

Mark Ashton Consents Manager Energy Consents Unit Scottish Government 5 Atlantic Quay 150 Broomielaw Glasgow G2 8LU

Date: Contact: Alison Daugherty Phone: 01434 611300

04/05/2021 E-mail: Alison.daugherty@vattenfall.com

Clashindarroch II Wind Farm (ECU Reference: ECU00002002)

Dear Mark,

I'm writing to confirm our recent discussion regarding the minor drafting error within the Section 36 submission documents for Clashindarroch II Wind Farm.

When the application was submitted in December 2019 the application included Application Boundary figures as follows:

- Application Boundary Overview Figure 1
- Application Boundary Part A Figure 2.1
- Application Boundary Part B Figure 2.2

These figures show a red line Application boundary. Due to a drafting error this red line deviates very slightly from the forest coupe edges in two places, and therefore excludes two very small areas of forestry totaling 0.17ha, as shown below.



The yellow line illustrates the submitted Application boundary; the proposed amended boundary includes the edge of the forest coupe. Wind turbine 1 is shown as a yellow dot for location reference.



The yellow line illustrates the submitted Application boundary; the proposed amended boundary includes the edge of the forest coupe. Wind turbine 12 is shown as a yellow dot for location reference.



The purpose of this correspondence is to seek agreement to substitute the submitted application boundary figures with ones which include the omitted small areas of forestry within the red line. Please find the following revised figures attached for your reference:

- Application Boundary Overview Figure 1 (amended)
- Application Boundary Part A Figure 2.1 (amended)
- Application Boundary Part B Figure 2.2 (amended)

We do not consider that the amended application drawings require to be advertised or consulted upon under the Electricity (Applications for Consent) Regulations 1990 as the changes are not material.

The omitted areas were fully considered and assessed throughout the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process and have been reported on in the submitted EIA Report as such it is not considered that the conclusions of the EIA would be affected by the proposed minor amendments to the boundary. However, all figures within Volume 3 Figures and Volume 4 Technical Appendices of the EIA have been reviewed to assess the relevance of the red line Application boundary to either the survey area or any mitigation proposed as part of the EIA.

EIA Topic	Survey Area
Landscape and Visual Impact	Application boundary has no impact on assessment.
	Study area based on a 40km zone around outermost turbines.
Ornithology	Application boundary has no impact on assessment.
	Survey area covers the full extent of the development area based
	on turbine location.
	Protection measures are based upon buffers around sensitive
	locations (eg nest sites).
Ecology	Application boundary line has no impact on assessment.
	Survey area covers the full extent of the development area based
	on turbine location.
	Protection measures are based upon buffers around sensitive
	locations (eg den sites).
Cultural Heritage	Application boundary has no impact on assessment.
	Outer Survey area based on 5km buffer around turbine locations.
	Inner Survey area based on boundary line but any assets within the
	areas in question would have been identified.
Hydrology, Hydrogeology and	Application boundary has no impact on assessment.
Peat	Study area included all infrastructure locations, as well as at least a
	5km buffer of this to assess local water use and quality.
Carbon	Application boundary has no impact on assessment.
Highways, Traffic and Transport	Application boundary has no impact on assessment.
	Study area is defined as the public road network in the vicinity of
	the proposed development.
Noise	Application boundary has no impact on assessment.
	Study area identifies any noise sensitive receptors within 5km of
	the nearest turbine.
Socio-economics	Application boundary has no impact on assessment.
	Study area comprises a Wider Study Area (on a regional basis) and
	an Inner Study Area which is based on a 5km buffer from the site



	boundary, however high sensitivity receptors beyond that 5km buffer were considered.
Forestry	Application boundary has no impact on assessment.
	Study area included the whole forest coupe areas within the forest
	study area.

For the purposes of clarity, we also propose to substitute the following figures of the EIA Report with amended versions (as attached) which include the amended red line boundary:

- Figure 1.2 Application Boundary (within Volume 3a Figures)
- Figure 3.2.6 Wind Farm Fell Plan (within Volume 4a Technical Appendix 3.2)
- Figure 3.2.7 Wind Farm Restock Plan (within Volume 4a -Technical Appendix 3.2)

We don't consider that the amended EIA Report figures need to be advertised or consulted upon under the Electricity Works (Environmental Impact Assessment) (Scotland) Regulations 2017 as the changes are not substantive and the areas were considered within the assessment.

I would be grateful if you could please confirm that the substitutions as set out in this correspondence are acceptable.

Your sincerely,



Alison Daugherty

Enc:

Application Boundary Overview Figure 1 (amended)
Application Boundary Part A Figure 2.1 (amended)
Application Boundary Part B Figure 2.2 (amended)
Figure 1.2 Application Boundary (amended)
Figure 3.2.6 Wind Farm Fell Plan (amended)
Figure 3.2.7 Wind Farm Restock Plan (amended)











