$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{A}_{1} \cos \theta+\dot{B}_{1} \sin \theta= & \omega(t) A_{1} \sin \theta-\omega(t) B_{1} \cos \theta \\
& -a A_{1} \cos \theta-a B_{1} \sin \theta  \tag{9.20}\\
& -\hat{\omega}(t) A_{2} \cos \theta-\hat{\omega}(t) B_{2} \sin \theta \\
& +a A(t) \cos \theta \\
\dot{A}_{2} \cos \theta+\dot{B}_{2} \sin \theta= & \omega(t) A_{2} \sin \theta-\omega(t) B_{2} \cos \theta  \tag{9.21}\\
& +\hat{\omega}(t) A_{1} \cos \theta-\hat{\omega}(t) B_{1} \sin \theta
\end{align*}
$$

Because of the orthogonality of $\cos \theta$ and $\sin \theta$, it is possible to decompose these equations into:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{A}_{1}=-\omega(t) B_{1}-a A_{1}-\hat{\omega}(t) A_{2}+a A(t)  \tag{9.22}\\
& \dot{B}_{1}=\omega(t) A_{1}-a B_{1}-\hat{\omega}(t) B_{2}  \tag{9.23}\\
& \dot{A}_{2}=-\omega(t) B_{2}+\hat{\omega}(t) A_{1}  \tag{9.24}\\
& \dot{B}_{2}=\omega(t) A_{2}+\hat{\omega}(t) B_{1} . \tag{9.25}
\end{align*}
$$

This can be expressed more compactly as

$$
\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}}=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-a & -\omega(t) & -\hat{\omega}(t) & 0  \tag{9.26}\\
\omega(t) & -a & 0 & -\hat{\omega}(t) \\
\hat{\omega}(t) & 0 & 0 & -\omega(t) \\
0 & -\hat{\omega}(t) & \omega(t) & 0
\end{array}\right]}_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}(t)} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}+\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{l}
a \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \tilde{u}(t)}_{\tilde{\mathrm{b}}}
$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}A_{1} & B_{1} & A_{2} & B_{2}\end{array}\right]^{T}$ and $\tilde{u}(t)=A(t)$. Thus, the equilibrium trajectory of (9.11) is described by (8) and (9) where the coefficients evolve according to (9.26).

### 9.3.2.1.1. Global Exponential Stability of Equilibrium Trajectory System

The stability of the equilibrium trajectory system can be understood by consideration of the following Lyapunov function:

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{V}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})=\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{T} \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \tilde{k} & 0  \tag{9.27}\\
0 & 1 & 0 & \tilde{k} \\
\tilde{k} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & \tilde{k} & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]}_{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{k}=4 \alpha a \min _{\hat{\omega} \in\left[\hat{\omega}_{\min }, \hat{\omega}_{\max }\right.} \frac{\hat{\omega}}{4 \hat{\omega}^{2}+a^{2}} \tag{9.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\alpha \in[0,1]$. The nature of the objective function in (9.28) is such that the minimum occurs at one of the endpoints. Also, $\tilde{k}>0$. Suppose $\exists \hat{\omega}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4 a \hat{\omega}}{4 \hat{\omega}^{2}+a^{2}}>1 \tag{9.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 a \hat{\omega}>4 \hat{\omega}^{2}+a^{2}  \tag{9.30}\\
& 0>4 \hat{\omega}^{2}-4 a \hat{\omega}+a^{2}  \tag{9.31}\\
& 0>(2 \hat{\omega}-a)^{2} \tag{9.32}
\end{align*}
$$

which is impossible. Thus, $\tilde{k}<1$. The positive definiteness of symmetric $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ can be assessed through its eigenvalues, which are $1 \pm \tilde{k}$, each with multiplicity two. Each of these are positive for $\tilde{k} \in[0,1]$. Therefore, $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}($.$) is a positive-definite function. Furthermore,$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\tilde{k})\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \mathbf{V}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \leq(1+\tilde{k})\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\|_{2}^{2} \tag{9.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stability of the unforced equilibrium trajectory system $(\tilde{u}(t)=0)$ is assessed by examining the time derivative of the Lyapunov function $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}($.$) :$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\mathbf{V}}=\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\tau} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{T}(t) \tilde{\mathbf{P}} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}+\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{T} \tilde{\mathbf{P}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}(t) \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \quad \quad \text { You }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{V}}}=-\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{T} \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}(t) \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \tag{9.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}(t)=-\left(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{T}(t) \tilde{\mathbf{P}}+\tilde{\mathbf{P}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}(t)\right)  \tag{9.36}\\
& \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}(t)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
2 a-2 \tilde{k} \hat{\omega}(t) & 0 & a \tilde{k} \frac{0}{\text { fovcec }} \\
0 & 2 a-2 \tilde{k} \hat{\omega}(t) & 0 & a \tilde{k} \\
a \tilde{k} & 0 & 2 \tilde{k} \hat{\omega}(t) & 0 \\
0 & a \tilde{k} & 0 & 2 \tilde{k} \hat{\omega}(t)
\end{array}\right] \tag{9.37}
\end{align*}
$$

The positive definiteness of symmetric $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}(t)$ can be assessed through its eigenvalues, which are $a \pm \sqrt{a^{2}+4 \tilde{k}^{2} \hat{\omega}^{2}(t)+a^{2} \tilde{k}^{2}-4 a \tilde{k} \hat{\omega}(t)}$, each with multiplicity two. Each of these is positive for $\quad 4 \tilde{k}^{2} \hat{\omega}^{2}(t)+a^{2} \tilde{k}^{2}-4 a \tilde{k} \hat{\omega}(t)<0$. Suppose $\exists \hat{\omega} \in\left[\hat{\omega}_{\text {min }}, \hat{\omega}_{\text {max }}\right] \quad$ such that $4 \tilde{k}^{2} \hat{\omega}^{2}(t)+a^{2} \tilde{k}^{2}-4 a \tilde{k} \hat{\omega}(t) \geq 0$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(4 \tilde{k} \hat{\omega}^{2}+a^{2} \tilde{k}-4 a \hat{\omega}\right) \tilde{k} \geq 0 \tag{9.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 \tilde{k} \hat{\omega}^{2}+a^{2} \tilde{k}-4 a \hat{\omega} \geq 0  \tag{9.39}\\
& 4 \tilde{k} \hat{\omega}^{2}+a^{2} \tilde{k} \geq 4 a \hat{\omega}  \tag{9.40}\\
& \left(4 \hat{\omega}^{2}+a^{2}\right) \tilde{k} \geq 4 a \hat{\omega}  \tag{9.41}\\
& \tilde{k} \geq \frac{4 a \hat{\omega}}{4 \hat{\omega}^{2}+a^{2}} \tag{9.42}
\end{align*}
$$

which is impossible over [ $\left.\hat{\omega}_{\text {min }}, \hat{\omega}_{\text {max }}\right]$ by (20). Therefore, $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}(t)$ is positive definite. Further, let

$$
\tilde{\lambda}=a-\sqrt{a^{2}+\max _{\hat{\omega} \in\left[\hat{\omega}_{\min }, \hat{\omega}_{\max }\right]}\left(4 \tilde{k} \hat{\omega}^{2}+a^{2} \tilde{k}-4 a \hat{\omega}\right) \tilde{k}}
$$

The nature of the objective function in (35) is such that the maximum occurs at one of the endpoints. From above, $\tilde{\lambda}>0$ is a lower bound on the eigenvalues of $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}(t)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{V}}}=-\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{T} \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}(t) \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \leq-\tilde{\lambda}\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\|_{2}^{2} \tag{9.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The global exponential stability of the equilibrjum trajectory system is demonstrated:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\tilde{\mathbf{V}}} \leq-\tilde{\lambda}\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{9.45}\\
& \dot{\tilde{\mathbf{V}}} \leq \frac{-\tilde{\lambda}}{1+\tilde{k}} \tilde{\mathbf{V}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})  \tag{9.46}\\
& \tilde{\mathbf{V}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \leq \tilde{\mathbf{V}}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\left(t_{0}\right)\right) e^{\frac{-\tilde{1}+k^{\prime}}{\left(t-t_{0}\right)}}  \tag{9.47}\\
& \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t)\|_{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{V}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t))}{1-\tilde{k}}}  \tag{9.48}\\
& \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t)\|_{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{V}} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t))}{1-\tilde{k}} e^{\frac{-\tilde{\hat{k}}}{1+\left(t-t_{0}\right)}}}  \tag{9.49}\\
& \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t)\|_{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{(1+\tilde{k})\left\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}}{1-\tilde{k}} e^{\frac{-\tilde{k}}{1+\left(t-t_{0}\right)}}}  \tag{9.50}\\
& \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t)\|_{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1+\tilde{k}}{1-\tilde{k}}}\left\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{2} e^{\frac{-\tilde{\lambda}}{2(1+\tilde{k})}\left(t-t_{0}\right)} \tag{9.51}
\end{align*}
$$

### 9.3.2.2. Dynamics about Equilibrium Trajectory

Having established the equilibrium trajectory of the tracking filter above, it is possible to examine the filter's dynamics about the equilibrium trajectory. Let $\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{*}$ where $x^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}x_{1}^{*} & x_{2}^{*}\end{array}\right]^{T}$. Also, observe that $v(t)=u(t)-u^{*}(t)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathbf{z}}=\dot{\mathbf{x}}-\dot{\mathbf{x}}^{*}=\mathbf{A}(t) \mathbf{z}+\mathbf{b} v(t) . \tag{9.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

This error system describes the evolution of the state variable errors with respect to the equilibrium trajectory

### 8.3.2.2.1. Global Exponential Stability of Error System

The stability of the error system can be understood by consideration of the following Lyapunov function:

$$
\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{z})=\mathbf{z}^{T} \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
k & 1  \tag{9.53}\\
1 & k
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{z}
$$

where


$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\max _{\hat{\omega} \in\left[\hat{\omega}_{\min }, \hat{\omega}_{\max }\right]}\left(\frac{a}{4 \hat{\omega}}+\frac{\hat{\omega}}{a}\right)+\varepsilon \tag{9.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
9.54
$$

and $\varepsilon>0$. The nature of the objective function in (46) is such that the maximum occurs at one of the endpoints. Suppose $\exists \hat{\omega}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a}{4 \hat{\omega}}+\frac{\hat{\omega}}{a}<1 . \tag{9.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{2}+4 \hat{\omega}^{2}<4 a \hat{\omega}  \tag{9.55}\\
& a^{2}-4 a \hat{\omega}+4 \hat{\omega}^{2}<0  \tag{9.56}\\
& (a-2 \hat{\omega})^{2}<0 \tag{9.57}
\end{align*}
$$

which is impossible. Thus, $k>1$. The positive definiteness of symmetric P can be assessed with Sylvester's criterion by examining its leading principal minors, which are $k$ and $k^{2}-1$. Each of these are positive for $k>1$. Therefore, $\mathbf{V}($.$) is a positive-definite function. The$ eigenvalues of $\mathbf{P}$ are $k \pm 1$ so

$$
\begin{equation*}
(k-1)\|\mathbf{z}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{z}) \leq(k+1)\|\mathbf{z}\|_{2}^{2} \tag{9.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stability of the undisturbed error system $(v(t)=0)$ is assessed by examining the time derivative of the Lyapunov function $\mathbf{V}$ (.):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathbf{V}}=\mathbf{z}^{T} \mathbf{A}^{T}(t) \mathbf{P z}+\mathbf{z}^{T} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{A}(t) \mathbf{z} \tag{9.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathbf{V}}=\mathbf{z}^{T} \mathbf{Q}(t) \mathbf{z} \tag{9.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{Q}(t)=-\left(\mathbf{A}^{T}(t) \mathbf{P}+\mathbf{P} \mathbf{A}(t)\right)  \tag{9.61}\\
& \mathbf{Q}(t)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 a k-2 \hat{\omega} & a \\
a & 2 \hat{\omega}
\end{array}\right] \tag{9.62}
\end{align*}
$$

