Fifthly, we shall in the written work of St Paul see more clearly than before the man of action. He writes, in great part, as he preached or talked or argued. We shall no longer wonder at his sudden 'going off at tangents' or his anacolutha; they bring us nearer the man and tell us how he spoke and moved, as well as thought, in lecture-room or market-place.

E. ILIFF ROBSON.

## FRAGMENTS OF THE DIDASCALIA APOSTO-LORUM IN GREEK.

I owe to two persons the privilege of making known to scholars this valuable addition to our apparatus criticus for the original text of the Didascalia. The first of these is Dr J. Rendel Harris, who years ago gave me a preliminary copy of most of it, when the fragments were his own property, and then, quite recently, helped me to obtain access to these for fuller study. The next is their present owner, Lord Peckover, of Wisbech, who most generously put them at my disposal for leisurely examination. To both I would express sincere thanks, as also to Dr B. P. Grenfell, who gave me liberally of his time and skill in coping with difficulties which the decipherment and conjectural reconstruction of the text at times present.<sup>1</sup>

The fragments in question were found by Dr Rendel Harris in the binding of a Syriac copy of the Gospels from the church at Harpout. The Syriac MS he assigned to the fifth century: the fragments themselves he assigned to the fourth. The latter date can hardly be justified palaeographically, to judge by comparison with the biblical specimens of fourth-century writing given in Scrivener-Miller, Introduction to the New Testament, and Dr E. M. Thompson's Greek and Latin Palaeography. The formation of certain crucial letters points rather to the fifth or earlier sixth century, a conclusion supported by Dr Grenfell's judgement based on more general grounds. Among Scrivener's facsimiles of biblical MSS the fragments have affinity chiefly with Cod. Alexandrinus (A) and Cod. Cotton (N), of the fifth and sixth centuries respectively: and a date about A. D. 500 would suit the facts as well as any. As N is one of the purple codices, which as a class von Soden<sup>2</sup> traces to a region where the text of the Great Cappadocians prevailed, we might expect kindred handwriting in a MS once at Harpout.

Our two fragments belong to a single vellum page, written in double

Die Schriften des N. T. Bd. i pp. 1466 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This applies also to the fifth or sixth century fragment of 1 Tim. (iii 16<sup>b</sup>-iv 2) which the same series of fragments includes. See *infra* pp. 309 ff.

columns of twenty-four lines, averaging fifteen letters to a line. Dr Rendel Harris deciphered the greater part of the larger fragment, which preserves the half-lines of one column on either side of it (though the bottom line is wanting save for the tops of a few letters); and he identified the text as that of the Apostolic Constitutions iii 5. 6 ( $\pi\epsilon\rho i$   $\epsilon\nu\sigma\omega\mu\alpha\tau\omega\sigma\epsilon\omega s$ )-6. 3 ( $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\alpha}$ ) touching the ministry of Widows. But further, my own more prolonged study of the smaller fragment (of some ten half-lines), with Dr Grenfell's help in reading its far obscurer writing, shews that it formed the latter part of the companion column of the same page. The text of the recto of this fragment led up to that on the recto of the larger fragment, while that on its verso followed the matter on the latter's verso, after a lacuna of fourteen lines. This is itself a useful result. But what is of more importance is the extra evidence thus afforded:—

- (r) that the text is not that of the *Apostolic Constitutions*, but rather of the older basis used by its compiler for this part of his work;
- (2) that it agrees in the main with the Greek presupposed by the Syriac version (S) of the *Didascalia Apostolorum*, the writing in question.

The one unfortunate thing about this otherwise happy find is that the old Latin (iv-v cent.) version (L) of the work is here lacking, save for a line or so at the very end. Had it been otherwise, we should have been in a position of great advantage for testing yet further, by independent objective evidence, the mutual relations of the two versions of the Greek Didascalia, on the one hand, and also the relations of the forms of the original which they represent (possibly at slightly different stages of transmission) to the Apostolic Constitutions (AC), and again to the text of the Didascalia used by its compiler about A.D. 375. The study of all these relations is now greatly facilitated by the admirable edition of the relevant texts in F. X. Funk's Didascalia et Constitutiones Apostolorum, where the two works appear on opposite pages, with the additions of the later compiler or 'Constitutor' indicated by underlining. For checking the exact Syriac behind Funk's Latin rendering (where the old Latin version is wanting), the German version in Die Syrische Didaskalia, by Hans Achelis and Johannes Flemming, is also at times of service.

