

FLORIDA ATLANTIC UNIVERSITY

FAU Institutional Repository

http://purl.fcla.edu/fau/fauir

This paper was submitted by the faculty of FAU's Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institute.

Notice: ©1987 The Biological Society of Washington <u>http://www.brynmawr.edu/biology/BSW/</u>. This manuscript is an author version with the final publication available and may be cited as: Rice, S. A. (1987). Reproductive biology, systematics, and evolution in the polychaete family alciopidae. *Bulletin of the Biological Society of Washington, 7,* 114-127.

REPRODUCTIVE BIOLOGY, SYSTEMATICS, AND EVOLUTION IN THE POLYCHAETE FAMILY ALCIOPIDAE

Stanley A. Rice

Abstract.—Fourteen species of alciopid polychaetes were collected from the western Atlantic Ocean near Grand Bahama Island. Specimens were collected using a submersible equipped with a special zooplankton-capturing device. Eight of the nine known alciopid genera were represented in the collections along with a new species in the genus *Krohnia*. This new species is described and the relationships among alciopid genera are reexamined in light of new information from field observations and reproductive biology.

Six families within the Class Polychaeta are considered to be holopelagic spending their entire life cycle in the water column. These six families include: Alciopidae, Iospilidae, Lopadorrhynchidae, Pontodoridae, Tomopteridae, and Typhloscolecidae. A number of other polychaete families contain one or more species that may be truly pelagic, such as some species within the Polynoidae (Dales and Peter 1972) while many species of other families spend part of their life cycle in the water column either as larvae or adults but at some point return to a benthic habitat and thus are not truly pelagic.

Each of the holopelagic polychaete families shows special morphological and reproductive adaptations to life in the water column. A transparent body that renders individuals nearly invisible in the natural habitat has evolved in the Alciopidae, Tomopteridae, and Typhloscolecidae. Swimming aids have evolved such as flattened, composite setae in the Lopadorrhynchidae, and parapodial paddles without setae in the Tomopteridae. The Alciopidae have developed enlarged, complex eyes, grasping eversible proboscides and sperm storage organs in females as pelagic adaptations.

Most pelagic polychaetes are fragile and do not stand up well to physical abuse. As a result, specimens collected in plankton nets are often damaged and fragmented, making taxonomic work difficult and live observation nearly impossible.

The development and application of advanced sampling gear operated from a non-tethered submersible has resulted in the collection of undamaged living specimens of the family Alciopidae and has provided an avenue for in situ observation of behavior.

The present paper contains an account of alciopid species collected from the western Atlantic Ocean including a description of a new species. New information is presented on the structure of alciopid sperm and their relation to sperm storage mechanisms in the females. The evolutionary relationships among genera within the Alciopidae are reexamined in light of this new information.

Materials and Methods

The specimens described and illustrated in this paper were collected from the northwest Providence Channel, Bahama Islands between September 1979 and April 1980. Collections were made using the Johnson Sea-Link submersible operated out of Harbor Branch Foundation, Inc., Fort Pierce, Florida. Most specimens were collected at depths between 90 and 250 m. All collections were made during darkness so that specimens could be attracted to the submersible by use of floodlights.

Living specimens were returned to the surface and immediately fixed for electron microscopy (EM) or for taxonomic studies. Specimens intended for EM studies were fixed for 2 hours at room temperature in a 2.5% glutaraldehyde buffered with 0.2 M sodium phosphate, followed by postfixation in 1% osmium buffered with 1.25% sodium bicarbonate. Thick and thin sections were cut on a DuPont MT2-B ultramicrotome. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) specimens were fixed and postfixed as above followed by dehy-

Fauvel (1923)	Støp-Bowitz (1948)				
Alciopa Audouin and Milne-Edwards (1833)	*Naiades Delle Chiaje (1830)				
Vanadis Claparède (1870)	Vanadis Claparède (1870)				
Greeffia McIntosh (1885)	*Alciopa Audouin and Milne-Edwards (1833)				
Asterope Claparède (1870)	Torrea Quatrefages (1850)				
Callizonella Apstein (1891)	Krohnia Quatrefages (1865)				
Corynocephalus Levinsen (1885)	Alciopina Claparède and Panceri (1867)				
Rhynchonerella Costa (1862)	Plotohelmis Chamberlin (1919)				
Callizona Greeff (1876)	Rhynchonerella Costa (1862)				
. ,	*Watelio Støp-Bowitz (1848)				

Table 1.-Currently recognized genera in the Family Alciopidae, following revisions of Støp-Bowitz (1948).

