CRITICAL REVIEW

OF THE

ORTHOGRAPHY

OF

DR. WEBSTER'S SERIES OF BOOKS

FOR

SYSTEMATICK INSTRUCTION

IN THE

ENGLISH LANGUAGE;

INCLUDING

HIS FORMER SPELLING-BOOK,

AND THE

ELEMENTARY SPELLING-BOOK, COMPLLED BY AARON ELY,

AND PUBLISHED UNDER THE NAME OF NOAH WEBSTER, LL. D.

BY LYMAN COBB.

"Society is directly benefited by the inculcation of truth, and the suppression of errour;" therefore examine.

NEW YORK:

PUBLISHED BY COLLINS & HANNAY, 230 PEARL-STREET.

1831

ADVERTISEMENT.

TO THE PUBLICK.

The importance of a correct and uniform system of orthography is universally admitted, and therefore requires neither argument nor elucidation. This subject has engaged the assiduous attention of the author of the following reviews, for the last twelve years; during which time, he has actually examined, compared, and marked the errours in nearly every publication upon this branch of our language, that has ever appeared either in this country or Great Britain. An important crisis has at last arrived, in relation to our elementary schools and seminaries of learning-a period when it becomes necessary for parents, and the great body of the American community, to decide whether they will continue to follow the systems of orthography and orthoepy hitherto in use, and based upon the analogies of the language, thereby promoting uniformity in the publications of our own and foreign countries; or will adopt a new and contradictory system of innovations, neither warranted by usage nor analogy, and calculated to "abolish the superstructure, and bring it back to the confusion in orthography, from which Johnson extricated it."

It is the peculiar character of the American people, (a character formed from reading and habits of investigation,) to examine before they decide; and, in spreading before the republick of letters in the United States, these criticisms on the publications of Mr. Webster, the author only asks for that liberal indulgence and patient examination to which,

from the importance of the subject, he believes them fully entitled.

It has been a primary object with Mr. Webster and his friends, to inculcate the belief, that the author, in publishing his former reviews of Mr. Webster's works, was actuated by personal feelings towards the author of the American Dictionary. The illiberality of this insinuation is only equalled by its want of candour; and, the author feels no reluctance in trusting the question of his motives, his rights, and the correctness and propriety of his strictures, with a nation of impartial and intelligent readers.

To those who are already wedded to the systems of orthography and orthogry published by Mr. Webster, this publication will be important as an index to the disputable points, and the innovations and contrarieties of the American Lexicographer; and, to such as deem the uniformity and perpetuity of our vernacular language to be paramount to the private interests of an author, it will be useful in enabling them to form a correct estimate of the

claims of those publications to the character of Standard Works.

In giving this review to the publick, the author has but one thing to regret; and that is, the necessity of communicating it, in many instances, through the medium of the Post Office, the only means of disseminating it over this widely extended republick. It is confidently believed, however, that a careful perusal will amply remunerate any individual for the trifling expense to which he may thus be subjected.

Respectfully,

THE AUTHOR.

^{*,&#}x27; It may be necessary to state, that whenever a quotation is given in this review, from the writings of Mr. Webster, his publishers, or his friends, the *original orthography* has been observed.

INTRODUCTION.

The faculty of speech may be justly considered the leading characteristick that distinguishes man from inferiour animals, places him at the head of animated nature, and stamps him with the seal of immortality. The science of language, therefore, must ever hold a distinguished place in the catalogue of useful knowledge; and, to the labours of those who have devoted their time and talents to the cultivation of our vernacular tongue, the friends of science, and the community at large, are deeply indebted.

There are few classes of literary men from whose labours society has derived more important benefits than from the class of eminent philologists and lexicographers, who, during the last century, have contributed so largely to the cultivation of the English Language; thereby laying the foundation of those highly polished effusions of sentiment for which the writings of the present age are distinguished. The names of Bailey, Ash, Johnson, Sheridan, Walker, Jones, Jameson, and Webster, will ever possess a distinguished niche in the gallery of literary men; and, their contributions to the stock of useful improvements will claim for them the appellation of fathers of English literature. But while I am thus ready and desirous to render to these the just tribute of gratitude for their eminent services in this department of learning, I am by no means disposed to concede the proposition, that their productions are to be exempt from the usual ordeal to which the writings of every other author are subjected, and which is the principal test of relative merit. I mean impartial and candid criticism.

With reference to works designed for elementary instruction, it is particularly important that this right should be admitted and sustained; since errours widely disseminated by these, have an influence more pernicious in itself, and more difficult to be counteracted, than when found in books adapted to mature minds. It has been supposed, however, that this right of examination is not common to every class of citizens-that one at least is and ought to be excluded from this right, though perhaps better qualified from taste, habit, and experience, than most others, for doing justice to the subject. This class comprehends authors, compilers, and teachers.* While in the ordinary affairs of life, we daily resort to mechanical or professional men for their opinions in matters relating peculiarly to their business or profession, as the best evidence for forming a correct judgement, it is not a little surprising, it should for a moment be urged that in matters of science we should not credit the evidence, nay the undeniable facts, produced by an individual who "has had the misfortune" of writing and publishing upon the same subject, and, therefore, may reasonably be supposed to know something about it.

Itrust I shall be excused for premising thus much in answer to what has already been urged, and will doubtless be reiterated, by Mr. Webster and his friends—that "I am the author of a Spelling-Book and School Dictionary, and am, therefore, incapacitated for doing justice to his literary productions." But

^{*} The Publisher of Webster's Dictionary, in a communication addressed to the Editor of the Beston Courier, and published in that paper, Sept. 28, 1282, makes the following liberal appeal to the publick, relative to the criticisms than recently published.

The Publisher of Webster's Dictionary, in a communication addressed to the Editor of the Beston Courier, and the Publisher of the Publisher o

then recently published.

"Dear Sir.—Some twenty or thirty days since, I believe, I was looking over a file of your paper, and fell upon a notice of some criticisms on Webster's Dictionary, which have appeared in the Morning Herald, in this city. As I am interested as Publisher of this work, any review which I might give of it, would be out of place. But while I leave others to review the work, it is but fustice to the public, as well as to myself, to state the facts concerning these criticisms. They appeared under the signature Durdier. The author is a person by the name of Cobb, recently a schoolmaster, in one of our western villages, who has had the misfortune to compile a Spelling-Book, and small Detchary."

without attempting to exonerate myself from this imputation, and without further remark relative thereto, I shall assume the right, and leave the result of my examinations to the intelligence and judgement of a discriminating community, to whose unerring decision, whether of condemnation or justification, I shall most cheerfully and readily submit.

Before entering upon the examination of the several works of Mr. Webster, it may not be impertinent to give a succinct chronological history of the causes and circumstances which have contributed to place the adherents of Walker and Webster in opposition to each other; and which have rendered this publication not only justifiable, but indispensable to the promotion of truth, and

the protection of individual character and enterprise.

In detailing these, I shall commence at that period, when from experience in the use of Mr. Webster's Spelling-Book and School Dictionary in instructing, I became familiar with the "multitudinous errours," contradictions, and inconsistencies contained in them; and seeing the importance and necessity of a uniform standard, more particularly in orthography, I resolved both to give the result of my investigations to the publick in the way of criticism, and to employ my experience thus acquired, in the compilation of a work for elementary instruction. The former, so far as it relates to the then existing publications of Mr. Webster, was published in a series of numbers in the Albany Argus in 1827-8, and is now re-published in this general review. The result of my labours in the latter, has already been several years before the publick, from whom I have received many flattering evidences of a favourable opinion.

Whether that examination of the former works of Mr. Webster, was either ill-timed or unimportant, is left for others to determine; with this single remark-that it is confidently believed Mr. Webster himself will admit, that if those criticisms had never appeared, the recent compilation of the Elementary

Spelling-Book would never have been deemed necessary.*

In my examination, I had demonstrated the orthography of Webster to be far less uniform and analogous, than that of Johnson; and Walker's system of pronunciation, which was introduced into this country as early as 1800, had been very generally received, and was already becoming the Standard, in this branch of Philology. Hence it became manifest to the publishers of Webster, that some device must be resorted to, to induce apathy in the publick mind, and thereby procrastinate the inevitable crisis which they foresaw was approaching; the expulsion of his elementary works from our primary schools.

To prevent this, the author of the American Spelling-Book was despatched to England, that from "personal observation," he might be able to ascertain, or at least on his return to assert that "Walker was wholly unknown," or if known at all, only in the circles of the vulgar: and, it was about the same

^{*}This inference will not be thought unreasonable, notwithstanding the allegation of Mr. Webster in his pamphlet, recently published, page 9) that the American Spelling-Book "is to be suppressed," especially when taken in connexion with the fact, that most of the publishers of his Spelling-Books have reserved to themselves the privities truly amusing to observe the arguments advanced by Mr. Webster and his friends, to prove that Walkers' a flow not the Standard in England. At one time we are told that: "Walkers' in the norm in Empland," at another time, that Mr. Webster' has made a visit to England to ascortain the real state of the language, "where he found that "Walker's promotection was not used in any decent scole yn that country." And attempt to make it a Standard, has done more to corrupt the language than any event which has taken place for five hundred years past. No book is taken as an utilinate Standard in England; and Walker's pronunciation is so erroneous, that no less than three dictionaries have been published to correctif; all of them approaching much nearer to actual usage.

Let us for a mement examine the inconsistency and descenden in the above statement. First: if Walker he "not

than Walker's."
Let us for a moment examine the inconsistency and deception in the above statement. First, if Walker be "not Evators in England," how could his pronunciation have so "correpted the language?" Secondly, if Walker's could arise room the existence of the work, if it were not known or followed:

The fact is, Mr. Webster and his friends know that Walker's Dictionary is popular, and in general use in this country; and, unless they can divertibe public knind from this work, Webster's Dictionary must remain on the country; and, unless they can divertibe public knind from this work, Webster's Dictionary must remain on the country. But Jameson's Dictionary, which was "published to correct Walker's," (as stated by Mr. Webster,) contains tacco as many contradictions and inconsistencies as Walker's; and I challenge Mr. Webster or his friends to show that this Schement is untrue.

time announced in the journals of the day, that Mr. Webster would soon publish a Dictionary that would contain a specifick for all the deficiencies, discrepances, and anomalies, not only in the former works of Mr. Webster, but of all other authors, and would form an unvarying STANDARD of the orthography and orthoepy of the English language. Nor was this all that was fondly anticipated from the promised labours of the American Philologist. A new series of Elementary Works for the use of schools was immediately to emanate from this immutable Standard, which should be divested of the inaccuracies, contradictions, and absurdities of Johnson, Walker, Jameson, and others—publications which should correspond in their orthography and pronunciation with the Standard and with one another, and thereby produce what is universally admitted to be a desideratum—uniformity in this department of Philology.

In order more emphatically to forestall publick opinion in relation to this forthcoming work, the friends of Mr. Webster re-published in this city under the title of Red Book, (originally an English publication,) Bearcroft's Practical Orthography; in which the unpublished Quarto Dictionary of Mr. Webster was lauded with folsome and extravagant praise, although the panegyrist admitted "that he had seen only the introductory part of the work."*

The effect of these measures was such as might naturally be expected. further competition and investigation were for a time arrested, while his friends waited with sanguine confidence the appearance of the promised Standard. The literary qualifications of its author became generally admitted—the works of the great English lexicographers, with their "palpable inconsistencies and preposterous anomalies," were spread before him; a long and liberal patronage had relieved him from those pecuniary embarrassments, twhich he had attributed to Johnson as a prolifick source of errour; and the favourable opinion every where entertained of his judgement and experience combined to secure a general acquiescence in the belief, that all these important considerations would be realized in the Quarto Dictionary. Added to these, the influence of an extensive, aristocratical, and persevering class of booksellers, whose interests were already to a large amount identified with the success of Mr. Webster, and whose sensibility and vigilance were graduated by the amount of capital they had invested in his works, all contributed to usher the quarto before the community under the most favourable auspices. It is now about three years since it was published. It has become the property of the community so far as its claims to a Standard work are concerned; and though the most unwearied pains have been taken by Mr. Webster and his friends to puff it in newspapers and periodicals, though by lecturing in every city and village, and by personally applying to Members of Congress and others, he has been able to procure the recommendations of many men, eminent for their literature, in different parts of the United States, yet I shall, in the following pages, show, beyond

^{*1} should not now condescend to notice this ephemeral production of the Websterian school, did I not feel it my duty to bestow a passing remark upon the unfounded and iillberal charges contained in that work, that the dictionaries of Johnson and Walker are more croneous than those of Webster-a statement equally unjust, and untrue, as I shall abundantly prove hereafter. It is not unworthy of remark, that at the very time this same Red Book was thus lending its aid and influence to blacken the fame of the illustrious dead, and to pave the way for the innovations of Mr. Webster, the American editor of that work expressed to me his entire want of confidence in the qualifications of Mr. Webster as a lexicorrapher, and his arxious foresoldings that the expected publication because the contraction of the co

^{*}Mr. Websier, in the preface prefixed to his dictionary, published in 1805, page 19, when speaking of Dr. Johnson, stated that "bassists in the causes of these defects, is by no means difficult. We are told in the accounts of Johnson and the state of t

the possibility of contradiction, and, I trust, in the spirit of candid and liberal criticism, that it is, in its orthography, far less accurate than the Dictionaries of Johnson and Walker,

and in this respect less entitled to the character of a Standard work.

Shortly after the publication of the quarto, Mr. Webster made an effort to redeem the pledge given by his friends relative to the series of class-books for systematick instruction, and for that purpose employed Mr. Aaron Ely of this city to compile the Elementary Spelling-Book.* Uniformity in orthography, it must not be forgotten, was the important quality which this series of books was to possess over all others; and it was, therefore, reasonable to expect that the first of the series would correspond with the quarto, especially in all those words whose orthography Mr. Webster claimed to have improved and rendered consistent with analogy. With what degree of fidelity this pledge has been redeemed, the community will be enabled to judge from the fact, that the compiler (Mr. Ely) has thought it prudent to omit many of those words, the orthography of which Mr. Webster has changed; and in other cases, he has followed the orthography of Johnson in opposition to that of Webster.

It is not a little surprising that, notwithstanding the repeated asseverations of Mr. Webster and his friends, both before the publication of the quarto, and while the Elementary Spelling-Book was being prepared for the press, that "WALKER'S DICTIONARY WAS UNKNOWN IN ENGLAND," Mr. Ely was wont to claim as a matter of merit for that compilation, that it was made from Walker's Rhyming Dictionary, and that it was from that work he derived the system of classification by the terminations. It is not less a matter of surprise, that the publisher of the Octavo, while he endeavours to create the belief that this illustrious author is unknown, or is disrespected in his native country, has the matchless effrontery to insert at length in that edition, "WALKER'S KEY TO THE CLASSICAL PRONUNCIATION OF GREEK, LATIN, AND SCRIPTURE PROFER NAMES."

The last effort of the great American Lexicographer is the Duodecimo Dictionary, designed as the codicil to his other publications, and contains, as he alleges, "the pointing, orthography, and pronunciation which he most approves." Now, should it turn out, that this publication is at variance with the STANDARD, (to wit, the quarto,) with the octavo, and with the Elementary Spelling-Book, it must be conceded, that either the works of his meridian thought, or those of his dotage, are not entitled to confidence. This inconsistency I unhesitatingly declare to be the fact, and pledge myself to prove it in the following examination,

I regret, in closing this Introduction, the necessity of animadverting upon the malevolent and unwarrantable aspersions in which Mr. Webster has thought proper to indulge, in a pamphlet recently published by him, containing the recommendations to his Series of Books, accusing others of plagiarism, and stigmatizing them with the appellation of "PEDDLING COMPILERS," when it is notorious that for the last three years, he has been making tours through the country, and by appeals to the sympathies of individuals, has succeeded in procuring for his works an introduction to which their comparative merits would never have entitled them. The propriety of such a course for proselyting, and for procuring the names of individuals, as recommendatory, whose opportunity and business totally disqualify them for giving an opinion founded upon knowledge, may reasonably be questioned, ta course which it is humbly conceived would never be resorted to, where personal feelings had not usurped the reins of reason and candour

New York, October 10, 1831.

LYMAN COBB.

New York, October 10, 1631.

Processing the following extract is taken from the communication published in the New York Commercial Advertiser, Dec. 15, 1829, by Mr. Joseph McKeen, who was Secretary of the meeting of the teachers in this city, when the report in flavour of Mr. Webster's Elementary Spelling-Book was rejected by a large majority.

"That Mr. Ely was engaged to perform this service for Dr. Webster at all the compensation of too dollars, I could "The Mr. Ely was engaged to perform this service for Dr. Webster at the compensation of too dollars, I could do long the property of the compensation of the compensa

REVIEW

QUARTO DICTIONARY. WEBSTER'S

The most prominent innovation in the orthography of Mr. Webster's Dictionary, is the omission of k in the numerous class of words which end in ck in Johnson's Dictionary. This innovation is considered by Mr. Webster a great improvement on the score of

uniformity.

Of this class of words, there are in our language (acknowledged by Mr. Webster in his dictionaries) about five hundred: of these, Mr. Webster has terminated about three hundred and twenty with conly; and about one hundred and eighty with the c and K both! quite a "uniformity" indeed! Yet he has stated, that "Johnson's orthography, which some compilers follow, has been, in several classes of words, corrected by modern writers; as by the omission of u in error, candor, superior; and k in public, music, &c. I have extended this correction to all the words of these classes, with a view to uniformity; and have corrected a few other palpable errors in the common orthography!" The candid reader can judge whether he has that "uniformity."

I will now offer some remarks as to the propriety or impropriety of this innovation, in

omitting k in this class of words. If we refer to the languages from which these words were derived, we shall find a very great variety of terminations, entirely void of uniformity, as c, ck, cke, cus, que, cen, cken, cean, cca, ca, cian, cee, &c.; so that every person will, it is presumed, perceive the necessity of uniformity in this class of words in our language, and conclude that all should end with c only, or all with ck; as the pronunciation of the syllable would be the same in either case. I will also attempt to point out the difficulties which would result, should this class of words end with c only, as blac, loc, attac, frolic, mimic, physic, &c.; or should the c (being a redundant letter in the language, or merely a representative, and consequently in this termination ck) be omitted, and the words end with k only, as blak, lok, pak, attak, &c. First; it has been observed that the pronunciation of the syllables would be the same, whether the words should end with ck or with c only; but as we form derivatives from them by adding ing, ed, or er, the c would be pronounced like s in these derivatives, agreeably to the invariable rule in the language, of pronouncing c like s before e, i, and y; (and without which distinct rule, the pronunciation of c in our language could never be learned, as it is used indiscriminately before a, o, and u, and e, i, and y;) so that the sound of the primitive syllable, or word, would be lost in the derivative; as back, bac, bacing, bac-ed, pronounced bak, bas-ing, bas-ed; attack, attac, attac-ing, attac-ed, pronounced attasing, attas-ed; frolic-ing, frolic-ed, pronounced frolis-ing, frolis-ed; physic, physic-ing, physic-ed, pronounced physis-ing, physis-ed, &c. &c.; for if the k be not in the primitive, we may not insert it in the derivative, any more than we may x or z, agreeably to any rule of spelling derivatives. Secondly; if c (the redundant letter in this termination) should be omitted in the primitive words, we must double the k in forming the derivatives from the words of this class, of one syllable, or those accented on the last syllable, agreeably to the established rule of the language, in forming derivative words, which is, that a consonant which ends a monosyllable or a word accented on the last syllable, preceded by a single vowel, should be doubled, when a syllable is added beginning with a vowel; as rob, robbing, robbed, debar, debarring, debarred, &c.; consequently thus, back, bak, bakking, bakked; black, blakker, blakken, blakking, blakked; attack, attak, attakking, attakked, &c., which would appear very awkward, as kk are not in English, and it would also be more difficult to write kk than ck. Hence it appears evident that the "uniformity" of which Mr. Webster speaks so highly, should consist in spelling all these words with ck in the primitives, and that great difficulty and perplexity would result from any other "uniformity" in the orthography of this numerous class of words. The k should end all these words, and should be retained in all derivatives, except when the k is followed by a, o, or u, (unless the c be sounded like s in the derivative;) as mimick, mimicking, mimicked, physick, physicking physicked, frolick, frolicking, frolicked, lucky, &c.; but not in physical, publication, &c., as the c comes before a, and is hard like k, the k need not be retained. Mr. Webster must have lost sight of this rule, and the above reasons, or else he is wilful respecting them, or he would not, in speaking of this class of words, have stated in his introduction, that " to add k after c in such words (speaking of musick, publick, and republican, &c.) is beyond measure absurd, for both have the same power, having been formed from the same original character," and that "they never proceeded so far as to carry the absurdity through the deriva-tives; never writing publickation, musickal, rhetorickal." Yet Mr. Webster has carried the "absurdity" so far as to spell traffickable with k, in which it should have been omitted

for the same reason that k is omitted in public kation, viz. the a follows ck, and consequently

the c is hard as in the primitive without k!

Let us farther examine Mr. Webster's orthography of this class of words for the purpose of ascertaining whether he has that "uniformity" which "is a prime excellence in the rules of language," or whether he is one of that class of "modern" writers who "fortunately, have rejected k from words in which it is useless." And first; with regard to uniformity in the orthography of these words. I have already stated that he has retained the k in about one hundred and eighty of the five hundred words of this class, so that no person will "contend" that he has that "uniformity"! Secondly; with regard to Mr. Webster's rejection of k "from words in which it is useless." As Mr. Webster has spoken in such strong terms of the "prime excellence" of "uniformity," we might reasonably suppose that he would have retained k in all these words, or would have omitted it in all, from which we never form derivatives; yet he has not in the retention of k in the one hundred and eighty words, or in the expulsion of it in the three hundred and twenty, paid any regard to these principles: for instance; he has retained k in many words in which it is followed by e or i in forming derivatives, as lock, stock, attack, traffick, frolick, ransack, &c.; and he has omitted it in words of the same class! as physic, and garlie, without k, from which physicking, physicked, and garlickeater, are formed. He has spelled garliceater without k, which must, agreeably to his "directions for the pronunciation of words," be pronounced garliseater, as he says, "before e, c is precisely equivalent to s." Under the word unphysicked he has spelled physicked with k, though he has not k in physic! Mr. Webster has spelled mimic, when a noun, without k, and with it when a verb; yet he has made no such distinction in the words frolick and traffick, having spelled them with k in both cases! and he has spelled these three words in this contradictory manner in the definitions of the same words! This innovation makes another "anomaly" in our language, that of spelling the noun and verb differently, when they are pronounced alike. Again, he has retained k in many words from which no derivatives are formed; as almanack, bailiwick, barrack, bassock, bullock, buttock, frock, girrock, haddock, hattock, hemlock, hillock, hollyhock, jannock, linstock, mattock, paddock, pollock, peacock, puddock, shamrock, &c.; and he has omitted the k in words of the same class! as bishopric, candoc, carac, cammoc, cambric, hammoc, hommoc, hassoc, limbec, puttoc, tussoc, &c.

Again; he has *inserted* k after c in some derivatives, in which c is followed by a consonant; as frolickly, frolicksome, frolicksomeness, trackless, &c.; and has *omitted* it in others

of the same class! as frantieness, mimiery, publicly, publicness, &c.

And again; he has also inserted k after c in some compound words, as almanack-maker, barrack-master, &c. and has omitted the k in other words of the same class! as panic-grass,

public-spirited, &c. !

Thus Mr. Webster, instead of a "uniformity" in the orthography of these words, has spelled them in such a manner as to produce every species of contradiction and inconsistency imaginable, and very great defect and perplexity in the formation of many derivatives; yet Johnson and Walker, whom Mr. Webster has so strongly censured for their retaining the k, have by the retention of the k in all this class of words, observed a system of orthography which is uniform and consistent, and avoids the defect and perplexity which would result in the formation of derivatives, should the k be omitted. It is quite surprising that Mr. Webster should have condemned Johnson and Walker's retention of k, and of not observing uniformity, when they are uniform and consistent in these words, and he has not observed either uniformity or consistency in any one particular!! In this retention of k, Johnson and Walker are supported by the following lexicographers, viz. Bailey, Sheridan, Perry, (original, not American editions) Jones, Jameson, (published in London 1827,) Allison, and Todd's Johnson (published in Boston 1828,) edited by J. E. Worcester. This omission of k in some words is said to be a great improvement, and it is made the subject of every newspaper article written by Mr. Webster or his friends, and is particularly dwelt on by him in his lectures and speeches, in favour of his system, in opposition to Johnson and Walker. But it is utterly impossible for any person to adopt all the contradictions and inconsistencies which Mr. Webster has in the orthography of this class of words, as has been fully shown, it is believed. It is the practice with many writers, editors, and printers, to omit the k th some of these words, and retain it in others, without any apparent regard to uniformity; but it is presumed that no person, after having thoroughly examined the principles of our language, will pursue this system of spelling (merely for the sake of convenience) which is void of consistency and uniformity, and produces perplexity in the formation of many derivatives.

The second innovation in Mr. Webster's orthography, claimed as a great improvement, is the omission of u in the unaccented termination our, is labour, honour, vigour, inferiour, &c. The "reasons" assigned in support of this omission of u, contrary to our most approved English lexicographers, are, that "there is inconvenience, if not impropriety, in writing labour with u, but laborious without it: as also rigour, but rigorous; vigour, invigorate; inferiour, inferiority, &c. We deem it most correct and most convenient, to restore the original Latin orthography." To me, the above "reasons" seem to be not well founded; for, reasoning from the same analogy, we might condemn the "impropriety" of inserting

u in curious, generous, pompous, &c. when it is not in curiosity, generosity, pomposity, &c.; i m declaim, exclaim, explain, proclaim, repair, villain, grain, &c. but not in declamation, exclamation, explanation, proclamation, reparation, villany, granary, &c., also the "impropriety" of inserting a in congeal, reveal, &c., but not in congelation, revelation, &c. &c., in all of which, and in numerous others of similar analogy, Mr. Webster has inserted letters in one word (the primitive) which are not in the other (the derivative,) precisely as Johnson, Walker, and all other lexicographers have. In fact, there are numerous letters in our language, many of which are necessary in the primitive words, others are unnecessary; as final e in waste, name, hate, fertile, servile, &e.; final n in autumn, condemn, &e.; w in labour, rigour, generous, &e. Of these many are useless in forming the derivative words, as e in wasting, hating, fertility, servility, &e.; w in laborious, rigorous, generosity, &e.; and others are necessary, as n in autumnal, condemnation, &e. Again; many words are spelled differently from others of a like or similar pronunciation, by the use of a different let-ter which shall be made to convey the same sound by common consent, or by the retention of silent letters in many words which shall distinguish one word from another, both to the reader and hearer; as rein, reign, rain; bot, boat, bought; men, mean, mien; the, thee, they; rite, right, write, wright; past, paste; revel, reveal; morning, mourning; pus, puss; man, main; wet, weight; bran, brain, brawn, &c. &c. Hundreds of other letters might have been expunged by Mr. Webster with as much and even more propriety than the k and u in the two preceding classes of words; for, these are the most objectionable classes which could possibly have been selected by him, as he has not, and could not, render them either uniform or consistent. Again, Mr. Webster has stated that," this orthography (the retention of ou) is not calculated to exhibit the English pronunciation." In the preceding declaration Mr. Webster was under a great mistake; for this unaccented ou is pronounced like u short, consequently when the u is retained (as it should be) the o is silent and the u is sounded short, as in the original, agreeably to the rule of pronouncing this unaccented diphthong ou (and other diphthongs unaccented, which is, that the first vowel should be silent, and the latter sounded, as ui in biscuit, ei in foreign, ai in bargain, &c. &c.) in all the terminations ous and our. This principle is acknowledged by Mr. Webster in his Spelling-Book, in which he has o italick in the termination ous, and u Roman, as pious, grievous, &c. and in the word behaviour! which pointedly contradicts his statement above; viz. that the retention of the w"is not calculated to exhibit the English pronunciation." Hence if Mr. Webster wished to make an innovation which would be an improvement, he should have omitted the o and retained the u; but he has retained the o which should be omitted, (if either,) and is silent [see the words behaviour, page 91; heinous, pious, p. 46; glorious, previous, p. 59; in his Spelling-Book,] and which must have the sound of the u (short) which he expunged! and he has expunged the u which was sounded! In his expulsion of u in this unaccented ou, we find the same consistency and uniformity which characterize his expulsion of k in the termination ck, as he has omitted the u in about fifty words ending in our, and has retained it in the same unaccented diphthong in about six hundred words ending in ous! The u in this unaccented termination our, is retained in this class of words by those lexicographers who spell the words which end in ck uniformly, viz. Bailey, Sheridan, Perry, Jones, Jameson, Todd's Johnson, &c.

