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#### Abstract

The aim of this study was to describe the first zoeal stage of $H$. obliquimanus from two geographically distinct populations, Caribbean and Brazilian, and to summarize the available data on larval morphology of the first zoea of the family Hippolytidae. Ovigerous females of Hippolyte obliquimanus were collected at Ubatuba (São Paulo, Brazil) and at Cahuita (Limón, Costa Rica). All morphological characters observed in the first zoeal stage of $H$. obliquimanus are shared with others species of the family Hippolytidae. Intraspecific variability in Hippolyte obliquimanus was detected in one morphological aspect: the first zoea had four denticles on the ventral margin of the carapace in the Brazilian population, while specimens from the Costa Rican population had three. We compiled the published descriptions of all hippolytids Zoea I (63 spp., 20\%), and all zoeae share several characteristics; however, these morphological features cannot be used to distinguish the first zoeae of Hippolytidae from other caridean larvae. Historically, the presence of an exopodal seta at the maxillule and the absence of the anal spine/papilla have been considered as characteristic for the Zoea I of the genus Hippolyte. The results of our revision, however, did not support these conclusions: although $H$. obliquimanus showed an exopodal seta at the maxillule, and four congeners did not bear such structure; moreover, H. obliquimanus as well as one other congener have an anal spine/papilla.
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## Introduction

The family Hippolytidae Bate is distributed worldwide (Bauer 2004) and consists of 318 species divided in 36 genera (De Grave et al. 2009). The genus Hippolyte Leach comprises 31 species (De Grave et al. 2009) occurring all around the world except in extremely cold waters (Udekem d'Acoz 1996). The larval morphology of the family Hippolytidae or some hippolytid
genera has been reviewed by several authors (Lebour 1932; Gurney 1937; Gurney 1942; Haynes 1985).

The genus Hippolyte is represented in America by eight species (Udekem d'Acoz 2007): three species occur along the Pacific coast (H. californiensis Holmes, H. williamsi Schmitt and $H$. clarkii Chace), and five species have been reported from the Atlantic coast (H. coerulescens (Fabricius), H. pleuracanthus (Stimpson), H. zostericola (Smith), H. obliquimanus Dana and $H$. nicholsoni Chace). The first zoeal stage was studied in five of these species: H. clarkii (by Needler 1934 as Hippolyte californiensis), H. coerulescens (by Gurney 1936 as H. acuminata), $H$. pleuracanthus (by Shield 1978), H. williamsi (by Albornoz \& Wehrtmann 1997) and H. zostericola (by Negreiros-Fransozo et al. 1996). However, except for H. pleuracanthus, the other descriptions of the first zoeal stage were incomplete, since many structures were not cited or described in detail. Concerning the hippolytid species reported so far for the Americas (8 spp.: Udekem d'Acoz 2007), the larval morphology of $H$. californiensis, H. nicholsoni and $H$. obliquimanus, has not been described yet.

Based only on adult morphology, Udekem d'Acoz (1997) postulated that Hippolyte curacaoensis Schmitt from Curaçao is a junior synonym of H. obliquimanus from Brazilian waters. Recent genetic studies, using the mithocondrial 16S and COI genes, have corroborated this hypothesis (Terossi \& Mantelatto unpubl. data). Thus, H. obliquimanus is endemic to the Western Atlantic coast, and is restricted to shallow waters of the Caribbean and off Brazil from Ceará to Santa Catarina (Fausto-Filho 1975; Udekem d' Acoz 1997; Young 1998).

The aim of this study was to review the larval morphology (Zoea I) of the family Hippolytidae and to describe the first zoeal stage of $H$. obliquimanus provided from two geographically distinct populations from the Caribbean (Cahuita Beach, Limón, Caribbean coast of Costa Rica) and from Brazil (Ubatuba, State of São Paulo) in order to document interspecific and possible intraspecific
morphological variability. Moreover, we compiled and compared available deseriptions of the first zoeal stage from hippolytid shrimps.

