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## S U M M AR Y


#### Abstract

A study was made of the putative hybrid of bigleaf and small-leaf mahoganies. Initial measurements indicated that bigleaf mahogany can be distinguished from small-leaf mahogany by gross measurements of leaflets.

Isolated mother trees yield typical progeny. Typical mother trees in mixed stands yield like progeny plus, usually, mediumleaf progeny.

Mediumleaf mother trees yield mixed progeny and usually yield all three types: bigleaf, small-leaf, and mediumleaf.

Although there must remain an element of doubt until hand pollination under controlled conditions is accomplished, it seems apparent that the mediumleaf mahoganies previously reported as hybrid between Swietenia macrophylla and $\mathbf{S}$. mahagoni actually are hybrid.

For the trees observed bigleaf, small-leaf, and Pacific mahoganies can be separated on the basis of leaf venation.


## RESUMEN

Se hizo un estudio del tipo supuestamente híbrido entre la caoba de hoja grande y la caoba de hoja pequeña. Las mediciones iniciales indicaron que la caoba de hoja grande puede distinguirse de la caoba de hoja pequeña haciendo mediciones de las hojuelas.

Arboles madres aislados producen progenie típica.
Arboles madres típicos en rodales mixtos producen progenie semejante; por lo general también producen progenie de hojas medianas.

Arboles madres de hoja mediana producen progenie mixta y generalmente progenie de los tres tipos: de hoja grande, hoja pequeña y hoja mediana.

Aunque existirá alguna duda hasta que se efectúe la polinización artificial bajo condiciones controladas, aparentemente la caoba de hoja mediana antes considerada como el híbrido entre la Swietenia macrophylla y la S. mahagoni, es en si hibrida.

Según los arboles observados, la caoba de hoja grande, la caoba de hoja pequeña y la caoba del Pacifico pueden distinguirse basándose en la venación de las hojas.

For several years there have been reports (Stehle 1946, Tropical Forest Research Center 1960 , others) ${ }^{2 /}$ of a putative hybrid between small-leaf mahogany (Swietenia mahagoni Jacq.) and bigleaf mahogany (Swietenia macrophylla King), based chiefly on leaf size. An attempt was made to determine whether the assumed differences actually exist.

## LEAF SIZE

The first step was to collect a number of

[^0]leaves from "typical" trees; of each species for measurement and observation. One leaf was taken from the crown, two-thirds of the way up, of each of three trees of each species. From this leaf, the three central leaflets on the right-hand side of the rachis were taken for measurement. In addition to the two better known species, Pacific mahogany (s) humilis Zucc.) was also measured. Results are shown in Table 1.

It was gratifying to find the leaflets of bigleaf mahogany so consistently longer and wider than the leaflets of small-leaf. In subsequent measurements sizes were found which


Figure 1. Percentage distribution of length of mahogany seedling leaflets; one leaflet measured per seedling. SL: Small-leaf progeny from isolated mother; SLM: Mediumleaf progeny from small-leaf mother; PI.M: Mediumleaf progeny from bigleaf mother; BL: Bigleaf proseny from isolated mother. Measurements provided by R.W. Nobles. BLM based on 50 measurements, remainder on 100 measurement each.
do overlap, but mean leaflet length or width serves to distinguish objectively between the iwo species.

Unfortunately for tidiness, Pacific mahogany overlaps both the other species in all dimensions measured.

During this intensive comparison three other leaf characteristics were noted as distinguishing: prominence of lateral veins, prominence of edge veins, (veins forming a more or less continuous system near and essentially parallel to the leaf margin), and the shape of leaflet tip.

Small-leaf mahogany leaflets had no prominent veins and an acute tip. This last characteristic is indicated quantitatively by a relatively low ratio of maximum width to width one centimeter from the tip.

Bigleaf mahogany had prominent latera: and prominent edge veins, and had an acu minate tip. This last is shown by the higs ratio of maximum width to tip width.

