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ABSTRACT

This study documents the second of three phases of the Indiana Higher Education Facilities Comprehensive planning Stady. papers comprising this second phase of the study are devoted to long-run forecasts of needs and resources and related significant considerations. This portion of the study focuses on regional demand for higher education and consicers that demand in view of potential enrollment. In an analysis of student demand, the report presents statistics showing a breakdown of college and university students by counties; examines regional variations in college enrollment; and analyzes the reasons for these differences. A related document is $E$ A 003080 . (JF)
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## FOREWORD

This pager is one of a series of specialized reports prepared as a part of a comprehensive study designed to provide a clear profile on an institu-. tional and statewide basis of the current and future relationships between the demand for and the supply of higher education in Indiana. The study, which is programmed in three phases, will make possible the determination of the needs for higher education resources and facilities, as well as identification of various feasible alternatives for meeting those needs. Survey data and analysis comprising the first phase of the study were published last year in a series of five current status reports, dealing with finances, enrollments, programs and personnel, student migration, and facilities inventories and utilization. Pppers comprising the second phase of the study are devoted to long-run forecasts of needs and resources and related significant considerations. The chird phase of the study will consist of a final report that will relate the data and the findings developed during the first phases of the study and include a proposed higher education computer simulation model desigried to facilitate the analysis of the probable impact of a wide range of variables.

With cooperation fromi the Indiana Conference of Higher Education, the Indiana Higher Education Facilities Comprehensive Planning Study is sponsored by the Indiana Advisory Commission on Academic Facilities under grants from the U.S. Office of Education authorized by the Higher Education Facilities Act of 1963 (PL 88-204), as amended.

While emphasis of the comprehensive study is directed toward facilities needs, it is recognized that those needs axe and will continue to be significantly affected by a broad spectrum of factors exerting substantial influences. The overall effort is; therefore, multifaceted and designed to provide
both factual data and professional analysis and opinion for higher educational policy makers at the institutional as well as state level. A resulting and product will hopefully be the encouragement of efficient higher education resources utilization and the progressive provision of academic facilities in keeping with realistic needs in consonance with available resources and compatible with programmed needs and demands.

The views and opinions expressed in this paper are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the Indiana Advisory Commission on Academic Facilities, the Indiana Conference of Higher Education, or the Study Director and other members of the staff.
R. E. Masters

Executive Secretary
Indiana Advisory Commission on Academic Facilities

This working faper is one of a series of specialized reports that have eminated from the Higher Education Facilities Planning Study undertaken in the summer of 1967 with the sponsorship of the Indiana Advisory Commission on Academic Facilities and the Indiana Conference on Higher Education.

As part of the study, a series of current status reports on the needs and resources of Indiana institutions of higher learning were published in the summer of 1968. These included the following:

Current Status Report 1-Finances
Current Status Report 2-Enrollment Projects
Current Status Report 3-Prograins and Personnel
Current Status Report 4 -Student Migration Patterns
Current Status Report 5-Facilities Inventory and Utilization

The working paper series results mainly from staff research during the second year of the study. Papers tentatively scheduled to be published in this series are:

A Simulation Model for Post-High School Education
Demand for Academic Programs
Determinants of Cost Differences
Faculty and Staff Needs
Financing Higher Education
Regional Demand for Post-iiigh School Education
Survey of High School Senior Education Intentions
The Future Space Requirements
The final report, which will be based on all previous staff research efforts over the course of the study, is scheduled to be published in late 1969.

Our purpose in publishing this working paper series is to make avail-. able to those requesting documentation much of the research detail behind the findings and projections presentec in our final refort. The papers aire essentially in draft form and do not necessarily receive the endorsement of other members of the staff, the membership of the Adviscry Commission on Academic Facilities, or the Indiana Conference on Higher Education.

Charles F. Bonser<br>Study Director<br>Advisory Commission on Academic Eacilities
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PART I

CURRENT DEMAND AND SUPFLY

## I. INTRODUCTION

The Higher Education Eacilities Comprehensive Planning Study is concorned with Indiana postsecondary educational needs and resources through 1985. This portion of the study, which is concerned with regional demand, considers demand 1 n view of potential enrollment, that is, defined as the number of students who might be served through regional institutions of hirher: education. Although the social and economic needs for educated citizens are well known, they will not be quantified in this study. Rather; we will attempt to measure student demand. In order to analyze student demand, we will present statistics showing a breakdown of college and university students by counties, and we will consider the demand for regional education. In shori, regional variations in college enrollment and the reasons for these differences will be analyzeA; student interest in (or demand for) institutions serving primarily a regional market (such as institutions.geared primarily to commuters) will also be studied.

We must necessarily refer to present institutions and make some assump-. tions about possible future institutions. However, the purpose of this discussion is not to analyze of criticize present institutional or jurisdictional arrangements, Rather, various public and private educational institutions will be studied in order to give a concrete basis for analyzing the enrollment in various systems and to help project the results of expanding the present institutional system.

Part I discusses students and schools, or demand and supply. It analyzes what we know in 1969 about students' educational interests, their regional
distribution, and how the present institutional system accomodates regional demands. (For a description of the various Indiana institutions, see Curtis L. Borton and Raleigh Holmstedt, "Prograns and Personnel," Higher Education in Indiana: Needs and Resources 1968-85, Current Status Report 4 (Bloomington, Ind.: Bureau of Business Research, 1968). Part II; which discusses the future, offers projections about the demand for regional institutions. Several hypothetical educational systems have been constructed in order to estimate the impact of regional enrollment and to analyze shifts and increases within the system.

## EFFECTIVE DEMAND

Current enrollment trends do not necessarily identify all those students who want (demand) a higher education, but they do identify those who have already enrolled. Part I begins with a discussion of these students, who represent effective demand. We also present the regional (county) differences that now exist in higher education enrollment and refer to them as differences in participation rate. More complete data on the activities of Indiana students over time will provide a more thorough picture of effective demand, but probably no amount of detall will explain all the variations noted.

This study will use three measures of regional differences in college enrollment. First, there are statistics on enrollment in Indiana insitutions of higher education, by county of residence, and a breakdown of this enrollment, which determines the proportion of local students in the enrollment. Second, two forms of the participation rate of county youths are presented. A 1968 census of freshmen in Indiana institucions, which is compared with a similar 1960 freshmen census, shows the number of freshmen enrolled as a percentage of high school graduates (by county). In addition, for 1967-68 we can compare the participation of the 18-21 age'group to total Indiana
undergraduates in Indiana institutions of higher education. 'llie differences in these forms are presented, and the variances in undergraduate participation rates are discussed as rhey relate to county variations in income levels and the presence of a college or university in the county. Third, the results of a 1969 survey of high school seniors conducted in cooperation with the Indiana Vocational Technical College suggest several ways of meastring effective demand. Although this questionnaire varies in some details; it provides a good deal of information about seniors' plans and interests and can be compared with a similar 1966 TVTC survey.

## CURRENT SUPPLY

In Part $I$ we will also survey the current supply (actual number of schools) and the types of students being served by various public and private schools. We are concerned not only with the accessibility of higher education facilities to the majority of Indiane youths but also with their propensily to commute. This study examined the local influence of various institutions by looking at the residence patterns of their students, and some differences between public institutions, the regional public campuses, and the average private institutions were discovered. For example, the enrollment at the regional campuses is made up of the largest portion of local youths, with the main campuses and the private institutions following in respective order. However, it was found that the biggest variation in enrollment between public and private institutions is in the percentage of Indiana students to total enrollment. Such differences will be expiored in Chapter 3.

The characteristics of students in present institutions will also be discussed in this section. Although little information is available about the socioeconomic grouping of most students, considerable information is available about the students attending Indiana University's regional campuses. Such descriptive materlal about the students already in regional institutions can help in predicting enrollment patterns in future regional institutions.

## POTENTIAL DEMAND

The potential number of students in colleges and universities in the future is large, as the current rates of college participation indicate. We can expect that the groups now effective in their demands for higher education will continue to participate, probably at a slowly increasing rate and, even more importantly, that a larger potential may be tapped by several different policy approaches. Indeed, many potential students who at present are not enrolled in any college or university would be served best by postsecondary vocation and technical training or by commuter-oriented educational institutions. Part TI will discuss this potential.

The major portion of Part II includes projections of enrollment in higher education using five different educational systems. These systems are intended as illustrations rather than as predictions. In fact, we feel it most likely that regional higher education in the state will be expanded through the present extensive structure of public regional institutions (System 1). The other systems vary in the projected number and type of additional public institutions. For this reason some specific locations will be designated because the local market obviously depends upon the locality. The systems encompass vocational studies, additional regional campuses in new locations,
public two-year institutions in some the major metropolitan areas that already have a public university or college, and a combination of these. The final system includes 20 new two-year institutions located throughout the state: 11 in new locations and 9 in locations that already have a public institution. The various systems take into consideration the increase in total college enrollment and the shifts away from present institutions that would result from additional institutions.

## POTENTIAL COSTS AND OBJECTIVES

None of the future programs for postsecondary education in Indiana will be developed without cost. In fact, this report is only part of a study that will help to estimate future post-high school education costs under various systems, and no calculation of these costs will be made in this study. In addition, since the different educational systems will affect the quality of the state's educational system differently, care should be taken to consider quality as well as quantity. Finally, alternative systems will emphasize certain goals and necessarily neglect others which conflict. The goals chosen will influence greatly the students who will be served in the future. Although no policy recommendations are made, it is hoped that a more enlightened policy can be formed from an understanding of the enrollment impacts that are discussed in this study. We hope that the details of the projections will be the basis for further discussion and improvement of available rita on students' interests and needs.

## II. EFFECTIVE DEMAND

As noted in the $\because$ introduction, the term effective demand refers to those students who have been effective in their demand for higher education and are presently enrolled in a college or university. In this report we are especially interested in county differences in effective demand.

This chapter will include data from a census of college freshmen made in the fall of 1968 and a survey of high school seniors conducted in February, 1969. Both surveys provide information by county, which is essential in analyzing geographic differences in demand. Unfortunately, the results of both studies became available very late in the preparation of the present report. For this reason, the results could not be thoroughly analyzed or incorporated in this report. However, the information most pertinent to regional differences is presented. Those especially interested in county daca shou"d consult Appendixes $A$ and $B .{ }^{1}$

## PARTICIPATION RATES

In 1965-66 almost 35 percent of the high school graduatea in Lhe nation entered college the same year they graduated from high school. An estimated 46 percent, or 1.2 million, will enter within five years of graduation. ${ }^{2}$

Similar statistics for Indiana are difficult to develop because to do so

[^0]requires a long-term follow-up study. Although the 1968 census of freshman students provides the basis for a comparison, state-by-state comparisons or the evaluation of a state system by comparison with the national average is still risky. One big problem is the necessity of obtaining student migration data. Since the most recent migration study of Indiana students attending college out of state was made in $1963,{ }^{3}$ migration estimates must be made to ascertain total college attendance.

## Indiana Freshmen as a Measure

The ratio of 1968 Indiana freshmer in Indiana institutions to high school graduates the previous June was 55.21 percent according to the 1968 freshnan census. Migration of Indiana freshmen to out-of-state colleges was estimated at 19.7 percent of Indiana freshren in Indiana institutions, resulting in a final estimate of 46,621 Indiana freshmen in and out of the state in the fall, 1968. This total number of Indiana freshmen represents 49.99 percent of the births in Indiana 18 years before and is 66.08 percent of the estimated number of Indiana high school graduates in June, 1968. ${ }^{4}$. If 66 percenc of these

3
National Center for Educational Statistics, Office of Education, U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Residence and Migration of Collage Students, Fall 1963: State and Regional Data (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Govt. Printing Office, 1965).

4
Report of the 1968 Indiana Freshman Student Census, p. 8. Note that hish school graduate figures were estimated from data on enrollment in the twelfth grade. For details on the out-migration calculation, see Appendix A. Most of the 1968 freshmen census references come from Report of the 1968 Indiana Freshman Student Census or from unpublished data provided to us by the author of that report. A later report of the census provides additional detail and some modification of the data. Specifically, the second report classifies Vincennes University as a main campus of a public university and the Eastern Indiana Center at Earlham College as a regional campus. Although this report did not become available until the publication time of the present study, an attempt has been made to use the revised data. See Thomas M. Elliott, College Attendance in Indiana: The Report of the 1968 Indiana Freshman Student Census, Research Report RCCC 69-72 (Bloomington, Ind.: Regional Campus Coordinating Committee, August 4, 1969).

Indiana freshmen in the fall of 1968 graduated from high school in June, 1968, as the census indicates, then almost 44 percent of the 1968 Indiana high school graduates were in college the following year. ${ }^{5}$ 'Ihis last percentage may be compared with the 1965 national average of 35 percent.

Some summary data from the 1968 census, along with figures from a 1960 census, are presented in Table 1. This table shows an eight-year increase of 70.5 percent in the number of Indiana residents who were freshmen in college. The table is also of interest because it details the various measures that can be used to indicate partiċpation rates. The table relates Tndiana freshmen both to Indiana births and Indiana high school graduates. The figure of total freshmen in Indiana institutions (including students from outside Indiana) compared to Indiana births has often been used in the state to project enrollment trends. In 1968 all freshmen in Indiana institutions constituted 50.5 percent of the Indiana births occurring 18 years previously. This figure is surprisingly close to the estimate of lndiana freshmen in Indiana and out-of-state institutions (49.99 percent of Indiana births).

In this report we are especially interested in regional or county differences in college attendance, or participation rate. Appendix A presents the Indiana counties in 1968 and ranks them by several different measures.

Thomas Elliott chose the measure of Indiana freshmen in Indiana institutions as a percent of high school graduates the previous June as the most appropriate one for his study. (This measure is detailed in Appendix $A$, and further discussion of the 1968 census unless otherwise indicated is presented in
${ }^{5}$ The number of freshmen in 1968 that had graduated from high school in the immediately preceding year was 66 percent, down from 68.5 percent in the 1960 freshman census. Surprisingly, 13.7 percent had graduated more than five years previously, a gain of almost 5 percent from 8.9 percent in 1960. ". . . Public universities' regional campuses had especially high percentages of their freshmen who graduated more than five years prior to the census in 1968." Jollege Attendance in Indiana, p. 26.

TABLE 1

# Gross Comparison of the Data on Indiana Resident Freshmen from 1960 and 1968 Freshman Student Censuses 

8-Year Percentage Increase

1. Indiana births 18 years previous (1942 and 1950)

| 73,706 | 93,256 | $26.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 50,284 | $70,551^{a}$ | 40.3 |

3. High school graduates as a percent of births
68.22\% $\quad 75.65 \%$
7.4
4. Estimated: no. of Indiana residents who were freshmen in Indiana institutions

$$
22,843^{b} \quad 38,948^{c}
$$

70.5
5. Estimated no. of Indiana residents who were freshmen in college everywhere $27,343^{\text {d }} \quad 46,621^{\text {d }}$ 70.5
6. Resident freshmen in Indiana institutions as a percent of births
$30.99 \%$
$41.76 \%$
10.8
7. Resident freshmen in coilege everywhere as a percent of births
$37.10 \% \quad 49.99 \%$
. 12.9
8. Resident freshmen in Indiana institutions as a percent of high school graduates
$45.43 \%$
55.21\%
9.8
9. Resident freshmen in college everywhere as a percent of high school. graduates
54. $38 \%$
$66.08 \%$
11.7
${ }^{a}$ Estimated from twelfth grade enrollments.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Estimated from an 85.5 percent sample.
${ }^{c}$ Estimated from a 91.8 percent sample.
$\mathrm{d}_{\text {See }}$ Appendix A for explanation of these estimates.
SOURCE: Report of the 1968 Indiana Freshman Student Census, p. 19.
terms of this measure.) This appendix also shows 1960 rates to be used for comparison and indicates the percentage of freshmen from each count; attending public institutions. Furthermore, Appendix A shows county participation rates with the adjustment of a constant out-migration percentage applied to each county. Extremely high participation is implied for some of the counties already ranked highest. For example, this adjustment implies that 91.3 percent of mionroe County high school graduates attend college either in Indiana or out of state. The 1969 survey of high school seniors' plans provides detail on county differences in the tendency to attend college out of state. (See Appendix B.) However, data from more than one year are necessary to predict these variations accurately.

Some of Elliott's comments, taken directly from the Regional Coordinating Committee report on the 1968 census, are helpful in summarizing the county data.

The median county attendance rate in 1968 was found to be $46.53 \%$, compared with $33.97 \%$ in 1960 . That both figures are noticeably lower than the state-wide attendance rates may be easily explained. In 1968, the eighteen counties ranking highest in percentage attendance rate ( $19.6 \%$ of the total number of counties) were reported as the county of residence for over fifty per cent of the Indiana resident freshmen. In 1960, an even fewer number of counties (those with the ten highest attendance rates) were reported as the origin of over fifty per cent of the Indiana resident freshmen so enrolled. Eight of those ten counties remained among the top eighteen counties in 1968.

The relative rankings for the two years for which data were available displayed considerable variance. When the rankings were correlated by the Spearman Rho rank order correlation method, however, the relationship was found to be statistically significant at the .01 level of confidence. (Footnote: The significance of this relationship may be spurious, for the Spearman Rho is not considered by some experts to be
sufficiently sensitive when $n$ is greater than 30 . (Here $\underline{n}=92$ counties). ${ }^{6}$

In 1960, 48.2 percent of all Indiana residents who were fresimen in Indiana institutions were entolled on the main campuses of the state universities, and in 1968 , this percentage was 50.8 percent. The percentage of Indiana freshmen attending regional campuses grew from 24.9 percent to 34.2 percent, or 13,929 students in 1968. The remainder attended private institutions in Indiana.

The representation of each of the 92 counties by the percentage of high school students who were freshmen in Indiana institutions varied considerably. The difference between the highest and lowest participation rate in 1968 was 49.26 percentage points (however, the comparable figure for 1960 was 58.50 percentage points). ${ }^{7}$ For example, the ratio of freshmen to high school graduates for Monroe County was over 76 percent (without adjusting for outmigration), but Switzerland County had a ratio of only 27 percent.

It is very difficult to generalize about differences created by numerous interrelated influences. However, Elliott presents two interesting comparisons, which will now be discussed. Table 2 shows the freshman participation rates divided by size of county. The 25 counties that are standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's) have the highest average 1968 participation rate, 57.45 percent. Exactly half of all the Indiana counties are defined as urban, which means that they are either located in an SMSA or contain a ci.ty with a population over 10,000 . In 1968 these urban counties had an

[^1]TABLE 2

Attendance Rates for Counties Grouped by Degree of Urbanization, Fall, 1960 and Fall, 1968

| County Type | No. of Counties | 1960 |  |  | 1968 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H.S. } \\ & \text { Grads. } \end{aligned}$ | Fresh. | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H.S. } \\ & \text { Grads. } \end{aligned}$ | Fresh. | Percent |
| Counties within an SMSA | (23) | 28,973 | 14,776 | 51.00\% | 43,368 | 24,914 | 57.45\% |
| Counties containing a c with a pop. over 25,000 not in an SMSA | (6) | 4,791 | 2,221 | 46.36\% | 7,043 | 3,796 | 53.90\% |
| Counties containing a c with a pop. 10,000 to 2 not in an SMSA | (15) | 6,125 | 2,279 | 37.21\% | 7,588 | 3,650 | 48.10\% |
| Total urban | (46) | 39,889 | 19,276 | 48.32\% | 57,999 | 32,360 | 55.79\% |
| Northern rural counties | (22) | 5,574 | 1,961 | 35.18\% | 6,879 | 3,217 | 46.77\% |
| Southern rural counties | (24) | 4,821 | 1,052 | 31.51\% | 5,870 | 2,306 | 39.28\% |
| Total rural | (46) | 10,395 | 3,463 | 33.31\% | [2,749 | 5,523 | 43.32\% |
| Total Indiana | (92) | 50,284 | 22,843 | 44.95\% | 70,551 | 38,948 | 55.21\% |

SOURCE: College Attendance in Indiana, p. 33.
average participation rate of 55.79 percent, as compared with 43.32 percent for rural counties. Furthermore, when rural counties are divided into north (north of Marion County) and south, the 22 northern rural counties average a participation rate of 46.77 percent, wille the 24 southern rural counties average 39.28 percent. Of course, many factors that influence college attendance (such as income levels, parents' education level, quality
of secondary schools, and accessibility to colleges) are related to degree of urbanization.

Elliott also examined the effect of the presence of an institution of higher education within a county on that county's college participation rate. A summary of his findings is shown in Table 3. However, in Indiana this kind of comparison involves substantial problems. Three of the public universities and many of their regional campuses are located in an SMSA, and SMSA's, as we have just noted, have the highest participation rates. Furthermore, the regional campuses are located in the largest metropolitan areas of the state (for example: Marion, Allen, and Lake counties) and show higher participation rates than the counties containing state universities. While the five counties with a state univers!ty (including Vincennes University) had an average ratio of freshmen to high school graduates of 55.6 percent, the nine counties with a public regional campus show a ratio of 61.7 percent.

## TABLE 3

Percent of High School Graduates Who Were Freshmen in Indiana Institutions of Higher Education, by Counties Classified According to the Presence of Such Institutions Within the County, Fall, 1960 and Fall, 1968

|  | Attendance Rates |  | Differenc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1960 | 1968 |  |
| 5 counties containing a public institution main campus | 51.3\% | 55.6\% | +4.3 |
| 9 counties containing one or more public regional campuses | 55.8 | 61.7 | $+5.3$ |
| 16 counties containing one or more private institutions, not included above | 41.3 | 46.7 | +5.4 |
| 62 counties containint no institution studied | 33.1 | 45.8 | +12.7 |
| Total Indiana (92 counties) | 45.4 | 55.2 | +9.8 |

SOURCE: College Attendance in Indiana, p. 37.

The six counties that contain both a regional campus and one or more private universities or colleges cause some difficulty. Elliott shows that ratios of the 16 counties containing one or more private institutions and the 62 counties with no institutions are both below the state average. As he notes, however, the data are not pure because the counties that contain both a regional campus and a private institution (Marion, Lake, Allen, St. Joseph, Vanderburgh, and Wayne) are inc!uded only as having regional campuses.

Obviously, generalizing county differences in college jarticipation is difficult. We will discuss this point shortly and attempt once more to isolate the influence of local institutions on county enrollment rates. First, we will present a different'measure of county variation that includes all undergraduates rather than freshmen only. This measure will allow some comparison with the 1968 freshman census data.

Undergraduates as a Measure

Table 4 presents county undergraduate participation rates in rank order based on a ratio of total 1967-68 undergraduates in Indiana institutions from the county to the 18-21 age group based on county births. Although the use of this age group to gauge college participation is common, some disadvantages appear when this measure is compared with the rates computed on the basis of high school graduates. Even if the group born 18 to 21 years previously did not change in size, there are county differences in the percentage of youths who continue in high school until graduation and who are therefore eligible to attend college. (That is, there are, without doubt, differences in the number of dropouts.)
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A more serious drawback in the statistic, however, is that migration of this age group may significantly change the total age group within a county, and such changes will not be reflected in the statistics based on birth rates. ${ }^{8}$ In fact, in-migration of youths significantly improves the rank position of some of the counties. Porter (1), Hendricks (2), Hamilton (4), Johnson (5), and Hancock (7) all had net in-migration into the county over 0.5 percent in both the 1950-60 and 1960-64 periods. ${ }^{9}$ Although data on migration by the specific age group mentioned are not available, the effect on rank position is obvious when the top counties are observed. For Portier County, for example, Elliott estimated that there were 1115 high school graduates in June, 1968. However, only 734 babies were born in Porter County in 1950, 18 years previously. ${ }^{10}$ In Hendricks County, there were 731 high school graduates in 1968, compared with 524 births 18 years previously. These counties with large in-migration, therefore, are ranked considerably higher than would be expected when birth rates are used. However, not all the counties experienced such heavy migration. In fact, sone of the top-ranked counties experienced net out-migration. Table 4 provides a rough measure of the migration effect by showing the net migration for the counties between 1950 and 1960. The majority of counties experienced out-migration, and for the

[^2]entire state, migration resulted in a population increase of only 0.1 percent. When these facts are kept in mind, Table 4 is helpful in examining further county variations when all undergraduates are considered.

Table 4 shows the wide range of percentages that occurred among the sounties in the percentage of $18-21$ year olds who were undergraduates. The highest percent was over 50 percent, and the 1 owest was 7.6 percent. In 1967-68, 27.1 percent of the persons born in Indiana 18 to 21 years previously were enrolled as undergraduates in Indiana institutions.

Figure 1 indicates the county participation rates, and Table 5 shows the average of county undergraduate participation rates by region. ${ }^{11}$ As Table 5 indicates, the Indianapolis region (8), which includes several counties with large in-migration rates, had the highest average, 33.7 percent. The lowest average ( 14.1 percent) was found in Region 11, which is located in the southeastern corner of the state near Cincinnati. ${ }^{12}$ It is possible that many of the youths in this region attend college in Ohio. In addition, this region of Indiana has only one private college and is the only region of the state without a main or regional campus of the public universities.

One further county comparison was made using the undergraduate participation rates presented in Table 4. The participation rates of counties of differing income levels were determined, and the results are shown in Table 6. The income figures used were for 1966 average disposable household income (DHI) estimates by county, as reported by the 1967 "Survey of Buying Power." 13

[^3]Fercentages of Indiana Youths Born 18-21 Years Previously Who Attend Indiana Institutions of Highher Education, 1967-68


SOURCE: Nelson Parkhurst and Betty Suddarth, "Enrollment Projections," Higher F'ducation in Indiaina, Current Status Feport 2 (Bloomington, Ind. The Indiana Advisory Commission on Academic Facilities).

## TABLE 5

Average Undergraduate Participation Rates by IVTC Region, 1967-68

| Indiana Region | Participation Rate $\qquad$ Average | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 28.2 | 2 |
| 2. | 26.0 | 4 |
| 3 | 21.8 | 9 |
| 4 | 23.6 | 7 |
| 5 | 24.5 | 5 |
| 6 | 21.1 | 10 |
| 7 | 24.0 | 6 |
| 8 | 33.7 | 1 |
| 9 | 19.2 | 11 |
| 10 | 27.1 | 3 |
| 11 | 14.1 | 13 |
| 12 | 22.8 | 8 |
| 13 | 16.3 | 12 |

The countles were divided into three DHI groups: those above an average of $\$ 8,500$; those below $\$ 7,500$; and those between $\$ 7,500$ and $\$ 8,500$. As expected, higher participation rates were most predominant in those counties with the highest income level, and lower rates were found in those counties with a lower income level. For example, 66 percent of those counties in the high-income group had participation rates of 25 fercent or above; only 3 percent of the counties in the lowest income group had high participation rates. Within this low-income group, 52 percent of the counties had participation rates below 20 percent.

Income level is only one of the many factors that influence county differences in participation rate. In this set of tables we hypothesized that within a county the presence or absence of an institution of higher education (public or private) could explain more variation than income levels alone. However, our results show that such an influence is difficult to isolate. Nevertheless, to obtain a more precise test of this hypothesis, test factor standardization was applied to the data in Table $6 .{ }^{14}$

This standardi:ation technique, long used in demographic research, provides a summary measure of what participation rates would be if the presence of a college or unversity were constant. In other words, it is possible vo see what the relationship between income and participation rates would be if the counties were equalized in terms of the test factor, the presence of an institution of higher education. The reason for using this technique is to show clearly whether, and to what extent, the original relationship is reduced by controlling the tent factor.

[^4]TABLE 6
Original Relationship of Counties According to Percentage of 18-21-Year-01ds Attending College

| Percentage of County Attending College * | Percent of Counties |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High-Low } \\ & \text { Difference } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | D.H.I. Level+ Above $\$ 8,500$ | D.H.I. Level \$ $77+500 \div 8,500$ | D. If.I. Level <br> Below \$7,500 |  |
| 25\% or above | 65.6\% (21) $\ddagger$ | 22.6\% (7) | 3.5\% (1) | 62.1\% |
| 20-25\% | 31.3 (10) | 35.5 (11) | 44.8 (3) |  |
| Below 20\% | 3.1 (1) | 41.9 (13) | 51.7 (15). | 48.6 |
| Total Percentage | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Number | 32 | 31 | 29 |  |

[^5]TABLE 7
Standardized* Relationship of Counties According to Percentage of 18-21-Year-01ds Attending College and Level of 1966 D.H.I.

| Percentage of County Attending College | Percent of Counties |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High-Low } \\ & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { Change } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | D.H.I. Level <br> Above $\$ 8,500$ | D.H.I. Level $\$ 7,500-8,500$ | D.H.I. Level <br> Below \$7,500 |  |
| 25\% or above | 62.5\% | 22.6\% | 2.6\% | 59.0\% |
| 20-24.9\% | 32.1 | 36.3 | 59.0 |  |
| Below 20\% | 5.3 | 41.1 | 38.4 | 33.1 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

* The presence of a higher education institution within a county was ised to standardize the relationship.

This method enabled us to produce a simple standardized table (Table 7), which easily can be compared with the original table (Table 6). In the original relationship, the counties in the highest income group were 62.1 percent more likely to have a high participation rate ( 25 percent or above) than the counties in the low income group. In the standardized table, this difference is 59.0 percent. Also, in the original relationship, the counties in the low income group are 48.6 percent more likely than the counties in the high income group to have a participation rate below 20 percent. In the standardized relationship this difference is reduced to 33.1 percent. Those counties falling into the middle DHI group were more evenly distributed between high, medium, and low participation rates, and the standardization caused no significant changes in these proportions. The results of this test, however, indicate that the presence of a college or university in a county does, to some extent, contribute to a higier participation rate.

The standardization technique could be used for many other factors. Other gross county characteristics, such as median level of education, occupational level, or quality of the primary and secondary public schools, might be used to further isolate influences on county participation rate. However, the data probably do not warrent such sophisticated manipulation. Little information is available on out-of-state college attendance, and it is difficult to estimate the net migratina of the relevant age group. A comparison of the undergraduate participation rates presented in Table 4 with the comparable statistics from the 1968 freshman census suggests even further difficulties.

It would seem reasonable to compare freshmen participation (based on births 18 years earlier) with undergraduate participation (based on births that occurred 18 to 21 years previously". We might expect higher freshman participation than undergraduate participation in the counties where there are regional carapuses that offer primarily freshman and sophomore courses. Table 8 compares these two groups, but no simple conclusion emerges. Indeed, several wide variations appear among the rankings. In the counties with regional campuses, most of the freshman and undergraduate rates are similar or favor freshman participation. However, it is difficult to explain differences In ranks such as those that occur for Vanderburgh or Vigo counties in terms of type of institution available.

In examining Elliott's data, we noticed that Region 11, which has the lowest average undergraduate participation rate, has several counties with rather high freshman participation rates. Dearborn County, which ranked eighty-fourth in undergraduate participation (with 15.7 percent undergraduates to 18-21 age group), is ranked twenty-fourth in the freshman census (with 41 percent freshmen to 18 -year-olds). Jennings County, which ranked eighty-third by undergraduate participation, ranks eighth in the freshman census, with 50.9 percent of those born 18 years prifiously as freshmen in Indiana institutions in 1968. ${ }^{15}$ Although the two sets of figures report enrollment for different years, one year should not make such a difference nor should the inclusion of all undergraduates cieate such wide variation in ranking. In fact, the difference seems to be created by the number of students reported from these counties. Jennings County, for example, reported 138 freshmen in 1968 , compared with 181 total undergraduates

[^6]TABLE 8

# Comparison of Freshmen to 18-Year-01ds and Undergraduates to 1'3-21 Age Group for Counties with Public Institutions of Higher Education 

1968 Rank 1967 RankCounties ConcainingState UniversitiesDelaware4123
10
Monroe
23
Tippecanoe
56
Vigo
Counties Containing
Regional Campuses
Allen ..... 5 ..... 25
Clark 19 ..... 43
Howard 7 ..... 21
Lake ..... 13 ..... 12
LaPorte ..... 12 ..... 10
Marion ..... 14 ..... 8
St. Joseph ..... 25 ..... 19
Vanderburgh ..... 45 ..... 6
reported in the fall, 1967. Dearborn County shows 256 freshmen and only 313 undergraduates the previous year. No such explosion of the freshman class seems likely. Neither Elliott nor we have been able to resolve this disparity. The only difference in the enrollment statistics is that the 1968 freshman census was collected from a questionnai: - administered to students at registration and the undergraduate enrollment figures were reported by the institutions to Nelson M. Parkhurst, Registrar of Purdue University, in connection with the Indiana Higher Education Facilities Comprenensive Planning Study. ${ }^{16}$

What a comparison of the two studies does suggest is the need for county enrollment data for a series of years so that trends might be examined. In addjition, it suggests that extreme caution should be used in drawing conclusions from the presently available statistics on county variations in participation rate.

