Lead Human Exposure and Health Risk Assessments and Ecological Risk Assessment for Selected Areas

Pilot Phase

EXTERNAL REVIEW DRAFT TECHNICAL REPORT

December 2006

Prepared for:

Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Research Triangle Park, NC

Prepared by:

ICF International Research Triangle Park, NC

Work Funded Through:

Contract 68-D01-052, Work Assignment IV-5; and Contract EP-D-06-115, Work Assignment 0-4

Zachary Pekar, Work Assignment Manager Nancy Riley, Project Officer

DISCLAIMER

This report is being furnished to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) by ICF International in partial fulfillment of Contract No. EP-D-06-115, Work Assignment No. 0-4. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the EPA or ICF International. This document is being circulated to obtain review and comment from the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC) and the general public. Comments on this document should be addressed to Zachary Pekar, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, C504-06, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711 (email: pekar.zachary@epa.gov).

PREFACE

This document has been prepared in support of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) review of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for lead (Pb). This document describes the pilot phase of t the human exposure, health risk and ecological risk assessments. The purpose of the pilot phase is to test the exposure and risk assessment methodologies for the purposes of gaining insights into their performance and to solicit comments from the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC) and the public on the use of these methodologies in the full-scale analysis. Accordingly, the exposure and risk results presented in this document should be considered provisional and are subject to updating as part of the full-scale analysis.

These analyses have involved the implementation of multiple models and the evaluation of their performance is continuing beyond the release of this report. This is particularly the case for models not previously employed for applications such at those pertaining to this review (e.g., human blood Pb and risk models).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1-1
2. Overview of Risk Assessment	2-1
2.1 Case Studies	2-1
2.2 Human Exposure and Health Risk Assessments	2-3
2.2.1 Conceptual Model	2-3
2.2.2 Spatial Scale	2-9
2.2.3 Exposure Assessment	2-10
2.2.3.1 Estimation of Media Concentrations	
2.2.3.2 Blood Lead Modeling	
2.2.4 Risk Assessment	
2.2.5 Uncertainty/Variability Analysis	
2.3 Ecological Risk Assessment	
2.3.1 Conceptual Model	
2.3.2 Spatial Scale	2-22
2.3.3 Exposure Assessment	2-22
2.3.4 Effects Assessment	2-23
2.3.5 Risk Characterization	2-23
2.3.6 Uncertainty/Variability Analysis	
3. Case Study Descriptions	
3.1 Primary Pb Smelter Case Study	
3.1.1 Description of Case Study Location	
3.1.2 Description of Primary Pb Smelter	
3.1.3 Human Exposure Measurements	
3.1.4 Emissions	
3.1.5 Summary of Environmental Data	
3.1.6 Ecological Characterization	
3.1.6.1 Description of Site and Surroundings	
3.1.6.2 Description of Available Pb Environmental Data	
3.2 Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study	
3.2.1 Description of Case Study Location	
3.2.2 Description of Secondary Pb Smelter	
3.2.3 Human Exposure Measurements	
3.2.4 Emissions	
3.2.5 Summary of Environmental Data	
3.2.6 Ecological Characterization	
3.2.6.1 Description of Site and Surroundings	
3.2.6.2 Description of Available Data	
3.3 Near Roadway Urban Case Study	
3.3.1 Description of Case Study Location	
3.3.2 Human Exposure Measurements	
3.3.3 Emissions	

3.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Case Study 3-21 3.5 Ecologically Vulnerable Case Study 3-22 3.6 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Screening Assessment 3-24 3.6 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Screening Assessment 3-24 4. Estimates of Media Concentrations 4-1 4.1 Primary Pb Smelter 4-1 4.1.2 Nir 4-4 4.1.2.1 Study Area and Air Dispersion Modeling 4-4 4.1.2.3 Litudy Area and Air Dispersion Modeling 4-4 4.1.2.3 Litudo Concentrations 4-4 4.1.2.4 Nir Modeling Performance Assessment 4-12 4.1.5 Outdoor Surface Soil. 4-12 4.1.5 Surface Water and Sediment 4-17 4.1.5.1 Surface Water 4-11 4.2.1 Spatial Template 4-21 4.2.2 Litudo Vater 4-22 4.2.2.3 Litudo Deling Medeling Performance Assessment 4-24 4.2.2.3 Litudo Deling Results 4-24 4.2.2.4 Litudoleing Performance Assessment 4-24	3.3.4 Summary of Environmental Data	
3.5 Ecologically Vulnerable Case Study 3-22 3.6 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Screening Assessment 3-24 4. Estimates of Media Concentrations 4-1 4.1 Primary Pb Smelter 4-1 4.1.1 Spatial Template 4-1 4.1.2 Air 4-4 4.1.2.1 Study Area and Air Dispersion Modeling 4-4 4.1.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-4 4.1.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-5 4.1.2 Air 4-4 4.1.2 Air and Deposition Results 4-5 4.1.2 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4-8 4.1.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4-17 4.1.5.1 Surface Water and Sediment 4-17 4.1.5.2 Sediment 4-19 4.2 Secondary Pb Smelter 4-21 4.2.2 Air 4-21 4.2.2 Air 4-22 4.2.2 Air 4-24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-25 4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4-24 4.2.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4-24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-25 4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4-24 4.2.3 Outdoor Surf	3.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Case Study	
3.6 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Screening Assessment 3-24 4. Estimates of Media Concentrations 4-1 4.1 Primary Pb Smelter 4-1 4.1.1 Spatial Template 4-1 4.1.2 Air 4-4 4.1.2.1 Study Area and Air Dispersion Modeling 4-4 4.1.2.1 Study Area and Air Dispersion Modeling 4-4 4.1.2.1 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-4 4.1.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-5 4.1.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4-12 4.1.4 Indoor Dust 4-16 4.1.5 Surface Water and Sediment 4-17 4.1.5.1 Surface Water 4-18 4.1.5.2 Sediment 4-19 4.2.1 Spatial Template 4-21 4.2.2 Air 4-24 4.2.2.1 har Dispersion Modeling 4-24 4.2.2.2 Air 4-24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-25 4.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-24 4.3.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario 4-33 4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario 4-33 4.3.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-34 4.3.2.1 Inabletin Air Concentrations 4-33	3.5 Ecologically Vulnerable Case Study	
4. Estimates of Media Concentrations 4-1 4.1 Primary Pb Smelter 4-1 4.1.1 Spatial Template 4-1 4.1.2 Air 4-4 4.1.2.1 Study Area and Air Dispersion Modeling 4-4 4.1.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-5 4.1.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4-18 4.1.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4-12 4.1.4 Indoor Dust 4-15 4.1.5.1 Surface Water 4-18 4.1.5.2 Sediment 4-19 4.2 Secondary Pb Smelter 4-21 4.2.1 Air Dispersion Modeling 4-24 4.2.2.1 Air Dispersion Modeling 4-24 4.2.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-25 4.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4-27 4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4-29 4.2.3.1 Performance Evaluation for Soil Concentration Estimates 4-30 4.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-33 <	3.6 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Screening Assessment	
4. Estimates of Media Concentrations 4-1 4.1 Primary Pb Smelter 4-1 4.1.1 Spatial Template 4-1 4.1.2 Air 4-4 4.1.2.1 Study Area and Air Dispersion Modeling 4-4 4.1.2.1 Study Area and Air Dispersion Modeling 4-4 4.1.2.1 Istudy Area and Air Dispersion Modeling 4-4 4.1.2.1 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-4 4.1.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-5 4.1.3 Outdoor Surface Soil. 4-15 4.1.4 Indoor Dust 4-15 4.1.5 Surface Water and Sediment. 4-16 4.1.5.1 Surface Water 4-18 4.1.5.2 Sediment. 4-17 4.2.1.5 Surface Water 4-18 4.1.5.2 Sediment. 4-21 4.2.2.1 Air Dispersion Modeling 4-24 4.2.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-25 4.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4-27 4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil. 4-29 4.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-22 4.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-23 4.3.3 Spatial Template 4-30 <		
4.1 Primary Pb Smelter 4-1 4.1.1 Spatial Template 4-1 4.1.2 Air 4-4 4.1.2.1 Study Area and Air Dispersion Modeling 4-4 4.1.2.1 Study Area and Air Dispersion Modeling 4-4 4.1.2.1 Study Area and Air Dispersion Modeling 4-4 4.1.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-4 4.1.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-5 4.1.3 Outdoor Surface Soil. 4-12 4.1.4 Indoor Dust 4-17 4.1.5 Surface Water and Sediment. 4-17 4.1.5.1 Surface Water 4-18 4.1.5.2 Sediment 4-19 4.2.2 Sociondary Pb Smelter 4-21 4.2.1 Spatial Template 4-21 4.2.2 Air 4-22 4.2.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-25 4.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4-27 4.2.3 Nutrate Soil 4-29 4.3.3 Nutrate Soil 4-27 4.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Exasters 4-30 4.2.4 Indoor Dust 4-31 4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario 4-32 4.3.1 Spatial T	4. Estimates of Media Concentrations	
4.1.1 Spatial Template 4-1 4.1.2 Air 4-4 4.1.2.1 Study Area and Air Dispersion Modeling 4-4 4.1.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-4 4.1.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-5 4.1.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4-4 4.1.2.5 Air and Deposition Results 4-15 4.1.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4-11 4.1.4 Indoor Dust 4-15 4.1.5 Surface Water and Sediment 4-17 4.1.5.1 Surface Water 4-18 4.1.5.2 Sediment 4-19 4.2 Secondary Pb Smelter 4-21 4.2.1 Air Dispersion Modeling 4-24 4.2.2.1 Air Dispersion Modeling 4-24 4.2.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-25 4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4-29 4.2.4 in Modeling Performance Assessment 4-31 4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario 4-32 4.3.1 Spatial Template 4-32 4.3.2 Inholation Exposure Concentrations 4-33 4.3.3 Soil 4-32 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-34 4.3.	4.1 Primary Pb Smelter	4-1
4.1.2 Air 4.4 4.1.2.1 Study Area and Air Dispersion Modeling 4.4 4.1.2.1 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4.4 4.1.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4.4 4.1.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4.5 4.1.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4.8 4.1.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4.12 4.1.4 Indoor Dust 4.15 4.1.5 Surface Water and Sediment 4.17 4.1.5.1 Surface Water 4.18 4.1.5.2 Sediment 4.19 4.2 Secondary Pb Smelter 4.21 4.2.2 Air 4.22 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4.24 4.2.2.1 halation Exposure Concentrations 4.24 4.2.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4.24 4.2.3.1 Performance Evaluation for Soil Concentration Estimates 4.30 4.2.4 Indoor Surface Soil 4.29 4.3.1 Spatial Template 4.31 4.3.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario 4.32 4.3.4 Air. 4.33 4.3.2.1 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4.33 4.3.3 Soil 4.32 4.33 4.3.3 4.33 4.34 4.3	4.1.1 Spatial Template	4-1
4.1.2.1 Study Area and Air Dispersion Modeling 4-4 4.1.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-4 4.1.2.3 Air modeling Performance Assessment 4-8 4.1.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4-12 4.1.4 Indoor Dust 4-15 4.1.5 Surface Water and Sediment. 4-17 4.1.5.1 Surface Water 4-18 4.1.5.2 Sediment 4-19 4.2.2 Secondary Pb Smelter 4-21 4.2.1 Spatial Template 4-21 4.2.2 Air 4-24 4.2.2.1 Air Dispersion Modeling 4-24 4.2.2.1 Air and Deposition Results 4-25 4.2.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-26 4.2.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4-27 4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4-29 4.2.3.1 Performance Evaluation for Soil Concentration Estimates 4-30 4.3.2 Air 4-33 4-32 4.3.3 Soil 4-33 4-32	4.1.2 Air	4-4
4.1.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4.4 4.1.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4.5 4.1.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4.8 4.1.3 Outdoor Surface Soil. 4.12 4.1.4 Indoor Dust 4.15 4.1.5 Surface Water and Sediment. 4.16 4.1.5.1 Surface Water and Sediment. 4.17 4.1.5.2 Sediment 4.19 4.2 Secondary Pb Smelter 4.21 4.2.1 Air Dispersion Modeling 4.24 4.2.2 Air 4.21 4.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4.24 4.2.2.1 Air Dispersion Modeling 4.24 4.2.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4.24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4.25 4.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4.27 4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil. 4.29 4.2.4 Indoor Dust 4.31 4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario 4.32 4.3.2 Air. 4.33 4.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4.33 4.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4.33 4.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4.33 4.3.2.4 Indoor Dust 4	4.1.2.1 Study Area and Air Dispersion Modeling	4-4
4.1.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-5 4.1.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4-8 4.1.3 Outdoor Surface Soil. 4-12 4.1.4 Indoor Dust 4-15 4.1.5 Surface Water and Sediment. 4-17 4.1.5.1 Surface Water 4-18 4.1.5.2 Sediment. 4-19 4.2 Secondary Pb Smelter 4-21 4.2.1 Spatial Template 4-21 4.2.2 Air 4-24 4.2.2.1 Air Dispersion Modeling 4-24 4.2.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-25 4.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4-27 4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4-29 4.2.4 Indoor Dust 4-31 4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location for Soil Concentration Estimates 4-33 4.3.1 Spatial Template 4-32 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.3 Soil 4-32.1 Ambient Air Concentrations 4-33 4.3.3 Soil 4-33 4.3.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations 4-39 4.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site 4-40 4.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk	4.1.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations	4-4
4.1.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4-8 4.1.3 Outdoor Surface Soil. 4-12 4.1.4 Indoor Dust 4-15 4.1.5 Surface Water and Sediment. 4-17 4.1.5.1 Surface Water and Sediment. 4-19 4.2.2 Secondary Pb Smelter 4-21 4.2.1 Spatial Template 4-21 4.2.2 Air 4-21 4.2.2.1 Air Dispersion Modeling 4-24 4.2.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-25 4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4-29 4.2.4 Indoor Dust 4-31 4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario 4-32 4.3.1 Spatial Template 4-32 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.3 Soil 4-34 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.2 Air. 4-34 4.3.3 Soil 4-34 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-38 4.3.5 Soil Concentrations 4-38 4.4.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4-32 4.3.3 Soil 4-32 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-31	4.1.2.3 Air and Deposition Results	
4.1.3 Outdoor Surface Soil	4.1.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment	
4.1.4 Indoor Dust 4-15 4.1.5 Surface Water and Sediment. 4-17 4.1.5.1 Surface Water 4-18 4.1.5.2 Sediment. 4-19 4.2 Secondary Pb Smelter 4-21 4.2.1 Spatial Template 4-21 4.2.2 Air 4-24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-25 4.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-27 4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4-29 4.2.3 I Performance Evaluation for Soil Concentration Estimates 4-30 4.3.1 Performance Evaluation for Soil Concentration Estimates 4-31 4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario 4-32 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.3 Varial Template 4-33 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-33 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.3 Soil 4-35 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-34 4.3.2 I Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-34 4.3.2 I Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-34 4.3.3 Soil 4-35 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-36 4.4.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk Sc	4.1.3 Outdoor Surface Soil	
4.1.5 Surface Water and Sediment 4.17 4.1.5.1 Surface Water 4.18 4.1.5.2 Sediment 4.19 4.2 Secondary Pb Smelter 4.21 4.2.1 Spatial Template 4.21 4.2.2 Air 4.24 4.2.2.1 Air Dispersion Modeling 4.24 4.2.2.1 Air Dispersion Modeling 4.24 4.2.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4.24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4.25 4.2.3.4 Modeling Performance Assessment 4.27 4.2.3.1 Performance Evaluation for Soil Concentration Estimates 4.30 4.2.4 Indoor Dust 4.31 4.3.1 Spatial Template 4.32 4.3.2 Air. 4.33 4.3.3 Soil 4.32 4.3.4 A.3.2.1 Inbient Air Concentrations 4.33 4.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4.33 4.3.3 Soil 4.34 4.33 4.3.2.1 Ambient Air Concentrations 4.34 4.3.3 Soil	4.1.4 Indoor Dust	
4.1.5.1 Surface Water 4.18 4.1.5.2 Sediment 4.19 4.2 Secondary Pb Smelter 4.21 4.2.1 Spatial Template 4.21 4.2.2 Air 4.21 4.2.2.1 Air Dispersion Modeling 4.24 4.2.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4.24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4.25 4.2.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4.27 4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4.29 4.2.3.1 Performance Evaluation for Soil Concentration Estimates 4.30 4.2.4 Indoor Dust 4.31 4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario 4.32 4.3.2 Air. 4.33 4.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4.33 4.3.3 Soil 4.32.1 Ambient Air Concentrations 4.33 4.3.2 Air. 4.33 4.3.3 Soil 4.34 4.33 4.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations 4.39 4.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site 4.39 4.4.2 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site 4.40 4.5 National-Scale Surface Water Concentrations in the NAWQA Database 4.41 4.5.2 Dissolved Pb Surface Water Concentrations in the NAWQA Da	4.1.5 Surface Water and Sediment	
4.1.5.2 Sediment 4-19 4.2 Secondary Pb Smelter 4-21 4.2.1 Spatial Template 4-21 4.2.2 Air 4-24 4.2.2.2 Air 4-24 4.2.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-25 4.2.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4-27 4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4-29 4.2.3.1 Performance Evaluation for Soil Concentration Estimates 4-30 4.2.4 Indoor Dust 4-31 4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario 4-32 4.3.1 Spatial Template 4-33 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.3 Soil 4-33 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-34 4.3.3 Soil 4-35 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-33 4.3.5 Soil 4-34 4.3.6 Soil 4-35 4.3.7 Soil 4-38 4.4 4-40 4.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk Screening Assessment 4-41 4.5.1 Assessment of Available Data Sources for Surface Water Data 4-44 4.5.2 Dissolved Pb Surface Water Concentrations in the NAWQA Database 4-46	4.1.5.1 Surface Water	
4.2 Secondary Pb Smelter 4-21 4.2.1 Spatial Template 4-21 4.2.2 Air 4-24 4.2.2.1 Air Dispersion Modeling 4-24 4.2.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-25 4.2.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4-27 4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil. 4-29 4.2.3.1 Performance Evaluation for Soil Concentration Estimates 4-30 4.2.4 Indoor Dust 4-31 4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario 4-32 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-34 4.3.3 Soil 4-35 4.3.4 Near Roadway Urban Locations 4-33 4.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-33 4.3.3 Soil 4-35 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-36 4.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations. 4-39 4.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Corpus Christi, Texas Site 4-39 4.4.2 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site 4-40 4.5.1 Assessment of Available Data Sources for Surface Water Data 4-41 4.5.2 Dissolved P	4.1.5.2 Sediment	4-19
4.2.1 Spatial Template 4-21 4.2.2 Air 4-24 4.2.2.1 Air Dispersion Modeling 4-24 4.2.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-25 4.2.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4-27 4.2.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil. 4-29 4.2.3.1 Performance Evaluation for Soil Concentration Estimates 4-30 4.2.4 Indoor Dust 4-31 4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario 4-32 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-34 4.3.3 Soil 4-35 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-34 4.3.3 Soil 4-35 4.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Corpus Christi, Texas Site 4-39 4.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site 4-40 4.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk Screening Assessment .4-41 4.5.2 Dissolved Pb Surface Water Concentrations in the NAWQA Database 4-46 5. Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Estimation 5-1 5.1 Blood Pb Modeling Methods 5-1	4.2. Secondary Ph Smelter	4-21
4.2.2 Air 4-24 4.2.2.1 Air Dispersion Modeling 4-24 4.2.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-25 4.2.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4-27 4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4-29 4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4-29 4.2.3 Lindoor Dust 4-30 4.2.4 Indoor Dust 4-31 4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario 4-32 4.3.1 Spatial Template 4-33 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.2.1 Ambient Air Concentrations 4-33 4.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-34 4.3.3 Soil 4-35 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-38 4.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations 4-39 4.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Corpus Christi, Texas Site 4-39 4.4.2 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site 4-40 4.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk Screening Assessment 4-41 4.5.2 Dissolved Pb Surface Water Concentrations in the NAWQA Database 4-46 5. Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Estimation 5-1 5.1 Blood Pb Modeling Methods <	4.2.1 Spatial Template	4-21
4.2.2.1 Air Dispersion Modeling 4-24 4.2.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-25 4.2.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4-27 4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4-29 4.2.3.1 Performance Evaluation for Soil Concentration Estimates 4-30 4.2.4 Indoor Dust 4-31 4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario 4-32 4.3.1 Spatial Template 4-33 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.2.1 Ambient Air Concentrations 4-33 4.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-34 4.3.3 Soil 4-34 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-33 4.3.5 Soil 4-34 4.3.6 Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations 4-38 4.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations 4-39 4.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Corpus Christi, Texas Site 4-39 4.4.2 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site 4-40 4.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk Screening Assessment .4-41 4.5.2 Dissolved Pb Surface Water Concentrations in the NAWQA Database 4-45 4.5.3 Total Pb Sediment Concentrations from NAWQA Database 4-46 5. Human E	4.2.2 Air	4-24
4.2.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4.24 4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4.25 4.2.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4.27 4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4.29 4.2.3.1 Performance Evaluation for Soil Concentration Estimates 4.30 4.2.4 Indoor Dust 4.31 4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario 4.32 4.3.1 Spatial Template 4.32 4.3.2 Air. 4.33 4.3.2.1 Ambient Air Concentrations 4.33 4.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4.34 4.3.3 Soil 4.34 4.3.4 Hoor Dust 4.38 4.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations 4.38 4.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations 4.39 4.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Corpus Christi, Texas Site 4.39 4.4.2 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site 4.40 4.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk Screening Assessment 4.41 4.5.1 Assessment of Available Data Sources for Surface Water Data 4.4	4.2.2.1 Air Dispersion Modeling	4-24
4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results 4-25 4.2.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4-27 4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4-29 4.2.3.1 Performance Evaluation for Soil Concentration Estimates 4-30 4.2.4 Indoor Dust 4-31 4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario 4-32 4.3.1 Spatial Template 4-33 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.2.1 Ambient Air Concentrations 4-33 4.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-34 4.3.3 Soil 4-35 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-38 4.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations 4-39 4.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Corpus Christi, Texas Site 4-39 4.4.2 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site 4-40 4.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk Screening Assessment .4-41 4.5.2 Dissolved Pb Surface Water Concentrations in the NAWQA Database 4-46 5. Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Estimation 5-1 5.1 Blood Pb Modeling Methods 5-1 5.1.1 Overview of Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Modeling Approach 5-1	4.2.2.2.1 Inh Dispersion Proceeding	4-24
4.2.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment 4-27 4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil 4-29 4.2.3.1 Performance Evaluation for Soil Concentration Estimates 4-30 4.2.4 Indoor Dust 4-31 4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario 4-32 4.3.1 Spatial Template 4-32 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.2.1 Ambient Air Concentrations 4-33 4.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-34 4.3.3 Soil 4-35 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-38 4.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Corpus Christi, Texas Site 4-39 4.4.2 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site 4-40 4.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk Screening Assessment .4-41 4.5.2 Dissolved Pb Surface Water Concentrations in the NAWQA Database 4-46 5. Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Estimation 5-1 5.1 Blood Pb Modeling Methods 5-1 5.1.1 Overview of Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Modeling Approach 5-1	4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results	4-25
4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil. 4-29 4.2.3.1 Performance Evaluation for Soil Concentration Estimates 4-30 4.2.4 Indoor Dust 4-31 4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario 4-32 4.3.1 Spatial Template 4-32 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.2.1 Ambient Air Concentrations 4-33 4.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-34 4.3.3 Soil 4-35 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-35 4.3.5 Air. 4-36 4.3.6 Voncentrations 4-37 4.3.7 Soil 4-35 4.3.8 Voncentrations 4-36 4.3.9 Voncentrations 4-37 4.3.1 Moor Dust 4-38 4.4.3 Soil Concentrations at Corpus Christi, Texas Site 4-39 4.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site 4-40 4.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk Screening Assessment .4-41 4.5.2 Dissolved Pb Surface Water Concentrations in the NAWQA Database 4-45 4.5.3 Total Pb Sediment Concentrations from NAWQA Database 4-46 5. Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Estimation 5-1 5.1 Blood Pb Modeling Methods 5-1 5.1.1 Overview	4.2.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment	4-27
4.2.3.1 Performance Evaluation for Soil Concentration Estimates 4-30 4.2.4 Indoor Dust 4-31 4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario 4-32 4.3.1 Spatial Template 4-32 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.2.1 Ambient Air Concentrations 4-33 4.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-34 4.3.3 Soil 4-35 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-35 4.3.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations 4-39 4.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Corpus Christi, Texas Site 4-39 4.4.2 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site 4-40 4.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk Screening Assessment .4-41 4.5.2 Dissolved Pb Surface Water Concentrations in the NAWQA Database 4-45 4.5.3 Total Pb Sediment Concentrations from NAWQA Database 4-46 5. Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Estimation 5-1 5.1 Blood Pb Modeling Methods 5-1 5.1.1 Overview of Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Estimation 5-1	4 2 3 Outdoor Surface Soil	4-29
4.2.4 Indoor Dust 4-31 4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario 4-32 4.3.1 Spatial Template 4-32 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.2.1 Ambient Air Concentrations 4-33 4.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-34 4.3.3 Soil 4-35 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-36 4.3.5 Value 4-38 4.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations 4-39 4.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Corpus Christi, Texas Site 4-39 4.4.2 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site 4-40 4.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk Screening Assessment .4-41 4.5.2 Dissolved Pb Surface Water Concentrations in the NAWQA Database 4.5.3 Total Pb Sediment Concentrations from NAWQA Database 4-46 5. Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Estimation 5-1 5.1 Blood Pb Modeling Methods 5-1 5.1.1 Overview of Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Modeling Approach 5-1	4 2 3 1 Performance Evaluation for Soil Concentration Estimates	4-30
4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario4-324.3.1 Spatial Template4-324.3.2 Air.4-334.3.2.1 Ambient Air Concentrations4-334.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations4-344.3.3 Soil4-354.3.4 Indoor Dust4-384.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations4-394.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Corpus Christi, Texas Site4-394.4.2 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site4-394.4.2 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site4-404.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk Screening Assessment4-414.5.2 Dissolved Pb Surface Water Concentrations in the NAWQA Database4-454.5.3 Total Pb Sediment Concentrations from NAWQA Database4-465. Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Estimation5-15.1 Doverview of Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Modeling Approach5-1	4 2 4 Indoor Dust	4-31
4.3.1 Spatial Template 4-32 4.3.2 Air. 4-33 4.3.2.1 Ambient Air Concentrations 4-33 4.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-34 4.3.3 Soil 4-35 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-35 4.3.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations 4-39 4.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Corpus Christi, Texas Site 4-39 4.4.2 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site 4-40 4.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk Screening Assessment 4-41 4.5.2 Dissolved Pb Surface Water Concentrations in the NAWQA Database 4-45 4.5.3 Total Pb Sediment Concentrations from NAWQA Database 4-46 5. Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Estimation 5-1 5.1 Blood Pb Modeling Methods 5-1 5.1.1 Overview of Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Estimation 5-1	4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario	4-32
4.3.2 Air.4-334.3.2.1 Ambient Air Concentrations4-334.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations4-344.3.3 Soil4-354.3.4 Indoor Dust4-384.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations4-394.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Corpus Christi, Texas Site4-394.4.2 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site4-404.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk Screening Assessment4-414.5.1 Assessment of Available Data Sources for Surface Water Data4-414.5.2 Dissolved Pb Surface Water Concentrations in the NAWQA Database4-465. Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Estimation5-15.1 Blood Pb Modeling Methods5-15.1.1 Overview of Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Modeling Approach5-1	4 3 1 Spatial Template	4-32
4.3.2.1 Ambient Air Concentrations4-334.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations4-344.3.3 Soil4-354.3.4 Indoor Dust4-354.3.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations4-394.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Corpus Christi, Texas Site4-394.4.2 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site4-404.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk Screening Assessment4-414.5.1 Assessment of Available Data Sources for Surface Water Data4-454.5.3 Total Pb Sediment Concentrations in the NAWQA Database4-465. Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Estimation5-15.1 Blood Pb Modeling Methods5-15.1.1 Overview of Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Modeling Approach5-1	432 Air	4-33
4.3.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations 4-34 4.3.3 Soil 4-35 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-35 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-38 4.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations. 4-39 4.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Corpus Christi, Texas Site. 4-39 4.4.2 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site 4-40 4.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk Screening Assessment 4-41 4.5.1 Assessment of Available Data Sources for Surface Water Data 4-41 4.5.2 Dissolved Pb Surface Water Concentrations in the NAWQA Database 4-46 5. Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Estimation. 5-1 5.1 Blood Pb Modeling Methods 5-1 5.1.1 Overview of Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Modeling Approach 5-1	4 3 2 1 Ambient Air Concentrations	4-33
4.3.3 Soil 4-35 4.3.4 Indoor Dust 4-38 4.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations 4-39 4.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Corpus Christi, Texas Site 4-39 4.4.2 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site 4-39 4.4.2 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site 4-40 4.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk Screening Assessment 4-41 4.5.1 Assessment of Available Data Sources for Surface Water Data 4-41 4.5.2 Dissolved Pb Surface Water Concentrations in the NAWQA Database 4-45 4.5.3 Total Pb Sediment Concentrations from NAWQA Database 4-46 5. Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Estimation 5-1 5.1 Blood Pb Modeling Methods 5-1 5.1.1 Overview of Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Modeling Approach 5-1	4 3 2 2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations	4-34
 4.3.4 Indoor Dust	4 3 3 Soil	4-35
 4.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations	4 3 4 Indoor Dust	4-38
 4.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Corpus Christi, Texas Site	4.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations	4-39
 4.4.2 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site	4.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Corpus Christi Texas Site	4-39
 4.4.2 Son Concentrations at Attec, Virginia Site and Section 2014 (1998) 4.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk Screening Assessment4-41 4.5.1 Assessment of Available Data Sources for Surface Water Data	4.4.2 Soil Concentrations at Atlee Virginia Site	4-40
 4.5.1 Assessment of Available Data Sources for Surface Water Data	4.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk Screening Asses	sment 4-41
 4.5.2 Dissolved Pb Surface Water Concentrations in the NAWQA Database	4.5.1 Assessment of Available Data Sources for Surface Water Data	<u>4-41</u>
 4.5.3 Total Pb Sediment Concentrations from NAWQA Database	4.5.2 Dissolved Ph Surface Water Concentrations in the NAWOA Database	4-45
 5. Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Estimation	4.5.2 Dissolved 10 Surface Water Concentrations in the WWW QA Database	4-46
 5. Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Estimation		
5.1 Blood Pb Modeling Methods	5 Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Estimation	5-1
5.1.1 Overview of Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Modeling Approach	5.1 Blood Pb Modeling Methods	5-1
	5.1.1 Overview of Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Modeling Approx	oach5-1

5.1.2 Selection of Blood Pb Models	5-3
5.1.2.1 The IEUBK Model	5-3
5.1.2.2 The Leggett Model	5-5
5.1.3 Application of Blood Pb Models	5-8
5.1.3.1 Key Modeling Assumptions	5-8
5.1.3.2 Adaptation of IEUBK Model	5-8
5.1.3.3 Adaptation of Leggett Model	5-9
5.1.3.4 Implementation of the Probabilistic Population Blood Pb Model	5-11
5.1.4 Inputs to the Blood Pb Models	5-12
5.1.4.1 Exposure Concentration Estimates for Policy-Relevant Sources	5-12
5.1.4.2 Policy-Relevant Background Exposure Pathway Concentrations and	
Pb Intake Estimates	5-18
5.1.4.3 Factors Determining Pb Exposure, Intake, and Uptake	5-19
5.1.4.4 Inter-Individual Variability	
5.2 Blood Pb Model Performance Evaluation	5-24
5.2.1 Evaluation Versus General Population and Site-Specific Blood Pb	
Measurements	5-24
5.2.2 Stability of Percentile Blood Pb Estimates	5-27
5.3 Limitations and Uncertainties in the Human Exposure Assessment and Blood	
Pb Modeling	5-27
5.3.1 Exposure Concentrations and Intake Estimates	
5.3.2 Exposure, Intake and Uptake Parameters and Individual Variability	5-31
5.4 Blood Pb Distribution Estimates for the Three Case Studies	5-31
5.4.1 Primary Pb Smelter	5-31
5.4.2 Secondary Pb Smelter	5-32
5.4.3 Near Roadway Urban Case Study	5-33
6. Estimation of Human Health Risk	6-1
6.1 Methods	6-1
6.1.1 Overview of Approach	6-1
6.1.2 Blood Pb-IQ Relationships	6-1
6.2 Risk Assessment Results	
6.2.1 Primary Pb Smelter Case Study	6-3
6.2.2 Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study	6-6
6.2.3 Near Roadway Urban Case Study	6-9
6.3 Uncertainty and Variability in the IQ Loss Estimates	6-11
6.3.1 Sources of Uncertainty	6-11
6.3.2 Sensitivity Analysis Methodologgy	6-12
6.4 Sensitivity Analysis Results	6-15
6.4.1 Alternative House Dust Pb Concentration Models	6-15

6.4.6 Alternative Blood Pb-IQ Models and Uncertainty Related to Statistical	
Fit of Models	6-24
6.4.7 Alternative Blood Pb Metrics	6-26
6.4.8 Alternative Blood Pb Cutpoint for IQ Loss Estimation	6-27
6.4.9 Summary of Sensitivity Analysis	6-28
7. Ecological Risk Assessment	7-1
7.1 Methods	7-1
7.1.1 Case Studies	7-1
7.1.2 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Screening Assessment	7-1
7.1.3 Ecotoxicity Screening Values	7-3
7.1.3.1 Soil Screening Values	7-3
7.1.3.2 Water Quality Criteria	7-5
7.1.3.3 Sediment Screening Values	7-7
7.2 Exposure and Risk Estimates	7-8
7.2.1 Primary Pb Smelter Case Study	7-9
7.2.2 Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study	7-11
7.2.3 Near Roadway Non-Urban Case Study	7-12
7.2.4 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Screening Assessment	7-13
7.2.4.1 Surface Water Column Portion of the Screening Assessment	7-13
7.2.4.2 Sediment Portion of the Screening Assessment	7-21
7.3 Limitations and Uncertainties	7-25
7.3.1 Primary Pb Smelter Case Study	
7.3.2 Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study	
7.3.3 Near Roadway Non-Urban Case Study	
7.3.4 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Screening Assessment	7-27
7.3.4.1 Surface Water Column Screen	7-27
7.3.4.2 Sediment Screen	7-28
8. References	

LIST OF EXHIBITS

<u>Exhib</u>	<u>it</u> <u>Page</u>
2-1	Conceptual Model for Pb Human Exposure and Health Risk Assessments2-5
2-2	Overview of Human Exposure and Health Risk Assessments' Approach for the Pilot Phase
2-3	Models and Measurements Used for Human Exposure and Health Risk Assessments in the Pilot Phase
2-4	Timeframe Reflected in the Pilot Phase Exposure Assessment
2-5	Conceptual Model for Pb Ecological Risk Assessment2-18
2-6	Overview of Ecological Risk Assessment Approach2-19
2-7	Models and Measurements Used for the Ecological Risk Assessment2-20
3-1	Case Studies Included in the Pilot Phase
3-2	Facility Location Map – Primary Pb Smelter
3-3	Summary of 2001 PbB Measurements for Herculaneum Residents
3-4	Summary of 2002 PbB Measurements for Herculaneum Residents
3-5	Summary of Environmental Data Sources for Primary Pb Smelter Case Study
3-6	Distribution of Pb TSP Measurements Across the United States Relative to Monitors Near the Primary Pb Smelter
3-7	Species of Concern Occurring in Jefferson County, Missouri
3-8	Facility Location Map – Secondary Pb Smelter
3-9	Summary of Environmental Data Sources for Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study 3-15
3-10	Distribution of Pb TSP Measurements Across the United States Relative to Monitors Near the Secondary Pb Smelter
3-11	Threatened and Endangered Species in Pike County, Alabama

3-12	Study Area for Near Roadway Case Study, Houston, Texas
3-13	Vehicle Traffic Data for Road Segment of Interest
3-14	Summary of Environmental Data Sources for Near Roadway Urban Case Study
3-15	Summary of Environmental Data Sources for Near Roadway Non-Urban Case Study
3-16	Summary of Environmental Data Sources for HBEF
3-17	Summary of Environmental Data Sources for National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Screening Assessment
3-18	USGS NAWQA Study Units Included in the National-Scale Surface Water Column Screening Assessment
3-19	USGS NAWQA Study Units Included in National-Scale Sediment Screening Assessment
4-1	Modeled Block and Block Groups near Primary Pb Smelter Facility
4-2	Primary Pb Smelter Case Study: Modeled Air Concentrations and Deposition4-6
4-3	Primary Pb Smelter Case Study: Concentration and Deposition Isopleths
4-4	Primary Pb Smelter Case Study Air Concentration Modeled Results Compared to Monitor Values
4-5	Primary Pb Smelter Case Study Deposition Modeled Results Compared to Measured Values4-10
4-6	Wind Rose of Meteorological Data used for Primary Pb Smelter Case Study (Direction in which Wind is Blowing)
4-7	Air Monitor Locations near Primary Pb Smelter4-11
4-8	Average Pre-excavation Soil Measurements and Best-fit Trend Line
4-9	Summary of Primary Pb Smelter Case Study Soil Concentrations Extrapolated from Measurements
4-10	Soil Sample Clusters Used to Estimate Ecological Receptor Exposure via Soil and Corresponding Pb Analysis Results (ELM 2005)

4-11	Primary Pb Smelter Case Study: Modeled Indoor Dust Pb Concentrations
4-12	Joachim Creek Measured Sediment Pb Concentration Data for the Primary Pb Smelter Case Study (ELM 2005)4-20
4-13	Mississippi River Measured Sediment Pb Concentration Data for the Primary Pb Smelter Case Study (ELM2005)
4-14	Other Surface Water—Drainage Areas and U-Shaped Pond—Measured Sediment Pb Concentration Data for the Primary Pb Smelter Case Study (ELM 2005)
4-15	Modeled Blocks and Block Groups at Secondary Pb Smelter Facility
4-16	Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study: Summary of Modeled Air Concentrations and Deposition
4-17	Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study: Concentration and Deposition Isopleths
4-18	Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study Air Concentration Modeled Results Compared to Monitor Values
4-19	Wind Rose of Meteorological Data Used for Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study (Direction in which Wind is Blowing)
4-20	Dry Deposition Fluxes from Studies in the United States
4-21	Summary of Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study Soil Concentrations
4-22	Summary of Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study Soil Concentrations with Distance from Source Compared to Measurements
4-23	Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study: Modeled Indoor Dust Pb Concentrations
4-24	Enhancement Ratios and Air Concentrations for Near Roadway Urban Scenario4-34
4-25	Estimated Inhalation Exposure Concentrations for the Near Roadway Urban Scenario4-35
4-26	Pb Concentrations in Surface Soil, Corpus Christi, Texas
4-27	Near Roadway Surface Soil Pb Concentrations in Pacoima, California

4-28	Estimated Soil Exposure Concentrations for the Near Roadway Urban Scenario4-38
4-29	Near Roadway Urban Case Study: Modeled Indoor Dust Pb Concentrations4-39
4-30	Pb Concentrations in Surface Soil, Corpus Christi, Texas, for Ecological Risk Screening Assessment
4-31	Pb Concentrations in Soil Collected from Potential Ecological Exposure Area, I-95 Interchange, Atlee, Virginia4-41
4-32	Map of NAWQA Study Units4-43
4-33	Distribution of Dissolved Pb Concentrations in Freshwater Surface Waters from the USGS NAWQA Database (USEPA 2006b)
4-34	Number of Dissolved Pb Samples Less than the QL4-46
5-1	Schematic Representation of the Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Modeling Process
5-2	Structure of the IEUBK Model (USEPA 1994; White et al. 1998)
5-3	Structure of the Leggett Biokinetic Model (Leggett 1993)5-6
5-4	Ages for IEUBK-Derived Blood Pb Estimates5-9
5-5	Population Distribution of Inhalation Exposure Concentrations for the Primary Pb Smelter Case Study5-14
5-6	Population Distribution of Inhalation Exposure Concentrations for the Secondary Pb Smelter and Near Roadway Urban Case Studies
5-7	Population Distribution of Soil Exposure Concentrations for the Three Case Studies
5-8	Population Distribution of House Dust Exposure Concentrations for the Primary Pb Smelter Case Study
5-9	Population Distribution of House Dust Exposure Concentrations for the Secondary Pb Smelter and Near-Roadway Urban Case Studies
5-10	Summary of Non-Water Dietary Pb Intake Estimates
5-11	Input Parameter Values for the IEUBK Model5-20

5-12	Summary of Blood Pb Model Evaluation
5-13	Distribution of Blood Pb Percentile Estimates, 100 Receptions of the Probabilistic Blood Pb Model
5-14	Average Contributions of Policy-Relevant Sources and Policy-Relevant Background Pathways to Overall Pb Uptake
5-15	Projected Blood Pb Levels (µg/dL) for Primary Pb Smelter Case Study
5-16	Projected Blood Pb Levels (µg/dL) for Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study
5-17	Projected Blood Pb Levels (µg/dL) for Near Roadway Urban Case Study5-33
6-1	Projected IQ Losses from the Primary Pb Smelter Current Conditions Scenario
6-2	Projected IQ Losses from the Primary Pb Smelter Attainment Scenario
6-3	Average Exposure Pathway Contributions to Total Pb Uptake, Primary Pb Smelter Case Study6-6
6-4	Projected IQ Losses from the Secondary Pb Smelter – Modeled (MPE) Soil Concentration Estimates
6-5	Projected IQ Losses from the Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study – Hybrid Soil Concentration Estimates6-8
6-6	Pathway Contributions to Total Pb Uptake, Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study
6-7	Projected IQ Losses from the Near Roadway Urban Case Study
6-8	Average Exposure Pathway Contributions to Total Pb Uptake, Near Roadway Urban Case Study6-11
6-9	Baseline and Sensitivity Analysis Blood Pb and Risk Estimation Models and Assumptions6-14
6-10	Effects of Alternative House Dust Pb Concentration Models on Estimated Blood Pb Distributions
6-11	Effects of Alternative House Dust Pb Models on Estimated IQ Distributions
6-12	Blood Pb Distributions Generated by the Leggett and IEUBK Blood Pb Models6-18

6-13	IQ Loss Estimates for Primary Pb Smelter Current Conditions Derived Using the Leggett, IEUBK, and Lanphear et al. (1998) Blood Pb Models
6-14	Impacts of Changing Diet and Drinking Water GI Absorption Fractions on Estimated Blood Pb Distributions
6-15	Impacts of Changing Diet and Drinking Water GI Absorption Fractions on Estimated IQ Loss Distributions
6-16	Effects of Von Lindern et al. (2003) Soil and Dust Intake Parameters on Estimated Blood Pb Distributions, Primary Pb Smelter Case Study
6-17	Effects of Von Lindern et al. (2003) Soil and Dust Intake Parameters on Estimated IQ Loss Distributions, Primary Pb Smelter Case Study
6-18	Effects of Varying Individual Variability Estimates on Predicted Blood Pb Distributions
6-19	Effect of Changing the GSD for Individual Variability on Predicted IQ Loss Distributions
6-20	Effects of Alternative Blood Pb-IQ Models on Predicted IQ Distributions
6-21	Distributions of Concurrent, Lifetime Average, and Peak Blood Pb Distributions from the Primary Pb Smelter Case Study
6-22	Effects of Using Different Blood Pb Metrics to Predict IQ Loss
6-23	Effect of Reducing Blood Pb Cutoff Vlaues for IQ Estimation6-28
6-24	Impacts of Alternative Models and Parameters on Blood Pb and IQ Loss Estimates in the Sensitivity Analysis
7-1	Ecological Soil Screening Values for Pb7-4
7-2	Calculated Pb AWQC for Water Bodies Analyzed for the Primary Pb Smelter Case Study7-6
7-3	Pb Sediment Screening Values Evaluated for Use in This Ecological Risk Assessment
7-4	HQs Calculated for Soils for Primary Pb Smelter Case Study7-9
7-5	HQs Calculated for Surface Waters for Primary Pb Smelter Case Study7-10

7-6	HQs Calculated for Sediments in Surface Waters for Primary Pb Smelter Case Study
7-7	HQs Calculated for Soils for Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study
7-8	Soil HQs Calculated for the Non-Urban Near Roadway Case Study Location in Corpus Christi, Texas
7-9	Soil HQs Associated with Non-Urban Near Roadway Location in Atlee, Virginia7-13
7-10	Results of Chronic Aquatic Risk Screen –HQs for Measured Dissolved Pb Concentrations Compared to Chronic AWQC (i.e., Criterion Continuous Concentration or CCC) for the Protection of Aquatic Life for Pb7-15
7-11	Results of Acute Aquatic Risk Screening Assessment –HQs for Measured Dissolved Pb Concentrations Compared to Acute AWQC (i.e., Criterion Maximum Concentration or CMC) for the Protection of Aquatic Life for Pb7-17
7-12	Results of Aquatic Risk Screening Assessment – Mining Sites at which Dissolved Pb Measurements Exceed AWQC7-19
7-13	Results of Aquatic Risk Screening Assessment - Locations at which Dissolved Pb Measurements Exceed AWQC, Excluding Mining Sites
7-14	Results of National-Scale Surface Water Risk Screening Assessment - Locations at which Dissolved Pb Measurements Exceed AWQC, Excluding Excluding Mining Sites
7-15	Results of Sediment Risk Screening Assessment – Stations With Exact or Near Match to Surface Water Stations at which Dissolved Pb Measurements Exceed AWQC, Excluding Mining Sites
7-16	Concentrations of Total Pb in Sediments at Locations Near or Co-Located with the 15 Sites at which Dissolved Pb Concentrations Exceeded the AWQC, Excluding Mining Sites

1 1. Introduction

2

Sections 108 and 109 of the Clean Air Act (CAA) govern the establishment and periodic review
of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) by the U.S. Environmental Protection

- 5 Agency (EPA). These standards are established for pollutants that may reasonably be anticipated
- to endanger public health and welfare, and whose presence in the ambient air results from
 numerous or diverse mobile or stationary sources. The NAAQS are to be based on air quality
- numerous of diverse mobile of stationary sources. The NAAQS are to be based on air quality
 criteria, which are to accurately reflect the latest scientific knowledge useful in indicating the
- 9 type and extent of identifiable effects on public health or welfare that may be expected from the
- 10 presence of the pollutant in ambient air. The EPA Administrator is to promulgate and
- 11 periodically review "primary" (health-based) and "secondary" (welfare-based) NAAQS for such
- 12 pollutants. Based on periodic reviews of the air quality criteria and standards, the Administrator
- 13 is to make revisions in the criteria and standards, and promulgate any new standards, as may be
- 14 appropriate. The CAA also requires that an independent scientific review committee advise the
- 15 Administrator as part of this NAAQS review process, a function performed by the Clean Air
- 16 Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC).
- 17
- 18 The EPA is presently conducting a review of the NAAQS for lead (Pb). The EPA's overall plan
- 19 and schedule for this NAAQS review is presented in the Plan for the Review of the National
- 20 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Lead (USEPA 2006a). This plan discusses the preparation of
- 21 two key documents in the NAAQS review process: an Air Quality Criteria Document (CD) and
- 22 a Staff Paper. The CD provides a critical assessment of the latest available scientific information
- upon which the NAAQS is to be based (USEPA 2006b). The Staff Paper evaluates the policy
- 24 implications of this information and presents staff conclusions and recommendations for
- 25 standard-setting options for the Administrator to consider.
- 26
- 27 In conjunction with preparation of the first draft of the Staff Paper for Pb, EPA's Office of Air
- 28 Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS) has conducted various policy-relevant assessments,
- 29 including pilot-scale quantitative human exposure and health risk assessments and an ecological
- 30 risk assessment for selected areas. The methods and results of these assessments are summarized
- 31 in Chapters 4 and 6 of the draft Staff Paper (USEPA 2006c) and are described in detail in this
- 32 draft document.
- 33

Chapter 2 of this document provides an overview of the methods and data used for the pilot

- 35 phase human exposure and health risk assessments and ecological risk assessment. An overview
- 36 of the selected case studies, including descriptions of the locations, populations in the
- 37 surrounding areas, and available emissions estimates and environmental measurements, is
- 38 provided in Chapter 3. The methods and data used to estimate Pb concentrations in
- 39 environmental media for each case study are described in Chapter 4. Chapters 5 and 6 describe
- 40 the human exposure assessment and health risk characterization, respectively, including
- 41 descriptions of the methods, models, and data used. The methods and results of the ecological
- 42 risk assessment are provided in Chapter 7. The references for this document are provided in
- 43 Chapter 8.

1 2. Overview of Risk Assessment

2

12

13

14

3 The human exposure and health risk assessments and ecological risk assessment being conducted 4 in support of U.S. EPA's review of the Pb NAAQS focus on the estimation of risk resulting from 5 exposure to Pb released into ambient air. The preliminary design of these assessments was 6 described in the draft Analysis Plan (USEPA 2006d). As described in the draft Analysis Plan, 7 these assessments are being conducted in two phases: the pilot and the full-scale phases. The 8 pilot phase, described in this report, employed a case study based approach to estimate human 9 exposure and health risks and ecological risks associated with the following two air quality 10 scenarios. 11

- **Current Conditions.** This scenario estimated risks associated with current conditions at each case study location.
- Attainment of Current NAAQS. This scenario, referred to as "attainment" in this report, estimated risks assuming attainment of the current NAAQS at the case study location that is currently not in attainment.

For both air quality scenarios, human and ecological exposures to Pb in air, as well as exposures to Pb in other media (e.g., soil, indoor dust) resulting from atmospheric deposition of Pb, were assessed. The sources associated with these exposures are referred to in this report as "policyrelevant" sources. This assessment also considers policy-relevant "background" Pb exposures, which include exposures that are not linked to Pb released to air, such as ingestion of drinking water, ingestion of Pb paint, and other non-air-related exposures.

25

For the ecological risk assessment, the case study approach was supplemented by a nationalscale screening assessment of Pb concentration measurements in surface waters and sediments across the United States.

29

The remainder of this chapter provides an overview of the selected case studies (Section 2.1) and summaries of the approaches taken for the human exposure and health risk assessments (Section 2.2) and the ecological risk assessment (Section 2.3).

33 2.1 Case Studies

34

The selection of case study locations reflected consideration of factors presented in the draft
 Analysis Plan, as well as comments received during consultation with CASAC (Henderson
 2006). The selection criteria included, but were not limited to, the following:

38 39

• Coverage for a variety of ambient air sources of Pb emissions ranging from large industrial point sources (e.g., primary and secondary Pb smelters) to areas with potentially significant reentrainment of historically deposited Pb;

41 42

40

Availability of site-specific measurements for key environmental media (i.e., air, soil, and indoor dust) and biomonitoring of Pb exposure (i.e., blood Pb levels); and

• Consideration of demographics and socioeconomic factors related to exposure (e.g., areas with higher density of children in likely higher risk locations).

Five case studies were selected for the pilot phase. Some of the selected case studies were
"shared" by the human and ecological assessments (e.g., with one characterization of
environmental conditions serving the purposes of both assessments), while other case studies
were specific to one of the assessments. A brief description of each selected location is provided
below.

- **Primary Pb Smelter Location.** This case study involved a location associated with a primary Pb smelting facility. This facility is located in Herculaneum, Missouri, and has been in operation for more than 100 years. Recent measured air concentrations from the surrounding area and a robust data set of recent facility configuration information, emissions estimates, measured media concentrations (soil, indoor dust, surface water, and sediment), and exposure (i.e., blood Pb) measurements were available for this location. This case study was used for both the human exposure and health risk assessments and the ecological risk assessment.
- Secondary Pb Smelter Location. This case study focused on a location associated with a secondary Pb smelting facility in Troy, Alabama. Recent measured air concentrations from the area surrounding the facility and facility characterization data (including emission estimates) were readily available for this location. This case study was used for both the human exposure and health risk assessments and the ecological risk assessment.
- Near Roadway Urban Location. This location in Houston, Texas, was selected to provide a case study that reflected the influence of historically deposited Pb near roadways in urban areas. It covers approximately 2.5 kilometers (km) of roadway near an air monitoring site and includes residences within 200 meters (m) of this section of roadway. The location is in a relatively dry region of the country where resuspension is likely to occur, there are air measurements available from a monitor located close to a roadway, there are numerous homes along the roadways near this monitor, and there is a lack of identified point sources of Pb emissions in the surrounding area. This case study was used for the human exposure and health risk assessments.
 - Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations. Two locations were selected to evaluate the ecological risks of historically deposited Pb in soils near roads in less developed areas, where exposures to ecological receptors are expected to occur. One location was in Corpus Christi, Texas, and the other was in Atlee, Virginia, north of Richmond, both near highly traveled interstates, but less developed than the near roadway urban location.

• Ecologically Vulnerable Location. The Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest, located in the White Mountain National Forest, near North Woodstock, New Hampshire, was selected as an ecological case study location. This location was selected because: (1) it is located in an acidified watershed and is therefore expected to have higher bioavailability of Pb; (2) there are no identified point sources of Pb in the surrounding

1 area, which allows for an evaluation of impacts of regional background Pb concentrations; (3) it is located in an elevated area, which is subject to comparatively 2 3 higher deposition of Pb due to wind speed and precipitation; and (4) there are available 4 data on trends (temporal, elevation, etc.) in Pb concentrations in various environmental 5 media. This assessment only focused on identifying this site and did not involve 6 characterization of potential impacts associated with measured Pb concentrations. 7 8 The specific approach for each case study was tailored to the location, based on the available 9 data, the characteristics of the emission sources, and the potentially affected populations. 10 For the ecological risk assessment, these case studies were supplemented by a national-scale 11 12 ecological screening risk assessment, consisting of two parts: the surface water portion and the 13 sediment portion. This screening assessment was fundamentally different from the case study 14 analyses because it analyzed data from locations across the United States, instead of focusing on 15 one (or two) specific location(s). An overview of this screening assessment is provided below. 16

• National-Scale Screening Risk Assessment, Consisting of the Surface Water and the Sediment Portions. This analysis utilized Pb concentration measurements for freshwaters across the United States collected by the U.S. Geological Survey as part of their National Ambient Water Quality Assessment (NAQWA) program (USGS 2004). Information on land use, Pb air emission sources, and surface water point source discharges for a subset of these locations also played a role in this analysis.

23 24

17

18

19

20

21 22

2.2 Human Exposure and Health Risk Assessments

- 25 The pilot phase of the human exposure and health risk assessments was designed to estimate 26 neurological effects in young children (in terms of IQ loss) associated with exposures to Pb 27 emitted into ambient air for three case studies: primary Pb smelter, secondary Pb smelter, and 28 near roadway urban location. This section provides an overview of the approach implemented 29 for these assessments, beginning with a description of the conceptual model (Section 2.2.1) and a 30 summary of the spatial scale of the analysis (Section 2.2.2), followed by brief descriptions of the 31 exposure assessment (Section 2.2.3), risk assessment (Section 2.2.4), and uncertainty/variability 32 analysis (Section 2.2.5).
- 33

2.2.1 Conceptual Model

34

This section presents the conceptual model (Exhibit 2-1) intended to illustrate the elements pertinent to assessment of public health risks associated with environmental Pb exposures. Elements included in the pilot phase are indicated with gray background and solid borders. Exposure pathways involving Pb released into ambient air are shown in bold text and pathways involving background sources are shown in non-bold (regular) text. A description of how the different elements of the conceptual model were addressed in the pilot phase, including any differences from what was presented in the draft Analysis Plan, is provided below:

Sources. All sources in the conceptual model were addressed, either explicitly or
 implicitly, in the pilot phase. As mentioned previously, the pilot phase estimated effects

for two categories of sources: policy-relevant sources (which include stationary and mobile sources and outdoor soil which contains historically deposited Pb) and background (which includes diet,¹ drinking water, indoor Pb paint, and other miscellaneous sources). To the extent possible, estimates of blood Pb levels were differentiated by the contributing exposure pathways.

• **Pathways.** Exhibit 2-1 is intended to generally illustrate the many pathways by which Pb emitted into the environment becomes available for human exposure. For the purposes of this assessment, the pathways that pass through ambient air are of particular interest; all other pathways are considered background. For the pilot phase, the contribution of reentrained outdoor soil to ambient air concentrations was not estimated separately from the contribution from other emission sources.

- **Routes.** The ingestion and inhalation routes are considered the primary routes of human exposure to environmental Pb, with the ingestion route expected to be the more significant. Both routes were included in the pilot phase.
 - **Exposed Populations.** For the purposes of the pilot phase, population groups were identified based primarily on age or life stage. Exposures were characterized in the pilot phase for young children (ages 0 to 7).
 - Internal Disposition. While Pb is distributed throughout the body, bone is an established site of internal accumulation of Pb and blood is an established internal dose metric for purposes of both exposure and risk assessment. The pilot phase relied on blood Pb with corresponding dose-response functions to estimate risks. The biokinetic models used in this analysis recognize the role of bone as a reservoir with the potential to act as a source and storage site.
- **Endpoints.** As illustrated in Exhibit 2-1, numerous health endpoints are recognized in the Air Quality Criteria Document (CD) as associated with Pb exposures. The endpoints of interest for this assessment are those associated with the range of exposures expected to closely reflect current levels, and for which there is adequate information to develop quantitative risk assessments. Recognizing this, the pilot phase focused on neurological effects in children.
 - Metrics. The pilot phase used IQ decrement in children as the primary risk metric.

¹ Note that exposure to Pb through the diet likely reflects a combination of: (a) air-sourced Pb impact (e.g., deposition of Pb onto crops which in turn is reflected in Pb content in processed foods); and (b) background Pb (e.g., Pb introduced into food during packaging). However, for the pilot phase, dietary Pb exposure was treated as purely background.



Exhibit 2-1. Conceptual Model for Pb Human Exposure and Health Risk Assessments^a

2

1

- 1 An overview of the approach developed to implement the selected elements of the conceptual
- 2 model for the pilot phase is provided in Exhibit 2-2. This exhibit shows the key types of
- 3 information and models involved in each part of the pilot phase and how they are related to each
- 4 other and to the other phases of the analysis. Exhibit 2-3 summarizes the use of these
- 5 information types and models for each case study. As indicated in these exhibits, the specific
- 6 approach for each case study differed based on the nature of the case study (e.g., type of source,
- 7 locations of populations) and the site-specific measurements available. In cases where the
- 8 available measurements were not sufficient to characterize the study area (e.g., due to
- 9 insufficient spatial coverage), these data were used for performance evaluation. The following
- 10 sections describe each part of the pilot phase in more detail.



Exhibit 2-2. Overview of Human Exposure and Health Risk Assessments' **Approach for the Pilot Phase**

studies)

relevant exposures)

		Primary Pb Smelter	Secondary Pb Smelter	Near Roadway Urban
Location		Herculaneum, Missouri	Troy, Alabama	Houston, Texas
Spatial resolution		U.S. Census blocks, block groups	U.S. Census blocks	Distance ranges from roadways (0 to 12 m, 12 to 75 m, 75 to 200 m)
	Ехро	sure Assessment: Media	Concentrations	
	Models	ISC-Prime	AERMOD	n/a
Ambient air concentrations	Measurements	Site-specific air monitoring (13 locations)	Site-specific air monitoring (2 locations)	Site-specific, near roadway air monitoring, combined with estimated spatial gradients from literature (1 location)
	Models	ISC-Prime	AERMOD	n/a
Deposition to soil	Measurements	Site-specific measurements (10 locations)	n/a	n/a
	Models	n/a	Multiple Pathways of Exposure (MPE)	n/a
Soil concentrations	Measurements	Site-specific soil sampling combined with statistical extrapolation (909 locations)	n/a	Surrogate soil sampling data from Corpus Christi, Texas (3 locations)
Indoor dust concentrations	Models	Combination of site- specific regression- based model and pooled analysis model (the AGG model), both using ambient air and soil concentrations as inputs	Pooled analysis model (the AGG model) using ambient air concentrations as inputs	Pooled analysis model (the AGG model) using ambient air and soil concentrations as inputs
	Measurements	Site-specific indoor dust sampling (17 locations)	n/a	n/a
Exposure Assessment: Internal Disposition				
Blood Pb levels	Blood Pb levels Models IEUBK, Leggett			
Risk Characterization				
Risk (IQ loss) Models Log-linear distribution concentration-response function (Lanphear et al. 2005)				

Exhibit 2-3. Models and Measurements Used for Human Exposure and Health Risk Assessments in the Pilot Phase

2.2.2 Spatial Scale

To capture the spatial variability in media and exposure concentrations, a GIS-based "spatial template" was created for each case study. This template defines the outer boundary and the spatial units within this boundary for each case study. Due to the inherent differences between the case studies, such as the environmental setting, locations of populations, source types, and availability of measurements, the spatial template for each case study was different. Detailed descriptions of the templates are provided in Chapter 4. The spatial template for each case study location is summarized below:

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

1

2

• **Primary Pb Smelter Location.** The outer boundary of this study area was set to 10 km, which was expected to capture the population experiencing the most significant impacts of the facility's emissions. To provide sufficient spatial resolution to capture concentration gradients, while minimizing the number of computations required for estimating soil concentrations, blood Pb levels, and risks, the study area was divided into a combination of U.S. Census blocks and block groups. This was done by first modeling air concentrations at the centroid of each U.S. Census block within the study area. Based on these estimated concentrations, locations with higher gradients in air concentrations² used blocks as the spatial unit. All other locations used block groups. Air concentrations for block groups were estimated by calculating the area-weighted average of the block values. Soil concentrations.

