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## PREFACE.

THE present edition of the Philippic Orations of Demosthenes aims to meet the wants of the American student. The text is that of the Zürich edition (in Baiter and Sauppe's Oratores Attici), from which, except in matters of orthography and punctuation not affecting the sense, only a single departure has been admitted; to this, which occurs in Phil. II, 12, attention is called in the Notes. The Introduction follows in its main features, and occasionally in its phraseology, the Introduction given by Rehdantz, in his edition of the Philippics; for the most part, however, it has been re-written, in especial reliance upon Schæfer's Demosthenes und seine Zeit. The historical portions are designed to furnish the student who is already familiar with the outlines of Greek History with such additional information as will enable him to understand the historical allusions in the accompanying orations. Sections 61-2, based upon Blass's Attische Beredtsamkeit, Bd. III, call attention to certain points which are not brought out in ordinary accounts of Demosthenes. Arything in the way of a general sketch of Greek oratory, or of a special
characterization of Demosthenes, seems unnecessary in a work like the present, in view of the accessibility of Jebb's Primer of Greek Literature. In the preparation of the Notes, the freest use has been made of all available helps, especially the annotations of Sauppe, Franke, Westermann, Rehdantz, and Heslop. The Analyses are, in the main, taken from Blass's Attische Beredtsamkeit.

The Editor will be grateful for suggestions or corrections of any sort.

FRANK B. TARBELL.

New Haven, Conn., May, 1880.

The references in the Notes to lexicon and grammars have been changed so as to conform to the latest editions; a few other corrections have been introduced.
F. B. TARBELL.

Chicago, Ill., November, 1899.

## INTRODUCTION.

## $1 \mathrm{~N}^{\prime} \mathrm{R}$（） D U（「「「）N．

## The Spartan Hegemony．

T
 ame upheh and strengthened until the outhreak of the Pelopomesian Wrar in $4: 31$ ，hat，at the conclusion of that war
 Sparta hal rerlueed Dthens to alyecet weakness．Withont allies，without ships，withont Long Walls，her treasury and her vitizens impererisherl，Athens hecame for several years a dipher in the general polities of Creece．Sbarta，on the other hamb，now entered on a career of supremacr．Iter control wer the eoasts aml islamls of the Egean was secured by orerthowing the existing governments of the cities，and cre－ ather in carh an oligarchy of ten citizens，called a dekarehy or dekalardy．subservient to the Spartan policer，and sup－ ported hy a spartan hamost and garison．With these tools at her disposal，barta exercised over the（ireck world an op－ pression which was soon felt to he intolerable．

Etlective resistance to this opreresion hegan at Thebes． In ：3！．this state hecame involved in hostilities with Sparta， and Athens，now somewhat revived，was easily induced （o）join the struggle against her hated conqueror．At the instance wi the Thelnans she sent into Beotia a force of hoplites and cavalry，which，to he sure，arrived at Haliartus finst after the Thehans had won a decided victory ower one Spartan army．hut which did material servier to the eatuse be completing the riseonragemont of the remaining Spartan troops，and so cansing their hasty retreat into the Pelopome－
sus (iv. 17) Encouraged hy the success of these efforts. Thehes, Athens. Corinth, and Argos now combined against sparta in a war, which, from being carried on mainly in Corinthian territory, was called the Corinthian War. Of the Athenian generals who figured in this contest, Polystratus. Iphikrates. Chabrias, and others, Iphikrates was the most prominent. distinguishing himself by the state of efliciency to which he brought his army of Athenians and mercenaries, ame especially by his exploit, long remembered by the $\Lambda$ thenians with extreme pride, of amihilating a Spartan mora, or division of 600 men, at Lechæum (iv. 23-4).

The Corinthian War dragged along indecisively for several years, until, in 387 , the Spartan Antalkidas suceceded in inducing the King of Persia to impose peace upon the Greek world. The Peace of Autalkidas nominally secured autonomy to every (ireck sitate, except that the islancts of Lemmos, Imbros, and Skyros, which had heen acquired by Athens about a century before, were suffered to remain as portions of $A$ thenian territory (iv. $\bullet_{7}^{7}, 3,3,3.4$ ) ; but actually the spartans, who were entrusted with the execution of the terms of the Peace, made use of it to maintain their own ascemdency. Their despotic hold mon the (ireek cities was not relaxed me til, in 379, the expulsion by the Thehans of the Spartan garrison which hat for three years ocerphed their citadel, gave the signal for a gencral revolt. Tthens at once exerted herself to establish a new maritime confederacy, having for its olject resistance to the haterl opmession of Sparta. She renommerd all interference with the domestic attairs of her allies, even promising that her citizens should not be permitted to acquire property in the territory of any member of the confederation ; the allies were all to stamd on an equal footing, and their common interests to be cared for hy a Feleral Diet sitting in Athens; fimally, the peconiary contributions needed were fixed at a moterate figure, and called by a new name, -syntaxis instead of phoros. - in order to arvid the associations which had
gathered around the latter word in the Confederacy of Delos. Fommed on these just and mutually satisfactory terms, the new confederacy cane, by the year :3-3, to mamber upwats of serenty (aties, chiefly on the coasts and islands of the Jigean, but including also Theles. The strength of the league was turned aganst sparta in the so-called Beotian IVar, and the naval victory of Naxos, won by the Athenian commanter, Chahrias, over the Spartans in : 376 , may he regarded as marking the downfall of the Spartan Ifencmony (ix. 2:3). It was, howerer, reserved for the The hans, now dissociated from the Athemian confederacr, to inflict upon the Spartans at Leuktra, in : $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{a}}$, that crushing defeat which reelucerl them thenceforth to the third place among the states of Creece.

## Greece at Philip's Accession.

In Borotia, the Peace of Antalkidas, so long as it was observed, hard maintaned the sereral commmities in independence of one another, but within a few years after the urising in :30. Thebes had suceceded, by dint of destroying dities hostile to herself, as Platiea and Thespie, in uniting the whole district under her own headship. The victory at Leukfra raised this unifed Borotia to the rank of a widely influential state (ix. 2:3). Under the guidance of the great general and statesman Epaminondas, the 'Thehans extended their authority over the adjacent districts, - Phokis, Lokris, Malis, Euhnea, most of Thessaly, etc. They even pushed their influence into the Peloponnesus. Here the Areadians, who had long heen suhnissive allies of Sparta, were organized into an anti-Spartan conferleracy, dependent upon Thebes. Messenia was torn from Laconia, and made an independent state. Other Peloponnesian states made common canse with Thebes. And thongh with the death of Epammondas, in 362. Thehes derdined in indluence, she remained without dispute the strongest continental power in Greece.
 between :3it and :3.7. During this period samos was suc-
 ocempied hy Athemian kileruchis: the region romel the Thermaic ( 'ulf: including the important towns of P'relna. Potidara, and Alethome, wat captured (iv. f), and to Potideat Aheniam kleruhth were sent (si. -2(1) : and other actuisitions were made. And. in :3:T. When amme of the Eubnem cities (sere \& 5) got into (liflicultics with Thehes, and applied to A thens for help, the Athenitms. with a promptitule ant energey rare for that genematm. amit thither a foree which mescmed the threatened cities, and homght the whole island muder A themian control (iv. 17).

But though. at the moment of Philip's aceession, Athens mementer and cutwand show of prosurity and power. events soon showed that sike was untit to grapple with a strong ancl wetermine chemy. In compraiwon with the Age of Pericles. the Age of Demesthenes was ann ase of dercline in prolitical wisitom and monlene in patriotiom. in vigor. - a dectinc
 striking there becalue of the greater prominence of the eity and the greater thinge experetul of her. Theles. whereas in the lifth century. b. . . the Athemians hand heen formand to risk their lives in military mervice, in the fourth century they were extremely reluctant to moderen its hamelips. and put forth its efforts. If ence the amployment of mercemaries (Eeqoot) became more and more common. .' There arose (as in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries in modern Europe) (ondottieri like (hamidemus amd oithems. - generals having mereenary hands under their command. ame hixing themselves out to any prince of petentate who wouk cmploy and pay them.
 me. civie ties. - lacorate- make repeated complaint, ats one of the most sidions mistortunes of (irecece." (firote. Hist.

the ecntury the mereemariwe employed hy Athens in the (: . rinthian Wiar had been only an adjunct to the ritizen forer
 tury to entrust military expelitions entively for merernarios (iv. 24), while the citizens remainerl at home. Elen How generals and other amy-oblieers shared the prexaling aworsion to taking the field, and all but one of the number hahitually staid in Athens, content with figuring there in festival-day processions (iv. 26).

Not only, howerer. Were the military umbertakings of Athens entrusted to mereenaries. but these mereenaries were only irrecularly and insufticiently pate As the Theorice Fund (see s. 51 ) ahsorhed all the money of the puble treasury not needed for other peace expenses, and at the Ithenitns were unwilling to relinguish or remluce this Fund, the necersatry means for paying an army conld he raised only by the unpopmar methorl of a direet property-tax. Tos this methorl they were slow to resort : and the general in connmand, not receiving from Athens the wages-money due and promiserl to his soldiers, might neeglecet the oljecet for whidh he hat heen sent out, and comise about the Aerean, foremge contrihutions from helpless allies (iv. ol, 4.y) ; or he might even enter temporarily into the service of some foreign power which promised to reward him well : as, in the midst of the Social IV:ar (see $\$ 10)$, the Athenian gencral Chares, without authorization from home, entered into the service of Artabazos. the revolted satrap of Daskylium (iv. -2. $)$. It is no great wonder that, in such a state of things as this, the generals came to feel estranged from their city, or that, on the other hamel, politicians at home. ignorant of military allairs. hought freduent and often wholly undeserved charges of high treason against the generals (iv. 47).

It would, indeed, be a mistake to represent the Athens of the Age of Demosthemes as making only small sacritices in war. Her total outlay in men and money was great. lout
her efforts were fitful. tarly, inefficient. Again and again, owing to lack of previous preparation and of proper energy, costly expeditions arrived at their destinations too late to do any thing (seess $17,18,21$ ). Ant it wats only in exereptional crises (see $\leqslant s, 22$ ) that the Athenians could throw off their apathy and act in a manner worthy of their forefathers.

## The Social War.

10 The Athenian confellaray hecame in twenty years unpopular, for Athens did not long maintain the spirit of justice in which she had organized that league. IIer allies became alarmed at her self-aggrandizing schemes, exhibited in the acquisition of territory for her private advantage (see $\S 6$ ), and they became indignant at the treatment which they received from her generals (see $s 8$ ). On these grounds all the larger members of the conferleracy except Eubuea and Leshos, viz., Chios, Kos, Rhodes, and Byzantium. revolted in 357. Thus began the social War, in which Ithens made feeble efforts to bring back the secerting states, and which ender in 355 with the recognition of their independence. Not only, therefore, was the attention of $\lambda$ thens party diverter from Philip during the first fears of his aggressions, but the public treasury was reduced hy the loss of a considcrable part of the contributions from allies. Thus doubly did the Social War fiver the canse of Macedon.

## Progress of Philip from 359 to 351.

11 Down to the time of Philip. Macectonia was a state of small political importance. The mass of its people, though seemingly of a race akin to the Grecks, had hat throughout the historic period no commmity of development with the Greeks. Rude and fierce, they were accounted barharians by the Grecks, and despised accordingly. Not so the Macedo.
nian kings. 'These, aceording to a generally acoredited tradition, were genuine Hellenes. It was believed, amp perhaps it was true, that in pre-historic times, say in the eighth contury, B.C., an Argive family had settled in Macerlonia, and acquired sovereignty there; and that from this family the subsequent line of kings was descended.

These kings gradually extended their frontiers, and some 12 of them mate efforts to introluce Creek culture ; lout, until the time of Philip, they did not succeed in building up a strong nation. The country was a prey to intestine feuls, especially on the oceasion of the death of a king ; for, in the absence of : any recognized law of royal suceession, such an occasion was the signal for bloody struggles between the several clamants to the throne. So it was at the death of P'erdikkas III, 13 in 359. Among the various competitors at the time, one was Perdikkas's brother Philip, then in the twenty-third year of his age. He had spent some years at Thehes as a hostage. where he had had opportunity to familiarize himself with Greek culture, and to study the arts of govermment and war. During the last few years of his brother's reign he had held viee-regal command over a province of the kingdom, and had thus acquired a military force of some importance. On the throne's becoming vacant, he promptly asserted his clams, and, by virtue of his superior sagacity and vigor, rapidly disposed of his rivals. Once fairly established at home, he proceeded to deal with the Paonian and Illyrian tribes that harassed his northern and north-western borders. 'The Pixonians were reduced to a state of quiescence and submission, and the Illyrians, who had pushed into north-western Maceclonia in considerable numbers, were driven back beyond Mt. Pindus.

Macedonia was still without a seahoard. The cities about 14 the Thermaic Gulf owner allegiance to Athens (see \$6). The Chalkidian peninsula was dotted with upwards of thirty Gireek cities, now united into a conferleracy of which Olynthus

Was the hear. Farther east. near the mouth of the river Strymon. stood the city of Amphipolis. which. fommed ly the Athenians in 4:3t. amb prized as an invaluable pessession on accoment of its commanding position amb rappidly acopired strength, hat heen lost to them twelve fears later, and, spite of constant hopes and repeated efforts, never recovered. Athens, then, the Olynthian confederacy, and Amphipolis shut Philip in from the sea.
15 It was in comection with Amphipolis that the tricky and ambitions pelicy of Philip first hecame manifest. It the ontset of his refign, in making overtures to the Athenians for an alliance. he remoneerl all pretensions to the posession of that city. to which, howerer, after hetving secomed his northern and western frontiers. he laid siewe in :357. The Amphipelifans then sent envors to Athens to implore aid. Compliance with this suit womb, in all prombility. have enabled dthens, with little effort and in the most amicalle waty. to rexatin her much-enverem colony: But simultaneonsly with the emmors (anne a letter from Philip, in which he reeognizerl the justice of the Athenian clams to Amphipolis, and promised to suremere it to Athems on its caphure. 'Jhese delusive assuraneres were listened to. Philip prosesuted the siegre mhindered. and effected the redurtion of the phace in the same year. partly therosh the airl of traitors within the walls. Thus le acopuired a town of great value as a seaport, as commamting the pats over the lower Strymon into Thrace, and as opening the way to the rich gold amd silver mines of Mt. Pangedun. To relinguish to $\$ thens a position of such importance was far from heing in Philip's plans.
16 The fate of Amphipolis alamerl the neighboring Olyuthians. who, during or immediately after the siege just mentioned, sont envoys to Athens to conclute an alliance asounst Jhilip. Sitch an alliane might have proved the salration of (ireece. but the A themians rejerted it. They were still disposed to maintain friendly refations with Philip. and secret negotia-
tions were now on foot lowking to the uxphange of Amphipolis for P'relna. Instead. howerer, of matime this crathaner. Philip
 as at Amphipolis, he hath tho (f)-(p)emation of a parly of thaitors, who threw open to hinn their witco. Fromn his fink until 346 Athenss and King Philip were in at mite of mutnal hostility.

The Olynthians having heen repul-at in -ifhen- in their 18


 sirahle member of the ()lynthian comberleraty : anse alow hey pronising to recorer for then the neighlontay lown of I'oti-



 the phace were allowed to return to Atherns: the other inhathi-
 territory incorporated with that of Olynthus (vi. 20 (1).

During the following two years or so. Philipis activit! was 18.
 ontans, and Illyrians, dmong the last natherl of whom he is sail to have fommed strmololds for his own un- (iv. f.人).
 sion of Athens on the Thermetic (iulf. Aemin, as in the c:ace of Poticlat, the armanent sent ont he the Athenians armed fon late (iv. :3.j). With the caphure of Thethone, Philip latrl excluded Athens forever firom the Manedonian conast. With the exeeption of the Chalkiclian peninsula, the whole rembtry from Mt. Olympus to the river Nestes was nuw under Maceconian rule, ant the king wats reaty for agoressive interference in the midst of Greece itself.
 had witnessed the conclusion: of the =ombal 11 ar. hand witnesect
the outbreak in Creece of another intestine feurl. the so-called (Second) Sacred Wrar. The parties to the Sacred W ar were, on the one hand. Phokis, which, since the battle of Leuktra, had heen payng mowilling allegiance to Thebes (see s 5), and on the other. Thebes, with the remainder of her allies. The Phokians secured the nominal alliance of Athens and Sparta, but they received little material aid from abroad. Their main strength came from the temple of I clphi, whose vast treasures they appropriated, at first with the intention of making ultimate restitution, lut afterwards as mblushing spoilers. This wealth emabed them to collect a mereenary fore formiclable enough to give them the advantage over their enemies. In :35) they occupied Thermopyla, a stratergic position of immense importance, as the sole and impregnable pass between
20 Northern and C'entral Greece. They even extended their influence into Thessaly. IIere the two joint tyrants of Phere were strugoling, like their predecessors for fifty years back, to gain undisputed mastery over all Thessaly. With these tyrants the Phokians allied themselves, while the anti-lheratu party called in the assistance of Philip. At first the Phokians proved more than a match for the king, defeating him in :3.5: in two battles ; but on his return in the spring of 3.5 , they were themselves overwhelmingly defeated, and lost all footing in Thessaly. The tyrants of Phere had to fly from 21 before Philip (vi. 22). Pagasae, the port of Phere, on the Pagasaan Gulf, was then besieged by him. The siege was long enough to armit of sending to Athens for aid, and an expedition for the purpose was actually despatched by $\Lambda$ thens, but was once again too late (iv. 35). Philip appropriated this valuable harbor, as also the district of Magnesia, and be(ame, in fact, virtual master of all Thessaly. The famons Thessalian cavalry was now at his service; his revenues were at:gmented by Thessalian customs duties ; and the possession of another seaport with its shipping greatly increased his capacity for doing mischief on sea. It is now that we begin to
hear of his crusers as phatering the emmbere of the $\backslash$ thernian allies, and as committing alopertations al Lommes and

 him to foment hy written commmaications discatisladion in that island (iv. 37).

After securing his position in Thessaly. Philip matherl 22 towachs Themopyle, which was sthllelh hy The Phokians. This was a movement mosit threatening to Jthens, ancl the Atheniths were not insensilhe to their danere. With a promptitucte worthy of their hest dal!s, they despatched hes seal a force, chicelly or wholly eitizens, which put, the pats in such a state of defense that Philip thomeht it prument toretire (iv. 17). Fow the present, Themopple remained the safeguard of Central Greece.
liepmbed in this (puarter, Philip smbenly appeared, in the en
 Thracian Cheponmes. an Ithenian possession of great value. It allied himself with Periuthas amel Isyantion (ix. :3). ganed intluence among the Thracian tribes, ame lat sieqe fo Hereon Teichos, near the Chersonese. In alarme for the safety of the peminsula, the Athenians voted to raise sixty talents of money ame to despatch at once forty trimenes. mamed with Athenian citizens (iy。 \& ) . IBut Philip presently fill sick. and rmmors of this, and even of his dath (iv. 11). arriving at $A$ thens before the expertition could be got mater way, the Ithenians sulsided into inatetivity. Surh was the
 livered his First Philippic.

