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The objective-prism spectrograph or prismatic camera has been described by Newall (1910) as a truly remarkable optical instrument that utilizes a star for a slit and the Universe for a collimator. Obviously one must be rather circumspect in discussing the use and limitations of such an impressive piece of equipment!

It would appear that a great many astronomers think of the objective prism as a fine instrument for spectral surveys but are inclined to feel that results obtained through its use are of value largely, if not entirely, for "statistical purposes", whatever that rather vague term may mean. It is the purpose of this paper to encourage consideration of the objective-prism technique as one that permits the accurate classification of stellar spectra, by which I mean that information derived from its use can be accepted with considerable confidence without the necessity of further confirmation by slit-spectrographic observations.

The main reason for prevailing ideas of the rather low accuracy of objectiveprism work lies, no doubt, in the extensive current use of very low dispersions; these, of course, permit the derivation of data concerning faint stars. Yet it was not always so. It is worth pointing out that the dispersion employed in the first comprehensive photographic survey of stellar spectra-the Draper Catalogue (Pickering, 1890)-was approximately $160 \AA / \mathrm{mm}$, and that the early Harvard work on the brighter stars by Miss Maury (1897) and Miss Cannon (1901, 1908, 1911) utilized spectrograms ranging in dispersion from 11 to $50 \AA / \mathrm{mm}$. In fact, all of the stars in the entire sky brighter than fifth magnitude, and about 3000 fainter stars as well, were classified at Harvard at dispersions no lower than $50 \AA / \mathrm{mm}$ rather more than 50 years ago! This is a remarkable fact which, I think, is not generally realized.

It is true that in the preparation of the Henry Draper Catalogue, and especially its Extension, and the Potsdam and Bergedorf work on faint Selected Area stars, preference was necessarily given to the use of lower dispersions. The objective-prism activities of most modern Schmidt telescopes are a continuation of this trend.

There have, however, been a few exceptions. Shapley, Mrs. Rieke (1935), Miss Hoffleit (1950), and others at Harvard, have made extensive use of objectiveprism spectrograms of $45 \AA / \mathrm{mm}$ dispersion for absolute magintude investigations along the lines of the early Mount Wilson and Swedish work. I may add here that unfortunately this Harvard work has been to some extent ignored by many of the present workers in this field. Rimmer (1925, 1930) and Edwards (1930, 1932) did similar work in Australia and England, at even higher dispersion. But the work that has done the most to convince the writer that moderate-dispersion objectiveprism work has very real merit was that done at Harvard some years ago by Miss Walther (1949) and Zirin (1951) on the spectra of the abnormal A-type stars. This work was done at dispersions of the order of $90 \AA / \mathrm{mm}$ at $\mathrm{H} \gamma$. The two authors
together listed a total of 107 stars of the peculiar A and metallic-line types. Several years ago K. D. Abhyankar and I observed 95 of these objects with a slit spectrograph attached to the Lick $36-\mathrm{in}$. refractor. Comparison of our types with those given by Miss Walther and Zirin was most satisfactory; a brief summary is given in the accompanying table:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Walther } \\
\text { Ap }=41 \text { stars } \\
\text { Am }=4 \text { stars } \\
\text { Zirin } \\
\text { Ap }=39 \text { stars } \\
\text { Am }=11 \text { stars }
\end{gathered}
$$

Abhyankar and Bidelman
$40 \mathrm{Ap}, 1 \mathrm{Am}$
all 4 Am
Abhyankar and Bidelman
$26 \mathrm{Ap}, 4 \mathrm{Am}$, 9 probably normal
all 11 Am

The promise of this type of work is evident. It may be added parenthetically that Miss Walther's work was only part of an extensive and very careful investigation devoted to the application of the MKK system to objective-prism material; very unfortunately the bulk of her work was never published.

