

## A survey of the scleractinian corals at Mermaid, Scott, and Seringapatam Reefs, Western Australia.

David McKinney

Australian Institute of Marine Science Email: d.mckinney@aims.gov.au

---

**Abstract** – A diverse assemblage of scleractinian species was recorded during a rapid assessment of the shallow water coral taxa of Mermaid, Scott and Seringapatam Reefs. All taxa were predominately widespread Indo-Pacific species that present clear affinities with coral assemblages of Ashmore Reef and the Indonesian provinces to the north. A total of 269 scleractinian species from 57 genera in 14 families were recorded, comprised of 211 species at Mermaid Reef, 224 species at South Scott Reef, 201 species at North Scott, and 159 species at Seringapatam. The study yielded 22 new distribution records for Mermaid Reef, 18 new distribution records for Scott-Seringapatam, one new record for Western Australia (*Fungia moluccensis*), and one new record for the Rowley-Scott region (*Montipora digitata*, previously recorded from Ashmore Reef). Multivariate analyses indicated there were distinct communities within and among reefs associated with the reef front, lagoon, and intertidal reef flat habitats.

**Keywords:** Species richness, taxonomy, biogeography, disturbance.

### INTRODUCTION

A considerable body of research has examined the ecology and distribution of the zooxanthellate scleractinia in coastal Western Australia. However, relatively few studies have investigated the coral biodiversity of the emergent shelf-edge atolls located in the oceanic region off the northwest continental mainland. Early taxonomic expeditions by the Western Australian Museum (WA Museum) documented the coral fauna of the Rowley Shoals, Scott Reef, and Seringapatam (Veron, 1986), and Ashmore Reef and Cartier Island (Veron, 1993; Veron and Marsh, 1988), while more recent taxonomic studies by Griffiths (1997) re-examined the corals of Ashmore Reef. Long-term monitoring of the region's coral resources by the Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS) including (Heyward *et al.*, 1995, 1997; Heyward *et al.*, 1999; Smith *et al.*, 2004) and several predominately taxonomic-based surveys by Done *et al.*, (1994) and Wolstenholme and Smith (unpublished data), has resulted in a relatively deep understanding of the region's coral fauna and the accumulation of a considerable taxonomic inventory for the region.


The Rowley Shoals, Scott Reef and Seringapatam Reefs are influenced by a common suite of environmental variables, including large tidal regimes, warm sea surface temperatures, exposed aspects, and clear oceanic water inputs.

However, differing geomorphological and physical characteristics between and within the major reef systems have resulted in heterogeneous physical habitats across exposed reef fronts, protected lagoons, and intertidal reef flats. These reef systems have also been impacted by several major disturbance events: in 1998, sustained elevated sea surface temperatures resulted in mass bleaching (Heyward *et al.*, 1999; Smith *et al.*, in review), and in 2004, category five Cyclone Fay resulted in widespread destruction of coral communities (Gilmour and Smith, 2006).

This study presents a preliminary rapid assessment of scleractinian species richness and abundance at Mermaid Reef (Rowley Shoals), South Scott, North Scott, and Seringapatam Reefs. The primary aims of this survey were to assess regional coral biodiversity, provide a quantitative assessment of abundance, execute a repeatable search effort that may afford future comparison between further surveys, and examine the taxonomic and biogeographical relationships of the complex mosaic of coral communities that exist in the region.

### METHODS

Surveys were conducted at a series of stations that were selected using satellite imagery and historical records to maximize habitat diversity and to



**Figure 1** MDS ordination of 41 stations from Mermaid, South Scott, North Scott and Seringapatam, based on untransformed presence/absence and Bray-Curtis similarities. Station richness is superimposed over each station and the major habitat types are indicated: lagoon (LG), reef front (RF), intertidal reef flat (IT).

incorporate previous WA Museum and AIMS study sites. A total of 45 stations were surveyed by scuba, snorkelling and reef walking. A list of stations and habitat descriptions is presented in the Station and Transect Data section of this volume.

Surveys at each station were conducted along two 15 m × 1 m transects laid over the dominant habitat (typically parallel to the reef contour or reef crest) at approximately 5 m and 12 m depth, corrected to mean sea level. Transect depths were chosen to maximize species diversity and abundance by capturing the shallow reef slope between 3–6 m depth and the deeper reef slope at 10–14 m, as reported by Heyward for Scott Reef, (pers. comm.) and DeVantier *et al.*, in other locations (2006). Exceptions to the standard two transect method were stations 10, 13, 27, 40 (no transects were used, but surveyed for biodiversity), stations 29, 35, 42, 44 (one transect only used, plus 30 minutes additional search time), and stations 10, 13 (repeat survey at same location). Stations 10, 13, 27, 40 were excluded from all analyses due to non-standard search effort. Stations were scored against a series of habitat descriptors (refer Table 4), and a two-tiered survey approach was utilized at each station to assess biodiversity and abundance respectively.

**Tier One:** The presence of all species encountered along each transect was recorded during a visual survey. Additional search time to a maximum of 10 minutes per station was used to supplement


sightings along the transects. Additional searches were conducted haphazardly, adjacent to, and between, transects. Opportunistic sightings, made outside the transect area or during additional search time, were recorded separately as extra sightings.

Station richness (defined as the total number of discrete species recorded at each station) was calculated by aggregating the number of species sighted along the transects, with those recorded during extra time or opportunistically off the transect.


**Tier Two:** Quantitative estimates of abundance at functional group levels were generated by video transect analysis, with estimates of percentage cover assigned to each group. Refer to, *The subtidal habitats of Mermaid Reef (Rowley Shoals), Scott and Seringapatam Reefs, Western Australia*. (this volume) for further discussion of these methods and analyses of these data.

Coral taxa on visual surveys were identified *in situ* to species level, or where identification could not be resolved, a voucher specimen was collected for further taxonomic analysis at WA Museum. Voucher specimens were bleached in calcium hypochlorite, then washed in seawater before being dried and packed for shipping.


Corals were identified using Veron (2000; 2002) and Veron and Stafford-Smith (2002), with the exception of the genus *Acropora* which follows




**Figure 2** Dendrogram for hierarchical clustering of 41 stations at Mermaid, South Scott, North Scott and Seringapatam, using group-average linking of Bray-Curtis similarities, calculated on untransformed presence/absence data. A similarity level of 45% is indicated.



**Figure 3** MDS ordination of 41 stations at Mermaid, South Scott, North Scott and Seringapatam, based on untransformed presence/absence data and Bray-Curtis similarities. Similarity contours from the cluster analysis at 25%, 35%, and 45% are indicated.



**Figure 4** MDS ordination of 16 reef front stations at Mermaid, South Scott, North Scott and Seringapatam, based on untransformed presence/absence data and Bray-Curtis similarities.



**Figure 5** MDS ordination of 14 Mermaid stations, including reef front, lagoon, and intertidal reef flat habitats, based on untransformed presence/absence and Bray-Curtis similarities.



**Left:** Surveyed stations in Mermaid Reef lagoon displayed only minor cyclone damage; **Right:** The hard corals, *Seriatopora* (branching) and *Turbinaria* (encrusting) in the lagoon at Scott Reef. (Photos: Clay Bryce)

Wallace (1999) excluding *A. exquisita*, and the Fungiidae which follows Hoeksema (1989). Veron and Pichon (1975; 1980; 1982), Veron, Pichon and Wijsman-Best (1977), Veron and Wallace (1984) were also used to aid identification. The non-scleractinian species *Heliopora coerulea*, *Tubipora musica* and genus *Millepora* were also recorded during this survey.

Multivariate analyses of the two-way crossed survey design with replicates were performed using the software application PRIMER v6. A detailed discussion of these methods is provided in Clarke and Gorley (2006) and Clarke and Warwick (2001).

Deep and shallow transect data were aggregated in all analyses, as patterns of zonation with depth were relatively obscure within the higher-level patterns of habitat and reef system community similarity.

## RESULTS

A total of 269 scleractinian species from 57 genera in 14 families were recorded during the present survey, comprised of 211 species at Mermaid, 224 species at South Scott, 201 species at North Scott, and 159 species at Seringapatam (Table 1).

The study yielded new distribution records for 22 species at Mermaid Reef and 18 species at Scott/Seringapatam. All new distribution records

were small range extensions between individual reef systems in the Rowley-Scott complex, with the exception of *Fungia moluccensis*, a new record for Western Australia, and *Montipora digitata*, previously recorded from Ashmore Reef.

### Station richness

Station richness recorded at all reef systems ranged from seven to 116 species per station (Table 1). Overall richness averaged 68 species per station, with mean values of 70 at Mermaid, 76 at South Scott, 60 at North Scott, and 55 at Seringapatam (Table 2). Intertidal stations were consistently depauperate (mean station richness = 23), while reef front and lagoon stations had relatively high station richness (mean richness = 77) (Figure 1). South Scott had four of the five highest station richness values recorded during the survey.

Nine of the 41 stations surveyed had high station richness (>80 species per site), 23 stations had medium station richness (>50 species), 6 stations had low richness (20–50 species), and three stations had very low richness (<20 species). Many of the species recorded were relatively rare, with 21 species recorded only once during the survey. A large proportion of species were uncommon, with 169 species recorded at less than 10 stations. 22 species were abundant and were recorded at more than 25 stations.



Left: Mermaid Reef. Branching *Acropora* and massive *Porites* corals; Right: Scott Reef. *Acropora* coral. (Photos: Clay Bryce)



Left: Mermaid Reef. *Fungia* mushroom corals surround the coral *Pectinia lactuca* (Pallas, 1766); Right: *Goniopora* sp., with tentacles extended, was common on all reefs. (Photos: Clay Bryce)

### Community classification

Multivariate classification and non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (MDS) analyses showed strong clustering of stations based on similarities among and within reef systems and habitat types (Figure 2, 3).

