#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

| STATE OF OHIO         | :   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Appellee              | : On Appeal from the Cuyahoga County Court of Appeals, Eighth Appellate District Court of |
| VS.                   | : Appeals, CA: 109927   |
| TERRY K. GIANCATERINO | :   |
| Appellant             | :   |

## MEMORANDUM OF APPELLANT TERRY K. GIANCATERINO IN RESPONSE TO CROSS-APPEAL OF APPELLEE STATE OF OHIO

CULLEN SWEENEY Cuyahoga County Public Defender BY: JOHN T. MARTIN (0020606) COUNSEL OF RECORD Assistant Public Defender 310 Lakeside Avenue, Suite 200 Cleveland, OH 44113 (216) 443-7583 (216) 443-3632 FAX jmartin@cuyahogacounty.us COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT/CROSS- APPELLEE TERRY K. GIANCATERINO

MICHAEL C. O'MALLEY Cuyahoga County Prosecutor DANIEL T. VAN (0084614) Assistant Prosecuting Attorney The Justice Center – 9<sup>th</sup> Floor 1200 Ontario Street Cleveland, OH 44113 (216) 443-7800 dvan@prosecutor.cuyahogacounty.us COUNSEL FOR APPELLEE/CROSS-APPELLANT STATE OF OHIO

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# PAGE

| EXPLANATION OF WHY THE CROSS-APPEAL IN THIS CASE DOES NOT |   |
|---|---|
| RAISE A SUBSTANTIAL CONSTITUIONAL QUESTION AND IS NEITHER |   |
| A MATTER OF GREAT GENERAL NOR GREAT PUBLIC INTEREST       | 1 |
|   |   |
| CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE                                    | 1 |

## EXPLANATION OF WHY THE CROSS-APPEAL IN THIS CASE DOES NOT RAISE A SUBSTANTIAL CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION AND IS NEITHER A MATTER OF GREAT GENERAL NOR GREAT PUBLIC INTEREST

Relying upon a distinction raised sua sponte by the dissent in the instant case, the State of

Ohio for the first time argues to this Court that the trial court failed to issue a final appealable

order because it imposed a three-to-four-and-one-half-year sentence on "all" sixteen counts in

this case, to run concurrently, as opposed to imposing that sentence on "each" of the sixteen

counts. Whether the cross-appeal should be accepted reduces to the following:

How much of this Court's resources should be devoted to an exegetical analysis of the distinction between "all" and "each?"

The answer is none.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ John T. Martin JOHN T. MARTIN (0020606) Attorney for Defendant

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

A copy of the foregoing was served electronically on the Office of the Cuyahoga County

Prosecutor at the time of filing on October 7, 2022, via email to APA Daniel T. Van,

dvan@prosecutor.cuyahogacounty.us.

/s/ John T. Martin JOHN T. MARTIN (0020606) Attorney for Defendant