| Aquatic Plant | | Mudmat |
|---|---|--|
| I. Current Status and Distribu | ition | Glossostigma cleistanthum |
| a. Range | Global/Continental | Wisconsin |
| Native Range Australia, New Zealand ¹ | Figure 1: U.S and Canada Distribution Map ² | Not recorded in Wisconsin ² |
| Abundance/Range | | |
| Widespread: | Undocumented | Not applicable |
| Locally Abundant: | New Jersey, Connecticut ¹ | Not applicable |
| Sparse: | Pennsylvania, Rhone Island ¹ | Not applicable |
| Range Expansion | | |
| Date Introduced: | First recorded in 1992, Connecticut [*] ; | Not applicable |
| Rate of Spread: | Rapid ¹ | Not applicable |
| Density | 2(2) | |
| Risk of Monoculture: | High; can reach 100,000 plants/ $m^{2(3)}$ | Undocumented |
| Facilitated By: | Undocumented | Undocumented |
| b. Habitat | Lakes, ponds, reservoirs, abandoned quarrie rock pools, creek beds, swamps ⁴ | s, shorelines, wetlands, rivers ¹ ; |
| Tolerance | Chart of tolerances: Increasingly dark color range | indicates increasingly optimal |
| Depth ¹ (m) 0 1 Preferences | 2 3 4 5 Oligotrophic conditions ¹ ; high clarity ¹ ; low | 6 7 pH, conductivity, alkalinity |
| | and phosphorus ¹ ; sandy or muddy substrates | s ^{1,5} ; full sun ⁵ |
| c. Regulation | | |
| Noxious/Regulated ² : | WA | |
| Minnesota Regulations: | Not regulated | |
| Michigan Regulations: | Not regulated | |
| Washington Regulations: | State Wetland and Aquatic or Noxious Wee | d Quarantine List (listed as G. |
| | diandrum) | |

| II. Establishment Potential and Life History Traits | | |
|---|--|--|
| a. Life History | Small aquatic creeping submersed perennial or emersed annual ¹ | |
| Fecundity | High ¹ | |
| Reproduction | | |
| Importance of Seeds: | Important; a square meter of dense plants can produce up to 23,000 | |
| - | seeds/year ¹ | |
| Vegetative: | Reproduces by rhizome fragmentation ¹ | |
| Hybridization | Undocumented | |
| Overwintering | | |
| Winter Tolerance: | High ^{1,3} ; remains green and viable under ice ¹ | |
| Phenology: | Flowers from August to November in Australia ⁴ | |
| b. Establishment | | |
| Climate | | |
| Weather: | Temperate regions ¹ | |
| Wisconsin-Adapted: | Likely | |
| Climate Change: | Undocumented | |
| Taxonomic Similarity | | |
| Wisconsin Natives: | Medium; family Scrophulariaceae | |
| Other US Exotics: | Medium; family Scrophulariaceae | |
| Competition | | |
| Natural Predators: | Undocumented | |
| Natural Pathogens: | Undocumented | |
| Competitive Strategy: | Undocumented | |
| Known Interactions: | Undocumented | |
| Reproduction | | |
| Rate of Spread: | High | |
| Adaptive Strategies: | Undocumented | |
| Timeframe | Within 2 years of discovery a population spread lakewide to cover approximately 12,000m ² of nearshore habitat ¹ | |
| c. Dispersal | | |
| Intentional: | Aquarium plant, ornamental ¹ | |
| Unintentional: | Wind/water currents; mud; waterfowl and geese; escape from cultivation ¹ | |
| Propagule Pressure: | Medium; fragments easily introduced, but source populations not near | |
| | Wisconsin | |
| Figures 2 and 2. Counters of | $\int \int $ | |

Page 2 of 3 Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources – Aquatic Invasive Species Literature Review

