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## ARQ Phase II testing results

## Course of Fire

| ARQ DAY 1 HOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  | ARQ DAY 2/3 QUALIFICATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RANGE | DRILL | ROUNDS PER ITERATION | TIME (sec) | POSITION(S) | ITERATION | TOTAL ROUNDS | RANGE | DRILL | ROUNDS PER ITERATION | TIME (sec) | POSITION(S) | ITERATION | TOTAL ROUNDS |
| CONFIRMATION FIRE |  |  |  |  |  |  | LONG BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | ZERO CONFIRMATION | 5 | 60 | PRONE | 3 | 15 | 500 | SUSTAINED FIRE | 5 | 45 | PRONE | 8 | 40 |
| SHORT BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  | 300 | CONTROLLED PAIR | 2 | 15 | STAND, KNEE OR PRONE | 8 | 16 |
| 25 | HEAD SHOT | 1 | 3 | STANDING | 3 | 3 | 200 | CONTROLLED PAIR (BARRICADES) | 2 | 10 | Stand, knee | 6 | 12 |
| 25 | FAILURE TO STOP | 3 | 5 | STANDING | 2 | 6 | 200 | MOVERS (BARRICADES) | 2 | 8 | Stand, KNEE | 6 | 12 |
| 25 | BOX | 6 | 10 | STANDING | 1 | 6 | SHORT BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-15 | FAILURE TO STOP/ MOVING | 3 | 15 | STANDING | 1 | 3 | 100 | CONTROLLED PAIR (BARRICADES) | 2 | 8 | Stand, Knee | 4 | 8 |
| 100 | HOLDS (BARRICADES) | 5 | 60 | SUPPORTED | 3 | 15 | 100 | MOVERS (BARRICADES) | 2 | 8 | Stand, KNEE | 4 | 8 |
| 100 | MOVERS (BARRICADES) | 2 | 8 | STAND X 2, KNEE X2 | 4 | 8 | 25 | HEAD SHOT | 1 | 3 | StANDING | 3 | 3 |
| LONG BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | FAILURE TO Stop | 3 | 5 | STANDING | 2 | 6 |
| 200 | HOLDS (BARRICADES) | 5 | 60 | SUPPORTED | 3 | 15 | 25 | Box | 6 | 10 | StANDING | 2 | 12 |
| 200 | MOVERS (BARRICADES) | 2 | 8 | STAND X 2, KNEE X2 | 4 | 8 | 25-15 | FAILURE TO STOP/MOVING | 3 | 15 | Standing | 1 | 3 |
| 300 | HOLDS | 5 | 60 | SUPPORTED | 3 | 15 | NIGHT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500 | HOLDS | 5 | 60 | SUPPORTED | 3 | 15 | 100 | CONTROLLED PAIR (BARRICADES) | 2 | 8 | STAND, KNEE | 4 | 8 |
| NIGHT |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | MOVERS (BARRICADES) | 2 | 8 | STAND, KNEE | 4 | 8 |
| 100 | CONFIRMATION (BARRICADES) | 5 | 60 | STANDING | 1 | 5 | 25 | HEAD SHOT | 1 | 3 | STANDING | 3 | 3 |
| 100 | MOVERS (BARRICADES) | 2 | 8 | STAND X 2, KNEE X2 | 4 | 8 | 25 | FAILURE TO STOP | 3 | 5 | STANDING | 2 | 6 |
| 25 | HEAD SHOT | 1 | 3 | STANDING | 3 | 3 | 25 | BOX | 6 | 10 | Standing | 2 | 12 |
|  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  | 25-15 | FAILURE TO STOP/MOVING | 3 | 15 | Standing | 1 | 3 |
| 25 | FAILURE TO STOP |  | 5 | StANDING |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | BOX | 6 | 10 | STANDING | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  | TOTAL DAY 2/3= |  | 160 |
| 25-15 | FAILURE TO STOP/ MOVING | 3 | 15 | STANDING | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  | TOTAL Day |  |  |

## Scoring Conversion - ARQ to ART



## ARQ Phase II Testing Results

- 8 iterations of ARQ testing captured 679 data points (individual shooters scores), 636 useable data points.
- Only 600 data points were required.
- Data collected to date:
- 72 Shooters from Yorktown (Nov 2019)
- 43 Shooters from Yorktown (Dec 2019)
- 30 Shooters from MCAGCC (May 2020)
- 127 Shooters from MCAGCC (June 2020)
- 181 Shooters From MCAGCC (July 2020
- 21 Shooters from Edson Range (Aug 2020)
- 87 Shooters from Stone Bay (Aug 2020)
- 118 Shooters from Stone Bay (Sept 2020)
- The ranks range from Pvt, to Capt, weapons used include the M16, M4, and M27.


