

## Digital formula for the identification of Meliolaceae

V. B. Hosagoudar

Microbiology Division, Tropical Botanic Garden and Research Institute,  
Palode, 695 562 Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India

Hosagoudar, V. B. (2003). Digital formula for the identification of Meliolaceae. – *Sydowia* 55 (2): 168–171.

This paper deals with a digital formula applicable to meliolaceous fungi. It consists of three groups of digits. The first group of four digits uses eleven characters to distinguish the genera. The second group of five digits uses nineteen characters to denote arrangement of appressoria and morphology of head cells of appressoria, location of the phialides in the colony, morphology of the mycelial setae and septation in ascospores. The third group of five digits uses twenty three characters distinguishing the measurements of individual parts.

Keywords: Digital formula, Meliolaceae, Black mildews, Ascomycetes.

Beeli (1920) proposed a numerical code to categorize members of the Meliolaceae. Stevens (1927, 1928) modified and extensively used this code in his work. Hosagoudar (1996), Hosagoudar & al. (1997), Hu & al. (1996, 1999) and Mibey & Hawksworth (1997) have followed Hansford (1961) in using this code as key character and also supplemented the code with other characters to distinguish the individual taxa. The Beeli's code or formula, however, has some limitations, such as:

1. the code does not distinguish all the genera, namely, *Amazonia* Theissen, *Asteridiella* McAlpine, *Pauahia* Stevens and *Prataprajella* Hosag.
2. the code does not distinguish the morphology of the head cells of the appressoria
3. the code does not distinguish the position of the phialides

In short, Beeli formula is useful in assembling similar species, but it does not separate the taxa with clear demarcation

To overcome these difficulties and to incorporate the newly described genera *Endomeliola* Hughes & Pirozynski, *Pauahia* Stevens and *Prataprajella* Hosag., the Beeli's digital formula has been completely modified here.

The digital formula proposed here consists of three groups of digits. The first group of four digits distinguishes all the genera

using eleven characters. The second group of five digits uses nineteen characters to denote arrangement of appressoria, the morphology of the head cells of appressoria, location of the phialides in the colony, morphology of the mycelial setae and septation of ascospores. The third group of five digits uses twenty three characters. Appressoria are mostly borne just below the septum and can be distinguished based on the distance between appressoria, which determines whether the appressoria are crowded or sparsely arranged. Length and width of ascospores, diameter of perithecia and length of the mycelial setae are also important. Taxa can be further distinguished by noting for instance the position of the colony on the host, the nature of the colony, the morphology of mycelium, branching pattern, shape of the cells of appressoria, pattern of distribution of setae, ascospore shape.

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <p>I – Group (generic characters)</p> <p>(1) Mycelium</p> <p>1 ... endophytic<br/>(<i>Endomeliola</i>)</p> <p>2 ... ectophytic</p> <p>(2) Ascomata</p> <p>1 ... stromatic (<i>Pauahia</i>)</p> <p>2 ... flattened-globose and<br/>in or with radiating<br/>mycelium (<i>Amazonia</i>)</p> <p>3 ... globose</p> <p>(3) Mycelial setae</p> <p>0 ... absent</p> <p>1 ... present (<i>Meliola</i>)</p> <p>(4) Setae and appendages of<br/>Ascomata</p> <p>0 ... absent (<i>Asteridiella</i>)</p> <p>1 ... with larviform appen-<br/>dages (<i>Appendiculella</i>)</p> <p>2 ... with setae (<i>Irenopsis</i>)</p> <p>3 ... with setae and appen-<br/>dages (<i>Prataprajella</i>)</p> <p>II – Group (morphology of ap-<br/>pressoria, mycelial setae and po-<br/>sition of phialides)</p> <p>(1) Appressoria (capitate hy-<br/>phopodia)</p> <p>1 ... alternate and /or uni-<br/>lateral</p> | <p>2 ... opposite</p> <p>3 ... alternate and opposite</p> <p>(2) Head cells of appressoria</p> <p>1 ... entire</p> <p>2 ... angulose to slightly<br/>lobate</p> <p>3 ... sublobate to deeply<br/>lobate</p> <p>(3) Phialides (Mucronate hy-<br/>phopodia)</p> <p>1 ... mixed with appres-<br/>soria</p> <p>2 ... borne on a separate<br/>mycelial branch</p> <p>(4) Mycelial setae</p> <p>0 ... absent</p> <p>1 ... simple, straight, acute<br/>to obtuse at the tip</p> <p>2 ... simple, uncinata to<br/>coiled</p> <p>3 ... dentate or shortly fur-<br/>cate (&gt; 30 µm)</p> <p>4 ... branched and the<br/>branchlets diverged</p> <p>5 ... simple and dentate</p> <p>6 ... simple and branched<br/>or furcate</p> <p>(5) Ascospores</p> <p>1 ... 1-septate</p> |
|---|--|