The third innovation in Mr. Webster's orthography, which he claims to be a very particular improvement, is the termination of the class of words which end in re in all other dictionaries. In the orthography of this class of words, in which the termination re is preceded by a consonant, Mr. Webster has made a number of variations, and has many contradictions and inconsistencies. He has spelled accouter, amphitheater, center, concenter, fiber, luster, maneuver, meter, miter, ocher, scepter, sepulcher, specter, and verteber, with er only! and he has spelled omber and ombre, saltpeter and saltpetre, peter and petre, theater

and theatre, saber and sabre, both ways, with er and re!

Again; he has spelled belleslettres, chancre, electre, livre, and lucre, with re only! He has also spelled acre with re, and aker with er! and has given aker the preference, as "the most correct orthography," yet he has written it acre in the definition of rood! He has spelled massacer and massacre, with er and re! and, although he has placed massacer first, yet he has given massacre in the definition of the word itself! Thus we find inconsistency and a want of uniformity in the orthography of this class of words, having all contradic-tions possible, as he has spelled part of them with er, or re only, and part of them with er and re both! one with cer, and one with ker! It is indeed surprising, that Mr. Webster has spelled these words in such a contradictory manner. Why he has spelled acre, aker, with ker, and not lucre and chancre, luker and chancers, with ker also, when all of them end in cre; and farther, why he has spelled massacer, cer, aker, ker, when these four end in cre, can not be easily seen! Great perplexity would arise in the formation of derivatives from words of this class should they end in er; but when they end in re, we drop the final e, when we form derivatives by adding a syllable or a part of a syllable, as we do in forming derivatives from other words which end in e, and thereby render them regular in their formation; thus centre, centrick; fibre, fibrous; lustre, lustrous; nitre, nitrous; sepulchre, sepul-chral, &c.; but they must be formed in a very awkward manner when these words end in er, as center, centrick; luster, lustrous; sepulcher, sepulchral, &c. Mr. Webster has, when speaking of this class of words in his introduction, observed, that "in the present instance want of uniformity is not the only evil. The present orthography has introduced an awkward mode of writing the derivatives, for example, centred, seeptred, sepulchned." Yet he has himself "introduced" this "awkward mode of spelling derivatives" in the word acred, which he has spelled ared; and agreeably to analogy, he must spell massacred, ared, unless he shall adopt his new orthography of massacer, in which case it would be pronounced massacered as "c, before c, is precisely equivalent to s." The r is transposed in the pronunciation of the word acred (as he has spelled it) as it is, and should be, in centred, seeptred, &c., and so the words should be spelled. The same inconsistency which he has fallen into in spelling acred and massacred, red, and centered and seeptered, ered, we shall find in other derivatives formed from words in this class; thus chancre, chancrous; and niter, nitrous, ows; and ocher, ocherous, erous! But if these words be spelled with re, no perplexity or inconsistency will then exist in the formation of derivatives.

Johnson and Walker, and the other lexicographers above referred to, retain the re in these words, and are consistent and uniform, whereas, from the preceding exposition, it will ap-

pear that Mr. Webster is not.

There taken notice of three prominent "innovations" in the orthography of three different classes of words, in the American Dictionary, viz. words which end in ck, in unaccented our, and in re. These "innovations" were adopted by Mr. Webster in his former dictionaries, and in his Spelling-Book, although at variance with Johnson, Walker, &c. as it has been shown.

I will now take notice of some of his new "innovations," and show that the same inconsistency and want of uniformity exist in their orthography as in the classes of which notice

has been taken.

Of the class of words which end in f_i (not monosyllables,) Mr. Webster has spelled many with f_i and others with single f_i as bailif, caitif, dandruf, mastif, plaintif, pontif, restif, sherif, and tarif, with single f_i distaff, hippogriff, and midriff, with f_i^I . Bailiff, caitiff, dandruff, and mastiff, are spelled with f_i in the text, but he has corrected them in his "corrections" at the close of his dictionary, by spelling them with single f_i . Thus he has nine with single f_i and three with f_i^I . He has spelled bailif with single f_i in his "corrections," but he has spelled boundbailiff and bumbailiff with f_i^I and in the definition of bumbailiff he has also used underbailiff with f_i^I . He has spelled pontiff with f_i^I but in the definition of pontif he has spelled it with single f_i^I . He has spelled pontiff with f_i^I but in the definition of pontif he has spelled it with single f_i^I . He has spelled deputysheriff with f_i^I , but in defining undersherif with single f_i^I and in defining deputysheriff he has sheriff with f_i^I , but in single f_i^I in his "corrections" he has spelled the with single f_i^I . In his "corrections" he has spelled the with single f_i^I but in his text he has all of the other monosyllables of this class with f_i^I , as curf, buff, puff, skiff, &c.

Thus we see that Mr. Webster is extremely inconsistent and contradictory in the orthography of this class of words. Johnson, Walker, Todd, Jameson, &c. &c. have spelled all of these words with ff, and have preserved consistency and uniformity; and even Mr. Webster himself spelled all these words with ff in his two former dictionaries, published in 1806 and 1817. It is the custom in our language to write ff and so in many cases where all other consonants would be single, particularly in unaccented terminations, as balliff, sheriff, &c. harass, compass, &c. If Mr. Webster would be consistent, he should omit one s in the words harass, embarrass; compass, &c. &c. as ss is as "unnecessary" in the words of this class, as ff is in the class of words in which he has expunged an f! But he seems not to

have taken consistency into the account at all in making his innovations.

In speaking of the class of words which end in ence or ense, Mr. Webster has observed, that "defense, expense, offense, pretense, recompense, should be spelled with s, for this letter must be used in the derivatives, defensive, expensive, pretension, recompensing. Uniformity is here a great convenience." But has Mr. Webster by this change of c to s, in two or three words, produced "uniformity" throughout the language? It he has retained c in fence, trance, exc. so that the same "anomalies" appear in his, as in other dictionaries. Thus fence, with s! If he intended to produce "uniformity," he should have spelled all these words with c or s only. Again; he has spelled entranse (when a verb) with s, but he has spelled disentrance with c, and he has spelled the derivatives of each in this contradictory manner, as entransing, entransed, with s, and disentrancing, disentranced, with t He has also, in defining the word entranse, spelled transe with s, but in fefining disentrance, he has spelled trance with c! Thus every possible contradiction and want of "uniformity" in this class of words also.

In the orthography of the word acke and its compounds and derivatives, Mr. Webster has a number of l^3 anomalies and innovations" which are void of consistency and uniformity; for instance, he has spelled ache and ake, with ch and k, and has given ake the preference; but in all the compounds he has ch, as bellyache, boneache, headach, heartach, and toothache! He has spelled aching and aking, with ch and k, without giving a preference, but he has spelled unaking but one way, with k only! He has, in defining ake, spelled toothake and headack with k, but in the text has spelled them toothache and headach, with ch! He has

spelled bellyache, boneache, and toothache, with final e, and headach and heartach without it! He has spelled headach, in the text, without final e, and with it in the definition of the word angor! Thus he has spelled headach three different ways! In this list of words, seven in number, Mr. Webster has five contradictions and inconsistencies!

The next "innovations" in the orthography of this work, of which I shall take notice, are

those in the words which usually end in l or ll.

Mr. Webster has observed, that befall, install, recall, foretell, distill, fulfill, &c., should end with ll. The "reasons" given are, that "the orthography, befal, recal, instal, (single l,) leads to a false pronunciation. This is not the case with foretel, &c., but in all such words, the last consonant must be doubled in the derivation, foretelling, distillery, distiller, and a

rule is more convenient than a rule and an exception." The foregoing "reason" in favour of ending foretell, distill, fulfill, &c., with ll, instead of single l, viz. " that the last consonant must be doubled in the derivation, foreteller, distiller, &c., is very novel and singular, and seems not to be supported either by analogy or custom; for unless t be a privileged letter, there can be no better "reason" given in support of doubling that letter in distill, extill, &c., than in favour of doubling r in debar, n in trepan, t in admit, &c. &c., because the r, n, and t "must be doubled in the derivation," debarring, trepanning, admitting, &c.; and Mr. Webster's practice is far from acknowledging l as a privileged letter, as he has not given it so much privilege as other lexicographers have, for he has spelled counselor, traveler, &c., with single l instead of ll, as all others have spelled them. Although the preceding "reasons" are given as conclusive arguments in favour of doubling final l in these words, yet we find the same inconsistencies and contradictions in the orthography of this class of words, which characterize the other "innovations" and intended "improvements" in the orthography of the language, of which notice has been taken. he has spelled distill, extill, foretell, instill, with it, and compel, dispel, expel, excel, impel, propel, rebel, (verb,) refel, repel, with single l! and the l is doubled, and "must be in the derivations" of all these words alike! Again; Mr. Webster has annul, disannul, with single l, contrary to the "reasons" above given, as the l " must be doubled in the derivations," annulling, annulled, disannulling, &c.; and he can not assign this as a "reason," that the u would be sounded as in bull, should the l be doubled, as u never has that sound when preceded by n! the orthography of these words then is a contradiction of his rule. Thus he has the following, with numerous other similar inconsistencies: annulment, disannulment, &c., with single l, and distillment, fulfillment, &c., with ll! He has spelled control, parol, bandrol, with single I, and enroll, disenroll, patroll, unroll, with U! Thus we find the following inconsistencies: controlment with single l, and enrollment with ll! Johnson, Walker, Jameson, &c., have spelled these last three classes of words with single l, in the orthography of which they are consistent and uniform.

It is the custom with all lexicographers (except Mr. Webster) to omit one l in the derivatives and compounds of fill, full, skill, will, &c., thus fulness, sinfulness, skilful, wilful, skilfulness, &c.; but Mr. Webster has spelled fullness, skillful, willful, &c. with ll, though he has single l, in the last syllable of skillful, willful, &c. He cannot, with any degree of propriety, assert that ll are inserted in fullness, &c. to denote the sound of u, as the u has the same sound in fulfill, skillful, skillfulness, spoonful, handful, &c. in which it is followed by single l! Hence we observe that there is neither propriety nor consistency in this doubling of l by Mr. Webster in the compounds, above referred to, contrary to the established rules of the language, adhered to by all other lexicographers. Again; Mr. Webster has urged in favour of his system of orthography, that he has "abbreviated" and omitted "all useless" letters, as well as rendered the language "uniform;" but this insertion of another l in compounds in which it is both inconsistent, "useless," and not "uniform," is rather a novel way of abbreviating the language! Mr. Webster has stated that, "befall, install, &c. with single l, would lead to a false pronunciation;" yet he has spelled withal, therewithal, wherewithal, with single l, in which the a has the same sound as in befall, &c. precisely as Johnson, Walker, &c. have spelled them!

Again; he has spelled also, always, already, &c. with single l, (in which a has the broad sound,) just as Johnson, Walker, and other lexicographers have spelled them; and there is as much fear that "single l would lead to a false pronunciation," in these words as in the words in which he has doubled the l! Mr. W. has observed that l should not be doubled in cavilled, traveller, counsellor, &c. as it is in the dictionaries of Johnson, Walker, &c. and as it was in the two former dictionaries of Mr. Webster; but that these words should be spelled traveler, &c. with one l; yet he has spelled chapelling, bordeller, medallist, metalline, metallist, metallize, clavellated, &c. with ll, contrary to his rule! Again, he has spelled cancelation and snively with single l, and cupellation, pannellation, wittolly, with ll. And he has also spelled counselor with ll, contrary to his text, in defining each of the following words, twice under apply, three times under attorney, and under autic; traveller with ll, contrary to his text, in defining baggage and bourn; counselling with il contrary to his text, in defining abetting; fulfilment with single l contrary to his text, in defining accomplishment, assets, and bilk; caviller, empannelled, duelling, modelling, driveller, with U, contrary to his text, in defining findfault, charter, contemptible, fated, and drivel; and these words above noted, spelled contrary to his text in his definitions, he has there spelled agreeably to Johnson,

Walker, Jameson, &c.!! Thus we see in this as in all the other "innovations" of Mr. Web-

ster, he has contradictions and inconsistencies in every possible form! In the omission of one l in counselor, traveler, &c. Mr. Webster seems not to be supported by analogy; for the letter l is and always has been a privileged letter, as well as f and s, being doubled in cases where no other consonants are doubled, as ball, fell, will, roll, bull, pull, pass, puss, &c.; but cab, lad, leg, ram, ran, rap, far, met; &c. &c. have the consonants b, d, g, m, n, p, r, t, &c. single in the same situation. Thus in words of more than one syllable, bailift, tariff, herass, embarrass, &c. the f and s are doubled, in the primitive and derivative words. So with l in the words counsellor, traveller, &c. &c. it is doubled in the derivative although single in the primitive counsel, travel, &c. for the l is a liquid letter, easily uniting with other letters, and has not in any situation in the language, the power of shortening the sounds of the vowels which precede it. This is the reason why l, in particular, is doubled in all monosyllables when all (with few exceptions, and Mr. Webster has not corrected those exceptions) the other consonants are single as shown above; and for the same reason l, not having the shortening power as other consonants, as above stated, is doubled in the derivative words counsellor, counselling, traveller, travelled, cavilling, &c. &c. although single in travel, &c. while the letters l, r, &c. are not doubled in the derivatives covected, prof-

In the orthography of the class of words that end in mb, Mr. Webster has introduced a number of "Innovations" and inconsistencies. Thus, he has spelled dumb, limb, and numb, with b; and crum without it! He has spelled dumb with b, and dumfound without it. He has spelled thumb and thum with b and without it; and has given thum without b the preference; and he has also spelled thumring and thumstall without b, and in defining thum and its derivatives he has spelled it thum without b seven times; but in defining finger and forefinger he has spelled it thumb with b there times! In his text he has spelled benum, benumming and benumbed without final b, but in defining the words numb and torpent, he has spelled benumb, benumbing, and benumbed, with b, contrary to his text!

ited, differing, murmuring, &c. when unaccented, as the letter l is doubled.

Mr. Webster has spelled matrass, carcass, &c. with ss in the unaccented syllable, as Walker, Johnson, and others have spelled them; but he has spelled cutlas with single stenturary to them and to analogy and uniformity! for if cutlas be spelled with single s, marrass, &c. should be, most unquestionably. Johnson, Walker, and others, spell porpoise and tortoise with the termination oize, but Mr. Webster has made an "innovation" by spelling porpess (ess) to produce "uniformity" in his orthography, which "is a prime excellence in

the rules of language.'

Mr. Webster has spelled conscientious with t in the last syllable, but unconsciencious with c, and in defining unconsciencious, he has consciencious with c, contrary to his text! He has spelled analyzed with z, but unanalysed with s, and in defining unanalysed he has spelled analysed with s, contrary to his text! He has spelled vizard with z, but unvisard He has spelled poised with s, but unpoized with z, and in defining unpoized he has spelled poized with z, contrary to his text! He has spelled composite and decomposite with final e, and deposit and reposit without e! He has spelled imbittered with i, but unembittered with e, and in defining unembittered he has spelled embittered, contrary to his text! He has spelled imbosom with i, but disembosom withe! He has spelled imbrangle with i, but disembrangle with e! He has spelled inthralled with i, but unenthralled with e! He has spelled inversely and adversely with e after s, but diversly without e! He has spelled ethereal with e, but in his "additions" at the close of the book. etherialize and etherialized with i! He has spelled referee with single r, but transferree with rr! He has spelled counselor with single l, but chancellor with il! He has spelled entreat with e, but intreatful with i, and has defined it thus, "full of entreaty"! He has spelled analyze with y, but paralize with i, and in defining palsy in his "additions" he has paralyze with y, contrary to his text! He has spelled inwrap with i, but enwrapment with e! He has spelled plumtree without b, but hogplumbtree with it! He has spelled baize with final e, but maiz without it! He has spelled purslain with ain, but horsepurslane with ane He has spelled soothe (verb) with final e, but smooth (verb) without it, and oo has the same sound in both words! He has spelled brier with e, but sweetbriar with a, and after the word he has [sweet and briar] in which he has spelled briar with a, contrary to his text! Again, in defining brier, he has spelled sweetbrier with e, contrary to his text! He has spelled gospeller and hospitaller with \mathcal{U} , but modeler and victualer, &c. with single l! He has spelled alledged with d, but allegeable and allegement without it! He has camlet without b, but gimblet with it, and in defining bore and wimble he has spelled gimlet without b, contrary to his text! He has spelled potato and wo without final e, but musketoe with it, and in defining batatas he has potatoe with e, and also in defining open and feel he has spelled with e, contrary to his text! He has spelled garlic without k, but pil-garlick with it, and after the word he has [pilled and garlick] with k, contrary to his text! He has laureate with e, but poetlaureat without it! He has spelled enchanted with e, but uninchanted with i and e both! He has spelled furlow (furlough) and plow (plough) with ew, instead of ough, as other lexicographers have spelled them, but he has spelled slough, thorough, &c. with ough, as others have them ! Mr. Webster has, in his introduction, censured Johnson, Walker, Todd, Sheridan, Jones,

&c. for their inconsistencies in the orthography of many words in which he now professes to have produced uniformity; as u in daub and w in bedawb; judgement with e, but acknowledgment without it; proveable, moveable, &c. with e, but reprovable, immovable, &c. without it; traveller, counsellor, with *ll* instead of single *l*: yet Mr. Webster *had* all these "inconsistencies," for which he has so strongly censured *other* lexicographers, in both his former dictionaries, published in 1806 and 1817; and even the word dispatch, of which he has said so much in his introduction, he spelled in both his former dictionaries despatch with

e, as Johnson, Walker, and others have spelled it!

I should not have considered it my duty to allude to the above facts, had Mr. Webster, instead of censuring Johnson, Walker, Jones, &c. for their inconsistencies in the orthograhy of the words above noted, merely observed, that Johnson, Walker, Todd, &c. and myself (Mr. Webster) have spelled these words in this contradictory manner in our dictionaries, which I have now endeavoured to reduce to uniformity! The contradictory manner in which he has spelled the words abridgment, acknowledgment, judgment, and lodgment in his former works, would seem to require the above admission on his part: for instance; in his Spelling-Book he spelled abridgement and judgement with e [acknowledgment and lodgment were not in his spelling lessons]; in his dictionary, published in 1806, he spelled abridgment and acknowledgment without e, but judgement and lodgement with it! in his dictionary, published in 1817, he spelled all of them with e, abridgement, acknowledgement, judgement, and lodgement! in this, the American Dictionary he has spelled all of them without e, abridgment, acknowledgment, judgment, and lodgment, while abridgement and judgement have remained the same, with e, in his Spelling-Book, during this counter-revolution in his dictionaries!

Again; there are many other "inconsistencies" in the English language which require correction as much as those which Mr. Webster has endeavoured to reduce to uniformity; as benum without b, but numb with it; wreath without final e, but inwreathe with it; imbitter with i, but disembitter with e; dryly, dryness, shyly, and shyness, with y, but slily and sliness with i; conversable with a, but reversible with i; forbear with ea, despair with ai, and prepare with a, when each termination is pronounced alike, a long; precede, recede, secede, &c. with the termination ede, and exceed, proceed, and succeed, with eed, &c. &c. in all of which he has the same "inconsistencies" and "preposterous anomalies" which are

I will now attempt to show wherein Mr. Webster's "American Dictionary" is particularly objectionable as a "Standard of Orthography."

It is presumed that every person will readily admit, that a dictionary should, if intended to be a "standard of orthography," possess the following qualities in an eminent degree.—

I. "Certain rules should be adopted and pursued through the several classes of words, and their orthography should be reduced to uniformity."—2. No innovation in orthography should be reduced to uniformity."—2. No innovation in orthography should be reduced to uniformity. be made, unless by the introduction of that innovation an "anomaly" is corrected and uniformity produced.—3. The orthography of the primitive and derivative words should be uniform and consistent.—4. The same words should not be differently spelled, either in the text, or in the definitions of other words; and want of decision in this particular alone, should be a paramount objection to the adoption of any dictionary as a "STANDARD OF ORTHOGRAPHY!"

I have already shown, it is believed, that in the first, second, and third particulars, above I have already shown, it is believed, that in the first, second, and third particulars, above alluded to, Mr. Webster has not, except in a very few instances, produced uniformity, either in the "certain rules which he has adopted and pursued through the several classes of words," or in the "innovations" which he has made; and, that he has, in the orthography of primitive and derivative words, more contradictions than Johnson, Walker, Jones, Todd, or Jameson! yet Mr. Webster has stated that "No two English writers agree on the subject of orthography; and what is worse, no lexicographer is consistent with himself!" when he has more "inconsistencies" than all of them!!! But the fourth, and most important and prominent particular, which should be manifested on the part of the lexicographer who writes a dictionary designed as a "standard of orthography" is decision in cying the writes a dictionary, designed as a "standard of orthography," is decision in giving the orthography of each word; and I will now endeavour to show that Mr. Webster has exhib-Ited a greater want of decision in this important point than any of his predecessors. —he has spelled many words in two different ways without having given a preference, each of which he has defined precisely or nearly alike, and these words have been spelled but one way in his former dictionaries, and in the dictionaries of Johnson, Walker, &c.: Secondly-he has in using the words thus differently spelled in his text, in defining other words, spelled them sometimes with one orthography, and sometimes with the other: Thirdly—he has frequently spelled a word two ways, and has given a preference; but he has as often, in his definitions, used the orthography which he has not preferred as that has as often, in his definitions, used the orthography which he has not preterred as that which he has preferred: Fourthly—he has, in many instances, changed the orthography of a word, and inserted the former orthography, and has referred the reader to the new spelling; but he has changed the orthography of other words without having given the former orthography, or any reference to it: Fifthly—he has many words that are spelled two different ways in his text, which he has coupled, sometimes even without reference to their alphabetical arrangements, so that the reader can see the different spelling of the same word

at once, which is a great convenience; but he has other words differently spelled which are not thus coupled, which is a great inconvenience: Sixthly—he has, in his text, coupled many words which he has spelled in two different ways, with one first in its alphabetical arrangement, and in another place the other word first, agreeably to the alphabetical arrangement of that word, in this manner, alternately giving each word thus coupled, a preference

by placing it first!

First: he has spelled many words in two different ways, without having given a preference, each of which he has defined precisely or nearly alike, and these words have been spelled but one way in his former dictionaries, and in the dictionaries of Johnson, Walker, spelled but one way in his former dictionaries, and in the dictionaries of Johnson, Walker, &c., as above stated. He has enlistment, the act of enlisting, and inlistment, the act of inlisting, but in reinlistment he has given i the preference! He has encase and incase, to inclose in a case! He has embolden and imbolden, to encourage! He has empeople and impeople, to form into a community! He has emboldy and imbody, re-embody and re-imbody, with e and i both, but unembodied with e only! He has apostrophe and apostrophy, with e and y both! He has greyhound and grayhound, a tall fleet dog, used in (kept for) the chase! He has encirclet and incirclet, with e and i! He has entwine and intwine, to twine, &c.! He has entwist and intrust, to twist, &c.! He has embower and imbower!

He has embow and imbow, to arch, to vault! He has encrust and incrust, to cover with a const! He has enclaid and explained with it and et a. and explain he has encyclonedia crust! He has cyclopedia and cyclopede, with is and e; and again, he has encyclopedia and encycloped, with is and e; and again, he has encyclopedia and encyclopedy, with is and y! He has encumber, encumbered, encumbrance, and incumber, incumbrened, incumbrance; but disencumber, disencumbered, disencumbrance, with e only, and incumbered and unincumbered, with e and i both! He has enforce, enforcement, with a only, but re-enforce, re-enforcement, re-inforce, re-inforcement, with e and i both! He has given the three words which end in eed, three different ways; thus, proceed and proceed, with eed and ede, and eed placed first as the preferable spelling; succede and succeed in the process of the proc ceed, with ede and eed, and ede placed first; exceed one way, with eed only !! Again; he has spelled all the derivatives and compounds of these three words, and in their definitions, tedt. He has catastrophe and catastrophy; epitome and epitomy; synecoen and syneopy; synecoeche and synecoechy, with e and y both! He has sheath and sheathe (verb) with final e and without! &c. &c. &c.

Secondly: he has, in using the words thus differently spelled in his text, in defining other words, spelled them sometimes with one orthography, and sometimes with the other; thus, he has, in defining reinlist and reinlistment, spelled in list with i, but in defining beat, engage, and enter, he has spelled it enlist, with eI. He has, in defining impanate and incorporate, and enter, he has spelled it ents, while? He has, in defining imparate and morporate, spelled embody with e, but in defining reimbody, he has spelled imbody with i? He has, in defining apostrophe and its derivatives, spelled apostrophe with e three times, and apostrophy with e three times? Again; in his explanation of "case," in his grammar, prefixed to the American Dictionary, he has spelled apostrophy with e twice! He has, in defining breathe and leash, spelled greyhound with e, but in defining course and stablestand, he has spelled it grayhound, with e? He has, in defining clog and load, spelled encumber with e, but in defining burden, he has spelled incumber with e. He has, in defining clog and load, spelled encumbrance with e, but in defining clear and clogging, he has spelled incumbrance

with i! &c. &c. &c.

Thirdly: he has frequently spelled a word two ways, and has given a preference; but he has as often used the orthography in his definitions, which he has not preferred in his text, as that which he has preferred! Thus, he has spelled feather and fether with a and without it, and has given fether, without a, the preference, as "the most correct orthography;" and, in defining feather and its derivatives, he has spelled fether without a twenty-six times; in defining plume and its derivatives, seventeen times; in defining fledge, seven times; and in defining fowl, goose, hawk, pillow, last, quill, tick, and unfledged, he has also spelled fether without a; but in defining bipennate, bird, avoset, bed, bolster, crest, crane, and crow, he has spelled feather with a! He has spelled leather and lether with a and without it, and has given lether, without a, the preference, as "the most correct orthography;" and in defining leather and its derivatives, he has spelled lether without a nine times; and, in defining last, leash, moccason, pocket-book, shoe, and thong, he has also spelled lether without α_i but in defining air-jacke, alutation, awl, apron, ball, bind, bandoleers, buckskin, cord-wainer, coriaccous, and cushion, he has spelled leather with α^I . He has, in defining leather and quail-pipe, spelled lethern without \hat{a}_i but in defining bandoleers and hose, he has leathern with a_i ! He has spelled villainy and villany with i in the second syllable, and without i, and has given villany, without i, the preference; and, in defining villain and its derivatives, and archvillany, he has spelled it villany, without i, six times; but in defining enormity, flagitiousness, exceed, outrageous, and outvillain, he has spelled villainy with i! He has spelled steadfast, steadfastness, steady, and stedfast, stedfastness, and steddy, with a and without it, and has, in defining steady, steadfast, and their derivatives, spelled stedfast without a four times, stedfastness without a twice, steddy without a nine times, and steddiness without a six times, and stedfastness without a, in defining immovability, stedfast without a, in defining immovable; but in defining firm, stable, and resolute, he has spelled steady with a; in defining firmness and fixedness, he has steadfastness with a; and in defining fixation, resolution, stability, and stableness, he has also spelled steadiness

with a! He has spelled sovereign and suveran, and has given suveran the preference, as "the true spelling;" and in defining suveran and its derivatives, he has spelled suveran with u seven times, and suveranty once; but in defining sovereign and its derivatives, he has spelled sovereign with o, and in defining empress he has sovereignty with o? He has spelled nuisance and nusance with i and without it, and in defining nuisance he has spelled nusance without i; but in defining abate and its derivatives, he has spelled nuisance

with i three times! &c. &c. &c.

