Mulilo Total Coega 200MW Gas-Fired Power-Plant

Plant Rescue and Protection Plan

Report Prepared for

Mulilo Renewable Project Developments

Report Number 569508/6



Report Prepared by



October 2023

Mulilo Total Coega 200MW Gas-Fired Power-Plant

Plant Rescue and Protection Plan

Report Prepared for

Mulilo Renewable Project Developments

Top Floor Golf Park 4 Raapenberg Rd Mowbray, South Africa 7700

SRK Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd.

254 Walmer Boulevard South End Gqeberha (Port Elizabeth), 6001

e-mail: gqeberha@srk.co.za website: www.srk.co.za

Tel: +27 (0) 41 509 4800 Fax:+27 (0) 41 509 4850

SRK Project Number 569508

October 2023

Compiled by:

Luc Strydom Cert Sci Nat, Reg. EAP (EAPASA) Senior Environmental Scientist

Nicola Rump, Reg. EAP (EAPASA) Principal Environmental Scientist

Email: <u>nrump@srk.co.za</u>

Authors:

Luc Strydom, Nicola Rump

Peer Reviewed by:

Rob Gardiner Pr Sci Nat, Reg. EAP (EAPASA) Principal Environmental Scientist

Table of Contents

| Disc | laimer | | iii |
|------|--|--|--|
| List | of Abbr | eviations | iii |
| Pro | ject Ir | ntroduction | 4 |
| 1.1 | Locati | on and Scope | 4 |
| 1.2 | Legal | Requirements | 5 |
| Bas | eline | Environmental Description | 5 |
| 2.1 | Deskt | op Investigation | 5 |
| 2.2 | Site Ir | vestigation | 6 |
| 2.3 | Specie | es of Conservation Concern | 8 |
| | 2.3.1 | IUCN Red List species (IUCN & CITES) | 9 |
| | 2.3.2 | Cape Nature and Environment Conservation Ordinance (NECO) | 9 |
| | 2.3.3 | Nationally protected species | 10 |
| Pla | nt Res | scue plan | 12 |
| 3.1 | Princi | oles of Plant Rescue | 12 |
| 3.2 | Reloc | ation areas | 12 |
| 3.3 | Plant | Rescue plan | 13 |
| Мо | nitorir | Ŋġ | 14 |
| 4.1 | SCC F | Rescue indicators and targets | 14 |
| Cor | nclusi | on | 15 |
| Ref | erenc | es | 16 |
| | Disc List (Pro 1.1 1.2 Bas 2.1 2.2 2.3 Plat 3.1 3.2 3.3 Moi 4.1 Cor Ref | Disclaimer List of Abbro Project Ir 1.1 Locati 1.2 Legal Baseline 2.1 Deskt 2.2 Site Ir 2.3 Specie 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 Plant Res 3.1 Princip 3.2 Reloca 3.3 Plant Monitorir 4.1 SCC F | Disclaimer. List of Abbreviations Project Introduction 1.1 Location and Scope 1.2 Legal Requirements Baseline Environmental Description 2.1 Desktop Investigation 2.2 Site Investigation 2.3 Species of Conservation Concern 2.3.1 IUCN Red List species (IUCN & CITES) 2.3.2 Cape Nature and Environment Conservation Ordinance (NECO) 2.3.3 Nationally protected species Plant Rescue plan 3.1 Principles of Plant Rescue 3.2 Relocation areas 3.3 Plant Rescue plan 4.1 SCC Rescue indicators and targets Conclusion References |

List of Tables

| Table 2-1: List of SCC and protected plants recorded | 11 |
|---|----|
| Table 3-1: Plant Search and Rescue Action Plan | 14 |
| Table 4-1: Search and Rescue success indicators and targets | 15 |

List of Figures

| Figure 1-1: Mulilo Total Coega Gas-Fired Power Plant approved location | 5 |
|--|----|
| Figure 2-1 Historical Vegetation Types (Mucina, Rutherford & Powrie, 2018) | 6 |
| Figure 2-2: Refined Vegetation Layers (SRK, 2022) | 8 |
| Figure 3-1: Potential Relocation Areas (SRK, 2022) | 13 |

Disclaimer

The opinions expressed in this Report have been based on the information supplied to SRK Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd. (SRK) by other parties. SRK has exercised all due care in reviewing the supplied information. Whilst SRK has compared key supplied data with expected values, the accuracy of the results and conclusions from the review are entirely reliant on the accuracy and completeness of the supplied data. SRK does not accept responsibility for any errors or omissions in the supplied information and does not accept any consequential liability arising from commercial decisions or actions resulting from them. Opinions presented in this report apply to the site conditions and features as they existed at the time of SRK's investigations, and those reasonably foreseeable. These opinions do not necessarily apply to conditions and features that may arise after the date of this Report, about which SRK had no prior knowledge nor had the opportunity to evaluate.

