Nomenclatural Notes on some Meliolineae

Some comments on the fungus names cited in Hansford's Monograph (Sydowia, Beiheft 2, 1961) and in his Supplement (Sydowia 16 [1962]: 302—323, 1963).

By F. C. Deighton

(Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew).

A high proportion of epithets of names of epiphytic and (especially) parasitic fungi are derived from the generic names of their host plants, and many epithets of names in the Meliolineae are so derived. The commonest forms of such derived epithets are the genitive of the generic name, the stem of the generic name combined with the substantival suffix -icola or -incola, or an adjectival form coined by combining the stem of the generic name with a suffix such as -anus, -inus or -ellus.

Since the generic name of the host plant, however arbitrarily formed, 'is treated as Latin' (Intern. Code of bot. Nomencl., 1961, Principle V), it follows that the stem and the genitive must be determined in accordance with accepted Latin grammatical usage and incorrect spellings must be corrected.

Other orthographic corrections authorized by the Code include the correction of the termination -i to -ii, and of -i or -ii to -ae or -iae, when necessary (Art. 73 Note 3). Furthermore, it is recommended that when the epithet of a fungus is derived from the generic name of the host plant, the spelling of this generic name should be corrected in conformity with current nomenclatural usage (Rec. 73 H).

Out of over 2000 epithets cited by Hansford in his Monograph of the Meliolineae, 47 which need correction or which would be better with major or minor amendment are listed below: several of the remaining epithets which were incorrectly spelt when first published are cited by Hansford in their corrected forms as published in Saccardo's Sylloge Fungorum and elsewhere.

A list of corrections of incorrectly spelt epithets cited by Hansford (op. cit.) is given, in alphabetic order. Two later homonyms are revealed for which nomina nova are proposed.

The opportunity has also been taken to validate the publication of the name $Meliola\ mycetiae$ Stevens, which was published after 1 Jan., 1935, without a Latin diagnosis, and which ${\rm Hansford}\ ord omitted$ to supply in Sydowia 16, when he validated several other $Meliola\ names$.

a calyphidis is a Third Declension genitive form and incorrectly applied to the First Declension Latin name Acalypha. The correct genitive is acalyphae. There is, however, an earlier published Meliola acalyphae Rehm, and a new name is therefore required for Toro's species: Meliola acalyphicola Deighton, nom. nov., syn. Meliola acalyphae Toro (sphalm. 'acalyphidis') in Chardon & Toro, Monogr. Univ. P. R., ser. B. 2; 117, 1934; non M. acalyphae Rehm, Philipp, J. Sci., C. Bot.; 8: 252, 1913.

a l b i z z i a e. Genitive of Albizzia. The correct spelling of this generic name now accepted by phanerogamists is 'Albizia', and the fungus epithet

should accordingly be altered to albiziae.

 $a\,r\,t\,o\,c\,a\,r\,p\,i\,i\,c\,o\,l\,a$. A typographic error in Sydowia, Beih. 2. The name was originally published in Sydowia 11 (1957): 52, 1958, correctly spelt as Meliola artocarpicola.

beloperone. The fungus epithet should be corrected to beloperones.

buddleyae. Genitive of Buddleya, the correct spelling of which is Buddleia. The fungus epithet should be corrected to buddleiae.

buddleyicola should similary be corrected to buddleiicola.

buettneriae. Genitive of Buettneria, the now accepted correct spelling of which is Byttneria. The fungus epithet should be corrected to byttneriae.

buettneriicola should be similarly corrected to byttneriicola.

capsicola. Derived from the generic name Capsicum, the stem of which is capsic-. The epithet should be corrected to capsicicola.

cassiaecola should be corrected to cassicola: the stem (cassi-) not the genitive (cassiae) of the host genus Cassia, combined with the suffix -icola.

castanopsisifoliae. The genitive of the subspecific epithet of the host plant Synaedrys amagdalifolia forma 'castanopsisifolia'. This subspecific epithet, derived from Castanopsis (stem castanopsi-) should be corrected to castanopsifolia, and the fungus epithet accordingly to castanopsifoliae.

c at hormion is. Incorrect genitive of Cathornion: should be corrected to cathornii.

cheirodendronis. Incorrect genitive of Cheirodendron: should be corrected to cheirodendri.

o c c o l o b i s. The spelling Coccoloba has been conserved against Coccolobis for the generic name of the host plant. The fungus epithet should accordingly be altered to coccolobae.

 $c\ o\ l\ i\ i\ c\ o\ l\ a.$ From the stem (col-) of Cola, with the suffix -icola: should be corrected to colicola.

 $c\,y\,b\,i\,a\,n\,t\,h\,i\,s.$ Incorrect genitive of Cybianthus: should be corrected to cybianthi.