In conclusion, we wish to note that even if a thorough record of county participatioil rates were avallable, an examination of the individual studerts involved would still be necessary. Obviously, averages do not show the distribution of various influences in the county. This difficulty is apparent when we view the college attendance trends of counties that are considered urban areas but that include rural sections, exurbia, suburbia, and probably a portion of the low-income ghetto. Furthermore, college attendance is difficult to predict even when individual student characteristics are considered. A Minnesota study, noting "the factor of fortuity," observed:

[^7]A statistician who looked at the research or the decision of high school graduates concerning post-high school plans would decide that to date no more than 50 percent of the influences determining these plans have been accounted for or identified. Knowledge of the abilities, family backgrounds, socio-economic conditions, schools, and personality variables of high school graduates predict college attendance no more than would be indicated by a multiple correlation coefficient of .70. This limited knowledge is due to two things, first, the inadequacy and incompleteness of our methods of observation, measurement, and analysis, and second, the fortuitous nature of the behavior with which we are concerned. Many-decisions of high school graduates are influenced by accidental factors. 17

Furthermore, the Minnesota study reviewed a number of previous studies of
some of the factors influencing college attendance. Some of these reviews
are presented below.
When the studies are considered together and one examines the relationships found between the variables studied and college attendance, one is immediately impressed by the almost completely positive results reported. Each of the studies that analyzed sex differences found differences. Each of the studies that examined relationships between ability and post-high school plans found relationships. Every study but one, and that one studied a very homogeneous sample, on the relationship between economic status and plans found a relationship. Each study analyzing the influence of cultural level found a difference. All but one of the studies examining the influence of where the student lived found a relationship. Consistently the studies found a relationship between the size of the high school and post-high school plans and almost as consistently the few studies that analyzed the relationship of plans and personality variables found a significant relationship. Apparently investigators either have excluded in their studies variables not related to post-high school plans or they have failed to report negative results.

The almost complete agreement found for these relationships regardless of variables examined is not surprising when one considers that the variables, themselves, are highly intercorrelated. The sex variable is perhaps the only one that does not have at least a moderate relationship with the other indices. Ability, economic level, cultural level, and area from which the student comes all seem to be related .... Simple, first-order relationships between these variables and the plans of students would all tend to be in the same direction.

A few studies have attempted to analyze interactions, that.is, when one holds ability constant, what does this do with the relationship between economic status and poet--high school plans? In general when such analyses have been made, the si:ue of the observed relationships tends to diminish,

[^8]but the significance remains. For example, when $i$ in these studies one compares students who are planning to attend college and those who are planning otherwise on the basis of ability and economic status of the family, large and significant differences are found. When one takes groups of students planning to attend college and planning otherwise, but matched on the basis of economic status, one still finds a relationship between ability and post-high school plans, although this relationship tends to be different. from the relationship observed with the total group desregarding economic status. 18

These quotations suggest that while we may find many factors related to county differences in college participation, we must significantly improve our data before we can "explain" these differences.

## PROFILE OF HIGH SCHOOL SENIORS' PLANS

A survey of Indiana high school seniors in the first months of 1969 , conducted by Indiana Vocational Technical College with cooperation from the Indiana Higher Education Facilities Comprehensive Planning Study, provided Information useful in detailing the college plans of Indiana youths. Several aspects pertinent to the subject of regional demand and regional differences will now be surveyed.

The survey provided an opportunity to collect data on students' socioeconomic characteristics, although unfortunately a written questionnaire does not lend itself to the collection of precise detail about family income or college financing plans. Results of the survey were tabulated by region of the state and by county, so that geographic differences are easily examined. Although the county data might provide an interesting source for analysis of county differences in college participation (for example, college plans compared to county income or median education level), no such analysis has been attempted. The most pertinent county data, however, are included here for those interested in examining interregional and intraregional differences.

[^9]Tables 9-14, which are presented at the end of this chapter, report the respondents' after-high school plans and college plans. These intentions are reported by sex, high school grade average, region, occupation or education of the head of the household, and size of community of residence. Table 14 shows the characteristics that might be expected of the 1969 freshman class on main and regional campuses of the state universities and in vocational schools--that is, if the senior respondents are representative of the total freshmen population. The after-school and college plans of seniors are reported by county in Appendix $B$ (Tables B-2 and B-3). In addition, Appendix $B$ (Table B-1) includes detail on stch selected regional characteristics of the senior respondents as occupation and education categories for heads of households, high school program concentration of respondents, and population size of respondents' communities.

The full report of this survey should be studied by those interested in examining methodology or coverage of the questionnaire. As will be noted from the tables included here, the exact number of acceptable answers varied by question. However, the male-female response (the question with the most acceptable answers) included 45,887 who reported their after-high school plans and 30,402 who reported education plans after high school. These figures can be compared with the 78,222 students in grade 12 in Indiana public and private schools in the $\because 68-69$ schcol year.

As indicated by Table 9, 24 percent of the 1969 seniors responding to the questionnaire planned to be employed inmediately after high school. A large group, 62.9 percent of the total, plan to continue their education after high school. This group includes 42.9 percent who plan for a f゙-11-time education and 20 percent who plan for a part-time education (including responses
of either full-time employment and part-time education or part-time employment and part-time education). In addition, some of the 6.2 percent who intend to enter the military service after high school plan to continue their education later. (In Table 9, the "military service" group includes responses: (a) military service, no further education and (b) military service, then education.) Finally 7 percent of the seniors had "marriage" or "other" plans, with over twice as many girls giving these responses as boys. There appears to be little difference in the percentage of girls and boys planning to continue their education, although the military service item may inciude a number of boys who are interrupting education plans. On the other hand, boys with high grade averages (those most likely to continue their education) report markedly less inclination to enter military service after high school.

The results according to grade averages (the seniors reported their own) appear as might be expected. High grade averages in tigh school are directly related to full-time education plans and inversely related to plans for immediate employment, military service, or marriage. Only 5.7 percent of the 2,713 seniors who reported that they were $A$ students intend to begin working after high school. However, 85.6 percent plan full-time education, and another 5.6 percent will search for part-time education. Among the $D$ average students, 41.4 percent will seek immediate employment; 17.0 percent plan to enter the military; and only 9.5 percent plan to go to college full time. However, 20.5 percent of the $D$ students plan to attempt part-time education. As noted in Table 10, a large portion of these $D$ students hope to attend vocational and technical or"other"institutions.

Regional differences reported in Table 9 are of interest, but caution
should be used in interpreting these results. Regional averages should be compared with detail on county differences reported in Appendix B. Furthermore, degree of coverage of the high scizool senior population witnin the various regions should be considered.

Table 10 provides detail on those seniors who reported that they plan to continue their education. ${ }^{19}$ Over 30 percent ( 30.4 percent) plan to attend a main campus of the public universities, and 13.4 percent plan to attend regional campuses. Of special interest is the fact that 4,979 respondents reported plans to attend vocational or technical schools (including IVTC and also other business or technical schools). This is a larger number than those planning to attend regional campuses.

By grade average, the $A$ and $B$ students are more likely to plan to attend main campuses, private universities, or out-of-state colleges than are $C$ and D students. On the other hand, the vocational students and thise reporting "other" plans include a higher portion of the $C$ and $D$ students. The patcern for students selecting a regional campus is mixed. For example, only 7.9 percent of the A students have selected a regional campus, but almost 15 percent of the $B$ students have made such a choice. Likewise, 13.5 percent of the $C$ students plan to attend a regional campus, but only 7.7 percent of the D students intend to do.so. (In fact, the $D$ students are heavily weighted toward "other" plans, which includes "indefinite.")

Plans to attend out~of-state colleges are of interest as a comparison

19
Although only those who planned to continue their education were intended to answer this question, 3,206 students who repreted "immediate employment" plans, alsc reported their choice of educational institutior. Presumably these respondents plan to continue their education at a later date. The relationship between after-scaool plans and college plans may be noted in Table 14.
with estimates based on recent national student migration studies. Of the 20,180 seniors who reported plans to attend college (not including the vocational or "other" items), 18.3 percent plan to attend college outside Indiana, with wide regional variations. Estimates based on the national migration studies show that approximately 16.5 percent of Indiana freshmen attend college out of state. The higher percentage of 1969 high school seniors planning to attend out-of-state schools, however, might be explained by differences between plans and ictual attendance. Nonetheless, the regional variations are of interest in calculating college participation rates of high school graduates, as discussed previously in this report.

Regional differences in college plans obviously are affected by the presence of an educational institution in the region, Region 3 (Fort Wayne regional campuses) and Region 13 (the Indiana University Southeast campus) have especially high percentages planning to attend regionai campuses. On the other hand, Region 7, where Indiana State University is located, has a very high percentage ( 50.3 percent) who plan to attend a main campus and a low percentage ( 0.7 percent) planning to attend a regional campus.

Tables 11 and 12 give some indication of after-school plans and colleg: plans by broad socioeconomic categories. Only education and occupation of the seniors' fathers (or head of household) were available from the questionnaire to indicate socioeconomic status of the students. Of course, education and occupation are highly related, and both might be considered a proxy for family income level.
^gain, as might be expected, the seniors whe fathers have a college education are mes $11 \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{iy}$ to have plans for full-time education after high school. It is interesting to note that although less than $i 2$ percent of
the respondents had fathers (heads of household) who had completed college, almost 63 percent of the group planned to continue their education past high school. Those seniors coming from a family with a college raduate as head of the household are very likely to have college plans (87.3 percent plan further education) and are most likely to attend a main campus of a state university ( $4 . .7$ percent), an out-of-state college ( 2.1 percent), or a private college or university in Indiara ( 15.0 percent). Less tnan 10 percent of these seniors plan to attend a regional campus, and only 4.5 percent plan to attend vocational schools. On the other hand, of those seniors whose fathers did not finish high school, only 48.5 percent plan ic continue their education, and 23.9 percent of these plan to attend a vocational or technical school.

Table 12 reports seniors' plans by occupation of the head of houschold. Unfurtunately, a very large portion of the nccupation total is included in the category "skilled, semiskilled, and unskilled" occupations, and this does not permit the formation of precise categories by socineconomic status. Nonetheless, the results are as might be expected. Seniors from professional homes are more likely to have college plans than any other group. They are also least likely to have plans for vocational schooling, although 10 percent of the potential students from homes grouped as professional and semiprofessional plan to attend vocational schools.

It is interesting to note that 57.5 percent of the seniors indicated that they hoped to be in a job that is included under professional and semiprofessional. However, 57.4 percent of the seniors come from a home where the household head's occupation is skilled, semiskilled, or unskilled, and
a much smaller portion comes from homes in which the head of the household is in the professional category.

Seniors' plans were alse reported by population size of the seniors' communities of residence (Table 13). Table 13 seems to confirm the impression that youths from urban areas are more likely to continue their education beyond high school than are those from nonurban areas. Only 18.5 percent of the seniors from the largest cities plan immediate employment (not including employment combined with education), while 30.0 percent from the smallest rural areas with less than 1,000 population had such intencions. There is also a noticeable tendency for studencs from larger areas to attend regional campuses, undoubtedly because the regional campuses are iocated in population centers.

Table 14 shows the survey results in a different framework. It includec a profile of the expected 1969 freshman $c l_{z} s s$ on the main and regional campuses of the state universities and vocational schools. To the extent that the respondents are characteris'ic of the total freshman student body that might be expected i: fall, 1969, these profiles show the variations in make-up of the freshman classes among the various institutions. It should be remembered that this profile represents only Indiana freshmen. Out of-state students admitted to Indiana schools no doubt exhibit significantly different charac- . teristics, for higher academic admission standards fo. out-of-state applicants and the greater expense of out-of-state enroilment probably result in differences in socioeconomic characteristics and grade averages. Because a large purtion of the freshman class in Indiana private colleges comes from out of state, similar profiles derived from the Indiana st:rvey would be misleading,
and they are not included in Table i'4. Since the public schools enroll primarily Indiana students, however, the profiles are of interest. If the profiles are reprasentative, the main campus freshmen will include 53.7 percent $B$ students and 65.8 percent either $A$ or $B$ students. On the other hand, the regional campus freshmen will be only 50.7 percent $A$ or $B$ average students, and the vocational school enrollees will include only 27.6 percent students who averaged $A$ or $B$ in high school. Several other noticeable differences between regional campus and madn campus students are evident. First, about two-thirds of the first-time students in vocational schools will come from homes where the head of the household is in an occupation consiaicred skilled, semiskilled, or unskilled. Second, about cne-fifth of the freshmen on the min campuses will come from homes where the head is a college graduate-almost twice the percentage on regional campuses. Third, both main campuses and vocational schools will have almost 60 percent of their freshman class from communities under 25,000 population, compared with only 38.5 percent on the regional campuses. Fourth, only 9 percent of the freshmen on main campuses plan part-time education, compared to 32.3 f -rcent for the regional campuses and 52.9 percent in vocánnal schools. Finally, a large portion of the freshmen on main and regional campuses will have planned for college with a college preparatory concentration in high school; only 16.7 percent of the students planning to enter vocational schools took such a sourse.

As noted, Appendix B includes further detail about regional characteristics and seniors' plans by county. A map of IVTC regions is also included for reference. The college plans reported in Table B-3 are of particular interest. For example, college plans by county indicate that seniors in a county with a state university have a much higher than average tendency to report plans to
attend a main campus. These range from 39.2 percent for Delaware County seniems to a high of 61.0 percent for Tippecanoe County seniors. As might be expacted, seniors in the counties with a regional campus report higher than average plans to attend a regional campus. The portion planning to attend regional campuses fron these counties ranges from 17.2 percent in Marion County to 32.5 percent in Floyd County. Noticeably, other counties with a high portion of seniors planning to attend regional campuses are adjacent to regional campus counties. In fact, 79.0 percent of the total number of seniors planning to attend regional campuses are from counties with a regional campus. Obviously, if counties adjacent to regional campuses, were include', a much higher portion of the total would be represented.

TABLE 9
After-High School $\mathrm{P}^{1}$ ans of 1969 High School Seniors by Sex, Grade Average, and IVTC Region

Immediate Full-time Part-time Military Marriage. \& Total No. Employment Education Education Service* Other Respondents ( $100 \%$ )

Sex

| Male | $19.7 \%$ | $43.5 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | 23,364 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Female | 28.4 | 42.3 | 19.0 | 0.7 | 9.6 | 22,523 |
| Total state | 24.0 | 42.9 | 20.0 | 6.2 | 7.0 | 45,887 |

Grade average

| A | 5.7 | 85.6 | 5.6 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 2,713 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| B | 15.8 | 62.2 | 15.4 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 16,045 |
| C | 29.5 | 29.0 | 24.8 | 8.5 | 8.2 | 22,180 |
| n | 41.4 | 9.5 | 20.5 | 17.0 | 11.5 | 1,760 |
| Unknown | 33.2 | 22.7 | 21.5 | 10.5 | 12.1 | 2,868 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 22.2 | 44.2 | 21.5 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 8,764 |
| 2 | 22.0 | 45.7 | 19.0 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 4,891 |
| 3 | 27.2 | 40.3 | 19.6 | 5.7 | 7.2 | 4,266 |
| 4 | 25.7 | 45.4 | 14.7 | 6.4 | 7.8 | 2,766 |
| 5 | 25.2 | 42.9 | 16.7 | 5.4 | 9.8 | 2,162 |
| 6 | 25.7 | 41.1 | 18.5 | 6.1 | 8.6 | 2,861 |
| 7 | 20.5 | 43.8 | 21.4 | 7.7 | 6.6 | 2,414 |
| 8 | 22.2 | 44.4 | 21.4 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 8,069 |
| 9 | 26.2 | 40.3 | 19.3 | 6.1 | 8.1 | 1,376 |
| 10 | 28.5 | 40.5 | 16.3 | 6.2 | 8.5 | 1,300 |
| 11 | 30.6 | 39.2 | 16.4 | 6.2 | 7.6 | 1,291 |
| 12 | 24.3 | 39.7 | 22.5 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 4,166 |
| 13 | 26.5 | 36.6 | 22.8 | 6.1 | 8.0 | 2,044 |

*Includes those who plan to continue education subsequent to nilitary service.
SOURCE: Survey of high school seniors conducted by Indiana Vocational Technical College with cooperation from Indiana Higher Education Facilities Comprehensive Planning Study.

TABLE 10
Percentage of 1969 High School Seniors
Planning to Attend Various Higher Education Institutions
by Sex, Grade Average, and IVTC Region

|  | Public, Main | Public, Regional | Private, Indiana | $\begin{gathered} \text { Out-of- } \\ \text { State } \\ \text { College } \end{gathered}$ | Vocaíonal or Technical | Other* | Total No. of Respondents ( $100 \%$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 29.6\% | 14.1\% | 10.8\% | 13.4\% | 15.2\% | 17.0\% | 15,935 |
| Female | 31.4 | 12.5 | 10.0 | 10.8 | 17.7 | 17.6 | 14,467 |
| Total state (\%) | 30.4 | 13.4 | 10.4 | 12.2 | 16.4 | 17.2 | 30,402 |
| Total respondents | 9,255 | 4,064 | 3,160 | 3,701 | 4,97\%, | 5,243 | 30,402 |
| Grade average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 45.5 | 7.9 | 21.6 | 19.2 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2,442 |
| B | 39.6 | 14.9 | 13.1 | 12.4 | 10.4 | 9.5 | 12,459 |
| c | 21.3 | 13.5 | 6.5 | 11.0 | 23.1 | 24.6 | 13,190 |
| D | 9.0 | 7.7 | 2.8 | 8.8 | 28.9 | 42.7 | 634 |
| Unknown | 18.5 | 11.5 | 6.5 | 9.8 | 24.1 | 29.7 | 1,489 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 27.4 | 19.5 | 7.9 | 14.5 | 14.7 | 15.9 | 6,223 |
| 2 | 25.2 | 14.1 | 11.5 | 16.9 | 17.5 | 14.8 | 3,388 |
| $\because$ | 18.2 | 23.8 | 13.1 | 11.5 | 13.4 | 20.0 | 2,873 |
| 4 | 49.0 | 1.8 | 6.9 | 9.3 | 17.5 | 15.4 | 1,770 |
| 5 | 29.3 | 14.5 | 11.4 | 9.8 | 17.5 | 17.5 | 1,366 |
| 6 | 33.1 | 2.9 | 12.4 | 12.0 | 18.4 | 21.3 | 1,754 |
| 7 | 50.3 | 0.7 | 8.1 | 9.1 | 15.4 | 16.5 | 1,613 |
| 8 | 32.2 | 14.2 | 10.3 | 12.0 | 14.8 | 16.5 | 5,449 |
| 9 | 29.7 | 3.7 | 9.8 | 13.3 | 21.3 | 22.2 | 818 |
| 10 | 38.9 | 2.5 | 10.5 | 11.6 | 18.7 | 17.4 | 769 |
| 11 | 36.8 | 2.6 | 9.5 | 13.2 | 17.3 | 20.6 | 695 |
| 12 | 26.5 | 9.9 | 16.0 | 7.8 | 20.9 | 18.8 | 2,674 |
| 13 | 23.0 | 25.3 | 6.2 | 9.8 | 17.6 | 18.2 | 1,291 |

*Includes such answers as trade apprenticeship, indefinite, and r,ther.
SOURCE: Survey of high school seniors conducted by Indiana Vocational Technical College with cooperation from Indiana Higher Education Facilities Comprehensive Planning Study.
table 11
After-High School Plans and Percentage of 1969 High School Seniors Planning to Attend Various Higher Education Institutions by Education of Head of Household

|  | Education, Head of Household |  |  |  | TotaI <br> Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { No. of } \\ \text { Respondent:s } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than High School (E Unknown) | High School Graduate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Some } \\ & \text { College } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | College Graduate |  |  |
| After-school plans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| immediate employment | 34.1\% | 24.6\% | 15.8\% | 6.8\% | 24.0\% | 10,969 |
| Full-time oducation | 26.0 | 41.4 | 54.3 | 76.7 | 42.9 | 19,630 |
| Part-time eaucation | 22.5 | 20.9 | 19.6 | 10.6 | 20.0 | 9,140 |
| Military service* | 8.1 | 6.2 | 5.0 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 2,841 |
| Marriag and other | 9.2 | 6.9 | 5.4 | 3.3 | 6.9 | 3,166 |
| Total number ( $100 \%$ ) | 14,622 | 17,525 | 8,277 | 5,322 | 100.0 | 45,246 |
| Plans to attend college |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public, main | 20.7 | 30.5 | 34.1 | 41.7 | 30.2 | 9,205 |
| Public, regional | 13.5 | 13.8 | 15.2 | 9.8 | 13.4 | 4,068 |
| Private, in-state | 8.2 | 9.6 | 11.6 | 15.0 | 10.4 | 3,165 |
| Out-of-state | 9.4 | 10.0 | 12.7 | 22.1 | 12.2 | 3,701 |
| Vocational or technical | 23.9 | 17.9 | 12.7 | 4.5 | 16.4 | 4,981 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 24.3 | 18.2 | 13.7 | 6.9 | 17.2 | 5,226 |
| Total number (100\%) | 8,013 | 11,511 | 6,288 | 4,534 | 100.0 | 30,346 |

```
*Includes those who plan to continue education subsequent to se:vice.
+
Includes trade apprentice: iip, indefinite, and other.
```

SOUR E: Survey of high sctaol seniors conducted by Indiana Vocationai Technical College with cooperation from Indiana Higher Education Facilities Comprehensive Planning Study.

TABLE 12
After-High School Flans and Percentage of 1969 High School Seniors planning to Attend Various Kigher Education Institutions by Occupation of Head of Household

| Professiona 1 and Semi•Prof. | Manager, Official | Cl-rks and Kindred Workers | $\qquad$ <br> Semi-Skilled and Unskilled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

After-school plans

| Immediate employment | $14.6 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ | $23.6 \%$ | 10,137 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Full-time education | 58.5 | 52.9 | 53.3 | 35.3 | 44.0 | 18,865 |
| Part-time education | 16.4 | 18.1 | 18.4 | 21.3 | 19.6 | 8,421 |
| Military service* | 5.4 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 2,562 |
| Marriage and other | 5.1 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 7.8 | 6.7 | 2,889 |
| Total number (100\%) | 8,513 | 5,412 | 4,381 | $.4,568$ | 100.0 | 42,874 |

Plans to attend college

| Public, main | 35.6 | 35.1 | 35.5 | 26.9 | j1.0 | 8,907 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public, regional | 12.1 | 14.9 | 14.7 | 13.4 | 13.5 | 3,871 |
| Private, in-state | 12.8 | 10.7 | 11.6 | 9.5 | 10.6 | 3,055 |
| Out-of-state | 17.9 | 12.4 | 13.3 | 9.5 | 12.2 | 3,503 |
| Vocational or technical | 10.0 | 13.4 | 12.8 | 20.3 | 16.2 | 4,661 |
| Other ${ }^{+}$ | 11.6 | 13.6 | 12.1 | 20.5 | 16.6 | 4,775 |
| Total number (100\%) | 6,445 | 3,916 | 3,235 | 15,176 | 100.0 | 28,772 |

*Includes those who plan to continue educa ion subsequent to service.
+Includes trade apprenticeship, indefinite, and other.
SOUPCE: unvey $o^{r}$ igh school seniors conducted by Indiana Voca ional Technicpl Coilege with coopuration from Indiana Higher Education kacilities Comprehensive Planning Stucy.

TABLE 13

After-High School Plans and Yercentage of 1969 High School<br>Seniors Planning to Attend various<br>Higher Education Institutions<br>By Population Size of Seniors' Community of Residence

| After-school plans | Population of Seniors' Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rural } \\ \text { (Under } \\ 1,000 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000- \\ & 4,999 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,000- \\ 24,999 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,000- \\ & 49,999 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 50, 0 , | Total <br> No. of Respondents |
| Immediate employment | 30.0\% | 27.0\% | 23.7\% | 21.9\% | 18.5\% | 10,831 |
| Full-t.ime education | 37.0 | 39.8 | 45.1 | 44.8 | 48.8 | 19,508 |
| Part-time education. | 19.0 | 19.0 | 17.8 | 20.3 | 21.3 | 8,981 |
| Military service | 6.0 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 5.9 | 2,830 |
| Marriage and other | 8.0 | 7.9 | 6.9 | 6.5 | 5,4 | 3,158 |
| T.otal number (100\%) * | 6,793 | 6,859 | 12,098 | 3,789 | 13,533 | 45,308 |

Plans to $n$ ttend college

| Public, main | 30.2 | 30.8 | 33.2 | 32.0 | 29.4 | $9,: 52$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Public, regional | 8.4 | 8.3 | 10.5 | 17.8 | 18.4 | 4,008 |
| Private, in-state | 11.7 | 11.3 | 11.0 | 7.7 | 10.3 | 3,136 |
| Out-of-state | 9.5 | 10.3 | 12.5 | 11.8 | 14.7 | 3,676 |
| Vocailonal or technical | 21.1 | 19.7 | 15.3 | 15.6 | 13.2 | 4,918 |
| Other | 20.0 | 19.5 | 17.4 | 15.2 | 13.9 | 5,165 |
| Total number $(100 \%)+$ | 4,068 | 4,368 | 8,014 | 2,571 | 9,822 | 30,055 |

*Row dofi not total because of 3,158 that answered size of comnunity "unknown."
+Row does not total because of 1,212 that answered size of community "unknown."
SOURCE: Survey of high school seniors conducted by Indiana Vocational Technical College with c-operation from Indiana Higher Education Facilities Comprehensive Planning Study.

TABLE 14
Profile of 1969 High School Seniors Planning to Attend Various Public Institutions of Higher Education

## Characteristic

State Universities
(Main Campus)

Regional Campuses

Vocational or Technical School:

High school grade average

| A | $12.1 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| B | 53.7 | 45.9 | 26.2 |
| C | 30.5 | 43.9 | 61.5 |
| D | 0.6 | 1.2 | 3.7 |
| Unknown | 3.0 | 4.2 | 7.2 |
| Total number $(100 \%)$ | 9,179 | 4,046 | 4,952 |

Father's (household h`ad) occupation

| Profess onal and semi- |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| professional | 25.8 | 20.2 | 13.8 |
| Maneger official | 15.4 | 15.1 | 11.2 |
| Clerks and kindred worker: | 12.9 | 12.2 | 8.9 |
| Skilled, semi. and inskilled | 45.9 | 52.5 | 66.0 |
| Total number (100\%) | 8,907 | 3,871 | 4,661 |

Father's (household head) educativ.
Less than high school and
uriknown
High schooz graduate
Some college
18.0
38.1
23.3
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { College graduare } & 20.5 \\ \text { Total number (100\%) } & 9,205\end{array}$
Total number ( $100 \%$ )
9,205
26.5
39.1
23.4
10.9

4,068
38.5
41.4
16.0
4.1

4,981

Size community of residence

| Rural-under 1,000 | 13.4 | 8.5 | 17.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1,000-4,999$ | 14.7 | 9.0 | 17.5 |
| $5,000-24,999$ | 29.0 | 21.1 | 25.0 |
| $25,000-49,9 \% 9$ | 9.0 | 11.4 | 8.2 |
| 50,000 or higher | 31.6 | 4.2 | 26.4 |
| Unknown | 2.2 | 4.9 | 5.4 |
| Total number (100\%) | 9,152 | 4,008 | 4,918 |

High school concentration

| College preparatory | 88.2 | 77.3 | 16.7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vocational education | 1.7 | 3.2 | 22.1 |
| Business education | 4.2 | 8.4 | 38.6 |
| General education | 5.3 | 9.5 | 18.8 |
| Other | 0.7 | 1.5 | 3.8 |

After-high school plans

| Immediate employment | 4.9 | 7.3 | 15.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Full-time education | 83.4 | 55.7 | 22.7 |
| Part-time education | 9.0 | 32.3 | 52.9 |
| Military service | 1.4 | 2.2 | 4.5 |
| Marriage and other | 1.4 | 2.5 | 4.5 |
| Total number $(100 \%)$ | 0,254 | 4,070 | 4,986 |

Regional Campuses

Indiana has a large number of institutions of higher education throughout the state, including 4 state universities, 12 regions campuses of the public universities, 1 public two-year campus, a number of extension offerlings of the public institutions, and 34 private institutions. 1 The geographic distribution of these institutions is shown in Figure 2. In addition, the number of institutions is currently expanding with the growth of Indiana Vocational Technical College (IVTC) throughout the state. We know (although it: is difficult to prove) that for some individual students effective demand for nest-high school education is related to the student's ability to attend an institution without changing his residence or job. We therefore want to review the accessibility of Indiana's institutions to its youths. In addition, this section includes some comments on the makeup of the student body in regional campuses. Such information about the students being served by present institutions can be helpful in projecting the growth of these institutions as specific cducational demands expand.

## ACCESSIBILITY OF INSTITUTIONS TO INDIANA YOUTES

It has become traditional in Indiana to show the relacionship of existing public and private institutions to demand by staring that over 90 percent of the state's high school graduates live within 25 miles of a public or private campus that offers at least the first two years of college. This statistic was first developed in 1949 when circles were drawn around campus locations on a map that showed the size and location of high school

[^10]FIGURE 2

## Location of All Institutions of Higher Education in Indiana


graduation classes. It was confirmed by a 1956 Indigna Conference on Higher Education study (reporced by the Indiana Conference on Higner Education) which stated:

Of a total 40,188 graduates in public, private, and parochial high schools in Indiana, 40.3 percent had a choice of four or more colleges, universities, or extension centers within 25 miles of their high schools, 12.6 percent had a choice of three, 16.9 percent had a choice of two, 21.5 percent were within 25 miles of one such institution, and 8.7 percent were more than 25 miles from a college, university, or extension center. . . . 2

Several refinements in this definition of accessibility should be considered. For example, with the improvements that have occurred in transportation over the past two decades, the definition of accessibility could be expanded to include areas beyond a $25-\mathrm{mile}$ radius. Furthermore, there is a need to differentiate between the educational opportunities available to local youths at small private colleges and those available at the major state universities. Two recent studies have commented on current accessibility. A 1968 report of the State Policy Commission on Post High School Education noted:

```
. . . by carefully drawing circles with a 25 -mile radius it could be observed that some post high school educational institution is available to residences of all but 5 to 7 counties. However, the majority of these institutions are private colleges charging relatively high tuition and generally maintainjng high admission requirements. Only by drawing a circle with a 50 -mile radius is it possible to include most of Indiana within a range of the public colleges or the regional campuses. Although 50 miles does not seem ro be a great distance, it is, due to a lack of public transportation, prohibitive to those without automobiles in most of Indiana. \({ }^{3}\)
```

${ }^{2}$ Indiana Conference on Higher Education, "A Survey of Needs and Resources: The Capital, Operating, Personnel, and Curriculum Needs of Higher Education in Indiana" (November, 1957), pp. 10-11.
${ }^{3}$ State Policy Commission on Post High School Education, An Indiana Pattern for Higher Education (Indianapolis: State of Indiana, December, 1968), p. 61.

On the other hand, the 1968 freshman census made a different observation. Commenting on data from various institutions about the portion of the student body from the local area, Elliott noted:

These data show clearly that the public universities' regional campuses do indeed serve the residents of the region in which they are located, as they were created to do, while the private institutions generally do not orient themselves to their local region, which also is to be expected, for they have seldom represented such local service as among their missions. It does, however, point out the possible irrelevance of the much-used statement that ninety per cent of Indiana college age youth are within 25 miles of an institution of higher education if it is meant to suggest the geographical availability of educational opportunity to students in Indiana.

A parallel statement about only the public universities' campuses, however, may have considerable relevance. For example, an analysis of tie 1950 births in each county revealed that approximately eighty-five percent were within 30 miles of a campus of one of the four publicly supported universities. If Vincennes University and the Eastern Indiana Center were added to the areas served by the four main and eleven regional campuses, the figure would be approximately ninety-two per cent. ${ }^{4}$

Drawing circles helps to pinpoint areas with little or no accessibility to nearby campuses, but additional detail is needed for planning future facilities on the basis of geographic need. For example, we know that some relativeiy small portion of the population cannot easily commute to any educational institution, but this portion may be located in an area not offering sufficient enrollment potential for such an institution.