At present to my knowledge only three observatories are using moderately highdispersion objective-prism equipment: Haute-Provence, the Vatican, and Michigan. Fehrenbach has used dispersions of the order of 80 and $110 \AA / \mathrm{mm}$ for spectral classification in conjunction with his radial-velocity work and extensive lists of classifications are now appearing in the Haute-Provence Observatory Publications. The Vatican Observatory work with their $12^{\circ}$-prism combination has just begun. Finally, there is the $24-\mathrm{in}$. Curtis Schmidt of the Observatory of the University of Michigan, which can be equipped with a $10^{\circ}$-prism combination affording a dispersion of $108 \AA / \mathrm{mm}$ at $\mathrm{H} \gamma$. The principal work done with the Michigan $10^{\circ}$-prism combination has been that by Yoss (1961) in which MK spectral types and CNband strengths of 684 G8-K2 stars were determined. I do not wish here to discuss the accuracy of Yoss's work-I doubt whether sufficient slit spectrographic material presently exists for this purpose-but I am including in this paper a few illustrations that will serve to demonstrate the quality of the spectrograms obtainable with the Michigan instrument.

First, however, a few words concerning techniques. For spectral classification it is important that the spectra be well widened. Further, relatively fine-grained plates should be used and these must be developed with care. The best results with the Curtis Schmidt have been obtained through the use of Kodak IIa-O emulsion developed as suggested by W. W. Morgan. In another regard, the impression seems to be current that the seeing must be exceptionally good to permit good results to be obtained with objective-prism instruments. For the Curtis Schmidt, at least, this is not strictly true. The scale of this telescope is $97^{\prime \prime} / \mathrm{mm}$, so a $2^{\prime \prime}$ stellar seeing disk extends over a distance of only approximately $20 \mu$ at the focus, and thus its size is comparable with the plate grain. Twenty microns corresponds to approximately $2 \AA$ in the spectra. Hence only moderately good, and not exceptional, seeing is required. It must be admitted, of course, that the overall migration of the image is generally quite a bit larger than the seeing disk, due to a variety of causes, with the result that long exposures are almost inevitably somewhat poorer than short ones.


Fig. 1. Slit (a) and objective-prism (b) spectra of $\gamma$ Comae, a $\lambda 4150$ star of type K1 III-IV. The dispersions are 150 and $108 \AA / \mathrm{mm}$ at $\mathrm{H} \gamma$, respectively.


Fig. 2. Slit (a) and objective-prism (b) spectra of the $\mathrm{Sr}-\mathrm{Cr}$ star 21 Comae. The dispersions are 76 and $108 \AA / \mathrm{mm}$ at $\mathrm{H} \gamma$, respectively. The Sr II lines are indicated.


Frg. 3. Slit (a) and objective-prism (b) spectra of the metallic-line star HR $4751=17$ Comae (B). The dispersions are 76 and $108 \AA / \mathrm{mm}$ at $\mathrm{H} \gamma$, respectively.


Fig. 4. Slit (a) and objective-prism (b) spectra of 12 Comae, a star showing a composite spectrum. The dispersions are 76 and $108 \AA / \mathrm{mm}$ at $\mathrm{H} \gamma$, respectively. The G band, characteristic of the spectrum of the later-type component, is indicated.

Figures 1 through 4 permit a direct comparison of spectrograms taken with objective-prism and slit equipment. Each contains two reproductions of the spectrum of the same star. The Curtis Schmidt spectra were all taken from the same plate, a 3 -min exposure on IIa-O emulsion. The $150 \AA / \mathrm{mm}$ slit spectrogram of $\gamma$ Comae (Fig. 1) was obtained with the Lick $36-\mathrm{in}$. refractor on a IIa-O plate, the $76 \AA / \mathrm{mm}$ slit spectrograms of the other three stars with the McDonald 82 -in. reflector on 103a-0 film. The very high quality spectra obtainable with the objective prism is evident from these illustrations, and it is clear that for purposes of spectral classification, at least as carried out by conventional visual inspection methods, the best Curtis Schmidt plates are only slightly inferior to slit spectrograms of the dispersion generally used for this type of work. This suggests that one should seriously consider using objective prisms rather than slit spectrographs for largescale classification programs of moderately bright stars.

