Some finiteness properties of regular vertex operator algebras

Haisheng Li¹ Department of Mathematical Sciences Rutgers University-Camden Camden, NJ 08102

Abstract

We give a natural extension of the notion of the contragredient module for a vertex operator algebra. By using this extension we prove that for regular vertex operator algebras, Zhu's C_2 -finiteness condition holds, fusion rules (for any three irreducible modules) are finite and the vertex operator algebras themselves are finitely generated.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study certain finiteness properties of vertex operator algebras, motivated by a conjecture of Zhu about the relation between the rationality and the C_2 -finiteness condition for a vertex operator algebra V.

To prove the convergence of a trace function Zhu [Z] made a technical assumption on the vertex operator algebra, called finiteness condition C, so that his beautiful results hold for a rational vertex operator algebra that satisfies the finiteness condition C. Zhu's finiteness condition C consists of what we call C_2 -finiteness condition in the present paper and the condition that V is a sum of lowest weight modules for the Virasoro algebra. We say that a vertex operator algebra V satisfies C_2 -finiteness condition if $C_2(V)$ is finitecodimensional in V where $C_2(V)$ is the subspace of V linearly spanned by elements $u_{-2}v$ for $u, v \in V$. (Note that as one of the results in [DLM3], the convergence of trace functions was proved under only the C_2 -finiteness condition.) It was proved in [Z] (see also [DLM3]) that the familiar rational vertex operator algebras satisfy the C_2 -finiteness condition and it was conjectured that the rationality in the sense of [Z] (defined in Section 2) implies the C_2 -finiteness condition.

In [DLM2], with various motivations we proved that for the familiar rational vertex operator algebras, any weak module (defined in Section 2) is a direct sum of irreducible (ordinary) modules. Consequently, any irreducible weak module is an (ordinary) module. Vertex operator algebras with this property are said to be *regular*. It was conjectured in [DLM2] that the notions of rationality and regularity are equivalent. The combination of the two conjectures gives rise to a third conjecture: Regularity implies the C_2 -finiteness condition. Since regularity clearly implies rationality, the third conjecture is also a weak version of Zhu's conjecture.

¹Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9616630.

In this paper, as one of our main results we prove the third conjecture, which is that regularity implies the C_2 -finiteness condition. Moreover, we prove that if V is regular, the fusion rules are finite and V is finitely generated as a vertex operator algebra.

In [Z], Zhu associated an associative algebra A(V) (a certain quotient space of V) to any vertex operator algebra V and established natural functors between the category of \mathbb{N} -gradable weak V-modules (defined in Section 2) and the category of A(V)-modules. Furthermore, these functors give rise to a one-to-one correspondence between the set of equivalence classes of irreducible A(V)-modules and the set of equivalence classes of irreducible \mathbb{N} -gradable weak V-modules. Using these functors Zhu was able to prove that A(V) is (finite-dimensional) semisimple if V is rational. Although the quotient space $Z_2(V) = V/C_2$ has a natural commutative associative algebra structure [Z], there are no appropriate functors between the category of $Z_2(V)$ -modules and the category of \mathbb{N} gradable weak V-modules. Also notice that if V satisfies Zhu's C_2 -finiteness condition and the condition $V = \coprod_{n=0}^{\infty} V_{(n)}$ with $V_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$, then the nil-radical of $Z_2(V)$ is 1codimensional (because $Z_2(V)$ is an \mathbb{N} -graded algebra). Thus, in principle there are no desired functors between the category of V-modules and the category of $Z_2(V)$ -modules. This indicates that a different technique is needed.

In the following we give an account of the main stream of this paper. Let $W = \coprod_{h \in \mathbb{C}} W_{(h)}$ be a V-module. Then we generalize the definition of $C_2(V)$ to define $C_2(W)$ in the obvious way. View $(W/C_2(W))^*$ as a natural subspace of W^* . Since $C_2(W)$ is a graded subspace, $C_2(W)$ is finite-codimensional if and only if $(W/C_2(W))^* \subseteq W' (= \coprod_h W^*_{(h)})$, the restricted dual of W). To use the rationality or the regularity, first of all one needs to relate $(W/C_2(W))^*$ to a certain weak V-module.

A fundamental result proved in [FHL] is that (W', Y') carries the structure of a V-module where

$$\langle Y'(v,x)f,w\rangle = \langle f, Y(e^{xL(1)}(-x^{-2})^{L(0)}v,x^{-1})w\rangle$$

for $v \in V, f \in W', w \in W$. One notices that the action of Y'(v, x) on W' obviously extends to W^* , say $Y^*(v, x)$. But W^* fails to be even a weak V-module. If there is a weak V-module M in W^* containing $(W/C_2(W))^*$, on which L(0) acts semisimply, then it is easy to prove that $M \subseteq W'$, so that $(W/C_2(W))^* \subseteq W'$. This would solve our problem. Notice that L(0) acts semisimply on any weak (N-gradable weak) module for a regular (rational) vertex operator algebra. Now, the question is whether there exists a weak or an N-gradable weak V-module in W^* containing $(W/C_2(W))^*$ as a subspace. If S and T are subspaces of W^* such that (S, Y^*) and (T, Y^*) are weak V-modules, then $(S + T, Y^*)$ is clearly a weak V-module again. Thus there is a unique maximal weak V-module in W^* with the vertex operator map Y^* . Then we are naturally led to this weak module to see whether it contains $(W/C_2(W))^*$.

Notice that in the notion of module or weak module W, the first axiom is the truncation condition: $Y(v, x)w \in W((x))$ for $v \in V, w \in W$, and that without this condition the Jacobi identity cannot make sense. With this in mind, we define D(W) to be the subspace of W^* consisting of α such that

$$Y^*(v, x) \alpha \in W^*((x))$$
 for every $v \in V$.

