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#### Abstract

Barnacles that fit morphologically into the description of the pyrgomatid genus Cantellius were retrieved from hydrozoan Stylasteridae. The use of molecular markers also confirmed the assignment of these barnacles to the genus Cantellius. Hitherto, stylasterids have not been recorded as hosts of pyrgomatids. This finding conflicts with and refutes the statement that scleractinans (Hexacorallia) are obligatory hosts of pyrgomatids. These are the first unequivocal records of living pyrgomatids in stylasterids, thus documenting a new type of habitat for this group of barnacles. Further inspections of stylasterids will probably reveal more new host records and, possibly, new pyrgomatids.
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## INTRODUCTION

The family Pyrgomatidae includes highly modified epibiotic barnacles, traditionally considered to live in association with three host taxa, i.e. scleractinians, milleporids and sponges (Ross \& Newman, 1973). Ross \& Newman (2000) noted 24 genera of pyrgonatids that encompass 73 living species inhabiting 70 coral genera (Ogawa \& Matsuzaki, 1992). Since then, more species of pyrgomatids have been described, and the number of the existing pyrgomatids is currently $>100$. Some pyrgomatid genera are restricted to a single coral host genus or family, such as Hoekia Ross and Newman, 1973 found only on Hydnophora Fischer von Waldheim, 1807, whereas others are widely distributed, such as

[^0]species of Trevathana Anderson, 1992, Galkinius Perreault, 2014 and Cantellius Ross and Newman, 1973 found on a variety of hosts. However, among these genera, there are also species that are found on a single host genus. For example, Cantellius septimus (Hiro, 1938) is found only on Montipora Blainville, 1830.

Exploiting three concatenated molecular markers [12S ribosomal DNA(rDNA), 16S rDNA and 18 S rDNA], Simon-Blecher et al. (2007) have narrowed down the pyrgomatid hosts to a sole subclass, Hexacorallia. They found that Wanella milleporae (Darwin, 1854), regarded as a pyrgomatid inhabiting the hydrozoan Millepora Linnaeus, 1758 (Darwin, 1854; Ross \& Newman, 1973), did not cluster with the other pyrgomatids, but with free-living balanids. Their finding was supported by Malay \& Michonneau (2014), who used two additional markers (COI and H3), by Perez-Losada et al. (2014) based on molecular analyses of five markers (18S rDNA, 28 S rDNA, 12 S rDNA, 16 S rDNA and COI) from acorn barnacles, and
the topology found by Tsang et al. (2014) using five other markers (12S rRNA, 16SrRNA, EF1, H3 and $R P$ gene sequences). In addition, in all four analyses, the archaeobalanid Armatobalanus allium (Darwin, 1854) is nested in Pyrgomatidae, suggesting that Pyrgomatidae is a paraphyletic taxon. Furthermore, Achituv \& Simon-Blecher $(2006,2014)$ showed that Pyrgopsella Zullo, 1967 is associated with hexacorals and not with sponges as previously suggested by

Rosell (1975). They also pointed out that morphological traits, such as the fused shell plates and elongated scuta, found in the 'Savignium-Pyrgopsella' clade and in Wanella, are homoplasious traits, an adaptation to symbiotic life within the calcareous skeleton of scleractinians and hydrozoans. Taken together, these findings have led to the conclusion that pyrgomatids are restricted to Scleractinia and that the taxonomic position of Wanella should be re-evaluated.


Figure 1. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of Cantellius from stylasterids and scleleractinians and representative pyrgomatids based on 12S rRNA. The outgroup was Balanus glandula Darwin, 185. The analysis involved 26 nucleotide sequences, of which 22 sequences were of Cantellius and ten were extracted from stylasterids (in red). Bootstrap support of nodes is shown next to the branches. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths presenting the number of substitutions per site. Hosts are indicated by colour.

Stylasteridae, commonly known as 'lace corals', is a family of colonial cnidarians of the class Hydrozoa characterized by having a hard, calcareous skeleton. Owing to their three-dimensionally branching skeleton, they are considered habitat-forming species that are able to enhance the complexity of the habitat (Roberts et al., 2006). Like many other sessile organisms, their skeleton serves as a substratum for other organisms establishing symbioses (Zibrowius, 1981; Pica et al., 2012, 2015, 2016; Tribollet et al., 2018). The epibiotic fauna of the stylasterids exhibits relatively poor documentation, probably owing to their cryptic nature or to the great depth at which most Stylasteridae occur. There is an equally poor understanding of barnacles as epibionts of stylasterids. Until recently, Armatobalanus nefrens (Zullo, 1963) was reported as an epibiont
of Errinopora pourtalesi (Dall, 1884) and Stylaster californicus (Verrill, 1866) in northern California (Newman, 2007). Pica et al. (2015) reported the presence of eight different symbiotic scalpellid species in five deep-water stylasterid corals. In the literature, the presence of pyrgomatids on Stylasteridae was recorded in two Stylaster species from the tropical shallow waters in the Indian Ocean (Broch, 1935, 1947). The specimens were identified as Pyrgoma sp., but this identification remains doubtful (Pica et al., 2015). The comprehensive list of cnidarians hosting pyrgomatids compiled by Ogawa \& Matsuzaki (1992) does not include stylasterids as hosts of pyrgomatids.

