

# Ubiquitous Computing: Potentials and Challenges

\*Jaydip Sen

**Abstract:** The world is witnessing the birth of a revolutionary computing paradigm that promises to have a profound effect on the way we interact with computers, devices, physical spaces, and other people. This new technology, called ubiquitous computing, envisions a world where embedded processors, computers, sensors, and digital communications are inexpensive commodities that are available everywhere. Ubiquitous computing will surround users with a comfortable and convenient information environment that merges physical and computational infrastructures into an integrated habitat. This habitat will feature a proliferation of hundreds or thousands of computing devices and sensors that will provide new functionality, offer specialized services, and boost productivity and interaction. This paper presents a comprehensive discussion on the central trends in ubiquitous computing considering them from technical, social and economic perspectives. It clearly identifies different application areas and sectors that will benefit from the potentials of ubiquitous computing. It also brings forth the challenges of ubiquitous computing that require active solutions and management.

**Keywords:** Privacy, Security, Trusted Platform Module, Ubiquitous Computing, Universal Identifier.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The dissemination and use of modern information and communication technologies (ICT) are considered to be the preconditions today for dynamic economic growth and future viability in global competition. At the same time, the processes of change triggered, enabled and accelerated by ICT are enormous. The new technologies have an ever-expanding ripple effect on the economy, public administration, science, scholarship and private life. They exert influence on social and individual life. The development of mobile telephony and Internet technology during the past decade exemplifies the transformative potential of ICT.

Digital information and services are going mobile and can be called up from any location. A trend towards ubiquitous computing is emerging- that is, the ubiquitous and invisible use, creation, processing, transmission and storage of information. Everyday objects are becoming *smart objects*, which are linked together into networks, react to their environment, and interact with their users.

This paper investigates the central trends in ubiquitous computing and considers them from technical, economic and social perspectives. It emphasizes the level of analysis that is located between individual case studies and the global comprehensive picture and that can be mapped onto the application areas of ubiquitous computing. It also highlights the potentials of ubiquitous computing. It clearly points out that different application areas and sectors will benefit from this potentials at different speeds and with qualitative idiosyncrasies. In addition, it also makes clear that ubiquitous computing not only poses technical problems, it also comprises serious social, economic and judicial challenges that require active solutions and management. As has often been the case with technical innovations and advances, ubiquitous computing raises the question of what sort of future we want. This paper, on one hand, contributes to the greater objectivity in this direction. On the other hand, it intends to outline enough issues so that a multitude of social groups and actors will be drawn to participate actively in the discussion on ubiquitous computing.

The rest of the paper is organized as follow. Section II presents a comprehensive discussion on the trends of ubiquitous computing and its economic, social and technological impact. Section III highlights the potential application

---

\* Wireless and Multimedia Innovation Lab, Tata Consultancy Services Ltd. Bengal Intelligent Park, Salt Lake Electronics Complex, Kolkata- 700091, INDIA

areas of ubiquitous computing. Section IV discusses the array of technological areas which inter-work to a build ubiquitous computing framework. Section V discusses one of the central issues in ubiquitous computing – security, safety and privacy of the user information. Finally, Section VI concludes the paper while identifying the key areas where action is needed in the coming years to ensure that the variety of groups and actors in society, politics and industry are able to profit equally from the technologies of ubiquitous computing

## 2. UBIQUITOUS COMPUTING - TRENDS AND IMPACT

For more than 30 years, microprocessor performance has doubled approximately every 18 months. Similar progress in performance has been made in other technology parameters, such as data transfer rates in both wired and wireless networks. This trend is shaping a future in which computers will become increasingly smaller and inexpensive, and therefore abundant.

In recent years, smaller personal devices such as PDAs, smart phones, palmtops and notebooks have begun supplementing and to some extent replacing traditional computer platforms such as workstations, PCs and servers. Computers are integrated increasingly into everyday devices and expand their operational capacities. These so-called embedded systems, such as driver assistance systems in cars or flexible software-driven boiler regulation can be controlled using a PC in the office or via mobile telephone.

As early as 1991, Mark Weiser, the former Scientific Director of the Xerox Palo Alto Research Center, shaped the vision of ubiquitous computing as an omnipresent infrastructure for information and communication technologies (ICTs). His work on ubiquitous computing continues to define all technological and socio-political considerations inherent to the field. According to Weiser, we can speak of ubiquitous computing once the following four criteria are met: (i) microcomputers are integrated into physical objects of any shape and displace services heretofore performed by desktop systems, (ii) these embedded systems are characterized by their small size and by their near invisibility to the user, (iii) embedded microcomputers thus augment a physical object's original use-value with a new array of digital applications, and (iv) the ubiquitous availability of services lists at the centre of communication between devices and application- not the device itself. This last point is what distinguishes ubiquitous computing from the familiar mobile networks of today. Ubiquitous computing is characterized by the omnipresent and mobile availability of services themselves, regardless of the target platform. Services will be tailored to the physical capacity of a specific device, whether a mobile telephone, PDA or other value-added communications device [1].

Advances in microelectronics and communications technology have moved the technical vision of ubiquitous computing into the realm of the possible. Early examples of ubiquitous computing in use include processor module integration into identification documents and the integration of transponders into cargo pallets that send ID numbers to a reader automatically. In professional circles, the term Ubiquitous Computing is used commonly to describe the ubiquitous ICT infrastructure aimed at feasible short and medium-term solutions.

Ubiquitous computing is viewed less as a discrete field of technology, but rather as an emerging application of information and communications technology that is integrated into the everyday world more than ever before. The goal is to meet the claim of “everything, always, everywhere” for data processing and transmission through the ubiquity of ICT systems. The following characteristics define this application paradigm: (i) *miniaturization*: ICT components are becoming smaller and more mobile, (ii) *embedding*: as ICT components are integrated into everyday objects, they transform them into smart objects, (iii) *networking*: ICT components are linked to each other and communicate generally via radio; they are therefore not part of a fixed environment or application, but are instead designed to form networks spontaneously, (iv) *ubiquity*: while embedded ICT components are increasingly ubiquitous, they are at the same time increasingly less noticeable - or even invisible - to most people, (v) *context-awareness*: ICT components use sensors and communication to collect information about their users and environment and adjust their behavior accordingly [2].

Ubiquitous computing is thus a complementary paradigm to virtual reality. Rather than reproduce and simulate the world with a computer, ubiquitous computing turns all objects in the real world into part of an information and communications system. Ubiquitous computing will drastically change the ways in which we use computers. As computers are integrated into everyday objects, they will no longer be perceived as such and their usage will recede largely from our conscious perception. In ubiquitous computing, a variety of processes run automatically in the background and interact on behalf of the user. The user does not have to provide explicit instructions or make decisions. Ubiquitous computing involves smart environments that are envisioned as an individual's cooperative partner. However, the seeming disappearance of the computer together with the delegation of complex processes or tasks to a ubiquitous ICT infrastructure raises serious questions. How secure are these systems? How can one determine whether they act truly on behalf of their respective users? How will the enormous amounts of data generated by these processes be handled? Can the individual user exercise the right of privacy and prevent his or her data from being passed on, stored, compared and analyzed? Doesn't the vision of ubiquitous computing permeating our everyday environment entail an immeasurable increase in resource and energy consumption? How can a potentially fatal dependence on technology be prevented? As the majority of commercial, administration, trade and recreation transactions become impossible without ubiquitous computing support or are provided in the form of ubiquitous computing only, will this not lead to a forced usage thereof? How will ubiquitous computing develop and what impact will it have?

No one knows in which way ubiquitous computing will actually develop. Applications and elements with both negative and positive characteristics will emerge in the coming years and have far-reaching socio-economic effects.

This paper examines the projected technological development of ubiquitous computing, its socio-economic impact and the potential dangers to security, privacy and safety. In addition, the paper presents a detailed discussion on the security issues in ubiquitous computing, which is based on three scenarios.

## **2.1 Characteristics of Ubiquitous Computing**

Ubiquitous computing can be characterized by a set of attributes and capabilities that describe the extent of its functionality. Mobility and ad-hoc networking capabilities have already arrived in the real-world communication. Characteristics such as autonomy, context awareness and energy autarky are not expected in the near future – two to five years' time. Context awareness and embedment in everyday objects are viewed as definitive and formative characteristics of ubiquitous computing. Energy autarky and the autonomy of components and systems, in contrast, are considered secondary characteristics.

It therefore stands to reason that ubiquitous computing will establish itself gradually as its individual characteristics develop step-by-step. Although market-ready ubiquitous computing applications are anticipated in the next four to eight years, the characteristic of autonomy is not expected for another ten years. Also, the individual characteristics vary in relevance depending on the field of application. For example, the autarkic power supply of ubiquitous computing components and their mobility are relatively unimportant for the smart home, whereas this is a key to communications applications.