Fourthly: he has, in many instances, changed the orthography of a word, and inserted the former orthography, and has referred the reader to the new spelling; but he has changed the orthography of other words without having given the former orthography, or any reference to it. Thus, he has "bridegroom [see bridegoom,]" which is the new spelling; he has "cloak [see cloke,]" which is the new spelling; "ensure [see insure;]" he has "endorse see indorse;]" he has "cruise [see cruse;]" "chemist [see chimist,]" &c. &c. &c. &c.; but he has changed the orthography of the words mosk, ribin, cutlas, skain, sherif, tarif, gimblet, porpess, &c. &c., without having given the *former* orthography, which is mosque riband, cutlass, skein, sheriff, tariff, gimlet, porpoise, &c., or any reference to it in his text! Fifthly: he has many words spelled two different ways in his text, which he has coupled,

sometimes even without reference to their alphabetical arrangement, so that the reader can see the different spelling of the same word at once; but he has other words differently spelled, which are not thus coupled, which is a great inconvenience. Thus, he has, in the text, coupled crier and cryer, croop and croup, boose and bouse, tongue and tung, feather and fether, leather and lether, villain and villan, sluice and sluse, crout and krout, polype and polypus, nuisance and nusance, steady and steddy, &c. &c.; but he has not coupled boosy and bousy, chemist and chimist, chemistry and chimistry, bridegroom and bridegoom, sovereign

and suveran, gipsey and gypsey, &c. &c.

Sixthly: he has, in his text, coupled many words which he has spelled two different ways with one first in its alphabetical arrangement, and in another place the other word first, agreeably to the alphabetical arrangement of that word, in this manner alternately giving each word, thus coupled, a preference, by placing it first! Thus, he has croop and croop, boose and bouse, bouse and boose, &c. &c.

In addition to the preceding, Mr. Webster has spelled many words in three different

ways, which have heretofore been spelled in one way only. Thus, he has height, highth, hight; raindeer, ranedeer, reindeer; paroquet, paroket, perroquet, &c. &c. Although Mr. Webster has spelled so many words differently, yet he has observed under the worde on, that "different modes of spelling the same word are very inconvenient." Still he has five times as much variable and contradictory spelling as all other lexicographers!!

Thus, I have, in six prominent particulars, endeavoured to show wherein Mr. Webster's distorancy is objectionable as a "Standard of orthography." In doing this, I have merely given a few general examples of each class, and have left it with the *critical* reader to ex-

amine more particularly as inclination and leisure shall dictate.

I will now take notice of some of the discrepances in the orthography of the words in the text and definitions of Mr. Webster's Dictionary. I have already taken notice of some of the discrepances in the orthography of Mr. Webster's definitions and text; but those were words which are differently spelled in the text, with or without preference being given. The discrepances of which I shall now take notice are those in which the words are spelled, in the definitions, differently from the text of the American Dictionary. Many of these words are spelled, in the definitions, agreeably to the text of Johnson, Walker, Jameson, &c.; others agreeably to neither; and many of them are spelled agreeably to Webster's Spelling-Book, contrary to his dictionary and those of Johnson, Walker, &c. It is, unquestionably, of the greatest importance that the orthography of the words used in the definitions of a dictionary, should agree with that of the text, as those who use the dictionary will have this erroneous orthography continually before them, if disagreeing with the text, until they become familiar

with it, and will often make use of this incorrect orthography in spelling.

That Mr. Webster himself considers it important that there should be an agreement in the orthography of the definitions and text, appears evident from the fact that he has, at the close of the American Dictionary, in his "Corrections" inserted a note in which he has shown that Johnson wrote despatch with i, instead of e, in, his definitions, contrary to his text.— This note it seems should have been inserted in the introduction where he has speken of dispatch, and, it is thus, in his "Corrections," introduced. "Under dispatch, in the introduction, add; Dr. Johnson himself wrote dispatch. The word thus written occurs twice in his dictionary under send, and five times under speed, and this orthography has been continued to the present time. It has been transcribed into all the dictionaries made from Johnson's, at least into all which I have examined, even down to Chalmers and Jameson." Yet Mr. Webster "himself" not only spelled the word despatch with e in the text of his two former dictionaries, [see page 13] precisely as Johnson and Walker have spelled it, but he actually had the word dispatch with i under the same words send and speed, contrary to his own text, in his dictionary published in 1806, and also under the words expedience, expedite, and expeditiously in the same dictionary! He likewise spelled dispatch with i, contrary to his text, under the word send, in his dictionary published in 1817!

In this the American Dictionary, Mr. Webster has, under the word betrust and its derivatives, spelled entrust with e, instead of i, contrary to his text, six times, and once in his preface! Thus, we have the following singular coincidence: Johnson made use of i instead of e in despatch, contrary to his text, "twice under send, and five times under speed;" and Mr. Webster has made use of e instead of i in intrust, contrary to his text, six times under betrust, and once in his preface—two and five are seven—six and one are seven!!

Perhaps there never was among the acts of any author, a more illiberal and ungenerous and the word despatch, when he had himself course the blunder into his former perhaps the blunder perhap

dictionaries, under the same words!!

From the preceding note, it is evident that Mr. Webster does consider it of importance, that the orthography of the definitions and text should be alike, and I will, therefore, show that Mr. Webster is far from uniformity and consistency, in this important particular.

Many of these words are spelled in the definitions of the American dictionary as they have

been for many years in Webster's spelling-book, contrary to the text of all Webster's dictionaries; and, also contrary to the text of Johnson, Walker, &c.

He has achievement, contrary to his text (achievement) eighteen times under the following words; fabulous, gest, glorious, hatchment, heroic, labor, merit, might, obelisk, panegyric, perform, portray, pride, productive, twice under renown, rich and seald: He has cholic, contrary to his text (colic) under bias: He has cololer, contrary to his text (colic) five times under the words botcher, last, ride, and souter: He has holiday, contrary to his text (holyday, eight times under the words calends, even, fair, ferial, three times under feriation, and highday: He has briar, contrary to his text (brier) under rose: He has fagget, contrary to his text (fagot) five times under the words bavin, dunnage, and kid: He has bason, contrary his text (lagot) five times under the words bavin, duninage, and kid: He has bason, contrary to his text (lossin) eight times under the words font, goldfish, muller, pelvis, pool, rocksalt, reservoir, and rim: He has cyder, contrary to his text (cider) five times under the words bottle, brandy, brisk, distill, and perkin: He has chesnut; contrary to his text (clestmit) ten times under the words bay, beaver, beech, bur, earthnut, fieldfare, mastful, mate, and tannin: He has cyderkin, contrary to his text (ciderkin) under perkin and purre: He has cypher, contrary to his text (cipher) siz times under the words binary, cryptography, fraction, key, monogram, and round: He has sheriff, contrary to his text (sherif) fifu-three times under the words accountable, advance, admeasurement, approver, array, breve, calendar, candidate, cheriff, commit, conservator, constitute, coroner, county, custody, deputation, depute and its derivatives discharge distringes elisar companie scape espuire, execution exigent. its derivatives, discharge, distringas, elisor, empannel, escape, esquire, execution, exigent, extend, extent, fee, fierifacias, imprisonment, informing, inquest, jail, lawday, landgrave, liveryman, mainprize, marshal, nisiprius, outlaw, outrider, oyes, office, palsgrave, panel, peace-officer, and pipe: He has mizen, contrary to his text (mizzen) eighteen times under the words admiral, aftersails, bagpipe, bark, brail, bumkin, courses, dogger, driver, flag, frigatoon, gaff, galiot, crossjack, howker, ketch, and ship: He has plaintiff, contrary to his text (plaintif) fifty-six times under the words account, appellor, avoid, bail, blank, bar, capias, champerty, cognizance, cognizee, cognizor, competent, complainant, compurgation, cost, counsel, crossbill, crossexamine, day decide, declare, declaration, default, defense, delay, demand, demandant, demur, elegit, enjoin, discontinuance, find, imparlance, injunction, lie, make, merge, nonability, nonproficient, nonsuit, object, objection, and party. He has embitter, contrary to his text (imbitter) fourteen times under the words disquietude, edge, empoison, envenom, exasperate, and impoison: He has hindrance, contrary to his text (hinderance) seven times under the words arrest, bar, check, counteraction, cross, forbidding, and passport: He has licence, contrary to his text (license) seven times under the words curate, effrenation, fly, imparl, imparlance, limiter, and scurrilous: He has abridgement, contrary to his text (abridgment) under the word cote: He has spelled paroquet, paroket, and perroquet, three different ways in his text; and, under the word anaca, he has spelled it parokeet, and under lory he has spelled it parroquet, both contrary to all three of the words in his text! He has diarrhæa and diarrhæa, both contrary to his text (diarrhea) under the words lax, looseness, coeliac and colliquative! He has carcase, contrary to his text (carcass) under the words anhima, corps, mortar, and quarter: He has liquorice, contrary to his text (licorice) under astragal and ginseng: He has asafætida, and assafetida, both contrary to his text (asafetida) under bag and gumresin! He has ribband and ribbon, both contrary to his text(ribin) under flare and pendent! He has sirrup and syrup, both contrary to his text (sirup) under the words capillaire, consist-ency, eclegm, hippocras, and lohock: He has cameleon and chamelion, both contrary to his text (chameleon) under knack and lizard! He has etherial, contrary to his text (ethereal) five times under the words heaven, quintessence, skim, same and region: He has sallad, contrary to his text (salad) under the words beetradish and lenten: He has serjeant, contrary to his text (sergeant) under the words advocate, attorney, counsel, lawyer, and petit: He has merchandize, contrary to his text (merchandise) eighteen times under the words article, bookkeeping, broker, buckram, cargo, chaffer, cocket, commodity, curator, custom, emporium, export, exporter, goods, gross, guard, innocency, and kidder: He has entrust, contrary to his text (intrust) fifty-one times under the words account, address, adjourn, administration, agency, answer, bail, behight, cash-keeper, charge, commend, commission, commit, commitment, confide, concredit, confident, confider, counsel, defaulter, delegate, demand, deposite, eleemosy.

ry, embezzle, employ, financier, guardian, intimate, lovetale, office, paymaster, peculate, peculation, peculator, privy-seal, regency, responsible, and sequestration; He has wee, contrary to his text (wo) ten times under the words deliver, epoch, eternize, feel, join, mockery, mortal, pineful, pomp, and respond: He has ensnare, contrary to his text (insnare) twenty-six times under the words benet, captious, captive, catch, deceit, deceive, and their derivatives, entoil, entrap, gudgeon, hamper, hook, illaqueate, luck, mesh, noose, pit, and take: He has caravansera, contrary to his text (caravansary) under ammonia: He has enterprize, contrary to his text (enterprise) eight times under the words abandon, adventure and its derivatives advisable chevisance expedition, and over. He has teamadventure and its derivatives, advisedly, chevisance, expedition, and over: He has tran-quility, contrary to his text (tranquillity) twelve times under the words composedness, agi-tation, composure, disquiet and its derivatives, haleyon, and under quiet and its derivatives: He has decypher, contrary to his text (decipher) under the words blazoned and cipher: He has phrenzy, contrary to his text (phrensy) under phrenitis: He has bishoprick, contrary to his text (bishopric) under erection: He has hammock, contrary to his text (hammoc) under He has courtezan, contrary to his text (courtesan) under penitent: He has highthnetting: He has courtezan, contrary to his text (courtesan) under penitent: He has highther and highten, both contrary to his text (heighten) under the word irritate, twice under enhance, and twice under exaggerate! He has plough, contrary to his text (plow) under new: He has faulchion, contrary to his text (entreat) under the words appetence, deprecate, and obsecrate: He has vermillion, contrary to his text (vermilion) under the words miniate and minious: He has vermillon, contrary to his text (vermilion) under the words miniate and minious: contrary to his text (guarantied) under peace: He has cauldron, contrary to his text (caldron) under enchant and flaw: He has waggon, contrary to his text (wagon) under caisson and matross: He has woodchuck, contrary to his text (woodchuk) under marmot: He has hazle, contrary to his text (hazel) under catkin, cobnut, julus, nut, and rod: He has enquire, contrary to his text (inquire) under beseech, character, consulting, consulted, and enquire, contrary to his text (inquire) under besseen, character, consuling, consulted, and nose: He has also enquiry, contrary to his text (inquiry) under arrive, examen, consult, and curious: He has maize, contrary to his text (maiz) under articulation, breadcorn, cop, and broomcorn: He has reverie, contrary to his text (revery) once under dump, and three times under disentrance: He has intreaty, contrary to his text (entrary) under deprecation, flexible, and obsecration: He has gilliflower, contrary to his text (gillyflower) under tion, hexible, and obsectation: He has grimlower, contrary to his text (gruphower) under carnation, carryphylloid, lay, and damewort: He has spinnet, contrary to his text (spinet) twice under manichord: He has spiggot, contrary to his text (spigot) under faucet: He has crumb, contrary to his text (mum) under particle: He has neighbor; ounder recers: He has flageolet, contrary to his text (flagelet) under recorder: He has tambour, contrary to his text (mumbor) under morrice: He has pennyless, contrary to his text (penniless) under less: He has vertebrae, contrary to his text (vertebrae). contrary to his text (permises) under less. He has vertex as, contary to his text (vertex) under loin and neck: He has girroc, contrary to his text (girrock) under lacertus: He has critick, contrary to his text (critic) under persuade: He has antick, contrary to his text (fitte) under fibrolite and peristaltic: He has avoirdupoise, contrary to his text (avoirdupois) under bahar and decagram: He has avoirdupoise, contrary to his text (avoirdupois) under bahar and decagram: He has centinel, contrary to his text (proposition) under saiarch, lama, and papal: He has raccoon, contrary to his text (raccon) under coat: He has butthen, contrary to his text (burden) seven times under barge, buss, cat, coaster, condition, and tunnage: He has balluster, contrary to his text (baluster) under nave, and twice under rail: He has ballustrade, contrary to his text (balustrade) under rail and sanctuary: He has havoc, contrary to that contrary to his text (havock) under fray: He has engraft, contrary to his text (ingraft) fine times under applegraft, cleftgraft, receive, and imp: He has pretence, contrary to his text (pretense) under belie, government, hypocrisy, and maroon: He has preterite, contrary to his text (preterit) under arose, ate, and conge: He has benefitted, contrary to his text (benefited) under advantaged: He has analyse, contrary to his text (analyze) under hedenbergite and reviewed: He has anglicise, contrary to his text (anglicize) under bivouac and reveille: He has gamut, contrary to his text (gammut) under scale and the letter F: He has enwrap, contrary to his text (inwrap) six times under circumplication, intrigue, infold, roll, and whipped: He has sadler, contrary to his text (saddler) under the words artificer and awl: He has partizan, contrary to his text (partisan) four times under contention and jacobite! He has cimitar, contrary to his text (cimiter) under saber: He has pedlar, contrary to his text (pedler) four times under hawker, piepoudre and huckster: He has gypsey, contrary to his text (gipsey) four times under hind, reproduct an indiasers. He has glypsey, contrary to his text (halberd) three times under fieldstaff, glair, and sergeant: He has ton, contrary to his text (nul, five times under carvael, freight, and prisage: He has sulkey, contrary to his text (sulky) under carriage: He has casque, contrary to his text (sulky) under carriage: He has casque, contrary to his text (cask) under harness and armor: He has batton and baton in the text, but under the word scarp he has battoon, contrary to both of them! He has superintendance, contrary to his text (superintendence) under chancellor, consignee, and seneschal: He has taffety, contrary to his text (taffett) under aridas and fair: He has embosom, contrary to his text (imbosom) under imposing and isle: He has empannel, o 3-cary to his text (impannel) three times under petit and jury: He has tipler, contrary to the text (tippler) under drinker

and drinkinghouse: He has also tipling, contrary to his text (tippling) under alchouse; He has tatling, contrary to his text (tattling) under futile and garrulity: He has also tatler, contrary to his text (tattler) under blow: He has turnip, contrary to his text (turner) under contrary to his text (lattler) under blow: He has turnip, contrary to his text (unrier) under rape: He has stupify, contrary to his text (superfy under doze, drunk, dull, and fox: He has frolic, contrary to his text (frolick) under ape and curvet: He has slyly, contrary to his text (slily) under closely, peep, and subtly: He has clue, contrary to his text (clew) under goosewing: He has dependant, contrary to his text (dependent) four times under hangeron, retainer, and satellite: He has headache, contrary to his text (headach) five times under complain, for, and cephalic: He has saviour, contrary to his text (savior) under seene: He has fulness, contrary to his text (fullness) under blissfulness, buffel, and complement: He has dulness, contrary to his text (dullness) under amblyopy and constitutional: He has replice, contrary to his text (fullness) under amblyopy and constitutional: and constitutional: He has respite, contrary to his text (respit) under act and breath: He has unskilful, contrary to his text (unskilful) under artless and bad: He has unskilfully, contrary to his text (unskilfully), five times under badly, botch, botched, ignorant, and ignorant, and ignorant, and ignorant, and ignorant ig text (musrole)! He has parsnip, contrary to his text (parsnep) under caraway: He has text (furz) under crake and kid: He has fulfil, contrary to his text (fulfil)) eleven times—once under each of the words accomplish, complet, compliment, defaulting, effectuate, engagement, and four times under comply! He has traveller, contrary to his text (traveler) under caravan: He has worshipping, contrary to his text (worshiping) under fornication: He has worshipper, contrary to his text (worshiper) under gentile: He has whiskey, contrary to his text (whisky) under spirit: He has wilfulness, contrary to his text (willfulness) under farm: He has skilfulness, contrary to his text (kskilfulness) under featness: He has worshipped, contrary to his text (worshiped) five times under abracadabra, achor, adored, feticism, and profess: He has wilfully, contrary to his text(willfully) under contunacious and prevaricate: He has duellist, contrary to his text(duellist) under bravery and combatant: He has revelling, contrary to his text (reveling) four times-once under carouse, and three times under bacchanalian! He has dialling, contrary to his text (dialing) four times-once under each of the words declinator and horologe, and twice under gnomonics! He has wilful, contrary to his text (willful) three times under barratry, contumacy, and guilt: He has thresh, contrary to his text (thrash) four times under beat, grant, pile, and stover: He has travelling, contrary to his text (traveling) six times under circulatorious, coach, fancy, far, forge, gest: He has fulfilment, contrary to his text (fulfillment) four times under breach, break, compliment, and expletion: He has skilfully, contrary to his text (skillfully) five times under artfully, defly, dextrously, discerningly, and fencing: He has skilful, contrary to his text (skillful) lwenty-seven times once under each of the words adroit, adroitly, agriculturist, artist, connoisseur, cope, dancer, deftly, distance, exercised, experienced, expert, expertly, express, feat, fierceness, find, manager, please, and twice under each of the words clever, con, cunning, and dextrous! &c. &c. When I commenced the exposition of the discrepances in the orthography of the definitions and text in the American Dictionary, I intended to show all which I had discovered; but they are so numerous that the limits of this review will not permit me to pursue the exposition farther. I have already shown between seven and eight hundred discrepances of this kind, and have noted about five hundred others. Enough has been given, it is believed, however, to convince the reader of the evident want of uniformity and consistency in the orthography of the definitions and text. The candid reader can readily judge whether it is justifiable on the part of Mr. Webster to censure or condemn Johnson's discrepances in his definitions and text, when he has more than twelve hundred in his own dictionary, some of which are evidently copied from Johnson's dictionary, for they appear under the same words in both dictionaries! [See pages 13, 15, 16, and 17.] Having thus pointed out some of the most. prominent defects, inconsistencies, and contradictions in the American Dictionary, which are much more numerous than in any other dictionary, I will now show, that the "innovations and anomalies" introduced by Mr. Webster in his several books, have done more to in-

our newspapers, have been introduced and kept in use through the medium of his Spelling-Book and former dictionaries, the orthography of which is now rejected by him in his American Dictionary!

First: he spelled a great many words in the spelling lessons of his Spelling-Book, contrary to the text of all his dictionaries, and that of Johnson and Walker; and, this contradictory orthography has been continued in his Spelling-Book and dictionary more than

troduce irregularity in orthography, than all of the other works published in this country and in England within fifty years, even if we take his American Dictionary for the "STANDARD," I will also show that nearly all of the errours in spelling which now appear on almost every page of the best written books and periodical publications, and in nearly every column of

twenty years !!

Thus, ancle, achievment, boult, bass, (a long,) biggen, chace, calimanco, chalibeate, cholic, clench, cobler, doat, epaulette, enthral, etherial, faggot, frolic, fellon, grey, goslin, hindrance, halloe, impale, jocky, laste, laquey, negociate, noggen, phrenzy, paroxism, rince, sadler, sallad, staunch, streight, seignor, shoar, tatler, thresh, &c. &c., instead of ankle, achievement, bolt, base, biggin, chas?, calamanco, chalybeate, colic, clinch, cobbler, dote,

epaulet, inthral, ethereal, fagot, frolick, felon, gray, gosling, hinderance, halloo, empale, jockey, last, lackey, negotiate, noggin, phrensy, paroxysm, rinse, saddler, salad, stanch, straight, seignior, shore, tattler, thrash, &c. &c.

The first and incorrect spelling of these words above noted, will not only be found in our best publications and newspapers of the present day, but so great has been the influence of the incorrect orthography of these words, that not less than ten or fifteen authors of Spelling-Books, compiled since Webster's, in this country, have copied most of these errours from Mr. Webster's Spelling-Book! and even Mr. Webster "himself" has spelled nearly all of these words in this erroneous manner in the definitions of the American Dictionary!! [See pages 16, 17, and 18, of this Review.]

Secondly: he has spelled many words in his dictionary published in 1806, contrary to his Spelling-Book, and also contrary to his dictionary published in 1817: thus, abridgment, buccaneer, callico, doctrin, determin, enterprise, examin, havock, medicin, opposit, perquisit,

requisit, &c. &c.

Thirdly: he has spelled many words in his dictionary published in 1817, contrary to his Spelling-Book, and also contrary to his dictionary published in 1806: thus, aidecamp, bucan-

neer, enrollment, pnumatics, sepulchre, tennon, woolen, &c. &c.

Fourthly: in his dictionary published in 1817, he changed the orthography of many words, which, in the dictionary of 1806, were contrary to his Spelling-Book, and conformed them to the orthography of his Spelling-Book, in accordance with the dictionaries of Johnson and Walker: thus, apposite, blameable, calico, determine, doctrine, examine, medicine, opposite, perquisite, requisite, &c. &c.

Fifthly: he spelled many words in both his former dictionaries contrary to his Spelling-Book, which he has now spelled, in the American Dictionary, agreeably to his former Spelling-Book, and in accordance with the orthography of Johnson and Walker! thus, apostrophe, avoirdupois, bombasin, catastrophe, imagine, libertine, mackerel, quadrille,

quarantine, radish, &c. &c.

Sixthly: he has now, in the American Dictionary, spelled many words agreeably to Johnson and Walker, but which were spelled differently from them in both his former dictionaries and Spelling-Book, whereby an orthography has for many years been taught through the medium of those books, which he now acknowledges to have been erroneous, by adopting the orthography of Johnson and Walker in these words: thus, bucanier, diphthong, guaranty, (verb.) merchandise, potato, raillery, triphthong, &c. &c. Seventhly: he has introduced many "innovations" in the American Dictionary, which

he has not "reduced to uniformity," and has, therefore, increased, rather than diminished, the "anomalies" in our language. [See pages 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11; see also pages, 47, 48,

49, &c.]

Thus I have endeavoured to examine the American Dictionary fairly and candidly, and to compare it with the dictionaries of Johnson, Walker, Jameson, Todd, and others, and I regret the conclusion, that it is more erroneous, less uniform and consistent, than any one of them, and, if adopted as "A STANDARD," must lay the foundation of more numerous contradictions and "anomalies" than at present exist in the language. And here I could wish that I did not feel myself bound to animadvert upon what I deem illiberality on the part of the author of the American Dictionary towards former lexicographers, most of whom are now "beyond the reach, and out of hearing of his complaints," and, therefore, unable to answer him. If the author of the American Dictionary, who has enjoyed the advantages of their publications, has more contradictions, inconsistencies, and anomalies, than they have, and more than twelve hundred discrepances in his text and definitions, surely charity should have induced him to throw a mantle over half of that number in the earlier works of Johnson, Walker, and others. If he can claim to be justified in violating his own rules and principles in the great number of instances shown in this review, surely other lexicographers may claim commiseration for minor "anomalies" and less important "contradictions." If the real object of the author of the American Dictionary was "to purify the language from palpable errors, and reduce the number of its anomalies; to rescue it from the mischievous influence of sciolists, and that dabbling spirit of innovation which is perpetually disturbing its settled usages;" it is difficult to conceive upon what principles he can justify the number of INNOVATIONS, CONTRADICTIONS, and ANOMALIES, with which his work abounds.

REVIEW

OF

WEBSTER'S OCTAVO DICTIONARY.

[The copy, quoted in this Review, bears date 1831.]

Subsequent to the publication of the "Quarto American Dictionary," Mr. Webster informed the publick (through the medium of the New York Evening Post, May 28, 1829,) that an abridgment of this quarto, in an octavo form, would soon be published, which would "comprise all the words in the quarto, with some additions, and with the chief etymologies and full definitions." From this advertisement, we might reasonably infer that the orthography in the quarto and in the octavo, agree in the text if not in the definitions. I have compared all the contradictions, inconsistencies, and anomalies, which I have pointed out as contained in the quarto, with the octavo abridgment; and I will now show the result of that comparison. This exposition, it is presumed, will be considered proper and fair; for, although it is stated in the preface to the octavo abridgment, that "as the author of the original work has intrusted the superintendence of the Abridgment to another person, he is not to be considered as responsible for any of the modifications already alluded to. The quarto edition will, of course, be considered as presenting his exact views of the proper arrangement and exhibition of words in respect to their orthography and pronunciation;" yet it is believed that he (Mr. Webster) is and should be responsible for the correctness or incorrectness of the orthography in the octavo, inasmuch as it is titled with his name, and not Worcester's, and is given to the publick under his authority only, the name of Mr. Worcester not appearing on the titlepage, or at the end of the preface. I shall, therefore, consider the octavo Webster's, and, with this opinion, shall proceed to point out the variations in orthography from the quarto, made in the octavo-that a part of the orthography objected to in the preceding review has been changed in the text of the octavo, agreeably to the orthography of Johnson and Walker—that nearly all the contradictions and inconsistencies in the orthography of the text still remain in the octavo; and, I will also show in what manner and wherein many of the discrepances in the text and definitions of the quarto, "are corrected" in the octavo, viz. by changing the orthography in the text of the octavo, and making it agreable to the orthography of Walker! The other discrepances, with few exceptions, remain as in the quarto. The theomosticencies and contradictions in the orthography of the discrepance of the orthography orthography or the orthog of words which end in ck, pointed out on pages 7 and 8, remain the same in the octavo, except the following which are contradictory: thus,

Quarto.	Octavo.	Quarto.	Octavo.
Almanack,	Almanac,	Hattock,	Hattoc,
Hemlock,	Hemloc,	Hillock,	Hilloc,
Mattock,	Mattoc,	Almanack-maker.	Almanac-make

The following *inconsistency* in the quarto, pointed out on page 8, is retained in the octavo. He has spelled *mimic*, when a noun, without k, and with it when a verb; yet, he has made no such distinction in the words frolick and fraffick, having spelled them with k in both cases.