List of Abbreviations

| AIS | Alien Invasive Species |
|---------|--|
| CARA | Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act (Act No. 43 of 1983) |
| CDC | Coega Development Corporation |
| DFFE | Department of Fisheries, Forestry and the Environment |
| DWAF | Department of Water Affairs and Forestry |
| DWS | Department of Water and Sanitation |
| EA | Environmental Authorisation |
| EAPASA | Environmental Assessment Practitioners Association of South Africa |
| EIA | Environmental Impact Assessment |
| EMPr | Environmental Management Programme |
| GIS | Geographical Information Systems |
| GPS | Global Positioning System |
| LNG | Liquid Natural Gas |
| MTC | Mulilo Total Coega |
| MW | Mega Watt |
| NPAIS | National Invasive Alien Plant Survey |
| NEMBA | National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (NEMBA) (Act 10 of 2004) |
| RMIPPPP | Risk Mitigation Independent Power Producer Procurement Programme |
| SANBI | South African National Biodiversity Institute |
| SCC | Species of Conservation Concern |

1 Project Introduction

Mulilo Total Coega (MTC) has been awarded preferred bidder status in terms of the RMIPPPP for the development of a 200 MW power plant with on-site LNG storage and regasification. This will allow for operation using LNG within the timeframes required by the bidding process, in the absence of piped gas supply to the site. The CDC has assigned MTC an approximately 6 ha site in the north eastern corner of the overall 23.8 ha Zone 13 1000 MW power plant site for their project, as shown on Figure 1-1. The proposed project will comprise 11 x Wartsila 18V50SG reciprocating engines (or similar) fuelled by natural gas, each unit producing approximately 18.3 MW of electrical power, totalling a combined installed capacity of approximately 200 MW. The 200 MW Phase 1 power plant (Mulilo Total Coega) site will be fenced off and will be developed and operated independently of the Phase 2, 800 MW project, should this project also receive environmental authorisation. As required in the DFFE Environmental Authorisation (EA) for the Phase 1 MTC power plant, the EMPr is to be revised to include various management plans, including the requirement of a Plant Rescue and Protection Plan.

This Plant Rescue and Protection Plan forms part of the Mulilo Total Coega Gas Fired Power Plant EMPr (SRK, 2022) and must be read in conjunction with the EMPr and applied as required.

The main objectives of rescuing plant Species of Conservation Concern (SCC), in conjunction with rehabilitation and revegetation of the project area (refer to Revegetation and Habitat Rehabilitation Plan, SRK Report # 569508/5) are:

- Preventing the loss of species either directly or through increased vulnerability to future extinction and minimising impacts of construction on population dynamics of species of conservation concern;
- Preserving the natural configuration of habitats as part of ecosystems, thus ensuring a diverse but stable hydrology, substrate and general environment for species to be able to become established and persist;
- Preserving or re-creating the structural integrity of natural plant communities
- Actively aid the improvement of indigenous biodiversity according to a desirable end state based on a previously recorded reference state. This reference state, if healthy, will be dynamic and able to recover after occasional disturbances without returning to a degraded state; and
- Improving the ecosystem function of natural landscapes and their associated vegetation.

The purpose of this Plant Rescue and Protection Plan is to provide an overview of the vegetation types, habitats and species present within the project site and the conservation status thereof. Areas and species of particular conservation concern have been highlighted and special management measures have been recommended which are applicable to these areas/species. Additionally, the plan outlines search and rescue methodologies applicable to the various plant types and habitats.

1.1 Location and Scope

The approved site for the power plant is located within Zone 13 of the Coega SEZ, Gqeberha (Port Elizabeth), Eastern Cape, South Africa. The site is located south-east of the Dedisa Power Plant and approximately 1.2 km east of the Coega River. The site is approximately 6 ha in size.



Figure 1-1: Mulilo Total Coega Gas-Fired Power Plant approved location

1.2 Legal Requirements

The following legislation is applicable to the protection of plant species of concern:

- National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act 10 of 2004), including Threatened or Protected Species Regulations;
- National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998);
- National Forests Act (Act 30 of 1998);
- Nature and Environmental Conservation Ordinance (No. 19 of 1974)

2 **Baseline Environmental Description**

A desktop investigation and site survey were conducted to identify potential Species of Conservation Concern (SCC) on the site which may need protection and/or relocation. The findings are presented below.

2.1 Desktop Investigation

According to the latest Vegetation Map of South Africa (Mucina, Rutherford, & Powrie, 2006-2018) the site is delineated as historically falling within <u>Grassridge Bontveld Thicket</u> (AT39) (Figure 2-1). This vegetation type occurs on lime-rich shallow clays on moderately undulating plains and consists of a mosaic of low thicket (2-3m) encompassing bush clumps and grassy dwarf-shrubland. Within the grassy-shrubland there are fynbos, karroid and grassland elements, with *Themeda triandra* often dominant.

According to SANBI BGIS 2018 National Vegetation Map (as accessed on 22 April 2022) the conservation status of *Grassridge Bontveld Thicket* (AT39) is listed as <u>Least Concern</u> with a <u>Moderate</u> protection level.

Important endemic species naturally occurring in Grassridge Bontveld Thicket include Sideroxylon inerme (LC), Aloe africana (LC) Crassula ericoides (LC), Euphorbia globosa (EN), Rhombophyllum rhomboideum (EN), Berkheya heterophylla (LC), Acmadenia obtusata (LC), Blepharis procumbens (LC), Wahlenbergia tenella (LC), Euryops ericifolius (EN), Achyranthemum recurvatum (EN), Zygophyllum divaricatum (EN), Ruschia congesta (LC), Crassula calcarea (not assessed) Trichodiadema intonsum (LC) and Ficinia truncata (LC).