A few years ago a large number of excellent spectral plates were taken with the $10^{\circ}$-prism combination of the Curtis Schmidt by several observers working under the direction of Dr. Freeman D. Miller. It was originally planned to obtain complete coverage around the sky at four declinations: $+57^{\circ},+53^{\circ},+27^{\circ}$, and $+23^{\circ}$. Unfortunately the program was not carried to completion; the $+57^{\circ}$ zone is about 83 per cent complete, the $+53^{\circ}$ zone only 56 per cent complete, and the other two zones are only about 25 per cent complete. Altogether, good plates are available for a total of 105 circular fields, each about $5^{\circ}$ in diameter. As there is considerable overlapping, the total area covered is probably about 1500 square degrees. For 65 fields both $10-\mathrm{min}$ and $30-\mathrm{min}$ exposures are available; the remainder have either one or the other. The useful limiting magnitude on the $30-\mathrm{min}$ plates is near $m_{p g}=9.5$.

About a year ago the writer surveyed this material searching for peculiar A and metallic-line stars. The results of this search were as follows: a total of 62 peculiar A stars were found, of which 46 appear to be new discoveries. Three of these are brighter than magnitude $6.5,14$ are between magnitude 6.5 and 8.0 , and 29 are fainter than magnitude $8 \cdot 0$. A total of 82 metallic-line stars were detected, of which 66 appear to be new discoveries. Seven of these are brighter than magnitude 6.5, 26 are between magnitude 6.5 and 8.0 , and 33 are fainter than magnitude 8.0 . More than half ( 26 stars) of the newly-discovered peculiar A stars belong to the silicon star group, while 15 of the metallic-line stars were designated as $\delta$ Delphini stars, in which the difference between the metallic-line type and the K-line type is rather small, the detection of these stars is rather difficult (even on slit spectrograms!) and hence a number of these attributions may be incorrect. The newly-recognized Ap and Am stars are listed in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The photographic magnitudes are taken from the $A G K 2$. In Table 2 a " $\delta$ " indicates probable membership in the $\delta$ Delphini class. Some of these stars may prove to be of considerable photometric interest.

For the most part no slit spectrograms are available for the stars listed in Tables 1 and 2 , but it is hoped that the majority of the objects have been correctly classified. One of the stars found, HD 3473, appears to be of special interest; its spectrum is shown in Fig. 5. In addition to an extraordinarily strong blend due to Si II at $\lambda \lambda 4128-31, \mathrm{Mg}$ II $\lambda 4481$ is also present in considerable strength. This is unusual for a silicon star, as Mg II is customarily rather weak in the spectra of these objects. Measurement suggests that a number of additional Mg II lines, notably $\lambda 4740$, are also present in surprising strength. If this star proves on further study to have