As the observations above indicate, public and private institutions do not offer the same opportunity to local youths. With different program offerings, admission requirements, and tuition costs, chese institutions do not represent equal aliernatives for potential students.
${ }^{4}$ Report of the 1968 Indiana Freshman Student Census, pp. 16-18.

Furthermore, the simple draving of circles cannot accurately describe accesaibility. The lack of adequate roads leading directly from the students' residences to campus sometimes more than doubles traveling distance for some students within a 25 -mile circle and certainly can increase traveling time and inconvenience.

Of course, not all students are limited by geography. Those with adequate funds, sufficient motivations, and an indication of ability can choose between many public and private institutions in Indiana and out of state. The geographic demand for education, then, is related to those who are limited to nearby institutions for their higher education. For this group, only an institution that offers low-cost education with low-admission requirements and a comprehersive program can offer a choice to all. Indiana's public institutions of higher education are not characteristically low-cost, open-door institutions, and many regional campuses do not have extensive programs at thie time. However, the public institutions are closer to a combination of these three characteristics than are most private institutions in the state.

Table 15 shows all the Indiana cities with a population over 20,000 and the highway mileage from them to public institutions. For purposes of the comparison shown in this table, a city population of 20,000 was considered necessary to support a public institution with a comprehensive program. ${ }^{5}$ In fact, the 25 cities with a population over 20,000 comprised about 41 percent of the total state population in 1967 and probably included a higher percentage of the state's potential college students because, as we have noted, urban areas have higher participation rates.
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Hammond
Westville
Indianapolis
Indianapolis
Kokomo
Lafayette
Kokomo
Lafayette
South Bend
Gary
Hanmond
Westville
Kokomo
Lafayette
Kokomo
Muncie
Gary
South Bend
Hammond
Westville
South Bend
Westville
Muncie
Jeffersonville
New Albany
Muncie
Indianapolis
Indianapolis
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I. U. Regional } \\
& \text { Purdue Regional } \\
& \text { Purdue Regional } \\
& \text { I. U. Regional } \\
& \text { Purdue Regional } \\
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& \text { I. U. Regional } \\
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& \text { Purdue Regional } \\
& \text { Purdue Regional } \\
& \text { I. U. Regional } \\
& \text { Purdue Regional }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

|  | Hobart (Lake) | 21.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12. | Indianapolis (Marion) | 519,0 |
| 13. | Kokomo (Howard) | 51.9 |
|  | Lafayette (Tippecanoe) | 45.2 |
|  | LaPorte (LaPorte) | 22.2 |
|  | Logansport (Cass) | 21.3 |
|  | Marion (Grant) | 39.9 |
|  | Michigan City (LaPorte) | 41.0 |
|  | Mistawaka (St. Joseph) | 35.6 |
|  | Muncie (Delaware) | 73.2 |
|  | New Albany (Floyd) | 39.1 |
|  | New Castle (Henry) | 21.7 |


$*$ Only public universities and regional campuses within 50 miles are included. Note all 25 cities were within
50 miles of at least one regional or main campus. Several distances to universities were not listed because they

+ Population based on an Indiana State Board of Health, July 1, 1967 estimate.

Fourteen of the 25 largest cities in Indiana now have a public institution of higher education (not including IVTC). If a range of 15 miles is considered local, six more cities can be included. The cities vary in distance from public institutions, and it is difficult to measure distance for adjacent cities such as South Bend and Mishawaka and for megapolis areas such as the Caiumet area in Lake County. For example, East Chicago and Highland are Iisted as two miles from Gary and Hamond, but for nany purposes this area must be considered as one market.

If "reasonable commuting distance" were considered to be 30 miles, then four more of the cities could be included within commuting range of a public campus. Marion is located farther from a campus than any other city in this group- -30 miles from Kokomo and 36 miles from Ball State University in Muncie. Among the cities with a population of over 20,000, only Columbus is farther than 30 miles from any public institution of higher education. Columbus is 36 highway miles from Indiana University in Bloomington and is on an excellent highway 43 miles from Indianapolis. No city in Indiana over 20,000 is farther than 40 miles from a public institution. 6

Obviously, in Indiana the larger cities have been considered in the development of a broad geographic system of public higher education. Now we must consider how students from the outlying areas are served. The

[^13]question is whether most of those studente who seek a commuter-cype education and live outside the major cities are within "reasonable commuting distance" from a public insititution of higher education. This question, coo, is difficult in the abstract. For the individual student, commating accessibility must be individually defined. Highway mileage (such as shown in Table 15) is an improvement over drawing circles, but we need to know the distances traveled from home to campus and the time involved $\therefore$ the trip.

One measure of reasonable commuting cistance is the distance that presently commuting students are willing to travel (Table 16). In the fall of 1967 Indiana University prepared a questionnaire for the students at all its regional campuses in an attampt to discover the distance traveled ant the cost involved in their trips to class. Tables 16 and 17 present responses to the questions concerning actual miles traveled (home to campus) and the mode of transportation.

As might be expected, the results vary for the individual campuses. Only 9.4 percent of the total group traveled rore tian 25 miles to campus, but a larger portion of the student body traveled over 25 miles to the Fort Wayne (14.2 percent), Kokomo (12.4 percent), and Southeast (1.9.4 percent) campuses. Only 6.1 percent of the total traveled over 30 miles.

The Southeast campus has the largest portion of those traveling over 40 miles from home to campus, with 116 students, or 7.6 percent of its total student body, traveiling 40 or more miles. On the other hand, a higher than average portion of students live very close to campus ( $0-5$ miles) on the Fort Wayne, Kokomo, and South Bent campuses.
TABLE 16
Mileage Traveled Home to Campus, By Percentage of
Indiana Univcrsity Regional Campus Students, Fall, 1967

| Campus | Mileage Traveled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total No. Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 | $\underline{21-25}$ | 26-30 | 31-35 | 36-40 | 404 | Over 25 |  |
| Fort Wayne | 43.5\% | 24.0\% | 7.8\% | 4.7\% | 5.8\% | 4.6\% | 2.8\% | 2.1\% | 4.7\% | ( $14.2 \%$ ) | 1,838 |
| Indianapolis | 26.5 | 38.7 | 17.1 | 6.5 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | $2.7{ }^{\circ}$ | (7.8) | 3,542 |
| Kokomo | 49.5 | 12.0 | 10.2 | 5.7 | 10.3 | 6.2 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 1.4 | (12.4) | 1,042 |
| Nowthwest | 36.3 | 30.1 | 18.9 | 8.4 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | (3.6) | 3,019 |
| South bend | 51.6 | 19.8 | 9.3 | 6,3 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.5 | (7.9) | 2,36.3 |
| Southeast | 34.1 | 24.1 | 11.8 | 6.5 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 7.6 | (19.4) | 1,526 |
| Total Percentages* | 38.6 | 27.3 | 13.5 | 6.7 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 2.7 | (9.4) | 13,830 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 5,349 | 3,781 | 1,872 | 924. | 307 | 457 | 260 | 204. | 376 | $(1,297)$ | 13,830 |
| *Totals may not equal 100 percent due to rounding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

We have no measure of the average time spent by commuters to the various campuses. Some observers say that reasonable commuting tine is one hour one way. This time is variously estimated to involve from 25 to 50 miles and, if the mileage were known, would define the potential commuter ma:ket. Again, however, no one measure can be right for all students.

Measuring the regional character of the student body at regional campuses came as a by-product of Indiana Triversity's analysis of distances traveled. For example, although the Indianapolis campus might be expected to serve the seven-county area surrounding Marion County, it was found that 82 percent of the student body lived within 15 miles of campus. To varying degrees, the other campuses are serving primarily a local rather than regional student body. On the average, almost 80 percent of the student bodies at the various campuses live within 15 miles of their campuses. Although a measure of the regional market for regional institutions would be valuable in analyzing Indiana's need for an expanded system of campuses, precise detafl is not availaile for all types of institutions. Later in this sectior, however, we will examine the portion of "same county" students in Indiana's institutions to measure the local demand being served by both public and private campuses.

Table 17 gives a further indication of the type of commuter students attending Indiana University's regional campuses. Only 7 percent of the students indicated their mode of transportation was either "train and bus" or "bus." An additional 2 percent walk to campus, and the remainder travel by car. Naturally, there are campus variations. Only the Indianapolis and Northwest campuses appear to have a significant number of students who travel by public transportation.

| Total No. |
| :---: |
| Respondents |
| 1,745 |
| 3,566 |
| 1,041 |
| 2,684 |
| 2,598 |
| 1,528 |
| -- |
| 13,169 |


Mode of Transportation to the Campus by Percentage of Indiana University Regional Campus Students, Fall, 1967

TABLE 17
$\begin{array}{lc}\begin{array}{l}\text { Family } \\ \text { Car }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Train } \\ \text { and Bus }\end{array} \\ 17.3 \% & 0.3 \% \\ 12.3 & 1.5 \\ 19.9 & 0.4 \\ 21.1 & 1.9 \\ 22.2 & 0.7 \\ 15.3 & 0.3 \\ 17.7 & 1.1 \\ 2,333 & 146\end{array}$ 둥
Student Survey. sndmen Fort Wayne
Indianapolis
Kokomo
Northwest
South Bend
Southeas :
Total percentage
Total number
SOURCE: Recap of Fall,

The cost of automobile transportation, then, is significant when the factors of attending commuter campuses are compared with those of attending residence campuses. The student can afford to drive to campus only if the time and maney involved are reasonable; at some point, residence on campus is a more practical athernative. However, for those who must remain at home and find it too costly or time-consuming to commute to available campuses, no educational alternative exists. Cost of commuting, then, should be another measure of what creates a reasonable alternative for many stuđents. (Of course, some students may prefer to remain at bome at any cost, and their decisions about attending college will be made on the basis of whether to commute or not to attend rather than on the basis of cost.)

Tr:e Indiana University questionnaire helps to define the alternatives in terms of cost. One analysis of the questionaire results defines the point at which comuting becomes more expensive than dormitory cost (not including food) on the Bloomington campus (see Table 18). In 1967 the approximate break-even point for the comnuter was 20 miles one way. This analysis assumes an increasing number of trips to campus for students living nearby (8 trips per week for those living $0-10$ miles; 6 trips per week for 11-25 miles; and 4 trips per week for $26-40$ miles). The break-even figure is based on a cost of $12 ¢$ per mile (Including maintenance and repair costs), the maximum allowed by the Internal Revenue Service. If a lower figure, such as 8 ¢ a mfle, were used, the highest cost of comuting would be $\$ 409.60$ per year for those living 40 miles from campus, and $\$ 353.28$ for those within 21 to 25 miles (both amounts are less than the 1967 average dormitory cost of $\$ 451$ in Bloomington).

Many part-time students travel to town for work and do not consider the trip as an expense of education. In addition, although the commuter student
Assumptions
Transportation Costs for Commuting Students

1. Average miles per trip is the median of a particular category.

miles to campus

| $\begin{aligned} & 0-5 \\ & (3)^{\star} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6-10 \\ & (8) * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11-15 \\ & (13) * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16-20 \\ & (18) * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21-25 \\ & (23) * \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26-30 \\ & (28) * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31-35 \\ & (33)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36-40 \\ & (38) * \end{aligned}$ | 40 pius $(40) *$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $799$ |  | $144$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \\ \hline 192 \end{array}$ |  |  | 51 | 39 | $87$ |
| 768 | 2,048 | 2,606 | 3,456 | 4,416 | 3,584. | 4,224 | 4,864 | 5,120 |
| \$92.16 | \$245.76 | \$312.72 | \$414.72 | \$529.92 | \$430.08 | \$506.88 | \$583.68 | \$614.40 |
| \$248.82 per academic year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

obviously has living expenses even at home, the cost is less explicit and probably lower in most cases than dormitory food charges. The student feels that he is saving because in many cases. commuter education appears to involve only tuition and fee charges. The student often fails to calculate all costs, and this omission may add to his motivation to enroll in a nearby campus.

The calculation of 20 miles as a break-even point for commuting costs provides one measure of "reasonable comuting distance," and we have seen that a majority of regional campus students live within the range of 20 miles. Such analyses help to establish explicit costs which might otherwise be ignored by those who proclaim the low cost of commuter campuses. In addition, explicit fnformation helps to define the potential market. However, in order to forecast the potential of regional education, we need to know what really does motivate students to attend local institutions, well as how many students can be considered local. We will now turn our attention to these subjects.

MARKETS FOR PRIVATE AND PURLIC INSTITUTIONS
We have suggested that private colleges and universities serve a different market $f$ :om the one served by public institutions. Tables 19 and 20 help to demonstrate this difference.

Although some private schools have broad offerings and compete with the public universities for students, many of them serve a specialized clientele. A school of theology, for instance, draws its student body from a wide geographical area and is not an educational alternative for local youths, except for the smell number who seek this area of study. On the other hand, some of Indiana's private institutions offer a broad choice of, course work and differ from the public institutions primarily in tuition costs and admission requirements.

Such private institutions are difficult to group for they are very diverse. However, for our purposes one method of grouping has been developed. Private institutions are divided into private universities, private colleges (two groups based on enrollment), Catholic girls colleges, and engineering and technical colleges. Table 19, which averages the institutional variations, indicates a strong growth for both public and private sectors of Indiana higher education between 1961 and 1967. Although publlc schools grew faster in enrollment (61 percent) during this period than did private schools (25 percent), the slower but dynamic growth in private institutions has not been hindered.

However, as Table 20 demonstrates, the public institutions serve more local and state students than do the private institutions. Almost 86.5 percent of the undergraduate student body in public institutions is from Indiana, but in private institutions the Indiana student body is only 47 percent of the total undergraduate enrollment. Consequently, since we are concerned with planning for the future needs of Indiana youths, and in particular with expanding local education to make higher education more accessible to lower income groups, public institutions are of primary interest in this report.

Awareness of this difference between public and private institutions is importait when attempting to judge the effect of a local institution on the propensity of local youths to attend an institution of higher education. If, in fact, youths are not attending local institutions (because they cannot qualify or do not find their choice of course work at them), then the institution has little in local impact. Public institutions should serve broad public interests and their special problem is to expand rapidly enough to serve increasing demands. We expect public institutions to expand more rapidly than private institutions to meet the demand of an ever-increasing

TABLE 19

Growth of Public and Private Institutions, 1961-67
Undergraduate Enrolinent'

$\underline{\text { Institutions } 1961 \quad 1967 \therefore \quad$|  Percent  |
| :--- |
|  Increase  |$}$

Public

1. State universities
Indiana
Purdue
Ball State
Indiana S!:ate
Total
2. Regional Campuses
Vincennes
Total

$$
11,709
$$

$$
19,247
$$

Purdue
13,145
17,750
Ball State
6,498
11,473
ndiana S::ate
4,584
10,474
39,936 58,944
47.59
2. Regional Campuses

11,234
22,479 Total
$\begin{array}{rr}618 & 2,188 \\ 11,852 & 24,667\end{array}$ 108.12
3. IVTC and Other public post-high school vocational institutions. ---

Private
4. Private universities

| Butler | 2,492 | 2,708 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Evansville | 1,698 | 2,729 |  |
| Notre Dame | 5,653 | 6,206 |  |
| Valparaiso | 2,678 | 3,580 |  |
| $\quad$ Total | 12,521 | 15,223 | 21.57 |

5. Private colleges--Group I

| DePauw | 2,219 | 2,359 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Earlham | 973 | 1,109 |
| $\quad$ East. Indiara Centers | -- | 576 |
| Goshen | 874 | 1,046 |
| Hanover | 802 | 979 |
| Indiana Central | 794 | 972 |
| Manchester | 1,127 | 1,425 |
| Marion | 631 | 916 |
| St. Joseph | 1,058 | 1,332 |
| $\quad$ Centers | 517 | 991 |
| Taylor | 805 | 1,251 |
| Wabash | 714 | 889 |
| Anderson | 1,016 | 1,447 |
| $\quad$ Total. | 11,530 | 15,292 |


|  | 1961 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 6. Private Colleges--Group II |  |  | Percent <br> Increase |
|  |  |  |  |
| Bethel | 374 | 450 |  |
| Grace | 336 | 472 |  |
| Marion | 438 | 687 |  |
| Oakland City | 652 | 605 |  |
| Franklin | 651 | 716 |  |
| Huntington | 371 | 438 |  |
| $\quad$ Total | 2,822 | 3,368 | 19.34 |

7. Cathclic girls colleges

| St. Benedict | 84 | 189 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| St. Francis | 446 | 814 |  |
| St. Mary-of-the-Woods | 609 | 620 |  |
| St. Mary's | 1,029 | 1,165 |  |
| Total | 2,168 | 2,788 | 28.59 |

8. Engineering \& technical colleges

Indiana Institute of
Technology 1,363
9. Religion \& theological schools

St. Meinrad Seminary 272271
Fort Wayne Bible School 323473 Total 595

744
25.04

Other Totals
10. State universities
(Public)
39,936
58,944
Vincennes Total

40,554
2,188
61,132
50.74
11. Regional

11,234
22,479
12. Total Public Groups $10 \& 11$

51,788 83,611
61.44
13. Total Private Groups 4-9

32,996
41,323
25.23
14. Total Public \& Private Groups $12 \& 13$

84,784
124,934
47.35

SOURCE: Enrollment figures were taken from Nelson M. Parkhurst, Peport of Enrollment for Indiana Universities and Colleges (West Lafayette: Purdue University, 1968). This report was prepared for the Indiana Association of Collegiate Registrars and Admission Officers.
group of young people of all social and economic levels. The task of providing low-cost, accessible colleges and universities will no doubt fall to the public institutions.

As Table 20 indicates, there is not a tremendous difference between the percentage of students attending local privare institutions in their home councies and those attending public institutions in their home counties. In private institutions 23.5 percent of the total eirollment come from the county in which the institution is located, compared with the public institutions' enrollment of 27.2 percent local students. However, the big difference between public and private institutions is in the portion of their enrollment that comes from the "rest of the state." While public institutions have 49.2 percent, private institutions have only 16.8 percent. In addition, public regional campuses have a larger portion of local students in their total enrollment than do the main campuses of the state universities. In the state universities 12.8 percent of the undergraduate student body is from the same county, and in the other state-supported campuses 61.5 percent come from the same county. This latter percentage is even higher when the regional campuses are considered alone. Both Vincennes University and the Professional Division of Indiana University in Indianapolis (included in "other statesupported campuses") have lower than average local enrollment as a portion of total enrollment. The regional campuses excluding these two institutions have 66 percent same-county undergraduates, and nearly 80 percent of the undergraduates are from the same and adjacent counties.

The data in Table 20 also help to define the geographic market for institutions that serve a commuting student body. The several categories in Table 20 were developed to help determine the most significant commuting area based on county data. Apparently, the significant category for

defining a broader commuting area is "same and adjacent counties." When adjacent county areas are expanding to include an entire region of the state, no large number of additional students results. 7 For example, in the regional campuses, 79.8 percent of the undergraduates come from the same or adjacent county, and 80.3 percent come from the educational regiono This implies a limit to the definition of regions to be served by one campus. But this generalization, of course, is not entirely accurate。 Some IVTC regions (used in Table 20) are identical to the criterion of "same and adjacent counties." Furthermore, many campuses are located in a county on the state border, but only adjacent Indiana counties are included in this study. Students from counties of neighboring states are merely considered out of states 8

The relationships of local enrollment to total enrollment described in Table 20 may be misleading unless they are also examined from another viewpoint. Enrollment composed of a small portion of local students does not mean that the institution is not serving the locai demand. For example, of the 2,117 undergraduates from Monroe County attending an Indiana institution in 1967-68, almost 90 percent attended Indiana University. Nevertheless, these Monroe County residents made up less than 10 percent of the university's total undergraduate student body. Similarly, over 70 percent of the local youths who are undergraduates in Indiana institutions stay within the same county

[^14]in Allen, Delaware, Tippecanoe and Vigo counties, all of which contiin public institutions. Although wide variations are concealed by averages, private institutions as a whole have an average of 7.8 percent of the total students from a county enrolled in the local private institution compared with an average 79.4 percent for the four state universities. None of the private school groups (as reported in Table 20) has an average of over 13 percent. These statistics were taken from a table showing all Indiana counties with over 1,000 residents who were Indiana college undergraduates in 1967-68. Oif the Indiana counties, orly those just mentioned had over 70 percent attending locally. In order to keep the private institutions' enrollment data confidential, the table is not reproduced in this report.

Similar calculations show that of all the Marion County students enrolled somewhere in Indiana in 1967-68, almost 55 percent were enrolled in their own county (for undergraduates only, 49 percent). Such a figure serves as a measure of the number who are served locally and of the potential enrollment. For example, even though Marion County has three private institutions as well as the Indiana University-Purdue University complex, some students still prefer to leave the county. The counties where the four public universities are located, however, have a higher portion of students from the county attending locally. As the public campuses in Indianapolis expand their offerings, a larger portion of youths probably will remain in the county for higher education。

In summary, the percentages of county undergraduates to total enrollment, as shown in Table 20 , do not necessarily show whether or not the institutions are serving the local youths. They do show, however, whether the different groups select their student bodies primarily from the local market, the state as a whole, or from outside Indiana. As might be expected, the regional campuses' enrollments are primarily local, with 66 percent from the same county and 80 percent from the same region of the state. Surprisingly, even on regional campuses an average of 13.7 percent come from Indiana counties thai are not "same and adjacent" and 6.5 ?ercent of the students come from out of state.

## STUDENT PROFILES

A profile of the characteristics anis interests of students in various institutions offers additional information about the educational demand being served by present institutions of higher education. Fortunately, two such studies of the student bociies of public regional campuses, our major area of concern, are available. One is a study of the students enrolled in Indiana University's Division of General and Technical Studies (DGTS) in Fort Wayne. The other student profile is derived from a questionnaire distributed to Indiana University regional campus students in 1967 by the Indiana University Division of Regional Campuses.

These studies, combined with the answers to the 1969 high school senior survey, improve our understanding of the students now enrolling in Indiana's institutions. Perhaps more significantly, this spotty information gives an indication of the kind of data that, if developed more fully for all institutions, might help to define effective demand more thoroughly. With an understanding of effective demand, we will have a better idea of what types of youths are still not served by present institutions and what kind of institution could most appropriately provide them with higher education in the future.

One caution is in order. Only the 1967 Indiana University regional campus student profiles are available, and the type of student served by these campuses in 1967 is by no means the 1 imit to the institutional capacity. In fact, these campuses are changing rapidly and, with an adequate budget, would be very flexible in meeting the expanding denands of the people of the state. The fact that a portion of the college-age population is unserved does not necessarily imply a need for additional institutions unless it can be established that these potential students represent a geographic demand that could be served only by adきitional locations.

The campus of the Indiana University Division of General and Technical studies in Fort Wayne is of interest because it resembles a type of postsecondary education frequently recommended for the state as a whole. The DGTS campus has only been in operation since 1966 and is still expanding in terms of program offerings. Nevertheless, in 1968 the DGTS campus had an enrollment of 681. Because it offers both general and technical studies, DGTS resembles the combination of academic and vocational programs recently recommended for state community colleges by the State Policy Commission of Post High School Education: ${ }^{9}$ DGTS does not have admission requirements identical to the Indiana University main campus, as is typical of regional campuses, and DGTS credits are not automatically transferred for academic credit.

A look at the student body of this school, which is located in a city with two private colleges, a private technical college, and a regional campus representing both Indiana University and Purdue, may suggest the type of student body that would be attracted to similar schools in other Indiana cities tinat have other institutions of higher education. Fortunately, DGTS has a detailed descrfption of its student body, and these data add to our analysis, although comparison is impossible without similar data from the larger public campuses.

During the fall semester of the 1967-68 academic year, 154 new students enrolled for full-time study with Indiana University's Division of General and Technical Studies in Fort Wayne. Using a short questionnaire in combination with the students' application forms and registration forms, Wade Fredrick,

9
A community college may differ to any extent desired by the school and its contingency, and so it is useless to propose a definition here. We emphasize merely that it represents a combination of vocational and academic schooling recommended for Indlana by the 1968 State Policy Commission and by various other groups. See Report of the State Policy Commiseion on Post High School Education, pp. 70-74.
the Director of Admissions,sumarized the new student characteristics:
Taking a look at the "average" full-time (12 hours or more) student at the Division of General and Technical Studies the fall semester of 1967-68, we find that the student is somewhat more likel; to be a male ( 89 to 65) from the city of Fort Wayne. He is likely to come from a relatively large family where the parents have completed high school but have seldom attended college. In the majority of cases, both of the student's parents work outside the home, and the father's employment is most likely to be of a non-professional nature.

The student himself is most likely to have pursued the college preparatory course in high school and to have graduated somewhere in the middle $50 \%$ of his class. The odds are 2 to 1 that he holds some type of outside monetary employment while. attending school as a full-time student. He comes from what a sociglogist would describe as a predominantly middle-class background and reflects the values of that general group. ${ }^{10}$

Appendix $D$ contains the complete results of this survey. Of special interest is the fact that out of 154 new students, 115 were from the city of Fort Wayne and a total of 141 (or 92 percenc) from efther Allen County or an adjacent Indiana county. As the report states, this "seems to substantiate the Division's current role as a 'comunity college' serving primarily students from the immediate area." In 1968, the total enrollment of 681 included 398 students from Allen County and only 16 from out of state (no specific breakdown on adjacent counties is available).

This study presents some indication of the socioeconomic characteristics of the student body. The type of work done by the father was described by the student and categorized by the researcher. The largest number of students came from homes where the father was a "laborer-unskilled" (48), or worked in a "supervisory-industrial" capacity (18), or was "self-employed" (25).
${ }^{10}$ See Appendix D.

In addition, the study presents some general information about the entire student bodies in 1967 and 1968 (Table 21). Of 67 new full-time students who had outside employment, 41 worked over 20 hours (this does not include 22 X-ray students who worked at their respective hospitals). The student body (both full- and part-time students) is only slightly weighted towards males. The age groupings include a suxprisingly large portion of students over 30 years of age ( 18 percent in 1967 and 20 percent in 1968) and about one-half are over 20 years old: Over half of the student body is enrolled for less than full time (12 or more hours), with an especially large group taking 3 hours of course work.

During the 1967-68 school year, the Indiana University Regional Campus Administration conducted a questionnaire survey of its student body, and received responses from 13,740 students. Although the survey contains little information about the socioeconomic characteristics of regional campus students, it dnes present some interesting information about the students' backgrounds. An average of 35 percent of the regional campus students finance their studies primarily through work during the academic year, and 44 percent of the students work over 35 hours a week. The students are about evenly divided between wale and female, and 38 percent of them are married. Almost half live with their parents. A large majority of the students are Caucasian, with an average of only 5 percent Negroes at the various campuses. However, about 10 percent of the students on the Indianapolis and Northwest campuses are Negro.

Eighty-one percent of the students said that they were actively working toward a degree. Forty-four percent planned to complete their degree on the same regional campus, and 34 percent intended to obtain their degree at the main I.U. campus. In response to the question, "If you could choose,

TABLE 21
Characteristics of Student Body, Indiana University Division of General and Technical Studies, 1967 and 1968

|  |  |  |  | Percentages |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | 1967 | 1968 |  | 1967 | 1968 |
| Male | 223 | 379 |  | 55\% | 56\% |
| Female | 182 | 302 |  | 45\% | 44\% |
| Total | 405 | 681 |  | 100\% | 100\% |
| Age Groupings |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or under | 240 | 327 |  | 59\% | 48\% |
| 21-30 | 93 | 218 |  | 23\% | 32\% |
| 31-40 | 34 | 75 |  | 8\% | 11\% |
| 41-50 | 30 | 42 |  | 7\% | 6\% |
| 50+ | 8 | 19 |  | 2\% | 3\% |
| Total | 405 | 681 |  | 100\%: | 100\% |
| Course Load |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.5 hours | 30 | 31 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 11 | 43 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 104 | 194 | 1-5 hrs, | 38\% | 46\% |
| 4 | 0 | 3 |  |  | . |
| 5 | 8 | 15 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 46 | 57 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 3 | 6 | 6-9 hrs. | 18\% | 12\% |
| 8 | 7 | 15 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 16 | 23 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 2 | 15 | $10+\mathrm{hrs}$. | 44\% | 43\% |
| 12 or more | 178 | 277 |  |  |  |
| Total | 405 | 681 |  | 100\% | 100\% |

[^15]where would you rather go to college?" they would choose the regional campus. In addition, when asked what their college plans would be if the regional campus did not exist, only 13 percent of the respondents said that they would not attend college. The largest number (36 percent) answered that they would go "elsewhere"; an additional 24 percent stated that they would go to Bloomington (Indiana University); and 8 percent responded that they would go to Purdue (presumibly to both the main and regional campuses).

As for the regional character of the student body, over 63 percent said they were "quite certain" that they would Ifve permanently within the region served by the campus, and only 13 percent said this was not very likely.

The final section of Appendix E reports answers to question 16, "In one or two sentences would you please indicate on the back of the answer sheet why you chose to attend this regional campus as opposed to some other school or location." Since this type of question allows a variety of responses, the research divided the answers into four categories: academic considerations, location, financial considerations, and other. Considerations of location ranked highest with 40 percent responding that location Influenced their choice of cimpus the most. Location reasons included proximity to the students' residence and job, ease of transportation, and preference for the urban area. They also included work opportunities in the area, family responsibilities or health problems preventing relocation, and preference for a nonresident campus. The "other" category included a number of answers for "unspecified convenience" and "unspecified necessity," which might also be related to location.

In the case of commuter eampuses, reasons of location are particularly difficult to separate from financial considerations. In sumary, however,
financial considerations were considered to include responses such as "lower tuition," "employment," "fee couresy," and, most frequently, "freedom from room and board expenses [often related to location]." Only 20 percent of the total group noted such financial considerations.

At every campus, academic considerations were rated higher than financial considerations, especially at the Inilianspolis and Fort Wayne campuses. On the other hand, location considerations were especially high at the Kokomo and Northwest campuses. On the South Bend and Southeast campuses, financial considerations were ranked higher than average and almost equaled academic considerations.

## CONCLUSION

This discussion of regional variation in student demand and institutional supply in Indiana presents a background for the discussion of some enrollment profections for regional institutions that will be presented in Part II. Of course, policy decisions regarding resources to be devoted to regional institutions will be a major influence on future enrollment. Hopefully, some of the Indiana data presented here may be helpful in forming policy. In addition, this presentation suggests several areas where further information on Indiana students might aid in the policy-making and enrollmentprojection processes.

## IV. POTENTIAL FOR FUTURE ENROLLMENT

Much of the potential for future enrollment growth in institutions of higher education comes from the groups that have to date particinated the least in higher education. Since youths from high socioeconomic backgrounds or with high ability levels already participate to a great extent, much of the potential growth in enrollment lies in the lower socioeconomic status (SES) and ability groups. For example, the present probability of college entrance by socioeconomic status and family size and the percentage of freshmen in each SES and ability group are shown in Table 22. Not only do the low-SES, low-ability groups represent the greatest number of potential students (those not now attending), but they also serve as the target for specific educational goals in many state and federal programs. Furthermore, in order for college enrollment to reach the large totals being projected, these groups must be tapped. For example, although the Indiana college population age group (between 18 and 21 years old) in 1985 will be no larger than it was in 1970 (having reached a peak in 1976), enrollment projections are substantially higher for 1985.