Figure 2-1 Historical Vegetation Types (Mucina, Rutherford & Powrie, 2018)

2.2 Site Investigation

A site survey was conducted on 12 April 2022. The vegetation on-site is largely intact with minor grazing impacts and disturbance from recent geotechnical investigations. A total of 37 species requiring relocation were recorded on the site, including eight species of conservation concern.

During the site survey it was established that two separate vegetation types are present on the site (Figure 2-2), and the species and soils present within the northern half of the site are more closely associated with <u>Motherwell Karroid Thicket</u> (AT44), being dominated by dense stands of *Pteronia incana*, with thicket bush clumps and open grassy-succulent patches dominated by *Eustachys paspaloides* (refer to Photo 1).

Motherwell Karroid Thicket (AT44) is described as occurring on undulating plains just above the floodplains of the local rivers on deep, loamy-clay soils and consists of a mosaic of low thicket consisting of small bush clumps in a matrix of succulent rich karroid shrubland, with the open areas between the bush clumps sometimes becoming dominated by *Themeda triandra* and *Pteronia incana* (Mucina, Rutherford, & Powrie, 2006-2018). According to SANBI BGIS 2018 National Vegetation Map (as accessed on 22 April 2022) the conservation status of *Motherwell Karroid Thicket* (AT44) is listed as <u>*Critically Endangered*</u> with no protection level.

Important endemic species naturally occurring in Motherwell Karroid Thicket include *Sideroxylon inerme* (LC), *Pappea capensis* (LC), *Cussonia spicata* (LC) *Crassula ericoides* (LC), *Euphorbia meloformis* (NT), *Euphorbia stellata* (LC), *Pachypodium bispinosum* (LC). *Pachypodium succulentum* (NT), *Ruschia uncinata* (LC), *Bergeranthus addoensis* (NT), *Trichodiadema intonsum* (LC), *Anginon rugosum* (LC) *Lampranthus algoensis* (LC), *Delosperma hollandii* (CE), *Aloe bowiea* (EN), *Brunsvigia gregaria* (LC), *Pelargonium pulverulentum* (LC), *Indigofera denudata* (LC), *Pelargonium reniforme* (NT) and *Searsia longispina* (LC).



Photo 1: Typical Motherwell Karroid Thicket vegetation and soils

The southern section of the site contains typical *Grassridge Bontveld Thicket* (AT39) species and soils, with a shallow calcrete layer and dominated by sclerophyllous herbaceous Asteraceae species such as *Berkheya heterophylla*, *Euryops ericifolius, Jamesbrittenia microphylla* and grasses such as *Chloris virgata* and *Eustachys paspaloides* with a succulent mix dominating the calcrete outcrops. The northern *Motherwell Karroid Thicket* section is additionally dotted with small calcrete outcroppings on which typical *Grassridge Bontveld Thicket* species are present (Photo 2). Refer to Appendix A for full list of species observed on site during the site survey.



Photo 2: Typical Grassridge Bontveld Thicket calcrete outcrop



Figure 2-2: Refined Vegetation Layers (SRK, 2022)

2.3 Species of Conservation Concern

Species of Conservation Concern (SCC) are species that have a high conservation importance in terms of preserving South Africa's floristic diversity and include not only threatened species, but also

those classified in the categories Extinct in the Wild (EW), Regionally Extinct (RE), Near Threatened (NT), Critically Rare, Rare, Declining and Data Deficient - Insufficient Information (DDD). A list of SCC recorded on site during the site survey was compiled according to international, national and provincial regulations (detailed below) and is included in Table 2-1.

2.3.1 IUCN Red List species (IUCN & CITES)

South Africa uses the internationally endorsed IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria in the Red List of South African plants. This scientific system is designed to measure species' risk of extinction, and it serves as a crucial tool in assessing the conservation status of various plant species. Among the plant species evaluated, one notable inclusion is *Rhombophyllum rhomboideum* (Photo 3), which has been classified as "Endangered (EN)" according to the IUCN Red List. This designation signifies that *Rhombophyllum rhomboideum* faces a high risk of extinction in the wild. The primary purpose of employing the IUCN Red List system is to identify and bring attention to those species, like *Rhombophyllum rhomboideum*, that are most urgently in need of conservation action, thus aiding in the implementation of targeted and effective conservation measures for their protection and preservation.

Additionally, Schedule 82 included in the draft list of Threatened or Protected Species (TOPS) issued in terms of Section 56(1) of NEMBA includes all South African species listed in Appendices I, II & III of CITES (Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora).



Photo 3: Rhombophyllum rhomboideum (Red List Status: Endangered (EN))

2.3.2 Cape Nature and Environment Conservation Ordinance (NECO)

The Cape Nature and Environment Conservation Ordinance (NECO) (No. 19 of 1974) lists provincially protected flora under Schedule 4, which require permits for removal and/or relocation. Among the species receiving this protective status is *Huernia thuretii* (Photo 4), a member of the Asclepiadaceae family. It has been classified as "Least Concern (LC)" based on its conservation status. This designation indicates that *Huernia thuretii* is not currently facing significant threats to its survival. Being protected under Schedule 4 of NECO highlights the importance of preserving this species and underscores the need for permits to ensure its sustainable management and conservation within the region. This legal protection serves as a mechanism to safeguard *Huernia thuretii* and other flora from

potential threats posed by removal or relocation activities, contributing to the broader efforts of environmental preservation and biodiversity conservation.



