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#### Abstract

Pantepui s.l. is a remote, biodiverse region of $\sim 400000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ containing at least five endemic reptile genera and a number of ancient vertebrate lineages. Here, we describe an additional endemic snake genus and species, Paikwaophis kruki gen. nov., sp. nov. (Dipsadidae: Xenodontinae), recently collected in the Pantepui cloud forest that sits at the base of the steep cliffs of Roraima-tepui and Wei-Assipu-tepui (table mountains of the Eastern Tepui Chain) in Guyana, South America. Multilocus molecular data strongly support Paikwaophis gen. nov. to be most closely related to Xenopholis Peters, 1869, although both genera are strikingly different morphologically. Osteological and other phenotypic data suggest that Paikwaophis is semi-fossorial; its diet includes minute lizards. Paikwaophis is currently the only known Pantepui endemic snake genus. The immature female holotype is the only known specimen.
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## INTRODUCTION

With almost 1600 described snake species, the Neotropics are seemingly richer than any other tropical region (Raven et al. 2020). The family Dipsadidae (or as Dipsadinae, a subfamily of Colubridae, e.g. Pyron et al. 2011) currently contains $>800$ extant species (Uetz 2022) and is the largest radiation of American snakes, with most genera occurring in the Neotropical region (Vidal et al. 2010, Grazziotin et al. 2012). Phylogenetic studies of the Dipsadidae have been based mostly on molecular and hemipenial characters to resolve supra- and intergeneric relationships (e.g. Vidal et al. 2010, Grazziotin et al. 2012, Zaher and Prudente 2020).

Located in the western Guiana Shield (Fig. 1), Pantepui (Mayr and Phelps 1967, McDiarmid and Donnelly 2005, Kok 2013) is a distinctive Neotropical palaeosurface
(pre-Pleistocene landscape) that has been shaped by the physical erosion and deep chemical weathering of the extensive Roraima Supergroup (Priem et al. 1973, Briceño et al. 1990, Gibbs and Barron 1993, Santos et al. 2003). The term Pantepui was originally coined by Mayr and Phelps (1967) to characterize the high-elevation, meso- or microthermic life zones (> 1500 m a.s.l.) of the Guiana Shield highlands (Huber 1995). To reflect the biogeography and the biotic interactions in the area better, some authors extended this arbitrary definition to include the intervening lowlands and uplands, covering an area that probably corresponds roughly to a former ancient, large continuous plateau that was gradually eroded and dissected into many isolated table mountains locally called 'tepuis' (e.g. Steyermark 1979, 1982, Kok 2010, 2013). Pantepui is traditionally seen as one of the most
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Figure 1. Map of the Pantepui biogeographical region and its location in South America (lower left inset). The red arrow points to a white rectangle depicting the area shown in Figure 2.
important centres of endemism in the Neotropics (Berry et al. 1995, Davis et al. 1997). However, although this mostly pristine region is renowned for high endemism and biodiversity (in particular, at genus and higher ranks of amphibians and reptiles), with the co-occurrence of several early branching lineages, it is often neglected as a cohesive ecoregion (e.g. Olson et al. 2001). A Pantepui origin, with subsequent dispersal to the lowlands, has been assumed or demonstrated in some amphibians, and the region seems to have acted as a source and sink for Neotropical biodiversity (e.g. Kok 2013, Kok et al. 2017, Vacher et al. 2017, Fouquet et al. 2021). Pantepui currently harbours one endemic amphibian family (Ceuthomantidae; Heinicke et al. 2009), one endemic lizard subfamily (Riolaminae; Kok 2015), eight endemic amphibian genera (Ceuthomantis Heinicke, Duellman, Trueb, Means, MacCulloch and Hedges, 2009, Dischidodactylus Lynch, 1979, Metaphryniscus Señaris, Ayarzagüena and Gorzula, 1994,

Minyobates Myers, 1987, Myersiohyla Faivovich, Haddad, Garcia, Frost, Campbell and Wheeler, 2005, Nesorohyla Pinheiro, Kok, Noonan, Means, Haddad and Faivovich, 2018, Oreophrynella Boulenger, 1895 and Stefania Rivero, 1968; Boulenger 1895, Rivero 1968, Lynch 1979, Myers 1987, Señaris et al. 1994, Faivovich et al. 2005, Heinicke et al. 2009, Kok 2009a, 2013, Kok et al. 2016, 2017, Pinheiro et al. 2018) and five endemic reptile genera (Adercosaurus Myers and Donnelly, 2001; Kaieteurosaurus Kok, 2005; Pantepuisaurus Kok, 2009; Riolama Uzzell, 1973 and Yanomamia Pellegrino, Brunes, Souza, Laguna, Ávila-Pires, Hoogmoed and Rodrigues, 2018; Uzzell 1973, Myers and Donnelly 2001, Kok 2005, 2009b, 2015, Pellegrino et al. 2018). This does not include several still undescribed putative genera of frogs and lizards as reported by McDiarmid and Donnelly (2005), and P.J.R.K. is working on the description of two new endemic amphibian families (Fouquet et al., in prep.), three new endemic
amphibian genera (Fouquet et al., in prep.; Recoder et al., in prep.) and one new endemic lizard genus (Kok et al., in prep.) from the region.

A series of table mountains in Guyana trends from south-east to north-west along the border with Brazil and Venezuela. Rising to $>2800 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., the queen of these mesas is Roraima-tepui [of Arthur Conan Doyle's (1912) Lost World fame], on whose summit the borders of all three countries meet. Because of their remoteness and summit-fringing cliffs, the summits have been explored mostly by helicopter expeditions, but the main species richness occurs in the dense cloud forests on tepui flanks, which can often be accessed only by foot. Since 2003, we have been conducting herpetological biodiversity research during five expeditions into the headwater tributaries of the Paikwa River drainage basin between Roraima-tepui and its eastern sister tepui, Wei-Assipu-tepui. On a 2021 herpetological/climbing expedition to the summit of Wei-Assipu in Guyana (Synnott 2022), 138 specimens of anurans, 13 lizards and nine snakes were collected on an elevational transect from Double Drop Falls to the base of the vertical cliffs of Wei-Assipu-tepui (Fig. 2). Among these specimens was a small dipsadid-like snake that could not be assigned to any known Colubroidea genus based on external morphology.

To assess the molecular phylogenetic position of this enigmatic snake, we estimated maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian phylogenies for a representative set of Dipsadidae using a multi-gene alignment including our specimen. We also $\mu$ CTscanned ( $\mu \mathrm{CT}=$ micro-computed tomography) and analysed the osteology of the putative new taxon. Based on these data, we conclude that this peculiar snake should be described as a new genus and a new species of Dipsadidae (Xenodontinae), namely Paikwaophis kruki.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Molecular data

Tissue sampling and DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing Genomic DNA was isolated from a small tissue sample taken from the preserved specimen (whole animal fixed in $99 \%$ ethanol in the field). The tissue sample was immediately digested overnight at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a solution of $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of proteinase K and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of lysis buffer ( $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 100 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris, 25 mM EDTA and $0.5 \%$ SDS). DNA extraction was performed using Sera-Mag SpeedBeads (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a concentration of $\sim 1.7 \times(105 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of digested tissue to $180 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of beads) and eluted into $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 10 mM Tris buffer. Using polymerase chain reaction (PCR; for primers and PCR conditions, see Table 1), we amplified fragments of four genes of the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA): the 16 S ribosomal RNA gene (16S), the 12 S ribosomal RNA gene (12S), the protein-coding genes cytochrome $b$ (cytb) and NADH dehydrogenase subunit 4 (ND4); and two protein-coding genes of the nuclear DNA (nuDNA): brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and oocyte maturation factor MOS (c-mos). The PCR amplifications were confirmed on a $1 \%$ agarose gel, and negative controls were run on all amplifications to exclude contamination. The PCR products were purified, then Sanger sequenced (along both strands using the same primers used for PCR) at the Natural History Museum's (NHM, London, UK) sequencing facility.