## Material and Methods

Ovigerous females of Hippolyte obliquimanus were collected at Cahuita Beach (Limón, Costa Rica, $09^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 304^{\prime \prime}$ N, $82^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 163^{\prime \prime}$ W) on February 2009 and May 2010 and at Itaguá Beach (Ubatuba, State of São Paulo, Brazil, $23^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 414^{\prime \prime}$ S, $45^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 047^{\prime \prime}$ W) on May 2009 and February 2010. Detailed information regarding site and method of sampling can be checked at Terossi et al. (in press). These females were maintained in containers with natural sea water until hatching. Recentlyhatched larvae were obtained from ten females (carapace length: $2.09 \pm 0.47 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) from the Brazilian population and four females (carapace length: $2.43 \pm 0.40 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) from Costa Rican. Hatching larvae with active natatory behavior were fixed in $4 \%$ formaldehyde and $80 \%$ ethanol. The carapace length (CL) of the larvae was measured as maximum length from the posterior margin of the ocular orbit to the posterior margin of the carapace. Appendages were dissected under a Leica Wild MZ8 binocular microscope, and drawings and measurements were made using a Zeiss Axioskop 50 microscope equipped with camera lucida. All drawings and measurements were based on 20 larvae ( 10 from each locality). Larval description and setal counts followed the method proposed by Clark et al. (1998), and we used the setal terminology as suggested by Landeira et al. (2009). Some freshly hatched larvae from both populations with active natatory behavior were maintained frozen $\left(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in glycerine for observation of the chromatophore pattern (Darryl L. Felder, pers. comm.). Voucher maternal specimens and larvae were deposited at the Crustacean Collection of the Biology Department of FFCLRP, University of São Paulo, Brazil (CCDB/FFCLRP/USP, access numbers: 2710 and 2711).

## Results

## Hippolyte obliquimanus Dana, 1852

## Zoea I

Carapace length: Brazil: $0.31 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=10)$; Costa Rica: $0.31 \pm 0.02(\mathrm{n}=10)$
Carapace (Figures 1A,B): with a median tubercle, supraorbital spines absent, pterygostomian spine present; anteroventral margin with 3 (Costa Rica) or 4 (Brazil) denticles. Rostrum slender, without teeth and long, overreaching the extremity of the antennular peduncle.

Antennule (Figure 2A): peduncle unsegmented with an outer spiny projection near the exopod; endopod as a long plumose seta; exopod with 3 terminal aesthetacs, 1 terminal spatulate seta, and 1 inner terminal minute spine.

Antenna (Figures 2B): peduncle with a inner spiny projection near the endopod; endopod unsegmented, wider proximally, and with two equal rows of spines in the mediodistal region; exopod (antennal scale) 4-segmented distally with 10 plumose setae, plus 1 short simple seta on the distal segment $(5,1,1,3+1)$.

Mandible: with an incisor and molar processes well developed, without palp.
Maxillule (Figures 2C,D): coxal endite with 7 setae (1 plumose, 2 simple and 4 sparsely plumose) and microtrichia; basial endite with 3 short spines and 2 spines with apical crown of spinules (Figure 2D); endopod with 5 terminal setae ( 1 sparsely plumose, 2 sparsely hardy plumose and 2 simple); exopodal plumose setae present.

Maxilla (Figure 2E): coxal endite bilobed with 9 terminal setae ( 7 sparsely plumose and 2 plumose) on proximal lobe and 4 setae ( 2 terminal sparsely plumose and 2 subterminal plumose) on distal lobe; basial endite bilobed with 4 setae ( 3 terminal, 2 sparsely plumose +1 simple, and 1 subterminal plumose) on each lobe; endopod with 4 lobes with 4 ( 3 sparsely plumose and 1 sparsely hardy plumose), 2 ( 1 sparsely plumose and 1 sparsely hardy plumose), 1 (sparsely hardy plumose), and 2 (1 sparsely plumose and 1 sparsely hardy plumose) setae, respectively, and microtrichia; exopod (scaphognathite) with 5 marginal plumose setae and microtrichia.

First maxilliped (Figure 3A): coxa with 5 plumose seta $(2+1+2)$; basis with 12 setae arranged $(3+3+3+3)$, the proximal one with 2 terminal plumose and 1 subterminal sparsely plumose setae, and the three distal groups with 3 sparsely plumose ( 2 terminal and 1 subterminal) setae each; endopod 4 -segmented with 3 ( 2 terminal and 1 subterminal), 1 (terminal), 2 (terminal), and 4 (3 terminal and 1 subterminal) sparsely plumose setae, respectively; exopod 4 -segmented with $0,0,1,3$, plumose natatory setae.

