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#### Abstract

Approximately 100 new strains of the Pediastrum-phenotype were isolated from inland waters of India, eastern and southwestern Africa, and Germany and were studied by light and scanning electron microscopy. The 18 S rRNA and ITS genes of 28 strains were sequenced and compared with 25 sequences of Hydrodictyaceae from the GenBank database. Phylogenetic analyses confirmed the polyphyly of Pediastrum. The new species Sorastrum pediastriforme was described. This species resembled morphologically Pediastrum, however, the phylogenetic analyses revealed its affiliation within the genus Sorastrum. Furthermore, the following new combinations: Monactinus sturmii, Pseudopediastrum alternans, Pp. brevicorne, Pp. integrum, and $P$ p. pearsonii were established.
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## Introduction

The family Hydrodictyaceae (Chlorophyceae) is from a morphological point of view, one of the most diverse natural lineages of coccoid green algae and comprises microscopic colonies of Pediastrum Meyen, Euastropsis Lagerh. and Sorastrum Kütz. as well as macroscopic colonies of Hydrodictyon Roth. Inspired by members of the genus Pediastrum, systematists have established more than 350 taxa (Parra 1979). A flood of observations and revisions were published about Pediastrum and summarized by KомÁrek \& Fotт (1983). The most recent review reduced the number of Pediastrum-species to 24 (Комárek \& Jankovská 2001). The other genera included smaller numbers of species: one of Euastropsis, seven of Sorastrum, and five of Hydrodictyon (according to KомÁreк \& Fott 1983).

The first molecular phylogenetic studies including only two members of Hydrodictyaceae showed the close relationship of Hydrodictyon reticulatum (L.) Lagerh. and Pediastrum duplex Meyen (Wilcox et al. 1992; Lewis 1997) and their placement within Sphaeropleales (Buchнеim et al. 2001). An expanded phylogenetic analysis of 26 S rRNA, $5.8 \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{ITS} 2$ genes using sequences of seven
strains of Hydrodictyon, 29 strains of Pediastrum and three strains of Sorastrum revealed a pattern of colony-form evolution within the family from twodimensionality to three-dimensionality (McManus \& Lewis 2005). Another molecular phylogenetic study of 28 hydrodictyacean strains resulted in taxonomic revisions (Bucheeim et al. 2005). It was shown that the genus Pediastrum is of polyphyletic origin. Therefore, beside Pediastrum the genera Monactinus (Turpin) E. Hegewald, Stauridium (Printz) E. Hegewald, Pseudopediastrum E. Hegewald and Parapediastrum E. Hegewald were delineated. Nevertheless, the number of strains analysed was very low, for example the genera Monactinus (formerly Pediastrum simplex) and Parapediastrum ( $P$. biradiatum) were described from a single strain (Bucheeim et al. 2005). Members of the unicellular genus Tetraedron Küтz. were linked phylogenetically to the Hydrodictyaceae (Buchнeim et al. 2005; McManus \& Lewis 2005) however, the relative position was not clear from these analyses.

The morphospecies $P$. duplex was shown within different phylogenetic lineages of Hydrodictyaceae (McManus \& Lewis 2005). Therefore, one of these lineages was established as new genus Lacunastrum H. McManus (McManus et al. 2011). More detailed phylogenetic analyses based on 26 S rRNA and $r b c \mathrm{~L}$
genes on P. duplex and its comparison to other members of Hydrodictyaceae were provided by Mc Manus \& Lewis (2011).

We have isolated ca. 100 strains of Hydrodictyaceae from three different continents - Africa, Asia and Europe - and studied them morphologically. From this collection, we subjected 28 strains to molecular phylogenetic analyses by sequencing the nuclear 18 S rRNA gene and ITS genes and the analyses confirmed the polyphyly of the genus Pediastrum. For species delineation, we applied the CBC concept (Coleman 2003). In the frame of this study we described the new species Sorastrum pediastriforme Jena, C. Bock, Behera et Krienitz, and established five new combinations in the genera Pseudopediastrum and Monactinus.

## Material and Methods

Algal cultures. About 100 unialgal strains of Pediastrumphenotype were isolated from field samples of inland waters of India, Israel, Kenya, Namibia, and Germany by microcapillaries and grown in a modified Waris solution (McFadden \& Melkonian 1986). All strains were maintained at the algal strain collection of the Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries (IGB) at Stechlin, Germany, and grown in suspensions at $15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a $14: 10$ h light-dark regime. The strain of the newly described Sorastrum pediastriforme and the strains representing the five new combinations were deposited at the Culture Collection of Algae at the University of Göttingen (SAG, Germany).

