Scott C. Digweed

Northern Forestry Centre, Canadian Forest Service, Natural Resources Canada, 5320 – 122 Street NW, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6H 3S5

Chris J.K. MacQuarrie

 Northern Forestry Centre, Canadian Forest Service, Natural Resources Canada, 5320 –
 122 Street NW, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6H 3S5, and Department of Renewable Resources, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2H1

David W. Langor,¹ Daryl J.M. Williams

Northern Forestry Centre, Canadian Forest Service, Natural Resources Canada, 5320 – 122 Street NW, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6H 3S5

John R. Spence

Department of Renewable Resources, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2H1

Kathryn L. Nystrom

Great Lakes Forestry Centre, Canadian Forest Service, Natural Resources Canada, 1219 Queen Street E, Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario, Canada P6A 2E5

Louis Morneau

Service des relevés et des diagnostics, Direction de l'environnement et de la protection des forêts, Ministère des Ressources naturelles et de la Faune, local D.2.370a, 2700 rue Einstein, Québec, Québec, Canada G1P 3W8

Abstract—In the 20th century, five species of birch-leafmining sawflies were inadvertently introduced from Europe to North America: *Heterarthrus nemoratus* (Fallén), *Fenusa pumila* Leach, *Profenusa thomsoni* (Konow), *Fenusella nana* (Klug), and *Scolioneura vicina* Konow. All have been recorded at outbreak levels in North America, and three (*F. pumila, P. thomsoni*, and *H. nemoratus*) have been the targets of successful biological control programs. The most recently detected species, *F. nana* and *S. vicina*, are good candidates for future biological control in Canada. We review the biology of all five of these birch-leafmining sawflies in North America and present keys to adults, larvae, and mines to aid correct identification.

Résumé—Au cours du dernier siècle, cinq espèces de tenthrèdes mineuses du bouleau ont été introduites involontairement d'Europe en Amérique du Nord: *Heterarthrus nemoratus* (Fallén), *Fenusa pumila* Leach, *Profenusa thomsoni* (Konow), *Fenusella nana* (Klug) et *Scolioneura vicina* Konow. Elles ont toutes été rapportées à des niveaux épidémiques en Amérique du Nord et trois de ces espèces (*F. pumila*, *P. thomsoni* et *H. nemoratus*) ont fait l'objet de programmes de contrôle biologique réussis. Les deux espèces détectées plus récemment, *F. nana* et *S. vicina*, constituent de bonnes candidates pour un éventuel contrôle biologique au Canada. Nous révisons la biologie de cinq espèces de tenthrèdes mineuses du bouleau en Amérique du Nord et présentons des clés pour faciliter l'identification des adultes, des larves et des mines.

Received 4 December 2008. Accepted 6 February 2009.

¹Corresponding author (e-mail: dlangor@nrcan.gc.ca). doi: 10.4039/n09-003

Introduction

Exotic leafmining sawflies (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae) on birches, Betula L. (Betulaceae), have become widely distributed in Canada since their introduction to eastern North America in the 20th century (Digweed et al. 1997). The ambermarked birch leafminer, Profenusa thomsoni (Konow), the birch leafminer, Fenusa pumila Leach, and the late birch leaf edgeminer, Heterarthrus nemoratus (Fallén), all occur across Canada, whereas the early birch leaf edgeminer, Fenusella nana (Klug), and Scolioneura vicina Konow have been previously reported only from eastern Canada (Lindquist and Thomson 1970; Nystrom and Evans 1989; Digweed et al. 1997). When these sawflies are abundant, feeding damage on birch leaves is unsightly and decreases the aesthetic value of urban birch trees, which leads to public concern. Repeated severe defoliation reduces birch growth (Peirson and Brower 1936) and contributes to the decline of ornamental birch trees in the urban landscape (Hoch et al. 2000). Application of pesticides to control these insects is expensive and can have undesirable side effects in the urban forest.

At present, information about the identification and biology of these sawflies is fragmented among numerous publications and some has never been published. As a result, North American outbreaks of insects called birch leafminers have sometimes been attributed to incorrect species. For example, P. thomsoni was, for several years, confused (and briefly synonymized) with a co-occurring leafminer on oak, Profenusa alumna (MacGillivray) (Lindquist 1959; Watson 1959; Smith 1971). Similarly, extensive leafmining damage on birch in Anchorage, Alaska (AK), United States of America (USA), was attributed to F. pumila (Matthews et al. 1997) until more detailed study during 2002 revealed that the most damaging species was P. thomsoni (Wittwer et al. 2003).

Little is known about the current distributions of these sawflies in Canada. The most recent information is from Alberta (AB) (Digweed *et al.* 1997), Northwest Territories (NT), and Yukon Territory (YT) (Digweed and Langor 2004). Published distributions for eastern Canada date from the 1970s and 1980s (Lindquist and Thomson 1970; Quednau 1984; Nystrom and Evans 1989). Determination of current distributions is a prerequisite for assessing future needs for management of these species.

Fenusa pumila and P. thomsoni have been the subjects of life-table studies in Europe (*e.g.*, Eichorn and Pschorn-Walcher 1973) and North America (*e.g.*, Cheng and LeRoux 1965, 1969; Digweed *et al.* 1997; Digweed 1998). These species, along with the less studied *H. nemoratus*, have been the targets of successful biological control programs in North America (Dowden 1941; Fuester *et al.* 1984; Quednau 1984; van Driesche *et al.* 1997; Langor *et al.* 2002). *Fenusella nana* and *S. vicina* are more recent introductions, are less well known in both Europe and North America, and have not yet been subjected to biological control efforts.

In this article we review information about the biology, natural enemies, hosts, and Canadian distributions of birch-leafmining sawflies and provide keys to adults, larvae, and mines. We then summarize the current literature for each species.

General biology

Leafmining sawflies on birches have similar life histories. They overwinter either as a prepupa in a translucent brown, soil-encrusted underground cocoon or as a pupa in a lens-shaped hibernaculum within the mine. The following summer, adults emerge and mate (although in North America, males occur only in F. pumila and S. vicina), and the females use their sawlike ovipositor to lay eggs within birch leaves. Oviposition behaviour is diagnostic for some species: F. nana, H. nemoratus, and S. vicina lay their eggs at the leaf margin and F. pumila oviposits only in young leaves on new long shoots. The larvae are prognathous and bear diagnostic melanized sclerites. They feed on leaf tissue between the epidermal layers, forming blotch mines that coalesce under crowded conditions. There are five or six larval instars, the last of which is hypognathous, nonfeeding, and free of melanized sclerites. In the tribe Fenusini (which includes the genera Fenusa Leach, Fenusella Enslin, Profenusa MacGillivray, and Scolioneura Konow), final-instar larvae pierce the mine wall and drop to the ground, where they burrow into the soil and construct soil cocoons. In the tribe (which includes Heterarthrini the genus Heterarthrus Stephens), final-instar larvae do not exit the leaf but instead construct a cocoon within the mine. Most species are univoltine, but F. pumila has up to four generations per year (Friend 1933; Cheng and LeRoux 1965; Eichorn and Pschorn-Walcher 1973; Digweed et al. 1997), and S. vicina appears to be the springfeeding member of a species complex that

includes the later occurring *Scolioneura betuleti* (Klug) (Altenhofer and Taeger 1998; but see MacQuarrie *et al.* 2007).

Host plants

Twenty-four species of *Betula* and 6 species in five other genera (*Alnus* Mill. (Betulaceae), *Corylus* L. and *Ostrya* Scop. (Corylaceae), *Amelanchier* Medik. (Rosaceae), and *Populus* L. (Salicaceae)) have been recorded as hosts of birch-leafmining sawflies (Table 1). Some species of Palearctic birches have been introduced to North America as ornamentals (*e.g.*, *B. pendula* Roth), and for these species we include host records from both the Palearctic and Nearctic Regions. In the Nearctic Region, 10 of the 13 native species of *Betula* have been recorded as hosts.

Natural enemies

Sixty-three parasitoid species have been recorded attacking birch-leafmining sawflies in Europe and North America (Table 2). Each species in the Fenusini and Heterarthrini has a characteristic Hymenoptera parasitoid fauna that consists of one to three species of host-taxonspecific koinobiont ichneumonoids and a suite of idiobionts that attack various hosts in leaf mines or at a particular life stage (Pschorn-Walcher and Altenhofer 1989). The host-taxon-specific ichneumonoids attacking Fenusini are endoparasitoids from the genus Lathrolestes Förster (Ichneumonidae: Ctenopelmatinae), ectoparasitoids from the genus Grypocentrus Ruthe (Ichneumonidae: Tryphoninae), and the endoparasitic species Pseudichneutes levis (Wesmael) (Braconidae: Ichneutinae) (Table 2). Host-taxon-specific parasitoids of the Heterarthrini are species of Dolophron Förster or Olesicampe Förster (Ichneumonidae: Campopleginae), although H. nemoratus is also attacked by Mesoleius phyllotomae Cushman (Ichneumonidae: Ctenopelmatinae) (Table 2) (Dowden 1941; Pschorn-Walcher and Altenhofer 1989).

The idiobiont parasitoid complex is shared among the Fenusini and Heterarthrini, and comprises species from the Eulophidae (Chalcidoidea), Hormiinae (Ichneumonoidea: Braconidae), and Pimplinae (Ichneumonidae) (Table 2). These parasitoids attack insects from a variety of orders on a variety of host plants, specializing on those with a leafmining habit (Eulophidae and Hormiinae) or on a particular host life stage (Pimplinae) (Pschorn-Walcher and Altenhofer 1989).

Materials and methods

Distribution maps were drawn using occurrence records from field surveys and data on museum specimen labels. National surveys were conducted during the period 2003–2006, but earlier data from Alberta (for 1992-1994) and Ouebec (OC) (1984-2004) are also included. Leafminer species were distinguished in the field by examining characteristics of mine shape or markings on larvae or their cast skins. When species could not be identified in the field, mines were collected and later examined microscopically. Most surveys were conducted in late summer, when larval development was complete and mines were well developed. Surveys encompassed native birch species in natural settings as well as cultivars of native and introduced birches in urban settings. Voucher specimens of larvae of all species are deposited in the arthropod museum at the Northern Forestry Centre, Edmonton AB, Canada (NFRC).

Museum specimens were examined to improve the resolution of species distributions in eastern Canada where less surveying was done (*i.e.*, Ontario (ON), New Brunswick (NB), Nova Scotia (NS), Prince Edward Island (PE), QC, and Newfoundland and Labrador (NL)). All specimens except those from the Canadian National Collection of Insects, Arachnids, and Nematodes were examined by S.C.D. to confirm identity. Museum specimens were collected during the period 1913–1996, with more than half of all collection events (141 of 222) occurring between 1950 and 1989. Specimens from the following Canadian collections were examined:

- ACNS Atlantic Food and Horticulture Research Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Kentville, Nova Scotia
- CNC Canadian National Collection of Insects, Arachnids, and Nematodes, Ottawa, Ontario
- DEBU University of Guelph Entomology Collection, Guelph, Ontario
- FRLC Atlantic Forestry Centre, Canadian Forest Service, Fredericton, New Brunswick
- LEMQ Lyman Entomological Museum, McGill University, Montréal, Quebec
- MUNC Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador
- NSMC Nova Scotia Museum of Natural History, Halifax, Nova Scotia

The complete survey and museum specimen database is available from C.J.K.M.

Keys to species of leafmining sawflies and their damage on birch

Adults

Nearctic Heterarthrinae can be identified to genus using the keys of Goulet (1992) and to species (excluding *Scolioneura* spp.) using the keys of Smith (1971). *Heterarthrus betulae* Togashi and *S. betuleti*, the only birch-leafmining sawflies not known to occur in North America, are included in our key to assist their early detection should they be introduced. The key also includes *Fenusa dohrnii* (Tischbein) because it attacks alders, *Alnus* spp., which often co-occur with birches, and adult *F. dohrnii* are thus sometimes collected while sampling for birch-leafmining sawflies. We have not included other leafmining sawflies that are occasionally confused with those attacking birches, especially *Fenusa ulmi* Sundevall, which attacks elms, *Ulmus* L. (Ulmaceae), and *P. alumna*, which attacks oaks, *Quercus* L. (Fagaceae); the keys of Smith (1971) distinguish these. Our terminology follows Goulet (1992).