Chromatograms were assembled and edited in CodonCode Aligner v.10.0.2 (Codon Code Corporation, Centerville, MA, USA).

## Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses

Based on best gene coverage and the availability on GenBank, we selected one to three species per dipsadid genus and combined our specimen with 113 additional ingroup taxa (Table 2). The tree was rooted with Coluber constrictor Linnaeus, 1758 (Colubridae) as an outgroup. New sequences have been catalogued in GenBank (Table 2). Each gene was aligned independently using MAFFT v.7.490 (Katoh and Standley 2013) on the CIPRES Science Gateway (Miller et al. 2010), with appropriate strategies selected automatically. Ambiguously aligned regions in the 12 S and 16 S alignments were excluded manually, and the six genes were concatenated in Mesquite v.3.70 (Maddison and Maddison 2016), reaching a final dataset of 3772 nucleotide sites and 115 terminals representing 115 species and 93 genera. We divided our dataset into 14 partitions: one for the 12 S , one for the 16 S and one for each codon position of the protein-coding genes. The models of nucleotide evolution and best-fitting partition schemes were determined using PartitionFinder v.2.1.1 (Lanfear et al. 2017), under the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) and the greedy algorithm (Lanfear et al. 2017). The best scheme indicated five different best-fitting nucleotide substitution models (Table 3). Using this alignment, we conducted phylogenetic analyses simultaneously using ML and Bayesian inference (BI). The ML analysis was performed on the IQ-TREE Web Server using IQ-TREE v.1.6.12 (Trifinopoulos et al. 2016), with the substitution model set to 'auto' (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) and specifying FreeRate heterogeneity to generate a ML tree with 1000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates (Hoang et al. 2018). The BI analysis was performed with MrBayes v.3.2.7a (Ronquist et al. 2012) on CIPRES (Miller et al. 2010). Clade credibility was estimated using Bayesian posterior probabilities (PP) under the best partitioning schemes. Four parallel Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) runs were performed, with a length of $2 \times 10^{7}$ generations, a sampling frequency of 1 per 1000 generations, and a burn-in of the first 5000 saved trees. Convergence of the parallel runs was confirmed by split frequency SDs ( $<0.01$ ) and potential scale reduction factors $(\sim 1.0)$ for all model parameters, as reported by MrBayes. Tracer v.1.7.2 (Rambaut et al. 2018) was used to confirm convergence of all analyses by plotting the log-likelihood values against the generation time for each run. Effective sample sizes (ESS) of all parameters were largely $>200$, indicating stationarity and adequate sampling sizes. Consensus trees were visualized and edited with FigTree v.1.4.4 (Rambaut 2016).

## Additional material examined

Xenopholis scalaris (Wucherer, 1861): South America, Bolivia, BMNH 1901.8.2.34, BMNH 1895.11.21.41; Brazil, BMNH 1946.1.8.66; Ecuador, BMNH 1880.12.8.133; French Guiana, Camp Patawa, NHMUK 2023.3195 (BMNH and NHMUK = Natural History Museum, London, UK).


Figure 2. Left, terra typica of Paikwaophis kruki. Right, the Eastern Tepui Chain in Guyana as seen from the summit of Roraima-tepui, facing east (10 March 2019), with the type locality of Paikwaophis kruki depicted as a red dot. Photograph by P.J.R.K.

## Morphological data Morphometrics and sex determination

Morphological examinations of our specimen were performed under a Leica M205C stereomicroscope by P.J.R.K. The specimen was fixed in the field in $99 \%$ ethanol and later transferred to $70 \%$ ethanol for permanent preservation. The specimen has been deposited at the Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences (RBINS), Brussels, Belgium (RBINS 2734; see 'Holotype' below).

Terminology for cephalic scales and scale counts followed Dowling (1951) and Peters (1964). Measurements were taken to the nearest 0.01 mm (rounded to 0.1 mm ) with digital callipers (MarCal 16 EWRi), except for the snout-vent length (SVL; from tip of snout to posterior margin of cloacal plate) and tail length (TaL; from posterior margin of cloacal plate to tip of tail), which were measured with a ruler to the nearest 0.1 mm . Other measurements were abbreviated as follows: TL, total length = SVL + TaL; HL, head length (from tip of snout to posterior margin of the mandible); HW, maximum head width; ED, maximum eye horizontal length; DSN, distance from tip of snout to nostril; DNE, distance from nostril to anterior margin of eye; SnL , snout length (from tip of snout to anterior margin of eye); RW, maximum rostral width; and RH, maximum rostral height. Selected meristic characters were abbreviated as follows: DSR, dorsal scale rows (counted around the body at a head's length behind the head, at midbody and at a head's length before the cloaca); SL, supralabials; IL, infralabials; PrO, preoculars; PtO, postoculars; SuO, supraoculars; IN, internasals; LoR, loreal; TMP, temporals; CS, chinshields; V, ventral scales; and SC, subcaudals.

The sex of the holotype was determined by dissection and examination of the gonads and by absence of hemipenes.

## Micro-computed tomography scanning and three-dimensional reconstructions

Given the results of the molecular phylogenetic analyses (see 'Phylogenetic relationships' below), we focused our
osteological investigations on our specimen and two specimens of Xenopholis scalaris: a syntype, BMNH 1946.1.8.66 (see Discussion); and a specimen recently collected in French Guiana, NHMUK 2023.3195. Snakes underwent $\mu$ CT scanning at the NHM's CT laboratory facility using either a Zeiss Versa 520 (for the head of our specimen) or a Nikon HMX225 (for all other $\mu \mathrm{CT}$ scans). Osteological images were exported from the virtual three-dimensional models, which were reconstructed and segmented by P.J.R.K. using VGStudio MAX v.2.1. All $\mu \mathrm{CT}$ scans have been deposited on the MorphoSource platform. Osteological terminology followed Cundall and Irish (2008).

## Morphological comparisons

Morphological comparisons were based on examination of museum specimens (see above, 'Additional material examined') and a comprehensive review of published descriptions and systematic revisions of dipsadid genera and species (> 100 references).

Eight known genera of Xenodontinae were not included in our phylogenetic analyses owing to the lack of DNA sequences in GenBank and the lack of material for DNA extraction. These genera are as follows: Amnesteophis Myers, 2011, Baliodryas Zaher and Prudente, 2020, Cenaspis Campbell, Smith and Hall, 2018, Cercophis Fitzinger, 1843, Coronelaps Lema and Hofstadler-Deiques, 2010, Ditaxodon Hoge, 1958, Incaspis Donoso-Barros, 1974, Lioheterophis Amaral, 1935 and Saphenophis Myers, 1973. The molecular phylogenetic relationships of these genera (except Incaspis; see Arredondo et al. 2020) are thus unknown, and we carefully compared the morphology of our specimen with the original descriptions of these taxa to exclude potential morphological affinities that could contradict the hypothesis that our specimen should be described in a new genus (see the comparisons subsections below).
Table 1. Primers and PCR conditions.

