

Distribution of endangered Italian gudgeon Romanogobio benacensis (Cypriniformes, Cyprinidae, Gobioninae) with remarks on distinguishing morphological characters

Dušan Jelić^{1,2}, Mišel Jelić³, Petar Žutinić³, Ivana Šimunović¹, Primož Zupančič⁴, Alexander M. Naseka^{4,5}

Croatian Institute for Biodiversity, Maksimirska cesta 129/5, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia 2 BIOTA j.d.o.o./ Ltd, Braće Radića 128A, HR-43290 Grubišno Polje, Croatia 3 University of Zagreb, Faculty of Science, Department of Biology, Rooseveltov trg 6, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia 4 Dinaric Research Institute, Dolsko 14, SI-1262 Dol pri Ljubljani, Slovenia 5 Faculty for Biology and Soil, Saint Petersburg State University, Universitetskaya Emb. 7/9, Saint Petersburg 199034, Russia

Corresponding author: Dušan Jelić (jelic.dusan@gmail.com)

Academic editor: M. Bichuette Received 26 August 2017 Accepted 20 October 2017	Published 16 January 2017

Citation: Jelić D, Jelić M, Žutinić P, Šimunović I, Zupančič P, Naseka AM (2018) Distribution of endangered Italian gudgeon *Romanogobio benacensis* (Cypriniformes; Cyprinidae; Gobioninae) with remarks on distinguishing morphological characters. ZooKeys 729: 103–127. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.729.20615

Abstract

Distribution data on many freshwater fish species in Croatia are scarce and species identifications are difficult, requiring further detailed studies. This paper presents a report of the Italian gudgeon *Romanogobio benacensis* from the Mirna River in the Istra Peninsula in Croatia, in the south-east from its previously known distribution range. The identification of *R. benacensis* in Croatia was supported by a morphological comparison with *R. benacensis* from Italy and Slovenia, the common gudgeon *Gobio gobio*, and the Danubian gudgeon *Gobio obtusirostris* from geographically close locations. A combination of character states (number of scales between anus and anal-fin origin, branched pectoral-fin rays, lateral-line scales, total, abdominal, and caudal vertebrae, and the size and number of lateral blotches) distinguishes *R. benacensis* from both *G. gobio* and *G. obtusirostris*. The phylogenetic analyses using mitochondrial sequences of cytochrome b gene confirmed that specimens from the Mirna River belong to *R. benacensis*. Also, Reka River system (Adriatic Sea basin) in Slovenia is inhabited by a possibly introduced Danubian gudgeon, *G. obtusirostris*, and not by *R. benacensis*.

Copyright Dušan Jelić et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Keywords

Adriatic basin, freshwater fish, genetic barcoding, morphology, paleo-Po River, trans-Adriatic paleo-dispersal

Introduction

The richness of Croatian freshwater ichthyofauna manifests in at least 147 native fish and lamprey species, many of which are endemic (Mrakovčić et al. 2006, Jelić et al. 2008, Jelić 2011b). The number of fish species in Croatian freshwater environments is continuously increasing as a result of new species descriptions (Zupančič and Bogutskaya 2002, Marčić et al. 2011, Bogutskaya et al. 2012) and re-discovery of the previously described species (Jelić 2011a, Jelić and Jelić 2015). For example, *Telestes miloradi* Bogutskaya, Zupančič, Bogut & Naseka, 2012, an endemic species whose description is based on material deposited in a museum, collected more than 100 year ago, and which had been considered extinct, was recently re-discovered in nature (Jelić and Jelić 2015).

Another example is the Italian gudgeon Romanogobio benacensis (Pollini, 1816), which was firstly recorded in Croatia in 2011 (Jelić 2011a). This cyprinid fish species, belonging to Palearctic subfamily Gobioninae, was originally described as Cyprinus benacensis from specimens collected in Lake Garda in the Po drainage (Italy). Later, the Italian gudgeon was considered a subspecies of the common gudgeon Gobio gobio (Linnaeus) (Bianco and Taraborelli 1984, Bianco 1988, Pizzul et al. 1993, Bănărescu et al. 1999) or a valid species Gobio benacensis (Kottelat 1997, Bianco and Ketmaier 2001, 2005, Kottelat and Persat 2005). Currently, the species is assigned to Romanogobio Bănărescu (Kottelat and Freyhof 2007, Zupančič et al. 2008). Systematic position of the Italian gudgeon within *Romanogobio* is supported by phylogenetic reconstructions using mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) sequences of genes coding for cytochrome b (cytb) (Bianco and Ketmaier 2005) and the cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) (Geiger et al. 2014). However, the basal node in the Romanogobio clade which shows divergence between *R. benacensis* and the remaining subclades was not supported, thus preventing Bianco and Ketmaier (2005) to consider *Romanogobio* as a supported clade in comparison with the *Gobio* Cuvier clade.

Based on some diagnostic morphological characters, the Italian gudgeon is considered more similar to *Gobio* than to *Romanogobio* (Bianco and Ketmaier 2005, Kottelat and Freyhof 2007, Bianco 2014). According to Bianco and Ketmaier (2005), a single character discriminating *R. benacensis* and *G. gobio* was the number of scales between the anus and the anal-fin origin, 2–4 in the former species and 4–8 in the latter. Kottelat and Freyhof (2007) added that in *R. benacensis* the distance between the anus and the anal-fin origin is distinctly smaller than the eye diameter, while in *Gobio* it is equal to or greater than the eye diameter. Further, in *R. benacensis* the scales on the abdomen extend only to a point between the pectoral and pelvic-fin bases, while in *Gobio* they sometimes extend to a level of the posterior end of the pectoral-fin base. However, systematic position of *R. benacensis* is controversial, since some of the morphological characters of *R. benacensis* do correspond to those diagnostic of the genus *Gobio*, while others are typical for *Romanogobio* (Kottelat and Freyhof 2007).

For nearly two centuries after its description, *R. benacensis* was considered an Italian endemic species, native in the Padano-Venetian district from the Isonzo River in the north to the Marecchia River in the south (e.g. Bianco and Taraborelli 1986, Bianco 1991, Bianco and Ketmaier 2005). First finding of Italian gudgeon outside of Italian territory was reported by Povž et al. (2005) in the lower reaches of Vipava River in the Soča (Isonzo) drainage (Slovenia). Crivelli (2006) cited a personal communication by M. Povž that *R. benacensis* was also found in the Reka River in the Adriatic basin in Slovenia. This was published later by Zupančič (2008) and Povž et al. (2015). However, no diagnostic characters of specimens from the Reka River were given to support this identification. Kottelat and Freyhof (2007) presumed that the Italian gudgeon probably occurs elsewhere in the northern Adriatic basin. Out of the native range, the Italian gudgeon was introduced and established in the Arno, Tiber, and Ombrone rivers in central Italy (Bianco 1994, Bianco and Ketmaier 2005).

In recent years, G. gobio was introduced in Italy and became invasive species in river systems down to the Badolato River in the south, making a serious threat to R. benacensis (Bianco and Ketmaier 2005). Phylogenetic inferences on mtDNA sequences of cytb (Bianco and Ketmaier 2005) and COI (Geiger et al. 2014) genes showed that the examined specimens of G. gobio from the Po drainage shared identical haplotypes with G. gobio from the Rhône drainage. However, Bianco and Ketmaier (2005) and Bianco (2009) indicated possible introductions of Danubian G. gobio in Italy (which refers to the Danubian gudgeon Gobio obtusirostris Valenciennes according to the recent taxonomic concept). Gobio gobio and G. obtusirostris are larger-sized fishes (SL up to 125-130 mm vs. 80-110 in *R. benacensis*) and, if successfully established, they might cause a considerable decline in populations, and even extirpation, of R. benacensis (Bianco and Ketmaier 2005, Bianco 2009). The latter species is thought to be represented only by genetically "pure" populations in its native range in the Tagliamento River in Italy (Bianco and Ketmaier 2005) and the Adriatic basin in Slovenia (Crivelli 2006, Bianco 2009, 2014). Romanogobio benacensis is considered an endangered species both globally (EN B2ab(i,ii,iii,iv,v), Crivelli 2006) and in Italy (Bianco et al. 2013).

Although four species of the subfamily Gobioninae (gudgeons) have been reported in Croatia (Mustafić et al. 2005, Freyhof and Kottelat 2007, Jelić 2011a), their systematic status is uncertain (e.g., species in the *R. albipinnatus* group *sensu* Freyhof and Kottelat 2007). Mustafić et al. (2005) reported *G. gobio, G. uranoscopus* (Agassiz), *G. albipinnatus* Lukasch, and *G. kesslerii* Dybowski. Later, these species were assigned to *G. obtusirostris*, the Danubian longbarbel gudgeon *R. uranoscopus*, the Danubian whitefinned gudgeon *R. vladykovi* (Fang), and the Kessler's gudgeon *R. kesslerii*, respectively (Freyhof and Kottelat 2007, Jelić 2011a). In the previous studies, gudgeons in the Istra Peninsula (Mirna River; Fig. 1) were identified as *G. gobio obtusirostris* (Leiner et al. 1995) (= *G. obtusirostris*) and *G. gobio* (Mustafić et al. 2005). The main aim of this study was to investigate which gobionine species occurs in the Istra Peninsula (Croatia) and to confirm the presence of *R. benacensis* in Croatia. Gudgeon individuals collected



Figure 1. Map of distribution of Romanogobio benacensis in South-West Europe.

during an ichthyological survey in the Istra Peninsula was preliminary identified as *R. benacensis* by using morphological characters (Jelić 2011a). In the present paper, samples of *R. benacensis* from different localities in Italy, Slovenia, and Croatia were described using morphological and molecular characters to support their identification in comparison with *G. obtusirostris* and *G. gobio*.

Materials and methods

Morphological analysis

Measurements were made according to Naseka and Freyhof (2004). All measurements were made point-to-point with a digital calliper and recorded to the nearest of 0.1 mm. Vertebrae counts are given according to Naseka (1996). Last two rays in dorsal and anal fins based on a single pterygiophore were counted as 1½ ray. Unbranched rays in dorsal and anal fins were counted from radiographs. In total, 30 morphometric indices were used for descriptions and statistical analyses as in Table 1 and 18 meristic characters as in Table 2 were examined. All characters were obtained from specimens of both sexes and combined in analyses and tables. A Mann-Whitney U Test and a Discriminant Function Analysis (DFA) were performed using STATISTICA v6.0 and

benacensis.
bio
Romanogot
þ
, an
ostris
usira
obt
bio
Gol
gobio,
bio
G
Е.
characters
hometric
rp
10
2
<u> </u>
e
đ
Ë,

	Gobio gobio,	Gobio o	btusirost	ris,	Gobio obtus	irostris,	Reka	Romanogobi	io bena	censis,	Romanogobi	o benace	ısis, Po
	Elba River	Danube dr	ainage (n= 17)	River	(∠=u).		Mirna Ri	iver (n=	4)	and Adige dr	ainages	(n=19)
	(n = 2)	range	М	SD	range	М	SD	range	М	SD	range	М	SD
SL, mm	69.5-72.4	37.6–93.6	70.7		90.8–98.3	94.7	2.91	62.3-83.5	76.1		49.0-94.7	67.7	
% ST													
Body depth at dorsal-fin origin	21.6-23.5	17.9–23.8	21.0	2.01	21.2-24.7	23.3	1.04	24.4-27.7	26.0	1.53	21.7-28.3	24.7	1.65
Caudal peduncle depth	8.7–9.6	8.2-10.9	9.7	0.75	9.7-11.0	10.2	0.48	10.4 - 11.2	10.8	0.33	9.0-11.5	10.5	0.61
Body width at dorsal-fin origin	13.9–16.5	11.4-16.5	13.9	1.45	14.7-17.7	16.1	1.09	12.8-17.9	15.3	2.38	11.9–16.7	14.1	1.71
Width of caudal peduncle	3.0-4.0	3.0 - 5.9	4.0	0.75	4.2-5.6	4.7	0.52	3.5 - 5.0	4.3	0.64	3.3-6.5	4.5	0.79
Predorsal length	50.0-50.2	46.3-50.2	48.8	1.19	47.1-50.3	48.6	1.12	46.8-51.4	48.4	2.03	47.3-53.0	49.4	1.46
Postdorsal length	40.3-42.4	40.1-42.7	41.3	0.87	41.5-43.4	42.7	0.82	41.0-41.5	41.3	0.24	38.5-43.7	41.3	1.37
Prepelvic length	50.1 - 50.4	47.3-52.4	50.2	1.37	48.2-51.3	49.6	0.99	49.9–51.4	50.7	0.72	48.3-53.1	50.5	1.22
Preanal length	70.8-71.7	68.4-74.1	71.7	1.46	70.1-73.5	71.6	1.17	67.1-70.6	69.3	1.62	68.9–74.0	70.7	1.45
Distance between pectoral fin and pelvic-fin origin	24.3-24.8	21.8-27.2	24.9	1.61	24.7-26.4	25.2	0.57	24.8 - 30.4	27.5	2.37	21.3-28.3	25.0	1.40
Distance between pelvic fin and anal-fin origin	20.7-22.3	20.7-23.3	21.8	0.87	21.3-23.9	22.6	0.87	18.7-22.2	20.4	1.80	18.7-23.1	21.1	1.22
Distance between anus and anal-fin origin	6.3 - 7.1	5.1 - 10.1	6.8	1.21	5.8-8.0	6.6	0.72	2.5-4.5	3.4	0.88	3.1 - 6.2	4.4	0.90
Caudal peduncle length	20.0-22.4	17.8–22.6	20.6	1.36	18.2-22.4	20.9	1.37	19.7-23.8	21.3	1.75	18.3-22.5	20.5	1.13
Dorsal-fin length	13.1 - 14.3	11.9–14.3	13.3	0.81	12.1 - 13.6	13.0	0.53	13.8-16.3	15.0	1.26	12.1–15.8	14.3	0.93
Dorsal-fin depth	24.2-24.9	21.0-26.2	23.4	1.72	20.9–22.0	21.5	0.48	21.7-25.6	23.7	1.78	22.2-26.3	23.9	1.09
Anal-fin length	8.2-8.7	7.7–9.5	8.6	0.51	6.9–8.7	8.0	0.66	10.0 - 13.1	10.8	1.51	8.6-11.6	10.0	0.8
Anal-fin depth	19.0-19.4	15.8–20.8	18.4	1.50	15.8-17.2	16.5	0.45	17.9–20.5	18.9	1.10	17.3–21.6	19.1	1.2
Pectoral-fin length	20.1 - 22.1	18.7–23.2	21.3	1.32	18.6–21.5	19.7	1.07	21.0-22.4	21.8	0.65	19.7–24.5	21.8	1.41
Pelvic-fin length	17.1 - 18.3	15.8-18.3	17.2	0.92	15.9–16.4	16.1	0.20	16.0-18.5	17.5	1.07	15.8-20.3	17.8	1.04
Head length	27.7–27.8	24.4-29.9	27.4	1.82	25.6–28.1	26.7	0.91	23.9-26.1	25.2	0.99	25.0-29.1	26.9	1.11
Eye diameter	6.4-6.8	4.9–6.8	6.1	0.62	5.3-5.9	5.5	0.23	5.6-6.6	6.0	0.42	5.8-8.0	6.6	0.54
% HL													
Head depth at nape	55.9–56.7	53.3-62.5	57.5	2.61	58.5-64.1	61.6	2.12	60.0-62.1	61.0	0.88	55.8-67.1	61.7	2.52
Snout length	40.4 - 41.1	36.7-41.6	38.8	1.67	40.0-43.8	42.1	1.16	43.2-44.1	43.5	0.39	37.0-45.0	40.4	2.34
Eye diameter	23.1 - 24.4	19.1–26.2	22.8	1.84	19.2-21.6	20.7	0.90	22.8-26.2	23.9	1.51	21.0-27.9	24.6	1.6
Postorbital distance	42.1-42.6	42.1-46.8	44.7	1.64	44.3-48.7	46.3	1.58	42.3-45.4	43.8	1.67	40.7-47.5	44.4	1.78
Maximum head width	50.4-54.8	48.8-55.8	51.7	2.31	56.7-63.2	59.8	2.60	56.7-58.6	57.8	0.78	49.8-64.2	55.1	3.87
Interorbital width	27.9–30.7	26.1–34.5	29.5	2.10	32.1–36.3	34.1	1.25	31.6-35.9	33.5	1.88	27.5-34.9	30.9	1.73
Length of upper jaw	24.7–25.9	20.3-26.5	24.5	1.75	25.2-28.4	26.8	1.17	25.4-28.1	26.7	1.36	21.9–31.5	25.2	2.41
Length of lower jaw	36.0–36.1	32.2–36.1	34.9	1.22	33.1–36.1	34.4	1.10	33.1–39.9	35.3	3.13	28.8-38.5	34.5	2.51
Barbel length	26.3–27.6	24.3-34.3	27.5	2.63	21.8-28.4	25.4	2.21	30.3-39.7	35.1	4.48	24.6-42.7	33.4	4.59
Caudal peduncle depth	31.4-34.7	31.4-41.2	36.0	2.58	34.4-40.7	38.1	2.24	41.5-44.5	42.8	1.48	33.1-42.8	39.0	2.47

io benacensis.
Romanogob
and
ostris,
obtusi
Gobio
gobio,
Gobio
Ш.
characters
цċ
crist
Μ
~
۵.
ģ

	Gobio gobio, Elba River,	Gobio o I	b <i>tusirostr</i> liver, n=7	is, Reka	<i>Gobio obi</i> drainage vert	<i>usirostris</i> , 2, n=17 (n ebral cour	Danube =53 for nts)	<i>Romano</i> Mirr	gobio ben. 1a River, 1	acensis, 1=4	Romano Po and	gobio ben Adige dra n=19	acensis, inages,
	7=U	range	Mean	SD	range	Mean	SD	range	Mean	SD	range	Mean	SD
Unbranched dorsal-fin rays	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ		ŝ	ŝ		4	4		3-4	3.7	0.48
Branched dorsal-fin rays	71/2	71/2	71/2		71/2	71/2		71/2	71/2		71/2	71/2	
Branched anal-fin rays	61/2	61/2	61/2		61/2	61/2		61/2	61/2		61/2	61/2	
Branched pectoral-fin rays	15-16	15-16	15.6	0.51	15-18	15.6	0.84	13-15	13.8	0.96	12–15	13.3	0.67
Branched pelvic-fin rays	7	7	7.0		7	7.0		~	7.0		7	7.0	
Scales in lateral row	42	41-43	41.4	0.79	40-42	41.3	0.73	38–39	38.5	0.58	37-40	38.8	0.73
Total lateral-line scales	42	41-42	41.3	0.49	39-42	41.0	1.0	37–39	38.3	0.96	37-40	38.5	0.87
Lateral-line scales to posterior margin of hypurals	39-42	38-40	39	0.58	38-40	38.9	0.81	35–36	35.8	0.50	34–37	36.4	0.80
Scales above lateral line	6	6	6.0		9	6.0		9	6.0		9	6.0	
Scales below lateral line	4	45	4.1	0.38	4	4.0		3-4	3.8	0.50	3-4	4.1	0.28
Scales between anus and anal-fin origin	69	4-7	5.4	1.27	4-7	6.1	0.71	2–3	2.3	0.50	1-5	3.7	0.85
Circumpeduncular scales	16	15-16	15.7	0.49	13-16	15.3	0.84	13-16	14.3	1.26	12-15	13.6	0.79
Predorsal scales	18-19	15-21	17.9	2.27	14-20	17.3	1.64	13-17	15.5	1.91	14–18	15.8	1.15
Total vertebrae	39-40	38-40	39.3	0.5	38-41	39.1	0.79	36–38	37.0	0.82	36–38	37.2	0.4
Abdominal vertebrae	20	20-21	20.6	0.53	20-22	20.5	0.54	19–20	19.5	0.59	18-20	19.1	0.46
Caudal vertebrae	19–20	18-19	18.7	0.49	17–20	18.5	0.68	16–18	17.5	1.0	17-18	17.9	0.32
Predorsal abdominal vertebrae	11	11	11	0.0	10 - 11	10.8	0.43	10 - 11	10.5	0.58	9-11	10.4	0.60
Preanal caudal vertebrae	2–3	1–2	1.3	0.49	1–3	1.6	0.58	0–2	1.3	0.96	1–2	1.4	0.50

PRIMER v6.1.9 to identify the most important characters that contribute to the differentiation of the two species and visualise the classification of the Reka and Mirna specimens into one of them.

(Abbreviations: SL, standard length; HL, lateral head length including skin fold; HDBI, Croatian Biological Research Society; NMW, Naturhistorisches Museum Wien; PZC, private collection of Primož Zupančič)

Examined material

- Romanogobio benacensis. Adriatic basin, Croatia: HDBI 1292, 3, SL 76.8–83.5 mm, Mirna River, Kamenita Vrata, coll. D. Jelić, 19.06.2011; HDBI 1323, 1, SL 62.3 mm, Mirna River, coll. D. Jelić, 2011. Adriatic basin, Italy: NMW 3522–23, 2, SL 59.4–65.4 mm, Turin, coll. Steindachner, 1910; NMW 15278, 1, SL 51.3 mm, Garda Lake basin, Adige River near Rovereto; NMW 53302, 5, SL 77.2–85.6 mm, Milan, coll. Steindachner, 1864; NMW 53303, 4, SL 78.0–94.7 mm, Milan, coll. De Filippi, 08.07.1845; NMW 53304, 3, SL 67.0–68.3 mm, Italy, Garda Lake, coll. Bellotti, 1888; NMW 84845, 4, SL 33.2–67.8 mm, T. Malone, 1 km upstream on the road Rivarossa-Argentera, Torino Prov., coll. Balma, 02.1987.
- *Gobio gobio.* North Sea basin, Elbe drainage: NMW 92127, 2, SL 69.5–72.4 mm, Czech Republic, Elba River near Celakovice, coll. Oliva, 1951.
- Gobio obtusirostris. Danube drainage: HDBI 1331, SL 83.7 mm, Croatia, Sava system, Kupa [Kolpa] River at Ozalj, coll. D. Jelić, 2011; HDBI 1356, 3, SL 66.5-82.9 mm, Croatia, Sava drainage, Kupa River at Ozalj, coll. D. Jelić, 2011; NMW 65533, 11 (from many), SL 48.5–75.9 mm, Romania, Timis River at Urseni, coll. Bănărescu, 1963; NMW 80989, 6 (from 20), SL 71.0-75.6 mm, Austria, Raba system, Pinka River near Badersdorf, coll. Jungwirth, 1982; NMW 87485, 6, SL 75.3-88.4 mm, Slovenia, Sava system, Drtijščica tributary of Kamniška Bistrica River, coll. Krištofek, 3.4.1988; NMW 90626, 3, SL 25.6-27.0 mm, Austria, Drau River near Linz, pond near Nörsach, coll. Kofler, 02.04.1991; NMW 90825, 4, SL 77.6–99.6 mm, Slovenia, Sava system, Dobravščica and Psata tributaries of Kamniška Bistrica River, coll. Povž, 03.04.1991; NMW 90828, 3, SL 72.4-93.6 mm, Slovenia, Drava system, Rožnodolski [Pekrski potok] tributary near Maribor, coll. Povž, 3.4.1991; NMW 91507, 16 (from 20), SL 37.6-91.6 mm, Austria, Mur River downstream from Graz, coll. Schulz, 1993; Adriatic basin, Slovenia: PZC 677, 7, SL 90.8–98.3 mm, Reka drainage, unnamed creek at Zarečje, 45.57°N, 14.21°E, coll. Zupančič, 07.04.2007.

DNA extraction, gene amplification, and sequencing

Besides species identification based on morphological features, species were characterised using sequences of cytochrome b gene (cytb), which is commonly used mtDNA genetic marker for species affiliation of European cyprinids (e.g. Zardoya and Doadrio 1998, Bianco and Ketmaier 2005, Perea et al. 2010). Total DNA was extracted from pectoral fin tissue with the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Germany) following the manufacturer protocol. After extraction, total genomic DNA was stored on -20°C until the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was conducted. The primers used for cytochrome b were GluF and ThrR (Machordom and Doadrio 2001). The PCR was carried out with the HotStarTaq Master Mix Kit (Qiagen). PCR reactions were prepared in a total volume of 50 µL comprised of 2.5 U HotStarTag DNA Polymerase, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 µM each dNTP, 0.2 µM of each primer and 20 ng of DNA template. The amplification process was conducted with the same conditions as described in Perea et al. (2010). Purification and sequencing of the PCR products were prepared by Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, South Korea) using the same primers used for gene amplification. Purified PCR products were sequenced on ABI 3730XL DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA). Sequence chromatograms were analysed using SEQUENCHER (version 5.3; Gene Codes Corp., Ann Arbor, USA) and aligned by eye.

The obtained sequences in this study (1141 base pairs long, bp) were examined using Nucleotide Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (Nucleotide BLAST; http://blast. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) to screen for the most similar sequences in the GenBank nucleotide database (National Center for Biotechnology Information, U.S. National Library of Medicine, USA).

The Median-Joining (MJ) haplotype network (Bandelt et al. 1999) was used to infer the intraspecific relations in *R. benacensis* with cytb sequences obtained in this study and 342 bp sequences which were downloaded from the GenBank (Bianco and Ketmaier 2005). The MJ network was computed using PopART (Population Analysis with Reticulate Trees) v1.7 (Leigh and Bryant 2015).

Phylogenetic tree reconstructions were conducted using the cytb sequences obtained in this study and the available sequences belonging to Gobio and Romanogobio (1141 bp) from the GenBank (Briolay et al. 1998, Zardoya and Doadrio 1998, 1999, Madeira et al. 2005, Saitoh et al. 2006, Yang et al. 2006, Perea et al. 2010, Liu et al. 2010, Tang et al. 2011; Table 5). Sequences originating from the tench Tinca tinca (Linnaeus), the European bitterling Rhodeus amarus (Pallas) and the stone moroko Pseudorasbora parva (Temminck & Schlegel) were used as an outgroup. Newly obtained sequences in this study were deposited in the GenBank under accession numbers shown in Table 5 (will be available for publication). Phylogenetic reconstructions were inferred using three methods (Maximum Likelihood - ML, Bayesian Inference - IB and Maximum Parsimony - MP). The best-fit evolutionary model used in ML and IB was computed using jModelTest2 (version 2.1.6; Darriba et al. 2012) with the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) as implemented on the Cipres Science Gateway (version 3.1; http://www.phylo.org; Miller et al. 2010). Best-fit model of nucleotide substitution was Generalised Time Reversible (GTR) (Tavaré 1986) with a gamma distributed rate variation among sites (+G) and a significant proportion of invariant sites (+I). The ML was run using RAxML-HPC2 Workflow on XSEDE (version 8.2.8;

Stamatakis 2014) on the Cipres Science Gateway with optimized parameters. For ML analysis, 200 search replicates to find the ML tree and 1000 nonparametric bootstrap replicates under the GTRGAMMA model were applied. The IB was run in MrBayes 3.2 (Ronquist et al. 2012) on the Cipres Science Gateway. Two independent runs with four MCMC chains were run for 50 million generations and sampled every 5000 generations, with temperature parameter set to 0.2 and the first 12.5 million generations discarded as burn-in. The convergence of runs was screened using AWTY (Nylander et al. 2008) while effective sample sizes of parameters were checked using TRACER 1.5 (Drummond and Rambaut 2007). The MP analysis was performed in MEGA 6.06 (Tamura et al. 2013). The MP tree was obtained using the Subtree-Pruning-Regrafting algorithm (Nei and Kumar 2000) with search level 1 in which the initial trees were obtained by the random addition of sequences (10 replicates). Nodes in phylogram which have bootstrap values $P \ge 70$ in ML and MP, and posterior probabilities (pp) values ≥ 0.95 in IB were considered supported.

Three user trees ("Tree 1: *R. benacensis* sister taxon for *R. kesslerii* and *R. banaticus*", "Tree 2: *R. benacensis* sister taxon for all *Romanogobio*", and "Tree 3: *R. benacensis* sister taxon for all *Gobio*") were analysed using tree topology tests [1sKH – one sided KH test based on pairwise SH tests (Shimodaira and Hasegawa 1999, Goldman et al. 2000, Kishino and Hasegawa 1989); SH – Shimodaira-Hasegawa test (2000); ELW – Expected Likelihood Weight (Strimmer-Rambaut 2002); 2sKH – two sided Kishino-Hasegawa test (1989)]. All topology tests were computed in TREE-PUZZLE v5.3rc16 (Schmidt et al. 2002).

Results

Comparative morphological description of *R. benacensis* from the Mirna River

Comparative morphological analysis of *R. benacensis* (n = 23), *G. gobio* (n = 2) and *G. obtusirostris* (n = 24) was performed based on number of available specimens. See Fig. 2a for general appearance and Table 1 for morphometric data. Below, only those characters demonstrating some difference between the species are discussed.

The body is relatively deep, the depth at the dorsal-fin origin is 24–28, averaging 26% SL (22–28, averaging 25% SL, in the Po samples) in contrast to 18–25, averaging 21–23% SL in *G. obtusirostris*.

The anus is located close to the anal-fin origin, the distance between the anus and the anal-fin origin is 3–5, averaging 3% SL (3–6, averaging 4% SL, in the Po samples) in contrast to 5–10, averaging 7% SL in *G. obtusirostris* where the anus is usually located about the midway between the pelvic and anal-fin origins (Table 2). The number of scales between the anus and the anal-fin origin is 1–5, commonly 2–4, in *R. benacensis* vs. 4–7 in *G. obtusirostris* and 6–9 in *G. gobio*.

The dorsal fin has 4 unbranched rays in all specimens from Mirna and Soča rivers and in 14 (of 19) specimens from the Po drainage (Table 2, Fig. 3). This is the first known example of a species with commonly 4 unbranched dorsal-fin rays in the Gobioninae. Contrary, in *G. obtusirostris* and *G. gobio*, the number of unbranched dorsal-fin rays is always 3. In all species examined in this study the dorsal fin has 7¹/₂ branched rays and the anal fin has 3 simple and 6¹/₂ branched rays. The number of branched pectoral-fin rays is 12–15 in *R. benacensis* vs. 15–18 in *G. obtusirostris* and *G. gobio* (Table 2).

In *R. benacensis* from the Mirna River, scales along the midline of the belly extend forward to the middle of the pectoral-fin base. In *R. benacensis* from the Po drainage, scales along the midline of the belly extend forward from much behind the pectoral-fin base to the anterior end of the pectoral-fin base, commonly to the posterior end of the pectoral-fin base (Table 3). In *G. gobio*, the breast and throat are more scaled; scales along the midline of the belly extend forward from the middle of the pectoral-fin base to a point in front of the anterior end of the pectoral-fin base. In *G. obtusirostris*, the throat and breast are less scaled similarly to *R. benacensis*; scales along the midline of the belly extend forward from a point much behind the pectoral-fin base to the anterior end of the pectoral-fin base. In *G. obtusirostris*, the throat and breast are less scaled similarly to *R. benacensis*; scales along the midline of the belly extend forward from a point much behind the pectoral-fin base to the anterior end of the pectoral-fin base.

The lateral line is complete, with 37–39 total scales averaging 38.6 (36–40 averaging 38.8 in the Po samples). These counts are lower than in *G. gobio* and *G. obtusirostris* which have a range of 39–42 scales, and averages of 42.0 and 41.3, respectively. Other scale counts can be also seen in Table 2. No epithelial keels on scales were found in specimens of *R. benacensis*.

The barbel is reaching the vertical through the middle of the pupil to the posterior margin of the eye (Table 4). Similar character states are also typical to *R. benacensis* from the Po drainage: the barbel is reaching the vertical through the middle of the pupil to behind the posterior margin of the eye, more frequently between the posterior margin of the pupil and the posterior margin of the eye. On average, the barbel is longer in *R. benacensis* than in *G. gobio* and *G. obtusirostris* (30–39% HL, averaging 35 in *R. benacensis* from the Mirna River and 26–37% HL, averaging 32.5 in *R. benacensis* from the Po drainage vs. 22–28% HL, averaging 25 in *G. obtusirostris* from the Reka River and 24–34% HL, averaging 27.5 in *G. obtusirostris* from the Danube drainage) (Table 1). In *G. obtusirostris*, the barbel is commonly reaching the vertical through the anterior margin of the pupil to the posterior margin of the pupil (Table 4).

Total vertebrae are 36–38, 19–20 abdominal, and (16)18 caudal including 0–2 preanal. 10–11 predorsal vertebrae. The vertebral counts in the Mirna samples of *R. benacensis* are similar to those in the Po samples, the most frequent vertebral formulae are 19+18 (17), 20+17 (2), and 20+18 (2) (Table 2). *G. gobio* and *G. obtusirostris* differ by higher average numbers of total, abdominal, and caudal numbers, the most frequent vertebral formulae are 21+18 (18), 20+19 (15), 20+18 (9), and 21+19 (8).

Laterally (examined on both sides) with 7–8, usually 7 roundish dark blotches. The size of a blotch varies but it is relatively large: the size of the blotch below the dorsal-fin origin is about (close to) or larger than the horizontal eye diameter. The same pattern of the blotches, 5–8, usually 6 or 7, is found in the examined specimens from the Po and Soča drainages. In *G. gobio* and *G. obtusirostris*, the blotches are smaller and more numerous, 7–11, usually 8 or 9, and the size of the blotch below the dorsal-fin origin is about the half horizontal eye diameter (Fig. 2).



Figure 2. Lateral view of *Romanogobio benacensis* from the Mirna River (HDBI 1323), 62.3 mm SL (**a**) and the Po drainage (NMW 84845), 50.9 mm SL (**b**); *Gobio obtusirostris*, the Reka River (PZC), 98.3 mm SL (**c**) and the Sava River (NMW 87485), 88.4 mm SL (**d**); and *Gobio gobio*, the Elbe River (NMW 92127), 72.4 mm SL (**e**). Scale bar 1 cm.