Before this key is used, the keys of Goulet (1992) should be consulted to ensure that the specimen is a tenthredinid sawfly in the subfamily Heterarthrinae.

1 1'	Forewing with veins 2A and 3A complete (Fig. 1 <i>a</i>); face and body with pale markings (Figs. 1 <i>b</i> , 1 <i>c</i>); body up to 8 mm in length; females only (males unknown)
2(1) 2'	Pronotum pale yellow laterally (Fig. 1c)
3(1')	Occipital carina present (Fig. 3 <i>a</i>); sixth antennal flagellomere 3 times longer than wide (Fig. 3 <i>b</i>).
3'	Occipital carina absent (Fig. 2b); sixth antennal flagellomere at most 1–2 times longer than wide (Fig. 2e)
4(3) 4'	Flying in spring and early summer (May or June)
5(3')	Hind wing with cell R1 closed or nearly so, anal cell closed and with vein at apex (Fig. 3 <i>d</i>); tegula white; females black; males mostly yellow and very rare, known only from United Kingdom
5′	Hind wing with cell R1 open, anal cell open (Fig. 4b) or closed and with vein at apex; tegula black; females and males (if known) black.
6(5')	First metasomal tergum with curved posterior margin, wide submedially (Fig. 4 <i>d</i>); forewing with vein 3A not connecting to vein 1A (Fig. 4 <i>a</i>); median lobe of mesoscutum with lateral hairs (Fig. 4 <i>c</i>); females only; ovipositor lancet robust and wide, dark orange, with obvious arches connecting teeth (Fig. 4 <i>c</i>).
6′	First metasomal tergum with nearly straight posterior margin, narrow submedially (Fig. 2 <i>c</i>); forewing with vein 3A connecting to vein 1A to form a closed or nearly closed cell (Fig. 2 <i>a</i>); median lobe of mesoscutum hairless or nearly so (Fig. 2 <i>d</i>); males known (for some species); ovipositor lancet relatively smaller and finer, pale orange to yellow, without arches connecting teeth (Fig. 2 <i>f</i>)
7(6')	Antennae longer than mesosoma, with first flagellomere 1.5 times as long as second, and second and third flagellomeres at least 2 times as long as broad (Fig. 3 <i>c</i>); hind tibia dark brown or black; females only; larvae on <i>Alnus</i>

Larvae

Keys to North American genera and species of larvae in the Fenusini (except those of *Scolioneura* spp.) and Heterarthrini were published by Smith (1971). A key to larvae of leafmining sawflies on birches provided by Lindquist (1959) was later supplemented to include *F. nana* and *S. vicina* (Lindquist and Thomson 1970;

Nystrom and Evans 1989). Larvae of *H. betulae* are not included in our key (below) because they have not been described (Togashi 1992). Our key can be used to identify larvae other than those in the first instar and the last (nonfeeding) instar. It can also be used with cast larval skins recovered from empty mines.

The key does not distinguish larvae of birch-leafmining sawflies from those of native leafminers from other insect orders (*e.g.*, Lepidoptera). If necessary, an appropriate general key should be consulted (*e.g.*, Chu and Cutkomp 1992; Rose and Lindquist 1997).

1 1'	Thoracic legs clearly multisegmented, segments often sclerotized and pigmented, giving legs a striped appearance (Fig. 5 <i>c</i>); a pair of C-shaped dark sclerites present on each abdominal sternum (Fig. 5 <i>c</i>) 2 Thoracic legs short, peg-like or cone-like, not well sclerotized or pigmented (Figs. $6d$, $6e$); abdominal sterna without paired dark sclerites (Fig. $6b$)
2(1) 2'	Small dark lateral spots on each abdominal segment (Figs. 5 <i>b</i> , 5 <i>c</i>); pale goblet-shaped pattern dorsally on head (Fig. 5 <i>a</i>)
3(2) 3'	Feeding in spring and early summer (June or July); found on <i>Betula</i> only <i>Scolioneura vicina</i> Konow Feeding in late summer and autumn (August through October); found on <i>Betula</i> and some <i>Alnus</i> ; not yet recorded from North America
4(1') 4'	In ventral view, thorax and first abdominal segment with darkly pigmented median stripe (Fig. 6 <i>b</i>); thoracic legs with claws (Fig. 6 <i>f</i>) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots <i>Fenusa pumila</i> Leach In ventral view, prothorax with a pigmented sclerite; meso- and meta-thorax each with a small central dark spot (Figs. 6 <i>d</i> , 6 <i>e</i>); thoracic legs without claws \ldots
5(4')	Larval body obviously broadened at thorax in ventral view (Fig. 6 <i>a</i>); ventral prothoracic sclerite distinctly hourglass-shaped with semicircular invaginations around each prothoracic leg (Fig. 6 <i>e</i>); meso- and meta-thoracic ventral dark spots usually faint (Fig. 6 <i>e</i>)
5′	Larval body not broadened at thorax (Fig. 6c); ventral prothoracic sclerite with subquadrate invaginations with spurs extending laterally (Fig. 6d); meso- and meta-thoracic ventral dark spots usually more prominent (Fig. 6d)

Mines

This key is provided as a guide and should be used with caution because several species of native leafmining Lepidoptera on birches construct mines similar to those of birch-leafmining sawflies (*e.g.*, Rose and Lindquist 1997, p. 85). With experience, blotch mines of lepidopterous leafminers can be distinguished from those of birch-leafmining sawflies, using characteristics of the frass (generally fine or threadlike in lepidopterous mines) and mine wall (*e.g.*, thicker with a central dark spot or very thin, papery, and translucent in lepidopterous mines).

Ours is the first published key to mines of birch-leafmining sawflies, but several authors have described differences among them (*e.g.*, Nystrom and Evans 1989). We use these and our own observations of mines in *Betula papyrifera* Marsh. in the key. Note that some qualities such as thickness of the mine wall may vary among birch species.

1 1'	Mine originating at leaf edge (location of egg not always obvious, but mine appears to begin at leaf edge and progress inward) $\ldots \ldots \ldots$
2(1) 2'	Mine reddish brown, darker centrally at leaf edge and lightening as mine grows (Fig. 7 <i>a</i>); mine wall relatively thick and not easily torn; mine often large when mature, covering most of leaf and containing a large larva or a round, lens-shaped larval hibernaculum (Fig. 7 <i>b</i>)
3(2') 3'	Mine origin a short serpentine tunnel expanding medially on leaf into a blotch mine (Fig. 7e)

- 4(3') Mine occupied during spring and early summer (June or July); found on *Betula* spp. only
- 5(1') Mines on new, expanding, long-shoot leaves at top or periphery of crown; old mined leaves often curled and dried; location of egg in the developing blotch mine evident as a darkened area along a major lateral vein (Fig. 7c).
 5' Mines not restricted to new long-shoot leaves; more typically on mature leaves on long or short shoots, often more numerous in lower, shaded portion of crown; old mined leaves rarely curled; location of egg in developing blotch mine evident as a small, deflated blister on upper leaf surface, often near a major lateral vein (Fig. 7d) .

Heterarthrus nemoratus (Fallén): late birch leaf edgeminer

Taxonomy

Of the 20 valid species in the genus *Heterarthrus*, only *H. nemoratus* has been recorded from North America (Smith 1971; Taeger and Blank 2006). The only other birch-leafmining *Heterarthrus* species, *H. betulae*, is known only from Japan (Togashi 1992).

Introduction, distribution, and abundance

Heterarthrus nemoratus is native throughout much of the Palearctic Region from Europe to Siberia (Viramo 1969; Zhelokhovtsev 1994). It has not been recorded at outbreak levels in its native range. In North America this species was first recorded from NS: Dowden (1941) reported a 1905 record ("in Nova Scotia"), Smith (1971) reported a 1908 record from Pictou NS, and there is a specimen in CNC from Bridgetown NS collected 8 July 1913. From 1926 to 1933, H. nemoratus caused severe browning of birch in Maine (ME) and other parts of the northeastern USA (Dowden 1941). Museum specimens show that it was present in NB and QC by the late 1920s and throughout ON by the 1950s. Drouin and Wong (1984) found it abundantly in Edmonton AB in the 1970s, and in 2003, Digweed and Langor (2004) found it in Yellowknife and Hay River NT and Snyder et al. (2007) reported it from Anchorage AK.

Our surveys, combined with museumspecimen data, show that *H. nemoratus* occurs across Canada (Fig. 8). It is usually rare (*e.g.*, Digweed *et al.* 1997) but occasionally it is the most abundant birch-leafmining sawfly locally (*e.g.*, Deschambeault Lake SK and Avola BC during 2005). We observed a localized outbreak of *H. nemoratus* in Swan Hills AB in 2006 in which more than 90% of leaves on some urban birches bore *H. nemoratus* mines.

Life cycle and biology

Heterarthrus nemoratus overwinters in a parchment-like hibernaculum within the mined leaf (Fig. 7b). Peirson (1929) suggested that pupation occurs from May through July, with adults emerging 9 days later (Dowden 1941). In AB, however, pupation occurs in the autumn (C.J.K.M., personal observation). Emergence from hibernacula peaks during early June in Anchorage AK (Snyder et al. 2007), late June in ME (Dowden 1941) and Prince George BC, and early July in Yellowknife and Hay River NT (S.C.D., personal observation). All adults are parthenogenetic females that lay their eggs at the leaf margin between the upper and lower leaf surfaces. Larvae develop slowly, taking 5-10 weeks in ME (Dowden 1941) and probably longer farther north (we found many mines in AB and AK in early September that contained feeding larvae in all developmental stages). This species exhibits a behaviour unique among the birch-leafmining sawflies: it makes a small slit in the edge of the leaf through which it ejects frass and shed larval skins from the mine (Peirson 1929).

The biology of *H. nemoratus* has been little studied beyond basic life history, which was investigated in eastern North America by Peirson (1929). Phenological information was reported from AB by Drouin and Wong (1984) and from AK by Snyder *et al.* (2007).

Mortality, natural enemies, and biological control

In Europe, *H. nemoratus* hosts a typical Heterarthrini parasitoid assemblage consisting of the species-specific endoparasites *Dolophron nemorati* Horstmann and *M. phyllotomae*, as well as several generalist leafminer parasitoids. The generalists include species not recorded from the Fenusini, such as *Monodontomerus indiscretus* Gahan (Hymenoptera: Torymidae), *Agrothereutes abbreviatus* (F.), and *Mastrus laplantei*

Table 1. Recorded hosts of birch-leafmining sawflies.

Nearctic birches (Betulaceae) Betula \times caerulea Blanch. ^c B. alleghaniensis Britton B. glandulosa Michx. B. lenta L. B. nana L. ^d B. neoalaskana Sarg.	•	•	•e
Betula × caerulea Blanch. ^c • B. alleghaniensis Britton ▲ B. glandulosa Michx. • B. lenta L. ▲ B. nana L. ^d • B. neoalaskana Sarg. •	•	• • •	● ^e
B. alleghaniensis Britton Image: Constraint of the second sec	•	• • •	● ^e
B. dategramensis Briton B. glandulosa Michx. B. lenta L. B. nana L. ^d B. neoalaskana Sarg.	•	•	•e
B. lenta L. B. nana L. ^d B. neoalaskana Sarg.	•	•	● ^e
B. nena L. B. nana L. ^d B. neoalaskana Sarg.	•	•	• e
B. neoalaskana Sarg.	•	•	• <i>e</i>
D. neouluskunu Saig.	•	•	•
	•	٠	
B. accidentalis Hook	•	•	
B. acculation floors.	•		e
B. papyrijera Marsh.		•	•
cordifolia (Pagel) Pagel	•		
<i>Coratjolia</i> (Kegel) Kegel	•	•	
B. populijoliu Marsh.	of f	•	
D. puntiti L.	•		
P acetata Tranty			
B. doburica Dall 8	•	•	•
B. aunanica I all. ^o	•	•	•
<i>B. ermanni</i> Chann.			
<i>B. grossa</i> Stebold and Zucc.			
B. maximowicziana Regei	•	•	•
B. pendula Roth vor pendula	•	•	
<i>B. pendula</i> Roth var.	•	•	
b. penauta Kotti var.	•	•	•
<i>D</i> rlaturhulla Sukoozay			
D. platyphylia Sukaczev	•	•	•
b. plutyphylia subsp.	•	•	•
P publication Ehrh	•	•	•
D. publicens Ehrh. vor	•	•	•
b. pubescens Ellin. var.	•		
Public cens			•
<i>L</i>) Coverts			•
(L.) Ouvaents			
B. szachugnica (C.K. Schneid)			
C. A. Jansson			
CA. Jansson	•	•	•
D. turkestanica Litv.	•	•	•
(Speeh) H I D. Winkl			
Other hosts			
Alnus viridis Chaix DC subsp	•		
crisna (Aiton) Turrill	•		
(Retulacene)			
Alnus viridis Chaix DC subsp			•
fruticosa (Rupr.) Nyman			•
Juncosa (Rupi.) Ryman Amelanchiar Medik (Rosaceae)	▲ <i>f</i>		
Corvlus cornuta Marsh subsp	▲ f		
cornuta (Corvlaceae)			
Ostrva virginiana (P. Mill.)	$\blacktriangle f$		
K Koch (Corvlaceae)	-		

 Table 1 (concluded).