| Locus | Gene type | Primer name | Sequence ( $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ ) | Annealing temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Primer source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16 \mathrm{~S}^{\text {a }}$ | Mitochondrial | Forward: L2510 | CCGACTGTTTAMCAAAAACA | 54 | Palumbi et al. (1991) |
|  |  | Reverse: H3056 | CTCCGGTCTGAACTCAGATCACGTAGG | 54 | Hedges (1994) |
| $12 \mathrm{~S}^{\text {a }}$ | Mitochondrial | Forward: L1091mod | CAAACTAGGATTAGATACCCTACTAT | 51 | Kocher et al. (1989) |
|  |  | Reverse: H1157mod | GTACRCTTACCWTGTTACGACTT | 51 | Knight and Mindell (1994) |
| $c y t b^{\text {b }}$ | Mitochondrial | Forward: 703Botp.mod | TCAAAYATCTCAACCTGATGAAAYTTYGG | 54 | Pook et al. (2000) |
|  |  | Reverse: MVZ16p.mod | GGCAAATAGGAAGTATCAYTCTGGYTT | 54 | Pook et al. (2000) |
| $N D 4^{\text {b }}$ | Mitochondrial | Forward: ND4 | TGACTACCAAAAGCTCATGTAGAAGC | 50 | Forstner et al. (1995) |
|  |  | Reverse: LEU | TАСТТТАСТГGGATTTGCACCA | 50 | Forstner et al. (1995) |
| $B D N F{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Nuclear | Forward: BDNFF | GACCATCСTTНССТКАСTATGGTГATTTCATACTГ | 54 | Leaché and McGuire (2006) |
|  |  | Reverse: BDNFR | СТАТСТГССССТТТААТGGTCAGTGTACAAAC | 54 | Leaché and McGuire (2006) |
| c-mos ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Nuclear | Forward: S77 | CATGGACTGGGATCAGTTATG | 50 | Lawson et al. (2005) |
|  |  | Reverse: S78 | CCTTGGGTGTGATTTГСТСАССТ | 50 | Lawson et al. (2005) |
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## RESULTS

## Phylogenetic relationships

The ML and BI analyses of the multi-gene matrix resulted in similar tree topologies with no major conflict (i.e. no highly supported alternatives) and were mostly congruent with existing phylogenetic studies of Dipsadidae (e.g. Grazziotin et al. 2012, Zaher et al. 2019, Moraes et al. 2021). For brevity and clarity, we illustrate only the BI tree topology (Fig. 3; unedited phylogenetic trees are provided as Supporting Information, Figs S1, S2).

The phylogenetic analyses recovered our specimen as sister to Xenopholis (Xenodontinae) with strong support $(M L=100 ; B I=100)$. Our specimen + Xenopholis were recovered as sister to the tribe Pseudoboini with moderate support in the ML analysis ( $\mathrm{ML}=88$ ) and low support in the BI analysis ( $\mathrm{BI}=43$ ).

## Morphological characters

A combination of morphological characters unambiguously distinguishes the new genus from all other known Xenodontinae genera (see 'Generic diagnosis' below). Notably, we found striking differences in both the external morphology and the osteological characters between our specimen and the three known species of Xenopholis (sister genus) and from the eight known genera of Xenodontinae that were not included in our phylogenetic analyses, warranting the erection of a new monotypic genus (see the comparisons subsections below).

## SYSTEMATICS <br> Family Dipsadidae Bonaparte, 1838

Subfamily Xenodontinae Cope, 1893
Paikwaophis gen. nov.
(Figs 4-13)
Zoobank registration: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:FF55996A-80A3-4189-838F-269A47BBD9F0.

Type species: Paikwaophis kruki sp. nov.
Etymology: The generic name is derived from the river name 'Paikwa' (referring to the type locality) and the Greek 'ophis' (meaning snake).

Generic diagnosis: Paikwaophis can be differentiated from all other Xenodontinae (and Dipsadidae) by the combination of the following morphological characters: head poorly distinct from neck; body robust, slightly wider than high; tail short, $\sim 13 \%$ of total length in female; snout short and blunt; rostral wider than high, visible from above; undivided nasal; paired internasals; paired prefrontals; subtriangular frontal; absence of loreal; presence of minute cephalic sensory pits; eye medium in size, with vertically oval pupil, iris dark reddish orange; single supraocular; one preocular, two postoculars; three rows of temporals; chinshields medium in size, anterior chinshields projecting frontolaterally; body scales rhomboid, smooth, lacking keels or apical pits, 17 dorsal scale rows without reduction; subcaudals paired; anal
Table 2. List of taxa used in the molecular phylogenetic analyses, with GenBank accession numbers. Sequences newly generated are in bold.

| Family | Subfamily | Tribe | Genus | Species | 16S | 12S | cytb | ND4 | BDNF | c-mos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Colubridae |  |  | Coluber | constrictor | L01770 | L01765 | EU180432 | AY487040 | JQ599015 | AY486937 |
| Dipsadidae | Carpophiinae |  | Carphophis | amoenus | AY577022 | AY577013 | AF471067 |  |  | DQ112082 |
| Dipsadidae | Carpophiinae |  | Contia | tenuis | AY577030 | AY577021 | GU112401 | AF402658 | GU112363 | AF471134 |
| Dipsadidae | Carpophiinae |  | Diadophis | punctatus | KX694636 | AY577015 | EU193670 | EU194025 | EU402637 | AF471122 |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Chapinophis | xanthocheilus |  |  | JX398603 | JX398450 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Adelphicos | quadrivirgatus |  |  | GQ895853 |  |  | GQ895796 |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Amastridium | sapperi |  |  | GQ334479 | GQ334580 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Amastridium | veliferum | MH140469 |  |  |  |  | GQ895797 |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Atractus | albuquerquei | GQ457726 | GQ457783 | JQ598918 |  | JQ599009 | GQ457845 |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Chersodromus | liebmanni |  |  | JX398604 | JX398451 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Coniophanes | fissidens | MH140663 |  | EF078538 | JX398452 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Cryophis | hallbergi |  |  | GQ895863 | EF078544 |  | GQ895807 |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Dipsas | catesbyi | Z46496 | JQ598805 | JQ598926 | EF078585 | JQ599021 | JQ598977 |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Enuliophis | sclateri | MH140724 |  | JX398635 | JX398485 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Enulius | flavitorques | MH140725 |  | JX398636 | JX398486 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Geophis | godmani | JQ598877 | JQ598814 | JQ598932 |  | JQ599026 |  |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Hydromorphus | concolor |  |  | GQ895874 | JX398490 |  | GQ895817 |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Hypsiglena | torquata | EU728591 | EU728591 | EU728591 | EU728591 |  | AF471159 |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Hypsiglena (Eridiphas) | slevini | EU728584 | EU728584 | EU728584 | EU728584 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Imantodes | cenchoa | EU728586 | EU728586 | EU728586 | EU728586 | EU402643 | GQ457865 |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Leptodeira | annulata | GQ457746 | GQ457806 | FJ416713 | FJ416787 | FJ433998 | AF544690 |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Ninia | atrata | JQ598882 | GQ457814 | JQ598937 | GQ334659 | JQ599037 | GQ457874 |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Pliocercus | euryzonus | KX660165 |  | KX660440 | KX660568 |  | KX660304 |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Pseudoleptodeira | latifasciata | EU728579 | EU728579 | EU728579 | EU728579 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Rhadinaea | decorata | MH140927 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Sibon | nebulatus | EU728583 | EU728583 | EU728583 | EU728583 |  | AF544736 |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Tretanorhinus | variabilis | AF158529 | AF158460 | JX398722 | JX398592 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Trimetopon | gracile | GU018178 | GU018160 | JX398723 | JX398593 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Tropidodipsas | sartorii |  |  | JX398718 | JX398587 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Dipsadinae |  | Urotheca | guentheri |  |  | JX398726 | JX398596 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | incertae sedis |  | Farancia | abacura | Z46491 | Z46467 | U69832 | DQ902307 |  | AF471141 |
| Dipsadidae | incertae sedis |  | Heterodon | platirhinos | AY577028 | AY577019 | GU112412 | AF402659 | EU402641 | JQ598986 |
| Dipsadidae | incertae sedis |  | Thermophis | zhaoermii | GQ166168 | GQ166168 | GQ166168 | GQ166168 |  | KP777529 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae |  | Paikwaophis | kruki | OR075194 | OR075146 | OR069382 | OR069383 | OR069380 | OR069381 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Alsophiini | Alsophis | antillensis | FJ416702 | FJ416691 | FJ416726 | FJ416800 | JQ599005 |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Alsophiini | Alsophis | rijgersmaei | FJ416708 | FJ416697 | FJ416729 | FJ416803 |  |  |

Table 2. Continued

| Family | Subfamily | Tribe | Genus | Species | 16S | 12S | cytb | ND4 | BDNF | c-mos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Alsophiini | Arrhyton | dolichura | AF158507 | AF158438 | FJ416721 | FJ416795 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Alsophiini | Arrhyton | procerum | AF158521 | AF158452 | FJ416723 | FJ416797 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Alsophiini | Borikenophis | portoricensis | AF158517 | FJ416696 | AF471085 | U49308 | JQ599012 | AF471126 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Alsophiini | Borikenophis | variegatus | FJ416711 | FJ416700 | FJ416734 | FJ416808 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Alsophiini | Caraiba | andreae | AF158511 | AF158442 | FJ416743 | FJ416817 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Alsophiini | Cubophis | cantherigerus | AF158475 | AF158405 | AF544669 | FJ416818 | FJ433999 | AF544694 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Alsophiini | Cubophis | caymanus | FJ416704 | FJ416693 | FJ416745 | FJ416820 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Alsophiini | Haitiophis | anomalus | FJ666092 | FJ666091 |  |  |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Alsophiini | Hypsirhynchus | ferox | AF158515 | AF158447 | GQ895875 | FJ416816 |  | GQ895818 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Alsophiini | Hypsirhynchus | parvifrons | AF158510 | AF158441 | FJ416740 | FJ416814 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Alsophiini | Ialtris | dorsalis | AF158525 | AF158456 | FJ416735 | FJ416809 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Alsophiini | Magliophis | exiguum | AF158526 | FJ416694 | AF471071 | FJ416798 |  | AF471117 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Alsophiini | Uromacer | catesbyi | AF158523 | AF158454 | FJ416714 | FJ416788 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Caaeteboiini | Caaeteboia | amarali | GQ457747 | GQ457807 | JQ598921 |  |  | GQ457867 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Conophiini | Conophis | lineatus | JQ598865 | GQ457788 | JQ598924 |  | JQ599016 | JQ598975 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Conophiini | Manolepis | putnami | JQ598881 | JQ598820 | JQ598936 |  | JQ599035 | JQ598988 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Diaphorolepidini | Diaphorolepis | wagneri | KR814752 |  | KT345360 | KR814775 |  | KR814764 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Diaphorolepidini | Synophis | bicolor | KR814758 | KR814751 | KR814773 |  |  | KR814769 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Diaphorolepidini | Synophis | calamitus | KR814640 | KR814622 | KR814697 | KR814711 |  | KR814663 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Echinantherini | Echinanthera | melanostigma | GU018174 | JQ598806 | JQ598928 |  |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Echinantherini | Echinanthera | undulata | JQ598870 | JQ598807 | JQ598929 |  | JQ599022 | JQ598978 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Echinantherini | Sordellina | punctata | JQ598903 | JQ598843 | JQ598956 |  | JQ599052 | JQ599000 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Echinantherini | Taeniophallus | affinis | JQ598905 | JQ598844 | JQ598957 |  | JQ599055 | GQ457853 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Echinantherini | Taeniophallus | brevirostris | GQ457734 | GQ457793 | JQ598958 |  | JQ599056 | GQ457854 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Echinantherini | Taeniophallus | nicagus | KX694677 | KX694562 | KX694886 |  | KX694766 | KX694823 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Elapomorphini | Apostolepis | assimilis | GQ457724 | GQ457781 |  |  | JQ599007 | GQ457843 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Elapomorphini | Apostolepis | dimidiata | GQ457725 | GQ457782 | JQ598917 |  | JQ599008 | GQ457844 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Elapomorphini | Elapomorphus | quinquelineatus | GQ457735 | GQ457794 | JQ598930 |  | JQ599023 | GQ457855 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Elapomorphini | Phalotris | lemniscatus | GQ457756 | GQ457817 | JQ598941 |  | JQ599039 | GQ457877 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Elapomorphini | Phalotris | nasutus | GQ457757 | GQ457818 | GQ895880 |  |  | GQ895822 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Eutrachelophiini | Arcanumophis | problematicus | MH532901 | MH513952 | MH536833 |  | MH536834 |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Eutrachelophiini | Eutrachelophis | papilio | OK299146 |  |  | OK334957 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Hydrodynastini | Hydrodynastes | bicinctus | GQ457742 | GQ457802 | JQ598935 |  | JQ599030 | GQ457862 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Hydrodynastini | Hydrodynastes | gigas | GQ457743 | GQ457803 | GQ895873 |  | JQ599031 | GQ895816 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Hydropsini | Helicops | angulatus | GQ457738 | GQ457797 | AF471037 |  | MN032459 | AF471160 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Hydropsini | Helicops | infrataeniatus | GQ457740 | GQ457799 | JQ598933 |  |  | GQ457859 |

Table 2. Continued

| Family | Subfamily | Tribe | Genus | Species | 16S | 12S | cytb | ND4 | BDNF | c-mos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Hydropsini | Hydrops | triangularis | GQ457744 | GQ457804 | AF471039 |  | JQ599032 | AF471158 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Hydropsini | Pseudoeryx | plicatilis | GQ457765 | GQ457826 | GQ895885 |  |  | GQ895826 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | incertae sedis | Crisantophis | nevermanni | GU018169 | GU018152 |  |  |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | incertae sedis | Xenopholis | scalaris | JQ598915 | JQ598854 | GQ895897 |  |  | JQ599002 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | incertae sedis | Xenopholis | undulatus | JQ598916 | JQ598855 |  |  |  | JQ599003 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae |  | Nothopsis | rugosus | KR814760 | GU018159 | KR814770 | KR814779 |  | KR814768 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae |  | Rhadinella | godmani |  |  | MZ520229 | MZ520309 | JQ599026 | MZ520195 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae |  | Rhadinophanes | monticola |  |  | JX398650 | JX398498 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae |  | Stichophanes | ningshaanensis | KJ719252 | KJ719252 | KJ719252 | KJ719252 |  | KJ638718 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae |  | Tantalophis | discolor |  |  | EF078541 | EF078589 |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Phylodryadini | Chlorosoma | viridissimum | AF158474 | AF158419 | AF236807 |  |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Phylodryadini | Philodryas | olfersii | AF158484 | JQ598830 | JQ598945 |  | JQ599041 | JQ598993 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Phylodryadini | Xenoxybelis | argenteus | GQ457780 | GQ457842 | JQ598944 |  | JQ599040 | GQ457899 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Pseudoboini | Boiruna | maculata | JQ598862 | GQ457785 | GQ895855 |  | JQ599011 | GQ895799 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Pseudoboini | Clelia | clelia | AF158472 | AF158403 |  |  |  | JQ598973 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Pseudoboini | Drepanoides | anomalus | GQ457732 | GQ457791 | GQ895866 |  |  | GQ895810 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Pseudoboini | Mussurana | bicolor | GQ457729 | GQ457787 |  |  |  | GQ457849 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Pseudoboini | Oxyrhopus | guibei | JQ627291 | JQ598822 | JQ598938 |  | JQ599038 | JQ598989 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Pseudoboini | Paraphimophis | rusticus | JQ598864 | JQ598802 | JQ598923 |  |  | JQ598974 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Pseudoboini | Phimophis | guerini | GQ457761 | GQ457822 |  |  |  | GQ457882 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Pseudoboini | Pseudoboa | nigra | GQ457764 | AF544775 | JQ598948 |  | JQ599043 | AF544729 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Pseudoboini | Rhachidelus | brazili | JQ598897 | JQ598837 | JQ598952 |  | JQ599048 |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Pseudoboini | Rodriguesophis | iglesiasi | JQ598891 | JQ598831 | GQ895881 |  |  | GQ895823 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Pseudoboini | Siphlophis | cervinus | JQ598901 | JQ598841 | GQ895888 |  |  | JQ598998 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Pseudoboini | Siphlophis | pulcher | GQ457773 | GQ457834 | JQ598955 |  | JQ599051 | GQ457894 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Psomophiini | Psomophis | joberti | GQ457768 | GQ457829 | GQ895887 |  | JQ599046 | GQ895828 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Saphenophiini | Pseudalsophis | elegans | AF158470 | AF158401 | JQ598947 |  | JQ599042 | JQ598995 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Tachymenini | Calamodontophis | paucidens | GQ457728 | GQ457786 |  |  |  | GQ457848 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Tachymenini | Gomesophis | brasiliensis | GQ457737 | GQ457796 |  |  |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Tachymenini | Pseudotomodon | trigonatus | GQ457766 | GQ457827 |  |  |  | GQ457887 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Tachymenini | Ptychophis | flavovirgatus | GQ457769 | GQ457830 |  |  |  | GQ457890 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Tachymenini | Tachymenis | peruviana | GQ457774 | GQ457835 |  |  | JQ599054 | GQ457895 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Tachymenini | Thamnodynastes | rutilus | GQ457776 | GQ457837 |  |  |  | GQ457896 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Tachymenini | Thamnodynastes | strigatus | JQ598907 | JQ598847 | JQ598959 |  | JQ599057 | KX660420 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Tachymenini | Tomodon | dorsatus | GQ457777 | GQ457838 | GQ895892 |  | JQ599059 | GQ895833 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Tropidodryadini | Tropidodryas | serra | JQ598908 | JQ598848 | JQ598961 |  |  |  |

