# Novel epiphytic root-fungus symbiosis in the Indo-Pacific seagrass *Thalassodendron ciliatum*

Martin Vohník ( ✉ vohnik@ibot.cas.cz )

Institute of Botany, Czech Academy of Sciences   https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8655-2708

Jiřina Josefiová

Institute of Botany, Czech Academy of Sciences

Research Article

## Abstract

Symbioses with fungi are important and ubiquitous on dry land but underexplored in the sea. As yet only one seagrass has been shown to form a specific root-fungus symbiosis that resembles those occurring in terrestrial plants, namely the Mediterranean *Posidonia oceanica* (Alismatales: Posidoniaceae) forming a dark septate (DS) endophytic association with *Posidoniomyces atricolor* (Pleosporales: Aigialaceae). Using stereomicroscopy, light and scanning electron microscopy, and DNA cloning, here we describe a novel root-fungus symbiosis in the Indo-Pacific seagrass *Thalassodendron ciliatum* (Alismatales: Cymodoceaceae). Similarly to *P. oceanica*, the mycobiont of *T. ciliatum* occurs more frequently in thinner roots that engage in nutrient uptake from the seabed and forms extensive hyphal mantles composed of DS hyphae on the root surface. Contrary to *P. oceanica*, the mycobiont occurs on the roots with root hairs and does not penetrate its host intraradically. While the cloning revealed a relatively rich spectrum of fungi, they were mostly parasites or saprobes and the identity of the mycobiont remains unknown. Symbioses of seagrasses with fungi are probably more frequent than previously thought, but their functioning and significance are unknown. Melanin present in DS hyphae slows down their decomposition and so is true for the colonized roots. Root symbioses with DS fungi may in this way contribute to blue carbon sequestration in seagrass meadows.

## Introduction

Seagrasses, "the whales of the plant world", are the only vascular plants that have returned to a fully submerged life in the marine environment. Their ancestors were among basal lineages of the brackish Alismatales that appeared more than 100 Mya, during the Cretaceous (Larkum et al., 2018). With ca. 70 described species, seagrasses represent a minor part of the total vascular plants' diversity, yet they play indispensable roles in nutrient cycling, maintaining coastal ecosystems' biodiversity and integrity, and blue carbon (= organic carbon sequestered in coastal and marine ecosystems) storage. They occur in coastal areas of all continents except Antarctica and their underwater meadows are among the most productive ecosystems on Earth, storing as much organic carbon per unit area as terrestrial forests (Fourqurean et al., 2012). In forests, most plants depend on the nutrient uptake through fungal hyphae (i.e., through mycorrhizal symbioses), plant necromass decomposition is to a large extent governed by fungi (Osono, 2007; Boddy and Watkinson, 1995; Read et al., 2004), and plants invest significant amounts of the photosynthetically fixed carbon to the underground mycelium of their mycorrhizal mycobionts (Hawkins et al., 2023). However, functionally speaking next to nothing is known about interactions between seagrasses and fungi, and how these contribute to the ecosystem services provided by seagrasses, including blue carbon storage.

Fungi are a diverse clade of eukaryotic organisms inhabiting almost all terrestrial, freshwater, and marine ecosystems. They represent a substantial part of the microbial diversity on Earth and play a key role in global biomass turnover and major food webs, comprising important predators, parasites, pathogens, and beneficial symbionts of many organisms. Fungi have interacted with plants long before terrestrialization and the resulting symbioses are among the oldest and most important associations on our planet (Naranjo-Ortiz and Gabaldón, 2019). Arguably the most significant and widespread terrestrial plant-fungus symbioses are mycorrhizae, lichens, and various foliar and root endophytic associations. Symbioses with fungal endophytes (= fungi colonizing plant tissues without causing damage) evolved as a part of the defense system against herbivores, pathogens, and drought stress, thus enhancing plant growth (Saikkonen et al., 1998; Arnold, 2007), and occur in most vascular plants. Mycorrhizae evolved as means of nutrient uptake, occur in most vascular land plants, and their predecessors probably facilitated plant terrestrialization (Selosse and Le Tacon, 1998; Brundrett, 2002). The most ancient and by far the most common mycorrhizal type is arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM), "the mother of plant root endosymbioses" (Parniske, 2008) occurring in ca. 74% of vascular plant species, incl. many freshwater, salt marsh, and mangrove plants (van der Heijden et al., 2015). On the other hand, while extant Alismatales comprise a mixture of non-mycorrhizal and AM families, the four seagrass families (Cymodoceaceae, Hydrocharitaceae, Posidoniaceae, and Zosteraceae) are regarded as non-mycorrhizal (Brundrett, 2017).

The absence of mycorrhizae in seagrasses is not surprising, as they can take up nutrients from the water column through the leaves and from the seabed through the non-mycorrhizal roots (Short and McRoy, 1984; Terrados and Williams, 1997). However, many marine ecosystems are oligotrophic, resulting in the leaf uptake being unable to cover all nutritional needs, and several seagrasses form organic seabed sediments storing large amounts of nutrients that are not directly accessible to non-mycorrhizal roots. The prime example is *Posidonia oceanica* (Posidoniaceae) dominating the mostly oligotrophic Mediterranean Sea (Powley et al., 2017) that forms "matte", an organo-mineral seabed sediment which can be several meters thick and hundreds to thousands of years old (Serrano et al., 2012), sometimes being referred to as soil (Piñeiro-Juncal et al., 2020). Indeed, matte in a way resembles peat and peatland ecosystems gave rise to a specific type of mycorrhizal symbiosis that is formed by fungal symbionts (= mycobionts) phylogenetically close to saprobic fungi (Fehrer et al., 2019; Rice and Currah, 2006). Intriguingly, also *P. oceanica* hosts a specific root-fungus symbiosis (Vohník et al., 2015) that is morphologically similar to the association formed by the dark septate endophytes (DSE) in the roots of most terrestrial plants (Jumpponen and Trappe, 1998). DSE are a miscellaneous group of mostly sterile ascomycetous mycobionts that in some cases facilitate host nutrient uptake (Usuki and Narisawa, 2007; Newsham, 1999), hence some authors include them among mycorrhizal fungi (Jumpponen, 2001). The symbiosis is formed by *Posidoniomyces atricolor* that represents an independent marine biotrophic lineage in the Aigialaceae family (Pleosporales), its closest relatives being plant-associated saprobes from marine, terrestrial, and freshwater habitats in Southeast Asia and Central America (Vohník et al., 2019).

Except *P. oceanica*, no other seagrass is known to form any similar root-fungus symbiosis. On the other hand, only a small fraction of seagrasses has been thoroughly examined for colonization by fungi, so one may wonder how many novel symbioses, perhaps formed by hitherto undescribed mycobiont lineages, await discovery. The factors favoring seagrass symbioses with fungi that improve nutrient uptake include seagrass species that produce high amounts of biomass (i.e., with high nutrient demands) and oligotrophic conditions with most mineral nutrients bound in recalcitrant (typically organic) substrates. In other words, they would be more likely to evolve in highly productive seagrasses occurring in oligotrophic waters with a seabed containing organic detritus (e.g., seagrass necromass). Thus, it may come as no surprise that we recently discovered an association formed by a dark septate (DS) mycobiont in the roots of *Thalassodendron ciliatum* (Cymodoceaceae), a highly productive seagrass in the mostly oligotrophic Red Sea that produces dense root mats accumulating organic matter (Lipkin, 1979). In this paper we describe its anatomy and morphology using stereomicroscopy, and light and scanning electron microscopy. In addition, we used DNA cloning followed by Sanger sequencing to search for the mycobiont forming this novel marine symbiosis.

