# Vulnerability of the rarest plants in the Great Basin of Nevada to climate change

Steve Caicco<sup>1</sup>, Fred Edwards<sup>2</sup>, and Janet Bair<sup>2</sup>

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Nevada Fish and Wildlife Office, Reno<sup>1</sup> and Las Vegas<sup>2</sup>, Nevada

### Introduction

Pollen, woodrat midden, tree-ring, and lake level data over the past 50,000 years show the Great Basin is a highly sensitive indicator of climatic change (Wharton et al. 1990). In the 20<sup>th</sup> Century, varning of 0.3 to 0.6 °C has occurred and projections for the next century range from 2 to 5 °C warner; precipitation changes are less certain but include a greater proportion as rain, decreasing winter snowpack, and earlier arrival of spring affecting runoff and plant phenology (Chambers 2007).

Endemic plant species are expected to be at far greater risk of extinction from climate change (Committee on Environment and Natural Resources 2008). Our assessment focuses on the vulnerability of the rarest endemic plants of the Great Basin of Nevada based on their reported elevation range. Our underlying assumption is that those narrow endemic plants with the most restricted distribution in terms of elevation are likely to be the most vulnerable to climate change.

## Methods

Reported elevations for known populations of all G1, G2, T1 and T2 plant taxa (see Global Ranking System definitions in box below) in the Great Basin of Nevada were compiled and summarized by taxon.



Eriogonum argophyllum (G1), known from a single site of less than 1 acre with an estimated 2,000 plants on siliceous sinter associated with a thermal spring. (Photo credit: Steve Calcco, USFWS (public domain)

Castilleja salsuginosa (G1), confirmed from two sites, with an estimated total of 3,000 plants on several acres; found on alkaline clays and shallow sinter soils associated with a thermal spring. (Photo credit: @Steve Calcco, Planet Plants)



#### Global Ranking System (www.natureserve.org)

- G1 Critically Imperiled—At very high risk of extinction due to extreme rarity (often 5 or fewer populations), very steep declines, or other factors.
- G2 Imperiled—At high risk of extinction due to very restricted range, very few populations (often 20 or fewer), steep declines, or other factors.

Combined ranks indicate a range of uncertainty about the actual rank; these taxa were conservatively assigned the higher ranking.

T1 Ranks assigned to an infraspecific taxon, i.e., a subspecies or variety; T2 criteria are the same as those for G1 and G2 ranks.

#### Results

While rare plants occur at all elevations in the Great Basin of Nevada, most of the rarest plants (G1, G1G2, and T1), nearly 80% of which are Nevada endemics, occur on valley floors often within an elevation range of several hundred feet (Figure 1). The number of taxa (n=13) found only on valley floors is nearly equal to that found only in higher habitats combined (n=15). Moreover, most higher elevation taxa occur through a thousand feet or more of elevation ranee.

