes in communities off Point Loma were more likely due to natural factors, which can impact the migration of adult fish or the recruitment of juveniles into an area (Murawski 1993). Population fluctuations
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## Figure 6.2

Annual mean number of invertebrate species and abundance per station, 1992 through 2003; $\mathrm{n}=4$ except for 2003 when $\mathrm{n}=3$ for SD7,SD8, SD10, SD13, SD14 and $\mathrm{n}=2$ for SD9 and SD11.
may also be due to the mobile nature of many species (e.g., schools of fish or aggregations of urchins).

Overall, there was no evidence that the discharge of wastewater from the Point Loma Ocean Outfall in 2003 affected either the fish or megabenthic invertebrate communities in the region. Despite the variable structure of these assemblages, patterns of species diversity, abundance, and biomass at stations near the outfall were similar to sites located further away. In addition, no changes were found in these assemblages that corresponded to the initiation of wastewater discharge (City of San Diego 1994). Furthermore, the absence physical abnormalities on local fishes suggest that populations in the area are healthy.
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Bioaccumulation of Contaminants in Fish Tissues


# Chapter 7: Bioaccumulation of Contaminants in Fish Tissues 

## INTRODUCTION

Bottom dwelling (i.e., demersal) fishes are collected as part of the Point Loma Ocean Outfall (PLOO) monitoring program to assess the accumulation of contaminants in their tissues. The bioaccumulation of contaminants in fish occurs through biological uptake and retention of chemical contaminants derived from various exposure pathways (Tetra Tech 1985). Exposure routes for these fishes include the adsorption or absorption of dissolved chemical constituents from the water and the ingestion and assimilation of pollutants from food sources. They also accumulate pollutants by ingesting pollutantcontaining suspended particulate matter or sediment particles. Demersal fish are useful in biomonitoring programs because of their proximity to bottom sediments. For this reason, levels of contaminants in tissues of demersal fish are often related to those found in the environment (Schiff and Allen 1997).

The bioaccumulation portion of the PLOO monitoring program consists of two components: (1) analysis of liver tissues from trawl-caught fishes; (2) analysis of muscle tissues from fishes collected by rig fishing. Fishes collected from trawls are considered representative of the demersal fish community, and certain species are targeted based on their ecological significance (i.e., prevalence in the community). Chemical analyses are performed using livers because this is where contaminants typically concentrate due to physiological role of the liver and the high lipid levels found there. In contrast, fishes targeted for collection by rig fishing represent a typical sport fisher's catch. Muscle tissue is analyzed from these fishes because it is the tissue most often consumed by humans and therefore the results are pertinent to human health concerns.

All muscle and liver samples were analyzed for contaminants as specified in the NPDES discharge permits for the PLOO monitoring program. Most of
these contaminants are also included in the NOAA National Status and Trends Program. NOAA initiated this program to detect changes in the environmental quality of our nation's estuarine and coastal waters by tracking contaminants thought to be of concern for the environment (Lauenstein and Cantillo 1993). This chapter presents the results of all tissue analyses that were performed during 2003.

## MATERIALS and METHODS

## Collection

Fishes were collected during April and October 2003 at several trawl (SD7-SD14) and rig fishing stations (RF1 and RF2) (Figure 7.1). In accordance with changes to the PLOO NPDES permit that became effective in August 2003 (see Chapter 1, Appendix A), these stations were grouped into different zones for the October survey. However, for ease of interpretation, the data were analyzed by zone for both the April and October surveys. Zone 1 includes the stations located around the PLOO (SD9-SD12 for April, SD10, SD12 for October); Zone 2 includes stations located to the north of the outfall (SD13 and SD14, both surveys); Zone 3 is located near the LA5 dredged materials disposal site (SD8, both surveys); Zone 4 is located south of the outfall (SD7, both surveys). Trawl-caught fishes were collected, measured and weighed following established guidelines as described in Chapter 6 of this report. Fishes were collected at rig fishing sites primarily using rod and reel fishing tackle following standard procedures (City of San Diego 2004a). Fish traps may have been used at the rig fishing sites to facilitate the collection of fish. Only fish $>12 \mathrm{~cm}$ standard length were retained for tissue analyses. These fish were sorted into composite samples, each containing a minimum of three individuals. They were then wrapped in aluminum foil, labeled, put in ziplock bags, and placed on dry ice for transport to the Marine


Figure 7.1
Otter trawl and rig fishing stations (by zone), Point Loma Ocean Outfall Monitoring Program.

Table 7.1
Stations, zones, and species sampled during April and October 2003. PS = Pacific sanddab; ES = English sole; CS = California scorpionfish; LS = longfin sanddab; HT = hornyhead turbot; VR = vermilion rockfish; CR = copper rockfish; MR = mixed rockfish; $B C=$ bocaccio.

| Station | Zone | Rep 1 | Rep 2 | Rep 3 | Rep 4 | Rep 5 | Rep 6 | Rep 7 | Rep 8 | Rep 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD 7 | Zone 4 | PS | CS | CS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD8 | Zone 3 | CS | PS | PS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD9 | Zone 1 | LS | PS | LS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD10 | Zone 1 | CS | CS | CS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD11 | Zone 1 | LS | CS | CS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD12 | Zone 1 | PS | CS | CS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD13 | Zone 2 | CS | LS | PS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD14 | Zone 2 | PS | PS | CS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RF1 | Zone 1 | VR | MR | VR |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RF2 | Zone 2 | MR | BC | MR |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| October 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD7 | Zone 4 | PS | PS | PS | BS* | LS* |  |  |  |  |
| SD8 | Zone 3 | PS | PS | PS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD10, SD12 | Zone 1 | ES | ES | ES | PS | PS | PS | HT | HT* |  |
| SD13, SD14 | Zone 2 | LS | LS | LS | ES | ES | ES | PS | PS | PS |
| RF1 | Zone 1 | CR | MR | VR |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RF2 | Zone 2 | VR | VR | VR |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Only PCBs, chlorinated pesticides and selenium analysed for these samples. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Biology Laboratory freezer. The stations included in each zone and the species that were analyzed from each station are summarized in Table 7.1.

## Tissue Processing and Chemical Analyses

All dissections were performed according to standard techniques for tissue analysis (see City of San Diego 2004a). Each fish was partially defrosted and then cleaned with a paper towel to remove loose scales and excess mucus prior to dissection. The standard length $(\mathrm{cm})$ and weight $(\mathrm{g})$ of each fish were recorded (Appendix D.1). Dissections were carried out on Teflon pads that were cleaned between samples. Tissue samples were then placed in glass jars, sealed, labeled and stored in a freezer at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior to chemical analyses. All samples were subsequently delivered to the City of San Diego Wastewater Chemistry Laboratory within seven days of dissection.

All tissue samples were analyzed for the chemical constituents specified by the NPDES permit under which this sampling was performed, including various metals, chlorinated pesticides, PCBs, and PAHs (Appendix D.2). A summary of all parameters detected at each station during each survey is listed in Appendix D.3. Detected parameters include some that were determined to be present in a sample with high confidence (i.e., peaks are confirmed by massspectrometry), but at levels actually below the MDL. These were included in the data as estimated values. No PAHs were detected in fish tissues during 2004. A detailed description of the analytical protocols may be obtained from the City of San Diego Wastewater Chemistry Laboratory (City of San Diego 2004b).

A more sensitive Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometry (ICP-AES) instrument used for the analysis of metals was introduced mid-year of 2003. The new instrument lowered method detection
limits by approximately an order of magnitude. Consequently, low concentrations of metals that would not have been detected in the April samples were detected during the October survey.

## RESULTS

## Contaminants in Trawl-Caught Species

## Metals

Aluminum, arsenic, cadmium, copper, iron, manganese, mercury, selenium, and zinc occurred frequently in the liver samples of all trawl-caught species of fish (Table 7.2). Each of these metals was detected in over $65 \%$ of the samples from both surveys, although in highly variable concentrations. For example, zinc occurred in all species with concentrations ranging from about 17 to 137 ppm . Barium, beryllium, chromium, nickel, silver, and tin were detected much more frequently in October than in April and at very low concentrations. The significant increase in detection rates is a result of the change in analytical instrumentation between surveys.

Comparisons of the frequently detected metals were made between the stations closest to the discharge site (Zone 1) and those farther away (Zones 2-4) using representatives of the sanddab feeding guild, longfin and Pacific sanddabs (see Allen et al. 2002) (Figure 7.2). Values varied substantially and there was no clear relationship between contaminant levels and proximity to the outfall.

## Chlorinated Pesticides

Nine pesticides were detected in liver tissues from fishes collected in the Point Loma coastal region (Table 7.3). DDT was the most prevalent pesticide; it occurred in all samples with concentrations of total DDT ranging between 86 ppb and $3,346 \mathrm{ppb}$. These values were below the maximum values reported for this area prior to discharge (City of San Diego 1996). Chlordane, BHC, dieldrin, endrin, hexachlorobenzene (HCB), heptachlor, Mirex and nonachlor were also detected, although most at concentrations less than 100 ppb . Of these pesticides, chlordane, HCB and
nonachlor were the most common, with detection rates greater than $65 \%$.

The four most frequently detected pesticides were plotted by zone to address spatial patterns (Figure 7.3). DDT, chlordane, HCB, and nonachlor were detected in fishes collected from all four zones. As with the metals, there was no clear relationship between concentrations of these parameters and proximity to the outfall.

## PCBs

Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) occurred in all fish samples (Table 7.3 and Appendix D.3). Total PCB concentrations were variable and ranged from about 40 to 1103 ppb . No clear relationship was evident between concentrations of PCBs in fish liver samples and proximity to the outfall.

## Contaminants in Rig-Caught Fish

Concentrations of contaminants in muscle tissue samples from rig-caught fishes were compared to national and international limits and standards to address human health concerns, both of which apply to the sale of seafood for human consumption (Mearns et al. 1991). In 2003, arsenic, chromium, copper, mercury, selenium, and zinc were detected in more than $50 \%$ of the fishes collected (Table 7.4). Of these, arsenic, mercury, and selenium had concentrations higher than their median international standards. In addition, the maximum detected value of mercury in vermilion rockfish exceeded the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) action limit for mercury. All values of total DDT were below the FDA action limit.

Spatial patterns were assessed for chlorinated pesticides and PCBs, as well as all metals that occurred frequently in fish muscle tissue samples (Figure 7.4). Although concentrations of these parameters were variable, samples from the nearfield station (RF1) had values generally similar to those of the farfield station (RF2). For example, fish from both sites had concentrations of arsenic, mercury, and selenium that exceeded the international standards. However, a
Table 7.2
Concentrations (ppm) of metals detected in liver samples from fish collected as part of the PLOO monitoring program during 2003; $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{number}$ of detected values.

|  | AI | As | Ba | Be | Cd | Cr | Cu | Fe | Pb | Mn | Hg | Ni | Se | Ag | Sn | Zn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pacific sanddab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n (out of 20) | 20 | 20 | 12 | 11 | 19 | 14 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 19 | 13 | 12 | 20 | 11 | 12 | 20 |
| Min | 3.8 | 1.6 | 0.10 | 0.004 | 1.74 | 0.24 | 1.2 | 56 | 2.7 | 0.56 | 0.040 | 0.12 | 0.66 | 0.062 | 1.31 | 17 |
| Max | 13.5 | 4.6 | 0.18 | 0.009 | 7.40 | 0.52 | 16.5 | 101 | 2.7 | 1.28 | 0.107 | 0.30 | 1.48 | 0.095 | 90.50 | 29 |
| Mean | 8.4 | 2.7 | 0.13 | 0.005 | 3.98 | 0.35 | 6.5 | 77 | 2.7 | 0.86 | 0.068 | 0.20 | 0.99 | 0.078 | 9.02 | 24 |
| California scorpionfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n (out of 12) | 10 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 5 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Min | 3.8 | 1.5 | - | 0.058 | 1.36 | 0.37 | 30.5 | 104 | - | 0.28 | 0.039 | - | 0.63 | - | - | 79 |
| Max | 17.3 | 3.6 | - | 0.058 | 4.73 | 0.51 | 84.1 | 187 | -- | 0.73 | 0.222 | - | 1.11 | - | - | 137 |
| Mean | 10.8 | 2.2 | - | 0.058 | 2.72 | 0.44 | 46.0 | 136 | - | 0.45 | 0.114 | - | 0.85 | - | - | 104 |
| Longfin sanddab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n (out of 7) | 5 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 7 |
| Min | 4.8 | 8.3 | 0.10 | 0.005 | 1.86 | 0.29 | 4.6 | 153 | - | 0.66 | 0.044 | 0.17 | 2.57 | 0.176 | 1.24 | 20 |
| Max | 11.2 | 18.5 | 0.14 | 0.006 | 5.29 | 0.86 | 10.9 | 219 | -- | 1.84 | 0.165 | 0.18 | 3.88 | 0.269 | 1.58 | 32 |
| Mean | 7.5 | 11.2 | 0.11 | 0.005 | 2.92 | 0.45 | 7.5 | 185 | -- | 1.14 | 0.089 | 0.17 | 3.33 | 0.231 | 1.36 | 25 |
| English sole |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n (out of 6) | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Min | 4.7 | 4.1 | 0.08 | 0.004 | 0.59 | 0.24 | 1.0 | 105 | 0.5 | 0.68 | 0.034 | 0.17 | 1.68 | 0.064 | 0.95 | 32 |
| Max | 8.3 | 7.9 | 0.11 | 0.005 | 0.77 | 0.28 | 12.3 | 143 | 0.88 | 1.31 | 0.078 | 0.19 | 3.01 | 0.319 | 1.29 | 80 |
| Mean | 6.0 | 6.0 | 0.10 | 0.004 | 0.69 | 0.25 | 5.0 | 127 | 0.69 | 0.94 | 0.060 | 0.18 | 2.48 | 0.192 | 1.07 | 56 |
| Hornyhead turbot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n (out of 1) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Min | 7.4 | 4.8 | 0.10 | - | 5.07 | 0.27 | 5.7 | 109 | - | 0.59 | 0.137 | 0.20 | 0.89 | 0.270 | 1.17 | 65 |
| Max | 7.4 | 4.8 | 0.10 | - | 5.07 | 0.27 | 5.7 | 109 | -- | 0.59 | 0.137 | 0.20 | 0.89 | 0.270 | 1.17 | 65 |
| Mean | 7.4 | 4.8 | 0.10 | - | 5.07 | 0.27 | 5.7 | 109 | -- | 0.59 | 0.137 | 0.20 | 0.89 | 0.270 | 1.17 | 65 |
| ALL SPECIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Detect. April | 83 | 83 | 0 | 4 | 96 | 33 | 100 | 100 | 4 | 88 | 67 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| \%Detect. Oct. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 91 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 9 | 100 | 95 | 100 | 88 | 95 | 100 | 100 |



Figure 7.2
Concentrations of metals (ppm) detected frequently in liver tissues of fish collected as part of the PLOO monitoring program during 2003.

## Table 7.3

Concentrations of chlorinated pesticides, PCBs, and lipids detected in liver samples from fish collected as part of the PLOO monitoring program during 2003. BHC = Lindane, HCB $=$ hexachlorobenzene, Hept. $=$ heptachlor. Values are expressed as parts per billion (ppb) for all parameters except lipids, which are presented as percent weight (\% wt); $\mathrm{n}=$ number of detected values.

|  | Chlorinated Pesticides |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { PCB } \end{aligned}$ | Lipids |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Chlord. | Total BHC | Dieldrin | Endrin | HCB | Hept. | Mirex | Total Nonachlor | Total DDT |  |  |
| Pacific sanddab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n (out of 20) | 19 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Min | 5.2 | 6.8 | 93 | 11 | 4.9 | - | - | 6.7 | 460.7 | 155.4 | 16.1 |
| Max | 52.0 | 61.0 | 93 | 90 | 10.0 | - | - | 16.0 | 898.6 | 1102.6 | 53.1 |
| Mean | 13.2 | 26.6 | 93 | 51 | 7.4 | - | - | 11.5 | 665.1 | 333.7 | 37.3 |
| California scorpionfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n (out of 12) | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Min | 3.3 | 6.9 | 36 | 10 | 3.7 | 2.5 | - | 6.7 | 402.5 | 217.2 | 16.5 |
| Max | 5.0 | 6.9 | 36 | 10 | 5.8 | 2.5 | - | 16.4 | 3346.0 | 600.5 | 31.4 |
| Mean | 4.1 | 6.9 | 36 | 10 | 4.5 | 2.5 | - | 11.3 | 1017.0 | 367.8 | 23.9 |
| Longfin sanddab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n (out of 8) | 8 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Min | 6.7 | 25.0 | - | 50 | 2.0 | - | 1.7 | 6.8 | 494.7 | 398.5 | 14.7 |
| Max | 22.5 | 388.0 | - | 50 | 7.5 | - | 4 | 34.0 | 1762.5 | 1071.9 | 43.4 |
| Mean | 12.5 | 206.5 | - | 50 | 5.0 | - | 2.7 | 16.0 | 1115.8 | 750.5 | 25.4 |
| English sole |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n (out of 6) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Min | - | - | - | - | 1.5 | - | - | - | 85.9 | 39.6 | 14.1 |
| Max | - | - | - | - | 2.7 | - | - | - | 297.3 | 216.2 | 25.4 |
| Mean | - | - | - | - | 2.2 | - | - | - | 179.58 | 123.8 | 19.4 |
| Hornyhead turbot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n (out of 2) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Min | - | - | - | - | 1.7 | - | - | - | 174.5 | 108.5 | 14.3 |
| Max | - | - | - | - | 2.0 | - | - | - | 252.0 | 155.8 | 17.5 |
| Mean | - | - | - | - | 1.9 | - | - | - | 213.3 | 132.2 | 15.9 |
| Bigmouth sole |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n (out of 1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Min | - | - | - | - | 1.4 | - | - | - | 88.0 | 80.6 | 8.6 |
| Max | - | - | - | - | 1.4 | - | - | - | 88.0 | 80.6 | 8.6 |
| Mean | - | - | - | - | 1.4 | - | - | - | 88.0 | 80.6 | 8.6 |
| ALL SPECIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Detected | 67 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 80 | 2 | 10 | 80 | 100 | 100 | 100 |



Figure 7.3
Concentrations of frequently detected chlorinated pesticides and total PCB detected in liver tissues of fish as part of the PLOO monitoring program during 2003.
single sample from RF1 had the highest concentration of several parameters (e.g., $\mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{HCB}, \mathrm{DDT}$, PCB), as well as the mercury value that exceeded the USFDA action limit.

## SUMMARY and CONCLUSIONS

Demersal fish collected around the Point Loma Ocean Outfall in 2003 were characterized by contaminant values within the range of those reported previously for other Southern California Bight (SCB) fish assemblages (see Mearns et al. 1991, Allen et al. 1998, 2002). In addition, concentrations of these contaminants were generally similar to those reported previously by the City of San Diego (City of San Diego 1996-2003).

The frequent occurrence of metals and chlorinated hydrocarbons in PLOO fish tissues may be due to many factors. Mearns et al. (1991) described the distribution of several contaminants, including arsenic, mercury, DDT, and PCBs as being ubiquitous in the SCB. In fact, many metals (e.g., aluminum and iron) occur naturally in the environment, although little information is available on their background levels in fish tissues. Brown et al. (1986) determined that no areas of the SCB are sufficiently free of chemical contaminants to be considered reference sites. This has been supported by more recent work regarding PCBs and DDTs (e.g., Allen et al. 1998).