Second maxilliped (Figure 3B): coxa with 1 terminal sparsely plumose seta; basis with 8 sparsely plumose setae $(1+1+3+3)$; endopod 4 -segmented with 3 (sparsely plumose, 2 terminal and 1 subterminal), 1 (terminal sparsely plumose), 2 (terminal sparsely plumose), and 5 (4 terminal sparsely plumose and 1 subterminal simple) setae respectively; exopod 4 -segmented with 0,0 , 2, 3, plumose natatory setae.

Third maxilliped (Figure 3C): coxa without setae; basis with 1 terminal sparsely plumose seta; endopod 4- segmented with 1 (terminal sparsely plumose), 1 (subterminal simple), 3 (terminal, 2 sparsely plumose and 1 simple), 3 (terminal sparsely plumose) respectively; exopod 4segmented with $0,0,2,3$ plumose natatory setae.

Pereiopods: absent
Abdomen (Figures 1A, 4): with 5 somites without setae, pair of posterolateral spines on somite 5 ; somite 6 fused with the telson; pleopods and uropods absent, anal spine present.

Telson (Figure 4B): broad in the posterior margin, with $7+7$ setae (inner 5 plumose, outer 2 laterally plumose setae), the outer pair is subterminal; one row of spinules on distal margin and around base of the 6+6 inner setae.

Chromatophores pattern (Table 3): all chromatophores observed in the zoea I from both populations are erythrophores (dark red) with the following arrangement; one at the base of rostrum (Figure 1C); one each side between antennular peduncle and the base of the eye (Figure 1C); one each side anterior carapace margin, near of the base of the eye (Figure 1C); one posterolaterally each
side on carapace (Figure 1C); two on the basis of antennule (Figure 2A); two on the basis of antenna (Figure 2B); one on the protopod of the maxilla (Figure 2E); one on the coxa of the first maxilliped (Figure 3A); one on the basis of the second maxilliped (Figure 3B); one each side of the posterior margin of third abdominal segment (Figure 4A); one each side of the fifth segment abdominal, near the posterolateral spine (Figure 4A); and two on the fused sixth abdominal segment-telson (Figure 4A).

## Discussion

The larvae of the family Hippolytidae exhibit an immense diversity in larval forms, which makes it impossible to define any larval characters typical for the entire family (Gurney 1942; Gilchrist et al. 1983; Yang et al. 2001). This family consists of 318 recognized species, encompassing 36 genera (De Grave et al. 2009), but the first zoeal stage is known only for 63 species $(20 \%)$ of 15 genera ( $41.6 \%$ ) (see Table 1), and for many species the description of this stage is poorly described or incomplete. We detected a critical scenario regarding the overall knowledge of the morphology of hatching larvae in Hippolytidae: in genera with more than 10 species, on average, $77 \%$ have not been described yet (Figure 5), which make a detailed comparison within and among genera extremely difficult.

Despite these limitations considering the descriptions available, all newly-hatched zoeae of Hippolytidae have the following characters in common: eye sessile, endopod of the antennules as a seta, rostrum without teeth, unsegmented peduncle of the antennules, pleopods and uropods absent, sixth abdominal segment fused with telson. However these characteristics cannot be used to distinguish hippolytids first zoeae from other caridean larvae. Table 2 summarizes the morphological characters of the first zoeal of all species so far described and indicates morphological variability between species and genera.

There are some characters that allow differentiating some genera from the rest of Hippolytidae, for example (Table 2): the absence of dorsal tubercle on carapace in two species of Caridion, the presence of more than 25 setae on the scaphognathite and more than 7 pairs of setae on telson of two species of Lebbeus.

The larval phase of hippolytid species with an unabbreviated development consists of six to nine stages (Pike \& Williamson 1961; Haynes 1985; Yang et al. 2001), while species with an abbreviated development, like in the genus Lebbeus, have three or four larval stages (Haynes 1985). This kind of abbreviated development leads to some morphological differences in the first zoeal stage hatched (Table 2), with more than 7 pairs of setae on telson, more than 25 setae in the scaphognathite, and pereiopods developed with a small lobe like an exopodite (Haynes 1981).

Based on the published data concerning the larvae of 12 species of the genus Hippolyte (39\% of the species described), the following characters can be found in all first zoeal stage of this genus: never with rudimentary pereiopod 3 to 5 , and the presence of a posterolateral spine on the abdominal segment 5 (no data indicated in the description for H. acuta, Yokoya 1957).