* Monotypic genera (Fauchald 1977).

dration to acetone. Critical point drying was accomplished using liquid CO_2 as the transition solvent. Dried specimens were mounted and coated with gold-palladium and viewed on a Zeiss Novascan 30 scanning electron microscope.

Systematics of the Family Alciopidae

The family Alciopidae Ehlers, 1864, contains a relatively homogeneous assemblage of species that resemble, in many characteristics, the Phyllodocidae (Dales 1955). The single unifying characteristic of all alciopids is the possession of two greatly enlarged, complex eyes. The structure and function of these organs have been described by Hermans and Eakin (1974) and Wald and Rayport (1977). In general, alciopids have long, thin bodies that are semi-transparent to transparent in life. Five small antennae are present on the prostomium; four antennae on the anterior margins and one median antenna between the eyes. The first three segments bear 3-5 pairs of tentacular cirri. The proboscis is eversible with distal papillae or fleshy lateral horns for grasping. Parapodia are uniramous with conical setigerous lobes and leafy dorsal cirri. Setae may be simple or compound. Segmental glands are present laterally or dorsally on most parapodia.

Early systematic accounts of the Alciopidae are often confusing and may contain descriptions based upon incomplete and damaged specimens. Important references in the early literature include Greeff (1876), Apstein (1900) and Fauvel (1923). Støp-Bowitz (1948) revised the genera within the Alciopidae (Table 1). Recent literature on the family includes: Dales (1955); Tebble (1960, 1962); Day (1967); Dales and Peter (1972); Uschakov (1972) and Fauchald (1977). Presently, there are nine recognized genera in the Alciopidae (Table 1) and 31 species, including the new species described herein. The principal diagnostic characteristics used to separate genera and species within the Alciopidiae include: 1) the prostomial shape and appendages; 2) the proboscis morphology; 3) number and shape of tentacular cirri on the first three segments; 4) parapodial morphology and 5) type and distribution of setae.

Prostomium. — A pair of enlarged eyes are prominent on all species and often obscure other features of the head (Fig. 1A–F). The angle of the eyes may be downward, upward, forward, or slanted to the side. Dales (1957) used the angle of the eyes as a diagnostic character but this has been criticized by Tebble (1962) as being unreliable. Located anteriorly between the eyes is a small truncated extension of the prostomium bearing four short antennae. These antennae vary from inconspicuous to prominent and often have sensory tufts that are visible with the SEM (Fig. 1B). A single median antenna is present between the eyes.

Proboscis. — An eversible proboscis is present in all species but shows considerable variation in size and shape between species (Fig. 2A–F). Chitinized jaws are never present; however, some species have hardened papillae lining the inner surface of the everted proboscis (Fig. 2E–F). Fleshy marginal papillae are often present on the distal border of the proboscis and these may be accompanied by long fleshy horns in some species (Fig. 2A–B). Proboscis horns and papillae are generally equipped with mucus glands that presumably aid in prey capture. The relative length of the proboscis along with the number and shape of papillae and horns are diagnostic.



Fig. 1. Anterior view of selected alciopid polychaetes showing orientation of eyes, prostomial antennae and tentacular cirri. A, Alciopina parasitica; B, Krohnia lepidoa; C, Vanadis crystallina; D, V. formosa; E, Alciopa reynaudii; F, Rhynchonerella moebii.

Tentacular cirri. — The first three segments in all alciopids are asetigerous and apodous. These segments bear tentacular cirri that may be elongate and cylindrical, flattened, or conical. Day (1967) has developed a tentacular formula for describing the number, arrangement and shape of the tentacular cirri on the first three segments. This formula is represented by

$$1 + \frac{1}{0 \text{ or } 1} + \frac{1}{0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ or } N}$$

where 1 = tentacular cirrus present; 0 = tenta-



Fig. 2. Anterior views of selected alciopid polychaetes showing eversible proboscides. A, Alciopa reynaudii; B, Vanadis formosa; C, Rhynchonerella angelini; D, R. petersi; E, Torrea candida; F, T. candida close-up of chitinized papillae on inner surface of proboscis.

cular cirrus absent and N = normal lamellar cirrus present. For example,*Krohnia lepidota*hasa single pair of tentacular cirri on segment 1; 2pairs of tentacular cirri on segment 2; and a dorsal pair of tentacular cirri plus a ventral pair of lamellar cirri on segment three. The tentacular formula is then

$$1 + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{N}$$



Fig. 3. Krohnia foliocirrata, new species. A, anterior end, lateral view; B, anterior ventral view showing ventral cirri and transition from acicular to capillary setae at setiger 7 ($60 \times$); C, close-up of anterior fascicle of acicular setae ($500 \times$); D, K. lepidota, anterior end showing antennae, tentacular cirri and dorsal cirri.