After these preliminaries, the text of the fragments as deciphered by Dr Grenfell and myself 1—with aid from the other witnesses which have generally enabled me to reconstruct the missing parts of the lines with practical certainty—may now be presented to the reader, along with the parallel sources for comparison.

[For the notation used in the text see p. 307].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In only a very few cases, chiefly indicated in notes as doubtful, have I ventured on a reading without Dr Grenfell's authority.

The Syriac <i>Didascalia</i> (based on Funk).  adversus vos convertantur ac dirumpant vos. Cum enim gentes cognoscere volentes ( <i>or</i> who are being instructed) audiunt	r¹ υμας	The Apostolic Constitutions (iii 5. 6-6. 4). καὶ στραφέντες ρήξουσιν ὑμᾶς. ἀκούσαντες γὰρ οἱ ἄπιστοι τὸν περὶ
verbum Dei, si non dicitur firmiter	θυ λογον [ουτε δεον	Χριστοῦ λόγον οὐ δεόντως,
decet, in aedi-	τως ου[τε εις την οι	άλλ' ἐνδεῶς,
ficationem aeternae	κοδομη[ν της αιωνι	
vitae, et praesertim	ου ζωης [και μαλισ	καὶ μάλιστα
quia a muliere	τα δια το ΰ[πο γυναι	
eis dicitur	κος λαλε[ισθαι το	
de incarnatione Domini nostri	γ2 περει σαρ[κωθεντος	τὸν περὶ ἐνσωματώσεως
ac de passione Christi,	και πα $ heta\eta au$ [ου $X$ ριστου	ή τοῦ πάθους αὐτοῦ,
derident et contem-	μυκτηρι[σαντες χλευ	μυκτηρίσαντες χλευ-
nunt potius quam	ασουσιν μ[αλλον η	ασουσιν μᾶλλον ώς ψευδη ή
laudibus celebrant ver-	δοξασωσ[ιν τοις λο	δοξάσουσιν,
bum doctrinae,	γοις της π[ρεσβυτε	
et rea	ρας: ενοχ[ος (δε αυτη)	καὶ ἔνοχος
fit magni iudicii peccati.	εστι 1 αμαρτ[ιας (και γιω)	έσται της προπετείας ή πρεσβυτις καὶ
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	σεται πολυ [το κριμα ΰ	της βλασφημίας, καὶ τὸ οὐαὶ κληρονομήσει.
	$\overline{\pi \alpha \rho \chi} \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \overline{\langle \iota \pi \epsilon \nu \ \gamma \alpha \rho \rangle}$	Οὐαὶ δέ, φησίν, δι' οΰ

<sup>1</sup> εστι probably for έσται, as a future follows as well as precedes: so AC.

The Syriac Didascalia (based on Funk).

Non decet ergo neque necessarium est

Nam non ad hoc estis constitutae.

quia ipse [dominus Deus] Iesus Christus

ut mulieres doc-

de nomine

eant, et presertim

Christi et de redemp-

tione passionis eius.

O mulieres, ut doceatis, ac maxime

magister noster nos

viduae, sed A ut oretis

ac rogetis Dominum Deum:

The Greek Fragments.

 $\overline{KS} \in K \pi o \lambda [v \lambda o \gamma i \alpha S]$ ουκ εκφευξη αμαρ τιας 2 ουκ [ουν δει ουτε γυναι[κας διδασ καλους εινίαι μαλισ τα περει το υ ονομα τος  $\overline{KU}$  και τ[ου (λυτη)]ρειου παθίους αυτου. Ου γαρ κατα κεισθε ω γυναικές (εις το δι δασκειν κ[αι μαλισ τα αι χηραι [αλλα μο  $\nu o \nu \overline{\theta \nu} \pi \rho o \sigma a \tau \epsilon \iota \nu^{-3}$ 

καίι γαρ αυτος ο διδασ  $v^1$  καλος  $\langle o\tau\epsilon \rangle$ ] ημας [τους

τὸ ὄνομά μου 1 βλασφημεῖται έν τοῖς ἔθνεσιν. Οὖκ ἐπιτρέπομεν οὖν γυναϊκας διδάσκειν έν έκκλησία

άλλὰ μό-

νον προσεύχεσθαι καὶ τῶν διδασκάλων έπακούειν. καὶ γὰρ καὶ αὐτὸς ὁ διδάσ-

The Apostolic Constitutions (iii 5. 6-6.4).