Host ^a	Fenusella nana	Fenusa pumila	Heterarthrus nemoratus	Profenusa thomsoni	Scolioneura vicina ^b
Populus grandidentata Michx. (Salicaceae)			\blacktriangle^{f}		
P. tremuloides Michx.			\blacktriangle^f		

Note: \blacktriangle , sawflies observed to oviposit on the host; \bullet , sawflies observed to oviposit and complete larval development on the host. References are as follows: Peirson and Brower (1936); Martin (1960); Cheng and LeRoux (1965); Koponen (1973); Smith (1981); Tuomi *et al.* (1981); Drouin and Wong (1984); DeClerck and Shorthouse (1985); Fiori and Craig (1987); Nystrom and Evans (1989); Pschorn-Walker and Altenhofer (1989); Schönrogge and Altenhofer (1992); Pieronek and Soltyk (1993); Kenis and Carl (1996); Digweed *et al.* (1997); Altenhofer and Taeger (1998); Hoch *et al.* (2000). ^{*a*}Species names conform to current nomenclature (*i.e.*, Govaerts and Bopp 1998; Integrated Taxonomic Information System 2008).

^bPooled host records for *S. betuleti*, *S. betulae*, and *S. vicina*. Host records from the Palearctic Region are known only for *S. betuleti* and *S. betulae*.

^cHybrid of *B. papyrifera* var. *cordifolia* and *B. populifolia* Marsh.

^dHolarctic species but only recorded as a host in the Palearctic Region.

^eUnpublished record from rearings of S. vicina in Alberta by the authors.

Recorded as a host only from a cage study (Peirson and Brower 1936).

⁸Includes references to *B. davurica* (Hoch *et al.* 2000) and *B. daurica* (Pieronek and Soltyk 1993), which are likely misspellings of "*dahurica*", as neither is recorded as a synonym of any *Betula* species listed in Govaerts and Bopp (1998) or Integrated Taxonomic Information System (2008).

^hCommon in North America as an ornamental.

Mason (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae: Cryptinae) (Table 2).

Dowden (1941) released five species of European parasitoids of *H. nemoratus* in ME during 1931–1935: an unidentified species of *Chrysocharis* Förster and *C. nitetis* (Walker) (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae), *Shawiana phyllotomae* (Muesebeck) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), *Scambus foliae* (Cushman) (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae), and *D. nemorati*. Only *C. nitetis* and *S. phyllotomae* were subsequently confirmed as established. Unknown to Dowden, *C. nitetis* was apparently a Holarctic species (Hansson 1987) and did not need to be introduced. In Europe, *C. nitetis* is the most effective parasitoid of *H. nemoratus* (Dowden 1941) and this may also be true for endemic populations in Canada (Digweed 1998).

Late-instar *H. nemoratus* suffer heavily (20%–50% of larvae consumed) from bird predation in Austria, and ants were important predators where their nests were near infested birches (Dowden 1941).

Fenusa (Fenusa) pumila Leach: birch leafminer

Taxonomy

During the 20th century this species was known as either *F. pumila* (Klug) or *F. pusilla* (Lepeletier). Taeger and Blank (1996, 2006) recently designated *Fenusa* (*Fenusa*) *pumila* Leach as the valid name. They also divided the genus *Fenusa* Leach into two subgenera: *Fenusa* (*Kaliofenusa*) Viereck, which includes the five species attacking elm, and *Fenusa* (*Fenusa*) Leach, for two species on birch and alder.

Introduction, distribution, and abundance

Fenusa pumila is native throughout the Palearctic Region from Ireland through Siberia, China, and Japan (Friend 1933; Viramo 1969; Eichorn and Pschorn-Walcher 1973; Smith 1981; Zhelokhovtsev 1994). When first recorded in North America in 1923 it was already widespread, occurring in Connecticut (CT), Rhode Island, Massachusetts, eastern New York (NY), and Vermont, USA (Britton 1924, 1926).

The earliest record from Canada is 8 June 1922 from Vineland ON (CNC specimen accession No. CNC Hymen #05–320). *Fenusa pumila* was collected regularly in QC from the late 1920s (Daviault 1937; specimens in CNC), had spread throughout ON, NS, NB, and NL by the 1950s (Lindquist 1959; Jones and Raske 1976; specimens in NSMC and FRLC), and had arrived in AB by the 1960s (Drouin and Wong 1984). *Fenusa pumila* was present in patches across Canada by the 1980s (Quednau 1984), was continuing to spread northward in AB during the 1990s (Digweed *et al.* 1997), and in 2003 was recorded in NT (Digweed and Langor 2004) and AK (Snyder *et al.* 2007) (Fig. 9).

This species was the first of the Fenusini to become pestiferous on birch in North America Table 2. Parasitoids (Hymenoptera) recorded attacking birch-leafmining sawflies in Europe and North America (useful synonyms for valid parasitoid names are presented in the footnotes).

		Fen n	usella ana	Fe. pu	nusa mila	Heter nem	earthrus oratus	Proj tho	fenusa msoni	Scoli vic	oneura cina ^b
			North		North		North		North		North
	Type ^a	Europe	America	Europe	America	Europe	America	Europe	America	Europe	America
Ceraphronoidea											
Ceraphronidae											
Aphanogmus sp.	id, ec, hy				1						
Chalcidoidea											
Eulophidae											
Entedoninae											
Chrysocharis eurynota Graham	id/ko, en							\checkmark			
C. nephereus (Walker)	id, en, hy	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	
C. nitetis (Walker) c	id, en, hy	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark
C. purpurea Bukovskii	id, en, hy			\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark			
Chrysonotomyia sp.	id, ec				\checkmark				\checkmark		
Closterocerus cinctipennis Ashmead	id, en, hy				\checkmark						
C. diastatae (Howard) ^{d}	id, en	na		na	\checkmark	na		na		na	
C. donna (Girault) ^e	id, en	na		na	\checkmark	na		na		na	
C. formosus Westwood ^f	id, en				\checkmark						
C. trifasciatus Westwood ^g	id, en, hy	\checkmark		1	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark	
C. utahensis Crawford	id, en	na		na	\checkmark	na		na		na	
Closterocerus sp.	id, en, hy		\checkmark^h							\checkmark	
Eulophinae											
Cirrospilus cinctithorax (Girault)	id, ec	na		na	1	na	\checkmark	na		na	
C. flavicinctus Riley	id, ec	na		na		na	\checkmark	na		na	
C. pictus (Nees)	id, ec, hy			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	1			\checkmark	
C. vittatus Walker ⁱ	id, ec, hy			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark	
Cirrospilus sp.	id, ec		\checkmark		\checkmark						
Elachertus fenestratus Nees ^j	id, ec			\checkmark	\checkmark						
Hemiptarsenus fulvicollis Westwood	id, ec		na		na	\checkmark	na		na		na
<i>H. ornatus</i> $(Nees)^k$	id, ec		na		na	\checkmark	na		na		na
Pnigalio agraules (Walker)	id, ec, hy		na	\checkmark	na	\checkmark	na		na	\checkmark	na
<i>P. cruciatus</i> (Ratzeburg) l	id, ec		na		na	\checkmark	na		na	\checkmark	na

Digweed et al.

209

Table 2 (continued).

		Fent no	usella ana	Fei pu	nusa mila	Heter nem	arthrus oratus	Proj thoi	fenusa msoni	Scoli vic	oneura ina ^b
	T a	F	North	F	North	F	North	F	North	_	North
	Type ^a	Europe	America	Europe	America	Europe	America	Europe	America	Europe	America
P. longulus (Zetterstedt)	id, ec	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark				\checkmark	
P. maculipes (Crawford)	id, ec	na		na	\checkmark^m	na	\checkmark	na		na	\checkmark^n
<i>P. minio</i> (Walker) o	id, ec	na		na	\checkmark	na	\checkmark	na		na	\checkmark^n
P. pallipes (Provancher)	id, ec	na		na	\checkmark^p	na		na		na	
P. pectinicornis (L.)	id, ec, hy		na	\checkmark	na	\checkmark	na		na	\checkmark	na
P. soemius (Walker)	id, ec		na	\checkmark	na		na		na	\checkmark	na
P. uroplatae (Howard)	id, ec	na	\checkmark^q	na		na		na		na	
Pnigalio sp.	id, ec				\checkmark				\checkmark		
Sympiesis marylandensis Girault	id, ec	na		na		na	\checkmark	na		na	
S. sericeicornis (Nees)	id, ec					\checkmark^r					
Sympiesis sp.	id, ec, hy					\checkmark			1	1	
Zagrammosoma multilineatum (Ashmead)	id, ec	na		na	\checkmark	na		na		na	\checkmark
Zagrammosoma sp.	id, ec								\checkmark		
Tetrastichinae											
Minotetrastichus frontalis (Nees) ^s	id, ec, hy			1	1	\checkmark	\checkmark			1	\checkmark^n
Minotetrastichus sp. ^t	id, ec				1				1		
Peckelachertus sp.	id, ec				1						
Torymidae											
Monodontomerus indiscretus Gahan	id, ec	na		na		na	\checkmark	na		na	
Trichogrammatidae											
Trichogramma aurosum Sugonj. and Sorok.	id, egg								1		
T. minutum Riley	id, egg				1		1				
Ichneumonoidea											
Braconidae											
Hormiinae ^{<i>u</i>}											
Shawiana catenator (Haliday)	id, ec	1	na	\checkmark^{ν}	na		na		na		na
S. laevis (Thomson)	id, ec		na		na		na		na	√ ^w	na
S. phyllotomae (Muesebeck) ^{x}	id, ec			√ y		\checkmark	1				
Xenarcha abnormis (Wesmael)	id, ec	1		√z							
X. lustrator (Haliday)	id, ec			\checkmark^A							

Table 2 (co.)	ncluded).
---------------	-----------

	Type ^a	Fenusella nana		Fenusa pumila		Heterarthrus nemoratus		Profenusa thomsoni		Scolioneura vicina ^b	
			North		North		North		North		North
		Europe	America	Europe	America	Europe	America	Europe	America	Europe	America
Ichneutinae											
Pseudichneutes levis (Wesmael)	ko, en		na		na		na		na	\checkmark^B	na
Ichneumonidae											
Campopleginae											
Dolophron nemorati Horstmann ^C	ko, en					1	\checkmark				
Cryptinae											
Agrothereutes abbreviatus (F.) ^D	id, ec					1	\checkmark				
Gelis obscurus (Cresson)	id, ec	na		na		na	\checkmark	na		na	
Gelis sp. ^{E}	id, ec				1						
Mastrus laplantei Mason	id, ec	na		na		na	\checkmark	na		na	
Ctenopelmatinae											
Lathrolestes nigricollis (Thomson)	ko, en			1	1						
L. luteolator $(Gravenhorst)^F$	ko, en								\checkmark		
Lathrolestes sp. near macropygus (Holmgren)	ko, en		na		na		na		na	\checkmark	na
Lathrolestes sp. 2514^G	ko, en	1	na		na		na		na		na
Mesoleius phyllotomae Cushman	ko, en		na		na	1	na		na		na
Pimplinae											
Alophosternum foliicola Cushman	id, ec	na		na	1	na	\checkmark^{H}	na		na	\checkmark^n
Scambus (Scambus) foliae (Cushman)	id, ec					1	\checkmark^I				
S. (S.) hispae (Harris)	id, ec	na		na		na	1	na		na	
Scambus (Scambus) sp.	id, ec				1	\checkmark^J					\checkmark^n
Tryphoninae	,										
Grypocentrus albipes $Ruthe^{K}$	ko, ec			1	1						

Note: "na" indicates that the parasitoid has not been recorded in the specified region. References are as follows: Dowden (1941); Bouček and Askew (1968); Cheng and LeRoux (1969); Miller (1970); Eichorn and Pschorn-Walcher (1973); Krombein *et al.* (1979); Shaw (1983); van Achterberg (1983); Yoshimoto (1983); Hansson (1985, 1987, 1994, 1995); Schauff (1985); Nystrom and Evans (1989); Pschorn-Walcher and Altenhofer (1989); Shaw and Huddleston (1991); Barron (1994); Grissell (1995); Wharton *et al.* (1997); Digweed (1998); Entomological Information Services (1998); Pinto (1998); Evans (1999); Wahl (1999); Noyes (2003); Fauna Europaea Web Service (2005).