For reference to a particular cirrus, the designations D2 and D3 are used to denote dorsal cirri on segments 2 and 3 respectively, while V2 and V3 denote ventral cirri on segments 2 and 3 respectively.

Parapodia. – In alciopids, the first parapodial segment is segment 4. The first few parapodial segments may be reduced in some species and may be asetigerous. All parapodia are uniramous with a single acicula that may extend beyond the end of the parapodial lobe. One or two fleshy digitiform appendages may be present in some genera. Dorsal cirri are generally large and foliaceous while ventral cirri are lamellar in most segments. In females of some species, the dorsal cirri on the first few parapodial segments are modified into seminal receptacles for sperm storages (see Discussion below).

Parapodial glands are present laterally and dorsally between parapodial lobes on most body segments. These are referred to as "segmental glands" by many authors but are different from the nephridial organs which are known as "segmental organs." The parapodial glands range in size from small oval projections in some species to elongated, kidney-shaped structures extending from the dorsal to the ventral cirrophore. These glands appear to function as mucus-secreting organs and are often darkly pigmented.

Alciopid setae may be simple or compound. Capillary setae alone are present in some genera while other genera possess various combinations of compound spinigers, acicular setae, and capillaries.

> Krohnia foliocirrata, new species Figs. 3A-C, 4A-B, 5G

Material examined. – BAHAMAS: Northwest Providence Channel, 26°16.5'N, 77°42'W, 20 Apr



Fig. 4. Krohnia foliocirrata. A, anterior parapodium, posterior view; B, posterior parapodium, posterior view showing segmental glands; C, K. lepidota, typical mid-body parapodium (from Day 1967).

1980, submersible dive 812, 450 ft, Holotype (USNM 97376); 24°43'N, 76°17'W, 13 Jun 1981, submersible dive 598, 500 ft, coll. P. Eckelbarger, 2 paratypes (USNM 97375); 19 Apr 1980, submersible dive 810, 510 ft, 1 specimen; 14 Jun 1981, submersible dive 602, 485 ft coll. M. Youngbluth; 24°38.7'N, 76°13.5'W, 1 specimen; 14 Jun 1981, submersible dive 603, 370–425 ft, coll. C. Jacoby, 24°39'N, 76°13.1'W, 2 specimens.

Description.—Prostomium short and blunt with four short ovoid antennae, ventral pair of antennae slightly longer and more pointed than dorsal pair. One digitiform median antenna present between eyes and directed anteriorly. Eyes large and directed laterally and slightly anteriorly. Five pairs of tentacular cirri present; tentacular formula:

$$1 + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{N}$$

First 3 pairs of tentacular cirri (1, D2, V2) pointed and similar in length. D2 slightly longer than others. D3 much longer than preceding cirri and flattened, blade-like; D3 about three times longer than adjacent parapodial lobe. V3 small and lamellar (Fig. 3A), similar in shape to subsequent ventral parapodial cirri.

Setae well developed on all parapodia. Parapodial lobe elongate with distal postsetal digitiform process. Single acicula present, extending beyond distal end of parapodial lobe just ventral



Fig. 5. Sperm morphology of selected alciopid genera. A, Alciopa; B, Rhynchonerella; C, Naiades; D, Torrea; E, Vanadis; F, Krohnia lepidota; G, K. foliocirrata; H, Plotohelmis.

to digitiform process. First 6 parapodia with broad fascicle of distally curved acicular setae (about 30 setae each) dorsal and ventral to acicula, and few fine capillary setae dorsally. Posterior to setiger 6, capillaries more numerous with 1-4 acicular setae present ventrally (Fig. 3B-C).

Dorsal cirri well developed on all parapodia. Anterior dorsal cirri pyriform, slightly longer than wide and about three times longer than parapodial lobes. Posterior dorsal cirri lanceolate, much longer than wide and up to six times longer than corresponding parapodial lobes. Ventral cirri similar throughout body, lamellar in shape, distally pointed and extending dorsally just posterior to parapodial lobe (Fig. 4A–B).