Of course, Indiana need not gear its future educational program for serving the lower-SES and lower-ability groups. The state might decide, for example, to concentrate on developing increased participation by those with high ability. However, to serve the large numbers of youths in the large potential groups, a specific type of public educational program should be developed. In particular, vocational education should be available for youths, especially those in the lower-ability groups who

[^16]TABLE 22
Socioeconomic Factors and College Entrance

Probability of Entrance to College, Full- or Part-time, in the Year of High School Graduation, by Socioeconomic Status and Family Size

| Size of Family | (High) | SES |  | (Low) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2-4$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| $5-6$ | 68.7 | 43.1 | 31.1 | 16.3 |
| $7-8$ | 62.8 | 38.7 | 26.4 | 14.1 |
| $9-11$ | 55.1 | 34.8 | 20.0 | 10.6 |
| 12 or more | 41.2 | 25.5 | 10.8 | 7.4 |

Distribution (Percent) of Freshmen Entering 4-year Public and Private Colleges, in the Year of High School Graduation, Full-time and Degree Credit, by Socioeconomic Status and Ability

SES

| Ability | (High) |  |  | (Low) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { (High) } \end{gathered}$ | 20.5\% | 12. $2 \%$ | 8.0\% | 2.4\% | $43.1 \%$ |
| 2 | 10.3 | 8.0 | 5.9 | 2.5 | 26.7 |
| 3 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 16.0 |
| 4 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 8.4 |
| $\stackrel{5}{\text { (Low) }}$ | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 5.8 |
| Total | 39.5 | 28.6 | 21.4 | 10.5 |  |

SOLKCE: Project TALENT, 5-year followup surveys. Cited in U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Toward a Long-Range Plan for Federal Financial Support for Higher Education (Washington: U.S. Govt. Printing Office, January, 1969), p.54.
seek to prepare for special jobs by obtaining schooling beyond high school. Furthermore, losal educational facilities might be provided to make higher education more accessible to the lower-income groups in population centers. In fact, the demand for regional education might be considered the demand for commuter-type institutions that provide vocational and general offerings.

## VOCATIONAL EDUCATION

Indiana was slow in starting its public vocational schools. The Indiana Vocational Technical College (IVIC) was created by the 1963 state legislature, but it did not receive any substantial funding until the 1965 General Assembly. However, the 1969 General Assembly cut its proposed budget significantly. Although a jurisdictional dispute at present leaves the future of IVTC uncertain, Indiana can be expected to continue both secondary and postsecondary vocational education in some form.

The emphasis of postsecondary enrollment in vocational education varies considerably throughout the United States. One study (shown in Table 23) indicates the degree of participation of the various states in 1966 when Indiana's IVTC was just beginning. Indiana ranked last among the states with a program for vocational education when enrollment as a percent of the 20-24 age groups population was considered. (Only Phode Island and the District of Columbia were lower, and they had no participants.) The study notes:

A substantial number of students were aither beginning or continuing their vocational preparation in post-secondary institutions. These institutions were identified under such names as area schools, technical institutes, and junior or community colleges.

Beginning in 1965, data were gathered for the first time to show enrollment in post-secondary schools. For data collection purposes, the post-secondary schcol was defined as one whose program included students who had completed or left high school and who were 'available for full-time study in preparation for entering the Lahor market.' Simply stated, the

Postsecondary Vocational Education Enrollment as a Percentage of the 20 to 24


## Total


'in the Administration of Programs Authorized under Vocational Education Act of 1963," 90th Congress, Second Session (Washington, D.G.: U.S. Govt. Printing Office, March, 1968), pp. 366-67.
admission criteria for determining programs eligible were:

1. The individual must be available for full-time study.
2. The individual must have completed or left high school.
3. The individual is not in the labor force on a fulltime basis.

The study further notes:
In 1965, 207,201 students were identified as post-secondary and in 1966, 442,097. The States varied considerably in enrollment in post-secondary programs. Some States had previously developed vocational education programs in post-secondary institutions. Area schools that were developed in some States after 1958 were devoted largeiy to vocational programs in the postsecondary area. California, whose junior college law was passed in 1907, had defined this institution as a part of the public secondary school program and, as a result, substantial development of vocatsional education already had taken place by 1963.

That the States vary in the extreme in errollment in postsecondary programs of vocational education is not as significant, therefore, as the fact that these prograns are showing extraordinary growth. ${ }^{2}$

Indiana might use the national or regional 1966 average as a measure of the potenetial for postsecondary vocational education in the future. Projections based upon the assumptions that Indiana will reach this average by either 1975 or 1985 are presented in Table 24.3
${ }^{2}$ U.S. Senate, Committee on Labor and Public Welfare, Subcomittee on Education, "Notes and Working Papers Concerning the Administration of Programs Authorized under Vocational Education Act of 1963," 90th Cong., Second Sess. (Washington, D. C.: U.S. Govt. Printing Office, March, 1968), pp. 366-67.
${ }^{3}$ The 20-24 year old population age group was used by this study because it is available from the U.S. census and is the most appropriate measure. The 18-21 college age group is not available for state comparisons. Likewise, our Indiana projections are based upon the $20-24$ age group and are presented by economic region because comprehensive projections are available.
TARLE 24
Indiana Regional Enrollment in Postsecondary Vocational Training
If 1966 National Average or Jearby State Average Equaled by 1975 and 1985
A．Postsecondary enrollment in vocational education，compared with population in $20-24$ age group＊
B．Indiana Economic Regions


| National |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1975 | $\underline{1985}$ |
| 2,278 | 2,998 |
| 1,491 | 1,447 |
| 1,512 | 1,598 |
| 721 | 817 |
| 707 | 794 |
| 1,419 | 1,315 |
| 554 | 577 |
| 3,342 | 3,732 |
| 696 | 704 |
| 400 | 432 |

49
45
44
3
10
including District
of Columbia）
Rank of States

Population 20－24 Age Group

1983
91，962 41，389
$\therefore 9,007$
24， 356品
$15,7!2$
$114,-75$
21,587
13,259䓪 69,878
45,749

46， 390 21,688
43,513
 102，520
 1965
 30，200 17，653 15,841
32,808 15,952
65,301 15,383
12,493 Economic Region $\ddagger$

Gary South Bend Fort Wayne Lafayette 응
啚 Terre Haute
Indianapolis Richmond
Bloomingto
Populaticn 20-24 Age Group


* The $20-24$ age group was used for comparisons in tife national stidy because census data are grouped according to this age
group. College age (18-21) data were not available for comparisons.
+ Region $V$ is defined by the U.S. Office of Education.
Economic Region $\ddagger$
Columbus
Evansville
New Albany
TOTAL
$\ddagger$ The Indiana University Bureau of Business Research's definition of the economj.c regicns was used because population
SOURCES: Part A is based on "Notes and Working Papers Concerning the Administration of Programs Authorizer under Vocational Education Act of 1963." Part B is taken from Bureau of Bus iness Research, Indiana University, "Indiana Population Projections 1965-1985" (Bloamington, Ind.: September, 196\%), Series A.

The national average for postsecondary vocational education in 1966 was 3.26 percent of the $20-24$ age group, with wide variations as noted in Table 23. If Indiana reached this average by 1975 , its vocational enrollment would be 15,627 ; if the national average were reached by 1985 , enrollment would be 17,208 .

The regional average is based on Region $\because$ (as defined by the U.S. Office of Education) which includes In fiana, Illinois, Ohio, Wisconsin, and Michigan. Indiana had the lowest percentage (. 24 percent) of the age group participating, and Wisconsin had the highest ( 8.44 percent). The average, again with wide variations, was 2.69 percent. To reach this average by 1985 , Indiana would need 14,199 people enrolled in postsecondary vocational education. On the other hand, to reach Wisconsin's 1966 level by 1985, Indiana would need 44,194 enrolled in postsecondary vocational education.

Actual enrollment (part-time, full-time, and noncredit students) in the public IVTC schools in 1968 was 4,252. Estimates as high as 100,000 students have been made for 1985. The 100,000 estimate is based on an assumption that vocational schools will train one-half of the state manpowerneeded to fill jobs available in trade, skill, and technical areas by 1985.4

Table 25 shows enrollment in IVTC for 1967 and 1968 in the various IVTC regions and compares this enroilment with the $18-21$ age group of the county and with the undergraduate enrollment in Indiana institutions of higher education from that county. On the basis of both age group

[^17]TABLE 25
County
Undergraduates (1967)

| Amount | $\begin{array}{c}\% \text { of } \\ \text { Highest+ } \\ \text { Enroll }\end{array}$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2,160 |  | $1.4 \%$ |
| 5,163 |  | 25.1 |
| 2,195 |  | 1.3 |
| 1,458 |  | 16.4 |
| 2,847 |  | 10.0 |
| 16,895 |  | 9.0 |
| 1,388 |  | 15.8 |
| 1,051 |  | 6.4 |
| 321 |  | 58.9 |
| 5,081 | 2.2 |  |
| 4,647 |  | 14.7 |
| 98,098 |  | 4.4 | County

18-21 Population (1969)
 18-21 Age Group and Number of Undergraduates Postsecondary Vocational Enrollment (IVTC) Compared with from County of Vocational School Location Total Enrollment*
*Total enrollinent includes credit (full-time and part-time) plus noncredit students.
+'Highest" enrollment means largest IVTC enrollment, either 1967 or 1968 。
SOURCE: IVTC enrollment from State Policy Commission on Post High School Education,
An Indiana Pattern for Higher Education (December, 1968), p. 55.

IVTC Regions
 (コ) (4dasor $\cdot 75$ ) puəg y7nos ${ }^{\circ}$ Z
 -
5. Kokomo (Howard)
7. Terre Haute (Vigo)
(uoţ Iek) sṭodeuetpul •8
9. Richmond (Wayne)
10. Columbus (Bartholomew) 11. Versailles (Ripley) 12. Evansville (Vanderburgh) Other Fort Wayne (I.U.-DGTS) Other Fort Wayne (I.U.-DGTS)
Total state IVTC Total state
and percent of undergraduates, the IVTC courses in Region II (Versailles in Ripley County) had the largest percentage of county participation. Vocational enrollment as a percent of undergraduate college enrollment from that county was especially high (59 percent) for several possible reasons. Ripley County has a small college participation rate (17.8 percent of the $18-21$ year age group in 1967), as do other counties in the region. The region, in fact, ranks last in the state for average undergraduate participation. 5 This leaves a Iarge portion of potential students for vocational training (as well as a small base of undergraduates for comparison). Since the region is sparsely populated and relatively isolated from public institutions of higher education (it is the only IVTC region without a public university or regional campus), many of the vocational students come from throughout the region, and the comparison with Ripley County statistics is somewhat inappropriate. The other IVTC schools are located in larger cities and more heavily populated areas, and the IVTC students can be expected to represent primarily youths from the same county. For example, we noted that new students in 1967-68 at the Indiana University Division of General and Technical Studies in Fort Wayne included 75 percent who came from the city and 92 percent who came from Allen County or an adjacent Indiana county.

In the first year of enrollment (1968) the South Bend IVTC school in Region 2 obtained 6.7 percent. of the county $18-21$ age group. Kokomo, Terre Haute, Indianapolis, and Richmond had berween 2.8 percent and 4.3 percent vocational participation by the $18-21$ age group. If programs develop and the budget is sufficient, it is not unreasonable to expect 10 percent of the county age group to attend vocational schools. For

[^18]the counties shown in Table 25, this would mean an enrollment of about 15,800 by 1975 and 13,800 by 1985. If Lake and Delaware counties were also included, the 10 percent local enrollment for these counties and the others would be 22,400 by 1975 and 19,300 by 1985 . If the state were to reach this 10 percent enrollment of the $18-21$ age group in vocational education (which would mean an average 10 percent from all counties, presumably with much higher rates in some), the total 1975 enrollment would be 42,163 and the 1985 enrollment would be 37,123 , even with the drop in the 18-2i-year-old population. ${ }^{6}$

Projections on the basis of age group alone are imperfect, regaidless of the age group chosen. We noted for Indiana University's DGTS in Fort Wayne, for example, that 52 percent of the 1968 studel.ts were 21 or over, and 20 percent were over 30. However, problems in projecting vocational enrollment for Indiana are even more extensive. Since the enrollment for most of these vocational schools comes primarily from a commuting area, the projected total depends upon the number and location of future vocational schools. An additional problem exists in predicting total higher education enrollments. In the projections that follow, we will examine the possible influence of the establishment of public two-year institutions of higher ed sation in the state on total enrollment. Two-year institutions often have a sizable portion of their programs geared toward vocational and technical studies as well as academic

[^19]studies. Although in some of the heavily populated areas several schools (even several vocational schcols) would find adequate enrollment demand, the total impact of IVTC cannot be expected to be the same if an alternate system is developed.

In the projections that follow, we assume that two-year institutions will reduce the enrollment totals of public vocational schools. The IVTC effort might be combined with two-year institutions in some areas, ai.though this is not an essential assumption. For sparsely populated areas and counties without a two-year institution, local vocational training might still attract a significant portion of local youths. These areas would not offer the potential enrollment to support comprehensive schools at a reasonable cost to the state, but they can support vocational programs. Therefore, it is assumed that some public vocational schools will remain even if two-year institutions are established.

One further alternative should be considered: the possibility of comprehensive vocational schools, with a combination of vocational and general studies. A trend toward including general studies in vocational schools was recently noted by the U.S. Adviscry Council on Vocational Education. At a three-day session in Washington, the council sta:f and ten noted educators representing vocational education programs Aiscussed new directions for vocational education. Following are some of the observations and recommendations made at that meeting:

While there were marked differences among the projects in terms of content, organization, educational level of the program, type of population to be served, approach, and methods employed, there were also commonalities. Through conference and discussion, the representatives identified what they felt were common elements of the programs and might be considered as indications of trends.

Among the most significant trends cited were "more comprehensive programs in which the disciplines are brought into interaction to maximiser
reinforcement and to highlight the relatedness of the disciplines" and "programs which recognize that the separation of vocational and general education detracts from both and when they are brought together, they serve to improve and enhance each other."7

Several of the recommendations of the Advisory Council on Vocational Education are of interest in viewing the future of vocational education. It was recommended that at least 25 percent of the federal funds allocated to the states for vor ational training be tised for postsecondary schools and adult programs. In addition, there was a recomendation for permanent authority to make grants for residential vocational schools. "The need is especially critical in sparsely populated rural and urban disadvantaged areas." The council also recommended expanded programs and services specifically designed for persons who have academic, social, economic, or other handicaps. Finaily, the council urged that postsecondary opportunity grants be made to students interested in entering lechnical and vocational programs.

The future direction of postsecondary vocational education in Indiane is difficult to predict. It is obvious that there is a large core of potential $\varepsilon$ ttudents and a need for increased technical training, for Indiana has lagged behind most other states in this area. The direction of federal suppert will influence future decisions. Likewise, the'emphasis given vocational education by the Indiana General Assembly and the funds made available will directly affect the size of enrollment that can be attained. Furthermore, jurisdiction of the postsecondary vocational program is a subject of dispute.

[^20]With these unknowns, we will attempt to project only the potential in terms of (1) greatly expanded vocational training by 1985 (we use 37,000 or 10 percent of the $18-21$ age group) and (2) the effect of a new system of two-year institutions that offer vocational and technical studies in some of the largest population counties. New institutions are assumed to reduce but not eliminate the need for vocational schools. Even in the counties with two-year institutions, we assume that only one-half of the vocational students (5 percent of the age group) will enroll in the twoyear institutions. This assumption allows for vocational schools in major counties in addition to two-year institutions and for vocational schools in counties without public academic institutions.

TWO-YEAR INSTITUTIONS
The growth of two-year institutions of higher education, typicaily called junior colleges or community colleges, is well documented. Many states (including Illinois, Iowa, Michigan, and Wisconsin in the Midwest) have followed the leadership of California and have adopted junior college systems. Two-year institutions are expected to include an ever-increasing portion of tocal public enrollment in higher education. The U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, for example, estimates that:

First-time enrollment in 2-year institutions represented 21 percent of all first-time degree-credit enrollment in 1955, 28 percent in 1965, and is expected to be 31 percent by 1975.

The enrollment of men accounted for 61 percent of firsttime degree-ccedit enrollment in 2-year institutions in 1955, 60 percent in 1965, and 59 percent in 1975. Public institutions claimed 84 percent of first-time degree-credit enrollment in 2-year institutions in $\frac{7955, ~}{87}$ percent in 1965, and a projected 88 percent in 1975.8
$8_{U . S}$. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office of Education, Projections of Educational Statistics to 1975-76 (Washington: U.S. Govt. Printing Office, 1966), p: 3.

Indiana naturally does not fare well by comparison with national trends in the development of two-year institutions. Indiana has oniy one strictly two-year institution. In 1967 Indiana institutions awarded 646 associate in arts degrees (a two-year degree), or about 4 percent of the number of bachelor's degrees awarded in the state that year. However, Indiana's structure of higher ducation is not easily compared with that of other states. If enrollment on the 12 regional campuses of the state universities is examined, Indiana, in fact, has a high percentage of total enrollment in such institutions. ${ }^{9}$

The 1968 freshman student census indicated that regional campuses enrolled 41.2 percent of the Indiana freshmen enrolled in Indiana public institutions. When both public and private institutions are considered, the figure for Indiana freshmen in Indiana institutions enrolled in regional campuses becomes 32.7 percent. If total enroliment in Indiana institutions, including both Indiana residents and out-of-state students, is considered, the regional campuses still accounted for 26.5 percent of the freshman class in 1968. In addition, if the enrollment in Vincennes University and the Eastern Indiana Center at Earlham is added to the regional campus enrollment, freshman enrollment in these institutions constitute approximately 31 percent of total freshman enrollment in the state's institutions of higher education. This latter figure can be compared with the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare's estimate of 28 percent of firsttime degree credit enrollment in two-year institutions in 1965. In this regard, Indiana's 31 percent is not out of 1 ine with the national average (at least to the exient that the figures can be compared).
${ }^{9}$ The regional campuses, of course, are not solely two-year institutions, and the freshman enrollment is not entirely degree-credit enrollment that can be compared to the statistics of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare presented above.

Obviously the comparison is not exact, for most of the Indiana freshmen in regional campuses and similar institutions are not enrolled in a two-year degree program. Nonetheless, the regional campuses are Indiana's alternate to two-year institutions, and they (and Vincennes University) did involve a large share of Indiana freshmen in 1968. This comparison does not include the enrollment in IVTC, which is another alternative to two-year inctitutions. To say that Indiana has a small portion of its students in two-year institutions and to project enrollments based on national averages (perhaps 31 percent by 1975) would be meaningless. In fact, since Indiana's educational structure is unique, projections involving additions to the system must also be unique. For that reason they must be somewhat less precise than if the figures could de based on statistics from a comparable state.

This difficulty in applying statistics from other states' junior college systems was pointed out. in a recent study. Wide diversity was found between what attracted students to 79 junior colleges in different geographical regions. The percentage of respondents checking various attractions at their college varied as follows:

| Intellectual atmosphere | $25-70 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Social opportunities | $16-66$ |
| Special curriculum | $21-72$ |
| Low cost | $13-68$ |
| Close to home | $5-71$ |

The author concludes:

It appears that even two-year colleges differ from each other to such a large degree and on so many characteristics that extreme caution should be employed in generalizing from one junior college to the next. Indeed, if educational research is expected to play an important role in junior college planning and policy-making, it appears necessary that provision be made to conduct such research in the local setting. ${ }^{10}$

10
Donald P. Hoyt, "Description and Prediction of Diversity among Junior Colleges," Personnel and Guidance Journal, Vol. 46 (June, 1968) p. 1,002.

It would appear that an important characteristic of two-year institutions is that they offer commuter-type education for those who cannot afford to attend a residential college or do not choose to do so. To the extent that ability to commute is the reason that two-year colleges are chosen by a large portion of students throughout the country, Indiana's regional campuses do, in fact, offer a sinilar alternative. Differences between student enrollment in extension centers of universities or in junior colleges are more likely affected by student cost and admission standards than by degrees offered. Although Indiana's regional campuses vary extremely from the idealized no-cost, "open door" junior colleges, so do most of the two-year institutions in other states; awarding more two-year degrees will not change these diffexences.

The regional canpuses obviously serve as commuter colleges, since residential facilities are not available. Further evidence that students view the regional campuses much as they would 2 two-year college comes from replies to the Indiana University regional campus questionnaire reported in Appendix E. This study found that 38 percent of the students planned to complete their degrees at a state university; these students thus seem similar to transfer students at junior colleges.

The Indiana sysiem of public education should be examined in relation to other alternairives acceptable to students and in relation to the costs of lowering standards, reducing student costs, offering more programs or degrees, or building more campuses. The success of junior colleges in other states, although an important indication of student demand, do not necessarily indicate that these same enrollment demands cannot be satisfied by other systams.

As a study of higher education in Kentucky noted:

Uniformity is the enemy of the human spirit; diversity is the strength of Americar higher education. There is no compelling reason at all why all public two-year colleges should be regimented under a centralized administration . . . . It is not unconmon for a state to have two or more distinct "systems" of public two-year colleges. Ohic, for example, has numerous two-year branches of its several state universities, and also two locally-brsed large two-year colleges located respectively in Cuyahoga and Lorain Counties (cities of Cleveland and Elyria). A statute authorizes local support and control of this latter type, and sets up a statewide Community College Board to provide encouragement and leadership at the state level.

Pennsylvania has long had numerous local two-year branches of the Pennsylvania State University, and now has also two large locally-based two-year colleges located respectively at Harrisburg and Philadelphia, under enabling statutes somewiat similar to the Ohfo community college law.

The reccmmendations of this report envision for Kentucky at least three types of local public two-year colleges . . . . It is fortunate that the whole pattern is multifold and diverse. There is no evidence that any advantage, either academic or financial, would be derived by reducing it to a uniformity that is impracticable on its face, that would minimize the range of choice, and that no one wants. ${ }^{11}$

In many states, unless strictly prohibited by a state system, two-year institutions were expanded to four-year institutions when enrollments increased and local students demand was evidenced. This has been true of Indiana's regional campuses. In the major metropolitan areas the enrollment demand is heavy, and four-year programs are being added rapidly. The regional campuses can be expected to follow this same trend in the future, since this growth is favored by the universities and the state legislature. This, of course, will mean that the state will have a decreasing enrollment

[^21]in "two-year institutions" unless new ones are formed. In fact, given adequate budget support, new institutions might be formed where sufficient enrollment demand is evident. This study will examine local enrollment demand and make some estimate or potential enrollment if such institutions are developed.

## REGIONAL EDUCATION--THE LOCAL MARKET

The state universities draw cheir student bodies from throughout the state as well as from many other states. The residences of undergraduates are very diverse, with 16.4 percent of the total $196 \%-68$ undergraduates in the four state universities coming from out of state and 62.2 percent coming from Indiana counties other than the county in which the university is located or adjacent counties. Nonetheless, a large portion of local youths choose a nearby public university, and almost 13 percent of the undergraduates of the state universitiles come from the same county.

Statistics on total enrollment blur the local impact of these universities. Table 26 shows the percentage of total undergraduates from a county who attend the public institution in their own county. About 80 percent of the undergraduates from the county who attend any institution in Indiana attend the state university in their own county. (The percentage is highest for Monroe County, with 89.6 percent of the undergraduates from Monroe County attending Indiana University.) In addition, an average of 44 percent of the students from counties adjacent to state universities attend the universities in the adjacent counties. This percentage is especially high for Indiana State University students; 71 percent of the Indiana undergraduates come from counties adjacent to Vigo County to attend Indiana State University.

TABLE 26
Percentage of College Students from County Attending Public Institutions in Same or Adjacent County, Undergraduates 1967-68

|  | Students from County in Which <br> Institution Is Located | Students from Counties Adjacent to Institution Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regional campuses |  |  |
| Fort Wayne, I.U. and Purdue | 54.7\% | 22.1\% |
| Southeast, I.U. | 45.1* | 16.7 |
| Lake County, I.U. and Purdue | 34.8 | 10.5 |
| North Central, I.U. | 34.8 | 6.4 |
| South Bend, I.U. | 34.3 | 7.8 |
| Eastern Indiana Center, Earlham | 26.3 | 7.0 |
| Indianapolis, I.U. and Parduet | 22.5 | 15.4 |
| Northwest, Purdue | 17.3 | 1.5 |
| Evansville, I.S.ï. | 14.9 | 8.3 |
| Weighted average ${ }^{++}$ | 31.6\% | 10.6\% |
| State universities |  |  |
| Indiana University | 89.6 | 30.7 |
| Indiana State University | 81.2 | 71.1 |
| Ball State University | 75.8 | 40.6 |
| Purdue University | 70.8 | 32.6 |
| Weighted average ${ }^{++}$ | 79.4\% | 43.8\% |
| Other |  |  |
| Vincennes University | 52.7\% | 12.6\% |
| *Includes Clark and Floyd counties. |  |  |
| sity; percentage of Marion County students attending public institutions in Marion County would increase to 26 percent if this division were included. |  |  |
| $+_{\text {Average of }}$ institutional avera | es. |  |

A large percentage of Indiana youths who stay within the state for college attend the nearest public university. The regional campuses of the universities, however, show a different type of local market. As Table 20 indicates, 66 percent of the regional campus undergraduate enrollment comes from the same county. Almost 80 percent of the total undergraduate students come from the same or an adjacent county, and only 14 percent come from the rest of the state. Out-of-state enrollment is 6.5 percent of the total, primarily because most of the regional campuses are located in border counties.

The regional campuses, then, primarily serve a local market. However, as Table 26 also indicates, a smaller percentage of the cotal undergraduates from these counties attend the regional campus in their own county than do students in counties with a state university. For all regional campuses, an average of 31.6 percent undergraduates from a county with a regional campus attended that regional campus in the $1967-68$ school year. Fort Wayne was especially high, with almost 55 percent of Allen County undergraduates attending either the Purdue University or the Indiana Univexsity regional campus in Fort Wayne. An average of only 10.6 percent of the undergraduates from adjacent counties attend the adjacent regional campus. Again, the Fort Wayne regional campus was high with 22 percent.

Vincennes University, the only two-year college in the state, has 52.7 percent of the undergraduates from Knox County and 12.6 percent of the undergraduates from adjacent counties. Unfortunately, Vincennes University cannot be considered typical and therefore be used for predicting the local impact of two-year institutions that might be located in the state in the future. It is the only such institution in Indiana and therefore attracts students from a larger area than might be projected for a statewide system of two-year institutions.

Instead, we will use the statistics in Tables 20 and 26 to estimate the possible composition of the student body in public institutions in the future. That is, we see that regional campuses attract a student body primarily from the same and adjacent counties. Therefore, as more public institutions are added, we would expect enrollment in these new institutions to come primarily from the local area. A large portion of county undergraduates will attenc the nearest institutiong with the highest percentage attending institutions with large program offerings. The percentage of youths who can fill their needs locally will be highest for the state universities, then for the regional campuses with four-year degrees, and then for the two-year institutions or institutions with limited offerings (such as vocational schools). Likewise, the percentage of Indiana undergraduates who will be attracted from counties other than the same or adjacent counties will be highest for the state universities and lasest for schools with limited offerings.

For example, we estimated the enrollments in the regional campuses under the present system (no additional public institutions except vocational) by dividing the regional campuses into two categories. Three regional campuses are expected to offer extensive programs and to begin to attract local youths as do the state universicies. These three campuses, which will be located In the three largest counties in 1985 (Marion, Lake, and Allen), are assumed to enroll 70 percent of the county undergraduates, $25-40$ percent of the underg.aduates from adjacent counties, and 20 percent additional enrollment from outside the local area. The other regional campuses, which will all be offering a number of four-year degrees by 1985, will be composed of 50 percent of the county undergraduates, $15-25$ percent of the undergraduates from adjacent counties, and an additional 20 percent enrollment from outside the local area.

On the other hand, one of our projections assumes that 20 two-year institutions might be located in specific counties throughout the state. Because of the extensive institutional alternatives, no enrollment is assumed to come from outside the county and adfacent counties. In counties without another public institution, however, enrollment in the two-year institutions is assumed to be 35 percent of the undergraduates who would have been enrolled somewhere in Indiana under the present system plus an increase of 20 percent in the undergr :uates from the county because of the local presence of the institution and its lower admission standards. The methodology of our projections will be discussed in a following section. Several different systems are assumed for speculative purposes, but all the projections are based on the size of the local market and the extent to which the program offerings of a particular type of institution will be sufficient to attract a large portion of this market. In order to develop projections, similar institutions must be considered comparable in their ability to serve local needs. Differences in local demand for education are taken into account by projecting the 1967 county portion of total state demand.

Because the regional campuses in their present form are a relatively new part of the public system of higher education and appear to be the target for extensive growth in the future, a projection of past enrollment trends would not offer as reasonable a prediction as would a look at the local market. However, Table 27 gives an indication of the growth in attendance at regional campuses from the counties with a regional campus.

These data are taken from the 1960 and 1968 student censuses and indicate the percentage of freshmen from each county attending a regional campus as opposed to attending a main campus or private insritution within the state.

TABLE 27
Percentage Attendance at Regional Campus in Counties with Regional Campus

| Area | \% Freshmen Attending Regional Campus* |  | Freshman Participation Rate-Rank+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1960 | 1968 | 1960 | 1968 |
| Total state | 24.9\% | 33.4\% |  |  |
| A17en County | 50.4 | 65.5 | 9 | 2 |
| Howard Sounty | 43.3 | 58.7 | 3 | 4 |
| Clark County | 62.5 | 72.1 | 68 | 22 |
| Floyd County | 62.8 | 64.2 | 18 | 15 |
| Vanderburgh County | 1.1 | 30.9 | 19 | 21 |
| Marion County | 33.8 | 43.9 | 1 | 3 |
| St. Joseph County | 38.8 | 46.9 | 8 | 10 |
| Lake County | 56.4 | 52.7 | 5 | 18 |
| La Porte County | 29.8 | 46.3 | 26 | 29 |

*Fercentage of county freshmen in Indiana attending regional campuses versus a private institution or state university in Indiana.

+ The participation rate is the ratio of Indiana freshmen from one county in Indiana institutions to estimated high school graduates from that county. Rank indicates the rank among 92 counties of the state, and number one represents the highest participation.

SOURCE: Report of the 1968 Freshman Student Census, Table X (see Appendix A).

The percentage of county freshmen who attend a regional campus has increased in all counties with a regional campus except Iake County. The Evansville regional campus, started in 1967 by Indiana State University, had enrolled almost 31 percent of the county freshmen by 1968. Understandably, Vander:burgh County, with the Evansville campus in operation for only one year, had the lowest percentage of regional campus enrollment, and it was the only county of the nine counties that had a rate lower than the state average of 33.4 percent. Clark and Floyd counties, representing Indiana University Southeast, were cspecially high, with 72 percent and 64 percent of the county freshmen enrolled at a regional campus. Most of the counties have improved theic ranasaccording to freshman to high school graduates since 1960.

## POSSIBLE LOCATIONS FOR ADDITIONAL PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS

In order to forecast the impact of regional demand on undergraduate enrollment, some assumptions must be made about the future system of higher educational institutions in Indiana. We have seen the pattern of enrollment by local students in local campuses. However, local enrollment depends on the type of local institution, tuition, costs, admission standards, and many other factors. Although we will not vary all of these characteristics, we will attempt some estimates of the impact of additional public institutions on the present system.

In order to make such an estimate, however, we must also estimate the number and location of possible future institutions. New academic institutions presumably wili be proposed as a means of serving the demand for local education because the quality, diversity, and quantity of institutions in the state are by any other standard quite ample. Therefore, since the
institutions will be organized to serve local needs, the enrollment impact will depend on the specific locations, number, and offerings of the new public institutions.

Furthermore, in order for new institutions to offer even moderately extensive program options and maintain reasonable quality and cost standards, a certain minimum number of potential students must be achieved. Although we will not explicitly determine this minimum, we will examine only large population centers as potential locations because of this restriction. In fact, the enrollment projections might indicate that some of these locations lack sufficient potential for enrollment.

Private institutions are not included in this discussion because it is assumed that, although several of Indiana's private institutions of higher education serve a large local market, the institutions to be established in the future will undoubtedly be public. Private institutions are taken into consideration in estimating a community's potential to support an additional institution and in estimating the size of local enrollment, but no new private institutions are hypothesized.

It should be stressed that this discussion is presented for the purpose of estimating potential enrollment. Specific locations must be assumed; they will be decermined on a logical basis in order to assure the most realistic forecasts possible. Nonetheless, the list of potential locations for public institutions should not be taken as recommended locations nor should any priorities be implied. In fact, additional institutions in any location should not be recommended without considering the azternative educational policies and their implications. For example, increases in the number of institutions necessarily affect the resources available for the expansion of the existing institutions.

Because the primary market for enrollment in institutions geared to the local market is the city, we began our search for potential locations by examining the 25 Indiana cities with over 20,000 population (see Table 15). As indicated previously, only one of these cities is over 30 miles commuting distance (but not more than 40 miles ) from a public university or regional campus. However, since city residents do not constitute the entire market, we also examined all counties with over 40,000 population (see Figure 3). In addition, we checked the counties with 1,000 or more residents who were undergraduates in Indiana institutions in 1967-68. A11 counties with 1,000 undergraduates $\mathfrak{f l f i l l}$ the other two population criteria. Further, the locations chosen include all counties with over 3,000 students in grades 9-12 in 1967-68 (Table 29), and these counties have the population base and the age group population necessary to provide a rarket for higher education. ${ }^{12}$ (Table 29
lists the 20 indicated locations for additional public institutions of higher education and summarizes the criteria used to select these locations for purposes of estimating enrollment effects of alternate structures of higher education in Indiana.)