 $e\ l\ e\ p\ h\ a\ n\ t\ o\ p\ i.$ Incorrect genitive of Elephantopus: should be corrected to elephantopodis.

e n t a n d r o p h r a g m a e. Incorrect genitive of the neuter name Entandrophragma: should be corrected to entandrophragmatis.

erythrinae-micropterycis. Incorrect genitive of Erythrina micropteryx: should be corrected to erythrinae-micropterygis.

erythroxylifoliae. Derived (genitive case) from Erythroxylum (the generic name of the host) and folium (leaf). The epithet must be corrected to erythroxylifolii.

erythroxylon is. Incorrect genitive of Erythroxylum (often incorrectly written Erythroxylon which has the same genitive): should be corrected to erythroxyli.

ficium. It seems most likely that this was intended to be a genitive (ficium, the regular Foruth Declension plurai form) though the correct genitives of Ficus (which is an irregular Fourth Declension noun) are Fici or Ficus (sing.) and Ficorum (plur.). The fungus epithet would be best altered to ficuum, which, though not the classically correct plural genitive of Ficus, is at least the regular Fourth Declesion form and not objectionable as is 'ficium'.

 $f\,r\,a\,s\,e\,r\,i\,i$ and $f\,r\,a\,s\,e\,r\,i\,a\,n\,a$. Both derived from the name of the collector, Miss Lillian Fraser, which should be latinized as Frasera: genitive Fraserae. The epithets should be corrected to fraserae and fraserana,

respectively.

guareiella. Derived from the stem (guare-) of Guarea, with the suffix -ella: should be altered to guareella.

h i p p o m a n e a e. Incorrect genitive of Hippomane: should be corrected to hippomanes.

 $h\ y\ p\ s\ e\ l\ o\ d\ e\ l\ p\ h\ y\ d\ is$. The more correct genitive is hypselodelphyos. in $g\ a\ e\ c\ o\ l\ a$. This epithet should be composed of the stem not the genitive) of lnga and the suffix- $-icola.\ lnga$ (a vernacular name in origin) is usually treated as a feminine noun of the First Declension, with the stem inga-: hence the fungus epithet should be corrected to ingicola.

leucosyke ae. Incorrect genitive of Leucosyke: should be corrected to leucosykes.

linocieria e. The generic name Linociera has been incorrectly spelt Linocieria by Hansford. The fungus epithet should be corrected to linocierae.

linociericola should be similarly corrected to linociericola.

livistonia e. The epithet was originally so spelt by Yates who nevertheless spelt the name of the host correctly as Livistona (not Livistonia as given by Hansford). The fungus epithet should be corrected to livistonae.

macalpini. Meliola macalpini Sacc. & Syd. was a nom. nov. for M. denticulata McAlpine, 1897, non Winter, 1892. The epithet would be better written macalpinei.

melastomataceae (often incorrectly written Melastomataceae): should be corrected to melastomatacearum.

mitragynes. The correct spelling (conserved) of the generic name of the Rubiaceous host is Mitragyna, not Mitragyne. The fungus epithet should accordingly be altered to mitragynae.

pithecolobiu. Genitive of the host generic name Pithecolobium, the correct spelling of which is Pithecellobium. The fungus epithet should accordingly be altered to pithecellobii.

pithecolobiicola should be similarly altered to pithecellobiicola.
n e geriana. Derived from the name of the collector, Neger: should be written negerana.

o $c\ t\ o\ k\ n\ e\ m\ a\ t\ i\ s.$ The name of the host genus, Octoknema, is feminine as is Knema. The correct genitive is octoknemae.

 $p\ o\ l\ y\ s\ c\ i\ a\ t\ i\ s.$ Incorrect genitive of Polyscias: should be corrected to polysciadis.

 $r\ h\ a\ p\ h\ i\ o\ l\ e\ p\ s\ i\ s$. Typographic error in Sydowia, Beih. 2: 238, for rhaphiolepis, but correctly spelt in the index. The host genus as Rhaphiolepis and the correct genitive is rhaphiolepidis.

rupalae. Genitive of Roupala (incorrectly spelt as Rupala): should be corrected to roupalae.

secamone: should be corrected to

symphoremae. Incorrect genitive of Symphorema. Should be corrected to symphorematis, as spelt by Petrak (Sydowia 15: 203) and by Hansford (Sydowia 16: 314).

 $t\ e\ h\ o\ n\ i.\ Amazonia\ tehoni$ Toro was a nom. nov. for Meliola asterinoides Tehon, 1919, non Winter, 1886. The fungus epithet should be corrected to tehonii.

 $t\ h\ u\ n\ b\ e\ r\ gi\ a\ e\ -c\ h\ r\ y\ s\ o\ p\ i\ d\ is.$ Incorrect genitive of Thunbergia chrysops: should be corrected to thunbergiae-chrysopis.

weigeltii var. fraxinifoliae. The varietal epithet is the incorrect genitive of the epithet of the host, Astronium fraxinifolium (this epithet being treated as a substantive: cf. Art. 23 para 5 of the Intern. Code of bot. Nomencl. 1961). It should be corrected to weigeltii var. fraxinifoliu

xylosmaticola. The generic name Xylosma is feminine (Intern. Code of bot. Nomencl., 1961, Rec. 75 A), and the stem is therefore xylosm-. The epithet xylosmaticola is an error for xylosmicola and since there is the earlier published name Meliola xylosmicola Orejuela, a new name is required for Hansfor d's species: Meliola xylosmae-buxifoliae Deighton, nom. nov., syn. Meliola xylosmicola Hansford (sphalm. 'xylosmaticola'), Sydowia 9: 50, 1955; non M. xylosmicola Orejuela, Mycologia 36: 438, 1944.