Pigment granules present in dorsal cirri, especially posterior cirri, and along ventral margins.

Pygidial cirrus long, slender and appearing slightly beaded. Proboscis short and rounded with 12 marginal papillae. Muscular pharynx extending internally to setiger 7.

Remarks. — Living animal largely transparent, slow-swimming with serpentine motion when observed in the field. Eyes red in reflected light. Tips of tentacular cirrus D3 and dorsal parapodial cirri with opaque, light yellow pigment. Posterior dorsal cirri with increasing pigmentation. This species differs from K. lepidota (Krohn, 1845) and K. excellata (Uschakov, 1955) primarily by the presence of very long, lanceolate dorsal cirri throughout the body. Pigmentation patterns described for K. lepidota by Støp-Bowitz (1948) and Uschakov (1972) are absent on K. foliocirrata.

Krohnia lepidota collected from the same area as K. foliocirrata have few (1-3) acicular setae present in anterior parapodia and have a long cylindrical pair of tentacular cirri (D3) on segment 3. These cirri bear distinct marginal papillae (Fig. 3D). In contrast, K. foliocirrata has numerous acicular setae present in anterior parapodia and has a pair of tentacular cirri (D3) that are elongate and flattened.

The mature sperm from the coelom of males are similar in shape but different in size in the two species. *Krohnia lepidota* sperm average 11.0 μ m in length (n = 5) while K. foliocirrata sperm average 13.9 μ m in length (n = 5).

Etymology. – The species name is derived from the elongated, leaf-like dorsal parapodial cirri.

Distribution.-Northwest Providence Channel, Bahamas, British West Indies.

Alciopids Collected from the Bahamas

Fourteen species of alciopids were collected during four cruises near the Bahama Islands. Eight of the nine known genera are represented by one or more species (Table 2). Nearly all specimens collected were preserved and embedded in Epon for electron microscope studies. A detailed diagnosis of the species present in Bahamian waters will have to await further collections. Of the four cruises listed in Table 2, intensive sampling of alciopid polychaetes was attempted only during the latter three cruises, with only two species, Krohnia lepidota and Vanadis formosa collected on all three. Six species were collected on only one cruise. Overall, the most abundant species encountered was V. formosa, although on one dive, a swarm of hundreds of Rhynchonerella

Date collected Depth range June '79 Sept '79 Nov '79 Apr '80 Species (M) Sex Alciopa reynaudii х х 60-240 M and F Alciopina parasitica х х 90-120 м Krohnia lepidota х х х 120 Μ Krohnia foliocirrata х 112-152 м х х Naiades cantrainii 122 F Plotohelmis tenuis x 134-168 M and F х х Rhynchonerella angelini 122 M and F Rhvnchonerella moebii х х Μ _ х Rhynchonerella petersi M and F Torrea candida х 134-168 M and F Vanadis crystallina х 122 Μ Vanadis fuscapunctata х Μ Vanadis minuta х F x Vanadis formosa х х 90-182 M and F

Table 2.-Alciopid species collected from the Bahama Islands, BWI.

angelini was encountered. Most alciopid species were small and transparent, making collection by sight quantitatively unreliable. In addition, many species were observed but not collected due to limited time and capacity of the collection device. Thus reliable estimates of population densities of alciopid polychaetes will have to await further study.

Sperm Morphology

Sperm morphology has been examined in all 14 species collected, using light and electron microscopy. Representative sperm morphologies are presented in Fig. 5. Alciopid sperm display a wide variety of shapes from short and oval to long and pointed. These shape-variations are due to differences in sperm nuclear morphology, since all species examined have short middle pieces with globular mitochondria. The drawings of alciopid sperm presented in Fig. 5 were made from light microscope observations and from SEM photographs (Fig. 6). Studies on sperm ultrastructure using the TEM are currently underway and will be reported elsewhere.

The sperm morphology of Alciopa, Alciopina, Rhynchonerella, Naiades, and Torrea is of the type classified as "primitive" by Franzen (1956). Sperm of this shape are generally spawned into seawater and swim to the female or to the spawned eggs. The elongated sperm present in Vanadis, Krohnia, and Plotohelmis are most likely associated with some modification of the primitive mechanism of sperm release and fertilization.

The morphology of sperm stored within female seminal receptacles was examined in sectioned specimens of Vanadis formosa, Torrea candida, and Naiades cantrainii. In both V. formosa and T. candida, the stored sperm had various modifications not seen in mature coelomic sperm from males. These differences along with ultrastructural descriptions of seminal receptacles will be presented elsewhere.