Pacific mahogany had a variable tip: however, it had prominent lateral veins -unlik. small-leaf - and inconspicuous edge vein--unlike bigleaf.

Table 1. Measurement of leaves from "typical" mahogany trees

| Prominent veins |  | Rachis length | Leaflet |  |  | Max. Width Tip width |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Length | idth |  |  |
| I.ateral | Edge |  | Maximum | $\underset{\text { from }}{\substack{1 \\ \text { cent. }}}$ |  |
|  |  | mm | mm | mm | mm |  |
| No |  |  | Small-leaf |  |  |  |
|  | No | 52 | 59 | 19 | 4 | 4.8 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 14 | 7 | 2.0 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 12 | 8 | 1.5 |
| No | No | 72 | 51 | 15 | 4 | 3.8 |
|  |  |  | 47 | 14 | 6 | 2.3 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 13 | 8 | 1.6 |
| No | No | 47 | 44 | 14 | 8 | 1.8 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 12 | 6 | 2.0 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 12 | 8 | 1.5 |
|  |  | Mean | 43 | 14 | 7 | 2.4 |
|  |  |  | Bigleaf |  |  |  |
| Yes | Yes | 175 | 137 | 39 | 4 | 9.8 |
|  |  |  | 131 | 47 | 3 | 15.7 |
|  |  |  | 103 | 39 | 4 | 9.8 |
| Yes | Yes | 77 | 120 | 55 | 3 | 18.3 |
|  |  |  | 85 | 33 | 4 | 8.2 |
|  |  |  | 81 | 32 | 4 | 8.0 |
| Yes | Yes | 110 | 93 | 41 | 6 | 6.8 |
|  |  |  | 92 | 33 | 5 | 6.6 |
|  |  |  | 93 | 31 | 5 | 6.2 |
|  |  | Mean | 104 | 39 | 4 | 9.9 |
|  |  |  | Pacific |  |  |  |
| Yes | No | 92 | 132 | 66 | 5 | 13.2 |
|  |  |  | 90 | 40 | 4 | 10.0 |
|  |  |  | 92 | 38 | 5 | 7.6 |
| Yes | No | 120 | 87 | 30 | 10 | 3.0 |
|  |  |  | 73 | 36 | 10 | 3.6 |
|  |  |  | 70 | 27 | 12 | 2.2 |
| Yes | No | 60 | 56 | 23 | 3 | 7.7 |
|  |  |  | 59 | 17 | 5 | 3.4 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 11 | 5 | 2.2 |
|  |  | Mean | 76 | 32 | 7 | 5.9 |

In addition, Pacitic mahogany had a more beathery, coarser-easmiag leaflet then either of the other two.

PROGENY TREST
Once guide lines were established for objectively distinguishing between the two major spocies, seeds were collected from five types of mother trees and sowed in randomly melected portions of a single seed bed. Results are shown in Table 2.

Again, the initial reaults were so gratifyingly clear that further counts were unnecessary, although inapection of subsequent
weedlots has confirmed them.
Seedlings grown from seed collected from isolated amall-leaf mother trees yielded onls small-leaf progeny. Isolated bigleaf muthil trees yielded only higleaf progeny. small leaf mother trees in mixed stands whhli.l small-leaf and mediumleaf progeny. Righlea: mother trees in mixed stands yielded hinleat and mediumleaf progeny. And mediumbal mother trees yielded higleaf, small-luaf, and modiumleaf progeny.

There are no trees of Pacific mahogany of seed-bearing size in Puerto Rico: therefore. no investigation was possible at this time.

Table 2. Number of progeny by leaf type, for each mother trec


[^1]
[^0]:    1/ Dr. Lamb is now with U. S. Plywood Corporation.
    2/ Stehlé, H. 1946. Les types forestiers des isles caraiben Carib. For. 7 (Supp. 2) $337-709$.
    Tropical Forest Research Center. 1960. 1959 Annual Report. Carib. For. 21:1\&2:1-11.

[^1]:    1/ Data previded by Diviolen of Vircia Lalende Forestry Ameiatance.

