Kansas Administrative Regulations Economic Impact Statement (EIS)

Kansas State Board of Healing Arts Agency		of Healing Arts	Courtney Cyzman Agency Contact	Co	785/296-1384 Contact Phone Number	
K.A.R. 1 K.A.R. Nur				⊠ Permanent	☐ Temporary	
		1	lation(s) mandated by the federally subsidized or assisted progr	_	as a requiremen	
☐ Yes	If yes, continue to fill out the remaining form to be included with the regulation packet submitted in the review process to the Department of Administration and the Attorney General. Budget approval is not required; however, the Division of the Budget will require submission of a copy of the EIS at the end of the review process.					
⊠ No	If no, do the total annual implementation and compliance costs for the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), calculated from the effective date of the rule(s) and regulation(s), exceed \$1.0 million over any two-year period through June 30, 2024, or exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period on or after July 1, 2024 (as calculated in Section III, F)?					
	□ Yes	packet submitted in the	l out the remaining form to be the review process to the Depa ID the Division of the Budget. proval.	artment of Adı	ministration, the	
	⊠ No	packet submitted in the Attorney General. But	l out the remaining form to be ne review process to the Departs adget approval is not required; abmission of a copy of the EIS a	ment of Admin; however, the	istration and the Division of the	
PPROVAL STA	AMP (If Required)					

Section I

Brief description of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

The purpose of this regulation is to provide requirements for issuance, maintenance, and scope of the practice for a reentry active license for those practicing medicine and surgery and osteopathic medicine.

Section II

Statement by the agency if the rule(s) and regulation(s) exceed the requirements of applicable federal law, and a statement if the approach chosen to address the policy issue(s) is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or the federal government. (If the approach is different or exceeds federal law, then include a statement of why the proposed Kansas rule and regulation is different.)

The proposed amended regulations are not mandated by federal law and the approach chosen to address the policy issues is not different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or the federal government.

Section III

Agency analysis specifically addressing the following:

- A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;
 - A qualified economist would be required to provide an expert opinion of the extent the regulations would impact economic growth. In the lay opinion of agency staff, the regulations enhance business activities because the regulation implements an available license type for physicians who wish to reenter the practice of medicine.
- B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that would be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and on the state economy as a whole;
 - The agency does not employ an economist. In the lay opinion of the agency staff there will be positive economic effect on affected businesses because the regulation provides implementation of an available license type for physicians to reenter the practice of medicine.
- C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s);
 - Physicians seeking to reenter the practice of medicine would be directly affected. Accordingly, businesses that employ physicians would also be affected.
- D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;
 - The regulation, within the requirements, allows for a physician to safely reenter the practice of medicine after a greater than 2-year absence. No net implementation and compliance costs are contemplated other than routine agency resources used in the regulation promulgation process and licensing.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP (If Required)
2027 ii 1 110 17 12 0 17 iiiii (ii 110 quii 0 u)

E. Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;

There is no expected cost associated with this regulation other than the routine costs associated with promulgating the regulation and licensing.

F. An estimate of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to businesses, local governments, or members of the public.

Note: Do not account for any actual or estimated cost savings that may be realized.

Costs to Affected Businesses – \$0

Costs to Local Governmental Units – \$0

Costs to Members of the Public – \$0

Total Annual Costs – \$0

(sum of above amounts)

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

Lay rationale as described herein. The agency does not employ an economist.

⊔ Yes	If the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$1.0 million over any two-
□ No	year period through June 30, 2024, or exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period on
□ NO	or after July 1, 2024, and prior to the submission or resubmission of the proposed rule(s)
⊠ Not	and regulation(s), did the agency hold a public hearing to find that the estimated costs
Applicable	have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving legislative intent? If
	applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in attendance, and any
	pertinent information from the hearing.

If applicable, click here to enter public hearing information.

Provide an estimate to any changes in aggregate state revenues and expenditures for the implementation of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), for both the current fiscal year and next fiscal year.

\$0

Provide an estimate of any immediate or long-range economic impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on any individual(s), small employers, and the general public. If no dollar estimate can be given for any individual(s), small employers, and the general public, give specific reasons why no estimate is possible.

There are no expected independent costs caused by implementation of this regulation apart from the routine agency and state staff resource costs associated with promulgating these regulations and licensing. Costs associated with implementing the statute itself will include the fee for the license, agency resource costs associated with processing and evaluating applications, and investigating and

DOB APPROVAL STAMP (If Required)	

prosecuting any alleged violations of the statute. This estimate is based on lay opinion and rationale as described above.

There are no expected independent costs caused by implementation of the regulations apart from the routine agency and state staff resource costs associated with promulgating these regulations. Costs associated with implementing the statute itself include the fee for the certificate, agency resource costs associated with processing and evaluating applications, and investigating and prosecuting any alleged violations. This estimate is based on lay opinion and rationale as described above.

G. If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.

The agency does not believe the regulation will meaningfully impact the revenue of cities or school districts.

H. Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, associations, local governments, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

These regulations were developed during publicly noticed open meetings of the Board, and the Board is following all notice and open meeting hearing requirements associated with promulgating these regulations.

Section IV

Does the	Economic Impact Statement involve any environmental rule(s) and regulation(s)?
☐ Yes	If yes, complete the remainder of Section IV.
⊠ No	If no, skip the remainder of Section IV.

A. Describe the capital and annual costs of compliance with the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), and the persons who would bear the costs.

Click here to enter agency response.

B. Describe the initial and annual costs of implementing and enforcing the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), including the estimated amount of paperwork, and the state agencies, other governmental agencies, or other persons who would bear the costs.

Click here to enter agency response.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP (If Required)
, , ,

	rule(s) and regulation(s).
	Click here to enter agency response.
D.	Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the costs used.
	Click here to enter agency response.
DOB APPROVAL	STAMP (If Required)

Describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, as well as the persons who would bear the costs and would be affected by the failure to adopt the

C.