καλος ήμων καὶ κύριος Ἰησοῦς ὁ Χριστός, ήμᾶς

<sup>2</sup> A stop probably follows, but is obscured by ink stains. The quotation is Prov. x 19, and the preceding verse is cited in iii 11. 2, both in the Syriac and in the Greek.

<sup>1</sup> So AC in i 10. 2, where LS have nomen Dei. Here the quotation hangs on της βλασφημίας above, which is peculiar to AC and probably secondary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>- $\theta$  is pretty certain, and  $\pi$  likely enough;  $\nu$  is hardly to be made out. Dr Grenfell thinks  $\theta$ ,  $\tau$ , possible,  $\epsilon$ 10 rather less so. My restoration is suggested by S here and in vii 1 'adloquantur Dominum', προσλαλείν τῷ κυρίω AC.

duodecim misit ut doceamus populum et gentes: erant XVII) autem nobiscum discipulae, Maria Magdalene et Maria filia Iacobi et altera Maria; neque A emisit ut nobiscum docerent × populum. Si enim necesse fuisset ut mulieres docerent, magister noster has ipsas iussisset nobiscum docere (unterweisen).

Sciat autem

Dei esse, et

vidua se altare

δωδεκα ε]πεμψεν μαθητε]υσαι τον λα ον και τ]α εθνη συν

 $ημιν \langle \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \dot{\epsilon} \rangle ] λων και μα$ θητριας - μαριαν την μαγδαλ]ινην και μα ριαν ιαλκωβου και  $\tau \eta \nu \sigma \alpha \lambda \omega ] \mu \eta \nu^{-1}$  ou  $\sigma \nu \nu$ εξεπε μψεν αυτας ημιν μα θητευειν η (σωζειν) τ]ον κοσμον. ει γαρ η ν αναγκεον διδασκ]ειν γυναικας αυτος α]ν ημων ο δι δασκαλ]ος ταυταις εκελευ σεν συν ημιν κατηχ ειν γνωριζ ετω ουν η χηρα ο τι θυσιαστη ριον  $\epsilon \sigma$  τιν  $\overline{\theta \nu}$  και

τοὺς δώδεκα πέμψας
μαθητεῦσαι τὸν λαὸν καὶ τὰ ἔθνη, γυναῖκας οὐδαμοῦ ἐξαπέστειλεν.
συνῆν γὰρ ἡμῖν ἤ τε μήτηρ τοῦ κυρίου καὶ αἱ ἀδελφαὶ αὐτοῦ, ἔτι δὲ Μαρία ἡ Μαγδαληνὴ καὶ Μαρία ἡ Ἰακώβου καὶ Μάρθα κ. Μαρία . . . καὶ Σαλώμη καὶ ἔτεραί τινες. (Cf. above for γυναῖκας οὐδαμοῦ ἐξαπέστειλεν.)

Εἰ γὰρ ἢν ἀναγκαῖον γυναιξὶν διδάσκειν αὐτὸς ἀν ἐκέλευσε πρῶτος καὶ ταύταις σὺν ἡμῶν κατηχεῖν τὸν λαόν εἰ γὰρ κτλ. (I Cor. xi 3). γνωριζέτω οὖν ἡ ριόν ἐστι θεοῦ, καὶ θεοῦ, καὶ

<sup>1</sup> The point after Σαλώμην is not really visible; but the space is rubbed, and it seems best to assume it in view of the point after  $\mu\alpha\theta\eta\tau\rho i\alpha s$ , where the enumeration begins.

The Syriac *Didascalia* (based on Funk). in domo suo sedeat perpetuo, neque aberret nec vagetur in domibus fidelium, ut accipiat.

Quae enim aberrant et inverecundae sunt nec quiete in domibus
manent, quia non viduae sed viduli,
et nil aliud curant nisi ut paratae sint
ad accipiendum, et quia sunt [loquaces
et] verbosae et detrectatrices,
litium
commissatrices, impudoratae, impudicae;
quaeque si tales fuerint, non sunt dignae
eo qui eas vocavit.

The Greek Fragments.

καθησθ]ω εν τη οικια αυτηζς μη μετα τινος προφασίεως ετοι μους εις το λαμβαν ειν φλυαρ ους κατ αλαλους . . . . . ]υς μαχο συμβουλους αναι  $\delta \epsilon is avai \sigma \chi \nu \tau o \nu s$ τοιαυτ αι [ει υπ αρ Υουσι]ν 1 ουκ αξει αι του καλαισαντος

The Apostolic Constitutions (iii 5. 6-6. 4). καθήσθω ἐν τἢ οἰκίᾳ αὐτῆς, μὴ μετά τινος προφάσεως ἐν ταῖς τῶν πιστῶν οἰκίαις ἐπὶ τὸ λαμβάνειν εἰσπορευομένη . . . . .