Table 2. Continued

| Family | Subfamily | Tribe | Genus | Species | 16S | 12S | cytb | ND4 | BDNF | c-mos |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Tropidodryadini | Tropidodryas | striaticeps | GQ457778 | GQ457839 | AF236811 |  |  |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Xenodontini | Erythrolamprus | aesculapii | GQ457736 | GQ457795 | GQ895871 | MN703551 | JQ599060 | JQ599024 | GQ895814 |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Xenodontini | Erythrolamprus | miliaris | AF158480 | JQ598811 | JQ598931 |  |  |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Xenodontini | Lygophis | elegantissiumus | GQ457748 | GQ457808 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Xenodontini | Lygophis | meridionalis | GQ457750 | GQ457810 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Xenodontini | Xenodon | histricus | GQ457753 | GQ457813 | JQ598962 | JQ598982 |  |  |  |
| Dipsadidae | Xenodontinae | Xenodontini | Xenodon | merremi | JQ598911 | GQ457840 | JQ598963 | GQ457868 |  |  |  |

entire; aglyphous, presence of a diastema; low number of teeth: 7 prediastemal maxillary teeth, 2 enlarged postdiastemal maxillary teeth, 8 pterygoid teeth, 5 palatine teeth, 12 dentary teeth; neural spines smooth, ungrooved and not laterally expanded; 175 trunk vertebrae; absence of hypapophyses on posterior vertebrae; caudal vertebrae with distinct haemapophyses; lacrimal foramen large and vertically ovoid; and postorbital bone highly reduced, free from the frontal bone.

Comparisons with known genera of Xenodontinae not included in our phylogenetic analyses: Paikwaophis is distinguished from Amnesteophis (assigned to the monotypic tribe Amnestophiini; Myers 2011) by, inter alia, the dentition: syncranterian (absence of a diastema), 25 maxillary teeth and 30 pterygoid teeth in Amnesteophis vs. diacranterian (presence of a diastema), 9 maxillary teeth and 8 pterygoid teeth in Paikwaophis.

Paikwaophis is distinguished from Baliodryas (assigned to the tribe Eutrachelophiini; Myers and McDowell 2014, Moraes et al. 2021) by, inter alia, the dentition: 25-28 maxillary teeth and 35-36 pterygoid teeth in Baliodryas vs. 9 maxillary teeth and 8 pterygoid teeth in Paikwaophis.

Paikwaophis is distinguished from the genus Cenaspis [unassigned to any tribe, seemingly endemic to the isolated highlands of western Chiapas in Mexico; the only known specimen has been found in the stomach of a Micrurus nigrocinctus (Girard, 1854); Campbell et al. 2018] by, inter alia, the state of the subcaudals and the maxillary dentition: single subcaudals in Cenaspis vs. divided subcaudals in Paikwaophis, and 10-15 maxillary teeth in Cenaspis vs. 9 maxillary teeth in Paikwaophis.

Paikwaophis is distinguished from Cercophis (unassigned to any tribe) by, inter alia, a short tail ( $13 \%$ of total length) vs. a long tail (42-54\% of total length; Cunha and Nascimento 1982, Hoogmoed 2019) in Cercophis, and the maxillary dentition: 22 maxillary teeth in Cercophis vs. 9 maxillary teeth in Paikwaophis.

Paikwaophis is distinguished from Coronelaps (assigned to the tribe Elapomorphini; Lema and Hofstadler Deiques 2010) and Ditaxodon (assigned to the tribe Phylodryadini; Arredondo et al. 2020) by, inter alia, the dentition: opisthoglyphous (grooved fangs) in Coronelaps and Ditaxodon vs. aglyphous opisthodont (ungrooved fangs) in Paikwaophis.

Paikwaophis is distinguished from Incaspis (assigned to the tribe Incaspidini; Arredondo et al. 2020) by, inter alia, the dentition: 10-15 maxillary teeth in Incaspis vs. 9 maxillary teeth in Paikwaophis and the absence of apical pits in Paikwaophis (vs. one or two consistently present in Incaspis).

Paikwaophis is distinguished from Lioheterophis (unassigned to any tribe, monotypic, known only from the holotype collected in Campina Grande in north-eastern Brazil; Amaral 1935, Wallach et al. 2014) by, inter alia, the maxillary dentition: 17 maxillary teeth in Lioheterophis vs. 9 maxillary teeth in Paikwaophis; and a distinct difference in the number of dorsal scale rows: 21 with reduction in Lioheterophis vs. 17 without reduction in Paikwaophis.

Paikwaophis is distinguished from Saphenophis (assigned to the tribe Saphenophiini; Zaher et al. 1999) by, inter alia, the dentition: 19-22 maxillary teeth and 24-26 pterygoid teeth in Saphenophis (Myers 1973) vs. 9 maxillary teeth and 8 pterygoid teeth in Paikwaophis.