The positive definiteness of symmetric $\mathbf{Q}(t)$ can be assessed with Sylvester's criterion by examining its leading principal minors, which are $2 a k-2 \hat{\omega}$ and $-a^{2}+4 a k \hat{\omega}-4 \hat{\omega}^{2}$. Suppose $\exists \hat{\omega} \in\left[\hat{\omega}_{\text {min }}, \hat{\omega}_{\text {max }}\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 a k-2 \hat{\omega} \leq 0 \tag{9.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 a\left(\frac{a}{4 \hat{\omega}}+\frac{\hat{\omega}}{a}\right)-2 \hat{\omega}<0  \tag{9.64}\\
& \frac{a^{2}}{2 \hat{\omega}}<0 \tag{9.65}
\end{align*}
$$

which is impossible. Thus, the first leading principal minor of $\mathbf{Q}(t)$ is positive for $\hat{\omega} \in\left[\hat{\omega}_{\text {min }}, \hat{\omega}_{\text {max }}\right]$. Suppose $\exists \hat{\omega} \in\left[\hat{\omega}_{\text {min }}, \hat{\omega}_{\max }\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-a^{2}+4 a k \hat{\omega}-4 \hat{\omega}^{2} \leq 0 \tag{9.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& -a^{2}+4 a\left(\frac{a}{4 \hat{\omega}}+\frac{\hat{\omega}}{a}\right) \hat{\omega}-4 \hat{\omega}^{2} \leq 0  \tag{9.67}\\
& -a^{2}+a^{2}+4 \hat{\omega}^{2}-4 \hat{\omega}^{2}<0  \tag{9.68}\\
& 0<0 \tag{9.69}
\end{align*}
$$

which is impossible. Thus, the second leading principal minor of $Q(t)$ is positive for $\hat{\omega} \in\left[\hat{\omega}_{\min }, \hat{\omega}_{\max }\right]$, and $\mathbf{Q}(t)$ is positive definite. The eigenvalues of $\mathbf{Q}(t)$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& a k \pm \sqrt{a^{2} k^{2}+a^{2}-4 a k \hat{\omega}+4 \hat{\omega}^{2}} . \text { Let } \\
& \qquad \lambda=a k-\sqrt{a^{2} k^{2}+\max _{\hat{\omega} \in\left[\hat{\omega}_{\min }, \hat{\omega}_{\max }\right.}\left(a^{2}-4 a k \hat{\omega}+4 \hat{\omega}^{2}\right)} \tag{9.70}
\end{align*}
$$

The nature of the objective function in (63) is such that the maximum occurs at one of the endpoints. From above, $\lambda>0$ is a lower bound on the eigenvalues of $Q(t)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathbf{V}}=-\mathbf{z}^{T} \mathbf{Q}(t) \mathbf{z} \leq-\lambda\|\mathbf{z}\|_{2}^{2} \tag{9.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

The global exponential stability of the error system is demonstrated:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{\mathbf{V}} \leq-\lambda\|\mathbf{z}\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{9.72}\\
\dot{\mathbf{V}} \leq \frac{-\lambda}{k+1} \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{z})  \tag{9.73}\\
\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{z}(t)) \leq \mathbf{V}\left(\mathbf{z}\left(t_{0}\right)\right) e^{\frac{-\lambda}{k+1}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}  \tag{9.74}\\
\|\mathbf{z}(t)\|_{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{z}(t))}{k-1}}  \tag{9.75}\\
\|\mathbf{z}(t)\|_{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{V}\left(\mathbf{z}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)}{k-1} e^{\frac{-\lambda}{k+1}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}}  \tag{9.76}\\
\|\mathbf{z}(t)\|_{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{(k+1)\left\|\mathbf{z}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}}{k-1} e^{\frac{-\lambda}{k+1}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}}  \tag{9.77}\\
\|\mathbf{z}(t)\|_{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{k+1}{k-1}\left\|\mathbf{z}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{2} e^{\frac{-\lambda}{2(k+1)}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}}  \tag{9.78}\\
\text { 9.3.3. Selection of parameter " } a^{\prime \prime}
\end{gather*}
$$

The only numerical constraint defined for $a$ is that it has to be greater than 0 . Besides that, any other constraint is qualitative in nature. The trade-off that has to occur is between the selectivity of the filter and the initialization or rapidness with which state $x_{I}$ will reach steady-state. Both, selectivity and initialization are application dependent. The application for this dissertation is tracking the $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the current sourced by the CMV of a drive in a system with HRG grounding. The sampling time for the tracking filter implementation is fixed at $250 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. Then, the maximum frequency to be tracked is determined to be 400 Hz (i.e., the maximum operating frequency of the inverter considered is 133.3 Hz ). This ensures that at least 10 samples will be used to reconstruct the tracked signal. At 4 KHz carrier frequency, as discussed in Chapter 5, the overlap between sidebands of the carrier and frequencies 1/10 the carrier is negligible. Therefore, the only harmonic that requires to be filtered out is the $9^{\text {th }}$ of the current sourced by the inverter CMV. For the lower bound, it is easy to demonstrate that the tracking filter falls apart if the required signal to be tracked is a constant. Without further discussion, no inverter operating frequency below 1 Hz is considered. The frequency to be tracked for this limit case is 3 Hz while the frequency that requires filtering is 9 Hz .

Without the loss of generality, to quantify initialization of state $x_{1}$, (9.4) can be solved for $\hat{\omega}(t)=\omega_{0}$. This is presented in (9.79). If the input, $u$, is defined as $u(t)=A \cos \left(\omega_{0} t+\phi\right)$, the response in time of the resonator to this input can be found as in (9.79). The first term matches the input. The second term is a transient whose decay rate depends on $a$. The more selective the resonator, the smaller $a$ is set. The smaller $a$ is set, the longer the transient term takes to decay.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { to decay. } \\
y(t)=x_{1}(t)=A\left(\begin{array}{c}
\cos \left(\omega_{0} t+\phi\right)+ \\
-e^{-\frac{a t}{2}} \cos (\phi)(\operatorname{is~Comes~} \\
\text { from whom? } \\
\cosh \left(t \sqrt{\frac{a^{2}}{4}-\omega_{0}^{2}}\right)-\frac{\sinh \left(t \sqrt{\frac{a^{2}}{4}-\omega_{0}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{a}{2}+\omega_{0} \tan (\phi)\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{a^{2}}{4}-\omega_{0}^{2}}}
\end{array}\right) \\
\text { definal? as? (9.79) }
\end{array}\right)
$$

It can be demonstrated that the positive envelope of (9.79) is monotonically increasing. $\downarrow$ Then, steady-state has been defined as the moment in which $x_{I}$ equals $99 \%$ of the amplitude of the input. The attenuation can be quantified for the same case, $\hat{\omega}(t)=\omega_{0}$.

In Table 9.1, the initialization time and the attenuation factor are given for several values of the parameter $a$. The resonant frequency has no attenuation (i.e., attenuation factor equals 1). When the input is filtered, the amplitude of the $9^{\text {th }}$ harmonic with respect to the $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic is given as the relative amplitude of the $9^{\text {th }}$ harmonic to the $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic times the attenuation factor.

From (4.14), the $9^{\text {th }}$ harmonic has an amplitude of $1 / 10$ the amplitude of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the CMV. If the amplitude of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic is normalized to 1 , when filtered, the amplitude of the $9^{\text {th }}$ harmonic corresponds to the last column of Table 9.1.

For this dissertation, the criterion to choose the parameter $a$ is no more than $6 \%$ of $9^{\text {th }}$ harmonic present in the filtered output and no more than 1 s allowed for initialization time for operating frequencies of the inverter equal or greater than 1 Hz . This is an engineering design criterion that could be tighter or more relaxed as the application demands. The value of $a$, therefore, is chosen as 40 .

Table 9.1. Initialization time, attenuation factor and amplitude of $9^{\text {th }}$ harmonic.

| $(\mathbf{r a d} / \mathbf{s})$ | (s) |  | (3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Harm=1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 82.7 | 0.002 | 0.0002 |
| 1 | 9.31 | 0.019 | 0.002 |
| 5 | 1.98 | 0.1 | 0.01 |
| 10 | 0.98 | 0.19 | 0.02 |
| 20 | 0.64 | 0.37 | 0.04 |
| 40 | 0.48 | 0.62 | 0.06 |
| 80 | 0.30 | 0.84 | 0.08 |

### 9.3.4. Comparison of proposed tracking filter and QSG-SOGI

In this section, the proposed tracking system which is compactly expressed in (9.4) and the QSG-SOGI, presented in (9.5), are compared qualitatively and quantitavely for the case in which the actual and estimated frequencies of the harmonic to be extracted from a noisy signal are the same.

In Fig. 9.10, the input is a 60 Hz sinusoid which frequency is disturbed by a normally (Gaussian) distributed noise of mean 0 and variance $50 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$. The noise is sampled and held every 0.1 ms . The direct-axis, state $x_{I}$, of the proposed filter and the QSG-SOGI are compared with the input in portion a) of Fig. 9.10. In portion b), a $90^{\circ}$ phase shift is artificially added to the non-disturbed input signal and it is compared to the q -axis of both the proposed filter and the QSG-SOGI.

While Fig. 9.10 corresponds to the initialization of the tracking filter for the case which has been described in the previous paragraph, Fig. 9.11 corresponds to a comparison in steady-state for the same case. The proposed filter is said to be better than the QSG-SOGI.



Fig. 9.10. Initialization: Comparison of input which frequency is disturbed by normally distributed high Freq. noise (mean $=0, \sigma^{2}=50 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}, T_{s}=0.1 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) and state $x_{l}$ given in (9.4-5)


Fig. 9.11. Steady-state: Comparison of input which frequency is disturbed by normally distributed high Freq. noise (mean $=0, \sigma^{2}=50 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}, T_{s}=0.1 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) and state $x_{l}$ given in (9.4-5)

In Fig. 9.12, the input is a 60 Hz sinusoid which frequency is disturbed by a similar noise than the one described for the previous case. The difference is that the note is sampled and held every 10 ms . State $x_{l}$ of the proposed filter and the QSG-SOGI are compared with the input in steady-state. Also, a comparison of the $q$-axis of artificially created, noncontaminated input, proposed tracking filter and QSG-SOGF is presented. Once, again, the proposed filter is said to be better than the QSG-SOGI. Passed the initialization time, the selectivity of the proposed filter far exceed that one of the QSG-SOGI.