## Life of Demosthenes from 383 to 351.

The story of Demostheness emty life is atory of wronge - ?
 matufacturer, named also Demosthomes, the futmo orator her
came an orphan at the age wit sever. The diler Demosthenes left behind him a property, large for those times, of about fourtern talents. The bulk of which was chtrusted to three gruardians for his son. Ibut instath of doubling this property by eareful manacement, as they might well have done, the guarlians, in spite of protestations and appeals, criminally stuamered it, wo that, on hecoming of age at the begimning of his cighteenth year, the son and heir received little more than a talent of his inheritance. Shy in manners, and far from robust in health, the roung Demosthenes wats amimated ly an intense sense of his wrongs. and with umbonded enorgy and persererane songht to redress them. Itaring fitted himself for his task hy a course of instruction muder Isems, the most eminent law of of his day loe spent more than five rears - such were the opportmities for shifts and evasions aflorded 10 rogues hy the Attic courts - in prosecoting the gumplans ; and thongh he seems never to have recosered more than a small part of the money which was his due. he succeeded in ahmonantly vinticating the justice of his canse.
2.) Thais experience left a lasting impress upon his life, not only by intensifiving his hatred of wrong ame clesire to right it, hut ako hy determining the eareer upon which he should enter. He hecame a lowneriphos. or composer of speeches for the use of litigants in the dikasteries. And though this profession had heen bronght into disrepute by the unscmpulousness of many who follower it, there is nothing to show that it ever induced Demosthemes to stoop to any thing dishomoralnle. It first he deroted himself to civil cases only, hut in a few years he begran to take up public cases, or cases conducted in the interest of the state. These introducerl hinn into the fiek of politics. Four sereches in pulblic suits, viz., those Agrainst Androtion, Ieran-t Leptines. Igainst 'Timokrates, and A品inst Aristokmates, of which only the one Igainst Lepptines was delivered ly the author hinself, belong to the years 355-352.

During this period Demosthenes also made his appearance $2 f$ as a parliamentary orator. Ite addressed the ecelesia for the first time in 354 , in an oration On the Symmories, and again in 353 , in an oration For the Mewalopolitans. The First Philippic probably helongs to the sprine of the year 351. There was at the time no special emergency to he met, hut the general suljecet of the war with Macedonia comine up for discussion, as it had often done before, Demosthenes came forward to advise the adoption of measures more eflecient than those which had been previonsly employed. His main recommendation was that a standing forre, consisting not of mercenaries only, but of mercenaries and citizens, should be organized and kept in the neighborhood of the Macerlonian coast, for the purpose of harassing Philip. 'This recommendation he urged with arguments and appeals, and with a seorn for the languid policy of his countromen which in after years he learned to express with somewhat more of moderation (iv. 51) . The First Mhilippie $\cdot$ is not merely a splendid piece of "oratory, emphatic and forcihle in its appeal to the cmotions; "hringing the audience hy many different roats to the main "conviction which the orator seeks to impress ; profomully " anmated with gemuine Pan-hellenic patriotism, and with the "d dignity of that free (xrecian world now threatened by a "monarch fon without. . . . We find Demosthenes, jet "only thirt $[$-three $]$ years old - young in political life "and thirteen years hefore the hattle of Charoneia - taking "accurate measure of the political relations between Athens "and Philip; examining those relations during the past, "pointing ont how they had become every year more unfavor"able, and foretelling the dangerous contingencies of the " future, unless leetter precautions were taken: exposing with "courageous frankness not only the past mismanagement of "public men, but also those defective dispositions of the peo"ple themselyes wherein such management had its root; " lastly, after fatult found, athenturing" on his own responsi-
"bility to propose specific measmres of correction, and urging "upon reluctant (itizens a painful imposition of personal "hardship as well as of taxation." (Grote, Hist. (ireece, vol. xi, pp. 442-443).
27 We are not informed whether the motion of Demosthenes on this occasion was carriect or not. In all probahility that feature, at any rate, upon which he justly laid most stress, the personal participation of the citizens in a permanent expedition, - was not adopted. The habitual listlessness of the Athenians, the insensibility of many to the threatening mature of Philip's aggressions, the craven feeling in others of inability to cope with him, perhaps, too, the influence of citizens acting in the interests of Macedon, of whom we now hear for the first time (iv. 18), conspired to nentralize the effecet of the orator's magnifieent harangue. Yet his words may not have heen wholly thrown away, hut, apart from the increase of reputation which they must have brought him, may have led to the blockade of the Macedonitan coast of which we hear shortly after, and to other measures of which no traces are preserved.

## Fall of Olynthus.

28 The powerful city of Olynthus, standing at the head of the Chalkidie confederation, remained for some years in alliance with Philip (see $\leqslant 17$ ). But his self-agerandizing policy prohahly at last aroused the Olynthians' alam ; and in 3.52. while he was engaged in Thessaly, the phil-Athenian party among them (ix. 5 (j) hronght the city, in disregard of Macedon, to conclude peace (not allisuce) with Athens. In consequence of the state of feeling indicated hy this move, the relations between Philip and Olynthas become so far from amicable that the former, on his return in 351 from his Thracian experdition (see $\$ 23$ ), made some threatening movement towarls the Chalkidian terntory (iv. 17). A temporary accommoration Was, however, patched up, and during the short term of noni-
inal friemthhip which followed, Philip seems to have heen at, work to win over partis:ans in the various Chalkidle cities ; his suceresis oll (lynthes itself is shown hy the hanishment from that city of the anti-Maerdonian lealer, Apollonides (ix. Ifo. (i6). When as much as possible had heen done in the way of e! seret intrigue, he proceerled in :3t! to overt hostilities ; which, to be sure, mutil he was within five miles of (Hynthus, he dedared were not direeted agsanst that dity itself (ix. 11). The Olynthians, not deecived lyy this tlimsy pretense, appeated, in their extremity, to Athems to conclude an offensive and defensive alliance. I (mosthenes, in his three Olynthiae Orations, secombled the appeal, and with suceess. 'The alliance was accepted and aid sent in three sucerssive expeditions, hut all to no purpose. (One after another the cities of the peninsula fell before the arms of Philip. Imtil, at last, in " 3 th, the treason of the two cavalry commanders, Lasthenes and Enthylatates, consigned Olyuthus to al like fate (vi. 21 ; ix. off, (if). Such of the inhahitants as had not perished in battle or made their escape from the district, were sold into slawery, and their cities, thirty-two in number, were razed to the ground (ix. 26).

## The Conclusion of the Peace of Philokrates.

The destruction of the Chalkidic cities was in itself enough 30 to spread horror and alarm throughout (ireece, hat the effect of these disasters upon Athens was made ten-fold greater hy the fact that numerons Ithemian citizens, serving in Olynthus, hat heen taken captive hy Philip. Ahd to this that since the accession of Philip, the Sthenian confederacy hat heen steadily dwindling, so as now to inclute only the smaller maritime states, such as Thasos, Skiathos (iv. :32), Pebarethus, Prokomesens. 'Penedos, ete.. and that the military efforts of Athens, tamy and ineftectual as they hat been, hat yet mate a heary drain upon the resourees of the state amd one camnot wonder that a cessation of hostilities cane to be eagerly

31 desired. Eren hefore the fall of ()lynthus the Athenian actors,
 safety in a hostile (emutry, had hrought from lhilip assurances of his reatliness for peace with thens. ho hath also Ktesiphon, who had gone to Macerlonia on an embasis in the midst of the war (vi. 2s). ()n the remewal of these assurances through Aristodemme after the destruction of ()lynthes. a certan Philokrates proposerl in the Ithenian assembly that ancmbasy of ten men he sent to Philipe to treat for peace. The motion was paseed. and anong the envops appointed were the mover, Philokrates. and the orators. Fischines and Demosthenes. On most of these men Philip matle a rery favorable impression, which, in sereal cases, he prohably strengthened hy me:ms of hribes (ri. :3). They returned to Athens caty in the spring of 346 . and were followed shortly after hy Macedonian plenipotentiaries. Hereupon Ihilokrates. who wats almost certainly in the pay of Philip, moverl that peace amd alliance be concluded hetween Philip and his allies on the one hancl, and Athens and her allies, but with the exception of the Phokians, on the other, on the terms proposed hy the king ; viz., that each party retain its present 32 prosessions. The propesal to exelurle the Phokitus from the treaty was one both dishomomble and damerous to Athens: (lishonorahle, becanse they were allies of Athens (see §19), and datucroms, herause, if they were left alone to withstand lhilip. he wats likely to effect the passage of Themopelte, and so hate mhindered aceess into Central Creece. 'To this excluding clate. therefore, strong opposition was made by Demos. thenes and other patriots; but the Macedonian plenipotentiares were firm in insisting uron it, and philiphizing 1 thenian orators. such as Phikhrates amt ABehines, represented that the mefisal to arlmit Phokis to the treaty hy no means incleated hostile desions on the part of Philip aeranst that state, hut was due to his mwillinges to offend the ememies of Phokis. the 'Thehaths and Thessalians, with whon he was on friendly
 sublered themselves to he prestaled, amb. insisting only on the omission of the excluding elanse from the letter of the convention, tacilly connplied with its requirements ly swearing in the preseneq of the Maxembiath moys the anstomany ratifying oaths, bowether with the reperentatives of their allies generally. hut not of the lhokians. The ten Ithenian :i: ambassadors wore then directorl hy the perople to make a second journey to remetie the wathe of lhilip and his allies (vi. Ə!) . Now Ihilip wat engeed at the tine in phshing his conguests in 'Tharace, to the injury of' Jthens; and ats he Was likely fo interpert his aceremont with $\Lambda$ thens so freely as (1) retain everything he conld get in) to the moment of connpleting hy his oath the ratification of the treaty, it was important to check his operations as soon as possible. But. in spite of the remonstranees of Demosthenes, the emblassy lingered in A thens. loitered on the way, and finally refised to go to Philip in Thrace, hut waterl for him at Perla, his (appital. Fifty days after their departure fom Athens, Philip) returned home, having in the interval (:aphere stronghold after stronghold on the 'Thracian coast, of which some, ats Serrhimn and Hieron Oros, hatd heern ocerpied hy Athenian sarrisons shortly hefore peace nexotiations hex:m (ix. lis).
 Greek states, as well ats that from Jthens, awaited hime With these in his train, he marcher on into Thessealy, all the while leading the Phokians to heliere that he meant them no harm (ix. 11), and holding out hopes of andrantage to everyborly. Finally, on reaching lherat, he swore the Peace of Philokiates, and the Athenian envoys took their depanture. arriving at $\Lambda$ thens abont the middle of the smmmer of : 3.16 . after an alsence of serenty (Tays. Demosthenes was now :3.7 thoronghly alamed at the pesition of allairs, and at a meeting of the semate ( $\beta$ ondy), of whith lue was a member, he conjured the eity not to ahandon Thermopyle :me? The Pho-
kians. But in the assembly (imadyriu) of the following day:
 me:m mo harm for the lhokitus. hat womh humbla Theles

 Amphipolis (see \& fi.). to which the Athenians -till fomme cherished the ir claims: he would ewems se Ferdines hintert. re-attach to Attical the fromtier town of ( Oequs. which. twent!
 ix. !1). In vain did Dembethemes protest. The perple pre-
 apmoringly when Plitokrates cricul out. " Ňo wonder. men of Atherns. that Demesthemes and I the not think alike' fore he drinks water. hut I. wine " (vi. .3(1) A rote of the reople extemed the peace to the deseremituts of the contracting parties (vi. :31) : and demanded of the lhokians the sumemere of the termple at Delphii to its immemorial defiemeres, the Ampliktroms. Desertel thus hy Athens, the Phokian ame rapitulatemb and Philip. lewing now unhinlered at 'Thermonyta' (vi. 7, 35), entered at once into Central Greece.
Bif Ite concealed his dexigns no lomger. It a meeting of the Amplikitynice Assembit. hede soon atter. not omly were the Phokians forever excluded from the Amphiktyonic Leage. :and their votes framsfiereel to Philip, :mel his successons, hut the amihilatien of the Phokian nation was deereech. This deeree wats spectily exemtem. The cities of lhokis were destroyed. ame their inltalitants seatteren into villates (ix. 1!) 2:3) . Many fle the comitry, which heceame a seene of desos) lation and misery. The Thelans and Thessalians, on the other hame, whese forees ham joinem lhilip (vi. 1.4), receiverl sul) stantial benefits. In the interests of the The hans the
 fowns which hatd wided with Ihnkis. Were razerl, and all lowe otia was : :quin mited muler Thernes (vi. 1:i) ; while the Thessalians, hesides recovering the ILeduin, or leating place in the

Amphiktronic Assemhly : and in the administration of the 'Temple of Delphi, were preable with the fortres of Nikata meal
 restored to them (vi. $2: 2$ ) . Finally, to Philip himself, fogether with the 'Thehans :und Thessali:ms, the Amphiktyonic: Assembly ascigned the powemey of the l'ythian games (ix. $\therefore \dot{2}$ ). Philip, in fact, hand forced his way into the ritele of
 nized champion of the ancient Amphiktonic Leatro.

## The Years of Nominal Peace (346-340).

Radely as the expertations of the Athenians had been dis- 87 :Myeninterk, the city could not do hettm than accept the state of thing whelh her own folly hal honght about. Philip. on his sirle. W: leace at least to the extent of ablaining trom direct ageressions mon thterns, thooble he wat fill from construing that convention, as I (omosthemes dees (ix. 17), to rerpuire him to subside into inactivity. ()n the contrary, he was constantly hasy in strengthening and extending his power. After an experlition against the Iliymians and Inatanians, he tightened his hold upen Thes-aly hy ocrelpein! with a Macedonian
 hathor-revenues (ser-2 1 ) and hy buttin the whole country under the control of a hoard of ten of his matisans (vi. 2-2). In the Peloponnesus. likewise, he mate himself fe'st. Itere, Sparta was, as usnal. in a state of hostility toward Argos and Messeme, the latter of which states she was secmingly trying to recover (see s. ) : lut Ihilip demanded the recognition by Sparta of ILessenian intepentence (vi. 1:3), and sent mercenaries to Irgos and Messene, whom le promised to follow sperdily in person (vi. ! , 1.5). With at riew to comenteracting his efforts in this quattor, the Ithenians armt an embatisy into the Pelopomesus, on which Demosthencis served. But the
warnings mitich the orator :uldresecet to the Argives and
 39 received at the time womed no lating elfect (vi. 2li). Ac(a)rdingly. a litte hame at sume time in the yan :311, envers from the er Fater came to Athens to complain of the Ithenian acthesion to Hparta. It is presumatle that this conlasey was
 envors from Ahacelon, ariving at the same time. jomert their


 siter. malde one of its atpeets, the reigning 'puestion of the time. the (que-tion low for ceat with Philip. It was on this oceanion that Bemo-thenes didiserel the Fecoud Philippic. an oration which nowels serven to introluce the reading of a paner promed hy the omar as a suitan? reply to the envors. The haramge urge the Ithemians to he watchtinl againat the king, and clemometa the philippizing party in the cit!: As for the reply itadi. its trener can only he ghement. for the docmunent is lost. . The tone of the epreerd leants as to sup)"pose that the reply mathe monaterial concen-iom; . . yet "it so fur" sati-lien Philip amp his allines as to aroid an ope"n "r mpture." ('Thinhall. Hist. (imener, cho. shr.)
40 Other Pelepmam-ians states ho-ides Argos amel Messeme pasied muter Philifis control. The Arearlians were devoted to him: : mad in lisis a philippizing party overthew the existingeremment and atharben the state to the interests of Macemon (ix. 27). Nonth of the Isthmus, in Megara, a sinilar att cmpt was marde. hut this failech apparently through the exertions of Athene (ix. 17. 27). Again, the island of Euhna: where e:llance the Athenians had secured in 357 (sce: s ( ) , hand (arly heconce an onject of Philip's intrigues
 Hroken ment there. the Athmi:n- hall taken sirles with Plutarech, uyrant of Eretia. :ho, after betray ing them, had quitted the
island with his mercenaries（ix．57），and all Eubma harl heren aded to the list of Phalip＇s allies．Still，in the principal eities， 11 phil－Athemian patios maintamed themselves or revived．In Chatkis，such a party succeeded in ：3l：－2 in effecting an alliance with $A$ thens，while in Eretria and Oreos the philipr－ pizers carried the day．＇Thns in Liretria the Macertonian faction，healed by Kiliturchus，was strong enomgh to（athse the dismissal of an Athenian emhtisy（ix．（fif），and finally to expel their opponents（ix．it），who took refuge in the port of Porthmus．Philip then sent mereenaries unter Itip－ ponikus，razet the fortifications of Pombmus（ix． $3: ⿱ 丶 万 ⿱ ⿰ ㇒ 一 乂, ~), ~ i n-~$ stalled Klitarchas and two others as tyrants of Eretria，athl frustrated two attempts of the banished citizuns tor rembeate
 fered hy Euphratus to the philippizing paty wats of no arail． Ife was thrown into prison，where he conmmitted suicide．：thal Macedonian troops assured the posecesion of the city to its tyrants（ix．12，33，59－62）．

An expedition in the winter of $B 1 B-2$ amainst Aryhnats， 12 King of the Molosians in Epirtas，athomed Dhilip an（1per＇－ tunity to threatern Ambrakialaul Iaukits．（＇orinthian possers－ sions．fo eonclude all alliance with the Diolians，under the： promise of seizing for them Nampalitus．ocerppied at the time ly an Achean garivon（ix．27．is）and in other ways to strengethen himself in that quarter．Feans were aroused that he would in person cross over into the I＇fopmonesus．The Athenians now hestimed themselres，sent an embassy．（enn－ sisting of Demosthenes amel other patriots，through the P＇elo－ pomnesus，to stir up resistance to Philipis schemes：and hey deeds，ats well as words．presented an determined a front that his movements were arrested（ix．72）．

On his return match．the kinw in omker mill finther to take 48 from Thessaly the power of dissension and mesistane e ustah－ lished tetrarelis，one over eath of the lour diondets．＇Thessat－ liotis，Phthiotis，Pelasgiotis，and He－tiemetis（in．24i）．These
tetrarchs, thongh Thessalitns, were creatures of his, and he directed the atministration of the country (ix. 33). At the same time he occupierl with Macemonian troops Niketa, near Thermopyle (see sis6), and Echinns in southern Phthotis, though the latter city, Beotian bỵ origin, was clamed hy Thehes (ix. :3). These measures tecomplished, he abstained for a while from further agressions in (reece, and opened : new campaign in Thrace, with the determination now to completely sulndue that region (ix. 27). Not until the winter of $339-8$ did 1hilip) appear again sonth of Mt. Olympus.