TABLE 1
New Pecultar A Stars

| Name | a (1 | 0) $\delta$ | $m_{p g}$ | Type | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD 573 | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{h} & \mathrm{~m} \\ 0 & 5 \cdot \mathrm{l} \end{array}$ | $+24^{\circ} 59^{\prime}$ | $9 \cdot 1$ | $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Eu}$ |  |
| 2852 | 026.8 | 5324 | $8 \cdot 8$ | $\mathrm{Sr}-\mathrm{Eu}$ |  |
| 2887 | $027 \cdot 1$ | 5440 | $8 \cdot 3$ | $\mathrm{Sr}-\mathrm{Cr}$ |  |
| 3200 | $030 \cdot 2$ | 5510 | $7 \cdot 6$ | Si |  |
| 3473 | $032 \cdot 6$ | 5055 | $8 \cdot 8$ | Si-Mg |  |
| 7341 | 18.5 | 5229 | $9 \cdot 1$ | Si |  |
| 8892 | $122 \cdot 6$ | 5122 | $8 \cdot 5$ | Si |  |
| 10320 | 135.8 | 5641 | $8 \cdot 1$ | Si |  |
| 11140 | $144 \cdot 5$ | 5334 | $8 \cdot 4$ | Si |  |
| 18410 | 252.4 | 5356 | $8 \cdot 6$ | Si | ADS 2254 |
| 20662 | 314.5 | 5221 | $7 \cdot 8$ | Si |  |
| 22316 | $330 \cdot 5$ | 5637 | $6 \cdot 2$ | Cr : | HR 1094 (1) |
| 25215 | $355 \cdot 2$ | 5229 | $8 \cdot 4$ | Si |  |
| 26792 | $4 \quad 9 \cdot 2$ | 5656 | $6 \cdot 5$ | Sr |  |
| 29371 | 432.5 | 5741 | $7 \cdot 3$ | Si |  |
| 29762 | 436.2 | 5941 | $9 \cdot 5$ | Si |  |
| 38129 | $538 \cdot 4$ | 5653 | $6 \cdot 8$ | Cr-Sr |  |
| 39658 | $548 \cdot 9$ | 5444 | $8 \cdot 6$ | Cr |  |
| 39724 | $549 \cdot 3$ | 5539 | $7 \cdot 4$ | Sr -Eu | (2) |
| 40142 | $552 \cdot 0$ | 5220 | $8 \cdot 9$ | $\mathrm{Sr}-\mathrm{Eu}$ |  |
| 52628 | 656.9 | 5552 | $8 \cdot 4$ | $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Eu}$ |  |
| $+53^{\circ} 1183$ | $739 \cdot 1$ | 5354 | (9.3) | $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Eu}-\mathrm{Sr}$ |  |
| 119213 | 13 36.8 | 5742 | $6 \cdot 2$ | Sr | HR 5153 (3) |
| 169887 | 1821.7 | 2635 | $8 \cdot 8$ | Si |  |
| $+26^{\circ} 3347$ | $1841 \cdot 1$ | 2634 | (9.3) | Sr | HD 336796 |
| 178308 | $19 \quad 3 \cdot 0$ | 2736 | $8 \cdot 5$ | Cr |  |
| 184383 | 1929.1 | 2819 | $8 \cdot 7$ | Si |  |
| 193948 | $2017 \cdot 9$ | 261 | $8 \cdot 0$ | Si |  |
| 194132 | 2018.8 | 5247 | $8 \cdot 5$ | Si |  |
| 194210 | $2019 \cdot 3$ | 2531 | $8 \cdot 9$ | Si |  |
| $+25^{\circ} 4289$ | $2030 \cdot 4$ | 268 | $9 \cdot 4$ | $\mathrm{Sr}-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Eu}$ | HD 340577 |
| 201834 | 217.1 | $53 \quad 9$ | $5 \cdot 7$ | Si | HR 8106 (1) |
| $+27^{\circ} 4042$ | $2115 \cdot 4$ | 2723 | $9 \cdot 1$ | $\mathrm{Sr}-\mathrm{Eu}$ |  |
| 203786 | $2119 \cdot 3$ | 2131 | $7 \cdot 6$ | Si |  |
| 204117 | $2121 \cdot 4$ | 5257 | $8 \cdot 5$ | $\mathrm{Sr}-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Eu}$ |  |
| 204905 | 2126.6 | 5230 | $7 \cdot 6$ | Si | ADS 15035 (4) |
| 205795 | $2132 \cdot 6$ | $50 \quad 3$ | $7 \cdot 3$ | $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Eu}$ | ADS 15135 |
| 205950 | $2133 \cdot 7$ | 5256 | $7 \cdot 7$ | Si |  |
| 206028 | $2134 \cdot 2$ | 2357 | $7 \cdot 8$ | Si |  |
| 208525 | 2151.7 | 5032 | $9 \cdot 0$ | Sr |  |

TABLE 1-Continued

| Name | a (1) | $\delta$ | $m_{p g}$ | Type | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | h m |  |  |  |  |
| 209059 | 2155.5 | 2618 | $7 \cdot 7$ | Si |  |
| $+51^{\circ} 3356$ | $2219 \cdot 0$ | $51^{\circ} 26^{\prime}$ | 9.3 | Si | (5) |
| 216754 | $2250 \cdot 4$ | 5025 | $8 \cdot 8$ | Si |  |
| $+59^{\circ} 2602$ | $2251 \cdot 8$ | 5929 | $9 \cdot 3$ | Si | HD 240121 |
| 220846 | $2322 \cdot 0$ | 2451 | $7 \cdot 8$ | $\mathrm{Sr}-\mathrm{Eu}$ |  |
| 222672 | $2337 \cdot 6$ | 543 | $8 \cdot 4$ | Si | ADS 16941 |

1. Spectral peculiarities noted by D. L. Edwards, M.N., 92, 389, 1932.
2. Spectral peculiarities noted in Henry Draper Catalogue.
3. Strong Sr II noted by W. E. Harper, Pub. D.A.O., 7, 55, 1937.
4. Probably optical.
5. Strong $\lambda 4128$ noted by S. W. MoCuskey, Ap. J. Suppl., 2, 120, 1955 (No. 15).