δτι αὶ ῥεμβοὶ καὶ ἀναιδεῖς καὶ αἱ τοιαῦται οὐχ ἡσυχάζουσιν τοὺς πόδας ἐν ἐνὶ τόπφ διὰ τὸ μὴ χήρας ἀλλὰ πήρας αὐτὰς ὑπάρχειν, ἐτοίμους εἰς τὸ λαμβάνειν, φλυάρους, καταλάλους, μαχο συμβούλους, ἀναιδεῖς, ἀναισχύντους αἴτινες τοιαῦται ὑπάρχουσαι οὐκ ἄξιαι τοῦ καλέσαντος τυγχάνουσιν.

<sup>1</sup> So Dr Grenfell suggests. Possibly it ran at δε υπαρ]χουσαι | τοιαυτ]αι.

Words in italics or underlined are peculiar to a single witness.

[ ] in the first column denotes absence from Cod. Harris of the Syriac.

- " in the second column denotes the filling of a gap in the fragments where the wording is fairly assured by comparison with the other sources.
- \( \) means that only the substance can plausibly be supplied as indicated, either from the other sources or from the context itself.

In the following English rendering of our fragments italics mark what is peculiar to the fragments against the other two witnesses.

('For when the Gentiles . . . hear) the word of God [neither] as it [ought to be] no[r unto the] building up of [eternal] life, [and especial]ly because by a woman is spoken [that] touching [Christ] incarnate and subject to suffering, in derision they will scoff [rather than] give glory [at the wo]rds of the woman elder; [but she] will be guilty of sin [and] shall [know] that much is [the judgement] in store. [For] the Lord [said], Owing to much [speaking] thou shalt not escape sin(s).

'[İt is] not, [then, right] either that women be teachers, [especial]ly touching the name of the Lord and [His redemp]tive passion. For ye have not been appointed, O women, [in order] to teach, and [especial]ly widows, [but only to importune] God. [For the Teacher himself (when)] He sent us [the twelve] to disciple the Peo[ple and] the Gentiles, having along with [us chosen out] also [female] dis[ciples]—Mary [Magdal]ene and M[ary of] James and Salo[me]—He did not send them forth with [us] to disciple or (save) the world. [For if it were] needful that women should [teach], our Teacher [himself] would have bidden these along with us to teach.

'Let the [widow], then, [recognize] that she is God's altar, and let her [sit still] in her house; let her not with [any pretext wander about in the houses of the faithful, in order to receive: for neither does God's altar ever wander about anywhere, but is settled in one spot. The widow, then, ought not to wander about among houses: for they who wander about and are shameless, keep not still in their houses because they are not widows but wallets, (and care for nothing but to be om. AC) ready to [receive], (and because they are talkative and om. AC) [tattlers], slanderers ... counsellors of strife, [shameless], immodest: [and they that are such] are not [found] worthy [of Him who] called them.'

The general effect of the foregoing is manifest. Our new text is the middle term between the Syriac *Didascalia* and the Greek of the *Apostolic Constitutions*. Each of them deviates from it in turns, the latter most

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So AC only. The rest of the gap is supplied mostly in terms of the Syriac, as generally nearer to our MS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Here Ljoins S after a long lacuna.

widely; each finds some support in it against the other; while their agreements against it are rare and easily explicable. These are in fact reducible to two 1 certain cases, inconsistent with the supposition that either of these authorities for the text had before it the Greek Didascalia as found in our MS.2 And those two cases are simple interpolations, easily separable from the original text, as not entering into its substance, and not betraying any settled motive or tendency making for systematic change. 'To disciple or (save) the world' is a simple gloss, in more conventional terms, on 'to teach (= 'disciple', above) the People' (S) -with its more historic reference to Israel as the prime sphere of Apostolic activity. As to the larger addition, its first part, 'and shall know that much is the judgement in store', merely aims at making clearer a condensed phrase in the Greek lying behind the Syriac, rendered in Funk's Latin by 'rea magni iudicii peccati', in Flemming's German by 'des grossen Gerichts der Sünde schuldig', and in Mrs Gibson's English by liable to 'a great condemnation for the sin'. The original was perhaps ἔνοχος πολλοῦ κρίματος άμαρτίας, an emphatic but undefined expression, which some later reader first analysed into two statements, ένοχος έστ(α)ι άμαρτίας [καὶ γνώ]σεται πολύ τὸ κρίμα ὑπάρχειν—so increasing the emphasis—and then supported it by a Scriptural proof-text from Prov. x 19, defining the nature of the aμαρτία by its cause. That the original Greek seemed to be allusive, and so challenged the reader to supply for himself its full meaning, appears from the fact that the Constitutor also defined the sin in his own way; and he likewise added a proof-text in support of that definition as βλασφημία—in keeping with an earlier passage, where he is following the Didascalia.