Following the proof of Theorem 5.2.1 of [FHL] closely, we easily see that FHL actually proved that D(W) is a weak V-module. It is clear that D(W) is the maximal weak Vmodule inside W^* with $Y = Y^*$. Next, we prove that $(W/C_2(W))^* \subseteq D(W)$ (Proposition 3.6). Therefore, any regular vertex operator algebra satisfies Zhu's C_2 -finiteness condition. Furthermore, by exploiting a result of Frenkel and Zhu [FZ] on fusion rules in terms of A(V)-bimodules we prove that all fusion rules are finite. We also define a graded subspace $C_1(V)$ of V and prove that any graded subspace of V complementary to $C_1(V)$ generates V as a vertex operator algebra (Proposition 3.3). As a corollary we prove that any regular vertex operator algebra is finitely generated.

In [L5], the notion of D(W) was extended further to the notion of $\mathcal{D}(W)$ consisting of what we called representative functionals on W. The space $\mathcal{D}(W)$ was proved to have a natural V-bimodule structure where the V-bimodule actions were not exactly Y^* , but certain analytic continuations of Y^* . Using the notion $\mathcal{D}(W)$ we were able to prove some results of Peter-Weyl type for vertex operator algebras.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we associate a canonical weak V-module D(W) inside W^* to any weak V-module W and we prove that D(W) = W' for a certain class \mathcal{A} of vertex operator algebras. In Section 3, we prove the C_2 -finiteness condition and the finiteness of fusion rules for vertex operator algebras of class \mathcal{A} . We also prove that vertex operator algebras of class \mathcal{A} are finitely generated.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank James Lepowsky for useful discussions and suggestions. We would also like to thank IAS for its financial support and hospitality while this work was done during a membership in the Spring of 1997.

2 The contragredient module and an extension

In this section, we first review some basic notions and the contragredient module [FHL] and we then give a natural extension of the contragredient module (to a weak module).

We shall use standard definitions and notions in [FHL] and [FLM] such as the notions of vertex operator algebra, module, intertwining operator and fusion rule, which will not be given here. We shall also use certain concepts which we recall next.

Let V be a vertex operator algebra. A weak V-module [DLM2] is a pair (W, Y_W) satisfying all the axioms except those involving the grading for a V-module given in [FHL] and [FLM]. It has been noticed in [DLM2] that the L(-1)-derivative property: $Y_W(L(-1)v, x) = \frac{d}{dx}Y_W(v, x)$ for $v \in V$, follows from the other axioms, so that one need not check this axiom for having a module or weak module. A generalized V-module [HL] is a weak V module on which L(0) acts semisimply. An N-gradable weak V-module is a weak V-module W on which there exists an N-grading $W = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W(n)$ such that

$$v_m W(n) \subseteq W(\mathsf{wt}v + n - m - 1) \tag{2.1}$$

for homogeneous $v \in V$ and for $m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, where by convention W(n) = 0 for n < 0. (This notion was essentially introduced by Zhu in [Z].) It is clear that the sum of \mathbb{N} -gradable weak V-modules is again an \mathbb{N} -gradable weak V-module.

Let $M = \coprod_{h \in \mathbb{C}} M_{(h)}$ be a V-module. By definition ([FHL], [FLM]), for any $h \in \mathbb{C}$, $M_{(h+n)} = 0$ for sufficiently small integer n. For any $h \in \mathbb{C}$, it is clear that $\coprod_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{(m+h)}$ is a submodule of M. Furthermore, let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $M_{(h+k)} \neq 0$ and $M_{(h+m)} = 0$ for m < k. Then $\coprod_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{(m+h)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_{(h+k+n)}$ is an N-gradable V-module with $\left(\coprod_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{(m+h)}\right)(n) = M_{(n+k+h)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that M is a direct sum of N-gradable V-modules, so that M is an N-gradable V-module.

A vertex operator algebra V is said to be *rational* if any N-gradable weak V-module is a direct sum of irreducible N-gradable weak V-modules, and V is said to be *regular* [DLM2] if any weak V-module is a direct sum of irreducible (ordinary) V-modules. If V is rational, it was proved in [DLM1] that V has only finitely many inequivalent irreducible modules and that each irreducible N-gradable weak V-module is a module, so that this notion of rationality is the same as the one defined in [Z]. Note that there are different notions of rationality (see for example [HL]).

Examples of rational vertex operator algebras are: V_L associated to a positive definite even lattice L ([B], [D1], [FLM]); $L(\ell, 0)$ associated to a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and a positive integer ℓ ([DL], [FZ], [L2]); $L(c_{p,q}, 0)$ associated to the Virasoro algebra and a rational number $c_{p,q}$ ([FZ], [DMZ], [W]); V^{\natural} , Frenkel, Lepowsky and Meurman's Moonshine module ([B], [D2], [FLM]); tensor products of vertex operator algebras from above. It was proved in [DLM2] that all these rational vertex operator algebras are also regular.

It is well known (cf. [B], [FFR], [L1], [L3], [MP]) that there is a Lie algebra g(V) associated to any vertex operator algebra V. More precisely,

$$g(V) = \hat{V}/d\hat{V} \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\hat{V} = V \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$$
 and $d = L(-1) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \frac{d}{dt}$

with the following bracket formula:

$$[u(m), v(n)] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} {m \choose i} (u_i v)(m+n-i)$$
(2.3)

for $u, v \in V, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $u(m) = u \otimes t^m + d\hat{V}$. Furthermore, g(V) is a \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie algebra where deg u(m) = wtu - m - 1 for homogeneous u and for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $g(V)_{\pm}$ be the subalgebras of g(V) linearly spanned by homogeneous elements of positive degrees (negative degrees). A g(V)-module W is said to be *restricted* if for any $v \in V, w \in W$, v(m)w = 0 for m sufficiently large. It is easy to see that any weak V-module W is a restricted g(V)-module where v(n) for $v \in V, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is represented by v_n .