Preliminary examinations of barnacles found on several stylasterids revealed that they fit morphologically into the description of the pyrgomatid genus Cantellius. This result led us to hypothesize


Figure 2. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of Cantellius from stylasterids and scleleractinians and representative pyrgomatids based on the nuclear marker histone 3 (H3). The outgroup is Balanus glandula. The analysis involved 25 nucleotide sequences, of which 19 sequences were of Cantellius and six were extracted from stylasterids. Bootstrap support of nodes is shown next to the branches. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths presenting the number of substitutions per site. For key to host colour, see Figure 1.
that this association could be similar to the case of Wanella hosted by the hydrocoral Millepora, and therefore another case of convergent evolution, evolving independently in a similar habitat. However, the similarity of molecular markers indicates that in barnacles from stylasterids the morphological characters are homologous and casts doubt on our previous statement that pyrgomatids are obligatory epibionts of scleractinans (Hexacorallia) and on our previous concept of the taxonomy of the Pyrgomatidae.
The taxonomy of coral-inhabiting barnacles is based mainly on the morphology of hard parts, opercular valves and the shell. This is because, in many cases, the barnacles are retrieved from dried skeletons of corals, such as Darwin's (1854) eight varieties of Creusia spinulosa Leach, 1818 that are presently assigned to the genus Cantellius. The use of hard parts for description of species was followed by others (Borradaile, 1903; Hoek, 1913; Broch, 1931; Hiro, 1935,

1938; Kolosvary, 1947, 1948; Achituv, 2001) and also in the present study.

Based on the morphology of the shell and opercular valve, barnacles from stylasterids can be assigned to Cantellius, but owing to the small size and brittleness of the opercular valves, it is not always possible to assign all samples to known species of Cantellius. In addition, the absence of the type specimens of previously described species and the incomplete description of the type specimens do not enable the barnacles extracted from the stylasterids to be assigned with certainty to a known species of Cantellius. As a result of these uncertainties, we refer to these specimens as 'cf.'. We recognize three morphological forms, two of which, based on the number of shell plates and the morphology of scutum and tergum, are similar to known species of Cantellius, Cantellius sumbawae (Hoek, 1913) and Cantellius pallidus (Hiro, 1935), and a third one described below as a new species.

Table 1. Estimates of evolutionary distance between sequences (number of base substitutions per site) of 12 S rRNA

|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cantellius cf. sumbawae 3 | Distichopora sp. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Cantellius cf. sumbawae 2 | Distichopora sp. | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Cantellius cf. sumbawae 4 | Distichopora sp. | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Cantellius cf. sumbawae 7 | Distichopora sp . | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Cantellius cf. sumbawae 6 | Distichopora sp. | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Cantellius cf. sumbawae 1 | Distichopora sp. | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.004 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Cantellius cf. sumbawae 5 | Distichopora sp. | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.000 |  |  |  |
| 8 | Cantellius cf. pallidus 3 | Distichopora cf. violacea | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |
| 9 | Cantellius cf. pallidus 4 | Stylaster tenisowoodi | 0.048 | 0.048 | 0.048 | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.048 | 0.048 | 0.048 |  |
| 10 | Cantellius cf. pallidus 2 | Stylaster cf. eximus | 0.048 | 0.048 | 0.048 | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.048 | 0.048 | 0.048 | 0.000 |
| 11 | Cantellius hoegi | Pachyseris speciosa | 0.056 | 0.056 | 0.056 | 0.059 | 0.059 | 0.056 | 0.056 | 0.056 | 0.056 |
| 12 | Cantellius iwayama | Pachyseris sp. | 0.056 | 0.056 | 0.056 | 0.059 | 0.059 | 0.056 | 0.056 | 0.056 | 0.044 |
| 13 | Cantellius pallidus | Unknown | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.056 | 0.056 | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.048 |
| 14 | Cantellius septimus 1 | Montipora sp. | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.041 |
| 15 | Cantellius septimus 2 | Montipora sp. | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.041 |
| 16 | Cantellius septimus 3 | Montipora sp. | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.041 |
| 17 | Cantellius arcuatum | Porites sp. | 0.037 | 0.037 | 0.037 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.037 | 0.037 | 0.037 | 0.044 |
| 18 | Cantellius brevitergum | Acropora sp. | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.056 | 0.056 | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.048 |
| 19 | Cantellius sp. | Acropora sp. | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.056 | 0.056 | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.048 |
| 20 | Cantellius transversalis | Acropora sp. | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.044 |
| 21 | Cantellius secundus | Unknown | 0.056 | 0.056 | 0.056 | 0.059 | 0.059 | 0.056 | 0.056 | 0.056 | 0.063 |
| 22 | Cantellius acutum | Unknown | 0.067 | 0.067 | 0.067 | 0.071 | 0.071 | 0.067 | 0.067 | 0.067 | 0.059 |
| 23 | Wanella milleporae | Millepora dichotoma | 0.127 | 0.127 | 0.127 | 0.131 | 0.131 | 0.127 | 0.127 | 0.127 | 0.111 |
| 24 | Pyrgoma cancellatum | Turbinaria sp. | 0.103 | 0.103 | 0.103 | 0.107 | 0.107 | 0.103 | 0.103 | 0.103 | 0.099 |
| 25 | Hexacreusia durhami | Porites sp. | 0.103 | 0.103 | 0.103 | 0.107 | 0.107 | 0.103 | 0.103 | 0.103 | 0.091 |
| 26 | Armatobalanus allium | Montastrea sp | 0.079 | 0.079 | 0.079 | 0.083 | 0.083 | 0.079 | 0.079 | 0.079 | 0.063 |