^{*a*}id, idiobiont; ko, koinobiont; ec, ectoparasitoid; en, endoparasitoid; egg, egg parasitoid; hy, facultative hyperparasitoid.

^bPooled parasitoids recorded from Scolioneura betuleti (Klug), S. betulae (Zaddach), and S. vicina Konow (as resurrected by Altenhofer and Taeger 1998).

Includes records of *C. laricinellae* (Ratzeburg) in Dowden (1941), *C. pentheus* (Walker) in Yoshimoto (1973), and *C. pallipes* (Gahan) in Cheng and LeRoux (1969). For synonymies see Hansson (1985, 1987).

^dAlthough not recorded from Europe, this species occurs in China and Greenland (Noyes 2003). It is sometimes recorded as a species of *Neochrysocharis* (e.g., Hansson 1995, who also noted that the type is now lost), but Gumovsky (2001) synonymized *Neochrysocharis* with *Closterocerus*. Fisher and LaSalle (2005) resurrected *Neochrysocharis*, but did not convincingly support their opinion; hence, current lists (e.g., Noyes 2003) uphold Gumovsky (2001).

Includes records of Achrysocharis donna Girault. This genus was synonymized with Closterocerus by Hansson (1994), but C. donna was later moved to Neochrysocharis by Hansson (1995) (who thought that the type was lost, but it exists on a slide at the United States National Museum (type No. 13664); Noyes 2003). However, Neochrysocharis was synonymized with Closterocerus by Gumovsky (2001). Fisher and LaSalle (2005) resurrected Neochrysocharis, but did not convincingly support their opinion; hence, current lists (e.g., Noyes 2003) uphold Gumovsky (2001).

^fIncludes records of *Derostenus fullowayi* Crawford, synonymized under *Closterocerus formosus* Westwood by Yoshimoto (1978). This species is sometimes recorded as a species of *Neochrysocharis* (e.g., Hansson 1990, 1995), but Gumovsky (2001) synonymized *Neochrysocharis* with *Closterocerus*. Fisher and LaSalle (2005) resurrected *Neochrysocharis*, but did not convincingly support their opinion; hence, current lists (e.g., Noyes 2003) uphold Gumovsky (2001).

^gIncludes records of *Closterocerus tricinctus* (Ashmead), synonymized by Hansson (1994), and *Closterocerus sesquifasciatus*, synonymized by Bouček (1968).

^hIncludes records of Achrysocharis sp., synonymized under Closterocerus by Hansson (1994).

ⁱIncludes records of Zagrammosoma nigrolineatum Crawford, synonymized by Bouček (1988).

^jIncludes records of *Elachertus argissa* (Walker), synonymized by Graham (1988).

^kIncludes records of *Hemiptarsenus dropion* (Walker), synonymized by Graham (1993).

¹Recorded from *H. nemoratus* and *S. betuleti* by Bouček and Askew (1968) but not Pschorn-Walcher and Altenhofer (1989).

"Recorded attacking F. pumila by Cheng and LeRoux (1969) but not Yoshimoto (1983).

"Reared from S. vicina in Alberta by the authors.

0

2009 Entomological Society of Canada

^oIncludes records of *Pnigalio flavipes* (Ashmead), synonymized by Evans (1999).

PRecorded as *Pnigalio metacomet* (Crawford) by Digweed (1998), synonymized by Yoshimoto (1983).

"The list of material examined by Yoshimoto (1983) for P. uroplatae suggests that this may be the species recorded as Pnigalio sp. by Lindquist and Thomson (1970).

Recorded from H. nemoratus by Bouček and Askew (1968) but not Pschorn-Walcher and Altenhofer (1989).

^sIncludes records of *Minotetrastichus ecus* (Walker) and *Tetrastichus xanthops* (Ratzeburg), synonymized by Graham and LaSalle (1991).

'Recorded as "Minotetrastichus nr. treron" by Digweed (1998).

"Terminology follows Wharton et al. (1997). Subfamily names used by other authors include Rogadinae and Doryctinae.

^vConfusion surrounds these records from *F. pumila*. This entry includes records of *S. catenator* from *F. pumila* by Pschorn-Walcher and Altenhofer (1989), which probably includes *Phanomeris dimidiatus* (Nees) reared from *F. pumila* by Eichorn and Pschorn-Walcher (1973). Shenefelt (1975) erroneously synonymized these taxa, but van Achterberg (1983) clarified the situation and synonymized *P. dimidiatus* with *Xenarcha lustrator* (Haliday). However, Fuester *et al.* (1984) suggested that the *Shawiana* sp. attacking *F. pumila* in Europe is distinct, reporting it as "near *catenator*"; this view is supported by Shaw (1983), who found that *S. catenator* would not attack *F. pumila* or *S. betuleti* when offered these species. *Shawiana* sp. near *catenator* was released in North America (Fuester *et al.* 1984), but apparently did not become established.

"Recorded from S. betuleti by Pschorn-Walcher and Altenhofer (1989), but not by Shaw (1983) or van Achterberg (1983).

Includes records of *Phanomeris phyllotomae* Muesebeck, which was moved to *Shawiana* by van Achterberg (1983).

³Recorded by Krombein *et al.* (1979), but it is not clear whether this was a European or North American record. We assume that it refers to the record from Austria reported by Thompson (1944), but none of Eichorn and Pschorn-Walcher (1973), van Achterberg (1983), or Pshorn-Walcher and Altenhofer (1989) records *S. phyllotomae* as attacking *F. pumila* in Europe.

This is the Xenarcha sp. recorded from F. pumila by Shaw (1983) and probably also by Pschorn-Walcher and Altenhofer (1989).

^AVan Achterberg (1983) did not record X. *lustrator* from F. *pumila*, but Eichorn and Pschorn-Walcher (1973) recorded *Phanomeris dimidiatus* (Nees), synonymized under X. *lustrator* by van Achterberg (1983), from F. *pumila*. See the footnote referring to S. *catenator*.

^BRecorded from S. betulae by Pschorn-Walcher and Altenhofer (1989, as Ichneutes levis Wesmael) but not by Belokobylskij (1996).

^CIncludes records of *Dolophron pedellum* (Holmgren) and *Tranosema pedella* (Holmgren), which is monophagous on *Heterarthrus vagans* Fallén. These records almost certainly refer to *D. nemorati*, which is monophagous on *H. nemoratus* (Horstmann 1977; Pschorn-Walcher and Altenhofer 1989).

^DIncludes records of Agrothereutes abbreviator iridescens (Cresson) from North America and Agrothereutes pygoleucus (Gravenhorst) from Europe.

212

ent examination of L. luteolator from

[= Scambus (Scambus)] brevicornis (Gravenhorst) and Epiurus (= Scambus (Scambus)) ?buolianae (Hartig)

(Friend 1931, 1933) and has occurred in high numbers in eastern North America (van Driesche et al. 1997) and on young nursery stock in AB (Digweed 1995). However, our recent surveys suggest that F. pumila is currently not abundant across Canada; at most locations visited, it was rare or absent.

Life cycle and biology

Fenusa pumila prepupae overwinter in soil cocoons averaging 3.80 mm long and 2.14 mm wide (Cheng and LeRoux 1965). Pupation and adult eclosion occur in spring, coinciding with flushing of long-shoot leaves on which female F. pumila oviposit almost exclusively (DeClerck and Shorthouse 1985). This preference reduces potential direct competition for leaf resources with other birch-leafmining sawflies such as F. nana (DeClerck and Shorthouse 1985) and P. thomsoni (Digweed et al. 1997; Digweed 2006). Long-shoot leaves are abundant on young trees and sucker growth, where F. pumila can cause extensive leaf browning (Friend 1933). However, long-shoot growth occurs only on the top and periphery of mature trees (Digweed 1995), and F. pumila mining causes little visible damage on such trees.

Females live for up to 5 days, laying eggs between major lateral leaf veins and along the leaf midrib (DeClerck and Shorthouse 1985). Each female lays, on average, 80 eggs, which hatch within 4-13 days (Cheng and LeRoux 1965). Larvae proceed through four feeding instars in 8-12 days and a complete summer generation takes 5-6 weeks (Friend 1933; Cheng and LeRoux 1965). Early-instar larvae feed mostly on palisade parenchyma and will not mine through vascular tissue; however, during the final feeding stage all types of leaf cell are consumed, leaving only the cuticle (Cheng and LeRoux 1965; DeClerck and Shorthouse 1985). The fifth, nonfeeding instar makes a hole in the top of the mine, drops to the ground, and burrows for a few centimetres before constructing a cocoon (Friend 1933; Cheng and LeRoux 1965). Friend (1933) published head-capsule widths for all instars and Cheng and LeRoux (1965) published body lengths and widths.

The number of generations per year depends on summer weather, with more generations occurring in years with higher day-degree accumulations (Digweed et al. 1997). Development-day-degree relationships for eggs and larvae in Edmonton AB were developed by Digweed (1995). Adults were first active in spring as leaves flushed (around mid-

© 2009 Entomological Society of Canada

Fig. 1. Diagnostic structures of adult *Heterarthrus nemoratus*: *a*, forewing, showing the second anal vein (2A) and third anal vein (3A); *b*, head, frontal view; *c*, $\stackrel{N}{\xrightarrow{}}_{+}_{+}$ whole body, lateral view. Scale bars = 0.5 mm.



© 2009 Entomological Society of Canada

Fig. 2. Diagnostic structures of adult *Fenusa pumila*: *a*, forewing, showing the first anal vein (1A), second anal vein (2A), and third anal vein (3A); *b*, head, posterolateral view; *c*, anterior portion of the metasoma, dorsal view (the double-headed arrow indicates the narrowness of the first metasomal tergum, T1); *d*, mesosoma, dorsal view (the arrow indicates the hairless median lobe of the mesoscutum); *e*, antenna; *f*, ovipositor (the image is digitally enhanced to emphasize the structure of the lancet). Scale bars = 0.5 mm.



Fig. 3. Diagnostic structures of adult leafmining sawflies: *a*, head of *Scolioneura vicina*, posterolateral view, showing the occipital carina; *b*, antenna of *S. vicina*; *c*, antenna of *Fenusa dohrnii*; *d*; hind wing of *Fenusella nana*, showing R1 and anal cells. Scale bars = 0.5 mm.



May in Edmonton, about 280 day-degrees above 5 °C) and persisted until mid-June (Digweed *et al.* 1997). Second-generation adults were active in the last half of July and those of a third generation in the last half of August (Digweed *et al.* 1997). Phenology is similar in eastern North America, with adults of three or sometimes four generations active from May until mid-September (Friend 1933; Cheng and LeRoux 1965). The ratio of male to female *F. pumila* is approximately 1:1 (Friend

1933; Cheng and LeRoux 1965; Digweed *et al.* 1997).

Fenusa pumila attacks all birch species in North America and Europe (Table 1), but certain species and cultivars suffer less damage or exhibit resistance. Friend (1933) and Cheng and LeRoux (1965) reported *Betula populifolia* Marsh., common introduced ornamentals (*e.g.*, *B. pendula*), and native birches (*e.g.*, *B. papyrifera*) as prime hosts in North America. Both

Fig. 4. Diagnostic structures of adult *Profenusa thomsoni: a*, forewing, showing the first anal vein (1A) and third anal vein (3A); *b*, hind wing, showing R1 and anal cells; *c*, mesosoma, dorsal view (the arrow indicates the hairy median lobe of the mesoscutum); *d*, anterior portion of the metasoma, dorsal view (the double-headed arrow indicates the width of the first metasomal tergum, T1); *e*, ovipositor (the image is digitally enhanced to emphasize the structure of the lancet). Scale bars = 0.5 mm.