Fig. 9.12. Steady-state: Comparison of input which frequency is disturbed by normally distributed high Freq. noise (mean $=0$, variance $=50 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}, T_{s}=10 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) and state $x_{I}$ of filters given in (9.4-5)

### 9.3.5. Some results of the equilibrium trajectory of the tracking filter (9.26)

In this section, the equilibrium trajectory presented in (9.26) is solved for the case in which the actual frequency (frequency of the input) and the estimated frequency (tracking filter) are not equal. In Figs. 9.14-16 equilibrium trajectory coefficients and the reconstructed directaxis and quadrature-axis waveforms are presented for the case in which the frequency of the input is 60 Hz and the estimated frequency used by the tracking frequency is 59.4 Hz . The delay of the reconstructed quadrature-axis waveform due to this error is $400 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ and the attenuation is $2.12 \%$ as illustrated in Fig. 9.16.


Fig. 9.13. Steady-state: Error between input which frequency is disturbed by normally distributed high Freq. noise (mean $=0, \sigma^{2}=50 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}, T_{s}=10 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) and state $x_{l}$ given in (9.4-5)


Fig. 9.14. Coefficients of the equilibrium trajectory (9.26) for $\omega=60 \mathrm{~Hz}, \hat{\omega}=59.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$


Fig. 9.15. Reconstructed q-axis and d-axis waveforms for $\omega=60 \mathrm{~Hz}, \hat{\omega}=59.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$


Fig. 9.15. Comparison input and q-axis waveform for $\omega=60 \mathrm{~Hz}, \hat{\omega}=59.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$
In Figs. 9.17, the coefficients of the equilibrium trajectory given in (9.26) for actual frequency, $\omega=180 \mathrm{~Hz}$, and estimated frequency, $\hat{\omega}=178.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ are illustrated. In Fig. 9.18, the reconstructed waveforms for the q -axis and d -axis are compared with the input for the same case. The delay is found to be $400 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, similar to the previous case, while the attenuation is about $14 \%$. These results have been used in Chapter 5 to determine the threshold of the current sourced by the $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the inverter CMV.


Fig. 9.17. Coefficients of the equilibrium trajectory (9.26) for $\omega=180 \mathrm{~Hz}, \hat{\omega}=178.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$


Fig. 9.18. Reconstructed q-axis and d-axis waveforms for $\omega=180 \mathrm{~Hz}, \hat{\omega}=178.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { It would be good } \\
& \text { to show how this performs } \\
& \text { when this is a small }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 10. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The experimental results presented in this chapter encompass:

1) Experimental results with long cables: The experimental setup utilized for this case was described in Chapter 3, 10 HP diode-rectifier-inverter system. The cable utilized was the 12 AWG Alcatel PVC Cable Shielded Asymmetrical, 4-conductor cable which parameters are given in Appendix A. Two different lengths of this cable were considered ( 100 ft and 800 ft ). A line-to-ground fault was introduced at the inverter terminals, 100 ft from the inverter terminals or at the motor terminals.
2) Experimental results with 2 ASDs in parallel: The setups utilized for this case are 2 of setup \#1 kind. The 2 ASDs are connected such that they share the AC input power. One of the setups was connected to a long cable, the 12 AWG Alcatel PVC Cable Shielded Asymmetrical, 4-conductor. The line-to-ground fault is asserted in only one of the setups. To amplify the effects of stray capacitance, the setup to which the long cable was connected was not the one on which the fault was introduced.
The commissioning stage to determine a threshold, as described in Chapter 5, was implemented in the setup on which terminals a line-to-ground fault was asserted.

### 10.1. Experimental results with long cables

Two cable lengths ( 100 ft and 800 ft ), hard and softer conditions, $R_{f}=42 \Omega, R_{f}=10 \Omega, R_{f}=7$ $\Omega$ are considered. Also, different operating frequencies, $17 \mathrm{~Hz}, 37 \mathrm{~Hz}, 47 \mathrm{~Hz}, 60 \mathrm{~Hz}$ are considered for these experiments.

Due to limitations of dSPACE hardware, data collected from scope shots (inverter operating frequency, current through faulted phase, sum of the 3 phase currents and current through artificially created fault paht) is post-processed together with internally data saved using the dSPACE data logger. An example of the data saved from scope shots, which corresponds to the first test case described below is depicted in Fig. 10.1.

Test 1 : the operating frequency of the inverter was set at 60 Hz . The length of the electric cables was 800 ft . A fault was asserted at 100 ft from the inverter terminals. The fault path resistance was set to $42 \Omega$. The record length was 1 s and the fault occurred at $t=0.3008 \mathrm{~s}$. Description of each result is provided below each Fig. From Fig. 10.2, notice that before the fault, the energy content in the higher frequency range is larger than after the fault. Higher frequency content indicates current circulating through stray capacitances.


Fig. 10.1. Test 1: Scope shot that illustrates measured signals: Output frequency, current through faulted phase, sum of the 3 currents and current through fault path

In Fig. 10.2 and in Fig. 10.4, more data that was acquired in scope shots is presented. In Fig. 10.2 , the sum of the 3 output currents and the corresponding magnitude and phase spectrums are presented (before the occurrence of the line-to-ground fault in black and after the occurrence of the line-to-ground fault in red). Details of Fig. 10.2 are depicted in Fig. 10.4. Herein, the focus is in the low-frequency range, fundamental and $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic with some presence of $5^{\text {th }}$ harmonic. The information found in the phase spectrum before and after the occurrence of the line-to-ground fault at low-frequency is hard to compare. This is because effectively there are no frequency components at such low-frequencies before the occurrence of the fault. In Fig. 10.3, the $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the 3 output currents and the fault indicator as processed by dSPACE are depicted.

The tracking filter detects the occurrence of the line-to-ground fault just a couple of milliseconds after its occurrence as indicated by the fault indicator. The setting of the threshold was initially attempted at levels given in Chapter 6. It was found that only by delaying the detection of the fault by up to 100 milliseconds and adjusting the threshold given in Chapter 6 by up to 5 mA this was possible.


Fig. 10.2. Test 1: Sum of 3 inverter output currents, magnitude spectrum and phase spectrum of the sum of 3 inverter output currents before and after the fault



Fig. 10.3. Test 1: Sum of the 3 output currents, extracted $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the 3 output currents and fault indicator Fisules need to apperv in oudes discussel

From Fig. 0.23 and/or Fig. 10.25 s appreciated that the tracking filter has an overshoot at the moment of initialization. It is less than 50 mA , but it is large enough to compromise the accuracy of detecting the fault, hence, the delay in the detection of the fault right after the initialization of the tracking filter is important. No proved hypothesis of why the filter behaves like this has been proved at this moment, but it-speculated that is due to numerical
error. In the implementation of the detection method, therefore, a 100 ms delay and 5 mA offset for the threshold determined in Chapter 6 were considered.


Fig. 10.4. Test 1: Detail of Fig. 10.2 for low-frequency range
Test 2: the operating frequency of the inverter was set at 60 Hz . The length of the electric cables was 800 ft . The line-to-ground fault was asserted right at the terminals of the motor. The fault path resistance was set to $42 \Omega$. The record length was 1 s and the fault occurred at $\mathrm{t}=0.3008 \mathrm{~s}$. Notice that the fault is detected in about 2 ms , as depicted in Fig. 10.6

From Fig. 10.6, notice that the sum of the 3 output currents of the inverter when the fault occurs is such that the $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic added to the fundamental limits the magnitude of the fundamental. A detection method based on level of fundamental should account for this fact.


Fig. 10.5. Test 2: Sum of 3 output currents with fault indicator, $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the 3 currents extracted by tracking filter with fault indicator


Fig. 10.6. Test 2: Detail of Fig 10.5
Test 3: the operating frequency of the inverter was set at 60 Hz . The length of the electric cables was 100 ft . A fault was asserted right at the terminals of the inverter at instant 0.6 s . The fault path resistance was set to $42 \Omega$. The record length was 2s.
The fault was detected in less than 3 ms . When this case is compared to the 2 previous ones in which the cable length was 800 ft , the difference is clear before the occurrence of the fault. The current flowing through stray inductances is much larger for the previous 2 cases.


Fig. 10.7. Test 3: Sum of 3 output currents with fault indicator, $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the 3 currents extracted by tracking filter with fault indicator


Fig. 10.8. Test 3: Detail of Fig. 10.7
Test 4: the operating frequency of the inverter was set at 37 Hz . The length of the electric cables was 800 ft . A fault was asserted right at the terminals of the motor. The fault path
resistance was set to $42 \Omega$. The record length was 1 s and the fault occurred at $\mathrm{t}=0.3008 \mathrm{~s}$. The fault was detected in about 15 ms .


Sum of the 3 phase currents with Fault Indicator


Fig. 10.9. Test 4: Sum of 3 output currents with fault indicator, $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the 3 currents extracted by tracking filter with fault indicator


Fig. 10.10. Test 4: Detail of Fig. 10.8

Test 5: the operating frequency of the inverter was set at 37 Hz . The length of the electric cables was 100 ft . A fault was asserted right at the terminals of the inverter. The fault path resistance was set to $42 \Omega$. The record length was 1 s and the fault occurred at $\mathrm{t}=0.3008 \mathrm{~s}$. The fault was detected in about 5 ms . Corresponds to this test Figs. 10.10-11


Sum of the 3 phase currents with Fault Indicator


Fig. 10.11. Test 5: Sum of 3 output currents with fault indicator, $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the 3 currents extracted by tracking filter with fault indicator


Sum of the 3 phase currents with Fault Indicator


Fig. 10.12. Test 5: Detail of Fig. 10.10
Test 6: the operating frequency of the inverter was set at 17 Hz . The length of the electric cables was 100 ft . A fault was asserted right at the terminals of the inverter. The fault path
resistance was set to $7 \Omega$. The record length was 1 s and the fault occurred at $\mathrm{t}=0.3008 \mathrm{~s}$. The fault was detected in about 8 ms .


Fig. 10.13. Test 6: Sum of 3 output currents with fault indicator, $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the 3 currents extracted by tracking filter with fault indicator


Fig. 10.14. Test 6: Detail of Fig. 10.12

### 10.2. Experimental results with 2 ASDs in parallel

The fault scenarios include hard and soft types of faults, $R_{f}=42 \Omega, R_{f}=10 \Omega$. In all cases, the length of the electric cable connected to the non-faulted drive is 800 ft . This is done to
amplify stray capacitance effects. The cable connected to the non-faulted drive is 25 ft . In all cases, the fault is asserted at the terminals of the motor. The operating frequencies of the drives are set: 1) different from each other and different from the mains, 2) equal to each other but different than the mains, 3) equal to each other and equal to the frequency of the mains. An example of the data saved from scope shots is depicted in Fig. 10.15.


Fig. 10.15. Test 1: Data saved from scope shots

Data saved include: a) Sum of 6 currents at the source-side, b) Sum of the 3 output currents of the non-faulted drive and magnitude spectrum before and after the fault has occurred, c) Sum of the 3 output currents of the faulted drive and magnitude spectrum before and after the fault has occurred d) Current through the fault path. Data saved is post-processed together with the data logged from dSPACE due to dSPACE hardware limitations.

Test 1: The Op. Freq. of the non-faulted drive was set at 60 Hz . The Op. Freq. of the faulted drive was set at 60 Hz . The fault path resistance $R_{f}=42 \Omega$.
In Fig. 10.16, the sum of the 3 inverter currents for the non-faulted drive and the faulted drive are presented together with a linear magnitude spectrum of such signals before and after the occurrence of the line-to-ground fault. A detail is provided in the same Fig. for the lowfrequency harmonic content.