• Secondary Pb Smelter Location. The outer boundary of this study area was also set to 10 km, which was expected to capture the population experiencing the most significant impacts of the facility's emissions. Air concentrations and deposition were modeled for the centroid of each U.S. Census block within the study area. These estimated concentrations indicated that relatively high gradients exist across the blocks in nearly all of the block groups in the study area, and thus all locations used blocks as the spatial unit. Soil concentrations were estimated for the same spatial units (i.e., blocks) as the air concentrations.

33 Near Roadway Urban Location. The boundaries of this study area were set based on 34 the presence of an approximately 2.5 km stretch of roadway adjacent to the selected air 35 monitoring site. This section of roadway was selected based on data availability for roadway traffic volume and the assumption that the measured air concentrations at the 36 37 monitoring site were a reasonable representation of concentrations along that length of 38 roadway. The "depth" of the study area (i.e., how far the study area extends from the 39 roadway) was set based on published data (ICF 2005) for other locations suggesting that 40 emissions on roadways can contribute to ambient concentrations adjacent to the roadway 41 out to about 200 m. This study area was then subdivided into three zones (i.e., 0 to 12 m, 42 12 to 75 m, and 75 to 200 m) on either side of the roadway, based on locations of soil

 $^{^{2}}$ Gradients in air concentrations were estimated by calculating the ratio of maximum U.S. Census block concentration to average block concentration for each U.S. Census block group in the study area. U.S. Census blocks were defined as the spatial units for all block groups with ratios greater than 2.0.

measurements and factors relating ambient concentrations in these regions to concentrations directly over the roadway.

2.2.3 Exposure Assessment

6 In the exposure assessment, blood Pb levels were estimated by first estimating Pb concentrations 7 in environmental media (i.e., air, soil, indoor dust) and then modeling blood Pb levels for the 8 populations exposed to these media. A summary of the timeframe associated with estimated 9 media concentrations and population data used in the pilot phase is provided in Exhibit 2-4.

10 11

1

2

3 4

5

Limitit 2 11 Thirthune Reflected in the Thot Thuse Exposure rissessment			
Data	Primary Pb Smelter	Secondary Pb Smelter	Near Roadway Non-urban
Ambient outdoor air Pb concentrations	2001 to 2005 (post-2001 SIP emissions data)	1997 to 2000 (stack test emissions data)	2001 (air monitoring data)
Soil Pb concentrations	2000 to 2005 (timeframe for soil sampling at site)	1997 to 2000 (modeled based on air deposition)	1998 (soil sampling)
Indoor dust concentrations	2000 to 2005 (estimated based on ambient air and soil concentrations)	1997 to 2000 (estimated based on ambient air concentrations)	2001 (estimated based on ambient air and soil concentrations)
Population data	2000 U.S. Census		
Cumulative period reflected in data	2000 to 2005	1997 to 2000	~2001

Exhibit 2-4. Timeframe Reflected in the Pilot Phase Exposure Assessment

12 The remainder of this section describes how media concentrations were estimated for each case

13 study and how blood Pb modeling was used in the assessment.

14

2.2.3.1 Estimation of Media Concentrations

15

16 This section summarizes the approach for estimating media concentrations for each case study in

17 the pilot phase. In order to model the exposure pathways identified in Exhibit 2-1, Pb

18 concentrations in the following media are required:

19

21

22

23

- 20 Air,
 - Outdoor soil, and
 - Indoor dust.

For the pilot phase, concentrations in these media were estimated for both the current conditions and attainment air quality scenarios. The approach for estimating media concentrations for these

26 scenarios depended on the case study.

1 **Primary Pb Smelter:** For the primary Pb smelter case study, a combination of measurements 2 and modeling was used to characterize media concentrations. Substantial analysis has been 3 performed for this location by U.S. EPA Region 7 and the facility. As a result, a robust data set 4 of ambient air monitoring, outdoor soil measurements, surface water and sediment 5 measurements, and indoor dust measurements, as well as air modeling input values, were 6 available. Ambient air concentrations for the current conditions scenario were characterized 7 using the Industrial Source Complex – Plume Risk Model Enhancements (ISC-Prime) model 8 (Schulman et al. 1997). This was the only case study with estimated ambient air concentrations 9 that exceeded the current NAAQS standard, thus requiring an additional assessment of ambient 10 air concentrations for the attainment scenario. Ambient air concentrations for the attainment scenario were estimated by first calculating quarterly average concentrations for the current 11 12 conditions scenario, replacing any estimated concentrations that exceeded the NAAQS with the 13 current standard (1.5 μ g/m³), and then calculating annual average concentrations from these

- 14 quarterly averages.
- 15

16 Inhalation exposure concentrations were estimated from these ambient air concentrations to 17 account for variability in daily mobility for the population of interest (young children). In this

context, mobility refers to the time spent in different locations, such as outside at home, inside at school, and in vehicles. These concentrations were estimated using ratios for Pb of estimated

20 exposure concentrations to ambient air concentrations (derived from the exposure and ambient

21 air concentrations estimated as part of the 1999 National-Scale Air Toxics Assessment [USEPA

22 2006e]). These ratios, which are age- and census-tract-specific, were applied to estimated
 23 ambient concentrations for the current condition and attainment scenarios to calculate inhalation

24 exposure concentrations specific to age and location of the study population.

25

26 Part of this study area has undergone substantial soil monitoring and remediation activities,

27 resulting in very different spatial coverage of available soil measurements within the remediation

28 zone compared to outside of it. For locations within the soil remediation zone, which extends

approximately 1.5 km from the facility, soil concentrations were estimated using available

30 measurements for the soil currently in those locations. Concentrations of Pb in soil outside of

31 the soil remediation zone were estimated using a statistical regression equation based on

32 available pre-remediation measurements. The same soil concentrations were used for the current

- 33 conditions and attainment scenarios.
- 34

35 As with soil concentrations, indoor dust concentrations of Pb were estimated using different approaches for houses within the soil remediation zone than for those outside of the zone. For 36 37 residences within the soil remediation zone, an empirical model, developed from air monitoring 38 data and post-remediation indoor dust measurements available within the zone, was used. For 39 locations outside the remediation zone, indoor dust concentrations were estimated using a pooled 40 analysis model, based on data from a variety of industrial and urban/industrial studies (USEPA 41 1989, Appendix B). Both models estimated indoor dust concentrations for both the current 42 conditions and attainment scenarios based on ambient air and outdoor soil concentrations, 43 estimated as described above.

44

1 Secondary Pb Smelter: For this case study, ambient air concentrations were estimated using

- 2 the American Meteorology Society/Environmental Protection Agency Regulatory Model
- 3 (AERMOD) (USEPA 2004a). Based on these ambient air concentrations, inhalation exposure
- 4 concentrations were estimated using the same approach as for the primary Pb smelter case study
- 5 (described above). Outdoor soil concentrations were estimated using the soil modeling approach
- 6 from EPA's Multiple Pathways of Exposure (MPE) methodology (USEPA 1998) and deposition 7 outputs from AERMOD. Indoor dust concentrations were estimated using a pooled analysis
- 8 model, based on data from a variety of industrial and urban/industrial studies (USEPA 1989,
- 9 Appendix B), which relates ambient air concentrations to indoor dust levels (outdoor soil was not
- 10 considered explicitly as an independent variable).
- 11

12 Near Roadway Urban Location: For this case study, air monitoring data collected adjacent to

- 13 a roadway in Houston, Texas, were used to define the ambient air concentrations for the site. 14
- These air monitoring data were combined with data from the literature characterizing the spatial gradient in particulate matter concentrations near roadways to estimate air concentrations in two
- 15
- 16 distance bands from the roadway: 0 to 75 m and 75 to 200 m (ICF 2005). Based on these
- ambient air concentrations, inhalation exposure concentrations were calculated using the same 17 18
- approach used for the primary Pb smelter case study (described above). No adequate site-19 specific outdoor soil measurements were available for this site or elsewhere in Houston, and thus
- 20 Pb concentrations in outdoor soil were based on measurements obtained adjacent to a similar
- 21 roadway in Corpus Christi, Texas, taking into consideration other soil Pb measurements reported
- 22 in the literature and values obtained from EPA's SPECIATE data base. Based on these data and
- 23 the distance bands used to characterize air concentrations, soil concentrations were estimated for
- 24 three distance bands from the roadway: 0 to 12 m, 12 to 75 m, and 75 to 200 m. Indoor dust
- 25 concentrations were estimated using the pooled analysis model used for the outer zone of the
- primary Pb smelter case study that relates ambient air and outdoor soil concentrations to indoor 26
- 27 dust levels (USEPA 1989, Appendix B).

28 2.2.3.2 **Blood Pb Modeling**

29 Blood Pb levels were predicted for a child population at each case study location using two

30 different biokinetic models: the Integrated Exposure Uptake Biokinetic Model for Children

- 31 (IEUBK) (USEPA 2005a) and the International Commission for Radiation Protection model
- (Leggett 1993).³ Inputs to these models included the estimated exposure media (air, soil, and 32

33 indoor dust) concentrations of Pb for each case study, as well as values for other parameters that

- 34 influence blood Pb levels.
- 35 The same fundamental approach was used to estimate population distributions of blood Pb levels
- at each of the three case study locations. This approach involved two steps. The first step 36
- 37 involved applying the IEUBK and Leggett models to predict central tendency blood Pb levels for
- children within the study area for each case study location. The estimated blood Pb levels were 38
- 39 then parsed into the fraction associated with policy-relevant background and the fraction
- 40 associated with policy-relevant sources, with the latter category being further subdivided into

³ Although emphasis was placed on biokinetic models in predicting blood Pb levels for children in the pilot phase analysis, a statistical (regression)-based blood Pb model (Lanphear et al. 1998) was included as part of the sensitivity analysis (see Section 2.2.5).

- 1 contributions from inhalation, soil ingestion and indoor dust ingestion exposure pathways. For
- 2 the purposes of this pilot phase assessment, drinking water and diet exposure pathways are
- 3 categorized as policy-relevant background, although it is recognized that a portion of Pb in both
- 4 pathways may derive from policy-relevant sources.
- 5 The second step involved implementing a probabilistic model to generate population
- 6 distributions of blood Pb levels for children in each case study location based on consideration of 7 three key factors:
- 7 three key factors:
 - 1. The central tendency blood Pb levels generated by the blood Pb model for each U.S. Census block and block group in the study area (from the first step described above);
- Demographic data (i.e., distribution of children 0 to 7 years of age across the blocks and block groups comprising a given study area); and
 A geometric standard deviation characterizing inter-individual variability in blood Pb
 - 3. A geometric standard deviation characterizing inter-individual variability in blood Pb level (e.g., reflecting differences in behavior and biokinetics related to Pb).
- 13 14

8

9

15 This approach produced a distribution of modeled children's blood Pb levels for each case study 16 location. Each of the individual modeled blood Pb levels was further differentiated to show the

- 17 relative contribution of policy-relevant background and sources to total blood Pb.
- 18

2.2.4 Risk Assessment

19

20 As discussed above, IQ impacts in exposed children have been selected as the endpoint for risk 21 quantification in the pilot phase assessment, on the basis of a large body of evidence establishing 22 the occurrence of the IQ effects at low exposure and blood Pb levels. The characterization of the 23 IO loss impacts for the pilot phase assessment involved generating a distribution of IO loss 24 estimates for the set of children simulated in the exposure assessment using the estimated blood 25 Pb levels for these children and a blood Pb concentration-response relationship for IO loss. The 26 selected concentration-response relationships are the log-normal concentration-response 27 functions for concurrent⁴ and lifetime average blood Pb concentrations from a pooled analysis of

28 epidemiology studies focusing on IQ loss in children (Lanphear et al. 2005).

29

For the pilot phase, cutpoints of 2.4 μ g/dL (concurrent exposure metric) and 6.1 μ g/dL (lifetime average exposure metric) were selected as blood Pb levels below which IQ loss was not to be

32 predicted. The selection of these cutpoints is based on consideration for the blood Pb level at

- 32 which confidence in being able to characterize the shape of the concentration-response functions
- 34 diminishes significantly. For example, for the concurrent blood Pb concentration response
- 35 function, less than five percent of the children from the pooled analysis had concurrent blood Pb
- 36 levels below 2.4 μ g /dL (Lanphear et al. 2005), which suggests an increased uncertainty in the
- 37 functional form below this level of exposure.
- 38

⁴ In the epidemiological studies, "concurrent" refers to a blood Pb measurement taken concurrently with the IQ assessment. The children included in the pooled analysis on which the concentration-response function was based ranged from five to 10 years old (refer to Section 6.1.2 for more details). In these studies, "lifetime average" refers to the average of blood Pb measurements taken over the child's life from six months until the IQ assessment.

1 As with the exposure analysis, the contributions of policy-relevant sources and policy-relevant 2 background exposure pathways to overall IQ loss are differentiated. These results are presented

3 using two primary risk metrics, as described below:

- Population risk percentiles. This metric characterizes the degree of IQ loss associated with policy-relevant sources for specific percentiles of the child population (e.g., the 50th, 90th, 95th, 99th percentile modeled child). This category of metric provides perspective on the distribution of IQ loss resulting from policy-relevant sources in each study area, ranging from the typical or average child (50th percentile or mean) to children experiencing higher exposures (e.g., 90th and 99th percentiles).
- Child frequency counts associated with specific risk percentiles. This metric characterizes the number of children, for a given study area, associated with each of the population percentiles (e.g., 25 children in a particular study area population are predicted to have risk levels at or above the 99th percentile). It provides a perspective on the number of children associated with various degrees of IQ loss for a particular case study.
- 16 **2.2.5 Uncertainty/Variability Analysis**
- For the pilot phase assessment, sensitivity analysis techniques were used to examine the issue of uncertainty and its impact on exposure and risk estimates. This sensitivity analysis consisted of a series of "one-at-a-time" analyses conducted to evaluate the impacts of modeling methods and parameter inputs on estimated blood Pb and IQ loss distributions. The general approach employed was to evaluate changes in risk metrics associated with changing single models or input parameter values away from those used in a "baseline" analysis.

23 The baseline risk analysis focused on the current conditions scenario for the primary Pb smelter

case study. Note that while the baseline models and parameter values are believed to provide a credible estimate of blood Pb and IQ changes, it is not suggested that the baseline model is

26 uniquely the "best," or that it provides demonstrably "central tendency" risk estimates.

- 27 The sensitivity analysis completed for the pilot phase focused on those modeling elements
- (including input datasets and modeling steps) believed to have the greatest potential for
 significantly impacting exposure and risk modeling, including the following:
- Indoor dust prediction approach. Given its notable contribution to blood Pb levels, the sensitivity of the blood Pb and IQ change estimates to the selected approach used to
 estimate indoor dust concentrations was analyzed. This analysis evaluated the effect of using different regression models on distributions of estimated indoor dust Pb concentrations.
- Blood Pb model selection. Blood Pb metrics developed using IEUBK were compared to
 those based on the Leggett biokinetic model, as well as an "empirical" blood Pb model
 developed by Lanphear et al. (1998).

- 1 **Exposure, intake, and update value selection.** Two variations in gastrointestinal • 2 absorption and soil and dust ingestion parameters were evaluated. In one set of 3 sensitivity runs, the gastrointestinal absorption fraction for dietary and drinking water 4 ingestion was varied above and below the central tendency value used in the baseline 5 assessment. For the second set of sensitivity runs, an alternative set of inputs for soil and 6 dust absorption and relative ingestion amounts of soil versus dust were evaluated for their 7 impacts on estimated blood Pb and IQ loss distributions. These values for the second set 8 of runs were derived by Von Lindern et al. (2003) from a statistical analysis of soil, dust, 9 and blood Pb distributions at a large mining/smelting "Superfund" site.
- Blood Pb GSD selection. The probabilistic exposure model was run with two alternative values of the blood Pb GSD and the results were compared to the results using the baseline blood Pb GSD.
- Concentration-response function for IQ loss. Three alternative concentration-response functions for IQ loss were evaluated. The first two consisted of the upper and lower 95 percent confidence limits on the log-linear regression model, which were derived based on a reanalysis of the Lanphear et al. model results (USEPA 2006a). The third alternative consisted of the piecewise linear version of the Lanphear et al. (2005) model.
- Blood Pb metrics. This analysis evaluated the magnitude of impact on estimated IQ
 losses associated with using the following alternative blood Pb metrics: lifetime average
 and peak (defined as the highest annual average). These results were compared to the
 results estimated using concurrent blood Pb level distributions.
- Blood Pb cutpoint for IQ loss. The sensitivity of estimated IQ change to 50 percent
 reductions in the blood Pb cutpoints for the concurrent and lifetime average blood Pb
 levels was evaluated.
- 25 Ultimately, this sensitivity analysis serves two primary purposes:
- 26 27

28

29

- 1. Determining which of the modeling elements included in the sensitivity analysis has the greatest impact on risk modeling, which may help guide future efforts to refine the overall assessment; and
- Obtaining a semi-qualitative feel for the potential magnitude of overall uncertainty in the risk results.
- 33 This type of sensitivity analysis does not, however, allow for a rigorous assessment of
- 34 probabilistically-defined confidence in specific risk percentiles to be quantified (that only being
- 35 possible with a formal uncertainty analysis).

1 2.3 Ecological Risk Assessment

2

The ecological risk assessment involved four case studies and a national-scale screening assessment. These analyses were designed to estimate the potential for ecological risks associated with exposures to Pb emitted into ambient air for three case studies: primary Pb smelter, secondary Pb smelter, and near roadway non-urban location. Activities for the fourth case study (ecologically vulnerable location) focused on identification and description and did not include risk analyses.

9

This section provides an overview of the approaches implemented for these analyses, beginning with a description of the conceptual model (Section 2.3.1) and a summary of the spatial scale of the analysis (Section 2.3.2), followed by brief descriptions of the exposure assessment (Section 2.3.3), effects assessment (Section 2.3.4), risk characterization (Section 2.3.5), and uncertainty and variability assessment (Section 2.3.6).

15

2.3.1 Conceptual Model

16

17 This section presents the conceptual model (Exhibit 2-5) intended to illustrate the elements

18 pertinent to assessment of ecological risks associated with environmental Pb exposures.

19 Elements addressed either explicitly or implicitly in the pilot phase are indicated with black text.

20 Components with gray text were not addressed in the pilot phase due to uncertainty regarding

available data and modeling tools. A description of how the different elements of the conceptual

22 model were addressed in the pilot phase, including any differences from what was presented in

23 the draft Analysis Plan, is provided below:

24

Sources. Sources associated with Pb released into ambient air were addressed, either explicitly or implicitly, in this assessment. These sources are indicated in black text in Exhibit 2-5. When measurements were used, the analysis attempted to focus on those pertaining to Pb initially released into ambient air, isolating contributions from policy-relevant background (i.e., non-air)

29 sources to the extent possible.

30

31 Pathways. This assessment focused on policy-relevant exposure pathways involving Pb 32 released to ambient air and subsequently transported to soil, surface water, and sediment. Direct 33 inhalation exposures for birds and mammals were not addressed because ecological exposures 34 are expected to be primarily through ingestion of Pb in and on their foods and through incidental 35 ingestion of Pb in soils. Because Pb accumulates in soils, the ingestion exposures are expected 36 to be much higher than any inhalation exposures. In addition, the human exposure and health 37 risk assessments are expected to be protective of inhalation exposures for wildlife because the 38 critical effect under evaluation for humans (i.e., IQ loss) is more sensitive to Pb exposure than 39 the effects of Pb on growth, reproduction, or survival that are relevant to wildlife population risks.

40 41

42 **Organisms.** Potential ecological impacts for both terrestrial receptors (e.g., populations of birds,

- 43 mammals, soil invertebrates, plants) and freshwater aquatic organisms (e.g., benthic
- 44 communities, water-column communities) were considered in the pilot phase.
- 45
1 Assessment Endpoints. The assessment endpoints for assessing ecological risks associated with

- 2 Pb deposition from air are adverse effects on the survival, growth, and reproduction of exposed
 3 ecological receptors.
- 4
- 5 **Risk Metrics.** Assessment of ecological risk for this assessment involves assessing the potential
- 6 for Pb exposures to be toxic to ecological receptors at the organism level and to adversely affect
- 7 growth and reproductive rates at the population level. These effects will be evaluated in each
- 8 case study (and in the national-scale screening assessment) using the hazard quotient (HQ)
- 9 approach, in which HQs are calculated by dividing environmental medium-specific exposure
- 10 estimates by the appropriate ecotoxicity screening values.
- 11 An overview of the approach developed to implement the selected elements of the conceptual
- 12 model for the ecological risk assessment is provided in Exhibit 2-6. This exhibit shows the key
- 13 types of information and models involved in each part of the assessment and how they are related
- 14 to each other and to the other parts of the analysis. Exhibit 2-7 summarizes the use of these
- 15 information types and models for each case study. As indicated in these exhibits, the specific
- 16 approach for each case study differed based on the nature of the case study (e.g., type of source,
- 17 locations of populations) and the site-specific measurements available. In cases where the
- 18 available measurements were not sufficient to characterize the study area (e.g., due to
- 19 insufficient spatial coverage), these data were used for performance evaluation. The following
- 20 sections describe each of the parts of the assessment in more detail.
- 21



Exhibit 2-5. Conceptual Model for Pb Ecological Risk Assessment^{a, b}

a Many

^a Many of the processes and pathways above are circular in nature. For the clarity of the schematic, they are shown as bidirectional.

^b Components with gray text were not addressed in the quantitative assessment due to uncertainty regarding available data and modeling tools.

^c Includes contributions of historical sources, including (but not limited to) emissions from the use of leaded gasoline, historical emissions from stationary sources, and exterior leaded paints.

^d Water in this schematic represents all surface water bodies; however, only freshwater was addressed in this assessment.

2





		Primary Pb Smelter Case Study	Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study	Near Roadway Non-Urban Case Study	Ecologically Vulnerable Case Study	National-Scale Screening Assessment: Surface Water and Sediment Portions
Location		Herculaneum, Missouri	Troy, Alabama	Corpus Christi, Texas Atlee, Virginia	Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest, New Hampshire	Surface water bodies in the Unites States
Spatial extent and resolution		Approximately 6 km diameter, centered on point source	U.S. Census blocks	 Corpus Christi: single transect perpendicular to road; 0.5 to 4 m from road Atlee: 140 m section of road; 2 to 30 m from road 	oblong basin about 8 km long by 5 km wide	47 basin study units from all regions of the United States, covering approx. 50 percent of U.S. land base
		Exposure A	ssessment: Estimat	ing Media Concentrations		
Deposition	Models	n/a	AERMOD ^a	n/a	n/a	n/a
to soil	Measurements	n/a	n/a	n/a	12 samples	n/a
	Models	n/a	MPE ^b	n/a	n/a	n/a
Soil conc.	Measurements	Site-specific conc. of total Pb in soil samples (26 locations)	n/a	Site-specific conc. of total Pb in soil samples (Corpus Christi: 2 locations; Atlee: 26 locations)	Site-specific conc. of total Pb in soil samples (124 locations)	n/a
	Models	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Surface water conc.	Measurements	Site-specific conc. of dissolved Pb in water column samples from eight water bodies/drainage areas (30 locations)	n/a	n/a	Site-specific conc. of dissolved Pb in water column samples (locations not reported)	Site-specific conc. of dissolved Pb in surface water samples (430 samples)
	Models	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Sediment conc.	Measurements	Site-specific conc. of total Pb in sediment samples from five water bodies/drainage areas (69 locations)	n/a	n/a	n/a	Site-specific or nearby water body conc. of total Pb in sediment samples (15 locations)

Exhibit 2-7. Models and Measurements Used for the Ecological Risk Assessment

		Primary Pb Smelter Case Study	Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study	Near Roadway Non-Urban Case Study	Ecologically Vulnerable Case Study	National-Scale Screening Assessment: Surface Water and Sediment Portions
			Ecological Risk A	ssessment		
	Soil	Soil screening values develo Screening Level (Eco-SSL)	oped based on U.S. EF methodology ^c	PA Superfund Ecological Soil	n/a	n/a
Ecotoxicity Screening Levels	Freshwater – water column	U.S. EPA Pb freshwater AWQC for aquatic life derived based on site- specific measured water hardness (conc. of CaCO ₃) ^c	n/a	n/a	n/a	U.S. EPA Pb freshwater AWQC for aquatic life derived based on site-specific or nearby water body measured water hardness (conc. of CaCO ₃) ^c
	Freshwater – sediment	Sediment screening values based on MacDonald et al. (2000) sediment quality assessment guidelines ^c	n/a	n/a	n/a	Sediment screening values based on MacDonald et al. (2000) sediment quality assessment guidelines ^c

Exhibit 2-7. Models and Measurements Used for the Ecological Risk Assessment

^a American Meteorological Society/Environmental Protection Agency Regulatory Model (AERMOD) (USEPA 2004a)
 ^b Multiple Pathways of Exposure (MPE) (USEPA 1998)
 ^c These values are based on measured ecotoxicity data and other types of measured data (e.g., soil screening levels evaluate measured food and soil ingestion

rates for birds and mammals).

2.3.2 Spatial Scale

3 To capture the spatial variability in media and exposure concentrations, a GIS-based "spatial 4 template" was created for each case study. This template defines the outer boundary and the 5 spatial units within this boundary for each case study. Due to the inherent differences between 6 the case studies, such as the environmental setting, locations of populations, source types, and 7 availability of measurements, the spatial template for each case study was different. Detailed 8 descriptions of the templates are provided in Chapter 4. The spatial template for each case study 9 location is summarized below:

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

27

29

1

2

- **Primary Pb Smelter Location.** Overall, the spatial template for this case study is the same as that used for the human exposure and health risk assessments of this location. For the ecological risk assessment, however, the spatial template also included a surface water body within 2.5 km of the facility.
- Secondary Pb Smelter Location. The spatial template for this case study is the same as that used for the human exposure and health risk assessments of this location.
- Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations. The characterization of the two locations selected for this case study relied upon available soil measurements. For the Corpus Christi, Texas location, the template includes a single transect of soil perpendicular to the road extending approximately 4 m from the edge of the road. For the Atlee, Virginia location, the template includes a band of soil approximately 30 m wide located adjacent to a 140 m section of I-95.
- 26 • Ecologically Vulnerable Location. This location was selected and described as part of the assessment, but not subjected to any further analysis. Thus, no spatial template was 28 developed.
- 30 National-Scale Ecological Screening Assessment: Surface Water and Sediment 31 **Portions.** The spatial scale of this analysis included parts of watershed basins from all 32 regions of the United States, accounting for drainage from approximately 50 percent of the United States land base.
- 33

2.3.3 Exposure Assessment

34 35

36 The measures of exposure for the pilot phase are total Pb concentrations in soil, dissolved Pb 37 concentrations in fresh surface waters (water column), and total Pb concentrations in freshwater sediments. Exposure concentrations were estimated for the three case studies and the national-38 39 scale screening assessment as described below.

- 40
- 41 For the primary Pb smelter case study, measured concentrations of total Pb in soil, • 42 dissolved Pb in surface waters, and total Pb in sediment were used to develop point estimates for locations with Pb thought to be associated with atmospheric Pb deposition, 43 44 rather than with non-air sources, such as runoff from waste storage piles.

- For the secondary Pb smelter case study, soil concentrations of Pb were estimated using fate and transport modeling based on EPA's MPE methodology (USEPA 1998). The pilot phase of the assessment was limited to soil pathways for this case study.
 - For the near roadway non-urban case study, soil concentration measurements collected for two locations adjacent to interstate highways, one in an area of fairly high-density development (Corpus Christi, Texas) and another in an area of medium-density development (Atlee, Virginia), were used to develop point estimates of Pb associated with historical deposition. The pilot phase of the assessment was limited to soil pathways for this case study.
- In the national-scale surface water and sediment screening assessment, measurements of dissolved Pb concentrations in surface water and total Pb concentrations in sediments for locations across the United States were used. Air emissions, water discharge, and land use data for the areas surrounding these locations were assessed to identify locations where atmospheric Pb deposition may be expected to contribute to potential ecological impacts. The exposure assessment focused on these locations.

2.3.4 Effects Assessment

The tools used in the ecological risk assessment for soils, fresh surface water bodies, and
 freshwater sediments included the following screening-level ecotoxicity values.

- For terrestrial organisms, soil screening values were developed for the NAAQS review based on the methodology for Ecological Soil Screening Levels (Eco-SSLs) developed by EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (USEPA 2005b; USEPA 2005c)
- For aquatic organisms in the water column, EPA-recommended ambient water quality criteria (AWQC), expressed as concentrations of Pb in the water column, were used.
- For benthic organisms, the sediment screening values used were sediment quality
 assessment guidelines developed by MacDonald et al. (2000; reported in MacDonald et al. 2003).

2.3.5 Risk Characterization

- The HQ approach was used for three case studies (primary Pb smelter, secondary Pb smelter, and
 near roadway non-urban) and the national-scale ecological screening risk assessment, consisting
 of the surface water portion and the sediment portion. Measures of exposure for each location
 were divided by ecotoxicity screening values for each environmental medium (i.e., point
- 41 estimates of Pb concentrations in soil, surface water, and sediment were divided by the
- 42 corresponding ecotoxicity screening value). For each case study, as well as for the national-scale
- 43 ecological screening assessment's surface water and sediment portions, HQ values were
- 44 calculated where estimates of media Pb concentrations were available.

2.3.6 Uncertainty/Variability Analysis

1 2

For the ecological risk assessment, a qualitative evaluation of uncertainties was conducted and is
described in Section 7.3.

1 **3.** Case Study Descriptions

2

As discussed in Chapter 2, a case study-based approach was employed for the pilot phase to estimate human exposure and health risks and ecological risks. This chapter, in sections 3.1

5 through 3.5, provides descriptions of each of the case study locations, accompanied by an

6 overview of the available information relevant to and considered in the human exposure and

health risk assessments and the ecological risk assessment. The surface water and sediment data
 used for the national-scale screening assessment are discussed in Section 3.6. Exhibit 3-1

8 used for the national-scale screening assessment are discussed in Section 3.6. Exhibit 3-1
9 provides a list of the case study and national-scale evaluations performed for the pilot phase

analysis and indicates the applicability of each to the human exposure and health risk

- 11 assessments and/or the ecological risk assessment.
- 12 13

	Exhibit 3-1.	Case	Studies	Included	in the	Pilot Phase
--	--------------	------	---------	----------	--------	--------------------

Case Study	Applicability
Primary Pb smelter location	Human and ecological
Secondary Pb smelter location	Human and ecological
Near roadway urban location	Human
Near roadway non-urban locations	Ecological
Ecologically vulnerable location	Ecological
National-scale surface water and sediment screening	Ecological

14

15 3.1 Primary Pb Smelter Case Study

16

17 The Herculaneum Lead Smelter (HLS) is one of the largest primary Pb smelters in the world and 18 is the only currently operating Pb smelter in the United States. The HLS facility represents a 19 relatively large point source that has been active for over a century and has a large amount of 20 site-specific data characterizing both media concentrations (soil, indoor dust, and ambient air) 21 and human blood Pb levels. Pb contaminant conditions for the area surrounding this facility are 22 dominated by emissions from this facility, with older historical auto and other point source 23 emissions being of relatively lesser importance. Environmental sampling conducted around the 24 primary Pb smelter has shown Pb contamination throughout the community surrounding the 25 smelter. Available environmental data are discussed in Section 3.1.5 and presented in Appendix 26 A. 27

27 28

3.1.1 Description of Case Study Location

The HLS facility is located in Herculaneum, Missouri. The city of Herculaneum is in Jefferson County, about 42 kilometers (km) [26 miles (mi)] southwest of St. Louis, and its approximate area is 9 km². As of 2000, there were estimated to be 37,562 people living within a 10-km radius of the HLS facility (2,064 within 2 km; 14,237 between 2 and 5 km; and 21,261 between 5 and 10 km). Of this population in 2000, 3,880 were children ages 7 years old and younger (171 within 2 km; 1,545 between 2 and 5 km; and 2,164 between 5 and 10 km) (U.S. Census Bureau 2005).

3.1.2 Description of Primary Pb Smelter

3 The HLS facility is located at 881 Main Street in Herculaneum (see Exhibit 3-2). The HLS

4 property is 52 acres and consists of three main areas: (1) the smelter plant, which is located on

the east side of Main Street; (2) office buildings located on the west side of Main Street; and (3)
a 40- to 50-feet high slag storage pile that covers 24 acres. The facility is bordered on the east by

7 the Mississippi River, on the southeast by Joachim Creek, on the west and north-northwest by

8 residential areas, and on the south-southwest by the slag pile. A large part of the slag pile is

9 located in the floodplain wetlands of Joachim Creek and the Mississippi River.

10

11 The principal processing occurring at the facility includes: (1) sintering, smelting, and refining

12 of Pb ore; (2) sulfuric acid production from waste sulfur-containing gases generated by the

13 sintering operation; and (3) wastewater treatment. Sources at the facility include various stacks

14 and vents from plant processes, fugitive emissions from ore handling operations, wind erosion

15 from the slag pile, and fugitive emissions from transport of Pb concentrate over local roads. A

16 Pb ore concentrate, consisting of approximately 80 percent Pb sulfide, is processed at the

17 smelter. The ore is transported by truck from eight Pb mines near Viburnum, Missouri,

18 approximately 42 km (75 mi) south-southwest of Herculaneum. The smelting operation

19 generates a molten slag, 20 percent of which is sent to the slag storage pile as waste. Stack and

20 fugitive emissions from the facility and deposition of these emissions to soil and surface water

21 have resulted in elevated Pb concentrations in the surrounding areas (Missouri DNR 1999, as

cited in ATSDR 2003).



Exhibit 3-2. Facility Location Map – Primary Pb Smelter

3.1.3 Human Exposure Measurements

2 3 Blood Pb levels at or above 10 µg/dL have been recorded for Herculaneum residents, including 4 children less than 72 months of age (ATSDR 2002; ATSDR 2003). The U.S. Department of 5 Health and Human Services (DHSS) and the Jefferson County Health Department (JCHD), in 6 cooperation with the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), have offered 7 blood Pb (PbB) testing to the residents of Herculaneum and surrounding communities. Results 8 of two such testing events conducted in 2001 and 2002 have been documented in DHSS/ATSDR 9 health consultation reports (ATSDR 2002; ATSDR 2003) and are summarized here. 10

A total of 935 Herculaneum residents were tested in 2001. A summary of PbB results by age group is provided in Exhibit 3-3. Of the children less than 72 months old that were tested in 2001, 33 (28 percent) had PbBs of 10 μ g/dL or greater. In the area closest to the smelter, 30 out of 67 (45 percent) of the children under 72 months of age who were tested in 2001 had PbBs

15 equal to or above 10 μ g/dL (ATSDR 2002).

16

1

- 17
- 18

Exhibit 3-3. Summary of 2001 PbB Measurements
for Herculaneum Residents

PbB (µg/dL)	Number of Individuals			
Children less than 72 Months				
0 - 9	85			
10 – 19	27			
20 – 29	5			
30 or Higher	1			
Children between 6 and 17 Years				
0 - 9	149			
10 – 19	13			
20 – 29	0			
30 or Higher	0			
Adults 18 Years or Older				
0-24	653			
25 - 39	1			
40 - 49	0			
50 or Higher	1			

Source: ATSDR 2002

19 20

21 In September 2002, DHSS and JCHD conducted a voluntary community-wide PbB testing event,

and a total of 340 Herculaneum residents were tested. Results by age group for Herculaneum

residents are summarized in Exhibit 3-4. As shown in Exhibit 3-4, of the children less than 72

24 months old that were tested in 2002, 8 (14 percent) had PbBs $10 \mu g/dL$ or higher.

PbB (μg/dL)	Number of Individuals			
Children less than 72 Months				
0-9	50			
10 – 19	6			
20 – 29	2			
30 or Higher	0			
Children between 6 and 17 Years				
0 – 9	127			
10 – 19	2			
20 – 29	0			
30 or Higher	0			
Adults 18 Years or Older				
0 – 24	147			
25 – 39	5			
40 - 49	1			
50 or Higher	0			

Exhibit 3-4. Summary of 2002 PbB Measurements for Herculaneum Residents

3 4

3.1.4 Emissions

5 6

7 The Pb emissions estimates used for the primary Pb smelter case study were obtained from EPA 8 Region 7 and reflect the 2000 Revision of the State Implementation Plan (SIP) developed for the 9 facility (MDNR 2000). Cumulative Pb emissions from processes at the facility, fugitive 10 emissions from transferring of materials, fugitive emissions from storage at the slag pile, and emissions associated with dust from roadways in the vicinity of the smelter totaled 266 11 12 tons/year. Particle sizes for emissions from road segment emission points around the primary Pb 13 smelter ranged from 44 to 210 µm. Particle sizes for emissions from all other emission points at 14 the primary Pb smelter ranged from 6.3 to 45.5 µm. EPA Region 7 is currently in the process of 15 reviewing source characterization data to enhance modeling conducted as part of the SIP 16 planning. Consequently, the dispersion model runs completed for the pilot phase using these 17 emissions should be considered illustrative only. Emissions and release parameters, particle size 18 inputs, and other inputs used for fate and transport modeling of the primary Pb smelter are 19 provided in Appendix B. 20 21 3.1.5 Summary of Environmental Data 22

24 25

23

Exhibit 3-5.

The environmental data sets available for the primary Pb smelter case study are summarized in

1 Exhibit 3-5. Summary of Environmental Data Sources for Primary Pb Smelter Case Study

Media	Data Set	Timeframe	Locations	Comments			
Human Health ^a	Human Health ^a						
	EPA-operated high volume samplers	2001 – 2003	4 locations	Located along roads; see Exhibits A-1, A-2			
Ambient air	EPA's Air Quality System (AQS)/AirData	2001 - 2005	9 locations	Monitors within 10 km of facility; see Exhibits A-1, A-3			
	Pre-excavation	2000 - 2004	Over 900 locations around primary Pb smelter	Locations within approximately 2.4 km (1.5 mi) of facility; see Exhibit A-4			
Residential soil	Post-excavation	2000 - 2004	Approximately 300 locations around primary Pb smelter	Locations within approximately 2.4 km (1.5 mi) of facility; see Exhibit A-5			
	Recontamination Assessment	2002 - 2006	31 residences	Locations within approximately 1.6 km (1 mi) of facility; see Exhibits A-6, A-7			
Indoor dust	Recontamination Assessment	2002 - 2006	17 residences	Locations within approximately 1.6 km (1 mi) of facility; See Exhibit A-8			
Deposition to soil	Soil boxes	2003 – 2004 ^b	10 locations	See Exhibits A-9, A-10			
Deposition to air	Filters	2003 – 2004 ^b	10 locations	See Exhibits A-9, A-11			
Ecological ^c							
Non-residential soil	Site Characterization Investigation (ELM 2005)	2000	61 locations, 26 of which were included in the assessment	See Chapters 4 and 7 for more information			
Surface water	Site Characterization Investigation (ELM 2005)	2000	62 locations, 30 of which were included in the assessment	See Chapters 4 and 7 for more information			
Sediment	Site Characterization Investigation (ELM 2005)	2000	82 locations, 69 of which were included in the assessment ^e	See Chapters 4 and 7 for more information			

^a Several data sources existed, including analyses conducted by EPA, the facility, ATSDR, Missouri Department of Natural Resources (DNR), and various consultants. Aside from EPA's AQS air monitoring data, the data represented in this table were obtained electronically from EPA Region 7 (2006a). These EPA data are the only

environmental data discussed and summarized for the primary Pb smelter in this chapter and in the associated appendices. Attempts were made to obtain environmental data from sources outside EPA (such as those listed

above), but no additional data were received within the time available for this assessment.

^b These are the most recent data available from EPA Region 7.

^c Some sampling locations were excluded from the ecological risk assessment because of their close proximity to the

slag storage area; it was assumed that these areas had significant Pb contributions from pathways other than the air (e.g., runoff and flooding). ^d The sampling locations were from eight water bodies/drainage areas including Joachim Creek, Mississippi River,

12

13 U-shaped pond approximately 1.7 km NW of the facility.

14 ^e The sampling locations were from five water bodies/drainage areas including Joachim Creek, Mississippi River, U-

15 shaped pond approximately 1.7 km NW of the facility.

2 **Air Monitoring:** As shown in Exhibit 3-5, there are two air monitoring data sets available from EPA for the primary Pb smelter. Appendix A, Exhibit A-1 shows the locations of the 13 air 3 4 monitoring locations relative to the facility. Air monitoring data for the four EPA high-volume 5 sites are provided by year in Exhibit A-2. In general, average annual Pb concentrations in 6 ambient air declined from 2001 to 2003 at these locations. Most notable are the reductions in 7 measurements at the monitor with Pilot Analysis ID 102. The maximum Pb concentration (65 8 $\mu g/m^3$) occurred at this monitor in 2001. In 2003, the maximum at this monitor decreased to 10 9 $\mu g/m^3$ (a decrease of 85 percent). Likewise, the average annual Pb concentration at the monitor 10 decreased 84 percent from 2001 to 2003.

11

12 Air monitoring data for the nine AirData monitors are provided by year in Exhibit A-3. Based

- 13 on these data, decreases in average annual Pb concentrations have been observed since 2001.
- 14 The largest difference was observed for Monitor ID 290990005, where average annual Pb
- 15 concentrations decreased from 2.11 μ g/m³ in 2001 to 0.278 μ g/m³ in 2005 (a decrease of 87
- 16 percent).
- 17

18 For comparison purposes, the average annual Pb concentrations for the year 2005 from AirData

19 monitors located around the primary Pb smelter were compared to AirData monitor results

20 across the United States. Exhibit 3-6 shows the distribution of average annual Pb concentrations

- 21 in total suspended particulate matter (TSP) for 208 monitors across the United States (with
- 22 average annual monitored Pb concentrations sorted in ascending order). The 2005 monitor

23 results for the nine AirData monitors located in the vicinity of the primary Pb smelter are 24 indicated using a solid arguing (π) . The average monitored Pb concentrations detected at the 200

indicated using a solid square (\blacksquare). The average monitored Pb concentrations detected at the 208 monitors ranged from 0.001 to 1.56 µg/m³. The 1.56 µg/m³ maximum is associated with

26 Monitor 290990015, one of the monitors identified within 10 km of the primary Pb smelter. Of

- the 208 locations, the nine monitors located within 10 km of the primary Pb smelter all fall in the
- top 30 percent of all monitored ambient levels for these 208 monitors, with four of the nine
- 29 monitors in the top 10 percent.
- 30 31

32

Exhibit 3-6. Distribution of Pb TSP Measurements Across the United States Relative to Monitors Near the Primary Pb Smelter



Soil: As shown in Exhibit 3-5, there are three soil data sets available from EPA for the primary 1 2 Pb smelter: pre-excavation, post-excavation, and recontamination assessment data. Pre-3 excavation soil samples were collected from residential locations around the smelter prior to soil 4 removal activities. Pre-excavation soil sample results for over 900 residential locations around 5 the primary Pb smelter are presented in Appendix A, Exhibit A-4. Average soil concentrations 6 at these sampling locations ranged from 53 to 23,350 mg/kg.

7

8 Based on pre-excavation sampling results, Pb-contaminated soil in residential yards near the

9 smelter was removed, replaced with clean backfill, and re-seeded with grass. Post-excavation

10 soil data were available for over 300 residential locations. It is unclear from the available

information whether post-excavation soil samples were collected immediately following 11 12 excavation or after the yards were backfilled. Post-excavation results are presented in Appendix

- 13 A, Exhibit A-5. Average soil concentrations at these properties ranged from 70 to 2,757 mg/kg.
- 14

15 The EPA has recently conducted post-remediation residential yard soil sampling at 31 locations

16 within approximately a 1.6 km (1 mi) radius of the primary Pb smelter to determine whether

residential soils previously excavated are becoming recontaminated. Results for the 17

recontamination assessment samples are provided in Appendix A, Exhibit A-6. For most of the 18

19 31 recontamination assessment locations, average Pb concentrations in the replacement "clean"

20 soil increased between 2002 and 2006. Refer to Appendix A, Exhibit A-7 for a summary of the

21 pre-excavation, post-excavation, and recontamination assessment data for these 31 residential locations.

22 23

24 **Indoor Dust:** The interiors of 17 of the 31 residential properties identified for the soil 25 recontamination assessment were also assessed for Pb levels in indoor dust. Interior dust 26 removal (in which areas inside homes were wiped and/or vacuumed) was performed at these 27 residences prior to recontamination sampling. Appendix A, Exhibit A-8 provides a summary of 28 recontamination indoor dust sample results for these 17 properties. Carpet dust samples 29 collected during recontamination sampling events at these residences contained Pb 30 concentrations that ranged from 122 to 4,350 mg/kg. Pb loadings in window sill wipe samples ranged from 5.6 to 1,385 μ g/ft². There were no general patterns identified at homes during 31 32 successive sampling events. Pb concentrations and/or loadings may have increased, decreased,

33 or remained generally the same (see Appendix A, Exhibit A-8). This lack of pattern may be

34 attributed in part to inconsistent house cleaning protocols within the homes.

35

Deposition: As shown in Exhibit 3-5, soil boxes¹ were set up at 10 locations around the primary 36 37 Pb smelter. Deposition monitoring locations are shown in Appendix A, Exhibit A-9. From 2003 38 to 2004, samples were collected monthly to measure Pb deposition on soil; results for these 39 locations are presented in Appendix A, Exhibit A-10. Maximum concentrations at the nine 40 locations (excluding the control site) ranged from 25 to 406 mg/kg in 2003 and from 25.3 to 527 41 mg/kg in 2004. The overall average Pb concentration in these soil boxes across all nine locations 42 increased from 49 mg/kg in 2003 to 96.5 mg/kg in 2004, an increase of almost 100 percent.

¹ Clean soil is placed in containers that measure approximately 2 feet by 3 feet. Soil boxes were intended to provide a repeatable means of measuring Pb deposition on soil that would be less likely to be disturbed than soil in residential yards.

Air deposition monitoring data were available for the same 10 locations around the primary Pb
 smelter for which soil box monitoring data were available (see Appendix A, Exhibit A-9). Dry
 deposition samples were collected monthly at two levels (1 foot and 10 feet) above the ground

surface from April 2003 through April 2004. Data collected at each level for these locations are
 presented in Appendix A, Exhibit A-11. The annual Pb deposition rates at a height of 1 foot for

6 the nine monitoring locations (excluding the control site) ranged from 0.34 to 22 mg/ft², and the

7 overall average Pb deposition rate across all nine locations at the height of 1 foot was 4.8 mg/ft^2 .

8 The annual Pb deposition rates at a height of 10 feet for the nine monitoring locations ranged

9 from 0.26 to 33 mg/ft², and the overall average Pb deposition rate across all nine locations at the 10 height of 10 feet was 5.0 mg/ft^2 . The average annual Pb deposition rates at each level by

11 location are provided in Exhibit A-11.

12 13

3.1.6 Ecological Characterization

14 15

3.1.6.1 Description of Site and Surroundings

16 17 Ecologically relevant features near the primary Pb smelter facility include the Mississippi River, 18 Joachim Creek, emergent and scrub-shrub wetlands, and successional and mature bottomland 19 hardwood forest tracts (ELM 2005). Bottomland hardwood forests and agricultural fields are 20 present to the west, south, and east of the characterization area between the smelter's slag storage 21 area (SSA) and Joachim Creek. The most mature bottomland hardwood forest is adjacent to 22 Joachim Creek. Immediately south of the facility is a mixture of floodplain forest, emergent 23 marsh, and scrub-shrub wetland habitat that is populated by willow (Salix spp.) trees (ELM 24 2005).

25

26 The Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC) lists the plant and animal species that are

endangered, vulnerable, or imperiled by county in the Heritage Database (MDC 2006). Exhibit

28 3-7 lists the species of concern for Jefferson County; species that have acquired the state or

29 federal status of "endangered" are identified. As shown in Exhibit 3-7, the pink mucket,

scaleshell, gray bat, Indiana bat, and pallid sturgeon are on both the state and federal endangeredlists.

Exhibit 3-7. Species of Concern Occurring in Jefferson County, Missouri ^a				
Animals		Plants		
Lake sturgeon (SE)	Acipenser fulvescens	Wild leek	Allium burdickii	
Elktoe	Alasmidonta marginata	Wild sarsaparilla	Aralia nudicaulis	
Alabama shad	Alosa alabamae	Forked aster	Aster furcatus	
Brown bullhead	Ameiurus nebulosus	A moss	Campylium polygamum	
Western sand darter	Ammocrypta clara	A sedge	Carex texensis	
Rock pocketbook	Arcidens confragosus	Fremont's leather flower	Clematis fremontii	
Great egret	Ardea alba	Nuttall tick-trefoil	Desmodium nuttallii	
Swamp metalmark	Calephelis muticum	A lichen	Dibaeis absoluta	
Highfin carpsucker	Carpiodes velifer	Pale avens	Geum virginianum	
Eastern collared lizard	Crotaphytus collaris collaris	Fir clubmoss	Huperzia porophila	
Crystal darter (SE)	Crystallaria asprella	Weak rush	Juncus debilis	

Exhibit 3-7. Species of Concern Occurring in Jefferson County, Missouri ^a				
Anir	nals	Plants		
Blue sucker	Cycleptus elongatus	A moss	Mnium thomsonii	
Eastern hellbender (SE)	Cryptobranchus alleganiensis	A liverwort	Nardia lescurii	
Spectaclecase (FCE)	Cumberlandia monodonta	Stemless evening primrose	Oenothera triloba	
Elephantear (SE)	Elliptio crassidens	A panic grass	Panicum dichotomum var. nitidum	
Snuffbox (SE)	Epioblasma triquetra	Missouri cliffbrake	Pellaea glabella var. missouriensis	
Proserpine cavesnail	Fontigens proserpina	Wild sweet william	Phlox maculata ssp. Pyramidalis	
Ebonyshell (SE)	Fusconaia ebena	A liverwort	Preissia quadrata	
Mooneye	Hiodon tergisus	Sullivantia	Sullivantia sullivantii	
Pink mucket (SE; FE)	Lampsilis abrupta			
Scaleshell (SE; FE)	Leptodea leptodon			
Black sandshell	Ligumia recta			
Silver chub	Macrhybopsis storeriana			
Gray bat (SE; FE)	Myotis grisescens			
Indiana bat (SE; FE)	Myotis sodalist			
Ghost shiner	Notropis buchanani			
Hickorynut	Obovaria olivaria			
Belted crayfish	Orconectes harrisonii			
River darter	Percina shumardi			
Sheepnose (SE; FCE) Plethobasus cyphyus				
Paddlefish	Polyodon spathula			
Wood frog	Rana sylvatica			
Eastern spadefoot	Scaphiopus holbrookii			
Pallid sturgeon (SE; FE)	Scaphirhynchus albus			

^a Abbreviations: SE = state endangered status; FE = federally endangered status; FCE = federal candidate for endangered status; Source: MDC (2006).

Throughout much of the year, migratory birds such as the red-tailed hawk, belted kingfisher, and great blue herons utilize the habitat near the primary Pb smelter. The state and federally endangered bald eagle has been spotted on-site at the primary Pb smelter facility, which is 7 known to be within the habitat for the bird. The facility is also within the habitat of the Indiana 8 bat, which, as mentioned above, is also on the state and federal endangered species lists. None 9 of these species, however, feed on soil invertebrates. In addition, the state and federally 10 endangered pallid sturgeon has been identified in the Mississippi River adjacent to and

downstream of the facility (USEPA Region 7 2000). 11

- 12
- 13 14

3.1.6.2 **Description of Available Pb Environmental Data**

15 As shown in Exhibit 3-5, the data set for the ecological risk assessment includes Pb

16 concentration data in surface soil, surface water, and sediment collected from non-residential

locations near the primary Pb smelter (ELM 2005). The data set sampling locations include 17

areas within a 2.1 km (1.5 mi) radius of the facility and two "reference areas" that are 6 to 7 km
 south of the facility (ELM 2005).

3

4 Soil: Total Pb concentration data are available for soil samples occurring in six clusters of 5 locations in forested areas within 0.3 km of Joachim Creek that are remote from residential 6 development (ELM 2005, Figure 7): the western and southern slopes of the slag storage area 7 (SSA); sites along central, east, and west transects from the SSA; and sites located along the 8 historic railroad grade on the west side of Joachim Creek. Soil samples near Joachim Creek may 9 reflect Pb contribution from runoff from the SSA, and flooding from Joachim Creek (ELM 10 2005). The soil sampling locations within a 2.1 km radius were all in areas that might have been subject to Pb inputs from Joachim Creek during flooding events. As such, the stations might not 11 12 represent the concentrations of Pb in soils that result from direct air emissions from the smelter. 13 The reference soil samples were taken from areas approximately 5.6 and 6.5 km south of the 14 facility. Soil samples were collected from 0 to 3 inches below the surface (ELM 2003). 15 16 The average total Pb soil concentration for the entire ELM (2005) data set was 269 mg/kg. The 17 minimum concentration detected was 20.4 mg/kg, and the maximum was 752 mg/kg. 18 19 Surface water and sediment: Dissolved Pb concentration data in surface water samples and 20 total Pb concentration data for sediment samples are available for sites along the Mississippi 21 River, including one reference station more than 2.1 km upstream of the facility, and sampling 22 stations within approximately 2.5 km upstream and downstream of the confluence with Joachim

22 Stations within approximately 2.5 km upstcall and downsticall of the confidence with Joachin 23 Creek. Data are also available for sites in Joachim Creek along the approximately 4.4 km of

Joachim Creek that lie within 2.1 km of the smelter, as well as two locations farther upstream.

25 Pb measurements are also available in nearby wetlands (ELM 2005).

26

27 Dissolved Pb was not detected by ELM in samples from surface water locations included in the 28 pilot phase, and the detection limit for the analysis was $3 \mu g/L$. Total Pb concentrations in 29 surface water for the full data set averaged 14.5 $\mu g/L$ and ranged from below the detection limit 30 to 105 $\mu g/L$. Total Pb concentrations in the sediment samples for the full data set averaged 263 31 mg/kg and ranged from 6.2 to 3,040 mg/kg.

32

33 3.2 Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study 34

The secondary Pb smelter case study focused on the impacts of emissions from a smaller point source (compared to the primary Pb smelter) located in Alabama. There were less site-specific data characterizing media concentrations and human exposure levels available for this study area than for the primary Pb smelter case study. However, recent air concentration data from the area surrounding the facility and facility characterization data (including emission estimates) were readily available.

41 42

43

3.2.1 Description of Case Study Location

44 The secondary Pb smelter case study location is in Troy, Alabama. Troy is a city located in Pike

45 County, positioned in the south central portion of the state, and its approximate area is 68 km^2 .

46 As of 2002, there were estimated to be 17,910 people living within a 10-kilometer radius of the

facility (2,186 within 2 kilometers; 10,634 between 2 and 5 km; and 5,090 between 5 and 10 km). Of this population, 1,672 are children ages 7 years and under (187 within 2 km; 896 between 2 and 5 km; and 589 between 5 and 10 km) (U.S. Census Bureau 2005).

3.2.2 Description of Secondary Pb Smelter

6 7 This facility is one of 15 secondary Pb smelters currently operating in the United States (ECR 8 2006). US-231 borders the facility to the north-northeast and a railroad line and Henderson 9 Highway run along the north-northwest and west boundaries of the facility. The area located 10 directly west of Henderson Highway is forested. To the south and south-southwest are other industries and businesses. Big Creek appears to be the closest major water body, located 11 12 approximately 0.8 km (0.5 mi) south-southeast from the center of the facility. The City of Troy 13 is located north and east of the facility and north of US-231 (see Exhibit 3-8). 14 Secondary Pb smelters produce Pb from scrap and provide the primary means for recycling Pb-

15 16 acid automotive batteries. Approximately 95 percent of all Pb-acid batteries are recycled at secondary Pb smelters. Secondary Pb smelters perform three basic unit operations: battery 17 18 breaking, smelting, and refining and alloying. Battery breaking is accomplished by either crushing or cutting battery cases into pieces. The plastic, spent acid, and Pb-bearing materials 19 20 are then separated. Pb-bearing materials are processed in one of three types of smelting 21 furnaces: blast, reverberatory, or rotary. Molten Pb from these furnaces is further processed in 22 refining kettles and subsequently cast into molds. The waste stream from the furnaces (i.e., slag) is either returned to the primary smelting furnace or treated in a separate furnace dedicated to 23 24 slag cleaning to recover additional Pb. There are three types of emission sources at secondary Pb 25 facilities - process sources, process fugitive sources, and fugitive dust sources. The types of 26 sources at the secondary Pb smelter analyzed in these assessments include: blast furnace, 27 agglomeration furnace, alloving kettles and heating system, flue dust storage bins, and slag 28 treatment furnace. Stack emissions from the facility and fugitive emissions associated with 29 materials storage and handling and roadway dust have resulted in releases of Pb to the air and

30 soil (ECR 2006).

31 32







3.2.3 Human Exposure Measurements

2 3 No information on children's PbBs specific to the area around the secondary Pb smelter was 4 identified. However, the Lead Poisoning Prevention Branch of the CDC collected PbB surveillance data for children less than 72 months of age in Pike County, Alabama in 2003. Of 5 6 the 407 children tested by the CDC, there were 33 (approximately 8 percent) confirmed cases of 7 elevated PbB (i.e., PbB above 10 µg/dL). For children less than 72 months of age in the state of 8 Alabama and in the United States as a whole, the confirmed elevated PbBs as a percent of 9 children tested in 2003 was 2.4 percent and 1.9 percent, respectively (CDC 2003). Note, 10 however, that the statistics for children in Pike County do not necessarily represent PbBs for

11 12

1

3.2.4 Emissions

children living in Troy, Alabama.

13 14

As of June 9, 1994, when EPA proposed the secondary Pb smelter MACT standard (59 FR 63941), there were 23 secondary Pb smelters operating in the United States. As of 2002, there were 15 operating facilities in 11 states. Of these 15 facilities, the secondary Pb smelter analyzed in this study is the third highest emitter of Pb (ECR 2006).

19

20 The estimates for process emissions for the secondary Pb smelter analyzed in this study were

21 calculated from Pb emissions measured during stack tests performed December 1997, November

22 1999, and February 2000 (ECR 2006). Fugitive emissions were estimated by comparing the

modeled concentrations from the stack emissions to background Pb concentrations and
 monitored concentrations. The cumulative Pb emissions from this facility, including facility

24 monitored concentrations. The cumulative Po emissions from this facility, including facility 25 process and fugitive emissions, were estimated to be 4.56 tons/year. Particle sizes for emissions

25 from point sources at the facility ranged from 0.5 to 10 µm, and particle sizes for emissions from

27 area sources at the facility ranged from 1.25 to 22.5 µm. Emissions and release parameters,

particle size inputs, and other inputs for fate and transport modeling for the facility are provided

- 29 in Appendix C.
- 30 31

32

3.2.5 Summary of Environmental Data

The environmental data sets available for the secondary Pb smelter case study are summarized in Exhibit 3-9.

ICF International

Exhibit 3-9. Summary of Environmental Data Sources for
Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study ^a

Media	Dataset	Timeframe	Locations	Comments	
Human Health					
Ambient air	EPA's AQS/AirData	1997 – 2000 ^b	2 locations	Located 400 and 680 meters from the facility; see Exhibits A-12, A-13.	
Residential soil	Residential soil No data identified.			1.	
Indoor dust	No data identified.				
Deposition	No data identified.				
Ecological					
Non-reidential soil		No data identified.			
Surface water	No data identified.				
sediment	sediment No data identified.			1.	

^a In general, site characterization information was lacking for this secondary Pb smelter. Data, with the exception of

4 5 6 7 8 limited air monitoring data, were not available based on information from EPA Region 4. Information from the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) indicates there may be relevant soil data available

from the facility (ADEM 2006); however, no data have been obtained to date.

^b AirData monitor values from 1997 - 2000 were obtained for the purpose of comparing monitored values to

9 modeled air concentrations (see Chapter 4). Because emissions data for the secondary Pb smelter were based on 10 stack tests for 1997, 1999, and 2000, monitoring results from similar years were used.

11

12 Air Monitoring: As shown in Exhibit 3-9, average annual Pb concentrations in the vicinity of the secondary Pb smelter were available from EPA's AOS/AirData network (USEPA 2006f) for 13 14 two air monitors located near the facility (see Appendix A, Exhibit A-12). Data from these two

15 air monitoring sites for 1997 through 2000 are presented in Appendix A, Exhibit A-13. Over this

16 period, average annual Pb concentrations at the monitor closer to the facility ranged from 0.383

to 0.474 μ g/m³, with the lowest average annual concentration in year 2000. Average annual Pb 17

18 concentrations at the second monitor ranged from 0.132 to 0.198 μ g/m³.

19

20 For comparison purposes, the average annual Pb concentrations for the year 2005 from AirData

21 monitors located around the secondary Pb smelter case study location were compared to AirData

22 monitor results across the United States Exhibit 3-10 shows the distribution of average annual

23 Pb concentrations in total suspended particulate matter (TSP) for 208 monitors across the United

24 States (with average annual monitored Pb concentrations sorted in ascending order). The 2005

25 monitor results for the two AirData monitors located in the vicinity of the secondary Pb smelter are indicated using a solid square (**■**). The average monitored Pb concentrations from the 208

26 27 monitors ranged from 0.001 to 1.56 μ g/m³. Both of the monitors located near the secondary Pb

28 smelter fall into the top 15 percent of the 208 locations.



Exhibit 3-10. Distribution of Pb TSP Measurements Across the

4 5

1 2

3

6 **Soil:** No soil measurement data for Pb were identified in the vicinity of the secondary Pb

7 smelter case study location. For the human exposure and health risk assessments, soil

8 concentrations were estimated using two methods – a model-only approach and a hybrid

9 approach using model results and surrogate measurements from a similar facility. See Section 10 4.2.3 for details.