## The Renewal of Hostilities and Subjugation of Greece.

44 During the period in which the Peace of Philokrates contimed to be nominally ohserved, the patriotic party in $\Lambda$ thens, headerl by Demosthenes, had been steatily gaining gromul. Shortly after the conclusion of that convention, Philokrates. its chief author, was impeacherl, amt, on his flight from the city, was condemned to death in his alsence. And later, when AEschines was hrought to trial ly Demosthenes for unfaithfulness in the discharge of his duties as amhassador to Macerlonia (secs $5: 3 \mathrm{ff}$.) , a large minority of the dikasts gave their votes for condemnation.
45) In the dosing scenes of the struggle for independence, Demosthenss stands forth conspicuously as the leader, not only of his own city, hut of all the independence and patriotism that remained in Creece. It was events in Thrace which drew Athens suew into open conflict with Philip. The Athemians had acopuired, in :35\%, a precarions hold upon the Thracian ('hersonese, with the exception of the important town of Kismdial on the isthmms, which ultimately alliert itself with Philip (ix. :3n) ; amt, hy way of securing their possession more effectually, a hody of kleruchs was sent thither, in $\delta 43$, under the command of a certain Diopeithes (ix. 15).

These sedters eot into a deared with the Kiadians, and when 16 Philip supported the latter with troops (ix. 16), Diopeithes retaliated by colledting a fore and making a raid into Theace. Philip then sent to Xthens a hefter, remonstrating and theatemong (ix. 16,27 ) ; but, though in the assembly which met to consiter this commmication. the policy of peate at any price was urged ly many. Demosthemes, in his oration ()n the Chersonese, delemed Diopeithes so vigoromsly that he was allowed to retain his command, and mo ("oncersion was mate to the king. Not long after, sity in the summer of $3 \cdot 11$, the Third Philipplice was delivered. It would seem that the debate of which this speech is a product was accasioned hy a request for help from the settlers in the (hersonese (ix. Fia), and that the earlier seakers confined themselves to the dis(rnsion of 'Thracian attars (ix. 19 ) ; hut to the view of femosthenes the guestion of the hour was one of far wither seoper. It wats a prestion of peace or war, a question which fouched the honow and the rery existence of all IFellas. All the encroy of the orator's nature, all his power of kindling emotions in an andience, all his lare pan-I Ifellenic patriotism, fonme experssion in the Tharel Philippic. This, the latest of Demosthenes's parliamentary hamenes, is also the most eloquent and the noblest.

The prosecoution of Philip's plans of concurest in Thate 17 hatl soon hrought him into collision with his allies (see s. $2 \rightarrow 3$ ). the Perinthians and liyzantines, whom he hat aceordingly prepared to attack (ix. 3.t). But before the siege of these towns had actually berm, the Athenians, acting on the adviere urget by Demosthenes in the Third Philippic ( $\$ 5.51 \mathrm{if}$ ). strained every nerve to bring ahont a gencmalliance against the aggressor. In this they hat no small success. Euluma was liberated of its tyrants and joined hands with Athens. Better still, the wisclom and the eloguence of Demosthemes effected a reoonciliation betweren thens and the cities of Perinthus and Byzantiun (cfos 10), whed, on leing attacked
by Philip, in 8:10, were so effectively supported by their friends. that the king was halled and withdrew. At the call of Athens, which hat now openly amulled the I'eace of Philokrates, Mugara. Achae: (orrinth, Lenkas, and Kerkya rallied romed the standard of linerty. Nore than all. Thebes. the long-standing foe of Athens and friend of Philip, was induced, through the efforts of Demostheness to change its front and co-operate vigeronsly in the work of defence.
G8 At this moment the resistance which Demosthemes hat heem making for years with such keen foresight, such hurning zeal. sach unsullied and catholie patriotism, to the stemy aldance of concquest, seemed alout to be suceessful. But the task was too great. The opposing armies met for a decisive conHicet at Charoncia (:3: B B...) and the overwhelming vi.tory of l'inilip amihilated forever the inderendence of (ireece. For some years Demosthenes lived in hemor in his own city, and the speech On the Crown, delivered in 330 , was at once his greatest eratorical ellort and the successiful vindication of Lis whole pullic career. Yet even this measure of good fortune was not to last. The hatred of lis ememies at home and abroad gathered strength, and his exile from Athens and the self-inilicted death hy which he escaped a more ignominions de thi at the hands of the Macedomians (:322 b.e.) closed whti a o rtain tragic fituess the history of his heroic, mavailang contest.

## Athenian Financial and Military Systems.

SG The principat ortinary somrees of the Athemian reveme were these: (1) the rent of pullice property, especially the silver-mines; ( 2 ) the taxes paid ley resident aliens ( $\mu$ '́toикot) : (:3) export and impert duties and market dues; (4) judicial fies and fines: (i) the contributions (orvituetes) of members of the confientacy: It is to be observed that there was, in

however, something not wholly clissimilar in that peculiar arrangement of the $\Lambda$ thenian constitution which repuired wealthy citizens from time to time to discharge for the puhlic good certain services. called lituryies. These were of two kinds: (1) Encyclie or ordinay liturgies, having to do with the celebration of religions fistivals, and reemring, therefore, at stated intervals. Of this class the most costly were the choregice and the g!mmasimely, consi-ting respectively in the formation, maintenance, and training of a chorus for at dramatic or musical performance, and in the orersight and support of athletes preparing to compete in a grmmastic contest (iv. 36). (2) Extraordinury liturgies, neessary only in tine of war. Ifere belongs especially the timpochy, which involved heavier pecmulary saterifiees than any of the precoding class. In the fith century, b. C. cench trimerch received from the state a war-ship, of which he hat to take charge for one year ; and, though the state supplied pay for the crew, the tricrarch, if patriotic, often expended, in putting and keeping his vessel in a sea-going condition, in securing, by payment of hounties. the most competent se:men possible, and so on, from forty minte to a talent ( $5 \times(0-\$ 1,(0) \$()$ ). But in the fourth century, a mumber of men wanlly combined to prerform a single trierarchy. As to the details of the methol by which 50 the various liturgical ohligations were apportioned on any given occasion among members of the wealthy chasses, we are imperfectly informed. But we know that when an appointec considered himself less bound to umtertake the burden assigned him than some one else who hatl hern passed over, he could demand of such person to make a complete exchange of property with himself (intionots), or else astime the liturgy. If the demand was refused and both parties persisted, the duestion wouk he brought to trial before the proper macistrates, who, in case they decided against the defomant, would give him the option of the alternatives proposed by the plantilf. Suits of this hind (uxtiooovets) were in miaily rommor in the
time of Demosthemes, when men resorted io all means for escaping from sacritiees for the public goorl, and they must evidently have done serions evil in delaying naval preparat tions in times of need (iv. 36).
51 The revenme, whose soures have heen enumeraterl aloove, was more than sullicient to carry on the grovermment in time of peace. There was, therefore, an ammal surplus, most of which, in the fitth century, was hahitually laid hey as warfund. Pericles, however, had intronluced the cerstom of distributing to poor citizens, at those lionysiac festivals which were celebrated with dranatic representations, the sum of two obols apiece, to pay the price of almision to the theatre. The moneys thus distributed were called (1єшрккí (sight-seengy monels), and afterward, when it became the practice to make similar distributions on the oceasion of other than the Dionysiac festivals, the same name was mate to cover all these. $\Lambda$ fter the Pelopomestian War a separate Theorie Fund was estahlished, which soon came to ahsorb) the entire surplas revenue. From this Fuml some expenses incurred by the state in the celebration of religions festivals, e.!/., for sacrifices, seem to have been defiayed. hut the hulk of it was apparently spent in multiplied distributions at the festivals, no longer now to the por alone, hut to rich and poor alike. IIow much the Theoric Fomd usually amometer to, it is, unfortunately, impossible to determine; lut there seems no room for doult that the uses to which it was put were in great part inescossable and pernicions. Hence we find Demosthemes repeaterly mrging the application of this money to war purposes, which measure, however, he did not sue ceed in carrying through until just before the battle of Cheroneia.
52 Whe highest military oflicers in 1 thens were the ten crenerals (irfoutignoi), who had supreme command of the army and navy in time of wat, and who also exercised sundry atministrative and inclicial functions at home. Subordinate to
the generals were, for the infantry, the ten taxiards. or rembmanders of hattalions, and, for the calvalry, the two hipparefs and their subsoflicers, the ten phylateds. These oflicers were ammually elected, no matter what the state of the country might be, but, with the exoeption of the thons:nd knighte (imatis), who were ammally chosen from the two highest. property-dasses, and were liahle to military service in time of war, nothing like a standing amy was ever maintained in Attica.

In like manner the flect, in which the strength of $\lambda$ thens is always lay, was not kept, in time of peace in readiness for active service. In the fourth century, B. © ... the Athenian navy, though less well cared for than hefore, was still superior to that of any other state, numbering as it did from three to four hundred triremes. Of these, some were used only as transports for infantry (три́peses otputétiǹss) or cavalry

 ( $\pi \lambda \lambda_{0}(0)$, which carried provisions, equipments, etc., for the use of an expedition.

The population of Attica may be roughly estimated at int 500,000 , among whom only about 900.000 were citizens, the remainder being made up of 45,000 resiclent aliens or motics, and 365.000 slaves. Of the adult male citizens, whose number may be put at 20,000 , those hetween the ages of 19 and 58, inclusive, were liable to be called upon, by a rote of the popular assembly, to perform military service. The motics also were sometimes drafterl, and even the slaves were employed in war, especially as oarsmen and sailors. In the time of Demosthenes, however, the Athenians commonly hired mercenaries to conduct their experditions (see s 7).

The usual pay of a foot-soldier was two ohols (six cents) 5n per day as wages ( $\mu$ uotós), and the same amount as provisionmoney (テитךрє́бtov, трофй) : that of a (avalry-soldier three times as much. The creff of a shif of wal numberel two
 fantry -rhliers. su that the wates and mowision-money of
 month. Derernaries sexm to hate hern engwerl on the same terms as citizens.
50 Now since, in the Age of Demosthenes, there was no reserve-fimel on which to draw in time of war (see § $\delta 1$ ). military and naval expenses conld not le properly met exerpt by imposing a property-tax ( (iorproú) on the (itizens. Such a tax was regarded as a species of extraordinary liturgy, and was sulmitted to with great reluctance. As lar as pessible. it was evaderl, and in the comsergent failure of Athens to pay her troops lat another cause of her inefleciency in war (see §8).

## Athenian Legislative Bodies.

it The Athenians hat two legrishtive horlies, the Bordy and the ékкijgia. ()f these, the fommer wat composed of five humdred men, fifty fom each tribe, ammally chosen by lot. Thus the Bonle fell into ten tribal groups, and these took turns, each for at tenth part of the year, in assuming the chief responsibilities of the entire looly. During this perion of precedence the members of the eroup were called frytunes. whence their term of ollice went by the natne of frytemy. Out of the number of the prytanes a presickent (ėtortútŋs) wats daily chosen, who, in earlier times, acted as chaiman in the meetings of the lionle and the Eeclesia ; hut, in the fourth century, this epistates chose nine proedri form the nine tribes other than his own, and from among the proëdri, finally. another epistates was chosen. who presiderl in both houses.
58 Besides heing chared with varions alministrative functions comected especially with the department of finance, the Boule had for its business to initiate the discussions of the Ekklesia. No subject could constitutionally come lefore the latter body, mitil it had been first taken up ly the former, and a recommendation in regard to it hand been there voted

 than this．

The all－powerfal aterey in the Athenian constitution was 59
 were entitled to be present at the meetines of the Ekklesiat，hut although there were about $2(0.010$ of of these the mumber ate tually
 usual place of meeting wats the so－calleal Phys．whose predee location is a matter of controversy．Rewnlar scesions of the Ecelesia were hed four times in each prytany：and extra ses－ sions，if neecssary，combl he smmmoned ly the prytanes，or by the generals through the prytanes．

The meeting was opened with sacrifiee an？prayer．The 60
 and read the probouleuma．The perple then roted whether to concur in this recommendation，or to sheliberate（oкotein or Bovderíc日at）further on the matter．In the latter case，a crier
 Any citizen，except such as were muler deprivation of civil rights（irtpia）for certain crimes and misclemeanors．was at iiherty to speak，though naturally there were only a few whose talents and traming fitted them to address so large and tur－ bulent a gathering．These few were called the customary speakers（oi єiw日⿱一𫝀口tes），or simply the orators（oi 入єyontes），or those coming forward（oi tuptor＇тes）．He who wished to speak rose from his seat（inartîrat），went forwand（ $\pi$ aptérut） to the orator＇s platform．and gave his opinion（yrépup or it
 with a written motion（yprí申ew），（ither modifying or opposinge the rejected proboulemma．When the discussion wat ended， the varions motions were set hefore the people．who voted in
 （tat）．The decision（ia conotes）of the majority was declarex hy the epistates，and was then recorken and deposited with the public archives．

## Some Features of the Style of Demosthenes.

() A speech hy a great Athenian orator was, in general, written out beforehand, and delivered from memory ; and if the exigencies of the puldic occasion mate additions or alterations necessary, these extemporized passages were, in the final revision of the speech hy the hand of its author, so claborated and assimilated to the context as not to be distinguishable by any marks of style. Now one of the most characteristice features of Greek oratory, hoth as addressed to present andiences, and, still more, as begueathed in written form to posterity, was an extreme care in the choice and awayement of worls - a care which, at the present day, is to be found only in poetry. The speeches of Demosthenes, constituting, as they do, the highest achievement of Greek oratorical art, exhibit this exquisite finish of style in a degree which only Creek ears could adequately appreciate, but which is still magical for our own. The presence of this quality makes itself felt by even a cursory reader, and hecomes more and more striking on attentive study. Lipeecially noteworthy is Demosthenes's observance of two stringent rules designed to secure smoothess and dignity of style, one of whichrules had been imposed upon oratorical prose ly the influential rhetorician Isokrates, while the other was seemingly original with Demosthenes hinself. They were, to comid hiutus, and to aroid a surcession of more than two short syllables. Exceptions to the former rule were permitted hy our orator only after the article, the relative pronom, and a few common particles; to the latter, only in the middle of single words, like $\gamma$ evópevos, or in close combinations, like $\delta$ módepos, suct then only rarely. It is to he observect, however, that as in poetry the final syllable of a verse is umestricted as to quantity, ame hiatus is permitted hetween the end of one rerse and the begiming of the next, so, in the practice of Demosthenes, the two rules ahove given do not apply where a pause
occurs in the delivery; also, that in most texts, the present one included, many elisions, erases, and aphareses which wore mate in speaking are iot mindicated, so ats to proxluex apparent, lat only apparent, cases ol hatus; and that slight orthographical erors, such as the mistaken insertion of the w movalhe, have introfuced apparent violations o!' the rhythmical law. Thus, to illustrate these remarks, the first words of the First Philippic were pronounced, Ei $\mu$ èv $\pi$ ерi кuиrồ
 second section of the same oration the hiatus after é $\chi \in \mathrm{i}$ is justified by the pause, and that after tow is one of the sort which, as above stated. Demosthenes did not scruple to allow himself. The élenia of $\leqslant: 3$ onght prohnthly to be written eivera, and it is not until we reach the word poßepior in the same section that we encomiter an ummistakahle case of three short syllahles in suceesision. Contrast this with the style of such writer's as Plato or Xenophon, and the difference will immediately become evident.

In the structure of his sentences, Demosthenes exhihits liz every graclation from simplicity to complexity. Contrast, for instance, the extended but artistic and lucid periods into which the proxmia of the accompanying orations are cast, with the short, nervous sentences of impassioned passages like Phil. I, 10. Of metaphor, and rhetorical ornament generally, we find only aparing use. One favorite means cmployed hy the orator for emphasizing an idea deserves to be noticed, that, namely, of compling synonymous words. The єiठ̀jтє каi $\theta є \dot{u} \tau \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ of Phil. I, 3, is at typical example, and others may be found on nearly every page. Often, as in the case quoted, there seems to be no intentional discrimination of meanings ; often, however, the second of the two words is a more special and prechse term than the first, and is most appropriately rendered into English by an adverbial word or phrase, e.!. Phil. II, 1, три́ттєє кui $\beta$ иá̧єтut ; and often, finally, one of the two is a figurative, and the other a usual,



It is not hecause of the agency of their logic, or the riches of their thought, that whogh arank is assigned to the speeches of Demosthenes. Their chains of reasoning are simple, and not always convincing; there is in them no profound political wiskom ; they share, in one word, that inferiority in variety and value of sulyect-matter which characterizes all ancient literature in comparison with mordern. But in their power to stir the feelings, - a power ever controlled by a perfect taste, -- they stam as motels for all time. And it is by reating the original (ireck, without translating, that this eloquence can be best appreciated.

The following works are reeommenter to the student:Grote, Mistory of Cireere. (haps. LAVI-AC, XCV.
Curtius, History of Greece, Vol. V.
Thirlwall, Mistor? of cireere, (haps. XLI-XLVI. LVI.
Brodribh, Demosthenes (in the series of Aurient Clussies fin Faglish Readers).

Lord Brougham, Dissertation on the Elorpenere of the Al"cients, and Inaugural Address.

Jebb, Primer of Greek Literuture.
Mutler and Donaklson, History of Gircek Literulure, Chitp. KLI.

## $\triangle H M O \Sigma \Theta E N H \Sigma$

## $\triangle$ HMOミ $\Theta$ ENH $\Sigma$.

## IV.

## КАТА ФІ^ІППО؟ А.





 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \cdot \epsilon ่ \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$ ठє̀ ن́тє̀ $\rho$ ©̂̀ $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \iota s ~ \epsilon i р \eta ́ к \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$
 $\mu a \iota ~ к а i ~ \pi р \omega ิ т о s ~ a ̉ \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ є i к о ́ т \omega s ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \sigma v \gamma \gamma \nu ஸ ́ \mu \eta s$



 vaîoı, тоîs $\pi \alpha \rho o \hat{v} \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu, ~ o v ̉ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~ \pi a ́ \nu v ~ ф а u ́ \lambda \omega s ~$












 ä $\nu \delta \rho \in s$ ' $\lambda \theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o l, ~ к а i ~ A \epsilon a ́ \sigma \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon ~ o ̈ т \iota ~ o v ̉ \delta e ̀ v ~ o u ̋ t \epsilon ~$




















































 ä $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi i ́ a \nu, a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’ a ̉ \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ каì $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v s$ ข̇ $\pi \epsilon \rho \eta \phi \alpha{ }^{\prime}-$








 เóvтєs aúт $\hat{\nu} \pi \nu \nu \theta$ ávєб $\theta a \iota$. " $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha i ́ ~ \tau \iota ~ к \alpha \iota \nu o ́ \nu ; " ~ " ~$


 $\tau i ́ \delta ’$ ípì $\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \in \iota$; каì $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ âv ov̂тós $\tau \iota \pi a ́ \theta \eta$,
 oữ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon ́ \chi \eta \tau \epsilon \tau 0 \stackrel{s}{ } \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \tau o ̀ \nu \nu 0 \hat{\nu}$. oủठє̀ $\gamma$ àp




 $\sigma \iota \nu$ à̀ $\tau о i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \sigma \tau a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon ৎ ~ » 5$

 ä $\nu$, àтทрт $\quad$ нє́voı каi таîs тарабкєvaîs каi таîs $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \iota \varsigma$.