Microscopy. The algae were examined using a Nikon Eclipse E600 light microscope (LM) with differential interference contrast. Photomicrographs (Figs 3-6) were taken with a Nikon Digital Camera DS-Fil, and Nikon software NISElements D (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). For scanning electron microscopy (SEM) the colonies of cultured material were fixed in $2.5 \%$ glutaraldehyde in culture medium for 30 $\min$ at $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, washed three times in 3 mM Hepes buffer $(\mathrm{pH}$ 7.0), and postfixed in $1 \%$ osmium tetroxide in a $1: 1$ mixture of $2 \% \mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ and 3 mM Hepes for 1 h . The fixed cells were then washed three times in Hepes buffer and dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol dilutions. Following dehydration, the material was dried at the critical point using aBALTEC CPD 030 critical point dryer (Balzers, Schalkmühle, Germany). Dried material was mounted on aluminium stubs, coated with gold/palladium by a Polaron CA 508 sputtercoater (Fisons Instruments, VG Microtech, Uckfield, UK) and examined under using the field-emission SEM Hitachi S-4500 (Hitachi Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) at $5 \mathrm{kV}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~A}$.

DNA Isolation, PCR and Sequencing. A total of 28 strains were selected for molecular phylogenetic analyses. Algal cells were mechanically disrupted in the presence of glass beads ( $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter, Carl Roth $\mathrm{GmbH}+\mathrm{Co}$. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany) using the Retsch MM 2000 (Retsch, Hahn, Germany) bead disruptor. Total Genomic DNA was extracted according to Krienitz et al. (2012). The 18S rRNA and ITS-2 rRNA genes were amplified and sequenced as described by Bock et al. (2011). The newly sequenced strains
were compared with 24 sequences of Hydrodictyaceae and one (outgroup) Scenedesmus-sequence from the GenBank database (National Center for Biotechnology Information [NCBI] http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). The origin and accession numbers of all these 53 strains are listed in Table 1.

Phylogenetic analyses. Based on a concatenated data set (18S rRNA and ITS-2 rRNA) of 47 sequences with 1872 aligned base positions (excluding intron) phylogenetic analyses were performed. The Sequence alignment was done manually according to their secondary structure (Coleman 2003) using the SequentiX Alignment Editor (Hepperle 2004). The ITS-1 rRNA was not unambiguously alignable and was, therefore, excluded from the data set. In total, 28 strains that include representatives of the genera Pediastrum, Pseudopediastrum, Parapediastrum, Monactinus and Sorastrum were choosen from the GenBank database (National Center for Biotechnology Information [NCBI] http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/). The selection of additional sequences were done based on the publications of Buchнeim et al. (2005) and McManus \& Lewis (2005, 2011). Scenedesmus obliquus UTEX 393 was selected as outgroup. The phylogenetic tree in Figure 1 was infered from a concatenated dataset using Treefinder (Jobв 2008). The applied models were chosen as proposed by Treefinder: For 18S rRNA (1640 bases) the Tamura Nei model with Gamma distribution (TN:G:5), and for ITS-2 the transitional model with Gamma distribution (J2:G:5) were chosen.

To confirm the tree topology, bootstrap analyses were calculated by distance (neighbor-joining; NJ; 1000 replicates), parsimony (MP; 1000 replicates) using PAUP*, version 4.0b10 (Swofford 2002) and maximum likelihood (ML; 1000 replicates; settings as described above) criteria. Bayesian inference (Bayesian posterior probabilities [BPP]; using the covarion model; 1 million generations) were calculated using MrBayes version 3.1 (Huelsenbeck \& Ronquist 2001). The Bayesian analyses were carried out until the average standard deviations of split frequencies between two runs was lower than 0.01 . The first $25 \%$ of the calculated trees was discarded as burn-in. A $50 \%$ majorityrule consensus tree was calculated for posterior probabilities (PP).

Secondary structures analysis. Secondary structures of ITS-2 were constructed with the help of mfold (Zucker 2003).The program 4SALE (Seibel et al. 2006, 2008; Schultz \& Wolf 2009) was used for comparision of ITS-2 among the strains to locate compensatory base changes (CBCs) including Hemi-CBCs in the aligned ITS-2 sequences. The overview graphic was performed with PseudoViewer (Byun \& Han 2006). In order to find molecular criteria to discriminate the species, these secondary structures were checked for Compensatory Base Changes (CBCs) including Hemi-CBCs using 4SALE (Seibel et al. 2006, 2008).