11

12 **Indoor Dust:** No indoor dust data for Pb were available from homes located in the vicinity of 13 the secondary Pb smelter. Indoor dust concentrations were estimated using an empirical model 14 that relates ambient air concentrations to indoor dust concentrations, as discussed in Chapter 4. 15

16 **Deposition:** No Pb deposition monitoring data were identified in the vicinity of the secondary 17 Pb smelter case study location. For the human exposure and health risk assessments and the 18 ecological risk assessment, Pb deposition arising from emissions from the secondary Pb facility 19 was modeled using EPA's AERMOD air dispersion model, as discussed in Chapter 4.

- 20
- 21 22

23

3.2.6 Ecological Characterization

3.2.6.1 Description of Site and Surroundings

24 25 The secondary Pb smelter location falls within the Alabama Coastal Plain in Pike County, 26 Alabama. It is located in an area of disturbed forests, and is less than 1.6 km (1 mi) from Big 27 Creek, which is part of the Pea River watershed. Big Creek is located approximately 0.8 km (0.5 mi) south-southeast from the center of the facility. The surrounding area includes emergent and 28 29 scrub-shrub wetlands, forests, freshwater creeks, ponds, rivers, croplands, pastureland, and 30 developed urban areas. The Pea River watershed drains into the Gulf of Mexico. The watershed 31 is underlain by coastal plain sediments, including sand, clay, and limestone; and the topography 32 can be characterized as gentle to moderate rolling hills (CPYRWMA 2006). 33

- 1 Diversity of terrestrial and aquatic animal species is relatively high. The Choctawhatee and Pea
- 2 River basins, in which the secondary Pb smelter is located, contain 43 species of marine,
- 3 estuarine, and freshwater fish species (Cook and Kopaska-Merkel 1996). Anadromous fish
- 4 species (i.e., saltwater fish that must spawn in freshwater) found in the Pea River basin include
- 5 the following: the threatened Gulf sturgeon (Acipenser oxyrhynchus desotoi), Alabama shad
- 6 (Alosa alabamae), striped bass (Morone saxatalis), and skipjack herring (Alosa chrysochloris).
- 7 The Pea River basin also provides habitat for 20 species of freshwater mussels (Cook and
- 8 Kopaska-Merkel 1996), as well as numerous species of snails, snakes, and other invertebrates.
- 9
- 10 Terrestrial species supported in this region include a variety of birds, mammals, invertebrates,
- 11 and vascular plants. A recent floristic survey of the Pike County Pocosin Nature Preserve
- 12 indicated that there were a total of 348 species of vascular flora (Alvin et al. 2002). The preserve
- 13 is approximately 11 km (7 mi) northeast of the secondary Pb smelter facility. In a recent survey
- 14 of birds spotted by citizens of Troy, Alabama, 29 species were reported, including American
- 15 robins (which consume soil invertebrates), the northern cardinal, American goldfinch, and
- 16 various sparrows, doves, woodpeckers, and warblers (GBBC 2003). Other terrestrial fauna
- 17 found in the region include small mammals and invertebrate species. A total of 34 vascular flora
- 18 from Pike County are listed by the Alabama Natural Heritage Inventory Program as endangered,
- 19 threatened, or of special concern in the state (Alabama Natural Heritage Inventory 2001).
- 20

21 Exhibit 3-11 displays the current listing of threatened and endangered species for Pike County,

22 Alabama (NatureServe 2006). According to NatureServe and the USFWS, no species in Pike

- 23 County are on the state or federal endangered species list (Outdoor Alabama 2003). A few
- species, however, are candidates for the federal list, as designated in Exhibit 3-11.
- 25

Animals		- Plants	
Invertebrates			
Delicate spike	Elliptio arctata	Apalachicola Wild Indigo	Baptisia megacarpa
Variable spike	Elliptio icterina	Baltzell's Sedge	Carex baltzellii
Purple pigtoe	Fusconaia succissa	Southern Twayblade	Listera australis
Southern sandshell (FCE)	Hamiota australis	American Pinesap	Monotropa hypopithys
Southern fatmucket	Lampsilis straminea claibornensis	Alabama Black Cherry	Prunus alabamensis
Fuzzy pigtoe (FCE)	Pleurobema strodeanum	Arkansas Oak	Quercus arkansana
Giant floater	Pyganodon grandis	Eared Coneflower	Rudbeckia auriculata
Tapered pigtoe (FCE)	Quincuncina burkei	Silky Camellia	Stewartia malacodendron
Southern creekmussel	Strophitus subvexus	Sessile-leaved Warea	Warea sessilifolia
Lilliput	Toxolasma parvus		
Pondhorn	Uniomerus tetralasmus		
Paper pondshell	Utterbackia imbecillis		
Choctaw bean (FCE)	Villosa choctawensis		
Little spectaclecase	Villosa lienosa		
Southern rainbow	Villosa vibex		
Vertebrates- Amphibians			
Two-toed amphiuma	Amphiuma means		
Vertebrates-Reptiles			
Coachwhip	Masticophis flagellum		

Exhibit 3-11. Threatened and Endangered Species in Pike County, Alabama^a

^a Abbreviation: FCE = Federal candidate for endangered status Source: NatureServe (2006)

3.2.6.2 Description of Available Data

Pb data in environmental media other than air were not available around the vicinity of the
secondary Pb smelter facility. Therefore, for estimates of Pb concentrations in outdoor soils, the
ecological risk screening assessment for the secondary Pb smelter case study relied on fate and
transport modeling and input data collected for the human exposure and health risk assessments.

11 12 13

2 3

4 5

6

1

3.3 Near Roadway Urban Case Study

14 The location for the near roadway case study was selected primarily based on the availability of 15 suitable air monitoring data. Specifically, measurements were sought that were inclusive of Pb 16 concentrations and particle sizes, reported for an urban site adjacent to a roadway, and located in 17 a dry climate where there was historical use of leaded gasoline. Several days of air monitoring 18 data for ambient measurements of particle-bound Pb were available for an urban site adjacent to a roadway in Houston, Texas (ICF 2006a). This location was selected for the pilot phase, and 19 20 the section of land adjacent to a segment of a well-traveled road near the monitoring site was 21 defined as the specific study area. This road has been in use as a thoroughfare since at least the 22 1950s; therefore, it seems likely that the historical use of leaded gasoline in automobiles during 23 this time has resulted in an accumulation of Pb in the near roadway soil.

3.3.1 Description of Case Study Location

2 3 The setting for the near roadway case study location is a small area of mixed residential and 4 commercial use about 14 km northwest of downtown Houston (see Exhibit 3-12). The study 5 area is the narrow strip of land that extends 200 meters from either side of a well-traveled 6 roadway that is located south of the selected air monitoring station. The area is focused on a 2.3 7 km stretch of this street that runs roughly east-west, with the monitoring station situated about 8 115 m north of the street. This length was defined as the segment for the case study based on the 9 subdivision of the street into "links." Roadways are typically divided into links for the purposes of organizing data by departments of transportation and other municipal offices; the study area 10 comprises two links of this street. Relevant vehicle traffic data for these links are presented in 11 12 Exhibit 3-13.

13

1

14 The land adjacent to the segment of road that makes up the study area was subdivided into three

15 zones for this case study: one extending from the edge of the roadway out to 12 meters; one that

16 includes the region between 12 to 75 meters from the roadway edge; and one that includes the

17 region between 75 to 200 meters from the roadway edge (see Exhibit 3-12). Additional

18 information regarding the spatial characteristics of the study area and the rationale for

19 subdividing the area into three zones is included in Section 4.3.1.

- 20
- 21 22

Exhibit 3-12. Study Area for Near Roadway Case Study, Houston, Texas



Exhibit 5-15. Venicle Trainc Data for Road Segment of Interest			
Road Link Section	Link Length (km)	Approx. Annual Average Daily Throughput (AADT), Vehicles ^a	
Segment east of site	0.7	22,000	
Segment west of site	1.6	17,000	

Exhibit 2 12 Vahiala Traffia Data for Doad Sagmant of Interact

3 4

5

12 13

14

16

17 18

22 23

24

^a Length and vehicle throughput from Highway Performance Monitoring System (U.S. Department of Transportation 2006); data are representative of 2002 and 2003.

As of 2000, about 1,950 people resided in 620 households within the boundaries of this study
area, including about 320 children between the ages of 0 and 7 years. Demographic data for the
case study were estimated using information from the U.S. Census by area-weighting population
data for census blocks overlapping with the study area. More information on these calculations
and relevant demographics for each of the three zones in the study area is included in Appendix
D.

3.3.2 Human Exposure Measurements

15 No human exposure measurements were identified for the near roadway urban study area.

3.3.3 Emissions

19 No emissions data were collected for the near roadway case study. Soil and air Pb

concentrations were derived from measurements; and no modeling of emissions was conductedfor this case study.

3.3.4 Summary of Environmental Data

The environmental data sets identified for the near roadway case study are summarized inExhibit 3-14.

27

28 29 Exhibit 3-14. Summary of Environmental Data Sources for Near Roadway Urban Case Study

Media	Data Set	Timeframe	Location	Comments
Ambient air	DRI: 1 monitor ($PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10})	February 2001; 3 daily averages (ICF 2006b)	115 m from road segment of interest	Used to characterize near roadway air concentrations
	AQS/AirData: 3 monitors (PM _{2.5} , PM ₁₀ , TSP)	1996 and 2006; multiple observations (USEPA 2006f)	Houston (15-30 km from road segment)	Distance to nearest roadway not specified
Soil	Turer and Maynard (2003): near roadway measurements	October 1998 ^a	Corpus Christi; I-37 entrance ramp near downtown; 2, 3, and 12 m from roadway	Adjacent to roadway; higher traffic volume than study area
Indoor dust	No data identified.			
Deposition	No data identified.			

30

^a Sample data for soil confirmed by Maynard (2006).

¹ 2

- 1
- 2 Two air monitoring data sets were identified for locations in the vicinity of the road segment that
- 3 is the focus of this case study. Air monitoring of Pb was conducted by Desert Research Institute
- 4 (DRI) at a single monitor located about 115 m north of the road segment. Daily average air
- 5 concentrations of Pb in the PM_{10} fraction collected over three days in February 2001 ranged from
- 6 0.0045 to 0.0056 μ g/m³. In addition, EPA's AirData database includes measurements of Pb for 7 three air monitors located in Houston. None of these monitors is located within 15 km of the
- 8 road segment of interest, and the distance to the nearest roadway was not specified for any
- 9 monitor. Mean concentrations of Pb in the TSP fraction ranged from 0.001 to 0.02 μ g/m³ for
- 10 1996 to 2006 across the three monitors. Mean concentrations of Pb in the PM_{10} fraction ranged
- from 0.006 to 0.012 μ g/m³ for 1999 to 2006 for the one monitor with PM₁₀ measurements. 11
- 12
- 13 Although no suitable soil Pb measurements were identified for locations in the vicinity of the
- 14 road segment of interest or elsewhere in Houston, one set of near roadway soil measurements in
- Corpus Christi was identified in the literature. Turer and Maynard (2003) reported 15
- 16 concentrations of Pb in surface soil samples taken adjacent to an interstate entrance ramp;
- concentrations ranged from 214 mg/kg at 12 m from the roadway to 766 mg/kg at 3 m from the 17
- 18 roadway. See Appendix D for additional detail on the air and soil data sets. No measurements
- 19 of Pb in dust inside residences or measurements of deposition were identified in the vicinity of 20
- the near roadway urban case study location.
- 21 22

3.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Case Study 23

- 24 From the literature search for studies of Pb in near roadway soils (described in Section 3.3.4),
- 25 two non-urban sites for which soil Pb levels are available were identified for use in the
- 26 ecological risk assessment. These locations are: (1) Interstate 37 near oil refineries in Corpus 27 Christi, Texas (Turer and Maynard 2003) and (2) Interstate 95 north of Richmond, Virginia, at
- 28 Exit 86 which connects to a moderately traveled, two-lane road (Speiran 1998).
- 29
- 30 Land cover data from 1992 within 1.6 km (1 mi) of the Corpus Christi, Texas study location
- 31 showed 59 percent industrial, 10 percent low intensity residential, and 25 percent high intensity
- 32 residential (Vogelmann et al. 2001). The remaining 5 percent of the surrounding area included
- 33 shrubland, row crops, pasture, grasses, and forested upland, including evergreen forest and
- 34 deciduous forest. The 1992 land cover data within approximately 1.6 km (1 mi) of the Atlee,
- 35 Virginia, study locations was 26 percent developed: 2 percent low-intensity residential and
- commercial and 24 percent industrial and transportation. The remaining 74 percent included 25 36
- 37 percent deciduous forest, 14 percent woody wetlands, and 12 percent pasture (Vogelmann et al.
- 38 2001). Smaller proportions of mixed forest, evergreen forest, row crops, and transitional
- 39 (barren) areas were also found.
- 40
- 41 Exhibit 3-15 lists the environmental data sources for the near roadway non-urban case study.
- 42

	Near Roadway Non-Urban Case Study					
Media	Data Set	Timeframe	Locations	Comments		
	Ecological					
Soil	Corpus Christi, Texas Site No. 2 (Turer and Maynard 2003)	~1998 ^a	4 locations taken from a transect perpendicular to the road (0.5, 1.2, 2, and 4 m from the road)	See Chapters 4 and 7 for more		
	Atlee, Virginia (Speiran 1998)	1994	27 locations taken along a 140-m section of interstate, ranging from 2 to 30 m from road	information		

Exhibit 3-15. Summary of Environmental Data Sources for Near Roadway Non-Urban Case Study

3 4 ^a Sample data for soil confirmed by Maynard (2006).

5 The Pb soil data for the Corpus Christi, Texas location 2 meters from the roadway ranged from

6 15 mg/kg at 20-cm depths to 650 mg/kg at 10-cm depths. The Pb soil concentrations at the

7 Atlee, Virginia location ranged from 17 mg/kg 15 meters from the roadway to 540 mg/kg 2

8 meters from the roadway; both of these Atlee samples were collected from 7.5-to 15-cm soil

9 depths.

10

11 **3.5 Ecologically Vulnerable Case Study**

12

13 The Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest (HBEF) is located in central New Hampshire within 14 the White Mountain National Forest. HBEF is approximately 210 km north of Boston, MA, and 15 vehicle access is limited. HBEF soils are mostly well-drained Spodosols with pH levels at or below 4.5 and feature the Berkshire, Skerry, Becket, and Lyman soil series (Hubbard Brook 16 Information Oversight Committee 2001). A unique characteristic of the Spodosol soil order is 17 18 that the soils horizons are not necessarily parallel to the soil surface, as is the case in most orders 19 (Soil Survey Staff 1999). An important feature of HBEF surface topography is the soil layer 20 movement caused by the uprooting of trees, which creates mixtures of the lower mineral surface 21 soil layers as well as buried horizons where mixture does not occur. This surface disturbance 22 influences weathering and biogeochemical cycles. HBEF is completely forested and consists of 23 80 to 90 percent hardwoods and 10 to 20 percent conifers. Sugar maple (Acer saccharum), 24 beech (Fagus grandifolia), and yellow birch (Betula allegheniensis) are prevalent at low to mid 25 elevations, while red spruce (*Picea rubens*), balsam fir (*Abies balsamea*), and white birch (*Betula* papyrifera var. cordifolia) are predominant at higher elevations. Commercial logging at HBEF 26 27 ended between 1915 and 1917; the present forest is an even-aged, second-growth forest. 28 (Hubbard Brook Information Oversight Committee 2001). HBEF consists of a number of study 29 watersheds that have been subject to experimental manipulation; Watershed 6 (W6) serves as the 30 reference watershed and has not been experimentally disturbed.

1 Pb concentration data in forest soils, surface water, and bulk precipitation are available for

2 HBEF; Pb bulk deposition data are also available (see Exhibit 3-16). Ambient air Pb

3 concentration data were not located. The presented data largely focus on W6 and areas

4 proximate to W6, although data from other areas of HBEF are also included.

5 6

Media	Data Set	Timeframe	Locations	Comments
Atmospheric Inputs	-	-	-	-
Precipitation	Wang et al. (1995)	1993	HBEF; at least 12 samples	Sample location not specified.
Bulk deposition	Johnson et al. (1995)	1976 – 1989	HBEF	Used available data (from W6 and areas immediately adjacent) to construct regression model, which was used to predict Pb inputs
	Yanai et al. (2004)	1976 – 2000	HBEF (Watershed 6, Watershed 1); 100 samples were collected from elevations ranging from 490 to 790 m	See Appendix E
Soil	Wang and Benoit (1996)	1993 – 1994	HBEF (a watershed immediately west of Watershed 6); 24 lysimeters sampled monthly at three elevations (600, 730, and 750 m)	See Appendix E
Surface water (stream)	Wang et al. (1995)	1993	Bear Brook (west of HBEF Watershed 6); samples were collected at elevations ranging from about 400 to 800 m	Sample size not reported.

Exhibit 3-16. Summary of Environmental Data Sources for HBEF

7

8 Yanai et al. (2004) analyzed forest floor² soil samples (this data set also includes samples from

9 Watershed 1 [W1]) and reported a 32 percent decline in forest floor Pb concentrations at HBEF

10 from 1976 to 2000. Pb concentrations for this data set ranged from about 38 mg/kg collected in

11 2000 to 115 mg/kg collected in 1978. Soil profile analysis shows that Pb has become more

12 concentrated at lower depths over time. Johnson et al. (1995) also noted a downward movement

13 of Pb in soil layers. Wang et al. (1995) reported stream dissolved Pb concentrations ranging

14 from about 5 to 15 parts per trillion (ppt) for samples collected in 1993.

15

16 For the pilot phase, the ecologically vulnerable case study assessment was limited to identifying

17 the case study location (i.e., HBEF) and identifying associated available media concentration

18 data sets (as described further in Appendix E).

² Yanai et al. (2004) define "forest floor" as the O-A soil horizons.

1 **3.6** National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Screening Assessment

23 The geographic coverage of the national-scale screening assessment includes locations in

4 freshwater watershed basins from all regions of the United States. The assessment was limited to

5 freshwaters. Monitoring data reviewed by EPA's Office of Research and Development indicated

6 that Pb concentrations in large lakes and oceans are lower than in smaller freshwater bodies

7 (USEPA 2006b). Exhibit 3-17 lists the data sets used in this screening assessment. Exhibit 3-18

8 lists the 47 basins for which the National Water Quality Assessment (NAWQA) database
9 provided dissolved Pb surface water concentration data. Approximately 50 percent of the land

9 provided dissolved PD surface water concentration data. Approximately 50 percent of the land

10 base of the United States is covered by these 47 basins. The basins for which sediment Pb 11 concentration were evaluated are listed in Exhibit 3-19.

11

- 12
- 13

14

Exhibit 3-17. Summary of Environmental Data Sources for National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Screening Assessment

Media	Data Set	Timeframe	Locations	Comments	
	Ecological				
Surface water column	National Water Quality Assessment (NAWQA) Database (USGS 2004)	1991 to 2004 (Analysis limited to 1994 through 2004)	47 basin locations across the United States	See Chapters 4 and 7 and Appendix H	
Sediment	National Water Quality Assessment (NAWQA) Database (USGS 2004)	1992 to 2000	12 basin locations	See Chapters 4 and 7	

Exhibit 3-18. USGS NAWQA Study Units^a Included in the National-Scale Surface Water Column Screening Assessment

Study Unit/Basin Name (Year Sampling Started)	Study Unit ID No.	Study Unit/Basin Name (year Sampling Started)	Study Unit ID No.
Northeast		Midwest	
New England Coastal Basins (1997)	1	Central Nebraska Basins (1991)	35
Connecticut - Housatonic - and Thames River Basins (1991)	2	South Platte River Basin (1991)	40
Hudson River Basin (1991)	3	Upper Colorado River Basin (1994)	44
Long Island and New Jersey Coastal Drainage (1994)	4	Northern Rockies Intermontane Basins (1997)	46
Delaware River Basin (1997)	5	Rio Grande Valley (1991)	45
Allegheny and Monongahela (1994)	9	Northwest	
Middle Atlantic		Yellowstone Basin (1997)	43
Lower Susquehanna River Basin (1991)	6	Upper Snake River Basin (1991)	48
Potomac River Basin & Delmarva Peninsula (1991, 1999)	87	Central Columbia Plateau & Yakima River Basin (1991, 1999)	50 51
Kananha-New River Basin (1994)	10	Puget Sound Basin (1994)	52
North-central		Williamette Basin (1991)	53
Lake Erie - Lake St. Clair Drainage (1994)	11	Southwest	
White River & Great and Little Miami River Basins (1991, 1997)	13 12	Great Salt Lake Basins (1997)	47
Upper Illinois River Basin (1997)	14	Central Arizona Basins (1994)	49
Lower Illinois River Basin (1994)	15	Sacramento River Basin (1994)	54
Western Lake Michigan Drainage (1991)	ern Lake Michigan Drainage (1991) 16 Nevad		55
Upper Mississippi River Basin (1994)	17	San Joaquin-Tulare Basins (1991)	
Red River of the North Basin (1991)	18	Santa Ana River Basin (1997)	
Albemarle-Pamlico Drainage (1991)	19	Hawaii	
Tennessee River Basin (upper & lower; 1994, 1997)	20 29	Oahu (1997)	58
Southeastern		Alaska	
Santee Basin and Coastal Drainages (1994)	21	Cook Inlet Basin	59
Apalachicola-Chattahoochee-Flint River Basin (1991)	22		
Georgia-Florida Coastal Plain (1991)	23		
Southern Florida (1994)	24		
South-central			
Mobile River and Tributaries (1997)	26		
Mississippi Embayment (1994)	27		
Acadian-Pontchartrain (1997)	28		
Eastern Iowa Basins (1994)	30		
Ozark Plateaus (1991)	31		
Trinity River Basin (1991)	33		
South Central Texas (1994)	34		

^a See Section 4.5 for a discussion of these Study Units.

3 4

Study Unit/Basin Name	Study Unit ID No.
New England Coastal Basins	1
Connecticut - Housatonic - and Thames River Basins	2
Ozark Plateaus	31
Rio Grande Valley	45
Northern Rockies Intermontane Basins	46
Great Salt Lake Basins	47
Oahu	58

Exhibit 3-19. USGS NAWQA Study Units Included in National-Scale Sediment Screening Assessment

3

4 There are temporal trends associated with whether dissolved Pb was measured at given station.

5 Most notably, at many stations for which multiple years of data are available, if the first year of

6 sampling indicated all dissolved Pb measurements to be less than the quantitation limit (QL) of 1

7 μ g/L, no further measurements of dissolved Pb were attempted (e.g., dissolved Pb measured in

8 1999 less than 1 μ g/L; no further measurements in 2000 through 2004).

9

10 Most dissolved Pb concentrations reported in the NAWQA database are below the QL. There 11 are only 2,558 samples analyzed for dissolved Pb in surface waters for the NAWOA database

from 1994 to 2004.³ Of the 2,558 samples examined, 83 percent (2,116) were below the QL

13 (USEPA 2006b). Of the 442 dissolved Pb concentrations reported in the data set, 17 percent (74

14 of 442) were estimated.⁴

15

16 The NAWQA database had only one measurement of total Pb sediment concentrations for each

17 of the 12 locations evaluated in the sediment portion of the screening assessment. Of these 12

total measurements, seven total Pb concentrations were between 23 and 68 mg/kg; two were at

19 239 and 240 mg/kg, and three were between 1,620 and 2,900 mg/kg.

³ Note that EPA/ORD's review of the NAWQA database identified 3,445 samples; however, their review included samples from 1991 forward (USEPA 2006b, Table 7-3).

⁴ Of the 74 estimated values, 68 were for concentrations above detection limit of 0.04 μ g/L but below the QL of 0.08 μ g/L.

4. **Estimates of Media Concentrations** 1

3 This chapter discusses methods, results, limitations, and uncertainties associated with the media 4 concentrations used for exposure assessment in the human exposure and health risk assessments 5 and ecological risk assessment. The context for these media concentrations within the risk assessments is described in Chapter 2 and illustrated in Exhibits 2-2 and 2-3 for the human 6 7 exposure and health risk assessments and in Exhibits 2-5 and 2-6 for the ecological risk 8 assessment.

9 10

2

4.1 **Primary Pb Smelter**

11 12 The media concentrations for the primary Pb smelter case study were estimated using a 13 combination of modeling approaches and monitoring data. The timeframe of the data used in the 14 study is from 2000 to 2005. The emissions inputs are representative of potential emissions in 15 2001, and the soil sample data used was collected from 2000 to 2005. Recent air monitoring data indicated that this facility is not in attainment with the current Pb NAAQS; therefore, both a 16 17 current conditions and an attainment scenario were considered. The inhalation exposure and 18 indoor dust concentrations are different between the two scenarios for the modeled locations 19 where the current conditions air concentrations exceed the current Pb NAAOS. This is because 20 the inhalation exposure and indoor dust concentrations are calculated from the modeled air 21 concentrations. For the pilot phase, the same soil concentrations of Pb were used for both 22 scenarios.

23 24

4.1.1 Spatial Template

25 As discussed in Section 2.2.2, the outer boundary of the study area for the primary Pb smelter 26 27 case study was set to approximately 10 kilometers (km), which was expected to capture the 28 population experiencing the most significant impacts of the facility's emissions. Modeled air 29 concentrations of Pb from the primary Pb smelter were compared to estimated background Pb 30 concentrations from the Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) database (IMPROVE 2006) to determine at what distance the air concentrations resulting from 31 32 the facility emissions reached approximately 50 percent of the background air concentration. 33 From this comparison, it was found that a radius greater than 50 km would be required to reach 34 air concentrations that would be 50 percent of background. Due to model run times, this would 35 not be feasible, so the decision was made to model the facility's impacts in a study area that 36 extended 10 km from the facility. This smaller study area is expected to capture the highest 37 exposures associated with the facility's emissions.

- 38
- 39 A combination of U.S. Census blocks and block groups (U.S. Census Bureau 2005) were used as the spatial units at which to model air concentrations. The 29 block groups that are 40
- 41
- predominantly within 10 km of the facility were selected to define the spatial extent of the study 42 area. Because of the irregular shape of block groups, not all of the block groups with area within
- 43 10 km were included, and some that were included have area outside 10 km. The selection was
- 44 made to create a coverage area that would include block groups whose average concentration
- would predominantly come from air within 10 km of the source. All census block centroids 45
- 46 within these 29 block groups were included as receptors in the ISC model runs (i.e., air

1 concentrations and deposition fluxes were modeled at each block centroid). There are 1,321

- 2 blocks within these block groups. Of these blocks, 14 were identified as falling within facility
- boundaries, or adjacent to the facility in the Mississippi River.¹ These 14 blocks were removed
- 4 from the assessment; other zero population blocks within the study area were still included. A
- 5 total of 1,307 census block centroids were included as receptors in the air dispersion model
- simulations, including blocks within the study area with zero population. The blocks with zero
 population were included in the modeling simulations to aid in understanding the patterns of air
- 8 concentrations in the study area. These locations were not included in the exposure assessment
- 9 and are not included in exhibits summarizing modeling results (with the exception of isopleths
- 10 diagrams). The elevation of each block centroid was generated using USGS digital elevation
- 11 model files and the AERMAP preprocessor model.
- 12
- 13 In order to limit the locations modeled for the exposure assessment, a combination of block and
- 14 block group-level results were used for the exposure assessment. The average concentration and
- 15 deposition in each block group was calculated by spatially-weighting the values at the block
- 16 level. The area of each block was obtained from the U.S. Census (Census Bureau 2005). The
- 17 decision of whether to use the block or block group was made by considering the range of block-
- 18 level concentrations within a block group. If the ratio of the maximum block-level air
- 19 concentration in the block group to the average air concentration in the block group was greater
- 20 than 2.0, the blocks in the block group were modeled individually. All other block groups were
- 21 modeled as units. This method generally resulted in blocks near the facility, as well as some
- blocks far from the facility that fall within very large block groups, being modeled individually(see Exhibit 4-1).
- 23 24
- 25 Six census blocks near the facility for which there are non-zero population counts for the year
- 26 2000 were removed from the analysis because current information indicates that as of 2004 they
- have zero population (Gradient Corporation 2004). A total of 22 census block groups and 201
- 28 census blocks (all with non-zero population counts) in other block groups were included in the
- 29 exposure assessment.

¹ All territory in the United States is delineated into Census blocks (U.S. Census Bureau 2005). Therefore, large water bodies like the Mississippi River often contain Census blocks, although there is no population associated with these blocks.




4.1.2 Air

The air concentrations and deposition of Pb resulting from emissions at the primary Pb smelter
facility were estimated using the ISC-PRIME (Schulman et al. 1997; USEPA 1995) air
dispersion model and compared to the air concentration and deposition measurements from
nearby monitors. The emissions used for the air quality modeling are described in Section 3.1.4.
The air concentration and deposition monitoring data used for comparison are described in
Section 3.1.5.

9 10

1

4.1.2.1 Study Area and Air Dispersion Modeling

11 12 The air dispersion model ISC-PRIME was used for the primary Pb smelter air quality modeling. 13 The meteorological data used for the model simulations included 25 consecutive months (April 14 1, 1997 to April 30, 1999) of on-site data. These meteorological data were also used for an analysis by U.S. EPA Region 7 (2006b) of the facility. Meteorological data are currently being 15 16 collected at the facility, but problems due to data gaps prevented their use in this analysis 17 (USEPA Region 7 2006b). As described in Section 3.1.4, emissions, release parameters, particle 18 size parameters, and building downwash inputs were all provided by Region 7 (in the form of an 19 input runstream file). The emissions and source parameters represent the 2000 Revision of the 20 SIP developed for the facility (MDNR 2000).

21

22 Hourly air concentrations and deposition were output from the dispersion model at each receptor 23 (i.e., block or block group, as described in Section 4.1.1) and monitor location. The hourly air 24 concentration and deposition model results were averaged over the modeling time period to 25 obtain annual average air concentration and annual average deposition for the current conditions scenario. The concentrations were also averaged quarterly to determine whether any of the 26 27 current conditions scenario's air quarterly average concentrations were greater than the current 28 Pb NAAQS. Modeled Pb air concentrations in some blocks exceeded the NAAQS for at least 29 one guarter. For the current attainment scenario, these concentrations were set to the current NAAQS value (1.5 μ g/m³), assuming attainment, and the annual averages were then recalculated 30 using the revised quarterly averages. All other modeled concentrations for the current attainment 31 32 scenario were set to the same values as the current conditions scenario.² 33

34

4.1.2.2 Inhalation Exposure Concentrations

Inhalation exposure concentrations of Pb were estimated for the population of interest (young
children) from the estimated ambient air concentrations using age group- and location-specific
relationships for Pb developed from modeling performed for U.S. EPA's 1999 National-Scale
Air Toxics Assessment (USEPA 2006e), one of U.S. EPA's National Air Toxics Assessment
(NATA) activities. These relationships account for air concentration differences indoors and
outdoors and mobility or time spent in different locations (e.g., outdoors at home, inside at home,

42 etc.) for the population of interest.

 $^{^{2}}$ As described in Section 2.2, this method is recognized to be a simplification of how air concentrations would respond.

1 The NATA national-scale assessment produced air concentrations of Pb (and other hazardous air

- 2 pollutants) for each U.S. Census tract (using the Assessment System for Population Exposure
- 3 Nationwide model, ASPEN), and corresponding exposure concentrations of Pb for each of five
- 4 age-groups at each U.S. Census tract. The relationships (or ratios) between ambient Pb
- 5 concentration and Pb exposure concentration from the NATA national-scale assessment for the 0
- 6 to 4 age group (the closest age group for which outputs are available to the age group of interest
- for this assessment) ranged from 0.37 to 0.42 for the U.S. Census tracts within the study area for D_{1}
- 8 the primary Pb smelter location. The ratios are presented in Appendix F, Exhibit F-1.
- 9

10 Use of ratios for the 0 to 4 age group (rather than for 0 to 7) contributes some uncertainty in the 11 estimate of children inhalation exposure concentrations. In addition, there is some uncertainty in 12 the magnitude of the air concentrations generated using the ASPEN model for the NATA 13 assessment. In a comparison to monitoring data across the country, the ASPEN modeled air 14 concentrations generally underestimate monitored concentrations (see section on Comparison to 15 Monitored Values, USEPA 2006e). However, the relationship between ambient concentrations

16 and exposure concentrations (which is the comparison used here) is not expected to be affected

- 17 by underestimated ambient concentrations from the NATA study.
- 18 19

4.1.2.3 Air and Deposition Results

20 21 Annual average Pb air concentrations and deposition fluxes were calculated at the centroid of 22 each census block group, block, and monitor receptor point for the two years of meteorological data. To take into account variations in meteorological data, the annual average concentrations 23 24 and depositions for each of the two years were averaged to generate one set of representative 25 annual average concentration and deposition results for the current conditions scenario. For the 26 attainment scenario, concentration estimates were adjusted at the eight census blocks at which 27 one or more quarterly average air concentrations exceeded the current NAAQS. At these blocks 28 any quarterly averages that exceeded the NAAQS were set equal to the NAAQS and the annual 29 average was calculated from the quarterly averages. All other block and block groups for which 30 a quarterly average air concentration did not exceed the current NAAOS were kept at the 31 modeled values for the attainment scenario. Using this method, it is likely that the concentrations at blocks that did not exceed the current NAAQS are slightly overestimated for 32 33 the attainment scenario. However, because there may be multiple methods that the facility 34 would use to obtain attainment (i.e., different controls on different processes), the exact pattern of concentration reductions could vary depending upon which location in the facility has reduced 35 36 emissions. Thus, it is likely to underestimate reductions in ambient air concentrations for the 37 current NAAQS attainment scenario relative to the current conditions scenario.

38

All concentration, deposition, and inhalation exposure estimates for the census blocks and block groups modeled are presented in Appendix F, Exhibits F-2 and F-3, for both scenarios. Exhibit 4-2 presents the range of air concentration, inhalation exposure, and deposition values generated from the modeling for both the current conditions and current attainment scenarios. The values are summarized from the model results at the 223 blocks and block groups with non-zero population selected for further analysis in the exposure assessment. Exhibit 4-3 shows the

45 isopleths of the block-level modeled air concentration results.

1 2

	Current Conditions		Curren		
Statistic ^b	Average Annual Pb Air Concentratio n (μg/m³)	Children 0 to 4 Average Annual Pb Air Exposure Concentration (μg/m ³)	Average Annual Pb Air Concentration (μg/m³)	Children 0 to 4 Average Annual Pb Air Exposure Concentration (μg/m ³)	Dry Deposition (g/m²/year)
Maximum	2.73	1.14	1.50	0.628	5.20
95 th Percentile	0.662	0.277	0.662	0.277	1.28
Median	0.0221	0.00895	0.0221	0.00895	0.0938
5 th Percentile	0.00845	0.00329	0.00845	0.00329	0.0266
Minimum	0.00541	0.00210	0.00541	0.00210	0.0163
^a The 223 blocks and block groups with non-zero population selected for analysis were used to create this summary.					

Exhibit 4-2. Primary Pb Smelter Case Study: Modeled Air Concentrations and Deposition ^a

Note that in some of these blocks the 2000 U.S. Census indicates there are no children. ^b The statistic (e.g., 95th percentile, median) may not be at the same location for each of the data results presented

here.



Exhibit 4-3. Primary Pb Smelter Case Study: Concentration and Deposition Isopleths

4.1.2.4 Air Modeling Performance Assessment

2 3 As described in Section 3.1.5, nine air monitors from AQS/AirData (USEPA 2006f) and four 4 EPA high-volume air monitoring stations that are not in the AQS/AirData database (USEPA 5 Region 7 2006a) were identified within 10 km of the primary Pb smelter facility. Pb 6 concentrations measured at all of these monitors are for Pb in total suspended particulates (TSP). 7 Modeled air concentrations were generated from ISC-PRIME at each of these locations and are 8 presented in Exhibit 4-4. There is no specific year of monitoring data that can be directly 9 correlated with the emissions characterization because the emissions represent those from the 10 2000 Revision of the SIP developed for the facility, rather than a specific year's emissions (MDNR 2000). Therefore, the most recent 5 years of monitoring data were compared to the 11 12 modeled air concentrations. The range of the ratios of monitored to modeled concentrations is presented for each monitor location in Exhibit 4-4. A more detailed comparison is presented in 13 14 Appendix F, in Exhibit F-4.

15

1

16 As presented in Exhibit 4-4, it varies whether the model or monitor Pb air concentration is higher

17 at each monitoring point. It appears that roughly with increasing distance from the facility, the

18 ratio of monitored-to-modeled concentrations increases. This suggests that the model may be 19 overestimating concentrations at close distances, and underestimating concentrations with

overestimating concentrations at close distances, and underestimating concentrations with
 increasing distance. This may be related to deposition parameters, emissions estimates at certain

21 locations at the facility (the facility spans approximately 1 km), or meteorological data.

22

23 Exhibit 4-5 presents a comparison of the deposition modeled at 10 locations for which dry

24 deposition measurements are available (USEPA Region 7 2006a). Measured deposition was

available for 2003-04 at two heights (1 foot and 10 feet). The modeled deposition fluxes at those

same locations are compared to the all measured values and a range of the ratio of monitored to

27 modeled deposition is presented in Exhibit 4-5. A more detailed comparison table is provided in

Appendix F, Exhibit F-5. For most locations, the predicted dry deposition is less than the

- 29 measured dry deposition.
- 30

31 A wind rose created from the on-site meteorological data at the primary Pb smelter (Exhibit 4-6)

- 32 shows that the predominant wind directions are to the east and north. The air monitors and
- facility are mapped in Exhibit 4-7 to show the relative locations. The shaded area on the map

34 indicates the area with modeled emission release points, and the red circle is the main stack at the

- 35 facility. Because the Mississippi River forms a natural boundary on the eastern side of the
- 36 facility, the air monitors are all to the north, west, and south. There are no close-by air monitors
- to the east, in the predominant wind direction (the closest monitor in an eastern direction is more
- than 40 km to the northeast). However, because of the width of the river, the monitor network is
- expected to capture the high-end human inhalation exposure values from the facility emissions.In addition, the land on the eastern side of the river is farmland, and not as densely populated as
- 41 the area with the monitors (see Exhibit 3-2). The highest monitored values are at monitors 102,
- 42 290990015, 290990004, and 290990005, which are all north (and slightly west) of the facility.
- 43 This corresponds with what may be expected from the wind rose. Of the modeling results, one
- 44 of the highest concentrations was modeled at monitor 101 to the southwest of the main stack.
- 45 This likely is a result of the wide spread of emission sources modeled. Monitor 101 is located
- 46 amongst modeled emission sources, resulting in the high modeled concentration. Overall, the

modeled concentrations and depositions are fairly representative of conditions over the last 5 years based on comparison with the monitors.

Compared to Monitor Values						
Pilot Phase Analysis ID or Monitor ID	Distance to Main Stack (km) ^a	2-year Average Modeled Air Conc (μg/m³)	Range of Monitored to Modeled Ratio for 2001 to 2005 ^b			
	U.S. EPA Region	7 Database HiVols Values ^c				
100	0.39	1.06	0.7 to 1.2 (n = 3)			
101	0.45	4.04	0.1 to 0.3 (n = 2)			
102	0.53	2.17	0.4 to 2.8 (n = 3)			
103	0.73	0.186	2.1 to 5.4 (n = 3)			
	AirData I	Monitored Values ^d				
290990015	0.29	1.71	0.8 to 1.9 (n = 5)			
290990004	0.34	2.46	0.4 to 0.5 (n = 2)			
290990005	0.78	0.463	0.6 to 4.6 (n = 5)			
290990011	0.89	0.323	0.9 to 4.7 (n = 5)			
290990016	0.92	0.151	1.3 to 2.0 (n = 2)			
290990013	1.5	0.135	1.4 to 6.7 (n = 5)			
290990008	1.5	0.044	1.5 to 6.2 (n = 5)			
290990010	2.2	0.043	0.8 to 1.8 (n = 5)			
290990009	2.7	0.048	1.0 to 7.0 (n = 5)			

Exhibit 4-4. Primary Pb Smelter Case Study Air Concentration Modeled Results Compared to Monitor Values

^a The facility spans roughly 0.2 by 1 km. Therefore, some monitors will be closer to other emission release points than they are to the main stack.

⁶ The number indicated in parentheses after each range indicates how many annual average monitored concentrations are compared to the modeled results (i.e., 2, 3, or 5 annual average values are available from the monitor).

^c The Pb air concentration detections (which appear to be TSP Pb) reported in the HiVols data set of the
 U.S. EPA Region 7 database were averaged for each year (see Exhibit A-2). All non-detects were assigned
 half of the detection limit for averaging. Averages for 2001 are from measurements taken between October
 and December; averages for 2002 are from measurements taken all year; and averages for 2003 are from
 measurements taken between January and July.

^d AirData values are for TSP Pb and are averages obtained from the AirData website monitor reports. In
 some cases, there is more than one monitor at a site.

18

Compared to Measured Values					
Pilot Phase Analysis ID	Distance to Main Stack (km) ^a	Modeled Average Pb Deposition (g/m ² /yr)	Range of Monitored to Modeled Deposition Ratio for 2003-04 ^b		
1071	0.38	2.62	0.53 to 0.65		
181	0.49	1.23	0.71 to 0.79		
286	0.56	2.55	0.30 to 0.80		
1073	0.70	0.45	1.44 to 1.71		
444	0.71	1.42	0.24 to 0.54		
240	0.76	0.37	0.82 to 1.30		
207	0.85	0.65	0.40 to 0.83		
576	1.0	0.53	0.31 to 0.69		
531	1.3	0.35	0.31 to 0.87		
1072	1.9	0.21	0.26 to 1.04		

Exhibit 4-5. Primary Pb Smelter Case Study Deposition Modeled Results Compared to Measured Values

^a The facility spans roughly 0.2 by 1 km. Therefore, some monitors will be closer to other emission release points than they are to the main stack.

^b For each set of ratios, four sets of averaged deposition values were compared – deposition measurements at 1 ft and 10 ft in 2003 and 2004. See Exhibit A-11 for individual deposition measurements.

Exhibit 4-6. Wind Rose of Meteorological Data used for Primary Pb Smelter Case Study (Direction in which Wind is Blowing)^a



^a Wind rose for 18,240 hours of data

ICF International



Exhibit 4-7. Air Monitor Locations near Primary Pb Smelter

4.1.3 Outdoor Surface Soil

2 3 For the human exposure and health risk assessments, outdoor surface soil concentrations were 4 estimated for each spatial unit (i.e., census blocks and block groups) in the study area from the 5 soil sample measurements in the area. The extent and types of soil data sets used for the 6 calculations are described in Section 3.1.5. Many of the yards within 1.5 km of the primary Pb 7 smelter facility have been excavated and filled with clean soil in the last 10 years. EPA has 8 taken soil samples from 31 of these sites since 2002. These measurements are called 9 "recontamination" samples. The EPA database also contains soil samples for more than 900 10 locations labeled as "pre-excavation." These samples were taken from November 2000 to August 2004. Not all of the locations with pre-excavation samples have been filled with clean 11 soil, only select locations within 1.5 km of the facility. Documentation available for the 12 "recontamination" soil samples indicates that samples were taken to a depth of less than an inch 13 14 (EPA 2001), and it is likely the "pre-excavation" samples were taken the same way. Depending 15 on the location of the modeled block or block group in this study (within or outside of the soil 16 cleanup area), the soil concentrations for this assessment were calculated using either the

- 17 recontamination or pre-excavation data set.
- 18

1

19 All census blocks within the soil cleanup area (approximately 1.5 km) were identified from the

20 Gradient Corporation report (2004). For these 28 blocks with population, soil concentrations

21 were estimated from the recontamination soil samples taken in 2005. For blocks for which there

22 were one or more soil measurements available, the block soil concentration was set to the

average (arithmetic mean) of those measurements. For blocks for which there were no

24 measurements, but for which there were nearby measurements (i.e., across the street), the soil

concentration was set to the nearby measurement average. For other blocks, the average of all of

the recontamination soil measurements within 500 m was calculated and set as the value for the block.

27

29 Outside the soil cleanup area, soil concentrations were estimated using a regression equation of 30 the pre-excavation soil concentrations. The distance of each pre-excavation soil sample to the

30 the pre-excavation soil concentrations. The distance of each pre-excavation soil sample to the 31 main stack was measured using a geographical information system (GIS). The measurements

32 were grouped according to distance from the main stack, with separate groups for each 500 meter

32 (m) increment. The arithmetic mean for each group was calculated, resulting in five arithmetic

34 mean average values for soil concentration which were plotted versus distance. A regression

by mean average values for son concentration which were protect versus distance. A regression power equation (R^2 of 0.92) was calculated from the samples (see Exhibit 4-8). Note that pre-

36 excavation soil samples taken within 1.5 km of the facility were included to develop the

37 regression equation; however, the equation was not used to estimate soil concentrations at blocks

38 within the 1.5 km soil clean-up area (as indicated in Exhibit 4-8). The distance of each census

39 block and block group centroid from the main stack was measured in GIS. Soil concentrations

40 for the blocks and block groups outside the soil cleanup area were then calculated using the

41 regression equations based on distance from the stack.



Exhibit 4-8. Average Pre-excavation Soil Measurements and Best-fit Trend Line

2 3

1

All calculated soil concentrations at the block and block group locations are summarized in
Appendix F, Exhibit F-2 and F-3, with an indication of which method was used to calculate the
values. A summary of the soil concentrations is presented in Exhibit 4-9. Note that because of
the soil cleanup within 1.5 km of the stack, the calculated soil concentrations, as well as the
measured concentrations, near the facility are in many cases lower than the soil concentrations
calculated or measured in locations without soil cleanup. Soil concentrations throughout the
modeled region range from 16 to 976 mg/kg.

11

12 13

Exhibit 4-9. Summary of Primary Pb Smelter Case Study Soil Concentrations Extrapolated from Measurements

Statistic	Calculated Average Soil Concentration (mg/kg)	Distance from Main Stack (m) ^a	
Maximum	976	980	
95 th Percentile	426	1,700	
Median	84.0	5,400	
5 th Percentile	23.6	13,000 ^a	
Minimum	15.9	17,000 ^a	

14 15 ^a Receptors at blocks greater than 10 km from the facility were included because of the irregular shape of block groups (see Section 4.1.1 and Exhibit 4-1).

16

17 For the ecological risk screening assessment, surface soil concentration data were extracted from

18 data sets provided by ELM (2005) in its *Characterization Area Investigation Report* for the

1 primary Pb smelter facility in Herculaneum, Missouri. Sample results were aggregated into

- 2 geographic clusters to average media concentrations that will be used in the ecological risk
- 3 characterization. To ensure that data reflect contributions primarily via air deposition, the
- 4 sample locations were reviewed to exclude samples designed to assess contributions from other
- 5 pathways (e.g., surface drainage pathways and groundwater flow pathways). The samples on the
- 6 central, east, and west transects of the smelter's slag storage area (SSA) were excluded from this
 7 assessment because the ELM (2005) report states that the floodwaters of Joachim Creek have
- been visually observed to come into contact with the SSA, thereby depositing slag materials into
- 9 the floodplains as the water flow subsides. The soil measurements for the west and southern
- 10 slope of the SSA were also excluded because the sampling transects were located close to water
- 11 seeps from the SSA.
- 12

13 Soil samples farthest away from the SSA, where the possibility of direct contact with Pb from

- 14 the SSA during flooding events was the lowest, were chosen in this analysis. This set of
- 15 sampling sites was along the historic railroad grade on the west side of Joachim Creek, where
- 16 each site was at a progressively greater distance from the SSA. Also included in this analysis
- 17 were samples from the two "reference" areas. ELM collected samples from approximately 5.6
- 18 km and 6.5 km south of the facility, respectively, to measure "background" concentrations of Pb
- 19 in the soil and to establish a baseline for comparison to the measurements closer to the facility.
- 20 The closer reference area was south of Crystal City and the farther reference area was south of
- 21 the Festus Memorial Airport. ELM chose these areas because they have a similar habitat to areas
- surrounding the facility in terms of past and current land use and regional geology. There are no
- 23 known industrial sources of Pb near these references sites.
- 24
- To develop soil concentration estimates for the ecological risk screening assessment, the surface soil data was grouped into three geographic clusters. Cluster 1 comprised of ten samples taken from the west side of Joachim Creek (approximately 1 km west of the facility); Cluster 2 contained six samples taken from Crystal City (approximately 5.6 km south of the facility); and Cluster 3 contained ten samples taken from near the Festus Memorial Airport (approximately 6.5 km south of the facility). Soil samples were taken from depths of 0 to 3 inches (ELM 2003). The soil sampling results for the three soil sample clusters are summarized in Exhibit 4-10 and
- 32 presented in more detail in Appendix F.
- 33
- 34 35

Exhibit 4-10. Soil Sample Clusters Used to Estimate Ecological Receptor Exposure via Soil and Corresponding Pb Analysis Results (ELM 2005)

Sample Cluster	Mean Measured Pb Conc. (mg/kg)	Standard Deviation of Measured Pb Conc.	Mean Detection Limit (mg/kg)
Sample Cluster 1: soil samples from West of Joachim Creek	425	45.7	0.35
Sample Cluster 2: soil samples from Crystal City locations (south of facility)	64.7	10.0	0.41
Sample Cluster 3: soil samples from 'Near Festers Airport' locations (south of facility)	48.5	14.4	0.39

4.1.4 Indoor Dust

1

2

For estimating indoor dust concentrations for residences at the primary Pb smelting facility, two
 dust prediction models were used:

- 6 • For locations within 1.5 km of the facility: a site-specific regression model; and 7 For receptors more than 1.5 km away from the facility: a pooled analysis model (referred • to as the "AGG" or "aggregate" model) identified from the literature which predicts Pb 8 9 dust concentrations given soil and ambient air Pb levels based on data from a variety of 10 industrial and urban and industrial studies (USEPA 1989). 11 12 The site-specific model is based on data collected within the residential remediation zone 13 characterizing yard soil Pb levels (post-remediation) and indoor dust levels. The AGG, or non-14 site-specific model, was selected for zones outside of the remediation area because available soil 15 and indoor dust data did not extend to these more distant areas and the site-specific model 16 derived for the remediated zone was deemed not representative for the non-remediated zone. 17 18 The site-specific regression model was developed for predicting indoor dust levels within the 19 remediation zone immediately adjacent to the primary Pb smelter. The data set used to "fit" the 20 model was based on indoor dust samples (dependent variable) collected for 17 houses within the remediation zone. Independent variables included: 21 22 23 Modeled annual-average air Pb concentrations from census block centroids located • 24 within 200 m of each of the 17 houses; 25 • Road dust measurements located within 300 m of each house³; and 26 27 28 • Post-remediation residential soil Pb measurements collected from the yard of each house. 29 30 The pre-remediation soil Pb concentrations collected during 2001 were not included in 31 regression modeling because they might not be representative of current conditions at the site 32 (i.e., it was felt that soil samples collected post-remediation would be more representative of 33 current conditions given the level of remediation within this zone and ongoing recontamination 34 which was occurring). Because the focus is on predicting indoor dust Pb concentrations 35 reflecting longer-term trends, multiple samples taken over time for a given medium at a specific house were averaged to produce a "temporally-averaged" value reflecting longer-term trends in 36 37 Pb concentrations. For a more detailed summary of the regression analysis see Appendix F. 38 39 Multiple regression models were tested for this analysis, which are listed in Exhibit F-17 and F-40 18 of Appendix F. During the testing, the road dust samples were found to not have significant 41 predictive power for indoor dust Pb. This may reflect the fact that the indoor dust sample data
- 42 set does not provide significant coverage for homes located near to the truck haul routes,
- 43 resulting in a poor correlation between road dust levels and indoor dust levels. Yard soil samples

³ Road dust measurements were compiled by EPA Region 7 (2006a) from 2002 to 2006 at 21 locations ranging from 160 to 1,700 m from the main stack at the facility.

1 were found to be slightly (but statistically significantly) negatively correlated with indoor dust 2 levels. This counter-intuitive finding may reflect the process of yard remediation which has 3 likely resulted in a patchwork of remediated yards with varying degrees of recontamination by 4 air-deposited Pb (i.e., remediation activity has interfered with any correlation between yard soil 5 Pb levels, ambient air Pb levels, and indoor dust Pb levels that might have existed prior to 6 remediation efforts). Because of this negative correlation, the relationship to soil Pb levels was 7 excluded from the selected regression model, resulting in a model that only uses air 8 concentrations as input but has a fairly large intercept, which likely reflects a number of factors 9 not correlated with ambient air or distance from the facility, including generalized soil Pb 10 contamination in the area as well as contributions of indoor Pb paint to indoor dust. 11 Although multiple regression models were tested, ultimately, the H6 model was selected for use 12 in the pilot phase because it has one of the highest goodness-of-fit measures (\mathbb{R}^2 is 0.701), it 13 14 tracks non-linearities of the data even at low levels, and fits longer-term trends better than other 15 models. The H6 indoor dust model presented as follows relates the natural log of indoor house 16 dust to the natural log of ambient air Pb: 17 18 $\ln(Pb_{dust}) = 8.3884 + 0.73639 \times \ln(Pb_{air})$ 19 20 $CCC = \exp\{1.273 [\ln(hardness)] - 4.705\} \times CF$ 21 $CMC = \exp\{1.273 \left[\ln(hardness)\right] - 1.460\} \times CF$ 22 where: 23 24 CF= conversion factor = 1.46203 - [ln(hardness) × 0.145712] hardness is 25 expressed as mg/L of calcium carbonate ($CaCO_3$) 26 Pb_{dust} = concentration of Pb in indoor dust (mg/kg) 27 = concentration of Pb modeled in the ambient air ($\mu g/m3$). Pb_{air} 28 29 For the remainder of the study area, the pooled analysis AGG model based on data collected 30 during the 1970s and 1980s at a number of active primary Pb smelters, including the primary 31 smelter analyzed here, was used (USEPA 1989). The AGG model predicts indoor dust Pb based 32 on both outdoor soil and ambient air Pb levels. The model is appropriate for the non-remediation 33 portion of the primary Pb smelter case study area because this area has not been subjected to 34 extensive remediation and is therefore likely to resemble the locations included in the pooled 35 analysis used in deriving this model (i.e., areas not having undergone extensive yard soil remediation). Furthermore, because the non-remediation portion of the study area is likely to 36 37 have soil Pb gradients reflecting long-term atmospheric deposition of Pb, it is likely that indoor dust would be partially dependent on soil Pb. Therefore, the AGG model presented here 38 39 (including both the soil and air factors) was selected for this portion of the study area: 40 41 $Pb_{dust} = 31.3 + (638 \times Pb_{air}) + (0.364 \times Pb_{soil})$ 42 43 where: 44 45 Pb_{soil} = concentration of Pb in outdoor soil (mg/kg). 46

- 1 Exhibit 4-11 presents a summary of the Pb indoor dust concentrations generated in the primary
- 2 Pb smelting study area for the current conditions and current attainment scenarios. Indoor dust
- 3 concentrations were only estimated for census blocks with children. Exhibit 4-11 shows the
- 4 number of census block or block groups and children modeled with increasing levels of Pb house
- 5 dust. All estimated indoor dust Pb concentrations for residences in the primary Pb smelter case
- 6 study are presented in Appendix F, Exhibit F-14.
- 7
- 8 9
- 10 Exhibit 4-11. Primary Pb Smelter Case Study: Modeled Indoor Dust Pb Concentrations ^{a,b}

	Current Conditions		Current A	Attainment
House dust	Census blocks/	Children living in	Census blocks/ block	Children living in area
concentration	block groups with	area with dust	groups with dust	with dust
(µg/g)	dust concentrations	concentration	concentrations	concentration greater
	greater than value	greater than value	greater than value	than value
30	137	3,880	137	3,880
50	61	970	61	970
100	26	104	26	104
500	23	97	23	97
1,000	14	47	14	47
3,000	5	9	4	8
5,000	2	6	0	0

^a The 137 blocks and block groups with children aged 0 to 7 in the 2000 U.S. Census (U.S. Census Bureau 2005)

12 were used to develop this summary. Note that Exhibits 4-2 used all blocks with population, even blocks without 13 children.

^b Number of children ages 0 to 7 from U.S. Census 2000 were used in this analysis (U.S. Census Bureau 2005).

Studies summarized in the 1990 review of the Pb NAAQS contained measurements of house dust ranging from 10 to 35,000 ppm. A high value of 100,000 ppm was measured in one home within 2 km of a Pb smelting facility (USEPA 1989). The Pb indoor dust concentrations for this

19 primary Pb smelter case study fall within the range presented by U.S. EPA (1989), but they are

20 not in the high-end of the range. Within a distance of 2 km from the modeled primary Pb

- smelting facility, the maximum dust concentration at a receptor location is 5,300 parts per
- million (ppm) at 300 m from the main stack. In a study of Pb concentrations in household dust near a facility that has operated as a secondary Pb smelter since 1972 and as a primary smelter

for the previous 200 years in the Czech Republic, Rieuwerts et al. (1999) measured household

25 dust Pb concentrations in houses in neighborhood adjacent to the facility (the neighborhood

ranges from approximately 0 to 500 m away from the facility according to a figure). Measured

27 Pb concentrations in household dust from 14 homes ranged from 861 to 5890 ppm with a

28 geometric mean of 1668 ppm. Indoor dust concentrations in the primary Pb smelter pilot phase 29 assessment are similar, ranging from 1,500 to 5,300 ppm out to 500 m from the facility, with a

- 30
- 31 32

33

4.1.5 Surface Water and Sediment

geometric mean of 3,100 ppm.

34 Measurements of sediment and surface water Pb concentrations were obtained from ELM's

- 35 Characterization Area Investigation Report (2005). Sediment samples chosen for this
- 36 assessment were co-located with surface water samples at all locations. ELM sampled Joachim

Creek, the Mississippi River, a U-shaped ephemeral pond approximately 1.7 km northwest of the
 primary Pb smelter facility, and drainage areas in the watershed.

4.1.5.1 Surface Water

5 6 Results of all surface water samples collected from the Joachim Creek, Mississippi River, and 7 the nearby pond and backwater flow areas showed that levels of dissolved Pb were below the 8 detection limit for Pb of $3.0 \ \mu g/L$ (note that the detection limit for dissolved Pb in surface waters 9 for the U.S. Geological Survey since 2001 is $1.0 \ \mu g/L$). The surface water sample data analyzed 10 by ELM (2005) was reviewed and aggregated, and the results for sampling locations used in this 11 assessment are presented in Appendix F.

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

4

ELM (2005) collected surface water samples from four backwater and low flow areas within a 1.6 km radius to characterize impacts of aerial deposition of historical smelter emissions. ELM collected samples from two locations on Joachim Creek and its feeder streams (CHRDDP and DAMUP), based on the assumption that even though most of the direct deposition of particles from the emissions would be in the direction of the prevailing winds, minor gusts and winds along with aerodynamic effects may take the contaminants in any direction. Additional samples were taken from the unnamed pond north of Joachim Creek (UPOND) and from a slow flow area along the western shore of the Mississippi downstream of the facility (RRDP).

20 21

22 The other ELM (2005) surface water samples were reviewed and the ones that indicated likely 23 contributions of Pb from sources other than air deposition because they bordered the storage slag 24 area (SSA) were excluded. Sampling sites were chosen from among those taken along Joachim 25 Creek to represent sections of the creek approximately 800 m, 1.6 km, and 3.2 km to the west 26 and southwest of the primary Pb smelter based on aerial maps showing the sampling locations. 27 Transects were established by ELM in the area of point bars, backwaters, and low flow areas for 28 sediment sampling as well as locations perpendicular to stream flow at each sampling location. 29 ELM collected three surface water samples at each transect, one at the thalweg (i.e., the deepest 30 water area of the creek, generally in the middle) and the other two equidistant between the thalweg and the banks of the Joachim Creek. The water samples were collected in the center of 31 32 the vertical water column (i.e., equidistant from the water surface and the top of the sediment) at 33 each of the three locations of each transect. 34

The sampling locations identified in the Mississippi River were approximately 2.7 km upstream from the facility, in the immediate vicinity of the facility, and approximately 2.5 km downstream from the facility (data for sampling stations further downstream on the Mississippi River were not reported). ELM chose the farther downstream locations to assess the potential impact on the Mississippi River surface waters from the facility's SSA downstream, as well as deposition of smelter emissions.

41

42 Data were aggregated for the ecological risk screening assessment as follows:

43 44

45

46

• **Joachim Creek.** Samples were clustered geographically and then averaged. Cluster 1 corresponds to the set collected farthest upstream from the facility, while Cluster 5 was nearest upstream from the facility, and the remaining clusters fill the range between.

Results are provided in Exhibit F-7. Although total Pb concentrations were typically above the detection limit of $3 \mu g/L$, with values up to 106 μg total Pb per liter of water, dissolved Pb concentrations were not above detection limits.⁴ Therefore, all measured surface water concentrations of dissolved Pb at the sampling locations of interest were below the detection limits used in the study.

- **Mississippi River**. Samples from upstream, at the facility, and downstream of the facility are presented and will be evaluated separately. Sample results are presented in Exhibit F-8. Although some of the measured total Pb concentrations exceeded the detection limit, all measured dissolved Pb concentrations were below the detection limit.
- Other surface water samples from U-shaped pond and drainage areas. Sample results are presented in Exhibit F-9. Again, all measured concentrations of dissolved Pb in these surface waters were below the detection limit of $3 \mu g/L$.

4.1.5.2 Sediment

17 18 Sediment sample data were extracted and aggregated into geographic clusters based on ELM's 19 Characterization Area Investigation Report (2005) at the same locations as the surface water 20 concentrations presented in the previous section. Mean Pb concentrations in dried sediment 21 sample clusters for Joachim Creek ranged from 34.5 to 78.7 mg/kg; for the Mississippi River 22 from 12.1 mg/kg downstream to 30.1 mg/kg near the facility; and in the U-shaped pond mean 23 sediment concentrations were 170 mg/kg. Exhibits 4-12 through 4-14 present a summary of the 24 clustered sediment concentrations considered in this analysis. More detailed tables showing 25 measured sediment concentrations at each location are presented in Appendix F.