## Materials and methods

## Sampling

*Thalassodendron ciliatum* (Forsk.) den Hartog (Alismatales, Cymodoceaceae) was sampled on February 25, 2019, at a site on the eastern coast of the Sinai Peninsula, between Nuweiba and Taba, close to Ras Shitan (= R. El Shetan, R. Shaitan, R. Shaitani, R. Shattein, etc.), Egypt (N29.1234, E34.6855; Fig. 1), where it grows in coralligenous sand enriched with (broken) shells of various marine organisms (Foraminifera, Mollusca, etc.). In a dense monospecific meadow (Fig. 2A), intact samples (roots + rhizomes + shoots + leaves; Fig. 2B) of healthy-looking specimens were collected using scuba diving in ca. 6 m depth at three points ca. 3 meters apart. The sampling depth was measured with a Freedom dive computer (Divesoft, Czechia), the underwater photos were taken with a Canon S100 camera in an underwater housing (Ikelite, USA). Upon delivery to the surface, the roots were separated, pooled, stored in 50% ethanol in seawater and transported to the laboratory where they were kept in a fridge at ca. 6°C until used.

## Microscopy

All roots were initially screened with an Olympus SZX12 stereomicroscope and divided into two categories, namely 1/ without any visible fungal colonization and 2/ with visible fungal colonization on the root surface. To find out whether the root hairs' presence is correlated with fungal colonization, fifty random root segments from both categories, each ca. 1 cm in length, were scored for the absence/presence of the root hairs. To find out whether the fungal colonization preferentially occurred in thinner (= terminal, younger) roots, the diameter of each root segment was measured at ten different points using QuickPHOTO MICRO ver. 3.2 (Promicra, Czechia), the average value was calculated in MS Excel, and the two categories were compared using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov two-sample test in STATISTICA 64 (Dell, USA). To screen possible intraradical fungal colonization, handmade longitudinal and transversal semithin sections of the roots from both categories were examined at high magnification (400×, 600×, and 1000×) with an Olympus BX60 microscope equipped with differential interference contrast. Microphotographs were taken with an Olympus DP70 camera and QuickPHOTO MICRO. In parallel, they were examined with a FEI Quanta 200 ESEM scanning electron microscope in the environmental mode at 275 Pa and – 12.5 to – 10°C. Photo-documentation was adjusted for clarity and contrast as needed and assembled into figures using Paint.net ver. 4.3.12 (dotPDN LLC, Rick Brewster, and contributors).

## DNA isolation, amplification, cloning, and sequencing

150 mg (fresh weight) of roots with visible superficial fungal colonization (Figs. 3A, B) were sonicated 1x 5 min in 50% ethanol and 10x 5 min in sterile Water for molecular biology (BioConcept, Switzerland) using an EMMI-05P ultrasonic bath (EMAG Technologies, Germany). Total DNA was extracted from the treated roots using a DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The ITS rDNA region was amplified using the ITS-1F + ITS-4 primer pair (Gardes and Bruns, 1993; White et al., 1990). The PCRs were performed in 25 μl reactions and contained 1x TopBio Plain PP Master Mix (TopBio, Czechia), each primer at 0.2 mM, 20 μg BSA, 1 mM MgCl2, and 25 ng of the isolated DNA. The cycling conditions were as follows: 5 min at 95°C followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s and 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min.

Prior to cloning, the PCR products were excised from 1% agarose gels and purified with a Zymoclean Gel DNA Recovery kit (Zymoresearch, USA). The gel-purified fragments were cloned using the TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions, but downscaled to half reactions. The colonies were transferred into 20 μl ddH20 and denatured at 95°C for 10 min. They served as templates for subsequent PCR amplifications by M13 forward and reverse primers (Invitrogen).

85 clones were sequenced at SEQme (Czechia) using the M13R primer (CAGGAAACAGCTATGACC). The obtained sequences were screened in Finch TV v. 1.4.0 (Geospiza, USA) and high-quality sequences were manually edited in the same software.

## Identification of clones and trophic modes of detected fungi

The edited sequences were aligned in Bioedit v. 7.1.8 (Hall, 1999) and clustered at 99% similarity into operational taxonomic units (OTU) in TOPALi (Biomathematiscs & Statistics Scotland). When more than one, sequences within an OTU were aligned and a representative sequence was chosen based on quality and length. Representative sequences of each OTU were subjected to BLASTn searches (Zhang et al., 2000) in GenBank at NCBI (Sayers et al., 2019) as described in (Vohník, 2020). Their phylogenetic background was checked in Blast Tree View (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/treeview/treeview.cgi). Each OTU was assigned a species hypothesis (SH) in UNITE (Nilsson et al., 2019) and its tentative trophic mode was searched in The Faces of Fungi (Jayasiri et al., 2015), FUNGuild (Nguyen et al., 2016), and BioLib.cz (https://www.biolib.cz/cz/main/) databases. Representative sequences of each OTU were deposited in GenBank under the accession numbers OR392720-53. Fungal taxonomy followed MycoBank (https://www.mycobank.org).

## Results

## Microscopy

The root color varied from yellow/ochre (the youngest roots) to black (the oldest roots) (Figs. 2B, 3A). The youngest roots typically possessed vigorous root hairs and these gradually disappeared with the root's age (Fig. 3A). The root surface of darker/older roots was often densely colonized by DS fungal hyphae that formed discontinuous hyphal mantles resembling the pseudoparenchymatous nets formed by the terrestrial DSE (Figs. 3B–D and 4A, B). These typically originated from individual hyphae growing more or less linearly on the roots surface but later starting to produce shorter isodiametric cells that radially spread around (Figs. 4A, B). The mantles often covered the basal parts of the root hairs (Figs. 4C–F).

Transversal sections through the roots revealed no intraradical hyphal colonization (Figs. 4E–G). The mantles typically consisted of a single hyphal layer and were often accompanied by an unidentified substance (possibly of fungal origin) occurring between their abaxial surface and the root's surface (Fig. 4G).

When the mantle detached from the root, it left an imprint in the substance (Figs. 4H&K). The rhizodermal cells below the mantles were filled with a brownish substance (Fig. 4G), resembling the tannin cells formed in many ectomycorrhizae (Agerer, 1987).

Individual surface DS hyphae not producing mantles could be seen irrespectively of the roots age and the presence/absence of the root hairs (Fig. 5A). Some older roots, typically without visible DS fungal colonization and already without root hairs, had some of their rhizodermal cells filled with light- to dark brown structures of varied shapes (Figs. 5B–G) and these are interpreted here as polyphenolic substances occurring in the vacuoles of the tannin cells, similar to those occurring in the Mediterranean endemic seagrass *P. oceanica* (Lefebvre et al., 2023).

The root hairs' presence was not correlated with visible DS fungal colonization as all screened roots in both categories (colonized vs. non-colonized) possessed root hairs, either intact or broken at their bases. The colonized roots (349.5 ± 54.2 μm, mean ± SD) had significantly smaller diameter (p < 0.001) than the non-colonized roots (505 ± 266.5 μm).

The tips of some root hairs had a globular shape (Fig. 5H) and in rare cases, they remotely resembled undeveloped terminal swellings previously reported in adhesive root hairs of *P. oceanica* (Kolátková and Vohník, 2019).

