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A new species of copepod, Paracalanus arabiensis sp. nov. collected from Mandovi and Zuari estuaries, Goa, central west coast of India, is described. It differs from its congeners mainly in the structure of leg 5, with a row of six teeth along the edge of inner terminal spine resulting in a serrated margin and two small, stiff spines of equal length protrude in between the terminal spines.
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## INTRODUCTION

Paracalanids are dominant components amongst the subclass Copepoda and comprise about 20 species worldwide, sometimes consisting of $80 \%$ of marine copepod abundance. Most of the species are neritic and are abundant in coastal (Yoo \& Lee, 1994) and oceanic surface waters throughout the tropical and temperate regions (Sewell, 1947). They are generally very small sized copepods, mainly herbivorous (Arashkevich, 1969; Itoh, 1970; Paffenhöfer, 1984) belonging to order Calanoida and vary in size from 0.5 to 1.5 mm . They play an important role as primary consumers in marine ecosystem (Yoo \& Lee, 1994). There are six genera in this family: Acrocalanus, Bestiolina, Calocalanus (= Leptocalanus, Ischnocalanus), Delius, Paracalanus and Parvocalanus (Bradford-Grieve, 1994). The species of the genus Paracalanus Boeck, 1864 recorded from Indian waters include P. aculeatus Giesbrecht, 1888, P. parvus (Claus, 1863), P. crassirostris Dahl, 1894, P. nanus Sars, 1907, P. denudatus Sewell, 1929, P. nudus Sewell, 1929, P. serratipes Sewell, 1912, P. dubia Sewell, 1912, P. indicus Wolfenden, 1905 and P. clevei Carl, 1907. Paracalanus indicus was also recorded from New Zealand waters (BradfordGrieve, 1994). Paracalanus intermedius Shen \& Bai, 1956, P. serrulus Shen \& Lee, 1963 and P. brevispinatus Shen \& Lee, 1966 were reported from Yellow sea, China (Shen \& Bai, 1956; Shen \& Lee, 1963, 1966). Paracalanus gracilis Chen \& Zhang, 1965 was recorded from Korean waters (Yoo \& Lee, 1994). Paracalanus tropicus Andronov, 1977 was recorded from south-east Atlantic ocean (Andronov, 1977). Paracalanus scotti Fruchtl, 1923 was recorded from Gulf of Guinea (Vervoort, 1963). Paracalanus hibernicus Brady \& Robertson, 1873 was recorded from open seas off Ireland (Brady \& Robertson, 1873). Paracalanus mariae Brady, 1918 was recorded as a new species during Australasian Antarctic Expedition in 1918 (Brady, 1918). Paracalanus quasimodo Bowman, 1971 was recorded off the southern coast of Florida (Bowman, 1971). Paracalanus campaneri Bjornberg, 1980 was recorded off the coast of Brazil (Bjornberg, 1980).

In this paper, a new species of Paracalanus collected from Mandovi and Zuari estuaries, Goa, west coast of India is described.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Zooplankton samples were collected by horizontal hauls with Heron-Tranter (HT) plankton net [100 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ mesh with a digital flow meter (Hydro-Bios, no.438110)] from Mandovi and Zuari estuaries [including Marmugao Port Trust (MPT) area], ( $15^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; ~ 73^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) during May 2005, December 2005 and September 2006. The same species was also observed in the samples collected from Jawaharlal Nehru Port

Trust, Mumbai in the year 2001-02 (Figure 1). The samples were fixed immediately after collection in 5\% formaldehyde solution. Specimens of the new species were sorted, dissected and examined with the Olympus CX40 microscope. All drawings were made with the aid of camera lucida and the measurements with an ocular micrometer. The descriptive terminology employed follows Huys \& Boxshall (1991).

