

## A NOTE ON $\varrho$ -UPPER CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

STANISŁAW KOWALCZYK — KATARZYNA NOWAKOWSKA

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we introduce the notion of classes of  $\varrho$ -upper continuous functions. We show that  $\varrho$ -upper continuous functions are Lebesgue measurable and, for  $\varrho < \frac{1}{2}$ , may not belong to Baire class 1. We also prove that a function with Denjoy property can be non-measurable.

### 1. Preliminaries

First, we shall collect some of the notions and definitions which appear frequently in the sequel. We apply standard symbols and notation. By  $\mathbb{R}$  we denote the set of real numbers, by  $\mathbb{N}$  we denote the set of positive integers.  $\mathcal{B}_1$  denotes the set of all Baire class 1 functions. The symbol  $|\cdot|$  stands for the Lebesgue measure on the real line and also for the absolute value of a real number. Throughout the paper we consider only real-valued functions defined on an open interval.

Let  $E$  be a measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . The numbers

$$\underline{d}^+(E, x) = \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|E \cap [x, x + t]|}{t}$$

and

$$\overline{d}^+(E, x) = \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|E \cap [x, x + t]|}{t}$$

are called the right lower density of  $E$  at  $x$  and right upper density of  $E$  at  $x$ . The left lower and upper densities of  $E$  at  $x$  are defined analogously. If

$$\underline{d}^+(E, x) = \overline{d}^+(E, x) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{d}^-(E, x) = \overline{d}^-(E, x),$$

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A15, 54C30.

Keywords: density of a set at a point, continuous functions, measurable functions, path continuity, Denjoy property, Baire class 1.

then we call these numbers the right density and left density of  $E$  at  $x$ , respectively. The numbers

$$\bar{d}(E, x) = \limsup_{\substack{t \rightarrow 0^+ \\ k \rightarrow 0^+ \\ t+k \neq 0}} \frac{|E \cap [x-t, x+k]|}{k+t}$$

and

$$\underline{d}(E, x) = \liminf_{\substack{t \rightarrow 0^+ \\ k \rightarrow 0^+ \\ t+k \neq 0}} \frac{|E \cap [x-t, x+k]|}{k+t}$$

are called the upper and lower density of  $E$  at  $x$ , respectively. If  $\bar{d}(E, x) = \underline{d}(E, x)$ , we call this number the density of  $E$  at  $x$  and denote it by  $d(E, x)$ .

When  $d(E, x) = 1$ , we say that  $x$  is a density point of  $E$ .

**DEFINITION 1.1.** Let  $E$  be a measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < \varrho < 1$ . We say that the point  $x$  is a point of  $\varrho$ -type upper density of  $E$  if  $\bar{d}(E, x) > \varrho$ .

**DEFINITION 1.2.** A real-valued function  $f$  defined on an open interval  $I$  is called  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x$  provided that there is a measurable set  $E \subset I$  such that  $x$  is a point of  $\varrho$ -type upper density of  $E$ ,  $x \in E$  and  $f|_E$  is continuous at  $x$ . If  $f$  is  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at every point of  $I$ , we say that  $f$  is  $\varrho$ -upper continuous.

We will denote the class of all  $\varrho$ -upper continuous functions defined on an open interval  $I$  by  $\mathcal{UC}_\varrho$ . The notion of  $\varrho$ -upper continuity is an example of so called path continuity, which was widely described in [2].

## 2. Main results

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $0 < \varrho < 1$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{UC}_\varrho$ , then  $f$  is measurable.*

*Proof.* Let  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $f \in \mathcal{UC}_\varrho$  and suppose that  $f$  is not measurable. Then there exists a number  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  for which the set  $\{x \in I: f(x) < a\}$  is non-measurable. Denote

$$A = \{x \in I: f(x) < a\}, \quad B = \{x \in I: f(x) \geq a\}.$$

It is obvious that  $B = I \setminus A$  is also non-measurable. Consider a measurable sets  $A_1 \subset A$ ,  $B_1 \subset B$  such that  $A \setminus A_1$  and  $B \setminus B_1$  do not contain a set of positive measure. Therefore,  $A \setminus A_1$  and  $B \setminus B_1$  are non-measurable sets. If

$$F = (A \setminus A_1) \cup (B \setminus B_1) = I \setminus (A_1 \cup B_1),$$

then  $F$  is a measurable set of positive measure. Let  $L(F)$  be a set of all density points of the set  $F$ . By the well-known Lebesgue Density Theorem [1],  $|F \setminus L(F)| = 0$ . Therefore, there exists  $x_0 \in (A \setminus A_1) \cap L(F)$ .