Let V be a vertex operator algebra, let $W = \coprod_{h \in \mathbb{C}} W_{(h)}$ be a V-module, and let $W' = \coprod_{h \in \mathbb{C}} W^*_{(h)}$ be the restricted dual of W. For $v \in V, w' \in W'$, we define

$$\langle Y'(v,x)w',w\rangle = \left\langle w',Y\left(e^{xL(1)}\left(-x^{-2}\right)^{L(0)}v,x^{-1}\right)w\right\rangle.$$
(2.4)

The following fundamental result was due to Frenkel, Huang and Lepowsky ([FHL], Theorem 5.2.1 and Proposition 5.3.1).

Proposition 2.1 The pair (W', Y') carries the structure of a V-module and (W'', Y'') = (W, Y).

This module is called the *contragredient* module of W. Proposition 2.1 is analogous to the fact in the classical Lie theory that for any Lie algebra g and any g-module U, U^* is a g-module where

$$(af)(u) = -f(au)$$
 for $a \in g, u \in U, f \in U^*$.

In the following we consider a natural extension of the contragredient module (in general to a weak module).

Let us start with a weak V-module W (without grading). For $v \in V, \alpha \in W^*$ we define

$$\langle Y^*(v,x)\alpha,w\rangle = \left\langle \alpha, Y\left(e^{xL(1)}\left(-x^{-2}\right)^{L(0)}v,x^{-1}\right)w\right\rangle.$$
(2.5)

If W is an (ordinary) V-module, then Y^* extends Y'. It follows from Proposition 5.3.1 of [FHL] that

$$\langle \alpha, Y(v, x)w \rangle = \left\langle Y^* \left(e^{xL(1)} \left(-x^{-2} \right)^{L(0)} v, x^{-1} \right) \alpha, w \right\rangle.$$
(2.6)

Remark 2.2 Since $e^{xL(1)}(-x^{-2})^{L(0)}v$ is a finite sum and

$$Y(u, x^{-1})w \in W((x^{-1})) \quad \text{for any } u \in V, w \in W,$$

by (2.5) we have

$$\langle Y^*(v,x)\alpha,w\rangle \in \mathbb{C}((x^{-1}))).$$
(2.7)

That is,

$$Y^*(v,x)\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}(W, \mathbb{C}((x^{-1}))).$$
(2.8)

For $v \in V$, we set

$$Y^{*}(v,x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_{n}^{*} x^{-n-1}.$$
(2.9)

Let $v \in V_{(h)}$, *i.e.*, L(0)v = hv. Then

$$\langle v_n^* \alpha, w \rangle = \left\langle \alpha, (-1)^h \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{i!} (L(1)^i v)_{2h-n-i-2} w \right\rangle.$$
(2.10)

Furthermore, if v is quasi-primary, *i.e.*, L(1)v = 0, then

$$\langle v_n^* \alpha, w \rangle = \langle \alpha, (-1)^h v_{2h-n-2} w \rangle.$$
(2.11)

It was observed in [HL] that FHL ([FHL], Proposition 2.1) in fact proves the following opposite Jacobi identity:

$$x_{0}^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{x_{0}}\right)Y_{W}(e^{x_{2}L(1)}(-x_{2}^{-2})^{L(0)}v,x_{2}^{-1})Y_{W}(e^{x_{1}L(1)}(-x_{1}^{-2})^{L(0)}u,x_{1}^{-1})$$

$$-x_{0}^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{-x_{0}}\right)Y_{W}(e^{x_{1}L(1)}(-x_{1}^{-2})^{L(0)}u,x_{1}^{-1})Y_{W}(e^{x_{2}L(1)}(-x_{2}^{-2})^{L(0)}v,x_{2}^{-1})$$

$$=x_{2}^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_{1}-x_{0}}{x_{2}}\right)Y_{W}(e^{x_{2}L(1)}(-x_{2}^{-2})^{L(0)}Y(u,x_{0})v,x_{2}^{-1})$$
(2.12)

for $u, v \in V$. Although this observation was made in [HL] for a module W, obviously this is true if W is a weak module. (Notice that the symbol $Y^*(v, x)$ was used in [HL] for $Y(e^{xL(1)}(-x^{-2})^{L(0)}v, x^{-1})$, which is *different* from ours.)

Taking Res_{x_0} from (2.12) and then using (2.5) we obtain

$$[Y^*(u, x_1), Y^*(v, x_2)] = \operatorname{Res}_{x_0} x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right) Y^*(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2).$$
(2.13)

The same proof of Theorem 5.2.1 of [FHL] shows that $Y^*(L(-1)v, x) = d/dx Y^*(v, x)$. Then we have proved:

Proposition 2.3 Let W be any weak V-module. Then W^* is a g(V)-module. \Box

To obtain a weak V-module out of (W^*, Y^*) we consider the Jacobi identity for Y^* . Notice that in the definition of a (weak) module, the truncation condition, in this case which is $Y^*(v, x)\alpha \in W^*((x))$, is necessary for the Jacobi identity to make sense. For example, the first term

$$x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_2}{x_0}\right)Y^*(u,x_1)Y^*(v,x_2)\alpha$$

of the Jacobi identity may not exist *algebraically* if $Y^*(v, x_2)\alpha$ involves infinitely many negative powers of x_2 . Having known this fact, we consider a certain subspace of W^* .

Definition 2.4 Let W be a weak V-module. Then we define D(W) to be the subspace of W^* consisting of vectors α such that for every $v \in V$

$$Y^{*}(v, x)\alpha \in W^{*}((x)),$$
 (2.14)

i.e., $v_n^* \alpha = 0$ for *n* sufficiently large.

If W is an (ordinary) V-module, it is clear that $W' \subseteq D(W)$. The following proposition gives a characterization of elements of D(W).

Proposition 2.5 Let W be a weak V-module and let $\alpha \in W^*$. Then $\alpha \in D(W)$ if and only if for any $v \in V$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$v_m W \subseteq \ker \alpha \quad for \ m \le k,$$
 (2.15)

or equivalently, there exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$x^r \langle \alpha, Y(v, x)w \rangle \in \mathbb{C}[x^{-1}]$$

$$(2.16)$$

for all $w \in W$.