## ARQ Phase II Testing Results

- Out of 636 shooters $31 \%$ - UNQ, $38 \%$ qualified MM, 21\% qualified SS, and 9\% qualified expert.
- Most difficult drill for shooters to pass is the day failure-to-stop while moving from the $25-15 y d$ line drill.
- Most difficult stage to get destroys on is the 500 Sustained fire.
- Easiest stage for shooters to pass is the day movers at the 100.

| QUALIFIED |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CLASS | SHOOTERS | PERCENT |
| Ex | 58 | $9 \%$ |
| SS | 135 | $21 \%$ |
| MM | 243 | $38 \%$ |
| TOTAL | Qualified | $436 / 69 \%$ |


|  | UNQUALIFIED |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STAGE | SHOOTERS | PERCENT |
| Drills | 14 | $2 \%$ |
| Destroys | 173 | $27 \%$ |
|  <br> Destroy | 13 | $2 \%$ |
| TOTAL | Unqualified | $200 / 31 \%$ |

## Destroy Completion

Are the current thresholds acceptable, or should they be adjusted?

- What capabilities must a shooter demonstrate to qualify as $\mathrm{MM}, \mathrm{SS}$, or EX? (long range, short range, stationary targets, moving targets)
- What proportion of the population represents that view?

| Destroy stage |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| POTENTIAL <br> CLASS | SHOOTERS | PERCENT |
| Ex | 58 | $9 \%$ |
| SS | 138 | $22 \%$ |
| MM | 254 | $40 \%$ |
|  | Pass | $450 / 71 \%$ |

ARQ Results by Destroys

ARQ Percentile Results for Destroys $100 \%$ 90\% 80\% 70\%

## Drill Completion

## Passing Drill stage

| POTENTIAL <br> STATUS | SHOOTERS | PERCENT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | 609 | $96 \%$ |
| Fail | 27 | $4 \% *$ |



## Example interpretation:

A shooter can successfully complete from anywhere from 0 to 10 drills total. Each vertical bar indicates the count of successful drills out of the 636 shooters. The number increments of successful drills are noted at the bottom of the chart.

The percentage values indicate the cumulative percent of shooters out of 636 that completed one or more drills. Out of 636 shooters:

- $96 \%$ completed one (1) or more drills
- $87 \%$ completed two (2) or more drills
- $\downarrow$
- $5 \%$ completed all ten (10) drills

There were 4\% of shooters who failed to complete even one drill and as a result were deemed unqualified (UNQ) on the ARQ.

* NOTE: 2\% includes Marines who failed both Destroy \& Drills stages


## Comparing Destroys to Drills

## Qualified Marines

- $100 \%$ of EX with $\geq 3$ Drills (avg. 7.5)
- $98 \%$ of SS with $\geq 1$ Drill (avg. 6.3)
- $96 \%$ of MM with $\geq 1$ Drill (avg. 4.5)


## Unqualified Marines

- 27\% unqualified - Destroys
- 2\% unqualified - Drills
- 2\% unqualified Destroys and Drills

\# of Drills

Note: Each cell represents a count of shooters who got a specific combination of \# destroys AND \# of drills.


# Total Phase II iterations (target presentations) hit percentage by engagement 

## Number of iterations 'passed' by percentage of shooters

LongBay500SFDestroys LongBay300CPDestroys LongBay200CPDestroys LongBay200MDestroys

ShortBay100CPDestroy
ShortBay100MDestroy ShortBay25HSDestroy

ShortBay25FDrills
ShortBay25BDrills
ShortBay2515FSMDrills

Night100CPDestroy
Night100MDestroy
Night25HSDestroy
Night25FDrills
Night25BDrills
Night2515FSMDrills



$1^{\text {st }}$ Example interpretation:
LongBay500SFDestroys - Each shooter is presented with 8 targets, one at a time, at 500 yards and 5 rounds per target. A target is considered destroyed with at least one or more rounds in a destroy region of the target.

