# BEAUFORTIA 

SERIES OF MISCELLANEOUS PUBLICATIONS

## ZOOLOGICAL MUSEUM OF THE UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

No. 178
Volume 14
August 29, 1968
The cyclopoid copepod Pseudomyicola spinosus (Raffaele \& Monticelli) from marine pelecypods, chiefly in Bermuda and the West Indies

ARTHUR G. HUMES


#### Abstract

The myicolid copepod Pseudomyicola spinosus is reported from 22 new hosts (pelecypods) in Bermuda and the West Indies, from 1 new host (a pelecypod) in Madagascar, and from an ascidian (Pyuridae) in Curaçao (probably an accidental association). $P$. spinosus is redescribed, based on specimens from Isognomon alatus in Bermuda. Among 316 P. spinosus from Bermuda, Puerto Rico, Jamaica, Barbados, Brazil, Senegal, Madagascar, and Jugoslavia the dimensions of the body and caudal ramus varied widely. The ornamentation of the anal segment showed four different conditions of spination, and sometimes included an extra long ventral setule on either or both sides.

The specimens studied are regarded as one species, $P$. spinosus, without apparent subspecific differences. The following are considered as synonyms of $P$. spinosus: $P$. glaber Pearse, 1947, Myicola tageli Pearse, 1947, P. anomalocardiae Narchi, 1965, and P. mirabilis Humes, 1959.


## Introduction

The myicolid copepod Pseudomyicola spinosus (Raffaele \& Monticelli, 1885) has been found in several species of pelecypods in various European localities. Published citations of this species in Europe are as follows:

Lichomolgus (Sabelliphilus) spinosus Raffaele \& Monticelli, 1885: 302-307, figs. 1-12. In mantle cavity and among the branchial lamellae of Mytilus galloprovincialis Lamarck at Naples, Italy.

Anthessius spinosus; Canu, 1894: 4 et passim.
Anthessius spinosus; Monod \& Dollfus, 1932: 151.
Pseudomyicola spinosus; Korringa \& Lambert, 1951: 18-19, 23. In intestine of mussels (Mytilus galloprovincialis) at Toulon and Etang de Thau, France.

Pseudomyicola spinosus; Lambert, 1951: 44, figs.
Pseudomyicola spinosus; Stock, 1959: 52-53, figs. 4 and 5. In intestine of Ostreu stentina Payraudeau in the Gulf of Naples.

Pseudomyicola spinosus; Stock, 1960: 254, fig. 19 e-f. In intestine of Mytilus galloprovincialis at Banyuls, France.

Pseudomyicola spec., subadult; Stock, 1960: 254-255, fig. 19 a-d, g-h. In washings of Pteria hirundo (L.) dredged at Banyuls. (This single subadult specimen probably represents $P$. spinosus.)

Pseudomyicola spinosus; Porumb \& Andriescu, 1964, 93-100, pls. IV-VII. In mantle cavity of Mytilus galloprovincialis in the Black Sea.

Pseudomyicola spinosus spinosus Laubier \& Reyss, 1964: 291—308, figs. In Mytilus galloprovincialis at Split, Jugoslavia (collected by J. H. Stock).

Pseudomyicola spinosus petiti Laubier \& Reyss, 1964: 291-308, figs. In Pinna pectinata L. (and perhaps also Pinna pernula Chemnitz), in a depth of $60-120 \mathrm{~m}$, at Banyuls.

Pseudomyicola spinosus stocki Laubier \& Reyss, 1964: 291-308, figs. In Pteria hirundo (L.), in a depth of $60-120 \mathrm{~m}$, at Banyuls.

Pseudomyicola spinosus; Kleeton, 1964: 171-177, figs. 1-3. In Mytilus edulis L. at Arcachon, on the Atlantic coast of France.
An examination of various pelecypods in Bermuda, Puerto Rico, Jamaica, Barbados, and Curaçao has shown that Pseudomyicola spinosus occurs there as well as in Europe.

The observations and measurements have been made in all cases on specimens cleared in lactic acid. All figures have been drawn with the aid of a camera lucida. The letter after the explanation of each figure refers to the scale at which it was drawn.
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## Pseudomyicola spinosus from Bermuda

Collections in 1962:

1) From Isognomon alatus Gmelin: $33 \circ \rho$ and 37 of from 40 hosts, in 1 m , Mill Creek, north of Mill Shares, Aug. 5 (these specimens being used as the basis for the following description); 10 i $\%$ and 8 o o from 156 hosts, in 0.5 m , Ely's Harbor, in bay near Wreck Road, Aug. 4; and $4 \% \%$ and 1 it from 35 hosts, same locality, July 30.
2) From Isognomon sp.: 101 \& 9,44 o $\hat{\delta}$, and 11 copepodids from 150 hosts, on mangroves, northwestern shore of Mangrove Lake, July 27.
3) From Pinctada radiata Leach: $7 \circ \%$ and 1 ifrom 65 hosts, in $2-6 \mathrm{~m}$, northern shore of Trunk Island, Harrington Sound, July 18; $6 \xlongequal[\circ]{\circ} 9,6$ ô $\hat{\text { o }}$, and 1 copepodid, from 181 hosts, same locality, July 31; and $1 \circ$ from 5 hosts, in 1 m , Shelly Bay, July 28.
4) From Anadara notabilis Röding: $2 申 q$ and $1 \hat{\delta}$ from 35 hosts, in $2-3 \mathrm{~m}$, northern shore of Trunk Island, Harrington Sound, July 31.
5) From Macrocallista maculata L.: 3 \& $\%$ from 5 hosts, in $3-4 \mathrm{~m}$, off Abbott's Cliff, Harrington Sound, Aug. 1.
6) From Brachidontes exustus L.: $2 \circ \%$ from 50 hosts, on intertidal rocks at dock, Biological Station, Ferry Reach, Aug. 3; and $1 \circ$ from 100 hosts, on rocks at southern edge of mouth of Richardson's Creek, Ferry Reach, Aug. 10.
7) From Ostrea equestris Say: $8 \circ 9,12 \hat{\gamma} \hat{\alpha}$, and 1 copepodid from 15 hosts, in 1 m , Mill Creek, north of Mill Shares, Aug. 5.
8) From Laevicardium laevigatum (L.), immature: 2 copepodids from 12 hosts, in 10 m , southeast of Trunk Island, Harrington Sound, Aug. 7.
9) From Chama congregata Conrad: $2 \not \subset \circ$ and 1 of from 35 hosts, in 20 m , Harrington Sound, Aug. 7.