The class of words which usually end in re, Mr. Webster spelled in the quarto with er, as stated on page 9; thus accounter, center, maneuers, &c. and their derivatives; and these words were thus spelled in the definitions in the quarto: but we find these words and their derivatives changed in the octavo agreeable to Walker, and also in the definitions, although Mr. Webster has strongly condemned this termination re, in the introduction to the quarto! Mr. Webster remarks, "in the present instance, want of uniformity is not the only evil. The present orthography has introduced an awkward mode of writing the derivatives, for example, centred, sceptred, septiletrae." Yet, we find these words and their derivatives spelled re in the octavo in the text and definitions, agreeably to Walker and Johnson, contrary of the principle above quoted which is contained in the quarto! Thus they appear in the two dictionaries:

Quarto. Accouter,	Octavo.	Quarto.	Octavo. Centre,
Accoutering, Accoutered, Accouterments, Amphitheater,	Accouter, Accoutring, Accoutred, Accoutrements, Amphitheatre, Amphitheater,	Centering, Centered, Concenter,	Center, Centring, Centred, Concentre, Concenter, Concentring,

Quarto.	Octavo.	Quarto.	Octavo.
Concentered,	Concentred,	Sceptered,	Sceptred,
Fiber,	Fibre,	Sepulcher, $(n, \text{ and } v.)$	
Brown Male of Street	Fiber,		Sepulcher,
Luster,	Lustre,	Section Notice at the	Sepulchre, (v.)
	Luster,	Sepulchering,	Sepulchring,
Maneuver, $(n. \text{ and } v.)$	Manœuvre, (n.)	Sepulchered,	Sepulchred,
and me sales have some	Maneuver,	Specter,	Spectre,
	Manœuvre, (v.)	and the state of t	Specter.
Maneuvering,	Manœuvring,	Verteber,	Vertebre,
Maneuvered,	Manœuvred,	Control of the little of the	Verteber,
Meter,	Metre,	Omber,	Ombre,
Didges - Land	Meter,	Ombre,	Omber.
Miter, (n. and v.)	Mituo	Peter,	Petre,
, (Miter, (n.)	Petre,	Peter,
	Mitre, (v.)	Saber,	Sabre,
Mitered,	Mitred,	Sabre,	Saber,
Niter,	Nitre,	Saber, (v.)	Sabre, (v.)
I amount of the three total	Niter,	Saltpeter, ?	Saltpetre,
Ocher,	Ochre,	Saltpetre,	Saltpeter,
and a little back of	Ocher,	Theater,	Theatre,
Ocherous,	Ochreous,	Theatre,	Theater,
Scepter,	Sceptre,	Massacer, (v. & n.)	Massacre,
	Scepter,	Massacre, (v. & n.)	Massacer,
		1	Massacre, n.
	AND SECURITION OF THE PARTY OF	THE RESERVE TO SERVE THE PARTY OF THE PARTY	, ,,,

Thus we see that in the octavo the termination re is preferred in all cases in the class of words above given, except in reconnoiter, reconnoitering, and reconnoitered which he has spelled er, contrary to all of the others in the text of the octavo!; and, that er is preferred in all cases in the quarto! and the re is given in all cases in the definitions in the octavo, but er in the quarto, as center, luster, &c. centre, lustre, &c. so that there are hundreds of contradictions in the orthography of this class of words in the definitions of the quarto and octavo! This class of words forms one of the most prominent distinctions between the orthography of Mr. Walker and Webster, and it is one for which Mr. Webster and the friends of the quarto claim as much as for that of any other pretended improvement in the orthography of the American Dictionary; and, Mr. Webster has condemned the orthography of the termination re (both in the quarto and in his dictionary published in 1806) more strongly than that of any other class of words in which he disagrees with Johnson and Walker! The contradictions between the quarto and octavo in this class of words alone, (in the text and definitions,) amount to more than all the contradictions contained in the whole of Johnson's Dictionary

The class of words which usually end in f, Mr. Webster, in the quarto, spelled generally with single f, in the dissyllables and trisyllables, either in his text or in the "corrections" with single f, in the dissymmes and disymmes, either in his text or in the creekens at the close of his dictionary; and, he gave the single f the preference; but, in the octavo he has spelled these words differently and has preferred the f, both in the text and definitions! Thus, the discrepances in the orthography of the text and definitions in this class of words contained in the quarto, pointed out on page 10. "are corrected in the octavo." The words of this class are changed in the text agreeably to Walker, consequently these words agree with the definitions in the octavo! These words are spelled in the manner following, in the octavo.

tavo and quarto: thus-

Quarto.	Octavo.	Quarto.	Octavo.
Bailiff (text) Bailif (CORRECTIONS	Bailiff Bailif	Sherif	Sheriff }
Caitiff (text) Caitif (cor.)	Caitiff Caitif	Deputysheriff	Deputysherit Deputysherit
Dandruff (text) Dandruf (cor.)	Dandruff	Boundbailiff Bumbailiff	Boundbailiff Bumbailiff
Mastiff (text)	Mastiff)	Undersherif	Undersheriff
Mastif (cor.)	Mastif }	Distaff	Distaff
Plaintif	Plaintiff Plaintif	Hippogriff Midriff	Hippogriff Midriff
Pontif	Pontiff Pontif	Cliff (text) Clif (cor.)	Cliff
Archpontiff	Archpontiff	Distaffthistle	Distaffthistle
Restif(n.) Restifness	Restiff (n.) Restiffness	Tarif (n. & v.)	Tariff (n.)
Restif (a.)	Restiff (a.)	Sherifalty	Tariff (v.) Sheriffalty

Quarto. Octavo. Quarto. Sherifdom Sheriffdom Sherifwick Sheriffwick Sherifship Sheriffship Undersherifry Undersheriffry.

From an examination of the orthography of the preceding class of words, we shall discover that the termination ff is preferred in every instance in the octavo, both in the text and definitions; and, that their orthography is contradictory in the quarto, although single f is preferred in that dictionary! [See page 10.]

The contradictory manner in which the words that end with ance, anse, and ense, in the quarto, pointed out on page 10, remains the same in the octavo, except entrance.

In the orthography of the words which end with ch or che, pointed out as contradictory in the quarto, on page 10, a number of variations has been made in the octavo; thus,

Quarto.	Octavo.	Quarto.	Octavo.
Ache)	Ache)	Unaking	Unaching
Ake	Ake (Boneache	Boneache
Aching)	Aching)	Headach	Headache
Aking	Aking	Heartach	Heartache

In defining ake, he has toothake with k, contrary to his text, toothake, as in the quarto! The contradictions and inconsistencies in the orthography of the class of words which end in l or ll, pointed out on page 11, remain the same in the octavo in the text; but, a few corrections have been made in the definitions, under the words baggage, abetting, accomplishment, bilk, find-fault, drivel, and abracadabra. Nearly all of the other contradictions pointed out on page 12, remain in the octavo, as vizard with z, unvisard with s, &c.

The innovations and inconsistencies pointed out on page 12, remain in the octavo, except

the following; thus-

Quarto.	Octavo.	Quarto.	Octavo.
Etherialized Addi Allegeable Addi	tions. Etherealize Etherealized Alledgeable	Allegement Thumring Thumstall	Alledgement Thumbring Thumbstall

He has gimblet with b in the definition of the word *bore*, in the octavo.

It will be seen by reference to page 13, that I pointed out several rules and principles which a "Standard of Orthography" should possess in an eminent degree; and on that page particularly specified wherein the quarto is objectionable, as the same words are differently spelled in the text and in the definitions, and that there is want of decision in this particular which is a paramount objection to the adoption of any dictionary as a "STANDARD OF ORTHOG-

The objections preferred against the orthography of the quarto are thus given on page 13: First—he has spelled many words in two different ways without having given a preference, each of which he has defined precisely or nearly alike, and these words have been spelled but one way in his former dictionaries, and in the dictionaries of Johnson, Walker, &c.: Secondly—he has, in using the words thus differently spelled in his text, in defining other words, spelled them sometimes with one orthography, and sometimes with the other: Thirdly—he has frequently spelled a word two different ways, and has given a preference; but he has as often in his definitions, used the orthography which he has not preferred as that which he has preferred: Fourthly-he has, in many instances, changed the orthography of a word, and inserted the former orthography, and has referred the reader to the new spelling; but he has changed the orthography of other words without having given the former orthography, or any reference to it: Fifthly—he has many words that are spelled two different ways in his text, which he has coupled, sometimes even without reference to their alphabetical arrangements, so that the reader can see the different spelling of the same word at once; but he has other words differently spelled which are not thus coupled, which is a great inconvenience: Sixthly-he has, in his text, coupled many words which he has spelled in two different ways with one first in its alphabetical arrangement, and in another place the other word first, agreeably to the alphabetical arrangement of that word, in this manner, alternately giving each word, thus coupled, a preference by placing it first!

As examples have been given under each of the preceding classes on pages 14 and 15, the

reader is referred to them on those pages.

All of the defects pointed out on page 14, contained in the quarto, are generally the same in the octavo. The defects shown on page 15, contained in the quarto, are generally the same in the octavo. In defining the words feather, leather, steady, &c. he has not used these words without a, as in the quarto! The same may be said of the word sovereign, which is not spelled suveran in the definitions of the octavo, as in the quarto! He has not coupled the words chemist and chimist, chemistry and chimistry, &c. in the quarto, but has in the octavo, the same with regard to sovereign and suveran! In the quarto he has spelled chimistry with i in the first syllable, and has given this orthography the preference; and, he has also a note in the quarto in which he shows that this word should be spelled with i.

and he has thus spelled the word chimistry and its derivatives fifteen times in the definitions of the same words; but in the octavo he has spelled chemistry and its derivatives with e, and has given the words the full definitions after this orthography; and, he has also spelled the word chemistry and its derivatives with e in the definitions in the octavo, contrary to the quarto in all cases! Thus the words appear in the quarto and in the octavo:

Quarto.	Octavo.	Quarto.	Octavo.
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Chimical} \\ \text{Chimically} \\ \text{Chimist} \\ \text{Chimistry} \end{array} \right\} $	Chemistry nitions.	Chemically (see chimically) Chemist (see chimist) Chemistry	Chimically Chemically Chimist Chemist Chemist Chimistry
Chemical	Chimical	(see chimistry)	Chemistry §
(see chimical)	Chemical	See CHEM	ISTRY.
		0.4	
	Quarto.	Octavo.	
A	lchimic	Alchemic	
A	Ichimical	Alchemical	
	lchimically	Alchemical	V
	lchimist	Alchemist	

From an examination of the preceding list, we shall perceive that he has given i the preference both in the text and definitions of the quarto; and, that he has given e the preference in this class of words in the text and definitions of the octavo in alt cases! In the first edition of the octavo, (1829) he spelled alchimy and its derivatives with i, but in this edition, (1831) he has changed them to e, to agree with chemistry! Was this done by Mr. Webster's consent? If so, why has he alchimy and its derivatives with i in the duodecimo dictionary, bearing the same date, 1831?

Alchemistic

Alchemistical Alchemy

He has spelled plow and its derivatives with w in the quarto, but plough and its deriva-

tives with ough in the octavo: thus-

Alchimistic Alchimistical

Alchimy

Quarto.	Octavo.	Quarto.	Octavo.
Plow (n. & v.)	Plough)	Plowing (ppr.)	Ploughing (ppr.)
	Plough n.	Plowing (n.)	Ploughing (n.)
	Plough (v.)	Plow-land	Plough-land
Plow-alms	Plough-alms	Plow-man	Plough-man
Plow-bote	Plough-bote	Plow-monday	Plough-monday
Plow-boy	Plough-boy	Plow-share	Plough-share
Plowed	Ploughed	Unplowed	Unploughed
Plower	Plougher	Drillplow	Drillplough

In this class of words there are the most glaring contradictions that can possibly exist in a dictionary; ow is preferred in all cases in the text of the quarto, and ough in all cases in the text of the octavo! And yet we are told by Mr. Webster that "one very important object of this series of books, is to reduce to uniformity, the orthography of a great number of words which are differently written by different authors." In the quarto, plow is spelled with ow in the definitions; but in the octavo it is sometimes with ow and sometimes with ough, is as under plough and its derivatives it is spelled with ough, but under break it is spelled with own of the has "unplowed, not plowed" in the quarto, and "unplowghed, not ploughed" in the octavo! although under break it is unploved with own!

He has "in the quarto, spelled furthern with own and has eigen the following note in sun-

He has, in the quarto, spelled furlow with ow, and has given the following note in support of his new orthography: "the common orthography furlowgh is corrupt, as the last syllable exhibits false radical consonants. The true orthography is furlowgh and has spelled it furlow in the definitions in the quarto; but in the octavo he has spelled it both

ways, and has given ough the preference both in the text and definitions! Thus-

Quarto.	Octavo.
Furlow (n.) leave of absence.	Furlow n. leave of absence.
Furlow (v.) to furnish with a furlow.	Furlough v. to furnish with a furlough.

In the quarto he has spelled bridegoom without r, in the last syllable, and has said of the former orthography, bridegroom, "such a gross corruption or blunder ought not to remain a reproach to philology;" yet in the octavo the word is changed back to the orthography of Walker and Johnson, contrary to the quarto and the preceding note, so that bridegoom, without r in the last syllable, does not appear in the text or definitions of the octavo at all.

He has, in the quarto, spelled ribin with single b, and in; but in the cetavo he has spelled it three ways! and he has given ribbon the preference, both in the text and in the definitions of the octavo! Thus, in defining ribin and its derivatives, and in defining the word millinery, he has, in the quarto, spelled it in all cases ribin, but in the octavo ribbon in the same situation! The words appear in the following manner, in the two dictionaries

Quarto.

Ribin (n.)

Riband, (See RIBBON.)

Ribin, (v. to adorn with ribins!)

Ribbon, (v. to adorn with ribbons!)

In the quarto he has spelled *drouth* with th as the preferable orthography, and has given the following note: "This is usually written *drought*, after the Belgic dialect; but improperly;" but in the octavo *drought* is placed first as the preferable orthography in that dictionary! thus—

Quarto. Octavo.
Drouth, Drought, Drouth Drouthy, Drouthy,

He has in the quarto, spelled Melasses with e in the first syllable; and has said "Mo-Lasses, an incorrect orthography of melasses;" but in the octavo he has given melasses the preference by placing it first in the text, and has not given melasses in its place agreeable to

alphabetical arrangement!

In the quarto, he has spelled porposs with ess in the last syllable, and has not given any reference to the former orthography porpoise; but in the octavo he has spelled it three ways porpoise, porpus, porpess, oise, as, and ess, and has given porpoise the preference by placing it first, and porpess, the orthography of the quarto, last!!! And yet he says on page 13 of his pamphlet, recently published; "Porpoise is a mere blunder!" In the quarto, he has spelled nightmar without final e, but in the octavo he has spelled it nightmare and nightmar, with e and without it, and has given with e the preference; and, in the quarto, he has also in defining inculus spelled mightmar without e, but in the octavo with it!

 \overrightarrow{He} has, in the quarto, spelled hagard, when an adjective and noun with one g, and hag- ardly with one g also, and in defining hagardly he has hagard with one g; but, in the octavo, he has spelled haggard, noun and adjective, and haggardly, with gg; and, also in defi-

ning haggardly, he has spelled haggard with gg!

Nearly all the discrepances in the text and definitions of the quarto, pointed out on pages 16, 17 and 18, remain the same in the octavo. Perhaps one hundred of the eight hundred "are corrected" in the octavo, mostly, however, by changing the orthography in the text of

the octavo, and making it agreeable to the orthography of Walker and Johnson!

The limits of this review will not permit me to pursue the exposition of the contradictions in orthography between Webster's quarto and octavo dictionaries. The critical reader who wishes to know more of the "uniformity" of Mr. Webster's works, can pursue the subject, and examine more fully and minutely. I think, however, that enough has been shown, to convince every impuritial scholar of the impropriety, injustice, and absurdily of his condemnation of all English Dictionaries for want of "uniformity," when there are not in existence two English or American Dictionaries which disagree in orthography as much as Webster's quarto and octavo dictionaries disagree! And yet Mr. Webster has stated on page I of his pamphlet, published during the past year, that "one very important object of this series of books, (quarto, octavo, school dictionary, and Elementary Spelling Book,) is to reduce to uniformity the orthography of a great number of words which are differently written by different authors"!

With regard to the justness of these remarks by Mr. Webster, the candid publick must decide, after having read attentively and critically the preceding and following pages of this

review.

REVIEW

OF

WEBSTER'S DUODECIMO DICTIONARY.

The copy, quoted in this Review, bears date 1831.]

A FEW months after the publication of the octavo dictionary, Mr. Webster published "A Dictionary of the English Language: abridged from the American Dictionary, for the use of Primary Schools and the Counting-House."

In the preface to this (duodecimo) dictionary, page 2, in speaking of the orthography of the quarto and octavo dictionaries, he has stated, that "some errors in orthography escaped observation;" and farther remarks, "But the number of these I am not able to ascertain; as it is not probable that I shall ever again read the whole of the octavo or quarto edition. as it is not produce that remained the span fees allowance of the country in the control of the configuration of the community and the configuration of the community relative to the errors which had been discovered in his works, instead of seeking "repose," has travelled almost without cessation, making speeches in the different cities and large villages, puffing his new system of spelling, and, at the same time, condemning Johnson, Walker, and others; and has used every effort to make "proselytes," although he has stated in his pamphlet, page 8, that "personal application has been made by PEDDLING COMPILERS, to teachers and others, for the purpose of inducing them to lay aside my book (alluding to his Spelling-Book) and use their own? '! Again; he says, on page 2, "this duodecimo volume, my last work, all written and corrected by myself," MNSELF! (who else would write Webster's dictionary, but [MYSELF!] Mr. WEBSTER?) "is to be considered as containing the pointing, orthography, but [M] Fig. 17. It is the considered as containing the pointing, of magaziny, and pronunciation, which I [II] most approve; although on page 3, in the preface prefixed to the octavo dictionary, published but six months previous to the date of the preface from which the preceding extract is made, he stated, that "The quarto edition will, of course, be considered as presenting his exact views of the proper arrangement and exhibition of words, in respect to their ORTHOGRAPHY and PRONUNCIATION"!! Again, on page 1 of his pamphlet, published the past year, he has stated, that "one very important object of this series of books, (quarto, octavo, duodecimo, and Elementary Spelling-Book,) is to reduce to uniformity," thereby conveying the idea that these four books are "uniform" and consistent in their orthography

I now propose to show that "this duodecimo volume, his last work, all written and corrected by himself," is no more to be consulted as a "Standard of Orthography," than the quarto and octavo dictionaries, the contradictions, inconsistencies, and anomalies of

which I have already pointed out.

All the contradictions and inconsistencies in the orthography of the class of words which end with ck, except has sock, pointed out on page 8, contained in the quarto, remain in the duc-decime! The following inconsistency, contained both in the quarto and octavo, is still re-tained in the duodecimo. He has spelled minic, when a noun, without k, and with it when a verb; yet he has made no such distinction in the words frolick and traffick, having spelled them with k, when a noun and verb! This innovation makes another "anomaly" in our language, that of spelling the noun and verb differently, when they are pronounced alike.

The orthography of the class of words which end with er, in the quarto, as the preferable

orthography, pointed out on page 9, he has changed back to the orthography of the quarto, with all the contradictions pointed out on that page, with the addition of six or seven more! and has given the termination er the preference, contrary to the octavo, published six months previous! Thus, in the quarto, he has spelled fiber, luster, miter, ocher, and specter, but one way, with er; but in the duodecimo he has spelled them two ways, er and re both! The word belleslettres he has spelled with re in the quarto and octavo, but with

er in the duodecimo!

In the duodecimo!

In the duodecimo, he has six different ways of exhibiting the orthography of this class of words, which end with er or re. Thus, center; acre; amphitheater, [or tre]; fiber, prime excellence in spelling. He condemns, in the introduction to his quarto, the termination red in sceptred, yet he has, in the text of the quarto, cotavo, and duodecimo, spelled, hungred with this termination, although hunger is spelled with the termination er!

The class of words which end with f in the octavo, as the preferable orthography, he has changed back to the orthography of the quarto, with single f, with two or three additional

contradictions not in the quarto! Thus, he has spelled mastif and mastiff, with single f and ff! He has restif and restive, with f and ive! He has distaff with ff, but all of the other words end with single f! He has, after the words sherif and tarif, inserted [sheriff] and [tariff] with ff, in brackets; but he has not inserted the old orthography of any other words except [sheriff] and [tariff] in brackets, after the new orthography!

He has, in the duodecimo, spelled midriff with ff, contrary to his text in defining diaphragm. He has, in the duodecimo, all the inconsistencies in the class of words which and in ance and ence, pointed out on page 10; but he spelled entrance, (verb.) with c, contrary to the quarto, in the duodecimo of 1829!

In the orthography of the word ache and its compounds, he has not, in the duodecimo, as many contradictions as in the quarto, pointed out on page 10, for he has only part of the words. Thus, he has not bellyache or boneache in the duodecimo; but he has some new contradictions not in either the quarto or octavo! As, headache, headake; heartache, heartake, with che and k both; but toothache with che only! when headache and heartache are spelled but one way either in the quarto or octavo. Thus, he has these two words each

spelled three ways, in his three dictionaries. [See pages 10 and 11.]

The contradictions in the orthography of the class of words which end in l or ll, pointed out on page 11, remain the same in the duodecimo, except that he has added to the number of them; thus, he has medalist with single I, and metallist with II, contradictory, but both alike, with II, in the quarto and octave! In the quarto and octave, he has spelled withal, therewithal, and wherewithal, with single l_i but in the duodecimo, he has spelled withal with l_i , centrary to the quarto and octave, and therewithal and wherewithal with single l_i agreeing with them! and the l should be doubled in all of them agreeably to his rule. Again, he has not doubled l in extol, "which must be doubled in the derivation" extolling, &c.; but has spelled it as Johnson and Walker have. He has, in the duodecimo, spelled brimfull with ti, contrary to the quarto and octavo, and handful with single !! A great many of the words pointed out on page 12, as contradictory, are not in the duodecimo; but in those which are inserted, the contradictions still exist; as, vizard with z, and unvisard with st inthralled with t, and unenthralled with et referee with single τ , and transferree with $\tau \tau t$ &c. &c. He has, in the duodecimo, apposit, appositly, and appositness, without e, and opposite, oppositely, and oppositeness, with et but all of them have e in the quarto and octavo, so that this contradiction does not exist in either of them! He has, in the duodecimo, seurious, sourious, and seurious needs not exist in eitner of them? He has, in the duodecimo, seurious, seurious, and seuriousness, with single r, but with rr in the quarto and octavo! He has berylline and coralline with ll, and crystaline with single l! He has kale with lk, and secacle c! He has, in the duodecimo, spelled builder, builder; building; and built, bit, two ways, with ui and with i only, but in the quarto and octavo, one way, with ui! He has also spelled built, bit, two ways, but airbuilt but one! In the duodecimo, he has spelled builten advantage, and overhousday. The flat acid splend burden, and overburden, one ways, but amount but one: In the duodecimo, he has spelled burden, disburden, and overburden, one way, with d only; but unburthen, unburden, with d and d, and has given d the preference! He has, in the duodecimo, torsion with s, and detortion with t! In the quarto, calice, without h, is the "preferable orthography;" although chaliced is spelled with h; but in the duodecimo chalice is spelled with h only! He has, in the quarto and octavo, spelled cumfrey, comfrey, and comfry, three ways, but in the duodecimo he has it but one way, comfry, the orthography the least preferred either in the quarto or octavo!! He has, in the duodecimo, spelled pierce one way, with ie only; but unpierced, unperced, with ie and e both, contrary to the quarto and octavo! He has, in the duodecimo, spelled unsteadfast, unstedfast, and the derivatives, how ways, with ea and e only, but in the quarto and octavo one way, ead He has, in the duodecimo, spelled venturesome with e after the v, but adventursome without et In the duodecimo, he has burg without h, and burgher with it! He has accruing without k, and rueing with it! He has billinch with \(\mu \), and burysh with single \(\mu \) and the \(\mu \) preceding the \(h \) as the same sound in each. In the quarto and octavo, he has given villany, without ', the preference, and has spelled archvillany without i; but in the duodecimo he has spelled villany with i! In the duodecim, he has changed the orthography of chemistry and its derivatives, back to the quarto, contrary to the octavo!

Octavo.	Duodecimo.	1 Octavo.	Duodecimo.
Chemistry	Chimistry	Alchemical	Alchimical
Chemical	Chimical	Alchemically	Alchimically
Chemically	Chimically	Alchemist	Alchimist
Chemist	Chimist	Alchemistic	Alchimistic
Alchemic	Alchimic	Alchemistical	Alchimistical

He has, in the duodecimo, changed the orthography of plough and its derivatives, back to the

3, 00 100, 00, 9 00 0110	Querro.		
Octavo.	Duodecimo.	Octavo.	Duodecim
Plough	Plow	Ploughman	Plowman
Ploughing	Plowing	Ploughshare	Plowshare
Ploughed	Plowed	Drillplough	Drillplow
Ploughland	Plowland	Unploughed	Unplowed

In the duodecimo, he has spelled furlow with ow, contrary to the octavo, in which it is furlough! He has, in the duodecimo, spelled bridegoom without r in the last syllable, agreeably to the quarto, but contrary to the octavo, as bridegoom, without r in the last syllable, does not appear either in the text or definitions of the octavo! He has, in the duodecimo, changed the orthography of ribin, back to the quarto, contrary to the octavo! In the duodecimo, he has spelled melasses with e, contrary to the octavo, in which molasses is given as the preferable orthography. In the octavo, he has given porpoise the preference, but in the duodecimo, he has it porpess! In the duodecimo, he has nightmar without final e, but in the octavo with e, as the preferable orthography. He has, in the duodecimo, changed the orthography of hagard and hagardly, back to the quarto, contrary to the octavo! He has I single in although, always, and jackal, in which the α is broad, and the l should be doubled agreeably to his rule, "that single l would lead to a false pronunciation!" He has in the duodecimo, apposit without final e, and inapposite with it, contrary to the quarto and octavo! In the duodecimo, he has gazetteer with tt, and garreteer with single t! He has in the duodecimo, foliaceous with eous, and extrafoliacious with ious t He has diphylous with single l, and hexaphyllous with lt t He has in the duodecimo, lunge with u, and allonge with otIn the duodecimo he has pinnate with i, bipennate with e, and tripennate, tripinnate with e and i both! In the duodecimo, he has gillyflower with y, and clovegilliflower with i! He has clanish and clanishness with single n, contrary to the rule of spelling derivative words, which is, that a consonant that ends a monosyllable or a word accented on the last syllable, preceded by a single vowel, should be doubled, when a syllable is added beginning with a vowel, as span, spanning, &c. In the duodecimo, he has turkey, turky, with ey and y both, but all the other words of this class, as jockey, lackey, &c., he has spelled but one way! He has, in the duodecimo, spelled stead, sted, with ea and e, both ways, but instead one way, ea!