Meliola mycetiae Stev. ex Deighton sp. nov.

Meliola mycetiae F. L. Stevens in Stevens & Roldan, Philipp. J, Sci. 56: 70, 1935. Nomen non rite publicatum (sine diagnosi Latina).

Plagulae amphigenae, subdensae, usque ad 2 mm. diam. Hyphae brunneae, undulatae vel tortuosae, opposite et acute vel late ramosae, laxe reticulatae, cellulis plerumque $20-35\times6-7~\mu$. Hyphopodia capitata alternata, antrorsa vel leniter curvata, $20-30~\mu$ longa; cellula basali cuneata vel cylindrica, $6-10~\mu$ longa; cellula apicali ovata, integra, apice rotundata vel cylindrica attenuata, $16-22\times8-10~\mu$. Hyphopodia mueronata in hyphis distinctis evoluta, alternata vel opposita, ampulliformia, $16-22\times7-9~\mu$. Setae myceliales paucae, plerumque juxta perithecia aggregatae, rectae, simplices, obtusae, usque ad $260\times7~\mu$, superne saepe leniter undulatae vel subtorulosae. Perithecia dispersa, nigra, globosa, verrucosa, usque ad $180~\mu$ diam. Sporae brunneae, oblongae, obtusae, 4-septatae, constrictae, $33-40\times14-16\times12-13~\mu$.

Hab. in foliis $Mycetiae\ javanicae$, Insulae Philippinenses, F. L. Stevens 1669, typus (ILL, Herb. F. L. Stevens).

The first notes were written several years ago. Since then, an additional 12 epithets in *Meliola*, cited by Hansford in Sydowia, Beih. 2 (1961), which need correction have been noted.

I am grateful to Mr. H. K. Airy Shaw for his kind assistance with several of the epithets. Two recent publications have also been helpful: Botanical Latin, by W. T. Stearn (London and Edinburgh, 1966), and the article by N. Zabinkova, Generic names ending in -is and the determination of their stems, Taxon 17: 19—33 (1968).

bastardiopsidis. In generic names ending in -opsis, the genitive is the same as the nominative: see Stearn (1966) and Zabinkova (1968). The fungus epithet should be corrected to bastardiopsis.

boneti. Typographic error for bonetii, which was the original spelling. castanopsidis. Incorrect genitive of Castonopsis: should be corrected to castanopsis (the same spelling as the nominative).

elaeis. The correct genitive of *Elaeis* (the generic name of the host) is elaeidis and the epithet should be altered accordingly.

erycibis. Incorrect genitive of Erycibe: should be corrected to erycibes. erythrophloei. The original (and correct) spelling of the generic name of the host is Erythrophleum (not Erythrophleum as given by H a n s f o r'd). The epithet was originally and correctly published as erythrophlei.

meibomiaecola should be corrected to meibomiicola.

miriapoda. Ciferri's original spelling of this epithet was miriopoda, evidently in reference to the abundant and closely aggregated hyphopodia. In Petrak's List 8 (Index of Fungi, 1936—1939) the spelling is altered to myriopoda and this seems to be a preferable spelling.

olecranonis. Stevens & Tehon said that this epithet was ,selected because of the projection frequently found at the angles, which is suggestive of an elbow. The epithet is thus presumably intended as the genitive of olecranon, which is olecrani.

peddicola. The stem of Peddica is peddic-, and the more correct spelling of the fungus epithet is peddicicola. This however, with three vowels in succession, looks somewhat cumbersome and the elison of the ,c' (peddicola) may be allowable: of. buddleiicola. (An even more awkward problem would arise should anyone with to combine the stem (shii-) of Shiia with the suffix -icola: it would surely be only reasonable to write ,shiicola' rather than, ,shii-icola'.)

teramniae. Incorrect genitive of Teramnus: should be corrected to teramni. Meliola teramni Y a te s (1918) (sphalm. ,teramniae') is a later homonym, as well as a taxonomic synonym, of M. teramni Syd. (1917).

tremae. It has been pointed out to me, by botanists at Herb. K., that the generic name Trema ist neuter and not feminie as has usually been assumed. Loureira (Flora Cochinchinensis: 562, 1790) stated that his new generic name was derived from the neuter word $\tau_{\mathcal{PP}|\mathcal{P}}$ and though he gave a feminine epithet (cannabina) to the only species he described this must be regarded as a lapsus. The correct genitive is therefore trematis and the epithet tremae, which has frequently been used in names of fungi growing on Trema, must accordingly be altered to trematis.

ZOBODAT - www.zobodat.at

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: Sydowia

Jahr/Year: 1967/1968

Band/Volume: 21

Autor(en)/Author(s): Deighton F. C.

Artikel/Article: Nomenclatural Notes on some Meliolineae. 183-187