## Identification of clones and trophic modes of detected fungi

The sequencing yielded 80 high-quality sequences and after editing, they were clustered into 34 OTU (Table 1). These belonged to Ascomycota (20 OTU/39 sequences), Basidiomycota (13/40), and Spermatophyta (1/1). In Ascomycota, Helotiales comprised 5 OTU/8 sequences, followed by Pleosporales (4/8), Hypocreales (3/12), Cladosporales (3/5), Dothideales (2/3), Eurotiales (1/1), and Serinales (1/1). In Basidiomycota, Malasseziales comprised 5 OTU/26 sequences, followed by Polyporales (3/4), Tremellales (1/2), Russulales (1/2), and Agaricales (1/2). One ascomycetous and two basidiomycetous OTU could not be identified below the phylum level. The Spermatophyta OTU represented *T. ciliatum* (Table 1).

Table 1
Fungal and plant operational taxonomic units detected in this study

| OTU (total seqs) | GenBank acc. # | Identity[1] | Taxonomy[2] | SH in UNITE[3] | FUNGuild trophic mode[4] | FUNGuild confidence ranking[4] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **1** (12) | OR392720 | *Malassezia restricta* | Basidiomycota/Malasseziales | SH1102553.09FU *Malassezia restricta* | pathotroph | probable |
| **2** (9) | OR392721 | *Malassezia* sp. | Basidiomycota/Malasseziales | - | pathotroph-saprotroph (genus) | probable |
| **3** (7) | OR392722 | *Fusarium poae* | Ascomycota/Hypocreales | - | pathotroph | probable |
| **4** (3) | OR392723 | *Trichoderma* sp. | Ascomycota/Hypocreales | SH1066571.09FU *Trichoderma erinaceum* | pathotroph-saprotroph-symbiotroph (genus) | probable |
| **5** (3) | OR392724 | Helotiales sp. | Ascomycota/Helotiales | - | - | - |
| **6** (3) | OR392725 | Pleosporales sp. | Ascomycota/Pleosporales | - | - | - |
| **7** (3) | OR392726 | Pleosporales sp. | Ascomycota/Pleosporales | - | - | - |
| **8** (3) | OR392727 | Tremellomycetes sp. | Basidiomycota | - | - | - |
| **9** (3) | OR392728 | *Malassezia* sp. | Basidiomycota/Malasseziales | SH1102553.09FU *Malassezia restricta* | pathotroph-saprotroph (genus) | probable |
| **10** (2) | OR392729 | *Aureobasidium pullulans* | Ascomycota/Dothideales | SH1240491.09FU *Aureobasidium pullulans* | pathotroph-symbiotroph | possible |
| **11** (2) | OR392730 | *Cladosporium* sp. | Ascomycota/Cladosporiales | SH1309305.09FU *Cladosporium herbarum* | - | - |
| **12** (2) | OR392731 | *Dioszegia crocea* | Basidiomycota/Tremellales | - | - | - |
| **13** (2) | OR392732 | *Daedaleopsis confragosa* | Basidiomycota/Polyporales | SH1248911.09FU *Daedaleopsis confragosa* | pathotroph | probable |
| **14** (2) | OR392733 | *Heterobasidion* sp. | Basidiomycota/Russulales | SH1236118.09FU *Heterobasidion annosum* | saprotroph (genus) | highly probable |
| **15** (2) | OR392734 | *Strobilurus* sp. | Basidiomycota/Agaricales | SH1134327.09FU *Strobilurus esculentus* | saprotroph (genus) | probable |
| **16** (2) | OR392735 | *Trichoderma* sp. | Ascomycota/Hypocreales | SH1066571.09FU *Trichoderma erinaceum* | pathotroph-saprotroph-symbiotroph (genus) | probable |
| **17** (2) | OR392736 | *Cladosporium* sp. | Ascomycota/Cladosporiales | SH1309305.09FU *Cladosporium herbarum* | - | |
| **18** (2) | OR392737 | *Crocicreas gramineum* | Ascomycota/Helotiales | - | saprotroph (genus) | probable |
| **19** (1) | OR392738 | *Penicillium* sp. | Ascomycota/Eurotiales | SH0884485.09FU *Penicillium* | saprotroph (genus) | highly probable |
| **20** (1) | OR392739 | Helotiales sp. | Ascomycota/Helotiales | SH0977021.09FU Helotiales | - | - |
| **21** (1) | OR392740 | *Tetracladium maxilliforme* | Ascomycota/Helotiales | - | saprotroph (genus) | probable |
| **22** (1) | OR392741 | *Tetracladium* sp. | Ascomycota/Helotiales | - | saprotroph (genus) | probable |
| **23** (1) | OR392742 | Ascomycota sp. | Ascomycota | - | - | - |
| **24** (1) | OR392743 | *Cladosporium* sp. | Ascomycota/Cladosporiales | SH1309305.09FU *Cladosporium* | - | - |

OTU = Operational Taxonomic Unit, SH = Species Hypothesis in the UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi (https://unite.ut.ee/).

[1]Based on BLAST searches in GenBank at NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) and BLAST Tree View as described in Materials and Methods.

[2]Follows MycoBank (https://www.mycobank.org/) except OTU 32 that follows BioLib (https://www.biolib.cz/cz/main/).

[3]Shown only when sequence similarity ≥ 97%.

[4]Follows FUNGuild (http://www.funguild.org/) at species or genus level (based on availability).

| OTU (total seqs) | GenBank acc. # | Identity[1] | Taxonomy[2] | SH in UNITE[3] | FUNGuild trophic mode[4] | FUNGuild confidence ranking[4] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **25** (1) | OR392744 | *Stagonospora* sp. | Ascomycota/Pleosporales | - | pathotroph (genus) | probable |
| **26** (1) | OR392745 | *Pyrenochaetopsis* sp. | Ascomycota/Pleosporales | - | pathotroph-saprotroph-symbiotroph (genus) | possible |
| **27** (1) | OR392746 | *Malassezia* sp. | Basidiomycota/Malasseziales | - | pathotroph-saprotroph (genus) | probable |
| **28** (1) | OR392747 | *Trametes versicolor* | Basidiomycota/Polyporales | SH1122493.09FU *Trametes versicolor* | saprotroph (genus) | highly probable |
| **29** (1) | OR392748 | *Debaryomyces* sp. | Ascomycota/Serinales | SH1029444.09FU *Debaryomyces* | saprotroph (genus) | highly probable |
| **30** (1) | OR392749 | *Lentinus brumalis* | Basidiomycota/Polyporales | SH1248739.09FU *Lentinus brumalis* | saprotroph (genus) | probable |
| **31** (1) | OR392750 | Basidiomycetes sp. | Basidiomycota | - | - | - |
| **32** (1) | OR392751 | *Thalassodendron ciliatum* | Alismatales/Cymodoceaceae | - | (photoautotroph) | - |
| **33** (1) | OR392752 | *Malassezia* sp. | Basidiomycota/Malasseziales | SH1102553.09FU *Malassezia restricta* | pathotroph-saprotroph (genus) | probable |
| **34** (1) | OR392753 | *Aureobasidium pullulans* | Ascomycota/Dothideales | SH1240491.09FU *Aureobasidium pullulans* | pathotroph-symbiotroph | possible |
| OTU = Operational Taxonomic Unit, SH = Species Hypothesis in the UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi (https://unite.ut.ee/). | | | | | | |
| [1]Based on BLAST searches in GenBank at NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) and BLAST Tree View as described in Materials and Methods. | | | | | | |
| [2]Follows MycoBank (https://www.mycobank.org/) except OTU 32 that follows BioLib (https://www.biolib.cz/cz/main/). | | | | | | |
| [3]Shown only when sequence similarity ≥ 97%. | | | | | | |
| [4]Follows FUNGuild (http://www.funguild.org/) at species or genus level (based on availability). | | | | | | |

Because of their low taxonomic resolution, a trophic mode could not be attributed to 11 fungal OTU (Table 1). Most of the remaining fungal OTU were either saprotrophs (incl. wood saprobes) or pathotrophs (animal, human, or plant pathogens). None of the detected fungi were related to known mycorrhizal or DSE fungi, including Pos. atricolor, the dominant DSE of *P. oceanica*.