Photo 4: *Huernia thuretii* (LC) protected under Schedule 4 of NECO (*all species of Asclepiadaceae*)

2.3.3 Nationally protected species

These are species listed in the Appendices of the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act 10 of 2004, as updated in R. 1187, 14 December 2007) (TOPS), as well as species protected according to the National Forests Act (Act 30 of 1998). *Euphorbia meloformis* (Photo 5) is listed as "NT," indicating its conservation status as "Near Threatened." In addition to this designation, the species is also listed under CITES (Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora) and TOPS (Threatened or Protected Species) Appendices. These designations reflect the international recognition of the species' need for protection and conservation due to its vulnerable status.

Euphorbia meloformis is also protected under the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act 10 of 2004, as updated in R. 1187, 14 December 2007) through TOPS listing. This act is aimed at safeguarding biodiversity and promoting sustainable management of species. Additionally, the National Forests Act (Act 30 of 1998) extends its protection to *Euphorbia meloformis*, further emphasizing the significance of conserving this species within the context of forest ecosystems.



Photo 5: Euphorbia meloformis (NT), CITES & TOPS listed species

| Table 2-1: List of SCC and | protected plants recorded |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|
|----------------------------|---------------------------|

| FAMILY | SPECIES | ТҮРЕ | THREATENED STATUS | PROTECTION STATUS ¹ | VEG TYPE |
|----------------|---|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| AIZOACEAE | Bergeranthus addoensis | Succulent | VU | IUCN, NECO | AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Delosperma brunnthaleri | Succulent | DDD | IUCN, NECO | AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Delosperma uitenhagense | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT39 |
| AIZOACEAE | Drosanthemum floribundum | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Glottiphyllum longum | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Lampranthus algoensis | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Mesembryanthemum aitonis | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT39, AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Mesembryanthemum haekelianum | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Mesembryanthemum splendens subsp. splendens | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Ruschia depressa | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Ruschia orientalis | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT39, AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Ruschia recurva | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT39 |
| AIZOACEAE | Ruschia cymbifolia | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Ruschia congesta | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT39 |
| AIZOACEAE | Trichodiadema intonsum | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT39, AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Rhombophyllum rhomboideum | Succulent | EN | IUCN, NECO | AT39 |
| AIZOACEAE | Trichodiadema orientale | Succulent | DDD | IUCN, NECO | AT44 |
| AMARYLLIDACEAE | Boophone disticha | Geophytes | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| AMARYLLIDACEAE | Brunsvigia gregaria | Geophytes | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| AMARYLLIDACEAE | Strumaria gemmata | Geophytes | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| APOCHYNACEAE | Cynanchum viminale | Geophytes | LC | NECO | AT39 |
| APOCHYNACEAE | Duvalia caespitosum | Geophytes | LC | NECO | AT39 |
| APOCHYNACEAE | Fockea edulis | Geophytes | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| APOCHYNACEAE | Pachypodium bispinosa | Geophytes | LC | NECO, CITES | AT44 |
| APOCHYNACEAE | Pachypodium succulentum | Geophytes | LC | NECO, CITES | AT44 |
| APOCHYNACEAE | Carissa haematocarpa | Shrub | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| APOCHYNACEAE | Huernia thurettii | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| ASPHODELACEAE | Aloe striata | Shrub | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| ASPHODELACEAE | Aloe africana | Tree | LC | NECO | AT39 |
| ASTERACEAE | Euryops ericifolius | Herb | EN | IUCN | AT39 |
| EUPHORBIACEAE | Euphorbia meloformis | Succulent | NT | IUCN, TOPS, NECO, CITES | AT44 |
| EUPHORBIACEAE | Euphorbia inermis | Geophytes | LC | CITES | AT44 |
| EUPHORBIACEAE | Euphorbia caerulescens | Succulent | LC | CITES | AT44 |

¹ IUCN = International Union for the Conservation of Nature

NECO = Cape Nature and Environment Conservation Ordinance (No.19 of 1974)

TOPS = NEM:BA Threatened or Protected Species

| EUPHORBIACEAE | Euphorbia rhombifolia | Succulent | LC | CITES | AT44 |
|---------------|------------------------|-----------|----|------------|------|
| EUPHORBIACEAE | Euphorbia stellata | Succulent | LC | CITES | AT44 |
| EUPHORBIACEAE | Euphorbia triangularis | Tree | LC | CITES | AT44 |
| GERANIACEAE | Pelargonium reniforme | Geophytes | VU | IUCN, TOPS | AT44 |
| IRIDACEAE | Freesia corymbosa | Geophytes | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| IRIDACEAE | Tritonia laxifolia | Geophytes | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| SANTALACEAE | Sideroxylon inerme | Tree | LC | NFA | AT39 |

3 Plant Rescue plan

3.1 Principles of Plant Rescue

Plant rescue should be considered as a last resort to conserve individual plants, when authorization for development has been obtained and construction is imminent. The ecosystem within the footprint of the development, with all its species diversity, genetic variation, and ecological interrelationships will be lost due to clearing and the objective is to salvage some individuals prior to the destruction.