Figure 7A-D shows two modes of sperm storage found in the Alciopidae. The simple mode of sperm storage is represented by *Rhynchonerella moebii* in which sperm are embedded in the epidermis of parapodial lobes. A more complex sperm storage mechanism is represented by *Vanadis formosa* (Fig. 7D) where anterior dorsal cirri are modified to form seminal receptacles. This latter method of sperm storage was also observed in other species of *Vanadis, Torrea candida,* and *Naiades cantrainii* collected from the Bahamas.

Discussion

Few new species within the Alciopidae have been described during the past three decades. This is likely related to the difficulty encountered in obtaining complete, undamaged specimens suitable for taxonomic study. Many of the alciopid species are pan-tropical in distribution. Some accounts of the same species from widely



Fig. 6. SEM micrographs of selected alciopid spermatozoa. A, Vanadis formosa; B, Plotohelmis tenuis; C, Rhynchonerella angelini; D, Alciopa reynaudii.

separated populations suggest that evolutionary divergence may be occurring (see Uschakov 1972); however, studies on reproduction and development of these species are needed to confirm these suspicions. As collection techniques are improved and additional specimens become available for study, it is likely that additional new species will be discovered.

There is little doubt that Krohnia foliocirrata is distinct morphologically. It differs from the other two species in the genus (K. lepidota and K. excellata) by possession of greatly elongated dorsal cirri and by the presence of numerous acicular setae in the first six anterior parapodial segments. More subtle differences include the shape of the prostomial antenna and the flattened shape of the third dorsal tentacular cirri in K. foliocirrata. The difference in the size of the spermatozoa between K. lepidota and K. foliocirrata (Fig. 5) suggests that reproductive divergence has occurred. The appearance of K. lepidota and K. foliocirrata when alive is sufficiently distinct to allow differentiation between the two species at a glance. Unfortunately, most alciopids lose many of their unique characteristics when preserved; thus many useful features are lost when living specimens are not available.

Generic Relationships within the Alciopidae

Dales (1955) presents a thorough treatment of the evidence supporting the evolution of the Alciopidiae from a common ancestor of the Phyllodocidae and develops a scheme representing the evolutionary relationships among the genera of alciopids. These relationships are based upon standard morphological features that can be easily observed in preserved material.

The main features that suggest a common ancestry for alciopids and phyllodocids include the common possession of five prostomial antennae,



Fig. 7. Two modes of sperm storage found in the Alciopidae, A, B, C, *Rhynchonerella moebii*, showing increasing magnification of stored sperm on parapodial lobes; D, *Vanadis formosa*, showing modified dorsal cirri of the first two parapodial segments used as seminal receptacles.

leaf-like dorsal cirri, uniramous parapodia, an eversible proboscis, long, slender bodies, and good swimming ability. The special characteristics of the alciopids that indicate a divergence from the common ancestor and an adaptation to pelagic life include greatly enlarged eyes, proboscis modifications for capturing prey, transparent or semitransparent bodies, and reproductive modification (sperm storage).

Several workers have noted that the alciopid genera can be divided into two somewhat distinct groups (Støp-Bowitz 1948; Dales 1955; Uschakov 1972). Following Dales (1955), one group is characterized by having compound setae that may be acicular or capillary, while the second group has only simple setae that may be acicular or capillary (Fig. 8, after Dales 1955). The genus *Watelio* is intermediate between the two groups. Støp-Bowitz (1948) divides the alciopid genera into two groups on a slightly different basis. He describes one group with one pair of tentacular cirri on each of the first three segments; only slender setae (either simple or compound); proboscis with long lateral horns and females with seminal receptacles. Støp-Bowitz (1948) includes the genera *Torrea*, *Naiades*, *Vanadis*, and *Alciopa* in this group, with the note that seminal receptacles had yet to be found in *Alciopa*.

The second group recognized by Støp-Bowitz (1948) is characterized by having two pairs of tentacular cirri on segments 2 and 3, two types of setae (acicular and capillary that may be simple or compound), proboscis without lateral horns and females without seminal receptacles. Included in this group are the genera Alciopina, Pltohelmis, Krohnia, Rhynchonerella, and Watelio, with the note that females of Krohnia and Rhynchonerella may carry sperm between the pedal lobe and ventral cirrus. Uschakov (1972) follows the same basic arrangement as Støp-Bowitz



Fig. 8. Proposed scheme of evolutionary relationships among genera of the Alciopidae.