Fig. 10.16. Test 1: Sum of the 3 output currents at non-faulted drive terminals, sum of the 3 output currents at the faulted drive terminals, linear magnitude spectrum of the sum of the 3 output currents and detail of the magnitude spectrum at tracked frequency

In Fig. 10.17 the $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the 3 output currents as tracked by the tracking filter is depicted for the drive with no fault and the drive on which terminals a line-to-ground fault has been asserted. The fault indicator signals the detection of a line-to-ground fault only in the drive on which terminals the fault occurred.


Fig. 10.17. Test $1: 3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the currents at the terminals of the non-faulted drive (in red) with fault indicator (in blue), $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the currents at the terminals of the faulted drive (in red) with fault indicator (in blue)

Test 2: The operating frequency of the non-faulted drive was set at 60 Hz . The operating frequency of the faulted drive was set at 60 Hz . The fault path resistance $R_{f}=10 \Omega$. The detection time was 5 ms .

By comparing the $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the 3 output currents tracked in Test 1 and in Test 2, it is apparent the presence of a varying phase shift between the CMV of the inverter and the CMV of the converter. This has been identified to be generated by the control of the inverter that uses an unbalanced set of currents to generate a balance set of modulated voltages. Further refinement of the control of the inverter is beyond the scope of this dissertation.


Fig. 10.18. Test 2: Sum of the 3 output currents at non-faulted drive terminals, sum of the 3 output currents at the faulted drive terminals, linear magnitude spectrum of the sum of the 3 output currents and detail of the magnitude spectrum at tracked frequency



Fig. 10.19. Test 2: $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the currents at the terminals of the non-faulted drive (in red) with fault indicator (in blue), $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the currents at the terminals of the faulted drive (in red) with fault indicator (in blue)

Test 3: The operating frequency of the non-faulted drive was set at 47 Hz . The operating frequency of the faulted drive was set at 17 Hz . The fault path resistance was $R_{f}=10 \Omega$. The detection time was roughly 1.9 ms . Figs. 10.20-21 correspond to this test.


Fig. 10.20. Test 3: Sum of the 3 output currents at non-faulted drive terminals, sum of the 3 output currents at the faulted drive terminals, linear magnitude spectrum of the sum of the 3 output currents and detail of the magnitude spectrum at tracked frequency


Fig. 10.21. Test 3: $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the currents at the terminals of the non-faulted drive (in red) with fault indicator (in blue), $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the currents at the terminals of the faulted drive (in red) with fault indicator (in blue)

Test 4: The operating frequency of the non-faulted drive was set at 17 Hz . The operating frequency of the faulted drive was set at 17 Hz . The fault path resistance $R_{f}=10 \Omega$. The detection time was 2.8 ms .


Fig. 10.22. Test 4: Sum of the 3 output currents at non-faulted drive terminals, sum of the 3 output currents at the faulted drive terminals, linear magnitude spectrum of the sum of the 3 output currents and detail of the magnitude spectrum at tracked frequency


Fig. 10.23. Test 4: $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the currents at the terminals of the non-faulted drive (in red) with fault indicator (in blue), $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the currents at the terminals of the faulted drive (in red) with fault indicator (in blue)

Test 5: The operating frequency of the non-faulted drive was set at 17 Hz . The operating frequency of the faulted drive was set at 17 Hz . The fault path resistance $R_{f}=42 \Omega$. The detection time was 1.2 ms . The threshold was 39 mA without delay for initialization time and 15 mA when the delay was applied.


Fig. 10.24. Test 4: Sum of the 3 output currents at non-faulted drive terminals, sum of the 3 output currents at the faulted drive terminals, linear magnitude spectrum of the sum of the 3 output currents and detail of the magnitude spectrum at tracked frequency


Fig. 10.25. Test 4: $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the currents at the terminals of the non-faulted drive (in red) with fault indicator (in blue), $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the currents at the terminals of the faulted drive (in red) with fault indicator (in blue )

### 10.3. Comparison of simulation and experimentation results

In this section, a comparison of simulation and experimentation results is made for 2 drives operating in parallel. For this case, both drives, faulted and non-faulted, were operated at 17 Hz . Both setups are similar to the one used in the previous section.
In Fig. 10.26, the tracked 3rd harmonic of the sum of the 3 output currents at the terminals of the non-faulted drive and the fault indicator are depicted. In Fig. 10.27, the tracked 3rd harmonic of the sum of the 3 output currents at the terminals of the faulted drive and the fault indicator are depicted. In Fig 10.28, the tracked 3rd harmonic of the sum of the output currents and the fault indicator for the experimental faulted drive are shown. In Figs 10.29-31 details of Figs. 10.26-28, respectively, are presented.


Fig. 10.26. Simulation, non-faulted drive: Tracked $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of output currents and fault indicator


Fig. 10.27. Simulation, faulted drive: Tracked $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the output currents and fault indicator


Fig. 10.28. Experimentation, faulted drive: Tracked $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the sum of the output currents and fault indicator


Fig. 10.29. Simulation, non-faulted drive: Detail of Fig. 10.26


Fig. 10.30. Simulation, faulted drive: Detail of Fig. 10.27


Fig. 10.31. Experimentation, faulted drive: Detail of Fig. 10.28
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## 11. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

A line-to-ground fault detection method that has desirable characteristics like immunity to ground loops magnetic contamination, modularity, easiness-to-set threshold with no additional costs added has been developed. In Table 11.1, a comparison between the 5 current-signature-based line-to-ground fault detection methods listed in Chapter 3 (M1-M5) and the method developed in this dissertation (M6) is presented.

The characteristics evaluated of the several methods are: 1) Reliability, which answers the question is detection affected by ground loops?, 2) Modularity, which answers the question is it possible to detect which drive has the fault in a multi-drive system?, 3)Easiness to set threshold, which answers the question is it easy to set the threshold of the signal used for detection in a multi-drive and reconfigurable (any ASD turned-on or turned-off at any instant), 4) Extra DSP, which answers the question is it required to have extra digital signal processing capability to detect the occurrence of a line-to-ground fault?, 5) Extra HW, which answers the question is it required to add hardware to the system in order to detect the occurrence of the line-to-ground fault?

The reliability of method M1 depends on the signal that is chosen to be utilized for detection of the occurrence of the fault. The recommended signal is the fundamental of the operating frequency of the drive which is hard to determine in multi-drive systems and it is signature affected by ground loops. Method M3 is modular, but uses signature that frequency signal is preferred. Furthermore, though the method is modular, it requires trained personnel to determine the occurrence of the fault, which could take a long time. Finally, the easiness to set the threshold depends on the signal selected to be injected. In many cases such a signal is determined by trial and error with more trial and error if the topology of the system changes due to expansion, for instance.

Methods M4 and M5 present most of the disadvantages of any of the methods compared. The reliability to detect a fault based on any of these 2 methods depends on the accuracy with which the threshold is set. In multi-drive systems with long cables, this is difficult and requires trial and error or extensive simulation and tweaking.

Method M6, the one developed in this dissertation is the one with the most advantages among the methods compared. As it was demonstrated in Chapter 7, this method is immune to contamination magnetically coupled due to ground loops. It is modular and easily applicable to multi-drive systems as it was discussed in Chapter 8. The threshold is easy to set because the signature selected has been shown to be the least prone to be affected by ground loops, operating conditions of the ASD and topology of a multi-drive system. Furthermore, the signature selected is low-frequency which does not require extra digital signal processing capability or added hardware.

Table 11.1. Comparison of current-signature-based line-to-ground fault detection methods

|  | Reliable? | Modular? | Easy to set <br> threshold level? | Extra DSP? | Extra HW? |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M1 | Yes. | No. | No. | Yes. | Yes. |
| M2 | Yes. | Yes. | Yes. | Yes. | Yes. |
| M3 | No. | Yes. | No. | No. | Yes. |
| M4 | No. | No. | No. | Yes. | Yes. |
| M5 | No. | No. | No. | Yes. | Yes. |
| M6 | Yes. | Yes. | Yes. | No. | No. |

### 11.1. Conclusions of this dissertation <br> 11.2. Future research

APPENDICES

## APPENDIX A

## FEA FOR CABLES

## A.1. Comparison of cable electric parameters: 1) measured with an LCR and 2) calculated using FEA

## A.1.1. Measurement of cable electric parameters using LCR meter

An LCR meter (HP 4284A: Freq. range $20 \mathrm{~Hz}-1 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) and Kelvin clip leads (HP16089A leads: Freq. range $10 \mathrm{~Hz}-100 \mathrm{kHz}$ ) used to measure cable parameters) was used to measure the resistance, inductance and capacitance parameters of the 3-phase cables as a function of the frequency in various differential-mode and common-mode configurations as shown in Figs. A.1-3. A detailed test procedure is provided in section A.4. The computer simulation models for common-mode analysis use the parameters of the lineground 3 -wire configurations.


Fig. A.1. Differential Mode cable configurations to determine inductance and series resistance (Ls, Rs): (a) Line-line 2-wire and (b) Line-line 3-wire


Fig. A.2. Common Mode cable configurations to determine inductance and series resistance (Ls, Rs): (a) Line-to-ground 1-wire and (b) Line-to-ground 3-wire


Fig. A.3. Cable configurations to measure capacitance (Cp, Rp): (a) Differential-mode line-line 3-wire and (b) Common-mode line-ground 3-wire.

The $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{L}$ parameters of a cable can be represented by 5 X 5 symmetrical matrices and the $\mathbf{C}$ parameters can be represented by a $3 \times 3$ symmetric matrix as follows. The offdiagonal elements in $\mathbf{R}$ are due to proximity effect; and they are neglected. In the $\mathbf{L}$ matrix, the diagonal elements represent the self-inductances and the off-diagonal elements represent the mutual inductances. It has been shown in [27] that the $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{L}$ matrices vary with frequency while the $\mathbf{C}$ matrix does not show significant change with frequency.

$$
\mathbf{R}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
R_{A r} & R_{A r g} & R_{A r u} & R_{A r v} & R_{A r v}  \tag{A.1}\\
R_{A r g} & R_{g} & R_{g u} & R_{g v} & R_{g w} \\
R_{A r u} & R_{g u} & R_{u} & R_{u v} & R_{u v} \\
R_{A r v} & R_{g v} & R_{u v} & R_{v} & R_{v w} \\
R_{A r v} & R_{g w} & R_{u v} & R_{v w} & R_{w v}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{L}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
L_{A r} & M_{A r g} & M_{A r u} & M_{A r v} & M_{A r v} \\
M_{A r g} & L_{g} & M_{s u} & M_{g v} & M_{g w} \\
M_{A r u} & M_{g u} & L_{u} & M_{u v} & M_{u v v} \\
M_{A v v} & M_{g v} & M_{u v} & L_{v} & M_{v v v} \\
M_{A r w} & M_{g v v} & M_{u v} & M_{v v} & L_{w}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{A.2}\\
& \mathbf{C}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{u v} & C_{u v} & C_{u v v} \\
C_{u v} & C_{v g} & C_{v v} \\
C_{u v} & C_{v w} & C_{w g}
\end{array}\right] \tag{A.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The measured inductance ( $L_{e f f}$ ) and capacitance ( $C_{e f f}$ ) for configurations shown in Figures 2-4 can be related to the $L$ matrix of (1) as follows, assuming symmetry between the 3 phases

Line-line 3-wire

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{e f f} & =\frac{1}{3}(L a-M a b)  \tag{A.4}\\
C_{e f f} & =2 C_{a b}+\frac{2}{3} C_{a g} \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Line-to-ground 3-wire

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{e f f}=\frac{1}{3}\left(L_{u}+M_{u v}+M_{u w}-M_{g v}-M_{g w}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(L_{g}-M_{A r u}+M_{A r g}\right)-\frac{5}{6} M_{u g}  \tag{A.6}\\
& C_{e f f}=3 C_{u g} \tag{A.7}
\end{align*}
$$

## A.1.2. Calculation of cable electric parameters using FEA [27]

## A.1.1.1. Cable structure for FEA

FEA 2D modeling software was focused on a 2 AWG cross-linked polyethylene (XLPE) 3 -conductor cable (stranded) with 3 symmetrical bare grounds (stranded) and 2 -mil copper foil tape shield. The FE software uses an electrostatic field solver to determine the capacitance matrix and eddy current solver to determine inductance and series resistance matrices as a function of frequency. Skin and proximity effects are included in the solution. The simplified geometry shown in Fig. A.4(c) drastically reduced simulation time without significantly compromising on accuracy. Cable parameter matrices were obtained at frequencies ranging from $\mathrm{DC}-1 \mathrm{MHz}$.