Some considerations are as follows:

- In situ conservation is preferable to ex situ conservation. Removing a population from its natural habitat and placing it under artificial conditions results in the erosion of the inherent genetic diversity and characteristics of that species;
- To ensure the persistence of a population, it is imperative that the ecological processes maintaining that population persist. This requires that natural habitats are maintained in an ecologically functional condition;
- Success entails not only survival of the translocated individuals but also establishment of a selfsustaining, viable population able to reproduce and adapt to changing environmental conditions;
- Relocation of rescued plants to undisturbed habitats falsifies the local history of natural dispersal and alters the natural species composition of the target site;
- Re-planting into the wild must cause as little disturbance and harm as possible to existing natural ecosystems;
- Rescue must be limited to only those areas where plants will be destroyed by the development. No plants should be removed from areas that will otherwise not be disturbed;
- Rescue should not be undertaken from any site where there is a significant risk that well established invasive alien plants or other pests will be spread by the relocation of native plants.

3.2 Relocation areas

The following considerations must be applied when selecting recipient areas for relocation of plants. The area should be:

- relatively close to the development site (<5 km);
- the same vegetation, geology and soil type as the development site footprint;
- a relatively flat or slightly sloping area; and
- preferably located in historically disturbed sections within conservation areas.

Potential relocation areas within a 5 km radius of the MTC development site have been identified using the NMBM Bioregional Plan CBA network and SAVEG Map 2018 and are highlighted in Figure 3-1.



Figure 3-1: Potential Relocation Areas (SRK, 2022)

It is important that plants rescued from sections on the site within the *Motherwell Karroid Thicket* (AT44) are relocated to previously disturbed sections within the areas of *Motherwell Karroid Thicket* highlighted in Figure 3-1. The same principle applies for those rescued from sections of *Grassridge Bontveld Thicket* (AT39).

Records, including the species, location, photographs, number translocated are to be logged and included in a final translocation report to be submitted to the ECO and DEDEAT upon completion of translocation activities.

3.3 Plant Rescue plan

The plan must make provision for the rehabilitation of areas of the site that fall outside the development footprint and the long-term maintenance thereof.

This section provides details on the actions that are required to rescue any TOPS and/or listed plant species from the path of development and what steps are to be taken to house them temporarily and then to place them back into suitable habitats.

Before construction commences (including site establishment) the actions described in Table 3-1 must be undertaken.

Table 3-1: Plant Search and Rescue Action Plan

| Action | 1 | Responsible Person |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Permi Permit | <u>ts</u> s to collect, relocate and propagate plant material and to collect seed or | Developer / ECO |
| cutting of the | is for the contract must be obtained from DEDEAT prior to disturbance vegetation. | |
| <u>Dema</u> | rcate the construction area | Contractor / |
| The fo ground must b during | otprint of proposed development must be marked out prior to breaking d, to demarcate the area within which the search and rescue operation be undertaken, as well as the limits of the area to be cleared or disturbed construction. | Engineer / Developer |
| <u>Appoi</u> | nt horticulturalist | Developer |
| Appoir the re nurser | It an experienced horticulturalist or landscaping contractor to undertake scue operation, manage the rescued plant material and operate a y to house the rescued plants, if this is required. | |
| Searc | h and Rescue | Horticulturalist / |
| The ph | nysical search and rescue activity involves several steps: | Botanist / ECO / |
| I. | A botanist familiar with the vegetation of the area must accompany the horticulturalist on site at the onset of the search and rescue operation to familiarise them with the relevant SCC. | Developer |
| II. | Habitats that are currently disturbed/transformed and that are located within the highlighted areas in Figure 3-1 must be identified for use as relocation areas and approved by the ECO / botanist, as well as CDC. | |
| 111. | Rescued plants must be planted into a container to be housed within a temporary nursery on site or immediately planted into the target habitat. If planted into natural habitat, it must be protected from construction activities and monitored to ensure survival. | |
| IV. | The collecting of plants by unauthorized persons must be prevented. | |
| V. | Once the search and rescue activity has been completed, the site should preferably be opened to the public, including nurseries, medicinal healers, researchers, and the plant enthusiasts to be allowed to collect remaining plants before vegetation clearance commences. | |
| ECO A | Approval | ECO |
| ECO t rescue develo | o monitor that vegetation clearing only happens once all search and e operations have been completed, and within the approved pment footprint area only. | |

4 Monitoring

The following monitoring activities are recommended as part of the search and rescue plan:

- It is recommended that the ECO conduct spot checking during the search and rescue operation to confirm that the requirements of this plan are adequately adhered to, and no undue disturbance of SCC occurs; and
- Post-relocation monitoring of plants translocated during the search and rescue operation to evaluate whether the translocation activities were successful. This can be undertaken by the ECO and recorded in the monthly audit reports including photographic records of successfully or unsuccessfully transplanted individuals.

4.1 SCC Rescue indicators and targets

Recommended indicators and targets to evaluate the success of the search and rescue operation are detailed in Table 4-1.

| Indicators | Targets |
|--|---|
| Written and photographic records from all search and rescue operations | All species of conservation concern identified removed prior to clearing. |
| Survival rate of translocated plants | 50-80% (based on probable survival rate of thicket species) |

Table 4-1: Search and Rescue success indicators and targets

5 Conclusion

The site is located within an area historically classified as Grassridge Bontveld Thicket (AT39). According to SANBI BGIS 2018 National Vegetation Map the conservation status of Grassridge Bontveld Thicket (AT39) is listed as Least Concern with a Moderate protection level.