[^1]
## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Material from three scientific collections is studied: The Steinhardt Museum of Natural History, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Isreal (TAU); the Università Politecnica delle Marche-DiSVA (UNIVPM DiSVA), Ancona, Italy; and the Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France (MNHN). Details on the samples used are presented in the Supporting Information (Appendix S1).

For the morphological study, the wall plates and opercular valves were removed from the hydroid, immersed for $\sim 2 \mathrm{~h}$ in household bleach, rinsed in tap water followed by distilled water and then dried on a hotplate at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The specimens were examined under a dissecting microscope, and the adherent chitin was removed using needles and a fine paintbrush. The dried parts were mounted on brass stubs, coated with gold and examined with a JEOL scanning electron microscope at 25 kV . Images were stored using the Autobeam software.

Only the material attached to the colony of Distichopora sp. from The Steinhardt Museum of

Natural History stored in ethanol was suitable for studying the soft parts, the trophi and cirri, and could be used for molecular analysis. Material from other hosts was either dried or too small to use for the morphological study of soft parts or molecular work.

For DNA extraction, barnacles were dissected, and muscles and cirri fixed in ethanol were used. DNA was extracted using a genomic DNA isolation kit (Macherey-Nagel GmbH \& Co. KG, Düren, Germany), according to the manufacturer's protocol. The DNA concentration was determined by NanoDrop ND1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) at 260 nm .

The DNA from small specimens was extracted at the forensic biology laboratory of Israel Police HQ, Jerusalem and transferred into clean tubes containing ethanol. Each sample was dried on filter paper and moved to a new clean tube. Two-step DNA extraction was performed. Samples were first extracted at $56{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h using a Chelex extraction (Walsh et al., 1991) and then by using the AutoMate

| 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



Express DNA Extraction System in conjugation with the PrepFiler Express Forensic DNA Forensic Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol (Feine et al., 2016).
For amplification and sequencing of the 12 S subunit of mitochondrial rRNA, we used the primer set of Kocher et al. (1989) as modified by Mokady et al. (1999). For histone 3 (H3), we used the primers of Colgan et al. (1998). Amplification was carried out in a personal combi-thermocycler (Biometra, Göttingen, Germany), following the protocols of Tsang et al. (2012). The PCR products were purified and sequenced by MCLAB (San Francisco, CA, USA). Both strands were sequenced using an ABI PRISM 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems).
Additional DNA sequences were retrieved from GenBank. Details about hosts, when available, and accession numbers are provided in the Supporting

Information (Table S1), which contains sequences from Cantellius marked by numbers, i.e. sp. 1, etc., by their submitter rather than being identified to the species level. We adhered to the naming and numbering of each sample as originally submitted to GenBank.

Sequences were aligned using CLUSTAL W (Thompson et al., 1994). The length of the aligned sequences of $12 S$ is 297 bp with 87 variable sites, of which 60 are parsimony informative. The aligned H3 contigs are shorter, i.e. 197 bp with 56 variable sites, of which 46 are parsimony informative. Phylogenetic analyses were performed based on maximum likelihood (ML) analysis, and 1000 bootstrap replicates were conducted using MEGA7 (Kumar et al., 2016). A matrix of pairwise distances within and among the species was calculated in MEGA7 using Kimura's 2-parameter (K2P; Kumar et al., 2016).