Fig. A.4. Shielded and bundled cable studied for FEA parameter extraction: (a) 3D physical outline (b) Detailed cross-sectional geometry showing stranded wire (c) Simplified geometry for FEA simulation.

## A.1.1.2. Resistance / Inductance Matrices of Cable vs. Frequency

The $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{L}$ matrices obtained from FEA at different frequencies $(100 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{KHz}, 10$ $\mathrm{KHz}, 100 \mathrm{KHz}, 1 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) are similar to those presented in (A.1-3). Computer simulations require $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{L}$ matrices corresponding to the cable oscillation frequency to obtain correct reflected wave peak voltage, damping and common-mode current. It was noted that the off-diagonal elements in the $\mathbf{R}$ matrix are small and can be neglected for simulation.

## A.1.1.3. Cable Capacitance Matrix

The FEA electrostatic field solver cannot compute variation of capacitance with frequency. It was confirmed by laboratory measurements that the C matrix has negligible change with frequency. The FEA output $\mathbf{C}$ matrix has an interpretation based on solving for electrostatic charge $(Q)$ using the equations $Q=C V$ and $\Sigma Q=0$ in Fig. A. 5 [95]. The system of Fig. A. 5 can be represented by 3 linear equations expressing charge (Q) as a function of voltage (V).

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{1}=c_{11} V_{1}+c_{12} V_{2}+c_{13} V_{3} \\
& Q_{2}=c_{21} V_{1}+c_{22} V_{2}+c_{23} V_{3} \\
& Q_{3}=c_{31} V_{1}+c_{32} V_{2}+c_{33} V_{3} \tag{A.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The electrostatic solver output capacitance matrix contains coefficients $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ii}}$ called coefficients of capacitance while the off diagonal $c_{i j}$ are called coefficients of induction,
which interestingly have negative values. If a ( + ) $Q_{i}$ exists on the $i^{\text {th }}$ conductor, then $V_{i}$ will be ( + ), but charge $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{j}}$ induced on the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ conductor will be ( - ). Hence, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ii}}$ coefficients of capacitance are positive, while coefficients of induction $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ are negative. Also, from symmetry $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ji}}$. System of equations (A.8) can be rewritten based on Fig. A. 5 as in (A.9)


Fig. A.5. Circuit schematic showing the different parameters that can be obtained from the FEA solver.

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{1}=C_{10} V_{1}+C_{12}\left(V_{1}-V_{2}\right)+C_{13}\left(V_{1}-V_{3}\right) \\
& Q_{2}=C_{12}\left(V_{2}-V_{1}\right)+C_{20} V_{2}+C_{23}\left(V_{2}-V_{3}\right) \\
& Q_{3}=C_{13}\left(V_{3}-V_{1}\right)+C_{23}\left(V_{3}-V_{2}\right)+C_{30} V_{3} \tag{A.9}
\end{align*}
$$

In (5) the conductor-to-ground capacitances $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}, \mathrm{C}_{20}, \mathrm{C}_{30}\right)$ are called self-partial capacitances and the line-line capacitances $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)$ are called mutual partial capacitances. Equation (A.9) can be rearranged to form a Y-coefficient matrix as in (A.10).

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{1}=\left(C_{10}+C_{12}+C_{13}\right) V_{1}-C_{12} V_{2}-C_{13} V_{3} \\
& Q_{2}=-C_{12} V_{1}+\left(C_{20}+C_{12}+C_{23}\right) V_{2}-C_{23} V_{3} \\
& Q_{3}=-C_{13} V_{1}-C_{23} V_{2}+\left(C_{30}+C_{13}+C_{23}\right) V_{3} \tag{A.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficients in (A.8) can thus be related to the capacitances shown in Fig. A. 5 using (A.8) and (A.9) to obtain (A.11)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
c_{11}=C_{10}+C_{12}+C_{13} & c_{12}=-C_{12} \\
c_{22}=C_{12}+C_{20}+C_{23} & c_{23}=-C_{23} \\
c_{33}=C_{13}+C_{23}+C_{30} & c_{13}=-C_{13} \tag{A.11}
\end{array}
$$

Thus, the coefficient of capacitance $c_{i j}$ is equal to the total capacitance between conductor i and ground, with all other conductors shorted to ground in Fig. A.5. The $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ coefficients of induction are the negative of the mutual partial capacitances $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ij}}$.

However, nodal circuit simulation programs require a physical realizable matrix of the form in (A.1-3) using $\mathrm{C}_{10}, \mathrm{C}_{20}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{30}$ conductor-to-ground self-partial capacitances and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ line-line mutual partial capacitances. The $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ terms can be determined from above. Conductor-to-ground self-partial capacitances for the nodal matrix are:

| $C_{10}=c_{11}+c_{12}+c_{13}$ |
| :--- |
| $C_{20}=c_{12}+c_{22}+c_{23}$ |
| $C_{30}=c_{13}+c_{23}+c_{33}$ |\(\quad \mathbf{C}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}C_{a g} \& C_{a b} \& C_{a c} <br>

C_{a b} \& C_{b g} \& C_{b c} <br>
C_{a c} \& C_{b c} \& C_{c g}\end{array}\right]=\left[$$
\begin{array}{lll}C_{10} & C_{12} & C_{13} \\
C_{12} & C_{20} & C_{23} \\
C_{13} & C_{23} & C_{30}\end{array}
$$\right]\)

Once the C matrix similar to (A.1-3) is obtained in (A.12), a 3-phase drive-cablemotor simulation program such as PSpice ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ or Simplorer ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ can utilize these $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{L}$ and C transmission line cable parameters [27] with a 3-phase induction motor model [31] for computer simulations of peak motor voltage and common-mode current.

## A.1.3. Comparison of some results

Parameters of a 2-mil, 2 AWG copper tape shielded cable were measured using an LCR meter, in a frequency range from 20 Hz to 1 MHz and also modeled in FE software to obtain the $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{L}$ and C matrices.

Plots of inductance and series resistance vs. frequency are shown in Figs. A.6-7. for line-line 3-wire DM and line-ground 3-wire CM configurations. The series resistance and inductance values show similar parameter trends with measured data, with a difference of about $15 \%-20 \%$ for the inductance at high frequencies. Inductance and resistance differences can be attributed to several factors including limitations of 2-D modeling (cannot account for 3-D effects such as twisting of conductors), accuracy of FEA simulation (typically about 5\%), LCR meter measurement accuracy and the fact that solid-grouped conductor approximations were used in lieu of the multi-strand wires.
devcese
Inductances trend toward a plateau value at high frequency due to internal self inductances of the wire tends toward zero due to skin effect, so only external self and mutual inductances remain. Skin and proximity effects of the resistance at higher
frequency are clearly seen and would require modeling the finer strands more accurately to improve on the error. Differences between LCR measurements and FEA inductance/resistance simulations are about $5-10 \%$.


Fig. A.6. Focus cable: a) Inductance, b) resistance for line-line 3-wire differential mode

The 3-wire common mode capacitance of focus cable predicted by FEA was within $5 \%$ of measured LCR values. The 3-wire DM capacitance of the focus cable FEA prediction was within $20 \%$ of the measured LCR value. Differences can be attributed to several factors including value of dielectric constant of conductor insulation, accuracy of FE simulation (typically about 5\%) and LCR meter measurement accuracy. The cable capacitance does not change with frequency.

Table A.1: Capacitance of focus cable

| Configuration | LCR measurement (pF/m) | Maxwell (pF/m) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Line-line 3-wire | 196 | 244 |
| Line-to-ground 3-wire | 717 | 751 |

a)



Fig. A.7. Focus cable: a) Inductance and b) resistance for line-line 3-wire common mode.

The cable characteristic impedance ( Zc ) plotted in Fig. A. 8 is calculated from line-line two-wire inductance and line-ground capacitance as $\mathrm{Zc}=\sqrt{L / C}$. Zc is about $45 \Omega$ at 60 Hz fundamental frequency and reduces to about $30 \Omega$ in the $100 \mathrm{KHz}-1 \mathrm{MHz}$ range due to variation of inductance with frequency as described earlier.


Fig. A.8. Focus cable: Characteristic Impedance.

## A.2. Procedure for Cable Impedance Measurements with HP 4284A / HP 4285 LCR Meter

## A.2.1. Choosing length of cable

In very short cables accurate measurement of cable parameters is difficult due to the resolution of the LCR meter. In [96], 45 m of cable is used for accuracy of the measurement. In very long cables, reflected wave phenomena need to be accounted for [18]. In [96], it is shown that if the PWM pulses take longer than one-third the rise time to travel from the inverter to the motor, then a full reflection will occur at the motor and the pulse amplitude will approximately double. Moreover, the speed of the PWM pulses in an electric cable is approximately half the speed of light ( $150 \mathrm{~m} / \mu \mathrm{s}-200 \mathrm{~m} / \mu \mathrm{s}$ ). Therefore, if 400 ns rise/fall edges are being used a maximum length of about 150 $\mathrm{m} / \mu \mathrm{s} * 400 \mathrm{~ns}=60 \mathrm{~m}$ should be used, being recommended around 50 m .

## A.2.2. LCR meter set-up

- Calibrate the LCR meter per manufacturer specifications. Perform the OPEN, SHORT and CABLE correction tests to calibrate the meter.
- Use the highest frequency rated terminal fixtures possible. The HP16089A fixture (Kelvin clip leads) is rated at 100 kHz with a 1 m long cable. The HP47047C is rated at 40 MHz , but has no cable, which makes it difficult to connect to the device under test.
- Set the following measurement options: Test Signal Level $=1 \mathrm{~V}$, Range $=$ AUTO, Delay Time $=0$ and Integration Time $=$ MED or LONG
- Choose the $\{\mathrm{Rs}, \mathrm{Ls}\}$ mode for measuring cable inductance and $\{\mathrm{Rp}, \mathrm{Cp}\}$ mode for measuring cable capacitance.


## A.2.3. Cable set-up

Connect the cable in the desired common-mode or differential-mode configurations to measure inductance or capacitance, as depicted in Figures A.1-3. Connect the cable to the terminal fixture on the LCR meter.