Proof. Notice that $e^{xL(1)}(-x^{-2})^{L(0)}v$ for $v \in V$ is a finite sum. Then it follows from (2.5) and (2.6) immediately. \Box

As a corollary we have:

Corollary 2.6 Let W be a weak V-module and let U be a subspace of W. Then $(W/U)^* \subseteq D(W)$ if and only for any $v \in V$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $v_m W \subseteq U$ for $m \leq k$, where $(W/U)^*$ is viewed as a subspace of W^* in the natural way. \Box

The following lemma establishes the stability of the action of g(V) on D(W).

Lemma 2.7 Let W be a weak V-module. Then D(W) is a restricted g(V)-submodule of W^* .

Proof. Since W^* is a g(V)-module, for $u, v \in V, m \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \in D(W)$, we have

$$Y^{*}(u,x)v_{m}^{*}\alpha = v_{m}^{*}Y^{*}(u,x)\alpha - \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \binom{m}{i} x^{m-i}Y^{*}(v_{i}u,x)\alpha.$$
(2.17)

Because $Y^*(v_i u, x) \alpha \in W^*((x))$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v_i u = 0$ for all but finitely many $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$Y^*(u,x)v_m^*\alpha \in W^*((x)).$$

Thus $v_m^* \alpha \in D(W)$. Therefore D(W) is a g(V)-submodule of W^* . From the definitions, D(W) is restricted. \Box

Furthermore we have:

Proposition 2.8 Let W be a weak V-module. Then D(W) is a weak V-module.

Proof. Notice that the existences of the three main terms in the Jacobi identity for Y^* are guaranteed by the truncation condition (2.14). Then it follows from Lemma 2.7 and (2.12) immediately. \Box

Remark 2.9 Because of the truncation axiom in the notion of (weak) module, it is clear that D(W) is the maximal weak V-module in W^* with $Y = Y^*$.

Let W be a weak V-module. For any $h \in \mathbb{C}$, we set

$$W_{(h)} = \{ w \in W \mid L(0)w = hw \}.$$
(2.18)

Furthermore we set

$$W^0 = \coprod_{h \in \mathbb{C}} W_{(h)}.$$

Then it is clear that W^0 is a submodule of W.

Proposition 2.10 Let W be an (ordinary) V-module. Then $D(W)^0 = W'$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in D(W)_{(h_1)}, w \in W_{(h_2)}$. Then

$$h_1\langle \alpha, w \rangle = \langle L(0)\alpha, w \rangle = \langle \alpha, L(0)w \rangle = h_2\langle \alpha, w \rangle.$$

It follows that

$$\langle D(W)_{(h_1)}, W_{(h_2)} \rangle = 0 \text{ for } h_1 \neq h_2.$$

Thus $D(W)_{(h)} \subseteq W^*_{(h)}$ for $h \in \mathbb{C}$. Because $W^*_{(h)} \subseteq D(W)_{(h)}$ we get $D(W)_{(h)} = W^*_{(h)}$ for $h \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus $D(W)^0 = W'$. \Box

By definition $D(W) = D(W)^0$ if and only if L(0) acts semisimply on D(W). Then as an immediate corollary we have:

Corollary 2.11 Let V be a vertex operator algebra such that L(0) acts semisimply on any weak module. Then for any V-module W we have D(W) = W'. \Box

Suppose that V is regular. Then any weak module is a direct sum of irreducible V-modules, so that L(0) acts semisimply. Then we immediately have:

Corollary 2.12 Let V be a regular vertex operator algebra and let W be a V-module. Then D(W) = W'. \Box

Motivated Corollary 2.11 we define \mathcal{A} to be the class of vertex operator algebras satisfying the condition that L(0) acts semisimply on any weak module. Let V be a vertex operator algebra containing a vertex operator subalgebra (with the same Virasoro element) V^0 of class \mathcal{A} . Since any weak V-module is a weak V^0 -module, L(0) (the same for both V and V^0) acts semisimply on any weak V-module. Thus V is also of class \mathcal{A} . Since the class \mathcal{A} contains all regular vertex operator algebras, any vertex operator algebra that has a regular vertex operator subalgebra (with the same Virasoro element) is of class \mathcal{A} . In the next section, we shall study some finiteness properties for this class of vertex operator algebras.

Notice that in the definition of D(W), it was required that $Y^*(v, x)\alpha \in W^*((x))$ for all $v \in V$. However, for vertex operator algebras of certain types such as those associated to affine Lie algebras or the Virasoro algebra we only need to check this for each v of a (usually finite-dimensional) subspace. **Proposition 2.13** Let V be a vertex operator algebra such that $V = \coprod_{n\geq 0} V_{(n)}$ (without negative weights) with $V_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ and let U be a graded subspace of $\coprod_{n\geq 1} V_{(n)}$ ($\subseteq V$) such that V is linearly spanned by elements

$$\mathbf{1}, \quad u_{-n_1}^1 \cdots u_{-n_r}^r \mathbf{1}, \tag{2.19}$$

where $u^i \in U, n_1, \ldots, n_r \geq 1$. Let W be a weak V-module and let $\alpha \in W^*$. If for any $u \in U$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $w \in W$,

$$\langle \alpha, u_m w \rangle = 0 \quad \text{whenever } m \le k.$$
 (2.20)

Then $\alpha \in D(W)$.