On page 13, I have pointed out what qualities a dictionary should possess in an eminent degree, if intended to be a "Standard of Orthography." This duodecimo dictionary is as defective as either the quarto or octavo, particularly as he has exhibited a greater want of decision in giving the orthography of each word than in them. Thus, he has all the varieties of spelling the different words, pointed out on pages 14, 15, and 16, as, apostrophe, apostrophy; epitome, epitomy; enlist, inlist, entwine, intwine, &c. &c. He has, in the quarto, spelled proceed, procede; succeed, succeed ; and exceed three different ways; but in the duodecimo he has changed them back to the orthography of Johnson and Walker, all with eed! In the duodecimo, he has, in the orthography of the words which end in aunt, a great many contradictions. Thus, he has daunt and taunt one way, but flaunt, flant; haunt, hant; and vaunt, vant, two ways! Again; although he has given these three words two ways, he has given vaunting, vanting, two ways; flaunting but one way, and the participle haunting is not inserted at all! He has, in the duodecimo, strow, strowed; strew, strewing, strewed, without having given any preference! He has, in the duodecimo, spelled craunch, cranch; haunch, hanch, two ways, and stanch but one way! In the duodecimo, he has Czar, Tzar; Czarina, Tzarina with Cz and Tz! He has no decision at all in his orthography; for instance, he says in the quarto, that wiry is preferable to wiery, yet he has placed wiery first in the duodecimo! He says in his new Spelling-Book, page 137, that many words which are spelled with ea should be with e only; but in his duodecimo dictionary he has some of them with one spelling, others with two; as, spread, spred; tread; stead, sted, &c. &c.; he has also some of the participles with one spelling, others with two; as, spreading, spreading, treading, &c. He has sovereign and suveran, both ways, in the duodecimo, without having given a preference! The contradictions pointed out on pages 16, 17, and 18, between the definitions and text in orthography, are not as numerous in the duodecimo as in the octavo and quarto, as most of the definitions are changed and abbreviated, yet the greater part of them which are inserted, remain contradictory, as, holiday under ferial; faggot under dunnage; entrust under confident; ensnare under catch; intreat under obsecrate; intreaty under obsecration; halbert under glair; stupify under dull, &c. &c. Again; he has in the duodecimo, in defining ancony, spelled bloomery, contrary to his text, bloomery; in defining travesty he has spelled burlesk with k, which is not the orthography that he has preferred in his text! In the duodecimo, the definitions of the words subtile and subtle are confounded. In the duodecimo, he has, in defining nauseous and nauseousness, spelled lothesome with e, centrary to his text lothsome! &c. &c.

It is very improper and perplexing to exhibit two different spellings of the same word; for, the scholar will always hesitate in spelling it, sometimes using one orthography, sometimes the other; as vaunt and vant, unburthen and unburden, steril and sterile, &c. &c.; yet Mr. Webster has, in his duodecimo, not only given two different spellings of the same word, when coupled together, as vaunt, vant, haunch, hanch, &c.; but he has given two different spellings of the same word, when not coupled, with the same or similar definitions! Thus, sovereign (a.) supreme in power; suveran, (a.) supreme in power, chief: sovereign (m.) a supreme lord or ruler: sovereignty, supremacy, supreme dominion; suveranty, supreme power, supremeave; ache, to be in pain; ake, to be in constituted pain! vant, vaunt, to boast, to brag; vaunt, vant, to boast, to brag; vaunt, vant, to boast, to brag; elmisment, all the supremeaves and the supremeations of the same of the supremeation of the same of the supremeation of the same of the supremeation of the same of t

of enlisting; inlistment, act of inlisting! engrained, dyed in the grain; ingrained, dyed in the grain! encase, to inclose in a case; incase, to inclose in a case! confine in a cage; incage, to confine in a cage! &c. &c. &c. &c. His system can not be complete, unless hall give all the different spellings of the same word in each of his four books, viz. Quarto, Octavo, Duodecimo, and his new Spelling-Book; as steril, sterile; vaunt, vant, &c. &c.

Mr. Webster's duodecimo dictionary is very deficient in one important particular. Many words in common use are not contained in it; as, achor, ambitious, are, asseverate, benignant, bruit, cantharides, capias, chronometer, composite, dictation, discrepancy, discrepant, exceution, suspire, fascine, indign, logarithms, observatory, supplidt, &c. &c. all of which, except discrepancy and execution, are in his new Spelling-Book, et al. there are numerous other words, not above enumerated, contained in his new Spelling-Book, which are not in the duodecimo dictionary, "for the use of PRIMARY SCHOOLS!" [See page 38.] On page 131 of his new Spelling-Book, he has, in the second column, seven words, only one of which is in his duodecimo school dictionary! As this review is limited to the orthography of the language, no particular notice will be taken of the CONTRADICTIONS in pronunciation which exist between the octavo and duodecimo dictionaries. These are more numerous than even the contradictions in orthography, particularly in the division of words. Thus, in the

· Duodecimo. Duodecimo. Octavo. Octavo. Ev-er-y Ev-e-ry Ax-iom Ax-i-om Aux-il-i-a-ry Gen-e-ral Aux-il-ia-ry Bag-nio Gen-er-ous Gen-e-rous Bagn-io Com-i-cal Com-ic-al Do-mes-ti-cate Do-mes-tic-ate.

He has, in the quarto and duodecimo, given the short broad sound in fault, default, assault, &c., and the long broad sound in the octavo! Again; he has a great many contradictions, in the duodecimo, in the division of words of similar or precisely the same form or termination. Thus,

Pest-i-len-tial An-ti-pes-ti-len-tial An-xi-ous and Over-anx-ious In-cum-bent Nox-ious In-nox-i-ous Su-per-in-cumb-ent Crus-ta-ceous Trut-ta-ce-ous Car-riage Wheel-car-ri-age Win-dow Dor-mar-wind-ow Le-gion Re-gi-on Li-tig-i-ous Pro-dig-ious, &c. &c. Fe-brill An-ti-feb-rill The contradictions in division are so numerous, that the limits of this review will not

permit me to point out one twentieth part of them. He has, in the pronunciation, in the quarto, octavo, and duodecimo, changed a great many words to that of Walker, contrary to his former dictionaries and Spelling-Book; as angel, danger, are, folio, convenient, &c. &c. although he has said that Walker's pronunciation is not heard in "any decent society in England"! The keys in the different dictionaries are different, which create some perpexity; for instance, attorney in the octavo, and attorney in the duodecimo, &c. &c.

plexity; for instance, attorney in the octave, and attorney in the duodecime, &c. &c. Again; he is not consistent or uniform in noting the silent letters by printing them in Italick in all cases, when silent, in the duodecime; as, receipt with p Italick, contempt with p Roman! often with t Roman, and soften with t Italick! moisten with t Italick, and glisten with t Roman, when alt of them have t silent, agreeably to his new Spelling-Book, page

140! &c. &c.

Mr. Webster has, in the introduction to the quarto, in page 3 of the duodecimo, and page II of his pamphlet, published during the past year, spelled enthrall with e, contrary to the text of all his dictionaries, in which it is spelled with i! In short, to point out all the contradictions, inconsistencies, and anomalies, in orthography, pronunciation, accent, and division of words, which exist in the quarto, octavo, and duodecimo, would require a book as large as the duodecimo dictionary itself; and I must therefore be content with having pointed out a part of each, that the reader may have a clew or index to them, and examine them at his leisure.

MR. WEBSTER'S AMERICAN SPELLING-BOOK.

FIRST PUBLISHED IN THE ALBANY ARGUS, IN 1827-8.

As the publishers of Mr. Webster's new Elementary Spelling-Book, compiled by AARON ELY, have reserved to themselves the right to publish either the old or the new Spelling-Book, as should best still their inferencies, 'I have concluded to insert the new of the old Spelling-Book who was published in the Alibany Argus, except that part which particularly relates to Mr. Webster's innovations in the orthography of his old Spelling-Book, and Dictionaries published in 180 and 1817. For this part of that review, the reader's referred to pages 5, 8, 9 and 10 of this Re-Mr. Webster's spelling-Book was founded upon, and succeeded Dilworth's. It was so superiour to its predecessor, many respects, as to acquire an immediate and unparalleled popularity, which it has sustained with little interruption for more than forby years. So magical indeed has been the charm of popularity woven around it, that all successful attempts have been made to improve upon this popular system. The ments of Mr. Webster's book have been duty considered by me; and while I would award to him the just meed of praise for timely efforts in the cause of culturation, I am not disposed to deny, that, show our systems of instruction have undergone much change, and the element of our laureuse have been more deeped in section and more clearly or, in fact, from Change, and the spirit of the age, absolutely required. Great caution is necessary, however, that the laudable spirit of improvement, and the love of variety and change, should not be imposed upon by speculators and pretenters; and that a work, entitled to respect for the good which it has hitherto been instrumental in effecting, should not be First—as the guiding principles of my investigation, I will shate he leading characteristicks of such a Spelling-First—as the guiding principles of my investigation, I will shate he leading characteristicks of such a Spelling-Book as I should deem worthy of a general introduction into our primary schools.

It is should contain as great a number of the words in common use as practicable, to the excussion of exhaustices, each irrelevant matter; as many who attend our primary schools have no other opportunity of acquiring a content knowledge of the elements of our humans.
 The classification of words should be judicious and distinct; and the system adopted be strictly and correctly

adhered to.

adhered to.

3. The arrangement should be plain and simple, with a due regard to the expanding capacities and the progressive of the control of the learner.

4. In arrangement is the learner.

4. In arrangement of the country; or strictly control of the country; or strictly conform with the principles of the work it professes to follow; for the dictionary, and not the spelling-book, must be the standard of reference; and hence the importance, for the uniformity and correctness of our language, that the latter work should be founded and carefully constructed upon the principles of the former.

CONTENTS.

Mr. Webster's spelling-book comprises 165 pages: 14 of which are introductory; 86 contain words taken from the dictionary; 25 pages contain the mames of persons, places, 62c, 47 contain reading lessons; 9 contain pictures, and of the United States.

Thus we find that 18 pages less than half of Mr. Webster's spelling-book, are devoted to the insertion of spelling lessons, containing words of our language to the number of 860, including the examples of the formation of plurals; lessons, containing words of our language to the number of 860, including the examples of the formation of plurals; lessons, containing words of our language to the number of 860, including the examples of the formation of plurals; lessons and the spelling lessons, not including those subject to different accentuation when differently applied; as page 18, pitce and speller; 18 and 18, query disk, public; 19 and 86, hence, pence, fixed, rives, bride, stick, klek's page 18, pitce and speller; 18 and 18, query disk, public; 19 and 86, hence, pence, fixed, rives, bride, stick, klek's course, coarse, know, hen, viner; 18 and 18, said, suide, &c. &c. Mr. Webster's spelling lessons are also quite deficient insamined has be has not, in any of them, inserted any words of four syllables accented on the forwing a super-intend, multiplicand, &c.; words of five syllables accented on the forwing super-intend, multiplicand, &c.; words of five syllables accented on the forwing super-intend, multiplicand, &c.; words of five syllables accented on the forwing super-intend, and the su

"Those is italife are not in the "compendious dictionary." Acanthus, annunciate, antidecchus, apestolical, servosa astrogical, excl. betinning astrogical, excl. betinning astrogical, excl. betinning astrogical, excl. betinning content antibardes, cambraires, cambraires,

* If may not be improper to state here, that in consequence of the publication of this review in the Albary Argus, Mr. Webster abandoned his old Spelline Book, and employed Mr. Asron Div to cinguile a new one, which was published more than two years before he works are published as new Spelline Book, and entire the circuits and the Albary Argus keep signed to the published, it, blink fairly to be interest from the two following statements made by him in his AFPRAL. "TO THE PUBLICK," published in New Haven, March, 1986, Than Mr. Webster remarked, "My talks, especially those of irrepairs works the most important of all the classes, are is contact, 1986, and the contact of the contact of the state of the contact of the state o

CLASSIFICATION.

entry, shaw, sight, sought, seem, spitth, spondae, shadholter, spaced, staid, sitte, strp, ter, warre, spreader, strplicity, trochee, urstheter, seegeland, vert, walr, wages, wast, wherret, whitster, dec.

CLASSIFCATION.

In the classification and arrangement of words for spilling lessons, Mr. Webster's book was, unquestionably, far superior to Dilworths. Mr. Dilworth's cassification and arrangement merely consisted in arranging and classing the monosyllables according to the number of letters contained in each word, whether vowels of compositions of the strength of the control of the control of the predital recommendation, but classed them agreeably to their particular vowel or consonant sounds; as long a, e, f, o, u, v, and y; short a, e, f, so, and y; that are recommended like a short, dec. the designation or chastification of the different sounds of the classification of the control of the

strait streight, praise prays, balse bays, tray trey, be bee, ye yea, seal cell, shear shire (sheer not in the spelling-book,) there tear, need, knead, seem seam, leaf lief, feel feat, reck wreak, miem mean; queen quean, reover, eave, leave lieve, freeze freize, high hie, clime climb, show stee, pole poll, boll lowl, hour whore, known none, reave, leave lieve, freeze freeze, high hie, clime climb, show stee, pole poll, boll lowl, hour whore, known none, reave, leave lieve, freeze freeze, high hie, climb could be supported by buy, throw three, with withe, weather wether, wheat wheel; Fourthly; there are also in the preceding lessons of the specimen words each of which has another word corresponding in sound in Mr. Webster's dictionary, not one of which is in this table (83, or in any other spelling lesson of his book, consequently the scholar cannot, from even words corresponding in sound with these; as but, wade, gare, tune, holy, cruel, better, penell, calendar, bay, day, hay, wall, wain, gauze, bait, gait, steak, kneel, peer, sear, seed, mead, wean, leach, beach, rhyme, stile, toll, pour, bleat, loan, port, board, few, bruit, simb, limb, wetch, kitt, plan, ought, naught, wall, shock tongue, rout, hundred words of this class in Webster's dictionary, that is, two or more of which are sounded allies but spelled differently, not one of which is classed in table 53, we cannot ascertain from his book how he intended a number or these classes should be pronounced; as, alter alter, ascert assent, canvas; canvas, ed. sell, century century, lesson lesson, metal metals, per mental martial, charger in sale, and the proceeding classes, in this or my companies of these classes should be pronounced; as, alter alter, ascert assent, canvas; canvas, ed. sell, century century, lesson lesson, metal metals, per pronounced in a failar alter, ascert assent, canvas; canvas, ed. sell, century century, lesson lesson, metal metals, per pronounced in a failar alter, ascert assent, canvas; canvas, ed. sell, century century, lesson lesson

ARRANGEMENT.

It will no doubt be readily admitted, that next in innormance to the proper classification of words intended for spelling lessons, containing the different and peculiar rowerla and consomer sounds, as the time arrangement of the words thus classed, according to the ease or difficulty with which their orthography and pronunciation are learned. Immediately succeeding the slipabet, are two tables containing moneyilables for the scholar's first exercise in spelling; and at the close of these are three lessons, containing "Examples of the formation of the shared from the spelling; and at the close of these are three lessons, containing moneyilables for the scholar's first exercise in spelling; and at the close of these are three lessons, containing "Examples of the formation of the shared from the spelling; and the close of these are three lessons containing many words in the singular form, with the orthographic of the spelling and the same of the same of the spelling and the same of the same of the spelling and the same of the

130, (and a number of similar words in the other lessons,) also called compound words, and classed with these t Tables 48, 49, 50 and 51, comprising 29 pages, contain the names of persons, places, rivers, lakes, &c. All of these words are here given without any classification, relative to the vowel or consonant sounds; and better rules nor figures are given to denote their pronunciation. This defect in the classification and pronunciation of this large number of words, has caused great diversity in their pronunciation among teachers, and has greatly embarrased them and their pupils. Thus we shall find, on examination, that of the lessons intended for spaling, the proposed of the control of the same day, as it seem spelling-book!

ORTHOGRAPHY.

I shall now take notice of the arthography of Mr. Webster's Spolling-Book; particularly as it regards a comparison of it with Mr. Webster's Detclinaries, published in 1896 and 1817, and Dilworth's Spolling-Book. As Mr. Webster has not, in his Spolling-Book, professed to follow any particular Standard, but has published a dictionary his self-since the first publication of his spelling-book, to the ordneraphy of which we might resemble the self-since the first publication of his spelling-book, to the ordneraphy of which we might resemble the evidently copied by Mr. Webster from Dilworth's spelling-book, as many of this erroneous spelling we vidently copied by Mr. Webster from Dilworth's spelling-book, as many of these words are spelled in Webster's spelling-book as they are in Dilworth's spelling-book, as many of these words are spelled in Webster's spelling-book, as they are in Dilworth's spelling-book, as many of the secondary. About twenty years or more after the properties of the spelling-book, as they are in Dilworth's spelling-book, as many of the properties of the spelling book, as they are in Dilworth's spelling-book, as many of the properties of the spelling-book, as they are in Dilworth's spelling-book, as they are in the spelling book, and have found the following words spelled directly. The words in Halloway of the English Language, and pile book, and have found the following words spelled directly. The words in Halloway of the English and pushed the properties of the spelling-book, and have found the following words spelled directly. The words in Halloway of the English Language, as a push of the spelling-book, and spelled in Dilworth's as in Webster's spelling-book, and spelled in Dilworth's as in Webster's spelling-book, contrary to both of the editions of Webster's dictionary referred to. As, trissyllable pile-book, and the pile-book spelling-book, and the spelling-book and the spelling-book and t

and correction of his book, which he ought, considering the extensive patronage he has received from the American publick.

Mr. Webster, in the preface prefixed to his dictionary, published in 1817, cheerved it he very desirable and an inform orthography may prevail among the citizens of the United States. This can have the earth of the control of t

with Walkert whose orthography and promunication to not require with superal "To the publick," public. As a New Haven, March, 1985.

New Haven, Ma

turky with y only! cloke with cke, and cak and soak with oa! tranquillity with U, and intranquility with one II and in his dictionary (1817) he has apposite and requisite with final e, and pre-requisits and inapposit without it of the consistency and the consistency and uniformly. In view of the expositions I have made, I presume every person will perfectly agree with Mr. Webster in his statement in the preface to his dictionary, that "i'm more books are mide, the more the honest inquire will be epipexed and confounded with discordant principles," when he has published two dictionaries which do not agree with act of their, and both disagree with his spelling-book!

PRONUNCIATION.

plexed and confounded with discordant principles," when he has published two dictionaries which do not agree with seal engine book; and shall point outward to consider of people in the spelling-book; and shall point outward to consider of people in the spelling-book; and shall point outward to consider of people in the spelling-book; and shall point outward to consider of people in the spelling-book; and shall point outward to consider of people in the spelling-book; and shall point outward to consonant, and of a dispathong; yet none of these were taken notice of by Mr. Dilworft, except the people and consonant, and of a dispathong; yet none of these were taken notice of by Mr. Dilworft, except the people and consonant, and of a dispathong; yet none of these were taken notice of by Mr. Dilworft, except the people and consonants. Mr. Dilworft were consonants, Mr. Dilworft was equally defective; for instance, he has not noted that chas the sound of six in half.

Mr. Dilworft, and one of the sound of of in more, do: (one of in more), do: (one of

whether at the end or middle of a word, or it is assessment that the middle of the words autumn, condemn, &c. It is not silent after m in the middle of the words autumn, condemnation, &c. It is not silent after m in the middle of the words autumn, condemnation, &c. It is not silent after m in the middle of the words autumn, and condemnation, &c. It is not silent after the words autumn, and the silent in t

ever has the sound of sh, when followed by long st and proceded by the accent, as in consure, sensual, &c. He has not that it that is shall in the shall be shall be

week, being on the same type with the letter, it is quite difficult for the scholar to determine which figure is on the regress ought turn on the letter, whenever the sounds of the vowels change, that they may be at once distinguished both by tender and scholar.

The property is the control of the control

orthography and pronunciation are taught! so prominent are these differences in pronunciation between Webster's spelling-book and Walker's dictionary, that on opening this spelling-book and weaker's dictionary! the precipied at one piace (pages 38 and 39) we shall perceive at one view no less than eightly-nov variations from Walker's dictionary! Hence the impropriety of using

orthography and promunciation are taught to prominent are these differences in pronunciation between Webster's protective at one vieto to less than elegaby-face variations from Walker's dictionary's Hence the impropriety of using these two looks in the same school; the provided the production of the school of the

OF

WEBSTER'S ELEMENTARY SPELLING-BOOK.

COMPILED BY AARON ELV.

The Proprietor of the Elementary Spelling-Book has taken much pains to compile, in a pamphlet form, an imposing list of recommendations of that work in connexton with those of his Dictionaries. This pamphlet has been widely circulated, and the recommendations are called the composition of the commendation of the commendation

ion of their mentia—an irresistibly impelied to the conclusion, that personal irrendship has contributed largely to the procurring of these recommendations.

It is procurring to these recommendations of the procurring of the procurring of the procurring that could be done through the medium of the quarto dictionary. Mr. Webster has thought proper to publish in this amphile, by a liberal extract from the introduction to that work, his often repeated, but unwarrantable attacks upon Waker. Why this relentless warfare upon the dead, "nehose works were never known?"

The truth of the matter is, Mr. Webster well knows that Wakerddi more than any other individual to render. The truth of the matter is, Mr. Webster well knows that Wakerddi more than any other individual to render from that author, instead or "forming a guide," as he would have us to understand. How preposterous the idea that in accountry like that of England, where science sheds her lucid beams with refulgent splendour, there should by in this branch of Philology no guide but the varying and carriclogus stage of "god society." ento the Aphysican Spelline Boot, "and, he also adds," the classification, which was imperfect in this work," is necessary to the few errors in orthography and promuctation, which was imperfect in this work," is it is, therefore, presumed that neither Mr. Webster nor his friends will consider it unfair in me to point out what reonsider removes, contradictory, and inconsistent in the orthography, promuclation, classification, arrangement, and division of works; more particularly, as the progrator Off. Webster has had he work before him more than two Before entering upon an examination of this work, I will state as was stated on page 39 what I consider the leading characteristicks of such a Spelling-Book as I should deem worky of a general introduction into our primary schools.

Ashould contain as great a number of the words in common use as practicable, to the exclusion of extraneous and irrelevant mater; as many who attend on primary schools have no other opportunity of acquiring a correct knowledge of the elements of our language.

2. The classification of words should be judicious and distinct; and the system adopted be strictly and correctly

adhered to.

3. The arrangement should be plain and simple, with a due regard to the expanding capacities and the progressive improvement of the learner.

In orthography and orthocpy it should correspond with the standard dictionary of the country; or strictly conform with the principles of the work it professes to follow: for the dictionary, and not the spelling-book, must be the added of reference; and hence the importance for the uniformity and correctness of our language, that the latter work should be founded and carefully constructed upon the principles of the former.

This spelling-book comprises 168 pages, containing about nine thousand words, arranged in spelling lessons, interspersed with reading lessons, composed of detached sentences. In the selection of the words contained in the lessons for spelling, Mr. Ely seems to have used but little discretion, or discrimination; for, he has not inserted

* Surely if the dictionary of Walker was heretofore not known even to Members of the British Parliament until they visited this country, such a circumstance can never hereafter happen where the publications of the American Laxisographer have found an introduction. The following is an extract from Mr. Wedster's pamblets, page 16. Tha, "Walker is not and never has been a slated author in England; and it is remarkable that the Members of Parliament, who visited this country a few years ago, said they had mere heard of that author till they came to this country.

near all of the words in most common use; while he has inserted a great many words not in common use; neither are they to be found in Mr. Webster's duodecimo school dictionary. The following list forms but a *meal portion* of the most common words, which Mr. Ey has entirely omitted, and which should be in every spelling books.

near all of the words in most common use; while he has inserted a great many words not in common use; neither are they to be found in Mr. Webster's duodeclino school dictionary. The following list forms but a small portion of the most common words, which Mr. Ely has entirely omitted, and which should be in every spelling your of the most common words, which Mr. Ely has entirely omitted, and which should be in every spelling your own of the most common words, assumed the spelling of the state of the spelling of the spelli

who field, wide off, with a melane, will, wing, with with without, without, went, work, wrong, word, with the word of the melan word, with with the word, and the word, and the word, with the word, and the word, with the word, with the word, and succeed, except that exceed it in a distinctive class of words in the word, and they must be inserted in the word, and succeed, except that exceed is in a distinctive class of words in the word, and they must be inserted in the word, and succeed, except that exceed is in a distinctive class of words in the word, and they will be inserted in the word, and they word which end in

COMPILED BY AARON ELY.

33 best p. 30, slick, welk, lik, hask p. 31, lusk, burl, dern, trass, cess p. 32, midge, gulch, batch, potch p. 26, near p. 39, beat p. 40, cutlery p. 41, awk p. 47, composite p. 53, militor p. 53, ponent p. 58, decrial, legumen, lumbago p. 59, classis, sentry p. 61, basket, wallet p. 63, blockarn p. 63, capias, caries p. 70, frustrum, handbill p. 72, the p. 53, unrough p. 54, capias, caries p. 70, frustrum, handbill p. 73, the p. 53, unrough p. 54, ponent p. 68, decrial, legumen, lumbago p. 59, capias, caries p. 70, frustrum, handbill p. 72, the p. 55, unrough p. 107, imperceptibility, immaliaebility p. 113, plethory urefurts, canning, bibliothecal p. 164, temnistich p. 63, cutsway p. 107, imperceptibility, immaliaebility p. 119, plethory urefurts, canning, bibliothecal p. 144, tush, revix p. 17, hithe p. 115, while p. 118, exaction p. 121, minicus p. 122, actor, chimical p. 128, corest, jogelme, revix p. 17, hithe p. 115, while p. 118, exaction p. 121, minicus p. 122, actor, chimical p. 126, corest, jogelme, ambitious p. 167, acronics, sposhistic, symmetric p. 129, analogic, astronomic, barometric, catechetic, categorie, chronologic, exemitic, exceptile, geologic, geometric, p. 190, entonologic, genealcefe, factographic, orminotogic, physiologic, licitive are not in Wobster's school dictionary! Indinferiam, rationalism, selectation, chromotogic, chevoritize p. 123, sans, stringer, fangle p. 134, knarl p. 136, faschie p. 137, fallen, graven, leaden, slidden, striven, sanken p. 139, verather, founding, factor, and the properties of the work of the found in Webster's school dictionary, although Mr. Ely has inserted them in the Spelling Book!

Fourthly—Mr. Ely has in the different spelling lessons, inserted the same work, troe or to be found in well by particularly pointed out, however, when spekking of classification. From the foregoing exposition, the spelling besons, inserted the same work into or three times! These defects will be particularly pointed out, however, when spekking of cla

husband, wife, inco

The primary objects of arranging words in distinct classes, are, the designation of the vowel and consonant sounds, and the accontuation of syllables for the more ready acquirement of these by the learner. Classification and promuclation are therefore in a great measure reciprocal, and much of the propriety or impropriety acquired in the latter, will depend upon the accuracy of the former. Hence this department should engage the most scrupical and the states of the propriety or impropriety acquired in the latter, will depend upon the accuracy of the former. Hence this department should engage the most scrupical and the states of the vowels and consonants, with additional tables for irregular words, and words of distinctive definitions. In this property of the former of the states of the vowels and consonants is not experienced by the property of the consonant is not experienced by the property of the consonant is not given, and consoquently can not be learned; and, to remedy which, these words must be again inserted in the tables, where the peculiar sound of the letter is designated, or their analogical promuncies and interest in the tables, where the peculiar sound of the letter is designated, or their analogical promuncies and the property of the property of the property of the consonant is outdoor to the consonant sound, not noted where the words first occur in the spelling lessons. Thus, page 29, 24 column, 76 is inserted feater in the seamocolumn 1 same page and column page 18 is wrongly classed, it should be on page 124 in which "g has its hard sound before c, f. and y." Same page page seventh column role, and it is inserted again on page 141 in which "g has the hard sound before c, and y." Same page and column got its inserted regain on page 28, and again on page 147: Same page interest the column state, and it is again on page 28, and again on page 147: Same page interest of the column state, and it is inserted again on page 28, and again on page 37. Same page interest again on page 38 in which again on

Thus the scholar or teacher will not know until he sha ... assed more than one hundred pages that o is silent in seton.

Webster's ELEMENTARY SPELLING-BOOK,

wrongly classed, it should be on page 114 where the sound of the instead, and the scholar will not know until he shall are the sound is noted! Same column advanced, and only then by seeing the primitive word column symbol. It is inserted again to no page 77, and again on page 12. Same page 12. Same page 12 will not column measured properties of the primitive word column symbol. It is inserted again to no page 14 on page 15. Same page, seventh column recognity, wrongly classed, the first column measured properties of the page 20 of 15 may page 15 may page 15 may page 20 of 20 of 15 may page 20 of 20 of 20 20

\$4, second column insular, and it is inserted again on the same p, next column! On p. \$5, superfluity occurs traise in the same column! Same p, second column includibility, wrongly classed, should be on p. 187, with "words in which "the sound of ray is coless." On pege 106, first column new free and forgive, both wrongly classed, should be on p. 189, with "words in which "the sound of ray is coless." On pege 106, first column new free and forgive, both wrongly classed, should be on p. 189, with "words in which "the sound of ray is coless." On pege 106, first column new free and forgive, both wrongly classed, should be on p. 189, with words in which he passed to its provide the perfect of the pege 106, first column new free and forgive, both wrongly classed, should be on p. 189, with words in which ray have "the open sound of age" or more and as," or it should be on p. 189, with words in which ray the pege 106, and th

thus, he has catholic, athletic, on p. 114, mechanic on p. 124, inserted where the sounds of th and ch are noted, and repeated here; but he has synthetic, p. 114, chronic, p. 122, die not repeated here in words ending in to; and, again he has alchituit, p. 129, other paper, p. 132, de. not repeated here in words ending in to; and, again he has alchituit, p. 129, other paper, p. 134, which are not negated in the sounds of th and ch are noted ill Same column coractic, inserted again on p. 131, and differently spelled is same prevent outurn priesmatic, early all some column coractic, inserted again on p. 131, and differently spelled is same prevent outurn priesmatic, early in the control of the control of

so this these two words p. 146, dost and dust are not "marth" but "exactly" allke, if Mr. Webster's octavo dictionary the "strandARDI I" "grue (i toing) point, oldiphinong, these are not very "nearly laike in pronunciation".

On page 145, Mr. Ely has given a class of "words of the same orthography, but differently pronounced of this class of words, there are in the language, (acknowledged by Mr. Webster in his dictionaries), about one handled and statement and the pronounced. When differently applied. Of these, Mr. Ely has words, subject to different promounciation or accentuation when differently applied, if any, in a lesson of this kind p. 145; for, the scholar would, most unquestionably, covolude, when looking at the title of this lesson, that Mr. Ely has classed alf of them; and with this conclusation, would for ever remain ignorant of the fact, from the use of this lesson, that there are not to the conclusion of the ever remain ignorant of the fact, from the use of this lesson, that there are not to the strain of the

quainted with their orthography, their promunciation being alike. From the title of this lesson, and its evident appartance in a Spelling Book, we might resembly expect that Mr. Dy proceeding spelling lesson, and its evident appartance in a Spelling Book, we might resembly expect that Mr. Dy proceeding spelling lessons where the districtive definitions are not given, as it is supposed for the scholar to distinguish the spelling of words which are processed to the spelling of the scholar to the processed which are not proceeding and the spelling lessons where the districtive definitions are not given as it is supposed for the scholar to distinguish the spelling for some where the distriction are not considered by Art. Webster, either in his spelling-book or dictionary, about seven hundred and an interpretation of the scholar processed which are not processed only four hundred and forty-six words, of which are processed only in the processed of the scholar pr

music) does not appear at all in Webster's school dictionary, and this class (base, low, vile, and bass in music) do not belong in this lesson I boult, (to stif) does not appear in Webster's school dictionary, and this class (but, a fast-ening to a foor, and boult, to stif) does not belong in this lesson! casque, a helmet, does not appear in Webster's school dictionary, and this class (cask, a vessel for liquids, and casque, a helmet, does not held with the school dictionary, and this class (cask, a vessel for liquids, and casque, a helmet, does not held with the school dictionary, and this class (cask, a vessel for liquids, and casque, a helmet, does not held with the school dictionary, and this class (or appear in Webster's school dictionary, and this class (or appear in Webster's school dictionary, and this class (or appear in Webster's school dictionary, and this class (or appear in Webster's school dictionary, and this class (or appear in Webster's school dictionary, and this class (or appear in Webster's school dictionary, and this class (or appear in Webster's does not belong in this lesson! courts or way) does not belong in this lesson! school dictionary, and this class (or appear in Webster's dictionary) is school dictionary, and this class (or appear in Webster's dictionary). The property of the

written neit, but improperly," yet Mr. Ely has inserted needs the word neit. "It is sometimes to seen Bieffir classes of "words pronounced alike, but different in orthography," while they are in Weister's discussion Bieffir classes of "words pronounced alike, but different in orthography," while they are in Weister's discussion between the property of the property

mosk and opake !!

A great evil attending this system of classification by terminations, is, that the scholars will, in many instances, obtain the habit of a monotonous sing song pronunciation; and, the system also leads, in a great many instances, toerrour in the pronunciation of the terminating syllable; thus, on p. 103, fourth column, chilblain, what, merimain, plantain, vervain, curtan, in which the sound of at should be changed three times in sounding the six words, from long of short 4, and from long 4 to short 4. The scholar will, as they are now classed, naturally pronounce them all with a long, or all with short 1. Same pace second column, annals and entrails, and not rule is given to second the standard of the standard pronounce the cand of alkey, dec. can be extracted to the substantial continuous they will pronounce the cand o alke, dec. dec. examples of which may be seen on almost every page of the bock, where them are are fully lessons. there are spelling lessons.

ARRANGEMENT.

Next in importance to the proper classification of words intended for spelling lessons, containing the different and pseculiar voyed and consonant sounds, is the due arrangement of the words thus classed, according to the case of difficulty with which their orthography and pronunciation are learned.

Thus, on page 29, Mr. Ely has inserted monosyliables containing ee, on p. 30, those containing oo, and on p. 22 words which contain did, by a green seek, preze, googe, odd, ebb, eeg, pass, purr, ce. and page 36 in which deg, teh, ph, nph, ec. as midge, scratch, sylpin, nymph, ec. But, on p. 42, he has words with d, 4, and o long, as blade, age, teh, ph, nph, ec. as midge, scratch, sylpin, nymph, ec. But, on p. 42, he has words with d, 4, and o long, as blade, on page 18, and 29, and p. 38, preceding them as needed above 1, agedin, Mr. Ely has a class of menocyllables on p. 117 which are more easily learned than those on pp. 32, or 36; Acain, Mr. Ely has on pages 51 and 52, inserted words of four syllables, and on p. 61, he has easy words of the one syllables in the has a class of words of frue syllables much more easily learned on pages 53 and 57! On page 94, he has a consumer syllables of the page 18, and 125, he has words in which g is hard before, e, and g, which is cone of the most easy lessons in the spelling-book, placed after the words in which z has the sound of gz, p. 120, the sound of the most easy lessons in the obox, placed after the words in which z has the sound of sp. p. 125, 126, and 136, belt with the spelling-book of the most easy lessons in the obox, placed after the words in which z has the sound of sp. p. 158, 126, and 144, are placed after ten or fifteen lessons, the orthography of which is more difficult to be obtained, than that these lessons are not all arranged with due regard to the case of learning their orthography or pronunciation, the these lessons are not all arranged.

ORTHOGRAPHY.

There is, perhaps, no branch of education by which the learned and the illiterate are so readily and so generally distinguished, as that of spelling. So universal is the condemnation of had spelling, among all classes of citizens, whether professional, mercantile, or mechanical, that no person, it is helieved, can be found who would be willing to be identified with it. The subject of orthography, therefore, is of primary importance in the education of children of the control of the whole time spent in recognizing a useful education, is devoted to this particular branch. I will now show, that, although Mr. Ely has, in the orthography of the Elementary Spelling-Book, avoided most of the errours in spelling brothed out in the Albany Argus in 1827, [see page 32], yet he has spelled other works contrary to ALL of Webster's dictionaries, so that the orthography of the new spelling-book is BIORE EKRO-First.—Mr. Ely has spelled a great many works contrary to all of Webster's dictionaries, but agreeably to home, and Walker!

Secondly—Mr. Ely has spelled a number of words contrary to the orthography of Webster's duodecimo school dictionary, 'his test work, all partites and corrected by thmed,' but agreeably to either Webster's duodecimo school dictionary, 'his test work, all partites and corrected by thmed,' but agreeably to either Webster's duodecimo school dictionary, 'his test work, all partites and corrected by thmed,' but agreeably to either Webster's duodecimo school dictionary, 'his test work, all partites and corrected by thmed,' but agreeably to either Webster's duodecimo school dictionary, 'his test work, all partites and corrected by thmed,' but agreeably to either Webster's duodecimo school dictionary, 'his test work, all partites and corrected by thmed,' but agreeably to either Webster's detionaries, but agreeably and the advertigation and the partites and corrected by threed,' but agreeably to either Webster's detionaries, but agreeably and the partites and the partites and the partites and the partites and

"Thirdly i—Mr. Ely has spelled a number of words contrary to ALL of Webster's dictionaries, but agreeably to Webster's of spelling-book! Webster's dispelling-book! Fourthy:—Mr. Ely has spelled a number of words contrary to ALL Webster's dictionaries, contrary to his (Targetling book, and also contrary to the orthography of Johnson and Walker!! Ely has also spelled the same words in too DIFFERENT ways, while it is spelled but one way be did or Webster's dictionaries!

Webster's dictionaries, thus recision and recision, and Mr. Bly has spelled it contrary to both of them! Same p.123, mexich, spells two ways in Webster's quario and octavo? Same p. cholic, spelled without h in all Webster's dictionaries, and in the dictionaries of the ways in Webster's quario and octavo? Same p. cholic, spelled without h in all Webster's dictionaries, and in the dictionaries? 32.1 Same p. 123, chaemicon, spelled chaemicon in Webster's quarto and octavo dictionaries to p. 124, haggish, with ge agreeable to his old spelling-book, and to olonous and Webster, contrary to all his dictionaries 10 pp. 124, haggish, with ge agreeable to his old spelling-book, and to olonous and Webster, contrary to all his dictionaries 10 pp. 125, haggish, contrary to his dots agreeably to his old spelling-book 10 np. 128, faggish, contrary to his dictionaries 10 pp. 125, haggish, contrary to his dictionaries 10 pp. 126, and 125 pp. 126 pp. 126

by to his old spalling-book, contrary to all his dictionnesis bit agreeably to Johnson and Walker! Organs on the same jr: the erroson orthography of which has been pointed out! Same p. nangth and tonge, spelled nangth and tong, as the protected in the process of the production of the property of the process of the proce

WEBSTER'S ORTHOGRAPHY.

[All the words marked with an asteriak, were evidently copied from Dilworth's Spelling-Book, as they appeared the same in Dilworth's Spelling-Book, contrary to ALL of Webster's Dictionaries, and to the Dictionaries of Johnson and Walker; and they have been thus contradictory and erromously spelled in Webster's of Spelling-Book for more than forty mass and still a spelling the spelling with the spelling wi

Ma Speinng Book	Dictionary 1806.	Dictionary 1817.	Quarto 1828.	Octavo 1831.	Duodecimo 1831.	New Spell. Boo
	Abatable Abattis	Abateable do.	Abatable Abattis and Abatis	do. Abatis, Abattis	do. Abattis	
	Abboy or Abby	Abhev	do.	do.	do.	
Abridgement Abscision	Abridgment	Abridgement	Abridgment	do.	do.	
Abscision		do.	do.	do.	do.	Abscision
	Acanacious	A SAME AND ADDRESS OF	Acanaceous	do.	do.	
	Accepter	do.	Accepter or	do.	Accepter	
Accouter	Accouter or	do.	Acceptor Accouter	Accoutre, Accouter	Accounter	
recourer	Accounter or	uo.	Account	nocount cyriccount.	Account	
	Account		Accoutering	Accoutring	Accoutering	
			Accoutered	Accoutred	Accoutered	do.
-00	Accouterments	do.	Acconterments	Accoutrements	Accouterments	Ache do.
Ache	Ache, Ake	do.	do.	do.	do.	aroue.
Achievment-	Aking	do.	Aching, Aking	do.	do. Aching do.	
remeament.	Achievement	do. Acknowledgement	do. Acknowledgment	do.	do.	do.
cre	Acknowledgment	do.	do.	do.	do.	Acre
gent	Acre, Aker Addible	do.	do.	Addable, Addible	Addible	
	Admittable	Admitable	Admittable	do.	do.	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
	Adventuresome	do.	do.	do.	Adventursome	
	Aery	do.	Aerie	do.	do.	The second
	Aggroup	do.	Aggroup, Aggroop Aglet, Aiglet,	do.	Aggroup do-	
	Aglet, Aigulet		Agulet, Aigulet,	uo.	uo.	110000
iddecamp le	do.	Aidecamp	Aiddecamp	do.	do.	
le	Aisle, Aile, Ile	do.	do.	do.	Aisle, Aile	Aisle do.
			Alchimic .	Alchemic	Achimic	00.
	Alchemical	do.	Alchimical	Alchemical	Alchimical	
			Achimically	Alchemically	Alchimically	7
	Alchemist '	do. Alchemistic	Alchimist Alchimistic	Alchemist Alchemistic	Alchimist Alchimistic	do.
	- Sign	Alchemistic	Alchimistical	Alchemistical	Alchimistical	
lchymy	Alchemy	do.		Alchemy	Alchimy	do.
lcoran	Koran	do.	Alkoran, Koran	do.	do.	Alcoran
	Allera	Alledge	do.	do.	do.	1000
	Allegeable Alleged	Alledgeable	Allegeable	Alledgeable	do.	1
	Alleged	Alledged	do.	do.	do.	The state of the s
		4.	Allegement	Alledgement Almanac	Almanack	do-
lmanac*	Almanack	do.	do. Ammonia	do.	do.	
	Ammony	uo.	Ammonia,	uo.	uo.	· Englished
	Amphitheater	do.	do.	Amphitheatre Amphitheater	Amphitheater, or Amphitheatre	
	Anastrophy		Anastrophe,	do.	Ampintaleatre	The second second
			Anastrophy	1.		
	Anemony	do.	Anemone	do.	do.	The second second
Ancle*	and the second	da"	Anemony	do.	do.	1
rucie-	Ankle	do. do.	do	do.	40,	
	Annotto, arnotto Antediluvian	do.	do.	do.	do.	Antedeluvian
	Antistrophy		Antistrophe,	do.	do.	
	Carlo Barrer Carlo		Antistrophy	. 4		
	Apocopy Apophthegm	The second secon	Apocope, Apocopy	do.	do.	Apothegm
	Apophthegm	Apothem	Apophthegm,	do-	Apothem, Apothegm	Lange
			Apothem,		Apomegin	
Aportagy	Apostasy	do.	Apothegm do.	do.	do.	do
Apostrophe	Apostrophy	do.	Apostrophe,	do.	do.	Apostrophe
-0.0	Apostrophy		Apostrophy			Appelative
	Appellative	do.		do.	do.	Apposito
	Apposit	Apposite	do.	do.	Apposit	Whoma
	Appositly	Appositely	do.	do. do.	Appositly Appositness	-
	Appositness	Appositeness	do.	Archchemic	whhostenese	1
	Aronebuse	do.	Archehimic Arquebuse,	do.	Arquebuse	1 2 20000
	Harquebuss		Harquebuse			
	Arrac	do	Arrack	da	do	
The said	Askaunce	do.	Askance	do.	do.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Askaunt	do.	Askant	do.	do.	
	Assigner	Assigner, Assignor	do.	do.	Asuredly	1
	Assuredly	do.	do.	do.	Asurer	
	Assurer	do.	do.	do.	Asuring	
	Assuring	do.	Autocrasy	do,	Autocracy	1
tvoirdupois	Avordancie	do.	Avoirdupois	do.	do.	
	AY	do.	do.	Axe, Ax		
	Avordapois Ax Ay (yes) Baftas	do		do.	Baftas	
-	Baftas		Ay, Aye Baffetas, Baftas,	do.	Daitas	1
Dallen		do		do	do.	THE PARTY
Bailor	Bailer	do.	Bailer, Bailor Bailif (Cor.) do.	Bailiff, Bailif	Bailif	1
Baise	Bailiff Baize	do.	do.	do.	do.	do.
	Bannerol.Bandrol		Bandrol	do.	do.	
	Bannoc, Jannoc		Bannock	do.	do.	Barbacue
	Barbacue	do	Barbecue	do.	do.	Darbacue
Bark	Bark	do.	Bark, Barque	do.	do.	Pass, Base
Bass* (in music)	Base	do.	do.	Bassviol, Baseviol		Bassviol, Basev
	Baseviol Basilisk	do.	do.	do.	do.	Basalisk
	Basilisk Basin, Bason	do	Basin	do.	do.	do.

Old Spelling Bo		Dictionary 1817	Quarto 1828.	Octavo 1831.	Duodecimo 183	New Spell. E
,	Bastil Bastonade	Bastinade	Bastile do.	do.	do.	Bastinado
Batteau	Batton	do	IBatean	do.	do.	do.
	Battleax	do.	Battleax,	do.	Battleax	
	Bawble	do.	do.	do.	do.	Bauble
Bdellium	Bedawb do.	do.	Battleaxe do. do.	do.	Bdelium	Bdellium
	Bedawb	do.	Bedaub	do.	do. do.	
	Gedcloaths Bedsted	do.	Bedclothes Bedstead	do.	Padatond Padata	,
Behaviour		do.	do	do.	Bedstead, Bedste	do.
Behoove	Behoove, behove Behooveful	do.	Behonve	do.	do.	do.
	Behooveful	do	do. Bellwether	do.	Behoovful	
Belleslettres Benumb	do.	uo.	do.	do.	do. Bellesletters	
Benumb	Benum	do.	do.	do.	do.	
	Bergamot,	do.	Bergamot	do.	Bergamot,	Burgamot
Birth	Burgamot do.	do.	Berth	do.	Burgamot do.	
	Betel	I do.	Betel, Betle Bezel	do.	do.	
	ma .	Bezil	Bezel	i do.	do.	do.
	Bibacious .	Bibaceous do.	Bibacious Bica Bica	do.	do.	-
	Bice Bifid Bilingsgate Bipartible Blackmoore,	do.	Bice, Bise do.	do.	Bifed	The state of
	Bilingsgate	do.	do	do.	Billingsate Bipartable	
	Bipartible Blackmoore,	do.	do.	do.	Bipartable	-
	Rlackampor	Blackamoor	Blackmoor	Blackmoor, Blackmoor	Blackmoor	7
	Blamable	Blameable	Blamable	do.	do.	
	- Woods	Blameableness	Blamable Blamableness	do.	do.	
	Blazon	Blameably do.	Blamably do.	do.	do.	Blazen
	Blessed, Blest Bloomary	do.	I do.	do.	Blessed	Blest
	Bloomary	do.	Blomary	do.	do.	
oult*	Bile (tumor)	Boil do.	do.	do.	do.	Danie do.
ombasin	Bombasine	do.	Rombagia	do.	do.	Boult do.
008G	do.	do.	Boose, Bouse, Booze Boosy, Bousy Borne, Bourn Bourgeois,	do.	Boose	A STATE OF THE REAL PROPERTY.
	D	1	Booze	1	lo-A	do.
ourn	Boosy Borne	do.	Borne Bours	do.	Boosy Borne, Bourne Bourgeois	Borne
	Burgeois	do.	Bourgeois,	do.	Bourgeois	2011le
			Burgeois do. Brazilwood			
rasicr	do. Brasilwood	do.	do.	do.	do.	Brazier
readth	Breadth bredth	do.	Brendth	do.	do.	do.
rier, Briar	Breadth, bredth	do.	Breadth Brier	da		Brier, Briar
	Bridegroom Brimful	do.	Bridegoom	Bridegroom	Bridegoom Brimfull	Secretary of
ronze	do.	Brimfall do-	Brong Bronge	do. do.		
	Brunette	Brunett	Brunet, Brunette	Brunette, Brunet		Brunette
uccanier	Buccaneer	Bucanneer	Bucaneer,	do.	Bucaneer	do.
uild	do.	do.	Bucanier Build Bild	do.	de	The same
anu.	Builder	do.	do.	do.	Builder, Bilder	
-11.	Building	do. do.	Brimful Bronz, Bronze Brunet, Brunette Bucaneer, Bucanier Build, Bild do. do.	do.	Builder, Bilder Building, Bilding Built, bilt do.	1
uilt	Bur do.	do.	do.	do.	Built, bilt	Built
arthen	Burden	do.	do.	do.	do.	Burr Burthen, Burk
	Burden Burdec	do.	Burdock	do.	do	Duranci, Day
	Burgh Burine	do.	Burdock Burgh, Burg Burin Burlesque, Burlesl Caccoon Caitif (cor.) do. Calamine, Calamin	do.	Burg	
rlesque	do.	do.	Burin Burlasona Burlasl	do.	do.	
at roogue	Cacoon	do.	Caccoon	uo.		
	Caitiff	do.	Caitif (cor.)	Caitiff, Caitif	Caitif	
limanco*	Calamanco Calamin	do.	Colomina Colomin	Caitiff, Caitif do. do.	do. Calamine	
	Calcareous do. Callico Calliph	do.		do.	do.	do.
alice	do.	do.	Calice	do.	(Chalice	
lico	Callico	Calico	Calif do.	do	do. Calif, Caliph Califate	· do.
	Caliphate	do. do.	Califate Calinhate	do.	Californa Californa	and the same of
	The second secon	uo.	Califate, Caliphate, Kalifate	9903	Camate	1
	Camellepard		Camelonard	do.	The second of	
	Camlet, camblet Camphire,	do.	Camiet	do. do.	do.	do.
The state of the s	camphor	do.	Camphor	do.	do.	do.
	camphor Canail	do.	do.	Canaille	Canail	
100	Cancellated Cancellation	do.	Cancelated Cancelation	do.	do.	
1000	Cancelled Cancelled	do. do.	Canceled	do.	do. do.	
nnonier	do.	do.	Cannoneer,	do.	Cannonier	do.
4400 N	C-m-th-t-	The state of the s	Cannonier	200	6 4	The state of the s
rbinier	Capillair Carbinier,	do.	Capillaire Carabineer	do. do.	Carbinier	do
	carabinier			C. SERVICE CO.	Caronner	ao.
rcase	Carcass	do.	do.	do.	do.	do.
18 18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Carmin Carnelian	do.	Carmine	do.	Carmin, Carmine	Camali
ık .	Cask, casone	do.	Cask do.	do.	do.	Cornelian
austrophe	Cask, casque Catastrophy	do.	Catastrophe, Catastrophy	do.	do.	Casque Catastrophe
T. P. Torrest			Catastrophy	-		-
	Catcal			do.	do. do.	-
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	Catsup Caviller	do.	Catchup, Catsup Caviler Cazic, Cazique do. Centered	do.	do.	
S. Marie E.	Cazique, cazic	do. do.	Cazic, Cazique		do. Cazeke, Cazique Center Centered	
1296	Center	do.	Contound	Centre, Center Centred	Center	do-
	The second second		Centered	Centred Centring	Centered Centering	
Charles and the		Cesarian	Centering Cesarean	do.	Cesarian	
172	Chagrined Chalybeate Chameleon	do.	do.	do.	Chagrinned	
alibeate amelion	Chalybeate	do.	do.	do.	do. Chamelion	do.
ampaign (winc)	do.	do.	Champagne.	do.	Chamelion	do.
			Champagne, Champane			
ampaign	do.	do.	Champaign, Champain	do.	do.	Champaign
country)	Channelled	1	Champain Channeled	do.	do.	
ace*	Chase			do.	do.	
STATE OF THE PARTY	Chemical I	do.	do. Chimical Chimically	Chemical Chemically		Chimical
	Chemically					

Old Spelling Book			Quarto 1828.	Octavo 1831.	Duodecimo 1831.	New Spell. Boo
	Chemistry Chesnut	do. do.	Chimistry	Chemistry do:	Chimistry do. do.	do.
Chesnuttree	Chintz	, do.	Chestnut Chestnuttree Chints	do. do. do.	Chintz do.	Chints
Chuse, choose	Choose, Chuse	do.	Choose do.	do.	Cibal do.	do.)
	Cicatrization	do.	do.	do.	Cibal Cicitrization Cicitrize	
Cimetar	Cicatrize Cimeter, Scimeter	do. do.	do. Cimiter, Scimitar	do. do.	Cimeter	Cimiter
Clench	Cimeter, Scimeter Clef, Cliff Clinch	do. do.	Ciel .	do. do.	do.	do.
Olchon	Clodpoll Clovegilliflower	do.	do. Clovegillyflower do. Cockerel	do.	Clodnole	
Cobler*	Cobbler Cockerel	do. do. Cockerell	Clovegillyhower do.	do.	Clovegilliflower do.	
	Cockerel Coddle	Cockerell do.	Cockerel Codle, Coddle	do.	do.	Coddle
Cholic*	Coddle Cullender	do. do. do.	Codle, Coddle Colander	do.	do.	Cullender Cholic
	Colic Columbo	do.	do. Colombo	do. do. do.	do.	Colter
Coulter	Colter Comfre y	do. do.	do. Comfrey, Comfry, Cumfrey	do.	Colter, Coulter Comfry	Cumfrey
Commemmoration	Commomovation	do.		do.	do.	
	Commixion Compartiment Composit	do.	Commixtion	do.	do.	
	Composit	Compartment	do. Composite	do.		do. 1
	Concenter	do.	do.	Concentre, Concenter	Concenter	
	C	do.	Concentered Concentering	Concentred Concentring	Concentered Concentering	
Cennexion	Concentring Connection	do.	do. Consecrator	do.	do.	do.
	Connection Consecrater Consignor	do. Consignor,	Consecrator Consigner, Consignor	do.	do. do.	
	Contorsion	Consigner do.	Consignor Contortion,	do.	Contortion	do.
0 11						do.
Control	Cony, Coney	do. do.	Control, Controll	do. do.	Control Gony do.	
Coquet		do. Coraline	Coquet, Coquette Coralline Cornice	do.		Coquet
	Cornice, Cornish Cotillion	do.	Cornice	do. I	do. do.	
		do.	Conneilor	do.		
Counsellor	Counselled do.	do. do.	Counseled Counselor	do.	do.	do.
Commence	Courtesan.	do.	Courtesan	do.	do.	do.
	Courtezan Coverlet, coverlid	Coverlet	do.	do.	do.	Cranch
Craunch	do. Crepuscle	do. Crepuscule	do. Crepuscle,	do.	Craunch, Cranch Crepuscle	Cranca
Crier	do.	do.	Crepuscule			
Croup	Cycon	do.	Croop, Croup	Croup, Croop	Croop, Croup	Croup
Crowd Cramb	Crossexamin Crowd, Croud	Crossexamine do. do.	Crepuscie, Crepuscule Crier, Cryer Croop, Croup do. Crowd	do. Croup, Croop do. Crowd, Croud		
Cramb	Crum	do.	Cupal	do.	do.	do.
	Cuppelation		Cupellation	do.	do.	Curst
	Cuppel, Cupel Cuppel, Cupel Cuppelation Cursed Curvilinear Cutlash, cutlass Cyclopedia	do. Curvillinear	do. Curvilinear	do.	do.	do.
Cutlass	Cutlash, cutlass	Cutlass	Cutlas Cyclopedia Cyclopede	do.	Cyclopede,	. do.
	Cyst Czar, Tzar Czar, Tzar Czarina Dander, Dandruff Daily, Dayly do. Definit Delf, Delph	do.	Cyclopede	do.	Cyclopede, Cyclopedia do. do.	Cist
Czar	Czar, Tzar	do.	do.	do.	do.	
	Czarina Dander, Dandruff	do.	Tzarina, Czarina Dandruff	do.		
Daily Debonair	Daily, Dayly	do. do.	7 . 00.	do.	Daily do.	Debonair do.
Definite	do. Definit Delf, Delph Demarcation Demonical Dependant Depository	do.	Debonnair do. Delf Demarkation	do.	do.	do.
	Demarcation			do.	do.	
	Demonical Dependant	do.	Demoniacal Dependent Depositary	do.	do.	
and the state of	Depository	do.	Depositary Deputysheriff		do.	
		do.		Deputysheriff Deputysherif	do	
	Dervis, Dervise Dishabille	do.	Dervis Deshabille, Deshabil,	do.	Dechabille, Dishabil	
	1.01		Deshabil,	1 2 12	Dishabil	1
	d.	4.	Dishabille, Dishabil	do.	do.	do.
Determine	Despatch Determin	Determine	Dispatch do. do.	do.	do. Detortion	do.
	Detracter	Detorsion /		do.	do.	
	Deuce	Dones	Duse . Develop Development Dextrous	do.	do.	do.
	Developement Dexterous	do.	Development	do.	do.	
	Dexterously	do. do.		do.	do.	The state of the state of
			Diaresis, Diaresy	Dineresis, Dieresis Dieresy	Fig. 1	
Diaphragm	Diaphragm, Diaphram	do.	Diaphragm	do.	do.	do.
Dyer*		do.	Dyer do.	do.	do.	Disme
	do. Diocess, Diocese do. Diphyllous	Diocess do.	Diocese	Diocese, Diocess		do.
Dipthong	Diphyllons	do.	Diocese Diphthong	do.	Diphylous	do.
		do.	Disc, Disk	do.	Disk do.	do,
	Disciplin Discrepance	Discipline	Discrepance, Discrepancy	do.	uo.	uo.
	Diambia.	do.		do.	Disimbitter	
	Disenthrall Dishevei Dishevelled Dissolvable	do.	Disinthrall	do.	do.	Dishevil
	Dishevelled	do.	Disheveled	do.	do.	do
		do.	do.	Dissolvable, Dissolvible	Dissolvable	do,
Doctrine	Distil Doctrin	Doctrine	Distill do.	do.	do.	do.