## A.2.4. Record Impedance Measurements

- Make the measurements at the following frequencies over the instrument's range: (HP 4284A: $20,100,200,500,1 \mathrm{~K}, 2 \mathrm{~K}, 5 \mathrm{~K}, 10 \mathrm{~K}, 20 \mathrm{~K}, 50 \mathrm{~K}, 100 \mathrm{~K}, 200 \mathrm{~K}, 500 \mathrm{~K}$, $1 \mathrm{M})(\mathrm{HP} 4285: 75 \mathrm{~K}, 100 \mathrm{~K}, 200 \mathrm{~K}, 500 \mathrm{~K}, 1 \mathrm{M}, 2 \mathrm{M}, 5 \mathrm{M}, 10 \mathrm{M}, 20 \mathrm{M}, 30 \mathrm{M})$
- For inductance measurements, record the $\{R s, L s\}$ values and for capacitance measurements, record the $\{\mathrm{Rp}, \mathrm{Cp}\}$ values. (NOTE: Record only the positive values, negative values are erroneous data)


## A.3. Cable electric parameters for $\mathbf{3}$ conductor- $\mathbf{3}$ ground, symmetrical cable

In this section, electric cable distributed parameters are given for several cable gauges.
These parameters are calculated at an operating frequency of 10 KHz .
Table A.2. Some cable dimensions

| Cable | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbf{m m})$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathbf{m m})$ | $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{m m})$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathbf{m m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 500 MCM | 10.3505 | 1.651 | 24.003 | 3.759 |
| 350 MCM | 8.6485 | 1.651 | 20.599 | 3.759 |
| $4 \_0$ | 6.7185 | 1.397 | 16.231 | 2.9845 |
| $3 \_0$ | 5.9815 | 1.397 | 14.757 | 2.362 |
| $2 \_0$ | 5.334 | 1.397 | 13.462 | 2.362 |
| $1 \_0$ | 4.75 | 1.397 | 12.294 | 2.362 |
| 1 | 4.229 | 1.397 | 11.252 | 2.362 |
| 4 | 2.9845 | 1.143 | 8.255 | 1.854 |
| 6 | 2.362 | 1.143 | 7.01 | 1.486 |
| 8 | 1.854 | 1.143 | 5.994 | 1.486 |
| 10 | 1.486 | 0.762 | 4.496 | 1.181 |


| 12 | 1.181 | 0.762 | 3.886 | 0.94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 0.9398 | 0.762 | 3.4036 | 0.7365 |

## A.3.1. 500 MCM

Table A.3. Capacitance $\mathbf{C}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{pF} / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 290.1 | 45 | 45 |
| B | 45 | 290.1 | 45 |
| C | 45 | 45 | 290.1 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table A.4. Inductance $\mathbf{L}$ matrix ( $\mathbf{u H} / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| yyy Ar | 1.196 | 1.197 | 1.197 | 1.197 | 1.197 |
| G | 1.197 | 1.328 | 1.255 | 1.255 | 1.255 |
| A | 1.197 | 1.255 | 1.435 | 1.216 | 1.216 |
| B | 1.197 | 1.255 | 1.216 | 1.435 | 1.216 |
| C | 1.197 | 1.255 | 1.216 | 1.216 | 1.435 |

Table A.5. Resistance $\mathbf{R}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{m} \Omega / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ar | 0.179 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 0 | 0.13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A | 0 | 0 | 0.051 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.051 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.051 |

## A.3.2. 350 MCM

Table A.6. Capacitance C matrix ( $\mathrm{pF} / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y A | 265.4 | 40.1 | 40.1 |
| B | 40.1 | 265.4 | 40.1 |
| C | 40.1 | 40.1 | 265.4 |

Table A.7. Inductance $L$ matrix ( $\mathrm{uH} / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y Ar | 1.226 | 1.227 | 1.227 | 1.227 | 1.227 |
| G | 1.227 | 1.342 | 1.279 | 1.279 | 1.279 |
| A | 1.227 | 1.279 | 1.471 | 1.247 | 1.247 |
| B | 1.227 | 1.279 | 1.247 | 1.471 | 1.247 |
| C | 1.227 | 1.279 | 1.247 | 1.247 | 1.471 |

Table A.8. Resistance $\mathbf{R}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{m} \Omega / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| yyy | 0.21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 0 | 0.13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A | 0 | 0 | 0.074 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.074 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.074 |

A.3.3. 4_0

Table A.9. Capacitance C matrix ( $\mathrm{pF} / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y A | 252 | 37.8 | 37.8 |
| B | 37.8 | 252 | 37.8 |
| C | 37.8 | 37.8 | 252 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table A.10. Inductance $L$ matrix $(\mathrm{uH} / \mathrm{m})$

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ar | 1.235 | 1.237 | 1.237 | 1.237 | 1.237 |
| G | 1.237 | 1.352 | 1.289 | 1.289 | 1.289 |
| A | 1.237 | 1.289 | 1.485 | 1.258 | 1.258 |
| B | 1.237 | 1.289 | 1.258 | 1.485 | 1.258 |
| C | 1.237 | 1.289 | 1.258 | 1.258 | 1.485 |

Table A.11. Resistance $\mathbf{R}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{m} \Omega / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ar | 0.26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 0 | 0.21 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A | 0 | 0 | 0.12 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.12 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.12 |

A.3.4. 3_0

Table A.12. Capacitance C matrix ( $\mathrm{pF} / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y A | 234.2 | 34.2 | 34.2 |
| B | 34.2 | 234.2 | 34.2 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table A.13. Inductance $L$ matrix $(u H / m)$

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| yn Ar | 1.253 | 1.255 | 1.255 | 1.255 | 1.255 |
| G | 1.255 | 1.386 | 1.315 | 1.315 | 1.315 |
| A | 1.255 | 1.315 | 1.508 | 1.277 | 1.277 |
| B | 1.255 | 1.315 | 1.277 | 1.508 | 1.277 |
| C | 1.255 | 1.315 | 1.277 | 1.277 | 1.508 |

Table A.14. Resistance $\mathbf{R}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{m} \Omega / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ar | 0.287 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 0 | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A | 0 | 0 | 0.154 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.154 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.154 |

## A.3.5. 2_0

Table A.15. Capacitance $\mathbf{C}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{pF} / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 221.1 | 31.8 | 31.8 |
| B | 31.8 | 221.1 | 31.8 |
| C | 31.8 | 31.8 | 221.1 |

Table A.16. Inductance $L$ matrix $(\mathrm{uH} / \mathrm{m})$

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| yy | 1.226 | 1.228 | 1.228 | 1.228 | 1.228 |
| G | 1.228 | 1.35 | 1.284 | 1.284 | 1.284 |
| A | 1.228 | 1.284 | 1.486 | 1.251 | 1.251 |
| B | 1.228 | 1.284 | 1.251 | 1.486 | 1.251 |
| C | 1.228 | 1.284 | 1.251 | 1.251 | 1.486 |

Table A.17. Resistance $\mathbf{R}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{m} \Omega / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| yy Ar | 0.314 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 0 | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A | 0 | 0 | 0.194 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.194 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.194 |

A.3.6. $1 \_0$

Table A.18. Capacitance $\mathbf{C}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{pF} / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 208 | 29.4 | 29.4 |
| B | 29.4 | 208 | 29.4 |
| C | 29.4 | 29.4 | 208 |

Table A.19. Inductance $L$ matrix ( $u H / m$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ar | 1.217 | 1.219 | 1.219 | 1.219 | 1.219 |
| G | 1.219 | 1.331 | 1.272 | 1.272 | 1.272 |
| A | 1.219 | 1.272 | 1.483 | 1.242 | 1.243 |
| B | 1.219 | 1.272 | 1.242 | 1.483 | 1.242 |
| C | 1.219 | 1.272 | 1.243 | 1.242 | 1.483 |

Table A. 20. Resistance $\mathbf{R}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{m} \Omega / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ar | 0.342 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 0 | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A | 0 | 0 | 0.244 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.244 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.244 |

## A.3.7. 1 AWG

Table A.21. Capacitance $\mathbf{C}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{pF} / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 196.5 | 27.2 | 27.2 |
| B | 27.2 | 196.5 | 27.2 |
| C | 27.2 | 27.2 | 196.5 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table A.22. Inductance $L$ matrix ( $u H / m$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| yn | 1.233 | 1.235 | 1.235 | 1.235 | 1.235 |
| G | 1.235 | 1.338 | 1.284 | 1.284 | 1.284 |
| A | 1.235 | 1.284 | 1.505 | 1.259 | 1.259 |
| B | 1.235 | 1.284 | 1.259 | 1.505 | 1.259 |
| C | 1.235 | 1.284 | 1.259 | 1.259 | 1.505 |

Table A.23. Resistance $\mathbf{R}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{m} \Omega / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ar | 0.372 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 0 | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A | 0 | 0 | 0.308 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.308 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.308 |

## A.3.8. 4 AWG

## Table A.24. Capacitance $\mathbf{C}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{pF} / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y A | 180.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 |
| B | 24.5 | 180.5 | 24.5 |
| C | 24.5 | 24.5 | 180.5 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table A.25. Inductance $L$ matrix $(u H / m)$

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ar | 1.291 | 1.294 | 1.294 | 1.294 | 1.294 |
| G | 1.294 | 1.392 | 1.342 | 1.342 | 1.342 |
| A | 1.294 | 1.342 | 1.575 | 1.321 | 1.321 |
| B | 1.294 | 1.342 | 1.321 | 1.575 | 1.321 |
| C | 1.294 | 1.342 | 1.321 | 1.321 | 1.575 |

Table A.26. Resistance $\mathbf{R}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{m} \Omega / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y Ar | 0.499 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 0 | 0.535 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A | 0 | 0 | 0.619 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.619 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.619 |

## A.3.9. 6 AWG

Table A.27. Capacitance $\mathbf{C}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{pF} / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 158.6 | 20.3 | 20.3 |
| B | 20.3 | 158.6 | 20.3 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table A.28. Inductance $L$ matrix ( $\mathrm{uH} / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y Ar | 1.209 | 1.213 | 1.213 | 1.213 | 1.213 |
| G | 1.213 | 1.32 | 1.266 | 1.266 | 1.266 |
| A | 1.213 | 1.266 | 1.51 | 1.242 | 1.242 |
| B | 1.213 | 1.266 | 1.242 | 1.51 | 1.242 |
| C | 1.213 | 1.266 | 1.242 | 1.242 | 1.51 |

Table A.29. Resistance $\mathbf{R}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{m} \Omega / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| yn | 0.581 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 0 | 0.833 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A | 0 | 0 | 0.989 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.989 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.989 |

## A.3.10. 8 AWG

Table A.30. Capacitance $\mathbf{C}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{pF} / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 133.5 | 17.1 | 17.1 |
| B | 17.1 | 133.5 | 17.1 |
| C | 17.1 | 17.1 | 133.5 |

Table A.31. Inductance $L$ matrix ( $u \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ar | 1.235 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 |
| G | 1.24 | 1.333 | 1.29 | 1.29 | 1.29 |
| A | 1.24 | 1.29 | 1.56 | 1.274 | 1.274 |
| B | 1.24 | 1.29 | 1.274 | 1.56 | 1.274 |
| C | 1.24 | 1.29 | 1.274 | 1.274 | 1.56 |

Table A.32. Resistance $\mathbf{R}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{m} \Omega / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ar | 0.663 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 0 | 0.833 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A | 0 | 0 | 1.605 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.605 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.605 |