(1948) except that he places the genus Alciopa in the second group rather than the first based upon his specimens which have two types of setae, simple and compound. Støp-Bowitz (1948) and Day (1967) describe Alciopa as having only one type of setae, compound spinigers, and suggest that swollen anterior ventral cirri in females may serve as seminal receptacles. Dales and Peter (1972) consider Alciopa monospecific, while Uschakov (1972) lists three species in the genus. Comparative morphological and reproductive studies on species in this genus are clearly needed.

Dales (1955) and Uschakov (1972) consider the Alciopidae to have arisen from an ancestral stock similar to the present-day phyllodocid genus *Eulalia*. This ancestral stock would likely have had a benthic adult form, a swimming reproductive stage, primitive sperm that were shed into the water, a sucking rather than grasping proboscis, uniramous parapodia, and leaf-like parapodial cirri. This ancestral alciopid may have taken up a holopelagic existence through a persistent planktonic larval stage (neoteny) or through a free-swimming adult stage that remained in the water column.

The specific characteristics of the ancestral alciopid are not known; thus the best approach to understanding relationships within the AlciopiTable 3.-Morphological and reproductive characteristics of Alciopidae genera.

- I. Proboscis length:
 - 1. Short 2. Long
 - 2. 20116
- II. Proboscis horns:
 - 1. Present-long 2. Present-short
 - 3. Absent
 - 3. Absent
- III. Proboscis teeth:
 - 1. Present
 - 2. Absent
- IV. Setae:
 - 1. Capillaries only
 - 2. Compound spinigers
 - 3. Capillaries + compound spinigers
 - 4. Capillaries + simple acicula
 - Compound spinigers + simple and compound acicula
 - 6. Compound spinigers + simple acicula
- V. Body transparency (alive):
 - 1. Opaque
 - 2. Transparent
- VI. Anterior parapodia:
 - 1. Well developed
 - Reduced or absent
- VII. Sperm type:
 - 1. Primitive
 - 2. Modified
- VIII. Sperm storage:
 - Present—external
 - Present—internal
 - 3. Absent
 - IX. Distal parapodial cirrus:
 - 1. One present
 - 2. Two present
 - 3. Absent
 - X. Tentacular cirri (pairs):
 - 1. 3 pairs
 - 2. 3-4 pairs
 - 3. 4 pairs
 - 4. 4-5 pairs
 - 5. 5 pairs

dae is to examine differences and similarities among extant genera. Far too few characteristics are presently available for rigorous numerical analysis of alciopid genera; however, preliminary analysis using ten morphological or reproductive characteristics appear to support the generic relationships proposed by Støp-Bowitz (1948).

The characteristics used in this analysis are listed in Table 3. Most of the characteristics represent standard morphological features that are

	Rhyncho- nerella	Alciopina	Krohnia	Poto- helmis	Alciopa	Naiades	Тоттеа	Vanadis	Watelio
I. Proboscis length	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	2
II. Proboscis horns	3	3	3	3	1	2	2	1	3
III. Proboscis teeth	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2
IV. Setae	5	4	4	6	3	1	2	2	3
V. Body transparency	1	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	*
VI. Anterior parapodia	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1
VII. Sperm type	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	+
VIII. Sperm storage	1	3	1	3	2	2	2	2	3
IX. Parapodial cirrus	1	3	1	3	2	3	3	1	1
X. Tentacular cirri	5	5	5	4	2	1	1	2	3

Table 4.—Summary of generic characteristics in the Alciopidae. See Table 3 and discussion for explanation of character scoring.

* Unknown.

available from the literature. Dales (1955) and Day (1967) discuss these characteristics and their taxonomic importance. Two new characteristics, sperm type and body transparency, are included in Tables 3 and 4.

Sperm type.-The alciopid genera display a range of sperm morphology from the "primitive" type to the "modified" type as defined by Franzen (1956). Five genera have primitive sperm while three genera have modified sperm (Figs. 5A-H, 6A-D). The sperm of Watelio have not been described. None of the alciopid sperm presently described have the elongated middle piece that is characteristic of modified sperm in other polychaetes (Franzen 1974; Rice 1981). Modification of sperm structure has been found to correlate with specialized modes of reproduction in polychaetes, involving spermatophore production, copulation and/or sperm storage by females (Franzen 1956, 1982). Specialized sperm transfer mechanisms would likely be advantageous to pelagic species, especially if encounters between appropriate mates are infrequent.

