# Monterey Bay Aquarium Seafood Watch®

# Snapper, grouper, jobfish (Hawaii)



©HDAR/Les Hata

# Hawaii: Eastern Central Pacific

# Handlines and hand-operated pole-and-lines

Published June 02, 2014, Updated November 2, 2020 Seafood Watch Consulting Researcher

#### Disclaimer

Seafood Watch<sup>®</sup> strives to have all Seafood Reports reviewed for accuracy and completeness by external scientists with expertise in ecology, fisheries science and aquaculture. Scientific review, however, does not constitute an endorsement of the Seafood Watch<sup>®</sup> program or its recommendations on the part of the reviewing scientists. Seafood Watch<sup>®</sup> is solely responsible for the conclusions reached in this report.

Seafood Watch Standard used in this assessment: Standard for Fisheries vF2

# **Table of Contents**

About Seafood Watch
Guiding Principles 4
Summary
Final Seafood Recommendations 6
Introduction 8
Assessment 10
Criterion 1: Impacts on the species under assessment 10
Criterion 2: Impacts on other species
Criterion 3: Management Effectiveness
<i>Criterion 4: Impacts on the habitat and ecosystem</i>
Acknowledgements 42
References 43
Appendix A: Extra By Catch Species 47
Appendix B: Updates to Main Hawaiian Islands Deep 7 Bottomfish Multi-species Complex
Report 49
Appendix C: Review Schedule

# About Seafood Watch

Monterey Bay Aquarium's Seafood Watch<sup>®</sup> program evaluates the ecological sustainability of wild-caught and farmed seafood commonly found in the United States marketplace. Seafood Watch<sup>®</sup> defines sustainable seafood as originating from sources, whether wild-caught or farmed, which can maintain or increase production in the long-term without jeopardizing the structure or function of affected ecosystems. Seafood Watch<sup>®</sup> makes its science-based recommendations available to the public in the form of regional pocket guides that can be downloaded from www.seafoodwatch.org. The program's goals are to raise awareness of important ocean conservation issues and empower seafood consumers and businesses to make choices for healthy oceans.

Each sustainability recommendation on the regional pocket guides is supported by a Seafood Report. Each report synthesizes and analyzes the most current ecological, fisheries and ecosystem science on a species, then evaluates this information against the program's conservation ethic to arrive at a recommendation of "Best Choices," "Good Alternatives" or "Avoid." The detailed evaluation methodology is available upon request. In producing the Seafood Reports, Seafood Watch<sup>®</sup> seeks out research published in academic, peer-reviewed journals whenever possible. Other sources of information include government technical publications, fishery management plans and supporting documents, and other scientific reviews of ecological sustainability. Seafood Watch<sup>®</sup> Research Analysts also communicate regularly with ecologists, fisheries and aquaculture scientists, and members of industry and conservation organizations when evaluating fisheries and aquaculture practices. Capture fisheries and aquaculture practices are highly dynamic; as the scientific information on each species changes, Seafood Watch<sup>®</sup>'s sustainability recommendations and the underlying Seafood Reports will be updated to reflect these changes.

Parties interested in capture fisheries, aquaculture practices and the sustainability of ocean ecosystems are welcome to use Seafood Reports in any way they find useful. For more information about Seafood Watch<sup>®</sup> and Seafood Reports, please contact the Seafood Watch<sup>®</sup> program at Monterey Bay Aquarium by calling 1-877-229-9990.

# **Guiding Principles**

Seafood Watch defines sustainable seafood as originating from sources, whether fished<sup>1</sup> or farmed, that can maintain or increase production in the long-term without jeopardizing the structure or function of affected ecosystems.

Based on this principle, Seafood Watch had developed four sustainability **criteria** for evaluating wildcatch fisheries for consumers and businesses. These criteria are:

- How does fishing affect the species under assessment?
- How does the fishing affect other, target and non-target species?
- How effective is the fishery's management?
- How does the fishing affect habitats and the stability of the ecosystem?

Each criterion includes:

- Factors to evaluate and score
- Guidelines for integrating these factors to produce a numerical score and rating

Once a rating has been assigned to each criterion, we develop an overall recommendation. Criteria ratings and the overall recommendation are color-coded to correspond to the categories on the Seafood Watch pocket guide and online guide:

Best Choice/Green: Are well managed and caught in ways that cause little harm to habitats or other wildlife.

Good Alternative/Yellow: Buy, but be aware there are concerns with how they're caught.

**Avoid/Red** Take a pass on these for now. These items are overfished or caught in ways that harm other marine life or the environment.

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  "Fish" is used throughout this document to refer to finfish, shellfish and other invertebrates

# **Summary**

This report provides analysis and recommendations for the Hawaiian "Deep 7" bottomfish complex, which is made up six deep water snapper species and one deep water grouper species. These species are pink snapper (opakapaka) (*Pristipomoides filamentosus*), ruby snapper (onaga) (*Etelis coruscans*), red snapper (ehu) (*Etelis carbunculus*), rusty jobfish (lehi) (*Aphareus rustilans*), kalekale snapper (*Pristipomoides sieboldii*), oblique-banded snapper (gindai) (*Pristipomoides zonatus*), and Hawaiian grouper (Hapu'u) (*Hyporthodus quernus*). These species are caught with handline gear around the Main Hawaiian Islands.

The Deep 7 bottomfish complex is assessed and managed as a singular unit. As a whole, the Deep 7 bottomfish complex is considered to be at a healthy abundance and fishing levels are sustainable. However, abundances and fishing mortality levels for individual species are not known. The abundance of Hawaiian grouper is a high concern because it has a high vulnerability to fishing and has been designated as near threatened. As with other handline fisheries, there is only a low amount of bycatch. Besides the Deep 7 species, the fishery may catch gray snapper and greater amberjack, but the fishery has only a moderate impact on these species. The Deep 7 species are managed through annual catch limits and closed fishing areas by the Western Pacific Regional Fishery Management Council in federal waters and by the Department of Land and Natural Resources in Hawaii State waters. The handline gear used in the fishery has minimal impacts to bottom habitats, and there are some management efforts to protect the ecosystem as a whole.

# **Final Seafood Recommendations**

SPECIES   FISHERY	<b>CRITERION 1:</b> Impacts on the Species	<b>CRITERION 2:</b> Impacts on Other Species	<b>CRITERION 3:</b> Management Effectiveness	<b>CRITERION 4:</b> Habitat and Ecosystem	OVERALL RECOMMENDATION
Crimson jobfish Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines and hand- operated pole-and- lines   United States of America	Green (3.831)	Yellow (2.644)	Green (3.464)	Green (3.674)	Best Choice (3.369)
Hawaiian grouper Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines and hand- operated pole-and- lines   United States of America	Yellow (2.644)	Yellow (2.644)	Green (3.464)	Green (3.674)	Good Alternative (3.071)
Lavender jobfish Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines and hand- operated pole-and- lines   United States of America	Yellow (2.644)	Yellow (2.644)	Green (3.464)	Green (3.674)	Good Alternative (3.071)
<b>Oblique-banded</b> <b>snapper</b> Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines and hand- operated pole-and- lines   United States of America	Yellow (2.644)	Yellow (2.644)	Green (3.464)	Green (3.674)	Good Alternative (3.071)
Ruby snapper Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines and hand- operated pole-and- lines   United States of America	Yellow (2.644)	Yellow (2.644)	Green (3.464)	Green (3.674)	Good Alternative (3.071)

Rusty jobfish Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines and hand- operated pole-and- lines   United States of America	Yellow (2.644)	Yellow (2.644)	Green (3.464)	Green (3.674)	Good Alternative (3.071)
Yellowstripe snapper Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines and hand- operated pole-and- lines   United States of America	Yellow (2.644)	Yellow (2.644)	Green (3.464)	Green (3.674)	Good Alternative (3.071)

# **Scoring Guide**

Scores range from zero to five where zero indicates very poor performance and five indicates the fishing operations have no significant impact.

Final Score = geometric mean of the four Scores (Criterion 1, Criterion 2, Criterion 3, Criterion 4).

- Best Choice/Green = Final Score >3.2, and no Red Criteria, and no Critical scores
- Good Alternative/Yellow = Final score >2.2-3.2, and neither Harvest Strategy (Factor 3.1) nor Bycatch Management Strategy (Factor 3.2) are Very High Concern<sup>2</sup>, and no more than one Red Criterion, and no Critical scores
- Avoid/Red = Final Score ≤2.2, or either Harvest Strategy (Factor 3.1) or Bycatch Management Strategy (Factor 3.2) is Very High Concern or two or more Red Criteria, or one or more Critical scores.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Because effective management is an essential component of sustainable fisheries, Seafood Watch issues an Avoid recommendation for any fishery scored as a Very High Concern for either factor under Management (Criterion 3).

# **Introduction**

# Scope of the analysis and ensuing recommendation

This report is for the Main Hawaiian Islands deepwater handline fishery that targets six deep water snappers and one deep water grouper, which together make up the "Deep 7" bottomfish complex. The "Deep 7" complex includes: pink snapper (opakapaka) (*Pristipomoides filamentosus*), ruby snapper (onaga) (*Etelis coruscans*), red snapper (ehu) (*Etelis carbunculus*), kalekale snapper (*Pristipomoides sieboldii*), rusty jobfish (lehi) (*Aphareus rustilans*), oblique-banded snapper (gindai) (*Pristipomoides zonatus*), and Hawaiian grouper (Hapu'u) (*Hyporthodus quernus*).

# **Species Overview**

The six deep water snappers are found throughout the Indo-Pacific region, while the deep water Hawaiian grouper is endemic to the Hawaiian Islands and Johnston Atoll (Froese and Pauly 2013). These species typically occupy waters from 100-400 m in depth (Brodziak et al. 2011). These species are targeted in the Hawaiian deep water handline fishery. Historically the fishery occurred in waters surrounding both the Northwestern and Main Hawaiian Islands (MHI). However, the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands are now a protected Marine National Monument, so the current fishery is limited to the Main Hawaiian Islands. Within federal waters, the Western Pacific Regional Fishery Management Council manages the Deep 7 bottomfish species under the Hawaii Fishery Ecosystem Plan (WPRFMC 2006)(WPRFMC 2010). In Hawaii state waters, the Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources manages these species.

# **Production Statistics**

Catches of the Deep 7 bottomfish species have varied over time. Peak catches occurred during the 1980's, with average annual catches of 439,000 lbs. Since then catches have decreased, averaging only 234,000 lbs since 2000. Pink snapper (opakapaka) makes up the majority of these catches, with average catches of 112,000 lbs during the 2000's, followed by Hawaiian ruby snapper (onaga), 57,500 lbs, and Hawaiian red snapper (ehu), 22,300 lbs (Brodziak et al. 2011)(PIFSC 2013). The remaining four species make up a much smaller percentage of the total catch.

# Importance to the US/North American market.

The majority of the Hawaii Deep 7 bottomfish species are consumed within the Hawaiian Islands. To meet market demand, snapper species are also imported into Hawaii from Indonesia (196,028 lbs), Tonga (134,078 lbs), New Zealand (22,467 lbs), and various other islands across the Pacific (NMFS 2013a). The origin of Deep 7 bottomfish are not always labeled at the point of sale.

#### Common and market names.

Pink snapper (opakapaka) is also known as 'opaka', crimson jobfish or snapper. The Hawaiian ruby snapper (onaga) is also known as yellowstripe snapper, flame snapper or longtail snapper. The Hawaiian red snapper (ehu) is also known as squirrelfish snapper, deep water red snapper, ruby snapper, and ula'ula. Kalekale snapper is also known as 'kale', lavender jobfish or snapper, and Von Siebold's snapper. The oblique-banded snapper (gindai) is also known as ukikiki or snapper. Rusty jobfish (lehi) is also known as silver jaw jobfish. Hawaiian grouper (Hapu'u) is also known as Hawaiian sea bass.

# Primary product forms

The Deep 7 species are primarily sold fresh and frozen, generally whole or as fillets.

# **Assessment**

This section assesses the sustainability of the fishery(s) relative to the Seafood Watch Criteria for Fisheries, available at http://www.seafoodwatch.org.

# Criterion 1: Impacts on the species under assessment

This criterion evaluates the impact of fishing mortality on the species, given its current abundance. The inherent vulnerability to fishing rating influences how abundance is scored, when abundance is unknown.