## Description of specimens from Isognomon alatus:

Female. - The body (fig. 1) is highly variable in size, even among specimens from the same species of host (such variation having been already noted by Stock, 1959, in material from Naples). The length is 1.90 mm (1.68-2.04 $\mathrm{mm})$ and the greatest width $0.44 \mathrm{~mm}(0.41-0.48 \mathrm{~mm})$, based on 10 specimens (not the same specimens as those whose measurements are given in table I below). The ratio of length to width of the prosome is 2.9: 1 . The ratio of the length of the prosome to that of the urosome is 2.0 : 1 . In the specimen drawn the egg sacs measured $550 \times 240 \mu$, with each sac containing 5 eggs. In other females the egg sacs contained as many as 12 eggs.

The segment of leg 5 (fig. 2) is $65 \times 229 \mu$, the genital segment $185 \times$ $226 \mu$ (a little wider than long), and the three postgenital segments $96 \times 153$, $65 \times 138$, and $86 \times 133 \mu$ from anterior to posterior. The area of attachment of each egg sac (fig. 3) shows four blunt spines (or spiniform processes?) each about $5-6 \mu$ long. The anal segment (fig. 4) has a variable posterolateral and posteroventral ornamentation. On each side of the segment there may be either one or two long ventral setules (similar to those shown in figs. 33 and 34), the posterolateral spines may be present or absent, and the posteroventral group of spines may be present or absent (compare figs. 29, 30, 31, and 32).

The caudal ramus (fig. 4) is somewhat variable in length, but in the specimen drawn measured $146 \times 31 \mu$ in greatest dimensions. The seta on the dorsal
surface is pedicellate and doubtless corresponds to the usual dorsal seta seen in other poecilostomes. The seta on the ventral surface probably corresponds to the outermost terminal seta in other copepods.

The rostrum (fig. 5) in weakly developed. The first antenna (fig. 6) is 6 -segmented, the lengths of the segments (measured along their posterior non-setiferous margins) being $36,20,39,25,25$, and $32 \mu$ from proximal to distal. The formula for the armature is $5,15,9,4+1$ aesthete, $2+1$ aesthete, and $7+1$ aesthete. (In one female six setae were present on the first segment of one of the first antennae, the extra seta being indicated by the arrow in the figure.) The posteriorly directed spine on the first segment is $36 \mu$ long. All setae are naked.

The second antenna (fig. 7) has a variable number (12-20) of spinules in the row on the first segment. (The number may differ on the two second antennae of one individual, as in a female which had 12 on one side but 14 on the other.) The number of minute spinules along the convex margin of the third segment is also variable, and the surface of this segment bears minute sensilla. The terminal claw (fig. 8 ) is $36 \mu$ long (measured along its axis).

The labrum (fig. 9), mandible (fig. 10), first maxilla (fig. 11), second maxilla (figs. 12 and 13), and maxilliped (fig. 14) are as illustrated. The paragnath apparently consists of a small unornamented process internal to the bases of the mandible and the first maxilla. The oral area is shown in figure 15 . The subcircular area between the maxillipeds is slightly protuberant.

The spine and setal formula for legs $1-4$ (figs. $16,18,19$, and 20) is as follows (the Roman numerals indicating spines, the Arabic numerals setae):

| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ protopod | 0-1 1-I | exp | I-0; | I-1; | IV,4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | end | 0-1; | 0-1; | II,4 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ protopod | $0-1 \quad 1-0$ | exp | I-0; | I-1; | IV,5 |
|  |  | end | 0-1; | 0-2; | III, 3 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ protopod | $0-11-0$ | exp | I-0; | I-1; | IV,5 |
|  |  | end | 0-1; | 0-2; | IV,2 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ protopod | $0-11-0$ | exp | I-0; | I-1; | IV,5 |
|  |  | end | 0-1; | 0-2; | IV,1 |

The small setules on the outer margin of the coxa in all four legs are here considered as part of the ornamentation and not the armature. On the exopod of leg 1 (fig. 17) one palmate process occurs on the second segment and four such processes (becoming successively smaller distally) on the third segment. Vestiges of these processes occur on the exopod of leg 2 (fig. 18).