 $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$, таúoцa८ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$. тòv Sє̀ тро́тоע $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon \cup \eta ิ s ~ \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \xi a \iota ~ \grave{a} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau$ тоьv́т $\omega \nu \pi \rho a \gamma$.






 $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon i \sigma \theta \omega$. оv̉ $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ oi " $\tau \alpha \chi v$ "" каì " $\tau \eta$ '-









 ن́ $\mu \epsilon i \hat{i}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \prime \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$.
 ${ }_{25} \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta ́ к о \nu \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa є v a ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a i ́ ~ \phi \eta \mu \iota \delta \in i v$, єĩ' aủ.




 кєías Х(ipas aùтоí бтратєias єis Múlas каi Xeppó-




















 20 каi $\tau$ рог,








































 $\pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \tau \alpha$, ó $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma$ òs ảко入оvөєî, єiко́т $\omega s^{\circ}$ ov̉ $\gamma$ à $\rho$




 тоîs $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu . ~ \epsilon i ̉ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon " \rho о \iota \tau o ́ ~ \tau \iota s ~ v i \mu a ̂ s, ~ " ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta ́ \nu \eta \nu ~$







 тoùs $\tau \alpha \xi \iota a ́ p \chi o v s ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \phi u \lambda \alpha ́ \rho \chi o v s, ~ o u ̉ k ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \tau o ̀ v ~$



 $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \grave{\epsilon}_{\rho} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \ddot{\eta} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ к $\tau \eta \mu \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu$






 $\nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \iota ~ \tau а и ́ \tau \eta, ~ \tau а ́ \lambda а \nu \tau а ~ є ่ \nu \epsilon \nu \eta ́ к о \nu \tau а ~ к а i ̀ ~ \mu \iota к р о ́ \nu ~ \tau \iota ~ \pi р о ́ s, ~$








 тà $\lambda о \iota \pi \grave{\alpha}$ aủтò $\tau \grave{o} \sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon v \mu a$ ảmò $\tau \circ \hat{v} \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о v$, ov̉-


 Є้ $\chi \eta$. $\pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ oîv ó $\pi o ́ \rho o s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu, ~ a ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \rho ’ ~$


## IIOPOR AllOAEIEIE.






IV. SHMOLOENOTE
































 5 тov̂ $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon L \nu$ aủ





 єis roùs Xpóvovs, oûs à̀ $\pi \rho \circ \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \epsilon, \beta$ о $\theta \in \hat{u} \nu$. каіі- :3月










 $\pi о \lambda \lambda о \hat{v}$ тís $\chi о \rho \eta \gamma o ̀ s ~ \hat{\eta} ~ \gamma v \mu \nu \alpha \sigma i a \rho \chi o s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \phi u \lambda \hat{\eta} s$, ${ }_{25}$ то́тє каì тарà тоv̂ каì тíva 入aßóvта тí $\delta \in i ̂ ~ \pi o l \epsilon i v, ~$




 $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ тó $\rho о v$ бкотоиิ $\mu \in \nu$, каі $\mu \in \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \alpha v ิ \tau \alpha ~ \epsilon ่ ~ \mu-~$



 $\sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \alpha ̉ \nu \alpha \lambda i \sigma \kappa \kappa \mu \epsilon \nu$, oi Sè $\tau \omega \bar{\omega} \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ov̉
 $\nu \epsilon i ́ a \nu$. às Sè тòv $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \xi \grave{v}$ хро́vov $\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota s$ oió $\mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$


 є́ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \alpha ́ s$.

EIIIETOAAI.


 $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda \frac{1}{\gamma} \gamma \omega$, ìva $\mu \grave{\eta} \lambda v \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$, каi $\tau \grave{a} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$



 $39 \delta v \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \hat{\eta} \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu \nu$ v $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho i \zeta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \epsilon^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$, каi $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$
 $\chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ оvs ои̋к ảко入оvӨєì тоîs $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu, a ̉ \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$





 $\tau \eta \nu \delta \dot{v} \nu \alpha \iota \nu$ á $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ є’Хоעтєऽ, трıท́рєьऽ, іт $\pi \lambda i ́ \tau \alpha \varsigma, ~ i \pi$ 5 $\pi \epsilon ́ a s, ~ Х \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma о \delta o \nu, ~ \tau о v ́ т \omega \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \chi р \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$







 $\pi о v, \quad \sigma \nu \mu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \epsilon i \tau \epsilon \ddot{\nu} \nu \omega$ ка́тн, каі $\sigma \tau р а \tau \eta \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \epsilon$
 фє́роע $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \tau o v ̂ ~} \pi \circ \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu o v$, ov̉ठє̀ $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$














 то入є́ $\mu о v$ ує $є є \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau о \hat{v} \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \eta \dot{\sigma a \sigma \theta u \iota ~ Ф i ́-~}$




















 äи















 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho a \pi \sigma \delta \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\lambda \omega \pi \sigma \delta v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Háva-





 ${ }_{26}$ 'I $\lambda \lambda u p \iota o i s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ \tau \epsilon \iota \chi i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$, oi $\delta \epsilon ́$ - $\lambda o ́ \gamma o u s ~ \pi \lambda a ́ \tau \tau о \nu-~$

 $\mu \in \gamma \epsilon ́ \theta \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \in \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ каì $\pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \tau о \iota a \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ ỏvє८-












 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu ~ \mu а \tau а i ́ \omega \nu ~ a ́ \pi \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o l \cdot ~ o v ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho ~ a ̈ \tau \tau \alpha ~$












 $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \nu$.

## KATA ゆJAIITOTB．
























 $\kappa \alpha i \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i$ à $\sigma \pi о v \delta a ́ \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \tau \epsilon, \tau а \hat{v} \tau$ a" $\mu \epsilon \iota \nu \circ \nu$ є́катє́ $\rho о \iota s$





 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \eta ̂ v ~ o v ̉ \delta ' ~ a ̉ v \tau a ̂ p a t ~ \delta v v \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$, oủx ó aủtòs 10
















































 Spos ó тои́т $\omega \nu$ тро́yovos $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \tau о и ́ т \omega \nu ~ к \hat{\eta} \rho v \xi \text {, à } \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~}$


 $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i ้ \nu \delta \epsilon \delta u ́ v \eta \tau \alpha \iota, \delta \iota o ́ \pi \epsilon \rho$ кả $\gamma \grave{\omega} \pi \alpha \rho a \lambda \epsilon i \not \psi \omega$ ठıкаíws



 10














 Лакє









 \aкє $\alpha a \iota$ огíovs $\sigma v \mu \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ ov̉ $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$, ả $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ каì



























































10 " $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \in \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \phi v \lambda а к \eta ̀ r " ~ к а i ~ \sigma \omega т \eta р i ́ a v, ~ ग i ̂ o \nu ~$





" pıov, $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi \lambda \eta ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \tau u р a ́ \nu-~$








25 "val. $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi$ о́т $\eta$ єข゙р $\eta \tau \epsilon$; "











 $\sigma v \nu o i ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau o s . ~$
28 Пєрì $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \delta \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ vi $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu \pi р а к т \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ к а \theta^{\prime}$ ن́ $\mu \hat{a} \varsigma \alpha \dot{v}-$ 10




 15


 тои́т $\omega \nu$ ảфєбтทко́та та̀ то́тє $\lambda \epsilon \gamma о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$. каi $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~$






























 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \omega \nu \quad \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \omega \pi \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu$, è $\phi$ ’ Dîs aúтoîs $\sigma v \nu$ -


 Є’víous oủk єis roùs aitions, ả $\lambda \lambda$ ’ cis roùs vimò $\chi \in i ̂ p a$
 каì бvvíбтатаl тà тра́үןата каi катакоv́о $\mu \in \nu$




 єis $\Pi \epsilon \lambda \circ \pi o ́ \nu \nu \eta \sigma o \nu ~ к u ́ p \iota o s ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \epsilon, ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \pi о i ́ \eta \chi ’ ~ ข ீ \mu i ̀ \nu ~$















 бХєі้.

## IX.

## KАТА ФIAITIO؟ $\mathbf{\Gamma}$.




























 Є่ $\pi i \quad \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ü $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ оびт коぃ








 $\epsilon i ̉ \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ oủv каì $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ оข゙т $\omega$ Sıákє $\sigma \theta \epsilon$ ，oủk $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi$（ $\omega$ тi $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ ． $\epsilon i$ ס’ à $\sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon ́ p \epsilon \iota$ Х（1）



 ò $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu, a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta$ ès $\delta \epsilon ́$ ．тò $\lambda \in i ́ p \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$ èv $\tau 0 i ̂ s$ $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta$ ó $\tau \iota$ ，тоv̂тo $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \beta \epsilon ́ \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau о \nu ~$






 ov̉ $\delta$ ๕̀ кєкíข $\eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ 。














 $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \in i \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．


 каì тòv Mєıраıâ，тоиิт＇є’ $\epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ，єї $\pi \epsilon \rho$ oîs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~$ ä入入ovs $\pi \epsilon \pi о$ íךкє $\delta \epsilon i ̂ ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \alpha i ́ p \epsilon \sigma \theta a u . ~ \tau о ข ิ \tau о ~ \mu е ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ 11 ~$












 фéval кат’ єưvo九av. $\pi v \nu \theta a ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a u ̉ t o u ̀ s ~ \omega ̀ s ~$



 $\pi a \tau a ̂ \nu ~ a i p e i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda о \nu ~ \grave{\eta} \pi \rho о \lambda є ́ \gamma о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \beta \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$,





















































 $\pi \alpha ́ \theta \omega \sigma \iota$, ßоv入єv́є $\theta \theta a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ v \tau o \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
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 каì Aŋßaiol toutovoì toùs te入єutaíous xpóvous




 ’ $1 \theta \eta \nu a i ́ o \iota s, ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta ́ ~ \tau \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ o v ̉ ~ \mu \epsilon \tau р i ́ \omega s ~ \epsilon ́ \delta o ́ к о v \nu ~ \pi \rho о \sigma-~$



 povv каi $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \tau о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \rho i ́ o v ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ к а Ө \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta к о ́ т а ~ є ̇ к i ́-~$


















 $\mu \eta ̀ ~ \mu о ́ \nu о \nu ~ к а т \grave{~ \pi о ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ к а і ~ к а \tau ' ~ \epsilon ̈ \theta \nu \eta ~ \delta o v \lambda \epsilon u ́-~}$


























 коขิขто，каi тòv av̉兀òv тро́тоv ät TLS vitétaße тоข̂A＇，











 vos，ő $\theta \epsilon \nu$ oủ $\delta^{\prime}$ ả $\nu \delta \rho a ́ \pi o \delta o \nu ~ \sigma \pi o v \delta a i ̂ o v ~ o u ̉ \delta ̇ ̀ v ~ \hat{j u}$ $\pi \rho о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$.





 є́кßa入ov̂vтas тòv＇Ерєтріє́ $\omega 1$＇，тกข̀s $\delta$＇є̇ $\pi$＇＇$\Omega \rho \in о ́ \nu$ ，

 тро́то⿱ $\grave{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \zeta ̆ \alpha \nu ~ \epsilon ้ \mu о \iota \gamma \epsilon ~ \delta о к о v ิ \sigma \iota ~ \theta \epsilon \omega-~$
 $34 \kappa \omega \lambda v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ סè ov̉סєis є̇ $\pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$. ov̉ $\mu$ óvov $\delta^{\prime}$ є $\phi^{\prime}$ oîs








 $\mu \in \nu$ каì $\mu \alpha \lambda \alpha к \iota \zeta o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ каi $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о и ̀ s ~ \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o \nu ~$


 тоv кúpıos $\gamma є ́\llcorner\eta \tau \alpha \iota, \tau i ́ \pi o \iota \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$;

 Є̇ $\lambda \in v \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ a \nu$ oi "E
 $\lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota a \nu o i ́ \alpha \iota s$, ồ $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ oủk $\epsilon ้ \sigma \tau \iota \nu, \grave{o ̀} \kappa \alpha \grave{\tau} \tau \circ \hat{v} \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \omega \hat{\omega}$


























入óyovs є́ $\mu a v \tau о \hat{v} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a \tau a ~ \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \pi \rho о \gamma o ́-$


























 46 pos тoîs "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \sigma t \nu$. ả $\lambda \lambda$ ' ov̉ $\nu \hat{v} \nu$. ov̉ $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ ov゙т $\omega$ s






 ท̉ци́vато ка̉кєívovs $\dot{\eta}$ то́入ıs каi ои̉к ả $\eta \rho \pi a ́ \sigma \theta \eta$.
























 $\nu a \iota, a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’$ ' $ฺ$ s є́к $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o v ~ \phi u \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o \imath ̂ s ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma-~$






 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ढ̇кєivos ${ }^{\eta} \sigma \kappa \eta \tau \alpha \iota$.


 aủrov̂ $\lambda \in ́\} o v \tau a s ~ \mu \iota \sigma \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ ，ẻv $\theta v \mu o v \mu$ évovs őt ov̉k













 рàs тарабкєválєє тò $\tau \omega ิ \nu$ тоьои́т $\omega \nu$ द̀ $\theta \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ ảкро－



 то入îтає $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma . \quad \pi о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \iota ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta a$ Є’ $\xi$ ．




 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'O ${ }^{\prime} \nu \nu \theta$ í $\omega \nu$ є̇ $\pi \epsilon i \sigma \theta \eta$.












 2n $\sigma \omega \bar{\zeta} \epsilon_{\epsilon} \theta \alpha$.

 ミюкра́тךs каi Єóas каì 'Aүатаîos, оïтєр עv̂v

 $\theta a ́ \delta \epsilon ~ о і к \eta \prime \sigma \alpha s, ~ o ̋ \pi \omega s ~ \epsilon ̇ \lambda \epsilon v ́ \theta \epsilon \rho о \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \mu \eta \delta є \nu o ̀ s ~ \delta о и ิ \lambda о \iota ~$
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 20


 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \eta \eta_{\kappa \iota} \Phi \iota \lambda i \pi \pi \omega \varphi$.





































 то́т' єi $\pi \rho о є i ́ \delta о \nu \tau о, ~ о \cup ̉ k ~ a ̀ \nu ~ a ́ \pi \omega ́ \lambda о \nu \tau о ~ \cdot ~ \pi о ́ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ a ̀ \nu ~ 5 ~$







 $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma i ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ є' $\chi о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, ảфор $\mu$ à $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \alpha s, ~ a ̉ \xi ' i \omega \mu a$


 тоע а̉ $\mu v \nu о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu с \imath ~ к а і ~ т а \rho а \sigma к є v a \zeta o ́ \mu \in \nu о \iota, ~ т \rho \iota \eta ́ \rho є \sigma \iota ~$ каì хрŋ́набь каi $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau \alpha \iota s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$. (каi $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \stackrel{\text { à } \nu}{ }$


 $\nu \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau o u ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda о v s ~ \eta " \delta \eta ~ т а \rho а к а \lambda \omega ิ \mu \epsilon \nu$, каì тоѝs таиิта
 $\sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$, ко८v $\omega \nu$ о̀̀s ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \eta \tau \epsilon$ каì $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \iota \nu \delta u ́ \nu \omega \nu$ каì $\tau \hat{\omega \nu} 25$ ảva入 $\omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu, a ้ \nu \tau \iota \delta \epsilon ́ \eta, ~ \epsilon i ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \mu \eta ́, ~ X \rho o ́ \nu о v s ~ \gamma \epsilon ~ \epsilon ́ \mu \pi о \iota-~$ 72 ท̂тє $\tau \circ \stackrel{\iota}{\varsigma} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu . \quad \epsilon ่ \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta} \gamma a ́ \rho$ є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \nu \delta \rho a$ каi ov̉ $\chi i$ бvvєбт $\omega \sigma \eta s$ тó $\lambda \epsilon \omega s$ i $\sigma \chi \grave{v}$ ó $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \varsigma$,
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 av̉тoùs $\delta є ̀ ~ т а р а \sigma \kappa є v a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, тоѝs $\delta^{\prime}$ ä入入ovs "E $\lambda \lambda \eta$ vas $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i ̀, ~ \sigma v \nu a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu, \delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu, \nu 0 \nu \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu} \cdot \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau$ '

 Мєүаре́as, ن́ $\mu \in \hat{\iota} \delta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ à















## NOTES

## ABBREVIATIONS.

I. - Introduction.
H. - Hadley and Allen's Greek Grammar.
G. - Goodwin's Greek Grammar (Revised Edition).
M. - Goodwin's Greek Moods and Tenses (1890).
L. \& S. - Liddell and sontts (rreek-English Lexicon (seventh Edition).
IV, VI, LX. - Philippies A, B, Г.

## NOTHS.

## IV.

## ANAMSIS.

Part 1. - Preparatory Warnings and Encouleagements, §§ 1-12.
Pairt 11. - Practical liecommendations, šs 13-io.
Part III. - Supplementaily Arguments and Apreals, s§ 31-51.
I. - a. Exordium: The orator apologizes for taking precedence of older speakers, § 1.
b. The situation of Atliens, though disgraceful, is not hopeless, $\S 2$.
c. The heroic achievements of the city in the past are an encouragement for the future ; while, on the other hand, bhilip has shown himself an enemy too dangerous to be neglected, $\$ 3$.
d. Philip was not damed at the outset of his career by his inferiority in strength to Athens. Athens, by imitating his example, will meet with a success like his, $\$$ §s 4-8.
$\therefore$ But the consequences of continued neglect will be fatal, §§ 9-12.
II. $-\alpha$. Prothesis : Statement of subjects to be discussed, and request for a deliberate hearing, §§ 13-15.
b. Such preparations ought to be made that, when necessity arises, a sudden expedition may be made against Philip, §§ 16-18.
$\because$ Above all, a small, permanent force ought to be organized, - oncfourth to be Athenians, three-fourths mercenaries, $\$ \$ 19-22$.
d. Justification of the smallness of the force, and of its composition, §§ 23-27.

- Estimate of expenses, and statement of ways and means, §§ 2s-30.
III. - a. Geographical considerations which reinforce the demand for a permanent force to hover near the Macedonian coast, §§ 31-32.
b. The good results which will flow from the adoption of the measures recommended, §§ 33-34.
c. The folly of waiting till the hour of need before making military preparations, $\S \S 35-41$.