## Results

The concatenated set of 18 S rRNA and ITS-2 sequences of 47 strains was included in the analyses to assess the phylogeny of Hydrodictyaceae. The 28 newly sequenced strains were placed in seven
subclades of the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1). Generally, the family of Hydrodictyaceae formed a monophyletic group well supported in the statistical analyses, only the ML analyses resulted in a lower bootstrap value of 67. Members of the genus Pediastrum sensu lato, which were in the focus of this study, were grouped into different lineages. According to suggestions of Buchнeim et al. (2005) these lineages were regarded as genera and designated as Pediastrum, Pseudopediastrum, Monactinus, Stauridium and Parapediastrum. Two strains belonged to the recently erected genus Lacunastrum (McManus et al. 2011). Hydrodictyon was closely related to Pediastrum, and Sorastrum is placed on the base of the tree as sister to all other hydrodictyacean subclades. The bootstrap support of different genera was high, only the Pseudopediastrum clade earned low support. The position of Tetraedron remained ambiguous.

The strain MJ 2008/46 (SAG 2392) from a dam near Nyahururu in Kenya clustered in the Sorastrum clade. Members of the genus Sorastrum normally, were characterized by three-dimensional colonies. However, the MJ 2008/46 (SAG 2392) strain showed flat coenobia (Figs $2 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ ) resembling morphospecies of the genus Pediastrum, in particular those of $P$. duplex. Only few cells displayed a three-dimensional (tetrahedric) shape (Fig. 2d). This material was described as new species Sorastrum pediastriforme (see taxonomic conclusions).

Members of the genus Monactinus were found in India, Kenya and Germany. Whereas the strain from Germany exhibited the typical morphology of $M$. simplex var. simplex with large irregular holes within the coenobium (Figs 3a-b), the four tropical strains resembled M. simplex var. sturmii with stocky cells and smaller holes (Figs 3c-d).

Six new strains clustered in the Pseudopediastrum clade. These strains showed characteristic differences (Figs 4a-d, 5a-d). Whereas the strains MJ 2008/33 and MJ 2008/35 from Lake Dollgow in Germany fully met the characteristics of Pseudopediastrum boryanum (Turp.) Menegh. (not shown), the others exhibited features of other taxa. Strain MJ 2008/83 from the river Cuando in Namibia possesses long conical lobes and deep incisions, resembling with Pseudopediastrum alternans Nyg. (Figs 4a-b). Strain MJ 2008/28 from Lake Dollgow in Germany had short lobes with shallow incisions and resembled Pp. boryanum var. brevicorne A. Braun (Figs 4c, d). Strain MJ 2008/86 from a pond in Namibia with short rounded apices and very shallow incisions resembled Pseudopediastrum integrum Nägeli (Figs 5a, b). Strain KR 2006/01 from Lake Naivasha, Kenya, similar in morphology but different in molecular criteria, resembled $P p$. integrum var. pearsonii (G.S. West) Fritsch (Figs 5c, d) known from tropical regions. Because of the clustering of these strains in the same clade, they all were considered as species of
the genus Pseudopediastrum. For the essential new combinations see taxonomic conclusions.

Members of the former morphospecies Pediastrum duplex clustered in two different clades of the tree. Six of these strains remained in P. duplex, whereas two other strains were determined as Lacunastrum gracillimum (Figs 6a-d). These strains had relatively long processes and the ultrastructure of the cell wall was rippled, smooth, but not net-shaped and granular. These results confirmed the findings of McManus et al. (2011). Five new strains of Stauridium tetras (Ehrenb.) E. Hegewald from Kenya and India and $S$. privum (Printz) E. Hegewald from Kenya and Germany clustered in the clade of Stauridium. One strain of Parapediastrum biradiatum (Meyen) E. Hegewald from Germany clustered together with a strain from Sweden in the Parapediastrum clade. These results confirmed the findings of Buchнeim et al. (2005).

To test the results of the topology of the phylogenetic tree, the secondary structure of the ITS-2 was studied, with the main goal to find CBCs and hemiCBCs associated with individual taxa. The secondary structure of the taxa studied exhibited the common pattern with four helices, in which the helix I was branched, shown here using Sorastrum pediastriforme (Fig. 7) as exemplar. To establish an overview, we made a pairwise comparison of the CBCs of the conserved regions of all helices between the species which were discovered to be the closest relatives to each other according to the tree. Sorastrum pediastriforme differs from S. spinulosum (UTEX 37) by 2 CBCs in Helix I (not shown). Monactinus simplex and M. sturmii differed by 2 CBCs and 5 or 6 hemi-CBCs in helix III (Table 2). The species of Pseudopediastrum differed in the following numbers of CBC (Table 3): $P p$. boryanum differed from Pp. kawraiskyi by 2 CBCs in helix III. Pp. alternans differed from Pp. brevicorne only by hemi-CBCs, 3 in helix I and 1 in helix III. $P p$. integrum differed from Pp. kawraiskyi by 2 CBCs in helix III. Pp. pearsonii differed from Pp. integrum by 1 CBC in helix I and 1 CBC in helix III (not shown).