Taxon Polemonium chartaoaum	#EQs	4000	5000 600		7000	8000	9000	10000	11000	126
	1						1.10			• •
Eriozonum holmorenii	4			-				m	m	1
Perstemon rhizomatosus	6						<u>1</u> 2	44	44	74
Draba serbentina	4							44	44	
Perstemon moriahensis	*				100	m	00	44	<del>4</del> 4-	
					111	444	44	ųц	Щ.,	
Potentilla cottami	2								1	į
Viola Ithion	6									
Cymopterus goodrichii	7				11	44	44	44	a	ļ
Primula capillaria	8					1.0		44		
Boechera ophira	13							0		
Ipomopsis congesta nevadensis	1							12		
Perstemon pudicus	6					777	72	$\overline{D}$		
Perstemon tiehmi	3					977	70	2		
Lewisia maguirei	8				77					
Polemonium nevedense	1					7				
Tonestus graniticus	1									
Eriogonum microthecum arceuthinum	2			-	1.1.					
Collomia renacta	2		1	1	111	1				
Eriogonum douglasii elkoense	1?		1	12	22			1		
Perstemon flotibundus	8	111	1111	770			1	1		1
Trifolium andirum podocephalum	2?			1	7		1	111	1	
Eriogonum tiehmi	6			W.				0		
Eriogonum argophytum	1			1	1		· · · · ·	· • · · · · ·		
Johanneshowellia crateriorum	5?		10	**			· · · ·		· · · · · ·	
Mimulus ovatus	2		11/1	1			÷	1-1-		·····
Scierocactus blainei	3			1				· · · · · ·		
Frasera gypsicola	10						į	· · · · · ·		
Castilleja salauginosa	2		1	+		† m	·····		·	
Mentzelia tiehmi	7		1	1					d	
Mentzelia argilicola	5		th			+	ą	4		
Boechera falcifructa	2		111						0	
Eriogonum ovalifolium williamaiae	1	2	~~~	4		+	÷			
	12	- 19	1		··{	+				
Eriogonum diatomaceum Potentilla baseltina	12	ļĶ	4	ļ			ļ			ļ
Potentilla basaltica TOTALS	9		Floors (n=1	1		ine (n=8)	1	Subalpine		

Figure 1. Known distribution of rarest plants (G1, G1G2, and T1 ranks) in the Great Basin of Nevada by elevation range; Nevada endemics are shown in diagonal hatch. Number of shown element occurrences (EOs) is shown in column 2. Total numbers of EO's and the number of taxa occurring exclusively in valley (floor, montane, and subhaltin/a/aline abilitats are shown in the hotmor row

A similar pattern is seen in G2, G2G3, and T2 taxa (Figure 2), although an even higher proportion of these taxa is found exclusively on the valley floors. Only 43% are Nevada endemics and some others have their primary range in other states. In addition to being more common, these taxa typically are found through a greater elevation range. Because of their generally broader distribution, fewer taxa are exclusive to the higher life zones. Variation in the actual elevation of the life zones across the state makes the species assessment more subjective and totals are given as ranges.