## **2.2 Technological Foundations of Ubiquitous Computing**

Communications technologies and microelectronics in particular are key requirements for almost all ubiquitous computing applications. Although energy autarky is certainly not an important characteristic of all ubiquitous computing applications, supplying energy is clearly a central task. Maturation and availability of ubiquitous computing-relevant technologies is expected soon, within the next one to four years; nearly all of the technological requirements needed for ubiquitous computing should be met in the foreseeable future. Unresolved problems with power supplies, an inadequate human-machine interface and a lack of well-functioning security technology pose particularly serious bottlenecks to the development of ubiquitous computing. Further differentiation reveals the following as potential technological bottlenecks.

- (i) Batteries and accumulators are important requirements for ubiquitous computing. Considerable development is needed on this point, despite the availability of current solutions. Energy harvesting – the extraction of energy from the surroundings – is an alternative technology of the future.
- (ii) In human-to-machine interfaces, processing natural speech is very important. Although it is a comparatively mature technology it represents a technological bottleneck.
- (iii) In the field of security, biometric identification is considered less relevant for ubiquitous computing, especially when compared to other security technologies such as trust management and identity management.

### 2.3 Drivers and Hurdles in Ubiquitous Computing

The realization of new products and services are the primary driving forces behind the development and establishment of ubiquitous computing. Depending on the area of application, the importance of cost savings and increases in energy efficiency or comfort can vary significantly. In production and logistics, for example, reducing costs via ubiquitous computing is considered very important. In military applications, however, cost savings functions as a weak motivation. Using ubiquitous computing to improve safety is considered important for motor traffic as well as the security, military and medical sectors. However, improving safety is not a motivation in other application areas for using and developing ubiquitous computing. Enhanced comfort and improved usability are seen as significant driving forces in the automotive and household industries. Potential cost savings and improved energy efficiency play only minor roles in these two areas. In medical technology, however, there is a broad spectrum of motivations for using ubiquitous computing, which is seen as a key strategy in solving several different problems in this sector.

Analogous to the driving forces behind the development and application of ubiquitous computing, there are identifiable limiting factors that could either directly or indirectly influence its evolution. These include shortcomings in human-machine interfaces and data protection as well as technical hurdles to availability and reliability. These limiting factors are real, despite the fact that the core technologies of ubiquitous computing are expected to be market-ready within the next one to four years.

Environmental sustainability, resource consumption and legal regulation are some of the minor limiting factors for successful deployment of ubiquitous computing. Standardization, however, is of prime importance as the functional interplay of individual components is a key requirement of ubiquitous computing. The relevance of individual data protection and privacy varies depending on the specific application. While privacy is not a primary concern in production and military applications, it is an important limiting factor in security, communications and medicine.

### 2.4 Impact of Ubiquitous Computing

Ubiquitous computing will permeate everyday life – both private and working – and is therefore expected to have far-reaching consequences that will be reflected in a variety of socio-economic contexts. Both positive and negative effects are likely in equal measure at several levels. Safety and privacy, for example, make up two ends of one key pole. The following discussion presents the impact of ubiquitous computing in terms of privacy, economics, society and the digital divide.

**Impact on privacy:** in terms of privacy, slightly positive effects are expected for the application fields of security, medicine and production; moderately negative effects are expected in other application contexts. A ubiquitous computing design for privacy that conforms to data protection standards is regarded as a requirement for ensuring privacy and is preferred to the downstream concept of context-dependent data protection filters (digital bubbles). Only a system architecture that protects privacy from the outset can prevent serious conflicts in data protection from developing. In the use and processing of data, rendering all steps in the process visible and logically comprehensible seems to be of less importance. Far more crucial is a user's explicit trust in a particular ubiquitous computing system that the service provider will handle personal data responsibly. Apart from this concern, there is the danger that frequent use of a ubiquitous computing application could potentially lead to the inattentive handling

of personal data. This means that the premature availability of a groundbreaking ubiquitous computing application could result in limited public attention being given to the protection of privacy during its crucial phase of implementation.

**Economic impact:** among the economic effects associated with ubiquitous computing, work efficiency in particular is expected to improve. This will become most apparent in the key economic areas of production, logistics and commerce. This will not, however, play a role in smart homes. It is worth noting that no significant efficiency gains from ubiquitous computing are expected for housework, professionals working at home, and homecare of the elderly and/or ill. The motivation for introducing ubiquitous computing into the smart home is to increase personal comfort, which is not quantifiable in economic terms. Experts apparently anticipate effects similar to those resulting from the introduction of modern household appliances during industrialization. Back then, the time saved by the use of new appliances was counteracted by increased demands in hygiene and cleanliness, which resulted in extra work. Moderately positive effects are anticipated for increasing energy and resource efficiency in all areas of application. Significant increases are expected, however, in production and especially logistics. Indeed, the potential of ubiquitous computing for commerce and production is immense because of its ability to self-organize and control industrial processes. Such self-organization depends on several things, including the availability of fully developed knowledge-based systems. Developing these systems in turn, however, poses a significant challenge. Finally, newly adapted recycling procedures will be needed to allow for the re-use of ubiquitous computing components that have been integrated and embedded into everyday objects.

**Social impact:** clear positive effects are predicted in the personal activities in medicine, the home, communications and automobiles, while moderately positive effects are expected in inner and external security, and in production, logistics and commerce. Improvements in safety are anticipated primarily in military and security-related applications, especially in medical applications. The automotive branch will also profit.

Overall, ubiquitous computing is not expected to produce any negative *rebound effects*, which would offset or even negate its positive effects. This is true for work and attention efficiency, resource consumption and for the ability of human being to orient and locate himself in his environment.

**Winners and losers:** there will be several social groups as winners and losers in ubiquitous computing. The elderly and persons with little experience with technology stand out as groups that could benefit from ubiquitous computing and be disadvantaged by it as well. How might this paradox come about? The first generation ubiquitous computing applications are likely to demand a certain level of knowledge and requirements that will result in a temporary division between the winners and the losers. However, this divide should subside as the functional logic of later generation ubiquitous applications is automated and cost-effective mass production sets in. Once the technology matures and becomes publicly available, the less educated will begin to profit from it.

It is, therefore, imperative that a ubiquitous computing infrastructure offers accordingly easy access in technical, financial or intellectual terms. Otherwise, a digital divide between those with and those without access to ubiquitous computing will emerge. Other groups that could suffer disadvantages as a result of ubiquitous computing include small businesses and retail, political minorities, critics or sceptics, marginal groups and persons with unusual backgrounds.

## 2.5 Ubiquitous Computing Security

In ubiquitous computing, a large number of smart objects communicate with one another or with the user. Many of these interactions should be as inconspicuous and situationally dependent as possible, and thus occur semi-automatically. The user will no longer take conscious note of the actions of the smart objects. The usual means of control and correction within IT systems will not apply here. Protecting system security in ubiquitous computing is therefore very important. This includes security, privacy and safety. Security involves preventing unauthorized persons from viewing and therefore potentially manipulating confidential data. Likewise, communication must remain confidential and may not be interfered with. The meeting of digital identities must be at least as trustworthy as meeting in person. Known and tested security technologies and methods are already available. However, they

need to be adapted to the peculiarities of ubiquitous computing—especially the frequent limited performance of smart object hardware and to the marked decentralization of infrastructure, services and objects. There is an inherent conflict between the goal of ubiquitous computing of accurately identifying persons, objects and messages (authenticity), and the desire for anonymity – to prevent data trails from the outset. This problem can be to some extent addressed through digital pseudonym technology. In addition, the competing security goals of authenticity and anonymity must be considered individually for each application.

Ubiquitous computing will see the accumulation of vast amount of data that can provide a comprehensive overview of an individual, his or her behavior, finances and health. These huge sets of data and the spontaneous networking of smart objects will make it impossible for the user of ubiquitous computing to trace where his personal data are stored, how they are used and how they might be combined with other data. In ubiquitous computing, data protection is therefore an essential requirement for protecting privacy. The networking of ubiquitous computing is not limited to individual states because several services will not fully evolve until they are made available across borders. This internationalization requires standardized international regulations guaranteeing privacy protection. Currently, there are highly disparate approaches to preserving the private sphere in the digital world. These differences are illustrated clearly by the gap between Europe’s strict legal regulations and the comparatively open, self-regulatory approach in the United States. The global networking of smart objects and services, which is anticipated in the long run, will necessitate the creation of a standardized international regulatory regime for data protection in ubiquitous computing.

The invisible nature of ubiquitous computing and the complexity of its networking could mean that system failures and malicious interference may go unnoticed, or are noticed much later. In some ubiquitous computing applications – such as medicine, traffic system control or in self-organized production lines – this could put human lives in danger and lead to extensive property damage. In applications where safety is crucial, the reliability of ubiquitous computing is essential. It must be guaranteed, for example, with system redundancy or a backup system.