A site survey was conducted on 12 April 2022. The vegetation on-site is largely intact with minor grazing impacts and disturbance from past geotechnical investigations. A total of 37 species requiring relocation were recorded on the site, including eight species of conservation concern.

During the site survey it was established that two separate vegetation types are present on the site, and the species and soils present within the northern half of the site are more closely associated with Motherwell Karroid Thicket (AT44), being dominated by dense stands of *Pteronia incana*, with thicket bush clumps and open grassy-succulent patches dominated by *Eustachys paspaloides*

Prior to construction commencing the relevant permit from DEDEAT for translocation of SCC is required as well as confirmation from the ECO of adherence to the Search and Rescue Action plan detailed in Table 3-1.

Prepared by



Nicola Rump Reg. EAP (EAPASA) Principal Environmental Scientist Reviewed by

560508/6//5220/8 060-3105-9085 his signature has been is given pe nission forts ed in the SRK Signature Database

Rob Gardiner Reg. EAP (EAPASA) Pr Sci Nat Principal Environmental Scientist, Partner

All data used as source material plus the text, tables, figures, and attachments of this document have been reviewed and prepared in accordance with generally accepted professional engineering and environmental practices.

6 References

- Berliner, D., Desmet, P., & Hawley, G. (2019). *Eastern Cape Biodiversity Conservation Plan (Revised)*. Pretoria: South African Biodiversity Institute.
- DFFE. (2020). South African National Land Cover Change Assessment 1990-2020. Pretoria: Department of Fisheries, Forestry and the Environment.
- DWAF. (1999). Resource Directed Measures for Protection of Water Resources: Wetland Ecosystems. Appendix W5: IER (Floodplain Wetlands) Determining the Ecological Importance and Sensitivity (EIS) and Ecological Management Class (EMC). Version 1.0. Pretoria: Department of Water Affairs and Forestry.
- Hawley, G., Desmet, P., & Berliner, D. (2019). : ECBCP (2019) Eastern Cape Biodiversity Conservation Plan Handbook. King Williams Town: Department of Economic Development Environmental Affairs and Tourism.
- Johnson, M., Anhaeusser, C., & Thomas, R. (2006). *The Geolgoy of South Africa.* Pretoria: Geological Society of South Africa.
- le Roux, F. (2000). The Geology of the Port Elizabeth-Uitenhage Area. Council for Geoscience.
- Mucina, L., Rutherford, M., & Powrie, L. (2006-2018). *The Vegetation Map of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland.* Retrieved from SANBI BGIS: http://bgis.sanbi.org/Projects/Detail/186, Version 2018.
- National Environmental Management Act . (1998). Cape Town, South Africa.
- SAHRA. (2019). Methods for Plant Rescue and Habitat Rehabilitation. Retrieved from SAHRIS: https://sahris.sahra.org.za/sites/default/files/additionaldocs/Khai-Ma-Appendix%20F1%20-%20Plant%20Rescue%20and%20Protection%2C%20and%20Rehabilitation%20Plan.pdf
- SANBI. (2018). *National Land Cover.* Retrieved from SANBI Biodiversity GIS: http://bgis.sanbi.org/landcover/project.asp
- Vlok, J., & Euston-Brown, D. (2002). The Patterns Within, and the Ecological Processes that Sustain, the Subtropical Thicket Vegetation in the Planning Domain for the Subtropical Thicket Ecosystem Planning (STEP) Project. Terrestrial Ecology Research Unit, University of Port Elizabeth. Report No. 40.

Appendix A: List of species recorded during field study

| FAMILY | SPECIES | TYPE | THREATENED STATUS | PROTECTION STATUS | VEG TYPE |
|----------------|--|-----------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| ACANTHACEAE | Barleria pungens | Herb | LC | - | AT39 |
| ACANTHACEAE | Blepharis integriflia | Herb | LC | - | AT44 |
| ACANTHACEAE | Blepharis procumbens | Herb | LC | - | AT39 |
| ACANTHACEAE | Dyschoriste setiger | Herb | LC | - | AT39 |
| AGAVACEAE | Chlorophytum crispum | Herb | LC | - | AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Bergeranthus addoensis | Succulent | VU | IUCN, NECO | AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Delosperma brunnthaleri | Succulent | DDD | IUCN, NECO | AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Delosperma uitenhagense | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT39 |
| AIZOACEAE | Drosanthemum floribundum | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Glottiphyllum longum | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Lampranthus algoensis | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Mesembryanthemum aitonis | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT39, AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Mesembryanthemum haeckeliana | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Mesembryanthemum splendens subsp. splendens | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Ruschia depressa | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Ruschia orientalis | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT39, AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Ruschia recurva | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT39 |
| AIZOACEAE | Ruschia cymbifolia | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Ruschia congesta | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT39 |
| AIZOACEAE | Trichodiadema intonsum | Succulent | LC | NECO | AT39, AT44 |
| AIZOACEAE | Rhombophyllum rhomboideum | Succulent | EN | IUCN, NECO | AT39 |
| AIZOACEAE | Trichodiadema orientale | Succulent | DDD | IUCN, NECO | AT44 |
| AMARYLLIDACEAE | Boophone disticha | Geophytes | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| AMARYLLIDACEAE | Brunsvigia gregaria | Geophytes | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| AMARYLLIDACEAE | Strumaria gemmata | Geophytes | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| ANACARDIACEAE | Searsia pterota | Tree | LC | - | AT39 |
| ANACARDIACEAE | Searsia rehmanniana | Tree | LC | - | AT39 |
| APIACEAE | Anginon rugosum | Herb | VU | IUCN | AT44 |
| APOCHYNACEAE | Cynanchum viminale | Geophytes | LC | NECO | AT39 |
| APOCHYNACEAE | Fockea edulis | Geophytes | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| APOCHYNACEAE | Pachypodium bispinosa | Geophytes | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| APOCHYNACEAE | Pachypodium succulentum | Geophytes | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| APOCHYNACEAE | Carissa haematocarpa | Shrub | LC | - | AT44 |
| APOCHYNACEAE | Huernia thurettii | Succulent | LC | - | AT44 |
| ARALIACEAE | Cussonia spicata | Tree | LC | - | AT44 |
| ASPHODELACEAE | Aloe striata | Shrub | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| ASPHODELACEAE | Bulbine frutescens | Succulent | LC | - | AT44 |
| ASPHODELACEAE | Gasteria bicolor | Succulent | LC | - | AT44 |
| ASPHODELACEAE | Aloe africana | Tree | LC | NECO | AT39 |