The final Criterion 1 score is determined by taking the geometric mean of the abundance and fishing mortality scores. The Criterion 1 rating is determined as follows:

- Score >3.2=Green or Low Concern
- Score >2.2 and ≤3.2=Yellow or Moderate Concern
- Score ≤2.2=Red or High Concern

Rating is Critical if Factor 1.3 (Fishing Mortality) is Critical

# **Criterion 1 Summary**

CRIMSON JOBFISH							
Region   Method	Inherent Vulnerability	Abundance	Fishing Mortality	Score			
Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines and hand- operated pole-and- lines United States of America	2.00: Medium	4.00: Low Concern	3.67: Low Concern	Green (3.83)			

HA WA IIA N GROUPER							
Region   Method	Inherent Vulnerability	Abundance	Fishing Mortality	Score			
Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines and hand- operated pole-and- lines United States of America	1.00: High	3.00: Moderate Concern	2.33: Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.64)			

LAVENDER JOBFISH							
Region   Method	Inherent Vulnerability	Abundance	Fishing Mortality	Score			
Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines and hand- operated pole-and- lines United States of America	2.00: Medium	3.00: Moderate Concern	2.33: Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.64)			

# OBLIQUE-BANDED SNA PPER

Region   Method	Inherent Vulnerability	Abundance	Fishing Mortality	Score			
Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines and hand- operated pole-and- lines United States of America	2.00: Medium	3.00: Moderate Concern	2.33: Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.64)			

RUBY SNAPPER							
Region   Method	Inherent Vulnerability	Abundance	Fishing Mortality	Score			
Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines and hand- operated pole-and- lines United States of America	2.00: Medium	3.00: Moderate Concern	2.33: Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.64)			

RUSTY JOBFISH							
Region   Method	Inherent Vulnerability	Abundance	Fishing Mortality	Score			
Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines and hand- operated pole-and- lines United States of America	2.00: Medium	3.00: Moderate Concern	2.33: Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.64)			

YELLOWSTRIPE SNAPPER							
Region   Method	Inherent Vulnerability	Abundance	Fishing Mortality	Score			
Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines and hand- operated pole-and- lines United States of America	2.00: Medium	3.00: Moderate Concern	2.33: Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.64)			

# **Criterion 1 Assessment**

# SCORING GUIDELINES

# Factor 1.1 - Inherent Vulnerability

- Low—The FishBase vulnerability score for species is 0-35, OR species exhibits life history characteristics that make it resilient to fishing, (e.g., early maturing).
- Medium—The FishBase vulnerability score for species is 36-55, OR species exhibits life history characteristics that make it neither particularly vulnerable nor resilient to fishing, (e.g., moderate age at sexual maturity (5-15 years), moderate maximum age (10-25 years), moderate maximum size, and middle of food chain).
- High—The FishBase vulnerability score for species is 56-100, OR species exhibits life history characteristics that make is particularly vulnerable to fishing, (e.g., long-lived (>25 years), late maturing (>15 years), low reproduction rate, large body size, and top-predator). Note: The FishBase vulnerability scores is an index of the inherent vulnerability of marine fishes to fishing based on life history parameters: maximum length, age at first maturity, longevity, growth rate, natural mortality rate, fecundity, spatial behaviors (e.g., schooling, aggregating for breeding, or consistently returning to the same sites for feeding or reproduction) and geographic range.

# Factor 1.2 - Abundance

- 5 (Very Low Concern)—Strong evidence exists that the population is above target abundance level (e.g., biomass at maximum sustainable yield, BMSY) or near virgin biomass.
- 4 (Low Concern)—Population may be below target abundance level, but it is considered not overfished
- 3 (Moderate Concern) Abundance level is unknown and the species has a low or medium inherent vulnerability to fishing.
- 2 (High Concern)—Population is overfished, depleted, or a species of concern, OR abundance is unknown and the species has a high inherent vulnerability to fishing.
- 1 (Very High Concern)—Population is listed as threatened or endangered.

# Factor 1.3 - Fishing Mortality

- 5 (Very Low Concern)—Highly likely that fishing mortality is below a sustainable level (e.g., below fishing mortality at maximum sustainable yield, FMSY), OR fishery does not target species and its contribution to the mortality of species is negligible (≤ 5% of a sustainable level of fishing mortality).
- 3.67 (Low Concern)—Probable (>50%) chance that fishing mortality is at or below a sustainable level, but some uncertainty exists, OR fishery does not target species and does not adversely affect species, but its contribution to mortality is not negligible, OR fishing mortality is unknown, but the population is healthy and the species has a low susceptibility to the fishery (low chance of being caught).
- 2.33 (Moderate Concern)—Fishing mortality is fluctuating around sustainable levels, OR fishing mortality is

unknown and species has a moderate-high susceptibility to the fishery and, if species is depleted, reasonable management is in place.

- 1 (High Concern)—Overfishing is occurring, but management is in place to curtail overfishing, OR fishing mortality is unknown, species is depleted, and no management is in place.
- 0 (Critical)—Overfishing is known to be occurring and no reasonable management is in place to curtail overfishing.

#### **CRIMSON JOBFISH**

#### Factor 1.1 - Inherent Vulnerability

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### Medium

Fishbase assigned medium vulnerability to fishing scores (36-45 out of 100) to all deep water snappers that are part of the "Deep 7" bottomfish complex, except for the rusty jobfish. Fishbase assigned a high vulnerability score (58) to rusty jobfish (Froese and Pauly 2013). Life history information for several species is incomplete but also indicates that these species have medium inherent vulnerabilities to fishing. Most species are moderately long lived (7-13 years), however, the pink snapper (Opakapaka) has been reported to live for over 40 years (Brodziak et al. 2011)(Andrews et al. 2012). Most species reach sexual maturity around 30-60 cm in length and reach a maximum size of 80-130 cm (DeMartini and Lau 1999)(Randall 2007)(Froese and Pauly 2013). Age at maturity is only known for pink snapper, which are reported to mature around 3 years of age (Everson 1984). These species are broadcast spawners and females are capable of producing a high number of eggs (Brodziak et al. 2011). Within the food chain, they are high level predators (Froese and Pauly 2013). Although rusty jobfish was given a high Fishbase vulnerability score, the life history attributes of rusty jobfish suggest it has biological traits that are similar to the other deep water snapper species and only has a medium vulnerability to fishing. We therefore rate the vulnerability for all these deep water snappers as 'medium'.

#### **Justification:**

Table 1: Results from Seafood Watch fish vulnerability rubric (SFW criteria document, pg. 4). Attribute scores can range from 1-3 with higher scores signifying more resilient life history attributes.

Species with average attribute scores between 1.80 and 2.43 are deemed to have a 'medium vulnerability'.

#### Factor 1.2 - Abundance

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Low Concern**

The six snappers and one grouper that make up the "Deep 7" bottomfish complex are all assessed together as a unit. The most recent population assessment for the Deep 7 species was conducted in 2018. According to this assessment, the abundance of the Deep 7 bottomfish declined starting in the 1960s, but since 1990 has steadily increased through 2015 (Langseth et al. 2018). Currently, abundance is estimated to be well above maximum sustainable yield ( $B_{MSY}$ ) reference point. Therefore, the Deep 7 bottomfish complex was not overfished/depleted in 2015. In this same stock assessment, pink snapper (Opakapaka) was assessed separately, as well as part of the complex, and found to not be overfished with overfishing not occurring.

There is currently not a 'target' reference point for abundance of this complex.

Because pink snapper abundance was determined to be at a sustainable level in the most recent stock assessment, we have rated this factor 'low concern.'

# Factor 1.3 - Fishing Mortality

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### Low Concern

According to the latest stock assessment, fishing mortality estimates for the Hawaiian "Deep 7" bottomfish complex was well below the reference point corresponding to maximum sustainable yield ( $H_{MSY}$ ) in 2015 (Langseth et al. 2018). Therefore it was concluded that overfishing is not occurring. In this same stock assessment, pink snapper (Opakapaka) was assessed separately, as well as part of the complex, and overfishing was not occurring.

While the Deep 7 species are assessed together, they do not make up equal proportions of the catch and catches have varied over the years. The average catches Hawaiian grouper (hapuupuu) between 2014 and 2016 were about 10,000 lbs, which accounted for about 3.5% of the total Deep 7 bottomfish complex catch (Langseth et al. 2018). Recreational catches of the Hawaii Deep 7 species have generally not been reported. The recent Deep 7 bottomfish assessment included estimates of all unreported catches, which includes recreational catch. In this assessment they estimated that unreported/recreational catch of all Deep 7 species is mostly likely equal to the reported commercial catch (Langseth et al. 2018).

Because current fishing mortality rates for pink snapper were determined to be at sustainable levels in the most recent stock assessment, we have awarded a 'low concern' score.

#### HAWAIIAN GROUPER

#### Factor 1.1 - Inherent Vulnerability

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### High

Fishbase has assigned a 'high' inherent vulnerability to fishing score of 70 out of 100 to Hawaiian grouper (Froese and Pauly 2013). The life history characteristics of Hawaiian grouper also suggest a high inherent vulnerability. They are born as females and then change sex to males later in life. They sexually mature as females around 58 cm (23 in) in length and 6-7 years of age (Nicholas and DeMartini 2008), but do not change sex from female to male until around 89.5 cm (35 in) in length and 20 years of age (DeMartini et al. 2011). Hawaiian grouper can grow to 1.2 m (3.9 ft.) in length (DLNR 2001) and have a maximum observed age of 34 years (Nicholas and DeMartini 2008). They are broadcast spawners and females are capable of producing a high number of eggs (Brodziak et al. 2011). Within the food chain, Hawaiian grouper are high level predators (Froese and Pauly 2013).

#### Factor 1.2 - Abundance

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Moderate Concern**

The six snappers and one grouper that make up the "Deep 7" bottomfish complex are all assessed together as a unit. The most recent population assessment for the Deep 7 species was conducted in 2018. According to this assessment, the abundance of the Deep 7 bottomfish declined starting in the 1960s, but since 1990 has steadily increased through 2015 (Langseth et al. 2018). Currently, abundance is estimated to be well above maximum sustainable yield ( $B_{MSY}$ ) reference point. Therefore, the Deep 7 bottomfish complex was not overfished/depleted in 2015. In this same stock assessment, pink snapper (Opakapaka) was assessed separately, as well as part of the complex, and found to not be overfished with overfishing not occurring. Additionally, the status of Hawaiian grouper, was upgraded from 'Near Threatened' to 'Least Concern' by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) in 2018 (Craig 2018). There is currently not a 'target' reference point for abundance of this complex.

Normally, this would result in a score of 'low concern'. However, because these species are assessed as a unit and individual species' abundances are not known (except pink snapper), we have rated this factor 'moderate concern.'

#### Factor 1.3 - Fishing Mortality

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Moderate Concern**

According to the latest stock assessment, fishing mortality estimates for the Hawaiian "Deep 7" bottomfish complex was well below the reference point corresponding to maximum sustainable yield ( $H_{MSY}$ ) in 2015 (Langseth et al. 2018). Therefore it was concluded that overfishing is not occurring. In this same stock assessment, pink snapper (Opakapaka) was assessed separately, as well as part of the complex, and overfishing was not occurring.

While the Deep 7 species are assessed together, they do not make up equal proportions of the catch and catches have varied over the years. The average catches Hawaiian grouper (hapuupuu) between 2014 and 2016 were about 10,000 lbs, which accounted for about 3.5% of the total Deep 7 bottomfish complex catch (Langseth et al. 2018). Recreational catches of the Hawaii Deep 7 species have generally not been reported. The recent Deep 7 bottomfish assessment included estimates of all unreported catches, which includes recreational catch. In this assessment they estimated that unreported/recreational catch of all Deep 7 species is mostly likely equal to the reported commercial catch (Langseth et al. 2018).

Although the most recent assessment indicated that fishing mortality on the Deep 7 species is below sustainable levels, fishing impacts on individual species are not known (except for pink snapper). Because current fishing mortality rates for individual species are not known we have awarded a 'moderate concern' score.