The first zoea of $H$. obliquimanus bears 10 plumose setae on the antennal scale, and this characteristic is shared with three species congeners (Table 2). For the genus, the number of plumose setae can vary between 9 and 10. Negreiros Fransozo et al. (1996) described the antennal scale of the first zoea of $H$. zostericola as bearing 10 setae, however, the figure is indicating only 9 setae. We considered that the figure is correct, because it is more common that authors made a mistake in the descriptive part than in the figure.

The first zoeal stage of $H$. obliquimanus bears an exopodal seta at the basis of the maxillule, a morphological characteristic shared by the following four congeneric species: H. bifidirostris (Packer 1985), H. multicolorata (Packer 1985) H. prideauxiana (Lebour 1931) and H. varians (Lebour 1931). Historically, the presence of this characteristic was considered as a unique character of the genus Hippolyte (Lebour 1931; Gurney 1937; Lebour 1940; Williamson 1957). Nevertheless,
more recent studies of first zoeal stage of this genus revealed that four species (H. acuta, $H$. pleuracanthus, H. williamsi and H. zostericola) did not show this seta (Yokoya 1957; Shield 1978; Albornoz \& Wehrtmann 1997; Negreiros-Fransozo et al. 1996; respectively). The presence of this exopodal seta in the maxillule of Zoea I has been reported also from other members of the family Hippolytidae: Alope spinifrons (Packer 1985), Thor novaezealandiae (Packer 1985) and species from the genus Nauticaris (Packer 1985; Wehrtmann \& Albornoz 1998) as well as from other caridean shrimps (Gurney 1942; Haynes 1985) Therefore, this character cannot be used to separate the first zoeal stage of representatives of Hippolyte from those of other hippolytid and caridean shrimps.

The first zoea of Hippolyte obliquimanus has two spines with an apical crown of spinules at the basis of maxillule (Figure 2D), one with a strong central spinule and other one with two strong central spinules. Based upon the currently available descriptions of the first larval stage in Hippolyte, this might be a character that could be used to differentiate this species from other hippolytid species. The spines at the maxillule of H. futilirostris (Yang \& Kim 2005, Figure 1E) are similar, but not identical compared to those found in H. obliquimanus (Figure 2D), being these stronger than those described for $H$. futilirostris.

All published descriptions of the Zoea I in Hippolyte mention a posterolateral spine on the abdominal segment 5 (no data indicated in the description for H. acuta, Yokoya 1957). However, such a spine it is not exclusive for Hippolyte and can be found also in other genera (Table 2).

The first zoeal stage of H. obliquimanus bears an anal spine/papilla (Figures 1A, 4), which has been described also for H. pleuracanthus (Shield 1978). On the other hand, the anal spine/papilla is absent in two species congeners (H. clarki and H. williansi, Table 2). The absence of such a spine was considered as characteristic of first zoeal stage of the genera Caridion, Hippolyte, Lysmata, Tozeuma, Saron (Gurney 1937; Haynes 1985); however, our results do not support this conclusion.

The first zoeal stage of six species of Hippolyte presents the two outer pairs of spines on the telson feathered only on their inner side (Table 2), now also including H. obliquimanus (Figure 4B). However, in $H$. zostericola all setae of the telson have plumose setae on both sides (NegreirosFransozo et al. 1996), while the telson of the first zoea of $H$. williamsi has only the outer pair of setae bearing plumose setae on its inner side (Albornoz \& Wehrtmann 1997). For the other four species of this genus indicated in the Table 2 detailed information about these setae on the telson is not available.

All morphological characters observed in the first zoeal stage of $H$. obliquimanus are shared with others species of the family Hippolytidae. The external morphology of Hippolyte obliquimanus showed little variation between the two populations studied by us: the first zoea from the Brazilian population has four denticles on the ventral margin of the carapace, while specimens from the Costa Rican population present only three denticles. This can be considered as an intraspecific variation, already described for other species (Table 2), including the congeners $H$. bifidirostris, $H$. multicolorata, H. prideauxiana and H. zostericola (Packer 1985; Lebour 1931; Negreiros Fransozo et al. 1996; respectively). Despite the fact that freshly-extruded eggs of H. obliquimanus were larger in the Brazilian population compared to those produced in the Costa Rican population (Terossi et al. in press), the hatching larvae from both populations were identical in size.