The ability of female worms to store sperm represents an additional adaptation to pelagic life where sexual encounters may be rare. Sperm storage has been used previously in alciopids as generic characteristic (Støp-Bowitz 1948; Day 1967; Uschakov 1972). In the present review, sperm storage is classified as internal in species of *Vanadis, Torrea*, and *Naiades* where specialized seminal receptacles are present (Fig. 7D). External sperm storage is reported in *Krohnia* and *Rhynchonerella* where seminal receptacles are absent (Fig. 7A–C).

Body form.-Observation of living alciopids

in their natural habitat has revealed a divergence in appearance and swimming ability among genera. Some genera, such as *Rhynchonerella* and *Alciopa*, have muscular bodies that appear opaque to translucent when alive. These worms are strong swimmers and progress rapidly through the water. Other genera, including *Vanadis, Torrea, Plotohelmis, Naiades, Alciopina,* and *Krohnia* have transparent bodies when alive and lack extensive body musculature even though they may reach considerable size. The alciopids swim slowly in a spiralling, sinuous fashion and would appear to rely upon their invisibility rather than their swimming ability for predator avoidance.

Calculation of a Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient matrix (Sokal and Sneath 1963) using the 10 characteristics from Table 4 (admittedly a small number of characteristics) results in two groups of genera. One group of four genera shows a relatively high correlation (r = 0.818) and corresponds to the second group of Støp-Bowitz (1948). This group includes Rhynchonerella, Alciopina, Plotohelmis, and Krohnia. The remainder of the alciopid genera are not strongly correlated with each other nor with the above group of four genera. A proposed arrangement of the alciopid genera is presented in Fig. 8. The two branches correspond to the generic groups proposed by Støp-Bowitz (1948), which are supported by the present analysis. Watelio has intermediate characteristics between the two main branches although Støp-Bowitz (1948) includes it with the Rhynchonerella branch.

The generic arrangement proposed in Fig. 8 differs from that proposed by Dales (1955) presented in Fig. 9. Dales' (1955) scheme arranges



(BENTHIC ADULT; SWIMMING REPRODUCTIVE STAGE; PRIMATIVE SPERM; CARNIVOROUS; SUCKING PROBOSCIS; UNIRAMOUS PARAPODIA; LEAF-LIKE PARAPODIAL CIRRI)



the genera on the basis of simple or compound setae with acicula-bearing genera grouped at the base of the diagram. The arrangement in Fig. 8 has all acicula-bearing genera in the branch leading to *Krohnia* and generally with only slender setae in the opposite branch. Both branches in Fig. 8 depict an upward transition from opaquebodied fast-swimming worms to transparentbodied slow-swimming forms at the top. In addition, the genera with modified sperm are positioned at the top of each branch in Fig. 8. The progression of genera up each branch in Fig. 8 is thus intended to represent increasing adaptation or modification for pelagic life.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported, in part, by the Harbor Branch Foundation, Fort Pierce, Florida, the Mote Marine Laboratory, Sarasota, Florida, and the University of Tampa. The following individuals are acknowledged for their help with this project: Ms. P. Eckelbarger, Dr. M. Youngbluth and Dr. C. Jacoby for assistance with specimen collection; Dr. K. Eckelbarger and Ms. P. Linley for help with specimen preparation; Dr. K. Fauchald for discussions of systematics and manuscript preparation and Ms. A. Rice for assistance with artwork and typing. This paper is Contribution No. 466 of the Harbor Branch Foundation.