## LAVENDER JOBFISH

# Factor 1.1 - Inherent Vulnerability

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### Medium

Fishbase assigned medium vulnerability to fishing scores (36-45 out of 100) to all deep water snappers that are part of the "Deep 7" bottomfish complex, except for the rusty jobfish. Fishbase assigned a high vulnerability score (58) to rusty jobfish (Froese and Pauly 2013). Life history information for several species is incomplete but also indicates that these species have medium inherent vulnerabilities to fishing. Most species are moderately long lived (7-13 years), however, the pink snapper (Opakapaka) has been reported to live for over 40 years (Brodziak et al. 2011)(Andrews et al. 2012). Most species reach sexual maturity around 30-60 cm in length and reach a maximum size of 80-130 cm (DeMartini and Lau 1999)(Randall 2007)(Froese and Pauly 2013). Age at maturity is only known for pink snapper, which are reported to mature around 3 years of age (Everson 1984). These species are broadcast spawners and females are capable of producing a high number of eggs (Brodziak et al. 2011). Within the food chain, they are high level predators (Froese and Pauly 2013). Although rusty jobfish was given a high Fishbase vulnerability score, the life history attributes of rusty jobfish suggest it has biological traits that are similar to the other deep water snapper species and only has a medium vulnerability to fishing. We therefore rate the vulnerability for all these deep water snappers as 'medium'.

#### Justification:

Table 1: Results from Seafood Watch fish vulnerability rubric (SFW criteria document, pg. 4). Attribute scores can range from 1-3 with higher scores signifying more resilient life history attributes.

Species with average attribute scores between 1.80 and 2.43 are deemed to have a 'medium vulnerability'.

#### Factor 1.2 - Abundance

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Moderate Concern**

The six snappers and one grouper that make up the "Deep 7" bottomfish complex are all assessed together as a unit. The most recent population assessment for the Deep 7 species was conducted in 2018. According to this assessment, the abundance of the Deep 7 bottomfish declined starting in the 1960s, but since 1990 has steadily increased through 2015 (Langseth et al. 2018). Currently, abundance is estimated to be well above maximum sustainable yield ( $B_{MSY}$ ) reference point. Therefore, the Deep 7 bottomfish complex was not overfished/depleted in 2015. In this same stock assessment, pink snapper (Opakapaka) was assessed separately, as well as part of the complex, and found to not be overfished with overfishing not occurring. Additionally, the status of Hawaiian grouper, was upgraded from 'Near Threatened' to 'Least Concern' by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) in 2018 (Craig 2018). There is currently not a 'target' reference point for abundance of this complex.

Normally, this would result in a score of 'low concern'. However, because these species are assessed as a unit and individual species' abundances are not known (except pink snapper), we have rated this factor 'moderate concern.'

# Factor 1.3 - Fishing Mortality

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Moderate Concern**

According to the latest stock assessment, fishing mortality estimates for the Hawaiian "Deep 7" bottomfish complex was well below the reference point corresponding to maximum sustainable yield ( $H_{MSY}$ ) in 2015 (Langseth et al. 2018). Therefore it was concluded that overfishing is not occurring. In this same stock assessment, pink snapper (Opakapaka) was assessed separately, as well as part of the complex, and overfishing was not occurring.

While the Deep 7 species are assessed together, they do not make up equal proportions of the catch and catches have varied over the years. The average catches Hawaiian grouper (hapuupuu) between 2014 and 2016 were about 10,000 lbs, which accounted for about 3.5% of the total Deep 7 bottomfish complex catch (Langseth et al. 2018). Recreational catches of the Hawaii Deep 7 species have generally not been reported. The recent Deep 7 bottomfish assessment included estimates of all unreported catches, which includes recreational catch. In this assessment they estimated that unreported/recreational catch of all Deep 7 species is mostly likely equal to the reported commercial catch (Langseth et al. 2018).

Although the most recent assessment indicated that fishing mortality on the Deep 7 species is below sustainable levels, fishing impacts on individual species are not known (except for pink snapper). Because current fishing mortality rates for individual species are not known we have awarded a 'moderate concern' score.

#### **OBLIQUE-BANDED SNAPPER**

#### Factor 1.1 - Inherent Vulnerability

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### Medium

Fishbase assigned medium vulnerability to fishing scores (36-45 out of 100) to all deep water snappers that are part of the "Deep 7" bottomfish complex, except for the rusty jobfish. Fishbase assigned a high vulnerability score (58) to rusty jobfish (Froese and Pauly 2013). Life history information for several species is incomplete but also indicates that these species have medium inherent vulnerabilities to fishing. Most species are moderately long lived (7-13 years), however, the pink snapper (Opakapaka) has been reported to live for over 40 years (Brodziak et al. 2011)(Andrews et al. 2012). Most species reach sexual maturity around 30-60 cm in length and reach a maximum size of 80-130 cm (DeMartini and Lau 1999)(Randall 2007)(Froese and Pauly 2013). Age at maturity is only known for pink snapper, which are reported to mature around 3 years of age (Everson 1984). These species are broadcast spawners and females are capable of producing a high number of eggs (Brodziak et al. 2011). Within the food chain, they are high level predators (Froese and Pauly 2013). Although rusty jobfish was given a high Fishbase vulnerability score, the life history attributes of rusty jobfish suggest it has biological traits that are similar to the other deep water snapper species and only has a medium vulnerability to fishing. We therefore rate the vulnerability for all these deep water snappers as 'medium'.

#### Justification:

Table 1: Results from Seafood Watch fish vulnerability rubric (SFW criteria document, pg. 4). Attribute scores can range from 1-3 with higher scores signifying more resilient life history attributes.

Species with average attribute scores between 1.80 and 2.43 are deemed to have a 'medium vulnerability'.

# Factor 1.2 - Abundance

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### Moderate Concern

The six snappers and one grouper that make up the "Deep 7" bottomfish complex are all assessed together as a unit. The most recent population assessment for the Deep 7 species was conducted in 2018. According to this assessment, the abundance of the Deep 7 bottomfish declined starting in the 1960s, but since 1990 has steadily increased through 2015 (Langseth et al. 2018). Currently, abundance is estimated to be well above maximum sustainable yield ( $B_{MSY}$ ) reference point. Therefore, the Deep 7 bottomfish complex was not overfished/depleted in 2015. In this same stock assessment, pink snapper (Opakapaka) was assessed separately, as well as part of the complex, and found to not be overfished with overfishing not occurring. Additionally, the status of Hawaiian grouper, was upgraded from 'Near Threatened' to 'Least Concern' by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) in 2018 (Craig 2018). There is currently not a 'target' reference point for abundance of this complex.

Normally, this would result in a score of 'low concern'. However, because these species are assessed as a unit and individual species' abundances are not known (except pink snapper), we have rated this factor 'moderate concern.'

# Factor 1.3 - Fishing Mortality

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Moderate Concern**

According to the latest stock assessment, fishing mortality estimates for the Hawaiian "Deep 7" bottomfish complex was well below the reference point corresponding to maximum sustainable yield ( $H_{MSY}$ ) in 2015 (Langseth et al. 2018). Therefore it was concluded that overfishing is not occurring. In this same stock assessment, pink snapper (Opakapaka) was assessed separately, as well as part of the complex, and overfishing was not occurring.

While the Deep 7 species are assessed together, they do not make up equal proportions of the catch and catches have varied over the years. The average catches Hawaiian grouper (hapuupuu) between 2014 and 2016 were about 10,000 lbs, which accounted for about 3.5% of the total Deep 7 bottomfish complex catch (Langseth et al. 2018). Recreational catches of the Hawaii Deep 7 species have generally not been

reported. The recent Deep 7 bottomfish assessment included estimates of all unreported catches, which includes recreational catch. In this assessment they estimated that unreported/recreational catch of all Deep 7 species is mostly likely equal to the reported commercial catch (Langseth et al. 2018).

Although the most recent assessment indicated that fishing mortality on the Deep 7 species is below sustainable levels, fishing impacts on individual species are not known (except for pink snapper). Because current fishing mortality rates for individual species are not known we have awarded a 'moderate concern' score.

#### **RUBY SNAPPER**

#### Factor 1.1 - Inherent Vulnerability

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### Medium

Fishbase assigned medium vulnerability to fishing scores (36-45 out of 100) to all deep water snappers that are part of the "Deep 7" bottomfish complex, except for the rusty jobfish. Fishbase assigned a high vulnerability score (58) to rusty jobfish (Froese and Pauly 2013). Life history information for several species is incomplete but also indicates that these species have medium inherent vulnerabilities to fishing. Most species are moderately long lived (7-13 years), however, the pink snapper (Opakapaka) has been reported to live for over 40 years (Brodziak et al. 2011)(Andrews et al. 2012). Most species reach sexual maturity around 30-60 cm in length and reach a maximum size of 80-130 cm (DeMartini and Lau 1999)(Randall 2007)(Froese and Pauly 2013). Age at maturity is only known for pink snapper, which are reported to mature around 3 years of age (Everson 1984). These species are broadcast spawners and females are capable of producing a high number of eggs (Brodziak et al. 2011). Within the food chain, they are high level predators (Froese and Pauly 2013). Although rusty jobfish was given a high Fishbase vulnerability score, the life history attributes of rusty jobfish suggest it has biological traits that are similar to the other deep water snapper species and only has a medium vulnerability to fishing. We therefore rate the vulnerability for all these deep water snappers as 'medium'.

#### Justification:

Table 1: Results from Seafood Watch fish vulnerability rubric (SFW criteria document, pg. 4). Attribute scores can range from 1-3 with higher scores signifying more resilient life history attributes.

Species with average attribute scores between 1.80 and 2.43 are deemed to have a 'medium vulnerability'.

#### Factor 1.2 - Abundance

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Moderate Concern**

The six snappers and one grouper that make up the "Deep 7" bottomfish complex are all assessed together as

a unit. The most recent population assessment for the Deep 7 species was conducted in 2018. According to this assessment, the abundance of the Deep 7 bottomfish declined starting in the 1960s, but since 1990 has steadily increased through 2015 (Langseth et al. 2018). Currently, abundance is estimated to be well above maximum sustainable yield ( $B_{MSY}$ ) reference point. Therefore, the Deep 7 bottomfish complex was not overfished/depleted in 2015. In this same stock assessment, pink snapper (Opakapaka) was assessed separately, as well as part of the complex, and found to not be overfished with overfishing not occurring. Additionally, the status of Hawaiian grouper, was upgraded from 'Near Threatened' to 'Least Concern' by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) in 2018 (Craig 2018). There is currently not a 'target' reference point for abundance of this complex.

Normally, this would result in a score of 'low concern'. However, because these species are assessed as a unit and individual species' abundances are not known (except pink snapper), we have rated this factor 'moderate concern.'

# Factor 1.3 - Fishing Mortality

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Moderate Concern**

According to the latest stock assessment, fishing mortality estimates for the Hawaiian "Deep 7" bottomfish complex was well below the reference point corresponding to maximum sustainable yield ( $H_{MSY}$ ) in 2015 (Langseth et al. 2018). Therefore it was concluded that overfishing is not occurring. In this same stock assessment, pink snapper (Opakapaka) was assessed separately, as well as part of the complex, and overfishing was not occurring.

While the Deep 7 species are assessed together, they do not make up equal proportions of the catch and catches have varied over the years. The average catches Hawaiian grouper (hapuupuu) between 2014 and 2016 were about 10,000 lbs, which accounted for about 3.5% of the total Deep 7 bottomfish complex catch (Langseth et al. 2018). Recreational catches of the Hawaii Deep 7 species have generally not been reported. The recent Deep 7 bottomfish assessment included estimates of all unreported catches, which includes recreational catch. In this assessment they estimated that unreported/recreational catch of all Deep 7 species is mostly likely equal to the reported commercial catch (Langseth et al. 2018).

Although the most recent assessment indicated that fishing mortality on the Deep 7 species is below sustainable levels, fishing impacts on individual species are not known (except for pink snapper). Because current fishing mortality rates for individual species are not known we have awarded a 'moderate concern' score.