The chromatophores are recognizable in the embryo and are specifically constant in number and position (Gurney 1942). According this author, the chromatophores are so constant that species otherwise almost undistinguishable may be easily identified by their chromatophores. In the present description, we found that both populations presented identical pattern of chromatophores. In this sense, more studies based on freshly-collected larvae are necessary to complete the description of chromatophore patterns in most caridean shrimps and to validate the use of this character as distinctive feature for species identification (Table 3).
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Legends

Figure 1: Hippolyte obliquimanus. Zoea I. (A) Lateral view, Brazilian specimen; (B) Carapace, lateral view, Costa Rican specimen; (C) Dorsal view, with chromatophore pattern. Scale bars: 0.1 mm .

Figure 2: Hippolyte obliquimanus. Zoea I. (A) Antennule; (B) Antenna; (C) Maxillule; (D) Detail of the spines crowned of spinules of the maxillule basial endite; (E) Maxilla. Position of chromatophores is indicated. Scale bars: (A, B, C, E) 0.1 mm ; (D) 0.01 mm .

Figure 3: Hippolyte obliquimanus. Zoea I. (A) First maxilliped; (B) Second maxilliped; (C) Third maxilliped. Position of chromatophores is indicated. Scale bars: 0.1 mm .

Figure 4: Hippolyte obliquimanus. Zoea I. (A) Abdomen, dorsal view; (B) Telson. Position of chromatophores is indicated. Scale bars: 0.1 mm .

Figure 5: Hippolytidae species for which zoea I have been described. The numbers on the right side correspond to the total number of species of each genus (left side).

Table 1: Hippolytidae species for which zoea I have been described.

| Code | Species | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Al_sp | Alope spinifrons (H. Milne-Edwards) | Packer 1985 |
| Ca_go | Caridion gordoni (Bate) | Lebour 1930 |
| Ca_st | Caridion steveni Lebour | Lebour 1930 |
| Ch_an | Chorismus antarcticus (Pfeffer) | Gurney 1937 |
| Ch_tu | Chorismus tuberculatus Bate | Thatje \& Bacardit 2000 |
| Eu_ba | Eualus barbatus (Rathbun) | Ivanov 1971; Haynes 1985 |
| Eu_do | Eualus dozei (A. Milne Edwards) | Albornoz \& Wehrtmann 1997 |
| Eu_fa | Eualus fabricii (Krøyer) | Haynes 1981 |
| Eu_ga | Eualus gaimardii (Milne Edwards) | Pike \& Williamson 1961; Squires 1993 |
| Eu_he | Eualus herdmani (Walker) | Needler 1934 as Spirontocaris herdmani |
| Eu_le | Eualus leptognathus (Stimpson) | Yamashita \& Hayashi 1984 |
| Eu_ma | Eualus macilentus (Krøyer) | Ivanov 1971; Haynes 1985 |
| Eu_oc | Eualus occultus (Lebour) | Pike \& Williamson 1961 |
| Eu_pu | Eualus pusiolus (Krøyer) | Pike \& Williamson 1961 |
| Eu_si | Eualus sinensis (Yu) | Kurata 1968a as E. gracilirostris, Yang et al. 2001 |
| Eu_su | Eualus suckleyi (Stimpson) | Haynes 1981 |
| He_br | Heptacarpus brevirostris (Dana) | Needler 1934 as Spirontocaris brevirostris |
| He_ca | Heptacarpus camtschaticus (Stimpson) | Haynes 1981 |
| He_fu | Heptacarpus futilirostris (Bate) | Kurata 1968b; Yang \& Kim 2005 |
| He_ge | Heptacarpus geniculatus (Stimpson) | Kurata, 1968c Yamashita \& Hayashi 1980 |
| He_pa | Heptacarpus paludicola Holmes | Needler 1934 as Spirontocaris paludicola |
| He_pn | Heptacarpus pandaloides (Stimpson) | Yamashita \& Hayashi 1980 |
| He_re | Heptacarpus rectirostris (Stimpson) | Yamashita \& Hayashi 1979; Yang \& Ko 2002 |
| He_tr | Heptacarpus tridens (Rathbun) | Needler 1934 as Spirontocaris tridens |
| Hi_ac | Hippolyte acuta (Stimpson) | Yokoya 1957 |
| Hi_bi | Hippolyte bifidirostris (Miers) | Packer 1985 |
| Hi_cl | Hippolyte clarki Chace | Needler 1934 as Hippolyte californiensis |
| Hi_co | Hippolyte coerulescens (Fabricius) | Gurney 1936 as H. acuminata |
| Hi_mu | Hippolyte multicolorata Yaldwyn | Packer 1985 |
| Hi_ob | Hippolyte obliquimanus Dana | Present study |
| Hi_pl | Hippolyte pleuracanthus (Stimpson) | Shield 1978 |
| Hi_pr | Hippolyte prideauxiana Leach | Lebour 1931 |
| Hi_va | Hippolyte varians Leach | Lebour 1931 |
| Hi_ve | Hippolyte ventricosa H. Milne Edwards | Gurney 1927 as H. orientalis |
| Hi_wi | Hippolyte williamsi Schmitt | Albornoz \& Wehrtmann 1997 |
| Hi_zo | Hippolyte zostericola (Smith) | Negreiros-Fransozo et al. 1996 |
| La_ac | Latreutes acicularis Ortmann | Yang 2007 |
| La_an | Latreutes anoplonyx Kemp | Yang 2005 |