Taxon	EO's	3000	4000	5000	600	0 70	00	8000	90	30	10000	11000	12
Arenaria congesta wheelerensis	6			1.1	1		1		77	$\sim$	77	~	11
Draba pernelli	12		1-1-1	111	77	777	11	$\overline{Z}$	41	$\mathcal{D}$	77	77	17
Senecio pattersonensis	1			· • · · · · •	100	12	<u></u>	~		4.			4
Poa abbreviata marahii	1			· · · · · · ·			÷…			$\pm$	-	T.	÷
Boschera pinziae	2		·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	÷	····•	•••••••	•••••		1.1			+…
Draba arida	19			·	·	·	100	1	÷,	1	11	10	1
Penstemon leiophylius francisci-pennelli	8			· a····a···	÷	1.1	ų.	$\sim$	44	44	44		4
Tonestus albinus	11				÷	ι÷.	÷	- 1	to	1.1	20	1	÷
Nevada holmorenii	2					- 12	**	2	44	44	44	4	÷
						1.10	4	$\varphi q$	24	¥4	ų	24	.÷
Jamesia tetrapetala	9				. į		÷-	-	÷	1-1	~~~	÷.	÷
Silene nachlingerae	19			·		44	4	$\mathcal{U}$	20	44	111	24	
Horkelia hispidula			ļ	4		·		·				Ц.	÷
Erigeron uncialis uncialis	3		L					ļ		H	. ÷.		
Boechera šehmi	2				.l	<u> </u>	1						
Boechena bodiensis	12		L	. <u> </u>	_	L			· ` ·	1.			
Astragalus lentiginosus latus	9	I	ļļ	14	11	14	Ú	11	14	XA		ļļ	
Eriogonum lewisii	19	I		111	22	24	4	$\square$	44	$X \square$			
Eriogonum esmeraldense toiyabense	13				1	1.12	$\mathcal{D}$	$\mathcal{D}$	11	1			1
Ivesia pityocharis	14					LE	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	2				
Eriogonum beatleyae	6				$\mathbb{Z}$	$\sqrt{7}$	$\langle I \rangle$	$\mathcal{D}$	2				
Cusickiella quadricostata	5						2.						
Epilobium nevedense	7				$\nabla$	77	$\overline{Z}$	$\overline{D}$	2				
Polyctenium williamsiae	14					F							
Phacelia inconspicua	4					1	11	1.1	• 1		1		1
Astragalus johannis-howellii	5			1	1	T.	٠.	<b>C</b> • 1					1
Lathyrus grimesii	57	1		1	17	77	77.	77	Ĩ	1		1	1
Erigeron ovinus	17			1	10	77	$\sim$	$\sim$	····			1	1
Trifolium leibergii	12					F	<u>.</u>	-		1			
Astragelus toquimenus	2			1 1 1	÷	11	d`	-			<u>†</u>	1	÷
Astragalus opphorus lonchocalyx	11		1	1	1.		1	1					1
Astragalus bearteyae	19			1 17	11	11	ź	·····	····			0	÷
Astragalus opphorus lavinii	8		1	· · · ·	÷	r:-	1	1	····-	1			1
Asclepias eastwoodiana	24		1	12	11	17	7		···· •				÷
Eriogenum concinnum	17	10	$\overline{\alpha}$	X111	4	w	×	-	····-ĝ····-	1			÷
Antennaria ancuata	4	111	~~	$\overline{u}$	÷	F4	÷		····•	·····			÷
Eriperon latus	5			· •	+-		· • • • •	į					·
Phacelia inundata	14			the state of the s	++	···· ĝ	· • · · · ·		····-ģ				÷
Eriogonum darrovii	2	· · · · ·		· · · · · ·	÷		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·····				·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Enogonum darrovi Stroganowia tiehmii	32	l		toto	$\dot{z}$	····	·			-			· · Ē · · · ·
Stroganowa behmi Penstemon idahoensis	32 16+ <sup>3</sup>		ŀ	44	44	····	÷	1		-			- į
Cymopterus basalticus	4	·····		÷÷+	÷	····		·····		-	····	·	·
Astragalus anserinus	203	l	1		÷		· [			+			
Astragalus ansennus Schaeralcea caespitosa williamsiae	20		- H	'nд	÷		· [· · · ·				···	·	·
Sphaerarcea caespitosa williamsiae Astragalus eurylobos	6		Η	44	4		. <b>.</b>	ļ					.ą
Astragalus eurytobos Mentzelia molis	8					···· .	. <u>.</u>	ļ		+	····		
		· · · · · ·	H					ļ					
Astragalus uncialis	7				4	h		į					.ā
Astragelus pseudoiodanthus	15		4		J			ļ					
Penstemon arenarius	13	- 4	14	111	1		.i	į					
Ivesia webberi	8		•		1		.i						.i
Ranunculus tritematus	1						<u> </u>			1.1			1
Leptodactylon glabrum	3						1			L			1
Caulanthus barnebyi	11		11	X	1			1	1	T		1	1

Figure 2. Known distribution of rare plants (G2, G2G3, and T2 ranks) in the Great Basin of Newada by elevation range; Newada endemics are shown in diagonal hach. Number of known element occurrences (EO's) is shown in column 2. Total numbers of EO's and the range of the number of taxa occurring predominantly in valley floor, montane, and subaligne/alpine habitats are shown in the bottom row.

## Conclusions

The rarest plants in the Great Basin of Nevada occur at the lowest elevations (Figures 1, 2). Their sites are often on valley floors where they are typically restricted to specialized edaphic conditions such as old lake beds, associated alkaline and carbonate deposits including ancient spring mounds, heavy clays and/or silicous sinter deposits associated with thermal springs, diatomite deposits, volcanic tuffs, and aeolian sands. Such habitats usually occur only within a few hundred feet of elevation range and seldom exist above the valley floor.