1. Philip's restless activity is it sign of divine favor toward the Athenians, § 42.
2. Who are asain monjurei th participate peramally in military attars, §§ 43-46.
$f$. Only so can justice be done to the generals, and the liabit of ille gossip be put down, §§ 47-50.
!/ Peroration : The orator has spoken plainly, in the hope of doing good, § 51.
3.     - Fin the derlmical terms used in this section, see 1. § fil). - Et


 the disenasion. If it hath been thought of its eonsisting merely in
 With the conception here alhpted, ef. Isok. viii, 15): $\pi a \rho \in \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda v \theta a$





 The assembly had had to take measures in regard to lhilip repeatedly.




 The foresonine exorlium is modelled freely upon that of Isokrates's Archidamms. 'Thas not mafremently the Greck mators horrowed from one another or from eatier aratoms of their own. D.'s ipmogy for oprning the debate maty imply that some lingering respect wats still daind to the anciont rule, attriluted to Solon, aceording to which citizens over fifty years of age had precedence in the Ekklesia over their juniors.
4.     - oũv: lere, ats often, not inferential but transitional. Trans-
 otar. Nearly the minte worls reeur in IX, 5 . The meaning of is


 this: It is the liat thut uphriss are in a mretrled plight one ureoment of

 herpe of their heine! improcel. That is to say: the most disgraceful thing in our recent history is that we have suffered great losses throumh our own apathy atul newhere ; hat in this very fact there is encomagement for the future for if intetion has rumed, enersy may
 concession. With à mpoojine umierstand $\pi p$ átreay. The tense of















 sutwo wr mote relatives, maty, in (freek, le comblatel withom a






 gou womld wish, in "setisfuetor!! comelition. The expmession is in the

 Sparta ant with Philip illestrute or catemplify the statemeshts just. matle ats universally truc. - ék . . . voûv, int conestruente of giviney lucel
 тoưt $\omega \nu$ فิ̀ $\phi \rho \circ \nu \tau i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ є่ $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$. $\mu \eta \delta \delta \dot{\nu} \nu$ is cogn. acc.






 ranged with him. So below, § 8 .


strongloblds communding his comentry, referring to P'ydna, Potidea,



 Anab. $5,2 f$. 7 . The metaphor is taken from the ancient custom of giving prizes of intrinsic value for suceess in the games, and exposing these to view near the contestants. See Hom. Il. 18, 50 : : кєito ó "ap

5.     - kai $\gamma$ áp tol, (oud st, a common collocation of particles in I).,

 monsmmetrical construction. $\tau \dot{a} \mu \dot{\mu} \nu$ is in partitive apposition with
 Olynthinns and 'Thessalians belonged. I. 17, 20-ッ1. - ${ }^{2} \theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta^{\circ} \eta_{\tau \epsilon}$. $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ differs from $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ nearly as to resolve from to wish. - $\gamma \in v \epsilon$ -

 all ertesion, shall lecome remly to ud where he is neederl and where he could make himself of servire to the city, the mum of promerty to puy! fares, and the mon of militury ayg to serve in the army. eiporeia is dissimulation of one's abilities in merer to escape onerous duties. (on єiodépetv, comsult Dict. Antig., EISPHIORA, and I. 5tj. On the military age at Athens, see I. is. - $\sigma v v e \lambda o v_{t h}$ aimiês, lwielly und simply, in one worl (lit. for one comprehending the matter in a simple stutc-

 possession. H. 7:) a; G. 109., 1. The same idea is expanded in what follows, $\pi a v ́ \sigma \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon \ldots \pi \rho \dot{\xi} \xi \epsilon \mathrm{l}$. - ov̇ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} v$. In infinitive derpendent
 this sentence the mood of $\pi$ atio $\begin{aligned} & \text { o } \theta \in \text { might at first be thonght to repuire }\end{aligned}$ the use of $\mu \eta \delta \dot{e} \nu$ (II. 1027 ; (i. 1610 ), hut ouồtv is admissible, because the hope here referred to is one actually existing. - кal tà épétep'
 arsument of $\$ 84-7$, thongh stirring and hence sitisfactory for the purposes of oratory, is not logically corgent; for the success of an energetic 1'hilip over an inactive Athens affords non gromed for expecting the suceess of an energetic Athens orer an emergetic Philip. Only on the suppesition of lhilip's simkiner into aprathy at the same time that Athens aroused herself, would the two compured cases be at all correspondent.
 is proleptice i.e.. expmesses the rowht of the rerls. - tis, man! u one.

 arated, to avoid hiatus, from oikciws, which it modities. - кal ämave' . . Ėveival, und all these pasxinns whirh arist in any other men, we must sumpere to crist ulso in his follomers. Fon én, see II. 7sis a ; (i. 116, ?. kal, alse, is often used, as here, in loth the demonstrative
 тávta tav̂ra, all these fellinys or Imssions, like ätavta in the pree.
 idea is that. if Athens takes vigurnus measures against Philip, the varinus forms of dissatisfaction in his compire, which do not how dare to show their heads, will rally about her.
6.     - тò трâyua, the state of the cuse, explained by what follows. -
 respmailility for the statement upon common report. - kai ovx

 these), Inet is ever rommossing something more and drowing lis mets rehont us on all sides, while we delay and sit at errse. révect depends
 culdition; for the rest of the word see L. \&心. $\pi \epsilon \rho \not\langle\dot{\beta} \lambda \lambda \omega$. In $\pi \epsilon \rho t$ otolxiseral we have a metaphor from hming. See L. \& S. $\sigma$ toix os II.
 answer, professing to state what is in the minds of the audience. $\nu \dot{\eta}$ $\Delta i a$ and the corresponding negative $\mu \dot{a}$ dia were common collopuialisms, amomating to hardly more than intensive particles. pì Jia may here, as often, be translated forsonth, the sentence beine spoken in a tone implying dissent or contempt on the part of the orator. - $\tau \mathbf{t}$.

 to the preceding question. $\mu \dot{e} \nu$ is used here, as often, without a correlative $\delta \dot{\delta}$, serving to give special prominence to érà as against possible dissentients. Cf. VI, 1ti. - єirt́ : used interjectiomally, like ä $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ and $\phi$ é ef, without regard to the number of persions addressed. -

 Cf. Aets of the Apostles, xvii, 21. - Yévoro $\gamma$ àp äv, why, combld there be? ráp is often thms used in anmated grestions, and this use is probably not to be deriven from its value as a causal conjunction,
but to he peecardet as neme of the relies of its original value as an intensive particle.
 tion and answer, -upmeed to be exchanged by two Athenians. For

 for nut coun this mom, i.e., the existing Philip, in "pmosition th the future Philip, whom Athenian neenlisence might be experted to mise up. - тapà, on account of. Cf. IX, 2.
7.     - каírol каі тоиิто, lint still further. H. (;12. - тà $\tau \uparrow ̂ s ~ \tau v ́ x \eta s: ~$ hardly differnt in meaning from $\dot{\eta}$ réx $\eta$. I). is fomd of such peri-


 In whe senteme the verb is chnitted in the first and expressed in the seconul member of the comparisom, a construction contrary to the fonstant linglish, and the prevailing fireek custom. Cf. § 3it, ovx





 є́ $\chi$ єтє.


 sion with I), is hardly different in meaning from e日f dev. M1. s:3). end.




 Seŋ $\theta$ eis . . . тобойтоv, asliing from your. wen uf Athens. nuthing but this. togouton refers to what follows, and is cognate ancusative.
8.     - кріvate - $\pi p o \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v e t \epsilon$. Nnitice the chance of tense. The former verh denotes an art to take place at the conclusion of the exposition ; the latter, a comtimeal state of mind durine the expmei-

 cases at this the impist partipipe motan- its oriminal meanhe, mot
denoting past time, and difiering from the bresem participhe only in not representing the action ats prolongen or repeaterl. - $\epsilon$ ls $\delta$ 白ov, to llir purpose. Cf. § 40, eis $\delta t o v \tau t$.

 the the composition und the si.ae und the means of suppurt of ath ctrmu-

 aljustment by treaty. - oüta : i.e., if the war shomld he emated in either of the ways just mentioned. - тov̂ houmov̂ : Jow different from

 once enter the pronf that I have promised what I can pertorm. тpä̀ma is here used, as often, in the semse of a lomosnit (ef. Lat. mes), and the metaphor from judicial procedure is kept up i,y киттai.
9.     - On the Athenian navy, see I. 5. . - $\pi$ evt

 Athenians must act in persom, mot trust to memematis. - is $\pi \lambda \in v$ -

 there be uny neel; if, pertume, it lee necessar:/. ('i. IX, 71. - rois $\dot{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \sigma$ : dat. of advantage. The ender and mumber of the word are determined by $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu i \pi \pi t \omega \nu$. On the Athenian $i \pi \pi e i=$, see I . 5, 2.
 peeted ôe fullows. - tàs . . atpateias, thesee (wrill-known) smblden



Boúnctac are attributive to orpareias. When a nom preceden hy the article has several attributives, one of these sometimes follows the noun without the article; moreover, to a verhal monn, like ofpateias. even though it have no attributive hefore it, attributive prepositional phrases are sometimes anmexed without the artic!e. - Múdas - Xeppo-

 Пúdas. I. $0, \stackrel{2}{2}, 22 .-$ - фaotr. The Attie matoms anmerally refer to wal tradition rather than to books as the sumer of hiventical infommation. Cf. §§ 23, $24 ; \mathrm{IX}, 48$.
18. - Surely it (i.e., the preparations I reemmemb) is nut altom the


 therough fecter. "ri. disteymetiny these prepurations. maty lie rought off his guard, etc. Fisr à with moli;
 $\nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \pi \dot{u} t \eta \sigma$ te 中inctrov. The omission of the conmata is common in such canes in (ireek, ats in Enclishl. - eloi - eloiv: not the copula, but the


 $\chi$ wipar must be distinguished from the sort of expedition referred to at the end of the preceding rection. D. means to say that eren if the Athenians donot make sudten expeditions to meet Philip alad check his advances, they may descend unnt his territory when he is absent or unprepared. - $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} v \delta \bar{\varphi}$ : sc. $\dot{\delta} \Phi i \lambda \iota \pi \pi \%$.
19. - To the comparatively commonplace and unimportant recommendations of $\$ 16-18, \mathrm{D}$. dees hent rectr in the course of the oration, just as in the introductory passage, ss $1: 3-15$. he dues not appear to have had them in view. His main effort is to secure the admption of the measures set forth in $\$$
 (t) le raised is to be a permanent ome ; (2) it is to be compesed, to the extent of one fourth part, of Athenians; (i) after serving for a fixed term, the Athenian members of the foree are to be relieved by fellowcitizens. On the whole subject, see I. 52, 54, - $\delta \in \delta$ óx $\theta a$ - $-\pi a \rho \in \sigma \kappa \in v a ́-$ ofat. While the aurist infinitives would lenote the performance of the actions, the perfects demote the condition of their having been performed. But, in this comection, there is no more difference of sernse than in English between These prepurutions weght to be aldopterl

 forres: i.e., forces promised in letters (ėmorohai) tw generals abroad.

 under the emetrol of the rity. - кӓ้ - кäv. These partirles. literally meaning luthe if - rent if. resularly correspond to our whether - on. In this instance we must either take the kai of the first кäy as meanincrs ont, and suppose that äv - кä̀ are used in the sense of $\kappa a ̈ \nu-\kappa u ̈ \nu$, a use for which no parallel has leeen fomm ; or we must, with several edtors, insert kai into the text after éoval. - tòv Seiva, Su-thet-so. obeiva oftem refers to a praticular persm, whom one canmot on will not call liy nane; here, and remularly in I., it is used like the






 the semse of enagros alone, lonth in the mominative and the ohlighe

 The sentence is restumen in a difieremt form at the bewimine of the







 oftem, attracted from the mominative th the wase of the mon in the

 orator, but are, of course, understood.

 cient embery for the transments, indieates that lhilipis nay was st ill



 mothe the erperlition be ritizens. But I). has hot promesel that all. hut only that a fourth part of the foree he Athenians. It is mepesaly, therefore, th take eival as the substantive rert, hating as its suljeet
 vous moditas, and tw translate, I demand the eristence oft the (ju-t-mentioned) citizens doing military service.



 with the articie is often thas heen to descritn a person or thiner as

 G. 1ufio. The inplication is that ly and lyy wit be persible to cope with Philip in regular warfare. - $\mu$ oooòs - трофŋ́. I. 5.5. - ákov́w.

 $\tau \epsilon v \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$. G. 1285,1 ; M. 119 ; H. 853 a. For the fact, see I. 2.aủroùs úpâs. Would úpâs aitoùs be admissible liere? H. (isi 7 b).
24. -̇víxwv. The' Athenians gainerl several surcesses in the Corinthim War, hut amones thase regarden with mos pride the exploit of Iphikates mentioned in the Introduction, $\S \geq$, as anmears from the repated references to it in the orators. It seems probable, then. that 1). has here this victery in mind. The imperfert of $\quad$ unde is



 of sume such word as $\dot{\alpha} \delta(c \in t$, in order to make a shetorical antithesis

 represents (Ghares as obliged to yield to the wishes of his soldiers. which view, in the case refered to, is ponably ton faverable th that general. - $\mu \grave{\eta}$ Sıઠóvta, H. 1025 ; G. 1612.

 fiive the meaning of the prepositions in compusition. - yé $\lambda$ ws $=$ redoior. Cf. the use of eivaran in the sense of àrechator. Nimilarly we say in English, If is a shane lo dhe this, using shume in ther sense of shumcful; and su onn. $\epsilon i$ yàp, к.т.入. patp introuluces the justifica-
 $2\left({ }^{6}\right.$ and 27 , which dwell with sareastie insistemee upon the folly of kecping Athenian officers ille at home, and entrustine the military interests of the state to foreigners.
26. - ovk éxelpotoveite, W'ere ytme not in the huthit "f clerfin!!? referring to the recent perion during wheh the Athenians han been at war with Pluilip. We might translate, Did you mot eleet, and understand the worls as referring to the last ammal election, hut that the following sentences seem to deseribe the comduct, not of the ofliwers for that year only, but of such oftiecess sencrally: xetpotoveite would suit the comnection muth hetter, hut this reading hats mannseriph authority.


Dict. Antia. - sàs mopràs. Processims fomed a popular and sphendid feature of some of the Athemian festivals, as the Panathemea. In these processions the cavalry with their oftieers played an impertant part ; what the generals amd taxiarelss had to do is not known. ifporotôv: ten in mmber, yealy chosen ly lot to superintend the

 The terra-cotid imases refered to were used as tors by chillten. Sees Becker's Clharicles, lixeursus to Seene I. - els tìv áyopàv. The agora was a phace whre, anmenst other husiness opreations, small wares were expesed for sale; it was also, hy virtue of its central situation, an important serene for processiomal displays. The semtenee alludes to both these farts. Translatw: For liker these mhen month athirers in

 make a show in the market-place.
27.-..ov̉ زùp. . . Eival, If hy, ought there nut. men of Ithens, th be

 Although two hipparchs were ammally chected, apparently an estahlished custom, whieh 1). did not wish theombat, rempired the presenere of one of them in Athens, tor ofticiate in the religions processions. Hence I). here urges only that one hippareh should serve almoad with
 C'f. VI, 10, wis étépws, note. - $\Lambda$ qिpvov. I. ?. From a frasment of the orator Hyperedes, it apmars that an Athemian hippareh visited Lemmoneach year. Our passage surgests that the oljeet was to assist in some religinus celehration. - Mevé ${ }^{2}$ aov. Nothing is certainly known about this man, except the fact inferable from the context here, that he was not an Athenian. Probably he was a Pelagnian (see Corp. Inscript. Att. II 55). Athens in the age of Demmsthemes often engaged foreign captains in her service, who would be called
 but these were in addition to the ten genemals and the two hipparchs yearly electel by the city from the number of her own citizens. In the appointment of Menclans there had heen, as appears from the next sentence, an extreme irregularity; he had not weerived his commission from the Ekklesia, but from sume manthorized person ; perhaps, for example, from the mercenary gemeral, Charidemos. - ad $\lambda \lambda$ ' . . rov̂rov. This sentence, which bears on an entirely different abuse from that which the mator has beem combating, makes an


 This suljeen, then. I promenl to treut (lit. (f) thernesh with). кai emphasizes $\pi$ epaive : as you desire to hear, so I will also disenss. $\pi \in \rho a i \nu \omega$ is a pres. rhetorically used for the fut. - xpiraara: nom, indicating the subject-matter of the following expmsition. (f. the use of the nom.
 awaken the expectation of a passige, hemiming $\epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \tau \iota \delta^{\prime} \dot{o} \mu \sigma \theta \theta^{\prime}$, and making computation of the amoment needed for wages. Instead of this, the adversative passage ( ci of Tis oifcol $k . \tau$.入.) states that no money need be raised for wages. The meaning of $\tau$ pood $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is mate more ummistakahle by the appositive ouryptotop, rution-momey. The computations (see I. 55) are for one year, thus :-

$$
\begin{array}{r}
10 \text { ships will cost . . . } 20 \text { minæ } \times 10 \times 12=2,400 \text { min }=40 \text { talents. } \\
2,000 \text { foot-soldiers, } \\
10 \text { drachmæ } \times 2,000 \times 12=240,000 \text { drachmæ }=2,400 \text { minæ }=40 \text { talents. } \\
200 \text { cavalry-soldiers, } \\
30 \text { drachm } \times 200 \times 12=72,000 \text { drachm } x=720 \text { min }=12 \text { talents } . \\
\text { Total, } \overline{92 \text { talents. }}
\end{array}
$$

It will be observed that the orator makes no prosision for the support. of erews for the transport triremes (cf. \$ 21). Perhaps he intembed that the soldiers, instead of sums as pasmoers, should themselves row the transports. 'This sometimes oceured ; a case in Thucydides,

 ëтepa, "s much more, forty talents. The same words sometimes mean as much again, twice as much.
29. - $\epsilon$. . . E" $\gamma v \omega \kappa \in v$, fint if any one thinkis the cxistence of rutionmoney for the erpuctition th be un insu!licient. promisim, he is mistalien.
 nom. - тoût äv. In Greek, mphatic words may precede the con-
 middle form might have been experted, hut the reference of the action to the suljeet is left out of acomant. The ide: is: the army will
 The ellipsis of the first and seeond persons of the copula, as well as of the third, is common with ëromos. In IX. 4. 'toomos is used alone

 meants (IIópou 'A $\pi$ ójetsiss) is read by the orator. The docunent is lust.
30. - $\eta \mu$ ets. D. never nses the pharal in speaking of himself alome. Ife mast therefore have had assitanee in the perpation of his parer, prohably from one or mate onlicial matmeenel with the department of
 propersitions or metions: i.e., mine and these of sulsequent speakers.