Figure 3. Radiographs of unbranched dorsal-fin rays. Specimens as **a–d** in Fig. 2. Arrow shows presence (**a–b**) or absence (**c–d**) of smallest anteriormost unbranched ray.

Statistical analysis

A Mann-Whitney U test revealed seven morphometric and nine meristic characters different on a statistically significant (0.01%) level between the samples of typical *G. obtusirostris* (Danube specimens) and typical *R. benacensis* (Po and Adige specimens): the body depth at the dorsal-fin origin, the distance between the pelvic fin and the anal-fin origin, the distance between the anus and the anal-fin origin, the dorsal-fin length, the the interorbital width, the barbel length, the number of unbranched dorsal-fin rays, the number of branched pectoral-fin rays, the number of scales in lateral series, total lateral-line scales and lateral-line scales to the posterior margin of hypurals, the number of circumpeduncular scales, the number of scales between the anus and the anal-fin origin, and the numbers of total and abdominal vertebrae.

These 16 distinguishing characters were used for a DFA in order to classify the Reka and Mirna samples into one of the two species. DFA statistics values are as follows: Wilks' Lambda 0.00721, approx. F (45, 78) =7.3894, p<0.0000. The Mirna specimens are the closest to Italian *R. benacensis* (Fig. 5) (Squared Mahalanobis Distance equals 21.5024 vs. 74.7999 between *G. obtusirostris* from the Danube and Italian *R. benacensis*).

Table 3. Number of specimens of *Gobio gobio, Gobio obtusirostris*, and *Romanogobio benacensis* showing character states of the development (presence) of scales on the ventral side (throat and breast). Each character state refers to the anteriormost scale along the ventral midline.

Species and locality	In front of pectoral-fin base	Ante- rior end of pectoral-fin base	Middle of pectoral-fin base	Poste- rior end of pectoral-fin base	Behind pectoral-fin base
Gobio gobio, Elba River	1		1		
Gobio obtusirostris, Reka River		1		3	3
Gobio obtusirostris, Danube drainage		1		13	3
Romanogobio benacensis, Mirna River			4		
Romanogobio benacensis, Po drainage		1	4	10	4

Table 4. Character states of the position of the posteriormost extremity of the barbel in *Gobio gobio, Gobio obtusirostris,* and *Romanogobio benacensis.*

			Barbel reac	hing to vertical	of:	
Species and locality	anterior margin of pupil	middle of pupil	posterior margin of pupil	between pupil and posterior margin of eye	posterior margin of eye	behind pos- terior margin of eye
Gobio gobio, Elba River		2				
<i>Gobio obtusirostris</i> , Reka River	4	1	2			
<i>Gobio obtusirostris</i> , Danube drainage	3	6	2	6		
<i>Romanogobio benacensis</i> , Mirna River		1	2		1	
<i>Romanogobio benacensis</i> , Po drainage		4	4	8	1	1

Phylogenetic tree inference

Two unique cytb haplotypes were detected in four specimens from the Mirna River (Table 5) Haplotype 1 originates from three specimens (HDBI 1323/tissue 771, HDBI 1292/tissue ID 772, and HDBI 1292/tissue ID 773) whereas Haplotype 2 was observed in one specimen (HDBI 1292/tissue ID 774). Haplotype 1 and Haplotype 2 have 99% similarity score (1136 identical nucleotide positions in 1141 bp sequence alignment). Nucleotide BLAST search using Haplotype 1 resulted in 99% similarity score (337 identical nucleotide positions in 342 bp alignment) with GenBank entry AY641522 designated as "strain TAG" of *G. benacensis* in Bianco and Ketmaier (2005), validating morphological determination of *R. benacensis* in this study. The second top match in BLAST search using Haplotype 1 was GenBank entry AY641524 designated as "strain OMB" of *G. benacensis* in Bianco and Ketmaier (2005) with similarity score 328/342 (96%). The 342 bp sequence alignment of *R. benacensis* were analysed with the MJ haplotype network (Fig. 6). Haplotypes 1 and 2 from Croatia differ by five mutational steps

from the "strain TAG" and can be considered as members of this strain. There are nine mutational steps between "strain TAG" and "strain OMB", confirming there are two strains in *R. benacensis* (Bianco and Ketmaier (2005). The sequences obtained in Bianco and Ketmaier (2005) (342 bp) were not used in further phylogenetic tree reconstruction in this study to avoid inclusion of significant proportion of missing sites in the final sequence alignment (1141 bp). The ML, IB and MP provided congruent trees with no supported contradictions (Fig. 7). Results of phylogenetic reconstruction indicated *Romanogobio* and *Gobio* as two statistically supported clades (P (ML) = 70, pp (IB) = 0.97, P (MP) = 91, and P (ML) = 100, pp (IB) = 1, P (MP) = 100, respectively). High statistical support was also observed for the node showing divergence between these two clades (P (ML) = 93, pp (IB) = 1, P (MP) = 80). Results of phylogenetic inference showed that *R. benacensis* belongs to the clade of *Romanogobio* (Fig. 7).

All topology tests (Table 6) indicated that best topology is presented in "Tree 1: *R. benacensis* sister taxon for *R. kesslerii* and *R. banaticus*" vs. "Tree 2: *R. benacensis* sister taxon for all *Romanogobio*" and "Tree 3: *R. benacensis* sister taxon for all *Gobio*".

Discussion

Morphological data in this study confirm observations of the previous authors (Bianco and Taraborelli 1984, Bianco and Ketmaier 2005, Kottelat and Freyhof 2007) that *R. benacensis* differs from *G. gobio* and *G. obtusirostris* by a shorter distance between the anus and the anal-fin origin. However, this character is not completely discriminating in this study – there are specimens of both *R. benacensis* (including all examined specimens from the Soča River) and *Gobio* with 4 or 5 scales between the anus and the anal-fin origin. However, the length of the distance between the anus and the anal-fin origin is still diagnostic: in *R. benacensis*, the distance between the anus and the anal-fin origin (3–5% SL) is smaller than the eye diameter (6–8% SL) while in *G. gobio* and *G. obtusirostris* this distance (5–10% SL) is equal or larger than the eye diameter (5–7% SL). Kottelat and Freyhof (2007) also stated a difference in the scale pattern on the abdomen in *R. benacensis*; the scales extend only to a point between the pectoral and pelvic-fin bases vs. a level of the posterior end of the pectoral-fin base in *Gobio*. However, our data (Table 3) did not confirm this character to be clearly diagnostic for the two taxa.

Romanogobio benacensis also differs from *G. gobio* and *G. obtusirostris* by a number of character states which includes often four (vs. three) unbranched dorsal-fin rays, lower numbers of branched pectoral-fin rays (12–15 vs. 15–18), lateral-line scales (to-tal number 37–40 vs. 39–42), total vertebrae (36–38 vs. 38–41), abdominal vertebrae (18–20 vs. 20–22), and caudal vertebrae (16–18 vs. 17–20) (Table 2).

Some of the morphological characters of *R. benacensis* do correspond to those diagnostic of the genus *Gobio*. As shown by Kottelat and Freyhof (2007), *R. benacensis* does not have epithelial crests on scales on the dorsal surface of the body, a shallow caudal peduncle, and a long distance between the anus and the anal-fin origin, the characters typical for *Romanogobio*. As to the position of the anus (in relation to anal-

f analysed specimens, localities, GenBank Accession	
. Data on catalogue numbers o	
ist of species used for phylogenetic tree inference on cytb sequences.	quences lengths, and references are shown.
Table 5.	numbers,