Among reefs, strong clustering of stations occurred with habitat type. That is, habitat type was associated more strongly with community similarity than reef system location (Figure 3). Reef front stations were closely related between all reef systems, with Mermaid, South Scott, North Scott and Seringapatam reef front sites all strongly similar. Lagoon communities also clustered strongly, albeit it less than reef front stations, while intertidal reef flat stations clustered very weakly. Reef front and lagoon communities were more similar to each other than to intertidal communities.


Reef front stations at Mermaid were most closely related to reef front stations at North Scott. Reef front stations at South Scott were most closely related to reef stations at North Scott. Reef front stations at North Scott were intermediate between Mermaid and South Scott. Reef front stations at Seringapatam showed mixed affinities with

Mermaid, South Scott, and North Scott.


Lagoon stations at Mermaid were most closely related to a mix of lagoon stations at South Scott, North Scott and Seringapatam. Some Mermaid lagoon stations tended towards reef front communities. Lagoon stations at South Scott presented mixed affinities with lagoon stations at Mermaid, and were closely related to all reef front stations. Lagoon stations at North Scott were most closely related to lagoon stations at Mermaid and South Scott. Lagoon stations at Seringapatam were closely related to lagoon stations at North Scott and Mermaid.

Intertidal reef flat communities were strongly dissimilar to both reef front and lagoon communities, with the exception of the Mermaid reef flat station, which was closely related to all reef front stations and South Scott in particular. Intertidal stations at South Scott, North Scott and Seringapatam were related only loosely.


Within each of the major habitat-associated communities, distinct reef system sub-communities were present. Each habitat community was typically comprised of several smaller clusters of stations belonging to each of the different reef systems. For example, within the major reef front



**Figure 6** MDS ordination of 32 stations at Mermaid, South Scott, North Scott and Seringapatam, based on untransformed percent cover estimated from video transects, and Bray-Curtis similarities. Intertidal reef flat stations were not surveyed by video.



**Figure 7** Combined species-area curve for all stations at Mermaid, South Scott, North Scott, and Seringapatam. Actual species counts are indicated (Sobs), as are projected values from Bootstrap, Jack-knife, Chao, and Michaelis-Menton models.



**Figure 8** MDS ordinations of 41 stations at the species, genus, family, and functional group levels, based on untransformed presence/absence data and percent cover, with Bray-Curtis similarities. Intertidal reef flat stations were not surveyed by video and are therefore excluded from the functional group level MDS.

cluster, Mermaid communities were distinct from the South Scott and North Scott communities, which were in turn similar to each other, while Seringapatam showed mixed affinities with Mermaid, South Scott and North Scott (Figure 4). These patterns of intra-habitat reef system segregation were repeated in the lagoon-associated communities across the four major reef systems. Intertidal reef flat segregation relationships were unclear.

Patterns of similarity were also apparent within individual reef systems, with distinct habitat-associated communities occurring within each reef. Within the Mermaid reef system, lagoon sites were clearly distinct from reef sites (Figure 5). The intertidal station was also distinct from the reef front sites. These patterns of intra-reefal habitat segregation were repeated in lagoon-associated communities across the four major reef systems.

Pair-wise analysis of similarity tests for differences between all habitat types were statistically significant ( $p < 1\%$ ), with R scores ranging from 0.716 to 0.935. Pair-wise tests for differences between all reef systems were also statistically significant ( $p < 1\%$ ), with the exception of the North Scott-Seringapatam pair. R scores ranged

from 0.344 to 0.657. Complete analysis of similarities (ANOSIM) test values are given in Table 3.

### Benthic Cover

Analyses of video transect data also showed strong clustering of communities at the functional group level associated with the lagoon and reef front habitats (Figure 6). Within the lagoonal cluster, smaller sub-clusters were associated with the Mermaid and North Scott reef systems. Mermaid and North Scott were similar to each other, while South Scott and Seringapatam were loosely grouped, with no clear affinities to other reef systems. Within the reef front cluster, no clear patterns were associated with any single reef system. Rather, the four reef systems showed mixed affinities with each other while remaining distinct from the lagoon communities. Intertidal reef flat transects were not surveyed by video, therefore no analyses could be performed for this habitat.

Hard coral cover was highest at Mermaid (29%), followed by South Scott (21%), North Scott (17%), and Seringapatam (16%). Hard coral cover was highest in the reef front habitats (25%) followed by the lagoon habitats (22%).



### Habitats

Habitat descriptor scores for each station are given in Table 4. The major species that typify the lagoon, reef front, and reef flat habitats are given in Table 5, as are the major species that discriminate between each habitat group. The major functional groups that typify the lagoon, reef front, and reef flat habitats are given in Table 6, as are the major functional groups that discriminate between each habitat group.

Lagoon stations were characterised by protected sandy floor or mixed reef and rubble habitats, interspersed with isolated outcrops and bommies, simple, regular structural features, low wave energy, low to medium water clarity, and flat or low slopes, to 10–20m deep. Key groups of taxa were the branching Acroporas, and massive non-Acroporas.

Reef front stations were characterised by exposed coral and coralline algae covered limestone platforms, complex, irregular structural features, medium to high wave energy, medium to high water clarity, and steep slopes to a terrace at 12–18m before an abrupt drop-off to very deep water. Key groups of taxa were the non-branching Acroporas and encrusting or massive non-Acroporas.

Intertidal reef flat stations were characterised by exposed combinations of reef crest zones, submerged elements of mixed reef, rubble, pavement and patch reefs, simple, regular structural features, medium to high wave energy, and extensive exposed reef flats at low tide. Key groups of taxa were the massive non-Acroporas, particularly *Porites* species.

### Species-area curves

The cumulative species richness curve for the aggregated regional pool of the four major reef systems was close to reaching an asymptote, with few new discrete species encountered with additional station sampling in the region (Figure 7). However, examination of species-area curves for each separate reef system showed that individual



Above: An *Acropora* forest in the lagoon of Mermaid Reef. (Photo: Clay Bryce)



Above: The brooding coral, *Stylophora pistallata* Espar, 1797 (Photo: Clay Bryce)

reef species accumulations had not yet approached an asymptote, with new species continuing to be encountered with additional sampling. Estimates of the total number of species likely to be recorded in the Rowley-Scott region range from 273 to 296, based on a combination of Bootstrap, Jackknife, Chao, and Michaelis-Menton extrapolation models (Figure 7).

### Aggregated taxonomic levels

MDS on aggregated taxonomic data at the levels of species, genus, family, and functional group showed similar community assemblages across multiple taxonomic levels, with the same overall patterns of community assemblages by site type and reef system (Figure 8). The species level data provide a detailed representation of community relatedness, with smaller sub-communities apparent at the species level, while genus and family level data display relatively similar, if less detailed, representations of relatedness. Functional group data provided a less clear picture of community relatedness.

### Transect Ratio

The ratio of transect sightings to total sightings ranged very widely from 0% to 94%. Reef front and lagoon stations presented high ratios (79% and 74% respectively), while intertidal reef flat stations presented very low ratios (mean 32%), often with all records made off the transect (Table 2).

## DISCUSSION

The total number of species recorded during this survey combined with historical survey records brings total species richness for the oceanic Rowley-Scott atolls to 291 species. Local biodiversity is therefore considerably less than the approximate 600 species found in the 'Coral Triangle' area of highest diversity centered around the Philippines, Indonesia, and Papua New Guinea (Donnelly *et*



Above: Corals from the family Acroporidae are dominant at Mermaid Reef. (Photo: Clay Bryce)

*al.*, 2003; Erdmann and Pet-Soede, 2003; Green and Mous, 2004; Mous *et al.*, 2005). Mermaid, Scott, and Seringapatam are therefore best described as a subset of Ashmore Reef (256 species), with neighbouring oceanic reef systems predominately sharing a complement of similar overlapping species.

South Scott had the highest mean station richness and included many of the highest station richness values recorded during the survey. South Scott also had the second highest percent cover of hard coral. Conservation values at South Scott, based on hard coral diversity and abundance, are therefore considerable. Seringapatam presented low levels of biodiversity, however this is most likely related to the very low levels of sampling employed (5 stations).

Aggregated distribution data for the northern reef group of Scott and Seringapatam, and southern Mermaid Reef support a net attenuation of species diversity across the latitudinal gradient from Indonesia towards Western Australia, consistent with the broad-scale latitudinal variability in scleractinian community assemblages documented by Veron (2000) and DeVantier *et al.*, (2006), and the larger global distribution themes presented by Mora and Robertson (2005). Cross-shelf gradients are strong in the northwest region, with the coral assemblages of the oceanic atolls being markedly different to those recorded from reefs in the inshore Kimberley region.

Multivariate analyses describe distinct communities associated with each of the reef front, lagoon, and reef flat habitats, as well as with the individual Mermaid, South Scott, North Scott, and Seringapatam reef systems. Communities were strongly dissimilar between habitats, and less distinct between reefs. Delineation of smaller community assemblages within the larger overall patterns of habitat and reef associated communities requires further analysis.

Coral communities in the region are clearly the product of response to a dynamic disturbance regime, that is compounded at varying spatial and temporal scales and intensities. Recent research in Western Australia suggests that disturbance regimes strongly influence site-scale species abundance and community assemblage structure, with severe disturbances resulting in the local reduction of coral abundance and shifts to alternate suites of coral assemblages (Smith *et al.*, in press; Smith *et al.*, in review; Smith *et al.*, 2004).

The strong variability in scleractinian biodiversity recorded during this survey should be interpreted in the context of the complex suite of physical, biological, and anthropogenic forces that govern coral reef community structure. Isolation of the northwest oceanic atoll reefs, interdependencies with adjacent ecosystems, shelf-edge location and geomorphology, micro-habitat heterogeneity, larval transport and recruitment, gene flow, species interactions, and changing disturbance regimes,



Above: Scott Reef. Close up detail of the free living *Fungia* mushroom coral. (Photo: Clay Bryce)

are all likely to have shaped the faunal assemblages encountered in the region.