 є̇тьбто入ıцalous, note.
 yone womld dedilepate. boné is very sedtom hasel impersomally when the persomal construction is mhnissihle. 11. 94, a. - ötг . . Tidet-




 - фudágas. . . xepûva, muiting finr the ctesimn uinds or the winter. The strong emtherly wimb which haw in the Thean sea durine dogdays were called Ėvoiad. They womh ereatly hinder an Athenian flect making for Macedon. Asain, the (irecks were acenstomed to
 Suvaipe日a, when we comble not. This is a hypothetical on indefinte? relative sentence; hence the nise of $\mu \mathrm{m}$. II. 1021 ; (i. 14:s. 1. The
 тои̂то. See also M. 557.




 ërau, but during the scusion of the year when it is cussy tu set to lant, cend the winds cere suffe, it (the force) will casily held at pusition noter the comentry (Macodonia) itself, ent neetr the cutconess to the commerrial ports; in wder, ohvionsly, to eommit demedatims on Philipis conast, th prevent exportation and inportation, amb, in gemoral, to carry out the ohjeef (see $\S$ zei) for whith the force wats to he created. On ro $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \nu \in \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, see § 12, $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau u ́ \chi \eta s$, note.

make．II． 710 b ；G．1054．－mapà tòv кaupòv，ns：orctesion arises．－

 shows that this speech was accompanied by a motion embodying its recommendations．For the technical use of $\gamma \rho a{ }^{\prime} \phi \omega$ ，see I． 60 ．－äv
入é $\gamma \omega$ ．The asyuleton is due to the fact that this sentence is a mere summing up of recommendations previously made and referred to in what immediately precedes．－$\epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta}$ ．．．$\delta v$ vapıv，in a word，the whole force complete．Sóvaper is in the same construction as the pre－ ceding accusatives，and $\dot{e} \nu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta}$ is used proleptically in agreement with
 plied from óurauz．Some editors，omitting the comma after óvoauv， construe $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta}$ as in agreement with $\tau \bar{a} \lambda \lambda a$ ，anl bóvaucy as object of катак入єioŋтє．－тацia，каi порьттаi．（If the poristale very little is known；they were presumably appeinted on special necasions to devise ways of raising funds．The tamite were treasurers，who superintended the outlay of the pullic moneys．See Dict．Antiq． I）．demands that the Athenians themselves attend to the provision and expenditure of funds，instead of throwing these responsibilities unon their senerals（cf．I．8），and that the genesals be held account－ able omly for their conduct of military affairs．－còv 入óyov，the aecount，which generals，like other Athenian ofticials，were obliged to render at the comelusion of their term of service．See Dict． Antiq．，EU＇THYNE．

34．－ámò ．．．$\sigma u \mu a ́ x \omega v$ ：i．c．，it is by preying upon the commerce of your own allies that he obtains the means of carrying on war against you（see I．21）．̇ं $\mu \in \tau \dot{p} p a v$ and $\dot{\text { upiv are }}$ hrought tugether for
 yourselves，in contrast with your allies．－oủ 心̈бтєр к．т．д．The expression is here condensed by omitting the prineipal verbs，instead of omitting，as is done in English in such cases，the subordinate verbs．
 the regular ellipsis with oúx $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ．Cf．§ $12, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \circ v ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ，note． Translate freely：he will not treut yon as he alid in the past，when，ete．
 I．3．－母ै $\chi \in \tau^{\prime \prime}$＇X $\omega v$ ，went off with，curried off．While the present of ot $\chi$ orat and $\ddot{\eta} \kappa \omega$ is used as a perfect，the imperfect lats commonly the mearing of a simple preterite．－Teparotẹ：a convenient station for merchant－vessels bomad from the Pontus or the northern Asean for




 le explatimel by a sitatemathi of lhiluelmons, qumted in as selablum to Soph. (12d. (ol. 1017, to the ulfot that the Itelian theoriar rewtaty
 there. - $\epsilon$ ls toùs Xpóvous, at the times. 11. 796 b , end.

 furtant and costly of the dinmian fostivals. 'The I'anathernat lias colebnated especially hy un monastix and mosical contests athe at mate-
 the represemtation of dranats in the theatre sere bict. Antig.

 euch of thesc. With äv' $\tau \epsilon-a ̈ \nu \tau \epsilon, \mathrm{cf} . \S 19, \kappa \ddot{\partial} \nu-\kappa \ddot{\partial} \nu$. For the man-
 Were anmatly alpminted by lot. 'The: First Archon hat elarge of

 inseription of the year $\$ 10$ nis. ., which reeords that at the l'anathertata of that year is, 111 drachme were patid to the silerifie ial manistrates
 which two items mast be unherstomed to make omly a small pmoportinn of the whole cuthy for the oreasion. If, then, we maderstand I). (e) mean that the eombined expunses of the l'anathemaic and Dimysiat festivals in whe year exered the expenses of one expetition, the stath-

 serves as the verl for hoth the anterentent and the relative sentence. II. 10ne. In the former, a subject is to he supplied to it from ä above. H. 1005; G. 1041. $\tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a}^{\circ} \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu=a n y$ thing in the world. -

 variapxos. Siee I. t! aml Dint. Antiq., LIEITLIRCil. - то́тє

 $\left.d_{0}\right)$ : Cf. § $3, \dot{\eta} \lambda i \kappa \eta \nu-\omega s$, note. The iclea is: every man knows





 rlass of slates. of lerlatis rather the freedmen, su) (alled bematue they lived apart from their masters or former masters. - aúrous. (1f. § 16 ,
 meanine. $1^{n-r h a p, ~ t o ~ r e t h m ~ t o ~ t h e ~ o r i s i n a l ~ p l a n ~ o f ~ s o m b i n g ~ m e t i c s ~}$









 momentes to bo mble turdo mothene. The ide a is: the few ships and men


 érroro入ás. At this point the letters are read. See I. 21.



 wet the realites also. With the latter emastruetion the sentence is
 $\pi \rho \alpha{ }^{\gamma} \mu a \tau \alpha$ imepsingetal. With either comstruction the sernse is the Salme: if disucreable things can he averted hy merely not alluding

 kova, if it he met timely. What is the comelnsion of this comblion?
 nite. H. 942.





(i.e., тoîs ßovicvoutvols) : more emphatie than avitoîs. - тà $\sigma$ vpßávтa

 rate, in :5, 1 , the resources of Athens in men and money were inferine

 thene - oi ßápßapor: not traincel like the (irewhs in s.smmatics.
 instuad of anticipating aml warding off the comme hlow. - Ékeíós Elow, lit. thither ure, combining the ifleate, ghe Hithmi athl we: there.
 so as to parry hows. - $\beta \lambda$ étetv Èvavtiov, to lom hids alversary in the eye.










 G. 897,3 ), but this seems less natural.



 refritucte uf combertice and all that is most shameftul. The sul,ject of Bonei is the impled anteredent of the following relative. For what
 óphovarum may he useel with :m accusative ither of the penalty or the


 after a comblitinn mantrary th reality. -- qov $\pi \lambda$ éovos, linet whith is more, or simply, more.



meaning in § 1. - ov̉ $\sigma \tau \dot{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$, will mut stop. - єîra тoû ${ }^{\prime}$ ávapєvoû $\mu \epsilon v$, shall we then writ for this: i.e., for some one to himder him. eita introuluces an intignant questiom. -- kevàs: i.e., without soldiers. -

 $\tau a \iota$, note.
44. - $\mu$ '́pєt . . oike $\omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$, with some purtion, ut lenst, of citizen soldiers. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \hat{\omega} p$ is a sent. of material. Different is the phrase, $\mu t p o s \tau \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s$

 vivid expression than épout' ä̀ tis. - oúbémot' . . . סéovtตv, neter shall we obtain anything that we need. H. 1032 ; G. 1360.

 sufficiently good sense. Whercter some purt of the city, coen if not the whule, is sent with the mereenaries. - тò tôv $\theta \in \omega \bar{v}$ - тò $\tau \hat{\mathrm{\eta}} \mathrm{\tau} \tau \dot{x} \eta \mathrm{\eta}$.
 ejueves ats subj., and translate, the fineor of the gools and thut of fortme.
 - à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$. . á árootodoos, lut your enemies deride, denl your ullics are in martel fear of, surch expeditions. àmootódous, belonging in sense
 by the latter expression. For the construction, see II. 71:; ; L. \& S. opion I. 2, end. In explanation of the fear of the allics, see I. 8.
46. - ধ̌va ävסpa: i.e., the general. D. may have in mind Chares, who was proverbial for making promises (ínoбхér(lat) which he could not perform. - ajopiotav, unpuid. The wom has also the meaning

 ( $\dot{\rho}$ ötws) imperacheql lyy men whe remain in Athens (èvéáó), and who have conseduently no proper knowledge of the generals' deserts.
 yon muy huppen to, wh hup-huzurd. - $\tau i$. . $\pi$ тоosoкâv, whut mist we expect? кal gives emphasis to the question.
47. - örav, when, does not correspond strictly with $\pi$ ŵs, how, of

 dikasts in suits in which an official's aceoments are impeached. - v́pâs: grammatically superflumus, lut rhetorically emphatic. - тà í $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \in \rho$ ' aủtôv. ('f. § 7, note.-aloxúvns. ('f. S! !, à $\sigma \epsilon \lambda$ ycias, note; §: 37, üppecs. - $\delta$ is кai тpis: idimmatic fur tere or thrce times. - крivetai $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{l}$


 from the bathis, were common erimes at Athess, aml were punished, like puckel-1. тои̂ тробท́коขтоs: Sc. Әaváтov.


 öaoriv, to wrest uptry the repulbios, pmbably means, to dismember
 fied the spartans in the way deseribud, lut ha may have encouraged them to lehee that he would dosio. - we - тéтopфev: muswal, after

 Nothas represented to Alexander the (ireat that Philip hat once con-
 Sé-. Instear of adding atouther item of grssip, the: mator breaks off, and concludes with a general statement.

 means.

 dome u!frimst us. I). is of coumse thinking matnly of Philip, hut speaks indefinitely, so as to imelude others by whose promises the Athenians







 logue to an oration, sugeresting a contrast hetween the orator"s own






mode in the relative clanse whuld have heen indieative. II. 5se. -

 adrice, I liticurise lineme thut it wombld he frotituhle alsu to him who gives

 cirtiv, with which ouvoíov agrees. I'erhazs it should rather be tò ímas tà Bétiofa ikovere. The sense is the same in cither case. - vôv
 us to the comserumeses th me of this conirse (lit. in the conserquences, etc., beiny uncertuin). - $\epsilon \pi i \uparrow \tau \hat{\varphi}$. . . $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a r$, in the conviction, ete.

## VI.

## ANALYSIS.

 §s 1-5.
1.-a. Prothesis, § 6 .
b. Philip favored the Thebans - and, in like manner, the Argives and Messenians - rather than the Athenians, because he believed the former would be more pliant to his plans, $\$ \S 7-12$;
c. not because he recognized in the cause of Thebes the cause of justice, § 13 ;
d. Aus yet mater compulsion, an exphanation montradicteal hy bis present attitude, §§ 1t-16;
 able with one another, §今 17-19.
 Argives, warning them by the example of Olynthus and Thessaly against trusting Philip, $\$ \$ 20-25$.
$b$. The neglect of these warnings by the Peloponnesians cannot be imitated by Athens with equal excuse ; introduction of reply, $\$ \S 26-28$ to $\lambda \epsilon \xi \omega$.
III. - $r$. The anthors of the present peate omelat to be called to aceomat, that the penalty for coming disasters may be visited where it is deserved, $\$ \S 28$ from $\hat{\eta} \nu \mu \in ̀ \nu$ oû $\nu,-36$;
$b$. Which disasters may the gods yet avert, $\S 37$.



 - rous ... $\lambda$ ógous, the pretilutir speceres, in contrist with the utter-
 sympathy with humiliatal cima. - Qawopévous - Sonoûvzas, cidentlg ure - wre thought. While paireorace with in iaf. is way! like.






 these speeches ( $\tau a \hat{\tau} \tau a)$ D. means practical results.

 $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \mathrm{l}$.
3. - airtov . . ört, thel the rius m fior this is thut. - Túrres : Histributed belnw into ipueis oi motuéres and imeis ai wempmene. - Séov.

 with roitcre, and sproity the artions which ate the duts of maths.


 dunycrous end intulerothle thinys. The pusition of of makes tome emo phatic. It often has for this purpose the thind or fourth plate in a



 you are wholly inefficient. Cf. M. 320. 2.


 but groe in irmols. After taûta. Which is mom., as alpears fron ai
 tence. (or, pertaps letter, the words mpâjun . . . eikés may he taken as parenthuical, трй刀口а beine in alpmition with the stutentre ovp-
 than P'hilip could make.




 peteov. - The foregoing proceminum is hardly appropriate to the oration which follows; for whereas the procemium insists unon the necessity for active measures instead of words, no active measures are recommended in the oration.
6. - Приิтov $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$. The division of topies here hegun is lost sight of, and the expected '゙пєєтa nowhere follows. - Oappei, feels nw clerm.
 to hear me stute loriefly. - $\delta i$ o oűs - $\delta i{ }^{\circ}$ ŵv. With the ace. ofa denotes canse, with the gen., instrment. In this passage the distinction is inappreciable. - távavtia . . . $\pi$ poofookâv, I hroc licen led (lit. it hus
 coluthom. In place of a sulbj. deperdent upon iva, an independent fut. indic. is substituted.

 estimating his calculations by reference to self-aytrandizement. and the Iringing everything under his men control; or, ats it is meant that he adopted or rejeeted plans according as they did or did not conform to his standard, we may freely translate, chonsing his comerse by reference to, etc.

 - twàs. In the Innic dialeen the indef. prommenfen stands between the senitive of the article and its noun (e.s., $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ т $\tau S$ 'E入A $\dot{\eta} \nu \omega \nu$ ) ; in Attic this position is allowed only when an attributive word follows the article, as here, üdtar. - тpoeiote. anr. apt. The force of the preceding äy continues in the rel. clanse. - $\lambda$ óyov motoúpevor, huving regrerl. - $\tau \grave{\eta} v . . . \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \iota$. These words might also be arranged

 arrangenients the first would occasion the concurrence of three short syllables (- $-\mu a \pi \iota \pi \rho o \sigma-$ ), while the second and thitd would oceasion a

 - th tooovtov, uny such thing as the subjugation of any Hellenic community, implied in line 7.
9. - ötєp $\sigma v v^{\prime} \beta \eta$ (lit. which happenct), as proved to be the case. -


 to sureak of the fact that) or untrue ( far from shyme that). In the former case they are to he trandated not only, int the latter, wet ment
 he cutertuined the stelme "primions of them ats of the 'Thebans. - ka0'
 in a sense the reverse of hostile is not common.

 ete. - кє́poous: sem, uf value. Similaly xipetus :mil cipedcias, below.

 IV, 27, wis dं $\lambda \eta$ ôs.

 don was dopatehed ly Mamomins shem:ly lufore the bathe of Ilatea
 comdition of her joining the Persian ailiance. The Athenians rejerted these erertures, and were whised to take refleae a seeond the in satamis, while ther eity was mempieth he the l'ersians. It lowkes an if Demusthemes, in the womds $\tau \dot{\eta} p$ x́pay . . $\pi$ pochouérors, had in mind

 Accuracy in histurical allusins is not a chamethristim of the (iperk


 seems to be chanced merely for the sake of rariety, and the differ-

 sperch). \#̈ ws after a comparative is usually followed hen an infinitive. II. !1.5. - toùs $\mu$ èv - roùs $\delta^{\prime}$ : in partitive appo-ition with tovis $\pi$ foo, óvors. The Thelans fomeht side hy side with the Persians at Plataz ; the Areives were preventel hy hatrel of Simata fom taking any part in the struggle.
 i.e., on the emolition of justice in the relations between himarlif and
 etc., are often thme used uf single fact.s. - кal тóte kai vôv. With this combination of adoris the verh is regulaty in the present, as here. tóte refers to the time of the comelnaion of the Peate, ur
immediately after. - ov زàp к. $\boldsymbol{\text { o }} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. The aremment is: No explanation can be wiven why Philip, thould prefer the frienthhip of the The bans and Argives to that of the Athenians, exepet his reliance on the subservience of the former to his own interests ; for (1) their naval strength is nothing to that of Athems, nor ( -2 ) is it Philip's polier to gain an exchnively non-maritime power (in the haikling up of which Athens might reasomably be neglected), nor (ii) has I Philip forgoten his sworn obligations. This process of excluding other explanations than that assisned by the orator, is continued in sis $1: 3-15$, See the
 in the interior, but renommed thut weer the sed and the commeremel ports;
 clanse, we may translate: nor hus let, after arquirin! some empire in the interior, remomere that aner the sen and the rommereinl ports (which would show an incompehensihle incomsistency and latk of ambition). $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ is inserted into the text, contrany to the reading of the Ziirich edition, on the authority of most MSS. ()n $\tau$, see II. 703 a; cf. G. 1018.


 bans mule juster requests than yone. The The bans asked for (ornome-
 this one it is preiminently (lit. even alome) impessible fon him sum to
 had more right to Messeme, than Thehes to ()rehtomentes and Koroneia.



 IV $, 10,48 . \quad$ 'Eגátelav. The walls of this, as of the other I'hokian towns, had been razed in $: 46$. I, 洊. It apluars from the last sentence of $\$ 15$ that Philip’s alleged intention in regarel to the place was supposed to lonk toward the reesisablishment of the lhokian nation.
15. - $\mu \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota$ kal $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon$. 1). repeats sarcastically the $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ of the preceding sentence in a different stase; see L. \& S. $\mu \in \lambda \lambda \omega$ I and III. But these things he is gron! und will be gring to do; i.e., they beloner and always will belong to the future, will never be realized.
 translate, tu juin buttle for the Tessenims und Aruives regninst the Lacedu:monions; but this hardly suits the context. - oủ $\mu \in \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda}$, is not

 introbluction of the semtence is cmphatio. Is he empmed in destromping



 ete. The absurdity of sumprine that he is domes anyhing for the



 not cen suppose (mot to splak of helieving, reforing to the preceding
 (and) ugminst his will, of if hee wric mem oflutulmimy the Theluths,
 Latedemonians). Thee argument, if stated sylhmi-tioally, womb have the form: If either "or $h$ were true, e would mot lee true ; but $r$ is true; therefore neillur 11 her $b$ is true. är in 1.14 (repeated in

 vieun of them, it appars that he is lmsy comtriciney all his s.hemes reguinst the cily. A better sense, however, is given by sulatituling
 commal instead uf a lwrind after motñas. ant whill make overútrove a second supplementary participle after $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o ́ s ~ \grave{\jmath} \sigma \tau \iota$.
17. - тойto, Hhis dimetion of all his plams aquinst Athelns. - тpótrov

 Cf. IV, 24, бтрате́ยєтal, note. - oīs. H. 904, 996 b; G. 1031. -
 conclusion is är incito. Notice the difference of temser. II. sus. ; G. 1397.








 he stonds threatominely her. The following ési is used in lhe siture



19. - бкаเо́тŋта тро́т $\omega v$, stupility "f elemrnter. In the 'ase of the
 ufter these), uf the runseruenres. - owфpovov̂oi $\gamma є$ kai $\mu \in \tau \rho i \omega s$, to men



 note.
20. - M $\hat{s}$. . . 'Oגuv日ious, I suid. uumuly, Withe whut verutione du
 probably does bont belones to the orisinal fuestion, but serves to intro-




 pleted artinns, refor to the time inmediately sucereding that to which the preverling imperfect refers. And so was himself the bearer, ete. - тolaûta: i.e., such thinss as they now are sufferingr. - $\lambda$ '́yovtos $\pi เ \sigma \tau \epsilon \bar{\sigma} \sigma a t$. This is the depemblent furm of the semtence. 入érovtós
 of $\not \partial \nu$, which belongs to the verb, see H. 862 ; G. 1311.
 xpórov. I). hogres to see lhilip’s puwer broken amb (llyntlms restored.