Old Spelling Book	Dictionary 1806.	Dictionary 1817.	Quarto 1828.	Octavo 1831.	Duodecimo 1831.	Trew Spett. Bo
		Distributor, Distributer	Distributer	do.	Distributor	17
	Doggerel	Doggerell	Doggerol Dossil	do.	do.	STATISTICS.
	Doggerel Dossel, Dossil Dote Drachma, Dram		Dossil	do.	do.	do.
oat, Dote	Dote	do.	do. Drachma	Drachma, Dram	do.	Drachm
	Drachma, Dram Driblet	do.	Dribblet	do.	do.	Diacum
			Dribblet Drillplow	do. Drillplough	Drillplow	
1. D. ii	Driveller	do.	Driveler	Drought, Drouth Droughty, Drouthy Droughtiness	do. Drouth	do.
rought, Drouth	Droughty.	do. do.	Drouth Drouthy	Droughty.	Drouthy	do.
round	Droughty, Drouthy	do		Drouthy		10
	SECTION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PART		Drouthiness '	Droughtiness		
	Dueller	do.	Dueler	Drouthiness do.	do.	
	Darallint	do.	Duelist Dyscrasy Eame	do.	do.	do.
	Dyscracy		Dyscrasy	do. /		
	Eam, Eme	do.	Eame do.	do.	Eclaircisment	
cstacy	Dyscracy Eam, Eme Eclaircissement Ecstasy, Ecstacy Ecstatic	Ecstasy do.	do.	do.	do.	The second
Catacy	Ecstatic -	do.	do. do.	do.	do. do.	Extatic, Ecstat
	Imbodied	Embodied	Embodied, Imbodied Embody, Imbody Embodying,	do.	do.	
	Imbody	do.	Imbodied	do.	do.	
	Imbouy	uo.	Embody, Imbody	do.	do	2
			Imbodying Embolden,			
	Imbolden	do.	Embolden,	do.	do.	Embolden
	Imborder	do.	Imbolden Emborder,	do.	do.	
					THE PARTY OF THE P	
	Imbower	do.	Embower, Imbower	do.	do.	nolars.
			Imbower	de	Y 10	
npale* mpannel	Empale Impannel	do.		do.	do. Impannel	do.
Levanter			Empannel, Impannel Empark, Impark		The state of the s	
	Impark	do.	Empark, Impark	do.	do.	100
	Empoison,	do.	do.	do.	do.	The state of the s
	Impoison Empurale	do.	do.	do.	do.	
	Empurple, Impurple	uo.	The state of the s		A CONTRACTOR	
	Enameller	do.	Enameler	do.	do.	
	Encage	do. do. Encage, Incage do. do.	do. Encase, Incase Encumber, Incumber	do.	do. do.	The state of the s
noumber	Incase Incumber	do.	Encumber	do.	do.	Encumber
noumber			Incumber			1000
	Incumbrance	do.	Encumbrance	do.	do.	ABOUT LINES
4	Encyclopedia	do.	Incumbrance Encyclopedia, Encyclopedy do. Engrained.	do.	do.	
	Encyclopedia	00.	Encyclopedia,	uo.	do.	de se
	Engrain, Ingrain	do	do.	do.	do.	
4			Engrained,	do.	do.	
	T		Ingrained	do.	do.	1 3 4 5
	Ingulf		Engulfed Ingulfed	do.	do.	
	Inlist	do.	Enlist, Inlist	do.	do.	
	Inlistment	do.	Ingrained, Ingrained Engulf, Ingulf Engulfed, Ingulfed Enlist, Inlist Enlistment,	do.	do.	1
nrol	do.	do.	Inlistment Enroll	do.	do.	do.
rolment	do.	Enrollment		do.	do.	do.
		do.	Enshrine	do. do.	do.	do. do.
nterprize	Enterprise Entomb	Enterprize	Enterprise	do.	do.	do.
athral*	Entomb Inthrall	do.	Entomb, Intomb	do.	Entomb do.	Enthrall
	Inthrallment	do.	do.	do.	do.	Enthrallment
	Entrance (v.)	do.		Entrance		
			Entransed	Entranced Entrancing	Entransed Entransing	The same of
	Intwine	do.	Entransed Entransed Entransing Entwine, Intwine Entwist, Intwist do. Envelopment do.	do.	do.	Entwine
			Entwist, Intwist	do .	do. do.	
	Envelop	do.	do.	Envelop, Envelope	Envelop	do.
nwrap	Envelopement Inwrap	do. do.	Envelopment	do.	do.	1000
paulette		do.	do.	Envelop, Envelope do. do. do.	do.	do.
paulette pitome	Epitomy Epitomise Epitomiser Ermelin, Ermin Ethereal	do.	Epitome, Epitomy Epitomize Epitomizer	do.	do.	Epitome
	Epitomise	do.	Epitomize	do.	do.	do.
	Ermelin Ermin	Ermin do.	Ermin Ermine	Ermine, Ermin	Ermin, Ermine	Ermin
therial	Ethereal	do.	Ermin, Ermine	Ermine, Ermin	do.	do.
			Etherialize Exactor	Etherealize		do.
	Exacter	do.	Exactor	do.	Exacter	do
ramine	Examin	Examine do.	do.	do.	do.	do. Exorcize
	Exorcise Extil	-	Partill	do.	do.	do.
	Extrafoliaceous Fagot Fakir		do. do. Fakir, Faquir Famine		Extrafoliacious	The state of the s
aggot*	Fagot	do.	Elakia Flacaria	do.	Faker, Faquir	do.
	Famine Famin	do.	Famine	do.	do.	do.
	Famine, Famin Farewel Farinaceous	do.	Farewell Farinaceous	do.	do.	do.
	Farinaceous	Farinaceus	Farinaceous	do.	do.	
asset	Fascine, Fascene	do.	Fascine Faucet	do.	de	Facine
eather	Fascine, Fascene Faucet, Fasset Feather, Fether Feathery Featherless	do.		do.	do.	Feather
	Feathery	do.	Feathery, Fethery Featherless,	Feathery Featherless	Feathery, Fethery	- 1
	Featherless	do.	Featherless,	Featherless	Featherless,	
ellon*		do.	Fetherless do.	do.		do.
enon.	Felon Fiber Finess do. Flammeous	do.	do.	Fibre, Fiber	do.	do.
inesse	Finess	do.	Finess, Finesse	Fibre, Fiber do. do.	Finess	1
lagitious	do.	do.	do.	do.	do.	Flagicious
	Flammeous do.		do:	do. do.	Flameous Flaunt, Flant	
launt	Foretel	do,	do.	do.	Flaunt, Flant	Flaunt do.
oretell osse		do.	Foretell do.	do. Fosse, Foss	Foss do.	uo.
racheur	Fraichenr	-	Fraischeur	do.		The state of the state of
rank	do. Frenzy, Phrensy, Phrenzy Fricasee	do.	Fraischeur Frank, Franc	do.	Frank	do.
hrenzy*	Frenzy, Phrensy,	Frenzy, Phrensy	do.	do.	Frenzy	do.
	Frience	do.		do.	do.	
			Fricassee Frized	do.	Frizzed	and the same of
rolic, Frolick	Frolick Fugue	do.	do.	do. do.	do.	Fage
rone, From		do.	do.	do.		

Old Spelling Book	Dictionary 1803.	Dictionary 1817.	Quarto 1823.	Octavo 1831.	Duodecimo 1831.	New Spell Book.
Fulfil		do. do	Fulfill /	do.	do.	do.
	Fulfilment Fullness, Fulness	do Fullness	Fulfillment do.	do. do.	do.	
Furlough	do.	do.	Furlow	Furlough, Furlow	Furlow	do.
	T WILD	do. do.	Furz Gammut	do.	do. do.	
Jail Gantlet	Gaol, Jail do.	do.	Gantlone	Gantlone Gantlet	do.	Jail
Gaunt		do.	Gantlope Gaunt, Gant do.	Gantlope, Gantlet	do. Gelattinate	
	Gelatinate Generalissimo	do.	do.	do. do.	do.	Generallissimo
	Gilliflower Gillotin	do. do.	Gillyflower Guillotin	do. Guillotine	do. Guillotin	
Gimblet	do. do.	do. do.		Gimblet Gimlet	Gimblet do,	do.
Gipsy		do.	Gipsey Gipseyism Girasol	Gipsy Gipsyism		au.
Service .	Girasole Girroc		Girasol Girrock	Girasole, Girasol	Girasol	
Gnoman, Gnomon	Girroc Gnomen	do.	Gnomon		do.	Gordon do-
Goslin	Gordian Gosling Gospelled	do. do.	do. do.	do. do.	do. do.	do.
	Gospelled Granit	do. do.	Granit, Granite	Granite, Granit .	Gospeled Granit, Granite	
	Granit Grantor	do.	Granit, Granite do. Graveclothes		Granter	
Gray, Grey*	Gravecloaths do.	do. do.	Gray	do.	do. Grayhound	do.
43	Greyhound	do.	Gray Grayhound, Greyhound	do.		-
	Griffin, Griffon	do.	Griffon Grisamber	do.	do.	Griffin
Grotesque	Griffin, Griffon Grissamber Grotesque, Grotesk Groundsel, Grunsel	do.	do. Groundsel	do.	do.	
	Groundsel, Grunsel	1000	Groundsel Groundsill	do.		
Group	Group, Groop Groveller	do.	do. Groveler	do.	Group do.	-
		Grovelling	Groveling	do	do	
	Guarantee (v.) Guaranty (n.) Haggard	do. do.	Guarantee (n.) Guaranty (v.)	do. do.	Guaranty (n.)	
	Haggard Haggardly	do.	Hagard Hagardly Hagish	Haggard, Hagard	Hagard Hagardly	1
Haggish Heinous	Haggardly do, Hainous	do.	Hagish do.	Haggard, Hagard Haggardly do. Heinous, Hainous Heinously	Hainous	Haggish do.
Heinous	Hainous Hainously	do.	do.	Heinous, Hainous Heinously	Hainously Hainousness	ao.
	Hainously Hainousness Holibut	do. do.	Halibut	Heinousness do.	Hainousness do.	do
Halloe	Halloo Handfull	do. Handful	do. do. do. do.	do.	do. do,	
'Handful Hansel*	Handsel		do.	do.	do.	do. Hansel, Handsel
	Harpooner	do.	The second secon	Harponer, Harponeer do.	Harpooner	
Hassoc	do.	do.	Hattook	Hattoc	Hassock	
	Haulm, Haum	Haum	Hattock Haulm, Haum	do.	do.	
Haunt Haunt	do.	do.	do. do.	do.	do. Hanch, Haunch Haunt, Hant Havock Hawser	Hanch Haunt
Havoo	Havock	Havoc	Havock Halser, Hawser do.	Havoc,	Havock Hawser	
	Hawser Hazel	do.	do.	do,	do. Headache,	Hatle
	Headach	Headache	Headach	Headache		Headache
	Heartach	Heartache	Heartach	Heartache	Heartache,	Heartache
	Hemlock	do.	do.	Hemloc		do.
Highth	Hickup do.	do.	Hiccough, Hickup Height, Highth, Hight	Height, Hight,	Hiccough, Hicup Hight, Highth	Highth, Hight
	Hillook	do.	Hight do.	Hemloc do. Height, Hight, Highth Hilloc	Hillock	do.
Hindrance*	Hinderance Holyhock Holm, Holme Holyday Homestal	do. Hollyhoek	do. do. do.	do. do.	do.	do.
Holme	Holm, Holme	do. do.	Holm	do.	do.	
	Holyday Homestal	do.	do. Homestall	do.	do.	Holiday
		do.	Homestall Homestead		Homested	Whoopingeough
	Hoopingcough Horde	Hord	Hord, Horde	do.	do.	14 Hoobing condu
Huzza	do.	do.	Hoora, Hooraw, Hurraw, Hurrah	do.	do. Hoora, Hooraw, Hurra, Hurraw	
	Hydrophoby	do.	do. Hord, Horde Hoora, Horaw, Hurraw, Hurrah Hydrophobia, Hydrophoby	do.	Hydrophoby	- Demonstra
Y7	Hydrogene	do.	Hydrogen Hyperbole		do.	Hyperbole
Hyperbole	Hyperbole, Hyperboly			do.	Hyperbole, Hyperboly do.	
Hypocrito	Hypocrit Hysson Hyson	do.	Hypocrite Hysop, Hyssop do.	Hysson, Hyson	Hysop, Hyssop	do.
Island	Hypocrit Hyssop, Hysop Ieland, Island, Iland	Ieland, Island	do.	do. Hyssop, Hysop Island, Iland	Hysop, Hyssop Icland, Island	Island
A. Talenda	Illumin	do.	Illumine	do.	do.	1000
Imagine	Imagin Immoveable	do.	Imagine Immovable	do. do.	do.	do.
		do. do. do.	Immovably	do.	do.	
	Inapposit Incomposit Incontestable,		Inapposite Incomposite do.	do.	do. do.	
	Incontestable, Incontestible	Incontestable	Carried Co. Co.	do.	do.	
	Incontestible Incontestibly Indefinit	Indefinite	Incontestably	do.	do.	do.
	Indefinit Indefinitly	Indefinitely	do. do.	do. do.	do.	uu.
	Indocil	do.	Indocile	do.	do.	Innoculate Ensnare, Insnare
Ensnare	Instead, Insted	do.	do. Instead	do.	do.	Ensnare, Insnare
		do.	IInstill	do.	do. do. do.	do.
Instead Instil	Instructor.	Instructor	do.	do.		12-24
Instead Instil Instructor						
Instil	Instructor Instrumentality	do.	do.	do.	Instrumentallity	Instrumentality
Instil	Instructor Instrumentality Inwreathe Irreconcileable	do.	do. Irreconcilable	do.	Inwreath	Instrumentality
Instil	Instructor Instrumentality Inwreathe Irreconcileable Irremoveable Irremoveable	do. do. Irremovable	do. Irreconcilable do. Irremovability	do. do.	Inwreath do.	Instrumentality
Instil	Instructor Instrumentality Inwreathe Irreconcileable	do. do. Irremovable	Irreconcilable	do.	Inwreath	instrumentanty do-

	Old Spelling Book	Dictionary 1806.	Dictionary 1817.	Quarto 1828.	Octavo 1831.	Duodecimo 1831.	
		Jasmin	do.	Jasmin, Jasmine	do. do.	do.	Jasmin
	Jessamine	Jessamin do.	do.	Jeweler do.	do.	do.	
	Jeweller Jewelry	Iewellery	Jewelry do.	do.	do.	do.	do.
	Jewelry Jocky*	Jockey Jole, Jowl	do.	do.	ole, Joll, Jowl	Jole	
	Judgement	do. Julap, Julep	do.	Judgment	do. do. do. do.	do.	
	Julep*	Julap, Julep	do.	Julep Kale	do.	do.	Cale
	Laquey	Lackey	do.	do.	.do.	do.	
	THE RESIDENCE OF A STATE OF THE PARTY.	Lampers Lanch	do.	Lampas do.	do.	do.	do. do.
	Launch Laste	Last		Laundress	do.	do. Laundress,	do.
		Laundress Landress	do.			Landress	
		Laundry Laurelled	Laundry	Laundry	do.	Landress Landry do.	
		Laurelled .	Laureled do.	do.	do.	do.	Laureat
	Leather	Lether	do.	Leather, Lether	do. Leathern	do. do.	Leather do.
		Leathern Leathery	do.	do. do. do. Leather, Lether Leathern, Lethern Leathery, Lethery I Leger, Ledger Lettuce	eathery	do.	
		Ledger	do. do.	Leger, Ledger	do.	Leger do.	Ledger
		Ledger Lettice, Lettuce Leveller	do. do.	Leveler	do.	do.	
		Libellant	do.		do.	do.	
		Libeller Libellous	do. do.	Libeler Libelous	do.	do.	
	Libertine	Libertin	do.	Libertine	do.		do.
	1.	Licence, License	License do.	Lilied do.	do.	do. do.	
		Lily	do.	I do.	do.	do.	Lilly
	Liver	Loadstone,	do. Loadstone	Livre Lodestone	do.	do.	
		Lodestone,					do.
	Loth	Lodestone Loath, Loth Loathe, Lothe Loathful	do.	do. Loathe, Lothe Loathful, Lothful Loathing, Lothing Loathly, Lothly Loathness, Lothness	do. do.	Loth Lothe	qo,
	Loathe	Loathful	Loathe do. do.	Loathful, Lothful	do.	Lothe Lothful	
		Loathing	do.	Loathing, Lothing	do.	Lothing Lothly	
		Loathly Loathness	do.	Loathness,	do.	Lothness	
				Lothness	do.	Lothsome	
	Loathsome	do.	do.	Lothsome			
		Loathsomeness	do.	Loathsomeness, Lothsomeness	do.	Lothsomeness	No.
		Lodgement	do.		do.	do.	
	Loch	Loch, Lough Lunett	do.	Lunet, Lunette	do. do. Lustre, Luster do.		Loch
	Luster	Lunett	do.	do.	Lustre, Luster	Luster, Lustre	
	Laster	do. Lustring, Lutestring Mackarel	do.	Lustring	do.	do.	
	4	Lutestring	do.	Mackerel	do.	do.	do.
	Mackerel Maize, Maiz Malecontent		do.	do.	Maize, Maiz do.	Maiz do.	do.
	Malecontent	Malcontent do.	do.			Maneuver	do.
	Maneuver, (n.)			4 3	Maneuver (n.)		do.
1		Maneuver, (v.)	do.	do. Maneuvered	Maneuver (n.) Manoeuvre (v.) Manoeuvred Manoeuvring	Maneuver Maneuvered	ao.
				Maneuvering do.	Manoeuvring	Maneuvered Maneuvering	
1		Marcescent Mareschal Marlin Marque Marshaller Marvellous	do do-	do.	do. do.	Marcesent Marechal	
		Mareschal	do.		do.		do.
1		Marque	do.	Marine Marque, Mark Marshaler Marvelous Marvelously	do. do.	Mark, Marque do. do.	
-		Marshaller	do.	Marvelous	do.	do.	do.
		Marvellously	do.		do.	do.	Masque
	Mask Massacre	do.	do.	Massacer, Massacre Mastic, Mastich Mastif (cor.)	Massacre,	Massacre	In a second
	TATERDOCTE	1	Martin.	Massacre Mastick	Massacer	Mastich	do.
	Mastiff	Mastick	Mastic do.	Mastif (cor.)	do. Mastiff, Mastif	Mastich Mastif, Mastiff ; Mattock	
		do. Mattock Mall, Maul Madow, Meddow Meathe Medallist	do.	do. do.	Mattec	Mattock do.	do.
	Maul Meadow Meethe	Mall, Maul	w Meadow	do.	do.	do.	do.
	Meethe	Meathe	2011	do.	do.	Medalist	Meath
	Medicine			Medallist do.		l do	I I I I I I I
	Molasses	Melasses Mellifluent	do.	do.	Molasses, Melasse		do. Melliffluent Melliffluous
		Mellifluent	do.	3.	do.	do.	Melliffluous
		Mellifluous Merchandize	do.	Merchandise Metallization	do.	do.	do.
	** 1-	Metalization do.	do.		Metre, Meter	Meter	1
	Meter Methodize	Methodise Middling Midriff	do.	Methodize	do.	do. do. Midrif do.	do. Midling
		Midding	do.	do.	do.	Midrif	
	Mien		do: Millennial	do. Millenial	do.	do.	Mein, Mien
	Millennium	Millenial do.	Millennial do.	Millenium	do.	do.	Millennial Millennium
	Millennium	Mimic, (n.)	do.	do.	do.	do.	
		Mimic, (v.) Minstrelsey	do.	Mimick, (v.)	do.	do.	
			400	Mispikel	do.	Mispickel	
		Misprise	Mispell	Misprise, Misprize	do.	Misprize do.	
		Mispend	do.	Mimick, (v.) Mimstrelsy Misprise, Misprise, Misprise, Misspell Misspend Misspense	do.	do.	
	-	Mispense	do.	Misspense do.		Miter, Mitre	
	Miter	Mitered	do.	do.	Mitred	Mitered	
		Mizen Moccason,	do.	Mizzen Moccason	do.	do.	
		Moggason,					
	** **	Mocgason Modeller Molt Monarchise Mopsy	do.	Modeler do.	do.	do.	1
	Moult	Monarchise	do.		do	do.	
				Monsey	do.	do.	THE PARTY NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PARTY N
		Mopsy	1.00	Mount Morane	do.	do.	
			Moresk	Moresk, Moresque Morris, Morrice	do.	do. Morris	
		Morris Moskatel		Moresk, Moresque Morris, Morrice Moschatel	do. do. do. Mosk Mosme	Morris do.	Mosque
	Mosque*	Morris	Moresk do. do.	Monsey Moresk, Moresque Morris, Morrice Moschatel Mosk Movable Muscadine	do. do. Mosk, Mosque do. do.	Morris	Mosque do.

Negociate Negoci	Old Spelling Book	Dictionary 1806.	Dictionary 1817.	Quarto 1828.	Octavo 1831.	Duodecimo 1831.	New Spell Book.
Negotiate Negotiate Negotiate Negotiate Nedo Active Net Net Net Net Net Net Net Net Net Ne	Musketoe ,	do.	do.	do.	Musketoe,	Musketoe	
Negotiate Negotiate Negotiate Negotiate Nedo Active Net Net Net Net Net Net Net Net Net Ne		Nectarin		Nectarine	do.		
Niche Nich Nich Nich Nich Nich Nich Nich Nich	Negociate	Negotiate,		do.	do.	do.	do.
Niter Norgert	Neighbor		do.	Neighbor, Nehboor	do.		Neighbor ' Neu
Niter Niter (According to the Noviciate Novici	Niche	Net Nich	Nett, Neat	Net Nich Nicho	Niche Nich	Nich Niche	Neu
Niter Niter (According to the Noviciate Novici	2410110	Nightmare	do	Nightmar	Nightmare,	Nightmar	do.
Norgand Nordinate Obliqueness Obligate Obliqueness Obliqueness Obligate O		The last Depth of the Land of	do.	do	Nightmar Nitre, Niter		
Norgand Nordinate Obliqueness Obligate Obliqueness Obliqueness Obligate O	Noggen	Noggin	do.	do.	do.	do.	do.
Noyau Obliquely Obliqueness Ob	Nought Noviciate	Naught, Nought	do.	Naught		do.	Nought Noviciate
Ocher Oderous do. Ocher, Oker Ochre, Oker Ocher, Oker Ocher, Oker, Oker Ocher, Oker Ocher, Oker,	Moviciate	Noyeau	do.	Noyau	do.	do.	
Ocher Oderous do. Ocher, Oker Ochre, Oker Ocher, Oker Ocher, Oker, Oker Ocher, Oker Ocher, Oker,		Oblique	Oblique, Oblike	do.	do.		Oblique
Ones, Douber of Contents of Co		Obliqueness	do.			Obliqueness,	
Ones globes Opposite	Ocher	do	Ocher Oker	Ocher	Ochre, Ocher	Ocher, Ochre	Ocher
Ones, Douber of Contents of Co	Ooner	Ocherous	do.		Ochreous	Ocherous	
Ones, Douber of Contents of Co	Offence*	Offense	do.	Ochrey do.	do.	do.	do.
Ones, Douber of Contents of Co		Omber	do.	Omber, Ombre	Ombre, Omber	Omber, Ombre	
Opposite of Corchester of Corc	Omniginous Ouse Ooze			Ooze, Ouse	do.	Ooze	Ooze, Ouse, Oose !!
Opposite of Corchester of Corc	Opake		do.	do.	Opaque, Opake	Opake	Ooze, Ouse, Oose!! Opaque, Opake
Orchester Oder Oder, Oler, Oler Oder, Oler, Oler Oder, Oler Oder, Oler Oder, Oler Oder, Oler Oder Oder, Oler Oder Oder, Oler Oder Oder Oder, Oler Oder Oder Oder Oder Oder Oder Oder Od		Opposit	Opposite	do.		do.	do.
Oxigence Oxigence Oxigence Oxygence Oxygen		Oppositly		Oppositely	do.	do.	
Osier Osier, Ozier Oscopiene do Oygene do Oyge	Orchester	do.	do.	do.	Orchestre,		do.
Oxygene Painthin Palinthin	Osier	Osier, Ozier	do.	Osier	do.		do.
Pairishioner Parishioner Parishioner Parishioner Parishioner Parishioner Parishioner Parishioner Parole Par		Oxygene	do.	10xygen	do.	Ovez	1
Palankinin do Parlinin do Parl	*	Dailful	do.	Pailfull	Pailful	do.	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
Parishioner Parishoner		Palanguin,	do.			Palanguin	
Parishioner Parishioner Parishioner Paroket Paroket Daroket Da	R. P. L. S. L. P.		do.		do.	do,	
Parishioner Parishioner Parishioner Paroket Paroket Daroket Da		Paralize Paregoric	do.	do.	raralize, Paralyze	do.	Paragorio
Paroxism Paroxi	Parishioner	Parishoner	do.	Parishioner	do.		
Paroxism Paroxysm Paroxysm Paroxism Paroxysm do do paroxis Paroxis Paroxis Paroxis Paroxis Paroxis Paroxis Paroxis do do paroxis do paroxis do paroxis paroxis do paroxis paroxis do paroxis paroxis paroxis do paroxis paroxis do do do do do do do do do d			do.	Parol, Parole	do.	Paroket Paromet	
Pixyor Parcell Patronise do Paver, Pavier do Paver, Pavier do Paver, Pavier do Control Paver, Paver do Control Paver				Perroquet		Perroquet	1-
Payor Payor Payor Payor Pediar Perquisit Perpuisit Perquisit Perpuisit Perpuis	Paroxism	Paroxysm		Parenen		do.	do.
Perquisity Philippic Philippic Philippic Philippic Perquisity Philippic Philippic Polity Perguisity Philippic Polity Perguisity Perguisity Philippic Polity Perguisity Physical Polity Perguisity Physical Polity Perguisity		Patrol	do.	Patrol, Patroll	do.	do.	Patrol
Perquisity Philippic Philippic Philippic Philippic Perquisity Philippic Philippic Polity Perguisity Philippic Polity Perguisity Perguisity Philippic Polity Perguisity Physical Polity Perguisity Physical Polity Perguisity		Patronise	do.	Patronize	do.	Pover	do.
Perquisity Philippic Philippic Philippic Philippic Perquisity Philippic Philippic Polity Perguisity Philippic Polity Perguisity Perguisity Philippic Polity Perguisity Physical Polity Perguisity Physical Polity Perguisity	Payor	Paver	do.	do.	do.	do.	
Perquisits Philipia do. Philipia do. Picturesque		Pedlar, Pedler	Pedler		do.	Peddler	do.
Perquisity Perquisity Perquisity Perquisity Pharmacoptia Philips Phili		Pedling "	do.	Peddling	do.	do.	
Pinchers Pital Pinchers Pital Pinchers Pital Pinchers Pin	P	Pencilled	Downwigita	Penciled	do.	do.	
Pinchers Pital Pinchers Pital Pinchers Pital Pinchers Pin	rerquisite		r er quinto	Peter, Petre	Petre, Peter	401	
Pinchers Pital Pinchers Pital Pinchers Pital Pinchers Pin			1	Pharmacopaeia,	do.		
Pinchers Pin		Philippic	Phillipie				
Picturesque Pictur	NAT	Phleme	do.		Dickaye	Pickey	Phleme
Pinchers Pinchers Pital do. Pi		Picturesque	Picturesque,	do.	do.	Picturesk.	+
Plow Plow Plantiff, Plaintiff, Pl		A LANGE TO LANGE	Picturesk		Pingous Dinchors	Picturesque	do.
Plowboy Plowboy Plowde Plower Ploughtor Plowing Plower Plower Plowing Plower Plower Plower Plowing Plowing Plowing Plower Plower Plowing Plo	Pinchers	Pitfal	Pitfall	do.	do.		uo.
Plowboy Plowboy Plowde Plower Ploughtor Plowing Plower Plower Plowing Plower Plower Plower Plowing Plowing Plowing Plower Plower Plowing Plo	***	Plaintiff	do.	Plaintif	Plaintiff, Plaintif	Plaintif	do.
Plowboy Plowboy Plowde Plower Ploughtor Plowing Plower Plower Plowing Plower Plower Plower Plowing Plowing Plowing Plower Plower Plowing Plo	Plow	do.	uo.	Plowalms	Ploughalms	LIOW	uo.
Poeumatics Point and Provided		Di i		Plowbote			
Poeumatics Point and Provided		Plowboy		Plowed	Ploughed .	Plowed	do.
Poeumatics Point and Provided		1445.44		Plower	Plougher		The state of the s
Plesuman do. Plowman pless do. Plower do. Power do. Powe	1	Plowland		do.			2 4 3 5 5 5 5 5
Posimilio Potatos Pota		Plowman ·		do.	Ploughman	Plowman	The state of the s
Position of Policy of Poli		Plowshare		do.	Ploughshare	Plowshare	1
Poise Poise	Downstian	Plumcake	Promotice	Proportion	do.	Plumbcake	do.
Politrion Funcial Politron Funcial Polit	Poise*	Poize, Poise	do.	IPoise .	do.	do.	do.
Positilion	1 . /	Poltroon	do.		do.		Paltroon
Postillion Portress do. Portres		Pumkin	Pumpkin	Pompion, Pum-	do.	Pompion	Pompion'
Position Pos				pion, Pumpkin			
Positilion Postatoe P		Porpess		do.	Porpoise, Porpus,	Porpess	do.
Position do			do	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Porpess		
Postatoe Proced Advance Proced Proced Proced Proced Advance Proced Proced Proced Proced Advance Proced Proced Advance Proced Advance Proced Proced Advance Proced Advance Proced Advance Proced Advance Advan	Postillion	do.	do.	do	do.		Postilion
Prairy Probate Proba		do.	do.	Potato	do.		do.
Proceed (a) Proceed (b) Proceed (c)		Prairy	do.	do.	Prairie, Prairy	Prairy	
Proscoppy		Probat, Probate	Probate .	Dungand Pun-	do.	Drogged	-
Proscoppy		Prophesy (n.)	Prophecy	do.	do.	do.	do.
Ponetillo		Prosopopy			do.	Prosopopy	
Panctilio Panctilio do.		Proveable	do.	Provable	do.	do.	do.
Quadrille do. Quadrille do. Quadrille do.	Punctillio	Punctilio	do.	Por Pore		do.	Parr
Coif, Qaoif do. Raccoon Raddish Rallery Raller	Quadrille	Quadril	do.	Quadrille	do.	do.	rur
Rallery Raller	Quarantine	Quarantin	do.	Quarantine	do.	do.	Q G. 16
Rallery Rallery Rallery Rallery Rallery Railery Raindeer, Raindeer	Con, Quoif	Raccoon	do.	Racoon	do.	Raccoon	Quoif, Coif
Reindeer deer, Reindeer			do.	Radish	Daillows Dall	Dellar Pall	Raddish
Reindeer deer, Reindeer	Rallery	Raindeer, Rallery	do.	Raindeer, Rane-	do.	do.	The state of the s
Ransomiess do. Ransomeless		Reindeer		deer, Reindeer			
Hatable do. Rateable Ratable do. do.	Ratable	Ransomless do.	Rateable	Ratable Ravin, Ravine	do.	do.	
Ravin Ravine Ravine do. do.		Ravin	Ravine	Ravin, Ravine	do.	do.	