### 3. UBIQUITOUS COMPUTING APPLICATION AREAS

Ubiquitous computing aims to permeate and interconnect all areas of life, and thus to enable a ubiquitous flow of data, information, and – by integrating cognitive capabilities in the future – even knowledge. Mark Weiser, one of the fathers of ubiquitous computing, described this vision of a continual and ubiquitous exchange transcending the borders of applications, media, and countries as “everything, always, everywhere.” This sketch offers a strongly future-oriented perspective on ubiquitous computing that is still far removed from today’s reality. Although wireless Internet access, email via mobile phone, handheld computers and the like may give the impression that constant, unimpeded exchange of information is already routine, in the future the special performance characteristics of ubiquitous computing will enable an entirely new quality in the exchange and processing of data, information and knowledge. With ubiquitous computing, many of these processes will recede into the background, and most will occur partially or wholly automatically. But this new form of ubiquitous computing will not develop uniformly and synchronously in all economic and social areas. Rather, applications will be defined and implemented at different speeds in different contexts. Nine application areas in which ubiquitous computing is already recognizable and is very likely to play a decisive role in the future are mentioned below.

*Communications:* as a cross-application, the communications area affects all forms of exchange and transmission of data, information, and knowledge. Communications thus represents a precondition for all information technology domains.

*Logistics:* tracking logistical goods along the entire transport chain of raw materials, semi-finished articles, and finished products (including their eventual disposal) closes the gap in IT control systems between the physical flow and the information flow. This offers opportunities for optimizing and automating logistics that are already apparent today.

*Motor traffic:* automobiles already contain several assistance systems that support the driver invisibly. Networking vehicles with each other and with surrounding telematics systems is anticipated for the future.

*Military:* the military sector requires the provision of information on averting and fighting external threats that is as close-meshed, multi-dimensional, and interrelated as possible. This comprises the collection and processing of information. It also includes the development of new weapons systems.

*Production:* in the smart factory, the flow and processing of components within manufacturing are controlled by the components and by the processing and transport stations themselves. Ubiquitous computing will facilitate a decentralized production system that will independently configure, control and monitor itself.

*Smart homes:* in smart homes, a large number of home technology devices such as heating, lighting, ventilation and communication equipment become smart objects that automatically adjust to the needs of the residents.

*E-commerce:* the smart objects of ubiquitous computing allow for new business models with a variety of digital services to be implemented. These include location-based services, a shift from selling products to renting them, and software agents that will instruct components in ubiquitous computing to initiate and carry out services and business transactions independently.

*Inner security:* identification systems, such as electronic passport and the already abundant smart cards, are applications of ubiquitous computing in inner security. In the future, monitoring systems will become increasingly important – for instance, in protecting the environment or surveillance of key infrastructure such as airports and the power grid.

*Medical technology:* Increasingly autarkic, multifunctional, miniaturized and networked medical applications in ubiquitous computing offer a wide range of possibilities for monitoring the health of the ill and the elderly in their own homes, as well as for intelligent implants.

Identifying each application area's potential and estimating when we can expect applications to be established is essential to a well-founded prognosis of ubiquitous computing development. Because any such assessment is based on various definitions of ubiquitous computing and depends on variable contexts, we must first describe the performance features and characteristics of ubiquitous computing and then relate them to the selected application areas.

The contemporary international discussion on ubiquitous computing remains powerfully shaped by visions of the immediate future. The current trends do not yet represent full-blown ubiquitous computing, which may well need another ten years to become established. Ubiquitous computing will develop in at least two stages: the first stage will see numerous products and applications characterized by the goals of mobility and ad-hoc networking becoming established within the next five years. This will essentially be a continuation of current trends, such as the miniaturization and integration of various electronic functions in a single chip. During this stage we can expect to see more ambitious characteristics realized, too, such as context awareness in simplified form, e.g., in the form of user profiles. Truly ubiquitous computing, however, will emerge only in practical applications in the second stage, which will also reveal its novel characteristics. Context awareness will then actually mean intelligent, case-by-case reactions to the user's needs and to the environment.

#### **4. TECHNOLOGY OF UBIQUITOUS COMPUTING**

Ubiquitous computing is not an independent technology. Instead, it describes the performance characteristics of an approach for invisible, ubiquitous, and seamless information and communication. Accordingly, a smart object cannot be described in universal terms, since so much depends on the context of its application: it might be an independent, small, mobile device. A smart object might be integrated into a house, or a household device might be transformed into a smart object by integrating the appropriate components. This variety shows that the technological basis of ubiquitous computing is not always the same; rather, different technologies will be used in each case. Still,

full-blown ubiquitous computing has certain characteristics that will pertain to nearly all application areas, albeit to a varying degree. As shown in Table 1, eight technology fields seem to be most important for realization of the six characteristics of ubiquitous computing.

**Table I**  
**Technology Fields Necessary for Ubiquitous Computing**

	<i>Mobility</i>	<i>Emdeddedness</i>	<i>Ad-hoc-networks</i>	<i>Context awareness</i>	<i>Energy autarky</i>	<i>Autonomy</i>
Microelectronics	x	x				
Power supply	x		x		x	x
Sensor technology			x	x		x
Communication technology	x		x	x		x
Localisation technology	x			x		x
Security technology		x	x	x		x
Machine-machine communication		x	x	x		x
Human-machine interface		x		x		x

The relative significance of each field cannot be determined from Table 1. For example, microelectronics is the precondition for any sort of electronic data processing, yet Table 1 lists it as essential for two characteristics only—mobility and embeddedness. However, it does not reflect the fact that mobility is one of the central characteristics of ubiquitous computing, which implies more weight is assigned to microelectronics. In the realm of production technology—such as lithography and wafer technology—microelectronics is decidedly important for sensor technology too. This effect is also not captured in Table 1. In fact, communication technologies and microelectronics are the most important prerequisites for nearly all ubiquitous computing applications. It is expected that each of the technologies will mature and will be available in the near future, within a time horizon ranging from about one to four years. Among the potential bottlenecks that would have serious impact on the development of ubiquitous computing the most important are: the unsolved problems with power supply, an inadequate human-machine interface and the lack of a well-functioning security technology.

The following sub-sections describe the basic principles of the individual technology fields and their significance for ubiquitous computing.

#### 4.1 Microelectronics

Microelectronics – which deals with the miniaturization, development, manufacture and application of integrated circuits (IC) – is already an essential component in a vast number of technological devices and equipment that shape contemporary life. Consumer electronics, the automotive industry and medical technology are just a few examples of fields in which microelectronics plays a key role.

Currently, microelectronics works with structures smaller than 90 nanometers. At the present rate of development, this should drop to 45 nanometers by 2010 [3]. Significantly increased circuit density will yield considerable gains in functional capacity for a given IC size. However, the field of microelectronics is running up against physical limits that are increasingly expensive to overcome. These limits include a highly complex manufacturing process and decreased performance and durability due to undesired current leakage between the printed circuit board tracks.

Integrated circuit packaging – that is, the mounting and bonding of electronic components on a printed circuit board (PCB) – has undergone major changes in recent years. Increasing integration density, greater functionality and costs have been driving this development [4]. Advanced packaging processes, such as flip chip, chip-size package and ball grid array, have assumed a key role in IC manufacturing. In addition to smaller dimensions and



lower production costs, these processes offer the advantage of directly integrating packaging into the chip manufacturing process. A number of multinational technology companies manufacture advanced packages, including Infineon, IBM and Toshiba.

The trend in microelectronics is toward greater integration density, smaller structures and falling unit costs. PCB-based system integration deals with the integration of passive and active electronic components, functional layers, electro-optical interfaces and sensor interfaces on a PCB or even on a stack of PCBs. In system integration at the semiconductor level, all digital, analogue and mixed-signal functions are integrated directly into a single IC (system-on-chip).

Current research in nanotechnology is looking at how quantum effects can be used at the sub-molecular and atomic levels to achieve further miniaturization of microelectronics.

A great many research institutions are working on microelectronics and its peripheral fields. These include various institutes such as the Fraunhofer Institute for Reliability and Micro-integration in Germany, the Inter-university Micro Electronics Center (IMEC) in Belgium, Georgia Tech and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) in the United States, and the Industrial Technology Research Institute (ITRI) in Japan.

Overall, microelectronics is a mature and widely available technology and does not pose any bottlenecks for ubiquitous computing. Nanotechnology and polymer electronics are regarded as future technologies, but since they would be used only in niche applications, they are significant barriers.

## 4.2 Power Supply

Supplying power to electronic systems represents an essential condition for using ubiquitous computing applications. So far, progress in chip technologies and electronics development has consistently decreased system size, reduced specific power demands and improved performance. Even so, for most applications the power supply is the largest and heaviest component, and the biggest constraint on use.

Supplying power basically poses no problems for applications plugged into the power grid and networked with cables. However, mobile and wireless systems with power self-sufficiency have become important in recent years. The older radio-supported applications consumed a lot of power, which forced the user to change or recharge the battery frequently. More recently, though, energy consumption has decreased due to progress in IC manufacturing and the deliberate, application-based reduction of active times for sensors and modules. Then, lithium-ion batteries came into use offering excellent reliability and long-term stability. The new goal is to do without batteries altogether. To this end, miniature accumulators (storage batteries) would be continuously recharged by photovoltaics, thermo-generators, miniature piezo generators or other converters, without ever needing to plug into a power supply line [5].