- |                                  |                                     |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2 ... 2-septate                  | (3) width of ascospores             |
| 3 ... 3-septate                  | 1 ... up to 10 $\mu\text{m}$        |
| 4 ... 4-septate                  | 2 ... 11–20 $\mu\text{m}$           |
| III – Group (measurements)       | 3 ... 21–30 $\mu\text{m}$           |
| (1) Length of mycelial cells     | 4 ... 31 $\mu\text{m}$ and above    |
| 1 ... up to 10 $\mu\text{m}$     | (4) Diameter of Ascomata            |
| 2 ... 11–20 $\mu\text{m}$        | 1 ... up to 100 $\mu\text{m}$       |
| 3 ... 21–30 $\mu\text{m}$        | 2 ... 101–200 $\mu\text{m}$         |
| 4 ... 31–40 $\mu\text{m}$        | 3 ... 201–300 $\mu\text{m}$         |
| 5 ... 41 $\mu\text{m}$ and above | 4 ... 301 $\mu\text{m}$ and above   |
| (2) Length of ascospores         | (5) Length of setae                 |
| 1 ... up to 20 $\mu\text{m}$     | 0 ... setae absent                  |
| 2 ... 21–30 $\mu\text{m}$        | 1 ... up to 300 $\mu\text{m}$       |
| 3 ... 31–40 $\mu\text{m}$        | 2 ... 301–500 $\mu\text{m}$         |
| 4 ... 41–50 $\mu\text{m}$        | 3 ... 501–1000 $\mu\text{m}$        |
| 5 ... 51–60 $\mu\text{m}$        | 4 ... 1000 $\mu\text{m}$ and above. |
| 6 ... 61 $\mu\text{m}$ and above |                                     |

The first four digits allow one to distinguish genera as shown below:

- 1300 – *Endomeliola*
- 2100 – *Pauhia*
- 2200 – *Amazonia*
- 2300 – *Asteridiella***
- 2301 – *Appendiculella*
- 2302 – *Irenopsis*
- 2303 – *Prataprajella*
- 2310 – *Meliola*

As an example, let's describe the first species in the Hansford's Monograph with the digital formula.

***Asteridiella crustacea*** (Speg.) Hansf., Sydowia 10: 47, 1957. Hansf., Sydowia, Beih. 2: 26, 1961.

Colonies epiphyllous, up to 1.5 mm in diameter. – Hyphae substraight to undulate, branching opposite, acute, densely reticulate and subsolid, cells  $15\text{--}20 \times 10\text{--}12 \mu\text{m}$ . – Appressoria alternate, antrorse, straight or slightly bent, mostly 30–35  $\mu\text{m}$  long; stalk cells cuneate, 6–14  $\mu\text{m}$  long; head cells clavate with crenate to sublobate margin,  $20\text{--}25 \times 12\text{--}18 \mu\text{m}$ . – Phialides numerous in some colonies, rare in others, mixed with appressoria, opposite or alternate, ampulliform,  $22\text{--}30 \times 8\text{--}10 \mu\text{m}$ , neck elongated. – Perithecia scattered, verrucose, up to 290  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter, perithecial wall cells rounded to obtusely conoid, up to 15  $\mu\text{m}$  high. – Ascospores ellipsoidal, obtuse, 4-septate, constricted at the septa,  $60\text{--}75 \times 30\text{--}34 \mu\text{m}$ .

On leaves of *Drimys* sp., Brazil, Puiggari, type (SPEG).

This description converts into the digital formula as:

2300.12104. 26430 Colonies epiphyllous, dense; hyphae substraight to undulate, closely reticulate and subsolid; appressoria antrorse, 30–35 µm long . . . . . *Asteridiella crustacea*

### Acknowledgments

Thanks are due to Dr. G. M. Nair, Director and Dr. T. K. Abraham, Deputy Director, TBGRI, Palode for the facilities.

### References

- Beeli, M. (1920). Note sur le Genre *Meliola*. – Bull. Jard. Bot. Bruxelles 7: 89–160.
- Hansford, C. G. (1961). The Meliolaceae. A Monograph. – Sydowia. Beih 2: 1–806.
- Hosagoudar, V. B. (1996). Meliolales of India. – Botanical Survey of India, Calcutta, pp. 363.
- , T. K. Abraham & P. Pushpangadan (1997). The Meliolineae. A Supplement. – Tropical botanic Garden and Research Institute, Palode, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, pp. 201.
- Hu, Y., Y. Ouyang, B. Song & G. Jiang (1996). Flora Fungorum Sinicorum. Vol. 4. Meliolales (1). – Science Press Beijing, pp. 270, plates IV.
- , B. Song, Y. Ouyang & G. Jiang (1999). Flora Fungorum Sinicorum. Vol. 11. Meliolales (2) - Science Press Beijing, pp. 252.
- Mibey, R. K. & D. L. Hawksworth (1997). Meliolaceae and Asterinaceae of the Shimba Hills, Kenya. – Mycol. Pap. 174: 1–108.
- Stevens, F. L. (1927). The Meliolineae – I. – Ann. Mycol. 25: 405–469.
- (1928). The Meliolineae – II. – Ann. Mycol. 26: 165–383.

(Manuscript accepted 11<sup>th</sup> May 2003)

# ZOBODAT - [www.zobodat.at](http://www.zobodat.at)

Zoologisch-Botanische Datenbank/Zoological-Botanical Database

Digitale Literatur/Digital Literature

Zeitschrift/Journal: [Sydowia](#)

Jahr/Year: 2003

Band/Volume: [55](#)

Autor(en)/Author(s): Hosagoudar Virupakshagouda B.

Artikel/Article: [Digital formula for the identification of Meliolaceae. 168-171](#)