## SYSTEMATICS

Subclass COPEPODA Milne Edwards, 1840
Order CALANOIDA Sars, 1903
Family PARACALANIDAE Giesbrecht, 1892
Genus Paracalanus Boeck, 1864
Paracalanus arabiensis sp. nov.
(Figures 2-4; Table 1)

## TYPE MATERIAL

Holotype: adult female, 0.60 mm , Mandovi and Zuari estuary, Goa, specimen deposited in the National Institute of Oceanography (NIO), Goa, (GOMPC-1).

Paratypes: ten adult females, TL. $0.55-0.60 \mathrm{~mm}$, mean $0.57 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{SD} \pm 0.02$, same locality, deposited in the NIO, Goa, (GOMPC-2).

## DESCRIPTION OF ADULT FEMALE

Total body length 0.60 mm , broad and short. Forehead uniformly rounded, rostrum short, solid, rounded at tip (Figure 2C \& D). Cephalosome incorporating first pedigerous somite, slight demarcation between fourth and fifth pedigerous somites (Figure 2A \& B). Urosome 4-segmented. Genital somite broad, rounded. Anal somite longer than second and third urosomites.

Antennule (Figure 3A): 25-segmented, extending to posterior margin of genital somite. Segments 1 (I) and 2 (II-IV) partially fused. Segments 3 (V) to 24 (XXVI) separate. Apical segment 25 (XXVIIXXVIII) double. Armature pattern as follows: segments 1 and 2 (I-IV) - $4+1$ aesthetasc, 3 (V) - $1+1$ aesthetasc, 4 (VI) - 1, 5 (VII) - $1+1$ aesthetasc, 6 (VIII) - 1, 7 (XI) - 1, 8 (X) - 1, 9 (XI) - $1+$
aesthetasc, 10 (XII) - 1, 11 (XIII) - 1, 12 (XIV) - 1, 13 (XV) - $1+$ aesthetasc, 14 (XVI) - 1, 15 (XVII) 1, 16 (XVIII) - 1, 17 (XIX) - $1+$ aesthetasc, 18 (XX) - 1, 19 (XXI) - $1+$ aesthetasc, 20 (XXII) - 1, 21 (XXIII) - 1, 22 (XXIV) - 2, 23 (XXV) - 1, 24 (XXVI) - 2, 25 (XXVII-XXVIII) $-3+1$ aesthetasc. Segments 13-24 bears small spines. Numbers of spines 4, 2, 4, 4, 5, 5, 9, 10, 11, 10, 19 and 12 respectively.

Antenna (Figure 3B): biramous; coxa and basis clearly separate, bearing 1 and 2 setae respectively. Exopod 7 -segmented, slightly longer than endopod, segment 1 with 2 setae, segments 2-6 with 1 seta each, segment 7 bearing 3 setae apically. Endopod 2 -segmented, first segment with 2 setae, second segment bilobed, with proximal and distal lobes bearing 8 and 6 setae respectively.

Mandible (Figure 3C): with cutting edge of gnathobase bearing 9 cuspidate teeth and 1 seta. Palp biramous; basis with 4 setae; exopod 5-segmented, segments $1-4$ each with 1 seta, segment 5 bearing 2 apical setae. Endopod 2 -segmented, bearing 4 setae on proximal segment and 11 setae on distal segment.

Maxillule (Figure 3D): praecoxal arthrite with 13 setae. Coxa with 3 setae on endite; epipodite with 8 setae. Basis with 4 setae on proximal endite and 5 setae on distal endite; basal exite with 2 setae. Exopod bearing 11 lateral setae. Endopod 3-segmented, first to third segments with 3, 4, and 7 setae respectively.

Maxilla (Figure 3E): praecoxa bearing 2 endites; proximal endite with 6 setae, distal with 3 setae; coxa with 2 endites each armed with 3 setae; basis with a single endite bearing 4 setae and 1 seta laterally. Endopod 3-segmented with setal formula of 1, 1, 2.

Maxilliped (Figure 3F): praecoxa and coxa apparently separate; praecoxa with 1 seta; coxa bearing 2, 3 and 4 setae representing endites; basis bearing 3 setae. Endopod 6 -segmented; first to sixth segments bearing $2,4,4,3,3+1$, and 4 setae respectively.