Lake and Marion counties included 25.7 percent of the 1967 total state population, and almost 31 percent of the state's population lived in Lake, Marion, or Allen counties. ${ }^{13}$ All three counties are served by regional campuses of both Indiana University and Purdue University. The programs of these regional campuses are extensive and expanding, and we assume that the

[^22]TABLE 28
Indiana Counties with Over 2,000 High School Students in Grades 9-12 in 1967-68

| County (Kanked by Enrollment) | High School Enroliment | County Popu- <br> 1ation 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marion | 43,910 | 778,580 |
| Lake | 27,325 | 560,530 |
| St. Joseph | 15,810 | 252,875 |
| Allen | 14,457 | 257,382 |
| Vanderburgh | 10,008 | 175,236 |
| Madiscn | 8,970 | 136,815 |
| Delaware | 8,169 | 122,042 |
| Elkhart | 8,004 | 119,041 |
| LaPorte | 7,482 | 103,816 |
| Vigo | 6, 400 | 109,648 |
| Howard | 5,509 | 78,069 |
| Porter | 5,474 | 76,611 |
| Tippecanoe | 5,236 | 99,686 |
| Wayne | 5,208 | $76-08$ |
| Grant | 4,896 | 83,459 |
| Clark | 4,627 | 69,932 |
| Johnson | 3,852 | 57,254 |
| Monroe | 3,802 | 65,192 |
| Bartholomew | 3,796 | -5,218 |
| Floyd | 3,640 | 54,369 |
| Hamilton | 3,527 | 47.827 |
| Hendricks | 3,472 | 53,609 |
| Kosciusko | 3,464 | 44,716 |
| Henry | 3,421 | 49,902 |
| Morgan | 2,907 | 40,544 |
| Marshall | 2,891 | 33,463 |
| Miami | 2,866 | 41,102 |
| Cass | 2,673 | 41,:89 |
| Lawrence | 2,630 | 36,947 |
| Shelby | 2,478 | 37,204 |
| Knox | 2,397 | 40,133 |
| Wabash | 2,365 | 34,540 |
| Jackson | 2,311 | 31, : 53 |
| Montgomery | ?,281 | 33,630 |
| Clint $n$ | 2,236 | 30,729 |
| Huntington | 2,159 | 34,950 |
| Hancock | 2,147 | 30,354 |
| De Kalb | 2,145 | 29,172 |
| Dubois | 2,060 | 29,095 |
| Gibson | 2,032 | 29,081 |
| Reirdolph | 2,030 | 28,681 |
| Noble | 2,019 | 29,616 |
| Adsms | 2,009 | 25,253 |

GOJRCE: Report of Statistical Information for Indiana S chool Corporations School Year 1967-68. (Indianapolis: Divisions of School Finance, Educational Information and Researcin, State Superintendent of Public Instruction).

TABLE 29

> Possible Locations for Two-Year Institutions of Higher Education in Indiana and Criteria Used to Establish List
A. Cities with a Public Institution in 1969 (Main or Regional Campus)

Criteria: city wi h population of 40,000 or over; over 600 undergraduates from county attended out of courity in 1967-68; present public institution in county
(1) Cities (and their counties) with IVTC school (or DGTS) in 1968

Indianapolis (Marion) -- IVTC
South Bend-Mishawaka (St. Joseph) -- IVTC
Evansville (Vanderburgh) -- IVTC
Mich*gan City (LaPorte) -- IVTC
Kokomo (Howard) -- IVTC
Richmond (Wayne) -- rivis
Lafayette (Tippecanoe) … IVIC
Fort Wayne (Allen) -- DGTS, Indiana University
(2) Cities (and their county) with no IVTC school in 1968

Gary-Hammond-East Chicago (Lake)
B. Cities with No Public Insitution in 1969

Criteria: city with popilation 20,000 or over; or county with over 1,000 undergraduates in 1967-68; or county wi.th population 40,000 or over; all with over 3,000 1967-68 high school students; at least 600 undergraduates not attending locel private institutions; no present public institution
(1) Cities (and their counties) with IVTC school in 1968

Columbus (Bartholomew) -- IVTC
(2) Cities (and their counties) with no IVTC school in 1968

Anderson (Madison)
Elkhart (Elkhart)
Marion (Grant)
Logansport (Cass)
New Castle (Henry)
Valparaiso (Porter)
Warsaw (Kosciusko)
Noblesville (Hamilton)
Plainfield (Hendricks)
Franklin: (Johnson)
institutions' combined sizes and offerings in each county will have expanded significantly by 1985. It may be that by 1985 each county wiil have developed an independent public university out of the various regional campuses. Despite the existence of such institutions, however, we assume that the counties and the surrounding metropolitan areas could also suppert a two-year institution of higher educ tion and vocational studies, which would be combined partly ar entirely with the two-year institution.

Other cities with a population of over 40,000 are also assumed to have the necessary student potential to support a two-year institution, even if a different type of public institution of higher education is located in the city. One restriction to this assumpticn, however, is that at least 600 of the college undergraduates from a county in 1967-68 must have attended college in Indianq outside that county. This criterion exrludes, for example, the counties containing Bloomington, Muncie, and Terre Haute, and is a measure of the potential stident budy not already attending either public or private institutions within the county.

There are nine counties that meet the necessary size criteria and that had public institutions of higher education in 1969. Seven of these counties had an operating IVIC school as well as a public campus. Ailen County was served by the Indiana University Division of General and Technical Studies, which alsn offers vocational training. In addition, IVTC courses were offered in Lake County, and plans were made for expansion. These counties, then, might support a two-year college that provided programs and offerings different from those found at the already existing academic institutions. New public institutions would possibly result from expanding or combining present vocational ofierings. However, the large countisis probably could provide
adequate student suppurt for a four-year regional campus, a two-year college including some vocational and technical training, and specialized vocational schools as well.

A final comment should be made about the cities ir this group of nine counties. South Bend-Mishawaka in St. Joseph County and Gary-Hammond-East Chicago in Lake County are counted only once as a potential loca:ion although each of the cities mentioned is large (only Mishawaka, with a 1967 population of 35,600 , has less thar: 40,000 residents). In both counties, the cities mentioned are located very close to each other and form a common market. Lake County encloses an urban band that includes not only Gary-Hammond-East Chicago but several other corporate boundaries. As our projections are necessarily based on county statistics, we assume that only one irstitution of any one type would be located within a county. As we will see in System 5 (which assumes the addition of 20 two-yedr public institutions in the state) Lake County has a much higher projected enrollment than any county except Marion. No doubt, if the smaller campuses are feasible from a cost standpoint, Lake and Marion counties could each support more than one two-year institution; such instic+ions could be geographically separated for maximum student support. Presumably, institutions of different types (for example, a regional campus and a two-year institution) would also be geographically separated within the urban bands in order to expand student opportunity as much as possible.

Table 29 also lists 11 cities in counties that might support a twoyear institution. Since this second group of counties now has no public institution, either a regional campus or a separate institution could be added. In developing the list of 11 counties, we again considered only counties with at least 600 undergraduates in 1967-68 enrolled outside the
county; that is, not enrolled in private institutions in the county. These locations were chosen either because the county population was over 40,000 or included a city over 20,000. Of this group, only Columbus in Bartholomew County had an IVTC facility in 1968. All 11 counties had over 3,000 high school students (grades 9-12) in 1967.

The counties with populations over 40,000 but with no city over 20,000 present a special sieuation. For example, in 1967 Porter County had two cities near 20,000 (Portage with 19,300 and Valparaiso with 17,500 ). The county, with 1,498 undergraduates in $1967-68$, is a potential market; in addition, the county demonstrated a high rate of college participation among the 18-2l-year-old group. Kosciusko Counity had 877 undergraduates in 1967-68, with more than 600 attending schools out of the county; howsver, its largest city (Warsaw) had a 1967 population of only 8,400. Hemilton, Hendricks, and Johnson counties all had nearly 1,000 undergraduates $\ln$ 1967-68. Their major cities, Noblesville ( 8,500 ), Plainfield $(6,500)$, and Frankin $(11,800)$, respectively, are all located a short distance from Indianapolis sn major highways, and all of these counties are part of the Indianapolis SMSA. This lack of major population center, along wich proximity and dependence upon the Indianapolis econor $\cdot$, presents a problem even for a hypothetical educational system. However, a major metropolitan area such as the Indianapolis SMSA can probably support several geographically dispersed two-year colleges, and we will assume that these three counties (in addition to Indianapolis) will be appropriate locations for schools in the SMSA. ${ }^{14}$
${ }^{14}$ The importance of the multicampus junior college in a metropolitan area is apparent ia Chicago. With eight campuses of the junior college system in operation in 1964, it was reported that "recent enrollment studies . . . show clearly that even with present pressures on city residents for more education, Effective accessibility of the Chicago City Junior College to sity residents depends heavily upon physical proximity of the campuses." "Multi-Campus, Chicago," Ja:ior College Journal, Vol. 35 (October, 1964).
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The 20 possible locations for new two-year institutions are shown in Figure 4. Just as the state's population is concentrated in certain areas (see Figure 3), so are the public institutions of higher education in this hypothetical system. New hypothetical insiitutions aie especiaily concertrated in the northern counties and around Maricn and Delaware counties. As a result of this geographical concentration, the new institutions might begin to draw some of the enrollment that would have otherwise gone to a nearby campus. Each new campus also has its unique local market, and actual location of a campus would have to be determined by an analysis of the rost feasibility of serving a particular seze of local market. However, since the two-year public institutions have enrollment drawing power from a relatively limited area, two-year institutions in sparsely populated areas probably would not be feasible from a cost standpoint, considering the alternatives presently available to students.

Further examination of this hypothetical structure of higher eaucation (Figure 4) suggests that very few youths would be geographically isolated from higher eáucation opportunities. In fact, as already discussed, a large portion of the population in 1969 was "orated within cornuting distance of a public campus. With the addition of 20 two-year institut ions, 26 counties hould have a public institution of higher education (not includjag vocational schools), and these 26 counties incorporate 71 percent of the 1967 state population. With the new institutions, only 22 counties in the state would not be located adjacent. to a county with a two-year inscitution or have such an institution within their boundaries. Of these 22,7 are situated adjacent to a state unjversity, and another 4 are adjacent to regional
campuses. Of the remaining 11, Steuben County, in which Tri-State College is iocated, is by itself in the northeast corner of the state. The other remaining ten counties are bunched in two groups. Franklin, Dearborn, Ohio, Switzerland, and Ripley counties, located near Cincinnati, are not located adjacent to a public academic institution. Orange, Crawford, Perry, Spencer, and Dubois counties, located near the southern border, do not touch any county with a public institution of higher education. The 1967 population of 91,400 for the last five counties might suggest another area market for a public institution of higher education. (If enrollment in postsecondary vocational studies were 10 percent of the 18-21 age group, as estimate: For the state by 1985, these counties would have 758 students for one or more vocational schools by 1985.) However, there were only a total of 1,517 ..ndergraduates from these five counties in the 1967-68 school year.

It is obvious that 1985 county populations may vary significantly from the pressent populations. The plans for future locations that are basea on population density should recognize that some counties are gainiry in population and some are losing. However, to some extent, this problem is minimized because of the large number of counties already containing public institutions. If population trends change significantly, the increased enrollment that may ensue will result in the expansion of nearby campuses, and additional campuses will.not necessarily be required. Tables 30 and 31 present some detailed estimates of the 1985 Indiana population, which are based on a compreh, zsive population forecast done by Indiana University's Bureau of Business Research in 1966. Table 30 shows 32 Indiana counties that are projucted to have a popula-
tion of over 40,000 by 1985. ${ }^{15}$
The only county with a public institution of higher education that is not expected to have a population of over 40,000 by 1985 is Knox County, where Vincennes University is located (arcording to Series C projections, Knox County population in 1985 would be 32,965 , declining from 40,133 in 1965).

On the other hand, seven of the counties with a population of over 40,000 are not assumed to have a public institution by 1985. Of these seven counties (Hancock, Huntington, Miami, Montgomery, Morgan, Shelby, and Wabash), only Miami and Morgan counties had a population greater than 40,000 in 1965, ard all are among the lowest population group sl.own in Table 30. The only one of these seven counties estimated to have a population greater than 55,000 by 1985 is Morgan County, where a large portion of the students attend Indiana University in adjacent Monroe County. (Under the assumption of 20 new two year colleges, in 1985 Morgan County will also be adjacent to the two-year colleges in Hendricks and Johnson counties and the regional univeraity in Marion County.) All except Wabash were adjacent to a county with a state university or regional. campus in 1969.

It would appear from Table 30 that no major change in order ranking of popliation is projecred between 1965 and 1985. Those counties that
${ }^{15}$ The profections yere developed in terms of three different sets of assumptions (Series A, B, and C). For each county, the researchers presented a judgment: of the "most appropriate series" or a range between two. Since Table 29 lists the most appropriate serifs profection or the largest estimate of a range, no comparison with a single projection for state population is appropriate. See Bureau of Business Research, Graduate School of Business, Indiana University, Indiana Population Projections 1965-1985 (Research Report: Nu. 3; Bloomington, Ind.: September, 1966). The series assumption: are as follows: Series A-sslightly declining mortality to 1985, a substantial drop in fertility to 1985, no net migration. Series B--slightly declining mortality to 1985, - slight decline in fertility to 1985, 1950-60 net migration rates w:. 11 continue to 1985 . Series C--slightly declining mortality to 1985 , a substantial drop fn fertility to 1985, 1950-60 net migration rates will continue to 1985 .
gain in rank order by this projection method (for example, Porter, Vigo, Hendricks, and Johnson), however, are typicaily those in metropolitan areas. Table 31 gives more detail about these SMSA's.

Table 31 presents the 11 SMSA'; identified by the U.S. Bureau of the Budget in 1969 and the 5 anditional counties that may qualify by .2985 if their central cities obtain a population of over 50,000 . For example, Elkhart County, one of the potential SMSA's, may become the sixth largest in the state by 1985 , with a rapid population growth of 65.6 percent during the 1965-85 period. The Indianapolis SMSA, which includes eight counties, is projected to show the highest rate of increase in population (almost 80 percent) during these years. If Elkhart (Elkhart County) and Michigan City (LaPorte County) become SMSA's, the six-county northern urban band that also includes the Gary-Hammond-East Chicago SMSA and the South Bend SMSA would have a 1985 ponulation of $1,681,000$, as compared to $1,922,000$ for the Indianapolis SMSA. Total 1985 population in the state's SMSA's by 1985 could be as high as $5,486,000$; total state population estimates range from $6,268,000$ to $7,948,000$.

The metropolitan areas, then, are projected to grow rapidly and to Include a major portion of the state's population. Our projections of enrollment in regicnal institutions of higher education are based on an assumption that the same percentage os total ndergraduates will come from each county in 1985 as did in 1967. This could lead to underestimating the enrollment impact in the rapidly growing metropolitan counties, but the fact that these counties also represented a major portion of the 1967 population means that the increase in percentage of total students from metropolitan areas should not be large. The three counties with the largest

32 Indiana Counties over 40,000 Population in 1985 by Most Appropriate Projection Series*

| Counties | Pop. | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allen | 402,337 | 3 |
| Bartholomew | 97,189 | 19 |
| Cass | 44.624 | 29 |
| Clark | 107,044 | 17 |
| Delaware | 174,273 | 10 |
| Elkhart | 197,160 | 7 |
| Floyd | 67,226 | 23 |
| Grant | 124,564 | 15 |
| Hamilton | 99,553 | 18 |
| Hancock | 53,383 | 28 |
| Hendricks | 170,099 | 11 |
| Henry | 54,523 | 26 |
| Howard | 124,667 | 14 |
| Huntington | 41,445 | 32 |
| Johnson | 180,718 | 9 |
| Kosciusko | 66,685 | 24 |
| Lake | 753,742 | 2 |
| LaPorte | 147,891 | 13 |
| Madison | 18:,661 | 6 |
| Marion | 1,244,041 | 1 |
| Miami | 55,005 | 25 |
| Monroe | 93,022 | 20 |
| Montgomery | 42,460 | 30 |
| Morgan | 84,744 | 22 |
| Porter | 211,409 | 6 |
| St. Joseph | 331,604 | 4 |
| Shelby | 53,865 | 27 |
| Tippecanoe | 156,111 | 12 |
| Vanderburgh | 212,609 | 5 |
| Vigo | 112,860 | 16 |
| Wabash | 42,189 | 31 |
| Wayne | 86,772 | 21 |
| Total | 5,823,115 |  |


| 1975 |  | 1965 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pop. | Rank | Pop. | Rank |
| 317,742 | 3 | 257,382 | 3 |
| 72,529 | 20 | 55,2.18 | 19 |
| 42,810 | 28 | 41,489 | 25 |
| 86,525 | 17 | 69,932 | 16 |
| 146,226 | 8 | 122,042 | 7 |
| 151,451 | 7 | 119,041 | 8 |
| 60,262 | 22 | 54,369 | 20 |
| 100.888 | 13 | 83,459 | 12 |
| 68,217 | 21 | 47,827 | 23 |
| 39,736 | 29 | 30,354 | 32 |
| 93,964 | 16 | 53,609 | 21 |
| 52,125 | 25 | 49,902 | 22 |
| 97,866 | 15 | 78,069 | 13 |
| 37,734 | 31 | 34,950 | 29 |
| 99,763 | 14 | 57,254 | 18 |
| 54,523 | 24 | 44,716 | 24 |
| 648,243 | 2 | 560,530 | 2 |
| 123,116 | 11 | 103,816 | 10 |
| 161,286 | 6 | 136,815 | 6 |
| 973,424 | 1 | 778,580 | 1 |
| 47,319 | 26 | 41,102 | 26 |
| 78,963 | 19 | 65,192 | 17 |
| 37,410 | 32 | 33,636 | 31 |
| 58,021 | 23 | 40, 544 | $2 \%$ |
| 125,039 | 10 | 76,611 | 14 |
| 287,393 | 4 | 252,875 | 4 |
| 44,297 | 27 | 37,204 | 28 |
| 125,632 | 9 | 99,686 | 11 |
| 192,602 | 5 | 175,236 | 5 |
| 131,122 | 12 | 109,648 | 9 |
| 38,248 | 30 | 34,540 | 30 |
| 80,914 | 18 | 76,008 | 15 |
| 655,372 |  | 3,821,630 |  |

*"Most appropriate series" is derived from a qualitative judgment of the researchers regarding the most reasonable of three quantitative projections for each county. Where a range was referred iu as "most appropriate," this table lists the highest figure in the range. Comparison with state totals by each set of assumptions is not appropriate.

SOURCE: Bureau of Business Research, Graduate School of Business, Indiana University, Indiana Population Projections 1965-85. (Research Report. No. 3; Bloomington, Ind: : September, 1966).

TABLE 31
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas in Indiana, 1965 and 1985 Population


TABLE 31 (cont'd.)

| SMSA | Population* |  | $\begin{gathered} 1965-85 \\ \% \text { Increase } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% 1967 Indians Undergraduates from County ${ }^{+}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anderson |  |  |  |  |
| Madison | 136.8 | 190.0 | 38.6\% | 1.69\% |
| Muncie |  |  |  |  |
| Delaware | 122.0 | 174.3 | 42.8 | 1.70 |
| Terre Haute |  |  |  | \% |
| Clay | 24.0 | 22.7 | -5.4 | . 41 |
| Sullivan | 20.7 | 17.1 | -17.0 | . 30 |
| Vermillion | 16.7 | 13.0 | -21.8 | . 26 |
| Vigo | 109.4 | 112.9 | 2.9 | 2.09 |
| Total | 171.0 | 165.8 | -3.1 | 3.06 |
| Lafayette |  |  |  |  |
| Tippecanoe | 99.7 | 156.1 | 56.6 | 1.61 |
| Michigan City ++ |  |  |  |  |
| Kokomo ++ |  |  |  |  |
| Howard | 78.1 | 124.7 | 59.7 | 1.07 |
| Marion ++ |  |  |  |  |
| Grant | 83.5 | 124.6 | 49.3 | . 98 |
| Richmond + |  |  |  |  |
| Union | 6.4 | 6.3 | -0.6 | . 07 |
| Wayne | 76.0 | 86.8 | 14.2 | 1.02 |
| Total | 82.4 | 93.1 | 13.0 | 1.09 |

Fartial SMSA's (Indiana counties only)
Louisville

| Clark | 69.9 | 107.0 | 53.1 | .84 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Floyd | 54.4 | 67.2 | 23.6 | .60 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 124.3 | 174.3 | 40.2 | 1.44 |

Cincinnati Dearborn

$$
.24
$$

Total SMSA's

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
30.4 & 37.7 \\
3,605.7 & 5,485.9 \\
& \text { (continued) }
\end{array}
$$

$$
24.1
$$

$$
52.1
$$

$$
53.08
$$

TABLE 31
(cont'd.)
*Population is projected by the Bureau of Bisiness Research, Indiana University. "Most appropxiace series" or highest estimate in an appropriate range is reported here for each county and cannot be compared with total state estima乞es.

+ Undergraduates from county as a percent of toral undergraduates in Indiana institutions of higher education, 1967-68. See Appendix G. A total of 72 percent of the 1967-68 undergraduates were from Indiana counties.
++ Potential SMSA areas, as estimated by "Survey of Current Buying Power," Sales Management (June 10, 1967). Areas are assumed to have a potential because the central city will attain a population of more than 50,000 .
percentage increases (Porter, Hendricks, and Johnson) are all assumed to be potential locations of a two-year institution, and the presence of a new institution is assumed to increase percentage participation in college.

FUTURE SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION IN INDIANA

The easiest prediction about the future is that it will be something like the past. As for the structure of Indiana's system of higher education, we can predict that it is "most likely" that the present structure will be maintained, with growth and shifts occurring within the present framework. This prediction is not unreasonable, for Indiana has a large and diverse group of public and private institutions of higher education, as well as the embryo of a postsecondary vocational training system.

Within this present system, however, two sectors, the Indiana Vocational Technical College and the regional. campuses, are relatively new; their growth and changes are difficult to predict on the basis of past trends. Much of the future of the Indiana Vocational Technical College, begun in 1963, has yet to be determined by policy and budget decisions. In addition, the regional campuses of the state universities are in the process of developing into 4-year degree granting institutions; previously they offered only 2-year transfer courses and a limited number of degrees on some campuses. Through its allocation of funds the 1969 General Assembly also indicated an interest in the development of the regional campuses.

If the present system continues, then, there will nevertheless be significant shifts in the portion of the total system devoted to 4 -year regional campuses and vocational training. Furthermore, these are the institutions that a study of "regional demand for higher education" must be most concerned
with. For this reason, we will make projections for the regional institutions deveioped to serve the regional demands. This means that the projections do not concentrate on enrollments in state universities, but rather that they assume that the state universities enroll those public undergraduates not enrolled in regional institutions.

In the projections, we consider six different types of pubiic institutions. The specific characteristics of each are presented below.

1. State universities ( U )--multiprogram universities offering degrees through the Ph.D.; Includes Indiana University, Purdue University, Ball State University, and Indiana State University.
2. Regional universities ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{u}}$ )--muitiprogram, four-year campuses tha: resemble state universities in their ability to attract youths from surrounding areas; includes regional centers in Indianapolis, Fort Wayne, and Lake counties.
3. Four-year regional campuses ( $R_{f}$ )--campuses with academic offerings less extensive than those of the universities, but with a diversity of degrees including the master's; mey or may not be connected to state universities, but have similar admission standards; includes all present regional campuses of the state universities.
4. Two-year regional campuses ( $R_{t}$ )--academic institutions offering transfer and terminal studies, sponsored by state universities, with same admission standards; in locations not served by any of the above.
5. Two-year institucions ( $T$ )--institutions offering vocational training in addition to transfer and terminal two-year academic programs, with Iower admission standards than the universities; an alternate to two-year regional campuses; may be located in same city as other public academic institutions.
6. Vocational and technical schools (V)--postsecondary vocational training, including Indiana Vocational Techntcal College; may be a supplement to two-year institutions with vocational training.

These types of institutions vary primarily in the size of their undertakings; they also serve different size markets. The definitions are not exhaustive, and no inherent advantages other than specified should be
tmplied. For example, "community service" offerings-moften claimed as the advantage of a special type of institution-might, in fact, be undertaken successfully by any of the institutional types described. Likewise, evening and part-time programs could be undertaken by all.

Jurisdiction over the various campuses would seem to have little effect on the size of the student body attracted, and we have attempted to define the institutional types in such a way that jurisdictional changes would have little effect on the projections. The development of the regional universities in Indianapolis, Fort Wayne, and Lake County is assumed to be the result of a significant increase in program offerings in these locations. Such expansion could represent either the combined efforts of the Purdue University and Indiana University campuses in these locations or those of a single institution. (In fact, plans to unify the campuses of Indiana University and Purdue University in Indianapolis are already well under way.)

The fournyear regional campuses, representing all present regional campuses except the three just mentioned, are also assumed to expand significantly. Whether or not they zre under the jurisdiction of the state universities would seem to make litile difference in totsl student demand unless significant changes were made in tuition or admission standards. In order to make meaningful projections, it must also be assumed that admission standards mould be similar, regardless of jurisdiction. Similarly, the two-year regional campuses in new locations might also be independent, but constant admission standards muat be assumed in order to make consistent projections. If these assumptions prove to be unrealistic the enrollment projections will have to be modified.

It should be noted that the difference between the two-year regional campuses and the two-year institutions is attributed to the inclusion of a portion of vocational studies in the curriculun and the lower admission standards found in the two-year institutions. The tro-year institutions are similar to junior colleges or conmunity colleges, but they are called twoyear institutions in this report so that the content and not the label may be examined. In fact, these institutions might be administered by the state universities, such as Indiana University's Division of General and Technical Studies.

In addition, vocational and technical postsecondary schools differ from the two-year institutions in that they offer no (or limited) academic courses. However, although the two types of two-year institutions appear to be mutually exclusive alternatives, given the limited number of potential locations, it is assumed that vocational schools may coexist with two-year campuses or may satisfy smaller geographic markets that could not support two-year academic institutions.

Obviously, there are some differences in community and student preferences for an additional pablic institution. The various types of institutions also vary in program emphasis and in cost of administration. However, we have attempted to deffne some general types, and we argue that the major differences in enrollment are the result of different policies regarding admission standards, student costs, and size of offerings. ${ }^{16}$ Our hypothetical

16
No doubt some differences in enrollment vould result from various alterante syotems. For example, connection with a major university gives regional campus students the advantage of that university's prestige and helps to ease the transier of credits. On the other hand, one study by Leland L. Medsker showed larger percentages of local students attending junior colleges than "extension centers," presumably because of closer community ties and less tendency to be awed by the stature and detachment of the institution. The sample used in that study, however, was quite small and, as we have seen, it is difficult to generalize from one educational system to another. Leland L. Medsker and James W. Trent, "The Influence of Different Types of Public Higher Institutions on College Attendance from Varying Socioeconomic and Ability Levels ${ }^{11}$ 2rkeley: Center for the Study of Higher Education, University of California, Ci5).
systems include only variations in size of institution and admissicn policies. (The effect of variations in tuition costs are discussed elsewhere in the comprehensive study, although variations in number of institutions in the state relate to nontuition costs of students.) If the admission standards of future institutions vary greatiy from those assumed in our projections, major enrollment changes might result. ${ }^{17}$ This factor should be kept in mind in analyzing our projections.
${ }^{17}$ For example, if the four-year regional campuses had lower standards than the univarsities, they might attract many of the students vhom we assumed would attend the two-year institutions because of theiz lower standards.

Having established potential locations for additional public institutions and defined some general types of institutions that could be added to the public system of higher education, we can now present some projections for undergraduate enrollment in 1985. Five alternace systems are presented for purposes of comparison. The systems are summarized ir: Table 32 and are presented in more detall in Tables 33-38, which appear at the end of this chapter.

As discussed previously, our estimate of the most ilkely system by 1985 is System 1. System 1 , which provides for an extension of the present system, anticipates the expansion of postsecondary vorational education and continued development of the existing regional campuses. This system also projects that regional universities will develop in Indianapolis, Lake County, and Fort Wayne and that the other regional campuses will offer more complete programs and four-year degrees by 1985. The other systems are presented for comparison purposes and can be used in a variety of ways. They indicate how undergraduate enrollments in present ingtitutions will be affected by the addition of new public institutions to the system. They also indicate the potential market for two-yoar institutions of higher education, as have been suggested frequently to the voters and legislative decision makers of Indiana. In addition, these systems estimate the number of additional students that might be served by additional institutions (although our knowledge on this point is limited). And, finally, they present a base for evaluating policies (such as determining budget requirements) that wosld be created if the system of higher education in Indiana were expanded into new geographical areas. No estimate of the
feasibility of the various systems is attempted here, although the information is presented in such a way that it should aid in an evaluation of feasibility.

## PRELIMINARY ASSUMPTIONS

All projections are based upon the assumption that the total uridergraduate enrollment in public and private institutions of higher education in Indiana will be 225,000 by 1985. This figure is higher tha: the highest total of 217,243 projected by Parkhurst and Suddarth, but it has been coordinated with later enrollment estimates in the "program demand" portion of this comprehensive study. ${ }^{1}$ This total enrollment does not include postsecondary vocational education, but it does include both in-state and out-of-state students in Indiana colleges. It is an estimate of the number of students in 1985, not the fu: 1 -time equivaients. ${ }^{2}$

Total enrollment is projected for each of the counties in Appendix G, and it is based on the assumption that the same percentage of total undergraduates will come from each county in 1985 as in 1967. Since the multiple influences on cour ty college participation rates are difficult to quantify, this assumption allows for a projection of similar influences into 1985 without quantifying them. Only if a new institution is located in the county or the admission standards are significantly lowered is the basic enrollment expected to change (as will be shown in later projections). The
$1_{\text {See Parlchurst and Suddarth, " } E n r o l l m e n t ~ P r o j e c t i o n s, " ~ p . ~}$ 31.
$\mathbf{2}^{\text {This }}$ is also consistent with other parts of the total study. Although it would be valuable to estimate an increase in the portion of full-time students on regional campuses, this report does not make such an explicit estimate. For an estimate of Indiana University regional campus fuli-time students, see Appendix F.
basic enrollment figure for each county is used in all the projections and should be adjusted if total undergraduate enrollment projections are significantly different from 225,000 .

Projecting enrollment from county percentages of the total also assumes that enrollment by out-of-state students in Indiana colleges will be constant. This means that 162,096 ( 72 percent of the 1985 total of 225,000 undergraduate enrollment) are Indiana residents from the 92 counties.

Several other assumprions are made for the total system. Enrollment in the state universities (main caupuses) and Vincennes University is defined as the public enrollment that remains after the enrollment in the regional institutions is subtracted frorn total public enrollment. ${ }^{3}$ for this purpose, total public enrollment is estimated at 153,000 , or 68 percent of the total-only slightly higher than the relationship that existed in 1967. Finally, vocational postsecondary students are defined as 10 percent of the 18-21 age group, or about 37,000 by 1985.

## SYSTEM 1

System 1 is a projection of the present system, with all regional campuses of the state universities expanding into multiprogram, four-year degree grancing institutions. Lake County, Indianapolis, and Fort Wayne regional campuses are assumed to become regional universities that will resemble the state universities in their ability to attract a large portion of the youths from the surrounding area. The system is summarized in Table 33.

Under System 1, the regional campuses absorb almost 50 percent of the total public enrollment by 1985 (or 40 percent if vocational enrollment is included in the total for public enrollment). The regional universities ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{u}}$ )
$3^{\text {Vincennes }}$ University is included in the public sector remaining after regional campuses are subtracted and is therefore friplicitly (3) ned as a state universtity in the following discussion.
alone represent 27 percent of the total public enrollment ( $R+U$ ); and the state universities represent 40.7 percent. These new proportions are the result of a 27 percent growth in the state universities and a vastly larger 228 percent growth in the regional campuses (the highest growth occurred in the four-year regional $\left[R_{f}\right]$ campuses). The total public system ( $U+R$ ) will increase 82 percent, and private institutions will grow 74 percent from 1967 to 1985.