| La_at | Latreutes antiborealis Holthuis | Albornoz \& Wehrtmann 1997 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| La_la | Latreutes laminirostris Ortmann | Kim \& Hong 1999 |
| Le_gr | Lebbeus groenlandicus (Fabricius) | Ivanov 1971; Haynes 1978; Squires 1993 |
| Le_po | Lebbeus polaris (Sabine) | Haynes 1981 |
| Ly_an | Lysmata anchisteus Chace | Knowlton \& Alavi 1995 |
| Ly_se | Lysmata seticaudata (Risso) | Calado et al. 2004 |
| Me_rh | Merguia rhizophorae (Rathbun) | Gilchrist et al. 1983 |
| Na_ma | Nauticaris magellanica (A. Milne | Wehrtmann \& Albornoz 1998 |
|  | Edwards) |  |
| Na_mr | Nauticaris marionis Bate | Packer 1985 |
| Sa_ma | Saron marmoratus (Olivier) | Gurney 1937 |
| Sp_ar | Spirontocaris arcuata Rathbun | Haynes 1981 |
| Sp_cr | Spirontocaris cranchii (Leach) | Lebour 1932, 1936 |
| Sp_ga | Spirontocaris gaimardi (H. Milne- | Lebour 1940 |
|  | Edwards) |  |
| Sp_ge | Spirontocaris geniculata (Stimpson) | Yokoya 1957 |
| Sp_mu | Spirontocaris murdochi Rathbun | Haynes 1984 |
| Sp_oc | Spirontocaris ochotensis (Brandt) | Haynes 1981 |
| Sp_pa | Spirontocaris pandaloides (Stimpson) | Yokoya 1957 |
| Sp_ph | Spirontocaris phippsii (Krøyer) | Haynes 1985; Squires 1993 |
| Sp_re | Spirontocaris rectirostris (Stimpson) | Yokoya 1957 |
| Sp_sp | Spirontocaris spinus (Sowerby) | Pike \& Williamson 1961; Squires 1993 |
| Sp_si | Spirontocaris spinus var. lilljeborgi | Lebour 1937 |
|  | (Danielssen) |  |
| Th_am | Thor amboinensis de Man | Yang \& Okuno 2004 |
| Th_fl | Thorfloridanus Kingsley | Broad 1957; Dobkin 1968 |
| To_ca | Tozeuma carolinense Kingsley | Gurney 1937; Ewald 1969 |
| To_no | Tozeuma novaezealandiae Borradaile | Packer 1985 |
|  |  |  |

Table 2: Morphological characters of the first zoeal stage described for species of the family Hippolytidae. Abbreviations: Mxlp, maxilliped; P, pereiopods; L, laterally plumose seta; p, plumose seta. (*uncertain, see the discussion for explanation). Codes used for species identification according to Table I; in bold code numbers that refer to Hippolyte species, and underlined $H$. obliquimanus. Many species do not have information about some characters.