26

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

27 Sediment samples to 10 cm in depth from the Mississippi River were collected from the back-28 eddies located behind wing dams and jetties, because ELM expected significant sedimentation in 29 these areas due to the engineering of the Mississippi River by the Army Corps of Engineers. In 30 Joachim Creek, ELM focused sediment sampling locations at point bars and other depositional 31 areas. Joachim Creek exhibited sinuous meanders in the vicinity of the facility, which lies within 32 one of the large loops of one of the meanders of the creek. Since the series of meanders lead to a 33 slower and steadier current of the water, one would expect inorganic constituents associated with 34 facility-related operations to sorb onto silt and organic matter particles and mobilized facility-35 related constituents to collect at the point bars and other depositional zones. ELM collected 36 sediment samples from these areas to assess the potential for impacts from deposition of smelter 37 emissions, possibly from both slag piles and air emissions, on the sediments of Joachim Creek. 38 39 ELM also collected sediment samples from backwater and low flow pond areas, ephemeral

- 40 ponds, and drainage pathways to characterize impacts of air deposition of historical smelter
- 41 emissions. They collected surface water and sediment samples from the lowest points in the 42
- watershed and drainage pathways within 2.4 km from the facility. This choice of four sampling

⁴ Appendix B-2 of ELM (2005) reports surface water summary statistics in units of mg/L; this contradicts the units reported in Appendices C-5, C-6, C-7, and C-8 which present the measured constituent concentration results for the characterization area surface water samples. The Appendix C data tables all indicate that the measured values are in μ g/L unless otherwise noted; therefore, μ g/L was assumed to be the correct unit.

areas represented the areas of direct deposition from smelter emissions and deposition of
 impacted particles by surface water run-offs.

Given the focus of this assessment on impacts from air emissions from the smelter, sediment
samples that were taken very close to the water seeps at the toe of the SSA were excluded,
because the ELM reports states that the waters from Joachim Creek, on occasion, had been
observed to come into contact with the SSA during flooding events.

89 Data were aggregated for the risk screening assessment as follows:

- Joachim Creek. Samples were clustered geographically and then averaged. Cluster 1 corresponded to the samples collected furthest upstream from the facility, while Cluster 5 was nearest upstream from the facility. Measurements are presented in Exhibit 4-12 and Exhibit F-10.
- **Mississippi River**. Samples from upstream, at the facility, and downstream of the facility are presented and will be evaluated separately. Measurements are listed in Exhibit 4-13 and Exhibit F-11.
 - Other surface waters including U-shaped pond and watershed drainage areas. Measurements are presented in Exhibit 4-14 and Exhibit F-12.

Exhibit 4-12. Joachim Creek Measured Sediment Pb Concentration Data for the Primary Pb Smelter Case Study (ELM 2005)

Sample Cluster	Number of Sample Sites	Mean Pb Concentration in Dry Sediment (mg/kg)	Standard Deviation of Pb Concentration in Dry Sediment
Cluster 1	3	36.5	14.9
Cluster 2	4	56.0	20.0
Cluster 3	6	78.7	55.3
Cluster 4	11	30.0	8.5
Cluster 5	3	34.5	5.2

25

3

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19 20

21

22 23

for the Frindry FS Shielder Cuse Study (EERF 2000)					
Location with Respect to Facility	Number of Sample Sites	Mean Pb Concentration in Dry Sediment (mg/kg)	Standard Deviation of Pb Concentration in Dry Sediment		
Upstream	5	14.7	8.1		
Near facility	20	30.1	25.6		
Downstream	11	12.1	3.2		

Exhibit 4-13. Mississippi River Measured Sediment Pb Concentration Data for the Primary Pb Smelter Case Study (ELM 2005)

3 4

5

1

2

Exhibit 4-14. Other Surface Water—Drainage Areas and U-Shaped Pond—Measured Sediment Pb Concentration Data for the Primary Pb Smelter Case Study (ELM 2005)

Sampled Surface Water Body and Sample ID No.	Number of Sample Sites	Pb Concentration in Dry Sediment (mg/kg)	Standard Deviation of Pb Concentration in Dry Sediment
Drainage area CHRDDP	1	110	NA
Drainage area RRDP-02	1	14.8	NA
U-shaped pond	4	170.1	90.6

6 7

4.2 Secondary Pb Smelter

8

9 The media concentrations for the secondary Pb smelter case study were estimated using 10 modeling and then compared to available measured values. For the time-period represented by 11 the emissions (1997 to 2000), air monitoring data near the facility did not indicate quarterly 12 average air concentrations exceeding the current NAAQS⁵, and modeled quarterly air 13 concentrations were also all less than the current NAAQS (USEPA 2006f). Therefore, only a 14 current conditions scenario was performed for this case study.

15 16

4.2.1 Spatial Template

17 18 The study area extent was defined out to the distance where the concentrations associated with 19 the secondary Pb smelter facility emissions reached approximately 50 percent of the background 20 concentration of Pb in the area. Air concentrations generated from a preliminary AERMOD run 21 with a radial grid of receptors placed at seven distances out to 50 km were compared to 22 background Pb concentrations from the Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual 23 Environments (IMPROVE) database (IMPROVE 2006). The average (arithmetic mean) of all background Pb measurements taken between 2000 and 2004 at two nearby sites that were used 24 for comparison to the secondary Pb smelter results were 0.0012 μ g/m³ (from 411 samples) and 25 0.0019 µg/m^3 (from 481 samples). Results of the preliminary air quality model run showed that 26 27 at a radius of 10 km, estimated air concentrations were closest to 50 percent of the background

²⁸ average air concentrations. The deposition gradient was not considered for selecting the extent

²⁹ of the modeling region because background deposition measurements were not available.

⁵ In 2003, the quarterly average Pb air concentration at one of the monitors near the secondary Pb smelter exceeded the current NAAQS (measured concentration was 1.9 μ g/m³) (USEPA 2006f). This time period was not included in this phase analysis due to availability of emissions data, but this monitor value indicates that emission rates may have changed between 2000 and 2003 at the facility.

1

- 2 Using GIS software, the 12 census block groups that are predominantly within 10 km of the
- 3 facility were identified. Because of the irregular shape of block groups, not all of the block
- 4 groups with area within 10 km were included, and some that were included have area outside 10
- 5 km. The selection was made to include block groups whose average exposure would
- 6 predominantly come from air within 10 km of the source. Model receptors were placed at all
- 7 block centroids within the 12 block groups of interest. This resulted in 665 block centroid points
- 8 being modeled. Some of these blocks had zero population in the 2000 U.S. Census. Two air
- 9 monitors from AQS/AirData (USEPA 2006f) were identified between 400 and 700 m of the 10 facility. The locations of these 2 monitors were modeled as discrete receptors, for direct
- facility. The locations of these 2 monitors were modeled as discrete receptors, for direct
 comparison purposes between model results and monitoring data.
- 12

13 There are 435 census blocks with non-zero population in the 2000 U.S. Census within the model

- 14 region, and air dispersion model results were generated at all of these blocks. An attempt was
- 15 made to limit the locations modeled for the exposure assessment, as was done for the primary Pb
- 16 smelting case study (see Section 4.1.1). The average concentration and deposition in each of the
- 17 12 block groups was calculated by spatially-weighting the values at the block level. The area of
- 18 each block was obtained from the U.S. Census (Census Bureau 2005). The range of block-level
- 19 concentrations within each block group was considered by calculating the ratio of the maximum
- 20 block-level air concentration in the block group to the average air concentration in the block
- 21 group. For the primary Pb smelter case study, when these results were analyzed, the blocks
- groups with ratios greater than 2.0 were modeled at the block level and others modeled as block
- groups. For the secondary Pb smelter, although five block groups had maximum to average
 ratios less than 2.0, U.S. EPA and ICF decided to model these block groups as blocks because of
- the small size of the block groups and their proximity to the facility (see Exhibit 4-15). A total
- 25 of 435 blocks with non-zero population were included in the assessment.



Exhibit 4-15. Modeled Blocks and Block Groups at Secondary Pb Smelter Facility

1 2

1,250 2,500

Meters

5,000

4.2.2 Air

The air concentrations and deposition of Pb from the secondary Pb smelter facility were modeled with AERMOD and compared to the air concentrations from nearby monitors. The emissions used for the air quality modeling are described in Section 3.2.4 and represent emissions from December 1997, November 1999, and February 2000.

7 8 9

1

2

4.2.2.1 Air Dispersion Modeling

10 The air dispersion model AERMOD was used for the secondary Pb smelter modeling (USEPA 2004a; USEPA 2004b). The meteorological data used includes five consecutive years (1990 to 11 1994) of nearby measurements. Surface-level and upper air meteorological data were obtained 12 for weather stations located in Montgomery, Alabama (NOAA 1997a) and Centerville, Alabama 13 (NOAA 1997b), respectively, and processed using the meteorological pre-processor, AERMET 14 15 (USEPA 2002a). These stations represent locations close in proximity and geography to Troy, 16 Alabama, and for which five consecutive years of surface and upper air meteorological data were 17 available. Obtaining five consecutive years of weather observations for use in AERMOD was 18 desirable because it allowed for the natural variability in weather conditions be captured in the 19 air modeling.

20

21 AERMOD was configured to model the same emissions for the five years of meteorological data 22 (1990 to 1994). Hourly air concentration and deposition results were averaged over this time 23 period to obtain average air concentration and annual average deposition. As described in 24 Section 3.2.4, emissions, release parameter, particle size parameters, and building downwash 25 inputs were all obtained from the residual risk study of the facility (ECR 2006). Receptors and the study area boundary were selected specifically for this model application. Due to the 26 27 relatively flat terrain in Alabama, terrain calculations were not used in this application. 28 29 4.2.2.2 **Inhalation Exposure Concentrations** 30 31 As in the primary Pb smelting case study, inhalation exposure concentrations of Pb were

As in the primary Fo shielding case study, initiation exposure concentrations of Fo were
 estimated for the population of interest (young children) from the estimated ambient air
 concentrations using age group- and location-specific relationships for Pb developed from
 modeling performed for U.S. EPA's 1999 National-Scale Air Toxics Assessment (USEPA

- 35 2006c), one of EPA's NATA activities. See Section 4.1.2.2 for more information.
- 36

37 The relationships (or ratios) between ambient Pb concentration and Pb exposure concentration

38 from the NATA national-scale assessment for the 0 to 4 age group (the closest age group for

39 which outputs are available to the age group of interest for this assessment) ranged from 0.44 to

0.46 for the census tracts in the study area for the secondary Pb smelter location. The ratios are
presented in Appendix G, Exhibit G-1. Use of ratios for the 0 to 4 age group (rather than for 0 to

41 presented in Appendix G, Exhibit G-1. Use of ratios for the 0 to 4 age group (rather than for 0 to
 42 7) contributes some uncertainty in the estimate of children's inhalation exposure concentrations.

43 Uncertainty related to the magnitude of the ASPEN modeled air concentrations is also discussed

44 in Section 4.1.2.2.

4.2.2.3 Air and Deposition Results

Annual average Pb air concentrations and deposition fluxes were calculated at each block and
monitor receptor point for the five years of meteorological data. To take into account variations
in meteorological data, the annual average concentrations and depositions for each of the five
years were averaged to generate one set of representative annual average concentration and
deposition results for the current conditions scenario.

8

1

All concentration, deposition, and inhalation exposure estimates for the blocks modeled are
presented in Appendix G, Exhibit G-2. In addition, Exhibit 4-16 presents the range of air
concentration, inhalation exposure, and deposition values generated from the modeling. The
values are summarized from the model results at the 435 blocks with non-zero population.

13 Exhibit 4-17 shows isopleths of the block-level modeled air concentrations.

- 13
- 15
- 16

Exhibit 4-16. Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study: Summary of Modeled Air Concentrations and Deposition^a

	Current			
Statistic ^b	Average Annual Pb Air Concentration (µg/m ³)	Children Ages 0 to 4 Years Average Annual Pb Air Exposure Concentration (μg/m ³)	Total Deposition (g/m²/year)	
Maximum	0.536	0.238	0.435	
95 th Percentile	0.0178	0.008	0.0066	
Median	0.0047	0.0021	0.0013	
5 th Percentile	0.0009	0.0004	0.0002	
Minimum	0.0005	0.0002	0.0001	

17 18 ^a The 435 blocks with non-zero population selected for analysis were used to create this summary. Note that in some of these blocks the 2000 U.S. Census indicates there are no children.

^b The statistic (e.g., 95th percentile, median) may not be at the same location for each of the data results
 presented here.



1 Exhibit 4-17. Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study: Concentration and Deposition Isopleths

4.2.2.4 **Air Modeling Performance Assessment**

3 The monitoring data at the two air monitor locations near the facility were compared to modeled 4 concentrations at the same locations (see Exhibit 4-18). Overall, the modeled concentrations at 5 the monitor locations are approximately three times lower than the monitor values. Both of the 6 monitors are located to the northwest of the facility. Because the meteorological data is not site-7 specific, there is likely some uncertainty with its use in estimating air concentrations at specific 8 points. It is possible that the local predominant wind direction is different from that of the 9 meteorological data. Therefore, the monitored air concentrations were also compared to 10 modeled air concentrations within similar distances to the facility, in all directions modeled on a radial grid (Exhibit 4-18). When compared to concentrations in all directions, the monitored 11 values fall within the range of modeled results. A wind rose created from the five years of 12 13 Montgomery, Alabama, wind data (Exhibit 4-19) shows that the predominant wind direction 14 used in the model runs is directly to the west. The northwest (the direction of the monitors) is 15 not a predominant wind direction in the metrological data set used for modeling; however, it is 16 unknown whether this is the predominant wind direction at this site.

17

1

2

18 The potential difference between actual site meteorological data and the meteorological data

19 used may help explain why the modeled concentrations are not closer to the monitored

20 concentrations at the exact monitor locations, but modeled concentrations in all directions are

21 within the range of monitored concentrations at similar distances. Because the monitors are both

22 to the northwest of the facility (see Exhibit A-12), it is unknown whether modeled concentrations

23 are underestimated in all directions. Thus, there is the potential that all air and deposition

24 concentrations are underestimated from the modeling or that the degree of over- or under-

25 prediction of concentrations from modeling is dependent upon direction. A directional

difference between modeled and actual air concentrations can impact risk results (either under-26

27 or over-predicting) because the number of modeled children varies by census block and each

- 28 census block is in a specific direction from the facility.
- 29
- 30 31
- Exhibit 4-18. Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study Air Concentration **Modeled Results Compared to Monitor Values**

Distance			Modeled Results			
U.S. EPA AirData Monitor	from Midpoint of Facility (m)	Range of Monitor Air Concentrations from AirData 1997 to 2000 (μg/m ³)	Range of Modeled Distances for Comparison	Range of Modeled Concentrations ^a (µg/m ³)	Modeled Concentration at Monitor Location (μg/m ³)	
11090003	400	0.383 to 0.474	300 to 500 m (54 Points)	0.08 to 6.0	0.11	
11090006	680	0.132 to 0.198	500 to 800 m (72 Points)	0.038 to 0.17	0.050	

32 ^a The modeled concentrations presented here were generated from a model run with a radial receptor grid. This 33 summary is not from census block centroid results.

34

35 No local measurements of Pb deposition were found to compare to the modeled results. Studies

36 were summarized in the U.S. EPA Pb criteria document (USEPA 2006b) that provided ranges of

37 Pb dry deposition fluxes in various locations across the United States. None of these are

38 specifically for deposition near a secondary Pb smelter, but they provide a range of deposition

39 values for comparison. Exhibit 4-20 summarizes these deposition values.





Exhibit 4-19. Wind Rose of Meteorological Data Used for Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study (Direction in which Wind is Blowing)^a

^a Wind rose for 43,824 hours of data

1	2
1	3

Location	Mean Value or Range (g/m²/year)	Source		
New York City, building exterior plate collecting deposition (weekly values from 2003 to 2005 averaged)	0.0098	Caravanos et al. 2006		
Two sites on Chesapeake Bay in 1990 – 1991	0.00037 to 0.001	Wu et al. 1994		
New York-New Jersey Harbor Bight area	0.00015 to 0.00076	Gao et al. 2002		
Urban site in metropolitan Detroit 1982 – 1991	0.0004 to 0.004	Pirrone et al. 1995		
Sites near Lake Michigan 1993 – 1995	0.0084 to 0.014	Yi et al. 2001		
Lake Michigan	0.00095	Sweet et al. 1998		
Lake Superior	0.00092	Sweet et al. 1998		
Lake Erie	0.00078	Sweet et al. 1998		

4.2.3 Outdoor Surface Soil

2 3 Outdoor soil concentrations were estimated using two methods for the secondary Pb smelter 4 facility – a model-only approach and a hybrid approach using model results and surrogate 5 measurements. The modeled soil concentrations were calculated for each census block analyzed for this case study using the AERMOD deposition estimates and EPA's Multiple Pathways of 6 7 Exposure (MPE) methodology (USEPA 1998). The MPE methodology represents the update of 8 the Indirect Exposure Methodology, or IEM (USEPA 1990a). MPE consists of a set of 9 multimedia fate and transport algorithms developed by EPA's Office of Research and 10 Development, including a soil mixing model which was used in this assessment to calculate the 11 soil concentrations resulting from deposition at the secondary Pb smelter location. 12 13 In the MPE algorithms, cumulative soil concentration is calculated as a function of particle 14 deposition, soil mixing depth, bulk density, and a soil loss constant. The soil loss constant (in

- 15 this case) was set up to be a function of loss due to leaching, erosion, and runoff. Concentration
- 16 in the soil was calculated in the top 1 cm of soil assuming constant deposition of Pb for the entire
- 17 operating period of the facility (37 years). Although it is likely that the deposition has changed
- 18 in the last 37 years, possibly even declining with reduced emissions, this method was used due to 19
- lack of soil samples and historical emissions data. With this assumption in mind, other 20 assumptions in the soil concentration calculations were made conservatively. All input
- 21 parameters used for the soil mixing model are listed in Appendix G, Exhibit G-4. Site-specific
- 22 input parameters were used when feasible, but assumptions were made for some parameters, in
- 23 many cases based on suggested values in the Human Health Risk Assessment Protocol
- 24 (HHRAP), which contains a database of input parameters for the MPE equations (USEPA
- 25 2006g).
- 26

1

27 Soil concentrations estimated using the modeling approach for each census block are

- 28 summarized in Exhibit 4-21, and presented in more detail in Appendix G, Exhibit G-2. Across
- 29 the census blocks in the study area, the modeled top 1 cm soil concentrations from the facility's
- 30 deposition ranged from 0.05 to 368 mg/kg. A background soil concentration of 15 mg/kg was
- 31 added to these values for use in the exposure assessment (based on Gustavsson et al. 2001) to
- 32 account for natural soil concentrations of Pb and general anthropogenic background.
- 33

34 As described in the next section (Section 4.2.3.1), a set of soil measurements at a similar facility 35 was used to develop the hybrid soil concentration result set for the secondary Pb smelter case 36 study (using modeled and surrogate data). Soil measurements taken in surface soil from 100 to 37 1000 m from a similar facility (Kimbrough and Suffet 1995) were compared to the modeled soil 38 concentrations from this assessment. The modeled soil concentrations at the census block 39 receptors are approximately three times lower than the measured concentrations. Thus, the 40 modeled results were scaled up three times to account for the potential under-prediction of the 41 soil model when the results were compared to the surrogate measurements. The hybrid soil 42 concentrations are presented in Exhibit 4-21 along with the modeled soil concentrations. 43 Consideration of these two approaches for characterizing soil Pb represents an important 44 sensitivity analysis consideration for this case study and consequently, parallel sets of risk 45 estimates (using each approach) are presented.

Statistic	Modeled Average Soil Concentration from Deposition	Background Concentration (mg/kg)	Modeled Approach Pb Soil Concentration: Modeled plus Background (mg/kg)	Hybrid Approach Pb Soil Concentration (mg/kg)
Maximum	368	15	383	1150
95 th Percentile	6.16	15	21.2	63.5
Median	1.23	15	16.2	48.7
5 th Percentile	0.15	15	15.2	45.5
Minimum	0.05	15	15.0	45.2

EXHIDIL 4-21. SUMMARY OF SECONDARY FD SIMEHER CASE SLUDY SOM CONCEMERATION
--

^a Surface soil concentrations were calculated to a depth of 1 cm.

2

3 4

5

1

4.2.3.1 Performance Evaluation for Soil Concentration Estimates

The modeled soil concentrations were compared to measured soil concentrations near another 6 7 secondary Pb smelter because soil measurements are not available near the secondary smelter 8 used in this assessment. Kimbrough and Suffet (1995) measured Pb in soil samples out to from 9 100 to 1000 m from a secondary Pb smelter. Personal communication with Kimbrough indicated 10 that the soil samples taken were from surface soil (information on the specific depth was not available) and in an urban area. Exhibit 4-22 presents the comparison of modeled soil 11 concentrations at similar distances from the facility as presented in the Kimbrough and Suffet 12 13 (1995) study. 14

15

16

Exhibit 4-22. Summary of Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study Soil Concentrations with Distance from Source Compared to Measurements^a

	Kimbrough an	d Suffet (1995)	Secondary Pb Sn	nelter Case Study
Distance from Facility (m)	Measured concentrations (mg/kg)	Number of measured locations compared ^b	Modeled concentration + background (mg/kg)	Number of modeled locations compared ^c
100	140 to 1900	4		
200	770	1	190	1
250	400	1		
340 to 380			79 to 380	2
500	220 to 380	2		
620 to 680			34 to 51	5
750	150 to 490	4		
800	96	1		
830 to 880			31 to 34	2
925			31	1
1000	49 to 250	5		
1060 to 1090			25 to 29	4

^a Soil concentrations were modeled to a depth of 1 cm, and the measurements represent surface soil (according to the study's author).

^b Kimbrough and Suffet (1995) measured Pb concentrations in soil at different directions from the facility.

20 ^cModeled soil concentrations compared here were generated from deposition estimates at census block centroid and

air monitor locations. Some of the census blocks near the facility had zero population in 2000 and are therefore not included in other parts of this study.

1 The comparison between the modeled soil concentrations and the Kimbrough and Suffet (1995)

2 soil concentrations varies, mostly as a result of direction from the source. On average, the

3 measured concentrations are approximately three times higher than the modeled results,

4 suggesting an underprediction of soil concentrations from the model results for this case study.

5 Because of this potentially significant impact (downward bias) on exposure and risk results, an

alternative soil concentration for the study area was calculated by combining the modeling
 results (used to characterize spatial variability in soil levels across the study area) with surrogate

8 data from Kimbrough and Suffet (1995) to adjust the absolute soil Pb levels for the study area.

9 The result is the "hybrid" soil concentration set presented in Exhibit 4-21, in which the modeled

- 10 soil concentrations are scaled up three times.
- 11 12

4.2.4 Indoor Dust

Indoor dust sampling data were not available for the secondary Pb smelter case study,
necessitating the use of modeling to characterize indoor dust Pb levels within the study area. A
version of the AGG pooled analysis (USEPA 1989) model that uses ambient air Pb levels for
predicting dust levels was chosen. This is a similar model as used for the primary Pb smelter
case study at distances greater than 1.5 km from the source (see Section 4.1.4); however, in the
case of the secondary Pb smelter, an "air-only" version of the model was employed reflecting the
reduced overall confidence associated with soil characterization at this case study.

21

22 The air-only AGG model does reflect (implicitly) some consideration for the soil-to-indoor dust 23 mechanism in the air signal. Specifically, the larger air factor for the air-only model (relative to 24 the air *plus* soil dust model's air factor) reflects the fact that, in this version of the model, air 25 measurements are used to cover both the direct loading of indoor dust from air and the loading of outdoor soil by air with subsequent impacts of that soil on indoor dust through such mechanisms 26 27 as indoor tracking of soil (USEPA 1989). The AGG model used for the secondary smelter was 28 based on a number of studies focusing mainly on primary Pb smelters. This basis carries an 29 uncertainty into indoor dust predictions generated using this model related to the potential for 30 differences between primary and secondary Pb smelters that may relate to indoor dust loading 31 (e.g., particle size profiles and nature of the entrained Pb compounds). The air-only AGG model 32 used in this analysis is presented below:

- 33
- 34 35

37

38

 $Pb_{dust} = 60 + (844 \times Pb_{air})$

36 where:

 Pb_{dust} = concentration of Pb in indoor dust (mg/kg), and Pb_{air} is the concentration of Pb modeled in the ambient air (μ g/m³).

Exhibit 4-23 presents a summary of the Pb indoor dust concentrations generated in the secondary
Pb smelting study area for the current conditions scenario. Indoor dust concentrations were only

42 estimated for census blocks with children. The numbers of census block or block groups and

43 children modeled with increasing levels of Pb house dust are presented in Exhibit 4-23. All

44 estimated Pb dust concentrations for the secondary Pb smelter facility are presented in Appendix

45 G, Exhibit G-3.

	Concentrations					
		Current Conditions				
	House dust concentration (µg/g)	House dust concentration (μg/g) Census blocks/ block groups with dust concentrations greater than value ^a				
ĺ	60	296	1,672			
ĺ	70	40	172			
	80	6	16			
	100	2	3			
	120	1	2			

Exhibit 4-23. Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study: Modeled Indoor Dust Pb Concentrations ^a

^a The 296 blocks with children aged 0 to 7 in the 2000 U.S. Census were used to develop this summary. Note that Exhibits 4-21 includes all blocks with population, even blocks without children.

^b Number of children ages 0 to 7 from the 2000 U.S. Census were used in this analysis (U.S. Census Bureau 2005).

9 Studies summarized in the 1990 review of the Pb NAAQS contained measurements of house 10 dust ranging from 10 to 35,000 ppm. A high value of 100,000 ppm was measured in one home within 2 km of a Pb smelting facility (USEPA 1989). The Pb indoor dust concentrations for this 11 case study fall within the range presented by U.S. EPA (1989), but they are at the low-end of the 12 13 range. In addition, all of the concentrations are much lower than the 100,000 ppm concentration 14 cited within 2 km of a Pb smelter. The fact that this facility is a secondary, and not primary, Pb smelter may explain some of the difference. In the study mentioned in Section 4.1.4, household 15 dust Pb concentrations were measured at 14 homes within approximately 500 m (approximated 16 from a figure) from a secondary Pb smelter in the Czech Republic (Rieuwerts et al. 1999). 17 Measured Pb concentrations ranged from 861 to 5890 ppm with a geometric mean of 1668 ppm. 18 19 Indoor dust concentrations in this secondary Pb smelter pilot phase assessment were not 20 estimated within 500 m. At 590 m from the facility in this pilot phase of the analysis, the 21 estimated indoor dust concentration is 130 ppm. In addition to the close distance, the secondary 22 Pb smelter studied by Rieuwerts et al. (1999) used to be a primary Pb smelter, perhaps explaining why the indoor dust concentrations were higher than in this pilot phase of the 23 24 analysis.

25

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

26 4.3 Near Roadway Urban Location Scenario

27 28

29

4.3.1 Spatial Template

As described in Section 3.3.1, the location of the study area for the near roadway urban case study was selected based on the availability of air monitoring data. Of the streets in the vicinity of the near roadway monitoring site in Houston, Texas, a thoroughfare to the south was selected as the street around which the scenario was designed, due to its proximity to the monitoring site and the length of the road links for that street.⁶ The distance from the monitoring site to another major road is about the same as the distance from the site to the street that was selected (i.e., 100

⁶ Although it was not a strict requirement that the selected roadway section used for this case study correspond to road link delineations, the road link was a convenient unit given the availability of road data, especially vehicle throughput data, by link. Matching the delineations of selected links may provide benefits in analyses beyond the pilot scale (e.g., in scaling up results to wider geographic areas).

m vs. 115 m for the selected roadway), but the roadway links for the selected street are shorter in this area. A shorter roadway segment was judged to be more desirable because it was assumed that have according to be more desirable because it was assumed that have according to be more desirable because it was assumed that have according to be more desirable because it was assumed that have according to be more desirable because it was assumed that have according to be more desirable because it was assumed that have according to be more desirable because it was assumed that have according to be more desirable because it was assumed that have according to be more desirable because it was assumed to be more desirable because it was assumed to be according to

- that less uncertainty would be associated with the assumption that the measured air
 concentrations are representative of conditions along the entire length of the roadway segment.
- 5

1

2

) C. The subdivision of the study area into smaller regions for shows staring risks (i.e. the

6 The subdivision of the study area into smaller regions for characterizing risks (i.e., the
7 development of the spatial template) was based in part on research conclusions regarding the

impacts of roadway proximity on the spatial gradient of pollutant concentrations near roads.

Gohen et al. (2005) have conducted analyses using the CALPUFF non-steady state Gaussian puff

10 model to estimate the dimensions of a "zone of influence" for the near-ground-level ambient air

11 concentrations of several air pollutants, including diesel PM, in the vicinity of roadways. The

12 zone of influence was defined as the area near a roadway in which ambient air concentrations are

13 affected (i.e., enhanced) by emissions that occur in the roadway. Their results suggest that a

steep spatial gradient exists adjacent to roadways, with a zone of influence existing out to somewhere between 200 and 400 m from the roadway.

- somewhere between 200 and 400 m from the roa
- 16

17 In a related analysis of the data published by Cohen et al. (2005) as well as other air modeling

results, ICF (2005) calculated the enhancement ratio (i.e., the ratio of near-roadway

19 concentrations to concentrations more distant from the roadway) for several air pollutants,

20 including diesel PM.⁷ These enhancement ratios were developed for a 0 to 75 m zone and a 75

to 200 m zone adjacent to the roadway. Therefore, these same intervals formed the basis for the spatial template for air concentrations.

23

24 The inner 0 to 75 m zone was further divided into two areas, with one comprising the area 0 to 25 12 m from the roadway and the other encompassing the area between 12 and 75 m from the road. The inner region at 0 to 12 m was developed to facilitate the use of soil measurements available 26 27 for a surrogate location (see below) that included measurements at and within 12 m of the 28 roadway. This allowed an apparently steep initial decrease in near-roadway soil Pb levels to be 29 captured by the exposure modeling for this case study. Aerial photographs of the area indicated 30 that relatively small residential yards are situated immediately adjacent to the road, suggesting 31 that this initial 12 m band may serve as a play area for children. Consequently, the spatial 32 template for this case study included exposure bands parallel to the road and on either side, 33 extending from 0 to 12 m, 12 to 75 m, and 75 to 200 m. Although six separate zones could be 34 defined – the exposure bands are located on both sides of the road – only three sets of media 35 concentrations were necessary for the blood Pb modeling, as soil and air concentrations were assumed to be the same on both sides of the road. 36

37 38

4.3.2 Air

39 40

4.3.2.1 Ambient Air Concentrations

41 42 For this scenario, $0.005 \ \mu g/m^3$, the average value for Pb in the PM₁₀ fraction available from the 43 measurements collected for this location (ICF 2006b), was assumed to be a reasonable estimate

⁷ Literature reviews did not identify any research specifically focused on spatial gradients associated with Pb sorbed to particulates near roadways or reentrained roadside dust. In the absence of more specific data, diesel PM was assumed to be a reasonable surrogate for Pb with regard to near-roadway concentrations.

of the ambient Pb concentration at the monitoring station site.⁸ To derive media concentrations 1

2 for the zones adjacent to the roadway, geometric means of the enhancement ratios for near-

3 roadway concentrations of diesel PM from ICF (2005) were used to adjust the concentration at 4

the monitoring station. As described in the previous description of the spatial template, 5 enhancement ratios were derived for the intervals of 0 to 75 m from the road and 75 to 200 m

6 from the road. Because the near roadway monitoring station in Houston was located about 115

7 m from the selected street, the average measured Pb concentration at this station was assumed to

8 be representative of ambient Pb in the 75 to 200 m zone. Using the geometric mean of the

9 enhancement ratios, the concentration for the 0 to 75 m band was then estimated to be approximately 0.008 µg/m³. Ambient air concentrations of Pb are summarized in Exhibit 4-24.

- 10
- 11 1

2		
_		

1	2
- 1	

Exhibit 4-24.	Enhancement Ratios and Air Concentrations for
	Near Roadway Urban Scenario

Zone (Distance from Roadway)	Enhancement Ratio ^a	Estimated Ambient Pb Concentration, μg/m ³	Method for Deriving Pb Concentration
0 to 12 m <i>and</i> 12 to 75 m	2.4653	0.008	Calculated based on relative values of enhancement ratios
75 to 200 m	1.6204	0.005	Average of measured values from DRI Houston site

14 15

^a Geometric means of enhancement ratios for diesel PM; from ICF (2005).

16 A comparison of the ambient air concentrations used for this scenario to other data can help

evaluate the validity of the selected media concentrations. Although the average Pb 17

concentration at the monitoring station was estimated based on only three days of measurements, 18

19 the average value of 0.005 μ g/m³ is reasonably consistent with the ambient Pb measurements for

Houston reported in AQS (USEPA 2006f). Specifically, the average Pb measurements for PM_{10} 20

21 at the industrial/suburban site identified in AQS were 0.006 and 0.007 μ g/m³ for 1999 and 2005

(see Section 3.3.4). The average of the Houston DRI Pb measurements is also near the middle of 22 the range of averages of ambient measurements obtained by DRI (ICF 2006b) for the other five

23 24 near-roadway monitoring locations included in their study, which range from 0.0024 to 0.0164 25 $\mu g/m^3$.

- 26
- 27

4.3.2.2 **Inhalation Exposure Concentrations**

28 29 As in the primary Pb smelting case study, inhalation exposure concentrations of Pb were

estimated for the population of interest (young children) from the estimated ambient air 30

31 concentrations using age group- and location-specific relationships for Pb developed from

⁸ It is noted that the determination of air concentrations for the other two human health scenarios used air levels associated with total suspended particulates (TSP) rather than PM10. No TSP data for Pb were available for the Houston monitoring site. As summarized in the Pb criteria document (USEPA 2006b), reentrained Pb in surface soil is generally associated with smaller particle size fractions; thus, it seems likely that the PM10 Pb measurements presented here represent the bulk of Pb exposure (if the source of Pb is indeed resuspended surface soil). It is possible, however, that actual Pb levels may be slightly underrepresented by the Pb air concentrations derived for this scenario from the Houston data. At another air monitor in Houston (labeled as commercial, urban/center city location), mean annual TSP Pb measured between 1996 and 2006 ranged from 0.02 μ g/m³ to 0.005 μ g/m³.

1 modeling performed for U.S. EPA's 1999 National-Scale Air Toxics Assessment (USEPA

- 2 2006e), one of U.S. EPA's NATA activities. See Section 4.1.2.2 for more information.
- 3

4 For the near roadway urban scenario, the study area was encompassed by one census tract (FIPS

5 ID 48201520500). The relationships (or ratios) between ambient Pb concentration and Pb 6 exposure concentration from the NATA national-scale assessment for the 0 to 4 age group (the

7 closest age group to that of interest for this assessment for which outputs are available) is 0.4603

8 for this census tract. The exposure-to-concentration ratios for this tract for children 0 to 4 years

9 old were used to calculate inhalation exposure concentrations for this case study (see Exhibit 4-

10 25). Note that the use of ratios for the 0 to 4 age group (rather than for 0 to 7) contributes some 11 uncertainty in the estimate of children inhalation exposure concentrations. Uncertainty related to

the magnitude of the ASPEN modeled air concentrations is also discussed in Section 4.1.2.2

13

14 15

Exhibit 4-25.	Estimated Inhalation Exposure Concentrations for
	the Near Roadway Urban Scenario

Zone	Ambient Concentration (µg/m³)	Age Group	Exposure-to- concentration Ratio	Inhalation Exposure Concentration (µg/m³)
0 to 12 m and 12 to 75 m	0.008	0 to 4 yrs	0.4377	0.0033
75 to 200 m	0.005	0 to 4 yrs	0.4377	0.0022

16 17

4.3.3 Soil

18

As described in Section 3.3.4, although no measurements of Pb in surface soil were identified in the vicinity of the case study location in Houston, a range of data collected at roadsides and similar sites at other locales were identified in the literature. Using these data, surrogate soil Pb values were developed for the Houston DRI monitoring site to estimate soil concentrations for this case study. The soil concentrations derived for this scenario are intended to represent Pb

levels in surface soil that are primarily attributed to Pb emitted from vehicles during thehistorical use of leaded gasoline and deposited to the near roadway soils (rather than attributed to

26 other urban sources of Pb, such as deposition of Pb from industrial sources or Pb paint). As

such, the near roadway case study is intended to address the concern described in the criteria

document (USEPA 2006b) that Pb deposited in surface soil over time derived from exhaust from

29 automobile traffic burning leaded gasoline represents a potential source via direct exposure to the

30 soil and through resuspension of dust to ambient air.

31

32 Measurements of Pb in roadside surface soil collected by Turer and Maynard (2003) in Corpus

33 Christi, Texas, were identified as the most useful measurements for this scenario, primarily

34 because of their proximity and geographic similarity to the case study location. Corpus Christi,

35 located about 300 km southwest of Houston, is closer to the coast than Houston, but has roughly

the same annual average air temperature and precipitation (NCDC 2006). Turer and Maynard

collected samples at two locations, including a site adjacent to the entrance ramp to Interstate 37.
The AADT for that site in 1998 was reported to be 48,000 vehicles per day. This is a higher

38 The AAD1 for that site in 1998 was reported to be 48,000 vehicles per day. This is a higher 39 traffic volume than the volume reported for the selected street in Houston near the case study

40 (AADT about 20,000). This difference in traffic volume was judged to be acceptable for the

1 pilot phase. Although elevated Pb concentrations in soil have been observed to be statistically

2 correlated with increased traffic volume on adjacent roadways in many cases (see, for example,

3 Sanchez-Martin et al. (2000) and Fakayode and Olu-Owolabi (2003)), there appear to be other

4 confounding variables that can result in weak correlations between traffic counts and Pb levels in

5 near roadway soil in some cases (Hafen and Brinkman 1996). In other words, Pb is generally

6 more elevated in soils near heavily-traveled roadways, but the exact impact of traffic volume can

7 vary between locations and under different conditions. Total Pb concentrations in surface soil

- 8 for three samples taken near Interstate 37 are summarized in Exhibit 4-26.
- 9 10

Exhibit 4-26.	Pb Concentrations in	Surface Soil.	Corpus	Christi, Texas ^a	l
	I b concentrations m	Durface Dong	Corpus	Chilipting I Chab	

Exhibit 1 201 1 b Concentrations in Surface Son, Corpus Christi, 1 exas				
Sample Location as Distance from Roadway	Sample Depth	Total Pb Concentration (mg/kg)		
2 m	0 cm	731		
3 m	0 cm	766		
12 m	0 to 5 cm	214		

^a From Turer and Maynard (2003); sampling site near I-37 and Martin Luther King Drive at North Port Avenue close to downtown.

13

14 Before using the measurements presented by Turer and Maynard (2003) to develop media

15 concentrations for this case study, other relevant data from the literature were reviewed. In their

discussion, Turer and Maynard refer to Pb measurements by Harrison (1987) at the "edges of

17 roadways and freeways in Corpus Christi" with concentrations of 250 mg/kg; no description of

18 the specific sampling locations or distance from the roadway was provided. Other relevant data

19 include records in EPA's SPECIATE database of four samples in Houston. Although these

20 samples are in the same town as this case study, they are judged to have greater uncertainty

21 because it is not known when the samples were taken or where the sampling occurred, especially

with regard to proximity to roadways. The sampling is described as "reentrained soil dust" (no
 sampling depth was specified). All four of these SPECIATE samples were reported to be 0.04

24 percent Pb by weight, or 400 mg/kg.

25

26 In another recent study, Lejano and Ericson (2005) sampled surface soil for Pb in Pacoima,

27 California (near Los Angeles), collecting a total of 176 measurements across eight sampling

28 categories. Four of these categories include exclusively samples taken within 150 m of a major

29 roadway, with each of the four categories focusing on a different road in the Pacoima area.

30 Mean total Pb concentrations for each of these four sampling categories are reported here (see

31 Exhibit 4-27). Traffic volume at each location as reported by Lejano and Ericson is also

32 presented. Specific sampling locations (i.e., distance from the roadway) were not reported;

33 however, mean Pb concentrations for three of the four roads were above 100 mg/kg. These

34 results suggest that the near-roadway concentrations of Pb in soil within 50 m of the road could

35 be substantially higher than the reported means.

¹¹ 12

Mean Pb Concentration (mg/kg)	AADT (Vehicles/day)
171	10,250
119	292,000
102	142,000
43	116,000
-	Mean Pb Concentration (mg/kg) 171 119 102 43

1 Exhibit 4-27. Near Roadway Surface Soil Pb Concentrations in Pacoima, California^a

2 3

4 There is substantial evidence that Pb concentrations in surface soil decrease rapidly with distance 5 from the roadway. Sutherland and Tolosa (2001) reported that the relationship is approximately 6 linear when the log of concentration is plotted against the log of distance from the roadway. 7 Similarly, Filipelli et al. (2005) have reported an exponential decay in Pb concentration with 8 increasing distance from the roadway. Hafen and Brinkmann (1996) surveyed results from 9 several other studies and observed a generally exponential decrease in Pb concentration with 10 distance from the road. In general, based on the conclusions of these studies, it appears that Pb 11 concentrations adjacent to a road decrease down to the local background level within a distance

- 12 of about 50 m from the roadway.
- 13

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28 29

30

Local background in an urban environment, however, can vary depending on proximity to
current and previous sources of Pb emissions, impacts from Pb-based paint, and other factors.
No representative "urban measurements" for Houston were identified, but several studies
reporting other relevant measurements were identified as follows:

- Mean concentration of total Pb in surface soil for "random" non-vehicular-impacted locations in Pacoima, California was reported as 111 mg/kg (Lejano and Ericson 2005).
- Soils at parks, schools, and roadside embankments in Corpus Christi were sampled in 1984. The arithmetic mean surface soil concentration of Pb across all 485 samples was 208 mg/kg (Harrison 1987, cited in Battelle 1996).
- Median Pb concentration in surface soil in samples from a range of land-use categories in Miami, Florida was reported to be 98 mg/kg (Chinreje et al. 2004).
 - An approximate level of "background" for Pb in US soils is about 15 mg/kg, taking into account natural soil concentrations of Pb and general anthropogenic contributions (Gustavsson et al. 2001).
- 31 32

Based on the available data and considering the relevant details of the near roadway urban
 scenario, the measurements near I-37 in California reported by Turer and Maynard were selected

- as the primary data source for developing surrogate soil concentrations for this scenario. As
- described in Section 4.3.1, the zone extending out to 75 m was subdivided into two zones, a
- 37 near-roadway zone from 0 to 12 m and another zone encompassing the area between 12 and 75
- 38 m. These boundaries were defined based on the availability of Pb measurements in surface soil
- 39 from Turer and Maynard (2003) out to 12 m. For estimating the average concentration within

1 the 0 to 12 m zone, the relationship between log of Pb concentration and log of distance from the

2 roadway within this near roadway range was assumed to be linear (Sutherland and Tolosa 2001).

3 Based on this relationship and using the data points for samples taken 3 and 12 m from the road,

- 4 intermediate concentrations between 3 and 12 m were estimated. The mean concentration for
- 5 this zone was calculated using these intermediate values to be about 388 mg/kg.
- 6

7 For the study area between 12 and 75 m from the roadway, it seemed reasonable that Pb

8 concentrations in surface soil would decrease more slowly until reaching local background

9 levels. Based on the available literature (and especially Lejano and Ericson 2005), a surrogate

10 background level of 100 mg/kg was defined for the case study location. For simplicity and

11 consistency with the spatial template, it was assumed that the soil concentration at 75 m and 12 beyond was at this level. In the absence of other, more specific data on near-road soil

13 concentration patterns, the Pb surface soil levels for this case study were assumed to decrease

14 linearly between 12 and 75 m. Given the soil concentrations defined for 12 and 75 m, the

15 average concentration for this interval was calculated to be 157 mg/kg. Estimated soil

16 concentrations for this case study are summarized in Exhibit 4-28.

- 17
- 17
- 19

Exhibit 4-28. Estimated Soil Exposure Concentrations for the Near Roadway Urban Scenario

Zone	Surface Soil Concentration (mg/kg)	
0 to 12 m	388	
12 to 75 m	157	
75 to 200 m	100	

20 21

22

4.3.4 Indoor Dust

Because indoor dust sampling data were not available for the near roadway urban case study, an empirical model was used to describe the relationship between Pb concentrations in indoor dust and in outdoor soil. Specifically, a pooled analysis model (the AGG model) identified relates indoor dust levels to ambient air and soil Pb levels was used (USEPA 1989). In this case, unlike the secondary Pb smelter case study, soil Pb levels were considered because surrogate empirical data on Pb levels near roadways were available, thereby increasing confidence in the characterization of soil Pb levels for this case study. The same version of the "AGG" model

characterization of soil Pb levels for this case study. The same version of the "AGG" model
 (soil *plus* air regression model) (USEPA 1989) used for the primary Pb smelter was also used for

 $Pb_{dust} = 31.3 + (638 \times Pb_{air}) + (0.364 \times Pb_{soil})$

31 the near roadway urban case study:

- 32
- 33
- 34

35 where:

36 Pb_{dust} = concentration of Pb in indoor dust (mg/kg) Pb_{air} = concentration of Pb modeled in the ambient air (µg/m³) Pb_{soil} = concentration of Pb in outdoor soil (mg/kg).
1 Exhibit 4-29 presents a summary of the Pb indoor dust concentrations generated in the near

- 2 roadway urban study area for the current conditions and current attainment scenarios. Indoor
- 3 dust concentrations were only estimated for census blocks with children. In addition, because
- 4 only three sets of soil and air concentrations were estimated for the near roadway urban case
- 5 study (corresponding to the three zones surrounding the road of interest), only three indoor dust
- 6 concentration estimates were derived. Exhibit 4-29 shows the number of census blocks and
 7 children modeled with increasing levels of Pb house dust. Estimated Pb dust concentrations for
- all census blocks included in or partially overlapping with the near roadway study area are
- 9 presented in Appendix D.
- 10
- 11
- 12

Exhibit 4-29.	Near Roadway Urban Case Study:
Modeled 1	Indoor Dust Pb Concentrations ^a

House Dust Concentration (µg/g)	Census Blocks with Dust Concentrations Greater than Value	Children Living in Area with Dust Concentration Greater than Value ^a
71	50	319
94	25	91
178	7	7

13 14 ^a Number of children ages 0 to 7 from U.S. Census 2000 were used in this analysis (U.S. Census Bureau 2005).

15

16 As noted in Section 4.3.2.1, Pb measurements in outdoor air were only identified for Pb 17 associated with PM₁₀ and not TSP. Because concentrations of Pb in indoor dust were estimated 18 using outdoor ambient Pb measurements that (for this case study) were reflective of the PM_{10} 19 fraction only, modeled indoor dust levels may be underestimated. The degree to which the 20 modeled indoor dust levels are underpredicted (if at all) would depend on: (1) the extent that the 21 PM_{10} fraction represents total Pb in ambient air, and (2) the sensitivity of predicted indoor dust Pb concentrations to outdoor ambient Pb concentrations (especially with respect to the relative 22 23 influence of soil concentration inputs on this estimate). 24

25 4.4 Near Roadway Non-Urban Locations

For the ecological risk screening assessment, measured soil concentrations reported in the literature were extracted for use in the risk characterization for this scenario. In contrast to the human exposure and health risk assessments, data were sought for areas not located in downtown areas. Less developed locations were evaluated to increase the likelihood of proximity to ecological receptors. Soil concentrations for two non-urban locations near roadways are summarized in this section.

- 33
- 34

4.4.1 Soil Concentrations at Corpus Christi, Texas Site

Turer and Maynard (2003) analyzed samples for Pb from roadside surface soil collected in
Corpus Christi, Texas. The data summarized here are from an industrial site at I-37 and Upriver
Road, which had a traffic volume of 45,000 vehicles per day. Exhibit 4-30 shows the
concentrations of Pb measured at various distances and sample depths from the roadside.

- 40
- 41
- 42

Exhibit 4-30. Pb Concentrations in Surface Soil, Corpus Christi, Texas, for Ecological Risk Screening Assessment^a

Sample Location – Distance from Roadway (m)	Sample Depth (cm)	Total Pb Concentration (mg/kg)		
2	2.5	340		
2	10	650		
2	20	15		
4	2.5	140		

^a From Turer and Maynard (2003); sampling site near I-37 and Upriver Road in refinery operations area.

5 6

7

4.4.2 Soil Concentrations at Atlee, Virginia Site

8 9 As described in Speiran (1998), the Virginia study site is located at the northbound Atlee/Elmont 10 exit (exit 86) from Interstate 95 (I-95), about 14.5 km north of Richmond, Virginia. The 11 northbound exit ramp at exit 86 is separated from the highway lanes by a triangular-shaped 12 grassy area. The grassed area has been mowed periodically, but there has been no historical 13 application of pesticides or fertilizers. Chemical characteristics of the soil in the grassed area 14 were investigated in 1994, prior to planned construction modifications to Interstate travel lanes and drainage ways and installation of a detention basin. Soil samples in the grassed area were 15 16 collected at various depth intervals and analyzed for heavy metals and other constituents.

17

Samples from seven soil sampling locations were initially collected in the grassy area in June 1994. Samples were collected at 3-inch intervals up to a depth of 12 inches and were analyzed for metals. An additional 11 soil locations were sampled in the grassy area in October 1994 and were analyzed in the same manner as the June 1994 samples. Five of these 11 sampling

22 locations were sampled at the 0 to 3 and 9 to 12-inch intervals. Two locations were sampled at

the 0 to 3 and 3 to 6-inch intervals. The remaining four locations were sampled at the 0 to 3 inchinterval only.

25

26 Samples used for this ecological screening risk assessment were limited to those located at a

distance 2 m or greater from I-95 and the exit ramp at exit 86 and collected at a depth of 20

28 centimeters or less. Therefore, only samples collected at 0 to 3 inches and 3 to 6 inches and

samples that appear to be at least 2 m from either I-95 or the exit ramp (using figures provided in

30 Speiran [1998]) were used in the evaluation of potential ecological risks for this near roadway

31 non-urban scenario. Samples at these locations were considered to be representative of potential

ecological exposures. Pb analytical results for these samples are presented in Exhibit 4-31. Pb
 concentrations at these locations and depths ranged from 17 to 540 mg/kg, with an average

34 concentration of 123 mg/kg.

- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38 39

Location ID	Pb Concentration 0 to 3 Inches in Depth (mg/kg)	Pb Concentration 3 to 6 Inches in Depth (mg/kg)			
1	46	35			
2	73	23			
3	46	17			
4	70	31			
5	94	24			
6	510	540			
10	99				
16	140				
22	100				

Exhibit 4-31. Pb Concentrations in Soil Collected from Potential Ecological Exposure Area, I-95 Interchange, Atlee, VA^a

^a From Speiran 1998 (see Figures 2 & 3 and Table 1).

- 5 6
- 7 8

9

4.5 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Ecological Risk Screening Assessment

10 This section provides a description of the media concentrations used in the national-scale surface 11 water and sediment screening assessment. Section 4.5.1 describes the selection of a data set to 12 represent Pb concentrations in freshwaters across the United States resulting from atmospheric 13 sources of Pb. Section 4.5.2 provides information on the selected data set for dissolved Pb water 14 column concentration data that were used in the surface water portion of the screening assessment. Section 4.5.3 describes the freshwater sediment data set compiled for the sediment 15 16 portion of this screening assessment. These sediment data were selected based on results from 17 the surface water portion of the screening assessment.

18

4.5.1 Assessment of Available Data Sources for Surface Water Data

19 20

21 To identify measured dissolved Pb concentrations in surface waters of the United States for use 22 in the exposure analysis of the national-scale surface water and sediment screening assessment, 23 readily available summaries of Pb concentrations in surface waters and database compilations of 24 trace metal concentrations measured in surface waters were examined. The most promising 25 databases are those developed and maintained on-line by U.S. EPA and the U.S. Geologic 26 Survey (USGS). They include U.S. EPA's STORET database, the USGS National Water 27 Information System (NWIS) database, and the USGS National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) Data Warehouse. Each of these databases was evaluated to select the one most 28 29 appropriate for the surface water portion of the national-scale surface water and sediment 30 screening assessment. The evaluation is briefly summarized below. 31 32 **STORET:** The STORET database from the EPA's Office of Water includes the water quality

- 33 sampling data that states develop to determine compliance with water quality standards and that
- 34 states report to EPA annually. STORET also includes sampling data from Superfund sites and

1 other sources (e.g., U.S. Army Corps of Engineers). The EPA BASINS interactive software

- 2 program uses the data from STORET. The Legacy Data Center (LDC) part of STORET is a
- 3 static, archived database that contains historical water quality data dating back to the early part of
- 4 the 20th century and collected up to the end of 1998. The main STORET database is an
- 5 operational system actively being populated with water quality data. It contains data collected
- 6 beginning in 1999, along with older data that have been properly documented and migrated from
- 7 the LDC. Both the LDC and STORET contain raw biological, chemical, and physical data on
- 8 surface and ground water collected by federal, state, and local agencies, Indian Tribes, volunteer
 9 groups, academics, and others. All 50 states, territories, and jurisdictions of the United States are
- 9 groups, academics, and others. All 50 states, territories, and jurisdictions of the United States are 10 represented; however, few stations are present in some states (e.g., Texas). For an analysis of
- represented; however, few stations are present in some states (e.g., Texas). For an analysis of current water conditions, the operational STORET system is more appropriate than the LDC.
- 12
- 13 In a download of surface water concentrations for Pb from STORET for the months January
- 14 2004 to May 2006, it was observed that detection or reporting limits appeared to range from less
- 15 than 0.04 to $10 \,\mu$ g/L based on the values reported by different states, with a quantitation limit of
- 16 1 µg/L being common. A total of 30,993 samples were found for Pb from the United States'
- 17 surface waters over that time period. Of the total reported Pb concentrations included in that
- 18 download, only 32 percent (9,910 of 30,993) were for dissolved Pb; the remaining concentrations
- 19 were for total recoverable Pb. Of the 9,910 samples analyzed for dissolved Pb, only 21.5 percent
- 20 (2,134) had dissolved Pb concentrations above the detection or reporting limit. Thus, only 7
- 21 percent of all samples analyzed for Pb (2,134 of 30,993) provided dissolved Pb measurements
- 22 above the quantitation limit.
- 23

Limitations of STORET data include differences in sampling density per unit area or length of stream, differences in frequency of sampling, differences in detection and quantitation limits

- 26 across states, and inconsistencies in how samples are collected, analyzed, and reported across
- 27 states, particularly when estimating concentrations between the detection and quantitation limits.
- 28 For Pb, another limitation is that many states do not attempt to measure dissolved Pb
- 29 concentrations, only total Pb concentrations, because measurement of dissolved Pb generally
- 30 results in non-detects.
- 31
- 32 **USGS Databases:** USGS maintains water quality data in two separate data sets: the National 33 Water Information System (NWIS) Network and the National Water Quality Assessment (NAWQA) Data Warehouse (USGS 2004). The NWIS system was originally developed for 34 water flow data, and includes data from 1.5 million sites across all 50 states, the District of 35 36 Columbia, and Puerto Rico. Chemical quality data have been added to it in recent years, but still 37 are limited. The data have been compiled through 2004 from a variety of projects ranging from 38 national-level studies to small watershed projects; therefore, the sampling methods, density of 39 sampling sites, and detection limits are variable across the data set. Data for dissolved Pb are 40 available from 1972 to 2001; however, the vast majority of records are from the 1980s when the 41 quantitation limit for Pb at most locations was 5 µg/L.

- 1 The NAWQA Data Warehouse includes the sampling data from the NAWQA program that
- 2 started systematically collecting chemical, biological, and physical water quality data from a
- 3 large number of water basins and aquifers—referred to by NAWQA as "Study Units"—across
- 4 the nation in 1991. As of July 2006, data have been compiled for samples collected through
- 5 September 30, 2004, and cover 47 Study Units. Basins from all regions of the United States are 6 included; however, only approximately 50 percent of the land base is covered by these basins
- 6 included; however, only approximately 50 percent of the land base is covered by these basins
 7 (see Exhibit 4-32). NAWQA Study Unit boundaries frequently cross state boundaries, and their
- 8 size usually exceeds 10,000 square kilometers (about 3,900 square miles).



- 10 Pb is one of the analytes in the program, but similar to the case for STORET, dissolved Pb
- 11 measurements are available for fewer than 20 percent of the sampling locations. In an analysis
- 12 of the data in NAWQA, U.S. EPA found a total of 3,445 measurements of dissolved Pb in
- 13 surface waters, for which 86 percent were nondetects (see Exhibit 4-33) (USEPA 2006b). When
- 14 looking at a subset of those data determined to be "natural" (i.e., forest or rangeland) or
- 15 "ambient" (background) areas, 88 percent of the 430 samples for dissolved Pb in surface waters
- 16 were non-detects. A mean concentration of $0.66 \,\mu$ g/L was calculated for all sites. A mean
- 17 concentration of $0.52 \,\mu g/L$ was calculated for samples considered to represent natural areas. The
- 18 relatively low dissolved Pb concentrations associated with the 95th percentile values (Exhibit 4-
- 19 33) indicate that relatively few water samples are likely to exceed the initial aquatic screening

1 $\,$ value of 1.2 $\mu g/L.\,$ Data for dissolved Pb from NAWQA from 1994 to 2004 was examined and it

2 was found that the quantitation limit for dissolved Pb changed for this program from $1 \mu g/L$ to 3 0.08 $\mu g/L$ in the fall of 2000.

4

5 Exhibit 4-33. Distribution of Dissolved Pb Concentrations in Freshwater Surface Waters 6 from the USGS NAWQA Database (USEPA 2006b)

Statistic	"Ambient" Locations	"Natural" Locations
Sample size	3445	430
% Non-detect	86	88
Minimum (µg/L)	0.04	0.04
Arithmetic mean (µg/L)	0.66	0.52
95 th Percentile (µg/L)	1.1	0.50
Maximum (µg/L)	29.78	8.40

7

8 It was concluded that of the three available surface water quality databases, the NAWQA data set

9 is most appropriate for the surface water portion of the national-scale surface water and sediment

10 screening assessment for several reasons. The inclusion of dissolved Pb as an analyte is limited 11

11 in all of the databases (total Pb is measured more often). None of the databases provide the co-

12 located measurements of water hardness in the same records as the measurements of dissolved

Pb. STORET and NWIS include samples from more locations in the United States than does the
 NAWQA data set, but the sampling and reporting protocols represented in STORET and NWIS

15 are less consistent from site to site. Data for dissolved Pb in NWIS are predominantly from the

16 1980s, and therefore do not represent current conditions. The NAWQA data set, on the other

17 hand, provides representative (even though not complete) coverage of the United States, with

18 samples through 2004 included in the database. The NAWQA data set also provides a consistent

19 approach to sampling and analysis of the elements using consistent quantitation limits across the

20 country. Given the sampling design for NAWQA and the consistency of the data across the

country, it is considered to be more appropriate for a national-scale surface water and sediment

- 22 screening assessment than the other two data sets.
- 23

24 Even with using the NAWQA database, for which the quantitation limit for dissolved Pb was

 $25 \quad 1 \,\mu$ g/L from 1994 to 2000, a difficulty for the assessment is that the chronic Ambient Water

26 Quality Criterion (AWQC) for the protection of aquatic life in soft waters (i.e., for waters with

27 CaCO₃ concentrations less than 45 mg/L), is less than 1 μ g/L, thus the detection limit is too high

28 to resolve the chronic AWQC for soft water. The most recent quantitation limit of 0.08 μ g/L

29 (starting in the fall of 2000) is adequate to identify concentrations of dissolved Pb that exceed the

30 chronic AWQC benchmark in water as soft as 4.7 mg/L CaCO₃. Also, since the fall of 2000,

31 dissolved Pb concentrations between the detection (0.04 μ g/L) and quantitation (0.08 μ g/L)

- 32 limits were estimated in some cases.
- 33

4.5.2 Dissolved Pb Surface Water Concentrations in the NAWQA Database

To download dissolved Pb concentrations from the USGS NAWQA Data Warehouse, the NAWQA Study Units, trace elements in water, and the years 1994 to 2004 were specified (see Appendix H) to avoid earlier years when leaded gasoline in some locations might have still influenced air Pb concentrations. Once the data were downloaded, the values for dissolved Pb (analyte # 01049 - Pb, water, filtered, micrograms per liters) were examined.

8

9 The 49 Study Units for which sampling data currently are included in the USGS NAWQA Data

- 10 Warehouse database are listed in Appendix H, Exhibit H-1. The different sampling stations
- within the unit were identified with an unique station ID number. Some units contained some recent series of sampling stations very close to each other along a river or stream (evident from
- 13 the latitude and longitude reported for the stations); those were considered to be separate units.
- 14

15 It is important to note that the intensity of sampling at different locations within a Study Unit

varied substantially. Sampling at some Study Units began in 1991, while sampling of others did

17 not begin until 1999. In general, a few of the locations were sampled at least monthly for several

18 years. In contrast, a single sample had been taken at many other locations, perhaps as an

19 exploratory exercise. Some locations were sampled a few times a year at three year intervals,

20 while other locations were sampled for only a couple years.

21

22 The percentage of the Study Unit sampling stations for which *dissolved* Pb was an analyte during

one or more sampling times from 1994 to 2004 was 16 percent overall (Exhibit H-1), which is

quite low. As is true for EPA's STORET database, measurements of total Pb are much more

25 common than measurements of dissolved Pb. However, because the AWQC for Pb are

26 expressed as dissolved Pb, total Pb measurements were not evaluated. The distribution of

dissolved Pb measurements was not uniform over the Study Units or across sampling stations

28 within Study Units. Dissolved Pb was not measured for any of the stations for some of the Study

Units, while for other Units, dissolved Pb was measured at least once at a majority of theSampling Stations.