#### **RUSTY JOBFISH**

# Factor 1.1 - Inherent Vulnerability

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### Medium

Fishbase assigned medium vulnerability to fishing scores (36-45 out of 100) to all deep water snappers that are part of the "Deep 7" bottomfish complex, except for the rusty jobfish. Fishbase assigned a high vulnerability score (58) to rusty jobfish (Froese and Pauly 2013). Life history information for several species is incomplete but also indicates that these species have medium inherent vulnerabilities to fishing. Most species are moderately long lived (7-13 years), however, the pink snapper (Opakapaka) has been reported to live for over 40 years (Brodziak et al. 2011)(Andrews et al. 2012). Most species reach sexual maturity around 30-60 cm in length and reach a maximum size of 80-130 cm (DeMartini and Lau 1999)(Randall 2007)(Froese and Pauly 2013). Age at maturity is only known for pink snapper, which are reported to mature around 3 years of age (Everson 1984). These species are broadcast spawners and females are capable of producing a high number of eggs (Brodziak et al. 2011). Within the food chain, they are high level predators (Froese and Pauly 2013). Although rusty jobfish was given a high Fishbase vulnerability score, the life history attributes of rusty jobfish suggest it has biological traits that are similar to the other deep water snapper species and only has a medium vulnerability to fishing. We therefore rate the vulnerability for all these deep water snappers as 'medium'.

#### Justification:

Table 1: Results from Seafood Watch fish vulnerability rubric (SFW criteria document, pg. 4). Attribute scores can range from 1-3 with higher scores signifying more resilient life history attributes.

Species with average attribute scores between 1.80 and 2.43 are deemed to have a 'medium vulnerability'.

#### Factor 1.2 - Abundance

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Moderate Concern**

The six snappers and one grouper that make up the "Deep 7" bottomfish complex are all assessed together as a unit. The most recent population assessment for the Deep 7 species was conducted in 2018. According to this assessment, the abundance of the Deep 7 bottomfish declined starting in the 1960s, but since 1990 has steadily increased through 2015 (Langseth et al. 2018). Currently, abundance is estimated to be well above maximum sustainable yield ( $B_{MSY}$ ) reference point. Therefore, the Deep 7 bottomfish complex was not overfished/depleted in 2015. In this same stock assessment, pink snapper (Opakapaka) was assessed separately, as well as part of the complex, and found to not be overfished with overfishing not occurring. Additionally, the status of Hawaiian grouper, was upgraded from 'Near Threatened' to 'Least Concern' by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) in 2018 (Craig 2018). There is currently not a 'target' reference point for abundance of this complex.

Normally, this would result in a score of 'low concern'. However, because these species are assessed as a unit and individual species' abundances are not known (except pink snapper), we have rated this factor 'moderate concern.'

# Factor 1.3 - Fishing Mortality

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Moderate Concern**

According to the latest stock assessment, fishing mortality estimates for the Hawaiian "Deep 7" bottomfish complex was well below the reference point corresponding to maximum sustainable yield ( $H_{MSY}$ ) in 2015 (Langseth et al. 2018). Therefore it was concluded that overfishing is not occurring. In this same stock assessment, pink snapper (Opakapaka) was assessed separately, as well as part of the complex, and overfishing was not occurring.

While the Deep 7 species are assessed together, they do not make up equal proportions of the catch and catches have varied over the years. The average catches Hawaiian grouper (hapuupuu) between 2014 and 2016 were about 10,000 lbs, which accounted for about 3.5% of the total Deep 7 bottomfish complex catch (Langseth et al. 2018). Recreational catches of the Hawaii Deep 7 species have generally not been reported. The recent Deep 7 bottomfish assessment included estimates of all unreported catches, which includes recreational catch. In this assessment they estimated that unreported/recreational catch of all Deep 7 species is mostly likely equal to the reported commercial catch (Langseth et al. 2018).

Although the most recent assessment indicated that fishing mortality on the Deep 7 species is below sustainable levels, fishing impacts on individual species are not known (except for pink snapper). Because current fishing mortality rates for individual species are not known we have awarded a 'moderate concern' score.

# YELLOWSTRIPE SNAPPER

#### Factor 1.1 - Inherent Vulnerability

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### Medium

Fishbase assigned medium vulnerability to fishing scores (36-45 out of 100) to all deep water snappers that are part of the "Deep 7" bottomfish complex, except for the rusty jobfish. Fishbase assigned a high vulnerability score (58) to rusty jobfish (Froese and Pauly 2013). Life history information for several species is incomplete but also indicates that these species have medium inherent vulnerabilities to fishing. Most species are moderately long lived (7-13 years), however, the pink snapper (Opakapaka) has been reported to live for over 40 years (Brodziak et al. 2011)(Andrews et al. 2012). Most species reach sexual maturity around 30-60 cm in length and reach a maximum size of 80-130 cm (DeMartini and Lau 1999)(Randall 2007)(Froese and Pauly 2013). Age at maturity is only known for pink snapper, which are reported to mature around 3 years of age (Everson 1984). These species are broadcast spawners and females are capable of producing a high number of eggs (Brodziak et al. 2011). Within the food chain, they are high level predators (Froese and Pauly 2013). Although rusty jobfish was given a high Fishbase vulnerability score, the life history attributes of rusty jobfish suggest it has biological traits that are similar to the other deep water snapper species and only has a medium vulnerability to fishing. We therefore rate the vulnerability for all these deep water snappers as 'medium'.

#### Justification:

Table 1: Results from Seafood Watch fish vulnerability rubric (SFW criteria document, pg. 4). Attribute scores can range from 1-3 with higher scores signifying more resilient life history attributes.

Species with average attribute scores between 1.80 and 2.43 are deemed to have a 'medium vulnerability'.

#### Factor 1.2 - Abundance

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Moderate Concern**

The six snappers and one grouper that make up the "Deep 7" bottomfish complex are all assessed together as a unit. The most recent population assessment for the Deep 7 species was conducted in 2018. According to this assessment, the abundance of the Deep 7 bottomfish declined starting in the 1960s, but since 1990 has steadily increased through 2015 (Langseth et al. 2018). Currently, abundance is estimated to be well above maximum sustainable yield ( $B_{MSY}$ ) reference point. Therefore, the Deep 7 bottomfish complex was not overfished/depleted in 2015. In this same stock assessment, pink snapper (Opakapaka) was assessed separately, as well as part of the complex, and found to not be overfished with overfishing not occurring. Additionally, the status of Hawaiian grouper, was upgraded from 'Near Threatened' to 'Least Concern' by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) in 2018 (Craig 2018). There is currently not a 'target' reference point for abundance of this complex.

Normally, this would result in a score of 'low concern'. However, because these species are assessed as a unit and individual species' abundances are not known (except pink snapper), we have rated this factor 'moderate concern.'

#### Factor 1.3 - Fishing Mortality

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Moderate Concern**

According to the latest stock assessment, fishing mortality estimates for the Hawaiian "Deep 7" bottomfish complex was well below the reference point corresponding to maximum sustainable yield ( $H_{MSY}$ ) in 2015 (Langseth et al. 2018). Therefore it was concluded that overfishing is not occurring. In this same stock assessment, pink snapper (Opakapaka) was assessed separately, as well as part of the complex, and overfishing was not occurring.

While the Deep 7 species are assessed together, they do not make up equal proportions of the catch and catches have varied over the years. The average catches Hawaiian grouper (hapuupuu) between 2014 and 2016 were about 10,000 lbs, which accounted for about 3.5% of the total Deep 7 bottomfish complex catch (Langseth et al. 2018). Recreational catches of the Hawaii Deep 7 species have generally not been reported. The recent Deep 7 bottomfish assessment included estimates of all unreported catches, which includes recreational catch. In this assessment they estimated that unreported/recreational catch of all Deep 7 species is mostly likely equal to the reported commercial catch (Langseth et al. 2018).

Although the most recent assessment indicated that fishing mortality on the Deep 7 species is below sustainable levels, fishing impacts on individual species are not known (except for pink snapper). Because current fishing mortality rates for individual species are not known we have awarded a 'moderate concern' score.

# **Criterion 2: Impacts on other species**

All main retained and bycatch species in the fishery are evaluated in the same way as the species under assessment were evaluated in Criterion 1. Seafood Watch<sup>®</sup> defines bycatch as all fisheries-related mortality or injury to species other than the retained catch. Examples include discards, endangered or threatened species catch, and ghost fishing.

To determine the final Criterion 2 score, the score for the lowest scoring retained/bycatch species is multiplied by the discard rate score (ranges from 0-1), which evaluates the amount of non-retained catch (discards) and bait use relative to the retained catch. The Criterion 2 rating is determined as follows:

- Score >3.2=Green or Low Concern
- Score >2.2 and ≤3.2=Yellow or Moderate Concern
- Score ≤2.2=Red or High Concern

Rating is Critical if Factor 2.3 (Fishing Mortality) is Crtitical

# **Criterion 2 Summary**

Only the lowest scoring main species is/are listed in the table and text in this Criterion 2 section; a full list and assessment of the main species can be found in Appendix A.

CRIMSON JOBFISH Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines   United States Of America							
Subscore:	2.644	ŀ	Discard Rate	):	1.00	C2 Rate:	2.644
Species   Stock		nherer /ulnera		Abundance		Fishing Mortality	Subscore
Greater amberjack	2	2.00:Me	edium	3.00:Moderat Concern	te	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)
Hawaiian grouper	1	1.00:Hig	jh	3.00:Moderat Concern	te	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)
Lavender jobfish	2	2.00:Me	edium	3.00:Moderat Concern	te	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)
Oblique-banded snap	per 2	2.00:Me	edium	3.00:Moderat Concern	te	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)
Ruby snapper	2	2.00:Me	edium	3.00:Moderat Concern	te	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)
Rusty jobfish	2	2.00:Me	edium	3.00:Moderat Concern	te	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)
Yellowstripe snapper	2	2.00:Me	edium	3.00:Moderat Concern	te	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)
Green jobfish	1	1.00:Hig	jh	4.00:Low Cor	ncern	3.67:Low Concern	Green (3.831)

HAWAIIAN GROUPER Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines   United States Of America								
Subscore:	2.644	Discard Rate	9:	1.00	C2 Rate:	2.644		
Species   Stock	Inhere Vulner		Abundance		Fishing Mortality	Subscore		
Greater amberjack	2.00:M	ledium	3.00:Moderat Concern	e	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)		
Lavender jobfish	2.00:M	ledium	3.00:Moderat Concern	e	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)		
Oblique-banded snappe	er 2.00:M	ledium	3.00:Moderat Concern	e	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)		
Ruby snapper	2.00:M	ledium	3.00:Moderat Concern	e	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)		
Rusty jobfish	2.00:M	ledium	3.00:Moderat Concern	e	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)		
Yellowstripe snapper	2.00:M	ledium	3.00:Moderat Concern	e	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)		
Crimson jobfish	2.00:M	ledium	4.00:Low Cor	ncern	3.67:Low Concern	Green (3.831)		
Green jobfish	1.00:H	igh	4.00:Low Cor	ncern	3.67:Low Concern	Green (3.831)		

LAVENDER JOBFISH Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines   United States Of America									
Subscore:	2.64	4	Discard Rate	:	1.00	C2 Rate:	2.644		
Species   Stock		Inherent Vulnerability		Abundance		Fishing Mortality	Subscore		
Greater amberjack				3.00:Moderate Concern		2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)		
Hawaiian grouper		1.00:High		3.00:Moderate Concern		2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)		
Oblique-banded snapp	ber	2.00:Medium		3.00:Moderate Concern		2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)		
Ruby snapper		2.00:Me	2.00:Medium 3.0 Co		e	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)		
Rusty jobfish		2.00:Me	edium	3.00:Moderat Concern	e	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)		
Yellowstripe snapper		2.00:Me	edium	3.00:Moderate Concern		2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)		

Crimson jobfish	2.00:Medium	4.00:Low Concern	3.67:Low Concern	Green (3.831)
Green jobfish	1.00:High	4.00:Low Concern	3.67:Low Concern	Green (3.831)

OBLIQUE-BANDED SNAPPER Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines   United States Of America								
Subscore:	2.64	4	Discard Rate	):	1.00	C2 Rate:	2.644	
Species   Stock		Inhere Vulnera		Abundance		Fishing Mortality	Subscore	
Greater amberjack		2.00:M	edium	3.00:Moderate Concern		2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)	
Hawaiian grouper		1.00:High		3.00:Moderate Concern		2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)	
Lavender jobfish		2.00:Medium		3.00:Moderate Concern		2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)	
Ruby snapper		2.00:Medium		3.00:Moderate Concern		2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)	
Rusty jobfish		2.00:M	edium	3.00:Moderate Concern		2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)	
Yellowstripe snapper		2.00:M	edium	3.00:Moderate Concern		2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)	
Crimson jobfish		2.00:M	edium	4.00:Low Concern		3.67:Low Concern	Green (3.831)	
Green jobfish		1.00:Hi	gh	4.00:Low Concern		3.67:Low Concern	Green (3.831)	