Leg 5 (figs. 21, 22, and 23) has a subcircular distal segment about $146 \mu$ in diameter. (The true shape of this segment is difficult to see in an undissected specimen. The leg should be removed from the body and examined in flat view.) The four naked setae are $104,61,80$, and $78 \mu$ in length from dorsal to ventral. Along the ventral edge of the segment there is a row of spinules which proximally extends around to the outer surface. Adjacent to this row there is a second row on the inner surface of the segment, this ventro-inner row having 5-9 spinules. The dorsal seta on the first segment is naked and $65 \mu$ long.

Leg 6 is probably represented by the spines near the area of attachment of each egg sac (see fig. 3).

The color in life in transmitted light is slightly opaque, the ovary dark greyish black, the intestine orange-tan or brown, the eye red, the egg sacs dark gray. In reflected light the egg sacs may be reddish orange.

Male. - The body (fig. 24) resembles that of the female, but is more slender. The length is $1.54 \mathrm{~mm}(1.37-1.68 \mathrm{~mm})$ and the greatest width 0.36 mm ( $0.33-0.41 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), based on 10 specimens (not the same specimens as those whose measurements are given in Table I below). The ratio of length to width of the prosome is $2.54: 1$. The ratio of the length of the prosome to that of the urosome is $1.54: 1$.
The segment of leg 5 (fig. 25) is $36 \times 161 \mu$, the genital segment is $177 \times$ $220 \mu$ (wider than long), and the four postgenital segments are $77 \times 153$, $80 \times 127,61 \times 120$, and $66 \times 120 \mu$ from anterior to posterior. The anal segment has a variable ornamentation as in the female.

The caudal ramus resembles that of the female, but is smaller, $112 \times 26 \mu$.
The rostrum is like that of the female. The first antenna resembles that of the female, but four aesthetes are added (their positions indicated by dotted lines in fig. 6), two on the second segment and two on the third segment, so that the formula is $5,15+2$ aesthetes. $9+2$ aesthetes, $4+1$ aesthete, $2+1$ aesthete, and $7+1$ aesthete. (From the arrangement of the setae and aesthetes it would appear that the third segment of the first antenna in Pseudomyicola represents a fusion of two segments.)

The second antenna, labrum, mandible, paragnath, first maxilla, and second maxilla are like those in the female. The maxilliped (fig. 26) has an inner patch of spinules on the first segment; an inner naked seta, an inner patch of spinules, and a distal anterior patch of spinules on the second segment. The boundary between the small unarmed third segment and the claw is difficult to determine because of strong sclerotization. The claw is about $120 \mu$ long and bears proximally two unequal naked setae and a minute spinule (process?).

Legs 1-4 are like those of the female, with the same spine and setal formula. There is, however, a slight sexual dimorphism in the lengths of the spines on the last segment of the endopod of leg 1. In the male (fig. 27) this segment is $29 \mu$ long and the two spines from outer to inner are 24 and $36 \mu$; in the female (fig. 16) the segment is $32 \mu$ and the spines 17 and $22 \mu$. (In both sexes the innermost seta is spiniform.)

Leg 5 (fig. 28) has a subrectangular distal segment $55 \times 42 \mu$, a little longer than wide, armed with three fringed spines and a naked seta, the lengths of these elements from dorsal to ventral being $23,24,56$, and $33 \mu$. A few spinules occur on the ventral edge of the segment near the ventralmost spine. The seta on the first segment is $53 \mu$ long and naked.

Leg 6 (fig. 25) consists of the usual posterolateral flap on the ventral surface of the genital segment, bearing two naked setae 52 and $55 \mu$ long.

The color in life resembles that of the female.


Figures 1-5. Pseudomyicola spinosus (Raff. \& Mont.), female, from Isognomon alatus in Bermuda: 1, body, dorsal (A); 2, urosome, ventral (B); 3, area of attachment of egg sac, laterodorsal (C); 4, caudal ramus and half of anal segment, ventral (D); 5. rostral area, ventral (E).

Variation in the specimens from Bermuda
The length of the females may vary as much as 1 mm . The caudal ramus may be twice as long in some females as in others.

The ornamentation of the anal segment varies considerably. For convenience in comparison four conditions of spination are here recognized. Condition I (fig. 29) has on each side of the segment two posterior groups of spines, one posterolateral, the other posteroventral just anterior to the insertion of the caudal ramus. Condition II (fig. 30) has only the posteroventral group. Condition III (fig. 31) has only the posterolateral group. Condition IV (fig. 32 ) lacks both groups of spines. All these conditions exist in the material from Bermuda. The right and left sides of the anal segment may show any of the four conditions in any combination.

The ornamentation of the anal segment in the female is most frequently in either condition I or IV, less often in condition II, and least frequently in condition III. Forty-two of the 54 females ( 78 per cent) had the same condition of ornamentation on both sides. The number of posteroventral spines near the insertion of the caudal ramus ranged from 3-13.

Males showed similar variations, though not as extreme, in the length of the body and caudal ramus and in the ornamentation of the anal segment. Thirty of the 40 males ( 75 per cent) had the same condition of ornamentation on both sides. The number of posteroventral spines near the insertion of the caudal ramus ranged from $1-10$.

A summary of the variation in the Bermudian samples is given in table I.

## Note on Macrocallista maculata and its Pseudomyicola

This pelecypod has only recently been found alive in Bermuda (though known as a fossil), having been first collected there in 1961 and now being abundant (Abbott \& Jensen, 1967). It reaches a larger size in Bermuda than in Florida, according to Abbott \& Jensen. It may be of interest to note that its Pseudomyicola are larger than those in other Bermudian hosts. Unfortunately, the number of copepods collected (3) from this host is too small for significant conclusions. Furthermore, samples of Pseudomyicola from M. maculata in Florida, where it undoubtedly occurs, are not available for comparison.