Table 3. Best partitioning scheme used for the Bayesian inference of phylogenetic relationships.

| Subset | Best model | Partition names: gene(codon) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | GTR+I+G | cytb(1), 12S, ND4(2) |
| 2 | GTR+I+G | 16S |
| 3 | GTR+I+G | ND4(3), cytb(2) |
| 4 | GTR+G | ND4(1), cytb(3) |
| 5 | K80+I | $\operatorname{BDNF}(1), \operatorname{BDNF}(2)$ |
| 6 | K80+I+G | BDNF(3) |
| 7 | K80+I+G | $c-m o s(2), ~ c-m o s(1)$ |
| 8 | HKY+G | $c-m o s(3)$ |

Comparisons with the sister genus (Xenopholis): Although recovered as sister taxa with high support, Paikwaophis and Xenopholis (Figs 12-15) are strikingly different both morphologically and osteologically. Both genera are easily distinguished by, inter alia (non-exhaustive):
(i) The shape of the head and neck: marked neck and proportionally flatter/longer head in Xenopholis vs. neck poorly marked and short head in Paikwaophis (Figs 3, 4).
(ii) The presence of numerous minute cephalic sensory pits in Paikwaophis (absent in the Xenopholis specimens examined and not reported by Gomes et al. 2020).
(iii) The number of trunk vertebrae: 128-129 in Xenopholis vs. 175 in Paikwaophis.
(iv) The shape of the vertebrae: neural spines are expanded laterally, forming rugose shields divided by a median groove in Xenopholis (Hoge and Federsoni 1974) vs. smooth, ungrooved and not laterally expanded in Paikwaophis (Fig. 12); and hypapophyses on posterior vertebrae are absent in Paikwaophis (present in Xenopholis; Hoge and Federsoni 1974).
(v) The shape of the caudal vertebrae: caudal vertebrae have distinct haemapophyses in Paikwaophis (absent in Xenopholis).
(vi) The dentition: opisthoglyphous (grooved fangs) in Xenopholis (Gomes et al. 2020) vs. aglyphous opisthodont (ungrooved fangs) in Paikwaophis (Fig. 12), and a much higher number of teeth in Xenopholis (13-15 prediastemal maxillary teeth, 14-28 pterygoid teeth, 7-10 palatine teeth and 23-24 dentary teeth; Gomes et al. 2020) than in Paikwaophis (7 prediastemal maxillary teeth, 8 pterygoid teeth, 5 palatine teeth and 12 dentary teeth; Figs 7, 9, 10, 11, 15).
(vii) The shape and size of the lacrimal foramen: small and horizontally ovoid in Xenopholis vs. large and vertically ovoid in Paikwaophis (Fig. 13).
(viii) The shape and size of the postorbital bone: large and projecting ventrolaterally in Xenopholis vs. highly reduced, crescent-shaped in Paikwaophis (Figs 7, 15).

Comparisons with (near-) sympatric dipsadid genera with superficially similar morphology: In the Pantepui region (and northern Brazil) and based on superficial morphology/body plan and fossorial/semi-fossorial habits, Paikwaophis could be confused
only with Atractus Wagler, 1828 (to which it keys out in the paper by Cole et al. 2013) and Apostolepis Cope, 1862. In the field, Paikwaophis is easily distinguished from Atractus (assigned to the subfamily Dipsadinae; Zaher 1999) by the absence of a loreal (present in Atractus; e.g. Hoogmoed 1980, Kok 2006) and two pairs of chinshields (single pair in Atractus; e.g. Hoogmoed 1980, Kok 2006); and from Apostolepis (assigned to the tribe Elapomorphini; see Zaher et al. 2009) by having 17 dorsal scale rows ( 15 in Apostolepis; e.g. Entiauspe-Neto et al. 2021) and paired nasals (nasal plate undivided in Apostolepis; e.g. Entiauspe-Neto et al. 2021). It should be stressed that outside the family Dipsadidae, Paikwaophis could possibly be confused with the colubrid genus Tantilla Baird and Girard, 1853, from which it is distinguished immediately by having 17 dorsal scale rows ( 15 in Tantilla; e.g. Koch and Venegas 2016).

Content: Currently monotypic, containing only Paikwaophis kruki.

Distribution: Known only from the type locality (see 'Holotype' below).

## Paikwaophis kruki gen. nov., sp. nov.

Zoobank registration: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:A7918471-9399-4AEA-AD08-D176E13D53ED

Holotype: RBINS 2734 (field number CPI11310; Figs 4-13), an immature female, collected by D. Bruce Means on 24 February 2021 at 'Sloth Camp' [5.247074${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 60.705471^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$; ~1180 m elevation; Cuyuni-Mazaruni District (Region 7), Guyana, South America], which is located on a broad ridge running downhill along the west fork of the Paikwa River from the bottom of the talus slope below the vertical cliff wall of Wei-Assipu-tepui (Fig. 2).

Etymology: The specific epithet 'kruki' is a noun in the genitive case, honouring Professor Andrzej Kruk (born 1971), the current Dean of the Faculty of Biology and Environmental Protection at the University of Łódź, Poland, for his friendship and his influential contribution in enhancing the quality of research at the University of Łódź.

Diagnosis: The species diagnosis is the same as for the genus.
Description of holotype: The specimen is a small immature female (Figs 4-13), with TL 180 mm , TaL 24 mm (13\% of TL);


Figure 3. Phylogenetic relationships of the family Dipsadidae inferred from a concatenated dataset of six genes (four mtDNA and two nuDNA genes; 3772 bp ) using Bayesian inference. Statistical supports for both Bayesian and maximum likelihood (IQ-TREE) analyses are provided at nodes (see key, lower right inset). Photographs are by D.B.M. (Paikwaophis kruki) and courtesy of the Reptiles of Ecuador book project (Xenopholis scalaris).


Figure 4. Holotype of Paikwaophis kruki (RBINS 2734). A, dorsal view in life (photograph by D.B.M.). B, dorsolateral view of anterior body in life (photograph by D.B.M.). C, ventral view in preservative (photograph by P.J.R.K.).


Figure 5. Pholidosis of the head of the holotype of Paikwaophis kruki (RBINS 2734) (photographs by P.J.R.K.).

HL 7.1 mm (4\% of TL); HW 4.7 mm ( $66 \%$ of HL); snout short and blunt, SnL 2.1 mm (30\% of HL); ED 1.0 mm ; DSN 0.6 mm ; and DNE 1.5 mm . Head poorly distinct from neck;
body robust, slightly wider than high. Dorsal scales rhomboid, smooth, lacking keels or apical pits, DSR 17-17-17. There are 171 ventrals, an undivided anal scale and 38 paired subcaudals.

A


Figure 6. Three-dimensional model of the complete skeleton of the holotype of Paikwaophis kruki (RBINS 2734) based on $\mu$ CT imagery. A, dorsal view. B, ventral view.


Figure 7. Three-dimensional model of the skull of the holotype of Paikwaophis kruki (RBINS 2734) based on $\mu$ CT imagery. A, dorsal view. B, lateral view. C, ventral view. Abbreviations: bo, basioccipital; bs, basisphenoid; col, columella; cp, compound bone; cps, conchal process of septomaxilla; d, dentary; ecp, ectopterygoid; exo, exoccipital; f, frontal; mx, maxillary; na, nasal; occ, occipital; p, parietal; pal, palatine; pfr, prefrontal; pmx, premaxilla; po, postorbital; pro, prootic; psp, parasphenoid rostrum; pt, pterygoid; q, quadrate; smx, septomaxilla; so, supraoccipital; st, supratemporal; su, supraorbital; v, vomer.


Figure 8. Three-dimensional model of the premaxilla of the holotype of Paikwaophis kruki (RBINS 2734) based on $\mu$ CT imagery. A, dorsal view. B, ventral view. C, lateral view. D, anterior view. E, posterior view. Abbreviations: ap, ascending process of premaxilla; pc, premaxillary channel; tp, transverse process of premaxilla; vp, vomerine process of premaxilla. The white arrows point to the rostral end of the cranium.

Dentition diacranterian, aglyphous opisthodont. Prediastemal maxillary teeth 7, postdiastemal teeth 2 .