In those cases where initially equipping a device with batteries may not suffice for its entire service life, or where a constant exchange of batteries is impracticable, an ideal technology would enable autarkic, wireless energy provision from ambient sources – so-called energy harvesting. A variety of such technologies are available, some of which have been tested in practice. Each must be considered individually for each application with respect to its energy balance and efficiency. Some examples are: (i) photovoltaic generators- convert energy directly based on various solar cell principles, (ii) piezoelectric generators- convert mechanical energy to electrical energy by means of special piezo crystals, (iii) thermoelectric generators- create electrical voltage with temperature differentials between two different metals, (iv) electromagnetic generators- convert energy according to the familiar dynamo principle, (v) capacitive and electrostatic generators- use capacitive or electrostatic charges in the electric field to produce energy, (vi) thermo-mechanical generators- create mechanical energy which is then transformed into electrical energy, and (vii) electro-kinetic micro-channel batteries- generate electricity as ion-charged fluid (e.g., salt water) passes through micro-channels or porous filters, thus separating the fluid's potentials. The fluid transport is propelled by either static or external pressure on the fluid [6].

The potential for improving battery and accumulator systems for portable applications remains great, although the composition of components has been known for years. Due to the great economic gains that could accrue from a clear technological edge, a number of international institutes are working on behalf of industry to further develop portable and alternative power sources. They include the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, the Florida Solar Energy Center and the Los Alamos National Laboratory, the Alberta Research Council, the Institute for Fuel Cell Innovation Canada, and Tokyo University of Science in Japan.

Batteries and accumulators, despite their high availability, are potential bottlenecks for ubiquitous computing applications. In light of the solutions available today, a great need is perceived by the researchers for further development to increase capacities and reduce self-discharge. While energy harvesting is considered as an alternative technology for the future, micro fuel cells are not accepted as particularly relevant for ubiquitous computing. A likely reason for this is the expensive infrastructure for supplying the cells with fuel, which is more likely to become economically viable in fuel cell used as an alternative power source for cars.

### 4.3 Sensor Technology

Capturing and analyzing the real world is one of the central characteristics of ubiquitous computing. At the heart of this is the sensor as an electronic component that qualitatively or quantitatively registers characteristics of the environment and amplifies, processes and relays them as a digital signal.

The key challenges in sensor development today include reducing the size and weight of sensors and sensor systems, integration of the sensors in complex semiconductor systems, decreasing the power consumption of the sensors, increasing their performance and reliability, and developing lower-cost production technologies.

One focus of development work is the refinement of existing technologies. In system-on-chip, the sensor is no longer produced as a separate component; instead, it is directly integrated in the chip. Nanotechnology is pursuing new approaches with dramatically smaller and more sensitive sensor elements on the sub-molecular or atomic level.

The sensor technology is generally considered as a well-established technology that does not pose any serious technical barriers for ubiquitous computing. Interestingly, this assessment also applies to highly integrated, functionally sophisticated sensor networks which, as of today, is a pure research topic.

### 4.4 Communication Technology

Communication technology is generally combined with information technology and referred to collectively as *information and communication technology* (ICT) in order to emphasize the overlap between the two fields. In telecommunications – e.g., mobile communication, satellite communication and telephony – the important subfields are communications engineering, radio engineering, switching technology, signal transmission technology, high frequency engineering, microelectronics, technical informatics and communications networks. Since the possibility for objects to communicate is fundamental to the vision of ubiquitous computing, ICT plays an essential role.

The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) has published a number of different standards for wireless networks. The 802.11 standard, which standardizes wireless local area networks (WLAN), is widely used. For short-range transmission with a reach of a few meters, Bluetooth (IEEE 802.15.1) has rapidly become popular for communication between devices in a personal area networks (PAN).

Beyond the existing solutions, a number of approaches will be decisive in the further development of mobile communication such as WiMAX (802.16 e/m), wireless USB, long term evolution (LTE) etc. In the realm of automated industrial production, there is an effort to replace the existing field bus system with an industrial Ethernet – an expanded Ethernet based on the IEEE 802.3 standard – which would meet real-time demands.

The decisive players in the ICT field – especially with regard to wireless communication – are the major mobile telephone providers such as Deutsche Telecom, Vodafone and the Japanese company NTT DoCoMo, makers of

network components such as Cisco and Lucent, and suppliers of digital devices such as Nokia, Motorola, Siemens, Ericsson, IBM, Intel, Microsoft, Sun and Toshiba. These companies are increasingly working with representatives of standards organizations to develop complete solutions for information and communication.

The experts recognize communication technology as a key driver for ubiquitous computing. However, they also perceive a certain risk of technological bottlenecks in the further development of the Internet standard IPv6 for additional address space, and Mobile IP for mobile communication.

#### 4.5 Localization Technology

One interesting facet of ubiquitous computing is that equipping smart objects with appropriate transmitters and receivers enables precise localization. Particularly for the provision of location-based services, which offer a service tailored to the user's geographic position, localization technology is an absolute necessity.

There are currently three types of localization systems: satellite-supported, cellular-supported and indoor localization systems. The satellite-based *global positioning system* (GPS) is the most widely used localizations and navigation system. It was commissioned by the United States Department of Defense for the military and has been used worldwide since 1998. Mobile telephone companies are capable of pinpointing the location of mobile telephones with the support of the cellular network, because the telephones must establish a connection to the transmitters. Cell ID – the cell-based localization technology functions in both GSM and UMTS networks. It identifies the base station to which a mobile telephone has established a connection, and thereby determines the location of the mobile user. The precision of this process depends on cell density and size and may vary between 0.1 and 35 kilometers. In some circumstances, mobile telephone companies can determine the position of a mobile telephone with a precision of few meters through techniques such as triangulation.

True standardization in the field of localization systems does not exist. So far, GPS is the only global navigation system that civilians can use. Current work focuses mostly on improving localization techniques. This primarily means increasing precision and sizing down the equipment. At the moment, precision for civil users of GPS is specified at 10 meters. Indoor systems can achieve much greater precision- usually less than a meter- depending on the type and number of sensors employed.

Apart from the American military, which is responsible for GPS, many research institutions (e.g., the Fraunhofer Gesellschaft) and companies are involved in developing localization systems. The indoor localization system RADAR from Microsoft Research, for example, is based on the IEEE 802.11 standard. RADAR is realized completely as a software extension and requires no additional hardware infrastructure. .

Many experts feel that localization technology is the least relevant for ubiquitous computing among all the fields in Table 1. Although, localization is a mature technology, possible bottlenecks may occur with indoor systems.

#### 4.6 Security Technologies

A central feature of ubiquitous computing is that nearly all smart objects can exchange information. Security is thus essential in ubiquitous computing. The fact that most communication in ubiquitous computing is wireless makes the role of security all the more important because radio communication can be manipulated more easily.

Many ubiquitous computing applications intervene in central areas of life without the user always being aware of it. Thus, safety, which ensures that no damage occurs from system failures and operator error, is very important. A further security requirement in ubiquitous computing is data protection to safeguard the individual's privacy. It must guarantee that personal data can be accessed by authorized third parties only.

The three important aspects of security, e.g., security, safety and privacy in ubiquitous computing are discussed in detail below.

**Security:** it deals with protection from intentional attacks by third parties. The fundamental objectives of a security mechanism are to ensure confidentiality, integrity, non-repudiation, availability, anonymity and authenticity.

The authenticity of an entity is understood as its genuineness and credibility, which is verifiable on the basis of its unique identity and characteristic qualities. The integrity of data means that unnoticed manipulation of data is impossible. Confidentiality means that only authorized persons can access information. Non-repudiation means that entities cannot disclaim the actions they have performed. Anonymity is changing personal data in such a way that even with a reasonable degree of effort, it is impossible to match the data to the person [7].

Many different technologies can be used in *authentication*. Passwords continue to be a widespread as a way for users to authenticate themselves on a device such as a PC. The four-digit *personal identification number* (PIN), which is a simplified variant of a password, is widely used for authentication on mobile telephones and automated teller machines. Biometric methods are also used increasingly. For objects without biometric characteristics, RFID can be used in automatic identification processes for authentication.

In order to guarantee the *integrity* of data, a checksum is usually calculated from the data. Manipulation can be discovered by comparing the checksum to a reference value. Checksums are typically generated by using hash values, which transform data of any length into a unique value of fixed length. The most commonly used methods are SHA1 and MD5.

*Confidentiality* is ensured by encrypting data. Two basic types of encryption exist. In symmetric methods, the same secret key is used in both encryption and decryption. In asymmetric methods, a public key (accessible to anyone) is used in encryption, and a private key (available only to authorized persons) is used in decryption. Symmetric encryption uses the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) as well as an older method, 3DES, which is based on the old Data Encryption Standard (DES). For asymmetric encryption, the method normally used is RSA. Newer asymmetric encryption methods are based on the discrete logarithm problem in elliptic curves, whereas RSA security relies on the integer factorization problem. An advantage of the elliptic encryption techniques is that they require less computing power for the same level of security. This method is thus well suited for devices with limited resources, which makes it especially interesting for ubiquitous computing applications.

*Non-repudiation* is achieved with digital signatures. The relevant data or a checksum corresponding to it are encrypted with a private key that is available only to the signer. The freely available public key enables verification of the sender. A *public key infrastructure* (PKI) is used in administering the public keys, which allows the ownership and validity of a key to be confirmed by a trustworthy, neutral authority. For trust management in ubiquitous computing, the *trusted platform module* (TPM) will in all probability play a leading role. The TPM is a smart card securely built into a device. However, the module is associated with a system, not a user.