| III. Damage Potential | | |
|---|---|--|
| a. Ecosystem Impacts | | |
| Composition | Dense mats can exclude other native species ¹ | |
| Structure | Undocumented | |
| Function | Undocumented | |
| Allelopathic Effects | Undocumented | |
| Keystone Species | Undocumented | |
| Ecosystem Engineer | Undocumented | |
| Sustainability | Undocumented | |
| Biodiversity | Undocumented | |
| Biotic Effects | Undocumented | |
| Abiotic Effects | Undocumented | |
| Benefits | Undocumented | |
| | | |
| b. Socio-Economic Effects | | |
| b. Socio-Economic Effects Benefits | Aquarium plant, ornamental ¹ | |
| b. Socio-Economic Effects Benefits Caveats | Aquarium plant, ornamental ¹ Risk of release and population expansion may outweigh benefits of use | |
| b. Socio-Economic Effects Benefits Caveats Impacts of Restriction | Aquarium plant, ornamental ¹ Risk of release and population expansion may outweigh benefits of use Increase in monitoring, education, and research costs | |
| b. Socio-Economic Effects Benefits Caveats Impacts of Restriction Negatives | Aquarium plant, ornamental ¹ Risk of release and population expansion may outweigh benefits of use Increase in monitoring, education, and research costs Undocumented | |
| b. Socio-Economic Effects Benefits Caveats Impacts of Restriction Negatives Expectations | Aquarium plant, ornamental ¹ Risk of release and population expansion may outweigh benefits of use Increase in monitoring, education, and research costs Undocumented Undocumented | |
| b. Socio-Economic Effects Benefits Caveats Impacts of Restriction Negatives Expectations Cost of Impacts | Aquarium plant, ornamental ¹ Risk of release and population expansion may outweigh benefits of use Increase in monitoring, education, and research costs Undocumented Undocumented Undocumented | |
| b. Socio-Economic Effects Benefits Caveats Impacts of Restriction Negatives Expectations Cost of Impacts "Eradication" Cost | Aquarium plant, ornamental ¹ Risk of release and population expansion may outweigh benefits of use Increase in monitoring, education, and research costs Undocumented Undocumented Undocumented Undocumented | |
| b. Socio-Economic Effects Benefits Caveats Impacts of Restriction Negatives Expectations Cost of Impacts "Eradication" Cost IV. Control and Prevention | Aquarium plant, ornamental ¹ Risk of release and population expansion may outweigh benefits of use Increase in monitoring, education, and research costs Undocumented Undocumented Undocumented Undocumented | |
| b. Socio-Economic Effects Benefits Caveats Impacts of Restriction Negatives Expectations Cost of Impacts "Eradication" Cost IV. Control and Prevention a. Detection | Aquarium plant, ornamental ¹ Risk of release and population expansion may outweigh benefits of use Increase in monitoring, education, and research costs Undocumented Undocumented Undocumented | |
| b. Socio-Economic Effects Benefits Caveats Impacts of Restriction Negatives Expectations Cost of Impacts "Eradication" Cost IV. Control and Prevention a. Detection Crypsis: | Aquarium plant, ornamental ¹ Risk of release and population expansion may outweigh benefits of use Increase in monitoring, education, and research costs Undocumented Undocumented Undocumented Undocumented Similar to <i>Elatine</i> spp., <i>Limosella</i> spp. and other <i>Glossostigma</i> spp. ¹ | |
| b. Socio-Economic Effects Benefits Caveats Impacts of Restriction Negatives Expectations Cost of Impacts "Eradication" Cost IV. Control and Prevention a. Detection Crypsis: Benefits of Early Response: | Aquarium plant, ornamental ¹ Risk of release and population expansion may outweigh benefits of use Increase in monitoring, education, and research costs Undocumented Undocumented Undocumented Undocumented Similar to Elatine spp., Limosella spp. and other Glossostigma spp. ¹ Undocumented | |

¹ Les, D.H., R.S. Capers, N.P. Tippery. 2006. Introduction of *Glossostigma* (Phrymaceae) to North America: a taxonomic and ecological overview. American Journal of Botany 93(6):927-939.

http://fl.biology.usgs.gov/Nonindigenous_Species/Glossostigma/glossostigma.html

² United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resource Conservation Service. 2011. The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Center, Baton Rouge, LA, USA. Retrieved March 4, 2011 from: http://plants.usda.gov/java/profile?symbol=GLDI

³ Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station. 2008. *Glossostigma cleistanthum*. Retrieved March 4, 2011 from: http://www.ct.gov/caes/cwp/view.asp?a=2799&q=345522

⁴ Barker, W.R. 1992. PlantNET – New South Wales Flora Online. Retrieved March 4, 2011 from: http://plantnet.rbgsyd.nsw.gov.au/cgi-

bin/NSWfl.pl?page=nswfl&lvl=sp&name=Glossostigma~cleistanthum

⁵ Jacono, C.C. 2007. *Glossostigma cleistanthum* (mud mat). USGS Southeast Ecological Science Center. Retrieved March 4, 2011 from: