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## Inhour Equation

## Point Kinetics Equation

- The reactor kinetics equations based on one-point reactor approximation with one-energy-group theory are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d n(t)}{d t}=\frac{\rho-\beta_{e f f}}{\Lambda} n(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{6} \lambda_{i} C_{i}(t)  \tag{1a}\\
& \frac{d C_{i}(t)}{d t}=\frac{\beta_{i, e f f}}{\Lambda} n(t)-\lambda_{i} C_{i}(t) \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, 6), \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n(t)=$ neutron density or total neutron population,
$C_{i}(t)=i$-th group delayed neutron precursor density,
$\lambda_{i}=$ decay constant of the $i$-th group delayed neutron precursor,
$\Lambda=$ prompt neutron generation time, which is the prompt neutron lifetime $l$ divided by $k_{e f f}$,
$\beta_{e f f}=$ effective delayed neutron fraction
$\beta_{i, \text { eff }}=$ effective delayed neutron fraction of $i$-th delayed neutron precursor group

## Inhour Equation

- All of the coefficients in Eq. (1) are physical constants, in practice, except the reactivity, which can be changed by variation of a operation parameter.
- In the case where reactivity does not vary, the system is a "constant coefficient" differential equation system, and its solution can be found by merely seeking exponential solutions of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& n(t)=a \cdot \exp (\omega t),  \tag{2}\\
& C_{i}(t)=b_{i} \cdot \exp (\omega t)(i=1,2, \cdots, 6),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\omega, a$, and $b_{i}$ are constants.

- Insertion of Eq. (2) into Eq. (1) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega a \exp (\omega t)=\frac{\rho-\beta_{e f f}}{\Lambda} a \exp (\omega t)+\sum_{i=1}^{6} \lambda_{i} b_{i} \exp (\omega t),  \tag{3a}\\
& \omega b_{i} \exp (\omega t)=\frac{\beta_{i, e f f}}{\Lambda} a \exp (\omega t)-\lambda_{i} b_{i} \exp (\omega t) \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, 6) \tag{3b}
\end{align*}
$$

## Inhour Equation (Contd.)

- By substituting $b_{i}$ derived from Eq. (3b) into Eq. (3a), we can obtain a characteristic equation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\omega\left[\Lambda_{\text {eff }}+\sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{\beta_{i, e f f}}{\lambda_{i}+\omega}\right] \tag{4a}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Because $\Lambda_{e f f}=l / k_{e f f}$ where $l$ denote the neutron lifetime is Eq. (4a) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho=\omega\left[-l\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{e f f}}\right)+l+\sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{\beta_{i, e f f}}{\lambda_{i}+\omega}\right] \\
& \Rightarrow \rho=\frac{\omega l}{\omega l+1}+\frac{\omega}{\omega l+1} \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{\beta_{i, e f f}}{\lambda_{i}+\omega} \tag{4b}
\end{align*}
$$

- Eq. (4) is called the "inhour equation" because it gives a quantity that can be expressed in hour ${ }^{-1}$ (inverse hour).


## Relation betw. Reactivity and Reactor Period

- When a step-wise reactivity change from critical state happen as $\rho$, the neutron level $n(t)$ after the change of reactivity can be expressed as a summation of exponential functions as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{7} A_{j} \exp \left(\omega_{j} t\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{j}$ should satisfy Eq. (4).


## Relation betw. Reactivity and Reactor Period (Contd.)

- When $\omega_{1}$ is the largest value among all the seven $\omega_{j}$, from the figure of $<$ Relation between reactivity $\rho$ and $\omega>$, one can see that only $\omega_{1}$ is positive when $\rho>0$ and every $\omega_{j}$ is negative when $\rho<0$.
- Then, one can imagine that the time-dependent behavior of neutron population due to the reactivity change from the critical state will follow the function of $\exp \left(\omega_{1} t\right)$ after contributions of the other components decay out as

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(t) \cong A_{1} \exp \left(\omega_{1} t\right) \quad(t \gg 0) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Here, the inverse of $\omega_{1}, T$, is defined as the stable reactor period (or, merely, the period):

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \equiv \frac{1}{\omega_{1}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Because $\omega_{1}$ should satisfy Eq. (4b), replacing of $\omega$ by $1 / \mathrm{T}$ in Eq. (4b) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho=\frac{(1 / T) l}{(1 / T) l+1}+\frac{(1 / T)}{(1 / T) l+1} \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{\beta_{i, e f f}}{\lambda_{i}+(1 / T)} \\
& \Rightarrow \rho=\frac{l}{l+T}+\frac{T}{l+T} \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{\beta_{i, e f f}}{\lambda_{i} T+1} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

## Period Measurement

## Experimental Procedure

1) Make a reactor critical at a low power level and stay more than 2 minutes.
2) Prepare stop watches $\&$ record sheets.
3) Read and write an initial counts from your detector choice.
4) Move up coarse CR by 1 cm in one push action and read and write detector counts at every 10 seconds during at least 200 seconds.
5) After reading, make the reactor critical at the new power level and stay more than 2 minutes.