| ASPHODELACEAE | Aloe ferox | Tree | LC | - | AT39 |
|---------------|-------------------------------|-----------|----|------------|------|
| ASTERACEAE | Euryops algoensis | Herb | LC | - | AT44 |
| ASTERACEAE | Euryops anthemoides | Herb | LC | - | AT44 |
| ASTERACEAE | Euryops ericifolius | Herb | EN | IUCN | AT39 |
| ASTERACEAE | Felicia filifolia | Herb | LC | - | AT39 |
| ASTERACEAE | Gazania krebsiana | Herb | LC | - | AT39 |
| ASTERACEAE | Hertia kraussii | Herb | LC | - | AT39 |
| ASTERACEAE | Osteospermum imbricatum | Herb | LC | - | AT39 |
| ASTERACEAE | Osteospermum calendulaceum | Herb | LC | - | AT44 |
| ASTERACEAE | Senecio crassiusculus | Herb | LC | - | AT44 |
| ASTERACEAE | Senecio oxyriifolius | Herb | LC | - | AT44 |
| ASTERACEAE | Senecio linifolius | Herb | LC | - | AT44 |
| ASTERACEAE | Chrysocoma ciliata | Shrub | LC | - | AT44 |
| ASTERACEAE | Oedera genistifolia | Shrub | LC | - | AT44 |
| ASTERACEAE | Pteronia incana | Shrub | LC | - | AT44 |
| ASTERACEAE | Curio acualis | Succulent | LC | - | AT44 |
| ASTERACEAE | Curio radicans | Succulent | LC | - | AT44 |
| BORAGINACEAE | Ehretia rigida | Tree | LC | - | AT44 |
| CAMPANULACEAE | Jamesbrittenia microphylla | Herb | LC | - | AT39 |
| CAMPANULACEAE | Wahlenbergia albens | Shrub | LC | - | AT39 |
| CELASTRACEAE | Lauridia tetragona | Shrub | LC | - | AT39 |
| CELASTRACEAE | Gymnosporia capitata | Tree | LC | - | AT39 |
| CELASTRACEAE | Putterlicka pyracantha | Tree | LC | - | AT39 |
| COMMELINACEAE | Cyanotis speciosa | Geophytes | LC | - | AT44 |
| CRASSULACEAE | Cotyledon velutina | Succulent | LC | - | AT44 |
| CRASSULACEAE | Crassula capitella | Succulent | LC | - | AT39 |
| CRASSULACEAE | Crassula ericoides | Succulent | LC | - | AT39 |
| CRASSULACEAE | Crassula expansa var. expansa | Succulent | LC | - | AT44 |
| CRASSULACEAE | Crassula mesembryanthoides | Succulent | LC | - | AT44 |
| CRASSULACEAE | Crassula mollis | Succulent | LC | - | AT44 |
| CRASSULACEAE | Crassula muscosa | Succulent | LC | - | AT39 |
| CRASSULACEAE | Crassula tetragona | Succulent | LC | - | AT44 |
| CRASSULACEAE | Crassula ovata | Succulent | LC | - | AT44 |
| CRASSULACEAE | Kalanchoe rotundifolia | Succulent | LC | - | AT44 |
| CYPERACEAE | Ficinia truncata | Herb | LC | - | AT39 |
| EBENACEAE | Euclea undulata | Tree | LC | - | AT44 |
| EUPHORBIACEAE | Euphorbia inermis | Geophytes | LC | - | AT44 |
| EUPHORBIACEAE | Euphorbia caerulescens | Shrub | LC | - | AT44 |
| EUPHORBIACEAE | Euphorbia meloformis | Succulent | NT | TOPS, NECO | AT44 |
| EUPHORBIACEAE | Euphorbia rhombifolia | Succulent | LC | - | AT44 |
| EUPHORBIACEAE | Euphorbia stellata | Succulent | LC | - | AT44 |
| EUPHORBIACEAE | Euphorbia triangularis | Tree | LC | - | AT44 |
| FABACEAE | Dolichos hastiformis | Herb | LC | - | AT44 |
| FABACEAE | Indigofera heterophylla | Herb | LC | - | AT44 |
| FABACEAE | Argyrolobium molle | Herb | LC | - | AT39 |