Other factors that affect the accumulation and distribution of contaminants include the physiology and life history of different fish species. For example, exposure to contaminants can vary greatly between different species and also among individuals of the same species depending on the migration habits of these fish (Otway 1991). Fish may be exposed to contaminants in one highly contaminated area and then move into an area that is less contaminated. This may explain why many of the metals, pesticides and PCBs detected in fish tissues during 2003 were rarely detected or not detected at all in the sediments immediately surrounding the PLOO (see Chapter 4). In addition, differences in feeding habits, age, reproductive status, and gender can affect the amount of contaminants a fish will retain (e.g., Connell 1987, Evans et al. 1993). These factors make comparisons of contaminants among species and between stations difficult.

Overall, there was no evidence that fishes collected in 2003 were contaminated by the discharge of waste water from the Point Loma Ocean Outfall. With one exception, concentrations of all mercury and DDT in muscle tissues from sport fish collected in the area were below FDA human consumption limits. Finally, there was no other indication of poor fish health in the region, such as the presence of fin rot or other physical anomalies (see Chapter 6).

Table 7.4
Concentrations (ppm) of various metals and total DDT detected in muscle samples from fish collected at PLOO rig fishing stations during 2003. Also included are USFDA action limits and median international standards. Bolded values exceed standards.

*From Table 2.3 in Mearns et al. 1991. USFDA action limit for total DDT is for fish muscle tissue, USFDA mercury action limits and all international standards are for shellfish, but are often applied to fish. All limits apply to the sale of seafood for human consumption.
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## Glossary

Absorption The movement of a dissolved substance (e.g., pollution) into cells by osmosis or diffusion.

Adsorption The accumulation of a dissolved substance on the sediment or on the surface of an organism (e.g., a flatfish).

Ambicoloration A term specific to flatfish that describes the presence of pigmentation on both the eyed and the blind sides. Normally in flatfish, only the eyed side is pigmented.

Anthropogenic Made and introduced into the environment by humans, especially pertaining to pollutants.

BACIP (Before-After-Control-Impact-Paired) An analytical tool for assessing environmental impacts. Samples are collected from control and impacted sites before and after wastewater is released. A statistical test is applied to distinguish change (e.g., in a population or organisms), accounting for variability, caused by the effects of pollution from natural variation over time and between sites.

Benthic Pertaining to the environment inhabited by organisms living on or in the ocean bottom.

Benthos Living organisms (e.g., algae and animals) associated with the sea bottom.

Bioaccumulation The concentration of a chemical in animal tissue that becomes accumulated over time by direct intake via contaminated water, the consumption of contaminated prey, or absorption through the skin.

BOD (Biochemical Oxygen Demand) The amount of oxygen consumed (through biological or chemical processes) during the decomposition of organic material contained in a water or sediment sample. It is a measure for certain types of organic pollution.

BRI (Benthic Response Index) An index that measures levels of environmental disturbance by assessing the condition of a benthic assemblage. The index was based on organisms found in the soft sediments of the Southern California Bight.

CDF (cummulative distribution function) or $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ CDF Used herein to refer to the median value of a chemical parameter (e.g., concentrations of trace metals, organic indicators) occurring within throughout the Southern California Bight (SCB). These values are based upon results from the 1994 Southern California Bight Pilot Project (see http://www.sccwrp.org/ regional/94scbpp/sedchem/sedchem_app.html). Fifty percent of the concentrations of a chemical parameter sampled in 1994 occurred at or below the $50 \%$ CDF.

CFU (colony-forming unit) A unit (measurement) of density used to estimate bacteria concentrations. It represents the number of bacterial cells that grow to form entire colonies, which can then be quantified visually.

Congeners Used herein in reference to any one of 209 different PCB compounds (see below). A congener may have between 1 and 10 chlorine atoms, which may be located at various positions on the PCB molecule.

Control site A geographic location that is far enough from a known pollution source (e.g., ocean outfall) to be considered representative of an undisturbed environment. Information collected within control sites is used as a reference and compared to impacted sites.

Crustacea A group (subphylum) of marine invertebrates characterized by jointed legs and an exoskeleton. Crabs, shrimps, and lobsters are examples.

CTD (conductivity, temperature, and depth) A device consisting of a group of sensors that continually measure various physical and chemical properties such as conductivity (a proxy for salinity), temperature, and pressure (a proxy for depth) as it is lowered through the water.

Biota The living organisms within a habitat or region.

Demersal Refering to organisms living on or near the bottom of the ocean and capable of active swimming. For example, flatfish

Dendrogram A treelike diagram used to represent hierarchal relationships from a multivariate analysis where results from several monitoring parameters are compared among sites.

Diversity (Shannon diversity index, H') A measurement of community structure that describes the abundances of different species within a community, taking into account their relative rarity or commonness.

Dominance (Swartz) A measurement of community structure that describes the minimum number of species accounting for $75 \%$ of the abundance in each grab

Echinodermata A group (phylum) of marine invertebrates characterized by the presence of spines, a radially symmetrical body, and tube feet. For example, seastars, sea urchins, and sea cucumbers.

Ectoparasite A parasite that lives on the outside of its host, and not within the host's body. Isopods and leeches attached to flatfish are examples.

Epibenthic Referring to organisms that live on or near the sediments or other substrates (e.g., rock). See demersal. Compare with infauna.

Epifauna Animals living on the surface of sea bottom sediments or other substrates (e.g., rock).

Impact site A geographic location that has been altered by the effects of a disturbance (e.g., pollution source or anthropogenic activity), such as a wastewater outfall.

Indicator Species Marine invertebrates whose presence in the community reflects the health of the environment. The loss of pollution-sensitive species or the introduction of pollution-tolerant species can indicate environmental disturbance or anthropogenic impact.

Infauna Animals living in the soft bottom sediments usually burrowing or building tubes within.

Invertebrate An animal without a backbone. For example, a seastar, crab, or worm.

ITI (Infaunal Trophic Index) An environmental disturbance index based on the feeding structure of marine soft-bottom benthic communities and the rationale that a change in sediment quality will restructure the invertebrate community to one best suited to feed in the altered sediment type. Generally, ITI values less than 60 indicate a pollution impacted benthic community.

Kurtosis A measure that describes the shape (i.e., peakedness or flatness) of distribution relative to a normal distribution (bell shape) curve. Kurtosis can indicate the range of a data set, and is used herein to describe the distribution of particle sizes within sediment grain size samples.

Macrobenthic invertebrate (Macrofauna)
Epifaunal or infaunal benthic invertebrates that are visible with the naked eye. Larger than meiofauna and smaller than megafauna, this group typically includes those animals collected in grab samples from softbottom marine habitats and retained on a 1 mm mesh screen.

MDL (method detection limit) The EPA defines MDL as "the minimum concentration that can be determined with $99 \%$ confidence that the true concentration is greater than zero."

Megabenthic invertebrate (Megafauna) A larger, usually epibenthic and motile, bottom-dwelling animal such as a sea urchin, crab, or snail. Typically collected by otter trawls with a minimum mesh size of 1 cm .

Mollusca A taxonomic group (phylum) of invertebrates characterized as having a muscular foot, visceral mass, and a shell. Examples include snails, clams, and octopi.

Motile Self-propelled or actively moving.
Niskin Bottle A long plastic tube with caps open at both ends allowing water to pass through until the caps are triggered to close from the surface. They often are
arrayed with several others in a rosette sampler to collect water at various depths.

NPDES (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination
System) A federal permit program that controls water pollution by regulating point source discharge into waters of the United States.

Ophiuroidea A taxonomic group (class) of echinoderms that comprises the brittle stars. Brittle stars usually have five long, flexible arms and a central diskshaped body.

PAHs (Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons) Hydrocarbon compounds with multiple benzene rings which are typical components of asphalts, fuels, oils, and greases. They are also refered to as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. PAHs are potent carcinogens and mutagens.

PCBs (Polychlorinated biphenyls) A category, or family, of organic compounds that includes 209 synthetically halogenated aromatic hydrocarbons formed by the addition of chlorine $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right)$ to biphenyl $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)$. PCB are used in wide ranging industrial applications (e.g., insulation materials in electrical capacitors, hydrolic fluids, paint additives) and have been linked to reproductive and nervous system disorders and cancer in humans.
Phi (size) The conventional unit of sediment size based on the log of sediment grain diameter. The larger the Phi number, the smaller the grain size.

Plankton Animal and plant-like organisms, usually microscopic, that are passively carried by the ocean currents.

PLOO (Point Loma Ocean Outfall) The underwater pipe used to discharge treated wastewater originating from the Point Loma Wastewater Treatment Plant. It extends 7.2 km ( 4.5 miles) offshore and discharges into about $96 \mathrm{~m}(320 \mathrm{ft})$ of water.

Polychaeta A taxonomic group (class) of invertebrates characterized as having worm-like features, segments, and bristles or tiny hairs. Examples include bristle worms

Pycnocline A depth zone in the ocean where density increases rapidly with depth, in association with a decline in temperature and increase in salinity.

Recruitment In an open ocean environment, the retention of young individuals into the adult population.

Red relict sand Coarse reddish-brown sand that is a remnant of a pre-existing formation after other parts have disappeared. Typically originating from land and transported to the ocean bottom through erosional processes.

Rosette sampler A device consisting of a round metal frame housing a CTD in the center and multiple bottles (see Niskin bottle) arrayed about the perimeter. As the instrument is lowered through the water column, continuous measurements of various physical and chemical parameters are recorded by the CTD. The bottles are used to capture discrete water samples at desired depths.

Shell hash Fragments and remnants of bivalve and gastropod shells commonly found in marine sediments, and which frequently have the size and consistency of very coarse sand.

Skewness A measure of the lack of symmetry in a distribution or data set. Skewness can indicate where within a distribution most of the data lies. It is used herein to describe the distribution of particle sizes within sediment grain size samples.

Sorting The range of grain sizes comprising marine sediments, and may also refer to the process by which sediments of similar size are naturally segregated during transport and deposition according to the velocity and transporting medium. Well-sorted sediments are of similar size (such as desert sand), while poorly-sorted sediments have a wide range of grain sizes (as in a glacial till).

SBOO (South Bay Ocean Outfall) The underwater pipe used to discharge treated wastewater originating from the International Wastewater Treatment Plant. It extends 5.6 km ( 4.5 miles) offshore and discharges into about $27 \mathrm{~m}(90 \mathrm{ft})$ of water.

SCB (Southern California Bight) The geographic region that stretches from Point Conception, U.S.A. to the Cabo Colnett, Mexico, and encompasses nearly $80,000 \mathrm{~km} 2$ of coastal land and sea.

Species Richness The number of species per unit area, frequently used to assess community diversity.

Standard length The measurement of a fish from the most forward tip of the body to the base of the tail but excluding the tail fin rays. Fin rays can sometimes be eroded by pollution or preservation so a measurement that includes them (i.e., total length) is considered less reliable.

Thermocline The zone in a thermally stratified body of water that separates warmer surface water from colder deep water. At a thermocline, temperature decreases rapidly over a short depth.

Transmissivity A measure of water clarity based upon the ability of water to transmit light along a straight path. Light that is scattered or absorbed by particulates (e.g., plankton, suspended solid materials) decreases the transmissivity (or clarity) of the water.

Upwelling The movement of nutrient-rich, and typically cold, water from the depths of the ocean to the surface waters along the coastline.

Van Dorn bottle A water-sampling device made of a plastic tube open at both ends that allows water to flow through. Rubber caps at the tube ends can be triggered to close underwater to collect water at a specified depth.

Van Veen Grab A mechanical device designed to collect bottom sediment samples with a surface area of $0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. The device consists of a pair of hinged jaws and a release mechanism that allows the opened jaws to close and entrap a sediment sample once they touch bottom.

ZID (zone of initial dilution) The region of initial mixing of treated wastewater from the diffuser ports of the outfall with the surrounding receiving waters. The area with the ZID, including the underlying seabed, is chronically exposed to pollutants and is likely to be the area of greatest impact.


## APPENDIX A

Modifications to the Point Loma Ocean Outfall Monitoring and Reporting Program (Addendum No. 1, Order/MRP No. R9-2002-0025, NPDES Permit No. CA0107409)

This page intentionally left blank

## Appendix A

Summary of Modifications to the Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) for the City of San Diego Point Loma Metropolitan Wastewater Treatment Plant Discharge to the Pacific Ocean through the Point Loma Ocean Outfall (Addendum No. 1, Order/MRP No. R9-2002-0025, NPDES Permit No. CA0107409)

## Background

In originally proposing changes to City of San Diego's Ocean Monitoring Program for the Point Loma Wastewater Treatment Plant (NPDES Permit. No. CA0107409, Order No. R9-2002-0025), the City of San Diego (City), the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) accounted for work done in developing the Model Monitoring Program (MMP) for large ocean discharges in southern California (Schiff et al. 2001). Consideration was also given to the fact that the City has a 301-h waiver from secondary wastewater treatment and how that affects some of the assumptions brought forward in the MMP. The question driven model was applied (see Chapter 1, Box 1.1) to program revisions. Considerations were given to the key questions that the various program components should address, including some short-term strategic studies to address specific questions about the discharge of wastewater via the Point Loma Ocean Outfall.

Consistent with the MMP design, the proposed new monitoring program includes three main components:

- Core monitoring
- Regional monitoring
- Special studies

The core monitoring component represents mostly modifications to the previous program that was approved and adopted in 2002 by the RWQCB and the USEPA. These changes were designed to address specific questions and to allow for the reallocation of resources for special adaptive studies and regional monitoring activities. The core program includes the following main elements:

- Microbiology and water quality (shore, kelp beds, offshore)
- Ocean sediments and benthic macrofaunal communities
- Bottom dwelling fish and invertebrate communities (trawls)
- Bioaccumulation of contaminants in trawl-caught fishes
- Sea food safety (rig fishing)
- Participation in regional aerial kelp forest surveys

The regional monitoring element represents a commitment to participate in the large scale, bight-wide surveys off southern California that are conducted on a 4-5 year basis. These have included the Southern California Bight Pilot Project (SCBPP) in 1994, the Southern California Bight 1998 Regional Monitoring Project (Bight'98), and the currently ongoing Southern California Bight 2003 Regional Monitoring Project (Bight'03).

Special studies represent an adaptive component intended to address specific questions that can be addressed by either short-term or long-term projects. An example would be the current remote sensing project that is jointly funded by the RWQCB, the City, and the International Boundary and Water

Commission (IBWC). This component is to be reviewed annually to determine the specific projects to be funded. Such a review will include input from the City, RWQCB, USEPA, interested environmental groups, and other interested parties. Examples of projects for the past year (Year 1) include a comprehensive scientific review of the Point Loma ocean monitoring program, the design of a sediment mapping study for the region, and continued participation in a remote sensing project for the entire San Diego coast.

The following is a summary of the general modifications made to the core monitoring component of the Point Loma permit. The details of the new permit are available online from the RWQCB (http:// www.swrcb.ca.gov/rwqcb9/orders/order_files/r9-2002-0025.pdf).

## Shoreline Water Quality

- Number and location of shoreline monitoring stations modified as follows:
< Sampling added at three new sites located to the north near Ocean Beach Pier, Dog Beach, and Mission Beach (i.e., stations D10, D11, D12)
< Sampling discontinued at three southernmost sites (i.e., stations D1, D2, D3); however, sampling at these locations will continue as part of South Bay Ocean Outfall monitoring programs (i.e., stations S8, S9, S12) for the South Bay Water Reclamation Plant (NPDES No. CA0109045) and the International Wastewater Treatment Plant (NPDES Permit No. CA0108928)
< Sampling discontinued at station D6 located north of the Point Loma Ocean Outfall due to inaccessibility and lack of public use
- Sampling frequency increased to weekly all year long (vs. weekly from May through October and biweekly from November through April in previous permit)


## Kelp Bed Water Quality

- Frequency of general water column sampling (i.e., CTD profiles of oceanographic parameters) increased from once per month to five times per month.


## Offshore Water Quality

- Number and location of monitoring stations modified as follows:
< Number of stations increased from 19 to 36
$<$ Sampling initiated at 36 stations comprising new offshore grid
< Sampling discontinued at 19 stations comprising old offshore grid
- Sampling frequency modified from monthly to quarterly schedule (i.e., January, April, July, October)
- Secchi disk measurements discontinued
- Collection and analysis of total suspended solids (TSS) discontinued
- Microbiological assessment limited to Enteroccous only; however, the City voluntarily continues assessment of total and fecal coliform microbiological indicators as well


## Benthic Sediments and Macrofaunal Communities

- Benthic sampling modified as follows:
$<$ Total number of benthic stations reduced from 23 to 22 (i.e., station B13 dropped)
$<$ Add sampling of macrofaunal community at two stations that were previously sampled for sediment grain size and chemistry only (i.e., stations E1 and E3)
- Benthic sample grid subdivided into primary and secondary core stations to accommodate regional monitoring and/or special studies
< Primary core stations comprise the 12 sites located along the $98-\mathrm{m}$ outfall depth contour, primary core sites typically retained during regional surveys or other special projects
$<$ Secondary core stations comprise 10 sites located along the $88-\mathrm{m}$ and $116-\mathrm{m}$ depth contours; requirements for sampling secondary core sites may be relaxed to allow participation in bightwide regional monitoring efforts (e.g., Bight2 03) or other special projects upon approval of the Executive Officer of the RWQCB
- Sampling frequency modified from quarterly to semiannual schedule (January, July)


## Demersal Fish \& Invertebrate Communities (Trawling)

- Number of monitoring stations reduced from 11 to 6
$<$ Sampling discontinued at three "inshore" stations (i.e., SD1, SD3, SD6)
< Sampling discontinued at two "outfall" stations (i.e,. SD9 and SD11)
- Sampling frequency modified from quarterly to semiannual schedule (January, July)
- Collection and analysis of invertebrate biomass data discontinued


## Fish Tissue Contamination (Bioaccumulation in Trawl-Caught Fish)

- Number of monitoring stations reduced from 11 to 6 (see above)
- Six stations divided into four zones from which tissue samples may be collected
- Only liver tissue samples processed and analyzed (previously muscle and liver tissue)


## Local Seafood Safety (Bioaccumulation in Fish Caught by Rig Fishing)

- Sampling frequency modified from semiannual to annual schedule (October)
- Only muscle tissue samples processed and analyzed (previously muscle and liver tissue)
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## Appendix B. 1

Sediment chemistry constituents analyzed for Point Loma Ocean Outfall sampling during 2003.

| Cholorinated Pesticides |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Aldrin |  |  |  |  |
| Alpha (cis) Chlordane | BHC, Delta isomer | Endrin Aldehyde | Mirex | p,p-DDE |
| Alpha Endosulfan | Cis_Nonachlor | Heptachlor | p,p-DDT |  |
| Beta Enddosulfan | Dieldrin | Heptachlor epoxide | o,p-DDE | Trans Nonachlor |
| BHC, Alpha isomer | Endosulfan sulfate | Hexachlorobenzene | Oxychlordane |  |
| BHC, Beta isomer | Endrin | Methoxychlor | p,p-DDD |  |