# Discussion

Prior to this study, only one seagrass has been reported to form a specific root-fungus symbiosis resembling those commonly occurring on dry land, and our observations thus extend the distribution and host taxonomic range of these associations for the NE Red Sea and another species in another seagrass family, respectively. However, unlike *P. oceanica* that is endemic to the Mediterranean, *T. ciliatum* is distributed across the Indo-Pacific (Green and Short, 2003), making its symbiosis a potentially widespread phenomenon. The same is true for the more speciose Cymodoceaceae vs. Posidoniaceae that occur in the Caribbean, NW Africa, the Mediterranean, and most of the Indo-Pacific vs. being limited to the Mediterranean and SW to SE Australia (Angiosperm Phylogeny Website 2023). On the other hand, it is not known whether other members of Cymodoceaceae and Posidoniaceae form similar root-fungus symbioses. For example, despite that *Cymodocea nodosa* often co-occurs with *P. oceanica* and belongs to the same family as *T. ciliatum*, it does not seem to form any specific root-fungus symbiosis (Vohník et al., 2015).

# Root-fungus symbioses in T. ciliatum and P. oceanica

In addition to the differences in their distribution and taxonomy as well as anatomy and morphology of their roots, *T. ciliatum* and *P. oceanica* to some extent differ in anatomy and morphology of their root-fungus symbioses (Table 2). The most surprising difference is the absence of any visible intraradical hyphae in *T. ciliatum*, because in *P. oceanica* fungal hyphae often vigorously develop within the hypodermis (Vohník et al., 2015, 2019), forming the intracellular microsclerotia characteristic of DSE (e.g., Lukešová et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2001). In addition, while in *P. oceanica* fungal hyphae infrequently colonize the rhizodermal cells, these are fungus-free and filled with what appears as polyphenolic substances in *T. ciliatum* (cf. Cariello et al., 1979; McMillan, 1984). Lastly, in *T. ciliatum* the DS fungal mantles cover the basal parts of the root hairs, a trait to our knowledge unknown in terrestrial roots, while these are typically absent in *P. oceanica* roots colonized by *Pos. atricolor* (Borovec and Vohník, 2018). On the other hand, the mycobionts of both seagrasses form extensive hyphal mantles on the root surface (Vohník, 2022; Vohník et al., 2017), this study) that are morphologically identical to those formed by DSE and certain ectomycorrhizal (EcM) fungi on the roots of compatible terrestrial plants (e.g., Kaldorf et al., 2004).

| Seagrass species (family in Alismatales) | Distribution | Hypodermis | Root hairs | Tannin cells in the roots | Main fungal partner | Other fungal partners | Surface hyphal mantles | Intraradical colonization | Fun... inte... with... hair... |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Thalassodendron ciliatum* (Cymodoceaceae) | Indo-Pacific | no | yes, often abundant in adults | yes (in rhizodermis) | unknown | see Table 1 | yes | no | den... hyp... mar... cov... the ... hair... bas... |
| *Posidonia oceanica* (Posidoniaceae) | Mediterranean Sea (endemic, remaining *Posidonia* species in southern Australia) | yes | abundant in seedlings, mostly absent in adults | no | *Posidoniomyces atricolor* (Aigialaceae, Pleosporales) | lulworthioid fungi (Lulworthiales), other marine fungi (see Introduction for references) | yes | yes (intracellular microsclerotia in hypodermis, intracellular hyphae in rhizodermis, intercellular hyphae in rhizodermis and hypodermis) | neg... corr... with... root... pres... |

# Fungal partners in T. ciliatum and P. oceanica

It has been repeatedly shown that *Pos. atricolor* mycelium develops from the intracellular microsclerotia occurring in the hypodermis of *P. oceanica* (Vohník et al., 2016, 2019; Vohník, 2021, 2022) and *Pos. atricolor* has been detected in the terminal roots of *P. oceanica* adults at every sampled locality in the whole N Mediterranean (M. Vohník, unpublished data). At the same time, *Pos. atricolor* has not been detected in any other host or substrate nor by any other research team. In addition, the mycobiota of *P. oceanica* roots typically comprises lulworthioid fungi (Lulworthiales) (Torta et al., 2022; Poli et al., 2021; Vohník et al., 2016, 2017) but their functioning is unclear (Vohník, 2022). To our surprise, none of these fungi nor their relatives were detected in the investigated *T. ciliatum* roots. This might be due to their genuine absence, the different detection methods used in this (cloning) and the previous (culturing and high-throughput sequencing) studies, or incompatibility with the primers used in this study (cf. (Vohník et al., 2012).

To our knowledge, this is the first report on the mycobiota associated with the roots of *T. ciliatum*. In general, the most surprising results were the relatively high incidence of basidiomycetes and the dominance of saprotrophs and pathotrophs, both to a large extent due to the high incidence of *Malassezia* spp. (Table 1). *Malassezia* are ecologically versatile yeasts known from both terrestrial and marine environments and they occur on such diverse substrates as corals, deep-sea vents, and mammal skin (e.g., Amend, 2014). They are commensals, pathogens, and saprobes and only rarely form hyphae (e.g., Saadatzadeh et al., 2001). It is thus not probable that they form the DS hyphal mantles characteristic of the novel root-fungus symbiosis reported here. Similarly, none of the six non-*Malassezia* OTU with ≥ 3 sequences seem like probable candidates for the observed colonization pattern. For example, *Fusarium poae* is a known plant pathogen (e.g., Stenglein, 2009), *Trichoderma* are mycoparasites, saprobes, and pathogens (e.g., Williams et al., 2003) and none of them typically produce melanized hyphae (Podgórska-Kryszczuk et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2016).

Four OTU belonged to Pleosporales but none to Aigialaceae, i.e., the same family as *Pos. atricolor*. OTU-6/Pleosporales sp. grouped with *Stagonospora* sp. (GenBank OM337558, Massarinaceae), *Phaeosphaeriopsis* sp. (HQ630983, Phaeosphaeriaceae) obtained from *Miscanthus giganteus* (Poales: Poaceae) from Illinois, USA (Shrestha et al., 2011), and *Didymocyrtis cladoniicola* (LT796877, Phaeosphaeriaceae) from USA, all with > 99% sequence similarity. *Stagonospora* are probable plant pathogens (e.g., Solomon et al., 2006), Phaeosphaeriaceae are pathogenic, saprobic, or hyperparasitic mostly on monocotyledons and especially Poaceae (Hyde et al., 2013)d *cladoniicola* is a probable lichen parasite (Lawrey and Diederich, 2018). While no GenBank entry displayed > 90% sequence similarity with OTU-7/Pleosporales sp., OTU 25 belongs to *Stagonospora* sp. and OTU 26 to *Pyrenochaetopsis* sp. (Pyrenochaetopsidaceae), displaying 99.4% sequence similarity with *Pyrenochaetopsis* sp. PG293 (AB916515) from a bird feather from Svalbard (Singh et al., 2016). *Pyrenochaetopsis* comprises commensals, plant endophytes and pathogens, and saprobes occurring in animals, humans, plants, soil, and water (e.g., Špetík et al., 2021).