RUBY SNAPPER Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines   United States Of America									
Subscore:	2.64	14	Discard Rate	):	1.00	C2 Rate:	2.644		
Species   Stock		Inhere Vulnera		Abundance		Fishing Mortality	Subscore		
Greater amberjack		2.00:M	edium	3.00:Moderat Concern	e	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)		
Hawaiian grouper		1.00:High		3.00:Moderate Concern		2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)		
Lavender jobfish		2.00:M	edium	3.00:Moderat Concern	e	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)		

Oblique-banded snapper	2.00:Medium	3.00:Moderate Concern	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)
Rusty jobfish	2.00:Medium	3.00:Moderate Concern	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)
Yellowstripe snapper	2.00:Medium	3.00:Moderate Concern	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)
Crimson jobfish	2.00:Medium	4.00:Low Concern	3.67:Low Concern	Green (3.831)
Green jobfish	1.00:High	4.00:Low Concern	3.67:Low Concern	Green (3.831)

RUSTY JOBFISH Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines   United States Of America									
Subscore:	2.644	Discard R	late:	1.00	C2 Rate:	2.644			
Species   Stock	Inhere Vulner		Abundance		Fishing Mortality	Subscore			
Greater amberjack	2.00:M	ledium	3.00:Modera Concern			Yellow (2.644)			
Hawaiian grouper	1.00:H	igh	3.00:Modera Concern	te	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)			
Lavender jobfish	2.00:M	ledium	3.00:Modera Concern	te	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)			
Oblique-banded snapp	er 2.00:M	ledium	3.00:Modera Concern	te	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)			
Ruby snapper	2.00:M	ledium	3.00:Modera Concern	te	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)			
Yellowstripe snapper	2.00:M	ledium	3.00:Modera Concern	te	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)			
Crimson jobfish	2.00:M	ledium	4.00:Low Co	ncern	3.67:Low Concern	Green (3.831)			
Green jobfish	1.00:H	igh	4.00:Low Con	ncern	3.67:Low Concern	Green (3.831)			

YELLOWSTRIPE SNAPPER									
Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines   United States Of America									
Subscore:	2.644	Discard Rate:	1.00	C2 Rate:	2.644				

Species   Stock	Inherent Vulnerability	Abundance	Fishing Mortality	Subscore
Greater amberjack	2.00:Medium	3.00:Moderate Concern	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)
Hawaiian grouper	1.00:High	3.00:Moderate Concern	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)
Lavender jobfish	2.00:Medium	3.00:Moderate Concern	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)
Oblique-banded snapper	2.00:Medium	3.00:Moderate Concern	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)
Ruby snapper	2.00:Medium	3.00:Moderate Concern	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)
Rusty jobfish	2.00:Medium	3.00:Moderate Concern	2.33:Moderate Concern	Yellow (2.644)
Crimson jobfish	2.00:Medium	4.00:Low Concern	3.67:Low Concern	Green (3.831)
Green jobfish	1.00:High	4.00:Low Concern	3.67:Low Concern	Green (3.831)

Fishermen catch the Deep 7 bottomfish species with handline gear and can individually target these species. As a result, there is typically a low amount of bycatch and discards (fish thrown back to sea) in this fishery. However, some greater amberjack and Hawaiian gray snapper are caught as bycatch in this fishery and were assessed under this criterion (DLNR 2013b)(PIFSC 2013). The impacts of the fishery on these two species are of moderate concern. Other species reported to be caught incidentally in this fishery, but not assessed in this report because they are only caught in very low amounts, include giant trevally, blue striped snapper (taape), mackerel scad (opelu), butaguchi or thick-lipped ulua, large-headed scorpionfish, and squirrelfish (DLNR 2013b). As well, it should be noted that the fishery could potentially catch endangered Hawaiian monk seals, but this is considered rare and no serious harm or injury to the monk seals is known to occur as a result of catches by this fishery (WPRFMC 2009).

For the six deep snapper species, the Hawaiian grouper is the limiting species, due to high concern about the status of this species. For the Hawaiian grouper, the lowest scoring species are the six deep water snapper species and the greater amberjack, all of which have unknown abundances and unknown fishing levels. See criterion 1 for assessments of Hawaiian grouper and the six deep snapper species.

# **Criterion 2 Assessment**

SCORING GUIDELINES

#### Factor 2.1 - Inherent Vulnerability

(same as Factor 1.1 above)

#### Factor 2.2 - Abundance

(same as Factor 1.2 above)

# Factor 2.3 - Fishing Mortality

(same as Factor 1.3 above)

#### **GREATER AMBERJACK**

#### Factor 2.1 - Inherent Vulnerability

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### Medium

FishBase assigned a 'medium' inherent vulnerability to fishing score of 54 out of 100 to greater amberjack (kahala) (*Seriola dumerili*) (Froese and Pauly 2013). Greater amberjack reach sexual maturity between 80-127 cm in length and 3-5 years of age. They can grow up to 144 cm in length and live for a maximum reported age of 15 years. Greater amberjack are broadcast spawners. Within the food chain, they are a high level predator (Froese and Pauly 2013).

#### Factor 2.2 - Abundance

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Moderate Concern**

Greater amberjack are part of the Hawaii Bottomfish Management Unit, which includes the Deep 7 species, as well as other shallow water species of groupers, snappers, and jacks. The entire Hawaii bottomfish complex was assessed as a unit in 2007, although the greater amberjack was not actually included in the assessment. At that time, abundance of the bottomfish complex in the Main Hawaiian Islands was estimated to be at 62% of the target abundance level, the abundance/biomass needed to produce the maximum sustainable catch/yield (BMSY). Abundance was below the limit abundance reference point (70% of BMSY), so the bottomfish complex was considered overfished (Brodziak et al. 2009). However, an updated assessment of the Deep 7 bottomfish in 2011 indicated that the Deep 7 species were not overfished (Brodziak et al. 2011). The current status of the non-Deep 7 bottomfish species, including greater amberjack, remains unclear as no additional assessment has been conducted for the non-Deep 7 species (NMFS 2013b). Because the abundance of this species is unknown and they have a medium inherent vulnerability to fishing, we have awarded a 'moderate concern' score.

#### Factor 2.3 - Fishing Mortality

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Moderate Concern**

Fishing mortality rates for greater amberjack in Hawaiian waters are not known (NMFS 2013b). An assessment of the Hawaiian bottomfish unit in 2007 (although greater amberjack was not actually included) indicated that fishing mortality levels in the Main Hawaiian Islands were higher than the fishing mortality level at maximum sustainable yield (FMSY), indicating overfishing was occurring at the time (Brodziak et al. 2009). However, a more recent assessment of only the Deep 7 species in 2011 indicated that fishing levels were below sustainable levels (Brodziak et al. 2011). Current fishing levels on non-Deep 7 species are uncertain, because no additional assessments of the non-Deep 7 species have been conducted. Reported catches for the Main Hawaiian Islands Deep 7 Bottom Fishery from 2008-2012 indicate that

amberjack make up about 3-4% of the retained catch in weight and about 2-4% of the total catch (retained and discarded) in numbers of fish (DLNR 2013b). Around 30-50% of the greater amberjack caught in the fishery are reported to be discarded back to sea. They are often discarded because of concerns of ciguatera poisoning. There is some concern that fishermen underreport catches and discards, so greater amberjack may make up a larger proportion of the catch than indicated by the catch data (WPRFMC 2009)(Brodziak et al. 2011)(WPRFMC 2009). In 2011, 15,800 lbs of greater amberjack were reported caught across all fisheries in Hawaii (PIFSC 2013) and 7,600 lbs were reported caught in the Deep 7 bottomfish fishery (DLNR 2013b). Therefore, the Deep 7 bottomfish fishery is a substantial contributor to fishing mortality on this species. Due to the limited information, fishing mortality is rated 'moderate concern'.

# Factor 2.4 - Discard Rate

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### < 20%

Prior to the closure of the bottomfish fishery in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands, bycatch was recorded through self-reported fishermen logbook data and on-board scientific observer data. Logbook data indicated a discard rate (discarded catch/retained catch) of around 14%, while the observer data indicated a higher discard rate of 34%. The higher discard rates from the observer program may indicate that fishermen do not report all discards in the logbooks (WPRFMC 2009). However, bycatch species and amounts may be different in the Main Hawaiian Islands bottomfish fishery. In the Main Hawaiian Islands fishery, more of the catch is thought to be retained and utilized. More recent data on fishermen reported catches and discards specific to the Deep 7 bottomfish fishery in the Main Hawaiian Islands, indicates a total discard rate (discards/retained catches) of 1-4% from 2002-2012. The discard rate for target species ranged from less than 1% to 3% and for bycatch species from 6-20% (DLNR 2013b). The mostly commonly discarded species in this fishery is greater amberjack (*Seriola dumeril*) due to concerns of ciguatera poisoning (DLNR 2013b). Although, catches and discards are thought to be underreported by fishermen, the discard rate is likely still <20%.

# **Criterion 3: Management Effectiveness**

Management is separated into management of retained species (harvest strategy) and management of nonretained species (bycatch strategy).

The final score for this criterion is the geometric mean of the two scores. The Criterion 3 rating is determined as follows:

- Score >3.2=Green or Low Concern
- Score >2.2 and ≤3.2=Yellow or Moderate Concern
- Score ≤2.2 or either the Harvest Strategy (Factor 3.1) or Bycatch Management Strategy (Factor 3.2) is Very High Concern = Red or High Concern

Rating is Critical if either or both of Harvest Strategy (Factor 3.1) and Bycatch Management Strategy (Factor 3.2) ratings are Critical.

# **Criterion 3 Summary**

Region   Method	Harvest Strategy	Bycatch Strategy	Score
Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines and hand- operated pole-and-lines United States of America	3.000	4.000	Green (3.464)

# **Criterion 3 Assessment**

# SCORING GUIDELINES

# Factor 3.1 - Harvest Strategy

Seven subfactors are evaluated: Management Strategy, Recovery of Species of Concern, Scientific Research/Monitoring, Following of Scientific Advice, Enforcement of Regulations, Management Track Record, and Inclusion of Stakeholders. Each is rated as 'ineffective,' 'moderately effective,' or 'highly effective.'

- 5 (Very Low Concern)—Rated as 'highly effective' for all seven subfactors considered
- 4 (Low Concern)—Management Strategy and Recovery of Species of Concern rated 'highly effective' and all other subfactors rated at least 'moderately effective.'
- 3 (Moderate Concern)—All subfactors rated at least 'moderately effective.'
- 2 (High Concern)—At minimum, meets standards for 'moderately effective' for Management Strategy and Recovery of Species of Concern, but at least one other subfactor rated 'ineffective.'
- 1 (Very High Concern)—Management exists, but Management Strategy and/or Recovery of Species of Concern rated 'ineffective.'
- 0 (Critical)—No management exists when there is a clear need for management (i.e., fishery catches threatened, endangered, or high concern species), OR there is a high level of Illegal, unregulated, and unreported fishing occurring.

# Factor 3.1 Summary

FACTOR 3.1 - MANAGEMENT OF FISHING IMPACTS ON RETAINED SPECIES									
Region   Method	Strategy	Recovery	Research	Advice	Enforce	Track	Inclusion		
Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines and hand- operated pole-and- lines United States of America	Moderately Effective	N/A	Moderately Effective	Highly Effective	Moderately Effective	Moderately Effective	Highly Effective		

# Subfactor 3.1.1 – Management Strategy and Implementation

Considerations: What type of management measures are in place? Are there appropriate management goals, and is there evidence that management goals are being met? To achieve a highly effective rating, there must be appropriate management goals, and evidence that the measures in place have been successful at maintaining/rebuilding species.