## Pseudomyicola spinosus from Puerto Rico

Collections in 1959:

1) From Crassostrea rhizophorae Guilding: 3 i $\&$ and 1 i from 65 hosts, on mangrove, intertidal, eastern shore of Maguieyes Island, near La Parguera, July 29; 1 ; and 1 copepodid from 108 hosts, on mangrove, northern shore of Mata Flores Island, near La Parguera, Aug. 4; and $2 \% 9$ from 44 hosts, on mangrove, at two small mangrove islands west of Magüeyes Island, near La Parguera, Aug. 22.
2) From Chione cancellata L.: 2 if and $2 \hat{o} \hat{o}$ from 4 hosts, in 6 m , west of Magüeyes Island, near La Parguera, Aug. 7.
3) From Brachidontes domingensis Lamarck: 1 \& from 200 hosts, on intertidal rocks at entrance to Phosphorescent Bay, east of La Parguera, Aug. 10.
4) From Cyclina (Cyclinella) tenuis Récluz: 1 \& from 2 hosts, in 1 m , at entrance to lagoon at Bocqueron, Aug. 21.
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Table I．The dimensions of the body and caudal ramus and the ornamentation of the anal segment in Pseudomyicola spinosus．

|  |  <br> $-11-1 \rightarrow 1-111+1$ <br> $\rightarrow N \infty m 1-1-11111011$ <br> $a \infty-n=+1 \rightarrow-\infty \quad 1 \quad 1$ | $\begin{array}{ll} n & 0 \\ \sim & N \\ 0 & \infty \\ \cdots & H \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll} 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & - & 1 & N & 1 & 1 & N & 1 & 1 \\ m & 1 & 0 & N & 1 & - & - & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \sim & 0 & - & n & \cdots & + & 1 & - & 1 & - & + & \infty & 1 & 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} n & 0 \\ \# & m \\ 0 & m \\ \approx & H \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 岕 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ |  | 岕 |
| ¢ |  | 0） 50 |
| 䓽 |  |  |



| Host | Sex | Number | $\text { length } \mu^{\text {Body }}$ | width $\mu$ | $\underset{\text { Caudal ramus }}{\text { length } \mu} \quad \underset{\text { width } \mu}{ }$ |  | Number with spines on anal segment in conditions I-IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { right } \\ & \text { II } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { side } \\ \text { III } \end{gathered}$ |  | I | left |  | IV |
| Jamaica Summary " | $\stackrel{+}{\circ}$ | 35 12 | $\begin{gathered} 1847 \\ (1485-2336) \\ 1439 \\ (1230-1710) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 446 \\ (352-605) \\ 343 \\ (270-410) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 123 \\ (88-180) \\ 98 \\ (75-122) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ (23-43) \\ 25-31) \\ (21-31) \end{gathered}$ | 6 | $3$ | 1 | 26 7 | 5 3 | 3 | 2 2 | 27 4 |
| BARBADOS Brachidontes citrinus | + | 17 13 | $\begin{gathered} 1657 \\ (1312-1920) \\ 1365 \\ (1170-1490) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 402 \\ (325-473) \\ 316 \\ (264-363) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ (21-31) \\ 22-25) \\ (20-25 \end{gathered}$ | 4 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 7 | 1 | 4 4 | 1 | 10 7 |
| CURAÇAO Isognomon alatus " | ¢ | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 1616 \\ (1600-1632) \\ 1515 \\ (1440-1568) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 385 \\ (385-385) \\ 325 \\ (297-335) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 102 \\ (100-104) \\ 101 \\ (88-107) \end{gathered}$ |  | 1 |  |  | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Cardium muricatum | 8 8 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 1952 \\ (1920-1984) \\ 1355 \\ (1312-1440) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 506 \\ (506-506) \\ 345 \\ (341-352) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 151 \\ (151-151) \\ 119 \\ (117-120) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ (36-36) \\ 30 \\ (25-26) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | 1 | 1 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 1 |
| Pinna carnea | ¢ | 1 | 1376 | 396 | 104 | 24 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Arca zebra | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 1 | 1504 | 319 |  | 25 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Arca imbricata | 9 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 1785 \\ (1770-1800) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 473 \\ (451-495) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ (133-148) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ (29-34) \end{gathered}$ | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
|  | 。 | 1 | 1504 | 396 | 101 | 26 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 一 | - |
| Spondylus americanus | ${ }^{1}$ | 1 | 1710 | 418 | 130 | 30 |  | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Diplodonta punctata | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 1696 \\ (1664-1728) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{445}{(440-451)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 113 \\ (101-125) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ (29-31) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 |
|  | * | 1 | 1376 | 330 | 117 | 26 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |


|  | 213 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm m-m \mid N m \sim 1$ | $\pm$ の | - | - 1 | $n$ | $\bullet$ |
| 111110 l | - $m$ | 1 | $-1$ | N | m |
| $1 \rightarrow\|\|1 \times N\|$ | - $\quad$ - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| $\|1\| 1 \rightarrow-6$ | 익 | 1 | - m | 1 | - m |
| $m-7 \mathrm{l}-\mathrm{m}+$ | $\pm \pm$ | 1 | $-1$ | $n$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | $\pm 0$ | 1 | $1$ | $\sim$ | N |
| $\rightarrow\|1\| 1 N N m \mid$ | - $n$ | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $1-1 \mid-N$ O 1 | $\cdots 9$ | 1 | $\cdots \mathrm{m}$ | 1 | N m |
|  | Ni: | m | miN N్రిN | $\underset{\sim}{\tilde{m}}$ | M |
|  | $\text { 웅 } \overbrace{0}^{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\mathcal{N}}}{\underset{E}{E}}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{N}{\sqrt[n]{3}}$ | $\stackrel{N}{n}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | + |  |  |  |
| + + -m $-\cdots$ ल \% - | $m$ \% | - | $m \mathrm{~m}$ | - | $\bigcirc \mathrm{m}$ |
| or to ot so ot to or to ot | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - 0 | ot | ot ${ }^{\circ}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 范 |  |