## Discussion

Our study confirmed the polyphyletic origin of members of the genus Pediastrum which was already shown by Buchheim et al. (2005) and McManus \& Lewis (2005). Furthermore, we confirmed the generic conception of Hydrodictyaceae and studied new strains from all genera of the relationship of Pediastrum sensu lato (Parapediastrum, Pseudopediastrum, Lacunastrum, Monactinus, and Stauridium) as well as Sorastrum. Generally, the differentiation between the genera of Hydrodictyaceae is validated by both, morphological and molecular criteria. However, an interesting example was uncovered contrasting with this general


### 0.01 <br> MB/ML/ <br> NJ/MP

Fig. 1. Phylogeny according to the concatenated SSU and ITS-2 rRNA gene data set of the studied Hydrodictyaceae. Values at the nodes indicate statistical support estimated by four methods - Bayesian support (MB BPP), maximum likelihood (ML), maximum parsimony (MP) and neighbor joining (NJ). Hyphens indicate support below $50 \%$ for ML, MP, NJ and below 0.95 for MB BPP.


Fig. 2. Micrographs of Sorastrum pediastriforme (MJ 2008/46) in culture: (a) LM; (b-d) SEM. The arrow in (d) indicates a tetrahedric cell. Scale bar $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (a); $14 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (b); $6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (c), $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (d).


Fig. 3. Micrographs of Monactinus in culture: (a, b) M. simplex (MJ 2008/34); (c, d) M. sturmii (MJ 2009/172). Scale bar $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (a); $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (b); $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d})$.


Fig. 4. Micrographs of different Pseudopediastrum strains: (a, b) Pp. alternans (MJ 2008/83); (c, d) Pp. brevicorne (MJ 2008/28). Scale bar $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (a); $12 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (b); $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (c, d).


Fig. 5. Micrographs of different Pseudopediastrum strains: (a, b) Pp. integrum (MJ 2008/86); (c, d) P. pearsonii (KR 2006/01). Scale bar 12 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ (a) $; 20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (b); $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (c) $; 12 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (d).


Fig. 6. Micrographs of Lacunastrum gracillimum strains: (a, b) MJ 2009/123; (c, d) MJ 2009/162. Scale bar $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (a); $36 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (b); $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (c); $22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (d).


Fig. 7. Secondary structure of the ITS-2 of Sorastrum pediastriforme strain SAG 2392. Sorastrum spinulosum strain UTEX LB2452 as core struture, intraspecific base changes between strain SAG 2392 and UTEX LB2452 are marked in circles.
view. The new species Sorastrum pediastriforme which morphologically resembles Pediastrum but molecular phylogenetic analyses reveals its alliance with Sorastrum.

Studying two strains of Lacunastrum gracillimum from India, we confirmed the polyphyly of the Pediastrum duplex phenotype revealed by McManus et al. (2005) and the delineation of the genus Lacunastrum by McManus et al. (2011). It was shown that phylogenetic findings sharpen the eyes for subsequent careful morphometric evaluation of diagnostic features to discriminate the two very similar phenotypes of $P$. duplex and $L$. gracillimum (McManus et al. 2011).

In the present state we are still working to clarify the interplay between morphology and phylogeny in Hydrodictyaceae with reference to the other two main clades of planktonic Chlorophyceae, the Scenedesmaceae and Selenastraceae. The interpretation of the state widely depends on exemplarily treated groups. In Scenedesmaceae an extraordinary level of morphological variability must be reconciled to a scarcity of molecular peculiarities such as compensatory base changes (Vanormelingen et al. 2007). On the other side, the relatively uniforme spindle-shaped phenotype of Acutodesmus represents


Fig. 8. Drawings of Sorastrum pediastriforme (MJ 2008/46). Scale bar $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (a, b, e, f); $6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (c, d, g, h). (a) iconotype.
different phylogenetic clades (Hegewald \& Wolf 2003; Lewis \& Flechtner 2004; Hegewald et al 2013). In Selenastraceae similar phenotypes such as the needle-shaped or crescent-shaped morphology hide a multitude of phylotypes (Fawley et al. 2007; Krienitz et al. 2011). In the Hydrodictyaceae members of the genus Pseudopediastrum are characterized both by high morphological diversity and phylogenetic divergence resulting in four new combinations in this study. Regardless of the molecular background that has revealed overwhelming phenotypic diversity of the Hydrodictyaceae, it seems unlikely that more genuslevel diversity will be uncovered. It is suspected that the complicated Pediastrum-like phenotype of most members of this family has evolved infrequently, at best, and independently than easier constructed phenotypes such as spheres which undergo convergent evolution (Potter et al. 1997; Krienitz \& Bock 2012).