## A.3.11. 10 AWG

Table A.33. Capacitance $\mathbf{C}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{pF} / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 133.6 | 19.9 | 19.9 |
| B | 19.9 | 133.6 | 19.9 |
| C | 19.9 | 19.9 | 133.6 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table A.34. Inductance $\mathbf{L}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{uH} / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ar | 1.223 | 1.229 | 1.229 | 1.229 | 1.229 |
| G | 1.229 | 1.326 | 1.286 | 1.286 | 1.286 |
| A | 1.229 | 1.286 | 1.546 | 1.274 | 1.274 |
| B | 1.229 | 1.286 | 1.274 | 1.546 | 1.274 |
| C | 1.229 | 1.286 | 1.274 | 1.274 | 1.546 |

Table A. 35 . Resistance $\mathbf{R}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{m} \Omega / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ar | 0.836 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 0 | 1.318 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A | 0 | 0 | 2.498 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.498 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.498 |

## A.3.12. 12 AWG

Table A.36. Capacitance $\mathbf{C}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{pF} / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y A | 133.8 | 16.4 | 16.4 |
| B | 16.4 | 133.8 | 16.4 |
| C | 16.4 | 16.4 | 133.8 |

Table A.38. Inductance $L$ matrix ( $\mathrm{uH} / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y Ar | 1.255 | 1.261 | 1.261 | 1.261 | 1.261 |
| G | 1.261 | 1.365 | 1.32 | 1.32 | 1.32 |
| A | 1.261 | 1.32 | 1.591 | 1.303 | 1.303 |
| B | 1.261 | 1.32 | 1.303 | 1.591 | 1.303 |
| C | 1.261 | 1.32 | 1.303 | 1.303 | 1.591 |

Table A.39. Resistance $\mathbf{R}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{m} \Omega / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ar | 0.989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 0 | 2.081 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A | 0 | 0 | 3.955 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.955 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.955 |

## A.3.13. 14 AWG

Table A.40. Capacitance $\mathbf{C}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{pF} / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y A | 119.2 | 13.4 | 13.4 |
| B | 13.4 | 119.2 | 13.4 |
| C | 13.4 | 13.4 | 119.2 |

Table A.41. Inductance $L$ matrix $(u H / m)$

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y \mathrm{Ar}$ | 1.1971 | 1.204 | 1.204 | 1.204 | 1.204 |
| G | 1.204 | 1.324 | 1.272 | 1.272 | 1.272 |
| A | 1.204 | 1.272 | 1.557 | 1.249 | 1.249 |
| B | 1.204 | 1.272 | 1.249 | 1.557 | 1.249 |
| C | 1.204 | 1.272 | 1.249 | 1.249 | 1.557 |

Table A.42. Resistance $\mathbf{R}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{m} \Omega / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | Ar | G | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ar | 1.111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 0 | 3.39 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A | 0 | 0 | 6.243 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.243 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.243 |

## A.4. Alcatel 12AWG PVC Cable Shielded Asymmetrical, 4-conductor cable

## A.4.1 12 AWG

Table A.40. Capacitance $\mathbf{C}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{pF} / \mathrm{m}$ ) - diagonal values are capacitances to shield

|  | A | B | C | Shield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | 201 | 75.8 | 75.8 | 2.2 |
| $\mathbf{B}$ | 75.8 | 201 | 2.2 | 75.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| C | 75.8 | 2.2 | 201 | 75.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shield | 2.2 | 75.8 | 75.8 | 201 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table A.41. Inductance $L$ matrix ( $\mathrm{uH} / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | A | B | C | G | Shield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1.143 | 0.899 | 0.899 | 0.829 | 0.86 |
| B | 0.899 | 1.143 | 0.829 | 0.899 | 0.86 |
| C | 0.899 | 0.829 | 1.143 | 0.899 | 0.86 |
| G | 0.829 | 0.899 | 0.899 | 1.143 | 0.86 |
| Shield | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |

Table A.42. Resistance $\mathbf{R}$ matrix ( $\mathrm{m} \Omega / \mathrm{m}$ )

|  | A | B | C | G | Shield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3.94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 0 | 3.94 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 | 3.94 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.94 | 0 |
| Shield | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.62 |

## APPENDIX B

## MOTOR PARAMETERS FOR MOTOR MODEL USED IN CHAPTER 2

The parameters for different power ratings of the motor model ( $5 \mathrm{HP}, 10 \mathrm{HP}, 20 \mathrm{HP}, 60$ HP) developed in [31] are presented herein. Magnitude and phase response corresponding to the 15 HP motor are depicted in Figs. B.1-2.

Table B.1. Motor used in implemented test-beds: 5 HP

| $C_{l}$ | 0.27 nF |
| :--- | ---: |
| $C_{2}$ | 0.87 nF |
| $R_{l}$ | $9 \Omega$ |
| $R_{2}$ | $500 \Omega$ |
| $R_{3}$ | $7000 \Omega$ |
| $R_{4}$ | $0.5 \Omega$ |
| $L_{1}$ | 2.45 mH |
| $L_{2}$ | 5.2 mH |
| $L_{3}$ | 44 nH |

Table B.2. Motor used in implemented test-beds: Reliance Electric L0194A, 10 HP

| $C_{l}$ | 0.5 nF |
| :--- | ---: |
| $C_{2}$ | 1.15 nF |
| $R_{l}$ | $100 \Omega$ |
| $R_{2}$ | $190 \Omega$ |
| $R_{3}$ | $2000 \Omega$ |
| $R_{4}$ | $0.5 \Omega$ |
| $L_{l}$ | 10.8 mH |
| $L_{2}$ | 6.85 mH |
| $L_{3}$ | 100 nH |

Table B.3. Motor used in implemented test-beds: 20 HP

| $C_{I}$ | 0.41 nF |
| :--- | ---: |
| $C_{2}$ | 1.08 nF |
| $R_{I}$ | $7 \Omega$ |
| $R_{2}$ | $340 \Omega$ |
| $R_{3}$ | $4400 \Omega$ |
| $R_{4}$ | $0.5 \Omega$ |
| $L_{1}$ | 2 mH |
| $L_{2}$ | 4.6 mH |
| $L_{3}$ | 280 nH |

Table B.4. Motor used in implemented test-beds: 60 HP

| $C_{l}$ | 1.28 nF |
| :--- | ---: |
| $C_{2}$ | 2.7 nF |
| $R_{l}$ | $8 \Omega$ |
| $R_{2}$ | $710 \Omega$ |
| $R_{3}$ | $4 \Omega$ |
| $R_{4}$ | $0.5 \Omega$ |
| $L_{1}$ | 0.05 mH |
| $L_{2}$ | 2.2 mH |
| $L_{3}$ | 260 nH |



Fig. B.1. Magnitude response of the impedance of the 15 HP motor

Phase


Fig. B.1. Phase response of the impedance of the 15 HP motor

## APPENDIX D

## FREQUENCY-BASED MODEL OF THE INVERTER

Communications theory [97], [98] is used. The thought process starts defining the sampling technique to be utilized: 1) natural or 2) regular (sample-and-hold). The sample-and-hold (S/H) technique is chosen since it makes digital implementations simpler. The carrier that the modulated signal is compared with is chosen to be a triangular waveform.

The S/H can be performed at: 1) every peak or every valley of the carrier (symmetrical $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{H}$ ) or 2 ) at every peak and every valley (asymmetrical $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{H}$ ). Only the case 1) is analyzed. Two time-variables, shown in (D.1) and in (D.2) are defined.

$$
\begin{align*}
& x(t)=\omega_{c} t+\theta_{c}  \tag{D.1}\\
& y(t)=\omega_{\mathrm{mod}} t+\theta_{\mathrm{mod}} \tag{D.2}
\end{align*}
$$

In (D.1), $\omega_{\bmod }=2 \pi / T_{\bmod }$ is the period of the fundamental waveform and $\theta_{\bmod }$ is an arbitrary phase offset of the angle of the fundamental waveform. In (D.2), $\omega_{c}$ and $\theta_{c}$ are similar variables for the carrier, as the ones defined for the modulated waveform. The time-variables $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ represent the time-variation of the carrier and the modulated waveform respectively. Each one of these is considered as independently periodic. The geometric wall method described initially by [99] and further expanded upon by [100] and [101], [102] is utilized to find the Fourier series of this double-variable-controlled waveform ${ }^{1}$.

Assuming Dirichlet's conditions for the existence of the Fourier series for the modulated waveform and the carrier hold (which for this case do), a Fourier series expansion can be determined for the double-variable-controlled waveform $f(x, y)=f(x(t), y(t))$, as shown in (D.3).

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
f(t)=\frac{a_{00}}{2} & +\sum_{\substack{n=1} \infty}^{\infty}\left(a_{0 n} \cos (n y)+b_{0 n} \sin (n y)\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{m 0} \cos (m x)+b_{m 0} \sin (m x)\right)+ \\
& +\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\
(n \neq 0)}}^{\infty}\left(a_{m n} \cos (m x+n y)+b_{m n} \sin (m x+n y)\right) \tag{D.3}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

The first term in (D.3) is the DC-offset. The second term represents the fundamental component and baseband harmonics. The third term contains the carrier harmonics. And the fourth term represents the sideband harmonics. The magnitudes of the harmonic components must be evaluated for particular values of $m$ and $n$. In complex form ( $j=\sqrt{-1}$ ), such coefficients can be found from (D.4).

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m n}+j b_{m n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{-\pi-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x, y) e^{j(m x+n y)} d x d y \tag{D.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this process, the inner and outer double Fourier integral limits for the SVPWM will be defined, which contain sinusoidal functions. When these limits are replaced in (D.4), exponential modulation (i.e., frequency modulation), as found in [97], [98] results. The Jacobi-Anger expansion [103], given in (D.5), provides a relationship between exponential modulation and Bessel functions of the first kind $\left(J_{n}\right)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{j z \cos \theta}=J_{0}(z)+2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} j^{n} J_{n}(z) \cos (n \theta) \tag{D.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression in (D.5) can be manipulated to obtain (D.6).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos (\alpha+z \sin (\theta))=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} J_{k}(z) \cos (\alpha+k \theta) \tag{D.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using (D.5) and (D.6) in (D.4), the coefficients $a_{m n}$ and $b_{m m}$ can be found for the case of the inverter line-to-neutral voltage, which includes the inverter CMV, as shown in (D.7).

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{m n}+j b_{m n}= \\
& -j \frac{V_{C a p} r T_{m n}}{s \pi^{2}}\binom{\left(1+(-1)^{n}\right)\left(\left(J_{0}\left(\mu_{1}\right)-J_{0}\left(\mu_{2}\right)\right) \sin \left(\frac{n \pi}{3}\right)+J_{0}\left(\mu_{2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{n \pi}{2}\right)\right)+}{\frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(j^{k}\left(1+(-1)^{k+n}\right)\left(P_{1 k n} J_{k}\left(\mu_{1}\right)+P_{2 k n} J_{k}\left(\mu_{2}\right)\right)\right)} \tag{D.7}
\end{align*}
$$

In (D.7), $V_{C a p}$ is defined as the DC-link voltage. $r=\omega_{c} / \omega_{\text {mod }}$ is the ratio between carrier frequency and modulated frequency, $s=m r+n . \quad M_{i}=2 V_{10} / V_{\text {Cap }}$ is the modulation index (i.e., $M_{i}=4 / \pi M$ ), with $V_{l 0}$ defined as the amplitude of the line-to-virtual neutral voltage of the modulator. The Bessel functions of the first type are evaluated at $\mu_{1}=\sqrt{3} M \pi s /(4 r)$ and $\mu_{2}=3 M \pi s /(4 r)$. Also, $T_{m n}=t_{1} e^{-j \frac{n \pi}{r}}-(-1)^{n} t_{2}$, with $t_{1}=e^{j \frac{3 s \pi}{2 r}}$ and $t_{2}=e^{j \frac{s \pi}{2 r}}$ is defined. The integrals $P_{1 k n}=\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{3}} \cos (n y) \cos \left(k\left(y-\frac{\pi}{6}\right)\right) d y$ and $P_{2 k n}=\int_{\frac{\pi}{3}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos (n y) \cos (k y) d y$ and (D.7) are evaluated for the case in which $k \neq|n|$.