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Moderately Effective**

In federal waters of the US Western Pacific, the Western Pacific Regional Fishery Management Council manages the Deep 7 bottomfish species. Previously these species were managed under the Bottomfish and Seamount Groundfish Fishery Management Plan, but since 2010 have been managed under the Hawaii Fishery Ecosystem Plan (WPRFMC 2009) (WPFMC 2010). Historically there have been two large management subareas, the Main Hawaiian Island and Northwestern Hawaiian Islands (WPRFMC 2006). However, the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands was declared a Marine National Monument and closed to fishing in 2010 (President Proclamation 8031: FR Doc E9-7860). Now all fishing occurs in the waters surrounding the Main Hawaiian Islands. Management measures under the federal plan include a ban on destructive fishing techniques, a prohibition on fishing at Hancock Seamount, size limits, limits on fishing effort, gear restrictions, recreational bag limit, and catch reporting (WPRFMC 2006)(WPRFMC 2010). As well, a total allowable commercial catch limit is set for the Deep 7 bottomfish species. For the 2012-13 and 2013-14 fishing years, the annual catch limit was set at 346,000 lbs (FR 2012)(FR 2013). If the catch limits is project to be reached, the fishery for the Deep 7 bottomfish may be closed. If the catch limit is exceeded, the catch limit for the following season may be reduced by the amount of the overage. All of the incidentally captured fish species included in this report are included in the Hawaiian bottomfish management unit species (BMUS) complex and managed under the federal plan as well. The Hawaiian gray snapper, which is often retained in this fishery, is managed under an aggregate non-Deep 7 catch limit (FR 2013).

Bottomfish species also occurs in Hawaii state waters. In state waters the Hawaii Division of Aquatic Resources (HDAR) part of the Department of Land and Natural Resources, is responsible for fisheries management (WPRFMC 2006). Management measures in state waters include annual vessel registration, catch reporting, gear restrictions, trip reporting, minimum sizes (Onaga and Opakapaka) and closed fishing areas (WPRFMC 2006))(DLNR 2013a). If the fishery for the Deep 7 is closed in federal waters because the annual catch limit is reached, the State may close their waters to fishing for the Deep 7 species as well.

Management goals for abundance and fishing levels have been established for the Deep 7 complex as a whole but not for individual species, with the exception of pink snapper (Opakapaka) (Langseth et al. 2018). As a result, the impact of the fishery on most individual species remains unclear. We have therefore awarded a moderately effective score.

# Subfactor 3.1.2 – Recovery of Species of Concern

Considerations: When needed, are recovery strategies/management measures in place to rebuild overfished/threatened/ endangered species or to limit fishery's impact on these species and what is their likelihood of success? To achieve a rating of Highly Effective, rebuilding strategies that have a high likelihood of success in an appropriate timeframe must be in place when needed, as well as measures to minimize mortality for any overfished/threatened/endangered species.

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### N/A

The "Deep 7" bottomfish species are not depleted/overfished and therefore not in need of a recovery plan (Brodziak et al. 2011). However, it should be noted that when it was previously deemed that overfishing was occurring on this complex, emergency management measures were put into place to stop overfishing (WPRFMC 2009).

## Subfactor 3.1.3 – Scientific Research and Monitoring

Considerations: How much and what types of data are collected to evaluate the health of the population and the fishery's impact on the species? To achieve a Highly Effective rating, population assessments must be conducted regularly and they must be robust enough to reliably determine the population status.

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Moderately Effective**

Fishing effort data and catch rate data (amount caught per unit of fishing effort) is collected, and used to provide an index of abundance for the Deep 7 bottomfish complex (Brodziak et al. 2011). Reporting of data occurs through the Hawaii Department of Aquatic Resources through the bottomfish trip reports. Since the Deep 7 bottomfish are assessed as a unit and not individually, the abundance of individual species cannot be determined. There are also concerns about under and un-reporting of Deep 7 species catches; in the latest assessment, they included estimates of these unreported catches (Brodziak et al. 2011). Population assessments are conducted on a fairly regular basis of about 2-3 years but the ability to conduct individual assessments has been hampered by a lack of species-specific biological and fishery related data (Brodziak et al. 2011). In addition, it has been noted that the development of a fishery-independent survey of the bottomfish species would help enhance the accuracy of assessments. It is reported that efforts to develop this survey are underway. We have awarded a moderately effective score for this factor.

# Subfactor 3.1.4 – Management Record of Following Scientific Advice

Considerations: How often (always, sometimes, rarely) do managers of the fishery follow scientific recommendations/advice (e.g. do they set catch limits at recommended levels)? A Highly Effective rating is given if managers nearly always follow scientific advice.

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Highly Effective**

The fisheries management council utilizes the scientific recommendations provided in the Deep 7 species

assessment when developing catch limits for the fishery. The annual catch limit was set at 346,000 lbs for both 2012-2013 and 2013-2014 fishing years (FR 2012)(FR 2013). At the 2012-13 level, the risk of overfishing is 40-45%, which is within an acceptable risk level under the guidelines of the U.S. Magnuson-Stevens Fisheries Conservation Act (Brodziak et al. 2011). We have therefore awarded a highly effective score.

## Subfactor 3.1.5 – Enforcement of Management Regulations

Considerations: Do fishermen comply with regulations, and how is this monitored? To achieve a Highly Effective rating, there must be regular enforcement of regulations and verification of compliance.

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Moderately Effective**

Catch data for the Hawaiian Deep 7 bottomfish fishery is recorded and monitored throughout the year. Fishers document catches on Hawaii state commercial bottomfish trip reports. Required submissions by dealers and auction records are used to check and validate fisher-submitted catch, and discrepancies are resolved. Catch data can also be cross-checked with data collected from the State Marine Product License holders. Federal logbooks are used in waters outside Hawaiian state limits. The catch data is monitored in relation to the annual total allowable catch limit, and when the catch limit is projected to be reached, the fishery is closed (FR 2012). There is some uncertainty, however, as to whether all catches are reported (Brodziak et al. 2011). Total catches of the Deep 7 species during the 2012/2013 fishing season were around 250,000 lbs (DLNR 2013c)(PIFSC 2013), which was well below the annual catch target of 325,000 lbs (FR 2012).

In Hawaii state waters, the Hawaii Division of Conservation and Resources Enforcement (DOCARE) is responsible for enforcing management regulations, like the Bottomfish Restricted Fishing Areas (BRFA) (NOAA 2011). In some cases Bottomfish Restricted Fishing Areas extend into federal waters, but the federal fisheries agency (National Marine Fisheries Service) has no authority to enforce them. State enforcement resources are limited and Bottomfish Restricted Fishing Areas near highly populated areas are challenging to enforce (Moore et al. 2013). We have therefore awarded a moderately effective score.

# Subfactor 3.1.6 – Management Track Record

Considerations: Does management have a history of successfully maintaining populations at sustainable levels or a history of failing to maintain populations at sustainable levels? A Highly Effective rating is given if measures enacted by management have been shown to result in the long-term maintenance of species overtime.

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Moderately Effective**

The management measures for the Deep 7 bottomfish fishery appear to be maintaining fishing at a sustainable level, and the Deep 7 bottomfish are not considered depleted, though abundance is below the target level. However since the Deep 7 bottomfish are assessed as a unit, the status of individual species remains uncertain (Brodziak et al. 2011). Therefore we have awarded a moderately effective score.

# Subfactor 3.1.7 – Stakeholder Inclusion

Considerations: Are stakeholders involved/included in the decision-making process? Stakeholders are individuals/groups/organizations that have an interest in the fishery or that may be affected by the management of the fishery (e.g., fishermen, conservation groups, etc.). A Highly Effective rating is given if the management process is transparent and includes stakeholder input.

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of AmericaY

#### **Highly Effective**

There is stakeholder inclusion in the management process for the Deep 7 fishery. For example, the public is allowed to comment on proposed management measures for this fishery. Proposed federal regulations are published in the Federal Register before they are enacted so the public has time to comment. As well managers hold meetings that are open the to the public and allow for public comments on any agenda items at these meetings (WPRFMC 2011).

# Factor 3.2 - Bycatch Strategy

## SCORING GUIDELINES

Four subfactors are evaluated: Management Strategy and Implementation, Scientific Research and Monitoring, Record of Following Scientific Advice, and Enforcement of Regulations. Each is rated as 'ineffective,' 'moderately effective,' or 'highly effective.' Unless reason exists to rate Scientific Research and Monitoring, Record of Following Scientific Advice, and Enforcement of Regulations differently, these rating are the same as in 3.1.

- 5 (Very Low Concern)—Rated as 'highly effective' for all four subfactors considered
- 4 (Low Concern)—Management Strategy rated 'highly effective' and all other subfactors rated at least 'moderately effective.'
- 3 (Moderate Concern)—All subfactors rated at least 'moderately effective.'
- 2 (High Concern)—At minimum, meets standards for 'moderately effective' for Management Strategy but some other factors rated 'ineffective.'
- 1 (Very High Concern)—Management exists, but Management Strategy rated 'ineffective.'
- 0 (Critical)—No bycatch management even when overfished, depleted, endangered or threatened species are known to be regular components of bycatch and are substatutially impacted by the fishery

FACTOR 3.2 - BYCATCH STRATEGY									
Region   Method	All Kept	Critical	Strategy	Research	Advice	Enforce			
Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines and hand-operated pole-and-lines United States of America	No	No	Highly Effective	Moderately Effective	Highly Effective	Moderately Effective			

# Subfactor 3.2.2 – Management Strategy and Implementation

Considerations: What type of management strategy/measures are in place to reduce the impacts of the fishery on bycatch species and how successful are these management measures? To achieve a Highly Effective rating, the primary bycatch species must be known and there must be clear goals and measures in place to minimize the impacts on bycatch species (e.g., catch limits, use of proven mitigation measures, etc.).

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Highly Effective**

Bycatch in this fishery is low and typically does not include any vulnerable species such as sea turtles, sea birds or marine mammals. Interactions with Hawaiian monk seals have been reported as possible because monk seals have been found with embedded hooks. However, it is not possible to determine what fishery these hooks came from and they may not be from the bottomfish fishery. Monk seals are protected under the US Endangered Species Act, Marine Mammal Protection Act and under Hawaiian state law. In the bottomfish fishery, fishermen are encouraged to use barbless circle hooks and required to report any interactions with monk seals (NOAA 2013). Any potential interactions are thought to be very low, and there is no evidence of serious injury or harm to monk seals caused by this fishery. Other measures that have been implemented to reduce bycatch in this fishery include the prohibition of non-selective gears, like gillnets and bottom trawls, as well as outreach and training to fishermen on how to reduce bycatch and bycatch mortality (WPRFMC 2009). Because bycatch measures are in place and there are no significant bycatch concerns in this fishery, we have rated this factor highly effective.

## Subfactor 3.2.3 – Scientific Research and Monitoring

Considerations: Is bycatch in the fishery recorded/documented and is there adequate monitoring of bycatch to measure fishery's impact on bycatch species? To achieve a Highly Effective rating, assessments must be conducted to determine the impact of the fishery on species of concern, and an adequate bycatch data collection program must be in place to ensure bycatch management goals are being met

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Moderately Effective**

On-board scientific observer programs are not in place to record bycatch and discards in this fishery. However, fishermen are required to fill out logbooks and record information on fishing effort, fishing participants, fishing locations, number and species of fish caught, whether fish were kept or released, the condition of any released fish, and interactions with protected species such as sea turtles, Hawaiian monk seals, other marine mammals, and seabirds (NOAA 2011). There is some concern that catches may be under-reported (Brodziak et al. 2011). Population assessments of Hawaiian monk seals are conducted (NOAA 2013). We have rate this factor moderately effective.

## Subfactor 3.2.4 – Management Record of Following Scientific Advice

Considerations: How often (always, sometimes, rarely) do managers of the fishery follow scientific recommendations/advice (e.g., do they set catch limits at recommended levels)? A Highly Effective rating is given if managers nearly always follow scientific advice.

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

## **Highly Effective**

See Harvest Strategy section for details.

## Subfactor 3.2.5 – Enforcement of Management Regulations

Considerations: Is there a monitoring/enforcement system in place to ensure fishermen follow management regulations and what is the level of fishermen's compliance with regulations? To achieve a Highly Effective rating, there must be consistent enforcement of regulations and verification of compliance.

## HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

## **Moderately Effective**

See Harvest Strategy section for details.

## Criterion 4: Impacts on the habitat and ecosystem

This Criterion assesses the impact of the fishery on seafloor habitats, and increases that base score if there are measures in place to mitigate any impacts. The fishery's overall impact on the ecosystem and food web and the use of ecosystem-based fisheries management (EBFM) principles is also evaluated. Ecosystem Based Fisheries Management aims to consider the interconnections among species and all natural and human stressors on the environment.