Table 2. Estimates of evolutionary distance between sequences (number of base substitutions per site) of histone 3 (H3)

|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Host |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Cantellius cf. sumbawae 1 | Distichopora sp. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Cantellius cf. sumbawae 2 | Distichopora sp. | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Cantellius cf. sumbawae 3 | Distichopora sp. | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Cantellius cf. sumbawae 4 | Distichopora sp. | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Cantellius cf. pallidus | Distichopora cf. vervoorti | 0.029 | 0.029 | 0.029 | 0.029 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Cantellius arcuatum | Porites | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.036 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Cantellius euspinulosum | Porites | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.036 | 0.012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Cantellius transversalis 1 | Acropora sp. | 0.055 | 0.055 | 0.055 | 0.055 | 0.054 | 0.048 | 0.061 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Cantellius sp. 7 | Acropora sp. | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.054 | 0.036 |  |  |  |
| 10 | Cantellius sp. 6 | Acropora sp. | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.054 | 0.036 | 0.000 |  |  |
| 11 | Cantellius euspinulosum | Unknown | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.023 | 0.041 | 0.042 | 0.061 | 0.048 | 0.048 |  |
| 12 | Cantellius secundus 2 | Unknown | 0.036 | 0.036 | 0.036 | 0.036 | 0.035 | 0.035 | 0.048 | 0.042 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.042 |
| 13 | Cantellius secundus 1 | Acropora sp. | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.029 | 0.029 | 0.042 | 0.036 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.036 |
| 14 | Cantellius pallidus | Pocillopora damicornis | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.036 | 0.000 | 0.012 | 0.048 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.041 |
| 15 | Cantellius sp. 3 | Porites sp. | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.036 | 0.000 | 0.012 | 0.048 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.041 |
| 17 | Cantellius euspinulosum | Porites sp. | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.036 | 0.000 | 0.012 | 0.048 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.041 |
| 18 | Cantellius sp. 3 | Porites rus | 0.036 | 0.036 | 0.036 | 0.036 | 0.042 | 0.006 | 0.017 | 0.055 | 0.048 | 0.048 | 0.048 |
| 19 | Cantellius euspinulosum | Porites | 0.048 | 0.048 | 0.048 | 0.048 | 0.042 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.055 | 0.048 | 0.048 | 0.048 |
| 20 | Cantellius pallidus | Unknown | 0.066 | 0.066 | 0.066 | 0.066 | 0.066 | 0.093 | 0.093 | 0.121 | 0.106 | 0.106 | 0.060 |
| 21 | Cantellius iwayama 1 | Pachyseris | 0.073 | 0.073 | 0.073 | 0.073 | 0.087 | 0.109 | 0.110 | 0.101 | 0.101 | 0.101 | 0.081 |
| 22 | Cantellius pallidus 1 | Pocillopora damicornis | 0.066 | 0.066 | 0.066 | 0.066 | 0.066 | 0.093 | 0.093 | 0.121 | 0.106 | 0.106 | 0.060 |
| 23 | Cantellius sp. 1 | Pachyseris rugosa? | 0.073 | 0.073 | 0.073 | 0.073 | 0.087 | 0.109 | 0.110 | 0.101 | 0.101 | 0.101 | 0.081 |
| 24 | Trevathana paulayi | Acanthastrea echinata | 0.068 | 0.068 | 0.068 | 0.068 | 0.074 | 0.079 | 0.080 | 0.086 | 0.066 | 0.066 | 0.081 |
| 25 | Pyrgoma cancellata | Turbinaria sp. | 0.113 | 0.113 | 0.113 | 0.113 | 0.112 | 0.127 | 0.142 | 0.108 | 0.092 | 0.092 | 0.113 |
| 26 | Galkinia sp. 2 | Hydnophora exesa | 0.081 | 0.081 | 0.081 | 0.081 | 0.074 | 0.086 | 0.087 | 0.107 | 0.066 | 0.066 | 0.093 |
| 27 | Galkinia sp. 1 | Goniastrea pectinata | 0.092 | 0.092 | 0.092 | 0.092 | 0.079 | 0.093 | 0.106 | 0.100 | 0.084 | 0.084 | 0.105 |
| 28 | Galkinia equus | Favites abdita | 0.126 | 0.126 | 0.126 | 0.126 | 0.132 | 0.132 | 0.146 | 0.120 | 0.097 | 0.097 | 0.126 |
| 29 | Hexacreusia durhami | Porites sp. | 0.109 | 0.109 | 0.109 | 0.109 | 0.102 | 0.102 | 0.108 | 0.088 | 0.067 | 0.067 | 0.123 |
| 30 | Armatobalanus allium | Unknown | 0.121 | 0.121 | 0.121 | 0.121 | 0.118 | 0.107 | 0.113 | 0.123 | 0.093 | 0.093 | 0.106 |

[^2]
## RESULTS

## Phylogeny

Figures 1 and 2 present ML trees based on the mitochondrial marker 12S rRNA and the nuclear marker $H 3$, respectively. Both markers show that the specimens extracted from stylastarids cluster with Cantellius.
In the ML trees based on 12 S rRNA, all sequences of barnacles extracted from Distichopora are grouped. The sequences that we obtained from Distichopora violacea (Pallas, 1766) and identified morphologically as Cantellius cf. pallidus (Broch, 1931) cluster with those from the unidentified colony of Distichopora. The two sequences extracted from Stylaster cf. eximius (Hickson \& England, 1905) and Stylaster tenisonwoodsi Cairns, 1988 form a separate clade within the Cantellius clade, but the bootstrap support values of the nodes within this clade are low. The
phylogenetic pattern based on $H 3$ agrees with the 12 S analyses with regard to the grouping of the barnacles from Distichopora. In the $H 3$ tree, the two sequences of Cantellius cf. pallidus extracted from Stylaster form a sister group to the sequences of Cantellius cf. sumbawae extracted from Distichopora. However, the bootstrap support of the node that separates this clade from the other taxa of Cantellius is low.

Of interest is the position of Wanella in the two phylogenetic trees. The position of Wanella on the tree based on 12 S rDNA sequences is similar to what was found previously, i.e. as a monogeneric clade sister to the pyrgomatids (Tsang et al., 2012; Simon-Blecher et al., 2007), or it clustered with other balanids (Malay \& Michonneau, 2014; Pérez-Losada et al., 2014). The position of Wanella in the tree based on H3 is different. In this analysis, Wanella clusters with the pyrgomatids. This does not agree with the analyses of Malay \& Michonneau (2014) and Tsang et al. (2012),
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in which one of their markers was $H 3$. The inclusion of Wanella in the Cantellius clade supports the hypothesis that they share a common ancestor. The H3 gene is more conserved, and its evolution is slower than that of the rDNAs and mitochondrial genes used in the concatenated markers. Hence, its weight in the phylogeny is 'diluted' by the other genes.
The pairwise divergence values of 12 S rRNA and H3 between specimens of our material and those of other species of Cantellius and representative pyrgomatids enable us to set boundaries between evolutionary significant units (ESUs). These values are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The within-group pairwise distances of 12 S rRNA of the seven specimens extracted from the single colony of Distichopora collected in Bali, Indonesia do not exceed 0.004 . The distance between the 12 S sequence of the barnacles extracted from Distichopora violacea from the Siladen Islands and those from Bali are in the same range. The pairwise 12 S rRNA of two specimens, one extracted from Stylaster tenisonwoodsi and the other
from $S$. cf. eximius, is 0.000 . The distance between these and those from Distichopora is 0.048 and 0.052 , respectively. In 12 S rRNA sequences, the range of pairwise distances between these specimens and other species of Cantellius lies between 0.041 in C. septimus and 0.071 in Cantellius acutum (Hiro, 1938) (Table 1). These values are within the range found among other species of Cantellius, with the highest being 0.083 between C. acutum and C. pallidus and the lowest being 0.022 between Cantellius brevitergum (Hiro, 1938) and an unidentified Cantellius.

In the $H 3$ sequences, the within-group pairwise distances of the four specimens extracted from Distichopora is 0.000 , and the one between those and the specimen from Stylaster is 0.048 . The maximal divergence between the specimens from stylasterids and the two unidentified species Cantellius sp. 1 and Cantellius sp. 6 extracted from the scleractinians is 0.086 . These values are within the range found among different morphologically identified species of Cantellius; the highest 0.120 between Cantellius


Figure 3. In situ pictures of colonies of Stylasteridae with barnacles. A, colony of Distichopora sp. from Bali, Indonesia, with Cantellius cf. sumbawae; inset, enlargement of a branch. B, Stylaster cf. eximius from Siladen Island, Indonesia, colony carrying barnacles. C, Distichopora cf. vervoorti colony carrying barnacles. D, colony of Stylaster tenisonwoodsi from Bangka Island, Indonesia, carrying barnacles. Barnacles are indicated by arrows.
transversalis (Nilsson-Cantell, 1938) and C. pallidus. Therefore, we propose that taxa with pairwise distances of sequences that are within the range of these divergence levels should be assigned to the same clade as Cantellius.