Thus, the nature of the strong association observed between habitat and community structure cannot be attributed to any single key driver. Rather, further investigation is required to determine the relative contributions of each of the above factors to the overall patterns of biodiversity and abundance recorded in the region. Recent studies by AIMS (Smith *et al.*, 2004) suggest that recruitment and gene flow processes in the region may be largely driven at local, within-reef scales, rather than on a regional scale in which the atolls were suggested as stepping stones through a larger bio-geographic province. It is likely that other complex patterns of relatedness, biodiversity, and community structure will be revealed with further research.

The strong effect of search effort on species richness capture during a survey is well known (Clarke and Warwick, 2001) and search effort is therefore a critical variable between studies. Most previous studies have tended to utilize a haphazard timed swim over a non-defined area to capture coral species richness at a station. These techniques may represent unequal search effort, and interpretation of results between these studies may therefore be limited.

Analyses in the present survey also suggest that the efficacy of different search methods may be strongly affected by patterns of local-scale

variability and microhabitat heterogeneity. Station richness during the survey was influenced strongly by the presence or absence of microhabitats within the sampled area, and the power associated with low transect replication was often insufficient to accurately capture community structure. Intertidal reef flat communities, which were typically characterised by low diversity and abundance, had very high proportions of species recorded off the transect. In contrast, the use of transects at reef front and lagoon stations, with a short period of additional search time, were relatively effective in capturing the major components of station biodiversity. These problems of scale and patchiness, and the adequacy of transect replication, are therefore critical to the successful design and development of future sampling regimes and monitoring programs. Further research is needed to determine appropriate sampling scales and levels of replication for the large and complex reef systems encountered in the northwest oceanic atolls. Future analysis of transect species-area curve data collected during this survey will be useful in this context.

Species-area curve analyses at the level of individual reef systems did not reach an accumulation asymptote, suggesting a restricted estimate of the within-reef species pools. This is supported by the large number of new distribution records for the Rowley Shoals and Scott Reef. On a larger scale, the combination of the Mermaid, South

Scott, North Scott, and Seringapatam richness data came close to reaching an asymptote, suggesting that total richness recorded during this survey may be close to total richness for the oceanic atolls. Further sampling, including examination of stations at Clerke and Imperieuse Reefs in the Rowley Shoals, would likely result in the upward revision of total biodiversity towards that predicted by the species-curve extrapolation models.

Non-metric MDS analyses on transect data aggregated to the higher taxonomic levels of genus and family presented strong similarities to that of data analysed at species level. Higher-level taxonomic analyses may therefore represent a useful tool to detect key patterns of change in local community assemblages (Olsgard *et al.*, 1998; Somerfield and Clarke, 1995). The power of such analyses remains to be determined, and while video transect analysis at the functional group level showed mixed results, Edinger and Risk (2000) reported successful prediction of coral reef conservation values based on morphological rather than taxonomic classification.

Further examination of voucher specimens collected during this survey is required and may result in additional records for the region. Ongoing review of the historical survey data is also required, as the major taxonomic revisions associated with the release of Veron (2000; 2002) resulted in the re-classification of several species recorded during historical surveys. Finally, recent methods in molecular phylogenetics suggest that the conventional taxonomic relationships used in this study may also undergo considerable revision in the future (Fukami *et al.*, 2004).

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank J. Fromont for co-ordinating my involvement in this survey, the crew of the Kimberley Quest for their assistance during the expedition, and M. Salotti who assisted with fieldwork preparations. L. DeVantier, D. Fenner, B. Hoeksema, and C. Wallace generously provided considerable identification assistance for which I am extremely grateful. L. Smith participated in many useful discussions on regional coral ecology and survey design. K.R. Clarke provided useful statistical and technical advice on the PRIMER software.

#### REFERENCES

- Clarke, K. R., and Gorley, R. N. (2006). *PRIMER v6 User Manual*. PRIMER-E, Plymouth.
- Clarke, K. R., and Warwick, R. M. (2001). *Change in Marine Communities: An Approach to Statistical Analysis and Interpretation* (2nd ed.). PRIMER-E, Plymouth.
- DeVantier, L. M., De'ath, G., Turak, E., Done, T. J., and Fabricius, K. E. (2006). Species richness and community structure of reef-building corals on the nearshore Great Barrier Reef. *Coral Reefs* **25**: 329–340.
- Done, T. J. (1999). Coral community adaptability to environmental change at the scales of regions, reefs and reef zones. *Am Zool* **39**: 66–79.
- Done, T. J., and Marsh, L. M. (1988). Reef-building corals. In Berry, P. F. (ed.), *Survey of the Marine Fauna of Christmas Island, Indian Ocean*: 29–32. Western Australian Museum, Perth.
- Done, T. J., Williams, D. M., Speare, P., Turak, E., Davidson, J., DeVantier, L. M., Newman, S. J., and Hutchins, J. B. (1994). *Surveys of coral and fish communities at Scott Reef and Rowley Shoals*. Townsville: Australian Institute of Marine Science.
- Donnelly, R., Neville, D., and Mous, P. J. (eds.). (2003). *Report on a rapid ecological assessment of the Raja Ampat Islands, Papua, Eastern Indonesia held October 30 – November 22, 2002*. Sanur, Bali: The Nature Conservancy - Southeast Asia Center for Marine Protected Areas.
- Edinger, E. N., and Risk, M. J. (2000). Reef classification by coral morphology predicts coral reef conservation value. *Biological Conservation* **92**: 1–13.
- Erdmann, M., and Pet-Soede, L. (eds.). (2003). *Rapid Ecological Assessment of Wakatobi National Park*. Denpasar: World Wildlife Fund.
- Fukami, H., Budd, A. F., Paulay, G., Sole-Cava, A., Chen, C. A., Iwao, K., and Knowlton, N. (2004). Conventional taxonomy obscures deep divergence between Pacific and Atlantic corals. *Letters to Nature* **427**: 832–835.
- Gilmour, J. P., and Smith, L. D. (2006). Category 5 cyclone at Scott Reef, northwestern Australia. *Coral Reefs* **25**: 200.
- Green, A., and Mous, P. J. (2004). *Delineating the Coral Triangle, its ecoregions and functional seascapes. Report on an expert workshop, held at the Southeast Asia Center for Marine Protected Areas, Bali, Indonesia*. Denpasar: Report from The Nature Conservancy Southeast Asia Center for Marine Protected Areas.
- Griffith, J. K. (1997). *The Corals Collected During September/October 1997 at Ashmore Reef, Timor Sea - a Report to Parks Australia*. Retrieved 14 October, 2006, from <http://www.deh.gov.au/coasts/mpa/ashmore/coral/index.html>
- Griffith, J. K. (2004). Scleractinian corals collected during 1998 from the Dampier Archipelago, Western Australia. In Jones, D. S. (ed.), *Report of the Results of the Western Australian Museum/Woodside Energy Ltd Partnership to Explore the Marine Biodiversity of the Dampier Archipelago Western Australia 1998–2002*. Records of the Western Australian Museum No 66: 101–120. Western Australian Museum, Perth.
- Heyward, A. J., Halford, A., Smith, L., and Williams, D. M. (1995). *Coral ecosystems of north west Australia: Long-term monitoring of corals and fish at North Scott, South Scott and Seringapatam Reefs. Report 1: Baseline permanent transect surveys*. Dampier: Australian Institute of Marine Science.
- Heyward, A. J., Halford, A., Smith, L., and Williams, D. M. (1997). *Coral reefs of north west Australia: Baseline*