When searching for the mycobiont forming the novel symbiosis one should not discriminate fungi related to known saprobes and/or pathogens. For example, *Pos. atricolor* represents the only biotrophic lineage within the otherwise saprobic Aigialaceae (Vohník et al., 2019; Suetrong et al., 2009), certain mycorrhizal fungi also inhabit the soil and wood as saprobes (Rice and Currah, 2006; Fehrer et al., 2019; Kolařík and Vohník, 2018; Vohník and Réblová, 2023), etc. Likewise, not all fungi belonging to genera, families, and orders comprising widespread plant endophytes necessarily share this trait, an excellent example being Helotiales (e.g., Zijlstra et al., 2005). In our study, five OTU belonged to Helotiales: OTU 5 and 18 displayed affinities to *Crocicreas gramineum* (Helotiaceae) which is a saprobe on plant debris and leaves, especially on Poaceae (Domínguez 2017). OTU 20 clustered with several *Lemonniera* sp. (Discinellaceae) that are saprotrophs on dead plant material (Ekanayaka et al., 2019). Finally, OTU 21 and 22 belonged to *Tetracladium* (Helotiales inc. sed.) which comprises aquatic hyphomycetes sometimes colonizing plant roots as endophytes (Selosse et al., 2008). Under these circumstances, we cannot be sure if we detected the mycobiont forming the novel symbiosis nor what is its taxonomy. Nevertheless, despite the limited sampling our study reveals a relatively high fungal diversity associated with the roots of a common Indo-Pacific seagrass that begs further investigation, a situation similar to many freshwater plants (e.g., Kohout et al., 2012).

# Functioning of DS fungal associations in seagrasses

There is an ongoing debate about the role of DSE in plant ecology and physiology and it seems that they can be beneficial, neutral, or detrimental associates of terrestrial plants, depending on the phytobiont and mycobiont taxonomy and ontogeny as well as a wide array of environmental conditions (Newsham, 2011; Reininger and Sieber, 2012; Usuki and Narisawa, 2007; Vohník et al., 2003; Mayerhofer et al., 2013). On the other hand, virtually nothing is known about the functioning of DSE/DS mycobionts in seagrasses and changing this will require manipulative monoxenic inoculation experiments, isotopic studies, and genome analyses. In *P. oceanica*, there is an ontogenetic shift from seedlings whose roots possess dense root hairs but lack the DSE symbiosis to adults mostly without root hairs but regularly forming the DSE symbiosis, which is similar to non-mycorrhizal vs. EcM roots (i.e., those colonized by EcM fungi) of EcM plants (Borovec and Vohník, 2018). However, it is unknown whether this shift is directly related to *Pos. atricolor* and in *T. ciliatum*, the mycobiont's presence does not seem to be in any relationship with the presence of the root hairs.

Although indirect, this study provides two important hints on the functioning of the novel symbiosis in *T. ciliatum*. First, the observation that the hyphal mantles stay on the root surface without visible intraradical colonization suggests that the mycobiont lives as a fungal epiphyte. Epiphytism in fungi is an ancient widespread trait that has evolved independently in several ascomycetous lineages (Hongsanan et al., 2016) but typically concerns plant aboveground organs, especially the leaves, and to our knowledge has never been reported from the roots. While it is unclear whether any parallels can be drawn between terrestrial leaf and marine root fungal epiphytes, they might protect the roots from bacterial, fungal, and viral pathogens, damage caused by herbivores, osmotic stress, etc. In this context, it is interesting to note that older roots typically without fungal colonization had their rhizodermal cells filled with light- to dark brown structures of varied shapes, possibly formed by polyphenolic substances that protect the roots from the stresses listed above (Kumar et al., 2020). Since these were less intense in the colonized roots, one might hypothesize that the hyphal mantles take over their protection role, eventually saving the seagrass the energy and metabolites necessary to produce these substances. Second, the DS fungal colonization was more frequent in thinner terminal roots that are typically the sites of nutrient uptake, indicating a possible role of the mycobiont in the seagrass nutrition, as already hypothesized for *Pos. atricolor* in the dominant Mediterranean seagrass *P. oceanica* (Vohník et al., 2015). However, further research is needed to test these hypotheses.

## Conclusions

Our results indicate that specific root-fungus symbioses in seagrasses might be more frequent than previously thought, being so far confirmed in two highly productive seagrasses from two different families inhabiting two different regions. While their functioning and significance are currently unknown, they appear in healthy-looking terminal roots (i.e., the sites of the nutrient uptake from the seabed) of healthy-looking hosts. The two so far known symbioses are formed by mycobionts with relatively thick melanized hyphae that produce mantles on the root surface that might confer protection against herbivores and pathogens. Melanin slows down decomposition of the fungal mycelium and hence also the colonized terrestrial roots (Langley et al., 2006). If similar is true for some seagrasses (e.g., *P. oceanica* and *T. ciliatum*), their root-symbiotic fungi would significantly contribute to the accumulation and stabilization of blue carbon buried in the seabed below the respective seagrass meadows.

## Declarations

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Data Availability Statement

Sequences generated in this study are available in GenBank at NCBI under the accession numbers OR392720-53

# References

1. Agerer, R.: Colour Atlas of Ectomycorrhizae, Einhorn Verlag, Schwäbisch Gmünd, 1987.

2. Amend, A.: From Dandruff to Deep-Sea Vents: *Malassezia*-like Fungi Are Ecologically Hyper-diverse, PLoS Pathog., 10, e1004277, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004277, 2014.

3. Angiosperm Phylogeny Website (2023) http://www.mobot.org/MOBOT/research/APweb/welcome.html, accessed 30/8/2023.

4. Arnold, A. E.: Understanding the diversity of foliar endophytic fungi: progress, challenges, and frontiers, Fungal Biol. Rev., 21, 51–66, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbr.2007.05.003, 2007.

5. Boddy, L. and Watkinson, S. C.: Wood decomposition, higher fungi, and their role in nutrient redistribution, Can. J. Bot., 73, 1377–1383, https://doi.org/10.1139/b95-400, 1995.

6. Borovec, O. and Vohník, M.: Ontogenetic transition from specialized root hairs to specific root-fungus symbiosis in the dominant Mediterranean seagrass *Posidonia oceanica*, Sci. Rep., 8, 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-28989-4, 2018.

7. Brundrett, M. C.: Coevolution of roots and mycorrhizas of land plants, New Phytol., 154, 275–304, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00397.x, 2002.

8. Brundrett, M. C.: Global Diversity and Importance of Mycorrhizal and Nonmycorrhizal Plants, in: Biogeography of Mycorrhizal Symbiosis, edited by: Tedersoo, L., Springer International Publishing, 533–556, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-56363-3_21, 2017.