30 31

32 There are temporal trends in whether dissolved Pb was measured at given station. Most notably,

33 at many stations for which multiple years of data are available, if the first year of sampling

34 indicated all dissolved Pb measurements to be less than the quantitation limit (QL) of 1 µg/L, no

35 further measurements of dissolved Pb were attempted (e.g., dissolved Pb measured in 1999 less

36 than $1 \mu g/L$; no further measurements in 2000 through 2004).

37

38 Most dissolved Pb concentrations reported in the NAWQA database are below the QL. There

39 are only 2,558 samples analyzed for dissolved Pb in surface waters for the NAWQA database

40 from 1994 to 2004. The 3,445 samples included in EPA's review of the database (Exhibit 4-33)

41 include samples from 1991 forward (USEPA 2006b). Of the 2,558 samples examined, 83

42 percent (2,116) were below the QL. Of the 442 dissolved Pb concentrations reported in the data

43 set, 17 percent (74 of 442) were estimated.⁹ Exhibit 4-34 summarizes the number of samples

that were below the QL for dissolved Pb according to the reported QL.

 $^{^9}$ Of the 74 estimated values, 68 were for concentrations above detection limit of 0.04 $\mu g/L$ but below the QL of 0.08 $\mu g/L$.

1	
2	
3	

Less than the QL			
QL	Number of Sample Less Than QL ^a		
2	11		
1	2010		
0.5	1		
0.2	10		
0.16	1		
0.08	83		
Total	2,116		

Exhibit 4-34. Number of Dissolved Pb Samples Less than the QL

^aA total of 2,558 samples were examined, of which 2,116 were below the QL.

5 6

4

7 Limiting the exposure assessment to the year 2000 to present was considered, given that fall

8 2000 was when the QL for dissolved Pb dropped to $0.08 \mu g/L$, low enough to determine if the 9 chronic surface water screening value is exceeded for most waters (i.e., for waters with hardness

> 4.7 mg/L as CaCO₃). However, less than 14 percent of the total samples for dissolved Pb

between 1994 and 2004 were analyzed with the lower dissolved Pb QL of 0.08 µg/L. To

determine that percentage, the number of samples reported as "< 0.08" μ g/L (N = 83), the

number reported as estimated (E) with a value less than 0.08 μ g/L (N = 68), and the number for

14 which the measured value was equal to or greater than 0.08 but less than 1.0 μ g/L (N = 205)

15 were added. A few of the last group might have been measured with a QL between 0.08 and 1.0

16 (see Exhibit 4-34); however, no attempts were made to adjust for that. Thus, the percentage of

17 samples analyzed for dissolved Pb in the NAWQA data set between 1994 to 2004 for which the

18 QL limit was $0.08 \mu g/L$ is approximately 14 percent (i.e., 356 of 2,558). Thus, limiting the 19 surface water and sediment water screening assessment to the years for which the OL was 0.08

surface water and sediment water screening assessment to the years for which the QL was 0.08 μ g/L would have severely limited the exposure data for the assessment.

20

Further descriptions of the dissolved Pb concentrations from 1994 to 2004 in the NAWQA Data
 Warehouse for the surface water portion of the screening assessment are provided in Section

24 7.2.4 (Risk Characterization) as they relate to the aquatic screening levels (AWQC).

25

26

4.5.3 Total Pb Sediment Concentrations from NAWQA Database

27

The surface water portion of this national-scale surface water and sediment ecological screening assessment identified 15 locations at which at least one measurement of dissolved Pb in the

30 water column exceeded the water-hardness-specific Pb chronic AWQC. These locations are

31 likely to represent a fraction of locations that actually exceed the Pb chronic AWQC.

32 Nonetheless, those 15 locations were made the focus of the sediment portion of the screening

- 33 assessment.
- 34

35 The NAWQA database also includes total Pb sediment concentration data measured for water

36 bodies across the United States. Sediment sampling locations in the NAWQA database co-

37 located with the surface water samples evaluated for the water column screening assessment

38 were identified. Co-located sediment and surface water data were available for 9 of the 15

39 locations. There were an additional three "near-matches," with a sediment sampling station on

- 1 the same creek or river, but somewhat upstream or downstream of the surface water sampling
- 2 location. For the sediment sampling sites that were categorized as near matches, however, it is
- 3 important to note that the surface water samples at the same location had not exceeded the
- 4 chronic AWQC in the surface water column portion of the screening assessment. Therefore, the
- 5 value of the "near-match" locations is limited. Finally, there were three locations for which even
- 6 a near-match was not found.
- 7
- 8 The sediment total Pb concentration data are presented in Chapter 7. Exhibit 7-16 summarizes
- 9 the total Pb sediment concentrations measured at the nine locations with co-located sediment and
- 10 surface water data and the three "near-match" locations. The NAWQA database appears to have
- 11 only one measurement of Pb sediment concentrations for each of these 12 locations. Several of
- 12 those samples were taken in the early 1990s, earlier than the bulk of the surface water Pb
- 13 concentrations used in the surface water screening assessment. The concentrations ranged from
- 14 23 to 2,900 mg Pb/kg dry sediment. The high concentration was detected at a location that had
- 15 an upstream NPDES permit for metals; thus the Pb contamination may have come from a source
- 16 other than air.

5. Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Estimation 1

2 3 This chapter describes the approaches and methods that were used to evaluate children's Pb 4 exposures to policy-relevant sources and policy-relevant background pathways, and to predict 5 the changes in individual blood Pb levels and population blood Pb distributions associated with these exposures. Section 5.1 provides a description of the human exposure assessment and blood 6 7 Pb modeling approaches, and presents the derivation of the model inputs. Section 5.2 reviews 8 the results of the blood Pb model performance evaluation. Section 5.3 discusses the limitations 9 and uncertainties associated with the human exposure assessment and blood Pb estimation, and 10 Section 5.4 summarizes the results of the blood Pb modeling as applied to the primary Pb smelter, secondary Pb smelter, and near roadway urban case studies. 11

12 13

5.1 **Blood Pb Modeling Methods**

14 15 16

Overview of Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb Modeling Approach 5.1.1

17 Exhibit 5-1 (which is an excerpt from Exhibit 2-2) summarizes the human exposure assessment 18 and blood Pb modeling methods used in this assessment. Exposure media (air, soil, and indoor 19 dust) concentrations and Pb exposure and intake assumptions associated with both policy-20 relevant sources and policy-relevant background pathways serve as inputs to two biokinetic 21 blood Pb models (discussed in Section 5.1.2). For the purposes of the pilot phase assessment, 22 drinking water and diet pathways are categorized as policy-relevant background, although it is 23 recognized that a portion of Pb in both pathways may derive from policy-relevant sources. 24

25

26

Exhibit 5-1. Schematic Representation of the Human Exposure **Assessment and Blood Pb Modeling Process**



- 27
- 28 Exposure concentrations are the primary inputs to the blood Pb modeling process. In each
- 29 exposure pathway, the relationship between exposure concentration and Pb uptake (absorbed
- 30 dose) is defined by a range of factors related to physiological processes and to the chemical and
- 31 physical properties of the exposure media. These factors include respiratory volume, soil and 32 dust ingestion rates, and gastrointestinal (GI) absorption fractions for diet, water, and soil/dust,
- 33
- which determine how much Pb is absorbed from each medium. Values for these factors differ 34 with age and across exposure media, as discussed in Section 5.1.4.3.

1 2 As discussed in Chapter 4, exposure concentrations in air, soil, and indoor dust have been 3 estimated for census blocks and block groups within the case study areas. Application of a 4 specific set of exposure concentrations and the physiological and behavioral inputs to the blood 5 Pb models vielded a lifetime (birth to 84 months [or 7 years] of age) blood Pb profile for the 6 child receiving that combination of exposures, from which two blood Pb metrics have been 7 derived. The first metric is the lifetime average (age six to 84 months) and the second is an 8 estimate of "concurrent" blood Pb concentration, which has been defined as the average over 9 ages 73 to 84 months).¹ The blood Pb models yield central tendency estimates of a child's blood 10 Pb concentrations for specified simulation periods (with the temporal precision varying depending on the specific model) and for specific patterns of exposure. Estimates for a single 11 12 child (representing central tendency exposure) do not provide information about how individual 13 responses to Pb exposure might vary among the exposed children or how changes in individual blood Pb levels would affect the population exposure for a given case study. Thus, a 14 probabilistic approach has been implemented to capture both the effects of inter-individual 15 16 variability in blood Pb levels and the population distribution of exposures on the resultant population distribution of blood Pb statistics. 17 18 19 Development of population distributions of blood Pb levels for each of the case studies involved 20 the following steps: 21 22 1. Blood Pb model was used to generate central tendency estimates of blood Pb per census 23 block or block group. 24 2. The number of children (0 to 7 years of age) residing in each block and block group was 25 determined from the U.S. Census Bureau data (U.S. Census Bureau 2005). 26 3. A lognormal distribution reflecting inter-individual variability in both behavior and 27 biokinetics related to Pb exposure was developed using a geometric standard deviation 28 (GSD) obtained from the literature which was centered on a value of 1.0. Values selected 29 from this distribution represent "adjustment factors" reflecting specific patterns of 30 behavior and biokinetics in children related to Pb exposure. 31 4. Population-weighted random sampling was then used to first select a blood Pb level from 32 the results of Step 1 above. The probability for sampling each census block or block 33 group is set proportional to the number of young children (0 to 7 years of age) in 34 residence (obtained in Step 2). The data set generated in Step 1 was sampled 10,000 35 times in this way. Each of these 10,000 blood Pb levels was then multiplied by an adjustment factor selected from the lognormal distribution (described in Step 3) to 36 37 produce a blood Pb level for a simulated child. These 10,000 simulated child blood Pb 38 levels were then used to characterize (via percentiles) the distribution of blood Pb levels 39 in the population. 40 41 The predicted blood Pb distribution in the exposed population reflects variability contributed by 42 both the population-weighted distributions of exposure concentrations and by the inter-individual

43 responses to Pb exposures.

¹ The rationale for defining the average blood Pb for ages 73 to 84 months as concurrent reflects the fact that the average age of IQ testing in the Lanphear et al. (2005) study of blood Pb-IQ relationships was approximately seven years (see also Chapter 6).

The following sections discuss in detail the blood Pb models used for this assessment, the
selected model inputs, and how the models were implemented to estimate case study-specific
blood Pb levels for children 0 to 7 years of age.

5 6

7

1

5.1.2 Selection of Blood Pb Models

8 Two biokinetic models were applied to predict blood Pb levels in the pilot phase assessment. 9 These two models were the Integrated Exposure Uptake Biokinetic (IEUBK) Model for Children 10 (hereafter referred to as the IEUBK model) and the International Commission for Radiation Protection (ICRP) model (hereafter referred to as the Leggett model). Both are well-11 12 documented, widely used, and have been subject to a range of testing and calibration exercises 13 (see Section 4.4 of USEPA 2006b). A third "empirical" (regression-based) model developed by 14 Lanphear et al. (1998) was included in the sensitivity analysis, as described in Chapter 6 and 15 Appendix K.

16 17

5.1.2.1 The IEUBK Model

18 19 This section presents a general overview of EPA's IEUBK model, the primary blood Pb 20 estimation tool in the pilot phase assessment. The IEUBK Lead Model Version 1.0 Build 263 21 (USEPA 2005a) was used to model blood Pb levels for all three case studies. The IEUBK model 22 consists of three main modules: the exposure module, the uptake module, and the biokinetic 23 module (see Exhibit 5-2). The exposure module accepts inputs related to six exposure media: 24 air, food (excluding water), water, soil, indoor dust, and other. Exposure, intake, and uptake 25 factors were combined with exposure concentrations in the various media to estimate Pb uptake 26 through two pathways: inhalation and ingestion. The IEUBK model provides default values for 27 the various model input parameters that can be adjusted by the user for a specific application. 28 These parameters include those used by the model to estimate Pb uptake, including absorption 29 and inhalation rates, water intake, dietary intakes of specific food classes, and soil and dust 30 ingestion rates. The selection of model input parameter values for the pilot phase assessment is discussed in Section 5.1.4. 31

32

33 The exposure module also includes default age-specific estimates of time spent outdoors, as well 34 as along with age-specific estimates of outdoor and indoor air Pb concentrations, inhalation rate, 35 and respiratory tract absorption fraction, all of which are used to estimate age-specific Pb 36 inhalation uptakes. The absorption fraction implicitly reflects both deposition of inhaled Pb in 37 the respiratory tract and absorption of deposited Pb, either from the respiratory tract or from the 38 GI tract. The model also contains an option for calculating indoor dust Pb concentrations based 39 on an empirical relationship between air, soil, and dust Pb levels (a variation of the AGG models 40 discussed in Chapter 4). Ingestion uptake is calculated using absorption fractions that are 41 specific to the ingested media (food, water, soil, or dust). Total GI Pb uptake is modeled as 42 being composed of a saturable and an unsaturable component. 43

44 In the biokinetic component of the model, absorbed Pb (from ingestion and inhalation) is

assumed to appear immediately in the plasma-extracellular fluid (ECF) compartment. The
 plasma-ECF compartment constitutes the central compartment in the biokinetic model from

ICF International

- 1 which exchange to all the other compartments occurs. Cortical and trabecular bone (which are
- 2 not directly coupled in the IEUBK model) constitute the main long-term storage compartments,
- 3 with the estimated turnover in other compartments being more rapid. The binding capacity of 4 the red blood cell compartment is modeled as being saturable, simulating the limited capacity of
- 5 ALAD and other Pb binding proteins. Pb excretion occurs through a urine pathway (distinct
- 6 from the kidney compartment); hepatobiliary secretion is coupled with the liver compartment,
- 7 with a minor component of excretion from "other soft tissues" (i.e., skin, hair, and nails). The
- 8 model is termed "biokinetic," rather than "pharmacokinetic," because transfers between
- 9 compartments are controlled by first-order transfer coefficients (equivalent to first-order rate
- 10 constants), rather than being perfusion-controlled. A more complete description of the derivation and structure of the IEUBK model can be found in USEPA (1994) and White et al. (1998).
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14





The structure of the IEUBK model is deterministic. As configured, the model generates point 1 2 estimates of blood Pb concentrations in response to a given set of exposure parameters. Using 3 the batch mode, the user may calculate the impacts of changing Pb concentrations in various 4 media, and the model also allows the user to plot the impact of continuously changing the Pb concentration in a specified exposure medium between two values. The user can specify the age 5 6 at the start of exposure, an initial blood Pb level, and the age at which the simulation ends. For 7 each case study in the pilot phase assessment, the batch mode of the IEUBK model was used to 8 generate blood Pb levels for the different age intervals for children living in each census block 9 and block group. 10 11 The IEUBK model also allows the user to calculate blood Pb distributions based on the 12 assumption of lognormality (USEPA 2002b). In this mode, the model uses the point estimate of

blood Pb as the GM of a lognormal distribution with a defined GSD. Graphs of the projected
 blood Pb distributions can be generated, along with estimates of the proportions of exposed

14 blood Pb distributions can be generated, along with estimates of the proportions of e 15 children with blood Pb levels above user specified levels of concern

15 children with blood Pb levels above user-specified levels of concern.

16 17

5.1.2.2 The Leggett Model

18

19 Leggett (1993) published a sophisticated biokinetic model for Pb exposures, intended primarily

20 for use in radiological dosimetry, that has since been adopted as the standard model for this

21 purpose by the International Commission for Radiation Protection (ICRP). The model predicts

changes in blood Pb levels for exposed individuals over their entire lifespan (birth to 90 years

old). The compartmental structure of the Leggett model (Exhibit 5-3) is more complex than that

of the IEUBK model, and was patterned after similar models developed by the ICRP to model

the age-specific biokinetics of calcium-like radionuclides (Leggett 1993).

Exhibit 5-3. Structure of the Leggett Biokinetic Model (Leggett 1993)



2 3

1

Differences between the structures of the Leggett model and the IEUBK model include:

4

5

6 7

- The published version of the Leggett model lacks the multipathway exposure module of the IEUBK. The Leggett model accepts total respiratory and ingestion intakes (administered doses) as inputs and calculates Pb uptake using age-specific absorption factors.
- 8 9 10
- The Leggett model lacks a "probabilistic" component; all predictions are deterministic for a single individual receiving a given set of exposures, with no capability for generating graphical outputs.
- 12 13

11

The central exchange compartment in the Leggett model is "diffusible plasma," rather
 than the plasma-ECF compartment used in the IEUBK model. Extra-vascular fluid, red
 blood cells (RBCs), and a bound plasma fraction are the other blood/fluid compartments

1 2	that exchange directly with plasma in the Leggett model, with different transfer rates reflecting differences in estimated exchange rates.
3	
4 5 6 7	• The trabecular and cortical bone compartments in the Leggett model are divided into two subcompartments each, one exchangeable, and one "non-exchangeable." Pb in the "non-exchangeable" compartments of both types of bone can be remobilized, but only relatively slowly as a result of bone remodeling
8	relatively slowly as a result of bone remodering.
9 10	• Urinary excretion is modeled in the Leggett model as part of an integrated kidney compartment rather than separately as in the IEUBK model
11	
12	• In the Leggett model, the liver is modeled as two compartments with rapid and
13	moderately rapid Pb exchange respectively. Other soft tissues are modeled as having
14	three compartments with differing exchange rates. Pb in brain tissue is explicitly
15	modeled.
16	
17	On the whole, the Leggett model is somewhat more technically sophisticated than the IEUBK
18	model, but lacks a built-in facility to convert exposure concentrations into Pb uptake and to
19	integrate uptakes from multiple exposure media.
20	
21	Like the IEUBK model, the Leggett model is biokinetic, with exchange between compartments
22	modeled using first-order "transfer rates" (equivalent to rate constants). The values for the
25 24	autonsy data from adults and children, and data from animal studies related to the absorption
24 25	denosition and excretion of Ph and chemically similar elements (Leggett 1993) The Leggett
26	model differs from the IEUBK model in that data from short-term studies (on the time-scale of
27	hours to days) are used to estimate parameter values for the most rapid of the uptake and
28	exchange processes and thus the time resolution of the Leggett model is much finer than that of
29	the IEUBK model. The user may specify any step length, depending on the degree of time
30	resolution required in the blood Pb predictions. Like the IEUBK model, the binding capacity of
31	Pb in RBCs is assumed to be saturable.
32	
33	Leggett developed estimates of biokinetic parameters for six age categories: newborn (0 to 100
34 25	days), I year, 5 years, 10 years, 15 years, and 25 years and older, with age-specific transfer
36	for children were adjusted to take into account the more rapid hone turnover (calcium/Pb
37	addition and resorption) in children compared with adults
38	audition and resorption, in emilien compared with addits.
39	Predictions from the Leggett model have been compared with the deterministic predictions of
40	blood Pb levels generated by the IEUBK model, using the IEUBK default inputs (Pounds and
41	Leggett 1998). In that comparison, the Leggett model predictions were substantially higher than

42 those of the IEUBK model.²

 $^{^{2}}$ Blood Pb levels generated using the Leggett model for this exposure analysis (Section 5.4) are systematically lower than the IEUBK-based blood Pb levels. This trend is the opposite of that reported in the Pounds and Leggett (1998) article. Given that a customized version of the Leggett model was developed for the pilot phase assessment, additional model evaluation activities are being completed for the pilot phase (see Section 5.1.3.3).

5.1.3 Application of Blood Pb Models

5.1.3.1 Key Modeling Assumptions

For all of the case studies, exposure concentrations were assumed to be constant throughout the
seven-year duration of the exposure scenario. Exposure concentrations associated with policyrelevant sources were estimated and modeled based on data from the recent past (1997 to 2005),
as summarized in Exhibit 2-4.

10

1 2

3 4

5

Data from the U.S. Census provided estimates for the year 2000 of the numbers of children living in each block or block group (U.S. Census Bureau 2005; see Chapter 3); the numbers of exposed children were assumed to be constant through the entire exposure period (to 7 years). In- and out-migration to and from the case study areas were not considered. To the extent that the case study exposures were higher than might occur at typical residences outside the study areas, this assumption may have overestimated blood Pb impacts compared to those that might actually occur, and vice versa.

17 18

As discussed further below, maternal blood Pb levels during pregnancy were assumed to be identical for all children at a level consistent with nationally representative values for women of childbearing age (see Section 5.1.4.3). Thus, all children were assumed to start with the same body burden of Pb at birth. Similarly, all exposed children were assumed to receive the same pattern of nationally representative policy-relevant background (non-air related) exposures

24 throughout the exposure period.

25 26

27

5.1.3.2 Adaptation of IEUBK Model

As discussed above, the IEUBK model was used in batch mode to generate blood Pb estimates at different ages for children exposed from birth in each block or block group for each case study location. Inputs to the IEUBK model included exposure parameters and intake and uptake factor values (see Section 5.1.4 and Appendix I) and the exposure concentrations for each pathway. The input data also include age-specific Pb exposure concentrations for policy-relevant background pathways (e.g., drinking water and non-water diet), which were assumed to be the same for children at all locations.

35

As described in Section 5.1.1, for the pilot phase assessment, blood Pb metrics were calculated to
 match the lifetime average and concurrent blood Pb estimates used in deriving the concentration-

response (blood Pb-IQ loss) functions that are used in this analysis (described in Section 6.1.2).

39 For this analysis, lifetime average is derived as the average blood Pb level for the exposure

40 interval 6 months through 84 months, and concurrent is derived as the average blood Pb level for

41 the exposure interval 73 through 84 months.³ To derive these metrics, first IEUBK blood

42 estimates were generated for nine specific ages (see Exhibit 5-4) for each block or block group; 42 these estimates represented the control tendency blocd Ph levels are grieneed by shildren of these

43 these estimates represented the central-tendency blood Pb levels experienced by children of those

³ The rationale for defining the average blood Pb for ages 73 to 84 months as concurrent reflects the fact that the average age of IQ testing in the Lanphear et al. (2005) study of blood Pb-IQ relationships was approximately seven years (see Chapter 6).

1 ages in each block or block group. The lifetime average blood Pb metric is derived as the time-

2 weighted average of the blood Pb values for the nine ages. The concurrent blood Pb metric is

- 3 derived as the average of the last two ages (6 years 4 months and 6 years 10 months).
- 4
- 5

Exhibit 5-4. Ages for IEUBK-Derived Blood PD Estimates		
Ages (Months) for Output IEUBK Blood Pb Estimates	Age Period (Months) Represented by IEUBK Blood Pb Estimate ^a	
9	7 to 12	
15	13 to 18	
21	19 to 24	
31	25 to 36	
43	37 to 48	
55	49 to 60	
67	61 to 72	
76	73 to 78	
82	79 to 84	

1 DI

6 7

^a Modeling periods run from the first day of the first month to the last day of the last month.

8 The nine age periods for which the point estimates were obtained using IEUBK were selected to

9 capture those periods of childhood exposure expected to produce significant variability in blood

Pb (i.e., exposures occurring under 2 years of age). Consequently, exposure intervals covering 10

11 the first two years of life (i.e., 7 to 12 months, 13 to 8 months, and 19 to 24 months) are six

months long, while the remainder of the simulation period, up to the last year (see below), are 12

13 simulated with year-long exposure intervals.

14

15 The lifetime average and concurrent (average during age 6) estimates were stored in spreadsheets to serve as inputs to the probabilistic population blood Pb model (see below). Each time the 16 17 Monte Carlo sampling algorithm chose a particular block or block group, the appropriate lifetime 18 average and concurrent blood Pb levels served as the GM values for that block or block group

19 from which the individual blood Pb estimates were derived.

20 21

22

5.1.3.3 **Adaptation of Leggett Model**

23 For the pilot phase assessment, rather than using the existing FORTRAN-based Leggett model, a 24 version of the Leggett model implemented in Visual Basic® was developed. The need for this 25 new version of the Leggett model was based on two factors: 26

- 27 1. The need for a version of the model capable of batch-mode runs to support estimating 28 blood Pb levels for a relatively large number of blocks and block groups associated with 29 each case study location (see Section 5.1.1), and 30
 - 2. The need for the ability to efficiently link the Leggett model (by coding the Leggett model in Visual Basic®) to the multipathway intake and uptake module that was developed for tracking pathway-specific contributions to total Pb uptake.
- 32 33

31

34 The development of a Visual Basic® version of the Leggett model involved contacting Dr. Joel

Pounds (Pounds 2005) and obtaining the FORTRAN code for the Leggett model (DOS version) 35

together with input files containing the biokinetic parameter values. The biokinetic algorithms of 36

37 the model were recoded in Visual Basic® and a user interface and multipathway intake and

38 uptake module were added (as noted above). The intake and uptake module was designed to accept the same exposure, intake, and uptake factors as the IEUBK, and to calculate inhalation
 and ingestion Pb uptake in the same way as the IEUBK model.

4 Inhalation pathway uptake was calculated as follows:

5	1 2	
6		$BRTCRN = RSVOL \times BRETH \times AFLNG$
7 8	where	
9	where.	
10	BRTCRN	= Pb untake by inhalation (ug/day)
11	RSVOL	= age-specific respiratory volume (m^3/day)
12	BRETH	= modeled exposure concentration $(\mu g/m^3)$
13	AFLNG	= inhalation absorption fraction (unitless)
14		
15	Ingestion pathway F	b uptake was calculated as:
16	C I J	
17		<i>EATCRN</i> = <i>FOODUP</i> + <i>H2OUP</i> + <i>SOILUP</i> + <i>DUSTUP</i>
18		$FOODUP = EAT \times FI$
19		$H2OUP = H2OCNS \times H2OCONC \times H2OA$
20		$SOILUP = SLING \times SOILPB \times SLAF$
21		$DUSTUP = DSTING \times DUSTPB \times DSTAF$
22		
23	where:	
24		
25	EATCRN	= total uptake through ingestion (μ g/day)
26	FOODUP	= uptake from food (μ g/day)
27	EAT	= daily Pb intake from non-water diet (μ g/day)
28	FI	= GI absorption fraction for diet (unitless)
29	H2OUP	= uptake from drinking (μ g/day)
30	H2OCNS	= direct residential drinking water consumption (l/day)
31	H2OCONC	= average Pb concentration in drinking water (μ g/day)
32	H2OA	= GI absorption fraction for water (unitless)
33	SOILUP	= daily Pb uptake from soil (μ g/day)
34	SLING	= daily soil ingestion (g/day)
35	SOILPB	= average soil Pb concentration ($\mu g/g$)
36	SLAF	= absolute GI absorption fraction for soil Pb (unitless)
3/	DUSTUP	= daily Pb uptake from indoor dust ($\mu g/day$)
38	DSTING	= daily indoor dust ingestion (g/day)
39 40	DUSIPB	= average indoor dust PD concentration ($\mu g/g$) = absolute (L absorption fraction for dust Pb (unitless)
40 //1	DSIAF	– ausorate of ausorption fraction for dust PU (unitless)
+1 12	Model code was too	ted for logical correctness against the code supplied in Dounds (2005). The
− T∠_	model coue was les	to a region correction against the code supplied in Founds (2003). The

43 model algorithms were tested for numerical stability and precision, and the impacts of different

44 iteration time step durations on predicted blood Pb levels were evaluated. In addition, the results

45 of test exposure scenarios were compared to population blood Pb statistics (as discussed in

46 Section 5.2). Age-specific parameter values used to estimate Pb input parameters were specified

1 to conform as closely as possible to those used in the IEUBK modeling; that is, so that they

- 2 yielded the same daily Pb uptake (absorbed dose) from each pathway as went into the IEUBK
- biokinetic component. Although comprehensive model evaluation of the version of the Leggett
- model developed for the pilot phase assessment was not completed in time for this report, the
 Leggett-based exposure analysis has been included here to allow consideration for the use of this
- particular blood Pb model as part of the full-scale assessment.⁴ The results (both exposure and
- 7 risk) generated using Leggett should, as is the case with all of the results in the pilot phase
- 8 assessment, be considered provisional and subject to updating as part of the full-scale assessment
- 9 and in light of additional model evaluation findings.
- 10

For the case study blood Pb modeling, exposure concentrations for each block or block group and exposure scenario were input to the model in batch mode. Lifetime (6 to 84 months) blood

13 Pb profiles were generated from the daily Pb model outputs, and lifetime average and concurrent

blood Pb estimates were calculated using the appropriate averaging periods (i.e., averaging the

15 individual daily values from 6 to 84 months for the lifetime average metric and averaging daily

values for the last year (months 73 to 84) for the concurrent metric). The model iteration time

17 was set at 0.1 day throughout the modeling period. Test runs indicated that modeled daily,

concurrent, and lifetime average blood Pb concentrations from age six months onward were

19 identical to those obtained using much shorter time steps.

20

Outputs from the blood Pb modeling (lifetime average and concurrent blood Pb estimates for
each census block or block group) were saved in spreadsheets (as were the IEUBK results) and
used as inputs to the probabilistic model, as described in Section 5.1.3.4.

24 25

5.1.3.4 Implementation of the Probabilistic Population Blood Pb Model

The probabilistic population blood Pb and health risk model was implemented in an Excel®
spreadsheet using spreadsheet functions and Visual Basic for Applications® code. The input

28 spreadsneet using spreadsneet functions and visual Basic for Applications® code. The input 29 sheets for the case studies and exposure scenarios contained one row per block or block group

30 having one or more resident children. The data elements in each row included: the block or

31 block group number; the number of resident children 0 to 7 years old; the exposure

32 concentrations in air, soil, and indoor dust; and the estimated lifetime average and concurrent

33 GM blood Pb concentrations for each block or block group, as derived using either the IEUBK

34 or Leggett models described above. Also included in the table was a weighting factor value for

35 each block or block group that indicated the cumulative proportion of exposed children residing

36 in the block or block groups and all of the blocks or block groups in the preceding rows of the

37 spreadsheet. The model used the cumulative weights to select census blocks or block groups in a

38 population-weighted fashion during the sampling iterations, as discussed below.

39

40 The model included a spreadsheet and code algorithm that calculated the approximate

41 proportions of total Pb uptake (absorbed dose) from each pathway for each census block or block

42 group. This algorithm was run before the main simulation and the results were placed in the

43 appropriate rows of the input sheet. Proportional contributions to total Pb uptake were calculated

44 for inhalation, soil ingestion, indoor dust ingestion, and combined policy-relevant background

⁴ Evaluation of the Leggett model as applied for this exposure and risk assessment is continuing beyond completion of this report. Additional findings of that evaluation will be conveyed by separate cover.

1 pathways. The model calculated indoor dust contributions separately for the intercept of the

- 2 indoor dust model (assumed to be policy-relevant background from Pb paint and other exposure
- 3 sources not explicitly modeled for the pilot phase), and for air- and soil-related terms in the AGG
- model, as appropriate. The source contributions to total Pb uptake were calculated
 deterministically for each block or block group using the same intake and uptake factors as used
- 6 in the blood Pb models. It is important to note that the model calculates the contributions of the
- various exposure pathways to total Pb uptake for each block or block group, *not* for individuals.
- 8 Thus, the results discussed in Section 5.3.1 represent only approximate central tendency
- 9 estimates of pathway-specific contributions associated with various blood Pb percentile estimates
- 10 from the probabilistic model.
- 11

12 The probabilistic blood Pb/IQ loss model was implemented by sampling blood Pb levels 10,000

- 13 times in a population-weighted manner from the blocks and block groups included in each case
- 14 study, adding a lognormally distributed term representing individual variability to each of the
- 15 10,000 GM lifetime average and concurrent blood Pb values, and extracting population blood Pb
- 16 statistics from the resulting individual blood Pb estimates. Individual variability was modeled by
- 17 sampling from a 0 to 1 uniform variate and using the Excel® LOGINV function to generate a
- 18 lognormal variate with the appropriate block or block group GM, and a defined population GSD
- 19 (see Section 5.1.4.3).
- 20

21 The pathway contributions to total Pb uptake associated with each block or block group were 22 also carried through the analysis. Each iteration of the model was implemented on a single row

- of a spreadsheet, and standard spreadsheet functions were used to extract population blood Pb
- statistics (percentile values) after the sampling was complete. For the primary Pb smelter and near roadway case studies, diet and drinking water were the only policy-relevant background
- 26 pathways. In the secondary Pb smelter case study, diet, water, and an estimated 15 µg/g natural
- 27 soil exposure were identified as policy-relevant background pathways. As noted in Chapter 4,
- the "AGG" models used to estimate house dust concentrations include intercepts that presumably
- represent the contribution to house dust from paint and other indoor sources of exposure. When
- 30 the AGG (Air-only) model is used, the intercept contributes 60 μ g/g to the total estimated house
- 31 dust Pb levels. When the AGG (Air + Soil) version was used, the house dust intercept
- 32 concentration was $30.5 \ \mu\text{g/g}$. In all of the case studies, the "intercept" contributions were 33 included as being associated with policy-relevant sources because it was not possible to
- 34 unconditionally rule out these elements of the house dust Pb models as being indirectly related to
- 35 air Pb levels.
- 36
- 37 38

5.1.4 Inputs to the Blood Pb Models

39 40

5.1.4.1 Exposure Concentration Estimates for Policy-Relevant Sources

Exposure concentrations in media impacted by policy-relevant sources were estimated for each
 census block or block group in each of the three case studies as described in Chapter 4. In the

43 primary Pb smelter case study, two scenarios were evaluated: the current conditions scenario,

44 representing estimates of exposure based on recent site-related data and modeling; and the

45 attainment scenario, wherein all of the exposure concentration estimates were the same as under

46 current conditions, with the exception of seven census blocks near the facility, where all

- 1 estimated quarterly averaged ambient air concentrations above the NAAQS ($1.5 \mu g/m^3$) were
- 2 reduced to the level of standard and annual average air concentrations then derived from these
- 3 adjusted quarterly averages. Exposure concentrations that were estimated from the ambient air
- 4 concentrations (for example, indoor dust Pb concentrations) were likewise reduced in these
- 5 seven high-exposure blocks. In the secondary Pb smelter case study, two sets of soil and indoor
- dust exposure concentrations were developed, one based on EPA's MPE methodology (see
 Section 4.2.3) and one based on a hybrid method in which the MPE estimates were scaled to be
- Section 4.2.3) and one based on a hybrid method in which the MPE estimates were scaled
 more congruent with environmental sampling data from similar facilities
- 8 more congruent with environmental sampling data from similar facilities.
- 9
- 10 For the inhalation exposure modeling, as described above, each block or block group was
- 11 characterized by an estimated inhalation exposure concentration and by an estimated number of
- 12 resident children. The inhalation exposure concentrations together with exposure concentrations
- 13 for other key media (e.g., soil, indoor dust, diet) and numbers of children exposed in each block
- 14 or block group define the distribution of population exposures that go into the calculation of
- 15 individual blood Pb levels in the probabilistic model. Exhibit 5-5 shows the cumulative
- 16 population distribution of inhalation pathway exposure concentrations for the primary Pb smelter
- 17 case study under the current conditions and attainment scenarios.⁵ Important features of this
- 18 graph include the wide range of exposures (note that the y-axis is logarithmic) and the fact that
- 19 the great majority of the exposed populations experience relatively low inhalation exposures
- 20 (less than approximately $0.015 \ \mu g/m^3$). Only a small "tail" of the distribution (a small proportion
- of the exposed children) experiences much higher exposures. Note that the cumulative exposure
- distributions are identical for the two exposure scenarios, except for the seven highest exposure
- 23 blocks, as discussed above.

⁵ The cumulative distribution is derived by ranking the census blocks from lowest to highest based on inhalation exposure concentration and plotting the exposure concentrations versus the number of children exposed at or below that concentration.



Exhibit 5-5. Population Distribution of Inhalation Exposure Concentrations for the Primary Pb Smelter Case Study

1 2

3

6 Exhibit 5-6 shows the population distributions of inhalation exposure concentrations for the

Cumulative Proportion of Population Exposed

7 secondary Pb smelter and near roadway urban case studies. For the secondary Pb smelter case

8 study, the basic shape is the same, although less extreme, than that seen for the primary Pb

9 smelter. Estimated inhalation exposures are very low in the secondary Pb smelter case study,

10 and increase gradually, for most of the population. There is a small "hook" at the high end of the

11 curve representing a relatively small proportion of the population in census blocks near the

12 facility experiencing higher exposures. In contrast, the exposure distribution for the near

roadway urban case study is a step function; this pattern shows the single-value estimated inhalation concentrations for census blocks in the 75 to 200 meters (m) and 0 to 75 m bands.

15 respectively.

Exhibit 5-6. Population Distribution of Inhalation Exposure Concentrations for the Secondary Pb Smelter and Near Roadway Urban Case Studies



3 4

1

2

5 The distribution of soil exposure concentrations for all three case studies (including both the

6 MPE and hybrid approaches for soil for the secondary Pb smelter case study) is shown in Exhibit

7 5-7. In this case, it is the exposure concentration distributions for the secondary Pb smelter case

8 study that are flatter, with more extreme high-end "tails" than the primary Pb smelter. The

9 distribution of exposure concentrations for the near roadway urban case study is again a step

10 function, in this case with three "steps" representing the soil concentrations in the 75 to 200 m,

11 12 to 75 m, and 0 to 12 m exposure "bands," respectively.

Exhibit 5-7. Population Distribution of Soil Exposure Concentrations for the Three Case Studies



1

2

5 The population distributions of indoor dust exposure concentrations are shown for the primary 6 Pb smelter case study in Exhibit 5-8, and for the secondary Pb smelter and near roadway urban 7 case studies in Exhibit 5-9. The pattern of indoor dust exposure concentrations closely matches 8 the pattern seen for inhalation exposure concentrations, a consequence of the fact that the air Pb 9 concentration in air is a major determinant of indoor dust Pb concentrations estimates by the 10 AGG models (described in Chapter 4 and 6). The estimated indoor dust concentrations for the 11 secondary Pb smelter and near roadway urban case studies also follow the general patterns seen 12 for the other media, again because of the correlation introduced between air, soil, and indoor dust 13 exposure by the use of the AGG model. The skewed nature of the exposure concentration 14 distributions for the primary and secondary Pb smelter case studies strongly affects the nature of 15 the estimated blood Pb distributions, as will be discussed in Section 5.4.

Exhibit 5-8. Population Distribution of House Dust Exposure Concentrations for the Primary Pb Smelter Case Study



Exhibit 5-9. Population Distribution of House Dust Exposure Concentrations for the Secondary Pb Smelter and Near-Roadway Urban Case Studies



7 8

5.1.4.2 Policy-Relevant Background Exposure Pathway Concentrations and Pb Intake Estimates

4 As noted above, the exposure concentrations and Pb intake from policy-relevant background

5 pathways (drinking water and non-water diet) were also parameter inputs to the blood Pb model.

6 For the purposes of the pilot phase assessment, as stated in Section 5.1.1, exposure through these

7 pathways was categorized as policy-relevant background, and all of the exposed children were

- 8 assigned the same age-specific estimates.
- 9

10 All of the exposed populations were assigned the same Pb concentration in drinking water.

11 While there is a rather large amount of data in the literature, in many cases, the data are from

12 "first-draw" samples, non-random ("priority") samples, or from communities where Pb levels

13 were known to be elevated. After reviewing the literature, the average drinking water

14 concentration was estimated to be 4.61 μ g/L, based on data from two recent studies of residential

15 water concentrations in homes and apartments in the United States and Canada (Moir et al. 1996,

16 Clayton et al. 1999). The range of values seen in these studies (0.84 to 16 μ g/L) was considered

17 to be representative of randomly sampled residential water in houses constructed since Pb pipe

18 and solder were banned for residential use. The selected value is close to the "default" value (4.0

19 μ g/L) provided with the IEUBK model (USEPA 1994). Much higher values have been

20 encountered in homes with Pb piping and/or very corrosive water.

21

22 In addition to drinking water, it is expected that young children will be exposed to Pb in the

23 foods they consume. In this assessment, all exposed children were assumed to receive the age-

24 specific estimates of dietary Pb intake developed by EPA's Office of Solid Waste and

25 Emergency Response (USEPA 2006h). EPA developed these estimates by analyzing food

26 consumption data from the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES

27 III) conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics (CDC 1997), and food residue data

from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) Total Dietary Study (USFDA 2001). The

29 daily intake values shown in Exhibit 5-10 are considerably lower than those developed using the

30 same methodology in the 1980s and 1990s. Pb concentrations in food have decreased

dramatically since the prohibition of Pb solder in food containers in 1982 (USEPA 2006b,

32 Section 3.4).

Age Category (months)	Updated Dietary Pb Intake Estimate (µg/day)
0 to 11	3.16
12 to 23	2.6
24 to 35	2.87
36 to 47	2.74
48 to 59	2.61
60 to 71	2.74
72 to 84	2.99

Exhibit 5-10. Summary of Non-Water Dietary Pb Intake Estimates

3

1 2

There is some potential for double-counting of water and dietary Pb intake because some food categories (e.g., baby formula, soup) are prepared using domestic water. As discussed in Section 5.1.4.3, this double-counting is minimized by limiting the estimated intake of domestic water to "direct ingestion" (i.e., consumption directly from the tap).

- 8
- 9 10

5.1.4.3 Factors Determining Pb Exposure, Intake, and Uptake

11 As discussed previously, there is a range of model inputs that govern how the exposure

12 concentrations are converted to absorbed Pb dose (uptake). These factors represent the

13 physiological and behavioral characteristics of the exposed population and the chemical and

14 physical properties of the exposure media that govern exposure and absorption by inhalation and 15 ingestion.

16

Because substantial data have become available since the IEUBK default values were last
 updated, a literature review was conducted to identify and evaluate recent information related to

19 Pb exposures, absorption, and bioavailability (USEPA 2006b). Experts in OSWER and OAQPS

20 were also consulted in an effort to derive exposure, intake, and uptake values for the pilot phase

21 assessment. Exhibit 5-11 presents the parameter values that were selected as inputs to the

22 IEUBK in the pilot phase assessment.

23

24 A number of the values in Exhibit 5-11 differ from the suggested default values in the most 25 current version of the IEUBK (USEPA 2005a). Children's daily ventilation rate estimates were based on the ICRP Publication 89 (ICRP 2002). Pending a re-analysis pertaining to the child 26 27 respiratory absorption fraction values, the values used in the pilot phase for the primary and 28 secondary Pb smelter case studies were those developed for point source locations for the assessment described in the 1990 Staff Paper (USEPA 1990b; USEPA 1989). For the near 29 30 roadway urban case study, the value used in the pilot phase assessment was 0.32, which falls 31 within the range of 0.25 to 0.45 estimated in the past for areas not influenced by point sources 32 (USEPA 1989), and is the IEUBK default.

Exhibit 5-11. Input Parameter Values for	r the IEUBK I	Vlodel
--	----------------------	--------

	IEUBK Parameter Name	_		Para	meter	/alue			
Parameter		I	EUBK	Default	t Age R	anges	(Years		
		0.5 to 1	1 to 2	2 to 3	3 to 4	4 to 5	5 to 6	6 to 7	Basis/Derivation
Inhalation									
Daily ventilation rate (m3/day)	Ventilation rate	4	5.1	6	6.8	7.8	8.8	10	ICRP (2002), with interpolation for intermediate ages.
Absolute inhalation absorption fraction (unitless)	Lung absorption	0.42 (Primary, secondary smelter), 0.32 (Near Roadway Urban)							Smelter value: USEPA (1989), Appendix A IEUBK value: USEPA (1989), central tendency value from analysis of deposition data.
Indoor air Pb concentration	Indoor air Pb concentration (percentage of outdoor)	100%							Time spent indoors/outdoors is not considered because the input air concentrations are already long-term weighted averages of indoor and outdoor
Time spent outdoors	Time spent outdoors (hours/day)	Not used							concentrations.
Drinking Water	Ingestion	-					-	-	
Water consumption (L/day)	Water consumption (L/day)	0.34	0.31	0.31	0.33	0.36	0.39	0.42	Based on value for infants, 1 to 3 yr olds, 1 to10 yr olds (with trend lines used to interpolate intermediate age ranges) (USEPA 2002c).
Water Pb concentration (µg/L)	Pb concentration in drinking water (µg/L)	4.61						GM of values reported in studies of United States and Canadian populations (residential water) (Moir et al. 1996, Clayton et al. 1999, as cited in USEPA (2006b), Section 3.3 Table 3-10).	
Absolute absorption (unitless)	Total percent accessible	50% (Single value used across all age ranges)						Assumed similar to dietary absorption (see "Total percent accessible" under Ingestion-Diet below).	

Exhibit 5-11. Input Parameter Values for the IEUBK Model

				Para	meter \	/alue			
	IEUBK Parameter Name	I	EUBK	Default	t Age F	anges	(Years		
Parameter		0.5 to 1	1 to 2	2 to 3	3 to 4	4 to 5	5 to 6	6 to 7	Basis/Derivation
Diet									
Dietary Pb intake (µg/day)	Daily Pb intake (µg/day)	3.16	2.6	2.87	2.74	2.61	2.74	2.99	Estimates based on (a) Pb food residue data from U.S. Food and Drug Administration Total Diet Study (USFDA 2001), and (b) food consumption data from NHANES III (CDC 1997).
Absolute absorption (unitless)	Total percent accessible	50%							Alexander et al. (1974) and Ziegler et al. (1978), as cited in USEPA 2006 (Section 4.2.1). These two dietary balance studies suggest that 40-50% of ingested Pb is absorbed by children (2 weeks to 8 years of age).
Soil/Indoor Dus	t Ingestion	1							
Soil/dust weighting factor (unitless)	Soil/dust ingestion weighting factor (percent soil)	45%							This is the percent of total ingestion that is soil. Value reflects best judgment and consideration (results published by van Wijnen et al. (1990), as cited in (USEPA 1989). The van Wijnen et al. study looked at tracer studies of ingestion rates for rainy days and non –rainy days. It was assumed that rainy days were associated with all soil ingestion and non-rainy days were associated with a combination of soil and dust with the delta representing soil.
Total dust + soil ingestion (mg/day)	Amount of soil/dust ingested daily (mg)	85	135	135	135	100	90	85	USEPA 1989, which was based on multiple studies focusing on children.
Absolute gastrointestinal absorption (soil and dust) (unitless)	Total percent accessible	 Primary Pb smelter case study: 0.48 for soil and 0.26 for dust Secondary Pb smelter and near roadway: 0.30 for both soil and dust 						 Site specific absorption factors for soil and indoor dust were derived for the primary Pb smelter case study using relative bioavailability (RBA) estimates generated based on swine studies involving soil and dust samples collected in the study area (Casteel et al. 2005). These RBAs were converted to absolute bioavailability factors (i.e., total percent accessible values) by applying the absolute bioavailability factor for the control material (Pb acetate water solution also fed to the animals). Secondary Pb smelter and near roadway values: USEPA (1989) reflects evidence that Pb in dust and soil is as accessible as dietary Pb and that dust/soil ingestion may occur away from mealtimes (resulting in enhanced absorption relative to exposure during meal events). 	

Exhibit 5-11. Input I at anctor values for the feedba widder									
Parameter	IEUBK Parameter Name			Para	meter \	/alue			
		Ι	EUBK	Default	t Age R	anges	(Years		
		0.5 to 1	1 to 2	2 to 3	3 to 4	4 to 5	5 to 6	6 to 7	Basis/Derivation
Other									
Maternal blood Pb (µg/dL)	Maternal blood Pb concentration at childbirth, µg/dL				1.94				NHANES IV, national GM for adult women – all nationalities (CDC 2004).

Exhibit 5-11. Input Parameter Values for the IEUBK Model

2

1

3 Estimated children's direct water ingestion values were interpolated from values in EPA's

4 Children-Specific Exposure Factors Handbook (USEPA 2002c); the GI absorption fraction of Pb

5 from water (and diet) was retained at the IEUBK default value of 50 percent, and is consistent

6 with OAQPS previous analyses of Pb uptake (USEPA 1989). As noted in Section 5.1.4.2, age-

7 specific dietary intake values for Pb were revised to reflect the latest analyses of FDA and

8 NHANES III data on food consumption pattern and Pb residue levels. (USEPA 2006h).

9

10 Age-specific soil and indoor dust ingestion rates were left at the IEUBK default values.

11 Similarly, the weighting factor for soil and indoor dust ingestion was also left at 45 percent soil,

12 despite limited data supporting this specific value (USEPA 1989; USEPA 1994). The impacts of

13 changes in the weighting factor and other variables related to soil and dust ingestion were

14 investigated through sensitivity analyses, as discussed in Section 6.3.

15

16 Casteel et al. (2005) evaluated the GI absorption of Pb and other metals from soil samples taken

17 from the primary Pb smelter study area in juvenile swine. Results of these experiments were

18 used to derive estimates of absolute GI absorption fractions (the IEUBK inputs are called

19 "Percent Available") of 0.48 (48 percent) for soil and 0.26 (26 percent) for indoor dusts. For the

20 other case studies, the IEUBK generic default value for GI absorption of Pb from soil and indoor

dust (0.30, or 30 percent) was used. This value is generally consistent with more recently reported values, although estimates vary widely. As was the case with the soil-indoor dust

reported values, although estimates vary widely. As was the case with the soil-indoor dust weighting factor, the impacts of changes in absorption fractions for soil and indoor dust were

24 investigated in sensitivity analyses.

25

26 For the case study blood Pb modeling, the IEUBK default value for maternal blood Pb level was

updated using data from the most recent NHANES survey. NHANES IV data from 2002 to
2004 indicate that the GM blood Pb value for reproductive age women has dropped to about 1.94

- $\mu g/dL$ (Maddaloni et al. 2005).
- 30
- 31 32

5.1.4.4 Inter-Individual Variability

33 The last major input to the probabilistic blood Pb model is the GSD reflecting individual

- 34 variability in response to Pb exposures. A GSD value of 1.6 has been used as the central
- 35 tendency estimate for individual blood Pb variability in the pilot phase assessment. This value

- 1 was provided by EPA (USEPA 1994) based on the distribution of blood Pb levels measured in
- 2 children exposed to smelter emissions at the Midvale, UT, smelter (White et al. 1998).
- 3 Subsequent studies suggest that this is a reasonable central tendency GSD for populations of
- 4 children living in relatively small, defined areas where the sources and relative importance of
- 5 different exposure pathways and media are similar across the exposed population. The selection
- 6 of this value is supported by the fact that exposure concentrations used in this analysis are
- 7 expected to be relatively consistent within each block and block group. Similarly, using a GSD
- 8 reflecting the distribution of blood Pb levels for children residing near a primary Pb smelter (i.e.,
- 9 a dominant Pb source such as the Midvale, UT, smelter) seems appropriate for modeling blood
- 10 Pb levels near primary and secondary Pb smelters. It is also worth noting that the pre-
- remediation blood Pb GSD for children participating in the Baltimore Urban Pb Soil Abatement
 Project was estimated at 1.5 (White et al. 1998), which adds support to using the GSD of 1.6 for
- 12 Project was estimated at 1.5 (while et al. 1998), which adds support to using the OSD of 1.6 for 13 the near roadway urban case study, which can be interpreted as being somewhat similar to a
- 14 general urban scenario in terms of Pb exposure.
- 15

Recent surveys of blood Pb levels in children at the national-level (NHANES IV data for years 17 1999 to 2002) have found GSD values in the range of 2.03 to 2.23 (CDC 2004). These GSDs,

17 1999 to 2002) have found OSD values in the range of 2.05 to 2.25 (CDC 2004). These OSDs, 18 which are considerably larger than the values used in the pilot phase assessment, likely reflect

the fact that, while blood Pb levels for the majority of children in the United States have

20 decreased significantly over the last one to two decades, a small fraction of children still retain

relatively elevated blood Pb levels due to continued exposure to Pb paint and other artifact

22 sources. Consequently, as the median and mean blood Pb levels have dropped, the extreme

23 upper tail of the distribution is still somewhat anchored by these high-exposure children,

resulting in an increased GSD for the overall population (CDC 2004). It is expected that the

25 variation in exposure concentrations within the case study blocks or block groups is much

26 smaller than that seen in these national population surveys, further supporting the use of the

- 27 smaller GSD values.
- 28

The small amount of publicly available blood Pb data from children living near the primary Pb smelter site (see Exhibits 3-3 and 3-4), while not sufficient by itself to establish reliable GSD estimates for this group, appear to be consistent with a low to moderate GSD value (less than 2).

32 This conclusion was based on a review of summary blood Pb data from the site (numbers of

samples within specified concentration ranges); individual blood Pb measurements were not

available. The impact of assuming different GSD values has a major impact on the blood Pb

distribution results; the results of sensitivity analysis involving this variable are provided in

- 36 Section 6.4.
- 37

5.2 Blood Pb Model Performance Evaluation

5.2.1 Evaluation Versus General Population and Site-Specific Blood Pb Measurements

4 5

1

2 3

6 As noted previously, the IEUBK and Leggett blood Pb models were evaluated with regard to

7 their predictions relative to each other and relative to population blood Pb statistics from well-

8 studied populations believed to have experienced exposures similar to those estimated for

9 populations in the case studies. In addition, the upper percentile blood Pb estimates from the primary Pb smelter case study were compared to children's blood Pb sampling results taken near

primary Pb smelter case study were compared to children's blood Pb sampling
the facility in 2001. Exhibit 5-12 provides a summary of these analyses.

Case Study	Description of Performance Evaluation	Results of the Performance Evaluation	Implications for Overall Analysis
All Case Study Populations	For both the lifetime average and average at age 6 (concurrent) blood metrics, the 50th percentile (i.e., median) value for each of the three study populations was compared to the GM value for the 0 to 5 year old age group from NHANES IV (2001- 2002) (CDC 2004).	Modeled median lifetime average blood Pb modeled with IEUBK ranged from 1.2 to 1.9 µg/dL (average 1.7) for the three case studies. Median concurrent blood Pb modeled with IEUBK ranged from 1.0 to 1.4 µg/dL (average 1.2) for the three case studies. Leggett median lifetime average blood Pb ranged from 0.8 to 1.2 µg/dL (average 1.0) for the three case studies Median concurrent blood Pb ranged from 0.5 to 0.8 µg/dL (mean 0.7) for the three case studies.	The relatively close match between the modeled median lifetime average levels from IEUBK and the national GM value from NHANES IV (for children ages 0 to 5 years old) suggests that the IEUBK model with the given set of exposure, intake, and uptake factors is neither significantly over- or underestimating exposures for the study population. Because concurrent blood Pb in this analysis is defined as the average blood Pb from age 6 to 7 years, when blood Pb levels are known to decline from values seen in younger children, the median concurrent IEUBK values appear to also generally consistent with population data. The median blood Pb estimates are insensitive to the relatively small number of high- exposure block groups in the primary and secondary Pb smelter case studies; thus the lower exposure experienced by the large majority of the exposed populations are dominating this metric. The Leggett model, however, with the selected exposure, intake, and uptake factors, appears to be underestimating the GM blood Pb statistics somewhat, compared to the national population. The reason for this is not clear, although it is possible that the Pb exposure levels of the NHANES population are actually lower than the combined policy- relevant background and policy- relevant

Case Study	Description of Performance Evaluation	Results of the Performance Evaluation	Implications for Overall Analysis
Primary Pb smelter	Comparison of upper-bound end of range of modeled blood Pb levels against the set of site-specific measured blood Pb levels collected for children <6 years of age in 2002	 58 site-specific blood Pb level measurements from children ages 0 to 72 months old in 2001 yield the following percentiles: > 95th is 20 to 29 μg/dL > 90th is 10 to 19 μg/dL > 50th is 0 to 9 μg/dL Percentile results generated from our site-specific modeling (Leggett and IEUBK combined): > 99.9th% is 13 to 29 μg/dL > 99.5th% is 6 to 17 μg/dL > 99th% is 4 to 11 μg/dL > 95th% approaches 5 μg/dL 	It should be noted that the blood Pb levels measured at the primary Pb smelter location correspond to highly- contaminated areas and were focused on children believed to be at risk of adverse effects. Thus, it is to be expected that the percentile values seen in the blood Pb data should correspond to higher percentile estimated blood Pb values at the primary Pb smelter location, because the latter include many children far from the site, who experience relatively low exposures. Numerically, the highest percentile values measurable from the data are in the same range as the highest percentiles in the modeled data. These results indicate that the model- predicted higher percentile blood Pb values from the secondary Pb case study are generally consistent with the high-end measured values.

Exhibit 5-12. Summary of Blood Pb Model Evaluation

1

3 With regard to the median blood Pb levels, the IEUBK lifetime average blood Pb predictions 4 from the three case studies rather closely match the GM estimate from the NHANES survey. As 5 expected, the IEUBK concurrent blood Pb estimates are somewhat lower than the NHANES GM 6 values, likely because the former data are derived from younger children, who are expected to 7 have somewhat higher blood Pb levels. Median blood Pb estimates from the Leggett model are 8 systematically lower than those from the IEUBK, and lower than the NHANES GM.⁶ The 9 reason for this difference is not clear, although it is possible that the NHANES population may 10 have experienced lower exposures than the exposed populations in the case studies; this would 11 imply that the IEUBK values were slight overestimates and would support the argument that the 12 Leggett model "underestimates" were not as large as they appear. 13

The upper percentile blood Pb estimates from the IEUBK and Leggett models appear to be in the same general range as the upper percentile data from the blood Pb samples taken near the facility in 2001. This suggests that the models are not dramatically overestimating blood Pb levels for

17 this subpopulation that would be most strongly affected by Pb exposures from policy-relevant

- 18 sources.
- 19

⁶ As noted earlier, the trend between IEUBK-based and Leggett-based blood Pb levels generated for the pilot phase is opposite to the trend reported in the Pounds and Leggett (1998) study. This apparent contradiction elevates the importance of model evaluation and performance evaluation related to the IEUBK and Leggett models, which was not completed in time for inclusion in this report. Additional findings from that evaluation will be conveyed by separate cover.
5.2.2 Stability of Percentile Blood Pb Estimates

3 In addition to the performance evaluation of the blood Pb model described above, the stability of 4 the various blood Pb percentile estimates was also evaluated. Because these estimates are 5 probabilistic, they can be expected to vary as the model is run multiple times or with different 6 numbers of iterations.

8 To examine the variability in the percentile blood Pb estimates, the probabilistic blood Pb model 9 for the primary Pb smelter current conditions scenario was run 100 times, with 10,000 iterations

10 per run. The distributions of the blood Pb percentile estimates are summarized in Exhibit 5-13.

11

1

2

7

- 12
- 13

Exhibit 5-13.	Distributions of Blood Pb Percentile Estimates,
100 Rep	etitions of the Probabilistic Blood Pb Model

Blood Pb Percentile	Mean Estimate of Statistic, µg/dL	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Average Difference ^a	Average Difference/Mean Estimate
99.9th	20.9	2.02	10%	2.24	11%
99.5th	11.2	0.87	8%	0.98	9%
99th	7.6	0.41	5%	0.46	6%
95th	3.7	0.065	2%	0.074	2%
90th	2.9	0.034	1%	0.038	1%
75th	2.0	0.018	1%	0.020	1%
Mean	1.7	0.018	1%	0.021	1%
Median	1.3	0.010	1%	0.012	1%
25th	0.93	0.007	1%	0.008	1%
First	0.40	0.007	2%	0.008	2%

14

^a Average difference = expected value of difference between two successive simulation estimates (10,000 iterations). 15

16 The results indicate that the precision of the model is quite good for the mid-range blood Pb

17 percentile estimates; the coefficient of variation (the standard deviation divided by the mean,

multiplied by 100) is one percent or less for the median and all percentiles between the 25th and 18

the 90th, inclusive. Above the 95th percentile, the precision of the estimates drops rapidly, such 19

that the coefficient of variation is about five percent for the 99th percentile, 8 percent for the 20

99.5th percentile, and 10 percent for the 99.9th percentile. These statistics should be kept in mind 21 when interpreting the blood Pb distribution results presented in Section 5.4. 22

23

24 5.3 Limitations and Uncertainties in the Human Exposure Assessment and Blood Pb 25 Modeling

26

27 A number of factors affect the degree of uncertainty associated with the human exposure

28 assessment and blood Pb modeling. These factors, discussed in the following subsections,

29 include the estimated exposure concentrations associated with policy-relevant sources and

30 policy-relevant background, the exposure, intake, and uptake factor values, the differences in the

31 blood Pb model themselves, the approach used to characterize individual variability, and the

32 demographics of the exposed population.

5.3.1 Exposure Concentrations and Intake Estimates

2 3 Exposure concentration estimates have a varying affect on the results of the blood Pb modeling, 4 depending on the extent to which they contribute to total Pb uptake (absorbed dose). Exhibit 5-5 14 summarizes the general pattern of how policy-relevant sources and policy-relevant background pathways contribute to total Pb intake for different percentile estimates of blood Pb 6 7 for the three case studies. As discussed in Section 5.1.3.4, the values in the exhibit are the Pb 8 uptake contribution estimates for the block or block group whose geometric mean blood Pb was 9 used to estimate the specified individual blood Pb percentiles. In addition, the values represent 10 the averages of the pathway contributions calculated from the distributions of lifetime average and concurrent blood Pb percentile values, and the averages of the percentile values calculated 11 12 from the different exposure scenarios for each case study location. For the most part, the pathway contributions to Pb uptake do not differ substantially across the exposure scenarios or 13 14 blood Pb metrics. Exceptions to this pattern are discussed in Sections 6.1 and 6.2. Detailed 15 tables of pathway contributions are provided in Appendix J.