Proof. Let B be the subspace of V consisting of each v such that there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$x^k \langle \alpha, Y(v,x) w \rangle \in \mathbb{C}[x^{-1}] \quad \text{ for all } w \in W.$$

Then by Proposition 2.5 $\alpha \in D(W)$ if and only if $V \subseteq B$. In the following we shall prove by induction that

$$\oplus_{i=0}^n V_{(i)} \subseteq B \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

First, from the assumption we have $U \subseteq B$. Since $V_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$, it is clear that $V_{(0)} \subseteq B$. Assume that $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} V_{(i)} \subseteq B$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $a = u_{-m}v \in V_{(n+1)}$ be a homogeneous element where $u \in U, v \in V, m \geq 1$. Note that for any $w \in W$, by taking $\operatorname{Res}_{x_1} \operatorname{Res}_{x_0} x_0^{-m}$ from the Jacobi identity we obtain

$$\langle \alpha, Y(a, x_2)w \rangle = \operatorname{Res}_{x_1}(x_1 - x_2)^{-m} \langle \alpha, Y(u, x_1)Y(v, x_2)w \rangle - (-x_2 + x_1)^{-m} \langle \alpha, Y(v, x_2)Y(u, x_1)w \rangle.$$
 (2.21)

Since n + 1 = wta = wtu + m - 1 + wtv and $wtu \ge 1$, we have $wtv \le n$ so that $v \in B$ (by the inductive assumption). Then there is $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\operatorname{Res}_{x_1} x_2^{k_1} (-x_2 + x_1)^{-m} \langle \alpha, Y(v, x_2) Y(u, x_1) w \rangle \in \mathbb{C}[x_2^{-1}]$$
(2.22)

for all $w \in W$. Since $u \in U$, by assumption there is $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\langle \alpha, u_n W \rangle = 0$ for $n \leq -m - r$. Then

$$\operatorname{Res}_{x_{1}}(x_{1}-x_{2})^{-m}\langle \alpha, Y(u,x_{1})Y(v,x_{2})w\rangle$$

$$=\sum_{i=0}^{r}(-1)^{i}\binom{-m}{i}x_{2}^{i}\langle \alpha, u_{-m-i}Y(v,x_{2})w\rangle$$

$$=\sum_{i=0}^{r}(-1)^{i}\binom{-m}{i}x_{2}^{i}\langle \alpha, Y(v,x_{2})u_{-m-i}w\rangle$$

$$+\sum_{i=0}^{r}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}}(-1)^{i}\binom{-m}{i}\binom{-m-i}{j}x_{2}^{-m-j}\langle \alpha, Y(u_{j}v,x_{2})w\rangle.$$
(2.23)

Since $\operatorname{wt} u_j v = \operatorname{wt} u + \operatorname{wt} v - j - 1 < \operatorname{wt} u + \operatorname{wt} v + m - 1 = n + 1$, $u_j v \in B$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Because $v, u_j v \in B$ and $u_j v \neq 0$ only for finitely many $j \in \mathbb{N}$, by (2.23) there is $k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\operatorname{Res}_{x_1} x_2^{k_2} (x_1 - x_2)^{-m} \langle \alpha, Y(u, x_1) Y(v, x_2) w \rangle \in \mathbb{C}[x_2^{-1}]$$
(2.24)

for all $w \in W$. Combining (2.22) with (2.24) we get

$$x_2^k \langle \alpha, Y(a, x_2) w \rangle \in \mathbb{C}[x_2^{-1}]$$

for all $w \in W$, where $k = \min\{k_1, k_2\}$. Thus $a \in B$. By the spanning property (2.19), $V_{(n+1)}$ is linearly spanned by elements like a. Thus $V_{(n+1)} \subseteq B$. Therefore $V \subseteq B$. This proves that $\alpha \in D(W)$. \Box

Proposition 2.13 will be useful if one wants to determine D(W) explicitly for certain vertex operator algebras.

3 The C_2 -finiteness condition and the finiteness of fusion rules

This section is the core of the paper. In this section we define subspaces $C_n(W)$ for $n \geq 1$ and for any weak V-module, generalizing Zhu's $C_2(V)$ subspace defined in [Z]. We prove that $(W/C_n(W))^* \subseteq D(W)$ and that V is finitely generated if $C_1(V)$ is finite-codimensional. By applying Corollaries 2.11 and 2.12 we then prove the C_2 -finiteness condition, the finiteness of fusion rules and the finite generating property for the class \mathcal{A} of vertex operator algebras defined in Section 2.

Let W be a weak V-module and let $n \ge 2$. We define $C_n(W)$ to be the linear span of elements of type

$$v_{-n}w, \quad \text{for } v \in V, w \in W.$$
 (3.1)

Since $(L(-1)v)_{-n} = nv_{-n-1}$, we have:

 $v_{-m}w \in C_n(W)$ for $v \in V, w \in W, m \ge n$.

Thus

$$\cdots \subseteq C_{n+1}(W) \subseteq C_n(W) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq C_2(W).$$

Note that $C_2(V)$ is exactly the one defined in [Z]. A vertex operator algebra V is said to satisfy C_2 -finiteness condition ([Z], [DLM3]) if $V/C_2(V)$ is finite-dimensional. (Note that Zhu defined the concept of finiteness condition C by requiring that $V/C_2(V)$ is finitedimensional and that V is a sum of lowest weight modules for the Virasoro algebra.)

Let W be a generalized V-module, *i.e.*, a weak module on which L(0) acts semisimply. Set

$$W_{+} = \bigoplus_{h \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} h > 0} W_{(h)}. \tag{3.2}$$

Then we define $C_1(W)$ to be the subspace of W linearly spanned by

$$v_{-1}W_+, \quad L(-1)W \quad \text{for } v \in V_+.$$
 (3.3)

(The subspace $C_1(W)$ is designed to make the proof of Proposition 3.3 work. However, one may define $C_1(W)$ differently for other purposes.) Notice that if we had defined $C_1(V)$ to be the linear span of elements of type (3.1) with n = 1, then we would have $C_1(V) = V$ because $v = v_{-1} \mathbf{1} \in C_1(V)$ for $v \in V$. That is not what we want.