Rostral 3.6 times wider than high (RW 1.8 mm , RH 0.5 mm ), visible from above, contacting first supralabials, nasals and internasals. Paired internasals 1.3 times wider than long, laterally in contact with nasals. Paired prefrontals large, 1.5 times broader than long, laterally contacting nasals, second supralabials and preoculars. Frontal subtriangular, anteriorly convex, with dorsal termination acute, $\sim 1.2$ times longer than
wide, laterally in contact with supraoculars, laterodorsally in contact with parietals. Elongate single supraocular $\sim 2$ times longer than wide. Parietals large, $\sim 2$ times longer than wide, median suture $\sim 1.5$ times shorter than frontal length. Nostrils located in anterior portion of undivided nasals, which are 2 times longer than high. Nasals in contact with rostral, internasals, first and second supralabials and prefrontals. Loreal absent, seemingly fused with prefrontal. Eyes moderate in size, with vertically oval pupils, poorly visible in


Figure 9. Three-dimensional model of the left maxilla of the holotype of Paikwaophis kruki (RBINS 2734) based on $\mu$ CT imagery. A, labial view. B, lingual view. C, dorsal view. D, ventral view. Abbreviations: ep, ectopterygoid process; pp, palatine process. The white arrows point to the rostral end of the cranium.
ventral view, surrounded by one preocular, one supraocular, two postoculars and third and fourth supralabials. No subocular. Preocular $\sim 2$ times higher than long. Supralabials 7/7, with numerous minute sensory pits, irregularly increasing in size posteriorly; last one $\sim 2$ times longer, but as high as first; second supralabial contacting nasal, prefrontal and preocular; third contacting preocular and eye; fourth contacting eye and lower postocular; fifth contacting lower postocular and anterior temporal; sixth contacting anterior and lower posterior temporals; seventh contacting lower posterior temporal and first scale of dorsolateral and lateral body scale row. Temporals $1+2+3$; first and second temporals about twice as long as high; third temporals almost as long as high. Mental triangular, as long as wide, separated from chinshields by first pair of infralabials, which are in contact with each other along the ventral midline. Infralabials $7 / 7$, with numerous minute sensory pits; fourth the largest, second the smallest; first to fourth contacting anterior pair of chinshields (fourth in point contact); fourth infralabial contacting posterior chinshield and first gular. Two pairs of chinshields; anterior chinshields projecting frontolaterally, about twice as wide as long and $\sim 1.6$ times shorter than posterior chinshields; posterior chinshields $\sim 2.5$ times longer than wide, laterally contacting
fourth infralabial, posteriorly separated from first preventral by two rows of gulars. Anterior row of gulars consists of six scales; second row consists of seven scales.

Summary of morphometric and meristic data: SVL 156 mm ; TaL 24 mm ; TL 180 mm ; HL 7.1 mm ; HW 4.7 mm ; ED 1.0 mm ; DSN 0.6 mm ; DNE 1.5 mm ; SnL 2.1 mm ; RW 1.8 mm ; RH 0.5 mm ; DSR 17-17-17; SL 7/7; IL 7/7; PrO 1; PtO 2; SuO 1; IN 2; LoR 0; TMP $1+2+3$; CS $2+2$; V 171; SC 38.

Coloration of holotype in life (Fig. 4A, B): The top of the head is boldly marked with a dense light yellowish cream colour from the rounded tip of the snout distally to the ends of the parietal shields, and laterally ending abruptly where the top of the head makes a sharp downturn to the vertical sides of the face. Dense black pigment surrounds the nostrils, completely colouring the small rostral, and makes two similar-sized black spots at the distal edges of the prefrontals. Small black specks are scattered loosely on the frontal and parietal scales and in some of the depressions between the head scales. The sides of the face are coal black beginning on the loreal and anterior-most labial in front of the eye and sweeping backwards from the orbit to become a two- to three-scale-wide dorsolateral intense black stripe all the


Figure 10. Three-dimensional model of the left palatine and pterygoid of the holotype of Paikwaophis kruki (RBINS 2734) based on $\mu$ CT imagery. A, labial view. B, lingual view. C, ventral view. D, dorsal view. Abbreviations: chp, choanal process of the palatine; mp, maxillary process of palatine; PA, palatine; PT, pterygoid. The white arrows point to the rostral end of the cranium.
way onto the tail. The eye is entirely embedded in the black lateral stripe. The iris is dark reddish orange or rust coloured. Also beginning on the upper labials are three or four light blue spots that fuse posteriorly and become a very distinct ventrolateral stripe including the ventral-most dorsal scale and a small lateralmost portion of the adjacent ventral scales, running in parallel with the wider black stripe all the way onto the sides of the tail. The ventral pattern is a shiny, slick black colour beginning at the base of the chin and running all the way to the tip of the tail. A bold white to very light blue midventral stripe about one-fifth the width of the black ventral scales begins on the second ventral scale and runs all the way to the anal scale. The undersurface of the chin is densely black, but the chinshields have small whitish blotches, and each lower labial has a bold white oval spot. The dorsal pattern consists of a thin, one-scale-wide, continuous, black line in the middle of an eight- or nine-scale light brown stripe. The main impressions of the colour pattern are a light brown snake with a boldly whitish head and a light bluish streak on dense black sides.

Coloration of the holotype in preservative (Fig. 4C, 5): After 22 months in ethanol preservative, the overall coloration of the holotype appears only slightly faded from the condition of the live snake. The light brown dorsal colour has faded slightly, but the black-pigmented venter, lateral and middorsal stripes
and chin are essentially the same. The blue colour of the lateral and midventral stripes has disappeared from the remaining underlying white colour.

Osteology (Figs 6-13): Skull and postcranial skeleton are well ossified.

Snout: The premaxilla (Fig. 8) is short and blunt. The ascending process is frontolaterally expanded and slanted posteriorly, giving it a wishbone shape from above, with its anterior surface having a sigmoid curvature in the lateral view. The transverse process is short and slightly curved, almost rectangular in shape in dorsal view. The posterior end of the vomerine process bears a notch; it fails to contact the tip of the septomaxilla. Both anterior and posterior sections of the nasal bone are tapered; the dorsal surface is bulged.

Braincase (Fig. 7): The parietal is short and blunt, lacking a conspicuous lateral ridge (= adductor ridge). The lateral aspect of the prefrontal exhibits an expanded lateral lamina. The dorsal margin of the prefrontal bears a notch that almost contacts the lateral edge of the dorsal lamina of the nasal. The lateral aspect of the prefrontal shows a conspicuous outer orbital ridge. The lateral foot process is well developed, in contact with the dorsal surface of the maxilla, where it expands


Figure 11. Three-dimensional model of the left mandible of the holotype of Paikwaophis kruki (RBINS 2734) based on $\mu$ CT imagery. A, labial view. B, lingual view. C, dorsal view. D, ventral view. Abbreviations: AN, angular; CP, compound bone; D, dentary; dpd, dorsal process of dentary; mf, mandibular fossa; pcr, prearticular crest; rp, retroarticular process of compound bone; sac, surangular crest of compound bone; SP, splenial; vpd, ventral process of dentary. The white arrows point to the rostral end of the cranium.
frontally and dorsally as a plate, giving it an inverted T-shape in lateral view. The medial extension is short, poorly differentiated and almost in contact with the pterygoid. The lacrimal foramen is large and vertically ovoid. The anterior margin of the frontal is trapezium-shaped; the lateral margin is slightly convex. The postorbital is highly reduced, crescent-shaped, not in contact with the frontal. Supraoccipital sagittal and adductor crests are poorly developed. The basisphenoid is wide, with no conspicuous lateral ridges on the ventral surface. The parasphenoid rostrum is not divided. The columella is short and thick, approximately as long as the diameter of its footplate.

Palatomaxillary arch: The maxilla (Fig. 9) is short and stout, with a strong sigmoid curvature in dorsal and ventral view. The palatine process of the maxilla is elongate, with its tapered rounded tip strongly curved towards the ectopterygoid process. The ectopterygoid process is expanded, short and sharp. The maxilla has nine teeth on each side, with one row of replacement teeth on the lingual side. The palatine (Fig. 10) bears five teeth, with one row of replacement teeth on the labial side. The choanal process of the palatine is curved towards the rostral end of the cranium and is shark-fin-shaped in dorsal view. The maxillary process of the palatine is short and small. The pterygoid (Fig. 10) is slender
and lanceolate, $\sim 1.6$ times the length of the palatine, bearing eight teeth on its anterior portion only (i.e. approximately half of its length), with one row of replacement teeth on the labial side. The ectopterygoid process is large and well defined, outwardly curved in dorsal view; its anterior part is horizontally expanded, bearing a large notch. The lateral furculum of the ectopterygoid is short and sharp; the medial furculum is straight and elongate with a pointed tip.