16

1

17

18

Exhibit 5-14.	Average Contributions of Policy-Relevant Sources and Policy-Relevant
	Background Pathways to Overall Pb Uptake

Blood Pb	Primary Pb (Current Co	Smelter nditions)	Secondary P	b Smelter	Near Roadway Urban		
Percentile Estimate	Policy- Relevant Background	Policy- Relevant Sources	Policy- Relevant Background	Policy- Relevant Sources	Policy- Relevant Background	Policy- Relevant Sources	
Maximum	2%	98%	68%	32%	20%	80%	
99.9 th	2%	98%	65%	35%	44%	56%	
99.5 th	4%	96%	67%	33%	44%	56%	
99 th	4%	96%	66%	34%	44%	56%	
95 th	22%	78%	68%	32%	44%	56%	
90 th	34%	66%	67%	33%	44%	56%	
75 th	45%	55%	67%	33%	44%	56%	
Median	56%	44%	68%	32%	35%	65%	
25 th	56%	44%	68%	32%	35%	65%	
1 st	54%	46%	68%	32%	44%	56%	

19

As illustrated in Exhibit 5-14, the policy-relevant background pathways tend to contribute a relatively large proportion of total Pb uptake for individuals with lower blood Pb levels, and the

importance of policy-relevant background pathways then drops with increasing blood Pb. This pattern is especially pronounced for the primary Pb smelter because air, soil, and indoor dust

exposures are relatively low for the bulk of the exposed population, and then become extremely

25 high for the highest exposure blocks and block groups. For the secondary Pb smelter case study,

the contribution of policy-relevant background exposure pathways (diet, water, and background soil) remains above 50 percent for all of the exposure percentiles. In the near roadway urban

soil) remains above 50 percent for all of the exposure percentiles. In the near roadway urban
case study, the contribution from policy-relevant background pathways is lower for less exposed

29 groups than for the other case studies, but it is noted that 100 µg/g "urban background" soil Pb is

30 included as "policy-related" in this tabulation.

1

- 2 Overall, among the pathways for which individual contributions were derived (see Appendix J),
- 3 the inhalation pathway contributes the least to total Pb uptake, ranging from 0.01 percent in the
- 4 lowest exposure blocks for the secondary Pb smelter case study to 4.3 percent in the highest
- 5 exposure blocks for the primary Pb smelter. As noted previously, however, the impact of
- 6 ambient air is assumed to be amplified by its impact on indoor dust Pb concentrations through
- 7 the AGG models. The proportional contribution from indoor dust to total Pb intake is 8 consistently in the range of 25 to 35 percent in the secondary Pb smelter and near roadway case
- 9 studies; however, for the primary Pb smelter case study, it ranges from about 10 percent in the
- 10 low-exposure blocks and block groups to 87 to 88 percent in the highest exposure block groups.
- As noted previously, the contribution from house dust includes an "intercept" which accounts for 11
- 12 a large, sometimes dominant, proportion of the total dust contribution in census blocks with low
- 13 air and/or soil concentrations.
- 14

15 Soil exposure contributes about 6 to 11 percent of total Pb intake in the secondary Pb smelter

- 16 case study, 30 to 53 percent in the near roadway urban case study, and from about 7 to 63 percent in the primary Pb smelter case study. Because of the relatively widespread soil 17
- 18
- contamination at the primary Pb smelter location, soil contributions to total Pb uptake tend to be
- 19 greater for individuals in the lower blood Pb percentiles, whose air and indoor dust exposure concentrations are relatively low.
- 20 21

25

27 28

29

30

31

32 33

34

22 The factors that contribute to uncertainties in the exposure concentrations are discussed below 23 for the primary Pb smelter, the secondary Pb smelter, and the near roadway urban case study 24 locations:

26 **Primary Pb Smelter**

- Emissions estimates from the facility processes were based on maximum permitted • emissions. This may not appropriately represent current emissions (could be higher or
 - lower). In addition, source characteristics of area and volume sources are uncertain, and emissions from roadways not directly adjacent to the facility were not included.
 - The particle size distributions of the emissions from the primary Pb smelter are uncertain. These distributions impact air and dust concentrations (but not soil, as soil concentrations were estimated from measurements for this case study).
- 35 36 37

38 39

40

41 42

46

- Inhalation exposure concentrations for the 0 to 7 age group were estimated from the pilot • phase assessment ambient air concentrations using location-specific ratios of ambient concentration to exposure concentrations for the 0 to 4 age group from the NATA national-scale assessment (see Section 4.1.2.2). This contributes to uncertainty, particularly because the 5 to 7 age group is school-aged and may have different activity patterns from the 0 to 4 group.
- 43 44 Soil concentration measurements were available out to approximately 2.5 km from the • facility. Beyond that distance, the regression equation used to calculate soil 45

concentration was extrapolated, leading to some uncertainty.

1 2 • To generate air concentrations for the attainment scenario, all quarterly average air 3 concentrations exceeding the NAAQS were set to the attainment level $(1.5 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3)$. All 4 other air concentrations were kept at the current conditions modeled concentrations. This 5 is a simplification of how air concentrations would be affected by emission changes 6 necessary to meet the NAAQS, and likely results in an overestimation of air 7 concentrations for the attainment scenario. 8 9 • Soil concentration estimates for the attainment scenario are the same as those used for the 10 current conditions scenario. This may contribute to a more conservative soil concentration, although soil remediation activities make this difference difficult to 11 12 predict. 13 14 **Secondary Pb Smelter** 15 16 • Facility Pb emissions estimates were based on stack tests from 1997, 1999, and 2000. 17 Current emissions may be different. In addition, estimating annual emissions from 18 single-day stack tests may not appropriately account for true trends in emissions over an 19 entire year. 20 21 The particle size distributions of the emissions from the secondary Pb smelter are • 22 uncertain and were based on general process information from AP-42. These 23 distributions lead to uncertainties in air, soil, and dust concentrations. 24 25 The meteorological data used for the air dispersion modeling were not local, but from a • 26 nearby station. The use of these data result in less precision in directional estimates of 27 air, soil, and dust concentrations, which is consistent with the results of the comparison to 28 air monitoring data at specific locations (see Section 4.2.2.4). 29 30 • Inhalation exposure concentrations for the 0 to 7 age group were estimated from the pilot 31 phase analysis ambient air concentrations using location-specific ratios of ambient 32 concentration to exposure concentrations for the 0 to 4 age group from the NATA 33 national-scale assessment (see Section 4.1.2.2). This leads to uncertainty, particularly because the 5 to 7 age group is school-aged and may have different activity patterns from 34 35 the 0 to 4 group. 36 37 Soil concentrations of Pb were calculated assuming the deposition (derived from the • recent emissions estimates [see Appendix L]) has been constant for the last 37 years 38 (facility operating time). This is likely not true, and it is quite possible this results in an 39 40 underestimation of soil concentrations. In addition, because of lack of site-specific data, 41 modeled soil concentrations are uncertain. In a comparison to measured soil 42 concentrations at a similar facility, the modeled results were much lower (Section 43 4.2.3.1). Thus, a second soil scenario was created with soil concentrations scaled up by a 44 factor of three. There is still uncertainty over whether this scaled-up set of Pb soil 45 concentrations is an appropriate upper-bound because the measurements were not taken 46 at the same location.

Near Roadway Urban Case Study

- Compared to the other case studies, there were relatively little site-specific data available upon which to base estimates of air, soil, and indoor dust concentrations. These estimates are therefore very uncertain, and only indicative of potential exposure magnitudes.
- 7 8
- 9

1 2

3 4

5

6

5.3.2 Exposure, Intake, and Uptake Parameters and Individual Variability

10 The exposure, intake, and uptake factors used in this analysis, particularly those affecting 11 exposure pathways accounting for a large proportion of total Pb uptake, may strongly affect the 12 results of the blood Pb modeling for specific individuals. Section 6.4 presents the results of a 13 sensitivity analysis in which the variables evaluated were selected based on the relative 14 importance of the various pathways. The variables evaluated included dietary and drinking 15 water GI absorption fractions, soil and dust ingestion rates, and soil and GI absorption fractions. 16 As discussed in Chapter 6, variation of these values over credible ranges tended to have much 17 less impact on the blood Pb distributions than differences in the blood Pb models that were used, 18 and between the blood Pb metrics (lifetime average, concurrent, and peak). 19

20 The single variable with by far the largest impact on blood Pb distributions was, not

unexpectedly, the GSD estimate representing individual responses to Pb exposures.

- 23 5.4 Blood Pb Distribution Estimates for the Three Case Studies
- 24 25

26

5.4.1 Primary Pb Smelter

27 The results of the blood Pb modeling for the primary Pb smelter case study are summarized in

Exhibit 5-15. The median concurrent blood Pb estimates are $1.3 \mu g/dL$ and $0.7 \mu g/dL$,

29 respectively, when estimated with the IEUBK and Leggett models. The median lifetime average

30 blood Pb estimates from the two models are 2.7 and 1.6 μ g/dL, respectively. Comparing the two

31 sets of estimates, it can be seen that the reduction of exposure in the highest exposure blocks

under the attainment scenario has essentially no impact on the estimated blood Pb distributions
 except for the very highest percentiles. The 99.9th percentile values for the four blood Pb metrics

34 are reduced between about eight percent and 18 percent under the attainment scenario, compared

35 to the current conditions estimates.

	Concurrent	t Blood Pb	Lifetime Av	erage Blood Pb	Average Proportion
Statistic	IEUBK	Leggett	IEUBK	Leggett	from Policy- Relevant Sources ^a
Current Cond	itions Exposu	ire Scenario			
99.9 th	22	14	29	23	98%
99.5 th	12	6.7	17	11	95%
99 th	7.4	4.2	11	6.8	91%
95 th	3.7	2	5.3	3.1	67%
90 th	2.9	1.5	4.1	2.3	70%
75 th	2.0	1.0	2.7	1.6	59%
Median	1.3	0.7	1.8	1.1	54%
25 th	0.9	0.5	1.2	0.7	49%
1 st	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.3	46%
Attainment Ex	cposure Scen	ario			
99.9 th	18	13	25	21	96%
99.5 th	11	6.4	16	11	93%
99 th	7.9	4.3	11	7.1	93%
95 th	3.7	2	5.4	3.1	76%
90 th	2.9	1.5	4.1	2.3	68%
75 th	2.0	1.0	2.8	1.6	58%
Median	1.4	0.7	1.9	1.1	52%
25 th	0.9	0.5	1.2	0.7	45%
1 st	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.3	44%

Exhibit 5-15. Projected Blood Pb Levels (µg/dL) for Primary Pb Smelter Case Study

2 3 4

1

^a Pathways impacted by policy-relevant sources include inhalation, soil and indoor dust ingestion (and exclude policy-relevant background sources, such as diet and drinking water).

5 The last column of Exhibit 5-15 summarizes the estimated average proportions of Pb uptake 6 contributed by policy-relevant sources at the various percentile blood Pb estimates. Under both 7 exposure scenarios, it can be seen that the proportion of Pb uptake from policy-relevant sources 8 increases from around 40 percent for the lowest blood Pb percentiles, to around 98 percent for 9 the very highest percentiles. The contributions of individual exposure pathways to total blood 10 uptake in the three case studies are discussed in more detail in Section 6.2 and tabulated in 11 Appendix J.

12 13 14

5.4.2 Secondary Pb Smelter

Exhibit 5-16 summarizes the blood Pb modeling results for the secondary Pb smelter case study. The median blood Pb levels (and the higher percentile estimates) are lower than those calculated for the primary Pb smelter, for both sets of soil estimates. Median concurrent estimates are 0.9 μ g/dL and 0.5 μ g/dL, based on the IEUBK and Leggett models, respectively. The median lifetime average blood Pb estimates are 1.1 and 0.7 μ g/dL. It can be seen from the data in

19 lifetime average blood Pb estimates are 1.1 and 0.7 μ g/dL. It can be seen from the data in 20 Exhibit 5-16 that the higher soil concentrations estimated using the hybrid approach result in

21 increases in both the blood percentile estimates and in the estimated proportions of Pb uptake

22 from policy-relevant sources.

-
~
· •
_
_

	S	econdary I	Pb Smelter	Case Study							
	Concurren	t Blood Pb	Lifetime Av	erage Blood Pb	Average Proportion						
					from Policy-						
Statistic	IEUBK	Leggett	IEUBK	Leggett	Relevant Sources ^a						
MPE Approac	MPE Approach for Characterizing Soil Concentrations										
99.9 th	3.7	2.1	4.7	3.0	25%						
99.5 th	3.0	1.6	3.9	2.3	27%						
99 th	2.7	1.4	3.5	1.9	24%						
95 th	1.9	1.0	2.5	1.4	28%						
90 th	1.7	0.9	2.1	1.2	23%						
75 th	1.2	0.6	1.6	0.9	24%						
Median	0.9	0.5	1.1	0.7	25%						
25 th	0.7	0.3	0.8	0.5	24%						
1 st	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.2	24%						
Hybrid Appro	ach (Model +	Surrogate D	ata) for Chara	cterizing Soil Co	ncentrations						
99.9 th	4.7	2.3	6.3	3.3	38%						
99.5 th	3.7	1.9	4.9	2.7	39%						
99 th	3.3	1.7	4.4	2.5	40%						
95 th	2.4	1.2	3.2	1.8	39%						
90 th	2.0	1.0	2.7	1.5	37%						
75 th	1.5	0.8	2.0	1.1	39%						
Median	1.1	0.6	1.5	0.8	38%						
25 th	0.8	0.4	1.1	0.6	38%						
1 st	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.3	37%						

Exhibit 5-16. Projected Blood Pb Levels (µg/dL) for Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study

^a Pathways impacted by policy-relevant sources include inhalation, soil and indoor dust ingestion (and exclude policy-relevant background sources, such as diet and drinking water).

5.4.3 Near Roadway Urban Case Study

8 The near roadway urban case study blood Pb estimates are shown in Exhibit 5-17. As discussed 9 in Section 5.2.1, the median blood Pb estimates generated by the IEUBK model fall in the same 10 range as those for the primary Pb smelter case study. However, the upper percentile estimates 11 are substantially lower than for the primary Pb smelter because of the lower maximum exposures 12 in the near roadway case. The estimated proportion of Pb uptake from policy-relevant sources 13 stays relatively constant across the blood Pb percentiles.

14 15

Exhibit 5-17. Projected Blood Pb Levels (µg/dL) for Near Roadway Urban Case Study

	Concurrent	Blood Pb	Lifetime Av	erage Blood Pb	Average Proportion		
Statistic	IEUBK	Leggett	IEUBK	Leggett	from Policy- Relevant Sources ^a		
99.9 th	6.5	4.2	9.1	6.9	62%		
99.5 th	5.0	3.1	7.0	4.9	56%		
99 th	4.4	2.7	6.2	4.1	58%		
95 th	3.1	1.9	4.4	2.9	56%		
90 th	2.6	1.6	3.6	2.4	58%		
75 th	1.9	1.2	2.7	1.7	56%		
Median	1.4	0.8	1.9	1.2	63%		
25 th	1.0	0.6	1.4	0.9	60%		
1 st	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.4	56%		

16 17 ^a Pathways impacted by policy-relevant sources include inhalation, soil and indoor dust ingestion (and exclude policy-relevant background sources, such as diet and drinking water).

1 6. Estimation of Human Health Risk

2 3 This chapter presents the methods and results for the characterization of health impacts 4 associated with Pb exposures for the three human health case studies. As discussed in Chapter 2, 5 IQ changes in exposed children have been selected as the endpoint for risk quantification in the 6 pilot phase assessment, on the basis of a large body of evidence establishing the occurrence of the IQ effects at low exposure and blood Pb levels. In addition, the estimation of these impacts 7 8 is facilitated by the availability of recent high-quality studies of the relationship between 9 children's blood Pb and IQ loss. It is noted, however, that low-level Pb exposures have been 10 found to be associated with a wide range of adverse effects in children and adults beyond those 11 quantified here (USEPA 2006b). 12

13 **6.1 Methods**

6.1.1 Overview of Approach

15 16

14

17 The estimation of IQ loss is performed using the distribution of blood Pb estimates for exposed 18 populations derived via the probabilistic model described in Section 5.1.3.4. This model 19 estimates a population-weighted distribution of estimated individual blood Pb concentrations by 20 first taking 10,000 population-weighed random samples from the GM blood Pb concentrations 21 estimated for each block or block group in the case studies. Each of these 10,000 GM blood Pb 22 levels is then combined with an adjustment factor (sampled from a lognormal distribution 23 reflecting inter-individual variability in behavior and biokinetics related to Pb exposure) to 24 generate a blood Pb level for a simulated child. This procedure ultimately generates blood Pb 25 levels for 10,000 simulated children for each case study location. 26

To calculate the IQ loss impacts of the case study Pb exposures, exposure-response functions for
 IQ loss differentiated for the two blood metrics considered in the pilot phase (i.e., concurrent and

lifetime average) are used to convert each of the blood Pb levels for the 10,000 simulated
children into resulting IQ loss. As described below, the blood Pb-IQ relationships are derived
from the results of a recent analysis of pooled epidemiological data by Lanphear et al. (2005).
Individual IQ loss estimates are calculated for each of the lifetime average and concurrent blood

- 32 Individual IQ loss estimates are calculated for each of the lifetime average and concurrent blood 33 Pb estimates using two forms of the blood Pb-IQ model from Lanphear et al. (2005) matched to 34 these blood Pb metrics. The outputs of the risk assessment are the distributions of estimated IQ 35 loss across the exposed populations, characterized as percentile values.
- 36 37

38

6.1.2 Blood Pb-IQ Relationships

As noted above, the blood Pb-IQ models were derived from the results of a large epidemiological analysis by Lanphear et al. (2005), in which the relationships between blood Pb concentrations and IQ test results in seven populations were analyzed. The 1,333 subjects in the study population included subjects of four studies in the United States, and one each from Mexico, Australia, and Kosovo. A number of statistical techniques were used to characterize the relationships between several blood Pb metrics and test results for individual cohorts and for the pooled study population. For the pilot phase assessment, model forms were selected that related

46 IQ change to two blood Pb metrics, lifetime average and concurrent. These metric were meant to

1 be analogous to the metrics defined in the Lanphear et al. (2005) study, where lifetime average 2 was defined as the average of all blood Pb measurements taken from a given individual, and 3 concurrent as the blood Pb measurement taken closest to the time of IO testing. For purposes of 4 the pilot phase of the assessment, lifetime average blood Pb was the estimated time-weighted 5 average blood Pb concentration from age 6 months to 84 months, calculated as described in 6 Section 5.1.3, using either the IEUBK or Leggett model. Concurrent blood Pb was estimated, for 7 purposes of the pilot phase assessment, as the average modeled blood Pb concentration from 8 ages 73 to 84 months, inclusive (see Section 5.1.3), the rational being a desire to have a blood Pb 9 metric matching as closely as possible to the average age at IQ testing (6.9 years) in the 10 Lanphear et al. study. 11 12 The log-linear model for IQ loss derived from the Lanphear et al. pooled analysis was adapted 13 for use in the pilot phase assessment. The coefficients in the model are different for lifetime average and concurrent blood Pb, as shown below: 14 15 16 Concurrent: $IQ = 99.3 - 2.70 \times ln$ (Concurrent Blood Pb) 17 18 Lifetime Average: $IQ = 100.9 - 3.04 \times ln$ (Lifetime Average Blood Pb) 19 20 The form of these models is consistent with the observed greater slope of the blood Pb-IQ 21 relationship seen at low blood Pb levels. Lanphear et al. (2005) also investigated piecewise 22 linear models to capture this relationship and found that the slope of the blood Pb-IQ relationship 23 at blood Pb concentrations less than 7.5 μ g/dL was significantly greater than the linear slope 24 estimated above that level. 25 26 Applying the models shown above to the estimation of IQ changes involves a degree of 27 uncertainty. First, because of the log linear form of the models, they predict very large changes 28 in IQ for small changes in blood Pb at low concentrations. Thus, it is important to stay within 29 the range of the exposure data used in fitting the functions when predicting IQ loss for 30 hypothetical exposed populations. 31 32 In addition, the constant terms in the models represent central tendency estimates of 33 "background" (low exposure) IQ distributions for the study populations. These values may not be applicable to the population for which IQ is being estimated. Thus, applying the models "as 34 35 is" to the case study population would involve making possibly unjustifiable assumptions about 36 baseline IQ levels. 37 38 For these reasons, the Langhear et al. (2005) models were adjusted in the following manner for 39 application in the pilot phase assessment. For each model, a blood Pb "cutpoint" was derived that corresponded to the approximate 5th percentile blood Pb levels seen in the Lanphear et al. 40 41 pooled study population. Adverse effects were not estimated for predicted individual blood Pb 42 levels below the cutpoints. The cutpoints vary for the blood Pb metrics; for concurrent blood Pb, 43 the cutpoint is 2.4 μ g/dL, while for lifetime average blood Pb, the cutpoint is 6.1 μ g/dL 44 (Lanphear et al. 2005, Table 4). 45

1 The use of the blood Pb cutpoints in IO loss estimation is not intended to imply that these 2 concentrations are thresholds below which adverse effects do not occur. Rather, they are 3 intended to reflect practical limits on IQ prediction based on the low numbers of Lanphear et al. 4 (2005) study subjects in these concentration ranges, and the corresponding large uncertainties in 5 the estimates of the blood Pb-IO relationships below the cutpoints. 6 7 Changes in IQ associated with predicted individual blood Pb levels above the cutpoints were 8 estimated relative to the corresponding cutpoint as: 9 10 IO loss (relative to cutpoint) = $-2.70 \times \ln$ (concurrent blood Pb / 2.4) Concurrent: 11 12 Lifetime Average: IO loss (relative to cutpoint) = $-3.04 \times \ln$ (lifetime average blood Pb / 6.1) 13 14 The outputs of the IO modeling were thus estimates of changes in IO relative to an individual 15 exposed at the cutpoint level, rather than absolute IQ loss estimates. 16 17 6.2 **Risk Assessment Results** 18 19 As noted in Section 6.1, the primary outputs of the pilot phase risk assessment are population 20 distributions of estimated blood Pb concentrations and IQ loss, along with evaluations of the 21 proportional contribution of individual exposure pathways to total lifetime Pb uptake. This section presents the results for each of the three case studies. Detailed results of the risk 22 23 assessment can be found in Appendix J. 24 25 Risk results presented in this section and in Appendix J reflect both IEUBK- and Leggett-based blood Pb modeling. As noted earlier in Chapter 5 (see Sections 5.1.2.2 and 5.1.3.3) and as 26 27 evident in the risk results exhibits presented in this section, modeling completed for the pilot 28 phase has consistently shown a trend of Leggett-derived blood Pb levels being lower than 29 IEUBK-derived levels for the same exposure scenario. This trend is the opposite of findings 30 presented in Pounds and Leggett (1998). This apparent discrepancy elevates the importance of 31 model evaluation focused on IEUBK and Leggett (as applied in the pilot phase) which is currently ongoing. It also emphasizes the fact that results of the pilot phase should be considered 32 33 provisional and subject to update in the full-scale assessment. Note that the results of model 34 evaluation, once completed, will be conveyed by separate cover. 35 36 6.2.1 Primary Pb Smelter Case Study 37 38 Exhibit 6-1 summarizes the blood Pb and IQ loss distributions for the primary Pb smelter current 39 conditions scenario, calculated using the IEUBK and Leggett blood Pb models, with IQ loss 40 values based on lifetime average and concurrent values. All other input assumptions and values 41 used in the assessment are described in Chapter 5. The first column of this exhibit labels the 42 percentile values of the outputs from the probabilistic model, and the second column shows the

43 number of children who would have blood Pb and IQ loss values above the percentile value,

44 given the estimated population at risk in the study area.

- 45
- 46

1	
2	

Exhibit 6-1. Projected IQ Losses	from the
Primary Pb Smelter Current Conditi	ions Scenario
IFUBK Model	enne l

		IEUBK Model				Leggett Model				
		Concurrent		Lifetime	Lifetime Average		Concurrent		Lifetime Average	
		Bloo	d Pb	Blo	Blood Pb		d Pb	Blo	od Pb	
Percentile	Population Above	Total Blood Pb (µg/dL)	IQ Loss (Log- Linear Model)	Total Blood Pb (µg/dL)	IQ Loss (Log- Linear Model)	Total Blood Pb (µg/dL)	IQ Loss (Log- Linear Model)	Total Blood Pb (µg/dL)	IQ Loss (Log- Linear Model)	
99.9 th	4	21.9	6.0	28.6	4.7	13.9	4.7	22.9	4.0	
99.5 th	19	12.4	4.4	16.9	3.1	6.7	2.8	11.1	1.8	
99 th	39	7.4	3.0	10.6	1.7	4.2	1.5	6.8	<1	
95 th	194	3.7	1.2	5.3	-	2.0		3.1		
90 th	388	2.9	<1	4.1		1.5		2.3		
75 th	970	2.0		2.7		1.0		1.6		
Median	1,940	1.3		1.8		0.7		1.1		
25 th	2,910	0.9		1.2		0.5		0.7		
1 st	3,841	0.4		0.5		0.2		0.3		

³

4 It can be seen that the large majority of exposed children are predicted to have blood Pb levels

5 below both the concurrent and lifetime average cutpoint values (i.e., no IQ loss associated with

6 Pb exposure is estimated). No IQ loss is predicted for the median or 75th percentile child by

7 either the IEUBK or Leggett models, based on either the lifetime average or concurrent blood Pb

8 values. IQ losses are predicted for the largest proportion of children by the IEUBK model

9 (because it predicted higher blood Pb concentration than Leggett) when the concurrent blood Pb

10 metric is used as the input to the blood Pb-IQ model. Estimated IQ loss values range from less

11 than1 for the 90th percentile child to 6.0 for the 99.9th percentile. Under the three other modeling

options, IQ losses are predicted starting between the 95th and 99th percentiles and range from less
 than 1 to 4.7.

13 tha 14

15 Predicted blood Pb and IQ loss distributions for the primary Pb smelter attainment scenario are

16 essentially identical (Exhibit 6-2) to those for the current conditions scenario, except for the very

17 highest percentiles. This is as expected because the exposure concentrations change between the

18 two air quality scenarios only for the seven highest exposure blocks (see Section 5.1.4), where

19 only about 0.5 percent of the exposed children reside. Thus, the estimated IQ losses are slightly

20 smaller for the 99.5th and 99.9th percentile children under the attainment scenario, while the

21 remaining estimates are the same, within simulation error, to estimates derived for the current

- 22 conditions scenario.
- 23

		IEUBK Model				Leggett Model			
Percentile	Population Above	Concurrent Blood Pb		Lifetime Average Blood Pb		Concurrent Blood Ph		Lifetime Average Blood Ph	
		Total Blood Pb (µg/dL)	IQ Loss (Log- Linear Model)	Total Blood Pb (µg/dL)	IQ Loss (Log- Linear Model)	Total Blood Pb (µg/dL)	IQ Loss (Log- Linear Model)	Total Blood Pb (µg/dL)	IQ Loss (Log- Linear Model)
99.9 th	4	18.4	5.5	24.9	4.3	12.7	4.5	20.7	3.7
99.5 th	19	11.2	4.2	15.5	2.8	6.4	2.6	10.6	1.7
99 th	39	7.9	3.2	11.3	1.9	4.3	1.6	7.1	0.5
95 th	194	3.7	1.2	5.4		2.0		3.1	
90 th	388	2.9	0.5	4.1	-	1.5	-	2.3	
75 th	970	2.0		2.8		1.0		1.6	
Median	1,940	1.4		1.9		0.7		1.1	
25 th	2,910	0.9		1.2		0.5		0.7	
1 st	3,841	0.4		0.5		0.2		0.3	

Exhibit 6-2. Projected IQ Losses from the Primary Pb Smelter Attainment Scenario

2

1

3 In addition to calculating blood Pb and IQ loss distributions, the probabilistic model also records 4 the contribution of each exposure pathway to total lifetime average Pb uptake at each percentile 5 of the blood distributions. Pb uptake is defined as the total amount of Pb that is absorbed into the 6 blood compartments of the biokinetic models. The probabilistic model calculates pathway-7 specific Pb uptakes for each census block and block group independently of the biokinetic 8 models, using the same uptake calculations equations as used in the IEUBK and Leggett models 9 (Section 5.1.3.3). When a census block or block group is chosen in the simulation model, the 10 uptake values are saved. When percentiles of the blood Pb/IO loss distributions are calculated, the associated pathway contributions for the block group are retrieved. These values give an 11 12 approximate picture of the importance of specific exposure pathways at specific percentile 13 values; they do not, however, reflect individual variability in Pb uptake within the blocks or 14 block groups.

15

16 The average proportion of total Pb uptake contributed by policy-relevant sources and policy-

17 relevant background pathways differs widely across the blood Pb/IQ loss percentiles (Exhibit 6-

18 3). At the lowest percentiles, policy-relevant background (in this case study, they are diet and

19 drinking water) contributes the majority (about 54 percent) of total Pb uptake. The proportional

20 contribution from policy-relevant background then decreases rapidly until it accounts for only

about two percent of the total Pb uptake at the 99.9th percentile of the simulation blood Pb.

22

23 Among the exposure pathways associated with policy-relevant sources, inhalation exposure

24 accounts for a very small proportion (less than five percent) of Pb uptake across all blood Pb

25 percentiles. Ingestion of soil provides about 30 percent of total uptake for the low percentiles,

26 increasing to just more than half of the total (59 percent) at the 90th percentile, then decreasing

27 for the highest blood Pb percentiles. As might be expected, the blocks and block groups with the

highest percentile (99th and above) individual blood Pb and IQ estimates appear to have very
 high house dust Pb levels, which accounts for the bulk of total Pb uptake.

The proportions graphed in Exhibit 6-3 represent the average of the contributions from all eight
exposure scenario/blood Pb model/blood Pb metric combinations for the primary Pb smelter case
study.¹ The estimated contributions from the individual pathways for specific percentile blood
Pb values differ only slightly (generally, a few percent) across the various modeling approaches.

- 9
- 10
- 11

Exhibit 6-3. Average Exposure Pathway Contributions to Total Pb Uptake, Primary Pb Smelter Case Study^a



^a" Policy-Relevant Sources" exposures include air, soil, and house dust. Diet and water exposures constitute "Policy-Relevant Background" in this case study.

6.2.2 Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study

18 Exhibit 6-4 summarizes the estimated blood Pb and IQ loss distributions for the secondary Pb 19 smelter case study when the modeled (MPE) estimates of soil concentrations are used as inputs.

- 20 In this scenario, as well as when the hybrid soil concentration model is used, the AGG (Air +
- 21 Soil) model (as described further in Section 4.1) was used to estimate house dust Pb
- 22 concentrations.
- 23

 $12 \\ 13$

14

15 16

17

As expected, due to the lower exposure concentrations, the estimated blood Pb levels and IQ losses are smaller than those estimated for the primary Pb smelter. Based on the concurrent

26 blood Pb distributions obtained from the IEUBK model, IQ losses are predicted only for children

¹ The eight estimates come from two exposure scenarios (current conditions and attainment), two blood Pb models (IEUBK and Leggett), and two blood Pb metrics (concurrent and lifetime average).

1 above the 95th percentile of the individual blood Pb distribution. Based on the estimated number

Exhibit 6-4. Projected IO Losses from the Secondary Pb Smelter -

- 2 of resident children near the secondary Pb smelter, approximately 84 children would be at the
- 3 95th percentile blood Pb or above.
- 4

5

6	Modeled (MPE) Soil Concentration Estimates									
				IEUBK	Model		Leggett Model			
		Population Above	Concurrent Blood Pb		Lifetime Average Blood Pb		Concurrent Blood Pb		Lifetime Average Blood Pb	
	Percentile		Total Blood Pb (µg/dL)	IQ Loss (Log- Linear Model)	Total Blood Pb (µg/dL)	IQ Loss (Log- Linear Model)	Total Blood Pb (µg/dL)	IQ Loss (Log- Linear Model)	Total Blood Pb (µg/dL)	IQ Loss (Log- Linear Model)
	99.9 th	2	3.7	1.8	4.7		2.1		3.0	
	99.5 th	8	3.0	1.1	3.9		1.6		2.3	
	99 th	17	2.7	<1	3.5		1.4		1.9	
	95 th	84	1.9	<1	2.5		1.0		1.4	
	90 th	167	1.7		2.1		0.9		1.2	
	75 th	418	1.2		1.6		0.6		0.9	
	Median	836	0.9		1.1		0.5		0.7	
	25 th	1,254	0.7		0.8		0.3		0.5	
	1 st	1,655	0.3		0.4		0.2		0.2	

7

8 For this case study, predicted IQ changes range from less than 1 point for the 99th percentile to

9 1.8 points for the 99.9th percentile child. No IQ losses are predicted using the lifetime blood Pb

10 distribution from the IEUBK model or using either the lifetime average or concurrent metric

11 generated by the Leggett model. All of the 99.9th percentile blood Pb estimates are less than the 12 lifetime are estimated ((1, 1), (d1)) and (d1), (d1) and (d1) are the state of the stat

12 lifetime average (6.1 μ g/dL) or concurrent (2.4 μ g/dL) cutpoints.

13

14 Exhibit 6-5 shows the blood Pb and IQ distributions for the secondary Pb smelter case study

15 when the hybrid soil Pb estimation model is used. As discussed in Section 5.1.4, hybrid soil

16 concentration estimates are three times the MPE modeled values. Because of the higher soil

17 concentrations, it can be seen that the estimated Pb and IQ loss percentiles are slightly higher

18 than when the modeled (MPE) soil concentrations are used. IQ losses are predicted for children

19 above about the 90th percentile (corresponding to 167 children); based on the IEUBK concurrent

blood Pb estimates, IQ losses range from less than 1 at the 95th percentile to 2.3 at the 99.9th

21 percentile. A very small IQ loss (0.1 point) is also predicted by the IEUBK lifetime average

blood Pb metric. As was the case for the modeled soil estimates, no IQ losses are predicted

23 when the Leggett model is used to predict either lifetime average or concurrent blood Pb

24 distributions.

1 2

	Hybrid Soil Concentration Estimates									
		IEUBK Model				Leggett Model				
	Population	Concurrent Blood Pb		Lifetime Average Blood Pb		Concurrent Blood Pb		Lifetime Average Blood Pb		
Percentile	Above	Total Blood Pb (µg/dL)	IQ Loss (Log- Linear Model)	Total Blood Pb (µg/dL)	IQ Loss (Log- Linear Model)	Total Blood Pb (µg/dL)	IQ Loss (Log- Linear Model)	Total Blood Pb (µg/dL)	IQ Loss (Log- Linear Model)	
99.9 th	2	4.7	2.3	6.3	<1	2.3		3.3		
99.5 th	8	3.7	1.4	4.9		1.9		2.7		
99 th	17	3.3	1.0	4.4		1.7		2.5		
95 th	84	2.4	<1	3.2		1.2		1.8		
90 th	167	2.0		2.7		1.0		1.5		
75 th	418	1.5		2.0		0.8		1.1		
Median	836	1.1		1.5		0.6		0.8		
25 th	1,254	0.8		1.1		0.4		0.6		
1 st	1,655	0.4		0.5		0.2		0.3		

Exhibit 6-5. Projected IQ Losses from the Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study –

3

4 The proportional contribution of the individual exposure pathways to total Pb uptake in the

5 secondary Pb smelter case study is very different from that seen for the primary Pb smelter case

6 study. Unlike the primary Pb smelter case study, the average pathway contributions remain

7 approximately constant across the blood Pb/IQ loss percentiles, all varying less than about two

8 percent around their mean values. This is due primarily to the relatively flat exposure

9 distributions; most census blocks have very low ambient air and house dust Pb concentrations,

10 and policy-relevant background exposure pathways (diet, water, and naturally occurring soil Pb)

11 contribute a large percentage of total Pb uptake across all the blood Pb percentiles (Exhibit 6-6).

12

13 As expected, the relative pathway contributions differ when the two different sets of soil

14 concentration estimates are used as inputs to the blood Pb/IQ loss models. The soil pathway

15 contribution, averaged across the four combinations of exposure scenario and blood Pb model,

16 increases from 7.8 percent to about 19 percent when hybrid, rather than modeled, soil

17 concentration estimates are used. The contributions from the other pathways decrease in

18 compensation for the higher soil Pb levels.





Exhibit 6-6. Pathway Contributions to Total Pb Uptake,

^a "Policy-Relevant Background" includes diet and water intake, plus a contribution from naturally occurring Pb in soil of $15 \ \mu g/g$).

6.2.3 Near Roadway Urban Case Study

9 Exhibit 6-7 summarizes the results of the pilot phase risk assessment for the near roadway urban case study. IQ losses are predicted to occur for children above the 75th percentile IEUBK concurrent blood Pb estimate, ranging from less than 1 point at the 90th percentile to 2.7 points at the 99.9th percentile. Based on the estimated 319 children living in the census blocks included in this case study, 32 children are predicted to be exposed at or above the 90th percentile blood Pb level, and approximately three children above the 99th percentile blood/IQ level. IQ losses are predicted for smaller proportions of children when the IEUBK model is used to estimate lifetime 15 16 average blood Pb values and for both blood Pb metrics when estimated with the Leggett model. 17

		IEUBK Model				Leggett Model			
	Population	Concurrent Blood Pb		Lifetime Average Blood Pb		Concurrent Blood Pb		Lifetime Average Blood Pb	
Percentile	Above	Total Blood Pb (μg/dL)	IQ Loss (Log- Linear Model)	Total Blood Pb (µg/dL)	IQ Loss (Log- Linear Model)	Total Blood Pb (µg/dL)	IQ Loss (Log- Linear Model)	Total Blood Pb (µg/dL)	IQ Loss (Log- Linear Model)
99.9 th	0	6.5	2.7	9.1	1.2	4.2	1.5	6.9	<1
99.5 th	2	5.0	2.0	7.0	<1	3.2	<1	4.9	
99 th	3	4.4	1.6	6.2		2.7	<1	4.1	
95 th	16	3.1	0.7	4.4		1.9		2.9	
90 th	32	2.6	<1	3.6		1.6		2.4	
75 th	80	1.9		2.7		1.2		1.7	
Median	159	1.4		1.9		0.8		1.2	
25 th	239	1.0		1.4		0.6		0.9	
1 st	316	0.4		0.6		0.3		0.4	

Exhibit 6-7. Projected IQ Losses from the Near Roadway Urban Case Study

2 3

1

As was the case for the secondary Pb smelter case study, the contribution of the individual

4 exposure pathways is relatively constant across blood Pb/IQ loss percentiles (Exhibit 6-8). In

5 contrast to the secondary Pb smelter, however, policy-relevant sources contribute the majority of

6 total Pb uptake (56 to 63 percent) across the blood Pb percentile distribution. Policy-relevant

7 background exposures (diet and drinking water, for this case study) contribute between 37 and

8 44 percent to total Pb uptake.





^a "Policy-Relevant Sources" exposures include air, soil, and house dust. Diet and water are the "Policy-Relevant Background" exposures in this case study.

6.3 Uncertainty and Variability in the IQ Loss Estimates

6.3.1 Sources of Uncertainty

There are two sets of factors that contribute the overall uncertainty in the IQ loss estimates. The first, discussed in Section 5.3, are those factors which affect the blood Pb estimates from which the IQ loss distributions are calculated. These include the choice of the model used to estimate blood Pb levels, the GSD describing the individual variability in response to Pb exposures, uncertainties in exposure concentration estimates, and uncertainties in the exposure, intake, and uptake factors used to estimates of Pb uptake (absorbed dose).

17

18 The second set of factors that needs to be considered includes those that are associated

19 specifically with the estimation of IQ loss from the individual blood Pb estimates. In the

20 sensitivity analysis described in Section 6.4, the impact of using two different models (log-linear

and piecewise linear) derived from the Lanphear et al. (2005) epidemiological study are

examined. Blood Pb-IQ models have been developed from a number of other high-quality

- studies (Canfield et al. 2003, for example), and such models could also be applied to the
 individual blood Pb levels calculated in the probabilistic model.
- 25

26 The nature of the blood Pb metric used to estimate IQ loss also strongly affects the results of the

- analysis. Both the slope parameters and the cutpoints are very different in the concurrent and
- 28 lifetime average blood Pb-IQ model equations summarized above. In the sensitivity analyses,

described in the following section, the effect of using these two metrics, as well as models for the
 "peak" blood Pb, on the modeled IQ loss distributions was examined.

3

4 The decision to use the blood Pb cutpoints also introduces uncertainty into the assessment.

5 Using different cutpoints (i.e., making different judgments about the predictive power of the 6 Lanphear et al. (2005) blood Pb-IQ models at low blood Pb concentrations) changes the both the

numbers and proportions of children for whom adverse effects (IQ loss) are predicted to occur,
 and the magnitude of the predicted IQ changes. The impact of different assumptions about the

9 blood Pb cutpoints is also investigated in the sensitivity analysis described below.

10 11

12

6.3.2 Sensitivity Analysis Methodology

13 For the pilot phase risk assessment, a series of "one-at-a-time" analyses has been conducted to 14 evaluate the impacts of individual modeling methods and parameter inputs on estimated blood 15 Pb and IQ loss distributions. The general approach employed was to evaluate changes in risk metrics associated with varying single models or input parameter values away from those used in 16 a "baseline" analysis. The set of models and input parameter values associated with the baseline 17 18 analysis are summarized in Exhibit 6-9. This exhibit also indicates the analytical steps on which 19 a sensitivity analysis was performed and the alternate model or parameter values that were 20 examined in the analysis.

21

The baseline risk analysis focused on the current conditions scenario for the primary Pb smelter case study. In this analysis, inhalation and soil exposure concentrations were estimated as

24 described in Section 4.1. House dust Pb concentrations were derived based on the H6 site-

25 specific regression model for the census block and block groups near the facility, and with the

AGG (air + soil) model for the remainder of the exposed population. The baseline individual

27 blood Pb concentrations were derived using the IEUBK model estimates for each census block or

block group, assuming individual blood Pb concentrations were lognormally distributed with a

29 GSD of 1.6. Individual IQ loss was estimated based on concurrent blood Pb concentration using

- 30 the Lanphear et al. (2005) log-linear model with IQ loss not predicted for individuals with blood
- 31 Pb concentrations below the cutpoint value of 2.4 μ g/dL. Note that while we believe the
- 32 baseline models and parameter values are believed to provide a credible estimate of blood Pb and
- 33 IQ changes, it is not suggested that the baseline model is uniquely the "best," or that it provides
- 34 demonstrably "central tendency" risk estimates.
- 35

36 The bottom row of Exhibit 6-9 summarizes the models and parameters that were varied

37 (individually) during the sensitivity analysis. Changes in soil and air exposure concentrations

38 were not changed in the course of the sensitivity analysis. In contrast, the impact of different

39 models for estimating house dust concentrations (AGG [air only] and AGG [air + soil]) was

40 evaluated. GM blood Pb concentrations for the blocks and block groups were calculated based

41 on the Leggett biokinetic model, as well as an "empirical" blood Pb model developed by

42 Lanphear et al. (1998) (see Appendix K), and the impacts of using the different models on

43 predicted changes in IQ was also evaluated. Variations in parameters related to Pb exposure,

44 intake, and uptake estimation were also evaluated. In one set of sensitivity runs, the GI

45 absorption fractions for dietary and drinking water ingestion were varied above and below their

46 estimated central tendency values used in the baseline assessment. For the second set of

- 1 sensitivity runs, alternative estimates of daily soil and dust ingestion and soil and dust absorption
- 2 fractions for soil and dust were evaluated for their impacts on estimated blood Pb and IQ loss
- 3 distributions. These values were derived by Von Lindern et al. (2003) from a systematic
- 4 statistical analysis of soil, dust, and blood Pb distributions at a large mining/smelting
- 5 "Superfund" site. In addition, the probabilistic model was run with two alternative values of the
- 6 blood Pb GSD.
- 7

Modeling Element	Case Study/ Scenario	Air, Soil Exposure Conc.	House Dust Pb Conc. Model	Blood Estimation Model	Exposure/ Intake/Uptake Factors	Individual Blood Pb Variability (GSD)	Blood Pb- IQ Model	Blood Pb Metric	Blood Pb Cutpoint
Baseline Estimate	Primary Pb Smelter/ Current Conditions	Modeled (ISC- PRIME), regression (soil)	Regression (H6 and AGG [Air + Soil])	IEUBK (Batch mode age profile)	Baseline (Exhibit 5-11)	1.6	Lanphear et al. (2005), log- linear	Concurrent (age 73-84 months)	2.4 (concurrent), 6.1 (lifetime average)
Sensitivity Analysis	Unchanged	Unchanged	Exclusively AGG (Air + Soil), AGG (Air)	Leggett, Lanphear et al. (1998)	Low, high dietary absorption; Von Lindern et al. (2003) soil, dust exposure factor estimates	1.3, 1.9; lower and upper bound values on GSD for children around exposure sources	Lanphear et al. (2005), piece-wise linear, upper and lower confidence limit slope parameter estimates	Lifetime, (6 - 84 months), peak (highest annual average)	1.2 (concurrent), 3.1 (lifetime average)

Exhibit 6-9. Baseline and Sensitivity Analysis Blood Pb and Risk Estimation Models and Assumptions

1 Alternative approaches to IQ loss estimation were also investigated. The results obtained from

2 the piecewise linear form of the Lanphear et al. (2005) model were compared to the baseline

- 3 (log-linear) modeling approach. Alternative estimates of IQ loss were also derived using the
- 4 5
- upper and lower confidence limit slope parameters from the Lanphear et al. (2005) model.
- As noted above, the distribution of IQ loss was also estimated based on lifetime average and
 peak individual blood Pb values (instead of the baseline concurrent metric). Finally, the effect of
 reducing the Pb cutpoint value for estimating IQ loss was also tested. Detailed descriptions of
- 9 the changes in models and parameter values are provided in Section 6.4.
- 10 11

6.4 Sensitivity Analysis Results

As discussed in 6.3, sensitivity analyses were conducted by varying one model or set of parameter values at a time from the "baseline" assumptions and examining the impact of the changes on blood Pb and IQ loss distributions. This section summarizes the results of these sensitivity analyses. In all of the following exhibits, the sensitivity analysis models differ from the baseline only with regard to the single model or input parameter value that is being varied.

18 19

20

6.4.1 Alternative House Dust Pb Concentration Models

As shown in Section 6.2.1, house dust Pb exposures can contribute a large proportion of total Pb uptake, particularly for the highly exposed blocks and block groups in the primary Pb smelter case study. Thus, the impacts of employing alternative models for estimating house dust Pb concentrations were examined as part of the sensitivity analysis.

25

26 In the baseline assessment, house dust Pb concentrations were estimated using two different 27 models. The "H6" site-specific regression model was used for blocks and block groups within 28 1.5 kilometers of the facility, while the AGG (Air + Soil) model was used to estimate house dust 29 Pb levels for areas more distant from the smelter (see Section 4.1.4). In the sensitivity analysis, 30 two variants of the AGG model were employed (Exhibit 6-10), one in which the Air + Soil 31 variant was applied to all of the blocks and block groups, and the other where the Air Only form 32 of the model was used. In all cases, the IEUBK model was used to estimate blood Pb 33 distributions. The resultant blood Pb distributions are only mildly affected by the choice of the 34 house dust Pb model – the median values are nearly the same (between 1.4 and 1.5 μ g/dL). At 35 the higher percentile values, the model estimates diverge more significantly because the H6 36 model predicts much higher house dust Pb concentrations for the blocks and block groups near 37 the facility, relative to the other models.

	Но	use Dust Pb Mo	del	Change versus Baseline		
Percentile	Baseline (Composite Model), μg/dL	AGG Model (Air Only), μg/dL ^c	AGG Model (Air + Soil), µg/dL ^d	AGG Model (Air Only)	AGG Model (Air + Soil)	
99.9th	17.0	13.6	11.2	-20%	-34%	
99.5th	10.5	7.8	7.2	-25%	-32%	
99th	7.3	6.1	6.2	-15%	-15%	
95th	3.6	3.8	3.9	5%	7%	
90th	2.8	3.1	3.1	8%	7%	
75th	1.9	2.1	2.1	9%	9%	
Median	1.3	1.5	1.4	12%	10%	
25th	0.9	1.0	1.0	13%	9%	
1st	0.4	0.4	0.4	15%	9%	

Exhibit 6-10. Effects of Alternative House Dust Pb Concentration Models on Estimated Blood Pb Distributions^{a,b}

^a See Chapter 4, Section 4.1, for further discussion of the AGG regression model.

3 4 5 6 7 ^bConcurrent blood Pb estimates from the IEUBK model and the log-linear form of the Lanphear et al. (2005) blood Pb-IQ model are used for all estimates.

^c AGG Model (Air Only) House Dust ($\mu g/g$) = 60 + 844 * Ambient Air Pb ($\mu g/m^3$)

^d AGG Model (Air +Soil)House Dust ($\mu g/g$) = 31.1 + 638 * Ambient Air Pb ($\mu g/m^3$) + 0.364 * Soil Pb ($\mu g/g$)

8

9 This pattern is reflected in the estimated IQ loss distributions shown in Exhibit 6-11. Again, the

10 decreasing slope of the log-linear model reduces the differences in estimated IQ loss at the

11 higher percentiles.

- 12
- 13
- 14

Exhibit 6-11. Effects of Alternative House Dust Pb Models on Estimated IQ Distributions^a

	Но	use Dust Pb Mo	del	Change versus Baseline		
Percentile	IQ Change Based on Composite Model House Dust Estimates	IQ Change Based on AGG Model (Air Only)	IQ Change Based on AGG Model (Air + Soil)	AGG Model (Air Only)	AGG Model (Air + Soil)	
99.9th	-5.3	-4.7	-4.1	-11%	-22%	
99.5th	-4.0	-3.2	-3.0	-20%	-26%	
99th	-3.0	-2.5	-2.6	-15%	-14%	
95th	-1.1	-1.2	-1.3	12%	17%	
90th	-0.5	-0.7	-0.6	46%	43%	
75th						
Median						
25th						
1st						

15 16

^a Concurrent blood Pb estimates from the IEUBK model and the log-linear form of the Lanphear et al. (2005) blood Pb-IQ model are used for all estimates.

6.4.2 Alternative Blood Pb Models

To evaluate the sensitivity of IQ loss estimates to the selection of a blood Pb model, the IEUBK model was selected as the baseline and used to generate the concurrent baseline blood Pb distribution and IQ loss distributions, using as inputs the media concentration estimates from the primary Pb smelter current conditions scenario. The IEUBK input parameter values for this model run are presented in Exhibit 5-11. The results from this baseline IEUBK simulation were compared to results generated using two alternative blood Pb models.

9

1

10 First, the Leggett model was applied, with the results summarized in Section 6.2.1.² In addition,

an "empirical" blood Pb model developed by Lanphear et al. (1998) was applied, which predicts
blood Pb levels for 16 month-old children. This model was derived by statistical analyses of the

relationships between multimedia Pb exposures (air, soil, house dust Pb loading, drinking water,

14 and presence/absence of Pb paint), socioeconomic variables, and blood Pb measurement in

15 young children obtained as part of a national survey conducted in 1997. The best fitting models

16 from the analysis predict children's long-term blood Pb levels as a function of soil Pb

17 concentrations and house dust Pb loading (the amount of Pb present per unit area of household

18 surfaces; in this case, on the floor.) To apply the Lanphear et al. (1998) model, it was necessary

19 to convert the estimated house dust exposure concentrations in the primary Pb smelter case study

20 into estimates of dust Pb loading. As discussed in Appendix K, this was done by conducting a

21 regression analysis of house dust Pb loading and concentration measurements from 305 housing

22 units from the 1997 survey. The resulting relationship between house dust loading and

23 concentration was then used to generate equivalent dust loading estimates for each block and

block group, which were then used as inputs to the Lanphear et al. (1998) model (Appendix K).

25

26 The blood Pb distribution estimates generated by the IEUBK and Leggett models are

summarized in Exhibit 6-12. As illustrated in this exhibit, the median blood Pb estimates from

the models differ substantially, with the Leggett median estimate $(0.7 \,\mu\text{g/dL})$ being about onehalf that from the baseline IEUBK model (1.3 $\mu\text{g/dL})$). The higher percentiles also differ greatly.

half that from the baseline IEUBK model (1.3 μ g/dL). The higher percentiles also differ greatly, although on a proportional basis, the estimates converge slightly across the three blood Pb

30 although on a proportional basis, the estimates converge slightly across the three blood Pb 31 models. The Lanphear et al. (1998) model predicts blood Pb levels for 16 month-old children.

when exposures and blood Pb levels tend to be considerably higher than for older children, and

thus the blood Pb levels that it predicts (which are considerably higher than the IEUBK

34 concurrent estimates) at all percentiles are not directly comparable to the values presented in

35 Exhibit 6-12. The IQ loss estimates predicted based on the Lanphear et al. (1998) model,

36 however, should be roughly comparable, since they predict the same persistent, possibly

37 permanent, decrements in function due to exposures at different ages.

² As noted in Section 6.2, modeling completed for the pilot phase has consistently shown a trend of Leggett-derived blood Pb levels being lower than IEUBK-derived levels for the same exposure scenario. This trend is the opposite of findings presented in Pounds and Leggett (1998). This apparent discrepancy elevates the importance of model evaluation focused on IEUBK and Leggett (as applied in the pilot phase) which is currently ongoing. It also emphasizes the fact that results of the pilot phase (including sensitivity analysis results) should be considered provisional and subject to update in the full-scale assessment. Note that the results of model evaluation, once completed, will be conveyed by separate cover.

1
T
2
L

Leggett and HODE Models								
	Blood P	Change versus Baseline						
Percentile	Leggett (1993) Model ^{a,b}	Baseline (IEUBK) ^a	Leggett Model					
99.9th	13.9	17.0	-18%					
99.5th	6.7	10.5	-36%					
99th	4.2	7.3	-42%					
95th	2.0	3.6	-46%					
90th	1.5	2.8	-47%					
75th	1.0	1.9	-47%					
Median	0.7	1.3	-46%					
25th	0.5	0.9	-46%					
1st	0.2	0.4	-46%					

Exhibit 6-12. Blood Pb Distributions Generated by the Loggatt and IFURK Modals

^a Exposure factor values for the Leggett model were selected so as to produce equivalent Pb uptake for each exposure pathway as those used in the IEUBK model, concurrent blood Pb (6-7 years).

^{b.} Blood Pb estimate for 16-month old child, based on soil and dust pathways only.

Exhibit 6-13 summarizes the differences in estimated IQ losses when the three different blood Pb 7

models are used. IQ losses are predicted above the 95th percentile by the Leggett model, above 8 the 75th percentile by the IEUBK model, and by the Lanphear et al. (1998) model.³ The 9

maximum (99.9th percentile) IQ losses predicted are not that different across the three models 10

because the slope of the log-linear IO model decreases rapidly with increased blood Pb. 11

³ The IQ loss blood Pb cutoff (4.0 μ g/dL) and slope factor (-2.85) corresponding to the "peak" Pb blood model of Lanphear et al. (2005) were used to calculate IQ loss based on the Lanphear et al. (1998) blood Pb model for 16month old children. The model for concurrent blood Pb (cutoff = $2.4 \,\mu g/dL$, slope factor = -2.7) was used to estimate IQ losses associated with blood Pb predictions from the IEUBK and Leggett models.

	В	lood Pb Mod	el	Change versus Baseline		
Percentile	IQ Change Based on Leggett (1993) Model	IQ Change Based on IEUBK Blood Pb Estimates	IQ Change Based on Lanphear et al. (1998) Empirical Model	Effect of Using Leggett Model Blood Pb	Effect of Using Lanphear et al. (1998) Model Blood Pb	
99.9th	-4.7	-5.3	-5.1	-11%	-4%	
99.5th	-2.8	-4.0	-3.7	-31%	-8%	
99th	-1.5	-3.0	-2.9	-50%	-2%	
95th		-1.1	-1.4	-100%	27%	
90th		-0.5	-0.8	-100%	55%	
75th						
Median						
25th						
1st						

Exhibit 6-13. IQ Loss Estimates for Primary Pb Smelter Current Conditions Derived Using the Leggett, IEUBK, and Lanphear et al. (1998) Blood Pb Models^a

3 4

5

1

2

^a Log-linear model IQ loss estimates are reported throughout.

6.4.3 Alternative Diet and Water Absorption Fractions

As noted in Section 6.2, policy-relevant background pathways (specifically, diet and drinking
water) contribute a substantial proportion of total Pb uptake in all of the case studies. Under the
primary Pb smelter current conditions scenario, diet and drinking water account for more than
half of total Pb uptake at the low end of the blood Pb distribution, and lesser proportions at

11 higher percentiles as the amount of uptake from other pathways increases.

12

13 Dietary and drinking water Pb uptake is determined by several sets of model inputs, including

14 age-specific dietary intakes, drinking water Pb concentrations, and drinking water consumption.

15 In addition, the GI uptake fractions (i.e., absorption fraction for dietary Pb [AFDiet] and

16 absorption fraction for Pb in drinking water [AFWater]) determine the proportion of ingested Pb

17 that is absorbed. For the pilot phase, the simplest approach to varying these policy-relevant

background pathway Pb uptakes was determined to be adjusting AFDiet and AFWater values in

parallel for both pathways. In the baseline analysis, AFDiet and AFWater are both 0.5 (50
 percent). For the sensitivity analysis, the values were varied downward to 40 percent and

percent). For the sensitivity analysis, the values were varied downward to 40 percent and
 upward to 60 percent. These values were thought to be reasonable lower- and upper-end

estimates based on a review of recent literature (USEPA 2006b; Maddaloni et al. 2005).

23

Increasing or decreasing the drinking water and dietary absorption fractions to these values has
 moderate impacts on the blood Pb distributions (Exhibit 6-14). Median concurrent blood Pb

estimates for the low, baseline, and high estimates are 1.0, 1.3, and 1.5 µg/dL, respectively. For

27 the higher blood Pb percentiles, the estimates derived with the low AFDiet and AFWater values

are about 70 percent of those estimated using the baseline values, and the estimates derived with

29 the high-end values are approximately 15 percent higher than the baseline.

Exhibit 6-14. Impacts of Changing Diet and Drinking Water GI Absorption Fractions on Estimated Blood Pb Distributions^a

	GI Absorpti	ion Fractions f Water, Diet	Change Relative to Baseline		
Percentile	AFDiet, AFWater = 40%	Baseline (AFDiet, AFWater = 50%) ¹	AFDiet, AFWater = 60%	AFDiet, AFWater = 40%	AFDiet, AFWater = 60%
99.9th	12.4	17.0	21.7	-27%	28%
99.5th	6.3	10.5	11.9	-40%	13%
99th	4.5	7.3	8.5	-38%	17%
95th	2.5	3.6	4.0	-30%	11%
90th	2.1	2.8	3.1	-28%	9%
75th	1.5	1.9	2.2	-25%	12%
Median	1.0	1.3	1.5	-23%	12%
25th	0.7	0.9	1.0	-20%	13%
1st	0.3	0.4	0.4	-20%	14%

^aAll estimates are for the primary Pb smelter current conditions scenario using IEUBK concurrent blood Pb metrics.

Exhibit 6-15 shows the corresponding impacts of variations to AFDiet and AFWater on IQ loss
distributions for the primary Pb smelter current conditions scenarios, based on concurrent blood
Pb estimates from the IEUBK model. When low AF values are used, IQ loss is predicted for
children above the 90th percentile. When the baseline and higher values are used, IQ losses are
predicted for children above the 75th percentile. The 99.9th percentile IQ losses range from 4.4
(low AF values) to 5.9 points (high AF values).

12

3 4 5

1

2

- 13
- 14

Exhibit 6-15. Impacts of Changing Diet and Drinking Water GI Absorption Fractions on Estimated IQ Loss Distributions^a

	GI Absorp	tion Fractions Diet	Change Relative to Baseline		
Percentile	AFDiet, AFWater = 40%	Baseline (AFDiet, AFWater = 50%) ¹	AFDiet, AFWater = 60%	AFDiet, AFWater = 40%	AFDiet, AFWater = 60%
Maximum	-6.6	-7.0	-8.8	-5%	27%
99.9th	-4.4	-5.3	-5.9	-16%	13%
99.5th	-2.6	-4.0	-4.3	-35%	9%
99th	-1.7	-3.0	-3.4	-44%	14%
95th	-0.1	-1.1	-1.4	-88%	25%
90th		-0.5	-0.7	-100%	52%
75th					
Median					
25th					
1st					

15 16 ^a All estimates are derived using IEUBK concurrent blood Pb distributions, log-linear blood Pb-IQ model.

ICF International

6.4.4 Alternative Values for Soil and House Dust Ingestion and for Soil and Dust Absorption Fractions

Soil and house dust exposures play an important role in determining Pb uptake and blood Pb
distributions in the primary Pb smelter case study, particularly in the highly-exposed blocks and
block groups near the facility. Thus, alternative assumptions relating to soil and dust ingestion
rates and GI absorption fractions were examined to determine their impacts on blood Pb and IQ
loss distributions.

9

1

2

10 Von Lindern et al. (2003) developed a combination of parameter estimates related to soil and dust Pb contributions to blood Pb based on a comprehensive statistical analyses of environmental 11 12 and blood Pb measurements taken over a period of 12 years near a large mining and smelting 13 "Superfund" site in Idaho. Based on their analyses, Von Lindern et al. estimated that dust 14 ingestion accounted for about 42 percent and soil ingestion for approximately 58 percent of the 15 total soil plus dust influence on blood Pb levels. Therefore, the "soil dust weighting factor" input 16 to the IEUBK model was adjusted to 58 percent from the IEUBK default value. Von Lindern et al. distinguished between "community," "neighborhood," and "yard soil" contributions to total 17 18 Pb intake. No such distinction was made in this assessment - the estimated soil and dust 19 concentrations for each block and block group were assumed to be representative of all three types of contributions.

20 21

Von Lindern et al. (2003) also estimated that the average (absolute) GI absorption fraction for Pb uptake from soil and dust was 18 percent more than the period covered by their analysis. In the sensitivity analysis, this value (18 percent) was substituted for both the site-specific soil and house dust GI absorption fraction values used in the baseline modeling, which were 26 percent and 48 percent, respectively.