Since (D.7) is valid only for $n \neq 0$, no carrier harmonics can be calculated (incomplete inverter CMV and line-to-neutral spectra). It is necessary to find an expression for $n=0$, in order to have a complete spectra of the line-to-neutral voltage and the inverter CMV after modulation.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
a_{m 0, \text { odd }}+j b_{m 0, o d d}= \\
-\frac{4 E}{m \pi} \sin \left(m \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\binom{\frac{1}{3}\left(2 J_{0}\left(\eta_{1}\right)+J_{0}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right)+}{\frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{(-1)^{k}}{k} \sin \left(\frac{k \pi}{3}\right)\left(J_{2 k}\left(\eta_{1}\right)-J_{2 k}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{k \pi}{3}\right)\right)\right.} \tag{D.8}
\end{array}\right)
$$

In (D.8), $\eta_{1}=\sqrt{3} M m \pi / 4$ and $\eta_{2}=3 M m \pi / 4$. The value of $a_{m 0, \text { odd }}+j b_{m 0, \text { odd }}$ is zero for even values of $m$. Expressions (D.7-8) define the full spectrum content of the line-to-middle-point-of the-DC-link-capacitor voltage. Then, the harmonic spectrum for the CMV, the line-to-line voltages and the line-to-virtual-neutral voltages can be calculated for different values of $m$ and $n$. As it was established before, this calculation is valid for asymmetrical regular $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{H}$. The cases: 1) $m=0$ and $n=3,9,15$, etc., which corresponds to $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic and its baseband harmonics, as given by (1.17), and 2) $\mathrm{m}=1$ and $n=0,3,9,15$, etc., which corresponds to the carrier and sideband harmonics, are found.

## APPENDIX E

## LCR MOTOR COMMON MODE IMPEDANCE MEASUREMENT

Table E.1. Three-wire common mode impedance for a Y-connected $5 \mathrm{HP}, 460 \mathrm{~V}$ motor

| $F(H z)$ | $\mathbf{Z}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ | Theta (deg) | $\mathbf{Z}(\mathrm{db})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | $1.96 \mathrm{E}+06$ | -89.7 | $1.26 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| 50 | $7.86 \mathrm{E}+05$ | -89.7 | $1.18 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| 60 | $6.55 \mathrm{E}+05$ | -89.7 | $1.16 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| 100 | $3.94 \mathrm{E}+05$ | -89.7 | $1.12 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| 200 | $1.97 \mathrm{E}+05$ | -89.7 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| 500 | $7.90 \mathrm{E}+04$ | -89.7 | $9.80 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1000 | $3.96 \mathrm{E}+04$ | -89.6 | $9.20 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2000 | $1.98 \mathrm{E}+04$ | -89.6 | $8.59 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 5000 | $7.90 \mathrm{E}+03$ | -89.4 | $7.80 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 10000 | $3.90 \mathrm{E}+03$ | -88.7 | $7.18 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 20000 | $1.89 \mathrm{E}+03$ | -86.5 | $6.55 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 50000 | 660 | -72.7 | $5.64 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 60000 | 532 | -64.9 | $5.45 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 80000 | 417.8 | -44.8 | $5.24 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 100000 | 427 | -27.1 | $5.26 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 120000 | 500 | -19.2 | $5.40 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 150000 | 613 | -22.5 | $5.57 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 200000 | 615 | -37 | $5.58 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 250000 | 562 | -43 | $5.50 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 300000 | 534 | -50 | $5.46 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 400000 | 431 | -64 | $5.27 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 500000 | 330 | -71 | $5.04 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 600000 | 261 | -73 | $4.83 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 800000 | 182 | -72 | $4.52 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1000000 | 144 | -67 | $4.32 \mathrm{E}+01$ |

Table E.2. Three-wire common mode impedance for a Y-connected, $20 \mathrm{HP}, 460 \mathrm{~V}$ motor

| $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{H z})$ | $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{k} \boldsymbol{\Omega})$ | theta (degrees) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 130 | -89.2 |
| 200 | 65.5 | -89.3 |
| 500 | 26.4 | -89.4 |
| 1000 | 13.3 | -89.5 |
| 2000 | 6.6 | -89.5 |
| 5000 | 2.7 | -89.4 |
| 10000 | 1.3 | -89.1 |
| 20000 | 0.649 | -88.1 |
| 50000 | 0.23 | -82 |
| 60000 | 0.181 | -78 |
| 70000 | 0.141 | -72 |


| 80000 | 0.119 | -65.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100000 | 0.0896 | -44.8 |
| 120000 | 0.0899 | -18.6 |
| 150000 | 0.139 | 0 |
| 160000 | 0.163 | -0.6 |
| 166000 | 0.179 | -2.5 |
| 200000 | 0.233 | -22.6 |
| 250000 | 0.195 | -47.6 |
| 300000 | 0.155 | -54.5 |
| 500000 | 0.0832 | -60.4 |

Table E.3. Three-wire CM impedance for a Y-connected, $100 \mathrm{HP}, 460 \mathrm{~V}$ motor

| $\mathbf{f}(\mathrm{Hz})$ | $\mathbf{Z}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ | Theta (degrees) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 72980 | -88.46 |
| 200 | 36900 | -88.65 |
| 500 | 14930 | -88.85 |
| 1000 | 7520 | -88.97 |
| 2000 | 3790 | -89.05 |
| 5000 | 1520 | -89.07 |
| 10000 | 761 | -88.9 |
| 20000 | 374.2 | -88 |
| 50000 | 134.74 | -82.05 |
| 60000 | 107.05 | -78.53 |
| 75000 | 79.59 | -71.03 |
| 100000 | 56.57 | -51.2 |
| 120000 | 53.29 | -32.2 |
| 150000 | 65.34 | -14.65 |
| 160000 | 71.41 | -12.79 |
| 200000 | 94.04 | -17.12 |
| 240000 | 104.46 | -29.23 |
| 300000 | 97.51 | -46.5 |
| 333333 | 88.83 | -53 |
| 400000 | 73.2 | -60.84 |
| 480000 | 60.2 | -65.73 |
| 600000 | 46.28 | -70.24 |
| 800000 | 34.43 | -71.35 |
| 1000000 | 26.4 | -72.6 |
| 1200000 | 24.47 | -71.2 |
| 1500000 | 13.17 | -64 |
| 2000000 | 7.27 | -52.9 |
| 2250000 | 4.5 | -46.8 |
| 2400000 | 2.9 | -30.1 |
| 2450000 | 2.52 | -19.7 |
| 2500000 | 2.26 | -6.1 |
| 2626000 | 2.35 | 30.6 |
| 2750000 | 3.17 | 53.83 |
| 3000000 | 5.37 | 72.2 |
| 4000000 | 14.45 | 84.8 |
| 5000000 | 23.14 | 85.1 |
| 10000000 | 17.8 | 84.5 |
|  |  |  |

Table E.4. Three-wire CM impedance for a $\Delta$-connected, $100 \mathrm{HP}, 460 \mathrm{~V}$ motor

| $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{H z})$ | $\mathbf{Z}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ | Theta (degrees) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 73000 | -88.46 |
| 200 | 36900 | -88.64 |
| 500 | 14930 | -88.85 |
| 1000 | 7530 | -89 |
| 2000 | 3790 | -89.05 |
| 5000 | 1530 | -89.1 |
| 10000 | 766.4 | -89.02 |
| 20000 | 382.48 | -88.71 |
| 50000 | 117.69 | -86.8 |
| 60000 | 120.76 | -85.76 |
| 75000 | 93.37 | -83.66 |
| 100000 | 65.52 | -78 |
| 120000 | 51.95 | -70.47 |
| 150000 | 43.44 | -52.12 |
| 160000 | 44.35 | -45.93 |
| 200000 | 58.2 | -41.1 |
| 240000 | 60.73 | -55.1 |
| 250000 | 59 | -58.1 |
| 30000 | 48 | -67.2 |
| 400000 | 34.13 | -70.19 |
| 500000 | 27.4 | -70.2 |
| 800000 | 16.51 | -74.6 |
| 1000000 | 11.35 | -73.3 |
| 1200000 | 8.1 | -69.6 |
| 1500000 | 4.84 | -57.4 |
| 1600000 | 4 | -52.38 |
| 1700000 | 3.19 | -45.6 |
| 1800000 | 2.44 | -34.1 |
| 1850000 | 2.13 | -25.6 |
| 1900000 | 1.9 | -14.8 |
| 195000 | 1.75 | -1.8 |
| 2000000 | 1.71 | 12.6 |
| 2100000 | 1.95 | 39.1 |
| 2200000 | 2.5 | 56.3 |
| 2400000 | 3.9 | 72 |
| 2500000 | 4.64 | 75.6 |
| 3000000 | 8.25 | 83.2 |
| 4000000 | 15.03 | 86.5 |
| 5000000 | 22.3 | 86.9 |
| 10000000 | 66 | 84.5 |



Fig. E.1. Frequency response of the common mode impedance of a motor: a) magnitude,
b) phase

| a) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b) |  |  |
|  |  | Frequency (kHz) |

Fig. E.2. Common mode capacitance for a 5 HP Y-connected motor: a) magnitude, b) phase



Fig. E.3. Common mode capacitance for a 20 HP Y-connected motor: a) magnitude, b) phase


Fig. E.4. Common mode capacitance for a 100 HP Y-connected motor: a) magnitude, b) phase


Fig. E.5. Common mode capacitance for a $100 \mathrm{HP} \Delta$-connected motor: a) magnitude, b) phase

Table E.1. Common mode impedances for different motors

|  | $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{H P}$ motor (Y) |  | $\mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{H P}$ motor (Y) |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{H P}$ motor (Y) |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{H P}$ motor ( $\mathbf{\Delta})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{f}=\mathbf{1 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{f}=\mathbf{4} \mathbf{k}$ | $\mathbf{f}=\mathbf{1 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{f}=\mathbf{4} \mathbf{k}$ | $\mathbf{f}=\mathbf{1 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{f}=\mathbf{4} \mathbf{k}$ | $\mathbf{f = 1 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{f}=\mathbf{4} \mathbf{k}$ |
| $\mathrm{Z}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ | 196,400 | 10,410 | 65,200 | 6,335 | 36,700 | 3,733 | 36,700 | 3,602 |

Table E.2. Some other calculated motor frames and parasitic capacitance

| Motor power (HP) | Parasitic capacitance (nF) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 21 |
| 20 | 54 |
| 30 | 90 |
| 50 | 150 |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The interested reader is prompted to specialized literature [HOLMES 2003] to understand the method of geometric wall, although, the author of this dissertation has had difficulties implementing the results presented in Section 6.4 of [HOLMES 2003].