Romanogobia beracensisHDBI 132 Missue ID 771Croatia: Mirna Rivet, Kamenita Vrataxxx1141Romanogobia beracensisHDBI 132 Missue ID 773Cootia: Mirna Rivet, Kamenita Vrataxxx1141Romanogobia beracensisHDBI 129 Zissue ID 773Cootia: Mirna Rivet, Kamenita Vrataxxx1141Romanogobia beracensisHDBI 129 Zissue ID 774Cootia: Mirna Rivet, Kamenita Vrataxxx1141Romanogobia beracensisHDBI 129 Zissue ID 775Cootia: Mirna Rivet, Kamenita Vrataxxx1141Gobio obtio obtioHDBI 129 Zissue ID 775Bosnia and Herzgovin. Boračko IakeXxx1141ZGobio obtio obtioHDBI 129 Zissue ID 775Bosnia and Herzgovin. Boračko IakeXxx1141ZGobio obtio obtioTAGDADItaly: Tagliameno RiverAY641521342BGobio gobioBADBADItaly: Tagliameno RiverAY641523342BGobio gobioBADBADItaly: Tagliameno RiverAY641523342BGobio gobioBADErance: Rhone RiverAY641523342BGobio gobioBADItaly: Tagliameno RiverAY641523342BGobio gobioBADBADItaly: Tagliameno RiverAY641523342BGobio gobioBADBADCoce: Callikos RiverAY641523342BGobio gobioBADBADCoce: Callikos RiverAY645231141ZGobio gobio bazantiGobio gobio iscuecistusGobio coce: Call	Species	Catalogue no.	Locality	Accession No.	Sequence length (bp)	Reference
Romanogobio benacensisHDBI 1292/tissue ID 772Coatia: Mirna Rivet, Kamenita Vataxxx1141Romanogobio benacensisHDBI 1292/tissue ID 773Coatia: Mirna Rivet, Kamenita Vataxxx1141Romanogobio benacensisHDBI 1292/tissue ID 773Coatia: Mirna Rivet, Kamenita Vataxxx1141Romanogobio benacensisHDBI 1292/tissue ID 773Coatia: Mirna Rivet, Kamenita Vataxxx1141Romanogobio benacensisHDBI 1292/tissue ID 773Bosnia and Herzegovina: Borako Lakexxx1141Gobio gobioMELItaly: Metta RivetArrotataxxx1141Romanogobio benacensisOMBItaly: Tagiamento RivetArrota1223342BGobio gobioMBADItaly: Saino RivetArrota1323342BGobio gobioMadeia. 2004MBADItaly: Saino RivetArrota1323342BGobio gobioMadeia. 2004Matera. 2004M14453342BGobio gobioMadeia. 2004Matera. 2004M14453342BGobio gobioMadeia. 2004Matera. 2004M14453342BGobio gobioMadeia. 2004Matera. 2004M14535342BGobio gobioMadeia. 2004Matera. 2004M14453342BRomanogobio benacentaMatera. 2004M14553342BGobio gobioMadeia. 2004Matera. 2004M14553342BGobio gobioMatera. 2004Matera. 2004M4505531141Z<	Romanogobio benacensis	HDBI 1323/tissue ID 771	Croatia: Mirna River, Kamenita Vrata	XXX	1141	This study
Romanogobio benacensisHDBI 1292/tissue ID 773Cotatia: Mirna River, Kamenita Vrataxxx1141Romanogobio benacensisHDBI 1292/tissue ID 775Roatia: Mirna River, Kamenita Vrataxxx1141Romanogobio benacensisHDBI 1292/tissue ID 775Bosnia and Herzgovina: Boračko Lakexxx1141Gobio obnaciostrisHDBI 1292/tissue ID 775Bosnia and Herzgovina: Boračko Lakexxx1141Gobio obnaciostrisMELILaly: Tagliamento RiverM641522342BRomanogobio benacensisDOMBItaly: Omboon RiverM641523342BGobio gobioMELASSItaly: Comboo RiverM641523342BRomanogobio benacensisDoAdiMADMetera RiverM641523342BGobio gobioMELMadeira, 2004MateraM641523342BGobio gobioMadeira, 2004MateraMateraM641523342BGobio gobioMadeira, 2004MateraMateraM641523342BGobio gobioMateraMateraMateraM641523342BGobio gobioMateraMateraMateraM641523342BRomanogobio benarcensisMateraMateraMateraM641523342BRomanogobio benarcensisMateraMateraMateraM641523342BGobio gobioMateraMateraMateraMateraM641523342BMateraMateraMat	Romanogobio benacensis	HDBI 1292/tissue ID 772	Croatia: Mirna River, Kamenita Vrata	XXX	1141	This study
Romanogobio benacensisHDBI 1292/tissue ID 774Cotatia: Mirna River, Kamenita Vrataxxx1141Gobio obtusivortisHDBI 1292/tissue ID 775Bosnia and Herzegovina: Boračko Lakexxx1141Gobio obtusivortisHDBI/tissue ID 775Bosnia and Herzegovina: Boračko Lakexxx1141Gobio gobioTAGItaly: Tagliamento River $M'641522$ 342BRomanogobio benacensisOMBItaly: Saino River $M'641522$ 342BRomanogobio benacensisOMBItaly: Saino River $M'641523$ 342BGobio gobioBADHabyItaly: Saino River $M'641523$ 342BGobio gobioBADHabyItaly: Saino River $M'641523$ 342BGobio gobioBADHabyRomono River $M'641523$ 342BGobio gobioBADHabyRomono River $M'641523$ 342BGobio gobioRomono River $M'641523$ 342RGobio gobioBADRayGraver $M'641523$ 342BGobio gobioRomono River $M'641523$ 342RGobio gobioRomono River $M'641523$ 342RGobio gobioRomono River $M'641523$ 342RGobio gobioRomono River $M'641523$ 342RGobio gobioRomono River $M'645593$ 1141ZRomanogobio brancercisGobioGobioGobioGobioRomanogobio brancercisGo	Romanogobio benacensis	HDBI 1292/tissue ID 773	Croatia: Mirna River, Kamenita Vrata	xxx	1141	This study
Gobio obtruitortrisHDBI/tissue ID 775Bosnia and Herzegovina: Boračko Lake xxx 1141Gobio obtruitortrisMELItaly: Meletta RiverAY641521342BGobio gobioTAGItaly: Saino RiverAY641522342BRomanogobio benacensisTAGItaly: Saino RiverAY641523342BGobio gobioMBDItaly: Saino RiverAY641525342BGobio gobioBADDMBItaly: Saino RiverAY641525342BGobio gobioBADSabone RiverAY641525342BGobio gobioBADParance: Rhone RiverAY641525342BGobio gobioBADSpain: Tajo RiverAF695961141ZGobio bararescu (Di-Spain: Tajo RiverAF097501141ZGobio bararescu (Di-Gobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusAF097501141ZRomanogobio intarnoscopusGobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusAF097501141ZRomanogobio intarnoscopusGobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusAF097501141ZGobio gobioGobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusAroananasi River/ValaanestiAF0956921141ZGobio gobioGobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusAroananasi River/ValaanestiAF0956921141ZGobio gobioGobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusAroananasi River/ValaanestiAro265921141ZGobio gobio <td>Romanogobio benacensis</td> <td>HDBI 1292/tissue ID 774</td> <td>Croatia: Mirna River, Kamenita Vrata</td> <td>xxx</td> <td>1141</td> <td>This study</td>	Romanogobio benacensis	HDBI 1292/tissue ID 774	Croatia: Mirna River, Kamenita Vrata	xxx	1141	This study
Gobio gobioMELItaly: Meletra RiverAY641521 342 BRomanogobio henacensisTAGItaly: Tagliamento RiverAY641522 342 BRomanogobio henacensisTAGItaly: Assino RiverAY641523 342 BGobio gobioASSItaly: Ombrone RiverAY641525 342 BRomanogobio henacensisOMBItaly: Saino RiverAY641525 342 BRomanogobio henacensisDMBItaly: Ombrone RiverAY641525 342 BGobio gobioBADErance: Rhone RiverAY641525 342 BGobio gobioDMBErance: Rhone RiverAF0459961141ZGobio bazanoi Doadrio andErecce: Allaknon RiverAF0459961141ZGobio bazanoi Doadrio baranesui (Di-Monteresce, Allaknon RiverAF0907501141ZRomanogobio haranesui (Di-Gobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusAF0907501141ZRomanogobio internescipusGobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusAry265931141ZRomanogobio internescipusGobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusAry265931141ZRomanogobio internescipusGobio_ciscaucasicusG.go.33C.ach.RRomania: Valsan River/ValsanestiAry265721141ZGobio gebioG.go.33C.ach.RCocce. Republic: PlanaAry265931141ZZGobio gebioGobio_gebioGobio_gobio (1)Ary265931141Z </td <td>Jobio obtusirostris</td> <td>HDBI/tissue ID 775</td> <td>Bosnia and Herzegovina: Boračko Lake</td> <td>xxx</td> <td>1141</td> <td>This study</td>	Jobio obtusirostris	HDBI/tissue ID 775	Bosnia and Herzegovina: Boračko Lake	xxx	1141	This study
Romanogobio benacensisTAGItaly: Tagliamento RiverAY(641522 342 BGobio gobioASSItaly: Sasino RiverAY(641523 342 BGobio gobioBADItaly: BADItaly: Salo RiverAY(641525 342 BGobio gobioBADFrance: Rhone RiverAY(641525 342 BGobio gobioBADFrance: Rhone RiverAY(641525 342 BGobio gobioBADFrance: Rhone RiverAY(641525 342 BGobio gobioParanci Doadrio andMadeira, 2004AF0907501141ZGobio baranoi Doadrio andArbouceAF0907501141ZGobio obtusirostrisArbouceAF0907501141ZRomanogobio bararescui (Di-ArbouceAF0907501141ZRomanogobio bararescui (Di-Gobio-ciscaucasicusRomanai: Valsan RiverAF0907501141ZRomanogobio bararescui (Di-Gobio-ciscaucasicusRomanai: Valsan River/ValsanestiAF0907501141ZRomanogobio iccaucasicusGobio-ciscaucasicusRomanai: Valsan River/ValsanestiAF0350501141ZRomanogobio iccaucasicusGobio-ciscaucasicusGobio-ciscaucasicusRomanai: Valsan River/ValsanestiAF0355021141ZRomanogobio iccaucasicusGobio-ciscaucasicusGobio-ciscaucasicusRomanai: ValsanestiAF4265721141ZGobio losenoiGobio-gobio (2)Gobio-gobio (2)Gobio-gobio (2)Harbitire RiverAF42657	Jobio gobio	MEL	Italy: Meletta River	AY641521	342	Bianco and Ketmaier (2005)
Gobie gobie ASS Iraly: Assino River $AY641523$ 342 B Romanogobie benacensisOMBItaly: Ombrone River $AY641523$ 342 B Gobie gobieBADHardy: BADRialy: Badolato River $AY641525$ 342 B Gobie gobieBADFrance: Rhone River $Y10452$ 1141 Z Gobie gobieParaterisDomeSpain: Tajo River $Y10452$ 1141 Z Gobie locatio andPercece: Gallikos River $AF045906$ 1141 Z Gobie locatio statiPercece: Gallikos River $AF090750$ 1141 Z Madeira, 2004Percece: Aliakmon River $AF090750$ 1141 Z Romanogobie banarescui (Di-Obio-ciscaucasicus $Gobio-ciscaucasicusAF0907501141ZRomanogobie banarescui (Di-Gobio-ciscaucasicusRomania: Valsan River/ValsanesciAF0907501141ZRomanogobie interacticusGobio-ciscaucasicusRomania: Valsan River/ValsanesciAF0956071141ZRomanogobie interacticusG_{Obio-ciscaucasicusRomania: Valsan River/ValsanesciAF0956071141ZRomanogobie interacticusG_{Obio-CiscaucasicusRomania: Valsan River/ValsanesciAF0956071141ZRomanogobie interacticusG_{Obio-CiscaucasicusRomania: ValsanesciAF205921141ZRomanogobie interacticusG_{Obio-CiscaucasicusG_{Obio-CiscaucasicusAF205921141Z<$	Romanogobio benacensis	TAG	Italy: Tagliamento River	AY641522	342	Bianco and Ketmaier (2005)
Romanogobio benacensisOMBItaly: Ombrone RiverAY641524 342 BGobio gobioBADLtaly: Badolato RiverAY641525 342 BGobio gobioBADFrance: Rhone RiverY10452 1141 ZGobio gobioParance: Rhone RiverAF09750 1141 ZGobio locanoi Doadrio andPerterce: Gallikos RiverAF090750 1141 ZGobio locanoi: Doadrio andCoece: Aliakmon RiverAF090750 1141 ZRomanogobio banarecui (Di-Perterce: Aliakmon RiverAF090751 1141 ZRomanogobio banarecui (Di-Gobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusAF090751 1141 ZRomanogobio banarecui (Di-Gobio_ciscaucasicusRussia: Kuma RiverAF090751 1141 ZRomanogobio banarecui (Di-Gobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusAF090751 1141 ZRomanogobio banarecui (Di-Gobio_ciscaucasicusRussia: Kuma River/ValsanestiAF09507 1141 ZRomanogobio baranecui (Di-Gobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusAF00507 1141 ZGobio gobioRomania: Valsan River/ValsanestiAF05522 1141 Z<	Jobio gobio	ASS	Italy: Assino River	AY641523	342	Bianco and Ketmaier (2005)
Gobio gobioBADItaly: Badolato RiverAY641525 342 BGobio gobio $=$ Tance: Rhone RiverY1045211412Gobio lozanoi Doadrio and $=$ Tance: Rhone RiverAF04599611412Gobio lozanoi Doadrio and $=$ Tance: Gallikos RiverAF09075011412Gobio obtarirostris $=$ Tance: Gallikos RiverAF09075011412Gobio obtarirostris $=$ Tance: Gallikos RiverAF09075011412Romanogobio butarescui (Di- $=$ Tance: Aliakmon RiverAF09075111412Romanogobio butarescui (Di- $=$ Gobio_ciscaucasicusRussia: Kuma RiverAF09075111412Romanogobio ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusRussia: Kuma RiverAF09560711412Romanogobio ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusRussia: Kuma RiverAF09560711412Romanogobio ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusRussia: Kuma RiverAF09560711412Gobio gobio unanoscopusG.go.13FR.ADOURRussia: Kuma RiverAY2657211412Gobio gobioGio_so3Ozech.RC.go.33Czech.RAY2659211412Gobio gobioGobio gobioGobio_colo (2)Gobio Germany: Rhine RiverAY265921141Gobio gobio $=$ Cacch Republic: PlanaAY2659211411Gobio gobio $=$ Cacch Republic: PlanaAY2659211411Gobio gobio $=$ Cacch Republic: PlanaAY2659211411Go	Romanogobio benacensis	OMB	Italy: Ombrone River	AY641524	342	Bianco and Ketmaier (2005)
Gobia gobiaFrance: Rhone RiverY 104521141Gobia lazanai Doadrio and Madeira, 2004 $AF045996$ 1141ZGobia obtusirostris $AF00750$ $BF09750$ 1141ZGobia obtusirostris $Cobia obtusirostris$ $AF090750$ 1141ZRomanogobia banarescui (Di- movski and Grupche, 1974) $AF090751$ 1141ZRomanogobia ciscaucasicus Gobio ciscaucasicus $Gobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusAF0956071141ZRomanogobia transcopusGobia lazantiGobio_ciscaucasicusGobia lazantiAF0956071141ZGobia lazantiGobia gobiaG_{00}ciscaucasicusAF007501141ZGobia gobiaG_{00}ciscaucasicusAF0007501141141Gobia gobiaG_{00}ciscaucasicusAF0007501141141Gobia gobiaGobio_ciscaucasicusAF0007501141141Gobia gobiaGobia gobiaAF0007501141141Gobia gobiaGobia gobiaAF0007501141141Gobia gobiaGobia gobiaGobia Cobia CobiaAF007501141Gobia gobiaGobia Gobia CobiaAF00750AF007501141Gobia gobiaGobia Gobia C$	Jobio gobio	BAD	Italy: Badolato River	AY641525	342	Bianco and Ketmaier (2005)
Gobio lozanoiGobio lozanoiAF045996 1141 ZMadeira, 2004 \rightarrow \rightarrow $Greece: Gallikos RiverAF0459961141ZGobio obtusirostris\rightarrow\rightarrowGreece: Gallikos RiverAF0907501141ZRomanogobio banarescui (Di-\rightarrowGreece: Aliakmon RiverAF0907511141ZRomanogobio banarescui (Di-Gobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusAF0907511141ZRomanogobio banarescuiGobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusAF0956071141ZRomanogobio banarescuiGobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusAF0956071141ZRomanogobio banarescuiGobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusAF0956071141ZRomanogobio banarescuiGobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusAF0956071141ZRomanogobio uranoscopusG_{obio} IaranoscopusG_{obio} IaranoscopusAF265721141ZGobio gobioG_{obio} IaranoscopusG_{obio} IaranoscopusAF265921141ZGobio gobioGobio_{cobio} (2)Gobio_{cobio} RiverAP265921141AF265921141Gobio gobioGobio_{cobio} (2)Gobio_{cobio} (2)Gobio_{cobio} RiverAP265921141AF265921141Gobio gobioGobio_{cobio} (2)Gobio_{cobio} (2)Gobio_{cobio} RiverAP265921141AF265921141Gobio gobioGobio$	Jobio gobio		France: Rhone River	Y10452	1141	Briolay et al. (1998)
Gobio obtusivostris $Cobio obtusivostris$ $AF090750$ 1141 Z Romanogobio banarescui (Di- movski and Grupche, 1974) $AF090751$ 1141 Z Romanogobio banarescui (Di- movski and Grupche, 1974) $Gobio_ciscaucasicus$ $Gobio_ciscaucasicus$ $Gobio_ciscaucasicus$ $Russia: Kuma RiverAF0907511141ZRomanogobio iscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusRussia: Kuma RiverAF0956071141ZRomanogobio uranoscopusGobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusRomania: Valsan River/ValsanestiAF0956071141ZGobio gobioG_{ciscaucasicus}G_{ciscaucasicus}Romania: Valsan River/ValsanestiAY4265921141ZGobio gobioG_{ciscaucasicus}G_{ciscaucasicus}Gobio_ciscaucasicusAF000RAF265921141ZGobio gobioGobio gobioZGobio_ciscaucasicusZZZZZZZZZZGobio gobioGobio gobioZZZ$	<i>Sobio lozanoi</i> Doadrio and Madeira, 2004		Spain: Tajo River	AF045996	1141	Zardoya and Doadrio (1998)
Romanogobio banarescui (Di- movski and Grupche, 1974) $F000751$ 1141 ZRomanogobio ciscaucasicus (Berg, 1932)Gobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicus $F1095607$ 1141 ZRomanogobio ciscaucasicus (Berg, 1932)Gobio_ciscaucasicusRussia: Kuma River $AF095607$ 1141 ZRomanogobio viscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicus $Rumanoscopus$ $AF025593$ 1141 ZRomanogobio uranoscopusG.go.13FR.ADOURFrance: Adour River $AY425592$ 1141 ZGobio gobioGobio gobio $G.go.33Czcch.R$ $Czech RepublicAY4255921141ZGobio gobioG.go.037Czch.RCzech Republic: PlanaAB2395961141ZGobio gobioGobio_gobioGobio_gobioGobio_gobioAY365921141I41Gobio gobioGobio gobioGobio_gobioI141I41I41I41Gobio gobioGobio gobioI100I101I101I101I101Gobio gobioI100I100I101I101I101I101I101$	sobio obtusirostris		Greece: Gallikos River	AF090750	1141	Zardoya and Doadrio (1999)
Romanogobio ciscaucasicusGobio_ciscaucasicusRussia: Kuma RiverAF0956071141Z(Berg. 1932)G.ura.34Romanogobio uranoscopusG.ura.34Romania: Valsan River/ValsanestiAY4265931141ZRomanogobio uranoscopusG.go.13FR.ADOURFrance: Adour RiverAY4265921141ZGobio lozanoiG.go.33Czech.RCzech RepublicAY4265921141ZGobio gobioGobio gobioCzech Republic: PlanaAB2395961141ZGobio gobioGobio gobio (2)Germany: Rhine RiverAY530071141ZGobio gobioGobio gobio (2)Gobio gobio (1)Romany: Rhine RiverAY53071141LGobio gobio obtusirostrisGobio_gobio (1)Romany: Rhine RiverAY53071141L	Romanogobio banarescui (Di- novski and Grupche, 1974)		Greece: Aliakmon River	AF090751	1141	Zardoya and Doadrio (1999)
Romanogobia uranoscopus $G.ura.34$ Romania: Valsan River/Valsanesti $AY426593$ 1141 Gobia lazanoi $G.go.13FR.ADOUR$ France: Adour River $AY426572$ 1141 1141 Gobia gobia $G.goi.33Czech.R$ $Czech RepublicAY42659211411141Gobia gobiaGobia gobiaG.goi.33Czech.RCzech RepublicAR2559211411141Gobia gobiaGobia gobiaG.goi.33Czech.RCzech RepublicAB23959611411141Gobia gobiaGobia gobiaGobia gobia(2)Germany: Rhine RiverAY2659211411141Gobia gobiaGobia gobiaGobia gobia(2)Germany: Rhine RiverAY2530711411141Gobia obtusirostrisGobia gobiaGobia gobia(1)RomaniaET736191141114$	Romanogobio ciscaucasicus Berg, 1932)	Gobio_ciscaucasicus	Russia: Kuma River	AF095607	1141	Zardoya and Doadrio (1999)
Gobia lazanoiG.go.13FR.ADOURFrance: Adour River $AY426572$ 1141 Gobia gobiaG.go.33Czech.RCzech Republic $AY426592$ 1141 Gobia gobiaG.go.33Czech.RCzech Republic: Plana $AB239596$ 1141 Gobia gobiaGobia gobiaGobia-gobia $AB239596$ 1141 141 Gobia gobiaGobia-gobia $Czech Republic: PlanaAB2395961141141Gobia gobiaGobia-gobiaCabia Republic: PlanaAY530071141141Gobia gobiaGobia-gobiaCabia Republic: PlanaAY9530071141141$	Romanogobio uranoscopus	G.ura.34	Romania: Valsan River/Valsanesti	AY426593	1141	Madeira et al. (2005)
Gobia gobiaG.go.33Czech.RCzech Republic $AY426592$ 1141 Gobia gobia $Gobia gobia$ $Czech Republic: Plana$ $AB239596$ 1141 Gobia gobia $Gobia_gobia$ $Gobia_gobia$ $AY953007$ 1141 Gobia gobia $Gobia_gobia$ $Gobia_gobia$ $AY95307$ 1141 Gobia obtusirostris $Gobia_gobia$ $Gobia_gobia$ $AY95307$ 1141	Gobio lozanoi	G.go.13FR.ADOUR	France: Adour River	AY426572	1141	Madeira et al. (2005)
Gobio gobio Cocch Republic: Plana AB239596 1141 141 Gobio gobio Gobio_gobio (2) Germany: Rhine River AY953007 1141 I Gobio obtusirostris Gobio_gobio (1) Romania EF173619 1141 IL	30bio gobio	G.go.33Czech.R	Czech Republic	AY426592	1141	Madeira et al. (2005)
Gobio gobioGobio_gobio (2)Germany: Rhine RiverAY9530071141Gobio obtusirostrisGobio_gobio (1)RomaniaEF1736191141L1	Jobio gobio		Czech Republic: Plana	AB239596	1141	Saitoh et al. (2006)
Gobio obtusirostris Gobio_gobio (1) Romania EF173619 1141 Lu	sobio gobio	Gobio_gobio (2)	Germany: Rhine River	AY953007	1141	Yang et al. (2006)
	sobio obtusirostris	Gobio_gobio (1)	Romania	EF173619	1141	Luca et al. (direct submission)
Gobio obtusirostris MNCN_AT4759 Slovenia: Sevnica River HM560092 1141	sobio obtusirostris	MNCN_AT4759	Slovenia: Sevnica River	HM560092	1141	Perea et al. (2010)