 !!fouts we not safe fin repulilís. For Nav am! aütal, cf. IV, 17, є́ $\xi a i \phi \nu \eta s$ and taútas, with note.


 tation is that Plilipe established in each rity of Thessaly a deharlarchy, dike those ereated earlier hy Sparta (I. 1), but this does not seem ront-

 sible; i.e., they certainly did not expect these results.


 oủ $\delta \in \notin \pi$ or' . . . $\delta \epsilon \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$, note.


 and Sparta is meant.




 with what follows. The athesion of the Messeminns to Philipatpleaterl







 which is more appropriate. The worl repeats in the form of a meta-


 which is well supported, gives better sense.
28. - $\pi \rho \alpha \kappa \tau^{\prime} \omega v$. ()hligue (asses of the verhal adjective in tos are
 withluawal of the envors from the assemhly. I. :3? 'The phase is


 After 入és m must editions insert, without MLS. authority, the lemma or hodiner, diIoEPIE゚IE. It may he, howerer, that the answer bro-

Skatov. H. xis't; (x. 1100 . 'There kev here, as often when thus con-


 $\kappa a \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ I, 4.

29．－$\pi p \in \sigma \beta \in \dot{c} \epsilon \mathrm{~L}$ ．I．：31．－oî＇öтl，cerfuinly．II． 1049 a．The phrase came to be used as a mere arlwerb，as annens from its often being placed in the mithle or eren at the end of a mentence．－кai ．
 ras，Those who，when I，ete．－suid．Fishlines and Philokrates are meant．I．解．The article and its participle are seldom so widely separated as here．－tŋ̂s $\begin{aligned} & \text { eni } \\ & \text { rov̀s öpoous，the one sent to receive the }\end{aligned}$ ouths of P＇hilip，and his allies．I．i：？．－$\delta$ tєpaprupóp $\eta$ v，protestect．－
 the sense to prevent．For the tense，see H． 832 ；G． 1255.

30．－ís ．．äv日peros，that $I$ ，leciny ut whtertivinker，was：meturally


 off the Chemonesus from the manland would have been a safeguard against the attarks of＇Thracian tribes．－ois＇ötr．See § 29，mote．－
 readiness of the Athenians to forget injuries．

31．－тò ．．aïrxırov in alpusition with the following sentence．

 waiting for any experience of the benefits of the peace，but relying on the hopes excited by Philip．The phrase is to be joined with i $\psi \eta$ pi－
 used with the sense of an imperfect．（f．S． 2 S ， $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}$ oikator．

 D．implies that his opmonent．serure attention hy the abusireness of their language in regand to himself and his party，and says that it is not for the sake of remuiting them in kind that he wishes them to be

 peare negotiations．The phrase limits $\pi$ proorpoúraour．－$\dot{s}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \mathrm{s}$ ，to no murpuse．Cf．§ 10 ，wis itépos，note．－Tà vuvi（ $=\nu u v i)$ ：contrasted with $\pi$ отє．

33．－кai ov̉xi ．．фоßov̂paı $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ，ant thouglı I（lit．shmild not mish

 G．1521．－тov̂ 反єîvos．Cf．IV，19，тд̀v $\delta \epsilon i ̂ v a, ~ n o t e . ~$



 who come first to hand.















 Phokis and Thormopylre.









 síxalos C.

## IX.

## ANAIYSIS.

## I. - Procemium, §§ 1-5.

f. In spite of incessant talking, affairs are at the worst possible pass, § 1
b. This is chietly the fanlt of Athenian statesmen, § 2.
c. A plea for plain-speaking, ss 3,4 .
d. Encouragement may be drawn from the most disgraceful feature of the political situation. §5.
II. - Is Phlip observing tie Peice? §§ 8-20.
a. Prothesis, §§ \&, 9.
 ment of hastile intentions, illustrated ly him dealinz witholynthus, Phokis, Pherre, and Oreos, is, $\dot{d}$ fortiori, to be expected in the case of Athens, $\$ \S 10-14$.
r. Specitic prowts that Plalip, lats viohated both the spirit and the letter of the treaty, §§ 15-20.
III. - Tie Universal Danger and Indifference, §§ 21-46.
4. Philip, is permitted to exereje in (irceee ath aththority which wats never permitted to any Greek state, $\$ \S 21-25$.
b. A catalogue of injuries intlicted by him upon Greece, $\S \$ 26,27$.
 §§ 28-33.
d. Nay, even personal wrongs are tamely submitted to, $\$ \$ 34,35$.
-. This shametul apathy is hlue th the corruption unw prevalent in puhlic men, and the degeneracy of sentiment in regard to such corruption, § $36-10$.
IV. - The Duties of Athens, §§ 47-76.
a. In view of the effective innovations introduced by Philip into the methods of warfare, Athens must keep the war as far as possible from Attic soil, §§ 47-52.

1. The necessity of withetambing the philipizing party at home illustrated by the cases of Olynthus, Eretria, and Oreos, §§ 53-62.
r. The ront of the evil is the general reluctance to face lisacreeable fats, §§ 63, 64.
d. To yield to Philip can bring nothing but misery, §§ $95-67$.
c. While there is yet time, Athems must strain wery herve to bring atout.
 him, $\$ \$$ © 6-75.
f. Peroration, § 7 G .



 while all woulid certuinty suly, thomefh they den not caliry this wht in clecel, that it is necelfiel to speuti (rull to urt in surle munuer thut. For oîo ötc, see VI, 2!), note. ל $\ddagger \sigma a ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ and $\pi 0 \omega 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma$ are (onntmasted, and roûto


 yet true; I feur thut, thonyh it is "luerd swyiny, it is yet true. For the logieal relation of the $\mu \in \boldsymbol{v} \nu$-clatuse to the ó-clatuse, cf. VI, $12,1 \overline{5}$. The herd truth is expressed in the nentemee, ci . . . otate日ipat, which, beiug explanatory, is asyudetic. - ci kai - кai, if both-unul. - oi










 ö $\nu \tau \omega \nu$ ) and be engaged in this task.





 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi a \rho \rho \eta \sigma$ ía $\nu$ ) altogether.
 on "its. тois трáypaor kai тois yıyouévols, the interests uf the stule

 є́тоцдоs. See IV, 29, note.










 ciation wi lle peace, lmwided you will an ats lar ats lhilip in virtual violation of it.




 whirh he speakis of is whserved on (lit. from) !fomi side towned hime, not
 $\mu a ́ \tau \omega v$ : gren. of price. The reference is to money spent in bribes. -

2.     - $\mu$ éXp toútov : explained by écus . . . $\pi$ o $\lambda \in \mu \epsilon i ̂ v . ~--~ o i ̂ s . ~ S e e ~ V I, ~$ 17, ois, note.
 I. 29. - Svoiv Oátєpov, one of two ihings; leest omitted in translation. Cf. VI, 1s, íцфо́тєpa, note. - aủтòv: se. $\mu \grave{\eta}$ oiкєiv. - тávta . . . ámo-


 $\lambda$ éjoc, note. As there the pesent infinitive, so here fle present participles denote enstomary action in past time. II. 850 a ; (土. 1289. With verbs of semding, furpose is gentrally expressed by the fut.


 into Cemtral Greece by the pias of Thermopyle: of. V1, 30 , $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu$ $\pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta \eta$, note.

 - értoke\&opévous: the regular word for the payment of a visit hy physician to patient. The insulting jest is kept up in voroîor. - muv-
 mode of the leating verl) of the quatation. Cf. M. (iT5. 1. - aủroùs. Cf. 11. 878. - $\boldsymbol{\omega}$. . . $\sigma$ тactágovatv, that they were prastrutcil by perty strifes. I. 62.
3.     - Don !on then suppose: that tomoted those when romld unt have infliefal an!! injur!, but comlel pertups at most hure sumed themselves fiom suffering any, fourord these, I saly, be chose to urt dereitjully, rather than to use force mith fair warnints, but that with rov he will wate user by proclamation, and that too while yone are willingly deceiced? On the relation of the mév-and oferlanses, see VI, 12, last mote. 'The aromment is one it fortiori: liy ats much as Athens was more powerful than the states just mentioned, by so much did Philip have more motive in her case for comealing as lones as prssible his

 $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ and $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu \delta$, and betweell roútous $\mu \epsilon े \nu$ and $\dot{\mu} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \delta^{\prime}$.


 nes, in the paty of Plilip. áфé $\lambda$ orro, shmult silture (lit. lutie mure!1).








 in the precerline question. wis lats no expmesad comelative, the anti-

 wath at the time reforme to, D ) is gnily of a mispermenthtatom. Sce I. 33.


 expression similar to this are of somewhat common wermrence, the बi-clathe being sometimes, as here, dependently intermothtive, and sometimes, as in Dem. xiii, 7, conclitional. It is to be observed that the two interagative clanses in our gassage are not altemative or


 $\delta^{\prime}$ Súvaptv, bul whether onr rislates ieligion "tull justice in " smull of in " arenter muller, it ix ull one; i.e... a small siahation shows as well as a stat one menes chatacter and intentoms. For the posi-



 more than atarit admission of the Athemian daims to the (hersomese.



 sil fur fiom ulmittiny. . Thut I !!firme, (ate. I) means that in his
riew, Philip, has violated the peare, not merely in this direct aggression upon Athens, but also in measures of interference in other states, affeeting Athens only indirectly. The rigid comstruction here put upm Philip's obligations moder the Peace is one to which Philip would never have assented. I. :3ī. - Meүápшv . . . бкevшpoúpevov. I. 40, 41, 4:, 38. - Tupavvi8a, tymmay, yreernment ing igrants. Philip sectured this form of government in two cities. Eretria and Oreos. -
 äv - $\pi \rho 0 \sigma a ́ \gamma \omega \sigma \iota v$, until they ure bringing. What different meaning would $\pi \rho \circ \sigma a \gamma a ́ \gamma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ give ?
4.     - tiotv oưv к.т.ג., liy what fuets, then, shendel yome he imperiled, if anything should happen (i.e.. if war showh break ont between you and Philip!)? By the fiet of the Iellespornt's hureing pressel into oflher humls, etc. A dative with кıvôvevéetr regularly denotes thie thing which
 the datives must be taken as expressing callse. The ielea is that all these extensions of Philip's ${ }^{w}$ wer, if he is allowed to complete them, will put Athens in a sad predicanent in the event uf war: - tadkeivou $\phi p o v \eta ̂ \sigma a t$ L. \& S. фpové $\omega$ II, ュ2, c. Notice the inceptive meaning of the aor. - ф $\bar{\omega}$. II. sfif6, :3; G. 1isins. Gildersleeve and Lodge's Lat. Gram. 265.
5.     - $\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda \lambda о \hat{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ кal $\delta \in \hat{\text {, }}$, far from it, a common formula with I)., in

 The word literally means to bound or determine, and hence is suitable for fixing the date of hegimning of an action. - той $\theta^{\prime}$ - $\pi$ otทิซat: i.e..

 an attributive participle, the article is used twice. - oùdé . . Buğovtiou, it does not even seem gorel to me to delincrute men almout the Chersonese nor liyzantium; i.e., I do not approve of treating the Chersonese or Byzantiun as the main subjeect of comsideration. I. 46 .
6.     - $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$. . ' 'Eג入 $\eta \nu \omega v$, Int. while defentiny the'm (i.e., Chersmites and Byzantines) and unatrhing to prevent anythiny from huppentuy to
 For the logical relation of the $\mu \dot{e} v$ - and $\mu \dot{e} \nu \tau o-c$ clauses, see VI, 12, last
 some theneght for gonswelves, ut uny rute, unless perhups: !toe will forr

 heed. L. \& S. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \in \neq \omega$ 4, a.





 851 ; G. 1272.


 11 , ойт $\omega$.








 тéav úpiv, the sume sentriumt! with !!n! i.e., the same which jou
 vouv, were distermin! the estallisherl institutions of ghermments. 1. 1.
 §. .). 'The me:mine is that the example of Atherns and spatat is wh su much more innpertance than that of other states that it is hot worth while to linerer on the latter. The tirst half of sivt refers to the Pelomomesian War; the second half th the Bonotian W:ar, and prssil, y
 Accordiner, therefore, to I)., the u1pmsition of sparta aml Athens in the first of these wars was due (1) the desire of the former (o) redress
 others, to the reverse state of thins.s. This is, of comras, a highly rhetorical version of histury. -äv ëXovtes ci nai ä̀ eixouev, ulthumefle





 clear. - ois - iv ois. In atelative rlanse which hits the value wi an


 cutor whan dil mui wiat it fifth of the votes of the dikilsts wats sulpjected
 commm, ocemrence and manh importance, and it maty have passed into connmon life as an expression for a matll part, like our tithe. This, however, is mere conjecture.
26.-"OAuv00v к.т. $\lambda$. I. 2!. The Metheme here referred to is nsmally sulpersed to have heen sithated on the ('halkidian pentnsula.

 Eimeiv, ses thut it is mot corsy fui "risilns to suly cien whether they were


 —катє́бтทбєv. Н. 887 ; М. 58.
27.-For historical allusions, see I. 41, 415, 47, 42, 40. - кaì raûta, and this. Cf. Sl:', kai taid', mote. 'Thelses and Athems haterl tyrants; hence the furce of this alditiont, кai taûta . . . At $\eta \nu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$. - "Éroi . . . ßounopévous." ISy this lamedtge I'hilip implied that lee regarded as chemies those who were net willing to nhey (éroverv) him. 'T'o this implicatinn taita in the next sintelner lefelis. - kai oủ . . . roteî, , I ml



 large enough for.


 H. 1043,2, a. - бvorๆ̂vaı, to band together.
 erable ome reswlicel to malie rapital anl uf that time in which anuther is being destroyger. Such were the mutual jealousies of the Greek states and their blindness the commmon danger that earh expected to prefit by another's loss. - étei introntues the justifieation of the imflication, contained in the words, oix öm cos . . . $\pi$ púr $\tau \omega$, that Greece is in danger. - тepiosos . . кakov. 'Threre serns to be here a mix-
 fecer, and aphlies to the ("aseot of thone (ireeks whom Philip) hat frome












 both this and the follonsigs summee the wits of the combitions ame



 ownership which the other states recognized.












 donian slaves in (ine ere ; which fact, hommable to the Nacelomians, is ingentimsly hut falaly explained hy 1) as du. th, their wombhasness. Some, however, accept D.'s words literally.
7.     - ov helongs with carlh ai the fullowing gle atimes. mpos





8.     - For historical allusions, see I. 4:, 41. - тòv Sท̂pov tòv 'Epe-
 perple, in distinction from the perple of any other eity; ó ôjuos 'Eperpuéav means the popular purty in Erctria, in distinction from the aristucratic party or the tyrants in the same city. Cf. Il. 7:30 d. Thus, althoush in both eases ôjpos means ucommoncelt!y, of meses hutinef erpul privileges, the former expression is the one maturally hised when the place is the thing of inmortance, or, in other words, when the emphasis falls on the renitive. - тave' is oljeret of hoth opewtes
 curk proying that it muy not full remon themselees. The iolea is that the Grecks regarl lhilip's movements as heing, likr a hail-storm, beyond the sphere of their own control, as something to avert which they have no resource but prayer.
9.     - ov̉ $\mu$ óvov . . . ásıкeitat, 1 url not onty rlucs no whe trlie vengernure upon him in vieu of the insulent treatment whirh Circere reecires at his hands, but mot cuen for the: wronegs whirh corlh suffers himself. Not. only is there an ahsenne of I'anhellenic patrintism, but each state is too mean-spirited to redress even its own injuries. - тоиิтo . . . évтıv. rov̈axazov is to he taken as sulỵeet, roûto as prerlieate, the iclea beingr, for this is what the ertreme, the rlimest of his insolence (implicd almose,

 The possessive genitives stand, for emplasis, at the head of their respective clanses. For the allusions, see I. 4., 4:), 47, 4.) The words кai $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$. . övtas, which break the rhetorical symmetry of the passage, are resarded by some as spurious, or as belouging in $\S(3 n$,

10.     - $\beta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} т о \mu є v$, we look askance, or suspiciously.
 in frectom, and gave wory to no buttle om land or sea; i.e., did not
 but which being now lost; or, according to the English idiom, but the
 upside down.

 of bribes, owpoóorta, was punished, aceording to cirmmstanees, by a fine of ten times the amoment rereivel, confiscation of property, atimia, or death. Although suserptibility to bribes was always a weak puint in the (ifenk character, Demosthencs is probably right, in represemting
that in earlier times it had me： 1 ith surer and serverer pmishmem



 therongh whense indlucnce（itrace is sieli nuth llenth（lit．is rmined and
 has received a hribe，he is emviel for his anol fortane；if le mallush－ ingly parades his grilt（：as I）．elsewhere areuses lhilukrates of doine）， it is treated as a joke ；and if a rigid monalist here and there vemumess to denomee the wime and the seneral imbilformee th it（rovitos， neller），he sets maly ill－will for his pains．－ŋ̈ptŋтal，depend upm， follow from．

40．－Emei，firm．The fact that in material resomeres the（ireeks are hetter off now than in the time of the Persian Wars，is intmelueed as proof that presemt evils must be attributed to the momal canses just

 all the Greeks．－тติv тóтє $=\ddot{\eta}$ тois $\tau$ órє．II． 643 l ；G． 1155.
 clause is lomsily prefised，without grammatical mememenee．－－$\tau \dot{\alpha} v$ v̂v， Hhe present state of things．－mpooseio $\theta \epsilon$ ，need in mutdition to the testi－ mony of your own eyes．－тà év roís ävo日ev xpoovors may lw restruled as a mominative，in which case see for its position， $\mathrm{IV}^{r}$ ，e？？，тoût äp， note，or as an accusative，the objeert of ôndéow，hy prolepsis．－tavav－
 devto，eis $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \eta \nu$ with roá $\psi a v \tau \epsilon$ ．For the omission of the article with àкро́то入ıv，see H． 661.