$214$



Figures 6-15. Pseudomyicola spinosus (Raff. \& Mont.), female, from Isognomon alatus in Bermuda: 6, first antenna, an extra sixth seta on first segment indicated by the arrow, the position of the four aesthetes added in the male shown in dotted lines, ventral (D); 7, second antenna, anterior (D); 8, tip of second antenna, anterior (C); 9, labrum, ventral (F); 10, mandible, posterior (C); 11, first maxilla, posterior (C); 12, second maxilla, posteroventral (E); 13, second segment of second maxilla, posteroventral (C); 14, maxilliped, lateral (F); 15, oral area, ventral (B).
5) From Arca sp.7: 19 from 1 host, in 0.5 m , at entrance to lagoon at Bocqueron, Aug. 21.
6) From Pseudochama radians Lamarck: 1 a and 1 copepodid from 3 hosts, in 0.5 m , Corona del Diablo, a small reef south of Magüeyes Island, near La Parguera, July 31; and 2 ㅇ from 8 hosts, in 1 m , Caballo Ahogado, south of Magüeyes Island, Aug. 19.
7) From Chama sp.: 1 and 3 copepodids from 2 hosts, in 3 m , Laurel Reef, southwest of La Parguera, Aug. 13; and 2 ¢ $\circ$ from 12 hosts, in 0.5 m , Caballo Ahogado, south of Maguieyes Island, Aug. 19.
8) From Chama sinuosa Broderip: 1 from 17 hosts, in 0.5 m , Caballo Ahogado, south of Maguieyes Island, Aug. 11.
Variation in the Puerto Rican specimens
A summary of the variation in the dimensions of the body and caudal ramus and in the ornamentation of the anal segment is given in table I . The degree of variation is similar to that in the Bermudian specimens. Twelve of the 16 females ( 75 per cent) had the same condition of ornamentation on both sides of the anal segment. The number of posteroventral spines near the insertion of the caudal ramus ranged from 2-6. Two of the 5 males (40 per cent) had the same condition of ornamentation on both sides of the anal segment, and the number of posteroventral spines ranged from 2-6.

## Pseudomyicola spinosus from Jamaica

## Collections in 1959:

1) From Chione cancellata L.: 1 ¢ and 2 \& $\delta$ from 1 host, in 0.5 m , at entrance to channel through mangroves, near Palisadoes Airport, Kingston, Sept. 5; and $13 \% 9$, 6 ot, and 1 copepodid from 34 hosts, in 0.5 m , in small boat channel east of hurricane anchorage, Port Royal, Sept. 10.
2) From Pitar albida Gmelin: 15 와, 3 ㅅ ㅅ, and 1 copepodid from 73 hosts, in 0.5 m , in small boat channel east of hurricane anchorage, Port Royal, Sept. 10.
3) From Brachidontes exustus L.: $4 \%$ 여 and 1 from 1 host, in 0.5 m , Bogue Island, Montego Bay, Sept. 9.
4) From Chama sp.: 2 우 from 19 hosts, intertidal, Rackham's Cay, off Kingston Harbor, Sept. 3.
Variation in the Jamaican specimens
A summary of the variation in the dimensions of the body and caudal ramus and in the ornamentation of the anal segment is given in table $I$, the degree of variation being similar to that in the Bermudian and Puerto Rican specimens. Thirty of the 35 females ( 86 per cent) had the same condition of ornamentation on both sides of the anal segment. The number of posteroventral spines near the insertion of the caudal ramus ranged from 2-8. Eight of the 12 males ( 66 per cent) had the same condition of ornamentation on both sides of the anal segment, and the number of posteroventral spines ranged from 2-6.

## Pseudomyicola spinosus from Barbados

Collections in 1959:

1) From Brachidontes citrinus Röding: $10 \% 9$ and $5 \$$ from 124 hosts, in 0.5 m , Carlisle Bay, Bridgetown, July 16; and 28 우, 13 ô $\hat{\text { of }}$, and 1 copepodid from 390 hosts, in 0.5 m , Carlisle Bay, July 20.
Variation in the specimens from Barbados
A summary of the variation in the dimensions of the body and caudal


Figures 16-18. Pseudomyicola spinosus (Raff. \& Mont.) female, from Isognomon alatus in Bermuda: 16, leg 1, anterior (E); 17, exopod of $\operatorname{leg} 1$, anterior (F); 18, leg 2, anterior (E).
ramus and in the ornamentation of the anal segment is given in table I. The degree of variation is similar to that seen in the previous specimens. Fourteen of the 17 females ( 82 per cent) had the same condition of ornamentation on both sides of the anal segment. The number of posteroventral spines near the insertion of the caudal ramus ranged from 3-8. Nine of the 13 males (69 per cent) had the same condition of ornamentation on both sides of the anal segment, and the number of posteroventral spines ranged from 2-6.