9. Cariello, L., Zanetti, L., and De Stefano, S.: *Posidonia* ecosystem – V. Phenolic compounds from marine phanerogames, *Cymodocea nodosa* and *Posidonia oceanica*, Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B, Biochem. Mol. Biol., 62B, 159–161, https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-0491(79)90304-3, 1979.

10. Domínguez ER (2017) *Crocicreas gramineum* var. *gramineum*. https://www.centrodeestudiosmicologicosasturianos.org/?p=725, accessed 2/9/2023.

11. Ekanayaka, A., Hyde, K., Gentekaki, E., McKenzie, E., Zhao, Q., Bulgakov, T., and Camporesi, E.: Preliminary classification of Leotiomycetes, Mycosphere, 10, 310–489, https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/10/1/7, 2019.

12. Fehrer, J., Réblová, M., Bambasová, V., and Vohník, M.: The root-symbiotic *Rhizoscyphus ericae* aggregate and *Hyaloscypha* (Leotiomycetes) are congeneric: Phylogenetic and experimental evidence, Stud. Mycol., 92, 195–225, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simyco.2018.10.004, 2019.

13. Fourqurean, J. W., Duarte, C. M., Kennedy, H., Marbà, N., Holmer, M., Mateo, M. A., Apostolaki, E. T., Kendrick, G. A., Krause-Jensen, D., McGlathery, K. J., and Serrano, O.: Seagrass ecosystems as a globally significant carbon stock, Nat. Geosci., 5, 505–509, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1477, 2012.

14. Gardes, M. and Bruns, T. D.: ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes - application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts, Mol. Ecol., 2, 113–118, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.1993.tb00005.x, 1993.

15. Green, E. P. and Short, F. T.: World atlas of seagrasses, University of California Press, USA, 2003.

16. Hall, T. A.: BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT., Nucleic Acids Symp Ser, 41, 95–98, 1999.

17. Hawkins, H.-J., Cargill, R. I. M., van Nuland, M. E., Hagen, S. C., Field, K. J., Sheldrake, M., Soudzilovskaia, N. A., and Kiers, E. T.: Mycorrhizal mycelium as a global carbon pool. Current Biol., 33, R560–R573, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2023.02.027, 2023.

18. van der Heijden, M. G. A., Martin, F. M., Selosse, M. A., and Sanders, I. R.: Mycorrhizal ecology and evolution: The past, the present, and the future, New Phytol., 205, 1406–1423, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13288, 2015.

19. Hongsanan, S., Sánchez-Ramírez, S., Crous, P. W., Ariyawansa, H. A., Zhao, R. L., and Hyde, K. D.: The evolution of fungal epiphytes, Mycosphere, 7, 1690–1712, https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/7/11/6, 2016.

20. Hyde, K. D., Jones, E. B. G., Liu, J.-K., Ariyawansa, H., Boehm, E., Boonmee, S., Braun, U., Chomnunti, P., Crous, P. W., Dai, D.-Q., Diederich, P., Dissanayake, A., Doilom, M., Doveri, F., Hongsanan, S., Jayawardena, R., Lawrey, J. D., Li, Y.-M., Liu, Y.-X., Lücking, R., Monkai, J., Muggia, L., Nelsen, M. P., Pang, K.-L., Phookamsak, R., Senanayake, I. C., Shearer, C. A., Suetrong, S., Tanaka, K., Thambugala, K. M., Wijayawardene, N. N., Wikee, S., Wu, H.-X., Zhang, Y., Aguirre-Hudson, B., Alias, S. A., Aptroot, A., Bahkali, A. H., Bezerra, J. L., Bhat, D. J., Camporesi, E., Chukeatirote, E., Gueidan, C., Hawksworth, D. L., Hirayama, K., De Hoog, S., Kang, J.-C., Knudsen, K., Li, W.-J., Li, X.-H., Liu, Z.-Y., Mapook, A., McKenzie, E. H. C., Miller, A. N., Mortimer, P. E., Phillips, A. J. L., Raja, H. A., Scheuer, C., Schumm, F., Taylor, J. E., Tian, Q., Tibpromma, S., Wanasinghe, D. N., Wang, Y., Xu, J.-C., Yacharoen, S., Yan, J.-Y., and Zhang, M.: Families of Dothideomycetes, Fungal Divers., 63, 1–313, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-013-0263-4, 2013.

21. Jayasiri, S. C., Hyde, K. D., Ariyawansa, H. A., Bhat, J., Buyck, B., Cai, L., Dai, Y.-C., Abd-Elsalam, K. A., Ertz, D., Hidayat, I., Jeewon, R., Jones, E. B. G., Bahkali, A. H., Karunarathna, S. C., Liu, J.-K., Luangsa-ard, J. J., Lumbsch, H. T., Maharachchikumbura, S. S. N., McKenzie, E. H. C., Moncalvo, J.-M., Ghobad-Nejhad, M., Nilsson, H., Pang, K.-L., Pereira, O. L., Phillips, A. J. L., Raspé, O., Rollins, A. W., Romero, A. I., Etayo, J., Selçuk, F., Stephenson, S. L., Suetrong, S., Taylor, J. E., Tsui, C. K. M., Vizzini, A., Abdel-Wahab, M. A., Wen, T.-C., Boonmee, S., Dai, D. Q., Daranagama, D. A., Dissanayake, A. J., Ekanayaka, A. H., Fryar, S. C., Hongsanan, S., Jayawardena, R. S., Li, W.-J., Perera, R. H., Phookamsak, R., de Silva, N. I., Thambugala, K. M., Tian, Q., Wijayawardene, N. N., Zhao, R.-L., Zhao, Q., Kang, J.-C., and Promputtha, I.: The Faces of Fungi database: fungal names linked with morphology, phylogeny and human impacts, Fungal Divers., 74, 3–18, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-015-0351-8, 2015.

22. Jumpponen, A.: Dark septate endophytes - Are they mycorrhizal?, Mycorrhiza, 11, 207–211, https://doi.org/10.1007/s005720100112, 2001.

23. Jumpponen, A. and Trappe, J. M.: Dark septate endophytes: a review of facultative biotrophic root-colonizing fungi, New Phytol., 140, 295–310, 1998.

24. Kaldorf, M., Renker, C., Fladung, M., and Buscot, F.: Characterization and spatial distribution of ectomycorrhizas colonizing aspen clones released in an experimental field, Mycorrhiza, 14, 295–306, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-003-0266-1, 2004.

25. Kohout, P., Sýkorová, Z., Čtvrtlíková, M., Rydlová, J., Suda, J., Vohník, M., and Sudová, R.: Surprising spectra of root-associated fungi in submerged aquatic plants, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 80, 216–235, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01291.x, 2012.

26. Kolařík, M. and Vohník, M.: When the ribosomal DNA does not tell the truth: The case of the taxonomic position of *Kurtia argillacea*, an ericoid mycorrhizal fungus residing among Hymenochaetales, Fungal Biol., 122, 1–18, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2017.09.006, 2018.

27. Kolátková, V. and Vohník, M.: Adaptive traits in the seagrass *Posidonia oceanica*: Root hairs with spiral cell walls, not spiral root hairs, Aquat. Bot., 155, 52–53, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2018.11.013, 2019.

28. Kumar, S., Abedin, M. M., Singh, A. K., and Das, S.: Role of Phenolic Compounds in Plant-Defensive Mechanisms, in: Plant Phenolics in Sustainable Agriculture, edited by: Lone, R., Shuab, R., and Kamili, A., Springer Singapore, Singapore, 517–532, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-4890-1_22, 2020.