TABLE 2
New Metallic-Line Stars

| Name | $\alpha$ | 0) $\delta$ | $m_{p g}$ | Type | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | h m |  |  |  |  |
| HD 3448 | $032 \cdot 3$ | $+21^{\circ} 21^{\prime}$ | $9 \cdot 3$ | $\delta$ |  |
| 3777 | $035 \cdot 4$ | 5636 | $7 \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 7119 | 16.3 | 2211 | $7 \cdot 7$ |  |  |
| 16641 | $235 \cdot 0$ | 568 | $8 \cdot 2$ |  |  |
| 16932 | $237 \cdot 8$ | 5643 | $8 \cdot 0$ |  |  |
| 18460 | $253 \cdot 0$ | 5555 | $8 \cdot 0$ | $\delta$ |  |
| 21769 | $325 \cdot 5$ | 5826 | $6 \cdot 3$ |  | HR 1068 ADS 2592 |
| 25021 | $353 \cdot 4$ | 5545 | $7 \cdot 2$ |  |  |
| 25305 | 356.2 | 5137 | $8 \cdot 7$ |  |  |
| 25515 | 358.1 | 5030 | $8 \cdot 6$ | $\delta$ |  |
| 28617 | $425 \cdot 6$ | 5630 | $8 \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 30110 | $439 \cdot 6$ | 593 | $8 \cdot 1$ | $\delta$ | ADS 3436 |
| $+57^{\circ} 911$ | ${ }_{5} 38 \cdot 9$ | 5745 | $9 \cdot 2$ |  | HD 237421 ADS 4353 |
| 39390 | $547 \cdot 3$ | $59 \quad 1$ | $8 \cdot 3$ | $\delta$ |  |
| 42083 | $6 \quad 3 \cdot 7$ | 5240 | $6 \cdot 3$ |  | HR 2172 |
| 43508 | 611.5 | 5656 | $8 \cdot 7$ | $\delta$ |  |
| 45798 | 624.5 | 5541 | $8 \cdot 3$ |  |  |
| 47606 | $634 \cdot 4$ | 5647 | $7 \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 50130 | $646 \cdot 7$ | $56 \quad 4$ | $8 \cdot 2$ |  |  |
| 53227 | $659 \cdot 2$ | 5840 | $8 \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 62257 | $738 \cdot 0$ | 5554 | $7 \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 66068-9 | 756.8 | 5357 | $7 \cdot 5$ |  |  |
| 66297 | $757 \cdot 8$ | 5827 | $8 \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 67317 | $8 \quad 2 \cdot 3$ | 566 | $7 \cdot 7$ |  | ADS 6620 |
| 69682 | $812 \cdot 9$ | 5353 | $6 \cdot 6$ | $\delta$ | HR 3258 |
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TABLE 2-Continued