Fig. 5. Dorsal, lateral, and ventral views of larvae of *Scolioneura vicina* (a, b, and c, respectively) and *Fenusella nana* (d, e, and f, respectively). The arrow in c indicates the position of the lateral spot on an abdominal segment of *S. vicina*. Scale bar = 0.5 mm.



also reported limited attack on *Betula alleghaniensis* Britton. Hoch *et al.* (2000) reported that no larvae survived to maturity in leaves of *B. alleghaniensis*, *Betula grossa* Siebold and Zucc., and *Betula lenta* L. used in their experiments. In no-choice tests, a gradient of oviposition preferences has been observed across birch species, with *B. alleghaniensis*, *B. grossa*, *B. lenta*, *Betula costata* Trautv., and *Betula dahurica* Pall. the least appealing to *F. pumila* (Fiori and Dolan 1984; Fiori and Craig 1987; Hoch *et al.* 2000; see also the footnote to Table 1). It has been observed that in eastern Canada, *B. populifolia* is more sensitive to attack

Fig. 6. Diagnostic structures of larval birch-leafmining sawflies: *a*, *Heterarthrus nemoratus*, ventral view; *b*, *Fenusa pumila*, ventral view; *c*, *Profenusa thomsoni*, ventral view; *d*, thorax of *P. thomsoni*, ventral view; *e*, thorax of *H. nemoratus*, ventral view (the image is digitally enhanced to emphasize the central dark spots); *f*, leg of *F. pumila*. Scale bars = 0.5 mm unless otherwise indicated.



Fig. 7. Mines of birch-leafmining sawflies: *a*, *Heterarthrus nemoratus*; *b*, hibernaculum of *H. nemoratus* within a mine; *c*, *Fenusa pumila*; *d*, *Profenusa thomsoni*; *e*, *Fenusella nana*; *f*, *Scolioneura vicina*. The arrows indicate the approximate locations of egg scars.



than *B. papyrifera*, except where leaf litter is removed (*e.g.*, in urban settings or on floodplains) (H. Goulet, personal communication). In Europe, *F. pumila* also attacks *Alnus viridis* (Chaix) DC. subsp. *fruticosa* (Rupr.) Nyman (Altenhofer 1980b; Altenhofer and Taeger 1998).

Mortality, natural enemies, and biological control

The ability of predators and parasitoids to regulate outbreaking *F. pumila* populations in

North America has been investigated. Life tables were constructed for *F. pumila* in QC (Cheng and LeRoux 1966, 1970) and AB (Digweed 1998). In both studies, significant egg mortality occurred because of desiccation, consumption by conspecifics, predators, or parasitoids, and other, unknown causes. Generalist avian and insect predators kill many larvae, especially the vulnerable final-instar larvae when they drop to the ground (Friend 1933; Cheng and LeRoux 1966; Pezzolesi and Hager



Fig. 8. Canadian distribution of Heterarthrus nemoratus, based on recent surveys and museum specimens.

221



Fig. 9. Canadian distribution of Fenusa pumila, based on recent surveys and museum specimens.

1994; McQueen 1996; Digweed 1998). Several foliar chemical sprays and systemic insecticides are effective against *F. pumila* larvae (Friend 1931, 1933; Matthysse and Naegele 1952; Schread 1958, 1959, 1960, 1961, 1962, 1964, 1966, 1968; Cheng and LeRoux 1968; Drouin and Wong 1984).

Generalist parasitoids that attack F. pumila are diverse (Table 2) but cause minimal larval mortality (Cheng and LeRoux 1966; Digweed 1998). No effective host-specific native North American parasitoids were detected during lifetable studies, which prompted attempts to introduce parasitoids from Europe into North America. Detailed study of the European parasitoid complex of F. pumila identified Lathrolestes nigricollis (Thomson) and Grypocentrus albipes Ruthe as the best candidates for mass release in North America (Eichorn and Pschorn-Walcher 1973) (Table 2). These two species were released in NL in 1972-1973 (Raske and Jones 1975), QC in 1974-1978 (Guèvremont and Quednau 1977; Quednau 1984), the eastern USA in 1976-1982 (Fuester et al. 1984; van Driesche et al. 1997), and AB in 1994–1996 (Langor et al. 2000). Lathrolestes nigricollis was also translocated within the eastern USA in 1989-1990 (van Driesche et al. 1997). Lathrolestes nigricollis established at all locations, but establishment of G. albipes has been confirmed only in QC (Quednau 1984) and AB (Langor et al. 2002). By 2003, L. nigricollis had spread from Edmonton AB 280 km west to Hinton AB and most F. pumila larvae found in Edmonton during 2003-2006 contained melanized L. nigricollis eggs (S.C.D., personal observation).

Fuester *et al.* (1984) introduced two other promising parasitoids for use against *F. pumila* in the eastern USA during 1979–1981. *Chrysocharis nitetis* was introduced before it was known to be Holarctic (Hansson 1985, 1987). *Shawiana* near *catenator* (Haliday) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae; previously placed in *Phanomeris* Förster) was also introduced, but apparently did not become established.

Profenusa thomsoni (Konow): ambermarked birch leafminer

Taxonomy

Originally described as *Fenusa thomsoni* Konow, this species was transferred to *Profenusa* by Benson (1941), then listed as a junior synonym of *P. alumna*, the oak-leafmining sawfly (Benson 1959), and subsequently resurrected as a valid taxon (Smith 1971). Studies referring to *P. alumna* on birch (*e.g.*, Lindquist 1959; Watson 1959) all actually refer to *P. thomsoni*. Thirteen species of *Profenusa* are recognized worldwide, with 5 recorded from North America, but only *P. thomsoni* has been recorded from birch (Smith 1971; Taeger and Blank 2006).

Introduction, distribution, and abundance

Profenusa thomsoni is native throughout the Palearctic Region and has been recorded from Great Britain, central Europe, Turkey, the Caucasus, Siberia, China, and Japan (Benson 1959, 1968; Viramo 1969; Shaw 1981; Smith 1981; Togashi 1981; Zhelokhovtsev 1994). It was first collected in CT, USA, in the 1920s (Smith 1971), ON, QC, and Manitoba (MB) in the late 1940s (Martin 1960; specimens in CNC), and AB in the late 1960s (Drouin and Wong 1984). The range of *P. thomsoni* is still expanding in northern AB, NT, YT, and AK (Digweed *et al.* 1997; Digweed and Langor 2004; Snyder *et al.* 2007).

Profenusa thomsoni is currently the most prevalent leafmining sawfly on birch in Canada (Fig. 10). Its populations often reach epidemic levels (Benson 1959; Martin 1960) that can be sustained for decades (Drouin and Wong 1984; Digweed *et al.* 1997, 2003).

Life cycle and biology

Profenusa thomsoni pupates in soil cocoons for about 8 days in summer (Martin 1960) and adult emergence begins in late June or early July at between 400 and 550 day-degrees above 5 °C (Schönrogge and Altenhofer 1992; Digweed *et al.* 1997). All adults are parthenogenetic females and are active until August, ovipositing on mature leaves throughout the tree crown. Ovipositing females do not select leaves to maximize larval fitness during outbreaks; rather, they aggregate eggs on leaves already bearing the eggs of others and larval survival is reduced as a result (Martin 1960; Digweed 2006).

Eggs hatch within 12 days. Complete larval development takes about 24 days in ON (Martin 1960) and 40 days in Austria (Schönrogge and Altenhofer 1992), but can be much shorter in leaves exposed to warmer conditions (S.C.D., personal observation). The long adult-emergence period results in a protracted larval presence in leaves, with most completing their development



Fig. 10. Canadian distribution of Profenusa thomsoni, based on recent surveys and museum specimens.

between mid-August and mid-October in ON (Martin 1960) and mid-July to mid-September in AB, NT, and AK (by about 1200 day-degrees above 5 °C) (Digweed 1995; Digweed *et al.* 1997; MacQuarrie 2008).

Female *P. thomsoni* apparently do not prefer particular birch species for oviposition, although Martin (1960) noticed a preference for leaves on sucker growth in partially shaded, protected locations. In AB, *P. thomsoni* attacks all native and ornamental birch cultivars examined, including the tiny-leafed shrub *Betula glandulosa* Michx. (Digweed *et al.* 1997) (Table 1).

Mortality, natural enemies, and biological control

Life tables for *P. thomsoni* in AB showed significant mortality of eggs (caused by mechanical damage) and of larvae in crowded leaves (caused by competition and resource exhaustion) (Digweed 1998, 2006). An average-sized birch leaf can support 6–10 larvae (Martin 1960; Digweed 2006), but an increase in density reduces survival, especially for the larvae that develop last (Digweed 2006). Martin (1960) observed significant egg mortality from undermining (*i.e.*, larvae consuming the leaf tissue below eggs) and high larval mortality due to resource exhaustion. No larval cannibalism has been reported.

Predators kill many larvae feeding in leaves (Digweed 1998; but see MacQuarrie 2008), and ground-dwelling arthropods kill final-instar larvae and newly emerged adults (Martin 1960; McOueen 1996). Larvae are parasitized at low levels by generalist eulophid leafminer parasitoids and eggs are parasitized by Trichogramma aurosum Sugonjaev and Sorokina (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) (Martin 1960; Digweed 1998) (Table 2). Significant parasitism by Lathrolestes luteolator (Gravenhorst) on P. thomsoni has been recorded in western Canada (Barron 1994; Digweed 1998; Digweed et al. 2003). This parasitoid was previously recorded attacking P. alumna as well as species of Caliroa Costa (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae), which are externally feeding defoliators of Quercus spp. and Nyssa sylvatica Marsh. (Cornaceae) (Barron 1994). It has recently been determined that the Lathrolestes species reared from P. thomsoni is not L. luteolator but is likely an undescribed species (A. Bennett, personal observation). Nevertheless, this *Lathrolestes* sp. appears to regulate P. thomsoni populations effectively (Langor et al. 2002; Digweed et al. 2003) and has been translocated as a biological control agent for epidemic *P. thomsoni* populations in Yellowknife NT and Anchorage AK (MacQuarrie 2008).

Little is known of the parasitoids of *P. thomsoni* in Europe, where it is rare (Liston 1981; Schönrogge and Altenhofer 1992). It is unique among birch-leafmining sawflies in that no specialist parasitoids have been recorded attacking it in Europe (Pschorn-Walcher and Altenhofer 1989). Various species of *Chrysocharis* have been reared from *P. thomsoni* there (Table 2), with *Chrysocharis purpurea* Bukovskii (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) the most abundant (Schönrogge and Altenhofer 1992).

Fenusella nana (Klug): early birch leaf edgeminer

Taxonomy

This species was originally described as *Tenthredo (Allantus) nana* Klug, but is best known as *Messa nana* (Klug) (Benson 1952; Smith 1971). However, Taeger and Blank (1996) deemed the genus *Messa* Leach uninterpretable and transferred all species to *Fenusella*. There are currently 10 species of *Fenusella* worldwide, with 6 of them found in North America. *Fenusella nana* is the only species that attacks birch (Smith 1971; Taeger and Blank 2006).

Introduction, distribution, and abundance

Fenusella nana is native throughout the Palearctic Region and has been recorded from Great Britain, central Europe, Siberia, Mongolia, and Japan (Benson 1952; Viramo 1969; Smith 1981; Zhelokhovtsev 1994; Csóka 2003). It was first collected in ME and NY, USA, in 1966 and in southern ON in 1967 (Lindquist and Thomson 1970; Smith 1971). It had reached Sudbury ON by 1982 (DeClerck and Shorthouse 1985). Our surveys showed that F. nana has since spread throughout eastern Canada, southwestern BC (Fig. 11), and Washington State (WA), USA (not shown). Where found, it was often the most abundant birchleafmining sawfly species, sometimes causing severe defoliation.

Life cycle and biology

Overwintered *F. nana* pupate in spring and adults are active in ON from mid-May until early June (Lindquist and Thomson 1970; DeClerck and Shorthouse 1985). Eggs are laid into leaf edges near the ends of the main lateral veins (DeClerck and Shorthouse 1985). Most



Fig. 11. Canadian distribution of Fenusella nana, based on recent surveys and museum specimens.



Fig. 12. Canadian distribution of Scolioneura vicina, based on recent surveys and museum specimens.