| Characters |  |  | Species | Genera |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carapace | Supraorbital <br> spine | absent | Eu_ba, Eu_fa, Eu_ga, Eu_he, Eu_le, Eu_ma, Eu_su, Hi_ac, Hi_ob, La_ac, La_an, Na_ma, Sp_ar, Sp_ge, Sp_mu, Th_am, To_ca | $\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{He}$, Le |
|  |  | present | Sp_oc, Sp_ph, Sp_sp |  |
|  |  | absent | Eu_si, He_ca, He_ge, He_pn | Ca |
|  | Pterygostomian spine | present | Eu_do, Eu_fa, Eu_ga, Eu_he, Eu_le, Eu_oc, Eu_pu, Eu_su, He_fu, He_pa, He_re, Hi_cl, Hi_co, Hi_ob, Hi_ve, Hi_wi, La_ac, La_at, Ly_an, Me_rh, Na_ma, Sa_ma, Sp_ar, Sp_cr, Sp_ga, Sp_mu, Sp_oc, Sp_ph, Sp_sp, Sp_si, Th_fl, To_ca | Ch, Le |
|  |  | absent | Eu_ba, Eu_fa, Eu_si, He_br, He_ca, He_ge, He_pn, He_tr, La_la, Le_po, Me_rh, Sp_mu, Sp_sp, Sp_si, To_ca | Ca |
|  |  | present | Al_sp, Hi_co, Hi_va, Hi_ve, La_ac, La_an, Na_mr, Th_am |  |
|  | Number of | 2 | Eu_le, Eu_oc, He_fu, He_pa, He_re, Hi_pl, Sa_ma |  |
|  | denticles margin | 3 | Ch_tu, Eu_do, Eu_he, Eu_pu, Sp_cr, Sp_ga, Th_fl |  |
|  | anteroventral | 3-4 | Eu_ga, Eu_su, Hi_ob |  |
|  |  | 4 | Eu_ma, Hi_cl, Hi_wi |  |
|  |  | others | $1 \text { (La_at, Sp_oc); 2-3 (Le_gr, Sp_ar); 3-5 (Hi_bi, Hi_mu), 4-5 (Hi_pr, }$ $\text { Hi_zo); } 6 \text { (Ly_se) }$ |  |
|  | Dorsal tubercle | absent |  | Ca |



| segments of the exopod (penultime segment + ultime segment) |  | Sp_ar, Sp_ga, Sp_ge, Sp_mu, Sp_oc, Sp_re, To_ca |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $(1+4)$ | Hi_pl, La_ac, La_la |  |
|  |  | Mxlp 2 | $(1+3)$ | To_ca |  |
|  |  | $(2+3)$ | Ca_st, Ch_tu, Eu_ba, Eu_fa, Eu_ga, Eu_he, Eu_le, Eu_ma, Eu_oc, Eu_pu, Eu_si, Eu_su, He_br, He_fu, He_pa, He_re, Hi_cl, Hi_co, Hi_ob, Hi_pl, Ly_an, Me_rh, Na_ma, Sp_ar, Sp_ga, Sp_ge, Sp_mu, Sp_oc, Sp_re, Sp_si | Le, Th |
|  |  | $(2+4)$ | La_ac, La_la, Ly_se, Sa_ma |  |
|  |  | Mxlp 3 | $(2+3)$ | Ch_tu, Eu_ba, Eu_fa, Eu_ga, Eu_he, Eu_le, Eu_ma, Eu_oc, Eu_pu, Eu_si, Eu_su, He_br, He_fu, He_pa, He_re, Hi_cl, Hi_co, Hi_ob, Hi_pl, Ly_an, Me_rh, Na_ma, Sp_ar, Sp_ga, Sp_ge, Sp_mu, Sp_oc, Sp_re, Sp_si, To_ca | Le, Th |
|  |  | $(2+4)$ | La_ac, La_la, Ly_se, Sa_ma |  |
| Pereiopods |  |  | absent |  | Eu_ba, Eu_he, Eu_ma, Eu_oc, Eu_pu, Eu_si, He_br, He_fu, He_pa, He_tr, Hi_co, Hi_ob, Hi_pl, Hi_zo, La_ac, La_an, La_la, To_ca |  |
|  |  | rudimentary P1 |  | Hi_cl, Ly_se,Th_am |  |
|  |  | rudimentary P1-P2 |  | He_ge, He_re, Hi_bi, Hi_mu, Hi_pr, Hi_va, Hi_ve, Hi_wi, La_at, Na_ma, Sa_ma, Sp_cr | Ca |
|  |  | rudimentary P1-P3 |  | Eu_do |  |
|  |  | rudimentary P1-P4 |  | Eu_ga, Sp_ga |  |
|  |  | rudimentary P1-P5 |  | Ch_an, Eu_fa, Eu_su, He_ca, He_pn, Sp_ar, Sp_oc, Sp_ph, Sp_sp, Sp_si, Th_fl | Le |
| Abdomen | Segment with dorsolateral spines | absent |  | Al_sp, Eu_ba, Eu_do, Eu_he, Eu_le, Eu_ma, Eu_oc, Eu_pu, Eu_si, He_br, He_ca, He_ge, He_pa, He_pn, He_re, He_tr | Ch, Th |
|  |  | 4 and 5 |  | Eu_fa, He_fu, La_ac, La_an, La_la, Me_rh, Sp_ar, Sp_mu, Sp_oc, Sp_ph, Sp_sp | Le |
|  |  | 5 |  | Ch_tu, Eu_ga, Eu_su, Hi_bi, Hi_cl, Hi_co, Hi_mu, Hi_ob, Hi_pl, Hi_pr, Hi_va, Hi_ve, Hi_wi, Hi_zo, La_at, Na_mr, Sp_cr, Sp_ga, Sp_si | Ca , To |
|  | Anal papilla/spine | absent |  | Hi_cl, Hi_wi, La_ac, La_an, To_ca |  |