With the fast growth in the public regional campuses, it is quite possible that the public sector of higher education will involve an even higher portion of the total state enrollment by 1985 than projected. If so, the result would be an increase in the enrollment projections for the state universities and therefore a greater growth in undergraduate enrollment than the 27 percent shown in System 1. For example, if the public portion of undergraduate enrollments reached 70 percent by 1985, the growth in the public sector from 1967 to 1985 would be 87 perceni, as compared to the 63 percent fncrease in the private sector. This would mean a 34 percent growth for the state universities instead of the 27 percent indicated in System 1. On the other hand, for the public sector to reach an increase of 100 percent. ( 52 percent for the state universities), it would have to include 75 percent of the 225,000 undergraduates projected for 1985.

Projections for $R_{u}$ and $R_{f}$ result from the use of basic undergraduate enrollment projections in Appendix G. Since the regional universities will begin to resemble the state universities in their ability to attract local youths, they are projected to enroll 70 percent of the basic county enrollees (B) In their counties. It should be remembered that, as we noted in Table 26 , in 1967 the state universities enrolled an average of 80 percent local
youths (ranging from 70 percent to 90 percent). Likewise, Table 26 showed that the state universities attracted an average of 44 percent of the enrollees from adjacent counties. For 1985 the regional universities are projected to have a basic county enrollment of 25 percent from adjacent counties (A) for Lake County and Indianapolis and 40 percent for Fort Wayne. The higher figure for Fort Wayne acknowledges that the Fort Wayne campus had a higier share of adjacent county students in 1967 (see Table 26) and that this area is relatively more isolated from alternate public institutions than are the other two areas. The regional universities will also enroll an additional 20 percent of the county and adjacent-county students from a population outside this area. This figure is comparable to the 20 percent enrolled in regional campuses in 1967-68 from outside the county and the adjacent-county area. Although expansion of the $R_{\mathbf{u}}$ might mean tiat a larger portion of students would be attracted from outside the area, this conservative 20 percent estimate recognizes the fact that when students. must travel a considerable distance, they have a large number of alternatives, including four state universities.

The four-year regional campuses are projected to enroli 50 percent of the basic county enrollees in the county in which they are located. This compares to an average of 31.6 percent for 1967. Because the Indiana University Southeast campus had already reached 45 percent home county enrollees by 1967 and because this area is relatively isolated from alternate educational choices, 60 percent of the county enrollees are projected for this campus. Adjacent county enrollment for the $\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ varies in recognition of 1967 trends and alternatives available. Again, enrollment in the Southeast campus is high, with 25 percent of the adjacent county undergraduates attending that campus.

Adjacent-county enrollment at the Kokomo campus, the North Central campus at Westville, and the Eastern Indiana Center at Earlham is projected at 15 percent, and the remaining campuses at 20 percent. The four-year regional campuses, like the regional universities, will receive an additional 20 percent enrollment from the population at large. ${ }^{4}$

This system shows no increase int enrollment projections. That is, the projection of 225,000 students by 1985 is in itself a high enro11ment, representing a 65 percent growth over the 1967-68 enrollment. It might be argued that this rapid growth in enroliment would not, in fact, be possible without the expansion of the regional campuses. Our projections will show enrollments greater than this 225,000 only if entirely now institutions are located in the state.

Projections in System 1 represent 162,000 Indiana residents who are undergraduates in the state plus 37,000 enrolled in public vocational training schools. This total of 199,000 Indiana students will represent 54 percent of the $18-21$ age group in 1985. This percentage might be raised to 62 percent if it is assumed that 20 percent of the number of resident undergraduates are enrolled as undergraduates out of state (a figure similar to that developed in the 1958 and 1963 national migration studies).

By way of comparison, 1967 Indiana underrraduates in Indiana institutions of higher education represented 28 percent of the 18-21 age group. If

[^23]the undergraduate figure is raised by 20 percent (based on an estimate of Indiana students attending college out of state) and 2,216 public vocational students are added at the same time, it can be said that in 1967 about 34 percent of the age group was enrolled. The 62 percent projected for 1985 , then, is a significant increase.

## SYSTEM 2

System 2 considers the addition of 11 two-year regional campuses (or independent two-year campuses with similar admission standards) in major population areas without a public institution of highsr education. Projected undergraduate enrollment is quite conservative in that all enrollment is expected to come from the county in which the institution is located. The counties adjacent to the new locations in many cases are also adjacent to another public institution with more extensive offerings. It is assumed that the $R_{t}$ will attract undergraduates from a limited area, although experience might modify this assumption.

Total enrollment for $R_{t}$ by 1985 is projected at a littie over 10,000 ; 2,227 of this total is an increase in basic enrollment that results from the presence or public institutions in areas where previously there were no such institutions. That is, $R_{t}$ enrollment is projected for each location to equal 35 percent of the basic county undergraduate enrollment (B) shown in Appendix G, plus 10 percent of this figure (because of an increase in county participation). The total is thus 45 percent $B$ from the county in which the $R_{t}$ is located.

System 2 also projects reductions in other public institutions as the result of new two-year campuses, According to System 2, if the $R_{t}$ is adjacent to a county with a four-year regional campus ( $R_{f}$ ), then threefourths of the enrollment from the $R_{\epsilon}$ county assumed to be attending the $R_{f}$ in System 1 is assumed to actend an $R_{t}$ instead. Reductions from the state universities are set at one-half of the enrollment in $U$ from that county, and it is assumed that the adjacent county enrollment in U will be approximately the same in 1985 as in 1967. For example, the figures for Ball State University in 1967 were 76 percent from the same ccisnty and 41 percent from adjacent counties. If an $R_{t}$ were established in a county adjacent to the one in which Ball State is located, thelı one-half of those who might have attended Ball State University from $R_{t}$ county (or 20 percent of $B$ ) would go to the $R_{t}$ instead. The percentage reduction from adjacent counties is less for state universities than for regional campuses because of the more extensive offerings found at the University.

After $R_{f}$ and $U$ have been reduced by the above amount $a$, the remainder of the enrollment in $R_{t}$ (not including the basic increase) is assumed to come from the state universicies at large. The zesult of these calculations is shown in Table 34. Because three $R_{t}$ 's are located in counties adjacent to Ball State University, the greatest reduction is noted in that university ( 1,469 fewer undergraduates than there would have been without the $R_{t}$ 's). The regional university at Indianapolis is also surrounded by three adjacent $R_{t} ' s$ and it loses 956 undergraduates.

Total reductions show that the state universities will lose 5,133 undergreduates and the regional campuses ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{u}}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ) will lose $\mathbf{2 , 6 5 8}$.

Total undergraduate enrollment in the regional campuses ( $R_{u}, R_{f}$, and $R_{f}$ ) is 82,900 , or 43 percent of the total public enrollment. This compares with the total figure of 40 percent found in System 1 .

## SYSTEM 3

System 3 involves the same locations for 11 new two-year institutions (T), as does System 2. The difference between an $R_{t}$ and $a T$ is that the Thas lower admission standards and offers vocational studies.

The undergraduate enrollment in $T$ is 35 percent of the basic 1985 undergraduate enrollment from the county (B) plus an increase of 20 percent $B$. The large increase results from the lower admission standards and the effect of the presence of a campus in the county. In this sytem adjacent counties are also affected because lower admission standards in T present alternatives not otherwise available. Therefore, a 10 percent increase in basic undergraduate enrollment from the adjacent counties (A) is projected for T. ${ }^{5}$ In addition, one-half of the vocational enrollment from the county, or 5 percent of the $18-21$ age group, is assumed to attend $T$.

The total enrollment in 11 T 's in System 3 (summarized in Table 35) is 23,026 , an average enrollment of almost 2,100 . This total undergraduate enroliment includes an increase in basic enrollment of 12,212 and 3,023 enrolled in vocational studies. Reductions are the same as those in Syse tem 2.

[^24]Vocational enrollment involves an average of 13 percent of the total enrollment for the 1 i T's. Hnwever, the assumption that 5 percent of the county 18-21 age group will be enrolled in vocational education at two-year institutions may be too low. As we saw in Table 25, some of the IVTC schools operating in 1968 had an enrollment representing over 5 percent of the county age group. It is assumed in System 3 that a two-year institution ( $T$ ) and a vocational school ( $V$ ) might coexist in these 11 counties and split the porential enrollment. However, in 1968 Bartholomew County was the only county of these 11 potential locations with an IVTC facility.

If the assumptions of System 3 were modified to include 10 percent of the age group ( 6,046 total), then the vocational students would include 23.3 percent of the $T$ enrollment. This seems a reasonable estimate since counties with a $T$ might be expected to have a higher than average number of vocational students as a percentage of this age group. On the other hand, if the two-year institutions were expected to be equally divided between academic and vocational students, approximately 20,000 vocational students would be required for these 11 schools. This total would require an enrollment of the 6,000 students who represent 10 percent of the county 18-21 age groups and the 14,000 additional students from adjacent counties. Such a distribution seems unlikely in view of the local nature of demand for vocational studies. Therefore, the 11 new T's could be expected to be dominated by academic studies of a transfer or
terminal nature. Potential vocational students outside the 11 T's (estimated between 31,000 and 34,000 ) would still need an extensive system of schools to serve their local needs.

## SYSTEM 4

The new two-year institutions (T) in System 4 are located in three of the state's major cities that already have public regional campuses. Because of the population size of the counties involved, these 3 T's have a higher profected enrollment than the $11 \mathrm{~T}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ in counties without public institutions ( 32,100 versus 23,000 ). The three cities chosen for illustrative purposes are Indianapolis, Gary, and South Bend. Table 36 summarizes System 4 under this assumption and details the enrollment characteristics in the three cities under the projected system.

In System 4 the $T^{\prime}$ s are located in counties that already have public institutions. However, the $T$ admission standards are low; and, as in System 3, the enrollment includes vocational students. The $T$ enrollment is assumed to consist of 30 percent $B$ (which includes a 10 percent increase in B), 20 percent $A$ (which includes a 10 percent increase in A), and 5 percent of the county age group in vocational studies. This system results in a total enrollment of 32,100 , with 7,500 representing a basic increase in enrollment and 6,300 (or 20 percent) representing vocational students. If vocational enrol1ment were increased to 10 percent of the coanty $18-21$ age group, 12,600 students would be enrolled, and they would then represent almost 33 percent of the $T$ enrollment.

According to System 4, about 57 percent of the 18,322 students who would have atterded school elsewhere (not including basic increases or vocational students) will shift from the regional campuses located in these three cities. The rest will come from the state universities at large. These estimates are based on a recalculation of the percentages assumed to attend regional campuses in System 1 (see Table 36).

As a result of the assumptions used in System 4, large enroliments are projected for $T$, with Indianapolis having the largest enrollment (15, 920, including 3,195 vocational students). The ragional universities in Indianapolis and Lake County will still be 1 arger than the local T , but in South Bend, the T (with lower admission standards and vocational students) will be slightly larger than the local four-year regional campus. In the three counties, the total number of students from the county (including vocational) will increase between 50 and 55 percent during the $1967-85$ period. By 1985 St. Joseph County, where the South Bend campuses are located, will have 64.3 percent of its 18-21 age group emrolled in Indiana academic or vocational postsecondary education insiitutions. Marion County will have 58 percent of its age group in postsecondary education, and Lake County will have 55 percent. These figures do not include county students who attend college out of state.

In addition, by 1985, Marion County and Lake County will have about 76 percent of their students enrolled in a local $R_{u}$ or T. St. Joseph County will have 54 percent of its students attending the nounty $R_{u}$ or $T$. Based on the assumption that 5 percent of the $18 \mathbf{- 2 1}$ age group will attend a $T$ for vocational studies and another 5 percent will attend $V$, the percentages of local students in local. $R+T+V$ for Marion and Lake counties will be 85 percent and for $S t$. Joseph County 61.5 percent.

## SYSTEM 5

The last hypothetical system includes two-year institutions in 11 counties that do not have a public institution of higher education ( $\mathrm{T}^{\mathbf{1}}$; and in 9 counties that have a public campus ( $\mathrm{T}^{2}$ ). System 5 is a combination of Systems 3 and 4 that is extended to additional counties. The enrollment assumptions which are similar to those in Systems 3 and 4, are detailed in Table 37.

As a result of the additional altarnatives for students from adjacent counties, the total enrollment in the $\mathrm{T}^{1}$ counties will decrease from 23,026 to 18,228. The largest of these $\mathrm{T}^{1}$ cainpuses (Madison County) in System 5 will have an enrollment of 2,896 , and the smallest (Cass County) will have an enrollment of 1,072 . Total enrollment in the $T^{2}$ counties is projected to be almost twice that of the $T^{1}$ counties. Of the almost 36,000 students in $T^{2}$, about 17,300 represent a basic increase in enrollment, and 12,500 are vocational students. The additional students will shift from the local regional campuses $(15,118)$ and a small portion $(1,471)$ from state uriversities.

In System 5 the Indianapolis, Lake County, and South Bend two-year campuses are not as large as in System 4, where they were the only three of their kind in the state. Since additional alternatives will thus be available to adjacent county students, a reduction in the adjacent county enrollment will result. However, the Lake County and Marion County two-year campuses will still be much larger than any of the other $T$ campuses. As discussed previously, it might be assumed that this enrollment will be divided among two or more $\mathrm{T}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ within the county. Enrollment projections, based upon total county students, remain the same, although it is likely that some increase will result from a geographical distribution of opportunity in these urban areas.

The total enrollment for 20 new two-year institutions is projected to be 54,200 by 1985 . This represents 26 percent of the total public system and,
of course, will be a larger percentage of the state's freshman and sophomore classes. Under this system, enrollment in the regional campuses will be reduced by 17,776, and total enrollment in the $R_{u}$ 's and $R_{f}$ 's will be reduced to 57,800 , or 28 percent of the public system (compared to 75,600 , or 40 percent of the public system under System 1).

The smallest of the regional campuses in System 1 is the Eastern Indiana Center at Earlhams which is projected to have an enrollment of 1,998 by 1985. According to System 5, the enrollment of this campus would be reduced by 625 (134 as the result of the $T$ in Henry County and 491 as a result of the $T$ in Wayne County). The Kokomo regional campus, projected to have an enrollment of 2,605 in 1985 in System 1, would be reduced by 837 under System 5 ( 482 to $T$ in Howard County, 221 to $T$ in Grant County, 134 to $T$ in Cass County). Enrollment at the regional university in Indianapolis, under the assumptions in System 5, would be reduced by 6,232, and the total undergraduate enrollment would be 19,761.

The additional 20 two-year institutions significantly will affect the rapidly expanding regional. system of four-year institutions. In some cases, the nearby location of a $T$ may affect the feasibility of expanuing the program offerings at an R. In other cases it may relieve specific regional campuses from the pressure of rapid growth. The loss of 6,604 freshmen and sophomores from the state universities will also significantly shape their growth patterns. On the other hand, following the assumptions of System 5, an additional 17,302 students will be encouraged to attend college, and 12,509 (or about one-third of the state's postsecondary vocational students) will be served through the two-year insitutions.

Regional campus enrollments under System 1 (expansion of the present system) and System 5 are compared in Table 38. In addition, Table 38 includes Indiana University and Purdue University estimates of the undergraduate enrollment for their campuses. Table 38 demonstrates the significantly slower increase in
regional campus undergraduate enrollment estimated under System 5 with its two-year insitutions. Whereas System 1 projects a 228 percent increase over the 1967-68 enrollment by 1985, System 5 projects only a 151 percent increase. The cost effectiveness of the smaller regional campuses shown in System 5 is a factor that is yet to be determined.

Purdue University projects enrollment only to the 1978-79 school year, and we have attempted to advance the estimates consistently for comparison purposes. No comparable self-projections are available for the Indiana State University Evansville campus or for the Eastern Indiana Center complex at Earlham. However, the totals for the projected schools are surprisingly similar to the System 1 projections despite the different methoinlogies used. The total for 1985 under System 1 (excluding Evansville and Eastern Indiana Center) is 67,986; the comparable self-projection is 61,603. However, System 1 assumes that. undergraduates of the Indiana University Professional Division will be combined with the others in the regional university, but the self-projection does not include the Professional Division. The difference between the two estimates, then, should be less than the 6,383 indicated. The self-projections are presented in more detail in Appendix F.

Our projections, based upon an extension of the local market into 1985, show significantly higher enrollments at the Indianapolis and Lake County campuses than do the self-projections. This difference, however, is understandablesince we have assumed that these campuses will become regional universities: Our view of the local market for the Fort Wayne regional university does not : how as great a potential as that projected independently by Indiana Universi.ty and Purdue University. Perhaps if the Indiana University and Purdue University estimates were coordinated, they would be lower. The difficulties of projecting policy, program, and student demand into the future by any method are obvious.

A final comment on System 5 should be made now. It has been assumed that enroliment in the two-year institutions (not incluring the inc:ease in basic enrollment or vocational enrollment) will come from other public insiitutions; these reductions are summarized in Table 37. We feel that this assumption is valid in view of the similarities in cost and educational policy found among the various alternate public institutions. A two-year institution established primarily to serve local needs is not likely to disrupt patterns of enrollment in a local private institution that is serving a statewide or nationwide specialized student demand.

The reduction assumption is particulariy appropriate for those counties that already have both public and private institutions. It is likely that a new public institution will draw from the students who previously attended public institutions and that the established enrollment patterns at the private universities will be relatively undisturbed (because of their special programs and admission standards, costs, and similar factors). However, this assumption may be less realistic for counties that were previously served only by a private institution of higher education. Students with needs to attend a local school may attend local private institutions, but they might switch to a local low-cost public institution if given the opportunity. For this reason, we need to comment on the 11 counties assumed as the new locations for public institutions.

Only six of these counties have a private institution of higher education located within them. The total number of undergraduates from the same count; who were enrolled in private institutions in these six counties during the 1967-68 school year was 1,313-a relatively small number. The average local (same county) enroilment in the seven private institutions involved was 188 undergraduates in 1967-68, but there was a wide range among the institutions of same county undergraduates as a percentage of total undergraduates.

No county had over 500 local undergraduates enrolled in local private institutions. Nonetheless, the private i:stitutions involved might encounter some losses as a result of the establishment of public institutions in the same county. ${ }^{6}$ It should also be noted, however, that seiection of the counties as "hypothetical" locations for public institutions required that at least 600 undergraduates from the county attend college outside the county in 1967-68.

## CONCLUSION

Several comments remain to be made about our projections. Anyone who has studied the entire section will realize the difficult assumptions involved and therefore the caution required in examining these estimates. The purpose of the projections is to make explicit some of the relationships that will be involved as higher education includes more complete regional offerings and embraces vocational training. Each projection spells out the assumptions about the specific makeup of the total enrollment, and, as experience improves our knowledge about the extent of local demand, theise assumptions surely can be modified. The institutional types defined are general rather than specific, and modifications in these general types will change the projections. Even the assumption of relative uniformity among the existing regional campuses

[^25]in ability tc satisfy local needs is unrealistic under present circumstances and may continue to be so as programs and policies continue to vary from campus to campus in the future. Furthermore, as can be seen by examining the various systems, the number and the location of institutions have a direct effect on other enrollisents; there is no reason to believe that precisely the geographical scheme given in this report will be adopted by future educational policy grouss.

Projections for 18 years into the future have the advantage of being able to ignore the intermediate fluctuations in enrollment that result from year-to-year policy decisions. In fact, it should be cautioned that the methodology used here should not be used to project enrollment at an intermediate date without adding some modifications. The more distant date has allowed us to assume that a new or expanding institutional type will have matured to a normal level (in percentage, not constant errollment figures) by 1985. Obviously, new or expanding institutions will have a more dramatic impact at their start; but they cannot be expected to, for example, double their enrollments every year. Just as obviously, the year in which institutions are changed significantly will affect the point of maturity of these instituticns. An intermediate date such as 1975, for example, might represent the second year of a statewide system of two-year institutions, and for that year enrollment assumptions similar to those for 1985 would be unrealistic.

We have not been able to cover some policy implications in this report. The effect of changes in tuition cost, discussed in other reports in this series, is not explicitly included. We have simply assumed that local public education is inexpensive in relation to local private education or nonlocal public institutions. Likewise, our admission standards policy is generalized. Obviously, policies that would make regional institutions open-door institutions or that would eliminate tuition would have dramatic local effects.

However, neither of these extremes has been included in our assumptions. Again, it should be emphasized that these projections merely make explicit assumptions that can be modified in terms of developing policies and the improvement ini our knowledge about their consequent inpact on undergraduate enrollments.

Ohe of the factors that will determine the total enxcllment in higher education in Indiasa in the future will be the resources devoted to higher education. Resources, which will always be limited to some degree, may be directed toward different goals. The goals of higher education should be understood, and a final set of goals shoald be explicit because the structure of the public system and the priorities given to the subsections of this system should lead to the desired ends.

A January, 1969 U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare report to the President indicated the fundamental objectives to which any federal plan for aid to higher education should aspire. This set of goals encourages higher education systems to strive to:
--increase the number and proportion of educated people;
--increase the equality of opportunity for higher education;
--improve the quality of higher education;
--preserve the diversity in higher education and advance institutional autonomy and academic freedom;
--strengthen graduate education and institutional research and the public service capabilities of higher educational institutions;
--encourage the efficient use of resources in higher education.

As the report notes, these objectives are often conflicting.
"Greater emphasis on ore objective may mean less resources for others. For example, continued expansion of the higher education system to accommodate increasing numbers of students who wish to obtain a higher education may conflict with improving the average quality of higher education. . . A program designed to aid the most able potential college students might well conflict with
equality of opportunity, since the poorest groups in society are underrepresented in the highest achievement groups as measured by test scores." ${ }^{7}$ In addition, the state might decide to develop still other objectives. For example, regional institutions might be located to stimulate certain local economies. Vocational education might be developed in terms of student occupational interests or industrial manpower needs. Conflict of objectives cannot always be avoided, and a careful set of priorities should be clear. The hypothetical structure situations discussed here cannot be considered more than rough generalizations; they should not be considered recommendations because no priorities have been established. An idea of the impact of certain structural changes with specified assumptions, however, may help to establish policy priorities.

One additional caution is required. Although enrollment figures have been estimated, the effect of the structure of the total enrollment on the quality of education to be offered has not been determined. Although our estimates for the impact of two-year institutions (regional campuses or two-year institutions with lower admission standards) are necessarily similar, the equality and quality of these various systems differ widely.

Furthermore, we have considered only the inpact on undergraduate enrollments and assumed that alternate types of local institutions could serve approximately the same student needs--if policy and budget decisions so designated. That is, night programs, adult courses, community-oriented programs, or vocational and technical training could be added to the responsibility of the state universities, the two-year or four-year regional campuses, or a net two-year institution. However, there are some areas where the various kinds of institutions are obviously not the same. For example, some of the

[^26]demand for regional education is coming from those who want to complete advanced degrees while carrying on their careers. In Indiana, teachers throughout the state want an opportunity to complete their certificate requirements through nearby facilities for study toward a master's degree. Young men and women in business and industry also find an increasing use for advanced degree work in business and technical areas such as engineering. This type of local graduate work is more easily provided and coordinated by the state universities through regional campuses than it is through independent two-year institutions. Again, an examination of Indiana's goals for higher education is required; it must be determined whether local undergraduate programs can be strengthened and expanded without jeopardizing the growtin of local graduate programs.

The following symbols will be used in Tables 32 through 38. (See pages 123-143 for a more complete discussion of the tables.)
$\mathrm{U}=$ state university, multiprogram, and degrees to Ph.D. (Indiana University, Purdue University, Ball State University, and Indiana State University). Although not fitting this description, Vincennes University is included in summaxy totals for $U$ enrollment.
$R_{u}=$ regional university, multiprogram, and master's degrees; resembles university in orferings for local undergraduates (Indianapolis, Fort Wayne, Lake County).
$R_{f}=$ four-year regional campuses, multiprogram, and master's degrees; Includes all 1969 regional campuses except $R_{u}$ (also Eastern Indiana Center at Earlham).
$R_{t}=$ two-year regional campus, sponsored by state universities, with same admission standards. (locations in addition to $R_{u}$ and $R_{f}$ ).
$T$ = two-year institution with Iower admission standards than universities and including vocational and technical training (alternate to $R_{t}$ and may be in same location as $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{u}}$ or $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ).

V $=$ vocational and technical schools.

## Preliminary 1985 assumptions

Total undergraduates $=225,000$
Public undergraduates $=153,000$ (68\% 1.دtal)
Vocational students $=37,000$ ( $10 \%$ age group 18-21)
Public + Public vocational = total public
$153,000+37,000=190,000$
System 1 (extension of present system)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{U}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{u}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}+\mathrm{V}=\text { total public } \\
& 77,400+51,300+24,300+37,000=190,000 \\
& R_{u}+R_{f}=\mathrm{R}=75,600(49.4 \% \mathrm{U}+\mathrm{R})
\end{aligned}
$$

System 2 (11 new two-year regional campuses)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{u}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}+11 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}+7=\text { total public } \\
72,300+50,300+22,600+10,000+37,000=192,200 \\
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{u}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}=82,900(43 \% \text { total public })
\end{gathered}
$$

Systeln 3 (il two-year institutions; lower standards and vocational)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{U}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{u}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}+11 \mathrm{~T}+\mathrm{V}=\text { cotal public } \\
& 72,300+50,300+22,600+23,000+34,000=202,200
\end{aligned}
$$

System 4 (two-year institutions like System 3, but in System 3 cities with public institutions)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{U}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{u}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}+3 \mathrm{~T}+\mathrm{V} \quad=\text { total public } \\
& 69,500+42,800+22,400+32,100+30,700=197,500 \\
& \text { Indianapolis }=20,700 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{u}}+15,900 \mathrm{~T}=36,600 \\
& \text { Gary } \quad=13,300 R_{u}+10,100 T=23,400 \\
& \text { South Bend }=5,500 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}+6,100 \mathrm{~T}=11,600
\end{aligned}
$$

System 5 (11 two-year Institutions like System 3 plus 9 in cities with pulic institution like System.4)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{u}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}+20 \mathrm{~T}+\mathrm{V}=\text { total public } \\
70,800+40,300+17,500+54,200+24,500=207,300 \\
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{u}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=57,800(27.9 \% \text { total public }) \\
U(34 \%)+\mathrm{R}(28 \%)+\mathrm{T}(26 \%)+\mathrm{V}(12 \%)=\text { total }(100 \%)
\end{gathered}
$$

TABLE 33

Sysfem 1 Enrollment Projections, 1985

| Institution | Undergraduate Enrollment 1967 | Undergraduate Enrollment 1985 | Percent Increase 1967-85 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{u}}$ |  |  |  |
| Lake County | 4,898 | 16,449 |  |
| Indianapolis | 7,083 | 25,993 |  |
| Fort Wayne | 3,889. | 8,821 |  |
| Total | 15,870 | 51,263 | 223\% |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}$ |  |  |  |
| Kokomo | 997 | 2,605 |  |
| South Bend | 2,585 | 7,085 |  |
| Southeast | 1,593 | 2,843 |  |
| North Central | 500 | 4,190 |  |
| Evansville | 912 | 5,624 |  |
| Eastern Indiana |  |  |  |
| Center | 576 | 1,998 |  |
| Total | 7,163 | 24,345 | 240 |
| Total $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{u}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | 23,033 | 75,608 | 228 |
| Total ${ }^{\text {u }}$ | 61,132 | 77,400 | 27 |
| Total Fïlic | 84,165 | 153,000 | 82 |
| Total Private | 41,302 | 72,000 | 74 |

## Methodo?.ogy

$R_{u}$ enrollment $=70 \%$ basic enrollment from county $(B)$, plus basic enrollment from adjacent county (A) as follows: Fort Wayne, $10 \%$; Indianapolis and Lake, $25 \%$; plus $20 \%$ additional enrollment
$R_{\mathbf{I}}$ enrollment $=50 \%$ B (except $60 \%$ for the Southeast), $p l u s A$ as follows: Southeast, 25\%; South Bend, 20\%; Evansville, 20\%; Kokomo, 15\%; North Central, 15\%; Eastern Indiana Center, 15\%; plus $20 \%$ additional enrollment
$\mathrm{U}=$ Total public enrollment minus R
$77,400=1.53,000-75,600$

[^27]```
Total undergraduates = 225,000
Portion wino are = 162,000 (72% of total)
    Indiana residents
Undergraduates, pub1ic = 153,000
Regional campuses ( }\mp@subsup{R}{\mathbf{u}}{}+\mp@subsup{R}{f}{\prime}\mathrm{ ) = 75,600 (49.4% total pub:1ic)
Vocational students = = 37,000 (10% age group 18-21)
Indiana students and = 199,000 (54% age group 18-21)
    vocational
Regional campus enrol1ment = 39.8%
    as % of total public
    (including vocational)
```

| Institution | Total <br> Undergraduates | Increase in Basic Enrollment | Reduction In |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\underline{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}}$ | $\underline{R}_{1}$ | Ball State University | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U at } \\ & \text { Large } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Madison County | 1,711 | 380 | -- | -- | 760 | 571 |
| Elkhart County | 1,416 | 315 | 472 | -- | -- | 629 |
| Grant County | 993 | 221 | 221 | -- | 442 | 109 |
| Cass County | 602 | 134 | 134 | -- | -- | 334 |
| Henry County | 602 | 134 | 134 | -- | 267 | 67 |
| Porter County | 1,112 | 247 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 494) } \\ & \text { 247) } \end{aligned}$ | -- | -- | 124 |
| Kosciusko County | 651 | 145 | -- | -- | -- | 506 |
| Bartholomew County | 780 | 173 | -- | -- | -- . | 607 |
| Hamilton County | 727 | 162 | - | 323 | -- | 242 |
| Hendricks County | 734 | 163 | -- | 326 | -- | 245 |
| Johnson County | 590 | 153 | -- | 307 | -- | 230 |
| Total $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | 10,018 | 2,227 | 1,702 | 956 | 1,469 | 3,664 |

## Methodology

```
\(R_{t}\) enroliment \(=35 \%\) basic enrollment from county (B) plus \(10 \%\) increase in \(B\) (No
    adjasent county enrollment is assumed, since most of these adjacent
    counties are adjacent to a \(U, R_{u}\), or \(R_{f}\); thus, the estimate of
    the effect of \(R_{t}\) is conservative).
```

Reductions
If there is an adjacent $U$, percent of $B$ who would have attended $U$ is redured by $1 / 2$;
if adjacent $R_{f}$, percent of $B$ who would have attended is reduced by $3 / 4$; remainder of enrollment (except increase in B) is assumed to be taken from state universities at large.

For example, percentage of adjacent county students attending Ball State University in 1967 was $40 \%$ (see Table 26); therefore $20 \%$ reduction in attendance from counties with new $R_{t}$. Reduction in regional campuses is $3 / 4$ enrollment assumed in System 1 from each adjacent county involved.

Summary of reductions
$U=5,133(1,469+3,664)$
$R=2,658(1,702+956)$
Largest reductions: Indianapolis $R_{u}$ by 956; Ba11 State University by 1,469.

TABLE 35
System 3 Enrollment Projections, 1985

| Institution | Total <br> Enrollment | Increase in Basic Enrollment | Vocational Enrollment | Vocational Enrollment as a Percen of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T |  |  |  |  |
| Madison County | 2,969 | 1,095 | 543 | 18.3\% |
| Elkhart County | 3,222 | 1,645 | 476 | 14.8 |
| Grant County | 2,357 | 1,248 | 337 | 14.3 |
| Cass County | 1,402 | 791 | 143 | 10.2 |
| Henry County | 1,599 | 929 | 202 | 12.6 |
| Porter County | 2,985 | 1,819 | 301 | 10.1 |
| Kosciusko County | 1,397 | 717 | 174 | 12.5 |
| Bartholomew County | 1,503 | 635 | 261 | 17.4 |
| Hamilton County | 1,815 | 1,074 | 176 | 9.7 |
| Hendricks County | 1,951 | 1,193 | 187 | 9.6 |
| Johns on County | 1,826 | 1,066 | 223 | 12.2 |
| Total | 23,026 | 12,212 | 3,023 | 13.1 |

## Methodology

T enrollments $=35 \%$ basic enrollment from county (B) p.lus $20 \%$ increase in $B$, plus $10 \%$ increase basic enrollment from adjacent county (A), plus vocational enrollment that is $5 \%$ of county age group (18-21). Note: no adjacent county enrollment is assumed if that adjacent county also hor a $T$, and only $5 \%$ increase in A if adjacent county is also adjacent to another $T$. Enrollment from Marion County in adjacent counties is $2 \%$ each, since it is adjacent to 3 T .

Reductions same as System 2; $V$ enroliment is reduced by amount of vocational enrollment in $T$.

TABLE 36
System 4 Enrollment Projections, 1985
Increase Vocational
Total in Basic Enrollment Undergraduates Enrollment (\% of total)
$\frac{\text { Reductions }}{\frac{R_{\mathcal{U}} \& R_{f} \quad U \text { at Large }}{}}$

Institution
T (in 3 cities)

| Indianapolis | 15,920 | 3,640 | $3,195(20 \%)$ | $5,276 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{u}}$ | 3,809 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gary | 10,133 | 2,175 | $2,247(22)$ | $3,236 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{u}}$ | 2,475 |
| South Bend | 6,059 | 1,673 | $850(14)$ | $1,895 \mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | 1,641 |
| Total T | 32,112 | 7,488 | $6,292(20)$ | 10,407 | 7,925 |

System 4 totals: $69,500 \mathrm{U}+42,800 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}+22,400 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}+32,100 \mathrm{~T}+30,700 \mathrm{~V}=197,500$

Methodology.
T enrollments $=20 \%$ basic enrollment from county $(B)+10 \%$ increase in $B$, plus $10 \%$ basic enrollment from adjacent counties (A) $+10 \%$ increase in $A$, plus vocational enrollment that is $5 \%$ of county age group 18-21, plus 20\% additional enrollment.

Reductions $=R_{u}$ enrollments reduced to $60 \% \mathrm{~B}, 15 \% \mathrm{~A}$, and $15 \%$ additional enrollment; $R_{f}$ enrollment reduced to $45 \% \mathrm{~B}, 15 \% \mathrm{~A}$, and $10 \%$ additional enrollment; remainder of reduction is assumed for state universities at large.

Enrollment breakdown

Indianapolis
20,700
15,900
36,600

18,409
37,092
50.4\%
58.1\%

County studen乞s attending $R \& T$ (1985)
\% of 1985 county students
\% of 1985 county students in county
$\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{V}$ (assuming $\mathrm{V}=5 \%$ county age group)

28,315
$76.3 \%$
85.0\%
85.0\%
$61.5 \%$

TABLE 37
System 5 Enrollment Projections, 1985

| $\mathrm{T}^{1} \begin{aligned} & \text { (counties with no } \\ & \text { public institutions) } \end{aligned}$ | Total <br> Enrollment | Increase in Basic Enrollment | Vocational Enrollment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Madison County | 2,896 | 1,022 | 543 |
| E1khart County | 2,314 | 737 | 476 |
| Grant County | 2,044 | 935 | 337 |
| Cass Courity | 1,072 | 461 | 143 |
| Heary County | 1,235 | 565 | 202 |
| Porter County | 1,709 | 543 | 301 |
| Kosciusko County | 1,310 | 630 | 174 |
| Bartholomew County | 1,503 | 635 | 261 |
| Hamilton County | 1,284 | 543 | 176 |
| Hendricks County | 1,493 | 735 | 187 |
| Johnson County | 1,368 | 608 | 223 |
| Total $\mathrm{T}^{1}$ | 18,228 | 7,414 | 3,023 |

## $\mathrm{T}^{2}$ (counties with other

 public institutions)Marion County
Lake County
St. Joseph County
Vanderburgh County
LaPorte County
Howard County
Wayne County
Tippecanoe County

11,753
7,826
3,532

3,356
1,512
1,227
1,209
. 1,920

Increase
in Basic Enrollment Enr:ollment543476337143202301174261

176
187
223
3,023

|  | Reductions |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{u}} \& \mathrm{Rf}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{U}}$ |  |
| 3,195 | 5,276 | 306 |
| 2,247 | 3,236 | 440 |
| 863 | 1,706 |  |
| 592 | 1,678 |  |
| 402 | 714 |  |
| 358 | 482 |  |
| 312 | 491 |  |
| 428 |  | 725 |


|  | Total <br> Enrollment | Increase in Basic Enrollment | Vocational Enrollment | Reductions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{u} \text { \& } \mathrm{Rf}}$ | U |
| Allen County | 3,728 | 1,104 | 1,089 | 1,535 |  |
| Total $\mathrm{T}^{2}$ | 35,963 | 9,888 | 9,486 | 15,118 | 1,471 |
| Total $T\left(T^{1}+T^{2}\right)$ | 54,191 | 17,302 | 12,509 | 17,776 | 6,604 |

## System 5 totals:

$70,800 \mathrm{U}+40,300 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{u}}+17,500 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}+54,200 \mathrm{~T}+24,500 \mathrm{~V}=207,300$
Reductions in $U, R_{u}$, and $R_{f}$ as a result of $T^{1}$ and $T^{2}$ :

|  | $\mathrm{T}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{T}^{2}$ | Total T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U | 5,133 | 1,471 | 6,604 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{u}}$ | 956 | 10,047 | 11,003 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | 1,702 | 5,071 | 6,773 |
| Total | 7,791 | 16,589 |  |

## Methodology

$\mathrm{T}^{1}$ enrollments $=35 \%$ basic enrollment from county ( $B$ ), plus $20 \%$ increase in $B$, plus $10 \%$ increase in basic enrollment from adjacent county (A), plus vocational enrollment that is $5 \%$ of county age group 18-21. (This is the same as in System 3, but no adjacent increase is expected if adjacent county has a $\mathrm{T}^{2}$, and only $5 \%$ adjacent increase is expected if adjacent county is also adjacent to a $T^{2}$ or T1. 100 students from Marion County are assumed for each $T^{1}$ adjacent to it.)
$T^{2}$ enrollments $=20 \% B$, plus $10 \%$ increase in $B$, plus $10 \%$ increase in $A$, and vocational enrollment same as $T^{1}$.

## Reductions

Reductions for $T^{1}$ are the same as in Systems 2 and 3. $T^{2}$ reductions are the same•as in System 4 for Lake and Marion counties: for others, the total reduction is from local public institutions.

TABLE 38
Regional Campus Undergraduate Enrollment 1985 System 1，System 5，and Self－Projections

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Actual } \\ & 1967-68 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1985 \\ \text { \#1 } 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1985 \\ \sharp \$ 5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1985 \\ \text { Silif-Projections* } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | I．U． | P．U． | Total |
| Indianapolis | 7，080 | 25，993 | 19，761 | 9，974＋ | 7，204 | 17，178\＃ |
| Lake County | 4，898 | 16，449 | 12，719 | 6，338 | 7，017 | 13，335 |
| Fort Wayne | 3，889\＃1作 | 8，821 | 7，286 | 7，805非 | 6，331 | 14，136非 |
| Kokomo | 997 | 2，605 | 1，768 | 2，662 |  | 2，662 |
| South Bend | 2，585 | 7，085 | 4，907 | 7，369 |  | 7，369 |
| Southeast | 1，393 | 2，843 | 2，843 | 3，992 |  | 3，992 |
| North Central | 500 | 4，190 | 3，229 |  | 2，931 | 2，931 |
| Evansville | 912 | 5，624 | 3，946 |  |  | n．a． |
| Eastern Indiana Center | 576 | 1，998 | 1，343 |  |  | n．a． |
|  | 23，030 | 75，608 | 57，802 |  |  |  |

Total enrollment，undergraduates $1967-68=23,030$
System 1， 1985 total 75，608（increase 228\％） System 5， 1985 total 57，802（increase 151\％）
＊For detail and methodology，see Appendix F．Indiana University projections were available through 1985．Purdue University projections，which were presented through 1978－79，were advanced to 1985 for comparison．
＋Does not include Indlana University Professional Division（Systems 1 and 5 assume a combination of these undergraduates with the other regional campus undergraduates and include them in the totals）．

非Does not include Indiana University Division of General and Technical Studías， whose enrollment is projected at 2，736 in 1985 by Indiana University．（Systems 1 and 5 do not include DGTS in the regional university enrollment at Fort Wayne）．

## APPENDIX A

## 1968 FRESHMAN STUDENT CENSUS

Appendix Table A-2 is taken from Thomas M. Elliott, College Attendance in Indiana (Bloomington, Ind.: Regional Campus Coordinating Committee, August 4,1969 ) . Tables A-3 through A-6 were developed by the same study that this report was based on.

The category "Indiana freshmen in college anywhere" was estimated by applying migration figures developed in 1958 and 1963 national migration studies. As Elliott notes, "Student migration studies conducted in 1958 and 1963 found almost identical rates of out-migration of first-time students from the state of Indiana, with the difference appearing only in the fourth decimal place of the ratio.* When both rates were used to estimate the number of out-migrants for 1968, the results differed by only eleven students. For these reasons it was decided to apply the same rate to the 1968 data, $16.5 \%$ of all students attending college from Indiana, as was utilized in the 1960 Freshman Student Census. Thus the number of Iadiana zesident freshmen attending college at out-of-state institutions . . . was estimated to be 7,673." (Thomas M. Elliott, Report of the 1968 Indiana Freshman Student Census, Research Report RCCC 69-1 (B100mington, Ind.: Regional Coordinating Committee, May 26, 1969).

TABLE A-1
County Codes Used for 1968 Freshman Student Census Data

INDIANA COUNTIES (93*Total State)

| Adams ........ 01 | Franklin .... 24 | Lawrence .... 47 | Rush ......... 70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A11en ........ 02 | Fulton ...... 25 | Madison ..... 48 | St. Joseph ... 71 |
| Bartholomew .. 03 | Gibson ...... 26 | Marion ...... 49 | Scott ........ 72 |
| Benton ........ 04 | Grant ........ 27 | Marshal1 ... 50 | Shelby ....... 73 |
| Blackford .... 05 | Greene ...... 28 | Martin ...... 51 | Spencer ...... 74 |
| Boone ........ 06 | Hamilton .... 29 | M1ami ....... 52 | Starke ....... 75 |
| Brown ........ 07 | Hanccck ..... 30 | Monroe ...... 53 | Steuben ...... 76 |
| Carrol1 ...... 08 | Harrison .... 31 | Montgomery .. 54 | Su11ivan ...... 77 |
| Cass ......... 09 | Hendricks ... 32 | Morgan ...... 55 | Switzerland .. 78 |
| Clark ........ 10 | Henry ....... 33 | Newton ....... 56 | Tippecanoe ... 79 |
| Clay .......... 11 | Howard ...... 34 | Nobe1 •...... 57 | Tipton ....... 80 |
| C1inton ...... 12 | Huntington . 35 | Ohio ......... 58 | Union ........ 81 |
| Crawford ..... 13 | Jackson .... 36 | Orange ...... 59 | Vanderburgh .. 82 |
| Daviess ...... 14 | Jasper ...... 37 | Owen ......... 60 | Vermillion ... 83 |
| Dearborn ...... 15 | Jay ......... 38 | Parke ....... 61 | Vigo .......... 84 |
| Decatur ...... 16 | Jefferson ... 39 | Perry ....... 62 | Wabash ....... 85 |
| DeKalb ....... 17 | Jennings .... 40 | Pike ........ 63 | Warren ....... 86 |
| Delaware ..... 18 | Johnson ..... 41 | やorter ....... 64 | Warrick ...... 87 |
| Dubois ....... 19 | Knox ........ 42 | Posey ....... 65 | Washington ... 88 |
| E1kharit ...... 20 | Kosciusko ... 43 | Dulaski ...... 66 | Wayne ......... 89 |
| Fayette ...... 21 | LaGrange .... 44 | Putnam ...... 67 | We11s •....... 90 |
| Floyd ........ 22 | Lake ........ 45 | Randolph .... 68 | White .u....... 91 |
| Fountain ..... 23 | La Porte .... 46 | Ripley ...... 69 | Whitley ...... 92 |

## TABLE A-2

 Counties Ranked by Percent of High School Graduates Enrolled as Freshmen in Indiana Colleges and Universities and Percent of Freshmen in Public Institutions' Main and Regional Campuses| 1960 \% Fresh. in |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ind. Pub | Insts |
| Main | Reg. |
| Campuses | Campuses |
| 48.2\% | $24.9 \%$ |






 $45.43 \%$

 $1968 \%$ Fresh. in
Ind. Pub. Insts.

| Main |
| :---: |
| Campuses |
| $50.8 \%$ |$\frac{\text { Reg. }}{\text { Campuses }}$ 4.1

65.5
43.9
58.7
22.7
19.1
4.6
59.0
1.5
46.9
39.7
30.6
0.6
26.0
64.5
29.4
28.6
52.7
49.3
52.8
34.9
13.8
20.3
49.0
9.0
10.1



 Monroe
Allen
Marion
Howard
Pulaski
Dearborn
Fulton
Whitley
Knox
St. Joseph
Tipton
Hendricks
Tippecanoe
Jennings
Floyd
Hancock
Cass
Lake
DeKalb
Wells
Vanderburgh
Wabash
Boone
Noble
Madison
Rush
Huntington
Clark











呙落｜

| $\quad$ Indiana |
| :--- |
| County |
| La Porte |
| Montgomery |
| Shelby |
| Hamilton |
| Adams |
| Daviess |
| Bartholomew |
| Grant |
| Vigo |
| Lawrence |
| Porter |
| Harrison |
| Jasper |
| Benton |
| Wayne |
| Glinton |
| Delaware |
| Warrick |
| Sullivan |
| Johnson |
| Franklin |
| Owen |
| clay |
| Elkhart |
| Fayette |
| Jefferson |
| Carroll |
| Blackford |
| Gibson |
| Orange |
| Randolph |
| Warren |
| Jackson |
| Pike |
| Newton |
| Greene |


| $1960 \%$ Fresh. in |
| :--- |
| Ind. Pub. Insts. |
| Main Reg. |
| Campuses Campuses |






(a) High school graduates estimated from 1968 12th grade enrollment. Both public and private high schools were
included.
(b) Includes freshmen from Indiana attending Indiana institutions only.

(c) 1960 high school graduates include both public and private high schools. | Indiana |
| :--- |
| County |
| Dubois |
| Parke |
| Jay |
| Washington |
| Vermillion |
| Whitc. |
| Fountain |
| Ohio |
| Henry |
| Putnam |
| Spencer |
| Kosciusko |
| Steuben |
| Starke |
| Decatur |
| Brown |
| Union |
| Morgan |
| Perry |
| Crawford |
| LaGrange |
| Posey |
| Miami |
| Marshall |
| Martin |
| Ripley |
| Scoti |
| Switzerland |



$1968 \%$ Fresh. in
Ind. Pub. Insts.
Main Reg.
Campuses Campuses


 | 1968 |
| :---: |
| Iudiana |
| Insts, |
| Fr. (b) |






## TABLE A-3
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TABLE A-6
Indiana Counties Ranked by Percent of Births 18 Years Previous Who Were Freshmen in
Percent
Attend
$37.10 \%$

 Listed in 1968 Rank Order

8 若
0
0

Percent
Attend.
$49.99 \%$


$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Est. } 1960 \\
\text { Fr. Anywhere }
\end{gathered}
$$
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APPENDIX B

SURVEY OF HIGH SCHOOL SENIORS

FIGURE B-1
IVTC Regions and Location of Institutes and Divisions

Northwest Gary and Michigan City
St. Joseph Valley South Bend
Northeast Fort Wayne
Tippewa Lafayette

North Central Kokomo
East Central Muncie

Wabash Valley Terre fidute
Mallory Division Indianapolis
Whitewater Richmond

White River Valley Columbus
Ohio Valley No site

Lincolnland Evantrille

George Rogers Clark No site


|  |  |  |  |  |  | Reg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> No. Re- | $\%$ of All Re- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  | ents |
| Occupation, household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professional and semiprofessional | 19.5\% | 21.6\% | 19.7\% | 18.5\% | 17.4\% | 20.1\% | 21.8\% | 24.4\% | 17.8\% | 18.2\% | 15.2\% | 15.4\% | 15.1\% | 8,574 | 19.8\% |
| Managerial, | 13.2 | 14.6 | 13.1 | 11.5 | 13.5 | 10.5 | 11.1 | 13.3 | 11.2 | 10.8 | 11.4 | 11.8 | 11.4 | 5,458 | 12.6 |
| official | 8.4 | 11.9 | 10.6 | 9.1 | 9.5 | 7.5 | 9.6 | 14.6 | 9.5 | 6.6 | 7.5 | 9.4 | 8.9 | 4,423 | 10.2 |
| Skjiled, serit- | 58.8 | 51.9 | 56.7 | 60.9 | 59.6 | 61.9 | 57.5 | 47.8 | 61.5 | 64.3 | 65.8 | 63.4 | 64.6 | 24,843 | 57.4 |
| skilied. \& unskilled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Eacation, household he |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Les: than high sch. (t unknown) | $+4 . \hat{1}$ | 28.9 | 26.2 | 27.3 | 29.7 | 32.8 | 28.3 | 28.2 | 35.4 | 42.1 | 40.0 | 39.0 | 41.9 | 14,794 | 32.0 |
| High school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| craduate | 36.0 | 40.1 | 42.2 | 43.2 | 40.6 | 39.2 | 41.9 | 36.1 | 38.1 | 35.4 | 39.0 | 37.0 | 34.5 | 17,719 | 38.3 |
| Some jollege | 19.0 | 17.2 | 18.7 | 16.1 | 18.3 | 16.7 | 17.6 | 21.9 | 16.0 | 12.1 | 12.9 | 15.6 | 15.8 | 8,350 | 18. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| College graduate | 10.8 | 13.8 | 12.9 | 13.4 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 12.2 | 13.8 | 10.4 | 10.3 | 8.1 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 5,356 | 11.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| College prep. | 53.0 | 51.5 | 47.9 | 49.7 | 46.9 | 42.8 | 45.0 | 49.6 | 45.6 | 44.6 | 418.8 | 11.5 | 44.0 | 21,643 | 48.1 |
| Vocational ed. | 9.4 | 11.3 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 11.2 | 9.4 | -3.9 | 8.6 | 11.8 | 11.1 | 18.5 | 11.8 | 9.9 | 4,581 | 10.2 |
| Business ed. | 17.2 | 15.9 | 17.4 | 16.3 | 17.6 | 17.8 | 16.9 | 17.9 | 17.4 | 20.9 | 16.3 | 23.5 | 20.7 | 8,105 | 18.0 |
| General ed. | 15.8 | 15.8 | 21.6 | 19.4 | 18.5 | 25.2 | 21.7 | $1 \sim 5$ | 18.9 | 19.3 | 16.3 | 17.4 | 20.3 | 8,451 | 18.8 |
| Other | 4.5 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 4.3 | 6.3 | 4.1 | 7.0 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 2,259 | 5.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural-munder 1,000 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 20.9 | 22.9 | 22.2 | 10.9 | 18.4 | 9.2 | 29.6 | 29.9 | 35.3 | 20.9 | 22.7 | 6,852 | 14.9 |
| 1,000-4,999 | 9.0 | 10.8 | 16.1 | 26.4 | 12.6 | 23.1 | 20.3 | 10.6 | 25.3 | 18.3 | 21.7 | 16.8 | 21.8 | 6,949 | 15.2 |
| 5,000-24,999 | 34.5 | 16.7 | 20.5 | 27.4 | 25.0 | 28.7 | 21.5 | 25.6 | 13.1 | 44.4 | 39.6 | 27.2 | 25.8 | 12,207 | 26.8 |
| 25,000-49,999 | 14.4 | 13.5 | 1.3 | 20.9 | 9.6 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 15.3 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 4.2 | 21.7 | 3,842 | 8.4 |
| 50,000 or higher | 31.1 | 49.0 | 36.2 | 0.5 | 28.4 | 32.1 | 34.5 | 42.0 | 13.7 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 28.1 | 4.4 | 13,702 | 29.9 |
| Unknown | 4.9 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 4.6 | 10.9 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 2,282 | 5.0 |

[^29]|  | Number <br> of | Immediate <br> County | Respondents <br> Employment |  | Full-time <br> Education |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[^30]| County | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Respondents } \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> Immeitiate <br> Employment | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Full-time } \\ \text { Education } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Part-time } \\ \text { Education } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lawrence | 333 | 32.1\% | 35.1\% | 18.0\% |
| Madison | 1,505 | 23.5 | 43.2 | 18.3 |
| Marion | 5,355 | 21.3 | 45.6 | 22.2 |
| Marshall | 488 | 23.6 | 48.2 | 16.4 |
| Martin | 161 | 41.0 | 29.8 | 16.1 |
| Miami | 204 | 22.1 | 41.2 | 18.1 |
| Monroe | 80 | 16.3 | 46.3 | 25.0 |
| Montgomery | 375 | 22.9 | 45.6 | 14.1 |
| Morgan | 490 | 29.6 | 29.2 | 22.2 |
| Newton | 181 | 23.2 | 44.8 | 12.7 |
| Noble | 235 | 35.7 | 40.4 | 13.6 |
| Ohio | 56 | 32.1 | 46.4 | 16.1 |
| Orange | 254 | 29.5 | 33.5 | 22.0 |
| Owen | 105 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 26.7 |
| Parke | 64 | 14.1 | 64.1 | 9.4 |
| Perry | 112 | 42.0 | 20.5 | 17.0 |
| Pike | 138 | 32.6 | 31.9 | 18.8 |
| Porter | 726 | 27.0 | 45.5 | 15.2 |
| Posey | 282 | 27.0 | 36.5 | 18.1 |
| Pulaski | 188 | 22.9 | 46.8 | 16.0 |
| Putiam | 184 | 20.7 | 47.3 | 17.4 |
| Randolph | 230 | 28.3 | 37.4 | 19.6 |
| Ripley | 368 | 34.0 | 39.7 | 16.0 |
| Rush | 253 | 33.6 | 39.5 | 12.3 |
| St. Joseph | 2,651 | 18.1 | 46.4 | 23.3 |
| Scott | 0 | -- | -- | . |
| Shelby | 2.68 | 23.5 | 44.0 | 19.4 |
| Spencer | 248 | 26.2 | 41.9 | 18.1 |
| Starke | 220 | 36.4 | 37.3 | 14.5 |
| Steuben | 216 | 24.1 | 41.2 | 21.8 |
| Sullivan | 203 | 19.7 | 46.3 | 17.7 |
| Switzerland | 0 | -- | -- | 17 |
| Tippecanoe | 929 | 21.3 | 51.9 | 12.3 |
| Tipton | 254 | 28.0 | 39.0 | 18.9 |
| Union | 36 | 22.2 | 33.3 | 36.1 |
| Vanderburgh | 1,376 | 23.0 | 40.1 | 24.9 |
| Vermillion | 205 | 25.4 | 42.0 | 21.5 |
| Vigo | 972 | 17.4 | 43.4 | 24.5 |
| Wabash | 330 | 31.2 | 40.9 | 17.9 |
| Warren | 74 | 25.7 | 43.2 | 12.2 |
| Warrick | 360 | 16.4 | 37.5 | 33.6 |
| Washington | 79 | 36.7 | 39.2 | 15.2 |
| Wayne | 922 | 21.5 | 43.6 | 19.6 |
| Wells | 180 | 35.6 | 38.9 | 12.8 |
| White | 245 | 38.4 | 32.2 | 13.9 |
| Whitiley | 365 | 31.2 | 33.2 | 17.5 |
| 'rotal* | 46,370 | 24.0\% | 42.7\% | 20.0\% |

*Other answers giver: included military service, marriage, and other. If these responses were included, the rows would total ICJ percent.

SOURCE: Survey of high school seniors conducted by the Indiana Vocational
echnical College with cooperation from the Indiana Higher Edacation 'acilities Comprehensive Planning Study.

TABLE B-3
College Plans of 1969 High School Seniors by County

| County | Public, Main | Public, Regional | Private, <br> Indiana | Out-ofState College | ```Vocational Or Technical``` | Othex | Total No. Respondents (100\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adams | 24.6\% | 18.5\% | 18.1\% | 9.5\% | 12.1\% | 17.2\% | 23亡 |
| Allen | 16.5 | 30.1 | 9.7 | 11.9 | 13.2 | 18.7 | 1,603 |
| Bartholomew | 28.8 | 1.9 | 11.5 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 19.2 | - 52 |
| Benton | 56.3 | 3.6 | 9.8 | 4.5 | 15.2 | 10.7 | 112 |
| Blackford | 29.5 | 5.3 | 7.4 | 8.4 | 29.5 | 20.0 | 95 |
| Boone | 34.6 | 3.8 | 14.1 | 11.5 | 16.7 | 19.2 | 78 |
| Brown | 36.2 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 6.4 | 23.4 | 21.3 | 47 |
| Carroll | 36.4 | 4.5 | 11.0 | 3.2 | 28.6 | 16.2 | 154 |
| Cass | 29.2 | 6.2 | 13.5 | 9.6 | 14.6 | 27.0 | 178 |
| Clark | 18.3 | 30.9 | 3.8 | 11.1 | 18.3 | 17.6 | 551 |
| Clay | 50.3 | 0.0 | 3.0 | $8=9$ | 20.7 | 17.2 | 169 |
| Clinton | 39.5 | 2.3 | 6.4 | 7.9 | 23.3 | 20.7 | 266 |
| Crawford | 32.7 | 1.9 | 19.2 | 11.5 | 23.1 | 11.5 | 52 |
| Daviess | 48.5 | 1.5 | 11.8 | 8.8 | 15.4 | 14.0 | 136 |
| Dearborn | 35.6 | 1.1 | 10.9 | 13.8 | 16.7 | 21.8 | 174 |
| Decatur | 34.3 | 4.1 | 9.5 | 8.7 | 27.3 | 15.7 | 172 |
| DeKalb | 17.2 | 20.0 | 16.5 | 11.9 | 15.4 | 18.9 | 285 |
| Delaware | 39.2 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 23.1 | 14.7 | 19.6 | 143 |
| Dubois | 34.9 | 1.9 | 15.7 | 8.0 | 18.6 | 20.8 | 312 |
| Elkhart | 29.5 | 6.4 | 12.3 | 19.9 | 15.4 | 16.5 | 826 |
| Favette | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| Floyd | 21.0 | 32.5 | 4.2 | 8.1 | 13.9 | 20.2 | 381. |
| Fountain | 40.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 12.0 | 24.0 | 17.7 | 175 |
| Franklin | 29.9 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 9.3 | 29.9 | 25.8 | 97 |
| Fulton | 38.7 | 2.7 | 17.3 | 6.7 | 14.7 | 2C」 | 75 |
| Gibson | 20.1 | 7.9 | 20.5 | 7.9 | 26.8 | 16.9 | 254 |
| Grant | 25.6 | 1.6 | 23.2 | 9.8 | 18.5 | 21.3 | 254 |
| Greene | 34.7 | 0.6 | 10.8 | 9.1 | 21.6 | 25, 3 | 176 |
| Hamilton | 36.6 | 7.4 | 12.3 | 14.8 | 15.6 | 13.4 | 366 |
| Hancock | 31.0 | 7.9 | 12.7 | 8.7 | 22.6 | 17.1 | 252 |
| Harrison | 21.9 | 20.0 | 10.5 | 7.5 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 105 |
| Hendricks | 39.7 | 12.1 | 8.3 | 6.9 | 14.3 | 18.7 | 363 |
| Henry | 16.3 | 4.1 | 18.4 | 12.2 | 22.4 | 26.5 | 49 |
| Howard | 26.7 | 20.1 | 7.7 | 10.3 | 18.9 | 16.3 | 688 |
| Huntington | 17.6 | 14.7 | 29.4 | 17.6 | 8.8 | 12.8 | 34 |
| Jackson | 41.1 | 2. 9 | 14.1 | 12.0 | 14.5 | 15.4 | 241 |
| Jasper | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| Jay | 28.8 | 3.0 | 9.1 | 8.1 | 24.2 | 26.8 | 198 |
| Jefferson | 30.1 | 4.7 | 6.7 | 20.2 | 16.1 | 2i.3 | 193 |
| Jennings | 37.8 | 2.2 | 5.6 | 10.0 | 21.1 | 23.3 | 90 |
| Johnson | 25.4 | 9.9 | 11.1 | 9.9 | 19,4 | 24.2 | 252 |
| Knox | 44.0 | 0.9 | 6.7 | 8.4 | 15.1 | 24.9 | 225 |
| Kosciusko | 31,9 | 8.6 | 15.0 | 11.8 | 17.6 | 15.0 | 313 |
| LaGrange | 21.8 | 4.6 | 17.2 | 20.7. | 13.8 | 21.8 | 87 |
| Lake | 26.5 | 21.1 | 7.2 | 15.4 | 14.4 | 15.4 | 4,367 |
| LaPorte | 27.7 | 21.8 | 9.1 | 10.0 | 16. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | 15.3 | 987 |


| County | Purlic, Main | Public, Regional | Private, <br> Indiana | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Out-of- } \\ & \text { State } \\ & \text { College } \end{aligned}$ | Vocational or Technical | Other | Totai No. Respondents (100\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lawrerce | 38.4\% | 2.0\% | 9.6\% | 11.1\% | 19.2\% |  |  |
| Madison | 36.1 | 3.2 | 12.9 | 11.4 | $19.2 \%$ 16.3 | 19.7\% | 198 |
| Marion | 31.0 | 17.2 | 10.1 | 13.0 | 13.4 | 15.4 | $\quad 939$ |
| Marshall | 23.4 | 6.6 | 20.0 | 20.9 | 15.7 | 13.4 | 3,659 350 |
| Martin | 31.5 | 4.5 | 13.5 | 3.4 | 23.6 | 13.4 23.6 | 350 89 |
| Miar: | 35.1 | 3.0 | 12.7 | 9.7 | 23.1 | 16.4 | 134 |
| Monroe | 55.9 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 16.9 | 5.1 | 18.6 | 59 |
| Montgomery Morgan | 43.3 | 0.8 | 12.1 | 9.6 | 15.4 | 18.8 | 240 |
| Morgan Newton | 37.2 37.8 | 5.7 2.7 | 9.4 9.0 | 5.7 | 17.8 | 24.2 | 298 |
| Nobie | 26.3 | 17.5 | 9.0 11.9 | 10.8 7.5 | 22.5 | 17.1 | 111 |
| Ohio | 50.0 | 2.9 | 5.9 | 2.9 | 14.7 | 23.1 23.5 | 160 34 |
| Orange | 38.1 | 2.5 | 13.1 | 7.5 | 20.0 | 18.8 | 160 |
| Owen | 34.9 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 9.5 | 25.4 | 27.0 | + 63 |
| Parke | 47.6 | 4.8 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 16.1 | 42 |
| Perry | 17.0 | 3.8 | 15.1 | 7.5 | 24.5 | 32.1 | 53 |
| Pike | 26.5 | 4.4 | 11.8 | 2.9 | 23.5 | 30.5 | 68 |
| Porter | 29.6 | 12.1 | 10.9 | 18.9 | 11.9 | 16.7 | 497 |
| Pusey ${ }^{\text {Pulaski }}$. | 17.9 | 7.1 | 10.1 | 10.7 | 26.8 | 27.4 | 165 |
| Pulaski. Putnam | 34.6 | 2.3 | 6.8 | 12.8 | 15.0 | 28.6 | 133 |
| ${ }_{\text {Putnam }}$ Randolph | 32.5 28.0 | 1.7 3.2 | 18.8 7.2 | 14.5 | 12.8 | 19.7 | 117 |
| Ripley | 41.7 | 2,0 | 13.2 | 16.8 9.3 | 17.0 | 24.8 | 125 |
| Rush | 37.6 | 3.5 | 14.9 | 7.1 | 18.4 | 16.2 18.4 | 204 |
| St. Joseph | 22.5 | 19.8 | 9.0 | 15.6 | 18.8 | 14.3 | 1, 891 |
| Scott | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 14.3 0.0 | 1,899 0 |
| Shelby | 33.7 | 4.4 | 9.4 | 14.9 | 21.0 | 16.6 | 181 |
| Spencer | 28.0 | 0.7 | 13.3 | 8.0 | 30.7 | 19.3 | 150 |
| Starke | 33.6 | 5.5 | 10.2 | 9.4 | 20.3 | 21.1 | 128 |
| Steube:2 Sullivan | 21.7 | 3.6 | 29.0 | 13.8 | 9.4 | 22.5 | 138 |
| Sullivan | 54.3 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 3.1 | 20.5 | 15.0 | 127 |
| Sutzerland i ppecanoe | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| I Ppecanoe Tiston | 61.0 | 1.5 | 3.9 | 10.8 | 11.6 | 11.3 | 620 |
| Tipton Union | 32.3 | 15.2 | 12.2 | 9.1 | 17.7 | 13.4 | 164 |
| URion Vanderburgh | 24.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 28.0 | 36.0 | 3.0 | 25 |
| Vanderburgh | 21.3 | 19.4 | 20.4 | 8.3 | 15.6 | 14.0 | 940 |
| Vermillion | 46.2 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 16.7 | 25.0 | 6.8 | 132 |
| Wabash | 41.6 | 0.7 8.1 | 7.9 16.3 | 7.9 11.3 | 10.2 | 15.7 | 693 |
| Warren | 43.5 | 0.0 | 16.3 4.3 | 15.2 | 8.1 17.4 | 14.5 | 221 |
| Warrick | 20.1 | 11.5 | 14.3 | 5.4 | 29.0 | 19.6 | 46 279. |
| Washington | 35.7 | 14.3 | 2,4 | 15.0 | 19.0 | 9.5 | 42 |
| Wayne | 29.1 | 4.2 | 9.1 | 15.2 | 19.6 | 22.9 | 506 |
| Wells | 25.5 | 15.7 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 17.6 | 21.6 | 102 |
| White | 45.2 | 2.5 | 8.6 | 10.2 | 17.8 | 16.6 | 157 |
| Whitley | 12.9 | 19.0 | 16.8 | 7.8 | 14.2 | 29.3 | 232 |
| Total state | 30.3 | 13.4 | 10.4 | 12.2 | 16.4 | 17.3 | 30,683 |

Survey of high school seniors conducted by the Indiana Vocational

## APPENDIX C

CLASSIFICATION OF INDIANA COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES

1967
Institution
Total Enrollment

1. State universities

Bali Siate 14,124
Indiana State 12,663
Indiana 27,098
Purdue $\quad \underline{23,370}$
Total 77,255
2. Kegional campuses 32,993

Vincennes University $\quad 2,244$
Tota1 112,492
3. IVTC or other public post-high school vocational schools
4. Private universities

| Butler | 4,246 |
| ---: | ---: |
| Evansville | $5,2.57$ |
| Notre Dame | 7,723 |
| Valparaiso | 3,797 |
| Centers | 428 |
| Total | 21,451 |

5. Private colleges--Group I

| Anderson | 1,530 |
| :--- | ---: |
| DePaww | 2,450 |
| Earlham | $1,153$. |
| $\quad$ Eastern Indiana Center | 669 |
| Goshen | 1,263 |
| Hanover | 1,020 |
| Indiana Central | 2,524 |
| Manchester | 1,483 |
| Marian | 1,060 |
| St. Joseph | 1,373 |
| $\quad$ Centers | 1,130 |
| Taylor | 1,281 |
| Wabash | 891 |
| Total | 17,825 |

- 6. Private colleges--Group II

Bethel 479
Franklin 723
Grace 671
Huntington 490
Marion 739
Oakland City 611
Total 3,712
7. Catholic girls colleges

Sc. こanedist 278
St. Francis 1,721
St. Mary's 1,414
St. Mary's of the Woods $\underline{648}$
Total 4,061
8. Engineering and technical colleges

Indiana Institute of Technology . 1,118.
Rose Polytechnic Institute 970
Tri-State College $\quad 1,859$
Total 3,947
9. Religion and theological schools

Christian Theological Seminary 255
Fort Wayne Bible College 526
St. Meinrad Stminary $\underline{427}$
Total 1,208

Total enroliments
Public 112,492
Private
52,204
Total
164,696
SOURCE: Developed by Indiana Higher Education Facilities Comprehensive Planning Study for the purpose of institutional generalization.

## APPENDIX D

## A PROFILE OF FULL-TIME FALL SEMESTER STUDENTS AT INDIANA UIIVERSITY'S DIVISION OF GENERAL AND TECHNICAL STUDIES FORT WAYNE

## Preface

During the fall semester of the 1967-68 academic year, 154 new students enrolled for full-time (12 or more semester hours) sudy with Indiana University's Division of General and Technical Studies in Fort Wayne. In the pages which follow, one will find statistical data, supplemented by narrative, relating to this student population--the purpose being to develop a more accurate impression of what type student is representative of those attending the Division. Where did he go to high school? What did he major in? Did he achieve well in high school? From what socio-economic level does he come? These are the kinds of questions which will be answered through statistical analysis.

## Method

The data contained herein were obtained by three methods:

1. a sho t questionnaire distributed at the beginning of an English class common to first year students
2. examination of students' university application forms in cumulative records
3. examination of Part $I$ of the registration form used by all university students

Stuients who failed to complete questionnaires were mailed the questionnaire, alon, with a self-addressed envelope, and were asked to zomplete and return them. By the time this work was culminated, complexe information was available on 120 of the 154 students. On the 34 remaining students, oniy partial data were available because some had already dropped out for academic or personal (marriage, moving from city, etc.) reasons, some had incomplete high school transcripts already processed by admissions, and a few were still being processed by admissions because of incomplete forms. In areas where data were available on all 154 students (such as high school rank, etc.), it is included and called to the reader's attention. Likewise, in other areas (such as personal information about family) where questionnaire data were a requisite, the number of respondees (120) is indicated.

## Gender



## Familial Patterns

Size
(number of sons and daughters in family)

| one child | 10 |
| :--- | ---: |
| t.wo children | 25 |
| three children | 33 |
| four children | 22 |
| five children | 15 |
| six children | 7 |
| seven children | 3 |
| eight children | 3 |
| nine children | 2 |

N . . . 120 These figures were obtained via the questionnaire. The students responding represent an average-sized family household of 5.56 people. This figure is considerably larger than the national average of 3.4 and the Fort Wayne area average of 3.3 members per household.

Level of Parents' Formal Educations

|  | Father | Mother |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less than high school | 26 | 24 |
| High school graduate | 74 | 77 |
| College graduate (father only) | 12 |  |
| College graduate (mother only) |  | 11 |
| College graduates (both parents) | 8 | 8 |
| Attended Indians University | 3 | 1 |

N. . 120 | These figures from the questionnaire indicate that the |
| :--- |
| majority of Division students came from backgrounds where |

parents formal educations had been limited but where
recognition of the need for formal education exists.
It is logical to assume that the smaller number of stu-
dents having college-gradvate parents is due to the fact
that such parents would be likely to encourage their
children to enter baccalaureate programs if possible.

Parental Work Patterns (outsid the home)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Father oni:" } 50 \\
& \text { Mother only } \\
& \text { Both parents } \\
& \text { Neither }
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { N. . } 120 \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { These figures came from the questionnaire. In cases where } \\
\text { neither parent works outside the home, the child usually } \\
\text { has a deceased father or one who is a disabled veteran or } \\
\text { disabled worker recei: ing benefits. }
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { Investigation shows that the percentage of "working mothers" } \\
\text { of Division si:udents is hightr than the average in the } \\
\text { Fort Wayne community, where it is noruml for } 1 \text { of } 3 \text { married } \\
\text { women to be employed outside the home it any given time. }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

This percentage, however, is not regular from one age group to another. Sypically, the percentage is higher for mothers of college-age children, probably due to (1) the fact that mothers of children this age are usually more free of chiid-rearing obligations and can work and (2) the increased financial burden of educational costs for grown children may mandate the necessity for the mother's return to the labor market. The latter factors tend to explain the relatively high percentage of students who have "working mothers."

## Type Work Done by Father cf Household

Craftsman (iypical. responses: carpenter, plumber,
glass-cutter, millwright, tinner)

Laborer-unskilled (typical responses: factory worker,
station attendant, machanic, maintenance,
drill press operator, assembly line worker) 48
Supurvisory-industrial (typical responses: foreman, manager, supervisor, inspection director) 18

Management-「usiness (typical responses: personnel director, hospital administrator, vicepresident of sales)8

Professional-requ. -ing formal education (typical responses: mortician, accountart, registered nurse, teacher, research technologist)

8
Self-employed (Lypical responses: dairy farmer,
insurance, realtor, contractor, part owner
of business, landscaping business owner) 25
Service Occupations (typical responses: federal government, policeman, postal worker, scout executive)

7
Deceased, disabled, or retired 3
N . . . 120 Students were asked, "what is the nature of your father's employment (give exact duties)?" Responses were then categorized as listed above. It is interesiing to note the high correlation between the level of the parents' education and the nature of their occupational levels as a group.

## The Studert Himself

High Schoo1 Major

This figure from the questionnaire indicates that a high percentage of all high school students pursue the college preparatory course; but, in reality, a much smaller percentage actually enter college (defined here as a baccalaureate program). It is not unusual when speaking to a high school group to ask the question, "How many of you plan to go on to college?" and see $95 \%$ of the hands raised. This is probably due to two major reasons: First, the student is forced to pursue a college preparatory course even if he has only a remote thought about college; otherwise, he will lack the necessary units for admission should he become more serious and seek such an education. He cannot study "what he really thinks he wants to" in high school (e.g.business) for fear he may change his mind later and find the cpportunity for baccalaureate study ended when he made a decision in his freshman year. Second, he may simply have unreal aspirations. He may be completely unable to cope with college work and not learn this until applying for baccalaureate work, only to find he is inadmissible because of low high school rank or board scores. This is very unfortunate but undoubtedly occurs more often than counselors would like to realize.

Academic Status in High School (class graduation rank)
1st Quartile (upper 25\%) ..... 22
2nd Quartile ..... 43
3rd Quartile ..... 48
4th Quartile (1ower 25\%) ..... 35
GED ..... 4
not shown on application ..... 2

These complete figures were obtained from student application forms. As would be expected, most of the Division students fell within the middle $50 \%$ of their graduating classes. The figures in the upper and lower quartiles, however, indicate that while many superior high school graduates are recognizing and seeking opportunities through technology, othere with weaker academic backgrounds likewise envision this program as one in which they can succeed.
Major Areas of Concentration at
Division of General and Technical Studies
Accounting ..... 23
Data Processing ..... 23
Marketing/Distribution ..... 15
Office Technology ..... 15
Operations Supervision ..... 11
X-Ray Technology ..... 26
Undecided ..... 7

N . . . 120 , Asids from the X-Ray Technology program, which is a cooperative progren with the three local hospitals, the two most popular programs are Accounting and Data Processing. Again, this is in keeping with curricular experience in the fall of 1966 .

Outside Employment by Students

| Hrs. per week | Earnings |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $0-5$ | 0 | Less than $\$ 10$ | 0 |
| $6-10$ | 8 | $\$ 10-20$ | 14 |
| $11-15$ | 11 | $20-30$ | 15 |
| $16-20$ | 7 | $30-40$ | 16 |
| $21-30$ | 30 | $40-50$ | 9 |
| $31-40$ | 6 | 50 plus | $\underline{13}$ |
| 40 or more | $\underline{5}$ |  | 67 |
|  | 67 total |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

N . . . 120 Not including the 22 X-Ray students who work at their respective hospitals, we find 67 of the remaining 98 students work for remuneration on some type of hourly basis. This high ratio may be indicative of a real need for money, or it may reflect an attitude regarding the relative importance of time for academic work. There is little doubt that the number of hours worked has been reflected in the grades of some students, especially those who are slightly borderline to begin. This must be a vital message to convey to future incoming students lest they suffer the effects of poor judgment in respect to outside employment while carrying normal academic course loads.

Other Post-High School Educational Experiences Prior to the Division of General and Technical Studies
At Regional Campus ..... 10
At Bloomington ..... 2
International Business College ..... 3
Irdiana Institute of Technology ..... 2
Finishing Schools ..... 4
Loyola ..... 1
Marshalltown Jr. (Iowa) ..... I
Defiance ..... 1

N . . . 120 This figure is from the questionnaire. Most, though not all, of these students were ineligible to return as students in good standing and were admitted for limited course loads on immediate probation. Their degree of success thus far has been quite varied.

## General Conclusions

Taking a look at the "average" full-time ( 12 hrs . or more) student at the Division of General and Technical Studies the fall semester of 1967-68, we find the student is somewhat more likely to be a male (89 to 65) from the city of Fort Wayne. He is likely to come from a relatively large family where the parents have completsd high school but have seidom attended college. In the majority of cases, both of the student's parents work outside the home, and the father's employment is most likely to be of a non-professional nature.

The student himself is most likely to have pursued the college preparatory course in high schoal and to have graduated somewhere in the middle $50 \%$ of his class. The odds are 2 to 1 that he holds some type of outside monetary employment while attending school as a fuli-time student. He comes from what a sociologist would describe as a predominantly middle-class background and reflects the values of that general group.

SOURCE: Prepared by -- Wade Fredrick, director of admissions, Division of General and Technical Studies, Indiana University, Fort Wayne, Indiana.
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APPENDIX $F$<br>SELF-PROJECTIONS OF ENROLLMENT BY INDIANA UNIVERSITY AND PURDUE UNIVERSITY

The sources for the tables in Appendix $F$ are:
Indiana University data are from "Fall Enrollment Frojections" (Bloomington, Ind.: Indiana University Division of Regional Administration, December 12, 1967). The Indiana University projections include only credit students.

Purdue University data are from H. H. Hirschl, "Fall 1968 Edition: Regionai Campus Enrollment Report and Projection for First Semester 1966-67 through 1978-79" (West Lafayette, Ind.: Purdue University Regional Campus Administration, October 30, 1968) and H. H. Hirsch1, "Regional Campus Enrollment Report \& Projection for First Semester 1966-67 through 1977-78" (West Lafayette, Ind.: Purdue University Regional Gampus Administration, October, 1967). Note that Purdue projections extend only to 1978-79 and that in this report these projections were extended to 1985-86 for comparative purposes. An attempt was made to use consistent methodology, but the 1985 projections should not be attributed to Hirschl.
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For the Southeast Campus 2.65 part-time undergraduates $=1$ full-time, ard 2.4 graduates $=1$ full-time.
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Purdue University Regional Campus Projections: $1968,1978,1985$ All Students
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| Campus | Full-time Undergraduates | Part-tine* <br> Undergraduates | Graduate Students | Noncredit Students | Undergraduates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calumet |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1968-69 | 1,620 | 1,207 | 684 | 399 | 2,827 |
| 1978-79 | 4,405 | 1,531 | 1,080 | 586 | 5,936 |
| \% Increase | 171.9\% | 26.8\% | 57.9\% | 46.9\% | 110.0\% |
| 1985-86 | 5,257 | 1,760 | 1,150 | 719 | 7,017 |
| \% Increase | 19.3\% | 15.0\% | 6.5\% | 22.7\% | 18,2\% |
| Fort Wayne |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1968-69 | 1,126 | 1,235 | 316 | 66 | 2,361 |
| 1978-79 | 3,803 | 1,577 | 540 | 104 | 5,380 |
| \% Increase | 237.7\% | 27.7\% | 70.9\% | 57.6\% | 127.9\% |
| 1985-86 | 4,519 | 1,812 | 610 | 136 | 6,331 |
| \% Increase | 18.8\% | 14.9\% | 13.0\% | 30.3\% | 17.7\% |
| Indianapolis |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1968-69 | 1,284 | 1,796 | 666 | 179 | 3,080 |
| 1978*79 | 3,849 | 2,299 | 1,030 | 265 | 6,143 |
| \% Increase | 199.8\% | 28.0\% | 54.9\% | 48.4\% | 99.6\% |
| 1985-86 | 4,562 | 2,642 | 1,1.00 | 325 | 7,204 |
| \% Increase | 18.5\% | 14.9\% | 6.8\% | 22.6\% | 17.2\% |
| North Central |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1968-69 | 464 | 608 | 121 | --- | 1,072 |
| 1578-79 | 1,708 | 778 | 340 | --- | 2,486 |
| \% Increase | 268.1\% | 28.0\% | 181.0\% | --- | 131.9\% |
| 1985-86 | 2,036 | 895 | 410 | --- | 2,931 |
| \% Increase | 19.2\% | 15.0\% | 20.6\% | --- | 17.9\% |
| All Campuses |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1968-69 | 4,494 | 4,846 | 1,787 | 644 | 9,340 |
| 1978-79 | 13,765 | 6,185 | 2,990 | 955 | 19,950 |
| \% Increase | 206.3\% | 27.6\% | 67.3\% | 48.3\% | 113.6\% |
| 1985-86 | 16,388 | 7,109 | 3,270 | 1,180 | 23,497 |
| \% Increase | 19.1\% | 14.9\% | 9.4\% | 23.6\% | 17.8\% |



| A11 <br> Students* |
| :--- |
| 11,771 |
| 18,941 |
| 30,712 |
|  |
| 23,895 |
| 41,956 |
| 65,851 |
|  |
| $103.0 \%$ |
| 121.5 |


| Graduates | Noncredit Students | Undergraduates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,787 | 644 | 9,340 |
| 2,767 | 700 | 15,977 |
| 4,554 | 1,344 | 25,317 |
| 2,990 | 955 | 19,950 |
| 4,491 | 2,530 | 37,465 |
| $7 \times 481$ | 3,485 | 57,415 |
| 67.3\% | 48.3\% | 113.6\% |
| 62.3 | 261.4 | 134.5 |
| 3,270 | 1,180 | 23,497 |
| 4,568 | $\underline{2,736}$ | 38,140 |
| 7,838 | 3,916 | 61,637 |


*Includes only credit students for Indiana University (graduate and undergraduate), and noncredit
students enrolled in the Division of General and Technical Studies at Fort Wayne only.

|  | Full-time <br> Undergraduates |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1968-69 |  |
| Purdue U. | 4,494 |
| Indiara U. | 7,776 |
| Total | 12,270 |
| 1978-79 |  |
| Purdue U. | 13,765 |
| Indiana U. | 24,745 |
| Total | 38,510 |
| \% Increase 1968-78 |  |
| Purdue U. | 206.3\% |
| Indiana U. | 218.2 |
| 1985 |  |
| Purdue U. | 16,388 |
| Indiana U. | 25,270 |
| Total | 41,658 |
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## APPENDIX G

TOTAL UNDERGRADUATE ENROLLMENT IN INDIANA COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES, RY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, PROJECTED FROM 1967 to 1985

| County | Total 1967-68 Undergraduates | Percent of 1967 Total. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Undergraduates, } \\ 1985-86 \\ \text { Projection* } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adams | 507 | 0.372\% | 837 |
| Allen | 4,647 | 3.412 | 7,677 |
| Bartholomew | 1,051 | 0.771 | 1,734 |
| Benton | 298 | 0.218 | 490 |
| Blackford | 219 | 0.160 | 360 |
| Boone | 573 | 0.420 | 945 |
| Brown | 112 | 0.082 | 184 |
| Carroll | 282 | 0.207 | 465 |
| Cass | 810 | 0.594 | 1,336 |
| Clark | 1,141 | 0.837 | 1,883 |
| Clay | 555 | 0.407 | 915 |
| Clinton | 602 | 0.442 | 994 |
| Crawford | 130 | 0.095 | 213 |
| Daviess | 521 | 0.382 | 859 |
| Dearborn | 321 | 0.235 | 529 |
| Decatur | 362 | 0.265 | 596 |
| DeKalb | 543 | 0.398 | 895 |
| Delaware | 2,311 | 1.695 | 3,814 |
| Dubois | 611 | 0.448 | 1,008 |
| Elkhart | 1,904 | 1.398 | 3,145 |
| Fayette | 376 | 0.276 | 621 |
| Floyd | 812 | 0.596 | 1,341 |
| Fountain | 279 | 0.204 | 459 |
| Franklin | 252 | 0.185 | 416 |
| Fulton | 342 | 0.251 | 565 |
| Gibson | 643 | 0.472 | 1,062 |
| Grant. | 1,336 | 0.980 | 2,205 |
| Greene | 579 | 0.425 | 956 |
| Hamilton | 978 | 0.718 | 1,615 |
| Hancock | 565 | 0.414 | 1,631 |
| Harrison | 291 | 0.213 | 479 |
| Hendricks | 988 | 0.725 | 1,631 |
| Henry | 810 | 0.594 | 1,336 |
| Howard | 1,458 | 1.070 | 2,407 |
| Huntington | 676 | 0.496 | 1,116 |
| Jackson | 537 | 0.394 | 886 |
| Jasper | 299 | 0.219 | 493 |

Total 1967-68 Undergraduates

County
Jay
Jefferson 336
Jennings 181
Johnson 929
Knox 883
Kosciusko 877
La Grange . 175
Lake
La Porte
Lawrence
Madison
Marion
Marshall
Martin
11, 128
2,160
547
2,302
16,895
670

Miami 191
Miami 566
Monroe $\quad 2,117$
Montgomery 620
Morgan 559
Newton 243
Noble 476
Ohio 34
Orange 210
Owen 152
Parke 274
Perry 275
Pike 193
Porter $\quad 1,498$
Posey
Pulaski 214
Putnam 433
Randolph . 487
Ripley 321
Rush 368
St. Joseph 5,163
Scott
174
Shelby 554
Spencer 291
Starke 233
Steuben 344
Sullivan 415
Switzerland 46
Tippecanoe 2,195
Tipton
311
93
Vanderburgh

Percent of 1967 Total

Undergraduates, 1985-86
Projection*
$0.260 \% \quad 585$
$0.246 \quad 553$
$0.132 \quad 297$
$0.682 \quad 1,534$
$0.648 \quad 1,458$
$0.643 \quad 1,446$
$0.128 \quad 288$
8.170 . 18,382
1.586 3,568
$0.401 \quad 902$
$1.690 \quad 3,802$
$12.405 \quad 27,911$
$0.491 \quad 1,104$
0.140

315
$0.415 \quad 934$
$1.554 \quad 3,496$
0.455 . 1,024
$0.410 \quad 922$
$0.178 \quad 400$
$0.349 \quad 785$
$0.024 \quad 54$
0.154346
0.111 . 249
$0.201 \quad 452$
$0.201 \quad 452$
$0.141 \quad 317$
$1.099 \quad 2,472$
0.299

672
0.157 . 353
0.317 . 713
$0.357 \quad 803$
$0.235 \quad 528$
0.270

607
3.790

8,5்27
0.127

286
0.406913
$0.213 \quad 479$
$0.171 \quad 385$
0.252567
$0.304 \quad 684$
$0.033 \quad 74$
$1.611 \quad 3,624$
$0.228 \quad 513$
$0.068 \quad 153$
$3.730 \quad 8,392$
(continued)

| County | Total 1967-68 Undergraduates | Percent of 1967 Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Undergraduates, } \\ 1985-85 \\ \text { Projection* } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vermillion | 351 | 0.257\% | 578 |
| Vigo | 2,847 | 2.090 | 4,702 |
| Wabash | 713 | 0.523 | 1,177 |
| Warren | 141 | 0.103 | 232 |
| Warrick | 447 | 0.328 | 738 |
| Washington | 256 | 0.187 | 421 |
| Wayne | 1,388 | 1.019 | 2,455 |
| Wells | 451 | 0.331 | 745 |
| White | 417 | 0.306 | 688 |
| Whitley | 389 | 0.285 | 641 |
| Total Indiana undergraduates in Indiana institutions | 98,098 | 72.029 | 162,096 |
| Total undergraduates, Indiana institutions | 136,191 | 100.000 | 225,000 |

[^31]
[^0]:    $1_{\text {Fur }}$ ther information on the 1968 college freshman census is available from Thomas M. Elifott, Report of the 1968 Indiana Freshman Student Census, Research Report RCCC 69-1 (Bloomington, Ind.: Regional Campus Coordinating Committee, May 26,1969 ). The 1969 high school senior census wili be reprinted in more detail in a forthcoming publication.
    ${ }^{2}$ U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Toward a Long-Range Plan for Federal Financial Support for Higher Education, a report to the President (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Govt. Printing Office, January, 1969), p. 5.

[^1]:    ${ }^{6}$ Report of the 1968 Indiana Freshman Student Census, pp. 20-24.
    ${ }^{7}$ Report of the 1968 Indiana Freshman Student Census, p. 24.

[^2]:    $8_{\text {Table }} 4$ was not based on high school graduates because of the difficulty in obtaining data on the size of high school graduating classes in Indiana. Data in the 1968 freshman census are based on an estimate of high school graduates made by the application of a constant historical percentage rate to information on enrollment in the twelfth grade, as reported by the State Superintendent of Public Instruction.

    9
    This net migration figure is for the entire county population and is not age specific. The figure in parenthesis represents the county participation rate rank position. See Indiana Population Profections 1965-1985 (Bloomington, Ind.: Bureau of Business Research, September, 1966), Appendix D, "Migration Rates."
    ${ }^{10}$ Similar comparisons can be made for any county by examining the tables in Appendix $A$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{11}$ The footnote IVTC region designations were used so that comparisons might be made with the 1969 high school senior survey.

    12
    Region 11 includes: Jennings, Ripley, Dearborn, Ohio, Switzerland, and Jefferson counties. See the following discussion about variations in ranks for this region in the 1968 freshman census.

    13"Survey of Buying Power," Sales Management, Vol. 71 (June 10, 1967).

[^4]:    14 For a more detailed discussion of the procedural steps followed in test factor standardization, see Morris Rosenberg, "Test Factor Standardization as a Method of Interpretation," Social Forces, Vol. 40 (October, 1962), pp. 53-61.

[^5]:    * Percentages attending college coraputed from figures reported in Parkhurst and Suddarth, "Enrollment Projections." See Table 4.
    +1966 DHI figures taken from "Survey of Buying Power," Sales Management, Vol. 101 (June 10, 1967).
    $\ddagger$ Number of counties.

[^6]:    ${ }^{15}$ See Appendix A for data from 1968 freshman census.

[^7]:    $16^{\text {Nelson }}$ M. Parkhurst and Betty Suddarth, "Potential. Enrollment for Indiana Cc!leges and Universities, 1968 to 1985," Higher Education in Indiana, Current Status Report 2 (Bloomington, Ind.: The Indiana Advisory Commission on Academic Facilities, 1968).

[^8]:    ${ }^{17}$ Ralph F. Birdie and Albert B. Hood, "Trans and Post Hegh School Plans Over an 11-Year Period," Cooperative Research Project 951 (Minneapolis: Student Counseling Bureau, University of Minnesota, 1963), p. 147. This project was supported by the U. S. Department af Health, Education, and We lfare.

[^9]:    ${ }^{18}$ "Trans and Post-High School Plans Over an 11-Year Period," p. 4.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ For this report we consider the Eastern Indiana Center of Earlham College in Richmond as a "regional campus." This facility includes representation from Purdue, Indiana University, Ball State, IVrC, and Earlham College,

[^11]:    ${ }^{5}$ Location criteria for the establishment of a public institution are discussed in Part II of this report, and projections of potential enrollment suggest a test of the practicality of this measure.

[^12]:    (continued)

[^13]:    ${ }^{6}$ It should be noted that the distances shown represent miles from one city limit to the other, not to the campus. However, this meshing of areas represents no more of a problem for the researcher than that of determining the exact origin of the student. The student may not live and work in the same city if adjacent cities are involved. His residence may be an accident of boundry lines and not truly related to accessibility to the campus. Furthermore, the individual student is often more concerned witt accessibility to the highway system than with extra miles to an adjacent city.

[^14]:    ${ }^{7}$ The regions oi: the state used in Table 20 are those defined by the Indiana Vocational Technical College, and it differs somewhat from the regions developed by the Indiana University Bureau of Business Research which were adopted as official economic regions of the state (see Bureau of Business Research, Indiana Business Review, Vole 4? (December, 1967). The purpose of this discussion is to test the validity of any-not just IVTC--regional system (other than one defined in terms of highway miles) for deteimining a local market for education. For this purpose, the IVTC regions, defined for educational purposes, are proper ones to examine. Furthermore, the comparison is helpfu? in analyzing regional data from the 1969 high school senior questionnaire, which is divided by IVTC region.
    ${ }^{8}$ Out-of-state students were not reported by county of residence.

[^15]:    SOURCE: Division of General and Technical Studies, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Table 22 is based on a major national study (Project TALENT). For indications of Indiana participation by SES and ability groups, see the discussion of 1969 high school senior survey in Part 1 of this study.

[^17]:    4Estimates made by Booz, Allen \& Hamilton for Indiana Vocational Technical College. See 1967 Indiana Manpower Research Conference Proceedings (Indianapolis: Indiana Employment Security Division, 1968), p. 163.

[^18]:    ${ }^{5}$ See Tables 4 and 5 in Part $I$.

[^19]:    ${ }^{6}$ The 18-21 age group discussed here is based upon actual births adjusted for mortality rates, and this college-age population for Indiana is expected to deeline from 421,632 in 1975 to 371,234 in 1985 (see P=rkhurst and Suddarth, "Enrollment Projections"' Table 5). On the other hand, the figures available from the census are for the 20-24 age group. This census age grouping has been projected in an Indiana population study by the Indiana University Bureau of Business Research and can be used for comparison with the U.S. Office of Education vocational education statistics shown in Table 24, although this is not the age grosp typically considered college age. The Indiana $20-24$ age group is projected to increase slightly from 492,561 in 1975 to 523,624 in 1985 (Series A assumes no net migration and slightly declining mortality rates).

[^20]:    ${ }^{7}$ U.S. Senate, Committee on Labor and Public Welfare, Subcommittee on Education, "Notes and Working Papers Concerning the Administration of Programs Athorized Under Vocational Education Act of 1963," 90th Cong., 2nd Sess. (Washington D. C.: U.S. Govt. Printing Office, March, 1968), p. 358 and Dp. 366-67.

[^21]:    ${ }^{11}$ Higher Education in Kentucky, 1965-1975, the report of the Survey Team fur the Long-Range Study of Higher Education in Kentucky to the Kentucky Commission on Higher Education (Lexington: January 31, 1966), pp. 256-57.

[^22]:    ${ }^{12}$ Similar criteria are discussed by clenn D. Williams, "Toward More Effective Jumior College Ristricts," Jurior College Journal,' Vo1. 31 (February, 1961), pp. 305-6.
    ${ }^{13}$ Estimated: July 1, 1967, by the Indiana State Board of Health.

[^23]:    ${ }^{4}$ Vincennes University is the only public two-year campus in the state, and its enrollment is included in the total for $U$, the state universities. Although enrollment is not specifically projected, estimates based on a method similar to System 3 for two-year institutions suggest a 1985 enrollment of 1,321 . (This is 55 percent $B, 10$ percent $A$, and 5 percent county age group 18-21). This is a decline from the 1967 enrollment, and it is probable that no such decline will occur if Vincennes remains the only twoyear public institution in the state. In fact, even with new institutions, Vincennes University could be expected to continue attracting students from throughout the state.

[^24]:    ${ }^{5}$ No increase from an adjacent county is projected of that county also has a $T$; only 5 percent is projected if the county is adjacent to more than one $T$.

[^25]:    6If liarion College, for example, enrolled 30 percent of the Grant County undergraduates in 1985, 662 undergraduates would be enrolled. Basic reductions assumed in System 5 as a result of the $T$ in Grant County include only 772 undergraduates--221 from the $R_{f}$ at Kokomo, 442 from Ball State University, and 109 from the state universities at large (the remainder of the enroilmenc assumed for the $T$ in Grant County results from increases in basic enrolment or vocational enrollment). If a iwo-year institution were located in the county, it is unlikely that Marion College would lose more than a small portion of the 662 undergraduaces from that county--perhaps the 109 assumed to be shifting from the state universitiss at large. The private institutions in the other counties would be affected to a relatively smaller degree.

[^26]:    ${ }^{7}$ Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, "Toward A Long-Range Plan for Federal Financial Support for Higher Education: A Report to the President" (Washington, D.C.: U.S. vernment Printing Office, January, 1969).

[^27]:    Enrollment breakdown, 1985

[^28]:    

[^29]:     Higher Education Facilities Comprehensive Planning Study.

[^30]:    (continued)

[^31]:    *For purposes of projection in this report, it is assumed that there will be 225,000 undergraduates in Indiana colleges and universities in 1985-86, with the same portion of the total coming from each county as in 1967-68. The figures for 1985 are "basic county enrollment" and do not include increases that might result from the creation of new institutions in the state. This method allows for the extension of the multiple influences on college attendance (as indicated by "participation rate") into the future without guantifying these influences. A change in basic county structure (for example, family income levels) would result in changing influences not considered here.

    SOURCE: 1967-68 enrollment is based on Ne1son M. Parkhurst and Betcy Suddarth, Potential Enrollment for Indiana Colleges and Universities, Current Status Report 2 (Bloomington, Ind.: Indiana Advisory Commission on Academic Facilities, 1968) .