29. Langley, J. A., Chapman, S. K., and Hungate, B. A.: Ectomycorrhizal colonization slows root decomposition: the post-mortem fungal legacy, Ecol. Letters, 9, 955–959, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00948.x, 2006.

30. Larkum, A. W. D., Waycott, M., and Conran, J. G.: Evolution and Biogeography of Seagrasses, in: Seagrasses of Australia, edited by: Larkum, A. W. D., Kendrick, G. A., and Ralph, P. J., Springer International Publishing, Cham, 3–29, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-71354-0_1, 2018.

31. Lawrey, J. D. & P. Diederich. 2018. Lichenicolous fungi: worldwide checklist, including isolated cultures and sequences available. http://www.lichenicolous.net, accessed 1/9/2023.

32. Lefebvre, L., Compère, P., and Gobert, S.: The formation of aegagropiles from the Mediterranean seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* (L.) Delile (1813): plant tissue sources and colonisation by melanised fungal mycelium, Mar. Biol., 170, 19, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-022-04166-0, 2023.

33. Lipkin, Y.: Quantitative aspects of seagrass communities, particularly of those dominated by *Halophila stipulacea*, in Sinai (Northern Red Sea), Aquat. Bot., 7, 119–128, https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3770(79)90016-0, 1979.

34. Lukešová, T., Kohout, P., Větrovský, T., and Vohník, M.: The potential of dark septate endophytes to form root symbioses with ectomycorrhizal and ericoid mycorrhizal middle european forest plants, PLoS One, 10, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124752, 2015.

35. Mayerhofer, M. S., Kernaghan, G., and Harper, K. A.: The effects of fungal root endophytes on plant growth: A meta-analysis, Mycorrhiza, 23, 119–128, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-012-0456-9, 2013.

36. McMillan, C.: The condensed tannins (proanthocyanidins) in seagrasses, Aquat. Bot., 20, 351–357, https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3770(84)90099-8, 1984.

37. Naranjo-Ortiz, M. A. and Gabaldón, T.: Fungal evolution: major ecological adaptations and evolutionary transitions, Biol. Rev., 94, 1443–1476, https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12510, 2019.

38. Newsham, K. K.: *Phialophora graminicola*, a dark septate fungus, is a beneficial associate of the grass *Vulpia ciliata* ssp. *ambigua*, New Phytol., 144, 517–524, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.1999.00537.x, 1999.

39. Newsham, K. K.: A meta-analysis of plant responses to dark septate root endophytes, New Phytol., 190, 783–793, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03611.x, 2011.

40. Nguyen, N. H., Song, Z., Bates, S. T., Branco, S., Tedersoo, L., Menke, J., Schilling, J. S., and Kennedy, P. G.: FUNGuild: An open annotation tool for parsing fungal community datasets by ecological guild, Fungal Ecol., 20, 241–248, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funeco.2015.06.006, 2016.

41. Nilsson, R. H., Larsson, K.-H., Taylor, A. F. S., Bengtsson-Palme, J., Jeppesen, T. S., Schigel, D., Kennedy, P., Picard, K., Glöckner, F. O., Tedersoo, L., Saar, I., Kõljalg, U., and Abarenkov, K.: The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi: handling dark taxa and parallel taxonomic classifications, Nucleic Acids Res., 47, D259–D264, https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1022, 2019.

42. Osono, T.: Ecology of ligninolytic fungi associated with leaf litter decomposition, Ecol. Res., 22, 955–974, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11284-007-0390-z, 2007.

43. Parniske, M.: Arbuscular mycorrhiza: the mother of plant root endosymbioses, Nat. Rev. Microbiol., 6, 763–775, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1987, 2008.

44. Piñeiro-Juncal, N., Leiva-Dueñas, C., Serrano, O., Mateo, M. Á., and Martínez-Cortízas, A.: Pedogenic Processes in a *Posidonia oceanica* Mat, Soil Syst., 4, 18, https://doi.org/10.3390/soilsystems4020018, 2020.

45. Podgórska-Kryszczuk, I., Solarska, E., and Kordowska-Wiater, M.: Biological Control of *Fusarium culmorum*, *Fusarium graminearum* and *Fusarium poae* by Antagonistic Yeasts, Pathogens, 11, 86, https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens11010086, 2022.

46. Poli, A., Prigione, V., Bovio, E., Perugini, I., and Varese, G. C.: Insights on Lulworthiales Inhabiting the Mediterranean Sea and Description of Three Novel Species of the Genus *Paralulworthia*, J. Fungi, 7, 940, https://doi.org/10.3390/jof7110940, 2021.

47. Powley, H. R., Cappellen, P. Van, and Krom, M. D.: Nutrient Cycling in the Mediterranean Sea: The Key to Understanding How the Unique Marine Ecosystem Functions and Responds to Anthropogenic Pressures, in: Mediterranean Identities - Environment, Society, Culture, InTech, 48–77, https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.70878, 2017.

48. Read, D. J., Leake, J. R., and Perez-Moreno, J.: Mycorrhizal fungi as drivers of ecosystem processes in heathland and boreal forest biomes, Can. J. Bot., 82, 1243–1263, https://doi.org/10.1139/B04-123, 2004.

49. Reininger, V. and Sieber, T. N.: Mycorrhiza reduces adverse effects of dark septate endophytes (DSE) on growth of conifers, PLoS One, 7, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042865, 2012.

50. Rice, A. V and Currah, R. S.: *Oidiodendron maius*: Saprobe in Sphagnum peat, mutualist in ericaceous roots?, in: Microbial Root Endophytes, vol. 9, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 227–246, https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-33526-9_13, 2006.

51. Saadatzadeh, M. R., Ashbee, H. R., Holland, K. T., and Ingham, E.: Production of the mycelial phase of *Malassezia* in vitro, Med. Mycol., 39, 487–493, https://doi.org/10.1080/714031063, 2001.

52. Saikkonen, K., Faeth, S. H., Helander, M., and Sullivan, T. J.: Fungal Endophytes: A Continuum of Interactions with Host Plants, Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst., 29, 319–343, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.29.1.319, 1998.

53. Sayers, E. W., Cavanaugh, M., Clark, K., Ostell, J., Pruitt, K. D., and Karsch-Mizrachi, I.: GenBank, Nucleic Acids Res., 47, D94–D99, https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky989, 2019.

54. Selosse, M.-A. and Le Tacon, F.: The land flora: a phototroph–fungus partnership?, Trends Ecol. Evol., 13, 15–20, 1998.

55. Selosse, M., Vohník, M., and Chauvet, E.: Out of the rivers: are some aquatic hyphomycetes plant endophytes?, New Phytol., 178, 3–7, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02390.x, 2008.

56. Serrano, O., Mateo, M. A., Renom, P., and Julià, R.: Characterization of soils beneath a *Posidonia oceanica* meadow, Geoderma, 185–186, 26–36, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.03.020, 2012.

57. Short, F. T. and McRoy, C. P.: Nitrogen Uptake by Leaves and Roots of the Seagrass *Zostera marina* L., Bot. Mar., 27, 547-555, https://doi.org/10.1515/botm.1984.27.12.547, 1984.

58. Shrestha, P, Szaro, T. M., Bruns, T. D., and Taylor, J. W.: Systematic Search for Cultivatable Fungi That Best Deconstruct Cell Walls of *Miscanthus* and Sugarcane in the Field, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 77, 5490–5504, https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02996-10, 2011.