The security objectives of *anonymity* and *authenticity* would appear to contradict each other. An approach to resolve this contradiction is *pseudonymization*, which alters personal data by an assignment rule so that they can no longer be linked to a natural person without knowledge of the rule. Disguising individuals' true identities with pseudonyms and administering these identities falls under the rubric of identity management. The aim of identity management is to ensure that identities and the personal data linked to them are consistent, reliable, secure and constantly available.

In contrast to the other security objectives, anonymity cannot be assured by any explicit cryptographic mechanism. However, Frank Stajano has described a protocol that enables anonymous bidding by different parties at an auction. With his *Resurrecting Duckling* security policy model, Stajano has developed a scheme for connecting devices to one another, without requiring a third, trustworthy authority. This model is ideally suited for use in ubiquitous computing applications [8].

The Internet Protocol IP, the most widely used protocol for communication between various digital devices via different network technologies, has been expanded with the IPSec security standard, which supports authentication

and encryption at the IP packet level. Special resource-conserving versions of the IP, such as the uIP stack developed by Adam Dunkels and the Swedish Institute of Computer Science, enable the IP protocol to be used in the world of ubiquitous computing [9].

**Safety:** it is also referred to as reliability. Reliability of a system refers to its ability to work correctly according to its intended purpose. The effect of lack of reliability of a ubiquitous computing system can be critical depending on the area in which it is used. In medical technology, for example, the reliability of a system can be a matter of life and death. In logistics, ubiquitous computing can improve the ability to plan and thus lead to greater reliability [10]. In real-world, every technical system is error-prone. Safety in a narrower sense refers to a system's capacity to be fail-safe – to avoid responding to system errors by spiraling out of control and thus endangering the system itself or its environment. At the same time, the system should be fault-tolerant [11].

As a general rule, it is hard to develop systems with high safety levels in ubiquitous computing due to the high complexity and networking of large number of disparate units. On the other hand, ubiquitous computing can help improve the safety of other systems. For instance, use of a ubiquitous computing infrastructure can help increase the safety and efficiency of health care in a hospital [12].

**Data privacy:** the objective of data protection is to safeguard the individual's privacy. In ubiquitous computing, data protection is more crucial than in conventional information systems. The large number of smart objects and their spontaneous networking undermine the overall system's controllability. Ubiquitous computing increases the user's dependence on a large number of background processes that are not transparent. The networking of individual objects and the distributed nature of services make it hard to discern the connections between an action in ubiquitous computing and its consequences for the transmission and processing of one's own data.

Many experts consider that security technologies are relevant to most ubiquitous computing applications, though they saw biometrics as necessary only in selected applications. There are some ubiquitous computing applications where security technology is not relevant. These are probably either "trivial or fun applications", or else isolated applications without an extensive network, where attacks are fairly unlikely.

#### 4.7 Machine-Machine Communications

Ubiquitous computing systems will be highly distributed systems with thousands or millions of spontaneously interacting components. The standardization of appropriate machine-machine interfaces and their development are thus extraordinarily important for ubiquitous computing.

In machine-machine communication, i.e., in P2P computing, Sun Microsystems' JINI (Java Intelligent Network Infrastructure) has established itself as an open industry standard for system development at the hardware level, while the JXTA standard (named for "juxtapose") is important for communication in the higher layers of the protocol stack. Similar standards have been defined for P2P communication by HP with its Chai appliance plug and play, and by Microsoft with universal plug and play (UPnP).

Service-oriented architectures (SOA) describe a design model for shared use of reusable distributed systems. The aim of service-oriented architectures is to vastly simplify the integration of applications. The basic design principles of SOA are open standards that support the use of Internet protocol-based web services, an integration platform for secure message transport (enterprise service bus), and a dedicated integration instance. Another design model for developing SOAs is the industry standard CORBA (Common Object Request Broker Architecture) for communication between object-oriented software components, which was developed by the industry consortium Object Management Group (OMG).

As the networking of smart objects becomes increasingly complex, the potential for danger rises sharply, too. It is no longer feasible to explicitly program all interactions among the objects, because there are just too many possible combinations. In response to this problem, work has been done for some years on software agents that

have their own semantic model of their environment. As knowledge-based systems, they are able to make autonomous decisions and to adapt to their environment as a learning system. Agents can thus act independently on behalf of their human users. They translate generally formulated commands into concrete actions, choosing independently among different courses of action without consulting the user. The research field of sociotics is examining how agents organize among themselves. Human interaction mechanisms are being deliberately applied as a social model for understanding the decentralized coordination of agents. A great many research groups worldwide are working on software agents, including the Software Agents Group at the MIT Media 47 Ubiquitous Computing: Trends and Impacts Laboratory and the DFG Priority Program Sociotics – Investigating and Modeling Artificial Societies.

Most of the experts rate the relevance of machine-machine communication in ubiquitous computing as average compared to the other technology fields.

#### **4.8 Human-Machine Interface**

The smart objects of ubiquitous computing require developers who design user interfaces that move beyond the formerly dominant monitor/keyboard principle. Most objects will have a variety of interfaces to their environment, but these will not include visualization components. Moreover, there will be many implicit interactions in which the user will have little or no involvement in the computing process, to avoid flooding the user with information. Even so, the user must be given the option of controlling the activities of ubiquitous computing by means of an appropriate human-machine interface.

The human-machine interface is not a self-contained field of technology. It is instead an interdisciplinary challenge that draws on such fields as computer science, ergonomics, the cognitive sciences and microelectronics.

The design of human-machine interfaces is an important activity for most of the leading players in consumer electronics and computer systems. Companies such as Microsoft and Siemens maintain their own usability labs in order to test their products. The auto industry and its suppliers, e.g., Toyota, BMW and Mitsubishi, or their suppliers Immerson and Siemens VDO, are also working intensively on the interfaces of their driver assistance systems.

A central challenge for the human-machine interface is to construct a semantic model of the real world, which would allow the meaning of a spoken sentence to be understood, for example. Such models have been developed for specific domains such as medicine, but a general model is yet to evolve. These developments are currently getting a strong boost from the *semantic web initiative* of the Internet standards organization- the World Wide Web Consortium. Semantic Web comprises a collection of standards for classification systems such as RDF and OWL, which model the real world in networks of concepts. Whether and how this approach might impact real applications is yet to be seen.

Most experts believe that human-machine interface will play a rather average role for evolution of ubiquitous computing. Speech technology is seen as particularly relevant but also as a possible technological bottleneck. The visionary approaches of gestures and implants are believed to be less relevant for further evolution of ubiquitous computing.

### **5. SECURITY IN UBIQUITOUS COMPUTING**

The exchange of information between large numbers of smart objects is a central property of ubiquitous computing. Smart objects differ considerably from other objects in terms of their input/output capabilities, sensory interfaces and application processes. Ubiquitous computing processes are, for the most part, designed to run as inconspicuously and situationally dependent – and therefore semi-automatically – as possible. It is thus essential that information be exchanged between authorized persons and/or objects only. Data and information must be allocated clearly and protected from manipulation and espionage. The secure identification of and communication between objects and persons in ubiquitous computing is therefore of vital importance. Equally important is the protection of users in the

event of smart object failure or malfunction. As the first ubiquitous computing systems are introduced, technical issues in security, safety and privacy will fast become decisive.

As it stands now, ubiquitous computing is for the most part a technological vision. However, the general shape of future security architectures in ubiquitous computing as well as their associated opportunities and risks can be outlined. Using three different scenarios, this chapter explores the unique security challenges posed by ubiquitous computing in terms of the objectives of security, privacy and safety.

Identifying persons and objects is both an application of ubiquitous computing (e.g., digital IDs) and a central internal operation required to make ubiquitous computing services safe and reliable. Because different technical approaches will be used to identify objects and persons, it is essential that we prove able to distinguish between the two. The first scenario provided here considers the identification of objects. The second scenario examines the identification of individuals via biometric universal identification. Both scenarios rely on technology in use currently. These include the Trusted Platform Module (TPM) for object identification and the ICAO Standard for biometric identification systems. The third ubiquitous computing scenario involves the interaction between vehicles and telematics systems. It was chosen because several experts responding to the online survey regard this field to be one of the earliest applications of ubiquitous computing. This scenario takes a visionary look into the future and draws upon the findings of current research projects.

### 5.1 Scenario1: Object Identification with TPM

In object identification, objects are recognized according to firmly assigned characteristics. We can distinguish three system components: (i) an *identification number* (ID) serves as a unique code for each object which is stored in a carrier-usually a chip, (ii) a reader that identifies the carrier-object via the ID, and (iii) the carrier-object and the reader exchange the ID and other information by means of a communication interface. The following are the potential threats [13]:

*Falsification of content*: if an attacker gains unauthorized access to an ID carrier, it can change and/or falsify content.

*ID falsification*: the attacker acquires an ID and potentially accesses an object's secured information. It then uses this information to fake its identity vis-à-vis a reader.