- monitoring of an oceanic reef ecosystem. Paper presented at the 8th International Coral Reef Symposium
- Heyward, A. J., Smith, L., Halford, A. R., Rees, M., and Meekan, M. (1999). *Natural variability at Scott Reef: short term response of coral and fish assemblages to a severe coral bleaching event*. Dampier: Australian Institute of Marine Science.
- Hoeksema, B. W. (1989). Taxonomy, phylogeny and biogeography of mushroom corals (Scleractinia: Fungiidae). *Zoologische Verhandlungen* **254**: 1–295.
- Marsh, L. M. (1992). Scleractinian and other hard corals. In Morgan, G. J. (ed.), *Survey of the Aquatic Fauna of the Kimberley Islands and Reefs, Western Australia*: 15–22. Western Australian Museum, Perth.
- Marsh, L. M. (1993). Corals. In Berry, P. F. (ed.), *A Survey of the Marine Fauna and Habitats of the Montebello Islands*: 23–26. Western Australian Museum, Perth.
- Marsh, L. M. (1995). Corals. In Hutchins, J. B., Slack-Smith, S. M., Marsh, L. M., Jones, D. S., Bryce, C. W., Hewitt, M. A. & Hill, A. (eds.), *Marine Biological Survey of Bernier and Dorre Islands, Shark Bay*. A joint production between the Western Australian Museum and the Western Australian Department of Conservation and Land Management, Perth.
- Mora, C., and Robertson, R. (2005). Causes of latitudinal gradients in species richness: a test with fishes of the tropical Eastern Pacific. *Ecology* **86**: 1771–1782.
- Mous, P. J., Muljadi, A., and Pet, J. S. (2005). *Status of coral reefs in and around Komodo National Park. Results of a bi-annual survey over the period 1996 – 2002 (July 2005)*. Sanur, Bali: Publication from The Nature Conservancy Southeast Asia Center for Marine Protected Areas.
- Ninio, R., and Meekan, M. G. (2001). Spatial patterns in benthic communities and the dynamics of a mosaic ecosystem on the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Coral Reefs* **21**: 95–103.
- Olsgard, F., Somerfield, P. J., and Carr, M. R. (1998). Relationships between taxonomic resolution, macrobenthic community patterns and disturbance. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **172**: 25–36.
- Smith, L. D., Gilmour, J. P., Heyward, A. J., and Rees, M. (in press). Mass-bleaching, mortality and slow recovery of three common groups of scleractinian corals at an isolated reef. *Proceedings of the 10th International Coral Reef Symposium*.
- Smith, L. D., Gilmour, J. P., and Heyward, A. P. (in review). System-wide decline in coral abundance and recruitment following a catastrophic bleaching event. *Unpublished manuscript, in review*.
- Smith, L. D., Gilmour, J. P., Rees, M., Lough, J., Halford, A. J., Underwood, J., Van Oppen, M., and Heyward, A. (2004). *Biological and physical environment at Scott Reef: 2003 to 2004*. Townsville: Australian Institute of Marine Science.
- Somerfield, P. J., and Clarke, K. R. (1995). Taxonomic levels in marine community studies revisited. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **127**: 113–119.
- Veron, J. E. N. (1986). Reef-building corals. In Berry, P. F. & Marsh, L. M. (eds.), *Faunal Surveys of the Rowley Shoals, Scott Reef and Seringapatam Reef North-western Australia*. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* No 25: 27–35. Western Australian Museum, Perth.
- Veron, J. E. N. (1989). Reef-building corals. In Berry, P. F. (ed.), *Survey of the Marine Fauna of Cocos (Keeling) Islands, Indian Ocean*. Western Australian Museum, Perth.
- Veron, J. E. N. (1990). Re-examination of the reef corals of Cocos (Keeling) atoll. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* **14**: 553–581.
- Veron, J. E. N. (1993). Hermatypic corals of Ashmore Reef and Cartier Island. In Berry, P. F. (ed.), *Marine Faunal Surveys of Ashmore Reef and Cartier Island North-Western Australia*. *Records of the Western Australian Museum Supplement No 44*: 13–14. Western Australian Museum, Perth.
- Veron, J. E. N. (2000a). *Corals of the World* (Vol. 1–3). Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville.
- Veron, J. E. N. and Stafford-Smith, M. (2002). Coral ID. An electronic key to the zooxanthellate scleractinian corals of the World. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville.
- Veron, J. E. N. (2002). *New Species Described in Corals of the World*. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville.
- Veron, J. E. N., and Marsh, L. M. (1988). Hermatypic corals of Western Australia. Records and annotated species list. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* **29**: 1–136.
- Veron, J. E. N., and Pichon, M. (1975). Scleractinia of eastern Australia. Part I. Families Thamnasteriidae, Astrocoeniidae, Pocilloporidae. *Australian Institute of Marine Science Monograph Series 1*: 1–86.
- Veron, J. E. N., and Pichon, M. (1980). Scleractinia of eastern Australia. Part III. Families Agariciidae, Siderastreidae, Fungiidae, Oculinidae, Merulinidae, Mussidae, Pectiniidae, Caryophylliidae, Dendrophylliidae. *Australian Institute of Marine Science Monograph Series 3*: 1–471.
- Veron, J. E. N., and Pichon, M. (1982). Scleractinia of eastern Australia. Part IV. Family Poritidae. *Australian Institute of Marine Science Monograph Series 5*: 1–159.
- Veron, J. E. N., Pichon, M., and Wijisman-Best, M. (1977). Scleractinia of eastern Australia. Part II. Families Faviidae, Trachyphylliidae. *Australian Institute of Marine Science Monograph Series 3*: 1–233.
- Veron, J. E. N., and Wallace, C. C. (1984). Scleractinia of eastern Australia. Part V. Family Acroporidae. *Australian Institute of Marine Science Monograph Series 6*: 1–485.
- Wallace, C. C. (1999). *Staghorn Corals of the World*. CSIRO Publications, Collingwood.
- Warwick, R. M., and Clarke, K. R. (1995). New 'biodiversity' measures reveal a decrease in taxonomic distinctness with increasing stress. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **129**: 301–305.
- Wolstenholme, J., and Smith, L. (unpublished data). *Unpublished data. Surveys of coral communities at Scott Reef and Seringapatam*. Townsville: Australian Institute of Marine Science.

## Appendix

**Table 1** Species recorded at Mermaid, South Scott, North Scott and Seringapatam Reefs, 2006. New records at Rowley Shoals are noted with <sup>R</sup>. New records at Scott Reef are noted with <sup>S</sup>. Species previously recorded in the region and not found during the present survey are noted with <sup>P</sup>.

Species	Authority	Mermaid															
		01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
<b>Acroporidae</b>																	
<i>Acropora abrolhosensis</i>	Veron, 1985	1					1	1	1	1			1		1		
<i>Acropora abrotanoides</i>	(Lamarck, 1816)										1						
<i>Acropora aculeus</i>	(Dana, 1846)					1											1
<i>Acropora acuminata</i>	(Verrill, 1864)																
<i>Acropora anthocercis</i>	(Brook, 1893)	1												1		1	
<i>Acropora aspera</i>	(Dana, 1846)															1	
<i>Acropora austera</i>	(Dana, 1846)													1			
<i>Acropora carduus</i> <sup>R</sup>	(Dana, 1846)	1						1	1	1						1	
<i>Acropora caroliniana</i> <sup>S</sup>	Nemanzo, 1976					1										1	
<i>Acropora cerealis</i>	(Dana, 1846)					1		1			1		1		1	1	
<i>Acropora clathrata</i>	(Brook, 1891)	1										1	1				
<i>Acropora cytherea</i>	(Dana, 1846)											1					
<i>Acropora digitifera</i>	(Dana, 1846)	1		1			1									1	
<i>Acropora divaricata</i>	(Dana, 1846)																
<i>Acropora donei</i>	Veron and Wallace, 1984														1		
<i>Acropora echinata</i>	(Dana, 1846)								1	1							
<i>Acropora elseyi</i>	(Brook, 1892)	1														1	
<i>Acropora exquisita</i>	Nemanzo, 1971	1						1	1				1				
<i>Acropora florida</i>	(Dana, 1846)	1					1	1	1	1		1	1		1		
<i>Acropora gemmifera</i>	(Brook, 1892)			1	1	1	1						1				1
<i>Acropora glauca</i>	(Brook, 1893)										1						
<i>Acropora grandis</i>	(Brook, 1892)					1	1		1							1	
<i>Acropora granulosa</i> <sup>R</sup>	(Milne Edwards and Haime, 1860)		1			1									1		
<i>Acropora horrida</i>	(Dana, 1846)	1												1			
<i>Acropora humilis</i>	(Dana, 1846)	1	1	1		1	1	1		1	1	1	1		1	1	
<i>Acropora hyacinthus</i>	(Dana, 1846)	1		1		1	1				1		1	1	1	1	1
<i>Acropora indonesia</i>	Wallace, 1997																
<i>Acropora intermedia</i>	(Brook, 1891)	1				1	1	1	1	1		1	1		1		
<i>Acropora kimbeensis</i> <sup>P</sup>	Wallace, 1999																
<i>Acropora kirstyae</i> <sup>P</sup>	Veron and Wallace, 1984																
<i>Acropora latistella</i>	(Brook, 1891)											1					1
<i>Acropora listeri</i>	(Brook, 1893)			1	1							1					
<i>Acropora loisetteae</i> <sup>R</sup>	Wallace, 1994							1		1						1	
<i>Acropora longicyathus</i>	(Milne Edwards and Haime, 1860)	1															
<i>Acropora loripes</i>	(Brook, 1892)		1		1						1			1		1	1
<i>Acropora lutkeni</i>	Crossland, 1952											1					
<i>Acropora microclados</i>	(Ehrenberg, 1834)					1					1			1			1
<i>Acropora micropthalma</i>	(Verrill, 1859)	1					1	1	1	1		1			1		
<i>Acropora millepora</i>	(Ehrenberg, 1834)	1	1	1			1					1		1	1	1	
<i>Acropora monticulosa</i>	(Brueggemann, 1879)	1	1	1	1									1	1	1	1
<i>Acropora muricata</i>	(Linnaeus, 1758)	1	1					1		1		1			1		



Species	Authority	Mermaid															
		01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
<i>Acropora nana</i>	(Studer, 1878)	1				1											
<i>Acropora nasuta</i>	(Dana, 1846)	1	1		1	1			1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1
<i>Acropora paniculata</i>	Verrill, 1902																
<i>Acropora pichoni</i>	Wallace, 1999																
<i>Acropora polystoma</i>	(Brook, 1891)		1		1	1					1					1	
<i>Acropora pulchra</i>	(Brook, 1891)							1	1	1					1		
<i>Acropora robusta</i>	(Dana, 1846)			1	1											1	1
<i>Acropora samoensis</i>	(Brook, 1892)	1									1				1		
<i>Acropora secale</i>	(Studer, 1878)																
<i>Acropora selago</i>	(Studer, 1878)				1	1											
<i>Acropora spicifera</i>	(Dana, 1846)	1	1	1	1	1	1				1	1	1			1	1
<i>Acropora striata</i> <sup>p</sup>	(Verrill, 1866)																
<i>Acropora subglabra</i>	(Brook, 1891)							1	1						1		
<i>Acropora subulata</i>	(Dana, 1846)																
<i>Acropora tenuis</i>	(Dana, 1846)	1	1		1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1		1	1	
<i>Acropora valenciennesi</i>	(Milne Edwards and Haime, 1860)																
<i>Acropora valida</i>	(Dana, 1846)	1		1		1								1	1		
<i>Acropora vaughani</i>	Wells, 1954														1		
<i>Acropora yongei</i>	Veron and Wallace, 1984														1		
<i>Anacropora puertogalerae</i> <sup>p</sup>	Nemenzo, 1964																
<i>Astreopora cucullata</i> <sup>s</sup>	Lamberts, 1980					1						1	1				
<i>Astreopora expansa</i>	Brueggemann, 1877				1	1								1		1	
<i>Astreopora gracilis</i>	Bernard, 1896																
<i>Astreopora incrustans</i> <sup>k</sup>	Bernard, 1896	1					1		1								
<i>Astreopora listeri</i> <sup>s</sup>	Bernard, 1896							1									
<i>Astreopora myriophthalma</i>	(Lamarck, 1816)	1					1	1	1	1			1		1	1	
<i>Astreopora ocellata</i>	Bernard, 1896																
<i>Isopora brueggemanni</i>	(Brook, 1891)	1			1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		1	1	1
<i>Isopora palifera</i>	(Lamarck, 1816)			1	1	1					1	1			1	1	1
<i>Montipora aequituberculata</i>	Bernard, 1897							1			1				1		
<i>Montipora angulata</i>	(Lamarck, 1816)																
<i>Montipora caliculata</i>	(Dana, 1846)																
<i>Montipora crassituberculata</i> <sup>k</sup>	Bernard, 1897										1						
<i>Montipora danae</i>	(Milne Edwards and Haime, 1851)										1				1		
<i>Montipora digitata</i> <sup>s</sup>	(Dana, 1846)																
<i>Montipora efflorescens</i>	Bernard, 1897		1										1	1			
<i>Montipora floweri</i>	Wells, 1954												1				
<i>Montipora foliosa</i>	(Pallas, 1766)		1			1											
<i>Montipora foveolata</i>	(Dana, 1846)		1	1			1						1				
<i>Montipora grisea</i>	Bernard, 1897	1		1		1										1	1
<i>Montipora hispida</i>	(Dana, 1846)															1	
<i>Montipora hoffmeisteri</i>	Wells, 1954							1					1				
<i>Montipora incrassata</i> <sup>k</sup>	(Dana, 1846)			1	1		1						1			1	
<i>Montipora informis</i>	Bernard, 1897							1									
<i>Montipora millepora</i> <sup>s</sup>	Crossland, 1952	1															
<i>Montipora mollis</i>	Bernard, 1897									1	1						
<i>Montipora monasteriata</i>	(Forsk. 1775)	1	1		1								1				
<i>Montipora nodosa</i>	(Dana, 1846)												1				