## Polycylic Aromatic Hydrocarbons

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-methyInaphthalene | Acenaphthene | Benzo[G,H,I]perylene | Fluorene |
| 1-methylphenanthrene | Acenaphthylene | Benzo[K]fluoranthene | Indeno(1,2,3-CD)pyrene |
| 2,3,5-trimethylnaphthalene | Anthracene | Biphenyl | Naphthalene |
| 2,6-dimethyInaphthalene | Benzo[A]anthracene | Chrysene | Perylene |
| 2-methyInaphthalene | Benzo[A]pyrene | Dibenzo(A,H)anthracene | Phenanthrene |
| 3,4-benzo(B)fluoranthene | Benzo[e]pyrene | Fluoranthene | Pyrene |


|  |  | Metals |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| Aluminum (Al) | Cadmium (Cd) | Manganese $(\mathrm{Mn})$ | Silver $(\mathrm{Ag})$ |
| Antimony (Sb) | Chromium (Cr) | Mercury $(\mathrm{Hg})$ | Thallium (TI) |
| Arsenic $(\mathrm{As})$ | Copper $(\mathrm{Cu})$ | Nickel $(\mathrm{Ni})$ | Tin $(\mathrm{Sn})$ |
| Barium $(\mathrm{Ba})$ | Iron $(\mathrm{Fe})$ | Selenium (Se) | Zinc (Zn) |
| Beryllium (Be) | Lead (Pb) |  |  |

PCB Congeners

| PCB 18 | PCB 81 | PCB 126 | PCB 169 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PCB 28 | PCB 87 | PCB 128 | PCB 170 |
| PCB 37 | PCB 99 | PCB 138 | PCB 177 |
| PCB 44 | PCB 101 | PCB 149 | PCB 180 |
| PCB 49 | PCB 105 | PCB 151 | PCB 183 |
| PCB 52 | PCB 110 | PCB 153/168 | PCB 187 |
| PCB 66 | PCB 114 | PCB 156 | PCB 189 |
| PCB 70 | PCB 118 | PCB 157 | PCB 194 |
| PCB 74 | PCB 119 | PCB 158 | PCB 201 |
| PCB 77 | PCB 123 | PCB 167 | PCB 206 |

## Appendix B. 2

Particle size statistics for PLOO sediments, January 2003 survey.

| Station | Depth <br> (m) | Mean Phi | Mean mm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SD } \\ & \text { Phi } \end{aligned}$ | Median Phi | Skewness Phi | Kurtosis Phi | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coarse } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sand } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Silt } \\ \% \end{array}$ | Clay \% | Sediment Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Reference Stations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B11 | 88 | 4.4 | 0.047 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 46.8 | 45.2 | 5.9 | sand, clay, mud balls, shell hash |
| B8 | 88 | 4.6 | 0.041 | 1.6 | 4.2 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 43.0 | 53.5 | 3.5 | silt, clay |
| B12 | 98 | 3.9 | 0.067 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 64.4 | 31.3 | 3.8 | silt, sand, shell hash |
| B9 | 98 | 4.2 | 0.054 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 58.0 | 38.2 | 3.8 | silt, sand, mud balls |
| B13 | 116 | 4.0 | 0.063 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 58.9 | 35.3 | 5.2 | fine sand, shell hash |
| B10 | 116 | 4.1 | 0.058 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 64.2 | 31.2 | 4.4 | sandy silt, shell hash |
| Stations North of the Outfall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E19 | 88 | 4.4 | 0.047 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 51.7 | 44.9 | 3.4 | silt |
| E20 | 98 | 4.0 | 0.063 | 1.4 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 64.0 | 33.0 | 3.0 | silt |
| E23 | 98 | 4.1 | 0.058 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 60.9 | 36.1 | 3.0 | silt |
| E25 | 98 | 4.2 | 0.054 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 59.5 | 37.4 | 3.1 | silt, shell hash |
| E26 | 98 | 4.3 | 0.051 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 56.2 | 40.4 | 3.4 | silt, clay |
| E21 | 116 | 4.1 | 0.058 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 64.0 | 32.8 | 3.3 | silt |
| Outfall Stations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E11 | 98 | 3.8 | 0.072 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 68.8 | 28.7 | 2.5 | silt, shell hash |
| E14 | 98 | 3.9 | 0.067 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 68.3 | 28.8 | 2.4 | silt, coarse black sand, gravel, shell hash |
| E17 | 98 | 4.0 | 0.063 | 1.4 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 30.1 | 2.7 | silt, shell hash |
| E15 | 116 | 4.1 | 0.058 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 63.9 | 31.9 | 3.6 | silt, sand, coarse black sand, shell hash |
| Stations South of the Outfall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E1 | 88 | 4.1 | 0.058 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 53.5 | 37.1 | 4.3 |  |
| E7 | 88 | 4.3 | 0.051 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 55.3 | 41.5 | 3.1 | silt |
| E2 | 98 | 4.2 | 0.054 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 53.4 | 41.0 | 3.4 | silt, coarse sand, shell hash |
| E5 | 98 | 3.9 | 0.067 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 66.5 | 30.8 | 2.7 | silt |
| E8 | 98 | 3.8 | 0.072 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 69.3 | 28.3 | 2.4 | silt, coarse black sand |
| E3 | 116 | 4.1 | 0.058 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 6.4 | 47.5 | 40.5 | 5.5 |  |
| E9 | 116 | 4.3 | 0.051 | 1.8 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 54.8 | 38.3 | 4.9 | silt, coarse black sand, shell hash |

Appendix B. 2 continued.
Particle size statistics for PLOO sediments, April 2003 survey.

| Station | Depth (m) | Mean Phi | Mean mm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SD } \\ & \text { Phi } \end{aligned}$ | Median Phi | Skewness Phi | Kurtosis Phi | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coarse } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Sand \% | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Silt } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Clay $\%$ | Sediment Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Reference Stations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B11 | 88 | 4.8 | 0.036 | 2.0 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 36.2 | 55.9 | 6.0 | sandy silt, coarse sand, shell hash |
| B8 | 88 | 4.5 | 0.044 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 45.6 | 50.7 | 3.2 | silt, clay |
| B12 | 98 | 3.5 | 0.088 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 68.1 | 26.6 | 2.5 | silty sand, coarse sand, shell hash |
| B9 | 98 | 4.2 | 0.054 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 59.1 | 37.8 | 3.1 | silt, clay, pea gravel (mud) |
| B13 | 116 | 3.1 | 0.117 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 72.6 | 22.0 | 2.7 | silty sand, coarse sand, shell hash |
| B10 | 116 | 3.9 | 0.067 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 70.4 | 27.0 | 2.6 | silt, shell hash |
| Stations North of the Outfall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E19 | 88 | 4.3 | 0.051 | 1.5 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 53.5 | 43.4 | 3.1 | silt |
| E20 | 98 | 4.0 | 0.063 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 63.4 | 33.9 | 2.7 | silt, shell hash, sulfur odor |
| E23 | 98 | 4.2 | 0.054 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 59.3 | 37.8 | 2.9 | silt, shell hash |
| E25 | 98 | 4.1 | 0.058 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 60.1 | 36.7 | 3.2 | silt, shell hash |
| E26 | 98 | 4.3 | 0.051 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 55.5 | 41.4 | 3.2 | silt, shell hash |
| E21 | 116 | 4.1 | 0.058 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 65.2 | 31.9 | 2.8 | silt |
| Outfall Stations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E11 | 98 | 3.9 | 0.067 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 67.4 | 30.4 | 2.3 | sandy silt, shell hash |
| E14 | 98 | 3.7 | 0.077 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 11.7 | 57.4 | 28.4 | 2.5 | silt, coarse black sand, shell hash, gravel |
| E17 | 98 | 3.9 | 0.067 | 1.4 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 66.3 | 31.1 | 2.4 | silt, shell hash |
| E15 | 116 | 3.9 | 0.067 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 68.6 | 28.5 | 2.8 | silt, coarse black sand, shell hash |
| Stations South of the Outfall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E1 | 88 | 4.1 | 0.058 | 1.8 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 57.4 | 39.2 | 3.1 |  |
| E7 | 88 | 4.3 | 0.051 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 41.8 | 2.6 | sandy silt |
| E2 | 98 | 4.1 | 0.058 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 57.3 | 39.3 | 3.4 | sandy silt, coarse sand, shell hash |
| E5 | 98 | 4.0 | 0.063 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 63.9 | 33.5 | 2.6 | sandy silt |
| E8 | 98 | 3.9 | 0.067 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 67.2 | 30.4 | 2.4 | sandy silt, shell hash |
| E3 | 116 | 3.8 | 0.072 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 3.1 | 59.3 | 33.6 | 4.1 |  |
| E9 | 116 | 4.3 | 0.051 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 56.5 | 38.1 | 3.9 | silt, coarse sand, coarse black sand, shell hash |

Appendix B. 2 continued.
Particle size statistics for PLOO core station sediments, July 2003 survey.

| Station | Depth <br> (m) | Mean Phi | Mean mm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SD } \\ & \text { Phi } \end{aligned}$ | Median Phi | Skewness Phi | Kurtosis Phi | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coarse } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Sand \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Silt } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Clay } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Sediment Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Reference Stations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B12 | 98 | 3.1 | 0.117 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 70.8 | 24.4 | 2.5 | sand, coarse sand, shell hash, rock |
| B9 | 98 | 4.1 | 0.058 | 1.7 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 59.7 | 37.1 | 3.2 | silty sand, pea gravel (mud) |
| Stations North of the Outfall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E20 | 98 | 4.1 | 0.058 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 60.4 | 36.8 | 2.8 | silt, shell hash, tubes |
| E23 | 98 | 4.1 | 0.058 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 60.0 | 36.7 | 3.2 | silt, coarse sand, shell hash |
| E25 | 98 | 4.0 | 0.063 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 62.3 | 34.7 | 2.9 | silt, shell hash |
| E26 | 98 | 4.3 | 0.051 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 56.2 | 39.3 | 2.5 | silt, shell hash |
| Outfall Stations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E11 | 98 | 3.7 | 0.077 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 70.6 | 27.2 | 2.1 | silt, coarse black sand, shell hash |
| E14 | 98 | 3.8 | 0.072 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 69.9 | 26.7 | 2.4 | silt, coarse black sand, shell hash, gravel |
| E17 | 98 | 3.8 | 0.072 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 68.9 | 28.9 | 2.2 | silt, shell hash |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E2 | 98 | 4.2 | 0.054 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 50.5 | 41.3 | 3.7 | coarse sand, silt, shell hash, rocks |
| E5 | 98 | 3.9 | 0.067 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 66.4 | 31.0 | 2.7 | silt, coarse sand, shell hash |
| E8 | 98 | 3.8 | 0.072 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 68.6 | 28.9 | 2.2 | silt, coarse black sand, shell hash |

## Appendix B. 3

Summary of annual mean concentrations of PAHs (ppb) for PLOO monitoring stations during 2003. MDL = method detection limit. ERL TV = Effects Range Low Threshold. Area Mean = area mean for 2003. Undetected values are indicated by "nd@Core stations are indicated in bold type.

| SITE | $\mathbf{N}$ | 2,6-DIMETHYLNAPHTHALENE | 3,4-BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE | ANTHRACENE | BENZO[A]ANTHRACENE |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E1 | 2 | nd | nd | nd | 24.1 |
| E2 | 3 | nd | 40.2 | 5.3 | 20.4 |
| E3 | 2 | nd | 28 | nd | 15.5 |
| E5 | 3 | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| E8 | 3 | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| E9 | 2 | nd | 16.6 | nd | nd |
| E11 | 3 | nd | 18.2 | nd | nd |
| E14 | 3 | 10.3 | nd | nd | nd |
| E17 | 3 | 8.3 | nd | nd | nd |
| E20 | 3 | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| E23 | 3 | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| SITE | N | BENZO[A]PYRENE | BENZO[e]PYRENE | BENZO[G,H,]PERYLENE | BENZO[K]FLUORANTHENE |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E1 | 2 | 9.8 | 9 | nd | nd |
| E2 | 3 | 24.9 | 17.3 | 9.6 | 9.7 |
| E3 | 2 | 18.8 | 17.1 | 18.2 | nd |
| E5 | 3 | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| E8 | 3 | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| E9 | 2 | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| E11 | 3 | 12 | 9.8 | nd | nd |
| E14 | 3 | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| E17 | 3 | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| E20 | 3 | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| E23 | 3 | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| E25 | 3 | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| E26 | 3 | nd | 18 | nd | nd |
| MDL |  | 21 |  | 10 | 18 |

## Appendix B. 3 continued.

Summary of annual mean concentrations of PAHs (ppb) for PLOO monitoring stations during 2003. MDL = method detection limit. ERL TV = Effects Range Low Threshold. Area Mean = area mean for 2003. Undetected values are indicated by "nd@Core stations are indicated in bold type.

| SITE | $\mathbf{N}$ | CHRYSENE | FLUORANTHENE | INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE | NAPHTHALENE |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E1 | 2 | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| E2 | 3 | 17.6 | 6.8 | nd | 5.3 |
| E3 | 2 | nd | nd | 16.2 | 21.1 |
| E5 | 3 | nd | nd | nd | 5.3 |
| E8 | 3 | nd | nd | nd | 5.8 |
| E9 | 2 | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| E11 | 3 | 10.2 | nd | nd | nd |
| E14 | 3 | nd | nd | nd | 5.6 |
| E17 | 3 | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| E20 | 3 | 4.3 | nd | nd | 6.4 |
| E23 | 3 | nd | nd | nd | 6.9 |
| E25 | 3 | nd | nd | nd | 5.9 |
| E26 | 3 | nd | 12 | nd | 7.2 |
| MDL |  | 12 |  | 14 | 16 |


| SITE | $\mathbf{N}$ | PYRENE | TOTAL PAH |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| E1 | 2 | 13.5 | 56.3 |
| E2 | 3 | 19.1 | 176.2 |
| E3 | 2 | 32.8 | 167.6 |
| E5 | 3 | nd | 12.3 |
| E8 | 3 | nd | 5.8 |
| E9 | 2 | nd | 16.6 |
| E11 | 3 | nd | 61.4 |
| E14 | 3 | nd | 15.9 |
| E17 | 3 | nd | 8.3 |
| E20 | 3 | nd | 10.7 |
| E23 | 3 | nd | 6.9 |
| E25 | 3 | nd | 5.9 |
| E26 | 3 | nd | 7.2 |
| MDL |  | 17 |  |

ERL TV = 4022
Average PAH for the Area $=42$
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## Appendix C. 1

Demersal fish abundance and biomass and megabenthic invertebrate abundance for inshore stations SD1, SD3 and SD6 from January 2003 survey.


## Appendix C. 2

Summary of demersal fish species captured in 22 trawls off of Point Loma, San Diego during 2003. Data depicts total abundance $(\mathrm{N})$ and minimum, maximum and mean length.

| Taxon/Species | Common Name | LENGTH |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | Min | Max | Mean |
| RAJIFORMES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rajidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raja inornata | California skate | 7 | 14 | 57 | 33 |
| OSMERIFORMES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentinidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina sialis | Pacific argentine | 18 | 4 | 12 | 7 |
| AULOPIFORMES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Synodontidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Synodus lucioceps | California lizardfish | 28 | 18 | 40 | 26 |
| OPHIDIIFORMES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ophidiidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chilara taylori | spotted cuskeel | 8 | 13 | 24 | 16 |
| GADIFORMES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Merlucciidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Merluccius productus | Pacific hake | 1 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| BATRACHOIDIFORMES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batrachoididae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Porichthys notatus | plainfin midshipman | 95 | 5 | 18 | 11 |
| LAMPRIFORMIS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trachipteridae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trachipterus altivelis | King-of-the-salmon | 1 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| SCORPAENIFORMES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scorpaenidae | (juv. rockfish unid.) | 7 | 6 | 11 | 8 |
| Scorpaena guttata | California scorpionfish | 146 | 12 | 26 | 20 |
| Sebastes chlorostictus | greenspotted rockfish | 3 | 8 | 10 | 9 |
| Sebastes elongatus | greenstriped rockfish | 9 | 4 | 10 | 7 |
| Sebastes levis | cowcod | 2 | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| Sebastes rosenblatti | greenblotched rockfish | 1 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Sebastes rubrivinctus | flag rockfish | 4 | 5 | 7 | 6 |
| Sebastes saxicola | stripetail rockfish | 185 | 3 | 13 | 9 |
| Sebastes semicinctus | halfbanded rockfish | 45 | 5 | 13 | 10 |
| Triglidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prionotus stephanophrys | lumptail searobin | 1 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Hexagrammidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zaniolepis frenata | shortspine combfish | 77 | 8 | 17 | 13 |
| Zaniolepis latipinnis | longspine combfish | 329 | 6 | 17 | 12 |
| Cottidae | (juv. sculpin unid.) | 1 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Chitonotus pugetensis | roughback sculpin | 8 | 7 | 11 | 9 |
| Icelinus quadriseriatus | yellowchin sculpin | 1381 | 3 | 8 | 6 |
| Icelinus tenuis | spotin sculpin | 45 | 4 | 11 | 8 |
| Agonidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Odontopyxis trispinosa | pygmy poacher | 5 | 8 | 13 | 10 |
| Xeneretmus latifrons | blacktip poacher | 1 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Xeneretmus triacanthus | bluespotted poacher | 7 | 12 | 14 | 13 |

Appendix C. 2 continued

| Taxon/Species | Common Name | LENGTH |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | Min | Max | Mean |
| PERCIFORMES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sciaenidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Genyonemus lineatus | white croaker | 1 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| Embiotocidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zalembius rosaceus | pink seaperch | 100 | 4 | 15 | 8 |
| Bathymasteridae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rathbunella hypoplecta | stripedfin ronquil | 2 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Zoarcidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lycodopsis pacifica | blackbelly eelpout | 57 | 12 | 24 | 18 |
| Gobiidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coryphoterus nicholsii | blackeye goby | 2 | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| Lepidogobius lepidus | bay goby | 13 | 5 | 8 | 6 |
| PLEURONECTIFORMES | (juv. flatish unid.) | 19 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Paralichthyidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Citharichthys fragilis | gulf sanddab | 5 | 9 | 12 | 10 |
| Citharichthys sordidus | Pacific sanddab | 3866 | 4 | 23 | 11 |
| Citharichthys xanthostigma | longfin sanddab | 148 | 10 | 19 | 14 |
| Hippoglossina stomata | bigmouth sole | 9 | 15 | 21 | 17 |
| Pleuronectidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eopsetta exilis | slender sole | 51 | 12 | 17 | 13 |
| Microstomus pacificus | Dover sole | 326 | 4 | 19 | 10 |
| Pleuronectes vetulus | English sole | 46 | 12 | 23 | 17 |
| Pleuronichthys verticalis | hornyhead turbot | 8 | 14 | 24 | 18 |
| Cynoglossidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Symphurus atricauda | California tonguefish | 114 | 11 | 17 | 13 |

Taxonomic arrangement from Nelson 1994.