|  |  | present | He_br, He_ca, He_fu, He_ge, He_pa, He_tr, Hi_ob, Hi_pl, La_at, Sp_ar, Sp_cr, Sp_ga, Sp_mu, Sp_oc, Sp_ph, Sp_sp, Sp_si, Th_am | Eu, Le |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Telson | Setae | $9+9$ | Le_po |  |
|  |  | 9 or $10+10$ or 11 | Le_gr |  |
|  |  | $7 p+7 p$ | Eu_fa, Eu_fa, Eu_si, Eu_su, He_ca, He_pa, Hi_zo, Ly_an, Me_rh, Sp_ar, Sp_mu, Sp_oc |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{cc}  \\ 7+7 & (1 L+6 p)+ \\ (6 p+1 L) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Hi_wi |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} (2 L+5 p)+ \\ (5 p+2 L) \end{gathered}$ |  | La, Th |

Table 3: Chromatophore pattern of the first zoeal stage of species of the genus Hippolyte. Abbreviations: (-) absent, (+) present, but number of chromatophores and position not defined.

|  | Hippolyte <br> coerulescens | Hippolyte <br> obliquimanus | Hippolyte <br> pleuracanthus | Hippolyte <br> prideauxiana | Hippolyte <br> varians | Hippolyte <br> zostericola |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Color | almost <br> colourless, <br> greenish-brown | dark red | Red | yellowish with <br> dark brown | yellowish to <br> orange | Dark olive- <br> brown |
| Base of the rostrum | $(-)$ | 1 | 1 | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ |
| Between antennular peduncle and <br> base of the eye | $(-)$ | 1 each side | 1 each side | 1 each side | 1 each side | 1 each side |
| Anterior carapace margin, near <br> base of the eye (postocular) | 2 | 1 each side | 1 each side | 1 each side | $(+)$ | $(+)$ |
| Dorsal transverse midline of |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| carapace | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | 2 | 1 each side | $(+)$ |  |
| Posterolaterally on carapace | $(-)$ | 1 each side | 2 | 1 each side | $(+)$ | 2 |
| Basis of antennula | $(-)$ | 2 | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(+)$ | $(-)$ |
| Basis of antenna | 2 | 2 | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(+)$ | $(-)$ |
| Near mouth | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | 1 | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ |
| Maxilla | $(+)$ | 1 | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ |
| First maxilliped | $(-)$ | $1(\operatorname{coxa)}$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ |
| Second maxilliped | $(-)$ | $1($ basis) | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $1(b a s i s)$ |


| Third maxilliped | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(+)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dorsal midline of first and second <br> abdominal segments | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | 1 each side | 1 | $(+)$ | $(-)$ |
| Posterior margin of third <br> abdominal segment | $(-)$ | 1 each side | 2 | 2 | $(+)$ | 2 |
| Fourth abdominal segment | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(+)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ |
| Fifth abdominal segment, near the <br> spine posterolateral | $(-)$ | 1 each side | $(-)$ | $(+)$ | 1 each side | 1 each side |
| Fused sixth abdominal segment- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| telson |  |  |  |  |  |  |$\quad 1$| $(-)$ | 3 |
| :--- | :--- |
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