Species	Catalogue no.	Locality	Accession No.	Sequence length (bp)	Reference
Romanogobio kesslerii	WL*0653a	Ukraine: middle Dniestr River close to type locality	AY952328	1141	Witte (direct submission)
Romanogobio banaticus (Bănărescu, 1960)	WL*0626a	Romania: middle Nera River (Danube drainage)	AY952329	1141	Witte (direct submission)
Romanogobio banaticus	WL*0626b	Romania: middle Nera River (Danube drainage)	AY952330	1141	Witte (direct submission)
Romanogobio uranoscopus	WL*0624a	Romania: middle Nera River (Danube drainage)	AY952331	1141	Witte (direct submission)
Romanogobio macropterus (Kamensky, 1901)	WL*0628a	Turkey: Aras River	AY952332	1141	Witte (direct submission)
<i>Gobio macrocephalus</i> Mori, 1930	Gobio_macrocephalus	China: Yanji, Tumenjiang River	AY953006	1141	Yang et al. (2006)
Gobio cynocephalus Dybowski, 1869	Gobio_cynocephalus	China: Fuyuan, Amur River	AY953005	1141	Yang et al. (2006)
Romanogobio tenuicorpus (Mori, 1934)	Romanogobio_tenuicorpus	China: Yellow River	AY953004	1141	Yang et al. (2006)
<i>Gobio huanghensis</i> Luo, Le and Chen, 1977	Gobio_huanghensis	China	FJ904648	1141	Qi et al. (direct submission)
Gobio soldatovi Berg, 1914	IHCAS:0210055	China: Kaiyuan, Liahe River	EU934491	1141	Liu et al. (2010)
Romanogobio tenuicorpus	CTOL00130	n/a	JN003327	1141	Tang et al. (2011)
Romanogobio ciscaucasicus	CTOL00128	n/a	JN003325	1141	Tang et al. (2011)
<i>Romanogobio tanaiticus</i> Nas- eka, 2001	CTOL00129	n/a	JN003324	1141	Tang et al. (2011)
Gobio cynocephalus Dybowski, 1869	CTOL00112	n/a	JN003328	1141	Tang et al. (2011)
Gobio coriparoides Nichols, 1925	CTOL00375	n/a	JN003326	1141	Tang et al. (2011)
Tinca tinca	Tinca tinca	Bosnia and Herzegovina: Trebišnjica River, Ravno	HM560230	1141	Perca et al. (2010)
Rhodeus amarus	Rhodeus amarus	Czech Republic: Libechovka River, Elbe River	HM560156	1141	Perea et al. (2010)
Pseudorasbora parva	Pseudorasbora parva	Turkey: Kizilirmak River, Kirsehir	HM560155	1141	Perea et al. (2010)

Table 6. Comparison of user trees ("Tree 1: *Romanogobio benacensis* sister taxon for *Romanogobio kesslerii* and *Romanogobio banaticus*", "Tree 2: *R. benacensis* sister taxon for all *Romanogobio*", and "Tree 3: *R. benacensis* sister taxon for all *Gobio*"). The columns show the results and p-values of the following tests: 1sKH - one sided KH test based on pairwise SH tests (Shimodaira and Hasegawa 1999, Goldman et al., 2000, Kishino and Hasegawa 1989); SH - Shimodaira-Hasegawa test (1999); ELW - Expected Likelihood Weight (Strimmer and Rambaut 2002); 2sKH - two sided Kishino-Hasegawa test (1989). Plus signs denote the confidence sets. Minus signs denote significant exclusion. All tests used 5% significance level. 1sKH, SH, and ELW performed 1000 resamplings using the RELL method. 1sKH and 2sKH are correct to the 2nd position after the the decimal point of the log-likelihoods.