## Appendix C. 3

Demersal fish abundance and biomass by station, January 2003 survey.

| NAME | SD7 | SD8 | SD9 | SD10 | SD11 | SD12 | SD13 | SD14 | SPECI ES ABUNDANCE BY SURVEY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YELLOWCHI N SCULPI N | 81 | 8 | 114 | 169 | 129 | 558 | 136 | 76 | 1271 |
| PACI FIC SANDDAB | 81 | 102 | 94 | 165 | 63 | 117 | 256 | 316 | 1194 |
| LONGSPI NE COMBFI SH | 3 | 3 | 19 | 26 | 12 | 47 | 21 | 3 | 134 |
| LONGFI N SANDDAB | 7 | 3 | 17 | 7 | 24 | 1 | 52 | 21 | 132 |
| CALI FORNI A SCORPI ONFI SH |  |  | 31 | 15 | 30 | 24 | 2 |  | 102 |
| CALI FORNI A TONGUEFI SH | 14 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 10 | 3 | 63 |
| STRI PETAI L ROCKFI SH | 2 | 11 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 18 | 56 |
| ENGLI SH SOLE | 1 | 3 | 12 | 23 | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 44 |
| SHORTSPI NE COMBFI SH | 4 | 20 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6 |  | 4 | 39 |
| DOVER SOLE | 2 | 7 |  | 3 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 33 |
| CALI FORNI A LI ZARDFI SH | 1 |  | 20 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 27 |
| SPOTFI N SCULPI N | 1 | 23 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 25 |
| PLAI NFI N M DSHI PMAN | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 |  |  | 4 | 18 |
| PI NK SEAPERCH | 5 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 17 |
| HORNYHEAD TURBOT |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 6 |
| BAY GOBY |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| BI GMOUTH SOLE |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| ROUGHBACK SCULPI N |  |  | 1 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |
| GULF SANDDAB | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| CALI FORNI A SKATE | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| FLAG ROCKFI SH |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| PYGMY POACHER |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| SPOTTED CUSKEEL <br> BLUESPOTTED POACHER | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| GREENSPOTTED ROCKFI SH |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| HALFBANDED ROCKFI SH |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| LUMPTAI L SEAROBI N |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| ROCKFI SH UNI D. |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| WHI TE CROAKER |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| TOTAL | 209 | 204 | 330 | 420 | 285 | 783 | 503 | 460 | 3194 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NAME |  |  |  |  | SDI OMASS |
| BY SPECI ES |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix C. 3 continued.

Demersal fish abundance and biomass by station, Arpil 2003 survey.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NAME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| NAME | SD7 | SD8 | SD9 | SD10 | SD11 | SD12 | SD13 | SD14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BI OMASS } \\ & \text { BY SPECI ES } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PACI FIC SANDDAB | 2. 5 | 0. 8 | 0.8 | 1. 5 | 2. 1 | 1. 9 | 3. 1 | 0.5 | 13. 2 |
| CALI FORNI A SCORPI ONFI SH | 0. 5 | 0. 3 |  | 9. 1 | 0.7 | 1. 4 | 0. 3 | 0. 3 | 12. 6 |
| LONGSPI NE COMBFI SH | 0. 1 |  |  |  | 1. 6 | 1. 0 | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 2. 9 |
| STRI PETAI L ROCKFI SH |  | 0. 1 | 0. 2 | 0. 2 | 1. 0 | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 5 | 2. 2 |
| PLAI NFI N M DSHI PMAN | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0. 1 | 1. 7 |
| CALI FORNI A SKATE |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 1. 3 | 1. 4 |
| DOVER SOLE | 0. 2 | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 2 | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 3 | 1. 2 |
| CALI FORNI A TONGUEFI SH | 0. 2 | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0.9 |
| LONGFI N SANDDAB |  |  | 0. 1 |  | 0. 5 |  | 0. 1 |  | 0. 7 |
| HALFBANDED ROCKFI SH |  | 0. 1 |  | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 2 | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 7 |
| PI NK SEAPERCH | 0. 1 |  | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 7 |
| YELLOWCHI N SCULPI N | 0. 1 |  | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 1 |  | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 6 |
| SLENDER SOLE |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0.3 | 0. 5 |
| SHORTSPI NE COMBFI SH | 0. 1 | 0. 2 |  | 0. 1 |  |  | 0. 1 |  | 0. 5 |
| BLUESPOTTED POACHER | 0. 1 | 0. 1 |  | 0.1 |  |  | 0. 1 |  | 0. 4 |
| BAY GOBY |  |  | 0. 1 |  | $0.1$ |  |  | 0. 1 | 0. 3 |
| BI GMOUTH SOLE |  |  |  | 0. 1 | 0.1 |  | 0. 1 |  | 0. 3 |
| GREENSTRI PED ROCKFI SH | 0. 1 | 0. 1 |  | 0. 1 |  |  |  |  | 0. 3 |
| PACI FIC ARGENTI NE |  | 0. 1 |  |  |  | 0. 1 | 0. 1 |  | 0. 3 |
| SPOTTED CUSKEEL | 0. 1 |  |  | 0. 1 | 0. 1 |  |  |  | 0. 3 |
| GREENSPOTTED ROCKFI SH |  | 0. 1 |  | 0. 1 |  |  |  |  | 0. 2 |
| PYGMY POACHER |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 |  | 0. 1 | 0. 2 |
| BLACKBELLY EELPOUT |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 |  | 0. 1 |
| BLACKEYE GOBY |  | 0. 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 |
| CALI FORNI A LI ZARDFI SH |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 |  | 0. 1 |
| FLAG ROCKFI SH |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 |  |  | 0. 1 |
| FLATFI SH UNI D. | 0. 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 |
| GREENBLOTCHED ROCKFI SH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 | 0. 1 |
| HORNYHEAD TURBOT |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 |  |  |  | 0. 1 |
| ROCKFI SH UNI D. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| SCULPI N UNI D. |  | 0. 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 |
| SPOTFI N SCULPI N |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 |
| TOTAL | 4. 3 | 2. 5 | 1. 7 | 12. 1 | 7. 8 | 5. 4 | 5. 1 | 4. 2 | 43. 1 |

## Appendix C. 3 continued.

Demersal fish abundance and biomass by station, July 2003 survey.

| NAME | SD7 | SD8 | SD9 | SD10 | SD11 | SD12 | SD13 | SD14 | SPECI ES ABUNDANCE BY SURVEY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QUARTER 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PACI FIC SANDDAB | 218 | 130 |  | 248 |  | 109 | 453 | 439 | 1597 |
| DOVER SOLE | 22 | 25 |  | 56 |  | 30 | 66 | 28 | 227 |
| LONGSPI NE COMBFI SH | 5 | 2 |  |  |  | 16 | 34 | 5 | 62 |
| BLACKBELLY EELPOUT |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 34 | 11 | 53 |
| YELLOUCHI N SCULPI N | 23 |  |  | 11 |  |  | 5 |  | 39 |
| SLENDER SOLE |  |  |  | 9 |  | 19 | 8 | 1 | 37 |
| PI NK SEAPERCH | 7 | 3 |  | 3 |  | 1 | 8 | 6 | 28 |
| SHORTSPI NE COMBFI SH | 8 | 8 |  | 1 |  | 8 | 2 | 1 | 28 |
| HALFBANDED ROCKFI SH |  | 1 |  | 7 |  | 9 | 6 | 1 | 24 |
| FLATFI SH UNI D. |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 14 | 18 |
| CALI FORNI A TONGUEFI SH | 3 | 7 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 16 |
| STRI PETAI L ROCKFI SH |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 7 | 14 |
| PACI FIC ARGENTI NE | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| GREENSTRI PED ROCKFI SH SPOTFI N SCULPIN | 1 | 3 5 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 5 |
| BAY GOBY | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| PLAI NFI N M DSHI PMAN | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 4 |
| ROCKFI SH UNI D. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| ROUGHBACK SCULPI N CALI FORNI A SKATE | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 3 2 |
| COUCOD |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| ENGLI SH SOLE |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| SPOTTED CUSKEEL |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |
| STRI PEDFI N RONQUI L | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BI GMOUTH SOLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| BLACKEYE GOBY |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| BLACKTI P POACHER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| BLUESPOTTED POACHER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| CALI FORNI A SCORPI ONFI SH |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| FLAG ROCKFI SH |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| GULF SANDDAB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| HORNYHEAD TURBOT |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| PACI FIC HAKE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| RI BBONFI SH |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| TOTAL | 307 | 189 | 0 | 344 | 0 | 209 | 630 | 523 | 2202 |


| NAME | SD7 | SD8 | SD9 | SD10 | SD11 | SD12 | SD13 | SD14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BI OMASS } \\ & \text { BY SPECI ES } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PACI FIC SANDDAB | 0. 6 | 1. 9 |  | 1. 9 |  | 2. 1 | 9. 0 | 15. 9 | 31.4 |
| DOVER SOLE | 0. 5 | 0. 3 |  | 0. 6 |  | 0. 4 | 0.7 | 0. 7 | 3. 2 |
| BLACKBELLY EELPOUT |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 2 | 0. 8 | 0. 2 | 1. 2 |
| SLENDER SOLE |  |  |  | 0. 4 |  | 0.4 | 0. 2 | 0.1 | 1. 1 |
| CALI FORNI A SKATE |  |  |  | 1. 0 |  |  |  |  | 1. 0 |
| LONGSPI NE COMBFI SH | 0. 1 | 0. 1 |  |  |  | 0. 3 | 0. 4 | 0. 1 | 1. 0 |
| SHORTSPI NE COMBFI SH | 0. 2 | 0. 3 |  | 0. 1 |  | 0. 1 | 0.1 | 0. 1 | 0. 9 |
| PI NK SEAPERCH | 0. 1 | 0. 1 |  | 0. 2 |  | 0. 1 | 0. 2 | 0. 2 | 0. 9 |
| HALFBANDED ROCKFI SH |  | 0. 1 |  | 0. 2 |  | 0. 2 | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 7 |
| CALI FORNI A TONGUEFI SH | 0. 1 | 0. 1 |  | 0. 1 |  | 0. 1 | 0.1 | 0. 1 | 0.6 |
| STRI PETAI L ROCKFI SH |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 2 | 0. 2 | 0. 4 |
| ENGLI SH SOLE |  |  |  | 0. 1 |  |  |  | 0. 2 | 0. 3 |
| GREENSTRI PED ROCKFI SH | 0. 1 | 0. 1 |  |  |  | 0. 1 |  |  | 0. 3 |
| PLAI NFIN M DSHI PMAN | 0. 1 |  |  | 0. 1 |  |  | 0. 1 |  | 0. 3 |
| YELLOUCH N SCULPI N | 0. 1 |  |  | 0. 1 |  |  | 0.1 |  | 0. 3 |
| BAY GOBY | 0. 1 | 0. 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 2 |
| FLATFI SH UNI D. |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 | 0. 2 |
| HORNYHEAD TURBOT |  |  |  | 0. 2 |  |  |  |  | 0. 2 |
| ROCKFI SH UNI D. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 | 0. 1 | 0. 2 |
| STRI PEDFI N RONQUI L | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 2 |
| BI GMOUTH SOLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 | 0. 1 |
| BLACKEYE GOBY |  | 0. 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 |
| BLACKTI P POACHER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 | 0. 1 |
| BLUESPOTTED POACHER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0. 1 |
| CALI FORNI A SCORPI ONFI SH |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 |  | 0. 1 |
| COWCOD |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  | 0. 1 |
| FLAG ROCKFI SH |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 |
| GULF SANDDAB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 | 0. 1 |
| PACI FIC ARGENTI NE | 0. 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 |
| PACI FIC HAKE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0. 1 |
| RI BBONFI SH |  |  |  | 0. 1 |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 |
| ROUGHBACK SCULPI $N$ | 0. 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 |
| SPOTFIN SCULPIN |  | 0. 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 |
| SPOTTED CUSKEEL |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 1 |  |  | 0. 1 |
| TOTAL | 2. 3 | 3. 4 | 0. 0 | 5. 2 | 0. 0 | 4. 2 | 12. 3 | 18. 6 | 46. 0 |

## Appendix C. 4

Summary of megabenthic invertebrate species captured in 22 trawls off of Point Loma, San Diego during 2003. Data are number of individuals collected ( N ).

| Taxon/Species | N |
| :---: | :---: |
| PORIFERA | 1 |
| CNIDARIA ANTHOZOA |  |
|  |  |
| Alcyonacea |  |
| Gorgoniidae |  |
| Eugorgia rubens | 1 |
| Muriceidae |  |
| Thesea sp B | 45 |
| Pennatulacea |  |
| Virgulariidae |  |
| Acanthoptilum sp | 823 |
| Actiniaria |  |
| Metridiidae |  |
| Metridium [senile (=spp complex)] | 5 |
| MOLLUSCA |  |
| GASTROPODA |  |
| Vetigastropoda |  |
| Calliostomatidae |  |
| Calliostoma turbinum | 2 |
| Neotaenioglossa |  |
| Naticidae |  |
| Polinices draconis | 1 |
| Neogastropoda |  |
| Nassariidae |  |
| Fasciolariidae |  |
| Fusinus barbarensis | 4 |
| Cancellariidae |  |
| Turridae Cancellaria cooperii |  |
|  |  |
| Antiplanes catalinae | 1 |
| Megasurcula carpenteriana | 14 |
| Cephalaspidea |  |
| Philinidae |  |
| Philine auriformis | 16 |
| Notaspidea |  |
| Pleurobranchidae |  |
| Pleurobranchaea californica | 3 |
| Nudibranchia |  |
| Platydorididae |  |
| Platydoris macfarlandi | 2 |
| Tritoniidae |  |
| Tritonia diomedea | 2 |
| Arminidae |  |
| Armina californica | 7 |

Appendix C. 4 continued

| Taxon/Species | N |
| :---: | :---: |
| CEPHALOPODA |  |
| Sepiolida |  |
| Sepiolidae |  |
| Rossia pacifica | 25 |
| Teuthida |  |
| Loliginidae |  |
| Loligo opalescens | 18 |
| Octopoda |  |
| Octopodidae |  |
| Octopus rubescens | 11 |
| ARTHROPODA |  |
| PYCNOGONIDA |  |
| Pegmata |  |
| Nymphonidae |  |
| Nymphon pixellae | 18 |
| MALACOSTRACA |  |
| Stomatopoda |  |
| Hemisquillidae |  |
| Hemisquilla ensigera californiensis | 2 |
| ISOPODA |  |
| Corallanidae |  |
| Excorallana truncata | 2 |
| Cymothoidae |  |
| Elthusa vulgaris | 5 |
| DECAPODA |  |
| Sicyoniidae |  |
| Sicyonia ingentis | 5 |
| Hippolytidae |  |
| Heptacarpus tenuissimus | 2 |
| Crangonidae |  |
| Crangon alaskensis | 45 |
| Neocrangon resima | 3 |
| Neocrangon zacae | 16 |
| Diogenidae |  |
| Paguristes turgidus | 5 |
| Paguridae | 1 |
| Calappidae |  |
| Platymera gaudichaudii | 18 |
| Majidae |  |
| Loxorhynchus crispatus | 4 |
| Loxorhynchus grandis | 4 |
| Palicidae |  |
| Palicus cortezi | 1 |
| ECHINODERMATA |  |
| CRINOIDEA |  |
| Comatulida |  |
| Antedonidae |  |
| Florometra serratissima | 10 |
| ASTEROIDEA |  |
| Paxillosida |  |
| Luidiidae |  |
| Luidia asthenosoma | 3 |

Appendix C. 4 continued

| Taxon/Species | N |
| :---: | :---: |
| Luidia foliolata | 52 |
| Astropectinidae |  |
| Astropecten ornatissimus | 1 |
| Astropecten verrilli | 94 |
| Astropecten sp | 1 |
| Valvatida |  |
| Goniasteridae |  |
| Ceramaster patagonicus | 1 |
| Mediaster aequalis | 1 |
| Forcipulatida |  |
| Asteriidae |  |
| Rathbunaster californicus | 1 |
| OPHIUROIDEA |  |
| Ophiurida |  |
| Ophiacanthidae |  |
| Ophiacantha diplasia | 1 |
| Ophiactidae |  |
| Ophiopholis bakeri | 14 |
| Amphiuridae |  |
| Amphichondrius granulatus | 7 |
| Amphiodia urtica | 1 |
| Ophiotricidae |  |
| Ophiothrix spiculata Ophiuridae | 3 |
| Ophiura luetkenii | 23 |
| ECHINOIDEA |  |
| Temnopleuroida |  |
| Toxopneustidae |  |
| Lytechinus pictus | 53135 |
| Echinoida |  |
| Strongylocentrotidae |  |
| Allocentrotus fragilis | 4 |
| Spatangoida |  |
| Spatangidae |  |
| Spatangus californicus | 5 |
| HOLOTHURIODEA |  |
| DENDROCHIROTIDA |  |
| Cucumariidae |  |
| Cucumaria piperata | 1 |
| Aspidochirotida |  |
| Stichopodidae |  |
| Parastichopus californicus | 83 |
| CHORDATA <br> ASCIDIACEA |  |
|  |  |
| Styelidae |  |
| Stylea sp | 1 |
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## Appendix D. 1

Lengths and weights of fishes used in composite samples for April and October 2003.

| Station Rep |  | Species | N | Length |  |  | Weight |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\overline{m i n}$ |  | max | avg | $\overline{m i n}$ | max | avg |
| April 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RF1 | 1 |  | Vermilion Rockfish | 3 | 26 | 28 | 27 | 418 | 562 | 478 |
| RF1 | 2 | Rockfish Unid | 3 | 18 | 39 | 26 | 145 | 1400 | 588 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion Rockfish | 3 | 24 | 30 | 27 | 383 | 700 | 513 |
| RF2 | 1 | Rockfish Unid | 3 | 20 | 44 | 33 | 181 | 1100 | 710 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | 3 | 39 | 44 | 41 | 900 | 1200 | 1017 |
| RF2 | 3 | Rockfish Unid | 3 | 22 | 54 | 35 | 300 | 2300 | 1019 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific Sanddab | 12 | 13 | 18 | 15 | 36 | 99 | 55 |
| SD7 | 2 | California Scorpionfish | 3 | 18 | 22 | 20 | 179 | 406 | 305 |
| SD7 | 3 | California Scorpionfish | 3 | 18 | 25 | 21 | 219 | 574 | 339 |
| SD8 | 1 | California Scorpionfish | 3 | 19 | 23 | 21 | 288 | 390 | 336 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific Sanddab | 10 | 13 | 22 | 15 | 28 | 183 | 55 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific Sanddab | 15 | 13 | 16 | 14 | 27 | 53 | 37 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin Sanddab | 11 | 14 | 17 | 15 | 53 | 83 | 63 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific Sanddab | 5 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 47 | 71 | 56 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin Sanddab | 19 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 35 | 59 | 43 |
| SD10 | 1 | California Scorpionfish | 3 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 312 | 395 | 347 |
| SD10 | 2 | California Scorpionfish | 3 | 20 | 24 | 21 | 268 | 504 | 349 |
| SD10 | 3 | California Scorpionfish | 3 | 22 | 24 | 23 | 309 | 430 | 389 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin Sanddab | 19 | 12 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 66 | 46 |
| SD11 | 2 | California Scorpionfish | 3 | 24 | 25 | 24 | 403 | 463 | 435 |
| SD11 | 3 | California Scorpionfish | 3 | 20 | 23 | 22 | 284 | 408 | 354 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific Sanddab | 9 | 14 | 19 | 17 | 43 | 90 | 68 |
| SD12 | 2 | California Scorpionfish | 3 | 18 | 24 | 20 | 165 | 460 | 268 |
| SD12 | 3 | California Scorpionfish | 3 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 220 | 245 | 236 |
| SD13 | 1 | California Scorpionfish | 3 | 20 | 22 | 21 | 231 | 336 | 298 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin Sanddab | 14 | 12 | 15 | 13 | 36 | 67 | 45 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific Sanddab | 12 | 13 | 18 | 15 | 36 | 85 | 47 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific Sanddab | 8 | 14 | 22 | 17 | 42 | 166 | 77 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific Sanddab | 12 | 13 | 19 | 15 | 34 | 114 | 56 |
| SD14 | 3 | California Scorpionfish | 3 | 20 | 26 | 23 | 303 | 553 | 416 |