## TAXONOMY

## CANTELLIUS CF. SUMBAWAE (HOEK, 1913)

Examined material: Host Distichopora sp., TAU AR29843, Bali, Indonesia (Figs 3A, 4-7), 5 November 2017.

Description: Barnacles are scattered along the branches of the hydrozoan colony (Fig. 3A), mostly on the lateral side of the branches (Fig. 3A, inset). Shell conical, four plated (rostrum, carina and paired latera; Fig. 4A, C), externally covered by the hydroid skeleton and tissue (Fig. 4A, B). Carinorostral diameter, $4.35 \pm 1.36 \mathrm{~mm}(N=11)$, sheath forming inner lamina. Basis, shallow cup shape with radiating ridges and furrows (Fig. 4D, E) reaching the centre of the basis. Orifice central, small. Scutum and tergum (Fig. 5A) separated, white. Scutum triangular; basal margin sinusoidal; length approximately equal to
tergal margin. Both the occludent margin and the tergal margin straight. Externally, growth ridges parallel to basal margin outline, forming teeth on occludent margin. Shallow cavity for lateral depressor. Inner side with prominent adductor ridge; articular ridge on tergal margin occupying nearly the entire length of the margin. Tergum elongated; carinal margin about two-thirds of scutal margin, with blunt rounded spur; scutal margins slightly curved, apical angle $\sim 60^{\circ}$; small beak at the apex; basal margins sinusoidal; external surface with growth ridges parallel to basal margins; shallow median furrow from apex to spur base. Inner side with articular ridge along the scutal margin. Small pits scattered on the inner side. Maxilla rounded (Fig. 6A), with simple setae along interior margin and distal part. Maxillule (Fig. 6C) cutting edge straight, without notch, with row of seven large setae. Surface of maxillule close to cutting edge, with short, simple type of setae. Simple setae on upper and lower margins. Mandibule (Fig. 6E) with five teeth; gap between first tooth and second tooth; second tooth located in middle of cutting edge; gap between second and third tooth. Second to fourth teeth bidentate. Surface of mandible close to cutting edge from upper to third tooth, with short simple setae. Mandibular palp elongated (Fig. 6D); setae on inferior margin; lower


Figure 4. Cantellius cf. sumbawae. A, external view of shell, upper view. B, external view of shell, side view. C, inner view of shell. D, basis. E, enlargement of basis.


Remarks: Based on the morphology of the opercular valves, the barnacles found on Distichopora fit into the description of C. sumbawae (Fig. 5B). Cantellius sumbawae was based on a single specimen attached to the small, solitary, free-living coral, Heteropsammia. The coral and its barnacle were dredged during the Siboga expedition in February 1900 at $\sim 36$ m depth on a sandy or muddy bottom in Saleh Bay anchorage, east of Dangar Besar on the Indonesian island of Sumbawa. The comprehensive list of barnacles and their host corals (Ogawa \& Matsuzaki, 1992) indicates
that since C. sumbawae was described, it has not been recorded from any other coral. The Hoek specimen could not be traced in the collection of the Naturalis Biodiversity Centre, Leiden, The Netherlands, where the Siboga expedition material is stored. There are differences between Hoek's specimen and those found on Distichopora. The stylasterid skeleton completely encrusts the barnacle shell, whereas this was not reported by Hoek (1913). Brickner et al. (2010) suggested that the overgrowth of the coral skeleton and coverage of the barnacle shell is a result of the coral growth and should be regarded as a coral character rather than a barnacle character. However, without examination and comparison of barnacles on Heteropsammia, it is uncertain whether the barnacles from both hosts belong to the same species. Owing to this uncertainty, we prefer to identify our specimens as Cantellius cf. sumbawae.

## CANTELLIUS CF. PALLIDUS (BROCH, 1931) (FigS 8, 9)

[^3]

Figure 6. Cantellius cf. sumbawae, trophi. A, labrum and two maxillae. B, enlargement of labrum, with arrows indicating teeth on labrum. C, maxillule. D, mandibular palp. E, mandible; inset, median tooth of mandible.

MNHN-IK-2015-660, $11^{\circ} 34.6^{\prime}$ S, $45^{\circ} 05.2^{\prime}$ E, 12 April 1977. TAU AR29859, host Stylaster tenisonwoodsi PC190371, Bangka Island, 5 m , Indonesia, Università Politecnica delle Marche-DiSVA, Ancona, Italy, 16 December 2011. TAU AR29860, host Stylaster cf. eximius, BALA1, Siladen Islands Indonesia, 15 m , Università Politecnica delle Marche-DiSVA, Ancona, Italy, 13 December 2011.