| Name | $a$ | 00) $\delta$ | $m_{p g}$ | Type | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | h mi |  |  |  |  |
| HD 72459 | 827.9 | $+50^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ | $8 \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 72792 | $829 \cdot 7$ | 5620 | $8 \cdot 0$ | $\delta$ |  |
| 74502 | $839 \cdot 3$ | 5326 | $9 \cdot 1$ |  |  |
| 76310 | $850 \cdot 2$ | 224 | $8 \cdot 5$ |  | (1) |
| 76364 | $850 \cdot 6$ | 2215 | $9 \cdot 2$ |  |  |
| 78388 | $9 \quad 2 \cdot 9$ | 5014 | $7 \cdot 7$ | $\delta$ |  |
| 81772 | $922 \cdot 6$ | 5834 | $8 \cdot 3$ | $\delta$ |  |
| 82861 | $929 \cdot 6$ | 5725 | $7 \cdot 2$ |  |  |
| 97811 | $1110 \cdot 1$ | 5940 | $7 \cdot 9$ |  |  |
| 99620 | $1122 \cdot 6$ | 5613 | $8 \cdot 1$ |  | ADS 8173 |
| 99859 | 1124.2 | 5717 | $6 \cdot 2$ |  | HR 4424 |
| 100992 | $1132 \cdot 3$ | 5921 | $9 \cdot 4$ |  |  |
| 108346 | 1221.8 | 5543 | $7 \cdot 5$ |  |  |
| 108844 | $1225 \cdot 3$ | 5857 | $5 \cdot 6$ |  | 74 UMa |
| 109495 | $1230 \cdot 0$ | 5848 | $8 \cdot 5$ |  |  |
| 172743 | 1836.8 | 2443 | $7 \cdot 7$ | $\delta$ | ADS 11574 |
| 179461 | $19 \quad 7 \cdot 6$ | 265 | $7 \cdot 8$ | $\delta$ | ADS 12153 |
| 185983 | 19 36.7 | 2654 | $7 \cdot 9$ |  | BDS 9522 |
| $+26^{\circ} 3658$ | $1940 \cdot 7$ | 2659 | $9 \cdot 1$ |  | HD 338782 |
| 192680 | $2011 \cdot 0$ | 5056 | $7 \cdot 5$ |  |  |
| 192849 | $2012 \cdot 0$ | 5413 | $8 \cdot 5$ |  |  |
| 192893 | $2012 \cdot 2$ | 2534 | $8 \cdot 3$ |  |  |
| 193646 | $2016 \cdot 3$ | 5139 | $8 \cdot 0$ |  |  |
| 195692-3 | $2027 \cdot 7$ | 2528 | $6 \cdot 3$ |  | HR 7849, ADS 13964 |
| 195726 | $20 \quad 27 \cdot 9$ | 5634 | $9 \cdot 2$ |  |  |
| 196022 | 2029.6 | 2732 | $8 \cdot 1$ |  |  |
| 200223 | 20 57-1 | 2620 | $8 \cdot 8$ |  |  |
| 204541 | $2124 \cdot 2$ | 2414 | $7 \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 207561 | 21 44-8 | 5355 | $8 \cdot 0$ |  |  |
| 212595 | $2220 \cdot 4$ | 266 | $7 \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 213143 | $22.24 \cdot 3$ | 2052 | $7 \cdot 9$ | $\delta$ |  |
| 213634 | $22.27 \cdot 8$ | 2253 | $8 \cdot 4$ | $\delta$ |  |
| 218067 | $23 \quad 0.0$ | 599 | $8 \cdot 4$ |  |  |
| 218574 | 23 4.0 | 2156 | $8 \cdot 1$ |  |  |
| 220317 | $2317 \cdot 7$ | 2424 | $9 \cdot 4$ |  |  |
| 222770 | 2338.4 | 5141 | $7 \cdot 8$ |  |  |
| 223247 | $2342 \cdot 8$ | 2750 | $8 \cdot 4$ | $\delta$ |  |
| 223461 | $2344 \cdot 6$ | 2817 | $6 \cdot 0$ |  | 79 Peg |
| 223531 | 23 45.2 | 2418 | $7 \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 224002 | $2349 \cdot 3$ | 2435 | $7 \cdot 8$ |  |  |
| 224657 | 23 54.6 | 2044 | $7 \cdot 9$ |  |  |

(1). Possible Praesepe member.
in fact an abnormal abundance of Mg , it will be most interesting from the standpoint of stellar nucleosynthesis. Another peculiar object of special interest noted on the plates was the $6 \cdot 5$-magnitude star HD 205011, which is probably a new Ba II star. Its spectrum is shown in Fig. 6.

During the survey of the Curtis Schmidt plates a number of objects were noted whose spectra appeared to be characterized by a low metal abundance. A list of 17 stars of this type is given in Table 3. It is hoped that many of these objects will prove to be, in fact, low-metal stars, especially since stars of this type have not


Fig. 5. Objective-prism spectrum of HD 3473, a new silicon star. $\lambda \lambda 4128-31$ of Si II and $\lambda 4481$ of $\mathbf{M g}$ II are indicated.