Our survey of Pediastrum and related genera from three continents did not provide arguments for endemism of this group, because most of the taxa were found in different geographic regions. Even species formerly known only from tropical or subtropical regions like Monactinus (Pediastrum) simplex extended their distribution area into the temperate zone (Coesel \& Krienitz 2008). The species P. pearsonii known from tropics (Комárek \& Jankovská 2001) we
found only in Kenya. Probably, this is the exception that proves the rule of cosmopolitan distribution.

## Taxonomic conclusions

Sorastrum pediastriforme Jena et C. Bock sp. nov. (Figs 2a-d, 9a-h)
Desriptio: Coenobia plus minusve planae multicellularis, 4-8-16 cellulae continens. Cellulae externae per duos lobis formae, rare trilobates. Lobis complanes, elongates cum apicibus coronatis. Cellulae interiorae quadricornutae per foramen inter cellulae. Chloroplastus unicus, parietale, massivus, cum pyrenoido. Propagatio zoosporis.
A speciebus ceteris generis ordine nucleotidorum in $18 S$ and ITS rRNA differt.

Coenobia more or less flat, multicellular, containing $4-8-16$ cells. Outer cells two-lobated, seldom trilobated. Lobae elongated with crown-like apices. Inner cells four-lobated with holes between the cells. One parietal, massive chloroplast per cell with a pyrenoid. Propagation by zoospores. The species differs from other species by the order of the nucleotides in SSU and ITS rRNA gene sequences.
Holotype: an air-dried sample of the authentic strain SAG 2392 (MJ 2008/46) deposited at the Botanical Museum at Berlin-Dahlem, Germany under the
designation B40 0040819.
Iconotype: our Fig. 9a
Authentic strain: SAG 2392 deposited at the Culture Collection of Algae at the University of Göttingen (SAG, Germany).
Type locality: Kenya, dam near Nyahururu
Etymology: The species epithet was selected because of the morphological similarity of the colonies to species of the genus Pediastrum.

## Monactinus sturmii (Reinsch) Jena et C. Bock comb. nov. <br> Basionym: Pediastrum sturmii Reinsch 1867. Die Algenflora des mittleren Theiles von Franken, p. 90, pl. VII, fig. Ia-d. <br> Synonym: Pediastrum simplex var. sturmii (Reinsch) Wolle 1887.

Epitype (designated here): An air-dried as well as a formaldehyde-fixed sample of the strain MJ 2009/172, deposited at the Botanical Museum at Berlin-Dahlem under the designation B40 0040814.
Authentic strain: SAG NA2013.007 (MJ 2009/172) deposited at the Culture Collection of Algae at the University of Göttingen (SAG, Germany).

## Pseudopediastrum alternans (Nygaard) Jena et C. Bock comb. nov.

Basionym: Pediastrum alternans Nygaard 1949. Kongl. Dansk Vid. Selskab., Biol. Skr. København, p. 42, fig. 16.

Epitype (designated here): An air-dried sample of the strain MJ 2008/83 deposited at the Botanical Museum at Berlin-Dahlem under the designation B40 0040815. Authentic strain: SAG NA2013.008 (MJ 2008/83) deposited at the Culture Collection of Algae at the University of Göttingen (SAG, Germany).

## Pseudopediastrum brevicorne (A. Braun) Jena et C.

 Bock comb. et stat. nov.Basionym: Pediastrum boryanum var. brevicorne A. Braun 1855. Alg. unicell, p. 86, fig. IIB.

Epitype (designated here): An air-dried sample of the strain MJ 2008/28 deposited at the Botanical Museum at Berlin-Dahlem under the designation B40 0040816. Authentic strain: SAG NA2013.009 (MJ 2008/28) deposited at the Culture Collection of Algae at the University of Göttingen (SAG, Germany).

