

First report of *Teratosphaeria pseudoecalypti* in Uruguay

S. Soria · R. Alonso · L. Bettucci · S. Lupo

Received: 11 March 2014 / Accepted: 21 August 2014 / Published online: 9 September 2014
© Australasian Plant Pathology Society Inc. 2014

Abstract *Teratosphaeria pseudoecalypti* was found causing leaf blight disease on *Eucalyptus globulus* and *E. maidenii* in forestry plantations in Uruguay. The pathogen was identified by morphological characteristics and sequence analysis of the ITS-2, part of the β T and part of the EF-1 α DNA.

Keywords *Eucalyptus* plantations · Leaf spot · Phylogeny · *Teratosphaeria* · South America

In Uruguay, after the approval of the forestry law in 1987, the forested area with exotic species increased from 25,000 to 990,030 ha in 2012. *Eucalyptus* is the most widely planted genus, covering 726,323 ha. The main planted species of *Eucalyptus* are: *E. globulus* (51 %), *E. grandis* (34 %) and *E. dunnii* (11 %) (MGAP 2013).

Plantations for industrial purpose with short cycle, high density and uniform genotypes, could favor the development of pathogens. Mycosphaerella Leaf Disease (MLD) caused by species of *Mycosphaerella* and *Teratosphaeria* represent one of the major diseases affecting *Eucalyptus* spp. worldwide. Many species of *Mycosphaerella* and *Teratosphaeria* affecting eucalypt have been reported in Uruguay (Lupo et al. 2008).

However, it was only since 2007, when *T. nubilosa* was first recorded (Pérez et al. 2009) that severe damage began to appear mainly in *E. globulus* plantations. *T. nubilosa* has become the main phytosanitary problem in *E. globulus* stands, producing significant defoliation and tree death.

Teratosphaeria pseudoecalypti was first discovered in Australia on *Eucalyptus* sp. and *E. grandis* x *E. camaldulensis* (Andjic et al. 2010). The main symptom associated with *T. pseudoecalypti* are subcircular to irregular leaf spots, initially pale green, turning chlorotic before becoming necrotic, light to medium brown, with red-purple margin on the upper and lower surface. Although this symptom can be confused with that produced by *Teratosphaeria eucalypti*, analysis of different regions of DNA showed that it was caused by a new species, recorded to date only in Australia (Andjic et al. 2010).

In the last 2 years, a new leaf blight disease has been observed in Uruguay on both juvenile and adult leaves of several *Eucalyptus* species. The symptom observed were yellow necrotic leaf spots turning necrotic and dark brown with chlorotic margins (Fig. 1). The aim of this study was to identify the foliar pathogen causing this disease using multi gene phylogeny.

Juvenile leaves with leaf blotches were collected from *E. globulus* and *E. maidenii* plantations at the south east region of Uruguay. The lower surface of blotches was covered by dark pycnidia. Monosporic isolates were performed from conidia produced on pycnidia and grown onto 2 % Malt Extract Agar (MEA) at 25 °C. Colonies reached 10–20 mm after 4 weeks on MEA in the dark. All isolates are

S. Soria (✉) · R. Alonso · L. Bettucci · S. Lupo
Laboratorio de Micología, Facultad de Ciencias-Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad de la República,
Julio Herrera y Reissig 565, Montevideo, Uruguay
e-mail: soria.silvina@gmail.com



Fig. 1 Leaf spots of *Teratosphaeria pseudoecalypti* on *Eucalyptus globulus*

maintained in the Facultad de Ingeniería fungal culture collection (FI). The microscopic characterization of pycnidia and conidia was performed. Conidia produced in culture were curved and hyaline, with 1–2 septa, (26.0) 28.0 (32.5) μm x (2.0)–2.6–(2.8) μm . The cultural and micromorphological characteristics were similar to those of *T. eucalypti*, except by the hyaline conidia (Fig. 2).

Genomic DNA was extracted from fungal mycelia as described by Lee and Taylor (1990).

Partial DNA amplification of the beta-tubulin region (βT) and elongation factor 1-alpha (EF-1 α) were performed. Primers used in each amplification were T1 and Bt2b (Glass and Donaldson 1995; O'Donnell and Cigelnik 1997), and EF1-728 and EF1-968 F (Carbone and Kohn 1999) respectively. The complete amplification of the internal transcribed spacer region (ITS-2) with primers ITS-3 and ITS-4 (White et al. 1990) was also performed. PCR conditions for each primers pair were as described by the authors. PCR products were purified and sequenced by Macrogen Korea (Seoul, Korea).



Fig. 2 Conidia morphology of *Teratosphaeria pseudoecalypti* strain FI2292 in vivo, cotton blue stained. Bar 10 μm

Sequences obtained were manually corrected using Mega version 5.1 (Tamura et al. 2011) and aligned with reference sequences from the GenBank using Clustal W (Thompson et al. 1994). All sequences derived in this study were deposited in GenBank and accession numbers are shown in Table 1. Phylogenetical analysis of a combined data set sequence of the three genes (βT , EF-1 α and ITS-2) was carried out with PAUP v4.0b10 (Swofford 2003) using the method of Maximum Parsimony (TreeBase 16019).

All characters were unordered and of equal weight. Gaps were treated as missing data. Bootstrap support values were calculated from 1,000 heuristic search replicates. The congruence of a combined dataset sequence of the three genes (βT , EF-1 α and ITS-2) was tested. Partition homogeneity test had been performed in PAUP v4.0b10 (Swofford 2003).

The combined βT , EF 1- α and ITS-2 data set of the *Teratosphaeria* isolates included 657 nucleotides of which 84 were parsimony informative. The partition homogeneity test showed not significant differences ($P=0.39$) between data from the different gene regions. The parsimony analysis showed that the six Uruguayan isolates were grouped in a well supported clade with *Teratosphaeria pseudoecalypti* sequences with a bootstrap support of 98 % (Fig. 3).