42．－＂Ap日puos．The case of Arthmius figures repeatedly in the （ireek orators．It is used again ly 1 ），xix，271，ly Fismhers iii，2．ss． and by Deinarelus ii，24．It is also found in a passage of the rheto－ rician Aristeides（xiii，189），on which an extant scholimen eomments． and is mentioned by I＇lutarch（Themistokles，（i）．As far as ran be made out，the facts，as understool by the mators，were theses：Arth－ mins，of Zelcia in＇Troas，was，at the time of Xerxes＇invasion，atem－ porary resident of Athens，and had heen homored ly an apprintment as Athemian proxenns．Being．however，disenvered in an attempt to bribe the Spartans with Persian send，he was driven from Athems and deelaved an outlaw，and the sehtenere was reomeded loy an inseription set up on the acropolis．－фŋoiv：ar．Tà jpápuata，the insiriptim．－ ärtuos．In the other qumations of this inariquion hy hemosthmes
himself, hy Fschines and hy Deinarelns, this word, ätuos, of which D. here makes so muelt, is not found. For its meaning, see below,
 ̇̇к . . . $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega$ tas, note.

 oovilous, note. - Ex Xpòv - áripous. Account for the change of number.
44. - The argument is as follows: The atimia to which Arthmins was condemned canmot have been that suspension from civil rights to which this name is ordinarily applied (see Dict. Antiq., Atinit); for this, to a mere metic, would have been mo punishment at all. But there is another use of the word ätcuos, foumd in our ancient 1)rakonian laws respecting homicide, where it signifies an outlaw, whom any one may kill with impunity. This then must be the sense in whieh the word is used in the inserption. - ivv . . ajtulav, whet me would coll utimia in the usuml sense of the arom; mere molinur! utimial. Cf. L.

 which all citizens had in commom. Fon the position of the phase,
 those for whem (if killeel) it is mot permilferl tor bring indirtments for
 ผ̂v. To ôộ̂ supply as sulject ó ropolét
 ing to 1).'s interpretation the quoted words apply to one who may be slain with impunity. For the redumant use of $\phi \eta \sigma i$, see L. \&S. $\phi \eta \mu i$,
 This, then, is the meminef (of the inseription), thent the slayer of any one of them (Arthmius and his family) is free from boot-gniltiness. As subject to $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$, supply $\tau \grave{a} \gamma \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu \mu a \tau a$. We might, it is true, understand $\dot{o}$ vouol'é $\tau \eta$ s again as sulpject, and make roút $\omega \nu$ refer to the persons contemplated in the quoted law; but this is less satisfactory, because, first, the sentence would then contain mothing which is mot implied in the preceding sentence, and, sommdy, the aremment in regard to the meaning of the word ätuos in the inscriptim wombl not then be brought to a distinct conclusion.
 hemee the use of the pres. indic. If the clanse were felt to lo a com-
 vovotv (lat. of participle) $=\epsilon i \mu$ iो тoû̀' iste入áuparor, if they henh nut


 suppose that D. has in mind events like the rexat arpultal of . Fisehi-

 the proufs of Philipis formidahlemes. Fon the commmon text, sew the Appendix.








48. - ákov́w. Cf. [V, 17, taour, nole. In fatt, I). "s descrijulan of the waly in which the Ielopenmesian War Was earried on is in rell mohahility ohtained from Thmerdincs. - тétтapas . . . тévtє. 'This
 taken, hut the estimate is comsiderably (on shome. Eisht months




 $\kappa \epsilon \rho \delta o u s$, note. - тıva. Cf. VI, 30, $\tau \iota s$, note.
49. - akovete $\delta \dot{6}$. The Athemians are sareastirally represemterl as knowinc nothing ahout lhilip's methods of warfare expept hy hear-

 perfected by Philip, the phalanx of hoplites, armal with larea roumd shiefls and lomes spears, constituted the "central herly of the mational forees. . . Besibles the phalanx, there existed as a separate division of the infalatry, the spueries of troong called Hylaspistar [lewe called ly [). 千́doi ], who were prolably more liahtly armed amb more loosely wranizwl. . . The momataneres were after their fashon emplowed (1) strmathen the military force, servine as lisht-atmed tronts amb bowmen. . . Foreigners were used hy lhilip whan they sedmed to promise to he uf alvantater. . . Sperial attontion wats devoled hy him to the cavalry. At its heat was the jowne plate of the kinse
whose person was sumommad hy a picked lorly of horsemon." Curtius, Hist. Cireece, Vol. V. F. st). Demosthenes may lue here moterstond to meat that Lhilip's mapin marehts were sumetimes made without heavy-armed trools. - totoûtov otpatómeôov, the temy. in a word, of this sort.

 mestir dissensions. Cf.§ 1コ, voouva. - ảmoriav, distrust felt liy the



 mukes nes dintercure to him mbether it is smmmor or winder. ('f. What.
 ing rokirh he rests. 'There seems to he wn reasun for consilering ofa $\lambda \in i \pi \omega$ in this and similar phraces as intransitive, though this wats the view of L. \& S. (6th edition).

 lony us pussible beforchuml to seratre !!misalues h!! puliticul meusarics and militury prepurations., infent "pom preicutiny hime fiom, stirrin!!

 к.т. $\lambda$, where, however, the newative clanse mecedes. orymiakévtas



 on at a distance, à $\cos ^{2}$ means a struserge at cluse quaters, om Attic territory.




 some divinity is driciny the slate 1o min. (romelwin (M. ]. 1:3.1) con-
 sometimes does not differ apmeeriahly from the pres. imtic. in mean-



participle to be supplied from the prineipal sentenen (here weteroutes), so that the litemal translation would be, fion whatero crense gon imest

 for the solke of. D. uften relonkes the Ahemian fonduess for listeming to seurrility, to which in his legal, though not in his parliamentary orations, he sometimes himself pamlers. - is oúk eiol. Just ats an intinitive after a verb of nesative meaning commonls takes a $\mu$ 方 which


 in mind; cf. § 39, note.


 L. \& S. mo入ıtev́w B, II, 2. - тò goes with éot̀elv.


 tove Bedtiotov, the whlherents uf the lest (i.e., the puthiutie) ctomse.






 trying tor utuch the stute to g!me. Fiop is imperfect of attempteal action.
 menst mutturs to these (i.e., the lutter). - $\tau \in \lambda$ eutôvtes. II. Mis a ; (i.


5S. - каi үáp тon. Siee IV, 6 , mote. - $\sigma$ úmpaxos. Mnst t-litinns reat

 suffe, to prosper. (i3) to cartpe; as a middle th seme for uness li. of theste meanings, the last two ate evilently inaphlicable here. We may translate, he: hus frwier ulowity dricen them (i.e., the phil-. At thentian party), miskiny the the resped, wit at the conntry, and interpmet the
 the danserous political situatom, from a ty many supported by Matedonian arms.





 Athens - where he is satid to have been a disciple of Plato - is made with pride; the suggestion is that his love of liberty was accuared there.
60. - ovitos . . Sípov, As to lene this man mas in other (lit. the other) wreys insullal anel fonlly wronged by the lenelp, it womlel bee jussible to trll "f lomy story. тà ädia is cogrn. ohj.j. of the verths which follosw. For its pusition and that of oütos hefore ws, see IV, z!?, roût ian, mote. Tà äd解 means the other wrongs than the one about to lee named.
 luming Philip) "s thris chuncuns ant prytunis, i.e., acting uncler Ihilip's






 twor-stricken, wess silent frome termor. - Eủфpaiov. II. sis. - $\mu \in \mu v \eta$ -
 p$\dot{\eta} \gamma \nu v \mu \ell, ~ I, ~ 4 . ~-~ \delta \iota a \sigma к \in v a \sigma a ́ \mu є v o ı, ~ i n ~ b a t t l e ~ a r r a y . ~$

 Euphrous. tóte refers to the time when Euplarells brouerht the indietment for treason above referred to; airous is an indireet reflexive, refervine to oi $\mu$ ev, I'hilistides and his friends, whom the people saverl
 and toùs $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, some and others; cf. VI, 11.

 fintive dependinč utpon aítos may stand (1) witlont the article, or (2) in the genitive with rov, or ( $\because$, in the accusative, as lerre, with to.
 ulse is the cithse of the same eombluet, fle fact, namely, thent; it is, us




 intensive aủrois agreeing with it.



 emphatisis secons th be gathed hy the omis.sions. liather difteront ate









 they were uttridy rained: i.e., at the last, seeine that their main wats inevitalle, they losst heart anm let things take their conrie. modià nai









65. - ö к.т. $\lambda$. I).'s fuat is that the Athenians in like mammer will


 possible is resulaty followed by tla simple lative. amb the in wit out text is matled ly most Msis. aml exlitims. - kaitol . . PıAimmou,





'The combination of puphénes with npeittor is also admissible (ct. Ihat. Rep. 587 e).

 repelliny, strme myinst; a prolonged act, and hence expressed by
 axe.
67. - tà rotav̂ra, such things as the Oreitie, Eretrians, and (Hynthians hoped for, i.e., that no great harm would hefall then, - тๆ入t-

 not wen if anyfling whtecer exist, wecurs alss in Dems. xix, 觡4: simi-

 or $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ à $\pi \epsilon l \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$.
 huppen? Gomel Itenvens, we tf course muthe lo hate done this that this, aind nut tor lure done lhis. Fir the tense of revertlas, in place of which we should expect a future, cf. MI. 99. jàp has mot here in either serttenre its causal value; for its use in çucstions, see IV, 10, otvorto jàp äv, note; for the meaning ecrluinly in the second sentence, see Berumlein, Griechische Partikeln, 1). \%o. For the use of tò, see II. (jo. h) (!. !s4. - vôv :mol tóт' are emphatic ly position. For tót', see IV, 29, тô̂т' å $\nu$, note.
 § 16 i. - каi vaúrŋv кaì кußepvŋ́rๆv, lwith suilur und pilot. In the use of raúryv for vaúras and in the onission of the article, the (ireek ex-
 eecry men in miler, i.e.. withunt exequtim. - imépoxn, lus lnoken over it.
 indicative is used here because the clanse refers to the actual Inesent

 What, slutl we du? some one of the amblime huts pertupss linut been wishing to usk. This is a thetorical sumstitute for the natural con-

 equivalent of ös jöecos in iows $\dot{\mu} \mu \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon$. Thu maly objection to this is the rare necurrence in Attic writers of ä $\nu$ with tuture forms; see M1. 197, 216. ( $\because$ ) sume editurs encluse the words notws at tows in
commats, and sumply with them epotion or roùto raŵv, an explanation
 ity, change iperijown to iperiones, son that the words = üs inticos ier in ws



 rect middle. - $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$, I mean.
71. - тaûra סףे. 'The somemer, hatine heen interrupteal by the parenthesis, takes heme a froh stary oin is resmuthive - rous

 atre ready and intoding to resist Philip. Fin the constration, a.e

 ceents. '!he idea is that Athens, he taking a firm and theatemine attitude. "an at least tempmorily arrest lhilip's muvements, ats in the case mentioned in the neat section. Fon ei of $\mu$ t, where bie shomble

 valuable in dealing with an inditidual, subjeet to tumtal acedents, than in dealing with a permanent, well-mmanizel (oveoteooa) state. - oúdè - oư $\delta^{\prime}$. Sict
 phsition of the attribative phasie, $\pi$ epi tipp II, siee IV, 17, second note; for the historical fact, 1. $\because \because$. - äs . . $\pi \epsilon \rho\llcorner\hat{f} \lambda \theta$ opev. The rela-
 $\pi \rho e \sigma$ eias is a resular illustration of the cosnate areusative; and

 harshone. The noms may, in fact, he regarted ats fommens a heendiallys, the meaning heing. "reusefory embusisies. - Пodvékтos...
 used in peinting at a persom prenent. - кai émotyoquev. In Enclish





74. - Xadk\&éas - Meyapéas. ('halkis and Merara were in alliance

$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \in i v o s \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i)$. they ure content. - тoûto тò Yépas: i.e., the mohl. task of protecting Greece.

 887 a ; (i. 1: T? ; M. $: 370$. - $\quad$ áv $\theta^{2}$ ä $\mu a$, cverything ut unce. C'f. VI, 6 ,


## APPENDIX．

THE text of the＇Ihime I＇hilippice wists in two ditlepent forms，of which the one contation a mumber of pats－ater of consiclerable lemeth which are onnted in the other．＇lhe shorter form is that of the mammeripts catled ご ant？L．Which are preserwed respertively at Paris and Flomence，ant ：ure generally considered the hest：the other and lomger form is that of the other mannocripts．（＂pinions vary widely as to the origin of these ditterences．some sedolars holding all or part of the passages in grestion to he eremuine and for have
 ing them as perado－I ）emosthenie interpolations in the inferior manuseripts：while still another view，prethaps the most proh－ able，is that they are from the hame of l bemosthenes．lout were omitted hy him in the final recension of the oration．＇Tles． text of this edition，heing batsed unon that of 土，gives the hriefor form．＇The principal additions contained in the val－ gate are the following ：－

## 1．Following § 5：














## 2. At the end of $\S 32$, after $\pi \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota:$




 тєढт兀レ;
3. At the end of $\S 41$, after икро́тодеv:


 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha ;$
4. In $\S 46$, after $\pi \bar{\omega} \varsigma:$




5. At the end of $\$ 58$, after $\sigma \omega \dot{\zeta} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ :
 $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ Пар $\mu \in \nu i ́ \omega \nu o s$.
6. In § 71, after $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \beta \epsilon \epsilon$ :




ANNOUNCEMENTS

## COLLEGE SERIES OF GREEK AUTHORS

Prepared under the supervision of Jons Wishamsi W'mar, Thoman I). Seymour, and Charles Burton Gulick

Dooks edited for college classes, with scholarly introductions, commentaries, notes at the foot of each page, and vocabularies
Eschines against Ctesiphon (Richardson) ..... 21.10
Nischylus: Prometheus lound (Wecklein and Allen) ..... 1.10
Aristophanes: Clouds (Iumphreys) ..... I..|O
Euripides: Bacchantes (Beckwith) ..... 1.25
Euripides: Hippolytus (Harry) ..... 1. 1 .
Euripides: Iphigenia amons the Tamians (Flares) ..... 1. ${ }^{n}$
Greek Dialects, Introduction to the Study of (Buck). ..... 
IIomer: Iliad, Books I-III (Seymour) ..... 1. $1 n$
Homer: Iliad, Books IV-V I (Seymour) ..... 1. In
Homer: Iliad, Books XIX-XXIV (Clapp) ..... 1.75
Homer: Odyssey, Books I-IV (Perrin) ..... 1. 10
Homer: Odyssey, Books V-VIII (Perrin) ..... $1 . . \mid 0$
IIomer, Introduction to Language and Verse of (sicymour) ..... 75
Lucian: Selected Writings (Allinson) ..... 1.40
Lysias: Eight Orations (Morgan) ..... I. 10
Menander: Four Plays (Capps) ..... 2.50
Pausanias: Attica (Carroll) ..... 1.65
Plato: Apology of Socrates, and ('rito (l)yer and Seymour) ..... 1.50
Plato: Gorgias (Lodge) ..... 1.65
Plato: Protagoras (Towle) ..... 1. 25
Septuarint, Selections (Conybeare and Sitock) ..... I. 15
Sophocles: Antigone (D’Ooge) ..... 1.10
Thucydides: Book I (Morris) ..... 1.15
Thucydides: Book III (Smith) ..... I. 05
Thucydides: Book V (Fowler) ..... 1. 10
Thucydides: Book VI (Smith) ..... 1.50
Thucydides: Book VII (Smith) ..... 1.90
Xenophon: IIcllenica, Foroks I-IV (Manatt) ..... I. 65
Xenophon: Hellenica, Books V-VII (Bennett) ..... I. 10
Xenophon: Memorabilia (Smith) ..... $1 . .10$
Text editions of any of the above volumes, 40 cents each

# THE <br> ESSENTIALS OF LATIN SYNTAX 

By Charles Cifristopier Mierow, Instructor in Classics in Princeton University

## 12 mo , cloth, $9^{8}$ pages, 90 cents

Tw: first part of this book aims to present very clearly and concisely the essential facts of latin syntax in compact and orderly form, and every construction named is so treated that its relation to Latin grammar in general is immediately apparent.

Finh grammatical principle is illustrated by one simple and pertinem linglish example with its latin equivalent, and with each instance there are references to the grammars of Allen and Greenough. West, and Bennett.

The second part consists of two sets of exercises for Latin writing. the one derived from cesar and the other from Livy; and each separate exercise, while based on some particular continuous portion of the text, illustrates at the same time some definite set of grammatical constructions already explained in the first part of the book.

As a review of grammar, "Fssentials of Iatin Syntax" is particularly useful in presenting the chicf principles of syntax in simple tabular form. The Noun, the l'ronoun, and the Verl are treated separately: A full index renders it an easy matter to refer to any construction.

## THE ESSENTIALS OF GREEK SYNTAX

By Cimarles Christorier Mierow, Instructor in Classics in Princeton University

$$
12 \mathrm{mo} \text {, cloth, } 165 \text { pages, } \$ 1.25
$$

Part Finst consists of an outline of Greek syntax as an organized whole. The various constructions are arranged in simple tabular form. and each separate grammatical principle is illustrated by an English example with its equivalent in (ireck. References to four Greek grammars are given in every case. In the treatment of the verb, especial attention is paid to conditional and relative conditional sentences and to indirect discourse.

Part second comsists of two groups of exercises for translation into Greek, each group containing four separate sets of exercises. The first group is intended for advanced classes in preparatory schools and is based on the Amabasis ; the scound. consisting of exercises to acompany Lysias and Plators Apolnge, is designed for use with college freshmen.

The hook contains very complete Enclish and Greek indexes to facilitate reference to any construction.

## GOOIDIVIN'S GREEK GRAMMMAR

By WILLIAM W. GOODWIN, Emeritus Professor of Greek Literature in Harvard University



THFRE is but one oninion touching Irofessor Comedwin's "Greek Grammar" : it is superies to any other in phint of accurate scholarship, completeness, mul readiness of reference.

The relative derces of prominence that should be given to the various aspects of grammar have been carefully considered, and the book will be found in accord with the soundest ideas on this subject.

It represents the latest and must authoritative information in Greek grammatical forms and constructions. The syntax of the moods is based on the authur's larger volume on the Greek moods and tenses.

The work is used and recommended by the prominent universities and colleges in this country and by many of the great public schools of Great Britain.

## BY THE SAME AUTHOR

## SY゙TTAXOF THE MOODS AND TENSES

OF THE GREEK VERB
Rewritten and enlarged, 8vo, cloth, xxxii $+4^{64}$ pages, $\$ 2.00$

IT is generally acknowledsed that this work of Professor Goodwin's is indispensable to all students and teachers of Greek.
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