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## Plate XXIX

In 1936, while on a visit to London Mr. M. W. F. Tweedie of the Raffles Museum, Singapore submitted to me for determination two specimens belonging to the family Albuneidæ. One of these belongs to the fairly common species Albunea symnista (Linn.) while the other is undoubtedly referable to a new species. As this latter appeared to be intermediate between the genera Albunea and Lepidopa it seemed as if the two might have to be united under the older name Albunea, or a third genus established. Before adopting either of these alternatives, however, I decided to re-examine as much material of both genera as possible in order to supplement the diagnoses of Miers (1878, pp. 326 and 331) and Ortmann (1896, pp. 221222 , in key).

I take this opportunity of expressing my thanks to Dr. Waldo L. Schmitt of the United States National Museum for so kindly sending me, on loan or in exchange, specimens belonging to seven species of Lepidopa and Albunea, together with a list of the localities from which various species are represented in the United States National Museum Collection ${ }^{1}$. My thanks are also due to Dr. A. Panning of the Zoologisches Museum, Hamburg for the loan of the holotype of Albunea intermedia Balss ${ }^{2}$. Plate XXIX is the work of Miss O. F. Tassart; the other figures have been made from camera lucida sketches.

The following is a list of the known species of Albunea and Lepidopa with the geographical distribution of each. The localities from which I have seen specimens are marked with asterisks. While the genus Albunea has an extremely wide geographical range the genus Lepidopa is restricted to the eastern and western coasts of America, (including the West Indies and the Galapagos Islands).
Albunea carabus (Linn.) Mediterranean, Algiers; Liberia, ( $=$ guerini, Lucas) $\quad$ Nanna Kroo; Gold Coast, Munford near Appam (Balss 1916); also Mediterranean (shore) [B.M.]*.

1. U.S.M. in list on pp. 186-188.
2. H.M. in list on pp. 186-188.

## COMPARISON OF ALBUNEA AND LEPIDOPA

| Albunea elegans M.-Edw. and Bouvier |  | La Praya, Cape Verde Is. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\because$ | elioti Benedict (? = microps) | Samoa. |
| " | gibbesii Stimpson | "Cape Fear, N.C. to Key West* and |
|  |  | Pensacola*, Florida; Bermuda; |
|  |  | Porto Rico and Dry Tortugas, Fla. [U.S.M.]." |
|  |  | S-E Coast of United States [B.M.]*. |
|  | intermedia Baiss | Cape Palmas, Liberia [H.M.]*. |
|  | lucasia Saussure | Mazatlan, W. coast of Mexico. |
|  | microps Miers | Sooloo Is. [B.M.] *. |
| " | oxyophthalma Leach | "Morehead City N.C. to W. coast |
|  | ( = pareti Guérin) | of Florida* to Texas; Kingston |
|  |  | Harbour, Jamaica*, Drift Bay, |
|  |  | Water Island, Virgin Islands, [U.S.M.]." |
|  |  | W. Indies, St. Christophers; <br> Barbadoes; Cayenne; Brazil [B.M.]*. |
|  | paradoxa n.sp. | Singapore*. |
|  | speciosa Dana | Sandwich Is. |
| $\cdots$ | symnista (Limn.) | Java; Pondicherry; Madras; |
|  |  | Aden; Ceylon; Colachal; Lord |
|  |  | Howe Is. (wrongly referred to |
|  |  | microps) ; ? N.W. Australia [B.M.]*. |
|  |  | Also recorded from Rameswaram; |
|  |  | Nicobars; Amboina; seas of |
| " | thurstoni Henderson | Cheval Par, Madras [2 cotypes in |
|  |  | [B.M.]*. |
| Lepidopa benedicti Schmitt 1935 ( = scutellata |  |  |
|  | of Benedict) | "Ocean beach, north of Fort Worth <br> Inlet to Miami and Pensacola* |
|  |  | and Santa Rosa I.*, Florida; |
|  |  | Louisiana; Texas (Padre Id.) ; |
|  |  | ? Barbadoes-larval specimens |
|  |  | -perhaps larva of the true |
|  |  | scutellate [U.S.M.]. |
| " | chilensis Lenz | Iquique, Chile. |
| " | deamae Benedict | "Salina Cruz, Mexico; Punta |
|  |  | Arenas, Costa Rica.* [U.S.M.]." |
| " | mearnsi Benedict | "W. coast of Central America [US.M]" |