## Pseudomyicola spinosus FROM CURAçao <br> Collections in 1958 (by J. H. Stock): <br> 1) From Isognomon alatus Gmelin: 8 우, 11 ô $\hat{o}$, and 2 copepodids from 24 hosts, on mangrove, Piscadera Inner Bay, Oct. 11. <br> 2) From Cardium muricatum L.: 3 \& $\%, 10$ i $\hat{f}$, and 2 copepodids from 48 hosts,

 in 50 cm , in eelgrass field, entrance to Piscadera Inner Bay, Oct. 14.3) From Pinna carnea Gmelin: 3 it ô from 1 host, in about 2 m, Piscadera Bay, Oct. 21.
4) From Arca zebra Swainson: 1 ô from 3 hosts, in $1-2 \mathrm{~m}$, Piscadera Bay, Nov. 6.
5) From Arca imbricata Bruguière: $2 \% \%$ and 1 from 2 hosts, in 3 m , Piscadera Inner Bay, near former turtle hatchery, Dec. 17.
6) From Spondylus americanus Hermann: 1 क from 1 host, in 50 cm , entrance to Piscadera Inner Bay, Nov. 29.
7) From Diplodonta punctata (Say): 2 ㅇ 9 and 1 is from 1 host, in 2 m , Piscadera Inner Bay, near brick factory, Dec. 16.
8) From Chama sp.: 1 copepodid from 4 hosts, in $1-2 \mathrm{~m}$, Piscadera Bay, Nov. 6.
9) From Chione cancellata L.: $4 \%$ and 4 t $\hat{i}$ from 20 hosts, in 50 cm , in eelgrass field, entrance to Piscadera Inner Bay, Oct. 14; and 2 \& $\%$ and 1 from 8 hosts, entrance to Piscadera Inner Bay, Oct. 25.
10) From Chione sp.: $1 \uparrow, 3 \hat{\delta} \hat{\delta}$, and 2 copepodids from 27 hosts, in 50 cm , Piscadera Inner Bay, near sewage pipe of Julianadorp, Nov. 27.
11) From Brachidontes exustus L.: $4 \not \%$ and 9 if from 125 hosts, in 3 m , Piscadera Inner Bay, near former turtle hatchery, Dec. 17.
12) From Crassostrea rhizophorae Guilding: $17 \uparrow \uparrow, 26$ § $\delta$, and 5 copepodids from an unknown number of hosts, on mangroves, Piscadera Inner Bay, Oct. 6; and $16 \% 9$, 21 is $\hat{\delta}$, and 9 copepodids from an unknown number of hosts, on mangroves, Piscadera Inner Bay, Oct. 6.
13) From Pyuridae (ascidians): $1 \%$ from an unknown number of hosts, in about 3 m, Piscadera Inner Bay, near former turtle hatchery, Dec. 17.

Variation in the specimens from Curaçao
A summary of the variation in the dimensions of the body and caudal ramus and in the ornamentation of the anal segment is given in table $I$. The degree of variation is similar to that in previous specimens. Twenty-two of the 35 females ( 63 per cent) had the same condition of ornamentation on both sides of the anal segment. The number of posteroventral spines near the insertion of the caudal ramus ranged from 2-16. Twenty-nine of the 44 males ( 66 per cent) had the same condition of ornamentation on both sides of the anal segment, and the number of posteroventral spines ranged from 2-7.

## Synonymy of P. anomalocardiae Narchi, 1965, from Brazil, with P. spinosus

Through the kindness of Dr. Walter Narchi I have been able to study one syntypic female of his Pseudomyicola anomalocardiae from the stomach of Anomalocardia brasiliana Gmelin from São Vicente, State of São Paulo, Brazil.

The dimensions of this specimen and the ornamentation of the anal segment are shown in table $I$. The spine and setal formula for legs $1-4$ is the same as in all specimens of $P$. spinosus which I have seen. (The formula in Narchi's paper should apparently read $1-0,1-1,4-4$ for the exopod of leg 1 , and $0-1,0-2,3-3$ for the endopod of leg 2.) The second segment of leg 5 of
the female is almost exactly like that in specimens from Bermuda. (The more elongated shape in Narchi's fig. 10 is probably due to the angle at which it was drawn.) Other parts, including the caudal ramus, mouthparts, and second antenna, correspond very closely to those of $P$. spinosus from Bermuda and the West Indies.

Since the characters of this Brazilian copepod and those of West Indian $P$. spinosus are nearly identical, the Brazilian P. anomalocardiae should be considered as a synonym of $P$. spinosus.

Synonymy of P. mirabilis Humes, 1959, from Madagascar, with P. spinosus

Paratypic specimens of Pseudomyicola mirabilis have bsen restudied. Their dimensions and the ornamentation of the anal segment are given in table $I$. The very close similarity of these specimens from Arca decussata in Madagascar with P. spinosus in Bermuda and the West Indies has led me to conclude that $P$. mirabilis is synonymous with $P$. spinosus.
P. spinosus is now reported from a new host in Madagascar, Chama iostoma Conrad. The collections made from this host are as follows: 1 i from 3 hosts, intertidal, Pte. Lokobe, Nosy Bé, Aug. 5, 1960; 1 \& from 31 hosts, intertidal, Pte. Lokobe, Aug. 12, 1960; 5 ㅇ ㅇ from 38 hosts, intertidal, Pte. Lokobe, Aug. 22, 1960; and 1 \% from 53 hosts, intertidal, Ambariobe, a small island nearly between Nosy Komba and Nosy Bé, June 25, 1967.