*De-activation*: an attacker renders the ID carrier useless through unauthorized delete or kill commands, or by destroying the carrier physically, so that the reader can no longer recognize the object.

*Removal*: an attacker physically removes an ID carrier from the carrier object and associates it with another object. Intentionally switching the barcodes of goods is an example.

*Disturbance*: an attacker can disturb the interface, i.e., data exchange between an ID carrier and reader. Air interfaces, for example, can be disturbed by jamming transmitters.

*Blocking*: an attacker prevents the identification of an object by blocking the reader, so as to prevent data exchange.

*Eavesdropping*: communication between the reader and the ID carrier via the corresponding interface is intercepted and decoded by an attacker.

*Falsifying the reader ID*: in a secure authentication system, the reader must prove authenticate itself to the object. An attacker with its own reader can fake a non-existent reader.

*Reader removal/de-activation*: an attacker can de-activate, remove or render a reader useless, thus disabling the object identification process.

*Adding a reader without permission*: an attacker can install an unauthorized reader that carries out object identification without being noticed. This is possible only if the object identification procedure permits a reader to carry out an identification process without the consent of the object.

Two major technologies that are currently being discussed in relation to object identification in ubiquitous computing are: RFID (Radio Frequency Identification) and TPM (Trusted Platform Module). RFID is often used as an independent identification system for objects with no integrated electronic components. A TPM, in contrast, is designed as a separate chip that is integrated into an electronic system with a microprocessor and communications system. A TPM, therefore, meets the criteria of a smart object in ubiquitous computing to a greater extent than an RFID-transponder. A TPM also offers additional comprehensive functions for the secure identification of objects. The following section illustrates the use of TPM in ubiquitous computing object identification. A detailed analysis of security issues in RFID is given in [13].

**Trusted Platform Module:** developed and standardized by the industrial consortium Trusted Computing Group (TCG), Trusted Platform Module (TPM) functions somewhat like a smart card embedded within a device [TCG oJa, TCG oJb]. Unlike a smart card, however, the module is not bound to a user, but rather to an electronic device or system. It thus allows for the unambiguous identification of objects in ubiquitous computing. For example, when paying with a credit card by means of electronic communication, there is currently no guarantee that the transaction command was given by the actual cardholder and that the transaction was received by an authorized company. Processes such as these require a relatively high degree of mutual trust. During credit card transactions, order transaction software can use TPM to ensure that data are transmitted to an authorized server. TPM can also ensure that the device used by the individual placing the order represents a trustworthy platform. Data encryption prevents the unauthorized use of credit card and transaction information.

The underlying mode of operation for TPM is anchored in key-based identification and secured access through passwords and various asymmetric key pairs [14]:

- (i) Endorsement Key Pair (EK): it cannot be transferred to other platforms and involves a RSA key pair that is assigned to a genuine TPM.




Figure 1: Basic Types of Attacks on Object Identification [13]

- (ii) Attestation Identity Keys (AIK): these are also RSA key pairs that are created using a genuinely assigned EK. The device user can generate as many of these key pairs as desired. These keys can be transferred to other platforms. Because different identities can be created with AIKs, they allow for the creation of pseudonyms and anonymous identities.
- (iii) Storage Root Key (SRK): it encrypts/decrypts all the data and keys saved in a TPM and protects them from unauthorized access. It is generated by the owner within the TPM by means of a special command. The encoded key never leaves the TPM, but can be deleted by the user.

**Opportunities and risks:** a TPM provides the technical means with which object identification in ubiquitous computing can achieve security objectives such as authentication, anonymity, confidentiality, integrity and non-repudiation. However, it is crucial that the means provided by the TPM are actually used by the system into which



it is integrated. The provider of a TPM application and the user of the device derive profit differently depending on the type of application.

Digital Rights Management (DRM) is one of the key fields in which TPMs find application. In the music industry, for example, huge efforts are currently underway to limit the playing of music on certain devices. One scenario includes designing an MP3 player in such a way that the user could play music on that specific device only using an *endorsement key pair*. This would introduce an effective means of protection against pirate copies. This would, however, limit the freedom of the user. A similar scenario is possible in the use of the TPM process in PCs, whereby the use of licensed software would be inevitable. There is currently no protocol governing the manner in which TPM security functions could be implemented with the consideration for security and interoperability in application environments.

Even more questions are raised by the fact that the TPM makes it possible to identify objects unambiguously. When object identification simultaneously allows the allocation of the object to a person, which is explicitly requested in many cases, it becomes impossible to maintain the anonymity of the user. Ubiquitous computing in particular allows for the clear traceability of actions. This, in turn, allows for the creation of both virtual and real profiles, e.g., purchasing profiles, which are based on the movement and behavior of users. This form of profiling poses a great challenge to privacy and consumer protection, and will require special legal attention.

Another major field of operation for the TPM process, in addition to DRM, is Trusted Computing, i.e., the creation of trustworthy platforms and devices with a TPM. For example, orders and/or purchases made via mobile phones, which are transformed into trustworthy platforms through the use of a TPM, illustrate the use of TPM in ubiquitous computing. Without the use of a TPM, the trustworthiness of participating devices remains open as manipulation, in many cases, cannot be recognized immediately.

In terms of the further development of TPM-based security in ubiquitous computing, special attention must be paid to the fact that the security gains associated with the ability to clearly identify users imply a resulting loss of anonymity. One solution might be the introduction of individual freedom of choice between authenticity and anonymity depending on the application context.

## 5.2 Scenario 2: The Universal ID

Access to buildings and events, the use of services and transport, business transactions – all of these activities can be carried out by authorized persons only. The authorization to perform an action, along with the corresponding identification of the person performing the action, is protected in many ways by special forms of identification. Consequently, there are several IDs and cards such as passports, personal IDs, driver's licences, health cards, insurance cards, credit cards, company IDs, and tickets for public transport, etc. Due to the sheer quantity of ID documents needed in daily life, the concept of a universal identification (UID) has already emerged. The UID would encompass all of the above functions while simplifying serviceability for its holder.

There are currently several pilot projects with aspects along the lines of a UID. While Austria's current "Bürgerkarte" (citizen card) contains only the name and address of the holder, a new version would contain additional information such as the social insurance e-card containing social data, the Bankomat card containing account data and a student ID containing matriculation information [15]. Belgium, Finland, Italy and Switzerland have already introduced similar citizen cards [16, 17].

One of the major properties of a UID in ubiquitous computing is that it allows for the unambiguous digital identification of its owner. With the implementation of digital personal identification, identification via knowledge can be distinguished from identification through ownership and biometric characteristics. For example, if a person is identified only via the possession of the UID carrier, such as a handheld, only the UID carrier is recognized. This form of identification has the same security problems as in case of object identification. Furthermore, it is important that only the person assigned the UID has access to the UID carrier.




Figure 2: Universal ID and its Issuing Authorities

In ubiquitous computing, identification via secret passwords and PINs plays only a subordinate role, because the implicit communication of smart objects with users and among each other does not permit an opportunity to enter a PIN or similar signature. In the personal identification of the UID, human biometric signatures carry out a more secure identification than recognition via object possession. A person can be identified based on individual biometric data or a combination thereof.

In addition to its ability to represent different IDs from different contexts, a UID would also contain both changeable and unchangeable information. This could even occur within one context itself. For example, while biometric identification data would remain unchangeable, other data on the ID could be changeable. For example, this could mean allowing for a change of address without having to issue an entirely new UID. Other UID functions that facilitate frequent changes will most likely also become the rule.

**Biometric Identification System of UID:** Even before the attacks on the World Trade Center on 11 September 2001, the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) had already defined standards for digital travel documents with biometric functions and communication abilities via RFID.

According to ICAO standards for the security mechanisms underlying the UID, a global *public key infrastructure* (PKI) is required to sign and check digital documents. Each participating country must create a two-stage PKI consisting of exactly one *country signing certification authority* (CSCA) and at least one *document signer* (DS). The CSCA is the top certification authority in a country for travel documents. There is no global certification authority in the world. This is the only way to guarantee that each country has complete control over its own keys. Document Signers are authorized to sign digital documents and make the actual physical UIC carriers, such as the German Bundesdruckerei (the now privatized federal printer in Germany). For the UID, either public or private institutions could assume the role of the highest UID certification authority, similar to the CSCA. There can be several UID certification authorities in one country, or one certification authority for several countries. In the following UID scenario, the CSCA is replaced by the term *top UID certification authority*. Private or public institutions could also take on the function of a Document Signer.

The key-pair created by the top UID certification authority is used exclusively for the certification of DS institutions. Each DS has at least one key-pair that can be produced by the DS itself. The private key is used exclusively for signing digital documents, while the public key must be signed by the top UID certification authority. The duration of use for the DS' private key is much shorter than that of the public key. This allows for the least possible number of UIDs to be affected if the key is compromised [18].




Fig. 3 Relationships between the Actors in Universal ID

The ICAO has four planned mechanisms to achieve the security objectives of authenticity, integrity and confidentiality in personal identification. These mechanisms are: (i) passive authentication, (ii) active authentication, (iii) basic access control, and (iv) extended access control [19].