227

leaves bear no more than 3 eggs but up to 10 may occur on larger leaves (Lindquist and Thomson 1970). There are five or six larval instars and larvae feed almost exclusively on palisade mesenchymal cells, thus never forming a full-depth mine (DeClerck and Shorthouse 1985).

Fenusella nana has been recorded from multiple species of *Betula* in the USA (Smith 1971) and Europe (Benson 1952; Viramo 1969) but when discovered in Canada it was found only on *B. papyrifera* (Lindquist and Thomson 1970). During our surveys we observed it on *B. papyrifera* and ornamental cultivars (Table 1).

Mortality and natural enemies

Fenusella nana is attacked in North America and Europe by generalist eulophid leafminer parasitoids and in Europe by an undescribed species of *Lathrolestes* (Lindquist and Thomson 1970; Pschorn-Walcher and Altenhofer 1989) (Table 2). Nothing is known of mortality rates in Canada except that fewer than 3% of *F. nana* larvae were parasitized in ON when the species was first discovered there (Lindquist and Thomson 1970).

Scolioneura vicina Konow

Taxonomy

Specimens of S. vicina and its putative sibling species S. betuleti are behaviourally different but morphologically indistinguishable. This has resulted in a confused taxonomic history. For a time a single bivoltine species (S. betuleti) was recognized (Benson 1952). Subsequently, Altenhofer (1980a, 1980b, 1980c) and Altenhofer and Taeger (1998) recognized two univoltine nonoverlapping cohorts: the early-season S. vicina (attacking B. pendula and B. pubescens Ehrh. in May and June) and the late-season S. betuleti (attacking *B. pendula* and *B. pubescens*, as well as Alnus viridis subsp. crispa (Aiton) Turrill in July and August). Altenhofer and Taeger (1998) resurrected the name S. vicina for the early species, placed Scolioneura sp. B of Altenhofer (1980b) and Scolioneura sp. cf. betulae of Pschorn-Walcher and Altenhofer (1989) in S. vicina, and synonymized S. betulae (Zaddach) under S. betuleti. However, subsequent molecular work on S. vicina and S. betuleti supports the hypothesis that they constitute a single bivoltine species (MacQuarrie et al. 2007).

Potential taxonomic confusion must be borne in mind when consulting literature involving species of *Scolioneura* on birch. For example, the insect studied by Tuomi *et al.* (1981) was active in September and is indeed *S. betuleti*, whereas the "*S. betuleti*" studied by Nystrom and Evans (1989) was active in May and June and is now assumed to have been *S. vicina* (MacQuarrie *et al.* 2007).

Introduction, distribution, and abundance

Scolioneura vicina is native to the Palearctic Region and its distribution may be identical with that of S. betuleti, which has been recorded from Ireland, Great Britain, central Europe, Siberia, and Mongolia (Benson 1952; Viramo 1969; Zhelokhovtsev 1994; Csóka 2003). In North America, S. vicina was first collected in southern ON in 1983 (Evans et al. 1985; Nystrom and Evans 1989). Our sticky-trap sampling of birch-leafmining sawfly adults in 1994 at 20 locations across Canada detected S. vicina near Guelph ON only; this species was not detected in surveys in AB during the early 1990s (Digweed et al. 1997). However, our surveys during 2004–2006 detected S. vicina in NL, PE, northern ON, and AB (Fig. 12). At some locations in AB in 2006 (e.g., Olds, Sylvan Lake, and Rimbey), S. vicina populations were at outbreak levels.

Life cycle and biology

Adult *S. vicina* are active in ON from early May until early June. Eggs are laid into the edges of mature, fully expanded leaves, either at the edge of a leaf tooth or along the smooth basal leaf edge. Most leaves bear no more than 2 eggs but up to 10 have been observed (Nystrom and Evans 1989). There are six larval instars (Nystrom and Evans 1989). Tuomi *et al.* (1981) found that larvae of *S. betuleti* had lower masses on leaves bearing more conspecifics and suggested that this late-feeding species produces more frass (because of the lower quality of later birch foliage) than earlier feeding leafminers on birch.

In Europe, *S. vicina* and *S. betuleti* attack a variety of birch species (Table 1). When first detected in Canada, *S. vicina* appeared to attack only European birch species (Nystrom and Evans 1989) but we subsequently observed it attacking native birch species in ON and AB.

Mortality and natural enemies

A typical suite of generalist eulophid leafminer parasitoids attacks *S. vicina* and *S. betuleti* in Europe and *S. vicina* in North America, and each hosts an undescribed species of *Lathrolestes* in Europe (Nystrom and Evans 1989; Pschorn-Walcher and Altenhofer 1989) (Table 2). Nystrom and Evans (1989) found 12% of larvae parasitized by native eulophids. We have reared similar eulophids, as well as pimpline ichneumonids, from *S. vicina* in AB (Table 2).

Discussion

We have attempted to describe the current state of knowledge about birch-leafmining sawflies in Canada. It should be apparent that although much is known about the basic life history and host preferences of these insects, there is still much to learn about the mechanisms driving population dynamics and other aspects of their ecology. We hope that this review will stimulate more detailed ecological research on these significant pests of Canadian urban landscapes.

Our synthesis suggests that birch-leafmining sawflies remain a significant threat to birches in Canada despite recent successful biological control programs against them. Without exception, birch leafminers in Canada have increased their ranges over the past 20 years and all are now found (at least patchily) across Canada. We predict that the ranges of these species will continue to expand in coming years, particularly in western and northern Canada. Past evidence suggests that populations of these leafminers will, at least initially (and potentially for many years after), reach outbreak levels as they spread into new regions.

The most effective controls of birchleafmining sawflies have been natural enemies imported from Europe, which have become established and have succeeded in limiting populations of *H. nemoratus* and *F. pumila* in the Nearctic Region (Dowden 1941; Fuester *et al.* 1984; Langor *et al.* 2000). Similarly, *P. thomsoni* populations have been suppressed by an apparent specialist parasitoid, an undescribed species of *Lathrolestes* (Digweed *et al.* 2003). However, biological control of *F. nana* and *S. vicina* is a more distant prospect because of the poor state of the taxonomy of *Lathrolestes*, the most widely introduced and effective genus of specialist parasitoids of fenusine sawflies. Doubt surrounds the identity of some described Lathrolestes species (e.g., the one that attacks P. thomsoni), and the host ranges of various species have not been formally tested. Further, many Lathrolestes species remain undescribed, including those that attack F. nana, S. vicina, and S. betuleti. Indeed, none of the potential biological control agents for F. nana, S. vicina, and S. betuleti in Europe (Table 2) have been evaluated as candidates for introduction to North America and nothing is known about the role that native natural enemies may play in suppressing these sawflies in North America. Much remains to be done if damage to Canadian birches by birch-leafmining sawflies is to be managed effectively.

All native and introduced birch species in Canada are suitable hosts for at least one birchleafmining sawfly species (Table 1). Most non-Betula hosts were recorded only by Peirson and Brower (1936), who caged female H. nemoratus on branches in no-choice tests. Eggs hatched only on A. v. crispa and some larvae matured on this host. In Europe, F. pumila and S. betuleti have also been recorded from Alnus species (Table 1). This "straying" onto Alnus is not surprising, given its taxonomic closeness to Betula and the fact that species in these genera share habitat preferences and often co-occur (S.C.D., personal observation). These records from alternative hosts highlight the need for careful identification of leafminer and host species.

Records of host plants and parasitoids of birchleafmining sawflies in the eastern Palearctic Region are incomplete, reflecting a dearth of research on these species in that region. Moreover, existing host-plant records for Asia and Siberia are mostly from studies of transplanted hosts in European and North American arboreta (e.g., Fiori and Dolan 1984; Pieronek and Soltyk 1993; Hoch et al. 2000). A reason for the paucity of information could be the rarity of birch-leafmining sawflies in the eastern Palaeartic Region. Certainly, the lack of parasitoid records on P. thomsoni in Europe reflects its rarity there (Schönrogge and Altenhofer 1992). Data collected so far suggest that some Palearctic birch species are resistant to birch-leafmining sawflies (Fiori and Dolan 1984; Hoch et al. 2000) (Table 1). Additional study of the performance of leafmining sawflies on eastern Palearctic birches could prove fruitful for the development of birch cultivars resistant to attack by birch-leafmining sawflies.

Parasitological and ecological evidence suggests that leafmining evolved separately in the Fenusini and Heterarthrini and casts doubt on the current classification of these sawflies. The leafmining habit has evolved at least twice in tenthredinid sawflies: once in the Nematinae (tribe Pseudodineurini) and once or twice in the Heterarthrinae (tribes Fenusini and Heterarthrini) (Pschorn-Walcher and Altenhofer 1989). Goulet (1992) defined the subfamily Heterarthrinae according to shared wing-vein characters and included the two leafmining tribes, Fenusini and Heterarthrini, as well as the Caliroini, a tribe of free-feeding skeletonizers. This arrangement follows earlier classifications by Smith (1971) and Ross (1937) in suggesting that leafmining arose once within the Heterarthrinae (Phyllotominae of Ross 1937). However, Benson (1952) included only Heterarthrus within the Heterarthrinae, moving the Fenusini and Caliroini into Blennocampinae. Benson's view is supported by the divergent nature of specialist parasitoid assemblages in the Fenusini and Heterarthrini (Pschorn-Walcher and Altenhofer 1989; Table 2) and by the differences in the mining biology of these leafminers on birch described above.

The complex of five species of invasive birchleafmining sawflies described here constitutes a model system for examining questions of population and community ecology. For the Nearctic Region this system is now described well enough that more detailed and manipulative ecological study should be possible. Leafminers are attractive subjects for the study of generalized ecological concepts because their lifestyle is restricted (which makes them good subjects for ecological manipulation), they feed within discrete resource patches (which makes them sensitive to resource limitation), and they leave an interpretable record of their activities (the mined leaf and the cast larval skins it contains). Study of these species as they continue to spread across Canada and combine in new complexes at varying population densities should provide a unique opportunity to manipulate and study intra- and inter-specific interactions, preference-performance relationships, the evolution and dynamics of parasitoid communities, and the population dynamics of invasive species.

Recommendations

Biological control programs should be extended to minimize damage caused by birchleafmining sawflies to ornamental birch trees across Canada. First, the species of *Lathrolestes* attacking *F. nana*, *S. betuleti*, and *S. vicina* in Europe must be described, ideally within a taxonomic revision of *Lathrolestes* clarifying species relationships and host-range specificities. Concurrently, Canadian life-table studies of *F. nana* and *S. vicina* should be undertaken to characterize the diversity and effects of native parasitoids attacking these species in both eastern Canada, where they have been present for decades, and the west, where they have established more recently. Nationwide control of all birch-leafmining sawfly species is desirable because it can yield financial and environmental savings through reduced use of pesticides in urban settings (Digweed *et al.* 2003).

Acknowledgments

We thank all those who have worked with us on birch-leafmining sawflies over the past 15 years, especially R. McQueen and C. Saunders (City of Edmonton). C. Sheffield (ACNS), H. Goulet (CNC), M. Buck (DEBU), G. Smith (FRLC), S. Boucher (LEMQ), and C. Majka (NSMC) made specimens from their collections available to us. C. Bergeron (University of Alberta) assisted in creating the distribution maps. J. Volney and J. Hammond (Canadian Forest Service) and two anonymous reviewers provided many useful comments that greatly improved the manuscript. This work was supported by the Canadian Forest Service and by grants from the United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Northeastern Area State and Private Forestry, to D.W.L. and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada to C.J.K.M., J.R.S., and S.C.D.