Description: Shell conical, four plated, externally covered by the hydroid skeleton and tissue. Sheath forming inner lamina, basis, shallow cup shape with radiating ridges and furrows reaching the centre of the basis. Orifice central, small. Scutum and tergum separated, white. Scutum triangular, basal margins curved; pit at basitergal angle; occludent margin length approximately equal to tergal margin. Occludent margin and tergal margin straight. Externally, growth ridges parallel to basal margin outline, forming teeth on occludent margin. Cavity for lateral depressor wide, shallow. Inner side with adductor ridge that varies in different specimens; articular ridge on tergal margin curved and occupying nearly entire length of margin. Tergum elongated; carinal margin about two-thirds of scutal margin with blunt rounded spur;
scutal margins slightly curved, with small beak at the apex; basal margins sinusoidal; external surface with growth ridges parallel to basal margins; shallow median furrow from apex to spur base.

Remarks: The identification of MNHN-IU-5863 is based only on the morphology of scuta, because the terga are broken and cannot be used as a morphological character. In the specimens from the two species of Stylaster, there is a prominent pit at the basitergal angle and a cavity for the lateral depressor, as in C. pallidus. The apices of the terga are broken, and the wide spur might fit also to Cantellius arcuatus (Hiro, 1938). However, it is more likely that it belongs to C. pallidus. Cantellius pallidus is the most abundant species of Cantellius and has been recorded from 37 species of corals, whereas C. arcuatus has been recorded from only two species of corals (Ogawa \& Matsuzaki, 1992).

## CANTELLIUS CORNUTERGUM ACHITUV SP. NOV. <br> (Fig. 10)

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:96954D17-136F-4D68-9D59-64C5EAFB6053


Figure 7. Cantellius cf. sumbawae. A-C, cirri I-III, respectively. D, cirri IV and V. E, cirrus VI with basidorsal point and proximal part of penis. F, Cirrus III spines on front of articles. G, Cirrus II, terminal setae.

Holotype: MNHN-IU-5872, host Distichopora violacea MNHN-IK-2015-660, $11^{\circ} 34.6^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 45^{\circ} 05.2^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 12$ April 1977.

Paratype: MNHN-IU-5869, host Distichopora violacea MNHN-IK-2015-660, same data as holotype.

Diagnosis: Pyromatid with four shell plates. Scutum triangular, with occludent margin longer than basal
margin. Small crests for the depressor muscle in the scutum. External median furrow along tergum with external median furrow. Carinal margin of tergum strongly curved.

Description: Shell conical, four plated (rostrum, carina and paired latera), externally covered by the hydroid skeleton and tissue (Fig. 10A). Orifice central, rhomboid. Scutum and tergum separated,


Figure 8. Cantellius cf. pallidus from Stylaster tenisonwoodsi. A, shell outer view. B, shell inner view. C, specimen on host. D, basis. E, opercular valves.
white. Scutum triangular; basal margin straight, curving at the basitergal angle; four to five parallel small pits for the scutal depressor muscle at basioccludent angle; four parallel small pits for the lateral depressor muscle at basitergal angle (Fig. 10B). Occludent margin length approximately equal to tergal margin length. Occludent margin and tergal margin straight. Deep, round pit for
adductor muscle. Adductor ridge prominent; articular ridge occupies about four-fifths of tergal margins. Externally, growth ridges parallel to basal margin outline, forming teeth on occludent margin. Tergum elongated; carinal margin about half of scutal margin, with blunt rounded spur; scutal margins strongly curved, forming prominent beak at the apex; basal margins slightly concave;


Figure 9. Cantellius cf. pallidus from Stylaster cf. eximius. A, shell and tergum (broken). B, shell: rostrum, laterum and carina. Scutum outer and inner side.
external surface with growth ridges parallel to basal margins; shallow median furrow from apex to spur base. Internally, shallow crests for depressor muscle.

Etymology: From Latin cornu, horn, indicating the presence of prominent beak at the apex of the tergum, meaning 'back' or 'rear'.

Remarks: The opercular valves of this species are different from all known species of Cantellius. The noticeable features are the small crests for the two depressor muscles. The shape of the scutum is most similar to that of Cantellius tredecimus (Kolosvary, 1947), which has neither adductor ridges nor adductor pits. The tergum may resemble that of C. arcuatus; the lower part toward the basis is straight, whereasa in C. arcuatus the upper part next to the carinal margin is strongly curved. In the original description of C. arcuatus, Hiro (1938) does not mention the presence of an external median
furrow and internal crests for the depressor muscle of the tergum. On the basis of these differences, we think this is a new species.

## DISCUSSION

Based on the criteria presented above, the barnacles from the hydrozoans Stylaster and Distichopora should be classified as Cantellius owing to the presence of four-plate shells and balanoid-type opercular plates. The determination of species of this genus is mainly, and in some cases exclusively, based on the morphology of the opercular valves (Ross \& Newman, 1973). Using this character, the barnacles described in the present study fit different species of Cantellius, including a new species.