*Passive authentication:* it allows for the verification of the authenticity of the data on the chip. It also determines whether the data have been changed. However, there is no check whether or not the UID carrier is the authentic carrier-object for the chip. During the authentication, the *document security object* (DSO) is read, by which the *document signer* can be verified. The DSO is a data structure signed by the DS according to RFC 3369 [19]. The signature of the DSO is examined with the help of the public key of the DS. The validity of the Document Signer's certificate, signed by the top UID certification authority, can be verified with the public key of the top UID certification authority. The relevant data are read and the associated checksums (hash values) are examined. If the signatures of the hash values are correct, one can assume that the data has not been changed.

The ICAO also specifies two optional schemes designed to increase the security of the authentication: Basic Access Control and active authentication.

*Active authentication:* it serves to protect against UID cloning. It does not, however, provide protection from unauthorized users reading the content of the UID card. The UID features a key-pair of one private and one public key. The public key is signed by the *document signer*. The public key for active authentication must be linked to the corresponding UID carrier and to the corresponding biometric data. Otherwise, an attack involving the use of another UID carrier than the one presented as an oracle designed to answer active authentication requests is possible. For this reason, active authentication is accompanied by optically scanning the person with the UID carrier.

*Basic access control:* it guarantees the confidentiality of data contained in the UID chip. The ICAO adopted Basic Access Control due to the fact that communication between identification documents and a reader can be intercepted within a range of up to several meters, as was demonstrated by the German Federal Office for Information Security in experiments involving standard RFID systems [20]. There are two secret keys stored on the UID that are designed to ensure that the data contained in the UID chip is read by authorized readers only. Following ICAO specifications, the keys can be derived from optically readable data on the UID carrier. A wristband provides relatively little space in comparison to a card. The ability to store optically readable data on a small surface is therefore essential. Data could, for example, be stored using a 9 x 9 point matrix (dotcode) on a one square centimeter surface with high information density and error correction capabilities. This data would then be read using a compliant reader. To access the data stored in the UID, a reader must have a sightline connection to the optically readable data. This prevents data from being read secretly.

*Extended access control:* the top UID certification authority in each country determines who has access to the biometric data of UID carriers. UID issuers associated with other top certification authorities must agree to the respective access rules of the original top certification authorities. This authorization can be refused or revoked at any time. The UID carrier verifies the reader's authorization and thus guarantees its validity. The UID chip then checks the reader certificate as part of a challenge-response protocol. However, one significant risk remains: as long as the certificate is valid, a reader could continue to accept the top UID certification authority of a UID, even if the authorization for that UID has been recently revoked.

**Opportunities and risks:** a universal identification would spell for an initial increase in user comfort. The user would be able to identify him/herself securely for a number of digital communication transactions, e.g., in public transport, at the doctor's office, in a hotel, at the bank and at public offices etc, without having to remember several PINs and passwords. In addition to this high degree of user friendliness, a UID would allow strong biometric identification mechanisms to be used for almost every process in which the user must be identified as a person. Although this type of strong identification exists in some cases today, e.g., personal IDs must be shown to purchase a prepaid mobile phone, open a bank account or when signing a purchase or rental contract, the use of an omnipresent UID could make this a norm. The ease and availability of the UID could prompt the creation of a new set of procedures even for secondary processes and small financial transactions. For example, the date of birth contained on the UID would make it possible to determine the age of a person attempting to purchase cigarettes. The UID could also be used as the only ticket needed for access to public transport. People who do not own a UID would be excluded from these processes, which could lead to a splitting of society into UID owners and non-owners. It is therefore imperative that UID issuing be an inexpensive process. It should also be guaranteed that all processes can be used without a UID and that this alternative access is not associated with any specific consequences – such as high fees or less comfort.

In a UID scenario, several data can be stored on a UID, some of which are very sensitive. Moreover, all institutions wishing to use the authentication for their processes must have access to the data on the UID. These institutions thus have access to very private data and are able to create user profiles over time without the knowledge of the UID owner. It would also be possible for the UID owner to manipulate his/her own data. An adolescent, for example, might attempt to change his age so that he could gain access to prohibited products such as tobacco and alcohol. Specific data must be protected from possible manipulation by other issuers or the owner of the card himself, and data from other issuers must be protected against an unintentional overwrite. The data should be stored on a medium so that only authorized users can access it.

For the user, the loss of a UID would be much more serious than the loss of individual cards. If the UID carrier, either in the form of a card or a wristband, featured a sufficiently strong level of access protection that prevented its use by anyone other than the user himself, then it would be possible to issue a copy of the ID, or even several identical IDs, so that if one is lost, its integrated functions could be replaced quickly. However, considering the many different issuers involved, this would prove a complex process. One potential solution to this problem would be to establish a top certification authority similar to the CSCA associated with the electronic passport. Such a UID trust centre would manage all the necessary data and would therefore be able to issue a new medium quickly. However, given the large amount of data contained in a UID this would involve a significantly more complex process. Furthermore, as the manager of the entire data of an individual, such an issuing authority would be able to establish unwanted cross-linkages at any time. The potential for misuse and the high sensitivity of data must be thoroughly considered in deciding whether such a UID trust centre should be state-run, private or a combination thereof. Given the crucial importance of such a centre for the entire UID system, its operator would have to meet very high security demands.

### 5.3 SECURITY REQUIREMENTS FOR FUTURE UBIQUITOUS COMPUTING

Ongoing miniaturization allows for the ubiquitous presence of computers and smart objects. This phenomenon, along with the interlinking of digital networks and attendant ubiquitous computing, is leading progressively toward the context-aware and adaptive exchange of information. The concept of cyberspace is thus being turned inside-

out. No longer depicted as a digital simulation, the real world will become part of a ubiquitous digital network. In a ubiquitous computing world, a digital terrain will exist in parallel to the physical landscape. This terrain will not limit itself to humans and their communication. It will potentially involve and depict the environment in its entirety.

While today's users are usually conscious of the ICT applications they are using, several exchange and application processes will run independently in ubiquitous computing. In ubiquitous computing paradigm, smart objects will interact seemingly imperceptibly with one another and the services will emerge as they are available without explicit human intervention. Information processing will be an endless background activity.

Ubiquitous computing systems thereby exhibit a unique set of characteristics as compared to other information systems. Ubiquitous computing systems are largely decentralized and complex systems involving a multitude of service providers and operators. This also means that ubiquitous computing systems will be vulnerable to several different types of disturbances, attacks and technical malfunctions. Human beings will not take conscious note of several interactions in ubiquitous computing.

One can therefore assume neither an explicit consent of the user to an activity nor any knowledge of the possible consequences of his/her actions. In addition, vast amount of data will be involved with ubiquitous computing, which could easily result in personal data or data attributed to a person being collected and evaluated automatically.

It is still far too early for a final word on future security architectures in ubiquitous computing. Yet, it is abundantly clear that data security must be a central technological component, if user acceptance is to be ensured. As with any information system, the data protection principles of data minimization, transparency and consent must also apply in ubiquitous computing. The data security technologies in a ubiquitous computing of the future must be easy-to-use, understandable and free of discrimination. The user must have the freedom to choose at all times for himself which data he wants to transfer to which service providers, and how these data are used. It is especially important that the user be able to switch off any of the ubiquitous computing services that interact with him at any time.

## 6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE TRENDS

Today, ubiquitous computing is still a vision of technology. Extensive development work will be necessary to realize all of its characteristics, such as autarkic power supply, machine-machine communication, human-machine interface and security technologies. Apart from RFID-based logistics and security systems, there are very few ubiquitous computing applications currently in existence. Yet the dissemination and use of the Internet and mobile telephones over the past decade suggests how quickly ICT can develop, affect and even transform large segments of society.

In the short run, ubiquitous computing is the continuation of the Internet. The development of ubiquitous computing is typified by two characteristics that may appear contradictory at first glance. On one hand, only a few ubiquitous computing applications exist at present. On the other hand, international experts expect many applications will be realized within the next one to five years. Most likely, these early smart objects will offer integration of different functions, which will include, in particular, certain sensory capabilities and data exchange via mobile broadband, enabling connection to the Internet. As a logical consequence, the first ubiquitous computing applications will probably draw heavily on what is already realized in the Internet. Audiovisual and data communication will merge, existing media ruptures will be overcome, and the possibility of digital communication will become ubiquitous. Ubiquitous computing offerings will be called up via a multitude of everyday electronic devices, while the services themselves will be provided by a central, Internet-based IT infrastructure. The close correspondence between web services and the aspirations of ubiquitous computing is also reflected in what are expected to be its early uses. Since mobility is a central characteristic in the early stage of ubiquitous computing, one can assume that enabling Internet connectivity from any device will be the main focus. Smart objects will thus, represent a materialization of available online services. In the coming years, ubiquitous computing will be typified not by the refrigerator or range automatically connecting to recipes, but by ubiquitous access to information and services available over the Internet.