Since $k!v_{-k-1} = (L(-1)^k v)_{-1}$ for $v \in V, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$v_{-k-1}w \in C_1(W) \quad \text{for } v \in V_+, w \in W_+, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$(3.4)$$

More generally, we have:

Lemma 3.1 Let W be a generalized V-module, let $v \in V, w \in W$ be homogeneous and let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $L(-1)^r v \in V_+$ and $L(-1)^s w \in W_+$. Then

$$v_{-r-s-k}w \in C_1(W) \quad \text{for } k \ge 1.$$
 (3.5)

Proof. Since $L(-1)^r v \in V_+$, $L(-1)^s w \in W_+$ by (3.4) we have

$$(L(-1)^r v)_{-k} L(-1)^s w \in C_1(W) \text{ for } k \ge 1.$$

Since $[L(-1), u_m] = -mu_{m-1}$ for $u \in V, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $L(-1)W \subseteq C_1(W)$, we get

$$(L(-1)^r v)_{-k-s} w \in C_1(W).$$

Then

$$v_{-r-s-k}w \in C_1(W) \quad \text{for } k \ge 1. \quad \Box$$

Remark 3.2 In general, $C_2(W)$ is not a subspace of $C_1(W)$. However, if $V = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{(n)}$ (without negative weights) such that $V_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$, then $C_2(V) \subseteq C_1(V)$ because $v_{-2}\mathbf{1} = L(-1)v$ and $\mathbf{1}_{-2}v = 0$ for any $v \in V$.

The following proposition gives a way to find a relatively small generating subspace of V as a vertex operator algebra by using $C_1(V)$.

Proposition 3.3 Let V be a vertex operator algebra and U be a graded subspace of V such that $V = U + C_1(V)$ and $\bigoplus_{n \leq 0} V_{(n)} \subseteq U + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$. Then V is linearly spanned by elements of type

$$u_{n_1}^1 \cdots u_{n_r}^r \mathbf{1},\tag{3.6}$$

where $r \in \mathbb{N}, u^i \in U, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, i.e., U generates V as a vertex operator algebra.

Proof. Let $\langle U \rangle$ be the vertex operator subalgebra of V generated by U. We shall prove by induction that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\oplus_{i \le n} V_{(i)} \subseteq \langle U \rangle.$$

By the assumption, we have $\bigoplus_{i\leq 0} V_{(i)} \subseteq U + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \subseteq \langle U \rangle$. Suppose that $\bigoplus_{i\leq n} V_{(i)} \subseteq \langle U \rangle$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $a \in V_{(n+1)}$. Since $V_{(n+1)} = C_1(V) \cap V_{(n+1)} + U \cap V_{(n+1)}$, we have

$$a = u_{-1}^{1}v^{1} + \dots + u_{-1}^{r}v^{r} + L(-1)u + b$$
(3.7)

for some homogeneous $u^i, v^i, u \in V, b \in U$ with $wtu^i_{-1}v^i = wtu^i + wtv^i = n + 1$, $wtu^i > 0$, $wtv^i > 0$ and wtu = n. Then $wtu^i, wtv^i \leq n$ for all *i*. By inductive assumption, we have

$$u^i, v^i, u \in \langle U \rangle$$
 for all i ,

so that

$$u_{-1}^i v^i \in \langle U \rangle$$
 for all i

and $L(-1)u = u_{-2}\mathbf{1} \in \langle U \rangle$. Thus $a \in \langle U \rangle$. This proves that $V_{(n+1)} \subseteq \langle U \rangle$. Therefore $V = \langle U \rangle$. \Box

As a refinement of Proposition 3.3, it was proved in [KL] that V is linearly spanned by elements in (3.6) with $n_1, \ldots, n_r < 0$ in a fixed lexicographical order.

As an immediate corollary of Proposition 3.3 we have:

Corollary 3.4 Let V be a vertex operator algebra such that $C_1(V)$ is finite-codimensional. Then V is finitely generated. \Box

Furthermore, by Remark 3.2 we have:

Corollary 3.5 Suppose that $V = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{(n)}$ (without negative weights) and $V_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$. Then the C_2 -finiteness condition on V implies that V is finitely generated. \Box

In the following we shall prove that the C_2 -finiteness condition holds for vertex operator algebras of class \mathcal{A} . For convenience, we set $Z_n(W) = W/C_n(W)$.

Proposition 3.6 Let W be a weak V-module and let $n \ge 2$. Then

 $Z_n(W)^* = \{ \alpha \in W^* \mid v_m^* \alpha = 0 \quad \text{for homogeneous } v \in V, m \ge 2 \operatorname{wt} v + n - 2 \}.$ (3.8)

In particular,

$$Z_n(W)^* \subseteq D(W).$$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in Z_n(W)^*, v \in V$. Then

$$\langle \alpha, v_{-m}w \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } v \in V, w \in W, m \ge n.$$
 (3.9)

Let $u \in V$ be homogeneous. Then for any $m \ge 2 \operatorname{wt} u + n - 2$

$$\langle u_m^* \alpha, w \rangle = \left\langle \alpha, \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(-1)^{\operatorname{wtu}}}{i!} (L(1)^i u)_{2\operatorname{wtu}-i-m-2} w \right\rangle = 0$$
(3.10)

for any $w \in W$. Thus

$$u_m^* \alpha = 0 \quad \text{for } m \ge 2\mathsf{wt}u + n - 2. \tag{3.11}$$

Conversely, let $\alpha \in W^*$ be such that (3.11) holds and let $v \in V_{(k)}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\langle \alpha, v_{-m}w \rangle = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^k \frac{1}{i!} \left\langle (L(1)^i v)^*_{2k-i-2+m} \alpha, w \right\rangle = 0$$
 (3.12)

for $m \ge n$ (noticing that $2k - i - 2 + m = 2 \operatorname{wt}(L(1)^i v) + i + m)$. Thus $\alpha \in Z_n(W)^*$. Then the proof is complete. \Box

Now we present our key result of this paper:

Proposition 3.7 Let V be a vertex operator algebra of class \mathcal{A} and let W be a V-module. Then $Z_n(W)$ is finite-dimensional for $n \geq 2$ and $Z_1(W)$ is also finite-dimensional if the real parts of the weights of W are bounded from below.