Lepidopa myops Stimpson Cape St. Lucas [B.M.]*
"San Pedro, Long Beach*, and La Jolla, California; Mexico [U.S.M.]."
", richmondi Benedict "Pensacola, Florida; Greytown, Nicaragua; Ile a Vache, Haiti* [U.S.M.]."
,, scutellata (Desmarest) Type locality unknown. ? Peru; San Lorenzo (Dana), St. Thomas Id. ? Barbadoes-see under benedicti.
", venusta Stimpson .. "Sabanilla, Colombia [U.S.M.]*." St. Thomas Id. (Stimpson).
"Beaufort, N.C.* [U.S.M.]." Type locality Fort Macon, N.C.
wollebaecki Silvertsen Floreana, Galapagos Ids.
There are also several specimens of Lepidopa, from St. Lucia and Acon in Peru, in the British Museum Collection. They are nearly related to $L$. websteri and $L$. venusta (fig. $1 a$ ) ; they do not appear to be referable to L. chilensis Lenz which has a very long antero-lateral spine. Lenz's figure, (1902, pl. 23 fig. 5) is probably inexact in that the lateral suture, the linea anomurica, is not indicated. Perhaps they are referable to L. wollebaecki Silvertsen (1934, p. 9, pl. IV) yet the eye peduncles do not appear to be quite as in that species.

## Genus Lepidopa Stimpson

In marked contrast to Albunea, the genus Lepidopa is a very homogeneous one; the species, which exhibit only minor specific differences, all agree in the following characters:-

Carapace.- (a) The spine at the antero-lateral angle of the carapace is situated on the dorsal shield and not on the large plate ( $p$ ) that forms the anterior part of the lateral wall; i.e. the spine is dorsal, not ventral, to the lateral suture or linea anomurica. (fig. $1 a$ and $c$ ).
(b) There is a prominent triangular rostral lobe between the eye-peduncles (with a very slight ocular sinus on either side of the lobe) and a spine on the anterior margin of the carapace external to the antennule (fig. $1 a$ and $b$ ). The carapace is, moreover, truncate posteriorly on either side of the median arch as represented in fig. $1 a$.

Scaphocerite.-The "accessory joint" or scaphocerite of the antenna is very short (fig. $2 g, x$ ).

Third Maxillipede.-The carpus is produced at the anteroexternal angle and reaches almost or quite to the distal end of the propodus (fig. $2 h$ ).


Fig. 1a. Carapace, in dorsal aspect, of Lepidopa sp. from St. Lucia, Peru [B.M.].
b. Anterior part of carapace of Lepidopa myops Stimpson.
c. Carapace, in lateral aspect, of Lepidopa websteri Benedict.
d. Carapace, in dorsal aspect, of a young Albunea from Muscat. [B.M.].
e. Carapace, in lateral aspect, of Albunea symnista (Linn). l.a. $=$ linea anomurica; $l$. = lobe on lateral plate; $p$. large plate forming anterior half of lateral wall of carapace; $s .=$ spine.

The dactyli of peraeopods $I I-I V$ are (minor specific differences apart) always of the type represented in fig. $2 i$ and $j$ ). Each dactylus is deeply indented in the distal half of the anterior margin; proximal to this there is a prominent spur on dactylus III, an abtuse or an acute triangular lobe on dactylus II and IV respectively.

The eye peduncles are lamellate, compressed and more or less squamiform; they vary greatly in shape, however, as represented in fig. $2 a-f$; and a pigmented eye-spot (cornea) may be present on the anterior, or the external margin.

## Genus Albunea Fabricius

The species of the genus Albunea exhibit much more variation than those of Lepidopa. All the species that I have examined, however, possess at least two characters in common and it is for this reason that I have decided (1) to retain A. intermedia in the genus, and (2) to refer the new species A. paradoxa to it.

Caparace.-(a) The spine near the antero-lateral angle of the carapace is situated on the large plate ( $p$ ) that forms the anterior half of the lateral wall, and not on the dorsal shield. It is thus ventral, not dorsal, to the linea anomurica (fig. $1 d$ and $s$, figs. $1 e$ and $5 b$ ).
(b) There is a deeply concave ocular sinus in the anterior margin of the carapace posterior to the eye peduncles. Within this there is usually, though not invariably, a minute rostral spinule ${ }^{1}$ (fig. 1d). Moreover, the posterior border of the carapace, on either side of the median excavation, is not broadly truncated, but narrowly rounded, as represented in fig. $1 d$. In A. paradoxa it is slightly truncate (Plate XXIX).

The scaphocerite or "accessory joint" of the antenna is long ( $x$ in figs. $3 i$ and $5 b$ ).