Literature Cited

- Apstein, C. 1891. Die Alciopiden der naturhistorischen Museums in Hamburg.-Jahrbuch der Hamburgischen Wissenschaftlichen Anstalten 8:3-19.
- 1900. Die Alciopiden und Tomopteriden der Plankton-Expedition.—Ergebnisse der Plankton Expedition 11:1–61.
- Audouin, J. V., and H. Milne-Edwards. 1833. Classification des Annélides et description de celles qui habitent les côtes de la France.—Annales des Sciences Naturelles 29:195–269.
- Chamberlin, R. V. 1919. The Annelida Polychaeta. Memoirs of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College 48:1-514.
- Chiaje, S. delle. 1830. Descrizione sulla storia e notomia degli animale sense vertebre del Regno di Napoli 3:232.
- Claparède, E. 1870. Les Annélides Chëtopodes du Golfe de Neapel.-Memoires de la Société de Physique, Genève, Supplement 20:365-432.
- —, and P. Panceri. 1867. Nota sopra un Alciopide parssito della Cydippe densa Forskal. – Atti della Societa Italiano della Sciencia Naturale 10: 257–258.
- Costa, A. 1862. Descrizione di alcuni annelidi del Golfo di Napoli.-Annali del Museo de Zoologia, Napoli 1:82-90.
- Dales, R. P. 1955. The evolution of the pelagic alciopid and phyllodocid polychaetes.—Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London 125: 411-420.
- —. 1957. Pelagic polychaetes of the Pacific Ocean. – Bulletin of Scripps Institution of Oceanography 7:95–167.
- ——, and G. Peter. 1972. A synopsis of the pelagic Polychaeta.—Journal of Natural History 6:55– 92.
- Day, J. H. 1967. A monograph of the Polychaeta of southern Africa. Part 1. 458 pp. British Museum (Natural History) London.
- Ehlers, E. 1864. Die Borstenwürmer nach systematischen und anatomischen Untersuchungen dargestellt. Leipzig, Wilhelm Engelmann, 268 pp.
- Fauchald, K. 1977. The polychaete worms. Definitions and keys to the Orders, Families and Genera. – Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, Science Series 28:1–188.
- Fauvel, P. 1923. Polychètes errantes.-Faune de France 5:1-488.
- Franzen, A. 1956. On spermiogenesis, morphology of the spermatozoon, and the biology of fertilization among invertebrates.—Zoologiska Bidrag från Uppsala 31:355–482.

- -----. 1974. Sperm ultrastructure in some Polychaeta. Pp. 267–278. In Afzelius, B. A. (ed.): The functional anatomy of the spermatozoon. Pergamon Press, New York.
- . 1982. Ultrastructure of spermatids and spermatozoa in three polychaetes with modified biology of reproduction: Autolytus sp., Chilinopoma serrula, and Capitella capitata. International Journal of Invertebrate Reproduction 5:185-200.
- Greeff, R. 1876. Untersuchungen über Alciopiden. Leopoldinisch-Carolinische Deutsche Akademie der Naturforscher 39:33–132.
- Hermans, C. O., and R. M. Eakin. 1974. Fine structure of the eyes of an alciopid polychaete, Vanadis tagensis (Annelida).—Zeitschrift für Morphologie und ökologie der Tiere 79:245–267.
- Krohn, A. 1845. Zoologische und anatomische Bemerkungen über die Alciopiden.—Archiv für Naturgeschichte 11:171–184.
- Levinsen, G. M. R. 1885. Om nogle pelagiske Annulata.-Spolia Atlantica 3:321-344.
- McIntosh, W. C. 1885. Report on the Annelida Polychaeta collected by H.M.S. Challenger during the years 1873-76.—Challenger Report 12:1-554.
- Quatrefages, A. de. 1850. Études sur les types inferieurs de l'embranchement des Anneles. Mémoire sur la système nerveux des Annélides.— Annales des Sciences Naturelles 14:329–398.
 - . 1865. Histoire naturelle des Anneles marins et d'eau douce. Paris, Libraire Encyclopedique de Rôret 2.

- Rice, S. A. 1981. Spermatogenesis and sperm ultrastructure in three species of *Polydora* and in *Streblospio benedicti* (Polychaeta).-Zoomorphology 97:1-16.
- Sokal, R. R., and P. H. A. Sneath. 1963. Principles of numerical taxonomy. W.H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, 359 pp.
- Støp-Bowitz, C. 1948. Polychaeta from the Michael Sars North-Atlantic Deep-Sea Expedition, 1910.—Report of the Scientific Results of the "Michael Sars" North-Atlantic Deep-Sea Expedition 5:1-91.
- Tebble, N. 1960. The distribution of pelagic polychaetes in the south Atlantic Ocean. – Discovery Reports 30:161–300.
- 1962. The distribution of pelagic polychaetes across the north Pacific Ocean. – Bulletin of the British Museum of Natural History 7:373–492.
- Uschakov, P. V. 1955. Polychaeta of the far eastern seas of the USSR. Jerusalem, Israel Program for Scientific Translations, 419 pp.
 - 1972. Polychaetes, Vol 1. Fauna of the USSR. Akademia Nauk, Zoological Institute, series no. 102, 259 pp.
- Wald, G., and S. Rayport, 1977. Vision in annelid worms.-Science 196:1434-1439.

Division of Science and Mathematics, University of Tampa, Tampa, Florida 33606.