Swimming legs with 3 -segmented exopods; endopod 2 -segmented in leg 1, 3 -segmented in legs 2 , 3 and 4 (Figure 4A-D). Spine and seta formula of swimming legs shown in Table 1. Leg 1 basis with inner edged seta, third exopodal segment of legs 3 and 4 with serrate distal margin.
Leg 5 (Figure 4E) 2-segmented, symmetrical. First segment slightly robust; second segment bearing 2 terminal spines of unequal length, inner spine twice the length of outer one with a row of six small teeth along its edge. Two small, stiff spines of equal length protrude in between the terminal spines.

Male
Unknown.

## ETYMOLOGY

The species name refers to the locality "Arabian sea" where the specimens of the new species were collected.

## REMARKS

The new species is readily distinguished from other Paracalanus species by the following characteristics: size, appearance of rostrum, antennule extension, and total number of spines present on the dorsal surface of exopodal and endopodal segments 1-3 (Table 2). This comparison is mainly based on the structure of leg 5 . The new species has a row of six teeth along the edge of inner terminal spine and two small, stiff spines of equal length protrude in between the terminal spines. Inner terminal spine of $P$. brevispinatus is serrated with four teeth (Figure 5A) and it is armed with seven or eight teeth in $P$. scotti (Figure 5C). Paracalanus crassirostris bears a row of two or three teeth along its inner terminal spine (Figure 5M). In P. aculeatus, P. nudus, P. serratipes, $P$. dubia and $P$. quasimodo the edge of inner terminal spine is armed with small spinules (Figure 5B, K, N, L, and Q, respectively). A comparison of total number of spines present in between the terminal spines is also made from the available literature. Paracalanus scotti has four to five stiff spines (Figure 5C), $P$. serrulus has only one spine protruding in between terminal spines (Figure 5D). Paracalanus serratipes (Figure 5N) has three spines near its terminal spines and in $P$. dubia five spines are present (Figure 5L). The structure of leg 5 in $P$. hibernicus (Figure 50) is different from the other species, it is cylindrical, one branched, three jointed of nearly equal length terminated by two or three fine short setae (Brady \& Robertson, 1873).

Morphologically, the genera Paracalanus and Parvocalanus Andronov, 1970 show a considerable resemblance especially in the structure of leg 5 (Figure 5). Andronov (1970) revised some species of Paracalanus and placed them in the genus Parvocalanus. These were $P$. crassirostris, $P$. scotti, $P$. serratipes and P. dubia. In addition to this, two new species, Parvocalanus latus Andronov 1972 and Parvocalanus elegans Andronov 1972 were described by Andronov (1972). In these two species, edge of the inner terminal spine of leg 5 is smooth and no spines observed in between the terminal spines (Figure 5R \& S).

A comparison is also made on the basis of leg 1 between the two genera Paracalanus and Parvocalanus and the following are the differences:

## PARACALANUS

1) Basis 2 usually with inner edge seta.
2) Endopod 2-segmented.
3) Endopod segment 2 with 5 setae.

## PARVOCALANUS

1) Basis 2 without inner edge seta.
2) Endopod 1or 2-segmented.
3) Endopod segment 2 with 6 setae

Paracalanus clevei Carl, 1907 has not been included in the comparison because Sewell (1914) in his description of the male $P$. aculeatus, showed that $P$. clevei is a developmental stage of this male. Paracalanus campaneri Bjornberg, 1980 and P. pygmaeus (Claus, 1863) are also not included in the description as they could possibly be synonyms of P. aculeatus Bjornberg, 1963 and $P$. denudatus respectively.