Out of 636 shooters here is their breakout by percentage of targets they destroyed:

- $4 \%$ of shooters destroyed 8 of 8 targets
- $7 \%$ of shooters destroyed 7 of 8 targets
- $9 \%$ of shooters destroyed 6 of 8 targets
$\downarrow$
$13 \%$ of shooters destroyed 1 of 8 targets
$10 \%$ of shooters destroyed 0 of 8 targets.
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Example interpretation
Night25BDrills - Each shooter is presented with 2 drills, each with 2 side-by-side targets per drill at 25 yards and 6 rounds per drill. A drill is considered successful if there are two shots in the destroy chest region and one in the head destroy region of both targets.

Out of 636 shooters here is their breakout by percentage of drills they completed successfully:

- $23 \%$ of shooters completed 2 of 2 drills
- $34 \%$ of shooters completed 1 of 2 drills
- $43 \%$ of shooters 0 of 2 drills


## Percentage by Unit and Qualification Level

Totals


UNQ


MM


SS


EX


## Qual Class Percentage by Rank and Weapon

Totals


UNQ


MM


SS


EX

EX
MM


SS


## ARQ compared to ART Table 5/6

## ARQ compared to ART Table 5/6

| ARQ DAY 2/3 QUALIFICATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RANGE | DRILL | ROUNDS PER ITERATION | TIME (sec) | POSITION(S) | ITERATION | TOTAL ROUNDS |
| LONG BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500 | SUSTAINED FIRE | 5 | 45 | PRONE | 8 | 40 |
| 300 | CONTROLLED PAIR | 2 | 15 | STAND, KNEE OR PRONE | 8 | 16 |
| 200 | CONTROLLED PAIR (BARRICADES) | 2 | 10 | STAND, KNEE | 6 | 12 |
| 200 | MOVERS (BARRICADES) | 2 | 8 | Stand, KNEE | 6 | 12 |
| SHORT BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | CONTROLLED PAIR (BARRICADES) | 2 | 8 | STAND, KNEE | 4 | 8 |
| 100 | MOVERS (BARRICADES) | 2 | 8 | STAND, KNEE | 4 | 8 |
| 25 | HEAD SHOT | 1 | 3 | STANDING | 3 | 3 |
| 25 | FAILURE TO STOP | 3 | 5 | STANDING | 2 | 6 |
| 25 | BOX | 6 | 10 | STANDING | 2 | 12 |
| 25-15 | FAILURE TO STOP/MOVING | 3 | 15 | STANDING | 1 | 3 |
| NIGHT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | CONTROLLED PAIR (BARRICADES) | 2 | 8 | STAND, KNEE | 4 | 8 |
| 100 | MOVERS (BARRICADES) | 2 | 8 | STAND, KNEE | 4 | 8 |
| 25 | HEAD SHOT | 1 | 3 | STANDING | 3 | 3 |
| 25 | FAILURE TO STOP | 3 | 5 | STANDING | 2 | 6 |
| 25 | BOX | 6 | 10 | STANDING | 2 | 12 |
| 25-15 | FAILURE TO STOP/MOVING | 3 | 15 | STANDING | 1 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  | TOTAL DAY 2/3= |  | 160 |



## ARQ vs Tables 3-6

## Discussion

1. $A R Q$ vs Tables $3 / 4$ and $5 / 6$ (How does ARQ meet these tables?).
2. ARQ does not meet the UKD portions of tables 3 and 4. however it does meet the supported positions of tables 3 and 4
3. Entry level, SOI and TBS continue to fire tables 3-6?

## Night Engagements

## Comparing Day vs. Night engagements



## Current ARQ vs ARQ w/o Night

| Current | Iterations | Rds/Iter | Rounds/COF |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LongBay500SFDestroys | 8 | 5 | 40 |  |  |  |  |
| LongBay300CPDestroys | 8 | 2 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| LongBay200CPDestroys | 6 | 2 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| LongBay200MDestroys | 6 | 2 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| ShortBay100CPDestroy | 4 | 2 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| ShortBay100MDestroy | 4 | 2 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| ShortBay25HSDestroy | 3 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| ShortBay25FDrills | 2 | 3 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| ShortBay25BDrills | 2 | 6 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| ShortBay2515FSMDrills | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Night100CPDestroy | 4 | 2 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Night100MDestroy | 4 | 2 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Night25HSDestroy | 3 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Night25FDrills | 2 | 3 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Night25BDrills | 2 | 6 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Night2515FSMDrills | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Destroys | 50 |  | Iterations |  |  |  |  |
| Drills | 10 |  | 118 |  |  |  |  |
| Lotal Rounds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short | 22 | $\mathbf{4 4 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Iterations | Percentage |  |
|  | 28 | $56 \%$ | 160 |  |  |  |  |