Since  $f$  is  $\varrho$ -upper continuous at  $x_0$ , it follows that there exists a measurable set  $E \subset I$  such that  $x_0 \in E$ ,  $\bar{d}(E, x_0) > \varrho$  and  $f|_E$  is continuous at  $x_0$ . As  $x_0 \in A$ , we have  $f(x_0) < a$ . Therefore, it is possible to find  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$E \cap (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \subset A.$$

Let

$$E' = E \cap (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta).$$

Hence  $x_0 \in E'$ ,  $f|_{E'}$  is continuous at  $x_0$ ,  $E' \subset A$  and

$$\bar{d}(E', x_0) = \bar{d}(E, x_0) > \varrho > 0. \quad (2.1)$$

We have

$$E' = (E' \cap A_1) \cup (E' \cap (A \setminus A_1)).$$

Since  $E'$  and  $E' \cap A_1$  are measurable,  $E' \cap (A \setminus A_1)$  is measurable, too. Hence,  $|E' \cap (A \setminus A_1)| = 0$ . Moreover,

$$\bar{d}(E' \cap A_1, x_0) = 1 - \underline{d}(I \setminus (E' \cap A_1), x_0) \leq 1 - \underline{d}(F, x_0) = 1 - 1 = 0,$$

because  $(E' \cap A_1) \cap F = \emptyset$ . It follows that

$$\bar{d}(E', x_0) \leq \bar{d}(E' \cap A_1, x_0) + \bar{d}(E' \cap (A \setminus A_1), x_0) = 0 + 0 = 0,$$

contradicting (2.1). Thus, the assumption that  $f$  may be non-measurable is false.  $\square$

**DEFINITION 2.1.** We say that a real-valued function  $f$  defined on an open interval  $I$  has Denjoy property at  $x_0 \in I$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  the set  $\{x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) : |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}$  contains a measurable subset of positive measure. We say that  $f$  has Denjoy property if it has Denjoy property at each point  $x \in I$ .

**Remark 2.1.** Let  $0 < \varrho < 1$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{UC}_\varrho$  then  $f$  has Denjoy property.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *There exists a non-measurable function with Denjoy property.*

**Proof.** First, we will construct inductively a sequence  $\{A_n : n \geq 1\}$  of measurable sets such that

- (1)  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for each  $i \neq j$ ,
- (2)  $|A_n| > 0$  for each  $n \geq 1$ ,
- (3)  $\forall (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R} \exists n \in \mathbb{N} A_{3n-2} \cup A_{3n-1} \cup A_{3n} \subset (a, b)$ .

Let  $\{(a_n, b_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of all open intervals with rational endpoints. Consider  $(a_1, b_1)$ . There exists a closed interval  $[\alpha_1, \beta_1] \subset (a_1, b_1)$  and there exist pairwise disjoint closed subintervals  $I_1^1, I_2^1, I_3^1$  of  $[\alpha_1, \beta_1]$ . Let  $C_{I_j^1}$  be a perfect nowhere dense set with positive measure such that  $C_{I_j^1} \subset I_j^1$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . Let

$$A_1 = C_{I_1^1}, \quad A_2 = C_{I_2^1}, \quad A_3 = C_{I_3^1}.$$

Since the set  $C_{I_1^1} \cup C_{I_2^1} \cup C_{I_3^1}$  is nowhere dense, there exists a closed interval  $[\alpha_2, \beta_2]$  such that

$$\alpha_2 < \beta_2 \quad \text{and} \quad [\alpha_2, \beta_2] \subset (a_2, b_2) \setminus (C_{I_1^1} \cup C_{I_2^1} \cup C_{I_3^1}).$$

Moreover, one can find pairwise disjoint closed subintervals  $I_1^2, I_2^2, I_3^2$  of  $[\alpha_2, \beta_2]$ . Let  $C_{I_j^2}$  be a perfect nowhere dense set with positive measure such that  $C_{I_j^2} \subset I_j^2$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . Denote

$$A_4 = C_{I_1^2}, \quad A_5 = C_{I_2^2}, \quad A_6 = C_{I_3^2}.$$

Assume that sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{3(n-1)}$  are already chosen and the conditions (1) and (2) are fulfilled. Also, assume that

$$A_{3i-2} \cup A_{3i-1} \cup A_{3i} \subset (a_i, b_i) \quad \text{for every } i \leq n-1.$$

Since  $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{3(n-1)}$  is a nowhere dense set, there exists  $[\alpha_n, \beta_n]$  such that

$$\alpha_n < \beta_n \quad \text{and} \quad [\alpha_n, \beta_n] \subset (a_n, b_n) \setminus (A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{3(n-1)}).$$

Fix any three closed pairwise disjoint nondegenerate intervals  $I_1^n, I_2^n, I_3^n \subset [\alpha_n, \beta_n]$ . Let  $C_{I_j^n}$  be a Cantor set with positive measure such that  $C_{I_j^n} \subset I_j^n$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . Let

$$A_{3n-2} = C_{I_1^n}, \quad A_{3n-1} = C_{I_2^n}, \quad A_{3n} = C_{I_3^n}.$$

So, by recursion, we can construct a sequence  $\{A_n : n \geq 1\}$  of measurable sets such that  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for each  $i \neq j$ ,  $|A_n| > 0$  for each  $n \geq 1$  and

$$A_{3n-2} \cup A_{3n-1} \cup A_{3n} \subset (a_n, b_n) \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Choose any interval  $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ . There exists  $n_0$  such that  $(a_{n_0}, b_{n_0}) \subset (a, b)$ . Hence,

$$A_{3n_0-2} \cup A_{3n_0-1} \cup A_{3n_0} \subset (a_{n_0}, b_{n_0}) \subset (a, b).$$

Let  $B \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{3n-2}$  be any non-measurable set. Define  $E = B \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{3n-1}$  and let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a characteristic function of the set  $E$ .