Fig. 6. Objective-prism spectrum of HD 205011, a probable Ba II star.


Fig. 7. Objective-prism spectrum of the high-velocity star HD 2665. Except for H and K of Ca II, the hydrogen lines, and features due to CH, little is visible in the spectrum.
generally been detected by objective-prism methods. One notable exception is HD 165195, found by W. W. Morgan; also a number of other weak-line stars have been noted by Slettebak, Bahner, and Stock (1961) on low-dispersion Hamburg Schmidt plates of the north galactic pole region. The dispersion of the Hamburg plates is, however, certainly too low for definitive results in this regard, as comparison of objective-prism and slit classifications of the same stars indicates.

Perhaps the most interesting star in Table 3 is HD 2665, whose spectrum is shown in Fig. 7, taken from a $30-\mathrm{min}$ Schmidt exposure. The star's weakness in metals is obvious. A slit spectrogram of this object has been obtained with the Michigan 37 -in. reflector at a dispersion of $77 \AA / \mathrm{mm}$ at $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ from which a radial velocity of $-390 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ has been derived. The proper motion of the star is fairly small ( $\mu=" .077 / \mathrm{yr}$ from Yale Publ., Vol. 27, and from the Second Greenwich Catalog
of Stars for 1925), and the luminosity is very uncertain; the writer is inclined to think that the star is a giant or at least a subgiant. If $M_{v}=0$, the star's velocity in the direction of galactic rotation with respect to the local centroid is approximately $-360 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$, and even if $\mathrm{M}_{v}=+4$, this velocity is about $-330 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$. The orbit is thus definitely retrograde unless the circular velocity is much higher than currently thought.

TABLE 3
Suspected Low-Metal Stars

| Name | $a \quad(1900) \quad \delta$ |  | $m_{p g}$ | Type | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | h m |  |  |  |  |
| HD 187 | $0 \quad 1 \cdot 6$ | $+22^{\circ} 56^{\prime}$ | 8.7 | F |  |
| 2665 | 0 25.2 | 5632 | 8.4 | G |  |
| 6229 | $058 \cdot 2$ | 2314 | $9 \cdot 0$ | G |  |
| 10350 | 136.0 | 2341 | $8 \cdot 9$ | F |  |
| 46703 | $629 \cdot 8$ | 5336 | $9 \cdot 2$ | F |  |
| 67202 | $8 \quad 1.8$ | 5114 | 8.9 | F |  |
| 73394 | $833 \cdot 1$ | 527 | 8.7 | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ADS 6906 |
| 79657 | $910 \cdot 3$ | 5320 | $8 \cdot 6$ | F0: |  |
| $+54^{\circ} 1323$ | $935 \cdot 5$ | 5356 | $9 \cdot 7$ | G | HD 233666 |
| 95547 | $1056 \cdot 6$ | 5534 | $8 \cdot 3$ | F |  |
| $+57^{\circ} 1331$ | $1130 \cdot 6$ | 5723 | $9 \cdot 2$ | G | HD 238020 |
| 109858 | $1233 \cdot 0$ | 5630 | $9 \cdot 0$ | F |  |
| 113392 | $1258 \cdot 3$ | 5947 | $8 \cdot 1$ | F |  |
| 210595 | $22 \quad 6 \cdot 3$ | 2359 | $9 \cdot 0$ | F |  |
| $+53{ }^{\circ} 2883$ | $22.22 \cdot 7$ | 548 | $9 \cdot 2$ | G | HD 235845 |
| 221377 | 23 26.6 | 5152 | $7 \cdot 7$ | F | ADS 16810 |
| 223130 | 23 41-8 | 214 | $8 \cdot 7$ | F |  |

It is hoped that the above discussion will lead to increased interest in extensive spectral classification surveys employing higher dispersions than those being generally used at the present time. Even such a large project as the reclassification of the stars of the Henry Draper Catalogue appears to be quite feasible and highly desirable in view of recent advances in both the theory and the practice of spectral classification.
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