Exchange the roles of each person and do the same procedures with different control rod (fine CR) or different reactivity insertions (rod move down) mode.

Experiment Worksheet
Experiment \#2 - Reactor Period Measurement

| Group \#: $\qquad$ Name: <br> Experiment Condition |  |  |  |  | Time: | Date: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Temp. | Analog Console |  |
| Source Position |  |  |  |  | Digital Reactor |  |
| Gamma | In/Out |  | / |  | Digital Water |  |
| neutron |  |  | / |  | Digital Room |  |
| Time | \# | Rod Position |  | Count Rate |  |  |
|  |  | CR | FR | Channel \# | Chamel \# | Channel \# |
| Initial S.S. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 sec | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 sec | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 sec | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 sec | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 sec | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 sec | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 sec | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 sec | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 sec | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 sec | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 110 sec | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 sec | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130 sec | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 140 sec | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 sec | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 160 sec | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 170 sec | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 180 sec | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 190 sec | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200 sec | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |

(from DDRCS) Reactivity

## Video for Exp. \#2



## Calculation of Period - (1) Least Square Fitting

(1) The Use of Least Square Fitting


$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(t)=P_{0} e^{\omega t}=P_{0} e^{t / T} \\
& \ln \frac{P(t)}{P_{0}}=\omega t \rightarrow f(t)=\omega t \quad T=\frac{1}{\omega} \\
& \text { tor Research \& }
\end{aligned}
$$

Myung Hyun Kim, Reactor Experiment, Reactor Research \&

## Calculation of Period - (2) Two Point Calculation

(2) The Use of the Simple Math

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{2}=P_{1} e^{\omega \Delta t}=P_{1} e^{\Delta t / T} \\
\omega=\frac{\ln \left(P_{2} / P_{1}\right)}{\Delta t} \\
T=\frac{1}{\omega}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Arbitrary two points



Myung Hyun Kim, Reactor Experiment, Reactor Research \& Education Center, Kyung Hee University (2018).

## Calculation of Period - (3) Statistical Approach

(3) Statistical Approach


Myung Hyun Kim, Reactor Experiment, Reactor Research \& Education Center, Kyung Hee University (2018).

## (3) Statistical Approach (Contd.)

| t | $\begin{gathered} P_{i} \\ (\text { Amp. }) \end{gathered}$ | $\ln P_{i}$ | $\ln \frac{P_{i}}{P_{i-1}}$ | $\Delta t_{i}$ | $\left(\frac{\Delta \ln P}{\Delta t}\right)_{i}$ | $\Delta \chi_{i}$ | $\left(\Delta \chi_{i}\right)^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $2.8 \times 10^{-11}$ | -24.29882 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 10 | $3.7 \times 10^{-11}$ | -24.02010 | 0.27872 | 10 | 10.027872 | 0.014936 | $2.231 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 20 | $4.3 \times 10^{-11}$ | -23.86982 | 0.15028 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 10.015028 \end{aligned}$ | 0.002092 | $4.376 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| : $:$ $:$ | : $:$ $:$ | : $:$ $:$ | $:$ $:$ $:$ | : $:$ $:$ |  | : $:$ $:$ | : $:$ $:$ |
| 150 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\Delta \ln \mathrm{P}=0.12936$ |  |  | Average | $(\Delta \mathrm{Xi})^{2}=2.89 \times 10^{-4}$ |  |

$$
\bar{\omega}=\overline{\left(\frac{\Delta \ln P}{\Delta t}\right) \quad \Delta \chi_{i}=\omega_{i}-\bar{\omega}, ~}
$$

Myung Hyun Kim, Reactor Experiment, Reactor Research \& Education Center, Kyung Hee University (2018).

## Example of Measurements



## Example of Experimental Results

- Control rod 1 cm in:

- Control rod 1 cm out :






## Discussion Points

1. What is the most reliable method for period measurement among three based on your experience?
2. If you want to apply for the nuclear power plant, which method will be adaptable to a real world?
3. Did you find any differences from different detectors in use?
4. Did you find the expected results from different experimental runs done by other groups?