Proof. We first notice that a graded subspace U of W is finite-codimensional if and only if $(W/U)^* \subseteq W'$, where $(W/U)^*$ is viewed as a natural subspace of W^* . It is clear that $C_n(W)$ is a graded subspace. Then dim $Z_n(W) < \infty$ if and only if $Z_n(W)^* \subseteq W'$. Furthermore, since D(W) = W' (Corollary 2.11), dim $Z_n(W) < \infty$ if and only if

$$Z_n(W)^* \subseteq D(W).$$

If $n \geq 2$, it follows immediately from Proposition 3.6. For n = 1, since the real parts of the weights of W are bounded from below, there is a nonnegative integer s such that $L(-1)^{s}W \subseteq W_{+}$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 2.6 that $Z_{1}(W)^{*} \subseteq D(W)$. This completes the proof. \Box

Combining Corollary 3.4 with Proposition 3.7, we immediately have:

Theorem 3.8 Any vertex operator algebra of class \mathcal{A} satisfies the C_2 -finiteness condition and it is finitely generated. In particular, any regular vertex operator algebra V satisfies the C_2 -finiteness condition and it is finitely generated. \Box

Next we shall prove the finiteness of fusion rules for vertex operator algebras of class \mathcal{A} . To do this we shall use Frenkel and Zhu's A(V)-bimodule theory [FZ].

Recall A(V) and A(W) from [FZ] and [Z]. For any weak V-module W, let O(W) be the linear span of elements of type

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \binom{\mathrm{wt}v}{i} v_{i-2} w \quad \left(= \mathrm{Res}_x \frac{(1+x)^{\mathrm{wt}v}}{x^2} Y(v,x) w \right)$$
(3.13)

for homogeneous $v \in V$ and for $w \in W$. It was proved ([Z], Lemma 2.1.1) that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \binom{\mathrm{wt}v}{i} v_{i-m} w \in O(W) \tag{3.14}$$

for homogeneous $v \in V$ and for $w \in W, m \ge 2$. Set A(W) = W/O(W). Then we have [Z]:

Proposition 3.9 (a) The space A(V) is an associative algebra with the product:

$$(u + O(V))(v + O(V)) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} {{\rm wt}u \choose i} (u_{i-1}v + O(V))$$
(3.15)

for homogeneous $u, v \in V$.

(b) For any \mathbb{N} -gradable weak V-module $W = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W(n)$, W(0) is an A(V)-module where v + O(V) acts on W(0) as v_{wtv-1} for homogeneous $v \in V$.

(c) There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of equivalence classes of irreducible A(V)-modules and the set of equivalence classes of irreducible \mathbb{N} -gradable weak V-modules.

Furthermore, we have [FZ]:

Proposition 3.10 Let W be a weak V-module. Then A(W) is an A(V)-bimodule with the following left and right actions:

$$(v + O(V))(w + O(W)) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} {wtv \choose i} (v_{i-1}w + O(W))$$
 (3.16)

$$(w + O(W))(v + O(V)) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} {wtv - 1 \choose i} (v_{i-1}w + O(W))$$
(3.17)

for homogeneous $v \in V$ and for $w \in W$.

Let W_1, W_2, W_3 be irreducible V-modules and let $I\begin{pmatrix}W_3\\W_1W_2\end{pmatrix}$ be the space of intertwining operators of the indicated type. Then we have ([L4], Proposition 2.10, and [FZ]):

Proposition 3.11 Let W_1, W_2, W_3 be irreducible V-modules. Then

$$\dim I\binom{W_3}{W_1W_2} \le \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{A(V)}(A(W_1) \otimes_{A(V)} W_2(0), W_3(0)),$$
(3.18)

where $W_2(0)$ and $W_3(0)$ are the lowest weight subspaces of W_2 and W_3 , respectively.

The following lemma was proved in [DLM3] (Proposition 3.6) (see also [Z], Lemma 4.4.1).

Lemma 3.12 Let W be a V-module such that dim $Z_2(W) < \infty$. Then dim $A(W) < \infty$.

Combining Lemma 3.12 with Propositions 3.9 and 3.7 we obtain

Theorem 3.13 Let V be a vertex operator algebra of class \mathcal{A} . Then there are only finitely many inequivalent irreducible \mathbb{N} -gradable weak V-modules and the fusion rule for any three irreducible modules is finite. In particular, the assertions hold if V is regular. \Box

Remark 3.14 Motivated by Proposition 3.6, one may also consider the subspace $A(W)^*$ of W^* for a (weak) V-module W. By using the proof of Proposition 3.6 for $A(W)^*$, one can see that in general $A(W)^*$ may not be a subspace of D(W). However, it was proved in [L5] that $A(W)^*$ is a subspace of a canonical weak V-bimodule $\mathcal{D}(W)$ where $\mathcal{D}(W)$ is the space of what we call representative functionals on W containing D(W) as a subspace.

Remark 3.15 Note that dim $A(W_1) < \infty$ is a sufficient condition for the fusion rule dim $I\binom{W_3}{W_1W_2}$ to be finite. However, by no means it is necessary. As a matter of fact, if $A(W_1)$ is a finitely generated A(V)-bimodule, the fusion rule dim $I\binom{W_3}{W_1W_2}$ is finite even though $A(W_1)$ may be infinite-dimensional. Indeed, if S is a finite-dimensional subspace of $A(W_1)$ which generates $A(W_1)$ as an A(V)-bimodule, then

dim $\operatorname{Hom}_{A(V)}(A(W_1) \otimes_{A(V)} W_2(0), W_3(0)) \leq \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(S \otimes W_2(0), W_3(0)).$

By Proposition 3.11, we get dim $I\binom{W_3}{W_1W_2} < \infty$.

For the rest of this section we shall give a sufficient condition for A(W) to be a finitely generated A(V)-bimodule. For convenience, we assume that $V = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{(n)}$ with $V_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$. For any V-module W, we define B(W) to be the subspace of W linearly spanned by

$$u_{-1}W \quad \text{for } u \in V_+, \tag{3.19}$$

 $v_0 W$ for homogeneous v with $w t v \ge 2$. (3.20)

That is, $B(W) = g(V)_+W$, where $g(V)_+$ is the subalgebra of g(V) linearly spanned by homogeneous elements of positive degrees.