The other characters are liable to exhibit considerable variation within the genus, c.g. $\therefore$-(a) The third maxillipede usually has the antero-external angle of the carpus slightly produced (to reach approximately to the distal end of the proximal third of the propodus) as represented in fig. $3 k$; in A. paradoxa it is not produced to any appreciable extent (fig. 5a) while in $A$. intermedia it reaches the middle of the propodus (fig. $3 j$ ).
(b) The dactyli of peraeopods $I I-I V$ also vary considerably in shape as represented in fig. $4 a-d$. In the majority of the species examined they are very similar to those of $A$. gibbesi (fig. 4b). In A. intermedia the dactylus of peraeopod III is

1. There is no rostral spinule in A. internedic.


Fig. 2. Eye peduncle, at the same magnification, of:-
a. Lepidopa myops Simpson (max. col. $=14.3 \mathrm{~mm}$.).
b. " venuste Simpson ( $\quad=10.8 \mathrm{~mm}$.).
c. " webster Benedict ( $"=10 \mathrm{~mm}$.).
d. " richmondi Benedict ( $"=12.2 \mathrm{~mm}$.).
e. " $\begin{gathered}\text { benedicti Schmidt } \\ \text { scutellata Benedict }\end{gathered} \quad "=19 \mathrm{~mm}$.).
$f$. ", deamae Benedict ( $",=25 \mathrm{~mm}$.).
$g$. Proximal half of antenna of L. benedict, to show the small scaphocerite $x$.
$h$. Carpus, propodus and dactylus of the third maxilliped of L. benedict.
i. Dactylus of peræopods II-IV (from right to left) of L. benedicti.
j. Same of L. myops.

The setæ are, for the most part omitted; in $g$. and $h$. they are much more numerous than here represented.
Mus. 14, 1938.
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sickle shaped, with scarcely any trace of a blunt lobe or of a spur near the articulation with the propodus (fig. 4a). Those of A. symnista and A. oxyophthalma approach more to the Lepidopa type, especially as regards dactylus III which is spurred; but


Fig. 3. Eye peduncles, at various magnifications, of:-
a. Albunea thurstoni Henderson, Cotype, (max. c.l. $=8.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.).
b. " carabus (Linn.). $\quad "=17.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).
c. " microps Miers, holotype, ( $" \equiv 14 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).
d. " oxyophthalma Leach ( $n=20.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.$) .$

є. " gibbesi Stimpson ( $\quad=25 \mathrm{~mm}$.).
$f$. ", symnista (Linn.) ( " $=23.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.).
g. " paradoxa n.sp.; outer and inner aspects ${ }^{1}$ ( $\quad=\quad=10.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).
$h$. $\quad$ intermedia Balss; inner aspect ${ }^{1}$ ( $"=13.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).
$i$. Antenna of A. thurstoni to show the long, narrow scaphocerite $x$.
j. Carpus, propodus and dactylus of the third maxillipede of
A. intermedia.
$k$. Third maxillipede of A. thurstoni.
The majority of the setæ have been omitted.

1. The details of the inner aspect may not be quite exact, as the peduncle was not removed from the specimen; the outline is that of the outer aspect reversed.
dactylus IV is only a triffe more concave on the anterior margin than in A. gibbesi (c.f. fig. $4 c$ and $d$ with $4 b$ and $2 i$ and $j$ ). Those of A. paradoxa are much more of the Lepidopa type, since the anterior margin of dactylus IV is deeply concave distal to the triangular lobe (c.f. fig. $5 c$ with fig. $2 i$ and $j$ ).
(c) The eye peduncles are lamellate and compressed and vary greatly in shape as represented in fig. $3 a-h$. Sometimes they are more or less narrowly triangular, sometimes squamiform. There is usually a rather conspicuous cornea or eye spot at or near the apex (fig. $3 a, c, d-f$ ); in a specimen from the Mediterranean referred to A. guerini ( $=A$. carabus) there is a small rather soft terminal papilla, which doubtless represents the eye spot. (The pigment has retracted during fixation and both eye peduncles are slightly damaged at the apex). No eye spot is visible on the dorsal surface of the squamiform peduncles of $A$. intermedia and $A$. paradoxa; there is, in each species, a small suboval spot on the ventral surface, near the external margin but I am not sure whether or not this represents a vestigial eye (fig. $3 g, h$ ).
(d) The spinulation of the front.-As a rule there are some 8-12 or 14 spinules on the frontal margin of the carapace, on either side of the ocular sinus (fig. 1d). In A. intermedia (Balss 1916, p. 38 fig. 14) the ocular sinus is unusually wide; only 5 or 6 of the lateral spinules are well developed, the remaining ones having all but disappeared. Since the carapace is, moreover, slightly convex on either side of the ocular sinus the front at first sight recalls the genus Lepidopa. There is, however, no trace of a rostral spinule and in Lepidopa, as stated above, there is always a prominent rostral lobe separating the eye peduncles. In $A$. paradoxa the spinules, with the exception of the rostral one, have disappeared (Plate XXIX).
Albunea paradoxa n.sp. (Pl. XXIX).
Material.-Singapore, 1 \& (holotype) ${ }^{1}$ (maximum carapace length $=102 \mathrm{~nm}$., frontal width of dorsal shield of carapace $=10.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.).