Species	Authority	Mermaid															
		01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
<i>Montipora peltiformis</i> <sup>S</sup>	Bernard, 1897															1	
<i>Montipora spumosa</i> <sup>P</sup>	(Lamarck, 1816)																
<i>Montipora tuberculosa</i> <sup>R</sup>	(Lamarck, 1816)	1	1	1	1					1			1			1	
<i>Montipora turgescens</i>	Bernard, 1897											1					
<i>Montipora turtlensis</i> <sup>P</sup>	Veron and Wallace, 1984																
<i>Montipora undata</i>	Bernard, 1897		1			1								1			
<i>Montipora venosa</i> <sup>R</sup>	(Ehrenberg, 1834)			1	1		1										
<i>Montipora verrucosa</i>	(Lamarck, 1816)																
<b>Agariciidae</b>																	
<i>Coeloseris mayeri</i>	Vaughan, 1918				1		1	1	1			1				1	1
<i>Gardineroseris planulata</i>	(Dana, 1846)		1		1	1					1						1
<i>Leptoseris explanata</i>	Yabe and Sugiyama, 1941																
<i>Leptoseris foliosa</i>	Dinesen, 1980																
<i>Leptoseris hawaiiensis</i>	Vaughan, 1907		1			1					1			1			
<i>Leptoseris incrustans</i>	(Quelch, 1886)		1			1					1			1		1	
<i>Leptoseris mycetoseroides</i>	Wells, 1954				1	1					1	1		1		1	1
<i>Leptoseris papyracea</i>	(Dana, 1846)																
<i>Leptoseris scabra</i>	Vaughan, 1907																
<i>Leptoseris yabei</i>	(Pillai and Scheer, 1976)																
<i>Pachyseris rugosa</i>	(Lamarck, 1801)		1			1					1		1			1	1
<i>Pachyseris speciosa</i>	(Dana, 1846)		1			1					1	1	1			1	
<i>Pavona cactus</i>	(Forsk., 1775)																
<i>Pavona clavus</i> <sup>P</sup>	(Dana, 1846)																
<i>Pavona decussata</i>	(Dana, 1846)	1						1	1	1					1		
<i>Pavona duerdeni</i>	Vaughan, 1907	1			1	1								1		1	1
<i>Pavona explanulata</i>	(Lamarck, 1816)		1			1		1		1	1	1		1		1	1
<i>Pavona maldivensis</i>	(Gardiner, 1905)				1	1								1		1	1
<i>Pavona varians</i>	Verrill, 1864	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1
<i>Pavona venosa</i>	(Ehrenberg, 1834)												1				1
<b>Astrocoeniidae</b>																	
<i>Stylocoeniella armata</i>	(Ehrenberg, 1834)	1	1		1										1		
<i>Stylocoeniella guentheri</i>	Bassett-Smith, 1890					1		1					1				
<b>Dendrophylliidae</b>																	
<i>Turbinaria frondens</i>	(Dana, 1846)																
<i>Turbinaria mesenterina</i> <sup>P</sup>	(Lamarck, 1816)																
<i>Turbinaria peltata</i> <sup>P</sup>	(Esper, 1794)																
<i>Turbinaria reniformis</i>	Bernard, 1896																
<i>Turbinaria stellulata</i>	(Lamarck, 1816)							1		1	1	1	1				1
<b>Euphyllidae</b>																	
<i>Euphyllia ancora</i>	Veron and Pichon, 1980																1
<i>Euphyllia cristata</i> <sup>P</sup>	Chevalier, 1971																
<i>Euphyllia glabrescens</i>	(Chamisso and Eysenhardt, 1821)																
<i>Physogyra lichtensteini</i>	(Milne Edwards and Haime, 1851)	1	1			1		1		1	1	1	1		1	1	
<i>Plerogyra sinuosa</i>	(Dana, 1846)		1			1											1
<b>Faviidae</b>																	
<i>Caulastrea furcata</i>	Dana, 1846																
<i>Caulastrea tumida</i> <sup>P</sup>	Matthai, 1928																
<i>Cyphastrea agassizi</i> <sup>R</sup>	(Vaughan, 1907)	1		1	1							1					





South Scott														North Scott										Serangapatam				
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45
				1		1	1	1	1			1	1			1	1	1			1	1		1	1		1	
	1			1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1		1		1	1			1			1
	1								1	1		1			1					1								1
												1								1								1
															1											1		
1	1					1		1	1			1					1								1			1
1	1	1	1		1	1			1		1	1	1	1	1		1		1		1	1		1				1
	1				1				1					1											1	1		
														1	1													
									1			1			1						1	1			1	1		
										1					1						1	1			1	1		
			1																									
			1			1																						
1	1	1	1		1			1	1		1	1	1	1	1		1		1		1	1	1	1		1		1
																									1			
1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1				1		1		1			1	1			1
	1																											
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			1		1							1				1	1		
1	1	1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	1	1	1		1		1		1			1				1
				1	1																							
			1		1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1							1		1		1	1	1	1
				1								1	1									1						
	1							1	1	1					1	1					1							1
1	1		1			1			1		1			1	1						1							1
	1	1			1				1			1		1			1				1			1		1		1
1	1	1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	1			1		1		1			1					1
	1		1	1			1			1						1												1
						1				1						1												
1	1	1	1		1			1		1		1	1															
					1	1		1					1	1			1		1		1				1	1		1
		1	1		1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1			1		1		1	1				1	1		1
1	1	1	1		1			1						1	1		1		1				1	1				1
	1	1	1		1	1		1	1			1	1			1		1		1	1				1	1		
	1												1									1						

Species	Authority	Mermaid															
		01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
<i>Oulophyllia bennettiae</i>	(Veron and Pichon, 1977)							1				1					
<i>Oulophyllia crispa</i>	(Lamarck, 1816)							1	1	1	1	1					
<i>Oulophyllia levis</i> <sup>P</sup>	(Nemanzo, 1959)																
<i>Platygyra daedalea</i>	(Ellis and Solander, 1786)																
<i>Platygyra lamellina</i>	(Ehrenberg, 1834)																
<i>Platygyra pini</i>	Chevalier, 1975	1	1	1	1	1					1	1		1	1	1	1
<i>Platygyra ryukyuensis</i>	Yabe and Sugiyama, 1936		1	1	1			1					1				
<i>Platygyra sinensis</i>	(Milne Edwards and Haime, 1849)										1						
<i>Platygyra verweyi</i>	Wijsman-Best, 1976			1		1	1						1		1		1
<i>Plesiastrea versipora</i>	(Lamarck, 1816)						1										
<b>Fungiidae</b>																	
<i>Ctenactis crassa</i>	(Dana, 1846)	1					1								1		
<i>Ctenactis echinata</i>	(Pallas, 1766)	1					1		1	1		1	1				1
<i>Cycloseris costulata</i>	(Ortmann, 1889)									1							
<i>Cycloseris vaughani</i>	(Boschma, 1923)																
<i>Cantharellus noumeae</i> <sup>P</sup>	Hoeksema and Best, 1984																
<i>Fungia concinna</i> <sup>R</sup>	Verrill, 1864	1	1				1	1		1		1					1
<i>Fungia fungites</i>	(Linnaeus, 1758)	1						1	1	1					1		1
<i>Fungia granulosa</i>	Klunzinger, 1879	1					1										
<i>Fungia horrida</i>	Dana, 1846	1					1	1	1	1		1	1		1		1
<i>Fungia moluccensis</i> <sup>S</sup>	Horst, 1919																
<i>Fungia paumotensis</i>	Stutchbury, 1833	1								1							
<i>Fungia repanda</i> <sup>S</sup>	Dana, 1846							1									
<i>Fungia scutaria</i>	Lamarck, 1801			1									1				1
<i>Fungia scruposa</i> <sup>R</sup>	Klunzinger, 1879							1									
<i>Heliofungia actiniformis</i>	(Quoy and Gaimard, 1833)																
<i>Herpolitha limax</i>	(Houttuyn, 1772)	1					1	1	1				1				
<i>Lithophyllon mokai</i>	Hoeksema, 1989																
<i>Lithophyllon undulatum</i>	Rehberg, 1892																
<i>Podabacia crustacea</i>	(Pallas, 1766)		1								1						1
<i>Polyphyllia talpina</i>	(Lamarck, 1801)	1						1	1	1							
<i>Sandalolitha robusta</i>	Quelch, 1886						1						1				1
<b>Merulinidae</b>																	
<i>Hydnophora exesa</i>	(Pallas, 1766)					1				1	1		1				1
<i>Hydnophora microconos</i> <sup>S</sup>	(Lamarck, 1816)																
<i>Hydnophora pilosa</i>	Veron, 1985																1
<i>Hydnophora rigida</i>	(Dana, 1846)	1					1					1					
<i>Merulina ampliata</i>	(Ellis and Solander, 1786)	1	1		1	1		1			1		1				
<i>Merulina scabricula</i>	Dana, 1846	1	1		1	1			1			1	1		1	1	1
<i>Scapophyllia cylindrica</i>	Milne Edwards and Haime, 1848				1		1	1			1		1				1
<b>Mussidae</b>																	
<i>Acanthastrea brevis</i>	Milne Edwards and Haime, 1849																
<i>Acanthastrea echinata</i>	(Dana, 1846)													1			
<i>Australomussa rowleyensis</i>	Veron, 1985																1
<i>Lobophyllia hataii</i>	Yabe and Sugiyama, 1936							1			1						1
<i>Lobophyllia hemprichii</i>	(Ehrenberg, 1834)	1	1		1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1		1	1	1
<i>Symphyllia agaricia</i>	Milne Edwards and Haime, 1849	1									1	1					
<i>Symphyllia radians</i> <sup>R</sup>	Milne Edwards and Haime, 1849										1		1				1