The final score is the geometric mean of the impact of fishing gear on habitat score (plus the mitigation of gear impacts score) and the Ecosystem Based Fishery Management score. The Criterion 2 rating is determined as follows:

- Score >3.2=Green or Low Concern
- Score >2.2 and ≤3.2=Yellow or Moderate Concern
- Score ≤2.2=Red or High Concern

Rating cannot be Critical for Criterion 4.

## **Criterion 4 Summary**

Region   Method	Gear Type and Substrate	Mitigation of Gear Impacts	EBFM	Score
Hawaii/Eastern Central Pacific   Handlines	4.00: Very Low	0.50: Moderate	3.00:	Green
and hand-operated pole-and-lines	Concern	Mitigation	Moderate	(3.674)
United States of America			Concern	

## **Criterion 4 Assessment**

## SCORING GUIDELINES

## Factor 4.1 - Impact of Fishing Gear on the Habitat/Substrate

- 5 (None) Fishing gear does not contact the bottom
- 4 (Very Low) Vertical line gear
- 3 (Low)—Gears that contacts the bottom, but is not dragged along the bottom (e.g. gillnet, bottom longline, trap) and is not fished on sensitive habitats. Bottom seine on resilient mud/sand habitats. Midwater trawl that is known to contact bottom occasionally (
- 2 (Moderate)—Bottom dragging gears (dredge, trawl) fished on resilient mud/sand habitats. Gillnet, trap, or bottom longline fished on sensitive boulder or coral reef habitat. Bottom seine except on mud/sand
- 1 (High)—Hydraulic clam dredge. Dredge or trawl gear fished on moderately sensitive habitats (e.g., cobble or boulder)
- 0 (Very High)—Dredge or trawl fished on biogenic habitat, (e.g., deep-sea corals, eelgrass and maerl) Note: When multiple habitat types are commonly encountered, and/or the habitat classification is uncertain, the score will be based on the most sensitive, plausible habitat type.

## Factor 4.2 - Mitigation of Gear Impacts

• +1 (Strong Mitigation)—Examples include large proportion of habitat protected from fishing (>50%) with gear, fishing intensity low/limited, gear specifically modified to reduce damage to seafloor and modifications shown to be effective at reducing damage, or an effective combination of 'moderate' mitigation measures.

- +0.5 (Moderate Mitigation)—20% of habitat protected from fishing with gear or other measures in place to limit fishing effort, fishing intensity, and spatial footprint of damage caused from fishing.
- +0.25 (Low Mitigation)—A few measures are in place (e.g., vulnerable habitats protected but other habitats not protected); there are some limits on fishing effort/intensity, but not actively being reduced
- 0 (No Mitigation)—No effective measures are in place to limit gear impacts on habitats

## Factor 4.3 - Ecosystem-Based Fisheries Management

- 5 (Very Low Concern)—Substantial efforts have been made to protect species' ecological roles and ensure fishing practices do not have negative ecological effects (e.g., large proportion of fishery area is protected with marine reserves, and abundance is maintained at sufficient levels to provide food to predators)
- 4 (Low Concern)—Studies are underway to assess the ecological role of species and measures are in place to protect the ecological role of any species that plays an exceptionally large role in the ecosystem. Measures are in place to minimize potentially negative ecological effect if hatchery supplementation or fish aggregating devices (FADs) are used.
- 3 (Moderate Concern)—Fishery does not catch species that play an exceptionally large role in the ecosystem, or if it does, studies are underway to determine how to protect the ecological role of these species, OR negative ecological effects from hatchery supplementation or FADs are possible and management is not place to mitigate these impacts
- 2 (High Concern)—Fishery catches species that play an exceptionally large role in the ecosystem and no efforts are being made to incorporate their ecological role into management.
- 1 (Very High Concern)—Use of hatchery supplementation or fish aggregating devices (FADs) in the fishery is having serious negative ecological or genetic consequences, OR fishery has resulted in trophic cascades or other detrimental impacts to the food web.

## Factor 4.1 - Impact of Fishing Gear on the Habitat/Substrate

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

## **Very Low Concern**

Handline gear has a very low impact on bottom habitats (Morgan and Chuenpagdee 2003). The Hawaiian handline fishery gear typically has six to eight branching circle hooks off the main line. The line is lowered and raised with electric, hydraulic, or hand-powered reels (WPRFMC 2009). Fishermen fish along steep slopes of deep water banks and while the mainline may come in contact with the bottom, overall fishing effects on the habitat are considered minimal (WPRFMC 2010).

## Factor 4.2 - Mitigation of Gear Impacts

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Moderate Mitigation**

The entire Northwest Hawaiian Islands (NWHI) were closed to bottomfish fishing in 2010, so now all fishing occurs within the waters surrounding the Main Hawaiian Islands. A recent study that investigated population genetic structure of Hawaii fish species, including Hawaiian grouper, indicates there may be a genetic break between the protected Northwestern Hawaiian Islands and the Main Hawaiian Islands (Toonen et al. 2011), supporting the current Deep 7 bottomfish management structure of a distinct population in the Main Hawaiian Islands. In Hawaii State waters, there are 12 areas restricted to fishing within the Main Hawaiian Islands because they contain important bottomfish habitats (NOAA 2011). These areas protect less than 20% of

suitable bottomfish habitat (Parke 2007), but fishing intensity is also actively being controlled through annual catch limits. We consider this a moderate amount of mitigation because ongoing, effective measures are reducing fishing intensity, fishing effort, and the spatial footprint.

## Factor 4.3 - Ecosystem-Based Fisheries Management

#### HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### **Moderate Concern**

At least one of the Deep 7 species, the Hawaiian grouper, is considered an important top predator species and thus is considered a "species of exceptional ecological importance" in the Hawaii ecosystem (Friedlander and DeMartini 2002)(Dale et al. 2011). As well, the fishery catches gray snapper (a non-Deep 7 species) which is also considered a top predator and a "species of exceptional ecological importance" in the ecosystem (Dale et al. 2011). The Hawaiian bottomfish fishery, along with other fisheries managed by the Western Pacific Regional Fishery Management Council, have been managed under a Fishery Ecosystem Plan that aims to address fishery effects on other species, habitats and the ecosystem as a whole since 2010 (WPRFMC 2009). The entire Northwestern Hawaiian Islands have been declared a Marine National Monument and fishing is no longer permitted there (NOAA 2011). However, a recent study indicates there may not be spill over from the protected Northwestern Hawaiian Islands to the Main Hawaiian Islands, supporting the current Deep 7 bottomfish management structure of a distinct population in the Main Hawaiian Islands (Toonen et al. 2011). Within state waters of the Main Hawaiian Islands, there are 12 areas restricted to fishing because they contain important bottomfish habitats (NOAA 2011), but these areas protect less than 20% of suitable bottomfish habitat (Parke 2007). While Hawaii is making great strides towards managing their fisheries using an ecosystem-based approach, because this fishery catches some species of "exceptional importance" and there are not yet sufficient policies in place to ensure protection of their ecological role, we have awarded a moderate concern score.

# **Acknowledgements**

Scientific review does not constitute an endorsement of the Seafood Watch<sup>®</sup> program, or its seafood recommendations, on the part of the reviewing scientists. Seafood Watch<sup>®</sup> is solely responsible for the conclusions reached in this report.

Seafood Watch would like to thank the consulting researcher and author of this update, Tom Wadsworth, as well as the original peer reviewers for graciously reviewing this report for scientific accuracy.

## **References**

Andrews, A.H., E.E. DeMartini, J. Brodiak, R.S. Nichols and R.L. Humphreys. 2012. A long-lived life history for a tropical deep water snapper (Pristipomoides filamentosus): bomb radiocarbon and lead-radium dating as extensions of daily increment analyses in otoliths. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 69:1850-1869. Available at: http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/abs/10.1139/f2012-109#.UyLrDPldWta

Brodziak, J., R. Moffitt, and G. DiNardo. 2009. Hawaiian bottomfish assessment update for 2008. Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center Administrative Report H-09-02. Available at: http://www.pifsc.noaa.gov/adminrpts/2000-present/PIFSC\_Admin\_Rep\_09-02.pdf

Brodziak, J., D. Courtney, L. Wagatsuma, J. O'Malley, H. Lee, W. Walsh, A. Andrews, R. Humphreys, and G. DiNardo. 2011. Stock assessment of the Main Hawaiian Islands Deep 7 bottomfish complex through 2010. Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center Administration Report. 140 pp.

Cornish, A. (Grouper & Wrasse Specialist Group) 2004. Hyporthodus quernus. In: IUCN 2013. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2013.2. Available at: http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/44675/0

Dale, J.J., C.G. Meyer, and C.E. Clark. 2011. The ecology of coral reef top predators in the Papahanaumokuakea marine national monument. Journal of Marine Biology doi:10.1155/2011/725602

DeMartini, E.E. and B.B. Lau. 1999. Morphometric criteria for estimating sexual maturity in two snappers, Etelis carbunculus and Pristipomoides sieboldii. Fisheries Bulletin 97:449-458. Available at: http://fishbull.noaa.gov/04demart.pdf

DeMartini, E.E., A.R. Everson, and R.S. Nichols. 2011. Estimates of body sizes at maturation and at sex change, and the spawning seasonality and sex ratio of the endemic Hawaiian grouper (Hyporthodus quernus, F. Epinephelidae). Fisheries Bulletin 109:123-134. Available at: http://aquaticcommons.org/8730/

Department of Land and Natural Resources (DLNR). 2001. Current line fish facts for bottom fishes of Hawaii. Division of Aquatic Resources, Department of Land and Natural Resources, State of Hawaii. 9 pp. Available at: http://www.state.hi.us/dlnr/dar/pubs/cl01\_12.pdf

DLNR. 2013c. Summary landings table, September 2012 through August 2013. Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources. Available at: http://www.hawaiibottomfish.info/images/MHI\_Sum.pdf

DLNR. 2013a. Bottom fishing. Hawaii Division of Aquatic Resources. Available at: http://state.hi.us/dlnr/dar/fishing\_bottom.html

DLNR. 2013b. Bycatch in the bottomfish fishery, 2002-2012, provided by the State of Hawaii, December 2013.

Everson, A.R. 1984. Spawning and gonadal maturation of the ehu, Etelis carbunculus, in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands pp. 128-148. In: R.W. Grigg and K.Y. Tonoue (eds). Proceedings of the second symposium on resource investigations in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands, Vol. 2. University of Hawaii Sea Grant Miscellaneous Report UNIHI-SEAGRANT-MR-8401. Honolulu, HI.

Federal Register (FR). 2012. Main Hawaiian Islands Deep 7 bottomfish annual catch limits and accountability measures for 2012-13. 50 CRF Part 665. Available at: http://www.hawaiibottomfish.info/docs/FR/BF%20final%20specs%20fr.pdf

FR. 2013. Main Hawaiian Islands deep 7 bottomfish annual catch limits and accountability measures for 2013-

14. A rule by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration of 09/27/2013. Available at: https://www.federalregister.gov/articles/2013/09/27/2013-23675/main-hawaiian-islands-deep-7-bottomfish-annual-catch-limits-and-accountability-measures-for-2013-14

Friedlander, A.M. and E.E. DeMartini. 2002. Contrasts in density, size and biomass of reef fishes between the northwest and the main Hawaiian Islands: the effects of fishing down apex predators. Marine Ecology Progress Series 230:253-264.

Froese, R. and D. Pauly. Editors. 2013. FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. Available at: http://www.fishbase.org/

Gaither, M.R., S.A. Jones, C. Kelley, S.J. Newman, L. Sorenson, and B.W. Bowen. 2011. High connectivity in the deepwater snapper Pristipomoides filamentosus (Lutjanidae) across the Indo-Pacific with isolation of the Hawaiian Archipelago. Plos ONE 6:e28913. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0028913. Available at: http://www.plosone.org/article/info%3Adoi/10.1371/journal.pone.0028913

Grimes, C.B. 1987. Reproductive biology of Lutjanidae: a review pp. 239-94. In: J.J. Polovina, and S. Ralston (eds) Tropical snappers and groupers: biology and fisheries management. Boulder, CO.