Although alpine ecosystems are often identified as among the most susceptible to climate change, the trarest alpine plants in our study area usually occur through a thousand feet or more of elevation range. While earlier springs, higher temperatures, and changes in precipitation and/or snowpack patterns could affect plants at all elevations, rare taxa at the lowest elevations are unlikely to be able to move to more climatically suitable sites since most are adapted to specialized habitast that do not exist above the valley floors. We conclude, therefore that rare plants at lowest elevations in the Great Basin of Nevada are the most vulnerable to climate change. In addition, these valley floor taxa are also more susceptible to other stressors such as habitat modification or destruction and invasive species.

## **Conservation Strategies**

While monitoring is essential for determining the trend of these taxa, sufficient resources will not likely be available to adequately monitor more than the rarest. In some cases, we may be able to design efficient monitoring programs for multiple species occurring in the same habitat but it is likely that many populations will become extingated through undetected decline, leading to eventual species extinctions.

The restriction of many of these taxa to specialized habitats may constrain or preclude such mitigation options as assisted colonization (Hoegh-Guldberg et al. 2008), if similar habitats do not exist elsewhere. Genetic resources within these plants can, however, be conserved in long-term seed banks (Guerrani et al. 2007). An integrated and comprehensive program of seed collection and *ex-situ* storage for rare plants is urgently needed. The Bureau of Land Management's *seeds of Saccess* project, though focused on common species, provides a model on which an effort for rare species can be based. The Center for Plant Conservation has a well-established infrastructure for long-term seed storage in regional seed banks at partner institutions.

While seed banking should not supplant on-the-ground conservation efforts, we cannot predict which of these taxa are most vulnerable to climate change. Climate change aside, the rarity of these taxa is sufficient justification for a seed banking program. To preserve a full range of conservation options for the future, representative, and where appropriate, redundant collections of the genetic resources of these species should be conserved before they are loss forever.

## Literature cited

Chambers, J. 2007. Climate change and the Great Basin. Pp. 29-32 in J. Chambers, N. Devoe, and A. Evenden, eds. Collaborative management and research in the Great Basin - examining the issues and developing a framework for action. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-204. U.S. Dept. Agric., Forest Service Rock Wontunia Research Station Fort Collins; CO

Committee on the Environment and Natural Resources. 2008. Scientific assessment of the effects of global change on the United States. National Science and Technology Council. Washington, D.C. 271 pp. Guerrant, E.O., Jr., K. Havens, and M. Maunder. 2007. Ex-situ Plant Conservation: Supporting Species Survival in the Wild. Society for Ecological Restoration International. Island Press, Washington, D.C. Xxx pp.

Hoegh-Guldberg, O., L. Hughes, S. McIntyre, D.B. Lindenmayer, C. Parmesan, H.P. Possingham, and C.D. Thomas. 2008. Assisted colonization and rapid climate change. Science 321(345-346).

Wharton, R.A., P.E. Wigand, M.R. Rose, R.L. Reinhardt, D.A. Mouat, H.E. Kheforth, N.L. Ingraham, J.O. Davis, C.A. Fox, and J.T. Ball. 1990. The North American Grean Basin: a sensitive inductor of climatic change, Pp. 323-359 in C.B. Oxmond, I.E. Pitelka, and G.M. Hidy, eds. Plant biology of the Basin and Range. Ecological Studies 80, Springer-Verlag, NY.

## Acknowledgments

Data used in this meta-analysis came from the Newada Natural Heritage Program and information in U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service files. Much credit goes to the botanists who have collected and/or conducted rare plant surveys in the Grad Basin. They are raref than the plants they have decidated their lives to conserve and equally undervalued. Thanks also to the Nevada Fish and Wildlife Office for supporting work on this analysis.

For further info, contact: Steve\_Caicco@fws.gov (775.861.6341). A pdf copy of this poster can be downloaded at: http://www.lws.gov/illedownloads/tfp%SFnevada/NVGB\_Plant\_Vulnerability