South Scott														North Scott										Serangapam				
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45
																										1		
1	1	1	1		1						1	1	1															1
						1	1																			1		
	1	1	1	1	1	1			1		1	1	1		1		1		1		1							1
		1	1		1							1				1												
																									1			
		1	1						1		1																	
											1	1			1				1									

1					1			1	1		1	1	1		1							1				1		
								1	1		1	1	1				1		1		1	1				1		
					1	1			1	1		1	1		1		1				1	1				1		
1	1			1				1	1				1	1	1		1		1		1	1						1
1	1				1			1	1		1		1				1									1		
1			1					1	1		1	1	1	1	1						1	1				1		
									1												1							
					1				1																			
					1																							
	1							1	1				1								1	1				1	1	
									1				1													1		
					1	1			1	1		1	1				1		1		1	1	1			1	1	1
					1	1																1						
	1				1	1			1	1			1				1				1	1				1	1	1

								1																		1		
																												1
1			1						1				1									1						
	1				1			1		1	1	1		1			1		1		1	1				1	1	
	1		1		1			1		1	1		1				1				1	1				1		
	1							1	1																	1		

	1											1	1				1		1							1		
	1																											1
						1					1		1														1	
1	1		1		1	1		1	1		1	1	1				1		1		1	1				1	1	1
					1	1																1						







Species	Authority	Mermaid															
		01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
<i>Porites cylindrica</i>	Dana, 1846						1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1		1
<i>Porites lichen</i>	Dana, 1846																
<i>Porites lobata</i>	Dana, 1846	1			1				1			1	1		1		1
<i>Porites lutea</i>	Milne Edwards and Haime, 1851				1							1		1			
<i>Porites monticulosa</i> <sup>R</sup>	Dana, 1846		1		1	1								1	1	1	1
<i>Porites murrayensis</i>	Vaughan, 1918			1													
<i>Porites nigrescens</i>	Dana, 1846	1	1							1		1		1	1	1	
<i>Porites rus</i>	(Forsk., 1775)					1						1		1	1	1	1
<i>Porites solida</i>	(Forsk., 1775)		1														
<i>Porites vaughani</i>	Crossland, 1952		1		1	1					1	1		1		1	1
<b>Siderastreidae</b>																	
<i>Coscinaraea columna</i> <sup>R</sup>	(Dana, 1846)													1		1	1
<i>Coscinaraea exesa</i> <sup>S</sup>	(Dana, 1846)																
<i>Coscinaraea wellsi</i>	Veron and Pichon, 1980																
<i>Psammocora contigua</i>	(Esper, 1797)																
<i>Psammocora digitata</i>	Milne Edwards and Haime, 1851	1			1				1		1	1		1			1
<i>Psammocora explanulata</i>	Horst, 1922																
<i>Psammocora haimeana</i>	Milne Edwards and Haime, 1851		1		1	1					1						1
<i>Psammocora nierstraszi</i>	Horst, 1921																
<i>Psammocora obtusangula</i>	(Lamarck, 1816)																
<i>Psammocora profundacella</i> <sup>R</sup>	Gardiner, 1898	1	1	1	1	1				1	1	1			1	1	1
<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	Gardiner, 1898										1						
<b>Non-Scleractinian</b>																	
<i>Heliopora coerulea</i>	(Pallas, 1766)			1			1				1						1
<i>Tubipora musica</i>	Linnaeus, 1758									1							
<i>Millepora spp.</i>	Linnaeus, 1758	1	1	1	1		1		1		1	1	1	1			1
<b>Station Richness</b>		79	69	53	68	72	56	71	48	56	60	66	72	39	68	79	66

South Scott														North Scott										Seringapatam				
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45
1	1		1	1			1					1			1		1				1	1			1	1		1
1																												
1	1			1		1		1	1		1		1	1	1	1			1	1	1	1	1					
	1	1							1	1			1	1			1	1		1								
		1			1						1	1	1	1							1	1					1	1
	1	1					1				1		1	1														
1	1	1	1	1	1	1						1	1				1		1							1		
	1	1										1		1	1			1			1				1		1	
1			1								1	1				1			1				1					
1	1	1	1		1							1					1		1				1					1
1												1	1	1				1		1								
1	1										1		1													1		
	1							1	1			1	1	1			1				1							1
		1		1							1																	
		1															1	1							1			1
																			1									
	1										1	1									1		1					1
						1			1			1	1	1			1	1	1	1		1		1				1
							1		1			1	1	1			1				1			1				1
1		1	1		1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1			1	1	1	1		1		1				1
						1			1			1	1	1			1				1			1				1
	1	1	1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	1			1				1	1			1			1
74	105	74	78	30	66	71	27	65	109	0	74	116	86	79	74	20	100	10	83	7	89	68	29	47	67	54	13	88

**Table 2** Station richness and transect ratios for 41 stations at Mermaid, South Scott, North Scott, and Seringapatam Reefs.

<b>Station Richness</b>	<b>Mermaid</b>	<b>South Scott</b>	<b>North Scott</b>	<b>Seringapatam</b>	<b>All Reefs</b>
Mean	69.500	76.462	60.000	54.600	67.805
Standard Error	2.633	7.611	12.407	12.663	4.059
Standard Deviation	9.851	27.443	37.222	28.316	25.993
Range	34	91	95	77	112
Minimum	52	27	6	13	6
Maximum	86	118	101	90	118
<b>Count</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>41</b>

<b>Station Richness</b>	<b>Reef Front</b>	<b>Lagoon</b>	<b>Reef Flat</b>	<b>All Habitats</b>
Mean	77.188	76.833	23.143	67.805
Standard Error	3.028	4.505	6.501	4.059
Standard Deviation	12.112	19.113	17.199	25.993
Range	53	66	51	112
Minimum	48	52	6	6
Maximum	101	118	57	118
<b>Count</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>41</b>

<b>Transect Ratio</b>	<b>Reef Front</b>	<b>Lagoon</b>	<b>Reef Flat</b>	<b>All Habitats</b>
Mean	78.799	74.354	32.333	67.001
Standard Error	3.384	3.706	3.480	4.656
Standard Deviation	12.200	11.119	8.524	24.638
Range	47.994	29.517	23.000	93.827
Minimum	45.833	57.692	21.000	0.000
Maximum	93.827	87.209	44.000	93.827
<b>Count</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>28</b>

**Table 3** Analysis of similarities (ANOSIM), two-way crossed, on species presence/absence data.**Tests for differences between reef system groups**

(across all Habitat type groups)

Global Test

Sample statistic (Global R): 0.483

Significance level of sample statistic: 0.1%

Number of permutations: 999 (Random sample from a large number)

Number of permuted statistics greater than or equal to Global R: 0

<b>Pairwise Tests Groups</b>	<b>R Statistic</b>	<b>Significance Level %</b>	<b>Possible Permutations</b>	<b>Actual Permutations</b>	<b>Number &gt;= Observed</b>
Mermaid, South Scott	0.545	0.1	1783782	999	0
Mermaid, North Scott	0.495	0.1	36960	999	0
Mermaid, Seringapatam	0.657	0.3	945	945	3
South Scott, North Scott	0.344	0.7	47040	999	6
South Scott, Seringapatam	0.59	0.7	1764	999	6
North Scott, Seringapatam	0.181	15.8	400	400	63

**Tests for differences between habitat type groups**

(across all Reef system groups)

Global Test

Sample statistic (Global R): 0.739

Significance level of sample statistic: 0.1%

Number of permutations: 999 (Random sample from a large number)

Number of permuted statistics greater than or equal to Global R: 0

<b>Pairwise Tests Groups</b>	<b>R Statistic</b>	<b>Significance Level %</b>	<b>Possible Permutations</b>	<b>Actual Permutations</b>	<b>Number &gt;= Observed</b>
Lagoon, Reef Front	0.716	0.1	17837820	999	0
Lagoon, Reef Flat	0.865	0.1	5670	999	0
Reef Front, Reef Flat	0.935	0.1	5040	999	0





**Table 5** Similarity Percentages of typifying and discriminating species (SIMPER). Major species typifying and discriminating between lagoon, reef front, and reef flat habitats, listed in decreasing order. Two-way analysis examining habitat type groups (across all reef system groups).