Our comparative table based on distribution, morphology (Table 2), differences in leg 5 (Figure 5) among the female Paracalanus species and differentiating characters with respect to leg 1 among the genera Paracalanus and Parvocalanus gives credence for the newly reported species to be a new inclusion in the genus Paracalanus.
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## Figure captions

Fig. 1. Location of study area.
Fig. 2. Paracalanus arabiensis sp. nov. female (holotype). (A) Habitus, dorsal view; (B) habitus, lateral view; (C) rostrum, lateral view; (D) rostrum, dorsal view.

Fig. 3. Paracalanus arabiensis sp. nov., female (holotype). (A) Antennule; (B) antenna; (C) mandible; (D) maxillule; (E) maxilla; (F) maxilliped.

Fig. 4. Paracalanus arabiensis sp. nov., female. (holotype). (A) Leg 1; (B) leg 2; (C) leg 3; (D) leg 4; (E) leg 5 .

Fig. 5. Comparative morphology of female leg 5 among the genera Paracalanus \& Parvocalanus. (A) Paracalanus brevispinatus; (B) P. aculeatus; (C) P. scotti; (D) P. serrulus; (E) P. indicus; (F) P. Intermedius; (G) P. nanus; (H) P. gracilis; (I) P. parvus; (J) P. denudatus; (K) P. nudus; (L) P. dubia; (M) P. crassirostris; (N) P. serratipes; (O) P. hibernicus; (P) P. mariae; (Q) P. quasimodo; (R) Parvocalanus latus; (S) P. elegans.
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Table 1. Spine and setal formula of legs 1-4 of Paracalanus arabiensis sp. nov.

|  | Coxa | Basis | Exopod |  |  | Endopod |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Leg 1 | $0-0$ | $0-1$ | $0-1$ | $0-1$ | $\mathrm{II}, 1,4$ | $0-1$ | $1,2,2$ | - |
| Leg 2 | $0-1$ | $0-0$ | $\mathrm{I}-1$ | $\mathrm{I}-1$ | $\mathrm{II}, 1,5$ | $0-1$ | $0-2$ | $2,2,3$ |
| Leg 3 | $0-1$ | $0-0$ | $\mathrm{I}-1$ | $\mathrm{I}-1$ | $\mathrm{II}, 1,5$ | $0-1$ | $0-2$ | $2,2,3$ |
| Leg 4 | $0-1$ | $0-0$ | $\mathrm{I}-1$ | $\mathrm{I}-1$ | $\mathrm{II}, 1,5$ | $0-1$ | $0-2$ | $2,2,3$ |

Table 2. Comparison of the genera Paracalanus \& Parvocalanus (female specimens).

| Species of Paracalanus \& Parvocalanus | Geographic distribution | Total length (mm) | Body shape | Rostrum | fusion line between fourth and fifth pedigerous somites | Antennule extension | No. of spines on the dorsal surface of exopodal segments 1-3 | No. of spines on the dorsal surface of endopodal segments 1-3 | Structure of leg 5 | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Paracalanus arabiensis. sp. nov. | Arabian Sea (Goa \& Mumbai coast) | $\begin{gathered} 0.55- \\ 0.60 \\ \text { (Mean } \\ 0.57 \text { ) (SD } \\ \pm 0.02 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Broader \& shorter | Short, solid, slightly round at tip | Visible | Extends approximately to posterior margin of genital somite | Leg $2 \rightarrow 5,4,6$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 0,0,0$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow 0,0,0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leg } 2 \rightarrow 0,3,0 \\ & \text { Leg } 3 \rightarrow 0,7,0 \\ & \text { Leg } 4 \rightarrow 0,0,0 \end{aligned}$ | i) Inner terminal spine twice the length of outer terminal spine. Its edge serrated with six teeth. <br> ii), Two small spines of equal length protrude in between the terminal spines. | This study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P. brevispinatus Shen \& Lee, 1966 | Chaikiang river, China | 0.45-0.55 | Broader \& shorter | X | Visible | X | Leg $2 \rightarrow 5,0,0$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 4,0,0$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow 4,0,0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leg } 2 \rightarrow 0,0,0 \\ & \text { Leg } 3 \rightarrow 0,4,0 \\ & \text { Leg } 4 \rightarrow 0,4,0 \end{aligned}$ | i) Inner terminal spine four times the length of outer terminal spine. Its edge serrated with four teeth. <br> ii) No spines observed between the terminal spines. | Figure 6, (Shen \& Lee,1966) |
| P. tropicus Andronov, 1977 | South- east Atlantic Ocean | $\begin{gathered} 0.74- \\ 0.90 \end{gathered}$ | Narrower \& longer | Pointed | Visible | Extends to posterior margin of third urosomite | X | X | X | РИС 1, <br> (Andronov, 1977) |
| P. aculeatus Giesbrecht, 1888 | Atlantic, Indian \& Pacific Ocean, Arabian sea, Red sea, Gulf of Aden, | $\begin{gathered} 0.80- \\ 1.36 \end{gathered}$ | Narrower \& longer | Pointed | Visible | Extends the caudal rami by last segment | Leg $2 \rightarrow 6,5,3$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 3,7,0$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow 0,4,0$ | Leg $2 \rightarrow 0,8,0$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 0,8,2$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow 0,14,10$ | i) Inner terminal spine more than five times the length of outer terminal spine. Small spinules present at its edge. <br> ii) No spines observed between the terminal spines. | Figure 36, (H), (BradfordGrieve, 1994) |


| P. scotti Fruchtl 1923 <br> [=Parvocalanus scotti (Fruchtl, 1923)] | West Africa, Gulf of Guinea, Portuguese Guinea | $\begin{gathered} 0.64- \\ 0.67 \end{gathered}$ | Broader \& shorter | Short, pointed | Visible | Extends till posterior end of caudal rami | Leg $2 \rightarrow 0,5,5$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 0,7,7$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow 0,7,0$ | Leg $2 \rightarrow 0,4,0$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 0,6,3$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow 0,4,3$ | more than twice the length of outer terminal spine. Its edge serrated with seven or eight teeth. <br> ii) Near the terminal spines, four to five stiff spines present. | Figure 12 (e), (Vervoort, 1946) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P. serrulus Shen \& Lee, 1963 | China | - | X | X | Visible | X | X | X | i) Inner terminal spine more than three times the length of outer terminal spine. Edge of this spine is smooth. <br> ii) In between the terminal spines, one small spine protrudes. | Figure 3, (Shen \& Lee, 1963) |
| $P$. indicus Wolfenden, 1905 | South-west <br> Pacific, Mid- <br> Tasman sea, New Zealand | $\begin{gathered} 0.85- \\ 0.95 \end{gathered}$ | Broader \& shorter | Pointed | Slightly visible dorsally | Extends beyond third urosomite | Leg $2 \rightarrow 0,0,0$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 0,6,0$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow 0,0,0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leg } 2 \rightarrow 0,4,0 \\ & \text { Leg } 3 \rightarrow 0,4,2 \\ & \text { Leg } 4 \rightarrow 0,6,0 \end{aligned}$ | i) Inner terminal spine seven times the length of outer terminal spine. Edge of this spine is smooth. <br> ii) No spines observed between the terminal spines. | Figure 19 (N), <br> (Bradford- <br> Grieve, 1994) |
| $P$. intermedius Shen \& Bai, 1956 | China | 0.88 | Broader \& shorter | X | visible | X | X | X | i) Inner terminal spine four times the length of outer terminal spine. Edge of this spine is smooth. <br> ii) No spines observed between the terminal spines. | Figure 13, Plate II, (Shen \& Bai, 1956) |
| P. nanus Sars, 1907 | Atlantic <br> Indian \& Pacific ocean | $\begin{gathered} 0.60- \\ 0.62 \end{gathered}$ | Narrower \& longer | Pointed | Not visible | Extends to last abdominal somite | Leg $2 \rightarrow 4,0,0$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 0,4,1$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow 0,0,0$ | Leg $2 \rightarrow 0,4,0$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 0$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow 0,2,2$ | i) Inner terminal spine more than five times the length of outer terminal spine. Edge of this spine is smooth. <br> ii) No spines observed between the terminal spines. | Figure f, (Sewell, 1929) |