27

Exhibit 6-16 illustrates that using the alternative soil and dust intake and uptake factors from
Von Lindern et al. (2003) results in lower blood Pb estimates than the when the baseline values
are used. While the higher proportion of house dust ingestion might be expected to result in
higher Pb uptake, especially in the most highly exposed block groups, this potential increase is
offset by the lower estimated soil and dust ingestion fractions (18 percent for both media versus
48 and 26 percent, respectively, in the baseline analysis). The median blood Pb estimate is thus
reduced to 1.0 µg/dL, compared to 1.4 for the baseline parameter values, and the blood Pb

35 percentile estimates above the median are 25 to 40 percent lower than the baseline estimates.

36

Use of the Von Lindern et al. (2003) soil-dust weighting factor and GI absorption fractions has a
 corresponding effect on the estimated IO loss distributions (Exhibit 6-17). IO losses are

predicted above the 90th percentile when the Von Lindern et al. parameters are used. When the

40 baseline parameter estimates are used, IQ losses are predicted for children above the 75th

41 percentile. The 99.9th percentile IQ change under the baseline is 5.3 points, whereas, when the

42 alternative values for the soil-dust weighting factor and absorption fraction are used, the

43 corresponding IQ loss estimate is 4.4 points.

Exhibit 6-16. Effects of Von Lindern et al. (2003) Soil and Dust Intake Parameters on Estimated Blood Pb Distributions, Primary Pb Smelter Case Study

	Soil, House D Factor	Change from Baseline	
Percentile	Baseline Exposure Factors ^ª	Von Lindern et al. Exposure Factors ^b	Alternative Exposure Factors
99.9th	17.0	12.4	-27%
99.5th	10.5	6.3	-40%
99th	7.3	4.5	-38%
95th	3.6	2.5	-30%
90th	2.8	2.1	-28%
75th	1.9	1.5	-25%
Median	1.3	1.0	-23%
25th	0.9	0.7	-20%
1st	0.4	0.3	-20%
^a Soil-Dust Weightin	ig factor = 45% ,	AFSoil = 26%, A	FDust = 48 %

3 4

5 6

7

Exhibit 6-17. Effects of Von Lindern et al. (2003) Soil and Dust Intake Parameters on Estimated IQ Loss Distributions, Primary Pb Smelter Case Study^a

^bSoil-Dust Weighting factor = 58%, AFSoil = AFDust = 18 %

C	Soil, House D Factor	Change from Baseline	
Percentile	IQ Change Derived from Baseline Exposure Factors ^{a,b}	IQ Change Derived from Von Lindern et al. Exposure Factors ^{a,b}	Alternative Exposure Factors
99.9th	-5.3	-4.4	-16%
99.5th	-4.0	-2.6	-35%
99th	-3.0	-1.7	-44%
95th	-1.1	-0.1	-88%
90th	-0.5		-100%
75th			
Median			
25th			
1st			

8 9 ^a Log-linear blood Pb-IQ loss model

^b See notes to Exhibit 6-16

10

11

12

6.4.5 Alternative Blood Pb Geometric Standard Deviations

To this point, the sensitivity analysis has addressed models and parameters that affect the GM blood Pb estimates for the individual blocks and block groups. The parameter to be evaluated next, the GSD for individual variability, affects the distribution of individual blood Pb values derived from the block and block group GM values.

1 The baseline (central tendency) estimate for the blood Pb GSD used in all three case studies is

- 2 1.6 (see Section 5.1.4.4). Based on a review of the literature conducted for this assessment, GSD
- 3 values for children in limited geographical areas were found to range from approximately 1.3 to
- 4 1.9. Larger variability has been seen in national and regional data (CDC 2004; Maddaloni 2005),
- 5 but it is likely that the children in these populations faced more varied exposures than those in 6 smaller nonulations. The values 1.2 and 1.0 were thus considered to be plausible "lower" and
- 6 smaller populations. The values 1.3 and 1.9 were thus considered to be plausible "lower" and 7 "upper" estimates of the GSD for individual variability, with no implication intended that they
- 8 represent absolute limits or defined percentiles of actual population variability.
- 8 9

As expected, changing the GSD value leaves the median blood Pb estimates unchanged (Exhibit 6-18) and reducing the GSD value to 1.3 results in the upper percentile values that are lower than the corresponding baseline estimates, with the proportional decrease increasing toward the "tail" of the analysis. The pattern is clear up to the 99th percentile, but the 99.5 and 99.9th percentile blood Pb estimates calculated with the lower GSD value are similar to, or greater than, those calculated with the baseline values. This is certainly an artifact due to simulation uncertainty (see Exhibit 5-13).

- 16 (see Exhibit 5-1 17
- 18
- 19

Exhibit 6-18.	Effect of Varying Individual Variability Estimates
	on Predicted Blood Pb Distributions ^a

Democratile	Blood P	b Individual Va	Change Relative to Baseline		
Percentile	GSD = 1.3	Baseline (GSD = 1.6)	GSD = 1.9	GSD = 1.3	GSD = 1.9
99.9th	16.4	17.0	22.8	-3%	34%
99.5th	10.9	10.5	13.5	4%	29%
99th	6.8	7.3	9.8	-6%	35%
95th	2.9	3.6	4.7	-19%	31%
90th	2.3	2.8	3.5	-18%	23%
75th	1.7	1.9	2.2	-11%	13%
Median	1.3	1.3	1.3	0%	3%
25th	1.0	0.9	0.8	13%	-8%
1st	0.6	0.4	0.3	62%	-32%

20 21 ^a Concurrent blood Pb estimates are calculated for the primary Pb smelter current conditions scenario using the IEUBK model.

22

23 Blood Pb estimates above the median are consistently elevated compared to the baseline when

24 the higher estimate for population variability (1.9) is used to calculate individual blood Pb levels.

25 The estimates for the upper percentiles derived using the upper GSD estimate are on the order of

26 30 to 35 percent higher than the corresponding baseline values.

27

28 Exhibit 6-19 shows the differences in estimates of IQ loss when the alternative GSD values are

29 used. For the low GSD estimate, IQ losses are predicted for children above the 90th percentile,

30 while for the baseline and high-end GSDs, IQ losses are predicted for children above the 75th

31 percentile. The highest predicted IQ losses derived using the three GSD estimates are quite

32 similar (5.2, 5.3, and 6.1, respectively), the closeness of the estimates again reflecting the

33 shallow slope of the log-linear blood Pb-IQ loss model at high blood Pb levels.

	Blood P	b Individual Var	Change Relative to Baseline		
Percentile	GSD = 1.3	Baseline (GSD = 1.6)	GSD = 1.9	GSD = 1.3	GSD = 1.9
Maximum	-6.7	-7.0	-10.6	-3%	53%
99.9th	-5.2	-5.3	-6.1	-2%	15%
99.5th	-4.1	-4.0	-4.7	3%	17%
99th	-2.8	-3.0	-3.8	-6%	27%
95th	-0.5	-1.1	-1.8	-51%	66%
90th		-0.5	-1.0	-100%	124%
75th					
Median					
25th					
1st					

Exhibit 6-19.	Effect of Changin	g the GSD fo	r Individual	Variability
	on Predicted IQ) Loss Distrib	outions ^a	-

IQ loss estimates are derived based on concurrent IEUBK blood Pb estimates for the primary Pb smelter current conditions scenario.

6.4.5 Alternative Blood Pb-IQ Models and Uncertainty Related to Statistical Fit of Models

8 9 To this point, all of the IQ loss estimates have been calculated based on the log-linear version of 10 the Lanphear et al. (2005) model. For the sensitivity analysis, three alternative parameterizations of the blood Pb-IQ models were used to calculate IQ changes. The first two sets of estimates 11 12 were derived using the estimated upper and lower confidence limits on the log linear slope 13 parameters from the concurrent blood Pb-IQ model derived by Lanphear et al. (2005). The 14 equations for these model were:

IQ loss (relative to cutpoint) = $-3.74 \times \ln$ (concurrent blood Pb / 2.4)

- 15
- 16

3

4

5 6

7

1 2

17

18

IQ loss (relative to cutpoint) = $-1.66 \times \ln$ (concurrent blood Pb / 2.4)

19 20 with the first being the "upper" confidence limit (the value that predicts the largest change in IQ), and the second being the lower confidence limit. 21 22

23 The third alternative was the piecewise linear form of the model presented in Lanphear et al. 24 (2005):

25	
26	Concurrent Blood Pb < 2.4 μ g/dL: IQ change not calculated
27	
28	Concurrent Blood Pb < $10 \mu g/dL$: IQ = $97.2 - 0.80 \times Blood Pb$
29	
30	Concurrent Blood Pb > 10 μ g/dL: IO = 89.2 - 0.13 × Blood Pb
31	

The intercept in the third equation is simply the IQ loss estimated from the second equation at a 32 33 blood Pb concentration of $10 \mu g/dL$. Thus, the form of this model is a "hockey-stick" with a

1 slope of -0.80 below 10 μ g/dL, and a slope of -0.13 above 10 μ g/dL. As for the log-linear

- 2 model, IQ changes were not estimated if individual blood Pb levels were below the concurrent
- 3 blood Pb cutpoint discussed above.
- 4

5 Exhibit 6-20 summarizes the IO loss distributions derived using the alternative blood Pb-IO 6 models with the concurrent blood Pb metric. In all cases, block and block group blood Pb 7 concentrations were calculated with IEUBK, and a GSD value of 1.6 was used to describe 8 individual variability in response to Pb exposures. Of the alternatives evaluated, the predictions 9 generated by the piecewise linear model differ the most from the baseline estimates. The 90th and 95th percentile IO losses predicted by the two models are guite similar, but the 99th and 10 higher percentile IQ loss predictions from the piecewise model are on the order of twice those 11 12 from the log-linear model. This is due to difference in form and the much higher slope of the 13 piecewise linear model in the range from the blood Pb cutpoint to 10 µg/dL. 14

15 The lower and upper confidence limit model predictions parallel the predictions from the

16 baseline model, as expected. The lower confidence limit (LCL) model predicts IQ losses for

17 children above the 75th percentile, as high as 1.2 points at the 99.9th percentile. The upper

18 confidence limit (UCL) model, like the baseline estimate, predicts IQ losses for children above

19 the 75^{th} percentile, but the maximum (99.9th percentile) IQ loss is predicted to be on the order of

- 20 8.1 points, compared to about 5.3 points for the baseline model.
- 21 22

Exhibit 6-20. Effects of Alternative Blood Pb-IQ Models on Predicted IQ Distributions

	Blood Pb-IQ Model				Change Relative to Baseline		
Percentile	95% LCL on Log- Linear Model (1.66)	Baseline (Log- Linear Model) ^a	95% UCL on Log- Linear Model (3.72)	Piecewise Linear Model	95% LCL	95% UCL	Piecewise Linear
99.9 th	-1.2	-5.3	-8.1	-8.9	-78%	53%	69%
99.5 th	-0.8	-4.0	-5.9	-8.1	-80%	49%	103%
99 th	-0.6	-3.0	-4.3	-3.9	-80%	44%	30%
95 th	-0.2	-1.1	-1.6	-1.0	-77%	48%	-12%
90 th	-0.1	-0.5	-0.7	-0.4	-76%	49%	-23%
75 th							
Median							
25 th							
1 st							

^a All IQ Loss estimates are for the primary Pb smelter current conditions scenario, based on IEUBK concurrent blood

- 24 Pb estimates.
- 25

1

6.4.7 **Alternative Blood Pb Metrics**

2 3 In Section 6.2, the concurrent and lifetime average blood Pb distributions, and IQ loss estimates 4 generated from these distributions, were presented for each of the case studies. In addition, the 5 impact of using the "peak" blood Pb estimates (the highest annual average, which was found to 6 occur at ages 6 through 18 months) on the magnitude of IQ loss predictions was also evaluated. 7 The Lanphear et al. (2005) log-linear model form was used to derive all of these results. The 8 concurrent, lifetime average, and peak blood Pb-based models, while sharing the same 9 mathematical form, differ in two respects. First, they have different slope parameters (2.7, 3.04, 10 and 2.85, respectively for the concurrent, lifetime average, and peak blood Pb metrics). In addition, the cutpoint values below which IQ losses are not calculated varies across the models 11 12 (see Section 6.1.2). The cutpoint for concurrent blood Pb is 2.4 μ g/dL, the cutpoint for lifetime 13 average blood Pb is 6.1 µg/dL, and the cutpoint for peak exposures is 4.0 µg/dL, corresponding 14 to the 5th percentile blood Pb values measured in the Lanphear et al. (2005) pooled cohort.

15

16 Exhibit 6-21 shows that both the lifetime average and peak blood Pb values generated using the

IEUBK model are substantially greater than the baseline (concurrent) estimates. This is because 17

the lifetime average metric contains a substantial contribution from younger ages, when blood Pb 18

19 is estimated to be higher than for the concurrent children (aged 6 to 7 years). The peak (highest

20 annual) blood Pb is, by definition, taken from the exposure period when blood Pb levels are

21 highest. Across the percentiles, the lifetime average blood Pb estimates are approximately 35 to

22 40 percent higher than the corresponding baseline values, and the peak estimates are about 80 percent higher. These observations are consistent with published findings in the literature

23 regarding varying blood Pb levels with age (USEPA 2006a).

- 24
- 25
- 26 27

Exhibit 6-21	. Distribution	s of Concurrent, Lifetime Average, and Pea	k Blood Pb
	Distributions	from the Primary Pb Smelter Case Study	

	Blood Pb Metric					
Percentile	Lifetime Baseline Average (Concurrent Blood Pb, Blood Pb), µg/dL µg/dLª		Peak (Highest Annual) Blood Pb, μg/dL ^b			
99.9th	23.2	17.0	33.4			
99.5th	14.3	10.5	18.0			
99th	10.4	7.3	13.4			
95th	5.1	3.6	6.7			
90th	4.0	2.8	5.3			
75th	2.7	1.9	3.5			
Median	1.8	1.3	2.4			
25th	1.2	0.9	1.7			
1st	0.5	0.4	0.7			

28 29 30 ^aIEUBK concurrent (age 6 to 7 years) blood Pb estimates.

^bIEUBK highest 12-month average (age 6 to 18 months) blood Pb estimates.

- 1 These differences in blood Pb predictions strongly affect the magnitude of predicted IQ losses
- 2 (Exhibit 6-22), as do the differences in the model parameters. Although the lifetime average
- 3 blood Pb percentiles are higher than the baseline concurrent estimates, the magnitude of
- 4 predicted IQ loss is lower. When interpreting the results in Exhibit 6-22, it is important to
- 5 remember that IQ changes are calculated using different blood Pb cutpoints and slope factors for
- 6 each blood Pb metric.
- 7
- 8 When the lifetime average blood Pb distribution is input into the lifetime average blood Pb-IQ 9 model, only children above the 95th percentile are predicted to experience IQ changes, and the
- 10 greatest (99.9th percentile) estimate is an IQ loss of 4.1 points. These IQ changes are
- 11 significantly lower than the baseline predictions based on the concurrent blood Pb distributions.
- 12 The primary reason for this pattern is the relatively high lifetime average blood Pb cutpoint (6.1
- 13 $\mu g/g$), compared to the concurrent cutpoint of 2.4 $\mu g/dL$.
- 14 15

Exhibit 6-22. Effects of Using Different Blood Pb Metrics to Predict IQ Loss

	Blood Pb Metric			Difference from Concurrent	
Percentile	IQ Change Based on Lifetime Average Blood Pb ^a	IQ Change Based on Concurrent Blood Pb ^b	IQ Change Based on Highest Annual Blood Pb ^c	Lifetime Average	Peak
99.9th	-4.1	-5.3	-7.5	-23%	42%
99.5th	-2.6	-4.0	-5.7	-35%	44%
99th	-1.6	-3.0	-4.9	-46%	64%
95th		-1.1	-2.9	-100%	165%
90th		-0.5	-2.3	-100%	395%
75th			-1.1		
Median					
25th					
1st					

16 17

^a IQ estimates derived using Lanphear et al. (2005) log-linear model using parameter values for lifetime average blood Pb levels.

^b Derived using Lanphear et al. (2005) parameter values for concurrent blood Pb levels.

^c Derived using Lanphear et al. (2005) parameter values for peak blood Pb exposures.

19 20

18

In contrast, the IQ losses predicted based on the distribution of peak blood Pb levels are
 considerably higher than the baseline estimates. IQ losses are predicted for children above the

23 50th percentile blood Pb, and range from 1.1 points (75th percentile) to 7.5 points (99.9th

24 percentile). In the case of the peak blood Pb values, the difference between the blood Pb cutoff

25 values is not great enough to overcome the effect of much greater peak blood Pb estimates.

26 27

6.4.8 Alternative Blood Pb Cutpoint for IQ Loss Estimation

28

29 Because of the impact on IQ loss estimates of the blood Pb cutoff values used as the "floor" for

30 estimating IQ loss, the impact of changing these values was also investigated. The data available

31 from the Lanphear et al. (2005) study does not provide a foundation for alternative cutpoints for

32 use in sensitivity analysis. For the pilot phase, the simple expedient of reducing the cutpoints for

1 concurrent and lifetime average blood Pb by 50 percent was adopted. The slope parameters for

- 2 the two models remained unchanged.
- 3 4

	Blood Pb	Blood Pb Cutpoint		
Percentiles	Baseline Blood Pb Thresholds	Thresholds = 1/2 Baseline Values	Reduced Cutpoint	
99.9th	-5.3	-8.3	57%	
99.5th	-4.0	-6.3	58%	
99th	-3.0	-5.0	68%	
95th	-1.1	-3.0	171%	
90th	-0.5	-2.3	413%	
75th		-1.3		
Median		-0.3		
25th				
1st				

Exhibit 6-23. Effect of Reducing Blood Pb Cutoff Values for IQ Estimation^a

5 6 ^a All IQ loss estimates are derived from IEUBK blood Pb estimates for the primary Pb smelter current conditions scenario.

As shown in Exhibit 6-23, when the concurrent blood Pb cutpoint is reduced from 2.4 to 1.2 μ g/dL, children above the 25th (rather than the 75th) percentile in the primary Pb smelter case study are predicted to experience IQ loss, and the maximum (99.9th percentile) IQ loss using IEUBK and concurrent blood Pb blood Pb-IQ function is increased from 5.3 to 8.3 points. A change in the cutpoint for the lifetime blood Pb metric would be expected to have similar impacts on the IQ changes.

14 15

6.4.9 Summary of Sensitivity Analysis

16 17 The preceding discussion demonstrates a wide range of impacts on blood Pb and IQ loss 18 distributions associated with alternative model selection and parameter values. Exhibit 6-24 19 summarizes the impacts of the variations in models and input assumptions on predicted blood Pb 20 and IQ distributions. Changes in the median 90th, 95th, and 99th percentile values relative to the 21 corresponding baseline estimates are displayed for each set of models and parameter values that 22 were varied

23

24 As expected, the predicted changes in blood Pb and IO values in Exhibit 6-24 tend to move in 25 parallel, although with a reverse sign. However, variations in models or parameter values affect the predicted blood Pb or IO to varying degrees owing to the non-linearity of the statistical blood 26 27 Pb-IQ models and variations in the blood Pb-IQ loss cutpoints when different blood Pb metrics 28 (concurrent, lifetime average, or peak) are used. Many changes in assumptions that result in 29 significant decreases in predicted blood Pb levels accordingly result in a lesser predicted IQ 30 change, or no predicted IQ change at some percentiles. Some relatively small changes in blood 31 Pb associated with the sensitivity analysis abolish the estimated IQ loss entirely (identified as "-32 100%" changes in Exhibit 6-24) because the predicted blood Pb falls below the cutpoint. In such

cases, both the numbers of children for which IQ losses are predicted and the magnitude of IQ
- 1 losses among the affected children are reduced. It is also important to remember that the
- 2 proportional changes displayed in the exhibit apply to much smaller absolute blood Pb and IQ
- 3 loss values at the median and 90th percentile than at the 95th and 99th, owing to the "skewed"
- 4 nature of the blood Pb and IQ loss distributions.
- 5

6 The factors most strongly affecting the magnitude of IQ loss estimates are the nature of the blood

- 7 Pb metric from which they are estimated and assumptions related to the blood Pb cutpoint for IQ
- 8 loss estimation. The estimated GSD values for individual variability in response to Pb exposures 9
- also strongly affect the predicted IQ loss distributions, particularly at the upper percentiles.
- 10 Among the factors related to blood Pb modeling, the selection of blood Pb model has the most 11
- impact on estimated IQ changes. Relatively modest changes in GI absorption changes for diet 12 and water Pb surprisingly produce relatively large proportional changes in the predicted blood Pb
- 13 and IO distributions, as do changes in soil/dust exposure factor values. As noted, above,
- 14 however, the highest proportional changes associated with these modeling alternatives are seen
- 15 at the lower percentile values, where the absolute magnitudes of the change from the baseline
- 16 can be rather small.
- 17

		Change Versus Baseline							
Percentile	House Dust Pb Concentration Model	Blood Pb Estimation Model	Water, Diet Absorption Fractions	Soil, Dust Exposure Intake/Uptake Factors	Individual Blood Pb Variability (GSD)	Blood Pb-IQ Model	Blood Pb Metric	Reduced Blood Pb Cutpoint	
Blood Pb									
99th	-15%	-42% to +58%	-38% to +17%	-38%	-6% to +35%		+43% to +84%		
95th	-5% to -7%	-46% to 84%	-30% to +11%	-30%	-19% to +31%		+42% to +85%		
90th	-8% to -7%	-47% to 84%	-28% to +9%	-28%	-18% to +23%		+41% to +86%		
Median	-12% to -10%	-46% to +108%	-23% to +12%	-23%	0% to 3%		+37% to +83%		
IQ Loss									
99th	-14% to -15%	-50% to -2%	-44% to +14%	-44%	-6% to +27%	-80% to +44%	-46%to +64%	+68%	
95th	12% to 17%	-100% to +27%	-88% to +25%	-88%	-51% to +66%	-77% to +48%	-100% to +165%	+171%	
90th	43% to 46%	-100% to +55%	-100% to +52%	-100%	-100% to +124%	-76% to +49%	-100% to +365%	+413%	
Median									

Exhibit 6-24. Impacts of Alternative Models and Parameters on Blood Pb and IQ Loss Estimates in the Sensitivity Analysis.

1 7. Ecological Risk Assessment

2

3 The ecological risk assessment for this NAAOS review consisted of three case study screening 4 assessments and a national-scale surface water and sediment screening assessment. The case 5 study screening assessments were designed to estimate the potential for ecological risks associated with exposures to Pb emitted into ambient air for three case studies: primary Pb 6 smelter, secondary Pb smelter, and near roadway non-urban location. The national-scale 7 8 screening assessment evaluated the potential for ecological risks associated with the atmospheric 9 deposition of Pb released into ambient air at surface water and sediment monitoring locations across the United States. This chapter describes the methods applied for this assessment (Section 10 7.1), presents the exposure and risk results (Section 7.2), and discusses the uncertainties and 11 12 limitations of the assessment (Section 7.3). 13

14 **7.1 Methods**

This section describes the screening methods used to determine the potential for risks of adverse effects on ecological receptors associated with exposures of Pb emitted into ambient air and subsequently deposited to other environmental media. Section 7.1.1 summarizes the risk characterization methods used to characterize ecological risks for the case studies; Section 7.1.2 describes the methods used to conduct the national-scale surface water and sediment screening assessment; and Section 7.1.3 describes the ecological effects characterization methods and the ecotoxicity screening values used in this assessment.

23 24 25

7.1.1 Case Studies

The Hazard Quotient (HQ) approach was used to compare estimated media concentrations with ecotoxicity screening values in soils, surface waters, and sediments around the primary Pb smelter, and for soils only around the secondary Pb smelter case study location and the near roadway non-urban case study locations. The HQ is calculated as the ratio of the media concentration to the ecotoxicity screening value. The HQ is represented by the following equation:

32

33 34 HQ = (estimated media concentration) / (ecotoxicity screening value)

For each case study, HQ values were calculated for each location where either modeled or measured media concentrations were available. Separate soil HQ values were calculated for each ecological receptor group for which an ecotoxicity screening value has been developed (i.e., birds, mammals, soil invertebrates, and plants). HQ values less than 1.0 suggest that Pb concentrations in a specific medium are unlikely to pose significant risks to ecological receptors whereas HQ values greater than 1.0 indicate that the expected exposure exceeds the ecotoxicity screening value.

- 42
- 43 44

7.1.2 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Screening Assessment

The HQ method was used to characterize risk for the surface water portion of the national-scale surface water and sediment screening assessment, where measures of dissolved Pb 1 concentrations in surface waters at monitoring locations across the United States were compared

- 2 with both acute and chronic water column (surface water) ecotoxicity screening values. To
- 3 identify which sites might pose a risk to aquatic communities, the frequencies with which
- 4 dissolved Pb concentrations exceeded the selected chronic and acute surface water ecotoxicity
- 5 screening values for the protection of aquatic life (described in Section 7.1.3.2) were examined.
- Because of uneven sampling frequency across sampling locations, a screen was implemented to
 exclude from further analysis sites expected to pose negligible risks to aquatic communities.
- Locations were eliminated if the frequency with which a sample exceeds the chronic surface
- 9 water screening value for dissolved Pb was 5 percent or less of available data, and the acute
- 10 screening value was never exceeded. The sampling locations that were dropped from the
- assessment using this screen are identified in Appendix H with the code "na" in the column
- 12 indicating the number of Pb measurements that exceeded the screening value out of the total
- 13 number of samples analyzed for dissolved Pb.
- 14

As described in Section 7.1.3.2, a review of the data on water hardness in the NAWQA data set indicated that the initial chronic screening value was too high to identify all locations where the

- 17 chronic surface water screening value for the protection of aquatic life was exceeded. As a
- result, a second screen was conducted. In the second screen, for each sampling location with one
- or more measured dissolved Pb concentrations above the QL but less than $1.2 \,\mu\text{g/L}$ (the initial
- screening value assuming a water hardness of $50 \,\mu\text{g/L}$ CaCO₃), measurements of CaCO₃ were
- 21 used to calculate a location-specific chronic surface water screening value. If none of the
- dissolved Pb measurements at a particular location exceeded this location-specific value, the
- 22 adissorved 16 measurements at a particular focution exceeded this focution specific value, the
 23 location was not considered further. If one or more of the dissolved Pb measurements at a
- particular location did exceed the location-specific value, the location was retained for further
- 25 analysis.
- 26

Where dissolved Pb concentrations were found to exceed the chronic surface water screening values at a frequency of greater than 5 percent of available data or where the acute surface water screening value was exceeded by at least one measurement, the next step involved identifying the most likely sources of Pb input to the surface waters to focus the screen on those locations for which air is a major contributor to the Pb concentrations in the water column. The following considerations were used to exclude sites for which air contributions could not be apportioned.

- 33 34
- Where the surrounding land use was for mining, the Pb in the surface water could not be apportioned between runoff/erosion and air emissions. Therefore, these sites were categorized separately.
- 36 37 38

39 40

35

- If there is a major permitted effluent discharge upstream of a sampling location with Pb specified in the permit, it is possible that this discharge was responsible for some of the Pb measured in the surface water. Therefore, air contributions could not be readily apportioned.
- 41 42 43
- If there were no facilities known to emit Pb to the air within a 50-km radius of the sampling location, the Pb in the surface water was assumed to come largely from non-air sources.
- 45 46

Based on this screen of monitoring locations, 15 non-mining surface water locations were 1 identified with elevated Pb concentrations possibly attributable to policy-relevant sources. Risk 2 3 characterization for the sediment portion of the screening assessment was conducted for these 15 4 locations. This risk characterization was performed using the HQ method, where measures of total Pb concentrations in sediments were compared with the sediment ecotoxicity screening 5 6 values for the protection of benthic communities. Concentrations that exceeded the sediment 7 screening values were identified and possible sources of Pb input to these sediments were 8 examined. The first step involved identifying sediment sampling locations in the NAWQA 9 database that were co-located with the surface water samples identified for the surface water 10 portion of the screening assessment. Three of the 15 sites did not have co-located sediment and surface water results. In these cases, sediment sampling results from nearby sampling locations 11 were identified on the basis of latitude, longitude, and name of the site location. For the 12 sediment sampling sites that were categorized as near matches, it is important to note that the 13 14 surface water samples at the same location had not exceeded the chronic surface water screening 15 value in the surface water portion of the screening assessment. Therefore, the value of the "near-16 match" locations is limited.

17 18

19

7.1.3 Ecotoxicity Screening Values

The sections that follow describe the ecotoxicity screening values used in this ecological risk assessment to evaluate the soil, surface water, and sediment concentrations of Pb identified in the case studies and in the national-scale screening assessment. Section 7.1.3.1 describes the soil ecotoxicity screening values developed for this assessment. Section 7.1.3.2 describes the surface water quality screening values, and Section 7.1.3.3 describes the sediment screening values.

25 26

27

7.1.3.1 Soil Screening Values

28 In identifying soil screening values (SSVs) for this assessment, the Ecological Soil Screening 29 Levels (Eco-SSLs) developed by EPA's Superfund program (USEPA 2003; USEPA 2005c) were considered. These values are defined as "concentrations of contaminants in soil that are 30 protective of ecological receptors that commonly come in contact with soil or ingest biota that 31 live in or on soil." They were derived separately for four categories of ecological receptors: 32 plants, soil invertebrates, birds, and mammals. For the purposes of Superfund screening level 33 34 ecological risk assessments at individual hazardous waste sites, Eco-SSLs are used to exclude 35 from further investigation those receptor/exposure pathway combinations that pose negligible risks. Eco-SSLs are therefore derived using conservative assumptions and parameter values. 36

37 The development of Eco-SSLs for each receptor category involved the assessment of several species within each category. Available toxicity test data for these species were evaluated. In 38 39 the case of plants and soil invertebrates, these data are expressed as contaminant concentrations in soil (mg contaminant/kg soil), are evaluated with consideration of characteristics affecting 40 41 bioavailability (e.g., pH, organic content, etc), and a single Eco-SSL is derived for each category. 42 Unlike Eco-SSLs for plants and soil invertebrates, those for the avian and mammalian wildlife 43 categories involve a two step process: (1) derivation of a toxicity reference value (TRV) in mg contaminant per kg body weight per day, and (2) application of the TRV and exposure parameter 44 45 values to derive an Eco-SSL in mg contaminant per kg soil for three different species in each category. In the first step, a single toxicity reference value (TRV) was developed (e.g., the 46

1 reference dose for the most sensitive of the adverse effects on birds that might cause population-

2 level effects) that applied to all species in each wildlife category. In the second step, however,

3 species-specific exposure assumptions regarding incidental soil ingestion, diet composition, and

- 4 contaminant uptake from soil by prey generally resulted in different Eco-SSL values, expressed
- 5 as soil concentrations, for the different species in each receptor category. The receptor category
- 6 Eco-SSL was then set equal to the lowest species-specific Eco-SSL.
- 7

8 In developing SSVs for use in the Pb NAAQS review, the exposure assumptions and parameter

9 values used in the second step of the derivation of the Superfund Eco-SSLs for birds and

10 mammals were examined, and as appropriate, augmented or replaced with alternatives

11 considered more appropriate for the Pb NAAQS review (see Appendix L). SSVs for birds and 12 mammals for this assessment were derived using the Eco-SSL methodology and TRVs and

alternative values for some of the exposure parameters. For purposes of this assessment, the

- 14 SSV for avian wildlife of 38 mg/kg dry weight was developed using data for the woodcock, the
- 15 species on which the avian Eco-SSL was based (USEPA 2003; USEPA 2005c). A more
- 16 nationally representative value was used for woodcock diet composition (i.e., 75 percent
- 17 earthworms, 25 percent soil arthropods), and alternative values, supported by available data,
- 18 were used for food ingestion rate (i.e., 0.20 kg food dry weight/kg-day), incidental soil ingestion
- 19 rate (i.e., 11.4 percent of the dry weight diet), and absorption of ingested Pb (i.e., 50 percent).

The same 50 percent absorption efficiency was used to calculate an SSV for mammals of 56

21 mg/kg dry weight. This absorption efficiency assumption was based on studies that suggest that

the oral bioavailability of Pb in soils or food for mammals is between 3 and 50 percent of its oral
bioavailability when added as soluble Pb acetate to food or water (ATSDR 2005; Ruby et al.

bioavailability when added as soluble Pb acetate to food or water (ATSDR 2005; Ruby et al.
1999). The mammalian SSV developed for the Pb NAAQS review remains somewhat more

conservative than the avian SSV because the proportion of earthworms in the diet of shrews (the

26 mammalian species with the highest exposure) is likely less than the assumed 100 percent.

- 27 Shrews also ingest arthropods, and an arthropod model for Pb uptake from soil predicts lower
- concentrations in the arthropods than in earthworms at the same soil concentrations.
- 29

The SSVs developed for this assessment are shown in Exhibit 7-1. For plants and soil invertebrates, the Eco-SSLs were adopted as the SSVs for the Pb NAAQS review.

32 33

Exhibit 7-1. Soil Screening Values (SSVs) for Pb

Receptor	SSV (mg Pb/kg Soil Dry Weight)	Source
Plants	120	
Soil invertebrates	1700	USEPA 2005C
Avian wildlife	38	Appendix I
Mammalian wildlife	112	

7.1.3.2 Water Quality Criteria 1 2 3 The AWQC were developed by U.S. EPA to provide guidance to states and authorized tribes to 4 use in adopting water quality standards and are based on toxicity testing in aquatic organisms, 5 including fish, invertebrates and algae. AWQC values for chronic exposures are called the 6 criterion continuous concentration (CCC) and for acute exposures are called the criterion 7 maximum concentration (CMC), and they are available for freshwater and marine environments. For a CCC to be exceeded, a 4-day average water concentration must exceed the CCC more than 8 9 once every three years (USEPA 1984). 10 The freshwater AWQC, both the CCC and CMC, for Pb are derived to vary with water hardness, 11 and when initially developed pertained to total recoverable Pb. During a re-evaluation of 12 AWQC for metals in 1993, EPA concluded that it would be more accurate to base the AWQC on 13 that portion of the metal in the water column that is readily bioavailable, that is, the dissolved 14 fraction (USEPA 1993). As a result, EPA examined the relationship of total to dissolved 15 concentrations for a series of metals to develop a conversion factor for each metal. For Pb, the 16 conversion varies with water hardness and is the same for both the CCC and CMC (USEPA 17 18 1993). The current equations/values may be reissued based on pH at the conclusion of the current revisions to the Pb AWOC scheduled to be completed in 2007. The CCC and CMC are 19 20 calculated using the following formulas (USEPA 1984): 21 22 $CCC = \exp\{1.273 [ln(hardness)] - 4.705\} \times CF$ 23 $CMC = \exp\{1.273 [ln(hardness)] - 1.460\} \times CF$ 24 25 where: 26 27 $CF = \text{conversion factor} = 1.46203 - [ln(hardness) \times 0.145712]$ 28 Hardness = mg/L of calcium carbonate (CaCO₃). 29 30 For the primary Pb smelter case study, freshwater AWQC were calculated based on water body-31 specific measured hardness values for the water bodies located in the vicinity of the facility that

32 were sampled and analyzed for Pb (ELM 2005). Exhibit 7-2 lists these site-specific AWQC.

for the Primary Pb Smelter Case Study								
Sample Cluster or Area	Hardness ^ª (mg CaCO₃/L)	Chronic AWQC for Pb - CCC (μg/L)	Acute AWQC for Pb - CMC (μg/L)					
Joachim Creek								
Cluster 1	283.3	7.7	197					
Cluster 2	276.7	7.5	192					
Cluster 3	281.7	7.6	195					
Cluster 4	272.2	7.3	188					
Cluster 5	273.3	7.4	189					
Mississippi River								
Upstream	210	5.6	143					
Near facility	227.5	6.1	156					
Downstream	235	6.3	161					
Pond and Drainage Area	S							
U-shaped pond cluster	166.67	4.4	112					
CHRDDP	465	12.8	328					
RRDP-02	240	6.4	165					
DAMUP	280	7.6	195					
9 TT 1	1 0 5116 (000							

Exhibit 7-2. Calculated Pb AWOC for Water Bodies Analyzed

4

1 2

3

Hardness measures were taken from ELM (2005).

CCC = criterion continuous concentration (chronic criterion), U.S. EPA's National AWQC for Pb

CMC = criterion maximum concentration (acute criterion), U.S. EPA's National AWQC for Pb

To simplify the national-scale surface water screening assessment, a more conservative freshwater CCC of 1.2 µg/L dissolved Pb associated with a low water hardness of 50 mg/L 9

10 CaCO₃ was used as an initial screening step. At sampling locations where that value was

exceeded, the next step was to find co-located measurements of water hardness (expressed as 11

12 mg/L of CaCO₃) and to use those values to calculate a location-specific CCC and CMC for the

identified sampling location. 13

14

15 Location-specific CCC and CMC values for the national-scale screening assessment were

derived based on water hardness measurements available for those locations. The number of 16

water hardness measurements at a given sampling station in the NAWQA data set ranged from 17

zero to more than 60. Where there were two or more measurements of water hardness, the 18

arithmetic mean of the available measurements across all years was used to represent the water 19

hardness at the sampling location. Where there were no analyses of water hardness at a given 20

sampling location, the next closest sampling station (from station ID number and latitude and 21

longitude) was identified and water hardness associated with this station was used for the 22

23 sampling location in question. Where there was a single value reported for water hardness, that

24 value was used without comparing it to values for any next nearest sampling locations. 25

26 Rather than discard any sampling stations from the screening assessment, the use of single or

27 nearby water hardness measurements to calculate a CCC and CMC for a location was deemed

28 acceptable. For sampling stations with more than two measurements of water hardness, the 1 arithmetic mean of the measurements is the measure most consistent with the limited data at 2 other stations

3

4 A review of the data on water hardness in the NAWQA data set for 1994 to 2004 indicated that

the initial screening value of 1.2 µg/L was too high to identify all locations for which dissolved 5

6 Pb concentrations exceeded the CCC for the protection of aquatic life. Many waters in the

7 United States are softer than originally thought (i.e., measured CaCO₃ concentrations were as

8 low as 1 mg/L). A second screening was therefore conducted in which dissolved Pb 9 measurements greater than the quantitation limit (QL) but less than 1.2 µg/L were reviewed.

10

In the second screen, for each sampling location with one or more measured dissolved Pb 11

12 concentrations above the QL but less than $1.2 \mu g/L$, measurements of CaCO₃ were used to

calculate a location-specific CCC as described above.¹ If none of the dissolved Pb 13

14 measurements at a particular location exceeded its location-specific CCC, the location was not

considered further. If one or more of the dissolved Pb measurements at a particular location did 15

16 exceed the location-specific CCC, the location was retained for further analysis. The Pb

measurements for the additional locations identified in the second screening are provided in 17 Appendix H.

18 19

7.1.3.3 **Sediment Screening Values**

20 21

22 A number of sediment ecotoxicity values were considered in identifying chronic sediment 23 screening values for this assessment. These included several types of criteria for freshwater 24 sediments derived using different approaches; Exhibit 7-3 lists the candidate sediment screening values; Appendix M reviews the details of their derivation. The EPA approach for sediment 25 26 quality criteria (i.e., equilibrium partitioning sediment benchmarks) (USEPA 2005d) utilizes 27 EPA's AWQC with site-specific information on acid volatile sulfides and organic carbon. As such site-specific information was not available for the case study location at which sediment 28 29 was assessed, or for the national-scale screening assessment, sediment quality assessment guidelines developed by MacDonald et al. (2000; reported in MacDonald et al. 2003) were 30 identified for use in this ecological risk assessment at all case study locations and in the national-31 32 scale screening assessment.

33

34 The MacDonald et al. (2000; 2003) guidelines include two values for Pb: (1) a threshold effect 35 concentration (TEC) of 35.8 mg/kg and (2) a probable effect concentration (PEC) of 128 mg/kg. The TEC was derived as the geometric mean of literature values described as being at the lower 36 37 end of the effects continuum. The PEC was derived as the geometric mean of literature values 38 described as more prevalently associated with adverse effects. Appendix M provides more 39 details on their basis. These values are considered to be applicable to the United States (and 40 Canada).

¹ For each sampling site, the arithmetic mean of all CaCO₃ samples, the single hardness measurement, or the water hardness from a nearby sampling site was used.

1	
2	

Description	Value (mg Pb/kg Dry Sediment)
EPA Region 4 Sediment Screening Value (derived by MacDonald 1994)	30.2
EPA Region 5 Ecological Screening Level for Sediment (adopted consensus TEC from MacDonald et al. 2000; see below)	35.8
EPA Region 6 Ecological Benchmark for Sediment – Freshwater (TNRCC 2001)	35
Consensus TEC for freshwater sediments (MacDonald 2000)	35.8
Consensus PEC for freshwater sediments (MacDonald 2000)	128
Equilibrium partitioning (USEPA 2005d) - Low risk of adverse biological effects	Requires site-specific
Equilibrium partitioning (USEPA 2005d) - May have adverse biological effects	measures of acid volatile sulfide and organic carbon
Equilibrium partitioning (USEPA 2005d) - Adverse biological effects expected	fraction in the sediment

Exhibit 7-3. Pb Sediment Screening Values Evaluated for Use in This Ecological Risk Assessment

3 Abbreviations:

4 TNRCC = Texas National Resource Conservation Commission; TEC = Threshold Effect Concentration;

5 Consensus PEC = Probable effect concentration (sediment concentration above which harmful effects are

6 likely to be observed, MacDonald et al. [2000]); Consensus TEC = Threshold effect concentration

7 (sediment concentration below which harmful effects are unlikely to be observed, MacDonald et al. [2000])

8

9 The consensus-based TEC for Pb was calculated as the geometric mean of five literature values,

ranging from a threshold effect level of 35 mg/kg from Smith et al. (1996) to a minimal effect

threshold of 42 mg/kg from Environment Canada (EC) and Ministere de l'Environnement du

12 Quebec (MENVIQ) (EC and MENVIQ 1992). The consensus-based PEC for Pb was calculated

as the geometric mean of five values, ranging from a probable effect level of 91.3 mg/kg (Smith

et al. 1996) to a severe effect level of 250 mg/kg (Persaud et al. 1993). Moreover, they were

derived via collaboration of many partners in the *Freshwater Sediment Quality Assessment*

Initiative (MacDonald et al. 2003) which included review of a wide range of options before

17 selecting an approach.

18

19 Note that there are some limitations to using the TEC and PEC values for assessing risk to the

20 benthic community. A major limitation is that site-specific differences in the bioavailability of

- 21 Pb are not taken into account.
- 22

23 **7.2 Exposure and Risk Estimates**

24

25 Chapter 4 presents the methods and data used to develop the media concentrations used as the

26 measures of exposure in the ecological risk assessment. These media concentrations of Pb are

summarized and the associated risk HQs are presented in the sections that follow for the case
 studies: primary Pb smelter (Section 7.2.1), secondary Pb smelter (Section 7.2.2), and near

roadway non-urban (Section 7.2.3). The national-scale surface water and sediment screening
 assessment Pb concentrations and HQs are presented in Section 7.2.4.

3 4

5

7.2.1 Primary Pb Smelter Case Study

6 Sampling clusters based on likely exposure patterns were created for this case study location by

pooling empirical data collected from various sampling locations within the case study location.
Section 4.1.3 summarizes the total Pb soil concentrations, and Section 4.1.5 discusses the surface

9 water concentrations and sediment Pb concentrations for the primary Pb smelter case study.

10 Appendix F presents a detailed description of the aggregates that form each cluster. Soil, surface

11 water, and sediment average concentrations and HQ results are presented in Exhibits 7-4, 7-5,

12 and 7-6, respectively.

13 14

Exhibit 7-4. HQs Calculated for Soils for Primary Pb Smelter Case Study^a

Location of Sample Cluster	Average Pb Concentration (mg/kg)	HQ for Plants	HQ for Soil Invertebrates	HQ for Avian Wildlife	HQ for Mammalian Wildlife
1 - West Bank of Joachim Creek	425	3.55	0.25	11.19	3.80
2 - Crystal City	62.6	0.54	0.04	1.70	0.58
3 - Near Fester's Airport	48.5	0.40	0.03	1.28	0.43

^a HQ values greater than 1.0 are highlighted in bold type.

16

17 As shown in Exhibit 7-4, all of the soil sampling clusters had HQs that exceeded 1.0 for birds,

18 including those "control" locations outside the assumed impact area of the primary Pb smelter.

19 The west bank of the Joachim Creek samples also had HQs greater than 1.0 for plants and

20 mammals. The west bank of Joachim Creek, which is inside the zone of influence of the primary

smelter, had HQ values that were substantially greater than those for the control areas.

22

As discussed in Section 3.1.6, there is wildlife habitat in the vicinity of Joachim Creek, and birds and mammals often use riparian (stream-side) areas for feeding and for moving between habitats.

1	
T	
2	
4	

Sample Location and Cluster ID	Dissolved Pb Concentration	HQ using CCC (Chronic)	HQ using CMC (Acute)
Joachim Creek	· · ·		
Cluster 1	ND ^a	0.39	0.02
Cluster 2	ND	0.40	0.02
Cluster 3	ND	0.39	0.02
Cluster 4	ND	0.41	0.02
Cluster 5	ND	0.41	0.02
Mississippi River			
Upstream	ND	0.54	0.02
Near facility	ND	0.49	0.02
Downstream	ND	0.48	0.02
Emission Deposition	<u>ו</u>		
Cluster 1	ND	0.69	0.03
CHRDDP	ND	0.24	0.01
RRDP-02	ND	0.47	0.02
DAMUP	ND	0.40	0.02

Exhibit 7-5. HQs Calculated for Surface Waters for Primary Pb Smelter Case Study

^a ND = not detected; method detection limit was 3 μ g/L; assumed for this assessment that surface water concentration level was at the detection limit.

Exhibit 7-6. HQs Calculated for Sediments in Surface Waters for Primary Pb Smelter Case Study

Location and Cluster Sample ID	Average Measured Pb Concentration in Sediment (mg/kg)	HQ					
Joachim Creek							
Cluster 1	36.5	1.0					
Cluster 2	56.0	1.6					
Cluster 3	78.7	2.2					
Cluster 4	30.0	0.84					
Cluster 5	34.5	0.96					
Mississippi River							
Upstream	14.7	0.41					
Near facility	30.1	0.84					
Downstream	12.1	0.34					
Pond and Drainage	Pond and Drainage Areas						
U-shaped ephemeral pond cluster	170	4.8					
ED1	110	3.1					
ED2	14.8	0.41					

^a HQ values greater than 1.0 are highlighted in bold type.

1 The surface water sampling clusters, which assumed a Pb concentration equal to the detection

2 level, had HQs less than 1.0. However, three sediment sample clusters in Joachim Creek (1, 2,

and 3) had HQs ranging from 1.0 to 2.2, and the U-shaped ephemeral pond and one drainage area
had sediment HQs greater than 3 but less than 5.

- 5
- 6

7.2.2 Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study

For the secondary Pb smelter case study, as described in Section 4.2.3, two sets of modeled
average Pb soil concentrations were developed for the human exposure and health risk
assessments and also used as exposure estimates for the ecological risk assessment. The
averages for 1-, 5-, or 10-km interval distances from the secondary Pb smelter facility are
summarized in Exhibit 7-7. The associated soil HQs calculated for each interval are also
presented in Exhibit 7-7.

14 15

Exhibit 7-7. HQs Calculated for Soils for Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study^a

Distance Range (m)		Modeled Soil Concentration Data Sets (mg/kg)	HQ for Plants	HQ for Soil Invertebrates	HQ for Birds	HQ for Mammals
		Total Pb Soil Conc. with Background				
0	1000	86.6	0.72	0.05	2.28	0.77
1000	2000	20.7	0.17	0.012	0.54	0.18
2000	3000	17.3	0.14	0.010	0.46	0.15
3000	4000	16.3	0.14	0.010	0.43	0.15
4000	5000	15.8	0.13	0.009	0.42	0.14
5000	10000	15.4	0.13	0.009	0.41	0.14
10000	20000	15.1	0.13	0.009	0.40	0.13
		Scaled 3x Total Pb Soil Conc. with Background				
0	1000	260	2.17	0.15	6.84	2.32
1000	2000	62.3	0.52	0.037	1.64	0.56
2000	3000	51.8	0.43	0.030	1.36	0.46
3000	4000	48.9	0.41	0.029	1.29	0.44
4000	5000	47.3	0.39	0.028	1.24	0.42
5000	10000	46.2	0.39	0.027	1.22	0.41
10000	20000	45.4	0.38	0.027	1.19	0.41

16

^a HQ values greater than 1.0 are highlighted in bold type.

17

18 The modeled soil concentrations within 1 km of the facility showed HQs of greater than 1.0 for

19 avian wildlife. All soil concentrations for locations greater that 1 km from the facility were

20 associated with HQs less than 1.0 for this data set. The scaled soil concentration data set resulted

21 in avian HQs greater than 1.0 for all distance intervals evaluated, including the farthest interval

22 modeled, 10 to 20 km from the facility. The scaled soil concentrations within 1 km of the

23 facility also showed HQs greater than 1.0 for plants, birds, and mammals.

1 As for the previous case study, there is wildlife habitat near the secondary Pb smelter facility.

2 However, as discussed in Section 3.2.6, none of the species residing in the county where this

- 3 facility is located are currently on state or federal threatened and endangered species lists.
- 4 5

7.2.3 Near Roadway Non-Urban Case Study

Average Pb soil concentrations and risk characterization results for the two non-urban case study

8 locations—Corpus Christi, Texas and Atlee, Virginia—are presented in this section. Exhibit 7-8

9 presents the average Pb soil concentrations (which are detailed in Section 4.4.1) and HQ

10 calculated for the Corpus Christi, Texas near roadside soil concentration data.

11 12

13

Exhibit 7-8. Soil HQs Calculated for the Non-Urban Near Roadway Case Study Location in Corpus Christi, Texas ^a

Sample			Soil HQs				
Distance from Roadway (m)	Sample Depth (cm)	Total Pb Concentration (mg/kg)	Plants	Invertebrates	Avian Wildlife	Mammalian Wildlife	
2	2.5	340	2.83	0.20	8.95	3.04	
2	10	650	5.42	0.38	17.1	5.80	
2	20	15	0.13	0.019	na⁵	0.13	
4	2.5	140	1.17	0.082	3.68	1.25	

14 15 ^a HQ values greater than 1.0 are highlighted in bold type.

^b na = not applicable. Woodcock are specialized for feeding on earthworms by probing into soils, and may be able to reach a depth of 6 to 7 cm, which is the approximate length of the bill (Keppie and Whiting 1994).

16 17

18 HQs for birds and mammals were greater than 1.0 at both 2 and 4 meters (m) from the road.

19 Plants also had HQs ranging from 2.83 to 5.42 at the 2-m distance, depending on depth.

20

21 Exhibit 7-9 presents the location-specific Pb soil concentration data and HQs calculated for the

22 ecological exposure area in Atlee, Virginia, for the four ecological receptor groups. The soil

concentration data for this location are described in Section 4.4.2. The HQs associated with the

overall average Pb concentration for the potential ecological exposure area are also presented.

Location ID (Approximate	Average Total	Soil HQs					
Distance from Nearest Road)	Pb Concentration (mg/kg) ^b	Plants	Soil Invertebrates	Avian Wildlife	Mammalian Wildlife		
6 (2 m)	525	4.4	0.31	14	4.7		
5 (2 m)	59	0.49	0.035	1.6	0.53		
16 (10 m)	140	1.2	0.082	3.7	1.3		
22 (10 m)	100	0.83	0.059	2.6	0.89		
10 (15 m)	99	0.83	0.058	2.6	0.88		
4 (15 m)	50.5	0.42	0.030	1.3	0.45		
2 (15 m)	48	0.40	0.028	1.3	0.43		
1 (30 m) ^c	40.5	0.34	0.024	1.1	0.36		
3 (30 m) ^c	31.5	0.26	0.019	0.83	0.28		
Average	123	1.0	0.072	3.2	1.1		

Exhibit 7-9. Soil HQs Associated with Non-Urban Near Roadway
Location in Atlee, Virginia ^a

^a HQ values greater than 1.0 are highlighted in bold type.

1 2

> ^b Sampling depths of 0 to 15 inches. ^c Sampled soils in a surface water runoff detention basin.

HQs for birds were greater than 1.0 at all locations except one (Location ID 3) at 30 meters from
the interstate. Plant and mammal HQs were greater than 1.0 at two of the nine locations
(Location IDs 6 and 16) within 2 and 10 meters of the road, respectively, although the HQs

associated with Location ID 16, 10 m from the interstate, were only slightly above 1.0. HQs for
 the soil invertebrate receptor group were below 1.0 at all locations.

13 14

7.2.4 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Screening Assessment

This section presents the Pb exposure measures and risk characterization results of the nationalscale surface water and sediment screening assessment. The water column and sediment Pb concentration data used to estimate ecological exposures are described in Section 4.5.2 and 4.5.3, respectively. Section 7.2.4.1 presents the surface water dissolved Pb concentrations and associated risk HQs, and Section 7.2.4.2 presents the total Pb sediment concentrations and associated risk HQs.

21

22 23

7.2.4.1 Surface Water Column Portion of the Screening Assessment

24 The initial screen of dissolved Pb concentrations for measurements equal to or greater than 25 1.2 µg/L identified 42 sampling locations for which one or more measurements exceeded that 26 screening value. Data for each measurement of dissolved Pb at these locations are provided in Appendix H. The number of samples analyzed for dissolved Pb at each station ranged from 1 to 27 60, and the number of measurements that exceeded 1.2 μ g/L ranged from 1 to 50. For purposes 28 29 of this assessment, given the limited analyses for dissolved Pb, all 42 sampling locations were 30 retained for analysis. Eight additional locations were added during a second screen, which 31 identified sampling locations with one or more measured dissolved Pb concentrations above the 32 QL, but less than 1.2 µg/L, and that exceed site specific CCC values (see Appendix H). 33

³ 4 5

1 **Chronic Risks:** Exhibit 7-10 presents the chronic HOs for the locations identified in the initial screen (i.e., those locations not specified as "na" in Appendix H). This exhibit is organized in 2 order of increasing water hardness, and therefore, increasing values of the site-specific CCCs. 3 4 The land use is as reported in the NAWQA database. 5 6 For the measures of CaCO₃ at each sampling location, the mean (\pm one standard deviation) 7 concentration and sample size are presented. For stations for which there were no water 8 hardness data (ND), an endnote to the exhibit describes how the CCC was estimated. 9 10 The dissolved Pb measurements for each location were characterized in four ways: 11 1. The number of measured dissolved Pb concentrations that exceeded the CCC out of the 12 13 total number of samples analyzed for dissolved Pb; 2. The number of samples that were less than the QL where the QL is greater than the CCC 14 for dissolved Pb (i.e., the number of samples that might or might not exceed the CCC); 15 16 3. The mean of the measured Pb concentrations that exceeded the CCC; and 4. The maximum measured dissolved Pb concentration. 17 18 19 Two types of HQs are presented in Exhibit 7-10. The first type of HQ represents an average HQ for the measurements that exceeded the CCC. This HQ answers the question "when the CCC is 20 exceeded, on average, what is the magnitude of the HQ?" The second HQ represents a 21 22 maximum HQ based on the maximum dissolved Pb concentration. This HQ assumes that the 23 maximum value represents at least a four-day average concentration. For many sampling sites, 24 both HQs are the same because only a single measurement of dissolved Pb exceeded the CCC. 25 Exhibit 7-10 illustrates that many of the larger chronic HQ values are associated with mining 26 sites.

27

					Calcium Carbonate			C	issolved Pb	Measureme	ents	Hazard Quotients	
			Station ID	Land Use	Calcium Ca	Donate	Pb	No.	No. <	Mean of		Hazalu G	uotients
	Basin ID	State			Mean ± SD (mg/L)	Z	CCC (µg/L)	> CCC / Total N	QL, which is > CCC	[Pb]s > CCC (µg/L)	Max [Pb] (µg/L)	Mean [Pb] / CCC	Max [Pb] / CCC
44	UCOL	CO	393557105512400	Mining	1 ^(b)	1	0.013	1/1	0	1.26	1.26	96.92	96.92
59	COOK	AK	600715152572800	Reference	6	1	0.11	1/1	0	1.64	1.64	14.91	14.91
46	NROK	ID	12392155	Forest	8.8 ± 2.8	18	0.17	4/17	10	0.224	0.261	1.32	1.54
58	OAHU	HA	16212700	Mixed	9	2	0.17	1/2	1	0.337	0.337	1.98	1.98
2	CONN	СТ	1119375	Mixed	9.5 ± 2.9	19	0.18	5/20	13	0.302	0.377	1.68	2.09
2	CONN	СТ	1124000	Mixed	14.7 ± 4.9	30	0.30	11/23	9	0.759	1	2.53	3.33
44	UCOL	CO	375732107394000	Mining	ND ^(c)	0	0.35	2/2	0	21.6	23	61.71	65.71
44	UCOL	CO	385437107015600	Mining	17	1	0.35	1/1	0	6.02	6.02	17.20	17.20
2	CONN	СТ	1127000	Mixed	17.4 ± 8.7	29	0.36	3/22	14	0.408	0.472	1.13	1.31
46	NROK	ID	12419000	Mixed	17.9 ± 1.5	29	0.37	2/26	16	0.995	1.579	2.69	4.27
1	NECB	RI	1112900	Mixed	21 ± 10	13	0.44	3/3	0	1.103	1.551	2.51	3.53
46	NROK	ID	12413470	Mining	25 ± 7.2	34	0.54	33/33	0	4.62	11.62	8.56	21.52
44	UCOL	CO	9046530	Mining	31.6 ± 3.3	62	0.70	53/60	7	3.39	8	4.84	11.43
44	UCOL	CO	392907106013900	Mining	35.3 ± 4.0	3	0.80	2/3	1	1.50	2	1.88	2.50
46	NROK	ID	12413123	Mining	36.3	1	0.82	1/1	0	29.78	29.78	36.32	36.32
44	UCOL	CO	385240106583600	Other/Mixed	39	2	0.89	1/4	2	0.971	0.971	1.09	1.09
44	UCOL	CO	392947106024500	Mining	41.3 ± 4.0	3	0.95	2/3	1	1.50	2	1.58	2.11
46	NROK	WA	12422500	Urban	43 ± 27	20	0.99	4/28	24	1.131	1.233	1.14	1.25
46	NROK	WA	12422000	Urban	43.1 ± 25.7	18	0.99	2/20	18	1.158	1.158	1.17	1.17
46	NROK	ID	12413150	Mining	50.2	1	1.2	1/1	0	10.518	10.518	8.77	8.77
44	UCOL	CO	9146200	Mining	84.7 ± 28	52	2.1	0/3	0	2	2	0.95	0.95
31	OZRK	AR	7050500	Mixed	102 ± 39	13	2.6	1/8	0	9	9	3.46	3.46
45	RIOG	NM	8331000	Mixed	113 ± 18	37	2.9	1/12	0	3	3	1.03	1.03
50- 51	ССҮК	WA	461850120005800	Other/Mixed	ND ^(d)	0	3.3	0/1	0	1.2	1.2	0.36	0.36
31	OZRK	MO	7061155	Mining	136	1	3.5	0/1	0	3	3	0.86	0.86
31	OZRK	MO	7018100	Forest	142	1	3.7	1/2	0	7	7	1.89	1.89
31	OZRK	MO	7061135	Mining	160	1	4.2	1/1	0	11	11	2.62	2.62
55	NVBR	NV	94196783	Urban	175 ± 57	106	4.6	0/5	0	2.2	3	0.48	0.65

Exhibit 7-10. Results of Chronic Aquatic Risk Screening Assessment –HQs for Measured Dissolved Pb Concentrations Compared to Chronic AWQC (i.e., Criterion Continuous Concentration or CCC) for the Protection of Aquatic Life for Pb^a

					Calcium Carbonate			C	Dissolved Pt	Measureme	ents	Hazard Quotients	
			Station ID	Land Use			Pb	No.	No. <	Mean of		Hazaru Q	uolieniis
Basin ID		State			Mean ± SD (mg/L)	Ν	(μg/L)	> CCC / Total N	QL, which is > CCC	[Pb]s > CCC (µg/L)	Max [Pb] (µg/L)	Mean [Pb] / CCC	Max [Pb] / CCC
40	SPLT	СО	6752260	Mixed	ND ^(e)	0	4.9	0/4	0	4	4	0.82	0.82
40	SPLT	CO	6752270	Mixed	ND ^(e)	0	4.9	0/4	0	2	2	0.41	0.41
40	SPLT	CO	6752280	Mixed	ND ^(e)	0	4.9	0/4	0	3	3	0.61	0.61
49	CAZB	AZ	9517000	Agriculture	190 ± 36	48	5.0	0/1	0	2	2	0.40	0.40
47	GRSL	UT	404026111273001	Rangeland	195	1	5.2	0/5	0	2.34	2.828	0.45	0.54
47	GRSL	UT	403938111300201	Urban	ND ^(†)	0	5.2	0/2	0	1.432	1.432	0.28	0.28
45	RIOG	NM	8317200	Urban	196 ± 68	14	5.2	0/3	0	2.33	3	0.45	0.58
47	GRSL	UT	404431111282901	Urban	ND ^(g)	0	5.3	0/2	0	3.754	4.271	0.71	0.81
47	GRSL	UT	404847111240501	Rangeland	ND ^(h)	0	5.8	0/2	0	5.495	8.403	0.95	1.45

Exhibit 7-10. Results of Chronic Aquatic Risk Screening Assessment –HQs for Measured Dissolved Pb Concentrations Compared to Chronic AWQC (i.e., Criterion Continuous Concentration or CCC) for the Protection of Aquatic Life for Pb^a

1 Abbreviations: SD = standard deviation; N = Total number of samples analyzed for specified analyte; <math>[Pb] = dissolved Pb concentration; QL = quantitation limit

2 (where $QL = 0.08 \mu g/L$ dissolved Pb, some measured concentrations that were greater than the detection limit, but less than the QL were estimated and are

3 considered \geq QL for purposes of this exhibit); No. = Number of samples analyzed for dissolved Pb; Basin ID four-letter codes are described in Appendix H; State

4 codes = state postal abbreviations; ND = no data

^a Records are presented in order of increasing mean water hardness (mg/L as CaCO₃) and hardness-specific CCC. HQ values greater than 1.0 are highlighted in
 bold type.