<b>Typifying: Group Lagoon</b>					
	<b>Av.Abund</b>	<b>Av.Sim</b>	<b>Sim/SD</b>	<b>Contrib%</b>	<b>Cum.%</b>
<i>Galaxea fascicularis</i>	1	1.4	5.69	2.75	2.75
<i>Montastraea magnistellata</i>	1	1.4	5.69	2.75	5.5
<i>Goniastrea edwardsi</i>	0.78	1.27	2.64	2.5	8
<i>Acropora intermedia</i>	0.89	1.25	2.41	2.46	10.46
<i>Echinopora lamellosa</i>	0.83	1.25	2.41	2.45	12.91
<i>Acropora florida</i>	0.83	1.15	1.79	2.26	15.17
<i>Acropora brueggemanni</i>	0.78	1.11	1.68	2.18	17.35
<i>Acropora abrolhosensis</i>	0.89	1.11	1.87	2.18	19.52
<i>Lobophyllia hemprichii</i>	0.89	1.08	1.91	2.12	21.64
<i>Fungia horrida</i>	0.72	1.07	1.53	2.1	23.75
<i>Pavona varians</i>	0.83	1.06	1.64	2.09	25.83
<i>Astreopora myriophthalma</i>	0.83	1.06	1.65	2.08	27.91
<i>Acropora microphthalma</i>	0.83	1.01	1.48	1.99	29.89
<i>Acropora tenuis</i>	0.67	0.99	1.58	1.94	31.83
<i>Favites complanata</i>	0.78	0.98	1.34	1.93	33.76
<i>Pectinia alcornotis</i>	0.83	0.98	1.42	1.92	35.68
<i>Porites cylindrica</i>	0.78	0.94	1.23	1.84	37.53
<i>Acropora humilis</i>	0.67	0.89	1.29	1.76	39.28
<i>Acropora nasuta</i>	0.78	0.83	1.2	1.63	40.91
<i>Cyphastrea microphthalma</i>	0.78	0.79	1.09	1.55	42.46
<i>Seriatopora hystrix</i>	0.72	0.78	1.1	1.53	43.99
<i>Goniastrea pectinata</i>	0.83	0.72	1.1	1.42	45.42
<i>Millepora spp.</i>	0.78	0.71	1.04	1.4	46.81
<i>Stylophora pistillata</i>	0.61	0.68	0.95	1.35	48.16
<i>Favia pallida</i>	0.67	0.67	0.97	1.31	49.47
<i>Ctenactis echinata</i>	0.67	0.66	0.87	1.3	50.77



Typifying: Group Reef Front						
Average similarity: 58.51						
	Av.Abund	Av.Sim	Sim/SD	Contrib%	Cum.%	
<i>Acropora spicifera</i>	1	1.33	12.08	2.27	2.27	2.27
<i>Echinopora lamellosa</i>	1	1.33	12.08	2.27	4.54	4.54
<i>Favia stelligera</i>	1	1.33	12.08	2.27	6.81	6.81
<i>Galaxea fascicularis</i>	1	1.33	12.08	2.27	9.08	9.08
<i>Goniastrea pectinata</i>	1	1.33	12.08	2.27	11.35	11.35
<i>Pavona varians</i>	1	1.33	12.08	2.27	13.62	13.62
<i>Pocillopora verrucosa</i>	1	1.33	12.08	2.27	15.89	15.89
<i>Psammocora profundacella</i>	0.94	1.28	4.77	2.18	18.07	18.07
<i>Favia pallida</i>	0.94	1.25	3.47	2.13	20.2	20.2
<i>Favia matthaii</i>	0.94	1.14	2.39	1.95	22.16	22.16
<i>Favites abdita</i>	0.94	1.14	2.39	1.95	24.11	24.11
<i>Acropora palifera</i>	0.94	1.13	2.4	1.93	26.05	26.05
<i>Goniastrea edwardsi</i>	0.94	1.13	2.4	1.93	27.98	27.98
<i>Montastraea curta</i>	0.94	1.09	2.11	1.86	29.84	29.84
<i>Porites vaughani</i>	0.88	1.04	1.73	1.78	31.62	31.62
<i>Acropora humilis</i>	0.88	0.98	1.72	1.68	33.3	33.3
<i>Platygyra pini</i>	0.81	0.97	1.57	1.66	34.96	34.96
<i>Acropora nasuta</i>	0.88	0.92	1.45	1.58	36.54	36.54
<i>Lobophyllia hemprichii</i>	0.81	0.87	1.34	1.49	38.02	38.02
<i>Millepora spp.</i>	0.75	0.87	1.34	1.48	39.5	39.5
<i>Favites stylyfera</i>	0.75	0.84	1.34	1.44	40.95	40.95
<i>Pocillopora damicornis</i>	0.75	0.84	1.34	1.44	42.39	42.39
<i>Acropora gemmifera</i>	0.69	0.82	1.25	1.4	43.79	43.79
<i>Acropora millepora</i>	0.75	0.81	1.25	1.39	45.17	45.17
<i>Leptoria phrygia</i>	0.75	0.8	1.16	1.38	46.55	46.55
<i>Stylophora pistillata</i>	0.75	0.8	1.16	1.38	47.92	47.92
<i>Galaxea astreata</i>	0.81	0.77	1.16	1.31	49.24	49.24
<i>Heliopora coerulea</i>	0.69	0.76	1.16	1.31	50.54	50.54

<b>Typifying: Group Reef Flat</b>						
<b>Average similarity: 27.74</b>						
<b>Species</b>	<b>Av.Abund</b>	<b>Av.Sim</b>	<b>Sim/SD</b>	<b>Contrib%</b>	<b>Cum.%</b>	
<i>Acropora digitifera</i>	1	7.34	2.16	26.45	26.45	
<i>Porites lutea</i>	0.29	2.94	0.5	10.6	37.05	
<i>Cyphastrea chalcidicum</i>	0.71	2.54	0.79	9.17	46.22	
<i>Porites lobata</i>	0.43	1.85	0.5	6.67	52.89	
<b>Discriminating: Groups Lagoon &amp; Reef Front</b>						
<b>Average dissimilarity = 57.84</b>						
<b>Species</b>	<b>Group Lagoon</b>			<b>Group Reef Front</b>		
	<b>Av.Abund</b>	<b>Av.Abund</b>	<b>Av.Diss</b>	<b>Diss/SD</b>	<b>Contrib%</b>	<b>Contrib%</b>
<i>Acropora abrolhosensis</i>	0.89	0.06	0.58	2.46	1.01	
<i>Acropora florida</i>	0.83	0.06	0.54	1.91	0.94	
<i>Porites vaughani</i>	0.17	0.88	0.54	1.8	0.93	
<i>Pectinia alcorniis</i>	0.83	0.13	0.53	1.8	0.92	
<i>Acropora microphthalma</i>	0.83	0.19	0.5	1.58	0.86	
<i>Porites moniticulosa</i>	0.28	0.69	0.49	1.55	0.86	
<i>Gardineroseris planulata</i>	0.06	0.69	0.49	1.54	0.85	
<i>Acropora carduus</i>	0.72	0	0.48	1.54	0.83	
<i>Leptoria phrygia</i>	0.33	0.75	0.48	1.46	0.83	
<i>Acropora intermedia</i>	0.89	0.31	0.47	1.45	0.81	
<i>Acropora palifera</i>	0.22	0.94	0.47	1.43	0.81	
<i>Favites pentagona</i>	0.33	0.63	0.47	1.43	0.81	
<i>Montastraea curta</i>	0.33	0.94	0.45	1.29	0.77	
<i>Leptoseris mycetoseroides</i>	0.28	0.75	0.45	1.32	0.77	
<i>Montastraea magnistellata</i>	1	0.38	0.44	1.26	0.76	
<i>Acropora loripes</i>	0.11	0.63	0.44	1.28	0.76	
<i>Acropora subglabra</i>	0.67	0	0.43	1.37	0.75	
<i>Montipora grisea</i>	0.11	0.75	0.43	1.34	0.75	
<i>Pavona maldivensis</i>	0.11	0.63	0.43	1.26	0.74	
<i>Pavona duerdeni</i>	0.22	0.69	0.43	1.26	0.74	

<i>Pachyseris rugosa</i>	0.44	0.5	0.42	1.24	0.73
<i>Porites cylindrica</i>	0.78	0.31	0.42	1.22	0.73
<i>Echinopora horrida</i>	0.44	0.38	0.41	1.25	0.71
<i>Psammocora haimcana</i>	0.17	0.38	0.41	1.19	0.71
<i>Fungia horrida</i>	0.61	0.06	0.41	1.22	0.7
<i>Acropora muricata</i>	0.61	0.13	0.41	1.25	0.7
<i>Herpolitha limax</i>	0.67	0	0.4	1.22	0.7
<i>Astreopora myriophthalma</i>	0.83	0.56	0.4	1.11	0.68
<i>Favites complanata</i>	0.78	0.44	0.4	1.11	0.68
<i>Pavona explanulata</i>	0.5	0.44	0.39	1.19	0.68
<i>Acropora millepora</i>	0.33	0.75	0.39	1.14	0.68
<i>Ctenactis echinata</i>	0.67	0.31	0.39	1.13	0.67
<i>Merulina ampliata</i>	0.67	0.44	0.38	1.08	0.65
<i>Acropora monticulosa</i>	0.11	0.56	0.38	1.08	0.65

**Discriminating: Groups Lagoon & Intertidal Reef Flat**

Average dissimilarity = 79.60

Species	Group Lagoon		Group Intertidal Reef Flat		
	Av.Abund	Av.Abund	Av.Diss	Diss/SD	Contrib%
<i>Montastraea magnistellata</i>	1	0	0.96	4.52	1.2
<i>Lobophyllia henprichii</i>	0.89	0	0.88	2.76	1.11
<i>Acropora abrolhosensis</i>	0.89	0	0.88	2.88	1.1
<i>Porites cylindrica</i>	0.78	0.29	0.83	1.95	1.05
<i>Acropora digitifera</i>	0.22	1	0.83	1.93	1.04
<i>Acropora microphthalma</i>	0.83	0	0.81	2.15	1.02
<i>Echinopora lamellosa</i>	0.83	0	0.79	2.16	1
<i>Sandalolitha robusta</i>	0.67	0	0.79	1.73	0.99
<i>Acropora carduus</i>	0.72	0	0.78	1.74	0.98
<i>Pectinia alvicornis</i>	0.83	0	0.77	1.72	0.97
<i>Acropora subglabra</i>	0.67	0	0.77	1.73	0.97
<i>Acropora intermedia</i>	0.89	0.14	0.77	1.74	0.97
<i>Millepora spp.</i>	0.78	0.14	0.76	1.76	0.96