| P. gracilis Chen \& Zhang, 1965 | East China Sea, Korean peninsula | $\begin{gathered} 0.80- \\ 0.90 \end{gathered}$ | Narrower \& longer | Pointed | Not visible | Extends beyond the caudal ramus by last segment | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Leg} 2 \rightarrow 3,3,0 \\ & \operatorname{Leg} 3 \rightarrow 0,3,0 \\ & \text { Leg } 4 \rightarrow 0,0,0 \end{aligned}$ | Leg $2 \rightarrow 0,3,0$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 0,3,0$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow 0,5,0$ | outer terminal spine. Edge of this spine is smooth. <br> ii) No spines observed between the terminal spines. | Figure, 3(d), (Yoo \& Lee, 1994) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P. parvus <br> (Claus, 1863) | Atlantic, <br> Indian \& Pacific ocean, Mediterranean Sea, Red, Black \& Adriatic Sea | $\begin{gathered} 0.70- \\ 1.20 \end{gathered}$ | Narrower \& longer | Pointed | Not visible | Extends approximately to third urosomite | Leg $2 \rightarrow 5,3,0$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 0,2,0$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow 0,0,0$ | Leg $2 \rightarrow 0,6,0$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 0,3,2$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow 0,5,0$ | i) Inner terminal spine four times the length of outer terminal spine. It has a tiny internal spine. Edge is smooth. <br> ii) No spines observed between the terminal spines. | Figure B, (Conway, et al, 2003) |
| P. denudatus Sewell, 1929 | Meditteranean Sea, Indian Sea \& Great Barrier Reef | $\begin{gathered} 0.74- \\ 0.81 \end{gathered}$ | Narrower \& longer | Pointed | Visible | Extends beyond the caudal rami by last segment | Leg 2 to 4 without spines | Leg $2 \rightarrow 0,7,0$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 0,5,0$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow 0,6,3$ | i) Inner terminal spine more than five times the length of outer terminal spine. Edge of this spine is smooth. <br> ii) Near the terminal spines, two small spines present. | Figure 10 (e), (Vervoort,1946) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { P. nudus } \\ \text { Sewell, } 1929 \end{gathered}$ | Indian Ocean | $\begin{gathered} 0.45- \\ 0.48 \end{gathered}$ | Narrower \& longer | Pointed | Not visible | Extends till the last abdominal somite | Leg $2 \rightarrow 1,1,0$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 2,0,0$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow 0,0,0$ | Leg $2 \rightarrow 0,2,0$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 0,3,0$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow 0,1,0$ | i) Inner terminal spine more than ten times the length of outer terminal spine, stout and is armed throughout the distal three fourths with a row of spinules giving it a serrated margin. <br> ii) No spines observed between the terminal spines. | Figure 30 (i), (Sewell, 1929) |


| P. crassirostris <br> Dahl, 1894 <br> [=Parvocalanus crassirostris <br> (Dahl, 1894)] | Bay of Bengal, Southwest Atlantic, Indo-Malesia, North-East Australia | 0.42-0.5 | Narrower \& longer | Pointed | Not visible | Extends approximately second urosomite | Leg $2 \rightarrow 0,4,4$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 0,3,1$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow 0,0,0$ | Leg $2 \rightarrow 0,5,0$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 0,3,2$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow 0,0,2$ | i) Inner terminal spine more than twice the length of outer terminal spine. Edge serrated with a row of two or three small teeth. <br> ii) No spines observed between the terminal spines. | Figure 27 (b), (Sewell, 1929) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P. serratipes <br> Sewell, 1912 <br> [=Parvocalanus <br> serratipes <br> (Sewell, 1912)] | Indian Ocean | 1.1 | X | X | X | X | Leg $2 \rightarrow 0,4,5$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 0,4,5$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow$ X | Leg $2 \rightarrow 0,6,0$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 0,4,0$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow$ X | i) Inner terminal spine more than twice the length of outer terminal spine. Its edge is armed with a row of spinules. <br> ii) Near the terminal spines, three small, stiff spines present. | Figure 22 (b), (Sewell, 1929) |
| P. hibernicus <br>  <br> Robertson, 1873 | West of Ireland | 1.6 | X | X | X | X | X | X | Cylindrical, one branched, three jointed, with joints of nearly equal length terminated by two or three fine short setae. | Figure 3, Plate, VIII, (Brady \& Robertson, 1873) |
| P. mariae <br> Brady, 1918 | Maria island, Tasmania | - | X | X | X | X | X | X | i) Inner terminal spine more than twice the length of outer terminal spine. Edge of this spine is smooth. <br> ii) No spines observed between the terminal spines. | Figure 14, Plate <br> I, (Brady, 1918) |