When, however, we ask whether the Greek text implied by the Syriac—apart from deviations due to the translator and any mere copyist—was purer or not than that of our fragments, a decision is more difficult. There are several points of agreement between the fragments and Apost. Const. which seem to go back to a common text different from that used by the Syriac translator, early as was his date (possibly before Apost. Const., i. e. before c. 375). Of these  $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\alpha}$  tivos  $\pi\rho o\phi\dot{\alpha}\sigma\epsilon\omega s$ , absent from the Syriac, is the best example. Further, the Syriac's 'et altera Maria', instead of  $\kappa\alpha$   $\Sigma a\lambda \omega \mu \eta$  (supported by iii 16. 4), may go

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For their agreement in 'Erant autem nobiscum discipulae' and συνην γὰρ ἡμῖν ἤ τε μήτηρ κτλ., which is only partial in nature, may well be accidental. The Syriac seems to break up a long and complex sentence for greater clearness; while AC changes the whole form of the passage and its context.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> One must add, however, that this statement applies primarily to the matter in the longer fragment, where the text is clearest. The first four lines of the shorter one,  $r^1$ , so far as the very few certain letters go, rather suggest a different text from that common to S and AC; while in the fifth line of its verso a word came between  $\kappa \alpha \tau$  and  $\kappa \alpha \tau$  and  $\kappa \alpha \tau$  and  $\kappa \alpha \tau$  are so there is otherwise no evidence.

back to its Greek original; so too may its 'verbum doctrinae', which looks less original than our MS's  $\tau o i s$  hóyous  $\tau i s$   $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon \rho a s$ , supported by the presence of  $i \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \hat{v} \tau \iota s$  in the next clause of Apost. Const. But in this matter of the relative purity of the text in our fragments and of that presupposed by the Syriac—as also in defining the exact degree of the Syriac translator's deviation from his Greek—we have to deplore the absence of the old Latin version in the Verona palimpsest, which would have settled some points we must now leave more or less open.

On the whole, however, we have reason to regard our fragments as preserving in their basal text a very ancient and pure form of the Greek *Didascalia*, of which they are so far the only known extant specimens, apart from the quotations in Epiphanius, and perhaps also in the *Opus Imperfectum in Matthaeum*. Moreover as a criterion of the degree to which our Syriac version deviates from its Greek original (whatever may have been the relative purity of its text and of that used by our MS), it largely confirms the impression conveyed by the old Latin version. That is a result of no small value.

J. VERNON BARTLET.

## A NEW FIFTH-SIXTH CENTURY FRAGMENT OF I TIMOTHY.

Along with the fragments of a Greek MS of the Didascalia Apostolorum found by Dr Rendel Harris in the binding of an early Syriac MS (see p. 301 above), there were smaller fragments of a MS written in a similar hand, even more akin than those to Cod. Purpureus N of the Gospels (vi cent.). Only the two larger of the four fragments in question are at all legible, and in these too the letters are extremely indistinct. Indeed it was only by the lucky circumstance that in one of them the letters aloldagk... υποκρισ were fairly clear in two successive lines, so leading me to suspect that we had here parts of 1 Tim. iv 1 f, that it became possible to decipher the rest with anything like confidence. Armed with this clue, Dr Grenfell perceived that the other fragment fitted on to its companion and helped to complete parts of the same text. The resulting reconstruction is as follows:

σαρκι εδ]ικαιω[ $\theta\eta$  εν πνευματι ωφ $\theta\eta$  αγγ]ελοις [εκηρυχ $\theta\eta$  (εν) $^1$ ε $\theta$ νε

1 èv would make the line rather too full (26 letters against a maximum of 23 or 24 elsewhere), and is absent from a few cursives (see von Soden's App. Crit.), as well as apparently from the MSS used by Jerome, praedicatum est gentibus.