7 ^b This measurement of water hardness seems unreasonably low.

^c No water hardness data for this sampling station or nearby stations; used CCC determined for nearest station (i.e., 385437107015600).

⁹ ^d No water hardness data for this sampling station; used CCC based on a value of 128 mg/L as CaCO₃ from nearest station (i.e., 461517119402500).

^e No water hardness data for this sampling station; used CCC based on a value of 187 mg/L as CaCO₃ from nearest station (i.e., 6754000).

¹¹ ^f No data for this station; used CCC based on water hardness value for the other single value for Silver Creek (station No. 404026111273001).

^g No data for this station; one nearby station had values of 234 and 223 mg/L as CaCO₃; another nearby station had values of 195 and 176 mg/L as CaCO₃. This

13 analysis therefore assumed a water hardness of 200 mg/L to estimate the CCC for this location.

¹⁴ ^h No water hardness data for this station; used CCC based on a value of 219 mg/L as CaCO₃ from nearest station (i.e., 404751111423501).

Acute Risks: Exhibit 7-11 presents the acute aquatic risk HQs for the locations identified in the initial screen (i.e., those locations not specified as "na" in Appendix H). This exhibit is organized in order of increasing water hardness, and therefore, increasing values of the site-

- specific CMCs. In this exhibit, dissolved Pb is characterized in two ways:
 - 1. The number of measured dissolved Pb concentrations that exceed the CMC out of the
 - total number of samples analyzed for dissolved Pb; and
 - 2. The maximum measured dissolved Pb concentration.
- 10 Given that the CMCs are between 20 and 25 times higher than the CCCs, the only HQ

11 considered here is the maximum dissolved Pb concentration compared with the CMC. Exhibit

12 7-11 shows that only three sampling sites had acute HQ values that exceeded 1.0 (in bold), and

- 13 all three of these are located near mining sites.
- 14

6

7

8

9

Exhibit 7-11. Results of Acute Aquatic Risk Screening Assessment –HQs for Measured Dissolved Pb Concentrations Compared to Acute AWQC (i.e., Criterion Maximum Concentration or CMC) for the Protection of Aquatic Life for Pb^a

Basin ID		State Station		Land	Pb	Dissolv Measure	ed Pb ements	HQ: Max
		State	ID Use		смс (µg/L)	No. > CMC / Total N	Max [Pb] (µg/L)	[Pb] / CMC
44	UCOL	CO	393557105512400	Mining	0.34	1/1	1.26	3.71
59	COOK	AK	600715152572800	Reference	2.7	0/1	1.64	0.61
46	NROK	ID	12392155	Forest	4.2	0/17	0.261	0.06
58	OAHU	HA	16212700	Mixed	4.4	0/2	0.337	0.08
2	CONN	СТ	1119375	Mixed	4.6	0/20	0.377	0.08
2	CONN	СТ	1124000	Mixed	7.6	0/23	1	0.13
44	UCOL	CO	375732107394000	Mining	9.0	0/1	6.02	0.67
44	UCOL	CO	385437107015600	Mining	9.0	2/2	23	2.56
2	CONN	СТ	1127000	Mixed	9.2	0/22	0.472	0.05
46	NROK	ID	12419000	Mixed	9.5	0/26	1.579	0.17
1	NECB	RI	1112900	Mixed	11	0/3	1.551	0.14
46	NROK	ID	12413470	Mining	14	0/33	11.62	0.83
44	UCOL	CO	9046530	Mining	18	0/60	8	0.44
44	UCOL	CO	392907106013900	Mining	20	2/3	2	0.10
46	NROK	ID	12413123	Mining	21	1/1	29.78	1.42
44	UCOL	CO	385240106583600	Other/Mixed	23	0/4	0.971	0.04
44	UCOL	CO	392947106024500	Mining	24	0/3	2	0.08
46	NROK	WA	12422500	Urban	25	0/28	1.233	0.05
46	NROK	WA	12422000	Urban	25	0/20	1.158	0.05
46	NROK	ID	12413150	Mining	30	0/1	10.518	0.35
44	UCOL	CO	9146200	Mining	54	0/3	2	0.04
31	OZRK	AR	7050500	Mixed	66	0/8	9	0.14
45	RIOG	NM	8331000	Mixed	74	0/12	3	0.04
50-51	CCYK	WA	461850120005800	Other/Mixed	84	0/1	1.2	0.01
31	OZRK	MO	7061155	Mining	90	0/1	3	0.03
31	OZRK	MO	7018100	Forest	94	0/2	7	0.07
31	OZRK	MO	7061135	Mining	110	0/1	11	0.10

Exhibit 7-11. Results of Acute Aquatic Risk Screening Assessment – HQs for									
Measured Dissolved Pb Concentrations Compared to Acute AWQC (i.e., Criterion									
Maximum Concentration or CMC) for the Protection of Aquatic Life for Pb ^a									

Basin ID		State	Station	Land	Pb	Dissolv Measure	ed Pb ments	HQ: Max
		State	ID	Use	(µg/L)	No. > CMC / Total N	Max [Pb] (µg/L)	[Pb] / CMC
55	NVBR	NV	94196783	Urban	120	0/5	3	0.03
47	GRSL	UT	404026111273001	Rangeland	130	0/5	2.828	0.02
49	CAZB	AZ	9517000	Agriculture	130	0/1	2	0.02
45	RIOG	NM	8317200	Urban	130	0/3	3	0.02
47	GRSL	UT	403938111300201	Urban	130	0/2	1.432	0.01
40	SPLT	CO	6752270	Mixed	130	0/4	2	0.02
40	SPLT	CO	6752280	Mixed	130	0/4	3	0.02
40	SPLT	CO	6752260	Mixed	130	0/4	4	0.03
47	GRSL	UT	404431111282901	Urban	140	0/2	4.271	0.03
47	GRSL	UT	404847111240501	Rangeland	150	0/2	8.403	0.06

Abbreviations: Same as Exhibit 7-10.

^a Records are presented in order of increasing hardness-specific CMC. HQ values greater than 1.0 are highlighted in bold type.

2 3 4

1

5 Aquatic Risks at Mining Sites Compared with other Sites: The locations of interest for the 6 Pb NAAQS review are those locations for which deposition from air might be a significant input 7 of Pb into the surface water. It may be assumed that air inputs are not easily distinguishable 8 from other non-air inputs near mining sites. Therefore, the results for the mining sites (Exhibit 9 7-12) were separated from the other types of locations (Exhibit 7-13). Locations for which the 10 HQ was less than 1.0 were eliminated to summarize the results for the aquatic chronic risk

- 11 screen.
- 12

13 Exhibit 7-12 summarizes the two chronic and one acute risk HQs for the 10 mining sites for

- 14 which the chronic HQ exceeded 1.0. The exhibit is presented in order of increasing HQ.
- 15

1 2

	Dissolved Pb Measurements Exceed AWQC												
						Pb Mea	surements	На	zard Quot	ient			
Basin ID		State	Station ID	Land Use	Pb CCC (µg/L)	No. > CCC / Total N	No. < QL, which is > CCC	Mean [Pb] / CCC	Max [Pb] / CCC	Max [Pb] / CMC			
44	UCOL	СО	392947106024500	Mining	0.95	2/3	1	1.58	2.11	0.08			
44	UCOL	CO	392907106013900	Mining	0.80	2/3	1	1.88	2.50	0.10			
31	OZRK	MO	7061135	Mining	4.2	1/1	0	2.62	2.62	0.10			
44	UCOL	CO	9046530	Mining	0.70	53/60	7	4.84	11.43	0.44			
46	NROK	ID	12413470	Mining	0.54	33/33	0	8.56	21.52	0.83			
46	NROK	ID	12413150	Mining	1.2	1/1	0	8.77	8.77	0.35			
44	UCOL	CO	385437107015600	Mining	0.35	1/1	0	17.20	17.20	0.67			
46	NROK	ID	12413123	Mining	0.82	1/1	0	36.32	36.32	1.42			
44	UCOL	CO	375732107394000	Mining	0.35	2/2	0	61.71	65.71	2.56			
44	UCOL	CO	393557105512400	Mining	0.013	1/1	0	96.92	96.92	3.71			

Exhibit 7-12. Results of Aquatic Risk Screening Assessment – Mining Sites at which

Abbreviations: Same as for Exhibits 7-10; CCC = criterion continuous concentration (or chronic AWQC); CMC =

4 criterion maximum concentration (or acute AWQC).

^a In order of increasing Hazard Quotient for the CCC aquatic toxicity benchmark. HQ values greater than 1.0 are
 highlighted in bold type.

7

8 Many of the sampling sites near mining land uses are characterized by soft water (i.e., CaCO₃

9 less than 50 mg/L and CCC less than 1.2 μ g/L). Note that some of the largest HQs are

10 associated with sites that had one or two samples for dissolved Pb and no or few samples for

11 CaCO₃. Thus, the uncertainty associated with the chronic HQ values for these sites is higher

12 than for the two locations that have been well characterized (i.e., with 33 and 60 samples

13 analyzed for dissolved Pb). For the mining sites, it was assumed that drainage from the mines

14 provides the majority of the Pb input to the surface waters, and these sites were not examined

15 further.

16

17 Exhibit 7-13 summarizes the HQs for the 15 non-mining sites for which the chronic HQs exceed

18 1.0 in order of increasing HQ. These locations are in areas classified in the NAWQA database as

19 urban and mixed, but also include forest, rangeland, and a "reference" site in Alaska. The

20 highest HQ is for the Alaska reference site and is based on one measurement of dissolved Pb and

21 one measurement of CaCO₃, which was extremely low (i.e., 6 mg/L). Thus, the uncertainty

22 associated with this HQ is high.

1	Exhibit 7-13. Results of Aquatic Risk Screening Assessment - Locations at which Dissolved
2	Pb Measurements Exceed AWQC, Excluding Mining Sites ^a

			Pb Measurements		На	zard Quot	ient			
Basin ID		State	Station ID	Land Use	Pb CCC (µg/L)	No. > CCC / Total N	No. < QL, which is > CCC	Mean [Pb] / CCC	Max [Pb] / CCC	Max [Pb] / CMC
45	RIOG	NM	8331000	Mixed	2.9	1/12	0	1.03	1.03	0.04
44	UCOL	CO	385240106583600	Other/Mixed	0.89	1/4	2	1.09	1.09	0.04
2	CONN	СТ	1127000	Mixed	0.36	3/22	14	1.13	1.31	0.05
46	NROK	WA	12422500	Urban	0.99	4/28	24	1.14	1.25	0.05
46	NROK	WA	12422000	Urban	0.99	2/20	18	1.17	1.17	0.05
46	NROK	ID	12392155	Forest	0.17	4/17	10	1.32	1.54	0.06
47	GRSL	UT	404847111240501	Rangeland	5.8	1/2	0	1.45	1.45	0.06
2	CONN	СТ	1119375	Mixed	0.18	5/20	13	1.68	2.09	0.08
31	OZRK	MO	7018100	Forest	3.7	1/2	0	1.89	1.89	0.07
58	OAHU	HA	16212700	Mixed	0.17	1/2	1	1.98	1.98	0.08
1	NECB	RI	1112900	Mixed	0.44	3/3	0	2.51	3.53	0.14
2	CONN	СТ	1124000	Mixed	0.30	11/23	9	2.53	3.33	0.13
46	NROK	ID	12419000	Mixed	0.37	2/26	16	2.69	4.27	0.17
31	OZRK	AR	7050500	Mixed	2.6	1/8	0	3.46	3.46	0.14
31	COOK	AK	600715152572800	Reference	0.11	1/1	0	14.91	14.91	0.61

Abbreviations: Same as Exhibit 7-11; CCC = criterion continuous concentration (or chronic AWQC); CMC =
 criterion maximum concentration (or acute AWQC).

^a In order of increasing Hazard Quotient for the CCC aquatic toxicity benchmark. HQ values greater than 1.0 are

6 highlighted in bold type. Additional information characterizing these locations is provided in Appendix H.

7

8 **Contribution of Air to Pb Concentration at Non-Mining Site Locations:** For the sites in 9 Exhibit 7-13, three additional sources of information were examined in an attempt to determine 10 whether air deposition is likely to be a significant source of Pb for the surface water. These 11 sources are as follows:

12 13

14

1. **Land Use.** More extensive data on the land uses surrounding the sampling sites were obtained from the 1992 National Land Cover Database for land uses within 20 km of each sampling site, if available.

- each sampling site, if available.
 Air Pb Emissions. The number of facilities that emit Pb to air, and the total annual quantity of Pb emitted to air, within 20 km and 50 km of the sampling site were determined from the 2002 National Emissions Inventory (USEPA 2006i). Facility emissions to the air within 20 km are likely to be much more important than the emissions between 20 and 50 km away. Data on non-point and mobile emissions of Pb at the county level were obtained from the same source.
- 3. NPDES. For each sampling site, the watershed was examined to identify facilities in the
 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) database upstream of the
 sampling site for which Pb was a constituent identified for the facility. For each facility
 identified, available data were examined on permitted limits for Pb releases and on
 measured Pb releases.
- 27

28 The detailed results of these analyses are presented in Appendix H, and the result highlights are

29 presented in Exhibit 7-14.

Locations at which Dissolved FD Measurements Exceed AWQC, Excluding Mining Sites												
			Pb Emissions (tons/year)					No.				
Basin ID (and State)	Station ID	Ρb CCC (µg/L)	Facil- ities < 20 km	Facil- ities < 50 km	Mobile & Non- point in County	% Strip Mines, etc.	No. NPDES upstream (metals)	[Pb] > CCC / N	HQ Max [Pb] / CCC			
45 RIOG NM	8331000	2.9	0.068	0.095	0.029	0.19	3 (0)	1/12	1.03			
44 UCOL CO	38524010658360 0	0.89	0.0	0.00029	0.036	0.0	ND	1/4	1.09			
46 NROK WA	12422000	0.99	0.41	0.43	0.24	0.0	3 (0)	2/20	1.17			
46 NROK WA	12422500	0.99	0.39	0.43	0.24	0.0	3 (0)	4/28	1.25			
2 CONN CT	1127000	0.36	6.1	7.0	0.14	0.11	15 (0)	4/22	1.31			
47 GRSL UT	40484711124050 1	5.8	0.0	0.36	0.0011	0.06	1 (1)	1/2	1.45			
46 NROK ID	12392155	0.17	0.0	0.0014	0.22	0.0	1 (1)	4/17	1.54			
31 OZRK MO	7018100	3.7	0.0	0.34	0.018	0.05	ND	1/2	1.89			
58 OAHU HA	16212700	0.17	4.9	4.9	0.49	0.0	ND	1/2	1.98			
2 CONN CT	1119375	0.18	0.68	13.7	0.014	0.07	5 (0)	5/20	2.09			
2 CONN CT	1124000	0.3	0.081	11.3	0.92	0.09	1 (0)	11/2 3	3.33			
31 OZRK AR	7050500	2.6	0.0	0.0051	0.0064	0.02	1 (0)	1/8	3.46			
1 NECB RI	1112900	0.44	4.1	11.7	0.15	0.44	14 (6)	3/3	3.53			
46 NROK ID	12419000	0.37	0.34	0.43	0.6	0.0	11 (4)	2/26	4.27			
31 COOK AK	60071515257280	0.11	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	ND	1/1	14.91			

Exhibit 7-14. Results of National-Scale Surface Water Risk Screening Assessment -Locations at which Dissolved Pb Measurements Exceed AWQC, Excluding Mining Sites ^a

4 Abbreviations: Same as Exhibit 7-10; CCC = Criterion Continuous Concentration (or chronic AWQC); CMC =

5 Criterion Maximum Concentration (or acute AWQC).

^a In order of increasing Hazard Quotient (HQ) for the CCC aquatic toxicity benchmark. HQ values greater than 1.0
 are highlighted in bold type. Additional information characterizing these locations is provided in Appendix H.

8

Of the 15 sampling locations in Exhibit 7-14, only three appear to be within 20 km of facilities
emitting relatively large quantities of Pb to the atmosphere (i.e., more than 1 ton per year): one is

in Oahu, Hawaii, one in Jewett City, Connecticut, and one in Manville, Rhode Island (see bold

12 entries in column "Facilities < 20 km"). Two additional sampling locations appear to be within

13 50 km of facilities emitting relatively large quantities of Pb, both in Connecticut; however,

14 whether these facilities are close enough to influence the Pb concentrations in the water column

15 at these sampling sites is unknown.

16

17 Of the three sampling locations within 20 km of facilities emitting more than 1 ton of Pb per

18 year, the location in Rhode Island might also be receiving a large fraction of its Pb from

19 upstream discharges from metal ore processing facilities (i.e., there are six such discharges out of

20 fourteen NPDES permitted facilities upstream of this sampling location).

21 22 23

7.2.4.2 Sediment Portion of the Screening Assessment

24 The sediment sampling locations used to represent each of the 15 non-mining sites are identified

in Exhibit 7-15. Co-located sediment and surface water data were available for 9 of the 15 sites.

In addition, there were three "near matches," with a sediment sampling station on the same creek

27 or river, but somewhat upstream or downstream of the surface water sampling location.

Exhibit 7-15. Results of Sediment Risk Screening Assessment – Stations With Exact or Near Match to Surface Water Stations at which Dissolved Pb Measurements Exceed AWOC, Excluding Mining Sites ^a

В	asin ID	State	Station ID	Land Use	Match	Name of Location
45	RIOG	NM	SW + Sed 8331000	Mixed	Yes	Rio Grande at Isleta
			SW 3852401- 06583600	Other/Mixed	No – nearest location is in	Slate River above Coal Creek near Crested Butte
44	UCOL	СО	Sed 3854371- 07015600	Mining	different water body	Oh-be-joyful Creek at mouth near rested Butte
			SW 1127000	Mixed	Near match	Quinebaug River at Jewett City
2	CONN	СТ	Sed 1126850	Mixed	with location on same river	Quinebaug River (Aspinock Pond) at Clayville
46		14/4	SW 12422500	Urban	No – nearest location is in	Spokane River at Spokane
40	NKOK	WA	Sed 12424000	Cropland	different water body	Hangman Creek at Spokane, Washington
46		\ \ /A	SW 12422000	Urban	Near match with location	Spokane River below Green Street at Spokane
40	NKOK	WA	Sed 12424500	Urban	on same river	Spokane River at 7 Mile Bridge Near Spokane, Washington
46	NROK	ID	SW + Sed 12392155	Forest	Yes	Lightning Creek at Clark Fork, Idaho
47	GRSL	UT	SW + Sed 4048471- 11240501	Rangeland	Yes	Silver Creek at Wanship, Utah
2	CONN	СТ	SW 1119375	Mixed	No other station in	Willimantic River at Merrow, Connecticut
			No Sed Match	na	county	na
31	OZRK	МО	SW + Sed 7018100	Forest	Yes	Big River Near Richwoods, Missouri
58	OAHU	HA	SW + Sed 16212700	Mixed	Yes	Waikakalaua Street Near Wahiawa, Oahu, Hawaii
1	NECB	RI	SW + Sed 1112900	Mixed	Yes	Blackstone River at Manville, Rhode Island
2	CONN	СТ	SW 1124000	Mixed	Near match	Quinebaug River at Quinebaug, Connecticut
2	CONN	CI	Sed 1126850	Mixed	SW 1127000	Quinebaug River (Aspinock Pond) at Clayville
46	NROK	ID	SW + Sed 12419000	Mixed	Yes	Spokane River Near Post Falls, Idaho
31	OZRK	AR	SW 7050500	Mixed	Yes	Kings River near Berryville
31	СООК	AK	6007151- 52572800	Reference	Yes	EF ORE C Near MTH near Johnson Glacier Near Tuxedni Bay, Alaska

Abbreviations: SW = surface water sampling station; Sed = sediment sampling station.

^a Locations listed in order of increasing Hazard Quotient for the CCC aquatic toxicity benchmark.

Measured Total Pb Sediment Concentrations: Exhibit 7-16 summarizes the total Pb sediment concentrations measured at 12 of the locations in Exhibit 7-15: nine locations with co-located

7 sediment and surface water data and the three "near-match" locations in Exhibit 7-15. The

1 NAWQA database appears to have only one measurement of Pb sediment concentrations for

- 2 each of these 12 locations. Several of those samples were taken in the early 1990s, earlier than
- 3 most of the surface water Pb concentrations that were summarized in the water column risk
- 4 screen. Nonetheless, assuming that sediment concentrations change slowly over time, which
- 5 may be one reason for the single samples in the NAWQA database, these data are of some value.
- 6 For comparison purposes, Exhibit 7-16 also provides the surface water HQ for Pb estimated by
- 7 dividing the maximum dissolved Pb concentration by the CCC. For the 12 sites in Exhibit 7-16,
- 8 the total Pb concentrations in sediments seemed to be trimodal. Seven total Pb concentrations 9 were between 22 and 68 mg/kg, two were at 220 and 240 mg/kg, and three were between 1620
- 9 were between 23 and 68 mg/kg; two were at 239 and 240 mg/kg, and three were between 1620
 10 and 2900 mg/kg.
- 11

12 Chronic Risks to Benthic Communities and Contribution of Air Pb Emissions to those

- 13 **Risks:** This section presents the HQs associated with measured total Pb concentrations in
- 14 sediments at the 12 sites presented in Exhibit 7-16. The HQs were calculated by dividing
- 15 potential Pb exposure concentrations by the TEC and PEC benchmarks for benthic communities
- 16 (MacDonald et al. 2000; 2003). The possible contributions of air Pb emissions to risks at these
- 17 locations are also discussed.
- 18
- 19 As shown in Exhibit 7-16, 9 of 12 sites had TEC-based HQs that exceeded 1.0; TEC-based HQs
- at the remaining three sites were less than 1.0. The three sites with HQs less than 1.0 are
- 21 unlikely to pose risks to benthic aquatic communities based on the available data. None of these
- three sites were those likely to be affected by air emissions of Pb from point sources (i.e., Pb
- emissions were less than 0.07 tons per year at all three sites).
- 24
- 25 PEC-based HQs at five sites exceeded 1.0, indicating probable adverse effects to ecological
- 26 receptors at these sites. Three of these five exceeded a PEC-based HQ of 10, indicating a very
- high probability of adverse effects to ecological receptors at these sites, and possibly higher
- 28 severity of effects than at the locations with lower HQ values. It is believed that none of these
- three sites were likely to be affected, however, by air emissions. One in Idaho was downstream
- from several NPDES-permitted discharges of metals to surface waters (station ID 12419000).
- 31 The other two sites are located in Utah and Montana, suggesting possible historic sediment
- 32 contamination from mining operations.

Exhibit 7-16. Concentrations of Total Pb in Sediments at Locations Near or Co-Located with the 15 Sites at which Dissolved
Pb Concentrations Exceeded the AWQC, Excluding Mining Sites ^a

			Station	Land			Total [Pb]	SW ⊔O [♭]	Pb Emissions (tons/year)		No. Upstream NPDES permits for metals ^b	Sediment Hazard Quotients	
Basin ID		State	ID	Use	Match	Date	dry sediment)	max [Pb]/CCC	Fac < 20 km	Fac < 50 km		[Pb]/TEC	[Pb]/PEC
45	RIOG	NM	SW + Sed 8331000	Mixed	Yes	02/25/93	23	1.03	0.068	0.095	0	0.64	0.18
2	CONN	СТ	Sed 1126850	Mixed	Near	07/20/93	68	1.13	6.1	7.0	0	1.9	0.53
46	NROK	WA	Sed 12424500	Urban	Near	08/05/98	47.3	1.14	0.39	0.43	0	1.3	0.37
46	NROK	ID	SW + Sed 12392155	Forest	Yes	07/29/98	24.9	1.32	0.0	0.0	1	0.70	0.19
47	GRSL	UT	SW + Sed 4048471- 11240501	Rangeland	Yes	07/22/99	2900	1.45	0.0	0.36	1	81	23
31	OZRK	МО	SW + Sed 7018100	Forest	Yes	08/25/92	2300	1.89	0.0	0.34	ND	64	18
58	OAHU	HA	SW + Sed 16212700	Mixed	Yes	07/12/00	59	1.98	4.9	4.9	ND	1.6	0.46
1	NECB	RI	SW + Sed 1112900	Mixed	Yes	06/30/99	240	2.51	4.1	11.7	6	6.7	1.9
2	CONN	СТ	Sed 1126850	Mixed	Near	07/20/93	68	2.53	0.081	11.3	0	1.9	0.53
46	NROK	ID	SW + Sed 12419000	Mixed	Yes	08/03/98	1620	2.69	0.34	0.43	4	45	13
31	OZRK	AR	SW + Sed 7050500	Mixed	Yes	11/02/93	28	3.46	0.0	0.01	0	0.78	0.22
31	СООК	AK	SW + Sed 6007151- 52572800	Reference	Yes	07/25/00	239	14.91	0.0	0.0	0	6.7	1.9

^a Exhibited in increasing order of the surface water (SW) column risk hazard quotient (HQ). HQs exceeding 1.0 are highlighted in bold type.

4 ^b Data from Exhibit 7-14 for corresponding locations.

5 Abbreviations: [Pb] = total Pb concentration in sediments (mg/kg dry sediment). CCC = Criterion Continuous Concentration (or chronic AWQC). For Study

6 Basin IDs, see Appendix H. TEC = threshold-effect concentration, and PEC = probable-effect concentration, both from the consensus-based sediment quality

7 criteria approach published by MacDonald et al. (2000; 2003).

Of the three locations in Exhibit 7-16 for which air emissions of Pb from point sources might be 1 contributing to ongoing Pb contamination of surface water and sediments (i.e., the 2nd, 7th, and 2 8th rows for locations in Connecticut, Hawaii, and Rhode Island, respectively), only one, the 3 4 Blackstone River in Manville, Rhode Island, is likely also to receive significant Pb inputs from upstream NPDES-permitted sites. That site also might have received historic inputs of Pb from 5 the use of leaded gasoline and subsequent erosion of soils to the river. The other two, the 6 7 Quinebaug River in Connecticut (a near match between the Jewett City and Clayville locations) 8 and the water body at Waikakalaua Street near Wahiawa, Oahu, Hawaii, had no other obvious 9 inputs of Pb in our assessment other than the point sources of air emissions within 20 km. Both 10 of those sites, however, are in "mixed" urbanized areas, and therefore could quite possibly also have historic Pb deposition from leaded gasoline and ongoing inputs of Pb to sediments from 11 erosion of soils contaminated by leaded gasoline. 12 13 14

15

7.3 **Limitations and Uncertainties**

This section summarizes primary limitations and uncertainties for each of the case studies and 16 the national-scale screening assessment. Section 7.3.1 addresses uncertainties and limitations 17 18 associated with the primary Pb smelter case study; Section 7.3.2 describes them for the 19 secondary Pb smelter facility case study; Section 7.3.3 discusses them for the near roadway non-20 urban case studies; and Section 7.3.4 addresses limitations and uncertainties associated with the 21 national-scale screening assessment for risks to aquatic organisms from Pb deposition from air to 22 surface waters. 23

- 24 Uncertainties that apply across the case studies and national-scale screening assessment include 25 but are not limited to the following:
- 27 The case study analyses and national-scale surface water and sediment screening • 28 assessment are limited to specific case study locations and other locations nationwide for 29 which dissolved Pb data in surface water were available. Efforts were made to ensure 30 that the exposure estimates were attributable to background Pb concentrations and air 31 emissions of Pb; however, it is uncertain whether other sources might have actually contributed to the Pb exposure estimates. 32
- 34 • The selected ecotoxicity screening values for surface waters and sediments might not be 35 sufficient to identify risks to some threatened or endangered species or unusually sensitive aquatic ecosystems. 36
- 37

33

26

38 The database supporting the current AWQC for Pb is over 20 years old. There are data to 39 indicate that Pb bioconcentrates to some extent in invertebrates (e.g., bioconcentration factors, or BCFs, of 500 to 1,700), and, to a lesser extent, in fish (e.g., BCFs of 42 to 45 40 in two species) in freshwater ecosystems. However, in 1984, data were insufficient to 41 42 estimate Final Tissue Residue Levels associated with adverse effects in fish, and thus the BCFs did not influence the CCC value (USEPA 1984). Also, EPA is evaluating whether 43 pH may also be an indicator of bioavailability in addition to water hardness. 44

• No adjustments were made for sediment-specific characteristics that might affect the bioavailability of Pb in sediments in the derivation of the sediment quality criteria used for this ecological risk assessment. Similarly, characteristics of soils for the case study locations were not evaluated for measures of bioavailability.

• Although the SSV calculated for birds is based on realistic parameter values for average diet composition, 90th percentile soil and diet ingestion rates, and a high-end Pb assimilation efficiency, a recent site-specific determination of a soil concentration protective of soil-invertebrate-consuming birds suggested that the value of 38 mg/kg dry soil is still overly conservative. Use of 90th percentile values for two parameters and the maximum Pb assimilation efficiency suggested by available data may be overly conservative.

12 13 14

15

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

7.3.1 Primary Pb Smelter Case Study

The ELM *Sampling and Analysis Plan* was designed to investigate possible ecological risks from all sources of Pb (and other contaminants) attributable to the primary Pb smelter without a need to attribute the source of Pb in ecologically sensitive areas (ELM 2003; ELM 2005). For purposes of the Pb NAAQS review, it is important to distinguish areas impacted primarily by air deposition of Pb from areas impacted primarily by Pb from other sources (e.g., soil runoff, groundwater discharge to surface water).

21 22

The soil sampling locations within a 2.1-km radius were all in areas that might have been subject to Pb inputs from Joachim Creek during flooding events. As such, the stations might not represent the concentrations of Pb in soils that result from direct air emissions from the smelter. This limitation may overstate the risks from deposition of Pb emitted from the facility.

27 28

7.3.2 Secondary Pb Smelter Case Study

29 30 The ecological risk assessment used modeled rather than soil concentration measurements to 31 estimate exposure because measured data were not available. Fate and transport modeling 32 limitations and uncertainties are described in Section 4.2.3.1. As discussed in this section, the 33 modeled soil concentrations were compared to measured soil concentrations from areas surrounding another secondary Pb smelter and were found to be approximately three times lower 34 35 than the measured concentrations. Therefore, the modeled results were scaled up three times to 36 address the potential under-prediction of the soil model when the results were compared to the 37 surrogate measurements. 38

39

7.3.3 Near Roadway Non-Urban Case Study

Few measurements were available to evaluate ecological risk associated with contaminated soils
near roadways in less developed areas where presence of ecological receptors may be
anticipated. It is also uncertain how representative of other roadways these data are.

1 It is unlikely that there is significant avian and mammalian use of habitats within 2 meters of

- heavily traveled roads; therefore, HQs above 1.0 at locations at 2 meters might not be associated
 with ecological impacts beyond those associated with traffic on the road.
- 4

5 For the Atlee, Virginia location, soil concentrations were measured at sampling locations

- 6 between 2 to 30 meters of intensely traveled roads. This assessment did not evaluate avian and
- 7 mammalian wildlife habitat use within 30 meters of roadways. Without this evaluation, the
- 8 Atlee, Virginia assessment is likely to overestimate the ecological risks of Pb in roadway soils.
- 9

The assessment did not address surface water ecosystem impacts of Pb from near roadway runoff
 of Pb contaminated soils. This may underestimate risks to aquatic receptors via this exposure
 pathway.

- 13
- 14 15

16 17

7.3.4 National-Scale Surface Water and Sediment Screening Assessment

7.3.4.1 Surface Water Column Screen

The analysis revealed only two or three NAWQA sampling locations nationwide where there appear to be potential risks to the aquatic community from Pb that might have originated from atmospheric deposition. However, this is likely to be a large underestimate of the true number of such sites for several reasons:

22 23

24

25

26

30

31

32

- The NAWQA Study Units cover less than 50 percent of the land area of the United States.
- Dissolved Pb was an analyte at only 16 percent of all NAWQA sampling locations.
- Dissolved Pb was measured only once or twice at many locations.
- For waters with a hardness of less than 47 mg/L as CaCO₃, the CCC for dissolved Pb is less than the quantitation limit for dissolved Pb that was used until the fall of 2000 (i.e., 1 μg/L).
 - Fewer than 15 percent of samples analyzed for dissolved Pb between 1994 and 2004 were assessed with the lower quantitation limit of 0.08 μg/L, which is a value that is sufficiently low to match the CCC for waters with a hardness as low as 4.7 mg/L CaCO₃.

The first two bullet points alone suggest that the number of such sites nationwide might easily be at least ten times higher than what was represented in the NAWQA database. In addition, where the land use around a sampling location was classified as "mining," no investigation was

conducted to determine whether air emissions from a nearby smelter might also be contributingto the Pb in the water.

39

40 There are many sources of uncertainty in the results presented for the sampling locations for41 which there were some data, including the following:

42 43

- Many sampling locations are represented by only one or two measurements of dissolved Pb.
- The water hardness for some sampling locations was not measured or is represented by only one or two measurements.

- Where there are multiple measures of both dissolved Pb and water hardness at a given location, no attempt was made to match sampling dates and times to develop time-specific CCC values.²
- The water hardness measured at some locations was less than the lowest value of
 20 mg/L of CaCO₃ used to develop the equation to calculate a CCC. The CCC equation
 is not necessarily valid at values less than 20 mg/L CaCO₃.
 - It is not known how quickly dissolved Pb concentrations changed at any of the locations.
 - The database supporting the current AWQC for Pb is over 20 years old; new AWQC for Pb should be available in 2007.
- 9 10 11

12

7

8

1

2 3

7.3.4.2 Sediment Screen

13 Results of this analysis cannot conclusively link any of the locations with probable adverse

14 effects of Pb in sediments on benthic communities to ongoing air emissions of Pb. There is no

15 clear correlation between HQs for the surface water column and HQs for the sediments. Some

16 locations with the highest Pb concentrations in sediments have no obvious air or NPDES-

17 permitted discharge sources of Pb. Some locations (e.g., Utah and Montana) suggest historical

18 drainage of Pb from mining activities. Other locations with high HQs for benthic communities

19 (e.g., near or in cities) suggest historical deposition of Pb from the use of leaded gasoline.

Erosion of Pb-contaminated soils from near roadways to these surface waters may be a significant ongoing source of Pb input in such areas.

significant ongoing source of Pt

23 This analysis was limited to those 15 locations from the NAWQA database at which dissolved

concentrations of Pb in surface waters exceeded the chronic AWQC for Pb. Those 15 locations

are believed to represent a small fraction of surface waters in the United States for reasons

26 described in Section 7.3.4.1.

27

An additional limitation is that where the land use around a sampling location was classified as

29 "mining," no investigation was conducted to determine whether air emissions from a nearby

30 smelter might also be contributing to the Pb in sediments. It was assumed that direct runoff and

erosion from the mining sites to the surface waters would have contributed the bulk of the Pb in

32 sediments.

33

Further limitations accrue from the sediment sampling data. There were only nine exact matches and three near matches between the 15 surface water sampling locations of interest and locations

36 at which sediment samples also were analyzed. Furthermore, there was a single sediment sample

at each of the locations of interest, some of which were taken in the early 1990s.

38

39 Finally, no adjustments were made for sediment-specific characteristics that might affect the

- 40 bioavailability of Pb in sediments in the derivation of the sediment quality criteria used for this
- 41 risk screen.

 $^{^{2}}$ The coefficient of variation (i.e., the standard deviation divided by the mean – see Appendix H for standard deviation and mean values for CaCO₃ measurements) for water hardness measurements was less than 10 or 20 percent for many stations; however, at some locations, the coefficient of variation exceeded 50 percent, indicating higher fluctuations in water hardness measurements.

8. References

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). (2002) *Health Consultation; Public Health Implications from Attending or Working at Herculaneum Schools; Herculaneum Lead Smelter Site; Herculaneum, Jefferson County, Missouri.* June.

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). (2003) *Health Consultation;* Blood Lead Results for 2002 Calendar Year; Herculaneum Lead Smelter Site; Herculaneum, Jefferson County, Missouri, EPA facility ID MOD006266373. August.

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). (2005) *Draft Toxicological Profile for Lead*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Pubic Health Service. September.

Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM). (2006) *Conversations with* representative of ADEM regarding available measured data from media in and around the secondary Pb smelter in Troy, AL. October 31 and November 1, 2006.

Alabama Natural Heritage Inventory. (2001) Alabama Inventory List: The Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species, Animals and Natural Communities of Alabama. Montgomery, AL: Privately printed by the Alabama Natural Heritage Program.

Alexander, F.W., Clayton, B.E., and Delves, H.T. (1974) Mineral and trace-metal balances in children receiving normal and synthetic diets. *Q. J. Med.* 43: 89-111.

Alvin, R., Diamond, J.R., Woods, M., Hall, J.A., and Martin, B.H. (2002) The vascular flora for the Pike County Poscosin Nature Preserve, Alabama. *Southeastern Naturalist*. 1(1):45-54.

Battelle Memorial Institute. (1996) *Sources of Lead in Soil: A Literature Review*. Prepared for by Battelle for EPA/OPPTS. EPA 747-R-98-001b.

Canfield, R.L., Henderson, C.R., Jr., Cory-Slechta, D.A., Cox, C., Jusko, T.A., and Lanphear, B.P. (2003) Intellectual impairment in children with blood lead concentrations below 10 µgrams per deciliter. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 348: 1517-1526.

Caravanos, J., Weiss, A.L., and Jaeger, R.J. (2006) An exterior and interior leaded dust deposition survey in New York City: Results of a 2-year study. *Environmental Research*. 100:159-164.

Casteel, S.W., Tessman R., Brattin W.J. and Wahlquist A.M. (2005) Relative Bioavailability of Lead in House Dust and Soil from the Herculaneum Lead Smelter Site in Herculaneum, Missouri. Prepared for Black & Veatch Special Projects Corporation. May 2005.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (1997) Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III), 1988-94, Dietary Recall Data Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/products/elec_prods/subject/nhanes3.htm.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2003) CDC Surveillance Data, 1997-2004. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nceh/lead/surv/stats.htm.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2004) Children's Blood Lead Levels in the United States. Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Branch, National Center for Environmental Health, CDC. Available at

http://www.cdc.gov/nceh/lead/research/kidsBLL.htm#National%20surveys.

Chirenje, T., Ma, L.Q., Reeves, M., and Szulczewski, M. (2004) Lead distribution in nearsurface soils of two Florida cities: Gainesville and Miami. Geoderma. 119(2):113-120.

Choctawhatchee Pea and Yellow Rivers Watershed Management Authority (CPYRWMA). (2006) About the Watersheds: The Rivers.

Clayton, C.A., Pellizzari, E.D., Whitmore, R.W., Perritt, R.L., and Quackenboss, J. J. (1999) National human exposure assessment survey (NHEXAS): distributions and associations of lead, arsenic, and volatile organic compounds in EPA Region 5. J. Exposure Anal. Environ. *Epidemiol.* 9: 381-392.

Cohen, J., Cook, R., Bailey, C.R., and Carr, E. (2005) Relationship between motor vehicle emissions of hazardous pollutants, roadway proximity, and ambient concentrations in Portland, Oregon. Environmental Modeling & Software. 20:7-12.

Cook, M.R. and Kopaska-Merkel, D.C. (1996) Hydrologic Characterization of the Water Resources of the Choctawhatchee-Pea Rivers Watershed - Phase I. Tuscaloosa, AL: Geological Survey of Alabama. 137p.

EC/R Incorporated. (2006) Secondary Lead Smelter Industry – Source Characterization for Residual Risk Assessment. Prepared for USEPA Office of Air and Radiation, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, NC. November.

ELM Consulting LLC. (2003) Sampling Analysis Plan: Characterization Area Investigation: The Doe Run Company Lead Smelter, Herculaneum, Missouri (Version 1). Prepared for the Doe Run Company. March.

ELM Consulting LLC. (2005) Characterization Area Investigation Report. July.

Environment Canada and Ministere de l-Environnement du Quebec (EC and MENVIQ). (1992) Interim Criteria for Quality Assessment of St. Lawrence River Sediment. Ottawa, Ontario: Environment Canada, ISBN 0-662-19849-2.

Fakayode, S.O., and Olu-Owolabi, B.I. (2003) Heavy metal contamination of roadside topsoil in Osogbo, Nigeria: its relationship to traffic density and proximity to highways. *Environmental* Geology. 44:150-157.

Filippelli, G.M., Laidlaw, M.A.S., Latimer, J.C., and Raftis, R. (2005) Urban lead poisoning and medical geology: An unfinished story. GSA Today. 15(1):4-11.

Gao, Y., Nelson, E.D., Field, M.P., Ding, Q., Li, H., Sherrell, R.M., Gigliotti, C.L., Van Ry, D.A., Glenn, T.R., and Eisenreich, S.J. (2002) Characterization of atmospheric trace elements on PM2.5 particulate matter over the New York-New Jersey harbor estuary. *Atmospheric Environment*. 36:1077-1086. (as cited in EPA 2006, criteria document)

Gradient Corporation. (2004) RAGS Part D Interim Deliverables Report for Community Risk Assessment; Herculaneum, Missouri (Draft). Prepared for the Don Run Company. October.

Great Backyard Bird Count (GBBC). (2003) Great Backyard Bird Count; 2003 Results: Troy, Alabama.

Gustavsson, N., Bolviken, B., Smith, D.B., and Severson, R.C. (2001) *Geochemical Landscapes* of the Conterminous United States -- New Map Presentations of 22 Elements. U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey. Professional Paper 1648. Available at <u>http://pubs.usgs.gov/pp/p1648</u>.

Hafen, M.R., and Brinkmann, R. (1996) Analysis of lead in soils adjacent to an interstate highway in Tampa, Florida. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*. 18:171-179. Hanson, R.L. (1991) *Evapotranspiration and Droughts in National Water Summary 1988-1989 - Hydrologic Events and Floods and Droughts*. U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2375. Available at http://geochange.er.usgs.gov/sw/changes/natural/et.

Harrison, G. (1987) A survey of the lead distribution in soil of Corpus Christi, Texas. *Texas Journal of Science*. 39(1):15-22.

Henderson, R. (2006) *Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC) Lead Review Panel's Consultation on EPA's Draft Analysis Plan for Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment for the Review of the Lead National Ambient Air Quality Standards*. Memorandum to Stephan Johnson, EPA Administrator, from Dr. Rogene Henderson. July. Available at http://www.epa.gov/sab/pdf/casac-con-06-006.pdf.

Hubbard Brook Information Oversight Committee. (2001) *Overview*. Available at <u>http://www.hubbardbrook.org/research/overview/hbguidebook.htm</u>.

ICF International. (2005) *Task 2: Near Roadway Concentrations (Revised): A Memorandum to Chad Bailey and Rich Cook, EPA from Jonathan Cohen and Arlene Rosenbaum.* September.

ICF International. (2006a) *Refinement of the Lead Eco-SSLs for Birds and Mammals for the Lead NAAQS Review: A Memorandum to USEPA from Meg McVey.* September.

ICF International. (2006b) Ambient PM2.5 and PM10 Sampling Conducted by Desert Research Institute (DRI) at Seven Locations – Pb Results Used in Pb NAAQS Pilot Phase Risk Assessment: A Memorandum to Zach Pekar, EPA, from David Burch and Arlene Rosenbaum. November.

Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE). (2006) *IMPROVE Database*. Available at <u>http://vista.circa.colostate.edu/improve/</u>.

International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). (2002) ICRP Publication 89: Basic anatomical and physiological data for use in radiological protection. Annals of the ICRP 32 (3-4):100.

Johnson, C., Siccama, T., Driscoll, C., Likens, G., and Moeller, R. (1995) Changes in lead biochemistry in response to decreasing atmospheric inputs. *Ecological Applications*. 5(3):813-822.

Keppie, D. M. and R. M. Whiting, Jr. 1994. *American Woodcock (Scolopax minor)*. In The Birds of North America, No. 100 (A. Poole and F. Gill, Eds.). Philadelphia: The Academy of Natural Sciences; Washington, D.C.: The American Ornithologists' Union.

Kimbrough, D.E. and Suffet, I.H. (1995) Off-site forensic determination of airborne elemental emissions by multi-media analysis: A case study at two secondary lead smelters. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 29:2217-2221.

Lanphear, B.P., Matte, T.D., Rogers, J., Clickner, R.P., Dietz, B., Bornschein, R.L., Succop, P., Mahaffey, K.R., Dixon, S., Galke, W., Rabinowitz, M., Farfel, M., Rohde, C., Schwartz, J., Ashley, P., and Jacobs, D.E. (1998) The Contribution of Lead-Contaminated House Dust and Residential Soil to Children's Blood Lead Levels: A Pooled Analysis of 12 Epidemiologic Studies. *Environmental Research* 79:51-68.

Lanphear, B.P., Hornung, R., Khoury, J., Yolton, K., Baghurst, P., Bellinger, D.C., Canfield, R.L., Dietrich, K.N., Bornschein, R., Greene, T., Rothenberg, S.J., Needleman, H.L., Schnaas, L., Wasserman, G., Graziano, J., and Robe, R. (2005) Low-level environmental lead exposure and children's intellectual function: An international pooled analysis. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 113(7).

Leggett, R.W. (1993) An Age-Specific Kinetic Model of Lead Metabolism in Humans. *Environ Health Perspect* 101:598-616.

Lejano, R.P. and Ericson, J.E. (2005) Tragedy of the temporal commons: Soil-bound lead and the anachronicity of risk. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*. 48(2):301-320.

MacDonald, D. D. 1994. *Approach to the Assessment of Sediment Quality in Florida Coastal Waters, Volume I.* Tallahasse, FL: Florida Department of Environmental Protection.

MacDonald, D.D., Ingersoll, C.G., and Berger, T.A. (2000) Development and evaluation of consensus-based sediment quality guidelines for freshwater ecosystems. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*. 39:20-31.

MacDonald, D.D., Ingersoll, C.G., Smorong, D.E., Lindskoog, R.A., Sloane, G., and Biernacki, T. (2003) *Development and Evaluation of Numerical Sediment Quality Assessment Guidelines for Florida Inland Waters*. British Columbia: MacDonald Environmental Sciences, Lt. Columbia, MO: U.S. Geological Survey. Prepared for: Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Tallahassee, FL. January. Maddaloni,M., Bellew,M., Diamond,G., Follansbee,M., Gefell,D., Goodrum,P., Johnson,M., Koporec,K., Khoury,G., Luey,J., Odin,M., Troast,R., Van,L.P., and Zaragoza,L. (2005) Assessing Lead Risks at Non-Residential Hazardous Waste Sites. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment* 11:967-1005

Maynard, J.B. (2006) Conversation with J. B. Maynard, University of Cincinnati, regarding sampling date for soil sampling performed in Corpus Christi, TX, for research on heavy metal contamination in highway soils. (See Turer and Maynard 2003 for discussion of sampling results.) November 3, 2006.

Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC). (2006) *MDC Heritage Database Results for Jefferson County*. Available at <u>http://www.mdc.mo.gov/cgi-</u>bin/heritage/search.cgi?county=Jefferson.

Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MDNR). (2000) 2000 Revision of the State Implementation Plan for Lead for the Doe Run Resources Corporation Primary Lead Smelter Herculaneum, Missouri, Public Hearing – October 26, 2000. Jefferson City, MO: Air Pollution Control Program, Division of Environmental Quality. October.

Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Division of Environmental Quality. (1999) *Preliminary Assessment: Herculaneum Lead Smelter Site, Jefferson County*, Missouri. March 30, 1999.

Moir, C. M., Freedman, B., and McCurdy, R. (1996) Metal mobilization from water-distribution systems of buildings serviced by lead-pipe mains. *Can. Water Resour. J.* 21: 45-52.

National Climatic Data Center (NCDC). (2006) *Climatography of the U.S., Maps of Annual 1961-1990, Normal Temperature, Precipitation and Degree Days.* Compiled by Owenby, J., Heim, Jr., R., Burgin, M., and Ezell, D. No. 81 - Supplement #3. Available at http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/documentlibrary/clim81supp3/clim81.html.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). (1997a) *Hourly United States Weather Observations 1990-1995*. Asheville, NC: National Climatic Data Center (NCDC).

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). (1997b) *Rediosonde Data of North America*. Asheville, NC: National Climatic Data Center.

NatureServe. (2006) *NatureServe Explorer: An Online Encyclopedia of Life [Web Application]. Version 5.0.* Arlington, VA and Pike County, AL: NatureServe. Available at <u>http://www.natureserve.org/explorer</u>.

Outdoor Alabama. (2003) *Listing of Alabama Species on the Federal List for Threatened and Endangered Species or Whose Status is a Concern.* Available at http://www.outdooralabama.com/watchable-wildlife/regulations/endangered-species.cfm.

Persaud, D., Jaagumagi, R., and Hayton, A. (1993) *Guidelines for the Protection and Management of Aquatic Sediment Quality in Ontario*. Canada: Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Energy. August. Pirrone, N., Keeler, G.J., and Warner, P.O. (1995) Trends of ambient concentrations and deposition fluxes of particulate trace metals in Detroit from 1982 to 1992. *Science of Total Environment*. 162(43):61. (as cited in EPA 2006, criteria document).

Pounds J.G. and Leggett J. (1998) The ICRP Age-Specific Biokinetic Model for Lead: Validations, Empirical Comparisons, and Explorations. *Environ. Health Pers.* 106 (Suppl 6):1505-1511.

Pounds JG. (2005) Personal communication with William Mendez, ICF International, including the ICRP (Leggett) model FORTRAN code and User's Manual.

Rieuwerts, J.S., Farago M., Cikrt M., and Bencko V. (1999) Heavy metal concentrations in and around households near a secondary lead smelter. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 58: 317-335.

Ruby, M.V., Schoof, R., Brattin, W., Goldade, M., Post, G., Harnois, M., Mosby, D.E., Casteel, S.W., Berti, W., Carpenter, M., Edwards, D., Cragin, D., and Chappell, W. (1999) Advances in evaluating the oral bioavailability of inorganics in soil for use in human health risk assessment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 33(21): 3697-3705.

Sánchez-Martin, M.J., Sánchez-Camazano, M. and Lorenzo, L.F. (2000) Cadmium and lead contents in suburban and urban soils from two medium-sized cities of Spain: influence of traffic intensity. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*. 64:250-257.

Schulman, L.L., Stimaitis, D.G., and Scire, J.S. (1997) *Addendum to ISC3 User's Guide: The Prime Plume Rise and Building Downwash Model*. Palo Alto, CA: Electric Power Research Institute. Earth Tech Document A287. A-99-05, II-A-12. November. Available at http://www.epa.gov/scram001/7thconf/iscprime/useguide.pdf.

Smith, S.L., MacDonald, D.D., Keenleyside, K.A., Ingersoll, C.G., and Field, L.J. (1996) A preliminary evaluation of sediment quality assessment values for freshwater ecosystems. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*. 22(3):624-638.

Soil Survey Division Staff. (1999) *Soil Taxonomy*. Soil Conservation. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436, Second Edition.

Speiran, G.K. (1998) Selected heavy metals and other constituents in soil and stormwater runoff at the Interstate 95 Interchange near Atlee, Virginia, April 1993-May 1997. U.S. Geological Survey. Water-Resources Investigations Report 98-4115, 39p.

Sutherland, R.A. and Tolosa, C.A. (2001) Variation in total and extractable elements with distance from roads in an urban watershed, Honolulu, Hawaii. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*. 127(4):315-338.

Sweet, C.W., Weiss, A., and Vermette, S.J. (1998) Atmospheric deposition of trace metals at three sites near. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*. 103:423-439. (as cited in EPA 2006, criteria document).
Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission (TNRCC). 2001. *Guidance for Conducting Ecological Risk Assessments at Remediation Sites in Texas*. Austin, TX: Toxicology and Risk Assessment Section, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission. RG-263 (revised).

Turer, D.G. and Maynard, J.B. (2003) Heavy metal contamination in highway soils. Comparison of Corpus Christi, Texas and Cincinnati, Ohio shows organic matter is key to mobility. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*. 4(4):235-245.

U.S. Census Bureau. (2005) *United States Census 2000: Summary File 1*. Public Information Office. Available at <u>http://www.census.gov/Press-Release/www/2001/sumfile1.html</u>.

U.S. Department of Transportation. (2006) *Highway Performance Monitoring System*. Federal Highways Administration. Available at <u>http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/policy/ohpi/hpms/index.htm</u>.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (1984) *Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Lead - 1984*. Washington, DC: Office of Water Regulations and Standards, Criteria and Standards Division. EPA 440/5-B4-027. Available at <u>http://yosemite.epa.gov/water/owrccatalog.nsf/065ca07e299b464685256ce50075c11a/05edf009d</u> <u>cde1d7d85256b060072307e!OpenDocument</u>.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (1989) *Review of the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for Lead: Exposure Analysis Methodology and Validation*. Research Triangle Park, NC: Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards. EPA-450/2-89-011. June.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (1990a) Methodology for Assessing Health Risks Associated with Multiple Pathways of Exposure to Combustor Emissions. Office of Health and Environmental Assessment. EPA 600/6-90/003. Available at http://www.ntis.gov/help/ordermethods.asp?loc=7-4-0.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (1990b) Review of the national ambient air quality standards for lead: assessment of scientific and technical information: OAQPS staff paper. Research Triangle Park, NC: Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards; report no. EPA-450/2-89/022. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, VA; PB91-206185. Available at http://www.epa.gov/ttn/naaqs/standards/pb/data/rnaaqsl_asti.pdf.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (1993) *Office of Water Policy and Technical Guidance on Interpretation and Implementation of Aquatic Life Metals Criteria: A Memoradum by Martha G. Prothro.* Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water. October. Available at

http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/library/wqcriteria/metalsinterpret.pdf.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (1994) Technical Support Document: Parameters and Equations Used in the Integrated Exposure Uptake Biokinetic Model for Lead in Children (v.099d). Office of Solid Waste. EPA 540/R-94/040.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (1995) User's Guide for the Industrial Source Complex (ISC3) Dispersion Models, Volume 1- User Instructions. Washington, DC: Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards. Available at

http://www.epa.gov/scram001/userg/regmod/isc3v1.pdf#search=%22%22user's%20guide%20for %20the%20industrial%20source%20complex%22%22.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (1998) *Methodology for Assessing Health Risks Associated with Multiple Pathways of Exposure to Combustor Emissions*. Cincinnati, OH: Update to EPA/600/6-90/003, EPA/NCEA (EPA 600/R-98/137). Available at oaspub.epa.gov/eims/eimscomm.getfile?p_download_id=427339.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2001) *Quality Assurance Project Plan for a Site Characterization at the Herculaneum Lead Smelter*. Herculaneum, Missouri, CERCLIS ID No.: MOD 006266373. Prepared for USEPA, Region 7, Superfund Division by USEPA Region 7 Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team 2. September.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2002a) *Addendum to User's Guide for the AERMOD Meteorological Preprocessor*. Research Triangle Park, NC: Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards; Emissions, Monitoring and Analysis Division. EPA-454/B-02-002b.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2002b) User's Guide for the Integrated Exposure Uptake Biokinetic Model for Lead in Children (IEUBK) Windows version – 32 bit version. EPA 540-K-01-005. OSWER #9285.7-42, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, Washington, DC.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2002c) Children-Specific Exposure Factors Handbook Interim Draft. National Center for Environmental Assessment, Office of Research and Development. EPA-600-P-00-002B.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2003) *Guidance for Developing Ecological Soil Screening Levels (Eco-SSLs), Attachment 1-4: Exposure Factors and Bioaccumulation Models for Derivation of Wildlife Eco-SSLs.* Washington, DC: Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. OSWER Directive 9285.7-55. November. Available at <u>http://rais.ornl.gov/homepage/ecossl.pdf</u>.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2004a) *User's Guide for the AMS/EPA Regulatory Model - AERMOD*. Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards. EPA-454/B-03-001. September.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2004b) *Addendum User's Guide for the AMS/EPA Regulatory Mode - AERMOD*. Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards. Available at <u>http://www.epa.gov/ttn/scram/7thconf/aermod/aerguide_addm.pdf</u>.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2005a) Integrated Exposure Uptake Biokinetic Model for Lead in Children, Windows® version (IEUBKwin v1.0 build 263). Available at <u>http://www.epa.gov/superfund/lead/products.htm</u>.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2005b) *Guidance for Developing Ecological Soil Screening Levels*. Washington, DC: Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. OSWER Directive 9285.7-55. November.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2005c) *Ecological Soil Screening Levels for Lead, Interim Final*. Washington, DC: Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. OSWER Directive 9285.7-70. Available at <u>http://www.epa.gov/ecotox/ecossl/pdf/eco-ssl_lead.pdf</u>.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2005d) *Procedures for the Derivation of Equilibrium Partitioning Sediment Benchmarks (EBSs) for the Protection of Benthic Organisms: Metal Mixtures (Cadmium, Copper, Lead, Nickel, Silver, and Zinc).* Washington, DC: Office of Research and Development. EPA-600-R-02-011.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2006a) *Plan for the Review of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Lead*. Research Triangle Park, NC: Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards. February. Available at http://www.epa.gov/ttn/naaqs/standards/pb/s_pb_cr_pd.html

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2006b) *Air Quality Criteria for Lead, Volume I of II*. Research Triangle Park, NC: National Center for Environmental Assessment - RTP Office. EPA/600/R-5/144aF. October. Available at: http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=158823

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2006c) *Review of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Lead: Policy Assessment of Scientific and Technical Information. OAQPS Staff Paper - First Draft.* Research Triangle Park, NC: Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards. December. Reference should be updated when the title is finalized.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2006d) Analysis Plan for Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment for the Review of the Lead National Ambient Air Quality Standards. Draft. Research Triangle Park, NC: Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards. May.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2006e) *1999 National-Scale Air Toxics Assessment*. Available at <u>http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/nata1999/nsata99.html</u>.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2006f) *AirData: Access to Air Pollution Data*. Available at <u>http://www.epa.gov/air/data</u>.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2006g) *Human Health Risk Assessment Protocol for Hazardous Waste Combustion Facilities*. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. EPA530-R-05-006. September. Available at http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/combust/risk.htm.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2006h) Specific estimates of dietary Pb intake developed by EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. Available at http://www.epa.gov/superfund/lead/ieubkfaq.htm

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2006i). 2002 National Emissions Inventory Data & Documentation, Final Version 1 Release. February. Available at: http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/net/2002inventory.html

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Region 7. (2000) *Final DOE Run Resources Corporation Administrative Order on Consent.*

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Region 7. (2006a) *Herculaneum Lead Master* 090804 (*Microsoft Access Database*). Obtained from Region 7 and Updated with 2005 and 2006 Data.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Region 7. (2006b) *Predicted Lead Deposition, Herculaneum, Missouri (April 1, 1997 through March 21, 1999). Memorandum from Richard L. Daye to Gene Gunn.* January.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). (2001) Total Diet Study. U. S. Food and Drug Administration Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition Office of Plant and Dairy Foods and Beverages (June 2001). Available at <u>http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~comm/tds-toc.html</u>.

U.S. Geological Survey. (2004) *National Water Quality Assessment Program*. Available at <u>http://water.usgs.gov/nawqa/data</u>.

van Wijnen JH, Clausing P, and Brunekreef B. (1990) Estimated soil ingestion by children. *Environ Res.* 51(2):147-62.

Vogelmann, J.E., Howard, S.M., Yang, L., Larson, C.R., Wylie, B.K., and Van Driel, J.N. (2001) Completion of the 1990's National Land Cover Data Set for the conterminous United States. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*. 67:650-662. Available at <u>http://edc.usgs.gov/products/landcover/nlcd.html</u>.

Von Lindern, I., Spalinger, S. Petroysan, V., and Von Braun, M. (2003) Assessing Remedial Effectiveness Through Blood Lead:Soil/Dust Relationship at the Bunker Hill Superfund Site in the Silver Valley of Idaho. *Science of the Total Environment* 303: 139–170

Wang, E., Bormann, F., and Benoit, G. (1995) Evidence of complete retention of atmospheric lead in the soils of northern hardwood forested ecosystems. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 29(3):735-739.

Wang, E. and Benoit, G. (1996) Mechanisms controlling the mobility of lead in the spodosols of a northern hardwood forest ecosystem. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 30(7):2211-2219.

White, P.D., Van Leeuwen, P., Davis, B.D., Maddaloni, M., Hogan, K.A., and Marcus, A.H. (1998) The conceptual structure of the integrated exposure uptake biokinetic model for lead in children. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 106(S6):1513-1530.

Wu, Z.Y., Han, M., Lin, Z.C., and Ondov, J.M. (1994) Chesapeake Bay atmospheric deposition study, year 1: Sources and dry deposition of selected elements in aerosol particles. *Atmospheric Environment*. 28:1471-1486. (as cited in EPA 2006, criteria document).

Yanai, R., Ray, D., and Siccama, T. (2004) Lead reduction and redistribution in the forest floor in New Hampshire northern hardwoods. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 33(1):141-148.

Yi, S.M., Shahin, U., Sivadechathep, J., Sofuoglu, S.C., and Holsen, T.M. (2001) Overall elemental dry deposition velocities measured around Lake Michigan. *Atmospheric Environment*. 35:1133-1140. (as cited in EPA 2006, criteria document).

Ziegler, E. E., Edwards, B. B., Jensen, R. L., Mahaffey, K. R., and Fomon, S. J. (1978) Absorption and retention of lead by infants. *Pediatr. Res.* 12: 29-34.