Many samples of Cantellius could not be identified with full confidence to the species level. Malay \& Michonneau (2014) did not use nominal species for


Figure 10. Cantellius cornutergum from Distichopora violacea. A, shell. B, scutum inner and outer view, and terga outer view. C, scutum inner and outer view, and terga outer and inner view.
most of their samples, but showed that barnacles of the same genus originating from different hosts belong to different ESUs. These authors argued that the species-level taxonomy of coral-dwelling barnacles is problematic and that some genera included many yet undescribed species. Using molecular markers, they noted that even a monotypic genus, such as Neotrevathana, is found to be a complex of three different ESUs, all fitting the morphological description for Neotrevathana. Brickner et al. (2010) showed that what was regarded as a single species of Trevathana encompasses four species. Tsang et al. (2009) revealed that the barnacle Wanella milleporae, which inhabits the fire coral, is a complex of cryptic species inhabiting different species of Millepora. Also, our results reflect the existence of cryptic species within the Cantellius complex. Our material contains sequences of morphologically defined species of Cantellius, e.g. H3 of C. pallidus, extracted from different coral hosts and found on different clades
of the phylogenetic tree. Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that, although morphologically Cantellius from Heteropsammia and the population from Distichopora are assigned to C. cf. sumbawae, they are, in fact, two different ESUs. However, without appropriate material, this assumption cannot be validated.

The genus Cantellius is the most species-rich genus of Pyrgomatidae, with 22 nominal reported species (Ross \& Newman, 2000), and more have been added during the last two decades (Achituv, 2001; Achituv \& Hoeksema, 2003; Achituv et al., 2009; present study). This genus occupies the largest number of scleractinians (Ogawa \& Matsuzaki, 1992), with no record from other taxonomic units. Ross \& Newman (1973) stated that highly modified forms are highly host specific, all the more so for monotypic genera. However, within the genus Cantellius, some species were recorded from a single host, whereas others were from several hosts, with C. pallidus being
recorded from nearly 40 coral species (Ogawa \& Matsuzaki, 1992).
In the phylogenetic trees based on molecular markers, Armatobalanus allium and Cantellius are located at the base of Pyrgomatidae (Simon-Blecher et al., 2007; Malay \& Michonneau, 2014; Tsang et al., 2014). Morphologically, Cantellius shows the most plesiomorphic characteristics within the IndoPacific coral-inhabiting barnacles, with four shellwall plates and unmodified balanoid-type opercular valves. It was Darwin (1854) who first pointed out that Armatobalanus allium 'shows the affinity and passage to the coral-inhabiting genus Creusia', with the reduction of the carino lateral plate that is absent in the Pyrgomatidae. Ross \& Newman (1973) suggested that Pyrgomatinae and perhaps Megatrematinae evolved independently from an Armatobalanus ancestor. It appears that Cantellius inhabiting the hydrozoan Distichopora also evolved from an Armatobalanus or a common ancestor of the genus Cantellius. Speciation in Pyrgomatidae led to the inhabitation of a large variety of scleactinians. Moreover, this speciation is not limited to scleactinians, but also encompasses hydrozoans.
We show here that the barnacles extracted from the hydrozoan Distichopora cluster with the pyrgomatid Cantellius that usually inhabits a different class of Cnidaria, the Scleractinia. The inclusion of the barnacles extracted from the hydrozoan within the Pyrgomatidae refutes our previous hypothesis that the symbiosis of pyrgomatids involved only Scleractinia. These barnacles are found in the same clade as Cantellius and Armatobalanus allium. It is of special interest to study the relationship of these barnacles with Armatobalanus nefrens inhabiting the stylasterids Errinopora pourtalesi and Stylaster californicus from northern California.
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    [Version of record, published online 9 April 2020; http://zoobank.org/ urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:96954D17-136F-4D68-9D59-64C5EAFB6053]

[^1]:    The analysis involved 22 nucleotide sequences of Cantellius and three other pyrgomatids and the archobalanid
    Armatobalanus allium. Analyses were conducted using the maximum composite likelihood model.

[^2]:    The analysis involved 22 nucleotide sequences of Cantellius and six other pyrgomatids and Armatobalanus allium. Analyses were conducted using the maximum composite likelihood model.

[^3]:    Examined material: MNHN-IU-5863, host Stylaster flabelliformis (Lamarck, 1816). MNHN-IK-2015-658, $12^{\circ} 34.6^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 45^{\circ} 05.2^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 21$ March 1977. MNHN-IU-2014-5873, host Distichopora violacea