Suspensorium and mandible: The mandible (Fig. 11) is stout and moderately curved. The dorsal process of the dentary is upwardly curved and expands further posteriorly than the ventral process. The dentary bone bears 12 teeth, with one row of replacement teeth on the lingual side. The largest dentary nutrient foramen is elongated, oval-shaped and lies below the seventh tooth. The prearticular crest of the compound bone is about twice as high as the surangular crest. The angular is slender and triangular. The splenial is triangular, tooth-shaped and slightly shorter than the angular. The supratemporal is flat and slender, having a sigmoid curvature in the dorsal view, with its posterior margin outwardly curved. The quadrate is expanded and stout, almost triangular in lateral view, and approximately as long as the supratemporal. The supratemporal angular surface of the quadrate is expanded and elongated. The

stylohyal is long, completely fused with the quadrate, strongly protruding backwards.

Dentition: Diacranterian (presence of a diastema), aglyphous opisthodont (ungrooved fangs); prediastemal maxillary teeth: 7/7; postdiastemal maxillary teeth: $2 / 2$; pterygoid teeth: $8 / 8$; palatine teeth: 5/5; dentary teeth: 12/12.

Postcranial skeleton: Trunk vertebrae 175, neural spines smooth, ungrooved and not laterally expanded; conspicuous hypapophyses present on anterior trunk vertebrae only (approximately one-quarter of trunk vertebrae, hypapophyses
abruptly decreasing in size from $\sim 46$ th vertebra), absent on posterior vertebrae; caudal vertebrae 42, with distinct haemapophyses.

Distribution and natural history: The forest at the type locality is a fairly dense growth of small [10-13 cm diameter at breast height $(\mathrm{DBH})]$ to medium $(15-45 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{DBH})$ trees, with an occasional large tree $>45 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{DBH}$. Their trunks are densely grown, with a 5 -cm-deep cover of moss to $\geq 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ above the ground, but many trees are mossy to their canopy limbs. The trees are $\sim 30 \mathrm{~m}$ high, and few have branches until $\sim 25 \mathrm{~m}$. Large aroids with big whorled leaves are epiphytes from ground level to the bottom


Figure 13. Three-dimensional model of the skull of: A, the holotype of Paikwaophis kruki (RBINS 2734); and B, Xenopholis scalaris (NHMUK 2023.3195) in frontal view, based on $\mu$ CT imagery. Abbreviation: lf, lacrimal foramen.


Figure 14. Three-dimensional model of the complete skeleton of the syntype of Xenopholis scalaris (BMNH 1946.1.8.66) based on $\mu$ CT imagery. A, dorsal view. B, ventral view. Note the hemipenial body in pink.


Figure 15. Three-dimensional model of the skull of Xenopholis scalaris (NHMUK 2023.3195) based on $\mu$ CT imagery. A, dorsal view. B, lateral view. C, ventral view. Abbreviations: bo = basioccipital; bs, basisphenoid; col, columella; cp, compound bone; cps, conchal process of septomaxilla; d, dentary; ecp, ectopterygoid; exo, exoccipital; f, frontal; mx, maxillary; na, nasal; occ, occipital; p, parietal; pal, palatine; pfr, prefrontal; pmx, premaxilla; po, postorbital; pro, prootic; psp, parasphenoid rostrum; pt, pterygoid; q, quadrate; smx, septomaxilla; so, supraoccipital; st, supratemporal; su, supraorbital; v, vomer.
of the canopy branches, but only on $\sim 20 \%$ or less of the trees. An understorey layer of small-diameter brush with dwarf palms and long, strap-leaved Rapateaceae exists, with lots of pesky vines that make walking a challenge. The ground is covered with $30-45 \mathrm{~cm}$ of recently fallen leaf litter over peaty soil invaded by fine roots growing upwards from larger roots of the trees. Many of the small- to medium-sized trees are prop-rooted species, with a labyrinth of declivities among them filled with leaf litter and/or moss. These are havens for many tiny frogs that we hear calling all day long and at night. The mossy epiphyte load is not so vigorous as in a more substantial cloud forest, but getting there.

The skull of Paikwaophis kruki appears to be conducive to burrowing in the soft peaty soil immediately under the decomposing top layer of the leaf litter of cloud forests, and we assume that the new genus is fossorial or semi-fossorial, as also suggested by, inter alia, the highly reduced postorbital bones (Cundall and Irish 2008).

Paikwaophis kruki is saurophagous, at least in part, given that we found a few small lizard scales in the large intestine of the holotype.

Unlike its sister genus (Xenopholis), defensive mechanisms, such as body flattening and head hiding (e.g. Mira-Mendes et al. 2013, de Oliveira Meneses et al. 2022), have not been observed in Paikwaophis.

## DISCUSSION

Molecular data strongly support Paikwaophis to be a member of Xenodontinae (Dipsadidae), most closely related to the genus Xenopholis. The sister relationship with Xenopholis is surprising because these two genera are strikingly different both morphologically and osteologically. Given that the holotype of Paikwaophis is an immature female, we used only comparative osteological characters that are not strongly influenced by ontogenetic changes (e.g. dentition and shape of vertebrae; see Palci et al. 2016, for instance). Although a lack of material hampers a thorough osteological comparative analysis with all known Xenodontinae genera, our results suggest that homoplasy is widespread in osteological characters in this group and could confound evolutionary inferences; a finding that is consistent with the results of, e.g. Hofstadler-Deiques and Lema (2005), who inferred close phylogenetic affinities between Elapomorphini and Atractaspididae based on cranial morphology, an assumption unsupported by any recent comprehensive molecular phylogenetic analyses (e.g. Pyron et al. 2011).

Our results provide new insights on the molecular phylogenetic position of Xenopholis, a genus until now considered as incertae sedis based on both morphology (e.g. Zaher 1999, Jansen et al. 2009) and molecular data (e.g. Grazziotin et al. 2012, Pyron et al. 2011, 2015). Recent molecular phylogenies placed Xenopholis either sister to Hydrodynastes Fitzinger, 1843 (e.g. Pyron et al. 2011, 2015, Grazziotin et al. 2012) or to Caaeteboiini (Moraes et al. 2021), all with low support. Given that no data about hemipenial characters are available for Paikwaophis at this stage, we refrain from erecting two new distinct tribes for Xenopholis and Paikwaophis, but we note that the recognition of higher taxa for these two genera could be appropriate.

It should be mentioned that the syntype of X. scalaris in NHM is BMNH 1946.1.8.66 and not BMNH 1946.1.8.60 as reported in the literature and most online databases since Peters (1960).

## CONCLUSION

Paikwaophis kruki is a rare species of aglyphous dipsadid, known only from the recently collected female holotype and probably restricted to the cloud forest of the Eastern Pantepui District in the Guiana Shield highlands. Its closest known relative is the genus Xenopholis, an opisthoglyphous Xenodontinae characterized by unique and distinctive vertebrae (neural spines expanded laterally, forming rugose shields divided by a median groove). Xenopholis is widespread in South America and currently contains three species. The two genera are strikingly different both morphologically and osteologically. The skeletal morphology of Paikwaophis kruki suggests that it is fossorial or semi-fossorial, which could help to explain its apparent rarity. This description brings the number of Pantepui endemic reptile genera to six. To date, Paikwaophis is the only known endemic snake genus in the Pantepui biogeographical region.

## SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data is available at Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society online.
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