| FABACEAE | Argyrolobium collinum | Herb | LC | - | AT44 |
|---------------|-------------------------------|-----------|----|------------|---------------|
| FABACEAE | Lotononis micrantha | Herb | LC | - | AT39 |
| FABACEAE | Lotononis pungens | Herb | LC | - | AT39 |
| FABACEAE | Tephrosia capensis | Herb | LC | - | AT39 |
| FABACEAE | Indigofera denudata | Shrub | LC | - | AT44 |
| FABACEAE | Indigofera sessiliflora | Shrub | LC | - | AT44 |
| FABACEAE | Indigofera disticha | Shrub | LC | - | AT39 |
| FABACEAE | Schotia afra var. afra | Tree | LC | - | AT39 |
| GERANIACEAE | Monsonia emarginata | Geophytes | LC | - | AT39 |
| GERANIACEAE | Pelargonium reniforme | Geophytes | VU | IUCN, TOPS | AT44 |
| GERANIACEAE | Pelargonium lobatum | Geophytes | LC | - | AT44 |
| GERANIACEAE | Pelargonium peltatum | Herb | LC | - | AT44 |
| HYACYNTHACEAE | Albuca tortuosa | Geophytes | LC | - | AT44 |
| HYACYNTHACEAE | Drimia altissima | Geophytes | LC | - | AT44 |
| HYACYNTHACEAE | Drimia ciliata | Geophytes | LC | - | AT44 |
| HYACYNTHACEAE | Drimia haworthioides | Geophytes | LC | - | AT44 |
| HYACYNTHACEAE | Ledebouria ensifolia | Geophytes | LC | - | AT44 |
| HYACYNTHACEAE | Sanseviera hyacynthoides | Geophytes | LC | - | AT44 |
| HYACYNTHACEAE | Asparagus burchelli | Shrub | LC | - | AT44 |
| HYACYNTHACEAE | Asparagus striatus | Shrub | LC | - | AT44 |
| HYPOXIDACEAE | Hypoxis stellipilis | Geophytes | LC | - | AT44 |
| HYPOXIDACEAE | Pauridia trifurcillata | Geophytes | LC | - | AT44 |
| HYPOXIDACEAE | Empodium gloriosum | Geophytes | LC | - | AT39 |
| IRIDACEAE | Freesia corymbosa | Geophytes | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| IRIDACEAE | Tritonia laxifolia | Geophytes | LC | NECO | AT44 |
| LAMIACEAE | Plectranthus madagascariensis | Herb | LC | - | AT44 |
| LAMIACEAE | Ocimum burchellianum | Shrub | LC | - | AT44 |
| LAMIACEAE | Leucas capensis | Shrub | LC | - | AT44 |
| LOBELIACEAE | Cyphia sylvatica | Herb | LC | - | AT44 |
| MALVACEAE | Hermannia althaeoides | Herb | LC | - | AT44 |
| MALVACEAE | Hibiscus pusillus | Herb | LC | - | AT44 |
| MALVACEAE | Grewia robusta | Tree | LC | - | AT44 |
| MOLLUGINACEAE | Pharnaceum trigonum | Herb | LC | - | AT39 |
| OLEACEAE | Olea europaea | Tree | LC | - | AT44 |
| OXALIDACEAE | Oxalis punctata | Geophytes | LC | - | AT44 |
| OXALIDACEAE | Oxalis smithii | Geophytes | LC | - | AT44 |
| OXALIDACEAE | Oxalis stellata | Geophytes | LC | - | AT44 |
| POACEAE | Chloris virgata | Graminoid | LC | - | AT39 |
| POACEAE | Digitaria eriantha | Graminoid | LC | - | AT39, AT44 |
| POACEAE | Eragrostis obtusa | Graminoid | LC | - | AT39, AT44 |
| POACEAE | Eragrostis pyramidalis | Graminoid | LC | - | AT39 |
| POACEAE | Eustachys paspaloides | Graminoid | LC | - | AT39, AT44 |
| PORTULACACEAE | Portulacaria afra | Tree | LC | - | AT44 |
| RUSCACEAE | Eriospermum paradoxum | Geophytes | LC | - | AT44 |

| RUSCACEAE | Eriospermum porphyrium | Geophytes | LC | - | AT44 |
|------------------|-------------------------|-----------|----|-----|------|
| SANTALACEAE | Sideroxylon inerme | Tree | LC | NFA | AT39 |
| SAPINDACEAE | Hippobromus pauciflorus | Tree | LC | - | AT39 |
| SAPINDACEAE | Pappea capensis | Tree | LC | - | AT44 |
| SOLANACEAE | Lycium ferocissimum | Tree | LC | - | AT44 |
| SCROPHULARIACEAE | Selago corymbosa | Herb | LC | - | AT44 |
| SCROPHULARIACEAE | Selago decipiens | Herb | LC | - | AT39 |
| VERBENACEAE | Lantana rugosa | Shrub | LC | - | AT39 |
| VISCACEAE | Viscum rotundifolium | Epiphyte | LC | - | AT44 |