References

- Altenhofer, E. 1980a. Zur Systematik und Morphologie der in Baumblättern minierenden Blattwespen (Hym., Tenthredinidae). Zeitschrift für angewandte Entomologie, 89: 42–53.
- Altenhofer, E. 1980b. Zur Biologie der in Baumblättern minierenden Blattwespen (Hym., Tenthred.). Zeitschrift für angewandte Entomologie, 89: 122–134.
- Altenhofer, E. 1980c. Zur Systematik und Ökologie der Larvenparasiten (Hym., Ichneumonidae, Braconidae, Eulophidae) der minierenden Blattwespen (Hym., Tenthred.). Zeitschrift für angewandte Entomologie, 89: 250–259.
- Altenhofer, E., and Taeger, A. 1998. Zur Kenntnis der Gattung Scolioneura Konow, 1890 (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae). In Pflanzenwespen Deutschlands (Hymenoptera, Symphyta). Kommentierte Bestandsaufnahme. Edited by

A. Taeger and S.M. Blank. Goecke & Evers, Keltern, Germany. pp. 225–226.

- Barron, J.R. 1994. The Nearctic species of *Lathrolestes* (Hymenoptera, Ichneumonidae, Ctenopelmatinae). Contributions of the American Entomological Institute, 28(3).
- Belokobylskij, S.A. 1996. A new Palaearctic genus of the subfamily Ichneutinae (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). Zoosystematica Rossica, 4: 307–310.
- Benson, R.B. 1941. On the European genera of the Fenusini and two unrecognized British species (Hymenoptera: Symphyta). Proceedings of the Royal Entomological Society of London Series B: Taxonomy, **10**(5): 85–90.
- Benson, R.B. 1952. Symphyta. Handbooks for the Identification of British Insects. Vol. 6. Part 2b.
- Benson, R.B. 1959. Further studies on the Fenusini (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae). Proceedings of the Royal Entomological Society of London Series B: Taxonomy, 28(5–6): 90–92.
- Benson, R.B. 1968. Hymenoptera from Turkey, Symphyta. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History), Entomology Series, 22(4): 109–207.
- Bouček, Z. 1968. Contributions to the Czechoslovak fauna of Chalcidoidea (Hym.). Acta Faunistica Entomologica Musei Nationalis Pragae, 12: 231– 260.
- Bouček, Z. 1988. Australasian Chalcidoidea (Hymenoptera): a biosystematic revision of genera of fourteen families, with a reclassification of species. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, United Kingdom.
- Bouček, Z., and Askew, R.R. 1968. Palaearctic Eulophidae sine Tetrastichinae. Index of entomophagous insects 3. Le François, Paris.
- Britton, W.E. 1924. A European leaf-miner of birch. Journal of Economic Entomology, **17**: 601.
- Britton, W.E. 1926. Three injurious insects recently introduced into Connecticut. Journal of Economic Entomology, **19**: 540–545.
- Cheng, H.H., and LeRoux, E.J. 1965. Life history and habits of the birch leaf miner, *Fenusa pusilla* (Lepeletier) (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae), on blue birch, *Betula caerulea grandis* Blanchard, Morgan Arboretum, Québec, 1964. Annals of the Entomological Society of Quebec, **10**: 173–188.
- Cheng, H.H., and LeRoux, E.J. 1966. Preliminary life tables and notes on mortality factors of the birch leafminer, *Fenusa pusilla* (Lepeletier) (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae), on blue birch, *Betula caerulea grandis* Blanchard, in Québec. Annals of the Entomological Society of Quebec, **11**: 81–104.
- Cheng, H.H., and LeRoux, E.J. 1968. Control of the birch leaf miner with disulfoton. The Canadian Entomologist, **100**: 350–357.
- Cheng, H.H., and LeRoux, E.J. 1969. Parasites and predators of the birch leaf miner, *Fenusa pusilla* (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae), in Québec. The Canadian Entomologist, **101**: 839–846.

- Cheng, H.H., and LeRoux, E.J. 1970. Major factors in survival of the immature stages of *Fenusa pusilla* in southwestern Québec. The Canadian Entomologist, **102**: 995–1002.
- Chu, H.F., and Cutkomp, L.K. 1992. How to know the immature insects. W.C. Brown, Dubuque, Iowa.
- Csóka, G. 2003. Leaf mines and leaf miners. Forest Research Institute, Agroinform, Budapest, Hungary.
- Daviault, L. 1937. Contribution à l'étude des insectes du Bouleau. Contributions de l'Institut de Zoologie de l'Université de Montréal No. 1.
- DeClerck, R.A., and Shorthouse, J.D. 1985. Tissue preference and damage by *Fenusa pusilla* and *Messa nana* (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae), leafmining sawflies on white birch (*Betula papyrifera*). The Canadian Entomologist, **117**: 351–362.
- Digweed, S.C. 1995. Effects of natural enemies, competition, and host plant quality on introduced birch leafminers (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae). M.Sc. thesis, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta.
- Digweed, S.C. 1998. Mortality of birch leafmining sawflies (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae): impacts of natural enemies on introduced pests. Environmental Entomology, 27: 1357–1367.
- Digweed, S.C. 2006. Oviposition preference and larval performance in the exotic birch-leafmining sawfly *Profenusa thomsoni*. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, **120**: 41–49.
- Digweed, S.C., and Langor, D.W. 2004. Distributions of leafmining sawflies (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae) on birch and alder in northwestern Canada. The Canadian Entomologist, **136**: 727–731.
- Digweed, S.C., Spence, J.R., and Langor, D.W. 1997. Exotic birch-leafmining sawflies (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae) in Alberta: distributions, seasonal activities and the potential for competition. The Canadian Entomologist, **129**: 319–333.
- Digweed, S.C., McQueen, R.L., Spence, J.R., and Langor, D.W. 2003. Biological control of the ambermarked birch leafminer, *Profenusa thomsoni* (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae), in Alberta. Information Report NOR-X-389, Northern Forestry Centre, Canadian Forest Service, Natural Resources Canada, Edmonton, Alberta.
- Dowden, P.B. 1941. Parasites of the birch leafmining sawfly (*Phyllotoma nemorata*). Technical Bulletin 757, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, District of Columbia.
- Drouin, J.A., and Wong, H.R. 1984. Birch leaf-mining sawflies in Alberta (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae). Information Report NOR-X-260, Northern Forestry Research Centre, Canadian Forest Service, Environment Canada, Edmonton, Alberta.
- Eichorn, O., and Pschorn-Walcher, H. 1973. The parasites of the birch leaf-mining sawfly (*Fenusa pusilla* [Lep.], Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae) in

central Europe. Technical Bulletin of the Commonwealth Institute of Biological Control, **16**: 79–104.

- Entomological Information Services. 1998. Nomina Insecta Nearctica: a checklist of the insects of North America. Vol. 2: Hymenoptera, Mecoptera, Megaloptera, Neuroptera, Raphidioptera, Trichoptera [online]. *Edited by* R W. Poole and P. Gentili. Available from www.nearctica.com/nomina/wasps/ hymenop.htm [accessed 13 Nov 2006].
- Evans, G.A. 1999. A new species of *Cirrospilus* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) and two new synonymies of parasitoids reared from the citrus leafminer *Phyllocnistis citrella* (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae). Florida Entomologist, **82**: 448– 453.
- Evans, H.J., Sarjan, R.J., and Brodersen, H. 1985. Results of forest insect and disease surveys in the central region of Ontario, 1984. Miscellaneous Report 22, Great Lakes Forest Research Centre, Canadian Forestry Service, Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario.
- Fauna Europaea Web Service. 2005. Fauna Europaea. Version 1.2 [online]. Available from http://www.faunaeur.org [accessed 13 Nov 2006].
- Fiori, B.J., and Craig, D.W. 1987. Relationship between color intensity of leaf supernatants from resistant and susceptible birch trees and rate of oviposition by the birch leafminer (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae). Journal of Economic Entomology, 80: 1331–1333.
- Fiori, B.J., and Dolan, D.D. 1984. Resistance of *Betula davurica* to the birch leafminer, *Fenusa pusilla* (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae). The Canadian Entomologist, **116**: 1275–1276.
- Fisher, N., and LaSalle, J. 2005. A new species of *Neochrysocharis* Kurdjumov (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae), a parasitoid of serpentine leafminers (Diptera: Agromyzidae) in southeast Asia. Zootaxa, **1044**: 27–34.
- Friend, R.B. 1931. Life history and control of the birch leaf-mining sawfly, *Fenusa pumila* Klug. Journal of Economic Entomology, 24: 171–177.
- Friend, R.B. 1933. The birch leaf-mining sawfly *Fenusa pumila* Klug. Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin No. 348. pp. 293–364.
- Fuester, R.W., Taylor, P.B., Day, W.H., Hendrickson, R.M., Jr., and Blumenthal, E.M. 1984. Introduction of exotic parasites for biological control of the birch leafminer (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae) in the middle Atlantic states. Journal of Economic Entomology, **77**: 1565–1570.
- Goulet, H. 1992. The insects and arachnids of Canada. Part 20. The genera and subgenera of the sawflies of Canada and Alaska: Hymenoptera: Symphyta. Publication 1876, Research Branch, Agriculture Canada, Ottawa, Ontario.
- Govaerts, R., and Bopp, W. 1998. World checklist of the Betulacea [online]. The Board of Trustees of the Royal Botanical Gardens, Kew, United Kingdom.

Available from http://www.kew.org/wcsp/ [accessed 3 April 2008].

- Graham, M.W.R. de V. 1988. The remains of Nees von Esenbeck's collection of Hymenoptera in the University Museum, Oxford. Entomologist's Monthly Magazine, **124**: 19–36.
- Graham, M.W.R. de V. 1993. The identity of some species of Chalcidoidea (Hym.) described by Nees von Esenbeck (1834) with new synonymy. Entomologist's Monthly Magazine, **129**: 221–230.
- Graham, M.W.R. de V., and LaSalle, J. 1991. New synonymy in European Tetrastichinae (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) including designation of some neotypes, lectotypes and new combinations. Entomologist's Gazette, **42**: 89–96.
- Grissell, E.E. 1995. Toryminae (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea: Torymidae): a redefinition, generic classification, and annotated world catalog of species. Memoirs on Entomology, International, 57: 1–470.
- Guèvremont, H.C., and Quednau, F.W. 1977. Introduction de parasites ichneumonides pour la lutte biologique contre *Fenusa pusilla* (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae) au Québec. The Canadian Entomologist, **109**: 1545–1548.
- Gumovsky, A. 2001. The status of some genera allied to *Chrysonotomyia* and *Closterocerus* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae, Entedoninae), with description of a new species from Dominican amber. Phegea, **29**(4): 125–142.
- Hansson, C. 1985. Taxonomy and biology of the Palearctic species of *Chrysocharis* Förster, 1856 (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). Entomologica Scandinavica Supplementum No. 26.
- Hansson, C. 1987. Revision of the New World species of *Chrysocharis* Förster (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). Entomologica Scandinavica Supplementum No. 31.
- Hansson, C. 1990. A taxonomic study on the Palaearctic species of *Chrysonotomyia* Ashmead and *Neochrysocharis* Kurdjumov (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). Entomologica Scandinavica, **20**: 29– 52.
- Hansson, C. 1994. Re-evaluation of the genus *Closterocerus* Westwood (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae), with a revision of the Nearctic species. Entomologica Scandinavica, **25**: 1–25.
- Hansson, C. 1995. Revision of the Nearctic species of *Neochrysocharis* Kurdjumov (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). Entomologica Scandinavica, 26: 27– 46.
- Hoch, W.A., Zeldin, E.L., and McCown, B.H. 2000. Resistance to the birch leafminer *Fenusa pusilla* (Hymenoptera: Tenthredindae) within the genus *Betula*. Journal of Economic Entomology, **93**: 1810–1813.
- Horstmann, K. 1977. Bemerkungen zur Systematik einiger Gattungen der Campopleginae II (Hymenoptera, Ichneumonidae). Mitteilungen Münchener Entomologischen Gesellschaft, 67: 65–83.