## Pseudopediastrum integrum (Nägeli) Jena et C.

 Bоск comb. nov.Basionym: Pediastrum integrum Nägeli 1849. Gattungen einzelliger Algen, p. 97, pl. V: B, fig. 4.

Epitype (designated here): An air-dried sample of the strain MJ 2008/86 deposited at the Botanical Museum at Berlin-Dahlem under the designation B40 0040817. Authentic strain: SAG NA2013.010 (MJ 2008/86) deposited at the Culture Collection of Algae at the

University of Göttingen (SAG, Germany).
Pseudopediastrum pearsonii (G.S. West) Jena et C. Воск comb. nov.
Basionym: Pediastrum pearsonii G.S. West 1912. Ann. S. Afr. Mus. 9, p. 79, figs. 30-32.
Synonym: Pediastrum integrum var. pearsonii (G.S. West) Fritsch in Fritsch et Stephens 1921.

Epitype (designated here): An air-dried sample of the strain KR 2006/01 deposited at the Botanical Museum at Berlin-Dahlem under the designation B40 0040818. Authentic strain: SAG NA2013.011 (KR 2006/01) deposited at the Culture Collection of Algae at the University of Göttingen (SAG, Germany).
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Table 1. List of strains used in this study.

| Strain ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Species | Origin | Accession number ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UTEX LB782 | Hydrodictyon africanum | South Africa, Cape Flats | AY663031 | Buchheim et al. 2005 |
|  |  | USA, Indiana | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AY779861 } \\ & \text { AY779858 } \end{aligned}$ | Buchheim et al. 2005 |
| CBS | Hydrodictyon reticulatum |  | AY779862 |  |
| MJ 2009/123 | Lacunastrum gracillimum | India, Orissa, pond at Athagarh | HM021304 | this study |
| MJ 2009/162 | Lacunastrum gracillimum | India, Orissa, pond at Chadneswar | HM021305 | this study |
| UTEX 1601 | Monactinus simplex | unknown | AY780664 <br> AY780655 | Buchheim et al. 2005 |
| KR 2008/06 | Monactinus sturmii | India, Kerala, pond at Kochi | HM021323 | this study |
| MJ 2008/34 | Monactinus sturmii | Germany, Lake Dollgow | HM021323 | this study |
| MJ 2009/169 | Monactinus sturmii | India, Orissa, pond at Chadneswar | HM021322 | this study |
| MJ 2009/172 | Monactinus sturmii | India, Orissa, River Mohanadi | HM021321 | this study |
| SAG NA2013.007 |  |  |  |  |
| MJ 2009/284 | Monactinus sturmii | Kenya, Lake Baringo | HM021325 | this study |
| UTEX 37 | Parapediastrum biradiatum | Sweden | AY663034 <br> AY779865 | Buchheim et al. 2005 |
| MJ 2008/60 | Parapediastrum biradiatum | Germany, Lake Schwanter See | HM021320 | this study |
| UTEX LB1366 | Pediastrum angulosum | Czech Republic, Podbansko pool, High Tatra Mountains | AY663032 <br> AY779863 | Buchheim et al. 2005 |
| UTEX LB1370 | Pediastrum angulosum | Czechoslovakia, Doksy, Machovo jezero pool | AY663033 <br> AY779864 | Buchheim et al. 2005 |
| LN0201NC | Pediastrum duplex | USA, North Carolina, Lake Norman | AY577744 | McManus \& Lewis 2005 |
| SAG 28.83 | Pediastrum duplex | Germany, Pfälzer Wald, forest pond | AY780662 <br> AY780653 | Buchheim et al. 2005 |
| SAG 84.80 | Pediastrum duplex | Germany, Schöhsee, Plön | AY577748 | McManus \& Lewis 2005 |
| SF0202NY | Pediastrum duplex | USA, New York, Stockpot Flats | AY577757 | McManus \& Lewis 2005 |
| KR 2005/327 | Pediastrum duplex | Israel, Lake Kinneret | HM021298 | this study |
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| MJ 2008/01 | Pediastrum duplex | Germany, Lake Dagow | HM021300 | this study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MJ 2008/06 | Pediastrum duplex | Kenya, dam near Nyahururu | HM021301 | this study |
| MJ 2008/24 | Pediastrum duplex | Germany, Lake Geron | HM021303 | this study |
| MJ 2008/54 | Pediastrum duplex | Kenya, dam near Nyahururu | HM021299 | this study |
| MJ 2009/107 | Pediastrum duplex | India, Orissa, pond at Bhubaneswar | HM021302 | this study |
| RL0201FR | Pediastrum sp. | France, Reilhac Lake | AY577749 | McManus \& Lewis 2005 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MJ 2008/83 } \\ & \text { SAG NA2013.008 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Pseudopediastrum alternans | Namibia, River Cuando near Kongola | HM021311 | this study |