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . We consider two cases.

If  $x \notin E$ , then  $f(x) = 0$ . Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\{t : |f(t) - f(x)| < \varepsilon\} \supset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{3n}.$$

Since

$$\left| (a, b) \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{3n} \right| > 0$$

for each  $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ , we have that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  the set  $\{t \in (x - \delta, x + \delta) : |f(t) - f(x)| < \varepsilon\}$  contains a measurable set of positive measure.

If  $x \in E$ , then  $f(x) = 1$ . Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\{t: |f(t) - f(x)| < \varepsilon\} \supset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{3n-1}.$$

Since

$$\left| (a, b) \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{3n-1} \right| > 0$$

for each  $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ , we have that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  the set  $\{t \in (x - \delta, x + \delta): |f(t) - f(x)| < \varepsilon\}$  contains a measurable set of positive measure.

Hence,  $f$  has Denjoy property at  $x$ . Since  $x$  was arbitrary, we conclude that  $f$  has Denjoy property.

Certainly, the set  $E$  is non-measurable and  $f$  is non-measurable. □

**THEOREM 2.3.**  $\mathcal{UC}_{\varrho} \not\subset \mathcal{B}_1$  for every  $\varrho < \frac{1}{2}$ .

*Proof.* We will construct a function  $f$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{UC}_{\varrho}$  for every  $\varrho < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $f$  is not in Baire class 1.

Let  $C \subset [0, 1]$  be a perfect nowhere dense set with positive measure such that for arbitrary interval  $(a, b)$ , if  $C \cap (a, b) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $|C \cap (a, b)| > 0$ . Let

$$A = \left\{ x \in C : \underline{d}(C, x) > \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

From Lebesgue Density Theorem,  $|C \setminus A| = 0$ . In particular,  $A$  is dense in  $C$ . On the other hand, ends of ambiguous intervals do not belong to  $A$ . Hence, the set  $C \setminus A$  is dense in  $C$ , too. Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a characteristic function of the set  $A$ ,  $f = \chi_A$ . Since  $A$  and  $C \setminus A$  are dense in  $C$ , we deduce that  $f$  is discontinuous at each point  $x \in C$ . Hence,  $f$  is not Baire 1 function.

We will show that  $f \in \mathcal{UC}_{\varrho}$  for every  $\varrho < \frac{1}{2}$ .

If  $x \in A$ , then  $f|_A$  is constant, so it is continuous at  $x$  and

$$\overline{d}(A, x) = \overline{d}(C, x) \geq \underline{d}(C, x) \geq \frac{1}{2} > \varrho$$

because  $|C \setminus A| = 0$ .

If  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus C$ , then  $f|(\mathbb{R} \setminus C)$  is continuous at  $x$  and  $\mathbb{R} \setminus C$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence,

$$\overline{d}(\mathbb{R} \setminus C, x) = 1 > \varrho.$$

If  $x \in C \setminus A$ , then  $f|(\mathbb{R} \setminus A)$  is continuous at  $x$ . Since  $x \notin A$ , we have that  $\underline{d}(C, x) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . It follows that

$$\overline{d}(\mathbb{R} \setminus A, x) = 1 - \underline{d}(A, x) = 1 - \underline{d}(C, x) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} > \varrho.$$

Hence,  $f \in \mathcal{UC}_{\varrho}$  for every  $\varrho < \frac{1}{2}$ . □

REFERENCES

- [1] BRUCKNER, A. M.: *Differentiation of Real Functions*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 659, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
- [2] BRUCKNER, A. M.—O'MALLEY, R. J.—THOMSON, B. S.: *Path derivatives: a unified view of certain generalized derivatives*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **283** (1984), 97–125.

Received December 19, 2008

*Stanisław Kowalczyk*  
*Institute of Mathematics*  
*Academia Pomeraniensis*  
*ul. Arciszewskiego 22b*  
*PL-76-200 Słupsk*  
*POLAND*  
*E-mail: stkowalcz@onet.eu*

*Katarzyna Nowakowska*  
*Institute of Mathematics*  
*Academia Pomeraniensis*  
*ul. Arciszewskiego 22b*  
*PL-76-200 Słupsk*  
*POLAND*  
*E-mail: nowakowska\_k@go2.pl*