59. Singh, S. M., Tsuji, M., Gawas-Sakhalker, P., Loonen, M. J. J. E., and Hoshino, T.: Bird feather fungi from Svalbard Arctic, Polar Biol., 39, 523–532, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-015-1804-y, 2016.

60. Solomon, P. S., Lowe, R. G. T., Tan, K.-C., Waters, O. D. C., and Oliver, R. P.: *Stagonospora nodorum*: cause of *Stagonospora nodorum* blotch of wheat, Mol. Plant Pathol., 7, 147–156, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1364-3703.2006.00326.x, 2006.

61. Špetík, M., Berraf-Tebbal, A., Pokluda, R., and Eichmeier, A.: *Pyrenochaetopsis kuksensis* (Pyrenochaetopsidaceae), a new species associated with an ornamental boxwood in the Czech Republic, Phytotaxa, 498, 177–185, https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.498.3.3, 2021.

62. Stenglein, S. A.: *Fusarium poae*: A pathogen that needs more attention, J. Plant Pathol., 91, 25–36, https://doi.org/10.4454/jpp.v91i1.621, 2009.

63. Suetrong, S., Schoch, C. L., Spatafora, J. W., Kohlmeyer, J., Volkmann-Kohlmeyer, B., Sakayaroj, J., Phongpaichit, S., Tanaka, K., Hirayama, K., and Jones, E. B. G.: Molecular systematics of the marine Dothideomycetes, Stud. Mycol., 64, 155–173, https://doi.org/10.3114/sim.2009.64.09, 2009.

64. Terrados, J. and Williams, S. L.: Leaf versus root nitrogen uptake by the surfgrass *Phyllospadix torreyi*, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 149, 267-277, https://www.int-res.com/abstracts/meps/v149/p267-277/, 1997.

65. Torta, L., Burruano, S., Giambra, S., Conigliaro, G., Piazza, G., Mirabile, G., Pirrotta, M., Calvo, R., Bellissimo, G., Calvo, S., and Tomasello, A.: Cultivable Fungal Endophytes in Roots, Rhizomes and Leaves of *Posidonia oceanica* (L.) Delile along the Coast of Sicily, Italy, Plants, 11, 1139, https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11091139, 2022.

66. Usuki, F. and Narisawa, K.: A mutualistic symbiosis between a dark septate endophytic fungus, *Heteroconium chaetospira*, and a nonmycorrhizal plant, Chinese cabbage, Mycologia, 99, 175–184, https://doi.org/10.3852/mycologia.99.2.175, 2007.

67. Vohník, M.: Ericoid mycorrhizal symbiosis: theoretical background and methods for its comprehensive investigation, Mycorrhiza, 30, 671–695, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-020-00989-1, 2020.

68. Vohník, M.: Bioerosion and fungal colonization of the invasive foraminiferan *Amphistegina lobifera* in a Mediterranean seagrass meadow, Biogeosciences, 18, 2777–2790, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-2777-2021, 2021.

69. Vohník, M.: Are lulworthioid fungi dark septate endophytes of the dominant Mediterranean seagrass *Posidonia oceanica*?, Plant Biol., 24, 127–133, https://doi.org/10.1111/plb.13353, 2022.

70. Vohník, M. and Réblová, M.: Fungi in hair roots of *Vaccinium* spp. (Ericaceae) growing on decomposing wood: colonization patterns, identity, and in vitro symbiotic potential, Mycorrhiza, 33, 69–86, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-023-01101-z, 2023.

71. Vohník, M., Lukančič, S., Bahor, E., Regvar, M., Vosátka, M., and Vodnik, D.: Inoculation of *Rhododendron* cv. Belle-Heller with two strains of *Phialocephala fortinii* in two different substrates, Folia Geobot., 38, 191–200, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02803151, 2003.

72. Vohník, M., Sadowsky, J. J., Kohout, P., Lhotáková, Z., Nestby, R., and Kolařík, M.: Novel root-fungus symbiosis in Ericaceae: Sheathed ericoid mycorrhiza formed by a hitherto undescribed basidiomycete with affinities to Trechisporales, PLoS One, 7, e39524, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0039524, 2012.

73. Vohník, M., Borovec, O., Župan, I., Vondrášek, D., Petrtýl, M., and Sudová, R.: Anatomically and morphologically unique dark septate endophytic association in the roots of the Mediterranean endemic seagrass *Posidonia oceanica*, Mycorrhiza, 25, 663–672, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-015-0642-7, 2015.

74. Vohník, M., Borovec, O., and Kolařík, M.: Communities of Cultivable Root Mycobionts of the Seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* in the Northwest Mediterranean Sea Are Dominated by a Hitherto Undescribed Pleosporalean Dark Septate Endophyte, Microb. Ecol., 71, 442–451, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-015-0640-5, 2016.

75. Vohník, M., Borovec, O., Župan, I., Kolařík, M., and Sudová, R.: Fungal root symbionts of the seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* in the central Adriatic Sea revealed by microscopy, culturing and 454-pyrosequencing, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 583, 107–120, https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12337, 2017.

76. Vohník, M., Borovec, O., Kolaříková, Z., Sudová, R., and Réblová, M.: Extensive sampling and high-throughput sequencing reveal *Posidoniomyces atricolor* gen. et sp. nov. (Aigialaceae, Pleosporales) as the dominant root mycobiont of the dominant Mediterranean seagrass *Posidonia oceanica*, MycoKeys, 55, 59–86, https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.55.35682, 2019.

77. Wang, G., Cao, X., Ma, X., Guo, M., Liu, C., Yan, L., and Bian, Y.: Diversity and effect of *Trichoderma* spp. associated with green mold disease on *Lentinula edodes* in China, Microbiologyopen, 5, 709–718, https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.364, 2016.

78. White, T. J., Bruns, T., Lee, S., and Taylor, J.: Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for p hylogenetics, in: PCR Protocols, Elsevier, 315–322, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1, 1990.

79. Williams, J., Clarkson, J. M., Mills, P. R., and Cooper, R. M.: Saprotrophic and Mycoparasitic Components of Aggressiveness of *Trichoderma harzianum* Groups toward the Commercial Mushroom *Agaricus bisporus*, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 69, 4192–4199, https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.7.4192-

4199.2003, 2003.

80. Yu, T., Nassuth, A., and Peterson, R. L.: Characterization of the interaction between the dark septate fungus *Phialocephala fortinii* and *Asparagus officinalis* roots, Can. J. Microbiol., 47, 741–753, https://doi.org/10.1139/cjm-47-8-741, 2001.

81. Zhang, Z., Schwartz, S., Wagner, L., and Miller, W.: A Greedy Algorithm for Aligning DNA Sequences, J. Comput. Biol., 7, 203–214, https://doi.org/10.1089/10665270050081478, 2000.

82. Zijlstra, J. D., Van't Hof, P., Baar, J., Verkley, G. J. M., Summerbell, R. C., Paradi, I., Braakhekke, W. G., and Berendse, F.: Diversity of symbiotic root endophytes of the Helotiales in ericaceous plants and the grass, *Deschampsia flexuosa*, Stud. Mycol., 53, 147–162, https://doi.org/10.3114/sim.53.1.147, 2005.

# Figures



Figure 1

Legend not included with this version.

**Figure 2**

Legend not included with this version.

**Figure 3**

Legend not included with this version.

**Figure 4**

Legend not included with this version.

**Figure 5**

Legend not included with this version.