Pseudomyicola spinosus ( $=$ P. mirabilis) from Senegal
P. spinosus ( $=\boldsymbol{P}$. mirabilis) was reported by Humes \& Cressey (1958) from Arca senilis L., Ostrea tulipa Lamarck, and Pitar tumens Gmelin in Senegal. Twenty of the specimens from Arca senilis have been restudied and their dimensions and ornamentation of the anal segment are given in table I. There are no apparent significant differences between these specimens and $P$. spinosus from Bermuda, the West Indies, Brazil, and Madagascar.

Seven of the 10 females ( 70 per cent) had the same condition of ornamentation on both sides of the anal segment. The number of posteroventral spines near the insertion of the caudal ramus ranged from 5-11. Five of the 10 males ( 50 per cent) had the same condition of ornamentation on both sides of the anal segment, and the number of posteroventral spines ranged from 1 -8.

## Pseudomyicola spinosus from Europe

Dr. J. H. Stock has very kindly sent me for study certain $P$. spinosus in the collection of the Zoölogisch Museum, Amsterdam, among them specimens from Ostrea stentina Payraudeau at Naples, Italy, Mytilus edulis L. at Arcachon, France, and Mytilus galloprovincialis L., Mytilus cf. edulis L., and Mytilus sp., all from Split, Jugoslavia. A comparison of these European P. spinosus with the specimens from Bermuda, the West Indies, Brazil, Senegal, and Madagascar showed no significant differences.

The dimensions and the ornamentation of the anal segment of eleven of the Adriatic specimens are included in table I. Six of the 8 females ( 75 per


Figures 19-23. Pseudomyicola spinosus (Raff. \& Mont.), female, from Isognomon alatus in Bermuda: 19, leg 3, anterior (E); 20, leg 4, anterior (E); 21, leg 5 , inner (G); 22, leg 5 , inner (G); 23, leg 5 , inner (G).
cent) had the same condition of ornamentation on both sides of the anal segment. The number of posteroventral spines near the insertion of the caudal ramus ranged from 2-9. One of the 3 males had the same condition of ornamentation on both sides of the anal segment, and the number of spines ranged from 4-7.

Synonymy of Pseudomyicola glaber Pearse, 1947, and Myicola tageli Pearse, 1947, with P. spinosus
(Pearse, 1947, originally spelled the name as glabra, but as Kleeton, 1964, has pointed out the correct form should be glaber).

Stock (1959) suggested the synonymy of $P$. glaber with M. tageli, and both with P. spinosus. Kleeton (1964) supported the synonymy of $P$. glaber with P. spinosus. After studying Pearse's slides, including his types, in the collection of the U.S. National Museum, I can only support their conclusions. Most of the mounted specimens are badly distorted and therefore impossible to measure accurately. As far as can be determined from a study of the slides, these North Carolinian specimens are identical with $P$. spinosus from Europe, Bermuda, the West Indies, etc.

A female Pseudomyicola collected in 1963 by J. H. Stock from Pecten irradians Lamarck at Beaufort, North Carolina, deposited in the Zoölogisch Museum, Amsterdam, shows all the characters of P. spinosus.

## Geographical distribution of Pseudomyicola spinosus

Pseudomyicola spinosus has been found in the Black Sea, the Adriatic, the Mediterranean, on the Atlantic coast of France, in North Carolina, Bermuda, Puerto Rico, Jamaica, Barbados, Curaçao, Brazil, Senegal, and Madagascar. Its range, indicated on the accompanying map, includes the Mediterranean and Black Seas and both sides of the Atlantic Ocean. How far it extends into the Indian Ocean is uncertain, since it is at present known only from Madagascar.


Distribution of Pseudomyicola spinosus (Raff. \& Mont.)

## Hosts of Pseudomyicola spinosus

This copepod is known to live in 39 species of pelecypods, not including the uncertain record from Pinna pernula Chemnitz (reported by Laubier \& Reyss, 1964). The 23 new hosts reported in this paper are indicated by an asterisk.

Arranged by families, the hosts are:

Arcidae

* Anadara notabilis Röding Arca decussata Sowerby
* Arca imbricata Bruguière Arca senilis L.
* Arca zebra Swainson Noetia ponderosa Say
Pinnidae
* Pinna carnea Gmelin Pinna pectinata L. (Pinna pernula Chemnitz Atrina rigida Dillwyn
Pteriidae
Pteria hirundo (L.)
* Pinctada radiata Leach
* Isognomon alatus Gmelin Ostreidae
* Ostrea equestris Say Ostrea stentina Payraudeau
Ostrea tulipa Lamarck
Ostrea virginica Gmelin
* Crassostrea rhizophorae Guilding Spondylidae
* Spondylus americanus Hermann

Pectinidae
Pecten irradians Lamarck
Mytilidae
Mytilus edulis L.
Mytilus galloprovincialis Lamarck
Modiolus demissus (Dillwyn)

* Brachidontes citrinus Röding
* Brachidontes domingensis Lamarck
* Brachidontes exustus L.

Chamidae

* Chama congregata Conrad
* Chama iostoma Conrad
* Chama sinuosa Broderip
* Pseudochama radians Lamarck

Diplodontidae

* Diplodonta punctata (Say)

Cardiidae

* Cardium muricatum L.
* Laevicardium laevigatum (L.)