| OL0301MN | Pseudopediastrum boryanum | USA, Minnesota, Otter Lake | AY577759 | McManus \& Lewis 2005 |
| EL0203CT | Pseudopediastrum boryanum | USA, Storrs, Eagleville Lake Connectcut | AY577742 | McManus \& Lewis 2005 |
| UTEX LB1372 | Pseudopediastrum boryanum v. longicorne | Czech Republic, pond Brehyne, Doksy | AY663036 <br> AY779867 | Buchheim et al. 2005 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underline{\text { MJ 2008/28 }} \\ & \underline{\text { SAG }} \\ & \text { NA2013.009 } \end{aligned}$ | Pseudopediastrum brevicorne | Germany, Lake Dollgow | HM021310 | this study |
| Heg 1976-16 | Pseudopediastrum boryanum | unknown | AY780661 <br> AY780652 | Buchheim et al. 2005 |
| UTEX LB470 | Pseudopediastrum boryanum | UK, Malham Tarn, Yorkshire | AY663035 <br> AY779866 | Buchheim et al. 2005 |
| MJ 2008/33 | Pseudopediastrum boryanum | Germany, Lake Dollgow | HM021306 | this study |
| MJ 2008/35 | Pseudopediastrum boryanum | Germany, Lake Dollgow | HM021307 | this study |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MJ 2008/86 } \\ & \text { SAG NA2013.010 } \end{aligned}$ | Pseudopediastrum integrum | Namibia, pond at Mariental | HM021309 | this study |
| SAG 35.81 | Pseudopediastrum kawraiskyi | Germany, Steinhuder Meer | AY780663 <br> AY780654 | Buchheim et al. 2005 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { KR 2006/1 } \\ & \text { SAG NA2013.011 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Pseudopediastrum pearsonii | Kenya, Lake Naivasha | HM021308 | this study |
| SAG 13.94 | Sorastrum americanum | USA, Minnesota, Lake Itasca State Park | AY780657 | Buchheim et al. 2005 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MJ 2008/46 } \\ & \hline \text { SAG 2392 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Sorastrum pediastriforme | Kenya, dam near Nyahururu | HM021312 | this study |
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| UTEX LB2452 | Sorastrum spinulosum | Finland, pond | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AY663041 } \\ & \text { AY779872 } \end{aligned}$ | Buchheim et al. 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UTEX LB785 | Sorastrum sp. | USA, Bloomington, Indiana | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AY } 663040 \\ & \text { AY779871 } \end{aligned}$ | Buchheim et al. 2005 |
| SAG 36.81 | Stauridium privum | Finland, Kuusjärvi, Saukkolahti near Jyväskylä | AY780666 <br> AY780656 | Buchheim et al. 2005 |
| MJ 2008/47 | Stauridium privum | Kenya, dam near Nyahururu | HM021319 | this study |
| MJ 2008/74 | Stauridium privum | Germany, Lake Stechlin | HM021318 | this study |
| SAG 37.81 | Stauridium tetras | unknown | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AY779891 } \\ & \text { AY780656 } \end{aligned}$ | Buchheim et al. 2005 |
| UTEX 38 | Stauridium tetras | Czechoslovakia | AY779892 <br> AY779869 | Buchheim et al. 2005 |
| KR 2006/04 | Stauridium tetras | Kenya, Lake Naivasha | HM021317 | this study |
| KR 2008/04 | Stauridium tetras | India, Kerala, pond at Kochi | HM021316 | this study |
| MJ 2008/07 | Stauridium tetras | Kenya, dam near Nyahururu | HM021315 | this study |
| MJ 2008/51 | Stauridium tetras | Kenya, dam near Nyahururu | HM021314 | this study |
| MJ 2009/269 | Stauridium tetras | Kenya, Thika, ricefield | HM021313 | this study |
| UTEX 1367 | Tetraedron minimum | Slovakia. Rezabinec pond, Razice | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AY663042 } \\ & \text { AY779875 } \end{aligned}$ | Buchheim et al. 2005 |
| KR 1979/12 | Tetraedron minimum | Germany, pond at Schortewitz | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AY780670 } \\ & \text { AY780659 } \end{aligned}$ | Buchheim et al. 2005 |

[^0]Table 2. Number of CBCs and Hemi-CBCs (in brackets) in conserved regions of ITS-2 of Monactinus.