| P. dubia Sewell, 1912. [=Parvocalanus dubia (Sewell, 1912)] | Indian ocean | 0.74 | Broader \& shorter | Pointed | Slightly visible | Extends to second urosomite | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Leg} 2 \rightarrow 3,0,4 \\ & \text { Leg } 3 \rightarrow X \\ & \text { Leg } 4 \rightarrow X \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Leg} 2 \rightarrow 0,4,0 \\ & \operatorname{Leg} 3 \rightarrow X \\ & \operatorname{Leg} 4 \rightarrow X \end{aligned}$ | i) Inner terminal spine about three times the length of outer terminal spine. Its edge serrated with row of ten to twelve spinules. <br> ii) Near the terminal spines, five spines present. | Figure 29 (b), (Sewell, 1929) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P. quasimodo <br> Bowman, 1971 | Off Southern Florida | 1.00 | Broader \& shorter | Pointed | Visible | Extends to posterior margin of anal somite | Leg $2 \rightarrow 3,6,0$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 0,6,0$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow 0,0,0$ | Leg $2 \rightarrow 0,8,0$ <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 0,11,4$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow 0,2,9$ | i) Inner terminal spine more than three times the length of outer terminal spine. Edge is serrated with small spinules. <br> ii) No spine observed between the terminal spines. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Figure 20. (1), } \\ \text { (Bowman, } \\ \text { 1971) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Parvocalanus } \\ \text { latus\# } \\ \text { Andronov, } 1972 \end{gathered}$ | Indian ocean | 0.42-0.47 | Broader \& shorter | Short | Visible | Extends slightly beyond the caudal rami | Leg $2 \rightarrow 2$, <br> 7,15 <br> Leg $3 \rightarrow 0,9,7$ <br> Leg $4 \rightarrow 0,8,0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Leg} 2 \rightarrow 0,6,0 \\ & \operatorname{Leg} 3 \rightarrow 0,8,3 \\ & \text { Leg } 4 \rightarrow 0,4,3 \end{aligned}$ | i) Inner terminal spine more than three times the length of outer terminal spine. Edge of this spine is smooth. <br> ii) No spines observed between the terminal spines. | РИС 1 (10), (Andronov, 1972) |
| P. elegans\# <br> Andronov, 1972 | Indian ocean | 0.48-0.50 | Narrower \& longer | Short | Visible | Extends almost end of the anal somite | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Leg} 2 \rightarrow 0,0,0 \\ & \text { Leg } 3 \rightarrow 0,6,0 \\ & \text { Leg } 4 \rightarrow 0,0,0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Leg} 2 \rightarrow 0,3,0 \\ & \operatorname{Leg} 3 \rightarrow 0,4,2 \\ & \operatorname{Leg} 4 \rightarrow 0,3,2 \end{aligned}$ | i) Inner terminal spine three times the length of outer terminal spine. Edge of this spine is smooth. <br> ii) No spines observed between the terminal spines. | РИС 2 (7) (Andronov, 1972) |
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