Heupel, M.R., A.J. Williams, D.J. Welch, C.R. Davies, A. Penny, et al. 2009. Demographic characteristics of exploited tropical lutjanids: a comparative analysis. Fishery Bulletin 108: 420-431. Available at: http://fishbull.noaa.gov/1084/heupel.pdf

Hospital, J. and C. Beavers. 2011. Management of the main Hawaiian Islands bottomfish fishery: fishers' attitudes, perceptions, and comments. Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center Administrative Report H-11-06. Available at: http://www.pifsc.noaa.gov/adminrpts/2000-present/PIFSC\_Admin\_Rep\_11-06.pdf

Kikkawa, B.S. 1983. Maturation, spawning and fecundity of opakapaka, Pristipomoides filamentosus, in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands, pp. 226-32. In: R.W. Grigg and K.Y. Tanoue (eds) Proceedings of the second symposium on resource investigations in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands: May 25-27, 1983. University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI. ANYHOW-SEAGRANT-MR-84-01.

Langseth, B., J. Syslo, A. Yau, M. Kapur, and J. Brodziak. 2018. Stock Assessment for the Main Hawaiian Islands Deep 7 Bottomfish Complex in 2018, with Catch Projections Through 2022. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-PIFSC-69, 217 p.

List of Fisheries (LOF). 2013. List of fisheries for 2013. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 08/29/2013. Available at: https://www.federalregister.gov/articles/2013/08/29/2013-21054/list-of-fisheries-for-2013

Lowry, L. and A. Aguilar. 2008. Monachus schauinslandi. In: IUCN 2013. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2013.1. Available at: http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/13654/0

Manooch, C.S. 1987. Age and growth of snappers and groupers, p. 329-373. In: J.J. Polovina and s. Ralston (eds) Tropical snappers and groupers: biology and fisheries management. Ocean Resource Marine Policy Series, Westview Press, Inc. Boulder, CO.

Meyer, C.G., Y.P. Papastamatiou, and K.N. Holland. 2007. Seasonal, diel, and tidal movements of green jobfish (Aprion virescens, Lutjanidae) at remote Hawaiian atolls: implications for marine protected area design. Marine Biology. Marine Biology. 151: 2133-2143.

Moffitt, R.B., D.R. Kobayashi, and G.T. DiNardo. 2006. Status of the Hawaiian bottomfish stocks, 2004. Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center Administrative Report H-06-01. Available at: http://www.pifsc.noaa.gov/adminrpts/2000-present/PIFSC\_Admin\_Rep\_06-01.pdf

Moore C.H., J.C. Drazen, C.D. Kelley, and WFXE Misa. 2013. Deepwater marine protected areas of the main Hawaiian Islands: establishing baselines for commercially valuable bottomfish populations. Marine Ecology Progress Series 476: 167-183.

Morgan, L.E. and R. Chuenpagdee. 2003. Shifting gears: addressing the collateral impacts of fishing methods in US waters. Pew Science Series. Island Press Publication Series, Washington, DC. 42 pp. Available at: http://mcbi.marine-conservation.org/publications/pub\_pdfs/ShiftingGears.pdf

Nadon MO, Sculley M, Carvalho F. 2020. Stock assessment of uku (Aprion virescens) in Hawaii, 2020. U.S. Dept. of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NOAA-TM-NMFS-PIFSC-100, 120 p. doi:10.25923/57nb-8138

Nichols, R.S. and E.E. DeMartini. 2008. Preliminary estimates of age and growth for the endemic Hawaiian gruper (Hapu'upu'u, Epinephelus quernus, F. Serranidae). Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Administrative Report NMFS-PIFSC H-92-13. 12 pp. Available at: http://www.pifsc.noaa.gov/library/pubs/admin/PIFSC\_Admin\_Rep\_08-06.pdf

National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 2002. Endangered Species Act Section 7 consultation on the fishery management plan for bottomfish and seamount groudfish fisheries in the western Pacific Region. NMFS Office of Protected Resources, Silver Spring, MD. 68 pp. Available at: http://docs.lib.noaa.gov/noaa\_documents/NOAA\_related\_docs/Western\_Pacific\_Fishery\_Management\_Council/D 25-03.pdf

NMFS. 2013a. Annual trade data by product through US Customs districts. NOAA Office of Science and Technology. Available at: http://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/commercial-fisheries/foreign-trade/applications/annual-trade-through-specific-us-customs-districts

NMFS. 2013b. Status of U.S. Fisheries Third Quarter FFSI and non FFSI stocks. Available online http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/sfa/statusoffisheries/SOSmain.htm

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). 2011. Main Hawaiian Islands bottomfish fishing. Pacific Islands Regional Office Compliance guide. Available at: http://www.hawaiibottomfish.info/docs/2011/Compliance%20Guide%20HI%20Bottomfish%20(rev.%202011-07-15).pdf

NOAA. 2013. US Pacific marine mammal stock assessments:2012. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS. NOAA-TM-NMFS0SWFSC-504

NOAA. 2014. Recreational Fisheries Statistics Queries. NOAA Office of Science and Technology. Accessed on 3/18/2014. Available at: http://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/recreational-fisheries/access-data/run-a-data-query/queries/index

Parke, M. 2007. Linking Hawaii fisherman reported commercial bottomfish catch data to potential bottomfish habitat and proposed restricted fishing areas using GIS and spatial analysis. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-PIFSC-11. Available at: http://www.pifsc.noaa.gov/tech/NOAA\_Tech\_Memo\_PIFSC\_11.pdf

PIFSC. 2013. Hawaii reported landings table (1948-2012). Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service. Available at: http://www.pifsc.noaa.gov/wpacfin/hi/dar/Pages/hi\_data\_3.php Randall, J.E. 2007. Reef and shore fishes of the Hawaiian Islands. Sea Grant College Program, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii. 546pp.

Toonen, R.J., Andrews, K.R., Baums, I.B., Bird, C.E. Concepcion, G.T. et al. 2011. Defining boundaries for ecosystem-based management: a multispecies case study of marine connectivity across the Hawaiian archipelago. Journal of Marine Biology doi:10.1155/2011/460173.

Western Pacific Regional Fishery Management Council (WPRFMC). 2006. Draft supplemental environmental impact statement, Bottomfish and Seamount Groundfish Fisheries of the Western Pacific region, measures to end bottomfish overfishing in the Hawaiian Archipelago. Western Pacific Regional Fishery Management Council, Honolulu, HI. 300 pp. Available at: http://www.wpcouncil.org/hawaii/bottomfish.htm

WPRFMC. 2009. Fishery ecosystem plan for the Hawaii Archipelago. Western Pacific Fishery Management Council, Honolulu, HI. Available at: http://www.wpcouncil.org/fep/WPRFMC%20Hawaii%20FEP%20(2009-09-21).pdf

WPRFMC. 2010. US western Pacific fisheries-past, present and future. Honolulu, HI. 2 pp. Available at: http://www.wpcouncil.org/documents/WPRFMCDocument/4.4-BottomfishandSeamountFisheries.pdf

WPRFMC. 2011. Navigating the Western Pacific Council process (third ed). Western Pacific Regional Fishery Management Council, Honolulu, HI. Available at: http://issuu.com/wpcouncil/docs/council\_process\_booklet\_v4? e=7174896/2096484#search

# **Appendix A: Extra By Catch Species**

## **GREEN JOBFISH**

## Factor 2.1 - Inherent Vulnerability

## HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

## High

Fishbase has assigned a hig' vulnerability to fishing score of 61 out of 100 for Hawaiian gray snapper (Froese and Pauly 2013). Hawaiian gray snapper (*Aprion virescens*) reach sexual maturity between 42 and 50 cm in length and 4-5 years in age. They reach a maximum size of just over 100 cm. The maximum age of gray snapper in the Central Pacific is unknown, but individuals up to 16 years old have been observed in Australia (Heupel et al. 2009). They are a broadcast spawners. Within the food chain, they are an important top-level predator species (Froese and Pauly 2013). Although these life history characteristics suggest Hawaiian gray snapper may only have a medium vulnerability to fishing, there are other indications that they may indeed be highly vulnerable to fishing, e.g., they form spawning aggregations and show site fidelity (Meyer et al. 2007). We have thus rated their vulnerability as 'high' based on the Fishbase score.

## Factor 2.2 - Abundance

## HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

## Low Concern

Hawaiian gray snapper (uku) are part of the Hawaii Bottomfish Management Unit, which includes the Deep 7 species, as well as other shallow water species of groupers, snappers, and jacks. The most recent stock assessment for uku indicated the stock was not overfished relative to the reference point of biomass at the Minimum Stock Size Threshold ( $B_{MSST}$ ) in 2018 (Nadon et al. 2020). Because the current abundance level of gray snapper is above the reference point, but a target reference point was not developed, we have awarded a 'low concern' score.

## Factor 2.3 - Fishing Mortality

## HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

## **Low Concern**

According to the most recent stock assessment, fishing mortality for gray snapper (Uku) was below  $F_{MSY}$  in 2018 (Nadon et al. 2020). Therefore, overfishing is not occurring for Uku. Gray snapper are the most common bycatch species in this fishery and the majority are retained. There is concern that catches are underreported (WPRFMC 2009). However, a large portion of the gray snapper catches may come from a targeted gray snapper fishery and not the Deep 7 fishery. In 2012, 116,400 lbs of gray snapper were caught across all Hawaii fisheries, while only 17,200 lbs of gray snapper were reported caught in the Deep 7 fishery (PIFSC 2013). As well, it has been noted that some of these catches may be incorrectly attributed to the Deep 7 fishery and actually caught in the targeted gray snapper fishery. Because overfishing is not occurring, we have rated this factor 'low concern'.

## Factor 2.4 - Discard Rate

## HAWAII/EASTERN CENTRAL PACIFIC

Handlines And Hand-Operated Pole-And-Lines | United States Of America

#### < **20**%

Prior to the closure of the bottomfish fishery in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands, bycatch was recorded through self-reported fishermen logbook data and on-board scientific observer data. Logbook data indicated a discard rate (discarded catch/retained catch) of around 14%, while the observer data indicated a higher discard rate of 34%. The higher discard rates from the observer program may indicate that fishermen do not report all discards in the logbooks (WPRFMC 2009). However, bycatch species and amounts may be different in the Main Hawaiian Islands bottomfish fishery. In the Main Hawaiian Islands fishery, more of the catch is thought to be retained and utilized. More recent data on fishermen reported catches and discards specific to the Deep 7 bottomfish fishery in the Main Hawaiian Islands, indicates a total discard rate (discards/retained catches) of 1-4% from 2002-2012. The discard rate for target species ranged from less than 1% to 3% and for bycatch species from 6-20% (DLNR 2013b). The mostly commonly discarded species in this fishery is greater amberjack (*Seriola dumeril*) due to concerns of ciguatera poisoning (DLNR 2013b). Although, catches and discards are thought to be underreported by fishermen, the discard rate is likely still <20%.

## Appendix B: Updates to Main Hawaiian Islands Deep 7 Bottomfish Multi-species Complex Report

Updates to the April 4, 2014 Main Hawaiian Islands Deep 7 Bottomfish Multi-species Complex report were made on August 5, 2020:

Overall recommendations for the Pink Snapper (Opakapaka) handline fishery in Hawaiian Islands upgraded to "Best Choice." This change was due to new information on status of this stock as well as Hawaiian grouper (Hapu?upu?u).

Updates to the report included:

- C1: Upgraded from 'High' to 'Moderate' concern (C1.2) for the Hawaiian grouper fishery due to an updated stock assessment showing stock abundance and fishing mortality for the Deep 7 complex was at sustainable levels, and Hawaiian grouper was upgraded from 'near threatened' to 'least concern' by IUCN in a recent assessment. Upgraded from 'Moderate' to 'Low' concern (C1.2 and 1.3) for pink snapper fishery due to information in the most recent stock assessment specific to this species that indicated abundance and fishing morality were at sustainable levels.
- C2: Upgraded from 'High' to 'Moderate' concern (C1.2) for all fisheries due to upgrades described for C1 above (as each fishery catches all Deep 7 complex species) as well as the new stock assessment for grey snapper (Uku) that indicated the stock was not overfished and overfishing was not occurring.

# Appendix C: Review Schedule

An updated assessment of the Deep 7 is slated for summer 2014.