Tree	log L	difference	S.E.	p-1sKH	p-SH	c-ELW	2sKH
1	-8489.12	0.00	< best	1.0000 +	1.0000 +	0.7078 +	best
2	-8491.32	2.20	2.8840	0.2040 +	0.5230 +	0.2036 +	+
3	-8499.39	10.27	8.1588	0.1010 +	0.1250 +	0.0887 +	+

fin base), it is closer to the anal fin in *R. benacensis* than even in *Gobio*; a position of the anus at (or close to) the anal-fin origin is a plesiomorphic feature in the Gobioninae (Naseka 1996). The genus *Romanogobio* also differs from *Gobio* in having the supraethmoid wide (wider than long) vs. elongated (longer than wide); a high and oval second infraorbital (Fig. 4a) vs. narrow and rod-shaped (Fig. 4b), and a relatively high number of vertebrae both total and in the regions (the modal vertebral formula 42:(11)20(5)+(3)21(18) vs. 39:(11)21 (5)+(1)18(17) (Naseka 1996, Naseka et al. 2002). As shown above, *R. benacensis* possess low vertebral counts which are even lower than those found in the examined *Gobio* species. But the shape of the supraethmoid and infraorbitals are similar to that typical of *Romanogobio*.

As shown above in the results of the morphological analysis, the examined sample from the Reka River is *G. obtusirostris*, not *R. benacensis*. This was not expected having in mind the current hydrological features of the Reka River which drains into the Adriatic Sea. The Reka River (Notranjska Reka) originates in Croatia and flows 54 km through western Slovenia, disappears in the Škocjanske jame underground cave system and reappears again after 38 km as a part of the Timavo River in Italy flowing into the Adriatic Sea. Although results in this study indicates that *R. benacensis* is not present in the Reka River, further analyses using more specimens are needed for a final systematic conclusions. Also, the native status of *G. obtusirostris* in the Reka River is unclear. It could be a non-native species similar to an introduced chub *Squalius cephalus* (Linnaeus).

The morphological data discussed above confirmed that gudgeons from the Mirna River can be assigned to *R. benacensis*.

Phylogenetic reconstruction in this study (Fig. 7) indicated *Gobio* and *Romanogobio* as two statistically supported clades; with *R. benacensis* as a member of *Romanogobio* clade. Although results of Bianco and Ketmaier (2005) and Geiger et al. (2012) questioned the phylogenetic recognition of *Romanogobio* as a distinct clade in respect to *Gobio*, the monophyletic status of *Romanogobio* was shown by Madeira et al. (2005) and in comprehensive studies on Gobionine phylogeny (Yang et al. 2006, Tang et al. 2011). Difference in statistical supports for clades *Romanogobio* and *Gobio* among studies in which cytb was applied



Figure 4. Lateral view of the head of *Romanogobio benacensis* (**a**) and *Gobio obtusirostris* (**b**); the 1^{st} and 2^{nd} infraorbital bones are shaded. Scale bar 1 cm.

(Bianco and Ketmaier 2005, Madeira et al. 2005, Yang et al. 2006, Tang et al. 2011, this study) most likely originates from the use of different sequence lengths (342 bp in Bianco and Ketmaier 2005 vs. 1141 bp in other studies). Similarly, a 657 bp COI fragment used in Geiger et al. (2012) could be less informative than a longer cytb fragment used in this study. A better resolution is expected using longer sequences in phylogenetic reconstructions although non-hierarchical relations can characterize some phylogenies no matter of the length of used sequences (Strimmer and von Haeseler 1997).

The Mirna population represents a single area of occurrence of *R. benacensis* out of its known distribution range in the south-east of the Soča (Isonzo) drainage. If the species is native in the Mirna River, this is the only occurrence of a native species belonging to the genus *Romanogobio* and the subfamily Gobioninae along the Croatian section of the Adriatic coast (the Dalmatia freshwater ecoregion *sensu* Abell et al. 2008). It may be an evidence of past connections between Istrian rivers and the paleo-Po drainage. A similar "paleo-Po" distribution is reported for the triotto *Leucos aula* (Bonaparte), the Padanian barbel *Barbus plebejus* Bonaparte, the alborella *Alburnus arborella* (Bonaparte) and some other fish species (Kottelat and Freyhof 2007), also for an amphibian, the Italian agile frog *Rana latastei* Boulenger (Gasc et al. 1997) and a freshwater decapod crustacean, the white-clawed crayfish *Austropotamobius pallipes* (Lereboullet) (Jelić et al. 2016b). However, a human mediated translocation of *R. benacensis* cannot be excluded; rather it is a supposition to be further investigated. For example, *G. obtusirostris* in the Ričica River (Lika Region, Adriatic basin) probably originates from the Danube drainage (Jelić et al. 2016a).

Only four specimens of *R. benacensis* were collected in spite of an intensive sampling effort. Since no other gudgeon species was reported in the Mirna River, an ongoing population extirpation by competition (e.g. with *G. gobio* as reported by Bianco and Ketmaier (2005) in Italy) can be excluded as a reason for low population density. Nevertheless, competition with non-native cyprinid species such as *P. parva*, or a pre-



Figure 5. Result of DFA performed on 15 (8 meristic and 7 morphometric) distinguishing characters to classify Reka and Mirna samples.

dation by allochthonous piscivorous fish such as the pike *Esox lucius* (Linnaeus) or the pike-perch *Sander lucioperca* (Linnaeus) should not be excluded.

Therefore, having in mind that small-sized populations are more prone to extirpation due to genetic drift, extinction vortex, etc., it is necessary to implement systematical monitoring on the present *R. benacensis* population, accompanied with a more



Figure 6. The MJ haplotype network of Romanogobio benacensis



Figure 7. Phylogenetic tree inferred by ML analysis using cytb sequences of *Romanogobio* and *Gobio*. Newly obtained haplotypes in this study were marked by black rhombi. Node supports are given as bootstrap values (P) in ML and MP analyses (showing values \geq 70) and posterior probabilities (pp) in IB (showing values \geq 0.9).

intense sampling in order to reveal possible remaining populations and to characterize the gene pool of this endangered species, both crucial issues for further management and conservation.

Vernacular name. *Romanogobio benacensis* does not have any Croatian name as it has been only recently discovered in Croatian national territory. We offer "Talijanska krkuša" as its Croatian name, which originate from translation of vernacular name on English (the Italian gudgeon) and Italian (il gobione Italiano).

Acknowledgements

This research has been undertaken through research programmes of Croatian Biology Research Society (HDBI, Zagreb, Croatia) and Croatian Institute for Biodiversity (CIB, Croatia). AMN was supported by a contract from HDBI. We would like to thank Aleksandar Popijač and GEONATURA d.o.o. for help during the initial field work in Istria.

References

- Abell R, Thieme ML, Revenga C, Bryer M, Kottelat M, Bogutskaya N, Coad B, Mandrak N, Contreras Balderas S, Bussing W, Stiassny MLJ, Skelton P, Allen GR, Unmack P, Naseka A, Ng R, Sindorf N, Robertson J, Armijo E, Higgins JV, Heibel TJ, Wikramanayake E, Olson D, López HL, Reis RE, Lundberg JG, Sabaj Pérez MH, Petry P (2008) Freshwater ecoregions of the World: a new map of biogeographic units for freshwater biodiversity conservation. Bioscience 58(5): 403–414. https://doi.org/10.1641/B580507
- Bănărescu PM, Sorić VM, Economidis PS (1999) Gobio gobio (Linnaeus, 1758). In: Bănărescu PM (Ed) The freshwater fishes of Europe. Vol. 5/I. Cyprinidae 2. Part 1. Rhodeus to Capoeta. AULA Verlag, Wiebelsheim, 81–134. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026036
- Bandelt H, Forster P, Röhl A (1999) Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies. Molecular Biology and Evolution 16(1): 37–48.
- Bianco PG (1988) I Pesci d'acqua dolce d'Italia: note su un recente contributo. Atti della Società italiana di scienze naturali e del Museo civico di storia naturale di Milano 129: 146–158.
- Bianco PG (1991) Sui pesci d'acqua dolce del fiume Esino (Marche, Italia centrale). Atti della Società italiana di scienze naturali e del Museo civico di storia naturale di Milano 1325: 49–60.
- Bianco PG (2009) Threatened fishes of the world: *Gobio benacensis*. Environmental Biology of Fishes 84: 39–40. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-008-9383-1
- Bianco PG, Caputo V, Ferrito V, Lorenzoni M, Nonnis Marzano F, Stefani F, Sabatini A, Tancioni L (2013) IUCN Comitato Italiano. *Gobio benacensis*. http://www.iucn.it/scheda. php?id=-1370993245 [Downloaded on July 7, 2016]
- Bianco PG, Ketmaier V (2001) Anthropogenic changes in the freshwater fish fauna of Italy, with reference to the central region and *Barbus graellsii*, a newly established alien species of Iberian origin. Journal of Fish Biology 59(sA): 190–208.