This study confirmed the presence for the first time of *T. pseudoecalypti* outside Australia and the susceptibility of other species of *Eucalyptus* to this pathogen. The presence of this pathogen in Uruguay shows a wide range of dispersion of *T. pseudoecalypti*. This constitutes a potential risk in temperate regions where forestry is mainly based on *Eucalyptus* spp.

Table 1 *Teratosphaeria* and other isolates of reference considered in this study

Fungus	Culture no. ^a	Host	Location	GenBank Accession no.		
				EF-1	β -tubulin	ITS-2
<i>Teratosphaeria pseudoeucahypti</i>	FI2292	<i>E. maidenii</i>	Retamosa, Uruguay	KJ466066	KJ425483	KJ361457
<i>T. pseudoeucahypti</i>	FI2293	<i>E. maidenii</i>	Retamosa, Uruguay	KJ466065	KJ466060	KJ361458
<i>T. pseudoeucahypti</i>	FI2294	<i>E. maidenii</i>	Retamosa, Uruguay	KJ466067	KJ466061	KJ361459
<i>T. pseudoeucahypti</i>	FI2295	<i>E. globulus</i>	Retamosa, Uruguay	KJ466068	KJ466062	KJ361460
<i>T. pseudoeucahypti</i>	FI2296	<i>E. globulus</i>	Retamosa, Uruguay	KJ466069	KJ466063	KJ361461
<i>T. pseudoeucahypti</i>	FI2298	<i>E. globulus</i>	Retamosa, Uruguay	KJ466070	KJ466064	KJ361463
<i>T. pseudoeucahypti</i>	MUCC600	<i>E. grandis</i> x <i>E. camaldulensis</i>	Harrisville, S-QLD, Australia	EU101594	EU101538	FJ793271
<i>T. pseudoeucahypti</i>	MUCC610	<i>E. grandis</i> x <i>E. camaldulensis</i>	Miriam Vale, C-QLD, Australia	EU101599	EU101543	FJ793221
<i>T. pseudoeucahypti</i>	MUCC615	<i>Eucalyptus</i> sp.	Davies Creek, FNQ, Australia	EU101613	EU101556	FJ793231
<i>T. pseudoeucahypti</i>	MUCC704	<i>Eucalyptus</i> sp.	FNQ, Australia	FJ793205	FJ793209	FJ793213
<i>T. pseudoeucahypti</i>	MUCC705	<i>Eucalyptus</i> sp.	FNQ, Australia	FJ793206	FJ793210	FJ793214
<i>Teratosphaeria eucahypti</i>	CMW19453	<i>E. nitens</i>	Settlement Rd, New Zealand	EU101585	EU101529	FJ793234
<i>T. eucahypti</i>	CMW19455	<i>E. nitens</i>	Coxs, New Zealand	EU101628	EU101571	FJ793260
<i>T. eucahypti</i>	CMW19456	<i>E. nitens</i>	Douthetts, New Zealand	EU101587	EU101531	FJ793236
<i>T. eucahypti</i>	MUCC635	<i>E. nitens</i>	Roses Tier, TAS, Australia	EU101614	EU101557	FJ793250
<i>T. eucahypti</i>	MUCC626	<i>E. grandis</i> x <i>E. tereticornis</i>	Kyogle, N-NSW, Australia	EU101602	EU101546	FJ793241
<i>T. eucahypti</i>	MUCC630	<i>E. grandis</i> x <i>E. tereticornis</i>	Kyogle, N-NSW, Australia	EU101606	EU101550	FJ793245
<i>T. eucahypti</i>	MUCC631	<i>E. grandis</i> x <i>E. tereticornis</i>	Kyogle, N-NSW, Australia	EU101626	EU101569	FJ793258
<i>M. nubilosa</i>	CMW11560	<i>E. globulus</i>	Tasmania	DQ240176	DQ658236	DQ658232
<i>Kirramyces viscidus</i>	FNQ146	<i>E. grandis</i>	Australia	EF031495	EF031483	EF031471
<i>Teratosphaeria destructans</i>	CMW19832	<i>E. grandis</i>	Sumatra, Indonesia	DQ632730	DQ632623	DQ632665
<i>Teratosphaeria cryptica</i>	CMW3279	<i>E. globulus</i>	Australia	DQ240179	DQ240179	DQ239971
<i>Dothistroma septosporum</i>	CMW14822	<i>P. ponderosa</i>	Oregon, Badon, USA	AY808625	AY808195	AY808300

^a Designation of isolates and culture collections *CMW*; Tree Pathology Co-operative Program, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute, University of Pretoria, South Africa; *MUCC* Murdoch University Culture Collection, Perth, Western Australia; *FNQ* Far North Queensland; *FJ* Facultad de Ingenieria-Facultad de Ciencias, Laboratorio de Micología, Universidad de la República, Montevideo, Uruguay

- of *Mycosphaerella* leaf disease (MLD), recently introduced into Uruguay. *Eur J Plant Pathol* 125:109–118
- MGAP (Ministerio de Ganadería Agricultura y Pesca) (2013) <http://www.mgap.gub.uy/portal/hgxpp001.aspx?7,20,441,O,S,0,MNU;E;134;2;MNU>, Accessed 28 November 2013
- Swofford DL (2003) PAUP* Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods). Version 4.0 sinauer associates, Sunderland, MA
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S (2011) Mega:5 Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol Biol Evol* 28:2731–2739
- Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ (1994) CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res* 22:4673–4680
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ (eds) *JO*. Academic, New York, pp 315–322