Discriminating: Groups Lagoon & Intertidal Reef Flat						
Average dissimilarity = 79.60						
Species	Group Lagoon		Group Intertidal Reef Flat			
	Av.Abund	Av.Abund	Av.Diss	Diss/SD	Contrib%	
<i>Merulina ampliata</i>	0.67	0	0.73	1.56	0.92	
<i>Favia matthaii</i>	0.67	0.14	0.73	1.58	0.92	
<i>Acropora nasuta</i>	0.78	0	0.73	1.76	0.92	
<i>Galaxea fascicularis</i>	1	0.14	0.73	1.47	0.91	
<i>Herpolitha limax</i>	0.67	0	0.72	1.47	0.91	
<i>Acropora florida</i>	0.83	0	0.72	1.55	0.9	
<i>Fungia concinna</i>	0.67	0.14	0.68	1.37	0.86	
<i>Merulina scabricula</i>	0.67	0	0.68	1.47	0.85	
<i>Goniastrea pectinata</i>	0.83	0.29	0.68	1.28	0.85	
<i>Favites abdita</i>	0.67	0.14	0.68	1.48	0.85	
<i>Lithophyllon undulatum</i>	0.5	0	0.68	1.27	0.85	
<i>Fungia horrida</i>	0.61	0	0.66	1.38	0.83	
<i>Acropora brueggemanni</i>	0.78	0	0.65	1.47	0.82	
<i>Favia fucus</i>	0.61	0	0.65	1.25	0.81	
<i>Goniastrea aspera</i>	0.11	0.71	0.64	1.36	0.8	
<i>Fungia fungites</i>	0.61	0.14	0.63	1.29	0.8	
<i>Favia stelligera</i>	0.61	0.14	0.62	1.4	0.78	
<i>Pachyseris speciosa</i>	0.5	0	0.62	1.28	0.78	

Discriminating: Groups Reef Front & Intertidal Reef Flat						
Average dissimilarity = 77.02						
Species	Group Reef Front		Group Intertidal Reef Flat			
	Av.Abund	Av.Abund	Av.Diss	Diss/SD	Contrib%	
<i>Echinopora lamellosa</i>	1	0	0.97	5.81	1.25	
<i>Acropora palifera</i>	0.94	0.14	0.85	2.22	1.11	
<i>Favites stylifera</i>	0.75	0	0.85	2.22	1.11	
<i>Favia matthaii</i>	0.94	0.14	0.85	2.21	1.1	
<i>Favites abdita</i>	0.94	0.14	0.85	2.21	1.1	

<i>Acropora nasuta</i>	0.88	0	0.83	2.18	1.08
<i>Favia stelligera</i>	1	0.14	0.82	1.97	1.07
<i>Galaxea fascicularis</i>	1	0.14	0.82	1.97	1.07
<i>Pocillopora verrucosa</i>	1	0.14	0.82	1.97	1.07
<i>Galaxea astreata</i>	0.81	0.14	0.81	1.95	1.05
<i>Acropora millepora</i>	0.75	0.14	0.8	1.95	1.03
<i>Porites vaughani</i>	0.88	0	0.79	1.94	1.03
<i>Montipora grisea</i>	0.75	0.14	0.78	1.76	1.01
<i>Lobophyllia henrichii</i>	0.81	0	0.77	2.03	1
<i>Montastraea curta</i>	0.94	0.14	0.75	1.62	0.97
<i>Acropora tenuis</i>	0.75	0	0.73	1.83	0.95
<i>Leptoseris mycetoseroides</i>	0.75	0	0.72	1.59	0.94
<i>Pavona varians</i>	1	0.29	0.71	1.48	0.93
<i>Millepora spp.</i>	0.75	0.14	0.71	1.67	0.92
<i>Turbinaria reniformis</i>	0.56	0	0.71	1.54	0.92
<i>Heliopora coerulea</i>	0.69	0.43	0.7	1.67	0.91
<i>Acropora digitifera</i>	0.25	1	0.7	1.46	0.91
<i>Lithophyllon undulatum</i>	0.56	0	0.7	1.53	0.9
<i>Acropora cerealis</i>	0.63	0	0.68	1.53	0.89
<i>Leptoria phrygia</i>	0.75	0	0.67	1.52	0.87
<i>Goniastrea retiformis</i>	0.94	0.29	0.65	1.26	0.84
<i>Stylocoeniella armata</i>	0.56	0	0.64	1.26	0.83
<i>Pavona duerdeni</i>	0.69	0	0.63	1.4	0.82
<i>Goniastrea pectinata</i>	1	0.29	0.62	1.18	0.81
<i>Pocillopora eydouxi</i>	0.56	0.14	0.62	1.18	0.81
<i>Goniastrea aspera</i>	0.19	0.71	0.62	1.25	0.8
<i>Leptastrea transversa</i>	0.75	0.29	0.62	1.3	0.8
<i>Acropora specifera</i>	1	0.29	0.62	1.18	0.8
<i>Acropora gemmifera</i>	0.69	0.14	0.6	1.31	0.78

**Table 6** Similarity Percentages of functional groups (SIMPER).  
Major functional groups typifying and discriminating between lagoon and reef front habitats, listed in decreasing order. Two-way analysis examining habitat type groups (across all reef system groups).

<b>Typifying: Group Lagoon</b>						
<b>Average similarity: 62.50</b>						
	<b>Av.Abund</b>	<b>Av.Sim</b>	<b>Sim/SD</b>	<b>Contrib%</b>	<b>Cum.%</b>	
Rubble	0.43	32.78	2.13	52.46	52.46	
Branching Acropora	0.12	11.42	1.53	18.28	70.73	
Sand	0.11	8.12	1.59	12.99	83.73	
Rock	0.2	7.47	0.66	11.95	95.68	
Tabulate Acropora	0.02	1.04	0.79	1.67	97.34	
Massive Non-Acropora	0.04	0.71	0.44	1.13	98.48	
Soft Coral	0.01	0.34	0.29	0.55	99.03	
Digitate Acropora	0.02	0.31	0.54	0.5	99.53	
Macroalgae	0	0.12	0.4	0.2	99.73	
Mushroom coral	0.01	0.09	0.29	0.14	99.86	
Sub-massive Non-Acropora	0.01	0.05	0.45	0.08	99.95	
Foliaceous Non-Acropora	0	0.02	0.22	0.03	99.97	
Encrusting Non-Acropora	0	0.02	0.16	0.03	100	

<b>Typifying: Group Reef Front</b>						
<b>Average similarity: 68.66</b>						
	<b>Av.Abund</b>	<b>Av.Sim</b>	<b>Sim/SD</b>	<b>Contrib%</b>	<b>Cum.%</b>	
Rock	0.61	50.79	3.53	73.97	73.97	
Encrusting Non-Acropora	0.1	6.63	1.35	9.65	83.62	
Massive Non-Acropora	0.06	3.7	1.47	5.39	89.02	
Digitate Acropora	0.03	1.63	1.74	2.37	91.39	
Soft Coral	0.04	1.5	0.6	2.18	93.57	
Sand	0.03	1.21	0.7	1.77	95.34	
Tabulate Acropora	0.03	0.97	0.59	1.41	96.74	
Rubble	0.07	0.96	0.35	1.39	98.13	
Sub-massive Non-Acropora	0.02	0.91	1	1.32	99.45	
Branching Acropora	0.01	0.13	0.45	0.2	99.65	
Macroalgae	0.01	0.12	0.33	0.17	99.82	
Foliaceous Non-Acropora	0.01	0.09	0.24	0.13	99.95	
Sponge	0	0.03	0.25	0.05	100	
Gorgonian Coral	0	0	0	0	100	
Mushroom coral	0	0	0	0	100	

<b>Discriminating: Groups Lagoon &amp; Reef Front</b>						
<b>Average dissimilarity = 67.35</b>						
	<b>Group Lagoon</b>			<b>Group Reef Front</b>		
	<b>Av.Abund</b>	<b>Av.Abund</b>	<b>Av.Diss</b>	<b>Diss/SD</b>	<b>Contrib%</b>	<b>Cum.%</b>
Rock	0.2	0.61	21.27	1.82	31.58	31.58
Rubble	0.43	0.07	17.93	1.84	26.62	58.2
Branching Acropora	0.12	0.01	6.3	1.18	9.36	67.56
Encrusting Non-Acropora	0	0.1	6.29	1.18	9.33	76.9
Sand	0.11	0.03	5.46	1.38	8.11	85
Massive Non-Acropora	0.04	0.06	2.51	1.48	3.72	88.73
Digitate Acropora	0.02	0.03	1.97	0.84	2.93	91.66
Soft Coral	0.01	0.04	1.76	0.74	2.61	94.26
Tabulate Acropora	0.02	0.03	1.48	0.69	2.19	96.46
Sub-massive Non-Acropora	0.01	0.02	0.93	1.31	1.38	97.84
Foliaceous Non-Acropora	0	0.01	0.54	0.71	0.8	98.63
Macroalgae	0	0.01	0.43	0.65	0.64	99.28
Mushroom coral	0.01	0	0.26	0.61	0.38	99.66
Sponge	0	0	0.15	0.74	0.22	99.88
Gorgonian Coral	0	0	0.08	0.19	0.12	100



Above: South Scott Reef lagoon, back slope. (Photo: Clay Bryce)



Above: *Odontodactylus scyllarus* (Linnaeus, 1758). The harlequin mantis shrimp. Photo: Glenn Moore)