H. Edward Hanway Chairman and Chief Executive Officer



Two Liberty Place 1601 Chestnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19192

March 26, 2009

Elizabeth M. Murphy Secretary U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F. Street, NE Washington, DC 20549-1090

SUBJECT: Proposed Rule Change to NYSE Rule 452, File No. SR-NYSE-2006-92

Dear Ms. Murphy:

On behalf of CIGNA Corporation ("CIGNA"), I am writing to comment on the proposal by the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") to amend NYSE Rule 452 to eliminate broker discretionary voting in the election of directors.

Broker discretionary voting is just one issue of many in the integrated and overly complicated proxy voting and shareholder communication system that requires attention. Thus, we believe that the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") should not take action on the proposed changes to Rule 452 without at the same time conducting a thorough review of these other issues. In this regard, we note that the proposed changes are based on one of the recommendations of the NYSE Proxy Working Group, but do not address the other recommendations of the Working Group. We note that the Business Roundtable has been asking the SEC to re-examine the current proxy voting and communications system ever since it submitted a rulemaking petition to the SEC in April 2004 concerning shareholder communications. These issues also were the subject of a SEC Roundtable in May 2007, but no further action was taken until the recent abrupt publication of the proposed amendments to NYSE Rule 452.

Moreover, amending Rule 452 to eliminate broker discretionary voting in the uncontested election of directors could result in significant consequences to shareholders and issuers that we do not believe have been adequately addressed. In particular, we have the following concerns regarding the proposed changes to Rule 452:

 Eliminating broker discretionary voting in uncontested director elections runs the risk of disenfranchising shareholders as it may be counter to their assumptions about broker voting, as demonstrated by the survey conducted on behalf of the NYSE Proxy Working Group and appended to the NYSE rule filing. Specifically, many shareholders believe that if they do not provide voting instructions, their brokers will vote on their behalf. Without a corresponding widespread educational effort, as recommended by the Working Group, eliminating broker discretionary voting in uncontested director elections would disenfranchise those retail investors who may not be providing voting instructions because of their expectation that their brokers will vote for them.

- 2. The proposed amendment would likely increase the cost of uncontested director elections by requiring issuers to substantially increase communications with their shareholders about the importance of voting in director elections. In this regard, the current shareholder communication rules, which preclude direct communication between issuers and many of their shareholders, present a significant obstacle to efficient communication. Accordingly, CIGNA believes that any amendment to Rule 452 should be considered in conjunction with a broader reexamination by the SEC of its rules regarding shareholder communications.
- 3. The interaction of the amendment to Rule 452 with a majority vote standard in uncontested director elections, which many companies including CIGNA have adopted, is likely to raise substantial questions. Thus, before adopting the amendment to Rule 452, it is critical to understand what impact the proposed rule changes would have on future director elections, particularly at companies that have adopted majority voting.
- 4. The voting recommendations of proxy advisory firms would have a far greater influence on the outcome of director elections. This is a particular concern now given that proxy advisory firms are increasingly issuing withhold or against vote recommendations for companies' director nominees based on discrete issues, such as the payment of dividends or dividend equivalents on unvested performance awards.
- The loss of the broker discretionary vote in uncontested director elections could result in quorum problems at those companies that do not have at least one routine item on their ballot.

For these reasons, CIGNA urges the SEC to undertake a comprehensive review of the proxy voting and shareholder communication system and refrain from adopting piecemeal changes, such as the proposed amendments to Rule 452. Most significantly, the proposed amendment runs the risk of disenfranchising large numbers of individual shareholders. We urge the SEC to extend the comment period beyond March 27, 2009 in order to give interested parties an opportunity to comment, and to give itself sufficient time to address these important issues in a more comprehensive manner.

Sincerely.

H. Edward Hanway

of Educat Harriery

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of CIGNA Corporation