Old Spelling Book		Dictionary 1817.	Quarto 1828.	Octavo 1831.	Duodecimo 1831.	
Receipt	do. [sion	do.	Receipt, Receit do. do. Reconcilable	do.	do.	Recipt
Recision	Recision, Recis-		do.	do.	do. do.	Rescision
Recompence	Recompense Reconcileable	do.	Reconcile ble	do.	do.	do.
	Reconcileableness	do do.	Reconcilableness		do.	
	Redout	Redoubt	Redont	Redoubt, Redout Redoubtable,	Redout Redoutable	do.
	Redoutable	Redoubtable	Redoutable	Redoubtable,	Redoutable	
		Reexamine		Redoutable	6,400	
Referable	Reexamin Referable, Referrible	Referable	do. Referable,	do. do.	do. Referable	
Referable	Referrible	reterable	Referrible	do.	Reierable	7
Reenforce	do.	do.	Referrible Reenforce, Reinforce	do.	do.	
attorno co	AL P		Reinforce	. 401		
	Reenforcement	do.		do.	do.	Reenforcemen
			Reinforcement			
Requisite	Requisit	Requisite	do. do.	do.	do.	do.
	Requisitly	Requisitely	do.	do.	do.	
	Requisitness Restiff, Restive	Requisiteness do.	Restif do.	do. Restiff, Restif Restiffness	Restif, Restive Restifness	
	Restiveness	do.		Restiffness	Restifness	-
			Reveille, Revelly		Revelly	
	Reveller	do.	Reveler	Reveller	Reveler	40.
	Reverie, Revery Ribbon, Riband	do.	Reveille, Revelly Reveler Revery	Revery, Reverie Ribbon, Ribin do. do.	Revery Ribin	do.
Yours Dines	Ripse	Riband do.		Ribbon, Ribin		do.
fince, Rinse	Rondeau	do.	do. Rondeau, Rondo	do.	Rondeau	do.
loquelaur	Romelanre.		Roquelaur	do.	do,	uo.
coquetaut	Roquelaure, Roquelo		reoqueraut			
	Route (way) Rudd	do.	Rout	Rout, Route	Rout	Route, Rout (v
	Rudd		Rud, Rudd	do.	Rudd	Rud
ont		do.	Rout Rud, Rudd do Saber, Sabre	do.	do.	do.
ntabale	Sachel Sateh-1	do. Sachel		Sabre, Saber	Saber, Sabre	Satchel
atchel*	Saddler	do	do.	do.	do.	do.
MATON	Saber Sachel, Satchel Saddler Sailer, Sailor do. Saleableness	do. do.	do. Sailor, Sailer Salable Salableness	do.	Sailor	
aleable	do.	do.	Salable	do.	do.	do.
	Saleableness	do.	Salableness	do.	do.	
allad*	Salad	do.		do.		THE PARTY
	Salep, Saloop Saltpeter Scald	do.		do.	Salep, Salop Saltpeter, Saltpetre do.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Saltpeter	do.	Saltpeter, Saltpetre	Saltpetre, Saltpeter	Sampeter, Sampetre	Scall
cepter		do. do.	do.	Saltpetre, Saltpeter do. Sceptre, Scepter Sceptred	Scepter	Scan
cebrer	Sceptered do.	do.		Scentred	Sceptered	
chirrous	do.	Skirrous	Scirrous, Scirrus.	do.	Scirrous, Scirrus	Scirrous
			Skirrus,			
cissors	Scissars, Scissors	Scissors	do.	do.	do.	do.
coat	Scot Seabord	do.	do.	do.	do. Seabord	do.
	Seabord	Seaboard	do.	do.	Seaboru	ACCOUNT OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
eethe*	Seapye	do.	Seapie	do.	do. do.	
eignior, Seignor*	Seeth Seignior	do.	Seethe do.	do. do.	do.	Seignor, Seign
eignior, sorgion	Seine Selvage	do.	Sein	, do.	do.	Seine
	Selvage	do.	Selvedge	do.	do.	
	Semiopake		do.	Semiopaque		
	Semiopake Sena, Senna Sevennight,	Senna	do.	do. Sevennight,	do.	The same of
levennight	Sevennight,	do.	Sennight,	Sevennight,	Sennight	
lepulcher	Sennight do.	Constabus	Sevennight Sepulcher (n.)	Sennight Sepulchre; Sepulcher (n.) Sepulcher (v.)	Sepulcher (n. & v.)	
epuicner	uo.	Sepulchro	sepurcher (12)	Sepulcher (n)	population (in a bi)	do.
			Sepulcher (v.)	Sepulchre (v.)		
erjeant*	Sergeant	do.		do. do.	do.	
	Sew, Soe Shalote	do.	Sew	do.	do.	1
	Shalote	do.	do. Chamois, Shamois, Shammy	do.	Shallote do.	
hamois	Chamois, Shamois		Chamois, Shamois,	do.	0.0.	The work
		3-	Shammy do.	do.	do.	Sheathe
	Sheath, Sheathe (v.)	do	do.	00.	uo.	PHERMIE
	Shoriff	do.	Sherif	Sheriff Sherif	Sherif	1
	Sheriff Sheriffalty	u.v.	Sherif Sherifalty	Sheriff, Sherif Sheriffalty		27-7-7-
	Sherifidom	do.		Sheriffdom Sheriffship	The state of the s	
			Sherifship	Sheriffship		
60000	cu .		Sherifwick	Sherifiwick	do	Chom
hew*	Show	do.	do. do.	do. do.	do.	Shew do.
hoar* (a prop)	Shore Showbread	do. do.	Showhread.	do.	do.	40,
	The second secon		Showbread, Shewbread	all a		
hroud	Shroud, Shrowd	do.		do.	do.	do.
	Shily Shiness	-do.		do.	do.	
	Shiness	do.	Shyness	do.	do.	000
7	Sibylline Sirius	at to	do.	Sibilline	do-	do
41.	Sirius do.	Sirious	Sirius	do.	do.	do.
ythe	Signable	Sithe do.	Sythe Sizable	do.	do.	uo.
kein	Skain, Skein Skilful	do.	Skain	do.	do	do.
	Skilful	do.	Skain Skillful	do.	do.	do.
	Skilfully	do.	Skillfully Skillfulness	do.	do.	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
	ISkilfulness	do.	Skillfulness	do.	do.	1000
	Slay, Slaie	do.	Slaie, Sley Sleigh Sluice, Sluse	do.	do.	do."
luica	Sley Sluice, Sluce	Sluce Sluice	Sluice, Sluse	do.	do.	u.s.
mine		Sluce, Sluice		do.		100
	Slyly, Slily			do.	Slyly Slyness	
	Sliness	do.	do.	do.	Slyness	
	Sluicy Slyly, Slily Sliness Sodder, Solder Solitaire	do.	Soder	do.	Solitair	The state of the s
	Solitaire	do.	Solitair Somber, Sombre Sooshong,	Solitaire Sombre, Somber do.	Solitair Somber, Sombre	
		do.	Somber, Sombre	Sombre, Somber	Southong	
	Soshong, Souchong	do.	Southong,	do.	Southong	
	Southong	do	Souchong Soup	do.	do.	
oup overeign	Soup, Soop do.	do.	Suveran.	Sovereign,	do.	Sovereign
A LAT CIEIT	uo.	uo.	Suveran, Sovereign (a.)	Suveran	-	
			Saveran,	Sovereign	Sovereign,	
			Saveran, Sovereign (n.)		Suveran	
	Sovreignty	do.	Sovereign (n.) Suveranty, Sovereignty	Sovereignty	Sovereignty,	1000
		- 10 72 10 10	Sovereignty		Suveranty	
			Suveranly,	Sovereignly	The second second	
	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF	5-10 TO 10 T	Suveranly, Sovereignly do.	Spectre, Specter	Specter, Spectre	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
pecter	do. Spignel	do.	Snicknel Snickel	do.	do.	1
	Spinnet	do.	Spicknel, Spignel Spinet Spurt	do. do.	do.	130.00
		uo.	Land a	do.		
pirt	Spirt, Spurt	do.	Spurt	CIO.	do.	do.
Spinet Spirt Splice	Spinnet Spirt, Spurt do.	do.	Splice, Splise	do.		Splice do.

Old Spelling Boo		Dictionary 1817.		Octavo 1831.	Duodecimo 1831.	New Spell. B
Sponge Spread	Spunge do.	do.	do.	do. do.	do.	do. Spread
spreud	do.	qo.	Spread, Spred Spreading, Spredding	Spreading	Spreading	Spread
			Spredding		Spredding	
	Spright, Sprite	do.	Spreader, Spreader	Spreader	Spreader, Spredder	do.
Staunch	Spright, Sprite Stanch		do.	do. do. do.	Spreader, Spreader Sprite	do.
Stead	Stanch Stead, Sted Steadfast, Stedfast Steadfastly, Stedfastly	do.	do.	do.	do.	do.
	Steadfastly,	Steadfastly	Steadfastly,	do. Steadfasúy	Steadfastly.	
		Steadfastness	do. Steadfastly, Stedfastly Steadfastness,		do. Steadfastly, Stedfastly Steadfastness,	-
	Steadiastness Steadily, Steadily Steadiness, Steady Steady	Steathastness	Steadiastness, Stedfastness	Steadfastness		
	Steadily, Steddily		do.	Steadily	Steadily, Steddily	
	Steadiness,	Sec. 19.	do.	Steadiness	Steadily, Steadily Steadiness, Steadiness	
	Steady, Steddy	Steady do.	Steady, Steddy Stealth	do.	do.	
tealth	Steady, Steddy Stealth, Stelth Steril	do.	Stealth	do.	Stealth, Stelth Steril, Sterile do.	Stealth Steril
treight, Strait	Strait Stupify, Stupefy Substract	do.	Steril, Sterile Straight Stupefy	Sterile, Steril	do.	Stern
treight, Strait tupify ubtract*	Stupify, Stupefy	Stupify do.	Stupefy		do.	
ubtract*	Substraction	do.	Subtract, Substrac Subtraction, Substraction	do.	do.	Subtract Subtraction
			Substraction	do		
	Subtile Subtileness	do.	Subtil	Subtil, Subtile	Subtil do.	do.
abtle	do. Succeed	do.	Succede, Succeed Suitor	do.	Subtil	do.
	Succeed	do.	Succede, Succeed	Succeed, Succede	Succeed	
itor	Suiter, Suitor Superintendant	do.	Suitor Superintendent	do.	do.	100
	Surprise, Surprize	Surprise	do.	do.	do.	1
urvivor wap	Surviver, Survivor	Survivor do.	Surviver, Survivo	do.	Survivor	Swop, Swap
wash	Surprise, Surprize Surviver, Survivor Swap, Swop Swosh	do.	Swash Sweetbriar	do.	Swap do. Sweetbrier	wop, swap
vord		Sweetbrier	Sweetbriar	do.	Sweetbrier	1
	Silvan, Sylvan	do.	do.	do.	Sword, Sord Silvan	Sylvan
ncope	do.		Syncope, Syncopy	do.	do.	Syncope
necdoche	do.		Syncope, Syncopy Synecdoche, Synecdochy	do.	do.	
	Taborin Taffeta, Taffety Tailor, Taylor Talc, Talck Tailage, Tallage do. Tambour	do.	Taborin, Taborine	Taborine, Taborin	Taborin	
-ilau	Taffeta, Taffety	do.	Taborin, Taborine Taffeta Tailor Tailck, Talc Tallage, Talliage Tamable Tambor	do.	do.	
ailor	Tale, Talek	do.	Tailor Talck Tale	Tale, Talek	Talck	
	Tailage, Tallage	Tallage do.	Tallage, Talliage	do.	Tallage	
ameable	Contraction do.	do.	Tamable	do. do.	do.	
	Tamborin	do.	do.	do. Tamborine,	do. Tamborin	
	m .c m .m			Tamborin	The second second	1
	Tarif, Tariff	do.	Tarif	Tamborin Tariff, Tarif do.	Tarif	
	Tarpawling Tarras, Terras		Tarrace, Tarrass.	do.		
astabla	do	m	Tarpaulin Tarrace, Tarrass, Terrass, Trass Tastable			
atler*	Tattler Tease, Teaze Tint	Tasteable do.	Tastable	do.	do.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
atler*	Tease, Teaze	Tease	Teint, Tint	do. do.	do.	
eint	Tint Tedder	do. do.	Teint, Tint	do. do.	Tint do.	Tether
ether	do.	Tennon Tierce	Tenon do.	do.	do.	do.
ierce heater	Terce do.	Tierce.	Terce, Tierce	do.	do.	
neater	Thickseull	do. do.	Tenon Terce, Tierce Theater, Theatre Thickskull	Theatre, Theater	Theater, Theatre	
nowl	Thickscull Thole Tho, Though	do.		do.	Theater, Theatre	Thow1
hough		do.	Though Thralldom	do. do.	do.	do.
hrash	Thread, Thred Threat, Thret Threatening Threshold	do.	do.	do.	do.	do. Thresh, Thras
hread	Thread, Thred	do.	do.	do.	Thread	do.
areat	Threatening	do.	Threat do.	do. do.	do.	do. Threatning
	Threshold	do.	Threshhold	Threshold, Threshhold	Threshhold	Inreatning
numb		Thumb				
iumo	Thum (n.) Thum (v.) Thumband	do.	Thumb, Thum	Thumb	Thumb	do.
	Thumband		Thumb, Thum Thumb, Thum Thumbband, Thumband	Thumb Thumbband		The state of
			Thumband	Thumbed		
	-		Thumbed, Thummed			
	Thumstal		Thumstall	Thumbring Thumbstall	Sales and the sa	
wak	Thumstal Thwack Tie Tippler Tithable, Tithcable Tun	do.	do.	do. do.	do.	do
oler	Tie	do.	do. Tie, Tye do. Tithable	do.	do. do.	do
	Tithable, Tithcable	Titheable	Tithable -	de.	do.	do.
n	Tun	do. do.	do. do.	do.	do.	Ton
ngue	Toogue, Tung	do.	Toothooke	do.	do. do.	Tongue
ur ·	Tongue, Tung Toothach Tour, Toor Tranquillize Transferrable Traveller	do. do.	Toothache Tour	do.	do.	do.
	Tranquillize	do.	Tranquilize Transferable	do.	do.	do.
1	Transferrable	do.	Transferable Traveler	do. do.	do.	
ead -	Tread, Tred Treadle, Treddle	do.	Tread	do.	do.	- do.
	Treadle, Treddle	do. do.	do.	do.	do.	Trondle
		uo.	do. Trenchplow	Tranchalongh	Treefoil Trenchplow	Trefoil
	Though Thirat	do.		Trenchploughing	Trenchplowing	
	Trevet, Trivet Tripinnate	Q0.	Tripennate	do.	Trevet Trivet	
			Trevet Tripennate, Tripinnate			
pthong	do. Trisyllable	do do	Tripinnate Triphthong do. Turkey, Turky Turnen	do.	do.	do.
issyllable rky	Trisyllable do. Turnip Tush, Tusk Turkois, Turcois Tweag, Tweak Twiggen	do. do.	Turkey, Turky	do.	do.	Triscyllable
sh	Turnip	· do.	Turnep Tush, Tusk Turkois	do. do.	do.	
	Turkois, Turcois	Tusk	Turkois	do.	Tuak	do.
reag riggin	Tweag, Tweak	do.	do.	do.	do.	Turquois Tweak
riggin	Twiggen	do.	The bine	do.	do.	Twiggin
			Unanalysed	Unaching Unanalyzed	do.	
	Unapparelled	do.	Unappareled Unblamable	do.	do.	
	Unblamable Unbuild Unbuilt	Unblameable do.	Unblamable Unbuild, Unbild Unbuilt, Unbilt	do.	do. do.	
		do.		Unbuilt	Unbuilt, Unbilt	

Old Spelling Book	Dictionary 1806. 1	Dictionary 1817.	Quarto 1828.	Octavo 1831.		New Spell. Book
The second second	Unburnt	do.	Unburned, Unburnt	do.	do.	
	Unburthen	do.	Unburnt Unburthen.	do.	do.	
		do.	Unburthen, Unburden	do.	do.	
	Uncancelled Unclench	do.		Unclinch	do.	
		do.	do. Unconsciencious Undersherif	Unconscientious Undersheriff	do. Undersherif	No. of the last
	Undersheriff		Undersherifry	Undersheriffry do.		
	Unencumbered, Unincumbered	do.	do.	do.	Unencumbered	
	Uninchanted	do.	Unenchanted,	do.	Unenchanted	
		do.		do.	do.	
	Unenterprizing Unequalled Unlevelled	do.	Unenterprising Unequaled Unleveled	do.	do.	
	Unlevelled	do.	Unleveled	do.	do.	The latest the same
	Unmoveable Unpatronised Unpierced	do.	Unmovable Unpatronized	do.	do.	
	Unpierced	do.	do.	do.	Unpierced,	
	Unplowed	do.	(not plowed)	Unploughed (not ploughed) do. do.	do. do. Unpierced, Unperced Unplowed	
		do.	The second secon	(not ploughed)	do.	
	Unreconcileable Unrivalled	do.	Unreconcilable Unrivaled	do.	do.	
	Unrol Unsaleable	do.	Unroll Unsalable	do.	do.	
	Unshakeable	do.	Unshakable	do.	uo.	
	Unshakeable Unshanable	do.	Unshakable Unshunnable Unskillful	do. do.	do	do.
	Unskilfully Unskilfully Unskilfulness	do.		do.	do.	Q0.
	Unskilfulness	do.	Unskillfulness	do.	do. Unsoder	TO PERSONAL PROPERTY.
THE PERSON NAMED IN	Unstaunched.	Unsodder Unstanched	do.	do.	do.	To be there
and the second	Unstaunched, Unstanched				Unsteadfast	1844 1847
The state of the s	Unsteadfast,	do.	Unsteadfast	do.	Unstedfast	THE PARTY NAMED IN
		do.	Unsteadiness	do.	Unsteadiness,	1 7 1 1
		do.	Unsteady	do.	Unsteady,	P. Williams
The second second	Unsteady, Unsteddy	do.			Unsteady, Unsteddy	
	Untameable Untie, Unty Untied	Untie	Untamable do.	do.	do. Untie, Untye Untied, Untyed do.	
	Untied	do.	do. do. Untransferable Untraveled Unworshiped	do.	Untied, Untyed	
	Untransferrable Untravelled	do. do.	Untransferable	do.	do.	
	Untravelled Unworshipped Valetudinarian Vaunt, Vant Vauhter Vaunting Vauntingly	do.	Unworshiped	do.	do. do.	Tratate Assessment
Vaunt	Valetudinarian Vaunt, Vant	do.	Vaunt	do.	Vaunt, Vant	Valetadenarian Vaunt
· addis	Vauhter	do.	Vaunt do.	do.	Vaunter, Vanter	
	Vauntingly Vauntingly	do.	do.	do.	Vauntingly,	
	**	4.			do. do. Vaunt, Vant Vaunter, Vanter Vaunting, Vanting Vauntingly, Vantingly do.	Ventillate
Vergaloo Verjuice Virge, Verge	Ventilate Vergolog	do. do.	do. Vergouleuse	do.	do. Vergoulouse do.	у епицата
Verjuice	Vergoloo Verjuce	do.	Vergouleuse Verjuice	do.	do.	do.
Virge, Verge	uo.	Verge	do.	do. Vertebre, Verteber		
Vise*	Verteber Vice Victualler Vignet Villanize Villanage Villain	do.	Vise	do.	do.	do.
	Victualler	do.	Victualer Vignette, Vignet	do.	do. Vignet, Vignette	
	Villanize	do.	do.	do.	Vignet, Vignette Villainize Villainage Villain Villain	
	Villanage Villain	do.		do. do.	Villainage Villain	do.
	Villain Villanous	do.	Villain, Villan Villanous, Villainous	do.	Villainous	do.
	Villanously	do.	Villainous do.	do.	Villainously	
Villany	Vineyard, Vinyard Visiter, Visitor Vollied	do.	Villany, Villainy	do.	Villainy do.	Vineyard
Vineyard	Vineyard, Vinyard	do. do.	Visitor	do.		Vineyard
	Vollied	do. do.	Visitor Volleyed Vultur, Vulture Wagon		Vultur, Vulture	
Vulture	Waggon	do.	Wagon	do.	Vultur, Vulture	do.
Waggoner		do.	Volleyed Vultur, Vulture Wagon Wagoner Wagoning	Vulture, Vultur	do.	- Co
	Wannish	do.	Wagoning do.	do. do.	Wanish Waul	do.
*** **	Wawi	do.	Wan!	do. do. Waul, Wawl do. do. do.	Waul do.	do.
Wealth	Weasand, Weazon	do. Weazon	Weasand, Wesand	do.	do.	do.
	Waggoning Wannish Wawl Wealth, Welth Weasand, Weazon Weesel Weather, Wether Whiggism Whippowill Whur, Whurr Wiery do. Wilfully	do.	Wealth Weasand, Wesand Weasel, Weesel Weather	do.	Weasel do.	do.
Weather	Whiggism	do.		do. do. do.	do.	Whigism
777	Whippowill	do.	Whippowil	do.	do.	
Whurr	Wiery	Whur do.	Whippowil do. Wiery, Wiry	do.	do. do. do.	BETT THE STATE OF
Wilful	do.	do.	Willful	do.	do.	3
The same of the sa	Wilfulness	do.	Wiery, Wiry Willfull Willfully Willfulness	do.	do.	4.
Wince	Wince, Winch	do.	do. Windfall	do.	do.	The state of
	Windfal	Windlass	Windfall Windlas, Windlass	do.	do.	
	do. Wilfully Wilfulness Wince, Winch Windfal Windlas Winterly, Wintry Withal	Windlass Wintry do.	Windlas, Windlass Wintery	do.	do.	Wintry do.
Withe	Withal do.	do.	With, Withe	do.	Withall Withe, With	Withe
Withe Wo, Woe	Woe, Wo Wolverene	do.	Wo	Woe, Wo	Woe Wolverin	
	Wolverene	do.	do. With, Withe Wo Wolverin, Wolverene	do.		do.
Women	Wimmen	do.	Women	do.	do.	do.
	Wonderous,	do.	Wondrous	do.		The state of the s
THE PERSON NAMED IN	Woodchuck	do.	Woodchuk	do.	do.	NAME OF THE PARTY OF
Woollen	Woollinger	Woolen Wooliness	do.	do.	do. do.	do.
	Worshipper	do.	Worshiper	do.	do. do.	
Wreath	Wot Wreath	Wreath	Worshiper do. do.	do.	do.	Wat, Wot
- Treatil	Wreckfull		Wreckful	do. do.	do.	
	Wyon	do.	do.	do.	do do	Reu do.
Vanet	Vonet Vont	do.				
Yeast Yearn	Yeast, Yest	do. do.	Yearn, Yern	do. do.	Yearn	do.
Yeast Yearn	Woodchuck do. Woolliness Worshipper Wot Wreath, Wreathe Wreckfull Wren Yeast, Yest do. Yelk, Yolk Zebra, Zeber Zink, Zinc	do. do. do. Zebra do.	Yeast Yearn, Yern Yelk do. Zink	do. do. do. Zinc, Zink	Yearn do. do. Zink	do. do.