Veneridae

* Macrocallista maculata L.
* Pitar albida Gmelin Pitar tumens Gmelin
* Chione cancellata L. Anomalocardia brasiliana Gmelin
* Cyclina (Cyclinella) tenuis Récluz

Sanguinolariidae
Tagelus gibbus (Spengler)
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Figures 24-28. Pseudomyicola spinosus (Raff. \& Mont.), male, from Isognomon alatus in Bermuda: 24, body, dorsal (A); 25, urosome, ventral (B); 26, maxilliped, posterior ( E ); 27, last segment of endopod of leg 1 , anterior (F); 28, leg 5, inner (E).
Figures 29-32. Pseudomyicola spinosus (Raff. \& Mont.), from Isognomon alatus in Bermuda: 29, ornamentation of anal segment of female, condition I, ventral (D); 30, ornamentation of anal segment of female, condition II, ventral (D); 31, ornamentation of anal segment of male, condition III, ventral (D); 32, ornamentation of anal segment of female, condition IV, ventral (D).
Figure 33. Pseudomyicola spinosus (Raff. \& Mont.), female from Chione cancellata, ornamentation of anal segment, ventral (D).
Figure 34. Pseudomyicola spinosus (Raff. \& Mont.), female from Pinctada radiata, ornamentation of anal segment, ventral (D).

The occurrence of a single female in an ascidian of the family Pyuridae in Curaçao is the only instance of a host other than pelecypods. Until more extensive search for these copepods in ascidians is made, this record should be regarded as probably accidental.

## Summary of variation in Pseudomyicola spinosus

For 316 P. spinosus ( 186 females and 130 males) the variation in the dimensions of the body and caudal ramus and in the ornamentation of the anal segment is given in table I. The average length of the females was 1.828 $\mathrm{mm}(1.196-2.560 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), and of the males $1.421 \mathrm{~mm}(1.120-1.856 \mathrm{~mm})$. In both sexes the ornamentation of the anal segment was more frequently condition I or IV and paired, rather than condition II or III and unpaired. The instances where this ornamentation was paired (that is, the same condition, I-IV, on both sides of the anal segment) were: females, $\mathrm{I}=50, \mathrm{II}=$ $17, \mathrm{III}=4, \mathrm{IV}=70$, males, $\mathrm{I}=49$, $\mathrm{II}=8, \mathrm{III}=4$, and $\mathrm{IV}=28$. Thus 230 of the 316 specimens examined had paired ornamentation.

The caudal ramus of the females varied considerably in size, its average dimensions being $127 \times 30 \mu$, but ranging from $78-195 \mu$ in length and $21-43 \mu$ in width. In the males the caudal ramus had average dimensions of $104 \times 24 \mu$, ranging from $68-156 \mu$ in length and $20-36 \mu$ in width.

The occurrence of an extra long ventral setule on either or both sides of the anal segment (as in figs. 33 and 34) seems to be sporadic. Such setules were observed in 16 specimens from Bermuda, Jamaica, and Jugoslavia.

In those individuals dissected (and where an accurate count could be made) the number of spinules in the row on the first segment of the second antenna was variable (12-20). The number of spinules in the ventro-inner row on the second segment of leg 5 of the female was also variable (5-9).

## Subspecies in Pseudomyicola spinosus

Laubier \& Reyss (1964) considered Mediterranean P. spinosus as consisting of three subspecies: $P$. spinosus spinosus, $P$. spinosus petiti Laubier \& Reyss, 1964, and P. spinosus stocki Laubier \& Reyss, 1964. These subspecies are characterized by the ornamentation and chaetotaxy of the second antennae, leg 1 , leg 5, the anal segment, and the caudal rami. Both P. s. petiti and $P$. s. stocki occur at Banyuls in pelecypods in rather deep water ( $60-120 \mathrm{~m}$ ), while $P$. s. spinosus is found in hosts living intertidally or in shallow water.

In the large numbers of specimens of $P$. spinosus from littoral hosts available to me, I have been unable to recognize subspeciation either on a geographical basis or in relation to the hosts. Instead, the specimens appear to constitute a single species with considerable variability, but without subspecific differentiation.

## The species in the genus Pseudomyicola

Three species are recognized in the genus: P. spinosus (Raffacle \& Monticelli, 1885), P. levis Humes, 1959, from Arca decussata Sowerby in Madagas-
car, and P. ostreae Yamaguti, 1936, from Ostrea (Ostrea) denselamellosa Lischke in Japan. ( $P$. ostreae has also been reported by Hoshina \& Sugiura, 1954, from Laternula kamakurana Pilsbry in Japan).
P. glaber Pearse, 1947, Myicola tageli Pearse, 1947, P. anomalocardiae Narchi, 1965, and P. mirabilis Humes, 1959, are synonyms of P. spinosus. (The name $P$. decorata which appeared in the work of Humes, 1959, resulted from failure of the printer to emend the text from corrected proofs, as indicated in the note by Humes, 1961, and should have no standing).

Dudley (1966: 158) mentioned a Pseudomyicola from the pelecypod genus Compsomyax in the Puget Sound area of Washington, but nothing is known of its specific identity.

Pseudomyicola belongs to the Myicolidae, not to the Lichomolgidae as previously suggested by Humes (1953). (The family name Pseudomyicolidae appearing in Humes \& Cressey, 1958, is a lapsus and should be ignored).
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