Proposition 3.16 Let W be a V-module such that $W = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{(h+n)}$ for some $h \in \mathbb{C}$ and let W^0 be a graded subspace of W such that $W = W^0 + B(W)$. Then $(W^0 + O(W))/O(W)$ generates A(W) as an A(V)-bimodule. In particular, if dim $W/B(W) < \infty$, A(W) is a finitely generated A(V)-bimodule.

Proof. Let *E* be the A(V)-bimodule generated by $W^0 + O(W)$. We shall prove by induction that $W_{(h+n)} + O(W) \subseteq E$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since W^0 and B(W) are graded and $B(W) \cap W_{(h)} = 0$, we have $W_{(h)} \subseteq W^0$. Suppose that

$$(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} W_{(n+h)}) + O(W) \subseteq E$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $w \in W_{(h+n+1)}$. Then

$$w = u_{-1}^{1}w^{1} + \dots + u_{-1}^{r}w^{r} + v_{0}^{1}w^{r+1} + \dots + v_{0}^{s}w^{r+s} + w'$$
(3.21)

for some homogeneous $u^i, v^j \in V_+, w^k \in W$ such that $\operatorname{wt} u^i \geq 1$, $\operatorname{wt} v^j \geq 2$. Since $\operatorname{wt} u^i_{-1}$, $\operatorname{wt} v^j_0 \geq 1$, we have $w^k \in \bigoplus_{t=0}^n W_{(t+h)}$, so that by the inductive assumption we have $w^k + O(W) \in E$ for $1 \leq k \leq r+s$. Then (recall (3.16) and (3.17))

$$(u^{i} + O(V)) * (w^{i} + O(W)) = \sum_{p=0}^{\mathrm{wt}u^{i}} {\binom{\mathrm{wt}u^{i}}{p}} u^{i}_{p-1} w^{i} + O(W) \in E, \qquad (3.22)$$
$$(v^{j} + O(V)) * (w^{j+r} + O(W)) - (w^{j+r} + O(W)) * (v^{j} + O(V))$$
$$= \sum_{p=0}^{\mathrm{wt}v^{i}-1} {\binom{\mathrm{wt}v^{j}-1}{p}} v^{j}_{p} w^{i+r} + O(W) \in E. \qquad (3.23)$$

Since $u_{p-1}^i w^i \in \bigoplus_{t=0}^n W_{(h+t)}$, by the inductive assumption we have $u_{p-1}^i w^i + O(W) \in E$ for $p \ge 1, 1 \le i \le r$. Then

$$u_{-1}^{i}w^{i} + O(W) = u^{i} * w^{i} - \sum_{p=1}^{\mathrm{wt}u^{i}} \binom{\mathrm{wt}u^{i}}{p} u_{p-1}^{i}w^{i} + O(W) \in E.$$

Similarly, we have $v_0^j w^{r+j} + O(W) \in E$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$. Then $w + O(W) \in E$. This completes the induction. Therefore E = A(W). \Box

As an immediate corollary of Proposition 3.16 and Remark 3.15, we have:

Corollary 3.17 Let W_1, W_2, W_3 be irreducible V-modules such that dim $W_1/B(W_1) < \infty$. Then dim $I\binom{W_3}{W_1W_2} < \infty$. \Box

References

- [B] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.
- [D1] C. Dong, Vertex algebras associated with even lattices, J. Alg. 161 (1993), 245-265.
- [D2] C. Dong, Representations of the moonshine module vertex operator algebra, Contemporary Math., **175** (1994), 27-36.

- [DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators, Progress in Math., Vol. 112, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [DLM1] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras, Math. Annalen 310 (1998), 571-600.
- [DLM2] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras, Adv. Math. 132 (1997), 148-166.
- [DLM3] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Modular invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory, q-alg/9703016, preprint.
- [DMZ] C. Dong, G. Mason and Y.-C. Zhu, Discrete series of the Virasoro algebra and the moonshine module, Vol. 56, Part 2, Algebraic Groups and Their Generalizations: Quantum and Infinite-Dimensional Methods, William J. Haboush, Brian J. Parshall, editors, American Math. Soc., Providence, RI 1994, pp. 295-316.
- [FFR] Alex J. Feingold, Igor B. Frenkel and John F. X. Ries, Spinor Construction of Vertex Operator Algebras, Triality, and $E_8^{(1)}$, Contemporary Math. **121** (1991).
- [FHL] I. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **104**, 1993.
- [FLM] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster, Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 134, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [FZ] I. Frenkel and Y.-C. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123-168.
- [HL] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, A theory of tensor product for module category of a vertex operator algebra, I, *Selecta Mathematica*, **1** (1995), 699-756.
- [KL] M. Karel and H. Li, Certain generating subspaces for vertex operator algebras, preprint.
- [L1] H. Li, Representation theory and tensor product theory for vertex operator algebras, Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers University, 1994.
- [L2] H. Li, Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules, J. Pure Appl. Alg. 109 (1996), 143-195.
- [L3] H. Li, An analogue of the Hom functor and a generalized nuclear democracy theorem, *Duke Math. J.* **93** (1998), 73-114.
- [L4] H. Li, Determining fusion rules by A(V)-modules and bimodules, J. Alg., to appear.
- [L5] H. Li, Regular representations of vertex operator algebras, preprint.

- [MP] A. Meurman and Mirko Prime, Vertex operator algebras and representations of affine Lie algebras, *Acta Applicandae Math.* **44** (1996), 207-215.
- [W] W.-Q. Wang, Rationality of Virasoro vertex operator algebras, International Mathematics Research Notices Vol. 71, No. 1 (1993), 197-211.
- [Z] Y.-C. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, J. Amer, Math. Soc. 9 (1996), 237-302.