| No Night | Iterations | Rds/Iter | Rounds/COF |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LongBay500SFDestroys | 8 | 5 | 40 |
| LongBay300CPDestroys | 8 | 2 | 16 |
| LongBay200CPDestroys | 7 | 2 | 14 |
| LongBay200MDestroys | 7 | 2 | 14 |
| ShortBay100CPDestroy | 7 | 2 | 14 |
| ShortBay100MDestroy | 7 | 2 | 14 |
| ShortBay25HSDestroy | 6 | 1 | 6 |
| ShortBay25FDrills | 4 | 3 | 12 |
| ShortBay25BDrills | 4 | 6 | 24 |
| ShortBay2515FSMDrills | 2 | 3 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Iterations |  | Total Rounds |
|  | 50 |  | 118 |
| Destroys |  |  | 42 |
| Drills | 10 |  | 160 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Iterations | Percentage |  |
| Long | 30 | $60 \%$ |  |
| Short | 20 | $40 \%$ |  |

## Destroying Targets - No Night

No-Night Scenario - ARQ Results by Destroys

- Average number of targets destroyed increased from 29.4 to 31.3 based on elimination and conversion of Night to Day only.
- UNQ threshold left at 24 or less targets destroyed.
- Marksman range increased from 25-34 to 25-36 targets destroyed
- Sharpshooter ranged increased from 3541 to 37-43 targets destroyed
- Expert range increased from 42 or more to 44 or more targets destroyed

- 12345678 9 1011121314151617181920212223242526272829303132333435363738394041424344454647484950


## ARQ Night Engagements

Recommendation
2. Does night qualification need to be a unit responsibility?
3. How do we get NVG's and PEQ's in every Marines hands for qual?
4. What is going to be the night marking solution?

## Target/barricade availability

## Target Prices

## USMC Threat Long Bay Target with Visible Zones 24" X 45"

| Quantity | Price | Price per target |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 100 | $\$ 35.00$ | $\$ .35$ |
| 250 | $\$ 85.00$ | $\$ .34$ |
| 500 | $\$ 153.00$ | $\$ .306$ |
| 1000 | $\$ 290.00$ | $\$ .29$ |
| $10,000+$ | $\$ 2,708.00$ | $\$ .2708$ |

- QUALIFICATION TARGETS INC
- Lowest order number is 100
- 50 Target order increments
- Does not include shipping
- 100k targets of one type (long or short bay) will take 23 weeks, 100k of both types would double production time.


## USMC Threat Short Bay Die-Cut Target Visible Zones 19.5" X 39.5"

| Quantity | Price | Price per target |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 100 | $\$ 34.25$ | $\$ .3425$ |
| 250 | $\$ 85.50$ | $\$ .342$ |
| 500 | $\$ 165.50$ | $\$ .331$ |
| 1000 | $\$ 320.00$ | $\$ .32$ |
| $10,000+$ | $\$ 2,989.00$ | $\$ .2989$ |

## ARQ Barricades



## ARQ Barricades

Can be utilized for left or right handed
shooters. The barricade offers a variety of ways to shoot from. The legs can be filled with water, sand, or concrete.

## Cost Analysis



## Target/barricade Availability

Recommendation

1. NSN Timeline
2. Possibility of printing on a white sheet to help with ID of impacts
3. Scoring, do we still want the long bay target if we are not using the white suppress
4. Do we want to collect all $N, S, M$ if we are only grading the D's.

- If we collect all this data who will it benefit

5. From Qualification Tgts:

- For a total of 100,000 targets it would take us about 2-3 weeks to be ready to ship.


## ARQ Site Specific Issues

Discussion
Recommendation

- 100/200 yd Moving Threat Engagement


## ARQ Equipment Issues

## Discussion

1. Night optics

- FMTCs/Shooters
- Armory space

2. Target/supporting equipment
3. PPE/lights

- FMTCs


## ARQ Implementation Plan

## Discussion

1. WRT facilities (When facilities are updated?)
2. WRT equipment (Units who have equipment only/once MTU receives)
3. Scoring (MCTIMS update)
4. Information available for units to conduct preparatory training
5. Promotion effects
6. Remediation
7. Waivers \& Exemptions
8. Available prep training
9. Range safety

- Marking plan
- Range Policy modifications



## Questions