- Integrated Taxonomic Information System. 2008. Integrated Taxonomic Information System [online]. Available from http://www.itis.gov. [accessed 2 April 2008].
- Jones, J.M., and Raske, A.G. 1976. Notes on the biology of the birch leafminer, *Fenusa pusilla* (Lep.), in Newfoundland (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae). Phytoprotection, **57**: 69–76.
- Kenis, M., and Carl, K. 1996. Birch leafmining sawflies (*Fenusa pusilla* (Lepeltier) and *Profenusa thomsoni* (Konow)). *In* Annual report of the International Institute of Biological Control, European Station, to the Canadian Forest Service. *Edited by* M. Kenis, K. Carl, and M. El Aydam. CABI Publishing, European Station, Delémont, Switzerland. pp. 34–35.
- Koponen, S. 1973. On the mining insects of the mountain birch in northernmost Fennoscandia. Report of the Kevo Subarctic Research Station No. 10. pp. 14–19.
- Krombein, K.V., Hurd, P.D., Jr., Smith, D.R., and Burks, B.D. 1979. Catalogue of Hymenoptera in America north of Mexico. Vol. 1. Symphyta and Apocrita (Parasitica). Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, District of Columbia.
- Langor, D.W., Digweed, S.C., Williams, D.J.M., Spence, J.R., and Saunders, C. 2000. Establishment and spread of two introduced parasitoids (Ichneumonidae) of the birch leafminer, *Fenusa pusilla* (Tenthredinidae). BioControl, **45**: 415–423.
- Langor, D.W., Digweed, S.C., and Spence, J.R. 2002. *Fenusa pusilla* (Lepeletier), birch leafminer, and *Profenusa thomsoni* (Konow), ambermarked birch leafminer (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae). *In* Biological control programmes in Canada, 1981–2000. *Edited by* P.G. Mason and J.T. Huber. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, United Kingdom. pp. 123–127.
- Lindquist, O.H. 1959. A key to the larvae of leafmining sawflies on birch in Ontario with notes on their biology. The Canadian Entomologist, **91**: 625–627.
- Lindquist, O.H., and Thomson, M.J. 1970. The biology of a birch leaf miner, *Messa nana* (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae), new to Canada. The Canadian Entomologist, **102**: 108–111.
- Liston, A.D. 1981. *Profenusa thomsoni* (Konow) in the Netherlands (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae). Entomologische Berichten, **41**(5): 65–66.
- MacQuarrie, C.J.K. 2008. Invasion history, population dynamics and biological control of *Profenusa thomsoni* (Konow) in Alaska. Ph.D. thesis, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta.
- MacQuarrie, C.J.K., Langor, D.W., and Sperling, F.A.H. 2007. Origin of invasive birch sawflies, *Profenusa thomsoni, Scolioneura vicina*, and *Scolioneura betuleti* in North America. The Canadian Entomologist, **139**: 545–553.
- Martin, J.L. 1960. The bionomics of *Profenusa* thomsoni (Konow) (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae)

a leaf-mining sawfly on *Betula* spp. The Canadian Entomologist, **92**: 376–384.

- Matthews, K., Wittwer, D., Zogas, K., Holsten, E., Trummer, L., Schulz, B., Hennon, P., Schultz, M., Burnside, R., and Gorham, M. 1997. Forest insect and disease conditions in Alaska — 1997. General Technical Report R10-TP-70, United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Alaska Region, Anchorage, Alaska.
- Matthysse, J.G., and Naegele, J.A. 1952. Control of several tree and shrub leaf miners. Journal of Economic Entomology, 45: 377–383.
- McQueen, R.L. 1996. Management of birch leaf miners (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae) in northern cities. M.Sc. thesis, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta.
- Miller, C.D. 1970. The Nearctic species of *Pnigalio* and *Sympiesis* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). Memoirs of the Entomological Society of Canada No. 68.
- Noyes, J.S. 2003. Universal Chalcidoidea database [online]. Available from www.nhm.ac.uk/entomology/chalcidoids/index.html [accessed 13 November 2006].
- Nystrom, K.L., and Evans, H.J. 1989. Biological notes on a birch leaf edgeminer, *Scolioneura betuleti* (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae), new to North America. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Ontario, **120**: 17–24.
- Peirson, H.B. 1929. Observations on the birch leaf mining sawfly. Journal of Economic Entomology, 22: 588–594.
- Peirson, H.B., and Brower, A.E. 1936. Biology and control of the birch leaf-mining sawfly. Maine Forest Service Bulletin No. 11.
- Pezzolesi, L.S.W., and Hager, B.J. 1994. Ant predation on two species of birch leaf-mining sawflies. The American Midland Naturalist, **131**: 156–168.
- Pieronek, B., and Soltyk, D. 1993. Zespoly rośliniarek (Hymenoptera, Tenthredinidae) minujących liście brzozy (*Betula* L.) w Ogrodzie Botanicznym w Krakowie. Polskie Pismo Entomologiczne, 62: 35–44.
- Pinto, J.D. 1998. Systematics of the North American species of *Trichogramma* Westwood (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae). Memoirs of the Entomological Society of Washington, 22: 1–287.
- Pschorn-Walcher, H., and Altenhofer, E. 1989. The parasitoid community of leaf-mining sawflies (Fenusini and Heterarthrini): a comparative analysis. Zoologischer Anzeiger, **222**: 37–56.
- Quednau, F.W. 1984. *Fenusa pusilla* (Lepeletier), birch leafminer (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae). *In* Biological control programmes against insects and weeds in Canada, 1969–1980. *Edited by* J.S. Kelleher and M.A. Hulme. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, United Kingdom. pp. 291–294.
- Raske, A.G., and Jones, R.N. 1975. Introduction of parasitoids of the birch leaf-mining sawfly into Newfoundland. Canadian Department of the Environment Bi-monthly Research Notes, 31(2): 20–21.

- Rose, A.H., and Lindquist, O.H. 1997. Insects of eastern hardwood trees (revised by K. Nystrom). Forestry Technical Report 29, Canadian Forest Service, Natural Resources Canada, Ottawa, Ontario.
- Ross, H.H. 1937. A generic classification of the Nearctic sawflies (Hymenoptera, Symphyta). Illinois Biological Monographs No. 15.
- Schauff, M.E. 1985. Taxonomic study of the Nearctic species of *Elachertus* Spinola (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington, 87: 843–858.
- Schönrogge, K., and Altenhofer, E. 1992. On the biology and larval parasitoids of the leaf-mining sawflies *Profenusa thomsoni* (Konow) and *P. pygmaea* (Konow) (Hymenoptera, Tenthredinidae). Entomologist's Monthly Magazine, **128**: 99–108.
- Schread, J.C. 1958. Thimet soil treatments for the control of leaf miners. Journal of Economic Entomology, 51: 245–246.
- Schread, J.C. 1959. Thimet soil treatments for control of leaf miners, galls and lace bugs. Journal of Economic Entomology, 52: 712–713.
- Schread, J.C. 1960. Systemic insecticides for control of scales, leaf miners and lace bugs. Journal of Economic Entomology, 53: 406–408.
- Schread, J.C. 1961. Control of leaf miners. Connecticut Agriculture Experiment Station Circular No. 215. pp. 1–16.
- Schread, J.C. 1962. Tests of systemics for control of birch leaf miner. Journal of Economic Entomology, 55: 562–563.
- Schread, J.C. 1964. Control of birch leaf miner with systemics. Journal of Economic Entomology, 57: 761.
- Schread, J.C. 1966. Tests of systemic insecticides for control of insect pests of ornamentals. Journal of Economic Entomology, **59**: 983–984.
- Schread, J.C. 1968. Leafminers and their control. Bulletin of the Connecticut Agriculture Experiment Station No. 693. pp. 1–15.
- Shaw, M.R. 1981. Some records of *Profenusa* thomsoni (Konow) (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae) in SE England. Entomologist's Gazette, **32**: 12.
- Shaw, M.R. 1983. On evolution of endoparasitism: the biology of some genera of Rogadinae (Braconidae). Contributions of the American Entomological Institute, **20**: 307–328.
- Shaw, M.R., and Huddleston, T. 1991. Classification and biology of braconid wasps. Handbooks for the Identification of British Insects. Vol. 7. Part 11.
- Shenefelt, R.D. 1975. Braconidae. Part 8. Hymenopterorum Catalogus (*nova editio*). Vol. 12. pp. 1115–1262.
- Smith, D.R. 1971. Nearctic sawflies. III. Heterarthrinae: adults and larvae (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae). Technical Bulletin 1420, United States Department of Agriculture. Washington, District of Columbia.
- Smith, D.R. 1981. Studies on the leaf-mining sawflies of the tribe Fenusini in Asia (Hymenoptera:

Tenthredinidae). Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington, **83**: 763–771.

- Snyder, C., MacQuarrie, C.J.K., Zogas, K., Kruse, J.J., and Hard, J. 2007. Invasive species in the last frontier: distribution and phenology of birch leaf mining sawflies in Alaska. Journal of Forestry, 105: 113–119.
- Taeger, A., and Blank, S.M. 1996. Kommentäre zur Taxonomie der Symphyta (Hymenoptera). Beitrage zur Entomologie, 46: 251–275.
- Taeger, A., and Blank, S.M. 2006. ECatSym electronic world catalog of Symphyta (Insecta, Hymenoptera). Data version 2 (August 11, 2006) [online]. Digital Entomological Information, Müncheberg, Germany. Available from http://www.zalf.de/home_zalf/institute/dei/php_e/ecatsym/ecatsym.php [accessed 13 November 2006].
- Thompson, W.R. 1944. A catalogue of the parasites and predators of insect pests. Section 1. Parasite host catalogue. Part 4. Parasites of the Hymenoptera, Isopoda and Isoptera. Parasite Service, Institute of Entomology, Imperial Agricultural Bureaux, Belleville, Ontario.
- Togashi, I. 1981. The Japanese sawflies of the genus *Profenusa* (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae), with description of three new species. Kontyû, **49**: 408–413.
- Togashi, I. 1992. Three new species of the genus *Heterarthrus* Stephens (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae) from Japan. Japanese Journal of Entomology, **60**: 851–856.
- Townes, H. 1969. The genera of Ichneumonidae. Part 1. Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute (Gainesville) No. 11.
- Tuomi, J., Niemelä, P., and Mannila, R. 1981. Leaves as islands: interactions of *Scolioneura betuleti* (Hymenoptera) miners in birch leaves. Oikos, **37**: 146–152.
- van Achterberg, C. 1983. Revisionary notes on the Palaearctic genera and species of the tribe Exothecini Foerster (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). Zoologische Mededelingen, **57**: 339–355.
- van Driesche, R.G., Childs, R., Casagrande, R.A., and Tewksbury, L. 1997. Establishment, distribution, and impact in southern New England of *Lathrolestes nigricollis* (Thompson) (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae), an introduced parasitoid of the birch leafminer, *Fenusa pusilla* (Lepeletier) (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae). The Canadian Entomologist, **129**: 601–611.
- Viramo, J. 1969. Zur Kenntnis der Minierfauna Finnlands : über die Wirtspflanzen und die Verbreitung der minierenden Blattwespen (Hym., Tenthredinoidea). Annales Entomologici Fennici, 35: 3–44.
- Wahl, D. 1999. Classification and systematics of the Ichneumonidae (Hymenoptera) [online]. Available from http://iris.biosci.ohio-state.edu/catalogs/ ichneumonids/master_list2.html [accessed 13 November 2006].

- Watson, W.Y. 1959. The larva of *Profenusa alumna* (MacG.) (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae). The Canadian Entomologist, **91**: 618–625.
- Wharton, R.A., Marsh, P.M., and Sharkey, M.J. 1997. Manual of the New World genera of the family Braconidae (Hymenoptera). Special Publication No. 1, International Society of Hymenopterists, Washington, District of Columbia.
- Wittwer, D., Zogas, K., Holsten, E., Burnside, R., Schultz, M., Hennon, P., Trummer, L., Shephard, M., and Rose, C. 2003. Forest insect and disease conditions in Alaska — 2002. General Technical Report R10-TP-113, United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Alaska Region, Anchorage, Alaska.
- Yoshimoto, C.M. 1973. Review of North American *Chrysocharis* (*Kratochviliana*) (Eulophidae: Chalcidoidea) north of Mexico, especially species attacking birch casebearer (Lepidoptera:

Coleophoridae) and birch leafminer (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae). The Canadian Entomologist, **105**: 1309–1349.

- Yoshimoto, C.M. 1978. Revision of the subgenus Achrysocharella Girault of America north of Mexico (Chalcidoidea, Eulophidae: Chrysonotomyia Ashmead). The Canadian Entomologist, **110**: 697–719.
- Yoshimoto, C.M. 1983. Review of North American *Pnigalio* Schrank (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). The Canadian Entomologist, **115**: 971–1000.
- Zhelokhovtsev, A.H. 1994. Tribe Heterarthrini. *In* Keys to the insects of the European part of the USSR. Vol. III. Hymenoptera. Part VI. Symphyta. *Edited by* V.I. Tobias and A.G. Zinov'ev. E.J. Brill, Leiden, Germany. pp. 297–318.
- Zwakhals, C.J. 1987. Revision of the genus Alophosternum Cushman with a new species from Japan (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae, Pimplinae). Entomologische Berichten, 47(7): 108–111.