Description.-In general outline the carapace recalls the genus Lepidopa and this is further emphasised by the absence of spinules on the front; there is, however, a distinct ocular sinus separated from the rest of the front by a pair of blunt lobules and containing a rostral spinule. Such an ocular sinus is typical of the genus Albunea (see p. 190). A small spine is present, near each antero-lateral angle of the carapace; it is situated ventral to the linea anomurica, on the large plate that forms the anterior part of the lateral wall. The posterior part

1. The holotype is deposited in the collection of the British Museum.
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Fig. 4. Dactyli of peræopods II-IV (from right to left) of:-
a. Albunea intermedia Balss (max. c.l. $=18.2 \mathrm{~mm}$.).
b. ", gibbesi Stimpson (,$\quad=12.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.).
c. " symnista (Linn.) ( $\quad, \quad=17.4 \mathrm{~mm}$.).
d. ", oxyophthaima Leach ( $\quad, \quad=20.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.) . All setæ omitted.

## COMPARISON OF ALBUNEA AND LEPIDOPA

of the lateral wall is soft and membranous, and bears numerous small plates in the upper portion. The posterior margin is deeply excavated medially and, on either side of this, it is slightly more truncated than in most species of Albunea (fig. 1d) though not nearly so much as in Lepidopa (fig. 1a, c.f. Pl. xxix).

The eye-peduncles are squamiform, about as broad as long; no eye spot is apparent on the dorsal surface, but there is a small oval spot on the ventral surface near the middle of the external margin which may represent a vestigial eye (fig. $3 g$ ).

The flagellum of the antennule is at least four times the maximum length of the carapace (measured to one side of the median line).

$c$

Fig. 5. Albunea paradoxa n.sp.
a. Third maxillipede.
b. Antenna, in lateral aspect, to show the scaphocerite $x ; s=$ spine on large lateral plate.
c. Dactyli of peræopods II-IV (from right to left). The setæ are, for the most part, omitted.

The antenna is short, with a well developed, narrow scaphocerite as represented in fig. $5 b$.

The third maxillipede, as represented in fig. $5 a$ has the antero-external angle of the carpus less produced than in most other species of the genus, and concealed by a tuft of short setæ (fig. $5 a$ ).

The peraeopods are of the usual Albuneid type; the dactylus of peræopod III has a long slender spur, near the articulation, on the anterior margin; that of peræopod IV is deeply concave on the distal half of the anterior margin as in all species of the genus Lepidopa (c.f. fig. $5 c$ and $2 i$ and $j$ ).

The telson, as represented in Pl. xxix, is only a trifle longer than its maximum width.

Remarks.-This species seemed at first sight to be exactly intermediate between the two genera, Albunea and Lepidopa. The general shape of the carapace, together with the absence of spines on the anterior margin; the broad eye peduncles and, above all, the shape of the dactylus of the fourth peræopod recall the latter genus. But, as mentioned on p. 190, a re-examination of many species belonging to both genera leaves no doubt as to its nearer relationship with Albunea. Although spines are wanting on the anterior margin of the carapace, the deep ocular sinus characteristic of Albunea is present. The spine at the antero-lateral angle of the carapace is ventral, not dorsal, to the linea anomurica (c.f. fig. $1 c$ and $e$ ). The scaphocerite is long, not rudimentary and the antero-external angle of the carpus of the third maxillipede is even less produced than in the other species of Albunea examined. In general shape of carapace and of eye peduncles this species is most nearly allied to $A$. intermedia. The dactyli of peræopods II-IV, the carpus of the third maxillipede, the shape of the abdominal segments and of the basal segment of the uropod, differ markedly in the two species (figs. $4 a, 5 c ; 3 j$ and $5 a$; Pl. xxix and Balss, 1916, fig. 14).

## Plate XXIX

Albunea paradoxa n.sp., in dorsal aspect, x. 5.
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