- Bianco PG, Ketmaier V (2005) Will the Italian endemic gudgeon, *Gobio benacensis*, survive the interaction with the invasive introduced *Gobio gobio*. Folia Zoologica 54(1): 42–49.
- Bianco PG, Taraborelli T (1984) Gobio gobio benacensis (Pollini, 1816) sottospecie valida per l'Italia (Pisces, Cyprinidae). Bollettino del Museo Civico Storia naturale di Verona 2: 525– 536. [In Italian with English summary]
- Bianco PG (2014) Aggiornamento sistematico dei pesci d'acqua dolce autoctoni italiani: proposta di un workshop. Italian Journal of Freshwater Ichthyology 1: 133–162. [In English]
- Bogutskaya NG, Zupančič P, Bogut I, Naseka AM (2012) Two new freshwater fish species of the genus *Telestes* (Actinopterygii, Cyprinidae) from karst poljes in Eastern Herzegovina and Dubrovnik littoral (Bosnia and Herzegovina and Croatia). ZooKeys 180: 53–80. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.180.2127
- Briolay J, Galtier N, Brito RM, Bouvet Y (1998) Molecular phylogeny of Cyprinidae inferred from cytochrome b DNA sequences. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 9(1): 100–108. https://doi.org/10.1006/mpev.1997.0441
- Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D (2012) JModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. Nature Methods 9(8): 772 pp. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nmeth.2109
- Drummond AJ, Rambaut A (2007) BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. BMC Evolutionary Biology 7: 214 pp.
- Gasc J-P, Cabela A, Crnobrnja-Isailovic J, Dolmen D, Grossenbacher K, Haffner P, Lescure J, Martens H, Martínez Rica JP, Maurin H, Oliveira ME, Sofianidou TS, Veith M, Zuiderwijk A (Eds) (1997) Atlas of Amphibians and Reptiles in Europe. Societas Europaea Herpetologica and Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle (IEGB/SPN), Paris, 494 pp.
- Geiger M, Herder F, Manahan MT, Almada V, Barbieri R, Bohlen J, Casl-Lopez M, Delmastro GB, Denys GP, Dettai A, Doadrio I, Kalogianni E, Kärst H, Kottelat M, Kovačić M, Laporte M, Özuluğ M, Perdices A, Perea S, Persat H, Porcelotti S, Puzzo C, Robalo J, Šanda R, Schneider M, Šlechtová V, Stoumboudi M, Walter S, Freyhof J (2014) Spatial heterogeneity in the Mediterranean biodiversity hotspot affects barcoding accuracy of its freshwater fishes. Molecular Ecology Resources 14(6): 1210–1221. https://doi. org/10.1111/1755-0998.12257
- Goldman N, Anderson JP, Rodrigo AG (2000) Likelihood-based tests of topologies in phylogenetics. Systematic Biology 49: 652–670. https://doi.org/10.1080/106351500750049752
- Jelić D (2011a) Ihtiofauna rijeke Mirne i njenih pritoka. Report of Croatian Biological Research Society, Zagreb, 45 pp. [In Croatian with English summary]
- Jelić D (2011b) Popis vrsta slatkovodnih riba Republike Hrvatske, In: Ribe Hrvatske online portal Version 2012, Zagreb, www.ribe-hrvatske.com [In Croatian with English summary, Downloaded on 15.09.2015]
- Jelić D, Duplić A, Ćaleta M, Žutinić P (2008) Endemske vrste riba jadranskog sliva (=Endemic fish of the Adriatic river system in Croatia). Croatian Environment Agency, Zagreb, 79 pp. [In Croatian with English summary]
- Jelić D, Jelić M (2016) Telestes miloradi Bogutskaya, Zupančič, Bogut and Naseka, 2012 and Delminichthys ghetaldii (Steindachner, 1882) re-discovered in Croatia, requiring urgent protection. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 31: 1133–1136. https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.12879

- Jelić D, Špelić I, Žutinić P (2016a) Introduced species community over-dominates endemic ichthyofauna of High Lika Plateau (Central Croatia) over a 100 year period. Acta Zoologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae 62(2): 191–216. https://doi.org/10.17109/ AZH.62.2.191.2016
- Jelić M, Klobučar GI, Grandjean F, Puillandre N, Franjević D, Futo M, Amouret J, Maguire I (2016b) Insights into the molecular phylogeny and historical biogeography of the white-clawed crayfish (Decapoda, Astacidae). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 103: 26–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2016.07.009
- Kishino H, Hasegawa M (1989) Evolution of the maximum likelihood estimate of the evolutionary tree topologies from DNA sequence data, and the branching order in Hominoidea. Journal of Molecular Evolution 29(2): 170–179. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF02100115
- Kottelat M (1997) European freshwater fishes. Biologia (Bratislava) 52(5): 1-271.
- Kottelat M, Freyhof J (2007) Handbook of European Freshwater Fishes. Kottelat, Cornol and Freyhof, Berlin, 646 pp.
- Leigh JW, Bryant D (2015) PopART: Full-feature software for haplotype network construction. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 6(9): 1110–1116. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12410
- Leiner S, Povž M, Mrakovčić M (1995) Freshwater fish in Istrian peninsula. Annales Series Historia Naturalis 7: 215–222.
- Liu H, Yang J, Tang Q (2010) Estimated evolutionary tempo of East Asian gobionid fishes (Teleostei: Cyprinidae) from mitochondrial DNA sequence data. Chinese Science Bulletin 55(15): 1501–1510. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11434-010-3159-7
- Mace GM, Collar NJ, Gaston KJ, Hilton-Taylor C, Akçakaya HR, Leader-Williams N, Milner-Gulland EJ, Stuart SN (2008) Quantification of Extinction Risk: IUCN's System for Classifying Threatened Species. Conservation Biology 22(6): 1424–1442. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2008.01044.x
- Machordom A, Doadrio I (2001) Evidence of a Cenozoic Betic-Kabilian connection based on freshwater fish phylogeography (*Luciobarbus*, Cyprinidae). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 18(2): 252–263. https://doi.org/10.1006/mpev.2000.0876
- Madeira MJ, Gomez-Moliner BJ, Doadrio I (2005) Genetic characterization of *Gobio gobio* populations of the Iberian Peninsula based on cytochrome b sequences. Folia Zoologica 54(1): 5–12.
- Marčić Z, Buj I, Duplić A, Ćaleta M, Mustafić P, Zanella D, Zupančič P, Mrakovčić M (2011) A new endemic cyprinid species from the Danube basin. Journal of Fish Biology 79(2): 418–430.
- Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T (2010) Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. In: Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE), 14 November 2010, New Orleans, LA, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1109/ GCE.2010.5676129
- Mrakovčić M, Brigić A, Buj I, Ćaleta M, Mustafić P, Zanella D (2006) Crvena knjiga slatkovodnih riba Hrvatske (=Red Book of Croatian freshwater fish). Republic of Croatia, Ministry of Culture, Zagreb, 246 pp. [In Croatian with English summary]

- Mustafić P, Ćaleta M, Mrakovčić M, Buj I, Zanella D, Mišetić S (2005) Distribution and status of the genus *Gobio* in Croatia. Folia Zoologica 54(1): 81–84.
- Naseka AM (1996) Comparative study on the vertebral column in the Gobioninae (Pisces, Cyprinidae) with special reference to its systematics. Publ. Spec. Instituto Español de Oceanografía 21: 149–167.
- Naseka AM, Bogutskaya NG, Poznjak VG (2002) Romanogobio pentatrichus Naseka et Bogutskaya, 1998. In: Bănărescu PM, Paepke HJ (Eds) The Freshwater Fishes of Europe. Vol. 5. Cyprinidae – 2. Pt.3. Carassius to Cyprinus: Gasterosteidae. AULA-Verlag, Wiebelsheim, 187–200.
- Naseka AM, Freyhof J (2004) *Romanogobio parvus*, a new gudgeon from River Kuban, southern Russia (Cyprinidae, Gobioninae). Ichthyological Exploration of Freshwaters 15: 17–23.
- Nei M, Kumar S (2000) Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetics. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Nylander JAA, Wilgenbusch JC, Warren DL, Swofford DL (2008) AWTY (are we there yet?): a system for graphical exploration of MCMC convergence in Bayesian phylogenetics. Bioinformatics 24(4): 581–583. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm388
- Perea S, Böhme M, Zupančič P, Freyhof J, Šanda R, Ozuluğ M, Abdoli A, Doadrio I (2010) Phylogenetic relationships and biogeographical patterns in Circum-Mediterranean subfamily Leuciscinae (Teleostei, Cyprinidae) inferred from both mitochondrial and nuclear data. BMC Evolutionary Biology 10: 265 pp.
- Pizzul E, Specchi M, Valli G (1993) Gobio gobio benacensis (Pollini, 1816) (Osteichthyes, Cyprinidae) nelle acque del Friuli-Venezia Giulia. Atti del museo civico di storia naturale di Trieste 45: 163–168.
- Pollini C (1816) Viaggio al Lago di Garda e al Monte Baldo in cui si ragiona delle cose naturali di quei luoghi aggiuntovi un cenno sulle curiosità del Bolca e degli altri monte Veronesi. Tip. Mainardi, Verona, 152 pp. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.53793 [In Italian]
- Povž M, Gregori A, Gregori M (2015) Sladkovodne ribe in piškurji v Sloveniji (= Freshwater fishes and lampreys of Slovenia). Zavod Umbra, Ljubljana, 293 pp. [In Slovenian]
- Povž M, Šumer S, Mrakovčić M, Mustafić P (2005) Present status and distribution of *Gobio* spp. in Slovenia. Folia Zoologica 54(1): 85–89.
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP (2012) MrBayes 32: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Systematic Biology 61(3): 539–542. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029
- Saitoh K, Sado T, Mayden RL, Hanzawa N, Nakamura K, Nishida M, Miya M (2006) Mitogenomic evolution and interrelationships of the Cypriniformes (Actinopterygii: Ostariophysi): the first evidence toward resolution of higher-level relationships of the world's largest freshwater fish clade based on 59 whole mitogenome sequences. Journal of Molecular Evolution 63 (6): 826–841. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-005-0293-y
- Schmidt HA, Strimmer K, Vingron M, von Haeseler A (2002) TREE-PUZZLE: maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis using quartets and parallel computing. Bioinformatics 18: 502–504. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/18.3.502
- Shimodaira H, Hasegawa M (1999) Multiple comparisons of log-likelihoods with applications to phylogenetic inference. Molecular Biology and Evolution 16: 1114–1116. https://doi. org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026201

- Stamatakis A (2014) RAxML Version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30(9): 1312–1313. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033
- Strimmer K, Rambaut A (2002) Inferring confidence sets of possibly misspecified gene trees. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences 269: 137–142. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2001.1862
- Strimmer K, von Haeseler A (1997) Likelihood-mapping: a simple method to visualize phylogenetic content of a sequence alignment. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States 94: 6815–6819. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.13.6815
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30: 2725–2729. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst197
- Tang KL, Agnew MK, Chen WJ, Vincent Hirt M, Raley ME, Sado T, Schneider LM, Yang L, Bart HL, He S, Liu H, Miya M, Saitoh K, Simons AM, Wood RM, Mayden RL (2011) Phylogeny of the gudgeons (Teleostei: Cyprinidae: Gobioninae). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 61(1): 103–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2011.05.022
- Tavaré S (1986) Some probabilistic and statistical problems in the analysis of DNA sequences, In: Miura RM (Ed) Lectures on mathematics in the life sciences. Volume 17. American Mathematical Society, Providence (RI), 57–86.
- Yang J, He S, Freyhof J, Witte K, Liu H (2006) The phylogenetic relationships of the Gobioninae (Teleostei: Cyprinidae) inferred from mitochondrial cytochrome b gene sequences. Hydrobiologia 553: 255–266. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-005-1301-3
- Zardoya R, Doadrio I (1998) Phylogenetic relationships of Iberian cyprinids: systematic and biogeographical implications. Proceedings of the Royal Society, London, series B, Biological Science 265 (1403): 1365–1372. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1998.0443
- Zardoya R, Doadrio I (1999) Molecular evidence on the evolutionary and biogeographical patterns of European cyprinids. Journal of Molecular Evolution 49 (2): 227–237. https://doi. org/10.1007/PL00006545
- Zupančič P, Bogutskaya NG (2002) Description of two new species, *Phoxinellus krbavensis* and *P. jadovensis*, re-description of *P. fontinalis* Karaman, 1972, and a discussion of the distribution of *Phoxinellus* species (Teleostei: Cyprinidae) in Croatia and Bosnia-Herzegovina. Natura Croatica 11 (4): 411–437.
- Zupančič P, Tisaj D, Lah LJ (2008) Rijetke i ugrožene slatkovodne ribe Jadranskog slijeva Hrvatske, Slovenije i Bosne i Herzegovine (= Rare and endangered freshwater fishes of Croatia, Slovenia and Bosnia and Herzegovina - Adriatic basin). AZV, Dolsko, 79 pp. [In Slovenian with English summary]