In the long run, ubiquitous computing will noticeably change processes in the personal, economic and public realms. In the long term, as ubiquitous computing is integrated into everyday objects and they become networked, information and control systems that are presently centralized in industry, transport, the service industry and the public sector can be decentralized and expedited. In the personal realm, ubiquitous ICT will bring new functions to everyday objects and more opportunities to communicate anytime and anywhere. To gain a sense of the potential and the limits of emergent ubiquitous computing, its central forerunner, the Internet, can serve as a model. With the dissemination of the Internet in society and the economy, a number of social and economic processes have indeed changed significantly or even fundamentally. In economic terms, though, one cannot speak of a purely Internet-based society and economy. Still, there are individual industries that are undergoing profound change and that find themselves in crisis due to digitalization and the Internet. The music and film industries furnish the most vivid example, since their products, which are already available in digital form anyway (CD or DVD) can easily be copied to other digital media.

Based on experiences with the Internet and its constant development and expansion, as well as on the characteristics of ubiquitous computing, one can identify central fields that will shape ubiquitous computing in the future.

The often-implicit actions and the high degree of networking in ubiquitous computing make it hard to explicitly perceive and control system activities. This makes it all the more important that the user can totally trust the services and content of ubiquitous computing. This trust involves:

- the non-repudiability and accurate content of services
- the security of information in ubiquitous computing and
- the careful treatment of personal data.

Implementation of these standards will need to rely equally on technological, organizational and regulatory instruments.

On the technological side, there is still a great need for research and development in many areas. A broad spectrum of challenges awaits industry, science and research support, especially in regard to autarkic power supply, the human-machine interface and security technologies. The formulation and implementation of technical standards will exert a decisive influence on the introduction and impact of ubiquitous computing. Open standards – like PC architecture in the 1980s and the Internet in the 1990s – seem particularly well-suited to separating the development of the infrastructure from that of the products based on it. This will make it possible to create a broad range of freely-combinable ubiquitous computing products that compete unrestrainedly with one another. Technology suppliers for the ubiquitous computing infrastructure, service providers, users' associations and standards organizations are thus called upon to agree on appropriate open standards, in order to counter tendencies toward monopolization.

Like the Internet, ubiquitous computing is not beyond the law. Users' acceptance of a system will depend heavily on rules that will guarantee that they can have the necessary trust in its services and content. These include ensuring that business and legal actions are legally binding, defining liability for services and faulty content that cause damages, and protecting the privacy of data. Even though ubiquitous computing does not, in principle, create any new requirements beyond those of other distributed information and communication systems, its invisibility and ubiquity will generally require that existing rules be adapted. This is particularly clear regarding data protection. It remains to be seen whether the existing instruments for data protection will prove adequate over the long run in light of the complexity of information processing and networking in ubiquitous computing. Here, service providers must develop transparency mechanisms, for instance, so that the individual can foresee the long-term consequences of accepting or rejecting an exchange of information.

Due to its functional logic, ubiquitous computing cannot be confined to individual countries. Internationally uniform rules are thus an essential requirement for the widespread introduction of technology. At present, though,

very disparate regulatory approaches stand in the way of this in such realms as consumer law, data protection, freedom of speech and the press, and copyright law. On top of this come highly varied approaches to how such rules should be implemented – for example, in the form of voluntary commitments, certificates or laws. Internationally uniform rules are urgently needed here, with effective mechanisms for verifying and enforcing compliance. Otherwise, certain ubiquitous computing services with specific access barriers may be offered or usable only in certain countries, while they are banned entirely in other countries, as is currently the case in China with restrictions on Internet access and search machines.

An essential question in establishing a ubiquitous computing infrastructure is how to ensure simple, cost-effective and unimpeded access to services. This is especially important when certain public and commercial services are offered solely – or at least preferentially – via ubiquitous computing systems. This could affect purchasing age-restricted products like alcohol and tobacco, for instance, or accessing health care services. Particularly in regard to public services, care must be taken that society is not split into participants and non-participants in ubiquitous computing, with noticeable disadvantages for non-participants.

Ubiquitous computing has the potential to dramatically change production and business processes. This can mean not only that processes will become increasingly decentralized and flexible, but also that newly available information will increase market transparency. This would tend to favor small and flexible companies. On the other hand, large companies are better positioned to make the major investments initially required for ubiquitous computing and to set standards for cross-company ubiquitous computing infrastructures that benefit themselves most of all. Companies, their associations and economic policymakers are called upon to ensure that the opportunities of ubiquitous computing are equally open to all companies.

Considering that ubiquitous computing is in its infancy and the necessary technologies are at best partially available or not at all, it is impossible to foresee all of its social implications. However, the technological vision of ubiquitous computing is clearly recognizable in its contours and its technical implementation and application within the next ten years seems generally possible; this will entail fundamental socio-economic challenges, as discussed above. Clearly, ubiquitous computing is a highly dynamic paradigm that harbors a tremendous potential. The challenge lies in following its development attentively, and shaping it actively to exploit its positive effects while avoiding its potential negative effects as much as possible.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Weiser, *The Computer for the 21<sup>st</sup> century*, Scientific American, 265(3), S. 94-104, 1991. URL: <http://www.ubiq.com/hypertext/weiser/SciAmDraft3.html>.
- [2] P.A. Wagner, L.M. Hilty, P. Arnfalk, L. Erdmann, J. Goodman, "Experience with a System Dynamics Model in a Prospective Study on the Future Impact of ICT on Environmental Sustainability," In *Proceedings of the iEMSs 3<sup>rd</sup> Biennial Meeting "Summit on Environmental Modeling and Software,"* International Environmental Modeling and Software Society, Burlington, USA, July 2006.
- [3] ITRS, *International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors*, Executive Summary, 2007. URL: <http://www.itrs.net/Links/2007ITRS/ExecSum2007.pdf>.
- [4] P. Coskina, "Wafer Bumping for Wafer-level CSP's and Flip Chips using Stencil Printing Technology," in *Proceedings of 12<sup>th</sup> European Microelectronics and Packaging Conference and Exhibition- IMAPS EUROPE '99*, Harrogate, June 1999.
- [5] J. Sabatier, M. Aoun, A. Oustaloup, G. Gregoire, F. Ragot, and P. Roy, "Fractional System Identification for Lead Acid Battery State of Charge Estimation," *Journal of Signal Processing*, **86**, No 10, October 2006, pp. 2645 – 2657.
- [6] J. Yang, F. Lu, L.W. Kostiuk, and D.Y. Kwok, "Electrokinetic Microchannel Battery by Means of Electrokinetic and Microfluidic Phenomena," *Journal of Micromechanical and Microengineering*, **13**, 2003, pp. 963-970.
- [7] C. Eckert, "IT security: concept-procedure-minutes", 3<sup>rd</sup> Auflage, Oldenbourg-Verlag, 2004.
- [8] F. Stajano, *Security for Ubiquitous Computing*, John Wiley & Sons, 2007.
- [9] *The uIP TCP/IP Stack*, Swedish Institute of Computer Science. URL: <http://www.sics.se/~adam/uip/uip1.0-refman/>
- [10] H.U. Buhl, A. Huther, B. Reitiesner, Information Age Economy. 5, Internationale Tagung Wirtschaftsinformatik 2001, Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg.

- [11] BSI report, "BioFinger Study: Evaluation of Fingerprint Recognition Technologies", August 2004. URL: [http://www.bsi.bund.de/cln\\_174](http://www.bsi.bund.de/cln_174).
- [12] J. Bohn, F. Gartner, and H. Vogt, "Dependability Issues of Pervasive Computing in a Healthcare Environment", In *Proceedings of the 1<sup>st</sup> International Conference on Security in Pervasive Computing*, March 2002.
- [13] BSI short information for consumers, "Security in Information Technology". URL: [http://www.bsi.bund.de/cln\\_174.html](http://www.bsi.bund.de/cln_174.html).
- [14] Trusted Computing Group, TCG Specification Architecture Overview, Specification, Rev 1.2, April 2004. URL: <http://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org>.
- [15] Home Page of Austrian Citizen Card. URL: [http://www.buergerkarte.at/de/datenschutz/welche\\_daten.html](http://www.buergerkarte.at/de/datenschutz/welche_daten.html).
- [16] GLOBAL PLATFORM, "Concise Guide to Worldwide Implementations of Global Platform Technology, Internal report, February 2006.
- [17] M. Hoffman, S. Heikkinen, G. Hornung, H. Thuveesson, and C. Schnabel, "Privacy-enhanced Personalization in Ambient Environments," In *Proceedings of the 19<sup>th</sup> IEEE International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications*, September 2008, pp. 1-6.
- [18] International Civil Aviation Organization, "Biometrics Deployment of Machine Readable Travel Documents", Technical Report, Version 2.0, 2004.
- [19] International Civil Aviation Organization, PKI for machine readable travel documents offering ICC read-only access, version 1.1, 2004.
- [20] T. Finke and H. Kelter, "Radio Frequency Identification- Abhormoglichkeiten der Kommunikation zwischen Lesegerat und Transponder am Beispiel eines ISO 14443-System, Bundesamt fur Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik, Internal Technical report.
- [21] URL:<http://www.bsi.bund.de/fachthem/rfid/whitepaper.htm>