| Taxa compared | Helix 1 | Helix II | Helix IIIa | Helix IV |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UTEX 1601 vs MJ 2008/34 | $0(2)$ | $0(0)$ | $2(5)$ | $0(0)$ |
| UTEX 1601 vs KR 2008/06 | $0(2)$ | $0(0)$ | $2(6)$ | $0(0)$ |
| UTEX 1601 vS MJ 2009/284 | $0(2)$ | $0(0)$ | $2(6)$ | $0(0)$ |
| UTEX 1601 vs MJ 2009/169 | $0(3)$ | $0(0)$ | $2(6)$ | $0(0)$ |
| UTEX 1601 vs MJ 2009/172 | $0(2)$ | $0(0)$ | $2(6)$ | $0(0)$ |

Table 3. Number of CBCs and Hemi-CBCs (in brackets) in conserved regions of ITS-2 of Pseudopediastrum.

| Taxa compared | Helix 1 | Helix II | Helix III | Helix IV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P. boryanum MJ 2008/33 vs P. boryanum Hg 1976-16 | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| MJ 2008/33 vs P. boryanum var. cornutum (UTEX 470) | 0 (2) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| MJ 2008/33 vs P. kawraiskyi 35.81 | 0 (1) | 0 (1) | 2 (0) | 0 (0) |
| MJ 2008/33 vs MJ 2008/35 | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (1) |
| MJ 2008/33 vs MJ 2008/86 | 0 (1) | 0 (0) | 0 (3) | 0 (0) |
| MJ 2008/33 vs KR 2006/01 | 1 (1) | 0 (1) | 1 (2) | 0 (0) |
| MJ 2008/33 vs MJ 2008/83 | 1 (2) | 0 (1) | 0 (3) | 0 (1) |
| MJ 2008/33 vs MJ 2008/28 | 1 (0) | 0 (1) | 0 (4) | 0 (1) |
| P. alternans MJ 2008/83 vs P. boryanum Hg 1976-16 | 1 (2) | 0 (1) | 0 (3) | 0 (1) |
| MJ 2008/83 vs $P$. boryanum var. cornutum (UTEX 470) | 0 (4) | 0 (1) | 0 (3) | 0 (1) |
| MJ 2008/83 vs P. kawraiskyi 35.81 | 0 (1) | 0 (2) | 1 (2) | 0 (0) |
| MJ 2008/83 vs MJ 2008/28 | 0 (3) | 0 (0) | 0 (1) | 0 (0) |
| P. brevicorne MJ 2008/28 vs P. boryanum Hg 1976-16 | 1 (0) | 0 (1) | 0 (4) | 0 (1) |
| MJ 2008/28 vs P. boryanum var. cornutum UTEX 470 | 1 (2) | 0 (1) | 0 (4) | 0 (1) |
| MJ 2008/28 vs P. kawraiskyi 35.81 | 0 (4) | 0 (2) | 2 (1) | 0 (0) |
| P. integrum MJ 2008/86 vs $P$. boryanum Hg 1976-16 | 1 (1) | 0 (0) | 0 (3) | 0 (0) |
| MJ 2008/86 vs $P$. boryanum var. cornutum UTEX 470 | 0 (3) | 0 (0) | 0 (3) | 0 (0) |
| MJ 2008/86 vs P. kawraiskyi 35.81 | 0 (0) | 0 (1) | 2 (1) | 0 (0) |
| MJ 2008/86 vs KR 2006/01 | $1(0)$ | 0 (1) | 1 (1) | 0 (0) |
| MJ 2008/86 vs MJ 2008/83 | 1 (1) | 0 (1) | 0 (2) | 0 (0) |
| MJ 2008/86 vs MJ 2008/28 | 0 (4) | 0 (1) | 0 (4) | 0 (0) |


| P. pearsonii KR 2006/01 vs $P$. boryanum Hg 1976-16 | $1(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $1(2)$ | $0(0)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| KR 2006/01 vs P. boryanum var. cornutum UTEX 470 | $1(3)$ | $0(1)$ | $1(2)$ | $0(0)$ |
| KR 2006/01 vs P. kawraiskyi 35.81 | $1(0)$ | $0(2)$ | $2(0)$ | $0(0)$ |
| KR 2006/01 vs MJ 2008/83 | $1(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $0(0)$ |
| KR 2006/01 vs MJ 2008/28 | $1(2)$ | $0(0)$ | $1(1)$ | $0(0)$ |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a) }}$ Boldface + underlined: holo- or epitypes; ${ }^{\text {b) }}$ Accession numbers in bold: sequenced in the frame of this study