Appendix D. 1 continued

| Station | Rep | Species | N | Length |  |  | Weight |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | min | max | avg | min | max | avg |
| October 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper Rockfish | 3 | 29 | 40 | 36 | 750 | 1800 | 1383 |
| RF1 | 2 | Rockfish Unid | 3 | 26 | 31 | 28 | 400 | 850 | 617 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion Rockfish | 3 | 27 | 31 | 29 | 500 | 800 | 700 |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion Rockfish | 3 | 29 | 35 | 32 | 600 | 1200 | 867 |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion Rockfish | 3 | 33 | 35 | 34 | 1000 | 1200 | 1067 |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion Rockfish | 3 | 32 | 35 | 34 | 900 | 1200 | 1067 |
| ZONE 1 | 1 | English Sole | 3 | 20 | 22 | 21 | 143 | 184 | 158 |
| ZONE 1 | 2 | English Sole | 4 | 18 | 23 | 20 | 103 | 207 | 143 |
| ZONE 1 | 3 | English Sole | 4 | 20 | 22 | 21 | 108 | 157 | 135 |
| ZONE 1 | 4 | Pacific Sanddab | 5 | 18 | 23 | 19 | 88 | 203 | 119 |
| ZONE 1 | 5 | Pacific Sanddab | 6 | 17 | 20 | 19 | 67 | 133 | 99 |
| ZONE 1 | 6 | Pacific Sanddab | 5 | 17 | 23 | 19 | 78 | 187 | 108 |
| ZONE 1 | 7 | Hornyhead Turbot | 4 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 138 | 170 | 154 |
| ZONE 1 | 8 | Hornyhead Turbot | 3 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 66 | 112 | 84 |
| ZONE 2 | 1 | Longfin Sanddab | 8 | 14 | 18 | 15 | 51 | 113 | 67 |
| ZONE 2 | 2 | Longfin Sanddab | 13 | 12 | 15 | 14 | 37 | 79 | 52 |
| ZONE 2 | 3 | Longfin Sanddab | 10 | 12 | 16 | 14 | 38 | 84 | 55 |
| ZONE 2 | 4 | English Sole | 3 | 21 | 25 | 22 | 141 | 268 | 192 |
| ZONE 2 | 5 | English Sole | 4 | 19 | 24 | 21 | 122 | 217 | 155 |
| ZONE 2 | 6 | English Sole | 5 | 16 | 20 | 19 | 69 | 134 | 117 |
| ZONE 2 | 7 | Pacific Sanddab | 5 | 17 | 20 | 18 | 67 | 142 | 94 |
| ZONE 2 | 8 | Pacific Sanddab | 7 | 16 | 23 | 18 | 63 | 178 | 89 |
| ZONE 2 | 9 | Pacific Sanddab | 5 | 16 | 22 | 18 | 57 | 168 | 92 |
| ZONE 3 | 1 | Pacific Sanddab | 9 | 14 | 20 | 16 | 42 | 123 | 69 |
| ZONE 3 | 2 | Pacific Sanddab | 5 | 16 | 21 | 18 | 57 | 136 | 95 |
| ZONE 3 | 3 | Pacific Sanddab | 13 | 14 | 17 | 15 | 36 | 71 | 45 |
| ZONE 4 | 1 | Pacific Sanddab | 8 | 14 | 17 | 15 | 38 | 72 | 53 |
| ZONE 4 | 2 | Pacific Sanddab | 10 | 14 | 18 | 15 | 35 | 83 | 47 |
| ZONE 4 | 3 | Pacific Sanddab | 9 | 14 | 20 | 16 | 42 | 110 | 62 |
| ZONE 4 | 4 | Bigmouth Sole | 5 | 16 | 20 | 18 | 60 | 105 | 87 |
| ZONE 4 | 5 | Longfin Sanddab | 5 | 13 | 16 | 14 | 43 | 77 | 57 |

## Appendix D. 2

Analyzed constituents for fish tissue samples for April and October 2003.

|  | Chlorinated Pesticides |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Aldrin | BHC, Delta isomer | Heptachlor epoxide | p,p-DDD |
| Alpha (cis) Chlordane | BHC, Gamma isomer | Hexachlorobenzene | p,p-DDE |
| Gamma (trans) Chlordane | Cis Nonachlor | Mirex | p,p-DDT |
| Alpha Endosulfan | Dieldrin | o,p-DDD | Oxychlordane |
| BHC, Alpha isomer | Endrin | o,p-DDE | Trans Nonachlor |
| BHC, Beta isomer | Heptachlor | o,p-DDT | Toxaphene |

Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (April only)

| 1-methylnaphthalene | Acenaphthene | Benzo(e)pyrene | Fluorene |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-methylphenanthrene | Acenaphthylene | Benzo(G,H,I)perylene | Indeno(1,2,3-CD)pyrene |
| 2,3,5-trimethylnaphthalene | Anthracene | Benzo(K)fluoranthene | Naphthalene |
| 2,6-dimethylnaphthalene | Benzo(A)anthracene | Biphenyl | Perylene |
| 2-methylnaphthalene | Dibenzo(A,H)anthracene | Chrysene | Phenanthrene |
| 3,4-benzo(B)fluoranthene | Benzo(A)pyrene | Fluoranthene | Pyrene |


| Metals |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aluminum (AI) | Cadmium (Cd) | Manganese (Mn) | Thallium (Th) |
| Antimony (Sb) | Chromium (Cr) | Mercury (Hg) | Tin (Sn) |
| Arsenic (As) | Copper (Cu | Nickel (Ni) | Zinc (Zn) |
| Barium (Ba) (Oct only) | Iron (Fe) | Selenium (Se) |  |
| Beryllium (Be) | Lead (Pb) | Silver (Ag) |  |


|  | PCB Congeners |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PCB 18 | PCB 81 | PCB 126 | PCB 169 |
| PCB 28 | PCB 87 | PCB 128 | PCB 170 |
| PCB 37 | PCB 99 | PCB 138 | PCB 177 |
| PCB 44 | PCB 101 | PCB 149 | PCB 180 |
| PCB 49 | PCB 105 | PCB 151 | PCB 183 |
| PCB 52 | PCB 110 | PCB 153/168 | PCB 187 |
| PCB 66 | PCB 114 | PCB 156 | PCB 189 |
| PCB 70 | PCB 118 | PCB 157 | PCB 194 |
| PCB 74 | PCB 119 | PCB 158 | PCB 201 |
| PCB 77 | PCB 123 | PCB 167 | PCB 206 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | 3.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Aluminum |  | $7.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Arsenic |  | $3.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | 2.6 mg/kg | 1.4 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Cadmium |  | $0.41 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| RF1 | , | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Chromium |  | $0.327 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.3 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Copper |  | 5.26 mg/kg | 0.76 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Copper |  | $2.21 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Iron |  | $106 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Iron |  | 13.4 mg/kg | 1.3 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Lipids |  | 19.3 \%wt | 0.005 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.1 \%wt | 0.005 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Manganese |  | $0.72 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Mercury |  | $0.09 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $1.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 2.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 5.3 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 210 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | p,p-DDT | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 101 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 105 | E | 4.8 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 110 | E | 7.1 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 118 |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 123 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 128 | E | $5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 138 |  | $20 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 138 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 149 | E | $9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 151 | E | 2.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $35 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | 0.5 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 156 | E | 2.3 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 157 | E | 1.5 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 157 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 158 | E | 2.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 167 | E | 2.2 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 170 | E | 6.9 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 177 | E | 3.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 180 |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 180 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 183 | E | $4.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 187 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 194 | E | 4.5 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 194 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 206 | E | $4.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 206 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 52 | E | 2.7 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 66 | E | 2.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 70 | E | 1.4 ug/kg |  |


| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 87 | E | 2.5 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 99 | E | 9.5 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Selenium |  | $1.89 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.292 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Total Solids |  | 40.9 \%wt | 0.4 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 20.4 \%wt | 0.4 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | 5.5 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Zinc |  | 25.1 mg/kg | 0.58 |
| RF1 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Zinc |  | $3.46 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | $7.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | $1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Aluminum |  | $6.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Aluminum |  | $6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Arsenic |  | $1.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | $1.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Cadmium |  | $1.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Chromium |  | $0.52 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.3 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Copper |  | $12.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Copper |  | $1.02 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 0.7 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Iron |  | $76.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Iron |  | $11.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Lipids |  | 16.9 \%wt | 0.005 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 2.2 \%wt | 0.005 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Manganese |  | $0.93 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Mercury |  | $0.084 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.285 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | o,p-DDE | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | o,p-DDE |  | 1.5 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 7.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDD | E | $1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 1320 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | $79 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $20 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDT | E | 1.1 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 101 |  | $21 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 101 |  | 1.8 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 105 | E | 9.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 105 | E | 0.8 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 110 | E | 9.7 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 110 | E | 0.9 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 118 |  | $37 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 118 |  | 2.9 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 119 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 123 | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 123 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 128 | E | 8.7 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 128 | E | 0.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 138 |  | $46 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 138 |  | 3.4 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 149 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |


| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 149 | E | $1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 151 | E | $5.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 151 | E | 0.5 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $77 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 |  | $5.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 156 | E | $3.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 156 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 157 | E | $0.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 158 | E | 4.5 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 158 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 167 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 170 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 170 | E | $1.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 177 | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 177 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 180 |  | $32 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 180 |  | 2.6 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 183 | E | 9.8 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 183 | E | 0.7 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 187 |  | 26 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 187 |  | 2.1 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 194 | E | 9.9 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 194 | E | 0.7 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 206 | E | $7.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 206 | E | $0.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 28 | E | 7.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 28 | E | $0.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 44 | E | 2.9 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 49 | E | 6.8 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 49 | E | $0.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 52 | E | 7.5 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 52 | E | 0.8 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 66 | E | 6.2 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 66 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 70 | E | 3.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 74 | E | $4.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 87 | E | $3.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 87 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 99 |  | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 99 |  | $1.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Selenium |  | $2.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.369 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Total Solids |  | 40.5 \%wt | 0.4 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 22.1 \%wt | 0.4 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Trans Nonachlor | E | $1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Zinc |  | $47.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Zinc |  | $4.73 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Aluminum |  | $7.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Aluminum |  | $3.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Arsenic |  | $2.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | 2.1 mg/kg | 1.4 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Chromium |  | $0.37 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.3 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Copper |  | $3.12 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Copper |  | $8.56 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Iron |  | $92.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Iron |  | $1.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Lipids |  | 18.2 \%wt | 0.005 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.26 \%wt | 0.005 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Manganese |  | $1.01 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Mercury |  | $0.053 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.137 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 2.15 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | o,p-DDE | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | p,p-DDD | E | $4.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 185 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | $5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | p,p-DDT | E | 8.35 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 101 | E | 9.65 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 105 | E | 4.1 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 110 | E | 5.85 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 118 |  | 14.5 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 118 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 128 | E | 3.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 138 |  | $19 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 138 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 149 | E | 7.2 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 151 | E | 1.55 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | 31.5 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | 0.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 156 | E | 1.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 158 | E | 1.85 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 170 | E | $4.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 177 | E | 1.85 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 180 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 180 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 183 | E | 3.85 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 187 | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 194 | E | 3.7 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 206 | E | 3.5 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 206 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 52 | E | 2.15 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 66 | E | 2.05 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 70 | E | $1.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 87 | E | 1.8 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | PCB 99 | E | 8.5 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Selenium |  | $1.63 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.391 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Total Solids |  | 32 \%wt | 0.4 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 21.2 \%wt | 0.4 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | 4.5 ug/kg |  |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | liver | Zinc |  | 20.4 mg/kg | 0.58 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Zinc |  | 3.6 mg/kg | 0.58 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Aluminum |  | $4.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Arsenic |  | $1.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | 3.1 mg/kg | 1.4 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Cadmium |  | $0.88 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Chromium |  | $0.42 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.3 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Copper |  | $7.47 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Iron |  | $102 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Iron |  | $16 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Lipids |  | 4.7 \%wt | 0.005 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.18 \%wt | 0.005 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Manganese |  | $1.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Mercury |  | $0.26 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.191 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | o,p-DDE | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | p,p-DDD | E | $1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $100 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | 5.7 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | p,p-DDT | E | 3.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 101 | E | 3.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 118 | E | 5.2 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 138 | E | 6.7 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 138 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 149 | E | 2.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 153/168 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | $0.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 180 | E | 4.9 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 180 | E | $0.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 183 | E | $1.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 187 | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 194 | E | $1.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 206 | E | $1.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 206 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 66 | E | 0.7 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 70 | E | 0.5 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 99 | E | 2.7 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Selenium |  | $2.06 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.286 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Silver |  | $11.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.62 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Total Solids |  | 27.7 \%wt | 0.4 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 20.2 \%wt | 0.4 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Zinc |  | 35.6 mg/kg | 0.58 |
| RF2 | 1 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Zinc |  | $2.95 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | 3.9 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | Aluminum |  | $10.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | Arsenic |  | $1.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | Cadmium |  | $0.95 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | Copper |  | $21.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | muscle | Copper |  | $1.76 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | Iron |  | $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | muscle | Iron |  | $7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | Lipids |  | 15.6 \%wt | 0.005 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.31 \%wt | 0.005 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | Manganese |  | $1.06 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | Mercury |  | $0.474 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.193 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | o,p-DDE | E | $5.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | muscle | o,p-DDE | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | p,p-DDD | E | $5.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | muscle | p,p-DDD | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 260 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | 6.1 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | p,p-DDT | E | 9.7 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | muscle | p,p-DDT | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | PCB 101 | E | $7.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | muscle | PCB 101 | E | $0.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | PCB 105 | E | 2.1 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | PCB 110 | E | 3.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | PCB 118 | E | 8.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | PCB 128 | E | 2.2 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | PCB 138 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | muscle | PCB 138 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | PCB 149 | E | 6.2 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | PCB 151 | E | 2.1 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $22 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | PCB 180 | E | 9.3 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | muscle | PCB 180 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | PCB 183 | E | 2.7 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | PCB 187 | E | 8.7 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | PCB 194 | E | $1.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | PCB 206 | E | 1.8 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | muscle | PCB 206 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | PCB 52 | E | 1.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | PCB 66 | E | 1.8 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | PCB 70 | E | $1.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | PCB 74 | E | 0.9 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | PCB 87 | E | 1.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | PCB 99 | E | $4.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | Selenium |  | $2.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.296 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | Total Solids |  | 35.9 \%wt | 0.4 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | muscle | Total Solids |  | 22.3 \%wt | 0.4 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | 4.7 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | liver | Zinc |  | 76.6 mg/kg | 0.58 |
| RF2 | 2 | Bocaccio | muscle | Zinc |  | $3.15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Aluminum |  | $4.07 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Cadmium |  | $1.47 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Copper |  | $11.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Iron |  | $219 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Iron |  | $5.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Lipids |  | 6.33 \%wt | 0.005 |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.15 \%wt | 0.005 |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Manganese |  | $0.66 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Mercury |  | $1.13 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.524 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 2.8 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | o,p-DDE | E | 0.3 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 1.8 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $170 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | $5.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | p,p-DDT | E | 6.1 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDT | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 101 | E | $5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | $0.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 105 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 110 | E | 2.5 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 118 | E | $7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 138 | E | 9.8 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 138 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 149 | E | 3.5 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 180 | E | 7.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 180 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 183 | E | $1.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 187 | E | $6.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 194 | E | 1.5 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 206 | E | 1.7 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 206 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 66 | E | $0.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 70 | E | 0.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 74 | E | 0.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | PCB 99 | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Selenium |  | $2.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.34 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Total Solids |  | 27.6 \%wt | 0.4 |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 20.4 \%wt | 0.4 |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | 2.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | liver | Zinc |  | $50.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| RF2 | 3 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Zinc |  | $2.78 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $3.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Aluminum |  | $5.85 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $2.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Arsenic |  | $4.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $1.79 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $9.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Copper |  | $9.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD7 |  | Pacific sanddab | liver | Gamma (trans) Chlordane | E | 2.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 6.2 ug/kg |  |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $77 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Iron |  | $5.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 39.4 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.6 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $0.83 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Manganese |  | $0.38 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE |  | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | 2.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 9.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $600 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | 1.8 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $35 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 101 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 105 | E | $5.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 110 | E | 9.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $17 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 2.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 128 | E | $4.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $22 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 149 |  | $7.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | 4.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $39 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | $1.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 157 | E | $0.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | 1.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | $1.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 170 | E | $6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 177 | E | 3.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 180 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | 4.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 194 | E | $3.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 201 | E | $5.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 206 | E | 2.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 37 | E | $1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 49 | E | 2.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | 4.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | 3.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | $4.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 74 | E | $2.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | 3.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 99 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $0.982 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.219 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 53.8 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Total Solids |  | 19.6 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | 23.6 mg/kg | 0.58 |
| SD7 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Zinc |  | $3.32 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | 4.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | $0.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Aluminum |  | $8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Arsenic |  | $3.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | $2.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Cadmium |  | $1.63 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Cis Nonachlor | E | 4.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Copper |  | $55.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 3.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Iron |  | $127 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Iron |  | 9.2 mg/kg | 1.3 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Lipids |  | 25.1 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 2.78 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Manganese |  | $0.38 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Mercury |  | $0.083 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.351 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | o,p-DDE | E | $6.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | o,p-DDE | E | $0.8 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDD | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDD | E | 1.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 1190 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | $53 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDT | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDT | E | 0.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 101 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | 1.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 105 | E | 8.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 105 | E | 0.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 110 | E | 8.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 110 | E | 0.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 118 |  | $30 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 118 |  | $1.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 123 | E | 2.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 128 | E | 7.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 128 | E | 0.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 138 |  | $37 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 138 |  | 2.2 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 149 | E | $7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 149 | E | 0.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 151 | E | 5.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | 66 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 |  | 3.8 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 156 | E | $2.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 158 | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 167 | E | 1.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 170 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 170 | E | 0.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 177 | E | $3.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 177 | E | 0.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 180 |  | $27 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 180 |  | 1.4 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 183 | E | 7.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 183 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |


| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 187 |  | $23 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 187 | E | $1.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 194 | E | 6.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 194 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 206 | E | 4.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 206 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 49 | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 52 | E | 4.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 52 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 66 | E | 6.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 66 | E | 0.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 70 | E | 2.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 74 | E | $2.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 87 | E | 3.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 87 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 99 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 99 | E | $1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Selenium |  | $1.04 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Selenium |  | 0.298 mg/kg | 0.06 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Total Solids |  | 44.5 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 23.4 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Trans Nonachlor | E | $0.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Zinc |  | $112 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD7 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Zinc |  | $3.85 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | $3.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Aluminum |  | $16 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Arsenic |  | $1.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | $4.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Cadmium |  | $1.45 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Chromium |  | $0.38 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.3 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Copper |  | 84.1 mg/kg | 0.76 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Copper |  | $22.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 4.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Iron |  | $104 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Iron |  | $1.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Lipids |  | 26.8 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 3.58 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Manganese |  | $0.38 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Mercury |  | $0.068 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 3.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | o,p-DDE | E | 0.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 6.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDD | E | $1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 520 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | $51 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDT | E | 5.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDT | E | 0.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 101 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | $0.8 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 105 | E | 6.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 105 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 110 | E | 5.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 110 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 118 |  | $21 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 118 |  | $1.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 123 | E | 2.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 128 | E | 5.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 128 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 138 |  | $31 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 138 |  | $1.8 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 149 | E | $6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 149 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 151 | E | 3.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $68 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 |  | $3.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 156 | E | $1.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 158 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 167 | E | $1.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 170 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 170 | E | $0.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 177 | E | $4.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 177 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 180 |  | $42 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 180 |  | $1.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 183 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 183 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 187 |  | $37 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 187 |  | 1.4 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 194 |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 194 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 201 |  | $20 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 206 | E | 7.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 206 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 52 | E | 2.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 52 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 66 | E | 3.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 66 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 70 | E | 1.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 74 | E | $1.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 87 | E | 1.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 99 | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 99 | E | 0.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Selenium |  | $0.756 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.346 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Total Solids |  | 47.8 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 22.9 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | 9.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Trans Nonachlor | E | 0.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Zinc |  | $103 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |

Appendix D. 3 April 2003

| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD7 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Zinc |  | $5.45 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Arsenic |  | $2.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | $5.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Cadmium |  | $1.36 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| SD8 |  | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Chromium |  | $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.3 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Chromium |  | $0.44 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.3 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Copper |  | 32.1 mg/kg | 0.76 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Iron |  | $141 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Iron |  | $7.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Lipids |  | 31.4 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 2.5 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Manganese |  | $0.38 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Mercury |  | $0.087 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.205 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 3.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDD | E | $5.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDD | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 1050 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | $27 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDT | E | $9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDT | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 101 |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | , | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | $0.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 105 | E | 8.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 105 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | , | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 110 | E | $7.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 110 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 118 |  | $36 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 118 | E | 1.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 123 | E | 2.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 128 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 138 |  | $60 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 138 |  | $1.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 149 | E | $6.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 149 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 151 | E | 6.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 151 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | 110 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 |  | 2.7 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 156 | E | $5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 158 | E | 5.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 167 | E | $3.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 170 |  | $19 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 177 | E | $8 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 177 | E | $0.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 |  | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 180 |  | $39 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 180 | E | $1.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 183 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 183 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 187 |  | $37 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 187 | E | $0.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |


| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 194 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 194 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | , | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 201 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 206 | E | 6.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 206 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 52 | E | 2.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 66 | E | 4.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 70 | E | 0.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 74 | E | 1.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 87 | E | 2.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 |  | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 99 |  | $19 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 99 | E | $0.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Selenium |  | $0.632 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.313 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Total Solids |  | 52.8 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 24.1 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Zinc |  | 78.8 mg/kg | 0.58 |
| SD8 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Zinc |  | $4.07 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $6.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $1.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Arsenic |  | $3.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $2.31 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $7.04 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Copper |  | $1.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 7.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $88 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Iron |  | 3.8 mg/kg | 1.3 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 36.2 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.37 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $1.08 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.033 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE | E | $7.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 520 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | 2.7 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $36 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 101 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 105 | E | $6.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 110 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $22 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | PCB 118 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | $1.8 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 128 | E | 4.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | 26 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | PCB 138 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 149 | E | 9.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | 4.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $46 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |


| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | $0.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | $1.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | 1.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | 1.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 170 | E | $5.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 177 | E | 3.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | PCB 180 | E | $0.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | $4.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 194 | E | 2.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 206 | E | 2.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | PCB 206 | E | $0.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | 5.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | 4.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | 4.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 74 | E | 2.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | $3.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 99 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $1.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.38 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 47.5 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Total Solids |  | 19.8 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | $25.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD8 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Zinc |  | $3.58 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane |  | $19 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | 12.8 mg/kg | 2.6 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $1.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Arsenic |  | $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Chromium |  | $0.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.3 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $1.24 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Gamma (trans) Chlordane | E | $7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 6.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $76.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Iron |  | $4.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lead |  | $2.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.5 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 38.9 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.19 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $0.72 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Mercury |  | $0.066 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | 3.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 9.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $580 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | 2.1 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $52 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 101 |  | $20 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 105 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 110 |  | $22 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $44 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |


| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | $3.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 128 | E | 9.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $53 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | PCB 138 | E | $0.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 149 | E | $8 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | $7.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $81 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | $3.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | $3.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | 2.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 170 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 177 | E | 3.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | $24 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | 6.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $25 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 194 | E | 5.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 201 | E | $8 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 206 | E | $4.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | 6.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | $4.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | 5.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 74 | E | $3.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | 4.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 99 |  | $23 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $1.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.323 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 58.2 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Total Solids |  | 19.5 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | 14 ug/kg |  |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | $20.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD8 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Zinc |  | $2.85 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | 9.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Aluminum |  | $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Arsenic |  | $9.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $5.29 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Chromium |  | $0.86 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.3 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Cis Nonachlor | E | 5.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $10.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Copper |  | $2.69 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $219 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Iron |  | $5.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 22.4 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.51 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $1.84 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Mercury |  | $0.144 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.088 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Mirex | E | 2.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | 3.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 8.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $1000 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | 7.6 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $38 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | p,p-DDT | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 101 |  | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 101 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 105 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 105 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 110 |  | $22 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 110 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $51 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 118 | E | 0.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 5.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 128 |  | $20 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 128 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | 110 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 138 | E | $1.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 149 |  | $19 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 151 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 151 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | 170 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 153/168 |  | 1.7 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | 7.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | $7.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | 4.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 170 |  | $28 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 177 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | $55 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 180 | E | 0.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 183 |  | $19 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 183 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $58 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 187 | E | $0.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | , | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 194 |  | $22 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 194 | E | $0.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 201 |  | $22 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 |  | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 206 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 206 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | $4.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 |  | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | 6.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | 2.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 74 | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 |  | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | 2.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 99 |  | $36 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 99 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $3.33 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Selenium |  | $1.42 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD9 |  | Longfin sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 31.4 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Total Solids |  | 18.6 \%wt | 0.4 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $1.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | $31.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD9 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Zinc |  | $3.12 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | 6.1 mg/kg | 2.6 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $1.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Arsenic |  | $3.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $1.74 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Chromium |  | $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.3 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $4.88 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 6.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $61.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 38.9 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.51 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $1.28 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.096 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE |  | $22 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | 3.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 540 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | p,p-DDE | E | 0.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $40 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 101 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 105 | E | $5.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 110 | E | $9.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 2.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 128 | E | $4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $22 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 149 | E | 8.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | 4.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $39 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | 0.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | 1.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | $1.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 170 | E | $6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 177 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 180 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | 3.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 194 | E | 2.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 206 | E | 2.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | PCB 206 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | 4.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | $4.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | 4.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 74 | E | 2.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | 2.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 99 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $1.13 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.394 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 51.6 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Total Solids |  | 19 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | 28.6 mg/kg | 0.58 |
| SD9 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Zinc |  | $3.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $6.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | 8.8 mg/kg | 1.4 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Arsenic |  | $9.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | BHC, Delta isomer |  | $25 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 20 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $2.57 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Copper |  | 8.56 mg/kg | 0.76 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Gamma (trans) Chlordane | E | $4.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $182 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 22.7 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.25 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $1.15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Mercury |  | $0.044 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.063 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Mirex | E | $4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | o,p-DDD | E | $3.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE |  | $20 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | 2.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 1280 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | 5.1 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $54 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 101 |  | $25 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 105 |  | $23 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 105 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 110 |  | $27 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 110 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $74 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 118 | E | $0.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | $8 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 128 |  | $31 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | 160 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 138 | E | 0.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 149 |  | 26 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 151 |  | $21 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $250 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | 1.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | $7.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 170 |  | $40 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 177 |  | 15 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | $80 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 180 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 183 |  | $30 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 183 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $84 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 187 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 194 |  | $30 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 201 |  | $30 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 206 |  | $19 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 206 | E | $0.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | 6.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | 7.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | 3.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 74 | E | $3.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | 4.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 99 |  | $44 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 99 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | 3.61 mg/kg | 0.06 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Selenium |  | $2.19 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 37.5 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Total Solids |  | 19.2 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | $26 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD9 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Zinc |  | $2.59 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Aluminum |  | $11.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Aluminum |  | $3.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Arsenic |  | $1.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | $4.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | BHC, Delta isomer | E | $6.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Cadmium |  | $4.73 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Chromium |  | $0.37 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.3 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Chromium |  | $0.38 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.3 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Copper |  | $60 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Copper |  | $2.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Iron |  | $164 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Iron |  | $12.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Lipids |  | 16.5 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.52 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Manganese |  | $0.56 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Mercury |  | $0.132 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.344 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 5.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 460 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | 8.7 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDT | E | $5.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 101 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 105 | E | $9.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 105 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 110 | E | $7.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 118 |  | $31 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 118 | E | 0.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 123 | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 128 | E | 9.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 138 |  | $53 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |


| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 138 | E | 0.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 149 | E | $4.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 151 | E | $6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $97 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | $1.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 156 | E | $4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 158 | E | 5.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 167 | E | 3.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 170 |  | $17 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 177 | E | $7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 180 |  | $37 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 180 | E | $0.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 183 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 183 | E | $0.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 187 |  | $35 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 187 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 194 | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 194 | E | $0.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 206 | E | $6.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 206 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 52 | E | 2.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 66 | E | $4.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 74 | E | $2.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 87 | E | 2.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 99 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Selenium |  | $0.918 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.528 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Total Solids |  | $38 \% \mathrm{wt}$ | 0.4 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 21.2 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | 6.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Zinc |  | $135 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD10 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Zinc |  | $3.13 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Aluminum |  | $17.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Arsenic |  | $1.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | $3.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Cadmium |  | 1.68 mg/kg | 0.34 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Chromium |  | $0.44 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.3 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Copper |  | $41.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Copper |  | $4.11 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | $4.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Iron |  | $123 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Iron |  | 5.8 mg/kg | 1.3 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Lipids |  | 25.7 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.76 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Manganese |  | $0.73 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Mercury |  | $0.131 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.244 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 3.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 7.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 710 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | $6.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |


| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDT | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 101 |  | $20 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 105 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 110 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 118 |  | $40 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 118 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 123 | E | 4.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 128 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 138 |  | $68 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 138 | E | 0.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 149 | E | 9.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 151 | E | 7.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $110 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | 0.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 156 | E | 4.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 158 | E | $5.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 167 | E | 2.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 170 |  | $23 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 177 | E | 9.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 180 |  | $44 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 180 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 183 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 187 |  | $40 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 187 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 194 |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 201 |  | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 206 | E | 7.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 206 | E | $0.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 52 | E | 4.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 66 | E | 5.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 70 | E | 2.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 74 | E | 2.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 87 | E | $4.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 99 |  | $23 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 99 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Selenium |  | $0.923 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.487 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Total Solids |  | 45.7 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 20.4 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Zinc |  | $87.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD10 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Zinc |  | $3.18 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | $5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Aluminum |  | $6.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Aluminum |  | $6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | $3.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Cadmium |  | $4.52 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Copper |  | 59.1 mg/kg | 0.76 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Copper |  | 2.69 mg/kg | 0.76 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Iron |  | $117 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Iron |  | $13.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Lipids |  | 18.4 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 3.72 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Manganese |  | $0.47 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Mercury |  | $0.222 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.348 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | o,p-DDE |  | 67.5 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | o,p-DDE |  | 3.1 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDD |  | 18.5 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDD | E | $0.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $3240 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | $140 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $20 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDT | E | 0.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 101 |  | $31 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 101 |  | $1.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 105 |  | $17.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 105 | E | $0.8 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 110 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 110 | E | $0.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 118 |  | 55.5 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 118 |  | 3.1 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 123 | E | $5.45 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 123 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 128 | E | $13.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 128 | E | 0.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 138 |  | $81 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 138 |  | 3.8 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 149 | E | 9.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 149 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 151 | E | $9.25 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 151 | E | 0.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $130 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 |  | $6.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 156 | E | $5.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 156 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 158 | E | $6.15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 158 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 167 | E | $3.05 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 167 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 170 |  | $25 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 170 | E | $1.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 177 | E | $6.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 177 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 180 |  | $47.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 180 |  | 2.8 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 183 |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 183 | E | $0.8 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 187 |  | $39 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 187 |  | 2.2 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 194 | E | $12.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 194 | E | $0.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 206 | E | $7.45 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 206 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 52 | E | $7.55 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 52 | E | 0.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 66 | E | $13.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 66 | E | $0.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 70 | E | $4.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 74 | E | $7.15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 74 | E | 0.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 87 | E | $5.35 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 87 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 99 |  | 29.5 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 99 |  | $1.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Selenium |  | $0.783 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.494 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Total Solids |  | 47.8 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 21.4 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | 14.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Trans Nonachlor | E | 0.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Zinc |  | $137 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD10 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Zinc |  | 4.61 mg/kg | 0.58 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | 6.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $10.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Arsenic |  | $8.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $2.33 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $4.58 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Iron |  | $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 14.9 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.27 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $1.23 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Mercury |  | $0.065 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.086 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | $1.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 6.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 1020 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | $5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $30 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 101 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 105 |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 110 |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $54 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 118 | E | $0.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 5.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 128 |  | $22 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $110 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 138 | E | $0.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 149 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 151 |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $190 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | 0.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | 9.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 157 | E | 2.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | 7.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | $5.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 170 |  | $36 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 177 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | 71 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 180 | E | $0.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 183 |  | 26 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | 74 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 187 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 194 |  | $29 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 201 |  | $28 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 206 |  | $17 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 206 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | 3.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | $4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | $1.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 74 | E | 3.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 99 |  | $34 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 99 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $3.88 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Selenium |  | $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 40.4 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Total Solids |  | 19 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | 22.7 mg/kg | 0.58 |
| SD11 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Zinc |  | $2.75 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | $4.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Aluminum |  | 10.4 mg/kg | 2.6 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | $1.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Cadmium |  | $3.19 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Copper |  | $30.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | $4.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Iron |  | $137 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Iron |  | $5.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Lipids |  | 24.8 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.22 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Mercury |  | $0.218 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.266 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 3.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 9.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 1220 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDT | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 101 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 105 | E | $6.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |

Appendix D. 3 April 2003

| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 110 | E | $7.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 110 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 118 |  | $22 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 118 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 123 | E | $2.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 128 | E | 5.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 138 |  | $28 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 138 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 149 | E | 5.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 151 | E | 3.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $50 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | 0.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 156 | E | $1.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 158 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 167 | E | $1.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 177 | E | 2.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 180 |  | $21 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 180 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 183 | E | 5.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 187 |  | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 194 | E | 5.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 206 | E | $4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 66 | E | $4.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 70 | E | $1.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 74 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 87 | E | $2.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 99 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 99 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Selenium |  | $0.918 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.393 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Total Solids |  | 45.3 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 21.1 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Zinc |  | $92 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD11 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Zinc |  | $3.27 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Arsenic |  | $1.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | $6.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Cadmium |  | $2.88 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Copper |  | $31.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Copper |  | $1.46 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Dieldrin |  | $36 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 20 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Endrin | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Heptachlor | E | 2.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Iron |  | $128 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Iron |  | 2.8 mg/kg | 1.3 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Lipids |  | 24.4 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 1.36 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Mercury |  | $0.102 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Mercury |  | 0.271 mg/kg | 0.03 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 2.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDD | E | $6.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |


| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDD | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $530 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDT | E | $7.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDT | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 101 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 105 | E | $7.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 110 | E | $8 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 118 |  | $27 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 118 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 123 | E | 2.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 128 | E | $7.8 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 138 |  | $42 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 138 | E | $0.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 149 | E | 9.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 151 | E | 6.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $80 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | $1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 156 | E | $2.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 158 | E | $3.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 167 | E | $1.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 170 |  | $17 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 177 | E | $7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 180 |  | $33 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 180 | E | $0.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 183 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 187 |  | $33 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 187 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 194 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 206 | E | 5.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 206 | E | $0.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 52 | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 66 | E | $4.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 70 | E | $1.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 74 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 87 | E | 2.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 99 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 99 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Selenium |  | $0.883 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.434 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Total Solids |  | 42.1 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 21.7 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | 9.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Trans Nonachlor | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Zinc |  | $123 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD11 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Zinc |  | 3.06 mg/kg | 0.58 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane |  | $31 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $7.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Aluminum |  | 3.6 mg/kg | 2.6 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | 2.1 mg/kg | 1.4 |


| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Arsenic |  | $4.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | BHC, Alpha isomer | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $3.84 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $16.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Copper |  | $1.96 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Dieldrin |  | $93 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 20 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Endrin |  | $90 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 20 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Gamma (trans) Chlordane |  | $21 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | $6.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $88.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Iron |  | $5.55 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 16.1 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.13 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | o,p-DDE | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | 2.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 8.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $430 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | 2.6 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $46 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 101 | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 105 | E | 5.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 110 | E | 8.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 2.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 128 | E | 4.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $24 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 149 | E | 4.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | 3.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $40 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | 1.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | 1.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | 1.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 170 | E | $6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 177 | E | 1.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 180 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | 3.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 187 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 194 | E | $3.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 201 | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 206 | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | 3.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | 2.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | 3.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 74 | E | $1.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | 2.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 99 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $1.48 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.344 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 50.8 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Total Solids |  | 19.2 \%wt | 0.4 |


| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | $24.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD12 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Zinc |  | $3.08 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | 3.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Aluminum |  | $3.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | $6.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.058 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.035 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Cadmium |  | $2.31 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Chromium |  | $0.51 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.3 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Copper |  | $40.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 4.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Hexachlorobenzene | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Iron |  | $116 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Iron |  | $9.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Lipids |  | 21.1 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.81 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Manganese |  | $0.67 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Mercury |  | $0.079 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.483 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | o,p-DDE | E | $3.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | o,p-DDE | E | 0.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 7.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDD | E | $1.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 1000 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | $70 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDT | E | 6.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDT | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 101 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | $1.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 105 | E | $7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 105 | E | $0.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 110 | E | $8.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 110 | E | $0.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 118 |  | $30 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 118 |  | 2.2 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 123 | E | 3.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 128 | E | $7.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 128 | E | $0.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 138 |  | $42 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 138 |  | 2.6 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 149 | E | $6.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 149 | E | $0.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 151 | E | 6.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 151 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $73 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 |  | $4.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 156 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 156 | E | $0.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 158 | E | 2.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 158 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |


| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 167 | E | $1.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 170 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 170 | E | $0.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 177 | E | $4.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 177 | E | 0.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 180 |  | $27 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 180 |  | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 183 | E | $7.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 183 | E | $0.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 187 |  | $24 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 187 |  | $1.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 194 | E | 8.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 194 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 201 | E | 0.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 206 | E | 5.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 206 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 52 | E | $3.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 52 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 66 | E | 4.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 66 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 70 | E | $1.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 74 | E | 2.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 74 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 87 | E | $3.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 87 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 99 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 99 | E | $1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Selenium |  | $1.11 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.454 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Total Solids |  | 42.7 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 24.8 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Trans Nonachlor | E | 1.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Zinc |  | 94.6 mg/kg | 0.58 |
| SD12 | 2 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Zinc |  | $3.92 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Aluminum |  | $9.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Aluminum |  | $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Arsenic |  | $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | $3.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Cadmium |  | 1.91 mg/kg | 0.34 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Chromium |  | $0.38 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.3 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Copper |  | $46.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Copper |  | 2.91 mg/kg | 0.76 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 5.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Iron |  | $139 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Iron |  | $4.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Lipids |  | 28.6 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 2.98 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Manganese |  | $0.35 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Mercury |  | $0.045 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |


| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.179 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 2.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 4.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $390 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDT | E | $5.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 101 | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 105 | E | 6.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 110 | E | 6.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 118 |  | $21 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 118 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 123 | E | $2.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 128 | E | 5.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 138 |  | $29 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 138 | E | $0.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 149 | E | $4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 151 | E | 3.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $52 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | $0.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 156 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 158 | E | 2.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 167 | E | 1.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 170 | E | 9.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 177 | E | 3.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 180 |  | $21 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 180 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 183 | E | 5.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 187 |  | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 187 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 194 | E | 6.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 194 | E | $0.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 201 | E | 7.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 206 | E | 4.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 206 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 52 | E | $4.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 66 | E | 3.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 70 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 87 | E | 2.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 99 | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 99 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Selenium |  | $0.776 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.408 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Total Solids |  | 47.7 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 21.3 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | 8.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Zinc |  | $93.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD12 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Zinc |  | 2.88 mg/kg | 0.58 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | 3.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Aluminum |  | 13.1 mg/kg | 2.6 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Arsenic |  | $1.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |

Appendix D. 3 April 2003

| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | $4.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Cadmium |  | $3.17 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Copper |  | $40.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Iron |  | $187 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD13 |  | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Iron |  | $7.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Lipids |  | 25.5 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 1.45 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Manganese |  | $0.34 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Mercury |  | $0.039 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.174 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 1.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDD | E | $4.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $480 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | 15.5 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDT | E | $4.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 101 | E | 7.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 105 | E | 2.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 110 | E | $3.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 118 |  | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 118 | E | 0.65 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 128 | E | $4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 138 |  | $23 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 138 | E | $0.85 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 149 | E | 3.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 151 | E | 1.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $43 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 |  | $1.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 158 | E | 1.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 170 | E | 6.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 177 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 180 |  | 15 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 180 | E | 0.75 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 183 | E | 4.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 183 | E | $0.15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 187 |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 187 | E | $0.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 194 | E | $4.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 194 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 201 | E | $6.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 206 | E | $4.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | , | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 206 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 28 | E | 9.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 37 | E | $2.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 44 | E | $4.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 |  | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 49 | E | $4.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | , | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 52 | E | $5.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 66 | E | 6.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 70 | E | $4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 74 | E | 3.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 87 | E | 1.4 ug/kg |  |


| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 99 | E | 8.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 99 | E | $0.35 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | , | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Selenium |  | $0.807 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.312 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Total Solids |  | 45.3 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD13 | , | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 23.3 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | 8.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 |  | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Zinc |  | $83.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD13 | 1 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Zinc |  | $4.13 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | $6.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | 4.8 mg/kg | 2.6 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $9.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Arsenic |  | $5.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | BHC, Alpha isomer |  | $45 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 20 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | BHC, Beta isomer |  | $53 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 20 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | BHC, Delta isomer |  | 160 ug/kg | 20 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | BHC, Gamma isomer |  | $130 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 100 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $2.08 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Chromium |  | $0.53 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.3 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $10.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Copper |  | $8.58 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Endrin |  | $50 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 20 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Gamma (trans) Chlordane |  | 16 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $171 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Iron |  | $7.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 14.7 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.2 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $1.19 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.055 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | $0.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD |  | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $430 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | 6.1 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $35 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 101 | E | 7.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 105 | E | 7.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 110 | E | 9.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $24 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 118 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 2.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 128 | E | 9.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $52 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 138 | E | 0.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 149 | E | 8.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | 6.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $90 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | $1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | 3.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 157 | E | $1.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |

Appendix D. 3 April 2003

| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | 4.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | 2.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 170 |  | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 177 | E | 6.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | $38 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 180 | E | $0.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 183 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $34 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 187 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 194 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 194 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 201 |  | 15 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 206 | E | $8 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | PCB 206 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | 2.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | 2.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | 1.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 74 | E | 1.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | 0.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 99 |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $3.15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Selenium |  | $2.22 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 32.7 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Total Solids |  | 18.5 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | 8.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | $22.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD13 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | muscle | Zinc |  | $3.78 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $10.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Aluminum |  | $6.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $2.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Arsenic |  | $2.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | BHC, Alpha isomer | E | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | BHC, Delta isomer |  | $43 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 20 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $1.87 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $14.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Endrin | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Gamma (trans) Chlordane | E | $7.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 6.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Iron |  | 66.6 mg/kg | 1.3 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Iron |  | $3.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 41.5 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.53 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $0.89 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE |  | $19 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | 3.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 670 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | 3.4 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $49 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |


| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 101 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 105 | E | 7.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 110 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $26 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 128 | E | $6.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $37 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | PCB 138 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 149 | E | 8.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | 6.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $64 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | 2.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 157 | E | $1.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | 2.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | 1.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 170 | E | 9.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 177 | E | 3.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | $21 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | PCB 180 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | 6.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $23 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 194 | E | $5.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 201 | E | 6.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 206 | E | 4.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | PCB 206 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | $4.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | 3.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | $4.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 74 | E | 2.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | 3.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 99 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $0.975 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.327 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 58.4 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Total Solids |  | 20 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | $21.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD13 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Zinc |  | $3.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | 7.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $7.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $2.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Arsenic |  | 4.1 mg/kg | 1.4 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $2.94 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.34 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Chromium |  | $0.35 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.3 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Copper |  | 14.6 mg/kg | 0.76 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 5.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $81.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Iron |  | $2.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 35.1 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.31 \%wt | 0.005 |


| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $1.02 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | $3.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 8.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $740 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD14 | , | Pacific sanddab | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | 2.6 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $34 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 101 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 105 | E | 7.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 110 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $25 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 3.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 128 | E | $5.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $31 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | PCB 138 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 149 | E | 7.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | 4.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $53 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | 1.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 157 | E | $1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | 2.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | 1.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 170 | E | 8.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 177 | E | 2.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | $17 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | $5.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $17 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 194 | E | 4.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 201 | E | 6.6 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 206 | E | 3.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | $4.8 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | 3.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | 4.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 74 | E | 2.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | 3.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 99 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $0.808 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.278 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 51.3 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Total Solids |  | 18.4 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | $24.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD14 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Zinc |  | $3.14 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | 10.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $5.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $2.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Arsenic |  | $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.4 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | 1.81 mg/kg | 0.34 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $6.74 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Copper |  | $1.17 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |


| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 6.45 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $69.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Iron |  | $3.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 38.8 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.39 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $0.88 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE |  | 16.5 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | 3.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 8.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 575 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | 2.5 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT |  | 40.5 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 101 | E | 10.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 105 | E | 5.9 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 110 | E | 9.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | 20.5 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 2.25 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 128 | E | 5.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | 29.5 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 149 | E | 5.45 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | 4.75 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | 50.5 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | 1.15 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | 1.05 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 170 | E | 7.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 177 | E | 2.25 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | 17.5 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | 5.25 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | 18.5 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 194 | E | $4.8 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 201 | E | $5.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 206 | E | 3.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | 3.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | 2.95 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | 3.45 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | 2.05 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 99 | E | 12.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $0.944 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.254 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 50.8 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Total Solids |  | 19.9 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | $24.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD14 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | muscle | Zinc |  | $3.24 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Aluminum |  | $12.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2.6 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | 2.8 mg/kg | 1.4 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Cadmium |  | 3.77 mg/kg | 0.34 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Copper |  | $31.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.76 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Copper |  | 19.8 mg/kg | 0.76 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Iron |  | $144 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Iron |  | $4.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.3 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Lipids |  | 18 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.74 \%wt | 0.005 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Manganese |  | 0.28 mg/kg | 0.23 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Mercury |  | $0.166 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.329 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 2.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 9.4 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDD | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 1110 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | 24 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | p,p-DDT | E | 8.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | p,p-DDT | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 101 |  | 20 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | 0.7 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 105 | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 105 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 110 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 110 | E | $0.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 118 |  | $38 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 118 | E | $1.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 123 | E | $3.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 128 | E | 9.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 128 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 138 |  | $52 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 138 |  | $1.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 149 | E | 9.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 149 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 151 | E | 7.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 151 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $90 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 |  | 2.6 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 156 | E | 2.3 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 156 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 158 | E | 4.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 158 | E | $0.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 167 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 170 |  | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 177 | E | $6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 177 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 180 |  | $35 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 180 | E | $1.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 183 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 183 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 187 |  | $33 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 187 | E | 0.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 194 | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 194 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 206 | E | 5.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 206 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 52 | E | $4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 66 | E | $4.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 66 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 70 | E | $1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 74 | E | 2.8 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 87 | E | $4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | PCB 99 |  | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | PCB 99 | E | $0.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Selenium |  | 0.698 mg/kg | 0.06 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.416 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Total Solids |  | 48.4 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 20.6 \%wt | 0.4 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | 14 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Trans Nonachlor | E | 0.5 ug/kg |  |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | liver | Zinc |  | $106 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
| SD14 | 3 | Ca. scorpionfish | muscle | Zinc |  | $4.04 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.58 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | Aluminum |  | $3.19 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | $2.79 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | Barium |  | $0.049 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | Chromium |  | $0.226 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | Copper |  | $0.207 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | Hexachlorobenzene | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | Iron |  | $1.45 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.56 \%wt | 0.005 |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | Manganese |  | $0.068 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.788 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDD | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | 14 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | PCB 118 | E | $0.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | PCB 138 | E | 0.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | 0.8 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | PCB 180 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | PCB 187 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | PCB 52 | E | $0.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | PCB 99 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.604 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | Tin |  | $0.581 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 23.9 \%wt | 0.4 |
| RF1 | 1 | Copper rockfish | muscle | Zinc |  | $3.52 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.049 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Aluminum |  | $2.92 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | $3.14 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Barium |  | $0.053 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Chromium |  | $0.167 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Copper |  | $0.334 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Hexachlorobenzene | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Iron |  | $2.42 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 0.26 \%wt | 0.005 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Manganese |  | $0.103 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.578 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | 5.7 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 118 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | 0.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 180 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | PCB 99 | E | $0.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.394 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Tin |  | $0.486 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 21.8 \%wt | 0.4 |
| RF1 | 2 | Mixed rockfish | muscle | Zinc |  | $3.47 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.049 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Aluminum |  | $5.99 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | $1.54 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Barium |  | $0.052 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Chromium |  | $0.149 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Copper |  | $0.321 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Iron |  | $3.17 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 1.64 \%wt | 0.005 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Manganese |  | $0.113 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.06 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | o,p-DDD | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | o,p-DDT | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDD | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDT | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 105 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 110 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 118 | E | 0.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 128 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 138 | E | 0.8 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 149 | E | 0.5 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | $1.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 180 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 183 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 187 | E | 0.5 ug/kg |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 99 | E | $0.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.277 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Tin |  | $0.469 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 21.8 \%wt | 0.4 |
| RF1 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Zinc |  | $3.37 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.049 |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Aluminum |  | $3.43 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | $1.42 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Barium |  | $0.054 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Chromium |  | $0.253 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Copper |  | $0.345 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Iron |  | $5.12 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 1.32 \%wt | 0.005 |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Manganese |  | $0.137 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.093 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDD | E | $0.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDT | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 105 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 110 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 118 | E | 0.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 138 | E | 0.7 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 149 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 | E | $1.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 180 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 187 | E | 0.5 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 99 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.381 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Tin |  | $0.554 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| RF2 | , | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 23.5 \%wt | 0.4 |
| RF2 | 1 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Zinc |  | 3.66 mg/kg | 0.049 |

Appendix D. 3 October 2003

| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | $1.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Aluminum |  | $3.52 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | $1.95 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Barium |  | $0.052 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Chromium |  | $0.132 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Copper |  | $0.453 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Gamma (trans) Chlordane | E | 0.7 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 0.3 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Iron |  | $3.43 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 1.59 \%wt | 0.005 |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Manganese |  | $0.113 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.103 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDD | E | $0.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | $20 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDT | E | 0.8 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | $0.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 110 | E | 0.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 118 | E | 0.8 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 128 | E | 0.2 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 138 | E | 0.8 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 149 | E | 0.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 |  | 1.6 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 180 | E | 0.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 183 | E | 0.1 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 187 | E | 0.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 99 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.379 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Tin |  | $0.539 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 23.4 \%wt | 0.4 |
| RF2 | 2 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Zinc |  | $4.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.049 |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Aluminum |  | $4.24 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Arsenic |  | $2.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Barium |  | 0.058 mg/kg | 0.007 |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Chromium |  | $0.17 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Copper |  | $0.39 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Iron |  | $4.62 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Lipids |  | 2.74 \%wt | 0.005 |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Manganese |  | $0.128 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Mercury |  | $0.088 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDD | E | $0.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDE |  | $25 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1.33 |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | p,p-DDT | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 101 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 105 | E | $0.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 110 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 118 | E | 0.6 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 138 | E | 0.7 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 149 | E | 0.7 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 153/168 |  | 1.5 ug/kg | 1.33 |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 180 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |

Appendix D. 3 October 2003

| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 183 | E | $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 187 | E | $0.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | PCB 99 | E | 0.4 ug/kg |  |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Selenium |  | $0.545 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Tin |  | $0.609 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Total Solids |  | 25.2 \%wt | 0.4 |
| RF2 | 3 | Vermilion rockfish | muscle | Zinc |  | $4.69 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.049 |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | Aluminum |  | $4.96 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | Arsenic |  | $5.62 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | Barium |  | $0.095 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.004 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.003 |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | Cadmium |  | $0.642 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | Chromium |  | $0.238 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | Copper |  | $12.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | $1.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | Iron |  | $141 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | Lipids |  | 17.9 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | Manganese |  | $0.774 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | Mercury |  | $0.054 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | Nickel |  | $0.194 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 2.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $96 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | PCB 101 | E | 3.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | PCB 110 | E | 2.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | PCB 118 | E | $5.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | PCB 128 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | PCB 138 | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | PCB 149 | E | 4.3 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | PCB 180 | E | 6.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | PCB 187 | E | 9.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | PCB 99 | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | Selenium |  | $2.51 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | Silver |  | $0.319 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | Tin |  | $1.04 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | Total Solids |  | 39.1 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE1 | 1 | English sole | liver | Zinc |  | $56.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.049 |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | Aluminum |  | $4.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | Arsenic |  | $4.12 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | Barium |  | $0.088 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.004 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.003 |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | Cadmium |  | $0.684 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | Chromium |  | $0.242 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | Copper |  | $5.41 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | Iron |  | $105 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | Lipids |  | 16.9 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | Manganese |  | $0.684 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | Mercury |  | $0.034 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | Nickel |  | $0.18 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | o,p-DDE | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 |  | English sole | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 1.4 ug/kg |  |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $80 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | p,p-DDT | E | 2.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | PCB 101 | E | 1.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | PCB 118 | E | 3.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | PCB 138 | E | 5.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | PCB 149 | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | PCB 153/168 | E | 9.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | PCB 180 | E | 5.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | PCB 183 | E | $1.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | PCB 187 | E | 6.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | PCB 99 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | Selenium |  | $1.68 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | Silver |  | $0.114 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | Tin |  | $0.946 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | Total Solids |  | 40.9 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE1 | 2 | English sole | liver | Zinc |  | $31.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.049 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | Aluminum |  | $8.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | Arsenic |  | $6.94 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | Barium |  | $0.11 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.004 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.003 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | Cadmium |  | 0.768 mg/kg | 0.029 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | Chromium |  | $0.274 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | Copper |  | $1.98 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 2.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | Iron |  | $111 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | Lead |  | $0.501 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | Lipids |  | 20.4 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | Manganese |  | $0.869 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | Mercury |  | 0.078 mg/kg | 0.03 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | Nickel |  | $0.18 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | o,p-DDD | E | 2.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 5.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | o,p-DDT | E | 5.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | p,p-DDD |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $240 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $30 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | PCB 101 | E | 7.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | PCB 110 | E | 8.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | PCB 118 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | PCB 128 | E | 4.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | PCB 138 |  | $24 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | PCB 149 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | PCB 151 | E | $5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $36 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | PCB 158 | E | $1.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | PCB 177 | E | 6.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | PCB 180 |  | $22 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | PCB 183 | E | 8.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | PCB 187 |  | 26 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | PCB 194 | E | 6.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | PCB 201 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | PCB 206 | E | 4.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | PCB 66 | E | 1.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | PCB 70 | E | $1.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | PCB 87 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | PCB 99 | E | 5.3 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | Selenium |  | $2.56 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | Silver |  | $0.136 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | Tin |  | $1.19 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | Total Solids |  | 41.8 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE1 | 3 | English sole | liver | Zinc |  | $61.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.049 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | 5.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $9.15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $2.86 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Barium |  | $0.117 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.004 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.003 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $6.19 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Chromium |  | $0.274 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $3.92 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | $5.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $68 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 26.3 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $1.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Mercury |  | $0.084 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Nickel |  | $0.137 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 3.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | 2.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 7.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 470 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT |  | 14 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 101 | E | 7.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 105 | E | $4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 110 | E | 8.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $17 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 1.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 128 | E | $4.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $23 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 149 | E | 5.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | 3.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $36 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | 1.3 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 180 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | $2.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 187 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | 3.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | 2.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 99 | E | 7.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $1.13 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Tin |  | $1.35 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 49.6 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFZONE1 | 4 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | 28.1 mg/kg | 0.049 |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | 5.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $5.44 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $2.58 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Barium |  | $0.099 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | BHC, Alpha isomer | E | 6.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $6.73 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Chromium |  | $0.237 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $5.87 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | $5.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $101 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 27.9 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $0.863 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Mercury |  | $0.057 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Nickel |  | $0.117 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | 1.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 6.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $440 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 101 | E | $8.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 105 | E | $4.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 110 | E | $9.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 1.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 128 | E | 4.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $21 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 149 | E | 5.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | 3.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $36 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 180 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | 3.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 187 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 28 | E | 1.3 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | $2.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | 2.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | 2.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 99 | E | 8.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $0.885 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Silver |  | $0.095 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Tin |  | $90.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 48.9 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | 7.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 5 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | $24.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.049 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $8.54 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $3.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Barium |  | $0.146 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.004 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.003 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $6.55 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Chromium |  | $0.314 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |

Appendix D. 3 October 2003

| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $6.61 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 4.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $69 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 25.8 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $0.792 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Mercury |  | $0.04 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Nickel |  | $0.168 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 3.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 5.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 590 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 101 | E | 9.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 105 | E | 5.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 110 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $19 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 2.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 128 | E | $6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $29 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 149 | E | 3.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | 4.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $44 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | 1.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | $17 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | 5.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 28 |  | 390 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 44 |  | $82 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 49 |  | $130 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 52 |  | 190 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 66 |  | $34 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 70 |  | $53 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 74 |  | $32 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | 2.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 99 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $0.659 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Silver |  | $0.095 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Tin |  | $1.96 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 53.2 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | 6.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 6 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | $24.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.049 |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | Aluminum |  | $7.43 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | Arsenic |  | $4.79 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | Barium |  | $0.103 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | Cadmium |  | $5.07 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | Chromium |  | $0.266 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | Copper |  | 5.74 mg/kg | 0.068 |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 1.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | Iron |  | $109 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | Lipids |  | 14.3 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | Manganese |  | $0.585 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | Mercury |  | $0.137 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | Nickel |  | $0.198 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | p,p-DDD | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $230 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | p,p-DDT | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 101 | E | $4.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 118 | E | 8.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 128 | E | 2.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 138 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 149 | E | $4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $29 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 158 | E | 0.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 180 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 183 | E | 5.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 187 |  | $21 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 99 | E | $4.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | Selenium |  | $0.888 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | Silver |  | $0.27 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | Tin |  | 1.17 mg/kg | 0.24 |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | Total Solids |  | 37 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE1 | 7 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | Zinc |  | 65.1 mg/kg | 0.049 |
| TFZONE1 | 8 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 8 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | Lipids |  | 17.5 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE1 | 8 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 4.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 8 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $170 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 8 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 101 | E | 6.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 8 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 110 | E | $9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 8 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 118 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 8 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 128 | E | $2.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 8 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 138 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 8 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 149 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 8 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 151 | E | 4.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE1 | 8 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $36 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 8 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 180 |  | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 8 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 183 | E | $7.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE1 | 8 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 187 |  | $27 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE1 | 8 | Hornyhead turbot | liver | PCB 99 | E | 5.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | 6.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $8.75 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $18.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Barium |  | $0.102 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.005 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.003 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Chromium |  | $0.309 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $7.09 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 6.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $198 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 31.7 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | 0.918 mg/kg | 0.007 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Mercury |  | $0.165 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Mirex | E | 2.3 ug/kg |  |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Nickel |  | $0.169 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE |  | $23 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 1100 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $21 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 101 |  | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 105 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 110 |  | $22 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $56 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 7.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 128 |  | $23 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $84 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 149 |  | $21 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 151 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | 170 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | 9.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | 7.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | 4.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 170 |  | $33 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 177 |  | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | 70 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 183 |  | $21 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $72 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 194 |  | $19 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 201 |  | $29 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 206 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | 4.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | $5.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | 2.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 74 | E | 3.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 99 |  | $26 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $3.09 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Silver |  | $0.269 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Tin |  | $1.24 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 44.7 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 1 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | $25.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.049 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | $7.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $6.39 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $12.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Barium |  | $0.101 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.005 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.003 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $3.31 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Chromium |  | $0.291 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $6.29 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 5.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $174 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 28.1 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $0.969 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Mercury |  | $0.047 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Mirex | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Nickel |  | $0.168 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE |  | $17 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 8.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $900 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 101 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 105 |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 110 |  | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | 54 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 5.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 128 |  | $23 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $86 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 149 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 151 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $160 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | 9.3 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | $7.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | 4.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 170 |  | $31 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 177 |  | 16 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | $73 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 183 |  | 24 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $75 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 194 |  | $22 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 201 |  | $29 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 206 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 28 | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | 5.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | 2.3 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 74 | E | 2.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 99 |  | $25 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | 3.68 mg/kg | 0.06 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Silver |  | $0.248 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Tin |  | $1.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 42.5 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 2 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | $27 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.049 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane |  | $17 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $11.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $8.28 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Barium |  | $0.139 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.006 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.003 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $1.86 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Chromium |  | $0.328 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Cis Nonachlor | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $4.88 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Gamma (trans) Chlordane | E | 4.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 7.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $153 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 43.4 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $0.656 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Mercury |  | 0.068 mg/kg | 0.03 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Mirex | E | 1.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Nickel |  | $0.184 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE |  | $27 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | 2.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 1000 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $17 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 101 |  | $17 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 105 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 110 |  | $24 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $52 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 6.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 128 |  | $23 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $100 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 149 |  | 24 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 151 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | 170 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | 9.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 157 | E | 2.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | 8.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | 5.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 170 |  | $30 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 177 |  | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | $78 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 183 |  | 24 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $80 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 194 |  | $21 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 201 |  | $27 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 206 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 28 | E | 3.3 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | 6.3 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | 2.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 74 | E | 3.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | $4.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 99 |  | $28 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $2.57 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Silver |  | $0.176 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Tin |  | $1.58 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 58.2 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor |  | $23 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 20 |
| TFZONE2 | 3 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | $20.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.049 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | Aluminum |  | $7.35 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | Arsenic |  | $5.47 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | Barium |  | $0.096 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.005 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.003 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | Cadmium |  | $0.731 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | Chromium |  | $0.236 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | Copper |  | $5.12 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 2.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | Iron |  | $139 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | Lipids |  | 21.4 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | Manganese |  | $0.988 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | Mercury |  | $0.068 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | Nickel |  | $0.169 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 5.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 170 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | p,p-DDT | E | 2.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | PCB 101 | E | $4.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | PCB 105 | E | 1.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | PCB 110 | E | 5.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | PCB 118 | E | $8.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | PCB 128 | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | PCB 138 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | PCB 149 | E | 8.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | PCB 151 | E | 2.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $24 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | PCB 180 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | PCB 183 | E | 5.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | PCB 187 |  | $17 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | PCB 194 | E | $6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | PCB 206 | E | 4.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | PCB 66 | E | 1.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | PCB 99 | E | $4.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | Selenium |  | $2.46 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | Silver |  | $0.221 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | Tin |  | $1.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | Total Solids |  | 39.6 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE2 | 4 | English sole | liver | Zinc |  | $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.049 |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | Aluminum |  | $4.91 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | Arsenic |  | $7.87 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | Barium |  | $0.083 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.004 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.003 |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | Cadmium |  | $0.738 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | Chromium |  | $0.244 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | Copper |  | $4.47 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | Iron |  | $121 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | Lead |  | 0.877 mg/kg | 0.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | Lipids |  | 14.1 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | Manganese |  | $1.31 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | Mercury |  | 0.064 mg/kg | 0.03 |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | Nickel |  | $0.172 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 3.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | p,p-DDD | E | $4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $130 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | p,p-DDT | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | PCB 101 | E | $4.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | PCB 110 | E | 4.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | PCB 118 | E | 6.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | PCB 128 | E | 2.9 ug/kg |  |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | PCB 138 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | PCB 149 | E | 7.3 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $20 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | PCB 180 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | PCB 183 | E | 4.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | PCB 187 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | PCB 206 | E | 3.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | PCB 66 | E | 1.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | PCB 99 | E | $4.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | Selenium |  | $2.68 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | Silver |  | $0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | Tin |  | $0.952 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | Total Solids |  | 34.5 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE2 | 5 | English sole | liver | Zinc |  | $63.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.049 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | Aluminum |  | $5.99 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | Arsenic |  | $6.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | Barium |  | 0.1 mg/kg | 0.007 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.005 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.003 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | Cadmium |  | $0.594 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | Chromium |  | $0.277 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | Copper |  | $0.996 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | $2.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | Iron |  | $143 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | Lipids |  | 25.4 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | Manganese |  | $1.01 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | Nickel |  | $0.173 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | o,p-DDD | E | 2.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | o,p-DDE | E | $7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 260 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | p,p-DDT | E | 2.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | PCB 101 | E | 7.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | PCB 105 | E | 4.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | PCB 110 | E | 8.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | PCB 118 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | PCB 128 | E | 6.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | PCB 138 |  | $23 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | PCB 149 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | PCB 151 | E | 4.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $38 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | PCB 158 | E | 2.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | PCB 170 | E | 9.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | PCB 177 | E | 6.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | PCB 180 |  | $23 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | PCB 183 | E | 6.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | PCB 187 |  | $26 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | PCB 194 | E | 7.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | PCB 206 | E | 6.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | PCB 87 | E | 1.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | PCB 99 | E | 6.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | Selenium |  | $3.01 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | Silver |  | $0.064 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | Tin |  | $1.29 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | Total Solids |  | 39.4 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE2 | 6 | English sole | liver | Zinc |  | $42.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.049 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | 8.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $7.48 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | 3.68 mg/kg | 0.375 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Barium |  | $0.119 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.006 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.003 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $5.29 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Chromium |  | $0.387 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 8.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $67.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 44.7 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $1.07 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Mercury |  | $0.051 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Nickel |  | $0.204 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 6.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | 2.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 9.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 490 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 101 | E | 8.3 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 105 | E | 4.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 110 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $18 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 2.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 128 | E | 5.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | 26 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 149 | E | 7.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | 3.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $45 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 170 | E | $5.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 177 | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | $19 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | 3.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $17 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 201 | E | 4.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 28 | E | 1.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | $1.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | $2.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 99 | E | 8.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $0.938 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Silver |  | $0.085 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Tin |  | $1.31 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 52.7 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE2 | 7 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | 25.6 mg/kg | 0.049 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | 9.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $7.22 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | 3.6 mg/kg | 0.375 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Barium |  | $0.106 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.004 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.003 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $7.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Chromium |  | $0.257 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Copper |  | 6.64 mg/kg | 0.068 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 8.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $93.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 33.3 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $1.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Mercury |  | $0.064 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Nickel |  | $0.174 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 6.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | $3.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $760 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $17 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 101 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 105 | E | 6.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 110 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $34 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 3.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 128 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $44 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 149 | E | 8.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | $8.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $80 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | 2.3 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | 2.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 170 | E | 9.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 177 | E | 5.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | $31 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | 8.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $30 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 194 | E | 7.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 206 | E | 2.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 28 | E | $1.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | 4.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | 3.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | $4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 74 | E | 2.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | 4.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 99 |  | $20 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $1.11 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Silver |  | $0.085 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Tin |  | $1.46 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 43.3 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 8 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | 27.4 mg/kg | 0.049 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $7.95 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $2.59 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Barium |  | $0.144 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.005 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.003 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $5.79 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Chromium |  | $0.303 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $4.35 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 8.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Iron |  | 86.4 mg/kg | 0.096 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 46.1 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $0.697 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Mercury |  | $0.065 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Nickel |  | $0.198 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 6.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | 3.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 8.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 660 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 101 | E | 9.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 105 | E | 5.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 110 | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $20 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 128 | E | 3.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $22 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 149 | E | 6.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | 4.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $41 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | 1.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 177 | E | 2.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | 4.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | 2.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | 3.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 99 | E | 9.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Silver |  | $0.079 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Tin |  | $1.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 60.4 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | 9.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE2 | 9 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | 24.4 mg/kg | 0.049 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | 8.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $8.58 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $4.58 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Barium |  | $0.104 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.004 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.003 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $4.16 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Chromium |  | $0.237 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Copper |  | 3.75 mg/kg | 0.068 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 9.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $78 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 34.2 \%wt | 0.005 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $0.782 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Mercury |  | $0.097 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Nickel |  | $0.141 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE | E | $6.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | 2.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 7.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $600 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 101 |  | $29 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 105 |  | $19 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 110 |  | 54 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $59 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 119 | E | $1.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 5.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 128 |  | 14 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $54 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 149 |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | 8.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $81 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | 6.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | $5.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | 2.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 170 | E | 9.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 177 | E | $4.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | $22 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | 6.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $23 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 194 | E | 4.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 201 | E | $7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 206 | E | 2.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 28 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 44 | E | 3.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 49 | E | 5.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 52 |  | 16 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | 5.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | 9.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 74 | E | 3.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 99 |  | 26 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $0.804 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Silver |  | $0.076 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Tin |  | $1.32 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 51.5 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE3 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | 8.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 |  | Pacific sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | $23.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.049 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | 9.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | 10.1 mg/kg | 0.583 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $3.48 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Barium |  | $0.174 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.005 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.003 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $4.94 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Chromium |  | $0.339 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $4.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 8.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Iron |  | 55.6 mg/kg | 0.096 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 38.3 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $0.879 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Mercury |  | $0.107 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Nickel |  | $0.238 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 6.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | 3.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $830 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 101 |  | 24 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 105 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 110 |  | $32 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $43 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 119 | E | $1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 3.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 128 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $49 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 149 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | $7.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $71 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | 4.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | $4.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | 2.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 170 | E | 8.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 177 | E | 4.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | 25 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | 7.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $22 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 194 | E | $5.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 201 | E | 6.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 206 | E | 2.3 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 28 | E | 1.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 49 | E | 4.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | 8.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | $5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | 7.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 74 | E | 3.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | 7.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 99 |  | $21 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $0.936 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Silver |  | $0.071 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Tin |  | $1.47 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 53.8 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | 9.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | 21.6 mg/kg | 0.049 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $10.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $2.33 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Barium |  | $0.143 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.005 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.003 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $2.59 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Chromium |  | $0.381 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $3.82 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 9.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $76.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 46.6 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $0.644 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Mercury |  | $0.059 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Nickel |  | $0.21 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 8.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | 3.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 720 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 101 |  | $21 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 105 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 110 |  | $29 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $44 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 119 | E | 1.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 4.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 128 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $58 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 149 |  | $17 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $93 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | $5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | $4.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | 2.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 170 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 177 | E | 7.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | $31 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | 8.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $35 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 194 | E | $6.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 201 | E | 8.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 206 | E | $3.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 49 | E | 4.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | $8 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | 4.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | 5.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 74 | E | 2.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | $4.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 99 |  | $21 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $0.878 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Silver |  | $0.065 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Tin |  | $1.82 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 57.3 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFZONE3 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | 19.7 mg/kg | 0.049 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $7.82 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $2.61 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Barium |  | $0.132 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.005 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.003 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $2.76 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Chromium |  | $0.388 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $4.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $61.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 53.1 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $0.634 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Mercury |  | $0.057 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Nickel |  | $0.296 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 9.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | 2.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 8.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 690 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 101 |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 105 | E | 8.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 110 |  | $19 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $29 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 3.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 128 | E | 9.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $41 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 149 | E | 9.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | 6.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | 64 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | 2.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 170 | E | 8.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | $23 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | 7.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $21 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 201 | E | 4.5 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | 5.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | 3.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | $4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | $3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 99 |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $0.971 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Silver |  | $0.067 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Tin |  | $1.75 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 64.3 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 1 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | 21.8 mg/kg | 0.049 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $12.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $2.02 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Barium |  | $0.175 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.009 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.003 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $2.58 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Chromium |  | $0.397 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $2.83 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 9.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Iron |  | $78 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.096 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 51.4 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $0.56 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Mercury |  | $0.042 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Nickel |  | $0.261 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE | E | 9.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | 2.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $860 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 101 |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 105 | E | 9.3 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 110 |  | $20 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $32 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 3.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 128 | E | 9.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $45 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 149 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | 9.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $72 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | $3.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | $4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | $2.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 170 | E | $8.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 177 | E | 4.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | $24 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | 8.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | 24 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 201 | E | 6.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | 4.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | 4.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | 4.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 99 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $0.856 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Silver |  | $0.062 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Tin |  | $1.86 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 65 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | 16 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 2 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | $17.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.049 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | 7.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Aluminum |  | $13.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.583 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Arsenic |  | $3.58 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.375 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Barium |  | $0.154 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Beryllium |  | $0.007 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.003 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Cadmium |  | $4.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.029 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Chromium |  | $0.523 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Copper |  | $4.26 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.068 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | $7.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Iron |  | 92.6 mg/kg | 0.096 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 32.6 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Manganese |  | $0.686 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.007 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Mercury |  | $0.092 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Nickel |  | $0.242 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.094 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD | E | 8.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | 610 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT |  | $14 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 101 |  | 14 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 105 | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 110 |  | $22 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $35 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | 3.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 128 | E | $12 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $50 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 149 | E | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 151 | E | 7.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $81 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | 3.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | $4.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | 2.2 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 170 | E | $9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 177 | E | 4.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | $30 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 183 | E | 9.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $31 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 201 | E | 8.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 49 | E | 2.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | 5.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | 3.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 70 | E | 3.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | $4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | PCB 99 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Selenium |  | $1.07 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.06 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Silver |  | $0.08 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.057 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Tin |  | $1.72 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.24 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Total Solids |  | 58.1 \%wt | 0.4 |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 3 | Pacific sanddab | liver | Zinc |  | $21.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.049 |
| TFZONE4 | 4 | Bigmouth sole | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | 1.4 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 4 | Bigmouth sole | liver | Lipids |  | 8.61 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE4 | 4 | Bigmouth sole | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $88 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 4 | Bigmouth sole | liver | PCB 101 | E | 2.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 4 | Bigmouth sole | liver | PCB 110 | E | 3.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 4 | Bigmouth sole | liver | PCB 118 | E | 9.9 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 4 | Bigmouth sole | liver | PCB 128 | E | 2.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 4 | Bigmouth sole | liver | PCB 138 |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 4 | Bigmouth sole | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | $23 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 4 | Bigmouth sole | liver | PCB 158 | E | 1.6 ug/kg |  |
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| Station | Rep | Species | Tissue | Parameter |  | Value Units | MDL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFZONE4 | 4 | Bigmouth sole | liver | PCB 180 | E | 8.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 4 | Bigmouth sole | liver | PCB 183 | E | 2.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 |  | Bigmouth sole | liver | PCB 187 | E | $6.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 4 | Bigmouth sole | liver | PCB 99 | E | $4.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Alpha (cis) Chlordane | E | $7.9 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Hexachlorobenzene | E | $5.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Lipids |  | 25.6 \%wt | 0.005 |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | o,p-DDE |  | $34 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | o,p-DDT | E | 3.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDD |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDE |  | $1700 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | p,p-DDT | E | 8.7 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 101 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 105 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 110 |  | $19 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 118 |  | $51 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 123 | E | $4.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 128 |  | $19 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 138 |  | $88 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 149 |  | $17 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 151 |  | $15 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 153/168 |  | 140 ug/kg | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 156 | E | 6.1 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 158 | E | 6.6 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 167 | E | 3.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 170 |  | $21 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 177 | E | 9.3 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 180 |  | $53 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 183 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 187 |  | $54 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 194 | E | $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 201 |  | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 206 | E | $7.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 28 | E | $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 52 | E | 6.8 ug/kg |  |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 66 | E | $4.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 87 | E | $3.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | PCB 99 |  | $28 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 |
| TFZONE4 | 5 | Longfin sanddab | liver | Trans Nonachlor | E | $16 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |


[^0]:    $T, F, E=$ total coliform,
    CTD profile = depth, temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, light transmittance (transmissivity), chlorophyll $a$, pH, density ( $n=8$ parameters)
     permit for complete list of chemical constituents; $\mathrm{BOD}=$ voluntary)
     analyzed (mercury, arsenic, selenium)
    Fish tissue contaminants (muscle) = lipid
     analyzed (arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, selenium, tin, zinc)

[^1]:    SCAMIT Ed. 4th, October 2001

